RCM TECHNOLOGIES INC Form DEFA14A November 12, 2013

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant X

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant O

Check the appropriate box:

o Preliminary Proxy Statement

o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

o Definitive Proxy Statement x Definitive Additional Materials o Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

RCM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Copies to:

Justin W. Chairman, Esq. Keith E. Gottfried, Esq.

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Alston & Bird LLP

1701 Market Street 950 F. Street, N.W.

Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921 Washington, DC 20004-1404

(215) 963-5061 (202) 239-3679

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

x No fee required.

(3)

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to

Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated

and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule the date of its filing.			
(1)	Amount Previously Paid:		
(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:		

(4) Date Filed:

On November 11, 2013, RCM Technologies, Inc., a Nevada corporation (\underline{RCM} or the $\underline{Company}$), issued a press release in connection with its solicitation of proxies to be used at the Company s 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and at any and all adjournments or postponements thereof (the $\underline{2013 \text{ Annual Meeting}}$).

Press Release Issued on November 11, 2013

Attached hereto is RCM s press release, issued on November 11, 2013, in which RCM announced that it mailed a letter to stockholders commenting on the backgrounds and experience of the nominees that Legion Partners Asset Management, LLC and the other members of its dissident stockholder group (collectively, the <u>Legion Group</u>) have proposed for election to the RCM Board at the 2013 Annual Meeting and detailing why the RCM Board of Directors (the <u>RCM Board</u>) believes that such nominees should not be elected to the RCM Board. As previously announced, the Legion Group is pursuing a proxy contest to elect two nominees to the RCM Board at the 2013 Annual Meeting to be held on Thursday, December 5, 2013, at 6:00 p.m., local time, at the offices of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. RCM has established October 18, 2013 as the record date for stockholders entitled to vote at the 2013 Annual Meeting. The RCM Board strongly urges stockholders to vote the **WHITE** proxy card provided by RCM and reject the nominees presented by the Legion Group.

Additional Information and Where to Find It

RCM, its directors and certain of its executive officers and employees are deemed to be participants in the solicitation of proxies from RCM s stockholders in connection with the 2013 Annual Meeting. RCM filed a definitive proxy statement with the SEC on October 30, 2013 (the <u>RCM Proxy Statement</u>) in connection with the solicitation of proxies for the 2013 Annual Meeting.

STOCKHOLDERS ARE URGED TO READ THE RCM PROXY STATEMENT (INCLUDING ANY AMENDMENTS OR SUPPLEMENTS THERETO) AND ANY OTHER RELEVANT DOCUMENTS THAT RCM WILL FILE WITH THE SEC WHEN THEY BECOME AVAILABLE BECAUSE THEY WILL CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFORMATION. Additional information regarding the identity of these participants and their direct or indirect interests, by security holdings or otherwise, is set forth in the RCM Proxy Statement filed with the SEC in connection with the 2013 Annual Meeting. To the extent holdings of RCM securities have changed since the amounts shown in the RCM Proxy Statement, such changes have been or will be reflected on Statements of Change in Ownership on Form 4 filed with the SEC.

Stockholders can obtain, free of charge, copies of the RCM Proxy Statement and any other documents filed by RCM with the SEC in connection with the 2013 Annual Meeting at the SEC s website (www.sec.gov), at RCM s website (www.rcmt.com) or by writing to Mr. Kevin Miller, Chief Financial Officer, RCM Technologies, Inc., 2500 McClellan Avenue, Suite 350, Pennsauken, NJ 08109. In addition, copies of the proxy materials may be requested from RCM s proxy solicitor, MacKenzie Partners, Inc., 105 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016 or toll-free at (800) 322-2885.

RCM Technologies, Inc. 2500 McClellan Avenue Pennsauken, NJ 08109 Tel: 856.356.4500 Fax: 856.356.4600 info@rcmt.com Corporate Contacts:
Leon Kopyt
Chairman, President & CEO
Kevin D. Miller
Chief Financial Officer

PRESS RELEASE

RCM ISSUES LETTER TO STOCKHOLDERS ON WHY

THE LEGION GROUP S NOMINEES WOULD BE WRONG FOR RCM

Recommends Stockholders Vote White Proxy Card To

Support the RCM Board of Directors Highly Qualified and Very Experienced Nominees

