

ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC

Form 10-KT

November 23, 2009

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-K**

(Mark One)

- ☐ **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended

OR

- ☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from November 1, 2008 to September 30, 2009

Commission File No. 0-1424

ADC Telecommunications, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Minnesota

*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

41-0743912

*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*

13625 Technology Drive

Eden Prairie, Minnesota

(Address of principal executive offices)

55344-2252

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

(952) 938-8080

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Common Stock, \$.20 par value

Preferred Stock Purchase Rights

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered:

The NASDAQ Global Select Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by checkmark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. ☐ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. ☐ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. ☐ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web Site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files) ☐ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☐ Yes ☐ No

Edgar Filing: ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-KT

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☒ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☐
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). ☐
Yes ☐ No ☒

The aggregate market value of voting and non-voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant based on the last sale price of such stock as reported by The NASDAQ Global Select Market® on March 27, 2009, was \$422,667,422.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, \$0.20 par value, as of November 17, 2009, was 96,626,431.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

A portion of the information required by Part III of this report is incorporated by reference from portions of our definitive proxy statement for our 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareowners to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Item 1. BUSINESS

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Item 2. PROPERTIES

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

PART II

Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

PART III

Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

PART IV

Item 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

SIGNATURES

EXHIBIT INDEX

EX-10.6

EX-10.7

EX-12.1

EX-21.1

EX-23.1

EX-24.1

EX-31.1

EX-31.2

EX-32

Table of Contents

(THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

Table of Contents

Introductory Note

On July 22, 2008, our Board of Directors approved a change in our fiscal year end from October 31st to September 30th commencing with fiscal 2009. As a result, fiscal 2009 was shortened from 12 months to 11 months. In this report, when financial results for fiscal 2009 are compared to financial results for fiscal 2008, the results for an 11 month period are being compared to the results for a 12 month period.

We are using this report to transition to a quarterly reporting cycle that corresponds to a September 30th fiscal year end. Therefore, for financial reporting purposes our fourth quarter of fiscal 2009 was shortened from the quarterly period ended October 31, 2009 to an approximate two-month period ended September 30, 2009.

As used in this report, fiscal 2007, fiscal 2008, and fiscal 2009 refer to our fiscal years ended October 31, 2007 and 2008 and September 30, 2009, respectively. As used in this report, fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2011 refer to our fiscal years that will end September 30, 2010 and 2011, respectively.

PART I

Item 1. BUSINESS

General

ADC Telecommunications, Inc. (ADC, we, us or our) was incorporated in Minnesota in 1953 as Magnetic Cont Company. We adopted our current name in 1985. Our World Headquarters are located at 13625 Technology Drive in Eden Prairie, Minnesota. Our telephone number is (952) 938-8080.

We are a leading global provider of broadband communications network infrastructure products and related services. Our products offer comprehensive solutions that enable the delivery of high-speed Internet, data, video and voice communications over wireline, wireless, cable, enterprise and broadcast networks. These products include fiber-optic, copper and coaxial based frames, cabinets, cables, connectors and cards, wireless capacity and coverage solutions, network access devices and other physical infrastructure components.

Our products and services are deployed primarily by communications service providers and owners and operators of private enterprise networks. Our products are used mainly in the edge of communications networks where Internet, data, video and voice traffic are linked from the serving office of a communications service provider to the end-user of communication services. Our products include:

Connectivity solutions that provide the physical interconnections between network components and network access points. These products connect wireline, wireless, cable, enterprise and broadcast communication networks over fiber-optic, copper (twisted pair), coaxial, and wireless media.

Wireless solutions that help improve coverage and capacity for wireless networks. These products improve signal quality, increase coverage and capacity into expanded geographic areas, increase the speed and expand the delivery and capacity of networks, and help reduce the capital and operating costs of delivering wireless services. Applications for these products include in-building solutions, outdoor coverage solutions and mobile network solutions.

We also provide professional services to our customers. These services help our customers plan, deploy and maintain Internet, data, video and voice communication networks. We also assist our customers in integrating broadband communications equipment used in wireline, wireless, cable and enterprise networks. By providing these services, we have additional opportunities to sell our products.

We have the following three reportable business segments:

Global Connectivity Solutions (Connectivity)

Table of Contents

Network Solutions

Professional Services

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, we initiated a restructuring of our Network Solutions segment by exiting several outdoor wireless product lines. During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we made further changes to the Network Solutions segment by moving the Wireline solutions business to the Connectivity segment in order to better manage and utilize resources and drive profitability. As a result of this change, we have changed our reportable segments to conform to our current management reporting presentation. We have reclassified prior year segment disclosures to conform to the new segment presentation.

Our corporate website address is www.adc.com. In the Financial Information category of the Investor Relations section of our website, we make our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to these reports available free of charge as soon as reasonably practicable after these reports are filed with or furnished to the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). The Corporate Governance category of the Investor Relations section of our website also contains copies of our Financial Code of Ethics, our Principles of Corporate Governance, our Global Business Conduct Program, our Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws, Description of Roles of Independent Lead Director and Executive Chairman and the charter of each committee of our Board of Directors. Each of these documents can also be obtained free of charge (except for a reasonable charge for duplicating exhibits to our reports on Forms 10-K, 10-Q or 8-K) in print by any shareowner who requests them from our Investor Relations department. The Investor Relations department's email address is investor@adc.com and its mailing address is: Investor Relations, ADC Telecommunications, Inc., P.O. Box 1101, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55440-1101. Information on our website is not incorporated by reference into this report or any other report we file with or furnish to the SEC.

Industry and Marketplace Conditions

Over the longer term, we believe that the ever-increasing consumption of bandwidth will drive a continued migration to next-generation networks that can deliver reliable broadband services at low, often flat-rate prices over virtually any medium anytime and anywhere. We believe this evolution particularly will impact the edge of the network where our products and services primarily are used and where constraints in the high-speed delivery of communications services are most likely to occur. For us to participate as fully as possible in this evolution we must focus a significant amount of our resources on the development and sale of next-generation network infrastructure products.

We believe there are two key elements driving the migration to next-generation networks:

First, businesses and consumers worldwide are becoming increasingly dependent on broadband, multi-service communications networks to conduct a wide range of daily communications tasks for business and personal purposes (*e.g.*, emails with large amounts of data, teleconferencing, social networking, video streaming and photo sharing).

Second, end-users of communications services increasingly expect to do business over a single network connection at a low price. Both public networks operated by communications service providers and private enterprise networks are evolving to provide combinations of Internet, data, video and voice services that can be offered over the same high-speed network connection.

This evolution to next-generation networks impacts our industry significantly. Many of our communications service provider customers now focus their investments in these next-generation networks to differentiate themselves from their competitors by providing more robust services at increasing speeds. They believe such network advancements will attract business and consumer customers and allow them to grow their businesses.

Next-generation network investment by communications service providers has tended to come in the form of large, multi-year projects, and these significant projects have attracted many equipment vendors, including us. We believe that it is important for us to participate in these projects to grow our business. We have focused our strategy on the products that will be used in these projects. These include central office fiber-based equipment, wireless coverage and capacity equipment, and equipment to aid the deployment of fiber-based networks closer to the ultimate customer (*i.e.*, fiber to the node, curb, residence, cell site, or business, which we collectively refer to as our FTTX products).

Spending on these next-generation initiatives by our customers has not resulted in significant overall spending increases on all categories of network infrastructure equipment. In fact, overall spending on network infrastructure equipment in total has decreased

Table of Contents

over the past year due to the impact of the global recession. Even prior to the current recession, industry observers anticipated that in the next few years overall global spending on communications infrastructure equipment would be relatively flat. Over the long-term, we therefore believe our ability to compete in the communications equipment marketplace depends in significant part on whether we can continue to develop and market effectively next-generation network infrastructure products.

Current Global Macro-Economic Conditions

The global recession has had, and likely will continue to have, a significant impact on our industry and our business. During fiscal 2009, our financial results were impacted materially and adversely by reduced spending by our customers. We believe it is likely our customers will continue to spend conservatively during fiscal 2010 because of the continued uncertainties in the macro-economy and the related impact on the profitability and growth of their own businesses. However, we cannot predict how long, and to what extent, the global recession will continue to affect our business.

In July 2008 we announced that our fiscal year end would be changed from October 31st to September 30th beginning with our fiscal 2009. Fiscal 2009 was therefore an 11 month year and many differences in the reported results between fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008 are amplified by this fact. Still we believe a comparison between fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008 demonstrates that the recession impacted our business in a number of ways during fiscal 2009. For instance:

Our net sales decreased by 31.6% compared to fiscal 2008;

As a result of significant international sales, our net sales were negatively impacted by the relative strengthening of the U.S. dollar against a majority of other currencies during fiscal 2009. In recent months the dollar has begun to weaken against other currencies. Changes in foreign currency exchange rates reduced net sales during fiscal 2009 by approximately \$34.0 million, versus fiscal 2008;

Despite reducing actual expenditures for research and development and selling and administrative costs during our fiscal 2009 compared to fiscal 2008, these costs grew as a percentage of our net sales because of lower sales volumes; and

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we recorded a \$413.9 million charge related to the impairment of all of our goodwill and the impairment of other long-lived assets as a result of our reduced expectations of near-term financial performance and the decline in our market capitalization.

In response to the adverse impacts of the recession on our business, we took significant steps to lower our operating cost structure. Largely as a result of these actions, we believe we were able to avoid significant drops in our gross margin percentages compared to fiscal 2008 despite significant decreases in our net sales. Our gross margin percentage in fiscal 2009 was 33.1% compared to 33.6% in fiscal 2008. During fiscal 2009, we announced a series of significant restructuring initiatives, including many that are still being executed. These initiatives included reductions in our employee base at various locations around the world, facility closures, and increased utilization of resources and operations in low cost locations. These actions were designed to adjust our operations appropriately to lower levels of demand from our customers, while also allowing us to continue to invest for the future. We also discontinued certain outdoor wireless coverage product lines in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008 and completed the sale of our APS Germany services business on July 31, 2009. On October 30, 2009, we also completed the sale of our copper-based RF signal management product line. We made these sales in part because we did not believe these businesses were strategic to our ongoing operations. Depending on the severity and length of the recession and its impact on our business, we may determine it appropriate to take additional actions to reduce costs and improve our business model in the future. We cannot provide assurance that these initiatives will achieve their stated goals in producing a more efficient and effective operation with a lower cost structure and improved financial performance. In addition, the focus and attention that is given to these initiatives could impact our ability to identify and execute on growth or other initiatives that could benefit our business and could also lead to decreased employee morale as these actions require our employees to do more with less resources.

Strategy

Market Goals

Our long-term goal is to be the leading global provider of communications network infrastructure solutions and services. To achieve our goal, we believe we must sell products that support the migration to next generation networks in developed countries, while also serving the growing demand for communication services in developing countries with our network infrastructure solutions.

Table of Contents

This migration primarily is taking place in the market segments of fiber-based and wireless communications networks. We believe we can address these market opportunities with our products that include central office fiber, FTTX, microcellular wireless capacity/coverage, and enterprise network and data center solutions.

Over the past few years, fiber products and FTTX products have become a greater percentage of our sales as service providers build out their fiber networks closer to the end user, as well as provide more network capacity to support 3G and 4G wireless services. Maintaining and growing our position as a leading global provider of central office fiber and FTTX solutions is therefore important to our strategy and long-term success.

In addition, we believe that service providers and enterprises around the world want to expand the coverage and capacity of wireless networks more efficiently by strategically deploying more microcellular network solutions. This is especially applicable inside buildings and in capacity-strained outdoor areas that are poorly served by macro-cellular network solutions such as cell towers. We believe that our microcellular network solutions that distribute coverage and capacity to targeted areas not served well by macro-cellular network solutions will help service providers and enterprises achieve these goals.

The migration to high performance fiber-based enterprise networks and data centers with public and private organizations also represents an ongoing opportunity for our solutions. Today's advanced business requirements mean that organizations are rethinking the entire enterprise infrastructure to support new technologies and mission-critical applications. We believe that our products provide organizations with comprehensive end-to-end solutions to help them meet their need for reliable, environmentally responsible and high-bandwidth networks.

Finally, in addition to targeting growth in these fiber-based and wireless market segments, we will also seek to expand our presence in growing markets in developing countries around the world. We expect communications spending rates in developing countries to outpace such rates in more developed parts of the world for the foreseeable future. In China, for example, we have experienced significant revenue growth during the past year primarily because, as a result of significant government investment in the country's 3G network, spending in China for telecommunications products has remained strong despite the global recession.

Business Priorities

Given conditions in the global economy and the marketplace in our industry, we believe we must continue to focus on the following business priorities to advance our market goals:

- Business growth in fiber-based and wireless communications networks, and in growing markets and geographies;

- Operational excellence that drives low-cost industry leadership and provides our customers with superior products and support; and

- Improved customer service and focus through alignment with the next generation network needs of our global customer base.

Business Growth in Areas of High Strategic Importance. We are focused on growing our business in markets and geographies we consider to be of high strategic importance. We will service the high growth market segments within fiber-based and wireless communications networks with central office fiber, FTTX, enterprise data center fiber and microcellular wireless coverage and capacity product solutions. We will also focus on markets in developing countries.

We believe growth in these areas may come either from our own internal initiatives to expand our product offerings through research and development activities, additional sales, marketing and other operating resources, or from the acquisition of new businesses, products, and sales channels closely related to our existing product portfolio.

Operational Excellence and Low Cost Industry Leadership. We continue to implement initiatives designed to better align our business with changing macro-economic and market conditions that we believe will better enable us to meet the needs of our global customer base more efficiently and effectively. These initiatives are designed to reduce our operating cost structure and improve organizational efficiency through a variety of actions that include, but are not limited to, the following:

- migrating sales volume to customer-preferred, leading technology products and sunsetting end of life products;
- improving our customers' ordering experience through a faster, simpler, more efficient inquiry-to-invoice process;

Table of Contents

redesigning product lines to gain efficiencies from the use of more common components and improve customization capabilities;
 increasing direct material savings from strategic global sourcing;
 improving cash flow from supplier-managed inventory and lead-time reduction programs;
 relocating certain manufacturing, engineering and other operations from higher-cost geographic areas to lower-cost areas;
 implementing new operating methods designed to uncover increased operational efficiencies;
 reducing the number of locations from which we conduct general and administrative support activities such as invoicing and back-office functions; and
 focusing our resources on core operations, and, where appropriate, using third parties to perform non-core processes.

These initiatives have yielded significant ongoing cost savings to our operations since fiscal 2006 and have allowed us to effectively manage through the global economic recession. For instance, during fiscal 2009, as a result of these initiatives, we kept our gross margins in line with fiscal 2008 margins despite substantially lower sales volumes. In addition, these savings have helped to generate leverage in our operating model and to offset pricing pressures and unfavorable mixes in product sales that can have negative impacts on our operating results. Our ability to continue to implement these initiatives is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties and no assurance can be given that this strategy will be successful. In addition, our gross profit percentages will continue to fluctuate from period to period due to several factors, including, but not limited to, sales volume, raw material and freight costs, product mix and the impact of future potential efficiency and cost saving initiatives.

Improved Customer Service and Focus. We remain highly committed to creating a compelling value proposition for our customers. This includes helping our customers maximize their return on investment, evolve their networks and simplify network deployment challenges in providing communications services to end-users. We strive to offer customer-specific solutions, price-competitive products with high functionality and quality, and world-class customer service and support that collectively will better position us to grow our business in a cost-effective manner. We also are focused on developing ways to sell more of our current portfolio and our newly developed products to existing customers and to introduce our products to new customers. The cornerstone of these initiatives is our commitment to understand and respond to our customers' needs.

We also continuously seek to partner with other companies as a means to serve the public and private communication network markets and to offer more complete solutions for our customers' needs. Many of our connectivity products in particular are conducive to incorporation by other equipment vendors into a systems-level solution. We also believe there are opportunities for us to sell more of our products through indirect sales channels, including systems integrators and value added resellers. We have over 500 value-added reseller partners worldwide. In addition, we are expanding our relationships with distributors to make our products more readily available to a wider base of customers worldwide.

Our ability to implement this strategy and operate our business effectively is subject to numerous uncertainties, the most significant of which are described in Part 1, Item 1A "Risk Factors" in this report.

Product and Service Offering Groups

The following table shows the percent of net sales for each of our three reportable segments for the three fiscal years ended September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008 and 2007:

Reportable Segment	2009	2008	2007
Connectivity	79.0%	79.0%	84.0%
Network Solutions	7.3	8.5	3.2
Professional Services	13.7	12.5	12.8
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table of Contents

Below we describe the primary products and services offered by each of these segments. See Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this report for financial information regarding our three business segments as well as information regarding our assets and sales by geographic region.

Connectivity

Our connectivity devices are used in fiber-optic, copper (twisted pair), coaxial, wireless and broadcast communications networks. These products generally provide the physical interconnections between network components or access points into networks. As of September 30, 2009, Our Connectivity products include:

FTTX Products. Our OmniReach™ product family of fiber distribution terminals, fiber access terminals, passive optical splitter modules, wavelength division multiplexer modules, connectors, enclosures and drop cables provide customers with a flexible architecture to deploy FTTX solutions.

Fiber Distribution Panels and Frame Products. Our fiber distribution panels and frames, which are functionally similar to copper cross-connect modules and bays, provide interconnection points between fiber-optic cables entering a service provider's serving office and fiber-optic cables connected to fiber-optic equipment within the serving office.

DSX and DDF Products. Our digital signal cross-connect (DSX) and digital distribution frame (DDF) modules, panels and bays are designed to terminate and cross-connect copper cables and gain access to digital signals for Internet, data, video and voice transmission. We offer DSX and DDF products to meet global market needs for both twisted-pair and coaxial cable solutions.

Structured Cabling Products. Our TrueNet® structured cabling products are the cables, jacks, plugs, jumpers, frames and panels used to connect desk top systems like personal computers to the network switches and servers in large enterprise campuses, high-rise buildings and data centers. Our TrueNet® cabling products include various generations of twisted-pair copper cable and apparatus capable of supporting varying bandwidth requirements, as well as multi-mode fiber systems used primarily to interconnect switches, servers and commercial campus locations.

Broadcast and Entertainment Products. Our broadcast and entertainment products are audio, video, data patching and connectors used to connect and access worldwide broadcast radio and television networks. Our Pro-Patch® products are recognized as the industry leader in digital broadcast patching. Our ProAx® triaxial connectors are used by operators of mobile broadcast trucks, DBS satellite and large venue, live broadcasts such as the Olympic games. We have also introduced a new line of HDTV products for the digital broadcast industry.

Network Solutions

Our Network Solutions products help improve coverage and capacity for microcellular wireless networks. These products include:

In-building Wireless Coverage/Capacity Solutions. Our family of indoor wireless systems products provide coverage and capacity for wireless network operators in in-building environments such as office buildings or college campuses. We sell these solutions directly to the major providers of cellular telephone services, to national and regional carriers, including those in rural markets, enterprise markets and to neutral host facility providers that lease or resell coverage and capacity to the cellular carriers.

Outdoor Wireless Coverage/Capacity Solutions. Our family of outdoor wireless systems products provides coverage and capacity for wireless network operators in outdoor metro and expanded venue environments such as open-air stadiums. These solutions help customers address coverage and capacity challenges in locations such as tunnels, traffic corridors and urban centers. These solutions are sold directly to the major providers of cellular telephone services, to the national and regional carriers, including those in rural markets, and to neutral host facility providers that lease or resell coverage and capacity to the cellular carriers.

Cell-Site Solutions: Our ClearGain® family of tower-top and ground mounted amplifier products improve signal quality by boosting the uplink signal of a mobile system to increase receiver performance and improve overall coverage. These products amplify wireless signals and enhance performance and are sold primarily to wireless carriers.

Professional Services

We also offer systems integration services for broadband, multiservice communications over wireline, wireless, cable and enterprise networks. These services help our customers plan, deploy and maintain communications networks that deliver Internet,

Table of Contents

data, video and voice services to consumers and businesses. These services support customers throughout the technology life-cycle, from network design, build-out and turn-up to testing, and are utilized by our customers in creating and maintaining intra-office, inter-office or coast-to-coast networks.

Providing these services gives us the opportunity to sell more of our products to users of our Professional Services. We offer these services primarily in North America and recently completed the divestiture of the services business that we operated in Europe. This decision was made because the business in Europe was not considered to be consistent with our strategic long-term goals.

Customers

Our products and services are used by customers in three primary markets:

- the public communications network market worldwide, which includes major telephone companies such as Verizon, AT&T, Sprint, Telefonica, Deutsche Telecom and Bell Canada, local telephone companies, long-distance carriers, wireless service providers, cable television operators and broadcasters;
- the private and governmental markets worldwide, which include business customers and governmental agencies that own and operate their own Internet, data, video and voice networks for internal use; and
- other communications equipment vendors, which incorporate our products into their products and systems that they in turn sell into the above markets.

Our customer base is concentrated, with our top ten customers accounting for 45.2%, 42.5% and 45.5% of our net sales in fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. In fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, AT&T accounted for approximately 20.4%, 16.0% and 15.4% of our net sales, respectively. Verizon accounted for 17.7%, 16.5%, and 17.8% of our net sales in fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Outside the United States, we market our products to communications service providers, owners and operators of private enterprise networks, cable television operators and wireless service providers. Our non-U.S. net sales accounted for approximately 40.7%, 40.8% and 37.0% of our net sales in fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Our EMEA region (Europe, Middle East and Africa) accounted for the largest percentage of sales outside of North America and represented 17.1%, 20.6% and 19.0% of our net sales in fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Our direct sales force builds demand for our products and services and completes the majority of our sales. We maintain sales offices throughout the world. In the United States, our products are sold directly by our sales personnel as well as through value-added resellers, distributors and manufacturers' representatives. Outside the United States, our products are sold directly by our field sales personnel and by independent sales representatives and distributors, as well as through other public and private network providers that distribute products. Nearly all of our sales to enterprise network customers are conducted through third-party distributors.

We maintain a customer service group that supports our field sales personnel and our third-party distributors. The customer service group is responsible for application engineering, customer training, entering orders and supplying delivery status information. We also have a field service-engineering group that provides on-site service to customers.

Research and Development

Given the constant evolution of technology in our industry, we believe our future success depends, in part, on our ability to develop new products so we can continue to meet our customers' needs. We continually review and evaluate technological changes affecting our industry and invest in applications-based research and development. The focus of our research and development activities will change over time based on customer needs and industry trends as well as our decisions regarding those areas where we believe we are most likely to achieve success and advance our strategic aims. Our current projects have varying risk and reward profiles. As part of our longer-term strategy, we intend to continue an ongoing program of new product development that combines internal development efforts with acquisitions and strategic alliances within spaces that are closely related to our core businesses.

Our expenses for internal research and development activities were \$65.3 million, \$83.5 million and \$69.6 million in fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, which represented 6.6%, 5.7% and 5.5% of our total revenues in each of those fiscal years. Research and development spending was higher in fiscal 2008 relative to fiscal 2007 and fiscal 2009 due to the addition of research and development activities related to our acquisition of LGC.

Table of Contents

During fiscal 2009, we directed our development activities primarily in the areas of:
fiber connectivity products for FTTX initiatives and central office applications;
high-performance structured cables, jacks, plugs, jumpers, frames and panels to enable the use of increasingly
higher-performance IP network protocols within private networks; and
wireless coverage and capacity solutions that enable our customers to optimize their network coverage.

Competition

Currently, our primary competitors include:

For Connectivity products: 3M, CommScope, Corning, Panduit and Tyco.

For Network Solutions products: CommScope, Mobile Access and Powerwave

For Professional Services: AFL Telecommunications, Alcatel-Lucent, Mastec and Telamon.

Competition in the communications equipment industry is intense. We and other equipment vendors are competing for the business of fewer and larger customers due to industry consolidation over the past several years. As these customers become larger, they have more buying power and are able to negotiate lower pricing. In addition, there are rapid and extensive technological developments within the communications industry that can and have resulted in significant changes to the spending levels and trends of these large customers, which further drives competition among equipment vendors. Finally, spending in the communications equipment industry has declined in the past year and been relatively flat in the preceding years.

We believe that our success in competing with other communications product manufacturers in this environment depends primarily on the following factors:

- our long-term customer relationships;
- our brand recognition and reputation as a financially-sound, long-term supplier to our customers;
- our engineering (research and development), manufacturing, sales and marketing skills;
- the price, quality and reliability of our products;
- our delivery and service capabilities; and
- our ability to contain costs.

Manufacturing and Suppliers

We manufacture a variety of products that are fabricated, assembled and tested in facilities around the world. In an effort to reduce costs and improve customer service, we generally attempt to manufacture our products in low cost areas located in the region of the world where they will be deployed. We also utilize several outsourced manufacturing companies to manufacture, assemble and test certain of our products. We estimate that products manufactured by these companies accounted for approximately 10% of our aggregate net sales for the Connectivity and Network Solutions segments of our business in fiscal 2009.

We purchase raw materials and component parts from many suppliers located around the world through a global sourcing group. Although some of these items are single-sourced, we have not experienced any significant difficulties to date in obtaining adequate quantities. During fiscal 2009, we realized significant cost reductions in raw materials, primarily due to the softening of commodity markets but also due to our internal efficiency efforts that, among other things, included the implementation of new operating methods designed to uncover increased operational efficiencies. Looking to fiscal 2010, the current trends and the continued global macro-economic challenges, along with shifts in both supply and demand indicate that the cost reductions seen for commodities and other raw materials in fiscal 2009 will not continue and that

Table of Contents

increases are likely to occur. Circumstances relating to the availability and pricing of materials could change and our ability to mitigate price increases or to take advantage of price decreases in the future will depend upon a variety of factors, such as our purchasing power and the purchasing power of our customers.

Intellectual Property

We own a large portfolio of U.S. and foreign patents relating to our products. These patents, in the aggregate, constitute a valuable asset as they allow us to sell unique products and provide protection from our competitors selling similar products. We do not believe, however, that our business is dependent upon any single patent or any particular group of related patents.

Additionally, we hold a large portfolio of U.S. and foreign trademarks. For example, we registered the initials ADC as well as the name KRONE, each alone and in conjunction with specific designs, as trademarks in the United States and various foreign countries. U.S. trademark registrations generally are for a term of ten years, and are renewable every ten years as long as the trademark is used in the regular course of trade.

Seasonality

Due to the change in our fiscal year end, our fiscal quarters will now end near the last day of December, March and June and our fiscal year will end on September 30th.

Prior to the fiscal year end change, sales in our second quarter that ended near the end of April and our third quarter that ended near the end of July were generally higher than sales in our other two quarters. While the seasonality of our business will remain unchanged on a calendar year basis, we expect the shift in our fiscal year end to impact the quarterly breakdown of our results going forward.

The number of sales days for each of our quarters in fiscal 2009 were: 58 days in the first quarter, 65 days in the second quarter, 63 days in the third quarter and, because of our transition to a September 30th fiscal year end, 42 days in the fourth quarter. The number of sales days for each of our quarters in fiscal 2008 were: 62 days in the first quarter, 65 days in the second quarter, 63 days in the third quarter and 64 days in the fourth quarter.

Employees

As of September 30, 2009, we employed approximately 9,050 people worldwide, which is a decrease of approximately 1,550 employees since October 31, 2008. The decrease primarily relates to reductions in force completed throughout fiscal 2009.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

Our executive officers are:

Name	Office	Officer Since	Age
Robert E. Switz	Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer	1994	63
James G. Mathews	Vice President, Chief Financial Officer	2005	58
Patrick D. O'Brien	Vice President, President, Global Connectivity Solutions Business Unit	2002	46
Kimberly S. Hartwell	Vice President, Global Go-To-Market	2008	47
Richard B. Parran, Jr	Vice President, President, Network Solutions Business Unit	2006	53
Christopher Jurasek	Vice President, President, Professional Services Business Unit, Chief Information Officer	2009	43
Steven G. Nemitz	Vice President and Controller	2007	35
Laura N. Owen	Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer	1999	53
Jeffrey D. Pflaum	Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	1999	50

Table of Contents

Mr. Switz joined ADC in January 1994 as ADC's Chief Financial Officer. He served in this capacity until he was appointed as our Chief Executive Officer in August 2003. He was appointed Chairman of our Board of Directors in July 2008. From 1988 to 1994, Mr. Switz was employed by Burr-Brown Corporation, a manufacturer of precision micro-electronics. His last position at Burr-Brown was as Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Director, Ventures and Systems Business.

Mr. Mathews joined ADC in 2005 as our Vice President and Controller. He served in this capacity until he was appointed as our Chief Financial Officer in April 2007. From 2000 to 2005 Mr. Mathews served as Vice President-Finance and Chief Accounting Officer for Northwest Airlines, which filed for Bankruptcy Reorganization under Chapter 11 in U.S. Bankruptcy Court in September 2005. Prior to joining Northwest Airlines, Mr. Mathews was Chief Financial and Administrative Officer at CARE-USA, the world's largest private relief and development agency. Mr. Mathews also held a variety of positions at Delta Air Lines, including service as Delta's Corporate Controller and Corporate Treasurer.

Mr. O'Brien joined ADC in 1993 as a product manager for the company's DSX products. During the following eight years, he held a variety of positions of increasing responsibility in the product management area, including Vice President and General Manager of copper and fiber connectivity products. Mr. O'Brien served as President of our Copper and Fiber Connectivity Business Unit from October 2002 to May 2004. From May 2004 through August 2004, Mr. O'Brien served as our President and Regional Director of the Americas Region. He was named President of ADC's Global Connectivity Solutions Business Unit in September 2004. Prior to joining ADC, Mr. O'Brien was employed by Centel Telephone for six years in a network planning capacity.

Ms. Hartwell joined ADC in July 2004 as Vice President of Sales, National Accounts and became Vice President, Go-To-Market Americas in 2007. She became Vice President, Global Go-To-Market in July 2008. In this role, she leads our sales, marketing, customer service and technical support functions worldwide. Prior to joining ADC, Ms. Hartwell was Vice President of Marquee Accounts at Emerson Electric Corporation, a manufacturer of electrical, electronic and other products for consumer, commercial, communications and industrial markets from June 2003 to June 2004.

Mr. Parran joined ADC in November 1995 and served in our business development group. From November 2001 to November 2005 he held the position of Vice President, Business Development. In November 2005, Mr. Parran became the interim leader of our Professional Services Business Unit and in March 2006 he was appointed Vice President, President, Professional Services Business Unit. In January 2009, he was named President of our Network Solutions Business Unit. Prior to joining ADC, Mr. Parran served as a general manager of the business services telecommunications business for Paragon Cable and spent 10 years with Centel in positions of increasing responsibility in corporate development and cable and cellular operations roles.

Mr. Jurasek joined ADC in May 2007 as our Chief Information Officer. In this position, he oversees ADC's information systems worldwide. In January 2009, he was also named President of ADC Professional Services. In this role, he leads the company's services business that helps network operators plan, deploy and maintain their networks. Prior to joining ADC, Mr. Jurasek served as Vice President and Chief Information Officer at Rexnord Corporation, a global industrial and aerospace equipment manufacturer, from September 2002 to May 2007. Prior to that, he held a variety of IT management positions at Solo Cup Company, Komatsu Dresser Company, and Dana Corporation.

Mr. Nemitz joined ADC in January 2000 as a financial analyst. In September 2002, Mr. Nemitz left ADC to work for Zomax Incorporated, a provider of media and supply chain solutions, where he held the position of Corporate Accounting Manager. In September 2003, Mr. Nemitz returned to ADC as a Corporate Finance Manager. He became the Finance Manager of our Global Connectivity Solutions business unit in October 2004, Americas Region Controller in November 2005 and Assistant Corporate Controller in August 2006. In May 2007, he began service as our Corporate Controller.

Ms. Owen joined ADC as Vice President, Human Resources in December 1997. In October 2007 she was named Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer. As a part of this role, she continues to oversee our human resources function. Prior to joining ADC, Ms. Owen was employed by Texas Instruments and Raytheon (which purchased the Defense Systems and Electronics Group of Texas Instruments in 1997), manufacturers of high-technology systems and components. From 1995 to 1997, she served as Vice President of Human Resources for the Defense Systems and

Electronics Group of Texas Instruments.

Mr. Pflaum joined ADC in April 1996 as Associate General Counsel and became Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of ADC in March 1999. Prior to joining ADC, Mr. Pflaum was an attorney with the Minneapolis-based law firm of Popham Haik Schnobrich & Kaufman.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our business faces many risks, some of which we describe below. Additional risks of which we currently are unaware or believe to be immaterial may also result in events that could negatively impact our business operations. If any of the events or circumstances described in the following risk factors actually occurs, our business, financial condition or results of operations may suffer, and the trading price of our common stock could decline.

Risks Related to Our Business

Our industry is highly competitive, spending for communication infrastructure products has not grown in recent years and declined last year, and our product and services sales are subject to significant downward pricing and volume pressure.

