

Ally Financial Inc.
Form 10-Q
May 06, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011, or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission file number: 1-3754

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

38-0572512
*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*

200 Renaissance Center
P.O. Box 200, Detroit, Michigan
48265-2000

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(866) 710-4623

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for a shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a nonaccelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

At May 5, 2011, the number of shares outstanding of the Registrant's common stock was 1,330,970 shares.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

INDEX

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| Part I Financial Information | |
| Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income (unaudited)</u> <u>for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet (unaudited)</u> <u>at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity (unaudited)</u> <u>for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u> | 6 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows (unaudited)</u> <u>for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u> | 7 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | 9 |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 78 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 127 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 128 |
| Part II Other Information | |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 129 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 130 |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 132 |
| Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 132 |
| Item 4. <u>(Removed and Reserved)</u> | 132 |
| Item 5. <u>Other Information</u> | 132 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 132 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 133 |
| <u>Index of Exhibits</u> | 134 |

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME (unaudited)**

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| <i>(\$ in millions except per share data)</i> | | |
| Financing revenue and other interest income | | |
| Interest and fees on finance receivables and loans | \$ 1,623 | \$ 1,618 |
| Interest on loans held-for-sale | 108 | 215 |
| Interest on trading securities | 3 | 1 |
| Interest and dividends on available-for-sale investment securities | 104 | 99 |
| Interest-bearing cash | 12 | 14 |
| Operating leases | 680 | 1,163 |
| Total financing revenue and other interest income | 2,530 | 3,110 |
| Interest expense | | |
| Interest on deposits | 172 | 158 |
| Interest on short-term borrowings | 126 | 111 |
| Interest on long-term debt | 1,410 | 1,433 |
| Total interest expense | 1,708 | 1,702 |
| Depreciation expense on operating lease assets | 285 | 656 |
| Net financing revenue | 537 | 752 |
| Other revenue | | |
| Servicing fees | 371 | 385 |
| Servicing asset valuation and hedge activities, net | (87) | (133) |
| Total servicing income, net | 284 | 252 |
| Insurance premiums and service revenue earned | 433 | 468 |
| Gain on mortgage and automotive loans, net | 92 | 271 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | (39) | (118) |
| Other gain on investments, net | 84 | 143 |
| Other income, net of losses | 216 | 82 |
| Total other revenue | 1,070 | 1,098 |
| Total net revenue | 1,607 | 1,850 |
| Provision for loan losses | 113 | 144 |
| Noninterest expense | | |
| Compensation and benefits expense | 434 | 426 |
| Insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses | 186 | 211 |
| Other operating expenses | 772 | 882 |
| Total noninterest expense | 1,392 | 1,519 |
| Income from continuing operations before income tax (benefit) expense | 102 | 187 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense from continuing operations | (68) | 36 |
| Net income from continuing operations | 170 | 151 |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax | (24) | 11 |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------------|-----------------|
| Net income | \$ 146 | \$ 162 |
| Net loss attributable to common shareholders | \$ (25) | \$ (340) |
| Basic and diluted earnings per common share (a) | | |
| Net loss from continuing operations | \$ (1) | \$ (439) |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax | (18) | 13 |
| Net loss | \$ (19) | \$ (426) |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding | 1,330,970 | 799,120 |

(a) Due to the antidilutive effect of converting the Fixed Rate Cumulative Mandatorily Convertible Preferred Stock into common shares and the net loss attributable to common shareholders for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, income attributable to common shareholders and basic weighted-average common shares outstanding were used to calculate basic and diluted earnings per share.

The Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (unaudited)**

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$ 1,652 | \$ 1,714 |
| Interest-bearing | 11,294 | 9,956 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 12,946 | 11,670 |
| Trading securities | 75 | 240 |
| Investment securities | 15,401 | 14,846 |
| Loans held-for-sale, net (\$2,946 and \$6,424 fair value-elected) | 7,496 | 11,411 |
| Finance receivables and loans, net | | |
| Finance receivables and loans, net (\$971 and \$1,015 fair value-elected) | 107,459 | 102,413 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (1,806) | (1,873) |
| Total finance receivables and loans, net | 105,653 | 100,540 |
| Investment in operating leases, net | 8,898 | 9,128 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 3,774 | 3,738 |
| Premiums receivable and other insurance assets | 2,175 | 2,181 |
| Other assets | 16,763 | 17,564 |
| Assets of operations held-for-sale | 523 | 690 |
| Total assets | \$ 173,704 | \$ 172,008 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Deposit liabilities | | |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$ 2,064 | \$ 2,131 |
| Interest-bearing | 38,632 | 36,917 |
| Total deposit liabilities | 40,696 | 39,048 |
| Short-term borrowings | 7,395 | 7,508 |
| Long-term debt (\$922 and \$972 fair value-elected) | 88,139 | 86,612 |
| Interest payable | 1,850 | 1,829 |
| Unearned insurance premiums and service revenue | 2,842 | 2,854 |
| Reserves for insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses | 828 | 862 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities (\$14 and \$ fair value-elected) | 11,001 | 12,126 |
| Liabilities of operations held-for-sale | 546 | 680 |
| Total liabilities | 153,297 | 151,519 |
| Equity | | |
| Common stock and paid-in capital | 19,668 | 19,668 |
| Mandatorily convertible preferred stock held by U.S. Department of Treasury | 5,685 | 5,685 |
| Preferred stock | 1,255 | 1,287 |
| Accumulated deficit | (6,435) | (6,410) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 234 | 259 |
| Total equity | 20,407 | 20,489 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 173,704 | \$ 172,008 |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

The Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (unaudited)**

The assets of consolidated variable interest entities that can be used only to settle obligations of the consolidated variable interest entities and the liabilities of these entities for which creditors (or beneficial interest holders) do not have recourse to our general credit were as follows.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Loans held-for-sale, net | \$ 14 | \$ 21 |
| Finance receivables and loans, net | | |
| Finance receivables and loans, net (\$971 and \$1,015 fair value-elected) | 36,801 | 33,483 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (221) | (238) |
| Total finance receivables and loans, net | 36,580 | 33,245 |
| Investment in operating leases, net | 1,481 | 1,065 |
| Other assets | 3,352 | 3,194 |
| Assets of operations held-for-sale | | 85 |
| Total assets | \$ 41,427 | \$ 37,610 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Short-term borrowings | \$ 784 | \$ 964 |
| Long-term debt (\$922 and \$972 fair value-elected) | 26,362 | 24,466 |
| Interest payable | 18 | 15 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 408 | 352 |
| Liabilities of operations held-for-sale | | 45 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 27,572 | \$ 25,842 |

The Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY (unaudited)****Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010**

| (\$ in millions) | Common stock and paid-in capital | Mandatorily convertible preferred stock held by | Preferred stock | Accumulated deficit | Accumulated other comprehensive income | Total equity | Comprehensive income |
|--|--|---|--------------------|------------------------|---|------------------|-------------------------|
| | | U.S. Department of Treasury | | | | | |
| Balance at January 1, 2010, before cumulative effect of adjustments | \$ 13,829 | \$ 10,893 | \$ 1,287 | \$ (5,630) | \$ 460 | \$ 20,839 | |
| Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle, net of tax (a) | | | | (57) | 4 | (53) | |
| Balance at January 1, 2010, after cumulative effect of adjustments | \$ 13,829 | \$ 10,893 | \$ 1,287 | \$ (5,687) | \$ 464 | \$ 20,786 | |
| Net income | | | | 162 | | 162 | \$ 162 |
| Preferred stock dividends paid to the U.S. Department of Treasury | | | | (386) | | (386) | |
| Preferred stock dividends | | | | (116) | | (116) | |
| Dividends to shareholders | | | | (5) | | (5) | |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | | 33 | 33 | 33 |
| Other (b) | | | | 74 | | 74 | |
| Balance at March 31, 2010 | \$ 13,829 | \$ 10,893 | \$ 1,287 | \$ (5,958) | \$ 497 | \$ 20,548 | \$ 195 |
| Balance at January 1, 2011 | \$ 19,668 | \$ 5,685 | \$ 1,287 | \$ (6,410) | \$ 259 | \$ 20,489 | |
| Net income | | | | 146 | | 146 | \$ 146 |
| Preferred stock dividends paid to the U.S. Department of Treasury | | | | (134) | | (134) | |
| Preferred stock dividends | | | | (69) | | (69) | |
| Series A preferred stock amendment (c) | | | (32) | 32 | | | |
| Other comprehensive loss | | | | | (25) | (25) | (25) |
| Balance at March 31, 2011 | \$ 19,668 | \$ 5,685 | \$ 1,255 | \$ (6,435) | \$ 234 | \$ 20,407 | \$ 121 |

- (a) Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax, due to adoption of ASU 2009-17, *Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities*.
- (b) Represents a reduction of the estimated payment accrued for tax distributions as a result of the completion of the GMAC LLC U.S. Return of Partnership Income for the tax period January 1, 2009, through June 30, 2009.
- (c) Refer to Note 16 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details.
- The Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited)**

| Three months ended March 31, (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|----------|----------|
| Operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 146 | \$ 162 |
| Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 717 | 1,255 |
| Other impairment | 16 | (20) |
| Amortization and valuation adjustments of mortgage servicing rights | (117) | 196 |
| Provision for loan losses | 113 | 152 |
| Gain on sale of loans, net | (94) | (298) |
| Net gain on investment securities | (85) | (151) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 39 | 118 |
| Originations and purchases of loans held-for-sale | (12,635) | (13,715) |
| Proceeds from sales and repayments of loans held-for-sale | 15,835 | 19,314 |
| Net change in: | | |
| Trading securities | 77 | 53 |
| Deferred income taxes | 69 | (47) |
| Interest payable | 16 | 165 |
| Other assets | (120) | 1,550 |
| Other liabilities | (321) | (477) |
| Other, net | (614) | (884) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 3,042 | 7,373 |
| Investing activities | | |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | (5,529) | (4,735) |
| Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities | 4,475 | 2,664 |
| Proceeds from maturities of available-for-sale securities | 1,103 | 2,873 |
| Net (increase) in finance receivables and loans | (4,249) | (3,571) |
| Proceeds from sales of finance receivables and loans | | 1,187 |
| Purchases of operating lease assets | (1,933) | (845) |
| Disposals of operating lease assets | 1,882 | 2,278 |
| Proceeds from sale of business units, net (a) | 46 | (526) |
| Other, net | 591 | 606 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (3,614) | (69) |

Statement continues on the next page.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited)**

| Three months ended March 31, (\$ in millions) | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Financing activities | | |
| Net change in short-term debt | 87 | (2,629) |
| Net increase in bank deposits | 1,670 | 752 |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | 13,804 | 12,187 |
| Repayments of long-term debt | (13,211) | (18,761) |
| Dividends paid | (228) | (199) |
| Other, net | 83 | 294 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 2,205 | (8,356) |
| Effect of exchange-rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (266) | 378 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 1,367 | (674) |
| Adjustment for change in cash and cash equivalents of operations held-for-sale (a) (b) | (91) | 556 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 11,670 | 14,788 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at March 31, | \$ 12,946 | \$ 14,670 |
| Supplemental disclosures | | |
| Cash paid for | | |
| Interest | \$ 1,465 | \$ 1,217 |
| Income taxes | 305 | 167 |
| Noncash items | | |
| Increase in finance receivables and loans due to a change in accounting principle (c) | | 17,990 |
| Increase in long-term debt due to a change in accounting principle (c) | | 17,054 |
| Transfer of mortgage servicing rights into trading securities through certification | 266 | |
| Other disclosures | | |
| Proceeds from sales and repayments of mortgage loans held-for-investment originally designated as held-for-sale | 58 | 150 |

- (a) The amounts are net of cash and cash equivalents of \$7 million at March 31, 2011, and \$745 million at March 31, 2010, of business units at the time of disposition.
- (b) Cash flows of discontinued operations are reflected within operating, investing, and financing activities in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. The cash balance of these operations are reported as assets of operations held-for-sale on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.
- (c) Relates to the adoption of ASU 2009-17, *Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities*.
- The Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

1. Description of Business, Basis of Presentation, and Changes in Significant Accounting Policies

Ally Financial Inc. (formerly GMAC Inc. and referred to herein as Ally, we, our, or us) is a leading, independent, globally diversified, financial services firm. Founded in 1919, we are a leading automotive financial services company with over 90 years experience providing a broad array of financial products and services to automotive dealers and their customers. We are also one of the largest residential mortgage companies in the United States. We became a bank holding company on December 24, 2008, under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. Our banking subsidiary, Ally Bank, is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Ally Financial Inc. and a leading franchise in the growing direct (online and telephonic) banking market.

Our accounting and reporting policies conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Additionally, where applicable, the policies conform to the accounting and reporting guidelines prescribed by bank regulatory authorities. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and that affect income and expenses during the reporting period. In developing the estimates and assumptions, management uses all available evidence; however, actual results could differ because of uncertainties associated with estimating the amounts, timing, and likelihood of possible outcomes.

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements at March 31, 2011, and for the three months ended March 31, 2011, and 2010, are unaudited but reflect all adjustments that are, in management's opinion, necessary for the fair presentation of the results for the interim periods presented. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. These unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the audited Consolidated Financial Statements (and the related notes) included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, as filed on February 25, 2011, with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

Residential Capital, LLC

Residential Capital, LLC (ResCap), one of our mortgage subsidiaries, was negatively impacted by the events and conditions in the mortgage banking industry and the broader economy beginning in 2007. The market deterioration led to fewer sources of, and significantly reduced levels of, liquidity available to finance ResCap's operations. ResCap is highly leveraged relative to its cash flow and previously recognized credit and valuation losses resulting in a significant deterioration in capital. ResCap's consolidated tangible net worth, as defined, was \$884 million at March 31, 2011, and ResCap remained in compliance with all of its consolidated tangible net worth covenants. For this purpose, consolidated tangible net worth is defined as ResCap's consolidated equity excluding intangible assets. There continues to be a risk that ResCap may not be able to meet its debt service obligations, may default on its financial debt covenants due to insufficient capital, and/or may be in a negative liquidity position in future periods.

ResCap actively manages its liquidity and capital positions and is continually working on initiatives to address its debt covenant compliance and liquidity needs including debt maturing in the next twelve months and other risks and uncertainties. ResCap's initiatives could include, but are not limited to, the following: continuing to work with key credit providers to optimize all available liquidity options; possible further reductions in assets and other restructuring activities; focusing production on conforming and government-insured residential mortgage loans; and continued exploration of opportunities for funding and capital support from Ally and its affiliates. The outcomes of most of these initiatives are to a great extent outside of ResCap's control resulting in increased uncertainty as to their successful execution.

During 2009 and 2010, we performed a strategic review of our mortgage business. As a result of this, we effectively exited the European mortgage market through the sale of our U.K. and continental Europe operations. We also completed the sale of certain higher-risk legacy mortgage assets and settled representation and warranty claims with certain counterparties. The ongoing focus of our Mortgage Origination and Servicing operations will be predominately the origination and sale of conforming and government-insured residential mortgages and mortgage servicing. While the opportunities for further risk mitigation remain, the risk in our Mortgage Legacy Portfolio and Other operations has been materially reduced as compared to recent levels.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

In the future, Ally and ResCap may take additional actions with respect to ResCap as each party deems appropriate. These actions may include Ally providing or declining to provide additional liquidity and capital support for ResCap; refinancing or restructuring some or all of ResCap's existing debt; the purchase or sale of ResCap debt securities in the public or private markets for cash or other consideration; entering into derivative or other hedging or similar transactions with respect to ResCap or its debt securities; Ally purchasing assets from ResCap; or undertaking corporate transactions such as a tender offer or exchange offer for some or all of ResCap's outstanding debt securities, asset sales, or other business reorganization or similar action with respect to all or part of ResCap and/or its affiliates. In this context, Ally and ResCap typically consider a number of factors to the extent applicable and appropriate including, without limitation, the financial condition, results of operations, and prospects of Ally and ResCap; ResCap's ability to obtain third-party financing; tax considerations; the current and anticipated future trading price levels of ResCap's debt instruments; conditions in the mortgage banking industry and general economic conditions; other investment and business opportunities available to Ally and/or ResCap; and any nonpublic information that ResCap may possess or that Ally receives from ResCap.

ResCap remains heavily dependent on Ally and its affiliates for funding and capital support, and there can be no assurance that Ally or its affiliates will continue such actions or that Ally will choose to execute any further strategic transactions with respect to ResCap or that any transactions undertaken will be successful.

Although our continued actions through various funding and capital initiatives demonstrate support for ResCap, there are currently no commitments or assurances for future capital support. Consequently, there remains substantial doubt about ResCap's ability to continue as a going concern. Should we no longer continue to support the capital or liquidity needs of ResCap or should ResCap be unable to successfully execute other initiatives, it would have a material adverse effect on ResCap's business, results of operations, and financial position.

Ally has extensive financing and hedging arrangements with ResCap that could be at risk of nonpayment if ResCap were to file for bankruptcy. At March 31, 2011, we had \$1.9 billion in secured financing arrangements with ResCap of which \$1.3 billion in loans was utilized. At March 31, 2011, there was no net exposure under the hedging arrangement because the arrangements were fully collateralized. Amounts outstanding under the secured financing and hedging arrangements fluctuate. If ResCap were to file for bankruptcy, ResCap's repayments of its financing facilities, including those with us, could be slower. In addition, we could be an unsecured creditor of ResCap to the extent that the proceeds from the sale of our collateral are insufficient to repay ResCap's obligations to us. It is possible that other ResCap creditors would seek to recharacterize our loans to ResCap as equity contributions or to seek equitable subordination of our claims so that the claims of other creditors would have priority over our claims. In addition, should ResCap file for bankruptcy, our \$884 million investment related to ResCap's equity position would likely be reduced to zero. If a ResCap bankruptcy were to occur and a substantial amount of our credit exposure is not repaid to us, it would have an adverse impact on our near-term net income and capital position, but we do not believe it would have a materially adverse impact on Ally's consolidated financial position over the longer term.

Relationship and Transactions with General Motors Company (GM)

GM, GM dealers, and GM-related employees compose a significant portion of our customer base, and our Global Automotive Services operations are highly dependent on GM production and sales volume. As a result, a significant adverse change in GM's business, including significant adverse changes in GM's liquidity position and access to the capital markets, the production or sale of GM vehicles, the quality or resale value of GM vehicles, the use of GM marketing incentives, GM's relationships with its key suppliers, GM's relationship with the United Auto Workers and other labor unions, and other factors impacting GM or its employees could have a significant adverse effect on our profitability and financial condition.

As a result of the conversion of \$5.5 billion of Ally Mandatorily Convertible Preferred (MCP) stock held by the U.S. Department of Treasury (Treasury) into common stock on December 30, 2010, and consequent dilution of the equity interests held by GM and the GM Trust, GM and the GM Trust are no longer considered related parties for purposes of applicable disclosure within the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, as they collectively have less than 10% of the voting interests in Ally and do not control or have the ability to significantly influence the management and policies of Ally. In addition, as a result of the conversion, the Federal Reserve has determined that GM will no longer be considered an affiliate of Ally Bank for purposes of Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, which impose limitations on

transactions between banks and their affiliates.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Refer to Note 26 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a summary of related party transactions with GM during 2010.

Significant Accounting Policies

Earnings per Common Share

We compute earnings (loss) per common share by dividing net income (loss) (after deducting dividends on preferred stock) by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. We compute diluted earnings (loss) per common share by dividing net income (loss) (after deducting dividends on preferred stock) by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period plus the dilution resulting from the conversion of convertible preferred stock, if applicable.

Refer to Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K regarding additional significant accounting policies.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

Receivables Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses (ASU 2010-20)

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2010-20 required us to disclose a rollforward of the allowance for loan losses, additional activity-based disclosures for both financing receivables, and the allowance for each reporting period. We early adopted the rollforward requirement during the December 31, 2010, reporting period. As of January 19, 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued ASU 2011-01, *Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20*, which effectively defers the disclosure requirements in ASU 2010-20 related to troubled debt restructurings while they deliberate on other potential changes to the accounting for troubled debt restructurings. This deferral ended with the issuance of ASU 2011-02 in April 2011 as discussed in the section in this note titled Recently Issued Accounting Standards. Since the guidance relates only to disclosures, adoption did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Revenue Recognition Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables (ASU 2009-13)

As of January 1, 2011, we adopted ASU 2009-13, which amends Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 605, *Revenue Recognition*. The guidance significantly changed the accounting for revenue recognition in arrangements with multiple deliverables and eliminated the residual method, which allocated the discount of a multiple deliverable arrangement among the delivered items. The guidance requires entities to allocate the total consideration to all deliverables at inception using the relative selling price and to allocate any discount in the arrangement proportionally to each deliverable based on each deliverable's selling price. The adoption did not have a material impact to our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Intangibles Goodwill and Other (ASU 2010-28)

As of January 1, 2011, we adopted ASU 2010-28, which amends ASC Topic 350, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other*, to modify Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test. Additionally, when determining whether it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that an impairment may exist. The adoption did not have a material impact to our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

Financial Services Insurance Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts (ASU 2010-26)

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-26, which amends ASC 944, *Financial Services Insurance*. The amendments in this ASU specify which costs incurred in the acquisition of new and renewal insurance contracts should be capitalized. All other acquisition-related costs should be expensed as incurred. If the initial application of the amendments in

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

this ASU results in the capitalization of acquisition costs that had not been previously capitalized, an entity may elect not to capitalize those types of costs. The ASU will be effective for us on January 1, 2012. We do not expect the adoption to have a material impact to our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Receivables – A Creditor’s Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring (ASU 2011-02)

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-02, which amends ASC 310, *Receivables*. The amendments in this ASU clarify which loan modifications constitute a troubled debt restructuring. It is intended to assist creditors in determining whether a modification of the terms of a receivable meets the criteria to be considered a troubled debt restructuring, both for purposes of recording an impairment loss and for disclosure of troubled debt restructurings. The ASU will be effective for us on July 1, 2011, and must be applied retrospectively to modifications made subsequent to the beginning of the annual period of adoption, which is January 1, 2011, for us.

If, as a result of applying these amendments, we identify receivables that are newly considered impaired, we are required to apply the measurement portion of the amendments to these newly identified impairments at the end of the reporting period of adoption. We will also be required to disclose the total amount of receivables and the allowance for credit losses as of the end of the period of adoption related to those receivables that are newly considered impaired for which impairment was previously measured under ASC 450-20, *Contingencies – Loss Contingencies*.

Early adoption is permitted. We have not yet determined the impact upon adoption.

2. Discontinued and Held-for-sale Operations
Discontinued Operations

We classified certain operations as discontinued using generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America, as the associated operations and cash flows will be eliminated from our ongoing operations and we will not have any significant continuing involvement in their operations after the respective sale transactions. For all periods presented, all of the operating results for these operations were removed from continuing operations and are presented separately as discontinued operations, net of tax. The Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements were adjusted to exclude discontinued operations unless otherwise noted.

Select Insurance Operations

During 2009, we committed to sell the U.K. consumer property and casualty insurance business, which provides vehicle and home insurance through a number of distribution channels including independent agents, affinity groups, and the internet. In April 2011, we entered into a definitive sales agreement and expect to complete the sale during the second or third quarter of 2011.

Select International Automotive Finance Operations

We completed the sale of our Ecuador operations during the first quarter of 2011. We expect to complete the sale of our Venezuela operations during 2011.

Select Financial Information

The pretax income or loss recognized for the discontinued operations, including the direct costs to transact a sale, could differ from the ultimate sales price due to the fluidity of ongoing negotiations, price volatility, changing interest rates, changing foreign-currency rates, and future

economic conditions.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Selected financial information of discontinued operations is summarized below.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | Three months ended March 31, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Select Insurance operations | | |
| Total net revenue | \$ 56 | \$ 239 |
| Pretax income including direct costs to transact a sale (a) | 7 | |
| Tax expense | | 4 |
| Select International operations | | |
| Total net revenue | \$ 5 | \$ 41 |
| Pretax (loss) income including direct costs to transact a sale (a) | (31) | 4 |
| Tax expense | | 8 |
| Select Mortgage Legacy and Other operations | | |
| Total net revenue | \$ | \$ 28 |
| Pretax income including direct costs to transact a sale | | 13 |
| Tax expense | | |
| Select Commercial Finance operations | | |
| Total net revenue | \$ | \$ 8 |
| Pretax income including direct costs to transact a sale | | 10 |
| Tax expense | | 4 |

(a) Includes certain income tax activity recognized by Corporate and Other.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)****Held-for-sale Operations**

The assets and liabilities of operations held-for-sale are summarized below.

| March 31, 2011 (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | Select Insurance operations (a) | Select International operations (b) | Total held-for-sale operations |
|--|--|--|---|
| Assets | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | | |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$ 4 | \$ 58 | \$ 62 |
| Interest-bearing | 61 | 19 | 80 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 65 | 77 | 142 |
| Investment securities | 384 | | 384 |
| Finance receivables and loans, net | | | |
| Finance receivables and loans, net | | 19 | 19 |
| Allowance for loan losses | | (1) | (1) |
| Total finance receivables and loans, net | | 18 | 18 |
| Premiums receivable and other insurance assets | 190 | | 190 |
| Other assets | 142 | 2 | 144 |
| Impairment on assets of held-for-sale operations | (221) | (134)(c) | (355) |
| Total assets | \$ 560 | \$ (37) | \$ 523 |
| Liabilities | | | |
| Interest-bearing deposit liabilities | \$ | \$ 5 | \$ 5 |
| Unearned insurance premiums and service revenue | 125 | | 125 |
| Reserves for insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses | 382 | | 382 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 33 | 1 | 34 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 540 | \$ 6 | \$ 546 |
| December 31, 2010 | | | |
| Assets | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | | |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$ 5 | \$ 14 | \$ 19 |
| Interest-bearing | | 33 | 33 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 5 | 47 | 52 |
| Investment securities | 435 | | 435 |
| Finance receivables and loans, net | | | |
| Finance receivables and loans, net | | 242 | 242 |
| Allowance for loan losses | | (3) | (3) |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|--|--------|-----------|--------|
| Total finance receivables and loans, net | | 239 | 239 |
| Premiums receivable and other insurance assets | 169 | | 169 |
| Other assets | 138 | 16 | 154 |
| Impairment on assets of held-for-sale operations | (224) | (135) (c) | (359) |
| Total assets | \$ 523 | \$ 167 | \$ 690 |

Liabilities

| | | | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|
| Interest-bearing deposit liabilities | \$ | \$ 6 | \$ 6 |
| Short-term borrowings | | 47 | 47 |
| Long-term debt | | 115 | 115 |
| Interest payable | | 2 | 2 |
| Unearned insurance premiums and service revenue | 115 | | 115 |
| Reserves for insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses | 362 | | 362 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 33 | | 33 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 510 | \$ 170 | \$ 680 |

(a) Includes the U.K. consumer property and casualty insurance business.

(b) The balances at March 31, 2011, include the International Automotive Finance operation of Venezuela. The balances at December 31, 2010, include the International Automotive Finance operations of Ecuador and Venezuela.

(c) Includes \$94 million of unfavorable accumulated translation adjustments at both March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

3. Other Income, Net of Losses

Details of other income, net of losses, were as follows.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | Three months ended March 31, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Mortgage processing fees and other mortgage income | \$ 44 | \$ 54 |
| Remarketing fees | 37 | 31 |
| Late charges and other administrative fees | 33 | 37 |
| Income from equity-method investments | 22 | 12 |
| Full-service leasing fees | 15 | 28 |
| Real estate services, net | | 6 |
| Fair value adjustment on derivatives (a) | (14) | (55) |
| Change due to fair value option elections (b) | (17) | (73) |
| Other, net | 96 | 42 |
| Total other income, net of losses | \$ 216 | \$ 82 |

(a) Refer to Note 19 for a description of derivative instruments and hedging activities.

(b) Refer to Note 21 for a description of fair value option elections.

4. Other Operating Expenses

Details of other operating expenses were as follows.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | Three months ended March 31, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Insurance commissions | \$ 125 | \$ 146 |
| Technology and communications | 120 | 139 |
| Professional services | 68 | 57 |
| Advertising and marketing | 54 | 24 |
| Lease and loan administration | 44 | 31 |
| Regulatory and licensing fees | 37 | 31 |
| Vehicle remarketing and repossession | 36 | 55 |
| State and local non-income taxes | 31 | 24 |
| Mortgage representation and warranty, net | 26 | 49 |
| Premises and equipment depreciation | 26 | 18 |
| Occupancy | 23 | 26 |
| Full-service leasing vehicle maintenance costs | 11 | 29 |
| Restructuring | (3) | 43 |
| Other | 174 | 210 |
| Total other operating expenses | \$ 772 | \$ 882 |

5. Trading Securities

The fair value for our portfolio of trading securities was as follows.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 77 | \$ 77 |
| Mortgage-backed residential | 75 | 69 |
| Asset-backed | | 94 |
| Total trading securities | \$ 75 | \$ 240 |

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)****6. Investment Securities**

Our portfolio of securities includes bonds, equity securities, asset- and mortgage-backed securities, notes, interests in securitization trusts, and other investments. The cost, fair value, and gross unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities were as follows.

| (\$ in millions) | March 31, 2011 | | | | December 31, 2010 | | | |
|---|----------------|------------------------|----------|------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------|------------|
| | Cost | Gross unrealized gains | losses | Fair value | Cost | Gross unrealized gains | losses | Fair value |
| Available-for-sale securities | | | | | | | | |
| Debt securities | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and federal agencies | \$ 2,902 | \$ 8 | \$ (20) | \$ 2,890 | \$ 3,307 | \$ 22 | \$ (11) | \$ 3,318 |
| States and political subdivisions | 3 | | | 3 | 3 | | (1) | 2 |
| Foreign government | 1,309 | 14 | (6) | 1,317 | 1,231 | 19 | (2) | 1,248 |
| Mortgage-backed residential (a) | 5,920 | 49 | (108) | 5,861 | 5,844 | 60 | (79) | 5,825 |
| Asset-backed | 2,262 | 38 | (3) | 2,297 | 1,934 | 15 | (1) | 1,948 |
| Corporate debt | 1,376 | 13 | (10) | 1,379 | 1,537 | 34 | (13) | 1,558 |
| Other | 489 | | | 489 | 152 | | (1) | 151 |
| Total debt securities (b) | 14,261 | 122 | (147) | 14,236 | 14,008 | 150 | (108) | 14,050 |
| Equity securities | 1,134 | 69 | (38) | 1,165 | 766 | 60 | (30) | 796 |
| Total available-for-sale securities (c) | \$ 15,395 | \$ 191 | \$ (185) | \$ 15,401 | \$ 14,774 | \$ 210 | \$ (138) | \$ 14,846 |

- (a) Residential mortgage-backed securities include agency-backed bonds totaling \$4,208 million and \$4,503 million at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively.
- (b) In connection with certain borrowings and letters of credit relating to certain assumed reinsurance contracts, \$57 million and \$153 million of primarily U.K. Treasury securities were pledged as collateral at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively.
- (c) Certain entities related to our Insurance operations are required to deposit securities with state regulatory authorities. These deposited securities totaled \$15 million and \$12 million at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively.

The maturity distribution of available-for-sale debt securities outstanding is summarized in the following tables. Prepayments may cause actual maturities to differ from scheduled maturities.

| March 31, 2011 (\$ in millions) | Total | | Due in one year or less | | Due after one year through five years | | Due after five years through ten years | | Due after ten years (a) | |
|--|----------|-------|-------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|-------|--|-------|-------------------------|-------|
| | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield |
| Fair value of available-for-sale debt securities (b) | | | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and federal agencies | \$ 2,890 | 1.3% | \$ 97 | 0.6% | \$ 2,693 | 1.2% | \$ 100 | 3.7% | \$ | % |
| States and political subdivisions | 3 | 8.7 | | | | | | | 3 | 8.7 |
| Foreign government | 1,317 | 3.4 | 20 | 3.2 | 1,103 | 3.3 | 193 | 3.7 | 1 | 4.1 |
| Mortgage-backed residential | 5,861 | 3.3 | | | 3 | 6.3 | 55 | 4.5 | 5,803 | 3.3 |
| Asset-backed | 2,297 | 2.7 | 87 | 2.6 | 1,149 | 2.2 | 443 | 2.5 | 618 | 3.8 |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|
| Corporate debt | 1,379 | 4.3 | 22 | 5.4 | 557 | 3.6 | 653 | 5.0 | 147 | 4.0 |
| Other | 489 | 1.4 | 489 | 1.4 | | | | | | |
| Total available-for-sale debt securities | \$ 14,236 | 2.9 | \$ 715 | 1.6 | \$ 5,505 | 2.1 | \$ 1,444 | 3.9 | \$ 6,572 | 3.4 |
| Amortized cost of available-for-sale debt securities | \$ 14,261 | | \$ 714 | | \$ 5,498 | | \$ 1,438 | | \$ 6,611 | |

- (a) Investments with no stated maturities are included as contractual maturities of greater than 10 years. Actual maturities may differ due to call or prepayment options.
- (b) Yields on tax-exempt obligations are computed on a tax-equivalent basis.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

| December 31, 2010 (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | Total | | Due in one year or less | | Due after one year through five years | | Due after five years through ten years | | Due after ten years (a) | |
|--|------------------|------------|-------------------------------|------------|--|------------|---|------------|----------------------------|------------|
| | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield |
| Fair value of available-for-sale debt securities (b) | | | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and federal agencies | \$ 3,318 | 1.4% | \$ 124 | 1.2% | \$ 3,094 | 1.3% | \$ 100 | 3.7% | \$ | % |
| States and political subdivisions | 2 | 8.7 | | | | | | | 2 | 8.7 |
| Foreign government | 1,248 | 3.1 | 7 | 2.2 | 1,092 | 3.1 | 149 | 3.5 | | |
| Mortgage-backed residential | 5,825 | 3.8 | | | 57 | 3.2 | 64 | 4.4 | 5,704 | 3.8 |
| Asset-backed | 1,948 | 2.5 | | | 1,146 | 2.2 | 500 | 2.4 | 302 | 4.0 |
| Corporate debt | 1,558 | 3.9 | 22 | 5.7 | 811 | 3.5 | 593 | 4.3 | 132 | 4.0 |
| Other | 151 | 1.5 | 151 | 1.5 | | | | | | |
| Total available-for-sale debt securities | \$ 14,050 | 3.0 | \$ 304 | 1.7 | \$ 6,200 | 2.1 | \$ 1,406 | 3.5 | \$ 6,140 | 3.8 |
| Amortized cost of available-for-sale debt securities | \$ 14,008 | | \$ 305 | | \$ 6,152 | | \$ 1,388 | | \$ 6,163 | |

(a) Investments with no stated maturities are included as contractual maturities of greater than 10 years. Actual maturities may differ due to call or prepayment options.

(b) Yields on tax-exempt obligations are computed on a tax-equivalent basis.

The balances of cash equivalents were \$5.4 billion and \$5.3 billion at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively, and were composed primarily of money market accounts and short-term securities, including U.S. Treasury bills.

The following table presents gross gains and losses realized upon the sales of available-for-sale securities. During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, we did not recognize other-than-temporary impairment on available-for-sale securities.

| (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | Three months ended March 31, | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Gross realized gains | \$ 94 | \$ 151 |
| Gross realized losses | (10) | (8) |
| Net realized gains | \$ 84 | \$ 143 |

The following table presents interest and dividends on available-for-sale securities.

| (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | Three months ended March 31, | |
|--|------------------------------|-------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Taxable interest | \$ 99 | \$ 90 |
| Taxable dividends | 5 | 3 |
| Interest and dividends exempt from U.S. federal income tax | | 6 |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|---------------|-------|
| Total interest and dividends on available-for-sale securities | \$ 104 | \$ 99 |
|---|---------------|-------|

The table below summarizes available-for-sale securities in an unrealized loss position in accumulated other comprehensive income. Based on the methodology described below that was applied to these securities, we believe that the unrealized losses relate to factors other than credit losses in the current market environment. As of March 31, 2011, we did not have the intent to sell the debt securities with an unrealized loss position in accumulated other comprehensive income, and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis. As of March 31, 2011, we had the ability and intent to hold equity securities with an unrealized loss position in accumulated other comprehensive income. As a result, we believe that the securities with an unrealized loss position in accumulated other

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

comprehensive income are not considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired at March 31, 2011. Refer to Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information related to investment securities and our methodology for evaluating potential other-than-temporary impairments.

| (\$ in millions) | March 31, 2011 | | | | December 31, 2010 | | | |
|--|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or longer | | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or longer | |
| | Fair value | Unrealized loss | Fair value | Unrealized loss | Fair value | Unrealized loss | Fair value | Unrealized loss |
| Available-for-sale securities | | | | | | | | |
| Debt securities | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and federal agencies | \$ 1,647 | \$ (20) | \$ | \$ | \$ 702 | \$ (11) | \$ | \$ |
| States and political subdivisions | 2 | | | | 2 | (1) | | |
| Foreign government | 729 | (6) | | | 323 | (2) | | |
| Mortgage-backed residential | 3,623 | (107) | 11 | (1) | 3,159 | (77) | 11 | (2) |
| Asset-backed | 336 | (3) | 1 | | 238 | (1) | 2 | |
| Corporate debt | 646 | (10) | 6 | | 653 | (13) | 5 | |
| Other | 83 | | | | 80 | (1) | | |
| Total temporarily impaired debt securities | 7,066 | (146) | 18 | (1) | 5,157 | (106) | 18 | (2) |
| Temporarily impaired equity securities | 411 | (34) | 44 | (4) | 250 | (27) | 26 | (3) |
| Total temporarily impaired available-for-sale securities | \$ 7,477 | \$ (180) | \$ 62 | \$ (5) | \$ 5,407 | \$ (133) | \$ 44 | \$ (5) |

7. Loans Held-for-sale, Net

The composition of loans held-for-sale, net, was as follows.

| (\$ in millions) | March 31, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------|---------|-----------|
| | Domestic | Foreign | Total | Domestic | Foreign | Total |
| Consumer mortgage | | | | | | |
| 1st Mortgage | \$ 6,605 | \$ 74 | \$ 6,679 | \$ 10,191 | \$ 364 | \$ 10,555 |
| Home equity | 811 | | 811 | 856 | | 856 |
| Total consumer mortgage (a) | 7,416 | 74 | 7,490 | 11,047 | 364 | 11,411 |
| Commercial | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | | | | | |
| Other | 6 | | 6 | | | |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

Total commercial 6 6

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------|----------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| Total loans held-for-sale (b) | \$ 7,422 | \$ 74 | \$ 7,496 | \$ 11,047 | \$ 364 | \$ 11,411 |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------|----------|-----------|--------|-----------|

- (a) Fair value option-elected domestic consumer mortgages were \$2.9 billion and \$6.4 billion at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively. Refer to Note 21 for additional information.
- (b) Totals are net of unamortized premiums and discounts and deferred fees and costs of \$243 million and \$161 million at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

The following table summarizes held-for-sale mortgage loans reported at carrying value by higher-risk loan type.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|----------------|-------------------|
| High original loan-to-value (greater than 100%) mortgage loans | \$ 323 | \$ 331 |
| Payment-option adjustable-rate mortgage loans | 16 | 16 |
| Interest-only mortgage loans | 430 | 481 |
| Below-market rate (teaser) mortgages | 134 | 151 |
| Total (a) | \$ 903 | \$ 979 |

(a) The majority of these loans are held by our Mortgage Legacy Portfolio and Other operations at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010.

8. Finance Receivables and Loans, Net

The composition of finance receivables and loans, net, reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses was as follows.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | Domestic | Foreign | Total | Domestic | Foreign | Total |
| Consumer automobile | \$ 39,903 | \$ 16,965 | \$ 56,868 | \$ 34,604 | \$ 16,650 | \$ 51,254 |
| Consumer mortgage | | | | | | |
| 1st Mortgage | 6,893 | 328 | 7,221 | 6,917 | 390 | 7,307 |
| Home equity | 3,347 | | 3,347 | 3,441 | | 3,441 |
| Total consumer mortgage | 10,240 | 328 | 10,568 | 10,358 | 390 | 10,748 |
| Commercial | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | | | | | |
| Automobile | 24,716 | 9,222 | 33,938 | 24,944 | 8,398 | 33,342 |
| Mortgage | 820 | 40 | 860 | 1,540 | 41 | 1,581 |
| Other | 1,596 | 295 | 1,891 | 1,795 | 312 | 2,107 |
| Commercial real estate | | | | | | |
| Automobile | 2,090 | 220 | 2,310 | 2,071 | 216 | 2,287 |
| Mortgage | | 53 | 53 | 1 | 78 | 79 |
| Total commercial | 29,222 | 9,830 | 39,052 | 30,351 | 9,045 | 39,396 |
| Loans at fair value (a) | 645 | 326 | 971 | 663 | 352 | 1,015 |
| Total finance receivables and loans (b) | \$ 80,010 | \$ 27,449 | \$ 107,459 | \$ 75,976 | \$ 26,437 | \$ 102,413 |

(a) Includes domestic consumer mortgages at fair value as a result of fair value option election. Refer to Note 21 for additional information.

(b) Totals are net of unearned income, unamortized premiums and discounts, and deferred fees and costs of \$2.9 billion at both March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

The following tables present an analysis of the activity in the allowance for loan losses on finance receivables and loans.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | Consumer | Consumer | Commercial | Total |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | automobile | mortgage | | |
| Allowance at January 1, 2011 | \$ 970 | \$ 580 | \$ 323 | \$ 1,873 |
| Charge-offs | | | | |
| Domestic | (139) | (60) | (6) | (205) |
| Foreign | (42) | | (31) | (73) |
| Total charge-offs | (181) | (60) | (37) | (278) |
| Recoveries | | | | |
| Domestic | 50 | 3 | 6 | 59 |
| Foreign | 19 | | 11 | 30 |
| Total recoveries | 69 | 3 | 17 | 89 |
| Net charge-offs | (112) | (57) | (20) | (189) |
| Provision for loan losses | 53 | 40 | 20 | 113 |
| Other | 5 | | 4 | 9 |
| Allowance at March 31, 2011 | \$ 916 | \$ 563 | \$ 327 | \$ 1,806 |
| Allowance for loan losses | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 900 | \$ 98 | \$ 103 | \$ 201 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 16 | 465 | 224 | 1,589 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | 16 | | | 16 |
| Finance receivables and loans at historical cost | | | | |
| Ending balance | 56,868 | 10,568 | 39,052 | 106,488 |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | | 529 | 1,164 | 1,693 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 56,724 | 10,039 | 37,888 | 104,651 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | 144 | | | 144 |

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | Consumer | Consumer | Commercial | Total |
|--|------------|----------|------------|----------|
| | automobile | mortgage | | |
| Allowance at January 1, 2010 | \$ 1,024 | \$ 640 | \$ 781 | \$ 2,445 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principles (a) | 222 | | | 222 |
| Charge-offs | | | | |
| Domestic | (289) | (32) | (61) | (382) |
| Foreign | (56) | (2) | (4) | (62) |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Total charge-offs | (345) | (34) | (65) | (444) |
| Recoveries | | | | |
| Domestic | 105 | 4 | 4 | 113 |
| Foreign | 15 | | | 15 |
| Total recoveries | 120 | 4 | 4 | 128 |
| Net charge-offs | (225) | (30) | (61) | (316) |
| Provision for loan losses | 108 | 18 | 18 | 144 |
| Discontinued operations | 2 | (1) | | 1 |
| Other | (11) | 7 | (12) | (16) |
| Allowance at March 31, 2010 | \$ 1,120 | \$ 634 | \$ 726 | \$ 2,480 |
| Allowance for loan losses | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | \$ 94 | \$ 434 | \$ 528 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 1,090 | 540 | 292 | 1,922 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | 30 | | | 30 |
| Finance receivables and loans at historical cost | | | | |
| Ending balance | 38,114 | 11,242 | 37,112 | 86,468 |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | | 336 | 1,852 | 2,188 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 37,865 | 10,906 | 35,260 | 84,031 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | 249 | | | 249 |

(a) Effect of change in accounting principle due to adoption of ASU 2009-17.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

Loans are considered impaired when we determine it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the terms of the loan agreement.

