AIRLEASE LTD Form 10KSB March 18, 2004

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-KSB

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2003

Commission File No. 1-9259

AIRLEASE LTD., A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

CALIFORNIA 94-3008908

(State of Organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

555 CALIFORNIA STREET, FOURTH FLOOR, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (415) 765-1814

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(B) OF THE ACT: NONE

TITLE OF EACH CLASS:

NAME OF EACH EXCHANGE

ON WHICH REGISTERED:

N/A

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(G) OF THE ACT: Depository Units Representing Limited Partnership Interests (Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES X NO ____

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-B is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as designated in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). YES $\,$ NO $\,$ X $\,$

Aggregate market value of Depositary Units, held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of the close of business at February 19, 2004 was \$6,641,223.00.

The revenues for 2003 were \$1,615,000.00

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PA	GΕ
ITEM 1.	PART I BUSINESS	3
ITEM 2.	PROPERTIES	14
ITEM 3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	14
ITEM 4.	SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS	15
	PART II	
ITEM 5.	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	16
ITEM 6.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	19
ITEM 7.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	26
ITEM 8.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	26
ITEM 8A.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	26
	PART III	
ITEM 9.	DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT	27
ITEM 10.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	29
ITEM 11.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	30
ITEM 12.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	31
ITEM 13.	EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K	31
ITEM 14.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	36
SIGNATURES		37
INDEX TO EXHIBIT	'S A-:	12

AIRLEASE LTD., A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP FORM 10-KSB

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

GENERAL.

Airlease Ltd., A California Limited Partnership (the "Partnership"), has been engaged in the business of acquiring, either directly or through joint ventures, commercial jet aircraft, and leasing such aircraft or parts thereof to domestic and foreign airlines, freight carriers and charter companies. The general partner of the Partnership (the "General Partner") is Airlease Management Services, Inc. From 1999 to June 2002, the General Partner was a wholly owned subsidiary of Banc of America Leasing and Capital, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("BALCAP"), in turn a wholly owned subsidiary of Bank of America National Assocation ("BANA"). In June 2002, BALCAP transferred its stock of the General Partner to BANA and the General Partner became a wholly owned subsidiary of BANA. BALCAP also holds 793,750 limited partnership units and United States Airlease Holding, Inc. ("Holding"), also a wholly owned subsidiary of BANA, holds 231,250 limited partnership units. An additional 3,600,000 units are publicly held.

DECISION TO SELL ASSETS AND DISSOLVE

The Partnership's principal investment objectives have been to generate income for quarterly cash distributions to Unitholders and to own a portfolio of leased aircraft. The Partnership's original intent was that until January 1, 2005, it would use a substantial portion of the cash derived from the sale, refinancing or other disposition of aircraft to purchase additional aircraft if attractive investment opportunities were available.

On March 17, 2004, the Board of Directors of the General Partner, acting in response to a recommendation made by a special committee of independent directors of its Board of Directors (the "Special Committee"), directed the General Partner to cease making new aircraft investments, sell the Partnership's remaining assets as attractive sale opportunities arise, distribute sale proceeds (after repaying debt and establishing appropriate reserves) to Unitholders after disposition, and dissolve the Partnership when all assets are sold. The General Partner also has amended the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of the Partnership, as amended (the "Limited Partnership Agreement"), to give effect to this directive.

3

In 1997, the Unitholders of the Partnership authorized the General Partner to take these actions. Since that time, the General Partner had deferred taking such actions and continued to operate the Partnership while considering, from time to time, alternative investments. However, the General Partner has not made new investments in aircraft, primarily due to the weak aircraft leasing

market. For a variety of reasons, including the General Partner's belief that significant improvement in this market is not forthcoming in the near term for the Partnership's aircraft, the General Partner determined, on the basis of the Special Committee's determination, that Unitholders likely will realize greater value from a dissolution of the Partnership compared to continued operation of the Partnership. Accordingly, the General Partner intends to exercise fully and promptly the authority granted to it previously by the Unitholders to sell assets, distribute net proceeds and dissolve the Partnership. To that end, the General Partner has commenced actively seeking buyers for the Partnership's aircraft.

Given current market conditions, the General Partner cannot predict either the actual timing for completing sales of the Partnership's aircraft or the prices and other terms of such sales. The General Partner also cannot predict when net proceeds will be distributed to Unitholders or the aggregate amount of such net proceeds, both of which will depend upon a number of factors, including market conditions, the timing and terms of such asset sales, the amount of cash required to settle outstanding liabilities and contingencies, the amount of necessary cash reserves, and the expenses associated with selling assets and dissolving the Partnership.

In 1997, the Unitholders also authorized the General Partner to impose restrictions on the transferability of outstanding units. The General Partner has not taken this action, although it reserves the right to do so if it concludes that implementing such restrictions would be in the best interests of the Unitholders in light of current partnership tax law.

4

AIRCRAFT PORTFOLIO

The Partnership's aircraft portfolio consists of narrow-body (single-aisle) twin and tri-jet commercial aircraft, which were acquired as used aircraft. Although the Partnership is permitted to do so, the Partnership does not own interests in aircraft that were acquired as new aircraft; nor does the Partnership own any wide-body aircraft, such as the Boeing 747 and MD-11, or any turboprop or prop-fan powered aircraft.

At December 31, 2003, the Partnership's portfolio consisted of four Stage-III commercial aircraft. Two were leased to CSI Aviation Services, Inc. ("CSI"), one aircraft was leased to FedEx Corporation ("FedEx"), and one aircraft was held for sale. The following table further describes the Partnership's aircraft portfolio at December 31, 2003:

Lessee	Number & type; year of Delivery	Ownership Interest	Acquired by Partnership	Current lease expiration	Lease Type
CSI Aviation	2 MD-82 1981	100%	1986	2004	Operating
FedEx	1 727-200FH	100%	1987	2006	Direct finance

1979

Held for sale(2) 1 MD-81 100% 1986 N/A N/A 1981

At December 31, 2003, the book value of aircraft by lessee as a percent of total assets was as follows: FedEx, 32.2%; CSI, 19.5%; and off-lease aircraft, 10.4%. Revenues by lessee as a percentage of total revenue for 2003 and 2002, respectively, were as follows: CSI, 82.4% and 88.2%; and FedEx, 15.7% and 9.9%.

CSI operates the two aircraft it leases under a contract for charter services to the United States Marshals Service ("USMS"). In March 2003, the CSI leases were extended on a month-to-month basis at a monthly rental rate of \$60,000 per aircraft. In September 2003, the CSI leases were amended to provide for a reduced lease rate of \$45,000 per aircraft, so long as CSI is leasing both aircraft, and a lease rate of \$60,000 per aircraft if CSI is leasing only one of the two aircraft. CSI's contract with USMS was expected to terminate in January 2004. In January 2004, the leases on the two aircraft were extended to May 2004 to coincide with USMS's extension of its contract with CSI. The lease extension provides for a monthly rental of \$100,000 per month per aircraft. However, the Partnership is required to contribute \$150,000 per aircraft toward the

5

FAA required airframe maintenance referred to as a C-Check. In addition, the Partnership is required to provide a \$50,000 per month rent credit per aircraft for the three-month period commencing February 10, 2004. As a result, the expenses and rent credit will fully offset the rental income during this period. The monthly rental on one of the aircraft will revert to \$60,000 if CSI and the Partnership agree on an additional extension past May 10, 2004.

During 2003, management prepared quarterly impairment evaluations on the two MD-82 aircraft currently on lease to CSI. The evaluations indicated that the aircraft were impaired as defined by SFAS No. 144, ACCOUNTING FOR THE IMPAIRMENT OR DISPOSAL OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS ("SFAS 144"). An impairment charge was recorded in June 2003 in the amount of \$1,576,000 per aircraft. A second impairment charge was recorded in December 2003 in the amount of \$2,858,000 per aircraft as a result of additional declines in estimated values and changes to probability assumptions applied to projected cash flows from the aircraft. After consideration of these impairment charges, each aircraft has a book value of \$1,125,000 at December 31, 2003.

The Partnership also leases a 727-200 FH aircraft to FedEx Corporation ("FedEx"). This lease is scheduled to terminate in April 2006. In 2003, the residual value of the aircraft was reviewed. The review indicated that the estimate of residual value had declined, and such decline was judged to be other than temporary. As a result, a downward adjustment was made, reducing the residual value from \$2,000,000 to \$700,000. This new valuation resulted in an immediate charge to earnings in the amount of \$1,168,000, and a reduction of \$132,000 in earnings over the remaining term of the lease. FedEx has the right to renew its lease for one six-month term at the current rent payable under the lease, and thereafter for four successive one-year terms at a fair market value rental.

On August 12, 2003, the Partnership entered into an agreement with

OLSF, LLC ("OLSF") to sell three off-lease MD-81 aircraft in intervals of 120 days, with the first aircraft being delivered on that date. The purchase price per aircraft was \$1,200,000. As a result of the contractual sales price of the aircraft being lower than their book value, the aircraft were written-down in the second quarter of 2003. The amount of the write-down per aircraft of \$1,500,000 was equal to the difference between the carrying book value of \$2,700,000 and the sale amount of \$1,200,000. In September of 2003, the agreement with OLSF was amended to accelerate the sale and delivery of the second aircraft to September 26, 2003. A deposit of \$200,000 previously paid to the Partnership and included on the balance sheet as sale deposit as of December 31, 2003, was credited against the \$1,200,000 purchase price of the third aircraft, which was sold and delivered on January 26, 2004.

In June 2002, the Partnership commenced litigation against US Airways seeking to recover damages for US Airways' failure to return three aircraft leased to US Airways in the condition prescribed in the lease following its expiration on October 1, 2001 and to pay rent due on the aircraft. US Airways subsequently filed for bankruptcy, and the owner trustee for the Partnership (the "Owner Trustee") filed a proof of claim in the bankruptcy case in the amount of \$13.0 million with respect to the aircraft. In September 2003, the Owner Trustee and US Airways entered into a stipulation providing for the allowance of an unsecured claim in the bankruptcy

6

case in the amount of \$9.3 million. In its Disclosure Statement dated January 17, 2003, filed as part of its proposed plan of reorganization, US Airways projected that it would pay between 1.2 percent and 1.8 percent on unsecured claims. In December 2003, the Partnership received a partial distribution from US Airways in the form of US Airways Class A Common stocks and Warrants redeemable for Class A Preferred stocks. The Partnership received 6,975 shares of Class A Common stocks, valued at \$43,385 (\$6.22 per share) and warrants for 4,278 shares of Class A Preferred stocks. No value was recorded on the Preferred stocks since the per share strike price of \$7.42 is higher than the closing stock price of \$6.22 at December 31, 2003.

See "MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS" for a further discussion of the Partnership's lessees.

COMPETITIVE POSITION OF THE PARTNERSHIP

The aircraft leasing industry has become increasingly competitive. In making aircraft investments, leasing aircraft to lessees, and seeking purchasers of aircraft, the Partnership competes with large leasing companies, aircraft manufacturers, airlines and other operators, equipment managers, financial institutions and other parties engaged in leasing, managing, marketing or remarketing aircraft. Affiliates of the General Partner are engaged in many of these businesses and may be deemed to be in competition with the Partnership. There are many large leasing companies, which have the financial strength to borrow at very low rates and to obtain significant discounts when purchasing large quantities of aircraft. The lower capital and acquisition costs available to these large leasing companies and the large inventory of aircraft permit them to offer airlines lower lease rates than smaller leasing companies can offer. The Partnership does not have the resources to purchase newer aircraft or to purchase aircraft at volume discounts and has only a limited ability to use tax deferrals in its pricing.

