

CAI International, Inc.
Form 10-K
February 28, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For The Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2013

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Transition Period from to

Commission file number-001-33388

CAI International, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

94-3109229
(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

Steuart Tower

Edgar Filing: CAI International, Inc. - Form 10-K

1 Market Plaza, Suite 900 San Francisco, California
(Address of principal executive office)

94105
(Zip Code)

(415) 788-0100

(Registrant's telephone number including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of exchange on which registered |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| Common Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share | New York Stock Exchange |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirement for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: CAI International, Inc. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer,” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in the Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

As of June 28, 2013, the last business day of the registrant’s most recently completed second fiscal quarter, the aggregate market value of common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant (based upon the closing sale price of such shares on the New York Stock Exchange on June 28, 2013) was approximately \$405.7 million. Shares of registrant’s common stock held by each executive officer and director have been excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates of the registrant. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

As of January 31, 2014, there were 22,240,673 shares of the registrant’s common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant’s definitive proxy statement relating to the registrant’s 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be filed no later than 120 days after the close of the registrant’s fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, are incorporated by reference into Part III hereof.

PART I

| | | |
|----------|----------------------------------|----|
| Item 1. | <u>Business</u> | 5 |
| Item 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 13 |
| Item 1B. | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 27 |
| Item 2. | <u>Properties</u> | 27 |
| Item 3. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 27 |
| Item 4. | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 27 |

PART II

| | | |
|----------|---|----|
| Item 5. | <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 28 |
| Item 6. | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 30 |
| Item 7. | <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 32 |
| Item 7A. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 47 |
| Item 8. | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 48 |
| Item 9. | <u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 48 |
| Item 9A. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 48 |
| Item 9B. | <u>Other Information</u> | 50 |

PART III

| | | |
|----------|---|----|
| Item 10. | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 50 |
| Item 11. | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 50 |
| Item 12. | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 50 |
| Item 13. | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 50 |
| Item 14. | <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | 50 |

PART IV

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| Item 15. | <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 51 |
|----------|--|----|

| | | |
|--|-------------------|----|
| | <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 84 |
|--|-------------------|----|

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains certain forward-looking statements, including, without limitation, statements concerning the conditions in our industry, our operations, our economic performance and financial condition, including, in particular, statements relating to our business and growth strategy and service development efforts. The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a “safe harbor” for certain forward-looking statements so long as such information is identified as forward-looking and is accompanied by meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in the information. When used in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the words “may,” “might,” “should,” “estimate,” “project,” “plan,” “anticipate,” “expect,” “intend,” “outlook,” “believe” and other similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements and information. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of their dates. These forward-looking statements are based on estimates and assumptions by our management that, although we believe to be reasonable, are inherently uncertain and subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. These risks and uncertainties include, without limitation, those identified under the caption Item 1A. “Risk Factors” in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and in all our other filings filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as otherwise required by law. Reference is also made to such risks and uncertainties detailed from time to time in our filings with the SEC.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Our Company

We are one of the world's leading equipment leasing and management companies. We operate our business through two segments: equipment leasing and equipment management. We purchase new and used equipment, which we lease primarily to container shipping lines, freight forwarders and other transportation companies and either retain as part of our owned fleet or sell to third-party investors for whom we then provide management services. In operating our fleet, we lease, re-lease and dispose of equipment and contract for the repair, repositioning and storage of equipment. The following table shows the composition of our container fleet as of December 31, 2013 and our average fleet utilization for the year ended December 31, 2013:

| | As of December 31, 2013 | Percent of Total Fleet |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Owned container fleet in TEUs | 860,729 | 75 % |
| Managed container fleet in TEUs | 283,725 | 25 % |
| Total container fleet in TEUs | 1,144,454 | 100 % |
| Owned container fleet in CEUs | 903,713 | 78 % |
| Managed container fleet in CEUs | 262,071 | 22 % |
| Total container fleet in CEUs | 1,165,784 | 100 % |

Year
Ended
December
31,
2013

Edgar Filing: CAI International, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | |
|---|--------|
| Average container fleet utilization in TEUs | 91.8 % |
| Average container fleet utilization in CEUs | 92.7 % |

The industry-standard measurement unit is the 20-foot equivalent unit, or TEU, which compares the size of a container to a standard 20-foot container. For example, a 20-foot container is equivalent to one TEU and a 40-foot container is equivalent to two TEUs. Containers can also be measured in cost equivalent units (CEUs), utilizing a ratio to convert the number of container units to their relative purchase price compared to a standard 20-foot dry van container. For example, the CEU ratio for a standard 40-foot dry van container is 1.6, and a 40-foot high cube container is 1.7.

We lease our equipment to lessees under long-term leases, short-term leases and finance leases. Long-term leases cover a specified number of equipment units that will be on lease for one year or more. Short-term leases provide lessees with the ability to lease equipment either for a fixed term of less than one year or without a fixed term on an as-needed basis, with flexible pick-up and drop-off of equipment at depots worldwide, subject to certain restrictions. Finance leases are long-term lease contracts that generally grant the lessee the right to purchase the equipment at the end of the term for a nominal amount. The following table provides a summary of our container fleet by lease type as of December 31, 2013:

| | As of December 31, 2013 | | | |
|-------------------|----------------------------|-------|------|--|
| | TEUs | | CEUs | |
| Long-term leases | 75 % | 76 % | | |
| Short-term leases | 20 % | 19 % | | |
| Finance leases | 5 % | 5 % | | |
| Total | 100 % | 100 % | | |

We also own a fleet of 1,804 railcars, which we lease within North America. The utilization of our railcar fleet at December 31, 2013 was 97.1%.

We manage equipment for third-party investors under management agreements that cover portfolios of equipment. Our management agreements have multiple year terms and provide that we receive a management fee based upon the actual rental revenue for each unit less the actual operating expenses directly attributable to that unit. We also receive fees for selling used equipment on behalf of third-party investors.

Our equipment leasing segment revenue comprises rental revenue and finance lease income from our owned fleet, and our equipment management segment revenue comprises gain on sale of equipment portfolios and management fee revenue for managing equipment for third-party investors. The operating results of each segment and details of our revenues for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 and information regarding the geographic areas in which we do business are summarized in Note 15 to our consolidated financial statements included in this filing. For the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded total revenue of \$212.4 million, net income attributable to CAI common stockholders of \$63.9 million and adjusted EBITDA of \$188.8 million. A comparison of our 2013 financial results with those of the prior years and a definition of adjusted EBITDA, as well as a reconciliation to the nearest GAAP measure, can be found in Item 6 “Selected Financial Data” of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our container lessees use containers for their global trade utilizing many worldwide trade routes. We earn our revenue from international carriers when the equipment is in use and carrying cargo around the world. Most of our leasing related revenue is denominated in U.S. dollars. All of our containers are used internationally and no one unit is domiciled in one particular place for a prolonged period of time. As such, all of our containers are considered to be international with no single country of use.

We commenced our railcar leasing business in January 2012. Our railcars are used by lessees on railroads in North America. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the revenue derived from our railcar leasing business is included in our equipment leasing segment.

History

We were founded in 1989 by our Chairman, Hiromitsu Ogawa, as a traditional container leasing company that leased containers owned by us to container shipping lines. We were originally incorporated under the name Container Applications International, Inc. in the State of Nevada on August 3, 1989. On February 2, 2007, we were reincorporated under our present name in the State of Delaware.

On May 16, 2007, we completed an initial public offering of our common stock and listed our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol “CAP”. On April 30, 2008, we acquired CAI Consent Sweden AB (Consent), formerly named Consent Equipment AB, a European container and intermodal equipment leasing company, for \$14.6 million in cash (net of \$1.3 million cash acquired) and the assumption of approximately \$25.7 million in debt. Consent was headquartered in Gothenburg, Sweden at the time of its acquisition. In February 2010, Consent’s headquarters were transferred to the United Kingdom. Consent also has an office in Delmenhorst, Germany, which has remained open.

On December 20, 2011, we formed CAI Rail Inc. (CAI Rail), as a wholly owned subsidiary of CAI International, Inc. CAI Rail was formed to purchase and lease-out a fleet of railcars in North America.

Corporate Information

Edgar Filing: CAI International, Inc. - Form 10-K

Our corporate headquarters and principal executive offices are located at Steuart Tower, 1 Market Plaza, Suite 900, San Francisco, California 94105. Our telephone number is (415) 788-0100 and our Web Site is located at <http://www.capps.com>. We have a branch office located in Charleston, South Carolina. We operate our business in 16 offices in 12 countries including the United States, and have agents in Asia, Europe, South Africa, Australia and South America. Our wholly owned international subsidiaries are located in the United Kingdom, Japan, Malaysia, Sweden, Germany, Singapore, Luxembourg, Barbados and Bermuda. We also own 80% of CAIJ, Inc., which is an investment manager for third-party investors in Japan.

Industry Overview

We operate in the worldwide intermodal freight container leasing industry. Intermodal freight containers, or containers, are large, standardized steel boxes used to transport cargo by a number of means, including ship, truck and rail. Container shipping lines use containers as the primary means for packaging and transporting freight internationally, principally from export-oriented economies in Asia to other Asian countries, North America and Western Europe.

Containers are built in accordance with standard dimensions and weight specifications established by the International Standards Organization (ISO). Standard dry van containers are eight feet wide, either 20 or 40 feet long and are either 8 feet 6 inches or 9 feet 6 inches tall.

The two principal types of containers are described as follows:

- Dry van containers. A dry van container is constructed of steel sides, roof and end panel with a set of doors on the other end, a wooden floor and a steel undercarriage. Dry van containers are the least expensive and most commonly used type of container. According to Container Census, 2013- Survey and Forecast of Global Container Units, published by Drewry Maritime Research, dry van containers comprised approximately 89.2% of the worldwide container fleet, as measured in TEUs, as of the end of 2012. They are used to carry general cargo, such as manufactured component parts, consumer staples, electronics and apparel.
- Specialized equipment. Specialized equipment consists of open-top, flat-racks, palletwide containers, swapbodies, roll trailers, refrigerated containers and generator sets. An open-top container is similar in construction to a dry van container except that the roof is replaced with a tarpaulin supported by removable roof bows. A flat-rack container is a heavily reinforced steel platform with a wood deck and steel end panels. Open-top and flat-rack containers are generally used to move heavy or oversized cargo, such as marble slabs, building products or machinery. Palletwide containers are a type of dry-van container externally similar to ISO standard containers, but internally about two inches wider so as to accommodate two European-sized pallets side-by-side. Swapbodies are a type of dry van container designed to be easily transferred between rail, truck, and barge and are equipped with legs under their frames. Roll trailers are a type of flat-bed trailer equipped with rubber wheels underneath for terminal haulage and stowage on board roll-on/roll-off vessels. A refrigerated container has an integrated refrigeration unit on one end which plugs into a generator set or other outside power source and is used to transport perishable goods. According to Container Census, 2013- Survey and Forecast of Global Container Units, published by Drewry Maritime Research, specialized containers comprised approximately 10.8% of the worldwide container fleet, as measured in TEUs, as of the end of 2012.

Containers provide a secure and cost-effective method of transportation because they can be used in multiple modes of transportation, making it possible to move cargo from a point of origin to a final destination without repeated unpacking and repacking. As a result, containers reduce transit time and freight and labor costs as they permit faster loading and unloading of shipping vessels and more efficient utilization of transportation containers than traditional bulk shipping methods. The protection provided by containers also reduces damage, loss and theft of cargo during shipment. While the useful economic life of containers varies based upon the damage and normal wear and tear suffered by the container, we estimate that the useful economic life of a dry van container used in intermodal transportation is 13.0 years.

Container shipping lines own and lease containers for their use. The Container Census, 2013- Survey and Forecast of Global Container Units, published by Drewry Maritime Research, estimates that as of the end of 2012, transportation companies (including container shipping lines and freight forwarders), owned approximately 55.0% of the total worldwide container fleet and container leasing companies owned approximately 45.0% of the total worldwide container fleet based on TEUs. Given the uncertainty and variability of export volumes and the fact that container shipping lines have difficulty in accurately forecasting their container requirements at different ports, the availability of containers for lease significantly reduces a container shipping line's need to purchase and maintain excess container inventory. In addition, container leases allow the container shipping lines to adjust their container fleets both seasonally and over time and help to balance trade flows. The flexibility offered by container leasing helps container shipping lines improve their overall fleet management and provides the container shipping lines with an alternative

source of financing.

We also operate a fleet of railcars that are used to transport industrial goods, materials and other products on railroad tracks throughout North America.

Our Operations

Our container fleet represented 95% of the book value of our rental equipment as of December 31, 2013 and accounted for 96% of equipment rental revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013. Our railcar fleet represented 5% of the book value of our rental equipment as of December 31, 2013 and accounted for 4% of equipment rental revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Container Operations

Fleet Overview. The table below summarizes the composition of our container fleet as of December 31, 2013 by type of equipment:

| | Dry Van Containers | Percent of Total Fleet | Specialized Equipment | Percent of Total Fleet | Total | Percent of Total Fleet |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| Owned container fleet in TEUs | 792,193 | 69 % | 68,536 | 6 % | 860,729 | 75 % |
| Managed container fleet in TEUs | 276,709 | 24 % | 7,016 | 1 % | 283,725 | 25 % |
| Total container fleet in TEUs | 1,068,902 | 93 % | 75,552 | 7 % | 1,144,454 | 100 % |

| | Dry Van Containers | Percent of Total Fleet | Specialized Equipment | Percent of Total Fleet | Total | Percent of Total Fleet |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| Owned container fleet in CEUs | 705,781 | 61 % | 197,932 | 17 % | 903,713 | 78 % |
| Managed container fleet in CEUs | 246,668 | 21 % | 15,403 | 1 % | 262,071 | 22 % |
| Total container fleet in CEUs | 952,449 | 82 % | 213,335 | 18 % | 1,165,784 | 100 % |

Overview of Management Services. We lease, re-lease and dispose of containers and contract for the repair, repositioning and storage of our managed fleet. Our management agreements have multiple year terms and provide that we receive a management fee based upon the actual net operating income for each container, which is equal to the actual rental revenue for a container less the actual operating expenses directly attributable to that container. Management fees are collected monthly or quarterly, depending upon the agreement, and generally are not paid if net operating revenue is zero or less for a particular period. If operating expenses exceed revenue, third-party investors are required to pay the excess or we may deduct the excess, including our management fee, from future net operating revenue. Under these agreements, we typically receive a commission for selling or otherwise disposing of containers for the third-party investor. Our management agreements generally require us to indemnify the third-party investor for liabilities or losses arising out of our breach of our obligations. In return, the third-party investor typically indemnifies

us in our capacity as the manager of the container against breach by the third-party investor, sales taxes on commencement of the arrangement, withholding taxes on payments to the third-party investor under the management agreement and any other taxes, other than our income taxes, incurred with respect to the containers that are not otherwise included as operating expenses deductible from revenue.

Marketing and Operations. Our marketing and operations personnel are responsible for developing and maintaining relationships with our lessees, facilitating lease contracts and maintaining day-to-day coordination of operational issues. This coordination allows us to negotiate lease contracts that satisfy both our financial return requirements and our lessees' operating needs. It also facilitates our awareness of lessees' potential equipment shortages and their awareness of our available equipment inventories. We have marketing and operations employees in eight countries, supported by independent agents in a further nine countries.

Overview of Our Leases. To meet the needs of our lessees and achieve a favorable utilization rate, we lease containers under three main types of leases:

- **Long-Term Leases.** Our long-term leases specify the number of containers to be leased, the pick-up and drop-off locations, the applicable per diem rate and the contractual term. We typically enter into long-term leases for a fixed term ranging from three to eight years, with five-year term leases being most common. Our long-term leases generally require our lessees to maintain all units on lease for the duration of the lease, which provides us with scheduled lease payments. Some of our long-term leases contain an early termination option and afford the lessee continuing supply and total interchangeability of containers, with the ability to redeliver containers if the lessee's fleet requirements change. As of December 31, 2013, approximately 74.9% of our on-lease container fleet, as measured in TEUs, were under long-term leases.
-

- **Short-Term Leases.** Short-term leases include both master interchange leases and customized short-term leases. Master interchange leases provide a master framework pursuant to which lessees can lease containers on an as-needed basis, and thus command a higher per diem rate than long-term leases. The terms of master interchange leases are typically negotiated on an annual basis. Under our master interchange leases, lessees know in advance their per diem rates and drop-off locations, subject to monthly port limits. We also enter into other short-term leases that typically have a term of less than one year and are generally used for one-way leasing, typically for small quantities of containers. The terms of short-term leases are customized for the specific requirements of the lessee. Short-term leases are sometimes used to reposition containers to high-demand locations and accordingly may contain terms that provide incentives to lessees. As of December 31, 2013, approximately 20.3% of our on-lease container fleet, as measured in TEUs, was under short-term leases.
- **Finance Leases.** Finance leases provide our lessees with an alternative method to finance their container acquisitions. Finance leases are long-term in nature and require relatively little customer service attention. They ordinarily require fixed payments over a defined period and generally provide lessees with a right to purchase the leased containers for a nominal amount at the end of the lease term. Per diem rates under finance leases include an element of repayment of capital and, therefore, typically are higher than per diem rates charged under long-term leases. Finance leases require the container lessee to keep the container on lease for the entire term of the lease. As of December 31, 2013, approximately 4.8% of our on-lease container fleet, as measured in TEUs, was under finance leases.

Our lease agreements contain general terms and conditions detailing standard rights and obligations, including requirements that lessees pay a per diem rate, depot charges, taxes and other charges when due, maintain equipment in good condition, return equipment in good condition in accordance with return conditions set forth in the lease agreement, use equipment in compliance with all applicable laws, and pay us for the value of the equipment as determined by the lease agreement if the equipment is lost or destroyed. A default clause in our lease agreements gives us certain legal remedies in the event that an equipment lessee is in breach of lease terms.

Our lease agreements contain an exclusion of warranties clause and require lessees to defend and indemnify us in most instances from third-party claims arising out of the lessee's use, operation, possession or lease of the equipment. Lessees are required to maintain physical damage and comprehensive general liability insurance and to indemnify us against loss with respect to the equipment. We also maintain our own contingent physical damage and third-party liability insurance that covers our equipment during both on-lease and off-lease periods. All of our insurance coverage is subject to annual deductible provisions and per occurrence and aggregate limits.

Re-leasing, Logistics Management and Depot Management. We believe that managing the period after lease termination, in particular of our containers' first lease, is one of the most important aspects of our business. Successful management of this period requires disciplined re-leasing capabilities, logistics management and depot management.

- **Re-leasing.** Since our leases allow our lessees to return their containers, we typically lease a container several times during the time we manage it as part of our fleet. New containers can usually be leased with a limited sales and customer service infrastructure because initial leases for new

containers typically cover large volumes of units and are fairly standardized transactions. Used containers, on the other hand, are typically leased in smaller transactions that are structured to accommodate pick-ups and returns in a variety of locations. Our utilization rates depend on our re-leasing abilities. Factors that affect our ability to re-lease used containers include the size of our lessee base, ability to anticipate lessee needs, our presence in relevant geographic locations and the level of service we provide our lessees. We believe that our global presence and long-standing relationships with more than 300 container lessees as of December 31, 2013 provide us an advantage over our smaller competitors in re-leasing our containers.

- Logistics Management. The shipping industry is characterized by large regional trade imbalances, with loaded containers generally flowing from export-oriented economies in Asia to other Asian countries, North America and Western Europe. Because of these trade imbalances, container shipping lines have an incentive to return leased containers in relatively low export areas to reduce the cost of shipping empty containers. We have managed this structural imbalance of inventories with the following approach:
 - Limiting or prohibiting container returns to low-demand areas. In order to minimize our repositioning costs, our leases typically include a list of the specific locations to which containers may be returned, limitations on the number of containers that may be returned to low-demand locations, high drop-off charges for returning containers to low-demand locations or a combination of these provisions;
 - Taking advantage of the secondary resale market. In order to maintain a younger fleet age profile, we have aggressively sold older containers when they are returned to low demand areas;
-

- Developing country-specific leasing markets to utilize older containers in the portable storage market. In North America and Western Europe, we lease on a limited basis older containers for use as portable storage;
- Seeking one-way lease opportunities to move containers from lower demand locations to higher demand locations. One-way leases may include incentives, such as free days, credits and damage waivers. The cost of offering these incentives is considerably less than the cost we would incur if we paid to reposition the containers; and
- Paying to reposition our containers to higher demand locations. At locations where our inventories remain high, despite the efforts described above, we will selectively choose to ship excess containers to locations with higher demand.
- Depot Management. As of December 31, 2013, we managed our equipment fleet through 273 independent equipment depot facilities located in 46 countries. Depot facilities are generally responsible for repairing containers when they are returned by lessees and for storing the containers while they are off-hire. Our operations group is responsible for managing our depot contracts and periodically visiting depot facilities to conduct inventory and repair audits. We also supplement our internal operations group with the use of independent inspection agents. As of December 31, 2013 a large majority of our off-lease inventory was located at depots that are able to report notice of container activity and damage detail via electronic data interchange, or EDI.

Most of the depot agency agreements follow a standard form and generally provide that the depot will be liable for loss or damage of containers and, in the event of loss or damage, will pay us the previously agreed loss value of the applicable containers. The agreements require the depots to maintain insurance against container loss or damage and we carry insurance to cover the risk when a depot's insurance proves insufficient.

Our container repair standards and processes are generally managed in accordance with standards and procedures specified by the Institute of International Container Lessors, or the IICL. The IICL establishes and documents the acceptable interchange condition for containers and the repair procedures required to return damaged containers in acceptable interchange condition. When containers are returned by lessees, the depot arranges an inspection of the containers to assess the repairs required to return the containers to acceptable IICL condition. As part of the inspection process, damages are categorized either as lessee damage or normal wear and tear. Items typically designated as lessee damage include dents in the container, while items such as rust are typically designated as normal wear and tear. In general, lessees are responsible for the lessee damage portion of repair costs and we are responsible for normal wear and tear.

Investors. We have historically sold portfolios of leased containers to various overseas investment entities. The investment entities that typically have purchased containers from us are funds formed by investment arrangers who act as financial intermediaries between third-party investors and lessors of containers and other shipping assets. These independent investment arrangers will either seek out investments in leased assets on behalf of an investment fund or a group of third-party investors or will work with us to identify an investor or group of third-party investors to invest in a pool of leased assets. Our 80%-owned subsidiary, CAIJ, Inc., acts as investment arranger for sales of containers by us in Japan and manages containers leases for investors in Japan.

Customer Concentration. Our customers include container lessees and third-party investors to whom we have sold container portfolios and for whom we manage containers.

- Container Leasing Concentration. Revenue from our ten largest container lessees represented 60.9% of lease related revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013, with revenue from our single largest container lessee, CMA CGM, accounting for 12.1% of lease related revenue, or \$23.8 million. This \$23.8 million of revenue represented 11.2% of our total revenue for this period. The largest lessees of our owned fleet are often among the largest lessees of our managed fleet. The largest lessees of our managed fleet are responsible for a significant portion of the billings that generate our management fee revenue.

 - Container Management Concentration. A substantial majority of our container management segment revenue is derived from third-party investors associated with five different investment funds located in Germany and Japan. Third-party investors associated with the five investment funds represented 76.3% of our management fee revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013. Revenue of \$4.0 million from the two largest third-party investors represented 51.5% of management fee revenue, or 1.9% of our total revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013.
-

Proprietary Real-time Information Technology System. Our proprietary real-time information technology system tracks all of our containers individually by container number, provides design specifications for the containers, tracks on-lease and off-lease transactions, matches each on-lease unit to a lease contract and each off-lease unit to a depot contract, maintains the major terms for each lease contract, tracks accumulated depreciation, calculates the monthly bill for each container lessee and tracks and bills for container repairs. Most of our depot activity is reported electronically, which enables us to prepare container lessee bills and calculate financial reporting information more efficiently.

In addition, our system allows our lessees to conduct business with us through the Internet. This allows our lessees to review our container inventories, monitor their on-lease information, view design specifications and receive information on maintenance and repair. Many of our lessees receive billing and on- and off- lease information from us electronically.

Our Suppliers. We purchase most of our containers in China from manufacturers that have met our qualification requirements. We are currently not dependent on any single manufacturer. We have long-standing relationships with all of our major container suppliers. Our technical services personnel review the designs for our containers and periodically audit the production facilities of our suppliers. In addition, we contract with independent third-party inspectors to monitor production at factories while our containers are being produced. This provides an additional layer of quality control and helps ensure that our containers are produced in accordance with our specifications.

Our Competition. We compete primarily with other container leasing companies, including both larger and smaller lessors. We also compete with bank leasing companies offering long-term operating leases and finance leases, and container shipping lines, which sometimes lease their excess container inventory. Other participants in the shipping industry, such as container manufacturers, may also decide to enter the container leasing business. It is common for container shipping lines to utilize several leasing companies to meet their container needs and to minimize reliance on individual leasing companies.

Our competitors compete with us in many ways, including pricing, lease flexibility, supply reliability, customer service and the quality and condition of containers. Some of our competitors have greater financial resources than we do, or are affiliates of larger companies. We emphasize the quality of our fleet, supply reliability and high level of customer service to our container lessees. We focus on ensuring adequate container availability in high-demand locations, dedicate large portions of our organization to building relationships with lessees, maintain close day-to-day coordination with lessees and have developed a proprietary information technology system that allows our lessees to access real-time information about their containers.

Seasonality. We experience seasonal increased demand for containers in the several months leading up to the holiday season in the United States and Europe, and higher demand for purchasing containers by third-party investors toward the end of the calendar year. By comparison, our equipment rental revenue and management fee revenue have historically fluctuated much less than our sales of equipment portfolios, although equipment rental revenue and

management revenue may also fluctuate significantly in future periods based upon the level of demand by container shipping lines for leased containers, our ability to maintain a high utilization rate of containers in our total fleet, changes in per diem rates for leases and fluctuations in operating expenses.

Rail Operations

Fleet Overview. We own a fleet of railcars of various types including: 50ft and 60ft box cars for paper and forest products; covered hoppers for grain, cement, sand, plastic pallets and many other industrial products; general purpose tank cars that are used to transport non-hazardous commodities; gondolas for coal; and general service flat cars. We owned 1,804 railcars as of December 31, 2013.

Overview of Our Leases. We offer multiple lease options to our railcar customers, including full service and net operating leases, per diem leases, sale/leasebacks and asset based financings. Our full service leases provide our customers with comprehensive management services including the cost of maintenance and taxes. Net operating leases allow the customer the flexibility to manage the railcars themselves. Our per diem lease product enables the customer to pay through a settlement process on an hourly and mileage basis.

Customer Concentration. Our railcar customers are typically industrial companies who ship their products or raw materials by rail. We lease to a number of different industries and no customer generates more than 15% of our total monthly revenue. Our industrial customers include Exxon, Proctor and Gamble, BASF, Halliburton and Mead Westvaco. Additionally, we work with a number of North American Class I Railroads, such as CSX, Norfolk Southern, Union Pacific, BNSF and Canadian National, and regional carriers including Genessee & Wyoming.

Our Competition. We function in a highly competitive marketplace that includes large and small operating lessors, financial institutions with passive leasing enterprises, captive leasing companies owned by manufacturers and at times with shippers holding large and diverse fleets of railcars.