The following table presents information about our impaired finance receivables and loans.

| | Unpaid principal balance | Carrying value before allowance | Impaired with no allowance | Impaired with an allowance | Allowance for impaired loans |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | | | | | |
| March 31, 2011 | | | | | |
| Consumer mortgage | | | | | |
| 1st Mortgage | \$ 446 | \$ 440 | \$ | \$ 440 | \$ 55 |
| Home equity | 88 | 89 | | 89 | 42 |
| Total consumer mortgage | 534 | 529 | | 529 | 97 |
| Commercial | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | | | | |
| Automobile | 333 | 333 | 35 | 298 | 16 |
| Mortgage | 43 | 43 | 3 | 40 | 15 |
| Other | 122 | 119 | 21 | 98 | 39 |
| Commercial real estate | | | | | |
| Automobile | 150 | 150 | 78 | 72 | 31 |
| Mortgage | 49 | 49 | 13 | 36 | 2 |
| Total commercial | 697 | 694 | 150 | 544 | 103 |
| Total consumer and commercial | \$ 1,231 | \$ 1,223 | \$ 150 | \$ 1,073 | \$ 200 |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
| Consumer mortgage | | | | | |
| 1st Mortgage | \$ 410 | \$ 404 | \$ | \$ 404 | \$ 59 |
| Home equity | 82 | 83 | | 83 | 40 |
| Total consumer mortgage | 492 | 487 | | 487 | 99 |
| Commercial | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | | | | |
| Automobile | 340 | 356 | 33 | 323 | 23 |
| Mortgage | 44 | 40 | | 40 | 14 |
| Other | 135 | 133 | 20 | 113 | 51 |
| Commercial real estate | | | | | |
| Automobile | 206 | 197 | 108 | 89 | 29 |
| Mortgage | 71 | 71 | 28 | 43 | 10 |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|----------|--------|----------|--------|
| Total commercial | 796 | 797 | 189 | 608 | 127 |
| Total consumer and commercial | \$ 1,288 | \$ 1,284 | \$ 189 | \$ 1,095 | \$ 226 |

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

The following table presents average balance and interest income for our impaired finance receivables and loans.

| | 2011 | | 2010 | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | Average balance | Interest income | Average balance | Interest income |
| Three months ended March 31, (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | | | | |
| Consumer mortgage | | | | |
| 1st Mortgage | \$ 423 | \$ 4 | \$ 247 | \$ 2 |
| Home equity | 85 | 1 | 43 | 1 |
| Total consumer mortgage | 508 | 5 | 290 | 3 |
| Commercial | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | | | |
| Automobile | 336 | | 414 | |
| Mortgage | 42 | 5 | | |
| Other | 128 | 1 | 961 | |
| Commercial real estate | | | | |
| Automobile | 178 | | 284 | |
| Mortgage | 63 | 1 | 256 | 1 |
| Total commercial | 747 | 7 | 1,915 | 1 |
| Total consumer and commercial | \$ 1,255 | \$ 12 | \$ 2,205 | \$ 4 |

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

At March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, commercial commitments to lend additional funds to debtors owing receivables whose terms had been modified in a troubled debt restructuring were \$11 million and \$15 million, respectively.

The following table presents an analysis of our past due finance receivables and loans.

| | 90 days | | | Total | | Total |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| | 30-59 days | 60-89 days | or more | Total | Current | finance receivables |
| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | past due | past due | past due | past due | and loans | |
| March 31, 2011 | | | | | | |
| Consumer automobile | \$ 688 | \$ 129 | \$ 176 | \$ 993 | \$ 55,875 | \$ 56,868 |
| Consumer mortgage | | | | | | |
| 1st Mortgage | 110 | 57 | 185 | 352 | 6,869 | 7,221 |
| Home equity | 20 | 9 | 11 | 40 | 3,307 | 3,347 |
| Total consumer mortgage | 130 | 66 | 196 | 392 | 10,176 | 10,568 |
| Commercial | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | | | | | |
| Automobile | | 3 | 57 | 60 | 33,878 | 33,938 |
| Mortgage | 3 | | 40 | 43 | 817 | 860 |
| Other | | | 6 | 6 | 1,885 | 1,891 |
| Commercial real estate | | | | | | |
| Automobile | 2 | | 64 | 66 | 2,244 | 2,310 |
| Mortgage | | | 49 | 49 | 4 | 53 |
| Total commercial | 5 | 3 | 216 | 224 | 38,828 | 39,052 |
| Total consumer and commercial | \$ 823 | \$ 198 | \$ 588 | \$ 1,609 | \$ 104,879 | \$ 106,488 |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | | |
| Consumer automobile | \$ 828 | \$ 175 | \$ 197 | \$ 1,200 | \$ 50,054 | \$ 51,254 |
| Consumer mortgage | | | | | | |
| 1st Mortgage | 115 | 67 | 205 | 387 | 6,920 | 7,307 |
| Home equity | 20 | 12 | 13 | 45 | 3,396 | 3,441 |
| Total consumer mortgage | 135 | 79 | 218 | 432 | 10,316 | 10,748 |
| Commercial | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | | | | | |
| Automobile | 21 | 19 | 85 | 125 | 33,217 | 33,342 |
| Mortgage | | 36 | 4 | 40 | 1,541 | 1,581 |
| Other | | | 20 | 20 | 2,087 | 2,107 |
| Commercial real estate | | | | | | |
| Automobile | | 4 | 78 | 82 | 2,205 | 2,287 |
| Mortgage | | | 71 | 71 | 8 | 79 |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|-----------|------------|
| Total commercial | 21 | 59 | 258 | 338 | 39,058 | 39,396 |
| Total consumer and commercial | \$ 984 | \$ 313 | \$ 673 | \$ 1,970 | \$ 99,428 | \$ 101,398 |

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

The following table presents the carrying amount of our finance receivables and loans on nonaccrual status.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Consumer automobile | \$ 187 | \$ 207 |
| Consumer mortgage | | |
| 1st Mortgage | 367 | 500 |
| Home equity | 45 | 61 |
| Total consumer mortgage | 412 | 561 |
| Commercial | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | |
| Automobile | 284 | 296 |
| Mortgage | 43 | 40 |
| Other | 119 | 134 |
| Commercial real estate | | |
| Automobile | 150 | 199 |
| Mortgage | 49 | 71 |
| Total commercial | 645 | 740 |
| Total consumer and commercial | \$ 1,244 | \$ 1,508 |

Management performs a quarterly analysis of the consumer automobile, consumer mortgage, and commercial portfolios using a range of credit quality indicators to assess the adequacy of the allowance based on historical and current trends. The tables below present select credit quality indicators that are used in the determination of allowance for our consumer automobile, consumer mortgage, and commercial portfolios.

The following table presents performing and nonperforming credit quality indicators in accordance with our internal accounting policies for our consumer finance receivables and loans.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------|
| | Performing | Nonperforming | Total | Performing | Nonperforming | Total |
| Consumer automobile | \$ 56,681 | \$ 187 | \$ 56,868 | \$ 51,047 | \$ 207 | \$ 51,254 |
| Consumer mortgage | | | | | | |
| 1st Mortgage | 6,854 | 367 | 7,221 | 6,807 | 500 | 7,307 |
| Home equity | 3,302 | 45 | 3,347 | 3,380 | 61 | 3,441 |
| Total consumer mortgage | \$ 10,156 | \$ 412 | \$ 10,568 | \$ 10,187 | \$ 561 | \$ 10,748 |

The following table presents pass and criticized credit quality indicators based on regulatory definitions for our commercial finance receivables and loans.

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| (\$ in millions) | March 31, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | Pass | Criticized (a) | Total | Pass | Criticized (a) | Total |
| Commercial | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | | | | | |
| Automobile | \$ 31,602 | \$ 2,336 | \$ 33,938 | \$ 31,254 | \$ 2,088 | \$ 33,342 |
| Mortgage | 804 | 56 | 860 | 1,504 | 77 | 1,581 |
| Other | 959 | 932 | 1,891 | 1,041 | 1,066 | 2,107 |
| Commercial real estate | | | | | | |
| Automobile | 2,021 | 289 | 2,310 | 2,013 | 274 | 2,287 |
| Mortgage | 1 | 52 | 53 | | 79 | 79 |
| Total commercial | \$ 35,387 | \$ 3,665 | \$ 39,052 | \$ 35,812 | \$ 3,584 | \$ 39,396 |

(a) Includes loans classified as special mention, substandard, or doubtful. These classifications are based on regulatory definitions and generally represent loans within our portfolio that are of higher default risk.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

9. Investment in Operating Leases, Net

Investments in operating leases were as follows.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Vehicles and other equipment | \$ 12,355 | \$ 13,571 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (3,457) | (4,443) |
| Investment in operating leases, net | \$ 8,898 | \$ 9,128 |

Depreciation expense on operating lease assets includes remarketing gains and losses recognized on the sale of operating lease assets. The following summarizes the components of depreciation expense on operating lease assets.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | Three months ended March 31, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Depreciation expense on operating lease assets (excluding remarketing gains) | \$ 403 | \$ 840 |
| Gross remarketing gains | (118) | (184) |
| Depreciation expense on operating lease assets | \$ 285 | \$ 656 |

10. Securitizations and Variable Interest Entities**Overview**

We are involved in several types of securitization and financing transactions that utilize special-purpose entities (SPEs). An SPE is an entity that is designed to fulfill a specified limited need of the sponsor. Our principal use of SPEs is to obtain liquidity and favorable capital treatment by securitizing certain of our financial assets.

The SPEs involved in securitization and other financing transactions are generally considered VIEs. VIEs are entities that have either a total equity investment that is insufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support or whose equity investors lack the ability to control the entity's activities.

Securitizations

We provide a wide range of consumer and commercial automobile loans, operating leases, and mortgage loan products to a diverse customer base. We often securitize these loans and leases (which we collectively describe as loans or financial assets) through the use of securitization entities, which may or may not be consolidated on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. We securitize consumer and commercial automobile loans through private-label securitizations. We securitize consumer mortgage loans through either the GSEs or nonagency mortgages securitization. During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, our consumer mortgage loans were primarily securitized through the GSEs.

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

In executing a securitization transaction, we typically sell pools of financial assets to a wholly owned, bankruptcy-remote SPE, which then transfers the financial assets to a separate, transaction-specific securitization entity for cash, servicing rights, and in some transactions, other retained interests. The securitization entity is funded through the issuance of beneficial interests in the securitized financial assets. The beneficial interests take the form of either notes or trust certificates, which are sold to investors and/or retained by us. These beneficial interests are collateralized by the transferred loans and entitle the investors to specified cash flows generated from the securitized loans. In the aggregate, these beneficial interests have the same average life as the transferred financial assets. In addition to providing a source of liquidity and cost-efficient funding, securitizing these financial assets also reduces our credit exposure to the borrowers beyond any economic interest we may retain. We securitize conforming residential mortgage loans through GSE securitizations and nonconforming mortgage loans through nonagency securitizations.

Each securitization is governed by various legal documents that limit and specify the activities of the securitization entity. The securitization entity is generally allowed to acquire the loans, to issue beneficial interests to investors to fund the

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

acquisition of the loans, and to enter into derivatives or other yield maintenance contracts (e.g., bond insurance) to hedge or mitigate certain risks related to the financial assets or beneficial interests of the entity. Additionally, the securitization entity is required to service the assets it holds and the beneficial interests it issues. A servicer, who is generally us, is appointed pursuant to the underlying legal documents to perform these functions. Servicing functions include, but are not limited to, making certain payments of property taxes and insurance premiums, default and property maintenance payments, as well as advancing principal and interest payments before collecting them from individual borrowers. Our servicing responsibilities, which constitute continued involvement in the transferred financial assets, consist of primary servicing (i.e., servicing the underlying transferred financial assets) and/or master servicing (i.e., servicing the beneficial interests that result from the securitization transactions). Certain securitization entities also require the servicer to advance scheduled principal and interest payments due on the beneficial interests issued by the entity regardless of whether cash payments are received on the underlying transferred financial assets. Accordingly, we are required to provide these servicing advances when applicable. Refer to Note 11 for additional information regarding our servicing rights.

The GSEs provide a guarantee of the payment of principal and interest on the beneficial interests issued in securitizations. In private-label securitizations, cash flows from the assets initially transferred into the securitization entity represent the sole source for payment of distributions on the beneficial interests issued by the securitization entity and for payments to the parties that perform services for the securitization entity, such as the servicer or the trustee. In certain nonagency securitization transactions, a liquidity facility may exist to provide temporary liquidity to the entity. The liquidity provider generally is reimbursed prior to other parties in subsequent distribution periods. Monoline insurance may also exist to cover certain shortfalls to certain investors in the beneficial interests issued by the securitization entity. As noted above, in certain nonagency securitizations, the servicer is required to advance scheduled principal and interest payments due on the beneficial interests regardless of whether cash payments are received on the underlying transferred financial assets. The servicer is allowed to reimburse itself for these servicing advances. Additionally, certain nonagency securitization transactions may allow for the acquisition of additional loans subsequent to the initial loan transfer. Principal collections on other loans and/or the issuance of new beneficial interests, such as variable funding notes, generally fund these loans; we are often contractually required to invest in these new interests.

We may retain beneficial interests in our nonagency securitizations, which may represent a form of significant continuing economic interest. These retained interests include, but are not limited to, senior or subordinate mortgage- or asset-backed securities, interest-only strips, principal-only strips, and residuals. Certain of these retained interests provide credit enhancement to the trust as they may absorb credit losses or other cash shortfalls. Additionally, the securitization agreements may require cash flows to be directed away from certain of our retained interests due to specific over-collateralization requirements, which may or may not be performance-driven.

We generally hold certain conditional repurchase options that allow us to repurchase assets from the securitization entity. The majority of the securitizations provide us, as servicer, with a call option that allows us to repurchase the remaining transferred financial assets or outstanding beneficial interests at our discretion once the asset pool reaches a predefined level, which represents the point where servicing becomes burdensome (a clean-up call option). The repurchase price is typically the par amount of the loans plus accrued interest. Additionally, we may hold other conditional repurchase options that allow us to repurchase a transferred financial asset if certain events outside our control are met. The typical conditional repurchase option is a delinquent loan repurchase option that gives us the option to purchase the loan or contract if it exceeds a certain prespecified delinquency level. We have complete discretion regarding when or if we will exercise these options, but generally, we would do so only when it is in our best interest.

Other than our customary representation and warranty provisions, these securitizations are nonrecourse to us, thereby transferring the risk of future credit losses to the extent the beneficial interests in the securitization entities are held by third parties. Our obligation to provide support is limited to the customary representation and warranty provisions. Representation and warranty provisions generally require us to repurchase loans or indemnify the investor for incurred losses to the extent it is determined that the loans were ineligible or were otherwise defective at the time of sale. Refer to Note 24 for detail on representation and warranty provisions. We did not provide any noncontractual financial support to any of these entities during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Other Variable Interest Entities

Servicer Advance Funding Entity

To assist in the financing of our servicer advance receivables, we formed an SPE that issues term notes to third-party investors that are collateralized by servicer advance receivables. These servicer advance receivables are transferred to the SPE and consist of delinquent principal and interest advances we made as servicer to various investors; property taxes and insurance premiums advanced to taxing authorities and insurance companies on behalf of borrowers; and amounts advanced for mortgages in foreclosure. The SPE funds the purchase of the receivables through financing obtained from the third-party investors and subordinated loans or an equity contribution from our mortgage activities. This SPE is consolidated on our balance sheet at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010. The beneficial interest holder of this SPE does not have legal recourse to our general credit. We do not have a contractual obligation to provide any type of financial support in the future, nor have we provided noncontractual financial support to the entity during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

Other

In 2010, we sold a portfolio of resort finance-backed receivables to a third party that financed the acquisition through an SPE. We provided seller financing for the purchase of these assets and also hold a contingent value right in the SPE, which were both recorded at fair value. We do not consolidate the SPE because we have no control over the activities of the SPE.

We have involvements with various other on-balance sheet, immaterial SPEs. Most of these SPEs are used for additional liquidity whereby we sell certain financial assets into the VIE and issue beneficial interests to third parties for cash.

We also provide long-term guarantee contracts to certain nonconsolidated affordable housing entities. Since we do not have control over the entities or the power to make decisions, we do not consolidate the entities and our involvement is limited to the guarantee.

Involvement with Variable Interest Entities

The determination of whether financial assets transferred by us to these VIEs (and related liabilities) are consolidated on our balance sheet (also referred to as on-balance sheet) or not consolidated on our balance sheet (also referred to as off-balance sheet) depends on the terms of the related transaction and our continuing involvement (if any) with the SPE. Subsequent to the adoption of ASU 2009-17 on January 1, 2010, we are deemed the primary beneficiary and therefore consolidate VIEs for which we have both (a) the power, through voting rights or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance, and (b) a variable interest (or variable interests) that (i) obligates us to absorb losses that could potentially be significant to the VIE and/or (ii) provides us the right to receive residual returns of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. We determine whether we hold a significant variable interest in a VIE based on a consideration of both qualitative and quantitative factors regarding the nature, size, and form of our involvement with the VIE. We assess whether we are the primary beneficiary of a VIE on an ongoing basis.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

Our involvement with consolidated and nonconsolidated VIEs in which we hold variable interests is presented below.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | Consolidated involvement with VIEs | Assets of nonconsolidated VIEs (a) | Maximum exposure to loss in nonconsolidated VIEs |
|--|---|---|---|
| March 31, 2011 | | | |
| On-balance sheet variable interest entities | | | |
| Consumer automobile | \$ 21,257 | \$ | \$ |
| Consumer mortgage nonagency | 1,308 | | |
| Commercial automobile | 17,886 | | |
| Other | 976 | | |
| Off-balance sheet variable interest entities | | | |
| Consumer mortgage Ginnie Mae | 2,889 (b) | 42,007 | 42,007 (c) |
| Consumer mortgage CMHC | 103 (b) | 4,751 | 103 (d) |
| Consumer mortgage nonagency | 186 (b) | 5,232 | 5,232 (c) |
| Consumer mortgage other | | (e) | (e) |
| Commercial other | 440 (f) | (g) | 649 |
| Total | \$ 45,045 | \$ 51,990 | \$ 47,991 |
| December 31, 2010 | | | |
| On-balance sheet variable interest entities | | | |
| Consumer automobile | \$ 20,064 | \$ | \$ |
| Consumer mortgage nonagency | 1,397 | | |
| Commercial automobile | 15,114 | | |
| Other | 1,035 | | |
| Off-balance sheet variable interest entities | | | |
| Consumer mortgage Ginnie Mae | 2,909 (b) | 43,595 | 43,595 (c) |
| Consumer mortgage CMHC | 124 (b) | 4,222 | 124 (d) |
| Consumer mortgage nonagency | 183 (b) | 5,371 | 5,371 (c) |
| Commercial other | 483 (f) | (g) | 698 |
| Total | \$ 41,309 | \$ 53,188 | \$ 49,788 |

- (a) Asset values represent the current unpaid principal balance of outstanding consumer finance receivables and loans within the VIEs.
- (b) Includes \$2.4 billion and \$2.5 billion classified as mortgage loans held-for-sale, \$138 million and \$162 million classified as trading securities or other assets, and \$621 million and \$569 million classified as MSRs at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively. CMHC is the Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation.
- (c) Maximum exposure to loss represents the current unpaid principal balance of outstanding loans based on our customary representation and warranty provisions. This measure is based on the unlikely event that all of the loans have underwriting defects or other defects that trigger a representation and warranty provision and the collateral supporting the loans are worthless. This required disclosure is not an indication of our expected loss.
- (d) Due to combination of the credit loss insurance on the mortgages and the guarantee by CMHC on the issued securities, the maximum exposure to loss would be limited to the amount of the retained interests. Additionally, the maximum loss would occur only in the event that CMHC dismisses ResMor as servicer of the loans due to servicer performance or insolvency.
- (e) Includes a VIE for which we have no management oversight and therefore we are not able to provide the total assets of the VIE. However, in March 2011 we sold excess servicing rights valued at \$266 million to the VIE. Our maximum exposure to loss in this VIE is a component of servicer advances made that are

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

allocated to the trust. No servicer advances have been made to the trust at March 31, 2011, and the amount of maximum exposure does not consider advances that may be made in future periods, as they cannot be reliably predicted.

- (f) Includes \$472 million and \$515 million classified as finance receivables and loans, net, and \$20 million and \$20 million classified as other assets, offset by \$52 million and \$52 million classified as accrued expenses and other liabilities at March 31, 2010, and December 31, 2010, respectively.
- (g) Includes VIEs for which we have no management oversight and therefore we are not able to provide the total assets of the VIEs. However, in 2010 we sold loans with an unpaid principal balance of \$1.5 billion into these VIEs.

On-balance Sheet Variable Interest Entities

We engage in securitization and other financing transactions that do not qualify for off-balance sheet treatment. In these situations, we hold beneficial interests or other interests in the VIE, which represent a form of significant continuing economic

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

interest. The interests held include, but are not limited to, senior or subordinate mortgage- or asset-backed securities, interest-only strips, principal-only strips, residuals, and servicing rights. Certain of these retained interests provide credit enhancement to the securitization entity as they may absorb credit losses or other cash shortfalls. Additionally, the securitization documents may require cash flows to be directed away from certain of our retained interests due to specific over-collateralization requirements, which may or may not be performance-driven. Because these securitization entities are consolidated, these retained interests and servicing rights are not recognized as separate assets on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Subsequent to adoption of ASU 2009-17 as of January 1, 2010, we consolidated certain of these entities because we had a controlling financial interest in the VIE, primarily due to our servicing activities, and because we hold a significant variable interest in the VIE. Under ASC 810, as amended by ASU 2009-17, we are generally the primary beneficiary of automobile securitization entities, as well as certain mortgage nonagency securitization entities for which we perform servicing activities and have retained a significant variable interest in the form of a beneficial interest. In cases where we did not meet sale accounting under previous guidance, unless we have made modifications to the overall transaction, we do not meet sale accounting under current guidance as we are not permitted to revisit sale accounting guidelines under the current guidance. In cases where substantive modifications are made, we then reassess the transaction under the amended guidance, based on the new circumstances.

The consolidated VIEs included in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet represent separate entities with which we are involved. The third-party investors in the obligations of consolidated VIEs have legal recourse only to the assets of the VIEs and do not have such recourse to us, except for the customary representation and warranty provisions or when we are the counterparty to certain derivative transactions involving the VIE. In addition, the cash flows from the assets are restricted only to pay such liabilities. Thus, our economic exposure to loss from outstanding third-party financing related to consolidated VIEs is significantly less than the carrying value of the consolidated VIE assets. All assets are restricted for the benefit of the beneficial interest holders. Refer to Note 21 for discussion of the assets and liabilities for which the fair value option has been elected.

Off-balance Sheet Variable Interest Entities

The nature, purpose, and activities of nonconsolidated securitization entities are similar to those of our consolidated securitization entities with the primary difference being the nature and extent of our continuing involvement. The cash flows from the assets of nonconsolidated securitization entities generally are the sole source of payment on the securitization entities' liabilities. The creditors of these securitization entities have no recourse to us with the exception of market customary representation and warranty provisions as described in Note 24.

Subsequent to the adoption of ASU 2009-17 as of January 1, 2010, nonconsolidated VIEs include entities for which we either do not hold significant variable interests or do not provide servicing or asset management functions for the financial assets held by the securitization entity. Additionally, to qualify for off-balance sheet treatment, transfers of financial assets must meet the sale accounting conditions in ASC 860. Our residential mortgage loan securitizations consist of GSEs and nonagency securitizations. Under ASU 2009-17, we are not the primary beneficiary of any GSE loan securitization transaction because we do not have the power to direct the significant activities of such entities. Additionally, under ASU 2009-17, we do not consolidate certain nonagency mortgage securitizations because we do not have a variable interest that could potentially be significant or we do not have power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the performance of the VIE.

For nonconsolidated securitization entities, the transferred financial assets are removed from our balance sheet provided the conditions for sale accounting are met. The financial assets obtained from the securitization are primarily reported as cash, servicing rights, or retained interests (if applicable). Typically, we conclude that the fee we are paid for servicing consumer automobile finance receivables represents adequate compensation, and consequently, we do not recognize a servicing asset or liability. As an accounting policy election, we elected fair value treatment for our existing MSR portfolio. Liabilities incurred as part of these securitization transactions, such as representation and warranty provisions, are recorded at fair value at the time of sale and are reported as accrued expenses and other liabilities on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. Upon the sale of the loans, we recognize a gain or loss on sale for the difference between the assets recognized, the assets derecognized, and the liabilities recognized as part of the transaction.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

The following summarizes all pretax gains and losses recognized on financial assets sold into nonconsolidated securitization and similar asset-backed financing entities.

| Three months ended March 31, (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|--------------|-------|
| Consumer mortgage GSEs | \$(3) | \$182 |
| Consumer mortgage nonagency | (1) | 3 |
| Total pretax (loss) gain | \$(4) | \$185 |

The following table summarizes cash flows received from and paid related to securitization entities, asset-backed financings, or other similar transfers of financial assets where the transfer is accounted for as a sale and we have a continuing involvement with the transferred assets (e.g., servicing) that were outstanding during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. Additionally, this table contains information regarding cash flows received from and paid to nonconsolidated securitization entities that existed during each period.

| Three months ended March 31, (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | Consumer mortgage | Consumer mortgage |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| | GSEs | nonagency |
| 2011 | | |
| Cash proceeds from transfers completed during the period | \$ 15,153 | \$ 595 |
| Cash flows received on retained interests in securitization entities | | 20 |
| Servicing fees | 220 | 43 |
| Purchases of previously transferred financial assets | (554) | (7) |
| Representations and warranties obligations | (44) | |
| Other cash flows | 70 | 62 |
| 2010 | | |
| Cash proceeds from transfers completed during the period | \$ 14,497 | \$ 200 |
| Cash flows received on retained interests in securitization entities | | 17 |
| Servicing fees | 192 | 51 |
| Purchases of previously transferred financial assets | (407) | (8) |
| Representations and warranties obligations | (148) | (1) |
| Other cash flows | 11 | (2) |

For consumer mortgage nonagency transactions, the following table summarizes the key economic assumptions and the sensitivity of the fair value of retained interests to immediate 10% and 20% adverse changes in those assumptions.

| (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | March 31, 2011 (a) | December 31, 2010 (a) |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Carrying value / fair value of retained interests (b) | \$138 | \$162 |
| Weighted average life (in years) | 1.9 7.8 | 0.1 11.6 |
| Annual prepayment rate | 2.2 64.8% WAM | 2.4 48.1% WAM |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Impact of 10% adverse change | \$ | \$ (2) |
| Impact of 20% adverse change | | (3) |
| Loss assumption (c) | 0.0 46.2% | 0.0 46.4% |
| Impact of 10% adverse change | \$ | \$ |
| Impact of 20% adverse change | | |
| Discount rate | 4.0 80.0% | 0.3 80.0% |
| Impact of 10% adverse change | \$(3) | \$(2) |
| Impact of 20% adverse change | (5) | (4) |
| Market interest rate | 0.3 3.2% | 0.3 4.1% |
| Impact of 10% adverse change | \$ | \$ |
| Impact of 20% adverse change | | (1) |

- (a) There were no retained interests in consumer or commercial automobile off-balance sheet securitizations at March 31, 2011, or December 31, 2010.
- (b) These amounts are recorded in trading securities or other assets at fair value. Refer to Note 21 for fair value valuation methods.
- (c) The range of loss assumptions includes the constant prepayment rate related to balloon resets.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

These sensitivities are hypothetical and should be viewed with caution. Changes in fair value based on a 10% and 20% variation in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, in this table, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the retained interest is calculated without changing any other assumption. In reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another (e.g., increased market interest rates may result in lower prepayments and increased credit losses), which may magnify or counteract the sensitivities. Further, these sensitivities show only the change in the asset balances and do not show any expected change in the fair value of the instruments used to manage the interest rate and prepayment risks associated with these assets. Refer to Note 11 for further detail on sensitivities related to our mortgage servicing rights. The following table represents on-balance sheet loans held-for-sale and finance receivable and loans, off-balance sheet securitizations, and whole-loan sales where we have continuing involvement. The table presents quantitative information about delinquencies and net credit losses. Refer to Note 11 for further detail on total serviced assets.

| | Total finance receivables and loans | | Amount 60 days or more past due | | Net credit losses Three months ended | |
|--|--|-------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|---|-----------------|
| | March 31, | December 31, | March 31, | December 31, | March 31, | March 31, |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | | | | | | |
| On-balance sheet loans | | | | | | |
| Consumer automobile | \$ 56,868 | \$ 51,254 | \$ 305 | \$ 373 | \$ 112 | \$ 239 |
| Consumer mortgage (a) | 19,029 | 23,174 | 3,305 | 3,437 | 94 | 68 |
| Commercial automobile | 36,248 | 35,629 | 124 | 186 | 3 | 17 |
| Commercial mortgage | 913 | 1,660 | 89 | 110 | 16 | 41 |
| Commercial other | 1,897 | 2,107 | 6 | 20 | 1 | 3 |
| Total on-balance sheet loans | 114,955 | 113,824 | 3,829 | 4,126 | 226 | 368 |
| Off-balance sheet securitization entities | | | | | | |
| Consumer mortgage GSEs (b) | 256,210 | 253,192 | 11,524 | 13,990 | n/m | n/m |
| Consumer mortgage nonagency | 73,434 | 73,638 | 11,976 | 12,220 | 1,289 | 1,380 |
| Total off-balance sheet securitization entities | 329,644 | 326,830 | 23,500 | 26,210 | 1,289 | 1,380 |
| Whole-loan transactions (c) | 36,337 | 38,212 | 2,538 | 2,950 | 215 | 349 |
| Total | \$ 480,936 | \$ 478,866 | \$ 29,867 | \$ 33,286 | \$ 1,730 | \$ 2,097 |

n/m = not meaningful

(a) Includes loans subject to conditional repurchase options of \$2.3 billion and \$2.3 billion guaranteed by the GSEs, and \$136 million and \$146 million sold to certain nonagency mortgage securitization entities at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively.

(b) Anticipated credit losses are not meaningful due to the GSE guarantees.

(c) Whole-loan transactions are not part of a securitization transaction, but represent consumer automobile and consumer mortgage pools of loans sold to nonagency investors.

Changes in Accounting for Variable Interest Entities

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, there were no material changes in the accounting for variable interest entities except the initial adoption of ASU 2009-17 on January 1, 2010. Refer to Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K regarding this initial adoption.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

11. Servicing Activities
Mortgage Servicing Rights

The following table summarizes activity related to MSRs, which are carried at fair value.

| | | |
|---|-----------------|----------|
| Three months ended March 31, (\$ in millions) | 2011 | 2010 |
| Estimated fair value at January 1, | \$ 3,738 | \$ 3,554 |
| Additions recognized on sale of mortgage loans | 184 | 202 |
| Additions from purchases of servicing rights | 2 | 1 |
| Subtractions from disposition of servicing assets | (266) | |
| Changes in fair value | | |
| Due to changes in valuation inputs or assumptions used in the valuation model | 297 | 49 |
| Other changes in fair value | (181) | (244) |
| Decrease due to change in accounting principle | | (19) |
| Estimated fair value at March 31, | \$ 3,774 | \$ 3,543 |

Changes in fair value due to changes in valuation inputs or assumptions used in the valuation model include all changes due to a revaluation by a model or by a benchmarking exercise. Other changes in fair value primarily include the accretion of the present value of the discount related to forecasted cash flows and the economic runoff of the portfolio. The decrease due to change in accounting principle reflects the effect of the initial adoption of ASU 2009-17.

The key economic assumptions and sensitivity of the fair value of MSRs to immediate 10% and 20% adverse changes in those assumptions were as follows.

| | | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|
| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| Weighted average life (in years) | 7.2 | 7.0 |
| Weighted average prepayment speed | 9.4% | 9.8% |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | \$ (149) | \$ (155) |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (287) | (295) |
| Weighted average discount rate | 10.7% | 12.3% |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | \$ (57) | \$ (80) |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (112) | (156) |

These sensitivities are hypothetical and should be considered with caution. Changes in fair value based on a 10% and 20% variation in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumptions to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value is calculated without changing any other assumption. In reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another (e.g., increased market interest rates may result in lower prepayments and increased credit losses) that could magnify or counteract the sensitivities. Further, these sensitivities show only the change in the asset balances and do not show any expected change in the fair value of the instruments used to manage the interest rates and prepayment risks associated with these assets.

Risk Mitigation Activities

The primary risk of our servicing rights is interest rate risk and the resulting impact on prepayments. A significant decline in interest rates could lead to higher-than-expected prepayments that could reduce the value of the MSRs. We economically hedge the impact of these risks with both derivative and nonderivative financial instruments. Refer to Note 19 for additional information regarding the derivative financial instruments used to economically hedge MSRs.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

The components of servicing valuation and hedge activities, net, were as follows.

| Three months ended March 31, (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Change in estimated fair value of mortgage servicing rights | \$ 117 | \$ (196) |
| Change in fair value of derivative financial instruments | (204) | 63 |
| Servicing valuation and hedge activities, net | \$ (87) | \$ (133) |

Mortgage Servicing Fees

The components of mortgage servicing fees were as follows.

| Three months ended March 31, (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Contractual servicing fees, net of guarantee fees and including subservicing | \$ 270 | \$ 257 |
| Late fees | 21 | 20 |
| Ancillary fees | 34 | 47 |
| Total mortgage servicing fees | \$ 325 | \$ 324 |

Mortgage Servicing Advances

In connection with our primary servicing activities (i.e., servicing of mortgage loans), we make certain payments of property taxes and insurance premiums, default and property maintenance payments, as well as advances of principal and interest payments before collecting them from individual borrowers. Servicing advances including contractual interest are priority cash flows in the event of a loan principal reduction or foreclosure and ultimate liquidation of the real estate-owned property, thus making their collection reasonably assured. These servicing advances are included in other assets on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet and totaled \$1.8 billion and \$1.9 billion at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively. We maintain an allowance for uncollected primary servicing advances of \$20 million and \$25 million at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively. Our potential obligation is influenced by the loan s performance and credit quality.

When we act as a subservicer of mortgage loans we perform the responsibilities of a primary servicer but do not own the corresponding primary servicing rights. We receive a fee from the primary servicer for such services. As the subservicer, we would have the same responsibilities of a primary servicer in that we would make certain payments of property taxes and insurance premiums, default and property maintenance, as well as advances of principal and interest payments before collecting them from individual borrowers. At March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, outstanding servicer advances related to subserviced loans were \$135 million and \$140 million, respectively, and we had a reserve for uncollected subservicer advances of \$2 million and \$1 million, respectively.

In many cases, where we act as master servicer, we also act as primary servicer. In connection with our master-servicing activities, we service the mortgage-backed and mortgage-related asset-backed securities and whole-loan packages sold to investors. As the master servicer, we collect mortgage loan payments from primary servicers and distribute those funds to investors in the mortgage-backed and mortgage-related asset-backed securities and whole-loan packages. As the master servicer, we are required to advance scheduled payments to the securitization trust or whole-loan investors. To the extent the primary servicer does not advance the payments, we are responsible for advancing the payment to the trust or whole-loan investors. Master-servicing advances, including contractual interest, are priority cash flows in the event of a default,

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

thus making their collection reasonably assured. In most cases, we are required to advance these payments to the point of liquidation of the loan or reimbursement of the trust or whole-loan investors. We had outstanding master-servicing advances of \$78 million and \$90 million at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively. We had no reserve for uncollected master-servicing advances at March 31, 2011, or December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Serviced Mortgage Assets

The unpaid principal balance of our serviced mortgage assets was as follows.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| On-balance sheet mortgage loans | | |
| Held-for-sale and investment | \$ 18,586 | \$ 20,224 |
| Off-balance sheet mortgage loans | | |
| Loans sold to third-party investors | | |
| Nonagency | 61,450 | 63,685 |
| GSEs | 258,351 | 255,388 |
| Whole-loan | 17,547 | 17,524 |
| Purchased servicing rights | 3,748 | 3,946 |
| Total primary serviced mortgage loans | 359,682 | 360,767 |
| Subserviced mortgage loans | 23,386 | 24,173 |
| Master-servicing-only mortgage loans | 10,044 | 10,548 |
| Total serviced mortgage loans | \$ 393,112 | \$ 395,488 |

Our Mortgage operations that conduct primary and master-servicing activities are required to maintain certain servicer ratings in accordance with master agreements entered into with GSEs. At March 31, 2011, our Mortgage operations were in compliance with the servicer-rating requirements of the master agreements.

In certain domestic securitizations of our Mortgage operations, the surety or other provider of contractual credit support is entitled to declare a servicer default and terminate the servicer upon the failure of the loans to meet certain portfolio delinquency and/or cumulative-loss thresholds. Our Mortgage operations did not receive notice of termination from surety providers during the three months ended March 31, 2011 or 2010.

Automobile Servicing Activities

We service consumer automobile contracts. Historically, we have sold a portion of our consumer automobile contracts. With respect to contracts we sell, we retain the right to service and earn a servicing fee for our servicing function. Typically, we conclude that the fee we are paid for servicing consumer automobile finance receivables represents adequate compensation, and consequently, we do not recognize a servicing asset or liability. We recognized automobile servicing fees of \$46 million and \$61 million during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Automobile Serviced Assets

The total serviced automobile loans outstanding were as follows.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| On-balance sheet automobile loans | | |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|----------------|----|---------|
| Consumer automobile | \$ | 56,868 | \$ | 51,254 |
| Commercial automobile | | 36,248 | | 35,629 |
| Operating leases | | 8,898 | | 9,128 |
| Operations held-for-sale | | 19 | | 242 |
| Off-balance sheet automobile loans | | | | |
| Loans sold to third-party investors | | | | |
| Whole-loan | | 15,852 | | 18,126 |
| Other | | 1,095 | | 979 |
| | | | | |
| Total serviced automobile loans | \$ | 118,980 | \$ | 115,358 |

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

12. Other Assets

The components of other assets were as follows.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Property and equipment at cost | \$ 1,330 | \$ 1,315 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (953) | (939) |
| Net property and equipment | 377 | 376 |
| Fair value of derivative contracts in receivable position | 3,380 | 3,966 |
| Servicer advances | 2,067 | 2,137 |
| Restricted cash collections for securitization trusts (a) | 1,470 | 1,705 |
| Collateral placed with counterparties | 1,230 | 1,569 |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents | 1,200 | 1,323 |
| Cash reserve deposits held for securitization trusts (b) | 1,168 | 1,168 |
| Other accounts receivable | 867 | 641 |
| Debt issuance costs | 750 | 704 |
| Prepaid expenses and deposits | 734 | 638 |
| Interests retained in financial asset sales | 569 | 568 |
| Goodwill | 526 | 525 |
| Nonmarketable equity securities | 479 | 504 |
| Investment in used vehicles held-for-sale | 429 | 386 |
| Real estate and other investments | 316 | 280 |
| Accrued interest and rent receivable | 222 | 238 |
| Reposessed and foreclosed assets | 185 | 211 |
| Other assets | 794 | 625 |
| Total other assets | \$ 16,763 | \$ 17,564 |

- (a) Represents cash collection from customer payments on securitized receivables. These funds are distributed to investors as payments on the related secured debt.
- (b) Represents credit enhancement in the form of cash reserves for various securitization transactions.

13. Deposit Liabilities

Deposit liabilities consisted of the following.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Domestic deposits | | |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | \$ 2,064 | \$ 2,108 |
| NOW and money market checking accounts | 8,254 | 8,081 |
| Certificates of deposit | 25,051 | 23,728 |
| Dealer deposits | 1,591 | 1,459 |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| Total domestic deposit liabilities | 36,960 | 35,376 |
| Foreign deposits | | |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | | 23 |
| NOW and money market checking accounts | 1,092 | 961 |
| Certificates of deposit | 2,325 | 2,390 |
| Dealer deposits | 319 | 298 |
| Total foreign deposit liabilities | 3,736 | 3,672 |
| Total deposit liabilities | \$ 40,696 | \$ 39,048 |

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

Noninterest-bearing deposits primarily represent third-party escrows associated with our mortgage loan-servicing portfolio. The escrow deposits are not subject to an executed agreement and can be withdrawn without penalty at any time. At March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, certificates of deposit included \$7.9 billion and \$7.0 billion, respectively, of domestic certificates of deposit in denominations of \$100 thousand or more.

14. Short-term Borrowings

The following table presents the composition of our short-term borrowings portfolio.

| (\$ in millions) | March 31, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Unsecured | Secured | Total | Unsecured | Secured | Total |
| Demand notes | \$ 2,345 | \$ | \$ 2,345 | \$ 2,033 | \$ | \$ 2,033 |
| Bank loans and overdrafts | 2,055 | | 2,055 | 1,970 | | 1,970 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank | | 1,000 | 1,000 | | 1,300 | 1,300 |
| Other (a) | 216 | 1,779 | 1,995 | 224 | 1,981 | 2,205 |
| Total short-term borrowings | \$ 4,616 | \$ 2,779 | \$ 7,395 | \$ 4,227 | \$ 3,281 | \$ 7,508 |

(a) Other primarily includes nonbank secured borrowings at our Mortgage and International Automotive Finance operations.

15. Long-term Debt

The following tables present the composition of our long-term debt portfolio.

| (\$ in millions) | March 31, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Unsecured | Secured | Total | Unsecured | Secured | Total |
| Long-term debt | | | | | | |
| Due within one year | \$ 7,672 | \$ 11,294 | \$ 18,966 | \$ 8,555 | \$ 13,603 | \$ 22,158 |
| Due after one year (a) | 39,745 | 29,189 | 68,934 | 38,499 | 25,508 | 64,007 |
| Fair value adjustment | 239 | | 239 | 447 | | 447 |
| Total long-term debt (b) | \$ 47,656 | \$ 40,483 | \$ 88,139 | \$ 47,501 | \$ 39,111 | \$ 86,612 |

(a) Includes \$7.4 billion guaranteed by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) under the Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program (TLGP) and \$2.6 billion of trust preferred securities at both March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010.

(b) Includes fair value option-elected secured long-term debt of \$922 million and \$972 million at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively. Refer to Note 21 for additional information.

The following table presents the scheduled remaining maturity of long-term debt at March 31, 2011, assuming no early redemptions will occur. The actual payment of secured debt may vary based on the payment activity of the related pledged assets.

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions) | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 and thereafter | Fair value adjustment | Total |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Unsecured | | | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | \$ 7,093 | \$ 12,818 | \$ 1,892 | \$ 4,222 | \$ 3,719 | \$ 20,513 | \$ 239 | \$ 50,496 |
| Original issue discount | (646) | (350) | (264) | (190) | (56) | (1,334) | | (2,840) |
| Total unsecured | 6,447 | 12,468 | 1,628 | 4,032 | 3,663 | 19,179 | 239 | 47,656 |
| Secured | | | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | 9,141 | 9,133 | 9,628 | 5,606 | 3,475 | 3,178 | | 40,161 |
| Troubled debt restructuring concession (a) | 76 | 106 | 82 | 46 | 12 | | | 322 |
| Total secured | 9,217 | 9,239 | 9,710 | 5,652 | 3,487 | 3,178 | | 40,483 |
| Total long-term debt | \$ 15,664 | \$ 21,707 | \$ 11,338 | \$ 9,684 | \$ 7,150 | \$ 22,357 | \$ 239 | \$ 88,139 |

- (a) In the second quarter of 2008, ResCap executed an exchange offer that resulted in a concession being recognized as an adjustment to the carrying value of certain new secured notes. This concession is being amortized over the life of the new notes through a reduction to interest expense using an effective yield methodology.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

The following table presents the scheduled remaining maturity of long-term debt held by ResCap at March 31, 2011, assuming no early redemptions will occur. The actual payment of secured debt may vary based on the payment activity of the related pledged assets.

| Year ended December 31, (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 and thereafter | Fair value adjustment | Total |
|---|-------|--------|----------|--------|--------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| ResCap | | | | | | | | |
| Unsecured debt | | | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | \$ | \$ 372 | \$ 530 | \$ 103 | \$ 113 | \$ | \$ 29 | \$ 1,147 |
| Original issue discount | | | | | | | | |
| Total unsecured | | 372 | 530 | 103 | 113 | | 29 | 1,147 |
| Secured debt | | | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | 7 | | 742 | 707 | 707 | 1,818 | | 3,981 |
| Troubled debt restructuring concession | 76 | 106 | 82 | 46 | 12 | | | 322 |
| Total secured debt | 83 | 106 | 824 | 753 | 719 | 1,818 | | 4,303 |
| ResCap Total long-term debt | \$ 83 | \$ 478 | \$ 1,354 | \$ 856 | \$ 832 | \$ 1,818 | \$ 29 | \$ 5,450 |

The following summarizes assets restricted as collateral for the payment of the related debt obligation primarily arising from securitization transactions accounted for as secured borrowings and repurchase agreements.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| | Total | Ally Bank (a) | Total | Ally Bank (a) |
| Trading securities | \$ 32 | \$ | \$ 36 | \$ |
| Investment securities | 2,359 | 2,358 | 2,191 | 2,190 |
| Loans held-for-sale | 978 | | 1,035 | |
| Mortgage assets held-for-investment and lending receivables | 11,522 | 10,323 | 12,451 | 11,137 |
| Consumer automobile finance receivables | 30,352 | 16,515 | 27,164 | 14,927 |
| Commercial automobile finance receivables | 17,515 | 11,340 | 19,741 | 15,034 |
| Investment in operating leases, net | 2,423 | 653 | 3,199 | |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 2,871 | 1,728 | 2,801 | 1,746 |
| Other assets | 3,770 | 1,525 | 3,990 | 1,700 |
| Total assets restricted as collateral (b) | \$ 71,822 | \$ 44,442 | \$ 72,608 | \$ 46,734 |
| Secured debt (c) | \$ 43,262 | \$ 21,796 | \$ 42,392 | \$ 20,199 |

(a) Ally Bank is a component of the total column.

(b)

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

Ally Bank has an advance agreement with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (FHLB) and access to the Federal Reserve Bank Discount Window. Ally Bank had assets pledged and restricted as collateral to the FHLB and Federal Reserve Bank totaling \$11.8 billion and \$15.2 billion at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively. These assets were composed of consumer and commercial mortgage finance receivables and loans, net; consumer automobile finance receivables and loans, net; and investment securities. Under the agreement with the FHLB, Ally Bank also had assets pledged as collateral under a blanket lien totaling \$8.0 billion and \$5.3 billion at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively. These assets were primarily composed of mortgage servicing rights; consumer mortgage finance receivables and loans, net; and other assets. Availability under these programs is generally only for the operations of Ally Bank and cannot be used to fund the operations or liabilities of Ally or its subsidiaries.