As previously reported to Unitholders, the Partnership's access to capital is limited. Since all Cash Available from Operations, as defined in the Limited Partnership Agreement, is distributed, there is no build up of equity

capital, and acquisitions must be funded from proceeds available when aircraft are sold or from debt. Access to debt is limited because the Partnership's leased aircraft are generally used to collateralize existing borrowings. In general, the Partnership's pricing is uncompetitive for new acquisitions because of its limited sources and high cost of capital.

Because of these factors, finding new aircraft investments that offer an appropriate balance of risk and reward has been difficult. During the past ten years (and before 1997) the Partnership has made only two aircraft investments, both of which were possible because of special circumstances.

In 1996, 1997, 2001, 2003 and January 2004, the Partnership sold its interests in twelve aircraft:

7

- o a 50% interest in an aircraft on lease to Finnair,
- o a one-third interest in six aircraft on lease to Continental,
- o a 50% interest in one aircraft leased to Sun Jet International,
- o $\,$ a 100% interest in an aircraft previously on lease to TWA and American Airlines, and
- o a 100% interest in three MD-81 off-lease aircraft, previously on lease to US Airways.

See "Disposition of Aircraft" below for a further discussion of these transactions. Because of the factors described above, the Partnership was unable to reinvest the proceeds from these transactions in aircraft at an acceptable return, and the General Partner determined that the best use of the net proceeds was to distribute them to Unitholders. These sales and distributions have reduced the size of the Partnership's portfolio.

DESCRIPTION OF LEASES

Operating leases are for a shorter term than full-payout leases and, therefore, it is necessary to remarket the aircraft in order to recover the full investment. Full-payout leases are generally for a longer term and hence provide more predictable revenue than operating leases.

The 727-200FH aircraft on lease to FedEx is leased pursuant to a full-payout lease, and the two MD-82 aircraft on lease to CSI are leased pursuant to operating leases. The two MD-82 aircraft on lease to CSI, were previously leased to US Airways pursuant to full-payout leases.

All of the Partnership's leases are net leases, which provide that the lessee will bear the direct operating costs and the risk of physical loss of the aircraft; pay sales, use or other similar taxes relating to the lease or use of the aircraft; maintain the aircraft; indemnify the Partnership-lessor against any liability suffered by the Partnership as the result of any act or omission of the lessee or its agents; maintain casualty insurance in an amount equal to the specific amount set forth in the lease (which may be less than the fair value of the aircraft); and maintain liability insurance naming the Partnership as an additional insured with a minimum coverage which the General Partner deems appropriate. In general, substantially all obligations connected with the ownership and operation of the leased aircraft are assumed by the lessee and minimal operating obligations are imposed upon the Partnership. Default by a lessee may cause the Partnership to incur unanticipated expenses. See "Government Regulation" below.

Certain provisions of the Partnership's leases may not be enforceable

upon a default by a lessee or in the event of a lessee's bankruptcy. The enforceability of leases will be subject to limitations imposed by Federal, California, or other applicable state law and equitable principles.

In order to encourage equipment financing to certain transportation industries, Federal bankruptcy laws traditionally have afforded special treatment to certain lenders or lessors who have provided such financing. Section 1110 ("Section 1110") of the United States Bankruptcy Code, as amended (the "Bankruptcy Code"), implements this policy by creating a category of aircraft lenders and lessors whose rights to repossession are substantially improved. If a transaction is eligible under Section 1110, the right of the lender or lessor to take possession of

ρ

the equipment upon default is not affected by the automatic stay provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, unless within 60 days after commencement of a bankruptcy proceeding the trustee agrees to perform all obligations of the debtor under the agreement or lease and all defaults (except those relating to insolvency or insolvency proceedings) are cured within such 60-day period or 30 days after the default. One court has recently held that Section 1110 does not apply after the 60-day period, and thus the automatic stay may apply after such 60-day period.

The Bankruptcy Reform Act of 1994 (the "Reform Act") made several changes to Section 1110, such that it now protects all transactions involving qualifying equipment, whether the transaction is a lease, conditional sale, purchase money financing or customary refinancing. For equipment first placed in service on or prior to the date of enactment (October 22, 1994), the requirement that the lender provide purchase money financing continues to apply, but there is a "safe harbor" definition for leases, so that Section 1110 benefits will be available to the lessor without regard to whether or not the lease is ultimately determined to be a "true" lease. This safe harbor is not the exclusive test so that other leases which do not qualify under the safe harbor, but which are true leases, will continue to be covered as leases by Section 1110. The Partnership may not be entitled to the benefits of Section 1110 upon insolvency of a lessee airline under all of its leases.

In the past, the Partnership had interests in aircraft leased to operators based outside the United States. It is possible that the Partnership's aircraft could be leased or subleased to foreign airlines. Aircraft on lease to such foreign operators are not registered in the United States and it is not possible to file liens on such foreign aircraft with the Federal Aviation Administration (the "FAA"). Further, in the event of a lessee default or bankruptcy, repossession and claims would be subject to laws other than those of the United States.

AIRCRAFT REMARKETING

On termination of a lease and return of the aircraft to the Partnership, the Partnership previously remarketed the aircraft to realize its full investment. Under the Limited Partnership Agreement, the remarketing of aircraft may be through a lease or sale. The terms and conditions of any such lease would be determined at the time of the re-lease, and it is possible (although not anticipated at this time) that the lease may not be a net lease. The General Partner would evaluate the risks associated with leases, which are not net leases prior to entering into any such lease. The General Partner has not established any standards for lessees to which it will lease aircraft and, as a result, there is no investment restriction prohibiting the Partnership from doing business with any lessee, including "start-up" airlines. However, the General Partner analyzes the credit of a potential lessee and evaluate the

aircraft's potential value prior to entering into any lease.

From and after March 17, 2004, the General Partner will evaluate leasing opportunities in light of its decision to sell the Partnership's assets and dissolve.

9

DISPOSITION OF AIRCRAFT

The Partnership's original intent was to dispose of all its aircraft by the year 2011, subject to prevailing market conditions and other factors. From and after March 17, 2004, the General Partner intends to sell aircraft as attractive opportunities arise.

Under the Limited Partnership Agreement, aircraft may be sold at any time whether or not the aircraft are subject to leases if, in the judgment of the General Partner, it is in the best interest of the Partnership to do so.

The Partnership is permitted to sell aircraft to affiliates of the General Partner at the fair market value of the aircraft at the time of sale as established by an independent appraisal. The General Partner receives a Disposition or Remarketing Fee for all aircraft sold. No aircraft were sold to affiliates in 2001, 2002 or 2003.

In March 1996, the Partnership sold its 50% interest in one MD-82 on lease to Finnair to a third party for approximately \$6,900,000, resulting in a net gain of approximately \$556,000. The Partnership had acquired its interest in this aircraft in April 1992, for approximately \$8,500,000. A portion of the sale proceeds were used to pay off the outstanding balance under a non-recourse loan, which was collateralized by this aircraft and the balance, after retaining a reserve for liquidity purposes, was distributed to Unitholders.

The Partnership sold its one-third interest in six 737-200 aircraft on lease to Continental at lease expiration on December 31, 1996, at a sale price of approximately \$3,100,000, resulting in a net gain of approximately \$1,900,000. The proceeds were distributed to Unitholders in the first quarter of 1997.

On September 29, 1997 the Partnership sold its 50% ownership interest in a DC9-51 aircraft on lease to Sun Jet International, Inc. The sale price was \$1,200,000, resulting in a gain of \$393,000 even though the lessee had filed for bankruptcy in June 1997, and had ceased making the rent payments. The proceeds were distributed to Unitholders in the fourth quarter of 1997.

In December 2001, the Partnership sold its 100% interest in an MD-82 aircraft previously on lease to American Airlines, at a sale price of approximately \$9,000,000, resulting in a net gain of approximately \$965,000. The proceeds were distributed to Unitholders in the first quarter of 2002.

In August and September of 2003, and in January 2004, the Partnership sold its 100% interest in three MD-81 aircraft to OLSF, LLC, at a sale price of \$1,200,000 per aircraft. As a result of the contractual sale price of the aircraft being lower than their book value at the time of the sales agreement, each of the three aircraft was written-down by \$1,500,000 in the second quarter of 2003. No gain was recorded in connection with these sales.

JOINT VENTURES/GENERAL ARRANGEMENTS

Under the Limited Partnership Agreement, the Partnership may enter into joint ventures with third parties to acquire or own aircraft. No such joint ventures presently exist.

BORROWING POLICIES

Under the Limited Partnership Agreement, the Partnership may borrow funds or assume financing in an aggregate amount equal to less than 50% of the higher of the cost or fair market value at the time of the borrowing of all aircraft owned by the Partnership. The Partnership may exceed such 50% limit for short-term borrowing so long as the General Partner uses its best efforts to comply with such 50% limit within 120 days from the date such indebtedness is incurred or if the borrowed funds are necessary to prevent foreclosure on any Partnership asset. There is no limitation on the amount of such short-term indebtedness. The General Partner is authorized to borrow for working capital purposes and to make distributions. See "MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS—Liquidity and Capital Resources" and Note 7 of Notes to Financial Statements.

MANAGEMENT OF AIRCRAFT PORTFOLIO

Aircraft management services are provided by the General Partner and its affiliates. The fees and expenses for these services are reviewed annually and are subject to approval by the Audit Committee of the Partnership. See Note 9 of Notes to Financial Statements.

REGISTRATION OF AIRCRAFT; UNITED STATES PERSON

Under the Federal Aviation Act, as amended (the "FAA Act"), the operation of an aircraft not registered with the Federal Aviation Administration (the "FAA") in the United States is generally unlawful. Subject to certain limited exceptions, an aircraft may not be registered under the FAA Act unless it is owned by a "citizen of the United States" or a "resident alien" of the United States. In order to attempt to ensure compliance with the citizenship requirements of the FAA Act, the Limited Partnership Agreement requires that all Unitholders (and all transferees of Units) be United States citizens or resident aliens within the meaning of the FAA Act.

11

GOVERNMENT REGULATION

GENERAL

The ownership and operation of aircraft in the United States are strictly regulated by the FAA, which imposes certain minimum restrictions and economic burdens upon the use, maintenance and ownership of aircraft. The FAA Act and FAA regulations contain strict provisions governing various aspects of aircraft ownership and operation, including aircraft inspection and certification, maintenance, equipment requirements, general operating and flight rules, noise levels, certification of personnel and record keeping in connection with aircraft maintenance. FAA policy has given high priority to aviation safety, and a primary objective of FAA regulations is that an aircraft be maintained properly during its service life. FAA regulations establish standards for repairs, periodic overhauls and alterations and require that the owner or operator of an aircraft establish an airworthiness inspection program to be carried out by certified mechanics qualified to perform aircraft repairs. Each

aircraft in operation is required to have a Standard Airworthiness Certificate issued by the FAA.

MAINTENANCE

The Partnership, as the beneficial owner of aircraft, bears the ultimate responsibility for compliance with certain federal regulations. However, under all of the Partnership's aircraft leases, the lessee has the primary obligation to ensure that at all times the use, operation, maintenance and repair of the aircraft are in compliance with all applicable governmental rules and regulations and that the Partnership/lessor is indemnified from loss by the lessee for breach of any of these lessee responsibilities. Changes in government regulations after the Partnership's acquisition of aircraft may increase the cost to, and other burdens on, the Partnership of complying with such regulations.

