

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC  
Form 10-K  
April 01, 2013

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

Commission file number 0-27445

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.  
(Name of Small Business Issuer in its Charter)

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Idaho   | 83-0266517                              |
| (State or Other Jurisdiction of<br>Incorporation or Organization) | (I.R.S. Employer<br>Identification No.) |

821 NW 57th Place, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33309  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

(954) 958-9968  
(Issuer's Telephone Number)

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of Each Class | Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered |
|---------------------|---|
|                     | None                                      |

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

Common Stock, \$.001 par value  
(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined by Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232-405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and

post such files.) Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the Company's voting stock held by non-affiliates as of June 29, 2012 was approximately \$5,151,227.40 based on the average closing bid and asked prices of such stock on that date as quoted on the Over the-Counter Bulletin Board (\$0.1788).

There were 33,464,497 shares of common stock outstanding as of March 28, 2013.

---

## Table of Contents

|   |    |
|---|----|
| PART I.   | 3  |
| Item 1. Business  | 3  |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors   | 7  |
| Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments  | 7  |
| Item 2. Properties  | 7  |
| Item 3. Legal Proceedings   | 7  |
| Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures   | 8  |
| PART II.  | 8  |
| Item 5. Market for Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | 8  |
| Item 6. Selected Financial Data   | 8  |
| Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations           | 9  |
| Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk                                     | 14 |
| Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementing Data   | 14 |
| Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure            | 14 |
| Item 9A. Controls and Procedures  | 14 |
| Item 9B. Other Information  | 16 |
| PART III.   | 16 |
| Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance   | 16 |
| Item 11. Executive compensation   | 17 |
| Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters | 18 |
| Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence                      | 19 |
| PART IV.  | 20 |
| Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services   | 20 |
| Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Data Schedules  | 20 |

PART

I.

Item 1.

Business

Our History

Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc. (the “Company”) was incorporated in Idaho on October 19, 1964, under the name Idaho Silver, Inc. In May of 1996, we entered into an agreement and plan of reorganization with Florida Precision Aerospace, Inc., a privately held Florida corporation (“FPA”), and its shareholders. FPA was incorporated on February 26, 1993.

General

We believe we are emerging as a potential leader in the rapidly growing environmental and industrial separation industries. The Company has developed, patented and manufactures the Voraxial® Separator (“Voraxial® Separator” or “Voraxial®”), a proprietary technology that efficiently separates large volumes of liquid/liquid, liquid/solids or liquid/liquid/solids fluid mixtures with distinct specific gravities. Management believes this superior separation quality is achieved in real-time, and in much greater volumes, with a more compact, cost effective and energy efficient machine than any comparable product on the market today. Management believes the Voraxial fills a void in the market; specifically a real-time separation device that separates a large volume of liquids with a small footprint and without the need of a pressure drop. We believe the need for such a separation device overlaps many markets. These benefits result in significant cost savings to the customer, both acquisition and operating cost.

The Voraxial is capable of processing volumes as low as 3 gallons per minute as well as volumes over 5,000 gallons per minute with only one moving part. The Company believes that the Voraxial® technology can help protect the environment and its natural resources while simultaneously making numerous industries more productive and cost effective.

The size and efficiency advantages provided by the Voraxial® Separator to the end-user have provided us with a variety of market opportunities. We believe separation of contaminants from water is needed in virtually every industry, either in the manufacturing or production side of the business or in purifying the wastewater prior to discharge. Because of the advantages the Voraxial Separator offers the end user, the Voraxial can be used in many different markets. This allows the Company to pursue its core market, oil and gas exploration and production, while responding and selling product to customers in other markets, such as oil spill, mining, and municipal wastewater, among others.. The Company is focusing its sales activity in the oil & gas exploration and production market, which also includes the tar sands, Frac water, oil refineries and production facilities, both offshore and onshore applications.

We have generated limited revenues to date partially due to the time required to educate the market of a new separation method, partially because of insufficient funds to adequately market our product; and the time needed to secure and complete initial projects. However with the dissemination of the data from the initial successful projects, demand for the Voraxial is continuing to increase. Although our revenues decreased in 2012 as compared to 2011, we believe a majority of the decrease is a reflection of fluctuations in the timing of projects being consummated. We believe that our revenue growth will continue in 2013 and 2014. We are in various stages of negotiations with multiple customers interested in our Voraxial Separators and Voraxial Separator Systems. While we cannot provide any reasonable assurances, we believe a percentage of these negotiations will be consummated, resulting in increasing revenues to the Company.

We are receiving inquiries from customers in various industries. Even though customers from various industries can utilize the Voraxial, we are currently focused on developing market channels to penetrate the oil and gas exploration and production market and more specifically, the produced water market. The Company believes that revenues from this industry will continue to increase in 2013 and beyond. We have completed multiple projects to date with the Voraxial® Separators (both offshore platforms and onshore production facilities) including units to PDVSA, Occidental, Transocean, Tetra Technologies, ConocoPhillips, Repsol, and the US Navy. We are in dialogue with other companies to conduct similar projects in 2013 and 2014.

We also believe that our technology could have a significant impact on oil spill recovery. Following the 2010 Deepwater Horizon oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico we were included in British Petroleum RAT (Rapid Attack Team) Pack program. The "RAT Pack" program is a fleet of local commercial, shallow draft, nimble and fast moving vessels operating under the Vessels of Opportunity program.

During 2010, we finalized the development of the Submersible Voraxial Separator for oil spill recovery, which enables the operator to separate oil from water in the ocean. By conducting the separation in the ocean, management believes that vessels can skim ten times more oil since the amount of water collected in a vessel's holding tank is reduced by up to 90%. The collected oil will be discharged into a holding tank while the clean water remains in the ocean. This differs substantially from the current methods of skimming large volumes of oil/water mixture and then conducting the separation on vessel. Implementing this new method enables the vessels to process significantly more volume of skimmed oil/water mixture, collect more oil, capture a higher concentration of oil and remain in operation longer. We filed 3 patents related to oil spill recovery.

Due to the exposure from the various petroleum industry related trade shows and the initial sales, trials and demonstrations conducted over the past several years, the Company is now in discussions with various oil companies for purchase of units and to conduct additional trials. The Company is also in discussion with several oil service companies interested in developing a relationship with the company to market the Voraxial® Separator within the industry. We anticipate that some of these opportunities will materialize in 2013 and 2014.

EVTN has developed a turnkey system can be utilized in multiple niche applications in the oil industry including produced water, under-balanced drilling (UBD), deck water drainage, slopwater, FPSO and refinery markets. The system integrates the Voraxial Separator as a bulk separator, coalescers to increase the droplet size of the dispersed oil and filters for the secondary process for polishing. The system can also include other equipment such as flow meters, turbidity meters, oil monitors and chemical injection ports. The turnkey system provides the oil industry with a compact and effective separation system. The Voraxial's small footprint, low energy requirements and separation quality coupled with unique filtration equipment for secondary treatment provides the customer with a complete turnkey package that meets the most stringent discharge levels such as OSPAR (North Sea countries <30mg/ltr) and United States 40 CFR435 (<29 mg/ltr).

#### Voraxial® Separator

The Voraxial® Separator is a continuous flow turbo machine that generates a strong centrifugal force, a vortex, capable of separating light and heavy liquids, such as oil and water, or any other combination of liquids and solids at extremely high flow rates. As the fluid passes through the machine, the Voraxial® Separator accomplishes this separation through the creation of a vortex. In liquid/liquid and liquid/solid mixtures, this vortex causes the heavier compounds to gravitate to the outside of the flow and the lighter elements to move to the center where an inner core is formed. The liquid stream processed by the machine is divided into separate streams of heavier and lighter liquids and solids. As a result of this process, separation is achieved.

The advantages of the Voraxial include:

- High volume / small footprint
- No Pressure Drop requirement – acts as a pump
- High G force
- Treats a wide range of flows, even slugging flows
- Handles fluctuation in flow rates without any adjustments
- Handles fluctuation in contaminants without any adjustments
- Separation of 2 or 3 components simultaneously
- Non-clogging - open impeller

- Low maintenance
- Can operate dry
- Since there is no pressure drop, there is very little wear caused by sand
- Ease of operation and installation

The Voraxial® Separator is a self-contained, non-clogging device that can be powered by an electric motor, diesel engine or by hydraulic power generation. Further, the Voraxial® Separator's scalability allows it to be utilized

in a variety of industries and to process various amounts of liquid. The following are the various sizes and the corresponding capacity range:

Product and Capacity Range

| Model Number  | Diameter Size | Capacity Range Gallons Per Minute |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|
| Voraxial®1000 | 1 inch        | 3 - 5                             |
| Voraxial®2000 | 2 inches      | 20 - 70                           |
| Voraxial®4000 | 4 inches      | 100 - 500                         |
| Voraxial®8000 | 8 inches      | 1,000 - 3,500                     |

The Voraxial® Separator can transfer various liquids in either direction by reversing the machine’s rotation. We currently maintain an inventory of various models of the Voraxial® Separator.

Management believes that our Voraxial® Separator offers substantial applications on a cost-effective basis, including: oil exploration & production, oil remediation services, municipal wastewater treatment, bilge water purification, food processing waste treatment and numerous other industrial production and environmental remediation processes. We also believe that the quality of the water separated from the contaminant is good enough to recycle back into the process stream (back into the plant) or discharge to the environment. As clean water becomes less available to the ever-increasing world population, this technology may become more valuable.

The Voraxial® Separator is currently manufactured and assembled at our Fort Lauderdale, Florida facilities. The Company subcontracts some parts of the Voraxial Separator to local manufacturers.