Credit Control

We lease to container shipping lines, freight forwarders and other transportation companies that meet our credit criteria. Our credit policy sets different maximum exposure limits depending on our relationship and previous experience with each equipment lessee. Credit criteria may include, but are not limited to, trade route, country, social and political climate, assessments of net worth, asset ownership, bank and trade credit references, credit bureau reports, including those from Dynamar, operational history and financial strength. We monitor our lessees' performance and our lease exposures on an ongoing basis. Our credit control processes are aided by the long payment experience we have with most of our lessees, our broad network of relationships in the shipping industry that provide current information about our lessees' market reputations and our focus on collections.

Environmental Matters

We are subject to federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, including those governing the discharge of pollutants to air and water, the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes and the cleanup of contaminated sites. We could incur substantial costs, including cleanup costs, fines and third-party claims for property or natural resource damage and personal injury, as a result of violations of environmental laws and regulations in connection with our or our lessees' current or historical operations. Under some environmental laws in the United States and certain other countries, the owner or operator of equipment may be liable for environmental damage, cleanup or other costs in the event of a spill or discharge of material from the equipment without regard to the fault of the owner or operator. While we typically maintain liability insurance coverage and typically require our lessees to provide us with indemnity against certain losses, the insurance coverage is subject to large deductibles, limits on maximum coverage and significant exclusions and may not be sufficient or available to protect against any or all liabilities and such indemnities may not cover or be sufficient to protect us against losses arising from environmental damage.

Regulation

We are subject to regulations promulgated in various countries, including the United States, seeking to protect the integrity of international commerce and prevent the use of equipment for international terrorism or other illicit activities. For example, the Container Security Initiative, the Customs-Trade Partnership Against Terrorism and Operation Safe Commerce are among the programs administered by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security that are designed to enhance security for cargo moving throughout the international transportation system by identifying existing vulnerabilities in the supply chain and developing improved methods for ensuring the security of containerized cargo entering and leaving the United States. Moreover, the International Convention for Safe Containers, 1972, as amended, adopted by the International Maritime Organization, applies to new and existing containers and seeks to maintain a high level of safety of human life in the transport and handling of containers by providing uniform international safety regulations. As these regulations develop and change, we may incur increased compliance costs due to the acquisition of new, compliant equipment and/or the adaptation of existing equipment to meet new requirements imposed by such regulations.

Employees

As of December 31, 2013, we had 85 employees worldwide. We are not a party to any collective bargaining agreements. We believe that relations with our employees are good.

Available Information

Our Internet website address is <http://www.capps.com>. Our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act) are available free of charge through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. Also, copies of our filings with the SEC will be made available, free of charge, upon written request to the Company.

ITEM 1A.RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we have identified the following risks and uncertainties that may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Investors should carefully consider the risks described below before making an investment decision. The risks described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks not presently known to us or that we currently believe are immaterial may also impair our business operations. Our business could be harmed by any of these risks. The trading price of our common stock could decline due to any of these risks and investors may lose all or part of their investment. This section should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto, and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Risks Related to Our Business and the Equipment Leasing Industry

The demand for leased containers depends on many political, economic and other factors beyond our control.

Substantially all of our revenue comes from activities related to the leasing of containers. Our ability to continue successfully leasing containers to container shipping lines, earning management fees on leased containers and attracting third-party investors to purchase container portfolios from us depends in part upon the continued demand for leased containers. The demand for containers is affected by numerous factors.

Demand for containers depends largely on the rate of world trade and economic growth, with consumer demand being one of the most critical factors affecting this growth. Economic downturns in one or more countries or regions, particularly in the United States, China, Europe and other consumer-oriented economies, could result in a reduction in world containerized trade growth or in demand by container shipping lines for leased containers. Most of the third-party investor programs into which we sell container portfolios employ a certain amount of debt in order to increase investor equity returns. Tighter credit markets make it more difficult for third-party investors to access debt for future investment programs, which increases the potential that we may not be able to sell containers to investor programs in the future. In such case, our revenue, net income and cash flow will be lower, which will limit the level of growth in our operating fleet that we might otherwise be able to attain.

In Europe, the ongoing sovereign debt crisis, the loss of value by the Euro and related effects on the European banking system have contributed to growing instability in the European currency and credit markets. Further deterioration of European economic conditions, the dissolution of the European Union or the Euro, or a significant loss of value by the Euro could reduce demand for the Company's containers globally and impact the ability of our third-party investors to access debt for future investments.

Economic recessions may result in a decline in the future demand for containers by our customers and could lead to an increase in the number of containers returned to us, reduce our equipment rental revenue, reduce utilization of our fleet, increase our operating expenses (such as storage, bad debt and repositioning costs) and have an adverse effect on our future financial performance. Much of our leasing business involves shipments of goods exported from Asia. From time to time, there have been economic disruptions, health scares, financial turmoil, natural disasters and political instability in Asia and in the Middle East. If these events were to occur in the future, they could adversely

affect our container lessees and the general demand for shipping and lead to reduced demand for leased containers or otherwise adversely affect us. Other general factors affecting demand for leased containers, utilization and per diem rates include the following:

- available supply and prices of new and used containers;
 - economic conditions and competitive pressures in the shipping industry;
 - shifting trends and patterns of cargo traffic;
 - the availability and terms of container financing;
 - fluctuations in interest rates and foreign currency values;
 - overcapacity or undercapacity of the container manufacturers;
 - the lead times required to purchase containers;
 - the number of containers purchased by competitors and container lessees;
 - container ship fleet overcapacity or undercapacity;
-

- increased repositioning by container shipping lines of their own empty containers to higher-demand locations in lieu of leasing containers from us;
- consolidation or withdrawal of individual container lessees in the container shipping industry;
- import/export tariffs and restrictions;
- customs procedures, foreign exchange controls and other governmental regulations;
- natural disasters that are severe enough to affect local and global economies;
- political and economic factors;
- currency exchange rates; and
- future regulations which could restrict our current business practices and increase our cost of doing business.

All of these factors are inherently unpredictable and beyond our control. These factors will vary over time, often quickly and unpredictably, and any change in one or more of these factors may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Many of these factors also influence the decision by our customers to lease or buy containers. Should one or more of these factors influence our customers to buy a larger percentage of the containers they operate, our utilization rate would decrease, resulting in decreased revenue and increased storage and repositioning costs.

Continuing turmoil in the Middle East and North Africa could cause increases in oil prices or disruptions in oil supplies which could substantially affect global trade and our business.

Recent protests, violence and political instability in certain Middle East and North African countries have increased the risk of political turmoil spreading through the region. Such events could cause the price of oil to increase or disrupt world oil supplies. Our business is dependent on the volume of global trade. Such events could cause substantial volatility in the U.S. and world financial markets and global trade, which could harm our business.

Our results of operations could be affected by natural events in the locations in which we or our customers or suppliers operate.

We have operations in locations subject to natural disasters such as severe weather and geological events that could disrupt our operations. In addition, our suppliers and customers also have operations in such locations. For example, on March 11, 2011, the northern region of Japan experienced a severe earthquake followed by a series of tsunamis resulting in negative direct economic effects to the Japanese economy and potentially a regional or global downturn in economic activity. Although the earthquake in Japan did not cause a disruption in our operations in the region, any future natural disasters in Japan or elsewhere in the world where we have business operations could lead to disruption of the regional and global economies, which could result in a decrease in demand for leased equipment, adversely impacting our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Our container portfolio sale activities may result in lower gains or losses on sales of containers to investors.

Our revenue from gain on sale of equipment portfolios depends on our ability to make a profit on containers that we purchase and then resell to third-party investors. We typically enter into firm purchase orders for containers before we begin finding lessees for the containers, and the time necessary to lease the containers may be much longer than we anticipate. The price that a third-party investor is willing to pay for a portfolio of containers depends on a number of factors, including the historical and future expected cash flows from the portfolio to the third-party investor, the credit ratings of the lessees, the mix of short-term and long-term leases, the number of units in the portfolio, the timing of the sale and alternative investment opportunities available to the third-party investor. If any of these factors changes unexpectedly during the period between the date of our purchase order to the date a third-party investor purchases the containers from us, we may recognize a lower gain on sale of the containers to investors, sell them to third-party investors at a loss or retain them as part of our owned fleet.

Per diem rates for our leased containers may decrease, which would have a negative effect on our business and results of operations.

Per diem rates for our leased containers depend on a large number of factors, including the following:

- the type and length of the lease;
 - embedded residual assumptions;
 - the type and age of the containers;
-

- the number of new units available for lease by our competitors;
- the location of the containers being leased;
- the price of new containers; and
- interest rates.

Because steel is the major component used in the construction of new containers, the price of new containers and per diem rates on new containers are highly correlated with the price of raw steel. For example, container prices increased in the first half of 2012, however, customer demand softened in the second half of 2012 leading to a decrease in container prices and lease rates. Customer demand and steel prices continued to decrease through most of 2013 with a corresponding decrease in container prices. We cannot predict container prices in the future. If newly manufactured container prices decline, we may need to lease the containers at low return rates or at a loss.

Per diem rates may be negatively impacted by the entrance of new leasing companies, overproduction of new containers by manufacturers and over-buying of containers by container shipping lines and leasing competitors. In the event that the container shipping industry were to be characterized by overcapacity in the future, or if available supply of containers were to increase significantly as a result of, among other factors, new companies entering the business of leasing and selling containers, both utilization and per diem rates may decrease, adversely affecting our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We face risks associated with re-leasing containers after their initial long term lease.

Containers have a useful economic life that is generally between 12 and 15 years. When we purchase newly manufactured containers, we typically lease them out under long-term leases with terms of 3 to 8 years at a lease rate that is correlated to the price paid for the container. As containers leased under term leases are not leased out for their full economic life, we face risks associated with re-leasing containers after their initial long term lease at a rate that continues to provide a reasonable economic return based on the initial purchase price of the container. If prevailing container lease rates decline significantly between the time a container is initially leased out and when its initial long term lease expires, or if overall demand for containers declines, we may be unable to earn a sufficient lease rate from the re-leasing of containers when their initial term leases expire. This could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Gains and losses associated with the disposition of used containers may fluctuate and adversely affect our results of operations.

We regularly sell used, older containers upon lease expiration. The residual values of these containers therefore affect our profitability. The volatility of the residual values of such containers may be significant. These values depend upon, among other factors, raw steel prices, applicable maintenance standards, refurbishment needs, comparable new container costs, used container availability, used container demand, inflation rates, market conditions, materials and labor costs and container obsolescence. Most of these factors are outside of our control.

Containers are typically sold if it is in the best interest of the owner to do so after taking into consideration earnings prospects, book value, remaining useful life, repair condition, suitability for leasing or other uses and the prevailing

local sales price for containers. Gains or losses on the disposition of used containers and the sales fees earned on the disposition of managed containers will also fluctuate and may be significant if we sell large quantities of used containers.

We may incur significant costs to reposition containers.

When lessees return containers to locations where supply exceeds demand, we routinely reposition containers to higher demand areas. Repositioning expenses vary depending on geographic location, distance, freight rates and other factors, and may not be fully covered by drop-off charges collected from the last lessee of the containers or pick-up charges paid by the new lessee. We seek to limit the number of units that can be returned and impose surcharges on containers returned to areas where demand for such containers is not expected to be strong. However, market conditions may not enable us to continue such practices. In addition, we may not accurately anticipate which port locations will be characterized by high or low demand in the future, and our current contracts will not protect us from repositioning costs if ports that we expect to be high-demand ports turn out to be low-demand ports at the time leases expire.

Lessee defaults may adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition by decreasing revenue and increasing storage, repositioning, collection and recovery expenses.

Our equipment is leased to numerous equipment lessees. Lessees are required to pay rent and indemnify us for damage to or loss of equipment. Lessees may default in paying rent and performing other obligations under their leases. A delay or diminution in amounts received under the leases (including leases on our managed equipment), or a default in the performance of maintenance or other lessee obligations under the leases could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows and our ability to make payments on our debt.

Our cash flows from equipment, principally equipment rental revenue, management fee revenue, gain on sale of equipment portfolios, gain on disposition of used equipment and commissions earned on the sale of equipment on behalf of equipment investors, are affected significantly by the ability to collect payments under leases and the ability to replace cash flows from terminating leases by re-leasing or selling equipment on favorable terms. All of these factors are subject to external economic conditions and the performance by lessees and service providers that are not within our control.

When lessees default, we may fail to recover all of our equipment and the equipment we do recover may be returned to locations where we will not be able to quickly re-lease or sell it on commercially acceptable terms. We may have to reposition the equipment to other places where we can re-lease or sell it, which could be expensive depending on the locations and distances involved. Following repositioning, we may need to repair the equipment and pay equipment depots for storage until the equipment is re-leased. For our owned equipment these costs will directly reduce our income before taxes and for our managed equipment, lessee defaults will increase operating expenses, and thus reduce our management fee revenue. We maintain insurance to reimburse the Company and third-party investors for such customer defaults. The insurance agreements are subject to deductibles of up to \$3.0 million per occurrence and have significant exclusions and, therefore, may not be sufficient to prevent us from suffering material losses. Additionally, the increase in claims made by the Company under such insurance agreements may result in such insurance not being available to us in the future on commercially reasonable terms, or at all.

Our level of indebtedness reduces our financial flexibility and could impede our ability to operate.

We have a significant amount of indebtedness and we intend to borrow additional amounts under our credit facilities to purchase equipment and make acquisitions and other investments. We expect that we will maintain a significant amount of indebtedness on an ongoing basis. Our borrowings of \$235.0 million as of December 31, 2013 under our senior secured revolving credit facility are due and payable on March 15, 2018. On March 15, 2013, we increased the commitments under our senior secured revolving credit facility to a total maximum commitment of \$760.0 million. Our ability to borrow amounts under this facility is restricted by certain borrowing conditions, including collateral and leverage ratio requirements. We have also borrowed a total of \$284.3 million under three term loan agreements that we entered into with a number of banks. On June 7, 2012, we and our wholly-owned subsidiary, CAI Rail Inc., entered into a revolving credit agreement with a consortium of banks to finance the acquisition of railcars. As of December 31, 2013, the outstanding balance under this revolving credit facility was \$54.5 million and the maximum credit commitment was \$85.0 million. On September 13, 2012, we issued \$103.0 million of 4.90% senior secured notes due September 13, 2022 to certain institutional investors.

On October 18, 2012, CAL Funding II Limited (CAL II), our wholly-owned indirect subsidiary, issued \$171.0 million of 3.47% fixed rate asset-backed notes that mature in October 2027. The proceeds from the notes were used to pay down part of the borrowings under our senior secured revolving credit facility. On March 28, 2013, CAL II issued \$229.0 million of 3.35% fixed rate asset-backed notes. The proceeds from the notes were used to pay down part of the borrowings under our term loan and partially pay down our senior revolving credit facility.

As of December 31, 2013, our total outstanding debt (including capital lease obligations and collateralized financing obligations) was \$1,138.0 million. Interest expense on such debt will be \$7.2 million per quarter for 2014, assuming floating interest rates remain consistent with those as of December 31, 2013, including amortized closing fees and

unused commitment fees. There is no assurance that we will be able to refinance our outstanding indebtedness when it becomes due, or, if refinancing is available, that it can be obtained on terms that we can afford.

Our credit facilities require us to pay a variable rate of interest, which will increase or decrease based on variations in certain financial indexes, and fluctuations in interest rates can significantly decrease our profits. We do not have any hedge or similar contracts that would protect us against changes in interest rates.

The amount of our indebtedness could have important consequences for us, including the following:

- requiring us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to make payments on our debt, thereby reducing funds available for operations, future business opportunities and other purposes;
 - limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;
 - making it more difficult for us to satisfy our debt obligations, and any failure to comply with such obligations, including financial and other restrictive covenants, could result in an event of default under the agreements governing such indebtedness, which could lead to, among other things, an acceleration of our indebtedness or foreclosure on the assets securing our indebtedness, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows;
 - making it difficult for us to pay dividends on our common stock;
 - placing us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors having less debt;
 - limiting our ability to borrow additional funds, or to sell assets to raise funds, if needed, for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other purposes; and
 - increasing our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions, including changes in interest rates.
-

We may not generate sufficient cash flow from operations to service and repay our debt and related obligations and have sufficient funds left over to achieve or sustain profitability in our operations, meet our working capital and capital expenditure needs or compete successfully in our industry.

We will require a significant amount of cash to service and repay our outstanding indebtedness and our ability to generate cash depends on many factors beyond our control.

Our ability to make payments on and repay our indebtedness and to fund planned capital expenditures will depend on our ability to generate cash in the future. As of December 31, 2013, our total outstanding debt (including capital lease obligations and collateralized financing obligations) was \$1,138.0 million. Interest expense on such debt will be \$7.2 million per quarter, assuming floating interest rates remain consistent with those at December 31, 2013, including amortized closing fees and unused commitment fees. These amounts will increase to the extent we borrow additional funds. It is possible that:

- our business will not generate sufficient cash flow from operations to service and repay our debt and to fund working capital requirements and planned capital expenditures;
- future borrowings will not be available under our current or future credit facilities in an amount sufficient to enable us to refinance our debt; or
- we will not be able to refinance any of our debt on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

Our credit facilities impose, and the terms of any future indebtedness may impose, significant operating, financial and other restrictions on us and our subsidiaries.

Restrictions imposed by our credit facilities or other indebtedness will limit or prohibit, among other things, our ability to:

- incur additional indebtedness;
- pay dividends on or redeem or repurchase our stock;
- enter into new lines of business;
- issue capital stock of our subsidiaries;
- make loans and certain types of investments;
- create liens;
- sell certain assets or merge with or into other companies;
- enter into certain transactions with stockholders and affiliates; and
- restrict dividends, distributions or other payments from our subsidiaries.

These restrictions could adversely affect our ability to finance our future operations or capital needs and pursue available business opportunities. A breach of any of these restrictions, including breach of financial covenants, could result in a default in respect of the related indebtedness. If a default occurs, the relevant lenders could elect to declare the indebtedness, together with accrued interest and fees, to be immediately due and payable and proceed against any collateral securing that indebtedness, which will constitute substantially all of our equipment assets.

We may incur future asset impairment charges.

An asset impairment charge may result from the occurrence of unexpected adverse events or management decisions that impact our estimates of expected cash flows generated from our long-lived assets. We review our long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. We may be required to recognize asset impairment charges in the future as a result of reductions in demand for specific container types, a weak economic environment, challenging market conditions, events related to particular customers or asset type, or as a result of asset or portfolio sale decisions by management.

We derive a substantial portion of our revenue for each of our equipment leasing and equipment management segments from a limited number of equipment lessees and third-party investors, respectively. The loss of, or reduction in business by, any of these equipment lessees or third-party investors could result in a significant loss of revenue and cash flow.

We have derived, and believe that we will continue to derive, a significant portion of our revenue and cash flow from a limited number of equipment lessees and third-party investors. Our business comprises two reportable segments for financial statement reporting purposes: equipment leasing and equipment management. The operating results of each segment and details of our revenues for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 are summarized in Note 15 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Revenue for our equipment leasing segment comes primarily from equipment lessees that lease equipment from our owned fleet. Revenue for our equipment management segment comes primarily from third-party investors that purchase portfolios of equipment and then pay us to manage the equipment for them.

Revenue from our ten largest lessees represented 58.8% of the revenue from our equipment leasing segment for the year ended December 31, 2013, with revenue from our single largest lessee accounting for 11.6%, or \$23.8 million. This \$23.8 million of revenue represented 11.2% of our total revenue for this period. As our business grows, we expect the proportion of revenue generated by our larger customers to continue to increase. The loss of such a customer would have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We do not distinguish between our owned fleet and our managed fleet when we enter into leases with container shipping lines. Accordingly, the largest lessees of our owned fleet are typically among the largest lessees of our managed fleet, and our management fee revenue is based in part on the number of managed equipment units on lease to equipment lessees. As a result, the loss of, or default by, any of our largest lessees could have a material adverse effect on the revenue for both our equipment leasing and equipment management segments. In addition, many of the management agreements with our third-party investors contain performance criteria, such as minimum per diem net income per unit or minimum utilization rates for the pool of equipment owned by the third-party investors. In the event we fail to meet one or more of these criteria in a management agreement, the independent investment arrangers who typically act on behalf of third-party investors may have the right to terminate the management agreement.

Consolidation and concentration in the container shipping industry could decrease the demand for leased containers.

We primarily lease containers to container shipping lines. We estimate that container shipping lines require approximately two TEUs of available containers for every TEU of capacity on their container ships. The container shipping lines have historically relied on a large number of leased containers to satisfy their needs. Consolidation of major container shipping lines could create efficiencies and decrease the demand that container shipping lines have for leased containers because they may be able to fulfill a larger portion of their needs through their owned container fleets. It could also create concentration of credit risk if the number of our container lessees decreases due to consolidation. Additionally, large container shipping lines with significant resources could choose to manufacture their own container, which would decrease their demand for leased containers and could have an adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Changes in market price, availability or transportation costs of containers could adversely affect our ability to maintain our supply of containers.

We currently purchase almost all of our containers from manufacturers based in China. If it became more expensive for us to procure containers in China or to transport these units at a low cost from China to the locations where they are needed by our container lessees because of changes in exchange rates between the U.S. Dollar and Chinese Yuan, further consolidation among container suppliers, increased tariffs imposed by the United States or other governments or for any other reason, we may have to seek alternative sources of supply. While we are not currently dependent on any single current manufacturer of our containers, we may not be able to make alternative arrangements quickly enough to meet our container needs, and the alternative arrangements may increase our costs. The availability of containers depends significantly on the availability and cost of steel in China. If a shortage of steel develops either in China or worldwide, container manufacturers may not be able to meet our demand for new containers which would limit our ability to add new containers to our fleet.

Terrorist attacks, the threat of such attacks, piracy or the outbreak of war and hostilities could negatively impact our operations and profitability and may expose us to liability.

Terrorist attacks and the threat of such attacks have contributed to economic instability in the United States and elsewhere, and further acts or threats of terrorism, violence, war or hostilities could similarly affect world trade and the industries in which we and our equipment lessees operate. For example, worldwide containerized trade dramatically decreased in the immediate aftermath of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks in the United States, which affected demand for leased equipment. In addition, terrorist attacks, threats of terrorism, piracy or threats thereof, violence, war or hostilities may directly impact ports, depots, our facilities or those of our suppliers or equipment lessees and could impact our sales and our supply chain. A severe disruption to the worldwide ports system and flow of goods could result in a reduction in the level of international trade and lower demand for our equipment. We maintain liability insurance which in the aggregate provides coverage of up to \$50.0 million that we believe would apply to claims arising from a terrorist attack, and our lease agreements require our lessees to indemnify us for all costs, liabilities and expenses arising out of the use of our equipment, including property damage to the equipment, damage to third-party property and personal injury. However, our lessees may not have adequate resources to honor their indemnity obligations and our insurance coverage is subject to large deductibles and significant exclusions. Accordingly, we may not be protected in all cases from liability (and expenses in defending against claims of liability) arising from a terrorist attack.

It is also possible that one of our containers could be involved in a terrorist attack. Although our lease agreements require our lessees to indemnify us against all damages arising out of the use of our containers, and we carry insurance to potentially offset any costs in the event that our customer indemnifications prove to be insufficient, our insurance does not cover certain types of terrorist attacks, and we may not be fully protected from liability of the reputational damage that could arise from a terrorist attack which utilizes one of our containers.

Our senior executives are critical to the success of our business and our inability to retain them or recruit new personnel could adversely affect our business.

Most of our senior executives and other management-level employees have over ten years of industry experience. We rely on this knowledge and experience in our strategic planning and in our day-to-day business operations. Our success depends in large part upon our ability to retain our senior management, the loss of one or more of whom could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Our success also depends on our ability to retain our experienced sales force and technical personnel as well as recruiting new skilled sales, marketing and technical personnel. Competition for these individuals in our industry is intense and we may not be able to successfully recruit, train or retain qualified personnel. If we fail to retain and recruit the necessary personnel, our business and our ability to obtain new equipment lessees and provide acceptable levels of customer service could suffer.

We rely on our proprietary information technology system to conduct our business. If this system fails to adequately perform its functions, or if we experience an interruption in its operation, our business, results of operations and financial prospects could be adversely affected.

The efficient operation of our business is highly dependent on our proprietary information technology system. We rely on our system to track transactions, such as repair and depot charges and changes to book value, and movements associated with each of our owned or managed equipment units. We use the information provided by this system in our day-to-day business decisions in order to effectively manage our lease portfolio and improve customer service. We also rely on it for the accurate tracking of the performance of our managed fleet for each third-party investor. The failure of our system to perform as we expect could disrupt our business, adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows and cause our relationships with lessees and third-party investors to suffer. In addition, our information technology system is vulnerable to damage or interruption from circumstances beyond our control, including fire, natural disasters, power loss and computer systems failures, unauthorized breach and viruses. Any such interruption could have a material adverse effect on our business, reputation, results of operations and financial prospects.

We face extensive competition in the equipment leasing industry.

We may be unable to compete favorably in the highly competitive equipment leasing and equipment management businesses. We compete with a number of major leasing companies, many smaller lessors, manufacturers of equipment, companies and financial institutions offering finance leases, promoters of equipment ownership and leasing as a tax-efficient investment, container shipping lines, which sometimes lease their excess container stocks, and suppliers of alternative types of containers for freight transport. Some of these competitors have greater financial resources and access to capital than we do. Additionally, some of these competitors may have large, underutilized inventories of equipment, which could lead to significant downward pressure on per diem rates, margins and prices of equipment.

Our business requires large amounts of working capital to fund our operations. We are aware that some of our competitors have recently had ownership changes. As a consequence, these competitors may have greater resources available to aggressively seek to expand their market share. This could include offering lease rates with which we cannot effectively compete. We cannot assure you that we will be able to compete successfully against these competitors.

Competition among equipment leasing companies depends upon many factors, including, among others, per diem rates; lease terms, including lease duration, drop-off restrictions and repair provisions; customer service; and the location, availability, quality and individual characteristics of equipment units. New entrants into the leasing business have been attracted by the high rate of containerized trade growth in recent years. New entrants may be willing to offer pricing or other terms that we are unwilling or unable to match. As a result, we may not be able to maintain a high utilization rate or achieve our growth plans.

Our entry into the railcar leasing business could have an adverse effect on our overall profitability if we do not succeed in this line of business.

As of December 31, 2013, we have invested \$72.3 million in railcar assets. The railcar leasing business involves different customers, equipment, storage and handling facilities and other operating issues that are different from our traditional container leasing business. This business is competitive and dominated by well capitalized industry players. We cannot assure you that this business will be successful and profitable.

The international nature of our business exposes us to numerous risks.

Our ability to enforce lessees' obligations will be subject to applicable law in the jurisdiction in which enforcement is sought. As containers are predominantly located on international waterways, it is not possible to predict, with any degree of certainty, the jurisdictions in which enforcement proceedings may be commenced. For example, repossession from defaulting lessees may be difficult and more expensive in jurisdictions in which laws do not confer the same security interests and rights to creditors and lessors as those in the United States and in jurisdictions where recovery of containers from defaulting lessees is more cumbersome. As a result, the relative success and expedience of enforcement proceedings with respect to containers in various jurisdictions cannot be predicted.

