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Item 1. Financial Statements
BRIDGE BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited)
(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 12,382 | \$ | 24,744 |
| Interest earning deposits with banks |  | 3,666 |  | 4,141 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents |  | 16,048 |  | 28,885 |
| Securities available for sale, at fair value |  | 262,277 |  | 310,695 |
| Securities held to maturity (fair value of \$44,177 and \$43,890, respectively) |  | 43,623 |  | 43,444 |
| Total securities, net |  | 305,900 |  | 354,139 |
| Securities, restricted |  | 1,205 |  | 3,800 |
| Loans |  | 446,562 |  | 429,683 |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | $(5,023)$ |  | $(3,953)$ |
| Loans, net |  | 441,539 |  | 425,730 |
| Premises and equipment, net |  | 19,608 |  | 18,377 |
| Accrued interest receivable |  | 3,424 |  | 3,626 |
| Other assets |  | 5,485 |  | 4,502 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 793,209 | \$ | 839,059 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits | \$ | 206,148 | \$ | 181,213 |
| Savings, NOW and money market deposits |  | 341,855 |  | 344,860 |
| Certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or more |  | 84,965 |  | 78,165 |
| Other time deposits |  | 66,739 |  | 54,847 |
| Total deposits |  | 699,707 |  | 659,085 |
| Federal funds purchased and Federal Home Loan Bank overnight borrowings |  | 10,000 |  | 70,900 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank term advances |  |  |  | 30,000 |
| Repurchase agreements |  | 15,000 |  | 15,000 |
| Accrued interest payable |  | 677 |  | 672 |
| Other liabilities and accrued expenses |  | 8,872 |  | 7,263 |
| Total Liabilities |  | 734,256 |  | 782,920 |

Stockholders equity:

Preferred stock, par value $\$ .01$ per share (2,000,000 shares authorized; none issued)
Common stock, par value $\$ .01$ per share:
Authorized: 20,000,000 shares; 6,386,306 issued; 6,219,978 and 6,184,080 shares
outstanding, respectively
Surplus $\mathbf{6 4}$
64
Surplus
41,453
Retained earnings
Less: Treasury Stock at cost, 166,328 and 202,226 shares, respectively

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):
Net unrealized gain on securities, net of deferred taxes of $(\$ 2,974)$ and $(\$ 2,250)$, respectively

4,517
Change in pension liabilities, net of deferred taxes of $\$ 1,032$ and $\$ 1,060$, respectively
$(1,524)$
$(1,566)$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Total Stockholders Equity } & \mathbf{5 8 , 9 5 3} & 56,139\end{array}$
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity $\quad \$ \quad \mathbf{7 9 3 , 2 0 9} \quad \$ \quad 839,059$
See accompanying condensed notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.
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BRIDGE BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Consolidated Statements of Income (unaudited)
(In thousands, except per share amounts)

|  | For the three months ended June 30, |  |  |  | For the six months ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Interest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans (including fee income) | \$ | 7,323 | \$ | 6,906 | \$ | 14,543 | \$ | 13,763 |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  | 2,816 |  | 1,875 |  | 5,854 |  | 3,476 |
| State and municipal obligations |  | 544 |  | 446 |  | 1,107 |  | 898 |
| U.S. GSE securities |  | 173 |  | 260 |  | 373 |  | 511 |
| Federal funds sold |  | 8 |  | 70 |  | 9 |  | 100 |
| Deposits with banks |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 4 |
| Total interest income |  | 10,864 |  | 9,558 |  | 21,887 |  | 18,752 |
| Interest expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings, NOW and money market deposits |  | 903 |  | 1,325 |  | 1,880 |  | 2,902 |
| Certificates of deposit of $\$ 100,000$ or more |  | 536 |  | 523 |  | 1,018 |  | 1,054 |
| Other time deposits |  | 395 |  | 254 |  | 755 |  | 577 |
| Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements |  | 101 |  | 120 |  | 221 |  | 231 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank Advances |  |  |  | 26 |  | 1 |  | 30 |
| Total interest expense |  | 1,935 |  | 2,248 |  | 3,875 |  | 4,794 |
| Net interest income |  | 8,929 |  | 7,310 |  | 18,012 |  | 13,958 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 1,400 |  | 325 |  | 2,300 |  | 525 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses |  | 7,529 |  | 6,985 |  | 15,712 |  | 13,433 |
| Non interest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts |  | 805 |  | 805 |  | 1,435 |  | 1,504 |
| Fees for other customer services |  | 415 |  | 437 |  | 741 |  | 775 |
| Title fee income |  | 153 |  | 317 |  | 360 |  | 695 |
| Net securities gains |  | 529 |  |  |  | 529 |  |  |
| Other operating income |  | 23 |  | 50 |  | 39 |  | 81 |
| Total non interest income |  | 1,925 |  | 1,609 |  | 3,104 |  | 3,055 |
| Non interest expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits |  | 3,488 |  | 3,081 |  | 7,100 |  | 6,139 |
| Net occupancy expense |  | 566 |  | 440 |  | 1,148 |  | 907 |
| Furniture and fixture expense |  | 253 |  | 204 |  | 479 |  | 410 |
| FDIC assessments |  | 676 |  | 64 |  | 955 |  | 83 |

Edgar Filing: BRIDGE BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| Other operating expenses |  | 1,467 |  | 1,494 |  | 2,857 |  | 2,733 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total non interest expense |  | 6,450 |  | 5,283 |  | 12,539 |  | 10,272 |
| Income before income taxes |  | 3,004 |  | 3,311 |  | 6,277 |  | 6,216 |
| Income tax expense |  | 981 |  | 1,076 |  | 2,045 |  | 2,011 |
| Net income | \$ | 2,023 | \$ | 2,235 | \$ | 4,232 | \$ | 4,205 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ | 0.33 | \$ | 0.37 | \$ | 0.69 | \$ | 0.69 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ | 0.33 | \$ | 0.37 | \$ | 0.69 | \$ | 0.69 |
| Comprehensive Income | \$ | 1,663 | \$ | (583) | \$ | 5,374 | \$ | 3,633 |

See accompanying condensed notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.
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## BRIDGE BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Consolidated Statements Stockholders Equity (unaudited)
(In thousands, except per share amounts)

|  | Common Stock |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Surplus } \\ & \mathbf{\$ 2 0 , 4 5 2} \end{aligned}$ | Comprehensive Income | Retained <br> Earnings <br> \$ 40,081 | Treasury Stock \$ $(6,309)$ | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | + | 64 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,851 | \$ 56,139 |
| Net income |  |  |  | 4,232 | 4,232 |  |  |  | 4,232 |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Stock awards granted |  |  | (920) |  |  | 920 |  |  |  |
| Vesting of stock awards |  |  | (1) |  |  |  |  |  | (1) |
| Exercise of stock options, including tax benefit |  |  | 173 |  |  | 165 |  |  | 338 |
| Shares surrendered with the vesting of restricted stock and exercising stock options |  |  |  |  |  | (342) |  |  | (342) |
| Share based compensation expense |  |  | 304 |  |  |  |  |  | 304 |
| Cash dividend declared, \$0.46 per share |  |  |  |  | $(2,860)$ |  |  |  | $(2,860)$ |
| Other comprehensive income, net of deferred taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change in unrealized net gains in securities available for sale, net of deferred tax effects |  |  |  | 1,100 |  |  |  | 1,100 | 1,100 |
| Adjustment to pension liability, net of deferred taxes |  |  |  | 42 |  |  |  | 42 | 42 |
| Comprehensive Income |  |  |  | 5,374 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at June 30, 2009 | \$ | 64 | \$ 20,008 |  | \$ 41,453 | \$ $(5,565)$ | \$ | 2,993 | \$ 58,953 |

See accompanying condensed notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.
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## BRIDGE BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY <br> Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) <br> (In thousands)

| Six months ended June 30, | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 2008 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Operating activities: <br> Net Income | $\mathbf{4 , 2 3 2}$ | $\$$ |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  | 4,205 |
| Provision for loan losses | $\mathbf{2 , 3 0 0}$ | 525 |
| Depreciation and amortization | $\mathbf{6 9 5}$ | 594 |
| Amortization and (accretion), net | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $(23)$ |
| Share based compensation expense | $\mathbf{3 0 4}$ | 197 |
| SERP expense | $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ | 83 |
| Net securities gains | $\mathbf{( 5 2 9 )}$ |  |
| Decrease (increase) in accrued interest receivable | $\mathbf{2 0 2}$ | $(393)$ |
| Increase in other assets | $\mathbf{( 9 8 3 )}$ | $(1,463)$ |
| Increase in accrued and other liabilities | $\mathbf{7 8 4}$ | 2,951 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | $\mathbf{7 , 1 8 2}$ | 6,676 |

## Investing Activities:

Purchases of securities available for sale $\quad \mathbf{( 2 1 , 9 1 0 )}$
Purchases of FHLB stock $\quad(\mathbf{1 9 , 5 1 4})$
Purchases of securities held to maturity
Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale
$(26,113)$

Redemption of FHLB stock
13,087

Maturities and calls of securities available for sale
22,109
22,505

Maturities of securities held to maturity
27,230
25,215

Principal payments on mortgage-backed securities
22,683
5,234

Net increase in loans
35,578
14,072
Purchase of premises and equipment -1020
Purchase of premises and equipment
$(1,926)$
(552)

Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities
33,115

## Financing Activities:

Net increase in deposits
40,622
108,886
Net (decrease) increase in federal funds purchased and FHLB overnight borrowings
$(60,900)$
10,300
Net decrease in FHLB term advances
$(30,000)$
$(10,000)$
Net decrease in repurchase agreements $(10,000)$
Proceeds from issuance of common stock
1
Repurchase of surrendered stock from exercise of stock options and vesting of
restricted stock awards
Cash dividends paid
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities
$(53,134)$
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents ..... $(12,837)$ ..... 19,707
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period ..... 28,885 ..... 14,348
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period ..... \$ 16,048 \$ 34,055
Supplemental Information-Cash Flows:
Cash paid for:

| Interest | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 7 0}$ | $\$$ | 4,822 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Income tax | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 5}$ | $\$$ | 2,030 |Noncash investing and financing activities:Securities which settled in the subsequent period \$ \$ 3,000Dividends declared and unpaid at end of period\$ 1,431 \$ 1,413See accompanying condensed notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

# BRIDGE BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY <br> CONDENSED NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> (unaudited) 

## 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Bridge Bancorp, Inc. (the Company ) is incorporated under the laws of the State of New York as a bank holding company. The Company s business currently consists of the operations of its wholly-owned subsidiary, The Bridgehampton National Bank (the Bank ). The Bank s operations include its real estate investment trust subsidiary, Bridgehampton Community, Inc. ( BCI ) and a financial title insurance subsidiary, Bridge Abstract LLC ( Bridge Abstract ).
The accompanying Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements, which include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, the Bank, have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. The Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements included herein reflect all normal recurring adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods presented. In preparing the interim financial statements, management has made estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expense during the reported periods. Such estimates are subject to change in the future as additional information becomes available or previously existing circumstances are modified. Actual future results could differ significantly from those estimates. The annualized results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that may be expected for the entire fiscal year. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in the financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year amounts, and the related discussion and analysis, to conform to the current year presentation. The Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

## 2. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share, which reflect the potential dilution that could occur if outstanding stock options were exercised and dilutive stock awards were fully vested and resulted in the issuance of common stock that then shared in the earnings of the Company, is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares and common stock equivalents.
Computation of Per Share Income
(in thousands, except per share data)

|  | Three months ended June 30, |  |  |  | Six months ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Net Income | \$ | 2,023 | \$ | 2,235 | \$ | 4,232 | \$ | 4,205 |
| Common Equivalent Shares: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding |  | 6,091 |  | 6,076 |  | 6,090 |  | 6,076 |
| Weighted Average Common Equivalent Shares |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outstanding |  | 30 |  | 18 |  | 19 |  | 18 |
| Weighted Average Common and Equivalent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shares Outstanding |  | 6,121 |  | 6,094 |  | 6,109 |  | 6,094 |


| Basic Earnings per Share | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 3}$ | $\$$ | 0.37 | $\$$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 9}$ | $\$$ | 0.69 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diluted Earnings per Share | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 3}$ | $\$$ | 0.37 | $\$$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 9}$ | $\$$ | 0.69 |

There were approximately 55,505 and 61,705 options outstanding at June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008, respectively, that were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the options exercise prices were greater than the average market price of common stock and were, therefore, antidilutive. There were approximately 17,500 and 59,070 shares of unvested restricted stock at June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008, respectively, that were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because they were antidilutive.
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## 3. REPURCHASE STOCK

The Company s Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program on March 27, 2006 that authorized the repurchase of up to 309,000 shares or approximately $5 \%$ of its total issued and outstanding common shares. These shares can be purchased from time to time in the open market or through private purchases, depending on market conditions, availability of stock, the trading price of the stock, alternative uses for capital, and the Company s financial performance. Repurchased shares are held in the Company streasury account and may be utilized for general corporate purposes.
For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008, the Company did not repurchase any of its common shares. At June 30, 2009, 167,041 shares were available for repurchase under the Board approved program.