The General Partner monitors the physical condition of the Partnership's aircraft. Maintenance is further regulated by the FAA which also monitors compliance. At lease termination, the lessees are required to return the aircraft in airworthy condition. The Partnership may incur unanticipated maintenance expenses if a lessee were to default under a lease and the Partnership were to take possession of the leased aircraft without such maintenance having been completed. If the lessee defaulting is in bankruptcy, the General Partner will file a proof of claim for the required maintenance expenses in the lessee's bankruptcy proceedings and attempt to negotiate payment and reimbursement of a portion of these expenses. The bankruptcy of a lessee could adversely impact the Partnership's ability to recover maintenance expense.

From time to time, aircraft manufacturers issue service bulletins and the FAA issues airworthiness directives. These bulletins and directives provide instructions to aircraft operators in the maintenance of aircraft and are intended to prevent the occurrence of accidents arising from flaws discovered during maintenance or as the result of aircraft incidents. Compliance with airworthiness directives is mandatory.

12

A formal program to control corrosion in all aircraft is included in the FAA mandatory requirements for maintenance for each type of aircraft. These FAA rules and proposed rules evidence the current approach to aircraft maintenance developed by the manufacturers and supported by the FAA in conjunction with an aircraft industry group. The Partnership may be required to pay for these FAA requirements if a lessee defaults or if necessary to re-lease or sell the aircraft.

AIRCRAFT NOISE

The FAA, through regulations, has categorized certain aircraft types as Stage I, Stage II and Stage III according to the noise level as measured at three designated points. Stage I aircraft create the highest measured noise levels. Stage I and Stage II aircraft are no longer allowed to operate from civil airports in the United States.

See "Aircraft Portfolio" above, for a description of the Partnership's aircraft portfolio. At December 31, 2003, all of the aircraft in the Partnership's portfolio were Stage III aircraft.

ACQUISITION OF ADDITIONAL AIRCRAFT

From and after March 17, 2004, the General Partner will no longer make

investments in aircraft. See "Decision to Sell Assets and Dissolve" above.

Notwithstanding the above, if the Partnership were to acquire additional aircraft, it could do so in many different forms, such as in sale/leaseback transactions, by purchasing interests in existing leases from other lessors, by making loans secured by aircraft or by acquiring or financing leasehold interests in aircraft. The Partnership is permitted to acquire aircraft from affiliates of the General Partner subject to limitations set forth in the Limited Partnership Agreement.

FEDERAL INCOME TAXATION

The Partnership is considered a publicly traded partnership ("PTP") under the Revenue Act of 1987 with a special tax status, whereby it has not been subject to federal income taxation. This special tax status was scheduled to expire at the beginning of 1998. However, during 1997 federal and California tax laws were amended to provide that PTPs may elect to continue to be publicly traded and retain their Partnership tax status if they pay a federal tax of 3.5% and a California state tax of 1% on their applicable annual gross income beginning in January 1998. The Partnership made an election to pay this tax beginning in 1998.

EMPLOYEES

The Partnership has no employees. See "DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT - General" below. Employees of the General Partner provide services

13

on behalf of the Partnership.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Partnership does not own any real property, and shares office space in the offices of BALCAP and its affiliates.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In June 2002, the Partnership commenced litigation against US Airways seeking to recover damages for Airways' failure to return three aircraft leased to US Airways in the condition prescribed in the lease following lease expiration on October 1, 2001 and to pay rent due on such aircraft. US Airways subsequently filed for bankruptcy, and the owner trustee for the Partnership (the "Owner Trustee") filed a proof of claim in the bankruptcy case in the amount of \$13.0 million with respect to the aircraft. In September 2003, the Owner Trustee and US Airways entered into a stipulation providing for the allowance of an unsecured claim in the bankruptcy case in the amount of \$9.3 million. In its Disclosure Statement dated January 17, 2003, filed as part of its proposed plan of reorganization, US Airways projected that it would pay between 1.2 percent and 1.8 percent on unsecured claims. In December 2003, the Partnership received a partial distribution from US Airways in the form of company Class A Common stocks and Warrants redeemable for Class A Preferred stocks. The Partnership received 6,975 shares of Class A Common stocks, valued at \$43,385 (\$6.22 per share) and warrants for 4,278 of Class A Preferred stocks. No value was recorded on the Preferred stocks since the per share strike price of \$7.42 is higher than the closing stock price of \$6.22 at December 31, 2003.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

14

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

UNITS OUTSTANDING

Since September 9, 2002, the Partnership's Units have been trading on the OTC Bulletin Board ("OTCBB") under the symbol AIRL. Prior to that date, the Units were traded on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol FLY. The units were delisted from the NYSE because the Partnership ceased to meet the NYSE's continued listing criteria. As of February 19, 2004, there were 722 Unitholders of record.

MARKET PRICE

The following chart sets forth the high and low closing prices on the New York Stock Exchange or the high and low bid information as reported on the over-the-counter market and the trading volume for each of the quarters in the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002. Over-the-counter market quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission, and may not represent actual transactions.

Quarter Ended	Trading Volume (in thousands) Un	nit Prices (high-low)
March 31, 2003	510	\$0.97 - \$0.72
June 30, 2003	561	\$1.85 - \$0.65
September 30, 2003	571	\$2.03 - \$1.25
December 31, 2003	571	\$2.03 - \$1.55
March 31, 2002	378	\$6.60 - \$4.95
June 30, 2002	361	\$5.99 - \$3.03
September 30, 2002	438	\$3.30 - \$1.50
December 31, 2002	678	\$1.25 - \$0.61

DISTRIBUTIONS TO UNITHOLDERS

CASH DISTRIBUTIONS

Historically the Partnership has made quarterly cash distributions to Unitholders, which are based on Cash Available from Operations (as defined in the Limited Partnership Agreement) and

15

are partially tax sheltered. From time to time, the Partnership also has made cash distributions from Cash Available from Sale or Refinancing (as defined in the Limited Partnership Agreement.) Information on the tax status of such payments, which is necessary in the preparation of individual tax returns, is prepared and mailed to Unitholders as quickly as practical after the close of each year.

Distributions declared during 2003 and 2002 were as follows:

Record Date	Payment Date	Per Unit
		
March 31, 2003	May 15, 2003	5 cents
June 30, 2003	August 15, 2003	5 cents
September 30, 2003	November 14, 2003	5 cents
September 30, 2003 (Special)	November 14, 2003	26 cents
December 30, 2003	February 13, 2004	5 cents
December 30, 2003 (Special)	February 13, 2004	26 cents
March 29, 2002	May 15, 2002	11 cents
June 28, 2002	August 15, 2002	11 cents
October 4, 2002	November 15, 2002	5 cents
December 31, 2002	February 14, 2003	5 cents

CASH AVAILABLE FROM OPERATIONS

The Partnership distributes all Cash Available from Operations (as defined in the Limited Partnership Agreement). The Partnership is authorized to make distributions from any source, including reserves and borrowed funds. Distributions of Cash Available from Operations are allocated 99% to Unitholders and 1% to the General Partner. The Partnership makes distributions each year of Cash Available from Operations generally on the fifteenth day of February, May, August and November to Unitholders of record on the last business day of the calendar quarter preceding payment.

CASH AVAILABLE FROM SALE OR REFINANCING

On March 17, 2004, the Board of Directors of the General Partner directed the General Partner to cease making new aircraft investments, sell the Partnership's remaining assets as attractive sale opportunities arise, distribute sale proceeds (after repaying debt and establishing appropriate reserves) to Unitholders after disposition, and dissolve the Partnership when all assets are sold. The General Partner also has amended the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of the Partnership, as amended (the "Limited Partnership Agreement") to give effect to this directive. See "BUSINESS - Decision to Sell Assets and Dissolve." Among other things, the Limited Partnership Agreement has been amended to require that, after March 17, 2004, all Cash Available From Sale or Refinancing (as defined therein) would be distributed to the Unitholders.

16

The Partnership's original intent was that Cash Available From Sale or Refinancing (as defined in the Limited Partnership Agreement) received prior to January 1, 2005 would be retained for use in the Partnership's business, provided that if the General Partner did not believe that attractive investment opportunities exist for the Partnership, the Partnership could distribute Cash Available from Sale or Refinancing to Unitholders. Also, any Cash Available from Sale or Refinancing received after January 1, 2005 would not be reinvested but was to be distributed to the Unitholders.

For information as to the sales giving rise to distributions from Cash Available from Sales or Refinancing, see "BUSINESS--Disposition of Aircraft."

TAX ALLOCATIONS

Allocations for tax purposes of income, gain, loss deduction, credit

and tax preference are made on a monthly basis to Unitholders who owned Units on the first day of each month. Thus, for example, if an aircraft were sold at a gain, that gain would be allocated to Unitholders who owned Units on the first day of the month in which the sale occurred. If proceeds from this sale were distributed to Unitholders, such proceeds would be distributed to Unitholders who owned Units on the record date for such distribution, which, because of notice requirements, likely would not occur in the same month as the sale. In addition, a Unitholder who transfers his or her Units after the commencement of a quarter but prior to the record date for that quarter will be allocated a share of tax items for the first two months of that quarter without any corresponding distribution of Cash Available from Operations for, among other things, payment of any resulting tax.

17

ITEM 6. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

SAFE HARBOR STATEMENT UNDER THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

The Partnership has included in this annual report certain "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 concerning the Partnership's business, operations and financial condition. The words or phrases "can be", "may affect", "may depend", "expect", "believe", "anticipate", "intend", "will", "estimate", "project" and similar words and phrases are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements are subject to various known and unknown risks and uncertainties and the Partnership cautions you that any forward-looking information provided by or on behalf of the Partnership is not a quarantee of future performance. Actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in such forward-looking statements due to a number of factors, some of which are beyond the Partnership's control, in addition to those discussed in the Partnership's public filings and press releases, including (i) changes in the aircraft or aircraft leasing market, (ii) economic downturn in the airline industry, (iii) default by lessees under leases causing the Partnership to incur uncontemplated expenses or not to receive rental income as and when expected, (iv) the impact of the events of September 11, 2001, and war, acts of terrorism, or other military involvement by the U.S. or others in Iraq or other regions, on the aircraft or aircraft leasing market and on the airline industry, (v) changes in interest rates and (vi) legislative or regulatory changes that adversely affect the value of aircraft. All such forward-looking statements are current only as of the date on which such statements were made. The Partnership does not undertake any obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which any such statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

On March 17, 2004, the Board of Directors of the General Partner directed the General Partner to cease making new aircraft investments, sell the Partnership's remaining assets as attractive sale opportunities arise, distribute sale proceeds (after repaying debt and establishing appropriate reserves) to Unitholders after disposition, and dissolve the Partnership when all assets are sold. The leased assets in the portfolio of the Partnership as reflected in the consolidated financial statements accompanying this report are shown at the estimated fair value. See " -- Long Lived Assets" and "Impairment" below. The Partnership will classify all lease assets as held for sale for the quarter ending March 31, 2004.

In response to the SEC's financial release FR-60, "Cautionary Advice Regarding Disclosure About Critical Accounting Policies," the Partnership has identified the most critical accounting principles upon which its financial reporting depends. It determined the critical principles by considering accounting policies that involve the most complex or subjective decisions or assessments. The Partnership identified its most critical accounting policies to be those related to lease revenue recognition, depreciation policies, and valuation of aircraft. These

18

accounting policies are stated in the notes to the financial statements and in relevant sections in this discussion and analysis. All three accounting policies directly affect the Partnership's earnings and involve estimations regarding residual and salvage values and current aircraft market values. These estimations involve judgments and assumptions on the part of management regarding current and future aircraft market conditions and lease rates. Aircraft valuations are typically derived from publications published by independent aircraft appraisers and adjusted for aircraft conditions as to whether the aircraft need additional maintenance work or refurbishing. These estimations bear the risk of change due among other things to uncertainties about the future of the economy, the health of the airline industry and to changes in the aircraft or aircraft leasing market.

