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## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION ITEM 1 - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORPORATION

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009
(Dollars in thousands) (Unaudited)
September 30, 2010 December 31, 2009

| Assets |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 11,313 | \$ | 12,836 |
| Interest bearing deposits in banks |  | 24,755 |  | 35,068 |
| Federal funds sold |  |  |  | 5,000 |
| Investment securities available-for-sale (amortized cost of \$43,303 and |  |  |  |  |
| \$54,981) |  | 43,591 |  | 53,677 |
| Investment securities held-to-maturity (fair value of \$6,771 and \$7,594) |  | 6,613 |  | 7,449 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost |  | 3,182 |  | 3,182 |
| Loans held for sale |  | 20,339 |  | 12,389 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans |  | 466,585 |  | 482,246 |
| Allowance for credit losses |  | 11,511 |  | 11,092 |
| Loans, net |  | 455,074 |  | 471,154 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Premises and equipment |  | 15,374 |  | 15,914 |
| Other real estate owned |  | 9,651 |  | 6,665 |
| Accrued interest receivable |  | 2,435 |  | 2,537 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance |  | 16,616 |  | 16,207 |
| Goodwill |  | 11,282 |  | 11,282 |
| Other intangible assets |  | 1,339 |  | 1,445 |
| Other assets |  | 12,714 |  | 13,821 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ | 634,278 | \$ | 668,626 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |  |  |
| Demand, non-interest bearing | \$ | 90,537 | \$ | 86,046 |
| Savings and interest-bearing demand |  | 240,902 |  | 229,281 |
| Time, interest-bearing |  | 202,800 |  | 252,368 |
| Total deposits |  | 534,239 |  | 567,695 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Accrued interest payable |  | 1,250 |  | 1,125 |
| Secured borrowings |  | 939 |  | 977 |
| Short-term borrowings |  | 10,500 |  | 4,500 |
| Long-term borrowings |  | 10,500 |  | 21,000 |
| Junior subordinated debentures |  | 13,403 |  | 13,403 |
| Other liabilities |  | 3,041 |  | 2,277 |
| Total liabilities |  | 573,872 |  | 610,977 |

Commitments and Contingencies (Note 6)

| Shareholders' Equity |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Common Stock (par value \$1); 25,000,000 shares authorized; 10,121,853 |  |  |  |  |
| Additional paid-in capital |  | 41,304 |  | 41,270 |
| Retained earnings |  | 9,215 |  | 7,599 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss |  | (235) |  | $(1,342)$ |
| Total shareholders' equity |  | 60,406 |  | 57,649 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ | 634,278 | \$ | 668,626 |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORPORATION

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income
Three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data) (Unaudited)


| Non-interest Expense |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 3,378 | 3,366 | 9,913 | 10,315 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 669 | 687 | 2,043 | 2,013 |
| Other real estate owned write-downs | 73 | 22 | 564 | 2,539 |
| Other real estate owned operating costs | 166 | 156 | 425 | 303 |
| Professional services | 204 | 182 | 582 | 587 |
| FDIC and State assessments | 332 | 950 | 1,043 | 1,573 |
| Data processing | 245 | 245 | 802 | 793 |
| Other | 1,264 | 1,461 | 3,548 | 3,698 |
| Total non-interest expense | 6,331 | 7,069 | 18,920 | 21,821 |
|  |  |  | $(2,711)$ | 1,556 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes |  | 577 | $(7,690)$ |  |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 98 | $(952)$ | $(60)$ | $(3,352)$ |
| Net Income (Loss) | $\$$ | 479 | $\$$ | $(1,759)$ |
|  |  |  | 1,616 | $\$$ |
| $(4,338)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings (loss) per common share: |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | $\$$ | 0.05 | $\$$ | $(0.19)$ |


| Diluted | 0.05 | $(0.19)$ | 0.16 | (0.54) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weighted Average shares outstanding: |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | $10,121,853$ | $9,424,229$ | $10,121,853$ | $8,005,901$ |
| Diluted | $10,121,853$ | $9,424,229$ | $10,121,853$ | $8,005,901$ |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORPORATION

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows<br>Nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009<br>(Dollars in thousands)<br>(Unaudited)



| Cash and due from Banks |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning of period | 12,836 |  | 16,182 |  |
| End of period | \$ | 11,313 | \$ | 13,529 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION |  |  |  |  |
| Cash payments for: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest | \$ | 6,067 | \$ | 8,545 |
| Income taxes |  | 725 |  | 90 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Change in fair value of securities available-for-sale, net of tax | \$ | 1,051 | \$ | 1,628 |
| Other real estate owned acquired in settlement of loans |  | $(7,056)$ |  | $(7,828)$ |
| Financed sale of other real estate owned |  | 408 |  |  |
| Reclass of current portion of long-term borrowings to short-term borrowings |  | 10,500 |  | 4,500 |
| Transfer of loans held for sale to loans held for investment |  |  |  | 943 |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORPORATION

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity
Nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009
(Dollars in thousands)
(Unaudited)

|  | Shares of Common Stock | Common <br> Stock |  | Additional Paid-in Capital |  | Retained <br> Earnings |  | Accumulated Other <br> Comprehensive Loss |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance January 1, 2009 | 7,317,430 | \$ | 7,318 | \$ | 31,626 | \$ | 13,937 | \$ | $(2,807)$ | \$ | 50,074 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net loss |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(4,338)$ |  |  |  | $(4,338)$ |
| Unrealized holding gain on securities of $\$ 893$ (net of tax of $\$ 589$ ) less reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income of \$277 (net of tax of \$142) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,628 |  | 1,628 |
| Amortization of unrecognized prior service costs and net (gains)/losses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (16) |  | (16) |
| Comprehensive income (loss) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(2,726)$ |
| Issuance of common stock | 2,804,423 |  | 2,804 |  | 9,590 |  |  |  |  |  | 12,394 |
| Stock compensation expense |  |  |  |  | 39 |  |  |  |  |  | 39 |
| Balance September 30, 2009 | 10,121,853 | \$ | 10,122 | \$ | 41,255 | \$ | 9,599 | \$ | $(1,195)$ | \$ | 59,781 |
| Balance January 1, 2010 | 10,121,853 | \$ | 10,122 | \$ | 41,270 | \$ | 7,599 | \$ | $(1,342)$ | \$ | 57,649 |
| Other comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,616 |  |  |  | 1,616 |
| Unrealized holding gain on securities of $\$ 1,316$ (net of tax of $\$ 869$ ) less reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income of \$265 (net of tax of \$137) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,051 |  | 1,051 |
| Amortization of unrecognized prior service costs and net (gains)/losses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56 |  | 56 |
| Comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,723 |
| Stock compensation expense |  |  |  |  | 34 |  |  |  |  |  | 34 |

Balance September 30, 2010

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllll}
10,121,853 & \$ & 10,122 & \$ & 41,304 & \$ & 9,215 & \$ & (235)
\end{array} \$ 60,406
$$

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORPORATION

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)
(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

## Note 1 - Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by Pacific Financial Corporation ("Pacific" or the "Company") in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with instructions to Form 10-Q. Accordingly, these financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, are not necessarily indicative of the results anticipated for the year ending December 31, 2010. Certain information and footnote disclosures included in the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009, have been condensed or omitted from this report. Accordingly, these statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Certain prior year amounts for other real estate owned operating costs, and earnings on bank owned life insurance ("BOLI") have been reclassified to their own financial statement line item to conform to the 2010 presentation with no change to net income (loss) or shareholders' equity as previously reported. Subsequent to the issuance of the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company discovered errors in its unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 which resulted from including a non-cash transfer of loans from loans held for sale to loans held for investment as a cash transaction impacting operating and investing activities. As a result, the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 has been restated. The corrections result in an increase in origination of loans held for sale and a decrease in net cash provided by operating activities of $\$ 943$; and a decrease in loans made to customers, net of principal collections and a decrease in net cash used in investing activities of $\$ 943$. The corrections to the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months September 30, 2009 do not affect the Company's unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income, cash and cash equivalents, or earnings per share.

Note 2 - Earnings per Share
The following table illustrates the computation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share.

|  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  | Nine Month Ended September 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 |  |  |  |  |
| Basic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income (loss) | 479 | $(1,759)$ | \$ | 1,616 | \$ | $(4,338)$ |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 10,121,853 | 9,424,229 |  | 10,121,853 |  | 8,005,901 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share | 0.05 | (0.19) | \$ | 0.16 | \$ | (0.54) |
| Diluted: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 479 | $(1,759)$ | \$ | 1,616 | \$ | $(4,338)$ |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 10,121,853 | 9,424,229 |  | 10,121,853 |  | 8,005,901 |
| Effect of dilutive stock options |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted average shares outstanding assuming dilution | 10,121,853 | 9,424,229 |  | 10,121,853 |  | 8,005,901 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share | 0.05 | (0.19) | \$ | 0.16 | \$ | (0.54) |

As of September 30, 2010 and 2009, there were 818,612 and 642,397 shares, respectively, subject to outstanding options and 699,642 and 699,642 shares, respectively, subject to outstanding warrants with exercise prices in excess of the current market value. These shares are not included in the table above, as exercise of these options and warrants would not be dilutive to shareholders.