We are also subject to risks inherent in conducting business across national boundaries, any one of which could adversely impact our business. These risks include:

- regional or local economic downturns;
- changes in governmental policy or regulation;
- restrictions on the transfer of funds into or out of the countries in which we operate;
- import and export duties and quotas;
- value-added tax and other sales-type taxes which could result in additional costs to us if they are not properly collected or paid;
- domestic and foreign customs and tariffs;
- international incidents;
- war, hostilities, terrorist attacks, piracy, or the threat of any of these events;
- government instability;
- nationalization of foreign assets;
- government protectionism;
- compliance with export controls, including those of the U.S. Department of Commerce;

Edgar Filing: CAI International, Inc. - Form 10-K

- compliance with import procedures and controls, including those of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security;
- consequences from changes in tax laws, including tax laws pertaining to the container investors;
- potential liabilities relating to foreign withholding taxes;
- labor or other disruptions at key ports;
- difficulty in staffing and managing widespread operations; and
- restrictions on our ability to own or operate subsidiaries, make investments or acquire new businesses in these jurisdictions.

One or more of these factors could impair our current or future international operations and, as a result, harm our overall business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We may incur costs associated with new security regulations, which may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may be subject to regulations promulgated in various countries, including the United States, seeking to protect the integrity of international commerce and prevent the use of equipment for international terrorism or other illicit activities. For example, the Container Security Initiative, the Customs-Trade Partnership Against Terrorism and Operation Safe Commerce are among the programs administered by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security that are designed to enhance security for cargo moving throughout the international transportation system by identifying existing vulnerabilities in the supply chain and developing improved methods for ensuring the security of containerized cargo entering and leaving the United States. Moreover, the International Convention for Safe Containers, 1972 (CSC), as amended, adopted by the International Maritime Organization, applies to new and existing containers and seeks to maintain a high level of safety of human life in the transport and handling of containers by providing uniform international safety regulations. As these regulations develop and change, we may incur compliance costs due to the acquisition of new, compliant equipment and/or the adaptation of existing equipment to meet new requirements imposed by such regulations. Additionally, certain companies are currently developing or may in the future develop products designed to enhance the security of equipment transported in international commerce. Regardless of the existence of current or future government regulations mandating the safety standards of intermodal shipping equipment, our competitors may adopt such products or our equipment lessees may require that we adopt such products. In responding to such market pressures, we may incur increased costs, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We operate in numerous tax jurisdictions. A taxing authority within any of these jurisdictions may challenge our operating structure which could result in additional taxes, interest and penalties that could materially impact our financial conditions and our future financial results.

We have implemented a number of structural changes with respect to our Company and its domestic and international subsidiaries in an effort to reduce our income tax obligations in countries in which we operate. There can be no assurance that our tax structure and the amount of taxes we pay in any of these countries will not be challenged by the taxing authorities in the countries in which we operate. If the tax authorities challenge our tax positions or the amount of taxes paid for the purchase, lease or sale of equipment in each jurisdiction in which we operate, we could incur substantial expenses associated with defending our tax position as well as expenses associated with the payment of any additional taxes, penalties and interest that may be imposed on us. The payment of these amounts could have an adverse material effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Environmental liability may adversely affect our business and financial condition.

We are subject to federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, including those governing the discharge of pollutants to air, ground and water, the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes and the cleanup of contaminated sites. We could incur substantial costs, including cleanup costs, fines and costs arising out of third-party claims for property or natural resource damage and personal injury, as a result of violations of or liabilities under environmental laws and regulations in connection with our or our

lessees' current or historical operations. Under some environmental laws in the United States and certain other countries, the owner or operator of a container may be liable for environmental damage, cleanup or other costs in the event of a spill or discharge of material from the container without regard to the fault of the owner or operator. While we typically maintain liability insurance and typically require lessees to provide us with indemnity against certain losses, the insurance coverage may not be sufficient, or available, to protect against any or all liabilities and such indemnities may not be sufficient to protect us against losses arising from environmental damage. Moreover, our lessees may not have adequate resources, or may refuse to honor their indemnity obligations and our insurance coverage is subject to large deductibles, coverage limits and significant exclusions. Additionally, many countries, including the United States, restrict, prohibit or otherwise regulate the use of chemical refrigerants due to their ozone depleting and global warming effects. Over 99% of our refrigerated containers currently use R134A or 404A refrigerant. While R134A and 404A do not contain CFCs (which have been restricted since 1995), the European Union has instituted regulations to phase out the use of R134A in automobile air conditioning systems beginning in 2011 due to concern that the release of R134A into the atmosphere may contribute to global warming. While the European Union regulations do not currently restrict the use of R134A in refrigerated containers or trailers, it is possible that the phase out of R134A in automobile air conditioning systems will be extended to intermodal containers in the future. Further, certain manufacturers of refrigerated containers, including the largest manufacturer of cooling machines for refrigerated containers, have begun testing units that utilize alternative refrigerants, such as carbon dioxide, that may have less global warming potential than R134A and 404A. If future regulations prohibit the use or servicing of containers using R134A or 404A refrigerants, we could be forced to incur large retrofitting expenses. In addition, refrigerated containers that are not retrofitted may become difficult to lease and command lower rental rates and disposal prices.

Furthermore, installation of the insulation foam in the walls of refrigerated containers involves the use of a blowing agent that contains CFCs. Manufacturers are in various stages of phasing out the use of this blowing agent in the manufacturing process, however, if future regulations prohibit the use or servicing of containers with insulation manufactured with this blowing agent we could be forced to incur large retrofitting expenses and those that are not retrofitted may become more difficult to lease and command lower rental rates and disposal prices.

Use of counterfeit and improper refrigerant in refrigeration machines for refrigerated containers could result in irreparable damage to the refrigeration machines, death or personal injury, and materially impair the value of our refrigerated container fleet.

There are reports of counterfeit and improper refrigerant gas being used to service refrigeration machines. The use of this counterfeit gas has led to the explosion of several refrigeration machines within the industry. A small number of these incidents have resulted in personal injury or death, and in all cases, the counterfeit gas has led to irreparable damage to the refrigeration machines.

A testing procedure has been developed and approved by the International Institute of Container Lessors to determine whether counterfeit gas has been used to service a refrigeration machine. These tests are carried out on our refrigeration machines when they are off-hired and returned to a depot. If such tests are not proven safe and effective or if the use of such counterfeit and improper refrigerant is more widespread than currently believed, the value of our refrigerated container fleet and our ability to lease refrigerated containers could be materially impaired and could therefore have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We may face litigation involving our management of equipment for third-party investors.

We manage equipment for third-party investors under management agreements that are negotiated with each third-party investor. We make no assurances to third-party investors that they will make any amount of profit on their investment or that our management activities will result in any particular level of income or return of their initial capital. Although our management agreements contain contractual protections and indemnities that are designed to limit our exposure to litigation relating to these investments, such provisions may not be effective and we may be subject to a significant loss in a successful litigation by a third-party investor.

Our 80 percent ownership in CAIJ, Inc., a container investment arranger and advisor focused on arranging container investments with Japanese investors, may subject us to material litigation risks and damage to our professional reputation as a result of litigation allegations and negative publicity.

CAIJ, Inc. (CAIJ) was formed and began operation in 2007 for the purpose of arranging investments in our containers with Japanese investors. CAIJ has arranged a significant amount of investments and we expect that CAIJ will arrange more container investments in the future. Because we are the seller and manager of the containers that will be sold to investors on whose behalf CAIJ acts as an arranger and advisor, there is an inherent conflict of interest between us,

CAIJ and the investors. We disclose this inherent conflict of interest to third-party investors prior to any sale to them, but we do not provide them with any assurances that they will realize a specific or any investment return on the containers purchased from, and managed by, us. In the event that these third-party investors realize losses on their investments or believe that the returns on their investments are lower than expected, they may make claims, including bringing lawsuits, against CAIJ or us for our alleged failure to act in their best interests. Any such claims could result in the payment of legal expenses and damages and also damage our reputation with third-party investors and potential third-party investors and materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Certain liens may arise on our equipment.

Depot operators, repairmen and transporters may come into possession of our equipment from time to time and have sums due to them from the lessees or sub-lessees of the equipment. In the event of nonpayment of those charges by the lessees or sub-lessees, we may be delayed in, or entirely barred from, repossessing the equipment, or be required to make payments or incur expenses to discharge liens on our equipment.

The lack of an international title registry for containers increases the risk of ownership disputes.

There is no internationally recognized system of recordation or filing to evidence our title to containers nor is there an internationally recognized system for filing security interests in containers. Although we have not incurred material problems with respect to this lack of internationally recognized system, the lack of an international title recordation system with respect to containers could result in disputes with lessees, end-users, or third parties who may improperly claim ownership of the containers.

As a U.S. corporation, we are subject to U.S. Executive Orders and U.S. Treasury Sanctions Regulations regarding doing business in or with certain nations and specially designated nationals.

As a U.S. corporation, we are subject to U.S. Executive Orders and U.S. Treasury Sanctions Regulations restricting or prohibiting business dealings in or with certain nations and with certain specially designated nationals (individuals and legal entities). Any determination that we have violated such Executive Orders and U.S. Treasury Sanctions Regulations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

As a U.S. corporation, we are subject to the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, and a determination that we violated this act may affect our business and operations adversely.

As a U.S. corporation, we are subject to the regulations imposed by the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA), which generally prohibits U.S. companies and their intermediaries from making improper payments to foreign officials for the purpose of obtaining or keeping business. Any determination that we have violated the FCPA could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We may pursue acquisitions or joint ventures in the future that could present unforeseen integration obstacles or costs.

We may pursue acquisitions and joint ventures in the future. Acquisitions involve a number of risks and present financial, managerial and operational challenges, including:

- potential disruption of our ongoing business and distraction of management;
- difficulty integrating personnel and financial and other systems;
- hiring additional management and other critical personnel; and
- increasing the scope, geographic diversity and complexity of our operations.

In addition, we may encounter unforeseen obstacles or costs in the integration of acquired businesses. Also, the presence of one or more material liabilities of an acquired company that are unknown to us at the time of acquisition may have a material adverse effect on our business. Acquisitions or joint ventures may not be successful, and we may not realize any anticipated benefits from acquisitions or joint ventures.

A new standard for lease accounting under GAAP has been proposed which could have a financial impact on our business and may negatively impact the market behavior of our customers.

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP. In 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) and International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) issued a jointly developed proposal on lease accounting that could significantly change the accounting and reporting for lease arrangements. The main objective of the proposed standard is to create a new accounting model for both lessees and lessors, replacing the existing concepts of operating and capital leases with models based on “right-of-use” concepts. The new models would

result in the elimination of most off-balance sheet lease financing for lessees. The FASB's document is in the form of an exposure draft of a proposed Accounting Standards Update, Leases (Topic 840) (ED), issued in August 2010, and would apply to the accounting for all leases, with some exceptions.

In May 2013, after considering constituents' comments, the FASB issued a revised exposure draft, Accounting Standards Update, Leases (Topic 842): a revision of the 2010 proposed Accounting Standards Update, Leases (Topic 840). The objective of the revised ED is to increase transparency and comparability among organizations by recognizing lease assets and liabilities on the balance sheet and disclosing key information. The revised ED does not specify an effective date and the Boards will consider feedback they receive before determining one. In January 2014, the FASB and IASB began their redeliberations of the proposals included in the May 2013 ED with an emphasis on (1) lessor accounting model, (2) accounting for "Type A" leases by lessors, (3) lessee accounting model and (4) lessee small-ticket leases. No decisions have been reached to date.

While there is no specific effective date on the ED, it will likely not be before 2017. If there are future changes in GAAP with regard to how we and our customers must account for leases, it could change the way we and our customers conduct our businesses. As a result, we are unable to determine how the proposed changes could affect our business, but they could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Fluctuations in foreign exchange rates could reduce our profitability.

Most of our revenues and costs are billed in U.S. dollars. Our operations and used equipment sales in locations outside of the U.S. have some exposure to foreign currency fluctuations, and trade growth and the direction of trade flows can be influenced by large changes in relative currency values. In addition, most of our equipment fleet is manufactured in China. Although the purchase price is in U.S. dollars, our manufacturers pay labor and other costs in the local currency, the Chinese yuan. To the extent that our manufacturers' costs increase due to changes in the valuation of the Chinese yuan, the dollar price we pay for equipment could be affected. Adverse or large exchange rate fluctuations may negatively affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Risks Related to our Stock

Our stock price has been volatile and may remain volatile.

The trading price of our common stock may be subject to wide fluctuations in response to quarter-to-quarter variations in operating results, new products or services by us or our competitors, general conditions in the shipping industry and the intermodal equipment sales and leasing markets, changes in earnings estimates by analysts, or other events or factors which may or may not be under our control. Broad market fluctuations may adversely affect the market price of our common stock. Since the initial public offering of our stock at \$15.00 per share on May 16, 2007, the market price of our stock has fluctuated significantly from a high of \$30.28 per share to a low of \$2.12 per share through February 21, 2014. Since the trading volume on our stock is modest on a daily basis, shareholders may experience difficulties in liquidating our stock. Factors affecting the trading price of our common stock may include:

- variations in our financial results;
- changes in financial estimates or investment recommendations by any securities analysts following our business;
- the public's response to our press releases, our other public announcements and our filings with the SEC;
- our ability to successfully execute our business plan;
- changes in accounting standards, policies, guidance, interpretations or principles;
- future sales of common stock by us or our directors, officers or significant stockholders or the perception such sales may occur;
- our ability to achieve operating results consistent with securities analysts' projections;
- the operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors may deem comparable to us;
- recruitment or departure of key personnel;
- our ability to timely address changing equipment lessee and third-party investor preferences;
- equipment market and industry factors;
- general stock market conditions; and
- other events or factors, including those resulting from war, incidents of terrorism or responses to such events.

In addition, if the market for companies deemed similar to us or the stock market in general experiences loss of investor confidence, the trading price of our common stock could decline for reasons unrelated to our business or

financial results. The trading price of our common stock might also decline in reaction to events that affect other companies in our industry even if these events do not directly affect us.

Future new sales of our common stock by us or outstanding shares by existing stockholders, or the perception that there will be future sales of new shares from the company or existing stockholders, may cause our stock price to decline and impair our ability to obtain capital through future stock offerings.

A substantial number of shares of our common stock held by our current stockholders could be sold into the public market at any time. In addition, the perception of, or actual sale of, new shares by us may materially and adversely affect our stock price and could impair our ability to obtain future capital through an offering of equity securities.

We do not currently pay dividends to holders of our common stock, and we cannot assure you that we will pay dividends to holders of our common stock in the future.

Although our board of directors may consider a dividend policy under which we would pay cash dividends on our common stock, any determinations by us to pay cash dividends on our common stock in the future will be based primarily upon our financial condition, results of operations, business requirements, tax considerations and our board of directors' continuing determination that the declaration of dividends under the dividend policy are in the best interests of our stockholders and are in compliance with all laws and agreements applicable to the dividend program. Consequently, investors may be required to rely on sales of their common stock as the only way to realize any future gains on their investment. The terms of our credit agreements contain provisions restricting the payment of cash dividends subject to certain exceptions.

If securities analysts do not publish research or reports about our business or if they change their financial estimates or investment recommendation, the price of our stock could decline.

The trading market for our common shares may rely in part on the research and reports that industry or financial analysts publish about us or our business. We do not control or influence the decisions or opinions of these analysts and analysts may not cover us.

If any analyst who covers us changes his or her financial estimates or investment recommendation, the price of our stock could decline. If any analyst ceases coverage of our company, we could lose visibility in the market, which in turn could cause our stock price to decline.

Our founder, Mr. Hiromitsu Ogawa, will continue to have substantial control over us and could act in a manner with which other stockholders may disagree or that is not necessarily in the interests of other stockholders.

Based upon beneficial ownership as of December 31, 2013, Mr. Ogawa beneficially owns 24.1% of our outstanding common stock. As a result, he may have the ability to determine the outcome of matters submitted to our stockholders for approval, including the election of directors and any merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets. In addition, he may have the ability to control the management and affairs of our company. Mr. Ogawa may have interests that are different from yours. For example, he may support proposals and actions with which you may disagree or which are not in your interests. The concentration of ownership could delay or prevent a change in control of us or otherwise discourage a potential acquirer from attempting to obtain control of us, which in turn could reduce the price of our common stock. In addition, as Chairman of our Board of Directors, Mr. Ogawa may influence decisions to maintain our existing management and directors in office, delay or prevent changes of control of our company, or support or reject other management and board proposals that are subject to stockholder approval, such as amendments to our employee stock plans and approvals of significant financing transactions.

Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws and Delaware law contain provisions that could discourage a third party from acquiring us and consequently decrease the market value of an investment in our common stock.

Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws and Delaware corporate law each contain provisions that could delay, defer or prevent a change in control of our company or changes in our management. Among other things, these provisions:

- authorize us to issue preferred stock that can be created and issued by the board of directors without prior stockholder approval, with rights senior to those of our common stock;
 - permit removal of directors only for cause by the holders of a majority of the shares entitled to vote at the election of directors and allow only the directors to fill a vacancy on the board of directors;
 - prohibit stockholders from calling special meetings of stockholders;
 - prohibit stockholder action by written consent, thereby requiring all stockholder actions to be taken at a meeting of our stockholders;
 - require the affirmative vote of 66 2/3% of the shares entitled to vote to amend our bylaws and certain articles of our certificate of incorporation, including articles relating to the classified board, the size of the board, removal of directors, stockholder meetings and actions by written consent;
 - allow the authorized number of directors to be changed only by resolution of the board of directors;
 - establish advance notice requirements for submitting nominations for election to the board of directors and for proposing matters that can be acted upon by stockholders at a meeting;
 - classify our board of directors into three classes so that only a portion of our directors are elected each year; and
 - allow our directors to amend our bylaws.
-

These provisions could discourage proxy contests and make it more difficult for our stockholders to elect directors and take other corporate actions, which may prevent a change of control or changes in our management that a stockholder might consider favorable. In addition, Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law may discourage, delay or prevent a change in control of us. Any delay or prevention of a change in control or change in management that stockholders might otherwise consider to be favorable could cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

ITEM 1B.UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2.PROPERTIES

Office Locations. As of December 31, 2013, we operated our business in 16 offices in 12 different countries including the U.S. We have three offices in the U.S. including our headquarters in San Francisco, California. We have 13 offices outside the U.S., including offices operated by third party corporate service providers in Bermuda and Luxembourg. In addition, we have agents in Asia, Europe, South Africa, Australia and South America. Each of our offices is used for both our equipment leasing and equipment management segments, except for our office in Delmenhorst, Germany which is used only for equipment leasing operations. All of our offices, except those operated by third party corporate service providers, are leased.

The following table summarizes our office locations as of December 31, 2013:

Office Locations – U.S.

San Francisco, CA (Headquarters)

Charleston, SC

Florham Park, NJ

Office Locations – International

Brentwood, United Kingdom

St. Michael, Barbados

Antwerp, Belgium

Hong Kong

Singapore

Delmenhorst, Germany

Hamburg, Germany

Tokyo, Japan (two offices)

Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Taipei, Taiwan

Luxembourg

Hamilton, Bermuda

ITEM 3.LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time we may become a party to litigation matters arising in connection with the normal course of our business, including in connection with enforcing our rights under our leases. While we cannot predict the outcome of these matters, in the opinion of our management, any liability arising from these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. Nevertheless, unexpected adverse future events, such as an unforeseen development in our existing proceedings, a significant increase in the number of new cases or changes in our current insurance arrangements could result in liabilities that have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. We are currently not party to any material legal proceedings which are material to our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

ITEM 4.MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common stock is traded on the NYSE under the symbol "CAP." The following table reflects the range of high and low sales prices of our common stock, as reported on the NYSE in each quarter of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

| | High | Low |
|----------------|----------|----------|
| 2013: | | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 24.97 | \$ 21.20 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 24.24 | \$ 20.00 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 30.28 | \$ 23.38 |
| First Quarter | \$ 30.03 | \$ 21.88 |
| 2012: | | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 23.55 | \$ 18.90 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 21.99 | \$ 18.96 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 21.90 | \$ 17.01 |
| First Quarter | \$ 20.65 | \$ 15.17 |

As of January 31, 2014, there were 31 registered holders of record of the common stock and 6,448 beneficial holders, based on information obtained from our transfer agent.

Dividends

We have never declared or paid dividends on our capital stock. Our board of directors may consider adopting a dividend policy in the future. Any determinations by us to pay cash dividends on our common stock in the future will be based primarily upon our financial condition, results of operations, business requirements, tax considerations and our board of directors' continuing determination that the declaration of dividends under the dividend policy are in the best interests of our stockholders and are in compliance with all laws and agreements applicable to the dividend program. In the absence of such a policy, we intend to retain future earnings to finance the operation and expansion of

our business. Our financing arrangements also contain restrictions on our ability to pay cash dividends.

Performance Graph

The graph below compares cumulative shareholder returns on our common stock as compared with the Russell 2000 Stock Index and the Dow Jones Transportation Stock Index for the period from December 31, 2008 to December 31, 2013. The graph assumes an investment of \$100 as of December 31, 2008. The stock performance shown on the performance graph below is not necessarily indicative of future performance.

| Company/Index | Returns as of December 31, | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | Dec. 31, 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| CAI International, Inc. | \$ 100 | \$ 285 | \$ 618 | \$ 488 | \$ 692 | \$ 744 |
| Russell 2000 Index | 100 | 125 | 157 | 148 | 170 | 233 |
| Dow Jones Transportation Index | 100 | 116 | 144 | 142 | 150 | 209 |

ITEM 6.SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected financial data presented below have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. Historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected in future periods. You should read the selected consolidated financial data and operating data presented below in conjunction with “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Consolidated Statement of Operations Data

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) | | | | | |
| Revenue | | | | | |
| Rental revenue | \$ 196,591 | \$ 152,982 | \$ 106,694 | \$ 64,892 | \$ 53,747 |
| Management fee revenue | 7,866 | 12,094 | 12,957 | 10,348 | 8,546 |
| Gain on sale of equipment portfolios | - | 1,256 | 2,345 | 614 | 753 |
| Finance lease income | 7,948 | 7,593 | 3,710 | 2,045 | 2,218 |
| Total revenue | 212,405 | 173,925 | 125,706 | 77,899 | 65,264 |
| Operating expenses | | | | | |
| Depreciation of rental equipment | 67,109 | 48,352 | 33,633 | 20,807 | 17,226 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 780 | 902 | 1,254 | 1,377 | 1,566 |
| Gain on disposition of used rental equipment | (7,356) | (12,445) | (13,374) | (9,112) | (3,626) |
| Storage, handling and other expenses | 19,257 | 9,402 | 5,513 | 6,170 | 8,717 |
| Marketing, general and administrative expenses | 23,848 | 24,658 | 21,009 | 21,218 | 18,848 |
| Restructuring charges | - | - | - | - | 972 |
| Loss (gain) on foreign exchange | 82 | 170 | (354) | 513 | (215) |
| Total operating expenses | 103,720 | 71,039 | 47,681 | 40,973 | 43,488 |
| Operating income | 108,685 | 102,886 | 78,025 | 36,926 | 21,776 |
| Net interest expense | 37,108 | 28,787 | 16,127 | 5,169 | 4,301 |
| Net income before income taxes and non-controlling interest | 71,577 | 74,099 | 61,898 | 31,757 | 17,475 |
| Income tax expense | 7,057 | 9,818 | 11,084 | 3,555 | 3,919 |

Edgar Filing: CAI International, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Net income | 64,520 | 64,281 | 50,814 | 28,202 | 13,556 |
| Net income attributable to non-controlling interest | (594) | (816) | (625) | 181 | - |
| Net income attributable to CAI common stockholders | \$ 63,926 | \$ 63,465 | \$ 50,189 | \$ 28,383 | \$ 13,556 |
| Net income per share attributable to CAI common stockholders | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 2.89 | \$ 3.26 | \$ 2.60 | \$ 1.58 | \$ 0.76 |
| Diluted | \$ 2.82 | \$ 3.18 | \$ 2.55 | \$ 1.56 | \$ 0.76 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | | | | | |
| Basic | 22,157 | 19,495 | 19,295 | 17,974 | 17,902 |
| Diluted | 22,672 | 19,945 | 19,693 | 18,203 | 17,902 |
| Other Financial Data | | | | | |
| EBITDA (unaudited)(1) | \$ 176,502 | \$ 151,821 | \$ 112,732 | \$ 59,548 | \$ 40,794 |
| Adjusted EBITDA (unaudited)(1) | 188,831 | 160,579 | 118,812 | 64,881 | 46,326 |
| Purchase of equipment | 312,144 | 524,354 | 491,780 | 204,565 | 31,284 |
| Net proceeds from sale of equipment portfolios | - | 10,320 | 24,886 | 12,367 | 5,840 |

Consolidated Balance Sheet Data

| | As of December 31, | | | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Cash | \$ 54,994 * | \$ 22,047 * | \$ 14,677 * | \$ 14,393 | \$ 14,492 |
| Rental equipment, net | 1,465,092 | 1,210,234 | 841,847 | 530,939 | 299,340 |
| Net investment in direct finance leases | 81,208 | 85,554 | 37,749 | 11,834 | 12,620 |
| Total assets | 1,675,589 | 1,387,941 | 953,368 | 613,452 | 374,083 |
| Debt | 1,137,995 | 957,360 | 621,050 | 260,547 | 182,395 |
| Total liabilities | 1,260,463 | 1,041,096 | 704,632 | 415,778 | 244,985 |
| Total CAI stockholders' equity | 414,532 | 346,845 | 230,036 | 179,599 | 129,098 |

*Includes restricted cash of \$9,253, \$4,376 and \$599 at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Selected Operating Data

(unaudited):

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|
| Owned container fleet in TEUs (2) | 860,729 | 704,417 | 470,401 | 347,973 | 235,082 |
| Managed container fleet in TEUs (2) | 283,725 | 359,133 | 458,254 | 478,608 | 507,681 |
| | 1,144,454 | 1,063,550 | 928,655 | 826,581 | 742,763 |
| Owned container fleet in CEUs (3) | 903,713 | 745,966 | 491,076 | 338,028 | 219,684 |
| Managed container fleet in CEUs (3) | 262,071 | 331,017 | 414,475 | 425,913 | 452,672 |
| | 1,165,784 | 1,076,983 | 905,551 | 763,941 | 672,356 |
| Owned railcar fleet in units (4) | 1,804 | 1,456 | - | - | - |
| Percentage of on-lease container fleet on long-term leases (5) | 74.9 | 70.5 | 78.7 | 75.8 | 75.7 |
| Percentage of on-lease container fleet on short-term leases (5) | 20.3 | 23.4 | 17.4 | 21.7 | 21.5 |
| Percentage of on-lease container fleet on finance leases (5) | 4.8 | 6.1 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 2.8 |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|
| Average container fleet utilization in TEUs (6) | 91.8 | % | 94.2 | % | 97.6 | % | 94.8 | % | 82.2 | % |
| Average container fleet utilization in CEUs (7) | 92.7 | % | 94.7 | % | 97.8 | % | 94.6 | % | 83.9 | % |

- (1) EBITDA is defined as net income before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization of intangible assets. Adjusted EBITDA is EBITDA plus principal payments from direct finance leases (DFL). We believe adjusted EBITDA is helpful in understanding our past financial performance as a supplement to net income and other performance measures calculated in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). Our management believes that adjusted EBITDA is useful to investors in evaluating our operating performance because it provides a measure of operating results unaffected by differences in capital structures, capital investment cycles and ages of related assets among otherwise comparable companies in our industry. EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA have limitations as analytical tools, which you should not consider in isolation or as substitutes for any measure reported under GAAP. Adjusted EBITDA's usefulness as a performance measure as compared to net income is limited by the fact that EBITDA excludes the impact of interest expense, depreciation and amortization expense and taxes, and additionally excludes principal payments from DFL in the case of adjusted EBITDA. We borrow money in order to finance our operations; therefore, interest expense is a necessary element of our costs and ability to generate revenue. Similarly, our use of capital assets makes depreciation and amortization expense a necessary element of our costs and ability to generate income. In addition, since we are subject to state and federal income taxes, any measure that excludes tax expense has material limitations. Moreover, adjusted EBITDA is not calculated identically by all companies; therefore our presentation of adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. Due to these limitations, we use adjusted EBITDA as a measure of performance only in conjunction with GAAP measures of performance, such as net income.
-

The following table provides a reconciliation of EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA to net income, the most comparable performance measure under GAAP (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income attributable to CAI common stockholders | \$ 63,926 | \$ 63,465 | \$ 50,189 | \$ 28,383 | \$ 13,556 |
| Net interest expense | 37,108 | 28,787 | 16,127 | 5,169 | 4,301 |
| Depreciation | 67,631 | 48,849 | 34,078 | 21,064 | 17,452 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 780 | 902 | 1,254 | 1,377 | 1,566 |
| Income tax expense | 7,057 | 9,818 | 11,084 | 3,555 | 3,919 |
| EBITDA | 176,502 | 151,821 | 112,732 | 59,548 | 40,794 |
| Principal payments from direct finance leases | 12,329 | 8,758 | 6,080 | 5,333 | 5,532 |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$ 188,831 | \$ 160,579 | \$ 118,812 | \$ 64,881 | \$ 46,326 |

- (2) Reflects the total number of TEUs in our managed or owned equipment fleet, as applicable, as of the end of the period indicated, including units held for sale and units we have purchased but held at the manufacturer.
- (3) Reflects the total number of CEUs in our managed or owned equipment fleet, as applicable, as of the end of the period indicated, including units held for sale and units we have purchased but held at the manufacturer.
- (4) As of December 31, 2013, our railcar fleet had a utilization rate of 97.1%.
- (5) Long-term leases comprise leases that had a contractual term in excess of twelve months at the time of inception of the leases, including leases that permit cancellation by the lessee within 12 months if penalties are paid, and leases that have exceeded their initial contractual term of 12 months or greater. Short-term leases comprise leases that had a contractual term of 12 months or less at the time of inception of the leases.
- (6) Reflects the average number of TEUs in our equipment fleet on lease as a percentage of total TEUs available for lease. In calculating TEUs available for lease, we exclude units for sale and units held at the manufacturer that we have purchased.
- (7) Reflects the average number of CEUs in our equipment fleet on lease as a percentage of total CEUs available for lease. In calculating CEUs available for lease, we exclude units for sale and units held at the manufacturer that we have purchased.

