

CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORP  
Form 10-K  
February 24, 2012

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549  
FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934  
For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the Transition Period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 000-20202  
CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION  
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Michigan  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or  
organization)

38-1999511  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

25505 W. Twelve Mile Road  
Southfield, Michigan  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

48034-8339  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (248) 353-2700

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:  
Title of each class                      Name of each exchange on which registered  
Common Stock                              NASDAQ

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:  
None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Edgar Filing: CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORP - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (Section 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	Accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of 5,759,970 shares of the Registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates on June 30, 2011 was approximately \$486.5 million. For purposes of this computation all officers, directors and 10% beneficial owners of the Registrant are assumed to be affiliates. Such determination should not be deemed an admission that such officers, directors and beneficial owners are, in fact, affiliates of the Registrant.

At February 15, 2012, there were 25,622,480 shares of the Registrant's common stock issued and outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Registrant's definitive Proxy Statement pertaining to the 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the "Proxy Statement") filed pursuant to Regulation 14A are incorporated herein by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K (this "Form 10-K").

CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION  
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

INDEX TO FORM 10-K

Item	Description	Page
PART I		
<u>1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>2.</u>	<u>Properties</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>4.</u>	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>22</u>
PART II		
<u>5.</u>	<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>6.</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>7.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>7A.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>8.</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>9.</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>93</u>
<u>9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>93</u>
<u>9B.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	<u>95</u>
PART III		
<u>10.</u>	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>96</u>
<u>14.</u>	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	<u>96</u>
PART IV		
<u>15.</u>	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>96</u>
	<u>Signatures</u>	<u>97</u>

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM BUSINESS

1.

General

Since 1972, Credit Acceptance Corporation (referred to as the “Company”, “Credit Acceptance”, “we”, “our” or “us”) has provided auto loans to consumers, regardless of their credit history. Our product is offered through a nationwide network of automobile dealers who benefit from sales of vehicles to consumers who otherwise could not obtain financing; from repeat and referral sales generated by these same customers; and from sales to customers responding to advertisements for our product, but who actually end up qualifying for traditional financing.

Credit Acceptance was founded to collect retail installment contracts (referred to as “Consumer Loans”) originated by automobile dealerships owned by Donald Foss, our Chairman, founder, and significant shareholder. During the 1980s, we began to market this service to non-affiliated dealers and, at the same time, began to offer dealers a non-recourse cash payment (referred to as an “advance”) against anticipated future collections on Consumer Loans serviced for that dealer.

We refer to dealers who participate in our programs and who share our commitment to changing consumers’ lives as “Dealer-Partners”. Upon enrollment in our financing programs, the Dealer-Partner enters into a dealer servicing agreement with us that defines the legal relationship between Credit Acceptance and the Dealer-Partner. The dealer servicing agreement assigns the responsibilities for administering, servicing, and collecting the amounts due on Consumer Loans from the Dealer-Partners to us. We are an indirect lender from a legal perspective, meaning the Consumer Loan is originated by the Dealer-Partner and assigned to us.

Consumers and Dealer-Partners benefit from our programs as follows:

**Consumers.** We help change the lives of consumers who do not qualify for conventional automobile financing by helping them obtain quality transportation. Without our product, consumers are often unable to purchase a vehicle or they purchase an unreliable one. Further, as we report to the three national credit reporting agencies, an important ancillary benefit of our program is that we provide a significant number of our consumers with an opportunity to improve their lives by improving their credit score and move on to more traditional sources of financing.

**Dealer-Partners.** Our program increases Dealer-Partners’ profits in the following ways:

- Enables Dealer-Partners to sell cars to consumers who may not be able to obtain financing without our program. In addition, consumers often become repeat customers by financing future vehicle purchases either through our program or, after they have successfully established or reestablished their credit, through conventional financing.
- Allows Dealer-Partners to share in the profit, not only from the sale of the vehicle, but also from its financing.
- Enables Dealer-Partners to attract consumers by advertising “guaranteed credit approval”, where allowed by law. The consumers will often use other services of the Dealer-Partners and refer friends and relatives to them.
- Enables Dealer-Partners to attract consumers who mistakenly assume they do not qualify for conventional financing.

Business Segment Information

We currently operate in one reportable segment which represents our core business of offering auto loans, and related products and services to consumers through our network of Dealer-Partners. For information regarding our reportable segment and related entity-wide disclosures, see Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference.



Table of Contents

## Principal Business

We have two programs: the Portfolio Program and the Purchase Program. Under the Portfolio Program, we advance money to Dealer-Partners (referred to as a “Dealer Loan”) in exchange for the right to service the underlying Consumer Loans. Under the Purchase Program, we buy the Consumer Loans from the Dealer-Partners (referred to as a “Purchased Loan”) and keep all amounts collected from the consumer. Dealer Loans and Purchased Loans are collectively referred to as “Loans”. The following table shows the percentage of Consumer Loans assigned to us based on unit volumes under each of the programs for each of the last 12 quarters:

Quarter Ended	Portfolio Program	Purchase Program
March 31, 2009	82.3%	17.7%
June 30, 2009	86.0%	14.0%
September 30, 2009	89.0%	11.0%
December 31, 2009	90.8%	9.2%
March 31, 2010	90.9%	9.1%
June 30, 2010	90.5%	9.5%
September 30, 2010	90.5%	9.5%
December 31, 2010	91.8%	8.2%
March 31, 2011	92.9%	7.1%
June 30, 2011	92.1%	7.9%
September 30, 2011	92.3%	7.7%
December 31, 2011	92.6%	7.4%

## Portfolio Program

As payment for the vehicle, the Dealer-Partner generally receives the following:

- a down payment from the consumer;
  - a cash advance from us; and
- after the advance has been recovered by us, the cash from payments made on the Consumer Loan, net of certain collection costs and our servicing fee (“Dealer Holdback”).

We record the amount advanced to the Dealer-Partner as a Dealer Loan, which is classified within Loans receivable in our consolidated balance sheets. Cash advanced to the Dealer-Partner is automatically assigned to the Dealer-Partner’s open pool of advances. We generally require Dealer-Partners to group advances into pools of at least 100 Consumer Loans. At the Dealer-Partner’s option, a pool containing at least 100 Consumer Loans can be closed and subsequent advances assigned to a new pool. All advances within a Dealer-Partner’s pool are secured by the future collections on the related Consumer Loans assigned to the pool. For Dealer-Partners with more than one pool, the pools are cross-collateralized so the performance of other pools is considered in determining eligibility for Dealer Holdback. We perfect our security interest in the Dealer Loans by taking possession of the Consumer Loans, which list us as lien holder on the vehicle title.

The dealer servicing agreement provides that collections received by us during a calendar month on Consumer Loans assigned by a Dealer-Partner are applied on a pool-by-pool basis as follows:

- First, to reimburse us for certain collection costs;
- Second, to pay us our servicing fee, which generally equals 20% of collections;
-

Third, to reduce the aggregate advance balance and to pay any other amounts due from the Dealer-Partner to us;  
and

- Fourth, to the Dealer-Partner as payment of Dealer Holdback.

Table of Contents

If the collections on Consumer Loans from a Dealer-Partner's pool are not sufficient to repay the advance balance and any other amounts due to us, the Dealer-Partner will not receive Dealer Holdback.

Dealer-Partners have an opportunity to receive an accelerated Dealer Holdback payment each time 100 Consumer Loans have been assigned to us. The amount paid to the Dealer-Partner is calculated using a formula that considers the forecasted collections and the advance balance on the related Consumer Loans.

Since typically the combination of the advance and the consumer's down payment provides the Dealer-Partner with a cash profit at the time of sale, the Dealer-Partner's risk in the Consumer Loan is limited. We cannot demand repayment of the advance from the Dealer-Partner except in the event the Dealer-Partner is in default of the dealer servicing agreement. Advances are made only after the consumer and Dealer-Partner have signed a Consumer Loan contract, we have received the original Consumer Loan contract and supporting documentation, and we have approved all of the related stipulations for funding. The Dealer-Partner can also opt to repurchase Consumer Loans that have been assigned to us under the Portfolio Program, at their discretion, for a fee.

For accounting purposes, the transactions described under the Portfolio Program are not considered to be loans to consumers. Instead, our accounting reflects that of a lender to the Dealer-Partner. The classification as a Dealer Loan for accounting purposes is primarily a result of (1) the Dealer-Partner's financial interest in the Consumer Loan and (2) certain elements of our legal relationship with the Dealer-Partner.

## Purchase Program

The Purchase Program differs from our Portfolio Program in that the Dealer-Partner receives a one-time payment from us at the time of assignment to purchase the Consumer Loan instead of a cash advance at the time of assignment and future Dealer Holdback payments. For accounting purposes, the transactions described under the Purchase Program are considered to be originated by the Dealer-Partner and then purchased by us.

## Program Enrollment

Dealer-Partners may enroll in our program by choosing one of our two enrollment options (referred to as "Option A" and "Option B"). In recent years, the terms of Option A have remained consistent while the terms of Option B have varied. The following table summarizes the terms of our enrollment options for the three year period ending December 31, 2011:

Effective Period	Option A	Option B
Since June 1, 2011	Upfront, one-time fee of \$9,850	Agreement to allow us to retain 50% of their first accelerated Dealer Holdback payment
From September 1, 2009 to May 31, 2011	Upfront, one-time fee of \$9,850	Upfront, one-time fee of \$1,950 and agreement to allow us to retain 50% of their first accelerated Dealer Holdback payment
Prior to September 1, 2009	Upfront, one-time fee of \$9,850	Agreement to allow us to retain 50% of their first accelerated Dealer Holdback payment



For all Dealer-Partners enrolling in our program, access to the Purchase Program is typically only granted after the first accelerated Dealer Holdback payment has been received under the Portfolio Program.

Table of Contents

## Revenue Sources

Credit Acceptance derives its revenues from the following principal sources:

- Finance charges, which are comprised of: (1) servicing fees earned as a result of servicing Consumer Loans assigned to us by Dealer-Partners under the Portfolio Program, (2) finance charge income from Purchased Loans, (3) fees earned from our third party ancillary product offerings, (4) monthly program fees of \$599, charged to Dealer-Partners under the Portfolio Program; and (5) fees associated with certain Loans;
  - Premiums earned on the reinsurance of vehicle service contracts; and
- Other income, which primarily consists of: ancillary product profit sharing income, dealer support products and services, marketing income, and dealer enrollment fees. For additional information, see Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements contained in Item 8 to this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference.

The following table sets forth the percent relationship to total revenue from continuing operations of each of these sources:

Percent of Total Revenue from Continuing Operations	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Finance charges	87.7%	87.8%	86.6%
Premiums earned	7.6%	7.4%	8.8%
Other income	4.7%	4.8%	4.6%
Total revenue from continuing operations	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Our business is seasonal with peak Consumer Loan acceptances and collections occurring during the first quarter of the year. However, this seasonality does not have a material impact on our interim results.

## Operations

**Sales and Marketing.** Our target market is approximately 55,000 independent and franchised automobile dealers in the United States. We have market area managers located throughout the United States that market our programs to prospective Dealer-Partners, enroll new Dealer-Partners, and support active Dealer-Partners. The number of Dealer-Partner enrollments and active Dealer-Partners for each of the last five years are presented in the table below:

For the Years Ended December 31,	Dealer-Partner	Active
	Enrollments	Dealer-Partners (1)
2007	1,835	2,827
2008	1,646	3,264
2009	1,338	3,168
2010	1,263	3,206
2011	1,953	3,998

(1) Active Dealer-Partners are Dealer-Partners who have received funding for at least one Loan during the period.

Once Dealer-Partners have enrolled in our programs, the market area managers work closely with the newly enrolled Dealer-Partners to help them successfully launch our programs within their dealerships. Market area managers also provide active Dealer-Partners with ongoing support and consulting focused on improving the Dealer-Partners' success on our programs, including assistance with increasing the volume and performance of Consumer Loan assignments.

Dealer Servicing Agreement. As a part of the enrollment process, a new Dealer-Partner is required to enter into a dealer servicing agreement with Credit Acceptance that defines the legal relationship between Credit Acceptance and the Dealer-Partner. The dealer servicing agreement assigns the responsibilities for administering, servicing, and collecting the amounts due on Consumer Loans from the Dealer-Partners to us. Under the typical dealer servicing agreement, a Dealer-Partner represents that it will only assign Consumer Loans to us that satisfy criteria established by us, meet certain conditions with respect to their binding nature and the status of the security interest in the purchased vehicle, and comply with applicable state, federal and foreign laws and regulations.

Table of Contents

The typical dealer servicing agreement may be terminated by us or by the Dealer-Partner upon written notice. We may terminate the dealer servicing agreement immediately in the case of an event of default by the Dealer-Partner. Events of default include, among other things:

- the Dealer-Partner's refusal to allow us to audit its records relating to the Consumer Loans assigned to us;
- the Dealer-Partner, without our consent, is dissolved; merges or consolidates with an entity not affiliated with the Dealer-Partner; or sells a material part of its assets outside the course of its business to an entity not affiliated with the Dealer-Partner; or
  - the appointment of a receiver for, or the bankruptcy or insolvency of, the Dealer-Partner.

While a Dealer-Partner can cease assigning Consumer Loans to us at any time without terminating the dealer servicing agreement, if the Dealer-Partner elects to terminate the dealer servicing agreement or in the event of a default, we have the right to require that the Dealer-Partner immediately pay us:

- any unreimbursed collection costs on Dealer Loans;
- any unpaid advances and all amounts owed by the Dealer-Partner to us; and
- a termination fee equal to 15% of the then outstanding amount of the Consumer Loans assigned to us.

Upon receipt of such amounts in full, we reassign the Consumer Loans and our security interest in the financed vehicles to the Dealer-Partner.

In the event of a termination of the dealer servicing agreement by us, we may continue to service Consumer Loans assigned by Dealer-Partners accepted prior to termination in the normal course of business without charging a termination fee.

Consumer Loan Assignment. Once a Dealer-Partner has enrolled in our programs, the Dealer-Partner may begin assigning Consumer Loans to us. For accounting purposes, a Consumer Loan is considered to have been assigned to us after all of the following has occurred:

- the consumer and Dealer-Partner have signed a Consumer Loan contract;
- we have received the original Consumer Loan contract and supporting documentation;
  - we have approved all of the related stipulations for funding; and
- we have provided funding to the Dealer-Partner in the form of either an advance under the Portfolio Program or one-time purchase payment under the Purchase Program.

A Consumer Loan is originated by the Dealer-Partner when a consumer enters into a contract with a Dealer-Partner that sets forth the terms of the agreement between the consumer and the Dealer-Partner for the payment of the purchase price of the vehicle. The amount of the Consumer Loan consists of the total principal and interest that the consumer is required to pay over the term of the Consumer Loan. In the majority of states, Consumer Loans are written on a contract form provided by us. Although the Dealer-Partner is named in the Consumer Loan contract, the Dealer-Partner generally does not have legal ownership of the Consumer Loan for more than a moment and we, not the Dealer-Partner, are listed as lien holder on the vehicle title. Consumers are obligated to make payments on the Consumer Loan directly to us, and any failure to make such payments will result in us pursuing payment through collection efforts.

Table of Contents

Virtually all Consumer Loans submitted to us for assignment are processed through our Credit Approval Processing System (“CAPS”). CAPS allows Dealer-Partners to input a consumer’s credit application and view the response from us via the Internet. CAPS allows Dealer-Partners to: (1) receive a quick approval from us; and (2) interact with our proprietary credit scoring system to optimize the structure of each transaction prior to delivery. All responses include the amount of funding (advance for a Dealer Loan or purchase price for a Purchased Loan), as well as any stipulations required for funding. The amount of funding is determined using a formula which considers a number of factors including the timing and amount of cash flows expected on the related Consumer Loan and our target return on capital at the time the Consumer Loan is submitted to us for assignment. The estimated future cash flows are determined based upon our proprietary credit scoring system, which considers numerous variables, including attributes contained in the consumer’s credit bureau report, data contained in the consumer’s credit application, the structure of the proposed transaction, vehicle information and other factors, to calculate a composite credit score that corresponds to an expected collection rate. Our proprietary credit scoring system forecasts the collection rate based upon the historical performance of Consumer Loans in our portfolio that share similar characteristics. The performance of our proprietary credit scoring system is evaluated monthly by comparing projected to actual Consumer Loan performance. Adjustments are made to our proprietary credit scoring system as necessary. For additional information on adjustments to forecasted collection rates, please see the Critical Accounting Estimates section in Item 7 of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference.

While a Dealer-Partner can submit any legally compliant Consumer Loan to us for assignment, the decision whether to provide funding to the Dealer-Partner and the amount of any funding is made solely by us. Through our Dealer-Partner Service Center (“DPSC”) department, we perform all significant functions relating to the processing of the Consumer Loan applications and bear certain costs of Consumer Loan assignment, including the cost of assessing the adequacy of Consumer Loan documentation, compliance with underwriting and legal guidelines and the cost of verifying employment, residence and other information provided by the Dealer-Partner. We use a company in India to support the DPSC in reviewing Consumer Loan documentation for legal compliance.

We audit Consumer Loan files for legal and underwriting guidelines on a daily basis in order to assess whether our Dealer-Partners are operating in accordance with the terms and conditions of our dealer servicing agreement. We occasionally identify breaches of the dealer servicing agreement and depending upon the circumstances, and at our discretion, we may change pricing or charge the Dealer-Partner fees for future Consumer Loan assignments; require the Consumer Loan(s) to be repurchased; or terminate our relationship with the Dealer-Partner.

Our business model allows us to share the risk and reward of collecting on the Consumer Loans with the Dealer-Partners. Such sharing is intended to motivate the Dealer-Partner to assign better quality Consumer Loans, follow our underwriting guidelines, comply with various legal regulations, meet our credit compliance requirements, and provide appropriate service and support to the consumer after the sale. In addition, the DPSC works closely with Dealer-Partners to assist them in resolving any documentation deficiencies or funding stipulations. We believe this arrangement aligns our interests with the interests of the Dealer-Partner and the consumer.

We measure various criteria for each Dealer-Partner against other Dealer-Partners in their area as well as the top performing Dealer-Partners. Dealer-Partners are assigned a dealer rating based upon the performance of their Consumer Loans in both the Portfolio and Purchase Programs as well as other criteria. The dealer rating is one of the factors used to determine the amount paid to Dealer-Partners as an advance or to acquire a Purchased Loan. We provide each Dealer-Partner a monthly statement summarizing all activity that occurred on their Consumer Loan assignments.

Information on our Consumer Loans is presented in the following table:

Edgar Filing: CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORP - Form 10-K

Average Consumer Loan Data	For the Years Ended December 31,				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Average size of Consumer Loan accepted	\$ 15,686	\$ 14,480	\$ 12,689	\$ 14,518	\$ 13,878
Percentage growth (decline) in average size of Consumer Loan	8.3%	14.1%	-12.6%	4.6%	9.1%
Average initial term (in months)	46	41	38	42	41

## Table of Contents

The increases in the average size of Consumer Loans accepted and the average initial term of the Consumer Loans during 2011 were primarily due to pricing changes we made during the first and fourth quarters of 2010 and the second and third quarters of 2011.

**Servicing.** Our largest group of collectors service Consumer Loans that are in the early stages of delinquency. Collection efforts typically consist of placing a call to the consumer within one day of the missed payment due date, although efforts may begin later for some segments of accounts. Consumer Loans are segmented into dialing pools by various phone contact profiles in an effort to maximize contact with the consumer. Our collectors work with consumers to attempt to reach a solution that will help them avoid becoming further past due and get them current where possible.

The decision to repossess a vehicle is based on statistical models or policy based criteria. When a Consumer Loan is approved for repossession, the account is transferred to our repossession team. Repossession personnel continue to service the Consumer Loan as it is being assigned to a third party repossession contractor, who works on a contingency fee basis. Once a vehicle has been repossessed, the consumer can negotiate to redeem the vehicle, whereupon the vehicle is returned to the consumer in exchange for paying off the Consumer Loan balance; or, where appropriate or if required by law, the vehicle is returned to the consumer and the Consumer Loan is reinstated in exchange for a payment that reduces or eliminates the past due balance. If neither process is successful, the vehicle is sold at a wholesale automobile auction. Prior to sale, the vehicle is typically inspected by a representative at the auction who provides repair and reconditioning recommendations. Alternatively, our remarketing representatives may inspect the vehicle directly. Our remarketing representatives then authorize any repair and reconditioning work in order to maximize the net sale proceeds at auction.

If the vehicle sale proceeds are not sufficient to satisfy the balance owing on the Consumer Loan, the Consumer Loan is serviced by either: (1) our internal collection team, in the event the consumer is willing to make payments on the deficiency balance; or (2) where permitted by law, our external collection team, if it is believed that legal action is required to reduce the deficiency balance owing on the Consumer Loan. Our external collection team generally assigns Consumer Loans to third party collection attorneys who work on a contingency fee basis.

Collectors rely on two systems; the Collection System (“CS”) and the Loan Servicing System (“LSS”). The CS interfaces with a predictive dialer and records all activity on a Consumer Loan, including details of past phone conversations with the consumer, collection letters sent, promises to pay, broken promises, repossession orders and collection attorney activity. The LSS maintains a record of all transactions relating to Consumer Loans assigned after July 1990 and is a primary source of data utilized to:

- determine the outstanding balance of the Consumer Loans;
  - forecast future collections;
- establish the amount of revenue recognized by us;
  - calculate Dealer Holdback payments;
  - analyze the profitability of our program; and
  - evaluate our proprietary credit scoring system.

We outsource a portion of our collection function to companies in India and in Costa Rica. These outsourced collectors service accounts using the CS and typically service accounts that are less than sixty days past due.

Table of Contents

Ancillary Products

We provide Dealer-Partners the ability to offer vehicle service contracts to consumers. A vehicle service contract provides the consumer protection by paying for the repair or replacement of certain components of the vehicle in the event of a mechanical failure. We have relationships with third party administrators (“TPAs”) whereby the TPAs process claims on vehicle service contracts that are underwritten by third party insurers. We receive a fee for all vehicle service contracts sold by our Dealer-Partners when the vehicle is financed by us. The fee is included in the retail price of the vehicle service contract which is added to the Consumer Loan. We provide Dealer-Partners with an additional advance based on the retail price of the vehicle service contract. We recognize our fee from the vehicle service contracts as part of finance charges on a level-yield basis based upon forecasted cash flows. We bear the risk of loss for claims on certain vehicle service contracts that are reinsured by us. Effective January 1, 2010, the fee we receive increased due to a change in our relationship with the TPAs. Prior to 2010, we relied on the TPAs to market their vehicle service contracts to our Dealer-Partners. Effective January 1, 2010, we now market the vehicle service contracts directly to our Dealer-Partners.

VSC Re Company (“VSC Re”), our wholly-owned subsidiary, is engaged in the business of reinsuring coverage under vehicle service contracts sold to consumers by Dealer-Partners on vehicles financed by us. Prior to October 31, 2009, VSC Re reinsured vehicle service contracts that were underwritten by two of our third party insurers. Since October 31, 2009, VSC Re has reinsured vehicle service contracts that are underwritten by one of our third party insurers. Vehicle service contract premiums, which represent the selling price of the vehicle service contract to the consumer, less fees and certain administrative costs, are contributed to trust accounts controlled by VSC Re. These premiums are used to fund claims covered under the vehicle service contracts. VSC Re is a bankruptcy remote entity. As such, our exposure to fund claims is limited to the trust assets controlled by VSC Re and our net investment in VSC Re. We formed VSC Re in order to enhance our control and security of the trust assets that are used to pay future vehicle service contract claims. The amount of income we earn from the vehicle service contracts over time is not impacted by the formation of VSC Re, as both before and after the formation, the income we recognize, excluding our fees, is based on the amount by which vehicle service contract premiums exceed claims. The only change in our risk associated with adverse claims experience relates to our net investment in VSC Re, which is now at risk in the event claims exceed premiums. Under the prior structure, our risk was limited to the amount of premiums contributed to the trusts.

We also have relationships with TPAs that allow Dealer-Partners to offer a Guaranteed Asset Protection (“GAP”) product to consumers whereby the TPA processes claims that are underwritten by a third party insurer. GAP provides the consumer protection by paying the difference between the loan balance and the amount covered by the consumer's insurance policy in the event of a total loss of the vehicle due to severe damage or theft. We receive a fee for all GAP contracts sold by our Dealer-Partners when the vehicle is financed by us, and do not bear any risk of loss for claims. The fee is included in the retail price of the GAP contract which is added to the Consumer Loan. We provide Dealer-Partners with an additional advance based on the retail price of the GAP contract. We recognize our fee from the GAP contracts as part of finance charges on a level-yield basis based upon forecasted cash flows. Our agreement with one of our TPAs allows us to receive profit sharing payments depending on the performance of the GAP program. Profit sharing payments from the third party are received once a year, if eligible.

During 2006, we began to provide Dealer-Partners in certain states the ability to purchase Global Positioning Systems (“GPS”) with Starter Interrupt Devices (“SID”). Through this program, Dealer-Partners can install a GPS-based SID (“GPS-SID”) on vehicles financed by us that can be activated if the consumer fails to make payments on their account, and can result in the prompt repossession of the vehicle. Dealer-Partners purchase the GPS-SID directly from third parties. The third parties pay us a marketing fee for each device sold and installed, at which time the marketing fee revenue is recognized in other income within our consolidated statements of income.





Table of Contents

Discontinued Operations

Effective June 30, 2003, we stopped originating Consumer Loans in the United Kingdom and we sold the remainder of the portfolio on December 30, 2005. The United Kingdom business was formally dissolved in 2010. The results for the United Kingdom business are reported as a discontinued operation in the consolidated statements of income for all periods presented.

Competition

The market for consumers who do not qualify for conventional automobile financing is large and highly competitive. The market is currently served by “buy here, pay here” dealerships, banks, captive finance affiliates of automobile manufacturers, credit unions and independent finance companies both publicly and privately owned. Many of these companies are much larger and have greater resources than us. We compete by offering a profitable and efficient method for Dealer-Partners to finance customers who would be more difficult or less profitable to finance through other methods. In addition, we compete on the basis of the level of service provided by our DPSC and sales personnel.

Table of Contents

## Customer and Geographic Concentrations

No single Dealer-Partner accounted for more than 10% of total revenues during any of the last three years. Additionally, no single Dealer-Partner's Loans receivable balance accounted for more than 10% of total Loans receivable balance as of December 31, 2011 or 2010. The following tables provide information regarding the five states that were responsible for the largest dollar volume of Consumer Loan assignments and the related number of active Dealer-Partners during 2011, 2010 and 2009:

(In thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2011			
	Consumer Loan Assignments		Active Dealer-Partners	
	Dollar			
	Volume (1)	% of Total	Number	% of Total
Michigan	\$ 135,272	10.6%	282	7.1%
New York	98,631	7.7%	228	5.7%
Texas	80,895	6.3%	313	7.8%
Ohio	73,841	5.8%	243	6.1%
Pennsylvania	66,894	5.3%	184	4.6%
All other states	819,201	64.3%	2,748	68.7%
Total	\$ 1,274,734	100.0%	3,998	100.0%

(In thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010			
	Consumer Loan Assignments		Active Dealer-Partners	
	Dollar			
	Volume (1)	% of Total	Number	% of Total
Michigan	\$ 92,694	10.4%	224	7.0%
New York	74,072	8.4%	190	5.9%
Texas	54,406	6.1%	250	7.8%
Ohio	51,271	5.8%	201	6.3%
Mississippi	45,369	5.1%	81	2.5%
All other states	569,527	64.2%	2,260	70.5%
Total	\$ 887,339	100.0%	3,206	100.0%

(In thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2009			
	Consumer Loan Assignments		Active Dealer-Partners	
	Dollar			
	Volume (1)	% of Total	Number	% of Total
Michigan	\$ 63,960	10.3%	197	6.2%
New York	45,129	7.3%	178	5.6%
Texas	44,912	7.3%	250	7.9%
Ohio	36,186	5.8%	187	5.9%
Alabama	32,933	5.3%	126	4.0%
All other states	396,256	64.0%	2,230	70.4%
Total	\$ 619,376	100.0%	3,168	100.0%

(1)

Represents advances paid to Dealer-Partners on Consumer Loans assigned under our Portfolio Program and one-time payments made to Dealer-Partners to purchase Consumer Loans assigned under our Purchase Program. Payments of Dealer Holdback and accelerated Dealer Holdback are not included.