Competition in the broadband network infrastructure equipment and services industry is intense. Overall spending for communications infrastructure products declined significantly in fiscal 2009 due to the global recession, had not increased significantly in recent years and is not expected to increase significantly in the next several years.

We have experienced, and anticipate continuing to experience, greater pricing pressures from our customers as well as our competitors, many of whom are headquartered or have operations in low cost regions. In part, this pressure exists because our industry currently is characterized by many vendors pursuing relatively few large customers. As a result, our customers have the ability to exert significant pressure on us with respect to product pricing and other contractual terms. In recent years, a number of our large customers have engaged in business combination transactions. Accordingly, we have fewer large-scale customers, and these customers have even greater scale and buying power.

We believe our ability to compete with other manufacturers of communications equipment products and providers of related services depends primarily on our engineering, manufacturing and marketing skills; the price, quality and reliability of our products; our delivery and service capabilities; and our control of operating expenses.

Our sales and operations may continue to be impacted adversely by current global economic conditions.

For more than a year, financial markets globally have experienced extreme disruption. This includes, among other things, extreme volatility in security prices, severely diminished liquidity and credit availability, ratings downgrades of certain investments and declining valuations of others. The severity and length of the present disruptions in the financial markets and the global economy are unknown. There can be no assurance that there will not be a further deterioration in financial markets and in business conditions generally. These economic developments have adversely affected our business in a number of ways and will likely continue to adversely impact our business during the foreseeable future. Examples of the impact the global recession has had, and will likely continue to have on our business include:

There has been, and may continue to be, soft demand for the goods and services our customers provide to their customers. In turn, this has caused, and may continue to cause, our customers to spend less on the products and services we sell.

Increased competition to complete sales among our competitors has created, and may continue to create, pressure to sell products and services at lower prices or on less advantageous terms than in the past.

Our gross margins may vary over time, and our level of gross margin may not be sustainable.

Gross margins among our product groups vary and are subject to fluctuation from quarter to quarter. Many of our newer product offerings, such as our FTTX products, typically have lower gross margins than our legacy products. As these new products increasingly account for a larger percentage of our sales, our gross margins are likely to be impacted negatively. The factors that may impact our gross margins adversely are numerous and include, among others:

Changes in customer, geographic, or product mix, including the mix of configurations within each product group;

Introduction of new products, including products with price-performance advantages;

Our ability to reduce product costs;

Increases in material or labor costs;

Table of Contents

Expediting costs incurred to meet customer delivery requirements;
Excess inventory and inventory carrying charges;
Changes in shipment volume;
Changes in component pricing;
Increased price competition;
Changes in distribution channels;
Increased warranty cost;
Liquidated damages costs relating to customer contractual terms; and
Our ability to manage the impact of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations.

Our operating results are difficult to predict and fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter.

Our operating results are difficult to predict and forecast for any particular period due to a variety of factors, including the current global economic downturn and related market uncertainty. The significant fluctuation of our operating results from quarter to quarter is caused by many factors, including, among others:

the volume and timing of orders from and shipments to our customers;
the overall level of capital expenditures by our customers;
work stoppages and other developments affecting the operations of our customers;
the timing of and our ability to obtain new customer contracts and the timing of revenue recognition;
the timing of new product and service announcements;
the availability of products and services;
market acceptance of new and enhanced versions of our products and services;
variations in the mix of products and services we sell;
fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates which can be significant;
the location and utilization of our production capacity and employees; and
the availability and cost of key components of our products.

Our expense levels are based in part on expectations of future revenues. If revenue levels in a particular quarter are lower than expected, our operating results will be affected adversely.

Our profitability could be impacted negatively if one or more of our key customers substantially reduces orders for our products and/or transitions their purchases towards lower gross margin products.

Our customer base is concentrated, with our top ten customers accounting for 45.2%, 42.5% and 45.5% of net sales for fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. In fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, AT&T accounted for approximately 20.4%, 16.0% and 15.4% of our sales, respectively. Verizon accounted for 17.7%, 16.5% and 17.8% of our net sales in fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Table of Contents

If a customer slows-down, delays, or completes a large project or if we lose a significant customer for any reason, including consolidation among our major customers, our sales and gross profit will be impacted negatively. Also, in the case of products for which we believe potential revenue growth is the greatest, our sales remain highly concentrated with the major communications service providers. For example, we rely on Verizon for a large percentage of our sales of FTTX products. The loss of sales due to a decrease in orders from a key customer could require us to exit a particular business or product line or record related impairment or restructuring charges.

Gross margins vary among our product groups and a shift in our customers' purchases toward a product mix (*i.e.*, the amount of each type of product we sell in a particular period) with lower margins could result in a reduction in our profitability.

Our market is subject to rapid technological change and, to compete effectively, we must continually introduce new products that achieve market acceptance.

The communications equipment industry is characterized by rapid technological changes, evolving industry standards, changing market conditions and frequent new product and service introductions and enhancements. The introduction of products using new technologies or the adoption of new industry standards can make our existing products, or products under development, obsolete or unmarketable. For example, FTTX product sales initiatives may impact sales of our non-fiber products negatively. In order to remain competitive and increase sales, we will need to adapt to these rapidly changing technologies, enhance our existing products and introduce new products to address the changing demands of our customers.

We may not predict technological trends or the success of new products in the communications equipment market accurately. New product development often requires long-term forecasting of market trends, development and implementation of new technologies and processes and substantial capital commitments. We do not know whether other new products and services we develop will gain market acceptance or result in profitable sales.

Many companies with whom we may compete have greater engineering and product development resources than we have. Although we expect to continue to invest substantial resources in product development activities, our efforts to achieve and maintain profitability will require us to be selective and focused with our research and development expenditures. If we fail to anticipate or respond in a cost-effective and timely manner to technological developments, changes in industry standards or customer requirements, or if we experience any significant delays in product development or introduction, our business, operating results and financial condition could be affected adversely.

Our cost reduction initiatives may not result in anticipated savings or more efficient operations and may be disruptive to our operations.

Over the past several years, we have implemented, and are continuing to implement, significant cost reduction measures. These measures have been taken in an effort to improve our levels of profitability. We have incurred significant restructuring and impairment charges in connection with these cost reduction efforts. If these measures are not fully completed or are not completed in a timely fashion, we may not realize their full potential benefit.

In addition, the efforts to cut costs may not generate the savings and improvements in our operating margins and profitability we anticipate and such efforts may be disruptive to our operations. For example, cost savings measures may yield unanticipated consequences, such as attrition beyond planned reductions in force or increased difficulties in our day-to-day operations, and may adversely affect employee morale. Although we believe it is necessary to reduce the cost of our operations to improve our performance, these initiatives may preclude us from making potentially significant expenditures that could improve our product offerings and competitiveness over the longer term.

We are becoming increasingly dependent on specific network expansion projects undertaken by our customers, which are subject to intense competition and result in sales volatility.

Our business increasingly is focused on the sale of products, including our FTTX products and wireless coverage and capacity solutions, to support customer initiatives to expand broadband and coverage capabilities in their networks. These products increasingly have been deployed by our customers outside their central offices in connection with specific capital projects to increase network capabilities. There can be no assurance that these customer initiatives will continue going forward or that we will continue to be awarded the work we have historically been awarded. In addition, there can be no assurance that as significant projects are completed, new projects will be available to replace them.

Table of Contents

Because of these project-specific purchases by our customers, the short-term demand for our products can fluctuate significantly and our ability to forecast sales accurately from quarter to quarter has diminished substantially. This fluctuation can be further affected by the long sales cycles necessary to obtain contracts to supply equipment for these projects. These long sales cycles may result in significant effort expended with no resulting sales or sales that are not made in the anticipated quarter or year.

In addition, competition among suppliers with respect to these capital projects can be intense, particularly because these projects often utilize new products that were not previously used in customers' networks. We cannot give any assurance that these capital projects will continue or that our products will be selected for these equipment deployments.

Further consolidation among our customers may result in the loss of some customers and may reduce revenue during the pendency of business combinations and related integration activities.

Consolidation among our customers may continue in order for them to increase market share and achieve greater economies of scale. Consolidation has impacted our business as our customers focus on completing business combinations and integrating their operations. In certain instances, customers integrating large-scale acquisitions have reduced their purchases of network equipment during the integration period. For example, following the merger of SBC Communications with AT&T and the merger of AT&T with BellSouth, the combined companies initially deferred spending on certain network equipment purchases, which resulted in lower product sales by ADC to these companies for a period of time.

The impact of significant mergers among our customers on our business is likely to be unclear until sometime after such transactions are completed. After a consolidation occurs, a customer may choose to reduce the number of vendors from which it purchases equipment and may choose one of our competitors as its preferred vendor. There can be no assurance that we will continue to supply equipment to the surviving communications service provider after a business combination is completed.

Our Professional Services business is exposed to risks associated with a highly concentrated customer base.

Our Professional Services business is heavily dependent on sales to AT&T. If, over the long-term, AT&T reduces the demand for our services, we may not be successful in finding new customers to replace the lost sales for a period of time. Therefore, sales by our Professional Services business could decline substantially and have an adverse effect on our business and operating results.

Possible consolidation among our competitors could result in a loss of sales and profitability and negatively impact our competitive position.

In recent years, a number of our competitors have engaged in business combination transactions. We may see continued consolidation among communication equipment vendors and some of the transactions may be significant. These business combinations may result in our competitors becoming financially stronger and obtaining broader product portfolios than us. As a result, consolidation could increase the resources of our competitors and provide them with competitive advantages. In turn this could adversely impact our product sales and our profitability.

We may not successfully close strategic acquisitions and, if these acquisitions are completed, we may have difficulty integrating the acquired businesses with our existing operations.

In the future, we may acquire companies and/or product lines that we believe are aligned with our strategic focus. The significant effort and management attention invested in a strategic acquisition may not result in a completed transaction.

The impact of future acquisitions on our business, operating results and financial condition are not known at this time. In the case of businesses we may acquire in the future, we may have difficulty assimilating these businesses and their products, services, technologies and personnel into our operations. These difficulties could disrupt our ongoing business, distract our management and workforce, increase our expenses and adversely affect our operating results and financial condition. We may also acquire unanticipated liabilities. Also, we may not be able to retain key management and other critical employees after an acquisition. In addition to these risks, we may not realize all of the anticipated benefits of these acquisitions.

Table of Contents

If we seek to secure other financing we may not be able to obtain it on acceptable terms and, given the current market conditions, obtaining financing on any terms may not be possible.

We believe our current cash and cash equivalents as well as future cash generated from operations provide adequate resources to fund ongoing operating requirements. If our estimates are incorrect and we are unable to generate sufficient cash flows from operations, we may need to raise new financing. In addition, if the cost of our strategic acquisition opportunities exceed our existing resources, we may be required to seek additional capital. If we determine it is necessary to seek other additional funding for any reason, we may not be able to obtain such funding or, if such funding is available, to obtain it on acceptable terms. This possibility is heightened by the recession and its effects on the credit market.

If we are unable to obtain capital on commercially reasonable terms it could:

- reduce funds available to us for purposes such as working capital, capital expenditures, research and development, strategic acquisitions and other general corporate purposes;
- restrict our ability to introduce new products or exploit new business opportunities;

- increase our vulnerability to economic downturns and competitive pressures in the markets in which we operate; and

- place us at a competitive disadvantage.

The auction-rate securities we hold may be further impaired in the future and we are uncertain whether we will recover any of our losses.

Through the end of fiscal 2009, we have recorded other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$148.4 million, \$18.4 million of which were recorded in fiscal 2009, on the \$169.8 million par value of auction-rate securities we continue to hold. The estimated fair value of these securities could continue to decrease substantially unless a market develops for them, although we do not anticipate that happening in the foreseeable future. While we have commenced arbitration against Merrill Lynch and its agent/broker who worked on our account related to their sale of auction-rate securities to us with a par value of approximately \$138.0 million, it is uncertain whether we will recover any of our losses at this time. Additionally, we have made a claim in the Lehman Brothers bankruptcy proceeding with respect to the other auction-rate securities we hold. We are uncertain whether we will recover any of our losses associated with the securities sold to us by Merrill Lynch or Lehman Brothers at this time.

We may complete transactions, undertake restructuring initiatives or face other circumstances in the future that will result in restructuring or impairment charges.

From time to time we have undertaken actions that have resulted in restructuring charges. We may take such actions in the future either in response to slowdowns or shifts in market demand for our products and services or in connection with other initiatives to improve our operating efficiency.

In addition, if the fair value of any of our long-lived assets decreases as a result of an economic slowdown, a downturn in the markets where we sell products and services or a downturn in our financial performance and/or future outlook, we may be required to take an impairment charge on such assets.

Restructuring and impairment charges could have a negative impact on our results of operations and financial position.

The regulatory environment in which we and our customers operate is changing and those changes may impact our business.

Although our business is not subject to substantial direct governmental regulation, the communications services provider industry in which our customers operate is subject to significant and changing federal and state regulation in the United States and in other countries. New regulatory changes could alter demand for our products and could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

In a 2003 ruling, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) terminated its line-sharing requirements, with the result that major telephone companies are no longer legally required to lease space to resellers of digital subscriber lines. The FCC ruling also allowed telephone companies to maintain sole ownership of newly-built networks that often use our FTTX products. While we believe

Table of Contents

that the ruling will generally have a positive effect on our business, there can be no assurance that the ruling will result in a long-term material increase in the sales of our products.

In October 2009, the FCC voted to begin developing regulations related to Net Neutrality (i.e., open Internet). While it is unclear whether Congress will, in fact, enact any legislation forbidding Internet service providers from restricting access to lawful sites, applications, and services, legislation on Net Neutrality may adversely impact our business. Many of our largest customers would be subject to the legislation, which may change how they operate their businesses.

The regulatory environment for communication services providers is also changing in other countries. In many countries, regulators are considering whether service providers should be required to provide access to their networks by competitors. For example, this issue is currently being debated in Germany and Australia. As a result, the FTTX initiatives in these countries have been delayed, which has correspondingly delayed any potential sales by us related to these initiatives.

Additional regulatory changes affecting the communications industry have occurred and are anticipated to occur in the future. For example, a European Union (EU) directive relating to the restriction of hazardous substances (RoHS) in electrical and electronic equipment and a directive relating to waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) have been and are being implemented in EU member states. Among other things, the RoHS directive restricts the use of certain hazardous substances in the manufacture of electrical and electronic equipment and the WEEE directive requires producers of electrical goods to be responsible for the collection, recycling, treatment and disposal of these goods.

In addition, a regulation regarding the registration, authorization and restriction of chemical substances in industrial products (REACH) became effective in the EU in 2007. Over time this regulation, among other items, may require us to substitute certain chemicals contained in our products with substances the EU considers less dangerous.

Similar laws to RoHS and WEEE were passed in China in February 2006, as well as in South Korea in April 2007. The Chinese law became effective in March 2007. We understand governments in other countries are considering implementing similar laws or regulations. Our inability or failure to comply with the REACH, RoHS and WEEE directives, or similar laws and regulations that have been and may be implemented in other countries, could result in reduced sales of our products, substantial product inventory write-offs, reputational damage, monetary penalties and other sanctions. Further, the evolution and frequent changes to the REACH, RoHS and WEEE directives make strict compliance particularly challenging and the ongoing costs associated with complying with these directives, or similar laws and regulations, may adversely affect our business and results of operation.

Conditions in global markets could adversely affect our operations.

Our sales outside the United States accounted for 40.7%, 40.8% and 37.0% of our net sales in fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. We expect sales outside the United States to remain a significant percentage of net sales in the future. We conduct business in many countries around the world including the following: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Brazil, Chile, China, France, Hong Kong, Hungary, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, Malaysia, Mexico, New Zealand, Philippines, Russia, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, South Africa, South Korea, Spain, Thailand, the United Arab Emirates, the United Kingdom, Venezuela and Vietnam.

Due to our sales and other operations outside the United States, we are subject to the risks of conducting business globally. These risks include, among others:

- local economic and market conditions;
- political and economic instability;
- unexpected changes in or impositions of legislative or regulatory requirements;
- compliance with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and various laws in countries in which we are doing business;
- fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates which can be significant;
- requirements to consult with or obtain the approval of works councils or other labor organizations to complete business initiatives;
- tariffs and other barriers and restrictions;

Table of Contents

risk of foreign government nationalizing our manufacturing operations;
 foreign governments' efforts to control their local currency and economies in general, resulting in difficulties in exchanging currency or transferring funds to and from such countries;
 longer payment cycles;
 difficulties enforcing intellectual property and contract rights;
 greater difficulty in accounts receivable collection;
 potentially adverse taxes and export and import requirements; and
 the burdens of complying with a variety of non-U.S. laws and telecommunications standards.

Our business is also subject to general geopolitical and environmental risks, such as terrorism, political and economic instability, changes in the costs of key resources such as crude oil, changes in diplomatic or trade relationships, natural disasters, pandemic illnesses and other possible disruptive events.

Economic conditions in many of the markets outside the United States in which we do business represent significant risks to us. Instability in our non-U.S. markets, such as the Middle East, Asia and Latin America, could have a negative impact on our sales and business operations in these markets, and we cannot predict whether these unstable conditions will adversely affect our business and results of operations. The wars in Afghanistan and Iraq and other turmoil in the Middle East and the global initiatives against terror also may have negative effects on our business operations. In addition to the effect of global economic instability on sales to customers outside the United States, sales to United States customers could also be negatively impacted by these conditions.

We are subject to special risks relating to doing business in China.

Our operations in China are subject to significant political, economic and legal uncertainties. Changes in laws and regulations or their interpretation, or the imposition of confiscatory taxation, restrictions on currency conversion, imports and sources of supply, devaluations of currency or the nationalization or other expropriation of private enterprises could adversely affect our operations in China. Under its current leadership, the Chinese government has been pursuing economic reform policies that encourage private economic activity and greater economic decentralization. However, there can be no assurance that the government will continue to pursue these policies, especially in the event of a change in leadership, social, political or economic disruption or other circumstances affecting China's social, political and economic environment.

Although not permitted under Chinese law, corruption, extortion, bribery, payoffs and other fraudulent practices occur from time to time in China. We must comply with U.S. laws prohibiting corrupt business practices outside the United States. Foreign companies, including some of our competitors, are not subject to these laws. If our competitors in China engage in these practices, we may be at a competitive disadvantage. We maintain a business conduct program to prevent, deter and detect violations of law in the conduct of business throughout the world. We conduct periodic reviews of our business practices in China and train our personnel in China on appropriate ethical and legal business standards. However, a risk remains that our employees will engage in activities that violate laws or our corporate policies. This is particularly true in instances in which new employees we hire or the employees of a company we may acquire may not previously have been accustomed to operating under similar standards. In the event an employee violates applicable laws pertaining to sales practices, accounting standards, facility operations or other business or operational requirements, we may face substantial penalties, and our business in China could be affected adversely.

Our intellectual property rights may not be adequate to protect our business.

Our future success depends in part upon our proprietary technology. Although we attempt to protect our proprietary technology through patents, trademarks, copyrights and trade secrets, these protections are limited. Accordingly, we cannot predict whether these protections will be adequate, or whether our competitors will develop similar technology independently, without violating our proprietary rights. Rights that may be granted under any patent application in the future may not provide competitive advantages to us. Intellectual property protection in foreign jurisdictions may be limited or unavailable.

Many of our competitors have substantially larger portfolios of patents and other intellectual property rights than we do. As competition in the communications network equipment industry has intensified and the functionality of products has continued to

Table of Contents

overlap, we believe that network equipment manufacturers increasingly are becoming subject to infringement claims. We have received, and expect to continue to receive, notices from third parties (including some of our competitors) claiming that we are infringing their patents or other proprietary rights. We also have asserted patent claims against certain third parties.

We cannot predict whether we will prevail in any patent litigation brought against us by third-parties, or that we will be able to license any valid and infringed patents on commercially reasonable terms. Unfavorable resolution of such litigation may adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition. In addition, any of these claims, whether with or without merit, could result in costly litigation, divert our management's time and attention, delay our product shipments or require us to enter into expensive royalty or licensing agreements.

A third party may not be willing to enter into a royalty or licensing agreement on acceptable terms, if at all. If a claim of product infringement against us is successful and we fail to obtain a license, or develop or license non-infringing technology, our business, operating results and financial condition could be adversely affected.

We are dependent upon our senior management and other critical employees.

Our success is dependent on the efforts and abilities of our senior management personnel and other critical employees, including those in customer service and product development functions. Our ability to attract, retain and motivate these employees is critical to our success. In addition, because we may acquire one or more businesses in the future, our success will depend, in part, upon our ability to retain and integrate our own personnel with personnel from acquired entities who are necessary to the continued success or the successful integration of the acquired businesses.

Our continuing initiatives to streamline operations as well as the challenging business environment in which we operate may cause uncertainty in our employee base about whether they will have future employment with us. This uncertainty may have an adverse effect on our ability to retain and attract key personnel and may adversely impact our internal control structure.

Compliance with internal control requirements is expensive and poses certain risks.

We expect to incur significant continuing costs, including accounting fees and staffing costs, in order to maintain compliance with the internal control requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Expansion of our business, particularly in international geographies, will necessitate ongoing changes to our internal control systems, processes and information systems. In addition, if we complete acquisitions in the future, our ability to integrate operations of the acquired company could impact our compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. We cannot be certain that, as our business changes, our current design for internal control over financial reporting will be sufficient to enable management or our independent registered public accounting firm to determine that our internal controls are effective for any period, or on an ongoing basis.

In the future, if we fail to maintain effective controls, or if our independent registered public accounting firm cannot attest to the effectiveness of our internal controls, we could be subject to regulatory scrutiny and/or a loss of public confidence in our internal controls. In addition, any failure to implement required new or improved controls, or difficulties encountered in their implementation, could adversely affect our operating results or cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations.

Product defects or the failure of our products to meet specifications could cause us to lose customers and revenue or to incur unexpected expenses.

If our products do not meet our customers' performance requirements, our customer relationships may suffer. Also, our products may contain defects or fail to meet product specifications. Any failure or poor performance of our products could result in:

- delayed market acceptance of our products;
- delayed product shipments;
- unexpected expenses and diversion of resources to replace defective products or identify and correct the source of errors;
- damage to our reputation and our customer relationships;
- delayed recognition of sales or reduced sales; and

Table of Contents

product liability claims or other claims for damages that may be caused by any product defects or performance failures.

Our products are often critical to the performance of communications systems. Many of our supply agreements contain limited warranty provisions. If these contractual limitations are unenforceable in a particular jurisdiction or if we are exposed to product liability claims that are not covered by insurance, a claim could harm our business.

Managing our inventory is complex and may include write-downs of excess or obsolete inventory.

Managing our inventory of components and finished products is complicated by a number of factors, including the need to maintain a significant inventory of components that are not easy to obtain, and often must be purchased in bulk to ensure favorable pricing. This is further complicated by parts that require long lead times, and the fact that we operate and sell, manufacture and warehouse products in many locations around the world. These issues may cause us to purchase and maintain significant amounts of inventory. If this inventory is not used as expected based on anticipated production requirements, it may become excess or obsolete. The existence of excess or obsolete inventory can result in sales price reductions and/or inventory write-downs, which could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

We may encounter difficulties obtaining raw materials and supplies needed to make our products, and the prices of these materials and supplies are subject to fluctuation.

Our ability to manufacture our products is dependent upon the availability of certain raw materials and supplies. In some instances these materials or supplies may be available from only one or a limited number of sources. The availability of these raw materials and supplies is subject to market forces beyond our control. From time to time, there may not be sufficient quantities of raw materials and supplies in the marketplace to meet customer demand for our products. The costs to obtain these raw materials and supplies are subject to price fluctuations, which may be substantial, because of global market demands. During fiscal 2009, we realized significant cost reductions in raw materials due, in part, to the softening of commodity markets. Looking to fiscal 2010, the current trends and the continued global macro-economic challenges, along with shifts in both supply and demand for commodities and other raw materials, indicate that the cost reductions seen in fiscal 2009 will not continue and that increases are likely to occur. Many companies utilize the same raw materials and supplies in the production of their products as we use in our products. Companies with more resources than us may have a competitive advantage in obtaining raw materials and supplies due to greater purchasing power. Some raw materials or supplies may be subject to regulatory actions, which may adversely affect available supplies. Furthermore, due to general economic conditions in the United States and globally, our suppliers may experience financial difficulties, which could result in increased delays, additional costs, or loss of a supplier.

Reduced availability and higher prices of raw materials and supplies as well as potential delays in obtaining these items may affect our business, operating results and financial condition adversely. We cannot guarantee that sufficient quantities or quality of raw materials and supplies will be as readily available in the future, that they will be available at acceptable prices, or how the prices at which we sell our products will be impacted by the prices at which we, or any contract manufacturers we utilize, obtain raw materials or supplies. Our ability to pass increases in the prices of raw materials and supplies along to our customers is uncertain. Delays in implementing price increases or a failure to achieve market acceptance of future price increases may adversely affect our results of operations. Further, in an environment of falling commodities prices, we may be unable to sell higher-cost inventory before implementing price decreases, which may adversely affect our results of operations.

If our manufacturing operations suffer production or shipping delays or if we do not have sufficient manufacturing capabilities, we may experience difficulty in meeting customer demands.

We internally produce or rely on contract manufacturers to produce a wide range of finished products as well as components used in our finished products at various locations around the world. We also periodically realign our manufacturing capacities among various manufacturing facilities in an effort to improve efficiencies and our competitive position. Disruption of our ability to produce or distribute from any of these facilities due to mechanical failures, fires, electrical outages, shipping interruptions, labor issues, natural disasters or other reasons could adversely impact our ability to produce our products in a cost-effective and timely manner. In addition, there are risks associated with actions we may take to realign manufacturing capacities among facilities such as: potential disruptions in

production capacity necessary to meet customer demand; decreases in production quality; disruptions in the availability of raw materials and supplies; delays in the movement of necessary tools and equipment among facilities; and adequate personnel to meet production demands caused by planned production shifts. In the event of any of these disruptions, we could lose sales, incur increased operating costs and suffer customer relations problems, which may adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Table of Contents

In addition, it is possible from time to time that we may not have sufficient production capacity to meet customer demand whether through our internal facilities or through contract manufacturers we utilize. In such an event we may lose sales opportunities and suffer customer relations problems, which may adversely affect our business and results of operations.

If contract manufacturers that we rely on to produce a significant portion of our products or key components of products encounter production quality, financial or other difficulties, we may experience difficulty in meeting customer demands.

We rely on unaffiliated contract manufacturers, both domestically and internationally, to produce certain products or key components of products. If we are unable to arrange for sufficient production capacity among our contract manufacturers or if our contract manufacturers encounter production, quality, financial or other difficulties, we may encounter difficulty in meeting customer demands. Any such difficulties could have an adverse effect on our business and financial results.

Our ability to operate our business and report financial results is dependent on maintaining effective information management systems.

We rely on our information management systems to support critical business operations such as processing sales orders and invoicing, inventory control, purchasing and supply chain management, payroll and human resources, and financial reporting. We periodically implement upgrades to such systems or migrate one or more of our affiliates, facilities or operations from one system to another. In addition, when we acquire other companies we often take actions to migrate their information management systems to the systems we use. If we are unable to adequately maintain these systems to support our developing business requirements or effectively manage any upgrade or migration, we could encounter difficulties that may adversely affect our business, internal controls over financial reporting, financial results, or our ability to report such results timely and accurately.

We are subject to risks associated with changes in commodity prices, interest rates, security prices, and foreign currency exchange rates.

We face market risks from changes in certain commodity prices, security prices, foreign exchange rates and interest rates. Market fluctuations could affect our results of operations and financial condition adversely. We may reduce these risks through the use of derivative financial instruments. As of September 30, 2009, we had derivative transactions in place to minimize the financial impact from fluctuations in interest rates and foreign exchange rates.

Interest rate exposure exists on our cash investments as interest income is negatively impacted when short-term interest rates decline. Additionally, we have exposure to increases in interest rates on our floating rate debt obligations. As of September 30, 2009, we minimized the exposure to rising interest rates on substantially all of our floating rate debt obligations through an interest rate swap which fixed the rate on our \$200.0 million convertible bond maturing in 2013.

We have exposure to foreign denominated revenues and operating expenses through our operations in various countries. Our largest exposure is to the Mexican peso. As of September 30, 2009, we mitigated a certain portion of exposure to Mexican peso operating expenses throughout fiscal 2010 through forward contracts and costless collars. The forward contracts enable us to purchase Mexican pesos at specified rates and the collars establish a cap and a floor on the price at which we purchase pesos.

We also are exposed to foreign currency exchange risk as a result of changes in intercompany balance sheet accounts and other balance sheet items. At September 30, 2009, these balance sheet exposures were mitigated through the use of foreign exchange forward contracts with maturities of approximately one month. The principal currency exposures being mitigated were the Australian dollar, Brazilian real, British pound, Chinese renminbi, Czech koruna, euro, Mexican peso, Singapore dollar and South African rand.

We may encounter litigation that has a material impact on our business.

We are a party to various lawsuits, proceedings and claims arising in the ordinary course of business or otherwise. Many of these disputes may be resolved without formal litigation. The amount of monetary liability resulting from the ultimate resolution of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

As of September 30, 2009, we had recorded approximately \$6.6 million in loss reserves for certain of these matters. Because of the uncertainty inherent in litigation, it is possible that unfavorable resolutions of these lawsuits,

proceedings and claims could exceed the amount currently reserved by us and may adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Table of Contents

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

Our stock price has been volatile historically and may continue to be volatile.

The trading price of our common stock has been and may continue to be subject to wide fluctuations. Our stock price may fluctuate in response to a number of events and factors, such as quarterly variations in operating results, announcements of technological innovations or new products by us or our competitors, changes in financial estimates and recommendations by securities analysts, purchases or sales of our stock by significant investors, the operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors may deem comparable to us, and new reports relating to our customers, trends in our markets or general economic conditions.

In addition, the stock market in general, and prices for companies in our industry in particular, have experienced extreme volatility that often has been unrelated to the operating performance of such companies. These broad market and industry fluctuations may adversely affect the price of our common stock, regardless of our operating performance.

Furthermore, components of the compensation of many of our key employees are dependent on the price of our common stock. Lack of positive performance in our stock price may affect our ability to retain key employees.

Anti-takeover provisions in our charter documents, our shareholder rights agreement and Minnesota law could prevent or delay a change in control of our company.

Provisions of our articles of incorporation and bylaws, our shareholder rights agreement (also known as a "poison pill") and Minnesota law may discourage, delay or prevent a merger or acquisition that a shareholder may consider favorable, and could limit the price that investors are willing to pay for our common stock. These provisions include the following:

- advance notice requirements for shareholder proposals;
- authorization for our Board of Directors to issue preferred stock without shareholder approval;
- authorization for our Board of Directors to issue preferred stock purchase rights upon a third party's acquisition of 15% or more of our outstanding shares of common stock; and
- limitations on business combinations with interested shareholders.

Some of these provisions may discourage a future acquisition of our company even though our shareholders would receive an attractive value for their shares, or a significant number of our shareholders believe such a proposed transaction would be in their best interest.

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Item 2. PROPERTIES

We own our approximately 500,000 sq. ft. corporate headquarters facility, which is located in Eden Prairie, Minnesota. During 2005, we entered into a lease agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. to lease approximately 112,000 square feet of this facility. The remaining lease term is approximately six years.

In addition to our headquarters facility, our principal facilities as of September 30, 2009, consisted of the following:

- Shakopee, Minnesota* approximately 370,000 sq. ft., owned; general purpose facility used for engineering, manufacturing and general support of our global connectivity products;
- Marietta, Georgia* approximately 86,000 sq. ft., leased; administration and operations facility used for our professional services business;

Table of Contents

San Jose, California approximately 80,000 sq. ft., leased; general purpose facility used for engineering, manufacturing and general support of our network solutions group;

Juarez and Delicias, Mexico approximately 327,000 sq. ft. and 139,000 sq. ft., respectively, owned; manufacturing facilities; each facility used for our global connectivity products;

Berlin, Germany approximately 377,000 sq. ft., leased; general purpose facility used for engineering, manufacturing and general support of our global connectivity products;

Sidney, Nebraska approximately 376,000 sq. ft., owned; manufacturing facility used for our global connectivity products;

Brno, Czech Republic approximately 123,000 sq. ft., leased; manufacturing facility used for our global connectivity products;

Berkeley Vale, Australia approximately 99,000 sq. ft., owned; general purpose facility for engineering, manufacturing and general support of our global connectivity products;

Bangalore, India approximately 44,000 sq. ft., owned; manufacturing facility used for our global connectivity products; and a second site in Bangalore, approximately 69,000 sq. ft., leased; general purpose facilities for engineering, sales, finance, information technology and other shared service support functions;

Santa Teresa, New Mexico approximately 334,000 sq. ft., leased; global warehouse and distribution center facility with approximately 60,000 sq. ft. dedicated to selected finished product assembly operations;

Shanghai, China approximately 59,000 sq. ft., leased; manufacturing site used for our global connectivity products; and a second facility in Shanghai, approximately 37,000 sq. ft., leased; facility for engineering, manufacturing and product management;

Hangzhou, China approximately 36,000 sq. ft., leased; manufacturing site used for our global connectivity products; and

Shenzhen, China approximately 149,000 sq. ft., leased; and a second facility in Shenzhen, approximately 112,000 sq. ft., leased; both manufacturing sites used for our global connectivity products; and an additional facility in Shenzhen, approximately 17,000 sq. ft., leased; used for engineering and operations of our network solutions group.