Long Lived Assets - The Partnership accounts for its long-lived assets, including Operating Leases, aircraft held for lease and aircraft held for sale, in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No 144 "ACCOUNTING FOR THE IMPAIRMENT OR DISPOSAL OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS".

The statement's provisions supersede SFAS 121, "ACCOUNTING FOR THE IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS AND FOR LONG-LIVED ASSETS TO BE DISPOSED OF", which addressed asset impairment, and certain provisions of APB Opinion 30 related to reporting the effects of the disposal of a business segment and requires expected future operating losses from discontinued operations to be recorded in the period in which the losses are incurred rather than the measurement date.

SFAS 144 addresses how and when to measure impairment on long-lived assets that an entity plans to dispose of either through sale, abandonment, exchange, or distribution to owners. SFAS 144 retains the requirements of SFAS 121 whereby an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to the difference between the carrying value and the fair value if the carrying value of an asset is not recoverable based on undiscounted future cash flows. In accordance with SFAS 144, the Partnership tests its long-lived assets for recoverability at least annually and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that its carrying amount may not be recoverable.

Operating Leases - Leases that do not meet the criteria for finance leases are accounted for as operating leases. The Partnership's undivided interests in aircraft subject to operating leases are recorded at carrying value of the aircraft at lease inception. Aircraft are depreciated over the related lease terms, generally five to nine years on a straight-line basis to an estimated salvage value, or over their estimated useful lives for aircraft held for lease, on a straight-line basis to an estimated salvage value.

IMPAIRMENT

On August 12, 2003, the Partnership signed a sales agreement with OLSF, LLC ("OLSF") to sell three off-lease aircraft in intervals of 120 days, with the first aircraft being delivered on August 12, 2003. The purchase price per

aircraft was \$1,200,000. As a result of the contractual sale price of the aircraft being lower than their book value, the aircraft were written-down in

19

the second quarter of 2003. The \$1,500,000 write-down per aircraft was equal to the difference between the carrying book value of \$2,700,000 and the sale price of \$1,200,000.

Residual valuation, which is reviewed annually, represents the estimated amount to be received from the disposition of aircraft after lease termination. If necessary, residual adjustments are made which result in an immediate charge to earnings and/or a reduction in earnings over the remaining term of the lease. In 2003, the residual value of the 727-200FH aircraft on lease to FedEx was reviewed. The review indicated that the estimate of residual value had declined, and such decline was judged to be other than temporary. As a result, the booked residual was reduced from \$2,000,000 to \$700,000. This new valuation resulted in an immediate charge to earnings in the amount of \$1,168,000, which is included on the statement of operations as impairment charge on aircraft, and a reduction of \$132,000 in earnings over the remaining term of the lease.

During 2003, management prepared quarterly impairment evaluations on the two aircraft currently on lease to CSI. The evaluations indicated that the carrying value of the aircraft was not recoverable based on the undiscounted future cash flows to be generated by the aircraft. An impairment charge was recorded in June 2003 in the amount of \$1,576,000 per aircraft. A second impairment charge was recorded in December 2003 in the amount of \$2,858,000 per aircraft as a result of additional declines in estimated values and changes to probability assumptions applied to projected cash flows from the aircraft. The book value per aircraft after the impairment charges is \$1,125,000.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

On March 17, 2004, the Board of Directors of the General Partner directed the General Partner to cease making new aircraft investments, sell the Partnership's remaining assets as attractive sale opportunities arise, distribute sale proceeds (after repaying debt and establishing appropriate reserves) to Unitholders after disposition, and dissolve the Partnership when all assets are sold. The General Partner also has amended the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of the Partnership, as amended (the "Limited Partnership Agreement") to give effect to this directive. See "BUSINESS - Decision to Sell Assets and Dissolve."

Aircraft sales will further reduce the Partnership's portfolio, which in turn will prospectively reduce net cash flows from operations. Also, given current market conditions, the General Partner cannot predict either the actual timing for completing sales of the Partnership's aircraft or the prices and other terms of such sales. The General Partner also cannot predict when net proceeds will be distributed to Unitholders or the aggregate amount of such net proceeds, both of which will depend upon a number of factors, including market conditions, the timing and terms of such asset sales, the amount of cash required to settle outstanding liabilities and contingencies, the amount of necessary cash reserves, and the expenses associated with selling assets and dissolving the Partnership.

The Partnership presently has one long-term debt facility. At December 31, 2003, the

7.4% non-recourse note collateralized by one aircraft leased to FedEx had an outstanding balance of \$2.0 million. The facility matures in April 2006.

Long-term borrowings at December 31, 2003 represented 2.93% of the original cost of the aircraft presently owned by the Partnership, including capital expenditures for upgrades.

Total scheduled debt service in 2004 is \$0.9 million. Debt service is expected to be paid from the rental payments received under the FedEx lease.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$1.1 million for 2003, \$1.6 million for 2002, and \$2.7 million for 2001. The 2003 decrease in net cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to having three aircraft off-lease for most of the year. The decrease in net cash provided by operating activities during 2002 as compared to 2001 was primarily due to reduced revenue as a result of the termination on October 1, 2001 of the US Airways leases on five MD-81 aircraft.

Total debt service on the fixed loan as a percentage of net cash provided by operating activities was 123%, 57%, and 80% for 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively. However, cash flow from operating activities does not fully reflect cash receipts from lease payments. When the excess of rental receipts above finance lease income is added to cash flow from operating activities, the ratios of total debt service on the fixed loan as a percentage of net cash provided by operating activities become 27%, 35%, and 41%, for 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

Regular cash distributions paid by the Partnership were \$7.1 million (\$1.51 per unit) in 2001, \$1.8 million (\$0.38 per unit) in 2002, and \$0.9 million (\$0.20 per unit) in 2003. There were no special cash distributions paid in 2001. A special cash distribution of \$7.0 million (\$1.50 per unit) was declared in December 2001, and was paid in the first quarter of 2002. Also, a special cash distribution of \$1.2 million was paid in November 2003.

Pursuant to the Limited Partnership Agreement, the Partnership distributes all Cash Available from Operations net of expenses and reserves. Since such distributions were in excess of earnings, and because of the Partnership's net loss in 2003, Partnership equity declined from \$25.8 million at December 31, 2002 to \$6.1 million at December 31, 2003, and limited partner equity per Unit declined from \$5.51 to \$1.30. Included in the equity decline are the non-cash aircraft impairment charges of \$14.5 million, or \$3.11 per Unit, recorded in 2003.

At December 31, 2003, the Partnership had cash on hand in the amount of \$4.3 million, of which \$1.4 million is payable to Unitholders on February 14, 2004 as cash distributions. In the event that the Partnership's cash on hand is significantly reduced as a result of unanticipated expenses, including unanticipated maintenance and refurbishing expenses with respect to the two aircraft leased by CSI following their lease expirations, cash distributions to Unitholders may be reduced or eliminated.

21

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

2003 VS. 2002

Net loss in 2003 was \$16,302,000 compared with a net loss of \$13,035,000 in 2002. The decline in earnings results from an increase in expenses, primarily due to higher impairment charges in 2003, and from reduced operating lease rental revenues.

In 2003 and 2002, revenues were earned from only three of the six aircraft in the Partnership's portfolio during those years. The remaining three aircraft were off-lease, two of which were sold in 2003 and the third of which was sold in January 2004. Two of the leased aircraft were leased to CSI and the other was leased to FedEx.

Revenues in 2003 were \$1,615,000 compared with \$3,027,000 in 2002. The revenue reductions were primarily due to lower operating lease rentals associated with the two aircraft leased to CSI, as the leases were extended at lower monthly rental rates to reflect the changing aircraft market conditions, and to the scheduled decline in finance lease income in 2003 associated with the aircraft leased to Fed Ex.

Expenses in 2003 were \$17,917,000, an increase of \$1,855,000 from \$16,062,000 for the comparable 2002 period. The increase in expenses was primarily due to higher aircraft impairment charges in 2003, as \$14,533,000 in impairment charges was recorded in 2003 versus \$11,086,000 recorded in 2002. The increase in impairment charges in 2003 was partially offset by lower interest expense in 2003 as a result of the reduction in the Partnership's debt balances, by lower depreciation expenses as a result of reduced depreciable aircraft values primarily due to the aircraft write-downs in 2002 and 2003, and by lower management fees and taxes due to a smaller asset base and lower revenues. In addition, \$43,000 in credit to bad debt expense was recorded in December 2003, as a result of the receipt of stock from US Airways. The stock was issued by US Airways to the Partnership as a partial payment on the settlement reached with the airline in 2003. See "Aircraft Portfolio."

2002 VS. 2001

Net loss in 2002 was \$13,035,000, compared with a net income of \$1,623,000 in 2001. The decline in earnings results from an increase in expenses, primarily due to aircraft impairment charges and an increase in depreciation expense, and from reduced revenues.

In 2002, revenues were earned from only three of the six aircraft in the Partnership's portfolio. The remaining three aircraft were off-lease throughout the year. Two of the leased aircraft were leased to CSI and the other was leased to FedEx.

Revenues in 2002 were \$3,027,000 compared with \$6,067,000 in 2001. The revenue reductions were primarily due to the expiration of the lease with US Airways for five aircraft in the fourth quarter of 2001, three of which remained off-lease as of December 31, 2002; the sale of one aircraft in December 2001; and the scheduled decline in finance lease income in 2002 associated with the aircraft leased to FedEx.

22

Expenses in 2002 were \$16,062,000, an increase of \$11,618,000 from \$4,444,000 for the comparable 2001 period. The increase in expenses was primarily due to the aircraft impairment charges in the third quarter of 2002 of \$11,086,000, as the three off-lease aircraft were deemed impaired under SFAS 144, and depreciation expense of \$3,206,000 in 2002 compared to depreciation expense of \$1,268,000 for the comparable 2001 period. A note receivable from US Airways recorded on the Partnership's books in 2001 for \$34,000 (net of discount

and deferred income) was written off as a loss. The note was written off as a result of US Airways' bankruptcy filing, in August 2002, and the uncertainty of collection. Interest expense was lower in 2002 as a result of the reduction in the Partnership's debt balances. Management fees and taxes were lower due to a smaller asset base and lower revenues.

For information regarding the percentage of total Partnership assets represented by aircraft owned and leased by the Partnership, see "Aircraft Portfolio."

2001 VS. 2000

In 2001, revenues were earned from seven aircraft subject to finance and operating leases and from the gain on sale of one aircraft. The lease revenue reduction in 2001 as compared with 2000 was primarily due to the scheduled decline in finance lease income as the balances due from the lessees declined, the expiration of the lease with US Airways for five aircraft, three of which remained off lease, and the restructure of the TWA lease.

In 2001, five MD-82 aircraft leased to US Airways generated \$3,363,000 in finance lease income prior to their return upon lease expiration. Two of the five aircraft were leased to CSI Aviation Services, Inc. ("CSI") in November 2001 under operating leases, which generated \$296,000 in operating lease income (before depreciation expense). The remaining three aircraft were being held for lease as of December 31, 2001.

The finance lease of one MD-82 aircraft with TWA was assumed by American Airlines in April of 2001, and was reclassified as an operating lease. In 2001, the finance lease generated \$293,000 in finance lease income, and the operating lease generated \$750,000 in operating lease rental income (before depreciation expense). In December of 2001, the aircraft was sold, generating a gain on sale before remarketing fee of \$965,000.