(2) Active Dealer-Partners are Dealer-Partners who have received funding for at least one Loan during the year.

#### Geographic Financial Information

For the three years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, revenues from continuing operations were primarily derived from operations in the United States and long-lived assets were primarily located in the United States. For additional geographic financial information, see Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

Regulation

Our business is subject to laws and regulations, including the Truth in Lending Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Fair Credit Reporting Act and other various state and federal laws and regulations. These laws and regulations, among other things, require licensing and qualification; limit interest rates, fees and other charges associated with the Consumer Loans assigned to us; require specified disclosures by Dealer-Partners to consumers; govern the sale and terms of ancillary products; and define the rights to repossess and sell collateral. Failure to comply with these laws or regulations could have a material adverse effect on us by, among other things, limiting the jurisdictions in which we may operate, restricting our ability to realize the value of the collateral securing the Consumer Loans, making it more costly or burdensome to do business or resulting in potential liability. The volume of new or modified laws and regulations has increased in recent years and has increased significantly in response to issues arising with respect to consumer lending. From time to time, legislation and regulations are enacted which increase the cost of doing business, limit or expand permissible activities or affect the competitive balance among financial services providers. Proposals to change the laws and regulations governing the operations and taxation of financial institutions and financial services providers are frequently made in the U.S. Congress, in the state legislatures and by various regulatory agencies. This legislation may change our operating environment in substantial and unpredictable ways and may have a material adverse effect on our business.

On July 21, 2010, the President signed into law the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”), which significantly changes the regulation of financial institutions and the financial services industry. Among other things, the Dodd-Frank Act establishes as an independent bureau within the Federal Reserve, the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (commonly referred to as the CFPB), which has been given the authority to promulgate consumer protection regulations applicable to entities offering consumer financial services or products, including non-bank commercial companies in the business of extending credit and servicing consumer loans. The designated “transfer” date, upon which many of the CFPB’s authorities became effective, was July 21, 2011; other CFPB authorities became effective upon the appointment of a permanent director on January 4, 2012. The CFPB is authorized generally to ensure consistent enforcement of laws so that all consumers have access to markets for consumer financial products and services that are fair, transparent and competitive. The CFPB has rulemaking and interpretive authority under the Dodd-Frank Act and other Federal consumer financial laws, as well as broad supervisory, examination, and enforcement authority over providers of consumer financial products and services. State officials are also generally authorized to enforce consumer protection rules issued by the CFPB and other requirements of the Dodd-Frank Act. Additionally, the CFPB is specifically authorized, among other things, to take actions to prevent covered persons and service providers from engaging in unfair, deceptive or abusive acts or practices in connection with consumer financial products and services, and to issue rules requiring enhanced disclosures regarding the features of any consumer financial product or service, and may restrict the use of pre-dispute mandatory arbitration clauses in contracts between covered persons and consumers for a consumer financial product or service.

The Dodd-Frank Act contains numerous other provisions affecting financial industry participants of all types, many of which may have an impact on our operating environment in substantial and unpredictable ways. The Dodd-Frank Act and regulations promulgated thereunder, including by the CFPB, are likely to affect our cost of doing business, may limit or expand our permissible activities, may affect the competitive balance within our industry and market areas and could have a material adverse effect on us. Our management continues to assess the Dodd-Frank Act’s probable impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations, and to monitor developments involving the entities charged with promulgating regulations thereunder. However, the ultimate effect of the Dodd-Frank Act on the financial services industry in general, and on us in particular, is uncertain at this time. For example, on January 5, 2012, the CFPB announced the launch of its non-bank supervision program under Title X of the Dodd-Frank Act. The CFPB’s supervision of non-banks – companies that offer or provide consumer financial products or services but do not

have a bank, thrift, or credit union charter, such as our business – will roll out in phases. The nature and extent of future legislative and regulatory changes affecting financial institutions and non-bank commercial companies, including as a result of the Dodd-Frank Act and the non-bank supervision program under Title X, is very unpredictable at this time, and any changes could have a material adverse effect on us. Additional legislative or regulatory action that may impact our business may result from the multiple studies mandated under the Dodd-Frank Act. We are unable to predict the nature, extent, or impact of any such studies, which may occur in the future.

Table of Contents

In addition, governmental regulations which would deplete the supply of used vehicles, such as environmental protection regulations governing emissions or fuel consumption, could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our Dealer-Partners must also comply with credit and trade practice statutes and regulations. Failure of our Dealer-Partners to comply with these statutes and regulations could result in consumers having rights of rescission and other remedies that could have a material adverse effect on us.

The sale of vehicle service contracts and GAP by Dealer-Partners in connection with Consumer Loans assigned to us from Dealer-Partners is also subject to state laws and regulations. As we are the holder of the Consumer Loans that may, in part, finance these products, some of these state laws and regulations may apply to our servicing and collection of the Consumer Loans. Although these laws and regulations do not significantly affect our business, there can be no assurance that insurance or other regulatory authorities in the jurisdictions in which these products are offered by Dealer-Partners will not seek to regulate or restrict the operation of our business in these jurisdictions. Any regulation or restriction of our business in these jurisdictions could materially adversely affect the income received from these products.

We believe that we maintain all material licenses and permits required for our current operations and are in substantial compliance with all applicable laws and regulations. Our agreements with Dealer-Partners provide that the Dealer-Partner shall indemnify us with respect to any loss or expense we incur as a result of the Dealer-Partner's failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations.

#### Team Members

Our team members are organized into three operating functions: Originations, Servicing, and Support.

**Originations.** The originations function includes team members that are responsible for marketing our programs to prospective Dealer-Partners, enrolling new Dealer-Partners, and supporting active Dealer-Partners. Originations also includes team members responsible for processing new Consumer Loan assignments.

**Servicing.** The servicing function includes team members that are responsible for servicing the Consumer Loans. The majority of these team members are responsible for collection activities on delinquent Consumer Loans.

**Support.** The support function includes team members that are responsible for finance, information technology, policy & compliance, business information services, analytics, corporate legal, training & development, and human resources activities.

As of December 31, 2011, we had 1,037 full and part-time team members. Our team members have no union affiliations and we believe our relationship with our team members is in good standing. The table below presents team members by operating function:

Operating Function	Number of Team Members	
	As of December 31,	
	2011	2010
Originations	306	245
Servicing	491	411
Support	240	206
Total	1,037	862

Available Information

Our Internet address is [creditacceptance.com](http://creditacceptance.com). We make available, free of charge on the web site, copies of reports we file with or furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file or furnish such reports.



Table of Contents

ITEM 1A.

RISK FACTORS

Our inability to accurately forecast and estimate the amount and timing of future collections could have a material adverse effect on results of operations.

Substantially all of the Consumer Loans assigned to us are made to individuals with impaired or limited credit histories or higher debt-to-income ratios than are permitted by traditional lenders. Consumer Loans made to these individuals generally entail a higher risk of delinquency, default and repossession and higher losses than loans made to consumers with better credit. Since most of our revenue and cash flows from operations are generated from these Consumer Loans, our ability to accurately forecast Consumer Loan performance is critical to our business and financial results. At the time of assignment, we forecast future expected cash flows from the Consumer Loan. Based on these forecasts, which include estimates for wholesale vehicle prices in the event of vehicle repossession and sale, we make an advance or one-time purchase payment to the related Dealer-Partner at a level designed to achieve an acceptable return on capital. We continue to forecast the expected collection rate of each Consumer Loan subsequent to assignment. These forecasts also serve as a critical assumption in our accounting for recognizing finance charge income and determining our allowance for credit losses. Please see the Critical Accounting Estimates – Finance Charge Revenue & Allowance for Credit Losses section in Item 7 of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference. If Consumer Loan performance equals or exceeds original expectations, it is likely our target return on capital will be achieved. However, actual cash flows from any individual Consumer Loan are often different than cash flows estimated at the time of assignment. There can be no assurance that our forecasts will be accurate or that Consumer Loan performance will be as expected. Recent economic conditions have made forecasts regarding the performance of Consumer Loans more difficult. In the event that our forecasts are not accurate, our financial position, liquidity and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

We may be unable to execute our business strategy due to current economic conditions.

Our financial position, liquidity and results of operations depend on management's ability to execute our business strategy. Key factors involved in the execution of our business strategy include achieving our desired Consumer Loan assignment volume, continued and successful use of CAPS and pricing strategy, the use of effective credit risk management techniques and servicing strategies, continued investment in technology to support operating efficiency and continued access to funding and liquidity sources. Although our pricing strategy is intended to maximize the amount of economic profit we generate, within the confines of capital and infrastructure constraints, there can be no assurance that this strategy will have its intended effect. Please see the Consumer Loan Volume section in Item 7 of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference. Our failure or inability to execute any element of our business strategy could materially adversely affect our financial position, liquidity and results of operations.

We may be unable to continue to access or renew funding sources and obtain capital needed to maintain and grow our business.

We use debt financing to fund new Loans and pay Dealer Holdback. We currently utilize the following primary forms of debt financing: (1) a revolving secured line of credit; (2) revolving secured warehouse ("Warehouse") facilities; (3) asset-backed secured financings ("Term ABS"); and (4) 9.125% First Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2017 ("Senior Notes"). We cannot guarantee that the revolving secured line of credit or the Warehouse facilities will continue to be available beyond their current maturity dates, on acceptable terms, or at all, or that we will be able to obtain additional financing on acceptable terms or at all. The availability of additional financing will depend on a variety of factors such as market conditions, the general availability of credit, our financial position, our results of operations, and the capacity for additional borrowing under our existing financing arrangements. If our various financing alternatives were to become limited or unavailable, we may be unable to maintain or grow Consumer Loan volume at the level

that we anticipate and our operations could be materially adversely affected.

Table of Contents

The terms of our debt limit how we conduct our business.

The agreements that govern our debt contain covenants that restrict our ability to, among other things:

- incur and guarantee debt;
- pay dividends or make other distributions on or redeem or repurchase our stock;
  - make investments or acquisitions;
  - create liens on our assets;
    - sell assets;
  - merge with or into other companies; and
- enter into transactions with stockholders and other affiliates.

Some of our debt agreements also impose requirements that we maintain specified financial measures not in excess of, or not below, specified levels. In particular, our revolving credit facility requires, among other things, that we maintain (i) as of the end of each fiscal quarter, a ratio of consolidated funded debt to consolidated tangible net worth at or below a specified maximum; (ii) as of the end of each fiscal quarter calculated for the two fiscal quarters then ending, consolidated net income of not less than a specified minimum; and (iii) as of the end of each fiscal quarter, a ratio of consolidated income available for fixed charges for the period of four consecutive fiscal quarters most recently ended to consolidated fixed charges for that period of not less than a specified minimum. These covenants limit the manner in which we can conduct our business and could prevent us from engaging in favorable business activities or financing future operations and capital needs and impair our ability to successfully execute our strategy and operate our business.

A breach of any of the covenants in our debt instruments would result in an event of default thereunder if not promptly cured or waived. Any continuing default would permit the creditors to accelerate the related debt, which could also result in the acceleration of other debt containing a cross-acceleration or cross-default provision. In addition, an event of default under our revolving credit facility would permit the lenders thereunder to terminate all commitments to extend further credit under our revolving credit facility. Furthermore, if we were unable to repay the amounts due and payable under our revolving credit facility or other secured debt, the lenders thereunder could cause the collateral agent to proceed against the collateral securing that debt. In the event our creditors accelerate the repayment of our debt, there can be no assurance that we would have sufficient assets to repay that debt, and our financial condition, liquidity and results of operations would suffer.

A violation of the terms of our Term ABS facilities or Warehouse facilities could have a materially adverse impact on our operations.

Under our Term ABS facilities and our Warehouse facilities, (1) we have various obligations and covenants as servicer and custodian of the Consumer Loans contributed thereto and in our individual capacity and (2) the special purpose subsidiaries to which we contribute Consumer Loans have various obligations and covenants. A violation of any of these obligations or covenants by us or the special purpose subsidiaries, respectively, may result in our being unable to obtain additional funding under our Warehouse facilities, the termination of our servicing rights and the loss of servicing fees, and may result in amounts outstanding under our Term ABS financings and our Warehouse facilities becoming immediately due and payable. In addition, the violation of any financial covenant under our revolving secured line of credit facility is an event of default or termination event under the Term ABS facilities and our Warehouse facilities. The lack of availability from any or all of these Term ABS facilities and Warehouse facilities may have a material adverse effect on our financial position, liquidity, and results of operations.

Table of Contents

The conditions of the U.S. and international capital markets may adversely affect lenders with which we have relationships, causing us to incur additional costs and reducing our sources of liquidity, which may adversely affect our financial position, liquidity and results of operations.

Over the past several years, there has been turbulence in the global capital markets and the overall economy. Such turbulence can result in disruptions in the financial sector and affect lenders with which we have relationships. Disruptions in the financial sector may increase our exposure to credit risk and adversely affect the ability of lenders to perform under the terms of their lending arrangements with us. Failure by our lenders to perform under the terms of our lending arrangements could cause us to incur additional costs that may adversely affect our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations. While overall market conditions have improved, there can be no assurance that future disruptions in the financial sector will not occur that could have similar adverse effects on our business.

Our substantial debt could negatively impact our business, prevent us from satisfying our debt obligations and adversely affect our financial condition.

We have a substantial amount of debt. The substantial amount of our debt could have important consequences, including the following:

- our ability to obtain additional financing for Consumer Loan assignments, working capital, debt refinancing or other purposes could be impaired;
- a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations will be dedicated to paying principal and interest on our debt, reducing funds available for other purposes;
- we may be vulnerable to interest rate increases, as some of our borrowings, including those under our revolving credit facility, bear interest at variable rates;
  - we could be more vulnerable to adverse developments in our industry or in general economic conditions;
  - we may be restricted from taking advantage of business opportunities or making strategic acquisitions; and
- we may be limited in our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industries in which we operate.

Due to competition from traditional financing sources and non-traditional lenders, we may not be able to compete successfully.

The automobile finance market for consumers who do not qualify for conventional automobile financing is large and highly competitive. The market is served by a variety of companies including "buy here, pay here" dealerships. The market is also currently served by banks, captive finance affiliates of automobile manufacturers, credit unions and independent finance companies both publicly and privately owned. Many of these companies are much larger and have greater financial resources than are available to us, and many have long standing relationships with automobile dealerships. Providers of automobile financing have traditionally competed based on the interest rate charged, the quality of credit accepted, the flexibility of loan terms offered and the quality of service provided to dealers and consumers. There is potential that significant direct competition could emerge and that we may be unable to compete successfully. Additionally, if we are unsuccessful in maintaining and expanding our relationships with Dealer-Partners, we may be unable to accept Consumer Loans in the volume and on the terms that we anticipate.

We may not be able to generate sufficient cash flows to service our outstanding debt and fund operations and may be forced to take other actions to satisfy our obligations under such debt.

Our ability to make payments of principal and interest on indebtedness will depend in part on our cash flows from operations, which are subject to economic, financial, competitive and other factors beyond our control. We cannot assure you that we will maintain a level of cash flows from operations sufficient to permit us to meet our debt service obligations. If we are unable to generate sufficient cash flows from operations to service our debt, we may be required to sell assets, refinance all or a portion of our existing debt or obtain additional financing. There can be no assurance that any refinancing will be possible or that any asset sales or additional financing can be completed on acceptable terms or at all.

Table of Contents

Interest rate fluctuations may adversely affect our borrowing costs, profitability and liquidity.

Our profitability may be directly affected by the level of and fluctuations in interest rates, whether caused by changes in economic conditions or other factors, which affect our borrowing costs. Our profitability and liquidity could be materially adversely affected during any period of higher interest rates. We monitor the interest rate environment and employ strategies designed to mitigate the impact of increases in interest rates. We can provide no assurance, however, that our strategies will mitigate the impact of increases in interest rates.

Reduction in our credit rating could increase the cost of our funding from, and restrict our access to, the capital markets and adversely affect our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

Credit rating agencies evaluate us, and their ratings of our debt and creditworthiness are based on a number of factors. These factors include our financial strength and other factors not entirely within our control, including conditions affecting the financial services industry generally. In light of the recent difficulties that faced the financial services industry and the financial markets, there can be no assurance that we will maintain our current ratings. Failure to maintain those ratings could, among other things, adversely limit our access to the capital markets and affect the cost and other terms upon which we are able to obtain financing.

We may incur substantially more debt and other liabilities. This could exacerbate further the risks associated with our current debt levels.

Although the terms of our debt instruments contain restrictions on our ability to incur additional debt, we are able to incur a substantial amount of additional debt within these restrictions. In addition, our debt instruments do not prevent us from incurring liabilities that do not constitute indebtedness as defined for purposes of those debt instruments. If new debt or other liabilities are added to our current debt levels, the risks associated with our having substantial debt could intensify.

The regulation to which we are or may become subject could result in a material adverse effect on our business.

Reference should be made to Item 1. Business “Regulation” for a discussion of regulatory risk factors.

Adverse changes in economic conditions, the automobile or finance industries, or the non-prime consumer market could adversely affect our financial position, liquidity and results of operations, the ability of key vendors that we depend on to supply us with services, and our ability to enter into future financing transactions.

We are subject to general economic conditions which are beyond our control. Concerns over the availability and cost of credit, the U.S. mortgage market, a declining real estate market and geopolitical issues contribute to increased volatility and diminished expectations for the economy and financial markets going forward. During periods of economic slowdown or recession, delinquencies, defaults, repossessions and losses may increase on our Consumer Loans and Consumer Loan prepayments may decline. These periods are also typically accompanied by decreased consumer demand for automobiles and declining values of automobiles securing outstanding Consumer Loans, which weakens collateral coverage and increases the amount of a loss in the event of default. Significant increases in the inventory of used automobiles during periods of economic recession may also depress the prices at which repossessed automobiles may be sold or delay the timing of these sales. Additionally, higher gasoline prices, declining stock market values, unstable real estate values, resets of adjustable rate mortgages to higher interest rates, increasing unemployment levels, general availability of consumer credit or other factors that impact consumer confidence or disposable income could increase loss frequency and decrease consumer demand for automobiles as well as weaken collateral values of automobiles. Because our business is focused on consumers who do not qualify for conventional

automobile financing, the actual rates of delinquencies, defaults, repossessions and losses on these Consumer Loans could be higher than that of those experienced in the general automobile finance industry, and could be more dramatically affected by a general economic downturn.

Table of Contents

We rely on Dealer-Partners to originate Consumer Loans for assignment under our programs. High levels of Dealer-Partner attrition, due to a general economic downturn or otherwise, could materially adversely affect our operations. In addition, we rely on vendors to provide us with services we need to operate our business. Any disruption in our operations due to the untimely or discontinued supply of these services could substantially adversely affect our operations. Finally, during an economic slowdown or recession, our servicing costs may increase without a corresponding increase in finance charge revenue. Any sustained period of increased delinquencies, defaults, repossessions or losses or increased servicing costs could also materially adversely affect our financial position, liquidity and results of operations and our ability to enter into future financing transactions.

Litigation we are involved in from time to time may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

As a result of the consumer-oriented nature of the industry in which we operate and uncertainties with respect to the application of various laws and regulations in some circumstances, we are subject to various consumer claims and litigation seeking damages and statutory penalties, based upon, among other things, usury, disclosure inaccuracies, wrongful repossession, violations of bankruptcy stay provisions, certificate of title disputes, fraud and breach of contract. As the assignee of Consumer Loans originated by Dealer-Partners, we may also be named as a co-defendant in lawsuits filed by consumers principally against Dealer-Partners. We may also have disputes and litigation with Dealer-Partners relating to our dealer servicing and related agreements, including claims for, among other things, breach of contract or other duties purportedly owed to the Dealer-Partners. The damages and penalties that may be claimed by consumers or Dealer-Partners in these types of matters can be substantial. The relief requested by plaintiffs varies but may include requests for compensatory, statutory and punitive damages, and plaintiffs may seek treatment as purported class actions. A significant judgment against us in connection with any litigation or arbitration could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, liquidity and results of operations.

Changes in tax laws and the resolution of uncertain income tax matters could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and cash flows from operations.

We are subject to income tax in many of the various jurisdictions in which we operate. Increases in statutory income tax rates and other adverse changes in applicable law in these jurisdictions could have an adverse effect on our results of operations. In the ordinary course of business, there are transactions and calculations where the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. At any one time, multiple tax years are subject to audit by various taxing jurisdictions. We provide reserves for potential payments of tax to various tax authorities related to uncertain tax positions. Please see the Critical Accounting Estimates – Uncertain Tax Positions section in Item 7 of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference. We adjust these liabilities as a result of changing facts and circumstances; however, due to the complexity of some of these uncertainties, the ultimate resolution may result in a payment that is materially different from our current estimate of the tax liabilities. Such payments could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and cash flows from operations.

Our operations are dependent on technology.

Virtually all Consumer Loans submitted to us for assignment are processed through our internet-based CAPS application, which enables our Dealer-Partners to interact with our proprietary credit scoring system. Our Consumer Loan servicing platform is also technology based. We rely on these systems to record and process significant amounts of data quickly and accurately and believe that these systems provide us with a competitive advantage. All of these systems are dependent upon computer and telecommunications equipment, software systems and Internet access. The temporary or permanent loss of any components of these systems through hardware failures, software errors, the



vulnerability of the Internet, operating malfunctions or otherwise could interrupt our business operations, harm our business and adversely affect our competitive advantage. In addition, our competitors could create or acquire systems similar to ours, which would adversely affect our competitive advantage.

We rely on a variety of measures to protect our technology and proprietary information, including copyrights, trade secrets and patents. However, these measures may not prevent misappropriation or infringement of our intellectual property or proprietary information, which would adversely affect us. In addition, our competitors or other third parties may allege that our systems, processes or technologies infringe their intellectual property rights.

Table of Contents

Our ability to integrate computer and telecommunications technologies into our business is essential to our success. Computer and telecommunications technologies are evolving rapidly and are characterized by short product life cycles. We may not be successful in anticipating, managing or adopting technological changes on a timely basis. While we believe that our existing information systems are sufficient to meet our current demands and continued expansion, our future growth may require additional investment in these systems. We cannot assure that adequate capital resources will be available to us at the appropriate time.

Reliance on third parties to administer our ancillary product offerings could adversely affect our business and financial results.

We have relationships with third parties to administer vehicle service contract and GAP products underwritten by third party insurers and financed by us. We depend on these TPAs to evaluate and pay claims in an accurate and timely manner. We also have relationships with third parties to sell and administer GPS-SID. If our relationships with the TPAs were modified, disrupted, or terminated, we would need to obtain these services from an alternative administrator or provide them using our internal resources. We may be unable to replace these TPAs with a suitable alternative in a timely and efficient manner on terms we consider acceptable, or at all. In the event we were unable to effectively administer our ancillary products offerings, we may need to eliminate or suspend our ancillary product offerings from our future business, we may experience a decline in the performance of our Consumer Loans, our reputation in the marketplace could be undermined, and our financial position, liquidity and results of operations could be adversely affected.

We are dependent on our senior management and the loss of any of these individuals or an inability to hire additional team members could adversely affect our ability to operate profitably.

Our senior management average over 12 years of experience with us. Our success is dependent upon the management and the leadership skills of this team. In addition, competition from other companies to hire our team members possessing the necessary skills and experience required could contribute to an increase in team member turnover. The loss of any of these individuals or an inability to attract and retain additional qualified team members could adversely affect us. There can be no assurance that we will be able to retain our existing senior management or attract additional qualified team members.

Our reputation is a key asset to our business, and our business may be affected by how we are perceived in the marketplace.

Our reputation is a key asset to our business. Our ability to attract consumers through our Dealer-Partners is highly dependent upon external perceptions of our level of service, trustworthiness, business practices and financial condition. Negative publicity regarding these matters could damage our reputation among existing and potential consumers and Dealer-Partners, which could make it difficult for us to attract new consumers and Dealer-Partners and maintain existing Dealer-Partners. Adverse developments with respect to our industry may also, by association, negatively impact our reputation or result in greater regulatory or legislative scrutiny or litigation against us.

The concentration of our Dealer-Partners in several states could adversely affect us.

We are partnered with Dealer-Partners throughout the United States. During the year ended December 31, 2011, our five largest states (measured by advances paid to Dealer-Partners on Consumer Loans assigned under our Portfolio Program and one-time payments made to Dealer-Partners to purchase Consumer Loans assigned under our Purchase Program) contained 31.3% of our Dealer-Partners. While we believe we have a diverse geographic presence, for the

near term, we expect that significant amounts of Consumer Loan assignments will continue to be generated by Dealer-Partners in these five states due to the number of Dealer-Partners in these states and currently prevailing economic, demographic, regulatory, competitive and other conditions in these states. Changes to conditions in these states could lead to an increase in Dealer-Partner attrition or a reduction in demand for our service that could materially adversely affect our financial position, liquidity and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Failure to properly safeguard confidential consumer information could subject us to liability, decrease our profitability and damage our reputation.

In the ordinary course of our business, we collect and store sensitive data, including our proprietary business information and personally identifiable information of our customers and employees, on our computer networks. The secure processing, maintenance and transmission of this information is critical to our operations and business strategy.

If third parties or our team members are able to breach our network security or otherwise misappropriate our customers' personal information or loan information, or if we give third parties or our team members improper access to our customers' personal information or loan information, we could be subject to liability. This liability could include identity theft or other similar fraud-related claims. This liability could also include claims for other misuses or losses of personal information, including for unauthorized marketing purposes. Other liabilities could include claims alleging misrepresentation of our privacy and data security practices.

We rely on encryption and authentication technology licensed from third parties to provide the security and authentication necessary to secure online transmission of confidential consumer information. Advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries in the field of cryptography or other events or developments may result in a compromise or breach of the algorithms that we use to protect sensitive customer transaction data. A party who is able to circumvent our security measures could misappropriate proprietary information or cause interruptions in our operations. We may be required to expend capital and other resources to protect against security breaches or to alleviate problems caused by security breaches. Our security measures are designed to protect against security breaches, but our failure to prevent security breaches could subject us to liability, decrease our profitability and damage our reputation.

Our Chairman and founder controls a significant percentage of our common stock, has the ability to significantly influence matters requiring shareholder approval and has interests which may conflict with the interests of our other security holders.

Our Chairman and founder owns a large enough stake of the Company to significantly influence matters presented to shareholders, including the election and removal of directors, the approval of significant corporate transactions, such as any reclassification, reorganization, merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets, and the control of our management and affairs, including executive compensation arrangements. His interests may conflict with the interests of our other security holders.

Reliance on our outsourced business functions could adversely affect our business.

We outsource a portion of our collections functions to companies in India and Costa Rica and a portion of our DPSC functions to a company in India. While we believe there are benefits to these arrangements, outsourcing increases our operational complexity and decreases our control. We rely on these service providers to provide a high level of service and support, which subjects us to risks associated with inadequate or untimely service. For example, the outsourcing of collection functions could result in lower collection rates on our Consumer Loans than we would have achieved had we performed the same functions internally. In addition, if these outsourcing arrangements were not renewed or were terminated or the services provided to us were otherwise disrupted, we would have to obtain these services from an alternative provider or provide them using our internal resources. We may be unable to replace, or be delayed in replacing these sources and there is a risk that we would be unable to enter into a similar agreement with an alternate provider on terms that we consider favorable or in a timely manner. In the future, we may outsource other

business functions. If any of these or other risks related to outsourcing were realized, our financial position, liquidity and results of operations could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Natural disasters, acts of war, terrorist attacks and threats or the escalation of military activity in response to these attacks or otherwise may negatively affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Natural disasters, acts of war, terrorist attacks and the escalation of military activity in response to these attacks or otherwise may have negative and significant effects, such as imposition of increased security measures, changes in applicable laws, market disruptions and job losses. These events may have an adverse effect on the economy in general. Moreover, the potential for future terrorist attacks and the national and international responses to these threats could affect the business in ways that cannot be predicted. The effect of any of these events or threats could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM PROPERTIES

2.

Our headquarters is located at 25505 West Twelve Mile Road, Southfield, Michigan 48034. We purchased the office building in 1993 and have a mortgage loan from a commercial bank that is secured by a first mortgage lien on the property. The office building includes approximately 136,000 square feet of space on five floors. We occupy approximately 120,000 square feet of the building, with most of the remainder of the building leased to various tenants.

We lease approximately 14,000 square feet of office space in Southfield, Michigan and approximately 20,000 square feet of office space in Henderson, Nevada. The leases for the Southfield, Michigan space expire in April 2013 and August 2013. The lease for the Henderson, Nevada space expires in November 2014.