The Market

The need for effective and cost efficient wastewater treatment and separation technology is global in scale. Moreover, virtually every industry requires some type of separation process either during the manufacturing process, prior to treatment or discharge of wastewater into the environment, for general clean up, or emergency response capability. Separation processes, however, are largely unknown to the average consumer. These processes are deeply integrated in almost all industrial processes from oil to wastewater to manufacturing. Management believes that the Voraxial® technology has applications in most, if not all major separation industries. The unique characteristics of the Voraxial® allow it to be utilized either as a stand-alone unit or within an existing system to provide a more efficient and cost effective way to handle the separation needs of the customer. We believe the Voraxial® Separator can result in a cost savings and other benefits to the customer. These benefits result in and include:

- A reduction in water and energy usage,
- Requires no pressure drop to perform separation,
- Less space needed to implement the Voraxial® Separator; the Voraxial® Separator weighs less than existing systems,
- A reduction in time to process and separate the fluids, allowing the customer to be more efficient,
- Creation of a more efficient and faster process to treat water to increase the overall productivity of the end-user,
- A reduction in the amount of disposable liquids,
- Fewer employees needed to operate the system, and
- Reduction of ongoing maintenance and servicing costs.

We believe that we are the only front-end solution for the separation industry that can offer increased productivity while reducing the physical space and energy required to operate the unit. These advantages translate into the potential



for substantial operating cost efficiencies that would increase the profitability of the solution's end user. The Voraxial's unique characteristic to conduct separation without a pressure loss allows the unit to be installed in locations other technologies cannot. For instance another separation technology in the oil industry called a hydrocyclone requires a significant pressure loss to perform separation. This characteristic gives the customer a more economical way to achieve separation.

If, as we expect, environmental regulations, both domestically and internationally, become more stringent, companies will be required to more effectively treat their wastewater prior to discharge. We believe this offers a great opportunity for the Company as the Voraxial® Separator can be utilized in most separation applications to significantly increase the efficiency of the separation processes while simultaneously reduce the cost to the end-user.

Management believes that the oil industry, and more specifically the produced water market within this industry, represents a great opportunity for significant sales growth for the Voraxial Separator. The produced water market is worldwide and the need for effective produced water (oil/water) separation is a major issue for both offshore and land-based oil production facilities. The ability to efficiently separate produced water waste streams (oil and water) has enormous economical and environmental consequences for the oil production industry. Produced water comprises over 98% of the total waste volume generated by the oil and gas industry, making it the largest volume waste stream associated with oil and gas production.

Oil reservoirs frequently contain large volumes of water and as oil wells mature (the oil field becomes depleted), the amount of produced water increases. In the continental US, it is estimated that 7-10 barrels of water is produced for each barrel of recovered oil. According to the Argonne National Laboratory 2007 White Paper, “approximately 15 to 20 billion bbl (barrels; 1 bbl = 42 U.S. gallons) of produced water are generated each year in the United States. This is equivalent to a volume of 1.7 to 2.3 billion gallons per day.” Worldwide, the total amount of produced water generated, excluding the United States, is approximately 50 billion barrels (approximately 6 billion gallons per day). Produced water volumes will continue to increase as oil wells mature.

The necessity to process and efficiently separate high volumes of liquids coupled with the more stringent environmental regulations worldwide is increasing the demand for the Voraxial® Separator. The Voraxial® Separator provides a cost effective way to separate large volumes of produced or re-injection water for both on-land and offshore production facilities. The Voraxial® provides superior separation while decreasing the amount of space, energy and weight to conduct the separation. In addition to oil separation, the Voraxial can also perform solid (sand and grit) extraction, which prevents production damage by increasing the life of the well.

The Company also expects market opportunities to present themselves because of increased governmental regulation and standards enforcement by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”), and the European Union Commission on the Environment. Additionally, emerging markets worldwide are opening as growing nations recognize the need and benefit of addressing the environmental issues faced by population growth and industrialization, such as China, Mexico, and South America.

#### Inventory

Other than our Voraxial® Separators, we maintain no inventory of finished parts until we receive a customer order. We currently have various models of the Voraxial® Separator in inventory, which may include certain models located at third party facilities on a trial basis.

#### Competition

We are subject to competition from a number of companies who have greater experience, research abilities, engineering capability and financial resources than we have. Although we believe our Voraxial® Separator offers applications which accomplish better or similar results on a more cost-effective basis than existing products, other products have, in some instances, attained greater market and regulatory acceptance. These competitors include, but are not limited to Westfalia and AlfaLaval.

#### Marketing

Management continues to implement a sales and marketing program to stimulate awareness of the Voraxial® Separator. Management is developing relationships with oil service companies and representatives to promote the Voraxial to oil industry customers. We have presented the Voraxial® Separator at several prominent trade shows in the past fiscal year. The Company will exhibit the Voraxial® Separator at additional tradeshow in 2013.

#### Sources and availability of raw materials

The materials needed to manufacture our Voraxial® Separator have been provided by leading companies in the industry including Motion Industries, MSC, and Baldor Electric Co., among other suppliers. We do not have any long term contracts with these entities. We do not anticipate any shortage of component parts.

#### Intellectual property

We currently hold several patents pertaining to the Voraxial® Separator and are continually working on developing other patents. The Company owns United States Patent #6,248,231 and #5,904,840. Patent #6,248,231 was registered in 2001 for Apparatus with Voraxial® Separator and Analyzer. Patent #5,904,840 is for Apparatus for Accurate Centrifugal Separation of Miscible and Immiscible Media, which is for technology invented by our former president, Alberto DiBella, and registered in 1999. The Company filed for additional patents in 2007 to reflect the upgrades to the Voraxial Separator and in 2010 for oil spill recovery. These patents are still pending.

#### Product liability

Our business exposes us to possible claims of personal injury, death or property damage, which may result from the failure, or malfunction of any component or subassembly manufactured or assembled by us. We have product liability insurance. However, any product liability claim made against us may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations in light of our poor financial condition, losses and limited revenues. We have also obtained directors and officers, and general insurance coverage.

#### Research and development

We have spent approximately \$75,009 and \$472,171, respectively, during year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, on product research and development. The Company has finalized the development of the Voraxial® Separator. However, we continue to make modifications to the Voraxial Separator. The Company spent additional R&D on oil spill recovery as a result of the Wendy Schmidt Oil Spill XChallenge during 2011. The Company filed additional patents related to the oil spill recovery market during 2010.

#### Employees

We currently have six full time employees. All of our employees work full-time. None of our employees are members of a union. We believe that our relationship with our employees is favorable. We intend to add additional employees in the upcoming year, including managers, sales representatives and field technical engineers.

#### Item Risk Factors

1A.

Not applicable to smaller reporting companies. However, our principal risk factors are described under “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.”

#### Item Unresolved Staff Comments

1B.

None.

#### Item Properties

2.

In October 2012,, the Company entered into a three (3) year lease for an office and manufacturing facility located at 821 NW 57th Place, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309. The lease is approximately \$6,721 per month.

ItemLegal Proceedings

3.

On or about November 17, 2011, a claim was filed in the Broward County Circuit Court in Fort Lauderdale, Florida against the company by Raw Energy Tech, LLC. The plaintiff alleges oral contract between the parties for the alleged design, fabrication and construction of a prototype power pack. Amount of damages sought are approximately \$58,000. We have moved to dismiss the complaint and intend to vigorously defend this action as we believe this claim is without merit.

7

---

## ItemMine Safety Disclosures

4.

Not applicable.

## PART

II.

## ItemMarket for Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

5.

Our common stock is traded on the OTC Markets under the symbol "EVTN". The range of high and low bid quotations below are provided by the OTC Markets. On March 21, 2013, the closing price for our common stock was \$0.17. The quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, markdown or commission, and may not represent actual transactions.

## Bid Quotations

| Quarter Ended      | High    | Low     |
|--------------------|---------|---------|
| March 31, 2011     | \$0.399 | \$0.262 |
| June 30, 2011      | \$0.380 | \$0.265 |
| September 30, 2011 | \$0.300 | \$0.250 |
| December 31, 2011  | \$0.290 | \$0.080 |
| March 31, 2012     | \$0.299 | \$0.090 |
| June 30, 2012      | \$0.270 | \$0.140 |
| September 30, 2012 | \$0.185 | \$0.106 |
| December 31, 2012  | \$0.235 | \$0.150 |

## Holders

As of December 31, 2012, there were approximately 800 holders of record of our common stock outstanding. Our transfer agent is Jersey Transfer & Trust Company, Inc., Post Office Box 36, Verona, New Jersey 07044.

No prediction can be made as to the effect, if any, that future sales of shares of common stock or the availability of common stock for future sale will have on the market price of the common stock prevailing from time-to-time. Sales of substantial amounts of common stock on the public market could adversely affect the prevailing market price of the common stock.

## Dividends

We have not paid a cash dividend on the common stock since current management joined our company in 1996. The payment of dividends may be made at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon, among other things, our operations, our capital requirements and our overall financial condition. As of the date of this report, we have no intention to declare dividends.

## Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

Except for those unregistered securities previously disclosed in reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, during the period covered by this report, we have not sold any securities without registration under the

Securities Act of 1933, as amended, during the period covered by this report.

Issuer Purchase of Equity Securities

None.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Information not required by small reporting company.

8

---

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

General

Management's discussion and analysis contains various forward-looking statements. These statements consist of any statement other than a recitation of historical fact and can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "may," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate" or "continue" or use of negative or other variations or comparable terminology.

We caution that these statements are further qualified by important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in the forward-looking statements that these forward-looking statements are necessarily speculative, and there are certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those referred to in such forward-looking statements.