(c) Includes \$2,779 million and \$3,281 million of short-term borrowings at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Trust Preferred Securities

On December 30, 2009, we entered into a Securities Purchase and Exchange Agreement with Treasury and GMAC Capital Trust I, a Delaware statutory trust (the Trust), which is a finance subsidiary that is wholly owned by Ally. As part of the agreement, the Trust sold to Treasury 2,540,000 trust preferred securities (TRUPS) issued by the Trust with an aggregate liquidation preference of \$2.5 billion. Additionally, we issued and sold to Treasury a ten-year warrant to purchase up to 127,000 additional TRUPS with an aggregate liquidation preference of \$127 million, at an initial exercise price of \$0.01 per security, which Treasury immediately exercised in full.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

On March 1, 2011, the Declaration of Trust and certain other documents related to the TRUPS were amended and all the outstanding TRUPS held by Treasury were designated 8.125% Fixed Rate / Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities, Series 2 (Series 2 TRUPS). On March 7, 2011, Treasury sold 100% of the Series 2 TRUPS in an offering registered with the SEC. Ally did not receive any proceeds from the sale.

Each Series 2 TRUPS security has a liquidation amount of \$25. Distributions are cumulative and are payable until redemption at the applicable coupon rate. Distributions are payable at an annual rate of 8.125% payable quarterly in arrears, beginning August 15, 2011, to but excluding February 15, 2016. From and including February 15, 2016, to but excluding February 15, 2040, distributions will be payable at an annual rate equal to three-month London interbank offer rate plus 5.785% payable quarterly in arrears, beginning May 15, 2016. Ally has the right to defer payments of interest for a period not exceeding 20 consecutive quarters. The Series 2 TRUPS have no stated maturity date, but must be redeemed upon the redemption or maturity of the related debentures (Debentures), which mature on February 15, 2040. The Series 2 TRUPS are generally nonvoting, other than with respect to certain limited matters. During any period in which any Series 2 TRUPS remain outstanding but in which distributions on the Series 2 TRUPS have not been fully paid, none of Ally or its subsidiaries will be permitted to (i) declare or pay dividends on, make any distributions with respect to, or redeem, purchase, acquire or otherwise make a liquidation payment with respect to, any of Ally's capital stock or make any guarantee payment with respect thereto; or (ii) make any payments of principal, interest, or premium on, or repay, repurchase or redeem, any debt securities or guarantees that rank on a parity with or junior in interest to the Debentures with certain specified exceptions in each case.

Funding Facilities

We utilize both committed and uncommitted credit facilities. The financial institutions providing the uncommitted facilities are not legally obligated to advance funds under them. The amounts outstanding under our various funding facilities are included on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

As of March 31, 2011, Ally Bank had exclusive access to \$8.8 billion of funding capacity from committed credit facilities. Ally Bank also has access to a \$4.1 billion committed facility that is shared with the parent company. Funding programs supported by the Federal Reserve and the FHLB complement Ally Bank's private committed facilities.

The total capacity in our committed funding facilities is provided by banks through private transactions. The committed secured funding facilities can be revolving in nature and allow for additional funding during the commitment period, or they can be amortizing and do not allow for any further funding after the closing date. At March 31, 2011, \$29.3 billion of our \$32.1 billion of committed capacity was revolving. Many of our revolving facilities have a tenor of 364 days and are renewed annually, but recently, we have been able to establish \$9.4 billion of committed funding capacity with a tenor greater than 364 days.

Committed Funding Facilities

| | Outstanding | | Unused capacity (a) | | Total capacity | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------|---------------------|----------|----------------|----------|
| | Mar. 31, | Dec. 31, | Mar. 31, | Dec. 31, | Mar. 31, | Dec. 31, |
| (\$ in billions) | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Bank funding | | | | | | |
| Secured | \$ 5.8 | \$ 6.4 | \$ 3.0 | \$ 1.9 | \$ 8.8 | \$ 8.3 |
| Nonbank funding | | | | | | |
| Unsecured | | | | | | |
| Automotive Finance operations | 0.8 | 0.8 | | | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Secured | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| Automotive Finance operations and other | 8.1 | 8.3 | 8.9 | 9.1 | 17.0 | 17.4 |
| Mortgage operations | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| Total nonbank funding | 10.0 | 10.1 | 9.2 | 9.7 | 19.2 | 19.8 |
| Shared capacity (b) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| Total committed facilities | \$ 16.0 | \$ 16.7 | \$ 16.1 | \$ 15.5 | \$ 32.1 | \$ 32.2 |

- (a) Funding from committed secured facilities is available on request in the event excess collateral resides in certain facilities or is available to the extent incremental collateral is available and contributed to the facilities.
- (b) Funding is generally available for assets originated by Ally Bank or the parent company, Ally Financial Inc.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Uncommitted Funding Facilities

| | Outstanding | | Unused capacity | | Total capacity | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|----------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------|
| | Mar. 31, | Dec. 31, | Mar. 31, | Dec. 31, | Mar. 31, | Dec. 31, |
| <i>(\$ in billions)</i> | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Bank funding | | | | | | |
| Secured | | | | | | |
| Federal Reserve funding programs | \$ | \$ | \$ 5.7 | \$ 4.0 | \$ 5.7 | \$ 4.0 |
| FHLB advances | 4.8 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 5.6 | 5.5 |
| Total bank funding | 4.8 | 5.3 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 11.3 | 9.5 |
| Nonbank funding | | | | | | |
| Unsecured | | | | | | |
| Automotive Finance operations | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| Secured | | | | | | |
| Automotive Finance operations | | 0.1 | 0.1 | | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Mortgage operations | | | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total nonbank funding | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
| Total uncommitted facilities | \$ 6.4 | \$ 6.8 | \$ 7.2 | \$ 4.9 | \$ 13.6 | \$ 11.7 |

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

16. Equity

The following table summarizes information about our Series F-2, Series A, and Series G preferred stock.

| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Mandatorily convertible preferred stock held by U.S. Department of Treasury (a) | | |
| Series F-2 preferred stock | | |
| Carrying value (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | \$5,685 | \$5,685 |
| Par value (<i>per share</i>) | \$0.01 | \$0.01 |
| Liquidation preference (<i>per share</i>) | \$50 | \$50 |
| Number of share authorized | 228,750,000 | 228,750,000 |
| Number of shares issued and outstanding | 118,750,000 | 118,750,000 |
| Dividend/coupon | Fixed 9% | |
| Redemption/call feature | Perpetual (b) | |
| Preferred stock | | |
| Series A preferred stock (c) | | |
| Carrying value (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | \$1,021 | \$1,053 |
| Par value (<i>per share</i>) | \$0.01 | \$0.01 |
| Liquidation preference (<i>per share</i>) | \$25 | \$1,000 |
| Number of share authorized | 160,870,560 | 4,021,764 |
| Number of shares issued and outstanding | 40,870,560 | 1,021,764 |
| Dividend/coupon | | |
| Prior to May 15, 2016 | 8.5% | |
| On and after May 15, 2016 | LIBOR + 6.243% | |
| Redemption/call feature | Perpetual (d) | |
| Series G preferred stock | | |
| Carrying value (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | \$234 | \$234 |
| Par value (<i>per share</i>) | \$0.01 | \$0.01 |
| Liquidation preference (<i>per share</i>) | \$1,000 | \$1,000 |
| Number of share authorized | 2,576,601 | 2,576,601 |
| Number of shares issued and outstanding | 2,576,601 | 2,576,601 |
| Dividend/coupon | Fixed 7% | |
| Redemption/call feature | Perpetual (e) | |

(a) Mandatorily convertible to common equity on December 30, 2016.

(b) Convertible prior to mandatory conversion date with consent of Treasury.

(c) Refer to next section of this note for a description of an amendment to the Series A preferred stock that occurred on March 25, 2011.

(d) Nonredeemable prior to May 15, 2016.

(e) Nonredeemable prior to December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Series A Preferred Stock

On March 1, 2011, pursuant to a registration rights agreement between Ally and GM, GM notified Ally of its intent to sell shares of Ally's existing Fixed Rate Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A (Existing Series A Preferred Stock), held by a subsidiary of GM. On March 25, 2011, Ally filed a Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (the Amendment) with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware. Pursuant to the Amendment, Ally's Certificate of Incorporation, which included the terms of the Existing Series A Preferred Stock, was amended to modify certain terms of the Existing Series A Preferred Stock. As part of the Amendment, the Existing Series A Preferred Stock was redesignated as Ally's Fixed Rate / Floating Rate Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A (the Amended Series A Preferred Stock) and the liquidation amount was reduced from \$1,000 per share to \$25 per share. The Amendment, and a corresponding amendment to Ally's bylaws, also increased the authorized number of shares of Amended Series A Preferred Stock to 160,870,560 shares, which was adjusted to account for the decreased liquidation amount per share. The total number of shares outstanding following the Amendment is 40,870,560 shares.

Immediately following the Amendment, the subsidiary of GM that held all of the outstanding Amended Series A Preferred Stock sold 100% of such stock in an offering registered with the SEC. Ally did not receive any proceeds from the sale.

Holders of the Amended Series A Preferred Stock are entitled to receive, when, as and if declared by Ally, noncumulative cash dividends. Beginning March 25, 2011, to but excluding May 15, 2016, dividends accrue at a fixed rate of 8.500% per annum. Beginning on May 15, 2016, dividends will accrue at a rate equal to three-month London interbank offer rate (LIBOR) plus 6.243%, commencing on August 15, 2016, in each case on the 15th day of February, May, August, and November. Dividends will be payable to holders of record at the close of business on the preceding February 1, May 1, August 1, or November 1, as the case may be, or on such other date, not more than seventy calendar days prior to the dividend payment date, as will be fixed by the Ally Board of Directors. In the event that dividends with respect to a dividend period have not been paid in full on the dividend payment date, we will be prohibited, subject to certain specified exceptions, from (i) redeeming, purchasing or otherwise acquiring, any stock that ranks on a parity basis with, or junior in interest to, the Amended Series A Preferred Stock; (ii) paying any dividends or making any distributions with respect to any stock that ranks junior in interest to the Amended Series A Preferred Stock, until such time as Ally has paid the dividends payable on shares of the Amended Series A Preferred Stock with respect to a subsequent dividend period; and (iii) declaring or paying any dividend on any stock ranking on a parity basis with the Amended Series A Preferred Stock, subject to certain exceptions.

The holders of the Amended Series A Preferred Stock do not have voting rights other than those set forth in the certificate of designations for the Amended Series A Preferred Stock included in Ally's Certificate of Incorporation. Ally may not redeem the Amended Series A Preferred Stock before May 15, 2016, and after such time the Amended Series A Preferred Stock may be redeemed in certain circumstances. In the event of any liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the affairs of Ally, holders of the Amended Series A Preferred Stock will be entitled to receive the liquidation amount per share of Amended Series A Preferred Stock and an amount equal to all declared, but unpaid dividends declared prior to the date of payment out of assets available for distribution, before any distribution is made for holders of stock that ranks junior in interest to the Amended Series A Preferred Stock, subject to the rights of Ally's creditors.

The changes to the terms of the Existing Series A Preferred Stock pursuant to the terms of the Amendment were deemed substantive, and as a result, the transaction was accounted for as a redemption of the Existing Series A Preferred Stock and the issuance of the Amended Series A Preferred Stock. The Existing Series A Preferred Stock was removed at its carrying value, the Amended Series A Preferred Stock was recognized at its fair value, and the difference of \$32 million was recorded as an increase to retained earnings, which will impact the income available to common stockholders used for the earnings per common share calculation. Refer to Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K for terms of the Series A Preferred Stock prior to the Amendment.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

17. Earnings per Common Share

The following table presents the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per common share.

| <i>(\$ in millions, except per share data)</i> | Three months ended March 31, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net income from continuing operations | \$ 170 | \$ 151 |
| Preferred stock dividends U.S. Department of Treasury (a) | (134) | (386) |
| Preferred stock dividends (a) | (69) | (116) |
| Impact of preferred stock amendment | 32 | |
| Net loss from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders (b) | (1) | (351) |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax | (24) | 11 |
| Net loss attributable to common shareholders | \$ (25) | \$ (340) |
| Basic and diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding (b) | 1,330,970 | 799,120 |
| Basic and diluted earnings per common share (b) | | |
| Net loss from continuing operations | \$ (1) | \$ (439) |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax | (18) | 13 |
| Net loss | \$ (19) | \$ (426) |

(a) The first quarter of 2010 included two quarterly cash dividends each for the Fixed Rate Cumulative Mandatorily Convertible Preferred Stock, Series F-2, and Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series G, totaling \$477 million, which were deducted from income to arrive at basic and diluted earnings per common share. Traditionally, the second dividends totaling \$303 million would have been declared in the second quarter and deducted from income to arrive at basic and diluted earnings per common share for the second quarter.

(b) Due to the antidilutive effect of converting the Fixed Rate Cumulative Mandatorily Convertible Preferred Stock into common shares and the net loss attributable to common shareholders for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, income attributable to common shareholders and basic weighted-average common shares outstanding were used to calculate basic and diluted earnings per share.

The effects of converting the outstanding Fixed Rate Cumulative Mandatorily Convertible Preferred Stock into common shares are not included in the diluted earnings per share calculation for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, as the effects would be antidilutive for those periods. As such, 574,156 and 988,200 of potential common shares were excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

18. Regulatory Capital

As a bank holding company, we and our wholly owned state-chartered banking subsidiary, Ally Bank, are subject to risk-based capital and leverage guidelines issued by federal and state banking regulators that require that our capital-to-assets ratios meet certain minimum standards. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary action by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the consolidated financial statements or the results of operations and financial condition of Ally and Ally Bank. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, we must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of our assets and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

practices. Our capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk-weightings, and other factors.

The risk-based capital ratios are determined by allocating assets and specified off-balance sheet financial instruments into several broad risk categories with higher levels of capital being required for the categories that present greater risk. Under the guidelines, total capital is divided into two tiers: Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital. Tier 1 capital generally consists of common equity, minority interests, and qualifying preferred stock (including senior preferred stock issued and sold to Treasury under TARP) less goodwill and other adjustments. Tier 2 capital generally consists of preferred stock not qualifying as Tier 1 capital, limited amounts of subordinated debt and the allowance for loan losses, and other adjustments. The amount of Tier 2 capital may not exceed the amount of Tier 1 capital.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

Total risk-based capital is the sum of Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital. Under the guidelines, banking organizations are required to maintain a minimum Total risk-based capital ratio (total capital to risk-weighted assets) of 8% and a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 4%.

The federal banking regulators also have established minimum leverage ratio guidelines. The leverage ratio is defined as Tier 1 capital divided by adjusted average total assets (which reflect adjustments for disallowed goodwill and certain intangible assets). The minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio is 3% or 4% depending on factors specified in the regulations.

A banking institution meets the regulatory definition of well-capitalized when its Total risk-based capital ratio equals or exceeds 10% and its Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio equals or exceeds 6% and for insured depository institutions, when its leverage ratio equals or exceeds 5%, unless subject to a regulatory directive to maintain higher capital levels.

In conjunction with the Supervisory Capital Assessment Program (S-CAP), the banking regulators have developed a new measure of capital called Tier 1 common defined as Tier 1 capital less noncommon elements including qualified perpetual preferred stock, qualifying minority interest in subsidiaries, and qualifying trust preferred securities.

On October 29, 2010, Ally, IB Finance Holding Company, LLC, Ally Bank, and the FDIC entered into a Capital and Liquidity Maintenance Agreement (CLMA) that supersedes an original agreement dated July 21, 2008. The CLMA requires capital at Ally Bank to be maintained at a level such that Ally Bank's leverage ratio is at least 15%, which is consistent with capital requirements previously applicable to Ally Bank and thus does not impose any additional capital requirements. For this purpose, the leverage ratio is determined in accordance with the FDIC's regulations related to capital maintenance.

The following table summarizes our capital ratios.

| (\$ in millions) | March 31, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | | Required minimum | Well-capitalized minimum |
|--|----------------|--------|-------------------|--------|------------------|--------------------------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | | |
| Risk-based capital | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | |
| Ally Financial Inc. | \$ 22,143 | 14.68% | \$ 22,189 | 15.00% | 4.00% | 6.00% |
| Ally Bank | 11,062 | 18.73 | 10,738 | 19.23 | 4.00 | 6.00 |
| Total (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | |
| Ally Financial Inc. | \$ 24,084 | 15.97% | \$ 24,213 | 16.36% | 8.00% | 10.00% |
| Ally Bank | 11,800 | 19.98 | 11,438 | 20.48 | 8.00 | 10.00 |
| Tier 1 leverage (to adjusted average assets) (a) | | | | | | |
| Ally Financial Inc. | \$ 22,143 | 12.78% | \$ 22,189 | 13.05% | 3.00 4.00% | (b) |
| Ally Bank | 11,062 | 15.87 | 10,738 | 15.81 | 15.00 (c) | 5.00% |
| Tier 1 common (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | |
| Ally Financial Inc. | \$ 12,662 | 8.40% | \$ 12,677 | 8.57% | n/a | n/a |
| Ally Bank | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

n/a = not applicable

- (a) Federal regulatory reporting guidelines require the calculation of adjusted average assets using a daily average methodology. We currently calculate using a combination of monthly and daily average methodologies.
- (b) There is no Tier 1 leverage component in the definition of a well-capitalized bank holding company.
- (c) Ally Bank, in accordance with the CLMA, is required to maintain a Tier 1 leverage ratio of at least 15%.

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

At March 31, 2011, Ally and Ally Bank were well-capitalized and met all capital requirements to which we were subject.

Basel Capital Accord

The minimum risk-based capital requirements adopted by the federal banking agencies follow the Capital Accord (Capital Accord) of the Bank for International Settlements Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (Basel Committee). The

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

Capital Accord was published in 1988 and generally applies to depository institutions and their holding companies in the United States. In 2004, the Basel Committee published a revision to the Capital Accord (Basel II). The goal of the Basel II capital rules is to provide more risk-sensitive regulatory capital calculations and promote enhanced risk management practices among large, internationally active banking organizations. U.S. banking regulators published final Basel II rules in December 2007. Ally is required to comply with the Basel II rules, as implemented by the U.S. banking regulators. Prior to full implementation of the Basel II rules, Ally is required to complete a qualification period that includes four consecutive quarters during which it needs to demonstrate that it meets the requirements of the rules to the satisfaction of its primary U.S. banking regulator. The U.S. implementation timetable consists of this qualification period followed by a minimum transition period of three years. During the transition period, Basel II risk-based capital requirements cannot fall below certain floors based on pre-existing capital regulations (Basel I). Ally is currently in the qualification period and expects to be in compliance with all relevant Basel II rules within the established timelines.

In addition to Basel II, the Basel Committee adopted new capital, leverage, and liquidity guidelines under the Basel Accord (Basel III), which when implemented in the United States, may have the effect of raising capital requirements beyond those required by current law and the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act). Basel III increases the minimum Tier 1 common equity ratio from 2.0% to 4.5%, net of regulatory deductions, and introduces a capital conservation buffer of an additional 2.5% of common equity to risk-weighted assets, raising the target minimum common equity ratio to 7.0%. Basel III increases the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio to 8.5% inclusive of the capital conservation buffer, increases the minimum total capital ratio to 10.5% inclusive of the capital buffer, and introduces a countercyclical capital buffer of up to 2.5% of common equity or other fully loss absorbing capital for periods of excess credit growth. Basel III also introduces a nonrisk adjusted Tier 1 leverage ratio of 3%, based on a measure of the total exposure rather than total assets, and new liquidity standards. The Basel III capital, leverage, and liquidity standards will be phased in over a multiyear period. The Basel III rules, when implemented, will also impose a 15% cap on the amount of Tier 1 capital that can be met, in the aggregate, through significant investments in the common shares of unconsolidated financial subsidiaries, MSRs and deferred tax assets through timing differences, as well as a 10% cap on the amount of each of the three individual items that may be included in Tier 1 capital. In addition, under Basel III rules, after a ten-year phaseout period beginning on January 1, 2013, trust preferred and other hybrid securities will no longer qualify as Tier 1 capital. However, under the Dodd-Frank Act, subject to certain exceptions, trust preferred and other hybrid securities are phased out from Tier 1 capital in a three-year period starting January 1, 2013.

19. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

We enter into interest rate and foreign-currency swaps, futures, forwards, options, and swaptions in connection with our market risk management activities. Derivative instruments are used to manage interest rate risk relating to specific groups of assets and liabilities, including investment securities, MSRs, debt, and deposits. In addition, we use foreign exchange contracts to mitigate foreign-currency risk associated with foreign-currency-denominated debt, foreign exchange transactions, and our net investment in foreign subsidiaries. Our primary objective for utilizing derivative financial instruments is to manage market risk volatility associated with interest rate and foreign-currency risks related to the assets and liabilities.

Interest Rate Risk

We execute interest rate swaps to modify our exposure to interest rate risk by converting certain fixed-rate instruments to a variable rate. We monitor our mix of fixed- and variable-rate debt in relation to the rate profile of our assets. When it is cost effective to do so, we may enter into interest rate swaps to achieve our desired mix of fixed- and variable-rate debt. Our qualifying accounting hedges consist of hedges of fixed-rate debt obligations in which receive-fixed swaps are designated as hedges of specific fixed-rate debt obligations.

We apply hedge accounting to certain relationships in which we utilize derivative instruments to hedge interest rate risk associated with our fixed-rate debt. We enter into economic hedges to mitigate exposure for the following categories.

MSRs and retained interests Our MSRs and retained interest portfolios are generally subject to loss in value when mortgage rates decline. Declining mortgage rates generally result in an increase in refinancing activity that increases prepayments and results in a decline in the value of MSRs and retained interests. To mitigate the impact of this risk, we maintain a portfolio of financial instruments, primarily derivative instruments, which increase in value

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

when interest rates decline. The primary objective is to minimize the overall risk of loss in the value of MSR and retained interests due to the change in fair value caused by interest rate changes and their interrelated impact to prepayments.

We use a multitude of derivative instruments to manage the interest rate risk related to MSR and retained interests. They include, but are not limited to, interest rate futures contracts, call or put options on U.S. Treasuries, swaptions, mortgage-backed securities (MBS), futures, U.S. Treasury futures, interest rate swaps, interest rate floors, and interest rate caps. We monitor and actively manage our risk on a daily basis, and therefore, trading volume can be large.

Mortgage loan commitments and mortgage loans held-for-sale We are exposed to interest rate risk from the time an interest rate lock commitment (IRLC) is made until the time the mortgage loan is sold. Changes in interest rates impact the market price for our loans; as market interest rates decline, the value of existing IRLCs and loans held-for-sale increase and vice versa. Our primary objective in risk management activities related to IRLCs and mortgage loans held-for-sale is to eliminate or greatly reduce any interest rate risk associated with these items.

The primary derivative instrument we use to accomplish the risk management objective for mortgage loans and IRLCs is forward sales of mortgage-backed securities, primarily Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac to-be-announced securities. These instruments typically are entered into at the time the IRLC is made. The value of the forward sales contracts moves in the opposite direction of the value of our IRLCs and mortgage loans held-for-sale. We also use other derivatives, such as interest rate swaps, options, and futures, to economically hedge certain portions of the mortgage portfolio. Nonderivative instruments may also be periodically used to economically hedge the mortgage portfolio, such as short positions on U.S. Treasuries. We monitor and actively manage our risk on a daily basis. We do not apply hedge accounting to this derivative portfolio.

Debt With the exception of a portion of our fixed-rate debt, we do not apply hedge accounting to our derivative portfolio held to mitigate interest rate risk associated with our debt portfolio. Typically, the significant terms of the interest rate swaps match the significant terms of the underlying debt resulting in an effective conversion of the rate of the related debt.

Other We enter into futures, options, and swaptions to economically hedge our net fixed versus variable interest rate exposure. We also enter into equity options to economically hedge our exposure to the equity markets.

Foreign Currency Risk

We enter into derivative financial instrument contracts to mitigate the risk associated with variability in cash flows related to foreign-currency financial instruments. Currency swaps and forwards are used to economically hedge foreign exchange exposure on foreign-currency-denominated debt by converting the funding currency to the same currency of the assets being financed. Similar to our interest rate derivatives, the swaps are generally entered into or traded concurrent with the debt issuance with the terms of the swap matching the terms of the underlying debt.

Our foreign subsidiaries maintain both assets and liabilities in local currencies; these local currencies are generally the subsidiaries' functional currencies for accounting purposes. Foreign-currency exchange-rate gains and losses arise when the assets or liabilities of our subsidiaries are denominated in currencies that differ from its functional currency. In addition, our equity is impacted by the cumulative translation adjustments resulting from the translation of foreign subsidiary results; this impact is reflected in our other comprehensive income (loss). We enter into foreign-currency forwards and option-based contracts with external counterparties to hedge foreign exchange exposure on our net investments in foreign subsidiaries. In March 2011, we elected to dedesignate all of our existing net investment hedge relationships and change our method of measuring hedge effectiveness from the spot method to the forward method for new hedge relationships entered into during the remainder of the quarter and prospectively. For the net investment hedges that were designated under the spot method for the first portion of the quarter, the

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

hedges were recorded at fair value with changes recorded to other comprehensive income (loss) with the exception of the spot to forward difference that was recorded to earnings. For the new net investment hedges that were designated under the forward method, the hedges were recorded at fair value with the changes recorded to other comprehensive income (loss) including the spot to forward difference. The net derivative gain or loss remains in other comprehensive income (loss) until earnings are impacted by the sale or the liquidation of the associated foreign operation.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

In addition, we have a centralized lending program to manage liquidity for all of our subsidiary businesses. Foreign-currency-denominated loan agreements are executed with our foreign subsidiaries in their local currencies. We evaluate our foreign-currency exposure resulting from intercompany lending and manage our currency risk exposure by entering into foreign-currency derivatives with external counterparties. Our foreign-currency derivatives are recorded at fair value with changes recorded as income offsetting the gains and losses on the associated foreign-currency transactions.

Except for our net investment hedges, we generally have not elected to treat any foreign-currency derivatives as hedges for accounting purposes principally because the changes in the fair values of the foreign-currency swaps are substantially offset by the foreign-currency revaluation gains and losses of the underlying assets and liabilities.

Credit Risk

Derivative financial instruments contain an element of credit risk if counterparties are unable to meet the terms of the agreements. Credit risk associated with derivative financial instruments is measured as the net replacement cost should the counterparties that owe us under the contract completely fail to perform under the terms of those contracts, assuming no recoveries of underlying collateral as measured by the market value of the derivative financial instrument.

To mitigate the risk of counterparty default, we maintain collateral agreements with certain counterparties. The agreements require both parties to maintain collateral in the event the fair values of the derivative financial instruments meet established thresholds. In the event that either party defaults on the obligation, the secured party may seize the collateral. Generally, our collateral arrangements are bilateral such that we and the counterparty post collateral for the value of our total obligation to each other. Contractual terms provide for standard and customary exchange of collateral based on changes in the market value of the outstanding derivatives. The securing party posts additional collateral when their obligation rises or removes collateral when it falls. We also have unilateral collateral agreements whereby we are the only entity required to post collateral.

Certain derivative instruments contain provisions that require us to either post additional collateral or immediately settle any outstanding liability balances upon the occurrence of a specified credit risk-related event. If a credit risk related event had been triggered at March 31, 2011, the amount of additional collateral required to be posted by us would have been insignificant.

We placed cash and securities collateral totaling \$1.2 billion and \$1.6 billion at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively, in accounts maintained by counterparties. We received cash collateral from counterparties totaling \$568 million and \$916 million at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively. The receivables for collateral placed and the payables for collateral received are included on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet in other assets and accrued expenses and other liabilities, respectively. In certain circumstances, we receive or post securities as collateral with counterparties. We do not record such collateral received on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet unless certain conditions are met. At March 31, 2011, we did not receive any noncash collateral. At December 31, 2010, we received noncash collateral of \$29 million.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Balance Sheet Presentation

The following table summarizes the fair value amounts of derivative instruments reported on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. The fair value amounts are presented on a gross basis, are segregated by derivatives that are designated and qualifying as hedging instruments or those that are not, and are further segregated by type of contract within those two categories.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|---|---|----------------------------|--------------------|--|----------|--------------------|
| | Fair value of derivative contracts in | | Notional amount | Fair value of derivative contracts in | | Notional amount |
| receivable position (a) | liability position (b) | receivable position (a) | | liability position (b) | | |
| Qualifying accounting hedges | | | | | | |
| Interest rate risk | | | | | | |
| Fair value accounting hedges | \$ 376 | \$ 177 | \$ 13,944 | \$ 443 | \$ 114 | \$ 11,895 |
| Foreign exchange risk | | | | | | |
| Net investment accounting hedges | 16 | 84 | 8,345 | 12 | 72 | 4,407 |
| Total qualifying accounting hedges | 392 | 261 | 22,289 | 455 | 186 | 16,302 |
| Economic hedges | | | | | | |
| Interest rate risk | | | | | | |
| MSRs and retained interests | 2,452 | 2,537 | 514,616 | 2,896 | 3,118 | 325,768 |
| Mortgage loan commitments and mortgage loans held-for-sale | | | | | | |
| Debt | 51 | 53 | 30,736 | 232 | 80 | 38,788 |
| Other | 195 | 86 | 22,546 | 160 | 107 | 21,269 |
| | 56 | 41 | 43,939 | 80 | 129 | 32,734 |
| Total interest rate risk | 2,754 | 2,717 | 611,837 | 3,368 | 3,434 | 418,559 |
| Foreign exchange risk | 234 | 246 | 20,218 | 143 | 240 | 14,359 |
| Total economic hedges | 2,988 | 2,963 | 632,055 | 3,511 | 3,674 | 432,918 |
| Total derivatives | \$ 3,380 | \$ 3,224 | \$ 654,344 | \$ 3,966 | \$ 3,860 | \$ 449,220 |

(a) Reported as other assets on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. Includes accrued interest of \$262 million and \$263 million at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively.

(b) Reported as accrued expenses and other liabilities on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. Includes accrued interest of \$9 million and \$23 million at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Statement of Income Presentation

The following table summarizes the location and amounts of gains and losses reported in our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income on derivative instruments.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | Three months ended March 31, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Qualifying accounting hedges | | |
| (Loss) gain recognized in earnings on derivatives (a) | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | |
| Interest on long-term debt | \$ (148) | \$ 82 |
| Gain (loss) recognized in earnings on hedged items (b) | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | |
| Interest on long-term debt | 145 | (62) |
| Total qualifying accounting hedges | (3) | 20 |
| Economic hedges | | |
| (Loss) gain recognized in earnings on derivatives | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | |
| Servicing asset valuation and hedge activities, net | (204) | 63 |
| Loss on mortgage and automotive loans, net | (39) | (144) |
| Other income, net of losses | 7 | (53) |
| Other operating expenses | 4 | (3) |
| Total interest rate contracts | (232) | (137) |
| Foreign exchange contracts (c) | | |
| Interest on long-term debt | 13 | (140) |
| Other income, net of losses | (104) | 349 |
| Total foreign exchange contracts | (91) | 209 |
| (Loss) gain recognized in earnings on derivatives | \$ (326) | \$ 92 |

- (a) Amounts exclude gains of \$88 million and \$86 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, related to interest for qualifying accounting hedges of debt, which are primarily offset by the fixed coupon payment on the long-term debt.
- (b) Amounts exclude gains of \$63 million and \$39 million related to amortization of deferred basis adjustments on the hedged items for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
- (c) Amounts exclude gains of \$90 million and losses of \$245 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, related to the revaluation of the related foreign-denominated debt or receivable.

The following table summarizes derivative instruments used in net investment hedge accounting relationships.

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| March 31, (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Net investment hedges | | |
| Foreign exchange contracts | | |
| Loss recorded directly to other income, net of losses (a) | \$ (3) | \$ (1) |
| Loss recognized in other comprehensive income (b) | (148) | (44) |

- (a) The amounts represent the forward points excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness.
- (b) The amounts represent the effective portion of net investment hedges during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. There are offsetting gains recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$145 million and \$44 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, related to the revaluation of the related net investment in foreign operations. The amount of hedge ineffectiveness on net investment hedges during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, was insignificant.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

20. Income Taxes

We recognized total income tax benefit from continuing operations of \$68 million and income tax expense from continuing operations of \$36 million during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. A reconciliation of the statutory U.S. federal income tax rate to our effective income tax rate for continuing operations is shown in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | Three months ended March 31, 2011 | | 2010 | |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| Statutory U.S. federal tax expense and rate | \$ 36 | 35.0% | \$ 65 | 35.0% |
| Change in tax rate resulting from | | | | |
| Effect of valuation allowance change | (94) | (92.1) | (39) | (20.9) |
| Foreign tax differential | (13) | (12.7) | (8) | (4.3) |
| Taxes on unremitted foreign earnings | 6 | 5.9 | 22 | 11.7 |
| State and local income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit | (3) | (2.8) | 7 | 3.7 |
| Tax-exempt income | (2) | (1.9) | (3) | (1.6) |
| Other, net | 2 | 1.9 | (8) | (4.3) |
| Tax (benefit) expense and effective tax rate | \$ (68) | (66.7)% | \$ 36 | 19.3% |

For the quarter ended March 31, 2011, we recorded a \$101 million reversal of valuation allowance on net deferred tax assets in one of our Canadian subsidiaries. The reversal related to modifications to the legal structure of our Canadian operations. Additionally, we recorded other net increases to our consolidated valuation allowance on deferred tax assets of \$102 million, stemming primarily from increases to our deferred tax assets during the period.

21. Fair Value**Fair Value Measurements**

For purposes of this disclosure, fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value is based on the assumptions market participants would use when pricing an asset or liability. Additionally, entities are required to consider all aspects of nonperformance risk, including the entity's own credit standing, when measuring the fair value of a liability.

GAAP specifies a three-level hierarchy that is used when measuring and disclosing fair value. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices available in active markets (i.e., observable inputs) and the lowest priority to data lacking transparency (i.e., unobservable inputs). An instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of significant input to its valuation. The following is a description of the three hierarchy levels.

- Level 1 Inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date. Additionally, the entity must have the ability to access the active market, and the quoted prices cannot be adjusted by the entity.

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

- Level 2 Inputs are other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in inactive markets for identical or similar assets or liabilities; or inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs are supported by little or no market activity. The unobservable inputs represent management's best assumptions of how market participants would price the assets or liabilities. Generally, Level 3 assets and liabilities are valued using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques that require significant judgment or estimation.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Transfers Transfers into or out of any hierarchy level are recognized at the end of the reporting period in which the transfer occurred. There were no significant transfers between any levels during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Following are descriptions of the valuation methodologies used to measure material assets and liabilities at fair value and details of the valuation models, key inputs to those models, and significant assumptions utilized.

Available-for-sale securities Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value primarily based on observable market prices. If observable market prices are not available, our valuations are based on internally developed discounted cash flow models (an income approach) that use a market-based discount rate and consider recent market transactions, experience with similar securities, current business conditions, and analysis of the underlying collateral, as available. To estimate cash flows, we are required to utilize various significant assumptions including market observable inputs (e.g., forward interest rates) and internally developed inputs (including prepayment speeds, delinquency levels, and credit losses).

Loans held-for-sale, net Our automobile loans held-for-sale are accounted for at the lower-of-cost or fair value. The automobile loans at fair value are presented in the nonrecurring fair value measurement table. We based our valuation of automobile loans held-for-sale on internally developed discounted cash flow models (an income approach) and classified all these loans as Level 3. These valuation models estimate the exit price we expect to receive in the loan's principal market, which depending on characteristics of the loans may be the whole-loan market or the securitization market. Although we utilize and give priority to market observable inputs, such as interest rates and market spreads within these models, we are typically required to utilize internal inputs, such as prepayment speeds, credit losses, and discount rates. While numerous controls exist to calibrate, corroborate, and validate these internal inputs, these internal inputs require the use of judgment and can have a significant impact on the determination of the loan's value. Accordingly, we classified all automobile loans held-for-sale as Level 3.

Our mortgage loans held-for-sale are accounted for at either fair value because of fair value option elections or they are accounted for at the lower-of-cost or fair value. Mortgage loans held-for-sale are typically pooled together and sold into certain exit markets depending on underlying attributes of the loan, such as GSE eligibility (domestic only), product type, interest rate, and credit quality. Two valuation methodologies are used to determine the fair value of mortgage loans held-for-sale. The methodology used depends on the exit market as described below.

Level 2 mortgage loans This includes all agency-eligible mortgage loans carried at fair value due to fair value option election, which are valued predominantly using published forward agency prices. It also includes any domestic loans and foreign loans where recently negotiated market prices for the loan pool exist with a counterparty (which approximates fair value) or quoted market prices for similar loans are available.

Level 3 mortgage loans This includes all conditional repurchase option loans carried at fair value due to the fair value option election and all nonagency eligible residential mortgage loans that are accounted for at the lower of cost or fair value. The fair value of these residential mortgage loans are determined using internally developed valuation models because observable market prices were not available. The loans are priced on a discounted cash flow basis utilizing cash flow projections from internally developed models that utilize prepayment, default, and discount rate assumptions. To the extent available, we will utilize market observable inputs such as interest rates and market spreads. If market observable inputs are not available, we are required to utilize internal inputs, such as prepayment speeds, credit losses, and discount rates.

Refer to the section within this note titled *Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* for further information about the fair value elections.

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

Consumer mortgage finance receivables and loans, net We elected the fair value option for certain consumer mortgage finance receivables and loans. The elected mortgage loans collateralized on-balance sheet securitization debt in which we estimated credit reserves pertaining to securitized assets that could have exceeded or already had exceeded our economic exposure. We also elected the fair value option for all mortgage securitization trusts

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

required to be consolidated due to the adoption of ASU 2009-17. The elected mortgage loans represent a portion of the consumer finance receivable and loans consolidated upon adoption of ASU 2009-17. The balance that was not elected was reported on the balance sheet at the principal amount outstanding, net of charge-offs, allowance for loan losses, and premiums or discounts.

Securitized mortgage loans are legally isolated from us and are beyond the reach of our creditors. The loans are measured at fair value using a portfolio approach or an in-use premise. Values of loans held on an in-use basis may differ considerably from loans held-for-sale that can be sold in the whole-loan market. This difference arises primarily due to the liquidity of the asset- and mortgage-backed securitization market and is evident in the fact that spreads applied to lower rated asset- and mortgage-backed securities are considerably wider than spreads observed on senior bonds classes and in the whole-loan market. The objective in fair valuing the loans and related securitization debt is to account properly for our retained economic interest in the securitizations. As a result of reduced liquidity in capital markets, values of both these loans and the securitized bonds are expected to be volatile. Since this approach involves the use of significant unobservable inputs, we classified all the mortgage loans elected under the fair value option as Level 3, at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010. Refer to the section within this note titled *Fair Value Option of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* for additional information.

Commercial finance receivables and loans, net We evaluate our commercial finance receivables and loans, net, for impairment. We generally base the evaluation on the fair value of the underlying collateral supporting the loans when expected to be the sole source of repayment. When the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the collateral, an impairment loss is recognized and reflected as a nonrecurring fair value measurement.

MSRs We typically retain MSRs when we sell assets into the secondary market. MSRs currently do not trade in an active market with observable prices; therefore, we use internally developed discounted cash flow models (an income approach) to estimate the fair value of MSRs. These internal valuation models estimate net cash flows based on internal operating assumptions that we believe would be used by market participants combined with market-based assumptions for loan prepayment rates, interest rates, and discount rates that we believe approximate yields required by investors in this asset. Cash flows primarily include servicing fees, float income, and late fees in each case less operating costs to service the loans. The estimated cash flows are discounted using an option-adjusted spread-derived discount rate.

Interests retained in financial asset sales Interests retained in financial asset sales are carried at fair value. The interests retained are in securitization trusts and deferred purchase prices on the sale of whole-loans. Due to inactivity in the market, valuations are based on internally developed discounted cash flow models (an income approach) that use a market-based discount rate. The valuation considers recent market transactions, experience with similar assets, current business conditions, and analysis of the underlying collateral, as available. To estimate cash flows, we utilize various significant assumptions, including market observable inputs (e.g., forward interest rates) and internally developed inputs (e.g., prepayment speeds, delinquency levels, and credit losses).

Derivative instruments We enter into a variety of derivative financial instruments as part of our risk management strategies. Certain of these derivatives are exchange traded, such as Eurodollar futures. To determine the fair value of these instruments, we utilize the exchange prices for the particular derivative contracts; therefore, we classified these contracts as Level 1.

We also execute over-the-counter derivative contracts, such as interest rate swaps, swaptions, forwards, caps, floors, and agency to-be-announced securities. We utilize third-party-developed valuation models that are widely accepted in the market to value these over-the-counter derivative contracts. The specific terms of the contract and market observable inputs (such as interest rate forward curves and interpolated volatility assumptions) are entered into the model. We classified these over-the-counter derivative contracts as Level 2 because all significant inputs into these models were market observable.

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

We also hold certain derivative contracts that are structured specifically to meet a particular hedging objective. These derivative contracts often are utilized to hedge risks inherent within certain on-balance sheet securitizations.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

To hedge risks on particular bond classes or securitization collateral, the derivative's notional amount is often indexed to the hedged item. As a result, we typically are required to use internally developed prepayment assumptions as an input into the model to forecast future notional amounts on these structured derivative contracts. Additionally, we hold some foreign currency derivative contracts that utilize an in-house valuation model to determine the fair value of the contracts. Accordingly, we classified all of the above-mentioned derivative contracts as Level 3.

We are required to consider all aspects of nonperformance risk, including our own credit standing, when measuring fair value of a liability. We consider our credit risk and the credit risk of our counterparties in the valuation of derivative instruments through a credit valuation adjustment (CVA). The CVA calculation utilizes our credit default swap spreads and the spreads of the counterparty. Additionally, we reduce credit risk on the majority of our derivatives by entering into legally enforceable agreements that enable the posting and receiving of collateral associated with the fair value of our derivative positions on an ongoing basis.

Collateral placed with counterparties Collateral in the form of investment securities are primarily carried at fair value using quoted prices in active markets for similar assets.

Repossessed and foreclosed assets Foreclosed on or repossessed assets resulting from loan defaults are carried at the lower of either cost or fair value and are included in other assets on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. The fair value disclosures include only assets carried at fair value.

The majority of assets acquired due to default are foreclosed assets. We revalue foreclosed assets on a periodic basis. We classified properties that are valued by independent third-party appraisals as Level 2. When third-party appraisals are not obtained, valuations are typically obtained from third-party broker price opinion; however, depending on the circumstances, the property list price or other sales price information may be used in lieu of a broker price opinion. Based on historical experience, we adjust these values downward to take into account damage and other factors that typically cause the actual liquidation value of foreclosed properties to be less than broker price opinion or other price sources. This valuation adjustment is necessary to ensure the valuation ascribed to these assets considers unique factors and circumstances surrounding the foreclosed asset. As a result of applying internally developed adjustments to the third-party-provided valuation of the foreclosed property, we classified these assets as Level 3 in the fair value disclosures.