ITEM 7.MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. In addition to historical consolidated financial information, the following discussion contains forward-looking statements that reflect our plans, estimates and beliefs. Our actual results may differ materially from those contained in or implied by any forward-looking statements. See "Special Note Regarding

Forward-Looking Statements.” Factors that could cause or contribute to these differences include those discussed below and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, particularly in Item 1A. “Risk Factors.”

Overview

We are one of the world’s leading equipment leasing and management companies. We purchase equipment, which we lease primarily to container shipping lines, freight forwarders and others and either retain as part of our owned fleet or sell to third-party investors for whom we then provide management services. In operating our fleet, we lease, re-lease and dispose of equipment and contract for the repair, repositioning and storage of equipment. As of December 31, 2013, our container fleet comprised 1,144,454 TEUs, 75.2% of which represented our owned fleet and 24.8% of which represented our managed fleet. In addition, we also own 1,804 railcars, which we lease within North America primarily to railroad transport companies.

Our business comprises two reportable segments for financial statement reporting purposes – equipment leasing and equipment management. Our equipment leasing segment revenue comprises rental revenue and finance lease income from our owned fleet, and our equipment management segment revenue comprises gain on sale of equipment portfolios and management fee revenue for managing equipment for third-party investors.

Our equipment rental revenue depends primarily upon a combination of: (1) the number of units in our owned fleet; (2) the utilization level of equipment in our owned fleet; and (3) the per diem rates charged under each equipment lease. The same factors in our managed fleet affect the amount of our management fee revenue. The number of TEUs in our fleet varies over time as we purchase new equipment based on prevailing market conditions during the year, sell portfolios of equipment to third-party investors and sell used equipment to parties in the secondary resale market.

Our net income will fluctuate based, in part, upon changes in the proportion of our revenue from our two segments. We incur significantly lower operating expenses in connection with the revenues from our equipment management segment, compared to the operating expenses associated with revenues from our equipment leasing segment. In particular, we recognize an insignificant amount of operating expense in connection with our gain on sale of equipment portfolios in our equipment management segment. As a result, a change in the amount of revenue in our equipment management segment derived from the gain on sale of equipment portfolios will typically have a disproportionately larger impact on our net income than an equal change in the amount of revenue from our equipment leasing segment.

Key Metrics

Utilization. We measure container utilization on the basis of the average number of TEUs and CEUs on lease expressed as a percentage of our total container fleet available for lease. We calculate TEUs and CEUs available for lease by excluding containers that have been manufactured for us but have not been delivered and containers designated as held-for-sale units. Our utilization is primarily driven by the overall level of equipment demand, the location of our available equipment and the quality of our relationships with equipment lessees. The location of available equipment is critical because equipment available in high-demand locations is more readily leased and is typically leased on more favorable terms than equipment available in low-demand locations.

The equipment leasing market is highly competitive. As such, our relationships with our equipment lessees are important to ensure that container shipping lines continue to select us as one of their providers of leased equipment.

Our average container fleet utilization rate in TEUs for the year ended December 31, 2013 was 91.8% compared to 94.2% and 97.6% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Our average container fleet utilization rate in CEUs for the year ended December 31, 2013 was 92.7% compared to 94.7% and 97.8% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The decrease in our average fleet utilization from 2011 was primarily attributable to slower growth in world trade and an increase in the supply of equipment. Our utilization rate may increase or decrease depending on future global economic conditions and the additional supply of new equipment.

Per Diem Rates. The per diem rate for a lease is set at the time we enter into a lease agreement. Our long-term per diem rate has historically been strongly influenced by new equipment pricing, interest rates, the balance of supply and demand for equipment at a particular time and location, our estimate of the residual value of the equipment at the end of the lease, the type and age of the equipment being leased, purchasing activities of equipment by container shipping lines and efficiencies in container utilization by container shipping lines. The overall average per diem rates for equipment in our owned fleet and in the portfolios of equipment comprising our managed fleet do not change significantly in response to changes in new equipment prices because existing lease agreements can only be re-priced upon the expiration of the lease.

Revenue

Our revenue comprises rental revenue, management fee revenue, gain on sale of equipment portfolios and finance lease income.

Rental Revenue. We generate rental revenue by leasing our owned equipment primarily to container shipping lines.

Approximately 96% of our rental revenue is derived from rental of equipment. Equipment rental revenue comprises monthly lease payments due under the lease agreements together with payments for other charges set forth in the leases, such as handling fees, drop-off charges and repair charges.

Management Fee Revenue. Management fee revenue is generated by our management services, which include the leasing, re-leasing, repair, repositioning, storage and disposition of equipment. We provide these management services pursuant to management agreements with third-party investors that purchase portfolios of equipment from us. Under these agreements, which have multiple year terms, we earn fees for the management of the equipment and a commission, or a managed units' sales fee, upon disposition of equipment under management. Our management fees are calculated as a percentage of net operating income for each managed unit, which is calculated as the lease payment and any other revenue attributable to a specific unit owned by the third-party investor under a lease, minus operating expenses related to the unit, excluding the third-party investor's depreciation and financing expense. The management fee percentage varies based upon the type of lease and the terms of the management agreement. Management fee percentages for long-term leases are generally lower than management fee percentages for short-term leases because less management time is required to manage long-term leases. Managed units' sales fees are equal to a fixed dollar amount or based upon a percentage of the sales price.

Gain on Sale of Equipment Portfolios. Gain on sale of equipment portfolios is generated when we sell equipment, most of which are on lease at the time of sale, to third-party investors. Historically, we have entered into management agreements with third-party investors to manage portfolios of equipment that we have sold to them. The amount of revenue we recognize on these sales of equipment is equal to the difference between the cash we receive from third-party investors and the net book value of the equipment sold. We rely upon our borrowing capacity under our credit facilities for the flexibility to hold equipment until we sell them to third-party investors. We have historically been able to sell leased equipment to third-party investors at a gain, and we have typically recognized higher revenue from gain on sale of equipment portfolios in periods of rising equipment prices. Because we enter into firm purchase orders for equipment before we find lessees for the equipment, there is a risk that the time necessary to lease the equipment may be longer than we anticipate or that the price that third-party investors are willing to pay for portfolios of equipment may decline before we take delivery.

Finance Lease Income. A small percentage of our total fleet is subject to finance leases. Under a finance lease, the lessee's payment consists of principal and interest components. The interest component is recognized as finance lease income. Lessees under our finance leases have the substantive risks and rewards of equipment ownership and may have the option to purchase the equipment at the end of the lease term for a nominal amount.

Operating Expenses

Our operating expenses are depreciation of rental equipment, amortization of intangible assets, storage, handling and other expenses applicable to our owned equipment, as well as marketing, general and administrative expenses for our total fleet.

We depreciate our containers on a straight line basis over a period ranging from 12 to 15 years to a fixed estimated residual value depending on the type of container (See Note 2(d) in our consolidated financial statements). We regularly assess both the estimated useful life of our containers and the expected residual values, and, when warranted, adjust our depreciation estimate accordingly. Railcar equipment is depreciated over its estimated useful life of between 40 and 43 years to its estimated residual value using the straight line method. Depreciation expense for rental equipment will vary over time based upon the number and the purchase price of our owned equipment.

Storage, handling and other expenses are operating costs of our owned fleet. Storage and handling expenses occur when lessees drop off equipment at depots at the end of a lease. Storage and handling expenses vary significantly by location. Other expenses include repair expenses, which are the result of normal wear and tear on the equipment, and repositioning expenses, which are incurred when we contract to move equipment from locations where our inventories exceed actual or expected demand to locations with higher demand. Storage, handling and other expenses are directly related to the number of units in our owned fleet and inversely related to our utilization rate for those units: as utilization increases, we typically have lower storage, handling and repositioning expenses.

Our marketing, general and administrative expenses are primarily employee-related costs such as salary, bonus and commission expenses, employee benefits, rent, allowance for doubtful accounts and travel and entertainment costs, as well as expenses incurred for outside services such as legal, consulting and audit-related fees.

Our operating expenses are offset by the gain on disposition of used rental equipment. This gain is the result of our sale of older used equipment in the secondary resale market and is the difference between: (1) the cash we receive for these units, less selling expenses; and (2) the net book value of the units.

Results of Operations

The following table summarizes our results of operations for the three years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenue | | | |
| Rental revenue | \$ 196,591 | \$ 152,982 | \$ 106,694 |
| Management fee revenue | 7,866 | 12,094 | 12,957 |
| Gain on sale of equipment portfolios | - | 1,256 | 2,345 |
| Finance lease income | 7,948 | 7,593 | 3,710 |
| Total revenue | 212,405 | 173,925 | 125,706 |
| Operating expenses | | | |
| Depreciation of rental equipment | 67,109 | 48,352 | 33,633 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 780 | 902 | 1,254 |
| Gain on disposition of used rental equipment | (7,356) | (12,445) | (13,374) |
| Storage, handling and other expenses | 19,257 | 9,402 | 5,513 |
| Marketing, general and administrative expenses | 23,848 | 24,658 | 21,009 |
| Loss (gain) on foreign exchange | 82 | 170 | (354) |
| Total operating expenses | 103,720 | 71,039 | 47,681 |
| Operating income | 108,685 | 102,886 | 78,025 |
| Net interest expense | 37,108 | 28,787 | 16,127 |
| Net income before income taxes and non-controlling interest | 71,577 | 74,099 | 61,898 |
| Income tax expense | 7,057 | 9,818 | 11,084 |
| Net income | 64,520 | 64,281 | 50,814 |
| Net income attributable to non-controlling interest | (594) | (816) | (625) |
| Net income attributable to CAI common stockholders | \$ 63,926 | \$ 63,465 | \$ 50,189 |

Year Ended December 31, 2013 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2012

Revenue. The above table shows the composition of our revenue. The following discussion explains the significant changes in the composition of our total revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012:

Rental Revenue. Rental revenue increased \$43.6 million, or 29%, to \$196.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, from \$153.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This was primarily due to a \$49.7 million increase

in rental revenue attributable to a 36% increase in the average number of TEUs of owned containers on lease and a \$4.2 million increase in CAI Rail revenue as a result of entering into additional rail business during 2013, partly offset by a \$12.0 million decrease in revenue resulting from an 8% decrease in average container per diem rental rates. We made investments in containers during the year ended December 31, 2013 which increased the average size of the owned fleet by 41%, although the impact on rental revenue was partially offset by a reduction in the utilization of our owned fleet from 96.6% in the year ended December 31, 2012 to 93.2% in the year ended December 31, 2013. The reduction in average container per diem rental rates is primarily a result of our significant investment in used containers during the last twelve months through sale and leaseback transactions and the acquisition of container portfolios from our managed fleet. Used containers are purchased at a lower price, and command a lower per diem rental rate, than new containers. Approximately 40% of our investment in containers during the last twelve months was in used containers.

Management Fee Revenue. Management fee revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$7.9 million, a decrease of \$4.2 million, or 35%, from \$12.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease was primarily due to a 34% reduction in the size of the on-lease managed container fleet as a result of our purchase of previously managed container portfolios.

The size of our managed fleet has decreased in the past several years as market conditions have favored the purchase of container portfolios from our managed container fleet rather than establishing new portfolios. We continue to believe that the management of equipment for third party investors is beneficial to our company and we will continue to pursue those opportunities. At the same time, based on market conditions, we will continue to pursue the purchase of container portfolios if attractive opportunities present themselves. Consequently, market conditions will dictate whether there will be net additions or subtractions from our managed fleet.

Gain on Sale of Equipment Portfolios. There was no gain on sale of equipment portfolios for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to a \$1.3 million gain for the year ended December 31, 2012. We did not sell any equipment to investors during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Finance Lease Income. Finance lease income increased by \$0.4 million, or 5%, to \$7.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2013, from \$7.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase was primarily attributable to new finance lease contracts entered into during 2013, offset by the reclassification of certain leases from finance to operating at the end of the second quarter of 2013.

Expenses. The following discussion explains the significant changes in expenses for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012:

Depreciation of Rental Equipment. Depreciation of rental equipment increased by \$18.8 million, or 39%, to \$67.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, from \$48.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This increase was primarily attributable to a 41% increase in the size of our owned container fleet, and an increase of \$1.1 million in depreciation attributable to CAI Rail.

Amortization of Intangible Assets. Amortization of intangible assets decreased \$0.1 million, or 14%, to \$0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, from \$0.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease was due to certain intangible assets that became fully amortized during the third quarter of 2013.

Gain on Disposition of Used Rental Equipment. Gain on sale of used rental equipment decreased \$5.1 million to \$7.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, a 41% decrease from a gain of \$12.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. We sold more used containers at a lower average price and margin during the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012.

Storage, Handling and Other Expenses. Storage, handling and other expenses increased by \$9.9 million, or 105%, to \$19.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, from \$9.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in the size of our owned container fleet and a 3 percentage point decrease in utilization of our owned containers have resulted in an increase in the number of containers in storage during the year ended December 31, 2013 leading to higher storage, handling, and other related charges. We also incurred an increase of \$1.1 million in repair and maintenance costs related to our rail business in the year ended December 31, 2013.

Marketing, General and Administrative Expense. MG&A expense decreased by \$0.8 million, or 3%, to \$23.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, from \$24.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease was primarily due to a \$0.8 million decrease in personnel related costs.

Loss (Gain) on Foreign Exchange. We recorded a loss of \$0.1 million on foreign exchange transactions for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to a loss of \$0.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2012. Gains and losses on foreign currency primarily occur when foreign denominated financial assets and liabilities are either settled or remeasured in U.S. dollars. The loss on foreign exchange for the year ended December 31, 2013 was primarily the result of movements in the U.S. dollar exchange rate against the Euro.

Net Interest Expense. Net interest expense of \$37.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 increased \$8.3 million, or 29%, from \$28.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in net interest expense was due primarily to an increase in the average principal balance of our debt and the write-off of \$1.1 million of prepaid financing costs as a result of a number of refinancing arrangements that we completed during the first quarter of 2013.

Income Tax Expense. Income tax expense of \$7.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 decreased \$2.8 million from \$9.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2013 was 9.9% compared to an effective tax rate of 13.2% for the year ended December 31, 2012. The proportion of our on-lease owned fleet owned by subsidiary companies in Barbados and Bermuda, where income tax rates are lower than in the U.S., increased from approximately 86% in the year ended December 31, 2012 to 90% in the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase in the proportion of the fleet owned by our international subsidiaries has led to a corresponding increase in the proportion of pretax income generated in lower tax jurisdictions, resulting in a decrease in the effective tax rate. Note 9 of to our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K includes a reconciliation between the tax expense calculated at the statutory U.S. income tax rate and the actual tax expense for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. Foreign tax differentials for those years of \$19.0 million and \$17.3 million, respectively, are the primary reasons for the effective tax rates in both years being below the statutory U.S. rate.

Segment Information

The following table summarizes our results of operations for each of our business segments for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| | Year Ended | | Change | |
|---|----------------------|------------|------------|---------|
| | December 31, 2013 | 2012 | Amount | Percent |
| Equipment Leasing | | | | |
| Total revenue | \$ 204,539 | \$ 160,575 | \$ 43,964 | 27 % |
| Operating expenses | 100,906 | 64,286 | 36,620 | 57 |
| Net interest expense | 37,113 | 28,796 | 8,317 | 29 |
| Net income before income taxes and non-controlling interest attributable to segment | \$ 66,520 | \$ 67,493 | \$ (973) | (1) |
| Equipment Management | | | | |
| Total revenue | \$ 7,866 | \$ 13,350 | \$ (5,484) | (41) % |
| Operating expenses | 2,814 | 6,753 | (3,939) | (58) |
| Net income before income taxes and non-controlling interest attributable to segment | \$ 5,052 | \$ 6,597 | \$ (1,545) | (23) |

Equipment Leasing. Total revenue from our equipment leasing segment increased \$44.0 million, or 27%, to \$204.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$160.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase was primarily due to an increase in the number of owned containers on lease and increased revenue derived from CAI Rail's operations, partly offset by a reduction in owned fleet utilization and average container per diem rental rates, as described above.

Total operating expenses for the equipment leasing segment for December 31, 2013 increased \$36.6 million, or 57%, to \$100.9 million, from \$64.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase was primarily attributable to higher depreciation expense resulting from the increase in the amount of owned equipment, increase in storage, handling and other container related expenses as a result of a decrease in utilization, and lower gain on disposition of used rental equipment.

Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 increased \$8.3 million, or 29%, to \$37.1 million compared to \$28.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in interest expense was primarily due to the increase in our average debt balance as we continued to increase our borrowings to finance our acquisition of additional rental equipment, and the write-off of \$1.1 million of prepaid financing costs.

Equipment Management. Total revenue of \$7.9 million from our equipment management segment for the year ended December 31, 2013 decreased \$5.5 million, or 41%, from \$13.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease in management fee revenue was primarily attributable to a 34% decrease in the size of our on-lease managed

container fleet as a result of our purchase of previously managed container portfolios.

Total operating expenses for the equipment management segment decreased \$3.9 million, or 58%, to \$2.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, from \$6.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as a result of the reduction of MG&A expense allocated to the segment due to a decrease in the proportion of managed TEUs in the total fleet during 2013.

Year Ended December 31, 2012 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2011

Revenue. The following discussion explains the significant changes in the composition of our total revenue for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011:

Rental Revenue. Rental revenue increased \$46.3 million, or 43%, to \$153.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, from \$106.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. This was primarily due to a \$46.9 million increase in rental revenue attributable to a 44% increase in the average number of TEUs of owned containers on lease and \$3.0 million of revenue from the lease of railcars by CAI Rail, a business that commenced operations in 2012. We made investments in containers during the year ended December 31, 2012, which increased the average size of the owned fleet by 46%, although the impact on rental revenue was partially offset by a reduction in the average utilization of our owned fleet from 97.0% in the year ended December 31, 2011 to 96.6% in the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in rental revenue was partially offset by a \$6.1 million decrease in rental revenue which resulted from a 6% decrease in average per diem rental rates during 2012. This is primarily a result of significant investment in used containers during 2012 through sale and leaseback transactions and the acquisition of container portfolios from our managed fleet. Used containers are purchased at a lower price, and command a lower per diem rate, than new containers. Approximately 52% of our investment in containers during 2012 was in used containers, compared to 48% investment in new containers.

Management Fee Revenue. Management fee revenue for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$12.1 million, a decrease of \$0.9 million, or 7%, from \$13.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease was primarily due to a 14% reduction in the size of the average on-lease managed container fleet due to our purchase of previously managed container portfolios, which resulted in a decrease to management fee revenue of approximately \$2.0 million, partially offset by a \$1.1 million increase in arrangement fees. Arrangement fees are earned from third party investors as a result of transactions in our managed fleet, including the addition of new, and the restructuring of existing, container portfolios; more such activity occurred in the year ended December 31, 2012 than in the prior year.

Gain on Sale of Equipment Portfolios. Gain on sale of equipment portfolios decreased \$1.1 million to \$1.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, a 46% decrease from a gain of \$2.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease was due primarily to fewer containers sold to investors during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011.

Finance Lease Income. Finance lease income increased by \$3.9 million, or 105%, to \$7.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2012, from \$3.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily attributable to new finance lease contracts entered into during 2012.

Expenses. The following discussion explains the significant changes in expenses for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011:

Depreciation of Rental Equipment. Depreciation of rental equipment increased by \$14.7 million, or 44%, to \$48.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, from \$33.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. This increase was primarily attributable to a 42% increase in average TEUs of owned containers and the addition of railcar equipment, partially offset by a reduction in depreciation expense resulting from the increase in residual values and useful life of our containers effective January 1, 2012. We do not expect that the change in estimated residual values will have a material effect on the reported gain on disposition of equipment over the next several years since the equipment estimated to be sold during the coming years has already been substantially depreciated. See Note 2(d) to our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Amortization of Intangible Assets. Amortization of intangible assets decreased \$0.4 million, or 28%, to \$0.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, from \$1.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease was due to certain intangible assets that became fully amortized during the third quarter of 2011.

Gain on Disposition of Used Rental Equipment. Gain on sale of used rental equipment decreased \$0.9 million to \$12.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, a 7% decrease from a gain of \$13.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease was due primarily to a 9% reduction in the volume of used containers sold in the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, partially offset by higher margins on sales made in the year ended December 31, 2012.

Storage, Handling and Other Expenses. Storage, handling and other expenses increased by \$3.9 million, or 71%, to \$9.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, from \$5.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease in utilization of our owned containers has resulted in more containers in storage during the year ended December 31, 2012 resulting in higher storage, handling, and other related charges. Additionally, we incurred increased repairs and maintenance costs relating to our railcars during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Marketing, General and Administrative Expense. MG&A expense increased by \$3.7 million, or 17%, to \$24.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, from \$21.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily due to a \$1.7 million increase in personnel related costs, release of a \$0.9 million bad debt reserve during the year ended December 31, 2011, and a \$0.8 million increase in professional fees that were primarily associated with CAIJ's investor transactions in the year ended December 31, 2012 and the formation of CAI Rail.

Loss (Gain) on Foreign Exchange. We recorded a loss of \$0.2 million on foreign exchange transactions for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to a gain of \$0.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. Gains and losses on foreign currency primarily occur when foreign denominated financial assets and liabilities are either settled or remeasured in U.S. dollars. The loss on foreign exchange for the year ended December 31, 2012 was primarily the result of the weakening of the U.S. dollar against foreign currencies, primarily the Euro.

Net Interest Expense. Net interest expense of \$28.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased \$12.7 million, or 79%, from \$16.1million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in net interest expense was due primarily to an increase in the average principal balance of our debt.

Income Tax Expense. Income tax expense of \$9.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 decreased \$1.3 million from \$11.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2012 was 13.2% compared to an effective tax rate of 17.9% for the year ended December 31, 2011. The lower effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2012 was due primarily to a higher proportion of pretax income being generated by our foreign operations where income tax rates are lower than in the U.S.

Segment Information

The following table summarizes the results of operations for each of our business segments for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended | | Change | |
|---|----------------------|------------|------------|---------|
| | December 31, 2012 | 2011 | Amount | Percent |
| Equipment Leasing | | | | |
| Total revenue | \$ 160,575 | \$ 110,404 | \$ 50,171 | 45 % |
| Operating expenses | 64,286 | 40,455 | 23,831 | 59 |
| Net interest expense | 28,796 | 16,139 | 12,657 | 78 |
| Net income before income taxes and non-controlling interest attributable to segment | \$ 67,493 | \$ 53,810 | \$ 13,683 | 25 |
| Equipment Management | | | | |
| Total revenue | \$ 13,350 | \$ 15,302 | \$ (1,952) | (13) % |
| Operating expenses | 6,753 | 7,226 | (473) | (7) |
| Net income before income taxes and non-controlling interest attributable to segment | \$ 6,597 | \$ 8,076 | \$ (1,479) | (18) |

Equipment Leasing. Total revenue from our equipment leasing segment increased \$50.2 million, or 45%, to \$160.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$110.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily due to a \$46.3 million increase in rental revenue resulting primarily from the increase in the average number of owned containers on lease and revenues from CAI Rail, and a \$3.9 million increase in finance lease income.

Total operating expenses for the equipment leasing segment for December 31, 2012 increased \$23.8 million, or 59%, to \$64.3 million, from \$40.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily attributable to higher depreciation expense resulting from the increase in the number of owned containers, increase in storage, handling and other container related expenses as a result of a decrease in utilization, decrease in gain on sale of used rental equipment and an increase in MG&A expense.

Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased \$12.7 million, or 78%, to \$28.8 million compared to \$16.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in interest expense was primarily due to the increase in our average debt balance as we continued to increase our borrowings to finance our acquisition of additional rental equipment.

Equipment Management. Total revenue of \$13.4 million from our equipment management segment for the year ended December 31, 2012 decreased \$1.9 million, or 13%, from \$15.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, mainly as a result of decreases in management fee revenue and gain on sale of equipment portfolios.