Pennsauken, NJ November 11, 2013 RCM Technologies, Inc. (NasdaqGM: RCMT), a premier provider of business and technology solutions designed to enhance and maximize the operational performance of its customers through the adaptation and deployment of advanced information technology, engineering and specialty healthcare services, today announced that it has mailed a letter to stockholders commenting on the problematic backgrounds and experience of the nominees that the Legion Group has proposed for election to the RCM Board at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and stating why RCM believes that such nominees should not be elected to the RCM Board. As previously announced, the Legion Group is a dissident stockholder group that is pursuing a proxy contest to elect its two handpicked nominees to the RCM Board at the 2013 Annual Meeting to be held on Thursday, December 5, 2013, at 6:00 p.m., local time, at the offices of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. RCM has established October 18, 2013 as the record date for stockholders entitled to vote at the 2013 Annual Meeting.

RCM s Board of Directors unanimously recommends that stockholders vote to elect RCM s highly-qualified and very experienced director nominees **Robert B. Kerr and Michael E.S. Frankel** by voting the **WHITE** proxy card by telephone, Internet or mail.

RCM strongly urges stockholders to vote only the <u>WHITE</u> proxy card and discard the gold proxy card they may receive from the dissident stockholder group led by Legion Partners Asset Management LLC.

RCM is being advised in connection with the proxy contest by Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP and Alston & Bird LLP. MacKenzie Partners, Inc. is serving as RCM s proxy solicitor.

The full text of RCM s letter to stockholders is included below:
Dear Fellow RCM Stockholder:
We have previously mailed you management s proxy materials for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of RCM Technologies, Inc. to be held on December 5, 2013. Since this is an important meeting, we encourage you to read these materials carefully. As you are aware, a dissident

stockholder group led by Legion Partners Asset Management, LLC is waging a proxy contest against RCM in an effort to have two of its handpicked nominees, Bradley A. Vizi and Roger H. Ballou, elected to your Board. As you decide how to vote at the upcoming 2013 Annual

Meeting, we ask you to consider the

3

C 11		
toll	owing	question:

WHY DOES YOUR BOARD BELIEVE THAT THE LEGION GROUP S NOMINEES ARE

VERY WRONG FOR RCM AND MAY HAVE AGENDAS NOT ALLIGNED

WITH THE BEST INTERESTS OF ALL OUR STOCKHOLDERS?

Your Board believes the two Legion Group nominees are highly problematic candidates for service on your Board, and stockholders should question the judgment of the Legion Group in putting forth such nominees. While the Legion Group will presumably argue that our concerns are nothing more than personal attacks, we believe that understanding the backgrounds and experience of the Legion Group s nominees is critical in assessing whether they are deserving of seats on your Board and would provide additional value to your Board if elected.

WHO IS BRADLEY S. VIZI?

- Limited history: Mr. Vizi is a 29 year old fund manager and his fund, Legion Partners, is a small, three-year-old investment firm that is apparently run from Mr. Vizi s apartment.
 Mr. Vizi s first activist initiative at Legion Partners: This proxy contest against RCM appears to be Mr. Vizi s first and only publicly-disclosed activist initiative since joining Legion Partners.
- Mr. Vizi s lack of experience:
- Mr. Vizi has no public company board or management experience.
- Mr. Vizi has no experience overseeing management, directly developing or implementing strategies to enhance long-term stockholder value, or fulfilling the important fiduciary duties owed to stockholders by the directors of a public company.
- Mr. Vizi has no experience in the information technology, engineering or health care industry.
- Mr. Vizi s lack of skin in the game:

•	Mr. Vizi is telling stock	cholders that, if elected to you	r Board, he will have	skin in the game.	However, Mr. V	izi personally owns only	ÿ
1,000 sh	ares of RCM stock.						

- Your Board believes that Mr. Vizi is distorting facts in a way that may be misleading to some stockholders: In a letter to RCM stockholders issued on November 7, 2013, Mr. Vizi stated as follows: Mr. Vizi is a seasoned investor with extensive experience successfully allocating capital, designing and assessing executive compensation plans, and working with Boards to implement corporate governance best practices in both public and private markets. In addition, our group s 13.3% interest ensures perfect alignment with the Company s stockholder base.
- STOCKHOLDERS DESERVE THE TRUTH: With respect to Mr. Vizi s assertion that he is a *seasoned* investor, stockholders should note that Mr. Vizi is 29 and working at an investment firm apparently out of his apartment running a proxy fight against RCM with a personal stake in RCM of only 1,000 shares.