On-balance sheet securitization debt We elected the fair value option for certain mortgage loans held-for-investment and the related on-balance sheet securitization debt. We value securitization debt that was elected pursuant to the fair value option and any economically retained positions using market observable prices whenever possible. The securitization debt is principally in the form of asset- and mortgage-backed securities collateralized by the underlying mortgage loans held-for-investment. Due to the attributes of the underlying collateral and current market conditions, observable prices for these instruments are typically not available. In these situations, we consider observed transactions as Level 2 inputs in our discounted cash flow models. Additionally, the discounted cash flow models utilize other market observable inputs, such as interest rates, and internally derived inputs including prepayment speeds, credit losses, and discount rates. Fair value option-elected financing securitization debt is classified as Level 3 as a result of the reliance on significant assumptions and estimates for model inputs. Refer to the section within this note titled *Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* for further information about the election. The debt that was not elected under the fair value option is reported on the balance sheet at cost, net of premiums or discounts and issuance costs.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)****Recurring Fair Value**

The following tables display the assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis including financial instruments elected for the fair value option. We often economically hedge the fair value change of our assets or liabilities with derivatives and other financial instruments. The tables below display the hedges separately from the hedged items; therefore, they do not directly display the impact of our risk management activities.

| March 31, 2011 (\$ in millions) | Recurring fair value measurements | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Assets | | | | |
| Trading securities | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed residential | \$ | \$ 35 | \$ 40 | \$ 75 |
| Investment securities | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities | | | | |
| Debt securities | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and federal agencies | 2,351 | 539 | | 2,890 |
| States and political subdivisions | | 3 | | 3 |
| Foreign government | 947 | 370 | | 1,317 |
| Mortgage-backed residential | | 5,860 | 1 | 5,861 |
| Asset-backed | | 2,180 | 117 | 2,297 |
| Corporate debt securities | | 1,379 | | 1,379 |
| Other debt securities | | 489 | | 489 |
| Total debt securities | 3,298 | 10,820 | 118 | 14,236 |
| Equity securities (a) | 1,165 | | | 1,165 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | 4,463 | 10,820 | 118 | 15,401 |
| Mortgage loans held-for-sale, net (b) | | 2,928 | 18 | 2,946 |
| Consumer mortgage finance receivables and loans, net (b) | | | 971 | 971 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | | | 3,774 | 3,774 |
| Other assets | | | | |
| Interests retained in financial asset sales | | | 569 | 569 |
| Fair value of derivative contracts in receivable position | | | | |
| Interest rate | 43 | 2,908 | 179 | 3,130 |
| Foreign currency | | 246 | 4 | 250 |
| Total fair value of derivative contracts in receivable position | 43 | 3,154 | 183 | 3,380 |
| Collateral placed with counterparties (c) | 433 | | | 433 |
| Total assets | \$ 4,939 | \$ 16,937 | \$ 5,673 | \$ 27,549 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Long-term debt | | | | |
| On-balance sheet securitization debt (b) | \$ | \$ | \$ (922) | \$ (922) |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|---------|------------|------------|------------|
| Fair value of derivative contracts in payable position | | | | |
| Interest rate | (99) | (2,727) | (68) | (2,894) |
| Foreign currency | | (328) | (2) | (330) |
| Total fair value of derivative contracts in payable position | | | | |
| | (99) | (3,055) | (70) | (3,224) |
| Loan repurchase liabilities (b) | | | (14) | (14) |
| Trading liabilities | | (137) | | (137) |
| Total liabilities | | | | |
| | \$ (99) | \$ (3,192) | \$ (1,006) | \$ (4,297) |

- (a) Our investment in one industry did not exceed 18%.
- (b) Carried at fair value due to fair value option elections.
- (c) Represents collateral in the form of investment securities. Cash collateral was excluded above.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

| December 31, 2010 (\$ in millions) | Recurring fair value measurements | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Assets | | | | |
| Trading securities | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and federal agencies | \$ 77 | \$ | \$ | \$ 77 |
| Mortgage-backed residential | | 25 | 44 | 69 |
| Asset-backed | | | 94 | 94 |
| Total trading securities | 77 | 25 | 138 | 240 |
| Investment securities | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities | | | | |
| Debt securities | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and federal agencies | 3,313 | 5 | | 3,318 |
| States and political subdivisions | | 2 | | 2 |
| Foreign government | 873 | 375 | | 1,248 |
| Mortgage-backed residential | | 5,824 | 1 | 5,825 |
| Asset-backed | | 1,948 | | 1,948 |
| Corporate debt securities | | 1,558 | | 1,558 |
| Other debt securities | | 151 | | 151 |
| Total debt securities | 4,186 | 9,863 | 1 | 14,050 |
| Equity securities (a) | 796 | | | 796 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | 4,982 | 9,863 | 1 | 14,846 |
| Mortgage loans held-for-sale, net (b) | | 6,420 | 4 | 6,424 |
| Consumer mortgage finance receivables and loans, net (b) | | | 1,015 | 1,015 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | | | 3,738 | 3,738 |
| Other assets | | | | |
| Interests retained in financial asset sales | | | 568 | 568 |
| Fair value of derivative contracts in receivable position | | | | |
| Interest rate | 242 | 3,464 | 105 | 3,811 |
| Foreign currency | | 155 | | 155 |
| Total fair value of derivative contracts in receivable position | 242 | 3,619 | 105 | 3,966 |
| Collateral placed with counterparties (c) | 728 | | | 728 |
| Total assets | \$ 6,029 | \$ 19,927 | \$ 5,569 | \$ 31,525 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Long-term debt | | | | |
| On-balance sheet securitization debt (b) | \$ | \$ | \$ (972) | \$ (972) |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | | | | |
| Fair value of derivative contracts in payable position | | | | |
| Interest rate | (208) | (3,222) | (118) | (3,548) |
| Foreign currency | | (312) | | (312) |
| Total fair value of derivative contracts in payable position | (208) | (3,534) | (118) | (3,860) |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|
| Total liabilities | \$ (208) | \$ (3,534) | \$ (1,090) | \$ (4,832) |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|

- (a) Our investment in one industry did not exceed 23%.
- (b) Carried at fair value due to fair value option elections.
- (c) Represents collateral in the form of investment securities. Cash collateral was excluded above.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

The following tables present the reconciliation for all Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. We often economically hedge the fair value change of our assets or liabilities with derivatives and other financial instruments. The Level 3 items presented below may be hedged by derivatives and other financial instruments that are classified as Level 1 or Level 2. Thus, the following tables do not fully reflect the impact of our risk management activities.

| (\$ in millions) | Level 3 recurring fair value measurements | | | | | | | Fair value at March 31, 2011 | Net unrealized gains included in earnings still held at March 31, 2011 |
|--|---|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------------------------|--|
| | Fair value at January 1, 2011 | Net realized/unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings | included in other comprehensive income | Purchases | Sales | Issuances | Settlements | | |
| Assets | | | | | | | | | |
| Trading securities | | | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed residential Asset-backed | \$ 44 | \$ 1 (a) | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ (5) | \$ 40 | \$ 2 (a) |
| | 94 | | | | (94) | | | | |
| Total trading securities | 138 | 1 | | | (94) | | (5) | 40 | 2 |
| Investment securities | | | | | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities | | | | | | | | | |
| Debt securities | | | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed residential Asset-backed | 1 | | 23 | 94 | | | | 1 | 117 |
| Total investment securities | 1 | | 23 | 94 | | | | 118 | |
| Mortgage loans held-for-sale, net (b) | 4 | | | 14 | | | | 18 | |
| Consumer mortgage finance receivables and loans, net (b) | 1,015 | 73 (b) | 1 | | | | (118) | 971 | 16 (b) |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 3,738 | 116 (c) | | 2 | (266) (d) | 184 | | 3,774 | 116 (d) |
| Other assets | | | | | | | | | |
| Interests retained in financial asset sales | 568 | 23 (e) | | | | | (22) | 569 | (6)(e) |
| Derivative contracts, net | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate | (13) | 141 (f) | | | | | (17) | 111 | 123 (f) |
| Foreign currency | | 2 (f) | | | | | | 2 | 2 (f) |
| Total fair value of derivative contracts in receivable (payable) position, net | (13) | 143 | | | | | (17) | 113 | 125 |
| Total assets | \$ 5,451 | \$ 356 | \$ 24 | \$ 110 | \$ (360) | \$ 184 | \$ (162) | \$ 5,603 | \$ 253 |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | | | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|
| On-balance sheet securitization debt (b) | \$ (972) | \$ (67)(b) | \$ 1 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 116 | \$ (922) | \$ 5 (b) |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | | | | | | | | | |
| Loan repurchases liabilities (b) | | | | (14) | | | | (14) | |
| Total liabilities | \$ (972) | \$ (67) | \$ 1 | \$ (14) | \$ | \$ | \$ 116 | \$ (936) | \$ 5 |

- (a) The fair value adjustment was reported as other income, net of losses, and the related interest was reported as interest on trading securities in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income.
- (b) Carried at fair value due to fair value option elections. Refer to the next section of this note titled *Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Liabilities* for the location of the gains and losses in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income.
- (c) Fair value adjustment was reported as servicing-asset valuation and hedge activities, net, in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income.
- (d) Represents excess mortgage servicing rights transferred to an agency-controlled trust in exchange for trading securities. These securities were then sold instantaneously to third-party investors for \$266 million.
- (e) Reported as other income, net of losses, in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income.
- (f) Refer to Note 19 for information related to the location of the gains and losses on derivative instruments in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

| | Fair value at January 1, 2010 | Level 3 recurring fair value measurements | | | Fair value at March 31, 2010 | Net unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings still held at March 31, 2010 |
|--|--|---|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| | | Net realized/unrealized gain (losses) | included in earnings | included in other comprehensive income | | |
| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | |
| Trading securities | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed residential | \$ 99 | \$ 4 (a) | \$ | \$ (49) | \$ 54 | \$ 9 (a) |
| Asset-backed | 596 | | 2 | (509) | 89 | |
| Total trading securities | 695 | 4 | 2 | (558) | 143 | 9 |
| Investment securities | | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities | | | | | | |
| Debt securities | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed residential | 6 | | | (3) | 3 | |
| Asset-backed | 20 | | | (7) | 13 | |
| Total investment securities | 26 | | | (10) | 16 | |
| Consumer mortgage finance receivables and loans, net (b) | | | | | | |
| | 1,303 | 413 (b) | | 856 | 2,572 | 202 (b) |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 3,554 | (196) (c) | | 185 | 3,543 | (196) (c) |
| Other assets | | | | | | |
| Cash reserve deposits held-for-securitization trusts | | | | | | |
| | 31 | | | (29) | 2 | |
| Interests retained in financial asset sales | 471 | 3 (d) | | (63) | 411 | 2 (d) |
| Derivative contracts, net | | | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts in receivable (payable) position, net | | | | | | |
| | 103 | (145) (e) | | 46 | 4 | (57) (e) |
| Total assets | \$ 6,183 | \$ 79 | \$ 2 | \$ 427 | \$ 6,691 | \$ (40) |
| Liabilities | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | | | | | | |
| On-balance sheet securitization debt (b) | \$ (1,294) | \$ (413) (b) | \$ | \$ (677) | \$ (2,384) | \$ 241 (b) |
| Total liabilities | \$ (1,294) | \$ (413) | \$ | \$ (677) | \$ (2,384) | \$ (241) |

(a) The fair value adjustment was reported as other income, net of losses, and the related interest was reported as interest on trading securities in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income.

(b) Carried at fair value due to fair value option elections. Refer to next section of this note titled *Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Liabilities* for the location of the gains and losses in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income.

(c) Fair value adjustment was reported as servicing-asset valuation and hedge activities, net, in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income.

(d) Reported as other income, net of losses, in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income.

(e) Refer to Note 19 for information related to the location of the gains and losses on derivative instruments in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)****Nonrecurring Fair Value**

We may be required to measure certain assets and liabilities at fair value from time to time. These periodic fair value measures typically result from the application of lower-of-cost or fair value accounting or certain impairment measures. These items would constitute nonrecurring fair value measures.

The following tables display the assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis.

| March 31, 2011 (\$ in millions) | Nonrecurring fair value measures | | | Total | Lower-of-cost or fair value allowance or valuation reserve | Total gains included in earnings for the three months ended |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|---|--|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans held-for-sale (a) | \$ | \$ | \$ 597 | \$ 597 | \$ (50) | n/m (b) |
| Commercial finance receivables and loans, net (c) | | | | | | |
| Automotive | | | 416 | 416 | (46) | n/m (b) |
| Mortgage | | 13 | 26 | 39 | (15) | n/m (b) |
| Other | | | 93 | 93 | (41) | n/m (b) |
| Total commercial finance receivables and loans, net | | 13 | 535 | 548 | (102) | |
| Other assets | | | | | | |
| Real estate and other investments (d) | | 1 | | 1 | n/m | \$ |
| Repossessed and foreclosed assets, (e) | | 44 | 37 | 81 | (11) | n/m (b) |
| Total assets | \$ | \$ 58 | \$ 1,169 | \$ 1,227 | \$ (163) | \$ |

n/m = not meaningful

- (a) Represents loans held-for-sale that are required to be measured at the lower-of-cost or fair value. The table above includes only loans with fair values below cost during 2011. The related valuation allowance represents the cumulative adjustment to fair value of those specific loans.
- (b) We consider the applicable valuation or loan loss allowance to be the most relevant indicator of the impact on earnings caused by the fair value measurement. Accordingly, the table above excludes total gains and losses included in earnings for these items. The carrying values are inclusive of the respective valuation or loan loss allowance.
- (c) Represents the portion of the portfolio specifically impaired during 2011. The related valuation allowance represents the cumulative adjustment to fair value of those specific receivables.
- (d) Represents model homes impaired during 2011. The total loss included in earnings represents adjustments to the fair value of the portfolio based on the estimated fair value if the model home is under lease or the estimated value if the model home is marketed for sale.
- (e) The allowance provided for repossessed and foreclosed assets represents any cumulative valuation adjustment recognized to adjust the assets to fair value.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

| March 31, 2010 (\$ in millions) | Nonrecurring fair value measures | | | Total | Lower-of-cost or fair value or valuation reserve allowance | Total gains included in earnings for the three months ended |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | |
| Loans held-for-sale (a) | | | | | | |
| Automotive | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,155 | \$ 1,155 | \$ (141) | n/m (b) |
| Mortgage | | 277 | 720 | 997 | (112) | n/m (b) |
| Total loans held-for-sale | | 277 | 1,875 | 2,152 | (253) | |
| Commercial finance receivables and loans, net (c) | | | | | | |
| Automotive | | | 462 | 462 | (105) | n/m (b) |
| Mortgage | | 99 | 47 | 146 | (70) | n/m (b) |
| Other | | | 606 | 606 | (264) | n/m (b) |
| Total commercial finance receivables and loans, net | | 99 | 1,115 | 1,214 | (439) | |
| Other assets | | | | | | |
| Real estate and other investments (d) | | 34 | | 34 | n/m | \$ 1 |
| Repossessed and foreclosed assets (e) | | 76 | 81 | 157 | (44) | n/m (b) |
| Total assets | \$ | \$ 486 | \$ 3,071 | \$ 3,557 | \$ (736) | \$ 1 |

n/m = not meaningful

- (a) Represents assets held-for-sale that are required to be measured at the lower-of-cost or fair value. The table above includes only loans with fair values below cost during 2010. The related valuation allowance represents the cumulative adjustment to fair value of those specific loans.
- (b) We consider the applicable valuation or loan loss allowance to be the most relevant indicator of the impact on earnings caused by the fair value measurement. Accordingly, the table above excludes total gains and losses included in earnings for these items. The carrying values are inclusive of the respective valuation or loan loss allowance.
- (c) Represents the portion of the portfolio specifically impaired during 2010. The related valuation allowance represents the cumulative adjustment to fair value of those specific receivables.
- (d) Represents model homes impaired during 2010. The total loss included in earnings represents adjustments to the fair value of the portfolio based on the estimated fair value if the model home is under lease or the estimated value if the model home is marketed for sale.
- (e) The allowance provided for repossessed and foreclosed assets represents any cumulative valuation adjustment recognized to adjust the assets to fair value.

Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities

A description of the financial assets and liabilities elected to be measured at fair value is as follows. Our intent in electing fair value for all these items was to mitigate a divergence between accounting losses and economic exposure for certain assets and liabilities.

On-balance sheet mortgage securitizations We elected to measure at fair value certain domestic consumer mortgage finance receivables and loans and the related debt held in on-balance sheet mortgage securitization structures. The fair value-elected loans are classified as finance receivable and loans, net, on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. Our policy is to separately record interest income on the fair value-elected loans (unless the loans are placed on nonaccrual status); however, the accrued interest was

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

excluded from the fair value presentation. We classified the fair value adjustment recorded for the loans as other income, net of losses, in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income.

We continued to record the fair value-elected debt balances as long-term debt on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. Our policy is to separately record interest expense on the fair value-elected debt, which continues to be classified as interest on long-term debt in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income. We classified the fair value adjustment recorded for this fair value-elected debt as other income, net of losses, in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Conforming and government-insured mortgage loans held-for-sale We elected the fair value option for conforming and government-insured mortgage loans held-for-sale funded after July 31, 2009. We elected the fair value option to mitigate earnings volatility by better matching the accounting for the assets with the related hedges.

Excluded from the fair value option were conforming and government-insured loans funded on or prior to July 31, 2009, and those repurchased or rerecognized. The loans funded on or prior to July 31, 2009, were ineligible because the election must be made at the time of funding. Repurchased and rerecognized conforming and government-insured loans were not elected because the election will not mitigate earning volatility. We repurchase or rerecognize loans due to representation and warranty obligations or conditional repurchase options. Typically, we will be unable to resell these assets through regular channels due to characteristics of the assets. Since the fair value of these assets is influenced by factors that cannot be hedged, we did not elect the fair value option.

We carry the fair value-elected conforming and government-insured loans as loans held-for-sale, net, on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. Our policy is to separately record interest income on the fair value-elected loans (unless they are placed on nonaccrual status); however, the accrued interest was excluded from the fair value presentation. Upfront fees and costs related to the fair value-elected loans were not deferred or capitalized. The fair value adjustment recorded for these loans is classified as gain (loss) on mortgage loans, net, in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income. In accordance with GAAP, the fair value option election is irrevocable once the asset is funded even if it is subsequently determined that a particular loan cannot be sold.

Nongovernment eligible mortgage loans held-for-sale subject to conditional repurchase options As of January 1, 2011, we elected the fair value option for both nongovernment eligible mortgage loans held-for-sale subject to conditional repurchase options and the related liability. These conditional repurchase options within our private label securitizations allow us to repurchase a transferred financial asset if certain events outside our control are met. The typical conditional repurchase option is a delinquent loan repurchase option that gives us the option to purchase the loan if it exceeds a certain prespecified delinquency level. We have complete discretion regarding when or if we will exercise these options, but generally we would do so only when it is in our best interest. We record the asset and the corresponding liability on our balance sheet when the option becomes exercisable. The fair value option election must be made at initial recording. As such, the conditional repurchase option assets and liabilities recorded prior to January 1, 2011, were ineligible for the fair value election.

We carry these fair value-elected optional repurchase loan balance as loans held-for-sale, net, on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. The fair value adjustment recorded for these loans is classified as other income, net of losses, in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income. We carry the fair value elected corresponding liability as accrued expenses and other liabilities on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. The fair value adjustment recorded for these liabilities are classified as other income, net of losses, in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

The following table summarizes the fair value option elections and information regarding the amounts recorded as earnings for each fair value option-elected item.

| | Changes included in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | Interest and fees on finance receivables and loans | Interest on loans held-for-sale | Interest on long-term debt | Gain on mortgage loans, net | Other income, net of losses | Total included in earnings | Change in fair value due to credit risk (a) |
| Three months ended March 31, (\$ in millions) | | | | | | | |
| 2011 | | | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans held-for-sale, net | \$ | \$ 41 (b) | \$ | \$ 142 | \$ | \$ 183 | \$ (c) |
| Consumer mortgage finance receivables and loans, net | 54 (b) | | | | 19 | 73 | (17) (d) |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | |
| Secured debt | | | | | | | |
| On-balance sheet securitization debt | \$ | \$ | \$ (31) (e) | \$ | \$ (36) | \$ (67) | \$ 27 (f) |
| Total | | | | | | \$ 189 | |
| 2010 | | | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans held-for-sale, net | \$ | \$ 56 (b) | \$ | \$ 184 | \$ | \$ 240 | \$ (c) |
| Consumer mortgage finance receivables and loans, net | 169 (b) | | | | 244 | 413 | (34) (d) |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | |
| Secured debt | | | | | | | |
| On-balance sheet securitization debt | \$ | \$ | \$ (96) (e) | \$ | \$ (317) | \$ (413) | \$ 37 (f) |
| Total | | | | | | \$ 240 | |

- (a) Factors other than credit quality that impact fair value include changes in market interest rates and the illiquidity or marketability in the current marketplace. Lower levels of observable data points in illiquid markets generally result in wide bid/offer spreads.
- (b) Interest income is measured by multiplying the unpaid principal balance on the loans by the coupon rate and the number of days of interest due.
- (c) The credit impact for agency eligible loans held-for-sale is assumed to be zero because the loans are either suitable for sale or are covered by a government guarantee. The credit impact for nonagency eligible loans was quantified by applying internal credit loss assumptions to cash flow models.
- (d) The credit impact for consumer mortgage finance receivables and loans was quantified by applying internal credit loss assumptions to cash flow models.
- (e) Interest expense is measured by multiplying bond principal by the coupon rate and the number of days of interest due to the investor.
- (f) The credit impact for on-balance sheet securitization debt is assumed to be zero until our economic interests in a particular securitization is reduced to zero at which point the losses on the underlying collateral will be expected to be passed through to third-party bondholders. Losses allocated to third-party bondholders, including changes in the amount of losses allocated, will result in fair value changes due to credit. We also monitor credit ratings and will make

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

credit adjustments to the extent any bond classes are downgraded by rating agencies.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

The following table provides the aggregate fair value and the aggregate unpaid principal balance for the fair value option-elected loans and long-term debt instruments.

| (\$ in millions) | March 31, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | Unpaid principal balance | Fair value (a) | Unpaid principal balance | Fair value (a) |
| Assets | | | | |
| Mortgage loans held-for-sale, net | | | | |
| Total loans | \$ 2,870 | \$ 2,946 | \$ 6,354 | \$ 6,424 |
| Nonaccrual loans | 26 | 15 | 3 | 1 |
| Loans 90+ days past due (b) | 25 | 14 | | |
| Consumer mortgage finance receivables and loans, net | | | | |
| Total loans | 2,811 | 971 | 2,905 | 1,015 |
| Nonaccrual loans (c) | 565 | 246 | 586 | 260 |
| Loans 90+ days past due (b) (c) | 382 | 184 | 366 | 184 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Secured debt | | | | |
| On-balance sheet securitization debt | \$ (2,886) | \$ (922) | \$ (2,969) | \$ (972) |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | | | | |
| Loan repurchase liabilities | (25) | (14) | | |

(a) Excludes accrued interest receivable.

(b) Loans 90+ days past due are also presented within the nonaccrual loan balance and the total loan balance; however, excludes government-insured loans that are still accruing interest.

(c) The fair value of consumer mortgage finance receivables and loans is calculated on a pooled basis; therefore, we allocated the fair value of nonaccrual loans and loans 90+ days past due to individual loans based on the unpaid principal balances. For further discussion regarding the pooled basis, refer to the previous section of this note titled *Consumer mortgage finance receivables and loans, net*.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following table presents the carrying and estimated fair value of assets and liabilities that are considered financial instruments. Accordingly, items that do not meet the definition of a financial instrument are excluded from the table. When possible, we use quoted market prices to determine fair value. Where quoted market prices are not available, the fair value is internally derived based on appropriate valuation methodologies with respect to the amount and timing of future cash flows and estimated discount rates. However, considerable judgment is required in interpreting market data to develop estimates of fair value, so the estimates are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized or would be paid in a current market exchange. The effect of using different market assumptions or estimation methodologies could be material to the estimated fair values. Fair value information presented herein was based on information available at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010.

| (\$ in millions) | March 31, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|-------------------------|----------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Carrying value | Fair value | Carrying value | Fair value |
| Financial assets | | | | |
| Trading securities | \$ 75 | \$ 75 | \$ 240 | \$ 240 |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Investment securities | 15,401 | 15,401 | 14,846 | 14,846 |
| Loans held-for-sale, net | 7,496 | 7,552 | 11,411 | 11,449 |
| Finance receivables and loans, net | 105,653 | 104,856 | 100,540 | 99,462 |
| Interests retained in financial asset sales | 569 | 569 | 568 | 568 |
| Fair value of derivative contracts in receivable position | 3,380 | 3,380 | 3,966 | 3,966 |
| Collateral placed with counterparties (a) | 433 | 433 | 728 | 728 |
| Financial liabilities | | | | |
| Deposit liabilities (b) | \$ 38,786 | \$ 38,793 | \$ 37,291 | \$ 37,546 |
| Short-term borrowings | 7,395 | 7,386 | 7,508 | 7,509 |
| Long-term debt (c) | 88,725 | 90,688 | 87,181 | 88,996 |
| Fair value of derivative contracts in liability position | 3,224 | 3,224 | 3,860 | 3,860 |
| Trading liabilities | 137 | 137 | | |

(a) Represents collateral in the form of investment securities. Cash collateral was excluded above.

(b) The carrying value and fair value amounts exclude dealer deposits.

(c) Debt includes deferred interest for zero-coupon bonds of \$586 million and \$569 million at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

The following describes the methodologies and assumptions used to determine fair value for the significant classes of financial instruments. In addition to the valuation methods discussed below, we also followed guidelines for determining whether a market was not active and a transaction was not distressed. As such, we assumed the price that would be received in an orderly transaction (including a market-based return) and not in forced liquidation or distressed sale.

Investment securities Bonds, equity securities, notes, and other available-for-sale investment securities are carried at fair value. Refer to the previous section of this note titled *Available-for-sale securities* for a description of the methodologies and assumptions used to determine fair value. The fair value of the held-to-maturity investment securities is based on valuation models using market-based assumption.

Loans held-for-sale, net Refer to the previous sections of this note also titled *Loans held-for-sale, net*, for a description of methodologies and assumptions used to determine fair value.

Finance receivables and loans, net With the exception of mortgage loans held-for-investment, the fair value of finance receivables was based on discounted future cash flows using applicable spreads to approximate current rates applicable to each category of finance receivables (an income approach). The carrying value of wholesale receivables in certain markets and certain other automotive- and mortgage-lending receivables for which interest rates reset on a short-term basis with applicable market indices are assumed to approximate fair value either because of the short-term nature or because of the interest rate adjustment feature. The fair value of wholesale receivables in other markets was based on discounted future cash flows using applicable spreads to approximate current rates applicable to similar assets in those markets.

For mortgage loans held-for-investment used as collateral for securitization debt, we used a portfolio approach or an in-use premise to measure these loans at fair value. The objective in fair valuing these loans (which are legally isolated and beyond the reach of our creditors) and the related collateralized borrowings is to reflect our retained economic position in the securitizations. For mortgage loans held-for-investment that are not securitized, we used valuation methods and assumptions similar to those used for mortgage loans held-for-sale. These valuations consider unique attributes of the loans such as geography, delinquency status, product type, and other factors. Refer to the previous section in this note titled *Loans held-for-sale, net*, for a description of methodologies and assumptions used to determine the fair value of mortgage loans held-for-sale.

Derivative assets and liabilities Refer to the previous section of this note titled *Derivative instruments* for a description of the methodologies and assumptions used to determine fair value.

Collateral placed with counterparties Collateral placed with counterparties in the table above represents only collateral in the form of investment securities. Refer to the previous section of this note also titled *Collateral placed with counterparties* for additional information.

Interests retained in financial asset sales Interest retained in financial asset sales are carried at fair value. Refer to the previous sections of this note titled *Interests retained in financial asset sales* for a description of the methodologies and assumptions used to determine fair value.

Debt The fair value of debt was determined using quoted market prices for the same or similar issues, if available, or was based on the current rates offered to us for debt with similar remaining maturities.

Deposit liabilities Deposit liabilities represent certain consumer bank deposits as well as mortgage escrow deposits. The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity is equal to their carrying amount. The fair value of fixed-maturity deposits was estimated by discounting cash flows using currently offered rates for deposits of similar maturities.

22. Segment Information

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise that engage in business activity from which revenues are earned and expenses incurred for which discrete financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by our chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Basis of Presentation

We report our results of operations on a line-of-business basis through five operating segments – North American Automotive Finance operations, International Automotive Finance operations, Insurance operations, Mortgage Origination and Servicing operations, and Mortgage Legacy Portfolio and Other operations, with the remaining activity reported in Corporate and Other. The operating segments are determined based on the products and services offered and geographic considerations, and reflect the manner in which financial information is currently evaluated by management. The following is a description of each of our reportable operating segments.

North American Automotive Finance operations – Provides automotive financing services to consumers and automotive dealers in the United States and Canada and includes the automotive activities of Ally Bank and ResMor Trust. For consumers, we offer retail automotive financing and leasing for new and used vehicles, and through our commercial automotive financing operations, we fund dealer purchases of new and used vehicles through wholesale or floorplan financing.

International Automotive Finance operations – Provides automotive financing and full-service leasing to consumers and dealers outside of the United States and Canada. Our International Automotive Finance operations will focus the majority of new originations in five core international markets: Germany, the United Kingdom, Brazil, Mexico, and China through our joint venture.

Insurance operations – Offers consumer and commercial insurance products sold primarily through the dealer channel including vehicle extended service contracts, commercial insurance coverage in the United States and internationally (primarily covering dealers' wholesale vehicle inventory), and personal automobile insurance in certain countries outside the United States.

Mortgage Origination and Servicing operations – The principal activities include originating, purchasing, selling, and securitizing conforming and government-insured residential mortgage loans in the United States and Canada; servicing residential mortgage loans for ourselves and others; and providing collateralized lines of credit to other mortgage originators, which we refer to as warehouse lending. We also originate high-quality prime jumbo mortgage loans in the United States. We finance our mortgage loan originations primarily in Ally Bank in the United States and in our trust company, ResMor Trust, in Canada.

Mortgage Legacy Portfolio and Other operations – Primarily consists of loans originated prior to January 1, 2009, and includes noncore business activities including discontinued operations, portfolios in runoff, our mortgage reinsurance business, and cash held in the ResCap legal entity. These activities, all of which we have discontinued, included, among other things: lending to real estate developers and homebuilders in the United States and United Kingdom; and purchasing, selling, and securitizing nonconforming residential mortgage loans (with the exception of U.S. prime jumbo mortgage loans) in both the United States and internationally. Corporate and Other consists of our Commercial Finance Group, certain equity investments, other corporate activities, the residual impacts of our corporate funds transfer pricing (FTP) and treasury asset liability management activities (ALM), and reclassifications and eliminations between the reportable operating segments.

We utilize an FTP methodology for the majority of our business operations. The FTP methodology assigns charge rates and credit rates to classes of assets and liabilities based on expected duration and the LIBOR swap curve plus an assumed credit spread. Matching duration allocates interest income and interest expense to these reportable segments so their respective results are insulated from interest rate risk. This

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

methodology is consistent with our ALM practices, which includes managing interest rate risk centrally at a corporate level. The net residual impact of the FTP methodology is included within the results of Corporate and Other as summarized below.

The information presented in our reportable operating segments and geographic areas tables that follow are based in part on internal allocations, which involve management judgment.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Financial information for our reportable operating segments is summarized as follows.

| Three months ended March 31, (\$ in millions) | Global Automotive Services | | | Mortgage (a) | | | Consolidated (d) |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| | North | | Insurance operations | Legacy | | | |
| | American | International | | Portfolio | | | |
| | Automotive Finance operations | Automotive Finance operations (b) | | Origination and Servicing operations | and Other operations | Corporate and Other (c) | |
| 2011 | | | | | | | |
| Net financing revenue (loss) | \$ 818 | \$ 171 | \$ 22 | \$ (30) | \$ 78 | \$ (522) | \$ 537 |
| Other revenue | 109 | 75 | 498 | 351 | 12 | 25 | 1,070 |
| Total net revenue (loss) | 927 | 246 | 520 | 321 | 90 | (497) | 1,607 |
| Provision for loan losses | 46 | 37 | | 2 | 45 | (17) | 113 |
| Other noninterest expense | 363 | 169 | 386 | 246 | 84 | 144 | 1,392 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax | \$ 518 | \$ 40 | \$ 134 | \$ 73 | \$ (39) | \$ (624) | \$ 102 |
| Total assets | \$ 87,662 | \$ 16,295 | \$ 9,024 | \$ 19,164 | \$ 11,809 | \$ 29,750 | \$ 173,704 |
| 2010 | | | | | | | |
| Net financing revenue (loss) | \$ 872 | \$ 178 | \$ 30 | \$ (11) | \$ 193 | \$ (510) | \$ 752 |
| Other revenue | 203 | 92 | 591 | 346 | 23 | (157) | 1,098 |
| Total net revenue (loss) | 1,075 | 270 | 621 | 335 | 216 | (667) | 1,850 |
| Provision for loan losses | 101 | 21 | | 1 | 6 | 15 | 144 |
| Other noninterest expense | 362 | 202 | 438 | 263 | 125 | 129 | 1,519 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax | \$ 612 | \$ 47 | \$ 183 | \$ 71 | \$ 85 | \$ (811) | \$ 187 |
| Total assets | \$ 74,786 | \$ 19,378 | \$ 9,083 | \$ 16,491 | \$ 28,045 | \$ 31,644 | \$ 179,427 |

(a) Represents the ResCap legal entity and the mortgage activities of Ally Bank and ResMor Trust.

(b) Amounts include intrasegment eliminations between our North American Automotive Finance operations, International Automotive Finance operations, and Insurance operations.

(c) Total assets for the Commercial Finance Group were \$1.4 billion and \$3.0 billion at March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(d) Net financing revenue (loss) after the provision for loan losses totaled \$424 million and \$608 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Information concerning principal geographic areas was as follows.

| Three months ended March 31, (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | Revenue (a) | Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit) (b) | Net income (loss) (b) |
|--|-------------|--|--------------------------|
| 2011 | | | |
| Canada | \$ 187 | \$ 76 | \$ 176 |
| Europe | 169 | 63 | 50 |
| Latin America | 237 | 73 | 32 |
| Asia | | | (1) |
| Total foreign | 593 | 212 | 257 |
| Total domestic (c) | 1,014 | (110) | (111) |
| Total | \$ 1,607 | \$ 102 | \$ 146 |
| 2010 | | | |
| Canada | \$ 161 | \$ 50 | \$ 44 |
| Europe | 205 | (44) | (34) |
| Latin America | 207 | 45 | 35 |
| Asia | 3 | 7 | (23) |
| Total foreign | 576 | 58 | 22 |
| Total domestic (c) | 1,274 | 129 | 140 |
| Total | \$ 1,850 | \$ 187 | \$ 162 |

(a) Revenue consists of net financing revenue and total other revenue as presented in our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income.

(b) The domestic amounts include original discount amortization of \$299 million and \$296 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(c) Amounts include eliminations between our domestic and foreign operations.

23. Parent and Guarantor Consolidating Financial Statements

Certain of our senior notes are guaranteed by a group of subsidiaries (the Guarantors). The Guarantors, each of which is a 100% directly owned subsidiary of Ally Financial Inc, are Ally US LLC, IB Finance Holding Company, LLC, GMAC Latin America Holdings LLC, GMAC International Holdings B.V., and GMAC Continental LLC. The Guarantors fully and unconditionally guarantee the senior notes on a joint and several basis.

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

The following financial statements present condensed consolidating financial data for (i) Ally Financial Inc. (on a parent company only basis), (ii) the combined Guarantors, (iii) the combined nonguarantor subsidiaries (all other subsidiaries), (iv) an elimination column for adjustments to arrive at the information for the parent company, Guarantors, and nonguarantors on a consolidated basis, and (v) the parent company and our subsidiaries on a consolidated basis.

Investments in subsidiaries are accounted for by the parent company and the Guarantors using the equity method for this presentation. Results of operations of subsidiaries are therefore classified in the parent company's and Guarantors' investment in subsidiaries accounts. The elimination entries set forth in the following condensed consolidating financial statements eliminate distributed and undistributed income of subsidiaries, investments in subsidiaries, and intercompany balances and transactions between the parent, Guarantors, and nonguarantors.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income

| Three months ended March 31, 2011 (\$ in millions) | Parent | Guarantors | Nonguarantors | Consolidating adjustments | Ally consolidated |
|---|--------------|------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Financing revenue and other interest income | | | | | |
| Interest and fees on finance receivables and loans | \$ 252 | \$ 7 | \$ 1,364 | \$ | \$ 1,623 |
| Interest and fees on finance receivables and loans intercompany | 91 | 5 | 7 | (103) | |
| Interest on loans held-for-sale | | | 108 | | 108 |
| Interest on trading facilities | | | 3 | | 3 |
| Interest and dividends on available-for-sale investment securities | 3 | | 101 | | 104 |
| Interest and dividends on available-for-sale investment securities intercompany | | | 2 | (2) | |
| Interest-bearing cash | 3 | | 9 | | 12 |
| Operating leases | 308 | | 372 | | 680 |
| Total financing revenue and other interest income | 657 | 12 | 1,966 | (105) | 2,530 |
| Interest expense | | | | | |
| Interest on deposits | 15 | | 157 | | 172 |
| Interest on short-term borrowings | 13 | | 113 | | 126 |
| Interest on long-term debt | 988 | 2 | 420 | | 1,410 |
| Interest on intercompany debt | (4) | 7 | 102 | (105) | |
| Total interest expense | 1,012 | 9 | 792 | (105) | 1,708 |
| Depreciation expense on operating lease assets | 131 | | 154 | | 285 |
| Net financing (loss) revenue | (486) | 3 | 1,020 | | 537 |
| Dividends from subsidiary | | | | | |
| Nonbank subsidiaries | 501 | | | (501) | |
| Other revenue | | | | | |
| Servicing fees | 80 | | 291 | | 371 |
| Servicing asset valuation and hedge activities, net | | | (87) | | (87) |
| Total servicing income, net | 80 | | 204 | | 284 |
| Insurance premiums and service revenue earned | | | 433 | | 433 |
| Gain on mortgage and automotive loans, net | | | 92 | | 92 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | (39) | | | | (39) |
| Other gain on investments, net | 9 | | 75 | | 84 |
| Other income, net of losses | 15 | | 349 | (148) | 216 |
| Total other revenue | 65 | | 1,153 | (148) | 1,070 |
| Total net revenue | 80 | 3 | 2,173 | (649) | 1,607 |
| Provision for loan losses | 83 | (1) | 31 | | 113 |
| Noninterest expense | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Compensation and benefits expense | 198 | 2 | 234 | | 434 |
| Insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses | | | 186 | | 186 |
| Other operating expenses | 118 | 1 | 801 | (148) | 772 |
| Total noninterest expense | 316 | 3 | 1,221 | (148) | 1,392 |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations before income tax (benefit) expense and undistributed income of subsidiaries | (319) | 1 | 921 | (501) | 102 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense from continuing operations | (133) | | 65 | | (68) |
| Net (loss) income from continuing operations | (186) | 1 | 856 | (501) | 170 |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | (5) | | (19) | | (24) |
| Undistributed income of subsidiaries | | | | | |
| Bank subsidiary | 251 | 251 | | (502) | |
| Nonbank subsidiaries | 86 | 197 | | (283) | |
| Net income | \$ 146 | \$ 449 | \$ 837 | \$ (1,286) | \$ 146 |

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

| Three months ended March 31, 2010 (\$ in millions) | Parent | Guarantors | Nonguarantors | Consolidating adjustments | Ally consolidated |
|--|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Financing revenue and other interest income | | | | | |
| Interest and fees on finance receivables and loans | \$ 316 | \$ 8 | \$ 1,294 | \$ | \$ 1,618 |
| Interest and fees on finance receivables and loans intercompany | 177 | 1 | 1 | (179) | |
| Interest on loans held-for-sale | 46 | | 169 | | 215 |
| Interest on trading facilities | | | 1 | | 1 |
| Interest and dividends on available-for-sale investment securities | | | 101 | (2) | 99 |
| Interest and dividends on available-for-sale investment securities intercompany | 22 | | 1 | (23) | |
| Interest-bearing cash | 1 | | 13 | | 14 |
| Operating leases | 200 | | 963 | | 1,163 |
| Total financing revenue and other interest income | 762 | 9 | 2,543 | (204) | 3,110 |
| Interest expense | | | | | |
| Interest on deposits | 10 | | 148 | | 158 |
| Interest on short-term borrowings | 9 | | 102 | | 111 |
| Interest on long-term debt | 908 | 5 | 520 | | 1,433 |
| Interest on intercompany debt | (6) | 1 | 169 | (164) | |
| Total interest expense | 921 | 6 | 939 | (164) | 1,702 |
| Depreciation expense on operating lease assets | 70 | | 586 | | 656 |
| Net financing (loss) revenue | (229) | 3 | 1,018 | (40) | 752 |
| Dividends from subsidiaries | | | | | |
| Nonbank subsidiaries | 5 | | | (5) | |
| Other revenue | | | | | |
| Servicing fees | 144 | | 241 | | 385 |
| Servicing asset valuation and hedge activities, net | | | (133) | | (133) |
| Total servicing income, net | 144 | | 108 | | 252 |
| Insurance premiums and service revenue earned | | | 468 | | 468 |
| (Loss) gain on mortgage and automotive loans, net | (31) | | 302 | | 271 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | (116) | | (2) | | (118) |
| Other gain on investments, net | | | 144 | (1) | 143 |
| Other income, net of losses | (76) | | 295 | (137) | 82 |
| Total other revenue | (79) | | 1,315 | (138) | 1,098 |
| Total net revenue | (303) | 3 | 2,333 | (183) | 1,850 |
| Provision for loan losses | (19) | | 163 | | 144 |
| Noninterest expense | | | | | |
| Compensation and benefits expense | 195 | 3 | 228 | | 426 |
| Insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses | | | 211 | | 211 |
| Other operating expenses | 153 | 13 | 854 | (138) | 882 |
| Total noninterest expense | 348 | 16 | 1,293 | (138) | 1,519 |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations before income tax (benefit) expense and undistributed income of subsidiaries | (632) | (13) | 877 | (45) | 187 |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|--------|----------|--------|
| Income tax (benefit) expense from continuing operations | (165) | | 201 | | 36 |
| Net (loss) income from continuing operations | (467) | (13) | 676 | (45) | 151 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 16 | | (5) | | 11 |
| Undistributed income of subsidiaries | | | | | |
| Bank subsidiary | 225 | 225 | | (450) | |
| Nonbank subsidiaries | 388 | 51 | | (439) | |
| Net income | \$ 162 | \$ 263 | \$ 671 | \$ (934) | \$ 162 |

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet

| March 31, 2011 (\$ in millions) | Parent | Guarantors | Nonguarantors | Consolidating adjustments | Ally consolidated |
|--|-----------|------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Assets | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | | | | |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$ 1,288 | \$ | \$ 364 | \$ | \$ 1,652 |
| Interest-bearing | 4,652 | 2 | 6,640 | | 11,294 |
| Interest-bearing intercompany | | | 394 | (394) | |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 5,940 | 2 | 7,398 | (394) | 12,946 |
| Trading securities | | | 75 | | 75 |
| Investment securities | | | 15,401 | | 15,401 |
| Investment securities intercompany | | | | | |
| Loans held-for-sale, net | | | 7,496 | | 7,496 |
| Finance receivables and loans, net | | | | | |
| Finance receivables and loans, net | 17,682 | 389 | 89,388 | | 107,459 |
| Intercompany loans to | | | | | |
| Bank subsidiary | 2,250 | | | (2,250) | |
| Nonbank subsidiaries | 9,284 | 388 | 460 | (10,132) | |
| Allowance for loan losses | (358) | (1) | (1,447) | | (1,806) |
| Total finance receivables and loans, net | 28,858 | 776 | 88,401 | (12,382) | 105,653 |
| Investment in operating leases, net | 4,973 | | 3,925 | | 8,898 |
| Intercompany receivables from | | | | | |
| Bank subsidiary | 5,812 | | | (5,812) | |
| Nonbank subsidiaries | | 214 | | (214) | |
| Investment in subsidiaries | | | | | |
| Bank subsidiary | 11,165 | 11,164 | | (22,329) | |
| Nonbank subsidiaries | 16,928 | 3,742 | | (20,670) | |
| Mortgage servicing rights | | | 3,774 | | 3,774 |
| Premiums receivable and other insurance assets | (6) | | 2,184 | (3) | 2,175 |
| Other assets | 3,119 | 4 | 14,507 | (867) | 16,763 |
| Assets of operations held-for-sale | (134) | | 657 | | 523 |
| Total assets | \$ 76,655 | \$ 15,902 | \$ 143,818 | \$ (62,671) | \$ 173,704 |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Deposit liabilities | | | | | |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$ | \$ | \$ 2,064 | \$ | \$ 2,064 |
| Interest-bearing | 1,591 | | 37,041 | | 38,632 |
| Total deposit liabilities | 1,591 | | 39,105 | | 40,696 |
| Short-term borrowings | 2,831 | 50 | 5,061 | (547) | 7,395 |
| Long-term debt | 44,051 | 260 | 43,828 | | 88,139 |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Intercompany debt to | | | | | |
| Nonbank subsidiaries | 394 | 460 | 11,375 | (12,229) | |
| Intercompany payables to | | | | | |
| Nonbank subsidiaries | 4,881 | | 1,110 | (5,991) | |
| Interest payable | 1,174 | 4 | 672 | | 1,850 |
| Unearned insurance premiums and service revenue | | | 2,842 | | 2,842 |
| Reserves for insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses | | | 828 | | 828 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 1,326 | 6 | 10,574 | (905) | 11,001 |
| Liabilities of operations held-for-sale | | | 546 | | 546 |
| Total liabilities | 56,248 | 780 | 115,941 | (19,672) | 153,297 |
| Total equity | 20,407 | 15,122 | 27,877 | (42,999) | 20,407 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 76,655 | \$ 15,902 | \$ 143,818 | \$ (62,671) | \$ 173,704 |