Year ended December 31, 2012 compared to year ended December 31, 2011

Revenue

We continued to focus our efforts and resources to the manufacturing, assembling, marketing and selling of the Voraxial® Separator. Revenues for the year ended December 31, 2012 decreased by \$1,367,795 to \$773,368 or approximately 63% from \$2,141,163 for the year ended December 31, 2011. We believe the decrease in revenues corresponds to fluctuations in sales as oppose to a decrease in customer interest in the Voraxial. We received additional orders in excess of \$800,000 in 2012 that will be recognized as revenue in 2013 as the products are shipped. If we include these orders with our 2012 revenues, our 2012 revenues would have decreased by only approximately 26% as compared to 2011. With the increased exposure and awareness of the Voraxial, we are completing more projects and are reviewing more inquiries and projects over the past 2 years than at any other time in our history. We believe the dissemination of data from previously installed Voraxial is creating an increase awareness and demand for the Voraxial. Management believes the increased awareness and deployments will contribute to an increase in 2013 and 2014 revenues. Revenues in 2012 and 2011 were a result of sale of the Voraxial Separator and auxiliary equipment and parts, lease orders and trials for customers interested in buying the Voraxial Separator. Management believes the interest for the Voraxial Separator for liquid/liquid, liquid/solid and liquid/liquid/solid separation is increasing in the oil exploration and production industry. We believe that the relationships we are building will lead to increase Voraxial deployments. We believe we have increased the exposure and awareness of the Voraxial Separator through our marketing programs and expect to increase revenues from the sale and lease of the Voraxial Separator in 2013.

Cost of goods sold decreased to \$389,754 for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$819,605 during the year ended December 31, 2011 or an decrease of \$429,851 or approximately 52%. The decrease in cost of goods sold was directly related to our decrease in sales of the Voraxial Separator.

Costs and expenses

Costs and expenses decreased by approximately 20% or \$489,241 to \$1,871,364 for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to \$2,360,605 for the year ended December 31, 2011. Our cost and expenses decreased due to a decrease in general and administrative expenses and a decrease in research and development. The decreases were partially offset by increases in consulting expenses.

General and administrative expenses

General and Administrative expenses decreased by approximately 43% or \$335,727 to \$437,649 for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$773,376 for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease was primarily due to a



decrease in legal and professional fees, better control over expenses and a decrease in marketing and sales expenses.

#### Consulting and Payroll Expenses

Consulting expenses increased by approximately 30% or \$203,347 to \$869,568 for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$666,221 for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily due to non cash expenses

associated with the issuance of options to employees and consultants. Payroll expense remained fairly constant at \$489,138 for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to \$448,837 for the year ended December 31, 2011. The majority of payroll expenses for both periods consists of non cash expenses associated with the issuance of options and common stock in satisfaction of salaries payable to the Company's officers.

#### Research and development expenses

Research and Development (R&D) expenses decreased by approximately 84% or \$397,162 to \$75,009 for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$472,171 for the year ended December 31, 2011. The R&D conducted by the Company over the past two years resulted with the Company upgrading the Voraxial Separator and filing additional patents. As the Voraxial is complete, the R&D is predominantly for activities in the oil and gas industry. The Company spent additional R&D on oil spill recovery as a result of the Wendy Schmidt Oil Spill XChallenge during 2011. The Company filed additional patents related to the oil spill recovery market during 2010.

#### Liquidity and capital resources

At December 31, 2012, we had working capital (deficiency) of \$(469,978), cash of \$425,309 and an accumulated deficit of \$15,155,968. For the year ended December 31, 2012, we had a net loss from operations of \$1,496,138. Operating at a loss for the year negatively impacted our cash position; however we were cash flow positive throughout the year. We believe that including our current cash resources and anticipated revenue to be generated by our Voraxial® Separators, we will have sufficient resources to continue business operations for the next twelve months. To the extent that these resources are not sufficient to sustain current operating activities, we may need to seek additional capital, or adjust our operating plan accordingly.

#### Continuing losses

We may be unable to continue as a going concern, given our limited operations and revenues and our significant losses to date. Consequently, our working capital may not be sufficient and our operating costs may exceed those experienced in our prior years. In light of these recent developments, we may be unable to continue as a going concern.

The Company has experienced net losses, has a working capital and stockholders' deficit. There is no assurance that the Company's developmental and marketing efforts will be successful, that the Company will ever have commercially accepted products, or that the Company will achieve significant revenues. If the Company is unable to successfully commercialize its Voraxial Separator, it is unlikely that the Company could continue its business. Therefore, substantial doubt exists about the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern. The Company may require the infusion of capital until operations become profitable. During 2013, the Company may seek additional capital in the event it is unable to increase sales of the Voraxial Separator or continue to restrict expenses.

#### Critical Accounting Policies

Our financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. Note C of the Notes to Financial Statements describes the significant accounting policies used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements. Certain of these significant accounting policies are considered to be critical accounting policies, as defined below.

A critical accounting policy is defined as one that is both material to the presentation of our financial statements and requires management to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments that could have a material effect on our

financial condition and results of operations. Specifically, critical accounting estimates have the following attributes: 1) we are required to make assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time of the estimate; and 2) different estimates we could reasonably have used, or changes in the estimate that are reasonably likely to occur, would have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Estimates and assumptions about future events and their effects cannot be determined with certainty. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions believed to be applicable and

reasonable under the circumstances. These estimates may change as new events occur, as additional information is obtained and as our operating environment changes. These changes have historically been minor and have been included in the consolidated financial statements as soon as they became known. Based on a critical assessment of our accounting policies and the underlying judgments and uncertainties affecting the application of those policies, management believes that our consolidated financial statements are fairly stated in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and present a meaningful presentation of our financial condition and results of operations. We believe the following critical accounting policies reflect our more significant estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements:

#### Revenue Recognition

The Company derives its revenue from the sale and short-term rental of the Voraxial Separator. The Company presents revenue in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standard Codification (ASC) 605 "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements". Under Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements, revenue is realized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the price is fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured.

#### Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2013, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2013-04, Liabilities (Topic 405): Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation Is Fixed at the Reporting Date (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force). This guidance requires an entity to measure obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation within the scope of this guidance is fixed at the reporting date. This stipulates that (1) it will include the amount the entity agreed to pay for the arrangement between them and the other entities that are also obligated to the liability and (2) any additional amount the entity expects to pay on behalf of the other entities. The objective of this update is to provide guidance for the recognition, measurement, and disclosure of obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements. The amendments in this update are effective for fiscal periods (and interim reporting periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2013. This standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's reported results of operations or financial position.

In February 2013, FASB issued Accounting standards update 2013-02, Comprehensive Income Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. This update requires an entity to provide information amount the amount reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. The entity is also required to disclose significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income but only if the amount reclassified is required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting periods. For other amounts that are not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other discourses required under U.S. GAAP that provide additional detail about those amounts. The objective in this Update is to improve the reporting of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The amendments in this update should be applied prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. This standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's reported results of operations or financial position.

Risk Factors

Our independent auditors have raised substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Our independent auditors have included in their audit report an explanatory paragraph that states that our continuing losses from operations raises substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

We have not yet generated significant revenues from the Voraxial Separator. The revenues and income potential of our business and the markets of our separation technology are unproven. We have incurred operating losses since our inception, and we will continue to incur net losses until we can produce sufficient revenues to cover our costs. At December 31, 2012, we had an accumulated deficit of \$15,155,968, including a net loss of \$1,496,138 for the year ended December 31, 2012. Even if we achieve profitability, we may not be able to sustain or increase our profitability on a quarterly or annual basis.

Our ability to generate future revenues will depend on a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control. These factors include the rate of market acceptance of our products, competitive efforts, and general economic trends. Due to these factors, we cannot anticipate with any degree of certainty what our revenues will be in future periods. You have limited historical financial data and operating results with which to evaluate our business and our prospects.

We have been limited by insufficient capital, and we may continue to be so limited.

In the past, we have lacked the required capital to market the Voraxial Separator. Our inability to raise the funding or to otherwise finance our capital needs could adversely affect our financial condition and our results of operations, and could prevent us from implementing our business plan.

We may seek to raise capital through public and private equity offerings, debt financing or collaboration, and strategic alliances. Such financing may not be available when we need it or may not be available on terms that are favorable to us. If we raise additional capital through the sale of our equity securities, your ownership interest will be diluted and the terms of the financing may adversely affect your holdings or rights as a stockholder.

We currently rely on a limited number of customers for our revenues.

Revenues from four customers accounted for approximately 75% and revenues from three customers accounted for approximately 85% of our revenues during 2011 and 2012, respectively. We do not have any contracts with these customers. If these customers fail to order additional products or we are unable to attract new customers, it could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

If our products do not achieve and maintain market acceptance, our business will not be successful.

Even though our product is successfully developed, our success and growth will depend upon its acceptance by various potential users of our product. Acceptance will be a function of our product being more cost effective as compared to currently existing or future technologies. If our product does not achieve market acceptance, our business will not be successful. In addition, even if our product achieves market acceptance, we may not be able to maintain that market acceptance over time if new products or technologies are introduced that are more favorably received than our product or render our products obsolete.

If we do not develop sales and marketing capabilities or arrangements successfully, we will not be able to commercialize our product successfully.

We have limited sales and marketing experience. We may market and sell our product through a direct sales force or through other arrangements with third parties, including co-promotion arrangements. Since we may market and sell any product we successfully develop through a direct sales force, we will need to hire and train qualified sales personnel.

Our market is subject to intense competition. If we are unable to compete effectively, our product may be rendered non-competitive or obsolete.

We are engaged in a segment of the water filtration industry that is highly competitive and rapidly changing. Many large companies, academic institutions, governmental agencies, and other public and private research organizations are pursuing the development of technology that can be used for the same purposes as our product. We face, and expect to continue to face, intense and increasing competition, as new products enter the market and advanced technologies become available. We believe that a significant number of products are currently

under development and will become available in the future that may address the water filtration segment of the market. If other products are successfully developed, it may be marketed before our product.

