FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC Form 10-Q November 09, 2009

## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

# QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

# For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009

OR

## • TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number: 001-16577

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter).

Michigan	38-3150651
(State or other jurisdiction of Incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
5151 Corporate Drive, Troy, Michigan	48098-2639
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip code)

(248) 312-2000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past ninety days. Yes b No o.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes o No o.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one):

Large accelerated filer o	Accelerated filer þ	Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if smaller reporting	Smaller reporting company o
		company)	company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b.

As of November 6, 2009, 468,571,775 shares of the registrant s common stock, \$0.01 par value, were issued and outstanding.

# Table of Contents

### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains certain forward-looking statements with respect to the financial condition, results of operations, plans, objectives, future performance and business of Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. (Flagstar or the Company) and these statements are subject to risk and uncertainty. Forward-looking statements, within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, include those using words or phrases such as believes, expects. anticipates. objective. continue. remain. pattern or similar expressions or future or conditiona plans. trend. would. as will, should, could, might, can. may or similar expressions.

There are a number of important factors that could cause future results to differ materially from historical performance and these forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to, those discussed under the heading Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 and under Part II, Item 1A of this guarterly report on Form 10-Q, including: (1) our business has been and may continue to be adversely affected by conditions in the global financial markets and economic conditions generally; (2) general business, economic and political conditions may significantly affect our earnings; (3) we depend on our institutional counterparties to provide services that are critical to our business. If one of more of our institutional counterparties defaults on its obligations to us or becomes insolvent, it could have a material adverse affect our earnings, liquidity, capital position and financial condition; (4) defaults by another larger financial institution could adversely affect financial markets generally; (5) if we cannot effectively manage the impact of the volatility of interest rates, our earnings could be adversely affected; (6) the value of our mortgage servicing rights could decline with reduction in interest rates; (7) certain hedging strategies that we use to manage our investment in mortgage servicing rights may be ineffective to offset any adverse changes in the fair value of these assets due to changes in interest rates; (8) we use estimates in determining fair value of certain of our assets, which estimates may prove to be incorrect and result in significant declines in valuation; (9) changes in the fair value or ratings downgrades of our securities may reduce our stockholders equity, net earnings, or regulatory capital ratios; (10) current and further deterioration in the housing and commercial real estate markets may lead to increased loss severities and further increases in delinquencies and non-performing assets in our loan portfolios. Additionally, the performance of our standby and commercial letters of credit may be adversely affected as well. Consequently, our allowance for loan losses and guarantee liability may not be adequate to cover actual losses, and we may be required to materially increase our reserves; (11) our secondary market reserve for losses could be insufficient; (12) our home lending profitability could be significantly reduced if we are not able to resell mortgages; (13) our commercial real estate and commercial business loan portfolios carry heightened credit risk; (14) our ability to borrow funds, maintain or increase deposits or raise capital could be limited, which could adversely affect our liquidity and earnings; (15) our inability to realize our deferred tax assets may have a material adverse affect on our consolidated results of operations and our financial condition; (16) we may be required to raise capital at terms that are materially adverse to our stockholders; (17) our holding company is dependent on the Bank for funding of obligations and dividends; (18) future dividend payments and equity repurchases are restricted by the terms of the Treasury s equity investment in us; (19) we may not be able to replace key members of senior management or attract and retain qualified relationship managers in the future; (20) the network and computer systems on which we depend could fail or experience a security breach; (21) our business is highly regulated; (22) our business has volatile earnings because it operates based on a multi-year cycle; (23) our loans are geographically concentrated in only a few states; (24) we are subject to heightened regulatory scrutiny with respect to bank secrecy and anti-money laundering statutes and regulations; (25) we are a controlled company that is exempt from certain NYSE corporate governance requirements; and (26) current and further deterioration in the housing market, as well as the number of programs that have been introduced to address the situation by government agencies and government sponsored enterprises, may lead to increased costs to service loans which could affect our margins or impair the value of our mortgage servicing rights.

The Company does not undertake, and specifically disclaims any obligation, to update any forward-looking statements to reflect occurrences or unanticipated events or circumstances after the date of such statements.

# FLAGSTAR BANCORP, INC. FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	4
Item 1. Financial Statements	4
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	36
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	57
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	57
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	58
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	58
Item 1A. Risk Factors	58
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	58
Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities	58
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	58
Item 5. Other Information	58
Item 6. Exhibits	59
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	60
<u>EX-11</u>	
EX-31.1	

EX-31.2 EX-32.1 EX-32.2

#### Table of Contents

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### **Item 1. Financial Statements**

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company are as follows:

Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition September 30, 2009 (unaudited) and December 31, 2008.

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations For the nine and three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive Loss For the nine months ended September 30, 2009 (unaudited) and for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows For the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008. Unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

# Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition (In thousands, except for share data)

		t <b>September</b> <b>30,</b> <b>2009</b> Unaudited)	A	t December 31, 2008
Assets				
Cash and cash items Interest-bearing deposits	\$	607,035 228,842	\$	300,989 205,916
Cash and cash equivalents		835,877		506,905
Securities classified as trading		1,012,309		542,539
Securities classified as available for sale		817,424		1,118,453
Other investments restricted		41,519		34,532
Loans available for sale (\$2,051,058 at fair value on September 30,				
2009)		2,070,878		1,484,680
Loans held for investment		8,133,497		9,082,121
Less: allowance for loan losses		(528,000)		(376,000)
Loans held for investment, net		7,605,497		8,706,121
Total interest-earning assets		11,776,469		12,092,241
Accrued interest receivable		50,611		55,961
Repossessed assets, net		164,898		109,297
Federal Home Loan Bank stock		373,443		373,443
Premises and equipment, net		241,710		246,229
Mortgage servicing rights at fair value		564,029		511,294
Mortgage servicing rights, net		3,771		9,469
Other assets		1,038,849		504,734
Total assets	\$	14,820,815	\$	14,203,657
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity Liabilities				
Deposits	\$	8,533,968	\$	7,841,005
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	ψ	4,800,000	φ	5,200,000
Security repurchase agreements		108,000		108,000
Long term debt		300,182		248,660
		500,182		248,000
Total interest-bearing liabilities		13,742,150		13,397,665
Accrued interest payable		24,839		36,062
Secondary market reserve		53,000		42,500
Other liabilities		333,229		255,137
Total liabilities Commitments and Contingencies <b>Stockholders Equity</b>		14,153,218		13,731,364

Preferred stock \$0.01 par value, liquidation value \$1,000 per share 25,000,000 shares authorized; 266,657 issued and outstanding at		
September 30, 2009	3	
Common stock \$0.01 par value, 750,000,000 shares authorized;		
468,529,878 and 83,626,726 shares issued and outstanding at		
September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively	4,685	836
Additional paid in capital preferred	242,451	
Additional paid in capital common	443,270	119,024
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(47,685)	(81,742)
Retained earnings	24,873	434,175
Total stockholders equity	667,597	472,293
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 14,820,815	\$ 14,203,657

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

# Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. Consolidated Statements of Operations (In thousands, except per share data)

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Nine Months Ended		
	Septem 2009		Septeml 2009		
	2009		udited)	2008	
Interest Income		(end	luarica)		
Loans	\$ 136,849	\$172,163	\$ 452,233	\$ 526,039	
Mortgage-backed securities held to maturity				15,576	
Securities classified as available for sale and trading	29,738	14,563	85,873	51,325	
Interest-bearing deposits	517	1,416	1,799	5,561	
Other	3	395	28	1,453	
Total interest income	167,107	188,537	539,933	599,954	
Interest Expense					
Deposits	58,352	60,940	192,248	215,807	
FHLB advances	56,116	62,348	170,210	190,168	
Security repurchase agreements	1,178	1,179	3,497	5,541	
Other	3,867	4,229	9,638	12,400	
Total interest expense	119,513	128,696	375,593	423,916	
Net interest income	47,594	59,841	164,340	176,038	
Provision for loan losses	125,544	89,612	409,420	167,708	
Net interest (expense) income after provision for					
loan losses	(77,950)	(29,771)	(245,080)	8,330	
Non-Interest Income					
Loan fees and charges	29,422	777	97,366	2,278	
Deposit fees and charges	8,438	7,183	23,655	20,029	
Loan administration	(30,293)	25,655	(20,240)	45,980	
Gain on trading securities	21,714		6,377		
Loss on residual and transferors interests	(50,689)	(12,899)	(66,625)	(26,485)	
Net gain on loan sales	104,416	22,152	404,773	129,403	
Net (loss) gain on sales of mortgage servicing rights	(1,319)	896	(3,945)	348	
Net gain on sales of securities available for sale		149		5,019	
Total other-than-temporary impairment recoveries	24 100		((0, 522))		
(losses)	34,100		(69,533)		
Recoveries (losses) recognized in other	26 075		(10 000)		
comprehensive income (before taxes)	36,975		(49,089)		
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	(2,875)		(20,444)		
Other fees and charges	(12,582)	9,475	(29,189)	29,768	

Total non-interest income	66,232	53,388	391,728	206,340
Non-Interest Expense				
Compensation, commissions and benefits	68,611	51,461	232,038	157,538
Occupancy and equipment	17,175	19,462	53,553	59,721
Asset resolution	26,811	18,019	69,660	29,799
Communication	1,411	1,678	4,761	5,263
Other taxes	12,944	(1,359)	15,049	(83)
General and administrative	39,954	29,903	146,331	49,830
Total non-interest expense	166,906	119,164	521,392	302,068
Loss before federal income taxes	(178,624)	(95,547)	(374,744)	(87,398)
Provision (benefit) for federal income taxes	114,965	(33,456)	55,008	(30,454)
Net Loss	(293,589)	(62,091)	(429,752)	(56,944)
Preferred stock dividends/accretion	(4,623)		(12,464)	
Net Loss Applicable To Common Stock	\$ (298,212)	\$ (62,091)	\$ (442,216)	\$ (56,944)
Loss per share				
Basic	\$ (0.64)	\$ (0.79)	\$ (1.66)	\$ (0.83)
Diluted	\$ (0.64)	\$ (0.79)	\$ (1.66)	\$ (0.83)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

# Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive Loss (In thousands)

			A J J*4*	-1 D 1	Accumulated Other			Total
	Preferre Stock	Common Stock	Additiona Cap Preferred		Comprehensive Loss	eTreasury Stock	Retained S Earnings	tockholders Equity
Balance at January 1 2008 Net loss Reclassification of gain on dedesignation	\$	\$ 637	\$	\$ 64,350	) \$ (11,495)	\$(41,679)	\$ 681,165 (275,407)	\$ 692,978 (275,407)
of swaps used in cash flow hedges Reclassification of gain on sale of securities available fo					(236)			(236)
sale Reclassification of los on securities available for sale due to	SS				(3,262)			(3,262)
other-than- temporary impairment Change in net unrealized loss on					40,541			40,541
securities available fo sale	r				(107,290)			(107,290)
Total comprehensive loss Cumulative effect adjustment due to change of accounting for residential MSR								(345,654)
mortgage servicing rights Issuance of preferred							28,417	28,417
stock Issuance of common	1			45,796	i			45,797
stock Issuance of treasury		199		54,162				54,361
stock Conversion of						41,092		41,092
preferred stock Restricted stock issue	(1) d			(45,796 (587 77	)	587		(45,797) 77

Stock options exercised Stock-based							
compensation Tax effect from stock-based				1,227			1,227
compensation				(205)			(205)
Balance at December 31, 2008		836		119,024	(81,742)	434,175	472,293
(Unaudited) Net loss Reclassification of loss on securities available for sale due to other-than- temporary						(429,752)	(429,752)
impairment Change in net unrealized loss on securities available for					13,289		13,289
sale					53,682		53,682
Total comprehensive loss Cumulative effect for adoption of new guidance for other-than-temporary- impairments recognition on debt							(362,781)
securities Issuance of preferred					(32,914)	32,914	
stock Conversion of	6		507,488				507,494
preferred stock Issuance of common	(3)	3,750	(268,574)	264,827			
stock to management Reclassification of		67		5,254			5,321
Treasury Warrants Issuance of common stock for exercise of				49,673			49,673
May Warrants Restricted stock issued		31 1		4,345 (46)			4,376 (45)
Dividends on preferred stock						(8,927)	(8,927)
Accretion of preferred stock Stock-based			3,537			(3,537)	
compensation Tax effect from stock-based				658 (465)			658 (465)

compensation

Balance at September 30, 2009	\$	3	\$ 4,685	\$	242,451	\$ 443,270	\$	(47,685) \$	\$	24,873	\$	667,597
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements. $\frac{7}{7}$												

# Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (In thousands)

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2009	2008	
		(Unaudited)	
Operating Activities			
Net loss	\$ (429,7	52) \$ (56,944)	
Adjustments to net loss to net cash used in operating activities			
Provision for loan losses	409,4	20 167,708	
Depreciation and amortization	17,0	18,964	
Increase (decrease) in valuation allowance in mortgage servicing rights	3,7	74 (82)	
Loss on fair value of residential mortgage servicing rights, net of hedging			
gains	91,0	58,839	
Stock-based compensation expense	6	58 867	
Net (gain) loss on interest rate swap	(3	26) 149	
Net loss (gain) on the sale of assets	1,2	41 (429)	
Net gain on loan sales	(404,7	73) (129,403)	
Net loss (gain) on sales of mortgage servicing rights	3,9	45 (348)	
Net loss (gain) on securities classified as available for sale	20,4	44 (5,019)	
Net loss on trading securities	60,2	48 26,485	
Proceeds from sales of trading securities	1,079,7	16	
Proceeds from sales of loans available for sale	24,267,6	18,745,006	
Origination and repurchase of mortgage loans available for sale, net of			
principal repayments	(25,236,4	(21,068,787)	
Purchase of trading securities, net of principal repayments	(744,9	46)	
Decrease in accrued interest receivable	5,3	50 4,580	
Increase in other assets	(533,7	(88,256)	
Decrease in accrued interest payable	(11,2	(19,833)	
Net tax effect for stock grants issued	4	65 205	
Decrease in federal income taxes payable	(36,5	(98,996)	
Increase in other liabilities	72,6	47,591	
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,364,0	06) (2,397,703)	
Investing Activities			
Net change in other investments	(6,9	(5,013)	
Repayment of mortgage-backed securities held to maturity		90,846	
Proceeds from sale of investment securities available for sale		913,798	
Repayment of investment securities available for sale	46,4	87 138,988	
Proceeds from sales of portfolio loans	9,1	84 1,312,084	
Origination of portfolio loans, net of principal repayments	437,3	96 1,474,806	
Purchase of Federal Home Loan Bank stock		(24,499)	
Investment in unconsolidated subsidiary	1,5	47	
Proceeds from the disposition of repossessed assets	178,5	78,447	
Acquisitions of premises and equipment, net of proceeds	(9,6	(92) (24,240)	
Proceeds from the sale of mortgage servicing rights	119,8	15	

Net cash provided by investing activities	776,289	3,955,217
Financing Activities		
Net increase (decrease) in deposit accounts	692,963	(815,940)
Net decrease in Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(400,000)	(863,000)
Payment on other long term debt	(25)	(25)
Net receipt of payments of loans serviced for others	24,345	21,005
Net receipt of escrow payments	6,032	12,885
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options		77
Net tax effect of stock grants issued	(465)	(205)
Issuance of junior subordinated debt	50,000	
Issuance of preferred stock	544,365	45,797
Issuance of common stock	6,696	8,566
8		

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2009	2008	
	(Una	udited)	
Issuance of treasury stock Dividends paid to preferred stockholders	(7,222)	41,092	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	916,689	(1,549,748)	
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	328,972	7,766	
Beginning cash and cash equivalents	506,905	340,169	
Ending cash and cash equivalents	\$ 835,877	\$ 347,935	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Loans held for investment transferred to repossessed assets	\$ 492,798	\$ 149,855	
Total interest payments made on deposits and other borrowings	\$ 386,816	\$ 443,748	
Federal income taxes paid	\$ 1,510	\$ 5,808	
Reclassification of mortgage loans originated available for sale then transferred to held for investment loans	\$ 42,171	\$ 1,583,069	
Reclassification of mortgage loans originated as held for investment to mortgage loans available for sale	\$ 32,987	\$ 280,635	
Mortgage servicing rights resulting from sale or securitization of loans	\$ 267,960	\$ 292,004	
Reclassification of mortgage backed securities held to maturity to securities available for sale	\$	\$ 1,163,681	
Conversion of mandatory convertible participating voting preferred stock to common stock	\$ 271,577	\$	
Conversion of mandatory convertible non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock to common stock	\$	\$ 45,797	
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated f	inancial stateme	ents.	

## Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

#### Note 1. Nature of Business

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. (Flagstar or the Company), is the holding company for Flagstar Bank, FSB (the Bank), a federally chartered stock savings bank founded in 1987. With \$14.8 billion in assets at September 30, 2009, Flagstar is the largest insured depository institution headquartered in Michigan.

The Company s principal business is obtaining funds in the form of deposits and wholesale borrowings and investing those funds in single-family mortgages and other types of loans. Its primary lending activity is the acquisition or origination of single-family mortgage loans. The Company may also originate consumer loans, commercial real estate loans, and non-real estate commercial loans and it services a significant volume of residential mortgage loans for others.

The Company sells or securitizes substantially all of the mortgage loans that it originates, and it generally retains the right to service the mortgage loans that it sells. These mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) are occasionally sold by the Company in transactions separate from the sale of the underlying mortgages. The Company may also invest in a portion of its loan production in order to enhance the Company s leverage ability and to receive the interest spread between earning assets and paying liabilities.

The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank System ( FHLB ) and is subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Office of Thrift Supervision ( OTS ) and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ( FDIC ). The Bank s deposits are insured by the FDIC through the Deposit Insurance Fund ( DIF ).

## Note 2. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. In accordance with current accounting principles, the Company s trust subsidiaries are not consolidated. In addition, certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim information and in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X as promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) for complete financial statements. The accompanying interim financial statements are unaudited; however, in the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The results of operations for the three and nine month period ended September 30, 2009, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2009. We have evaluated the financial statements for subsequent events through the date of the filing of the Form 10-Q. For further information, you should refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, which can be found on the Company s Investor Relations web page, at www.flagstar.com, and on the website of the SEC, at www.sec.gov.

#### Note 3. Recent Accounting Developments

In November 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued relevant accounting guidance now codified within FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 810, Consolidation, which addresses a non-controlling interest in consolidated financial statements. The guidance changes the way consolidated net earnings are presented. The new guidance requires consolidated net earnings to be reported at amounts attributable to both the parent and the non-controlling interest and will require disclosure on the face of the consolidated statement of operations amounts attributable to the parent and the non-controlling interest. The adoption of this guidance is intended to result in more transparent reporting of the net earnings attributable to the non-controlling interest. The guidance establishes a single method of accounting for changes in a parent s ownership interest in a subsidiary that do not result in deconsolidated. The adoption of this guidance was effective for the Company on January 1, 2009. The

adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial condition, results of operation or liquidity.

In February 2008, the FASB issued relevant accounting guidance now codified within FASB ASC Topic 860, Transfers and Servicing, related to transfers of financial assets and repurchase financing transactions. This guidance requires the initial transfer of a financial asset and a repurchase financing that was entered into contemporaneously with or in contemplation of the initial transfer, to be treated as a linked transaction under accounting guidance for transfers and servicing, unless certain criteria are met, then the initial transfer and repurchase will not be evaluated as a linked transaction, but will be evaluated separately under accounting guidance for transfers and servicing. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

In June 2008, the FASB issued relevant accounting guidance now codified within FASB ASC Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, pertaining to disclosures about derivative instruments and hedging activities. This guidance requires entities to provide enhanced qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies with respect to an entity s derivative and hedging activities. This guidance is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

In October 2008, the FASB issued relevant accounting guidance now codified within FASB ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, related to determining the fair value of a financial asset when the market for that asset is not active. This guidance clarifies the application of existing guidance on fair value measurements in an inactive market and provides key considerations in determining the fair value of an asset where the market is not active. This guidance was effective immediately upon issuance. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

In December 2008, the FASB issued relevant accounting guidance now codified within FASB ASC 860, Transfers and Servicing, and ASC 810, Consolidation, pertaining to disclosures by public entities (enterprises) about transfers of financial assets and interest in variable interest entities. This guidance requires enhanced disclosures about the transfers of financial assets and interests in variable interest entities. The guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after December 15, 2008. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

In April 2009, the FASB issued relevant accounting guidance now codified within FASB ASC 320, Investments Debt and Equity Securities, related to the recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairments for debt and equity securities. This guidance amends the other-than-temporary guidance to make the guidance more operational and to improve the presentation of other-than-temporary impairments in the financial statements. The guidance modifies the current indicator that, to avoid considering an impairment to be other-than-temporary, management must assert that it has both the intent and ability to hold an impaired security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. The new guidance would require management to assert that (a) it does not have the intent to sell the security and (b) it is more likely than not that it will not have to sell the security before its recovery. The guidance changes the total amount recognized in earnings when there are factors other than credit losses associated with an impairment of a debt security. The impairment is separated into impairments related to credit losses, which is recognized immediately in earnings, and impairments related to all other factors. The adoption of this guidance resulted in a cumulative adjustment increasing retained earnings and other comprehensive loss by \$50.6 million offset by a tax expense of \$17.7 million, or \$32.9 million net of tax. The cumulative adjustment represents the non-credit portion of the other-than-temporary impairment, related to securities available for sale, that the Company had recorded prior to January 1, 2009. See Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss in Note 14, Stockholders Equity .