We also own or lease approximately 80 other facilities in the following locations: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Brazil, Chile, China, France, Hong Kong, Hungary, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, Malaysia, Mexico, New Zealand, Philippines, Russia, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, South Africa, South Korea, Spain, Thailand, the United Arab Emirates, the United Kingdom, the United States, Venezuela and Vietnam.

We believe the facilities used in our operations are suitable for their respective uses and are adequate to meet our current needs. On September 30, 2009, we maintained approximately 3.6 million square feet of active space (1.9 million square feet leased and 1.7 million square feet owned), and have irrevocable commitments for an additional 0.4 million square feet of inactive space, totaling approximately 4.0 million square feet of space at locations around the world. In comparison, at the end of fiscal 2008, we had 4.0 million square feet of active space, and irrevocable commitments for 0.4 million square feet of inactive space, totaling approximately 4.4 million square feet of space at locations around the world.

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are a party to various lawsuits, proceedings and claims arising in the ordinary course of business or otherwise. Many of these disputes may be resolved without formal litigation. The amount of monetary liability resulting from the ultimate resolution of these matters cannot be determined at this time. As of September 30, 2009, we had recorded approximately \$6.6 million in loss reserves for certain of these matters. Based on the reserves we have recorded, at this time we believe the ultimate resolution of these lawsuits, proceedings and claims will not have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations or financial condition. Because of the uncertainty inherent in litigation, however, it is possible that unfavorable resolutions of one or more of these lawsuits, proceedings and claims could exceed the amount currently reserved and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

On August 17, 2009, we met with representatives from the Office of the Inspector General of the United States where we disclosed

Table of Contents

a potential breach of the country of origin requirements for certain products sold under a supply agreement with the federal government's General Services Administration. We self-reported this potential breach as a precautionary matter and it is unclear at this time whether any penalties will be imposed. Following the meeting, we provided the Office of the Inspector General with additional documentation related to this matter. We expect a further response from the Office of the Inspector General following its review of this information. At this time we do not believe the ultimate resolution of this matter will have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

PART II**Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Our common stock, \$0.20 par value, is traded on The NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol ADCT. The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices of our common stock for each quarter during our fiscal years ended September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008, as reported on that market.

	2009		2008	
	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter	\$7.20	\$4.28	\$19.10	\$12.63
Second Quarter	7.52	2.47	14.84	11.59
Third Quarter	8.85	6.25	17.45	9.21
Fourth Quarter	9.78	6.90	10.94	4.13

As of November 17, 2009, there were 6,021 holders of record of our common stock. We do not pay cash dividends on our common stock and do not intend to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

Comparative Stock Performance

The table below compares the cumulative total shareholder return on our common stock for the last five fiscal years with the cumulative total return on the S&P Midcap 400 Index and the S&P 400 Communications Equipment Index. This graph assumes a \$100 investment in each of ADC, the S&P Midcap 400 Index and the S&P 400 Communications Equipment Index at the close of trading on October 31, 2004, and also assumes the reinvestment of all dividends.

Table of Contents

COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN*
Among ADC Telecommunications, Inc., The S&P Midcap 400 Index
And the S&P 400 Communications Equipment Index

* \$100 invested
on 10/31/04 in
stock &
index-including
reinvestment of
dividends.
Fiscal years
ending
October 31 for
2005 through
2008 and ending
September 30
for 2009.

Copyright© 2009 S&P, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies Inc. All rights reserved.

	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
ADC	\$ 100.00	115.95	95.08	124.25	42.13	55.42
S&P Midcap 400 Index	\$ 100.00	116.21	133.44	156.16	99.21	122.82
S&P 400 Communications Equipment Index	\$ 100.00	96.07	108.73	126.31	70.98	123.05

Table of Contents**Item 6. *SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA***

The following table presents selected financial data. The data included in the following table has been restated to exclude the assets, liabilities and results of operations of certain businesses that have met the criteria for treatment as discontinued operations. The following summary information should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes thereto set forth in Item 8 of this report. Due to the change in our fiscal year end, fiscal 2009 lasted only 11 months.

FIVE-YEAR FINANCIAL SUMMARY**Years ended**

	September 30, 2009	October 31, 2008	October 31, 2007	October 31, 2006	October 31, 2005
	(In millions, except per share data)				
Income Statement Data from Continuing Operations					
Net sales	\$ 996.7	\$ 1,456.4	\$ 1,276.7	\$ 1,231.9	\$ 1,072.4
Gross profit	329.8	489.3	442.6	406.3	417.0
Research and development expense	65.3	83.5	69.6	70.9	70.3
Selling and administration expense	246.2	328.9	287.2	269.6	254.2
Operating income (loss)	(430.8)	61.7	78.0	45.2	82.9
Income (loss) before income taxes	(468.8)	(38.2)	126.8	55.6	103.2
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(3.1)	6.2	3.3	(37.7)	7.2
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(465.7)	(44.4)	123.5	93.3	96.0
Earnings (loss) per diluted share from continuing operations	(4.78)	(0.38)	1.04	0.79	0.80
Balance Sheet Data					
Current assets	900.2	1,077.4	1,008.2	942.7	854.8
Current liabilities	236.0	278.0	474.1	263.9	288.8
Total assets	1,343.6	1,921.0	1,764.8	1,611.4	1,537.2
Long-term notes payable	651.0	650.7	200.6	400.0	400.0
Total long-term obligations	751.4	728.8	283.1	474.0	474.5
Shareowners' investment	356.2	914.2	1,007.6	873.5	773.9

Item 7. *MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS*

We are a leading global provider of broadband communications network infrastructure products and related services. Our products and services offer comprehensive solutions that enable the delivery of high-speed Internet, data, video and voice communications over wireline, wireless, cable, enterprise and broadcast networks for our extensive customer base. Our customers include public and private, wireline and wireless communications service providers, private enterprises that operate their own networks, cable television operators, broadcasters, government agencies, system integrators and communications equipment manufacturers and distributors.

We sell our products and services and report financial results for the following three operating segments:

Our **Connectivity** business segment designs, manufactures and sells products that generally provide the physical interconnections between network components or access points into networks. These products are used in fiber-optic, copper (twisted pair), coaxial, wireless and broadband communications networks. This operating segment's net sales in fiscal 2009 were \$787.1 million, representing 79.0% of our total net sales. Our acquisition of Century Man in fiscal 2008 was integrated into this segment.

Our **Network Solutions** business segment designs, manufactures, sells, installs and services products that help improve the coverage and capacity of wireless networks in buildings and remote areas where these networks may not work properly. This operating segment's net sales in fiscal 2009 were \$73.3 million, representing 7.3% of our total net sales. Our acquisition of LGC in fiscal 2008 was integrated into this segment.

Our **Professional Services** business segment plans and deploys communications networks through the provisioning of integration services for our customers. We also sell many of our products to customers who utilize our professional services. This operating segment's net sales in fiscal 2009 were \$136.3 million, representing 13.7% of our total net sales.

Table of Contents

We evaluate many financial, operational, and other metrics to evaluate both our financial condition and our financial performance. The results discussed below are for the 11 months ended September 30, 2009 compared to the 12 months ended October 31, 2008. Below we highlight the results of those financial metrics that we feel are most important in these evaluations:

Net Sales were approximately \$1.0 Billion: Our net sales were approximately \$1.0 billion in fiscal 2009, down 31.6% compared to net sales of approximately \$1.5 billion in fiscal 2008. Net sales decreased 31.7% in our Connectivity business segment, 40.4% in our Network Solutions business segment and 24.9% in our Professional Services business segment.

Gross Margins were 33.1% : Despite substantially lower net sales, gross margins were 33.1% for fiscal 2009 compared to 33.6% for fiscal 2008.

Operating Loss of \$430.8 Million: We incurred an operating loss of \$430.8 million in fiscal 2009, compared to operating income of \$61.7 million in fiscal 2008. Operating margin was (43.2)% of net sales in fiscal 2009, compared to 4.2% of net sales in fiscal 2008. The loss from continuing operations in fiscal 2009 was primarily due to impairment charges of \$413.9 million related to goodwill and other long-lived assets.

Loss from Continuing Operations of \$465.7 Million, or \$4.78 per Share: We incurred a loss from continuing operations of \$465.7 million, or \$4.78 per diluted common share, in fiscal 2009, compared to a loss from continuing operations of \$44.4 million, or \$0.38 per diluted common share, in fiscal 2008. The loss from continuing operations in fiscal 2009 was primarily due to impairment charges of \$413.9 million related to goodwill and other long-lived assets.

Operating Cash Flow of \$86.0 Million: We generated operating cash flow from continuing operations of \$86.0 million in fiscal 2009, compared to \$173.9 million in fiscal 2008.

Cash and Cash Equivalents of \$535.5 Million: As of September 30, 2009 our cash and cash equivalents totaled \$535.5 million, which represented a decrease of \$95.9 million compared to \$631.4 million as of October 31, 2008. The decrease in our cash and cash equivalents was primarily driven by the \$94.1 million repurchase of our common stock in the first quarter of fiscal 2009.

We accomplished a number of key initiatives in fiscal 2009 and also faced significant challenges relative to our business.

Accomplishments

We maintained our gross margins near fiscal 2008 levels despite significantly lower revenues, increased pricing pressure, and a changing mix of products and services during fiscal 2009. We accomplished this through our continued implementation of initiatives to reduce operating expenses while working to better align our business with changing macro-economic and market conditions.

During fiscal 2009, we introduced several new products that we believe will help us to address our strategic focus areas of fiber-based and wireless-based networks. These products include newly released OmniReach® FTTN Solutions, our OmniReach® Rapid Fiber System, FlexWave Prism, and our ClearGain® Ground-Mounted Amplifiers.

We saw significant revenue growth in the China market despite the global recession. During our 11-month fiscal 2009, our revenues in China increased 74.7% as compared to fiscal 2008. While this success is mainly attributable to certain Chinese government sponsored economic stimulus efforts, it highlights our ability to compete in the Chinese market.

We ended fiscal 2009 with \$535.5 million in cash and generated operating cash flow from continuing operations of \$86.0 million. Our cash position remained strong despite a very difficult business environment.

Challenges

The downturn in global macro-economic conditions began to impact our business in late fiscal 2008 and is ongoing. While the severity of the downturn, its length, and its impact on our customers, vendors, and competitors cannot be predicted, our management team continues to monitor the business and market environment very closely.

Table of Contents

The rapid technological changes within our industry will continue to challenge us going forward. Developing new technologies and bringing them to market in an expeditious manner will be critical to our future growth. We intend to continue an ongoing program of new product development that combines internal development efforts with acquisitions and strategic alliances within spaces closely adjacent to our core businesses.

Our ongoing initiatives to streamline our global operations and reduce our costs are designed to improve our financial results without compromising our operational performance. During the past year, we announced initiatives intended to better align our business with macro-economic and market conditions and enable us to meet the needs of our global customer base more efficiently and effectively. Among other items, these initiatives included reductions in force that impacted all regions of the world where we operate as well as each of our business units, our sales and marketing staff and our general administrative and support functions. They also included consolidating certain activities into fewer locations around the world, many of these in low cost locations, and in some locations transitioning certain activities to third party providers. The planning and implementation of these significant changes to our workforce and operations, which are planned to continue through fiscal 2010, require significant time and attention by many of our employees, including our management personnel.

In order to continue to improve our financial and operational performance and to address the challenges of our industry, we believe we must focus on the following key priorities:

We will continue our internal initiatives to increase our operational efficiency, improve our financial performance and achieve our goal of becoming a cost leader within the industry.

We will continue to seek business growth in product areas and geographies we consider to be of high strategic importance. These product areas include fiber for central offices, FTTX products and wireless coverage and capacity solutions. The geographies include developing markets like China and India where we expect spending by our customers to increase most significantly in the long-term.

We will continue to focus our sales and marketing activities on selling more of our current portfolio and new products to existing customers and introducing our products to new customers. In addition, we are looking for ways to better leverage our use of indirect sales channels.

We will continue to focus on offering our customers price competitive solutions with high functionality and quality as well as world-class customer support.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The results discussed below are for the 11 months ended September 30, 2009 compared to 12 months ended October 31, 2008 and October 31, 2007, as a result of our fiscal year end change. Fiscal 2009 was therefore an 11 month year and many differences in the reported results between fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008 are directly impacted by the one month difference. We believe that our variance explanations, which in many cases discuss the significant impact of the general downturn in the global economy, would not be significantly different than if we were comparing two 12 month periods for fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008. The following table shows the percentage change in net sales and expense items from continuing operations for the three fiscal years ended September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008 and 2007:

				Percentage Increase (Decrease) Between Periods	
	2009	2008	2007 (In millions)	2009 vs. 2008	2008 vs. 2007
Net sales	\$ 996.7	\$ 1,456.4	\$ 1,276.7	(31.6)%	14.1%
Cost of sales	666.9	967.1	834.1	(31.0)	15.9
Gross profit	329.8	489.3	442.6	(32.6)	10.6
Gross margin	33.1%	33.6%	34.7%		
Operating expenses:					
Research and development	65.3	83.5	69.6	(21.8)	20.0
Selling and administration	246.2	328.9	287.2	(25.1)	14.5
Impairment charges	414.9	4.1	2.3		78.3
Restructuring charges	34.2	11.1	5.5	208.1	101.8
Total operating expenses	760.6	427.6	364.6	77.9	17.3
Operating income (loss)	(430.8)	61.7	78.0	(798.2)	(20.9)
Operating margin	(43.2)%	4.2%	6.1%		
Other income (expense), net:					
Interest income (expense), net	(17.4)	2.8	17.0	(721.4)	(83.5)
Other, net	(20.6)	(102.7)	31.8	79.9	(423.0)
Income (loss) before income taxes	(468.8)	(38.2)	126.8		(130.1)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(3.1)	6.2	3.3	(150.0)	87.9
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (465.7)	\$ (44.4)	\$ 123.5	(948.9)%	(136.0)%

The table below sets forth our net sales from continuing operations for fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007 for each of our three reportable segments described in Item 1 of this report.

	Percentage Increase (Decrease) Between Periods
Net Sales	

Reportable Segment	2009	2008	2007 (In millions)	2009 vs. 2008	2008 vs. 2007
Connectivity	\$ 787.1	\$ 1,151.8	\$ 1,071.8	(31.7)%	7.5%
Network Solutions					
Product	56.6	98.8	40.8	(42.7)	142.2
Service	16.7	24.2		(31.0)	100.0
Total Network Solutions	73.3	123.0	40.8	(40.4)	201.5
Professional Services					
Product	35.7	49.1	57.6	(27.3)	(14.8)
Service	100.6	132.5	106.5	(24.1)	24.4
Total Professional Services	136.3	181.6	164.1	(24.9)	10.7
Total Net Sales	\$ 996.7	\$ 1,456.4	\$ 1,276.7	(31.6)%	14.1%

Net Sales***Fiscal 2009 vs. Fiscal 2008***

The results discussed are for the 11 months ended September 30, 2009 compared to 12 months ended October 31, 2008 and October 31, 2007. Our net sales decrease for fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2008 was driven by significant sales declines in all reporting segments and our shortened 2009 fiscal year. These decreases are due primarily to the general downturn of the global economy, which extended across the majority of the geographic markets we served during fiscal 2009. Geographically, we

Table of Contents

experienced particular weakness in the Europe, Middle East, Africa (EMEA) region and Latin America, partially offset by relative strength in China.

International sales comprised 40.7% and 40.8% of our net sales in fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008, respectively. As a result of significant international sales, our net sales have been negatively impacted in recent quarters from the relative strengthening of the U.S. dollar against a majority of other currencies. In recent months the dollar has begun to weaken against other currencies. Changes in foreign currency exchange rates reduced sales in fiscal 2009 by approximately \$34.0 million as compared to fiscal 2008.

Our Connectivity products net sales decrease in fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2008 was due to a decrease in customer spending driven by the current economic environment. Fiscal 2009 included Century Man sales of \$66.7 million compared to \$30.2 million in fiscal 2008. This increase was due to the inclusion of Century Man in our results for all of fiscal 2009 as well as increased demand largely due to the Chinese government economic stimulus package and the issuance of 3G wireless licenses in China.

Our Network Solutions net sales decrease in fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2008 was driven, in part, by our decision to discontinue certain outdoor wireless product lines in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008. The decrease in net sales was also the result of significant declines in sales outside of North America as a result of the global recession.

Our Professional Services net sales decrease in fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2008 was due to decreased spending from a key customer.

Fiscal 2008 vs. Fiscal 2007

Our net sales growth for fiscal 2008 as compared to fiscal 2007 was primarily driven by our acquisitions and the impact of foreign currency fluctuations versus the U.S. dollar. International net sales were 40.8% and 37.0% of our net sales in fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, respectively.

Our Connectivity products net sales growth in fiscal 2008 as compared to fiscal 2007 was primarily the result of higher sales of global fiber connectivity solutions as customers worldwide built and deployed fiber network solutions to increase network speed and capacity, as well as an increase in copper connectivity sales in emerging markets. Fiscal 2008 included sales of \$30.2 million as a result of the Century Man acquisition that closed during January 2008.

Our Network Solutions net sales growth in fiscal 2008 as compared to fiscal 2007 was primarily due to the acquisition of LGC, a provider of in-building wireless solution products. The favorable impact of LGC was partially offset by decreasing revenues in outdoor wireless product revenues due to a transition to next generation products and a decrease in demand from a key customer. Fiscal 2008 included sales of \$97.6 million as a result of the LGC acquisition, which closed during December 2007. Sales of outdoor, in-building and other wireless products are project based, and since we have a relatively concentrated customer base, our sales fluctuate based upon the number of projects we obtain and the timing of customer implementations of such projects.

Our Professional Services net sales growth in fiscal 2008 as compared to fiscal 2007 was due to increased demand in the U.S. from a key customer.

Gross Profit

Fiscal 2009 vs. Fiscal 2008

Gross profit percentages were 33.1% and 33.6% during fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008, respectively. The decrease in gross profit was driven primarily by a decrease in sales volumes due to the global recession, partially offset by our cost reduction initiatives.

If sales volumes, product mix, pricing or other significant factors that impact our gross profits continue to be affected by the economic downturn, our gross profits could be adversely affected. As a result of these and other factors, our future gross profit rate is difficult to predict and could fluctuate significantly.

To improve our gross profit percentages and our income from continuing operations, we have taken and will continue to take steps to gain operational efficiencies and lower our cost structure, mainly through our cost reduction initiatives. We believe these steps are necessary if we are to sustain and improve our operating performance given our highly competitive industry. In taking these steps, we

Table of Contents

may incur significant restructuring and impairment charges that can temporarily increase our expenses. Further, the timing and actual amount of future benefit we may realize from incurring such charges can be difficult to predict and accurately measure.

Fiscal 2008 vs. Fiscal 2007

Gross profit percentages were 33.6% and 34.7% during fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, respectively. Our 2008 gross profit results included a \$10.8 million charge associated with the discontinuance of certain outdoor wireless product families and an additional \$3.2 million charge due to a change in the estimate made in fiscal 2007 related to the exit of our automated copper cross-connect (ACX) product line. Our fiscal 2007 gross profit results included an \$8.9 million charge due to the exit activities associated with our ACX product line. Excluding these items, our gross profit decrease primarily was due to higher raw materials and transportation costs, pricing pressure and negative sales mix, partially offset by cost reductions associated with our cost reduction initiatives. Our future gross margin rate is difficult to predict accurately as the mix of products we sell can vary substantially.

Operating Expenses***Fiscal 2009 vs. Fiscal 2008***

The results discussed are for the 11 months ended September 30, 2009 compared to 12 months ended October 31, 2008 and October 31, 2007. Total operating expenses for fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008 represented 76.3% and 29.4% of net sales, respectively. Our fiscal 2009 operating results included a \$413.9 million impairment of goodwill and intangible assets. As discussed below, operating expenses include research and development, selling and administration expenses and restructuring and impairment charges.

Research and development: Research and development expenses for fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008 represented 6.6% and 5.7% of net sales, respectively. The increase as a percent of sales is due to lower sales volumes. Research and development expenses decreased to \$65.3 million in fiscal 2009 compared to \$83.5 million in fiscal 2008, which was a result of our cost reduction initiatives. The fiscal 2008 increase was due to the addition of research and development activities related to our acquisition of LGC. Given the rapidly changing technological and competitive environment in the communications equipment industry, continued commitment to product development efforts will be required for us to remain competitive. Accordingly, we intend to continue to allocate substantial resources, as a percent of our net sales, to product development. Most of our research will be directed towards projects that we believe directly advance our strategic aims in segments in the marketplace that we believe are most likely to grow.

Selling and administration: Selling and administration expenses for fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008 represented 24.7% and 22.6% of net sales, respectively. This increase as a percent of sales is due to lower sales volumes. Selling and administration expenses decreased to \$246.2 million in fiscal 2009 compared to \$328.9 million in fiscal 2008. This decrease was primarily due to our cost reduction initiatives, which included workforce reductions and significant decreases in discretionary spending, as well as a decrease in incentives and acquisition amortization.

Restructuring charges: Restructuring charges relate principally to employee severance and facility consolidation costs resulting from the closure of leased facilities and other workforce reductions attributable to our efforts to reduce costs. During fiscal 2009 we expanded our restructuring efforts globally and continued to execute on our efforts to streamline our operations primarily through reductions in headcount. During fiscal 2009 and 2008, we terminated the employment of approximately 750 and 550 employees, respectively, through reductions in force. At the end of fiscal 2009, we were still in the process of finalizing certain aspects of our restructuring efforts for fiscal 2010. We have accrued costs for efforts that are probable of occurring and for which the cost can be reasonably estimated. Accordingly, in fiscal 2009, we recorded \$33.1 million of severance charges of which \$12.8 million relates to certain components of our restructuring efforts which were considered probable and estimable and are expected to take place during fiscal 2010. As our fiscal 2010 restructuring efforts are finalized, we expect to record an additional severance charge of approximately \$8.1 million for these efforts, most likely in the first or second quarter of fiscal 2010. The costs of these reductions have been and will be funded through cash from operations. These reductions have impacted each of our reportable segments.

Facility consolidation and lease termination costs represent costs associated with our decision to consolidate and close duplicative or excess manufacturing and office facilities. During fiscal 2009 and 2008, we incurred charges of \$1.1 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, due to our decision to close unproductive and excess facilities and because

of the continued softening of real estate markets, which resulted in lower sublease income.

Impairments: Goodwill is tested for impairment annually, or more frequently if potential interim indicators exist that could result in impairment. We perform impairment reviews at a reporting unit level and use a discounted cash flow model based on management's

Table of Contents

judgment and assumptions to determine the estimated fair value of each reporting unit. Our three operating segments, Connectivity, Network Solutions and Professional Services are considered the reporting units. An impairment loss generally would be recognized when the carrying amount of the reporting unit's net assets exceeds the estimated fair value of the reporting unit.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, due to the global recession and related adverse business conditions that resulted in reduced estimates to our near-term cash flow and a sustained decline in our market capitalization, we performed a goodwill impairment analysis for our two reporting units that contained goodwill, Connectivity and Network Solutions. The analysis, which utilized forecasts and estimates based on assumptions that were consistent with the forecasts and estimates we were using to manage our business at that time, resulted in the recognition of impairment charges for both reporting units. Accordingly, we recorded impairment charges of \$366.2 million, which nearly eliminated the entire carrying value of goodwill. We finalized this analysis in our second quarter of fiscal 2009 and recognized an additional goodwill impairment charge of \$0.4 million eliminating all of our remaining goodwill.

We record impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations and finite lived intangible assets when events and circumstances indicate the assets might be impaired and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than their carrying amounts. Any impairment loss is measured by comparing the fair value of the asset to its carrying amount.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we performed an impairment analysis of intangible assets held in our Connectivity and Network Solutions reporting units. The analysis, which utilized forecasts and estimates based on assumptions that were consistent with the forecasts and estimates we were using to manage our business at that time, resulted in the recognition of impairment charges for Network Solutions. Accordingly, we recorded impairment charges of \$47.3 million to reduce the carrying value of these long-lived intangible assets. Further deterioration of the estimates used in our impairment analysis could result in additional impairments of intangible assets in a future period.

Fiscal 2008 vs. Fiscal 2007

Total operating expenses for fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007 represented 29.4% and 28.6% of net sales, respectively. As discussed below, operating expenses include research and development, selling and administration expenses and restructuring and impairment charges.

Research and development: Research and development expenses for fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007 represented 5.7% and 5.5% of net sales, respectively. The increase in research and development costs was due to the addition of research and development activities related to our acquisition of LGC.

Selling and administration: Selling and administration expenses for fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007 represented 22.6% and 22.5% of net sales, respectively. The increase of \$41.7 million was primarily due to the selling and administration expenses of our acquired companies, LGC and Century Man, including amortization expense of acquired intangible assets. LGC represented \$32.0 million of the increase and Century Man represented \$7.1 million of the increase.

Restructuring and impairment charges: Restructuring charges relate principally to employee severance and facility consolidation costs resulting from the closure of leased facilities and other workforce reductions attributable to our efforts to reduce costs. During fiscal 2008 and 2007, we terminated the employment of approximately 550 and 200 employees, respectively, through reductions in force. The restructuring costs associated with notifications and terminations occurring in early fiscal 2009 were known in October 2008 and thus taken in fiscal 2008. The costs of these reductions have been and will be funded through cash from operations. These reductions have impacted each of our reportable segments.

Facility consolidation and lease termination costs represent costs associated with our decision to consolidate and close duplicative or excess manufacturing and office facilities. During fiscal 2008 and 2007, we incurred charges of \$0.7 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, due to our decision to close unproductive and excess facilities as well as the continued softening of real estate markets, which resulted in lower sublease income.

In fiscal 2008, we recorded impairment charges of \$4.1 million related primarily to the write-off of certain intangible assets associated with the exit of some of our outdoor wireless product lines. In fiscal 2007, we recorded impairment charges of \$2.3 million related primarily to internally developed capitalized software costs, the exiting of the ACX product line and a commercial property in Germany formerly used by our services business.

Table of Contents**Other Income (Expense), Net**

Other income (expense), net for fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007 was (\$38.0) million, (\$99.9) million and \$48.8 million, respectively. The following provides details for the respective periods:

	2009	2008 (In millions)	2007
Interest income on investments	\$ 8.4	\$ 31.0	\$ 33.3
Interest expense on borrowings	(25.8)	(28.2)	(16.3)
Interest income (expense), net	(17.4)	2.8	17.0
Foreign exchange income (loss)	(1.0)	(1.8)	5.9
Gain on investments			57.5
Write-down of available-for-sale securities	(18.4)	(100.6)	(29.4)
Write-down of cost method investment	(3.0)		
Gain (loss) on sale of fixed assets	0.9	(0.5)	(0.7)
Other	0.9	0.2	(1.5)
Subtotal	(20.6)	(102.7)	31.8
Total other income (expense), net	\$ (38.0)	\$ (99.9)	\$ 48.8

During fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, we recorded impairment charges of \$18.4 million, \$100.6 million and \$29.4 million, respectively, to reduce the carrying value of certain auction-rate securities we hold. As of September 30, 2009, we held auction-rate securities with a fair value of \$24.3 million and an original par value of \$169.8 million. Given the current state of the credit markets, when we prepare our quarterly financial results we will continue to assess the fair value of our auction-rate securities for substantive changes in relevant market conditions, changes in financial condition or other changes in these investments. We may be required to record additional losses for impairment if we determine there are further declines in fair value.

On January 26, 2007, we entered into an agreement with certain other holders of securities of BigBand Networks, Inc. (BigBand) to sell our entire interest in BigBand for approximately \$58.9 million in gross proceeds. Our interest in BigBand had been carried at a nominal value. A portion of our interest was held in the form of a warrant to purchase BigBand shares with an aggregate exercise price of approximately \$1.8 million. On February 16, 2007, we exercised our warrant and then immediately completed the sale of our BigBand stock. This transaction resulted in a gain of approximately \$57.1 million. This gain did not have a tax provision impact due to a reduction of the valuation allowance attributable to U.S. deferred tax assets utilized to offset the gain.

The change in net interest income (loss) from fiscal 2007 through fiscal 2009 was predominately due to significantly lower interest income rates on cash investments.

Acquisitions**LGC**

On December 3, 2007, we completed the acquisition of LGC, a provider of in-building wireless solution products, headquartered in San Jose, California. These products increase the quality and capacity of wireless networks by permitting voice and data signals to penetrate building structures and by distributing these signals evenly throughout the building. LGC also offers products that permit voice and data signals to reach remote locations. The acquisition was made to enable us to participate in this high growth segment of the industry.

We acquired all of the outstanding capital stock and warrants of LGC for \$143.3 million in cash (net of cash acquired). We acquired \$58.9 million of intangible assets as part of this purchase. Goodwill of \$85.4 million was recorded in this transaction and assigned to our Network Solutions segment. This goodwill is not deductible for tax

purposes. We also assumed debt of \$17.3 million associated with this acquisition, the majority of which was paid off by the second quarter of fiscal 2008. The results of LGC, subsequent to December 3, 2007, are included in our consolidated statements of operations.

Century Man

On January 10, 2008, we completed the acquisition of Century Man, a leading provider of communication distribution frame solutions, headquartered in Shenzhen, China. The acquisition was made to accelerate our growth in the Chinese connectivity market, as well as provide us with additional products designed to meet the needs of customers in developing markets outside of China.

Table of Contents

We acquired Century Man for \$52.3 million in cash (net of cash acquired). The former shareholders of Century Man may be paid up to an additional \$15.0 million (the earn out) if, during the three years following closing, certain financial results are achieved by the acquired business. We paid the first \$5.0 million installment of this earn out in March 2009. In addition, a \$0.4 million payment was made to the former shareholders for the effect of changes in foreign exchange rates on the installment payment. These amounts were recorded as increases to the goodwill associated with these transactions.

The allocation of the purchase prices for LGC and Century Man to the assets and liabilities acquired was finalized in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 and did not result in any material adjustments. See Note 7 for a discussion of the goodwill and intangible asset impairments recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2009.

Discontinued Operations

APS Germany

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, our Board of Directors approved a plan to divest APS Germany. We classified this business as a discontinued operation in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008. This business was previously included in our Professional Services segment. On July 31, 2009, we sold all of the capital stock of our subsidiary that operated our APS Germany business to telent Investments Limited for a cash purchase price of \$3.3 million, subject to a customary working capital adjustment. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, we recorded an additional loss on the sale of \$0.6 million as a result of a working capital adjustment, resulting in a total loss on sale of \$5.2 million which included \$0.7 million related to the write-off of the currency translation adjustment. We anticipate payment of the working capital adjustment in the first quarter of fiscal 2010.

APS France

On January 12, 2007, we completed the sale of certain assets of APS France to a subsidiary of Groupe Circet, a French company, for a cash price of \$0.1 million. We recorded an additional loss of \$4.7 million in fiscal 2007 due to subsequent working capital adjustments and additional expenses related to the finalization of the sale, resulting in a total loss on sale of \$27.3 million.

Share-Based Compensation

Share-based compensation recognized for fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$10.6 million, \$17.2 million and \$10.5 million, respectively. The share-based compensation expense is calculated and recognized primarily on a straight-line basis over the vesting periods of the related share-based awards, except for performance-based awards. Share-based compensation expense related to performance-based awards is recognized only when it is probable that the awards will vest. Once this determination is made, the expense related to prior periods is recognized in the current period and the remaining expense is recognized ratably over the remaining vesting period. Thus, expense related to such awards can fluctuate significantly.

Income Taxes

Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this report describes the items which have impacted our effective income tax rate for fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007.

In fiscal 2009, we recorded a net income tax benefit totaling \$3.1 million. This tax benefit primarily relates to the reversal of deferred tax liabilities attributable to U.S. tax amortization of purchased goodwill from the acquisition of KRONE, partially offset by foreign income taxes. The reversal of these deferred tax liabilities results from the goodwill impairment charge discussed in Note 7 to the financial statements.