## 4. STOCK BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors determines stock options and restricted stock awarded under the Bridge Bancorp, Inc. Equity Incentive Plan ( Plan ) and the Company accounts for this plan under FAS 123(R). No new grants of stock options were awarded during the six months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008. Compensation expense attributable to stock options was $\$ 10,000$ and $\$ 21,000$ for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, and $\$ 10,000$ and $\$ 18,000$ for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively.
The intrinsic value for stock options is calculated based on the exercise price of the underlying awards and the market price of our common stock as of the reporting date. The intrinsic value of options exercised during the second quarter of 2009 and 2008 was $\$ 175,000$ and $\$ 0$, respectively. The intrinsic value of options outstanding and exercisable at June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008 was $\$ 149,000$ and $\$ 225,000$, respectively.
A summary of the status of the Company s stock options as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2009 is as follows:

Outstanding, December 31, 2008

|  |  | Weighted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number <br> of | Weighted <br> Average <br> Exercise <br> Average | Remaining <br> Contractual | Aggregate <br> Intrinsic <br> Options |
| 81,205 | $\$$ | 22.67 | Life |

Granted
Exercised
17.40

Forfeited
Expired

|  |  |  | 6.35 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding, June 30, 2009 | 61,805 | $\$$ | 24.32 | years <br> 6.28 | $\$ 187,111$ |
| Vested or expected to vest | 58,250 | $\$$ | 24.27 | years <br> 5.96 | $\$ 180,106$ |
| Exercisable, June 30, 2009 | 41,995 | $\$$ | 23.86 | years | $\$ 149,060$ |

Range of Exercise Prices

| Number <br> of <br> Options | Exercise <br> Price |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,800 | $\$$ | 12.53 |
| 4,500 | $\$$ | 15.47 |
| 5,659 | $\$$ | 24.00 |
| 44,443 | $\$$ | 25.25 |
| 3,000 | $\$$ | 26.55 |

$$
2,403 \quad \$ \quad 30.60
$$

61,805
During the six months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008, restricted stock awards of 29,392 shares and 42,470 shares were granted, respectively. These awards vest over five years with a third vesting after three years, four years and five years from the date of grant. Compensation expense attributable to restricted stock awards was $\$ 134,000$ and $\$ 272,000$ for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, and $\$ 107,000$ and $\$ 177,000$ for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively.
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A summary of the status of the Company s unvested restricted stock as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2009 is as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrr} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Weighted } \\ \text { Average }\end{array} \\ \text { Grant-Date }\end{array}\right]$

In April 2009, the Company adopted a Directors Deferred Compensation Plan. Under the Plan, independent directors may elect to defer all or a portion of their annual retainer fee in the form of restricted stock units. These restricted stock units vest ratably over one year and have dividend rights but no voting rights. In connection with this Plan, the Company recorded an expense of approximately $\$ 11,000$ for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009.

## 5. SECURITIES

The following table summarizes the amortized cost and fair value of the available-for-sale and held-to-maturity investment securities portfolio at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 and the corresponding amounts of unrealized gains and losses therein:

| (In thousands) | June 30, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost |  | Gross Unrealized Gains |  | Gross Unrealized Losses |  | Estimated Fair Value |  |
| Available for sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. GSE securities |  |  | \$ | 23,555 | \$ | 208 | \$ | (43) | \$ | 23,720 |
| State and municipal obligations |  | 41,811 |  | 1,219 |  | (42) |  | 42,988 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 189,420 |  | 6,181 |  | (32) |  | 195,569 |
| Total available for sale |  | 254,786 |  | 7,608 |  | (117) |  | 262,277 |
| Held to maturity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State and municipal obligation |  | 27,576 |  | 82 |  | (117) |  | 27,541 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 16,047 |  | 589 |  |  |  | 16,636 |
| Total held to maturity |  | 43,623 |  | 671 |  | (117) |  | 44,177 |
| Total securities | \$ | 298,409 | \$ | 8,279 | \$ | (234) | \$ | 306,454 |

December 31, 2008
Gross Gross Estimated

| (In thousands) | Amortized Cost |  | Unrealized Gains |  | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair <br> Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Available for sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. GSE securities | \$ | 29,855 | \$ | 306 | \$ | (27) | \$ | 30,134 |
| State and municipal obligations |  | 47,848 |  | 840 |  | (100) |  | 48,588 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 227,325 |  | 4,731 |  | (83) |  | 231,973 |
| Total available for sale |  | 305,028 |  | 5,877 |  | (210) |  | 310,695 |
| Held to maturity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State and municipal obligation |  | 24,153 |  | 68 |  | (4) |  | 24,217 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 19,291 |  | 382 |  |  |  | 19,673 |
| Total held to maturity |  | 43,444 |  | 450 |  | (4) |  | 43,890 |
| Total securities | \$ | 348,472 | \$ | \$ 6,327 | \$ | (214) | \$ | 354,585 |
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The following table sets forth the fair value, amortized costs and maturities at June 30, 2009. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

|  | June 30, 2009 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In thousands) | Amortized Cost |  | Fair Value |  |
| Maturity |  |  |  |  |
| Available for sale: |  |  |  |  |
| Within one year | \$ | 7,912 | \$ | 8,028 |
| One to five years |  | 49,227 |  | 50,209 |
| Five to ten years |  | 47,914 |  | 49,147 |
| Beyond ten years |  | 149,733 |  | 154,893 |
| Total | \$ | 254,786 | \$ | 262,277 |
| Held to maturity: |  |  |  |  |
| Within one year | \$ | 9,331 | \$ | 9,379 |
| One to five years |  | 17,716 |  | 17,641 |
| Five to ten years |  | 529 |  | 521 |
| Beyond ten years |  | 16,047 |  | 16,636 |
| Total | \$ | 43,623 | \$ | 44,177 |

Securities with unrealized losses at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, aggregated by category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, are as follows:

June 30, 2009
(In thousands)
Available for sale:
U.S. GSE securities

State and municipal obligations
Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations

Total available for sale

Held to maturity:
State and municipal obligations
Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations

Total held to maturity

| December 31, 2008(In thousands) | Less than 12 months |  |  |  | Greater than 12 months |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair |  | Unrealized |  |  |  | Unrealized |  |  |  | Unrealized |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Fair Value |  |  |  | Fair Value |  |  |
| Available for sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. GSE securities | \$ | 4,319 | \$ | 27 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 4,319 | \$ | 27 |
| State and municipal obligations |  | 2,160 |  | 51 |  | 701 |  | 49 |  | 2,861 |  | 100 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 17,224 |  | 64 |  | 1,529 |  | 19 |  | 18,753 |  | 83 |
| Total available for sale |  | 23,703 | \$ | 142 | \$ | 2,230 | \$ | 68 | \$ | 25,933 | \$ | 210 |
| Held to maturity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State and municipal obligations | \$ | 3,996 | \$ | 4 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 3,996 | \$ | 4 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total held to maturity |  | 3,996 | \$ | 4 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 3,996 | \$ | 4 |
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## Other-Than-Temporary-Impairment

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment ( OTTI ) at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. The investment securities portfolio is evaluated for OTTI by segregating the portfolio into two general segments and applying the appropriate OTTI model. Investment securities classified as available for sale or held-to-maturity are generally evaluated for OTTI under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities. In determining OTTI under the SFAS No. 115 model, management considers many factors, including: (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, (3) whether the market decline was affected by macroeconomic conditions, and (4) whether the Company has the intent to sell the debt security or more likely than not will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery. The assessment of whether an other-than-temporary decline exists involves a high degree of subjectivity and judgment and is based on the information available to management at a point in time. At June 30, 2009, the majority of unrealized losses are related to the Company s State and municipal obligations. The securities represent New York State and local municipalities with underlying ratings of A or better and include municipal bond insurance. Because the decline in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and because the Company does not have the intent to sell these securities and it is likely that it will not be required to sell the securities before their anticipated recovery, the Company does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2009.
Proceeds from sales and calls of securities available for sale were $\$ 12.6$ million and $\$ 2.0$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Proceeds from sales and calls of securities available for sale were $\$ 32.6$ million and $\$ 2.4$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Gross gains of $\$ 0.5$ million were realized on these sales during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. There were no securities gains or losses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008.
Securities having a fair value of approximately $\$ 151.4$ million and $\$ 276.0$ million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits and Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank overnight borrowings. The Bank did not hold any trading securities during the six months ended June 30, 2009 or the year ended December 31, 2008.
The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank ( FHLB ) of New York. Members are required to own a particular amount of stock based on the level of borrowings and other factors, and may invest in additional amounts. The Bank is also a member of the Federal Reserve Bank ( FRB ) system and required to own FRB stock. FHLB and FRB stock is carried at cost and periodically evaluated for impairment based on ultimate recovery of par value. Both cash and stock dividends are reported as income. The Bank owned approximately $\$ 1.2$ million in FHLB and FRB stock at June 30, 2009 and $\$ 3.8$ million at December 31, 2008, respectively and reported these amounts as restricted securities in the consolidated balance sheets.