In April 2009, FASB issued relevant accounting guidance now codified within FASB ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, determining fair value when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased and identifying transactions that are not orderly for debt and equity securities. The guidance provides additional direction on determining whether a market for a financial asset is not active and a transaction is not distressed for fair value measurements. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

In April 2009, FASB issued relevant accounting guidance now codified within FASB ASC 825, Financial Instruments, pertaining to interim disclosures about fair value of financial instruments which requires disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments in interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies that were previously only required to be disclosed in annual financial statements. The provisions of this guidance were adopted by the Company effective January 1, 2009. As this guidance amends only the disclosure requirements about fair value of financial instruments in interim periods, the adoption of this guidance did not affect the Company s consolidated statements of operations and financial condition.

In May 2009, the FASB issued relevant accounting guidance now codified as FASB ASC Topic 855, Subsequent Events, which establishes general guidelines of accounting for and disclosure of subsequent events that occur after the

balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. In particular, this guidance sets forth the period after the balance sheet date during which management should evaluate events and transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, the circumstances under which events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date should be recognized and disclosures that should be made about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date. The adoption of this statement is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of this guidance did not affect the Company s consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS 166, Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets- an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140. SFAS 166 amends the accounting for transfers of financial assets, and is the principal accounting guidance governing the Company s private-label asset securitization activities. Under SFAS No. 166, the Company s securitization transactions will no longer be exempt from consolidation. SFAS 166 modifies the financial-components approach used in prior guidance and limits the circumstances in which a financial asset, or a portion of a financial asset, should be derecognized when the transferor has not transferred the entire original financial asset to an entity that is not consolidated with the transferor in the financial asset. The statement also requires that a transferor recognize and initially measure at fair value, all assets obtained including beneficial interests and liabilities incurred as a result of the transfer of financial assets accounted for as a sale. SFAS 166 will become effective for the Company on January 1, 2010. The Company is currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of SFAS 166 could have on its consolidated financial statements of condition, results of operations or liquidity.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R). SFAS 167 changes how a company determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. SFAS 167 will become effective for the Company on January 1, 2010. The Company is currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of SFAS 167 could have on its consolidated financial statements of condition, results of operations or liquidity.

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance now codified within FASB ASC Topic 105, Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, related to the FASB Accounting Standards Codification which became the single source of authoritative nongovernmental U.S. GAAP, superseding existing FASB, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF), and related accounting literature. This guidance reorganizes the thousands of GAAP pronouncements into roughly 90 accounting topics and displays them using a consistent structure. Also included is relevant SEC guidance organized using the same topical structure in separate sections. This guidance will be effective for financial statements issued for reporting periods that end after September 15, 2009. This guidance has impacted the Company s disclosures in its consolidated financial statements because all references to authoritative accounting literature must now be referenced in accordance with the new guidance.

## Note 4. Fair Value Accounting

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted guidance related to fair value measurements and additional guidance for financial instruments. This guidance establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The updated guidance was issued to establish a uniform definition of fair value. The definition of fair value under this guidance is market-based as opposed to company-specific and includes the following:

Defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability, in either case through an orderly transaction between market participants at a measurement date, and establishes a framework for measuring fair value;

Establishes a three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date;

Nullifies previous fair value guidance, which required the deferral of profit at inception of a transaction involving a derivative financial instrument in the absence of observable data supporting the valuation

technique;

Eliminates large position discounts for financial instruments quoted in active markets and requires consideration of the company s creditworthiness when valuing liabilities; and

Expands disclosures about instruments that are measured at fair value.

The accounting guidance for financial instruments provides an option to elect fair value as an alternative measurement for selected financial assets, financial liabilities, unrecognized Company commitments and written loan commitments not previously recorded at fair value. In accordance with the provisions of this guidance, the Company, as of January 1, 2008, elected the fair value option for certain non-investment grade residual securities from private-label securitizations. The Company elected fair value on these residual securities and reclassified these investments to securities trading to provide consistency in the accounting for the Company s residual interests.

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company elected the fair value measurement method for residential MSRs under guidance related to servicing assets and liabilities. Upon election, the carrying value of the residential MSRs was increased to fair value by recognizing a cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings of \$43.7 million before tax, or \$28.4 million after tax. Management elected the fair value measurement method of accounting for residential MSRs to be consistent with the fair value accounting method required for its risk management strategy to hedge the fair value of these assets. Changes in the fair value of MSRs, as well as changes in fair value of the related derivative instruments, are recognized each period within loan administration income (loss) on the consolidated statement of operations.

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company elected the fair value option for the majority of its loans available for sale in accordance with the accounting guidance for financial instruments. Only loans available for sale originated subsequent to January 1, 2009 are affected. Prior to the Company s fair value election, loans available for sale were carried at the lower of aggregate cost or estimated fair value; therefore, any increase in fair value to such loans was not realized until such loans were sold. The effect on consolidated operations of this election amounted to recording additional gains on loan sales of \$14.7 million and \$57.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively, based upon an increase in fair value during the period rather than at a later time when the loans were sold. See Note 6, Loans Available for Sale.

## **Determination of Fair Value**

The Company has an established process for determining fair values. Fair value is based upon quoted market prices, where available. If listed prices or quotes are not available, fair value is based upon internally developed models that use primarily market-based or independently-sourced market parameters, including interest rate yield curves and option volatilities. Valuation adjustments may be made to ensure that financial instruments are recorded at fair value. These adjustments include amounts to reflect counterparty credit quality, creditworthiness, liquidity and unobservable parameters that are applied consistently over time. Any changes to the valuation methodology are reviewed by management to determine appropriateness of the changes. As markets develop and the pricing for certain products becomes more transparent, the Company expects to continue to refine its valuation methodologies.

The methods described above may produce a fair value estimate that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, while the Company believes its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in different estimates of fair values of the same financial instruments at the reporting date.

### **Valuation Hierarchy**

The accounting guidance for fair value measurements and disclosures establishes a three-level valuation hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements. The valuation hierarchy favors the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date and thereby favors use of Level 1 if appropriate information is available, and otherwise Level 2 and finally Level 3 if Level 2 input is not available. The three levels are defined as follows.

Level 1 Fair value is based upon quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets in which the Company can participate.

Level 2 Fair value is based upon quoted prices for similar (i.e., not identical) assets and liabilities in active markets, and other inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.

Level 3 Fair value is based upon financial models using primarily unobservable inputs. A financial instrument s categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input within the valuation hierarchy that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used by the Company for instruments measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy

Assets

*Securities classified as trading.* These securities are comprised of U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities, U.S. Treasury bonds and non-investment grade residual securities that arose from private-label securitizations of the Company. The U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities and U.S. Treasury bonds trade in an active, open market with readily observable prices and are therefore classified within the Level 1 valuation hierarchy. The non-investment grade residual securities do not trade in an active, open market with readily observable prices and are therefore classified within the Level 3 valuation hierarchy. Under Level 3, the fair value of residual securities is determined by discounting estimated net future cash flows using expected prepayment rates and discount rates that

approximate current market rates. Estimated net future cash flows include assumptions related to expected credit losses on these securities. The Company maintains a model that evaluates the default rate and severity of loss on the residual securities collateral, considering such factors as loss experience, delinquencies, loan-to-value ratios, borrower credit scores and property type. See Note 9, Private Label Securitization Activity for the key assumptions used in the residual interest valuation process.

Securities classified as available for sale. These securities are comprised of U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities and CMOs. Where quoted prices for securities are available in an active market, those securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. If such quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, or discounted cash flows. Due to illiquidity in the markets, the Company determined the fair value of certain non-agency securities using internal valuation models and therefore classified them within the Level 3 valuation hierarchy as these models utilize significant inputs which are unobservable.

*Other investments-restricted.* Other investments are primarily comprised of various mutual fund holdings. These mutual funds trade in an active market and quoted prices are available. Other investments are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy.

*Loans available for sale.* At September 30, 2009, the majority of the Company s loans originated and classified as available for sale were reported at fair value and classified as Level 2. The Company estimates the fair value of mortgage loans based on quoted market prices for securities backed by similar types of loans. Otherwise, the fair value of loans is estimated using discounted cash flows based upon management s best estimate of market interest rates for similar collateral. At September 30, 2009, the Company continued to have a relatively small number of loans which were originated prior to the fair value election and accounted for at lower of cost or market.

*Loans held for investment.* The Company does not record these loans at fair value on a recurring basis. However, from time to time a loan is considered impaired and an allowance for loan losses is established. Loans are considered impaired if it is probable that payment of interest and principal will not be made in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Once a loan is identified as impaired, the fair value of the impaired loan is estimated using one of several methods, including collateral value, market value of similar debt, enterprise value, liquidation value or discounted cash flows. Impaired loans do not require an allowance if the fair value of the expected repayments or collateral exceed the recorded investments in such loans. At September 30, 2009, substantially all of the total impaired loans were evaluated based on the fair value of the collateral require classification in the fair value hierarchy. When the fair value of the collateral is based on an observable market price or a current appraised value, the Company records the impaired loan as a nonrecurring Level 2 valuation.

*Repossessed assets.* Loans on which the underlying collateral has been repossessed are adjusted to fair value upon transfer to repossessed assets. Subsequently, repossessed assets are carried at the lower of carrying value or fair value, less anticipated marketing and selling costs. Fair value is based upon independent market prices, appraised values of the collateral or management s estimation of the value of the collateral. When the fair value of the collateral is based on an observable market price or a current appraised value, the Company records the repossessed asset as a nonrecurring Level 2 valuation.

*Mortgage Servicing Rights.* The Company has obligations to service residential first mortgage loans, and consumer loans (i.e. home equity lines of credit ( HELOCs ) and second mortgage loans obtained through private-label securitization transactions). Residential MSRs are accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis. Servicing rights associated with consumer loans are carried at amortized cost and are periodically evaluated for impairment.

<u>Residential Mortgage Servicing Rights</u>. The current market for residential mortgage servicing rights is not sufficiently liquid to provide participants with quoted market prices. Therefore, the Company uses an option-adjusted spread valuation approach to determine the fair value of residential MSRs. This approach consists of projecting servicing cash flows under multiple interest rate scenarios and discounting these cash flows using risk-adjusted discount rates. The key assumptions used in the valuation of residential MSRs include mortgage prepayment speeds and discount rates. Management periodically obtains third-party valuations of the residential MSR portfolio to assess the reasonableness of the fair value calculated by its internal valuation model. Due to the nature of the valuation

inputs, residential MSRs are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. See Note 10, Mortgage Servicing Rights for the key assumptions used in the residential MSR valuation process.

<u>Consumer Loan Servicing Rights.</u> Consumer servicing assets are subject to periodic impairment testing. A valuation model, which utilizes a discounted cash flow analysis using interest rates and prepayment speed assumptions currently quoted for comparable instruments and a discount rate determined by management, is used in the completion of impairment testing. If the valuation model reflects a value less than the carrying value, consumer servicing assets are adjusted

to fair value through a valuation allowance as determined by the model. As such, the Company classifies consumer servicing assets subject to nonrecurring fair value adjustments as Level 3 valuations.

*Derivative Financial Instruments.* Certain classes of derivative contracts are listed on an exchange and are actively traded, and they are therefore classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. These include U.S. Treasury futures, U.S. Treasury options and interest rate swaps. The Company s forward loan sale commitments may be valued based on quoted prices for similar assets in an active market with inputs that are observable and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Rate lock commitments are valued using internal models with significant unobservable market parameters and therefore are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. **Liabilities** 

*Warrants.* Warrant liabilities are valued using a binomial lattice model and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Significant assumptions include expected volatility, a risk free rate and an expected life.

## Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis

The following table presents the financial instruments carried at fair value as of September 30, 2009, by caption on the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition and by the valuation hierarchy (as described above) (in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total carrying value in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition
Securities classified as trading:				
Residual interests	\$	\$	\$ 3,269	\$ 3,269
Mortgage-backed securities	1,009,040			1,009,040
Securities classified as available for sale	168,422		649,002	817,424
Loans available for sale		2,051,058		2,051,058
Residential mortgage servicing rights			564,029	564,029
Other investments-restricted	41,519			41,519
Derivative financial instruments:	,			,
Rate lock commitments			40,605	40,605
Forward loan commitments		(33,074)		(33,074)
Agency forwards	14,180			14,180
Treasury futures	673			673
Interest rate swaps	(1,177)			(1,177)
Warrant liabilities		(9,334)		(9,334)
Total assets and liabilities at fair value	\$1,232,657	\$2,008,650	\$1,256,905	\$4,498,212

## Changes in Level 3 fair value measurements

A determination to classify a financial instrument within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy is based upon the significance of the unobservable factors to the overall fair value measurement. However, Level 3 financial instruments typically include, in addition to the unobservable or Level 3 components, observable components (that is, components that are actively quoted and can be validated to external sources). Accordingly, the gains and losses in the table below include changes in fair value due in part to observable factors that are included within the valuation methodology. Also, the Company manages the risk associated with the observable components of Level 3 financial instruments using securities and derivative positions that are classified within Level 1 or Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy; these Level 1 and Level 2 risk management instruments are not included below, and therefore the gains and losses in the

tables do not reflect the effect of the Company s risk management activities related to such Level 3 instruments.

#### Fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs

The table below includes a rollforward of the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition amounts for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 (including the change in fair value) for financial instruments classified by the Company within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy (in thousands).

						Changes in unrealized gains and (losses) related to
			Purchases,	TE A		financial
		Total	issuances	Transfers in		instruments
	Fair value,	realized/	and	and/or out	Fair value,	held at
Nine months ended	January 1,	unrealized	settlements,	of Level	September	September
September 30, 2009	2009	gains/(losses)	net	3	30, 2009	30, 2009
Securities classified as trading:						
Residual interests Securities classified as	\$ 24,808	\$ (21,539)	\$	\$	\$ 3,269	\$
available for sale <sup>(a)</sup> Residential mortgage	563,083	87,420	(1,501)		649,002	107,863
servicing rights Derivative financial Instruments:	511,294	(215,222)	267,957		564,029	
Rate lock commitments	78,613		(38,008)		40,605	
Totals	\$1,177,798	\$(149,341)	\$228,448	\$	\$1,256,905	\$107,863

(a) Realized gains

 (losses), including
 unrealized losses
 deemed
 other-than-temporary
 and related to credit
 issues, are reported in
 non-interest income.
 Unrealized gains
 (losses) are reported
 in accumulated other
 comprehensive loss.

The Company also has assets that under certain conditions are subject to measurement at fair value on a non-recurring basis. These include assets that are measured at the lower of cost or market and had a fair value below cost at the end of the period as summarized below (in thousands).

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

	Balance at September 30, 2009	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Loans held for investment Repossessed assets	\$550,365 164,898	\$	\$550,365 164,898	\$
Consumer loan servicing rights	3,771			3,771
Totals	\$719,034	\$	\$715,263	\$3,771

# Required Financial Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The accounting guidance for financial instruments requires disclosures of the estimated fair value of certain financial instruments and the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate their fair values. Certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments are excluded from the scope of this guidance. Accordingly, the fair value disclosures required by this guidance are only indicative of the value of individual financial instruments as of the dates indicated and should not be considered an indication of the fair value of the Company.

The following table presents the carrying amount and estimated fair value of certain financial instruments (in thousands).

	September 30, 2009		December 31, 2008		
	Carrying	Fair	Carrying	Fair	
	Value	Value	Value	Value	
Financial instruments:					
Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 835,877	\$ 835,877	\$ 506,905	\$ 506,905	
Securities trading	1,012,309	1,012,309	542,539	542,539	
Securities available for sale	817,424	817,424	1,118,453	1,118,453	
Other investments-restricted	41,519	41,519	34,532	34,532	
Loans available for sale	2,070,878	2,050,726	1,484,680	1,526,031	
Loans held for investment, net	7,605,497	7,599,315	8,706,121	8,845,398	
FHLB stock	373,443	373,443	373,443	373,443	
Liabilities:					
Retail deposits:					
Demand deposits and savings accounts	(1,880,140)	(1,880,140)	(1,386,330)	(1,386,330)	
Certificates of deposit	(3,819,351)	(3,820,358)	(3,967,985)	(4,098,135)	
Public funds	(650,666)	(648,864)	(597,638)	(599,849)	
National certificates of deposit	(1,232,031)	(1,273,805)	(1,353,558)	(1,412,506)	
Company controlled deposits	(951,780)	(951,780)	(535,494)	(535,494)	
FHLB advances	(4,800,000)	(5,097,964)	(5,200,000)	(5,612,624)	
Security repurchase agreements	(108,000)	(111,428)	(108,000)	(113,186)	
Long term debt	(300,182)	(281,583)	(248,660)	(247,396)	
Warrant liabilities	(9,334)	(9,334)			
<b>Derivative Financial Instruments:</b>					
Forward delivery contracts	(33,074)	(33,074)	(61,256)	(61,256)	
Commitments to extend credit	40,605	40,605	78,613	78,613	
Interest rate swaps	(1,177)	(1,177)	(1,280)	(1,280)	
Treasury and agency futures/forwards	14,853	14,853	60,813	60,813	
Options			17,219	17,219	

The methods and assumptions that were used to estimate the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring or non-recurring basis are discussed above. The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value for other financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value:

*Cash and cash equivalents.* Due to their short term nature, the carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value.

*Loans held for investment*. The fair value of loans is estimated by using internally developed discounted cash flow models using market interest rate inputs as well as management s best estimate of spreads for similar collateral.

*FHLB stock.* No secondary market exists for FHLB stock. The stock is bought and sold at par by the FHLB. Management believes that the recorded value is the fair value.

**Deposit Accounts.** The fair value of demand deposits and savings accounts approximates the carrying amount. The fair value of fixed-maturity certificates of deposit is estimated using the rates currently offered for certificates of deposits with similar remaining maturities.

*FHLB Advances.* Rates currently available to the Company for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities are used to estimate the fair value of the existing debt.

*Security Repurchase Agreements.* Rates currently available for repurchase agreements with similar terms and maturities are used to estimate fair values for these agreements.

*Long Term Debt.* The fair value of the long-term debt is estimated based on a discounted cash flow model that incorporates the Company s current borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

### Note 5. Investment Securities

As of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, investment securities were comprised of the following (in thousands):

	September 30, 2009	Range of Maturities	December 31, 2008
Securities trading U.S. government sponsored agencies Non-investment grade residual interests	\$ 1,009,040 3,269	2038-2039	\$ 517,731 24,808
Total securities trading	\$ 1,012,309		\$ 542,539
Securities available-for-sale Non-agencies U.S. government sponsored agencies	\$ 649,002 168,422	2035-2037 2010-2046	\$ 563,083 555,370
Total securities available-for-sale	\$ 817,424		\$ 1,118,453
<b>Other investments</b> restricted Mutual funds	\$ 41,519		\$ 34,532

#### Trading

Securities classified as trading are comprised of AAA-rated U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities, U.S. Treasury bonds, and non-investment grade residual interests from private-label securitizations. U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities held in trading are distinguished from available-for-sale based upon the intent of the Company to use them as an economic offset against changes in the valuation of the MSR portfolio; however, these securities do not qualify as an accounting hedge as defined in current accounting guidance for derivatives and hedges.