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

| December 31, 2010 (\$ in millions) | Parent | Guarantors | Nonguarantors | Consolidating adjustments | Ally consolidated |
|--|-----------|------------|---------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | | | | |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$ 1,251 | \$ | \$ 463 | \$ | \$ 1,714 |
| Interest-bearing | 3,414 | 1 | 6,541 | | 9,956 |
| Interest-bearing intercompany | | | 504 | (504) | |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 4,665 | 1 | 7,508 | (504) | 11,670 |
| Trading securities | | | 240 | | 240 |
| Investment securities | 1,488 | | 13,358 | | 14,846 |
| Investment securities intercompany | 2 | | | (2) | |
| Loans held-for-sale, net | | | 11,411 | | 11,411 |
| Finance receivables and loans, net | | | | | |
| Finance receivables and loans, net | 10,047 | 425 | 91,941 | | 102,413 |
| Intercompany loans to | | | | | |
| Bank subsidiary | 3,650 | | | (3,650) | |
| Nonbank subsidiaries | 9,461 | 367 | 463 | (10,291) | |
| Allowance for loan losses | (266) | (1) | (1,606) | | (1,873) |
| Total finance receivables and loans, net | 22,892 | 791 | 90,798 | (13,941) | 100,540 |
| Investment in operating leases, net | 3,864 | | 5,264 | | 9,128 |
| Intercompany receivables from | | | | | |
| Bank subsidiary | 5,930 | | | (5,930) | |
| Nonbank subsidiaries | | 213 | | (213) | |
| Investment in subsidiaries | | | | | |
| Bank subsidiary | 10,886 | 10,886 | | (21,772) | |
| Nonbank subsidiaries | 23,632 | 3,123 | | (26,755) | |
| Mortgage servicing rights | | | 3,738 | | 3,738 |
| Premiums receivable and other insurance assets | | | 2,190 | (9) | 2,181 |
| Other assets | 2,912 | 3 | 15,539 | (890) | 17,564 |
| Assets of operations held-for-sale | (160) | | 850 | | 690 |
| Total assets | \$ 76,111 | \$ 15,017 | \$ 150,896 | \$ (70,016) | \$ 172,008 |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Deposit liabilities | | | | | |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$ | \$ | \$ 2,131 | \$ | \$ 2,131 |
| Interest-bearing | 1,459 | | 35,458 | | 36,917 |
| Total deposit liabilities | 1,459 | | 37,589 | | 39,048 |
| Short-term borrowings | 2,519 | 89 | 4,900 | | 7,508 |
| Long-term debt | 43,897 | 239 | 42,476 | | 86,612 |
| Intercompany debt to | | | | | |
| Nonbank subsidiaries | 504 | 462 | 13,481 | (14,447) | |
| Intercompany payables to | | | | | |
| Nonbank subsidiaries | 4,466 | | 1,716 | (6,182) | |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Interest payable | 1,229 | 3 | 597 | | 1,829 |
| Unearned insurance premiums and service revenue | | | 2,854 | | 2,854 |
| Reserves for insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses | | | 862 | | 862 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 1,548 | 1 | 11,437 | (860) | 12,126 |
| Liabilities of operations held-for-sale | | | 680 | | 680 |
| Total liabilities | 55,622 | 794 | 116,592 | (21,489) | 151,519 |
| Total equity | 20,489 | 14,223 | 34,304 | (48,527) | 20,489 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 76,111 | \$ 15,017 | \$ 150,896 | \$ (70,016) | \$ 172,008 |

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows

| | | | | | Ally |
|--|----------|------------|---------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| Three months ended March 31, 2011 | | | | | |
| (\$ in millions) | Parent | Guarantors | Nonguarantors | Consolidating adjustments | consolidated |
| Operating activities | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 7,381 | \$ 5 | \$ (3,843) | \$ (501) | \$ 3,042 |
| Investing activities | | | | | |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | | | (5,529) | | (5,529) |
| Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities | 1,494 | | 2,981 | | 4,475 |
| Proceeds from maturities of available-for-sale securities | 1 | | 1,102 | | 1,103 |
| Net (increase) decrease in finance receivables and loans | (7,669) | 35 | 3,385 | | (4,249) |
| Net decrease (increase) in loans intercompany | 1,578 | (22) | 2 | (1,558) | |
| Net (increase) decrease in operating lease assets | (1,293) | | 1,242 | | (51) |
| Capital contributions to subsidiaries | (50) | (50) | | 100 | |
| Proceeds from sale of business units, net | | | 46 | | 46 |
| Other, net | (229) | 2 | 818 | | 591 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (6,168) | (35) | 4,047 | (1,458) | (3,614) |
| Financing activities | | | | | |
| Net change in short-term debt third party | 312 | (39) | (186) | | 87 |
| Net increase in bank deposits | | | 1,670 | | 1,670 |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt third party | 2,088 | 28 | 11,688 | | 13,804 |
| Repayments of long-term debt third party | (2,131) | (7) | (11,073) | | (13,211) |
| Net change in debt intercompany | (110) | (2) | (1,556) | 1,668 | |
| Dividends paid third party | (228) | | | | (228) |
| Dividends paid and returns of contributed capital intercompany | | | (501) | 501 | |
| Capital contributions from parent | | 50 | 50 | (100) | |
| Other, net | 131 | | (48) | | 83 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 62 | 30 | 44 | 2,069 | 2,205 |
| Effect of exchange-rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | | | (266) | | (266) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 1,275 | | (18) | 110 | 1,367 |
| Adjustment for change in cash and cash equivalents of operations held-for-sale | | | (91) | | (91) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 4,665 | 2 | 7,507 | (504) | 11,670 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at March 31 | \$ 5,940 | \$ 2 | \$ 7,398 | \$ (394) | \$ 12,946 |

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

Three months ended March 31, 2010

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | Parent | Guarantors | Nonguarantors | Consolidating adjustments | Ally consolidated |
|--|---------|------------|---------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Operating activities | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 636 | \$ 30 | \$ 6,714 | \$ (7) | \$ 7,373 |
| Investing activities | | | | | |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | | | (4,735) | | (4,735) |
| Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities | 24 | | 2,664 | (24) | 2,664 |
| Proceeds from maturities of available-for-sale securities | | | 2,873 | | 2,873 |
| Net increase in investment securities intercompany | | | (332) | 332 | |
| Net (increase) decrease in finance receivables and loans | (1,763) | 45 | (1,853) | | (3,571) |
| Proceeds from sales of finance receivables and loans | 6 | | 1,181 | | 1,187 |
| Net decrease in loans intercompany | 1,955 | 47 | 33 | (2,035) | |
| Net (increase) decrease in operating lease assets | (1,868) | | 3,301 | | 1,433 |
| Capital contributions to subsidiaries | (160) | (62) | | 222 | |
| Returns of contributed capital | 123 | | | (123) | |
| Proceeds from sale of business units, net | | | (526) | | (526) |
| Other, net | 49 | | 557 | | 606 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (1,634) | 30 | 3,163 | (1,628) | (69) |
| Financing activities | | | | | |
| Net change in short-term debt third party | 110 | (14) | (2,725) | | (2,629) |
| Net increase in bank deposits | | | 752 | | 752 |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt third party | 3,299 | 5 | 8,859 | 24 | 12,187 |
| Repayments of long-term debt third party | (2,615) | (56) | (16,090) | | (18,761) |
| Net change in debt intercompany | 338 | (35) | (2,000) | 1,697 | |
| Dividends paid third party | (199) | | | | (199) |
| Dividends paid and returns of contributed capital intercompany | | | (130) | 130 | |
| Capital contributions from parent | | 50 | 172 | (222) | |
| Other, net | 36 | | 258 | | 294 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 969 | (50) | (10,904) | 1,629 | (8,356) |
| Effect of exchange-rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | | | 378 | | 378 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (29) | 10 | (649) | (6) | (674) |
| Adjustment for change in cash and cash equivalents of operations held-for-sale | | | 556 | | 556 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 757 | 5 | 14,026 | | 14,788 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at March 31 | \$ 728 | \$ 15 | \$ 13,933 | \$ (6) | \$ 14,670 |

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

24. Contingencies and Other Risks

Temporary Suspension of Mortgage Foreclosure Sales and Evictions and Consent Order

Representatives of federal and state governments, including the United States Department of Justice, the FRB, the FDIC, the SEC and law enforcement authorities in all 50 states, have announced investigations into the procedures followed by mortgage servicing companies and banks, including subsidiaries of Ally, in connection with mortgage foreclosure home sales and evictions. As a result of an examination conducted by the FRB and FDIC, on April 13, 2011, each of Ally, Ally Bank, Residential Capital, LLC and GMAC Mortgage, LLC (collectively, the Ally Entities) entered into a Consent Order (the Order) with the FRB and the FDIC. The Order requires, among other things, the Ally Entities to retain independent consultants to conduct a risk assessment related to mortgage servicing activities and to conduct a review of certain past residential mortgage foreclosure actions. We do not expect the costs associated with our required near-term response to the Order to be material. The Order further requires the Ally Entities to make improvements in various areas related to aspects of Ally's mortgage servicing business, including board oversight, compliance programs, internal audit, communications with borrowers, outsourcing activities, management information systems, and employee training. The estimated increased costs associated with future servicing and foreclosure costs as a result of the Order have been considered as part of the fair value adjustment of our existing mortgage servicing rights for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

We continue to cooperate with the ongoing investigations of the various regulators. Any additional results of these investigations is uncertain, but we expect that Ally or its subsidiaries will become subject to additional penalties, sanctions, or other adverse actions, including monetary fines, that could have a material adverse impact on us.

On September 17, 2010, GMAC Mortgage, LLC (GMACM), our indirect wholly owned subsidiary, temporarily suspended mortgage foreclosure home sales and evictions and postponed hearings on motions for judgment in certain states. This decision was made after an operational matter was detected in the execution of certain affidavits used in connection with judicial foreclosures in some but not all states. The issue relates to whether persons signing the affidavits had appropriately verified the information in them and whether they were signed in the immediate physical presence of a notary. In response to this and to enhance existing procedures, GMACM implemented supplemental procedures that are used in all new foreclosure cases to seek to ensure that affidavits are prepared in compliance with applicable law. GMACM is also conducting an additional review of all foreclosure files in all states prior to proceeding with foreclosure sales.

Our review related to this matter is ongoing, and we cannot estimate the ultimate impact of deficiencies that have been identified or any that may be identified in the future, related to our historical foreclosure procedures. Throughout our review process to date, we have found no instance where a homeowner was foreclosed upon without being in significant default. There are potential risks related to these matters that extend beyond potential liability on individual foreclosure actions. Specific risks could include, for example, claims and litigation related to foreclosure file remediation and resubmission; claims from investors that hold securities that become adversely impacted by continued delays in the foreclosure process; actions by courts, state attorneys general, or regulators to delay further the foreclosure process after submission of corrected affidavits; regulatory fines, sanctions, and other costs; and reputational risks. At March 31, 2011, we recorded a liability of approximately \$9 million related to potential monetary fines and penalties we determined were probable and estimable. We did not record any additional liability related to unasserted claims or loss contingencies at March 31, 2011, because we do not believe such liabilities are probable and estimable based on information currently available nor are we able to estimate a range of losses.

Mortgage-backed Securities Litigation

There are twelve cases relating to various private-label MBS offerings that are currently pending. Plaintiffs in these cases include Cambridge Place Investment Management Inc. (two cases pending in Suffolk County Superior Court, Massachusetts); The Charles Schwab Corporation (case pending in San Francisco County Superior Court, California); Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (case pending in Suffolk County Superior Court, Massachusetts); Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago (case pending in Cook County Circuit Court, Illinois); Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis (case filed in Marion County Superior Court, Indiana); Massachusetts Mutual Life Ins. Co. (case pending in federal court in the District of Massachusetts); Allstate Insurance Co. et al. (case pending in Hennepin County District Court, Minnesota); New Jersey Carpenters Health Fund, et al. (a putative class action in which certification has been denied, pending in federal court in the Southern District of

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

New York); West Virginia Investment Management Board (case pending in Kanawha County Circuit Court, West Virginia);

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Thrivent Financial for Lutherans, et al. (case pending in Hennepin County District Court, Minnesota); and Union Central Life Insurance et al. (case pending in federal court in the Southern District of New York). Each of the above cases includes as defendants certain of our mortgage subsidiaries, and the New Jersey Carpenters, Massachusetts Mutual, and Union Central cases also include as defendants certain current and former employees. The plaintiffs in all cases have alleged that the various defendant subsidiaries made misstatements and omissions in registration statements, prospectuses, prospectus supplements, and other documents related to MBS offerings. The alleged misstatements and omissions typically concern underwriting standards. Plaintiffs claim that such misstatements and omissions constitute violations of state and/or federal securities law and common law including negligent misrepresentation and fraud. Plaintiffs seek monetary damages and rescission. The range of any potential losses related to these matters is not currently determinable.

There are two additional cases pending in the New York County Supreme Court where MBIA Insurance Corp. (MBIA) has alleged that two of our mortgage subsidiaries breached their contractual representations and warranties relating to the characteristics of the mortgage loans contained in certain insured MBS offerings. MBIA further alleges that the defendant subsidiaries failed to follow certain remedy procedures set forth in the contracts and improperly serviced the mortgage loans. Along with claims for breach of contract, MBIA also alleges fraud. The range of any potential losses related to these matters is not currently determinable.

Private-label Matters

Claims related to private-label mortgage-backed securities (PLS) have been brought under federal and state securities laws (among other theories), and it is possible that additional similar claims will be brought in the future. The claims made to date are similar in some respects to the repurchase demands we have previously disclosed related to alleged breaches of representations and warranties our mortgage subsidiaries made in connection with mortgage loans they sold or securitized. Further and as previously disclosed, the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA), as conservator of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, announced on July 12, 2010, that it issued 64 subpoenas to various entities seeking documents related to PLS in which Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac had invested. Certain of our mortgage subsidiaries received such subpoenas. In connection with our settlement with Fannie Mae announced on December 23, 2010, the FHFA has agreed to withdraw the subpoenas that relate to Fannie Mae. However, we continue to respond to the subpoenas related to Freddie Mac. The FHFA has indicated that documents provided in response to the subpoenas will enable the FHFA to determine whether they believe issuers of PLS are potentially liable to Freddie Mac for losses they might have suffered. While a final outcome in any existing or future legal proceeding related to the foregoing, if unfavorable, could result in additional liability, the range of any potential losses related to the above described matters is not currently determinable.

Loan Repurchases and Obligations Related to Loan Sales

Overview

Our Mortgage operations sell loans that take the form of securitizations guaranteed by the GSEs and to whole-loan investors. We have issued private-label mortgage-backed securities infrequently since 2007. In prior years, our volume of private-label securitization issuances were considerably larger, and they included securitized loans where monolines have insured the related bonds. We have settled with both Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, limiting our remaining exposure with the GSEs. In connection with securitizations and loan sales, investors are provided various representations and warranties related to the loans sold. The specific representations and warranties vary among different transactions and investors but typically relate to, among other things, the ownership of the loan, the validity of the lien securing the loan, the loan's compliance with the criteria for inclusion in the transaction, including compliance with underwriting standards or loan criteria established by the buyer, the ability to deliver required documentation and compliance with applicable laws. In general, the representations and warranties described above may be enforced at any time unless a sunset provision is in place. ResCap assumes all of the customary mortgage representation and warranty obligations for loans purchased from Ally Bank and subsequently sold into the secondary market, generally through securitizations guaranteed by the GSEs. In the event ResCap fails to meet these obligations, Ally Financial Inc. has provided Ally Bank a guaranteed coverage of liability. Upon a breach of a representation, the breach is corrected in a manner conforming to the provisions of the sale agreement. This may require us either to repurchase the loan or indemnify the investor for incurred losses.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Originations

We believe our exposure to mortgage representation and warranty claims is most significant for loans sold between 2004 through 2008, specifically the 2006 and 2007 vintages that were originated and sold prior to enhanced underwriting standards and risk-mitigation actions implemented in 2008 and forward, including product offerings, which are more conservative. Since 2009, we have focused primarily on prime conforming and government-insured mortgages in the United States and high-quality government-insured residential mortgages in Canada. In addition, we ceased offering interest-only jumbo mortgages in 2010. Our representation and warranty risk-mitigation strategies include, but are not limited to, pursuing settlements with investors where economically beneficial in order to resolve a pipeline of demands in lieu of loan-by-loan assessments that could result in us repurchasing loans, aggressively contesting claims we do not consider valid (rescinding claims), or actively seeking recourse against correspondent lenders from whom we purchased loans.

Repurchase Process

As soon as practical, after receiving a claim under representation and warranty obligations, we evaluate the request and take appropriate action. Historically, repurchase demands were related to loans that became delinquent within the first few years following origination and varied by investor. As a result of market developments over the past several years, repurchase demand behavior has changed significantly. GSEs are more likely to submit claims for loans at any point in their life cycle based on their internal audit findings. Direct and whole-loan investors are more likely to submit claims for loans that become delinquent at any time while a loan is outstanding or when a loan incurs a loss. Actual incurred losses more significantly drive monoline investor behavior, which can significantly extend the period over which claims are likely to be presented. This occurs because insurance claims paid by the monolines are not required until over-collateralization is depleted and the monolines are not incented to request loan repurchases until they have paid the insurance claims. Representation and warranty claims are generally reviewed on a loan-by-loan basis to validate if there has been a breach requiring a potential repurchase or indemnification payment. We actively contest claims to the extent we do not consider them valid. We are not required to either repurchase the loan or provide an indemnification payment where claims are not valid.

We seek to manage the risk of repurchase and the associated credit exposure through our underwriting and quality assurance practices and by servicing mortgage loans to meet investor standards. We believe that, in general, the longer a loan performs prior to default the less likely it is that an alleged breach of representation and warranty will have a material impact on the loan's performance. When we do repurchase loans, we bear the subsequent credit loss on the loans. Repurchased loans are classified as held-for-sale and initially recorded at fair value. While investors repurchase and demand behavior has changed given the recent market conditions, we continue to maintain constructive relationships with the GSEs and other investors.

Representation and Warranty Obligation Reserve Methodology

The reserve for representation and warranty obligations reflects management's best estimate of probable lifetime loss. We consider historical and recent demand trends in establishing the reserve. The methodology used to estimate the reserve considers a variety of assumptions including borrower performance (both actual and estimated future defaults), repurchase demand behavior, historical loan defect experience, and historical and estimated future loss experience, which includes projections of future home price changes as well as other qualitative factors including investor behavior. In cases where we do not have or have limited current or historical demand experience with an investor, it is difficult to predict and estimate the level and timing of any potential future demands. As such, losses cannot currently be reasonably estimated and a liability is not recognized. Management monitors the adequacy of the overall reserve and makes adjustments to the level of reserve, as necessary, after consideration of other qualitative factors including ongoing dialogue with counterparties.

At the time a loan is sold, an estimate of the fair value of the liability is recorded and classified in accrued expenses and other liabilities on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet and recorded as a component of gain (loss) on mortgage and automotive loans, net, in our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income. We recognize changes in the reserve when additional relevant information becomes available. Changes in the liability are recorded as other operating expenses in our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income. The repurchase reserve at March 31, 2011, primarily represents non-GSE exposure.

Table of Contents**ALLY FINANCIAL INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

The following tables summarize the changes in our reserve for representation and warranty obligations.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|-------------------|------------|
| Balance at January 1, | \$ 830 | \$ 1,263 |
| Provision for mortgage representation and warranty expenses | | |
| Loan sales | 6 | 1 |
| Change in estimate continuing operations | 26 | 49 |
| Total additions | 32 | 50 |
| Realized losses (a) | (34) | (425) |
| Recoveries | 2 | 2 |
| Balance at March 31, (b) | \$ 830 | \$ 890 |

(a) Includes principal losses and accrued interest on repurchased loans, indemnification payments, and settlements with counterparty.

(b) Includes international reserves.

Government-sponsored Enterprises

Between 2004 and 2008, we sold \$250.8 billion of loans. Each GSE has specific guidelines and criteria for sellers and servicers of loans underlying their securities. In addition, the risk of credit loss of the loan sold was generally transferred to investors upon sale of the securities into the secondary market. Conventional conforming loans were sold to either Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae, and government-insured loans were securitized with Ginnie Mae. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we received \$102 million in repurchase claims of which \$49 million are associated with the 2004 through 2008 vintages of loans sold to the GSEs. Overall, we resolved \$174 million claims, including \$133 million in settlement, repurchase, or indemnification payments and \$41 million related to rescinded claims. Our representation and warranty obligation liability with respect to the GSEs considers the existing unresolved claims and our best estimate of future claims we might receive. We consider our experiences with the each GSE in evaluating our liability. During 2010, we reached agreements with Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae that resolve material repurchase obligations with each counterparty.

In March 2010, certain of our mortgage subsidiaries entered into an agreement with Freddie Mac under which we made a one-time payment to Freddie Mac for the release of repurchase obligations relating to most of the mortgage loans sold to Freddie Mac prior to January 1, 2009. The agreement does not cover any violation of servicing obligations related to any failure to comply with any requirements of law applicable to foreclosing on property serving as collateral for any applicable mortgage loan. This agreement does not release any of our obligations with respect to loans where our subsidiary, Ally Bank, is the owner of the servicing.

On December 23, 2010, certain of our mortgage subsidiaries entered into an agreement with Fannie Mae under which we made a one-time payment to Fannie Mae for the release of repurchase obligations, including private-label securitization exposure, related to most of the mortgage loans we sold to Fannie Mae prior to June 30, 2010. We continue to be responsible for other contractual obligations we have with Fannie Mae including all indemnification obligations that may arise in connection with the servicing of the mortgages. The agreement does not cover any violation of servicing obligations related to any failure to comply with any requirements of law applicable to foreclosing on property serving as collateral for any applicable mortgage loan. This agreement does not release any of our obligations with respect to loans where our subsidiary, Ally Bank, is the owner of the servicing.

The FHFA, as conservator of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, announced on July 12, 2010, that it issued 64 subpoenas to various entities seeking documents related to private-label mortgage-backed securities in which Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac had invested. Certain of these subpoenas were directed at our mortgage subsidiaries. In connection with the agreement reached with Fannie Mae, the FHFA has agreed to withdraw those

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

subpoenas that relate to Fannie Mae while the subpoenas that relate to Freddie Mac remain open.

Whole-loan Sales

In addition to the settlements with the GSEs noted earlier, we have settled with several whole-loan investors concerning alleged breaches of underwriting standards. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we have received \$16 million in

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

repurchase claims of which \$14 million are associated with the 2004 through 2008 vintages of loans sold to whole-loan investors. We resolved \$31 million of claims, including \$7 million in either settlements, repurchases, or indemnification payments and \$24 million related to rescinded claims.

Monoline Insurers

Historically, our Mortgage operations have securitized whole loans where the monolines have insured all or some of the related bonds and have guaranteed the timely repayment of bond principal and interest when an issuer defaults. Overall, the representation and warranty obligations to monoline insurers are not as stringent as those of the GSEs and impose a higher burden of proof on the insurer. Typically, any alleged breach requires the insurer to have both the ability to assert a claim as well as evidence that a defect has had a material adverse effect on the interest of the security holders or the insurer. For the period 2004 through 2008, we sold \$42.7 billion of loans into these monoline-wrapped securitizations. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we received \$14 million in repurchase claims from the monolines associated with the 2004 through 2008 securitizations. We have resolved \$8 million of the total unresolved repurchase demands through indemnification payments.

Unlike the repurchase protocols and experience established with the GSEs, experience with monolines has not been as predictable. A significant portion of the outstanding unresolved monoline repurchase claims are with one insurer, with whom we are currently in litigation.

Private-label Securitization

Historically, our Mortgage operations were very active in the securitization market, selling whole loans into special-purpose entities and selling these private-label mortgage-backed securities to investors. We have issued private-label mortgage-backed securities infrequently since 2007.

In general, representations and warranties provided as part of our securitization activities are less rigorous than those provided to the GSEs and generally impose higher burdens on investors seeking repurchase. In order to successfully assert a claim, an investor must prove breach of the representations and warranties that materially and adversely affects the interest of all investors. Securitization documents typically provide the investors with a right to request that the trustee investigate and initiate a repurchase claim. However, a class of investors generally are required to coordinate with other investors in that class comprising not less than 25% of the percentage interest constituting a class of securities of that class issued by the trust to pursue claims for breach of representations and warranties. In addition, our private-label securitizations generally require that the servicer or trustee give notice to the other parties whenever it becomes aware of facts or circumstances that reveal a breach of representation that materially and adversely affects the interest of the certificate holders. If, for example, we as servicer became aware of such facts and circumstances, we would typically be required to initiate a repurchase at that time. The GSEs were among the purchasers of securities in our private-label securitizations.

Regarding our securitization activities, we have exposure to potential losses primarily through two avenues. First, investors may request that we repurchase loans or make the investor whole for losses incurred if it is determined that we violated representations and warranties made at the time of the sale. Contractual representations and warranties are different based on the specific deal structure and investor. Second, investors in securitizations may attempt to achieve rescission of their investments, or damages through litigation by claiming that the applicable offering documents were materially deficient. If an investor properly made and proved its allegations, the investor might attempt to claim that damages could include loss of market value on the investment even if there were little or no credit loss in the underlying loans. We have a limited amount of repurchase experience with these investors, and therefore, it is currently not possible to estimate future repurchase obligations and any related loss or range of loss.

25. Subsequent Events

Notes Offering and Debt Repurchase

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

On April 13, 2011, we completed a securities offering of \$1.5 billion in aggregate principal amount of Ally senior guaranteed notes. The offering included \$750 million of fixed rate notes at par to yield 4.5% with a February 2014 maturity date and \$750 million of floating rate notes to yield a spread of 340 basis points over the three-month London interbank offer rate with a June 2014 maturity date.

Table of Contents

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

In addition, in April, we repurchased certain debt that will result in a \$31 million loss for the second quarter 2011. The loss primarily represented accelerated original issue discount amortization of \$20 million that was scheduled to amortize in 2011.

Declaration of Quarterly Dividend Payments

On April 18, 2011, the Ally Board of Directors declared quarterly dividend payments on certain outstanding preferred stock. This included a cash dividend of \$1.125 per share, or a total of \$134 million, on Fixed Rate Cumulative Mandatorily Convertible Preferred Stock, Series F-2; and a cash dividend of \$17.69 per share, or a total of \$46 million, on Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series G; and a cash dividend of \$0.295 per share, or a total of \$12 million, on Fixed Rate / Floating Rate Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A. The dividends are payable on May 16, 2011.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations****Selected Financial Data**

The selected historical financial information set forth below should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, and the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. The historical financial information presented may not be indicative of our future performance.

The following table presents selected statement of income data.

| (\$ in millions) | Three months ended March 31, | |
|---|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Total financing revenue and other interest income | \$ 2,530 | \$ 3,110 |
| Interest expense | 1,708 | 1,702 |
| Depreciation expense on operating lease assets | 285 | 656 |
| Net financing revenue | 537 | 752 |
| Total other revenue | 1,070 | 1,098 |
| Total net revenue | 1,607 | 1,850 |
| Provision for loan losses | 113 | 144 |
| Total noninterest expense | 1,392 | 1,519 |
| Income from continuing operations before income tax (benefit) expense | 102 | 187 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense from continuing operations | (68) | 36 |
| Net income from continuing operations | 170 | 151 |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax | (24) | 11 |
| Net income | \$ 146 | \$ 162 |
| Non-GAAP financial measures (a): | | |
| Net income | \$ 146 | \$ 162 |
| Add: Original issue discount amortization expense (b) | 326 | 397 |
| Add: Income tax (benefit) expense from continuing operations | (68) | 36 |
| Less: (Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax | (24) | 11 |
| Core pretax income (a) | \$ 428 | \$ 584 |

- (a) Core pretax income is not a financial measure defined by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP). We define core pretax income as earnings from continuing operations before income taxes, original issue discount amortization expense primarily associated with our 2008 bond exchange, and the gain on extinguishment of debt related to the 2008 bond exchange. We believe that the presentation of core pretax income is useful information for the users of our financial statements in understanding the earning from our core businesses. In addition, core pretax income is the primary measure that management uses to assess the performance of our operations. We believe that core pretax income is a useful alternative measure of our ongoing profitability and performance, when viewed in conjunction with GAAP measures. The presentation of this additional information is not a substitute for net income (loss) determined in accordance with GAAP.
- (b) Primarily represents original issue discount amortization expense associated with the 2008 bond exchange, including \$30 million and \$101 million of accelerated amortization for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, that was reported as a loss on extinguishment of debt in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income.

Table of Contents

The following table presents selected balance sheet and ratio data.

| (\$ in millions) | At and for the three months ended March 31, | |
|--|--|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Selected period-end balance sheet data: | | |
| Total assets | \$ 173,704 | \$ 179,427 |
| Long-term debt | \$ 88,139 | \$ 90,276 |
| Preferred stock | \$ 6,940 | \$ 12,180 |
| Total equity | \$ 20,407 | \$ 20,548 |
| Financial ratios | | |
| Efficiency ratio (a) | 86.62% | 82.11% |
| Core efficiency ratio (a) | 72.01% | 67.60% |
| Return on assets | | |
| Net income from continuing operations | 0.40% | 0.34% |
| Net income | 0.34% | 0.36% |
| Core pretax income | 1.00% | 1.31% |
| Return on equity | | |
| Net income from continuing operations | 3.38% | 2.92% |
| Net income | 2.90% | 3.13% |
| Core pretax income | 8.50% | 11.30% |
| Equity to assets | | |
| Net interest spread (b) | 0.82% | 1.59% |
| Net interest spread excluding original issue discount (b) | 1.83% | 2.67% |
| Net yield on interest-earning assets (c) | 1.44% | 2.13% |
| Net yield on interest-earning assets excluding original issue discount (c) | 2.24% | 2.98% |
| Regulatory capital ratios | | |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets) (d) | 14.68% | 14.88% |
| Total risk-based capital (to risk-weighted assets) (e) | 15.97% | 16.42% |
| Tier 1 leverage (to adjusted average assets) (f) | 12.78% | 12.49% |
| Shareholders' equity | \$ 20,407 | \$ 20,548 |
| Goodwill and certain other intangibles | (533) | (534) |
| Unrealized gains and other adjustments | (272) | (466) |
| Trust preferred securities | 2,541 | 2,540 |
| Tier 1 capital (d) | 22,143 | 22,088 |
| Preferred equity | (6,940) | (12,180) |
| Trust preferred securities | (2,541) | (2,540) |
| Tier 1 common capital (non-GAAP) (h) | \$ 12,662 | \$ 7,368 |
| Risk-weighted assets (g) | \$ 150,814 | \$ 148,408 |
| Tier 1 common (to risk-weighted assets) (h) | 8.40% | 4.96% |

(a) The efficiency ratio equals total other noninterest expense divided by total net revenue. The core efficiency ratio equals total other noninterest expense divided by total net revenue excluding original issue discount amortization expense and gain on extinguishment of debt related to the 2008 bond exchange.

(b) Net interest spread represents the difference between the rate on total interest-earning assets and the rate on total interest-bearing liabilities, excluding discontinued operations for the periods shown.

(c) Net yield on interest-earning assets represents net financing revenue as a percentage of total interest-earning assets.

(d) Tier 1 capital generally consists of common equity, minority interests, and qualifying preferred stock (including fixed rate cumulative preferred stock issued and sold to Treasury) less goodwill and other adjustments.

(e)

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

Total risk-based capital is the sum of Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital. Tier 2 capital generally consists of preferred stock not qualifying as Tier 1 capital, limited amounts of subordinated debt and the allowance for loan losses, and other adjustments. The amount of Tier 2 capital may not exceed the amount of Tier 1 capital.

- (f) Tier 1 leverage equals Tier 1 capital divided by adjusted average total assets (which reflects adjustments for disallowed goodwill and certain intangible assets). The minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio is 3% or 4% depending on factors specified in the regulations.
- (g) Risk-weighted assets are defined by regulation and are determined by allocating assets and specified off-balance sheet financial instruments into several broad risk categories.
- (h) We define Tier 1 common as Tier 1 capital less noncommon elements including qualified perpetual preferred stock, qualifying minority interest in subsidiaries, and qualifying trust preferred securities. Ally considers various measures when evaluating capital utilization and adequacy, including the Tier 1 common equity ratio, in addition to capital ratios defined by banking regulators. This calculation is intended to complement the capital ratios defined by banking regulators for both absolute and comparative purposes. Because GAAP does not include capital ratio measures, Ally believes there are no comparable GAAP financial measures to these ratios. Tier 1 common equity is not formally defined by GAAP or codified in the federal banking regulations and, therefore, is considered to be a non-GAAP financial measure. Ally believes the Tier 1 common equity ratio is important because we believe analysts and banking regulators may assess our capital adequacy using this ratio. Additionally, presentation of this measure allows readers to compare certain aspects of our capital adequacy on the same basis to other companies in the industry.

Table of Contents**Overview**

Ally Financial Inc. (formerly GMAC Inc.) is a leading, independent, globally diversified, financial services firm. Founded in 1919, we are a leading automotive financial services company with over 90 years experience providing a broad array of financial products and services to automotive dealers and their customers. We are also one of the largest residential mortgage companies in the United States. We became a bank holding company on December 24, 2008, under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. Our banking subsidiary, Ally Bank, is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Ally Financial Inc. and a leading franchise in the growing direct (online and telephonic) banking market.

Discontinued Operations

During 2010, we committed to sell certain operations of our International Automotive Finance operations and have classified certain of these operations as discontinued. For all periods presented, all of the operating results for these operations were removed from continuing operations. Refer to Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding our discontinued operations.

Primary Lines of Business

Our primary lines of business are Global Automotive Services and Mortgage operations. The following table summarizes the operating results excluding discontinued operations of each line of business for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. Operating results for each of the lines of business are more fully described in the MD&A sections that follow.

| (\$ in millions) | Three months ended March 31, | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Favorable/ (unfavorable) % change |
| Total net revenue (loss) | | | |
| Global Automotive Services | | | |
| North American Automotive Finance operations | \$ 927 | \$ 1,075 | (14) |
| International Automotive Finance operations | 246 | 270 | (9) |
| Insurance operations | 520 | 621 | (16) |
| Mortgage operations | | | |
| Origination and Servicing operations | 321 | 335 | (4) |
| Legacy Portfolio and Other operations | 90 | 216 | (58) |
| Corporate and Other | (497) | (667) | 25 |
| Total | \$ 1,607 | \$ 1,850 | (13) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax (benefit) expense | | | |
| Global Automotive Services | | | |
| North American Automotive Finance operations | \$ 518 | \$ 612 | (15) |
| International Automotive Finance operations | 40 | 47 | (15) |
| Insurance operations | 134 | 183 | (27) |
| Mortgage operations | | | |
| Origination and Servicing operations | 73 | 71 | 3 |
| Legacy Portfolio and Other operations | (39) | 85 | (146) |
| Corporate and Other | (624) | (811) | 23 |
| Total | \$ 102 | \$ 187 | (45) |

Our Global Automotive Services operations offer a wide range of financial services and products to retail automotive consumers and automotive dealerships. Our Global Automotive Services consist of three separate reportable segments North American Automotive Finance operations, International Automotive Finance operations, and Insurance operations. Our North American Automotive Finance

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

operations include the automotive activities of Ally Bank and ResMor Trust. Our automotive finance services include acquiring or providing retail installment sales contracts, loans, and leases, offering term loans to dealers, financing dealer floorplans and other lines of credit to dealers, fleet leasing, and vehicle remarketing services.

Our Insurance operations offer vehicle service contracts and commercial insurance primarily covering dealers' wholesale vehicle inventories in the United States and internationally. We are a leading provider of vehicle service

Table of Contents

contracts with mechanical breakdown and maintenance coverages. Our vehicle service contracts offer vehicle owners and lessees mechanical repair protection and roadside assistance for new and used vehicles beyond the manufacturer's new vehicle warranty.

We have significantly streamlined our international presence to focus on strategic operations in five core markets: Germany, the United Kingdom, Brazil, Mexico, and China through our joint venture, GMAC-SAIC Automotive Finance Company Limited (GMAC-SAIC).

Our mortgage business is a leading originator and servicer of residential mortgage loans in the United States and Canada. We report our Mortgage operations as two distinct segments: (1) Origination and Servicing operations and (2) Legacy Portfolio and Other operations. These operations are conducted through the mortgage operations of Ally Bank in the United States, ResMor Trust in Canada, and subsidiaries of the Residential Capital, LLC (ResCap) legal entity in the United States.

Our Origination and Servicing operations consists of originating, purchasing, selling, and securitizing conforming and government-insured residential mortgage loans in the United States and high-quality government-insured residential mortgage loans in Canada. We are one of the largest residential mortgage loan servicers in the United States, and we provide collateralized lines of credit to other mortgage originators, which we refer to as warehouse lending. We finance our mortgage loan originations primarily in Ally Bank in the United States and in ResMor Trust in Canada. We sell the conforming mortgages we originate or purchase in sales that take the form of securitizations guaranteed by the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) or the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac), and we sell government-insured mortgage loans we originate or purchase in securitizations guaranteed by the Government National Mortgage Association (Ginnie Mae) in the United States and sell the insured mortgages we originate in Canada as National Housing Act Mortgage-Backed Securities (NHA-MBS) issued under the Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation's NHA-MBS program or through whole-loan sales. We also selectively originate prime jumbo mortgage loans in the United States.

Our Legacy Portfolio and Other operations primarily consist of loans originated prior to January 1, 2009, and includes noncore business activities including discontinued operations, portfolios in runoff, our mortgage reinsurance business, and cash held in the ResCap legal entity. These activities, all of which we have discontinued, included, among other things: lending to real estate developers and homebuilders in the United States and the United Kingdom; and purchasing, selling, and securitizing nonconforming residential mortgage loans (with the exception of U.S. prime jumbo mortgage loans) in both the United States and internationally.

Corporate and Other consists of our Commercial Finance Group, certain equity investments, the amortization of the discount associated with new debt issuances and bond exchanges, most notably from the December 2008 bond exchange, as well as other corporate activities, the residual impacts of our corporate funds transfer pricing (FTP) and treasury asset liability management (ALM) activities, and reclassifications and eliminations between the reportable operating segments.

Table of Contents**Consolidated Results of Operations**

The following table summarizes our consolidated operating results excluding discontinued operations for the periods shown.

| (\$ in millions) | Three months ended March 31, | | |
|--|------------------------------|---------------|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Favorable/ (unfavorable) % change |
| Net financing revenue | | | |
| Total financing revenue and other interest income | \$ 2,530 | \$ 3,110 | (19) |
| Interest expense | 1,708 | 1,702 | |
| Depreciation expense on operating lease assets | 285 | 656 | 57 |
| Net financing revenue | 537 | 752 | (29) |
| Other revenue | | | |
| Net servicing income | 284 | 252 | 13 |
| Insurance premiums and service revenue earned | 433 | 468 | (7) |
| Gain on mortgage and automotive loans, net | 92 | 271 | (66) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | (39) | (118) | 67 |
| Other gain on investments, net | 84 | 143 | (41) |
| Other income, net of losses | 216 | 82 | 163 |
| Total other revenue | 1,070 | 1,098 | (3) |
| Total net revenue | 1,607 | 1,850 | (13) |
| Provision for loan losses | 113 | 144 | 22 |
| Noninterest expense | | | |
| Compensation and benefits expense | 434 | 426 | (2) |
| Insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses | 186 | 211 | 12 |
| Other operating expenses | 772 | 882 | 12 |
| Total noninterest expense | 1,392 | 1,519 | 8 |
| Income from continuing operations before income tax (benefit) expense | 102 | 187 | (45) |
| Income tax (benefit) expense from continuing operations | (68) | 36 | n/m |
| Net income from continuing operations | \$ 170 | \$ 151 | 13 |

n/m = not meaningful

We earned net income from continuing operations of \$170 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$151 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. Continuing operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011, were favorably impacted by an increase in net servicing income, net derivative activity, our continued focus on cost reduction efforts, which resulted in lower operating expenses, and an income tax benefit resulting from the reversal of the valuation allowance in Canada. This favorability was partially offset by lower operating lease revenue (along with the related depreciation expense) related to a decline in the size of our operating lease portfolio and lower gains on the sale of loans related to the expiration of our automotive forward flow agreements during the fourth quarter of 2010.

Total financing revenue and other interest income decreased by 19% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010. Operating lease revenue (along with the related depreciation expense) at our Automotive Finance operations decreased as a result of a decline in the size of our operating lease portfolio due to our decision in late 2008 to significantly curtail leasing. The decrease at our Mortgage Legacy Portfolio and Other operations resulted from a decline in average asset levels due to loan sales, the deconsolidation of previous on-balance sheet securitizations, and portfolio runoff.

Net servicing income was \$284 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$252 million for the same period in 2010. The increase was primarily due to favorable net valuations related to market movement, partially offset by a fair value adjustment due to higher expected future servicing and foreclosure costs and a decline in production due to lower mortgage industry volume.

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

Insurance premiums and service revenue earned decreased 7% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010, primarily due to the sale of certain international insurance operations during the fourth quarter of 2010.

Gain on mortgage and automotive loans decreased 66% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010. The decrease was primarily due to the expiration of our automotive forward flow agreements during the fourth quarter of 2010 and lower gains from whole-loan mortgage sales and mortgage loan liquidations in 2011.

Table of Contents

We incurred a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$39 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$118 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The activity in both periods related to the extinguishment of certain Ally debt, which for the three months ended March 31, 2011, included \$30 million of accelerated amortization of original issue discount.

Other gain on investments was \$84 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$143 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease during the three months ended March 31, 2011, was primarily due to lower realized investment gains on our Insurance operations investment portfolio.

Other income, net of losses, increased \$134 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010. The increase was primarily due to net derivative activity and a lower fair value option election adjustment at our Legacy Portfolio and Other operations due to lower assets resulting from deconsolidations and better performance of the remaining asset portfolio.

The provision for loan losses was \$113 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$144 million for the same period in 2010. The decrease for the three months ended March 31, 2011, was primarily due to improved credit quality and asset mix including the continued runoff and improved loss performance of our Nuvel nonprime automotive financing portfolio.

Insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses decreased 12% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010, primarily due to the sale of certain international insurance operations during the fourth quarter of 2010 and lower losses in our U.S. dealership-related products.

Other operating expenses decreased 12% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010. The improvement was primarily due to lower restructuring expense, lower mortgage representation and warranty reserve expense, lower insurance commissions, lower technology and communications expense, lower vehicle remarketing and repossession expense, and lower full-service leasing vehicle maintenance costs for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The favorable impacts during the three months ended March 31, 2011, were partially offset by increased advertising and marketing expense.

We recognized a consolidated income tax benefit from continuing operations of \$68 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to income tax expense of \$36 million for the same period in 2010. The decrease in income tax expense was primarily related to the income tax benefit resulting from a \$101 million reversal of valuation allowance in Canada related to modifications to the legal structure of our Canadian operations.

Table of Contents**Global Automotive Services**

Results for Global Automotive Services are presented by reportable segment, which includes our North American Automotive Finance operations, our International Automotive Finance operations, and our Insurance operations.

Our Global Automotive Services operations offer a wide range of financial services and insurance products to retail automotive consumers and automotive dealerships. Our automotive finance services include acquiring or providing retail installment sales contracts, loans, and leases, offering term loans to dealers, financing dealer floorplans and other lines of credit to dealers, fleet leasing, and vehicle remarketing services. We also are a leading provider of vehicle service contracts with mechanical breakdown and maintenance coverages, and we provide commercial insurance primarily covering dealers' wholesale vehicle inventory.

North American Automotive Finance Operations**Results of Operations**

The following table summarizes the operating results of our North American Automotive Finance operations for the periods shown. North American Automotive Finance operations consist of automotive financing in the United States and Canada and include the automotive activities of Ally Bank and ResMor Trust. The amounts presented are before the elimination of balances and transactions with our other reportable segments.

| (\$ in millions) | Three months ended March 31, | | |
|---|------------------------------|------------------|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Favorable/ (unfavorable) % change |
| Net financing revenue | | | |
| Consumer | \$ 668 | \$ 539 | 24 |
| Commercial | 326 | 336 | (3) |
| Loans held-for-sale | | 69 | (100) |
| Operating leases | 651 | 1,095 | (41) |
| Interest and dividend income | 23 | 56 | (59) |
| Total financing revenue and other interest income | 1,668 | 2,095 | (20) |
| Interest expense | 582 | 616 | 6 |
| Depreciation expense on operating lease assets | 268 | 607 | 56 |
| Net financing revenue | 818 | 872 | (6) |
| Other revenue | | | |
| Servicing fees | 45 | 60 | (25) |
| Gain on automotive loans, net | | 113 | (100) |
| Other income | 64 | 30 | 113 |
| Total other revenue | 109 | 203 | (46) |
| Total net revenue | 927 | 1,075 | (14) |
| Provision for loan losses | 46 | 101 | 54 |
| Noninterest expense | | | |
| Compensation and benefits expense | 116 | 101 | (15) |
| Other operating expenses | 247 | 261 | 5 |
| Total noninterest expense | 363 | 362 | |
| Income before income tax (benefit) expense | \$ 518 | \$ 612 | (15) |
| Total assets | \$ 87,662 | \$ 74,786 | 17 |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

Operating data

| | | | |
|---------------------|-----------|----------|-----|
| Retail originations | \$ 10,140 | \$ 5,967 | 70 |
| Lease originations | 2,219 | 711 | n/m |

n/m = not meaningful

Our North American Automotive Finance operations earned income before income tax expense of \$518 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$612 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease in 2011 was primarily driven by a decrease in operating lease revenue (along with the related depreciation expense) related to the decline in the operating lease portfolio and the absence of gains on the sale of automotive loans related to the expiration of

Table of Contents

our forward flow agreements during the fourth quarter of 2010. This decline was partially offset by increased consumer financing revenue driven by strong loan origination volume related to the improvement of automotive industry sales and automotive manufacturer penetration (primarily GM).

Consumer financing revenue increased 24% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010, due to an increase in consumer asset levels primarily related to strong loan origination volume during 2010 and 2011 resulting from the recovery of automotive industry sales. Additionally, we expanded our presence into the more fragmented market for used automotive financing and have also begun to prudently expand our origination volume further into the nonprime markets. The increase in consumer revenue was partially offset by a change in the consumer asset mix related to the runoff of the higher yielding Nuvell nonprime automotive financing portfolio.

Loans held-for-sale financing revenue decreased \$69 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010, due to the expiration of our automotive forward flow agreements during the fourth quarter of 2010. Subsequent to the expiration of these agreements, we have continued to retain consumer loan originations on-balance sheet utilizing deposit funding from Ally Bank and on-balance sheet securitization transactions.