Our competitors' products may be more effective, or more effectively marketed and sold, than any of our products. Many of our competitors have:

significantly greater financial, technical and human resources than we have and may be better equipped to discover, develop, manufacture and commercialize products; and  
more extensive experience in marketing water treatment products.

Competitive products may render our products obsolete or noncompetitive before we can recover the expenses of developing and commercializing our product. Furthermore, the development of new technologies and products could render our product noncompetitive, obsolete, or uneconomical.

As we evolve from a company primarily involved in design and development to one also involved in commercialization, we may encounter difficulties in managing our growth and expanding our operations successfully.

We may experience a period of rapid and substantial growth that may place a strain on our administrative and operational infrastructure, and we anticipate that continued growth could have a similar impact. As our product continues to enter and advance in the market, we will need to expand our development, regulatory, manufacturing, marketing and sales capabilities or contract with third parties to provide these capabilities for us. As our operations expand, we expect that we will need to manage additional relationships with various collaborative partners, suppliers, and other third parties.

If we are unable to adequately protect our technology, or if we infringe the rights of others, we may not be able to defend our markets or to sell our product.

Our success may depend in part on our ability to continue and expand our patent protection both in the United States and in other countries for our product. Due to evolving legal standards relating to the patentability, validity, and enforceability of patents covering our product and the scope of claims made under these patents, our ability to obtain and enforce patents is uncertain and involves complex legal and factual questions. Accordingly, rights under any issued patents may not provide us with sufficient protection for our product or provide sufficient protection to afford us a commercial advantage against competitive products or processes.

Our success may also depend in part on our ability to operate without infringing the proprietary rights of third parties. The manufacture, use, or sale of our product may infringe on the patent rights of others. Likewise, third parties may challenge or infringe upon our existing or future patents. Proceedings involving our patents or patent applications or those of others could result in adverse decisions regarding:

the patentability of our inventions relating to our product; and/or  
the enforceability, validity, or scope of protection offered by our patents relating to our product.

Litigation may be necessary to enforce the patents we own and have applied for (if they are awarded), copyrights, or other intellectual property rights, to protect our trade secrets, to determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others, or to defend against claims of infringement. This type of litigation could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources and could result in injunctions preventing us from distributing certain products. Such claims could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

We are dependent on key personnel.



We are dependent upon the availability and the continued performance of the services of John A. DiBella. The loss of the services of John A. DiBella could have a material adverse effect on us. In addition, the availability of skilled personnel is extremely important to our growth strategy and our failure to attract and retain such personnel could have a material, adverse effect on us. We do not currently maintain any key man life insurance covering Mr. DiBella or any of our employees.

Our operations are subject to governmental approvals and regulations and environmental compliance.

Our operations are subject to extensive and frequently changing federal, state, and local laws and substantial regulation by government agencies, including the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the United States Occupational Safety and Health administration (OSHA) and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). Among other matters, these agencies regulate the operation, handling, transportation and disposal of hazardous materials used by us during the normal course of our operations, govern the health and safety of our employees and certain standards and licensing requirements for our aerospace components that we contract manufacture. We are subject to significant compliance burden from this extensive regulatory framework, which may substantially increase our operational costs.

We believe that we have been and are in compliance with environmental requirements and believe that we have no liabilities under environmental requirements. Further, we have not spent any funds specifically on compliance with environmental laws. However, some risk of environmental liability is inherent in the nature of our business, and we might incur substantial costs to meet current or more stringent compliance, cleanup, or other obligations pursuant to environmental requirements in the future. This could result in a material adverse effect to our results of operations and financial condition.

Our business has a substantial risk of product liability claims. If we are unable to obtain appropriate levels of insurance, a product liability claim against us could adversely affect our business.

Our business exposes us to possible claims of personal injury, death, or property damage, which may result from the failure, or malfunction of any component or subassembly manufactured or assembled by us. While we have product liability insurance, any product liability claim made against us may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or results of operations in light of our poor financial condition, losses and limited revenues.

We currently have limited authorized, but unissued shares of capital stock.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company has approximately 9,285,503 shares of authorized, but unissued Common Stock. In addition, the Company has reserved approximately 12,000,000 shares for outstanding options. Therefore the Company has limited shares of common stock which otherwise could be sold to meet future financing needs and does not have a sufficient number of shares reserved in the event of the exercise of all of the Company's outstanding options.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Information not required by smaller reporting company.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementing Data

8.

The financial statements required by this report are included, commencing on F-1.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

9.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

9A.

#### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") that are designed to be effective in providing reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our reports under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

The Company's management, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial (and principal accounting) Officer, carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act) as of December 31, 2012. Based upon that evaluation and the identification of the material weakness in the Company's internal control over financial reporting as described below under "Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting," the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were ineffective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

#### Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting of the Company. Management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012 based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of December 31, 2012, our internal control over financial reporting is not effective in providing reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles because of the Company's limited resources and limited number of employees.

To mitigate the current limited resources and limited employees, we rely heavily on direct management oversight of transactions, along with the use of legal and accounting professionals. As we grow, we expect to increase our number of employees, which will enable us to implement adequate segregation of duties within the internal control framework.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the SEC that permit the company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

#### Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls and Procedures

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal controls will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include, but are not limited to, the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

#### Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes to our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item Other Information

9B.

None.

PART

III.

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Directors and executive officers

The following sets forth the names and ages of our officers and directors. Our directors are elected annually by our shareholders, and the officers are appointed annually by our board of directors.

Name Age Position

John A. DiBella 41 Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Director

John A. DiBella has served as an employee of our Company since January 2002 and a member of the Board of Directors since August 2006. Since November 2011 he has served as chief executive officer and chief financial officer. From 2000 through January 2002 Mr. DiBella provided consulting services to our Company. Mr. DiBella was promoted from Chief Operating Officer to President in November 2011. Mr. DiBella co-founded and served as President of PBCM, a financial management company located in New Jersey from 1997 to 1999. Prior to co-founding PBCM, Mr. DiBella worked for Donaldson, Lufkin and Jenrette, a NYSE member firm.

Board of Directors and Committees

During the year ended December 31, 2012, our board of directors held 7 meetings.

To date, we have not established an audit committee. Due to our financial position, we have been unable to attract qualified independent directors to serve on our board. Our board of directors, consisting solely of John A. DiBella, reviews the professional services provided by our independent auditors, the independence of our auditors from our management, our annual financial statements and our system of internal accounting controls. None of the board members are considered a "financial expert."

Because the board of directors consists of only one member, the board has not delegated any of its functions to committees. The entire board of directors acts as our audit committee as permitted under Section 3(a)(58)(B) of the Exchange Act. We do not have any independent directors who would qualify as an audit committee financial expert. We believe that it has been, and may continue to be, impractical to recruit such a director unless and until we are significantly larger.

Code of Ethics

During the year ended December 31, 2003 we adopted a code of ethics. The code of ethics was filed with the Company's Form 10-KSB annual report for the year ended December 31, 2003. The code of ethics may be obtained by contacting the Company's executive offices. The code applies to our officers and directors. The code provides written standards that are designed to deter wrongdoing and promote: (i) honest and ethical conduct; (ii) full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure; (iii) compliance with applicable laws and regulations; (iv) promote reporting of

internal violations of the code; and (v) accountability for the adherence to the code.

#### Shareholder Communications

Although we do not have a formal policy regarding communications with our board of directors, shareholders may communicate with the board by writing to us at Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc., 821 N.W. 57th Place, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33309, Attention: Mr. John A. DiBella. Shareholders who would like their submission directed to a member of the Board may so specify, and the communication will be forwarded, as appropriate.

## Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our directors and executive officers, and persons who own more than ten percent of our outstanding common stock to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of common stock. These persons are required by SEC regulation to furnish us with copies of these reports they file. To our knowledge, based solely on a review of the copies of reports furnished to us, Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to our officers, directors and greater than ten percent beneficial owners were complied with on a timely basis for the period which this report relates, except our chief executive officer failed to file a Form 4 during January 2012 to disclose the vesting and acceleration of certain options and common stock.

## Item 11. Executive compensation

The table below sets forth compensation for the past two years awarded to, earned by or paid to our chief executive officer and each executive officer whose compensation exceeded \$100,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (the "Named Executives").

Summary Compensation Table

| Name and<br>Principal Position  | Year | Salary<br>(\$) | Bonus<br>(\$) | Stock<br>Awards<br>(\$) | Option<br>Awards<br>\$(1) | Non-Equity<br>Incentive Plan<br>Compensation<br>(\$) | Change in<br>Pension<br>Value and<br>Nonqualified<br>Deferred<br>Compensation<br>Earnings<br>(\$) | All Other<br>Compensation<br>(\$) | Total<br>(\$) |
|---|------|----------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| John A. DiBella<br>President, Chief<br>Executive Officer<br>and Chief<br>Financial Officer* | 2012 | \$305,000(4)   | --            | --                      | \$615,668(5)              | --   | --  | --                                | \$920,668     |
| John A. DiBella<br>President, Chief<br>Executive Officer<br>and Chief<br>Financial Officer* | 2011 | \$305,000(6)   | --            | --                      | \$168,740(7)              | --   | --  | --                                | \$473,740     |
| Alberto DiBella<br>Former President<br>and Chief<br>Financial Officer*                      | 2011 | \$305,000(2)   | --            | --                      | \$168,740(3)              | --   | --  | --                                | \$473,740     |

\*Alberto DiBella retired in November 2011 and John A. DiBella was appointed to serve as chief executive officer and chief financial officer, effective upon Alberto DiBella's retirement.

(1) The amounts in these columns represent the fair value of the award as of the grant date as computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 and the SEC disclosure rules. These amounts represent awards that are paid in shares of common stock or options to purchase shares of our common stock and do not reflect the actual amounts that may be realized by the Named Executives.