In fiscal 2008, we recorded a net income tax provision totaling \$6.2 million. This provision is primarily attributable to foreign income taxes and deferred tax liabilities attributable to U.S. tax amortization of purchased goodwill from our acquisition of KRONE. This provision also includes a \$3.4 million charge related to the establishment of additional valuation allowance on our U.S. deferred tax assets.

In fiscal 2007, we recorded a net income tax provision totaling \$3.3 million. This provision is primarily attributable to foreign income taxes and deferred tax liabilities attributable to U.S. tax amortization of purchased goodwill from our acquisition of KRONE. This provision was offset by a \$6.0 million tax benefit related to the partial release of the valuation allowance on our U.S. deferred tax assets.

Table of Contents**Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations**

During fiscal 2009 we had a loss from continuing operations of \$465.7 million compared to a \$44.4 million loss in fiscal 2008. The fiscal 2009 results were mainly attributable to a \$413.9 million impairment of goodwill and other long-lived assets. The fiscal 2009 results include other-than-temporary impairment charges on auction-rate securities of \$18.4 million compared to \$100.6 million in fiscal 2008. The fiscal 2009 decline in operating results was largely due to impairment charges and the general downturn of the global economy.

During fiscal 2008 we had a loss from continuing operations of \$44.4 million compared to income of \$123.5 million in fiscal 2007. The fiscal 2008 results were attributable to a \$100.6 million other-than-temporary impairment charge related to our auction-rate securities, slightly lower gross margins, and an increase in restructuring and impairment charges. In addition, we recorded a \$57.1 million gain from the sale of BigBand stock in fiscal 2007 with no comparable gain in fiscal 2008. In fiscal 2007, we recorded a \$29.4 million other-than-temporary impairment charge related to auction-rate securities.

Segment Disclosures

Specific financial information regarding each of our three reportable segments is provided in the following table:

		For the Years Ended October 31,	
	2009	2008	2007
		(In millions)	
Connectivity			
Operating income	\$ 50.9	\$ 117.2	\$ 105.9
Depreciation and amortization	57.3	64.6	60.8
Network Solutions			
Operating loss	\$(36.6)	\$ (41.1)	\$ (15.8)
Depreciation and amortization	5.7	14.2	3.4
Professional Services			
Operating income	\$ 4.0	\$ 0.8	\$ 5.7
Depreciation and amortization	3.4	3.5	3.8

Fiscal 2009 vs. Fiscal 2008

In the Connectivity segment, operating income decreased as compared to fiscal 2008 primarily due to the general downturn in the global economy. Network Solutions generated a smaller loss in fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2008 due to lower acquisition related amortization expense. The Professional Services segment's operating income increased primarily due to efficiencies generated through restructuring initiatives and process improvements.

Depreciation and amortization expense was relatively flat for our Connectivity and Professional Services segments, but decreased for our Network Solutions segment largely because fiscal 2008 included \$9.4 million of amortization expense related to acquired intangibles from LGC compared to \$2.2 million in fiscal 2009.

Fiscal 2008 vs. Fiscal 2007

In the Connectivity segment, operating income increased in fiscal 2008 compared to fiscal 2007 primarily due to increases in sales of our global fiber connectivity solutions and copper connectivity sales in emerging markets. Network Solutions' operating loss increased due to declining revenue in outdoor wireless and wireline products. In addition, fiscal 2008 included \$9.4 million of amortization expense related to our LGC acquisition with no comparable expense in fiscal 2007. The Professional Services segment's operating income decreased due to a changing mix of services provided.

Depreciation and amortization expense was relatively flat for our Connectivity and Professional Services segments and increased for our Network Solutions segment due to the \$9.4 million of amortization expense in fiscal 2008 related to acquired intangibles from LGC.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity

Cash and cash equivalents were \$535.5 million at September 30, 2009, a decrease of \$95.9 million compared to \$631.4 million as of October 31, 2008. This decrease was primarily driven by \$94.1 million of stock repurchases, \$51.4 million of long-term investment purchases and \$32.0 million of capital expenditures, partially offset by cash generated from operations.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2009, we purchased \$51.4 million, including accrued interest, of unsecured notes backed by a guarantee from the Federal Deposit Insurance Company (FDIC). This investment is classified on the balance sheet as long-term available-for-sale securities as its contractual maturity is December 1, 2010.

Current capital market conditions have significantly reduced our ability to liquidate our remaining auction-rate securities. As of September 30, 2009, we held auction-rate securities with a fair value of \$24.3 million and an original par value of \$169.8 million, which are classified as long-term. We may not be able to liquidate any of these auction-rate securities until either a future auction is successful or, in the event secondary market sales become available, we decide to sell the securities in a secondary market. A secondary market sale of any of these securities could take a significant amount of time to complete and could potentially result in a further loss.

We have commenced arbitration against Merrill Lynch and its agent/broker who worked on our account in connection with their sale of auction-rate securities to us. The par value of the auction-rate securities at issue in our claim is approximately \$138.0 million. We presently expect our arbitration hearing to take place during June 2010. Lehman Brothers sold us all other auction-rate-securities that we hold. We have made a claim in the Lehman Brothers bankruptcy proceeding with respect to these securities. We are uncertain whether we will recover any of our losses associated with the securities sold to us by Merrill Lynch or Lehman Brothers at this time.

Restricted cash balances that are pledged primarily as collateral for letters of credit, derivative credit obligations and lease obligations affect our liquidity. As of September 30, 2009, we had restricted cash of \$25.0 million compared to \$15.3 million as of October 31, 2008, an increase of \$9.7 million. Restricted cash is expected to become available to us upon satisfaction of the obligations pursuant to which the letters of credit or guarantees were issued. The increase is a result of the pledging of \$13.2 million of cash collateral relating to our interest rate swap (described below). This collateral amount pledged could vary significantly as it fluctuates with forward LIBOR.

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations for fiscal 2009 was \$86.0 million. This positive cash flow was primarily driven by results from continuing operations after adjustments for certain non-cash items, including the \$413.9 million goodwill and intangible impairment recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2009, partially offset by cash used for working capital. Working capital requirements typically will increase or decrease with changes in the level of net sales. In addition, the timing of certain accrued incentive payments will affect the annual cash flow as these expenses are accrued throughout the fiscal year but paid during the first quarter of the subsequent year.

Net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations for fiscal 2008 totaled \$173.9 million. This positive cash flow was primarily driven by results from continuing operations after adjustments for certain non-cash items, including the \$100.6 million impairment loss on available-for-sale securities, partially offset by cash used for working capital.

Net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations for fiscal 2007 totaled \$161.8 million. This positive cash flow was primarily driven by results from continuing operations and adjustments for certain non-cash items, including the \$29.4 million impairment loss on available-for-sale securities and the \$57.5 million gain on the sale of our positions in BigBand and Redback.

Investing Activities

Investing activities from continuing operations used \$87.9 million of cash during fiscal 2009. Cash used by investing activities included \$51.4 million of purchases of long-term investments, \$32.0 million of property, patent and equipment additions and an increase in restricted cash of \$9.1 million, partially offset by \$5.3 million of proceeds from the disposal of property and equipment. Proceeds from the disposal of property primarily reflect the sale of our Cheltenham U.K. facility, for which we received \$4.3 million of cash proceeds during the first quarter of fiscal 2009.

Table of Contents

Investing activities from continuing operations used \$213.5 million of cash during fiscal 2008. Cash used by investing activities included \$146.0 million for the acquisition of LGC, \$52.3 million for the acquisition of Century Man, a \$4.0 million investment in ip.access, Ltd., a \$1.2 million investment in E-Band Communications Corporation and \$42.4 million of property, equipment and patent additions. This was partially offset by \$35.1 million of net sales of available-for-sale securities.

Cash provided by investing activities from continuing operations was \$222.9 million during fiscal 2007. Cash provided by investing activities included \$201.3 million of net sales of available-for-sale securities and \$59.8 million of proceeds from the sale of investments, which included BigBand and Redback. These were offset by \$30.4 million for property and equipment additions.

Financing Activities

Financing activities used \$96.8 million of cash during fiscal 2009, of which \$94.1 million was due to common stock repurchases. Financing activities provided \$163.8 million and \$4.8 million of cash during fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, respectively. The increase in fiscal 2008 was due to the issuance of \$450.0 million of convertible debt discussed in Note 8 to the financial statements, less payments for the financing costs associated with this debt, the \$200.0 million payment of our 2008 convertible notes and payments made on LGC and Century Man debt. Fiscal 2008 also included the repurchase of 6.4 million shares of common stock for \$56.5 million under our share repurchase program.

Outstanding Debt and Credit Facility

As of September 30, 2009, we had outstanding \$650.0 million of convertible unsecured subordinated notes, consisting of:

	September 30, 2009	Conversion Price (In millions)
Convertible subordinated notes, six-month LIBOR plus 0.375%, due June 15, 2013	\$ 200.0	\$ 28.091
Convertible subordinated notes, 3.5% fixed rate, due July 15, 2015	225.0	27.00
Convertible subordinated notes, 3.5% fixed rate, due July 15, 2017	225.0	28.55
 Total convertible subordinated notes	 \$ 650.0	

See Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this report for more information on these notes.

From time to time, we may use interest rate swaps to manage interest costs and the risk associated with changing interest rates. We do not enter into interest rate swaps for speculative purposes. On April 29, 2008, we entered into an interest rate swap effective June 15, 2008, for a notional amount of \$200.0 million. The interest rate swap hedges the exposure to changes in interest rates of our \$200.0 million of convertible unsecured subordinated notes that have a variable interest rate of six-month LIBOR plus 0.375% and a maturity date of June 15, 2013. We have designated the interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge for accounting purposes. The swap is structured so that we receive six-month LIBOR and pay a fixed rate of 4.0% (before the credit spread of 0.375%). The variable portion we receive resets semiannually and both sides of the swap are settled net semiannually based on the \$200.0 million notional amount. The swap matures concurrently with the end of the debt obligation.

Credit Facility

On January 30, 2009, we terminated the \$200.0 million secured five-year revolving credit facility that we entered into in April 2008. This facility had no outstanding balances when it was terminated. As a consequence of terminating our revolving credit facility, we recorded a non-operating charge of \$1.0 million to write-off the deferred financing costs associated with the facility.

The assets that secured the facility also served as collateral for our interest rate swap on our \$200.0 million convertible unsecured floating rate notes that mature in fiscal 2013. As a result of the facility's termination, we were required to pledge cash collateral to secure the interest rate swap. As of September 30, 2009, we pledged \$13.2 million

of cash to secure the interest rate swap termination value, which is included in our restricted cash balance. This collateral amount could vary significantly as it fluctuates with the forward LIBOR.

Table of Contents

Share Repurchase

On August 12, 2008, our Board of Directors approved a share repurchase program for up to \$150.0 million. The program provided that share repurchases could commence beginning in September 2008 and continue until the earlier of the completion of \$150.0 million in share repurchases or July 31, 2009. In early December 2008, we completed this \$150.0 million repurchase program at an average price of \$7.04 per share, resulting in 21.3 million shares being purchased under the program.

Working Capital and Liquidity Outlook

Our main source of liquidity continues to be our unrestricted cash and cash equivalents. We currently expect that our existing cash resources will be sufficient to meet our anticipated needs for working capital and capital expenditures to execute our near-term business plan. This expectation is based on current business operations and economic conditions and assumes we are able to maintain breakeven or positive cash flows from operations.

Auction-rate securities accounted for \$24.3 million of our \$75.4 million in available-for-sale securities as of September 30, 2009. Current capital market conditions have significantly reduced our ability to liquidate our auction-rate securities. However, we do not believe we need these investments in order to meet the cash needs of our present operating plans. The remaining \$51.1 million of our available-for-sale securities represents highly liquid notes backed by a guarantee from the FDIC.

We also believe that our unrestricted cash resources will enable us to pursue strategic opportunities, including possible product line or business acquisitions. However, if the cost of one or more acquisition opportunities exceeds our existing cash resources, additional sources may be required. Any plan to raise additional capital may involve an equity-based or equity-linked financing, such as another issuance of convertible debt or the issuance of common stock or preferred stock, which would be dilutive to existing shareowners. If we raise additional funds by issuing debt, we may be subject to restrictive covenants that could limit our operational flexibility and to higher interest expense that could dilute earnings per share.

In addition, our deferred tax assets, which are substantially reserved at this time, should reduce our income tax payable on taxable earnings in future years.

On October 30, 2009, we completed the sale of our copper-based RF signal management product line to ATX Networks, Corp. (ATX). This sale supports our ongoing effort to compete more effectively in our areas of strategic focus, which include enhancing our core fiber technology for the Multiple System Operators market. ATX paid us \$17.0 million in cash for the assets of the business other than trade receivables outstanding as of October 30th, which we retained. ATX placed \$1.0 million of the purchase price into a third-party escrow account for 18 months to cover any indemnity claims made by ATX under the purchase agreement. We have identified net assets, primarily consisting of inventory, having a book value of approximately \$1.0 million related to the sale of this product line, primarily comprised of finished goods and inventory in progress. ATX also assumed future product warranty liabilities of the business for product sold prior to October 30, 2009, subject to our reimbursement of expenses and costs related to certain of those future product warranty claims, if any. In connection with the transaction, we agreed to manufacture the RF signal management products on behalf of ATX for up to 12 months and assist in other transitional activities. We expect to record a gain of \$16.0 in connection with the transaction.

Table of Contents***Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments***

The following table summarizes our commitments to make long-term debt and lease payments and certain other contractual obligations as of September 30, 2009:

		Payments Due by Period			
		Less Than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More Than 5 Years
Contractual Obligations	Total				
Long-Term Debt Obligations(1)	\$ 806.4	\$ 25.0	\$ 49.7	\$ 249.7	\$ 482.0
Capital Lease Obligations	0.3	0.3			
Operating Lease Obligations	79.0	18.2	25.3	17.5	18.0
Purchase Obligations(2)	13.9	8.1	5.8		
Other Long-Term Liabilities	6.7	3.3	2.1	1.3	
Pension Obligations	67.9	4.1	8.3	8.5	47.0
Total	\$ 974.2	\$ 59.0	\$ 91.2	\$ 277.0	\$ 547.0

(1) Includes interest on our \$450.0 million of fixed rate debt of 3.5% and interest on our \$200.0 million of variable rate debt of 4.375%.

(2) Amounts represent non-cancelable commitments to purchase goods and services, including items such as inventory and information technology support.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make judgments, assumptions and estimates that affect the amounts reported in our Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes. Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this report describes the significant accounting policies and methods used in preparing the Consolidated Financial Statements. We consider the accounting policies described below to be our most critical accounting policies because they are impacted significantly by estimates we make. We base our estimates on historical experience or various assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, and the results form the

basis for making judgments about the reported values of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. Actual results may differ materially from these estimates.

Revenue Recognition: We recognize revenue, net of discounts, when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or service has been rendered, the selling price is fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured.

As part of the revenue recognition process, we determine whether collection is reasonably assured based on various factors, including an evaluation of whether there has been deterioration in the credit quality of our customers that could result in us being unable to collect the receivables. In situations where it is unclear whether we will be able to collect the receivable, revenue and related costs are deferred.

The majority of our revenue comes from product sales. Revenue from product sales is generally recognized upon shipment of the product to the customer in accordance with the terms of the sales agreement. Revenue from services consists of fees for systems requirements, design and analysis, customization and installation services, ongoing system management, enhancements and maintenance. The majority of our service revenue comes from our Professional Services business. For this business, we primarily apply the percentage-of-completion method to arrangements consisting of design, customization and installation. We measure progress towards completion by comparing actual costs incurred to total planned project costs. All other services are provided in customer arrangements with multiple deliverables.

Some of our customer arrangements include multiple deliverables, such as product sales that include services to be performed after delivery of the product. In such cases, we account for a deliverable (or a group of deliverables) separately if both of the following criteria have been met: (i) the delivered item has stand-alone value to the customer, and (ii) if we have given the customer a general right of return relative to the delivered item, the delivery or performance of the undelivered item or service is probable and substantially in our control. When the elements can be separated, product revenue is generally recognized upon shipment and service revenue upon completion. If the elements cannot be considered separate units of accounting we defer revenue, if material, until the

Table of Contents

entire arrangement (i.e., both products and services) is delivered. We elected to early adopt the provisions of ASU 2009-13 *Revenue Recognition (Topic 605) Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements, a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force*. We early adopted this new guidance on a prospective basis requiring implementation from the beginning of fiscal 2009. Under this new guidance, we allocate consideration at the inception of the arrangement to all deliverables based on the relative selling price method. The adoption of this new guidance did not impact the units of accounting or have a material impact on our financial results. Because these types of arrangements make up a small portion of our business, this new guidance did not have a significant impact on the pattern or timing of revenue recognition.

We also elected to early adopt the provisions of ASU 2009-14 *Software (Topic 985) Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software Elements, a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force*. We early adopted this new guidance on a prospective basis requiring implementation from the beginning of fiscal 2009. Under this new guidance certain of our Network Solutions arrangements that contain both hardware and software are no longer within the scope of ASC 985 *Software* and are now accounted for under ASC 605-25 *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements*. Because these types of arrangements make up a small portion of our business, the change in accounting treatment did not have a material impact on our financial results and is not expected to have a significant impact on the pattern or timing of revenue recognition.

Reserves for Sales Returns, Discounts, Allowances, Rebates and Distributor Price Protection Programs: We record estimated reductions to revenue for potential sales returns as well as customer programs and incentive offerings, such as discounts, allowances, rebates and distributor price protection programs. These estimates are based on contract terms, historical experience, inventory levels in the distributor channel and other factors. We believe we have sufficient historical experience to allow for reasonable and reliable estimation of these reductions to revenue.

Available-for-Sale Securities: We generally classify both debt securities with maturities of more than three months but less than one year and equity securities in publicly held companies as current available-for-sale securities. Debt securities with maturities greater than one year from the acquisition date are classified as long-term available-for-sale securities. Available-for-sale securities are recorded at fair value, and temporary unrealized holding gains and losses are recorded, net of tax, as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income. Upon the sale of a security classified as available-for-sale the amount reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income into earnings is based on the specific identification method. Unrealized losses related to equity securities are charged against net earnings when a decline in fair value is determined to be other-than-temporary. We review several factors to determine whether a loss is other-than-temporary. These factors include but are not limited to: (i) the length of time a security is in an unrealized loss position, (ii) the extent to which fair value is less than cost, (iii) the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer and, (iv) our intent and ability to hold the security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

Other-than-temporary impairments associated with debt securities are required to be separated into the amount representing the decrease in cash flows expected to be collected from a security (referred to as credit losses) which is recognized in earnings and the amount related to other factors (referred to as noncredit losses) which is recognized in other comprehensive income. This noncredit loss component of the impairment may only be classified in other comprehensive income if both of the following conditions are met: (a) the holder of the security concludes that it does not intend to sell the security and (b) the holder concludes that it is more likely than not that the holder will not be required to sell the security before the security recovers its value. If these conditions are not met, the noncredit loss must also be recognized in earnings.

Auction-rate securities, which are reflected in our available-for-sale securities, include interests in collateralized debt obligations, a portion of which are collateralized by pools of residential and commercial mortgages, interest-bearing corporate debt obligations, and non-dividend-yielding preferred stock. Liquidity for these auction-rate securities historically had been provided by an auction process that reset the applicable interest rate at pre-determined intervals, usually every 7, 28, 35 or 90 days. Because of the short interest rate reset period, we had historically recorded auction-rate securities in current available-for-sale securities. As of September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008, we held auction-rate securities that had experienced a failed reset process and were deemed to have experienced an other-than-temporary decline in fair value. We have concluded that we do not meet the conditions necessary to

recognize the noncredit loss component of the other-than-temporary impairment in other comprehensive income. Accordingly, the entire amount of the loss has been recorded in earnings. We have classified all auction-rate securities as long-term available-for-sale securities as a result of their failed auctions.

Due to the failed auction status and lack of liquidity in the market for such securities, it became necessary for the valuation methodology to include certain assumptions that were not supported by prices from observable current market transactions in the same instruments nor were they based on observable market data. With the assistance of a valuation specialist, we estimated the fair value

Table of Contents

of the auction-rate securities based on the following: (i) the underlying structure of each security; (ii) the present value of future principal and interest payments discounted at rates considered to reflect current market conditions; (iii) consideration of the probabilities of default, passing auction, or earning the maximum rate for each period; and (iv) estimates of the recovery rates in the event of defaults for each security. These estimated fair values could change significantly based on future market conditions. In the future, we expect to continue to use the assistance of a valuation specialist to determine the fair value of our auction-rate securities in connection with the preparation of our financial statements for each quarterly and annual reporting period.

Restructuring Accrual: During fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008, we recorded restructuring charges representing the direct costs of employee severance and exiting leased facilities. Significant judgment is required in estimating the restructuring costs of severance and leased facilities. Restructuring charges represent our best estimate of the associated liability at the date the charges are taken. For example, we make certain assumptions with respect to when a facility will be subleased and the amount of income that will be generated from that sublease. Adjustments for changes in assumptions are recorded as a component of operating expenses in the period they become known. Changes in assumptions could have a material effect on our restructuring accrual as well as our consolidated results of operations.

Inventories: We state our inventories at the lower of first-in, first-out cost or market. In assessing the ultimate realization of inventories, we are required to make judgments as to future demand requirements compared with current or committed inventory levels. Our reserve requirements generally increase as our projected demand requirements decrease due to market conditions, technological and product life cycle changes as well as longer than previously expected usage periods for previously sold equipment. It is possible that significant increases in inventory reserves may be required in the future if there is a decline in market conditions or significant change in technology. Alternatively, if we are able to sell previously reserved inventory, we will reverse a portion of the reserves. Changes in inventory reserves are recorded as a component of cost of sales. As of September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008, we had \$42.0 million and \$50.7 million, respectively, reserved against our inventories, which represents 24.3% and 23.8%, respectively, of total inventory on-hand.

Impairment of Goodwill and Long-Lived Assets: Goodwill is tested for impairment annually, or more frequently if potential interim indicators exist that could result in impairment. We perform impairment reviews at a reporting unit level and use a discounted cash flow model based on management's judgment and assumptions to determine the estimated fair value of each reporting unit. Our three operating segments, Connectivity, Network Solutions and Professional Services are considered the reporting units. An impairment loss generally would be recognized when the carrying amount of the reporting unit's net assets exceeds the estimated fair value of the reporting unit.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, due to the global recession and related adverse business conditions that resulted in reduced estimates to our near-term cash flows and a sustained decline in our market capitalization, we performed a goodwill impairment analysis for our two reporting units that contained goodwill, Connectivity and Network Solutions. The analysis, which utilized forecasts and estimates based on assumptions that were consistent with the forecasts and estimates we were using to manage our business at that time, resulted in the recognition of impairment charges for both reporting units. Accordingly, we recorded impairment charges of \$366.2 million to reduce the carrying value of goodwill. We finalized this analysis in our second quarter of fiscal 2009 and recognized an additional goodwill impairment charge of \$0.4 million.

We record impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations and finite lived intangible assets when events and circumstances indicate the assets might be impaired and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than their carrying amounts. Any impairment loss is measured by comparing the fair value of the asset to its carrying amount.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we performed an impairment analysis of intangible assets held in our Connectivity and Network Solutions reporting units. The analysis, which utilized forecasts and estimates based on assumptions that were consistent with the forecasts and estimates we were using to manage our business at that time, resulted in the recognition of impairment charges for Network Solutions. Accordingly, we recorded impairment charges of \$47.3 million to reduce the carrying value of these long-lived intangible assets. Further deterioration of the estimates used in our impairment analysis could result in additional impairments of intangible assets in a future

period.

Income Taxes and Deferred Taxes: We currently have significant deferred tax assets (primarily in the United States) as a result of net operating loss carryforwards, tax credit carryforwards and temporary differences between taxable income on our income tax returns and income before income taxes under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. A deferred tax asset represents future tax benefits to be received when these carryforwards can be applied against future taxable income or when expenses previously reported in our financial statements become deductible for income tax purposes.

Table of Contents

In the third quarter of fiscal 2002, we recorded a full valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets because we concluded that it was more likely than not that we would not realize these assets. Our decision was based on the cumulative losses we had incurred to that point as well as the full utilization of our loss carryback potential. From the third quarter of fiscal 2002 to fiscal 2005, we maintained our policy of providing a nearly full valuation allowance against all future tax benefits produced by our operating results. Beginning in fiscal 2006, we determined that our recent experience generating U.S. income, along with our projection of future U.S. income, constituted significant positive evidence for partial realization of our U.S. deferred tax assets. As of September 30, 2009 we have recognized a total of \$51.6 million of our U.S. deferred tax assets expected to be realized. During the past two years we have reported pre-tax losses. These losses have primarily been attributable to non-cash impairment charges, including the impairment of non deductible goodwill. We believe our demonstrated ability to generate U.S. income absent these charges is sufficient positive evidence to recognize the \$51.6 million deferred tax asset. At one or more future dates, if sufficient positive evidence exists that it is more likely than not that additional benefits will be realized with respect to our deferred tax assets, we will release additional valuation allowance. Also, certain events, including our actual results or changes to our expectations regarding future U.S. income or other negative evidence, may result in the need to increase the valuation allowance.

We recognize the income tax benefit from an uncertain tax position if, based on the technical merits of the position, it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained upon examination by the taxing authorities. The tax benefit recognized in the financial statements from such a position is measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. No tax benefit has been recognized in the financial statements if the more likely than not recognition threshold has not been met. The actual tax benefits ultimately realized may differ from our estimates. In future periods, changes in facts, circumstances, and new information may require us to change the recognition and measurement estimates with regard to individual tax positions. Changes in recognition and measurement estimates are recorded in the financial statements in the period in which the change occurs.

See Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this report for further discussion of the accounting treatment for income taxes.

Share-Based Compensation: We use the Black-Scholes Model for purposes of determining estimated fair value of share-based awards on the date of grant. The Black-Scholes Model requires certain assumptions that involve judgment. Because our employee stock options, restricted stock units, and other share-based awards have characteristics significantly different from those of publicly traded options, and because changes in the input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, the existing models may not provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of our share-based payment awards. Management will continue to assess the assumptions and methodologies used to calculate estimated fair value of share-based compensation. Circumstances may change and additional data may become available over time, which could result in changes to these assumptions and methodologies and thereby materially impact our fair value determination. If factors change and we employ different assumptions, the compensation expense that we record may differ significantly from what we have recorded in the current period. We elected to adopt the alternative transition method provided for purposes of calculating the pool of excess tax benefits available to absorb tax deficiencies recognized.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements***Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements***

In September 2009, the FASB ratified amendments to accounting guidance related to revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables, including arrangements with software elements. The revised guidance (1) changes the determination of when individual deliverables included in a multiple-element arrangement may be treated as separate units of accounting, (2) modifies the manner in which the transaction consideration is allocated across separately identified deliverables, and (3) modifies its scope, excluding certain non-software deliverable components. This guidance is effective for all fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, with early adoption permitted. We adopted this new guidance retrospectively to the beginning of our fiscal year ended September 30, 2009. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to subsequent events. This new guidance establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosures of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued or available to be issued. This new guidance requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date. This guidance was effective for us on July 31, 2009. We evaluated subsequent events through our filing date of November 20, 2009. See Note 20 for a discussion about our subsequent events.

In April 2009, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to interim disclosures about the fair value of financial

Table of Contents

instruments. This guidance requires disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments whenever a public company issues financial information for interim reporting periods. We adopted this disclosure guidance on May 2, 2009.

In April 2009, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to other-than-temporary impairments. This guidance changes existing guidance for determining whether an impairment of debt securities is other-than-temporary. This guidance requires other-than-temporary impairments to be separated into the amount representing the decrease in cash flows expected to be collected from a security (referred to as credit losses), which is recognized in earnings, and the amount related to other factors (referred to as noncredit losses), which is recognized in other comprehensive income. This noncredit loss component of the impairment may only be classified in other comprehensive income if both of the following conditions are met: (a) the holder of the security concludes that it does not intend to sell the security and (b) the holder concludes that it is more likely than not that the holder will not be required to sell the security before the security recovers its value. If these conditions are not met, the noncredit loss must also be recognized in earnings. When adopting this guidance, an entity is required to record a cumulative effect adjustment as of the beginning of the period of adoption to reclassify the noncredit component of a previously recognized other-than-temporary impairment from retained earnings to other comprehensive income. This guidance was effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. We adopted this guidance as of May 2, 2009. Based on our analysis of this guidance, we have concluded that we do not meet the conditions necessary to recognize the noncredit loss component of the other-than-temporary impairment in our available-for-sale auction-rate securities in other comprehensive income. Accordingly, we did not reclassify any previously recognized other-than-temporary impairment losses from retained earnings to other comprehensive income. Thus, the adoption of this guidance had no impact on our consolidated financial statements. Refer to Notes 6 and 17 for further discussion of our investments in available-for-sale securities.

In March 2008, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to disclosures about derivative instruments and hedging activities. The guidance applies to all derivative instruments and non-derivative instruments that are designed and qualify as hedging instruments and related hedged items. The provisions require entities to provide greater transparency through additional disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. We adopted this disclosure guidance on January 31, 2009.

In September 2006, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to fair value measurements. The guidance was effective for us for financial assets and liabilities on November 1, 2008. The guidance provides enhanced guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. The guidance applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. The standard does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. The adoption of the guidance had no material impact on our consolidated financial statements. In February 2008, the FASB issued guidance delaying the effective date for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities until the beginning of fiscal 2010, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). We are currently evaluating the impact that the application of guidance to nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities may have on our consolidated financial statements. Our significant nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities that may be impacted by the adoption of the guidance include fixed assets and intangible assets.

New Pronouncements Issued But Not Yet Adopted

In December 2007, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to business combinations and noncontrolling interest in consolidated financial statements. The guidance requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize and measure all assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their respective acquisition date fair values and changes other practices, which could have a material impact on how we account for business combinations. These provisions will only impact us if we are party to a business combination subsequent to the adoption of this guidance. The guidance also requires noncontrolling (minority) interest in a subsidiary to be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements, separate from the parent's equity. We are required to adopt this guidance for our fiscal 2010. We are currently evaluating the impact these provisions may have on our consolidated financial

statements.

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward Looking Information

The discussion herein, including, but not limited to, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations as well as the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, contains various forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Forward-looking statements represent our expectations or beliefs concerning future events and are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward looking statements. These statements may include, among others, statements regarding future sales, profit percentages, earnings per share and

Table of Contents

other results of operations; statements about shareholder value; expectations or beliefs regarding the marketplace in which we operate and the macro-economy generally; statements about our cost reduction initiatives; the prices of raw materials and transportation costs; the sufficiency of our cash balances and cash generated from operating and financing activities for our future liquidity; capital resource needs, and the effect of regulatory changes. These statements could be affected by a variety of factors, such as: demand for equipment by telecommunication service providers and large enterprises; variations in demand for particular products in our portfolio and other factors that can impact our overall margins; our ability to operate our business to achieve, maintain and grow operating profitability; changing regulatory conditions and macroeconomic conditions both in our industry and in local and global markets that can influence the demand for our products and services; fluctuations in the market value of our common stock, which can be caused by many factors outside of our control and could cause us to record an impairment charge on our long-lived assets in the future if our market capitalization remains below the book value of our assets for a continued time period; consolidation among our customers, competitors or vendors that can disrupt or displace customer relationships; our ability to keep pace with rapid technological change in our industry; our ability to make the proper strategic choices regarding acquisitions or divestitures; our ability to integrate the operations of any acquired business; increased competition within our industry and increased pricing pressure from our customers; our dependence on relatively few customers for a majority of our sales as well as potential sales growth in market segments we believe have the greatest potential; fluctuations in our operating results from quarter-to-quarter, which can be caused by many factors beyond our control; financial problems, work interruptions in operations or other difficulties faced by customers or vendors that can impact our sales, sales collections and ability to procure necessary materials, components and services to operate our business; our ability to protect our intellectual property rights and defend against potential infringement claims; possible limitations on our ability to raise any additional required capital; declines in the fair value and liquidity of auction-rate securities we hold; our ability to attract and retain qualified employees; our ability to manage our operations appropriately through potential impacts on our operations resulting from our cost reduction initiatives; potential liabilities that can arise if any of our products have design or manufacturing defects; our ability to obtain and the prices of raw materials, components and services; our dependence on contract manufacturers to make certain products; changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and equity securities prices, all of which will impact our operating results; political, economic and legal uncertainties related to doing business in China; our ability to defend or settle satisfactorily any litigation; and other risks and uncertainties including those identified in the section captioned Risk Factors in Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2009. We disclaim any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Item 7A. *QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK*

Our major market risk exposures relate to adverse fluctuations in certain commodity prices, interest rates, security prices and foreign currency exchange rates. Market fluctuations in any of these prices or rates could affect our results of operations and financial condition adversely. At times, we attempt to reduce this risk through the use of derivative financial instruments. We do not enter into derivative financial instruments for the purpose of speculation.