Operating lease revenue decreased 41% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010. Operating lease revenue (along with the related depreciation expense) decreased due to a decline in the size of our operating lease portfolio. In 2008, we significantly curtailed leasing based on credit market dislocation and the significant decline in used vehicle prices that resulted in increasing residual losses and an impairment of our lease portfolio. During the latter half of 2009, we re-entered the leasing market with targeted lease product offerings and have continued to expand lease originations during 2010 and the first quarter of 2011. While the wind-down of our legacy lease portfolio has exceeded new origination volume, we anticipate that the declines in the size of our lease portfolio will begin to moderate as lease termination volumes decline, and we continue to support our new lease product offerings.

Interest and dividend income decreased 59% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, primarily due to lower FTP income related to lower rates and a change in funding mix.

Net gain on automotive loans decreased \$113 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010. There were no gains on the sale of loans during the three months ended March 31, 2011, primarily due to the expiration of our forward flow agreements during the fourth quarter of 2010.

Other income increased 113% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010. The increase was primarily due to unfavorable swap mark-to-market activity related to the held-for-sale loan portfolio in 2010.

The provision for loan losses was \$46 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$101 million for the same period in 2010. The decrease for the three months ended March 31, 2011, was primarily due to decreased losses and runoff of the Nuvell portfolio and improved loss performance in the consumer loan portfolio reflecting higher credit quality of recent originations and continued favorable pricing in the used vehicle market, partially offset by continued growth in the consumer loan portfolio.

Table of Contents**International Automotive Finance Operations****Results of Operations**

The following table summarizes the operating results of our International Automotive Finance operations excluding discontinued operations for the periods shown. The amounts presented are before the elimination of balances and transactions with our other reportable segments and include eliminations of balances and transactions among our North American Automotive Finance operations and Insurance operations.

| (\$ in millions) | Three months ended March 31, | | |
|--|------------------------------|------------------|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Favorable/ (unfavorable) % change |
| Net financing revenue | | | |
| Consumer | \$ 287 | \$ 279 | 3 |
| Commercial | 104 | 102 | 2 |
| Loans held-for-sale | | 4 | (100) |
| Operating leases | 29 | 66 | (56) |
| Interest and dividend income | 26 | 8 | n/m |
| Total financing revenue and other interest income | 446 | 459 | (3) |
| Interest expense | 258 | 232 | (11) |
| Depreciation expense on operating lease assets | 17 | 49 | 65 |
| Net financing revenue | 171 | 178 | (4) |
| Other revenue | | | |
| Gain on automotive loans, net | | 7 | (100) |
| Other income | 75 | 85 | (12) |
| Total other revenue | 75 | 92 | (18) |
| Total net revenue | 246 | 270 | (9) |
| Provision for loan losses | 37 | 21 | (76) |
| Noninterest expense | | | |
| Compensation and benefits expense | 44 | 44 | |
| Other operating expenses | 125 | 158 | 21 |
| Total noninterest expense | 169 | 202 | 16 |
| Income from continuing operations before income tax (benefit) expense | \$ 40 | \$ 47 | (15) |
| Total assets | \$ 16,295 | \$ 19,378 | (16) |
| Operating data | | | |
| Consumer originations | \$ 1,898 | \$ 1,487 | 28 |

n/m = not meaningful

Our International Automotive Finance operations earned income from continuing operations before income tax expense of \$40 million during the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to income from continuing operations before income tax expense of \$47 million during the three months ended March 31, 2010. Results for the three months ended March 31, 2011, were unfavorably impacted by increased provisions for loan losses.

Total financing revenue and other interest income decreased 3% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010, primarily due to a decline in operating lease revenue. Operating lease revenue (along with the related depreciation expense) decreased primarily due to the continued runoff of the full-service leasing portfolio.

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

Interest expense increased 11% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010. The increase was primarily due to the implementation of funds transfer pricing in certain countries.

Other income decreased 12% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010. The decrease was primarily due to favorable mark-to-market adjustments on derivatives during the first quarter of 2010.

The provision for loan losses increased \$16 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010. The increase in provision is related to recent concerns with certain commercial credits.

Table of Contents

Noninterest expense decreased 16% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010. The decrease was primarily due to lower expenses associated with the wind-down of operations in certain countries and our continued focus on cost reduction.

Automotive Financing Volume*Consumer Automotive Financing Volume*

The following table summarizes our new and used vehicle consumer financing volume and our share of consumer sales.

| Three months ended March 31, (units in thousands) | Ally consumer automotive financing volume | | % Share of consumer sales | |
|---|---|------|---------------------------|------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| GM new vehicles | | | | |
| North America | 266 | 126 | 51 | 34 |
| International (excluding China) (a) | 75 | 60 | 24 | 19 |
| China (b) | 25 | 23 | 10 | 11 |
| Total GM new units financed | 366 | 209 | | |
| Chrysler new vehicles | | | | |
| North America | 75 | 57 | 30 | 36 |
| Total Chrysler new units financed | 75 | 57 | | |
| Other non-GM / Chrysler new vehicles | | | | |
| North America | 19 | 5 | | |
| International (excluding China) | 1 | 1 | | |
| China (b) | 21 | 12 | | |
| Total other non-GM / Chrysler new units financed | 41 | 18 | | |
| Used vehicles | | | | |
| North America | 125 | 59 | | |
| International (excluding China) | 9 | 5 | | |
| Total used units financed | 134 | 64 | | |
| Total consumer automotive financing volume | 616 | 348 | | |

(a) Excludes financing volume and GM consumer sales of discontinued operations as well as GM consumer sales for other countries in which GM operates and in which we have no financing volume.

(b) Includes all vehicles financed through our joint venture GMAC-SAIC. We own 40% of GMAC-SAIC alongside Shanghai Automotive Group Finance Company LTD and Shanghai General Motors Corporation LTD.

Growth in consumer automotive financing volume and related penetration levels in 2011 compared to 2010 were primarily driven by the expansion of our retail originations platform, strong dealer relationships, and higher industry sales. Our first quarter 2011 penetration results reflect a high level of GM incentive programs that were available in the last two quarters. We expect that GM will moderate their use of incentives, and therefore, our penetration rate will not remain at this level in future quarters.

Manufacturer Marketing Incentives

Retail and lease contracts acquired by us that included rate and residual subvention from GM as a percentage of total new GM retail and lease contracts acquired, were as follows.

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| GM subvented volume in North America | | |
| As % of GM North American new retail and lease volume acquired by Ally | 46% | 52% |
| As % of total North American new and used retail and lease volume acquired by Ally | 25% | 27% |
| GM subvented International (excl. China) volume (a) | | |
| As % of GM International new retail and lease volume acquired by Ally | 61% | 52% |
| As % of total International new and used retail and lease volume acquired by Ally | 54% | 47% |
| GM subvented volume in China (b) | | |
| As % of GM China new retail and lease volume acquired by Ally | 1% | 1% |
| As % of total China new and used retail and lease volume acquired by Ally | 1% | % |

(a) Represents subvention for continuing operations only.

(b) Through our joint venture GMAC-SAIC. We own 40% of GMAC-SAIC alongside Shanghai Automotive Group Finance Company LTD and Shanghai General Motors Corporation LTD.

Table of Contents

The following table shows Chrysler subvented retail and lease volume acquired by Ally.

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Chrysler subvented volume in North America | | |
| As % of Chrysler North American new retail and lease volume acquired by Ally | 48% | 53% |
| As % of total North American new and used retail and lease volume acquired by Ally | 7% | 12% |

Retail contracts acquired that included rate and residual subvention from GM and Chrysler decreased as a percentage of total new retail contracts acquired due to reductions in our standard rates, which allowed us to be more competitive with market pricing, coupled with a change in incentivized programs.

For further discussion of our manufacturing marketing incentives, refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Automotive Finance Operations.

Commercial Wholesale Financing Volume

The following table summarizes the average balances of our commercial wholesale floorplan finance receivables of new and used vehicles and share of dealer inventory in markets where we operate.

| Three months ended March 31, (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | Average balance | | % Share of dealer inventory | |
|--|------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| GM new vehicles | | | | |
| North America (a) | \$ 15,413 | \$ 13,691 | 84 | 86 |
| International (excluding China) (b) (c) | 3,830 | 3,125 | 80 | 75 |
| China (b) (d) | 884 | 953 | 75 | 81 |
| Total GM new vehicles financed | 20,127 | 17,769 | | |
| Chrysler new vehicles | | | | |
| North America (a) | 7,182 | 5,296 | 68 | 71 |
| International | 21 | 44 | | |
| Total Chrysler new vehicles financed | 7,203 | 5,340 | | |
| Other non-GM / Chrysler new vehicles | | | | |
| North America | 2,215 | 1,910 | | |
| International (excluding China) | 131 | 117 | | |
| China (d) | | | | |
| Total other non-GM / Chrysler new vehicles financed | 2,346 | 2,027 | | |
| Used vehicles | | | | |
| North America | 3,076 | 2,944 | | |
| International (excluding China) | 135 | 81 | | |
| Total used vehicles financed | 3,211 | 3,025 | | |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Total commercial wholesale finance receivables | \$ 32,887 | \$ 28,161 |
|--|-----------|-----------|

- (a) Share of dealer inventory based on end of period dealer inventory (excluding in-transit units).
- (b) Share of dealer inventory based on wholesale financing share of GM shipments.
- (c) Excludes commercial wholesale finance receivables and dealer inventory of discontinued and wind-down operations as well as dealer inventory for other countries in which GM operates and we had no commercial wholesale finance receivables.
- (d) Includes vehicles financed through a joint venture in China in which Ally owns a minority interest.

Commercial wholesale financing average balance increased for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010 primarily due to increasing global automotive sales and the corresponding increase in dealer inventories in virtually every market.

Table of Contents**Insurance Operations****Results of Operations**

The following table summarizes the operating results of our Insurance operations excluding discontinued operations for the periods shown. The amounts presented are before the elimination of balances and transactions with our other operating segments.

| (\$ in millions) | Three months ended March 31, | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Favorable/ (unfavorable) % change |
| Insurance premiums and other income | | | |
| Insurance premiums and service revenue earned | \$ 427 | \$ 460 | (7) |
| Investment income | 80 | 141 | (43) |
| Other income | 13 | 20 | (35) |
| Total insurance premiums and other income | 520 | 621 | (16) |
| Expense | | | |
| Insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses | 173 | 196 | 12 |
| Acquisition and underwriting expense | | | |
| Compensation and benefits expense | 33 | 29 | (14) |
| Insurance commissions expense | 129 | 150 | 14 |
| Other expenses | 51 | 63 | 19 |
| Total acquisition and underwriting expense | 213 | 242 | 12 |
| Total expense | 386 | 438 | 12 |
| Income from continuing operations before income tax (benefit) expense | \$ 134 | \$ 183 | (27) |
| Total assets | \$ 9,024 | \$ 9,083 | (1) |
| Insurance premiums and service revenue written | \$ 411 | \$ 423 | (3) |
| Combined ratio (a) | 88.0% | 91.3% | |

(a) Management uses combined ratio as a primary measure of underwriting profitability with its components measured using accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Underwriting profitability is indicated by a combined ratio under 100% that is calculated as the sum of all incurred losses and expenses (excluding interest and income tax expense) divided by the total of premiums and service revenues earned and other income.

Our Insurance operations earned income from continuing operations before income tax expense of \$134 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$183 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease was primarily attributable to lower realized investment gains and lower insurance premiums and service revenue earned.

Insurance premiums and service revenue earned decreased 7% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010, primarily due to the sale of certain international insurance operations during the fourth quarter of 2010.

Investment income totaled \$80 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$141 million for the same period in 2010. The decrease during the three months ended March 31, 2011, was primarily due to lower realized investment gains. The fair value of the investment portfolio was \$4.6 billion and \$4.5 billion at March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses totaled \$173 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$196 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease is primarily due to the sale of certain international insurance operations during the fourth quarter of 2010 and lower losses in our U.S. dealership-related products.

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

Acquisition and underwriting expense decreased 12% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010. The decrease was primarily due to the sale of certain international insurance operations during the fourth quarter of 2010 and lower commissions expense in our U.S. dealership-related products matching our decrease in earned premiums. The decrease was partially offset by increased expenses within our international insurance operations to match the increase in earned premiums.

Table of Contents

The following table shows premium and service revenue written by insurance product.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | Three months ended March 31, | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Vehicle service contracts | | |
| New retail | \$ 90 | \$ 69 |
| Used retail | 104 | 106 |
| Total vehicle service contracts | 194 | 175 |
| Wholesale | 22 | 27 |
| Other finance and insurance (a) | 30 | 24 |
| North American operations | 246 | 226 |
| International operations (b) | 165 | 197 |
| Total | \$ 411 | \$ 423 |

(a) Other finance and insurance includes GAP insurance, excess wear and tear, and other ancillary products.

(b) International operations for the three months ended March 31, 2010, includes \$40 million of written premium from certain international insurance operations that were sold during the fourth quarter of 2010.

Insurance premiums and service revenue written was \$411 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$423 million for the same period in 2010. Insurance premiums and service revenue written decreased due to the sale of certain international insurance operations during the fourth quarter of 2010. This decrease was partially offset by higher written premiums in our U.S. dealership-related products, particularly our vehicle service contract products. Vehicle service contract revenue is earned over the life of the service contract on a basis proportionate to the expected loss pattern. As such, the majority of earnings from vehicle service contracts written during the three months ended March 31, 2011, will be recognized as income in future periods.

Cash and Investments

A significant aspect of our Insurance operations is the investment of proceeds from premiums and other revenue sources. We use these investments to satisfy our obligations related to future claims at the time these claims are settled. Our Insurance operations have an Investment Committee, which develops guidelines and strategies for these investments. The guidelines established by this committee reflect our risk tolerance, liquidity requirements, regulatory requirements, and rating agency considerations, among other factors.

The following table summarizes the composition of our Insurance operations cash and investment portfolio at fair value.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Cash | | |
| Noninterest-bearing cash | \$ 36 | \$ 28 |
| Interest-bearing cash | 866 | 1,168 |
| Total cash | 902 | 1,196 |
| Available-for-sale securities | | |
| Debt securities | | |
| U.S. Treasury and federal agencies | 398 | 219 |
| Foreign government | 805 | 744 |
| Mortgage-backed | 837 | 826 |
| Asset-backed | 52 | 11 |
| Corporate debt | 1,378 | 1,559 |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Total debt securities | 3,470 | 3,359 |
| Equity securities | 1,165 | 796 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | 4,635 | 4,155 |
| Total cash and securities | \$ 5,537 | \$ 5,351 |

Table of Contents**Mortgage Operations**

Our Mortgage operations include the ResCap legal entity, the mortgage operations of Ally Bank, and the Canadian mortgage operations of ResMor Trust. Results for our Mortgage operations are presented by reportable segment, which includes our Origination and Servicing operations and our Legacy Portfolio and Other operations.

Origination and Servicing Operations**Results of Operations**

The following table summarizes the operating results for our Origination and Servicing operations for the periods shown. Our Origination and Servicing operations principal activities include originating, purchasing, selling, and securitizing conforming and government-insured residential mortgage loans in the United States and Canada; servicing residential mortgage loans for ourselves and others; and providing collateralized lines of credit to other mortgage originators, which we refer to as warehouse lending. We also originate high-quality prime jumbo mortgage loans in the United States. We finance our mortgage loan originations primarily in Ally Bank in the United States and in our trust company, ResMor Trust, in Canada.

| (\$ in millions) | Three months ended March 31, | | |
|---|------------------------------|------------------|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Favorable/ (unfavorable) % change |
| Net financing loss | | | |
| Total financing revenue and other interest income | \$ 108 | \$ 100 | 8 |
| Interest expense | 138 | 111 | (24) |
| Net financing loss | (30) | (11) | (173) |
| Servicing fees | 327 | 326 | |
| Servicing asset valuation and hedge activities, net | (87) | (133) | 35 |
| Total servicing income, net | 240 | 193 | 24 |
| Gain on mortgage loans, net | 74 | 86 | (14) |
| Other income, net of losses | 37 | 67 | (45) |
| Total other revenue | 351 | 346 | 1 |
| Total net revenue | 321 | 335 | (4) |
| Provision for loan losses | 2 | 1 | (100) |
| Noninterest expense | | | |
| Compensation and benefits expense | 69 | 75 | 8 |
| Representation and warranty expense | (2) | 21 | 110 |
| Other operating expenses | 179 | 167 | (7) |
| Total noninterest expense | 246 | 263 | 6 |
| Income before income tax (benefit) expense | \$ 73 | \$ 71 | 3 |
| Total assets | \$ 19,164 | \$ 16,491 | 16 |

Our Origination and Servicing operations earned income before income tax expense of \$73 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$71 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The 2011 results were primarily driven by consistent servicing fees and favorable servicing asset valuation, net of hedge.

Net financing loss was \$30 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$11 million for the same period in 2010. Net financing loss was unfavorably impacted by higher interest expense related to Ginnie Mae repurchases and an increase in average borrowings commensurate with a higher asset base.

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net servicing income was \$240 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$193 million for the same period in 2010. The increase was primarily due to favorable net valuations related to market movement, partially offset by a fair value adjustment due to higher than expected future servicing and foreclosure costs.

Other income, net of losses, was \$37 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$67 million for the same period in 2010. The decrease in other income was primarily related to lower mortgage processing fee income resulting from lower origination volume due to lower industry volume and higher interest rates and the write-down of retained interests.

Total noninterest expense decreased 6% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010, primarily due to higher representation and warranty reserve expense in 2010 related to expected repurchases.

Table of Contents**Legacy Portfolio and Other Operations****Results of Operations**

The following table summarizes the operating results for our Legacy Portfolio and Other operations excluding discontinued operations for the periods shown. Our Legacy Portfolio and Other operations primarily consists of loans originated prior to January 1, 2009, and includes noncore business activities, portfolios in runoff, our mortgage reinsurance business, and cash held in the ResCap legal entity. These activities, all of which we have discontinued, included, among other things: lending to real estate developers and homebuilders in the United States and United Kingdom; and purchasing, selling, and securitizing nonconforming residential mortgage loans (with the exception of U.S. prime jumbo mortgage loans) in both the United States and internationally.

| (\$ in millions) | Three months ended March 31, | | Favorable/ (unfavorable) % change |
|---|------------------------------|------------------|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Net financing revenue | | | |
| Total financing revenue and other interest income | \$ 218 | \$ 382 | (43) |
| Interest expense | 140 | 189 | 26 |
| Net financing revenue | 78 | 193 | (60) |
| Servicing fees | (2) | (2) | |
| Servicing asset valuation and hedge activities, net | | | |
| Total servicing income, net | (2) | (2) | |
| Gain on mortgage loans, net | 18 | 65 | (72) |
| Other income, net of losses | (4) | (40) | 90 |
| Total other revenue | 12 | 23 | (48) |
| Total net revenue | 90 | 216 | (58) |
| Provision for loan losses | 45 | 6 | n/m |
| Noninterest expense | | | |
| Compensation and benefits expense | 36 | 21 | (71) |
| Representation and warranty expense | 28 | 29 | 3 |
| Other operating expenses | 20 | 75 | 73 |
| Total noninterest expense | 84 | 125 | 33 |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations before income tax (benefit) expense | \$ (39) | \$ 85 | (146) |
| Total assets | \$ 11,809 | \$ 28,045 | (58) |

n/m = not meaningful

Our Legacy Portfolio and Other operations incurred a loss from continuing operations before income tax expense of \$39 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to income from continuing operations before income tax expense of \$85 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease in 2011 was primarily due to lower financing revenue related to a decrease in asset levels and a lower net gain on the sale of mortgage loans.

Net financing revenue was \$78 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$193 million in 2010. The decrease was driven by lower financing revenue and other interest income due primarily to a decline in average asset levels due to loan sales, the deconsolidation of previous on-balance sheet securitizations, and portfolio runoff. The decrease was partially offset by lower interest expense related to a reduction in average borrowings commensurate with a smaller asset base.

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

The net gain on mortgage loans was \$18 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$65 million in 2010. The decrease during 2011 was primarily due to lower gains from whole-loan sales and mortgage loan liquidations.

Other income, net of losses, was a loss of \$4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to a loss of \$40 million in 2010. The improvement in 2011 compared to 2010 was primarily due to a lower fair value adjustment and better performance of the remaining asset portfolio.

The provision for loan losses was \$45 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$6 million in 2010. The provision for the three months ended March 31, 2011, was the result of continued portfolio seasoning. The

Table of Contents

provision for the three months ended March 31, 2010, benefited from the improved asset mix as a result of the strategic actions taken during the fourth quarter of 2009 to write down and reclassify certain legacy mortgage loans from held-for-investment to held-for-sale.

Total noninterest expense decreased 33% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to 2010. The decrease was primarily driven by lower real estate owned expense due to fewer foreclosures, lower balances, favorable average real estate owned values, and lower taxes related to real estate owned properties as well as lower data processing expenses.

Mortgage Loan Production and Servicing

Mortgage loan production for our Origination and Servicing operations was \$12.2 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$13.3 billion for the same period in 2010. Domestic loan production decreased \$1.1 billion, or 9%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010. International loan production increased \$21 million, or 7%, compared to the same period in 2010. International mortgage loan production represents high-quality government-insured residential mortgages in Canada.

The following tables summarize consumer mortgage loan production for our Origination and Servicing operations.

| Three months ended March 31, (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | 2011 | | 2010 | |
|--|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| | Number of loans | Dollar amount of loans | Number of loans | Dollar amount of loans |
| Production by product type | | | | |
| Prime conforming | 45,431 | \$ 9,926 | 40,934 | \$ 9,476 |
| Prime nonconforming | 455 | 384 | 446 | 371 |
| Prime second-lien | | | | |
| Government | 7,537 | 1,537 | 15,934 | 3,121 |
| Nonprime | | | | |
| Total U.S. production | 53,423 | 11,847 | 57,314 | 12,968 |
| International production | 1,464 | 312 | 1,544 | 291 |
| Total production by product type | 54,887 | \$ 12,159 | 58,858 | \$ 13,259 |
| U.S. production by channel | | | | |
| Correspondent lender and secondary market purchases | 45,543 | \$ 10,270 | 47,785 | \$ 10,983 |
| Direct lending | 7,014 | 1,369 | 9,450 | 1,962 |
| Mortgage brokers | 866 | 208 | 79 | 23 |
| Total U.S. production by channel | 53,423 | \$ 11,847 | 57,314 | \$ 12,968 |

The following table summarizes the primary mortgage loan-servicing portfolio.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| U.S. primary servicing portfolio | | |
| Prime conforming | \$ 225,223 | \$ 220,762 |
| Prime nonconforming | 48,703 | 52,643 |
| Prime second-lien | 7,900 | 10,851 |
| Government | 48,814 | 48,550 |
| Nonprime | 22,692 | 22,874 |
| International primary servicing portfolio | 6,350 | 5,087 |
| Total primary servicing portfolio (a) | \$ 359,682 | \$ 360,767 |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

(a) Excludes loans for which we acted as a servicer. Serviced loans totaled \$23.4 billion and \$24.2 billion at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively.

For more information regarding our serviced mortgage assets, refer to Note 11 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Loans Outstanding**

Consumer mortgage loans held-for-sale for our Origination and Servicing operations were as follows.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Prime conforming | \$ 2,450 | \$ 5,585 |
| Prime nonconforming | | |
| Prime second-lien | | |
| Government (a) | 3,007 | 3,434 |
| Nonprime | | |
| International | 57 | 351 |
| Total | 5,514 | 9,370 |
| Net premiums | 53 | 135 |
| Fair value option election adjustment | 32 | (61) |
| Lower-of-cost or fair value adjustment | (3) | (2) |
| Total, net | \$ 5,596 | \$ 9,442 |

(a) Includes loans subject to conditional repurchase options of \$2.3 billion and \$2.3 billion sold to Ginnie Mae guaranteed securitizations at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively. The corresponding liability is recorded in accrued expenses and other liabilities on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Consumer mortgage loans held-for-investment for our Origination and Servicing operations were as follows.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Prime conforming | \$ | \$ |
| Prime nonconforming | 2,287 | 2,068 |
| Prime second-lien | | |
| Government | | |
| Nonprime | | |
| International | 276 | 289 |
| Total | 2,563 | 2,357 |
| Net premiums | 8 | 11 |
| Fair value option election adjustment | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | (14) | (14) |
| Total, net | \$ 2,557 | \$ 2,354 |

Consumer mortgage loans held-for-sale for our Legacy Portfolio and Other operations were as follows.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Prime conforming | \$ 337 | \$ 336 |
| Prime nonconforming | 656 | 674 |
| Prime second-lien | 601 | 634 |
| Government | 19 | 18 |
| Nonprime | 614 | 637 |
| International | 23 | 13 |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Total (a) | 2,250 | 2,312 |
| Net discounts | (296) | (296) |
| Fair value option election adjustment | (12) | (1) |
| Lower-of-cost or fair value adjustment | (48) | (46) |
| Total, net (b) | \$ 1,894 | \$ 1,969 |

- (a) Includes unpaid principal write-down of \$1.7 billion and \$1.8 billion at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively. The amounts are write-downs taken upon the transfer of mortgage loans from held-for-investment to held-for-sale during the fourth quarter of 2009 and charge-offs taken in accordance with our charge-off policy.
- (b) Includes loans subject to conditional repurchase options of \$136 million and \$146 million sold to off-balance sheet private-label securitizations at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively. The corresponding liability is recorded in accrued expenses and other liabilities on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Table of Contents

Consumer mortgage loans held-for-investment for our Legacy Portfolio and Other operations were as follows.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Prime conforming | \$ 309 | \$ 323 |
| Prime nonconforming | 5,821 | 6,059 |
| Prime second-lien | 2,517 | 2,642 |
| Government | | |
| Nonprime | 1,521 | 1,583 |
| International | 538 | 573 |
| Total | 10,706 | 11,180 |
| Net premiums | 24 | 26 |
| Fair value option election adjustment | (1,840) | (1,890) |
| Allowance for loan losses | (525) | (542) |
| Total, net (a) | \$ 8,365 | \$ 8,774 |

(a) At March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, the carrying value of mortgage loans held-for-investment relating to securitization transactions accounted for as on-balance sheet securitizations and pledged as collateral totaled \$971 million and \$1.0 billion, respectively. The investors in these on-balance sheet securitizations have no recourse to our other assets beyond the loans pledged as collateral other than market customary representation and warranty provisions.

Temporary Suspension of Mortgage Foreclosure Sales and Evictions and Consent Order

Refer to Note 24 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for information related to this matter.

Table of Contents**Corporate and Other**

The following table summarizes the activities of Corporate and Other excluding discontinued operations for the periods shown. Corporate and Other includes our Commercial Finance Group, certain equity investments, the amortization of the discount associated with new debt issuances and bond exchanges, most notably from the December 2008 bond exchange, as well as the residual impacts of our corporate FTP and treasury asset liability management activities (ALM), and reclassifications and eliminations between the reportable operating segments.

| (\$ in millions) | Three months ended March 31, | | |
|--|------------------------------|------------------|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Favorable/ (unfavorable) % change |
| Net financing loss | | | |
| Total financing revenue and other interest income | \$ 47 | \$ 31 | 52 |
| Interest expense | | | |
| Original issue discount amortization | 299 | 296 | (1) |
| Other interest expense | 270 | 245 | (10) |
| Total interest expense | 569 | 541 | (5) |
| Net financing loss | (522) | (510) | (2) |
| Other revenue | | | |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | (39) | (118) | 67 |
| Other gain on investments, net | 25 | 32 | (22) |
| Other income, net of losses | 39 | (71) | 155 |
| Total other revenue (expense) | 25 | (157) | 116 |
| Total net expense | (497) | (667) | 25 |
| Provision for loan losses | (17) | 15 | n/m |
| Noninterest expense | | | |
| Compensation and benefits expense | 136 | 156 | 13 |
| Other operating expense | 8 | (27) | (130) |
| Total noninterest expense | 144 | 129 | (12) |
| Loss from continuing operations before income tax (benefit) expense | \$ (624) | \$ (811) | 23 |
| Total assets | \$ 29,750 | \$ 31,644 | (6) |

n/m = not meaningful

The following table summarizes the components of net financing losses for Corporate and Other.

| Three months ended March 31, (\$ in millions) | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Original issue discount amortization (a) | \$ (299) | \$ (296) |
| Net impact of the FTP methodology | | |
| Cost of carry on the cash and investment portfolio | (157) | (113) |
| ALM/FTP cost of funds mismatch | (110) | (72) |
| Net other unallocated interest income (costs) | 15 | (52) |
| Total net impact of the FTP methodology | (252) | (237) |
| Commercial Finance Group net financing revenue and other | 29 | 23 |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

Total net financing losses for Corporate and Other **\$ (522)** \$ (510)

(a) The original issue discount associated with our 2008 bond exchange and cash tender offers in 2008 was \$286 million during both the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. The remaining amount is attributable to new debt issuance discount amortization.

The following table presents the scheduled remaining amortization of the original issue discount at March 31, 2011.

| Year ended December 31, (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | 2011 (a) | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 and thereafter (b) | Total |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------------------------|----------|
| Original issue discount | | | | | | | |
| Outstanding balance | \$ 2,194 | \$ 1,844 | \$ 1,580 | \$ 1,390 | \$ 1,334 | \$ | |
| Total amortization (c) | 646 | 350 | 264 | 190 | 56 | 1,334 | \$ 2,840 |
| 2008 bond exchange amortization (d) | 620 | 320 | 241 | 166 | 43 | 1,178 | 2,568 |

(a) Represents the remaining future original issue discount amortization expense to be taken during 2011.

(b) The maximum annual scheduled amortization for any individual year is \$158 million in 2030 of which \$152 million is related to 2008 bond exchange amortization.

(c) Amortization is included as interest on long-term debt on the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income.

(d) 2008 bond exchange amortization is included in total amortization.

Table of Contents

Loss from continuing operations before income tax expense for Corporate and Other was \$624 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$811 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. Corporate and Other's loss from continuing operations before income tax expense for both periods was primarily due to net financing losses, which primarily represented original issue discount amortization expense and the net impact of our FTP methodology. The net impact of our FTP methodology included the unallocated cost of maintaining our liquidity and investment portfolios and other unassigned funding costs and unassigned equity.

The improvement in the loss from continuing operations before income tax expense for the three months ended March 31, 2011, was primarily due to favorable net derivative activity, a lower loss related to the extinguishment of certain Ally debt (which included \$30 million of accelerated amortization of original discount during the three months ended March 31, 2011), and lower restructuring expense. This favorable activity was partially offset by an increase in unsecured interest expense related to the 2010 and 2011 debt issuances and higher marketing expenses.

Corporate and Other also includes the results of our Commercial Finance Group. Our Commercial Finance Group earned income from continuing operations before income tax expense of \$51 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$13 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to a decline in provision for loan losses due to European-based recoveries.

Cash and Securities

The following table summarizes the composition of the cash and securities portfolio held at fair value by Corporate and Other.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Cash | | |
| Noninterest-bearing cash | \$ 1,543 | \$ 1,637 |
| Interest-bearing cash | 9,630 | 7,964 |
| Total cash | 11,173 | 9,601 |
| Trading securities | | |
| U.S. Treasury | | 75 |
| Mortgage-backed | 34 | 25 |
| Asset-backed | | 93 |
| Total trading securities | 34 | 193 |
| Available-for-sale securities | | |
| Debt securities | | |
| U.S. Treasury and federal agencies | 2,490 | 3,097 |
| States and political subdivisions | 2 | 2 |
| Foreign government | 508 | 499 |
| Mortgage-backed | 4,999 | 4,973 |
| Asset-backed | 2,245 | 1,936 |
| Other debt (a) | 489 | 151 |
| Total debt securities | 10,733 | 10,658 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | 10,733 | 10,658 |
| Total cash and securities | \$ 21,940 | \$ 20,452 |

(a) Includes intersegment eliminations.

Table of Contents**Risk Management**

Managing the risk to reward trade-off is a fundamental component of operating our business. Our risk management process is overseen by the Ally Board of Directors (the Board), various risk committees, and the executive leadership team. The Board sets the risk appetite across our company while the risk committees and executive leadership team monitor potential risks and manage the risk to be within our risk appetite. The primary risks include credit, market, operational, liquidity, and legal and compliance risk. For more information on our risk management process, refer to the Risk Management MD&A section of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Loan and Lease Exposure

The following table summarizes the exposures from our loan and lease activities.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Finance receivables and loans | | |
| Global Automotive Services | \$ 93,121 | \$ 86,888 |
| Mortgage operations | 12,452 | 13,423 |
| Corporate and Other | 1,886 | 2,102 |
| Total finance receivables and loans | 107,459 | 102,413 |
| Held-for-sale loans | | |
| Global Automotive Services | | |
| Mortgage operations | 7,490 | 11,411 |
| Corporate and Other | 6 | |
| Total held-for-sale loans | 7,496 | 11,411 |
| Total on-balance sheet loans | \$ 114,955 | \$ 113,824 |
| Off-balance sheet securitized loans | | |
| Global Automotive Services | \$ | \$ |
| Mortgage operations | 329,644 | 326,830 |
| Corporate and Other | | |
| Total off-balance sheet securitized loans | \$ 329,644 | \$ 326,830 |
| Operating lease assets | | |
| Global Automotive Services | \$ 8,898 | \$ 9,128 |
| Mortgage operations | | |
| Corporate and Other | | |
| Total operating lease assets | \$ 8,898 | \$ 9,128 |
| Serviced loans and leases | | |
| Global Automotive Services | \$ 118,980 | \$ 115,358 |
| Mortgage operations (a) | 359,682 | 360,767 |
| Corporate and Other | 2,204 | 2,448 |
| Total serviced loans and leases | \$ 480,866 | \$ 478,573 |

(a) Includes primary mortgage loan-servicing portfolio only.

The risks inherent in our loan and lease exposures are largely driven by changes in the overall economy and its impact to our borrowers. The potential financial statement impact of these exposures varies depending on the accounting classification and future expected disposition strategy. We retain the majority of our automobile loans as they complement our core business model. We primarily originate mortgage loans

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

with the intent to sell them and, as such, retain only a small percentage of the loans that we originate or purchase. Loans that we do not intend to retain are sold to investors, primarily securitizations guaranteed by the GSEs. However, we may retain an interest or right to service these loans. We ultimately manage the associated risks based on the underlying economics of the exposure.

Table of Contents

Credit Risk Management

During the first three months of 2011, the economy continued to expand modestly as the labor market further recovered. Within the automotive markets, encouraging trends included stronger pricing in used vehicle markets and higher industry sales. However, we continue to be cautious, in part due to uncertainty emanating from the crisis in Japan and the effect it could have on automotive sales through the remainder of the year, higher average gasoline prices and their possible effects on automotive sales, and the renewed weakness in the housing market. As a result, this underlying uncertainty may continue to affect our loan portfolio through the upcoming periods.

We have policies and practices that are committed to maintaining an independent and ongoing assessment of credit risk and quality. Our policies require an objective and timely assessment of the overall quality of the consumer and commercial loan portfolios. This includes the identification of relevant trends that affect the collectability of the portfolios, segments of the portfolios that are potential problem areas, loans and leases with potential credit weaknesses, and assessment of the adequacy of internal credit risk policies and procedures to monitor compliance with relevant laws and regulations. In addition, we maintain limits and underwriting guidelines that reflect our risk appetite.

We manage credit risk based on the risk profile of the borrower, the source of repayment, the underlying collateral, and current market conditions. Our business is primarily focused on consumer automobile loans and leases and mortgage loans in addition to automobile-related commercial lending. We classify these loans as either consumer or commercial and analyze credit risk in each. We monitor the credit risk profile of individual borrowers and the aggregate portfolio of borrowers either within a designated geographic region or a particular product or industry segment. To mitigate risk concentrations, we take part in loan sales and syndications.

In response to the dynamic credit environment and other market conditions, we continued to follow a more conservative lending policy across our lines of business, generally focusing our lending to more creditworthy borrowers. For example, our mortgage operations eliminated production of new home equity loans in 2009. During 2010, we also significantly limited production of loans that do not conform to the underwriting guidelines of the GSEs. In addition, effective January 2009, we ceased originating nonprime automotive financing volume through Nuvel, which commenced in 2002 and primarily focused on non-GM dealers.

Additionally, we have implemented numerous initiatives in an effort to mitigate loss and provide ongoing support to customers in financial distress. For automobile loans, we offer several types of assistance to aid our customers. Loss mitigation includes changing the due date, extending payments, and rewriting the loan terms. We have implemented these actions with the intent to provide the borrower with additional options in lieu of repossessing their vehicle.

For mortgage loans, as part of our participation in certain governmental programs, we may offer mortgage loan modifications to our borrowers. Generally these modifications provide the borrower with some form of concession and, therefore, are deemed to be troubled debt restructurings (TDRs). Refer to Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information on TDRs. Furthermore, we have internally designed proprietary programs aimed at homeowners at risk of foreclosure. Each program has unique qualification criteria for the borrower to meet as well as associated modification options that we analyze to determine the best solution for the borrower. We have also implemented periodic foreclosure moratoriums that are designed to provide borrowers with extra time to sort out their financial difficulties while allowing them to stay in their homes.

On-balance Sheet Portfolio

Our on-balance sheet portfolio includes both finance receivables and loans and held-for-sale loans. At March 31, 2011, this primarily included \$93.1 billion of automobile finance receivables and loans and \$19.9 billion of mortgage finance receivables and loans. Within our on-balance sheet portfolio, we have elected to account for certain mortgage loans at fair value. The valuation allowance recorded on fair value elected loans is separate from the allowance for loan losses. Changes in the fair value of loans are classified as gain on mortgage and automotive loans, net, in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we further executed on our strategy of discontinuing and selling or liquidating nonstrategic operations. Refer to Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Table of Contents

The following table presents our total on-balance sheet consumer and commercial finance receivables and loans reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses.

| (\$ in millions) | Outstanding | | Nonperforming (a) | | Accruing past due 90 days or more (b) | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| Consumer | | | | | | |
| Finance receivables and loans | | | | | | |
| Loans at historical cost | \$ 67,436 | \$ 62,002 | \$ 599 | \$ 768 | \$ 5 | \$ 6 |
| Loans at fair value | 971 | 1,015 | 246 | 260 | | |
| Total finance receivables and loans | 68,407 | 63,017 | 845 | 1,028 | 5 | 6 |
| Loans held-for-sale | 7,490 | 11,411 | 3,152 | 3,273 | 28 | 25 |
| Total consumer loans | 75,897 | 74,428 | 3,997 | 4,301 | 33 | 31 |
| Commercial | | | | | | |
| Finance receivables and loans | | | | | | |
| Loans at historical cost | 39,052 | 39,396 | 645 | 740 | | |
| Loans at fair value | | | | | | |
| Total finance receivables and loans | 39,052 | 39,396 | 645 | 740 | | |
| Loans held-for-sale | 6 | | | | | |
| Total commercial loans | 39,058 | 39,396 | 645 | 740 | | |
| Total on-balance sheet loans | \$ 114,955 | \$ 113,824 | \$ 4,642 | \$ 5,041 | \$ 33 | \$ 31 |

(a) Includes nonaccrual troubled debt restructured loans of \$654 million and \$684 million at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively.

(b) Includes troubled debt restructured loans classified as 90 days past due and still accruing of \$17 million and \$13 million at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Total on-balance sheet loans outstanding at March 31, 2011, increased \$1.1 billion to \$115.0 billion from December 31, 2010, reflecting an increase of \$1.5 billion in the consumer portfolio and a decrease of \$338 million in the commercial portfolio. The increase in total on-balance sheet loans outstanding from December 31, 2010, was the result of increased automobile originations, which outpaced portfolio runoff, due to strengthened industry sales and improved automotive manufacturer penetration. The increase was partially offset by a decrease in mortgage originations in our consumer mortgage business and lower line utilization in our commercial mortgage business driven in part by higher interest rates.

The total TDRs outstanding at March 31, 2011, increased \$77 million to \$1.5 billion from December 31, 2010. This increase was driven primarily by our continued foreclosure prevention and loss mitigation procedures. We have participated in a variety of government modification programs, such as the Home Affordable Modification Program (HAMP), as well as internally developed modification programs.

Total nonperforming loans at March 31, 2011, decreased \$399 million to \$4.6 billion from December 31, 2010, reflecting a decrease of \$304 million of consumer nonperforming loans and a decrease of \$95 million of commercial nonperforming loans. The decrease in total nonperforming loans from December 31, 2010, was largely due to seasonal improvement within our mortgage portfolio and improved dealer performance in the commercial automotive portfolio.

The following table includes consumer and commercial net charge-offs from finance receivables and loans at historical cost and related ratios reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses.

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| (\$ in millions) | Three months ended March 31, | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------|
| | Net charge-offs | | Net charge-off ratios (a) | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Consumer | | | | |
| Finance receivables and loans at historical cost | \$ 169 | \$ 255 | 1.0% | 2.1% |
| Commercial | | | | |
| Finance receivables and loans at historical cost | 20 | 61 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| Total finance receivables and loans at historical cost | \$ 189 | \$ 316 | 0.7 | 1.5 |

(a) Net charge-off ratios are calculated as annualized net charge-offs divided by average outstanding finance receivables and loans excluding loans measured at fair value and loans held-for-sale during the year for each loan category.

Table of Contents

Our net charge-offs were \$189 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$316 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. This decrease in net charge-offs was primarily driven by improvement within our consumer automotive portfolio and the workout of certain commercial real estate assets in prior periods. Loans held-for-sale are accounted for at the lower of cost or fair value, and therefore we do not record charge-offs.

The *Consumer Credit Portfolio* and *Commercial Credit Portfolio* discussions that follow relate to consumer and commercial credit finance receivables and loans recorded at historical cost. Finance receivables and loans recorded at historical cost have an associated allowance for loan losses. Finance receivables and loans measured at fair value were excluded from these discussions since those exposures do not carry an allowance.

Consumer Credit Portfolio

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the credit performance of the consumer portfolio continued to improve overall as our finance receivables and loans increased and nonperforming finance receivables and loans and charge-offs declined. For information on our consumer credit risk practices and policies regarding delinquencies, nonperforming status, and charge-offs, refer to Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The following table includes consumer finance receivables and loans recorded at historical cost reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses.

| (\$ in millions) | Outstanding | | Nonperforming (a) | | Accruing past due 90 days or more (b) | |
|---|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| Domestic | | | | | | |
| Consumer automobile | \$ 39,903 | \$ 34,604 | \$ 110 | \$ 129 | \$ | \$ |
| Consumer mortgage | | | | | | |
| 1st Mortgage | 6,893 | 6,917 | 301 | 388 | 2 | 1 |
| Home equity | 3,347 | 3,441 | 45 | 61 | | |
| Total domestic | 50,143 | 44,962 | 456 | 578 | 2 | 1 |
| Foreign | | | | | | |
| Consumer automobile | 16,965 | 16,650 | 77 | 78 | 3 | 5 |
| Consumer mortgage | | | | | | |
| 1st Mortgage | 328 | 390 | 66 | 112 | | |
| Home equity | | | | | | |
| Total foreign | 17,293 | 17,040 | 143 | 190 | 3 | 5 |
| Total consumer finance receivables and loans | \$ 67,436 | \$ 62,002 | \$ 599 | \$ 768 | \$ 5 | \$ 6 |

(a) Includes nonaccrual troubled debt restructured loans of \$175 million and \$204 million at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively.

(b) There were no troubled debt restructured loans classified as 90 days past due and still accruing at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010.

Total consumer outstanding finance receivables and loans increased \$5.4 billion at March 31, 2011, compared with December 31, 2010. The increase in domestic automobile outstandings was driven by increased originations, which outpaced portfolio run-off, due to strengthened industry sales and improved automotive manufacturer penetration.

Total consumer nonperforming finance receivables and loans at March 31, 2011, decreased \$169 million to \$599 million from December 31, 2010, reflecting a decrease of \$149 million of consumer mortgage nonperforming finance receivables and loans and a decrease of \$20 million of consumer automotive nonperforming finance receivables and loans. Nonperforming consumer mortgage finance receivables and loans decreased primarily due to seasonal improvements. Nonperforming consumer automotive finance receivables and loans decreased primarily due to increased quality of newer vintages and normal seasonal trends. Nonperforming consumer finance receivables and loans as a

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

percentage of total outstanding consumer finance receivables and loans were 0.9% and 1.2% at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Consumer domestic automotive loans accruing and past due 30 days or more decreased \$212 million to \$590 million at March 31, 2011, compared with December 31, 2010, primarily due to increased quality of newer vintages and normal seasonal trends.

Table of Contents

The following table includes consumer net charge-offs from finance receivables and loans at historical cost and related ratios reported at carrying value before allowance for loans losses.

| (\$ in millions) | Three months ended March 31, | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--------|---------------------------|------|
| | Net charge-offs | | Net charge-off ratios (a) | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Domestic | | | | |
| Consumer automobile | \$ 89 | \$ 184 | 1.0% | 3.5% |
| Consumer mortgage | | | | |
| 1st Mortgage | 36 | 17 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| Home equity | 21 | 11 | 2.5 | 1.1 |
| Total domestic | 146 | 212 | 1.2 | 2.7 |
| Foreign | | | | |
| Consumer automobile | 23 | 41 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| Consumer mortgage | | | | |
| 1st Mortgage | | 2 | 0.1 | 1.8 |
| Home equity | | | | |
| Total foreign | 23 | 43 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| Total consumer finance receivables and loans | \$ 169 | \$ 255 | 1.0 | 2.1 |

(a) Net charge-off ratios are calculated as annualized net charge-offs divided by average outstanding finance receivables and loans excluding loans measured at fair value and loans held-for-sale during the year for each loan category.