## 6. ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 157 defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. SFAS No. 157 also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair values:
Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.
Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.
Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity s own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

The fair value of securities available for sale is determined by obtaining quoted prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1 inputs) or matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs).
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Assets and Liabilities Measured on a Recurring Basis:


Fair value estimates are made at specific points in time and are based on existing on-and off-balance sheet financial instruments. Such estimates are generally subjective in nature and dependent upon a number of significant
assumptions associated with each financial instrument or group of financial instruments, including estimates of discount rates, risks associated with specific financial instruments, estimates of future cash flows, and relevant available market information. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates. In addition, fair value estimates do not reflect the value of anticipated future business, premiums or discounts that could result from offering for sale at one time the Bank s entire holdings of a particular financial instrument, or the tax consequences of realizing gains or losses on the sale of financial instruments.
The Company used the following method and assumptions in estimating the fair value of its financial instruments: Cash and Due from Banks and Federal Funds Sold: Carrying amounts approximate fair value, since these instruments are either payable on demand or have short-term maturities.
Securities Available for Sale and Held to Maturity: The estimated fair values are based on independent dealer quotations on nationally recognized securities exchanges or matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities relationship to other benchmark quoted securities.
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Restricted Stock: It is not practicable to determine the fair value of FHLB and FRB stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability.
Loans: The estimated fair values of real estate mortgage loans and other loans receivable are based on discounted cash flow calculations that use available market benchmarks when establishing discount factors for the types of loans. All nonaccrual loans are carried at their current fair value. Exceptions may be made for adjustable rate loans (with resets of one year or less), which would be discounted straight to their rate index plus or minus an appropriate spread.
Deposits: The estimated fair value of certificates of deposits are based on discounted cash flow calculations that use a replacement cost of funds approach to establishing discount rates for certificates of deposits maturities. Stated value is fair value for all other deposits.
Borrowed Funds and Brokered Deposits: The estimated fair value of borrowed funds and wholesale certificates of deposit are based on discounted cash flow calculations that use a replacement cost of funds approach to establishing discount rates for funding maturities.
Accrued Interest Receivable and Payable: For these short-term instruments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of the fair value.
Off-Balance-Sheet Liabilities: The fair value of off-balance-sheet commitments to extend credit is estimated using fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements. The fair value is immaterial as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.
The estimated fair values and recorded carrying values of the Bank sfinancial instruments are as follows:

| (In thousands) | June 30, 2009 |  |  |  | December 31, 2008 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying Amount |  | Fair Value |  | Carrying Amount |  | Fair Value |  |
| Financial Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 12,382 | \$ | 12,382 | \$ | 24,744 | \$ | 24,744 |
| Interest bearing deposits with banks |  | 3,666 |  | 3,666 |  | 4,141 |  | 4,141 |
| Securities available for sale |  | 262,277 |  | 262,277 |  | 310,695 |  | 310,695 |
| Securities restricted |  | 1,205 |  |  |  | 3,800 |  |  |
| Securities held to maturity |  | 43,623 |  | 44,176 |  | 43,444 |  | 43,890 |
| Loans, net |  | 441,539 |  | 450,458 |  | 425,730 |  | 437,265 |
| Accrued interest receivable |  | 3,424 |  | 3,424 |  | 3,626 |  | 3,626 |
| Financial liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand and other deposits |  | 699,707 |  | 701,186 |  | 659,085 |  | 660,176 |
| Federal funds purchased and Federal Home Loan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank overnight borrowings |  | 10,000 |  | 9,998 |  | 70,900 |  | 70,882 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank term advances |  |  |  |  |  | 30,000 |  | 29,998 |
| Repurchase agreements |  | 15,000 |  | 16,014 |  | 15,000 |  | 15,368 |
| Accrued interest payable |  | 677 |  | 677 |  | 672 |  | 672 |
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## 7. LOANS

The following table sets forth the major classifications of loans:

| (In thousands) | June 30, 2009 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial real estate mortgage loans | \$ | 217,797 | \$ | 199,156 |
| Residential real estate mortgage loans |  | 141,351 |  | 139,342 |
| Commercial, financial, and agricultural loans |  | 67,167 |  | 63,468 |
| Installment/consumer loans |  | 10,912 |  | 11,081 |
| Real estate-construction loans |  | 9,087 |  | 16,370 |
| Total loans |  | 446,314 |  | 429,417 |
| Net deferred loan costs and fees |  | 248 |  | 266 |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | $\begin{gathered} 446,562 \\ (5,023) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 429,683 \\ (3,953) \end{array}$ |
| Net loans | \$ | 441,539 | \$ | 425,730 |

The principal business of the Bank is lending, primarily in commercial real estate loans, residential mortgage loans, construction loans, home equity loans, commercial and industrial loans, land loans and consumer loans. The Bank considers its primary lending area to be eastern Long Island in Suffolk County, New York, and a substantial portion of the Bank s loans are secured by real estate in this area. Accordingly, the ultimate collectibility of such a loan portfolio is susceptible to changes in market and economic conditions in this region.
Nonaccrual loans at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were $\$ 2.3$ million and $\$ 3.1$ million, respectively. There were no loans 90 days or more past due that were still accruing at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.
As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company had impaired loans as defined by SFAS No. 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 5 and 15 ( SFAS 114 ) of $\$ 4.8$ million and $\$ 5.7$ million, respectively. Impaired loans include individually classified nonaccrual loans and trouble debt restructured ( TDR ) loans. Recognition of interest income on impaired loans is discontinued when reasonable doubt exists as to the ultimate collectability of the interest and principal of the loan. The TDR loans of $\$ 3.2$ million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are current and are secured with collateral that has a fair value of approximately $\$ 5.4$ million as well as personal guarantors. Management believes that the ultimate collection of principal and interest is reasonably assured and therefore continues to recognize interest income on an accrual basis. In addition, the Bank has no commitment to lend additional funds to this debtor. The average recorded investment in the impaired loan during the six months ended June 30,2009 was $\$ 5.7$ million and was $\$ 0.8$ million for the year ended December 31, 2008. There were no impaired loans as of June 30, 2008. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, there was no specifically allocated allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans.
The Bank had no foreclosed real estate at June 30, 2009, December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2008, respectively.

## 8. ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The Company monitors its entire loan portfolio on a regular basis, with consideration given to detailed analyses of classified loans, repayment patterns, probable incurred losses, past loss experience, current economic conditions, and various types of concentrations of credit. Additions to the allowance are charged to expense and realized losses, net of recoveries, are charged to the allowance. Based on the determination of management and the Classification Committee, the overall level of reserves is periodically adjusted to account for the inherent and specific risks within the entire portfolio. Based on the Classification Committee s review of the classified loans and the overall reserve levels as they relate to the entire loan portfolio at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company determined the allowance for loan losses to be adequate.
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The following table sets forth changes in the allowance for loan losses:

| (In thousands) | For the Six Months Ended |  |  |  | For the Year Ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2009 |  | June 30, 2008 |  | December 31, 2008 |  |
| Beginning balance | \$ | 3,953 | \$ | 2,954 | \$ | 2,954 |
| Provision for loan loss |  | 2,300 |  | 525 |  | 2,000 |
| Net charge-offs |  | $(1,230)$ |  | (155) |  | $(1,001)$ |
| Ending balance | \$ | 5,023 | \$ | 3,324 | \$ | 3,953 |

## 9. EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

The Bank maintains a noncontributory pension plan through the New York State Bankers Association Retirement System covering all eligible employees.
The Bridgehampton National Bank Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ( SERP ) provides benefits to certain employees, as recommended by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors and approved by the full Board of Directors, whose benefits under the Pension Plan are limited by the applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code. The benefit under the SERP is equal to the additional amount the employee would be entitled to under the Pension Plan and the 401(k) Plan in the absence of such Internal Revenue Code limitations. The assets of the SERP are held in a rabbi trust to maintain the tax-deferred status of the plan and are subject to the general, unsecured creditors of the Company. As a result, the assets of the trust are reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets of the Company. The effective date of the SERP was January 1, 2001.
The Company made a $\$ 400,000$ contribution to the pension plan during the six months ended June 30, 2009. There were no contributions made to the SERP during the six months ended June 30, 2009. The Company does not anticipate making any additional contributions to the pension plan or the SERP through the end of the year.
The Company s funding policy with respect to its benefit plans is to contribute at least the minimum amounts required by applicable laws and regulations.
The following table sets forth the components of net periodic benefit cost and other amounts recognized in Other Comprehensive Income:
(In thousands)
Service cost
Interest cost
Expected return on plan assets
Amortization of net loss
Amortization of unrecognized prior service cost
Amortization of unrecognized transition obligation

$2 \quad 2$

| Pension Benefits |  | SERP Benefits |  |  |  | Pension Benefits |  |  |  | SERP Benefits |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2009$ | 2008 | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| \$ 120 | \$ 110 | \$ | 40 | \$ | 18 | \$ | 238 | \$ | 220 | \$ | 80 | \$ | 35 |
| 80 | 70 |  | 15 |  | 12 |  | 158 |  | 139 |  | 29 |  | 24 |
| (129) | (124) |  |  |  |  |  | (256) |  | (247) |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 44 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |
| 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |

Net periodic benefit cost

| $\$$ | 95 | $\$$ | 58 | $\$$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\$$ | 36 | $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 8 8}$ | $\$$ | 116 | $\$$ | 130 | $\$$ | 72 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## 10. SECURITIES SOLD UNDER AGREEMENTS TO REPURCHASE

At June 30, 2009, December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2008 securities sold under agreements to repurchase totaled $\$ 15.0$ million and were secured by mortgage-backed securities with a carrying amount of $\$ 21.5$ million, $\$ 23.4$ million and $\$ 21.5$ million, respectively.
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are financing arrangements with $\$ 5.0$ million maturing during the first quarter of 2013 and $\$ 10.0$ million maturing during the first quarter of 2015. At maturity, the securities underlying the
agreements are returned to the Company.

Page 13

## Table of Contents

Information concerning the securities sold under agreements to repurchase is summarized as follows:

|  | For the six months ended |  |  | For the year ended <br> December 31, |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | June 30, 2009 | June 30, 2008 | 2008 |  |  |  |
| Average daily balance | $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$$ | 11,346 | $\$$ | 13,183 |
| Average interest rate | $\mathbf{2 . 3 4 \%}$ |  | $2.27 \%$ | $2.39 \%$ |  |  |
| Maximum month-end balance | $\mathbf{1 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$$ | 15,000 | $\$$ | 15,000 |  |
| Weighted average interest rate | $\mathbf{2 . 3 4 \%}$ | $2.27 \%$ | $2.39 \%$ |  |  |  |

## 11. FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK ADVANCES AND OVERNIGHT BORROWINGS

As of June 30, 2009, there were no term advances or overnight borrowings outstanding from the Federal Home Loan Bank. As of December 31, 2008, there was one term advance from the Federal Home Loan Bank for $\$ 30.0$ million with a fixed interest rate of $0.49 \%$ that matured in January 2009. The term advance was payable at its maturity date and was subject to a prepayment penalty. The term advance was collateralized by $\$ 35.3$ million of mortgage-backed securities as of December 31, 2008. In addition to the term advance, there was $\$ 34.9$ million of overnight borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank outstanding as of December 31, 2008. The overnight borrowings were collateralized by $\$ 15.8$ million of securities and a blanket lien on residential mortgages as of December 31, 2008. As of June 30, 2008 there was $\$ 17.3$ million of overnight borrowings outstanding from the Federal Home Loan Bank. The overnight borrowings were collateralized by a blanket lien on residential mortgages as of June 30, 2008.

## 12. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

As defined in FAS 165, subsequent events are events or transactions that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or available to be issued. Financial statements are considered issued when they are widely distributed to shareholders and other financial statement users for general use and reliance in a form and format that complies with GAAP. The Company has evaluated subsequent events through August 6, 2009, which is the date that the Company s financial statements are being issued.

## Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Private Securities Litigation Reform Act Safe Harbor Statement

This report may contain statements relating to the future results of the Company (including certain projections and business trends) that are considered forward-looking statements as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the PSLRA ). Such forward-looking statements, in addition to historical information, which involve risk and uncertainties, are based on the beliefs, assumptions and expectations of management of the Company. Words such as expects, believes, should, plans, anticipates, will, potential, could, intend, may, outlook, estimates, assumes, likely, and variations of such similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-lookin statements. Examples of forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, possible or assumed estimates with respect to the financial condition, expected or anticipated revenue, and results of operations and business of the Company, including earnings growth; revenue growth in retail banking, lending and other areas; origination volume in the Company s consumer, commercial and other lending businesses; current and future capital management programs; non-interest income levels, including fees from the abstract subsidiary and banking services as well as product sales; tangible capital generation; market share; expense levels; and other business operations and strategies. For this presentation, the Company claims the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the PSLRA.
Factors that could cause future results to vary from current management expectations include, but are not limited to: changes in economic conditions including an economic recession that could affect the value of real estate collateral and the ability for borrowers to repay their loans; legislative and regulatory changes, including increases in FDIC insurance rates; monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government; changes in tax policies, rates and regulations of federal, state and local tax authorities; changes in interest rates; deposit flows; the cost of funds; demand for loan products and other financial services; competition; changes in the quality and composition of the Bank s loan and investment portfolios; changes in management s business strategies; changes in accounting principles, policies or guidelines; changes in real estate values and other factors discussed elsewhere in this report, factors set forth under

Item 1A., Risk Factors, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 and in other reports filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The forward-looking statements are made as of the date of this report, and the Company assumes no obligation to update the forward-looking statements or to update the reasons why actual results could differ from those projected in the forward-looking statements.
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## Overview

## Who We Are and How We Generate Income

Bridge Bancorp, Inc. ( the Company ), a New York corporation, is a bank holding company formed in 1989. On a parent-only basis, the Company has had minimal results of operations. The Company is dependent on dividends from its wholly owned subsidiary, The Bridgehampton National Bank ( the Bank ), its own earnings, additional capital raised, and borrowings as sources of funds. The information in this report reflects principally the financial condition and results of operations of the Bank. The Bank s results of operations are primarily dependent on its net interest income, which is mainly the difference between interest income on loans and investments and interest expense on deposits and borrowings. The Bank also generates non interest income, such as fee income on deposit accounts and merchant credit and debit card processing programs, income from its title abstract subsidiary, and net gains on sales of securities and loans. The level of its non interest expenses, such as salaries and benefits, occupancy and equipment costs, other general and administrative expenses, expenses from its title insurance subsidiary, and income tax expense, further affects the Bank s net income. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year amounts and the related discussion and analysis to conform to the current year presentation.

## Quarterly Highlights

Net income of $\$ 2.0$ million or $\$ .33$ per diluted share, including an expense of $\$ .4$ million or $\$ .04$ per diluted share for a FDIC special assessment for the second quarter of 2009, as compared to net income of $\$ 2.2$ million or $\$ .37$ per diluted share for the second quarter in 2008.
Returns on average assets and equity of $.99 \%$ and $14.72 \%$, respectively, which includes the effect of the FDIC special assessment.
Net interest income increased to $\$ 8.9$ million for the second quarter of 2009 compared to $\$ 7.3$ million in 2008. A net interest margin of $4.78 \%$ for the second quarter of 2009 compared to $4.76 \%$ for 2008.
Total loans at June 30, 2009 of $\$ 446.6$ million, an increase of $\$ 16.9$ million or $3.9 \%$ over December 31, 2008 and an increase of $\$ 46.8$ million or $11.7 \%$ over June 30, 2008.
Total assets of $\$ 793.2$ million at June 30, 2009, a decrease of $\$ 45.9$ million or $5.5 \%$ compared to December 31, 2008 and an increase of $\$ 83.0$ million or $11.7 \%$ compared to June $30,2008$.
Deposits of $\$ 699.7$ million, an increase of $\$ 40.6$ million or $6.2 \%$ over December 31, 2008 and an increase of $\$ 81.9$ million or $13.3 \%$ compared to June 30, 2008 levels.
The opening of a $15^{\text {th }}$ branch, in Shirley, NY.
The addition of the Company s shares to the Russell 3000 stock market index.
Announced a Dividend Reinvestment Plan and declared quarterly dividend of $\$ .23$ per share.
Principal Products and Services and Locations of Operations
The Bank operates fifteen branches on eastern Long Island. Federally chartered in 1910, the Bank was founded by local farmers and merchants. For nearly a century, the Bank has maintained its focus on building customer relationships in this market area. The mission of the Company is to grow through the provision of exceptional service to its customers, its employees, and the community. The Company strives to achieve excellence in financial performance and build long term shareholder value. The Bank engages in full service commercial and consumer banking business, including accepting time, savings and demand deposits from the consumers, businesses and local municipalities surrounding its branch offices. These deposits, together with funds generated from operations and borrowings, are invested primarily in: (1) commercial real estate loans; (2) home equity loans; (3) construction loans; (4) residential mortgage loans; (5) secured and unsecured commercial and consumer loans; (6) FHLB, FNMA, GNMA and FHLMC mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations; (7) New York State and local municipal obligations; and (8) U.S. government sponsored entity ( U.S. GSE ) securities. The Bank also offers the CDARS program, providing up to $\$ 50,000,000$ of FDIC insurance to its customers. In addition, the Bank offers merchant credit and debit card processing, automated teller machines, cash management services, lockbox processing, online banking services, safe deposit boxes, individual retirement accounts and investment services through Bridge Investment Services, offering a full range of investment products and services through a third party broker dealer. Through its title insurance abstract subsidiary, the Bank acts as a broker for title insurance services. The Bank s customer base is comprised principally of small businesses, municipal relationships and consumer relationships.
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## Significant Events

On February 27, 2009, the FDIC issued a final rule, effective April 1, 2009, to change the way that the FDIC s assessment system differentiates for risk and to set new assessment rates beginning with the second quarter of 2009. In May 2009, the FDIC issued a final rule to impose an emergency special assessment of 5 basis points on all banks based on their total assets less tier one capital as of June 30, 2009. The special assessment is payable on September 30, 2009. During the second quarter of 2009 , the Company recorded an expense of $\$ 375,000$ related to the FDIC special assessment.

## Opportunities and Challenges

The economic and competitive landscape has changed dramatically over the past two years. Recognizing that our market areas are generally affluent, large money center banks increasingly meet their funding needs by aggressively pricing deposits in the Bank s markets. Competition for deposits and loans is intense as various banks in the marketplace, large and small, promise excellent service yet often price their products aggressively. Deposit growth is essential to the Bank s ability to increase earnings; therefore branch expansion and building share in our existing markets remain key strategic goals. Controlling funding costs yet protecting the deposit base along with focusing on profitable growth, presents a unique set of challenges in this operating environment.
Since the second half of 2007 and continuing through 2009, the financial markets experienced significant volatility resulting from the continued fallout of sub-prime lending and the global liquidity crises. A multitude of government initiatives along with eight rate cuts by the Federal Reserve totaling 500 basis points have been designed to improve liquidity for the distressed financial markets. The ultimate objective of these efforts has been to help the beleaguered consumer, and reduce the potential surge of residential mortgage loan foreclosures and stabilize the banking system. Despite these actions, many of our competitors, due to liquidity concerns, have not yet fully adjusted their deposit pricing. This contrasts with the impact on assets where yields on loans and securities have declined. The squeeze between declining asset yields and more slowly declining liability pricing could impact margins.
Growth and service strategies have the potential to offset the tighter net interest margin with volume as the customer base grows through expanding the Bank s footprint, while maintaining and developing existing relationships. Since 2007, the Bank has opened four new branches. In January 2007, the Bank opened in the Village of Southampton; in February 2007, in Cutchogue; and in September 2007, the Bank opened a new branch in Wading River. In April 2009, the Bank opened a new branch in Shirley, New York. The opening of the branch facilities in Wading River and Shirley, move the Bank geographically westward and demonstrate our commitment to traditional growth through branch expansion.
In April 2008, the Bank received approval from the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ( OCC ) and expects that the opening of the new full service branch facility in the Village of East Hampton will be a fourth quarter 2009 event. In addition, in November 2008, the Bank received OCC approval to open a branch in Deer Park, New York, and the Bank anticipates opening the location during the fourth quarter of 2009. In July 2009, the Company received OCC approval to open a new branch in Center Moriches, New York.
The Bank routinely adds to its menu of products and services, continually meeting the needs of consumers and businesses. We believe positive outcomes in the future will result from the expansion of our geographic footprint, investments in infrastructure and technology, such as BridgeNEXUS, our remote deposit capture product as well as the introduction of lockbox processing in the fourth quarter of 2008, and continued focus on placing our customers first. In January 2009, the Bank launched Bridge Investment Services, offering a full range of investment products and services through a third party broker dealer.
Corporate objectives for 2009 include: leveraging our expanding branch network to build customer relationships and grow loans and deposits; focusing on opportunities and processes that continue to enhance the customer experience at the Bank; improving operational efficiencies and prudent management of non-interest expense; and maximizing non-interest income through Bridge Abstract as well as other lines of business. The ability to attract, retain, train and cultivate employees at all levels of the Company remains significant to meeting these objectives. The Company has made great progress toward the achievement of these objectives, and avoided many of the problems facing other financial institutions as a result of maintaining discipline in its underwriting, expansion strategies, investing and general business practices. The Company has capitalized on opportunities presented by the market in 2008 and
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continues during 2009 to diligently seek opportunities for growth and to strengthen the franchise. The causes of the current economic crisis are many and have occurred over a prolonged period and therefore cannot be expected to be resolved in days, weeks or months. The Company recognizes the potential risks of the current economic environment and will monitor the impact of market events as we consider growth initiatives and evaluate loans and investments.
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## Critical Accounting Policies

Allowance for Loan Losses
We consider the accounting policy on the allowance for loan losses to be the most critical and requires complex management judgment as discussed below. The judgments made regarding the allowance for loan losses can have a material effect on the results of operations of the Company.
The allowance for loan losses is established and maintained through a provision for loan losses based on probable incurred losses inherent in the Bank s loan portfolio. We evaluate the adequacy of the allowance on a quarterly basis. The allowance is comprised of both individual valuation allowances and loan pool valuation allowances. If the allowance for loan losses is not sufficient to cover actual loan losses, the Company s earnings could decrease.
The Bank monitors its entire loan portfolio on a regular basis, with consideration given to detailed analysis of classified loans, repayment patterns, probable incurred losses, past loss experience, current economic conditions, and various types of concentrations of credit. Additions to the allowance are charged to expense and realized losses, net of recoveries, are charged to the allowance.
Individual valuation allowances are established in connection with specific loan reviews and the asset classification process including the procedures for impairment testing under Statement of Financial Accounting Standard ( SFAS ) No. 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, an Amendment of FASB Statements No. 5 and 15, and SFAS No. 118, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan Income Recognition and Disclosures, an Amendment of SFAS No. 114. Such valuation, which includes a review of loans for which full collectibility in accordance with contractual terms is not reasonably assured, considers the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral less the costs to sell, if any, or the present value of expected future cash flows, or the loan s observable market value. Any shortfall that exists from this analysis results in a specific allowance for the loan. Pursuant to our policy, loan losses must be charged-off in the period the loans, or portions thereof, are deemed uncollectible. Assumptions and judgments by management, in conjunction with outside sources, are used to determine whether full collectibility of a loan is not reasonably assured. These assumptions and judgments also are used to determine the estimates of the fair value of the underlying collateral or the present value of expected future cash flows or the loan $s$ observable market value. Individual valuation allowances could differ materially as a result of changes in these assumptions and judgments. Individual loan analyses are periodically performed on specific loans considered impaired. The results of the individual valuation allowances are aggregated and included in the overall allowance for loan losses.
Loan pool valuation allowances represent loss allowances that have been established to recognize the inherent risks associated with our lending activities, but which, unlike individual allowances, have not been allocated to particular problem assets. Pool evaluations are broken down as follows: first, loans with homogenous characteristics are pooled by loan type and include home equity loans, residential mortgages, land loans and consumer loans. Then all remaining loans are segregated into pools based upon the risk rating of each credit. Key factors in determining a credit s risk rating include management s evaluation of: cash flow, collateral, guarantor support, financial disclosures, industry trends and strength of borrowers management. The determination of the adequacy of the valuation allowance is a process that takes into consideration a variety of factors. The Bank has developed a range of valuation allowances necessary to adequately provide for probable incurred losses inherent in each pool of loans. We consider our own charge-off history along with the growth in the portfolio as well as the Bank scredit administration and asset management philosophies and procedures when determining the allowances for each pool. In addition, we evaluate and consider the impact that economic and market conditions may have on the portfolio as well as known and inherent risks in the portfolio. Finally, we evaluate and consider the allowance ratios and coverage percentages of both peer group and regulatory agency data. These evaluations are inherently subjective because, even though they are based on objective data, it is management $s$ interpretation of that data that determines the amount of the appropriate allowance. If the evaluations prove to be incorrect, the allowance for loan losses may not be sufficient to cover losses inherent in the loan portfolio, resulting in additions to the allowance for loan losses.
The Classification Committee is comprised of members of both management and the Board of Directors. The adequacy of the allowance is analyzed quarterly, with any adjustment to a level deemed appropriate by the Classification Committee, based on its risk assessment of the entire portfolio. Based on the Classification Committee s
review of the classified loans and the overall allowance levels as they relate to the entire loan portfolio at June 30, 2009, management believes the allowance for loan losses has been established at levels sufficient to cover the probable incurred losses in the Bank s loan portfolio. Future additions or reductions to the allowance may be necessary based on changes in economic, market or other conditions. Changes in estimates could result in a material change in the allowance. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of the examination process, periodically review the allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require the Bank to recognize adjustments to the allowance based on their judgments of the information available to them at the time of their examination.
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## Comparison of Operating Results