• STOCKHOLDERS DESERVE THE TRUTH: With respect to Mr. Vizi s assertion that he has extensive experience working with
Boards to implement corporate governance practices in both public and private markets, stockholders should note that Mr. Vizi has NEVER
BEEN A PUBLIC COMPANY BOARD MEMBER AND, ACCORDINGLY, HAS NEVER SERVED ON A BOARD S CORPORATE
GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE. Mr. Vizi also does not include on his resume ever having worked as a corporate governance consultant. In
addition, as you consider Mr. Vizi s knowledge on corporate governance matters, please be advised that he made it clear to us that, based on his
view of best practices, we should have delayed our implementation of your Board's declassification until 2014 which have allowed Messrs. Vizi
and Ballou to be elected to a three-year term and avoid having to stand for re-election until 2016, thus decreasing their accountability to
stockholders in contravention to what is generally considered by numerous corporate governance experts to be best practices in corporate
governance.

- STOCKHOLDERS DESERVE THE TRUTH: With respect to Mr. Vizi s assertion that he has extensive experience working designing and assessing executive compensation plans, stockholders should note that Mr. Vizi has NEVER BEEN A PUBLIC COMPANY BOARD MEMBER AND, ACCORDINGLY, HAS NEVER SERVED ON A BOARD S COMPENSATION COMMITTEE. Mr. Vizi also does not include on his resume ever having worked as an executive compensation consultant.
- STOCKHOLDERS DESERVE THE TRUTH: As you consider Mr. Vizi s assertion that he has perfect alignment with the Company s stockholder base, take into consideration that Mr. Vizi s economic risk in RCM is limited to 1,000 RCM shares. In addition, Legion Partners is a party to an investment advisory agreement with IRS Partners No. 19, L.P., another member of the Legion Group, which prevents Legion Partners as well as Mr. Vizi from purchasing any additional RCM shares.
- In further considering Mr. Vizi s suitability to serve on your Board, stockholders should consider that Mr. Vizi took the following actions in his discussions with RCM:
- *Mr. Vizi insisted he needed a hammer to use over your Board* Very early in our discussions with the Legion Group, we offered to nominate a representative of the Legion Group, as a significant stockholder, for a seat on your Board but Mr. Vizi adamantly insisted throughout our discussions with him that the Legion Group needed at least two seats so it would have a *hammer* to push its agenda in the boardroom and that he and his fellow nominee, Mr. Ballou, must be able to serve for a three-year term on your Board which potentially would have put the Legion Group in a position to acquire effective control of your Board by the time of our 2014 annual meeting.
- Mr. Vizi solicited our interest in discussing the sale of RCM to one or more members of the Legion Group and then shortly thereafter disavowed such interest Earlier this year, Mr. Vizi indicated to RCM s CEO, Leon Kopyt, that the Legion Group may be interested in exploring a transaction with RCM but shortly thereafter sought to disavow his comments and now falsely claims that such conversation never took place.

- Mr. Vizi s investment firm is party to an agreement that provides strong incentives for him to focus on creating a liquidity event for his client s RCM shares over the short-term horizon in a manner that could deprive RCM stockholders of their ability to receive maximum value for their shares Since the principals of Legion Partners only own an aggregate of 23,000 RCM shares (including Mr. Vizi s 1,000 shares), the bulk of Legion Partners compensation with respect to its activist activities against RCM would come from its investment advisory agreement with its customer, IRS Partners No. 19, L.P., and is tied to the occurrence of a liquidity event that allows Legion Partners client to realize a gain on their shares. The agreement thus provides significant economic incentive for Legion Partners and Mr. Vizi to seek a rapid sale of RCM, even if such sale would not maximize value for, or otherwise be in the best interests of, all stockholders. Also, Mr. Vizi s personal compensation is tied to the amount Legion Partners earns under this investment advisory contract, so he has further incentive to pursue a short-term gain and not a strategy to maximize value for stockholders.
- *Mr. Vizi demanded that he be immediately named Lead Independent Director* Notwithstanding his complete lack of experience serving as a public company board member, Mr. Vizi insisted during negotiations to avoid a proxy contest at the 2013 Annual Meeting that he be named as RCM s lead independent director. If appointed as the lead independent director, Mr. Vizi would have been able to exert substantial influence and control over the agendas that would be presented to and considered by your Board.
- Mr. Vizi has yet to provide us with his vision for the future of RCM or any specific inputs relating to any operational improvements or strategic initiatives to enhance value for all RCM stockholders. Despite our numerous interactions with Mr. Vizi, whether in-person, by telephone or by e-mail, to our surprise and contrary to what you would expect of an investor focused on long-term value creation, Mr. Vizi has never provided RCM with any detailed plans or proposals to enhance stockholder value. Even now as he is seeking to be elected to your Board, Mr. Vizi has not disclosed his vision for the future of RCM.
- Mr. Vizi insisted that RCM delay the declassification of your Board until the 2014 Annual Meeting While Mr. Vizi fashions himself as an authority on corporate governance issues, during settlement negotiations to avoid a proxy contest, Mr. Vizi insisted that RCM not declassify your Board until the 2014 Annual Meeting so that Messrs. Vizi and Mr. Ballou could be elected at the 2013 Annual Meeting for a three-year term and could avoid having to stand for re-election until 2016. Mr. Vizi has attempted to engage in historical revisionism on this matter, but the written correspondence and draft agreement we received from Mr. Vizi speak for themselves.
- *Mr. Vizi sought a \$250,000 payment from RCM to Legion Partners to avoid a proxy contest* During settlement negotiations Mr. Vizi insisted that Legion Partners be reimbursed up to \$250,000 for its expenses related to its activities against RCM.
- Mr. Vizi recently engaged in a fishing expedition looking for leverage to use over your Board Mr. Vizi recently sought to pressure your Board by unearthing a 15-year old immaterial disclosure error that he thought he could use to unduly impugn the integrity of an individual who has led RCM with steadfast dedication and commitment for over two decades and is largely responsible for our share price