We use certain commodity raw materials that are subject to price volatility caused by many factors, including supply conditions, demand levels, political and economic variables and other unpredictable factors. Management attempts to mitigate these risks through effective requirements planning and by working closely with key suppliers to obtain the best possible pricing and delivery terms. In addition, in certain areas of our business where contractual terms allow, we are able to pass-through a portion of this volatility to our customers, although this pass-through typically occurs on a delayed basis due to internal processing time and, potentially, contractual terms. We periodically evaluate our commodity pricing exposures and have considered the use of derivative instruments to hedge our commodity price risks, but, to date, we have concluded that it was not cost beneficial to utilize derivative instruments for this purpose.

We are exposed to fluctuations in interest rates through the issuance of variable rate debt and by investing our cash holdings in short-term investments. We mitigate our exposures to interest rate fluctuations by entering into derivative instruments which can reduce exposure to interest rate volatility.

For example, on April 29, 2008, we entered into an interest rate swap effective June 15, 2008, for a notional amount of \$200 million to hedge the risk associated with the floating interest rate of our \$200 million of convertible unsecured subordinated notes that have a variable interest rate of six-month LIBOR plus 0.375% and a maturity date of June 15, 2013. For this interest rate swap, we pay the counterparty the equivalent of a fixed rate interest payment of 4.0% on a predetermined notional value, and we receive the equivalent of a floating interest payment based on a six-month LIBOR rate calculated on the same notional value. The swap is secured by cash collateral. If the interest rate swap had been discontinued on September 30, 2009, we would have owed the counterparties approximately \$12.2 million.

We have mitigated the interest rate exposure on our variable rate long term debt by entering into an interest rate swap agreement described above effectively fixing the interest rate we pay on our variable rate long-term debt. Therefore, a 10% increase or decrease

Table of Contents

in interest rates on our debt obligations would have a nominal impact on our income (loss) before income taxes.

We also are exposed to market risk from changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Our primary risk is the effect of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations on the U.S. dollar value of foreign currency denominated operating sales and expenses. We conduct business globally in numerous currencies. The direct effect of foreign currency fluctuations on our direct margins has not been material. However, if the U.S. dollar strengthens relative to other currencies, such strengthening could have an indirect effect on our sales to the extent it raises the cost of our products to non-U.S. customers and thereby reduces demand. A weaker U.S. dollar could have the opposite effect. However, the precise indirect effect of currency fluctuations is difficult to measure or predict because our sales are influenced by many factors in addition to the impact of such currency fluctuations. Our largest exposure comes from the Mexican peso. The result of a 10% weakening in the U.S. dollar to Mexican peso denominated sales and expenses would be a reduction of operating income of \$3.5 million for fiscal 2009. As of September 30, 2009, we mitigated a certain portion of our exposure to Mexican peso operating expenses throughout fiscal 2010 through forward contracts and costless collars. The forward contracts enable us to purchase Mexican pesos at specified rates and the collars establish a cap and a floor on the price at which we purchase pesos. These forward contracts and collars have been designated as cash flow hedges.

We also are exposed to foreign currency exchange risk as a result of changes in intercompany balance sheet accounts and other balance sheet items. At September 30, 2009, these balance sheet exposures were mitigated through the use of foreign exchange forward contracts with maturities of approximately one month. The principal currency exposures being mitigated were the Australian dollar, Brazilian real, British pound, Chinese renminbi, Czech koruna, euro, Mexican peso, Singapore dollar and South African rand.

See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this report for information about our foreign currency exchange-derivative program.

Table of Contents

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareowners

ADC Telecommunications, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareowners' investment and cash flows for the eleven-month period ended September 30, 2009, and the years ended October 31, 2008 and 2007. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the index at Item 15. These financial statements and the schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and the schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. and subsidiaries at September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for the eleven-month period ended September 30, 2009, and the years ended October 31, 2008 and 2007, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements, effective November 1, 2007, the Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*—an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (Codified in FASB ASC Topic 740 *Income Taxes*).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), ADC Telecommunications, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated November 20, 2009, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Ernst & Young LLP

Minneapolis, Minnesota

November 20, 2009

Table of Contents

ADC Telecommunications, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Operations

	For the 11 Months Ended September 30, 2009	September 26, 2008 (Unaudited proforma)	For the Years Ended October 31, 2008	October 31, 2007
	(In millions, except earnings per share)			
Net Sales:				
Products	\$ 879.4	\$ 1,168.6	\$ 1,299.7	\$ 1,170.2
Services	117.3	136.9	156.7	106.5
Total net sales	996.7	1,305.5	1,456.4	1,276.7
Cost of Sales:				
Products	572.2	733.6	836.0	744.1
Services	94.7	117.1	131.1	90.0
Total cost of sales	666.9	850.7	967.1	834.1
Gross Profit	329.8	454.8	489.3	442.6
Operating Expenses:				
Research and development	65.3	76.9	83.5	69.6
Selling and administration	246.2	304.6	328.9	287.2
Impairment charges	414.9		4.1	2.3
Restructuring charges	34.2	2.7	11.1	5.5
Total operating expenses	760.6	384.2	427.6	364.6
Operating Income (Loss)	(430.8)	70.6	61.7	78.0
Other Income (Expense), Net	(38.0)	(73.8)	(99.9)	48.8
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	(468.8)	(3.2)	(38.2)	126.8
Provision (Benefit) For Income Taxes	(3.1)	10.6	6.2	3.3
Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations	(465.7)	(13.8)	(44.4)	123.5
Discontinued Operations, Net of Tax:				
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(3.4)	3.8	2.5	(12.4)
Loss on sale or write-down of discontinued operations, net	(5.2)			(4.8)
Total discontinued operations, net of tax	(8.6)	3.8	2.5	(17.2)
Net Income (Loss)	\$ (474.3)	\$ (10.0)	\$ (41.9)	\$ 106.3
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding (Basic)	97.4	117.6	117.1	117.4

**Weighted Average Common Shares
Outstanding (Diluted)**

97.4 117.6 117.1 131.9

Basic Income (Loss) Per Share:

Continuing operations	\$ (4.78)	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.38)	\$ 1.05
Discontinued operations	\$ (0.09)	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.14)
Net income (loss)	\$ (4.87)	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.36)	\$ 0.91

Diluted Income (Loss) Per Share:

Continuing operations	\$ (4.78)	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.38)	\$ 1.04
Discontinued operations	\$ (0.09)	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.13)
Net income (loss)	\$ (4.87)	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.36)	\$ 0.91

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

ADC Telecommunications, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheets

	September 30, 2009	October 31, 2008
	(In millions)	
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 535.5	\$ 631.4
Accounts receivable, net of reserves of \$14.0 and \$17.3	182.8	215.4
Unbilled revenues	17.5	25.2
Inventories, net of reserves of \$42.0 and \$50.7	131.1	162.7
Prepaid and other current assets	33.3	34.7
Assets of discontinued operations		8.0
Total current assets	900.2	1,077.4
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$410.7 and \$407.7	163.4	177.1
Restricted cash	25.0	15.3
Goodwill		359.3
Intangibles, net of accumulated amortization of \$144.4 and \$126.3	93.3	161.1
Long-term available-for-sale securities	75.4	40.4
Other assets	86.3	89.2
Long-term assets of discontinued operations		1.2
Total assets	\$ 1,343.6	\$ 1,921.0
LIABILITIES AND SHAREOWNERS INVESTMENT		
Current Liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term notes payable	\$ 0.6	\$ 2.6
Accounts payable	83.0	99.1
Accrued compensation and benefits	57.8	78.1
Other accrued liabilities	65.2	71.0
Income taxes payable	5.9	2.4
Restructuring accrual	22.5	16.7
Liabilities of discontinued operations	1.0	8.1
Total current liabilities	236.0	278.0
Pension obligations and other long-term liabilities	100.4	78.1
Long-term notes payable	651.0	650.7
Total liabilities	987.4	1,006.8
Shareowners Investment:		
Preferred stock, \$0.00 par value; authorized 10.0 shares; none issued or outstanding		
Common stock, \$0.20 par value; authorized 342.9 shares; issued and outstanding 96.6 and 111.3 shares	23.6	23.5
Paid-in capital	1,311.9	1,396.3

Edgar Filing: ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-KT

Accumulated deficit	(965.9)	(491.5)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(13.4)	(14.1)
Total shareowners' investment	356.2	914.2
Total liabilities and shareowners' investment	\$ 1,343.6	\$ 1,921.0

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

ADC Telecommunications, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Shareowners Investment

	Common Stock		Paid-In	Accumulated	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total
	Shares	Amount	Capital	Deficit		
Balance, October 31, 2006	117.2	\$ 23.5	\$ 1,417.4	\$ (557.2)	\$ (10.2)	\$ 873.5
Net income				106.3		106.3
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:						
Translation gain, net of taxes of \$0.0					7.8	7.8
Minimum pension liability adjustment, net of taxes of \$0.0					4.9	4.9
Total comprehensive income						119.0
Adoption of new accounting guidance related to employee benefit plans					0.2	0.2
Exercise of common stock options and restricted stock releases	0.4		4.4			4.4
Share-based compensation expense			10.5			10.5
Balance, October 31, 2007	117.6	23.5	1,432.3	(450.9)	2.7	1,007.6
Net loss				(41.9)		(41.9)
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:						
Translation loss, net of taxes of \$0.0					(21.9)	(21.9)
Pension obligation adjustment, net of taxes of \$0.0					7.2	7.2
Unrealized gain on securities, net of taxes of \$0.0					0.5	0.5
Unrealized gain on foreign currency hedge, net of taxes of \$0.0					0.2	0.2
Net change in fair value of interest rate swap, net of taxes of \$0.0					(2.8)	(2.8)
Total comprehensive loss						(58.7)

LGC options exchanged for ADC options			3.0			3.0
Adoption of new accounting guidance related to uncertain tax positions				1.4		1.4
Exercise of common stock options and restricted stock releases	0.1		0.2			0.2
Treasury stock purchase	(6.4)		(56.5)			(56.5)
Share-based compensation expense			17.2			17.2
Other			0.1	(0.1)		
Balance, October 31, 2008	111.3	23.5	1,396.3	(491.5)	(14.1)	914.2
Net loss				(474.3)		(474.3)
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:						
Translation gain, net of taxes of \$0.0					12.1	12.1
Pension obligation adjustment, net of taxes of \$0.0					(5.0)	(5.0)
Unrealized gain on auction-rate securities, net of taxes of \$0.0					2.3	2.3
Unrealized gain on other available-for-sale securities, net of taxes of \$0.0					0.5	0.5
Unrealized gain on foreign currency hedge, net of taxes of \$0.0					0.2	0.2
Net change in fair value of interest rate swap, net of taxes of \$0.0					(9.4)	(9.4)
Total comprehensive loss						(473.6)
Exercise of common stock options and restricted stock releases	0.2		(0.5)			(0.5)
Treasury stock purchase	(14.9)		(94.1)			(94.1)
Share-based compensation expense			10.3			10.3
Other		0.1	(0.1)	(0.1)		(0.1)
Balance, September 30, 2009	96.6	\$ 23.6	\$ 1,311.9	\$ (965.9)	\$ (13.4)	\$ 356.2

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

ADC Telecommunications, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	For the 11 Months Ended September 30, 2009	September 26, 2008 (Unaudited proforma) (In millions)	For the Years Ended October 31, 2008	October 31, 2007
Operating Activities:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (465.7)	\$ (13.8)	\$ (44.4)	\$ 123.5
Adjustments to reconcile income (loss) from continuing operations to net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations:				
Inventory write-offs	15.4	9.4	25.2	21.1
Fixed asset impairments	1.0		4.1	2.3
Goodwill impairment	366.6			
Intangibles impairment	47.3			
Write-down of investments	18.4	74.2	100.6	29.4
Depreciation and amortization	66.4	74.8	82.3	68.0
Restructuring expenses	34.2	2.7	11.1	5.5
Change in bad debt reserves	2.2	0.6	0.7	(2.0)
Non-cash stock compensation	10.6	15.1	17.2	10.5
Change in deferred income taxes	(5.8)	2.0	1.5	(6.2)
Amortization of deferred financing costs	2.8	2.2	2.4	1.5
Gain on sale of investments				(57.5)
(Gain)/loss on sale of property and equipment	(0.9)	0.3	0.5	0.7
Other, net	(0.2)	(2.2)	10.8	(5.3)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions and divestitures:				
Accounts receivable and unbilled revenues (increase)/decrease	41.5	12.5	2.8	(15.0)
Inventories (increase)/decrease	20.2	(12.3)	(7.7)	(19.5)
Prepaid and other assets (increase)/decrease	1.1	0.2	(1.9)	(1.0)
Accounts payable increase/(decrease)	(17.8)	(25.3)	(12.7)	1.0
Accrued liabilities decrease	(46.3)	(8.4)	(25.8)	(0.3)
Pension liabilities increase/(decrease)	(5.0)		7.2	5.1
Total cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations	86.0	132.0	173.9	161.8
Total cash provided by (used for) operating activities from discontinued operations	(6.1)	(0.9)	1.7	(20.0)
Total cash provided by operating activities	79.9	131.1	175.6	141.8
Investing Activities:				
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(3.5)	(199.4)	(198.3)	(1.6)

Edgar Filing: ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-KT

Purchase of interest in unconsolidated affiliates	(1.3)	(5.2)	(5.2)	(8.1)
Divestitures, net of cash disposed	3.3			0.6
Property, equipment and patent additions	(32.0)	(37.1)	(42.4)	(30.4)
Proceeds from disposal of property and equipment	5.3	0.3	0.3	1.2
Proceeds from sales of investments				59.8
Warrant exercise				(1.8)
Decrease/(increase) in restricted cash	(9.1)	(0.7)	(3.0)	1.9
Purchase of available-for-sale securities	(51.4)	(16.5)	(4.6)	(1,002.1)
Sale of available-for-sale securities	0.2	39.7	39.7	1,203.4
Other	0.6	0.1		
 Total cash provided by (used for) investing activities from continuing operations	 (87.9)	 (218.8)	 (213.5)	 222.9
Total cash used for investing activities from discontinued operations	(2.3)	(0.4)	(0.4)	(1.0)
 Total cash provided by (used for) investing activities	 (90.2)	 (219.2)	 (213.9)	 221.9
 Financing Activities:				
Debt issuance		450.0	451.6	
Payments of financing costs		(10.7)	(10.7)	
Debt payments	(2.7)	(218.9)	(221.1)	
Treasury stock purchase	(94.1)	(49.5)	(56.5)	

Table of Contents

	For the 11 Months Ended September 30, 2009	September 26, 2008 (Unaudited proforma) (In millions)	For the Years Ended October 31, 2008	October 31, 2007
Common stock issued		0.5	0.5	4.8
Total cash provided by (used for) financing activities	(96.8)	171.4	163.8	4.8
Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash	11.2	(1.6)	(14.3)	9.5
Increase/(Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(95.9)	81.7	111.2	378.0
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period	631.4	520.2	520.2	142.2
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period	\$ 535.5	\$ 601.9	\$ 631.4	\$ 520.2

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

**ADC Telecommunications, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Business: We are a leading global provider of broadband communications network infrastructure products and related services. Our products offer comprehensive solutions that enable the delivery of high-speed Internet, data, video and voice communications over wireline, wireless, cable, enterprise and broadcast networks. These products include fiber-optic, copper and coaxial based frames, cabinets, cables, connectors and cards, wireless capacity and coverage solutions, network access devices and other physical infrastructure components.

Our products are used primarily in the edge of communications networks, which links Internet, data, video and voice traffic from the serving office of the communications service provider to the end-user of the communication services. We also provide professional services that help our customers plan, deploy and maintain Internet, data, video and voice communications networks.

Our products and services are provided to our customers through three reportable business segments: Connectivity, Network Solutions, and Professional Services.

Principles of Consolidation: The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of ADC Telecommunications, Inc., a Minnesota corporation, and all of our majority owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation. In these Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, ADC and its majority owned subsidiaries are collectively referred to as ADC, we, us or our.

Basis of Presentation: During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, our Board of Directors approved a plan to divest APS Germany. During the third quarter of fiscal 2006, our Board of Directors approved a plan to divest APS France. These businesses were classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented.

Fiscal Year: On July 22, 2008, our Board of Directors approved a change in our fiscal year end from October 31st to September 30th commencing with our fiscal year 2009. This resulted in our fiscal year 2009 being shortened from 12 months to 11 months and ended on September 30th.

We are using this report to transition to a quarterly reporting cycle that corresponds to a September 30th fiscal year end. Therefore, for financial reporting purposes our fourth quarter of fiscal 2009 was shortened from the quarterly period ending October 31st to an approximate two month period ending September 30th.

As a result of the fiscal year change, the unaudited comparative information for the 11 months ended September 26, 2008 is included in the consolidated statement of operations and consolidated statement of cash flows.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: Cash equivalents represent short-term investments in money market instruments with original maturities of three months or less. The carrying amounts of these investments approximate their fair value due to the investments short maturities.

Restricted Cash: Restricted cash consists primarily of collateral for letters of credit, derivative credit obligations and lease obligations, which is expected to become available to us upon satisfaction of the obligations pursuant to which the letters of credit or guarantees were issued.

Available-for-Sale Securities: We generally classify both debt securities with maturities of more than three months but less than one year and equity securities in publicly held companies as current available-for-sale securities. Debt securities with maturities greater than one year from the acquisition date are classified as long-term available-for-sale securities. Available-for-sale securities are recorded at fair value, and temporary unrealized holding gains and losses are recorded, net of tax, as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Upon the sale of a security classified as available-for-sale the amount reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income into earnings is based on the specific identification method. Unrealized losses related to equity securities are charged against net earnings when a decline in fair value is determined to be other-than-temporary. We review several factors to determine whether a loss is other-than-temporary. These factors include but are not limited to: (i) the length of time a

Table of Contents

security is in an unrealized loss position, (ii) the extent to which fair value is less than cost, (iii) the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer and (iv) our ability to hold the security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

Other-than-temporary impairments associated with debt securities are required to be separated into the amount representing the decrease in cash flows expected to be collected from a security (referred to as credit losses) which is recognized in earnings and the amount related to other factors (referred to as noncredit losses) which is recognized in other comprehensive income. This noncredit loss component of the impairment may only be classified in other comprehensive income if both of the following conditions are met: (a) the holder of the security concludes that it does not intend to sell the security and (b) the holder concludes that it is more likely than not that the holder will not be required to sell the security before the security recovers its value. If these conditions are not met, the noncredit loss must also be recognized in earnings.

Auction-rate securities, which are reflected in our available-for-sale securities, include interests in collateralized debt obligations, a portion of which are collateralized by pools of residential and commercial mortgages, interest-bearing corporate debt obligations, and non-dividend-yielding preferred stock. Liquidity for these auction-rate securities historically had been provided by an auction process that reset the applicable interest rate at pre-determined intervals, usually every 7, 28, 35 or 90 days. Because of the short interest rate reset period, we had historically recorded auction-rate securities in current available-for-sale securities. As of September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008, we held auction-rate securities that had experienced a failed reset process and were deemed to have experienced an other-than-temporary decline in fair value. We have concluded that we do not meet the conditions necessary to recognize the noncredit loss component of the other-than-temporary impairment in other comprehensive income. Accordingly, the entire amount of the loss has been recorded in earnings. We have classified all auction-rate securities as long-term available-for-sale securities as a result of their failed auctions and lack of liquidity in the market.

Due to the failed auction status and lack of liquidity in the market for such securities, the valuation methodology includes certain assumptions that were not supported by prices from observable current market transactions in the same instruments nor were they based on observable market data. With the assistance of a valuation specialist, we estimated the fair value of the auction-rate securities based on the following: (i) the underlying structure of each security; (ii) the present value of future principal and interest payments discounted at rates considered to reflect current market conditions; (iii) consideration of the probabilities of default, passing auction, or earning the maximum rate for each period; and (iv) estimates of the recovery rates in the event of defaults for each security. These estimated fair values could change significantly based on future market conditions.

Inventories: Inventories include material, labor and overhead and are stated at the lower of first-in, first-out cost or market. In assessing the ultimate realization of inventories, we are required to make judgments as to future demand requirements compared to current or committed inventory levels. Our reserve requirements generally increase as our projected demand requirements decrease due to market conditions, technological and product life cycle changes, and longer than previously expected usage periods.

Property and Equipment: Property and equipment are recorded at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method. Useful lives for property and equipment are 5 to 25 years for buildings, 3 to 5 years for machinery and equipment and 3 to 10 years for furniture and fixtures. Both straight-line and accelerated methods of depreciation are used for income tax purposes.

Investments in Cost Method Investees: Minority investments in non-public companies are accounted for under the cost method as we do not have the ability to exercise significant influence over the companies' operations. Under the cost method, the investments are carried at cost and only adjusted for other-than-temporary declines in fair value and distributions of earnings. We regularly evaluate the recoverability of these investments based on the performance and financial position of the companies. See Note 6 for further discussion of our cost method investments and related other-than-temporary impairments. The carrying value of our cost method investments is included in the other assets line item of the balance sheet.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets: Goodwill is assigned to reporting units, which are consistent with our operating segments, based on the difference between the purchase price as allocated to the reporting units and the fair value of the net assets acquired as allocated to the reporting units. Our other intangible assets (consisting primarily of

technology, trademarks, customer lists, non-compete agreements, distributor network and patents) with finite lives are carried at their estimated fair values at the time of acquisition and are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, which currently range from one to twenty years.

Impairment of Goodwill and Long-Lived Assets: Goodwill is tested for impairment annually, or more frequently if potential interim indicators exist that could result in impairment. We perform impairment reviews at a reporting unit level and use a discounted cash

Table of Contents

flow model based on management's judgment and assumptions to determine the estimated fair value of each reporting unit. Our three operating segments, Connectivity, Network Solutions and Professional Services are considered the reporting units. An impairment loss generally would be recognized when the carrying amount of the reporting unit's net assets exceeds the estimated fair value of the reporting unit.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, due to the global recession and related adverse business conditions that resulted in reduced estimates to our near-term cash flow and a sustained decline in our market capitalization, we performed a goodwill impairment analysis for our two reporting units that contained goodwill, Connectivity and Network Solutions. The analysis, which utilized forecasts and estimates based on assumptions that were consistent with the forecasts and estimates we were using to manage our business at that time, resulted in the recognition of impairment charges for both reporting units. Accordingly, we recorded impairment charges of \$366.2 million to reduce the carrying value of goodwill. We finalized this analysis in our second quarter of fiscal 2009 and recognized an additional goodwill impairment charge of \$0.4 million.

We record impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations and finite lived intangible assets when events and circumstances indicate the assets might be impaired and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than their carrying amounts. Any impairment loss is measured by comparing the fair value of the asset to its carrying amount.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we performed an impairment analysis of intangible assets held in our Connectivity and Network Solutions reporting units. The analysis, which utilized forecasts and estimates based on assumptions that were consistent with the forecasts and estimates we were using to manage our business at that time, resulted in the recognition of impairment charges for Network Solutions. Accordingly, we recorded impairment charges of \$47.3 million to reduce the carrying value of these long-lived intangible assets. Further deterioration of the estimates used in our impairment analysis could result in additional impairments of intangible assets in a future period.

Research and Development Costs: Our policy is to expense all research and development costs in the period incurred.

Revenue Recognition: We recognize revenue, net of discounts, when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or service has been rendered, the selling price is fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured.

As part of the revenue recognition process, we determine whether collection is reasonably assured based on various factors, including an evaluation of whether there has been deterioration in the credit quality of our customers that could result in us being unable to collect the receivables. In situations where it is unclear whether we will be able to collect the receivable, revenue and related costs are deferred.

The majority of our revenue comes from product sales. Revenue from product sales is generally recognized upon shipment of the product to the customer in accordance with the terms of the sales agreement. Revenue from services consists of fees for systems requirements, design and analysis, customization and installation services, ongoing system management, enhancements and maintenance. The majority of our service revenue comes from our Professional Services business. For this business, we primarily apply the percentage-of-completion method to arrangements consisting of design, customization and installation. We measure progress towards completion by comparing actual costs incurred to total planned project costs. All other services are provided in customer arrangements with multiple deliverables.

Some of our customer arrangements include multiple deliverables, such as product sales that include services to be performed after delivery of the product. In such cases, we account for a deliverable (or a group of deliverables) separately if both of the following criteria have been met: (i) the delivered item has stand-alone value to the customer, and (ii) if we have given the customer a general right of return relative to the delivered item, the delivery or performance of the undelivered item or service is probable and substantially in our control. When the elements can be separated, product revenue is generally recognized upon shipment and service revenue upon completion. If the elements cannot be considered separate units of accounting we defer revenue, if material, until the entire arrangement (i.e., both products and services) is delivered. We elected to early adopt the provisions of ASU 2009-13 *Revenue Recognition (Topic 605) Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements*, a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task

Force. We early adopted this new guidance on a prospective basis requiring implementation from the beginning of fiscal 2009. Under this new guidance, we allocate consideration at the inception of the arrangement to all deliverables based on the relative selling price method. The adoption of this new guidance did not impact the units of accounting or have a material impact on our financial results. Because these types of arrangements make up a small portion of our business, this new guidance did not have a significant impact on the pattern or timing of revenue recognition.

Table of Contents

We also elected to early adopt the provisions of ASU 2009-14 *Software (Topic 985) Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software Elements*, a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force. We early adopted this new guidance on a prospective basis requiring implementation from the beginning of fiscal 2009. Under this new guidance certain of our Network Solutions arrangements that contain both hardware and software are no longer within the scope of ASC 985 *Software* and are now accounted for under ASC 605-25 *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements*. Because these types of arrangements make up a small portion of our business, the change in accounting treatment did not have a material impact on our financial results and is not expected to have a significant impact on the pattern or timing of revenue recognition.

Reserves for Sales Returns, Discounts, Allowances, Rebates and Distributor Price Protection Programs: We record estimated reductions to revenue for potential sales returns as well as customer programs and incentive offerings, such as discounts, allowances, rebates and distributor price protection programs. These estimates are based on contract terms, historical experience, inventory levels in the distributor channel and other factors. We believe we have sufficient historical experience to allow for reasonable and reliable estimation of these reductions to revenue.

Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts: We are required to estimate the collectibility of our trade and notes receivable. A considerable amount of judgment is required in assessing the realization of these receivables, including the current creditworthiness of each customer and related aging of past due balances. In order to assess the collectibility of these receivables, we perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers' financial condition. Through these evaluations we may become aware of a situation where a customer may not be able to meet its financial obligations due to deterioration of its financial viability, credit ratings or bankruptcy. The reserve requirements are based on the best facts available to us and are re-evaluated and adjusted as additional information is received.

Sales Taxes: We present taxes assessed by a governmental authority including sales, use, value added and excise taxes on a net basis and therefore the presentation of these taxes is excluded from our revenues and is shown as a liability on our balance sheet until remitted to the taxing authorities.

Shipping and Handling Fees: Shipping and handling fees that are collected from our customers in connection with our sales are recorded as revenue. The costs incurred with respect to shipping and handling are recorded as cost of revenues.

Derivatives: We recognize all derivatives on the consolidated balance sheets at fair value. Derivatives that are not designated as hedges are adjusted to fair value through income. For a derivative designated as a fair value hedge of a recognized asset or liability, the gain or loss is recognized in earnings in the period of change together with the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the risk being hedged. For a derivative designated as a cash flow hedge, or a derivative designated as a fair value hedge of a firm commitment not yet recorded on the balance sheet, the effective portion of the derivative's gain or loss is initially reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income and subsequently reclassified into earnings when the forecasted transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of the gain or loss associated with all hedges is reported through income immediately. In the statements of operations and cash flows, hedge activities are classified in the same category as the items being hedged. To the extent that we are required to post collateral to secure our derivative transactions we do not offset those amounts within our balance sheet.

Warranty: We provide reserves for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time revenue is recognized. We estimate the costs of our warranty obligations based on our warranty policy or applicable contractual warranty, our historical experience of known product failure rates, and use of materials and service delivery costs incurred in correcting product failures. In addition, from time to time, specific warranty accruals may be made if unforeseen technical problems arise.

The changes in the amount of warranty reserve for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008 and 2007 are as follows:

Balance at Beginning of Year	Acquisitions	Charged to Costs and Expenses	Deductions	Balance at
---------------------------------------	--------------	-------------------------------------	------------	------------

			(In millions)		End of Year
2009	\$ 8.9	\$ (0.6)	\$ (0.1)	\$ 1.9	\$ 6.3
2008	7.7	1.9	1.1	1.8	8.9
2007	9.0		1.1	2.4	7.7
		56			

Table of Contents

Deferred Financing Costs: Deferred financing costs are capitalized and amortized as interest expense on a basis that approximates the effective interest method over the terms of the related notes.

Income Taxes and Deferred Taxes: We utilize the liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred tax liabilities or assets are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the book and tax basis of assets and liabilities. We regularly assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be recovered from future income, and we record a valuation allowance to reduce our deferred tax assets to the amounts we believe to be realizable. We consider projected future income and ongoing tax planning strategies in assessing the amount of the valuation allowance. If we determine we will not realize all or part of our deferred tax assets, an adjustment to the deferred tax asset will be charged to earnings in the period such determination is made. We concluded during the third quarter of fiscal 2002 that a full valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets was appropriate as a result of our cumulative losses to that point and the full utilization of our loss carryback potential. Beginning in fiscal 2006, we determined that our recent experience generating U.S. income, along with our projection of future U.S. income, constituted significant positive evidence for partial realization of our U.S. deferred tax assets. As of September 30, 2009 we have recognized a total of \$51.6 million of our U.S. deferred tax assets expected to be realized. During the past two years we have reported pre-tax losses. These losses have primarily been attributable to non-cash impairment charges, including the impairment of non deductible goodwill. We believe our demonstrated ability to generate U.S. income absent these charges is sufficient positive evidence to recognize the \$51.6 million deferred tax asset. At one or more future dates, if sufficient positive evidence exists that it is more likely than not that additional benefits will be realized with respect to our deferred tax assets, we will release additional valuation allowance. Also, certain events, including our actual results or changes to our expectations regarding future U.S. income or other negative evidence, may result in the need to increase the valuation allowance.

Foreign Currency Translation: We convert assets and liabilities of foreign operations to their U.S. dollar equivalents at rates in effect at the balance sheet dates, and we record translation adjustments in shareowners investment. Income statements of foreign operations are translated from the operations functional currency to U.S. dollar equivalents at the exchange rate on the transaction dates or an average rate. Foreign currency exchange transaction gains and losses are reported in other income (expense), net.

We are exposed to market risk from changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Our primary risk is the effect of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations on the U.S. dollar value of foreign currency denominated operating sales and expenses. Our largest exposure comes from the Mexican peso. As of September 30, 2009, we mitigated a certain portion of our exposure to Mexican peso operating expenses through forward contracts and costless collars throughout fiscal 2010. The forward contracts enable us to purchase Mexican pesos at specified rates and the collars establish a cap and a floor on the price at which we purchase pesos. These forward contracts have been designated as cash flow hedges.

We also are exposed to foreign currency exchange risk as a result of changes in intercompany balance sheet accounts and other balance sheet items. At September 30, 2009, these balance sheet exposures were mitigated through the use of foreign exchange forward contracts with maturities of approximately one month. The principal currency exposures being mitigated were the Australian dollar, Brazilian real, British pound, Chinese renminbi, Czech koruna, euro, Mexican peso, Singapore dollar and South African rand.

Our foreign currency forward contracts and collars contain credit risk to the extent that our bank counterparties may be unable to meet the terms of the agreements. We minimize such risk by limiting our counterparties to major financial institutions of high credit quality.

Use of Estimates: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Estimates are used in determining such items as returns and allowances, depreciation and amortization lives and amounts recorded for contingencies and other reserves. Although these estimates are based on our knowledge of current events and actions we may undertake in the future, these estimates ultimately may differ from actual results.

Comprehensive Income (Loss): Components of comprehensive income (loss) include net income, foreign currency translation adjustments, unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities, unrealized gains (losses) on

derivative instruments and hedging activities, and pension obligation adjustments, net of tax. Comprehensive income is presented in the consolidated statements of shareowners' investment.

Share-Based Compensation: We use the Black-Scholes Model for purposes of determining estimated fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant. The Black-Scholes Model requires certain assumptions that involve judgment. Because our employee stock options and restricted stock units have characteristics significantly different from those of publicly traded options, and because changes in the input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, the existing models may not provide a reliable

Table of Contents

single measure of the fair value of our share-based payment awards. Management will continue to assess the assumptions and methodologies used to calculate estimated fair value of share-based compensation. Circumstances may change and additional data may become available over time, which could result in changes to these assumptions and methodologies and thereby materially impact our fair value determination. If factors change and we employ different assumptions, the compensation expense that we record may differ significantly from what we have recorded in the current period. We elected to adopt the alternative transition method provided for purposes of calculating the pool of excess tax benefits available to absorb tax deficiencies recognized.