## Net Income

Net income for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was $\$ 2.0$ million or $\$ 0.33$ per diluted share as compared to $\$ 2.2$ million or $\$ 0.37$ per diluted share for the same period in 2008. Changes for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to June 30, 2008 include: (i) $\$ 1.6$ million or $22.1 \%$ increase in net interest income; (ii) $\$ 0.3$ million or $19.6 \%$ increase in total non interest income as a result of net securities gains of $\$ 0.5$ million, partially offset by lower fee income for customer services and lower title insurance revenues; (iii) $\$ 1.2$ million or $22.1 \%$ increase in total non interest expenses, primarily due to a $\$ 0.4$ million increase in salaries and employee benefits related to increased staffing and greater incentive based compensation, a $\$ 0.6$ million increase in other operating expenses primarily related to higher FDIC insurance premiums including the special assessment of $\$ 0.4$ million and higher occupancy costs associated with new branches. In addition, a provision for loan losses of $\$ 1.4$ million was recorded this quarter due to the continued growth of our loan portfolio as well as our assessment of risk factors considering the weakening economic environment and overall industry trends. Non interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2008 did not include any net securities losses. The effective income tax rate was $32.7 \%$ for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 compared to $32.5 \%$ for the same period last year.
Net income was unchanged at $\$ 4.2$ million or $\$ 0.69$ per diluted share for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, however the following fluctuations did occur: (i) $\$ 4.1$ million or $29.0 \%$ increase in net interest income; (ii) a provision for loan losses of $\$ 2.3$ million was recorded in 2009 compared to $\$ 0.5$ million in 2008 due to the continued growth of our loan portfolio and changes in economic conditions, (iii) $\$ 49,000$ or $1.6 \%$ increase in total non interest income as a result of net securities gains of $\$ 0.5$ million partially offset by lower service charges on deposit accounts and fees on customer services and lower title insurance income and merchant income, and (iv) $\$ 2.3$ million or $22.1 \%$ increase in total non interest expenses, primarily due to a $\$ 1.0$ million increase in salaries and employee benefits related to higher staff levels associated with expanding the Company s infrastructure and the opening of new branch offices and higher incentive based compensation, and a $\$ 1.0$ million increase in other operating expenses primarily related to higher FDIC insurance premiums related to growth in deposits, higher rates and the special assessment. The effective income tax rate increased to $32.6 \%$ from $32.4 \%$ for the same period last year.

## Analysis of Net Interest Income

Net interest income, the primary contributor to earnings, represents the difference between income on interest earning assets and expenses on interest bearing liabilities. Net interest income depends upon the volume of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities and the interest rates earned or paid on them.
The following tables set forth certain information relating to the Company s average consolidated balance sheets and its consolidated statements of income for the periods indicated and reflect the average yield on assets and average cost of liabilities for the periods indicated. Such yields and costs are derived by dividing income or expense by the average balance of assets or liabilities, respectively, for the periods shown. Average balances are derived from daily average balances and include nonaccrual loans. The yields and costs include fees, which are considered adjustments to yields. Interest on nonaccrual loans has been included only to the extent reflected in the consolidated statements of income. For purposes of this table, the average balances for investments in debt and equity securities exclude unrealized appreciation/depreciation due to the application of SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities.
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Three months ended June 30, (In thousands)

Interest earning assets:
Loans, net (including loan fee income)
Mortgage-backed securities $\quad \mathbf{2 2 6 , 8 0 6}$
Tax exempt securities ${ }^{(1)} \quad \mathbf{7 0 , 0 9 0}$
Taxable securities
Federal funds sold
Deposits with banks $\mathbf{3 , 6 0 1}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Total interest earning assets } & \mathbf{7 7 3 , 4 9 2} & \mathbf{1 1 , 1 5 6} \\ \text { Non interest earning assets: } & & \end{array}$
Non interest earning assets:
Cash and due from banks
Other assets

Total assets

Interest bearing liabilities:
Savings, NOW and money market deposits
Certificates of deposit of $\$ 100,000$ or more Other time deposits
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements
Federal Home Loan Bank term advances

| Total interest bearing liabilities | $\mathbf{5 5 9 , 3 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3 9}$ | 424,765 | 2,248 | 2.13 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non interest bearing liabilities: | $\mathbf{1 9 6 , 5 2 2}$ |  |  | 196,945 |  |  |
| Demand deposits | $\mathbf{6 , 0 8 8}$ |  |  | 4,347 |  |  |
| Other liabilities | $\mathbf{7 6 1 , 9 1 7}$ |  |  | 626,057 |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5 5 , 1 1 4}$ |  | 52,487 |  |  |  |

Total liabilities and stockholders equity
\$ 817,031

| \$ 374,189 | \$ | 903 | 0.97\% | \$ 304,329 | \$ | 1,325 | 1.75\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83,430 |  | 536 | 2.58 | 62,202 |  | 523 | 3.38 |
| 69,095 |  | 395 | 2.29 | 32,846 |  | 254 | 3.11 |
| 32,593 |  | 101 | 1.24 | 20,773 |  | 120 | 2.32 |
|  |  |  |  | 4,615 |  | 26 | 2.27 |
| 559,307 |  | 1,935 | 1.39 | 424,765 |  | 2,248 | 2.13 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 196,522 \\ 6.088 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 196,945 \\ 4.347 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |


| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Average } \\ \text { Yield/ } \\ \text { Cost }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Average } \\ \text { Balance }\end{array}$ | Interest | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Average } \\ \text { Yield/ } \\ \text { Cost }\end{array}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{6 . 6 6 \%}$ | $\$ 393,886$ | $\$$ | 6,906 |$) 7.05 \%$

Net interest earning assets/net interest margin ${ }^{(3)}$

Ratio of interest earning assets to interest bearing liabilities
138.29\%
149.66\%

Less: Tax equivalent adjustment
(292)

Net interest income
\$ 8,929
\$ 7,310
(1) The above table is presented on a tax equivalent basis.
(2) Net interest rate spread
represents the difference between the yield on average interest earning assets and the cost of average interest bearing liabilities.
(3) Net interest margin represents net interest income divided by average interest earning assets.
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Six months ended June 30, (In thousands)

Interest earning assets:
Loans, net (including loan fee income)
Mortgage-backed securities
Tax exempt securities ${ }^{(1)}$
Taxable securities
Federal funds sold
Deposits with banks
Total interest earning assets
Non interest earning assets:
Cash and due from banks
Other assets
Total assets

Interest bearing liabilities:
Savings, NOW and money market deposits
Certificates of deposit of $\$ 100,000$ or more
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements Federal Home Loan Bank term advances

Total interest bearing liabilities
Non interest bearing liabilities:
Demand deposits
Other liabilities
Total liabilities
Stockholders equity
Total liabilities and stockholders equity
\$ 810,503
755,126
55,377
89,899
5,295

| $\mathbf{\$ 3 7 0 , 8 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 2 \%}$ | $\$ 299,122$ | $\$$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2}, 902$ | $1.95 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8 1 , 7 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 1}$ | 58,878 | 1,054 | 3.60 |
| $\mathbf{6 4 , 5 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 6}$ | 34,039 | 577 | 3.41 |
| $\mathbf{4 2 , 6 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 5}$ | 17,735 | 231 | 2.62 |
| $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 1}$ | 2,473 | 30 | 2.44 |
| $\mathbf{5 5 9 , 9 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 0}$ | 412,247 | 4,794 | 2.34 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 9 , 8 9 9}$ |  |  | 186,610 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5 , 2 9 5}$ |  |  | 4,439 |  |  |

Net interest income/interest rate spread ${ }^{(2)}$

2009
Average
Balance
Interest

$\$ 14,543$
5,854
1,700
373
9
1

| Average |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Yield/ | Average |
| Cost | Balance |

2008
Average
Yield/
CostYield/ Cost

Net interest earning assets/net interest margin ${ }^{(3)}$
Ratio of interest earning assets to interest bearing liabilities
\$ 207,799
4.89\% \$ 200,985
4.72\%

Less: Tax equivalent adjustment
(593)
(1) The above table is presented on a tax equivalent basis.
(2) Net interest rate spread
represents the difference between the yield on average interest earning assets and the cost of average interest bearing liabilities.
(3) Net interest margin represents net interest income divided by average interest earning assets.
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## Rate/Volume Analysis

Net interest income can be analyzed in terms of the impact of changes in rates and volumes. The following table illustrates the extent to which changes in interest rates and in the volume of average interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities have affected the Bank s interest income and interest expense during the periods indicated. Information is provided in each category with respect to (i) changes attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate); (ii) changes attributable to changes in rates (changes in rates multiplied by prior volume); and (iii) the net changes. For purposes of this table, changes which are not due solely to volume or rate changes have been allocated to these categories based on the respective percentage changes in average volume and rate. Due to the numerous simultaneous volume and rate changes during the periods analyzed, it is not possible to precisely allocate changes between volume and rates. In addition, average earning assets include nonaccrual loans.