The lease of one 727-200FH aircraft to FedEx generated \$346,000 in finance lease income.

Interest expense decreased in 2001 by \$359,000 as compared with 2000, as a result of declining debt balances.

Depreciation expense of \$1,268,000 in 2001 related to aircraft subject to operating leases and to aircraft available for lease. No depreciation expense was recorded in 2000 as the Partnership's portfolio did not include any aircraft subject to operating lease or held for lease.

23

Management fees and tax on gross income increased in 2001 as compared with the prior year as a result of the sale of the MD-82 aircraft.

The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to aircraft maintenance and refurbishing expenses incurred in the preparation of two MD-82 aircraft for delivery to CSI.

The lease with US Airways for five MD-82 aircraft was scheduled to terminate on October 1, 2001. However, US Airways failed to satisfy the aircraft return conditions relating to aircraft maintenance as specified in the lease agreement. Under the lease, US Airways was obligated to pay rent for each aircraft on a prorated basis until the required maintenance has been completed and the aircraft has been returned. The lease required the maintenance to be completed within 60 days of the expiration of the lease term.

In November 2001 the Partnership entered into an agreement with US Airways with respect to the two MD-82 aircraft now leased to CSI, providing for US Airways to pay hold-over rent and to pay for certain agreed-upon maintenance work. US Airways made a cash payment covering a portion of the rent and maintenance costs and delivered an unsecured note for the remaining amount. The note was written off as a result of US Airways' bankruptcy filing, in August 2002, and the uncertainty of collection, resulting in a charge to bad debt expense of \$34,000. See Note 5 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

OUTLOOK

On March 17, 2004, the Board of Directors of the General Partner directed the General Partner to cease making new aircraft investments, sell the Partnership's remaining assets as attractive sale opportunities arise, distribute sale proceeds (after repaying debt and establishing appropriate reserves) to Unitholders after disposition, and dissolve the Partnership when all assets are sold. The General Partner also has amended the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of the Partnership, as amended (the "Limited Partnership Agreement") to give effect to this directive. See "BUSINESS - Decision to Sell Assets and Dissolve."

Aircraft sales will further reduce the Partnership's portfolio, which in turn will prospectively reduce net cash flows from operations. Also, given current market conditions, the General Partner cannot predict either the actual timing for completing sales of the Partnership's aircraft or the prices and other terms of such sales. The General Partner also cannot predict when net proceeds will be distributed to Unitholders or the aggregate amount of such net proceeds, both of which will depend upon a number of factors, including market conditions, the timing and terms of such asset sales, the amount of cash required to settle outstanding liabilities and contingencies, the amount of necessary cash reserves, and the expenses associated with selling assets and dissolving the Partnership.

As a result of the March 17, 2004 Board of Directors action, the Partnership will classify lease assets as held for sale for the quarter ended March 31, 2004.

24

The market conditions for aircraft leasing continue to be weak, as the supply of aircraft exceeds demand. The leases on the two aircraft on lease to CSI are scheduled to terminate in May 2004. In light of the decision of the General Partner to sell the Partnership's assets and dissolve the partnership, Management is not able to predict if or when the aircraft may be leased again after their return from CSI. If the leases with CSI are not extended, management's best estimate at the present time is that the Partnership would be able to recover net book value through a combination of lease rentals and sales proceeds; however, if the Partnership's assumptions regarding renewal lease rates and terms are not realized, the Partnership could record additional impairment charges with respect to these aircraft.

ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The financial statements and Notes to Financial Statements described in Item 14(a) are set forth in Appendix A and are filed as part of this report.

ITEM 8. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 8A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

- (a) The Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of the General Partner of the Partnership, after evaluating the effectiveness of the Partnership's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this annual report, have concluded that the Partnership's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Partnership in this annual report is accumulated and communicated to the Partnership's management to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.
- (b) No significant change was made in the Partnership's control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting.

25

PART III

ITEM 9. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

GENERAL

The Partnership has no directors or executive officers. Under the Limited Partnership Agreement, the General Partner has full power and authority in the management and control of the business of the Partnership, subject to certain provisions requiring the consent of the Limited Partners.

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Set forth below is certain information about the directors and executive officers of the General Partner as of February 29, 2004. As used below, "BALCAP" refers both to BALCAP and to BA Leasing and Capital prior to its BALCAP in September 1999.

NAME	POSITION WITH GENERAL PARTNER	AGE	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION AND EMPLOYMENT FOR LAST 5 YEA
David B. Gebler	Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director	54	Mr. Gebler is a Managing Director National Association ("Bank of BALCAP. He has been with BALCAP 1996. From 1993 to September 1996 President of the Transportation Financing business unit of USL Capita been President of the General Partner Director since 1990, and has been since September 1996. Mr. Gebler degree in mathematics from Clarks graduate degrees in Engineering and Muniversity of Michigan.

William A. Hasler Director 62 Mr. Hasler has been the Co-Chief Exe

7 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2
Aphton Corporation , a biopharmac
since July 1998 and a Director of the
since 1995. From August 1991 to Ju
Dean of the Haas School of Business
of California at Berkeley. From 19
vice chairman and director of KPMC
was responsible for its world
business. He is a member of the boar
The Pacific Stock Exchange and
directors of Selectron Corp., Schwa
West, Ditech Communications, Stra
Aphton Corporation. He is a gr
College and earned his MBA from Harva

Leonard Marks, Jr. Director

82

Mr. Marks retired as Executive V Castle & Cooke, Inc., in 1985. Price was also President of the real estate activities group of that company. a Director of the General Partner previously was a Director to the General 1986 to 1997. For many years, assistant professor of Finance at the School and a professor of Finance Business School. He was Assistant United States Air Force from 1964 to holds a Ph.D in Business Administrate University.

26

NAME	POSITION WITH GENERAL PARTNER	AGE	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION AND EMPLOYMENT FOR LAST 5 YEA
Richard P. Powers	Director	63	Mr. Powers is a Vice President and Officer of Corgentech. From 1996 Executive Vice President of Finance a of Eclipse Surgical Technologies, device company. He has been a Direc Partner since 1996. From 1981 to Syntex Corporation, a pharmaceutical as Senior Vice President and Chief of that company from 1986 to 1994. he served as consultant to vaincluding advising and assisting in Corporation to Roche Corporation i holds a Bachelor of Science degree i Canisius College and a Maste Administration from the University of
K. Thomas Rose	Director	58	Mr. Rose has been Managing Director, since 1992. He has been a Directo Partner since October 1996. Prior

23

responsibilities, Mr. Rose was with Leasing Corporation as Executive Lease Services since 1973. Mr. Rose California State University, Fulled Doctorate degree from Golden Gate U

of Law.

Robert A. Keyes

Chief Financial Officer and a Director 51

Mr. Keyes has been Senior Vice Pre Finance Manager of BALCAP since Dece to assuming his present responsibi Mr. Keyes was with Citicorp Banker President and Head of Operations f From 1990 to 1997 Mr. Keyes was Corporation (former parent of the Ge Vice President and Corporate Control Capital, Mr. Keyes served as Chief and as a Director of the General Part 1990 Mr. Keyes held various Financ Wells Fargo Leasing Corporation, inc President and Chief Financial Officer a Bachelor of Science degree in Eco College and a Masters in Business A Accounting from Rutgers University.

AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERTS

The General Partner's Board of Directors has determined that each of William A. Hasler, Richard P. Powers and Leonard Marks, Jr. is an audit committee financial expert as defined by Item 401(e) of Regulation S-B of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and is independent within the meaning of Item 7(d)(3)(iv) of Schedule 14A of the Exchange Act.

CODE OF ETHICS

The General Partner has adopted a code of ethics applicable to all employees, including the General Partner's President, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. The code of ethics is filed as an exhibit to this Form 10-KSB.

27

ITEM 10. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Partnership does not pay or employ directly any directors or officers. Each of the officers of the General Partner is also an officer or employee of BALCAP and is not separately compensated by the General Partner or the Partnership for services on behalf of the Partnership. Thus, there were no deliberations of the General Partner's Board of Directors with respect to compensation of any officer or employee.

The Partnership reimburses the General Partner for fees paid to Directors of the General Partner who are not otherwise affiliated with the General Partner or its affiliates. In 2003, such unaffiliated directors were paid an annual fee of \$14,500 plus \$500 for each board or committee meeting attended.

The Partnership has not established any plans pursuant to which cash or non-cash compensation has been paid or distributed during the last fiscal year or is proposed to be paid or distributed in the future. The Partnership has not issued or established any options or rights relating to the acquisition of its securities or any plans therefore.

ITEM 11. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

UNIT OWNERSHIP BY CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

As of February 29, 2004, the following persons were known to the Partnership to be beneficial owners of more than five percent of the Partnership's equity securities:

Title of Class	Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent
Depositary Units	United States Airlease Holding, Inc. 555 California Street San Francisco, CA 94104	231,250(1) (2)	5
Depositary Units	BALCAP 555 California Street San Francisco, CA 94104	793,750(3)	17

UNIT OWNERSHIP BY MANAGEMENT

Set forth below is information regarding interests in the Partnership owned by each director of and all directors and executive officers, as a group, of the General Partner. Unless otherwise noted, each person has sole voting and investment power over all units owned.

Title of Class	Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent
Depositary Units	David B. Gebler	700(1)	
	29		
Depositary Units Depositary Units	William A. Hasler Leonard Marks Jr. All directors and executive	8,700 750 10,150	

ITEM 12. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

For a discussion of certain fees, expenses and reimbursements payable

officers as a group

and paid to the General Partner and its affiliates by the Partnership, see Note 9 of Notes to Financial Statements. From time to time, the Partnership has borrowed funds from BALCAP or BA Leasing & Capital, including advances for expense payments. All such borrowings were unsecured and bore interest at a floating rate not exceeding the prime rate. At December 31, 2003 Airlease owed BALCAP \$238,000 for such borrowings.

ITEM 13. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) The following financial statements of the Partnership are included in this report as Appendix A:

Report of Independent Auditors
Financial Statements:
Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
30
Balance Sheets, as of December 31, 2003 and 2002
Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
Statements of Changes in Partners' Equity for the Years Ended

December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001.....

Notes to Financial Statements

Management's Responsibility for Financial Statements.....

Financial statement schedules other than those listed above are omitted because the required information is included in the financial statements or the notes thereto or because of the absence of conditions under which they are required.

(b) On October 2, 2003, the Partnership filed a report on Form 8-K dated September 26, 2003, disclosing under Item 2 the completion of the sale by the Partnership of two MD-81 aircraft and related assets to OLSF, L.L.C. and the expected future sale of a third off-lease MD-81 aircraft and related assets to OLSF, L.L.C. On December 19, 2003, the Partnership filed a report on Form 8-K dated December 19, 2003, disclosing under Item 9 the formation by the Board of Directors of the General Partner of a special committee of independent directors to review management's recommendation that the

Partnership adopt a plan for the immediate sale of all remaining aircraft followed by a dissolution of the Partnership.

31

(c) Exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-B:

EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION

- 3.1(1) Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Partnership.
- 3.2(1) Form of Certificate for Limited Partnership Units of Partnership.
- 3.3(1) Form of Depositary Agreement among Partnership, Chase-Mellon Shareholder Services (formerly Manufacturers Hanover Trust Company), the General Partner and Limited Partners and Assignees holding Depositary Receipts.
- 3.4(1) Form of Depositary Receipt for Units of Limited Partners' Interest in the Partnership
- 3.5(2) Amendments to Amended and Restated Partnership Agreement.
- 3.6 Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Partnership Agreement.
- 3.7 Third Amendment to Amended and Restated Partnership Agreement.
- 4.1(1) Form of Application for Transfer of Depositary Unit.
- 10.1(1) Trust Agreement, together with Trust Agreement Supplement No. 1-5, dated as of July 10, 1986, between the Registrant, Meridian Trust Company and the General Partner.
- 10.3(1) Lease Agreement, together with Lease Supplement Nos. 1-5, dated as of July 10, 1986, between Meridian Trust Company, not in its individual capacity but solely as Trustee, and Pacific Southwest Airlines.