having increased by approximately 587% during the five years ended October 30, 2013, dramatically outperforming the S&P 500 during that same period.

WHO IS ROGER H. BALLOU?

• Mr. Ballou s unsuccessful tenure leading publicly-traded Global Vacation Group, Inc.:	
• Stockholders should wonder why Mr. Vizi, in touting Mr. Ballou s experience in his November 7, 2013 letter, neglected to mention Mr. Ballou s experience at Global Vacation Group, Inc. where Mr. Ballou served as the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer fro April 1998 to September 2000.	m
 Mr. Ballou presided over the Global Vacation Group s IPO in 1998 and later that year the stock traded as high as \$15 per share. Mr. Ballou continued to lead this company as millions of dollars of stockholder value were eventually destroyed. 	
• In April 2000, the NYSE notified Global Vacation Group that it had fallen below the NYSE s continued listing standards.	
• During 2000, Global Vacation Group s stock traded down to a low of \$1 13/16.	
• On September 7, 2000, Mr. Ballou entered into an agreement with Global Vacation Group pursuant to which he agreed to resign that same date without good reason as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Global Vacation Group and received a six-figure severance payment. Subsequent to Mr. Ballou s resignation, Global Vacation Group reported in its Form 10-Q Quarterly Report to stockholders that, as of September 30, 2000, it had incurred operating losses year to date of approximately \$42 million and an accumulated deficit of approximately \$88 million.	
• In 2002, Global Vacation Group (then renamed Classic Vacation Group, Inc) announced that it would liquidate and public stockholders would receive \$0.26 per share and possibly a subsequent liquidation distribution, a long way down from a high of \$15 per share.	
Based on the above, in our view, it appears obvious why Mr. Vizi does not want to promote Mr. Ballou s unsuccessful tenure lead Global Vacation Group, Inc.	ling

Previously led CDI Corp.: Mr. Ballou is also the former President and Chief Executive Officer of CDI Corporation, a publicly-traded

company that is one of our major competitors.

•	Previous attempt to take control of RCM through a hostile takeover and at an inadequa	te price: While leading CDI,	Mr. Ballou
orchestrate	CDI s unsuccessful hostile takeover of RCM in 2010.		

• If Mr. Ballou had succeeded in his efforts to have CDI take control of RCM at the inadequate price offered, \$5.20 per share, he would have deprived stockholders of both (i) the approximately 25% increase in value that has been created at RCM since his efforts to take control of RCM failed, and (ii) the \$1.00 per share special cash dividend that was distributed to RCM stockholders in December 2012.