Total operating expenses for the equipment management segment decreased \$0.5 million, or 7%, to \$6.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, from \$7.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as a result of the reduction of MG&A expense allocated to the segment due to a decrease in the proportion of managed TEUs in the total fleet during 2012.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal sources of liquidity have been cash flows from operations, sales of equipment portfolios, borrowings from financial institutions and sale of our stock. We believe that cash flow from operations, future sales of equipment portfolios and borrowing availability under our credit facilities are sufficient to meet our liquidity needs for at least the next 12 months.

We have typically funded a significant portion of the purchase price for new equipment through borrowings under our credit facilities. However, from time to time we have funded new equipment acquisitions through the use of working capital.

Revolving Credit Facilities

(i) On March 15, 2013, we entered into a Third Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement with a syndicate of banks to finance the acquisition of container rental equipment and for general working capital purposes. The Third Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement refinanced our prior revolving credit facility to reduce the interest rate, increase the facility commitment and revise certain covenants to provide us with additional flexibility. As of December 31, 2013, the maximum commitment under our revolving credit facility was \$760.0 million, which may be increased to a maximum of \$960.0 million under certain conditions described in the agreement. As of December 31, 2013, we had an outstanding balance of \$235.0 million and availability of \$524.9 million under our revolving credit facility (net of \$0.1 million in letters of credit), subject to our ability to meet the collateral requirements under the agreement governing the facility. The entire amount of the facility drawn at any time plus accrued interest and fees is callable on demand in the event of certain specified events of default.

There is a commitment fee on the unused amount of the total commitment, payable quarterly in arrears. The agreement provides that swing line loans (short-term borrowings of up to \$10.0 million in the aggregate that are payable within 10 business days or at maturity date, whichever comes earlier) and standby letters of credit (up to \$15.0 million in the aggregate) will be available to us. These credit commitments are part of, and not in addition to, the maximum credit commitment. The interest rates vary depending upon whether the loans are characterized as Base Rate loans or Eurodollar Rate loans as defined in the revolving credit facility. As of December 31, 2013 the average interest rate on our revolving credit facility was 1.9%. Our revolving credit facility will expire in March 2018.

We intend to use our revolving credit facility primarily to fund the purchase of containers. As of December 31, 2013, we had commitments to purchase \$5.9 million of rental equipment and had rental equipment payable of \$45.2 million. We have typically used our cash flow from operations and the proceeds from sales of equipment portfolios to third-party investors to repay our revolving credit facility. As we expand our owned fleet, our revolving credit facility balance will be higher and will result in higher interest expense.

(ii) On June 7, 2012, we entered into a revolving credit agreement for CAI Rail with a consortium of banks to finance the acquisition of railcars. As of December 31, 2013, the maximum credit commitment under the revolving line of credit was \$85.0 million. Borrowings under this credit facility bear interest at a variable rate. For domestic base rate loans, the interest rate is equal to the highest of (i) the daily federal funds open rate as published by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York and (ii) the administrative agent's published "Reference Rate", in each case plus a margin based on certain conditions. For Eurodollar rate loans, the interest rate is equal to a LIBOR-based rate plus a margin based on certain conditions. As of December 31, 2013, the average interest rate under the agreement was 2.4%.

As of December 31, 2013, the outstanding balance under CAI Rail's revolving credit facility was \$54.5 million. As of December 31, 2013, we had \$30.5 million in availability under the facility, subject to our ability to meet the collateral requirements under the agreement governing the facility. The entire amount of the facility drawn at any time plus accrued interest and fees is callable on demand in the event of certain specified events of default. The revolving credit facility for CAI Rail will terminate in June 2015.

Term Loan Facilities

(i) On August 20, 2009, we signed a \$10.0 million five-year loan agreement with the Development Bank of Japan (DBJ). The loan is payable in 19 quarterly installments of \$0.2 million starting October 31, 2009 and a final payment

of \$6.2 million on July 31, 2014. On March 22, 2013, we entered into an additional \$30.0 million five-year loan agreement with DBJ. The loan is payable in 19 quarterly installments of \$0.5 million starting July 31, 2013 and a final payment of \$21.5 million on April 30, 2018. Both loans bear variable interest rates based on LIBOR. As of December 31, 2013, the loans had a combined balance of \$35.7 million and an average interest rate of 2.3%.

(ii) On December 20, 2010, we entered into a term loan agreement with a consortium of banks. Under this loan agreement, we were eligible to borrow up to \$300.0 million, subject to certain borrowing conditions, which amount is secured by certain assets of our wholly owned foreign subsidiaries. The loan agreement is an amortizing facility with a term of six years. The interest rates vary depending upon whether the loans are characterized as Base Rate loans or Eurodollar rate loans, as defined in the term loan agreement. The loan bears a variable interest rate based on LIBOR for Eurodollar loans, and Base Rate for Base Rate loans. The Base Rate is defined as the highest of (i) the federal funds rate plus 1/2 of 1.0%, (ii) the prime rate (as published in The Wall Street Journal), and (iii) the Eurodollar rate (for three-month loans) plus 1.0%.

On March 28, 2013, the term loan agreement was amended to: (a) reduce the principal balance of the loan from \$249.4 million to \$125.0 million through payment of \$124.4 million from the proceeds of the \$229.0 million fixed-rate asset-backed notes issued by the Company's indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, CAL Funding II Limited (see paragraph (ii) of Asset-Backed Notes below); (b) reduce the interest rate on the remaining loan balance; and (c) revise certain covenants under the term loan agreement to provide increased flexibility to the Company. Quarterly payments of principal have been reduced to \$1.9 million with the balance of the unpaid principal due on December 20, 2016. As of December 31, 2013, the term loan had a balance of \$119.4 million and average interest rate of 2.5%.

(iii) On April 11, 2012, we entered into another term loan agreement with a consortium of banks. The agreement, which was amended on August 31, 2012 and May 30, 2013, provided for a five-year term loan of up to \$142.0 million, subject to certain borrowing conditions, which amount is secured by certain of our assets. The commitment under the loan may be increased to a maximum of \$200.0 million, under certain conditions described in the agreement. The outstanding principal amounts under the term loan bear interest based on LIBOR, amortized quarterly, and require quarterly payments equal to 1.75% multiplied by the outstanding principal amount at such time. The full \$142.0 million has been drawn and was primarily used to repay outstanding amounts under the revolving credit facility. All unpaid amounts then outstanding are due and payable on April 11, 2017. As of December 31, 2013, the loan had a balance of \$129.3 million and an interest rate of 2.2%.

Asset-Backed Notes

(i) On October 18, 2012, CAL II issued \$171.0 million of 3.47% fixed rate asset-backed notes (Series 2012-1 Asset-Backed Notes). Principal and interest on the Series 2102-1 Asset-Backed Notes is payable monthly commencing on November 26, 2012, and the Series 2012-1 Asset-Backed Notes mature in October 2027. The proceeds from the Series 2012-1 Asset-Backed Notes were used to repay part of the Company's borrowings under its senior revolving credit facility. The Series 2012-1 Asset-Backed Notes had a balance of \$151.1 million as of December 31, 2013.

(ii) On March 28, 2013, CAL II issued \$229 million of 3.35% fixed rate asset-backed notes (Series 2013-1 Asset Backed Notes). Principal and interest on the Series 2013-1 Asset-Backed Notes is payable monthly commencing on April 25, 2013, and the Series 2013-1 Asset-Backed Notes mature in March 2028. The proceeds from the new Series 2013-1 Asset-Backed Notes were used partly to reduce the balance of the Company's term loan with a consortium of banks as described in paragraph (ii) of Term Loan Facilities above, and to partially pay down the Company's senior revolving credit facility. The Series 2013-1 Asset-Backed Notes had a balance of \$211.8 million as of December 31, 2013.

The agreements under each of the asset-backed notes described above require the Company to maintain a restricted cash account to cover payment of the obligations. As of December 31, 2013, the restricted cash account had a balance of \$9.3 million.

Other Debt Obligations

On September 13, 2012, our wholly owned subsidiary, Container Applications Limited (CAL), entered into a Note Purchase Agreement with certain institutional investors, pursuant to which CAL issued \$103.0 million of 4.9% Senior Secured Notes due September 13, 2022 (the Notes) to the investors. The Notes are guaranteed by us and secured by certain of our assets and those of CAL.

The Notes bear interest at 4.9% per annum, due and payable semiannually on March 13 and September 13 of each year, commencing on March 13, 2013. In addition, CAL is required to make certain principal payments on March 13 and September 13 of each year, commencing on March 13, 2013. Any unpaid principal and interest is due and payable on September 13, 2022. As of December 31, 2013, the Notes had a balance of \$94.8 million.

As of December 31, 2013, we had collateralized financing obligations totaling \$101.3 million (see Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K). The obligations had an average interest rate of 1.0% as of December 31, 2013 with maturity dates between June 2015 and December 2016.

As of December 31, 2013, we had capital lease obligations of \$5.3 million. The underlying obligations are denominated in U.S. Dollars and Euros at floating interest rates averaging 2.4% as of December 31, 2013, with maturity dates between June 2014 and June 2019.

Our term loans, senior secured notes, asset-backed notes, collateralized financing obligations and capital lease obligations are secured by specific pools of rental equipment and other assets owned by the Company, the underlying leases thereon and the Company's interest in any money received under such contracts.

In addition to customary events of default, our revolving credit facilities and term loans contain restrictive covenants, including limitations on certain liens, indebtedness and investments. In addition, all of our debt facilities contain various restrictive financial and other covenants. The financial covenants in our debt facilities require us to maintain (1) a maximum consolidated funded debt to consolidated tangible net worth ratio of 3.75:1.00; and (2) a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.20:1.00. As of December 31, 2013, we were in compliance with all of our debt covenants.

Under certain conditions, as defined in our credit agreements with our banks and/or note holders, we are subject to certain cross default provisions that may result in an acceleration of principal repayment under these credit facilities if an uncured default condition were to exist. Our asset-backed notes are not subject to any such cross default provisions.

Securities Registration

On April 15, 2011, we filed a universal shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the SEC which was declared effective by the SEC on May 31, 2011. Under this shelf registration statement, we may sell various debt and equity securities, or a combination thereof, to be offered from time-to-time up to an aggregate offering price of \$250.0 million for all securities, and the selling stockholders may sell up to 2,500,000 shares of common stock in one or more offerings. Pursuant to this registration, we sold 2,757,170 shares of our common stock in December 2012 at \$19.85 per share and raised approximately \$51.5 million (net of commissions and other expenses related to the offering). We used the proceeds from the sale of our common stock to repay part of our senior revolving credit facility.

Cash Flow

The following table sets forth certain cash flow information for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income | \$ 64,520 | \$ 64,281 | \$ 50,814 |
| Adjustments to income | 59,666 | 42,549 | 21,291 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 124,186 | 106,830 | 72,105 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (266,806) | (461,219) | (430,137) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 172,101 | 358,083 | 358,048 |
| Effect on cash of foreign currency translation | (1,411) | (101) | (331) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash | 28,070 | 3,593 | (315) |
| Cash at beginning of period | 17,671 | 14,078 | 14,393 |
| Cash at end of period | \$ 45,741 | \$ 17,671 | \$ 14,078 |

Operating Activities Cash Flows

Net cash provided by operating activities of \$124.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 increased \$17.4 million from \$106.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase was primarily due to a \$23.0 million increase in net income as adjusted for depreciation, amortization and other non-cash items, partly offset by a \$5.7 million reduction in our net working capital adjustments. Net working capital increased by \$9.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2013, due to a \$13.7 million decrease in accounts payable, accrued expenses, due to container investors and unearned revenue, primarily caused by the timing of payments, partially offset by a \$7.4 million decrease in accounts receivable, reflecting the reduction in the managed fleet during the period.

Net cash provided by operating activities of \$106.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased \$34.7 million from \$72.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily due to a \$34.9 million increase in net income as adjusted for non-cash items such as depreciation and amortization, partially offset by movements in net working capital. Net working capital increased by \$3.7 million in the year ended December 31, 2012, due to a \$10.5 million increase in accounts receivable, reflecting the growth in rental revenue during the year, a \$1.8 million increase in prepaid expenses and other assets, and a \$1.5 million decrease in due to container investors, partially offset by a \$10.1 million increase in accounts payable, accrued expenses, unearned revenue and other liabilities, primarily caused by the timing of payments.

Investing Activities Cash Flows

Net cash used in investing activities decreased \$194.4 million to \$266.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$461.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease in cash usage was primarily attributable to a \$212.2 million decrease in the purchase of rental equipment, offset by a decrease of \$21.6 million in cash proceeds received from both the sales of equipment portfolios to investors and dispositions of used rental equipment.

Net cash used in investing activities increased \$31.1 million to \$461.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$430.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in cash usage was primarily attributable to a \$32.6 million increase in the purchase of containers and a \$14.6 million decrease in net proceeds from the sale of equipment portfolios to investors, partially offset by a \$13.5 million increase in net proceeds from the sale of used rental equipment.

Financing Activities Cash Flows

Net cash provided by financing activities of \$172.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 decreased \$186.0 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2012 primarily as a result of lower net borrowings being required to finance the acquisition of rental equipment. During the year ended December 31, 2013, our net cash inflow from borrowings was \$181.9 million compared to \$336.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, reflecting the reduction in investment in rental equipment during 2013 compared to 2012.

Net cash provided by financing activities of \$358.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 was essentially unchanged compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. For the year ended December 31, 2012, we received \$51.5 million from the sale and issuance of 2,757,170 shares of our common stock and used the proceeds to repay part of our revolving credit facility. We also increased borrowings under our credit facilities by approximately \$477.0 million and increased repayments of bank debt by \$501.3 million. We used the proceeds from bank borrowings to finance our acquisition of containers.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

The following table sets forth our contractual obligations and commercial commitments by due date as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

| | Payments Due by Period | | | | | | More than 5 years |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1-2 years | 2-3 years | 3-4 years | 4-5 years | |
| Total debt obligations: | | | | | | | |
| Revolving credit facilities | \$ 289,469 | \$ - | \$ 54,469 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 235,000 | \$ - |
| Term loans | 284,335 | 25,840 | 19,240 | 116,115 | 101,240 | 21,900 | - |

Edgar Filing: CAI International, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Senior secured notes | 94,760 | 8,240 | 8,240 | 7,175 | 6,110 | 6,110 | 58,885 |
| Asset backed notes | 362,875 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 162,875 |
| Collateralized financing obligations | 101,269 | - | 60,554 | 40,715 | - | - | - |
| Capital lease obligations | 5,287 | 1,921 | 1,426 | 855 | 572 | 404 | 109 |
| Interest on debt and capital lease obligations (1) | 128,769 | 28,673 | 27,605 | 23,810 | 17,169 | 10,547 | 20,965 |
| Rental equipment payable | 45,181 | 45,181 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rent, office facilities and equipment | 4,363 | 1,324 | 1,103 | 1,064 | 867 | 5 | - |
| Equipment purchase commitments | 5,871 | 5,871 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total contractual obligations | \$ 1,322,179 | \$ 157,050 | \$ 212,637 | \$ 229,734 | \$ 165,958 | \$ 313,966 | \$ 242,834 |

(1)Our estimate of interest expense commitment includes \$20.8 million relating to our revolving credit facilities, \$19.6 million relating to our term loans, \$28.4 million relating to our senior secured notes, \$56.5 million relating to our asset backed notes, \$3.2 million relating to our collateralized financing obligations and \$0.2 million relating to our capital lease obligations. The calculation of interest commitment related to our debt assumes the following weighted average interest rates as of December 31, 2013: revolving credit facilities, 2.0%; term loans, 2.3%; senior secured notes, 4.9%; asset backed note, 3.4%; collateralized financing obligations, 1.0%; and capital lease obligations, 2.4%. These calculations assume that interest rates will remain at the same level over the next five years. We expect that interest rates will vary over time based upon fluctuations in the underlying indexes upon which these interest rates are based.

See Note 7 to our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a description of the terms of our revolving credit facilities, term loans, asset based notes and capital lease obligations.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2013, we had no off-balance sheet arrangements or obligations other than noted below. An off-balance sheet arrangement includes any contractual obligation, agreement or transaction arrangement involving an unconsolidated entity under which we would have: (1) retained a contingent interest in transferred assets; (2) an obligation under derivative instruments classified as equity; (3) any obligation arising out of a material variable interest in an unconsolidated entity that provides financing, liquidity, market risk or credit risk support to us, or that engages in leasing, hedging or research and development services with us; or (4) made guarantees.

We transferred ownership of dry van containers to Japanese container funds that were established by Japan Investment Adviser Co., Ltd. (JIA) and CAIJ, Inc. (CAIJ). CAIJ is an 80%-owned subsidiary of CAI with the remaining 20% owned by JIA. JIA is owned and controlled by a Managing Director of CAIJ. Prior to the purchase of containers from us, the purchasing entities had received contributions from unrelated Japanese investors, under separate Japanese investment agreements allowed under Japanese commercial laws. The contributions were used to purchase container equipment from us. Under the terms of the agreement, the CAI related Japanese entities will manage each of the investments but may outsource all or part of each operation to a third party. Pursuant to its services agreements with investors, the Japanese container funds have outsourced the general management of their operations to CAIJ. The Japanese container funds have also entered into container management service agreements and financing arrangements where the terms of the transactions provide us with an option to purchase the containers at a fixed price. If we decide to exercise our purchase options and resell the containers to a third party, then we would realize any profit from the sale. During the third quarter of 2012, we purchased all the container equipment legally owned by two consolidated Japanese VIEs. As we previously consolidated these two Japanese VIEs, the purchase of containers was considered a repurchase of the non-controlling interest for accounting purposes. See Notes 3 and 12 to our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to use judgment in making estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the reported amounts of income and expense during the reporting period and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements. We have identified the policies and estimates below as critical to our business operations and the understanding of our results of operations. These policies and estimates are considered critical due to the existence of uncertainty at the time the estimate is made, the likelihood of changes in estimates from period to period and the potential impact that these estimates can have on our financial statements. Significant items subject to such estimates and assumptions include revenue recognition, consolidation of container funds, accounting for rental equipment, allowance for doubtful accounts and income taxes. The following accounting policies and estimates include inherent risks and uncertainties related to judgments and assumptions made by us. Our estimates are based on the relevant information available at the end of each period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition

We provide a range of services to our customers incorporating rental, sale and management of equipment. Revenue for all forms of service is recognized when earned following the guidelines under FASB ASC 605, Revenue Recognition and ASC 840, Leases. Revenue is reported net of any related sales tax.

Rental Revenue. We recognize revenue from operating leases of our owned equipment as earned over the term of the lease. Where minimum lease payments vary over the lease term, revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. We cease recognition of lease revenue if and when a lessee defaults in making timely lease payments or we otherwise determine that future lease payments are not likely to be collected from the lessee. Our determination of the collectability of future lease payments is made by management on the basis of available information, including the current creditworthiness of lessees, historical collection results and review of specific past due receivables. If we experience unexpected payment defaults from our lessees, we will cease revenue recognition for those leases, which will reduce rental revenue.

Finance Lease Income. Finance lease income is recognized using the effective interest method, which generates a constant rate of interest over the period of the lease. The same risks of collectability discussed above apply to our collection of finance lease income. If we experience unexpected payment defaults under our finance leases, we cease revenue recognition for those leases which will reduce finance lease income.

Management Fee Revenue and Gain on Sale of Equipment Portfolios. In addition to leasing owned equipment, we sell portfolios of equipment to third-party investors. After the date of sale, we generally manage the equipment sold to these third-party investors. As these arrangements contain multiple deliverables (the sale of an asset followed by the provision of management services), we evaluate if the sale of the equipment and the management services are separate units of accounting thereby requiring revenue to be recognized separately for each part of the arrangement. We determine if revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables should be considered separate units of accounting if the deliverables meet both of the following criteria:

- a. The delivered item(s) has value to the customer on a standalone basis, that is, it can be sold separately by any vendor or the customer could resell the delivered items on a standalone basis. In the context of the customer's ability to resell the delivered items, this criterion does not require the existence of an observable market for the deliverable.
- b. If the arrangement includes a right of return relative to the delivered item, delivery or performance of the undelivered item is considered probable and substantially in the control of the vendor.

In applying the guidance, separate contracts entered into at or near the same time with the same entity or related parties are presumed to have been negotiated as a package and should be evaluated as a single arrangement in considering whether there are one or more units of accounting.

We evaluate all deliverables in an arrangement at the inception of the arrangement and as each deliverable is delivered to determine whether they represent separate units of accounting. The criteria for dividing an arrangement into separate units of accounting are applied consistently to arrangements with similar characteristics and in similar circumstances. A delivered item that does not qualify as a separate unit of accounting within the arrangement is combined with other undelivered item(s) within the arrangement. The allocation of arrangement consideration and the recognition of revenue is determined for those combined deliverables as a single unit of accounting.

If we conclude that the sale of equipment and the management services can be accounted for separately, we recognize gain on sale of equipment portfolios when the sale of the equipment is completed. The gain is the difference between the sales price and the net book value of the equipment sold.

We recognize revenue from management fees earned under management agreements on a monthly basis. Fees are calculated as a percentage of net operating income, which is revenue from the equipment under management minus direct operating expense related to those units. If a lessee of a managed unit defaults in making timely lease payments or we otherwise determine that future lease payments are not likely to be collected from the lessee, then we will cease to record lease revenue for purposes of our internal record keeping in connection with determining the amount of management fees that we have earned, which in turn will result in reduced management fee revenue.

Consolidation of Container Funds

We regularly perform a review of our container fund arrangements with our investors to determine whether a fund is a variable interest entity (VIE) and whether we have a variable interest that provides us with a controlling financial interest and are the primary beneficiary of the VIE in accordance with ASC 810, Consolidation. If the fund is determined to be a VIE, our analysis identifies the primary beneficiary of the VIE as the entity that meets both of the following criteria under Paragraph 14A of ASC 810:

- The power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance; and
- The obligation to absorb losses of the entity that could be potentially significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

If in our judgment we meet both of the above criteria, we include the VIE's financial statements in our consolidated financial statements as required under ASC 810. The equity attributable to the VIE is shown as a non-controlling interest on our consolidated balance sheet and the after tax result attributable to its operations is shown as net income or loss attributable to non-controlling interest on our consolidated statement of income. (See Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K).

Accounting for Rental Equipment

Accounting for rental equipment includes depreciation and impairment testing.

Depreciation. When we acquire equipment, we record its cost on our balance sheet. We then depreciate the equipment over its estimated useful life (which represents the number of years we expect to be able to lease the equipment) to its estimated residual value (which represents the amount we estimate we will recover upon the sale or other disposition of the equipment at the end of its useful life) using the straight line method of depreciation. Our estimates of useful life are based on our actual experience with our owned fleet, and our estimates of residual value are based on a number of factors including disposal price history.

During the first quarter of 2012, we completed a review of historical disposal experience relating to our fleet of container equipment and concluded that the estimated residual values and depreciable lives used in depreciation calculations should be amended effective January 1, 2012. The following table shows the current and prior residual values and depreciable lives that we adopted for each type of equipment:

| | Depreciable Life | | | |
|---|------------------|----------|------------------|-------|
| | Residual Value | | in | |
| | Current | Prior | Years Current | Prior |
| 20-ft. standard dry van container | \$ 1,050 | \$ 950 | 13.0 | 12.5 |
| 40-ft. standard dry van container | \$ 1,300 | \$ 1,150 | 13.0 | 12.5 |
| 40-ft. high cube dry van container | \$ 1,650 | \$ 1,300 | 13.0 | 12.5 |
| 20-ft. refrigerated container | \$ 2,750 | \$ 2,250 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| 40-ft. high cube refrigerated container | \$ 3,500 | \$ 3,000 | 12.0 | 12.0 |

The residual values, which range from \$1,000 to \$3,500, and depreciable lives, of between 12.5 years and 15 years, for other specialized containers remain unchanged.

The above changes reduced our depreciation expense by approximately \$9.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, which included the impact of lower depreciation on equipment that we purchased during 2012.

Railcar equipment is depreciated over its estimated useful life of between 40 and 43 years to its estimated residual value using the straight-line method.

Impairment. On at least an annual basis, we evaluate our rental equipment fleet to determine whether there have been any events or changes in circumstances indicating that the carrying amount of all, or part, of our fleet may not be recoverable. Events which would trigger an impairment review include, among others, a significant decrease in the long-term average market value of rental equipment, a significant decrease in the utilization rate of rental equipment resulting in an inability to generate income from operations and positive cash flow in future periods, or a change in market conditions resulting in a significant decrease in lease rates.

When testing for impairment, equipment is generally grouped by rental type, and is tested separately from other groups of assets and liabilities. Potential impairment exists when the estimated future undiscounted cash flows generated by an asset group, comprised of lease proceeds and residual values, less operating expenses, are less than the carrying value of that asset group. If potential impairment exists, the equipment is written down to its fair value. In determining the fair value of an asset group, we consider market trends, published value for similar assets, recent transactions of similar assets and in certain cases, quotes from third party appraisers. We currently do not consider any asset group to have a book value that is not recoverable, or close to being not recoverable, based on our expectation of future undiscounted cash flows.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Our allowance for doubtful accounts is developed based on two key components: (1) specific reserves for receivables which are impaired for which management believes full collection is doubtful; and (2) a general reserve for estimated losses inherent in the receivables. The general reserve is estimated by applying certain percentages to receivables that have not been specifically reserved, ranging from 1.0% on accounts that are one to thirty days overdue, to 100% on accounts that are one year overdue. Our allowance for doubtful accounts is reviewed regularly by our management and is based on the risk profile of the receivables, credit quality indicators such as the level of past due amounts and non-performing accounts and economic conditions. Changes in economic conditions or other events may necessitate additions or deductions to the allowance for doubtful accounts. The allowance is intended to provide for losses inherent in the owned fleet's accounts receivable, and requires the application of estimates and judgments as to the outcome of collection efforts and the realization of collateral, among other things

The credit risk on accounts receivable related to the equipment we manage is the responsibility of the third-party investors. Under our management agreements, if we are unable to ultimately collect any amount due from a managed unit lessee, the third-party investors are obligated to reimburse us for any amounts we have previously paid to them in anticipation of receiving the uncollectible amount from the container lessee.

Income Taxes

Deferred tax liabilities and assets are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been reflected in our consolidated financial statements. Deferred tax liabilities and assets are determined based on the differences between the book values and the tax basis of particular assets and liabilities, using tax rates in effect for the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is recorded to reduce our deferred tax assets to an amount we determine is more likely than not to be realized, based on our analyses of past operating results, future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences and projected taxable income. Our analyses of future taxable income are subject to a wide range of variables, many of which involve estimates. Uncertainty regarding future events and changes in tax regulation could materially alter our valuation of deferred tax liabilities and assets. If we determine that we would not be able to realize all or part of our deferred tax assets in the future, we would increase our valuation allowance and record a corresponding charge to our earnings in the period in which we make such determination. If we later determine that we are more likely than not to realize our deferred tax assets, we would reverse the applicable portion of the previously provided valuation allowance.

We recognize the effect of income tax positions only if those positions are more likely than not of being sustained. Recognized income tax positions are measured at the largest amount that is greater than 50% likely of being realized. Changes in recognition or measurement are reflected in the period in which the change in judgment occurs. We record penalties and interest related to unrecognized tax benefits within income tax expense.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements.