Dividends: No cash dividends have been declared or paid during the past three years.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements: We do not have any significant off-balance sheet arrangements.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) ratified amendments to accounting guidance related to revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables, including arrangements with software elements. The revised guidance (1) changes the determination of when individual deliverables included in a multiple-element arrangement may be treated as separate units of accounting, (2) modifies the manner in which the transaction consideration is allocated across separately identified deliverables, and (3) modifies its scope, excluding certain non-software deliverable components. This guidance is effective for all fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, with early adoption permitted. We adopted this new guidance retrospectively to the beginning of our fiscal year ended September 30, 2009. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to subsequent events. This new guidance establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosures of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued or available to be issued. This new guidance requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date. This guidance was effective for us on July 31, 2009. We evaluated subsequent events through our filing date of November 20, 2009. See Note 20 for a discussion about our subsequent events.

In April 2009, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to interim disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments. This guidance requires disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments whenever a public company issues financial information for interim reporting periods. We adopted this disclosure guidance on May 2, 2009.

In April 2009, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to other-than-temporary impairments. This guidance changes existing guidance for determining whether an impairment of debt securities is other-than-temporary. This guidance requires other-than-temporary impairments to be separated into the amount representing the decrease in cash flows expected to be collected from a security (referred to as credit losses), which is recognized in earnings, and the amount related to other factors (referred to as noncredit losses), which is recognized in other comprehensive income. This noncredit loss component of the impairment may only be classified in other comprehensive income if both of the following conditions are met: (a) the holder of the security concludes that it does not intend to sell the security and (b) the holder concludes that it is more likely than not that the holder will not be required to sell the security before the security recovers its value. If these conditions are not met, the noncredit loss must also be recognized in earnings. When adopting this guidance, an entity is required to record a cumulative effect adjustment as of the beginning of the period of adoption to reclassify the noncredit component of a previously recognized other-than-temporary impairment from retained earnings to other comprehensive income. This guidance was effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. We adopted this guidance as of May 2, 2009. Based on our analysis of this guidance, we have concluded that we do not meet the conditions necessary to recognize the noncredit loss component of the other-than-temporary impairment in our available-for-sale auction-rate securities in other comprehensive income. Accordingly, we did not reclassify any previously recognized other-than-temporary impairment losses from retained earnings to other comprehensive income. Thus, the adoption of this guidance had no impact on our consolidated financial statements. Refer to Notes 6 and 17 for further discussion of our investments in available-for-sale securities.

In March 2008, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to disclosures about derivative instruments and hedging activities. The guidance applies to all derivative instruments and non-derivative instruments that are designed and qualify as hedging instruments and related hedged items. The provisions require entities to provide greater transparency through additional disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. We adopted this disclosure guidance on January 31, 2009.

In September 2006, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to fair value measurements. The guidance was effective for us for financial assets and liabilities on November 1, 2008. The guidance provides enhanced guidance for using fair value to measure

Table of Contents

assets and liabilities. The guidance applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. The standard does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. The adoption of the guidance had no material impact on our consolidated financial statements. In February 2008, the FASB issued guidance delaying the effective date for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities until the beginning of fiscal 2010, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). We are currently evaluating the impact that the application of guidance to nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities may have on our consolidated financial statements. Our significant nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities that may be impacted by the adoption of the guidance include fixed assets and intangible assets.

New Pronouncements Issued But Not Yet Adopted

In December 2007, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to business combinations and noncontrolling interest in consolidated financial statements. The guidance requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize and measure all assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their respective acquisition date fair values and changes other practices, which could have a material impact on how we account for business combinations. These provisions will only impact us if we are party to a business combination subsequent to the adoption of this guidance. The guidance also requires noncontrolling (minority) interest in a subsidiary to be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements, separate from the parent's equity. We are required to adopt this guidance for our fiscal 2010. We are currently evaluating the impact these provisions may have on our consolidated financial statements.

Note 2: Other Financial Statement Data*Other Income (Expense), Net:*

	2009	2008 (In millions)	2007
Interest income on investments	\$ 8.4	\$ 31.0	\$ 33.3
Interest expense on borrowings	(25.8)	(28.2)	(16.3)
Interest income (expense), net	(17.4)	2.8	17.0
Foreign exchange income (loss)	(1.0)	(1.8)	5.9
Gain on investments			57.5
Write-down of available-for-sale securities	(18.4)	(100.6)	(29.4)
Write-down of cost method investment	(3.0)		
Gain (loss) on sale of fixed assets	0.9	(0.5)	(0.7)
Other	0.9	0.2	(1.5)
Subtotal	(20.6)	(102.7)	31.8
Total other income (expense), net	\$ (38.0)	\$ (99.9)	\$ 48.8

During fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, we recorded impairment charges of \$18.4 million, \$100.6 million and \$29.4 million, respectively, to reduce the carrying value of certain auction-rate securities we hold. As of September 30, 2009, we held auction-rate securities with a fair value of \$24.3 million and an original par value of \$169.8 million. Given the current state of the credit markets, we will continue to assess the fair value of our auction-rate securities for substantive changes in relevant market conditions, changes in financial condition or other changes in these investments when we prepare our quarterly and annual financial results. We may be required to record additional losses for impairment if we determine there are further declines in fair value.

On January 26, 2007, we entered into an agreement with certain other holders of securities of BigBand to sell our entire interest in BigBand for approximately \$58.9 million in gross proceeds. Our interest in BigBand had been carried

at a nominal value. A portion of our interest was held in the form of a warrant to purchase BigBand shares with an aggregate exercise price of approximately \$1.8 million. On February 16, 2007, we exercised our warrant and then immediately completed the sale of our BigBand stock. This transaction resulted in a gain of approximately \$57.1 million. This gain did not have a tax provision impact due to a reduction of the valuation allowance attributable to U.S. deferred tax assets utilized to offset the gain.

Table of Contents

The change in net interest income (loss) from fiscal 2007 through fiscal 2009 was predominately due to significantly lower interest income rates on cash investments.

Supplemental Cash Flow Information:

	2009	2008 (In millions)	2007
Income taxes paid, net of refunds received	\$ 4.5	\$ 3.5	\$ 12.9
Interest paid	\$ 29.1	\$ 26.3	\$ 17.3

Supplemental Schedule of Investing Activities:

	2009	2008 (In millions)	2007
Acquisitions:			
Fair value of assets acquired	\$ (1.8)	\$ (279.0)	\$ (6.9)
Less: Liabilities assumed	(1.7)	71.9	5.3
LGC options exchanged for ADC options		3.0	
Cash acquired		5.8	
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	\$ (3.5)	\$ (198.3)	\$ (1.6)
Divestitures:			
Proceeds from divestitures	\$ 3.3	\$	\$ 0.6
Cash disposed			
Divestitures, net of cash disposed	\$ 3.3	\$	\$ 0.6

Consolidated Balance Sheet Information:

	2009 (In millions)	2008
Inventories:		
Manufactured products	\$ 111.8	\$ 132.9
Purchased materials	55.4	73.1
Work-in-process	5.9	7.4
Less: Inventory reserve	(42.0)	(50.7)
Total inventories, net	\$ 131.1	\$ 162.7
Property and Equipment:		
Land and buildings	\$ 135.4	\$ 134.7
Machinery and equipment	391.6	404.6
Furniture and fixtures	38.0	38.9
Less accumulated depreciation	(410.7)	(407.7)
Total	154.3	170.5
Construction-in-process	9.1	6.6
Total property and equipment, net	\$ 163.4	\$ 177.1

Other Assets:

Notes receivable, net	\$ 0.7	\$ 0.7
Deferred financing costs	8.9	10.6
Deferred tax asset	54.4	48.0
Long-term receivable		4.2
Investment in cost method investees	13.3	15.1
Deposits	6.1	3.6
Assets held for sale		2.9
Other	2.9	4.1
 Total other assets	 \$ 86.3	 \$ 89.2

Other Accrued Liabilities:

Deferred revenue	\$ 3.3	\$ 6.4
Warranty reserve	6.3	8.9
Accrued taxes (non-income)	10.8	12.8
Non-trade payables	44.8	42.9
 Total other accrued liabilities	 \$ 65.2	 \$ 71.0

Table of Contents

Depreciation expense was \$36.7 million, \$41.2 million and \$37.4 million for fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Note 3: Acquisitions

LGC

On December 3, 2007, we completed the acquisition of LGC Wireless, Inc. (*LGC*), a provider of in-building wireless solution products, headquartered in San Jose, California. These products increase the quality and capacity of wireless networks by permitting voice and data signals to penetrate building structures and by distributing these signals evenly throughout the building. LGC also offers products that permit voice and data signals to reach remote locations. The acquisition was made to enable us to participate in this high growth segment of the industry.

We acquired all of the outstanding capital stock and warrants of LGC for \$143.3 million in cash (net of cash acquired). We acquired \$58.9 million of intangible assets as part of this purchase. Goodwill of \$85.4 million was recorded in this transaction and assigned to our Network Solutions segment. This goodwill is not deductible for tax purposes. We also assumed debt of \$17.3 million associated with this acquisition, the majority of which was paid off by the second quarter of fiscal 2008. The results of LGC, subsequent to December 3, 2007, are included in our consolidated statements of operations.

Option holders of LGC shares were given the opportunity either to receive a cash payment for their options or exchange their options for options to acquire ADC shares. Certain LGC option holders received \$9.1 million in cash payments for their options. The remaining option holders received ADC options with a fair value of \$3.5 million as of the close of the acquisition. Of this \$3.5 million, \$3.0 million was added to the purchase price of LGC and the remaining \$0.5 million will be recognized over the remaining vesting period that ends in September 2011.

Century Man

On January 10, 2008, we completed the acquisition of Shenzhen Century Man Communication Equipment Co., Ltd. and certain affiliated entities (*Century Man*), a leading provider of communication distribution frame solutions, headquartered in Shenzhen, China. The acquisition was made to accelerate our growth in the Chinese connectivity market, as well as provide us with additional products designed to meet the needs of customers in developing markets outside of China.

We acquired Century Man for \$52.3 million in cash (net of cash acquired). The former shareholders of Century Man may be paid up to an additional \$15.0 million (the *earn out*) if, during the three years following closing, certain financial results are achieved by the acquired business. We paid the first \$5.0 million installment of this earn out in March 2009. In addition, a \$0.4 million payment was made to the former shareholders for the effect of changes in foreign exchange rates on the installment payment. These amounts were recorded as increases to the goodwill associated with these transactions.

Of the purchase price, \$7.5 million was placed in escrow for up to 24 months following the close of the transaction. Of the \$7.5 million, \$7.0 million relates to potential indemnification claims and \$0.5 million relates to the disposition of certain properties. As of September 30, 2009, \$3.5 million of the total escrow amount had been released to the former shareholders of Century Man. In addition, a \$0.3 million payment was made to the former shareholders for the effect of changes in foreign exchange rates on the amount of escrow released in accordance with the escrow agreement. This payment was accounted for as additional contingent consideration and increased goodwill accordingly.

We acquired \$13.0 million of intangible assets as part of this purchase. Goodwill of \$36.7 million was recorded in this transaction and assigned to our Global Connectivity Solutions (*Connectivity*) segment. This goodwill is not deductible for tax purposes. The results of Century Man, subsequent to January 10, 2008, are included in our consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the allocation of the purchase price to the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of each acquisition described above, in accordance with the purchase method of accounting, including adjustments to the purchase prices made through September 30, 2009:

	LGC December 3, 2007	Century Man January 10, 2008
	(In millions)	
Current assets	\$ 44.9	\$ 33.1
Intangible assets	58.9	13.0
Goodwill	85.4	36.7
Other long-term assets	3.3	0.5
 Total assets acquired	 192.5	 83.3
 Current liabilities	 42.9	 26.0
Long-term liabilities	2.5	
 Total liabilities assumed	 45.4	 26.0
 Net assets acquired	 147.1	 57.3
LGC options exchanged for ADC options	3.0	
Less cash acquired	0.8	5.0
 Net cash paid	 \$ 143.3	 \$ 52.3

Unaudited pro forma consolidated results of continuing operations, as though the acquisitions of LGC and Century Man had taken place at the beginning of fiscal 2008 and 2007 are as follows:

	2008 (In millions, except per share data)	2007
Net sales	\$ 1,480.9	\$ 1,412.3
Income (loss) from continuing operations(1)	\$ (41.6)	\$ 119.6
Net income (loss)	\$ (40.0)	\$ 102.4
Income (loss) per share from continuing operations basic	\$ (0.36)	\$ 1.02
Income (loss) per share from continuing operations diluted	\$ (0.36)	\$ 0.98
Net income (loss) per share basic	\$ (0.34)	\$ 0.87
Net income (loss) per share diluted	\$ (0.34)	\$ 0.85

(1) Includes restructuring and impairment charges of \$15.2 million and \$7.8 million for fiscal 2008 and 2007,

respectively, for
the ADC
stand-alone
business.

The unaudited pro forma results of operations are for comparative purposes only and do not necessarily reflect the results that would have occurred had the acquisitions occurred at the beginning of the periods presented or the results that may occur in the future.

The allocation of the purchase prices for LGC and Century Man to the assets and liabilities acquired was finalized in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 and did not result in any material adjustments. See Note 7 for a discussion of the goodwill and intangible asset impairments recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2009.

Note 4: Discontinued Operations

The financial results of the businesses described below are reported separately as discontinued operations for all periods presented.

APS Germany

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, our Board of Directors approved a plan to divest APS Germany. We classified this business as a discontinued operation in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008. This business was previously included in our Professional Services segment. On July 31, 2009, we sold all of the capital stock of our subsidiary that operated our APS Germany business to telent Investments Limited for a cash purchase price of \$3.3 million, subject to a customary working capital adjustment. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, we recorded an additional loss on the sale of \$0.6 million as a result of a working capital adjustment, resulting in a total loss on sale of \$5.2 million which included \$0.7 million related to the write-off of the currency translation adjustment. We anticipate payment of the working capital adjustment in the first quarter of fiscal 2010.

Table of Contents***APS France***

On January 12, 2007, we completed the sale of certain assets of APS France to a subsidiary of Groupe Circet, a French company, for a cash price of \$0.1 million. We recorded an additional loss of \$4.7 million in fiscal 2007 due to subsequent working capital adjustments and additional expenses related to the finalization of the sale, resulting in a total loss on sale of \$27.3 million.

The following represents the financial results of APS Germany and APS France businesses included in discontinued operations:

	2009	2008 (In millions)	2007
Net sales	\$ 18.9	\$ 37.2	\$ 54.0
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net	\$ (3.4)	\$ 2.5	\$ (12.4)
Gain (loss) on sale or write-down of discontinued operations, net	(5.2)		(4.8)
Total from discontinued operations	\$ (8.6)	\$ 2.5	\$ (17.2)

Note 5: Net Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations Per Share

The following table presents a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of basic and diluted income (loss) per share from continuing operations:

	2009	2008 (In millions, except per share data)	2007
Numerator:			
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (465.7)	\$ (44.4)	\$ 123.5
Interest expense for convertible notes			13.7
	\$ (465.7)	\$ (44.4)	\$ 137.2
Denominator:			
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic	97.4	117.1	117.4
Convertible bonds converted to common stock			14.2
Employee options and other			0.3
Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted	97.4	117.1	131.9
Basic income (loss) per share from continuing operations	\$ (4.78)	\$ (0.38)	\$ 1.05
Diluted income (loss) per share from continuing operations	\$ (4.78)	\$ (0.38)	\$ 1.04

Excluded from the dilutive securities described above are employee stock options to acquire 7.6 million, 6.8 million and 5.9 million shares as of fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. These exclusions are made if the exercise prices of these options are greater than the average market price of the common stock for the period, or if we have net losses, both of which have an anti-dilutive effect.

We are required to use the if-converted method for computing diluted earnings per share with respect to the shares reserved for issuance upon conversion of the notes (described in detail below and in Note 8). Under this method, we add back the interest expense and the amortization of financing expenses on the convertible notes to net income and then divide this amount by our total outstanding shares, including those shares reserved for issuance upon conversion of the notes. During fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, our convertible debt consists of the following:

	September 30, 2009	Convertible Shares		Conversion Price
		October 31, 2008	October 31, 2007	
Convertible Subordinated Notes			(in millions)	
\$200 million, 1.0% fixed rate, paid June 15, 2008		7.1	7.1	\$28.091
\$200 million, 6-month LIBOR plus 0.375%, due June 15, 2013	7.1	7.1	7.1	28.091
\$225 million, 3.5% fixed rate, due July 15, 2015	8.3	8.3	8.3	27.000
\$225 million, 3.5% fixed rate, due July 15, 2017	7.9	7.9	7.9	28.550
Total	23.3	30.4	30.4	

Prior to June 15, 2008, the 2008 notes and 2013 notes were evaluated for dilution effects together by adding back their associated interest expense and dividing this amount by our total shares, including all 14.2 million shares that could be issued upon conversion of these notes. These notes were evaluated together for dilution effects as the conversion price was the same on both. Since the 2008 notes have been paid, the 2013 notes are now evaluated alone by adding back the appropriate interest expense and dividing this amount by our total shares, including the 7.1 million shares that could be issued upon conversion of these notes. Additionally, the

Table of Contents

2015 notes and 2017 notes are evaluated separately by adding back the appropriate interest expense from each and dividing by our total shares, including all 8.3 million and 7.9 million shares, respectively, that could be issued upon conversion of each of these notes. Based upon these calculations, all shares reserved for issuance upon conversion of our convertible notes were excluded for fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008 because of their anti-dilutive effect. However, the shares related to the 2008 notes and 2013 notes were included for fiscal 2007.

Note 6: Investments

As of September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008, our available-for-sale securities consisted of the following:

	Cost Basis	Unrealized Gain	Realized Loss (In millions)	Other-Than- Temporary Impairment Loss	Fair Value
Fiscal 2009					
Corporate bonds	\$ 50.7	\$ 0.4	\$	\$	\$ 51.1
Equity securities	0.1	0.1	0.1		
Auction-rate securities	169.8	2.3		(18.4)	24.3 ⁽¹⁾
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 220.6	\$ 2.8	\$ 0.1	\$ (18.4)	\$ 75.4
Fiscal 2008					
Equity securities	\$ 0.1	\$	\$	\$	\$ 0.1
Auction-rate securities	169.8	0.6		(100.6)	40.4 ⁽²⁾
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 169.9	\$ 0.6	\$	\$ (100.6)	\$ 40.5

(1) Net of cumulative unrealized gains of \$2.9 million and other-than-temporary losses of \$148.4 million

(2) Net of cumulative losses of \$130.0 million

Securities classified as available-for-sale are carried at estimated fair value with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax if applicable, recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Upon the sale of a security classified as available-for-sale the amount reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income into earnings is based on the specific identification method.

As of September 30, 2009, we held auction-rate securities with a fair value of \$24.3 million and an original par value of \$169.8 million, which are classified as long-term. During fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, we recorded other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$18.4 million, \$100.6 million and \$29.4 million, respectively, to reduce the fair value of our holdings in auction-rate securities to \$24.3 million. Current capital market conditions have significantly reduced our ability to liquidate our remaining auction-rate securities. We may not be able to liquidate any of these auction-rate securities until either a future auction is successful or, in the event secondary market sales become available, we decide to sell the securities in a secondary market. A secondary market sale of any of these securities could take a significant amount of time to complete and could potentially result in a further loss.

Our auction-rate security investments have made their scheduled interest payments based on a par value of \$169.8 million at September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008, with the exception of certain of our investments having a combined par value of \$49.0 million and \$16.8 million, respectively, all of which have been fully written off. In addition, the interest rates have been set to the maximum rate defined for the issuer where applicable.

Due to the failed auction status and lack of liquidity in the market for such securities, the valuation methodology includes certain assumptions that were not supported by prices from observable current market transactions in the same instruments nor were they based on observable market data. With the assistance of a valuation specialist, we estimated the fair value of the auction-rate securities based on the following: (1) the underlying structure of each security; (2) the present value of future principal and interest payments discounted at rates considered to reflect current market conditions; (3) consideration of the probabilities of default, passing auction, or earning the maximum rate for each period; and (4) estimates of the recovery rates in the event of defaults for each security. These estimated fair values could change significantly based on future market conditions.

We have commenced arbitration against Merrill Lynch and its agent/broker who worked on our account in connection with their sale of auction-rate securities to us. The par value of the auction-rate securities at issue in our claim is approximately \$138.0 million. We presently expect our arbitration hearing to take place during June 2010. Lehman Brothers sold us all other auction-rate-securities

Table of Contents

that we hold. We have made a claim in the Lehman Brothers bankruptcy proceeding with respect to these securities. We are uncertain whether we will recover any of our losses associated with these securities sold to us by Merrill Lynch and Lehman Brothers at this time.

During fiscal 2009, we purchased \$51.4 million, including accrued interest, of unsecured notes backed by a guarantee from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). The contractual maturity of these notes is December 1, 2010.

During fiscal 2009, we also invested an additional \$1.2 million in ip.access, Ltd., a U.K. based company. During fiscal 2008, we invested \$4.0 million in ip.access, Ltd. and an additional \$1.2 million in E-Band Communications Corporation. These investments were accounted for under the cost method and are included in the other assets line item of the balance sheet. The carrying amount of ip.access, Ltd. was \$13.3 million at September 30, 2009 and \$12.1 million at October 31, 2008. The carrying amount of E-Band Communications Corporation was zero at September 30, 2009 and \$3.0 million at October 31, 2008. We regularly evaluate the recoverability of these investments based on the performance and financial position of these companies. As a result of this analysis, we recorded a \$3.0 million other-than-temporary impairment of our entire investment in E-Band Communications Corporation during fiscal 2009.

During fiscal 2008, we received proceeds of \$39.7 million and recorded a nominal realized gain related to the sale of a portion of our available-for-sale securities. During fiscal 2009, we received proceeds of \$0.2 million and recorded a \$0.1 million realized loss related to the sale of our equity securities.

Note 7: Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill is tested for impairment annually, or more frequently if potential interim indicators exist that could result in impairment. We perform impairment reviews at a reporting unit level and use a discounted cash flow model based on management's judgment and assumptions to determine the estimated fair value of each reporting unit. Our three operating segments, Connectivity, Network Solutions and Professional Services are considered the reporting units. An impairment loss generally would be recognized when the carrying amount of the reporting unit's net assets exceeds the estimated fair value of the reporting unit.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, due to the global recession and related adverse business conditions that resulted in reduced estimates to our near-term cash flow and a sustained decline in our market capitalization, we performed a goodwill impairment analysis for our two reporting units that contained goodwill, Connectivity and Network Solutions. The analysis, which utilized forecasts and estimates based on assumptions that were consistent with the forecasts and estimates we were using to manage our business at that time, resulted in the recognition of impairment charges for both reporting units. Accordingly, we recorded impairment charges of \$366.2 million to reduce the carrying value of goodwill. We finalized this analysis in our second quarter of fiscal 2009 and recognized an additional goodwill impairment charge of \$0.4 million.

We record impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations and finite lived intangible assets when events and circumstances indicate the assets might be impaired and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than their carrying amounts. Any impairment loss is measured by comparing the fair value of the asset to its carrying amount.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we performed an impairment analysis of intangible assets held in our Connectivity and Network Solutions reporting units. The analysis, which utilized forecasts and estimates based on assumptions that were consistent with the forecasts and estimates we were using to manage our business at that time, resulted in the recognition of impairment charges for Network Solutions. Accordingly, we recorded impairment charges of \$47.3 million to reduce the carrying value of these long-lived intangible assets. Further deterioration of the estimates used in our impairment analysis could result in additional impairments of intangible assets in a future period.

Table of Contents

The following are changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008:

	Connectivity	Network Solutions (In millions)	Total
Balance as of October 31, 2007	\$ 238.4	\$	\$ 238.4
Goodwill acquired during the year	35.3	85.3	120.6
Cumulative translation adjustment	1.4		1.4
Other	(1.1)		(1.1)
Balance as of October 31, 2008	274.0	85.3	359.3
Purchase accounting adjustments	6.5	0.1	6.6
Cumulative translation adjustments	0.3		0.3
Other	0.4		0.4
Impairment	(281.2)	(85.4)	(366.6)
Balance as of September 30, 2009	\$	\$	\$

In connection with the acquisition of LGC, we recorded intangible assets of \$58.9 million related to customer relationships and technology. As previously described, these intangibles were subsequently impaired. In connection with the acquisition of Century Man, we recorded intangible assets of \$13.0 million related to customer relationships, technology and non-compete agreements, which were not impacted by the impairments described above.

The following table represents intangible assets by category and accumulated amortization as of September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008:

	Gross			Estimated Life Range (In Years)
2009	Carrying Amounts	Accumulated Amortization	Net	
		(In millions)		
Technology	\$ 57.9	\$ 47.8	\$ 10.1	5-7
Trade name/trademarks	26.2	8.0	18.2	2-20
Distributor network	10.1	5.4	4.7	10
Customer list	50.5	29.3	21.2	2-7
Patents	53.2	24.4	28.8	3-7
Non-compete agreements	13.0	10.6	2.4	2-5
Other	26.8	18.9	7.9	1-14
Total	\$ 237.7	\$ 144.4	\$ 93.3	8 ⁽¹⁾
	Gross			Estimated Life Range (In Years)
2008	Carrying Amounts	Accumulated Amortization	Net	

			(In millions)		
Technology	\$ 100.7	\$	45.3	\$ 55.4	5-7
Trade name/trademarks	27.6		7.5	20.1	2-20
Distributor network	10.1		4.5	5.6	10
Customer list	63.8		24.7	39.1	2-7
Patents	44.1		18.5	25.6	3-7
Non-compete agreements	13.0		8.4	4.6	2-5
Other	28.1		17.4	10.7	1-14
Total	\$ 287.4	\$	126.3	\$ 161.1	7 ₍₁₎

(1) Weighted
average life.

Table of Contents

In connection with our plan to discontinue certain outdoor wireless coverage products, we recorded an intangible asset write-off of \$3.4 million in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008 related to patents and non-compete agreements. Amortization expense was \$29.7 million, \$41.2 million and \$29.3 million for fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Included in amortization expense is \$23.5 million, \$34.4 million and \$24.0 million of acquired intangible amortization for fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The estimated amortization expense for identified intangible assets is as follows for the periods indicated:

	(In millions)
2010	\$ 25.5
2011	17.6
2012	15.2
2013	9.7
2014	6.6
Thereafter	18.7
Total	\$ 93.3

Note 8: Notes Payable

Long-term debt as of September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008 consist of the following:

	September 30, 2009	October 31, 2008 (In millions)
Convertible subordinated notes, six-month LIBOR plus 0.375%, due June 15, 2013	\$ 200.0	\$ 200.0
Convertible subordinated notes, 3.5% fixed rate, due July 15, 2015	225.0	225.0
Convertible subordinated notes, 3.5% fixed rate, due July 15, 2017	225.0	225.0
Total convertible subordinated notes	650.0	650.0
Other, variable rate, various due dates	1.6	3.3
Total debt	651.6	653.3
Less: Current portion of long-term debt	0.6	2.6
Long-term debt	\$ 651.0	\$ 650.7

On December 26, 2007, we issued \$450.0 million of 3.5% fixed rate convertible unsecured subordinated notes. The notes were issued in two tranches of \$225.0 million each. The first tranche matures on July 15, 2015 (2015 notes), and the second tranche matures on July 15, 2017 (2017 notes). The notes are convertible into shares of common stock of ADC, based on, in the case of the 2015 notes, an initial base conversion rate of 37.0336 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount and, in the case of the 2017 notes, an initial base conversion rate of 35.0318 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount, in each case subject to adjustment in certain circumstances. This represents an initial base conversion price of approximately \$27.00 per share in the case of the 2015 notes and approximately \$28.55 per share in the case of the 2017 notes, representing a 75% and 85% conversion premium, respectively, based on the closing price of \$15.43 per share of ADC's common stock on December 19, 2007. In addition, if at the time of conversion the applicable stock price of ADC's common stock exceeds the base conversion price, the conversion rate will be increased. The amount of the increase will be measured by a formula. The formula

first calculates a fraction. The numerator of the fraction is the applicable stock price of ADC's common stock at the time of conversion less the initial base conversion price per share (i.e., approximately \$27.00 in the case of the 2015 notes and approximately \$28.55 in the case of the 2017 notes). The denominator of the fraction is the applicable stock price of ADC's common stock at the time of conversion. This fraction is then multiplied by an incremental share factor, which is 27.7752 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of 2015 notes and 29.7770 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of 2017 notes. The notes of each series are subordinated to existing and future senior indebtedness of ADC.

On June 4, 2003, we issued \$400.0 million of convertible unsecured subordinated notes in two separate transactions. In the first transaction, we issued \$200.0 million of 1.0% fixed rate convertible unsecured subordinated notes that matured on June 15, 2008. We paid the \$200.0 million fixed rate notes in June 2008. In the second transaction, we issued \$200.0 million of convertible unsecured subordinated notes that have a variable interest rate and mature on June 15, 2013. The interest rate for the variable rate notes is equal to 6-month LIBOR plus 0.375%. The holders of the variable rate notes may convert all or some of their notes into shares of our common stock at any time prior to maturity at a conversion price of \$28.091 per share. We may redeem any or all of the variable rate notes at any time on or after June 23, 2008. A fixed interest rate swap was entered into for the variable rate note.

From time to time, we may use interest rate swaps to manage interest costs and the risk associated with changing interest rates. We do not enter into interest rate swaps for speculative purposes. On April 29, 2008, we entered into an interest rate swap effective June 15, 2008, for a notional amount of \$200.0 million. The interest rate swap hedges the exposure to changes in interest rates of our \$200.0 million of convertible unsecured subordinated notes that have a variable interest rate of six-month LIBOR plus 0.375% and a

Table of Contents

maturity date of June 15, 2013. We have designated the interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge for accounting purposes. The swap is structured so that we receive six-month LIBOR and pay a fixed rate of 4.0% (before the credit spread of 0.375%). The variable portion we receive resets semiannually and both sides of the swap are settled net semiannually based on the \$200.0 million notional amount. The swap matures concurrently with the end of the debt obligation.

On January 30, 2009, we terminated the \$200.0 million secured five-year revolving credit facility that we entered into in April 2008. This facility had no outstanding balances when it was terminated. As a consequence of terminating our revolving credit facility, we recorded a non-operating charge of \$1.0 million to write-off the deferred financing costs associated with the facility.

The assets that secured the facility also served as collateral for our interest rate swap on our \$200.0 million convertible unsecured floating rate notes that mature in 2013. As a result of the facility's termination, we were required to pledge cash collateral to secure the interest rate swap. As of September 30, 2009, we pledged \$13.2 million of cash to secure the interest rate swap termination value, which is included in our restricted cash balance. This collateral amount could vary significantly as it fluctuates with the forward LIBOR.

We estimate the fair market value of our long-term notes payable to be approximately \$475.0 million and \$350.0 million at September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008, respectively.

Concurrent with the issuance of our variable rate notes (due June 2013), we purchased ten-year call options on our common stock to reduce the potential dilution from conversion of the notes. Under the terms of these call options, which become exercisable upon conversion of the notes, we have the right to purchase from the counterparty at a purchase price of \$28.091 per share the aggregate number of shares that we are obligated to issue upon conversion of the variable rate notes, which is a maximum of 7.1 million shares. We also have the option to settle the call options with the counterparty through a net share settlement or cash settlement, either of which would be based on the extent to which the then-current market price of our common stock exceeds \$28.091 per share. The cost of the call options was partially offset by the sale of warrants to acquire shares of our common stock with a term of ten years to the same counterparty with whom we entered into the call options. The warrants are exercisable for an aggregate of 7.1 million shares at an exercise price of \$36.96 per share. The warrants become exercisable upon conversion of the notes, and may be settled, at our option, either through a net share settlement or a net cash settlement, either of which would be based on the extent to which the then-current market price of our common stock exceeds \$36.96 per share. The net effect of the call options and the warrants is either to reduce the potential dilution from the conversion of the notes (if we elect net share settlement) or to increase the net cash proceeds of the offering (if we elect net cash settlement) if the notes are converted at a time when the current market price of our common stock is greater than \$28.091 per share.

Note 9: Common Stock Repurchase Plan and Shareowner Rights Plan

On August 12, 2008, our Board of Directors approved a share repurchase program for up to \$150.0 million. The program provided that share repurchases could commence beginning in September 2008 and continue until the earlier of the completion of \$150.0 million in share repurchases or July 31, 2009. In early December 2008, we completed this \$150.0 million repurchase program at an average price of \$7.04 per share, resulting in 21.3 million shares being purchased under the program.