Note 3 - Investment Securities
Investment securities consist principally of short and intermediate term debt instruments issued by the U.S. Treasury, other U.S. government agencies, state and local government units, and other corporations, and mortgage backed securities ("MBS").

| Securities Held-to-Maturity | Amortized Cost |  | Unrealized Gains |  | Unrealized Losses | Fair <br> Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State and municipal securities | \$ | 6,216 | \$ | 134 | \$ | -\$ | 6,350 |
| Agency MBS |  | 397 |  | 24 |  | - | 421 |
| Total | \$ | 6,613 | \$ | 158 | \$ | -\$ | 6,771 |
| December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State and municipal securities | \$ | 6,958 | \$ | 124 | \$ | - | 7,082 |
| Agency MBS |  | 491 |  | 21 |  | - | 512 |
| Total | \$ | 7,449 | \$ | 145 | \$ | -\$ | 7,594 |
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| Securities Available-for-Sale | Amortized Cost |  | Unrealized Gains |  | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair <br> Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government securities | \$ | 1,113 | \$ | 16 | \$ |  | \$ | 1,129 |
| State and municipal securities |  | 19,755 |  | 1,390 |  |  |  | 21,145 |
| Agency MBS |  | 7,784 |  | 200 |  | 13 |  | 7,971 |
| Non-agency MBS |  | 11,635 |  | 20 |  | 1,356 |  | 10,299 |
| Corporate securities |  | 3,016 |  | 44 |  | 13 |  | 3,047 |
| Total | \$ | 43,303 | \$ | 1,670 | \$ | 1,382 | \$ | 43,591 |
| December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government securities | \$ | 933 | \$ | 40 | \$ |  | \$ | 973 |
| State and municipal securities |  | 21,294 |  | 821 |  | 35 |  | 22,080 |
| Agency MBS |  | 11,023 |  | 156 |  | 15 |  | 11,164 |
| Non-agency MBS |  | 16,731 |  | 121 |  | 2,392 |  | 14,460 |
| Mutual funds |  | 5,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,000 |
| Total | \$ | 54,981 | \$ | 1,138 | \$ | 2,442 | \$ | 53,677 |

Unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in continuous unrealized loss position, as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are summarized as follows:

|  | Less than 12 Months |  |  |  | 12 months or More Gross |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Available-for-Sale | Fair <br> Value |  | Gross <br> Unrealized Losses |  | Fair <br> Value |  | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair <br> Value |  | Gross <br> Unrealized Losses |  |
| September 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agency MBS | \$ | 1,031 | \$ | 13 | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | 1,031 | \$ | 13 |
| Non-agency MBS |  | 2,506 |  | 87 |  | 6,912 |  | 1,269 |  | 9,418 |  | 1,356 |
| Corporate securities |  | 1,011 |  | 13 |  | - |  |  |  | 1,011 |  | 13 |
| Total | \$ | 4,548 | \$ | 113 | \$ | 6,912 | \$ | 1,269 | \$ | 11,460 | \$ | 1,382 |
| December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State and municipal securities | \$ | 1,835 | \$ | 2 | \$ | 2,638 | \$ | 33 | \$ | 4,473 | \$ | 35 |
| Agency MBS |  | 1,408 |  | 15 |  | - |  |  |  | 1,408 |  | 15 |
| Non-agency MBS |  | 4,530 |  | 347 |  | 7,778 |  | 2,045 |  | 12,308 |  | 2,392 |
| Total | \$ | 7,773 | \$ | 364 | \$ | 10,416 | \$ | 2,078 | \$ | 18,189 | \$ | 2,442 |

At September 30, 2010, there were 12 investment securities in an unrealized loss position, of which seven were in a continuous loss position for 12 months or more. The unrealized losses on these securities were caused by changes in interest rates and market illiquidity, causing a decline in the fair value subsequent to their purchase. Management monitors published credit ratings on these securities for adverse changes, and, for MBS, monitors expected future cash flows to determine whether any loss in principal is anticipated. The Company has evaluated the securities shown above and anticipates full recovery of amortized cost with respect to these securities at maturity or sooner. Based on management's evaluation and because the Company does not have the intent to sell these securities and it is not more likely than not that it will have to sell the securities before recovery of cost basis, the Company does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at September 30, 2010.
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Gross gains realized on sales of securities were $\$ 0$ and $\$ 116$ and gross losses realized were $\$ 0$ and $\$ 0$ during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Gross gains realized on sales of securities were $\$ 513$ and $\$ 419$ and gross losses realized were $\$ 111$ and $\$ 0$ during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Company did not engage in originating subprime mortgage loans, and it does not believe that it has exposure to subprime mortgage loans or subprime mortgage backed securities. Additionally, the Company does not have any investment in or exposure to collateralized debt obligations or structured investment vehicles.

Note 4 - Loans
Loans (including loans held for sale) at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are as follows:

| September 30, | December 31, |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 2009 |


| Commercial and industrial | $\$$ | 90,836 | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Real estate: |  | 93,125 |  |
| Construction and land development | 52,615 | 64,812 |  |
| Residential 1-4 family | 101,416 | 91,821 |  |
| Multi-family | 9,058 | 8,605 |  |
| Commercial real estate - owner occupied | 106,950 | 105,663 |  |
| Commercial real estate - non owner occupied | 94,932 | 99,521 |  |
| Farmland | 22,934 | 22,824 |  |
| Installment | 9,040 | 9,145 |  |
| Less unearned income |  | $(857)$ | $(881)$ |
| Total Loans | $\$$ | 486,924 | $\$$ |

Changes in the allowance for credit losses for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 and for the year ended December 31, 2009 are as follows:

|  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  | Twelve MonthsEndedEnded December 31,2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ | 11,244 | \$ | 10,203 | \$ | 11,092 | \$ | 7,623 | \$ | 7,623 |
| Provision for credit losses |  | 850 |  | 3,170 |  | 2,850 |  | 8,544 |  | 9,944 |
| Charge-offs |  | (639) |  | $(1,808)$ |  | $(2,507)$ |  | $(4,616)$ |  | $(6,524)$ |
| Recoveries |  | 56 |  | 15 |  | 76 |  | 29 |  | 49 |
| Net charge-offs |  | (583) |  | $(1,793)$ |  | $(2,431)$ |  | $(4,587)$ |  | $(6,475)$ |
| Balance at end of period | \$ | 11,511 | \$ | 11,580 | \$ | 11,511 | \$ | 11,580 | \$ | 11,092 |

Following is a summary of information pertaining to impaired loans:
September 30, 2010 December 31, 2009

| Impaired loans without a valuation allowance | $\$$ | 9,609 | $\$$ | 22,776 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Impaired loans with a valuation allowance |  | 749 | 2,962 |  |
| Total impaired loans | $\$$ | 10,358 | $\$$ | 25,738 |
| Valuation allowance related to impaired loans |  | 375 | 638 |  |
| Average investment in impaired loans | $\$$ | 18,861 | $\$$ | 28,725 |

Loans on which the accrual of interest has been discontinued were $\$ 6,590$ and $\$ 15,647$ at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The related amount of interest income recognized on a cash basis for loans that were impaired was $\$ 485$ and $\$ 352$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and the year ended December 31, 2009, respectively. The related amount of interest income recognized on a cash basis for the three months ended September 31,2010 and 2009 was $\$ 103$ and $\$ 115$, respectively. Interest income foregone on non-accrual loans was $\$ 2,259$ and $\$ 2,066$ during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Interest income foregone on non-accrual loans was $\$ 338$ and $\$ 464$ for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. There were no loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest at September 30, 2010. Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest at December 31, 2009 were $\$ 547$ and were made up entirely of loans fully guaranteed by United States government agencies.

Note 5 - Stock Based Compensation
The Company's 2000 stock incentive plan provides for granting incentive stock options, as defined under current tax laws, to key personnel. The plan also provides for non-qualified stock options and other types of stock based awards. The plan authorizes the issuance of up to a total of $1,100,000$ shares ( 132,830 shares are available for grant at September 30, 2010). Under the plan, options either become exercisable ratably over five years or vest fully five years from the date of grant. Under the plan, the Company may grant up to 150,000 options for its common stock to a single individual in a calendar year.

The fair value of stock options granted is determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model based on assumptions noted in the following table. Expected volatility is based on historical volatility of the Company's common stock. The expected term of stock options granted is based on the simplified method, which is the simple average between contractual term and vesting period. The risk-free rate is based on the expected term of stock options and the applicable U.S. Treasury yield in effect at the time of grant.

| Grant period ended | Expected <br> Life | Risk Free <br> Interest Rate | Expected <br> Volatility | Dividend <br> Yield | Average <br> Fair Value |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| September 30, 2010 | 6.5 years | $\mathbf{3 . 2 0 \%}$ | $18.95 \%$ | $-\$ 8$ | 0.34 |

There were no options granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2009.
A summary of stock option activity under the stock option plans as of September 30, 2010 and 2009, and changes during the nine months then ended are presented below:

|  | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price |  | Weighted <br> Average <br> Remaining <br> Contractual <br> Term ( Years) | Aggregate <br> Intrinsic <br> Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outstanding beginning of period | 820,837 | \$ | 11.08 |  |  |  |
| Granted | 1,000 |  | 7.00 |  |  |  |
| Exercised |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Forfeited | $(3,225)$ |  | 11.27 |  |  |  |
| Outstanding end of period | 818,612 | \$ | 11.07 | 4.6 | \$ | - |
| Exercisable end of period | 554,727 | \$ | 12.43 | 2.7 | \$ | - |
| September 30, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outstanding beginning of period | 684,527 | \$ | 12.58 |  |  |  |
| Granted |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Exercised | - |  |  | - |  |  |
| Forfeited | $(42,130)$ |  | 13.76 |  |  |  |
| Outstanding end of period | 642,397 | \$ | 12.50 | 3.8 | \$ | - |
| Exercisable end of period | 560,832 | \$ | 12.35 | 3.3 | \$ | - |

A summary of the status of the Company's nonvested options as of September 30, 2010 and 2009 and changes during the nine months then ended are presented below:

|  | 2010 |  |  | 2009 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shares | Weighted Average Fair Value |  | Shares | Weighted Average Fair Value |  |
| Non-vested beginning of period | 290,915 | \$ | 0.60 | 126,940 | \$ | 1.62 |
| Granted | 1,000 |  | 0.34 |  |  |  |
| Vested | $(25,850)$ |  | 1.89 | $(26,235)$ |  | 1.87 |
| Forfeited | $(2,180)$ |  | 0.67 | $(19,140)$ |  | 1.50 |
| Non-vested end of period | 263,885 | \$ | 0.47 | 81,565 | \$ | 1.56 |

The Company accounts for stock based compensation in accordance with GAAP, which requires measurement of compensation cost for all stock-based awards based on the grant date fair value and recognition of compensation cost over the service period of stock-based awards. Stock-based compensation expense during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was $\$ 34$ and $\$ 39$ ( $\$ 22$ and $\$ 26$ net of tax), respectively. Stock-based compensation expense during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was $\$ 11$ and $\$ 13$ ( $\$ 7$ and $\$ 9$ net of tax), respectively. Future compensation expense for unvested awards outstanding as of September 30, 2010 is estimated to be $\$ 64$ recognized over a weighted average period of 1.8 years. There were no options exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

Note 6 - Commitments and Contingencies
The Bank is party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit, and involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized on the consolidated balance sheets.