32

10.44(3) Aircraft Lease Agreement dated as of April 15, 1993 between Taurus Trust Company, Inc. (formerly Trust Company for USL, Inc.) as Owner Trustee, Lessor, and Federal Express Corporation, Lessee with respect to one (1) Boeing 727-2D4 Aircraft, U.S. Registration No. 362PA (manufacture serial no.

⁽¹⁾ Incorporated by reference to the Partnership's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 33-7985), as amended.

⁽²⁾ Incorporated by reference to the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.

21850).

- 10.52(4) Assignment, Assumption and Amendment Agreement dated April 9, 2001 among Trans World Airlines, Inc., American Airlines, Inc., the registrant and First Security Bank, National Association, as Owner Trustee.
- 10.53(2) Certificate of Redelivery and Agreement dated as of November 26, 2001, 2001 between First Union National Bank, not in its individual capacity but solely as Owner Trustee, and US Airways, Inc., with respect to one MD-82 Aircraft, U.S. Registration No. 806USAirframe.
- 10.54(2) Certificate of Redelivery and Agreement dated as of November 26, 2001, 2001 between First Union National Bank, not in its individual capacity but solely as Owner Trustee, and US Airways, Inc., with respect to one MD-82 Aircraft, U.S. Registration No. 807USAirframe.
- 10.55(2) Aircraft Lease Agreement dated as of November 21, 2001, between First Union National Bank (formerly Meridian Trust Company), not in its individual capacity but solely as Owner Trustee, and CSI Aviation Services, Inc., Lessee with respect to one (1) MD-82 Aircraft, U.S. Registration No. N806US (manufacture serial no. 48038).
- 10.56(2) Aircraft Lease Agreement dated as of November 21, 2001, between First Union National Bank (formerly Meridian Trust Company), not in its individual capacity but solely as Owner Trustee, and CSI Aviation Services, Inc., Lessee with respect to one (1) MD-82 Aircraft, U.S. Registration No. N807US (manufacture serial no. 48039).
- 10.57(5) Lease Supplement Number Three dated April 9, 2003, among Wachovia Bank, National Association, as successor to First Union National Bank, not in its individual capacity but solely as Trustee, the Partnership and CSI Aviation Services, Inc.
- 10.58(6) Lease Supplement Number Four dated September 10, 2003, among Wachovia Bank, National Association, as successor to First Union National Bank, not in its individual capacity but solely as Trustee, the Partnership and CSI Aviation Services, Inc.
- 10.59(7) Aircraft Sales Agreement, dated as of August 12, 2003, among Wachovia Bank, National Association as trustee, Airlease Ltd., a California limited partnership, and OLSF, L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company.
- 10.60(7) First Amendment to Aircraft Sales Agreement, dated as of September 26, 2003, among Wachovia Bank, National Association as trustee, Airlease Ltd., a California limited partnership, and OLSF, L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company.

33

⁽²⁾ Incorporated by reference to the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.

- (3) Incorporated by reference to the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to the Partnership's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2001.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to the Partnership's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to the Partnership's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2003.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to the Partnership's Form 8-K dated September 26, 2003 filed on October 2, 2003.
 - 14.1 Code of Ethics.
 - 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 - 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 - 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 - 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 - 99.1 Press Release dated March 18, 2004.

34

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The following table sets forth the fees for professional audit services rendered by Ernst & Young LLP for the audit of the Partnership's annual financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 and review of financial statements included in the Partnership's Form 10-Q or Form 10-QSB for the quarters included in those years, and fees for other services rendered by Ernst & Young LLP during those years. The table also sets forth the fees for services rendered by priceWaterhouseCoopers during those years.

	2003	2002
Audit Fees - Ernst & Young LLP	\$ 42,323	\$ 42,000
Audit Related Fees		
Tax Fees (1)		
Tax Fees - Ernst & Young LLP	24,298	19,900
Tax Fees - PriceWaterhouseCoopers	189,234	166,981
Total	\$255,855	\$228,881
	=======	=======

(1) Tax Fees rendered by Ernst & Young LLP consists of fees billed for

professional services associated with preparing the Partnership's tax returns.

(2) Tax Fees rendered by PriceWaterhouseCoopers consist of fees billed for professional services associated with preparing the Form K-1 tax returns of the general partner and unitholders.

All engagements for services to the Partnership by Ernst & Young LLP, PriceWatterhouseCoopers or other independent accountants are subject to prior approval by the General Partner's Audit Committee.

35

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on March 18, 2004.

AIRLEASE LTD., A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP (Registrant)

By: Airlease Management Services, Inc., General Partner

By: /s/ DAVID B. GEBLER

David B. Gebler Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President

36

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

For Airlease Management SERVICES, INC. ("AMSI"), GENERAL PARTNER

/s/ DAVID B. GEBLER March 18, 2004

David B. Gebler Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, President and Director of AMSI

/s/ ROBERT A. KEYES March 18, 2004

Robert A. Keyes Chief Financial Officer and Director of AMSI

/s/ K. THOMAS ROSE March 18, 2004

K. Thomas Rose
Director of AMSI

/s/ WILLIAM A. HASLER March 18, 2004

William A. Hasler Director of AMSI

/s/ LEONARD MARKS, JR. March 18, 2004

Leonard Marks, Jr.
Director of AMSI

/s/ RICHARD P. POWERS March 18, 2004

Richard P. Powers
Director of AMSI

The foregoing constitutes a majority of the members of the Board of Directors of Airlease Management Services, Inc. (the General Partner).

37

MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY FOR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Airlease Management Services, Inc. ("AMSI"), the general partner of the Partnership, is responsible for the preparation of the Partnership's financial statements and the other financial information in this report. This responsibility includes maintaining the integrity and objectivity of the financial records and the presentation of the Partnership's financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

The general partner maintains an internal control structure designed to provide, among other things, reasonable assurance that Partnership records include the transactions of its operations in all material respects and to provide protection against significant misuse or loss of Partnership assets. The internal control structure is supported by careful selection and training of financial management personnel, by written procedures that communicate the details of the control structure to the Partnership's activities, and by staff of operating control specialists of Banc of America Leasing and Capital, LLC (a wholly owned subsidiary of Bank of America National Association, which also owns 100% of the stock of AMSI), who conduct reviews of adherence to the Partnership's procedures and policies.

The Partnership's financial statements have been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, independent auditors for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002. Their audits were conducted in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. The Independent Auditors' Report appears on page A-2.

The board of directors of the general partner, acting through its Audit Committee composed solely of directors who are not employees of the general partner, is responsible for overseeing the general partner's fulfillment of its responsibilities in the preparation of the Partnership's financial statements and the financial control of its operations. The independent auditors have full and free access to the Audit Committee and meet with it to discuss their audit work, the Partnership's internal controls, and financial reporting matters.

/s/ DAVID B. GEBLER

David B. Gebler Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President Airlease Management Services, Inc.

/s/ ROBERT A. KEYES

Robert A. Keyes Chief Financial Officer Airlease Management Services, Inc.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

To the Partners of Airlease Ltd., A California Limited Partnership:

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Airlease Ltd. (the "Partnership") as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the related statements of operations, changes in partners'equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Partnership's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Airlease Ltd. at December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

San Francisco, California February 4, 2004 Except for Note 1, as to which the date is

March 17, 2004

AIRLEASE LTD., A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	F	or th De
(In thousands, except per unit amounts)	 2003	
REVENUES		
Finance lease income Operating lease rentals Gain on sale of aircraft Other income	\$ 253 1,330 - 32	\$
Total revenues	 1,615	
EXPENSES		
Interest Depreciation - aircraft Management fees and disposition and remarketing fees -	190 2,037	
General Partner Investor reporting General and administrative	345 353 149	
Taxes on gross income Aircraft maintenance Impairment charge on aircraft	211 142 14,533	
Bad debt recovery Bad debt expense	(43)	
Total expenses	 17,917	
NET INCOME/(LOSS)	\$ (16,302)	\$
NET INCOME/(LOSS) ALLOCATED TO:		
GENERAL PARTNER	\$ (163)	\$
Limited partners	\$ (16,139)	\$
NET INCOME/(LOSS) PER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP UNIT	\$ (3.49)	\$

See notes to financial statements

AIRLEASE LTD., A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands except units outstanding)		2003
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents Investments - available for sale Finance leases - net	\$	4,288 43 3,718
Operating leases - net Aircraft held for lease - net		2 , 250
Aircraft held for sale - net Prepaid expenses and other assets		1,200 33
Total assets	\$	11,532
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' EQUITY		
LIABILITIES:		
Distribution payable to partners Accounts payable to General Partner and Affiliates Accounts payable and accrued liabilities Aircraft sale security deposit	\$	1,448 238 112 200
Maintenance reserves Current portion of long-term note payable Long-term note payable		1,425 764 1,256
Total liabilities		5,443
PARTNERS' EQUITY:		
Limited partners (4,625,000 units outstanding) General partner (46,717 units outstanding)		6 , 029
Total partners' equity		6,089
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' EQUITY	\$ ====	11 , 532

See notes to financial statements

As

AIRLEASE LTD., A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)	For the years 2003 2		
	-		
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net income (loss)	\$ (16,302)	\$(13	
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash			
provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation - aircraft	2,037	3	
Increase in deferred income	_		
Bad debt expense	- (42)		
Bad debt recovery	(43)		
Gain on sale of aircraft Ingress (degrees) in accounts payable and account liabilities	_ 5		
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued liabilities Increase in aircraft sale security deposit	200		
Increase in maintenance reserves	677		
Decrease in prepaid expenses and other assets	17		
Impairment charge on aircraft	14,533	11	
Increase (decrease) in taxes payable	-		
* **	,		
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,124	1	
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Rental receipts in excess of earned finance and operating lease income			
	1,053	1	
Proceeds from sale of equipment	2,400		
Increase in notes receivable			
Net cash provided by investing activities	3,453	1	
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES Revolving credit repayment-net	_		
Repayment of long-term notes payable	(709)		
Distributions paid to partners	(2,149)	(8	
Biscribacions para co pareners			
Net cash and cash equivalent used by financing activities	(2,858)	(9	
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	1,719	(6	
Cash at beginning of year	2,569	9	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 4,288	\$ 2	
outh and outh equivalents at the or year		Ψ Z	
Additional information:			
Cash paid for interest	\$ 193	\$	

See notes to financial statements

AIRLEASE LTD., A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN PARTNERS' EQUITY

	For the years ended Decem General	mber 31, 20 Limite
(In thousands except per unit amounts)	Partner	Partner
Balance, December 31, 2000	511	50 , 62
Net Income - 2001	16	1,60
Distributions to partners declared		,
(\$2.67 per limited partnership unit)	(125)	(12,34
Balance, December 31, 2001	402	39 , 88
Net Loss - 2002	(130)	(12,90
Distributions to partners declared		ı
(\$0.32 per limited partnership unit)	(15)	(1,48
Balance, December 31, 2002	257	25,49
Net Loss - 2003	(163)	(16,13
Distributions to partners declared		
(\$0.72 per limited partnership unit)	(34)	(3,33
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2003	\$ 60	\$6,02

See notes to financial statements

AIRLEASE LTD., A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. BACKGROUND AND ORGANIZATION

Airlease Ltd., A California Limited Partnership (the "Partnership"), engages in the business of acquiring, either directly or through joint ventures, commercial jet aircraft, and leasing such aircraft or parts thereof to domestic and foreign airlines and freight carriers. The general partner of the Partnership (the "General Partner") is Airlease Management Services, Inc. From 1999 to June 2002, the General Partner was a wholly owned subsidiary of Banc of America Leasing and Capital, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("BALCAP"), in turn a wholly owned subsidiary of Bank of America National Assocation ("BANA"). In June 2002, BALCAP transferred its stock of the General Partner to BANA and the General Partner became a wholly owned subsidiary of BANA. BALCAP also holds 793,750 limited partnership units and United States Airlease Holding, Inc. ("Holding"), also a wholly owned subsidiary of BANA, holds 231,250 limited partnership units. An additional 3,600,000 units are publicly held.