• Mr. Ballou s hostile approach caused RCM an unnecessary distraction and disruption and forced us to incur significant expenses to respond to CDI s unsolicited acquisition proposal. Mr. Ballou s actions also caused us to significantly delay our stock repurchases during the time we were deliberating over CDI s unsolicited acquisition proposal.
• Governmental investigations of CDI Corporation: During Mr. Ballou s tenure as CDI s President and CEO, CDI was the target of a number of governmental investigations that caused CDI to pay millions of dollars in settlements and fines.
• In 2009, the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) investigated CDI for potential claims against it under the U.S. False Claims Act. The claims stemmed from alleged mischarging of time on certain federal government projects. To resolve the matter, CDI eventually entered into a settlement with the DOJ and paid out \$2.4 million.
• Also in 2009, CDI was investigated by the United Kingdom s Office of Fair Trading for alleged anti-competitive behavior. Ultimately, the United Kingdom s Office of Fair Trading fined CDI \$12.3 million which was later reduced to \$2.5 million.
• Given the allegations that were made against CDI by the governments of the United States and the United Kingdom during Mr. Ballou s tenure leading CDI as its President and Chief Executive Officer, RCM believes that, were Mr. Ballou to be elected to your Board, RCM s efforts to grow its revenue from governmental entities, both in the United States and abroad, could be adversely affected.
• <i>Mr. Ballou s seven-figure compensation package at CDI:</i> As President and CEO of CDI, Mr. Ballou was highly-compensated, receiving during his last three full years at CDI, 2010, 2009 and 2008, total annual compensation of \$1,276,812, \$1,086,600 and \$1,347,807, respectively.
• <i>Mr. Ballou s departure from CDI Corporation:</i> In January 2011, less than a year after Mr. Ballou s failed hostile takeover for RCM, Mr. Ballou and CDI entered into an agreement for him to resign as CDI s President and CEO (and from his membership on the CDI Board) as soon as a successor CEO was identified. Following his resignation from CDI, Mr. Ballou received a six-figure sum for transition and consulting services.
• Is Mr. Ballou trying to further his previous efforts to obtain control of RCM?: RCM does not believe it is surprising that, given Mr. Ballou s previous unsuccessful efforts to acquire control of RCM and his subsequent separation from CDI, he would form a group with Legion Partners so as to further his previous efforts to obtain control of RCM. Stockholders should ask themselves (as do we) the following questions:
What is the historical connection between Mr. Rallou and Legion Partners?

- How it is that Legion Partners, a relatively new and unknown investment firm based on the west coast, would cross paths with former CDI executive Mr. Ballou, who is based on the east coast and who coincidentally made a failed hostile takeover of RCM? Who sought out whom and why?
- Given that there is nothing in the Legion Group s public disclosures that indicates that Mr. Ballou is being compensated to serve as one of its nominees, why has Mr.

8

Ballou, who personally owns only 5,000 RCM shares and is used to receiving multimillion dollar compensation packages, offered his time and services as an uncompensated nominee to Legion Partners?

- Given that Mr. Ballou has been without a CEO role since his departure from CDI in 2011, does Mr. Ballou have designs on other roles at RCM besides being a director, even though no such designs have been publicly disclosed? Will RCM be the next place where Mr. Ballou expects to receive, if the Legion Group is successful in eventually taking control of RCM, a multimillion dollar executive compensation package?
- Given Mr. Ballou s past, and very public, activities with regard to seeking control of RCM and trying to force RCM into selling itself to CDI, your Board is concerned that Mr. Ballou s presence on your Board could result in perceived uncertainties as to our future direction, instability and lack of continuity which may be exploited by our competitors, cause concern to our current or potential customers, may result in the loss of potential business opportunities and make it more difficult to attract and retain qualified personnel and business partners.

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT!

SUPPORT A BOARD THAT HAS A DEMONSTRATED RECORD OF CREATING AND RETURNING VALUE TO STOCKHOLDERS BY ELECTING YOUR BOARD S HIGHLY QUALIFIED AND EXPERIENCED NOMINEES

This proxy contest ultimately comes down to a simple choice electing either:

• RCM s highly-qualified and very experienced Board nominees who will allow RCM to continue to build on its strong record of increasing stockholder value by approximately 587% over the past five years (not including the \$1.00 per share special cash dividend that was distributed to RCM stockholders in December 2012) and, since 2010, returning close to \$20 million in value to our stockholders without compromising our strong balance sheet, financial flexibility and strategic growth trajectory;

<u>OR</u>

• The hand-picked, problematic nominees of a dissident stockholder group led by Legion Partners Asset Management LLC, an activist investor, which has no significant direct economic interest in RCM.