The Bank's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Bank uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as they do for on-balance-sheet instruments. A summary of the Bank's off-balance sheet commitments at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 is as follows:

|  | September 30, <br> December 31, <br> 2010 | 2009 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Commitments to extend credit | $\$$ | 84,123 | $\$$ | 71,435 |
| Standby letters of credit | 1,030 | 1,164 |  |  |

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Many of the commitments may expire without being drawn upon; therefore total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Bank evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the customer. Collateral held varies, but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property and equipment, residential real estate, and income-producing commercial properties.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers.

In connection with certain loans held for sale, the Bank typically makes representations and warranties about the underlying loans conforming to specified guidelines. If the underlying loans do not conform to the specifications, the Bank may have an obligation to repurchase the loans or indemnify the purchaser against loss. The Bank believes that the potential for loss under these arrangements is remote. Accordingly, no contingent liability is recorded in the consolidated financial statements.

The Company is currently not party to any material pending litigation. However, because of the nature of its activities, the Company is subject to various pending and threatened legal actions which may arise in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, liabilities arising from these claims, if any, will not have a material effect on the results of operations or financial condition of the Company.
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## Note 7 - Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("Update") No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. The guidance requires new disclosures on transfers into and out of Level 1 and 2 measurements of the fair value hierarchy and requires separate disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements relating to Level 3 measurements. It also clarifies existing fair value disclosures relating to the level of disaggregation and inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value. It is effective for the interim periods beginning after December 15,2009 , except for the requirement to provide the Level 3 activity, which will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2010, FASB issued Update No. 2010-20, Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses. The guidance will require the Company to disclose a greater level of disaggregated information about the credit quality of its loans and the related allowance for credit losses. This Update will also require the Company to disclose additional information related to credit quality indicators, past due information, and information related to loans modified in a troubled debt restructuring. The disclosures as of the end of a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The Company is currently evaluating the requirements of this guidance, but does not expect it to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Note 8 - Fair Value Measurements
The Company uses an established hierarchy for measuring fair value that is intended to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. This hierarchy uses three levels of inputs to measure the fair value of assets and liabilities as follows:

Level 1 - Valuations based on quoted prices in active exchange markets for identical assets or liabilities; also includes certain corporate debt securities and mutual funds actively traded in over-the-counter markets.

Level 2 - Valuations of assets and liabilities traded in less active dealer or broker markets. Valuations include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities traded in the same market; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model -derived valuations whose inputs are observable or whose significant value drivers are observable. Valuations may be obtained from, or corroborated by, third-party pricing services. This category generally includes certain U.S. Government, agency and non-agency securities, state and municipal securities, mortgage-backed securities, corporate securities, and residential mortgage loans held for sale.

Level 3 - Valuation based on unobservable inputs supported by little or no market activity for financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, yield curves and similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation. Level 3 valuations incorporate certain assumptions and projections in determining the fair value assigned to such assets or liabilities, but in all cases are corroborated by external data, which may include third-party pricing services.

The following table presents the balances of assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

|  | Readily Available Market Prices Level 1 |  | Observable <br> Inputs <br> Level 2 |  | Significant Unobservable Inputs Level 3 |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities available-for-sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government securities | \$ |  | \$ | 1,129 | \$ |  | \$ | 1,129 |
| State and municipal securities |  |  |  | 19,634 |  | 1,511 |  | 21,145 |
| Agency MBS |  |  |  | 7,971 |  |  |  | 7,971 |
| Non-agency MBS |  | - | - | 10,299 |  |  |  | 10,299 |
| Corporate securities |  | 2,037 |  | 1,010 |  |  |  | 3,047 |
| Total | \$ | 2,037 | \$ | 40,043 | \$ | 1,511 | \$ | 43,591 |
| December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities available-for-sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government securities | \$ |  | \$ | 973 | \$ |  | \$ | 973 |
| State and municipal securities |  | - | - | 20,487 |  | 1,593 |  | 22,080 |
| Agency MBS |  | - |  | 11,164 |  |  |  | 11,164 |
| Non-agency MBS |  | - | - | 14,460 |  |  |  | 14,460 |
| Mutual Funds |  | 5,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,000 |
| Total | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 47,084 | \$ | 1,593 | \$ | 53,677 |

The Company uses a third party pricing service to assist the Company in determining the fair value of the investment portfolio. The following table presents a reconciliation of assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) during the nine months ended September 30, 2010. There were no transfers of assets in to or out of Level 3 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

| Beginning balance | $\$$ | 1,593 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Included in other comprehensive loss | $\$ 82)$ |  |
| Balance at September 30, 2010 | 1,511 |  |

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis after initial recognition such as loans measured for impairment and other real estate owned ("OREO"). The following methods were used to estimate the fair value of each such class of financial instrument:

Loans held for sale - Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value. Loans held for sale are measured at fair value based on a discounted cash flow calculation using interest rates currently available on similar loans. The fair value was determined based on an aggregated loan basis. When a loan is sold, the gain is recognized in the consolidated statement of income as the proceeds less the book value of the loan including unamortized fees and capitalized direct costs.

Impaired loans - A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due (both interest and principal) according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows or by the net realizable value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

Other real estate owned - OREO is initially recorded at the lower of the carrying amount of the loan or fair value of the property less estimated costs to sell. This amount becomes the property's new basis. Management considers third party appraisals in determining the fair value of particular properties. Any write-downs based on the property fair value less estimated costs to sell at the date of acquisition are charged to the allowance for credit losses. Management periodically reviews OREO to ensure the property is carried at the lower of its new basis or fair value, net of estimated costs to sell. Any additional write-downs based on re-evaluation of the property fair value are charged to non-interest expense.

The following table presents the Company's financial assets that were held at the end of each period that were accounted for at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009

|  | Readily AvailableObservable |  |  | Significant Unobservable |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Impaired loans | \$ | -\$ |  | -\$ | 840 | \$ | 840 |
| OREO | \$ | -\$ |  | -\$ | 7,175 | \$ | 7,175 |
| December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans held for sale | \$ | -\$ | 12,389 | \$ |  | \$ | 12,389 |
| Impaired loans | \$ | -\$ |  | -\$ | 7,987 | \$ | 7,987 |
| OREO | \$ | -\$ |  | -\$ | 7,285 | \$ | 7,285 |

Other real estate owned with a carrying amount of $\$ 8,020$ was acquired during the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Upon foreclosure, these assets were written down $\$ 964$ to their fair value, less estimated costs to sell, which was charged to the allowance for credit losses during the period.

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating the fair values of financial instruments disclosed in these consolidated financial statements:

Cash and due from banks, Interest bearing deposits in banks, and Federal funds sold
The carrying amounts of cash, interest bearing deposits at other financial institutions, and federal funds sold approximate their fair value.

Investment Securities Available for Sale and Held to Maturity
Fair values for securities are based on quoted market prices.
Loans, net and Loans held for sale
The fair value of loans is estimated based on comparable market statistics for loans with similar credit ratings. An additional liquidity discount is also incorporated to more closely align the fair value with observed market prices. Fair values of loans held for sale are based on a discounted cash flow calculation using interest rates currently available on similar loans. The fair value was based on an aggregate loan basis.

## Deposits

The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity date is included at the amount payable on demand. Fair values for fixed rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation based on interest rates currently offered on similar certificates.

## Short-term borrowings

The fair values of the Company's short-term borrowings are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis based on the Company's incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

Long-term borrowings
The fair values of the Company's long-term borrowings is estimated using discounted cash flow analysis based on the Company's incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

## Secured borrowings

For variable rate secured borrowings that reprice frequently and have no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values.

## Junior subordinated debentures

The fair value of the junior subordinated debentures and trust preferred securities is estimated using discounted cash flow analysis based on interest rates currently available for junior subordinated debentures.

Off-Balance-Sheet Instruments
The fair value of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit was estimated using the rates currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the customers. Since the majority of the Company's off-balance-sheet instruments consist of non-fee producing, variable-rate commitments, the Company has determined they do not have a material fair value.

The estimated fair value of the Company's financial instruments at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are as follows:

|  | 2010 Carrying <br> Amount |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Fair |  | Carrying <br> Amount |  | Fair Value |
| Financial Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks, interest-bearing deposits in banks, $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { and federal funds sold } & \$ & 36,068 & \$ & 36,068 & \$ & 52,904 & \$ & 52,904\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment securities available for sale |  | 43,591 |  | 43,591 |  | 53,677 |  | 53,677 |
| Investment securities held to maturity |  | 6,613 |  | 6,771 |  | 7,449 |  | 7,594 |
| Loans held for sale |  | 20,339 |  | 20,562 |  | 12,389 |  | 12,389 |
| Loans, net |  | 455,074 |  | 425,885 |  | 471,154 |  | 397,151 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | \$ | 534,239 | \$ | 535,889 | \$ | 567,695 | \$ | 569,391 |
| Short-term borrowings |  | 10,500 |  | 10,816 |  | 4,500 |  | 4,601 |
| Long-term borrowings |  | 10,500 |  | 10,909 |  | 21,000 |  | 21,554 |
| Secured borrowings |  | 939 |  | 939 |  | 977 |  | 977 |
| Junior subordinated debentures |  | 13,403 |  | 7,249 |  | 13,403 |  | 6,412 |

## Note 9 - Goodwill

The majority of goodwill and intangibles arise from business combinations accounted for under the purchase method. Goodwill and other intangibles deemed to have indefinite lives generated from purchase business combinations are not subject to amortization and are instead tested for impairment no less than annually. The Company has one reporting unit, the Bank, for purposes of computing goodwill.

During the second quarter of 2010, the Company performed its annual goodwill impairment test to determine whether an impairment of its goodwill asset exists. This test was completed during the current quarter. The goodwill impairment test involves a two-step process. The first step is a comparison of the reporting unit's fair value to its carrying value. If the reporting unit's fair value is less than its carrying value, the Company would be required to progress to the second step. In the second step the Company calculates the implied fair value of its reporting unit. The GAAP standards with respect to goodwill require that the Company compare the implied fair value of goodwill to the carrying amount of goodwill on the Company's balance sheet. If the carrying amount of the goodwill is greater than the implied fair value of that goodwill, an impairment loss must be recognized in an amount equal to that excess. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in the same manner as goodwill recognized in a business combination. The estimated fair value of the Company is allocated to all of the Company's individual assets and liabilities, including any unrecognized identifiable intangible assets, as if the Company had been acquired in a business combination and the estimated fair value of the Company is the price paid to acquire it. The allocation process is performed only for purposes of determining the amount of goodwill impairment, as no assets or liabilities are written up or down, nor are any additional unrecognized identifiable intangible assets recorded as a part of this process.