ITEM LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

3.

In the normal course of business and as a result of the consumer-oriented nature of the industry in which we operate, industry participants are frequently subject to various consumer claims and litigation. The claims allege, among other theories of liability, violations of state, federal and foreign truth-in-lending, credit availability, credit reporting, consumer protection, warranty, debt collection, insurance and other consumer-oriented laws and regulations, including claims seeking damages for physical and mental damages relating to our repossession and sale of the consumer's vehicle and other debt collection activities. As we accept assignments of Consumer Loans originated by Dealer-Partners, we may also be named as a co-defendant in lawsuits filed by consumers principally against Dealer-Partners. We may also have disputes and litigation with Dealer-Partners relating to our dealer servicing and related agreements, including claims for, among other things breach of contract or other duties purportedly owed to the Dealer-Partners. The damages and penalties that may be claimed by consumers or Dealer-Partners in these types of matters can be substantial. The relief requested by plaintiffs varies but may include requests for compensatory, statutory and punitive damages, and plaintiffs may seek treatment as purported class actions. A significant judgment against us in connection with any litigation or arbitration could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, liquidity and results of operations.

For a description of significant litigation to which we are a party, see Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEMMINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.

4.

Not applicable.

22

---

Table of Contents

## PART II

ITEM MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND  
5. ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

## Stock Price

During the year ended December 31, 2011 our common stock was traded on The Nasdaq Global Market® (“Nasdaq”) under the symbol “CACC”. The following table sets forth the high and low sale prices as reported by the Nasdaq for the common stock for the relevant periods during 2011 and 2010.

Quarters Ended	2011		2010	
	High	Low	High	Low
March 31	\$ 72.55	\$ 53.04	\$ 53.97	\$ 38.57
June 30	84.50	71.00	49.65	41.24
September 30	86.87	56.55	63.45	47.18
December 31	93.10	60.09	63.58	54.12

As of February 17, 2012, we had 132 shareholders of record and approximately 3,200 beneficial holders of our common stock based upon securities position listings furnished to us.

## Dividends

We have not paid any cash dividends during the periods presented. Our debt agreements contain financial covenants which may indirectly limit the payment of dividends on common stock.



Table of Contents

## Stock Performance Graph

The following graph compares the percentage change in the cumulative total shareholder return on our common stock during the period beginning January 1, 2007 and ending on December 31, 2011 with the cumulative total return on the Nasdaq Market Index and a peer group index based upon approximately 100 companies included in the Dow Jones – US General Financial Index. The comparison assumes that \$100 was invested on January 1, 2007 in our common stock and in the foregoing indices and assumes the reinvestment of dividends.

## Stock Repurchases

On August 5, 1999, our board of directors approved a stock repurchase program which authorizes us to repurchase common shares in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions at price levels we deem attractive. As of December 31, 2011, we had authorization to repurchase up to \$24.9 million of our common stock.

The following table summarizes our stock repurchases for the three months ended December 31, 2011:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Dollar Value that May Yet Be Used to Purchase Shares Under the Plans or Programs
October 1 through October 31, 2011	-	\$ -	-	\$ 29,113,295
November 1 through November 30, 2011	55,133	76.25	55,133	24,909,622
December 1 through December 31, 2011	-	-	-	24,909,622
	55,133	\$ 76.25	55,133	

Table of Contents

## ITEMSELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

6.

The selected income statement and balance sheet data presented below are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements as of and for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, and notes thereto and Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, included elsewhere in this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference.

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
<b>Income Statement Data:</b>					
Revenue	\$ 525,192	\$ 442,135	\$ 380,664	\$ 312,186	\$ 239,927
Costs and expenses:					
Salaries and wages	63,038	61,327	66,893	68,993	55,396
General and administrative	25,625	26,432	30,391	27,536	27,202
Sales and marketing	23,520	19,661	14,808	16,776	17,493
Provision for credit losses	28,956	10,037	(12,164)	46,029	19,947
Interest	57,236	47,752	32,399	43,189	36,669
Provision for claims	30,399	23,429	19,299	2,651	39
Total costs and expenses	228,774	188,638	151,626	205,174	156,746
Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes	296,418	253,497	229,038	107,012	83,181
Provision for income taxes	108,374	83,390	82,992	39,944	29,567
Income from continuing operations	188,044	170,107	146,046	67,068	53,614
(Loss) gain from discontinued United Kingdom operations	-	(30)	209	109	1,302
Net income	\$ 188,044	\$ 170,077	\$ 146,255	\$ 67,177	\$ 54,916
<b>Net income per share:</b>					
Basic	\$ 7.15	\$ 5.79	\$ 4.78	\$ 2.22	\$ 1.83
Diluted	\$ 7.07	\$ 5.67	\$ 4.62	\$ 2.16	\$ 1.76
<b>Income from continuing operations per share:</b>					
Basic	\$ 7.15	\$ 5.79	\$ 4.77	\$ 2.22	\$ 1.78
Diluted	\$ 7.07	\$ 5.67	\$ 4.61	\$ 2.16	\$ 1.72
<b>(Loss) gain from discontinued United Kingdom operations per share:</b>					
Basic	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 0.01	\$ -	\$ 0.04
Diluted	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 0.01	\$ -	\$ 0.04
<b>Weighted average shares outstanding:</b>					
Basic	26,302	29,393	30,590	30,250	30,053
Diluted	26,601	29,985	31,669	31,105	31,154
<b>Balance Sheet Data:</b>					
Loans receivable, net	\$ 1,598,573	\$ 1,218,013	\$ 1,050,013	\$ 1,017,917	\$ 810,553
All other assets	160,025	125,502	126,223	121,437	131,629
Total assets	\$ 1,758,598	\$ 1,343,515	\$ 1,176,236	\$ 1,139,354	\$ 942,182
Total debt	\$ 997,847	\$ 685,667	\$ 506,979	\$ 641,714	\$ 532,130

Edgar Filing: CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORP - Form 10-K

Other liabilities	220,800	183,374	171,047	159,889	144,602
Total liabilities	1,218,647	869,041	678,026	801,603	676,732
Shareholders' equity (A)	539,951	474,474	498,210	337,751	265,450
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 1,758,598	\$ 1,343,515	\$ 1,176,236	\$ 1,139,354	\$ 942,182

(A) No dividends were paid during the periods presented.

Table of Contents

ITEM MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
7. OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Overview

We provide auto loans to consumers regardless of their credit history. Our product is offered through a nationwide network of automobile dealers who benefit from sales of vehicles to consumers who otherwise could not obtain financing; from repeat and referral sales generated by these same customers; and from sales to customers responding to advertisements for our product, but who actually end up qualifying for traditional financing.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, consolidated net income was \$188.0 million, or \$7.07 per diluted share, compared to \$170.1 million, or \$5.67 per diluted share, for the same period in 2010 and \$146.3 million, or \$4.62 per diluted share, for the same period in 2009. The growth in 2011 consolidated net income was primarily due to an increase in the size of our Loan portfolio. The growth in 2010 consolidated net income was primarily due to (1) an increase in the size of our Loan portfolio and (2) an improvement in the performance of our Loan portfolio.

Critical Success Factors

Critical success factors include our ability to access capital on acceptable terms, accurately forecast Consumer Loan performance, and maintain or grow Consumer Loan volume at the level and on the terms that we anticipate, with an objective to maximize economic profit. Economic profit is a financial metric we use to evaluate our financial results and determine incentive compensation. Economic profit measures how efficiently we utilize our total capital, both debt and equity, and is a function of the return on capital in excess of the cost of capital and the amount of capital invested in the business.

Access to Capital

Our strategy for accessing capital on acceptable terms needed to maintain and grow the business is to: (1) maintain consistent financial performance; (2) maintain modest financial leverage; and (3) maintain multiple funding sources. Our funded debt to equity ratio is 1.8:1 as of December 31, 2011. We currently utilize the following primary forms of debt financing: (1) a revolving secured line of credit; (2) Warehouse facilities; (3) Term ABS financings; and (4) Senior Notes.

Consumer Loan Performance

At the time a Consumer Loan is submitted to us for assignment, we forecast future expected cash flows from the Consumer Loan. Based on the amount and timing of these forecasts and expected expense levels, an advance or one-time purchase payment is made to the related Dealer-Partner at a price designed to achieve an acceptable return on capital. If Consumer Loan performance equals or exceeds our original expectation, it is likely our target return on capital will be achieved.

Table of Contents

We use a statistical model to estimate the expected collection rate for each Consumer Loan at the time of assignment. We continue to evaluate the expected collection rate of each Consumer Loan subsequent to assignment. Our evaluation becomes more accurate as the Consumer Loans age, as we use actual performance data in our forecast. By comparing our current expected collection rate for each Consumer Loan with the rate we projected at the time of assignment, we are able to assess the accuracy of our initial forecast. The following table compares our forecast of Consumer Loan collection rates as of December 31, 2011, with the forecasts as of December 31, 2010, as of December 31, 2009, and at the time of assignment, segmented by year of assignment:

Consumer Loan Assignment Year	Forecasted Collection Percentage as of				Variance in Forecasted Collection Percentage from		
	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009	Initial Forecast	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009	Initial Forecast
2002	70.5%	70.5%	70.4%	67.9%	0.0%	0.1%	2.6%
2003	73.7%	73.7%	73.7%	72.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%
2004	73.0%	73.0%	73.1%	73.0%	0.0%	-0.1%	0.0%
2005	73.6%	73.7%	73.7%	74.0%	-0.1%	-0.1%	-0.4%
2006	70.0%	70.2%	70.3%	71.4%	-0.2%	-0.3%	-1.4%
2007	68.1%	67.9%	68.3%	70.7%	0.2%	-0.2%	-2.6%
2008	70.0%	69.9%	70.0%	69.7%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%
2009	79.4%	78.5%	75.6%	71.9%	0.9%	3.8%	7.5%
2010	76.8%	75.8%	-	73.6%	1.0%	-	3.2%
2011	73.2%	-	-	72.5%	-	-	0.7%

Consumer Loans assigned in 2002, 2003, 2009 and 2010 have yielded forecasted collection results materially better than our initial estimates, while Consumer Loans assigned in 2006 and 2007 have yielded forecasted collection results materially worse than our initial estimates. For all other assignment years presented, actual results have been very close to our initial estimates. For the year ended December 31, 2011, forecasted collection rates improved for Consumer Loans assigned during 2007, 2009, 2010, and 2011 and declined for Consumer Loans assigned during 2006. The forecasted collection rates were generally consistent with expectations at the start of the period for all other assignment years presented.

Forecasting collection rates precisely at Loan inception is difficult. With this in mind, we establish advance rates that are intended to allow us to achieve acceptable levels of profitability, even if collection rates are less than we currently forecast.

The following table presents forecasted Consumer Loan collection rates, advance rates, the spread (the forecasted collection rate less the advance rate), and the percentage of the forecasted collections that had been realized as of December 31, 2011. All amounts, unless otherwise noted, are presented as a percentage of the initial balance of the Consumer Loan (principal + interest). The table includes both Dealer Loans and Purchased Loans.

Consumer Loan Assignment Year	As of December 31, 2011			
	Forecasted Collection %	Advance % (1)	Spread %	% of Forecast Realized (2)
2002	70.5%	42.2%	28.3%	99.6%
2003	73.7%	43.4%	30.3%	99.5%
2004	73.0%	44.0%	29.0%	99.4%
2005	73.6%	46.9%	26.7%	99.2%

Edgar Filing: CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORP - Form 10-K

2006	70.0%	46.6%	23.4%	98.3%
2007	68.1%	46.5%	21.6%	96.6%
2008	70.0%	44.6%	25.4%	92.0%
2009	79.4%	43.9%	35.5%	82.6%
2010	76.8%	44.7%	32.1%	53.4%
2011	73.2%	45.5%	27.7%	18.0%

(1) Represents advances paid to Dealer-Partners on Consumer Loans assigned under our Portfolio Program and one-time payments made to Dealer-Partners to purchase Consumer Loans assigned under our Purchase Program as a percentage of the initial balance of the Consumer Loans. Payments of Dealer Holdback and accelerated Dealer Holdback are not included.

(2) Presented as a percentage of total forecasted collections.

Table of Contents

The risk of a material change in our forecasted collection rate declines as the Consumer Loans age. For 2008 and prior Consumer Loan assignments, the risk of a material forecast variance is modest, as we have currently realized in excess of 90% of the expected collections. Conversely, the forecasted collection rates for more recent Consumer Loan assignments are less certain as a significant portion of our forecast has not been realized.

The spread between the forecasted collection rate and the advance rate declined during the 2004 through 2007 period as we increased advance rates during this period in response to a more difficult competitive environment. During 2008 and 2009, the spread increased as the competitive environment improved, and we reduced advance rates. In addition, during 2009, the spread was positively impacted by better than expected Consumer Loan performance. During 2010 and 2011, the spread decreased as we increased advance rates during this period in an attempt to maximize the amount of economic profit we generate in response to an increase in the amount of capital available to fund new Loans.

The following table presents forecasted Consumer Loan collection rates, advance rates, and the spread (the forecasted collection rate less the advance rate) as of December 31, 2011 for Dealer Loans and Purchased Loans separately. All amounts are presented as a percentage of the initial balance of the Consumer Loan (principal + interest).

	Consumer Loan Assignment Year	Forecasted Collection %	Advance % (1)	Spread %
Dealer Loans	2007	68.0%	45.8%	22.2%
	2008	70.5%	43.3%	27.2%
	2009	79.5%	43.5%	36.0%
	2010	76.8%	44.4%	32.4%
	2011	73.1%	45.1%	28.0%
Purchased Loans	2007	68.3%	49.1%	19.2%
	2008	69.1%	46.7%	22.4%
	2009	79.3%	45.4%	33.9%
	2010	76.8%	46.7%	30.1%
	2011	74.0%	49.3%	24.7%

- (1) Represents advances paid to Dealer-Partners on Consumer Loans assigned under our Portfolio Program and one-time payments made to Dealer-Partners to purchase Consumer Loans assigned under our Purchase Program as a percentage of the initial balance of the Consumer Loans. Payments of Dealer Holdback and accelerated Dealer Holdback are not included.

The advance rates presented for each Consumer Loan assignment year change over time due to the impact of transfers between Dealer and Purchased Loans. Under our Portfolio Program, certain events may result in Dealer-Partners forfeiting their rights to Dealer Holdback. We transfer the Dealer-Partner's Consumer Loans from the Dealer Loan portfolio to the Purchased Loan portfolio in the period this forfeiture occurs.

Although the advance rate on Purchased Loans is higher as compared to the advance rate on Dealer Loans, Purchased Loans do not require us to pay Dealer Holdback.

Table of Contents

## Consumer Loan Volume

The following table summarizes changes in Consumer Loan assignment volume in each of the last 12 quarters as compared to the same period in the previous year:

Three Months Ended	Year over Year Percent Change	
	Unit Volume	Dollar Volume (1)
March 31, 2009	-13.0%	-28.9%
June 30, 2009	-16.2%	-33.5%
September 30, 2009	-5.7%	-13.0%
December 31, 2009	7.6%	5.9%
March 31, 2010	11.2%	21.6%
June 30, 2010	22.7%	42.2%
September 30, 2010	26.9%	51.5%
December 31, 2010	37.7%	66.9%
March 31, 2011	36.7%	59.3%
June 30, 2011	28.7%	41.3%
September 30, 2011	28.6%	40.5%
December 31, 2011	25.3%	32.1%

(1) Represents advances paid to Dealer-Partners on Consumer Loans assigned under our Portfolio Program and one-time payments made to Dealer-Partners to purchase Consumer Loans assigned under our Purchase Program. Payments of Dealer Holdback and accelerated Dealer Holdback are not included.

Consumer Loan assignment volumes depend on a number of factors including (1) the overall demand for our product, (2) the amount of capital available to fund new Loans, and (3) our assessment of the volume that our infrastructure can support. Our pricing strategy is intended to maximize the amount of economic profit we generate, within the confines of capital and infrastructure constraints. Unit and dollar volumes were positively impacted by an increase in active Dealer-Partners and advance rate increases made during the first and fourth quarters of 2010 and the second and third quarters of 2011. Dollar volumes were also positively impacted by an increase in the size of the average Consumer Loan assignment. While the advance rate increases reduced the return on capital we expect to earn on new assignments, we believe it is very likely the advance increases had a positive impact on economic profit. Unit volume for the one month ended January 31, 2012 increased by 19.5% as compared to the same period in 2011.



Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the changes in Consumer Loan unit volume and active Dealer-Partners:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			% Change	
	2011	2010	2009	2011 to 2010	2010 to 2009
Consumer Loan unit volume	178,074	136,813	111,029	30.2%	23.2%
Active Dealer-Partners (1)	3,998	3,206	3,168	24.7%	1.2%
Average volume per active Dealer-Partner	44.5	42.7	35.0	4.2%	22.0%

(1) Active Dealer-Partners are Dealer-Partners who have received funding for at least one Loan during the period.

The following table provides additional information on the changes in Consumer Loan unit volume and active Dealer-Partners:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	% Change	2010	2009	% Change
Consumer Loan unit volume from Dealer-Partners active both periods	154,447	128,635	20.1%	118,586	97,919	21.1%
Dealer-Partners active both periods	2,548	2,548	-	2,218	2,218	-
Average volume per Dealer-Partners active both periods	60.6	50.5	20.1%	53.5	44.1	21.1%
Consumer Loan unit volume from new Dealer-Partners	22,419	17,023	31.7%	17,023	18,789	-9.4%
New active Dealer-Partners (1)	1,403	926	51.5%	926	1,055	-12.2%
Average volume per new active Dealer-Partners	16.0	18.4	-13.0%	18.4	17.8	3.4%
Attrition (2)	-6.0%	-11.8%		-11.8%	-16.7%	

(1) New active Dealer-Partners are Dealer-Partners who enrolled in our program and have received funding for their first Loan from us during the period.

(2) Attrition is measured according to the following formula: decrease in Consumer Loan unit volume from Dealer-Partners who have received funding for at least one Loan during the comparable period of the prior year but did not receive funding for any Loans during the current period divided by prior year comparable period Consumer Loan unit volume.

Consumer Loans are assigned to us as either Dealer Loans through our Portfolio Program or Purchased Loans through our Purchase Program. The following table summarizes the portion of our Consumer Loan volume that was assigned to us as Dealer Loans:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Dealer Loan unit volume as a percentage of total unit volume	92.5%	90.9%	86.6%

Edgar Filing: CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORP - Form 10-K

Dealer Loan dollar volume as a percentage of total dollar volume (1)	90.4%	88.7%	83.3%
--	-------	-------	-------

(1) Represents advances paid to Dealer-Partners on Consumer Loans assigned under our Portfolio Program and one-time payments made to Dealer-Partners to purchase Consumer Loans assigned under our Purchase Program. Payments of Dealer Holdback and accelerated Dealer Holdback are not included.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, Dealer Loan unit and dollar volume as a percentage of total unit and dollar volume were generally consistent with the same periods in 2010 and 2009.

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the net Dealer Loans receivable balance was 85.4% and 79.5%, respectively, of the total net Loans receivable balance.

Table of Contents

## Results of Operations

The following is a discussion of our results of operations and income statement data on a consolidated basis:

(In thousands, except per share data)

	For the Years Ended December 31,			% Change	
	2011	2010	2009	2011 to 2010	2010 to 2009
Revenue:					
Finance charges	\$ 460,622	\$ 388,050	\$ 329,437	18.7%	17.8%
Premiums earned	40,019	32,659	33,605	22.5%	-2.8%
Other income	24,551	21,426	17,622	14.6%	21.6%
Total revenue	525,192	442,135	380,664	18.8%	16.1%
Costs and expenses:					
Salaries and wages	63,038	61,327	66,893	2.8%	-8.3%
General and administrative	25,625	26,432	30,391	-3.1%	-13.0%
Sales and marketing	23,520	19,661	14,808	19.6%	32.8%
Provision for credit losses	28,956	10,037	(12,164)	188.5%	182.5%
Interest	57,236	47,752	32,399	19.9%	47.4%
Provision for claims	30,399	23,429	19,299	29.7%	21.4%
Total costs and expenses	228,774	188,638	151,626	21.3%	24.4%
Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes					
	296,418	253,497	229,038	16.9%	10.7%
Provision for income taxes	108,374	83,390	82,992	30.0%	0.5%
Income from continuing operations	188,044	170,107	146,046	10.5%	16.5%
(Loss) gain from discontinued United Kingdom operations					
	-	(30)	209	100.0%	-114.4%
Net income	\$ 188,044	\$ 170,077	\$ 146,255	10.6%	16.3%
Net income per share:					
Basic	\$ 7.15	\$ 5.79	\$ 4.78		
Diluted	\$ 7.07	\$ 5.67	\$ 4.62		
Income from continuing operations per share:					
Basic	\$ 7.15	\$ 5.79	\$ 4.77		
Diluted	\$ 7.07	\$ 5.67	\$ 4.61		
(Loss) gain from discontinued United Kingdom operations per share:					
Basic	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 0.01		
Diluted	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 0.01		
Weighted average shares outstanding:					
Basic	26,302	29,393	30,590		
Diluted	26,601	29,985	31,669		

Table of Contents

## Continuing Operations

Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010

The following table highlights changes in income from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to 2010:

(In thousands)	Change
Income from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2010	\$ 170,107
Increase in finance charges	72,572
Increase in premiums earned	7,360
Increase in other income	3,125
Increase in operating expenses (1)	(4,763)
Increase in provision for credit losses	(18,919)
Increase in interest	(9,484)
Increase in provision for claims	(6,970)
Increase in provision for income taxes	(24,984)
Income from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2011	\$ 188,044

(1) Operating expenses consist of salaries and wages, general and administrative, and sales and marketing expenses.

Finance Charges. For the year ended December 31, 2011, finance charges increased \$72.6 million, or 18.7%, as compared to 2010. The increase was primarily the result of an increase in the average net Loans receivable balance partially offset by a decrease in the average yield on our Loan portfolio, as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	Change
Average net Loans receivable balance	\$ 1,425,060	\$ 1,128,012	\$ 297,048
Average yield on our Loan portfolio	32.3%	34.4%	-2.1 %

The following table summarizes the impact each component had on the increase in finance charges for the year ended December 31, 2011:

(In thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2011
Impact on finance charges:	
Due to an increase in the average net Loans receivable balance	\$ 102,188
Due to a decrease in the average yield	(29,616)
Total increase in finance charges	\$ 72,572

The increase in the average net Loans receivable balance was primarily due to growth in new Loan volume throughout 2010 and 2011, which was primarily a result of increases in active Dealer-Partners, the size of the average consumer loan assignment and advance rates. The average yield on our Loan portfolio for the year ended December 31, 2011 decreased as compared to the same period in 2010 due to lower yields on new Loans, partially offset by improvements in forecasted collection rates throughout 2010 and 2011.

Premiums Earned. For the year ended December 31, 2011, premiums earned increased \$7.4 million, or 22.5%, as compared to 2010. The increase is primarily due to growth in the size of our reinsurance portfolio which resulted

from growth in new Consumer Loan assignments throughout 2010 and 2011 that was partially offset by the termination of our arrangement with one of our third party insurers during the fourth quarter of 2009.

Table of Contents

Other Income. For the year ended December 31, 2011, other income increased \$3.1 million, or 14.6%, as compared to 2010. The increase in other income is primarily due to an increase in GAP profit sharing income and dealer enrollment fees. The increase in GAP profit sharing income of \$7.1 million was the result of an increase in the annual profit sharing payment received and recognized during the first quarter of 2011 and an acceleration in our revenue recognition for this income beginning in the second quarter of 2011. Under our arrangement with our third party GAP provider, we receive annual profit sharing payments based on the performance of our GAP program. Prior to the second quarter of 2011, we received and recognized GAP profit sharing payments annually in the first quarter of each year. During the second quarter of 2011, we began recognizing this income over the life of the GAP contracts.

These increases were partially offset by \$5.4 million of income recognized during 2010 related to discontinued arrangements with a third party vehicle service contract provider and a vendor that processes payments. We have not recognized any income related to these arrangements since the second quarter of 2010.

Operating Expenses. For the year ended December 31, 2011, operating expenses increased \$4.8 million, or 4.4%, as compared to the same period in 2010. The change in operating expenses is due to the following:

- An increase in sales and marketing expense of \$3.9 million, or 19.6%, primarily due to increased sales commissions resulting from our growth in Consumer Loan assignment volume and the expansion of our sales force.
- An increase in salaries and wages expense of \$1.7 million, or 2.8%, resulting from higher servicing expenses associated with increased staffing levels needed to manage the greater volume of Consumer Loans in our portfolio, partially offset by reduced support expenses associated with information technology activities.
- A decrease in general and administrative expense of \$0.8 million, or 3.1%, primarily due to decreased support expenses, including consulting fees related to the development of software, a refund received in the current year from the successful appeal of a property tax assessment, and legal costs.

Provision for Credit Losses. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the provision for credit losses increased \$18.9 million, or 188.5%, as compared to 2010. Under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"), when the present value of forecasted future cash flows decline relative to our expectations at the time of assignment, a provision for credit losses is recorded immediately as a current period expense and a corresponding allowance for credit losses is established. For purposes of calculating the required allowance, Dealer Loans are grouped by Dealer-Partner and Purchased Loans are grouped by month of purchase. As a result, regardless of the overall performance of the portfolio of Consumer Loans, a provision can be required if any individual Loan pool performs worse than expected. Conversely, a previously recorded provision can be reversed if any previously impaired individual Loan pool experiences an improvement in performance.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, overall Consumer Loan performance exceeded our expectations at the start of the year. However, the performance of certain Loan pools declined from our expectations during the year, resulting in a provision for credit losses of \$28.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, of which \$29.6 million related to Dealer Loans partially offset by a reversal of provision of \$0.7 million related to Purchased Loans. During the year ended December 31, 2010, overall Consumer Loan performance exceeded our expectations at the start of the year. However, the performance of certain Loan pools declined from our expectations during the year, resulting in a provision for credit losses of \$10.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, of which \$5.1 million related to Dealer Loans and \$4.9 million related to Purchased Loans.

Table of Contents

Interest. For the year ended December 31, 2011, interest expense increased \$9.5 million, or 19.9%, as compared to 2010. The following table shows interest expense, the average outstanding debt balance, and the pre-tax average cost of debt for the year ended December 31, 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2011	2010
Interest expense	\$ 57,236	\$ 47,752
Average outstanding debt balance	892,283	581,074
Pre-tax average cost of debt	6.4%	8.2%

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the increase in interest expense is primarily due to the increase in the average outstanding debt balance, partially offset by a decline in our pre-tax average cost of debt. The average outstanding debt balance increased compared to the same period in 2010 due to the use of the debt proceeds to fund the growth in new Consumer Loan assignments and stock repurchases. The decline in our pre-tax average cost of debt resulted from a reduction in fixed fees as a percentage of average outstanding debt and a change in the mix of our outstanding debt.

Provision for Claims. For the year ended December 31, 2011, provision for claims increased \$7.0 million, or 29.7%, as compared to 2010. The increase was due to an increase in the size of our reinsurance portfolio and an increase in claims paid per reinsured vehicle service contract.

Provision for Income Taxes. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the effective tax rate increased to 36.6%, from 32.9% compared to 2010. The increase is primarily due to the impact of the lower effective tax rate in the prior year resulting from the reversal of certain reserves for uncertain tax positions that were resolved and settled with the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") and adjustments to our state tax liability.

## Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009

The following table highlights changes in income from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to 2009:

(In thousands)	Change
Income from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2009	\$ 146,046
Increase in finance charges	58,613
Decrease in premiums earned	(946)
Increase in other income	3,804
Decrease in operating expenses (1)	4,672
Increase in provision for credit losses	(22,201)
Increase in interest	(15,353)
Increase in provision for claims	(4,130)
Increase in provision for income taxes	(398)
Income from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2010	\$ 170,107

(1) Operating expenses consist of salaries and wages, general and administrative, and sales and marketing expenses.