The non-investment grade residual interests resulting from the Company s private label securitizations were \$3.3 million at September 30, 2009 versus \$24.8 million at December 31, 2008. Non-investment grade residual securities classified as trading decreased as a result of the increase in the actual and expected losses in the second mortgages and HELOCs that underly these assets.

The fair value of residual interests is determined by discounting estimated net future cash flows using discount rates that approximate current market rates and expected prepayment rates. Estimated net future cash flows include assumptions related to expected credit losses on these securities. The Company maintains a model that evaluates the default rate and severity of loss on the residual interests collateral, considering such factors as loss experience, delinquencies, loan-to-value ratio, borrower credit scores and property type.

## Available-for-Sale

At September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company had \$0.8 billion and \$1.1 billion, respectively, in securities classified as available-for-sale which were comprised of U.S. government sponsored agency and non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations. Securities available-for-sale are carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses reported as a component of other comprehensive loss to the extent they are temporary in nature or

other-than-temporary impairments ( OTTI ) as to non-credit related issues. If losses are, at any time, deemed to have arisen from OTTI, then the credit related portion is reported as an expense for that period.

The following table summarizes the amortized cost and estimated fair value of U.S. government sponsored agency and non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations classified as available-for-sale (in thousands):

	S	eptember 30, 2009	I	December 31, 2008
Amortized cost Gross unrealized holding gains Gross unrealized holding losses	\$	890,786 9,150 (82,512)	\$	1,244,145 10,522 (136,214)
Estimated fair value	\$	817,424	\$	1,118,453
18				

The following table summarizes by duration the unrealized loss positions, at September 30, 2009, on securities (dollars in thousands):

	Unrealized Loss Position with Duration 12 Months and Over Current				ition with Months Current	
Type of Security	Principal	Number of Securities	Unrealized Loss	Principal	Number of Securities	Unrealized Loss
U.S. government sponsored agency securities Collateralized mortgage obligations	\$ 700 679,104	1	\$ (7) (82,497)	\$1,632	3	\$ (8)
Totals	\$679,804	12	\$(82,504)	\$1,632	3	\$ (8)

The fair values of all other non-agency and U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities are estimated based on market information.

The unrealized losses on securities-available-for-sale amounted to \$82.5 million on \$681.4 million of principal of agency and non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs) at September 30, 2009. These CMOs consist of interests in investment vehicles backed by mortgage loans. In the first quarter of 2009, the Company adopted new accounting guidance for investments. The new accounting guidance changed the amount of impairment recognized in operations when there are credit losses associated with an other-than-temporary impairment of a debt security. The other-than-temporary impairment is separated into impairments related to credit losses, which are recorded in operations, and impairments related to all other factors, which are recorded in other comprehensive income.

An investment impairment analysis is triggered when the estimated market value is less than amortized cost for an extended period of time, generally six months. Before an analysis is performed, the Company also reviews the general market conditions for the specific type of underlying collateral for each security; in this case, the mortgage market in general has suffered from significant losses in value. With the assistance of third party experts as deemed necessary, the Company models the expected cash flows of the underlying mortgage assets using historical factors such as default rates, current delinquency rates and estimated factors such as prepayment speed, default speed and severity speed. Next, the cash flows are modeled through the appropriate waterfall for each CMO tranche owned; the level of credit support provided by subordinated tranches is included in the waterfall analysis. The resulting cash flow of principal and interest is then utilized by management to determine the amount of credit losses by security.

The credit losses on the CMO portfolio reflect the economic conditions present in the U.S. over the course of the last two years. This includes high mortgage defaults, low collateral values and changes in homeowner behavior, such as intentionally defaulting on a note due to a home value worth less than the outstanding debt on the home.

During the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company recognized other-than-temporary impairment of \$62.4 million on three collateralized mortgage obligations. Under the new accounting guidance discussed in an earlier paragraph, the credit loss portion of the other-than-temporary impairment was \$11.8 million while the impairment related to all other factors was \$50.6 million. Effective January 1, 2009, the \$50.6 million loss, net of \$17.7 million of tax benefit, was reclassified from retained earnings to other comprehensive loss as a cumulative adjustment.

In the three months ended September 30, 2009, additional credit losses on six investments with existing other-than-temporary impairment credit losses totaled \$2.5 million while an additional \$0.4 million credit loss was recognized on one security that did not already have such losses; all credit losses were recognized in current operations. For the nine month period ending September 30, 2009, additional credit losses on CMOs totaled \$20.4 million, which was recognized in current operations. No such impairments were recognized during the same three or nine month periods in 2008. At September 30, 2009, the Company had total other-than-temporary

impairments of \$117.5 million on 12 securities in the available-for-sale portfolio with \$35.0 million in total credit losses recognized through operations.

The following table shows the activity for OTTI credit loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 (dollars in thousands):

	January 1, 2009 Balance	Additions on Securities with No Prior OTTI	Additions on Securities with Previous OTTI Recognized	Reductions for Sold Securities with OTTI	September 30, 2009 Balance
Collateralized Mortgage Obligations	\$(14,525)	\$ (14,139) 19	\$ (6,305)	\$	\$(34,969)

Gains (losses) on the sale of U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities available for sale that are recently created with underlying mortgage products originated by the Bank are reported within net gain on loan sale. Securities in this category have typically remained in the portfolio less than 90 days before sale. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, sales of these agency securities with underlying mortgage products originated by the Bank were \$190.5 million resulting in \$1.0 million of net gain on loan sale versus \$36.4 million resulting in \$17,200 of net gain on loan sale during the same period in 2008. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, sales of agency securities with underlying mortgage products originated by the Bank were \$653.0 million resulting in \$13.0 million of net gain on loan sale compared with a \$1.7 million gain on \$2.8 billion during the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

Gain (loss) on sales for all other available for sale securities types are reported in net gain on sale of available for sales securities. There were no such sales in the three months ended September 30, 2009 while in the same period in 2008 the Company sold \$13.8 million in this category of available for sale securities resulting in \$0.1 million in gain. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we sold no such securities. In the nine months ended September 30, 2008, we sold \$908.8 million in agency and non-agency securities available for sale resulting in a net gain on sale of \$5.0 million.

As of September 30, 2009, the aggregate amount of available-for-sale securities from each of the following non-agency issuers was greater than 10% of the Company s stockholders equity.

Name of Issuer	Amortized Cost	Fair Market Value		
	(In the	ousands)		
Countrywide Alternative Loan Trust	\$ 93,217	\$ 76,641		
Countrywide Home Loans	214,369	187,760		
Flagstar Home Equity Loan Trust 2006-1	202,078	183,325		
Goldman Sachs Mortgage Company	69,591	59,106		
	\$ 579,255	\$ 506,832		

#### Other Investments Restricted

The Company has other investments in its insurance subsidiary which are restricted as to their use. These assets can only be used to pay insurance claims in that subsidiary. These securities had a fair value that approximates their recorded amount for each period presented.

## Note 6. Loans Available for Sale

The following table summarizes loans available for sale (in thousands):

	S	eptember 30, 2009	Ι	December 31, 2008
Mortgage loans Second mortgage loans	\$	2,070,878	\$	1,484,649 31
Total	\$	2,070,878	\$	1,484,680

Through December 31, 2008, loans available for sale were carried at the lower of aggregate cost or estimated fair value. As of December 31, 2008, these loans had an aggregate fair value that exceeded their recorded amount. Effective January 1, 2009, the Company elected to record the majority of its loans available for sale on the fair value method and as such no longer defers loan fees or expenses related to these loans. Because the fair value method was required to be adopted prospectively, only loans originated for sale subsequent to January 1, 2009 are affected. At September 30, 2009, \$2.1 billion of loans available for sale were recorded at fair value. The Company estimates the

fair value of mortgage loans based on quoted market prices for securities backed by similar types of loans. Where quoted market prices were available, such market prices were utilized as estimates for fair values. Otherwise, the fair values of loans were estimated by discounting estimated cash flows using management s best estimate of market interest rates for similar collateral.

#### Note 7. Loans Held for Investment

Loans held for investment are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	S	September 30, 2009	]	December 31, 2008
Mortgage loans	\$	5,304,950	\$	5,958,748
Second mortgage loans		236,239		287,350
Commercial real estate loans		1,677,106		1,779,363
Construction loans residential		22,906		54,749
Warehouse lending		425,861		434,140
Consumer loans		452,548		543,102
Commercial loans		13,887		24,669
Total		8,133,497		9,082,121
Less allowance for loan losses		(528,000)		(376,000)
Total	\$	7,605,497	\$	8,706,121

Activity in the allowance for loan losses is summarized as follows (in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		For the Nine I Septem	Months Ended Iber 30,
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 474,000	\$ 154,000	\$ 376,000	\$ 104,000
Provision charged to operations	125,544	89,612	409,420	167,708
Charge-offs	(73,540)	(20,066)	(262,565)	(49,246)
Recoveries	1,996	454	5,145	1,538
Balance, end of period	\$ 528,000	\$ 224,000	\$ 528,000	\$ 224,000

Loans on which interest accruals have been discontinued totaled approximately \$1.1 billion and \$0.5 billion at September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Interest on these loans is recognized as income when collected. Interest that would have been accrued on such loans totaled approximately \$25.7 million and \$10.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. There were no loans greater than 90 days past due, as determined using the OTS method, still accruing interest at September 30, 2009 and 2008.

A loan is impaired when it is probable that payment of interest and principal will not be made in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans were as follows (in thousands):

	September 30, 2009			December 31, 2008	
Impaired loans with no allowance for loan losses allocated <sup>(1)</sup> Impaired loans with allowance for loan losses allocated	\$	156,734 875,904	\$	77,332 373,424	
Total impaired loans	\$	1,032,638	\$	450,756	
Amount of the allowance allocated to impaired loans	\$	210,896	\$	121,321	

#### Table of Contents

Average investment in impaired loans	\$	732,337	\$	265,448
Cash-basis interest income recognized during impairment <sup>(2)</sup>	\$	20,738	\$	10,601
<ul> <li>Includes loans         <ul> <li>for which the</li> <li>principal</li> <li>balance has</li> <li>been charged</li> <li>down to net</li> <li>realizable value.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>(2) Includes interest income recognized during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and the twelve months ended December 31, 2008, respectively.</li> </ul>	6		÷	a af tha
Those impaired loans with no allowance for loan losses allocated represent l			ir valu	e of the

related collateral less estimated selling costs was equal to or exceeded the recorded investments in such loans. At September 30, 2009, approximately 68.5% of the total impaired loans were evaluated based on the fair value of related collateral.

## Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

#### Table of Contents

#### Note 8. Pledged Assets

The Company has pledged various assets to collateralize security repurchase agreements and lines of credit and/or borrowings with the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago and the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis. The following table details pledged asset by asset class. For non-cash assets, the principal amount for pledged loans and the market value and range of maturities of pledged investments are presented (dollars in thousands);

	Septembe	er 30, 2009	Decembe	r 31, 2008
	Carrying Value	Investment Maturities	Carrying Value	Investment Maturities
Securities trading				
U.S. government sponsored agencies	\$ 1,009,031	2039	\$ 517,731	2037-2039
Securities available for sale				
U.S. government sponsored agencies	57,552	2010-2038	119,951	2020-2038
Non-agencies collateralized mortgage				
obligations	595,988	2035-2037	563,049	2035-2036
Loans				
Mortgage loans	5,688,076		6,724,249	
Second mortgage loans	209,284		254,480	
HELOCs	303,206		354,076	
Commercial loans	747,666		996,649	
Totals	\$ 8,610,803		\$ 9,530,185	

#### Note 9. Private-label Securitization Activity

During the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company did not consummate any private-label-securitizations transactions.

At September 30 2009, key assumptions used in determining the value of residual interests resulting from the Company s private-label securitizations were as follows (dollars in thousands):

					weighteu-
	Fair Value at September 30,	Prepayment	Projected Cumulative	Annual Discount	Average Remaining Life
	2000	<b>C</b> 1	Credit	D (	(•
	2009	Speed	Losses	Rate	(in years)
2005 HELOC Securitization	\$ 3,269	10%	11.00%	20%	3.5
2006 HELOC Securitization		8%	36.84%	20%	4.6
2006 Second Mortgage					
Securitization		13%	11.77%	20%	4.4
2007 Second Mortgage					
Securitization		11%	19.44%	20%	5.5
Certain cash flows received from	n securitization trusts	, qualifying spec	ial purpose entiti	es (QSPE) w	vere as follows
(in thousands):					

For

r the Three Months	For the Nine Months
Ended	Ended
September 30,	September 30,

Woightod\_

	2009	2008	2009	2008
Proceeds from new securitizations	\$	\$	\$	\$
Proceeds from collections reinvested in				
securitizations				6,960
Servicing fees received	1,322	1,604	4,233	5,037
Loan repurchases for representations and				
warranties		(1,501)		(1,501)

In accordance with the terms of the securitizations, credit losses in the 2006 and 2005 HELOC securitizations exceeded losses as originally modeled. As such, the monoline insurer that protects the noteholders determined that the status of the securitization should be changed to rapid amortization. During the rapid amortization period, the Company will no longer be reimbursed by the trusts for draws on the home equity lines of credit until after the noteholders are paid off and the monoline insurer is reimbursed for amounts it is owed. Therefore, this status has the effect of extending the time period for which the Company s advances are outstanding and may result in the Company not receiving reimbursement for all of the

funds advanced. As of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company advanced a total of \$75.4 million and \$57.1 million of funds, respectively under these arrangements.

Under the terms of the HELOC securitizations, the trusts have purchased and were initially obligated to pay for any subsequent additional draws on the lines of credit transferred to the trusts. Upon entering the rapid amortization period, the Company becomes obligated to fund the purchase of those additional balances as they arise in exchange for a beneficial interest in the trust (transferor s interest). The Company must continue to fund the required purchase of additional draws by the trust as long as the securitization remains active. Although the securitization would terminate upon repayment of (1) expenses, (2) the notes (which are third party beneficial interests) and (3) previous advances made by the monoline insurer, such repayment is not expected to occur before the expiration of the underlying lines of credit. Consequently, until all of the underlying lines of credit expire, the Company expects that it will continue to be obligated to fund the purchase of additional draws by the trust.

Reimbursement to the Company for the funding through its transferor s interest occurs only after (1) payment of all expenses, (2) all of the third party beneficial interests (notes) are paid off, and (3) any advances by the monoline insurer are reimbursed; however, we are entitled to receive interest on the gross balance of the transferors interest. As such, the value of the transferor s interest received in exchange will be less than the value of the additional draws contributed at the time of funding. Consequently, the Company values the transferor s interest on a monthly basis at lower of cost or market utilizing assumptions similar to those used in valuing the residual interests.

The Company s total potential funding obligation is dependent on both (1) borrower behavior (e.g., the amount of additional draws requested) and (2) the contractual draw period (remaining term) available to the borrowers. Because borrowers can make principal payments and restore the amounts available for draws and then borrow additional amounts as long as their lines of credit remain active, the funding obligation has no specific limitation and it is not possible to define the maximum funding obligation. However, at September 30, 2009, the notional amount of the unfunded commitment under the Company s contractual arrangements with the HELOC securitization trusts amounted to approximately \$99.9 million, of which only \$53.1 million would be currently fundable because the underlying borrowers lines of credit are still active. The remaining \$46.8 million of unfunded commitments have been frozen or suspended because the borrowers do not currently meet the contractual requirements under their home equity line of credit with the Company.

#### **Credit Risk on Securitization**

With respect to the issuance of private-label securitizations, the Company retains certain limited credit exposure in that it retains non-investment grade residual securities in addition to customary representations and warranties. The Company does not have credit exposure associated with non-performing loans in securitizations beyond its residual interests and the amount of its transferor s interests. The value of the Company s residual interests reflects the Company s credit loss assumptions as to the underlying collateral pool. To the extent that actual credit losses exceed these assumptions, the value of the Company s residual interests will be diminished.

The following table summarizes the loan balance associated with the Company s servicing portfolio and the balance of related retained assets with credit exposure, which includes residual interests that are included as trading securities and transferor s interests that are included in loans held for investment at September 30, 2009 (in thousands):

	Total Loans Serviced	Balance of Retained Assets with Credit Exposure
Private label securitizations U.S. government sponsored agencies Other investors	\$ 1,003,436 52,155,983 466	\$ 27,126
Total	\$ 53,159,885	\$ 27,126

Mortgage loans that have been securitized in private-label securitizations at September 30, 2009 and 2008 that are sixty days or more past due and the credit losses incurred in the securitization trusts are presented below (in thousands):

						Losses in tion Trusts	
	Total F	<b>Total Principal</b>		al Amount		ecoveries)	
		Amount of Loans Outstanding		Of Loans 60 Days Or More Past Due		For the Nine Months Ended	
	Outst						
	Septen	nber 30,	September 30,		September 30,		
	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	
Securitized							
mortgages	\$1,003,436	\$1,242,740	\$48,964	\$42,050	\$111,080	\$42,966	
Note 10 Mortgag	e Servicing Rights						

Note 10. Mortgage Servicing Rights

The Company has obligations to service residential first mortgage loans and consumer loans (HELOC and second mortgage loans obtained through private-label securitization transactions). A description of these classes of servicing assets follows.

*Residential Mortgage Servicing Rights.* Servicing of residential first mortgage loans is a significant business activity of the Company. The Company recognizes MSR assets on residential first mortgage loans when it retains the obligation to service these loans upon sale. MSRs are subject to changes in value from, among other things, changes in interest rates, prepayments of the underlying loans and changes in credit quality of the underlying portfolio. Historically, the Company has treated this risk as a counterbalance to the increased production and gain on loan sale margins that tend to occur in an environment with increased prepayments. In the quarter ended March 31, 2008, the Company began to specifically hedge the risk by hedging the fair value of MSRs with derivative instruments and other instruments which are intended to change in value inversely to part or all changes in the value of MSRs.

Changes in the carrying value of residential MSRs, accounted for at fair value are as follows:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		2009		2008
		(In thou	isands	)
Balance at beginning of period	\$	511,294	\$	402,243
Cumulative effect of change in accounting				43,719
Additions from loans sold with servicing retained		267,960		291,888
Reductions from bulk sales		(134,852)		
Changes in fair value due to:				
Payoffs <sup>(a)</sup>		(101,551)		(42,765)
All other changes in valuation inputs or assumptions <sup>(b)</sup>		21,178		27,074
Fair value of MSRs at end of period	\$	564,029	\$	722,159
Unpaid principal balance of loans serviced for others	\$ 5	52,156,449	\$ 5	0,587,967

 (a) Represents decrease in MSR value associated with loans that paid off during the period.

(b) Represents estimated MSR value change resulting primarily from market-driven changes in interest rates and realization of expected cash flows.

The fair value of residential MSRs is estimated using a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing cash flows, taking into consideration expected mortgage loan prepayment rates, discount rates, servicing costs, and other economic factors, which were determined based on current market conditions. The Company periodically obtains third-party valuations of its residential MSRs to assess the reasonableness of the fair value calculated by the valuation model.

The key economic assumptions used in determining the fair value of residential MSRs capitalized during the nine month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 periods were as follows:

	For the Nine M Septemb	
	2009	2008
Weighted-average life (in years)	5.6	6.6
Weighted-average constant prepayment rate (CPR)	23.1%	12.9%
Weighted-average discount rate	8.4%	9.3%

The key economic assumptions used in determining the fair value of residential MSRs at period end were as follows:

	Septemb	er 30,
	2009	2008
Weighted-average life (in years)	5.1	6.7
Weighted-average CPR	14.8%	13.2%
Weighted-average discount rate	8.1%	10.7%

*Consumer Servicing Assets.* Consumer servicing assets represent servicing rights related to HELOC and second mortgage loans that were created in the Company s private-label securitizations. These servicing assets are initially measured at fair value and subsequently accounted for using the amortization method. Under this method, the assets are amortized in proportion to and over the period of estimated servicing income and are evaluated for impairment on a periodic basis. When the carrying value exceeds the fair value and is believed to be temporary, a valuation allowance is established by a charge to loan administration income (loss) in the consolidated statement of operations.

The fair value of consumer servicing assets is estimated using an internal valuation model. This method is based on calculating the present value of estimated future net servicing cash flows, taking into consideration discount rates, prepayments, and servicing costs.