See Note 2(p) of our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a full description of recent accounting pronouncements and our expectation of their effect on our operations and financial condition.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk represents the risk of changes in value of a financial instrument, derivative or non-derivative, caused by fluctuations in foreign exchange rates and interest rates. Changes in these factors could cause fluctuations in our results of operations and cash flows. We are exposed to the market risks described below.

Foreign Exchange Rate Risk. Although we have significant foreign-based operations, the U.S. Dollar is our primary operating currency. Thus, most of our revenue and expenses are denominated in U.S. Dollars. We have equipment sales in British Pound Sterling, Euros and Japanese Yen and incur overhead costs in foreign currencies, primarily in British Pound Sterling and Euros. CAI Consent Sweden AB, one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, has significant amounts of revenue as well as expenses denominated in Euros and Swedish Krone. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the U.S. Dollar decreased in value in relation to other major foreign currencies (such as the Euro and British Pound Sterling). The decrease in the U.S. Dollar has increased our revenues and expenses denominated in foreign currencies. The decrease in the value of the U.S. Dollar relative to foreign currencies will also result in U.S. dollar denominated assets held at some of our foreign subsidiaries to decrease in value relative to the foreign subsidiaries' local currencies. For the year ended December 31, 2013, we recognized a loss on foreign exchange of \$0.1 million. A 10% change in foreign exchange rates would not have a material impact on our business, financial position, results of

operations or cash flows.

Interest Rate Risk. The nature of our business exposes us to market risk arising from changes in interest rates to which our variable-rate debt is linked. As of December 31, 2013, the principal amount of debt outstanding under the variable-rate arrangement of our revolving credit facilities was \$289.5 million. In addition, at December 31, 2013 we had balances on our variable rate term loans of \$284.3 million and \$5.3 million of variable rate capital lease obligations. The average interest rate on our variable rate debt was 2.2% as of December 31, 2013 based on LIBOR plus a margin based on certain conditions.

A 1.0% increase or decrease in underlying interest rates for these obligations will increase or decrease interest expense by approximately \$5.8 million annually assuming debt remains constant at December 31, 2013 levels.

We do not currently participate in hedging, interest rate swaps or other transactions to manage the market risks described above.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are contained in Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and are incorporated herein by reference. See Part IV, Item 15(a) for an index to the financial statements and supplementary data.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Management's Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

In accordance with Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our President and Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based upon their evaluation of these disclosure controls and procedures, our President and Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2013.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended December 31, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act). Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer or persons performing similar functions to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of our financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Our internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that: (a) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and dispositions of assets; (b) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and Board of Directors; and (c) provide

reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, our internal controls and procedures may not prevent or detect misstatements. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can only provide reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As of December 31, 2013, our management, with the participation of our President and Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this evaluation, management has determined that our internal control over financial reporting is effective as of December 31, 2013.

KPMG LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited our 2013 consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, has issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting. The report appears below.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

CAI International, Inc.:

We have audited CAI International, Inc. and subsidiaries' (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). CAI International, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, CAI International, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

Edgar Filing: CAI International, Inc. - Form 10-K

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of CAI International, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013, and the related financial schedule II, and our report dated February 28, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule.

/s/ KPMG LLP

San Francisco, California
February 28, 2014

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10.DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from our definitive proxy statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be filed no later than 120 days after December 31, 2013.

Code of Ethics

We have a written Code of Business Conduct and Ethics in place that applies to all our employees, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer and controller. A copy of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is available on our website at <http://www.capps.com>. We intend to use our website as a method of disseminating any change to, or waiver from, our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics as permitted by the applicable SEC rules.

ITEM 11.EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from our definitive proxy statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be filed no later than 120 days after December 31, 2013.

ITEM 12.SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from our definitive proxy statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be filed no later than 120 days after December 31, 2013.

ITEM 13.CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from our definitive proxy statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be filed no later than 120 days after December 31, 2013.

ITEM 14.PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from our definitive proxy statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be filed no later than 120 days after December 31, 2013.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a)(1) Financial Statements.

The following financial statements are included in Item 8 of this report:

| | Page |
|---|------|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 52 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012</u> | 53 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u> | 55 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u> | 56 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u> | 57 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u> | 58 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 59 |

(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules.

The following financial statement schedule for the Company is filed as part of this report:

Schedule II—Valuation Accounts80

Schedules not listed above have been omitted because the information required to be set forth therein is not applicable or is shown in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements or notes thereto.

(a)(3) List of Exhibits.

The exhibits set forth on the accompanying Exhibit Index immediately following the financial statement schedule 81 are filed as part of, or incorporated by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

CAI International, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of CAI International, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2013. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited financial statement schedule II. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of CAI International, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), CAI International, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 28, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

7
/s/ KPMG LLP

San Francisco, California
February 28, 2014

CAI INTERNATIONAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share information)

| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash | \$ 31,141 | \$ 13,976 |
| Cash held by variable interest entities | 14,600 | 3,695 |
| Accounts receivable (owned fleet), net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$503 and \$794 at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively | 41,226 | 32,627 |
| Accounts receivable (managed fleet) | 10,646 | 19,131 |
| Current portion of direct finance leases | 12,998 | 10,625 |
| Prepaid expenses | 14,803 | 11,952 |
| Deferred tax assets | 311 | 2,189 |
| Other current assets | 5,242 | 919 |
| Total current assets | 130,967 | 95,114 |
| Restricted cash | 9,253 | 4,376 |
| Rental equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$210,165 and \$147,654 at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively | 1,465,092 | 1,210,234 |
| Net investment in direct finance leases | 68,210 | 74,929 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$1,697 and \$1,254 at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively | 1,390 | 1,847 |
| Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$8,288 and \$7,447 at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively | 677 | 1,441 |
| Total assets (1) | \$ 1,675,589 | \$ 1,387,941 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 8,002 | \$ 5,985 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 6,082 | 8,465 |
| Due to container investors | 14,815 | 18,589 |
| Unearned revenue | 6,862 | 7,893 |
| Current portion of debt | 74,080 | 61,044 |
| Current portion of capital lease obligations | 1,921 | 2,242 |
| Rental equipment payable | 45,181 | 2,561 |
| Total current liabilities | 156,943 | 106,779 |

Edgar Filing: CAI International, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Debt | 1,058,628 | 888,990 |
| Deferred income tax liability | 41,378 | 40,051 |
| Capital lease obligations | 3,366 | 5,084 |
| Income taxes payable | 148 | 192 |
| Total liabilities (2) | 1,260,463 | 1,041,096 |
| Stockholders' equity | | |
| Common stock: par value \$.0001 per share; authorized 84,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 22,240,673 and 22,052,529 shares at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively | 2 | 2 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 184,263 | 181,063 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (2,356) | (2,917) |
| Retained earnings | 232,623 | 168,697 |
| Total CAI stockholders' equity | 414,532 | 346,845 |
| Non-controlling interest | 594 | - |
| Total stockholders' equity | 415,126 | 346,845 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 1,675,589 | \$ 1,387,941 |

(1) Total assets at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 include the following assets of certain variable interest entities (VIEs) that can only be used to settle the liabilities of those VIEs: Cash, \$14,600 and \$3,695; Net investment in direct finance leases, \$137 and \$0; and Rental equipment net of accumulated depreciation, \$84,107 and \$62,286, respectively.

(2) Total liabilities at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 include the following VIE liabilities for which the VIE creditors do not have recourse to CAI International, Inc.: Debt, \$101,269 and \$75,200, respectively.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CAI INTERNATIONAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(In thousands, except per share data)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenue | | | |
| Rental revenue | \$ 196,591 | \$ 152,982 | \$ 106,694 |
| Management fee revenue | 7,866 | 12,094 | 12,957 |
| Gain on sale of equipment portfolios | - | 1,256 | 2,345 |
| Finance lease income | 7,948 | 7,593 | 3,710 |
| Total revenue | 212,405 | 173,925 | 125,706 |
| Operating expenses | | | |
| Depreciation of rental equipment | 67,109 | 48,352 | 33,633 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 780 | 902 | 1,254 |
| Gain on disposition of used rental equipment | (7,356) | (12,445) | (13,374) |
| Storage, handling and other expenses | 19,257 | 9,402 | 5,513 |
| Marketing, general and administrative expenses | 23,848 | 24,658 | 21,009 |
| Loss (gain) on foreign exchange | 82 | 170 | (354) |
| Total operating expenses | 103,720 | 71,039 | 47,681 |
| Operating income | 108,685 | 102,886 | 78,025 |
| Interest expense | 36,005 | 28,796 | 16,139 |
| Write-off of deferred financing costs | 1,108 | - | - |
| Interest income | (5) | (9) | (12) |
| Net interest expense | 37,108 | 28,787 | 16,127 |
| Net income before income taxes and non-controlling interest | 71,577 | 74,099 | 61,898 |
| Income tax expense | 7,057 | 9,818 | 11,084 |
| Net income | 64,520 | 64,281 | 50,814 |
| Net income attributable to non-controlling interest | (594) | (816) | (625) |
| Net income attributable to CAI common stockholders | \$ 63,926 | \$ 63,465 | \$ 50,189 |
| Net income per share attributable to CAI common stockholders | | | |
| Basic | \$ 2.89 | \$ 3.26 | \$ 2.60 |
| Diluted | \$ 2.82 | \$ 3.18 | \$ 2.55 |

Edgar Filing: CAI International, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Weighted average shares outstanding | | | |
| Basic | 22,157 | 19,495 | 19,295 |
| Diluted | 22,672 | 19,945 | 19,693 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CAI INTERNATIONAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(In thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income | \$ 64,520 | \$ 64,281 | \$ 50,814 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax: | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 561 | 464 | (871) |
| Comprehensive income | 65,081 | 64,745 | 49,943 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to non-controlling interest | (594) | (816) | (625) |
| Comprehensive income attributable to CAI common stockholders | \$ 64,487 | \$ 63,929 | \$ 49,318 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CAI INTERNATIONAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(In thousands)

| | Common Stock | | Additional Paid-In | Retained | Non- Controlling | Accumulated Other Comprehensive | Total |
|---|--------------|--------|-----------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Capital | Earnings | Interest | (Loss) | Equity |
| Balances as of December 31, 2010 | 19,295 | \$ 2 | \$ 127,064 | \$ 55,043 | \$ 18,075 | \$ (2,510) | \$ 197,674 |
| Net income | - | - | - | 50,189 | 625 | - | 50,814 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | - | - | - | - | - | (871) | (871) |
| Stock based compensation | - | - | 1,119 | - | - | - | 1,119 |
| Balances as of December 31, 2011 | 19,295 | 2 | 128,183 | 105,232 | 18,700 | (3,381) | 248,736 |
| Net income | - | - | - | 63,465 | 816 | - | 64,281 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | - | - | - | - | - | 464 | 464 |
| Deconsolidation of non-controlling interest | - | - | 49 | - | (19,516) | - | (19,467) |
| Issuance of common stock, net of underwriting discount and offering expenses | 2,757 | - | 51,543 | - | - | - | 51,543 |
| Stock based compensation | - | - | 1,288 | - | - | - | 1,288 |
| Balances as of December 31, 2012 | 22,052 | 2 | 181,063 | 168,697 | - | (2,917) | 346,845 |
| Net income | - | - | - | 63,926 | 594 | - | 64,520 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | - | - | - | - | - | 561 | 561 |
| Exercise of stock options | 160 | - | 1,524 | - | - | - | 1,524 |
| Stock issuance costs | - | - | (155) | - | - | - | (155) |
| Stock based compensation -options | - | - | 1,429 | - | - | - | 1,429 |
| Stock based compensation - restricted stock | 28 | - | 107 | - | - | - | 107 |

Edgar Filing: CAI International, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|------|------------|------------|--------|------------|------------|
| Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation awards | - | - | 295 | - | - | - | 295 |
| Balances as of December 31, 2013 | 22,240 | \$ 2 | \$ 184,263 | \$ 232,623 | \$ 594 | \$ (2,356) | \$ 415,126 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CAI INTERNATIONAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | |
| Net income | \$ 64,520 | \$ 64,281 | \$ 50,814 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation | 67,631 | 48,849 | 34,078 |
| Amortization of debt issuance costs | 3,780 | 2,643 | 1,421 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 780 | 902 | 1,254 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 1,536 | 1,288 | 1,119 |
| Unrealized (gain) loss on foreign exchange | (282) | 244 | (215) |
| Gain on sale of equipment portfolios | - | (1,256) | (2,345) |
| Gain on disposition of used rental equipment | (7,356) | (12,445) | (13,374) |
| Deferred income taxes | 3,205 | 6,014 | 3,883 |
| Bad debt (recovery) expense | (227) | 29 | (936) |
| Changes in other operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 7,404 | (10,492) | (3,842) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | (3,136) | (1,814) | 1,406 |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities | (8,839) | 9,016 | 933 |
| Due to container investors | (3,774) | (1,524) | (3,170) |
| Unearned revenue | (1,056) | 1,095 | 1,079 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 124,186 | 106,830 | 72,105 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | |
| Purchase of rental equipment | (312,144) | (524,354) | (491,780) |
| Net proceeds from sale of equipment portfolios | - | 10,320 | 24,886 |
| Net proceeds from disposition of used rental equipment | 33,069 | 44,306 | 30,824 |
| Purchase of furniture, fixtures and equipment | (60) | (249) | (147) |
| Receipt of principal payments from direct financing leases | 12,329 | 8,758 | 6,080 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (266,806) | (461,219) | (430,137) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | |
| Proceeds from debt | 588,870 | 1,035,381 | 558,401 |
| Principal payments on debt | (406,961) | (699,161) | (197,901) |

Edgar Filing: CAI International, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Repurchase of noncontrolling interest | - | (19,467) | - |
| Debt issuance costs | (6,595) | (6,436) | (1,853) |
| Increase in restricted cash | (4,877) | (3,777) | (599) |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common stock | - | 51,543 | - |
| Stock issuance costs | (155) | - | - |
| Exercise of stock options | 1,524 | - | - |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation awards | 295 | - | - |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 172,101 | 358,083 | 358,048 |
| Effect on cash of foreign currency translation | (1,411) | (101) | (331) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash | 28,070 | 3,593 | (315) |
| Cash at beginning of the period | 17,671 | 14,078 | 14,393 |
| Cash at end of the period | \$ 45,741 | \$ 17,671 | \$ 14,078 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information | | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | | |
| Income taxes | \$ 5,122 | \$ 3,312 | \$ 6,983 |
| Interest | 32,267 | 24,087 | 12,475 |
| Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activity | | | |
| Transfer of rental equipment to direct finance lease | \$ 32,001 | \$ 56,426 | \$ 31,158 |
| Transfer of direct finance lease to rental equipment | 30,118 | - | - |
| Payment of revolving credit facility from term loan | - | 20,000 | - |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

(1)The Company and Nature of Operations

CAI International, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively, CAI or the Company) operate primarily in the international intermodal marine cargo container leasing business. The Company also owns a fleet of railcars, which it leases in North America. The Company generates revenue from two reportable segments: equipment leasing and equipment management. The equipment leasing segment specializes primarily in the ownership and leasing of intermodal containers, while the equipment management segment manages equipment for third-party investors. The Company leases its equipment principally to international container shipping lines located throughout the world. The Company sells equipment primarily to third-party investor groups and provides management services to those investors in return for a management fee.

The Company's common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "CAP". The Company's corporate headquarters are located in San Francisco, California.

(2)Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of the Company, its wholly owned subsidiaries, and its 80% owned subsidiary, CAIJ, Inc. (CAIJ). The equity attributable to the minority interest in CAIJ is shown as a non-controlling interest on the Company's consolidated balance sheet and the related net income is presented as net income attributable to non-controlling interest on the Company's consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2013. The non-controlling interest in CAIJ was immaterial and not included in the consolidated financial statements for all prior periods. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The Company regularly performs a review of its container fund arrangements with investors to determine whether a fund is a variable interest entity (VIE) and whether the Company has a variable interest that provides it with a controlling financial interest and is the primary beneficiary of the VIE in accordance with ASC 810, Consolidation. If the fund is determined to be a VIE, a further analysis is performed to determine if the Company is a primary beneficiary of the VIE and meets both of the following criteria under Paragraph 14A of ASC 810:

- it has power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance; and
- it has the obligation to absorb losses of the entity that could be potentially significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

If in the Company's judgment both of the above criteria are met, the VIE's financial statements are included in the Company's consolidated financial statements as required under ASC 810. The equity attributable to the VIE is shown as a non-controlling interest on the Company's consolidated balance sheet and the after tax result attributable to its operations is shown as a net income or loss attributable to non-controlling interest on the Company's consolidated statement of income. (See Note 3).

(b) Use of Estimates

Certain estimates and assumptions were made by the Company's management that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. Significant items subject to such estimates and assumptions include revenue recognition, allowances for receivables, the carrying amount of rental equipment, the residual values and lives of rental equipment, impairment of intangible assets, the carrying amount and lives of intangible assets and income tax uncertainties. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

(c) Furniture, Fixtures, and Equipment

Furniture, fixtures, office equipment and software, are depreciated on a straight-line basis over estimated useful lives of five years with no salvage value. Leasehold improvements are depreciated over the shorter of their useful lives or the respective lease life.

(d) Rental equipment

The Company depreciates its rental equipment over its estimated useful life to its estimated fixed residual value using the straight line method of depreciation. During the first quarter of 2012, the Company completed a review of historical disposal experience relating to its fleet of container equipment and concluded that the estimated residual values and depreciable lives used in its depreciation calculations should be amended effective January 1, 2012. The following table shows the current and prior residual values and depreciable lives that the Company adopted for each type of equipment:

| | Residual Value | | Depreciable Life in Years | |
|---|----------------|----------|---------------------------------|-------|
| | Current | Prior | Current | Prior |
| 20-ft. standard dry van container | \$ 1,050 | \$ 950 | 13.0 | 12.5 |
| 40-ft. standard dry van container | \$ 1,300 | \$ 1,150 | 13.0 | 12.5 |
| 40-ft. high cube dry van container | \$ 1,650 | \$ 1,300 | 13.0 | 12.5 |
| 20-ft. refrigerated container | \$ 2,750 | \$ 2,250 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| 40-ft. high cube refrigerated container | \$ 3,500 | \$ 3,000 | 12.0 | 12.0 |

The residual values, which range from \$1,000 to \$3,500, and depreciable lives, of between 12.5 years and 15 years, for other specialized containers remain unchanged.

The above changes reduced the Company's depreciation expense and increased pre-tax income by approximately \$9.5 million, which included the impact of lower depreciation on equipment purchased during 2012, increased net income by approximately \$8.3 million and increased diluted earnings per share by \$0.41 for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Railcar equipment is depreciated over its estimated useful life of between 40 and 43 years, to its estimated residual value using the straight-line method.

(e) Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

On at least an annual basis, the Company evaluates its rental equipment fleet to determine whether there have been any events or changes in circumstances indicating that the carrying amount of all, or part, of its fleet may not be recoverable. Events which would trigger an impairment review include, among others, a significant decrease in the long-term average market value of rental equipment, a significant decrease in the utilization rate of rental equipment resulting in an inability to generate income from operations and positive cash flow in future periods, or a change in market conditions resulting in a significant decrease in lease rates.

When testing for impairment, equipment is generally grouped by rental type, and is tested separately from other groups of assets and liabilities. Potential impairment exists when the estimated future undiscounted cash flows

generated by an asset group, comprised of lease proceeds and residual values, less operating expenses, are less than the carrying value of that asset group. If potential impairment exists, the equipment is written down to its fair value. In determining the fair value of an asset group, the Company considers market trends, published value for similar assets, recent transactions of similar assets and in certain cases, quotes from third party appraisers. The Company currently does not consider any asset group to have a book value that is not recoverable, or close to being not recoverable, based on its expectation of future undiscounted cash flows.

(f) Intangible Assets

Intangible assets with definite useful lives are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate an asset's carrying value may not be recoverable. The Company amortizes intangible assets on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives as follows:

| | |
|--|------------|
| Trademarks | 1-10 years |
| Contracts-third party | 7 years |
| Contracts and customer relationships-owned equipment | 5-7 years |

(g) Finance Leases

Interest on finance leases is recognized using the effective interest method. Lease income is recorded in decreasing amounts over the term of the contract, resulting in a level rate of return on the net investment in direct finance leases.

(h) Debt Fees

To the extent that the Company is required to pay issuance fees or direct costs relating to its credit facilities, such fees are amortized over the lives of the related debt using the straight line method and reflected in interest expense.

(i) Foreign Currency Translation

The accounts of the Company's foreign subsidiaries have been converted at rates of exchange in effect at year-end for balance sheet accounts and average exchange rates for the year for income statement accounts. The effects of changes in exchange rates in translating foreign subsidiaries' financial statements are included in stockholders' equity as accumulated other comprehensive income.

(j) Accounts Receivable (Owned Fleet)

Amounts billed under operating leases for equipment owned by the Company are recorded in accounts receivable (owned fleet). The Company estimates an allowance for doubtful accounts for accounts receivable it does not consider fully collectible. The allowance for doubtful accounts is developed based on two key components: (1) specific reserves for receivables for which management believes full collection is doubtful; and (2) a general reserve for estimated losses inherent in the receivables. The general reserve is estimated by applying certain percentages to receivables that have not been specifically reserved, ranging from 1.0% on accounts that are one to thirty days overdue, to 100% on accounts that are one year overdue. The allowance for doubtful accounts is reviewed regularly by management and is based on the risk profile of the receivables, credit quality indicators such as the level of past due amounts and non-performing accounts and economic conditions. Changes in economic conditions or other events may necessitate additions or deductions to the allowance for doubtful accounts. The allowance is intended to provide for losses inherent in the owned fleet's accounts receivable, and requires the application of estimates and judgments as to the outcome of collection efforts and the realization of collateral, among other things.

(k) Accounts Receivable (Managed Fleet)

Amounts billed under operating leases for equipment owned by third-party investors are recorded in accounts receivable (managed fleet) with a corresponding credit to due to container investors account. The credit risk on accounts receivable related to managed equipment is the responsibility of the third-party investors. Under the Company's management agreements with investors, the third-party investors are obligated to reimburse the Company for any amounts the Company had previously paid to them in advance of receiving the amount from the equipment lessee if the Company is unable to ultimately collect any amount due from a managed equipment lessee.

(l) Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for using the asset-and-liability method. Under this method, deferred income taxes are recognized for the future tax consequences of differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts at each year-end. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Valuation allowances are established when it is more likely than not that deferred tax assets will not be recovered.

The Company recognizes the effect of income tax positions only if those positions are more likely than not of being sustained. Recognized income tax positions are measured at the largest amount that is greater than 50% likely of being

realized. Changes in recognition or measurement are reflected in the period in which the change in judgment occurs. The Company records penalties and interest related to unrecognized tax benefits within income tax expense. (See Note 9)

(m) Revenue Recognition

The Company provides a range of services to its customers incorporating rental, sale and management of equipment. Revenue for all forms of service is recognized when earned following the guidelines under FASB ASC 605, Revenue Recognition and FASB ASC 840, Leases. Revenue is reported net of any related sales tax.

Rental Revenue

Rental revenue arises from renting equipment owned by the Company to various lessees. Rental agreements are typically leases with a fixed term of between one and eight years or short-term master lease agreements where there is no term and the equipment can be returned at any time without penalty. Revenue is recorded on an accrual basis for master lease agreements as these agreements have no fixed term. For long-term leases, revenue is recorded on a straight-line basis when earned according to the terms of the rental contracts. These contracts are classified as operating leases. Early termination of the rental contracts subjects the lessee to a penalty, which is included in rental revenue upon such termination.

Included in rental revenue is revenue consisting primarily of fees charged to the lessee for handling, delivery, repairs, and fees relating to the Company's damage protection plan, which are recognized as earned.

Management Fee Revenue and Gain on Sale of Equipment Portfolios

In addition to renting equipment, the Company sells leased equipment portfolios to third-party investor groups. After the date of sale, the Company generally manages the equipment sold to the investor group. The Company has determined that the two deliverables under the arrangements, the sale of the equipment and the management services, are separate units of accounting, thus revenue is recognized for each unit.

In accordance with FASB ASU 2009-13, Multiple Deliverable Revenue Arrangements, the Company determines if revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables should be considered separate units of accounting if the deliverables meet both of the following criteria:

- a. The delivered item(s) has value to the customer on a standalone basis, that is, it can be sold separately by any vendor or the customer could resell the delivered items on a standalone basis. In the context of the customer's ability to resell the delivered items, this criterion does not require the existence of an observable market for the deliverable.
- b. If the arrangement includes a right of return relative to the delivered item, delivery or performance of the undelivered item is considered probable and substantially in the control of the vendor.

In applying the guidance, separate contracts entered into at or near the same time with the same entity or related parties are presumed to have been negotiated as a package and should be evaluated as a single arrangement in considering whether there are one or more units of accounting.

The Company evaluates all deliverables in an arrangement at the inception of the arrangement and as each deliverable is delivered to determine whether they represent separate units of accounting. The criteria for dividing an arrangement into separate units of accounting are applied consistently to arrangements with similar characteristics and in similar circumstances.

A delivered item that does not qualify as a separate unit of accounting within the arrangement is combined with other undelivered item(s) within the arrangement. The allocation of arrangement consideration and the recognition of revenue is determined for those combined deliverables as a single unit of accounting.

If the Company concludes that the sale of equipment and the management services can be accounted for separately, the Company recognizes gain on sale of equipment portfolios when the sale of the equipment is completed. The gain is the difference between the sales price and the net book value of the equipment sold.

The Company recognizes revenue from management fees earned under equipment management agreements as earned on a monthly basis. Management fees are typically a percentage of net operating income of each investor group's fleet calculated on an accruals basis. Included in the Company's balance sheet are accounts receivable from the managed fleet which are uncollected lease billings related to managed equipment. With the exception of equipment managed under pooling agreements, all direct costs (storage, repairs, repositioning etc.) are charged to investors on a specific-identification basis or allocated basis. The Company's financial statements include accounts payable and accruals of expenses related to managed equipment. The net amount of rentals billed less expenses payable, less management fees, is recorded in amounts due to container investors on the balance sheet.

(n) Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has granted stock options and restricted stock to certain directors and employees under its 2007 Equity Incentive Plan. The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with FASB ASC 718, Compensation – Stock Compensation, which requires that compensation cost related to stock-based compensation be recognized in the financial statements. The cost is measured at the date the award is granted based on the fair value of the award. The fair value of stock options is calculated using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model. The stock-based compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period of the grant. (See Note 8)

(o) Repairs and Maintenance

The Company's leases generally require the lessee to pay for any damage to the equipment beyond normal wear and tear at the end of the lease term. The Company accounts for repairs and maintenance expense on an accrual basis when an obligation to pay has been incurred.

(p) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the FASB issued guidance to increase the prominence of other comprehensive income in financial statements. Under this guidance, an entity has the option to present the components of net income and comprehensive income in either one or two consecutive financial statements. The option to present other comprehensive income in the statement of changes in equity has been eliminated. This guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The implementation of the accounting guidance did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

(3) Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities as a Non-Controlling Interest

The Company currently enters into two types of container fund arrangements with investors which are reviewed under ASC 810, Consolidation. These arrangements include container funds that the Company manages for investors and container funds that have entered into financing arrangements with investors. Included among several of the funds that the Company manages, and all of the funds under financing arrangements, are Japanese container funds that were established by a related party under separate investment agreements allowed under Japanese commercial laws (see Note 12). Each of the funds is financed by unrelated Japanese third party investors.