(2) \$186,000 was deferred in 2011.

(3) On November 14, 2011, Alberto DiBella was issued options to purchase 1,900,000 shares of common stock in satisfaction of \$168,740 accrued salary and expenses payable to Mr. DiBella, including salary deferred. See below for further description of the options.

(4) \$80,000 paid and remaining amount accrued.



- (5) On January 1, 2012, the Company extended the expiration date of an aggregate of 5,800,000 options held by Mr. DiBella to various dates in 2017 and 2018. In addition, the exercise price of options to purchase 1,000,000 shares was reduced from \$0.40 per share to \$0.18 per share and the exercise price of options to purchase 2,800,000 shares was reduced from \$0.68 per share to \$0.18 per share.
- (6) \$209,000 was accrued in 2011.
- (7) On November 14, 2011, John A. DiBella was issued options to purchase 1,900,000 shares of common stock in satisfaction of \$168,740 accrued salary and expenses payable to Mr. DiBella, including salary deferred. See below for further description of the options.

## Outstanding Equity Awards At December 31, 2012

Listed below is information with respect to unexercised options for each Named Executive as of December 31, 2012.

| Name               | Option Awards   |   |                            |                        | Stock Awards  |                                 |  |   |
|--------------------|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|---|
|                    | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable | Option Exercise Price (\$) | Option Expiration Date | Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) | Market Value of Shares or Units | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other rights That Have Not Vested (#) | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other rights That Have Not Vested (\$) |
| J o h n<br>DiBella | 2,000,000   | --  | \$0.15                     | 1/31/2017(1)           | --  | --                              | --   | --  |
|                    | 1,000,000   | --  | \$0.18(2)                  | 6/30/2017(2)           | --  | --                              | --   | --  |
|                    | 2,800,000   | --  | \$0.18(3)                  | 6/2/2018(3)            | --  | --                              | --   | --  |
|                    | 1,900,000   | --  | \$0.15                     | 11/14/2016             | --  | --                              | --   | --  |

(1) Effective January 1, 2012, the expiration date of the options was extended to January 31, 2017.

(2) Effective January 1, 2012, the expiration date of the options was extended to June 30, 2017 and the exercise price was reduced from \$0.40 to \$0.18.

(3) Effective January 1, 2012, the expiration date of the options was extended to June 2, 2018 and the exercise price was reduced from \$0.68 to \$0.18.

## Employment agreements

Our executive officer does not currently have a written employment agreement with the Company.

For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company incurred salary expenses from the Chief Executive Officer of the Company of \$305,000. For the year ended December 31, 2012, \$80,000 has been paid. The unpaid balance has been accrued and as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the accrued salary is \$476,107 and \$251,107, respectively. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company owes its former chief executive officer \$158,898.

On November 14, 2011, the Company issued options to purchase 1,900,000 shares to Messrs. Alberto DiBella and John A. DiBella in satisfaction of \$165,740 accrued salary and expenses payable to Messrs. Alberto DiBella and John DiBella. The options are exercisable at \$0.15 for a five year period and contain a cashless exercise provision.

## Director Compensation

Directors are not compensated by our Company.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Beneficial Ownership

The table below sets forth information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our securities as of March 28, 2013 by: (1) each person known by us to be the beneficial owner of five percent or more of our outstanding securities, and (2) executive officers and directors, individually and as a group. Unless otherwise indicated, we believe that the beneficial owner has sole voting and investment power over such shares. As of March 28, 2013, we had 33,464,497 shares of common stock issued and outstanding. Unless otherwise noted below, the address for each shareholder is 821 NW 57th Place, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33309.

| Name and Address of Beneficial Owner             | Number of Shares Beneficially Owned | Percentage of Ownership |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Estate of Alberto DiBella (1)                    | 6,095,500(1)                        | 16.4%                   |
| John A. DiBella                                  | 10,059,000(2)                       | 24.4%                   |
| All officers and directors as a group (1 person) | 10,064,000(2)                       | 24.4%                   |

(1) Voting and dispositive control held by Adele DiBella. Includes 2,295,500 shares of common stock. Includes 1,900,000 shares of common stock underlying options exercisable at \$0.18 that expire June 12, 2015. Also includes options to purchase 1,900,000 shares of common stock, exercisable at \$0.15 per share, on a cashless basis that expire on November 16, 2016.

(2) Includes 2,364,000 [shares of common stock. Includes options to purchase (i) 2,000,000 shares of common stock at \$0.15 per share that expire on January 1, 2017; (ii) 1,000,000 shares of common stock at \$0.18 per share that expire on June 30, 2017; (iii) 1,900,000 shares of common stock, exercisable at \$0.15 per share on a cashless basis that expire on November 16, 2016; and (iv) 2,800,000 shares of common stock underlying options exercisable at \$0.18 per share that expire on June 2, 2018. Excludes 100,000 shares held in trust for the benefit of his minor children.

#### Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The table below provides information pertaining to all compensation plans under which equity securities of our company are authorized for issuance as of the end of the most recent fiscal year.

|  | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities warrants and rights reflected in 1st column) |
|--|---|---|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders     | 0   |   | N/A  |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | 12,000,000  |   | \$0.170  |
| Total  | 12,000,000  |   | 0  |

#### Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The Company has no independent directors.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company incurred consulting expenses from Alberto DiBella, its former chief executive officer, of \$305,000. Of these amounts, \$119,000 has been paid for the year ended December 31,

2011. The unpaid balance has been included in accrued expenses. Alberto DiBella retired in November, 2011.

For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company incurred salary expenses from the Chief Executive Officer of the Company of \$305,000. For December 31, 2012, \$80,000 has been paid during the year. The unpaid balance has been included in accrued expenses- related party. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the accrued salary is \$483,039 and \$251,107, respectively.

## PART IV.

## Item Principal Accountant Fees and Services

14.

Year ended December 31, 2012

**Audit Fees:** The aggregate fees, including expenses, billed by Liggett Vogt & Webb, P.A., our current principal accountant in connection with the audit of our consolidated financial statements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the review of our financial information included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$26,800 and \$16,000.

**Audit Related Fees:** The aggregate fees, including expenses, billed by our principal accountant for services reasonably related to the audit for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were \$-0-.

**Tax Fees:** The aggregate fees, billed by our principal accountant for services reasonably related to tax services during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were \$-0-.

**All Other Fees:** The aggregate fees, including expenses, billed for all other services rendered to us by our principal accountant during years 2012 and 2011 was \$-0-.

## Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Data Schedules

## (a) Exhibit No. Description of Exhibit

|           |  |
|-----------|--|
| 2         | Plan of Merger (1)   |
| 3(i)      | Articles of Incorporation (1)  |
| 3(ii)     | Bylaws (1)   |
| 4         | Share Certificate (1)  |
| 14        | Code of Ethics (2)   |
| 21        | Subsidiaries (1)   |
| 31.1      | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-4(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer |
| 31.2      | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-4(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer |
| 32.1      | Section 1350 Certification of Principal Executive Officer            |
| 32.2      | Section 1350 Certification of Principal Financial Officer            |
| 101.INS * | XBRL Instance Document   |
| 101.SCH * | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document                              |
| 101.CAL * | XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document                          |
| 101.DEF * | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document                 |
| 101.LAB * | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document                      |
| 101.PRE * | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document               |

\* These exhibits are not deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such exhibits will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent that we incorporate them by reference.

(1) Previously filed on Form 10-SB Registration Statement, as amended.

(2) Previously filed on Form 10-KSB annual report for the year ended December 31, 2003.



SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.

By: /s/ John A. DiBella  
John A. DiBella  
President and Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer and  
Principal Financial Officer)  
April 1, 2013

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dated indicated.

By: /s/ John A. DiBella  
John A. DiBella, Director  
April 1, 2013



INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONTENTS

|       |            |  |
|-------|------------|--|
| PAGE  | F-2        | REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM                              |
| PAGE  | F-3        | CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2012 AND 2011                         |
| PAGE  | F-4        | CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 AND 2011 |
| PAGE  | F-5        | CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)                 |
| PAGE  | F-6        | CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 AND 2011 |
| PAGES | F-7 – F-17 | NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS   |

F-1

---

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors of:  
Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc. and subsidiary (the "Company") as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in shareholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly in all material respects, the financial position of Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 the results of its operations and its cash flows for the two years then ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note B to the financial statements, the Company has a net loss of \$1,496 for the year ended December 31, 2012 and a working capital deficit of \$469,978 and stockholders' deficit of \$359,572 as of December 31, 2012. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans concerning these matters are also described in Note B. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

LIGGETT, VOGT & WEBB P.A.  
Certified Public Accountants

Boynton Beach, Florida  
April 1, 2013

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

|   | December 31,<br>2012 | December 31,<br>2011 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| <b>ASSETS</b>   |                      |                      |
| <b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>  |                      |                      |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | \$ 425,309           | \$ 147,198           |
| Accounts receivable, net  | 41,580               | 375,463              |
| Prepaid stock compensation  | 21,000               | 266,000              |
| Inventory, net  | 315,755              | 327,314              |
| Total current assets  | 803,644              | 1,115,975            |
| <b>FIXED ASSETS, NET</b>  | <b>100,380</b>       | <b>135,681</b>       |
| <b>OTHER ASSETS</b>   | <b>10,026</b>        | <b>13,695</b>        |
| Total assets  | \$ 914,050           | \$ 1,265,351         |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)</b>   |                      |                      |
| <b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>   |                      |                      |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses   | \$ 631,685           | \$ 311,240           |
| Accrued expenses- related party   | 641,937              | 410,006              |
| Current portion of note payable   | -                    | 32,107               |
| Total liabilities   | 1,273,622            | 753,353              |
| <b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (See note J)</b>   |                      |                      |
| <b>SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT):</b>  |                      |                      |
| Common stock, \$.001 par value, 42,750,000<br>shares authorized;<br>33,464,497 and 32,864,497 shares issued<br>and outstanding<br>as of December 31, 2012 and 2011,<br>respectively | 33,465               | 32,865               |
| Additional paid-in capital  | 14,762,931           | 14,138,963           |
| Accumulated deficit   | (15,155,968)         | (13,659,830)         |
| Total shareholders' equity (deficit)  | (359,572)            | 511,998              |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity<br>(deficit)   | \$ 914,050           | \$ 1,265,351         |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.



ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

|   | Years Ended December 31, |                |
|---|--------------------------|----------------|
|   | 2012                     | 2011           |
| Revenues, net   | \$ 773,368               | \$ 2,141,163   |
| Cost of goods sold  | 389,754                  | 819,605        |
| Gross profit (loss)   | 383,614                  | 1,321,558      |
| Costs and expenses:   |                          |                |
| General and administrative  | 437,649                  | 773,376        |
| Consulting expenses   | 869,568                  | 666,221        |
| Payroll expenses  | 489,138                  | 448,837        |
| Research and development  | 75,009                   | 472,171        |
| Total costs and expenses  | 1,871,364                | 2,360,605      |
| Loss from operations  | (1,487,750)              | (1,039,047)    |
| Other (income) expenses:  |                          |                |
| Interest expense  | (8,388)                  | (5,242)        |
| Total other expense   | (8,388)                  | (5,242)        |
| Loss before provision for income taxes                                    | (1,496,138)              | (1,044,289)    |
| Provision for income taxes  | -                        | -              |
| NET LOSS  | \$ (1,496,138)           | \$ (1,044,289) |
| Weighted average number of common shares<br>outstanding-basic and diluted | 33,179,789               | 32,069,349     |
| Loss per common share - basic and diluted                                 | \$ (0.05)                | \$ (0.03)      |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 AND 2011

|   | Common Stock |           | Additional         | Accumulated     | Total        |
|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|
|   | Shares       | Par Value | Paid-in<br>Capital | Deficit         |              |
| Balance - December 31, 2010                           | 31,590,497   | \$ 31,591 | \$ 13,479,038      | \$ (12,615,541) | \$ 895,088   |
| Issuance of common stock                              | 800,000      | 800       | 199,200            | -               | 200,000      |
| Issuance of common stock for consulting services      | 300,000      | 300       | 74,700             | -               | 75,000       |
| Issuance of common stock for consulting services      | 174,000      | 174       | 48,546             | -               | 48,720       |
| Issuance of common stock options for accrued salaries | -            | -         | 337,479            | -               | 337,479      |
| Net loss  | -            | -         | -                  | (1,044,289)     | (1,044,289)  |
| Balance - December 31, 2011                           | 32,864,497   | 32,865    | 14,138,963         | (13,659,830)    | 511,998      |
| Issuance of common stock for consulting services      | 250,000      | 250       | 24,750             | -               | 25,000       |
| Issuance of common stock for consulting services      | 100,000      | 100       | 9,900              | -               | 10,000       |
| Revaluation of stock issued for deferred compensation | -            | -         | 475,019            | -               | 475,019      |
| Stock compensation expense                            | -            | -         | 69,549             | -               | 69,549       |
| Issuance of common stock for consulting services      | 250,000      | 250       | 44,750             | -               | 45,000       |
| Net Loss  | -            | -         | -                  | (1,496,138)     | (1,496,138)  |
| Balance - December 31, 2012                           | 33,464,497   | \$ 33,465 | \$ 14,762,931      | \$ (15,155,968) | \$ (359,572) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.





ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

|   | Years Ended<br>December 31, |                |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------|
|   | 2012                        | 2011           |
| <b>Cash Flows From Operating Activities:</b>  |                             |                |
| Net loss  | \$ (1,496,138)              | \$ (1,044,289) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: |                             |                |
| Depreciation  | 35,301                      | 22,648         |
| Provision for obsolete inventory  | -                           | 164,393        |
| Provision for bad debt  | -                           | 240,000        |
| Deferred compensation   | 245,000                     | 589,000        |
| Issuance of common stock for consulting services  | 624,568                     | 123,719        |
| Changes in assets and liabilities:  |                             |                |
| Accounts receivable   | 333,883                     | (337,827)      |
| Inventory   | 11,559                      | (285,144)      |
| Other Assets  | 3,669                       | -              |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses   | 552,376                     | 71,643         |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities                                       | 310,218                     | (455,857)      |
| <b>Cash Flows From Financing Activities:</b>  |                             |                |
| Repayments toward notes payable   | (32,107)                    | (39,757)       |
| Proceeds from sales of common stock   | -                           | 200,000        |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities                                       | (32,107)                    | 160,243        |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents                                      | 278,111                     | (295,614)      |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period  | 147,198                     | 442,812        |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period  | \$ 425,309                  | \$ 147,198     |
| <b>Supplemental Disclosures</b>   |                             |                |
| Cash paid during the year for interest  | \$ 8,388                    | \$ 5,242       |
| Cash paid during the year for taxes   | \$ -                        | \$ -           |
| <b>Non-cash investing and financing activities:</b>                                       |                             |                |
| Common Stock issued for conversion of accrued salary                                      | \$ -                        | \$ 337,479     |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc.

Note to Consolidated Financial Statements  
December 31, 2012 and 2011

#### NOTE A - ORGANIZATION AND OPERATIONS

Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc. (the "Company") is a provider of environmental and industrial separation technology. The Company has developed, and now manufactures and sells its patented technology, the Voraxial(R) Separator, a technology that efficiently separates liquid/liquid, liquid/solid or liquid/liquid/solid fluid streams with distinct specific gravities. Current and potential commercial applications and markets include oil exploration and production, oil refineries, mining, manufacturing, waste-to-energy and food processing industry.

Florida Precision Aerospace, Inc. (FPA) is the wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company and is used to manufacture, assemble and test the Voraxial Separator.

#### NOTE B - GOING CONCERN

The Company has experienced recurring losses and a working capital and stockholders' deficit as of December 31, 2012. There is a substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. There is no assurance that the Company's sales and marketing efforts will be successful enough to achieve a level of revenue sufficient to provide cash inflows to sustain operations; however the Company has begun commercializing the Voraxial and is experiencing an increase in revenues that management believes will continue in 2013. The Company will continue to require the infusion of capital until operations become profitable. During the remainder of 2013, the Company anticipates seeking additional capital for growth and increasing sales of the Voraxial Separator. As a result of the above, there is substantial doubt about the entities ability to continue as a going concern and the accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

#### NOTE C - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

##### Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the parent company, Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc., and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Florida Precision Aerospace, Inc. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

##### Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates include the valuation of deferred tax assets, the allowances for doubtful accounts, allowance for inventory impairment and estimated warranty costs. Actual results may differ.

##### Revenue Recognition

The Company derives its revenue from the sale and short-term rental of the Voraxial Separator. The Company presents revenue in accordance with FASB new codification of "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements". Under Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements, revenue is realized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the price is fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured.

F-7

---

Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc.  
Note to Consolidated Financial Statements  
December 31, 2012 and 2011  
(continued)

Revenues that are generated from sales of equipment are typically recognized upon shipment. Our standard agreements generally do not include customer acceptance or post shipment installation provisions. However, if such provisions have been included or there is an uncertainty about customer order, revenue is deferred until we have evidence of customer order and all terms of the agreement have been complied with. As of December 31, 2012 there was \$404,070 of deposits from customers.

The Company recognizes revenue from the short term rental of equipment, ratably over the life of the agreement, which is usually three to twelve months.

#### Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are presented net of an allowance for doubtful accounts. The company maintains allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses. The company reviews the accounts receivable on a periodic basis and makes general and specific allowance when there is a doubt as to the collectability of individual balances. In evaluating the collectability of individual receivable balances, the Company considers many factors, including the age of the balance, customer's historical payment history, and its current credit-worthiness and current economic trends. Accounts are written off after exhaustive efforts at collections. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company has \$0 and \$240,000 in the allowance for doubtful accounts, respectively.

#### Fair Value of Instruments

The carrying amounts of the Company's financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, inventory, accounts payable and accrued expenses at December 31, 2012 and 2011, approximate their fair value because of their relatively short-term nature.

ASC 820 "Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments," requires disclosures of information regarding the fair value of certain financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate the value. For purpose of this disclosure, the fair value of a financial instrument is the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced sale of liquidation.

The Company accounts for certain assets and liabilities at fair value. The hierarchy below lists three levels of fair value based on the extent to which inputs used in measuring fair value is observable in the market. We categorize each of our fair value measurements in one of these three levels based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. These levels are:

Level 1—inputs are based upon unadjusted quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets. We have no Level 1 instruments as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Level 2—inputs are based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques (e.g. the Black-Scholes model) for which all significant inputs are observable in the market or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Where applicable, these models project future cash flows and discount the future amounts to a present value using market-based observable inputs including interest rate curves, foreign exchange rates, and forward and spot prices for currencies and commodities. We have no Level 2 instruments

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Level 3—inputs are generally unobservable and typically reflect management’s estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. The fair values are therefore determined using model-based techniques, including option pricing models and discounted cash flow models. We have no Level 3 instruments as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

F-8

---

Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc.  
 Note to Consolidated Financial Statements  
 December 31, 2012 and 2011  
 (continued)

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. The Company maintains its cash balances with various financial institutions. Balances at these institutions may at times exceed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporate limits.

Inventory

Inventory consists of components for the Voraxial Separator and is priced at lower of cost or market. Inventory may include units being rented on a short term basis or components held by third parties in connection with pilot programs as part of the continuing evaluation by such third parties as to the effectiveness and usefulness of the service to be incorporated into their respective operations. The third parties do not have a contractual obligation to purchase the equipment. The Company maintains the title and risk of loss. Therefore, these units are included in the inventory of the Company. As of December 31, 2012, there were two units held by third parties.