Changes in the carrying value of the consumer servicing assets and the associated valuation allowance follow:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,			),
	2009 2008			
		(In tho	isands	)
Consumer servicing assets				
Balance at beginning of period	\$	9,469	\$	11,914
Additions:				
From loans securitized with servicing retained				116
Subtractions:				
Amortization		(1,924)		(1,949)
Carrying value before valuation allowance at end of period		7,545		10,081
				·
Valuation allowance				
Balance at beginning of period				(144)
Impairment recoveries (charges)		(3,774)		55
		(=,,,,,)		
Balance at end of period		(3,774)		(89)
		(0,71)		(0))
Net carrying value of servicing assets at end of period	\$	3,771	\$	9,992
The carrying false of bet forms assess at one of period	Ψ	5,111	Ψ	/,//2

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANC	CORP INC - Form 10-Q
-----------------------------	----------------------

Unpaid principal balance of consumer loans serviced for others	\$ 1	,003,436	\$1	,242,740
Fair value of servicing assets: Beginning of period	\$	12,284	\$	11,861
End of period	\$	4,196	\$	10,167
25				

The key economic assumptions used to estimate the fair value of consumer servicing assets at September 30, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

	Septeml	ber 30
	2009	2008
Weighted-average life (in years)	2.9	3.9
Weighted-average discount rate	11.6%	13.7%

*Contractual Servicing Fees.* Contractual servicing fees, including late fees and ancillary income, for each type of loan serviced are presented below. Contractual servicing fees are included within loan administration income (loss) on the consolidated statements of operations (in thousands).

	For the Three Months Ended September 30		For the Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2009	2008	2009	2008	
Residential real estate	\$ 36,620	\$ 39,423	\$114,314	\$ 101,691	
Consumer	1,361	2,299	4,301	4,963	
Total	\$ 37,981	\$41,722	\$118,615	\$106,654	

#### Note 11. Other Assets

Other assets are comprised of the following (in thousands):

	S	eptember 30, 2009	D	ecember 31, 2008
Repurchased assets with government insurance	\$	473,867	\$	83,709
Repurchased assets without government insurance		26,601		16,454
Derivative assets, including margin accounts		189,135		93,686
Escrow advances		107,414		56,542
Servicing sale receivables		95,107		
Tax assets, net		80,443		181,601
Other		66,282		72,742
Total other assets	\$	1,038,849	\$	504,734

#### Note 12. <u>Income Taxes</u> Federal

Total federal income tax provision (benefit) is allocated as follows (in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended September 30		For the Nine Mon Ended September 30				
		2009	2008		2009		2008
Provision (benefit) from operations Stockholders equity, for the tax effect of other	\$	114,965	\$ (33,456)	\$	55,008	\$	(30,454)
comprehensive loss Stockholders equity, for the tax effect of		26,257	(34,228)		18,338		(45,324)
stock-based compensation					465		205

\$ 141,222	\$ (67,684)	\$ 73,811	\$ (75,573)
------------	-------------	-----------	-------------

Components of the provision (benefit) for federal income taxes from operations consist of the following (in thousands):

	For the Th Ended Se	For the Nine Months Ended September 30			
	2009	2008	2009	2008	
Current provision (benefit)	\$ 6	\$ (4,090)	\$9	\$ (4,158)	
Deferred provision (benefit)	114,959	(29,366)	54,999	(26,296)	
	\$114,965	\$(33,456)	\$55,008	\$(30,454)	

The Company s effective tax rate differs from the statutory federal tax rate. The following is a summary of such differences (in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended September 30		For the Nine N Septem	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Benefit at statutory federal income tax rate				
(35%)	\$ (62,519)	\$(33,441)	\$(131,160)	\$(30,589)
Increases resulting from:				
Valuation allowance	171,981		171,981	
Warrant expense	1,244		9,646	
Other	4,259	(15)	4,541	135
Provision (benefit) at effective federal				
income tax rate	\$114,965	\$(33,456)	\$ 55,008	\$(30,454)

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 reflect the effect of temporary differences between assets, liabilities and equity for financial reporting purposes and the bases of such assets, liabilities and equity as measured by tax laws, as well as tax loss and tax credit carryforwards.

Temporary differences and carryforwards that give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities are comprised of the following (in thousands):

	Se	eptember 30, 2009	D	ecember 31, 2008
Deferred tax assets:	¢		<i>.</i>	
Allowance for loan and other losses	\$	252,872	\$	157,777
Tax loss carryforwards (expiration date 2028 and 2029)		95,985		75,061
Non-accrual interest revenue		17,454		6,769
Premises and equipment		6,552		5,622
Reinsurance reserves & other insurance items		5,483		
Alternative Minimum Tax credit carryforward (indefinite carryforward				
period)		5,211		5,211
Accrued vacation pay		2,056		2,098
Mark-to-market adjustments				6,709
Other		7,551		8,564

	393,164	267,811
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Mortgage loan servicing rights	(179,037)	(155,622)
Loan securitizations	(22,208)	(7,918)
Mark-to-market adjustments	(8,054)	
Federal Home Loan Bank stock dividends	(6,624)	(8,202)
State income taxes	(1,098)	(4,267)
Other	(32)	(3)
	(217,053)	(176,012)
Valuation allowance	(176,111)	,
Net deferred tax asset	\$	\$ 91,799

The Company has not provided deferred income taxes for the Bank s pre-1988 tax bad debt reserve of approximately \$4.0 million, because it is not anticipated that this temporary difference will reverse in the foreseeable future. Such reserves

would only be taken into taxable income if the Bank, or a successor institution, liquidates, redeems shares, pays dividends in excess of earnings and profits, or ceases to qualify as a bank for tax purposes.

The Company regularly reviews the carrying amount of its deferred tax assets to determine if the establishment of a valuation allowance is necessary. If based on the available evidence, it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the Company s deferred tax assets will not be realized in future periods, a deferred tax valuation allowance would be established. Consideration is given to various positive and negative factors that could affect the realization of the deferred tax assets.

In evaluating this available evidence, management considers, among other things, historical financial performance, expectation of future earnings, the ability to carry back losses to recoup taxes previously paid, length of statutory carry forward periods, experience with operating loss and tax credit carry forwards not expiring unused, tax planning strategies and timing of reversals of temporary differences. Significant judgment is required in assessing future earning trends and the timing of reversals of temporary differences. The Company s evaluation is based on current tax laws as well as management s expectations of future performance. Furthermore, on January 30, 2009, the Company incurred a change in control within the meaning of Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. As a result, federal tax law places an annual limitation of approximately \$15.2 million on the amount of the Company s net operating loss carryforward that may be used.

In particular, additional scrutiny must be given to deferred tax assets of an entity that has incurred pre-tax losses during the three most recent years because it is significant negative evidence that is objective and verifiable and therefore difficult to overcome. The Company had pre-tax losses for 2007 and 2008, and for the first nine months of 2009, and the Company s management considered this factor in its analysis of deferred tax assets. As a result the Company recorded a \$176.1 million valuation allowance against its net deferred tax assets with the resulting charge to earnings amounting to \$172.0 million. The remaining \$4.1 million is the federal tax effect of the charge to other taxes for the state valuation allowance, discussed below. This effect did not impact earnings.

The details of the net tax asset recorded as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are as follows (in thousands):

	Se	eptember 30, 2009	D	ecember 31, 2008
Current tax loss carryback claims Other current, net	\$	80,567 (38)	\$	80,567 (1,815)
Current tax asset Net deferred tax asset		80,529		78,752 91,799
Net tax asset	\$	80,529	\$	170,551

The Company s income tax returns are subject to review and examination by federal, state and local government authorities. On an ongoing basis, numerous federal, state and local examinations are in progress and cover multiple tax years. As of September 30, 2009, the Internal Revenue Service had completed its examination of the Company through the taxable year ended December 31, 2005 and was in the process of examining taxable years ending December 31, 2006, 2007, and 2008. The years open to examination by state and local government authorities vary by jurisdiction.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits (in thousands):

For the nine months ended September 30, 2009

Balance at January 1, 2009	\$ 421
Additions to tax positions recorded during the current year	977
Additions to tax positions recorded during prior years	
Reductions to tax positions recorded during prior years	
Settlements	(387)
Reductions in tax positions due to lapse of statutory limitations	
Balance at September 30, 2009	\$ 1,011

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense and/or franchise tax expense. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2009, the Company recognized interest expense of approximately \$342,000 and approximately \$84,000 of penalty expense in its statement of operations and statement of financial condition, respectively. Approximately \$1.0 million of the above tax positions are expected to reverse during the next 12 months.

#### State

The Company accrues and pays state taxes in numerous states in which it does business. State tax provisions (benefits) are included in the consolidated statement of operations under non-interest expense-other taxes. State tax benefits are as follows (in thousands):

	S	eptember 30, 2009	December 31, 2008	
State tax benefits Valuation allowance	\$	(11,984) 23,859	\$	(10,457) 9,232
Net expense (benefit)	\$	11,875	\$	(1,225)

State deferred tax assets are as follows (in thousands):

	Se	ptember 30, 2009	D	ecember 31, 2008
Tax loss carryforwards (expiration dates through 2029) Temporary differences, net	\$	24,737 8,354	\$	18,486 2,621
Valuation allowance		33,091 (33,091)		21,107 (9,232)
Net deferred state tax assets	\$		\$	11,875

For reasons discussed above in the federal income tax portion of this footnote, the Company has recorded a valuation allowance against its state deferred tax assets of \$33.1 million as of September 30, 2009 and \$9.2 million as of December 31, 2008.

#### Note 13. Warrant Liabilities

In full satisfaction of the Company s obligations under anti-dilution provisions applicable to certain investors (the May Investors ) in the Company s May 2008 private placement capital raise, the Company granted warrants (the May Investor Warrants ) to the May Investors on January 30, 2009 for the purchase of 14,259,794 shares of the Company s common stock at \$0.62 per share. The holders of such warrants are entitled to acquire the Company s common shares for a period of ten years. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, 3,148,393, shares of the Company s common stock have been issued upon exercise of certain May Investor Warrants. At September 30, 2009, the May Investors hold 11,111,401 warrants.

Based on management s analysis, the May Investor Warrants do not meet the definition of a contract that is indexed to the Company s own stock under U.S. GAAP. Therefore, the May Investor Warrants are classified as liabilities and are measured at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized through operations.

At September 30, 2009, the Company s liability to warrant holders amounted to \$9.3 million. This amount relates to the liability for the May Investor Warrants. The warrant liabilities are included within other liabilities in the Company s consolidated statement of financial condition.

On January 30, 2009, the Company sold to the United States Department of Treasury (the Treasury ), 266,657 shares of the Company s fixed rate cumulative non-convertible perpetual preferred stock for \$266.7 million, and a warrant (the Treasury Warrant ) to purchase up to approximately 64.5 million shares of the Company s common stock at an exercise price of \$0.62 per share, subject to certain anti-dilution and other adjustments. The issuance and the sale of the preferred stock and Treasury Warrant were exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act. The preferred stock qualifies as Tier 1 capital and pays cumulative dividends quarterly at a rate of 5% per annum for the

first five years, and 9% per annum thereafter. The Treasury Warrant became exercisable upon receipt of stockholder approval on May 26, 2009 and has a 10 year term.

During the first quarter 2009, the Company recorded a Treasury Warrant liability that arose in conjunction with the Company s participation in the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) because the Company did not have available an adequate number of authorized and unissued common shares. As described in Note 14, Stockholders Equity, the Company initially recorded the Treasury Warrant on January 30, 2009 at its fair value of \$27.7 million. The warrant was marked to market on March 31, 2009 resulting in an increase to the warrant liability of \$9.1 million. Upon stockholder approval on May

26, 2009 to increase the number of authorized common shares, the Company marked the liability to market at that date and reclassified the Treasury Warrant liability to additional paid in capital. The mark to market on May 26, 2009 resulted in an increase to the warrant liability of \$12.9 million during the second quarter 2009. This increase was recorded as warrant expense and included in non-interest expense under general and administrative.

The May Investor Warrants, unlike the Treasury Warrants, contain certain provisions that would allow the \$0.62 exercise price to be reduced. As such, these warrants are required to be accounted for as liabilities and recorded at fair value. On January 30, 2009, in conjunction with the capital investments, the Company recorded the May Investor Warrants at their fair value of \$6.1 million. Since the inception on January 30, 2009 through September 30, 2009, the Company marked these warrants to market which resulted in an increase in the liability of \$3.2 million. This increase was recorded as warrant expense and included in non-interest expense under general and administrative. The Company will mark the May Investor Warrants to market quarterly until exercised.

## Note 14. Stockholder s Equity

## **Preferred Stock**

Preferred stock with a par value of \$0.01 and a liquidation value of \$1,000 and additional paid in capital attributable to preferred shares at September 30, 2009 is summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

		Earliest	Shares	Prefer	red	Additional Paid in
		Redemption				
	Rate	Date	Outstanding	Share	es	Capital
Series B convertible				\$		\$
Series C, TARP Capital Purchase		January 31,				
Program	5%	2012	266,657		3	242,451
				\$	3	\$ 242,451

On January 30, 2009, MP Thrift Investments, L.P. (MatlinPatterson) purchased 250,000 shares of the Company s Series B convertible participating voting preferred stock (the Preferred Stock ) for \$250 million. Such preferred shares were to automatically convert at \$0.80 per share into 312.5 million shares of the Company s common stock upon stockholder approval authorizing additional shares of common stock. Also on January 30, 2009, the Company entered into a closing agreement with MatlinPatterson pursuant to which the Company agreed to sell to MatlinPatterson an additional \$50 million of convertible preferred stock substantially in the form of the Preferred Stock, in two equal parts, on substantially the same terms as the \$250 million investment by MatlinPatterson (the Additional Preferred Stock ). On February 17, 2009, MatlinPatterson acquired the first \$25 million of the Additional Preferred Stock, pursuant to which the Company issued 25,000 shares of the Additional Preferred Stock with a conversion price of \$0.80 per share. On February 27, 2009, MatlinPatterson acquired the second \$25 million of the Additional Preferred Stock, pursuant to which the Company issued 25,000 shares of the Additional Preferred Stock with a conversion price of \$0.80 per share. Upon receipt of stockholder approval on May 26, 2009, the 250,000 shares of the Preferred Stock and the 50,000 shares of Additional Preferred Stock were automatically converted into an aggregate of 375 million shares of the Company s common stock. The Company received proceeds from these offerings of \$300.0 million less costs attributable to the offerings of \$28.4 million. Upon conversion of the Preferred Stock and Additional Preferred Stock, the net proceeds of the offering were reclassified to common stock and additional paid in capital attributable to common stockholders.

On January 30, 2009, the Company sold to the Treasury, 266,657 shares of the Company s Series C fixed rate cumulative non-convertible perpetual preferred stock for \$266.7 million, and a warrant to purchase up to 64.5 million shares of the Company s common stock at an exercise price of \$0.62 per share. The preferred stock and warrant qualify as Tier 1 capital. The preferred stock pays cumulative dividends quarterly at a rate of 5% per annum for the first five years, and 9% per annum thereafter. The warrant is exercisable over a 10 year period. Because the Company did not have an adequate number of authorized and unissued common shares at January 30, 2009 or at March 31, 2009, the

Company was required to initially classify such warrants as a liability and record the warrants at their fair value of \$27.7 million. Upon receipt of stockholder approval to authorize an adequate number of common shares on May 26, 2009, the Company reclassified the warrants to stockholder s equity. The Company s Series C fixed rate cumulative non-convertible preferred stock and additional paid in capital attributable to preferred stock was recorded in stockholders equity as the difference between the cash received from the Treasury and the amount initially recorded as a warrant liability, or \$239.0 million. The discount on these preferred shares is represented by the initial fair value of the warrants. This discount will be accreted to additional paid in capital attributable to preferred shares over five years using the interest method.

On June 30, 2009, MatlinPatterson acquired \$50 million of Trust Preferred Securities pursuant to which the Company issued 50,000 shares that are convertible into common stock at the option of MatlinPatterson on April 1, 2010 at a conversion

price of 90% of the volume weighted-average price per share of common stock during the period from February 1, 2009 to April 1, 2010, subject to a price per share minimum of \$0.80 and maximum of \$2.00. If the Trust Preferred Securities are not converted, they will remain outstanding perpetually unless redeemed by the Company at any time after January 30, 2011.

#### **Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss**

The following table sets forth the ending balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss for each component (in thousands):

	Se	ptember	Decembe	
		30, 2009		31, 2008
Net unrealized loss on securities available for sale, net of tax	\$	(47,685)	\$	(81,742)

The following table sets forth the changes to other comprehensive loss and the related tax effect for each component (in thousands):

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009		For the Yes Ended		
Gain (reclassified to earnings) on interest rate swap derecognition	\$		\$	(363)	
Related tax expense				127	
Gain (reclassified to earnings) on sales of securities available for sale				(5,019)	
Related tax expense				1,757	
Loss (reclassified from retained earnings) for adoption of new					
accounting guidance for investments debt and equity securities					
other-than- temporary impairments		(50,638)			
Related tax benefit		17,724			
Loss (reclassified to earnings) for other-than-temporary impairment of					
securities available for sale		20,444		62,370	
Related tax benefit		(7,155)		(21,829)	
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale		82,590		(165,061)	
Related tax (benefit) expense		(28,908)		57,771	
Change	\$	34,057	\$	(70,247)	

#### Note 15. Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company follows the provisions of derivatives and hedging accounting guidance, as amended, for its derivative instruments and hedging activities, which require it to recognize all derivative instruments on the consolidated statements of financial condition at fair value. The following derivative financial instruments were identified and recorded at fair value as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

Forward loan sales contracts Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, Ginnie Mae and others;

Rate lock commitments;

Interest rate swap agreements; and

Treasury futures and options.

The Company hedges the risk of overall changes in fair value of loans held for sale and rate lock commitments generally by selling forward contracts on securities of Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae. The forward contracts used to economically hedge the loan commitments are accounted for as non-designated hedges and naturally offset rate lock commitment mark-to-market gains and losses recognized as a component of gain on loan sale. The Bank recognized pre-tax (losses) gains of \$(25.1) million and \$8.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, on its hedging activity relating to loans held for sale. The Bank recognized pre-tax (losses) gains of \$(9.8) million and \$18.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, on its hedging activity relating to loans held for sale. Additionally the Company hedges the risk of overall changes in fair value of MSRs through the use of various derivatives including purchasing forward contracts on securities of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and the purchase/sale of Treasury futures contracts and options on Treasury futures contracts.

The Company occasionally uses interest rate swap agreements to reduce its exposure to interest rate risk inherent in a portion of the current borrowings and anticipated deposits. A swap agreement is a contract between two parties to exchange cash flows based on specified underlying notional amounts and indices. Under U.S. GAAP, the swap agreements used to

hedge the Company s anticipated borrowings and advances qualify as cash flow hedges. Derivative gains and losses reclassed from accumulated other comprehensive loss to current period operations are included in the line item in which the hedged cash flows are recorded. On January 1, 2008, the Company derecognized all cash flow hedges.

The Company recognizes changes in hedge values from designated accounting hedges discussed above in the same consolidated statement of operations captions where such change occurs.

The Company had the following derivative financial instruments (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2009			
	Notional	Fair	Expiration	
	Amounts	Value	Dates	
Mortgage banking derivatives:				
Rate lock commitments	\$2,010,156	\$ 40,605	2009	
Forward loan sale commitments	3,189,644	(33,074)	2009	
Mortgage servicing rights derivatives:				
Treasury and agency futures/forwards	3,052,500	14,853	2009	
Borrowings and advances derivatives:				
Interest rate swaps	25,000	(1,177)	2010	

	<b>December 31, 2008</b>			
	Notional	Fair	Expiration	
	Amounts	Value	Dates	
Mortgage banking derivatives:				
Rate lock commitments	\$6,250,222	\$ 78,613	2009	
Forward loan sale commitments	5,216,903	(61,256)	2009	
Mortgage servicing rights:				
Treasury and agency futures/forwards	2,885,000	60,813	2009	
Treasury options	1,000,000	17,219	2009	
Borrowings and advances hedges:				
Interest rate swaps	25,000	(1,280)	2010	
Counterporty Credit Rick				

#### **Counterparty Credit Risk**

The Bank is exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the counterparties to its various derivative financial instruments. The Company manages this risk by selecting only large, well-established counterparties, spreading the credit risk among such counterparties, and by placing contractual limits on the amount of unsecured credit risk from any single counterparty.