Managed Container Funds

All container funds under management by the Company are considered VIEs because as manager of the funds, the Company has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance including the leasing and managing of containers owned by the funds. With the exception of two specific Japanese funds established in September 2010, the fees earned for arranging, managing and establishing the funds are not significant to the expected returns of the funds so the Company does not have a variable interest in the funds. The rights to receive benefits and obligations to absorb losses that could potentially be significant to the funds belong to the third party investors, so the Company concluded that it is not the primary beneficiary of the funds. With the exception of the sale of containers to the two Japanese funds established in September 2010, the Company recognizes gain on sale of containers to the unconsolidated VIEs as sales in the ordinary course of business. For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company sold \$10.3 million and \$24.9 million, respectively, of equipment portfolios to the Japanese VIEs and recognized gains on sale of \$1.3 million and \$2.3 million, respectively. No container portfolios were sold to the Japanese VIEs in the year ended December 13, 2013.

In September 2010, the Company transferred approximately \$16.0 million of containers to two specific Japanese funds that were considered VIEs. The terms of the transaction included options for the Company to purchase the containers from the funds at a fixed price. As a result of the residual interest resulting from the fixed price call option, the Company concluded that it may absorb a significant amount of the variability associated with the funds' anticipated economic performance and as a result the Company had a variable interest in the funds. As the Company had the power to direct the activities that most significantly impacted the economic performance of the VIEs and the variable interest provided the Company with the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the funds, the Company determined that it was the primary beneficiary of these two specific VIEs and included the VIEs' assets and liabilities, results of operations and cash flows in the Company's consolidated financial statements. The container equipment, cash held by the container funds and net investment in direct finance leases, were included on the Company's consolidated balance sheet with the offsetting equity related to the funds presented separately as non-controlling interest.

During the third quarter of 2012, the Company terminated its management agreements with the two Japanese VIEs and purchased all the container equipment legally owned by them. As the Company previously consolidated these two Japanese VIEs, the purchase of the containers was considered a repurchase of the non-controlling interest for accounting purposes. The Company paid cash of \$15.3 million and contributed cash and other assets from the two Japanese VIEs of \$4.2 million in consideration for the non-controlling interest of \$19.5 million. No gain or loss was recognized by the Company upon the repurchase of the non-controlling interest and subsequent deconsolidation of the two Japanese VIEs. The results of the VIEs' operations have been included in the Company's consolidated statements of income until the date of deconsolidation. Net income of \$0.8 million and \$0.6 million attributable to the two

Japanese funds, is presented as net income attributable to non-controlling interest in the Company's consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Collateralized Financing Obligations

During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company transferred containers with a total net book value of \$94.9 million to Japanese investor funds while concurrently entering into lease agreements for the same containers, under which the Company leases the containers back from the Japanese investors. In accordance with ASC 840, Sale-Leaseback Transactions, the Company concluded these were financing transactions under which sale-leaseback accounting was not applicable.

The container funds under financing arrangements are considered VIEs under ASC 810 because as lessee of the funds, the Company has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact each entity's economic performance including the leasing and managing of containers owned by the funds. The terms of the transactions include options for the Company to purchase the containers from the funds at a fixed price. As a result of the residual interest resulting from the fixed price call option, the Company concluded that it may absorb a significant amount of the variability associated with the funds' anticipated economic performance and as a result the Company has a variable interest in the funds. As the Company has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIEs and the variable interest provides the Company with the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the funds, the Company determined that it is the primary beneficiary of these VIEs and included the VIEs' assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of the VIE's operations and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The containers that were transferred to the Japanese investor funds had a net book value of \$84.2 million as of December 31, 2013. The container equipment, together with \$14.6 million of cash held by the investor funds, has been included on the Company's consolidated balance sheet with offsetting liability related to the funds presented as collateralized financing obligations of \$101.3 million in the debt section of the Company's consolidated balance sheet. See Note 7(f) for additional information. No gain or loss was recognized by the Company on the initial consolidation of the VIEs.

(4) Net Investment in Direct Finance Leases

The following table represents the components of the Company's net investment in direct finance leases (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Gross finance lease receivables (1) | \$ 103,887 | \$ 116,999 |
| Unearned income (2) | (22,679) | (31,445) |
| Net investment in direct finance leases | \$ 81,208 | \$ 85,554 |

(1) At the inception of the lease, the Company records the total minimum lease payments, executory costs, if any, and unguaranteed residual value as gross finance lease receivables. The gross finance lease receivable is reduced as customer payments are received. Approximately \$0.1 and \$9.1 million of unguaranteed residual value at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, were included in gross finance lease receivables. There were no executory costs included in gross finance lease receivables as of December 31, 2013 and 2012.

(2) The difference between the gross finance lease receivable and the cost of the equipment or carrying amount at the lease inception is recorded as unearned income. Unearned income together with initial direct costs, are amortized to income over the lease term so as to produce a constant periodic rate of return. There were no unamortized initial direct costs as of December 31, 2013 and 2012.

In order to estimate the allowance for losses contained in the gross finance lease receivables, the Company reviews the credit worthiness of its customers on an ongoing basis. The review includes monitoring credit quality indicators, the aging of customer receivables and general economic conditions.

The categories of gross finance lease receivables based on the Company's internal customer credit ratings can be described as follows:

Tier 1— These customers are typically large international shipping lines that have been in business for many years and have world-class operating capabilities and significant financial resources. In most cases, the Company has had a long commercial relationship with these customers and currently maintains regular communication with them at several levels of management, which provides the Company with insight into the customer's current operating and financial performance. In the Company's view, these customers have the greatest ability to withstand cyclical down turns and would likely have greater access to needed capital than lower-rated customers. The Company views the risk of default for Tier 1 customers to range from minimal to modest.

Tier 2— These customers are typically either smaller shipping lines or freight forwarders with less operating scale or with a high degree of financial leverage, and accordingly the Company views these customers as subject to higher volatility in financial performance over the business cycle. The Company generally expects these customers to have less access to capital markets or other sources of financing during cyclical down turns. The Company views the risk of default for Tier 2 customers as moderate.

Tier 3— Customers in this category exhibit volatility in payments on a regular basis.

Based on the above categories, the Company's gross finance lease receivables were as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Tier 1 | \$ 85,990 | \$ 98,611 |
| Tier 2 | 17,897 | 18,388 |
| Tier 3 | - | - |
| | \$ 103,887 | \$ 116,999 |

Contractual maturities of the Company's gross finance lease receivables subsequent to December 31, 2013 are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|---------------------|------------|
| 2014 | \$ 20,182 |
| 2015 | 19,726 |
| 2016 | 18,125 |
| 2017 | 18,363 |
| 2018 | 18,047 |
| 2019 and thereafter | 9,444 |
| | \$ 103,887 |

(5)Intangible Assets

The Company's intangible assets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows (in thousands):

| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Amount |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| December 31, 2013 | | | |
| Trademarks | \$ 1,278 | \$ (962) | \$ 316 |
| Contracts- third party | 3,650 | (3,650) | - |
| Contracts- owned equipment | 4,037 | (3,676) | 361 |
| | \$ 8,965 | \$ (8,288) | \$ 677 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | |
| Trademarks | \$ 1,278 | \$ (831) | \$ 447 |
| Contracts- third party | 3,650 | (3,259) | 391 |
| Contracts- owned equipment | 3,960 | (3,357) | 603 |
| | \$ 8,888 | \$ (7,447) | \$ 1,441 |

Intangible assets allocated to the equipment leasing and equipment management reporting units, net of accumulated amortization, were \$0.6 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2013, and \$0.9 million and \$0.6

million, respectively, as of December 31, 2012.

Amortization recorded for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$0.8 million, \$0.9 million and \$1.3 million respectively. Estimated future amortization expenses are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|------|--------|
| 2014 | \$ 384 |
| 2015 | 210 |
| 2016 | 83 |
| | \$ 677 |

(6) Equipment Leases

The Company leases its equipment on either short-term operating leases through master lease agreements, long-term non-cancelable operating leases, or finance leases. The following represents future minimum rents receivable under long-term non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

| | |
|---------------------|------------|
| 2014 | \$ 134,984 |
| 2015 | 115,983 |
| 2016 | 94,742 |
| 2017 | 72,337 |
| 2018 | 56,262 |
| 2019 and thereafter | 61,300 |
| | \$ 535,608 |

See Note 4 for contractual maturities of the Company's gross finance lease receivables.

(7) Debt and Capital Lease Obligations

Debt

Details of the Company's debt as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

| Reference | December 31, 2013 | | | December 31, 2012 | | | Agreement Terminates | |
|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| | Outstanding Current | Outstanding Long-term | Average Interest | Outstanding Current | Outstanding Long-term | Average Interest | | |
| (a)(i) | Revolving credit facility | \$ - | \$ 235,000 | 1.9% | \$ - | \$ 160,000 | 3.0% | March 2018 |
| (a)(ii) | Revolving credit facility - Rail | - | 54,469 | 2.4% | - | 41,469 | 2.5% | June 2015 |
| (b)(i) | Term loan | 8,400 | 27,300 | 2.3% | 800 | 6,600 | 2.7% | April 2018 |
| (b)(ii) | Term loan | 7,500 | 111,875 | 2.5% | 24,964 | 230,651 | 3.3% | December 2016 |
| (b)(iii) | Term loan | 9,940 | 119,320 | 2.2% | 9,940 | 129,260 | 2.5% | April 2017 |

Edgar Filing: CAI International, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|-----|----------------------|-----------|--------------|------|-----------|------------|------|------------|
| | | | | | | | | September |
| (c) | Senior secured notes | 8,240 | 86,520 | 4.9% | 8,240 | 94,760 | 4.9% | 2022 |
| (d) | Asset backed notes | 40,000 | 322,875 | 3.4% | 17,100 | 151,050 | 3.5% | March 2028 |
| | Collateralized | | | | | | | |
| | financing | | | | | | | |
| (e) | obligations | - | 101,269 | 1.0% | - | 75,200 | 1.1% | December |
| | | | | | | | | 2016 |
| | Total Debt | \$ 74,080 | \$ 1,058,628 | | \$ 61,044 | \$ 888,990 | | |

(a) Revolving Credit Facilities

Revolving credit facilities consist of the following:

(i) On March 15, 2013, the Company entered into a Third Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement with a syndicate of banks to finance the acquisition of container rental equipment and for general working capital purposes. The Third Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement refinanced the Company's prior revolving credit facility to reduce the interest rate, increase the facility commitment and revise certain covenants to provide the Company with additional flexibility. As of December 31, 2013, the maximum commitment under the revolving credit facility was \$760.0 million. The Company's revolving credit facility may be increased up to a maximum of \$960.0 million, in accordance with the terms of the agreement, so long as no default or event of default exists either before or immediately after giving effect to the increase. There is a commitment fee on the unused amount of the total commitment, payable quarterly in arrears. The agreement provides that swing line loans (short-term borrowings of up to \$10.0 million in the aggregate that are payable within 10 business days or at maturity date, whichever comes earlier) and standby letters of credit (up to \$15.0 million in the aggregate) will be available to the Company. These credit commitments are part of, and not in addition to, the total commitment provided under the agreement. The interest rates vary depending upon whether the loans are characterized as Base Rate loans or Eurodollar rate loans, as defined in the revolving credit agreement. In addition to various financial and other covenants, the Company's revolving credit facility also includes certain restrictions on the Company's ability to incur other indebtedness or pay dividends to stockholders. As of December 31, 2013, the Company was in compliance with the terms of the revolving credit facility.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company had \$524.9 million in availability under the revolving credit facility (net of \$0.1 million in letters of credit) subject to its ability to meet the collateral requirements under the agreement governing the facility. The entire amount of the facility drawn at any time plus accrued interest and fees is callable on demand in the event of certain specified events of default.

The Company's revolving credit facility, including any amounts drawn on the facility, is secured by substantially all of the assets of the Company (not otherwise used as security for its other credit facilities) including the containers owned by the Company, the underlying leases thereon and the Company's interest in any money received under such contracts.

(ii) On June 7, 2012, CAI and CAI Rail Inc. (CAI Rail), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into a revolving credit agreement with a consortium of banks to finance the acquisition of railcars. As of December 31, 2013, the maximum credit commitment under the revolving line of credit was \$85.0 million.

Borrowings under the credit facility bear interest at a variable rate. The interest rates vary depending upon whether the loans are characterized as Base Rate loans or Eurodollar rate loans, as defined in the revolving credit agreement. For domestic base rate loans, the interest rate is equal to the highest of (i) the daily federal funds open rate as published by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York and (ii) the administrative agent's published "Reference Rate", in each case plus a margin based on certain conditions.

As of December 31, 2013, CAI Rail had \$30.5 million in availability under the revolving credit facility, subject to its ability to meet the collateral requirements under the agreement governing the facility. The entire amount of the facility drawn at any time plus accrued interest and fees is callable on demand in the event of certain specified events of default.

The agreement governing CAI Rail's revolving credit facility contains various financial and other covenants. As of December 31, 2013, CAI Rail was in compliance with the terms of the revolving credit facility. CAI Rail's revolving credit facility, including any amounts drawn on the facility, is secured by all of the assets of CAI Rail and is guaranteed by the Company.

(b) Term Loans

Term loans consist of the following:

(i) On August 20, 2009, the Company signed a \$10.0 million five-year loan agreement with the Development Bank of Japan (DBJ). The loan is payable in 19 quarterly installments of \$0.2 million starting October 31, 2009 and a final payment of \$6.2 million on July 31, 2014. On March 22, 2013, the Company entered into an additional \$30.0 million five-year loan agreement with DBJ. The loan is payable in 19 quarterly installments of \$0.5 million starting July 31, 2013 and a final payment of \$21.5 million on April 30, 2018. Both loans bear interest at variable rates based on LIBOR. As of December 31, 2013, the loans had a combined balance of \$35.7 million.

The following are the estimated future principal and interest payments under these loans as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands). The payments were calculated assuming the interest rate remains 2.3% through maturity of the loan.

Edgar Filing: CAI International, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| 2014 | \$ 9,172 |
| 2015 | 2,299 |
| 2016 | 2,365 |
| 2017 | 2,322 |
| 2018 | 22,144 |
| | 38,302 |
| Less: Amount representing interest | (2,602) |
| Term loan | \$ 35,700 |

(ii) On December 20, 2010, the Company entered into a term loan agreement with a consortium of banks. Under this loan agreement, the Company was eligible to borrow up to \$300.0 million, subject to certain borrowing conditions, which amount is secured by certain assets of the Company's wholly-owned foreign subsidiaries. The loan agreement is an amortizing facility with a term of six years. The interest rates vary depending upon whether the loans are characterized as Base Rate loans or Eurodollar rate loans, as defined in the term loan agreement. The loan bears a variable interest rate based on LIBOR for Eurodollar loans, and Base Rate for Base Rate loans. The Base Rate is defined as the highest of (i) the federal funds rate plus 1/2 of 1.0%, (ii) the prime rate (as published in The Wall Street Journal), and (iii) the Eurodollar rate (for three-month loans) plus 1.0%.

On March 28, 2013, the term loan agreement was amended to: (a) reduce the principal balance of the loan from \$249.4 million to \$125.0 million through payment of \$124.4 million from the proceeds of the \$229.0 million fixed-rate asset-backed notes issued by the Company's indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, CAL Funding II Limited (see Note 6(d) below); (b) reduce the interest rate on the remaining loan balance; and (c) revise certain covenants under the term loan agreement to provide increased flexibility to the Company. Quarterly payments of principal have been reduced to \$1.9 million with the balance of the unpaid principal due on December 20, 2016. As of December 31, 2013, the term loan had a balance of \$119.4 million.

The following are the estimated future principal and interest payments under this loan as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands). The payments were calculated assuming the interest rate remains 2.5% through maturity of the loan.

| | |
|------------------------------------|------------|
| 2014 | \$ 10,449 |
| 2015 | 10,259 |
| 2016 | 106,951 |
| | 127,659 |
| Less: Amount representing interest | (8,284) |
| Term loan | \$ 119,375 |

(iii) On April 11, 2012, the Company entered into a term loan agreement with a consortium of banks. The agreement, which was amended on August 31, 2012 and May 30, 2013, provides for a five year term loan of up to \$142.0 million, subject to certain borrowing conditions, which amount is secured by certain assets of the Company. The commitment under the loan may be increased to a maximum of \$200.0 million under certain conditions described in the agreement. The outstanding principal amounts under the term loan bear interest based on LIBOR, amortized quarterly, and require quarterly payments equal to 1.75% multiplied by the outstanding principal amount at such time. The facility contains various financial and other covenants. The full \$142.0 million has been drawn and was primarily used to repay outstanding amounts under the revolving credit facility. All unpaid amounts then outstanding are due and payable on April 11, 2017. As of December 31, 2013, the loan had a balance of \$129.3 million.

The following are the estimated future principal and interest payments under this loan as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands). The payments were calculated assuming the interest rate remains 2.2% through maturity of the loan.

| | |
|------------------------------------|------------|
| 2014 | \$ 12,711 |
| 2015 | 12,492 |
| 2016 | 12,279 |
| 2017 | 100,521 |
| | 138,003 |
| Less: Amount representing interest | (8,743) |
| Term loan | \$ 129,260 |

(c) Senior Secured Notes

On September 13, 2012, Container Applications Limited (CAL), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into a Note Purchase Agreement with certain institutional investors, pursuant to which CAL issued \$103.0 million of its 4.90% Senior Secured Notes due September 13, 2022 (the Notes) to the investors. The Notes are guaranteed by the Company and secured by certain assets of CAL and the Company.

The Notes bear interest at 4.9% per annum, due and payable semiannually on March 13 and September 13 of each year, commencing on March 13, 2013. In addition, CAL is required to make certain principal payments on March 13 and September 13 of each year, commencing on March 13, 2013. Any unpaid principal and interest is due and payable on September 13, 2022. The Note Purchase Agreement provides that CAL may prepay at any time all or any part of the Notes in an amount not less than 10% of the aggregate principal amount of the Notes then outstanding. As of December 31, 2013, the Notes had a balance of \$94.8 million.

The following are the estimated future principal and interest payments under the Notes as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands). The payments were calculated based on the fixed interest rate of 4.9%.

| | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| 2014 | \$ 12,782 |
| 2015 | 12,379 |
| 2016 | 10,910 |
| 2017 | 9,519 |
| 2018 | 9,220 |
| 2019 and thereafter | 68,331 |
| | 123,141 |
| Less: Amount representing interest | (28,381) |
| Senior secured notes | \$ 94,760 |

(d) Asset-Backed Notes

On October 18, 2012, CAL Funding II Limited (CAL II), a wholly owned indirect subsidiary of CAI, issued \$171.0 million of 3.47% fixed rate asset-backed notes (Series 2012-1 Asset-Backed Notes). Principal and interest on the Series 2012-1 Asset-Backed Notes is payable monthly commencing on November 26, 2012, and the Series 2012-1 Asset-Backed Notes mature in October 2027. The proceeds from the Series 2012-1 Asset-Backed Notes were used to repay part of the Company's borrowings under its revolving credit facility. As of December 31, 2013, the Series 2012-1 Asset-Backed Notes had a balance of \$151.1 million.

On March 28, 2013, CAL II issued \$229.0 million of 3.35% fixed rate asset-backed notes (Series 2013-1 Asset-Backed Notes). Principal and interest on the Series 2013-1 Asset-Backed Notes is payable monthly commencing on April 25, 2013, and the Series 2013-1 Asset-Backed Notes mature in March 2028. The proceeds from the Series 2013-1 Asset-Backed Notes were used partly to reduce the balance of the Company's term loan as described in Note 7 (b)(ii) above, and to partially pay down the Company's senior revolving credit facility. The Series 2013-1 Asset-Backed Notes had a balance of \$211.8 million as of December 31, 2013.

The following are the estimated future principal and interest payments under the Asset-Backed Notes as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands). The payments were calculated based on the weighted average fixed interest rate of 3.4%.

| | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| 2014 | \$ 51,714 |
| 2015 | 50,353 |
| 2016 | 48,993 |
| 2017 | 47,632 |
| 2018 | 46,272 |
| 2019 and thereafter | 174,394 |
| | 419,358 |

| | |
|------------------------------------|------------|
| Less: Amount representing interest | (56,483) |
| Asset-backed notes | \$ 362,875 |

(e) Collateralized Financing Obligations

As of December 31, 2013, the Company had collateralized financing obligations of \$101.3 million (see Note 3). The obligations had an average interest rate of 1.0% as of December 31, 2013 with maturity dates between June 2015 and December 2016. The debt is secured by a pool of containers covered under the financing arrangements.

The following are the estimated future principal and interest payments under the Company's collateralized financing obligations as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands). The payments were calculated assuming an average interest rate of 1.0% through maturity of the obligations.

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|
| 2014 | \$ - |
| 2015 | 62,720 |
| 2016 | 41,780 |
| | 104,500 |
| Less: Amount representing interest | (3,231) |
| Collateralized financing obligations | \$ 101,269 |

Capital Lease Obligations

As of December 31, 2013, the Company had capital lease obligations of \$5.3 million. The underlying obligations are denominated in U.S. Dollars and Euros at floating interest rates averaging 2.4% as of December 31, 2013 with maturity dates between June 2014 and June 2019. The loans are secured by containers covered by the lease obligations.

The following are the estimated future principal and interest payments under capital lease obligations as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands). The payments were calculated assuming the interest rate remains 2.4% through maturity of the loans.

| | |
|------------------------------------|----------|
| 2014 | \$ 2,026 |
| 2015 | 1,488 |
| 2016 | 887 |
| 2017 | 591 |
| 2018 | 412 |
| 2019 and thereafter | 111 |
| | 5,515 |
| Less: Amount representing interest | (228) |
| Capital lease obligations | \$ 5,287 |

The Company's term loans, senior secured notes, asset backed notes and collateralized financing obligations are secured by specific pools of rental equipment and other assets owned by the Company, the underlying leases thereon and the Company's interest in any money received under such contracts. The agreements relating to all of the Company's debt contain various financial and other covenants. As of December 31, 2013, the Company was in compliance with all of its debt covenants.

(8) Stock-Based Compensation Plan

Stock Options

The Company grants stock options to certain employees and independent directors pursuant to its 2007 Equity Incentive Plan (Plan) which was adopted on April 23, 2007 and amended on June 5, 2009, June 3, 2011 and June 8, 2012. The following table summarizes the activity in the Company's stock option plan for the three years ended December 31, 2013:

Edgar Filing: CAI International, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands) |
|--|---------------------|--|---|--|
| Options outstanding, December 31, 2010 | 972,680 | \$ 10.32 | | |
| Options granted - employees | 180,000 | \$ 24.82 | | |
| Options granted - directors | 40,000 | \$ 21.62 | | |
| Options outstanding, December 31, 2011 | 1,192,680 | \$ 12.89 | | |
| Options granted - employees | 111,000 | \$ 17.77 | | |
| Options granted - directors | 40,000 | \$ 17.77 | | |
| Options forfeited - employees | (8,000) | \$ 17.77 | | |
| Options outstanding, December 31, 2012 | 1,335,680 | \$ 13.41 | | |
| Options granted - employees | 51,300 | \$ 26.41 | | |
| Options granted - directors | 40,000 | \$ 26.41 | | |
| Options forfeited - employees | (3,501) | \$ 14.87 | | |
| Options exercised - employees | (159,994) | \$ 9.53 | | \$ 2,334 |
| Options outstanding, December 31, 2013 | 1,263,485 | \$ 14.84 | 5.8 | \$ 11,528 |
| Options exercisable at December 31, 2013 | 1,049,685 | \$ 13.09 | 5.3 | \$ 11,164 |
| Expected to vest after December 31, 2013 | 213,800 | \$ 23.45 | 8.6 | \$ 363 |

The aggregate intrinsic value represents the value by which the Company's closing stock price of \$23.57 per share on the last trading day of the year ended December 31, 2013 exceeds the exercise price of the stock multiplied by the number of options outstanding or exercisable, excluding options that have a zero or negative intrinsic value. The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised during 2013 is based on the closing share price on the date each option was exercised.

The total fair value of stock options granted to the Company's employees and independent directors at the time of grant was approximately \$1.3 million, or \$14.10 per share, \$1.3 million, or \$8.36 per share, and \$2.6 million, or \$12.04 per share for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, calculated using the Black-Scholes-Merton pricing model under the following weighted average assumptions:

| | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|----------------------|------------|---|------------|---|------------|---|
| Stock price | \$ 26.41 | | \$ 17.77 | | \$ 24.24 | |
| Exercise price | \$ 26.41 | | \$ 17.77 | | \$ 24.24 | |
| Expected term: | | | | | | |
| Employees | 6.25 years | | 6.25 years | | 6.25 years | |
| Directors | 5.5 years | | 5.5 years | | 5.5 years | |
| Expected volatility: | | | | | | |
| Employees | 56.70 | % | 49.50 | % | 50.10 | % |
| Directors | 58.60 | % | 50.20 | % | 50.80 | % |
| Dividend yield | - | % | - | % | - | % |
| Risk free rate: | | | | | | |
| Employees | 1.35 | % | 0.75 | % | 1.89 | % |
| Directors | 1.16 | % | 0.75 | % | 1.89 | % |

The expected option term is calculated using the simplified method in accordance with SEC guidance. Prior to 2013, in the absence of sufficient historical data, 50% of the assumed volatility was derived from the average volatility of common shares for similar companies over a period approximating the expected term of the options. The remaining 50% of the expected volatility was derived from the average volatility of the Company's common shares since their initial public offering in 2007. Stock options granted in 2013 used 100% of the average volatility of the Company's stock over a period approximating the expected term of the options. The risk-free rate is based on daily U.S. Treasury yield curve with a term approximating the expected term of the option. No forfeiture was estimated on all options granted during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 as management believes that none of the grantees will leave the Company within the option vesting period.

The Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$1.4 million, \$1.3 million and \$1.1 million relating to stock options for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, the remaining unamortized stock-based compensation cost relating to stock options granted to the Company's employees and independent directors was approximately \$2.1 million which is to be recognized over the remaining average vesting period of 2.1 years.

Restricted Stock Grant

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company granted 28,150 shares of restricted common stock valued at \$0.7 million to certain employees. The restricted stock was valued based on the closing price of the Company's stock on the date of grant. The restricted stock has a vesting period of 4 years. As of December 31, 2013, none of the restricted stock granted during 2013 had vested. The Company recognized \$0.1 million of stock compensation expense relating to restricted stock for the year ended December 31, 2013 and none for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. Unamortized stock compensation expense relating to restricted stock as of December 31, 2013 was \$0.6 million to be recognized over the remaining average vesting period of 3.4 years.