The results of the Company's step one test indicated that the reporting unit's fair value was less than its carrying value, requiring the Company to perform step two of the goodwill impairment analysis. After the step two analysis was completed, the Company determined the implied fair value of goodwill was greater than the carrying value on the Company's balance sheet and no goodwill impairment existed; however, no assurance can be given that the Company's goodwill will not be written down in future periods.

Note 10 - Securities Offering
On August 27, 2009, the Company completed the private sale of $2,798,582$ shares of common stock, together with warrants to purchase 699,642 additional shares for total proceeds of $\$ 12,356$ net of issuance costs. Warrants issued in the transaction have a five-year term, an exercise price of $\$ 6.50$ per share, and are exercisable in whole or in part at any time upon written notice of exercise. All warrants include a cashless exercise feature.

## ITEM 2 - MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## A Warning About Forward-Looking Information

This document contains forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties. These statements are based on the present beliefs and assumptions of our management, and on information currently available to them. Forward-looking statements include the information concerning our possible future results of operations set forth under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and statements preceded by, followed by or that include the words "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "plans," "estimates" or similar expressions.

Any forward-looking statements in this document are subject to risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 (the "2009 10-K"), as well as risks relating to, among other things, the following:

1. adverse economic or business conditions nationally and in the regions in which we do business that have resulted in, among other things, a reduced demand for credit, deterioration in credit quality, increases in nonperforming assets, elevated levels of net charge-offs, and increased workout, other real estate owned ("OREO") and regulatory expenses;
2. new or changing laws, regulations, standards, and government programs that may significantly increase our costs, including compliance and insurance costs, reduce our revenue opportunities, decrease our access to liquidity, place additional burdens on our limited management resources, or further change the competitive balance among financial institutions;

3 competitive pressures among depository and other financial institutions that may impede our ability to attract and retain borrowers, depositors and other customers, retain key employees, and maintain or increase our interest margins and fee income;
4. decreases in real estate and other asset prices, whether or not due to economic conditions, that may reduce the value of the assets that serve as collateral for many of our loans;
5. changes in the interest rate environment that may reduce our margins, decrease our customers' capacity to repay loans, or decrease the value of our securities; and
6. a lack of liquidity in the market for our common stock that may make it difficult or impossible to sell our stock or lead to distortions in the market price of our stock.

Our management believes the forward-looking statements in this report are reasonable; however, you should not place undue reliance on them. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of performance. They involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Many of the factors that will determine our future results and share value are beyond our ability to control or predict. We undertake no obligation to update forward-looking statements.

## Overview

The Company is a bank holding company headquartered in Aberdeen, Washington. The Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, The Bank of the Pacific (the "Bank"), is a state chartered bank, also located in Washington. The Company also has two wholly-owned subsidiary trusts known as PFC Statutory Trust I and II (the "Trusts") that were formed December 2005 and May 2006, respectively, in connection with the issuance of pooled trust preferred securities. The Company was incorporated in the state of Washington on February 12, 1997, pursuant to a holding company reorganization of the Bank.

The Company conducts its banking business through the Bank, which operates 16 branches located in communities in Grays Harbor, Pacific, Whatcom, Skagit and Wahkiakum counties in the state of Washington and one in Clatsop County, Oregon.

The Bank provides loan and deposit services to customers who are predominantly small and middle-market businesses and middle-income individuals.

## Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are discussed in the 2009 10-K under the heading "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation - Critical Accounting Policies." There have been no material changes in our critical accounting policies from the 2009 10-K.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Please see Note 7 of the Company's Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements above for a discussion of recent accounting pronouncements and the likely effect on the Company.

## Financial Summary

The following are significant trends reflected in the Company's results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and financial condition as of that date:

- The Company reported its third consecutive quarter of profitability with net income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 of $\$ 479,000$ and $\$ 1,616,000$, respectively, representing increases of $\$ 2,238,000$ and $\$ 5,954,000$, compared to net losses of $\$(1,759,000)$ and $\$(4,338,000)$ for the same periods in 2009 . The increase was primarily related to an improvement in net interest margin and a decrease in provision for credit losses.
- Return on average assets and return on average equity were $0.33 \%$ and $3.68 \%$, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to ( $0.88 \%$ ) and ( $11.00 \%$ ), respectively, for the same periods in 2009.
- Net interest income increased $\$ 203,000$ for the three months ended September 30, 2010 to $\$ 5,743,000$ compared to the same period of the prior year. Net interest income increased $\$ 967,000$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 to $\$ 17,125,000$ compared to the same period of the prior year. The increase is primarily the result of a decrease in funding costs. Net interest margin improved to $3.95 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to $3.60 \%$ one year ago.
- The Bank remains well capitalized with a total risk-based capital ratio of $14.30 \%$ at September 30, 2010, compared to $13.07 \%$ at December 31, 2009.
- Total assets were $\$ 634,278,000$ at September 30, 2010, a decrease of $\$ 34,348,000$, or $5.14 \%$, over year-end 2009. Reduction in construction and land development loans as well as decreases in interest bearing deposits in banks and investments, which were used to fund brokered deposit run-off, were the primary contributors to the overall asset decline.
-Non-performing assets ("NPAs") decreased during the quarter and totaled \$16,279,000 at September 30, 2010, which represents $2.57 \%$ of total assets, and is a decrease from $\$ 17,531,000$ at June 30, 2010 and a decrease from September 30, 2009 when NPAs were $\$ 27,008,000$. NPAs are now at the lowest level in over two years.
- Provision for credit losses decreased to $\$ 850,000$ and $\$ 2,850,000$ for the three and nine months ended September 30,2010 , respectively, compared to $\$ 3,170,000$ and $\$ 8,544,000$ for the same periods one year ago. The allowance for credit losses increased to $2.36 \%$ of total loans (including loans held for sale) compared to $2.24 \%$ at year-end 2009.
- The Company continues to be successful in reducing overall exposure to construction and land development loans. This segment of the portfolio, totaling $\$ 52.6$ million at September 30, 2010, accounts for approximately $10.8 \%$ of the total loan portfolio (including loans held for sale), as opposed to $\$ 83.0$ million and $16.8 \%$ one year ago.
- Total deposits decreased $\$ 33,456,000$, or $5.89 \%$, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to December 31, 2009. Due to excess liquidity, management's strategy was to reduce higher cost time deposits, including brokered deposits, in order to improve the cost of funds and net interest margin. The Company's liquidity ratio of approximately $41 \%$ at September 30, 2010 remains strong and translates into over $\$ 259$ million in available funding to meet loan and deposit needs.


## Results of Operations

Net income (loss). For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, net income was $\$ 479,000$ and $\$ 1,616,000$, respectively, compared to a net loss of $\$(1,759,000)$ and $\$(4,338,000)$ for the same periods in 2009. The increase in net income for the three and nine month period was primarily related to an increase in net interest income and decreases in the provision for credit losses and non-interest expense.

Net interest income. Net interest income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased $\$ 203,000$ and $\$ 967,000$, or $3.66 \%$ and $5.98 \%$, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2009 . See the tables below and the accompanying discussion for further information on interest income and expense. The net interest margin (net interest income divided by average earning assets) increased to $4.20 \%$ for the three months ended September 30, 2010 from $3.77 \%$ for the same period last year. The increase in the current three month period is due to a decline in the cost of interest bearing liabilities to $1.56 \%$ from $2.08 \%$, which was only slightly offset by a decrease in yield on interest bearing assets from $5.58 \%$ to $5.53 \%$. The net interest margin increased to $3.95 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30,2010 from $3.60 \%$ for the same period last year. The increase in net interest margin is due to an improvement in the average cost of funds to $1.65 \%$ at September 30, 2010 from $2.18 \%$ one year ago, that was only partially offset by a decline in the Company's average yield earned on assets from $5.65 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 to $5.52 \%$ for the current nine month period. In addition, decreasing levels of nonperforming loans placed on non-accrual status have also positively affected our net interest margin.

The Federal Open Market Committee ("FOMC") of the Federal Reserve heavily influences market interest rates, including deposit and loan rates offered by many financial institutions. As a bank holding company, we derive the greatest portion of our income from net interest income. Approximately $78 \%$ of the Company's loan portfolio is tied to short-term rates, and therefore, re-price immediately when interest rate changes occur. The Company's funding sources also re-price when rates change, however, there is a meaningful lag in the timing of the re-pricing of deposits as compared to loans and the benefits of declining rates paid decrease as rates approach zero.

The following tables set forth information with regard to average balances of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities and the resultant yields or cost, net interest income, and the net interest margin on a tax equivalent basis. Loans held for sale and non-accrual loans are included in total loans.