#### Note 16. Employee Benefit Plans

The Company maintains a 401(k) plan for its employees. Under the plan, eligible employees may contribute up to 60% of their annual compensation, subject to a maximum amount proscribed by law. The maximum annual contribution is \$15,500 for 2009. Participants who were 50 years old or older prior to the end of the year could make additional catch-up contributions of up to \$5,000 for 2009. The Company has historically provided a matching contribution up to 3% of an employee s annual compensation up to a maximum of \$6,900. The Company s contributions vest at a rate such that an employee is fully vested after five years of service. The Company s contributions to the plan for the nine months period ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 was approximately \$3.2 million and \$3.5 million, respectively. As of October 1, 2009, the Company temporarily suspended matching contributions.

#### Note 17. Stock-Based Compensation

For the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$0.4 million (\$0.3 million net of tax) and \$0.5 million (\$0.4 million net of tax), respectively. The Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$0.9 million (\$0.6 million net of tax) and \$1.4 million (\$0.9 million net of tax) for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

#### **Stock Options**

During the periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, there were no stock options granted.

### **Cash-Settled Stock Appreciation Rights**

The Company issues cash-settled stock appreciation rights (SAR) to officers and key employees in connection with year-end compensation. Cash-settled SARs generally vest at the rate of 25% of the grant on each of the first four annual anniversaries of the grant date. The standard term of a SAR is seven years beginning on the grant date. Grants of SARs may be settled only in cash and once made, may not be later amended or modified to be settled in common stock or a combination of common stock and cash.

The Company used the following weighted average assumptions in applying the Black-Scholes model to determine the fair value of cash-settled SARs issued during the nine months ended September 30, 2009: dividend yield of zero; expected volatility of 156.8%; a risk-free rate range of 0.71% to 1.69%; and an expected life range of 1.7 years to 3.3 years.

The following table presents the status and changes in cash-settled SARs:

		Weighted Average	Weighted Average	
		Exercise	Grant Date	
Stock Appreciation Rights Awarded:	Shares	Price	Fair Value	
Non-vested balance at December 31, 2008	1,315,599	\$ 10.47	\$ 0.34	
Granted				
Vested	(402,677)	11.36	0.50	
Forfeited	(35,675)	10.46	0.53	
Non-vested balance at September 30, 2009	877,247	10.06	0.56	

#### **Restricted Stock**

The Company issues restricted stock to officers, directors and key employees in connection with year-end compensation. Restricted stock generally will vest in 50% increments on each annual anniversary following the date of grant. The Company incurred expenses of approximately \$0.7 million and \$0.4 million with respect to restricted stock for each of the periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. As of September 30, 2009, restricted stock outstanding had a market value of \$0.1 million.

Restricted Stock:	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value per Share
Non-vested at December 31, 2008	285,588	\$ 8.01
Granted		
Vested	(164,953)	8.85
Canceled and forfeited	(4,458)	6.86
Non-vested at September 30, 2009	116,177	6.86

Beginning October 2009, the Company will offer a Stock Award Agreement to some key executives (the Stock Award Agreement ) for purposes of paying the share salary portion of the key executives compensation under the employment agreements. The share salary will be paid in shares of the Company s common stock issued pursuant to the Company s 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, and the number of shares will be determined each pay period by dividing the amount of salary to be paid for that pay period by the reported closing price on the New York Stock Exchange for a share of the Company s common stock on the pay date for such pay period. The employment agreements provide for payment of a share salary ranging from \$25,000 to \$62,500 per month through December 31, 2009 and ranging from \$300,000 to \$750,000 per

year through December 31, 2012. After December 31, 2012, the annual share salary shall be reviewed for increase (but not decrease) at the discretion of the Company s board of directors annually.

On September 29, 2009, the Company offered a share purchase plan to one of its key executives. The plan calls for the executive to purchase 1,987,500 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$1.05 per share (the closing price of the common stock on September 28, 2009). In the plan, the key executive will purchase 375,000 shares of common stock after the effectiveness of the employment agreement dated September 29, 2009, will purchase 150,000 shares on December 31, 2009 and will purchase 243,750 shares on each of June 30 and December 31 in 2010, 2011 and 2012. **Note 18. Segment Information** 

The Company s operations are broken down into two business segments: banking and home lending. Each business operates under the same banking charter but is reported on a segmented basis for this report. Each of the business lines is complementary to each other. The banking operation includes the gathering of deposits and investing those deposits in duration-matched assets primarily originated by the home lending operation. The banking group holds these loans in the investment portfolio in order to earn income based on the difference or spread between the interest earned on loans and the interest paid for deposits and other borrowed funds. The home lending operation involves the origination, packaging, and sale of loans in order to receive transaction income. The lending operation also services mortgage loans for others and sells MSRs into the secondary market. Funding for the lending operation is provided by deposits and borrowings garnered by the banking group. All of the non-bank consolidated subsidiaries are included in the banking segment. No such subsidiary is material to the Company s overall operations.

Following is a presentation of financial information by segment for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2009					)9	
	Home						
	]	Bank	L	ending			
2009:	Op	erations	Op	perations	Elimination	C	ombined
Net interest income	\$	(4,705)	\$	52,299	\$	\$	47,594
Gain on sale revenue		(2,875)		124,811			121,936
Other income		27,585		(83,289)			(55,704)
Total net interest income and non-interest							
income		20,005		93,821			113,826
(Loss) earnings before federal income taxes		(181,870)		3,246			(178,624)
Depreciation and amortization		1,903		3,215			5,118
Capital expenditures		148		1,820			1,968
Identifiable assets	13	,301,510		4,554,305	(3,035,000)	1	4,820,815
Inter-segment income (expense)		22,763		(22,763)			

## For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009

		Home		
	Bank	Lending		
2009:	Operations	Operations	Elimination	Combined
Net interest income	\$ 72,997	\$ 91,343	\$	\$ 164,340
Gain on sale revenue	(20,444)	407,205		386,761
Other income	53,742	(48,775)		4,967
Total net interest income and non-interest				
income	106,295	449,773		556,068
(Loss) earnings before federal income taxes	(547,228)	172,484		(374,744)
Depreciation and amortization	6,804	10,271		17,075
Capital expenditures	2,313	7,376		9,689
Identifiable assets	13,301,510	4,554,305	(3,035,000)	14,820,815
Inter-segment income (expense)	89,213	(89,213)		

For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2008

	Home						
		Bank	]	Lending			
2008:	Operations		Operations		Elimination	Combined	
Net interest income	\$	45,609	\$	14,232	\$	\$	59,841
Gain on sale revenue				23,048			23,048
Other (loss) income		(2,552)		32,892			30,340
Total net interest income and non-interest							
income		43,057		70,172			113,229
Loss before federal income taxes		(85,707)		(9,840)			(95,547)
Depreciation and amortization		2,414		4,911			7,325
Capital expenditures		3,566		3,362			6,928
Identifiable assets	13	3,345,151		3,099,218	(2,285,000)	14	4,159,369
Inter-segment income (expense)		17,138		(17,138)			

### Table of Contents

	1 of the 10nth Dilded September 50, 2000					
		Home				
	Bank	Lending				
2008:	Operations	Operations	Elimination	Combined		
Net interest income	\$ 116,428	\$ 59,610	\$	\$ 176,038		
Gain on sale revenue		129,751		129,751		
Other income	16,204	60,385		76,589		
Total net interest income and non-interest						
income	132,632	249,746		382,378		
(Loss) earnings before federal income taxes	(137,681)	50,283		(87,398)		
Depreciation and amortization	6,893	12,071		18,964		
Capital expenditures	13,967	10,259		24,226		
Identifiable assets	13,345,151	3,099,218	(2,285,000)	14,159,369		
Inter-segment income (expense)	59,738	(59,738)				
	35					

## For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008

#### Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Where we say we, us, or our, we usually mean Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. In some cases, a reference to we, us, or will include our wholly-owned subsidiary Flagstar Bank, FSB, and Flagstar Capital Markets Corporation, its wholly-owned subsidiary, which we collectively refer to as the Bank.

## General

Operations of the Bank are categorized into two business segments: banking and home lending. Each segment operates under the same banking charter, but is reported on a segmented basis for financial reporting purposes. For certain financial information concerning the results of operations of our banking and home lending operations, see Note 18 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1, Financial Statements, herein.

*Banking Operation.* We provide a broad range of banking services to consumers and small businesses in Michigan, Indiana and Georgia. We also gather deposits within these three states and also via the internet. Our banking operation involves the gathering of deposits and investing those deposits in duration-matched assets consisting primarily of mortgage loans originated by our home lending operation. The banking operation holds these loans in its loans held for investment portfolio in order to earn income based on the difference, or spread, between the interest earned on loans and investments and the interest paid for deposits and other borrowed funds. At September 30, 2009, we operated a network of 176 banking centers and provided banking services to approximately 147,000 customers. During the first nine months of 2009, we opened 3 banking centers, including 1 in Michigan and 2 in Georgia. We also closed 2 banking centers, 1 in Michigan and 1 in Indiana.

On October 2, 2009 we closed an additional 11 banking centers, including 8 in Michigan and 3 in Indiana. All but one of the 11 closed offices were in-store branches, and the impact of the closures will not materially impact our deposit totals. We review the performance of our network of banking centers on an ongoing basis and will continue to evaluate individual locations for their potential to grow and contribute to our profitability.

*Home Lending Operation.* Our home lending operation originates, acquires, securitizes and sells residential mortgage loans on one-to-four family residences in order to generate transactional income. The home lending operation also services mortgage loans on a fee basis for others and occasionally sells mortgage servicing rights into the secondary market. Funding for our home lending operation is provided primarily by deposits and borrowings obtained by our banking operation.

## **Critical Accounting Policies**

Various elements of our accounting policies, by their nature, are inherently subject to estimation techniques, valuation assumptions and other subjective assessments. In particular, we have identified three policies that, due to the judgment, estimates and assumptions inherent in those policies, are critical to an understanding of our consolidated financial statements. These policies relate to: (a) fair value measurements; (b) the determination of our allowance for loan losses; and (c) the determination of our secondary market reserve. We believe that the judgment, estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements are appropriate given the factual circumstances at the time. However, given the sensitivity of our consolidated financial statements to these critical accounting policies, the use of other judgments, estimates and assumptions could result in material differences in our results of operations or financial condition. For further information on our critical accounting policies, please refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, which is available on our website, **www.flagstar.com**, under the Investor Relations section, or on the website of the SEC, at **www.sec.gov**.

## Selected Financial Ratios (Dollars in thousands, except share data)

	For the Three I Septem		For the Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2009	2008	2009	2008		
Return on average assets	(7.60)%	(1.72)%	(3.65)%	(0.50)%		
Return on average equity	(130.64)%	(32.15)%	(67.44)%	(10.29)%		
Efficiency ratio	146.6%	105.2%	93.8%	79.0%		
Equity/assets ratio (average for the						
period)	5.82%	5.34%	5.43%	4.88%		
Mortgage loans originated or						
purchased	\$6,641,674	\$6,680,450	\$25,405,969	\$22,600,324		
Other loans originated or purchased	\$ 5,812	\$ 34,666	\$ 57,220	\$ 301,420		
Mortgage loans sold and securitized	\$7,606,304	\$6,809,608	\$25,183,401	\$22,076,479		
Interest rate spread bank only	1.53%	1.78%	1.53%	1.69%		
Net interest margin bank onl <sup>3</sup>	1.58%	1.93%	1.65%	1.84%		
Interest rate spread consolidated	1.48%	1.74%	1.49%	1.64%		
Net interest margin consolidated	1.46%	1.82%	1.56%	1.73%		
Average common shares outstanding	468,530	78,473	266,781	68,301		
Average fully diluted shares						
outstanding	468,530	78,473	266,781	68,301		
Charge-offs to average investment						
loans (annualized)	3.48%	0.83%	3.96%	0.71%		
	September 30, 2009	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008	September 30 2008		
Equity-to-assets ratio	4.50%	5.57%	3.33%	4.78%		
Core capital ratio $^{3}$	6.39%	7.19%	4.95%	6.29%		
Total risk-based capital ratio <sup>3</sup>	12.06%	13.67%	9.10%	11.10%		
Book value per common share	\$ 0.86	\$ 1.38	\$ 5.65	\$ 8.09		
Number of common shares	+		+	+,		
outstanding	468,530	468,530	83,627	83,627		
Mortgage loans serviced for others	\$53,159,885	\$61,531,058	\$55,870,207	\$51,830,707		
Capitalized value of mortgage	. , ,	. , ,	. , ,	. , ,		
servicing rights	1.06%	1.07%	0.93%	1.41%		
Ratio of allowance to non-performing						
loans	50.0%	50.4%	52.1%	54.1%		
Ratio of allowance to loans held for						
investment	6.49%	5.63%	4.14%	2.45%		
Ratio of non-performing assets to						
total assets	8.41%	6.64%	5.97%	4.33%		
Number of banking centers	176	175	175	173		
Number of home lending centers	42	45	104	111		
Number of salaried employees	3,220	3,290	3,246	3,291		
Number of commissioned employees	436	457	674	736		
1 Interact rate						

<sup>1</sup> Interest rate spread is the

difference between the annualized average yield earned on average interest-earning assets for the period and the annualized average rate of interest paid on average interest-bearing liabilities for the period.

<sup>2</sup> Net interest margin is the annualized effect of the net interest income divided by that period s average interest-earning assets.

3

Based on adjusted total assets for purposes of tangible capital and core capital, and risk-weighted assets for purposes of risk-based capital and total risk based capital. These ratios are applicable to the Bank only.

## **Results of Operations**

## Net Loss

*Three Months.* Net loss applicable to common stockholders for the three months ended September 30, 2009 was \$298.2 million, \$(0.64) per share-diluted, a \$236.1 million increase from the loss of \$62.1 million, \$(0.79) per share-diluted, reported in the comparable 2008 period. The overall increase resulted from a \$21.4 million decrease in interest income, a \$47.7 million increase in non-interest expense, a \$35.9 million increase in provision for loan losses, a \$148.5 million increase in provision for federal income tax relating to the establishment of a deferred tax valuation allowance, and an increase of \$4.6 million preferred stock dividends/accretion, offset by a \$12.8 million increase in non-interest expense.

*Nine Months.* Net loss applicable to common stockholders for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was \$442.2 million, \$(1.66) per share-diluted, a \$385.3 million increase from the loss of \$56.9 million, \$(0.83) per share-diluted, reported in the comparable 2008 period. The overall increase resulted from a \$60.0 million decrease in interest income, a \$219.3 million increase in non-interest expense, a \$241.7 million increase in provision for loan losses, an \$85.5 million increase in provision for federal income tax relating to the establishment of a deferred tax valuation allowance, and an increase of \$12.5 million preferred stock dividends/accretion, offset by a \$185.4 million increase in non-interest expense.

#### **Net Interest Income**

*Three Months.* We recorded \$47.6 million in net interest income before provision for loan losses for the three months ended September 30, 2009, a 20.4% decrease from \$59.8 million recorded for the comparable 2008 period. The decrease reflects a \$21.4 million decrease in interest income offset by a \$9.2 million decrease in interest expense, primarily as a result of rates paid on deposits that decreased less than the decrease in yields earned on loans and mortgage-backed securities. In addition, in the three months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the same period in 2008, our average interest-earning assets increased by \$0.3 billion and our average interest-paying liabilities increased by \$0.4 billion. Additionally, our interest income has been adversely affected by a significant increase in loans in which interest accruals have been discontinued. See Note 7 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1. Financial Statements herein.

Average interest-earning assets as a whole repriced down 69 basis points during the three months ended September 30, 2009 and average interest-bearing liabilities repriced down 43 basis points during the same period, resulting in the decrease in our interest rate spread of 26 basis points to 1.48% for the three months ended September 30, 2009, from 1.74% for the comparable 2008 period. The Company recorded a net interest margin of 1.46% at September 30, 2009 as compared to 1.82% at September 30, 2008. At the Bank level, the net interest margin was 1.58% at September 30, 2009, as compared to 1.93% at September 30, 2008.

*Nine Months.* We recorded \$164.3 million in net interest income before provision for loan losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, a 6.6% decrease from \$176.0 million recorded for the comparable 2008 period. The decrease reflects a \$60.0 million decrease in interest income offset by a \$48.3 million decrease in interest expense, primarily as a result of rates paid on deposits that decreased less than the decrease in yields earned on loans and mortgage-backed securities. In addition, in the nine months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the same period in 2008, our average interest-earning assets increased by \$0.4 billion and our average interest-paying liabilities increased by \$0.2 billion. Additionally, our interest income has been adversely affected by a significant increase in loans in which interest accruals have been discontinued. See Note 7 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1. Financial Statements herein.

Average Yields Earned and Rates Paid. The following table presents interest income from average interest-bearing liabilities, expressed in dollars and yields, and interest expense on average interest-bearing liabilities, expressed in dollars and rates at the Company rather than the Bank. Interest income from earning assets includes the amortization of net premiums and net deferred loan origination costs of \$1.6 million and \$1.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Interest income from earning assets includes the amortization of net premiums and net deferred loan origination costs of \$5.1 million and \$8.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Non-accruing loans were included in the average loan amounts outstanding.

		Three Months Ended September 30, 2009				
	Average Balance	Interest	Annualized Yield/Rate (Dollars in t	Average Balance housands)	2008 Interest	Annualized Yield/Rate
<b>Interest-earning assets:</b> Loans available for sale Loans held for	\$ 2,369,451	\$ 31,387	5.30%	\$ 2,196,230	\$ 40,063	7.14%
investment Securities classified as available for sale or	8,224,796	105,462	5.12%	9,403,920	132,100	5.52%
trading	2,315,354	29,738	5.11%	981,804	14,563	5.90%
Interest-bearing deposits	210,874	517	0.97%	258,122	1,416	2.18%
Other	40,053	3	0.03%	30,427	395	5.16%
Total interest-earning						
assets	13,160,528	167,107	5.07%	12,870,503	188,537	5.76%
Other assets	2,524,962			1,598,395		
Total assets	\$ 15,685,490			\$ 14,468,898		
Interest-bearing liabilities						
Deposits	\$ 7,727,461	58,352	3.00%	6,640,749	60,940	3.65%
FHLB advances	5,081,739	56,116	4.38%	5,723,217	62,348	4.33%
Security repurchase	, ,	,		, ,	,	
agreements	108,000	1,178	4.33%	108,000	1,179	4.34%
Other	300,183	3,867	5.11%	322,498	4,229	6.00%
Total interest-bearing						
liabilities	13,217,383	119,513	3.59%	12,794,464	128,696	4.02%
Other liabilities	1,555,048			901,824		
Stockholders equity	913,059			772,610		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 15,685,490			\$ 14,468,898		
Net interest-earning assets	\$ (56,855)			\$ 76,039		

Net interest income	\$ 47,594	\$ 59,841
Interest rate spread <sup>1</sup>	1.48%	1.74%
Net interest margin <sup>2</sup>	1.46%	1.82%
Ratio of average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities	100%	101%
<sup>1</sup> Interest rate spread is the difference between the annualized average yield earned on average interest-earning assets for the		

<sup>2</sup> Net interest margin is the annualized effect of the net interest income divided by that period s average interest-earning assets.

period and the annualized average rate of interest paid on

interest-bearing liabilities for the

average

period.

			e Months End	ded September 3		
	Average Balance	2009 Interest	Annualized Yield/Rate (Dollars	Average Balance in thousands)	2008 Interest	Annualized Yield/Rate
Interest-earning assets: Loans available for sale Loans held for investment Mortgage-backed	\$ 2,916,769 8,662,589	\$112,831 339,402	5.16% 5.23%	\$ 2,761,351 8,978,992	\$ 141,551 384,488	6.74% 5.65%
securities held to Maturity Securities classified as available for sale or				400,169	15,576	5.20%
trading	2,181,697	85,873	5.26%	1,185,921	51,325	5.78%
Interest-bearing deposits Other	223,324 37,765	1,799 28	$1.08\% \\ 0.10\%$	254,227 28,907	5,561 1,453	2.92% 6.71%
Other	57,705	20	0.1070	20,907	1,455	0.7170
Total interest-earning		500.000	5 1 4 9		500.054	<b>5</b> 0 <b>2</b> 9
assets Other assets	14,022,144 2,144,571	539,933	5.14%	13,609,567 1,528,888	599,954	5.82%
Other assets	2,177,371			1,520,000		
Total assets	\$16,166,715			\$15,138,455		
Interest-bearing liabilities						
Deposits	\$ 8,167,764	192,248	3.15%	\$ 7,153,942	215,807	4.03%
FHLB advances	5,236,429	170,210	4.35%	5,917,985	190,168	4.29%
Security repurchase agreements	108,000	3,497	4.33%	184,873	5,541	4.00%
Other	266,212	9,638	4.84%	277,308	12,400	6.30%
Total interest-bearing						
liabilities	13,778,405	375,593	3.65%	13,534,108	423,916	4.18%
Other liabilities	1,509,696			866,208	·	
Stockholders equity	878,614			738,139		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 16,166,715			\$ 15,138,455		
Net interest-earning assets	\$ 243,739			\$ 75,459		
C C	¢ <b>1</b> 0,709			ф <i>то</i> ,тоу		
Net interest income		\$164,340			\$176,038	
Interest rate spread <sup>1</sup>			1.49%			1.64%
Net interest margin <sup>2</sup>			1.56%			1.73%
Ratio of average interest-earning assets to			102%			101%

average interest-bearing liabilities

1

Interest rate spread is the difference between the annualized average yield earned on average interest-earning assets for the period and the annualized average rate of interest paid on average interest-bearing liabilities for the period.