Fixed Assets

Fixed assets are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. The cost of maintenance and repairs is expensed to operations as incurred. Depreciation is computed by the straight-line method over the estimated economic useful life of the assets (5-10 years). Gains and losses recognized from the sales or disposal of assets is the difference between the sales price and the recorded cost less accumulated depreciation less costs of disposal.

Net Loss Per Share

The Company follows ASC 260 “Earnings per share” to calculate its net loss per share. Basic and diluted loss per share has been computed by dividing the net loss available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. The warrants and stock options have been excluded from the calculation since they would be anti-dilutive.

Such equity instruments may have a dilutive effect in the future and include the following potential common shares:

|               | 2012       | 2011       |
|---------------|------------|------------|
| Warrants      | –          | 400,000    |
| Stock options | 12,800,000 | 12,850,000 |
|               | 12,800,000 | 13,250,000 |

Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes are recognized for the tax consequences in future years of differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts based on enacted tax laws and statutory tax rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development costs, which includes travel expenses, consulting fees, subcontractors and salaries are expensed as incurred. There was \$75,009 and \$472,171 in research and development costs during December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

F-9

---

Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc.  
Note to Consolidated Financial Statements  
December 31, 2012 and 2011  
(continued)

#### Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred and are included in general and administrative expenses. There was \$25,139 and \$69,006 in advertising costs during December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

#### Stock-Based Compensation

The Company adopted ASC Topic 718 formerly Statement of Financial Account Standard (SFAS) No. 123(R) effective January 1, 2006. This statement requires compensation expense relating to share-based payments to be recognized in net income using a fair-value measurement method. Under the fair value method, the estimated fair value of awards is charged to income on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period, which is generally the vesting period. The company elected the modified prospective method as prescribed in ASC Topic 718 formerly SFAS No. 123 (R) and therefore, prior periods were not restated. Under the modified prospective method, this statement was applied to new awards granted after the time of adoption, as well as to the unvested portion of previously granted equity-based awards for which the requisite service has not been rendered as of January 1, 2006.

Equity instruments issued to other than employees are recorded on the basis of the fair value of the instruments, as required by FASB Accounting Standards Codification No. 505, Equity Based Payments to Non-Employees. In general, the measurement date is when either (a) performance commitment, as defined, is reached or (b) the earlier of (i) the non-employee performance is complete or (ii) the instruments are vested. The measured value related to the instruments is recognized over a period based on the facts and circumstances of each particular grant as defined in the FASB Accounting Standards Codification.

#### Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The long-lived assets held and used by the Company are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of assets may not be recoverable. It is reasonably possible that these assets could become impaired as a result of technology or other industry changes. Determination of recoverability of assets to be held and used is by comparing the carrying amount of an asset to future net undiscounted cash flows to be generated by the assets. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell. The Company has no such assets and, therefore, no impairments of long-lived assets were recorded as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

#### Reclassifications

Certain amounts from prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. These reclassifications had no impact on the Company's net loss or cash flows.

#### NOTE D – RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In February 2013, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2013-04, Liabilities (Topic 405): Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation Is Fixed at the



Reporting Date (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force). This guidance requires an entity to measure obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation within the scope of this guidance is fixed at the reporting date. This stipulates that (1) it will include the amount the entity agreed to pay for the arrangement between them and the other entities that are also obligated to the liability and (2) any additional amount the entity expects to pay on behalf of the other entities. The objective of this update is to provide guidance for the recognition, measurement, and disclosure of obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements. The amendments in this update are effective for fiscal periods (and interim reporting periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2013. This standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's reported results of operations or financial position.

F-10

---

Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc.  
 Note to Consolidated Financial Statements  
 December 31, 2012 and 2011  
 (continued)

In February 2013, FASB issued Accounting standards update 2013-02, Comprehensive Income Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. This update requires an entity to provide information amount the amount reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. The entity is also required to disclose significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income but only if the amount reclassified is required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting periods. For other amounts that are not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other discourses required under U.S. GAAP that provide additional detail about those amounts. The objective in this Update is to improve the reporting of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The amendments in this update should be applied prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. This standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's reported results of operations or financial position.

#### NOTE E- INVENTORY

Inventory as of December 31 consists of:

|                  | 2012       | 2011       |
|------------------|------------|------------|
| Raw Materials    | \$ 220,755 | \$ 193,314 |
| Work in Progress | -          | -          |
| Finished Goods   | 95,000     | 134,000    |
| Total            | \$ 315,755 | \$ 327,314 |

#### NOTE F - FIXED ASSETS

Fixed assets as of December 31 consists of:

|                                | 2012       | 2011       |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Machinery and equipment        | \$ 495,372 | \$ 495,372 |
| Furniture and fixtures         | 14,498     | 14,498     |
| Autos and Trucks               | 5,294      | 5,294      |
| Total                          | 515,164    | 515,164    |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | (414,784)  | (379,483)  |
| Fixed Assets, net              | \$ 100,380 | \$ 135,681 |

Depreciation expense amounted to \$35,301 and \$22,648 for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 respectively.

#### NOTE G – ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED EXPENSES

Significant components of accounts payable and accrued expenses at December 31, consists of:

|                                     | 2012       | 2011       |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Trade payables and accrued expenses | \$ 227,615 | \$ 265,740 |

|                   |            |            |
|-------------------|------------|------------|
| Customer deposits | 404,070    | 45,500     |
|                   | \$ 631,685 | \$ 311,240 |

F-11

---

Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc.  
 Note to Consolidated Financial Statements  
 December 31, 2012 and 2011  
 (continued)

## NOTE H – NOTES PAYABLE

|   | 2012 | 2011      |
|---|------|-----------|
| Notes payable to finance companies, due in monthly installments of\$<br>\$3,695, including principal and interest at prime plus<br>.25% collateralized by certain equipment | –    | \$ 32,107 |
| Less current portion  | –    | (32,107)  |
| Long term debt  | \$ – | \$ –      |

The Company has recorded interest expense of \$1,147 and \$5,242 for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

## NOTE I - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company incurred salary expenses from the Chief Executive Officer of the Company of \$305,000. For December 31, 2012, \$80,000 has been paid for the year. The unpaid balance has been included in accrued expenses- related party. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the accrued salary is \$483,039 and \$251,107, respectively. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company owes the former CEO \$158,898, which is also included in accrued expenses- related party.

## NOTE J - CAPITAL TRANSACTIONS

## Common stock

Effective April 30, 2010, the Company issued restricted stock grants to acquire an aggregate of 1,100,000 shares of restricted common stock to John DiBella and 300,000 restricted shares to an employee. The shares subject to the grant are subject to forfeiture as follows: 300,000 shares on April 30, 2013, 400,000 shares on April 30, 2014 and 200,000 shares on April 30, 2015, in the event they are no longer full time employees on such dates. The remaining 300,000 stock grants were subject to forfeiture as follows: (1) 100,000 shares on April 30, 2012 (2) 100,000 shares on April 30, 2013, and (3) 100,000 shares on April 30, 2014. The stock grants were valued at \$.38 per share and are amortized over the term of the stock grant. The securities may not be transferred absent registration or applicable exemption. On January 1, 2012, the Company vested 100% of the remaining unvested shares to John DiBella and recorded an expense of \$209,000. The Company recorded the remaining unvested shares as prepaid expense of \$19,000. As of December 31, 2012, the Company also recorded an expense of \$38,000 for the employee portion of the vested shares and a prepaid expense of \$19,000.

On July 22, 2011, the Company issued an aggregate of 300,000 shares of common stock to two consultants in consideration for marketing services. The shares were valued using the fair value of the shares on the date of issuance of \$0.25 per share, for a total of \$75,000.

On July 28, 2011, the Company issued 75,000 shares of common stock to a consultant in consideration for advisory services. The shares were valued using the fair value of the shares on the date of issuance of \$0.28 per shares for a total of \$21,000.

On September 28, 2011, the Company issued 24,000 shares of common stock to a consultant in consideration for advisory services. The shares were valued using the fair value of the shares on the date of issuance of \$0.28 per shares for a total of \$6,720.

On November 14, 2011, the Company issued 75,000 shares of common stock to a consultant in consideration for marketing services. The shares were valued using the fair value of the shares on the date of issuance, of \$0.28 per share for a total of \$21,000.

Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc.  
 Note to Consolidated Financial Statements  
 December 31, 2012 and 2011  
 (continued)

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company sold an aggregate of 800,000 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 400,000 shares of common stock for \$200,000, (\$0.25, per share) to five investors. The warrants are exercisable at \$0.60 per share through September 30, 2012.

On January 1, 2012, the Company issued an aggregate of 100,000 shares of common stock to a consultant in consideration of services to be provided for 18 months with a fair value of \$10,000. The expense will be amortized over the life of the agreement. The Securities were issued pursuant to an exemption from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. The securities may not be transferred absent registration or applicable exemption. As of December 31, 2012 the Company has \$2,000 in prepaid stock compensation.

On January 1, 2012, the Company issued 250,000 shares of common stock to a consultant in consideration for consulting services with a fair value of \$25,000. The expense was amortized over the six month life of the agreement. The shares of common stock were issued under the exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. The shares issued contain a legend restricting their transferability absent registration or applicable exemption. These shares were fully earned as of December 31, 2012.

On July 1, 2012, the Company entered into a six month agreement with a consultant. As compensation for services provided, the Company issued 250,000 shares of common stock, with a fair value of \$45,000. The expense is amortized over six month life of the agreement. As of December 31, 2012 these shares were fully earned.