We have a shareowner rights plan intended to preserve the long-term value of ADC to our shareowners by discouraging a hostile takeover. Under the shareowner rights plan, each outstanding share of our common stock has an associated preferred stock purchase right. The rights are exercisable only if a person or group acquires 15% or more of our outstanding common stock. If the rights become exercisable, the rights would allow their holders (other than the acquiring person or group) to purchase fractional shares of our preferred stock (each of which is the economic equivalent of one share of common stock) or stock of the company acquiring us at a price equal to one-half of the then-current value of our common stock. The dilutive effect of the rights on the acquiring person or group is intended to encourage such person or group to negotiate with our Board of Directors prior to attempting a takeover. If our Board of Directors believes a proposed acquisition of ADC is in the best interests of ADC and our shareowners, our Board of Directors may amend the shareowner rights plan or redeem the rights for a nominal amount in order to permit the acquisition to be completed without interference from the plan.

Table of Contents**Note 10: Income Taxes**

The components of the income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes are:

	2009	2008 (In millions)	2007
United States	\$ (350.5)	\$ (26.6)	\$ 144.5
Foreign	(118.3)	(11.6)	(17.7)
Total income (loss) before income taxes	\$ (468.8)	\$ (38.2)	\$ 126.8

The components of the provision (benefit) for income taxes from continuing operations are:

	2009	2008 (In millions)	2007
Current taxes:			
Federal	\$ (1.2)	\$ (0.4)	\$ 0.3
Foreign	3.8	2.4	8.3
State	0.2	0.6	0.5
	2.8	2.6	9.1
Deferred taxes:			
Federal	(4.3)	4.4	(5.0)
Foreign	(1.6)	(0.8)	(0.8)
State			
	(5.9)	3.6	(5.8)
Total (benefit) provision	\$ (3.1)	\$ 6.2	\$ 3.3

We recorded an income tax provision (benefit) for discontinued operations, primarily related to the resolution of income tax contingencies of (\$0.4) million and (\$1.2) million during fiscal 2008 and 2007, respectively.

As follows, the effective income tax rate differs from the federal statutory rate from continuing operations:

	2009	2008	2007
Federal statutory rate	35%	35%	35%
Change in deferred tax asset valuation allowance	(10)	(59)	(24)
Non-deductible impairment charges	(21)		
State income taxes, net			1
Foreign income taxes	(2)	13	(11)
Other, net	(1)	(5)	2
Effective income tax rate	1%	(16)%	3%

We do not record income tax benefits in most jurisdictions where we incur pretax losses because the deferred tax assets generated by the losses have been offset with a corresponding increase in the valuation allowance. Likewise, we do not record income tax expense in most jurisdictions where we have pretax income because the deferred tax assets utilized to reduce income taxes payable have been offset with a corresponding reduction in the valuation allowance.

Edgar Filing: ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-KT

During fiscal 2009 capital loss carryforwards of \$210.9 million expired. There was no impact to our tax provision because the reduction in the deferred tax asset relating to the capital loss carryforward was offset with a corresponding reduction in the valuation allowance.

The following was the composition of deferred tax assets (liabilities) as of September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008:

	2009	2008
	(In millions)	
Current deferred tax assets:		
Asset valuation reserves	\$ 11.2	\$ 17.6
Accrued liabilities	28.7	28.3
Net operating loss and tax credit carryover		7.0
Subtotal	39.9	52.9

Table of Contents

	2009	2008
	(In millions)	
Non-current deferred tax assets:		
Intangible assets	176.3	188.4
Depreciation	16.6	15.8
Net operating loss and tax credit carryover	561.5	541.4
Capital loss carryover	1.4	212.4
Research and development	21.4	
Investments and other	78.6	63.0
Subtotal	855.8	1,021.0
Total deferred tax assets	895.7	1,073.9
Current deferred tax liabilities:		
Accrued liabilities	(1.8)	(3.6)
Subtotal	(1.8)	(3.6)
Non-current deferred tax liabilities:		
Intangible assets	(19.7)	(45.7)
Investments and other	(9.4)	(9.2)
Subtotal	(29.1)	(54.9)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(30.9)	(58.5)
Net deferred tax assets	864.8	1,015.4
Deferred tax asset valuation allowance	(809.3)	(965.1)
Net deferred tax asset	\$ 55.5	\$ 50.3

During the third quarter of fiscal 2002, we concluded that a full valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets was appropriate. A deferred tax asset represents future tax benefits to be received when certain expenses and losses previously recognized in the financial statements become deductible under applicable income tax laws. Thus, realization of a deferred tax asset is dependent on future taxable income against which these deductions can be applied. A valuation allowance is required to be established when it is more likely than not that all or a portion of deferred tax assets will not be realized. A review of all available positive and negative evidence needs to be considered, including a company's performance, the market environment in which the company operates, the utilization of past tax credits, length of carryback and carryforward periods, and existing contracts or sales backlog that will result in future profits. As a result of the cumulative losses we incurred in prior years, we previously concluded that a nearly full valuation allowance should be recorded. Beginning in fiscal 2006, we determined that our recent experience generating U.S. income, along with our projection of future U.S. income, constituted significant positive evidence for partial realization of our U.S. deferred tax assets. As of September 30, 2009 we have recognized a total of \$51.6 million of our U.S. deferred tax assets expected to be realized. During the past two years we have reported pre-tax losses. These losses have primarily been attributable to non-cash impairment charges, including the impairment of non deductible goodwill. We believe our demonstrated ability to generate U.S. income absent these charges is sufficient positive evidence to recognize the \$51.6 million deferred tax asset. At one or more future dates, if

sufficient positive evidence exists that it is more likely than not that additional benefits will be realized with respect to our deferred tax assets, we will release additional valuation allowance. Also, certain events, including our actual results or changes to our expectations regarding future U.S. income or other negative evidence, may result in the need to increase the valuation allowance.

The U.S. Internal Revenue Service has completed its examination of our federal income tax returns for all years prior to fiscal 2007. In addition, we are subject to examinations in several states and foreign jurisdictions.

At September 30, 2009, federal and state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$1,116.0 million and \$65.4 million, respectively, were available to offset future income. Most of the federal net operating loss carryforwards expire between fiscal 2019 and fiscal 2029, and the state operating loss carryforwards expire between fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2029. Federal capital loss carryforwards which expire in fiscal 2010 were approximately \$3.9 million. Federal and state credit carryforwards were approximately \$51.0 and \$18.0 million, respectively, and expire between fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2028. Foreign net operating loss carryforwards were approximately \$197.0 million.

Deferred federal income taxes are not provided on the undistributed cumulative earnings of foreign subsidiaries because such earnings are considered to be invested permanently in those operations. At September 30, 2009, such earnings were approximately \$27.4 million. The amount of unrecognized deferred tax liability on such earnings was approximately \$6.3 million.

In connection with our acquisition of LGC during fiscal 2008, we recorded \$19.1 million of deferred tax assets and a valuation allowance of \$19.1 million. In connection with our acquisition of Century Man during fiscal 2008, we recorded \$0.4 million of income tax receivables and \$2.1 million of deferred tax liabilities.

Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2009, the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets recorded in connection with our acquisitions was \$37.8 million. The reversal of this valuation allowance in future years would be recorded as a reduction of noncurrent intangible assets in the amount of \$18.7 million and a reduction of income tax provision in the amount of \$19.1 million. However, with the adoption of ASC 805 *Business Combinations* effective October 1, 2009, any reversal of this valuation allowance will be recorded solely as a reduction of income tax provision.

During fiscal 2009, our valuation allowance decreased from \$965.1 million to \$809.3 million. The decrease is comprised of (\$210.9) related to expiration of capital loss carryforward, offset by the establishment of \$46.4 million related to continuing operations and \$8.7 million related to shareholders' investment and other items.

During fiscal 2008, our valuation allowance increased from \$944.5 million to \$965.1 million. The increase is comprised of \$20.7 million related to continuing operations, \$18.6 million recorded in connection with our acquisition of LGC and (\$18.7) million related to shareholders' investment and other items.

During fiscal 2007, our valuation allowance decreased from \$974.1 million to \$944.5 million. The decrease is comprised of (\$43.4) million related to continuing operations and \$13.8 million related to shareholders' investment and other items.

Uncertain Tax Positions

Effective November 1, 2007, we adopted accounting guidance relating to accounting for uncertain tax positions, which provides new accounting guidance for recording the impact of potential tax return adjustments resulting from future examinations by the taxing authorities relating to uncertain tax positions taken in those returns.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits (excluding interest and penalties) is as follows:

	(In millions)
Balance at November 1, 2007	\$ 34.8
Increases due to tax positions related to the current year	2.8
Decreases due to tax position of prior years	(0.2)
Impact of changes in exchange rates	(2.2)
Settlements with tax authorities	(1.1)
Reductions due to the lapse of the applicable statute of limitations	(6.5)
Balance at October 31, 2008	27.6
Increases due to tax positions related to the current year	5.0
Decreases due to tax positions of prior years	(1.7)
Impact of changes in exchange rates	1.7
Settlements with tax authorities	(3.6)
Reductions due to the lapse of the applicable statute of limitations	(7.7)
Balance at September 30, 2009	\$ 21.3

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits at September 30, 2009, which, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate, is \$5.1 million. Interest and penalties related to unrecognized income tax benefits are recorded in income tax provision. Accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized income tax benefits were \$2.1 million and \$2.4 million at September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008, respectively. The total amount of interest and penalties included in the provision (benefit) for income tax is (\$0.7) million and \$0.3 million for fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008, respectively.

It is reasonably possible that a reduction in the range of \$3.0 million to \$8.9 million of unrecognized tax benefits may occur in the next twelve months as a result of resolutions of worldwide tax disputes.

We file income tax returns at the federal and state levels and in various foreign jurisdictions. A summary of the tax years where the statute of limitations is open for examination by the taxing authorities is presented below:

Major Jurisdictions	Open Tax Years
Australia	2005-2009
China	2008-2009
Germany	2003-2009
Hong Kong	2002-2009
United Kingdom	2007-2009
United States	2007-2009

Table of Contents**Note 11: Employee Benefit Plans**

Retirement Savings Plans: Employees in the United States and in many other countries are eligible to participate in defined contribution retirement plans. In the United States, we make matching contributions to the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Retirement Savings Plan (ADC RSP). We match the first 6% an employee contributes to the plan at a rate of 50 cents for each dollar of employee contributions. In addition, depending on financial performance for the fiscal year, we may make a discretionary contribution of up to 120% of the employee's salary deferral on the first 6% of eligible compensation. Employees are fully vested in all contributions at the time the contributions are made. The amounts charged to earnings for the ADC RSP were \$3.7 million, \$4.5 million and \$6.1 million during fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Based on participant investment elections, the trustee for the ADC RSP invests a portion of our cash contributions in ADC common stock. The inclusion of this investment in the ADC RSP is monitored by an independent fiduciary agent we have retained. In addition, we have other retirement savings plans in our global (non-U.S.) locations, which are aligned with local custom and practice. The amounts charged to earnings related to our global (non-U.S.) retirement savings plans were \$6.3 million, \$6.0 million and \$6.5 million during fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Pension Benefits: With our acquisition of KRONE, we assumed certain pension obligations of KRONE related to its German workforce. The KRONE pension plan is an unfunded general obligation of our German subsidiary (which is a common arrangement for German pension plans) and, as part of the acquisition, we recorded a liability of \$62.8 million for this obligation as of October 31, 2004. As of September 30, 2009, we had a liability of \$67.9 million for this obligation. We use a measurement date of September 30 for the plan. The plan was closed to employees hired after 1994. Accordingly, only employees and retirees hired before 1995 are covered by the plan. Pension payments will be made to eligible individuals upon reaching eligible retirement age, and the cash payments are expected to equal approximately the net periodic benefit cost.

The following provides reconciliations of benefit obligations, plan assets and funded status of the KRONE pension plan:

	September 30, 2009	October 31, 2008
	(In millions)	
Change in benefit obligation		
Beginning balance	\$ 56.4	\$ 71.3
Service cost	0.1	0.1
Interest cost	3.2	3.8
Actuarial gain	4.4	(8.3)
Foreign currency exchange rate changes	7.5	(6.0)
Benefit payments	(3.7)	(4.5)
Ending balance	\$ 67.9	\$ 56.4
Funded status of the plan		
Plan assets at fair value less than benefit obligation	\$ (67.9)	\$ (56.4)
Amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheet		
Liabilities		
Current liability	\$ (4.3)	\$ (3.7)
Other long-term liability	(63.6)	(52.7)
Total liabilities	\$ (67.9)	\$ (56.4)

Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss, pre-tax			
Net (gain) loss	\$ (3.1)	\$	(7.1)
Total accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ (3.1)	\$	(7.1)

Net periodic pension cost for fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007 includes the following components:

	2009	2008	2007
		(In millions)	
Service cost	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.2
Interest cost	3.2	3.8	3.2
Amortization of net actuarial (gain)/loss	(0.1)		
Net periodic pension cost	\$ 3.2	\$ 3.9	\$ 3.4

Table of Contents

The following assumptions were used to determine the plan's benefit obligations as of the end of the plan year and the plan's net periodic pension cost:

	2009	October 31, 2008	2007
Weighted average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations			
Discount rate	5.50%	6.25%	5.25%
Compensation rate increase	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%
Weighted average assumptions used to determine net cost			
Discount rate	6.25%	5.25%	4.50%
Compensation rate increase	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%

Since the plan is an unfunded general obligation, we do not expect to contribute to the plan except to make the below described benefit payments.

Expected future employee benefit plan payments:

	(In millions)
2010	\$ 4.1
2011	4.1
2012	4.2
2013	4.2
2014	4.3
Five Years Thereafter	\$ 21.8

Note 12: Share-Based Compensation

Share-based compensation recognized for fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$10.6 million, \$17.2 million and \$10.5 million, respectively. The share-based compensation expense is calculated and recognized primarily on a straight-line basis over the vesting periods of the related share-based awards, except for performance-based awards. Share-based compensation expense related to performance-based awards is recognized only when it is probable that the awards will vest. Once this determination is made, the expense related to prior periods is recognized in the current period and the remaining expense is recognized ratably over the remaining vesting period. Thus, expense related to such awards can fluctuate significantly.

As of September 30, 2009, a total of 6.4 million shares of ADC common stock were available for stock awards under our 2008 Global Stock Incentive Plan (the "2008 Stock Plan"). This total included shares of ADC common stock available for issuance as stock options, restricted stock units (including time-based and performance-based vesting) and other forms of stock-based compensation. The 2008 Stock Plan replaced the previous Global Stock Incentive Plan as amended and restated in December 2006, as well as all other previous share-based compensation plans. Shares issued as stock options each reduce the number of shares available to award by one share, while restricted stock units each reduce the number of shares available to award by 1.74 shares. All stock options granted under the 2008 Stock Plan were made at fair market value. Stock options granted under the 2008 Stock Plan generally vest over a four-year period.

During fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, we granted 108,713, 318,164 and 305,485 performance-based restricted stock units, respectively, subject to a three-year cliff-vesting period and earnings per share performance threshold. Subject to certain conditions, the performance threshold requires that our aggregate diluted pre-tax earnings per share throughout the three fiscal years reach a targeted amount. In addition, we granted 209,832 performance-based restricted stock units during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, which vest in January 2012. The vesting of these restricted stock units is subject to the satisfaction of service criteria related to the Board's succession planning process for the Chief Executive Officer position. Expense for performance-based restricted stock units are recognized on a straight-line basis from the grant date only if we believe we will achieve the performance threshold. We recorded \$1.2 million, \$6.4 million and \$0.7 million of compensation expense during fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively,

related to grants that we believe will achieve the performance threshold.

Table of Contents

The following schedule summarizes activity in our share-based compensation plans:

	Stock Options (In millions)	Stock Options Weighted Average Exercise Price	Restricted Stock Units (In millions)
Outstanding at October 31, 2006	6.6	\$ 29.08	0.6
Granted	1.4	14.90	0.8
Exercised	(0.4)	(15.84)	
Restrictions lapsed			(0.1)
Canceled	(0.9)	(36.07)	(0.2)
Outstanding at October 31, 2007	6.7	25.46	1.1
Granted	0.9	13.46	0.8
Exercised	(0.1)	(3.73)	
Restrictions lapsed			
Canceled	(0.7)	(31.62)	(0.1)
Outstanding at October 31, 2008	6.8	23.64	1.8
Granted	1.6	4.92	2.0
Exercised			
Restrictions lapsed			
Released			(0.3)
Canceled	(0.6)	26.87	(0.2)
Outstanding at September 30, 2009	7.8	\$ 19.40	3.3
Exercisable at September 30, 2009	5.2	\$ 24.27	

As of September 30, 2009, there were options to purchase 1.8 million shares of ADC common stock that had not yet vested and were expected to vest in future periods at a weighted average exercise price of \$10.28. The following table contains details regarding our outstanding stock options as of September 30, 2009:

Range of Exercise	Number	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (In Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Options Outstanding	Number	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Options Exercisable
Prices Between	Outstanding		Outstanding	Exercisable	Exercisable
\$ 2.54 4.44	142,934	4.61	\$ 3.62	135,734	\$ 3.66
4.85 4.85	1,461,355	6.22	4.85	0	0.00
4.95 14.42	235,518	4.74	9.60	113,625	12.05

Edgar Filing: ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-KT

14.59	14.59	922,902	3.95	14.59	494,281	14.59
14.63	16.29	792,772	3.01	15.80	760,395	15.81
16.38	17.76	876,962	4.63	17.46	473,738	17.31
17.92	19.67	778,029	4.51	18.78	749,547	18.79
19.81	20.44	870,059	2.18	20.09	870,059	20.09
20.79	29.75	782,127	4.91	24.17	643,896	24.22
30.59	293.56	916,473	1.54	53.17	916,473	53.17
		7,779,131	4.06	\$ 19.40	5,157,748	\$ 24.27

The weighted average estimated fair value of employee stock options granted was \$2.29, \$8.81 and \$7.21 per share for fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. These values were calculated using the Black-Scholes Model with the following weighted average assumptions:

	2009	2008	2007
Expected volatility	50.57%	44.05%	52.51%
Risk free interest rate	1.55%	2.98%	4.45%
Expected dividends			
Expected term (in years)	4.7	4.7	4.6

We based our estimate of expected volatility for awards granted in fiscal 2009 on monthly historical trading data of our common stock for a period equivalent to the expected life of the award. Our risk-free interest rate assumption is based on implied yields of U.S. Treasury zero-coupon bonds having a remaining term equal to the expected term of the employee stock awards. We estimated the expected term consistent with historical exercise and cancellation activity of our previous share-based grants with a ten-year contractual term. We do not anticipate declaring dividends in the foreseeable future. Forfeitures were estimated based on historical

Table of Contents

experience. If factors change and we employ different assumptions in future periods, the compensation expense that we record may differ significantly from what we have recorded in the current period.

As of September 30, 2009, we have approximately \$19.3 million of total compensation cost related to non-vested awards not yet recognized. We expect to recognize these costs over a weighted average period of 2.2 years.

The following schedule summarizes changes in our nonvested awards at September 30, 2009:

	Stock Options (In millions)	Stock Options Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Restricted Stock Units (In millions)	Restricted Stock Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Nonvested at October 31, 2008	2.0	\$ 9.13	1.7	\$ 17.50
Granted	1.6	2.29	2.0	5.44
Vested	(0.7)	9.78	(0.3)	21.13
Canceled	(0.3)	7.84	(0.2)	16.10
Nonvested at September 30, 2009	2.6	\$ 6.60	3.2	\$ 10.07

The total fair value of restricted stock units vested was \$1.4 million, \$0.8 million, and \$1.0 million for fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of stock options outstanding was \$6.0 million, \$0.4 million, and \$8.3 million for fiscal 2009, fiscal 2008, and fiscal 2007, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of stock options exercisable was \$0.6 million, \$0.4 million, and \$3.5 million for fiscal 2009, fiscal 2008, and fiscal 2007, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic values are based upon the closing price of our common stock on the last day of the respective fiscal year.

Note 13: Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) has no impact on our net income (loss) but is reflected in our balance sheet through adjustments to shareowners' investment. Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) derives from foreign currency translation adjustments, unrealized gains (losses) and related adjustments on available-for-sale securities, hedging activities and adjustments to reflect our pension obligation. We specifically identify the amount of unrealized gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income for each available-for-sale (AFS) security. When an AFS security is sold or impaired, we remove the security's cumulative unrealized gain (loss), net of tax, from accumulated other comprehensive loss. The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss are:

	Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustment	Unrealized Gain (Loss) On Investments, net (In millions)	Pension Adjustment	Total
Balance, October 31, 2006	\$	\$ (5.9)	\$	\$ (4.3)	\$ (10.2)
Translation gain		7.8			7.8
Minimum pension liability adjustment				4.9	4.9
Adoption of new accounting guidance related to employee benefit plans				0.2	0.2

Edgar Filing: ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-KT

Balance, October 31, 2007		1.9		0.8	2.7
Translation loss		(21.9)			(21.9)
Pension obligation adjustment		0.9		6.3	7.2
Net change in fair value of interest rate swap	(2.8)				(2.8)
Unrealized gain on foreign currency hedge	0.2				0.2
Unrealized gain on securities			0.5		0.5
Balance, October 31, 2008	(2.6)	(19.1)	0.5	7.1	(14.1)
Translation gain		12.1			12.1
Pension obligation adjustment		(1.0)		(4.0)	(5.0)
Net change in fair value of interest rate swap	(9.4)				(9.4)
Unrealized gain on foreign currency hedge	0.2				0.2
Unrealized gain on auction-rate securities			2.3		2.3
Unrealized gain on other available-for-sale securities			0.5		0.5
Balance, September 30, 2009	\$ (11.8)	\$ (8.0)	\$ 3.3	\$ 3.1	\$ (13.4)

There is no net tax impact for the components of other comprehensive income (loss) due to the valuation allowance.

Note 14: Commitments and Contingencies

Letters of Credit: As of September 30, 2009, we had \$10.5 million of outstanding letters of credit. These outstanding commitments are fully collateralized by restricted cash.

Operating Leases: Portions of our operations are conducted using leased equipment and facilities. These leases are non-cancelable and renewable, with expiration dates ranging through the year 2030. The rental expense included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations was \$19.3 million, \$25.5 million and \$25.3 million for fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following is a schedule of future minimum rental payments required under non-cancelable operating leases as of September 30, 2009:

	(In millions)
2010	\$ 18.2
2011	13.6
2012	11.7
2013	9.2
2014	8.3
Thereafter	18.0
Total	\$ 79.0

The aggregate amount of future minimum rentals to be received under non-cancelable subleases as of September 30, 2009 is \$16.5 million.

Legal Contingencies: We are a party to various lawsuits, proceedings and claims arising in the ordinary course of business or otherwise. Many of these disputes may be resolved without formal litigation. The amount of monetary liability resulting from the ultimate resolution of these matters cannot be determined at this time. As of September 30, 2009, we had recorded approximately \$6.6 million in loss reserves for certain of these matters. In light of the reserves we have recorded, at this time we believe the ultimate resolution of these lawsuits, proceedings and claims will not have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations or financial condition. Because of the uncertainty inherent in litigation, however, it is possible that unfavorable resolutions of one or more of these lawsuits, proceedings and claims could exceed the amount currently reserved and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

On August 17, 2009, we met with representatives from the Office of the Inspector General of the United States where we disclosed a potential breach of the country of origin requirements for certain products sold under a supply agreement with the federal government's General Services Administration. We self-reported this potential breach as a precautionary matter and it is unclear at this time whether any penalties will be imposed. Following the meeting, we provided the Office of the Inspector General with additional documentation related to this matter. We expect a further response from the Office of the Inspector General following its review of this information.

Purchase Obligations: At September 30, 2009, we had non-cancelable commitments to purchase goods and services valued at \$13.9 million, including items such as inventory and information technology support, \$8.1 million of which are due within one year and \$5.8 million within one to three years.

Other Contingencies: As a result of the divestitures discussed in Note 4, we may incur charges related to obligations retained based on the sale agreements, primarily related to income tax contingencies or working capital adjustments. At this time, the obligations that are probable or estimable have been recorded.

Change of Control: Our Board of Directors has approved the extension of certain employee benefits, including salary continuation to key employees, in the event of a change of control of ADC.

Note 15: Segment and Geographic Information***Segment Information***

During the first quarter of fiscal 2008, we completed the acquisition of LGC, which resulted in a change to our internal management reporting structure. A new business unit was created by combining our legacy wireless and wireline businesses with the newly acquired LGC business to form Network Solutions.

ADC is organized into operating segments based on product grouping. The reportable segments are determined in accordance with how our executive managers develop and execute our global strategies to drive growth and profitability. These strategies include product positioning, research and development programs, cost management, capacity and capital investments for each of the reportable segments. Segment performance is evaluated on several factors, including operating income. Segment operating income excludes restructuring and impairment charges, interest income or expense, other income or expense and provision for income taxes. Assets are not allocated to the

segments.

Our three reportable business segments are:

76

Table of Contents

Connectivity

Network Solutions

Professional Services

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, management initiated a restructuring of the Network Solutions segment by exiting several outdoor wireless product lines. During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, management made further changes to the Network Solutions segment by moving the Wireline solutions business to the Connectivity segment in order to better manage and utilize resources and drive profitability. As a result of this change, we have changed our reportable segments to conform to our current management reporting presentation. We have reclassified prior year segment disclosures to conform to the new segment presentation.

Our *Connectivity* products connect wireline, wireless, cable, enterprise and broadcast communications networks over fiber-optic, copper (twisted pair), coaxial, and wireless media. These products provide the physical interconnections between network components and access points into networks.

Our *Network Solutions* products help improve coverage and capacity for wireless networks and broadband access for wireline networks. These products improve signal quality, increase coverage and capacity into expanded geographic areas, enhance the delivery and capacity of networks, and help reduce the capital and operating costs of delivering wireline and wireless services. Applications for these products include in-building solutions, outdoor coverage solutions and mobile network solutions.

Our *Professional Services* business provides integration services for broadband and multiservice communications over wireline, wireless, cable and enterprise networks. Our Professional Services business unit helps customers plan, deploy and maintain communications networks that deliver Internet, data, video and voice services.

Other than in the U.S., no single country has property and equipment sufficiently material to disclose. We have two significant customers who each account for more than 10% of our net sales. In fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, AT&T accounted for approximately 20.4%, 16.0% and 15.4% of our sales, respectively. Verizon accounted for 17.7%, 16.5%, and 17.8% of our sales in fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Revenue from AT&T and Verizon are included in each of the three reportable segments.

The following table sets forth certain financial information for each of our above described reportable segments:

		Network	Professional		Restructuring, Impairment and Other	GAAP
	Connectivity	Solutions	Services	Consolidated (In millions)	Charges	Consolidated
2009						
External net sales:						
Products	\$ 787.1	\$ 56.6	\$ 35.7	\$ 879.4	\$	\$ 879.4
Services		16.7	100.6	117.3		117.3
Total external net sales	\$ 787.1	\$ 73.3	\$ 136.3	\$ 996.7	\$	\$ 996.7
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 57.3	\$ 5.7	\$ 3.4	\$ 66.4	\$	\$ 66.4
Operating income (loss)	\$ 50.9	\$ (36.6)	\$ 4.0	\$ 18.3	\$ 449.1	\$ (430.8)
2008						
External net sales:						
Products	\$ 1,151.8	\$ 98.8	\$ 49.1	\$ 1,299.7	\$	\$ 1,299.7
Services		24.2	132.5	156.7		156.7

Edgar Filing: ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-KT

Total external net sales	\$ 1,151.8	\$ 123.0	\$ 181.6	\$ 1,456.4	\$	\$ 1,456.4
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 64.6	\$ 14.2	\$ 3.5	\$ 82.3	\$	\$ 82.3
Operating income (loss)	\$ 117.2	\$ (41.1)	\$ 0.8	\$ 76.9	\$ 15.2	\$ 61.7
2007						
External net sales:						
Products	\$ 1,071.8	\$ 40.8	\$ 57.6	\$ 1,170.2	\$	\$ 1,170.2
Services			106.5	106.5		106.5
Total external net sales	\$ 1,071.8	\$ 40.8	\$ 164.1	\$ 1,276.7	\$	\$ 1,276.7
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 60.8	\$ 3.4	\$ 3.8	\$ 68.0	\$	\$ 68.0
Operating income (loss)	\$ 105.9	\$ (15.8)	\$ 5.7	\$ 95.8	\$ 17.8	\$ 78.0

77

Table of Contents

The fiscal 2007 restructuring, impairment and other column includes a \$10.0 million contribution to the ADC Foundation.

Geographic Information

The following table sets forth certain geographic information concerning our U.S. and foreign sales and ownership of property and equipment:

Geographic Sales Information	2009	2008 (In millions)	2007
Inside the United States	\$ 590.8	\$ 862.8	\$ 803.8
Outside the United States:			
Asia Pacific (Australia, Hong Kong, India, Japan, Korea, New Zealand, Southeast Asia and Taiwan)	95.1	146.2	124.3
China(1)	71.8	41.1	11.1
EMEA (Africa, Europe (Excluding Germany) and Middle East)	136.6	248.8	192.3
Germany(1)	33.6	51.5	50.2
Americas (Canada, Central and South America)	68.8	106.0	95.0
Total net sales	\$ 996.7	\$ 1,456.4	\$ 1,276.7
Property and Equipment, Net:			
Inside the United States	\$ 107.6	\$ 113.4	
Outside the United States	55.8	63.7	
Total property and equipment, net	\$ 163.4	\$ 177.1	

(1) Due to the significance of their net sales, China and Germany are broken out for geographic purposes. Other than in the U.S., no single country has property and equipment sufficiently material to disclose.

Note 16: Impairment, Restructuring, and Other Disposal Charges

During fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, we continued our plan to improve operating performance by restructuring and streamlining our operations. As a result, we incurred restructuring charges associated with workforce reductions, consolidation of excess facilities, and the exiting of various product lines. The impairment and restructuring charges resulting from our actions, by category of expenditures, adjusted to exclude those activities specifically related to discontinued operations, are as follows for fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively:

	2009	2008 (In millions)	2007
Impairments:			
Fixed asset write-downs	\$ 1.0	\$ 0.7	\$ 2.3
Goodwill and intangibles	413.9		
Patents/intangibles		3.4	
Total impairment charges	414.9	4.1	2.3
Restructuring charges:			
Employee severance	33.1	10.4	4.7
Facilities consolidation and lease termination	1.1	0.7	0.8
Total restructuring charges	34.2	11.1	5.5
Other disposal charges: Inventory write-offs	0.6	14.0	8.9
Total impairment, restructuring and other disposal charges	\$ 449.7	\$ 29.2	\$ 16.7

Impairment Charges: See Note 7 to the financial statements for a discussion of the \$413.9 million impairment of goodwill and intangible assets recorded in fiscal 2009. During fiscal 2009, \$0.4 million of the impairment was related to the exiting of the Lexington, South Carolina production facility and \$0.6 million was related to general fixed asset write-downs in our Berlin, Germany location. In fiscal 2008, we recorded impairment charges of \$4.1 million primarily to write-off certain intangible assets related to the exit of some of our outdoor wireless product lines in our Network Solutions segment. In fiscal 2007, we recorded impairment charges of \$2.3 million related primarily to internally developed capitalized software costs, the exiting of the ACX product line, and the Muggelheim facility, a commercial property in Germany formerly used by our services business.

Table of Contents

Restructuring Charges: Restructuring charges relate principally to employee severance and facility consolidation costs resulting from the closure of leased facilities and other workforce reductions attributable to our efforts to reduce costs. During fiscal 2009 we expanded our restructuring efforts globally and continued to execute on our efforts to streamline our operations primarily through reductions in headcount. During fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, we terminated the employment of approximately 750, 550 and 200 employees, respectively, through reductions in force. At the end of fiscal 2009, we were still in the process of finalizing certain aspects of our restructuring efforts for fiscal 2010. We have accrued costs for efforts that are probable of occurring and for which the cost can be reasonably estimated. Accordingly, in fiscal 2009, we recorded \$33.1 million of severance charges of which \$12.8 million relates to certain components of our restructuring efforts which were considered probable and estimable and are expected to take place during fiscal 2010. As our fiscal 2010 restructuring efforts are finalized, we expect to record an additional severance charge of approximately \$8.1 million for these efforts, most likely in the first or second quarter of fiscal 2010. The costs of these reductions have been and will be funded through cash from operations. These reductions have impacted each of our reportable segments.