Our net charge-offs from total consumer automobile finance receivables and loans decreased \$113 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010. The decrease in net charge-offs was primarily due to lower loss frequency, improvements in loss severity as a result of improved pricing in the used vehicle market, and improved loss performance in our Nuvel portfolio primarily due to enhanced collection efforts.

Our net charge-offs from total consumer mortgage and home equity finance receivables and loans were \$57 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$30 million for the same period in 2010. The increase was driven by net charge-offs within our consumer legacy mortgage portfolio as those finance receivables and loans continue to season.

The following table summarizes the total consumer loan originations at unpaid principal balance for the periods shown. Total consumer loan originations include loans classified as finance receivables and loans and loans held-for-sale during the period.

| (\$ in millions) | Three months ended March 31, | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Domestic | | |
| Consumer automobile | \$ 9,384 | \$ 5,296 |
| Consumer mortgage | | |
| 1st Mortgage | 11,847 | 12,968 |
| Home equity | | |
| Total domestic | 21,231 | 18,264 |
| Foreign | | |
| Consumer automobile | 2,052 | 1,702 |
| Consumer mortgage | | |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| 1st Mortgage | 312 | 292 |
| Home equity | | |
| Total foreign | 2,364 | 1,994 |
| Total consumer loan originations | \$ 23,595 | \$ 20,258 |

Total domestic automobile-originated loans increased \$4.1 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010, primarily due to strengthened industry sales and improved automotive manufacturer penetration.

Table of Contents

Total domestic mortgage-originated loans decreased \$1.1 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The decrease for the three months ended March 31, 2011, was due in part to higher interest rates.

Consumer loan originations retained on-balance sheet as held-for-investment were \$11.8 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2011, and \$5.7 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2010, respectively. The increase was primarily due to strengthened automotive industry sales, improved automotive manufacturer penetration, and increased balance sheet retention.

The following table shows the percentage of total consumer finance receivables and loans recorded at historical cost reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses by state and foreign concentration. Total automobile loans were \$56.9 billion and \$51.3 billion at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively. Total mortgage and home equity loans were \$10.6 billion and \$10.7 billion at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively.

| | March 31, 2011 (a) | | December 31, 2010 | |
|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Automobile | 1st Mortgage and home equity | Automobile | 1st Mortgage and home equity |
| Texas | 9.3% | 4.8% | 9.2% | 4.4% |
| California | 4.7 | 24.7 | 4.6 | 24.5 |
| Florida | 4.5 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.1 |
| Michigan | 3.9 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 5.0 |
| Illinois | 2.9 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 4.7 |
| New York | 3.4 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 2.4 |
| Pennsylvania | 3.2 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 1.7 |
| Ohio | 2.7 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 1.0 |
| Georgia | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.8 |
| North Carolina | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Other United States | 31.2 | 44.6 | 29.4 | 44.7 |
| Canada | 13.1 | 3.0 | 14.2 | 3.6 |
| Brazil | 5.0 | | 5.2 | |
| Germany | 5.0 | | 5.7 | |
| Other foreign | 6.7 | 0.1 | 7.5 | 0.1 |
| Total consumer loans | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

(a) Presentation is in descending order as a percentage of total consumer finance receivables and loans at March 31, 2010.

We monitor our consumer loan portfolio for concentration risk across the geographies in which we lend. The highest concentrations of loans in the United States were in Texas and California, which represented an aggregate of 16.4% of our total outstanding consumer finance receivables and loans at March 31, 2011.

Concentrations in our mortgage operations are closely monitored given the volatility of the housing markets. Our consumer mortgage loan concentrations in California, Florida, and Michigan receive particular attention as the real estate value depreciation in these states has been the most severe.

Repossessed and Foreclosed Assets

We classify an asset as repossessed or foreclosed (included in other assets on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet) when physical possession of the collateral is taken. For more information on repossessed and foreclosed assets, refer to Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Repossessed assets in our automotive finance operations at March 31, 2011, increased \$3 million to \$49 million from December 31, 2010. Foreclosed mortgage assets at March 31, 2011, decreased \$21 million to \$117 million from December 31, 2010.

Higher Risk Mortgage Loans

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we primarily focused our origination efforts on prime conforming and government guaranteed mortgages in the United States and high-quality insured mortgages in Canada. However, we continued to hold mortgage loans originated in prior years that have features that expose us to potentially higher credit risk including high original loan-to-value mortgage loans (prime or nonprime), payment-option adjustable-rate mortgage loans (prime nonconforming), interest-only mortgage loans (classified as prime conforming or nonconforming for domestic production and prime nonconforming or nonprime for international production), and teaser-rate mortgages (prime or nonprime).

In circumstances when a loan has features such that it falls into multiple categories, it is classified to a category only once based on the following hierarchy: (1) high original loan-to-value mortgage loans, (2) payment-option adjustable-rate mortgage loans, (3) interest-only mortgage loans, and (4) below market rate (teaser) mortgages. Given the continued stress within the housing market, we believe this hierarchy provides the most relevant risk assessment of our nontraditional products.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the higher-risk mortgage loan originations at unpaid principal balance for the periods shown. These higher-risk mortgage loans are classified as finance receivables and loans and are recorded at historical cost.

| (\$ in millions) | Three months ended March 31, | |
|--|------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| High original loan-to-value (greater than 100%) mortgage loans | \$ | \$ |
| Payment-option adjustable-rate mortgage loans | | |
| Interest-only mortgage loans (a) | | 103 |
| Below-market rate (teaser) mortgages | | |
| Total higher-risk mortgage loan production | \$ | \$ 103 |

(a) As of June 2010, this product was no longer offered.

The following table summarizes mortgage finance receivables and loans by higher-risk loan type. These finance receivables and loans are recorded at historical cost and reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses.

| (\$ in millions) | Outstanding | | Nonperforming | | Accruing past due 90 days or more | |
|--|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| High original loan-to-value (greater than 100%) mortgage loans | \$ 5 | \$ 5 | \$ 1 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Payment-option adjustable-rate mortgage loans | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Interest-only mortgage loans (a) | 3,488 | 3,681 | 177 | 207 | | |
| Below-market rate (teaser) mortgages | 274 | 284 | 5 | 4 | | |
| Total higher-risk mortgage loans | \$ 3,771 | \$ 3,975 | \$ 184 | \$ 212 | \$ | \$ |

(a) The majority of the interest-only mortgage loans are expected to start principal amortization in 2015 or beyond.

The allowance for loan losses was \$244 million or 6.5% of total higher-risk held-for-investment mortgage loans recorded at historical cost based on carrying value outstanding before allowance for loans losses at March 31, 2011.

The following table includes our five largest state concentrations based on our higher-risk finance receivables and loans recorded at historical cost and reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses.

| (\$ in millions) | High original loan-to-value (greater than 100%) mortgage loans | Payment-option adjustable-rate mortgage loans | Interest-only mortgage loans | Below market rate (teaser) mortgages | All higher-risk loans |
|--------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| March 31, 2011 | | | | | |
| California | \$ | \$ 1 | \$ 937 | \$ 87 | \$ 1,025 |
| Virginia | | | 310 | 11 | 321 |
| Maryland | | | 246 | 7 | 253 |
| Michigan | | | 217 | 9 | 226 |
| Illinois | | | 188 | 8 | 196 |
| All other domestic and foreign | 5 | 3 | 1,590 | 152 | 1,750 |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----|---|----|---|----|-------|----|-----|----|-------|
| Total higher-risk mortgage loans | \$ | 5 | \$ | 4 | \$ | 3,488 | \$ | 274 | \$ | 3,771 |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | | | | | | |
| California | \$ | | \$ | 1 | \$ | 993 | \$ | 89 | \$ | 1,083 |
| Virginia | | | | | | 330 | | 12 | | 342 |
| Maryland | | | | | | 256 | | 7 | | 263 |
| Michigan | | | | | | 225 | | 10 | | 235 |
| Illinois | | | | | | 197 | | 8 | | 205 |
| All other domestic and foreign | | 5 | | 4 | | 1,680 | | 158 | | 1,847 |
| Total higher-risk mortgage loans | \$ | 5 | \$ | 5 | \$ | 3,681 | \$ | 284 | \$ | 3,975 |

Table of Contents**Commercial Credit Portfolio**

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the credit performance of the commercial portfolio improved as nonperforming finance receivables and loans and net charge-offs declined. For information on our commercial credit risk practices and policies regarding delinquencies, nonperforming status, and charge-offs, refer to Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The following table includes total commercial finance receivables and loans reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses.

| (\$ in millions) | Outstanding | | Nonperforming (a) | | Accruing past due 90 days or more (b) | |
|---|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| Domestic | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | | | | | |
| Automobile | \$ 24,716 | \$ 24,944 | \$ 247 | \$ 261 | \$ | \$ |
| Mortgage | 820 | 1,540 | 3 | | | |
| Other (c) | 1,596 | 1,795 | 41 | 37 | | |
| Commercial real estate | | | | | | |
| Automobile | 2,090 | 2,071 | 142 | 193 | | |
| Mortgage | | 1 | | 1 | | |
| Total domestic | 29,222 | 30,351 | 433 | 492 | | |
| Foreign | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | | | | | |
| Automobile | 9,222 | 8,398 | 37 | 35 | | |
| Mortgage | 40 | 41 | 40 | 40 | | |
| Other (c) | 295 | 312 | 78 | 97 | | |
| Commercial real estate | | | | | | |
| Automobile | 220 | 216 | 8 | 6 | | |
| Mortgage | 53 | 78 | 49 | 70 | | |
| Total foreign | 9,830 | 9,045 | 212 | 248 | | |
| Total commercial finance receivables and loans | \$ 39,052 | \$ 39,396 | \$ 645 | \$ 740 | \$ | \$ |

(a) Includes nonaccrual troubled debt restructured loans of \$2 million and \$9 million at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively.

(b) There were no troubled debt restructured loans classified as 90 days past due and still accruing at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010.

(c) Other commercial primarily includes senior secured commercial lending.

Total commercial finance receivables and loans outstanding decreased \$344 million to \$39.1 billion at March 31, 2011, from December 31, 2010. Domestic commercial and industrial outstandings decreased driven primarily by mortgage warehouse lending declines in line utilization due in part to higher interest rates. Foreign commercial and industrial outstandings increased primarily due to growth in our Canadian automobile portfolio, partially offset by dealer exits and continued portfolio runoff within exited countries.

Total commercial nonperforming finance receivables and loans were \$645 million, a decrease of \$95 million compared to December 31, 2010, primarily due to improvement in dealer performance and continued mortgage asset dispositions. Total nonperforming commercial finance receivables and loans as a percentage of outstanding commercial finance receivables and loans were 1.7% and 1.9% at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table includes total commercial net charge-offs from finance receivables and loans at historical cost and related ratios reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses.

| (\$ in millions) | Three months ended March 31, | | Net charge-off ratios (a) | |
|--|------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|-------|
| | Net charge-offs (recoveries) | | 2011 | 2010 |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Domestic | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | | | |
| Automobile | \$ 2 | \$ 1 | % | % |
| Mortgage | 2 | (1) | 0.8 | (0.3) |
| Other | (2) | 3 | (0.5) | 0.4 |
| Commercial real estate | | | | |
| Automobile | (1) | 12 | (0.2) | 2.3 |
| Mortgage | (1) | 42 | n/m | n/m |
| Total domestic | | 57 | | 0.9 |
| Foreign | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | | | |
| Automobile | 2 | 2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Mortgage | 1 | | 9.7 | |
| Other | 3 | | 4.3 | |
| Commercial real estate | | | | |
| Automobile | | 2 | | 7.6 |
| Mortgage | 14 | | 78.4 | |
| Total foreign | 20 | 4 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| Total commercial finance receivables and loans | \$ 20 | \$ 61 | 0.2 | 0.7 |

n/m = not meaningful

(a) Net charge-off ratios are calculated as annualized net charge-offs divided by average outstanding finance receivables and loans excluding loans measured at fair value and loans held-for-sale during the year for each loan category.

Our net charge-offs from commercial finance receivables and loans totaled \$20 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$61 million for the same period in 2010. The decreases in net charge-offs were largely driven by an improved mix of loans in the existing portfolio driven by the workout of certain commercial real estate assets in prior periods.

Commercial Real Estate

The commercial real estate portfolio consists of finance receivables and loans issued primarily to automotive dealers, homebuilders, and commercial real estate firms. Commercial real estate finance receivables and loans remained flat at \$2.4 billion at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the percentage of total commercial real estate finance receivables and loans by geographic region and property type. These finance receivables and loans are reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses.

| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|----------------|-------------------|
| Geographic region | | |
| Texas | 11.8% | 10.5% |
| Michigan | 10.4 | 10.1 |
| Florida | 10.2 | 10.3 |
| California | 9.7 | 9.6 |
| Virginia | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| New York | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| Pennsylvania | 3.6 | 3.7 |
| Oregon | 2.9 | 3.1 |
| Georgia | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| Alabama | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| Other United States | 26.4 | 26.9 |
| Canada | 4.5 | 4.4 |
| United Kingdom | 4.4 | 5.0 |
| Mexico | 2.1 | 2.4 |
| Other foreign | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Total commercial real estate finance receivables and loans | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Property type | | |
| Automobile dealerships | 92.8% | 91.8% |
| Residential | 1.7 | 2.5 |
| Land and land development | 0.5 | 0.8 |
| Apartments | | 0.1 |
| Other | 5.0 | 4.8 |
| Total commercial real estate finance receivables and loans | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Commercial Criticized Exposure

Exposures deemed criticized are finance receivables and loans classified as special mention, substandard, or doubtful. These classifications are based on regulatory definitions and generally represent finance receivables and loans within our portfolio that have a higher default risk or have already defaulted. These finance receivables and loans require additional monitoring and review including specific actions to mitigate our potential economic loss.

The following table shows the percentage of total commercial criticized finance receivables and loans by industry concentrations. These finance receivables and loans are reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses.

| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Industry | | |
| Automotive | 72.0% | 66.5% |
| Real estate | 10.1 | 12.1 |
| Health/medical | 5.2 | 7.3 |
| Manufacturing | 4.2 | 3.5 |
| Hardgoods | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| Services | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| Retail | 1.1 | 1.5 |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Electronics | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| All other | 3.1 | 4.2 |
| Total commercial criticized finance receivables and loans | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Total criticized exposures were \$3.7 billion and \$3.6 billion at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively, as automotive criticized exposure increased due to risk rating process enhancements. The increase was partially offset by health/medical and real estate improvements.

Table of Contents**Allowance for Loan Losses**

The following tables present an analysis of the activity in the allowance for loan losses on finance receivables and loans.

| | Consumer | Consumer | Total | | |
|--|------------|----------|----------|------------|----------|
| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | automobile | mortgage | consumer | Commercial | Total |
| Balance at January 1, 2011 | \$ 970 | \$ 580 | \$ 1,550 | \$ 323 | \$ 1,873 |
| Charge-offs | | | | | |
| Domestic | (139) | (60) | (199) | (6) | (205) |
| Foreign | (42) | | (42) | (31) | (73) |
| Total charge-offs | (181) | (60) | (241) | (37) | (278) |
| Recoveries | | | | | |
| Domestic | 50 | 3 | 53 | 6 | 59 |
| Foreign | 19 | | 19 | 11 | 30 |
| Total recoveries | 69 | 3 | 72 | 17 | 89 |
| Net charge-offs | (112) | (57) | (169) | (20) | (189) |
| Provision for loan losses | 53 | 40 | 93 | 20 | 113 |
| Other | 5 | | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| Balance at March 31, 2011 | \$ 916 | \$ 563 | \$ 1,479 | \$ 327 | \$ 1,806 |
| Allowance for loan losses to finance receivables and loans outstanding at March 31, 2011 (a) | 1.6% | 5.3% | 2.2% | 0.8% | 1.7% |
| Net charge-offs to average finance receivables and loans outstanding at March 31, 2011 (a) | 0.8% | 2.1% | 1.0% | 0.2% | 0.7% |
| Allowance for loan losses to total nonperforming finance receivables and loans at March 31, 2011 (a) | 488.9% | 136.7% | 246.7% | 50.7% | 145.2% |
| Ratio of allowance for loans losses to net charge-offs at March 31, 2011 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 2.4 |

(a) Coverage percentages are based on the allowance for loan losses related to finance receivables and loans excluding those loans held at fair value as a percentage of the unpaid principal balance, net of premiums and discounts.

| | Consumer | Consumer | Total | | |
|--|------------|----------|----------|------------|----------|
| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | automobile | mortgage | consumer | Commercial | Total |
| Balance at January 1, 2010 | \$ 1,024 | \$ 640 | \$ 1,664 | \$ 781 | \$ 2,445 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principles (a) | 222 | | 222 | | 222 |
| Charge-offs | | | | | |
| Domestic | (289) | (32) | (321) | (61) | (382) |
| Foreign | (56) | (2) | (58) | (4) | (62) |
| Total charge-offs | (345) | (34) | (379) | (65) | (444) |
| Recoveries | | | | | |
| Domestic | 105 | 4 | 109 | 4 | 113 |
| Foreign | 15 | | 15 | | 15 |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|
| Total recoveries | 120 | 4 | 124 | 4 | 128 |
| Net charge-offs | (225) | (30) | (255) | (61) | (316) |
| Provision for loan losses | 108 | 18 | 126 | 18 | 144 |
| Discontinued operations | 2 | (1) | 1 | | 1 |
| Other | (11) | 7 | (4) | (12) | (16) |
| Balance at March 31, 2010 | \$ 1,120 | \$ 634 | \$ 1,754 | \$ 726 | \$ 2,480 |
| Allowance for loan losses to finance receivables and loans outstanding at March 31, 2010 (b) | 2.9% | 5.6% | 3.6% | 2.0% | 2.9% |
| Net charge-offs to average finance receivables and loans outstanding at March 31, 2010 (b) | 2.4% | 1.1% | 2.1% | 0.7% | 1.5% |
| Allowance for loan losses to total nonperforming finance receivables and loans at March 31, 2010 (b) | 391.6% | 142.2% | 239.7% | 42.4% | 101.5% |
| Ratio of allowance for loans losses to net charge-offs at March 31, 2010 | 1.2 | 5.3 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 2.0 |

- (a) Includes adjustment to the allowance due to adoption of ASU 2009-17, *Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities*.
- (b) Coverage percentages are based on the allowance for loan losses related to finance receivables and loans excluding those loans held at fair value as a percentage of the unpaid principal balance, net of premiums and discounts.

Table of Contents

The allowance for consumer loan losses at March 31, 2011, declined \$275 million compared to March 31, 2010, reflecting an improved asset mix with higher quality recent vintages, the continued runoff of Nuvelt and other liquidating portfolios, as well as improved loss performance.

The allowance for commercial loan losses declined \$399 million at March 31, 2011, compared to March 31, 2010, primarily related to the sale of the resort finance portfolio and improved portfolio credit quality due to improved dealer performance.

Allowance for Loan Losses by Type

The following table summarizes the allocation of the allowance for loan losses by product type.

| March 31, (\$ in millions) | Allowance for loan losses | 2011 Allowance as a % of loans outstanding | Allowance as a % of allowance for loan losses | Allowance for loan losses | 2010 Allowance as a % of loans outstanding | Allowance as a % of allowance for loan losses |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Consumer | | | | | | |
| Domestic | | | | | | |
| Consumer automobile | \$ 727 | 1.8 | 40.2 | \$ 896 | 4.1 | 36.1 |
| Consumer mortgage | | | | | | |
| Ist Mortgage | 304 | 4.4 | 16.8 | 400 | 5.7 | 16.1 |
| Home equity | 258 | 7.7 | 14.3 | 233 | 6.2 | 9.4 |
| Total domestic | 1,289 | 2.6 | 71.3 | 1,529 | 4.6 | 61.6 |
| Foreign | | | | | | |
| Consumer automobile | 189 | 1.1 | 10.5 | 224 | 1.4 | 9.0 |
| Consumer mortgage | | | | | | |
| Ist Mortgage | 1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Home equity | | | | | | |
| Total foreign | 190 | 1.1 | 10.6 | 225 | 1.4 | 9.1 |
| Total consumer loans | 1,479 | 2.2 | 81.9 | 1,754 | 3.6 | 70.7 |
| Commercial | | | | | | |
| Domestic | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | | | | | |
| Automobile | 70 | 0.3 | 3.9 | 86 | 0.4 | 3.5 |
| Mortgage | | | | 2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Other | 92 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 337 | 13.0 | 13.6 |
| Commercial real estate | | | | | | |
| Automobile | 54 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 67 | 3.2 | 2.7 |
| Mortgage | | | | 6 | 10.6 | 0.2 |
| Total domestic | 216 | 0.7 | 12.0 | 498 | 1.8 | 20.1 |
| Foreign | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | | | | | |
| Automobile | 63 | 0.7 | 3.5 | 52 | 0.6 | 2.1 |
| Mortgage | 15 | 37.0 | 0.8 | 23 | 26.6 | 0.9 |
| Other | 28 | 9.3 | 1.5 | 101 | 30.4 | 4.1 |
| Commercial real estate | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|------------|--------------|-----------------|------------|--------------|
| Automobile | 2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.9 | 0.1 |
| Mortgage | 3 | 6.4 | 0.2 | 50 | 35.1 | 2.0 |
| Total foreign | 111 | 1.1 | 6.1 | 228 | 2.4 | 9.2 |
| Total commercial loans | 327 | 0.8 | 18.1 | 726 | 2.0 | 29.3 |
| Total allowance for loan losses | \$ 1,806 | 1.7 | 100.0 | \$ 2,480 | 2.9 | 100.0 |

Table of Contents**Provision for Loan Losses**

The following table summarizes the provision for loan losses by product type.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | Three months ended March 31, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Consumer | | |
| Domestic | | |
| Consumer automobile | \$ 46 | \$ 84 |
| Consumer mortgage | | |
| Ist Mortgage | 17 | 24 |
| Home equity | 23 | (8) |
| Total domestic | 86 | 100 |
| Foreign | | |
| Consumer automobile | 7 | 24 |
| Consumer mortgage | | |
| Ist Mortgage | | 2 |
| Home equity | | |
| Total foreign | 7 | 26 |
| Total consumer loans | 93 | 126 |
| Commercial | | |
| Domestic | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | |
| Automobile | | 8 |
| Mortgage | 1 | (7) |
| Other | (8) | 19 |
| Commercial real estate | | |
| Automobile | (1) | |
| Mortgage | | (6) |
| Total domestic | (8) | 14 |
| Foreign | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | |
| Automobile | 31 | 5 |
| Mortgage | 1 | 2 |
| Other | (9) | (3) |
| Commercial real estate | | |
| Automobile | | |
| Mortgage | 5 | |
| Total foreign | 28 | 4 |
| Total commercial loans | 20 | 18 |
| Total provision for loans losses | \$ 113 | \$ 144 |

Market Risk

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

Our automotive financing, mortgage, and insurance activities give rise to market risk representing the potential loss in the fair value of assets or liabilities caused by movements in market variables, such as interest rates, foreign-exchange rates, equity prices, market perceptions of credit risk, and other market fluctuations that affect the value of securities and assets held-for-sale. We are primarily exposed to interest rate risk arising from changes in interest rates related to financing, investing, and cash management activities. More specifically, we enter into contracts to provide financing, to retain mortgage servicing rights, and to retain various assets related to securitization activities, all of which are exposed in varying degrees to changes in value due to movements in interest rates. Interest rate risk arises from the mismatch between assets and the related liabilities used for funding. We enter into various financial instruments, including derivatives, to maintain the desired level of exposure to the risk of interest rate fluctuations. Refer to Note 19 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

Table of Contents

We are exposed to foreign-currency risk arising from the possibility that fluctuations in foreign-exchange rates will affect future earnings or asset and liability values related to our global operations. We may enter into hedges to mitigate foreign exchange risk.

We also have exposure to equity price risk, primarily in our Insurance operations, which invests in equity securities that are subject to price risk influenced by capital market movements. We enter into equity options to economically hedge our exposure to the equity markets.

Although the diversity of our activities from our complementary lines of business may partially mitigate market risk, we also actively manage this risk. We maintain risk management control systems to monitor interest rates, foreign-currency exchange rates, equity price risks, and any of their related hedge positions. Positions are monitored using a variety of analytical techniques including market value, sensitivity analysis, and value at risk models.

Since December 31, 2010, there have been no material changes in these market risks. Refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, for further discussion on value at risk and sensitivity analysis.

Table of Contents**Liquidity Management, Funding, and Regulatory Capital****Overview**

Liquidity management involves forecasting funding requirements driven by asset growth and liability maturities. The goal of liquidity management is to ensure we maintain adequate funds to meet changes in loan and lease demand, debt maturities, unexpected deposit withdrawals, and other seen and unforeseen corporate needs. Our primary funding objective is to ensure we maintain access to stable and diverse liquidity sources throughout all market cycles including periods of financial distress. Sources of liquidity include both retail and brokered deposits and secured and unsecured market-based funding across maturities, interest rate characteristics, currencies, and investor profiles. Further liquidity is available through committed facilities as well as funding programs supported by the Federal Reserve and the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (FHLB).

Liquidity risk arises from the failure to recognize or address changes in market conditions affecting both asset and liability flows. Effective liquidity risk management is critical to the viability of financial institutions to ensure an institution has the ability to meet contractual and contingent financial obligations. The ability to manage liquidity needs and contingent funding exposures has been essential to the solvency of financial institutions.

ALCO, the Asset-Liability Committee, is responsible for monitoring Ally's liquidity position, funding strategies and plans, contingency funding plans, and counterparty credit exposure arising from financial transactions. ALCO delegates the planning and execution of liquidity management strategies to Corporate Treasury. We manage liquidity risk at the business segment, legal entity, and consolidated levels. Each reporting segment, along with Ally Bank and ResMor Trust, prepares periodic forecasts depicting anticipated funding needs and sources of funds with oversight and monitoring by Corporate Treasury. Corporate Treasury manages liquidity under baseline projected economic scenarios as well as more severe economically stressed environments. Corporate Treasury, in turn, plans and executes our funding strategies.

In addition, we have established internal management committees to assist senior leadership in monitoring and managing our liquidity positions and funding plans. The Liquidity Risk Council is responsible for monitoring liquidity risk tolerance while maintaining adequate liquidity and analyzing liquidity risk measurement standards, liquidity position and investment alternatives, funding plans, forecasted liquidity needs and related risks and opportunities, liquidity buffers, stress testing, and contingency funding. The Structured Funding Risk Council is responsible for assisting senior leadership in the execution of its structured funding strategy and risk management accountabilities.

We maintain available liquidity in the form of cash, highly liquid unencumbered securities and available credit facility capacity that, taken together, are intended to allow us to operate and to meet our contractual obligations in the event of market-wide disruptions and enterprise-specific events. We maintain available liquidity at various entities, including Ally Bank and Ally Financial Inc., the parent company, and consider regulatory and tax restrictions that may limit our ability to transfer funds across entities. At March 31, 2011, we maintained \$22.0 billion of total available parent company liquidity and \$11.4 billion of total available liquidity at Ally Bank. To optimize cash and secured facility capacity between entities, the parent company lends cash to Ally Bank from time to time under an intercompany loan agreement. At March 31, 2011, \$2.3 billion was outstanding under the intercompany loan agreement. Amounts outstanding are repayable to the parent company upon demand, subject to five days notice. As a result, this amount is included in the parent company available liquidity and excluded from the available liquidity at Ally Bank in the above amounts. For this purpose, parent company includes our consolidated operations less our Insurance operations, ResCap, and Ally Bank.

Funding Strategy

Our liquidity and ongoing profitability are largely dependent on our timely access to funding and the costs associated with raising funds in different segments of the capital markets. We continue to be extremely focused on maintaining and enhancing our liquidity. Our funding strategy largely focuses on the development of diversified funding sources across a global investor base to meet all our liquidity needs throughout different market cycles, including periods of financial distress. These funding sources include unsecured debt capital markets, asset-backed securitizations, whole-loan asset sales, domestic and international committed and uncommitted bank lines, brokered certificates of deposits, and retail deposits. We also supplement these sources with a modest amount of short-term borrowings, including Demand Notes, unsecured bank loans, and repurchase arrangements. The diversity of our funding sources enhances funding flexibility, limits dependence on any one source, and results in a more cost-effective strategy over the long term. We evaluate funding markets on an ongoing basis to achieve an appropriate balance of unsecured and secured funding sources and the maturity profiles of both. In addition, we further distinguish our funding strategy between bank funding and holding company or nonbank funding.

Table of Contents

Since 2009, we have been directing new bank-eligible assets in the United States to Ally Bank in order to reduce and minimize our nonbanking exposures and funding requirements. We expect that this development will further allow us to use bank funding for a wider array of our automotive finance assets and to provide a sustainable long-term funding channel for the business. In addition, as a result of the conversion of \$5.5 billion of Ally Mandatorily Convertible Preferred (MCP) stock held by Treasury into common stock on December 30, 2010, and consequent dilution of the equity interests held by General Motors and the GM Trust, the Federal Reserve has determined that GM will no longer be considered an affiliate of Ally Bank for purposes of Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, which imposes limitations on transactions between banks and their affiliates. Transactions between Ally Bank and GM will continue to be subject to regulation and examination by the bank's primary federal regulator, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Ally Bank

Ally Bank raises deposits directly from customers through the direct banking channel via the internet and over the telephone. Ally Bank provides our automotive finance and mortgage loan operations with a stable and low-cost funding source. At March 31, 2011, Ally Bank had \$35.4 billion of total deposits, including \$23.5 billion of retail deposits. Ally Bank funded 56% of our U.S. retail automotive loans during the three months ended March 31, 2011. We expect that our cost of funds will continue to improve over time as our deposit base grows.

At March 31, 2011, Ally Bank maintained cash liquidity of \$3.7 billion and highly liquid U.S. federal government and U.S. agency securities of \$5.0 billion, excluding certain securities that were encumbered at March 31, 2011. In addition, at March 31, 2011, Ally Bank had unused capacity in committed secured funding facilities of \$4.9 billion, including an equal allocation of shared unused capacity of \$3.9 billion from a facility also available to the parent company. Our ability to access this unused capacity depends on having eligible assets to collateralize the incremental funding and, in some instances, the execution of interest rate hedges.

Maximizing bank funding is the cornerstone of our long-term liquidity strategy. We have made significant progress in migrating assets to Ally Bank and growing our retail deposit base since becoming a bank holding company. Growth in retail deposits is key to further reducing our cost of funds and decreasing our reliance on the capital markets and other sources of funding. We believe deposits provide a low-cost source of funds that are less sensitive to interest rate changes, market volatility, or changes in our credit ratings than other funding sources. We have continued to expand our deposit gathering efforts through our direct and indirect marketing channels. Current retail product offerings consist of a variety of savings products including certificates of deposits (CDs), savings accounts, and money market accounts, as well as an online checking product. In addition, we have brokered deposits, which are obtained through third-party intermediaries. In the first quarter of 2011, the deposit base at Ally Bank grew \$1.5 billion, ending the quarter at \$35.4 billion from \$33.9 billion at December 31, 2010. The growth in deposits was primarily attributable to our retail deposit portfolio. Strong retention rates continue to materially contribute to our quarterly growth in retail deposits. In the first quarter of 2011, we retained 86% of CD balances up for renewal during the same period. In addition to retail and brokered deposits, Ally Bank had access to funding through a variety of other sources including FHLB advances, the Federal Reserve's Discount Window, public securitizations and private funding arrangements. At March 31, 2011, debt outstanding from the FHLB totaled \$4.8 billion with no debt outstanding from the Federal Reserve. Also, as part of our liquidity and funding plans, Ally Bank utilizes certain securities as collateral to access funding from repurchase agreements with third parties. Repurchase agreements are generally short-term and often occur overnight. Funding from repurchase agreements are accounted for as debt on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. At March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, Ally Bank had no debt outstanding under repurchase agreements.

Refer to Note 13 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a summary of deposit funding by type.

The following table shows Ally Bank's number of accounts and deposit balances by type as of the end of each quarter since 2009.

| | 1st Quarter | 4th Quarter | 3rd Quarter | 2nd Quarter | 1st Quarter | 4th Quarter | 3rd Quarter | 2nd Quarter | 1st Quarter |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| (\$ in millions) | 2011 | 2010 | 2010 | 2010 | 2010 | 2009 | 2009 | 2009 | 2009 |
| Number of accounts | 798,622 | 726,104 | 676,419 | 616,665 | 573,388 | 535,301 | 506,313 | 461,229 | 362,776 |
| Deposits | | | | | | | | | |
| Retail | \$ 23,469 | \$ 21,817 | \$ 20,504 | \$ 18,690 | \$ 17,672 | \$ 16,926 | \$ 15,901 | \$ 14,464 | \$ 11,026 |
| Brokered | 9,836 | 9,992 | 9,978 | 9,858 | 9,757 | 10,149 | 9,151 | 8,141 | 9,072 |
| Other (a) | 2,064 | 2,108 | 2,538 | 2,267 | 1,914 | 1,767 | 2,331 | 2,194 | 1,950 |
| Total deposits | \$ 35,369 | \$ 33,917 | \$ 33,020 | \$ 30,815 | \$ 29,343 | \$ 28,842 | \$ 27,383 | \$ 24,799 | \$ 22,048 |

- (a) Other deposits include mortgage escrow and other deposits (excluding intercompany deposits).

Table of Contents

In addition to building a larger deposit base, we continue to remain active in the securitization markets to finance our Ally Bank automotive loan portfolios. During the first quarter of 2011, Ally Bank completed four transactions and raised \$3.4 billion of secured funding backed by retail and dealer floorplan automotive loans, as well as consumer leases. While deposits provide for a more stable funding base, our efficiencies in securitizations and improving capital market conditions have resulted in a reduction in the cost of funds achieved through secured funding transactions, making them a very attractive source of funding. For retail automotive loans and leases, the primary reason why securitizations are an attractive funding source is that the term structure locks in funding for a specified pool of loans and leases for the life of the underlying asset. Once a pool of retail automotive loans are selected and placed into a securitization, the underlying assets will have no bearing on any incremental liquidity risk. We manage the execution risk arising from secured funding by maintaining a diverse investor base and maintaining committed secured facilities. In the first quarter of 2011, we renewed our syndicated revolving credit facility that is secured by automotive retail loans, leases, and dealer floorplan automotive loans. The facility size was increased from \$7.0 billion to \$7.5 billion and the tenor for half of the facility size was extended to two years, with the other half remaining at a 364-day maturity. At March 31, 2011, the total credit commitments capable of financing Ally Bank's automobile loan portfolios were \$12.9 billion, which included \$4.1 billion of commitments available to Ally Bank or the parent company.

Nonbank Funding

At March 31, 2011, the parent company maintained cash liquidity of \$7.6 billion and unused capacity in committed credit facilities of \$10.9 billion, including an equal allocation of shared unused capacity of \$3.9 billion from a facility also available to Ally Bank. Our ability to access unused capacity in secured facilities depends on having eligible assets to collateralize the incremental funding and, in some instances, the execution of interest rate hedges. As we shift our focus to growing bank funding capabilities in line with increasing asset originations at Ally Bank, we are similarly focused on minimizing uses of our parent company liquidity and reducing the amount of assets funded outside the bank. Funding sources at the parent company generally consist of longer-term unsecured debt, private credit facilities, asset-backed securitizations, and a modest amount of short-term borrowings.

We continue to access the unsecured debt markets to further strengthen the parent company liquidity position. During the first quarter of 2011, we completed an offering of \$2.3 billion in aggregate principal amount of unsecured term debt with a tenor of three years. In April, we completed a \$1.5 billion offering, which included both fixed and floating rate notes with a tenor of approximately three years. In addition to funding in the debt capital markets, we have offered short-term and long-term unsecured debt through a retail debt program known as SmartNotes. SmartNotes are floating-rate instruments with fixed-maturity dates ranging from 9 months to 30 years that we have issued through a network of participating broker-dealers. There were \$9.7 billion and \$9.8 billion of SmartNotes outstanding at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively. For the remainder of 2011, we expect to continue to follow this approach of being aggressive, yet opportunistic in the unsecured debt markets to prefund upcoming debt maturities.

We also obtain short-term unsecured funding from the sale of floating-rate demand notes under our Demand Notes program. The holder has the option to require us to redeem these notes at any time without restriction. Demand Notes outstanding were \$2.3 billion at March 31, 2011, compared to \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2010. Unsecured short-term bank loans also provide short-term funding. At March 31, 2011, we had \$4.6 billion in short-term unsecured debt outstanding, an increase of \$0.4 billion from December 31, 2010. Refer to Note 14 and Note 15 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about our outstanding short-term borrowings and long-term unsecured debt, respectively.

Secured funding continues to be a significant source of financing at the parent company. Internationally, we will continue to remain active in both the public and private securitization markets. During the first quarter of 2011, we completed a Canadian public term securitization transaction backed by retail automotive loans that resulted in \$748 million of funding. In the United States, new automotive term securitization transactions were issued through Ally Bank only, which is consistent with our broader strategy of directing new bank-eligible assets to Ally Bank in order to reduce and minimize our nonbanking exposures and funding requirements at the parent company. We still maintain significant credit capacity in North America to fund automotive-related assets, including a \$7.5 billion syndicated facility that was renewed in March 2011 and funds U.S. and Canadian automotive retail and commercial loans, as well as leases. The tenor for half of the facility is two years with the other half having a 364-day maturity. In addition to this facility, there was \$9.5 billion of committed capacity available exclusively for the parent company in various secured facilities around the globe at March 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

Recent Funding Developments

In summary, during the first quarter of 2011, we completed funding transactions totaling over \$7 billion, and we renewed over \$16 billion of key existing funding facilities as we realized ready access to both the public and private markets. Key funding highlights from the first quarter of 2011 were as follows:

We issued \$2.3 billion of unsecured debt that matures in 2014.

We raised \$4.6 billion from the sale of asset-backed securities publicly and privately in the United States and Canada. Ally Bank completed four transactions and raised \$3.4 billion of secured funding backed by retail and dealer floorplan automotive loans, as well as leases. We completed a public term securitization transaction in Canada that raised approximately \$748 million. Also in March 2011, ResCap completed the sale of \$450 million of securities backed by mortgage servicer advances.

In March 2011, we completed the refinancing of \$15 billion in credit facilities at both the parent company and Ally Bank with a syndicate of 21 lenders. The \$15 billion funding capacity can be used to fund retail, lease and dealer floorplan automotive assets and is allocated to two separate \$7.5 billion facilities, one of which is available to the parent company and a Canadian subsidiary while the other is available to Ally Bank. Each new facility replaces existing facilities that were due to mature in the second quarter of 2011. Half the capacity will mature in two years with the other half remaining as a 364-day maturity.

We also renewed, extended, and completed multiple private credit facilities that provide \$1.8 billion of funding capacity.

In March, we completed a key first step in our plan to repay the U.S. taxpayer. The U.S. Department of Treasury (Treasury) was repaid \$2.7 billion from the sale of all the Trust Preferred Securities that Treasury held with Ally. This represented the full value of Treasury's investment in these securities. Ally did not receive any proceeds from the offering of the Trust Preferred Securities.

Table of Contents**Funding Sources**

The following table summarizes debt and other sources of funding and the amount outstanding under each category for the periods shown.

As a result of our funding strategy to maximize funding sources at Ally Bank and grow our retail deposit base, the percentage of funding sources from Ally Bank has increased in 2011 from 2010 levels. In addition, deposits represent a larger portion of the overall funding mix.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | Bank | Nonbank | Total | % |
|--|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|
| March 31, 2011 | | | | |
| Secured financings | \$ 21,796 | \$ 21,466 | \$ 43,262 | 32 |
| Institutional term debt | | 27,579 | 27,579 | 20 |
| Retail debt programs (a) | | 14,464 | 14,464 | 11 |
| Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program (TLGP) | | 7,400 | 7,400 | 5 |
| Bank loans and other | 1 | 2,589 | 2,590 | 2 |
| Total debt (b) | 21,797 | 73,498 | 95,295 | 70 |
| Deposits (c) | 35,369 | 5,327 | 40,696 | 30 |
| Total on-balance sheet funding | \$ 57,166 | \$ 78,825 | \$ 135,991 | 100 |
| Off-balance sheet securitizations | | | | |
| Mortgage loans | \$ | \$ 68,600 | \$ 68,600 | |
| Total off-balance sheet securitizations | \$ | \$ 68,600 | \$ 68,600 | |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | |
| Secured financings | \$ 20,199 | \$ 22,193 | \$ 42,392 | 32 |
| Institutional term debt | | 27,257 | 27,257 | 21 |
| Retail debt programs (a) | | 14,249 | 14,249 | 10 |
| Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program (TLGP) | | 7,400 | 7,400 | 6 |
| Bank loans and other | 1 | 2,374 | 2,375 | 2 |
| Total debt (b) | 20,200 | 73,473 | 93,673 | 71 |
| Deposits (c) | 33,917 | 5,131 | 39,048 | 29 |
| Total on-balance sheet funding | \$ 54,117 | \$ 78,604 | \$ 132,721 | 100 |
| Off-balance sheet securitizations | | | | |
| Mortgage loans | \$ | \$ 69,356 | \$ 69,356 | |
| Total off-balance sheet securitizations | \$ | \$ 69,356 | \$ 69,356 | |

(a) Primarily includes \$9,687 million and \$9,784 million of Ally SmartNotes at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively.

(b) Excludes fair value adjustment as described in Note 21 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

(c) Bank deposits include retail, brokered and mortgage escrow and other deposits. Nonbank deposits include dealer wholesale deposits and deposits at ResMor Trust. Intercompany deposits are not included.

Refer to Note 15 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a summary of the scheduled maturity of long-term debt at March 31, 2011.

Funding Facilities

We utilize both committed and uncommitted credit facilities. The financial institutions providing the uncommitted facilities are not legally obligated to advance funds under them. The amounts outstanding under our various funding facilities are included on our Condensed

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

Consolidated Balance Sheet.

As of March 31, 2011, Ally Bank had exclusive access to \$8.8 billion of funding capacity from committed credit facilities. Ally Bank also has access to a \$4.1 billion committed facility that is shared with the parent company. Funding programs supported by the Federal Reserve and the FHLB complement Ally Bank's private committed facilities.

The total capacity in our committed funding facilities is provided by banks through private transactions. The committed secured funding facilities can be revolving in nature and allow for additional funding during the commitment period, or they can be amortizing and do not allow for any further funding after the closing date. At March 31, 2011, \$29.3 billion of our \$32.1 billion of committed capacity was revolving. Many of our revolving facilities have a tenor of 364 days and are renewed annually, but recently, we have been able to establish \$9.4 billion of committed funding capacity with a tenor greater than 364 days.

Table of Contents**Committed Funding Facilities**

| | Outstanding | | Unused capacity (a) | | Total capacity | |
|---|-------------|----------|---------------------|----------|----------------|----------|
| | Mar. 31, | Dec. 31, | Mar. 31, | Dec. 31, | Mar. 31, | Dec. 31, |
| (\$ in billions) | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Bank funding | | | | | | |
| Secured | \$ 5.8 | \$ 6.4 | \$ 3.0 | \$ 1.9 | \$ 8.8 | \$ 8.3 |
| Nonbank funding | | | | | | |
| Unsecured | | | | | | |
| Automotive Finance operations | 0.8 | 0.8 | | | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Secured | | | | | | |
| Automotive Finance operations and other | 8.1 | 8.3 | 8.9 | 9.1 | 17.0 | 17.4 |
| Mortgage operations | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| Total nonbank funding | 10.0 | 10.1 | 9.2 | 9.7 | 19.2 | 19.8 |
| Shared capacity (b) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| Total committed facilities | \$ 16.0 | \$ 16.7 | \$ 16.1 | \$ 15.5 | \$ 32.1 | \$ 32.2 |

(a) Funding from committed secured facilities is available on request in the event excess collateral resides in certain facilities or is available to the extent incremental collateral is available and contributed to the facilities.

(b) Funding is generally available for assets originated by Ally Bank or the parent company, Ally Financial Inc.

Uncommitted Funding Facilities

| | Outstanding | | Unused capacity | | Total capacity | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------|
| | Mar. 31, | Dec. 31, | Mar. 31, | Dec. 31, | Mar. 31, | Dec. 31, |
| (\$ in billions) | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Bank funding | | | | | | |
| Secured | | | | | | |
| Federal Reserve funding programs | \$ | \$ | \$ 5.7 | \$ 4.0 | \$ 5.7 | \$ 4.0 |
| FHLB advances | 4.8 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 5.6 | 5.5 |
| Total bank funding | 4.8 | 5.3 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 11.3 | 9.5 |
| Nonbank funding | | | | | | |
| Unsecured | | | | | | |
| Automotive Finance operations | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| Secured | | | | | | |
| Automotive Finance operations | | 0.1 | 0.1 | | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Mortgage operations | | | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total nonbank funding | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
| Total uncommitted facilities | \$ 6.4 | \$ 6.8 | \$ 7.2 | \$ 4.9 | \$ 13.6 | \$ 11.7 |

Bank Funding Facilities

Facilities for Automotive Finance Operations Secured

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

Ally Bank's largest facility is a \$7.5 billion revolving syndicated credit facility secured by automotive receivables. At March 31, 2011, the amount outstanding under this facility was \$5.1 billion. Ally Bank's other committed facilities are also available to fund automotive receivables. During the first quarter of 2011, we successfully renewed the \$7.5 billion facility as well as a \$500 million credit facility. The tenor of half of the \$7.5 billion facility was extended to two years, with the other half remaining at a 364-day maturity. In total, Ally Bank maintained committed credit facilities that provide capacity of \$12.9 billion at March 31, 2011, including \$4.1 billion of commitments available to Ally Bank or the parent company. In the event these facilities are not renewed, the outstanding debt will be repaid over time as the underlying collateral amortizes.