At December 31, 2003 and 2002, the Partnership's portfolio consisted of four and six Stage-III commercial aircraft, respectively. At December 31, 2003, two aircraft were leased to CSI Aviation Services, Inc. ("CSI"), one aircraft was leased to Federal Express Corporation

("FedEx"), and one was held for sale. At December 31, 2003 and 2002, the book value of aircraft by lessee as a percent of assets was as follows: FedEx, 32.2% and 19.9%; CSI, 19.5% and 42.8%; and off-lease aircraft, 10.4% and 28.5%. Revenues by lessee as a percentage of total revenue for 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively, were as follows: CSI, 82.4%, 88.2% and 4.9%; and FedEx, 15.7%, 9.9% and 5.7%.

On March 17, 2004, the Board of Directors of the General Partner, acting in response to a recommendation made by a special committee of independent directors of its Board of Directors (the "Special Committee"), directed the General Partner to cease making new aircraft investments, sell the Partnership's remaining assets as attractive sale opportunities arise, distribute sale proceeds (after repaying debt and establishing appropriate reserves) to Unitholders after disposition, and dissolve the Partnership when all assets are sold. The General Partner also has amended the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of the Partnership, as amended (the "Limited Partnership Agreement") to give effect to this directive.

As a result of the foregoing, the Partnership will classify its lease assets as held for sale for the quarter ended March 31, 2004.

In 1997, the Unitholders of the Partnership authorized the General Partner to take these actions. Since that time, the General Partner had deferred taking such actions and continued to operate the Partnership while considering, from time to time, alternative investments. However, the General Partner has not made new investments in aircraft, primarily due to the weak aircraft leasing market. For a variety of reasons, including the General Partner's belief that significant improvement in this market is not forthcoming in the near term for the Partnership's aircraft, the General Partner determined, on the basis of the Special Committee's determination, that Unitholders likely will realize greater value from a dissolution of the Partnership compared to continued operation of the Partnership. Accordingly, the General Partner intends to exercise fully and promptly the authority granted to it previously by the Unitholders to sell assets, distribute net proceeds and dissolve the Partnership. To that end, the General Partner has commenced actively seeking buyers for the Partnership's aircraft.

Given current market conditions, the General Partner cannot predict either the actual timing for completing sales of the Partnership's aircraft or the prices and other terms of such sales. The General Partner also cannot predict when net proceeds will be distributed to Unitholders or the aggregate amount of such net proceeds, both of which will depend upon a number of factors, including market conditions, the timing and terms of such asset sales, the amount of cash required to settle outstanding liabilities and contingencies, the amount of necessary cash reserves, and the expenses associated with selling assets and dissolving the Partnership.

In 1997, the Unitholders also authorized the General Partner to impose restrictions on the transferability of outstanding units. The General Partner has not taken this action, although it reserves the right to do so if it concludes that implementing such restrictions would be in the best interests of the Unitholders in light of current partnership tax law.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

BASIS OF PRESENTATION - - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

CASH EQUIVALENTS - The Partnership considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

INVESTMENT SECURITIES — Investment securities available for sale include 6,975 shares of US Airways Class A common stock received in December 2003 in partial settlement of a claim against US Airways for past due lease payments and damages resulting from US Airways' failure to return three aircraft in the condition prescribed in the lease agreement. These shares are reported at market value, with unrealized gains and losses, net of taxes, reported as a component of cumulative other comprehensive income in partners' equity. Unrealized gains and losses other—than—temporary impairments related to investment securities are determined using specific identification. Investment securities are regularly reviewed for impairment based on criteria that include the extent to which cost exceeds market value, the duration of the market decline and the financial health of and specific prospects of the issuer of the investment security. At December 31, 2003, there were no realized or unrealized gains or losses on these investment securities.

FINANCE LEASES - Lease agreements, under which the Partnership recovers substantially all its investment from the minimum lease payments are accounted for as finance leases. At lease commencement, the Partnership records the lease receivable, estimated residual value of the leased aircraft, and unearned lease income. The original unearned income is equal to the receivable plus the residual value less the cost of the aircraft (including the acquisition fee paid to an affiliate of the general partner). The remaining unearned income is recognized as revenue over the lease term so as to approximate a level rate of return on the investment.

OPERATING LEASES - Leases that do not meet the criteria for finance leases are accounted for as operating leases. The Partnership's undivided interests in aircraft subject to operating leases are recorded at carrying value of the aircraft at lease inception. Aircraft are depreciated over the related lease terms, generally five to nine years on a straight-line basis to an estimated salvage value, or over their estimated useful lives for aircraft held for lease, on a straight-line basis to an estimated salvage value.

MAINTENANCE RESERVES - On certain operating leases the Partnership requires the lessees to pay aircraft maintenance reserves. The reserves will be applied toward the aircraft's future maintenance requirements. Reserves are collected for engines, airframe, and other aircraft components. The amount of the reserves is based on flight hours.

NET INCOME/(LOSS) PER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP UNIT is computed by dividing the net income/(loss) allocated to the Limited Partners by the weighted average units outstanding (4,625,000 for each of the past three years).

LONG LIVED ASSETS - The Partnership accounts for its long-lived assets,

including Operating Leases, aircraft held for lease and aircraft held for sale, in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No 144 "ACCOUNTING FOR THE IMPAIRMENT OR DISPOSAL OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS".

The statement's provisions supersede SFAS 121, "ACCOUNTING FOR THE IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS AND FOR LONG-LIVED ASSETS TO BE DISPOSED OF", which addressed asset impairment, and certain provisions of APB Opinion 30 related to reporting the effects of the disposal of a business segment and requires expected future operating losses from discontinued operations to be recorded in the period in which the losses are incurred rather than the measurement date.

SFAS 144 addresses how and when to measure impairment on long-lived assets that an entity plans to dispose of either through sale, abandonment, exchange, or distribution to owners. SFAS 144 retains the requirements of SFAS 121 whereby an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to the difference between the carrying value and the fair value if the carrying value of an asset is not recoverable based on undiscounted future cash flows. In accordance with SFAS 144, the Partnership tests its long-lived assets for recoverability at least annually and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that its carrying amount may not be recoverable. (See Notes 4 and 6).

In accordance with SFAS 144, the Partnership classifies an aircraft as held for sale in the period in which a) management, having the authority to approve the action, commits to a plan to sell the asset, b) the asset is available for immediate sale in its present condition subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such assets, c) an active program to locate a buyer and other actions required to complete the plan to sell the asset have been initiated at a price that is reasonable in relations to the assets current fair value, and d) the sale of the asset within one year is considered to be probable and it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn.

3. FINANCE LEASES

At December 31, 2003, and 2002, the Partnership owned one aircraft that was subject to a finance lease. The aircraft is leased to FedEx Corporation (FedEx) under a 13-year finance lease that expires in 2006. FedEx has the right to renew its lease for one six-month term at the current rent payable under the lease, and thereafter for four successive one-year terms at a fair market value rental.

In 2003, 2002, and 2001 this lease with FedEx resulted in finance lease income of \$253,000, \$300,000, and \$346,000, respectively.

The components of this finance lease at December 31, 2003 and 2002, are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	2003	2002
Lease Receivable in installments	\$3 , 276	\$4 , 582
Residual valuation	700	2,000
Unearned lease income	(258)	(643)
Net Investment	\$3,718	\$5 , 939
	======	======

Residual valuation, which is reviewed annually, represents the estimated amount to be received from the disposition of aircraft after lease termination. If necessary, residual adjustments are made which result in an immediate charge to earnings and/or a reduction in earnings over the remaining term of the lease. In

2003, the residual value was reviewed. The review indicated that the estimate of residual value had declined, and such decline was judged to be other than temporary. As a result the booked residual was reduced from \$2,000,000 to \$700,000. This new valuation resulted in an immediate charge to earnings in the amount of \$1,168,000, which is included on the statement of operations as impairment charge on aircraft, and a reduction of \$132,000 in earnings over the remaining term of the lease.

Finance lease receivables at December 31, 2003 are due in installments of \$1,310,000 in 2004 and 2005, and \$656,000 in 2006.

4. OPERATING LEASES

At December 31, 2003 and 2002, the Partnership had two aircraft that were subject to operating lease treatment. The two MD-82 aircraft were leased to CSI Aviation Services, Inc. (CSI) and generated \$1,330,000 and \$2,670,000 in operating lease rental income in 2003 and 2002, respectively. During 2001, the Partnership had three aircraft that were subject to operating lease treatment. As mentioned above, two aircraft were leased to CSI and generated \$296,000 in operating lease rental income in 2001. The third aircraft was leased to American Airlines and generated \$750,000 in operating lease rental income during 2001. The aircraft was sold in December 2001.

During 2003, management prepared quarterly impairment evaluations on the two CSI MD-82 aircraft. The evaluations indicated that the carrying value of the aircraft was not recoverable based on the undiscounted future cash flows to be generated by the aircraft. An impairment charge was recorded in June 2003 in the amount of \$1,576,000 per aircraft. A second impairment charge was recorded in December 2003 in the amount of \$2,858,000 per aircraft as a result of additional declines in values and to changes to probability assumptions applied to projected cash flows from the aircraft. The book value per aircraft after the impairment charges is \$1,125,000.

The operating leases at December 31, 2003 and 2002 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	2003	2002	2001
Leased aircraft (at cost)	\$14,560	\$14,560	\$14,560
Impairment charges	(8,866)	0	0
Accumulated depreciation	(3,444)	(1,807)	(342)
Net Investment	\$ 2,250	\$12,753	\$14,218
	======		======

5. NOTE RECEIVABLE

In November 2001, the Partnership accepted a note receivable of \$606,231 from US Airways in exchange for past due rent obligations owed to the Partnership on two of the five leased aircraft. The note receivable accrues interest at a rate of 7% per annum and provides for

twelve equal monthly payments beginning in January 2003. The note was recorded at fair market value determined by discounting the future cash flows. Rental income associated with this note was deferred and was to be recognized as the note was repaid. The entire note was written off, as a result of US Airways' bankruptcy filing, in August 2002 and the uncertainty of collection, resulting in a charge to bad debt expense of \$34,000.

6. AIRCRAFT HELD FOR LEASE OR SALE

In October 2001, US Airways, Inc. returned five aircraft that had been on lease under a finance lease to the Partnership. During 2001, two of these aircraft were re-leased to CSI under two operating lease agreements as previously described, and are included in the Operating Leases-net in the accompanying balance sheets as of December 31, 2003 and 2002. The other three aircraft sold in 2003 and January 2004 as described below.