Facility consolidation and lease termination costs represent costs associated with our decision to consolidate and close duplicative or excess manufacturing and office facilities. During fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, we incurred charges of \$1.1 million, \$0.7 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, due to our decision to close unproductive and excess facilities and the continued softening of real estate markets, which resulted in lower sublease income.

Other Disposal Charges: In fiscal 2009, we recorded \$0.6 million for the write-off of obsolete inventory related to the exiting of the Lexington, South Carolina production facility. In fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, we recorded \$14.0 million and \$8.9 million, respectively, for the write-off of obsolete inventory associated with exit activities. The inventory write-offs in fiscal 2008 consisted of \$10.8 million related to our decision to exit several outdoor wireless and wireline product lines and \$3.2 million due to a change in the estimate made in fiscal 2007 related to the ACX product line. The inventory write-offs in fiscal 2007 consisted of \$8.9 million related to our decision to exit the ACX product line. All inventory charges were recorded as cost of goods sold.

The following table provides detail on the activity described above and our remaining restructuring accrual balance by category as of September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008:

Type of Charge	Accrual October 31, 2008	Continuing Operations Net Additions		Cash Charges	Accrual September 30, 2009
		(In millions)			
Employee severance costs	\$ 8.6	\$	33.1	\$ 12.6	\$ 29.1
Facilities consolidation	8.1		1.1	1.6	7.6
Total	\$ 16.7	\$	34.2	\$ 14.2	\$ 36.7

Type of Charge	Accrual October 31, 2007	Continuing Operations Net Additions		Cash Charges	Accrual October 31, 2008
		(In millions)			
Employee severance costs	\$ 5.1	\$	10.4	\$ 6.9	\$ 8.6
Facilities consolidation	11.8		0.7	4.4	8.1
Total	\$ 16.9	\$	11.1	\$ 11.3	\$ 16.7

The following is a schedule of future payments of accrued costs associated with employee severance and consolidation of facilities as of September 30, 2009:

	Severance	Facilities
	(In millions)	
2010	\$ 20.4	\$ 2.1
2011	3.1	1.3
2012	2.4	1.2
2013	1.7	1.1
2014	1.0	1.0
Thereafter	0.5	0.9
Total	\$ 29.1	\$ 7.6

Table of Contents

Based on our intention to continue to consolidate and close duplicative or excess manufacturing operations in order to reduce our cost structure, we may incur additional restructuring charges (both cash and non-cash) in future periods. These restructuring charges may have a material effect on our operating results.

Note 17: Fair Value Measurements and Auction-Rate Securities

Fair value is the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In determining fair value for assets and liabilities required or permitted to be recorded at fair value, we consider the principal or most advantageous market in which it would transact and assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability.

Fair Value Hierarchy

The fair value hierarchy requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. A financial instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1

Level 1 applies to assets and liabilities for which there are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Valuations are based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market and do not entail a significant degree of judgment. Our assets utilizing Level 1 inputs include securities that are traded in an active market with sufficient volume and frequency of transactions, such as money market funds and other highly liquid instruments.

Level 2

Level 2 applies to assets and liabilities for which there are other than Level 1 observable inputs such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets with insufficient volume or infrequent transactions (less active markets), or model-derived valuations in which significant inputs are observable or can be derived principally from, or corroborated by, observable market data. Our assets and liabilities utilizing Level 2 inputs include derivative instruments.

Level 2 instruments require more management judgment and subjectivity as compared to Level 1 instruments. For instance:

Determining which instruments are most similar to the instrument being priced requires management to identify a sample of similar securities based on the coupon rates, maturity, issuer, credit rating and instrument type, and subjectively select an individual security or multiple securities that are deemed most similar to the security being priced; and

Determining whether a market is considered active requires management judgment.

Level 3

Level 3 applies to assets and liabilities for which there are unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology that are significant to the measurement of the fair value of the assets or liabilities. The determination of fair value for Level 3 instruments requires the most management judgment and subjectivity. Our assets utilizing Level 3 inputs include auction-rate securities.

At September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008, our financial instruments included cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, available-for-sale securities and accounts payable. The fair values of these financial instruments (except for auction-rate securities) approximated carrying value because of the nature of these instruments. In addition, we have long-term notes payable. The fair value of our notes payable is disclosed in Note 8.

Table of Contents*Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis*

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2009 were (in millions):

Description	September 30, 2009	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 535.5	\$ 535.5	\$	\$
Restricted cash	25.0	25.0		
Long-term available-for-sale securities	75.4	51.1		24.3
Mexican peso currency hedge assets (included in prepaid and other current assets)	0.4		0.4	
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 636.3	\$ 611.6	\$ 0.4	\$ 24.3
Liabilities:				
Interest rate swap liabilities (included in other accrued liabilities and other long-term liabilities)	\$ 12.2	\$	\$ 12.2	\$
Total liabilities measured at fair value	\$ 12.2	\$	\$ 12.2	\$

The following table provides detail on the activity in the auction-rate securities balance as of September 30, 2009 (in millions):

	Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Balance as of October 31, 2008	\$ 40.4
Total gains or losses (realized or unrealized)	
Included in earnings (other income/(loss))	(18.4)
Included in other comprehensive income	2.3
Purchases, issuance, and settlements	
Transfers in and /or out of Level 3	
Balance as of September 30, 2009	\$ 24.3

As of September 30, 2009, we held auction rate securities totaling \$169.8 million at par value, which are classified as available-for-sale securities and noncurrent assets on our condensed consolidated balance sheets. Contractual maturities for these auction rate securities range from 12 to 43 years. With the liquidity issues experienced in the global credit and capital markets, all of our auction-rate securities have experienced failed auctions. Due to the failed auction status and lack of liquidity in the market for our long-term auction-rate securities, the valuation methodology we utilized includes certain assumptions that were not supported by prices from observable current market transactions in the same instruments nor were they based on observable market data. With the assistance of a valuation specialist, we estimated the fair value of the auction-rate securities based on: (1) the underlying structure of each security; (2) the present value of future principal and interest payments discounted at rates considered to reflect current market conditions; (3) consideration of the probabilities of default, passing auction, or earning the maximum rate for each period; and (4) estimates of the recovery rates in the event of defaults for each security. These estimated fair values could change significantly based on future market conditions.

Note 18: Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

Our results of operations may be materially impacted by changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. In an effort to manage our exposure to these risks, we periodically enter into various derivative instruments, including interest rate hedges and foreign currency hedges. We are required to recognize all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities at fair value on our consolidated balance sheets and to recognize certain changes in the fair value of derivative instruments in our consolidated statements of operations.

We perform, at least quarterly, both a prospective and retrospective assessment of the effectiveness of our hedge contracts, including assessing the possibility of counterparty default. If we determine that a derivative is no longer expected to be highly effective, we discontinue hedge accounting prospectively and recognize subsequent changes in the fair value of the hedge in earnings.

Table of Contents

As a result of our effectiveness assessment at September 30, 2009, we believe our hedge contracts will continue to be highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flow attributable to the hedged risks.

Cash flow hedges

Our foreign currency management objective is to mitigate the potential impact of currency fluctuations on the value of our U.S. dollar cash flows and to reduce the variability of certain cash flows at the subsidiary level. We actively manage certain forecasted foreign currency exposures and use a centralized currency management operation to take advantage of potential opportunities to naturally offset foreign currency exposures against each other. The decision of whether and when to execute derivative instruments, along with the duration of the instrument, can vary from period to period depending on market conditions, the relative costs of the instruments and capacity to hedge. The duration is linked to the timing of the underlying exposure, with the connection between the two being regularly monitored. We do not use any financial contracts for trading purposes. At September 30, 2009, we had open Mexican peso hedge contracts with notional amounts totaling \$12.2 million and unrealized gains of \$0.4 million. The peso hedge contracts consist of forward contracts to purchase the peso at previously determined exchange rates as well as the establishment of collars intended to limit our exposure to foreign currency fluctuations by entering into the purchase and sale of calls and puts at specific exchange rates which settle at the same time. These contracts, with maturities through July 2010, met the criteria for cash flow hedges and unrealized gains and losses, after tax, are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income.

Interest rate swaps are entered into in order to manage interest rate risk associated with our variable-rate borrowings. We entered into the following interest rate swap agreement to manage exposures to fluctuations in interest rates by fixing the LIBOR interest rate as follows:

Year Swap entered into	Fixed Rate	Notional Amount	Expiration Date
2008	4.0%	\$200,000,000	June 2013

This interest rate swap was designated as, and met the criteria of, a cash flow hedge. The fair value of the interest rate swap agreement on September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008 was a liability of \$12.2 million and \$2.8 million, respectively.

We are exposed to foreign currency exchange risk as a result of changes in intercompany balance sheet accounts and other balance sheet items. At September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008, these balance sheet exposures were mitigated through the use of foreign exchange forward contracts with maturities of approximately one month. These did not meet the criteria for hedge accounting. The fair value of these hedges was nominal at September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008.

The following table provides detail on the activity of our derivative instruments as of September 30, 2009 (in millions):

Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships	Interest rate swap(1)	Mexican peso hedge (2)	Total
Balance as of October 31, 2007	\$	\$	\$
Amount of loss recognized in OCI on derivative (effective portion)	(3.5)	0.2	(3.3)
Amount of loss reclassified from OCI into income (effective portion) (3)	0.7		0.7
Balance as of October 31, 2008	(2.8)	0.2	(2.6)
Amount of loss recognized in OCI on derivative (effective portion)	(13.0)	(0.4)	(13.4)
Amount of loss reclassified from OCI into income (effective portion) (3)	3.6	0.6	4.2

Balance as of September 30, 2009	\$	(12.2)	\$	0.4	\$ (11.8)
----------------------------------	----	--------	----	-----	-----------

- (1) Short-term
portion included
in other accrued
liabilities and
long-term
portion included
in other
long-term
liabilities on the
consolidated
balance sheet.

The short-term
and long-term
portions for
September 30,
2009 were
liabilities of
\$5.0 million and
\$7.2 million,
respectively.

The short-term
and long-term
portions for
October 31,
2008 were
liabilities of
\$2.0 million and
\$0.8 million,
respectively.

- (2) Assets are
included in
prepaid
expenses and
other current
assets and
liabilities are
included in
other accrued
liabilities on the
consolidated
balance sheet.

- (3) Gains and losses
are reclassified
to interest
income
(expense) for

the interest rate
swap and cost of
goods sold for
the Mexican
peso hedge.

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. The effective portion of the derivative represents the change in fair value of the hedge that offsets the change in fair value of the hedged item. To the extent the change in the fair value of the hedge does not perfectly offset the change in the fair value of the hedged item, the ineffective portion of the hedge is immediately recognized in other (expense) income in our consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2009, we pledged \$13.2 million of cash to secure the interest rate swap termination value, which is included in our restricted cash balance. This collateral amount could vary significantly as it fluctuates with the forward LIBOR.

We expect all of the \$0.4 million unrealized gain on our Mexican peso hedge and approximately \$6.6 million of unrealized loss on our interest rate swap at September 30, 2009, to be reclassified into the income statement within the next 12 months.

The table below provides data about the amount of gains and losses recognized in income on derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments (in millions):

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	Location of gain (loss) recognized in income on derivative	Amount of gain (loss) recognized in income on derivative		
		2009	2008	2007
Foreign currency hedges	Other income (expense), net	\$ (1.2)	\$ 3.5	\$ (2.7)

Note 19: Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total
	(In millions, except earnings per share)				
2009					
Net Sales	\$ 254.3	\$ 275.1	\$ 283.4	\$ 183.9	\$ 996.7
Cost of Sales	175.6	185.0	184.7	121.6	666.9
Gross Profit	78.7	90.1	98.7	62.3	329.8
Operating Expenses:					
Research and development	19.0	18.4	17.3	10.6	65.3
Selling and administration	71.9	66.1	62.9	45.3	246.2
Impairment charges	413.5	0.7	0.1	0.6	414.9
Restructuring charges	0.5	7.3	5.3	21.1	34.2
Total operating expenses	504.9	92.5	85.6	77.6	760.6
Operating Income (Loss)	(426.2)	(2.4)	13.1	(15.3)	(430.8)
Other Income (Expense), Net	(20.3)	(5.8)	(7.1)	(4.8)	(38.0)
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	(446.5)	(8.2)	6.0	(20.1)	(468.8)
Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes	(4.0)	1.4	0.4	(0.9)	(3.1)
Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations	(442.5)	(9.6)	5.6	(19.2)	(465.7)
Discontinued Operations, Net of Tax	(0.3)	(1.3)	(6.4)	(0.6)	(8.6)
Net Income (Loss)	\$ (442.8)	\$ (10.9)	\$ (0.8)	\$ (19.8)	\$ (474.3)
Average Common Shares Outstanding Basic	99.4	96.6	96.6	96.6	97.4
Average Common Shares Outstanding Diluted	99.4	96.6	97.8	96.6	97.4

Basic Income (Loss) Per Share:					
Continuing operations	\$ (4.45)	\$ (0.10)	\$ 0.06	\$ (0.20)	\$ (4.78)
Discontinued operations	\$	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.07)	\$	\$ (0.09)
Net Income (Loss)	\$ (4.45)	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.20)	\$ (4.87)
Diluted Income (Loss) Per Share:					
Continuing operations	\$ (4.45)	\$ (0.10)	\$ 0.06	\$ (0.20)	\$ (4.78)
Discontinued operations	\$	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.07)	\$	\$ (0.09)
Net Income (Loss)	\$ (4.45)	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.20)	\$ (4.87)
Net Sales Outside the United States	\$ 111.2	\$ 109.7	\$ 110.9	\$ 74.1	\$ 405.9

Table of Contents

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total
	(In millions, except earnings per share)				
2008					
Net Sales	\$ 329.1	\$ 393.2	\$ 381.8	\$ 352.3	\$ 1,456.4
Cost of Sales	208.7	251.7	251.2	255.5	967.1
Gross Profit	120.4	141.5	130.6	96.8	489.3
Operating Expenses:					
Research and development	19.5	21.8	21.7	20.5	83.5
Selling and administration	81.7	84.9	83.0	79.3	328.9
Impairment charges				4.1	4.1
Restructuring charges	1.2	1.0	0.1	8.8	11.1
Total operating expenses	102.4	107.7	104.8	112.7	427.6
Operating Income (Loss)	18.0	33.8	25.8	(15.9)	61.7
Other Income (Expense), Net	(44.9)	(15.9)	(9.5)	(29.6)	(99.9)
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	(26.9)	17.9	16.3	(45.5)	(38.2)
Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes	1.5	1.9	2.9	(0.1)	6.2
Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations	(28.4)	16.0	13.4	(45.4)	(44.4)
Discontinued Operations, Net of Tax	1.1	0.3	1.7	(0.6)	2.5
Net Income (Loss)	\$ (27.3)	\$ 16.3	\$ 15.1	\$ (46.0)	\$ (41.9)
Average Common Shares Outstanding Basic	117.6	117.7	117.7	115.4	117.1
Average Common Shares Outstanding Diluted	117.6	118.2	118.3	115.4	117.1
Basic Income (Loss) Per Share:					
Continuing operations	\$ (0.24)	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.11	\$ (0.39)	\$ (0.38)
Discontinued operations	\$ 0.01	\$	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.02
Net Income (Loss)	\$ (0.23)	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.13	\$ (0.40)	\$ (0.36)
Diluted Income (Loss) Per Share:					
Continuing operations	\$ (0.24)	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.11	\$ (0.39)	\$ (0.38)
Discontinued operations	\$ 0.01	\$	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.02
Net Income (Loss)	\$ (0.23)	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.13	\$ (0.40)	\$ (0.36)
Net Sales Outside the United States	\$ 131.1	\$ 158.4	\$ 168.3	\$ 135.8	\$ 593.6

Fiscal Year Change

Our quarters end on the last Friday of the calendar month for the respective quarter end.

On July 22, 2008, our Board of Directors approved a change in our fiscal year end from October 31st to September 30th commencing with our fiscal year 2009. As a result, our fiscal year 2009 was shortened from 12 months to 11 months and ended on September 30th.

We are using this report to transition to a quarterly reporting cycle that corresponds to a September 30th fiscal year end. Therefore, for financial reporting purposes our fourth quarter of fiscal 2009 was shortened from the quarterly period ending October 31st to an approximate two month period ending September 30th.

Discontinued Operations

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, our Board of Directors approved a plan to divest APS Germany. During the third quarter of fiscal 2006, our Board of Directors approved a plan to divest APS France. All periods presented have been restated to reflect the treatment of APS Germany and APS France as discontinued operations.

Note 20: Subsequent Events

On October 30, 2009, we completed the sale of our copper-based RF signal management product line to ATX Networks, Corp. (ATX). This sale supports our ongoing effort to compete more effectively in our areas of strategic focus, which include enhancing our core fiber technology for the Multiple System Operators market. ATX paid us \$17.0 million in cash for the assets of the business other than trade receivables outstanding as of October 30th, which we retained. ATX placed \$1.0 million of the purchase price into a third-party escrow account for 18 months to cover any indemnity claims made by ATX under the purchase agreement. We have identified net assets, primarily consisting of inventory, having a book value of approximately \$1.0 million related to the sale

Table of Contents

of this product line, primarily comprised of finished goods and inventory in progress. ATX also assumed future product warranty liabilities of the business for product sold prior to October 30, 2009, subject to our reimbursement of expenses and costs related to certain of those future product warranty claims, if any. In connection with the transaction, we agreed to manufacture the RF signal management products on behalf of ATX for up to 12 months and assist in other transitional activities. We expect to record a gain of \$16.0 in connection with the transaction.

Item 9. *CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE*

None.

Item 9A. *CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES*

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During the last quarter of fiscal 2009, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2009. In conducting its evaluation, our management used the criteria set forth by the framework in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on that evaluation, management believes our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of September 30, 2009.

Our internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2009 has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their below included report.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareowners

ADC Telecommunications, Inc.

We have audited ADC Telecommunications, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). ADC Telecommunications, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, ADC Telecommunications, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2009, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareowners investment and cash flows for the eleven-month period ended September 30, 2009, and the years ended October 31, 2008 and 2007, and our report dated November 20, 2009, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Ernst & Young LLP

Minneapolis, Minnesota

November 20, 2009

Table of Contents

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The disclosure under Part I of Item 1 of this report entitled Executive Officers of the Registrant is incorporated by reference into this Item 10.

The sections entitled Proposal 2 Election of Directors, Standing Committees, Nominations and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance in our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareowners, which will be filed with the SEC (the Proxy Statement), are incorporated in this report by reference.

We have adopted a financial code of ethics that applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer and all other ADC employees. This financial code of ethics, which is one of several policies within our Code of Business Conduct, is posted on our website. The Internet address for our website is www.adc.com, and the financial code of ethics may be found at www.investor.adc.com/governance.cfm.

We will satisfy the disclosure requirement under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding any amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of this code of ethics by posting such information on our website at the address and location specified above.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The sections of the Proxy Statement entitled Director Compensation and Executive Compensation are incorporated in this report by reference.

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The sections of the Proxy Statement entitled Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Equity Compensation Plan Information are incorporated by reference into this report.

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The sections of the Proxy Statement entitled Related Party Transaction Policies and Procedures and Governance Principles and Code of Ethics are incorporated in this report by reference.

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The sections of the Proxy Statement entitled Principal Accountant Fees and Services and Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm are incorporated in this report by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Listing of Financial Statements

The following consolidated financial statements of ADC are filed with this report and can be found in Item 8 of this report:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008 and 2007

Table of Contents

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008

Consolidated Statements of Shareowners' Investment for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008 and 2007

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008 and 2007

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Five-Year Selected Consolidated Financial Data for the years ended October 31, 2005 through September 30, 2009, is located in Item 6 of this report

Listing of Financial Statement Schedules

The following schedules are filed with this report and can be found starting on page 90 of this report:

Schedule II Valuation of Qualifying Accounts and Reserves

Schedules not included have been omitted because they are not applicable or because the required information is included in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

Listing of Exhibits

See Exhibit Index on page 91 for a description of the documents that are filed as Exhibits to this report on Form 10-K or incorporated by reference herein. We will furnish a copy of any Exhibit to a security holder upon request.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

By: /s/ Robert E. Switz
Robert E. Switz
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: November 20, 2009

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ Robert E. Switz

Chairman, President and
Chief Executive Officer
(principal executive officer)

Dated: November 20, 2009

Robert E. Switz

/s/ James G. Mathews

Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

Dated: November 20, 2009

James G. Mathews

/s/ Steven G. Nemitz

(principal financial officer)
Vice President and Controller
(principal accounting officer)

Dated: November 20, 2009

Steven G. Nemitz

William R. Spivey*

Independent Lead Director

John J. Boyle III*

Director

Mickey P. Foret*

Director

Lois M. Martin*

Director

Krish A. Prabhu, PhD*

Director

John E. Rehfeld*

Director

David A. Roberts*

Director

Larry W. Wangberg*

Director

John D. Wunsch*

Director

*By: /s/ James G. Mathews

James G. Mathews

Attorney-in-Fact

Dated: November 20, 2009

Table of Contents

ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS
SCHEDULE II VALUATION OF QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS AND RESERVES

	Balance at Beginning of Year	Acquisition	Charged to Costs and Expenses (In millions)	Deductions	Balance at End of Year
Fiscal 2009					
Allowance for doubtful accounts & notes receivable	\$ 17.3	\$ (4.2)	\$ 2.2	\$ 1.3	\$ 14.0
Inventory reserve	50.7		15.4	24.1	42.0
Warranty accrual	8.9	(0.6)	(0.1)	1.9	6.3
Valuation allowance	965.1	0.5	46.5	202.8	809.3
Fiscal 2008					
Allowance for doubtful accounts & notes receivable	\$ 6.6	\$ 10.2	\$ 0.7	\$ 0.2	\$ 17.3
Inventory reserve	41.3		25.2	15.8	50.7
Warranty accrual	7.7	1.9	1.1	1.8	8.9
Valuation allowance	944.5	18.6	20.7	18.7	965.1
Fiscal 2007					
Allowance for doubtful accounts & notes receivable	\$ 10.1	\$	\$ (2.0)	\$ 1.5	\$ 6.6
Inventory reserve	35.1		21.1	14.9	41.3
Warranty accrual	9.0		1.1	2.4	7.7
Valuation allowance	974.1		(43.4)	(13.8)	944.5

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

The following documents are filed as Exhibits to this report or incorporated by reference herein. Any document incorporated by reference is identified by a parenthetical reference to the SEC filing which included that document.

Exhibit Number	Description
2.1	Share Purchase Agreement, dated March 25, 2004 among ADC Telecommunications, Inc., KRONE International Holding, Inc., KRONE Digital Communications Inc., GenTek Holding Corporation and GenTek Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 2, 2004.)
2.2	First Amendment to Share Purchase Agreement, dated May 18, 2004 among ADC Telecommunications, Inc., KRONE International Holding, Inc., KRONE Digital Communications Inc., GenTek Holding Corporation and GenTek Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 2, 2004.)
2.3	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated July 21, 2005, by and among ADC Telecommunications, Inc., Falcon Venture Corp., Fiber Optic Network Solutions Corp., and Michael J. Noonan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 21, 2005.)
2.4	First Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated August 16, 2005, by and among ADC Telecommunications, Inc., Falcon Venture Corp., Fiber Optic Network Solutions Corp., and Michael J. Noonan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 16, 2005.)
2.5	Agreement and Plan of Merger dated October 21, 2007 by and among ADC Telecommunications, Inc., Hazeltine Merger Sub, Inc. and LGC Wireless, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 21, 2007.)
2.6	Share Purchase Agreement dated November 12, 2007 between ADC Telecommunications (China) Limited, ADC Telecommunications, Inc., Frontvision Investment Limited, and the shareholders of Frontvision Investment Limited, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.6 of ADC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2008.)
3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation of ADC Telecommunications, Inc., conformed to incorporate amendments dated January 20, 2000, June 30, 2000, August 13, 2001, March 2, 2004 and May 9, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3-a to ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 29, 2005.)
3.2	Restated Bylaws of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. effective December 9, 2008. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 12, 2008.)
4.1	Form of certificate for shares of Common Stock of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4-a to ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 29, 2005.)
4.2	Rights Agreement, as amended and restated as of May 9, 2007, between ADC Telecommunications, Inc. and Computershare Investor Services, LLC, as Rights Agent (which includes as Exhibit A, the Form of Certificate of Designation, Preferences and Right of Series A Junior Participating Preferred

Stock, as Exhibit B, the Form of Right Certificate, and as Exhibit C, the Summary of Rights to Purchase Preferred Shares). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4-b to ADC's Form 8-A/A filed on May 11, 2007.

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
10.1	ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Global Stock Incentive Plan, amended and restated as of December 12, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-a of ADC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2007.)
10.2	ADC Telecommunications, Inc. 2008 Global Stock Incentive Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended May 2, 2008.)
10.3	ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Management Incentive Plan for Fiscal Year 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-d of ADC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2007.)
10.4	ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Management Incentive Plan for Fiscal Year 2009. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of ADC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2008.)
10.5	ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Executive Management Incentive Plan for Fiscal Year 2009. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of ADC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2008.)
10.6 *	ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Management Incentive Plan for Fiscal Year 2010.
10.7 *	ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Executive Management Incentive Plan for Fiscal Year 2010.
10.8	ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Executive Change in Control Severance Pay Plan (2007 Restatement). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-a to ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 30, 2007.)
10.9	ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Change in Control Severance Pay Plan (2007 Restatement). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-d to ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 30, 2007.)
10.10	ADC Telecommunications, Inc. 2001 Special Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-c to ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 31, 2002.)
10.11	ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Special Incentive Plan, effective November 1, 2002 and amended October 24, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-k to ADC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2002 and to ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 30, 2006.)
10.12	ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan (1989 Restatement), as amended and restated effective as of November 1, 1989. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-aa to ADC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 1996.)
10.13	Second Amendment to ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan (1989 Restatement), effective as of March 12, 1996. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-b to ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 30, 1997.)

- 10.14 Third Amendment to ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan (1989 Restatement), effective as of December 9, 2003. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-d to ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 31, 2004.)
- 10.15 ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Pension Excess Plan (1989 Restatement), as amended and restated effective as of January 1, 1989. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-bb to ADC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 1996.)
- 10.16 Second Amendment to ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Pension Excess Plan (1989 Restatement), effective as of March 12, 1996. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-a to ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 30, 1997.)
- 10.17 ADC Telecommunications, Inc. 401(k) Excess Plan (2007 Restatement). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-b to ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 30, 2007.)
- 10.18 Compensation Plan for Non-employee directors of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. (2007 Restatement). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-c to ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 30, 2007.)
- 10.19 Executive Employment Agreement dated as of August 13, 2003, between ADC Telecommunications, Inc., and Robert E. Switz. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-e to ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2003.)
- 10.20 Amendment to Employment Agreement between ADC Telecommunications, Inc. and Robert E. Switz dated December 28, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 30, 2009.)

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
10.21	Second Amendment to Employment Agreement between ADC Telecommunications, Inc. and Robert E. Switz dated July 1, 2009. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 1, 2009.)
10.22	ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Executive Stock Ownership Policy for Section 16 Officers, effective as of January 1, 2004, and amended as of May 10, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-b to ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 29, 2005.)
10.23	Summary of Executive Perquisite Allowances. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-cc to ADC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003.)

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
10.24	Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement provided to certain officers and key management employees of ADC with respect to option grants made under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. 2001 Special Stock Option Plan on November 1, 2001 (the form of incentive stock option agreement contains the same material terms). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-f to ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 31, 2002.)
10.25	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement provided to non-employee directors with respect to restricted stock unit grants made under the ADC Telecommunications Inc. Global Stock Incentive Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-b to ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 1, 2005.)
10.26	Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement provided to non-employee directors with respect to restricted stock unit grants made under the Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors of ADC Telecommunications, Inc., restated as of January 1, 2004. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-c to ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 1, 2005.)
10.27	Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement provided to employees with respect to restricted stock unit grants made under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Global Stock Incentive Plan prior to ADC's fiscal 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-d to ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2004.)
10.28	Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Incentive Stock Option Agreement provided to employees with respect to option grants made under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Global Stock Incentive Plan prior to December 18, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-d to ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 1, 2005.)
10.29	Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Non-qualified Stock Option Agreement provided to employees with respect to option grants made under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Global Stock Incentive Plan prior to December 18, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-e to ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 1, 2005.)
10.30	Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Non-qualified Stock Option Agreement provided to non-employee directors with respect to option grants made under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Global Stock Incentive Plan prior to December 18, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-f to ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2004.)
10.31	Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Non-qualified Stock Option Agreement provided to non-employee directors with respect to option grants made under the Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors prior to December 18, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-g to ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2004.)
10.32	Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement provided to employees with respect to restricted stock unit grants made under the ADC Telecommunications Inc. Global Stock Incentive Plan prior to December 18, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-gg to ADC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2005.)

- 10.33 Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Three-Year Performance Based Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement provided to employees with respect to restricted stock unit grants made under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Global Stock Incentive Plan beginning December 18, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-x to ADC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2006.)
- 10.34 Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Three-Year Time Based Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement provided to employees with respect to restricted stock unit grants made under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Global Stock Incentive Plan beginning December 18, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-y to ADC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2006.)
- 10.35 Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Three-Year Restricted Stock Unit CEO Award Agreement effective December 18, 2006 granted to Robert E. Switz under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Global Stock Incentive Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-z to ADC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2006.)
- 10.36 Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Incentive Stock Option Agreement provided to employees with respect to option grants made under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Global Stock Incentive Plan beginning December 18, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-ee to ADC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2006.)

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
10.37	Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Non-qualified Stock Option Agreement provided to employees with respect to option grants made under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Global Stock Incentive Plan beginning December 18, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-ff to ADC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2006.)
10.38	Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Non-qualified Stock Option Agreement provided to non-employee directors with respect to option grants made under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Global Stock Incentive Plan beginning December 18, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-ii to ADC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2006.)
10.39	Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Incentive Stock Option Agreement provided to employees with respect to option grants made under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Global Stock Incentive Plan beginning December 17, 2007. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended February 1, 2008.)
10.40	Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Non-qualified Stock Option Agreement provided to employees with respect to option grants made under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Global Stock Incentive Plan beginning December 17, 2007. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended February 1, 2008.)
10.41	Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Three-Year Time Based Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement provided to employees with respect to restricted stock unit grants made under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Global Stock Incentive Plan beginning December 17, 2007. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended February 1, 2008.)
10.42	Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Three-Year Performance Based Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement provided to employees with respect to restricted stock unit grants made under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Global Stock Incentive Plan beginning December 17, 2007. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended February 1, 2008.)
10.43	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement provided to non-employee directors with respect to restricted stock unit grants made under the ADC Telecommunications Inc. 2008 Global Stock Incentive Plan beginning March 7, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended February 1, 2008.)
10.44	Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Incentive Stock Option Agreement provided to Robert E. Switz under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. 2008 Global Stock Incentive Plan on December 23, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 23, 2008.)
10.45	Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement provided to Robert E. Switz under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. 2008 Global Stock Incentive Plan on December 23, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 23, 2008.)

- 10.46 Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Incentive Stock Option Agreement provided to employees with respect to option grants made under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. 2008 Global Stock Incentive Plan beginning December 15, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 30, 2009.)
- 10.47 Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement provided to employees with respect to option grants made under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. 2008 Global Stock Incentive Plan beginning December 15, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 30, 2009.)
- 10.48 Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Three-Year Time-Based Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement provided to employees with respect to restricted stock unit grants made under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. 2008 Global Stock Incentive Plan beginning December 15, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 30, 2009.)
- 10.49 Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Three-Year Performance-Based Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement provided to employees with respect to restricted stock unit grants made under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. 2008 Global Stock Incentive Plan beginning December 15, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 30, 2009.)
- 10.50 Form of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. Three-Year Performance-Based Cash Unit Award Agreement provided to employees with respect to restricted cash unit grants made under the ADC Telecommunications, Inc. 2008 Global

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
	Stock Incentive Plan beginning December 15, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 30, 2009.)
10.51	Form of Performance-Based Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement dated September 30, 2009 between ADC Telecommunications, Inc. and Robert E. Switz. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 2, 2009.)
10.52	Form of Two-Year Performance Based Restricted Stock Unit Rights Award Agreement dated September 30, 2009. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 2, 2009.)
10.53	Form of Three-Year Time Based Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement dated September 30, 2009. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 2, 2009.)
12.1*	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
21.1*	Subsidiaries of ADC Telecommunications, Inc.
23.1*	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP.
24.1*	Power of Attorney.
31.1*	Certification of principal executive officer required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a).
31.2*	Certification of principal financial officer required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a).
32*	Certifications furnished pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Filed herewith.

Management
contract or
compensation
plan or
arrangement
required to be
filed as an
exhibit to this
report.

We have excluded from the exhibits filed with this report instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of ADC where the total amount of the securities authorized under such instruments does not exceed 10% of our total assets. We hereby agree to furnish a copy of any of these instruments to the SEC upon request.