<sup>2</sup> Net interest margin is the annualized effect of the net interest income divided by that period s average interest-earning assets.

*Rate/Volume Analysis.* The following table presents the dollar amount of changes in interest income and interest expense for the components of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, which are presented in the preceding table. The table below distinguishes between the changes related to average outstanding balances (changes in volume while holding the initial average rate constant) and the changes related to average interest rates (changes in average rates while holding the initial average balance constant). Changes attributable to both a change in volume and a change in rates are included as changes in rate.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2009 Versus 2008			
	Increa	ise (Decrease) d	ue to:	
	Rate	Volume	Total	
		(In thousands)		
Interest-earning assets:				
Loans available for sale	\$(11,768)	\$ 3,092	\$ (8,676)	
Loans held for investment	(10,366)	(16,272)	(26,638)	
Securities classified as available for sale or trading	(4,495)	19,670	15,175	
Interest-earning deposits	(642)	(257)	(899)	
Other	(516)	124	(392)	
Total	(27,787)	6,357	(21,430)	
Interest-bearing liabilities:				
Deposits	(12,532)	9,944	(2,588)	
FHLB advances	712	(6,944)	(6,232)	
Security repurchase agreements		(1)	(1)	
Other	(27)	(335)	(362)	
Total	(11,847)	2,664	(9,183)	
Change in net interest income	\$ (15,940)	\$ 3,693	\$ (12,247)	

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 Versus 2008		
	Increa	ise (Decrease) d	ue to:
	Rate	Volume	Total
		(In thousands)	
Interest-earning assets:			
Loans available for sale	\$ (36,577)	\$ 7,856	\$ (28,721)
Loans held for investment	(31,678)	(13,407)	(45,085)
Mortgage-backed securities-held to maturity		(15,576)	(15,576)
Securities classified as available for sale or trading	(8,618)	43,166	34,548
Interest-earning deposits	(3,085)	(677)	(3,762)
Other	(1,871)	446	(1,425)
Total	(81,829)	21,808	(60,021)
Interest-bearing liabilities: Deposits	(54,201)	30,642	(23,559)

ъ.т.

.

(1 1

FHLB advances Security repurchase agreements Other	1,971 264 (2,238)	(21,929) (2,308) (524)	(19,958) (2,044) (2,762)
Total	(54,204)	5,881	(48,323)
Change in net interest income	\$ (27,625)	\$ 15,927	\$ (11,698)

#### **Provision for Loan Losses**

Three Months. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded a provision for loan losses of \$125.5 million as compared to \$89.6 million recorded during the same period in 2008. The provisions reflect our estimates to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level management believes is appropriate to cover probable losses inherent in the portfolio and had the effect of increasing our allowance for loan losses by \$54.0 million. Net charge-offs increased in the 2009 period to \$71.5 million, compared to \$19.6 million for the same period in 2008, and as a percentage of investment loans, increased to an annualized 3.48% from 0.83%. See Analysis of Items on Statement of Financial Condition-Assets- Allowance for Loan Losses, below, for further information.

Nine Months. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded a provision for loan losses of \$409.4 million as compared to \$167.7 million recorded during the same period in 2008. The provisions reflect our estimates to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level management believes is appropriate to cover probable losses inherent in the portfolio and had the effect of increasing our allowance for loan losses by \$152.0 million. Net charge-offs increased in the 2009 period to \$257.4 million, compared to \$47.7 million for the same period in 2008, and as a percentage of investment loans, increased to an annualized 3.96% from 0.71%. See Analysis of Items on Statement of Financial Condition-Assets-Allowance for Loan Losses, below, for further information.

## **Non-Interest Income**

Our non-interest income consists of (i) loan fees and charges, (ii) deposit fees and charges, (iii) loan administration, (iv) gain on trading securities, (v) loss on residuals and transferor s interest (vi) net gain on loan sales, (vii) net (loss) gain on sales of MSRs, (viii) net gain on securities available for sale, (ix) net impairment losses recognized in earnings, and (x) other fees and charges. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, non-interest income increased to \$66.2 million from \$53.4 million in the comparable 2008 period. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, non-interest income increased to \$391.7 million from \$206.3 million in the comparable 2008 period.

Loan Fees and Charges. Both our home lending operation and banking operation earn loan origination fees and collect other charges in connection with originating residential mortgages and other types of loans.

Three Months. Loan fees recorded during the three months ended September 30, 2009 totaled \$29.4 million compared to \$0.8 million collected during the comparable 2008 period. During the three month period ending September 30, 2009, we recorded gross loan fees and charges of \$29.5 million, an increase of \$6.8 million from the \$22.7 million capitalized in 2008.

The increases in loan fees and charges resulted principally from our decision to account for the majority of our loans held for sale at fair value. As such, we no longer defer loan fees or expenses related to such loans. Prior to December 31, 2008, we recorded fee income net of any fees deferred for the purposes of complying with GAAP accounting guidance for non-refundable fees and costs associated with lending activities and loan purchases. In accordance with this guidance, loan origination fees are capitalized and added as an adjustment to the basis of the individual loans originated. These fees are accreted into income as an adjustment to the loan yield over the life of the loan or when the loan is sold. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, we deferred \$35,000 of fee revenue in accordance with this guidance for loans not accounted for under fair value, compared to \$21.9 million in 2008.

Nine Months. Loan fees recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 totaled \$97.4 million compared to \$2.3 million collected during the comparable 2008 period. During the nine month period ending September 30, 2009, we recorded gross loan fees and charges of \$97.5 million, an increase of \$22.8 million from the \$74.7 million capitalized in 2008. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we deferred \$0.1 million of fee revenue in accordance with this guidance for loans not accounted for under fair value, compared to \$72.5 million in 2008.

Deposit Fees and Charges. Our banking operation collects deposit fees and other charges such as fees for non-sufficient funds transactions, cashier check fees, ATM fees, overdraft protection, and other account fees for services we provide to our banking customers.

Three months. During the three months ended September 30, 2009 we recorded \$8.4 million in deposit fees versus \$7.2 million in the comparable 2008 period. This increase is attributable to an increase in our deposit base and certain fees charged.

*Nine months.* During the nine months ended September 30, 2009 we recorded \$23.7 million in deposit fees versus \$20.0 million in the comparable 2008 period. This increase is attributable to the increase in our deposit base and certain fees charged.

*Loan Administration*. When our home lending operation sells mortgage loans in the secondary market it usually retains the right to continue to service these loans and earn a servicing fee. The majority of our MSRs are accounted for on the fair value method. See Note 10 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1. Financial Statements herein.

The following table summarizes net loan administration loss (in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Servicing income (loss) on consumer mortgage servicing				
Servicing fees, ancillary income and charges	\$ 1,361	\$ 1,646	\$ 4,301	\$ 5,130
Amortization expense consumer	(555)	(601)	(1,924)	(1,917)
Impairment (loss) recovery consumer	(1,757)	27	(3,774)	82
Total net loan administration (loss) income,	(051)	1.072	(1.207)	2 205
consumer Servicing income (loss) on residential mortgage servicing	(951)	1,072	(1,397)	3,295
Servicing fees, ancillary income and charges	36,621	39,358	114,314	101,524
Fair value adjustments	(77,192)	(27,825)	(91,079)	(15,723)
Gain (loss) on hedging activity	11,229	13,050	(42,078)	(43,116)
Total net loan administration (loss) income residential	(29,342) <sup>(1)</sup>	24,583	(18,843)	42,685
Total loan administration (loss) income	\$ (30,293)	\$ 25,655	\$ (20,240)	\$ 45,980

Loan administration income does not include the impact of mortgage-backed securities deployed as economic hedges of the MSR assets. These positions, recorded as securities trading, provided \$21.7 million in gains and contributed an estimated

\$13.6 million of net interest income in the three months ended September 30, 2009, as well as, \$6.4 million in gains and an estimated \$37.4 million of net interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. There was no similar impact for the comparable 2008 period.

*Three months.* Loan administration decreased to a loss of \$30.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009 from income of \$25.7 million for the same period in 2008. Servicing fees, ancillary income, and charges on our residential mortgage servicing decreased during the three month period ended September 30, 2009 compared to the same period ended September 30, 2008, primarily as a result of increased delinquencies within the loans serviced for others portfolio. The fair value changes and the loss on hedging activities were due to the rising rate environment in the three month period ended September 30, 2009. The total unpaid principal balance of loans serviced for others was \$53.2 billion at September 30, 2009, versus \$51.8 billion at September 30, 2008.

The loan administration loss of \$30.3 million does not include \$21.7 million of gains in mortgage backed securities that were held on our consolidated statements of financial condition as economic hedges of our MSR asset during the three month period ending September 30, 2009. These gains were recorded as gains on trading securities within our consolidated statement of operations, as appropriate.

For the consumer mortgage servicing, the decrease in the servicing fees, ancillary income and charges for the three month period ended September 30, 2009 versus the same period ended in 2008 was due to the decrease in consumer loans serviced for others. At September 30, 2009, the total unpaid principal balance of consumer loans serviced for others was \$1.0 billion versus \$1.2 billion serviced at September 30, 2008. The increase in impairment of \$1.8 million was primarily the result of increased delinquency assumptions.

*Nine months.* Loan administration decreased to a loss of \$20.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 from income of \$46.0 million for the same period in 2008. Servicing fees, ancillary income, and charges on our residential mortgage servicing increased during the nine month period ended September 30, 2009 compared to the same period ended September 30, 2008, primarily as a result of our increase in loans serviced for others. The fair value changes and the loss on hedging activities were principally due to changes in interest rates in the nine month period ended September 30, 2009. The total unpaid principal balance of loans serviced for others was \$53.2 billion at September 30, 2009, versus \$51.8 billion at September 30, 2008.

The loan administration loss of \$20.2 million does not include \$6.4 million of gains in mortgage backed securities that were held on our consolidated statement of financial condition as economic hedges of our MSR asset during the nine month period ending September 30, 2009. These gains were recorded in gain on trading securities within our consolidated statement of operations, as appropriate

For the consumer mortgage servicing, the decrease in the servicing fees, ancillary income and charges for the nine month period ended September 30, 2009 versus the same period ended in 2008 was due to the decrease in consumer loans serviced for others. At September 30, 2009, the total unpaid principal balance of consumer loans serviced for others was \$1.0 billion versus \$1.2 billion serviced at September 30, 2008. The increase in impairment of \$3.8 million

was the result of increased delinquency assumptions.

*Gain on Trading Securities.* Securities classified as trading are comprised of U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities, U.S. treasury bonds and residual interests from private-label securitizations; losses from residual interests are classified separately in Loss on Residual and Transferor Interests. U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities held in trading are distinguished from available-for-sale based upon the intent of management to use them as an economic hedge against changes in the valuation of the MSR portfolio; however, these do not qualify as an accounting hedge as defined in current accounting guidance for derivatives and hedges.

*Three Months.* For U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities held, we recorded a gain of \$21.7 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, \$4.6 million of which was unrealized gain on agency mortgage backed securities held at September 30, 2009. For the same period in 2008, there were no such securities held.

*Nine Months.* For U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities held, we recorded a gain of \$6.4 million for the three quarters ended September 30, 2009, of which an unrealized gain of \$4.6 million related to agency mortgage backed securities held at September 30, 2009. For the same period in 2008, there were no such securities held.

*Loss on Residual Interests and Transferor Interests.* Losses on residual interests classified as trading and transferor s interest classified within consumer loans are a result of a reduction in the estimated fair value of our beneficial interests resulting from private securitizations with the related loss recorded in the consolidated statement of operations.

*Three Months.* We recognized a loss of \$50.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009 versus a loss of \$12.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008 related to our private securitizations. \$13.1 million of the loss was related to a reduction in the residual valuation, and \$37.6 million was related to a reduction in the transferor s interest carried within consumer loans on the HELOC securitizations. The losses in 2009 and 2008 are primarily due to continued increases in expected credit losses on the assets underlying the securitizations.

*Nine Months.* We recognized a loss of \$66.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 versus a loss of \$26.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 related to our private securitizations. \$21.5 million was related to a reduction in the residual valuation and \$45.1 million was related to a reduction in the transferor s interest carried within consumer loans on the HELOC securitizations. The losses in 2009 and 2008 are primarily due to continued increases in expected credit losses on the assets underlying the securitizations.

Net Gain on Loan Sales. Our home lending operation records the transaction fee income it generates from the origination, securitization and sale of mortgage loans in the secondary market. The amount of net gain on loan sales recognized is a function of the volume of mortgage loans originated for sale and the fair value of these loans, net of related selling expenses. Net gain on loan sales is increased or decreased by any mark to market pricing adjustments on loan commitments and forward sales commitments, increases to the secondary market reserve related to loans sold during the period, and related administrative expenses. The volatility in the gain on sale spread is attributable to market pricing, which changes with demand and the general level of interest rates. Generally, we are able to sell loans into the secondary market at a higher margin during periods of low or decreasing interest rates. Typically, as the volume of acquirable loans increases in a lower or falling interest rate environment, we are able to pay less to acquire loans and are then able to achieve higher spreads on the eventual sale of the acquired loans. In contrast, when interest rates rise, the volume of acquirable loans decreases and therefore we may need to pay more in the acquisition phase, thus decreasing our net gain achievable. During 2008 and into 2009, our net gain was also affected by increasing spreads available from securities we sell that are guaranteed by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and by a combination of a significant decline in residential mortgage lenders and a significant shift in loan demand to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac conforming residential mortgage loans and Ginnie Mae insured loans, which have provided us with more favorable loan pricing opportunities for conventional residential mortgage products.

The following table indicates the net gain on loan sales reported in our consolidated financial statements to our loans sold or securitized within the period (dollars in thousands):

For the Nine Months Ended

	Septem	ber 30,	Septem	ber 30,
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net gain on loan sales	\$ 104,416	\$ 22,152	\$ 404,773	\$ 129,403
Loans sold or securitized Spread achieved	\$ 7,606,304 1.37% 44	\$ 6,809,608 0.33%	\$25,183,401 1.61%	\$22,076,479 0.59%

*Three months.* For the three months ended September 30, 2009, there was a net gain on loan sales of \$104.4 million, as compared to a \$22.2 million gain in the 2008 period, an increase of \$82.2 million. The 2009 period reflects the sale of \$7.6 billion in loans versus \$6.8 billion sold in the 2008 period. Despite the relatively flat mortgage loan origination volume (\$6.6 billion in the 2009 period versus \$6.7 billion in the 2008 period), we were able to increase our overall gain on sale spread (137 basis points in the 2009 period versus 33 basis points in the 2008 period), because of favorable competitive market conditions.

Our calculation of net gain on loan sales reflects our adoption of fair value accounting for the majority of our mortgage loans available for sale beginning January 1, 2009. The effect of the adoption of fair value on the current quarter s operations amounted to \$14.7 million of additional gain on loan sales. This amount represents the recording of the mortgage loans available for sale that remained on our statement of financial condition at September 30, 2009 at their estimated fair value. The change of method was made on a prospective basis; therefore, only mortgage loans available for sale that were originated during 2009 have been affected. In addition, we also had changes in amounts related to loan commitments and forward sales commitments, lower of cost or market adjustments on loans transferred to held for investment and provisions to our secondary market reserve. Changes in amounts related to derivatives amounted to \$25.1 million and \$8.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Lower of cost or market adjustments amounted to \$0.1 million and \$12.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In addition, for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Also included in our net gain on loan sales is the capitalized value of our MSRs, which totaled \$76.7 million and \$85.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

*Nine months.* For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, net gain on loan sales increased \$275.4 million to \$404.8 million from the \$129.4 million in the 2008 period. The 2009 period reflects the sale of \$25.2 billion in loans versus \$22.1 billion sold in the 2008 period.

Management believes changes in market conditions, during the 2009 period resulted in an increased mortgage loan origination volume (\$25.4 billion in the 2009 period versus \$22.6 billion in the 2008 period) and a higher overall gain on sale spread (161 basis points in the 2009 versus 59 basis points in the 2008 period).

Our calculation of net gain on loan sales reflects our adoption of fair value accounting for the majority of our mortgage loans available for sale beginning January 1, 2009. The effect of our adoption on the current quarter s operations amounted to \$57.0 million of additional gain on loan sales. This amount represents the recording of the mortgage loans available for sale that remained on our statement of financial condition at September 30, 2009 at their estimated fair value. The change of method was made on a prospective basis; therefore, only mortgage loans available for sale that were originated during 2009 have been affected. In addition, we also had changes in amounts related to derivatives, lower of cost or market adjustments on loans transferred to held for investment and provisions to our secondary market reserve. Changes in amounts related to loan commitments and forward sales commitments amounted to \$9.8 million and \$18.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Lower of cost or market adjustments amounted to \$0.3 million and \$34.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Provisions to our secondary market reserve amounted to \$17.9 million and \$8.2 million, for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Also included in our net gain on loan sales is the capitalized value of our MSRs, which totaled \$268.0 million and \$292.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

*Net Gain on Sales of Mortgage Servicing Rights*. As part of our business model, our home lending operation occasionally sells MSRs in transactions separate from the sale of the underlying loans. At the time of the MSR sale, we record a gain or loss based on the selling price of the MSRs less our carrying value and transaction costs. Because we carry most of our MSRs at fair value, we would not expect to realize significant gains or losses at the time of the sale. Instead, our income or loss on changes in the valuation of MSRs would be recorded through our loan administration income.

*Three months*. For the three months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded a loss on sales of MSRs of \$1.3 million, which represented the estimated costs of the transaction, compared to a \$0.9 million gain recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2008. During the three month period ending September 30, 2009, we sold

servicing rights related to \$12.3 billion of loans serviced for others on a bulk basis. We did not sell any servicing rights on a bulk basis during the comparable 2008 period.

*Nine months.* During the nine month period ending September 30, 2009, we recorded a loss on sales of MSRs of \$3.9 million compared to a \$0.3 million gain recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. During the nine month period ending September 30, 2009, we sold servicing rights related to \$14.6 billion of loans serviced for others on a bulk basis. We did not sell any servicing rights on a bulk basis for the comparable 2008 period. The \$0.3 million gain on MSR sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, resulted from our change in estimate of amounts receivable from past MSR sales.

*Net Gain on Securities Available for Sale.* Securities classified as available for sale are comprised of U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities and CMOs.

*Three Months.* Gains on the sale of U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities available for sale that are recently created with underlying mortgage products originated by the Bank are reported within net gain on loan sales. Securities in this category have typically remained in the portfolio less than 90 days before sale. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, sales of these agency securities with underlying mortgage products originated by the Bank were \$190.5 million resulting in \$1.0 million of net gain on loan sale versus \$36.4 billion resulting in \$17,200 of net gain on loan sale during the same period in 2008.

Gain on sales for all other available for sale securities types are reported in net gain on sale of available for sales securities. There were no such sales in the three months ended September 30, 2009 versus a \$0.1 million gain on \$13.8 million sold during the same period in 2008.

*Nine Months*. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, sales of agency securities with underlying mortgage products originated by the Bank were \$653.0 million resulting in \$13.0 million of net gain on loan sales compared with a \$1.7 million gain on \$2.8 billion of sales during the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

Gain on sales for all other available for sale securities types are reported in net gain on sale of available for sale securities. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we sold no such securities. In the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we sold \$908.8 million in purchased agency and non-agency securities available for sale. This sale generated a net gain on sale of available for sale securities of \$5.0 million.