On August 12, 2003, the Partnership signed a sales agreement with OLSF, LLC ("OLSF") to sell the three remaining aircraft in intervals of 120 days, with the first aircraft being delivered on August 12, 2003. The purchase price per aircraft is \$1,200,000. As a result of the contractual sale price of the aircraft being lower than its book value, the aircraft were written-down in the second quarter of 2003. The \$1,500,000 write-down per aircraft was equal to the difference between the carrying book value of \$2,700,000 and the sale amount of \$1,200,000.

In September of 2003, the sales agreement was amended to accelerate the sale and delivery of the second aircraft to September 26, 2003. A deposit of \$200,000 previously paid to the Partnership and included in the balance sheet as of December 31, 2003, was credited against the \$1,200,000 purchase price of the third aircraft, which was sold and delivered on January 26, 2004.

7. LONG-TERM NOTE PAYABLE

As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, the Partnership had one long-term note payable, a 7.4% non-recourse loan facility collateralized by the aircraft leased to FedEx, due in semi-annual installments of principal and interest of \$451,000 through April 2006. At December 31, 2003 and 2002, \$2,020,000 and \$2,729,000, were outstanding, respectively.

Based upon amounts outstanding at December 31, 2003, the minimum future principal payments on the outstanding fixed-rate long-term note payable are due as follows (in thousands):

2004 2005 2006	\$	764 822 434
Total Long Term Debt	\$2	,020

8. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following table presents carrying amounts and fair values of the Partnership's financial instruments at December 31, 2003 and 2002. The fair value of a financial instrument is defined as the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale.

(In thousands)	2003 Carrying Amount	2003 Fair Value	2002 Carrying Amount Amount	2002 Fair Value
Long-term notes (Note 7)	\$2,020	\$2 , 079	\$2 , 729	\$2 , 762

The carrying amounts presented in the table are included in the balance sheets under the indicated captions.

Long-term debt is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using rates that are assumed would be charged to the Partnership for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities.

9. TRANSACTIONS WITH THE GENERAL PARTNER AND AFFILIATES

In accordance with the Agreement of Limited Partnership, the General Partner and its affiliates receive expense reimbursement, fees and other compensation for services provided to the partnership.

Amounts earned by the General Partner and affiliates for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002, and 2001, were as follows (in thousands):

	2003	2002	2001
Management fees	\$173	\$303	\$ 481
Disposition and remarketing fees	172	52	503
Reimbursement of other costs	79	79	79
Reimbursement of interest costs	2	2	10
TOTAL	\$426	\$436	\$1,073
	====	====	=====

The General Partner was allocated its 1% share of the Partnership net income/(loss) and cash distributions. Holding and BALCAP, each a limited partner and an affiliate of the General Partner, were also allocated their share of income/(loss) and cash distributions. As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, the Partnership had accounts payable to the General Partner or its affiliates of approximately \$238,000 and \$170,000 respectively.

10. FEDERAL INCOME TAX STATUS

The Partnership is considered a publicly traded Partnership ("PTP") under the Revenue Act of 1987. Under that Act, the Partnership was not subject to federal income tax as a partnership until 1998. Instead, net income or net loss are apportioned among Unitholders in

accordance with their respective partnership interest and the number of months they held that interest.

Effective January 1, 1998, PTP's were required to choose to retain PTP status and be subjected to federal income tax as a corporation or to delist their units thereby removing themselves from the scope of the PTP rules. Faced with these alternatives, the Partnership initially recommended that its units be delisted.

In August and October 1997, respectively, Federal and California tax laws were amended to provide PTP's a third alternative. Under these amended laws, PTP's are allowed to continue to be publicly traded during 1998 and subsequent years without becoming subject to corporate income tax if they elect to pay a 3.5% Federal tax and a 1% California tax on their applicable gross income on a tax basis.

The board of directors of the General Partner unanimously concluded, after

authorization from the Unitholders and consideration of a number of factors, including the 1997 tax law changes and the benefits of liquidity, that is was in the best interests of Unitholders for the Partnership to remain publicly traded at that time. Accordingly, in January 1998, the Partnership made an election to pay the annual gross income tax at the Partnership level.

11. RECONCILIATION TO INCOME TAX METHOD OF ACCOUNTING AND THE NET DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PARTNERSHIP'S ASSETS AND LIABILITIES (UNAUDITED)

The difference between the method of accounting for income tax reporting and the method of accounting used in the accompanying financial statements are as follows (in thousands except per unit amounts):

	20	003	2	2002	2	001
Net income (loss) per financial statements: Increases/(decreases) resulting from:	\$ (1	6,302)	\$	(13,035)	\$	1,623
3.5% Gross Income Tax - non deductible		141		124		721
Gain on sale of equipment		2,400		0		6,487
Lease rents earned less finance lease income		2,387		404		7,114
Bad debt charge-off		_		34		-
Impairment charge on aircraft	1	4,533		11,086		_
Operating lease finance book depreciation		2,037		3,206		1,268
Depreciation and amortization		(7)		(11)		(627)
Income per income tax method		5,189		1,808	1	6,586
Allocable to General Partner		(52)		(18)		(166)
TAXABLE INCOME ALLOCABLE TO LIMITED PARTNERS	\$	5,137	\$	1,790	\$1	6,420
Taxable income per limited partnership unit after giving effect to taxable income allocable to General Partner (amount based on a unit owned from						
October 10, 1986)	\$	1.11	\$	0.39	\$	3.55

ASSETS' TAX AND FINANCE BOOKS DIFFERENCES (IN THOUSANDS):

YEAR	FINANCE BOOKS	TAX BOOKS	DIFFERENCE
2003	\$ 11,532	\$ 11,255	\$ 277
2002	29,811	8,184	21,627

The difference between the booked assets on the finance and tax books in 2002 is primarily due to the faster aircraft depreciation method on the tax books versus the finance books. The difference narrowed in 2003 as a result of the aircraft impairment charges.

LIABILITIES' TAX AND FINANCE BOOKS DIFFERENCES (IN THOUSANDS):

	FINANCE	TAX	
YEAR	BOOKS	BOOKS	DIFFERENCE

2003	\$ 5,443	\$ 5,443	_
2002	4,056	4,056	_

12. SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

The following is a summary of the quarterly results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 (in thousands, except per unit amounts):

2003	MARCH 31	JUNE 30	
Total Revenues	\$ 476	\$ 433	
Impairment Charge on Aircraft	_	\$ (7,651)	
Net Income/(Loss)	\$ (458)	\$ (8,186)	
Net Income/(Loss) Per Limited Partnership Unit	\$(0.10)	\$ (1.75)	
Unit Trading Data:	\$0.07.\$0.70	\$1 0F \$0 6F	¢ 0
Unit Prices (high-low) Unit Trading Volumes OTCBB	\$0.97-\$0.72 510	\$1.85-\$0.65 561	\$2
2002	MARCH 31	JUNE 30	
Total Revenues	\$ 859	\$ 833	
Impairment Charge on Aircraft	- (400)	- -	\$
Net Income/(Loss)	\$ (422)	\$ (337)	Ş
Net Income/(Loss) Per Limited Partnership Unit Unit Trading Data:	\$(0.09)	\$(0.07)	
Unit Prices (high-low) on NYSE/OTCBB	\$6.60-\$4.95	\$5.99-\$3.03	\$3.
Unit Trading Volumes on NYSE/OTCBB	378	361	

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION

- 3.1(1) Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Partnership.
- 3.2(1) Form of Certificate for Limited Partnership Units of Partnership.

- 3.3(1) Form of Depositary Agreement among Partnership, Chase-Mellon Shareholder Services (formerly Manufacturers Hanover Trust Company), the General Partner and Limited Partners and Assignees holding Depositary Receipts.
- 3.4(1) Form of Depositary Receipt for Units of Limited Partners' Interest in the Partnership
- 3.5(2) Amendments to Amended and Restated Partnership Agreement.
- 3.6 Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Partnership Agreement.
- 3.7 Third Amendment to Amended and Restated Partnership Agreement.
- 4.1(1) Form of Application for Transfer of Depositary Unit.
- 10.1(1) Trust Agreement, together with Trust Agreement Supplement No.
 1-5, dated as of July 10, 1986, between the Registrant,
 Meridian Trust Company and the General Partner.
- 10.3(1) Lease Agreement, together with Lease Supplement Nos. 1-5, dated as of July 10, 1986, between Meridian Trust Company, not in its individual capacity but solely as Trustee, and Pacific Southwest Airlines.

- 10.44(3) Aircraft Lease Agreement dated as of April 15, 1993 between Taurus Trust Company, Inc. (formerly Trust Company for USL, Inc.) as Owner Trustee, Lessor, and Federal Express Corporation, Lessee with respect to one (1) Boeing 727-2D4 Aircraft, U.S. Registration No. 362PA (manufacture serial no. 21850).
- 10.52(4) Assignment, Assumption and Amendment Agreement dated April 9, 2001 among Trans World Airlines, Inc., American Airlines, Inc., the registrant and First Security Bank, National Association, as Owner Trustee.
- 10.53(2) Certificate of Redelivery and Agreement dated as of November 26, 2001, 2001 between First Union National Bank, not in its individual capacity but solely as Owner Trustee, and US Airways, Inc., with respect to one MD-82 Aircraft, U.S. Registration No. 806USAirframe.
- 10.54(2) Certificate of Redelivery and Agreement dated as of November 26, 2001, 2001 between First Union National Bank, not in its individual capacity but solely as Owner Trustee, and US Airways, Inc., with respect to one MD-82 Aircraft, U.S. Registration No. 807USAirframe.

⁽¹⁾ Incorporated by reference to the Partnership's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 33-7985), as amended.

⁽²⁾ Incorporated by reference to the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.

- 10.55(2) Aircraft Lease Agreement dated as of November 21, 2001, between First Union National Bank (formerly Meridian Trust Company), not in its individual capacity but solely as Owner Trustee, and CSI Aviation Services, Inc., Lessee with respect to one (1) MD-82 Aircraft, U.S. Registration No. N806US (manufacture serial no. 48038).
- 10.56(2) Aircraft Lease Agreement dated as of November 21, 2001, between First Union National Bank (formerly Meridian Trust Company), not in its individual capacity but solely as Owner Trustee, and CSI Aviation Services, Inc., Lessee with respect to one (1) MD-82 Aircraft, U.S. Registration No. N807US (manufacture serial no. 48039).
- 10.57(5) Lease Supplement Number Three dated April 9, 2003, among Wachovia Bank, National Association, as successor to First Union National Bank, not in its individual capacity but solely as Trustee, the Partnership and CSI Aviation Services, Inc.
- 10.58(6) Lease Supplement Number Four dated September 10, 2003, among Wachovia Bank, National Association, as successor to First Union National Bank, not in its individual capacity but solely as Trustee, the Partnership and CSI Aviation Services, Inc.
- 10.59(7) Aircraft Sales Agreement, dated as of August 12, 2003, among Wachovia Bank, National Association as trustee, Airlease Ltd., a California limited partnership, and OLSF, L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company.
- 10.60(7) First Amendment to Aircraft Sales Agreement, dated as of September 26, 2003, among Wachovia Bank, National Association as trustee, Airlease Ltd., a California limited partnership, and OLSF, L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company.

⁽²⁾ Incorporated by reference to the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.

⁽⁴⁾ Incorporated by reference to the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000.

⁽⁴⁾ Incorporated by reference to the Partnership's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2001.

⁽⁵⁾ Incorporated by reference to the Partnership's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003.

⁽⁶⁾ Incorporated by reference to the Partnership's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2003.

⁽⁷⁾ Incorporated by reference to the Partnership's Form 8-K dated September 26, 2003 filed on October 2, 2003.

^{14.1} Code of Ethics.

^{31.1} Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 99.1 Press Release dated March 18, 2004.