Stock-based compensation expense is recorded as a component of marketing, general and administrative expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of income with a corresponding credit to additional paid-in capital in the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

(9)Income Taxes

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 net income before income taxes and non-controlling interest consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| U.S. operations | \$ 9,225 | \$ 15,595 | \$ 21,909 |
| Foreign operations | 62,352 | 58,504 | 39,989 |
| | \$ 71,577 | \$ 74,099 | \$ 61,898 |

Income tax expense attributable to income from operations consisted of (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Current | | | |
| Federal | \$ 2,032 | \$ 1,557 | \$ 6,261 |
| State | 37 | 38 | 29 |
| Foreign | 1,783 | 2,209 | 1,241 |
| | 3,852 | 3,804 | 7,531 |
| Deferred | | | |
| Federal | 2,777 | 5,297 | 2,623 |
| State | (469) | (257) | 89 |
| Foreign | 897 | 974 | 841 |
| | 3,205 | 6,014 | 3,553 |
| Income tax expense | \$ 7,057 | \$ 9,818 | \$ 11,084 |

The reconciliations between the Company's income tax expense and the amounts computed by applying the U.S. federal income tax rate of 35.0% for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 are as follows (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: CAI International, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Computed expected tax expense | \$ 25,052 | \$ 25,935 | \$ 21,668 |
| Increase (decrease) in income taxes resulting from: | | | |
| Foreign tax differential | (19,046) | (17,294) | (11,919) |
| State income tax expense, net of federal income tax benefit | (514) | (66) | 154 |
| Subpart F income | 1,255 | 1,404 | 851 |
| Increase (decrease) in uncertain tax liabilities | 124 | (78) | 185 |
| Non-deductible stock-based compensation | 92 | 86 | 68 |
| Other | 94 | (169) | 77 |
| | \$ 7,057 | \$ 9,818 | \$ 11,084 |

As of December 31, 2013 the Company had \$33.0 million and \$7.3 million of net operating loss (NOL) carry forwards available to offset future foreign and state taxable income, respectively. The NOL carry forwards will begin to expire in 2017 and 2029 for foreign and state income tax purposes, respectively.

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 are presented below (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Accounts receivable (owned fleet) | \$ 44 | \$ 179 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 105 | 78 |
| State taxes | 1 | 1 |
| Unearned revenue | 190 | 1,983 |
| Stock-based compensation | 1,777 | 1,540 |
| Interest expense | 8 | 6 |
| Tax credits | 4 | 8 |
| Net operating loss carry forwards | 1,488 | 1,562 |
| Gross deferred tax assets | 3,617 | 5,357 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Intangible assets | 112 | 296 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 37,131 | 36,817 |
| Foreign deferred tax liabilities | 3,325 | 3,222 |
| Deferred subpart F income | 4,087 | 2,832 |
| Unrealized gain | 29 | 52 |
| Net deferred tax liability | \$ 41,067 | \$ 37,862 |

The realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. The Company's management considers the projected future taxable income for making this assessment. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the periods in which the deferred tax assets are deductible, the Company's management believes it is more likely than not the Company will realize the benefits of the deductible differences noted above.

Deferred income taxes have not been provided on the undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries. As of December 31, 2013, the amount of such earnings totaled approximately \$190.0 million. These earnings have been permanently reinvested and the Company does not plan to initiate any action that would precipitate the payment of income taxes thereon. The amount of income taxes that would have resulted had such earnings been repatriated is not practically determinable.

The Company is required to recognize in the financial statements the impact of a tax position, if that position is more likely than not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. The Company has elected to record penalties and interest associated with uncertain tax position within income tax expense. The Company accrues for unrecognized tax benefits based upon its best estimate of the additional taxes, interest and penalties expected to be paid. These estimates are updated over time as more definitive information becomes available from taxing authorities,

completion of tax audits, expiration of statute of limitations, or upon occurrence of other events.

The following table summarizes the activity related to the Company's unrecognized tax benefits (in thousands):

| | |
|---|--------|
| Balance at January 1, 2012 | \$ 256 |
| Increases related to prior year tax positions | 7 |
| Increases related to current year tax positions | 31 |
| Decreases related to lapsing of statute | (72) |
| Decreases related to settlement | (40) |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | 182 |
| Increases related to prior year tax positions | 116 |
| Increases related to current year tax positions | 19 |
| Decreases related to lapsing of statute | (9) |
| Decreases related to settlement | (168) |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$ 140 |

The unrecognized tax benefits of approximately \$0.1 million at December 31, 2013, if recognized, would reduce the Company's effective tax rate. The Company accrued potential interest and penalties of less than \$0.1 million related to unrecognized tax benefits for each of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. The Company does not believe the total amount of unrecognized tax benefit as of December 31, 2013 will increase or decrease significantly in the next twelve months.

The Company is subject to taxation in the U.S. and various states and foreign jurisdictions. With few exceptions, as of December 31, 2013, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state, local or foreign examinations by tax authorities for years before 2009. In June 2013, the Company received notification from the IRS that they had completed their examination for both 2008 and 2009, making changes to taxable income for those years. The changes did not materially alter the Company's income tax for those years.

(10) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheets for cash, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value because of the immediate or short-term maturity of these financial instruments. The Company's senior secured notes of \$94.8 million, collateralized financing obligations of \$101.3 million and asset backed notes of \$362.9 million as of December 31, 2013 were estimated to have fair values of approximately \$93.6 million, \$98.4 million and \$359.2 million, respectively, based on the fair value of estimated future payments calculated using the prevailing interest rates. The fair value of these financial instruments would be categorized as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Management believes that the balances of the Company's revolving credit facilities of \$289.5 million, term loans totaling \$284.3 million, capital lease obligations of \$5.3 million and net investment in direct finance leases of \$81.2 million approximate their fair values as of December 31, 2013. The fair value of these financial instruments would be categorized as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

(11) Commitments and Contingencies

The Company utilizes certain office facilities and office equipment under non-cancelable operating lease agreements which generally have original terms of up to five years. Future minimum lease payments required under non-cancellable operating leases having an original term of more than one year as of December 31, 2013 are as follows (in thousands):

| | Office Facilities and Equipment |
|--------------------------|--|
| Year ending December 31: | |
| 2014 | \$ 1,324 |
| 2015 | 1,103 |

| | |
|------|----------|
| 2016 | 1,064 |
| 2017 | 867 |
| 2018 | 5 |
| | \$ 4,363 |

Office facility expense was \$1.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and \$1.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, and was included in marketing, general and administrative expense in the consolidated statements of income.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had one outstanding letter of credit of \$0.1 million. The letter of credit guarantees the Company's obligations under certain operating lease agreements.

In addition to the rental equipment payable of \$45.2 million, the Company had commitments to purchase approximately \$5.9 million of container equipment as of December 31, 2013.

In the ordinary course of business, the Company executes contracts involving indemnifications standard in the industry and indemnifications specific to a transaction such as an assignment and assumption agreement. These indemnifications might include claims related to tax matters, governmental regulations, and contractual relationships. Performance under these indemnities would generally be triggered by a breach of terms of the contract or by a third-party claim. The Company regularly evaluates the probability of having to incur costs associated with these indemnifications and as of December 31, 2013 there were no claims outstanding under such indemnifications and the Company believes that no claims are probable of occurring in the future.

(12)Related Party Transactions

The Company has transferred legal ownership of certain containers to Japanese container funds which were established by Japan Investment Adviser Co., Ltd. (JIA) and CAIJ, Inc. (CAIJ). CAIJ is an 80%-owned subsidiary of CAI with the remaining 20% owned by JIA. JIA is owned and controlled by the Managing Director of CAIJ. Prior to the transfer of containers from the Company, the container funds received contributions from unrelated Japanese investors, under separate Japanese investment agreements allowed under Japanese commercial laws. The contributions were used to purchase container equipment from the Company. Under the terms of the agreements, the CAI-related Japanese entities manage the activities of certain Japanese entities but may outsource all or part of each operation to a third party. Pursuant to its services agreements with investors, the Japanese container funds have outsourced the general management of their operations to CAIJ. The Japanese container funds have also entered into equipment management service agreements and financing arrangements whereby the Company manages the leasing activity of containers owned by the Japanese container funds.

As described in Note 3, the Japanese managed container funds and financing arrangements are considered VIEs. However, with the exception of two specific Japanese funds and the financing arrangements described in Note 3, the Company does not consider its interest in the managed Japanese container funds to be a variable interest. As such, the Company did not consolidate the assets and liabilities, results of operations or cash flows in its consolidated financial statements. The sale of containers to the unconsolidated Japanese VIEs has been recorded on the Company's books as a sale in the ordinary course of business.

As described in Note 3, the Company has included in its consolidated financial statements, the assets and liabilities, results of operations, and cash flows of the financing arrangements, in accordance with ASC 810, Consolidation. The Company has also included the results of operations and cash flows of the two specific Japanese container funds up to the date of their deconsolidation, in accordance with ASC 810.

(13)Capital Stock

On April 15, 2011, the Company filed a universal shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the SEC which was declared effective by the SEC on May 31, 2011. Under this shelf registration statement, the Company may sell various debt and equity securities, or a combination thereof, to be offered from time-to-time up to an aggregate offering price of \$250.0 million for all securities, and the selling stockholders may sell up to 2,500,000 shares of common stock in one or more offerings. Pursuant to this registration, the Company sold 2,757,170 shares of its common stock in December 2012 at \$19.85 per share. The Company received \$51.5 million (net of commissions and other expenses related to the offering) from the sale of its common stock.

(14)401(k) Savings Plan

The Company established a 401(k) plan in January 1995 for certain eligible employees. Company contribution to this plan was entirely at the Company's discretion. On October 1, 2007, the Company enhanced the plan to cover all of its U.S. employees. Under the enhanced provisions of the plan, an employee may contribute up to the statutory limit of

his or her salary into the plan. The Company matches employee contributions up to 4% of qualified compensation. The Company's contribution vests immediately. Company contribution to the plan for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was approximately \$0.2 million, \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

(15)Segment Information

The Company operates in one industry segment, equipment leasing, but has two reportable business segments; equipment leasing and equipment management. The equipment leasing segment derives its revenue primarily from the ownership and leasing of containers to container shipping lines and freight forwarders. The equipment management segment derives its revenue from management fees earned from portfolios of equipment and associated leases which are managed on behalf of third-party investors. The Company also derives revenue from the sale of equipment to third-party investors who in turn enter into management agreements with the Company. There are no inter-segment revenues.

With the exception of amortization of intangible assets and marketing, general and administrative expenses (MG&A), operating expenses are directly attributable to the equipment leasing segment. Amortization of intangible assets relating to owned and third party contracts is charged directly to the equipment leasing segment and equipment management segment, respectively. The amortization of remaining intangible assets relating to the trademark is allocated to the segments based on the average number of twenty-foot equivalent units (TEUs) of containers in each segment during the year.

MG&A expenses are allocated to each segment based on either revenue or the number of TEUs in each segment, depending on the function of the department which incurred the expense, after directly assigning MG&A expenses relating to CAI Consent Sweden AB and CAI Rail to the equipment leasing segment and MG&A expenses relating to CAIJ and CAI Deutschland GmbH to the equipment management segment.

The Company does not allocate interest income and income tax expense to its segments.

Total assets of the equipment management segment consist of managed accounts receivable, the net carrying value of the intangible asset relating to third party contracts and a portion of the intangible asset relating to trademarks (determined based on the percentage of average TEUs of managed containers to total average TEUs). The remaining balance of total assets is allocated to the equipment leasing business.

The following tables show condensed segment information for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, reconciled to the Company's net income before income taxes and non-controlling interest as shown in its consolidated statements of income (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2013 | | | Total |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Equipment Leasing | Equipment Management | Unallocated | |
| Rental revenue | \$ 196,591 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 196,591 |
| Management fee revenue | - | 7,866 | - | 7,866 |
| Finance lease income | 7,948 | - | - | 7,948 |
| Total revenue | 204,539 | 7,866 | - | 212,405 |
| Depreciation of rental equipment | 67,109 | - | - | 67,109 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 351 | 429 | - | 780 |
| Gain on disposition of used rental equipment | (7,356) | - | - | (7,356) |
| Storage, handling and other expenses | 19,257 | - | - | 19,257 |
| Marketing, general and administrative expenses | 21,463 | 2,385 | - | 23,848 |
| Loss on foreign exchange | 82 | - | - | 82 |
| Total operating expenses | 100,906 | 2,814 | - | 103,720 |
| Operating income | 103,633 | 5,052 | - | 108,685 |
| Interest expense | 37,113 | - | - | 37,113 |
| Interest income | - | - | (5) | (5) |
| Net interest expense | 37,113 | - | (5) | 37,108 |
| Net income before income taxes and non-controlling interest | \$ 66,520 | \$ 5,052 | \$ 5 | \$ 71,577 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,664,851 | \$ 10,738 | \$ - | \$ 1,675,589 |

Year Ended December 31, 2012

| | Equipment Leasing | Equipment Management | Unallocated | Total |
|---|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Rental revenue | \$ 152,982 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 152,982 |
| Management fee revenue | - | 12,094 | - | 12,094 |
| Gain on sale of container portfolios | - | 1,256 | - | 1,256 |
| Finance lease income | 7,593 | - | - | 7,593 |
| Total revenue | 160,575 | 13,350 | - | 173,925 |
| Depreciation of rental equipment | 48,352 | - | - | 48,352 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 487 | 415 | - | 902 |
| Gain on disposition of used rental equipment | (12,445) | - | - | (12,445) |
| Storage, handling and other expenses | 9,402 | - | - | 9,402 |
| Marketing, general and administrative expenses | 18,320 | 6,338 | - | 24,658 |
| Loss on foreign exchange | 170 | - | - | 170 |
| Total operating expenses | 64,286 | 6,753 | - | 71,039 |
| Operating income | 96,289 | 6,597 | - | 102,886 |
| Interest expense | 28,796 | - | - | 28,796 |
| Interest income | - | - | (9) | (9) |
| Net interest expense | 28,796 | - | (9) | 28,787 |
| Net income before income taxes and non-controlling interest | \$ 67,493 | \$ 6,597 | \$ 9 | \$ 74,099 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,368,236 | \$ 19,705 | \$ - | \$ 1,387,941 |

Year Ended December 31, 2011

| | Equipment Leasing | Equipment Management | Unallocated | Total |
|--|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------|
| Rental revenue | \$ 106,694 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 106,694 |
| Management fee revenue | - | 12,957 | - | 12,957 |
| Gain on sale of container portfolios | - | 2,345 | - | 2,345 |
| Finance lease income | 3,710 | - | - | 3,710 |
| Total revenue | 110,404 | 15,302 | - | 125,706 |
| Depreciation of rental equipment | 33,633 | - | - | 33,633 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 625 | 629 | - | 1,254 |
| Gain on disposition of used rental equipment | (13,374) | - | - | (13,374) |
| Storage, handling and other expenses | 5,513 | - | - | 5,513 |
| Marketing, general and administrative expenses | 14,412 | 6,597 | - | 21,009 |
| Gain on foreign exchange | (354) | - | - | (354) |
| Total operating expenses | 40,455 | 7,226 | - | 47,681 |
| Operating income | 69,949 | 8,076 | - | 78,025 |
| Interest expense | 16,139 | - | - | 16,139 |

Edgar Filing: CAI International, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|---|------------|-----------|-------|------------|
| Interest income | - | - | (12) | (12) |
| Net interest expense | 16,139 | - | (12) | 16,127 |
| Net income before income taxes and non-controlling interest | \$ 53,810 | \$ 8,076 | \$ 12 | \$ 61,898 |
| Total assets | \$ 933,094 | \$ 20,274 | \$ - | \$ 953,368 |

Geographic Data

The Company's container lessees use containers for their global trade utilizing many worldwide trade routes. The Company earns its revenue from international carriers when the containers are in use and carrying cargo around the world. Most of the Company's leasing related revenue is denominated in U.S. dollars. Since all of the Company's containers are used internationally and typically no container is domiciled in one particular place for a prolonged period of time, all of the Company's long-lived container assets are considered to be international with no single country of use.

The Company's railcars, with a net book value of \$69.5 million as of December 31, 2013, are used primarily to transport cargo within North America.

(16)Revenue Concentration

Equipment Leasing Segment Concentration. Revenue from the Company's ten largest lessees represented 58.8%, 58.5% and 57.6% of the revenue from its equipment leasing segment for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Revenue from the Company's single largest lessee accounted for 11.6%, or \$23.8 million, 12.6 %, or \$20.3 million, and 11.3%, or \$12.5 million, of revenue from its equipment leasing segment for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and 11.2%, 11.7% and 9.9% of the Company's total revenue for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The largest lessees of the Company's owned fleet are often among the largest lessees of its managed fleet. The largest lessees of our managed fleet are responsible for a significant portion of the billings that generate our management fee revenue.

Equipment Management Segment Concentration. A substantial majority of the Company's equipment management segment revenue is derived from third-party investors associated with five different investment funds located in Switzerland, Germany and Japan. Third-party investors associated with the five investment funds represented 76.3%, 62.6% and 65.4% of the Company's total equipment management segment revenue for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Revenue from the two largest third-party investors represented 51.5%, or \$4.0 million, of revenue from the Company's equipment management segment or 1.9% of total revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013.

(17)Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing income attributable to CAI common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that would occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock; however, potential common equivalent shares are excluded if their effect is anti-dilutive.

The following table sets forth the reconciliation of basic and diluted net income per share for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands, except per share data):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Numerator | | | |
| Net income attributable to CAI common stockholders used in the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share | \$ 63,926 | \$ 63,465 | \$ 50,189 |
| Denominator | | | |
| Weighted-average shares used in the calculation of basic earnings per share | 22,157 | 19,495 | 19,295 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | |
| Stock options and restricted stock | 515 | 450 | 398 |
| Weighted-average shares used in the calculation of diluted earnings per share | 22,672 | 19,945 | 19,693 |
| Net income per share attributable to CAI common stockholders: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 2.89 | \$ 3.26 | \$ 2.60 |
| Diluted | \$ 2.82 | \$ 3.18 | \$ 2.55 |

The denominator used in the calculation of diluted income per share for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 excluded options for 271,300 shares, 363,000 shares and 232,500 shares, respectively, of common stock granted to employees and directors because their effect would have been antidilutive.

(18)Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following table sets forth key interim financial information for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands, except per share amount):

| | 2013 Quarters Ended | | | | 2012 Quarters Ended | | | |
|---|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Dec. 31 | Sept. 30 | June 30 | Mar. 31 | Dec. 31 | Sept. 30 | June 30 | Mar. 31 |
| Revenue | \$ 54,561 | \$ 53,898 | \$ 52,987 | \$ 50,959 | \$ 49,854 | \$ 44,939 | \$ 39,725 | \$ 39,407 |
| Operating expenses | 27,770 | 27,695 | 25,144 | 23,111 | 20,268 | 18,893 | 15,363 | 16,515 |
| Operating income | 26,791 | 26,203 | 27,843 | 27,848 | 29,586 | 26,046 | 24,362 | 22,892 |
| Net income available to CAI common stockholders | 15,591 | 15,337 | 16,931 | 16,067 | 17,411 | 16,528 | 15,135 | 14,391 |
| Basic and diluted earnings per share available to CAI common stockholders: | | | | | | | | |
| Basic: | \$ 0.70 | \$ 0.69 | \$ 0.76 | \$ 0.73 | \$ 0.87 | \$ 0.86 | \$ 0.78 | \$ 0.75 |
| Diluted: | \$ 0.69 | \$ 0.68 | \$ 0.75 | \$ 0.71 | \$ 0.85 | \$ 0.84 | \$ 0.77 | \$ 0.73 |

Schedule II

Valuation Accounts

(In thousands)

| | Balance at Beginning of Period | Net Additions (Reductions) to Expense | (Deductions)* | Balance at End of Period |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|---------------|-----------------------------------|
| December 31, 2011 | | | | |
| Accounts receivable, allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 2,182 | \$ (936) | \$ (427) | \$ 819 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | |
| Accounts receivable, allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 819 | \$ 29 | \$ (54) | \$ 794 |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | |
| Accounts receivable, allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 794 | \$ (227) | \$ (64) | \$ 503 |

*Primarily consists of write-offs, net of recoveries and other adjustments

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit

Exhibit

| No. | Description |
|-------|--|
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of CAI International, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of our Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended, File No. 333-140496, filed on April 24, 2007). |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of CAI International, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on March 10, 2009). |
| 4.1 | Form of Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of our Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended, File No. 333-140496, filed on April 24, 2007). |
| 4.2 | Indenture, dated October 18, 2012, between CAL Funding II Limited and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on October 23, 2012). |
| 4.3 | Series 2012-1 Supplement, dated October 18, 2012, to Indenture dated October 18, 2012, between CAL Funding II Limited and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on October 23, 2012). |
| 4.4 | Series 2013-1 Supplement, dated March 28, 2013, to Indenture dated October 18, 2012, between CAL Funding II Limited and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on April 3, 2013). |
| 4.5 | Note Purchase Agreement, dated March 21, 2013, among CAL Funding II Limited, Container Applications Limited, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and Santander Investment Securities Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on April 3, 2013). |
| 10.1 | Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement, dated February 16, 2007, among CAI International, Inc., Hiromitsu Ogawa, Ogawa Family Trust dated 7/06/98, Ogawa Family Limited Partnership and DBJ Value Up Fund (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of our Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended, File No. 333-140496, filed on March 21, 2007). |
| 10.2* | Form of Indemnification Agreement between CAI International, Inc. and each of its current executive officers and directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of our Registration Statement on Form |

Edgar Filing: CAI International, Inc. - Form 10-K

S-1, as amended, File No. 333-140496, filed on April 24, 2007).

- 10.3* 2007 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Appendix A of our Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, filed on April 27, 2012).
- 10.4‡‡ P&R Management Agreement, dated March 14, 2006, among Container Applications International, Inc., P&R Equipment & Finance Corporation and Interpool Containers Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of our Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended, File No. 333-140496, filed on March 27, 2007).
- 10.5 Third Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement, dated March 15, 2013, by and among CAI International, Inc., Container Applications Limited, the lending institutions listed on Schedule I thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Union Bank, N.A. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as syndication agents, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Union Bank, N.A. and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, as joint lead arrangers and book managers, and Bank of Montreal (Chicago Branch), JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Sovereign Bank, N.A., as co-agents (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on March 21, 2013).
- 10.6 Term Loan Agreement, dated December 20, 2010, among Container Applications Limited, CAI International, Inc., the lenders listed on Schedule 1 thereto and ING Bank N.V. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on December 23, 2010).
- 10.7 Guaranty, dated December 20, 2010, among Container Applications International (U.K.) Limited, Container Applications International, Ltd., Container Applications (Malaysia) SDN BDH, Sky Container Trading Limited, Sky Domestic Container Leasing Limited, CAI Consent Sweden AB, CAI International GmbH and CAI International, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on December 23, 2010).
-

- 10.8 Amendment to the Term Loan Agreement, dated March 11, 2011, among Container Applications Limited, CAI International, Inc., and the other Guarantors listed on the signature pages thereto, the Lenders listed on the signature pages thereto, and ING Bank N.V. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, filed on March 16, 2011).
- 10.9 Second Amendment to the Term Loan Agreement, dated April 12, 2012, among Container Applications Limited, CAI International, Inc., and the other Guarantors listed on the signature pages thereto, the Lenders listed on the signature pages thereto, and ING Bank N.V. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on April 16, 2012).
- 10.10 Third Amendment to the Term Loan Agreement, dated August 31, 2012, among Container Applications Limited, CAI International, Inc., and the other Guarantors listed on the signature pages thereto, the Lenders listed on the signature pages thereto, and ING Bank N.V. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on September 4, 2012).
- 10.11 Fourth Amendment to the Term Loan Agreement, dated March 28, 2013, among Container Applications Limited, CAI International, Inc., the other guarantors listed on the signature pages thereto, the lending institutions listed on the signature pages thereto, and ING Bank N.V. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on April 3, 2013).
- 10.12 Term Loan Agreement, dated April 11, 2012, among Container Applications Limited, CAI International, Inc., the Lenders listed on Schedule I thereto, SunTrust Bank and SunTrust Robinson Humphrey, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on April 16, 2012).
- 10.13 First Amendment to Term Loan Agreement, dated August 31, 2012, among Container Applications Limited, CAI International, Inc., the Lenders listed on Schedule I thereto, SunTrust Bank and SunTrust Robinson Humphrey, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on September 4, 2012).
- 10.14 Revolving Credit Agreement, dated June 7, 2012, among CAI Rail Inc., CAI International, Inc., the lending institutions from time to time listed on Schedule 1 thereto, Union Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, and U.S. Bank, National Association, as joint lead arranger and syndication agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on June 13, 2012).
- 10.15 First Amendment to Revolving Credit Agreement, dated August 31, 2012, among CAI Rail Inc., CAI International, Inc., the lending institutions from time to time listed on Schedule 1 thereto, Union Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, and U.S. Bank, National Association, as joint lead arranger and syndication agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.4 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on September 4, 2012).
- 10.16 Contribution and Sale Agreement, dated October 18, 2012, between Container Applications Limited and CAL Funding II Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on October 23, 2012).
- 10.17 Performance Guaranty, dated October 18, 2012, made by CAI International, Inc. for the benefit of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on October 23, 2012).

Edgar Filing: CAI International, Inc. - Form 10-K

- 10.18 Container Purchase Agreement, dated October 19, 2012, between Container Applications Limited and Dritte Schroeder Container Beteiligungsgesellschaft mbH & CO. KG (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.4 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on October 23, 2012).
- 10.19 Container Purchase Agreement, dated October 19, 2012, between Container Applications Limited and Schroeder Leasing GMBH & Co. KG (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.5 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on October 23, 2012).
-

- 10.20* Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated April 29, 2011, between CAI International, Inc. and Victor Garcia (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2011, filed on May 6, 2011).
- 10.21* Employment Agreement, dated August 20, 2013, between CAI International, Inc. and Timothy B. Page (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 23, 2013).
- 10.22* Service Agreement, dated August 20, 2013, between Container Applications International (UK) Limited and Daniel Hallahan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 23, 2013).
- 10.23* Chairman of the Board Compensation Agreement, dated June 5, 2009, between CAI International, Inc. and Hiromitsu Ogawa (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Amendment No. 1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2009, filed on September 21, 2009).
- 10.24* Continuing Services Agreement, dated April 29, 2011, between Masaaki Nishibori and CAI International, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2011, filed on May 6, 2011).
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of CAI International, Inc.
- 23.1 Consent of KPMG LLP
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Furnished Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Furnished Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 101 The following financial statements, formatted in XBRL: (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011; (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011; (iv) Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011; (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011; and (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

* Management contract or compensatory plan.

‡‡ Confidential treatment requested as to portions of this exhibit. Confidential information has been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 28, 2014 CAI International, Inc.

By: /s/ VICTOR M. GARCIA
Victor M. Garcia
President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant, in the capacities indicated, on the 28th day of February 2014.

| Signature | Title(s) |
|---|---|
| /s/ HIROMITSU OGAWA Hiromitsu Ogawa | Chairman of the Board of Directors |
| /s/ VICTOR M. GARCIA Victor M. Garcia | President and Chief Executive Officer, Director |
| /s/ TIMOTHY B. PAGE Timothy B. Page | Chief Financial Officer |
| /s/ MASA AKI (JOHN) NISHIBORI Masaaki (John) Nishibori | Director |
| /s/ GARY M. SAWKA Gary M. Sawka | Director |
| /s/ MARVIN DENNIS Marvin Dennis | Director |

/s/ WILLIAM W. LIEBECK
William W. Liebeck

Director

/s/ DAVID G. REMINGTON
David G. Remington

Director