Finance Charges. For the year ended December 31, 2010, finance charges increased \$58.6 million, or 17.8%, as compared to 2009. The increase was the result of an increase in the average yield on our Loan portfolio and an increase in the average net Loans receivable balance, as follows:

Edgar Filing: CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORP - Form 10-K

(Dollars in thousands)

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	Change
Average yield on our Loan portfolio	34.4%	31.5%	2.9%
Average net Loans receivable balance	\$ 1,128,012	\$ 1,046,378	\$ 81,634

34

---



Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the impact each component had on the increase in finance charges for the year ended December 31, 2010:

(In thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010
Impact on finance charges:	
Due to an increase in the average yield	\$ 32,912
Due to an increase in the average net Loans receivable balance	25,701
Total increase in finance charges	\$ 58,613

The increase in the average yield on our Loan portfolio for the year ended December 31, 2010 was due to improvements in forecasted collection rates on Loans assigned in 2009 and 2010 as well as higher yields on Consumer Loans assigned during the first quarter of 2010. The increase in the average net Loans receivable balance was primarily due to growth in new Loan volume throughout 2010.

**Premiums Earned.** For the year ended December 31, 2010, premiums earned decreased \$0.9 million, or 2.8%, as compared to 2009. The decrease was primarily due to a decline in the size of our reinsurance portfolio, which resulted from the termination of our arrangement with one of our third party insurers during the fourth quarter of 2009.

**Other Income.** For the year ended December 31, 2010, other income increased \$3.8 million, or 21.6%, as compared to 2009. The increase in other income was primarily a result of \$3.4 million of income recognized during the second quarter of 2010 related to an arrangement with one of our third party vehicle service contract providers. This arrangement was discontinued in 2008 and no additional income is expected beyond the amount recognized to date. While we continue to generate income from vehicle service contracts, such amounts are captured through VSC Re and recorded over the life of the contracts as premiums earned less provision for claims.

**Operating Expenses.** For the year ended December 31, 2010, operating expenses decreased \$4.7 million, or 4.2%, as compared to the same period in 2009. The change in operating expenses is due to the following:

- A decrease in salaries and wages expense of \$5.6 million, or 8.3%, resulting from decreased support expenses associated with information technology activities, and reduced servicing expenses related to efficiencies realized through the implementation of strategic initiatives and fewer delinquent accounts.
- A decrease in general and administrative expense of \$4.0 million, or 13.0%, primarily due to decreased support expenses, including legal costs, consulting fees related to the IRS examination, sales tax expense, and depreciation expense related to a reduction in capital expenditures. These decreases were partially offset by increased consulting fees related to the development of software.
- An increase in sales and marketing expense of \$4.9 million, or 32.8%, primarily due to the expansion of our field sales force and increased sales commissions resulting from an increase in the commission per Consumer Loan assignment and growth in Consumer Loan assignment volume.

**Provision for Credit Losses.** For the year ended December 31, 2010, the provision for credit losses increased \$22.2 million, or 182.5%, as compared to 2009. During the year ended December 31, 2010, overall Consumer Loan performance exceeded our expectations during the year. However, the performance of certain Loan pools declined from our expectations during the year, resulting in provision for credit losses of \$10.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, of which \$5.1 million related to Dealer Loans and \$4.9 million related to Purchased Loans. During the year ended December 31, 2009, overall Consumer Loan performance exceeded our expectations at the start of the year. Consistent with the overall performance of the portfolio, the performance of impaired Loan pools

exceeded our expectations during the year, resulting in a reversal of provision for credit losses of \$12.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, of which \$4.0 million related to Dealer Loans and \$8.2 related to Purchased Loans.

Table of Contents

Interest. For the year ended December 31, 2010, interest expense increased \$15.4 million, or 47.4%, as compared to 2009. The following table shows interest expense, the average outstanding debt balance, and the pre-tax average cost of debt for the year ended December 31, 2010:

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Years Ended	
	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Interest expense	\$ 47,752	\$ 32,399
Average outstanding debt balance	581,074	575,482
Pre-tax average cost of debt	8.2%	5.6%

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the increase in interest expense was primarily due to increases in our pre-tax average cost of debt due to the issuance of the Senior Notes during the first quarter of 2010 and higher average pricing on our revolving credit facilities.

Provision for Claims. For the year ended December 31, 2010, provision for claims increased \$4.1 million, or 21.4%, as compared to 2009. The increase was due to an increase in claims paid per reinsured vehicle service contract, partially offset by a decline in the size of our reinsurance portfolio.

Provision for Income Taxes. For the year ended December 31, 2010, the effective tax rate decreased to 32.9%, from 36.2% compared to 2009. The decrease was primarily due to the reversal of certain reserves for uncertain tax positions that were resolved and settled with the IRS examination during 2010 and adjustments to our state tax liability.

#### Critical Accounting Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an ongoing basis, we review our accounting policies, assumptions, estimates and judgments to ensure that our financial statements are presented fairly and in accordance with GAAP.

Our significant accounting policies are discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference. We believe that the following accounting estimates are the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial results, and involve a high degree of subjective or complex judgment, and the use of different estimates or assumptions could produce materially different financial results.

Table of Contents

Finance Charge Revenue & Allowance for Credit Losses

Balance Sheet Captions:      Loans receivable  
   Allowance for credit losses

Income Statement Captions:    Finance charges  
   Provision for credit losses

Nature of Estimates Required: Estimating the amount and timing of future collections and Dealer Holdback payments.

Assumptions and Approaches Used: For accounting purposes, we are not considered to be an originator of Consumer Loans, but instead are considered to be a lender to our Dealer-Partners for Consumer Loans assigned under our Portfolio Program, and a purchaser of Consumer Loans assigned under our Purchase Program. As a result of this classification, our accounting policies for recognizing finance charge revenue and determining our allowance for credit losses may be different from other lenders in our market, who, based on their different business models, may be considered to be a direct lender to consumers for accounting purposes. For additional information regarding our classification as a lender to our Dealer-Partners for accounting purposes, see Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

We recognize finance charges under the interest method such that revenue is recognized on a level-yield basis based upon forecasted cash flows. For Dealer Loans, finance charge revenue and the allowance for credit losses are calculated after first aggregating Dealer Loans outstanding for each Dealer-Partner. For the same purpose, Purchased Loans are aggregated according to the month the Loan was purchased. An allowance for credit losses is maintained at an amount that reduces the net asset value (Loan balance less the allowance) to the value of forecasted future cash flows discounted at the yield established at the time of assignment. The discounted value of future cash flows is comprised of estimated future collections on the Loans, less any estimated Dealer Holdback payments related to Dealer Loans. We write off Loans once there are no forecasted future collections on any of the associated Consumer Loans.

Actual cash flows from any individual Dealer Loan or pool of Purchased Loans are often different than estimated cash flows at the time of assignment. If such difference is favorable, the difference is recognized prospectively into income over the remaining life of the Dealer Loan or pool of Purchased Loans through a yield adjustment. If such difference is unfavorable, a provision for credit losses is recorded immediately as a current period expense and a corresponding allowance for credit losses is established. Because differences between estimated cash flows at the time of assignment and actual cash flows occur often, an allowance is required for a significant portion of our Loan portfolio. An allowance for credit losses does not necessarily indicate that a Dealer Loan or pool of Purchased Loans is unprofitable, and in recent years, very seldom are cash flows from a Dealer Loan or pool of Purchased Loans insufficient to repay the initial amounts advanced or paid to the Dealer-Partner.

Future collections on Dealer and Purchased Loans are forecasted based on the historical performance of Consumer Loans with similar characteristics, adjusted for recent trends in payment patterns. Dealer Holdback is forecasted based on the expected future collections and current advance balance of each Dealer Loan.

Key Factors:

Variances in the amount and timing of future collections and Dealer Holdback payments from current estimates could materially impact earnings in future periods. A 1% decline in the forecasted future net cash flows on Loans as of December 31, 2011 would have reduced 2011 net income by approximately \$6.1 million.



Table of Contents

Premiums Earned	
Balance Sheet Caption:	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities
Income Statement Caption:	Premiums earned
Nature of Estimates Required:	Estimating the pattern of future claims on vehicle service contracts.
Assumptions and Approaches Used:	<p>Premiums from the reinsurance of vehicle service contracts are recognized over the life of the policy in proportion to the expected costs of servicing those contracts. Expected costs are determined based on our historical claims experience. In developing our cost expectations, we stratify our historical claims experience into groupings based on contractual term, as this characteristic has led to different patterns of cost incurrence in the past. We will continue to update our analysis of historical costs under the vehicle service contract program as appropriate, including the consideration of other characteristics that may have led to different patterns of cost incurrence, and revise our revenue recognition timing for any changes in the pattern of our expected costs as they are identified.</p> <p>Premiums earned for the year ended December 31, 2009 include \$3.5 million of revenue related to a revision in our revenue recognition timing. We revised our revenue recognition timing during the third quarter of 2009 in order to better match the timing with our expected costs of servicing those contracts.</p>
Key Factors:	<p>Variations in the pattern of future claims from our current estimates would impact the timing of premiums recognized in future periods. A 10% change in premiums earned for the year ended December 31, 2011 would have affected 2011 net income by approximately \$2.5 million.</p>

Table of Contents

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

Balance Sheet Caption: Paid-in capital

Income Statement Caption: Salaries and Wages

Nature of Estimates Required: Stock-based compensation expense is based on the fair value on the date the equity instrument is granted or awarded by us, and is recognized over the expected vesting period of the equity instrument. We also estimate expected forfeiture rates of restricted stock awards.

Assumptions and Approaches Used: In recognizing restricted stock-based compensation expense, we make assumptions regarding the expected forfeiture rates of the restricted stock awards. We also make assumptions regarding the expected vesting dates of performance-based restricted stock awards.

The fair value of restricted stock awards are estimated as if they were vested and issued on the grant date and are recognized over the expected vesting period of the restricted stock award. For additional information, see Notes 2 and 13 to the consolidated financial statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, which are incorporated herein by reference.

Key Factors: Changes in the expected vesting dates of performance-based restricted stock awards and expected forfeiture rates would impact the amount and timing of stock-based compensation expense recognized in future periods. A 10% change in stock-based compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 would have affected 2011 net income by approximately \$0.1 million.

Litigation and Contingent Liabilities

Balance Sheet Caption: Accounts payable and accrued liabilities

Income Statement Caption: General and administrative expense

Nature of Estimates Required: Estimating the likelihood of adverse legal judgments and any resulting damages owed.

Assumptions and Approaches Used: With assistance from our legal counsel, we determine if the likelihood of an adverse judgment for various claims and litigation is remote, reasonably possible, or probable. To the extent we believe an adverse judgment is probable and the amount of the judgment is estimable, we recognize a liability. For information regarding the potential various claims against us, see Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference.



Key Factors:

Negative variances in the ultimate disposition of claims and litigation outstanding from current estimates could result in additional expense in future periods.

Table of Contents

Uncertain Tax Positions	
Balance Sheet Captions:	Income taxes receivable Accounts payable and accrued liabilities
Income Statement Caption:	Provision for income taxes
Nature of Estimates Required:	Estimating the impact of an uncertain income tax position on the income tax return.
Assumptions and Approaches Used:	<p>We follow a two-step approach for recognizing uncertain tax positions. First, we evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates it is more-likely-than-not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. Second, for positions that we determine are more-likely-than-not to be sustained, we recognize the tax benefit as the largest benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being sustained. We establish a liability for unrecognized tax benefits and related interest and penalties. We adjust this liability in the period in which an uncertain tax position is effectively settled, the statute of limitations expires for the relevant taxing authority to examine the tax position, or more information becomes available.</p> <p>On June 7, 2010, we reached a settlement with the IRS which concluded the examination of our federal income tax returns for 2004 through 2008 and closed the respective years. As a result of the settlement, we agreed to pay a total of \$7.6 million in federal and state taxes and interest related to these years. The settlement includes \$6.2 million of taxes that represent an acceleration of taxes already provided for in prior periods and the payment did not have an impact on our net income during the reporting periods. We also concluded that all 2004 through 2008 uncertain federal jurisdiction tax positions taken in previous periods are effectively settled and we recorded a reversal of corresponding accrued reserves and interest. This reversal had a favorable impact of \$6.2 million (after-tax) on our net income for the year ended December 31, 2010. For additional information, see Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference.</p>
Key Factors:	To the extent we prevail in matters for which a liability has been established or are required to pay amounts in excess of our established liability, our effective income tax rate in future periods could be materially affected.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We need capital to maintain and grow our business. Our primary sources of capital are cash flows from operating activities, collections of Consumer Loans and borrowings under: (1) a revolving secured line of credit; (2) Warehouse facilities; (3) Term ABS financings; and (4) Senior Notes. There are various restrictive debt covenants for each financing arrangement and we are in compliance with those covenants as of December 31, 2011. For information regarding these financings and the covenants included in the related documents, see Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference.

On March 3, 2011, we issued \$100.0 million aggregate principal amount of Senior Notes, which, together with the \$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of Senior Notes we issued on February 1, 2010, are governed by an indenture, dated as of February 1, 2010, as amended and supplemented (the "Indenture"), among us, as the issuer; our subsidiaries Buyers Vehicle Protection Plan, Inc. and Vehicle Remarketing Services, Inc., as guarantors (the "Guarantors"); and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee. The Senior Notes issued during the first quarter of 2011 have the same terms as the previously issued Senior Notes, other than issue price and issue date, and all of the Senior Notes are treated as a single class under the Indenture.

The Senior Notes mature on February 1, 2017 and bear interest at a rate of 9.125% per annum, computed on the basis of a 360-day year comprised of twelve 30-day months and payable semi-annually on February 1 and August 1 of each year. The Senior Notes issued during the first quarter of 2011 were issued at a price of 106.0% of their aggregate principal amount, resulting in gross proceeds of \$106.0 million, and a yield to maturity of 7.83% per annum.

During the second quarter of 2011, we extended the maturity of our revolving secured line of credit facility from June 22, 2012 to June 22, 2014. Additionally, the amount of the facility was increased from \$170.0 million to \$205.0 million. The financial covenant that required us to maintain a minimum ratio of assets to debt and the floor on the LIBOR rate were eliminated.

During the second quarter of 2011, we extended the date on which Warehouse Facility II will cease to revolve from June 15, 2013 to June 17, 2014. The interest rate on borrowings under the facility was decreased from the commercial paper rate plus 3.5% to the commercial paper rate plus 2.75%.

During the second quarter of 2011, we decreased the interest rate on Warehouse Facility III from LIBOR plus 3.0% to LIBOR plus 1.6%.

During the third quarter of 2011, we entered into Warehouse Facility IV with a facility limit of \$75.0 million. The facility will cease to revolve on February 19, 2014. Borrowings under the facility will bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 2.75%.

During the fourth quarter of 2011, we completed a \$200.5 million Term ABS financing which was used to repay outstanding indebtedness. The financing has an expected annualized cost of approximately 3.5% (including the initial purchaser's fees and other costs) and it will revolve for 24 months after which it will amortize based upon the cash flows on the contributed loans.

Cash and cash equivalents increased to \$4.7 million as of December 31, 2011 from \$3.8 million as of December 31, 2010. Our total balance sheet indebtedness increased to \$997.8 million as of December 31, 2011 from \$685.7 million as of December 31, 2010 primarily due to the growth in new Consumer Loan assignments and the completion of a tender offer to purchase \$125.0 million of our common stock using the proceeds from the issuance of \$100.0 million of Senior Notes and borrowings under our revolving secured line of credit facility.



Table of Contents

Restricted cash and cash equivalents increased to \$104.7 million as of December 31, 2011 from \$66.5 million as of December 31, 2010. The following table summarizes restricted cash and cash equivalents:

(In thousands)	As of December 31,	
	2011	2010
Cash related to secured financings	\$ 62,536	\$ 35,160
Cash held in trusts for future vehicle service contract claims (1)	42,143	31,376
Total restricted cash and cash equivalents	\$ 104,679	\$ 66,536

(1) The unearned premium and claims reserve associated with the trusts are included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. As of December 31, 2011, the outstanding cash balance includes \$42,026 related to VSC Re and \$117 related to a discontinued profit sharing arrangement. As of December 31, 2010, the outstanding cash balance includes \$31,246 related to VSC Re and \$130 related to a discontinued profit sharing arrangement.

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, restricted securities available for sale were \$0.8 million for both years. Restricted securities available for sale consist of amounts held in accordance with vehicle service contract trust agreements.

## Contractual Obligations

A summary of the total future contractual obligations requiring repayments as of December 31, 2011 is as follows:

(In thousands)	Total	Payments Due by Period				
		Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More than 5 Years	Other
Long-term debt, including current maturities (1)	\$ 997,469	\$ 51,614	\$ 539,878	\$ 55,977	\$ 350,000	\$ -
Operating lease obligations	1,612	678	918	16	-	-
Purchase obligations (2)	272	272	-	-	-	-
Other future obligations (3)	9,995	-	-	-	-	9,995
Total contractual obligations (4)	\$ 1,009,348	\$ 52,564	\$ 540,796	\$ 55,993	\$ 350,000	\$ 9,995

(1) Long-term debt obligations included in the above table consist solely of principal repayments. The amounts are presented on a gross basis to exclude the net unamortized debt premium of \$0.4 million. We are also obligated to make interest payments at the applicable interest rates, as discussed in Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference. Based on the actual amounts outstanding under our revolving secured line of credit, our Warehouse facilities, and our Senior Notes as of December 31, 2011, the forecasted amounts outstanding on all other debt and the actual interest rates in effect as of December 31, 2011, interest is expected to be approximately \$49.1 million during 2012; \$47.0 million during 2013; and \$119.6 million during 2014 and thereafter.

(2) Purchase obligations consist primarily of contractual obligations related to our information system and facility needs.

(3) Other future obligations included in the above table consist solely of reserves for uncertain tax positions. Payments are contingent upon examination and would occur in the periods in which the uncertain tax positions are settled.

(4) We have contractual obligations to pay Dealer Holdback to our Dealer-Partners; however, as payments of Dealer Holdback are contingent upon the receipt of consumer payments and the repayment of advances, these obligations are excluded from the table above.

Based upon anticipated cash flows, management believes that cash flows from operations and its various financing alternatives will provide sufficient financing for debt maturities and for future operations. Our ability to borrow funds may be impacted by economic and financial market conditions. If the various financing alternatives were to become limited or unavailable to us, our operations and liquidity could be materially and adversely affected.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a material current or future effect on our financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

## Table of Contents

### Market Risk

We are exposed primarily to market risks associated with movements in interest rates. Our policies and procedures prohibit the use of financial instruments for speculative purposes. A discussion of our accounting policies for derivative instruments is included in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference.

**Interest Rate Risk.** We rely on various sources of financing, some of which contain floating rates of interest and expose us to risks associated with increases in interest rates. We manage such risk primarily by entering into interest rate cap and interest rate swap agreements.

As of December 31, 2011, we had \$43.9 million of floating rate debt outstanding on our revolving secured line of credit, without interest rate protection. For every 1.0% increase in rates on our revolving secured line of credit, annual after-tax earnings would decrease by approximately \$0.3 million, assuming we maintain a level amount of floating rate debt.

As of December 31, 2011, we had \$163.2 million in floating rate debt outstanding under Warehouse Facility II covered by an interest rate cap with a cap rate of 6.75% on the underlying benchmark rate. Based on the difference between the underlying benchmark rate on Warehouse Facility II as of December 31, 2011 and the interest rate cap rate, the interest rate on Warehouse Facility II could increase by a maximum of 6.51%. This maximum interest rate increase would reduce annual after-tax earnings by approximately \$6.7 million, assuming we maintain a level amount of floating rate debt.

As of December 31, 2011, we had \$37.5 million in floating rate debt outstanding under Warehouse Facility III covered by an interest rate cap with a cap rate of 6.75% on the underlying benchmark rate. Based on the difference between the underlying benchmark rate on Warehouse Facility III as of December 31, 2011 and the interest rate cap rate, the interest rate on Warehouse Facility III could increase by a maximum of 6.46%. This maximum interest rate increase would reduce annual after-tax earnings by approximately \$1.5 million, assuming we maintain a level amount of floating rate debt. Additionally, we had \$32.5 million of floating rate debt outstanding on Warehouse Facility III, without interest rate protection. Assuming we maintain a level amount of unprotected floating rate debt on Warehouse Facility III, our annual after-tax earnings would decrease by \$0.2 million for a 1.0% increase in the interest rate.

As of December 31, 2011, we had \$37.5 million in floating rate debt outstanding under Warehouse Facility IV covered by an interest rate cap with a cap rate of 5.50% on the underlying benchmark rate. Based on the difference between the underlying benchmark rate on Warehouse Facility IV as of December 31, 2011 and the interest rate cap rate, the interest rate on Warehouse Facility IV could increase by a maximum of 5.20%. This maximum interest rate increase would reduce annual after-tax earnings by approximately \$1.2 million, assuming we maintain a level amount of floating rate debt.

### New Accounting Updates

See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference, for information concerning the following new accounting updates and the impact of the implementation of these updates on our financial statements:

- Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts
- Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs
- Presentation of Comprehensive Income





Table of Contents

Forward-Looking Statements

We make forward-looking statements in this report and may make such statements in future filings with the SEC. We may also make forward-looking statements in our press releases or other public or shareholder communications. Our forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties and include information about our expectations and possible or assumed future results of operations. When we use any of the words "may," "will," "should," "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "assume," "forecast," "estimate," "intend," "plan," "target" or similar expressions, we are making forward-looking statements.

We claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 for all of our forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements represent our outlook only as of the date of this report. While we believe that our forward-looking statements are reasonable, actual results could differ materially since the statements are based on our current expectations, which are subject to risks and uncertainties. Factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to, the factors set forth under Item 1A of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference, elsewhere in this report and the risks and uncertainties discussed in our other reports filed or furnished from time to time with the SEC.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information called for by Item 7A is incorporated herein by reference from the information in Item 7 under the caption "Market Risk" in this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	47
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010	48
Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	49
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	50
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	51
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	52
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	53

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and  
Shareholders of Credit Acceptance Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Credit Acceptance Corporation (a Michigan corporation) and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Credit Acceptance Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Credit Acceptance Corporation and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated February 24, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

Southfield, Michigan  
February 24, 2012

Table of Contents

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except per share data)

As of December 31,  
2011                      2010

ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,657	\$ 3,792
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	104,679	66,536
Restricted securities available for sale	810	805
Loans receivable (including \$4,949 and \$9,031 from affiliates as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively)	1,752,891	1,344,881
Allowance for credit losses	(154,318)	(126,868)
Loans receivable, net	1,598,573	1,218,013
Property and equipment, net	18,472	16,311
Income taxes receivable	506	12,002
Other assets	30,901	26,056
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,758,598</b>	<b>\$ 1,343,515</b>
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
<b>Liabilities:</b>		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 95,858	\$ 75,297
Revolving secured line of credit	43,900	136,700
Secured financing	599,281	300,100
Mortgage note	4,288	4,523
Senior notes	350,378	244,344
Deferred income taxes, net	123,449	108,077
Income taxes payable	1,493	-
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>1,218,647</b>	<b>869,041</b>
Commitments and Contingencies - See Note 16		
<b>Shareholders' Equity:</b>		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 1,000 shares authorized, none issued	-	-
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 80,000 shares authorized, 25,624 and 27,304 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	256	273
Paid-in capital	38,801	30,985
Retained earnings	500,888	443,326
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	6	(110)
<b>Total Shareholders' Equity</b>	<b>539,951</b>	<b>474,474</b>
<b>Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>	<b>\$ 1,758,598</b>	<b>\$ 1,343,515</b>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(In thousands, except per share data)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
<b>Revenue:</b>			
Finance charges	\$ 460,622	\$ 388,050	\$ 329,437
Premiums earned	40,019	32,659	33,605
Other income	24,551	21,426	17,622
Total revenue	525,192	442,135	380,664
<b>Costs and expenses:</b>			
Salaries and wages	63,038	61,327	66,893
General and administrative	25,625	26,432	30,391
Sales and marketing	23,520	19,661	14,808
Provision for credit losses	28,956	10,037	(12,164)
Interest	57,236	47,752	32,399
Provision for claims	30,399	23,429	19,299
Total costs and expenses	228,774	188,638	151,626
Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes	296,418	253,497	229,038
Provision for income taxes	108,374	83,390	82,992
Income from continuing operations	188,044	170,107	146,046
<b>Discontinued operations</b>			
(Loss) gain from discontinued United Kingdom operations	-	(30)	137
Credit for income taxes	-	-	(72)
(Loss) gain from discontinued United Kingdom operations	-	(30)	209
Net income	\$ 188,044	\$ 170,077	\$ 146,255
<b>Net income per share:</b>			
Basic	\$ 7.15	\$ 5.79	\$ 4.78
Diluted	\$ 7.07	\$ 5.67	\$ 4.62
<b>Income from continuing operations per share:</b>			
Basic	\$ 7.15	\$ 5.79	\$ 4.77
Diluted	\$ 7.07	\$ 5.67	\$ 4.61
<b>(Loss) gain from discontinued United Kingdom operations per share:</b>			
Basic	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 0.01
Diluted	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 0.01
<b>Weighted average shares outstanding:</b>			
Basic	26,302	29,393	30,590
Diluted	26,601	29,985	31,669

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(In thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Net income	\$ 188,044	\$ 170,077	\$ 146,255
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:			
Unrealized (loss) gain on derivatives qualifying as hedges			
Unrealized (loss) gain on cash flow hedge, net of tax of \$6, \$(192) and \$372 for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively	(10)	331	(645)
Less: reclassification adjustment for loss on cash flow hedge included in net income, net of tax of \$(71), \$(275) and \$(1,329) for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively	121	471	2,312
Unrealized gain (loss) on available for sale securities			
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities, net of tax of \$(1), \$12 and \$2 for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively	3	(22)	(3)
Less: reclassification adjustment for loss (gain) on sale of securities included in net income, net of tax of \$(1), \$(8) and \$3 for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively	2	14	(6)
Other comprehensive income	116	794	1,658
Comprehensive income	\$ 188,160	\$ 170,871	\$ 147,913

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(In thousands)

	Common Stock		Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated	Total Shareholders' Equity
	Number	Amount			Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	
Balance, January 1, 2009	30,607	\$ 306	\$ 11,829	\$ 328,178	\$ (2,562)	\$ 337,751
Net income	-	-	-	146,255	-	146,255
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	1,658	1,658
Stock-based compensation	-	-	6,805	-	-	6,805
Restricted stock awards, net of forfeitures	103	-	-	-	-	-
Repurchase of common stock	(31)	-	(541)	-	-	(541)
Stock options exercised	359	5	1,936	-	-	1,941
Tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans	-	-	4,341	-	-	4,341
Balance, December 31, 2009	31,038	311	24,370	474,433	(904)	498,210
Net income	-	-	-	170,077	-	170,077
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	794	794
Stock-based compensation	-	-	4,127	-	-	4,127
Restricted stock awards, net of forfeitures	13	-	-	-	-	-
Repurchase of common stock	(4,047)	(41)	(1,022)	(201,184)	-	(202,247)
Stock options exercised	300	3	2,900	-	-	2,903
Tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans	-	-	610	-	-	610
Balance, December 31, 2010	27,304	273	30,985	443,326	(110)	474,474
Net income	-	-	-	188,044	-	188,044
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	116	116
Stock-based compensation	-	-	1,881	-	-	1,881
Restricted stock awards, net of forfeitures	(1)	-	-	-	-	-
Repurchase of common stock	(1,979)	(20)	(384)	(130,482)	-	(130,886)
Stock options exercised	300	3	2,918	-	-	2,921
Tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans	-	-	3,401	-	-	3,401
Balance, December 31, 2011	25,624	\$ 256	\$ 38,801	\$ 500,888	\$ 6	\$ 539,951

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
<b>Cash Flows From Operating Activities:</b>			
Net income	\$ 188,044	\$ 170,077	\$ 146,255
<b>Adjustments to reconcile cash provided by operating activities:</b>			
Provision for credit losses	28,956	10,037	(12,164)
Depreciation	4,145	4,437	5,139
Amortization	5,904	6,643	4,521
Loss on retirement of property and equipment	28	65	100
Loss on impairment of software	-	1,362	-
Provision for deferred income taxes	15,309	13,863	17,740
Stock-based compensation	1,881	4,127	6,805
<b>Change in operating assets and liabilities:</b>			
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	20,737	(730)	(4,029)
Decrease (increase) in income taxes receivable	11,496	(8,046)	(3,956)
Increase (decrease) in income taxes payable	1,493	-	(881)
(Increase) decrease in other assets	(2,345)	(1,137)	831
Net cash provided by operating activities	275,648	200,698	160,361
<b>Cash Flows From Investing Activities:</b>			
(Increase) decrease in restricted cash and cash equivalents	(38,143)	15,920	(2,123)
Purchases of restricted securities available for sale	(532)	(1,063)	(1,451)
Proceeds from sale of restricted securities available for sale	76	2,111	-
Maturities of restricted securities available for sale	454	1,256	1,661
Principal collected on Loans receivable	996,927	785,947	661,246
Advances to Dealer-Partners	(1,152,537)	(786,909)	(516,093)
Purchases of Consumer Loans	(122,197)	(100,430)	(103,283)
Accelerated payments of Dealer Holdback	(47,411)	(32,629)	(17,372)
Payments of Dealer Holdback	(85,184)	(44,220)	(44,269)
Net decrease (increase) in other loans	886	207	(152)
Purchases of property and equipment	(6,334)	(3,440)	(2,925)
Net cash used in investing activities	(453,995)	(163,250)	(24,761)
<b>Cash Flows From Financing Activities:</b>			
Borrowings under revolving secured line of credit	2,384,900	1,097,900	630,900
Repayments under revolving secured line of credit	(2,477,700)	(1,058,500)	(594,900)
Proceeds from secured financing	1,164,500	327,700	397,000
Repayments of secured financing	(865,319)	(432,197)	(566,578)
Principal payments under mortgage note and capital lease obligations	(235)	(559)	(1,157)
Proceeds from sale of senior notes	106,000	243,738	-
Payments of debt issuance costs	(8,370)	(15,171)	(7,581)
Repurchase of common stock	(130,886)	(202,247)	(541)
Proceeds from stock options exercised	2,921	2,903	1,941
Tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans	3,401	610	4,341
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	179,212	(35,823)	(136,575)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	-	(3)	(9)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	865	1,622	(984)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	3,792	2,170	3,154
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 4,657	\$ 3,792	\$ 2,170



Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:

Cash paid during the period for interest	\$	51,360	\$	42,548	\$	27,559
Cash paid during the period for income taxes	\$	76,458	\$	81,750	\$	67,563

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

**Principal Business.** Since 1972, Credit Acceptance Corporation (referred to as the “Company”, “Credit Acceptance”, “we”, “our” or “us”) has provided auto loans to consumers, regardless of their credit history. Our product is offered through a nationwide network of automobile dealers who benefit from sales of vehicles to consumers who otherwise could not obtain financing; from repeat and referral sales generated by these same customers; and from sales to customers responding to advertisements for our product, but who actually end up qualifying for traditional financing.