Three months ended June 30, 2009 Over 2008
Changes Due To
Volume Rate $\begin{aligned} & \text { Net } \\ & \text { Change }\end{aligned}$

Six months ended June 30, 2009 Over 2008 Changes Due To

Net
(In thousands)
Interest income on interest earning assets:

Loans, net (including loan fee income)
Mortgage-backed securities
Tax exempt securities ${ }^{(1)}$
Taxable securities
Federal funds sold
Deposits with banks
Total interest earning assets
3,654
$(2,263)$
1,391
5,458
$(2,160)$
3,298

Interest expense on interest bearing liabilities:

Savings, NOW and money market
deposits
Certificates of deposit of $\$ 100,000$ or more

| 1,474 | $(1,896)$ | $(422)$ | 1,518 | $(2,540)$ | $(1,022)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 598 | $(585)$ | 14 | 701 | $(737)$ | $(36)$ |
| 548 | $(407)$ | 140 | 665 | $(487)$ | 178 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 237 | $(256)$ | $(19)$ | 384 | $(394)$ | $(10)$ |
| $(13)$ | $(13)$ | $(26)$ | $(19)$ | $(10)$ | $(29)$ |
| 2,844 | $(3,157)$ | $(313)$ | 3,249 | $(4,168)$ | $(919)$ |
| 810 | $\$$ | 894 | $\$$ | 1,704 | $\$$ |

(1) The above table
is presented on a
tax equivalent
basis.
Analysis of Net Interest Income for the Three Months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008
Net interest income was $\$ 8.9$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to $\$ 7.3$ million for the same period in 2008, an increase of $\$ 1.6$ million or $22.1 \%$. Net interest margin improved to $4.78 \%$ for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 as compared to $4.76 \%$ for the quarter ended June 30, 2008. This increase was primarily the result of an increase in average interest earnings assets of $\$ 137.8$ million and the decrease in the cost of the average total interest bearing liabilities being greater than the decrease in the yield on average total interest earning assets. The cost of interest bearing liabilities decreased approximately 74 basis points during the second quarter of 2009 compared to 2008, which was partly offset by a decrease in yields of approximately 39 basis points on interest earning assets.
For the three months ended June 30, 2009, average loans grew by $\$ 47.4$ million or $12.0 \%$ to $\$ 441.2$ million as compared to $\$ 393.9$ million for the same period in 2008 . Real estate mortgage loans and commercial loans primarily contributed to the growth. The Bank remains committed to growing loans with prudent underwriting, sensible pricing and limited credit and extension risk.
For the three months ended June 30,2009 , average total investments increased by $\$ 89.7$ million or $39.3 \%$ to $\$ 318.0$ million as compared to $\$ 228.3$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2008. Average federal funds sold decreased to $\$ 10.6$ million or $20.9 \%$ for the three months ended June 30,2009 from $\$ 13.4$ million in 2008. The decrease in the average federal funds sold for the three months ended June 30,2009 was primarily due to growth in the average investments.
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Average total interest bearing liabilities totaled $\$ 559.3$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to $\$ 424.8$ million for the same period in 2008. During the three months ended June 30, 2009, the Bank reduced interest rates on deposit products in response to the reductions in the federal funds and discount rate by the Federal Reserve and the prudent management of deposit pricing. The reduction in deposit rates along with lower borrowing costs resulted in a decrease in the cost of interest bearing liabilities from $2.13 \%$ for the three months ended June 30, 2008 to $1.39 \%$ for the same period in 2009. Since the Company s interest bearing liabilities generally reprice or mature more quickly than its interest earning assets, a decrease in short term interest rates initially result in an increase in net interest income. Additionally, the large percentages of deposits in money market accounts reprice at short term market rates making the balance sheet more liability sensitive.
For the three months ended June 30, 2009, average total deposits increased by $\$ 126.9$ million or $21.3 \%$ to $\$ 723.2$ million as compared to average total deposits of $\$ 596.3$ million for the same period in 2008. Components of this increase include an increase in average balances in savings, NOW and money market accounts of $\$ 69.9$ million or $23.0 \%$ to $\$ 374.2$ million for the three months ended June 30 , 2009 compared to $\$ 304.3$ million for the same period last year. Average balances in certificates of deposit of $\$ 100,000$ or more and other time deposits increased $\$ 57.5$ million or $60.5 \%$ to $\$ 152.5$ million for 2009 as compared to 2008 . Average public fund deposits comprised $19.1 \%$ of total average deposits during the three months ended June 30, 2009 and $22.0 \%$ of total average deposits for the same period in 2008. Average federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements and average Federal Home Loan Bank advances increased $\$ 7.2$ million to $\$ 32.6$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to $\$ 25.4$ million for the same period in the prior year.
Total interest income increased $\$ 1.3$ million or $13.7 \%$ to $\$ 10.9$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 from $\$ 9.6$ million for the same period in 2008. Interest income on loans increased $\$ 0.4$ million or $6.0 \%$ to $\$ 7.3$ million in 2009 compared to $\$ 6.9$ million in 2008 primarily due to growth in the loan portfolio partially offset by a decrease in yield on average loans. The yield on average loans was $6.7 \%$ for 2009 as compared to $7.1 \%$ in 2008.
Interest income on investment in mortgage-backed, taxable and tax exempt securities increased $\$ 0.9$ million to $\$ 3.5$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to $\$ 2.6$ million for the same period in 2008. Interest income on securities included net amortization of premium of $\$ 46,000$ in the 2009 period compared to accretion of discounts of $\$ 22,000$ for the same period in 2008. The tax adjusted average yield on total securities decreased to $4.8 \%$ in 2009 from $4.9 \%$ in 2008.
Interest expense decreased $\$ 0.3$ million or $13.9 \%$ to $\$ 1.9$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to $\$ 2.2$ million for the same period in 2008 . The decrease in interest expense in 2009 resulted from the Federal Reserve reducing the targeted federal funds rate and discount rate at $0.25 \%$ and $0.50 \%$, respectively and the prudent management of deposit pricing which was partially offset by the growth in average balances for deposits and borrowings.
Analysis of Net Interest Income for the Six Months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008
Net interest income was $\$ 18.0$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to $\$ 14.0$ million for the same period in 2008, an increase of $\$ 4.0$ million or $29.0 \%$. Net interest margin improved to $4.89 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to $4.72 \%$ for the same period in 2008. This increase was primarily the result of an increase in average interest earnings assets of $\$ 154.5$ million and the decrease in the cost of the average total interest bearing liabilities being greater than the decrease in the yield on average total interest earning assets. The cost of interest bearing liabilities decreased approximately 94 basis points during the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to 2008, which was partly offset by a decrease in yields of approximately 39 basis points on interest earning assets.
For the six months ended June 30, 2009, average loans grew by $\$ 49.1$ million or $12.7 \%$ to $\$ 435.2$ million as compared to $\$ 386.1$ million for the same period in 2008. Real estate mortgage loans and commercial loans primarily contributed to the growth. The Bank remains committed to growing loans with prudent underwriting, sensible pricing and limited credit and extension risk.
For the six months ended June 30, 2009, average total investments increased by $\$ 105.2$ million or $48.2 \%$ to $\$ 323.4$ million as compared to $\$ 218.2$ million for the same period in 2008. Average federal funds sold decreased to $\$ 6.1$ million or $30.7 \%$ for the six months ended June 30 , 2009 from $\$ 8.7$ million in 2008. The decrease in the average federal funds sold for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was primarily due to growth in the average investments.

Average total interest bearing liabilities totaled $\$ 559.9$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to $\$ 412.2$ million for the same period in 2008. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Bank reduced interest rates on deposit products in response to the reductions in the federal funds and discount rate by the Federal Reserve and the prudent management of deposit pricing. The reduction in deposit rates along with lower borrowing costs resulted in a decrease in the cost of interest bearing liabilities from $2.34 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2008 to $1.40 \%$ for the same period in 2009. Since the Company s interest bearing liabilities generally reprice or mature more quickly than its interest earning assets, a decrease in short term interest rates initially result in an increase in net interest income. Additionally, the large percentages of deposits in money market accounts reprice at short term market rates making the balance sheet more liability sensitive.
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For the six months ended June 30, 2009, average total deposits increased by $\$ 128.5$ million or $22.2 \%$ to $\$ 707.1$ million as compared to average total deposits of $\$ 578.6$ million for the same period in 2008. Components of this increase include an increase in average balances in savings, NOW and money market accounts of $\$ 71.7$ million or $24.0 \%$ to $\$ 370.8$ million for the six months ended June 30,2009 compared to $\$ 299.1$ million for the same period last year. Average balances in certificates of deposit of $\$ 100,000$ or more and other time deposits increased $\$ 53.4$ million or $57.5 \%$ to $\$ 146.3$ million for 2009 as compared to $\$ 92.9$ million in 2008. Average public fund deposits comprised $20.7 \%$ of total average deposits during the six months ended June 30, 2009 and $23.2 \%$ of total average deposits for the same period in 2008. Average federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements and average Federal Home Loan Bank advances increased $\$ 22.6$ million to $\$ 42.8$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to $\$ 20.2$ million for the same period in the prior year.
Total interest income increased $\$ 3.1$ million or $16.7 \%$ to $\$ 21.9$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 from $\$ 18.8$ million for the same period in 2008. Interest income on loans increased $\$ 0.8$ million or $5.7 \%$ to $\$ 14.5$ million in 2009 compared to $\$ 13.8$ million in 2008 primarily due to growth in the loan portfolio partially offset by a decrease in yield on average loans. The yield on average loans was $6.7 \%$ for 2009 as compared to $7.2 \%$ in 2008.
Interest income on investment in mortgage-backed, taxable and tax exempt securities increased $\$ 2.4$ million to $\$ 7.3$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to $\$ 4.9$ million for the same period in 2008. Interest income on securities included net amortization of premium of $\$ 37,000$ in the 2009 period compared to accretion of discounts of $\$ 23,000$ for the same period in 2008. The tax adjusted average yield on total securities stayed flat at $4.9 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.
Interest expense decreased $\$ 0.9$ million or $19.2 \%$ to $\$ 3.9$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to $\$ 4.8$ million for the same period in 2008. The decrease in interest expense in 2009 resulted from the Federal Reserve reducing the targeted federal funds rate and discount rate to $0.25 \%$ and $0.50 \%$, respectively and the prudent management of deposit pricing which was partially offset by the growth in average balances for deposits and borrowings.

## Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses

The Bank s loan portfolio consists primarily of real estate loans secured by commercial and residential real estate properties located in the Bank s principal lending area on eastern Long Island. The interest rates charged by the Bank on loans are affected primarily by the demand for such loans, the supply of money available for lending purposes, the rates offered by its competitors, the Bank s relationship with the customer and the related credit risks of the transaction. These factors are affected by general and economic conditions including, but not limited to, monetary policies of the federal government, including the Federal Reserve Board, legislative policies and governmental budgetary matters.
The performance of the loan portfolio remained strong for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Non performing assets were $\$ 2.3$ million at June 30, 2009 compared to $\$ 3.1$ million at December 31, 2008 and $\$ 0.8$ million at June 30, 2008 representing $0.52 \%$ of total loans at June 30, 2009 compared to $0.71 \%$ at December 31, 2008 and $0.19 \%$ at June 30, 2008. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company had impaired loans as defined by SFAS No. 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 5 and 15 ( SFAS 114 ) of $\$ 4.8$ million and $\$ 5.7$ million, respectively. Impaired loans include individually classified nonaccrual loans and trouble debt restructured ( TDR ) loans. Recognition of interest income on impaired loans is discontinued when reasonable doubt exists as to the ultimate collectability of the interest and principal of the loan. The TDR loans of $\$ 3.2$ million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are current and are secured with collateral that has a fair value of approximately $\$ 5.4$ million as well as personal guarantors. Management believes that the ultimate collection of principal and interest is reasonably assured and therefore continues to recognize interest income on an accrual basis. In addition, the Bank has no commitment to lend additional funds to this debtor. The average recorded investment in the impaired loan during the six months ended June 30 , 2009 was $\$ 5.7$ million and was $\$ 0.8$ million for the year ended December 31, 2008. There were no impaired loans as of June 30, 2008. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, there was no specifically allocated allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans.
The Bank had no foreclosed real estate at June 30, 2009, December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2008, respectively.