Three Months Ended September 30,

| (dollars in thousands) | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Balance |  | Interest <br> Income <br> (Expense) |  | Avg <br> Rate | Average <br> Balance |  | Interest <br> Income <br> (Expense) |  | Avg <br> Rate |
| Interest Earning Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans (1) | \$ | 479,207 | \$ | 7,169* | 5.98\% | \$ | 494,370 | \$ | 7,582* | 6.13 \% |
| Taxable securities |  | 24,758 |  | 275 | 4.44 |  | 35,823 |  | 486 | 5.43 |
| Tax-exempt securities |  | 23,826 |  | 366* | 6.14 |  | 25,296 |  | 402* | 6.36 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank Stock |  | 3,183 |  | - | - |  | 3,183 |  | - | - |
| Interest earning balances with banks |  | 35,992 |  | 29 | 0.32 |  | 51,113 |  | 43 | 0.34 |
| Total interest earning assets | \$ | 566,966 | \$ | 7,839 | 5.53\% | \$ | 609,785 | \$ | 8,513 | 5.58\% |
| Cash and due from banks |  | 11,006 |  |  |  |  | 10,791 |  |  |  |
| Bank premises and equipment (net) |  | 15,507 |  |  |  |  | 16,383 |  |  |  |
| Other real estate owned |  | 8,667 |  |  |  |  | 10,348 |  |  |  |
| Other assets |  | 44,326 |  |  |  |  | 36,125 |  |  |  |
| Allowance for credit losses |  | $(11,524)$ |  |  |  |  | $(10,223)$ |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ | 634,948 |  |  |  | \$ | 673,209 |  |  |  |

Interest Bearing Liabilities

| Savings and interest bearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| demand | $\$ 236,125$ | $\$$ | $(425)$ | $0.72 \%$ | $\$ 211,003$ | $\$$ | $(444)$ | $0.84 \%$ |
| Time deposits | 21,979 |  | $(1,125)$ | 2.12 | 276,317 | $(1,911)$ | 2.77 |  |
| Total deposits | 448,104 |  | $(1,550)$ | 1.38 | 487,320 | $(2,355)$ | 1.93 |  |
|  |  | 8 |  | - | - |  |  |  |
| Short-term borrowings | 21,261 | $(196)$ | 3.69 | 28,304 | $(260)$ | 3.67 |  |  |
| Long-term borrowings | 945 | $(15)$ | 6.35 | 995 | $(17)$ | 6.83 |  |  |
| Secured borrowings | 13,403 | $(127)$ | 3.79 | 13,403 | $(130)$ | 3.88 |  |  |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 35,617 | $(338)$ | 3.80 | 42,702 | $(407)$ | 3.81 |  |  |
| Total borrowings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Total interest-bearing liabilities $\$ 483,721 \quad \$ \quad(1,888) \quad 1.56 \% ~ \$ ~ 530,022 \quad \$ \quad(2,762) \quad 2.08 \%$

| Demand deposits |  | 87,066 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other liabilities |  | 4,129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shareholders' equity |  | 60,032 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ | 634,948 |  |  |  | \$ |  |  |  |
| Net interest income |  |  | \$ | 5,951* |  |  | \$ | 5,751* |  |
| Net interest spread |  |  |  |  | 4.20\% |  |  |  | 3.77\% |
| Net interest margin |  |  |  |  | 4.05\% |  |  |  | 3.63\% |
| Tax equivalent adjustment |  |  | \$ | 208* |  |  | \$ | 211* |  |
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* Tax equivalent basis $-34 \%$ tax rate used
(1) Interest income on loans includes loan fees of \$112 and \$256 in 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Nine Months Ended September 30,

| (dollars in thousands) | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average <br> Balance | Interest <br> Income <br> (Expense) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avg } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | Average Balance |  | Interest <br> Income <br> (Expense) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avg } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ |
| Interest Earning Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans (1) | \$ | 486,996 | \$ | 21,734* | 5.95\% | \$ | 502,193 | S | 22,677* | 6.02\% |
| Taxable securities |  | 26,782 |  | 976 | 4.86 |  | 34,217 |  | 1,448 | 5.64 |
| Tax-exempt securities |  | 24,685 |  | 1,136* | 6.14 |  | 24,700 |  | 1,176* | 6.35 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank Stock |  | 3,183 |  | - | - |  | 3,119 |  | - |  |
| Interest earning balances with banks |  | 37,016 |  | 92 | 0.33 |  | 34,241 |  | 71 | 0.28 |
| Total interest earning assets | \$ | 578,662 | \$ | 23,938 | 5.52\% | \$ | 598,470 | \$ | 25,372 | 5.65\% |
| Cash and due from banks |  | 10,442 |  |  |  |  | 10,455 |  |  |  |
| Bank premises and equipment (net) |  | 15,679 |  |  |  |  | 16,520 |  |  |  |
| Other real estate owned |  | 7,892 |  |  |  |  | 8,476 |  |  |  |
| Other assets |  | 43,798 |  |  |  |  | 31,459 |  |  |  |
| Allowance for credit losses |  | $(11,476)$ |  |  |  |  | $(8,898)$ |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ | 644,997 |  |  |  | \$ | 656,482 |  |  |  |

Interest Bearing Liabilities
Savings and interest bearing

| demand | $\$ 232,591$ | $\$$ | $(1,301)$ | $0.75 \%$ | $\$ 205,413$ | $\$$ | $(1,356)$ | $0.88 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Time deposits | 228,400 | $(3,817)$ | 2.23 | 269,330 | $(5,840)$ | 2.89 |  |  |
| Total deposits | 460,991 | $(5,118)$ | 1.48 | 474,743 | $(7,196)$ | 2.02 |  |  |
|  |  | 3 |  | - | - | 4,154 |  | $(26)$ |
| Short-term borrowings | 23,907 | $(656)$ | 3.66 | 33,736 | $(928)$ | 0.83 |  |  |
| Long-term borrowings | $(46)$ | 6.40 | 1,325 | $(59)$ | 5.94 |  |  |  |
| Secured borrowings958 | 13,403 | $(372)$ | 3.70 | 13,403 | $(413)$ | 4.11 |  |  |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 38,271 | $(1,074)$ | 3.74 | 52,618 | $(1,426)$ | 3.61 |  |  |
| Total borrowings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | $\$ 499,262$ | $\$(6,192)$ | $1.65 \%$ | $\$$ | 527,361 | $\$$ | $(8,622)$ | $2.18 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 74,795 |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits | 83,002 |  |  | 1,695 |  |  |  |  |
| Other liabilities | 4,154 |  |  | 52,631 |  |  |  |  |
| Shareholders' equity | 58,579 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Total liabilities and shareholders'
equity \$ 644,997
\$ 656,482
Net interest income \$ 17,746* \$ 16,750*
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| Net interest spread |  |  | $4.09 \%$ |  |  | $3.73 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Net interest margin |  |  | $3.95 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Tax equivalent adjustment | $\$$ | $621^{*}$ |  | $502 *$ |  |  |  |

* Tax equivalent basis - $34 \%$ tax rate used
(1) Interest income on loans includes loan fees of $\$ 408$ and $\$ 701$ in 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Interest and dividend income on a tax equivalent basis for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 decreased $\$ 684,000$ and $\$ 1,434,000$, or $8.03 \%$ and $5.65 \%$, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2009 . The decrease was primarily due to the decline in income earned on our loan portfolio as a result of lower average balances outstanding. Loans averaged $\$ 487.0$ million with an average yield of $5.95 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to average loans of $\$ 502.0$ million with an average yield of $6.02 \%$ for the same period in 2009. Interest and dividend income on investment securities on a tax equivalent basis for the nine months ended September 30,2010 decreased $\$ 512,000$, or $19.51 \%$, compared to the same period in 2009 . The decrease was attributable to the reduction in rates earned on adjustable rate mortgage-backed securities and the maturity and sale of higher yielding securities that cannot be replaced in the current low rate environment.

Average interest earning balances with banks for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 were $\$ 37.0$ million with an average yield of $0.33 \%$ compared to $\$ 34.2$ million with an average yield of $0.28 \%$ for the same period in 2009. The increase in average interest earning balances with banks is mostly due to the increase in cash balances resulting from deposit growth during the later part of 2009 and the sales of investment securities in 2010.

Interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 decreased $\$ 874,000$ and $\$ 2,430,000$, or $31.64 \%$ and $28.18 \%$, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2009. The decrease is primarily attributable to a decrease in rates paid on time certificates of deposits. Average interest-bearing deposit balances for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were $\$ 461.0$ million and $\$ 474.7$ million, respectively, with an average cost of $1.48 \%$ and $2.02 \%$, respectively. Due to regulatory rate restrictions on troubled institutions within our market area, the Company has seen local competitive rates decline steadily in 2010, particularly on time deposits.

Average borrowings for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 were $\$ 38.3$ million with an average cost of $3.74 \%$ compared to $\$ 52.6$ million with an average cost of $3.61 \%$ for the same period in 2009. The decrease in average borrowing balances outstanding is primarily due to the pay-off of $\$ 4.2$ million in average short-term borrowings and $\$ 9.8$ million in average long-term borrowings since September 30, 2009. The pay down in borrowings was funded by growth in lower cost demand, money market and savings accounts.

Provision and allowance for credit losses. The allowance for credit losses reflects management's current estimate of the amount required to absorb probable losses on loans in its loan portfolio based on factors present as of the end of the period. Loans deemed uncollectible are charged against, and reduce the allowance. Periodically, a provision for credit losses is charged to current expense. This provision acts to replenish the allowance for credit losses in order to maintain the allowance at a level that management deems adequate.

Periodic provisions for credit losses are made to maintain the allowance for credit losses at a level considered appropriate by management. The provisions are based on an analysis of various factors including historical loss experience based on volumes and types of loans, volumes and trends in delinquencies and non-accrual loans, trends in portfolio volume, results of internal and independent external credit reviews, and anticipated economic conditions. For additional information, please see the discussion under the heading "Critical Accounting Policy" in Item 7 of our 2009 10-K.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, provision for credit losses totaled $\$ 850,000$ and $\$ 2,850,000$, compared to $\$ 3,170,000$ and $\$ 8,544,000$ for the same periods in 2009 . The decrease in provision for credit losses in the current year is the result of decreases in non-performing loans outstanding from $\$ 16,815,000$ at September 30, 2009 compared to $\$ 6,590,000$ at September 30, 2010 and a decrease in charged off loans.