Nonbank Funding Facilities

Facilities for Automotive Finance Operations – Unsecured

Revolving credit facilities – At March 31, 2011, we maintained \$486 million of commitments in our U.S. unsecured revolving credit facility maturing June 2012. This facility was fully drawn. We also maintained \$281 million of committed unsecured bank facilities in Canada and \$49 million in Europe. The Canadian facilities expire in June 2012 and the European facility expires in March 2012.

Table of Contents

Facilities for Automotive Finance Operations Secured

The parent company's largest facility is a \$7.5 billion revolving syndicated credit facility secured by U.S. and Canadian automotive receivables. This facility was renewed in March 2011 with the tenor for half of the facility extended to two years and with the other half remaining as a 364-day maturity. In the event this facility is not renewed at maturity, the outstanding debt will be repaid over time as the underlying collateral amortizes. At March 31, 2011, there was no debt outstanding under this facility.

In addition to our syndicated revolving credit facility, we also maintain various bilateral and multilateral secured credit facilities in multiple countries that fund our Automotive Finance operations. These are primarily private securitization facilities that fund a specific pool of automotive assets. Many of the facilities have revolving commitments and allow for the funding of additional assets during the commitment period. In total, the parent company maintained \$17.0 billion of committed secured credit facilities to fund automotive assets at the end of the first quarter of 2011, excluding \$4.1 billion of commitments available to Ally Bank or the parent company.

Facilities for Mortgage Operations Secured

At March 31, 2011, we had capacity of \$500 million to fund eligible mortgage servicing rights and capacity of \$350 million to fund mortgage servicer advances. We also maintained an additional \$515 million of committed capacity to fund mortgage loans.

Cash Flows

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$3.1 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$7.4 billion for the same period in 2010. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the net cash inflow from sales and repayment of mortgage and automotive loans held-for-sale exceeded cash outflow from new originations and purchases of such loans by \$3.2 billion. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, this activity resulted in a net cash inflow of \$5.6 billion.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$3.6 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$69 million for the same period in 2010. Net cash flows from finance receivables and loans decreased \$1.9 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010. The cash outflow to purchase operating lease assets exceeded cash inflows from disposals of such assets by \$51 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. These activities resulted in a net cash inflow of \$1.4 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The shift in net cash flow attributable to leasing activities compared to the prior year was primarily due to a year over year increase in lease origination activity. Cash received from sales and maturities of available-for-sale investment securities, net of purchases, decreased \$753 million during the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2011, totaled \$2.2 billion, compared to net cash used of \$8.4 billion in the same period in 2010. Cash generated from long-term debt issuances replaced cash used to repay such debt for the three months ended March 31, 2011. For the comparable period in 2010, cash repayments exceeded proceeds from new issuances of long-term debt by \$6.6 billion. Additionally, contributing to the increase in cash inflow was an increase in short-term debt obligations of \$2.7 billion and an increase in cash inflow from bank deposits of \$918 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010.

Regulatory Capital

Refer to Note 18 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review

The Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review (CCAR) involves the FRB's forward-looking evaluation of the internal capital planning processes of large, complex bank holding companies and their proposals to undertake capital actions in 2011, such as increasing dividend payments or repurchasing or redeeming stock. In November 2010, the FRB issued guidelines to provide a common, conservative approach to ensure that bank holding companies hold adequate capital to maintain ready access to funding, continue operations, and meet their obligations to creditors and counterparties, and continue to serve as credit intermediaries, even under adverse conditions. As a large bank holding company, we submitted a comprehensive capital plan and additional supervisory information to the FRB during the first quarter of 2011 in conjunction with CCAR. At this time, our capital plan is still under review by the FRB.

Credit Ratings

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

The cost and availability of unsecured financing are influenced by credit ratings, which are intended to be an indicator of the creditworthiness of a particular company, security, or obligation. Lower ratings result in higher borrowing costs and

Table of Contents

reduced access to capital markets. This is particularly true for certain institutional investors whose investment guidelines require investment-grade ratings on term debt and the two highest rating categories for short-term debt (particularly money market investors).

Nationally recognized statistical rating organizations rate substantially all our debt. The following table summarizes our current ratings and outlook by the respective nationally recognized rating agencies.

| Rating agency | Commercial paper | Senior debt | Outlook | Date of last action |
|---------------|------------------|-------------|----------|----------------------|
| Fitch | B | BB | Stable | February 2, 2011 (a) |
| Moody's | Not-Prime | B1 | Stable | February 7, 2011 (b) |
| S&P | C | B+ | Stable | May 4, 2011 (c) |
| DBRS | R-4 | BB-Low | Positive | February 4, 2011 (d) |

- (a) Fitch upgraded our senior debt rating to BB from B, affirmed the commercial paper rating of B, and changed the outlook to Stable on February 2, 2011.
- (b) Moody's upgraded our senior debt rating to B1 from B3, affirmed the commercial paper rating of Not-Prime, and affirmed the outlook of Stable on February 7, 2011.
- (c) Standard & Poor's upgraded our senior debt rating to B+ from B, affirmed the commercial paper rating of C, and affirmed the outlook of Stable on May 4, 2011.
- (d) DBRS affirmed our senior debt rating of BB-Low, affirmed the commercial paper rating of R-4, and changed the outlook to Positive on February 4, 2011.

Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

Refer to Note 10 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Purchase Obligations

Certain of the structures related to whole-loan sales, securitization transactions, and other off-balance sheet activities contain provisions that are standard in the whole-loan sale and securitization markets where we may (or, in certain limited circumstances, are obligated to) purchase specific assets from entities. Our obligations are as follows.

Loan Repurchases and Obligations Related to Loan Sales

Overview Our Mortgage operations sell loans that take the form of securitizations guaranteed by the GSEs and to whole-loan investors. We have issued private-label mortgage-backed securities infrequently since 2007. In prior years, our volume of private-label securitization issuances were considerably larger, and they included securitized loans where monolines have insured the related bonds. We have settled with both Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, limiting our remaining exposure with the GSEs. In connection with securitizations and loan sales, investors are provided various representations and warranties related to the loans sold. The specific representations and warranties vary among different transactions and investors but typically relate to, among other things, the ownership of the loan, the validity of the lien securing the loan, the loan's compliance with the criteria for inclusion in the transaction including compliance with underwriting standards or loan criteria established by the buyer, the ability to deliver required documentation and compliance with applicable laws. In general, the representations and warranties described above may be enforced at any time unless a sunset provision is in place. ResCap assumes all of the customary representation and warranty obligations for loans purchased from Ally Bank and subsequently sold into the secondary market, generally through securitizations guaranteed by the GSEs. In the event ResCap fails to meet these obligations, Ally Financial Inc. has provided Ally Bank a guaranteed coverage of liability. Upon a breach of a representation, the breach is corrected in a manner conforming to the provisions of the sale agreement. This may require us either to repurchase the loan or indemnify the investor for incurred losses.

Originations We believe our exposure to representation and warranty claims is most significant for loans sold between 2004 through 2008, specifically the 2006 and 2007 vintages that were originated and sold prior to enhanced underwriting standards and risk-mitigation actions implemented in 2008 and forward including product offerings, which are more conservative. Since 2009, we have focused primarily on prime conforming and government-insured residential mortgages in the United States and high-quality government-insured residential mortgages in Canada. In addition, we ceased offering interest-only jumbo mortgages in 2010. Our representation and warranty risk-mitigation strategies include, but are not limited to, pursuing settlements with investors where economically beneficial in order to resolve a pipeline of demands in lieu of loan-by-loan assessments that could result in us repurchasing loans, aggressively contesting claims we do not consider valid (rescinding claims), or actively seeking recourse against correspondent lenders from whom we purchased loans.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes domestic loans sold with contractual representation and warranty obligations by counterparty (original unpaid principal balance).

| (\$ in billions) | Three months ended | | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| | March 31, 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| GSEs | | | | | | | |
| Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac | \$ 12.8 | \$ 51.0 | \$ 29.9 | \$ 37.2 | \$ 47.1 | \$ 46.1 | \$ 47.9 |
| Ginnie Mae | 2.2 | 16.2 | 24.9 | 12.5 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 4.2 |
| Nonagency | | | | | | | |
| Insured (monolines) | | | | | 6.5 | 10.7 | 10.4 |
| Uninsured | | 0.3 | | | 29.1 | 63.6 | 53.5 |
| Other | | 1.6 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 8.2 | 23.9 | 17.4 |
| Total sales | \$ 15.0 | \$ 69.1 | \$ 54.9 | \$ 51.9 | \$ 94.1 | \$ 147.9 | \$ 133.4 |

Repurchase Process As soon as practical, after receiving a claim under representation and warranty obligations, we evaluate the request and take appropriate action. Historically, repurchase demands were related to loans that became delinquent within the first few years following origination and varied by investor. As a result of market developments over the past several years, repurchase demand behavior has changed significantly. GSEs are more likely to submit claims for loans at any point in their life cycle based on their internal audit findings. Direct and whole-loan investors are more likely to submit claims for loans that become delinquent at any time while a loan is outstanding or when a loan incurs a loss. Actual incurred losses more significantly drive monoline investor behavior, which can significantly extend the period over which claims are likely to be presented. This occurs because insurance claims paid by the monolines are not required until over-collateralization is depleted, and the monolines are not incented to request loan repurchases until they have paid the insurance claims. Representation and warranty claims are generally reviewed on a loan-by-loan basis to validate if there has been a breach requiring a potential repurchase or indemnification payment. We actively contest claims to the extent we do not consider them valid. We are not required to either repurchase the loan or provide an indemnification payment where claims are not valid.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we experienced a decrease in new claims compared to 2010, in part due to settlements with key counterparties. In addition, the level of unresolved repurchase demands also decreased throughout 2010 as a result of our focus on reaching economically beneficial settlements versus loan-by-loan assessments. The following table presents new claims by vintage (original unpaid principal balance).

| Three months ended March, 31 (\$ in millions) | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|--------|--------|
| 2004 and prior period | \$ 7 | \$ 13 |
| 2005 | 7 | 17 |
| 2006 | 15 | 86 |
| 2007 | 24 | 159 |
| 2008 | 25 | 108 |
| Post 2008 | 53 | 9 |
| Unspecified | 2 | |
| Total claims | \$ 133 | \$ 392 |

We seek to manage the risk of repurchase and the associated credit exposure through our underwriting and quality assurance practices and by servicing mortgage loans to meet investor standards. We believe that, in general, the longer a loan performs prior to default the less likely it is that an alleged breach of representation and warranty will have a material impact on the loan's performance. When we do repurchase loans, we bear the subsequent credit loss on the loans. Repurchased loans are classified as held-for-sale and initially recorded at fair value. While investors' repurchase and demand behavior has changed given the recent market conditions, we continue to maintain constructive relationships with the GSEs and other investors.

Refer to Note 24 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information related to representation and warranties.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the unpaid principal balance and accrued interest on mortgage loans repurchased under representation and warranty obligations.

| Three months ended March 31, (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|-------|--------|
| GSEs | \$ 43 | \$ 147 |
| Monolines | | 1 |
| Other | 5 | 28 |
| Total loan repurchases | \$ 48 | \$ 176 |

The following table summarizes indemnification payments associated with representation and warranty obligations.

| Three months ended March 31, (<i>\$ in millions</i>) | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|-------|-------|
| GSEs | \$ 15 | \$ 73 |
| Monolines | 2 | 1 |
| Other | | 4 |
| Total indemnification payments | \$ 17 | \$ 78 |

The following table presents the total loans related to unresolved repurchases.

| | March 31, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | Number | Dollar amount | Number | Dollar amount |
| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | of | of | of | of |
| | loans | loans | loans | loans |
| GSEs (a) | 431 | \$ 98 | 833 | \$ 170 |
| Monolines | 8,246 | 667 | 8,206 | 661 |
| Other | 517 | 73 | 392 | 88 |
| Total unpaid principal balance | 9,194 | \$ 838 | 9,431 | \$ 919 |

(a) Includes claims that we have requested to be rescinded but which have not yet been confirmed by the counterparty. This amount is gross of any loans that would be removed due to the Fannie Mae settlement. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, management determined that \$48 million of outstanding claims at December 31, 2010, were covered under the settlement agreement.

Representation and Warranty Obligation Reserve Methodology The reserve for representation and warranty obligations reflects management's best estimate of probable lifetime loss. We consider historical and recent demand trends in establishing the reserve. The methodology used to estimate the reserve considers a variety of assumptions including borrower performance (both actual and estimated future defaults), repurchase demand behavior, historical loan defect experience, and historical and estimated future loss experience, which includes projections of future home price changes as well as other qualitative factors including investor behavior. In cases where we do not have or have limited current or historical demand experience with an investor, it is difficult to predict and estimate the level and timing of any potential future demands. As such, losses cannot currently be reasonably estimated and a liability is not recognized. Management monitors the adequacy of the overall reserve and makes adjustments to the level of reserve, as necessary, after consideration of other qualitative factors including ongoing dialogue with counterparties.

At the time a loan is sold, an estimate of the fair value of the liability is recorded and classified in accrued expenses and other liabilities on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet and recorded as a component of gain (loss) on mortgage and automotive loans, net, in our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income. We recognize changes in the reserve when additional relevant information becomes available. Changes in the liability are recorded as other operating expenses in our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income. The repurchase reserve at

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

March 31, 2011, primarily represents non-GSE exposure.

Government-sponsored Enterprises Between 2004 and 2008, we sold \$250.8 billion of loans. Each GSE has specific guidelines and criteria for sellers and servicers of loans underlying their securities. In addition, the risk of credit loss of the loan sold was generally transferred to investors upon sale of the securities into the secondary market. Conventional conforming loans were sold to either Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae, and government-insured loans were securitized with Ginnie Mae. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we have received \$102 million in repurchase claims of which \$49 million are associated with the 2004 through 2008 vintages of loans sold to the GSEs. Overall, we resolved \$174 million claims,

Table of Contents

including \$133 million in settlements, repurchases, or indemnification payments and \$41 million related to rescinded claims. Our representation and warranty obligation liability with respect to the GSEs takes into account the existing unresolved claims and our best estimate of future claims we might receive. We consider our experiences with each GSE in evaluating our liability. During 2010, we reached agreements with Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae that resolve material repurchase obligations with each counterparty.

In March 2010, certain of our mortgage subsidiaries entered into an agreement with Freddie Mac under which we made a one-time payment to Freddie Mac for the release of repurchase obligations relating to most of the mortgage loans sold to Freddie Mac prior to January 1, 2009. The agreement does not cover any violation of servicing obligations related to any failure to comply with any requirements of law applicable to foreclosing on property serving as collateral for any applicable mortgage loan. This agreement does not release any of our obligations with respect to loans where our subsidiary, Ally Bank, is the owner of the servicing.

On December 23, 2010, certain of our mortgage subsidiaries entered into an agreement with Fannie Mae under which we made a one-time payment to Fannie Mae for the release of repurchase obligations, including private-label securitization exposure, related to most of the mortgage loans we sold to Fannie Mae prior to June 30, 2010. We continue to be responsible for other contractual obligations we have with Fannie Mae, including all indemnification obligations that may arise in connection with the servicing of the mortgages. The agreement does not cover any violation of servicing obligations related to any failure to comply with any requirements of law applicable to foreclosing on property serving as collateral for any applicable mortgage loan. This agreement does not release any of our obligations with respect to loans where our subsidiary, Ally Bank, is the owner of the servicing.

The Federal Housing Finance Agency (the FHFA), as conservator of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, announced on July 12, 2010, that it issued 64 subpoenas to various entities seeking documents related to private-label mortgage-backed securities in which Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac had invested. Certain of these subpoenas were directed at our mortgage subsidiaries. In connection with the agreement reached with Fannie Mae, the FHFA has agreed to withdraw those subpoenas that relate to Fannie Mae while the subpoenas that relate to Freddie Mac remain open.

The following table summarizes the changes in our unpaid principal balance related to unresolved repurchase demands on our GSE exposure.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | 2011 | 2010 |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Balance at January 1, | \$ 170 | \$ 296 |
| New claims | 102 | 324 |
| Realized losses (a) | (133) | (326) |
| Rescinded claims | (41) | (64) |
| Balance at March 31, | \$ 98 | \$ 230 |

(a) Losses include settlements, repurchases, and indemnification payments.

Whole-loan Sales In addition to the settlements with the GSEs noted earlier, we have settled with several whole-loan investors concerning alleged breaches of underwriting standards. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we have received \$16 million in repurchase claims of which \$14 million are associated with the 2004 through 2008 vintages of loans sold to whole-loan investors. We resolved \$31 million of claims, including \$7 million in either settlements, repurchases, or indemnification payments and \$24 million related to rescinded claims.

The following table summarizes the changes in our unpaid principal balance related to unresolved repurchase demands on our whole-loan exposure.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | 2011 | 2010 |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-------|
| Balance at January 1, | \$ 88 | \$ 70 |
| New claims | 16 | 13 |
| Realized losses (a) | (7) | (11) |
| Rescinded claims | (24) | (33) |
| Balance at March 31, | \$ 73 | \$ 39 |

- (a) Losses include settlements, repurchases, and indemnification payments.

Table of Contents

Monoline Insurers Historically, our Mortgage operations have securitized whole loans where the monolines have insured all or some of the related bonds and have guaranteed the timely repayment of bond principal and interest when an issuer defaults. Overall, the representation and warranty obligations to monoline insurers are not as stringent as those to the GSEs and impose a higher burden of proof on the insurer. Typically, any alleged breach requires the insurer to have both the ability to assert a claim as well as evidence that a defect has had a material adverse effect on the interest of the security holders or the insurer. For the period 2004 through 2008, we sold \$42.7 billion of loans into these monoline-wrapped securitizations. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we have received \$14 million in repurchase claims from the monolines associated with the 2004 through 2008 securitizations. We have resolved \$8 million of the total unresolved repurchase demands through indemnification payments.

Unlike the repurchase protocols and experience established with the GSEs, experience with monolines has not been as predictable. A significant portion of the outstanding unresolved monoline repurchase claims are with one insurer, with whom we are currently in litigation.

The following table summarizes the changes in our unpaid principal balance related to unresolved repurchase demands on our monoline exposure.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | 2011 | 2010 |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Balance at January 1, | \$ 661 | \$ 553 |
| New claims | 14 | 55 |
| Realized losses (a) | (8) | (11) |
| Rescinded claims | | (2) |
| Balance at March 31, | \$ 667 | \$ 595 |

(a) Losses include settlements, repurchases, and indemnification payments.

Private-label Securitization Historically, our Mortgage operations were very active in the securitization market selling whole loans into special-purpose entities and selling these private-label mortgage-backed securities to investors.

The following table summarizes the unpaid principal balance (UPB) of our domestic private-label securitization activity by product type and current UPB for securitizations completed during 2004 through 2007. We have issued private-label mortgage-backed securities infrequently since 2007.

| <i>(\$ in billions)</i> | Original UPB (a) | Current UPB at March 31, 2011 | UPB at December 31, 2010 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Prime Jumbo (RFMSI) | \$ 21.7 | \$ 9.6 | \$ 10.0 |
| Alt-A (RALI) | 66.7 | 29.5 | 30.7 |
| Scratch and dent and other (RAMP) | 51.8 | 14.5 | 13.8 |
| Subprime (RASC) | 36.8 | 8.9 | 9.0 |
| Second-lien (RFMSII) | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| GMACMI | 4.1 | | 1.2 |
| Total | \$ 182.0 | \$ 62.8 | \$ 65.0 |

(a) Excludes \$42.7 billion of monoline wrapped transactions of which the majority were from the RAMP or RFMSII platforms.

In general, representations and warranties provided as part of our securitization activities are less rigorous than those provided to the GSEs and generally impose higher burdens on investors seeking repurchase. In order to successfully assert a claim, an investor must prove a breach of the representations and warranties that materially and adversely affects the interest of all investors. Securitization documents typically provide the investors with a right to request that the trustee investigate and initiate a repurchase claim. However, a class of investors generally are required to coordinate with other investors in that class comprising not less than 25% of the voting rights in securities for that class issued by the trust to pursue claims for breach of representations and warranties. In addition, our private-label securitizations generally require that the servicer or trustee give notice to the other parties whenever it becomes aware of facts or circumstances that reveal a breach of representation that materially and adversely affects the interest of the certificate holders. If, for example, we as servicer became aware of such facts and circumstances, we

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

would typically be required to initiate a repurchase at that time. The GSEs were among the purchasers of securities in our private-label securitizations. As such, they are covered by the same representations and warranties as other investors.

Table of Contents

Regarding our securitization activities, we have exposure to potential loss primarily through two avenues. First, investors may request that we repurchase loans or make the investor whole for losses incurred if it is determined that we violated representations and warranties made at the time of the sale. Contractual representations and warranties are different based on the specific deal structure and investor. Second, investors in securitizations may attempt to achieve rescission of their investments or damages through litigation by claiming that the applicable offering documents were materially deficient. If an investor properly made and proved its allegations, the investor might attempt to claim that damages could include loss of market value on the investment even if there were little or no credit loss in the underlying loans. We have a limited amount of repurchase experience with these investors, and therefore it is not currently possible to estimate future repurchase obligations and any related loss or range of loss.

Critical Accounting Estimates

We identified critical accounting estimates that, as a result of judgments, uncertainties, uniqueness, and complexities of the underlying accounting standards and operations involved could result in material changes to our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows under different conditions or using different assumptions.

Our most critical accounting estimates are as follows.

Fair value measurements

Allowance for loan losses

Valuation of automobile lease assets, residuals, and allowance for lease losses

Valuation of mortgage servicing rights

Goodwill

Determination of reserves for insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses

Loan repurchase and obligations related to loan sales

Determination of provision for income taxes

There have been no significant changes in the methodologies and processes used in developing these estimates from what was described in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

We use fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain instruments and to determine fair value disclosures. Refer to Note 21 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for description of valuation methodologies used to measure material assets and liabilities at fair value and details of the valuation models, key inputs to those models, and significant assumptions utilized. We follow the fair value hierarchy set forth in Note 21 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in order to prioritize the inputs utilized to measure fair value. We review and modify, as necessary, our fair value hierarchy classifications on a quarterly basis. As such, there may be reclassifications between hierarchy levels.

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes assets and liabilities measured at fair value and the amounts measured using Level 3 inputs. The table includes recurring and nonrecurring measurements.

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Assets at fair value | \$ 28,776 | \$ 33,001 |
| As a percentage of total assets | 17% | 19% |
| Liabilities at fair value | \$ 4,297 | \$ 4,832 |
| As a percentage of total liabilities | 3% | 3% |
| Assets at fair value using Level 3 inputs | \$ 6,842 | \$ 6,969 |
| As a percentage of assets at fair value | 24% | 21% |
| Liabilities at fair value using Level 3 inputs | \$ 1,006 | \$ 1,090 |
| As a percentage of liabilities at fair value | 23% | 23% |

Table of Contents

We have numerous internal controls in place to ensure the appropriateness of fair value measurements. Significant fair value measures are subject to detailed analytics and management review and approval. We have an established model validation policy and program in place that covers all models used to generate fair value measurements. This model validation program ensures a controlled environment is used for the development, implementation, and use of the models and change procedures. Further, this program uses a risk-based approach to select models to be reviewed and validated by an independent internal risk group to ensure the models are consistent with their intended use, the logic within the models is reliable, and the inputs and outputs from these models are appropriate. Additionally, a wide array of operational controls are in place to ensure the fair value measurements are reasonable, including controls over the inputs into and the outputs from the fair value measurement models. For example, we backtest the internal assumptions used within models against actual performance. We also monitor the market for recent trades, market surveys, or other market information that may be used to benchmark model inputs or outputs. Certain valuations will also be benchmarked to market indices when appropriate and available. We have scheduled model and/or input recalibrations that occur on a periodic basis but will recalibrate earlier if significant variances are observed as part of the backtesting or benchmarking noted above.

Considerable judgment is used in forming conclusions from market observable data used to estimate our Level 2 fair value measurements and in estimating inputs to our internal valuation models used to estimate our Level 3 fair value measurements. Level 3 inputs such as interest rate movements, prepayment speeds, credit losses, and discount rates are inherently difficult to estimate. Changes to these inputs can have a significant effect on fair value measurements. Accordingly, our estimates of fair value are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized or would be paid in a current market exchange.

Table of Contents

Statistical Table

The accompanying supplemental information should be read in conjunction with the more detailed information, including our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the notes thereto, which appears elsewhere in this Quarterly Report.

Net Interest Margin Tables

The following table presents an analysis of net interest margin excluding discontinued operations for the periods shown.

| Three months ended March 31, (\$ in millions) | Average balance (b) | 2011 | | Average balance (b) | 2010 | | Increase (decrease) due to (a) | | |
|--|------------------------|--|----------------|---------------------------|--|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | Interest income/ interest expense | Yield/ rate | | Interest income/ interest expense | Yield/ rate | Volume | rate | Total |
| Assets | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing cash and cash equivalents | \$ 13,041 | \$ 12 | 0.37% | \$ 13,462 | \$ 14 | 0.42% | \$ | \$ (2) | \$ (2) |
| Trading securities | 318 | 3 | 3.83 | 303 | 1 | 1.34 | | 2 | 2 |
| Investment securities (c) | 14,591 | 99 | 2.75 | 11,590 | 96 | 3.36 | 22 | (19) | 3 |
| Loans held-for-sale, net | 8,877 | 108 | 4.93 | 16,861 | 215 | 5.17 | (98) | (9) | (107) |
| Finance receivables and loans, net (d) | 104,385 | 1,623 | 6.31 | 85,259 | 1,618 | 7.70 | 327 | (322) | 5 |
| Investment in operating leases, net (e) | 8,947 | 395 | 17.90 | 14,883 | 507 | 13.82 | (237) | 125 | (112) |
| Total interest-earning assets | 150,159 | 2,240 | 6.05 | 142,358 | 2,451 | 6.98 | 14 | (225) | (211) |
| Noninterest-bearing cash and cash equivalents | 1,032 | | | 1,359 | | | | | |
| Other assets | 24,898 | | | 36,882 | | | | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | (1,864) | | | (2,560) | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 174,225 | | | \$ 178,039 | | | | | |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing deposit liabilities | \$ 38,156 | \$ 172 | 1.83% | \$ 30,452 | \$ 158 | 2.10% | \$ 37 | \$ (23) | \$ 14 |
| Short-term borrowings | 7,107 | 126 | 7.19 | 7,741 | 111 | 5.82 | (10) | 25 | 15 |
| Long-term debt (f) (g) (h) | 87,060 | 1,410 | 6.57 | 89,861 | 1,433 | 6.47 | (45) | 22 | (23) |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities (g) (i) | 132,323 | 1,708 | 5.23 | 128,054 | 1,702 | 5.39 | (18) | 24 | 6 |
| Noninterest-bearing deposit liabilities | 2,017 | | | 1,769 | | | | | |
| Total funding sources (g) (j) | 134,340 | 1,708 | 5.16 | 129,823 | 1,702 | 5.32 | | | |
| Other liabilities | 19,473 | | | 27,540 | | | | | |
| Total liabilities | 153,813 | | | 157,363 | | | | | |
| Total equity | 20,412 | | | 20,676 | | | | | |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 174,225 | | | \$ 178,039 | | | | | |
| Net financing revenue | | \$ 532 | | | \$ 749 | | \$ 32 | \$ (249) | \$ (217) |
| Net interest spread (k) | | | 0.82% | | | 1.59% | | | |
| Net interest spread excluding original issue discount (k) | | | 1.83 | | | 2.67 | | | |
| Net interest spread excluding original issue discount and including noninterest bearing deposit liabilities (k) | | | 1.89 | | | 2.73 | | | |
| Net yield on interest-earning assets (l) | | | 1.44 | | | 2.13 | | | |
| Net yield on interest-earning assets excluding original issue discount (l) | | | 2.24 | | | 2.98 | | | |

(a) Changes in interest not solely due to volume or yield/rate are allocated in proportion to the absolute dollar amount of change in volume and yield/rate.

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-Q

- (b) Average balances are calculated using a combination of monthly and daily average methodologies.
- (c) Excludes income on equity investments of \$5 million and \$3 million at March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Yields on available-for-sale debt securities are based on fair value as opposed to historical cost.
- (d) Nonperforming finance receivables and loans are included in the average balances. For information on our accounting policies regarding nonperforming status refer to Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.
- (e) Includes gains on sale of \$118 million and \$184 million during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Excluding these gains on sale, the annualized yield would be 12.56% and 8.80% at March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
- (f) Includes the effects of derivative financial instruments designated as hedges.
- (g) Average balance includes \$3,000 million and \$4,247 million related to original issue discount at March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Interest expense includes original issue discount amortization of \$299 million and \$296 million during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
- (h) Excluding original issue discount the rate on long-term debt was 5.00% and 4.90% at March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
- (i) Excluding original issue discount the rate on total interest-bearing liabilities was 4.22% and 4.31% at March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
- (j) Excluding original issue discount the rate on total funding sources was 4.16% and 4.25% at March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
- (k) Net interest spread represents the difference between the rate on total interest-earning assets and the rate on total interest-bearing liabilities.
- (l) Net yield on interest-earning assets represents net financing revenue as a percentage of total interest-earning assets.

Table of Contents

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Refer to Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Forward-looking Statements

The foregoing Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and other portions of this Form 10-Q contain various forward-looking statements within the meaning of applicable federal securities laws, including the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, that are based upon our current expectations and assumptions concerning future events that are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated.

The words expect, anticipate, estimate, forecast, initiative, objective, plan, goal, project, outlook, priorities, target, intend, may, would, could, should, believe, potential, continue, or the negative of any of these words or similar expressions is intended to identify forward-looking statements. All statements herein, other than statements of historical fact, including without limitation statements about future events and financial performance, are forward-looking statements that involve certain risks and uncertainties.

While these statements represent our current judgment on what the future may hold and we believe these judgments are reasonable, these statements are not guarantees of any events or financial results, and Ally's actual results may differ materially due to numerous important factors that are described in the most recent reports on Forms 10-K and 10-Q for Ally, each of which may be revised or supplemented in subsequent reports on Forms 10-Q and 8-K. Such factors include, among others, the following: maintaining the mutually beneficial relationship between Ally and General Motors (GM), and Ally and Chrysler; the profitability and financial condition of GM and Chrysler; securing low cost funding for us and Residential Capital, LLC (ResCap); our ability to realize the anticipated benefits associated with being a bank holding company, and the increased regulation and restrictions that we are now subject to; any impact resulting from delayed foreclosure sales or related matters; the potential for legal liability resulting from claims related to the sale of private-label mortgage-backed securities; risks related to potential repurchase obligations due to alleged breaches of representations and warranties in mortgage securitization transactions; changes in U.S. government-sponsored mortgage programs or disruptions in the markets in which our mortgage subsidiaries operate; continued challenges in the residential mortgage markets; the continuing negative impact on ResCap and our mortgage business generally due to the recent decline in the U.S. housing market; uncertainty of our ability to enter into transactions or execute strategic alternatives to realize the value of our ResCap operations; the potential for deterioration in the residual value of off-lease vehicles; disruptions in the market in which we fund our operations, with resulting negative impact on our liquidity; changes in our accounting assumptions that may require or that result from changes in the accounting rules or their application, which could result in an impact on earnings; changes in the credit ratings of Ally, ResCap, Chrysler, or GM; changes in economic conditions, currency exchange rates or political stability in the markets in which we operate; and changes in the existing or the adoption of new laws, regulations, policies or other activities of governments, agencies, and similar organizations (including as a result of the Dodd-Frank Act).

Use of the term loans describes products associated with direct and indirect lending activities of Ally's global operations. The specific products include retail installment sales contracts, loans, lines of credit, leases or other financing products. The term originate refers to Ally's purchase, acquisition, or direct origination of various loan products.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Refer to the Market Risk section of Item 2, Management's Discussion and Analysis.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the specified time periods. Our disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file and submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Interim Chief Financial Officer (CFO), to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, our CEO and CFO evaluated, with the participation of our management, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act) that occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter that materially affected, or were reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Our management, including our CEO and CFO, does not expect that our disclosure controls or our internal controls will prevent or detect all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within Ally have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with associated policies or procedures. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Table of Contents**PART II OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

We are subject to potential liability under various governmental proceedings, claims, and legal actions that are pending or otherwise asserted against us. We are named as defendants in a number of legal actions, and we are occasionally involved in governmental proceedings arising in connection with our respective businesses. Some of the pending actions purport to be class actions. We establish reserves for legal claims when payments associated with the claims become probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated. The actual costs of resolving legal claims may be higher or lower than any amounts reserved for the claims. On the basis of information currently available, advice of counsel, available insurance coverage, and established reserves, it is the opinion of management that the eventual outcome of the actions against us will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows. However, in the event of unexpected future developments, it is possible that the ultimate resolution of legal matters, if unfavorable, may be material to our consolidated financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows. Certain of these existing actions include claims related to various mortgage-backed securities offerings, which are described in more detail below.

Mortgage-backed Securities Litigation

There are twelve cases relating to various private-label mortgage-backed securities (MBS) offerings that are currently pending. Plaintiffs in these cases include Cambridge Place Investment Management Inc. (two cases pending in Suffolk County Superior Court, Massachusetts, filed on July 9, 2010, and February 11, 2011, respectively); The Charles Schwab Corporation (case pending in San Francisco County Superior Court, California, filed on August 2, 2010); Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (case pending in Suffolk County Superior Court, Massachusetts, filed on April 20, 2011); Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago (case pending in Cook County Circuit Court, Illinois, filed on October 15, 2010); Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis (case filed in Marion County Superior Court, Indiana, on October 15, 2010, and removed to the Southern District of Indiana); Massachusetts Mutual Life Ins. Co. (case pending in federal court in the District of Massachusetts, filed on February 9, 2011); Allstate Insurance Co., et al. (case pending in Hennepin County District Court, Minnesota, filed on February 18, 2011); New Jersey Carpenters Health Fund, et al. (a putative class action, filed on September 22, 2008, in which certification has been denied, pending in federal court in the Southern District of New York); West Virginia Investment Management Board (case pending in Kanawha County Circuit Court, West Virginia, filed on March 4, 2010); Thrivent Financial for Lutherans, et al. (case pending in Hennepin County District Court, Minnesota, filed on March 28, 2011); and Union Central Life Insurance, et al. (case pending in federal court in the Southern District of New York, filed on April 28, 2011). Each of the above cases include as defendants certain of our mortgage subsidiaries, and the New Jersey Carpenters, Massachusetts Mutual, and Union Central cases also include as defendants certain current and former employees. The plaintiffs in all cases have alleged that the various defendant subsidiaries made misstatements and omissions in registration statements, prospectuses, prospectus supplements, and other documents related to MBS offerings. The alleged misstatements and omissions typically concern underwriting standards. Plaintiffs claim that such misstatements and omissions constitute violations of state and/or federal securities law and common law including negligent misrepresentation and fraud. Plaintiffs seek monetary damages and rescission.

There are two additional cases (filed on December 4, 2008, and April 1, 2010) pending in the New York County Supreme Court where MBIA Insurance Corp. (MBIA) has alleged that two of our mortgage subsidiaries breached their contractual representations and warranties relating to the characteristics of the mortgage loans contained in certain insured MBS offerings. MBIA further alleges that the defendant subsidiaries failed to follow certain remedy procedures set forth in the contracts and improperly serviced the mortgage loans. Along with claims for breach of contract, MBIA also alleges fraud.

All of the matters described above are at various procedural stages of litigation.

Table of Contents**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

Other than with respect to the risk factors provided below, there have been no material changes to the Risk Factors described in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Risks Related to Regulation

Our business and financial condition could be adversely affected as a result of issues relating to mortgage foreclosures, home sales, and evictions in certain states and our entry into a related consent order.

Representatives of federal and state governments, including the United States Department of Justice, the FRB, the FDIC, the SEC and law enforcement authorities in all 50 states, have announced investigations into the procedures followed by mortgage servicing companies and banks, including subsidiaries of Ally, in connection with mortgage foreclosure home sales and evictions. As a result of an examination conducted by the FRB and FDIC, on April 13, 2011, each of Ally, Ally Bank, Residential Capital, LLC and GMAC Mortgage, LLC (collectively, the Ally Entities) entered into a Consent Order (the Order) with the FRB and the FDIC. The Order requires, among other things, the Ally Entities to retain independent consultants to conduct a risk assessment related to mortgage servicing activities and to conduct a review of certain past residential mortgage foreclosure actions. We do not expect the costs associated with our required near-term response to the Order to be material. The Order further requires the Ally Entities to make improvements in various areas related to aspects of Ally's mortgage servicing business, including board oversight, compliance programs, internal audit, communications with borrowers, outsourcing activities, management information systems, and employee training. The estimated increased costs associated with future servicing and foreclosure costs as a result of the Order have been considered as part of the fair value adjustment of our existing mortgage servicing rights for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

We continue to cooperate with the ongoing investigations of various regulators. Any additional results of these investigations are uncertain, but we expect that Ally or its subsidiaries will become subject to additional penalties, sanctions, or other adverse actions, including monetary fines, that could have a material adverse impact on us.

On September 17, 2010, GMAC Mortgage, LLC (GMACM), our indirect wholly owned subsidiary, temporarily suspended mortgage foreclosure home sales and evictions and postponed hearings on motions for judgment in certain states. This decision was made after an operational matter was detected in the execution of certain affidavits used in connection with judicial foreclosures in some but not all states. The issue relates to whether persons signing the affidavits had appropriately verified the information in them and whether they were signed in the immediate physical presence of a notary. In response to this and to enhance existing procedures, GMACM implemented supplemental procedures that are used in all new foreclosure cases to seek to ensure that affidavits are prepared in compliance with applicable law. GMACM is also conducting an additional review of all foreclosure files in all states prior to proceeding with foreclosure sales.

Our review related to this matter is ongoing, and we cannot estimate the ultimate impact of deficiencies that have been identified, or any that may be identified in the future, related to our historical foreclosure procedures. There are potential risks related to these matters that extend beyond potential liability on individual foreclosure actions. Specific risks could include, for example, claims and litigation related to foreclosure file remediation and resubmission; claims from investors that hold securities that become adversely impacted by continued delays in the foreclosure process; actions by courts, state attorneys general, or regulators to delay further the foreclosure process after submission of corrected affidavits; regulatory fines, sanctions, and other costs; and reputational risks. If the magnitude of any negative impact related to the foregoing proves to be material, it could have an adverse affect on our business, results of operations, and financial position.

Our business may be adversely affected upon our implementation of the revised capital requirements under the Basel III capital rules.

The Bank for International Settlements' Basel Committee on Banking Supervision recently adopted new capital, leverage, and liquidity guidelines under the Basel Accord (Basel III), which when implemented in the United States, may have the effect of raising capital requirements beyond those required by current law and the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd Frank Act). Basel III increases (i) the minimum Tier 1 common equity ratio from 2.0% to 4.5%, net of regulatory deductions, and introduces a capital conservation buffer of an additional 2.5% of common equity to risk-weighted assets, raising the target minimum common equity ratio to 7.0% and (ii) the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio to 8.5% inclusive of the capital conservation buffer, increases the minimum total capital ratio to 10.5% inclusive of the capital buffer, and introduces a countercyclical capital buffer of up to 2.5% of common equity or other fully loss absorbing capital for periods of excess credit growth. Basel III also introduces a nonrisk adjusted Tier 1 leverage ratio of 3% based on a measure of

Table of Contents

the total exposure rather than total assets and new liquidity standards. The Basel III capital, leverage, and liquidity standards will be phased in over a multiyear period. The Basel III rules, when implemented, will also impose a 15% cap on the amount of Tier 1 capital that can be met, in the aggregate, through significant investments in the common shares of unconsolidated financial subsidiaries, mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) and deferred tax assets through timing differences, as well as a 10% cap on the amount of each of the three individual items that may be included in Tier 1 capital. In addition, under Basel III rules, after a 10-year phase-out period beginning on January 1, 2013, trust preferred and other hybrid securities will no longer qualify as Tier 1 capital. However, under the Dodd-Frank Act, subject to certain exceptions, trust preferred and other hybrid securities are phased out from Tier 1 capital in a three-year period starting January 1, 2013. At March 31, 2011, Ally had \$3.4 billion of MSRs and \$2.5 billion of trust preferred securities, which were included as Tier 1 capital. Ally currently has no other hybrid securities outstanding. The Basel III rules, when implemented, will impose limits on Ally's ability to meet its regulatory capital requirements through the use of MSRs, trust preferred securities, or other hybrid securities, if applicable.

If we or Ally Bank fail to satisfy regulatory capital requirements, we or Ally Bank may be subject to serious regulatory sanctions ranging in severity from being precluded from making acquisitions or engaging in new activities to becoming subject to informal or formal supervisory actions by the FRB and/or FDIC and, potentially, FDIC receivership of Ally Bank. If any of these were to occur, such actions could prevent us from successfully executing our business plan and have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial position.

The actions of the FRB and international central banking authorities directly impact our cost of funds for lending, capital raising, and investment activities and may impact the value of financial instruments we hold. In addition, such changes in monetary policy may affect the credit quality of our customers. Changes in domestic and international monetary policy are beyond our control and difficult to predict.

Risks Related to Our Business

The worldwide financial services industry is highly competitive. If we are unable to compete successfully or if there is increased competition in the automotive financing, mortgage, and/or insurance markets or generally in the markets for securitizations or asset sales, our business could be negatively affected.

The markets for automotive and mortgage financing, banking, and insurance are highly competitive. The market for automotive financing has recently grown increasingly more competitive as more consumers are financing their vehicle purchases and as more competitors continue to enter this market as a result of how well automotive finance assets generally performed relative to other asset classes during the recent economic downturn. Our mortgage business and Ally Bank face significant competition from commercial banks, savings institutions, mortgage companies, and other financial institutions. Our insurance business faces significant competition from insurance carriers, reinsurers, third-party administrators, brokers, and other insurance-related companies. Many of our competitors have substantial positions nationally or in the markets in which they operate. Some of our competitors have lower cost structures, substantially lower costs of capital, and are much less reliant on securitization activities, unsecured debt, and other public markets. We face significant competition in most areas including product offerings, rates, pricing and fees, and customer service. If we are unable to compete effectively in the markets in which we operate, our profitability and financial condition could be negatively affected.

The markets for asset and mortgage securitizations and whole-loan sales are competitive, and other issuers and originators could increase the amount of their issuances and sales. In addition, lenders and other investors within those markets often establish limits on their credit exposure to particular issuers, originators, and asset classes, or they may require higher returns to increase the amount of their exposure. Increased issuance by other participants in the market or decisions by investors to limit their credit exposure to (or to require a higher yield for) us or to automotive or mortgage securitizations or whole-loans could negatively affect our ability and that of our subsidiaries to price our securitizations and whole loan sales at attractive rates. The result would be lower proceeds from these activities and lower profits for our subsidiaries and us.

We have extensive financing and hedging arrangements with ResCap, which could be at risk of nonpayment if ResCap were to file for bankruptcy.

We have secured financing arrangements and secured hedging agreements in place with ResCap. At March 31, 2011, we had \$1.9 billion in secured financing arrangements with ResCap, of which \$1.3 billion in loans was utilized. At March 31, 2011, there was no net exposure under the hedging arrangements because the arrangements were fully collateralized. Amounts outstanding under the secured financing and hedging arrangements fluctuate. If ResCap were to file for bankruptcy, ResCap's repayments of its financing facilities, including those with us, will be subject to bankruptcy proceedings and regulations, or ResCap may be unable to repay its financing facilities. In addition, we could be an unsecured creditor of ResCap to the extent that the proceeds from the sale of our collateral are insufficient to repay

Table of Contents

ResCap's obligations to us. In addition, it is possible that other ResCap creditors would seek to recharacterize our loans to ResCap as equity contributions or to seek equitable subordination of our claims so that the claims of other creditors would have priority over our claims. We may also find it advantageous to provide debtor-in-possession financing to ResCap in a bankruptcy proceeding in order to preserve the value of the collateral ResCap has pledged to us. In addition, should ResCap file for bankruptcy, our investment related to ResCap's equity position would likely be reduced to zero, and creditors of ResCap may attempt to assert claims directly against us for payment of their obligations.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

The exhibits listed on the accompanying Index of Exhibits are filed as a part of this report. This Index is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized, this 6th day of May 2011.

Ally Financial Inc.

(Registrant)

/s/ JAMES G. MACKEY

James G. Mackey

Interim Chief Financial Officer

/s/ DAVID J. DEBRUNNER

David J. DeBrunner

Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer, and

Corporate Controller

Table of Contents**INDEX OF EXHIBITS**

| Exhibit | Description | Method of Filing |
|----------------|---|-------------------------|
| 12 | Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges | Filed herewith. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) | Filed herewith. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) | Filed herewith. |

The following exhibit shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liability of that Section. In addition, Exhibit No. 32 shall not be deemed incorporated into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

| Exhibit | Description | Method of Filing |
|----------------|---|-------------------------|
| 32 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 | Filed herewith. |