Loans of approximately $\$ 15.3$ million or $3.4 \%$ of total loans at June 30, 2009 were classified as potential problem loans compared to $\$ 12.1$ million or $2.7 \%$ at March 31, 2009, $\$ 3.5$ million or $0.8 \%$ at December 31, 2008 and $\$ 12.3$ million or $3.1 \%$ at June 30, 2008. These are loans for which management has information that indicates the borrower may not be able to comply with the present repayment terms. These loans are subject to increased management attention and their classification is reviewed on at least a quarterly basis. Due to the structure and nature of the credits, we do not expect to sustain a loss on these relationships.
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Based on our continuing review of the overall loan portfolio, the current asset quality of the portfolio, the growth in our loan portfolio, and the net charge-offs, a provision for loan losses of $\$ 1.4$ million and $\$ 2.3$ million was recorded during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to a provision for loan loss of $\$ 0.3$ million and $\$ 0.5$ million that was recorded during the same periods in 2008. The Bank recognized net charge-offs in the amount of $\$ 1.2$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to $\$ 0.2$ million for the same period in 2008. The allowance for loan losses increased to $\$ 5.0$ million at June 30, 2009, as compared to $\$ 4.0$ million at December 31, 2008 and $\$ 3.3$ million at June 30, 2008. As a percentage of total loans, the allowance increased to $1.12 \%$ at June 30, 2009 compared to $0.92 \%$ at December 31, 2008 and $0.83 \%$ at June 30, 2008. Management continues to carefully monitor the loan portfolio as well as real estate trends on eastern Long Island. The Bank s consistent and rigorous underwriting standards preclude sub prime lending, and management remains cautious about the potential for an indirect impact on the local economy and real estate values in the future.

## Non Interest Income

Total non interest income increased $\$ 0.3$ million or $19.6 \%$ to $\$ 1.9$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to $\$ 1.6$ million for the same period in 2008. Net securities gains were $\$ 0.5$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. There were no net securities gains or losses for the three months ended June 30, 2008. Service charges on deposit accounts remained at $\$ 0.8$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008. Fees for other customer services were $\$ 0.4$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Title fee income related to Bridge Abstract decreased $\$ 164,000$ or $51.7 \%$ to $\$ 153,000$ for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to $\$ 317,000$ for the same period in 2008.
Total non interest income increased during the six months ended June 30,2009 by $\$ 49,000$ or $1.6 \%$ from the same period last year. Net securities gains were $\$ 0.5$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. There were no net securities gains or losses for the six months ended June 30, 2008. Fees for other customer services totaled $\$ 0.7$ million and service charges on deposit accounts totaled $\$ 1.4$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to $\$ 0.8$ million and $\$ 1.5$ million, respectively, from the same period in 2008. The decline in service charges on deposit accounts represents lower overdraft fees. Bridge Abstract, the Bank s title insurance abstract subsidiary, generated title fee income of $\$ 0.4$ million during the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to $\$ 0.7$ million for the same period in 2008. The decrease was attributable to a decline in the number and value of transactions processed by the subsidiary. Other operating income for the six months ended June 30, 2009 totaled $\$ 39,000$, a decrease of $\$ 42,000$ from $\$ 81,000$ for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The decline represents lower check book fees and bank rental income.

## Non Interest Expense

Total non interest expense increased $\$ 1.2$ million or $22.1 \%$ to $\$ 6.5$ million during the three months ended June 30 , 2009 over the same period in 2008. The primary components of these increases were higher salaries and employee benefits, net occupancy expense, furniture and fixture expense and FDIC assessments. Salary and benefit expense increased $\$ 0.4$ million or $13.2 \%$ to $\$ 3.5$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 from $\$ 3.1$ million for the same periods in 2008. The increase reflects filling vacant positions, hiring new employees to support the Company s expanding infrastructure and new branch offices, and related employee benefit costs. Net occupancy expense increased $\$ 126,000$ or $28.6 \%$ to $\$ 566,000$ for the three months ended June 30, 2009 from $\$ 440,000$ for the same periods in 2008. Higher net occupancy expenses were due to increases in maintenance and supplies, and rent expense related to the new branch offices in 2009 as well as annual rent increases in other branch locations. Furniture and fixture expense increased $\$ 49,000$ or $24.0 \%$ to $\$ 253,000$ for the three months ended June 30, 2009 from $\$ 204,000$ for the same period in 2008. The increase in furniture and fixture expense in 2009 relates primarily to the new branches. FDIC assessments increased $\$ 0.6$ million to $\$ 0.7$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 from $\$ 0.1$ million for the same period in 2008. The increase during 2009 was due to growth in deposits, higher rates, and a special assessment of $\$ 0.4$ million.
Total non interest expense increased $\$ 2.2$ million or $22.1 \%$ to $\$ 12.5$ million during the six months ended June 30, 2009 from $\$ 10.3$ million for the same period in 2008. The primary components of these increases were higher salaries and employee benefits, net occupancy expense, furniture and fixture expense and FDIC assessments. Salary and benefit expense increased $\$ 1.0$ million or $15.7 \%$ to $\$ 7.1$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 from $\$ 6.1$ million for the same period in 2008. The increases in salary and benefits reflect base salary increases for staff,
filling vacant positions, hiring new employees to support the Company s expanding infrastructure and new branch offices, increases in incentive based compensation and an increase in employee benefit costs, particularly related to pension and SERP expense. Net occupancy expense increased $\$ 0.2$ million or $26.6 \%$ to $\$ 1.1$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 from $\$ 0.9$ million for the same period in 2008. Higher net occupancy expenses were due to increases in maintenance and supplies, and rent expense related to the new branch offices in 2009 as well as annual rent increases in other branch locations. Furniture and fixture expense increased $\$ 0.1$ million or $16.8 \%$ to $\$ 0.5$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 from $\$ 0.4$ million for the same period in 2008. The increase in furniture and fixture expense in 2009 relates primarily to the new branches. FDIC assessments increased $\$ 0.9$ million to $\$ 1.0$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 from $\$ 0.1$ million for the same period in 2008. The increase during 2009 was due to growth in deposits, higher rates, and a special assessment of $\$ 0.4$ million.
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## Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes decreased $\$ 0.1$ million to $\$ 1.0$ million during the three months ended June 30, 2009 from $\$ 1.1$ million for the same period in 2008 due to lower income before income taxes. The effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2009 increased to $32.7 \%$ from $32.5 \%$ for the same period last year. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the provision for income taxes remained at $\$ 2.0$ million. The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2009 increased to $32.6 \%$ from $32.4 \%$ for the same period in 2008.

## Financial Condition

Assets totaled $\$ 793.2$ million at June 30, 2009, a decrease of $\$ 45.9$ million or $5.5 \%$ from $\$ 839.1$ million at December 31, 2008. This change is primarily a result of a decrease in total securities of $\$ 48.2$ million or $13.6 \%$ due to maturities, principal payments and the sale of $\$ 12.6$ million of mortgage backed securities as well as a decrease in cash and cash equivalents of $\$ 12.8$ million or $44.4 \%$ which was partially offset by increases in total loans of $\$ 16.9$ million or $3.9 \%$. Total deposits grew $\$ 40.6$ million to $\$ 699.7$ million at June 30, 2009, compared to $\$ 659.1$ million at December 31, 2008. Demand deposits increased $\$ 24.9$ million to $\$ 206.1$ million compared to $\$ 181.2$ million at December 31, 2008. Savings, NOW and money market deposits decreased $\$ 3.0$ million to $\$ 341.9$ million at June 30, 2009 from $\$ 344.9$ million at December 31, 2008. Certificates of deposit of $\$ 100,000$ or more and other time deposits also increased $\$ 18.7$ million or $14.1 \%$. The increase in deposits and the decline in the investment portfolio resulted in a decrease in borrowings at June 30, 2009. Federal funds purchased and Federal Home Loan Bank overnight borrowings decreased $\$ 60.9$ million to $\$ 10.0$ million at June 30, 2009 compared to $\$ 70.9$ million at December 31, 2008. There were no Federal Home Loan Bank term advances outstanding at June 30, 2009 compared to $\$ 30.0$ million at December 31, 2008. Accrued interest payable and other liabilities increased $\$ 1.6$ million to $\$ 9.5$ million at June 30, 2009 from $\$ 7.9$ million at December 31, 2008. The increase in other liabilities at June 30, 2009 relates to an increase in accrued taxes payable and an increase in deferred tax liabilities as a result of higher unrealized gains on the security portfolio.
Total stockholders equity was $\$ 59.0$ million at June 30, 2009, an increase of $\$ 2.8$ million or $5.0 \%$ from December 31, 2008, primarily due to net income of $\$ 4.2$ million and an increase in net unrealized gains on securities of $\$ 1.1$ million, partially offset by the declaration of dividends totaling $\$ 2.9$ million.
In April 2009, the Company announced a dividend reinvestment plan effective with the second quarter 2009 dividend. In June 2009, the Company declared a quarterly dividend of $\$ 0.23$ per share. The Company continues its long term trend of uninterrupted dividends.

## Liquidity

The objective of liquidity management is to ensure the sufficiency of funds available to respond to the needs of depositors and borrowers, and to take advantage of unanticipated earnings enhancement opportunities for Company growth. Liquidity management addresses the ability of the Company to meet financial obligations that arise in the normal course of business. Liquidity is primarily needed to meet customer borrowing commitments, deposit withdrawals either on demand or contractual maturity, to repay other borrowings as they mature, to fund current and planned expenditures and to make new loans and investments as opportunities arise.
The Holding Company s principal sources of liquidity included cash and cash equivalents of $\$ 5.9$ million as of June 30, 2009, and dividends from the Bank. Cash available for distribution of dividends to shareholders of the Company is primarily derived from dividends paid by the Bank to the Company. During 2009, the Bank declared and paid $\$ 4.5$ million in cash dividends to the Company. At June 30, 2009, the Bank had $\$ 5.6$ million of retained net income available for dividends to the Company. Prior regulatory approval is required if the total of all dividends declared by the Bank in any calendar year exceeds the total of the Bank s net income of that year combined with its retained net income of the preceding two years. In the event that the Company subsequently expands its current operations, in addition to dividends from the Bank, it will need to rely on its own earnings, additional capital raised and other borrowings to meet liquidity needs.
The Bank s most liquid assets are cash and cash equivalents, securities available for sale and securities held to maturity due within one year. The levels of these assets are dependent upon the Bank s operating, financing, lending and investing activities during any given period. Other sources of liquidity include principal repayments and maturities of loan and investment securities, lines of credit with other financial institutions including the Federal Home Loan Bank
and the Federal Reserve Bank, growth in core deposits and sources of wholesale funding such as brokered certificates of deposits. While scheduled loan amortization, maturing securities and short term investments are a relatively predictable source of funds, deposit flows and loan and mortgage-backed securities prepayments are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions and competition. The Bank adjusts its liquidity levels as appropriate to meet funding needs such as seasonal deposit outflows, loans, and asset and liability management objectives. Historically, the Bank has relied on its deposit base, drawn through its full-service branches that serve its market area and local municipal deposits, as its principal source of funding. The Bank seeks to retain existing deposits and loans and maintain customer relationships by offering quality service and competitive interest rates to its customers, while managing the overall cost of funds needed to finance its strategies.
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The Bank s Asset/Liability and Funds Management Policy allows for wholesale borrowings of up to $25 \%$ of total assets. At June 30, 2009, the Bank had aggregate lines of credit of $\$ 217.5$ million with unaffiliated correspondent banks to provide short term credit for liquidity requirements. Of these aggregate lines of credit, $\$ 197.5$ million is available on an unsecured basis. The Bank also has the ability, as a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank ( FHLB ) system, to borrow against unencumbered residential and commercial mortgages owned by the Bank. The Bank also has a master repurchase agreement with the FHLB, which increases its borrowing capacity. In addition, the Bank has an approved broker relationship for the purpose of issuing brokered certificates of deposit. As of June 30, 2009, the Bank had no brokered certificates of deposit compared to $\$ 5.0$ million at December 31, 2008. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Bank had $\$ 10.0$ million and $\$ 70.9$ million, respectively, in overnight borrowings. The Bank had $\$ 15.0$ million of securities sold under agreements to repurchase outstanding as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. The Bank had a $\$ 30.0$ million advance that was collateralized by securities outstanding as of December 31, 2008 with the FHLB. There were no advances outstanding as of June 30, 2009.
Management continually monitors the liquidity position and believes that sufficient liquidity exists to meet all of our operating requirements. Based on the objectives determined by the Asset and Liability Committee, the Bank s liquidity levels may be affected by the use of short term and wholesale borrowings, and the amount of public funds in the deposit mix. The Asset and Liability Committee is comprised of members of senior management and the Board. Excess short term liquidity is invested in overnight federal funds sold.