Estimated loss factors used in the allowance for credit loss analysis are established based in part on historic charge-off data by loan category and economic conditions. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the loss factors used in the allowance for credit losses were updated specifically on home equity lines of credit by $0.50 \%$ from $0.25 \%$ to $0.75 \%$ based upon increased charge-offs in this category within the last twelve to eighteen months. There were no changes to loss factors during the three months ended September 30, 2010.
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While credit quality has been problematic due to the prolonged downturn in the economy and unfavorable conditions in the residential real estate market, the Company believes that non-performing assets peaked during the second quarter of 2009 and have improved over the last four quarters. Credit quality indicators for the current and trailing 4 quarters are shown below:

| (dollars in thousands) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 30,2010 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 30,2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { March } \\ 31,2010 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 31,2009 \end{aligned}$ |  | September$30,2009$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans past due 30 days or more | \$ | 6,119 | \$ | 10,038 | \$ | 12,105 | \$ | 16,126 | \$ | 18,038 |
| \% of total loans |  | 1.3\% |  | 2.1\% |  | 2.5\% |  | 3.3\% |  | 3.7\% |
| Impaired loans |  | 10,358 |  | 14,619 |  | 24,729 |  | 25,738 |  | 29,398 |
| \% of total loans |  | 2.1\% |  | 3.0\% |  | 5.0\% |  | 5.2\% |  | 6.1\% |
| Non-performing assets |  | 16,279 |  | 17,531 |  | 22,944 |  | 22,859 |  | 27,008 |
| \% of total assets |  | 2.6\% |  | 2.7\% |  | 3.5\% |  | 3.4\% |  | 4.0\% |

Loans past due 30 days or more at September 30, 2010 improved during the quarter by $\$ 3,919,000$, or $39 \%$, to $\$ 6,119,000$ compared to $\$ 10,038,000$ at June 30, 2010. This represents $1.3 \%$ of total loans (including loans held for sale), compared to $2.1 \%$ at June 30, 2010, 3.3\% at December 31, 2009 and 3.7\% at September 30, 2009. Past due loans are considered a leading indicator for future problem loans.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, net charge-offs were $\$ 583,000$ and $\$ 2,431,000$ compared to $\$ 1,793,000$ and $\$ 4,587,000$ for the same periods in 2009. Net charge-offs for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 were $\$ 6,475,000$. Net charge-offs continue to be centered in the residential construction and land development portfolios, which accounted for approximately $\$ 1,457,000$, or $60 \%$, of total net charge-offs for the current year. The ratio of net charge-offs to average loans outstanding for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was $0.50 \%$ and $0.91 \%$, respectively.

At September 30, 2010, the allowance for credit losses was $\$ 11,511,000$ compared to $\$ 11,092,000$ at December 31, 2009, and $\$ 11,580,000$ at September 30, 2009. The increase in 2010 is due to provision for credit losses of $\$ 2,850,000$ which exceeded net charge-offs of $\$ 2,431,000$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The ratio of the allowance for credit losses to total loans outstanding (including loans held for sale) was $2.36 \%, 2.24 \%$ and $2.34 \%$, at September 30, 2010, December 31, 2009 and September 30, 2009, respectively. The allowance for credit losses is evaluated on a regular basis by management and is based upon management's periodic review of the collectability of the loans in light of historical experience, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of underlying collateral and prevailing economic conditions. The increase in the allowance for credit losses to total loans is reflective of management's review of qualitative factors including the continued uncertainty in the economy and financial industry, pervasive high unemployment rates in our geographic markets, and continued deterioration in real estate values, albeit at a slower pace than in the last two years.

The Company's loan portfolio includes a significant portion of government guaranteed loans which are fully guaranteed by the United States Government. Government guaranteed loans were $\$ 47,835,000, \$ 50,548,000$, and $\$ 46,003,000$ at September 30, 2010, December 31, 2009 and September 30, 2009, respectively. The ratio of allowance for credit losses to total loans outstanding excluding the government guaranteed loans was $2.62 \%, 2.50 \%$, and $2.58 \%$, respectively.
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There is no precise method of predicting specific credit losses or amounts that ultimately may be charged off. The determination that a loan may become uncollectible, in whole or in part, is a matter of significant management judgment. Similarly, the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses is a matter of judgment that requires consideration of many factors, including (a) economic conditions and the effect on particular industries and specific borrowers; (b) a review of borrowers' financial data, together with industry data, the competitive situation, the borrowers' management capabilities and other factors; (c) a continuing evaluation of the loan portfolio, including monitoring by lending officers and staff credit personnel of all loans which are identified as being of less than acceptable quality; (d) an in-depth review, at a minimum of quarterly or more frequently as considered necessary, of all loans judged to present a possibility of loss (if, as a result of such monthly analysis, the loan is judged to be not fully collectible, the carrying value of the loan is reduced to that portion considered collectible); and (e) an evaluation of the underlying collateral for secured lending, including the use of independent appraisals of real estate properties securing loans. An analysis of the adequacy of the allowance is conducted by management quarterly and is reviewed by the board of directors. Based on this analysis and applicable accounting standards, management considers the allowance for credit losses to be adequate at September 30, 2010.

Non-performing assets and other real estate owned. Non-performing assets totaled \$16,279,000 at September 30, 2010. This represents $2.57 \%$ of total assets, compared to $\$ 22,859,000$, or $3.42 \%$, at December 31, 2009, and $\$ 27,008,000$, or $3.96 \%$, at September 30, 2009. Construction and land development loans, including related OREO balances, continue to be the primary component of non-performing assets, representing $\$ 7,906,000$, or $48.6 \%$, of non-performing assets. There were no loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest at September 30, 2010. Loans past due ninety days or more and still accruing interest of $\$ 547,000$ and $\$ 793,000$ at December 31, 2009 and September 30, 2009, respectively, were made up almost entirely of loans that were fully guaranteed by United States government agencies. The following table presents information related to the Company's non-performing assets:

| SUMMARY OF NON-PERFORMING ASSETS (in thousands) | September 30, |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accruing loans past due 90 days or more | \$ | - | \$ | 547 | \$ | 793 |
| Non-accrual loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction, land development and other land loans |  | 2,299 |  | 9,886 |  | 11,090 |
| Residential real estate 1-4 family |  | 1,142 |  | 1,323 |  | 1,140 |
| Multi-family real estate |  | - |  | 353 |  |  |
| Commercial real estate |  | 1,613 |  | 2,949 |  | 2,156 |
| Farmland |  | 186 |  | 87 |  |  |
| Consumer |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Commercial and industrial |  | 1,350 |  | 1,049 |  | 1,636 |
| Total non-accrual loans (2) |  | 6,590 |  | 15,647 |  | 16,022 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total non-performing loans |  | 6,590 |  | 16,194 |  | 16,815 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OREO |  | 9,651 |  | 6,665 |  | 10,193 |
| Repossessed assets |  | 38 |  | - |  | - |
| Total Non-Performing Assets | \$ | 16,279 | \$ | 22,859 | \$ | 27,008 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for credit losses to non-performing loans |  | 174.67\% |  | 68.49\% |  | 68.87\% |
| Allowance for credit losses to non-performing assets |  | 70.71\% |  | 48.52\% |  | 42.88\% |
| Non-performing loans to total loans (1) |  | 1.41\% |  | 3.36\% |  | 3.46\% |
| Non-performing assets to total assets |  | 2.57\% |  | 3.42\% |  | 3.96\% |
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(1)
excludes loans held for sale
(2) Includes $\$ 1,025,000$ in non-accrual troubled debt restructured loans ("TDRs") as of September 30, 2010, and are also considered impaired loans. There were no TDRS as of December 31, 2009 or September 30, 2009.

Non-performing loans decreased $\$ 9,604,000$, or $59.3 \%$, from the balance at December 31,2009 due to transfers to OREO upon foreclosure. The decrease in non-performing loans was mostly in the construction and land development and commercial real estate categories. The transfer of loans to OREO was partially offset by sales of OREO during 2010, resulting in an overall decrease in non-performing assets. While non-performing assets are improving, the level of non-performing assets is still considered elevated by historical standards and reflects the continued weakness in the real estate market. The Company continues to aggressively monitor and identify non-performing assets and take action based upon available information. The Company will continue to reevaluate non-performing assets over the coming months as market conditions change. Currently, it is our practice to obtain new appraisals on non-performing collateral dependent loans and/or OREO every six to nine months. Based upon the appraisal review for non-performing loans, the Company will record the loan at the lower of cost or market (less costs to sell) by recording a charge-off to the allowance for credit losses or by designating a specific reserve per accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Generally, the Company will record the charge-off rather than designate a specific reserve. As a result, the carrying amount of non-performing loans may not exceed the estimated value of the underlying collateral. This process enables the Company to update its reserve for non-performing loans within the allowance for credit losses.

Other real estate owned at September 30, 2010 totaled $\$ 9,651,000$ and is made up as follows: nine land or land development properties totaling $\$ 4,007,000$, two residential construction properties totaling $\$ 1,600,000$, six commercial real estate properties totaling $\$ 3,261,000$, and three residential single family residences valued at $\$ 783,000$. The balances are recorded at the estimated net realizable value of the real estate less selling costs. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company sold ten properties totaling $\$ 3,506,000$, which was offset by the addition of sixteen new properties totaling $\$ 7,056,000$.

Non-interest income and expense. Non-interest income for the three months ended September 30, 2010 increased slightly by $\$ 27,000$, or $1.4 \%$, compared to the same period in 2009 . The increase is mostly attributable to increases in services charges on deposits and interchange revenue on debit cards which is included in other operating income, which was partially offset by a decrease in gain on sales of investments. Non-interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 decreased $\$ 316,000$, or $4.9 \%$, compared to the same period in 2009 due primarily to a decrease in the gain on sales of loans. Gain on sales of loans, the largest component of non-interest income, totaled $\$ 1,010,000$ and $\$ 1,028,000$ for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, and $\$ 2,859,000$ and $\$ 3,605,000$, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease for the three and nine month period is due to a decline in mortgage refinancing activity compared to 2009 when government incentive programs (including tax credits) and decreasing mortgage rates resulted in unprecedented new mortgage and refinance activity. However, with a decrease in long-term mortgage rates during the current quarter, mortgage refinance activity has increased compared to the prior quarter. Origination of loans held for sale were $\$ 56,584,000$ for the three months ended September 30, 2010, compared to $\$ 43,139,000$ for the prior three month period. Origination of loans held for sale were $\$ 141,541,000$ for the nine months ended September 30,2010 , compared to $\$ 220,515,000$ for the same period in 2009.
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Services charges on deposits for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased $\$ 37,000$, or $8.67 \%$, and $\$ 89,000$, or $7.1 \%$, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2009 . The increase is primarily the result of an automated overdraft privilege program that was implemented on April 1, 2010. However, with overdraft regulations requiring opt-in provisions effective in August, 2010, management does not expect future growth in overdraft revenue.

The Bank recorded gains on sale of securities available-for-sale of $\$ 0$ and $\$ 402,000$, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, compared to $\$ 116,000$ and $\$ 419,000$ for the same periods in 2009. Gain on sale of OREO totaled $\$ 19,000$ and $\$ 273,000$ during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, compared to zero for the same periods in the prior year.

Total non-interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 decreased $\$ 738,000$ and $\$ 2,901,000$ compared to the same periods in 2009 . The decrease was largely due to a decline in OREO write-downs which totaled $\$ 73,000$ and $\$ 564,000$ for the current three and nine month periods, respectively, compared to $\$ 22,000$ and $\$ 2,539,000$ for the same periods in 2009, which was partially offset by an increase in OREO operating costs. OREO operating costs for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 totaled $\$ 425,000$ compared to $\$ 303,000$ one year ago.