Net impairment losses recognized through earnings. As required by current accounting guidance for investments debt and equity securities-other-than-temporary impairments, we may also incur losses on securities available for sale as a result of a reduction in the estimated fair value of the security when that decline has been deemed to be an other-than-temporarily impaired. Prior to the first quarter of 2009, if an other-than-temporary impairment was identified, the difference between the amortized cost and the fair value was recorded as a loss through operations. Beginning the first quarter of 2009, accounting guidance changed to only recognize other-than-temporary impairment related to credit losses through operations with any remainder recognized through other comprehensive income. Further, upon adoption, the guidance required a cumulative adjustment increasing retained earnings and other comprehensive loss by the non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment, related to securities available for sale, that we had recorded prior to January 1, 2009. During the fourth quarter of 2008, we recognized an other-than-temporary impairment of \$62.4 million on three collateralized mortgage obligations. As required by changes in new accounting guidance for investments-debt and equity securities-other-than-temporary impairments, the credit loss portion of the other-than-temporary impairment was \$11.8 million while the impairment related to all other factors was \$50.6 million. Effective January 1, 2009, the \$50.6 million loss, net of \$17.7 million of tax benefit, was reclassified from retained earnings to other comprehensive income as a cumulative adjustment. See Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss in Note 14, of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein.

Generally, an investment impairment analysis is performed when the estimated fair value is less than amortized cost for an extended period of time, generally six months. Before an analysis is performed, we also review the general market conditions for the specific type of underlying collateral for each security; in this case, the mortgage market in general has suffered from significant losses in value. With the assistance of third party experts, as deemed necessary, we model the expected cash flows of the underlying mortgage assets using historical factors such as default rates and current delinquency and estimated factors such as prepayment speed, default speed and severity speed. Next, the cash flows are modeled through the appropriate waterfall for each CMO tranche owned; the level of credit support provided by subordinated tranches is included in the waterfall analysis. The resulting cash flow of principal and interest is then utilized by management to determine the amount of credit losses by security.

The credit losses on the CMO portfolio have been created by the economic conditions present in the U.S. over the course of the last two years. This includes high mortgage defaults, low collateral values and changes in homeowner behavior, such as intentionally defaulting on a note due to a home value worth less than the outstanding debt on the home.

In the three months ended September 30, 2009, additional credit losses on six CMOs with previously recognized credit losses plus one additional CMO with new credit losses totaled \$2.9 million, which was recognized in current operations. At September 30, 2009, the total amount of other-than-temporary impairment due to credit losses totaled \$35.0 million.

In the nine months ended September 30, 2009, additional credit losses on the original three and eight additional CMOs totaled \$20.4 million, which was recognized in current operations.

*Other Fees and Charges.* Other fees and charges include certain miscellaneous fees, including dividends received on FHLB stock and income generated by our subsidiaries.

*Three months.* During the three months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded \$3.0 million in cash dividends received on FHLB stock, compared to \$4.8 million received during the three months ended September 30, 2008. At both September 30, 2009 and 2008, we owned \$373.4 million of FHLB stock. We also recorded \$2.4 million and \$2.1 million in subsidiary income for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In addition, we recorded expense of \$20.6 million and \$1.1 million related to adjustments to our estimates in determining our secondary market reserve, for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

*Nine months.* During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded \$4.3 million in cash dividends received on FHLB stock, compared to the \$14.5 million received during the nine months ended September 30, 2008. We also recorded \$7.2 million and \$5.4 million in subsidiary income for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In addition, we recorded expense of \$48.3 million and income of \$0.5 million relating to adjustments to our estimates in determining our secondary market reserve, for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

#### **Non-Interest Expense**

The following table sets forth the components of our non-interest expense, along with the allocation of expenses related to loan originations that are deferred pursuant to accounting guidance for receivables, non-refundable fees and other costs. As required, mortgage loan fees and direct origination costs (principally compensation and benefits) are capitalized as an adjustment to the basis of the loans originated during the period and amortized to expense over the lives of the respective loans rather than immediately expensed. Other expenses associated with loan production, however, are not required or allowed to be capitalized and are, therefore, expensed when incurred. Effective January 1, 2009, we elected to account for substantially all of our mortgage loans available for sale using the fair value method and, therefore, immediately recognize such expenses in the period incurred, (dollars in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,			Months Ended Iber 30,
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Compensation and benefits	\$ 56,598	\$ 54,487	\$171,836	\$165,524
Commissions	12,149	26,298	60,866	86,401
Occupancy and equipment	17,175	19,492	53,553	59,816
Advertising	3,411	3,574	9,159	8,231
Federal insurance premium	7,666	1,566	28,514	4,911
Communications	1,413	1,974	4,768	6,218
Other taxes	12,944	(1,359)	15,049	(83)
Asset resolution	26,811	18,019	69,661	29,798
Other	28,876	24,764	108,657	36,690
Subtotal Less: capitalized non-refundable fees and	167,403	148,815	522,063	397,506
other costs	(137)	(29,651)	(671)	(95,438)
Non-interest expense	\$166,906	\$119,164	\$521,392	\$302,068
Efficiency ratio <sup>(1)</sup>	146.6%	105.2%	93.8%	79.0%

 Operating and administrative expenses divided by the sum of net

interest income and non-interest income.

*Three months.* Non-interest expense, before the capitalization of loan origination costs, increased \$18.6 million to \$167.4 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009, from \$148.8 million for the comparable 2008 period. The following are the major changes affecting non-interest expense as reflected in the consolidated statements of operations.

Compensation and benefits expense increased \$2.1 million during the 2009 period from the comparable 2008 period to \$56.6 million, with the increase primarily attributable to additional loan servicing employees in our collection and loss mitigation areas.

The change in commissions paid to the commissioned sales staff, on a period over period basis, was a \$14.2 million decrease. This decrease reflects the decreased employment of full-time retail loan originators as compared to the same 2008 period and a change in the commission structure during 2009.

Occupancy and equipment decreased \$2.3 million during the 2009 period to \$17.2 million from \$19.5 million recorded in the 2008 period as the result of the decrease in home lending centers to 42 in the 2009 period from 111 in the 2008 period.

The increase in FDIC premium of \$6.1 million for the third guarter of 2009 as compared to the third guarter 2008 reflected an increase in assessment estimates due to an increase in our assessment rate. The remaining increase was the result of increased rates on regular premiums and an increase in deposits of \$1.1 billion, the basis used in determining the premium used in valuation allowances.

The \$14.3 million increase in other taxes is primarily due to an \$11.9 million increase in the valuation allowance recorded on state deferred tax assets in the third guarter of 2009.

Asset resolution expense consists of foreclosure costs, valuation allowances and gains and losses on the sale of real estate owned ( REO ) properties that we have obtained through foreclosure proceedings. Asset resolution expense increased \$8.8 million to \$26.8 million during the 2009 period from the comparable 2008 period. Write downs of REO properties decreased from \$12.2 million to \$10.5 million, a decrease of \$1.7 million net of any gain on REO sales and recovery of related amounts.

Other expenses totaled \$28.9 million during 2009 compared to \$24.8 million in 2008. The 16.5% increase was primarily due to a \$3.6 million increase in the value of warrants issued in January 2009.

During the three months ended September 30, 2009, we capitalized direct loan origination costs of \$0.1 million, a decrease of \$29.6 million from \$29.7 million for the comparable 2008 period. This 99.5% decrease is a result of our adoption of fair value accounting for the majority of our loans held for sale that were originated during 2009.

Nine months. Non-interest expense, before the capitalization of loan origination costs, increased \$124.6 million to \$522.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, from \$397.5 million for the comparable 2008 period.

Compensation and benefits expense increased \$6.3 million during the 2009 period from the comparable 2008 period to \$171.8 million, with the increase primarily attributable to additional loan workout specialists and loan servicing employees offset in part by a decrease in home lending support staff.

The decrease in commission expense, which is paid to the commissioned sales staff, of \$25.5 million, on a period over period basis is the result of a decrease in the number of full-time retail loan originators as compared to the comparable 2008 period and a change in the commission structure.

The increase in FDIC premium of \$23.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 as compared to the same period 2008 reflected a special FDIC premium imposed on all banks which totaled \$7.9 million for the Bank. The remaining increase was the result of increased rates on regular premiums, increased estimates for assessments and an increase in deposits of \$1.1 billion, the basis used in determining the premium valuation allowances.

The increase in other taxes is primarily due to an \$11.9 million increase in the valuation allowance recorded on state deferred tax assets during the 2009 period.

Asset resolution expense consists of foreclosure costs, valuation allowances and gains and losses on the sale of REO properties that we have obtained through foreclosure proceedings. Asset resolution expense increased \$39.9 million to \$69.7 million during the 2009 period from the comparable 2008 period. Write downs of REO properties, net of any gains on REO sales and recovery of related amounts, increased \$19.5 million from \$15.2 million in the 2008 period to \$34.7 million. Foreclosure expenses increased \$22.5 million to \$38.0 million for the 2009 period from \$15.5 million in the 2008 period.

Other expenses totaled \$108.7 million during 2009 compared to \$36.7 million in 2008. The \$72.0 million, or 196.2% increase was due in part to a \$27.6 million increase in the value of warrants issued in January 2009 and a \$20.0 million increase in loss reserves related to our reinsurance company.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we capitalized direct loan origination costs of \$0.7 million, a decrease of \$94.7 million from \$95.4 million for the comparable 2008 period. This 99.3% decrease is a result of our adoption of fair value accounting for the majority of our loans held for sale that were originated during 2009. **Provision (Benefit) for Federal Income Taxes** 

We account for income taxes in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 740 Income Taxes. Under this pronouncement, deferred taxes are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates that will apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a

change in tax rates is recognized as

income or expense in the period that includes the enactment date. See Note 12 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1; Financial Statements, herein.

We periodically review the carrying amount of our deferred tax assets to determine if the establishment of a valuation allowance is necessary. If based on the available evidence, it is more likely than not that all or a portion of our deferred tax assets will not be realized in future periods, a deferred tax valuation allowance would be established. Consideration is given to all positive and negative evidence related to the realization of the deferred tax assets.

In evaluating this available evidence, we consider historical financial performance, expectation of future earnings, the ability to carry back losses to recoup taxes previously paid, length of statutory carry forward periods, experience with operating loss and tax credit carry forwards not expiring unused, tax planning strategies and timing of reversals of temporary differences. Significant judgment is required in assessing future earning trends and the timing of reversals of temporary differences. Our evaluation is based on current tax laws as well as our expectations of future performance.

FASB ASC Topic 740 suggests that additional scrutiny should be given to deferred tax assets of an entity with cumulative pre-tax losses during the three most recent years. This is widely considered to be significant negative evidence that is objective and verifiable; and therefore, difficult to overcome. We had cumulative pre-tax losses in 2007 and 2008 and through the third quarter 2009 and we considered this factor in our analysis of deferred tax assets. Additionally, based on the continued economic uncertainty that persists at this time, we believed that it was probable that we would not generate significant pre-tax income in the near term. As a result of these two significant facts, we recorded a \$176.1 million valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets for the nine month period ended September 30, 2009.

#### Analysis of Items on Statement of Financial Condition

#### Assets

*Securities Classified as Trading.* Securities classified as trading are comprised of U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities, U.S. treasury bonds and residual interests from private-label securitizations. U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities held in trading are distinguished from available-for-sale based upon the intent of management to use them for liquidity purposes and as an economic hedge against changes in the valuation of the MSR portfolio, however, these do not qualify as an accounting hedge as defined in current accounting guidance for derivatives and hedges. See Note 5 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein.

At September 30, 2009 the balance was \$1.0 billion compared to \$0.5 billion at December 31, 2008. The balance has increased as part of our overall liquidity and MSR portfolio requirements.

*Securities Classified as Available for Sale.* Securities classified as available for sale, which are comprised of U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities and CMOs decreased from \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2008, to \$0.8 billion at September 30, 2009. See Note 5 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein.

*Other Investments-Restricted.* Our investment portfolio increased from \$34.5 million at December 31, 2008, to \$41.5 million at September 30, 2009. Investment securities consist of investments in mutual funds made by our reinsurance subsidiary.

*Loans Available for Sale.* We sell a majority of the mortgage loans we produce into the secondary market on a whole loan basis or by securitizing the loans into mortgage-backed securities. At September 30, 2009, we held loans available for sale of \$2.1 billion, which was an increase of \$0.6 billion from \$1.5 billion held at December 31, 2008. Our loan production is typically inversely related to the level of long-term interest rates. As long-term rates decrease, we tend to originate an increasing number of mortgage loans. A significant amount of the loan origination activity during periods of falling interest rates is derived from refinancing of existing mortgage loans. Conversely, during periods of increasing long-term rate increases, loan originations tend to decrease. Beginning January 1, 2009, we elected to record the majority of loans available for sale on the fair value method and will not defer loan fees and expenses related to those loans. At September 30, 2009, all but approximately \$19.8 million of our loans available for sale were recorded on a fair value basis. See Note 6 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein.

*Loans Held for Investment*. Loans held for investment at September 30, 2009 decreased \$1.1 billion from December 31, 2008. The decrease was principally attributable to a decrease in first mortgage loans and commercial real estate loans. See Note 7 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein. Our loans held for investment included commercial real estate loans of \$179.6 million that are considered to be commercial construction. Together with \$22.9 million of residential construction loans, our total construction loans for regulatory reporting purposes amounted to \$202.5 million.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses represents management s estimate of probable and inherent losses in our loans held for investment portfolio as of the date of the consolidated financial statements. The

allowance provides for probable losses that have been identified with specific customer relationships and for probable losses believed to be inherent in the loan portfolio but that have not been specifically identified.

The allowance for loan losses increased to \$528.0 million at September 30, 2009 from \$376.0 million at December 31, 2008, respectively. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of non-performing loans decreased to 50.0% from 52.1% at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Our non-performing loans (i.e., loans that are past due 90 days or more and/or on nonaccrual) increased to \$1.1 billion at September 30, 2009 from \$722.3 million at December 31, 2008. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of investment loans increased to 6.49% at September 30, 2009 from 4.14% at December 31, 2008. The increase in the allowance for loan losses at September 30, 2009 reflects management s assessment of the effect of various factors, including increased levels of charge-offs within the higher risk loan categories, (i.e. commercial real estate, HELOCs, second mortgages and other consumer loans). Further, the delinquency rate increased in the nine months to 15.66% as of September 30, 2009, up from 10.78% as of December 31, 2008.

The following tables set forth certain information regarding our composition of allowance for loan losses as of September 30, 2009:

## Composition of Allowance for Loan Losses As of September 30, 2009

(In thousands) (Unaudited)

	General	Specific	
Description	Reserves	Reserves	Total
First mortgage loans	\$203,624	\$ 42,690	\$246,314
Second mortgage loans	35,639		35,639
Commercial real estate loans	55,304	140,031	195,335
Construction loans residential	2,766	482	3,248
Warehouse lending	1,425	2,231	3,656
Consumer loans, including home equity lines of credit	32,355	632	32,987
Non-real estate commercial	358	2,794	3,152
Other and unallocated	7,669		7,669
Total allowance for loan losses	\$ 339,140	\$ 188,860	\$528,000

The allowance for loan losses is considered adequate based upon management s assessment of relevant factors, including the types and amounts of non-performing loans, historical and current loss experience on such types of loans, and the current economic environment. The following table provides the amount of delinquent loans at the dates listed. At September 30, 2009, 61.0% of all delinquent loans are loans in which we had a first lien position on residential real estate.

#### **Delinquent and Nonaccrual Loans**

	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008	September 30, 2008
Days Delinquent		(Dollars in thousands	;)
30	\$ 118,597	\$ 145,407	\$ 107,313
60	100,078	111,404	110,943
90	91,426	137,683	74,056
120+	963,933	584,618	403,831
Total	\$ 1,274,034	\$ 979,112	\$ 696,143

Investment loans	\$ 8,133,497	\$ 9,082,121	\$ 9,134,884
Delinquency %	15.66%	10.78%	7.62%

We calculate our delinquent loans using a method required by the OTS when we prepare regulatory reports that we submit to the OTS each quarter. This method, also called the OTS Method, treats a loan as delinquent if no payment is received after the first day of the month following the month of the missed payment. Other companies with mortgage banking operations similar to ours may use the Mortgage Bankers Association Method (MBA Method) which considers a loan to be delinquent if payment is not received by the end of the month of the missed payment. The key difference between the two methods is that a loan considered delinquent under the MBA Method would not be considered delinquent under the OTS Method for another 30 days. Under the MBA Method of calculating delinquent loans, 30 day delinquencies equaled \$227.3

million, 60 day delinquencies equaled \$125.6 million and 90 day delinquencies and other nonaccrual loans equaled \$1.1 billion at September 30, 2009. Total delinquent loans under the MBA Method total \$1.5 billion or 18.28% of loans held for investment at September 30, 2009, as compared to, delinquent loans at December 31, 2008 of \$1.1 billion, or 13.27% of total loans held for investment.

We cease the accrual of interest on loans that we classify as non-performing generally because they are more than 90 days delinquent. Additionally, individual loans in non-homogenous portfolios may be placed on nonaccrual status prior to becoming 90 days past due when payment in full of principal or interest by the borrower is not expected. Such interest is recognized as income only when it is actually collected.

The following table sets forth information regarding non-performing loans as of September 30, 2009 (dollars in thousands):

Non-performing loans	September 30, 2009		
Loans secured by real estate			
Home loans secured by first lien	\$	606,340	
Home loans secured by second lien		9,768	
Home equity lines of credit		9,238	
Construction residential		6,328	
Commercial		416,534	
Total non-performing loans secured by real estate		1,048,208	
Commercial		5,886	
Other consumer		1,264	
Total non-performing loans held in portfolio	\$	1,055,358	

In response to increasing rates of delinquency and steeply declining market values, management implemented a program to modify the terms of existing loans in an effort to mitigate losses and keep borrowers in their homes. These aggressive modification programs began in the latter months of 2008 and increased substantially in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. As of September 30, 2009, we had \$615.8 million in restructured loans in the loans held for investment portfolio, of which \$181.0 million were included in non-performing loans.

Restructured loans by loan type are presented in the following table (dollars in thousands):

#### Restructured Loans September 30, 2009

First mortgage loans	\$ 432,597
Second mortgage loans	14,054
Construction residential	3,370
Commercial	165,648
HELOC	129
Other consumer	26
Total	\$ 615,824

The following table shows the activity in the allowance for loan losses during the indicated periods (dollars in thousands):

#### Activity Within the Allowance For Loan Losses

Beginning balance Provision for loan losses	For the Nine September 30, 2009 \$ 376,000 409,420		hs Ended eptember 30, 2008 104,000 167,708		For the Year Ended ecember 31, 2008 104,000 343,963
Charge-offs First mortgage Second mortgage Commercial Construction residential Warehouse Consumer: HELOC Other consumer Other	(94,178) $(31,815)$ $(103,647)$ $(2,487)$ $(503)$ $(25,322)$ $(2,693)$ $(1,919)$		$(27,784) \\ (1,600) \\ (12,292) \\ (169) \\ (832) \\ (4,538) \\ (589) \\ (1,442) \\ (1,442)$		(44,460) (3,354) (14,769) (1,872) (1,005) (5,576) (929) (2,006)
Total charge-offs	(262,564)		(49,246)		(73,971)
Recoveries First mortgage Second mortgage Commercial Construction residential Warehouse Consumer: HELOC Other consumer Other	$2,070 \\ 605 \\ 996 \\ 34 \\ 6 \\ 496 \\ 296 \\ 641$		3 327 7 425 381 395		76 404 32 4 517 461 514
Total recoveries	5,144		1,538		2,008
Charge-offs, net of recoveries	(257,420)	¢	(47,708)	¢	(71,963)
Ending balance Net charge-off ratio	\$ 528,000 3.96%	\$	224,000 0.71%	\$	376,000 0.79%

Accrued Interest Receivable. Accrued interest receivable decreased from \$56.0 million at December 31, 2008, to \$50.6 million at September 30, 2009, as our total earning assets decreased. We typically collect interest in the month following the month in which it is earned.

*Repossessed Assets.* Real property that we acquire as a result of the foreclosure process is classified as real estate owned until it is sold. It is transferred from the loans held for investment portfolio at lower of cost or market, less disposal costs. Management decides whether to rehabilitate the property or sell it as is and whether to list the property with a broker. At September 30, 2009, we had \$164.9 million of repossessed assets compared to \$109.3 million at December 31, 2008.

*Premises and Equipment.* Premises and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation, totaled \$241.7 million at September 30, 2009 and \$246.2 million in December 31, 2008. Our investment in property and equipment decreased due to our decision to limit our branch expansion.