We refer to dealers who participate in our programs and who share our commitment to changing consumers’ lives as “Dealer-Partners”. Upon enrollment in our financing programs, the Dealer-Partner enters into a dealer servicing agreement with us that defines the legal relationship between Credit Acceptance and the Dealer-Partner. The dealer servicing agreement assigns the responsibilities for administering, servicing, and collecting the amounts due on retail installment contracts (referred to as “Consumer Loans”) from the Dealer-Partners to us. We are an indirect lender from a legal perspective, meaning the Consumer Loan is originated by the Dealer-Partner and assigned to us.

We have two programs: the Portfolio Program and the Purchase Program. Under the Portfolio Program, we advance money to Dealer-Partners (referred to as a “Dealer Loan”) in exchange for the right to service the underlying Consumer Loans. Under the Purchase Program, we buy the Consumer Loans from the Dealer-Partners (referred to as a “Purchased Loan”) and keep all amounts collected from the consumer. Dealer Loans and Purchased Loans are collectively referred to as “Loans”. The following table shows the percentage of Consumer Loans assigned to us based on unit volumes under each of the programs for each of the last 12 quarters:

Quarter Ended	Portfolio Program	Purchase Program
March 31, 2009	82.3%	17.7%
June 30, 2009	86.0%	14.0%
September 30, 2009	89.0%	11.0%
December 31, 2009	90.8%	9.2%
March 31, 2010	90.9%	9.1%
June 30, 2010	90.5%	9.5%
September 30, 2010	90.5%	9.5%
December 31, 2010	91.8%	8.2%
March 31, 2011	92.9%	7.1%
June 30, 2011	92.1%	7.9%
September 30, 2011	92.3%	7.7%
December 31, 2011	92.6%	7.4%

## Portfolio Program

As payment for the vehicle, the Dealer-Partner generally receives the following:

- a down payment from the consumer;
- a non-recourse cash payment (“advance”) from us; and
- after the advance has been recovered by us, the cash from payments made on the Consumer Loan, net of certain collection costs and our servicing fee (“Dealer Holdback”).



Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS – (Continued)

We record the amount advanced to the Dealer-Partner as a Dealer Loan, which is classified within Loans receivable in our consolidated balance sheets. Cash advanced to the Dealer-Partner is automatically assigned to the Dealer-Partner's open pool of advances. We generally require Dealer-Partners to group advances into pools of at least 100 Consumer Loans. At the Dealer-Partner's option, a pool containing at least 100 Consumer Loans can be closed and subsequent advances assigned to a new pool. All advances within a Dealer-Partner's pool are secured by the future collections on the related Consumer Loans assigned to the pool. For Dealer-Partners with more than one pool, the pools are cross-collateralized so the performance of other pools is considered in determining eligibility for Dealer Holdback. We perfect our security interest in the Dealer Loans by taking possession of the Consumer Loans, which list us as lien holder on the vehicle title.

The dealer servicing agreement provides that collections received by us during a calendar month on Consumer Loans assigned by a Dealer-Partner are applied on a pool-by-pool basis as follows:

- First, to reimburse us for certain collection costs;
- Second, to pay us our servicing fee, which generally equals 20% of collections;
- Third, to reduce the aggregate advance balance and to pay any other amounts due from the Dealer-Partner to us; and
- Fourth, to the Dealer-Partner as payment of Dealer Holdback.

If the collections on Consumer Loans from a Dealer-Partner's pool are not sufficient to repay the advance balance and any other amounts due to us, the Dealer-Partner will not receive Dealer Holdback.

Dealer-Partners have an opportunity to receive an accelerated Dealer Holdback payment each time 100 Consumer Loans have been assigned to us. The amount paid to the Dealer-Partner is calculated using a formula that considers the forecasted collections and the advance balance on the related Consumer Loans.

Since typically the combination of the advance and the consumer's down payment provides the Dealer-Partner with a cash profit at the time of sale, the Dealer-Partner's risk in the Consumer Loan is limited. We cannot demand repayment of the advance from the Dealer-Partner except in the event the Dealer-Partner is in default of the dealer servicing agreement. Advances are made only after the consumer and Dealer-Partner have signed a Consumer Loan contract, we have received the original Consumer Loan contract and supporting documentation, and we have approved all of the related stipulations for funding. The Dealer-Partner can also opt to repurchase Consumer Loans that have been assigned to us under the Portfolio Program, at their discretion, for a fee.

For accounting purposes, the transactions described under the Portfolio Program are not considered to be loans to consumers. Instead, our accounting reflects that of a lender to the Dealer-Partner. The classification as a Dealer Loan for accounting purposes is primarily a result of (1) the Dealer-Partner's financial interest in the Consumer Loan and (2) certain elements of our legal relationship with the Dealer-Partner.

Purchase Program

The Purchase Program differs from our Portfolio Program in that the Dealer-Partner receives a one-time payment from us at the time of assignment to purchase the Consumer Loan instead of a cash advance at the time of assignment and future Dealer Holdback payments. For accounting purposes, the transactions described under the Purchase Program are considered to be originated by the Dealer-Partner and then purchased by us.



Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS – (Concluded)

## Program Enrollment

Dealer-Partners may enroll in our program by choosing one of our two enrollment options (referred to as “Option A” and “Option B”). In recent years, the terms of Option A have remained consistent while the terms of Option B have varied. The following table summarizes the terms of our enrollment options for the three year period ending December 31, 2011:

Effective Period	Option A	Option B
Since June 1, 2011	Upfront, one-time fee of \$9,850	Agreement to allow us to retain 50% of their first accelerated Dealer Holdback payment
From September 1, 2009 to May 31, 2011	Upfront, one-time fee of \$9,850	Upfront, one-time fee of \$1,950 and agreement to allow us to retain 50% of their first accelerated Dealer Holdback payment
Prior to September 1, 2009	Upfront, one-time fee of \$9,850	Agreement to allow us to retain 50% of their first accelerated Dealer Holdback payment

For all Dealer-Partners enrolling in our program, access to the Purchase Program is typically only granted after the first accelerated Dealer Holdback payment has been received under the Portfolio Program.

## 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include our accounts and our wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated. Our primary subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 are: Buyer’s Vehicle Protection Plan, Inc. (“BVPP”), Vehicle Remarketing Services, Inc. (“VRS”), VSC Re Company (“VSC Re”), CAC Warehouse Funding Corp. II, CAC Warehouse Funding III, LLC, CAC Warehouse Funding LLC IV, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2009-1, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2010-1 and Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2011-1.

## Business Segment Information

We currently operate in one reportable segment which represents our core business of offering auto loans, and related products and services to consumers through our network of Dealer-Partners. For information regarding our reportable segment and related entity wide disclosures, see Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements.

## Discontinued Operations

Effective June 30, 2003, we stopped originating Consumer Loans in the United Kingdom and we sold the remainder of the portfolio on December 30, 2005. The United Kingdom business was formally dissolved in 2010. The results for the United Kingdom business are reported as a discontinued operation in the consolidated statements of income for all periods presented.

## Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The accounts which are subject to significant estimation include the allowance for credit losses, finance charge revenue, premiums earned, stock-based compensation expense, contingencies, and uncertain tax positions. Actual results could materially differ from those estimates.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES – (Continued)

## Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents consist of readily marketable securities with original maturities at the date of acquisition of three months or less. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, we had \$4.1 million and \$3.5 million, respectively, in cash and cash equivalents that was not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”).

## Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents

Restricted cash and cash equivalents increased to \$104.7 million as of December 31, 2011 from \$66.5 million as of December 31, 2010. The following table summarizes restricted cash and cash equivalents:

(In thousands)	As of December 31,	
	2011	2010
Cash related to secured financings	\$ 62,536	\$ 35,160
Cash held in trusts for future vehicle service contract claims (1)	42,143	31,376
Total restricted cash and cash equivalents	\$ 104,679	\$ 66,536

(1) The unearned premium and claims reserve associated with the trusts are included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. As of December 31, 2011, the outstanding cash balance includes \$42,026 related to VSC Re and \$117 related to a discontinued profit sharing arrangement. As of December 31, 2010, the outstanding cash balance includes \$31,246 related to VSC Re and \$130 related to a discontinued profit sharing arrangement.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, we had \$97.5 million and \$63.0 million, respectively, in restricted cash and cash equivalents that was not insured by the FDIC.

## Restricted Securities Available for Sale

Restricted securities available for sale consist of amounts held in a trust in accordance with a discontinued vehicle service contract profit sharing arrangement. We determine the appropriate classification of our investments in debt securities at the time of purchase and reevaluate such determinations at each balance sheet date. Debt securities for which we do not have the intent or ability to hold to maturity are classified as available for sale, and stated at fair value with unrealized gains and losses, net of income taxes included in the determination of comprehensive income and reported as a component of shareholders’ equity.

Restricted securities available for sale consisted of the following:

(In thousands)	As of December 31, 2011			
	Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
US Government and agency securities	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Corporate bonds	804	13	(7)	810
Total restricted securities available for sale	\$ 804	\$ 13	\$ (7)	\$ 810



Edgar Filing: CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORP - Form 10-K

(In thousands)

As of December 31, 2010

	Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
US Government and agency securities	\$ 298	\$ 3	\$ -	\$ 301
Corporate bonds	504	5	(5)	504
Total restricted securities available for sale	\$ 802	\$ 8	\$ (5)	\$ 805

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES – (Continued)

The cost and estimated fair values of debt securities by contractual maturity were as follows (securities with multiple maturity dates are classified in the period of final maturity). Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

(In thousands)	As of December 31,			
	2011		2010	
	Cost	Estimated Fair Value	Cost	Estimated Fair Value
Contractual Maturity				
Within one year	\$ 45	\$ 44	\$ 499	\$ 496
Over one year to five years	759	766	303	309
Total restricted securities available for sale	\$ 804	\$ 810	\$ 802	\$ 805

## Finance Charges

Finance charges is comprised of: (1) servicing fees earned as a result of servicing Consumer Loans assigned to us by Dealer-Partners under the Portfolio Program; (2) finance charge income from Purchased Loans; (3) fees earned from our third party ancillary product offerings; (4) monthly program fees charged to Dealer-Partners under the Portfolio Program; and (5) fees associated with certain Loans. We recognize finance charges under the interest method such that revenue is recognized on a level-yield basis based upon forecasted cash flows. For Dealer Loans only, certain direct origination costs such as salaries and credit reports are deferred and the net costs are recognized as an adjustment to finance charges over the life of the related Dealer Loan on a level-yield basis.

We provide Dealer-Partners the ability to offer vehicle service contracts to consumers. A vehicle service contract provides the consumer protection by paying for the repair or replacement of certain components of the vehicle in the event of a mechanical failure. We have relationships with third party administrators (“TPAs”) whereby the TPAs process claims on vehicle service contracts that are underwritten by third party insurers. We receive a fee for all vehicle service contracts sold by our Dealer-Partners when the vehicle is financed by us. The fee is included in the retail price of the vehicle service contract which is added to the Consumer Loan. We provide Dealer-Partners with an additional advance based on the retail price of the vehicle service contract. We recognize our fee from the vehicle service contracts as part of finance charges on a level-yield basis based upon forecasted cash flows. We bear the risk of loss for claims on certain vehicle service contracts that are reinsured by us. Effective January 1, 2010, the fee we receive increased due to a change in our relationship with the TPAs. Prior to 2010, we relied on the TPAs to market their vehicle service contracts to our Dealer-Partners. Effective January 1, 2010, we now market the vehicle service contracts directly to our Dealer-Partners.

We also have relationships with TPAs that allow Dealer-Partners to offer a Guaranteed Asset Protection (“GAP”) product to consumers whereby the TPA processes claims that are underwritten by a third party insurer. GAP provides the consumer protection by paying the difference between the loan balance and the amount covered by the consumer’s insurance policy in the event of a total loss of the vehicle due to severe damage or theft. We receive a fee for all GAP contracts sold by our Dealer-Partners when the vehicle is financed by us, and do not bear any risk of loss for claims. The fee is included in the retail price of the GAP contract which is added to the Consumer Loan. We provide Dealer-Partners with an additional advance based on the retail price of the GAP contract. We recognize our fee from the GAP contracts as part of finance charges on a level-yield basis based upon forecasted cash flows.

Program fees represent monthly fees of \$599 charged to Dealer-Partners for access to our Credit Approval Processing System (“CAPS”); administration, servicing and collection services offered by us; documentation related to or affecting our program; and all tangible and intangible property owned by Credit Acceptance. We charge a monthly fee of \$599 to Dealer-Partners participating in our Portfolio Program and we collect it from future Dealer Holdback payments. As a result, we record program fees under the Portfolio Program as a yield adjustment, recognizing these fees as finance charge revenue over the forecasted net cash flows of the Dealer Loan.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES – (Continued)

## Reinsurance

VSC Re, our wholly-owned subsidiary, is engaged in the business of reinsuring coverage under vehicle service contracts sold to consumers by Dealer-Partners on vehicles financed by us. VSC Re currently reinsures vehicle service contracts that are underwritten by one of our third party insurers. Vehicle service contract premiums, which represent the selling price of the vehicle service contract to the consumer, less fees and certain administrative costs, are contributed to trust accounts controlled by VSC Re. These premiums are used to fund claims covered under the vehicle service contracts. VSC Re is a bankruptcy remote entity. As such, our exposure to fund claims is limited to the trust assets controlled by VSC Re and our net investment in VSC Re.

Premiums from the reinsurance of vehicle service contracts are recognized over the life of the policy in proportion to expected costs of servicing those contracts. Expected costs are determined based on our historical claims experience. Claims are expensed through a provision for claims in the period the claim was incurred. Capitalized acquisition costs are comprised of premium taxes and are amortized as general and administrative expense over the life of the contracts in proportion to premiums earned. A summary of reinsurance activity is as follows:

(In thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Net assumed written premiums	\$ 47,595	\$ 34,461	\$ 29,100
Net premiums earned	40,019	32,659	33,597
Provision for claims	30,399	23,429	19,300
Amortization of capitalized acquisition costs	1,047	763	737

We are considered the primary beneficiary of the trusts and as a result, the trusts have been consolidated on our balance sheet. The trust assets and related reinsurance liabilities are as follows:

(In thousands)	Balance Sheet location	As of December 31,	
		2011	2010
Trust assets	Restricted cash and cash equivalents	\$ 42,026	\$ 31,246
Unearned premium	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	32,335	24,757
Claims reserve (1)	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	1,297	1,029

(1) The claims reserve is estimated based on historical claims experience.

Our determination to consolidate the VSC Re trusts was based on the following:

- First, we determined that the trusts qualified as variable interest entities. The trusts have insufficient equity at risk as no parties to the trusts were required to contribute assets that provide them with any ownership interest.
- Next, we determined that we have variable interests in the trusts. We have a residual interest in the assets of the trusts, which is variable in nature, given that it increases or decreases based upon the actual loss experience of the related service contracts. In addition, VSC Re is required to absorb any losses in excess of the trusts' assets.
- Next, we evaluated the purpose and design of the trusts. The primary purpose of the trusts is to provide TPAs with funds to pay claims on vehicle service contracts and to accumulate and provide us with proceeds from investment income and residual funds.
-

Finally, we determined that we are the primary beneficiary of the trusts. We control the amount of premium written and placed in the trusts through Consumer Loan assignments under our Programs, which is the activity that most significantly impacts the economic performance of the trusts. We have the right to receive benefits from the trusts that could potentially be significant. In addition, VSC Re has the obligation to absorb losses of the trusts that could potentially be significant.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES – (Continued)

## Other Income

Other income consists of the following:

(In thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Ancillary product profit sharing income	\$ 7,817	\$ 4,083	\$ 228
Dealer support products and services	7,307	7,184	7,011
Marketing income	4,174	5,798	6,276
Dealer enrollment fees	3,437	2,743	1,943
Other	1,816	1,618	2,164
Total	\$ 24,551	\$ 21,426	\$ 17,622

Ancillary product profit sharing income consists of payments received from TPAs based upon the performance of GAP and vehicle service contract products. Prior to the second quarter of 2011, we received and recognized GAP profit sharing payments annually in the first quarter of each year as the payments were not estimable. During the second quarter of 2011, we began recognizing this income over the life of the GAP contracts. The formation of VSC Re eliminated the profit sharing arrangements related to vehicle service contracts, except for vehicle service contracts written prior to 2008 through one of the TPAs. Vehicle service contract profit sharing payments are received periodically, if eligible. Vehicle service contract profit sharing payments are not estimable and therefore, revenue related to these payments is recognized in the period the payments are received.

Dealer support products and services revenue primarily consists of remarketing fees retained from the sale of repossessed vehicles by VRS, our wholly-owned subsidiary that is responsible for remarketing vehicles for Credit Acceptance. VRS coordinates vehicle repossessions with a nationwide network of repossession agents, the redemption of the vehicle by the consumer, or the sale of the vehicle through a nationwide network of vehicle auctions. VRS recognizes income from the retained fees at the time of the sale and does not retain a fee if a repossessed vehicle is redeemed by the consumer prior to the sale. Dealer support products and services revenue also includes income from products and services provided to Dealer-Partners to assist with their vehicle inventory and is recognized in the period the service is provided.

Marketing income primarily consists of payments received on a monthly basis from vendors that process payments. We recognize marketing income in the period the services are provided. Marketing income also includes fees we receive from third parties for providing Dealer-Partners in certain states the ability to purchase Global Positioning Systems (“GPS”) with Starter Interrupt Devices (“SID”). Through this program, Dealer-Partners can install a GPS-based SID (“GPS-SID”) on vehicles financed by us that can be activated if the consumer fails to make payments on their account, and can result in the prompt repossession of the vehicle. Dealer-Partners purchase the GPS-SID directly from third parties and the third parties pay us a marketing fee for each device sold. GPS-SID revenue is recognized when the unit is sold and installed in the consumer’s vehicle.

Dealer enrollment fees include fees from Dealer-Partners that enroll in our programs. Depending on the enrollment option selected by the Dealer-Partner and the date of enrollment, Dealer-Partners may have enrolled by paying us an upfront, one-time fee, agreeing to allow us to retain 50% of their first accelerated Dealer Holdback payment, or both. For additional information regarding program enrollment, see Note 1 to the consolidated financial

statements. A portion of the \$9,850 upfront, one-time fee and all of the \$1,950 upfront, one-time fee are considered to be dealer support products and services revenue. The remaining portion of the \$9,850 fee is considered to be a dealer enrollment fee, which is amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated life of the Dealer-Partner relationship. The 50% portion of the first accelerated Dealer Holdback payment is also considered to be a dealer enrollment fee. We do not recognize any of this dealer enrollment fee until the Dealer-Partner has met the eligibility requirements to receive an accelerated Dealer Holdback payment and the amount of the first payment, if any, has been calculated. Once the accelerated Dealer Holdback payment has been calculated, we defer the 50% portion that we keep and recognize it on a straight-line basis over the remaining estimated life of the Dealer-Partner relationship.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES – (Continued)

Loans Receivable and Allowance for Credit Losses

Consumer Loan Assignment. For accounting purposes, a Consumer Loan is considered to have been assigned to us after all of the following has occurred:

- the consumer and Dealer-Partner have signed a Consumer Loan contract;
- we have received the original Consumer Loan contract and supporting documentation;
  - we have approved all of the related stipulations for funding; and
- we have provided funding to the Dealer-Partner in the form of either an advance under the Portfolio Program or one-time purchase payment under the Purchase Program.

Portfolio Segments and Classes. We are considered to be a lender to our Dealer-Partners for Consumer Loans assigned under our Portfolio Program and a purchaser of Consumer Loans assigned under our Purchase Program. As a result, our Loan portfolio consists of two portfolio segments: Dealer Loans and Purchased Loans. Each portfolio segment is comprised of one class of Consumer Loan assignments, which is Consumer Loans with deteriorated credit quality that were originated by Dealer-Partners to finance consumer purchases of vehicles and related ancillary products.

Dealer Loans. Amounts advanced to Dealer-Partners for Consumer Loans assigned under the Portfolio Program are recorded as Dealer Loans and are aggregated by Dealer-Partner for purposes of recognizing revenue and evaluating impairment. We account for Dealer Loans in a manner consistent with loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality. The outstanding balance of each Dealer Loan included in Loans receivable is comprised of the following:

- the aggregate amount of all cash advances paid;
  - finance charges;
  - Dealer Holdback payments;
- accelerated Dealer Holdback payments; and
  - recoveries.

Less:

- collections (net of certain collection costs); and
  - write-offs.

An allowance for credit losses is maintained at an amount that reduces the net asset value (Dealer Loan balance less the allowance) to the value of forecasted future cash flows discounted at the yield established at the time of assignment. This allowance calculation is completed for each individual Dealer-Partner. The discounted value of future cash flows is comprised of estimated future collections on the Consumer Loans, less any estimated Dealer Holdback payments. We write off Dealer Loans once there are no forecasted future cash flows on any of the associated Consumer Loans, which generally occurs 120 months after the last Consumer Loan assignment.



Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES – (Continued)

Future collections on Dealer Loans are forecasted based on the historical performance of Consumer Loans with similar characteristics, adjusted for recent trends in payment patterns. Dealer Holdback is forecasted based on the expected future collections and current advance balance of each Dealer Loan. Cash flows from any individual Dealer Loan are often different than estimated cash flows at the time of assignment. If such difference is favorable, the difference is recognized prospectively into income over the remaining life of the Dealer Loan through a yield adjustment. If such difference is unfavorable, a provision for credit losses is recorded immediately as a current period expense and a corresponding allowance for credit losses is established. Because differences between estimated cash flows at the time of assignment and actual cash flows occur often, an allowance is required for a significant portion of our Dealer Loan portfolio. An allowance for credit losses does not necessarily indicate that a Dealer Loan is unprofitable, and in recent years, very seldom are cash flows from a Dealer Loan insufficient to repay the initial amounts advanced to the Dealer-Partner.

**Purchased Loans.** Amounts paid to Dealer-Partners for Consumer Loans assigned under the Purchase Program are recorded as Purchased Loans and are aggregated into pools based on the month of purchase for purposes of recognizing revenue and evaluating impairment. We account for Purchased Loans as loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality. The outstanding balance of each Purchased Loan pool included in Loans receivable is comprised of the following:

- the aggregate amount of all amounts paid during the month of purchase to purchase Consumer Loans from Dealer-Partners;
  - finance charges; and
  - recoveries.

Less:

- collections (net of certain collection costs); and
- write-offs.

An allowance for credit losses is maintained at an amount that reduces the net asset value (Purchased Loan pool balance less the allowance) to the value of forecasted future cash flows discounted at the yield established at the time of assignment. This allowance calculation is completed for each individual monthly pool of Purchased Loans. The discounted value of future cash flows is comprised of estimated future collections on the pool of Purchased Loans. We write off pools of Purchased Loans once there are no forecasted future cash flows on any of the Purchased Loans included in the pool, which generally occurs 120 months after the month of purchase.

Future collections on Purchased Loans are forecasted based on the historical performance of Consumer Loans with similar characteristics, adjusted for recent trends in payment patterns. Cash flows from any individual pool of Purchased Loans are often different than estimated cash flows at the time of assignment. If such difference is favorable, the difference is recognized prospectively into income over the remaining life of the pool of Purchased Loans through a yield adjustment. If such difference is unfavorable, a provision for credit losses is recorded immediately as a current period expense and a corresponding allowance for credit losses is established.

**Credit Quality.** Substantially all of the Consumer Loans assigned to us are made to individuals with impaired or limited credit histories or higher debt-to-income ratios than are permitted by traditional lenders. Consumer Loans made to these individuals generally entail a higher risk of delinquency, default and repossession and higher losses than

loans made to consumers with better credit. Since most of our revenue and cash flows are generated from these Consumer Loans, our ability to accurately forecast Consumer Loan performance is critical to our business and financial results. At the time the Consumer Loan is submitted to us for assignment, we forecast future expected cash flows from the Consumer Loan. Based on these forecasts, an advance or one-time purchase payment is made to the related Dealer-Partner at a price designed to achieve an acceptable return on capital.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES – (Continued)

We monitor and evaluate the credit quality of Consumer Loans on a monthly basis by comparing our current forecasted collection rates to our initial expectations. We use a statistical model that considers a number of credit quality indicators to estimate the expected collection rate for each Consumer Loan at the time of assignment. The credit quality indicators considered in our model include attributes contained in the consumer's credit bureau report, data contained in the consumer's credit application, the structure of the proposed transaction, vehicle information and other factors. We continue to evaluate the expected collection rate of each Consumer Loan subsequent to assignment primarily through the monitoring of consumer payment behavior. Our evaluation becomes more accurate as the Consumer Loans age, as we use actual performance data in our forecast. Since all known, significant credit quality indicators have already been factored into our forecasts and pricing, we are not able to use any specific credit quality indicators to predict or explain variances in actual performance from our initial expectations. Any variances in performance from our initial expectations are the result of Consumer Loans performing differently than historical Consumer Loans with similar characteristics. We periodically adjust our statistical pricing model for new trends that we identify through our evaluation of these forecasted collection rate variances.

When overall forecasted collection rates underperform our initial expectations for certain Consumer Loan assignment periods, the decline in forecasted collections has a more adverse impact on the profitability of the Purchased Loans than on the profitability of the Dealer Loans. For Purchased Loans, the decline in forecasted collections is absorbed entirely by us. For Dealer Loans, the decline in the forecasted collections is substantially offset by a decline in forecasted payments of Dealer Holdback.

**Forecast Methodology Changes and Modifications.** For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, we did not make any methodology changes or significant modifications to our forecasts of future collections on Consumer Loans that had a material impact on our financial results.

**Property and Equipment**

Purchases of property and equipment are recorded at cost. Depreciation is provided on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the asset. Estimated useful lives are generally as follows: buildings – 40 years, building improvements – 10 years, data processing equipment – 3 years, software – 5 years, office furniture and equipment – 7 years, and leasehold improvements – the lesser of the lease term or 7 years. The cost of assets sold or retired and the related accumulated depreciation are removed from the balance sheet at the time of disposition and any resulting gain or loss is included in operations. Maintenance, repairs and minor replacements are charged to operations as incurred; major replacements and improvements are capitalized. We evaluate long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable.