Salaries and employee benefits for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, were flat at $\$ 3,378,000$ and $\$ 3,366,000$, respectively. Salaries and employee benefits for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, decreased $\$ 402,000$, or $3.9 \%$, compared to the same period in 2009 . The decrease is largely due to a reduction in commissions paid on mortgage loans sold due to a decline in the volume of loans sold in the secondary market. Additionally, the prior year amount included severance paid in connection with a workforce reduction in January 2009. Full time equivalent employees at September 30, 2010 were 214 compared to 218 at December 31, 2009.

Income taxes. The federal income tax expense (benefit) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was $\$ 98,000$ and $\$(60,000)$, and $\$(952,000)$ and $\$(3,352,000)$, respectively. The effective tax rate for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 was $17.0 \%$ and (3.9)\%, respectively. The effective tax rate differs from the statutory rate of $34.4 \%$ due to tax exempt income representing an increasing share of income as investments in municipal securities and loans, income earned on BOLI, and tax credits received on investments in low income housing partnerships remained at historical levels, while other earnings declined sharply.

## Financial Condition

Assets. Total assets were $\$ 634,278,000$ at September 30, 2010, a decrease of $\$ 34,348,000$, or $5.14 \%$, over year-end 2009. Decreases in federal funds sold and interest bearing cash, investments available-for-sale and loans were the primary contributors to overall asset decline.

Investments. The investment portfolio provides the Company with an income alternative to loans. The Company's investment portfolio at September 30, 2010 was $\$ 50,204,000$ compared to $\$ 61,126,000$ at the end of 2009 , a decrease of $\$ 10,922,000$, or $17.87 \%$. During 2010, the Company sold $\$ 15.3$ million in securities for a gain of $\$ 402,000$. The proceeds from sales of investment securities were mostly reinvested in interest bearing deposits with banks which were used to fund the paydown of liabilities. Additionally, during 2010, the Company transferred a $\$ 5$ million investment in a money market mutual fund to interest bearing deposits in banks in order to improve the rate of return on short-term cash.

Loans. Total loans, including loans held for sale, were $\$ 486,924,000$ at September 30, 2010, a decrease of $\$ 7,711,000$, or $1.56 \%$, compared to December 31, 2009. The reduction in total loans was driven primarily by a decrease in construction and land development loans of $\$ 12,197,000$ through a combination of loan payoffs and pay-downs, coupled with the sale of $\$ 5,019,000$ in government guaranteed loans for a gain of $\$ 210,000$. The reduction in the construction and land development loans is a reflection of management's continued strategy to shrink the loan portfolio in this category and also in part due to the significant weakness in the residential housing market in our market areas. The decrease in construction and land development loans was partially offset by an increase in residential 1-4 family real estate loans and owner occupied commercial real estate loans. During the three month ended September 30, 2010 total loans increased $\$ 5,094,000$, primarily in the residential 1-4 family category. Loan detail by category, including loans held for sale, as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 follows (in thousands):

|  | September 30, <br> 2010 | December 31, <br>  <br> Commercial and industrial <br> Real estate: |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Construction and land development | 90,836 | $\$$ | 93,125 |
| Residential 1-4 family | 52,615 | 64,812 |  |
| Multi-family | 101,416 | 91,821 |  |
| Commercial real estate - owner occupied | 9,058 | 8,605 |  |
| Commercial real estate - non owner occupied | 106,950 | 105,663 |  |
| Farmland | 94,932 | 99,521 |  |
| Installment | 22,934 | 22,824 |  |
| Less unearned income | 9,040 | 9,145 |  |
| Total Loans | $(857)$ | $(881)$ |  |
| Allowance for credit losses | 486,924 | 494,635 |  |
|  |  | 11,511 | 11,092 |
| Net Loans | $\$$ | 475,413 | $\$$ |

Interest and fees earned on our loan portfolio is our primary source of revenue. Gross loans represented $77 \%$ of total assets as of September 30, 2010, compared to $74 \%$ at December 31, 2009. The majority of the Company's loan portfolio is comprised of commercial and industrial loans and real estate loans. The commercial and industrial loans are a diverse group of loans to small, medium, and large businesses for purposes ranging from working capital needs to term financing of equipment.

The commercial real estate loan category constitutes $41 \%$ of our loan portfolio and generally consists of a wide cross-section of retail, small office, warehouse, and industrial type properties. Loan to value ratios for the Company's commercial real estate loans at origination generally do not exceed $75 \%$ and debt service ratios are generally $125 \%$ or better. While we have significant balances within this lending category, we believe that our lending policies and underwriting standards are sufficient to reduce risk even in a downturn in the commercial real estate market. Additionally, this is a sector in which we have significant long-term management experience.

We remain aggressive in managing our construction loan portfolio and continue to be successful at reducing our overall exposure in the residential construction and land development segments. While these segments have historically played a significant role in our loan portfolio, balances are declining. Construction and land development loans represent $10.8 \%$ and $13.1 \%$, respectively, of our loan portfolio at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. We believe both of these segments will remain challenged during 2010 and into 2011.

The Bank is not engaging in new land acquisition and development financing. Limited residential speculative construction financing is being provided for a very select and small group of borrowers, which is designed to facilitate exit from the related loans. It was the Company's strategic objective to reduce concentrations in land and residential construction and total commercial real estate below the regulatory hurdles of $100 \%$ and $300 \%$ of risk based capital, respectively, which was completed in the first quarter of 2010. As of September 30, 2010, concentration in commercial real estate as a percentage of risk-based capital stood at $234 \%$ and concentration in land and residential construction as a percentage of risk based capital was $63 \%$.

Deposits. Total deposits were $\$ 534,239,000$ at September 30, 2010, a decrease of $\$ 33,456,000$ or $5.89 \%$, compared to December 31, 2009. Deposit detail by category as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 follows (in thousands):

|  | September 30, <br> 2010 | December 31, <br> 2009 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Demand, non-interest bearing | $\$ 0,537$ | $\$$ | 86,046 |
| Interest bearing demand | 102,525 | 91,968 |  |
| Money market | 85,346 | 86,260 |  |
| Savings | 53,031 | 51,053 |  |
| Time, interest bearing | 202,800 | 252,368 |  |
| Total deposits |  |  |  |

Non-interest bearing demand deposits increased $\$ 4,491,000$, or $5.2 \%$, which is consistent with the cyclical pattern of our deposits for our tourist heavy locations in which balances typically reach their highest point in the third quarter of the year. Interest bearing demand deposits increased $\$ 10,557,000$, or $11.5 \%$, due to the continued shift by customers into NOW accounts in order to participate in the United States Treasury Department's Transaction Account Guaranty Program. Money market accounts decreased $\$ 914,000$, or $1.1 \%$, which was more than offset by an increase in savings accounts of $\$ 1,978,000$, or $3.9 \%$.

Time deposits decreased $\$ 49,568,000$, or $19.6 \%$, due to a combination of decreases in brokered deposits of $\$ 28,185,000$ and decreases in retail time deposits of $\$ 21,383,000$, which was partially offset by increases in other lower cost core deposit categories. The decrease in retail deposits is due to our commitment to maintain a disciplined pricing strategy. As a result, we have experienced a decline in retail time deposits as rates paid on the Company's time deposits are less than the Washington state average, resulting in decreases in balances for rate sensitive customers. Due to an excess liquidity position at year-end 2009, the Company planned to roll off brokered deposits as they came due, of which $\$ 28$ million matured in 2010 to date. Remaining maturities are as follows: 2010-\$7,051,000; and 2011 - \$25,700,000.
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It is our strategic goal to grow core deposits through the quality and breadth of our branch network, increased brand awareness, superior sales practices and competitive rates. In the long-term we anticipate continued growth in our core deposits through both the addition of new customers and our current client base. We have established and expanded a branch system to serve our consumer and business depositors. In addition, management's strategy for funding asset growth as opportunities arise may include use of brokered and other wholesale deposits on an as-needed basis.

Liquidity. We believe adequate liquidity continues to be available to accommodate fluctuations in deposit levels, fund operations, provide for customer credit needs, and meet obligations and commitments on a timely basis. The Bank's primary sources of funds are customer deposits, maturities of investment securities, loan sales, loan repayments, net income, and other borrowings. When necessary, liquidity can be increased by taking advances from credit available to the Bank. The Bank believes it has a strong liquidity position at September 30, 2010, with $\$ 36.1$ million in cash and interest bearing deposits with banks. In addition the Bank has other sources of liquidity currently totaling \$223 million. The Bank maintains credit facilities with correspondent banks totaling $\$ 1,750,000$, of which none was used as of September 30, 2010. In addition, the Bank has a credit line with the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") of Seattle for up to $20 \%$ of assets, of which $\$ 21,000,000$ was used at September 30, 2010. Based on current pledged collateral, the Bank had $\$ 103$ million of available borrowing capacity on its line at the FHLB. The Bank also has a borrowing arrangement with the Federal Reserve Bank under the Borrower-in-Custody program. Under this program, the Bank has an available credit facility of $\$ 44$ million, subject to pledged collateral. For its funds, the Company relies on dividends from the Bank and, historically, proceeds from the issuance of trust preferred securities, both of which are used for various corporate purposes, including dividends. The Company does not expect the issuance of new trust preferred securities to be a source of liquidity in the future.

At September 30, 2010, two wholly-owned subsidiary grantor trusts established by the Company had issued and outstanding $\$ 13,403,000$ of trust preferred securities. During 2009, the Company elected to exercise the right to defer interest payments on trust preferred debentures. Under the terms of the indenture, the Company has the right to defer interest payments for up to twenty consecutive quarterly periods without going into default. During the period of deferral, the principal balance and unpaid interest will continue to bear interest as set forth in the indenture. In addition, the Company will not be permitted to pay any dividends or distributions on, or redeem or make a liquidation payment with respect to, any of the Company's common stock during the deferral period. As of September 30, 2010, deferred interest totaled $\$ 776,000$ and is included in accrued interest payable on the balance sheet.

For additional information regarding trust preferred securities, see the 2009 10-K under the heading "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity".