PROTECT THE VALUE OF YOUR INVESTMENT BY SIGNING, DATING

AND RETURNING THE WHITE PROXY CARD TODAY

To vote your shares, please vote TODAY by telephone, Internet or by signing, dating and returning the enclosed <u>WHITE</u> proxy card in the postage-paid envelope provided. You may also vote by phone or Internet by following the instructions on the enclosed proxy card. If you have any questions or need assistance in voting your <u>WHITE</u> proxy card, we encourage you to call our proxy solicitor, Mackenzie Partners, Inc., at (800) 322-2885 (Toll Free) or at (212) 929-5500.

Your Board strongly urges you NOT to sign or return any gold proxy card or voting instruction form that the Legion Group may send to you, even as a protest vote against the Legion Group or its

nominees. Even a WITHHOLD vote with respect to the Legion Group s nominees on its gold proxy card will cancel any previously submitted **WHITE** proxy card. If you do sign a gold proxy card sent to you by the Legion Group, however, you have the right to change your vote by using the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card. Only the latest dated proxy card you vote will be counted.

We appreciate your continued support as we work to protect your investment and continue creating value for all RCM stockholders. We look forward to communicating further with you in the coming weeks.

Sincerely,

/S/ LEON KOPYT

Leon Kopyt Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer

About RCM

RCM Technologies, Inc. is a premier provider of business and technology solutions designed to enhance and maximize the operational performance of its customers through the adaptation and deployment of advanced information technology and engineering services. RCM is an innovative leader in the delivery of these solutions to commercial and government sectors. RCM is also a provider of specialty healthcare services to major health care institutions and educational facilities. RCM s offices are located in major metropolitan centers throughout North America. Additional information can be found at www.rcmt.com.

Forward-Looking Statements

The Statements contained in this release that are not purely historical are forward-looking statements within the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and are subject to various risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause the Company s actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These statements often include words will, expect, anticipate, continue, estimate, project, intend, believe, plan, seek, could, should or addition, statements that are not historical should also be considered forward-looking statements. These statements are based on assumptions that we have made in light of our experience in the industry, as well as our perceptions of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors we believe are appropriate in these circumstances. Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, those relating to demand for the Company s services, expected demand for our services and expectations regarding our revenues, the Company s ability to continue to utilize goodwill, to continue to increase gross margins, to achieve and manage growth, to develop and market new applications and services, risks relating to the acquisition and integration of acquired businesses, the ability of the Company to consummate acquisitions as to which it executes non-binding letters of intent, demand for new services and applications, timing of demand for services, industry strength and competition and general economic factors. Such statements are based on current expectations that involve a number of known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, which may cause actual events to be materially different from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Risk, uncertainties and other factors may emerge from time to time that could cause the Company s actual results to differ from those indicated by the forward-looking statements. Investors are directed to consider such risks, uncertainties and other factors described in documents filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The

Company assumes no obligation (and expressly disclaims any such obligation) to update any forward-looking statements contained in this release as a result of new information or future events or developments, except as may be required by law.

Additional Information and Where to Find It

RCM, its directors and certain of its executive officers and employees are deemed to be participants in the solicitation of proxies from RCM s stockholders in connection with the 2013 Annual Meeting. RCM filed a definitive proxy statement and WHITE proxy card with the SEC in connection with the solicitation of proxies for the 2013 Annual Meeting on October 30, 2013 (the 2013 Proxy Statement). STOCKHOLDERS ARE URGED TO READ THE 2013 PROXY STATEMENT (INCLUDING ANY AMENDMENTS OR SUPPLEMENTS THERETO) AND ANY OTHER RELEVANT DOCUMENTS THAT RCM WILL FILE WITH THE SEC WHEN THEY BECOME AVAILABLE BECAUSE THEY WILL CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFORMATION. Additional information regarding the identity of these participants and their direct or indirect interests, by security holdings or otherwise, is set forth in the 2013 Proxy Statement. To the extent holdings of RCM s securities have changed since the amounts shown in the 2013 Proxy Statement, such changes have been or will be reflected on Statements of Change in Ownership on Form 4 filed with the SEC.

Stockholders can obtain, free of charge, copies of the 2013 Proxy Statement and any other documents filed by RCM with the SEC in connection with the 2013 Annual Meeting at the SEC s website (www.sec.gov), at RCM s website (www.rcmt.com) or by writing to Mr. Kevin D. Miller, Chief Financial Officer, RCM Technologies, Inc., 2500 McClellan Avenue, Suite 350, Pennsauken, NJ 08109. In addition, copies of the proxy materials, when available, may be requested from RCM s proxy solicitor, MacKenzie Partners, Inc., 105 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016 or toll-free at (800) 322-2885.