Costs incurred during the application development stage of software developed for internal use are capitalized and generally depreciated on a straight-line basis over five years. Costs incurred to maintain existing product offerings are expensed as incurred. For additional information regarding our property and equipment, see Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements.

**Deferred Debt Issuance Costs**

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, deferred debt issuance costs were \$18.1 million and \$15.6 million, respectively, and are included in other assets in the consolidated balance sheets. Expenses associated with the issuance of debt

instruments are capitalized and amortized as interest expense over the term of the debt instrument using the effective interest method for Term ABS financings (as defined below in Note 7) and Senior Notes (as defined below in Note 7) and the straight-line method for lines of credit and Warehouse Facilities (as defined below in Note 7).

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES – (Continued)

Income Taxes

Provisions for federal, state and foreign income taxes are calculated on reported pre-tax earnings based on current tax law and also include, in the current period, the cumulative effect of any changes in tax rates from those used previously in determining deferred tax assets and liabilities. Such provisions differ from the amounts currently receivable or payable because certain items of income and expense are recognized in different time periods for financial reporting purposes than for income tax purposes.

Deferred income tax balances reflect the effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax bases and are stated at enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when taxes are actually paid or recovered.

We follow a two-step approach for recognizing uncertain tax positions. First, we evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates it is more-likely-than-not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. Second, for positions that we determine are more-likely-than-not to be sustained, we recognize the tax benefit as the largest benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being sustained. We establish a liability for unrecognized tax benefits and related interest. We consider many factors when evaluating and estimating our tax positions and tax benefits, which may require periodic adjustments and which may not accurately anticipate actual outcomes. We recognize interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in the provision for income taxes. For additional information regarding our income taxes, see Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements.

Derivative and Hedging Instruments

We rely on various sources of financing, some of which contain floating rates of interest and expose us to risks associated with increases in interest rates. We manage such risk primarily by entering into interest rate cap and interest rate swap agreements (“derivative instruments”).

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as hedging instruments, we formally document all relationships between the hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as their risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking various hedge transactions. This process includes linking all derivative instruments that are designated as cash flow hedges to specific assets and liabilities on the balance sheet. We also formally assess (both at the hedge’s inception and on a quarterly basis) whether the derivative instruments that are used in hedging transactions have been highly effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows of hedged items and whether those derivative instruments may be expected to remain highly effective in the future periods. The effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative instruments is recorded in other comprehensive income, net of income taxes. If it is determined that a derivative instrument is not (or has ceased to be) highly effective as a hedge, we would discontinue hedge accounting prospectively and the ineffective portion of changes in fair value would be recorded in interest expense. For derivative instruments not designated as hedges, changes in the fair value of these agreements increase or decrease interest expense.

We recognize derivative instruments as either other assets or accounts payable and accrued liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets. For additional information regarding our derivative and hedging instruments, see Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements.

### Stock-Based Compensation Plans

We apply a fair-value-based measurement method in accounting for stock-based compensation plans. We recognize stock-based compensation expense over the requisite service period of the grant as salaries and wages expense. As of December 31, 2011, we have three stock-based compensation plans for team members and non-employee directors, which are described more fully in Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES – (Continued)

Employee Benefit Plan

We sponsor a 401(k) plan that covers substantially all of our team members. We offer matching contributions to the 401(k) plan based on each enrolled team members' eligible annual gross pay (subject to statutory limitations). Effective January 1, 2010, the maximum employer contribution rate was increased to 100% of the first 1% participants contribute and an additional 50% of the next 5% participants contribute, for a maximum matching contribution of 3.5 % of each participant's eligible annual gross pay. During 2009, we contributed 50% of the first 6% participants contributed, for a maximum matching contribution of 3.0% of each participant's eligible annual gross pay. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, we recognized compensation expense of \$1.6 million, \$1.4 million, and \$1.0 million, respectively, for our matching contributions to the plan.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, advertising expenses were \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. There were nominal advertising expenses for the year ended December 31, 2009.

New Accounting Updates

Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts. In October 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2010-26, which amends Topic 944 (Financial Services – Insurance). ASU No. 2010-26 is intended to address diversity in practice regarding the interpretation of which costs relating to the acquisition of new or renewal insurance contracts qualify for deferral. The amendments specify which costs incurred in the acquisition of new and renewal contracts should be capitalized. ASU No. 2010-26 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. While the guidance in this ASU is required to be applied prospectively upon adoption, retrospective application is also permitted (to all prior periods presented). Early adoption is also permitted, but only at the beginning of an entity's annual reporting period. The adoption of ASU No. 2010-26 beginning on January 1, 2012 is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04 which amends Topic 820 (Fair Value Measurement). ASU No. 2011-04 is intended to provide a consistent definition of fair value and common requirements for measurement of and disclosure about fair value between U.S. GAAP and IFRS. The amendments in ASU No. 2011-04 include changes regarding how and when the valuation premise of highest and best use applies, the application of premiums and discounts, and new required disclosures. ASU No. 2011-04 is to be applied prospectively upon adoption and is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 with early adoption prohibited. While the adoption of ASU No. 2011-04 is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements, we expect that it will expand our disclosures related to fair value measurements.

Presentation of Comprehensive Income. In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05 which amends Topic 220 (Comprehensive Income). ASU No. 2011-05 is intended to enhance comparability between entities that report under US GAAP and those that report under IFRS, and to provide a more consistent method of presenting non-owner transactions that affect an entity's equity. ASU No. 2011-05 eliminates the current option to report other

comprehensive income and its components in the statement of changes in equity. The amended guidance allows an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. ASU No. 2011-05 is to be applied retrospectively upon adoption and is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 with early adoption permitted. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-05 during the fourth quarter of 2011 changed the presentation of our consolidated financial statements.



Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES – (Concluded)

Reclassification

Certain amounts for prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation. We have changed the presentation of our consolidated statement of cash flows to present depreciation and amortization as separate operating activities. Under our previous presentation, depreciation and amortization were presented as a combined operating activity.

Subsequent Events

We have evaluated events and transactions occurring subsequent to the consolidated balance sheet date of December 31, 2011 for items that could potentially be recognized or disclosed in these financial statements. We did not identify any items which would require disclosure in or adjustment to the financial statements.

3. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate their value.

Cash and Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents. The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash and cash equivalents approximate their fair value due to the short maturity of these instruments.

Restricted Securities Available for Sale. Restricted securities consist of amounts held in trusts by TPAs to pay claims on vehicle service contracts. Securities for which we do not have the intent or ability to hold to maturity are classified as available for sale and stated at fair value. The fair value of restricted securities are based on quoted market values.

Net Investment in Loans Receivable. Loans receivable, net represents our net investment in Loans. The fair value is determined by calculating the present value of future Loan payment inflows and Dealer Holdback outflows estimated by us utilizing a discount rate comparable with the rate used to calculate our allowance for credit losses.

Derivative Instruments. The fair value of interest rate caps and interest rate swaps are based on quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, which are influenced by a number of factors, including interest rates, notional amount of the derivative, and number of months until maturity.

Liabilities. The fair value of debt is determined using quoted market prices, if available, or calculated using the estimated value of each debt instrument based on current rates offered to us for debt with similar maturities.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 3. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS – (Concluded)

A comparison of the carrying value and estimated fair value of these financial instruments is as follows:

(In thousands)	As of December 31,			
	2011		2010	
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
<b>Assets</b>				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,657	\$ 4,657	\$ 3,792	\$ 3,792
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	104,679	104,679	66,536	66,536
Restricted securities available for sale	810	810	805	805
Net investment in Loans receivable	1,598,573	1,615,009	1,218,013	1,224,830
Derivative instruments	16	16	56	56
<b>Liabilities</b>				
Revolving secured line of credit	\$ 43,900	\$ 43,900	\$ 136,700	\$ 136,700
Secured financing	599,281	598,622	300,100	302,377
Mortgage note	4,288	4,288	4,523	4,523
Senior notes	350,378	365,500	244,344	261,250
Derivative instruments	-	-	176	176

Fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. We group assets and liabilities at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value. These levels are:

Level 1 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.

Level 2 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.

Level 3 Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use at least one significant assumption not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect estimates or assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability.

The following table provides the fair value measurements of applicable assets and liabilities, measured at fair value on a recurring basis, as of December 31, 2011 and 2010:

(In thousands)	As of December 31, 2011			As of December 31, 2010		
	Level 1	Level 2	Total Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Total Fair Value
	<b>Assets</b>					
Restricted securities available for sale	\$ 810	\$ -	\$ 810	\$ 805	\$ -	\$ 805
Derivative instruments	-	16	16	-	56	56

Liabilities

Derivative instruments	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	176	\$	176
------------------------	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	-----	----	-----

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 4. LOANS RECEIVABLE

Loans receivable consists of the following:

(In thousands)	As of December 31, 2011		
	Dealer	Purchased	Total
	Loans	Loans	
Loans receivable	\$ 1,506,539	\$ 246,352	\$ 1,752,891
Allowance for credit losses	(141,712)	(12,606)	(154,318)
Loans receivable, net	\$ 1,364,827	\$ 233,746	\$ 1,598,573

(In thousands)	As of December 31, 2010		
	Dealer	Purchased	Total
	Loans	Loans	
Loans receivable	\$ 1,082,039	\$ 262,842	\$ 1,344,881
Allowance for credit losses	(113,227)	(13,641)	(126,868)
Loans receivable, net	\$ 968,812	\$ 249,201	\$ 1,218,013

A summary of changes in Loans receivable is as follows:

(In thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2011		
	Dealer	Purchased	Total
	Loans	Loans	
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 1,082,039	\$ 262,842	\$ 1,344,881
New Consumer Loan assignments (1)	1,152,537	122,197	1,274,734
Principal collected on Loans receivable	(843,100)	(153,827)	(996,927)
Accelerated Dealer Holdback payments	47,411	-	47,411
Dealer Holdback payments	85,184	-	85,184
Transfers (2)	(15,493)	15,493	-
Write-offs	(3,055)	(433)	(3,488)
Recoveries (3)	1,902	80	1,982
Net change in other loans	(886)	-	(886)
Balance, end of period	\$ 1,506,539	\$ 246,352	\$ 1,752,891

(In thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010		
	Dealer	Purchased	Total
	Loans	Loans	
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 869,603	\$ 297,955	\$ 1,167,558
New Consumer Loan assignments (1)	786,909	100,430	887,339
Principal collected on Loans receivable	(632,616)	(153,331)	(785,947)
Accelerated Dealer Holdback payments	32,629	-	32,629
Dealer Holdback payments	44,220	-	44,220
Transfers (2)	(17,807)	17,807	-
Write-offs	(3,043)	(143)	(3,186)
Recoveries (3)	2,318	124	2,442
Net change in other loans	(207)	-	(207)
Currency translation	33	-	33

Balance, end of period	\$ 1,082,039	\$ 262,842	\$ 1,344,881
------------------------	--------------	------------	--------------

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 4. LOANS RECEIVABLE – (Continued)

(In thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2009		
	Dealer Loans	Purchased Loans	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 823,567	\$ 325,185	\$ 1,148,752
New Consumer Loan assignments (1)	516,093	103,283	619,376
Principal collected on Loans receivable	(515,847)	(145,399)	(661,246)
Accelerated Dealer Holdback payments	17,372	-	17,372
Dealer Holdback payments	44,269	-	44,269
Transfers (2)	(14,935)	14,935	-
Write-offs	(4,234)	(95)	(4,329)
Recoveries (3)	2,996	46	3,042
Net change in other loans	152	-	152
Currency translation	170	-	170
Balance, end of period	\$ 869,603	\$ 297,955	\$ 1,167,558

- (1) The Dealer Loans amount represents advances paid to Dealer-Partners on Consumer Loans assigned under our Portfolio Program. The Purchased Loans amount represents one-time payments made to Dealer-Partners to purchase Consumer Loans assigned under our Purchase Program.
- (2) Under our Portfolio Program, certain events may result in Dealer-Partners forfeiting their rights to Dealer Holdback. We transfer the Dealer-Partner's outstanding Dealer Loan balance to Purchased Loans in the period this forfeiture occurs.
- (3) Represents collections received on previously written off Loans.

Contractual net cash flows are comprised of the contractual repayments of the underlying Consumer Loans for Dealer and Purchased Loans, less the related Dealer Holdback payments for Dealer Loans. The difference between the contractual net cash flows and the expected net cash flows is referred to as the nonaccretable difference. This difference is neither accreted into income nor recorded in our balance sheets. We do not believe that the contractual net cash flows of our Loan portfolio are relevant in assessing our financial position. We are contractually owed repayments on many Consumer Loans, primarily those older than 120 months, where we are not forecasting any future net cash flows.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 4. LOANS RECEIVABLE – (Continued)

The excess of expected net cash flows over the carrying value of the Loans is referred to as the accretable yield and is recognized on a level-yield basis as finance charge income over the remaining lives of the Loans. A summary of changes in the accretable yield is as follows:

(In thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2011		
	Dealer	Purchased	Total
	Loans	Loans	
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 351,569	\$ 124,520	\$ 476,089
New Consumer Loan assignments (1)	508,868	59,519	568,387
Finance charge income	(374,844)	(85,778)	(460,622)
Forecast changes	29,994	9,582	39,576
Transfers (2)	(7,541)	12,239	4,698
Balance, end of year	\$ 508,046	\$ 120,082	\$ 628,128

(In thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010		
	Dealer	Purchased	Total
	Loans	Loans	
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 281,409	\$ 129,970	\$ 411,379
New Consumer Loan assignments (1)	371,588	56,480	428,068
Finance charge income	(299,514)	(88,536)	(388,050)
Forecast changes	6,343	13,372	19,715
Transfers (2)	(8,257)	13,234	4,977
Balance, end of year	\$ 351,569	\$ 124,520	\$ 476,089

(In thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2009		
	Dealer	Purchased	Total
	Loans	Loans	
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 270,893	\$ 126,441	\$ 397,334
New Consumer Loan assignments (1)	247,983	56,956	304,939
Finance charge income	(244,667)	(84,770)	(329,437)
Forecast changes	12,251	24,378	36,629
Transfers (2)	(5,051)	6,965	1,914
Balance, end of year	\$ 281,409	\$ 129,970	\$ 411,379

- (1) The Dealer Loans amount represents the net cash flows expected at the time of assignment on Consumer Loans assigned under our Portfolio Program, less the related advances paid to Dealer-Partners. The Purchased Loans amount represents the net cash flows expected at the time of assignment on Consumer Loans assigned under our Purchase Program, less the related one-time payments made to Dealer-Partners.
- (2) Under our Portfolio Program, certain events may result in Dealer-Partners forfeiting their rights to Dealer Holdback. We transfer the Dealer-Partner's outstanding Dealer Loan balance and related expected future net cash flows to Purchased Loans in the period this forfeiture occurs.





Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 4. LOANS RECEIVABLE – (Continued)

Additional information related to new Consumer Loan assignments is as follows:

(In thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2011		
	Dealer	Purchased	Total
	Loans	Loans	
Contractual net cash flows at the time of assignment (1)	\$ 1,786,477	\$ 248,037	\$ 2,034,514
Expected net cash flows at the time of assignment (2)	1,661,405	181,716	1,843,121
Fair value at the time of assignment (3)	1,152,537	122,197	1,274,734

(In thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010		
	Dealer	Purchased	Total
	Loans	Loans	
Contractual net cash flows at the time of assignment (1)	\$ 1,237,993	\$ 212,519	\$ 1,450,512
Expected net cash flows at the time of assignment (2)	1,158,497	156,910	1,315,407
Fair value at the time of assignment (3)	786,909	100,430	887,339

(In thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2009		
	Dealer	Purchased	Total
	Loans	Loans	
Contractual net cash flows at the time of assignment (1)	\$ 824,213	\$ 224,414	\$ 1,048,627
Expected net cash flows at the time of assignment (2)	764,076	160,239	924,315
Fair value at the time of assignment (3)	516,093	103,283	619,376

- (1) The Dealer Loans amount represents the repayments that we were contractually owed at the time of assignment on Consumer Loans assigned under our Portfolio Program, less the related Dealer Holdback payments that we would be required to make if we collected all of the contractual repayments. The Purchased Loans amount represents the repayments that we were contractually owed at the time of assignment on Consumer Loans assigned under our Purchase Program.
- (2) The Dealer Loans amount represents the repayments that we expected to collect at the time of assignment on Consumer Loans assigned under our Portfolio Program, less the related Dealer Holdback payments that we expected to make. The Purchased Loans amount represents the repayments that we expected to collect at the time of assignment on Consumer Loans assigned under our Purchase Program.
- (3) The Dealer Loans amount represents advances paid to Dealer-Partners on Consumer Loans assigned under our Portfolio Program. The Purchased Loans amount represents one-time payments made to Dealer-Partners to purchase Consumer Loans assigned under our Purchase Program.

## Credit Quality

We monitor and evaluate the credit quality of Consumer Loans assigned under our Portfolio and Purchase Programs on a monthly basis by comparing our current forecasted collection rates to our initial expectations. For additional information regarding credit quality, see Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements. The following table compares our forecast of Consumer Loan collection rates as of December 31, 2011, with the forecasts as of December 31, 2010, as of December 31, 2009, and at the time of assignment, segmented by year of assignment:

Edgar Filing: CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORP - Form 10-K

Consumer Loan Assignment Year	Forecasted Collection Percentage as of (1)				Variance in Forecasted Collection Percentage from		
	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009	Initial Forecast	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009	Initial Forecast
2002	70.5%	70.5%	70.4%	67.9%	0.0%	0.1%	2.6%
2003	73.7%	73.7%	73.7%	72.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%
2004	73.0%	73.0%	73.1%	73.0%	0.0%	-0.1%	0.0%
2005	73.6%	73.7%	73.7%	74.0%	-0.1%	-0.1%	-0.4%
2006	70.0%	70.2%	70.3%	71.4%	-0.2%	-0.3%	-1.4%
2007	68.1%	67.9%	68.3%	70.7%	0.2%	-0.2%	-2.6%
2008	70.0%	69.9%	70.0%	69.7%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%
2009	79.4%	78.5%	75.6%	71.9%	0.9%	3.8%	7.5%
2010	76.8%	75.8%	-	73.6%	1.0%	-	3.2%
2011	73.2%	-	-	72.5%	-	-	0.7%

(1) Represents the total forecasted collections we expect to collect on the Consumer Loans as a percentage of the repayments that we were contractually owed on the Consumer Loans at the time of assignment. Contractual repayments include both principal and interest.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 4. LOANS RECEIVABLE – (Concluded)

Advances paid to Dealer-Partners on Consumer Loans assigned under our Portfolio Program and one-time payments made to Dealer-Partners to purchase Consumer Loans assigned under our Purchase Program are aggregated into pools for purposes of recognizing revenue and evaluating impairment. As a result of this aggregation, we are not able to segment the carrying value of the majority of our Loan portfolio by year of assignment. The following table summarizes Loan pools based on the performance of the underlying pool of Consumer Loans:

	As of December 31, 2011					
	Loan Pool Performance			Loan Pool Performance		
	Meets or Exceeds Initial Estimates			Less than Initial Estimates		
	Dealer Loans	Purchased Loans	Total	Dealer Loans	Purchased Loans	Total
Loans receivable	\$ 511,926	\$ 192,502	\$ 704,428	\$ 994,613	\$ 53,850	\$ 1,048,463
Allowance for credit losses	-	-	-	(141,712)	(12,606)	(154,318)
Loans receivable, net	\$ 511,926	\$ 192,502	\$ 704,428	\$ 852,901	\$ 41,244	\$ 894,145

	As of December 31, 2010					
	Loan Pool Performance			Loan Pool Performance		
	Meets or Exceeds Initial Estimates			Less than Initial Estimates		
	Dealer Loans	Purchased Loans	Total	Dealer Loans	Purchased Loans	Total
Loans receivable	\$ 519,966	\$ 172,382	\$ 692,348	\$ 562,073	\$ 90,460	\$ 652,533
Allowance for credit losses	-	-	-	(113,227)	(13,641)	(126,868)
Loans receivable, net	\$ 519,966	\$ 172,382	\$ 692,348	\$ 448,846	\$ 76,819	\$ 525,665

A summary of changes in the allowance for credit losses is as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2011		
	Dealer Loans	Purchased Loans	Total
	Balance, beginning of period	\$ 113,227	\$ 13,641
Provision for credit losses	29,638	(682)	28,956
Write-offs	(3,055)	(433)	(3,488)
Recoveries (1)	1,902	80	1,982
Balance, end of period	\$ 141,712	\$ 12,606	\$ 154,318

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010		
	Dealer Loans	Purchased Loans	Total
	Balance, beginning of period	\$ 108,792	\$ 8,753
Provision for credit losses	5,130	4,907	10,037
Write-offs	(3,043)	(143)	(3,186)
Recoveries (1)	2,318	124	2,442
Currency translation	30	-	30
Balance, end of period	\$ 113,227	\$ 13,641	\$ 126,868

(In thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2009		
	Dealer Loans	Purchased Loans	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 113,831	\$ 17,004	\$ 130,835
Provision for credit losses	(3,962)	(8,202)	(12,164)
Write-offs	(4,234)	(95)	(4,329)
Recoveries (1)	2,996	46	3,042
Currency translation	161	-	161
Balance, end of period	\$ 108,792	\$ 8,753	\$ 117,545

(1) Represents collections received on previously written off Loans.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 5. LEASED PROPERTIES

We lease office space and office equipment. We expect that in the normal course of business, leases will be renewed or replaced by other leases. Total rental expense from continuing operations on all operating leases was \$0.9 million, \$1.0 million, and \$1.3 million for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Contingent rentals under the operating leases were insignificant. Our total minimum future lease commitments under operating leases as of December 31, 2011 are as follows:

(In thousands)

Year	Minimum Future Lease Commitments
2012	\$ 678
2013	547
2014	371
2015	16
2016	-
Thereafter	-
Total	\$ 1,612

## 6. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment consists of the following:

(In thousands)

	As of December 31,	
	2011	2010
Land and land improvements	\$ 2,251	\$ 2,251
Building and improvements	12,169	11,843
Data processing equipment and software	37,217	33,536
Office furniture and equipment	2,941	2,941
Leasehold improvements	111	111
Total property and equipment	54,689	50,682
Less: Accumulated depreciation on property and equipment	(36,217)	(34,371)
Total property and equipment, net	\$ 18,472	\$ 16,311

Depreciation expense on property and equipment was \$4.1 million, \$4.4 million and \$5.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, we capitalized software developed for internal use of \$1.8 million, \$1.9 million and \$1.0 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, capitalized software costs, net of accumulated depreciation, totaled \$2.0 million and \$2.9 million, respectively.

During 2010, we determined that we would no longer use certain components of software that we were developing for internal use. As a result, the costs we had previously capitalized related to these software components were considered impaired. We recognized impairment of \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, of which \$0.7 million was included in salaries and wages expense and \$0.7 million was included in general and administrative expense.



Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 7. DEBT

We currently utilize the following primary forms of debt financing: (1) a revolving secured line of credit; (2) revolving secured warehouse (“Warehouse”) facilities; (3) asset-backed secured financings (“Term ABS”); and (4) 9.125% First Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2017 (“Senior Notes”). General information for each of our financing transactions in place as of December 31, 2011 is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)

Financings	Wholly-owned Subsidiary	Issue Number	Close Date	Maturity Date	Financing Amount	Interest Rate as of December 31, 2011
Revolving Secured Line of Credit	n/a	n/a	06/17/2011	06/22/2014	\$ 205,000	At our option, either LIBOR plus 225 basis points or the prime rate plus 125 basis points
Warehouse Facility II (1)	CAC Warehouse Funding Corp. II	n/a	06/17/2011	06/17/2014 (2)	\$ 325,000	Commercial paper rate plus 275 basis points or LIBOR plus 375 basis points (3) (4)
Warehouse Facility III (1)	CAC Warehouse Funding III, LLC	n/a	09/10/2010	09/10/2013 (5)	\$ 75,000	Commercial paper rate plus 160 basis points or LIBOR plus 160 basis points (3) (4)
Warehouse Facility IV (1)	CAC Warehouse Funding LLC IV	n/a	08/19/2011	02/19/2014 (2)	\$ 75,000	LIBOR plus 275 basis points (4)
Term ABS 2009-1 (1)	Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2009-1	2009-1	12/03/2009	05/15/2011 (2)	\$ 110,500	Fixed rate
Term ABS 2010-1 (1)	Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2010-1	2010-1	11/04/2010	10/15/2012 (2)	\$ 100,500	Fixed rate
Term ABS 2011-1 (1)	Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2011-1	2011-1	10/06/2011	09/16/2013 (2)	\$ 200,500	Fixed rate
Senior Notes	n/a	n/a	(6)	02/01/2017	\$ 350,000	Fixed rate

- (1) Financing made available only to a specified subsidiary of the Company.
- (2) Represents the revolving maturity date. The outstanding balance will amortize after the maturity date based on the cash flows of the pledged assets.
  - (3) The LIBOR rate is used if funding is not available from the commercial paper market.
  - (4) Interest rate cap agreements are in place to limit the exposure to increasing interest rates.
- (5) Represents the revolving maturity date. The outstanding balance will amortize after the revolving maturity date and any amounts remaining on September 10, 2014 will be due.
- (6) The close dates associated with the issuance of \$250.0 million and \$100.0 million of the Senior Notes were on February 1, 2010 and March 3, 2011, respectively.



Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 7. DEBT – (Continued)

Additional information related to the amounts outstanding on each facility is as follows:

(In thousands)	For the Years Ended	
	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Revolving Secured Line of Credit		
Maximum outstanding balance	\$ 185,100	\$ 141,500
Average outstanding balance	109,261	64,788
Warehouse Facility II		
Maximum outstanding balance	\$ 264,000	\$ 180,000
Average outstanding balance	159,507	81,101
Warehouse Facility III		
Maximum outstanding balance	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000
Average outstanding balance	53,726	66,000
Warehouse Facility IV		
Maximum outstanding balance	\$ 43,500	\$ -
Average outstanding balance	41,256	-

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 7. DEBT – (Continued)

(Dollars in thousands)	As of December 31,	
	2011	2010
<b>Revolving Secured Line of Credit</b>		
Balance outstanding	\$ 43,900	\$ 136,700
Letter of credit	-	500
Amount available for borrowing (1)	161,100	32,800
Interest rate	2.55%	3.03%
<b>Warehouse Facility II</b>		
Balance outstanding	\$ 163,200	\$ 49,100
Amount available for borrowing (1)	161,800	275,900
Loans pledged as collateral	242,119	83,692
Restricted cash and cash equivalents pledged as collateral	6,117	4,037
Interest rate	2.99%	3.82%
<b>Warehouse Facility III</b>		
Balance outstanding	\$ 70,000	\$ 40,000
Amount available for borrowing (1)	5,000	35,000
Loans pledged as collateral	91,601	70,639
Restricted cash and cash equivalents pledged as collateral	3,321	2,409
Interest rate	1.89%	3.94%
<b>Warehouse Facility IV</b>		
Balance outstanding	\$ 37,500	\$ -
Amount available for borrowing (1)	37,500	-
Loans pledged as collateral	62,260	-
Restricted cash and cash equivalents pledged as collateral	2,188	-
Interest rate	3.05%	-
<b>Term ABS 2009-1</b>		
Balance outstanding	\$ 27,581	\$ 110,500
Loans pledged as collateral	105,209	138,090
Restricted cash and cash equivalents pledged as collateral	13,526	15,554
Interest rate	5.68%	4.40%
<b>Term ABS 2010-1</b>		
Balance outstanding	\$ 100,500	\$ 100,500
Loans pledged as collateral	125,541	125,161
Restricted cash and cash equivalents pledged as collateral	14,116	13,160
Interest rate	2.36%	2.36%
<b>Term ABS 2011-1</b>		
Balance outstanding	\$ 200,500	\$ -
Loans pledged as collateral	248,167	-

Edgar Filing: CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORP - Form 10-K

Restricted cash and cash equivalents pledged as collateral	23,268	-
Interest rate	2.90%	-
<b>Senior Notes</b>		
Balance outstanding (2)	\$ 350,378	\$ 244,344
Interest rate	9.13%	9.13%

(1) Availability may be limited by the amount of assets pledged as collateral.

(2) The outstanding balance presented for the Senior Notes includes a net unamortized debt premium of \$0.4 million as of December 31, 2011 and unamortized debt discount of \$5.7 million as of December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

7. DEBT – (Continued)

Revolving Secured Line of Credit Facility

We have a \$205.0 million revolving secured line of credit facility with a commercial bank syndicate.