#### Warrants and Options

In September 2011 the Company issued 400,000 warrants to investors to purchase an aggregate of 400,000 shares of common stock for a period of one year. The warrants expired in September 2012. The purchase price of these warrants are \$0.60 per share. The Company calculated the fair value of the extended warrants by using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions: no dividend yield for all the years; expected volatility of 55%; risk-free interest rate of 5% and an expected life of one year.

Information with respect to warrants outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2012 is as follows:

|                            | Number<br>Outstanding | Range of<br>Exercise Price | Number<br>Exercisable |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | 2,024,982             | \$0.83                     | 2,024,982             |
| Issued                     | 400,000               | \$0.60                     | 400,000               |
| Expired                    | (2,024,982)           | \$0.83                     | (2,024,982)           |
| Balance, December 31, 2011 | 400,000               | \$0.60                     | 400,000               |
| Issued                     | 0                     | -                          | 0                     |
| Expired                    | (400,000)             | \$0.60                     | (400,000)             |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | -                     | -                          | -                     |

Effective June 2, 2010, the Company issued options to Alberto DiBella and John DiBella to purchase 1,900,000 and 2,800,000 shares of restricted common stock, respectively. The options were exercisable at \$0.68 per share for a period of three years from vesting date. The options contain a cashless exercise provision and vest in three annual tranches. Of the options issued to Alberto DiBella, 1,700,000 are exercisable commencing January 30, 2011, 100,000

are exercisable commencing January 30, 2012 and 100,000 are exercisable commencing January 30, 2013. Of the options issued to John DiBella, 2,600,000 are exercisable commencing on January 30, 2011; 100,000 are exercisable on January 30, 2012; and 100,000 are exercisable commencing January 30, 2013. The options were issued in satisfaction of accrued salary and expenses payable to John DiBella and Alberto DiBella in the approximate amounts of \$1,046,000 and \$693,000, respectively. The securities were issued pursuant to an exemption from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. The securities may not be transferred absent

F-13

---

Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc.  
 Note to Consolidated Financial Statements  
 December 31, 2012 and 2011  
 (continued)

registration or applicable exemption. In 2011, all options issued to Alberto DiBella in 2010 were vested. Effective January 1, 2012, the expiration date of the options issued to John DiBella was extended to June 2, 2018, and the exercise price of the options issued to John DiBella was reduced to \$0.18 per share.

Effective November 14, 2011, the Company issued options to Alberto Di Bella and John A. Di Bella to purchase 1,900,000 each. The options are exercisable at \$0.15 per share for a period of 5 years. They are vested immediately. The Company calculated the fair value of the stock options by using the Black Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions: no dividend yield, expected volatility of 81.64%; risk free interest rate of 0.91% and an expected life of 5 years. The options were issued in satisfaction of accrued salary and expenses payable to John DiBella and Alberto DiBella in the approximate total amount of \$337,479.

In January 2012, the Company modified the terms of 8,050,000 previously issued stock options to officers and employees. Per ASC Topic 718, this exchange of stock options was treated as a modification. The incremental value of \$475,019, measured as the excess of the fair value of the modified award over the fair value of the original award immediately before the modification, and using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, was expensed immediately as all the options vested on the date of the exchange.

On January 10, 2012, the Company granted 950,000 stock options with a total fair value of \$69,549 to an employee and a consultant. The shares vested immediately and were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

We used the following assumptions for options granted during the three months ended March 31, 2012:

Expected volatility: 115.31%  
 Expected lives: 3.5 to 5 Years  
 Risk-free interest rate: 0.490% - 0.8990%  
 Expected dividend yield: None

Information with respect to options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2012 is as follows:

|                            | Number<br>Outstanding | Range of<br>Exercise Price | Number<br>Exercisable |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | 9,050,000             | -                          | -                     |
| Issued                     | 3,800,000             | \$0.15                     | 6,250,000             |
| Exercised                  | -                     | -                          | -                     |
| Expired                    | -                     | -                          | -                     |
| Balance, December 31, 2011 | 12,850,000            | -                          | -                     |
| Issued                     | 950,000               | \$0.20                     | 12,650,000            |
| Expired                    | (1,000,000)           | \$(0.40)                   | -                     |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | 12,800,000            | -                          | 12,700,000            |

The following table summarizes information about the stock options outstanding at December 31, 2012:



Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

| Exercise Price | Number Outstanding at December 31, 2012 | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number Exercisable at December 31, 2012 | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|----------------|---|---|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| \$0.15         | 5,800,000                               | 5.58  | 0.15                            | 5,800,000                               | \$0.15                          |
| \$0.18         | 6,050,000                               | 4.18  | 0.18                            | 5,950,000                               | \$0.18                          |
| \$0.20         | 950,000                                 | 4.03  | 0.20                            | 950,000                                 | \$0.20                          |
|                | 12,800,000                              | -   | -                               | 12,700,000                              |                                 |

F-14

---

Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc.  
 Note to Consolidated Financial Statements  
 December 31, 2012 and 2011  
 (continued)

## NOTE K - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

## Litigation

On or about November 17, 2011, a claim was filed in the Broward County Circuit Court in Fort Lauderdale, Florida against the company by Raw Energy Tech, LLC. The plaintiff alleges oral contract between the parties for the alleged design, fabrication and construction of a prototype power pack. Amount of damages sought are approximately \$58,000. We have moved to dismiss the complaint and intend to vigorously defend this action as we believe this claim is without merit. We have accrued an amount in the financial statements to cover our legal expenses as of December 31, 2012.

## Operating Lease

In October 2012 the Company entered into a three (3) year lease for an office and manufacturing facility located at 821 NW 57th Place, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309. The lease is approximately \$6,721 per month, which includes common area maintenance, taxes and insurance. The Company has the option to terminate the lease with three month's notice.

Future minimum lease payments for operating leases at December 31, 2012 are as follows:

|                              |            |
|------------------------------|------------|
| 2013                         | \$ 53,148  |
| 2014                         | 53,412     |
| 2015(10 months)              | 45,610     |
| Total minimum lease payments | \$ 152,170 |

## NOTE L – MAJOR CUSTOMERS

For the year ended December 31, 2012, three customers accounted for approximately 85% of revenues. For the year ended December 31, 2011, four customers accounted for approximately 89% of revenues.

Major customer concentrations as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012 are as follows:

| Customer | Sales     |         | Accounts   |         |
|----------|-----------|---------|------------|---------|
|          | Amount    | Percent | Receivable | Percent |
| A        | \$350,569 | 45%     | \$8,280    | 20%     |
| B        | \$203,549 | 26%     | –          | –       |
| C        | \$108,000 | 14%     | –          | –       |
| D        | –         | –       | \$33,300   | 80%     |

Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc.  
 Note to Consolidated Financial Statements  
 December 31, 2012 and 2011  
 (continued)

Major customer concentrations as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011 are as follows:

| Customer | Sales     |         | Accounts   |         |
|----------|-----------|---------|------------|---------|
|          | Amount    | Percent | Receivable | Percent |
| A        | \$670,000 | 30%     | \$357,000  | 95%     |
| B        | \$545,000 | 25%     | \$19,000   | 5%      |
| C        | \$520,000 | 23%     | \$0        | 0%      |
| D        | \$245,000 | 11%     | \$0        | 0%      |

NOTE M – INCOME TAX

At December 31, 2012 and 2011 we had deferred tax assets principally arising from the net operating loss carry forwards for income tax purposes multiplied by an approximate expected rate of 38% and 40.5%, respectively. As management of the Company cannot determine that it is more likely than not that we will realize the benefit of the deferred tax assets, a valuation allowance equal to the deferred tax asset has been established at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

The significant components of the deferred tax asset at December 31, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

|  | December 31, |            |
|--|--------------|------------|
|  | 2012         | 2011       |
| Current Deferred benefit:                    | \$ 358,076   | \$ 127,469 |
|  | 358,076      | 127,469    |
| Valuation allowance                          | (358,076)    | (127,469)  |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes, net \$ | -            | -          |

The difference between income tax expense computed by applying the federal statutory corporate tax rate and actual income tax expense is as follows:

|                                    | December 31, |       |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------|
|                                    | 2012         | 2011  |
| Combined statutory income tax rate | 38%          | 38%   |
| Valuation allowance                | (38%)        | (38%) |
| Effective tax rate                 | -            | -     |

Deferred income taxes result from temporary differences in the recognition of income and expenses for the financial reporting purposes and for tax purposes. The effects of temporary differences that gave rise to deferred tax assets are as follows:

|  | December 31, |      |
|--|--------------|------|
|  | 2012         | 2011 |
|  |              |      |

|                                  |             |             |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Net operating loss carry-forward | 2,456,845   | 2,098,769   |
| Valuation allowance              | (2,456,845) | (2,098,769) |
| Deferred income tax asset        | \$ -        | \$ -        |

F-16

---

Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc.  
Note to Consolidated Financial Statements  
December 31, 2012 and 2011  
(continued)

The Company has made a 100% valuation allowance of the deferred income tax asset at December 31, 2012, as it is not expected that the deferred tax assets will be realized. The Company has a net operating loss carryforward of \$6,528,953 available to offset future taxable income through 2032.

The Company's federal income tax returns for the years ended December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2012 remains subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Services as of December 31, 2012.

NOTE N - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On February 15, 2013 the Company issued options to purchase up to an aggregate of 165,000 shares of common stock to two employees of the Company in consideration for services performed. The options are exercisable at \$0.20 per share and may be exercised on a cashless basis. The options shall expire at the earlier of (1) February 15, 2018 or (2) the upon the expiration of three calendar months from the date of which employee's continuous employment by the Company or any of its subsidiaries is terminated, provided that in the event of employee's death while in the employ of the Company his personal representatives may exercise the option as to any of the vested shares not previously exercised during his lifetime within three months following the date of his death.