## Capital Resources

The Company and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can result in certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company s and the Bank s financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Company s and Bank s assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company s and the Bank s capital amounts and classification also are subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.
Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the following table) of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier 1 capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined). As of June 30, 2009, the Company and the Bank met all capital adequacy requirements. In April 2009, the Company announced that its Board of Directors approved and adopted a Dividend Reinvestment Plan ( DRP Plan ) and filed a registration statement on Form S-3 to register 600,000 shares of common stock with the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) pursuant to the DRP Plan. In June 2009, the Company filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 to register up to $\$ 50$ million of securities with the SEC.
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At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, actual capital levels and minimum required levels for the Company and the Bank were as follows:

Bridge Bancorp, Inc. (Consolidated)
As of June 30,
(Dollars in thousands)
Total Capital (to risk weighted assets)
Tier 1 Capital (to risk weighted assets)
Tier 1 Capital (to average assets)

As of December 31, (Dollars in thousands)


As of June 30,
(Dollars in thousands)

Actual
Amount Ratio
Total Capital (to risk weighted assets)
Tier 1 Capital (to risk weighted assets)
Tier 1 Capital (to average assets)

## Actual Amount Ratio

\$ 61,102

55,960
55,960
$11.6 \%$
$10.7 \%$
$6.9 \%$

2009

For Capital
Adequacy Purposes
Amount Ratio
8.0\%
\$ 41,990 8.0\%
4.0\%
4.0\%

To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions Amount Ratio

| n/a | $\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| n/a | $\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{a}$ |
| n/a | $\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{a}$ |

To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions Amount Ratio

| $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a \quad n / a$

For Capital Adequacy
Purposes
Amount Ratio

|  |  | For Capital Adequacy |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Actual |  | Purposes |  |
| Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| \$ 58,360 | 11.1\% | \$ 42,137 | 8.0\% |
| 54,288 | 10.3\% | 21,068 | 4.0\% |
| 54,288 | 6.9\% | 31,304 | 4.0\% |

$54,288 \quad 6.9 \%$
2008

As of December 31,
(Dollars in thousands)

|  | Actual |  | Purposes |  | Action Provisions |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |  |
| Total Capital (to risk weighted assets) | $\$ 55,431$ | $10.5 \%$ | $\$ 42,130$ | $8.0 \%$ | $\$ 52,662$ | $10.0 \%$ |  |
| Tier 1 Capital (to risk weighted assets) | 51,359 | $9.8 \%$ | 21,065 | $4.0 \%$ | 31,597 | $6.0 \%$ |  |
| Tier 1 Capital (to average assets) | 51,359 | $6.6 \%$ | 31,279 | $4.0 \%$ | 39,099 | $5.0 \%$ |  |
| Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto presented herein have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, which require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. The primary effect of inflation on the operations of the Company is reflected in increased operating costs. Unlike most industrial companies, virtually all of the assets and liabilities of a financial institution are monetary in nature. As a result, changes in interest rates have a more significant effect on the performance of a financial institution than do the effects of changes in the general rate of inflation and changes in prices. Changes in interest rates could aversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction, or in the same magnitude, as the prices of goods and services. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, which are beyond the control of the Company, including the influence of domestic and foreign economic conditions and the monetary and fiscal policies of the United States government and federal agencies, particularly the Federal Reserve Bank.

## Recent Regulatory and Accounting Developments

In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP EITF 03-6-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Shared-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities . This FSP addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share ( EPS ). This FSP is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those years. All prior-period EPS data presented shall be adjusted retrospectively. The Company adopted this FSP for the quarter ending March 31, 2009 and determined that there was no material impact to earnings per share.
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In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ( FSP ) FAS 157-4, Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly. This FSP emphasizes that even if there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability and regardless of the valuation technique(s) used, the objective of a fair value measurement remains the same. It also provides guidance to determine whether transactions are orderly. FSP FAS 157-4 is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009, if FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments , are adopted simultaneously. The adoption of this FSP did not have a significant impact on the Company s financial statements.
In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Information . This FSP amends FASB Statement No. 107, Disclosures about the Fair Value of Financial Instruments to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements. This FSP also amends APB Opinion No. 28, Interim Financial Reporting to require those disclosures in summarized financial information at interim reporting periods. This FSP shall be effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. An entity may early adopt this FSP only if it also elects to early adopt FSP FAS 157-4, Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly , and FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments . The adoption of this FSP at June 30, 2009 did not have a material impact on the results of operations or financial position as it only required disclosures which are included in Note 6.
In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments . This FSP amends the other-than-temporary impairment guidance in U.S. GAAP for debt securities to make the guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. The FSP shall be effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. Earlier adoption for periods ending before March 15, 2009, is not permitted. If an entity elects to adopt early either FSP FAS 157-4, Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly, or FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments, the entity also is required to adopt early this FSP. Additionally, if an entity elects to adopt early this FSP, it is required to adopt FSP FAS 157-4. The adoption of this FSP did not have a significant impact on the Company s financial statements.
In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 141(R)-1, Accounting for Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed in a Business Combination That Arise from Contingencies . This FSP shall be effective for assets or liabilities arising from contingencies in business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The adoption of this FSP had no impact on the Company s financial statements.
In May 2009, the FASB issued Statement 165 Subsequent Events which addresses accounting and disclosure requirements related to subsequent events. Statement 165 requires management to evaluate subsequent events through the date the financial statements are either issued or available to be issued, depending on the company s expectation of whether it will widely distribute its financial statements to its shareholders and other financial statement users. Companies are required to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated. Statement 165 is effective for interim or annual financial periods ending after June 15, 2009 and should be applied prospectively. In June 2009, the FASB issued Statement No. 168, The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles A Replacement of FASB Statement No. 162 . With the issuance of Statement No. 168 on June 29, 2009, the FASB Accounting Standards CodificationTM (Codification) became the source of authoritative U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. On the effective date
of this Statement, the Codification superseded all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other nongrandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification became nonauthoritative. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009.
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## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk Asset/Liability Management

Management considers interest rate risk to be the most significant market risk for the Company. Market risk is the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and rates. Interest rate risk is the exposure to adverse changes in the net income of the Company as a result of changes in interest rates.
The Company s primary earnings source is net interest income, which is affected by changes in the level of interest rates, the relationship between rates, the impact of interest rate fluctuations on asset prepayments, the level and composition of deposits and liabilities, and the credit quality of earning assets. The Company s objectives in its asset and liability management are to maintain a strong, stable net interest margin, to utilize its capital effectively without taking undue risks, to maintain adequate liquidity, and to reduce vulnerability of its operations to changes in interest rates.
The Company s Asset and Liability Committee evaluates periodically, but at least four times a year, the impact of changes in market interest rates on assets and liabilities, net interest margin, capital and liquidity. Risk assessments are governed by policies and limits established by senior management, which are reviewed and approved by the full Board of Directors at least annually. The economic environment continually presents uncertainties as to future interest rate trends. The Asset and Liability Committee regularly utilizes a model that projects net interest income based on increasing or decreasing interest rates, in order to be better able to respond to changes in interest rates.
At June 30, 2009, $\$ 262.9$ million or $85.6 \%$ of the Company s securities had fixed interest rates. Changes in interest rates affect the value of the Company s interest earning assets and in particular its securities portfolio. Generally, the value of securities fluctuates inversely with changes in interest rates. Increases in interest rates could result in decreases in the market value of interest earning assets, which could adversely affect the Company s results of operations if sold. The Company is also subject to reinvestment risk associated with changes in interest rates. Changes in interest rates may affect the average life of loans and mortgage related securities. In periods of decreasing interest rates, the average life of loans and securities held by the Company may be shortened to the extent increased prepayment activity occurs during such periods which, in turn, may result in the investment of funds from such prepayments in lower yielding assets. Under these circumstances the Company is subject to reinvestment risk to the extent that it is unable to reinvest the cash received from such prepayments at rates that are comparable to the rates on existing loans and securities. Additionally, increases in interest rates may result in decreasing loan prepayments with respect to fixed rate loans, (and therefore an increase in the average life of such loans), may result in a decrease in loan demand, and make it more difficult for borrowers to repay adjustable rate loans.
The Company utilizes the results of a detailed and dynamic simulation model to quantify the estimated exposure to net interest income to sustained interest rate changes. Management routinely monitors simulated net interest income sensitivity over a rolling two-year horizon. The simulation model captures the seasonality of the Company s deposit flows and the impact of changing interest rates on the interest income received and the interest expense paid on all assets and liabilities reflected on the Company s consolidated balance sheet. This sensitivity analysis is compared to the asset and liability policy limits that specify a maximum tolerance level for net interest income exposure over a one-year horizon given a 100 and 200 basis point upward shift in interest rates and a 100 basis point downward shift in interest rates. A parallel and pro rata shift in rates over a twelve-month period is assumed.
The following reflects the Company s net interest income sensitivity analysis at June 30, 2009:


The preceding sensitivity analysis does not represent a Company forecast and should not be relied upon as being indicative of expected operating results. These hypothetical estimates are based upon numerous assumptions including, but not limited to, the nature and timing of interest rate levels and yield curve shapes, prepayments on loans and securities, deposit decay rates, pricing decisions on loans and deposits, and reinvestment and replacement of asset and liability cash flows. While assumptions are developed based upon perceived current economic and local market conditions, the Company cannot make any assurances as to the predictive nature of these assumptions including how customer preferences or competitor influences may change.
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Also, as market conditions vary from those assumed in the sensitivity analysis, actual results will also differ due to prepayment and refinancing levels likely deviating from those assumed, the varying impact of interest rate change caps or floors on adjustable rate assets, the potential effect of changing debt service levels on customers with adjustable rate loans, depositor early withdrawals, prepayment penalties and product preference changes and other internal and external variables. Furthermore, the sensitivity analysis does not reflect actions that management might take in responding to, or anticipating changes in interest rates and market conditions.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures

An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of June 30, 2009. Based on that evaluation, the Company s Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. There has been no change in the Company s internal control over financial reporting during the quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.
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## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

None.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the factors disclosed in Item 1A., Risk Factors, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(a) Not applicable.
(b) Not applicable.
(c) Not applicable.

## Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders
The Annual Meeting of Shareholders was held at The Bridgehampton National Bank, 2200 Montauk Highway, Bridgehampton, New York 11932 on April 24, 2009.
Routine items included the election of three Directors.

| Nominees for Director <br> Class A | Term | Votes For | Votes <br> Withheld |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albert E. McCoy, Jr. | Three Years | $5,264,702$ | 101,263 |
| Dennis Suskind | Three Years | $5,146,026$ | 219,939 |


|  | Votes |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | Votes For | Against | Abstentions |  |
| Item 5. Other Information | $5,351,959$ | 2,479 | 11,530 |  |

Not applicable.
Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K
3.2 Revised By-laws of the Registrant
10.2 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement Howard H. Nolan
31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)
31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)
32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
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In accordance with the requirement of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

August 6, 2009

August 6, 2009

## BRIDGE BANCORP, INC.

Registrant
/s/ Kevin M. O Connor
Kevin M. O Connor
President and Chief Executive Officer
/s/ Howard H. Nolan
Howard H. Nolan
Senior Executive Vice President, Chief Financial
Officer
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