During the second quarter of 2011, we extended the maturity of our revolving secured line of credit facility from June 22, 2012 to June 22, 2014. Additionally, the amount of the facility was increased from \$170.0 million to \$205.0 million. The financial covenant that required us to maintain a minimum ratio of assets to debt and the floor on the LIBOR rate were eliminated.

Borrowings under the revolving secured line of credit facility, including any letters of credit issued under the facility, are subject to a borrowing-base limitation. This limitation equals 80% of the net book value of Loans, less a hedging reserve (not exceeding \$1.0 million), and the amount of other debt secured by the collateral which secures the revolving secured line of credit facility. Borrowings under the revolving secured line of credit facility agreement are secured by a lien on most of our assets.

Warehouse Facilities

We have three Warehouse facilities with total borrowing capacity of \$475.0 million. Each of the facilities are with different institutional investors, and the facility limit is \$325.0 million for Warehouse Facility II and \$75.0 million for both Warehouse Facility III and IV.

During the second quarter of 2011, we extended the date on which Warehouse Facility II will cease to revolve from June 15, 2013 to June 17, 2014. The interest rate on borrowings under the facility was decreased from the commercial paper rate plus 3.5% to the commercial paper rate plus 2.75%.

During the second quarter of 2011, we decreased the interest rate on Warehouse Facility III from LIBOR plus 3.0% to LIBOR plus 1.6%.

During the third quarter of 2011, we entered into Warehouse Facility IV with a facility limit of \$75.0 million. The facility will cease to revolve on February 19, 2014. Borrowings under the facility will bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 2.75%.

Under each Warehouse facility, we can contribute Loans to our wholly-owned subsidiaries in return for cash and equity in each subsidiary. In turn, each subsidiary pledges the Loans as collateral to institutional investors to secure financing that will fund the cash portion of the purchase price of the Loans. The financing provided to each subsidiary under the applicable facility is limited to the lesser of 80% of the net book value of the contributed Loans plus the cash collected on such Loans or the facility limit.

The financings create indebtedness for which the subsidiaries are liable and which is secured by all the assets of each subsidiary. Such indebtedness is non-recourse to us, even though we are consolidated for financial reporting purposes with the subsidiaries. Because the subsidiaries are organized as legal entities separate from us, their assets (including the contributed Loans) are not available to our creditors.

Interest on borrowings under Warehouse Facility II has been limited through interest rate cap agreements to a maximum rate of 6.75% plus the spread over the LIBOR rate or the commercial paper rate, as applicable. Interest on

borrowings for a portion of Warehouse Facility III has been limited through an interest rate cap agreement to a maximum rate of 6.75% plus the spread over the LIBOR rate or the commercial paper rate, as applicable. Interest on borrowings for Warehouse Facility IV has also been limited through an interest rate cap agreement to a maximum rate of 5.50% plus the spread over the LIBOR rate. For additional information, see Note 8 of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 7. DEBT – (Continued)

The subsidiaries pay us a monthly servicing fee equal to 6% of the collections received with respect to the contributed Loans. The fee is paid out of the collections. Except for the servicing fee and holdback payments due to Dealer-Partners, if a facility is amortizing, we do not have any rights in any portion of such collections until all outstanding principal, accrued and unpaid interest, fees and other related costs have been paid in full. If a facility is not amortizing, the applicable subsidiary may be entitled to retain a portion of such collections provided that the borrowing base requirements of the facility are satisfied.

## Term ABS Financings

In 2009, 2010 and 2011, three of our wholly-owned subsidiaries (the “Funding LLCs”), each completed a secured financing transaction with qualified institutional investors. In connection with these transactions, we contributed Loans on an arms-length basis to each Funding LLC for cash and the sole membership interest in that Funding LLC. In turn, each Funding LLC contributed the Loans to a respective trust that issued notes to qualified institutional investors. The Term ABS 2009-1, 2010-1 and 2011-1 transactions each consist of three classes of notes. The Class A and Class B Notes for each Term ABS financing bear interest. The Class C Notes for each Term ABS financing do not bear interest and have been retained by us.

Each financing at the time of issuance has a specified revolving period during which we may be required, and are likely, to contribute additional Loans to each Funding LLC. Each Funding LLC will then contribute the Loans to their respective trust. At the end of the revolving period, the debt outstanding under each financing will begin to amortize.

The financings create indebtedness for which the trusts are liable and which is secured by all the assets of each trust. Such indebtedness is non-recourse to us, even though we are consolidated for financial reporting purposes with the trusts and the Funding LLCs. Because the Funding LLCs are organized as legal entities separate from us, their assets (including the contributed Loans) are not available to our creditors. We receive a monthly servicing fee on each financing equal to 6% of the collections received with respect to the contributed Loans. The fee is paid out of the collections. Except for the servicing fee and Dealer Holdback payments due to Dealer-Partners, if a facility is amortizing, we do not have any rights in any portion of such collections until all outstanding principal, accrued and unpaid interest, fees and other related costs have been paid in full. If a facility is not amortizing, the applicable subsidiary may be entitled to retain a portion of such collections provided that the borrowing base requirements of the facility are satisfied. However, in our capacity as servicer of the Loans, we do have a limited right to exercise a “clean-up call” option to purchase Loans from the Funding LLCs and/or the trusts under certain specified circumstances. Alternatively, when a trust’s underlying indebtedness is paid in full, either through collections or through a prepayment of the indebtedness, the trust is to pay any remaining collections over to its Funding LLC as the sole beneficiary of the trust. The collections will then be available to be distributed to us as the sole member of the respective Funding LLC.

The table below sets forth certain additional details regarding the outstanding Term ABS Financings:

(Dollars in thousands)

Term ABS Financings	Issue Number	Close Date	Net Book Value of Dealer Loans	Revolving Period
---------------------	--------------	------------	--------------------------------	------------------

Edgar Filing: CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORP - Form 10-K

			Contributed at Closing	
Term ABS 2009-1	2009-1	December 3, 2009	\$ 142,301	18 months (Through May 15, 2011)
Term ABS 2010-1	2010-1	November 4, 2010	\$ 126,751	24 months (Through October 15, 2012)
Term ABS 2011-1	2011-1	October 6, 2011	\$ 250,827	24 months (Through September 16, 2013)

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

7. DEBT – (Continued)

Senior Notes

We have outstanding \$350.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 9.125% First Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2017, \$100.0 million of which we issued on March 3, 2011 and \$250.0 million of which we issued on February 1, 2010. The Senior Notes are governed by an indenture, dated as of February 1, 2010, as amended and supplemented (the “Indenture”), among us, as the issuer; our subsidiaries Buyers Vehicle Protection Plan, Inc. and Vehicle Remarketing Services, Inc., as guarantors (the “Guarantors”); and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee. The Senior Notes issued on March 3, 2011 have the same terms as the previously issued Senior Notes, other than issue price and issue date, and all of the Senior Notes are treated as a single class under the Indenture.

The Senior Notes mature on February 1, 2017 and bear interest at a rate of 9.125% per annum, computed on the basis of a 360-day year comprised of twelve 30-day months and payable semi-annually on February 1 and August 1 of each year. The Senior Notes issued on March 3, 2011 were issued at a price of 106.0% of their aggregate principal amount, resulting in gross proceeds of \$106.0 million, and a yield to maturity of 7.83% per annum. The Senior Notes issued on February 1, 2010 were issued at a price of 97.495% of their aggregate principal amount, resulting in gross proceeds of \$243.7 million, and a yield to maturity of 9.625% per annum. The premium with respect to the Senior Notes issued on March 3, 2011 and the discount with respect to the Senior Notes issued on February 1, 2010 are being amortized over the life of the Senior Notes using the effective interest method.

The Senior Notes are guaranteed on a senior secured basis by the Guarantors, which are also guarantors of obligations under our revolving secured line of credit facility. Other existing and future subsidiaries of ours may become guarantors of the Senior Notes. The Senior Notes and the Guarantors’ Senior Note guarantees are secured on a first-priority basis (subject to specified exceptions and permitted liens), together with all indebtedness outstanding from time to time under the revolving secured line of credit facility and, under certain circumstances, certain future indebtedness, by a security interest in substantially all of our assets and those of the Guarantors, subject to certain exceptions such as real property, cash (except to the extent it is deposited with the collateral agent), certain leases, and equity interests of our subsidiaries (other than those of specified subsidiaries including the Guarantors). Our assets and those of the Guarantors securing the Senior Notes and the Senior Note guarantees will not include our assets transferred to special purpose subsidiaries in connection with securitization transactions and will generally be the same as the collateral securing indebtedness under the revolving secured line of credit facility and, under certain circumstances, certain future indebtedness, subject to certain limited exceptions as provided in the security and intercreditor agreements related to the revolving secured line of credit facility.

Mortgage Loan

During 2009, the mortgage note on our Southfield headquarters was amended to extend the maturity date from June 9, 2009 to June 22, 2014. Additionally, the interest rate on the note was increased from 5.35% to 5.70%. There was \$4.3 million and \$4.5 million outstanding on this loan as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Letters of Credit

Letters of credit are issued by a commercial bank syndicate and reduce amounts available under our revolving secured line of credit. As of December 31, 2011, there were no outstanding letters of credit. As of December 31, 2010, we had one letter of credit outstanding of \$0.5 million related to reinsurance agreements.





Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 7. DEBT – (Concluded)

## Principal Debt Maturities

The scheduled principal maturities of our debt as of December 31, 2011 are as follows:

(In thousands)

Year	Revolving Secured Line of Credit Facility	Warehouse Facilities	Term ABS Financings (1)	Senior Notes (2)	Mortgage Note	Total
2012	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 51,366	\$ -	\$ 248	\$ 51,614
2013	-	31,796	146,331	-	263	178,390
2014	43,900	182,927	130,884	-	3,777	361,488
2015	-	55,977	-	-	-	55,977
2016	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thereafter	-	-	-	350,000	-	350,000
Total	\$ 43,900	\$ 270,700	\$ 328,581	\$ 350,000	\$ 4,288	\$ 997,469

(1) The principal maturities of the Term ABS transactions are estimated based on forecasted collections.

(2) The amounts are presented on a gross basis to exclude the net unamortized debt premium of \$0.4 million.

## Debt Covenants

As of December 31, 2011, we were in compliance with all our debt covenants relating to the revolving secured line of credit facility, including those that require the maintenance of certain financial ratios and other financial conditions. These covenants require a minimum ratio of our earnings before interest, taxes and non-cash expenses to fixed charges. These covenants also limit the maximum ratio of our funded debt to tangible net worth. Additionally, we must maintain consolidated net income of not less than \$1 for the two most recently ended fiscal quarters. Some of these debt covenants may indirectly limit the repurchase of common stock or payment of dividends on common stock.

Our Warehouse facilities and Term ABS financings also contain covenants that measure the performance of the contributed assets. As of December 31, 2011, we were in compliance with all such covenants. As of the end of the year, we were also in compliance with our covenants under the Indenture. The Indenture includes covenants that limit the maximum ratio of our funded debt to tangible net worth and also require a minimum collateral coverage ratio.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 8. DERIVATIVE AND HEDGING INSTRUMENTS

Interest Rate Caps. We utilize interest rate cap agreements to manage the interest rate risk on our Warehouse facilities. The following tables provide the terms of our interest rate cap agreements that were in effect as of December 31, 2011 and 2010:

## As of December 31, 2011

Facility (in millions)	Facility Name	Purpose	Start	End	Notional (in millions)	Cap Interest Rate (1)
\$ 325.0	Warehouse Facility II	Cap Floating Rate	09/2010	06/2013	\$ 325.0	6.75%
75.0	Warehouse Facility III	Cap Floating Rate	09/2010	09/2013	37.5	6.75%
75.0	Warehouse Facility IV	Cap Floating Rate	08/2011	03/2014	75.0	5.50%

## As of December 31, 2010

Facility (in millions)	Facility Name	Purpose	Start	End	Notional (in millions)	Cap Interest Rate (1)
\$ 325.0	Warehouse Facility II	Cap Floating Rate	04/2009	05/2012	\$ 325.0	6.75%
75.0	Warehouse Facility III	Cap Floating Rate	09/2010	09/2013	12.5	6.75%

(1) Rate excludes the spread over the LIBOR rate or the commercial paper rate, as applicable.

The interest rate caps have not been designated as hedging instruments.

Interest Rate Swaps. As of December 31, 2011, we did not have any interest rate swap agreements outstanding. As of December 31, 2010, we had an interest rate swap outstanding for Warehouse Facility III which matured in August 2011 that converted \$25.0 million of the amount outstanding under the facility into fixed rate debt, bearing an interest rate 4.36%. This interest rate swap had been designated as a cash flow hedging instrument.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 8. DERIVATIVE AND HEDGING INSTRUMENTS – (Concluded)

Information related to the fair values of derivative instruments in our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is as follows:

(In thousands)	Balance Sheet location	Fair Value	
		As of December 31, 2011	2010
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments			
Liability Derivatives			
	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ -	\$ 176
Interest rate swap			
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments			
Asset Derivatives			
Interest rate caps	Other assets	\$ 16	\$ 56
Total Derivatives			
Total Asset Derivatives		\$ 16	\$ 56
Total Liability Derivatives		\$ -	\$ 176

Information related to the effect of derivative instruments designated as hedging instruments on our consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

(In thousands)	(Loss) / Gain			Location	(Loss) / Gain		
	Recognized in OCI on Derivative				Reclassified from Accumulated		
	(Effective Portion)				OCI into Income (Effective Portion)		
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	For the Years Ended December 31,				For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009		2011	2010	2009
Interest rate swap	\$ (16)	\$ 523	\$ (1,017)	Interest expense	\$ (192)	\$ (746)	\$ (3,641)

Information related to the effect of derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments on our consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

(In thousands)	Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Location	Amount of (Loss)/ Gain Recognized in Income on Derivatives		
			For the Years Ended December 31, 2011	2010	2009
Interest rate caps	Interest expense	\$ (210)	\$ (159)	\$ (112)	
Interest rate swap	Interest expense	-	(590)	106	
Total		\$ (210)	\$ (749)	\$ (6)	



Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 9. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In the normal course of our business, affiliated Dealer-Partners assign Consumer Loans to us under the Portfolio and Purchase Programs. Dealer Loans and Purchased Loans with affiliated Dealer-Partners are on the same terms as those with non-affiliated Dealer-Partners. Affiliated Dealer-Partners are comprised of Dealer-Partners owned or controlled by: (1) our Chairman and significant shareholder; and (2) a member of the Chairman's immediate family.

Affiliated Dealer Loan balances were \$4.9 million and \$9.0 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Affiliated Dealer Loan balances were 0.3% and 0.8% of total consolidated Dealer Loan balances as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. A summary of related party Loan activity is as follows:

(In thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,					
	2011		2010		2009	
	Affiliated Dealer-Partner activity	% of consolidated	Affiliated Dealer-Partner activity	% of consolidated	Affiliated Dealer-Partner activity	% of consolidated
Dealer Loan revenue	\$ 1,609	0.4%	\$ 3,097	1.0%	\$ 3,714	1.5%
New Consumer Loan assignments (1)	1,267	0.1%	3,473	0.4%	5,690	0.9%
Accelerated Dealer Holdback payments	24	0.1%	285	0.9%	287	1.6%
Dealer Holdback payments	2,386	2.8%	1,788	4.0%	1,787	4.0%

(1) Represents advances paid to Dealer-Partners on Consumer Loans assigned under our Portfolio Program and one-time payments made to Dealer-Partners to purchase Consumer Loans assigned under our Purchase Program.

Our Chairman and significant shareholder has indirect control over entities that, in the past, offered secured lines of credit to automobile dealers, and has the right or obligation to reacquire these entities under certain circumstances until December 31, 2014 or the repayment of the related purchase money note.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 10. INCOME TAXES

The income tax provision, excluding the results of the discontinued United Kingdom operations, consists of the following:

(In thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes:			
Domestic	\$ 296,366	\$ 253,490	\$ 228,885
Foreign	52	7	153
	\$ 296,418	\$ 253,497	\$ 229,038
Current provision (benefit) for income taxes:			
Federal	\$ 87,581	\$ 66,316	\$ 63,321
State	6,317	3,651	2,197
Foreign	36	(66)	(7)
	93,934	69,901	65,511
Deferred provision (benefit) for income taxes:			
Federal	13,272	16,654	15,120
State	2,037	(2,837)	3,583
Foreign	-	46	-
	15,309	13,863	18,703
Interest and penalties benefit:			
Interest	(670)	(222)	(29)
Penalties	(199)	(152)	(1,193)
	(869)	(374)	(1,222)
Provision for income taxes	\$ 108,374	\$ 83,390	\$ 82,992

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities consist of the following:

(In thousands)	As of December 31,	
	2011	2010
Deferred tax assets:		
Allowance for credit losses	\$ 56,314	\$ 46,343
Stock-based compensation	5,895	5,741
Deferred state net operating loss	2,672	2,450
Other, net	4,928	4,022
Total deferred tax assets	69,809	58,556
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Valuation of Loans receivable	184,437	161,232
Deferred Loan origination costs	3,379	2,721
Other, net	5,442	2,680
Total deferred tax liabilities	193,258	166,633
Net deferred tax liability	\$ 123,449	\$ 108,077

The deferred state net operating loss tax asset arising from the operating loss carry forward for state income tax purposes is expected to expire at various times beginning in 2018, if not utilized. We do not anticipate expiration of the net operating loss carry forwards prior to their utilization.



Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 10. INCOME TAXES – (Continued)

A reconciliation of the U.S. federal statutory rate to our effective tax rate, excluding the results of the discontinued United Kingdom operations, is as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
U.S. federal statutory rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State income taxes	2.1%	0.2%	1.6%
Changes in reserve for uncertain tax positions as a result of settlements and lapsed statutes and related interest	-0.5%	-2.4%	-0.1%
Other	-%	0.1%	-0.3%
Effective tax rate	36.6%	32.9%	36.2%

The differences between the U.S. federal statutory rate and our effective tax rates for 2011, 2010, and 2009 are primarily due to state income taxes and reserves for uncertain tax positions and related interest and penalties that are included in the provision for income taxes. The decrease in the effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to 2011 and 2009, is primarily due to a settlement of the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) examination detailed below and related adjustments to accrued tax reserves and interest as well as adjustments to our state tax liability.

The state income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 fluctuate due to variability in the amount of income taxable in various state tax jurisdictions and changes in effective state tax rates. As a result of an adjustment to the deferred tax liability arising from changes in the effective state income tax rate, the effective tax rates for 2011 and 2009 increased by 10 and 30 basis points, respectively.

On June 7, 2010, we reached a settlement with the IRS which concluded the examination of our federal income tax returns for 2004 through 2008 and closed the respective tax years. As a result of the settlement, we agreed to pay a total of \$7.6 million in federal and state taxes and interest related to these years. The settlement includes \$6.2 million of taxes that represent an acceleration of taxes already provided for in prior periods and the payment did not have an impact on our net income during the reporting periods. We also concluded that all 2004 through 2008 uncertain federal jurisdiction tax positions taken in previous periods are effectively settled and we recorded a reversal of corresponding accrued reserves and interest. This reversal had a favorable impact of \$6.2 million (after-tax) on our net income for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The following table is a summary of changes in unrecognized tax benefits:

(In thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Unrecognized tax benefits at January 1,	\$ 7,815	\$ 11,830	\$ 12,274
Additions based on tax positions related to current year	2,676	2,329	2,564
Additions in tax positions of prior years	377	11	-
Reductions in tax positions of prior years	-	-	(836)
Settlements	-	(5,813)	(559)
Reductions as a result of a lapse of the statute of limitations	(873)	(542)	(1,613)

Unrecognized tax benefits at December 31,	\$	9,995	\$	7,815	\$	11,830
---	----	-------	----	-------	----	--------

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefit that, if recognized, would favorably affect our effective income tax rate in future periods, was approximately \$10.0 million as of December 31, 2011. Accrued interest related to uncertain tax positions were \$1.9 million and \$1.4 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

We are subject to income tax in multiple federal and state jurisdictions. Substantially all material foreign tax matters have been concluded through 2010. For state returns, we are generally no longer subject to tax examinations for years prior to 2005.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 10. INCOME TAXES – (Concluded)

During 2011, 2010 and 2009, we remitted substantially all of our accumulated earnings from foreign subsidiaries as profits to the U.S. and accrued or paid U.S. income taxes accordingly.

## 11. NET INCOME PER SHARE

Basic net income per share has been computed by dividing net income by the basic number of weighted average shares outstanding. Diluted net income per share has been computed by dividing net income by the diluted number of weighted average shares outstanding using the treasury stock method. The share effect is as follows:

(In thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Weighted average shares outstanding:			
Common shares	25,890	29,141	30,475
Vested restricted stock units	412	252	115
Basic number of weighted average shares outstanding	26,302	29,393	30,590
Dilutive effect of stock options	103	336	624
Dilutive effect of restricted stock and restricted stock units	196	256	455
Dilutive number of weighted average shares outstanding	26,601	29,985	31,669

For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, there were no stock options, restricted stock or restricted stock units that would have been anti-dilutive.

## 12. STOCK REPURCHASES

On August 5, 1999, our board of directors approved a stock repurchase program which authorizes us to repurchase common shares in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions at price levels we deem attractive. As of December 31, 2011, we had authorization to repurchase up to \$24.9 million of our common stock.

During the fourth quarter of 2011, we repurchased 55,133 shares of our common stock in the open market at a cost of \$4.2 million.

During the first quarter of 2011, we commenced a tender offer to repurchase 1.9 million shares of our common stock at a price of \$65.625 per share. Upon expiration of the tender offer during the first quarter of 2011, we repurchased 1.9 million common shares at a cost of \$125.0 million, which included 1.3 million shares beneficially owned by Donald A. Foss, our Chairman of the Board, and 0.5 million shares beneficially owned by the trustee of certain grantor retained annuity trusts created by Mr. Foss. We financed the repurchase of our common stock in the tender offer using the proceeds from the issuance of \$100.0 million of Senior Notes and by borrowing under our revolving secured line of credit facility.

During the second quarter of 2010, we commenced a tender offer to repurchase up to 4.0 million shares of our outstanding common stock at a price of \$50.00 per share. Upon expiration of the tender offer during the third quarter of 2010, we repurchased 4.0 million common shares at a cost of \$200.0 million, which included approximately 2.9 million shares beneficially owned by Donald A. Foss, our Chairman of the Board, and approximately 0.8 million shares beneficially owned by the trustee of certain grantor retained annuity trusts created by Mr. Foss. We financed

the repurchase of our common stock in the tender offer by borrowing under our revolving secured line of credit facility and Warehouse Facility II.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 13. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

Pursuant to our Amended and Restated Incentive Compensation Plan (the “Incentive Plan”), the number of shares reserved for granting of restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock options, and performance awards to team members, officers, directors, and contractors at any time prior to April 6, 2019 is 1.5 million shares. The shares available for future grants under the Incentive Plan totaled 342,560 as of December 31, 2011.

A summary of the restricted stock activity under the Incentive Plan for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 is presented below:

(In thousands, except per share data)

Restricted Stock	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per Share
Outstanding as of January 1, 2009	245	\$ 21.65
Granted	122	17.82
Vested	(106)	20.17
Forfeited	(19)	17.78
Outstanding as of December 31, 2009	242	\$ 20.23
Granted	19	40.36
Vested	(143)	21.79
Forfeited	(6)	27.59
Outstanding as of December 31, 2010	112	\$ 21.09
Granted	9	70.40
Vested	(63)	19.52
Forfeited	(10)	25.88
Outstanding as of December 31, 2011	48	\$ 31.26

The shares of restricted stock are part of the annual incentive compensation program and are granted annually based on attaining certain individual and company performance criteria. The grant-date fair value per share is estimated to equal the market price of our common stock on the date of grant. Based on the terms of individual restricted stock grants, time-based shares vest over a period of three to five years, based on continuous employment.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 13. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS– (Continued)

A summary of the restricted stock unit activity under the Incentive Plan for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 is presented below:

(In thousands, except per share data)

Restricted Stock Units	Nonvested		Number of Restricted Stock Units	Vested		Total Number of Restricted Stock Units
	Number of Restricted Stock Units	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per Share		Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per Share	Total	
Outstanding as of January 1, 2009	640	\$ 18.99	60	\$ 26.30	700	
Granted	101	23.89	-	-	101 (1)	
Vested	(60)	26.30	60	26.30	- (2)	
Forfeited	(33)	13.51	-	-	(33)	
Outstanding as of December 31, 2009	648	\$ 19.35	120	\$ 26.30	768	
Granted	33	39.89	-	-	33 (3)	
Vested	(150)	20.24	150	20.24	- (4)	
Forfeited	(10)	39.89	-	-	(10)	
Outstanding as of December 31, 2010	521	\$ 19.99	270	\$ 22.94	791	
Granted	37	63.73	-	-	37 (5)	
Vested	(158)	20.99	158	20.99	- (6)	
Forfeited	(52)	20.18	-	-	(52)	
Outstanding as of December 31, 2011	348	\$ 24.06	428	\$ 22.14	776	

(1) The distribution date of vested restricted stock units is February 22, 2016 for 81 restricted stock units and February 22, 2017 for 20 restricted stock units.

(2) The distribution date of vested restricted stock units is February 22, 2014.

(3) The distribution date of vested restricted stock units is February 22, 2017.

(4) The distribution date of vested restricted stock units is February 22, 2014 for 60 restricted stock units and February 22, 2016 for 90 restricted stock units.

(5) The distribution date of vested restricted stock units is February 22, 2018 for 5 restricted stock units and February 18, 2019 for 32 restricted stock units.

(6) The distribution date of vested restricted stock units is February 22, 2014 for 60 restricted stock units and February 22, 2016 for 90 restricted stock units and February 22, 2017 for 8 restricted stock units.

The restricted stock units are part of a long-term incentive compensation program. Each restricted stock unit represents and has a value equal to one share of common stock. The grant-date fair value per share is estimated to equal the market price of our common stock on the date of grant. The restricted stock units will be earned over a five year period based upon the compounded annual growth rate in our adjusted economic profit, a non-GAAP financial measure.

Pursuant to our 1992 Stock Option Plan (the "1992 Plan"), we had reserved 8.0 million shares of our common stock for the future granting of options to officers and other team members. Pursuant to our Director Stock Option Plan (the "Director Plan"), we had reserved 200,000 shares of our common stock for future granting of options to members of our Board of Directors. The exercise price of the options is no less than the fair market value on the date of the grant. Options expire ten years from the date of grant. The 1992 Plan and the Director Plan were terminated as to future grants on May 13, 2004, with shareholder approval of the Incentive Plan. All options outstanding as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 are vested.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 13. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS– (Continued)

Additional stock option information relating to the 1992 Plan and the Director Plan is as follows:

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Number of Options	1992 Plan Weighted Average Exercise Per Share	Aggregate Intrinsic Value	Number of Options	Director Plan Weighted Average Exercise Per Share	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding as of January 1, 2009	969	\$ 8.14		100	\$ 17.25	
Options exercised	(359)	5.41	\$ 9,200	-	-	\$ -
Options forfeited	(2)	9.01		-	-	
Outstanding as of December 31, 2009	608	\$ 9.75		100	\$ 17.25	
Options exercised	(300)	9.66	\$ 10,038	-	-	\$ -
Options forfeited	(1)	7.45		-	-	
Outstanding as of December 31, 2010	307	\$ 9.84		100	\$ 17.25	
Options exercised	(300)	9.74	\$ 15,846	-	-	\$ -
Options forfeited	(1)	8.48		-	-	
Outstanding as of December 31, 2011	6	\$ 15.37		100	\$ 17.25	
Exercisable as of December 31:						
2009	608	\$ 9.75	\$ 19,688	100	\$ 17.25	\$ 2,486
2010	307	\$ 9.84	\$ 16,256	100	\$ 17.25	\$ 4,553
2011	6	\$ 15.37	\$ 395	100	\$ 17.25	\$ 6,504

The following tables summarize information about options outstanding under the 1992 Plan and the Director Plan as of December 31, 2011:

(In thousands, except per share data)

Exercisable Prices Per Share	Options as of 12/31/11	Options Outstanding and Exercisable		Weighted-Average Exercise Price Per Share
		Weighted-Average	Remaining Contractual Life	
1992 Plan				
\$ 8.05	1	0.9	Years	\$ 8.05
\$ 17.05	5	2.2		\$ 17.05
Totals	6	1.9		\$ 15.37

Director  
Plan



\$ 17.25      100      2.2 Years      \$ 17.25

88

---

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 13. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS – (Concluded)

Stock-based compensation expense consists of the following:

(In thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Restricted stock	\$ 625	\$ 938	\$ 2,208
Restricted stock units	1,256	3,189	4,597
Total	\$ 1,881	\$ 4,127	\$ 6,805

While the restricted stock units are expected to vest in equal, annual installments over a five-year period, the related stock-based compensation expense is not recognized on a straight-line basis over this period. Each installment is accounted for as a separate award and as a result, the fair value of each installment is recognized as stock-based compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the related vesting period. The following table details how the expenses associated with restricted stock and restricted stock units, which are expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.3 years, will be recorded assuming performance targets are achieved in the periods currently estimated:

For the Years Ended December 31,	Restricted Stock Units	Restricted Stock	Total Projected Expense (pre-tax)
2012	\$ 1,723	\$ 291	\$ 2,014
2013	899	89	988
2014	374	9	383
2015	187	-	187
2016	83	-	83
Total	\$ 3,266	\$ 389	\$ 3,655

## 14. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The following table summarizes the changes in each component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):

	Unrealized (loss) gain on derivatives qualifying as hedges	Unrealized gain (loss) on available for sale securities	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
Balance as of January 1, 2009	\$ (2,580)	\$ 18	\$ (2,562)
Other comprehensive income	1,667	(9)	1,658
Balance as of December 31, 2009	(913)	9	(904)
Other comprehensive income	802	(8)	794
Balance as of December 31, 2010	(111)	1	(110)
Other comprehensive income	111	5	116
Balance as of December 31, 2011	\$ -	\$ 6	\$ 6

15.