Capital. Total shareholders' equity was $\$ 60,406,000$ at September 30, 2010, an increase of $\$ 2,757,000$, or $4.8 \%$, compared to December 31, 2009. The Federal Reserve and the FDIC have established minimum guidelines that mandate risk-based capital requirements for bank holding companies and member banks. Under the guidelines, risk percentages are assigned to various categories of assets and off-balance sheet items to calculate a risk-adjusted capital ratio. Regulatory minimum risk-based capital guidelines under the Federal Reserve require Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of $4 \%$ and total capital to risk-weighted assets of $8 \%$ to be considered adequately capitalized. To qualify as well capitalized under the FDIC, banks must have a Tier 1 leverage ratio of $5 \%$, a Tier 1 risk-based ratio of $6 \%$, and a Total risk-based capital ratio of $10 \%$. Failure to qualify as well capitalized can negatively impact a bank's ability to expand and to engage in certain activities.
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The Company and the Bank qualify as well capitalized at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 as demonstrated in the table below.

|  | Company |  | Bank |  | Requirements |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | September $\text { 30, } 2010$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } \\ 31,2009 \end{gathered}$ | September $\text { 30, } 2010$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } \\ 31,2009 \end{gathered}$ | Adequately Capitalized | Well <br> Capitalized |
| Tier 1 leverage ratio | 9.82\% | 9.06\% | 9.88\% | 9.03\% | 4\% | 5\% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio | 12.93\% | 11.84\% | 13.03\% | 11.81\% | 4\% | 6\% |
| Total risk-based capital ratio | 14.20\% | 13.10\% | 14.30\% | 13.07\% | 8\% | 10\% |

The Company and the Bank are subject to certain restrictions on the payment of dividends without prior regulatory approval.

Goodwill Valuation. Goodwill is assigned to reporting units for purposes of impairment testing. The Company has one reporting unit, the Bank, for purposes for purposes of computing goodwill. The Company performs an annual review in the second quarter of each fiscal year, or more frequently if indications of potential impairment exist, to determine if the recorded goodwill is impaired. During the third quarter, the Company updated its annual assessment for potential impairment of goodwill.

A significant amount of judgment is involved in determining if an indicator of impairment has occurred. Such indicators may include, among others; a significant decline in expected future cash flows; a sustained, significant decline in our stock price and market capitalization; a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate; adverse assessment or action by a regulator; and unanticipated competition. Any adverse change in these factors could have a significant impact on the recoverability of such assets and could have a material impact on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

The goodwill impairment test involves a two-step process. The first step is a comparison of the reporting unit's fair value to its carrying value. The Company estimates fair value using the best information available, including market information and a discounted cash flow analysis, which is also referred to as the income approach. The income approach uses a reporting unit's projection of estimated operating results and cash flows that is discounted using a rate that reflects current market conditions. The projection uses management's best estimates of economic and market conditions over the projected period including growth rates in loans and deposits, estimates of future expected changes in net interest margins and cash expenditures. The market approach estimates fair value by applying cash flow multiples to the reporting unit's operating performance. The multiples are derived from comparable publicly traded companies with similar operating and investment characteristics of the reporting unit. We validate our estimated fair value by comparing the fair value estimates using the income approach to the fair value estimates using the market approach.

As part of our process for performing the step one impairment test of goodwill, the Company estimated the fair value of the reporting unit utilizing the allocation of corporate value approach, the income approach and the market approach in order to derive an enterprise value of the Company. The allocation of corporate value approach applies the aggregate market value of the Company and divides it among the reporting units. A key assumption in this approach is the control premium applied to the aggregate market value. A control premium is utilized as the value of a company from the perspective of a controlling interest is generally higher than the widely quoted market price per share. The Company used an expected control premium of $30 \%$, which was based on comparable transactional history.

Assumptions used by the Company in its discounted cash flow model (income approach) included an average annual revenue growth rate that approximated $2 \%$, a net interest margin that ranged from $3.84 \%$ to $3.96 \%$ and a return on assets that ranged from $0.3 \%$ to $0.6 \%$. In addition to utilizing the above projections of estimated operating results, key assumptions used to determine the fair value estimate under the income approach was the discount rate of 15.0 percent utilized for our cash flow estimates and a terminal value estimated at 1.4 times the ending book value of the reporting unit. The Company used a build-up approach in developing the discount rate that included: an assessment of the risk free interest rate, the rate of return expected from publicly traded stocks, the industry the Company operates in and the size of the Company.

In applying the market approach method, the Company considered all publicly traded companies within the banking industry in Washington and Oregon with total assets less than $\$ 5$ billion. This resulted in selecting seven publicly traded comparable institutions which were analyzed based on a variety of financial metrics (tangible equity, leverage ratio, return on assets, return on equity, net interest margin, nonperforming assets, net charge-offs, and reserves for loan losses) and other relevant qualitative factors (geographical location, lines of business, business model, risk profile, availability of financial information, etc.) After selecting comparable institutions, the Company derived the fair value of the reporting unit by completing a comparative analysis of the relationship between their financial metrics listed above and their market values utilizing various market multiples. Focus was placed on the price to tangible book value of equity multiple as this multiple generally reflects returns on the capital employed within the industry and is generally correlated with the profitability of each individual company.

The Company concluded a fair value of its reporting unit of $\$ 66.0$ million, by equally weighting the values derived from 1) the corporate value approach of $\$ 66.2$ million, 2) the income approach of $\$ 67.0$ million, and 3 ) the market approach of $\$ 66.0$ million; compared to a carrying value of its reporting unit of $\$ 70.4$ million. Based on the results of the step one goodwill impairment analysis, the Company determined the second step must be performed.

In the second step the Company calculates the implied fair value of its reporting unit. If the carrying amount of the goodwill is greater than the implied fair value of that goodwill, an impairment loss must be recognized in an amount equal to that excess. The implied fair value of the reporting unit is determined in the same manner as goodwill recognized in a business combination by estimating the value of each asset and liability as if it had been newly acquired. Under the step two goodwill impairment analysis, the Company calculated the fair value for its unrecognized core deposit intangible, as well as the remaining assets and liabilities of the reporting unit. Significant adjustments were made to the fair value of the Company's loans receivable compared to its recorded value. Key assumptions used in its fair value estimate of loans receivable was the discount for comparable loan sales. The Company used a weighted average discount rate that approximated the discount for similar loan sales by the FDIC during the past year. The Company segregated its loan portfolio into six categories, including performing loans and criticized loans. The weighted average discount rates for these individual categories ranged from $5 \%$ (for performing loans) to $90 \%$ (for criticized loans classified as doubtful). The calculated implied fair value of the Company's goodwill totaled $\$ 39.8$ million and exceeded the carrying value by $\$ 28.5$ million, or $252 \%$. Based on results of the step two impairment test, the Company determined no impairment charge of goodwill was required.
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Even though the Company determined that there was no goodwill impairment, continued declines in the value of our stock price as well as values of others in the financial industry, declines in revenue for the Bank beyond our current forecasts and significant adverse changes in the operating environment for the financial industry may result in a future impairment charge. It is possible that changes in circumstances existing at the measurement date or at other times in the future, or in the numerous estimates associated with management's judgments, assumptions and estimates made in assessing the fair value of our goodwill, could result in an impairment charge of a portion or all of our goodwill. If the Company recorded an impairment charge, its financial position and results of operations would be adversely affected, however, such an impairment charge would have no impact on our liquidity, operations or regulatory capital.

## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest rate, credit, and operations risks are the most significant market risks that affect the Company's performance. The Company relies on loan review, prudent loan underwriting standards, and an adequate allowance for possible credit losses to mitigate credit risk.
An asset/liability management simulation model is used to measure interest rate risk. The model produces regulatory oriented measurements of interest rate risk exposure. The model quantifies interest rate risk by simulating forecasted net interest income over a 12 -month time period under various interest rate scenarios, as well as monitoring the change in the present value of equity under the same rate scenarios. The present value of equity is defined as the difference between the market value of assets less current liabilities. By measuring the change in the present value of equity under various rate scenarios, management is able to identify interest rate risk that may not be evident from changes in forecasted net interest income.

The Company is currently asset sensitive, meaning that interest earning assets mature or re-price more quickly than interest-bearing liabilities in a given period. Therefore, a significant increase in market rates of interest could improve net interest income. Conversely, a decreasing rate environment may adversely affect net interest income.

It should be noted that the simulation model does not take into account future management actions that could be undertaken should actual market rates change during the year. Also, the simulation model results are not exact measures of the Company's actual interest rate risk. They are only indicators of rate risk exposure based on assumptions produced in a simplified modeling environment designed to heighten sensitivity to changes in interest rates. The rate risk exposure results of the simulation model typically are greater than the Company's actual rate risk. That is due to the conservative modeling environment, which generally depicts a worst-case situation. Management has assessed the results of the simulation reports as of June 30, 2010 and believes that there has been no material change since December 31, 2009.

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company's disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information the Company must disclose in its reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported on a timely basis. Our management has evaluated, with the participation and under the supervision of our chief executive officer ("CEO") and chief financial officer ("CFO"), the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded that, as of such date, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that information relating to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, required to be disclosed in reports that it files under the Exchange Act is (1) recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and (2) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

No change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting occurred during our last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS
Not applicable.
ITEM 1A.

## RISK FACTORS

There has been no material change from the risk factors previously reported in the 2009 10-K.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

In January 2008, the Company's board of directors approved a share repurchase program authorizing the purchase of up to 150,000 shares of its common stock. There were no purchases of common stock by the Company during the quarter ended September 30, 2010. We have no current intention to purchase stock under our share repurchase program during 2010.

ITEM 3.
DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES
None.

ITEM 4.
ITEM 5.
[Reserved]
OTHER INFORMATION

None.
ITEM 6.
EXHIBITS
See Exhibit Index immediately following signatures below.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORPORATION

DATED: November 12, 2010

| By: | /s/ Dennis A. Long <br> Dennis A. Long <br> Chief Executive Officer |
| :--- | :--- |
| By: | /s/ Denise Portmann <br> Denise Portmann <br> Chief Financial Officer |
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## EXHIBIT INDEX

## EXHIBIT NO. EXHIBIT

$31.1 \quad$ Certification of CEO under Rule 13a - 14(a) of the Exchange Act.
31.2

32 Certification of CFO under Rule 13a - 14(a) of the Exchange Act. Certification of CEO and CFO under 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