*Mortgage Servicing Rights.* At September 30, 2009, MSRs included residential MSRs at fair value amounting to \$564.0 million and consumer MSRs at amortized cost amounting to \$3.8 million. At December 31, 2008, residential MSRs amounted to \$511.3 million and consumer MSRs at amortized cost amounted to \$9.5 million. During the nine month period ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, we recorded additions to our residential MSRs of \$268.0 million and \$291.9 million,

respectively, due to loan sales or securitizations. Also, during the period ending September 30, 2009, we reduced the amount of MSRs by \$134.9 million related to bulk servicing sales and \$101.6 million related to loans that paid off during the period. Further, the MSRs were increased by \$21.2 million related to the realization of expected cash flows and market driven changes, primarily an increase in mortgage loan rates that led to an expected decrease in prepayment speeds. See Note 10 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein.

The principal balance of the loans underlying our total MSRs was \$53.2 billion at September 30, 2009 versus \$55.9 billion at December 31, 2008, with the decrease primarily attributable to our bulk servicing sale of \$12.3 billion in underlying loans partially offset by loan origination activity for 2009.

Other Assets. Other assets are comprised of the following (in thousands):

	September 30, 2009			December 31, 2008		
Repurchased assets with government insurance	\$	473,867	\$	83,709		
Repurchased assets without government insurance		26,601		16,454		
Derivative assets, including margin accounts		189,135		93,686		
Escrow advances		107,414		56,542		
Servicing sale receivables		95,107				
Tax assets, net		80,443		181,601		
Other		66,282		72,742		
Total other assets	\$	1,038,849	\$	504,734		

Other assets increased \$0.5 billion, or 105.8%, to \$1.0 billion at September 30, 2009 from \$0.5 billion at December 31, 2008. We sell a majority of the mortgage loans we produce into the secondary market on a whole loan basis or by securitizing the loans into mortgage-backed securities. When we sell or securitize mortgage loans, we make customary representations and warranties. If a defect is identified, we may be required to either repurchase the loan or indemnify the purchaser for losses it sustains on the loan. Repurchased loans that are performing according to their terms are included within our loans held for investment portfolio. Repurchased loans that are not performing when repurchased are included within the other assets category. Government-guaranteed or insured loans are also repurchased from Ginnie Mae securitizations in place of continuing to advance delinquent principal and interest installments to security holders. Losses and expenses incurred on these repurchases through the foreclosure process generally are reimbursed according to FHA guidelines. The balance of such loans held by us was \$473.9 million at September 30, 2009 and \$83.7 million at December 31, 2009.

Repurchased non-performing loans without government guarantees or insurance are classified as repurchased assets. To the extent we later foreclose on the loan, the underlying property is transferred to repossessed assets for disposal. The estimated fair value of the remaining repurchased assets totaled \$26.6 million at September 30, 2009 and \$16.5 million at December 31, 2008.

#### Liabilities

*Deposit Accounts*. Deposit accounts increased \$0.7 billion to \$8.5 billion at September 30, 2009, from \$7.8 billion at December 31, 2008. The composition of our deposits was as follows:

## **Deposit Portfolio**

(Dollars in thousands)

	September 30, 2009			<b>December 31, 2008</b>				
		Weighted Average	Percent of		Weighted Average	Percent of		
	Balance	Rate	Balance	Balance	Rate	Balance		
Demand accounts	\$ 471,847	0.30%	5.53%	\$ 416,920	0.47%	5.32%		
Savings accounts	660,786	1.22	7.74	407,501	2.24	5.20		
MMDA	747,507	1.58	8.76	561,909	2.61	7.17		
Certificates of deposit <sup>(1)</sup>	3,819,351	3.41	44.76	3,967,985	3.94	50.61		
Total Retail Deposits	5,699,491	2.66	66.79	5,354,315	3.40	68.30		
Public funds <sup>(2)</sup>	650,666	0.79	7.62	597,638	2.84	7.62		
National accounts	1,232,031	3.56	14.44	1,353,558	4.41	17.26		
Company controlled								
deposits <sup>(3)</sup>	951,780		11.15	535,494		6.82		
Total Deposits	\$ 8,533,968	2.35%	100.00%	\$7,841,005	3.30%	100.0%		

- The aggregate amount of certificates of deposit with a minimum denomination of \$100,000 was approximately \$1.7 billion and \$1.8 billion at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.
- (2) Public funds include funds from municipalities and public units.
- (3) These accounts represent the

portion of the investor custodial accounts controlled by Flagstar that have been placed on deposit with the Bank.

The public funds channel was \$650.7 million and \$597.6 million at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. These deposits have been garnered from local government units within our retail market area.

Our national accounts division garnered funds through the use of investment banking firms. National deposit accounts decreased a net \$0.2 billion to \$1.2 billion at September 30, 2009, from \$1.4 billion at December 31, 2008. At September 30, 2009, the national deposit accounts had a weighted maturity of 12 months.

Our controlled accounts increased \$0.5 billion to \$1.0 billion at September 30, 2009. This increase reflects the increase in mortgage loans serviced for others.

We participate in the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARS) program, through which certain customer certificates of deposit (CD) are exchanged for CDs of similar amounts from other participating banks. This gives our customers the potential to receive FDIC insurance up to \$50 million. At September 30, 2009, \$330.0 million of our total CDs were enrolled in this program, with \$172.4 million originating from public entities and \$160.6 million originating from retail customers. In exchange, we received reciprocal CDs from other participating banks totaling \$34.4 million from public entities and \$295.6 million from retail customers.

*FHLB Advances.* Our borrowings from the FHLB, known as FHLB advances, may include floating rate daily adjustable advances, fixed rate convertible (i.e., putable) advances, and fixed rate term (i.e., bullet) advances. The following is a breakdown of the advances outstanding (dollars in thousands):

	September 3	December 31, 2008			
		Weighted			
		Average			
	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	
Daily adjustable advances	\$		\$		
Fixed rate putable advances	2,150,000	4.02%	2,150,000	4.02%	
Short-term fixed rate term advances	900,000	4.50%	650,000	4.79%	
Long-term fixed rate term advances	1,750,000	4.61%	2,400,000	4.55%	
Total	\$4,800,000	4.33%	\$ 5,200,000	4.36%	
	54				

At September 30, 2009, FHLB advances decreased to \$4.8 billion from \$5.2 billion at December 31, 2008. We rely upon such advances as a source of funding for the origination or purchase of loans for sale in the secondary market and for providing duration specific medium-term financing. The outstanding balance of FHLB advances fluctuates from time to time depending upon our current inventory of loans available for sale that we fund with the advances and upon the availability of lower cost funding from our retail deposit base, the escrow accounts we hold, or alternative funding sources such as security repurchase agreements. Our approved line with the FHLB was \$7.0 billion at September 30, 2009.

*Security Repurchase Agreements*. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are generally accounted for as collateralized financing transactions and are recorded at the amounts at which the securities were sold plus accrued interest. Securities, generally mortgage backed securities, are pledged as collateral under these financing arrangements. The fair value of collateral provided to a party is continually monitored and additional collateral is provided by or returned to us, as appropriate. We had security repurchase agreements amounting to \$108.0 million at both September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

*Long Term Debt.* Our long-term debt principally consists of junior subordinated notes related to trust preferred securities issued by our special purpose trust subsidiaries under the Company rather than the Bank. On June 30, 2009, we issued \$51.5 million of junior subordinated notes related to the sale of \$50.0 million trust preferred securities to MatlinPatterson. The notes mature 30 years from issuance, are callable after five years and pay interest quarterly. At September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had \$300.2 million and \$248.7 million of long-term debt, respectively.

*Accrued Interest Payable.* Our accrued interest payable decreased \$11.3 million from December 31, 2008 to \$24.8 million at September 30, 2009. The decrease was principally due to the increase in interest bearing deposits offset in part by a decline in interest rates during 2009 on our interest-bearing liabilities.

Secondary Market Reserve. We sell most of the residential mortgage loans that we originate into the secondary mortgage market. When we sell mortgage loans, we make customary representations and warranties to the purchasers about various characteristics of each loan, such as the manner of origination, the nature and extent of underwriting standards applied and the types of documentation being provided. Typically these representations and warranties are in place for the life of the loan. If a defect in the origination process is identified, we may be required to either repurchase the loan or indemnify the purchaser for losses it sustains on the loan. If there are no such defects, we have no liability to the purchaser for losses it may incur on such loan. We maintain a secondary market reserve to account for the expected losses related to loans we may be required to repurchase (or the indemnity payments we may have to make to purchasers). The secondary market reserve takes into account both our estimate of expected losses on loans sold during the current accounting period, as well as adjustments to our previous estimates of expected losses on loans sold. In each case these estimates are based on our most recent data regarding loan repurchases, actual credit losses on repurchased loans and recovery history, among other factors. Increases to the secondary market reserve for current loan sales reduce our net gain on loan sales. Adjustments to our previous estimates are recorded as an increase or decrease in our other fees and charges.

The secondary market reserve increased \$10.5 million to \$53.0 million at September 30, 2009, from \$42.5 million at December 31, 2008. This increase is attributable to increases in our expected losses and historical experience of repurchases and claims.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the secondary market reserve within the periods shown (in thousands):

#### **Secondary Market Reserve**

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Nine Months Ended			onths		
		Septem	ber 30	),		Septem	ber 3	0,
		2009		2008		2009		2008
Balance, beginning of period	\$	45,000	\$	28,000	\$	42,500	\$	27,600
Provision								

Charged to gain on sale for current loan sales Charged to other fees and charges for changes in	7,006	2,376	17,938	8,183
estimates	20,603	1,087	48,340	(474)
Total Charge-offs, net	27,609 (19,609)	3,463 (2,863)	66,278 (55,778)	7,709 (6,709)
Balance, end of period	\$ 53,000	\$ 28,600	\$ 53,000	\$ 28,600

Reserve levels are a function of expected losses based on actual pending and expected claims and repurchase requests, historical experience and loan volume. While the ultimate amount of repurchases and claims is uncertain, management believes that the amount of reserves at September 30, 2009 is adequate.

#### Liquidity and Capital

*Liquidity.* Liquidity refers to the ability or the financial flexibility to manage future cash flows in order to meet the needs of depositors and borrowers and fund operations on a timely and cost-effective basis. Our primary sources of funds are deposits, loan repayments and sales, advances from the FHLB, security repurchase agreements, cash generated from operations and customer escrow accounts. We can also draw upon our line of credit at the Federal Reserve discount window. While we believe that these sources of funds will continue to be adequate to meet our liquidity needs for the foreseeable future, there is currently illiquidity in the non-agency secondary mortgage market and reduced investor demand for mortgage-backed securities and loans in that market. Under these conditions, we use our liquidity, as well as our capital capacity, to hold increased levels of both securities and loans. While our liquidity and capital positions are currently sufficient, our capacity to retain loans and securities on our consolidated statement of financial condition is not unlimited and we have revised our lending guidelines as a result of a prolonged period of secondary market illiquidity to primarily originate loans that could readily be sold to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac or be insured.

Retail deposits increased to \$5.7 billion at September 30, 2009, as compared to \$5.4 billion at December 31, 2008.

Mortgage loans sold during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 totaled \$25.2 billion, an increase of \$3.1 billion from the \$22.1 billion sold during the same period in 2008. This increase reflects our \$2.6 billion increase in mortgage loan originations during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. We attribute this increase to a falling interest rate environment, resulting in an increase in demand for fixed-rate mortgage loans and an increase in market share. We sold 98.4% and 97.7% of our mortgage loan originations during the nine month generate environment and september 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

We use FHLB advances and security repurchase agreements to fund our daily operational liquidity needs and to assist in funding loan originations. We will continue to use these sources of funds as needed to supplement funds from deposits, loan and MSR sales and escrow accounts. We currently have an authorized line of credit equal to \$7.0 billion, which we may draw upon subject to providing a sufficient amount of loans as collateral. At September 30, 2009, we had available collateral sufficient to access \$5.3 billion of the line of which \$0.5 billion was still available at September 30, 2009. Such advances are usually repaid with the proceeds from the sale of mortgage loans or from alternative sources of financing.

At September 30, 2009, we had arrangements to enter into security repurchase agreements, a form of collateralized short-term borrowing, with multiple financial institutions (each of which is a primary dealer for Federal Reserve purposes). Because we borrow money under these agreements based on the fair value of our mortgage-backed securities, and because changes in interest rates can negatively impact the valuation of mortgage-backed securities, our borrowing ability under these agreements could be limited and lenders could initiate margin calls (i.e., require us to provide additional collateral) in the event interest rates change or the value of our mortgage-backed securities declines for other reasons. At September 30, 2009 and 2008, our security repurchase agreements totaled \$108.0 million. The security repurchase agreements were secured by \$112.3 million and \$119.6 million of U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities classified as securities available for sale at September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

At September 30, 2009, we had arrangements with the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago (FRB) to borrow as needed from its discount window. The amount we are allowed to borrow is based on the lendable value of the collateral that we provide. To collateralize the line, we pledge commercial loans that are eligible based on FRB guidelines. At September 30, 2009, we had pledged commercial loans amounting to \$747.7 million with a lendable value of \$456.7 million. At September 30, 2009, we had no borrowings outstanding against this line of credit.

At September 30, 2009, we had outstanding rate-lock commitments to lend \$2.7 billion in mortgage loans, along with no outstanding commitments to make other types of residential loans. As such commitments may expire without being drawn upon, they do not necessarily represent future cash commitments. Also, at September 30, 2009, we had outstanding commitments to sell \$3.2 billion of mortgage loans. We expect that our lending commitment will be funded within 90 days. Total commercial and consumer unused lines of credit totaled \$1.5 billion at September 30, 2009, including \$1.1 billion of unused warehouse lines of credit to various mortgage companies and \$180.5 million in undrawn commercial lines of credits. Also, there were \$229.9 million in undrawn home equity lines of credit and

\$9.8 million in undrawn lines of consumer credit contained within consumer loans at September 30, 2009. *Regulatory Capital Adequacy.* At September 30, 2009, the Bank exceeded all applicable bank regulatory minimum

capital requirements and was considered well capitalized. We are not subject to regulatory capital requirements. The Bank s regulatory capital includes proceeds from trust preferred securities that were issued in ten separate

private offerings to the capital markets and as to which \$299.0 million of such securities were outstanding at September 30, 2009.

#### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our exposure to interest rate risk arises from three distinctly managed mechanisms home lending, mortgage servicing, and structural balance sheet maturity or repricing mismatches.

In our home lending operations, we are exposed to market risk in the form of interest rate risk from the time we commit to an interest rate on a mortgage loan application through the time we sell, or commit to sell, the mortgage loans we expect to sell for delivery at a future date. The actual amount of loans sold will be a percentage of the amount of mortgage loans on which we have issued binding commitments (and thereby locked in the interest rate) but have not yet closed ( pipeline loans ) to actual closings. If interest rates change in an unanticipated fashion, the actual percentage of pipeline loans that close may differ from the projected percentage. A mismatch of our commitments to fund mortgage loans and our commitments to sell mortgage loans may have an adverse effect on the results of operations in any such period. For instance, a sudden increase in interest rates may cause a higher percentage of pipeline loans to close than we projected, and thereby exceed our commitments to sell that pipeline of loans. As a result, we could incur losses upon sale of these additional loans to the extent the market rate of interest is higher than the mortgage interest rate committed to by us on pipeline loans we had initially anticipated to close. To the extent that the hedging strategies utilized by us are not successful, our profitability may be adversely affected.

We also service residential mortgages for various external parties. We receive a service fee based on the unpaid balances of servicing rights as well as ancillary income (late fees, float on payments, etc.) as compensation for performing the servicing function. An increase in mortgage prepayments, as is often associated with declining interest rates, can lead to reduced values on capitalized mortgage servicing rights and ultimately reduced loan servicing revenues. In the first quarter of 2008, we began to specifically hedge the market risk associated with mortgage servicing rights using a portfolio of Treasury note futures and options. To the extent that the hedging strategies are not effective, our profitability associated with the mortgage servicing activity may be adversely affected.

In addition to the home lending and mortgage servicing operations, our banking operations may be exposed to market risk due to differences in the timing of the maturity or repricing of assets versus liabilities, as well as the potential shift in the yield curve. This risk is evaluated and managed on a company-wide basis using a net portfolio value ( NPV ) analysis framework. The NPV analysis is intended to estimate the net sensitivity of the fair value of the assets and liabilities to sudden and significant changes in the levels of interest rates.

## **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

(a) Disclosure Controls and Procedures. A review and evaluation was performed by our principal executive and financial officers regarding the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2009 pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based on that review and evaluation, the principal executive and financial officers have concluded that our current disclosure controls and procedures, as designed and implemented, are operating effectively.

(b) Changes in Internal Controls. During the quarter ended September 30, 2009, there has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by Rule 13a-15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

### **Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

None.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the risk factors previously disclosed in response to Item 1A to Part I of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, except as follows:

Current and further deterioration in the housing market, as well as the number of programs that have been introduced to address the situation by government agencies and government sponsored enterprises, may lead to increased costs to service loans which could affect our margins or impair the value of our mortgage servicing rights.

The housing and the residential mortgage markets have experienced a variety of difficulties and changed economic conditions. In response, federal and state government, as well as the government sponsored enterprises, have developed a number of programs and instituted a number of requirements on servicers in an effort to limit foreclosures and, in the case of the government sponsored enterprises, to minimize losses on loans that they guarantee or own. These additional programs and requirements may increase operating expenses or otherwise change the costs associated with servicing loans for others, which may result in lower margins or an impairment in the expected value of our mortgage servicing rights.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

## Sale of Unregistered Securities

On September 29, 2009, the Company and Joseph P. Campanelli entered into a purchase agreement, pursuant to which Mr. Campanelli will purchase 1,987,500 shares of the Company s common stock at a purchase price of \$1.05 per share (the closing price of the common stock on September 28, 2009) or, in the aggregate, \$2,086,875. Mr. Campanelli will purchase 375,000 shares of Common Stock after the effectiveness of the Employment Agreement, will purchase 150,000 shares of Common Stock on December 31, 2009, and will purchase 243,750 shares of Common Stock on each June 30 and December 31 in 2010, 2011 and 2012. The Common Stock was offered and sold, or will be sold, to Mr. Campanelli in an offering exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act ) under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

#### **Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

The Company made no purchases of its equity securities during the quarter ended September 30, 2009.

#### Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders None. Item 5. Other Information

None.



#### Item 6. Exhibits

## Exhibit No. Description

- 10.27\*+ Employment Agreement, dated September 29, 2009, between Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. and Joseph P. Campanelli (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated October 2, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference)
- 10.28\*+ Stock Award Agreement, dated October 20, 2009, between Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. and Joseph P. Campanelli (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated October 23, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference)
- 10.29\*+ Employment Agreement, dated October 23, 2009, between Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. and Salvatore J. Rinaldi (previously filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated October 28, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference)
- 10.30\*+ Form of Stock Award Agreement (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated October 28, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference)
- 11 Computation of Net Loss per Share
- 31.1 Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
- 31.2 Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer
- 32.1 Section 906 Certification, as furnished by the Chief Executive Officer
- 32.2 Section 906 Certification, as furnished by the Chief Financial Officer
- \* Incorporated herein by reference
- + Constitutes a management contract or compensation plan or arrangement

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

#### FLAGSTAR BANCORP, INC.

Date: November 9, 2009

/s/ Joseph P. Campanelli Joseph P. Campanelli Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer (Duly Authorized Officer)

/s/ Paul D. Borja Paul D. Borja Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) 60

## EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description			
10.27*+	Employment Agreement, dated September 29, 2009, between Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. and Joseph P. Campanelli (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated October 2, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference)			
10.28*+	Stock Award Agreement, dated October 20, 2009, between Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. and Joseph P. Campanelli (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated October 23, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference)			
10.29*+	Employment Agreement, dated October 23, 2009, between Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. and Salvatore J. Rinaldi (previously filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated October 28, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference)			
10.30*+ Form of Stock Award Agreement (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Current Rep Form 8-K, dated October 28, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference)				
11 Computation of Net Loss per Share				
31.1	Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer			
31.2	Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer			
32.1	Section 906 Certification, as furnished by the Chief Executive Officer			
32.2	Section 906 Certification, as furnished by the Chief Financial Officer			
* Incorpor herein by reference	y			
+ Constitu manager				

management contract or compensation plan or arrangement