BUSINESS SEGMENT AND OTHER INFORMATION

Business Segment Overview

We identify operating segments as components of our business for which separate financial information is regularly evaluated by the chief operating decision-maker (“CODM”) in making decisions regarding resource allocation and assessing performance. We periodically review and redefine our segment reporting as internal management reporting practices evolve and the components of our business change. Currently, the CODM reviews consolidated financial statements and metrics to allocate resources and assess performance. Thus, we have determined that we operate in one reportable operating segment. The consolidated financial statements reflect the financial results of our one reportable operating segment.

89

---

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

15. BUSINESS SEGMENT AND OTHER INFORMATION – (Concluded)

Geographic Information

Our revenues derived outside the United States from continuing operations were less than one percent for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009. Our long-lived assets and total assets maintained outside the United States were less than one percent for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

Products and Services Information

Our primary product consists of providing auto loans to consumers, regardless of their credit history, through our network of Dealer-Partners within the United States. We also provide Dealer-Partners the ability to offer vehicle service contracts and a GAP product to consumers on vehicles financed by us.

Major Customer Information

We did not have any Dealer-Partners that provided 10% or more of our revenue during 2011, 2010 or 2009. Additionally, no single Dealer-Partner's Loans receivable balance accounted for more than 10% of total Loans receivable as of December 31, 2011 or 2010.

16. LITIGATION AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

In the normal course of business and as a result of the customer-oriented nature of the industry in which we operate, industry participants are frequently subject to various customer claims and litigation seeking damages and statutory penalties. The claims allege, among other theories of liability, violations of state, federal and foreign truth-in-lending, credit availability, credit reporting, customer protection, warranty, debt collection, insurance and other customer-oriented laws and regulations, including claims seeking damages for physical and mental damages relating to our repossession and sale of the customer's vehicle and other debt collection activities. As the assignee of Consumer Loans originated by Dealer-Partners, we may also be named as a co-defendant in lawsuits filed by customers principally against Dealer-Partners. We may also have disputes and litigation with Dealer-Partners. The claims may allege, among other theories of liability, that we breached its dealer servicing agreement. Many of these cases are filed as purported class actions and seek damages in large dollar amounts. Current actions to which we are a party include the following matters.

On December 3, 2010, we received a civil investigative demand from the Missouri Attorney General Office relating to our practices regarding collections from Missouri consumers who claim to have not received title from the Dealer-Partner at the time of their purchase. On January 24, 2011, we provided an initial response and on May 16, 2011, we filed a supplemental response. We are in continued discussions with the Attorney General with respect to the demand for information. We are cooperating with the inquiry.

On November 22, 2011, an arbitration proceeding against us was commenced before the American Arbitration Association ("AAA") in Southfield, Michigan. The arbitration demand was brought by a Dealer-Partner and seeks unspecified money damages for alleged breach of the dealer servicing agreement. The claimant purports to proceed on behalf of a putative class of similarly situated Dealer-Partners. On or about January 3, 2012, we filed an answer, denying the allegations in the demand and opposing claimant's attempt to proceed on a class-wide basis based on the terms of the parties' arbitration agreement, which does not authorize classwide arbitration, and recent controlling

Supreme Court authority. The arbitration panel has not yet been appointed and various procedural matters relating to the arbitration, including whether the matter will proceed as a class action, have not yet been adjudicated. We intend to vigorously defend ourselves against the allegations made in this proceeding.

An adverse ultimate disposition in any action to which we are a party or otherwise subject could have a material adverse impact on our financial position, liquidity and results of operations.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

## 17. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (unaudited)

The following is a summary of the quarterly financial position and results of operations as of and for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP.

(In thousands, except per share data)

	2011			
	Quarters Ended			
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
<b>Balance Sheets</b>				
Loans receivable, net	\$ 1,352,488	\$ 1,438,086	\$ 1,525,403	\$ 1,598,573
All other assets	139,602	143,569	145,249	160,025
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,492,090</b>	<b>\$ 1,581,655</b>	<b>\$ 1,670,652</b>	<b>\$ 1,758,598</b>
<b>Total debt</b>	<b>\$ 886,320</b>	<b>\$ 934,699</b>	<b>\$ 968,957</b>	<b>\$ 997,847</b>
Other liabilities	210,460	205,045	208,425	220,800
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>1,096,780</b>	<b>1,139,744</b>	<b>1,177,382</b>	<b>1,218,647</b>
Shareholders' equity (1)	395,310	441,911	493,270	539,951
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 1,492,090</b>	<b>\$ 1,581,655</b>	<b>\$ 1,670,652</b>	<b>\$ 1,758,598</b>
<b>Income Statements</b>				
Revenue	\$ 123,512	\$ 129,965	\$ 133,739	\$ 137,976
Costs and expenses	56,251	59,332	55,073	58,118
Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes	67,261	70,633	78,666	79,858
Provision for income taxes	24,070	25,789	28,706	29,809
Income from continuing operations	43,191	44,844	49,960	50,049
Loss from discontinued United Kingdom operations, net of tax	-	-	-	-
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$ 43,191</b>	<b>\$ 44,844</b>	<b>\$ 49,960</b>	<b>\$ 50,049</b>
<b>Net income per share:</b>				
Basic	\$ 1.59	\$ 1.73	\$ 1.92	\$ 1.92
Diluted	\$ 1.57	\$ 1.72	\$ 1.91	\$ 1.91
<b>Income from continuing operations per share:</b>				
Basic	\$ 1.59	\$ 1.73	\$ 1.92	\$ 1.92
Diluted	\$ 1.57	\$ 1.72	\$ 1.91	\$ 1.91
<b>Loss from discontinued United Kingdom operations per share:</b>				
Basic	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Diluted	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<b>Weighted average shares outstanding:</b>				
Basic	27,196	25,975	26,033	26,022
Diluted	27,489	26,111	26,136	26,259

(1) No dividends were paid during the periods presented.



Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONCLUDED)

## 17. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (unaudited) – (Concluded)

(In thousands, except per share data)

	2010 Quarters Ended			
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
<b>Balance Sheets</b>				
Loans receivable, net	\$ 1,088,342	\$ 1,134,776	\$ 1,176,118	\$ 1,218,013
All other assets	139,414	120,042	108,167	125,502
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,227,756</b>	<b>\$ 1,254,818</b>	<b>\$ 1,284,285</b>	<b>\$ 1,343,515</b>
<b>Total debt</b>				
	\$ 498,287	\$ 493,472	\$ 679,561	\$ 685,667
Other liabilities	198,685	179,728	180,299	183,374
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>696,972</b>	<b>673,200</b>	<b>859,860</b>	<b>869,041</b>
Shareholders' equity (1)	530,784	581,618	424,425	474,474
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 1,227,756</b>	<b>\$ 1,254,818</b>	<b>\$ 1,284,285</b>	<b>\$ 1,343,515</b>
<b>Income Statements</b>				
Revenue	\$ 103,262	\$ 111,779	\$ 111,661	\$ 115,433
Costs and expenses	50,805	45,143	46,465	46,225
Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes	52,457	66,636	65,196	69,208
Provision for income taxes	20,442	17,571	23,149	22,228
Income from continuing operations	32,015	49,065	42,047	46,980
Loss from discontinued United Kingdom operations, net of tax	(5)	(25)	-	-
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$ 32,010</b>	<b>\$ 49,040</b>	<b>\$ 42,047</b>	<b>\$ 46,980</b>
<b>Net income per share:</b>				
Basic	\$ 1.03	\$ 1.57	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.72
Diluted	\$ 1.01	\$ 1.55	\$ 1.48	\$ 1.69
<b>Income from continuing operations per share:</b>				
Basic	\$ 1.03	\$ 1.57	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.72
Diluted	\$ 1.01	\$ 1.55	\$ 1.48	\$ 1.69
<b>Loss from discontinued United Kingdom operations per share:</b>				
Basic	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Diluted	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<b>Weighted average shares outstanding:</b>				
Basic	31,042	31,172	28,063	27,351
Diluted	31,584	31,601	28,452	27,865

(1) No dividends were paid during the periods presented.



Table of Contents

ITEM CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL  
9. DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.

(a) Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in recording, processing, summarizing and reporting, on a timely basis, information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act and are effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended December 31, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

We are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. In addition, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions and that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

We assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011. In making this assessment, we used the criteria set forth in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on our assessment, we believe that as of

December 31, 2011, our internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Grant Thornton LLP, audited our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 and their report dated February 24, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion on our internal control over financial reporting and is included in this Item 9A.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and  
Shareholders of Credit Acceptance Corporation

We have audited Credit Acceptance Corporation (a Michigan Corporation) and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Credit Acceptance Corporation and subsidiaries' management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Credit Acceptance Corporation and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Credit Acceptance Corporation and subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by COSO.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Credit Acceptance Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 and our report dated February 24, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

Southfield, Michigan  
February 24, 2012

94

---

Table of Contents

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Information is contained under the captions “Election of Directors” (excluding the “Report of the Audit Committee”) and “Section 16 (a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance” in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information is contained under the caption “Compensation of Executive Officers” (excluding the “Report of the Executive Compensation Committee”) in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information is contained under the caption “Common Stock Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management” in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Our Incentive Compensation Plan (the “Incentive Plan”), which was approved by shareholders on May 13, 2004, provides for the granting of restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock options, and performance awards to team members, officers, and directors. We also have two stock option plans pursuant to which we have granted stock options with time or performance-based vesting requirements to team members, officers, and directors. Our 1992 Stock Option Plan (the “1992 Plan”) was approved by shareholders in 1992 prior to our initial public offering and was terminated as to future grants on May 13, 2004, when shareholders approved the Incentive Plan. Our Director Stock Option Plan (the “Director Plan”) was approved by shareholders in 2002 and was terminated as to future grants on May 13, 2004, with shareholder approval of the Incentive Plan.

The following table sets forth, with respect to each of the equity compensation plans, (1) the number of shares of common stock to be issued upon the exercise of outstanding options or restricted stock units, (2) the weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, and (3) the number of shares remaining available for future issuance, as of December 31, 2011:

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

Plan Category	Number of shares to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options	Number of shares remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (a)
Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders:			

Edgar Filing: CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORP - Form 10-K

1992 Plan	6	\$	15.37	-
Director Plan	100		17.25	-
Incentive Plan	776			343
Total	882	\$	17.14	343

(a) For additional information regarding our equity compensation plans, see Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information is contained under the caption “Certain Relationships and Transactions” and “Election of Directors – Meetings and Committees of the Board of Directors” in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Information is contained under the caption “Independent Accountants” in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

- (a)(1) The following consolidated financial statements of the Company and Report of Independent Public Accountants are contained in Item 8 — Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Report of Independent Public Accountants

Consolidated Financial Statements:

- Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010
- Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009
- Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009
- Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009
- Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

- (2) Financial Statement Schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable or are not required or the information required to be set forth therein is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes thereto.
- (3) The Exhibits filed in response to Item 601 of Regulation S-K are listed in the Exhibit Index, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION

By: /s/ BRETT A. ROBERTS  
Brett A. Roberts  
Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: February 24, 2012

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on February 24, 2012 on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated.

Signature	Title
/s/ BRETT A. ROBERTS Brett A. Roberts	Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)
/s/ KENNETH S. BOOTH Kenneth S. Booth	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)
/s/ GLENDA J. FLANAGAN Glenda J. Flanagan	Director
/s/ DONALD A. FOSS Donald A. Foss	Director and Chairman of the Board
/s/ THOMAS N. TRYFOROS Thomas N. Tryforos	Director
/s/ SCOTT J. VASSALLUZZO Scott J. Vassalluzzo	Director



Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

The following documents are filed as part of this report. Those exhibits previously filed and incorporated herein by reference are identified below. Exhibits not required for this report have been omitted. Unless otherwise noted, the Company's commission file number for all exhibits incorporated by reference herein is 000-20202.

Exhibit No. Description

- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation, as amended July 1, 1997 (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 1997)
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company, as amended, February 24, 2005 (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004)
- 4.1 Contribution Agreement, dated September 30, 2003, between the Company and CAC Warehouse Funding Corporation II (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2003)
- 4.2 Back-Up Servicing Agreement, dated September 30, 2003, among the Company, Systems & Services Technologies, Inc., Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC, and CAC Warehouse Funding Corporation II (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2003)
- 4.3 Certificate Funding Agreement, dated September 20, 2006, between the Company, Credit Acceptance Residual Funding LLC, Wachovia Bank, National Association, Variable Funding Capital Company LLC and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated September 22, 2006)
- 4.4 Indenture, dated November 21, 2006, between Credit Acceptance Auto Dealer Loan Trust 2006-2 and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 27, 2006)
- 4.5 Sale and Servicing Agreement, dated November 21, 2006, among the Company, Credit Acceptance Auto Dealer Loan Trust 2006-2, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2006-2, Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, N.A., and Systems & Services Technologies, Inc. (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 27, 2006)
- 4.6 Backup Servicing Agreement, dated November 21, 2006, among the Company, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2006-2, Credit Acceptance Auto Dealer Loan Trust 2006-2, Systems & Services Technologies, Inc., Radian Asset Assurance Inc., XL Capital Assurance Inc. and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 27, 2006)
- 4.7 Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, dated November 21, 2006, between Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2006-2 and U.S. Bank Trust National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 27, 2006)
- 4.8 Contribution Agreement, dated November 21, 2006, between the Company and Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2006-2 (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 27, 2006)
- 4.9 Indenture, dated April 12, 2007, between Credit Acceptance Auto Dealer Loan Trust 2007-1 and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 18, 2007)
- 4.10 Sale and Servicing Agreement, dated April 12, 2007, among the Company, Credit Acceptance Auto Dealer Loan Trust 2007-1, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2007-1 and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 18, 2007)
- 4.11

Backup Servicing Agreement, dated April 12, 2007, among the Company, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2007-1, Credit Acceptance Auto Dealer Loan Trust 2007-1, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, and XL Capital Assurance Inc. (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 18, 2007)

Table of Contents

- Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, dated April 12, 2007, between Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2007-1 and U.S. Bank Trust National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the
- 4.12 Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 18, 2007)
- Contribution Agreement, dated April 12, 2007, between the Company and Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2007-1 (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K,
- 4.13 dated April 18, 2007)
- Amendment No. 1, dated September 11, 2007, to the Certificate Funding Agreement dated as of September 20, 2006, between the Company, Credit Acceptance Residual Funding LLC, Wachovia Bank, National Association, Variable Funding Capital Company LLC and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated September
- 4.14 13, 2007)
- Indenture, dated October 29, 2007, between Credit Acceptance Auto Dealer Loan Trust 2007-2 and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's
- 4.15 Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 2, 2007)
- Sale and Servicing Agreement, dated October 29, 2007, among the Company, Credit Acceptance Auto Dealer Loan Trust 2007-2, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2007-2 and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated
- 4.16 November 2, 2007)
- Backup Servicing Agreement, dated October 29, 2007, among the Company, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2007-2, Credit Acceptance Auto Dealer Loan Trust 2007-2, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, and XL Capital Assurance Inc. (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's
- 4.17 Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 2, 2007)
- Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, dated October 29, 2007, between Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2007-2 and U.S. Bank Trust National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the
- 4.18 Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 2, 2007)
- Contribution Agreement, dated October 29, 2007, between the Company and Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2007-2 (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K,
- 4.19 dated November 2, 2007)
- Indenture dated April 18, 2008 between Credit Acceptance Auto Loan Trust 2008-1 and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on
- 4.20 Form 8-K, dated April 24, 2008)
- Sale and Servicing Agreement dated April 18, 2008 among the Company, Credit Acceptance Auto Loan Trust 2008-1, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2008-1, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 24,
- 4.21 2008)
- Backup Servicing Agreement dated April 18, 2008 among the Company, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2008-1, Credit Acceptance Auto Loan Trust 2008-1, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 24,
- 4.22 2008)
- Amended and Restated Trust Agreement dated April 18, 2008 between Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2008-1 and U.S. Bank Trust National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the
- 4.23 Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 24, 2008)
- Contribution Agreement dated April 18, 2008 between the Company and Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2008-1 (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K,
- 4.24 dated April 24, 2008)
- 4.25 Loan and Security Agreement dated May 23, 2008 among the Company, CAC Warehouse Funding III, LLC, Fifth Third Bank, Relationship Funding Company, LLC and Systems & Services Technologies, Inc. (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated June 2,

2008)

Backup Servicing Agreement dated May 23, 2008 among the Company, CAC Warehouse Funding III, LLC, Fifth Third Bank and Systems & Services Technologies, Inc. (incorporated by reference to an 4.26 exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated June 2, 2008)

Table of Contents

4.27 Contribution Agreement dated May 23, 2008 between the Company and CAC Warehouse Funding III, LLC (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated June 2, 2008)

4.28 Intercreditor Agreement dated May 23, 2008 among the Company, CAC Warehouse Funding Corporation II, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2006-2, Credit Acceptance Auto Dealer Loan Trust 2006-2, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2007-1, Credit Acceptance Auto Dealer Loan Trust 2007-1, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2007-2, Credit Acceptance Auto Dealer Loan Trust 2007-2, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2008-1, Credit Acceptance Auto Loan Trust 2008-1, CAC Warehouse Funding III, LLC, Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC, as agent, Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as agent, Comerica Bank, as agent, and Fifth Third Bank, as agent (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated June 2, 2008)

4.29 Second Amendment dated as of August 27, 2008, to the Certificate Funding Agreement dated September 20, 2006, among the Company, Credit Acceptance Residual Funding LLC, Wachovia Bank, National Association, Variable Funding Capital Company LLC, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated August 29, 2008)

4.30 Third Amendment, dated as of July 31, 2008, to Intercreditor Agreement dated as of December 15, 1998, among Comerica Bank, as collateral agent, and various lenders and note holders (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008)

4.31 First Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of August 31, 2009 among the Company, CAC Warehouse Funding III, LLC, Fifth Third Bank and Relationship Funding Company, LLC (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated August 31, 2009)

4.32 Indenture, dated December 3, 2009, between Credit Acceptance Auto Loan Trust 2009-1 and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2009)

4.33 Sale and Servicing Agreement dated December 3, 2009, among the Company, Credit Acceptance Auto Loan Trust 2009-1, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2009-1, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2009)

4.34 Backup Servicing Agreement dated December 3, 2009, among the Company, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2009-1, Credit Acceptance Auto Loan Trust 2009-1, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2009)

4.35 Amended and Restated Trust Agreement dated December 3, 2009, between Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2009-1 and U.S. Bank Trust National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2009)

4.36 Sale and Contribution Agreement dated December 3, 2009, between the Company and Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2009-1 (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2009)

4.37 Intercreditor Agreement dated December 3, 2009, among the Company, CAC Warehouse Funding Corporation II, CAC Warehouse Funding III, LLC, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2008-1, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2009-1, Credit Acceptance Auto Loan Trust 2008-1, Credit Acceptance Auto Loan Trust 2009-1, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, as agent, Fifth Third Bank, as agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as agent, and Comerica Bank, as agent (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2009)

4.38

Edgar Filing: CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORP - Form 10-K

Indenture, dated as of February 1, 2010, among the Company, the Guarantors named therein and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 5, 2010)

Table of Contents

- Registration Rights Agreement, dated February 1, 2010, among the Company, Buyers Vehicle Protection Plan, Inc., Vehicle Remarketing Services, Inc. and the representative of the initial purchasers of the Company's 9.125% First Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2017 (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 5, 2010)
- 4.39
- Fourth Amended and Restated Security Agreement, dated as of February 1, 2010, among the Company, the other Debtors party thereto and Comerica Bank, as collateral agent (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 5, 2010)
- 4.40
- Fourth Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of June 16, 2010 among the Company, CAC Warehouse Funding Corporation II, Variable Funding Capital Company LLC, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated June 18, 2010)
- 4.41
- Second Amended and Restated Contribution Agreement, dated as of June 16, 2010, between the Company and CAC Warehouse Funding Corporation II (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010)
- 4.42
- Second Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of September 10, 2010 among the Company, CAC Warehouse Funding III, LLC, and Fifth Third Bank (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated September 10, 2010)
- 4.43
- Indenture, dated November 4, 2010, between Credit Acceptance Auto Loan Trust 2010-1 and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 8, 2010)
- 4.44
- Sale and Servicing Agreement dated November 4, 2010, among the Company, Credit Acceptance Auto Loan Trust 2010-1, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2010-1, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 8, 2010)
- 4.45
- Backup Servicing Agreement dated November 4, 2010, among the Company, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2010-1, Credit Acceptance Auto Loan Trust 2010-1, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 8, 2010)
- 4.46
- Amended and Restated Trust Agreement dated November 4, 2010, between Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2010-1 and U.S. Bank Trust National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 8, 2010)
- 4.47
- Sale and Contribution Agreement dated November 4, 2010, between the Company and Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2010-1 (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 8, 2010)
- 4.48
- Intercreditor Agreement dated November 4, 2010, among the Company, CAC Warehouse Funding Corporation II, CAC Warehouse Funding III, LLC, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2010-1, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2009-1, Credit Acceptance Auto Loan Trust 2010-1, Credit Acceptance Auto Loan Trust 2009-1, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, as agent, Fifth Third Bank, as agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as agent, and Comerica Bank, as agent (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 8, 2010)
- 4.49
- First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 3, 2011, among the Company, the Guarantors named therein and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 3, 2011)
- 4.50
- Registration Rights Agreement, dated March 3, 2011, among the Credit Acceptance Corporation, Buyers Vehicle Protection Plan, Inc., Vehicle Remarketing Services, Inc. and the representative of the initial purchasers of the Company's 9.125% First Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2017 issued on March 3, 2011 (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 3, 2011)
- 4.51





Table of Contents

- Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of June 17, 2011, among the Company, the Banks which are parties thereto from time to time, and Comerica Bank as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent for the Banks (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated June 22, 2011)
- 4.52
- Amendment No. 1, dated as of June 17, 2011, to Fourth Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement dated as of June 16, 2010 among the Company, CAC Warehouse Funding Corporation II, Variable Funding Capital Company LLC, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated June 22, 2011)
- 4.53
- Loan and Security Agreement dated as of August 19, 2011 among the Company, CAC Warehouse Funding LLC IV, BMO Capital Markets Corp., Bank of Montreal and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated August 24, 2011)
- 4.54
- Backup Servicing Agreement dated as of August 19, 2011 among the Company, CAC Warehouse Funding LLC IV, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, Bank of Montreal and BMO Capital Markets Corp. (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated August 24, 2011)
- 4.55
- Sale and Contribution Agreement dated as of August 19, 2011 between the Company and CAC Warehouse Funding LLC IV (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated August 24, 2011)
- 4.56
- Indenture dated October 6, 2011, between Credit Acceptance Auto Loan Trust 2011-1 and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated October 12, 2011)
- 4.57
- Sale and Servicing Agreement dated October 6, 2011, among the Company, Credit Acceptance Auto Loan Trust 2011-1, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2011-1, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated October 12, 2011)
- 4.58
- Backup Servicing Agreement dated October 6, 2011, among the Company, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2011-1, Credit Acceptance Auto Loan Trust 2011-1, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated October 12, 2011)
- 4.59
- Amended and Restated Trust Agreement dated October 6, 2011, between Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2011-1 and U.S. Bank Trust National Association (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated October 12, 2011)
- 4.60
- Sale and Contribution Agreement dated October 6, 2011, between the Company and Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2011-1 (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated October 12, 2011)
- 4.61
- Amended and Restated Intercreditor Agreement dated October 6, 2011, among the Company, CAC Warehouse Funding Corporation II, CAC Warehouse Funding III, LLC, CAC Warehouse Funding LLC IV, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2011-1, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2010-1, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2009-1, Credit Acceptance Auto Loan Trust 2011-1, Credit Acceptance Auto Loan Trust 2010-1, Credit Acceptance Auto Loan Trust 2009-1, Fifth Third Bank, as agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as agent, Bank of Montreal, as agent and Comerica Bank, as agent (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated October 12, 2011)
- 4.62
- Amendment No. 2 to Sale and Servicing Agreement, dated as of December 14, 2011, among the Company, Credit Acceptance Auto Loan Trust 2010-1, Credit Acceptance Funding LLC 2010-1, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association
- 4.63

Supplemental Indenture No. 2, dated as of December 14, 2011, between Credit Acceptance Auto Loan  
4.64 Trust 2010-1 and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association

Table of Contents

	Amended and Restated Intercreditor Agreement, dated as of February 1, 2010, among Credit Acceptance Corporation, the other Grantors party thereto, representatives of the Secured Parties thereunder and Comerica Bank, as administrative agent under the Original Credit Agreement (as defined therein) and as collateral agent (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 5, 2010)
4.65	
Note:	Other instruments, notes or extracts from agreements defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of the Company or its subsidiaries have not been filed because (i) in each case the total amount of long-term debt permitted there under does not exceed 10% of the Company's consolidated assets and (ii) the Company hereby agrees that it will furnish such instruments, notes and extracts to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon its request.
10.1	Form of Servicing Agreement, as of April 2003 (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2003)
10.2	Purchase Program Agreement Recitals, as of April 2007 (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2007)
10.3	Credit Acceptance Corporation 1992 Stock Option Plan, as amended and restated May 1999 (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 1999)*
10.4	Credit Acceptance Corporation Director Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-K Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2001)
10.5	Form of Restricted Stock Grant Agreement (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 2, 2005)*
10.6	Incentive Compensation Bonus Formula for 2005 (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 4, 2005)*
10.7	Form of Restricted Stock Grant Agreement, dated February 22, 2007 (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 28, 2007)*
10.8	Credit Acceptance Corporation Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement, dated February 22, 2007 (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 28, 2007)*
10.9	Credit Acceptance Corporation Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement, dated October 2, 2008 (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated October 7, 2008)*
10.10	Credit Acceptance Corporation Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement, dated November 13, 2008 (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 19, 2008)*
10.11	Credit Acceptance Corporation Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement, dated November 13, 2008 (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 19, 2008)*
10.12	Credit Acceptance Corporation Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement, dated March 27, 2009 (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 2, 2009)*
10.13	Credit Acceptance Corporation Amended and Restated Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended, April 6, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Annex A to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, dated April 10, 2009)*
10.14	Form of Credit Acceptance Corporation Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009)*
10.15	Form of Credit Acceptance Corporation Board of Directors Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009)*

21 Schedule of Credit Acceptance Corporation Subsidiaries.

23 Consent of Grant Thornton LLP.

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act.

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act.

Table of Contents

	Certification of Chief Executive Officer, Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted Pursuant to
32.1	Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
	Certification of Chief Financial Officer, Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted Pursuant to
32.2	Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101(INS)	XBRL Instance Document. **
101(SCH)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document. **
101(CAL)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document. **
101(DEF)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document. **
101(LAB)	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document. **
101(PRE)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document. **

\* Management compensatory contracts and arrangements

\*\* Users of this data are advised pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T that this interactive data file is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

