

HEWLETT PACKARD CO  
Form 10-Q  
September 05, 2008

QuickLinks -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark  
One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR  
15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended: July 31, 2008

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR  
15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 1-4423

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**94-1081436**  
(I.R.S. employer  
identification no.)

**3000 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, California**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**94304**  
(Zip code)

**(650) 857-1501**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

(Do not check if a  
smaller reporting  
company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes  No

The number of shares of HP common stock outstanding as of August 31, 2008 was 2,449,103,728 shares.

---

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**INDEX**

		<b>Page No.</b>
Part I.	Financial Information	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	3
	Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007 (Unaudited)	3
	Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets as of July 31, 2008 (Unaudited) and as of October 31, 2007 (Audited)	4
	Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007 (Unaudited)	5
	Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited)	6
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	44
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	84
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	84
Part II.	Other Information	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	85
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	85
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	85
Item 6.	Exhibits	85
	Signature	86
	Exhibit Index	87
	<b>Forward-Looking Statements</b>	

*This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Item 2 of Part I of this report, contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. If the risks or uncertainties ever materialize or the assumptions prove incorrect, the results of Hewlett-Packard Company and its consolidated subsidiaries ("HP") may differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements and assumptions. All statements other than statements of historical fact are statements that could be deemed forward-looking statements, including but not limited to any projections of revenue, margins, expenses, earnings, tax provisions, cash flows, benefit obligations, share repurchases, acquisition synergies or other financial items; any statements of the plans, strategies and objectives of management for future operations, including the execution of cost reduction programs and restructuring and integration plans; any statements concerning expected development, performance or market share relating to products or services; any statements regarding pending investigations, claims or disputes; any statements of expectation or belief; and any statements of assumptions underlying any of the foregoing. Risks, uncertainties and assumptions include macroeconomic and geopolitical trends and events; the execution and performance of contracts by HP and its customers, suppliers and partners; the challenge of managing asset levels, including inventory; the difficulty of aligning expense levels with revenue changes; assumptions related to pension and other post-retirement costs; expectations and assumptions relating to the execution and timing of cost reduction programs and restructuring and integration plans; the possibility that the expected benefits of business combination transactions may not materialize as expected; the outcome of pending legislation and accounting pronouncements; and other risks that are described herein, including but not limited to the items discussed in "Factors that Could Affect Future Results" set forth in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Item 2 of Part I of this report, and that are otherwise described from time to time in HP's Securities and Exchange Commission reports, including HP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007. HP assumes no obligation and does not intend to update these forward-looking statements.*

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements.

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings

(Unaudited)

	Three months ended July 31		Nine months ended July 31	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
	In millions, except per share amounts			
Net revenue:				
Products	\$22,180	\$20,326	\$67,866	\$61,279
Services	5,757	4,964	16,619	14,451
Financing income	95	87	276	263
<b>Total net revenue</b>	<b>28,032</b>	<b>25,377</b>	<b>84,761</b>	<b>75,993</b>
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of products	16,821	15,245	51,091	46,204
Cost of services	4,353	3,845	12,678	11,167
Financing interest	79	74	244	212
Research and development	895	917	2,701	2,697
Selling, general and administrative	3,137	3,002	9,653	8,954
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	213	183	630	596
In-process research and development charges			13	186
Restructuring	5	(5)	19	407
Pension curtailments and pension settlements, net				(517)
<b>Total operating expenses</b>	<b>25,503</b>	<b>23,261</b>	<b>77,029</b>	<b>69,906</b>
<b>Earnings from operations</b>	<b>2,529</b>	<b>2,116</b>	<b>7,732</b>	<b>6,087</b>
Interest and other, net	23	170	98	391
<b>Earnings before taxes</b>	<b>2,552</b>	<b>2,286</b>	<b>7,830</b>	<b>6,478</b>
Provision for taxes	525	508	1,613	1,378
<b>Net earnings</b>	<b>\$ 2,027</b>	<b>\$ 1,778</b>	<b>\$ 6,217</b>	<b>\$ 5,100</b>
Net earnings per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.82	\$ 0.68	\$ 2.49	\$ 1.93
Diluted	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.66	\$ 2.41	\$ 1.87
Cash dividends declared per share	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.32
Weighted-average shares used to compute net earnings per share:				
Basic	2,459	2,600	2,497	2,648
Diluted	2,533	2,697	2,577	2,734

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.



Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets

	July 31, 2008	October 31, 2007
	In millions, except par value	
	(Unaudited)	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 14,783	\$ 11,293
Short-term investments	64	152
Accounts receivable	13,754	13,420
Financing receivables	2,594	2,507
Inventory	8,160	8,033
Other current assets	11,681	11,997
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>51,036</b>	<b>47,402</b>
Property, plant and equipment	7,971	7,798
Long-term financing receivables and other assets	10,306	7,647
Goodwill	22,599	21,773
Purchased intangible assets	3,982	4,079
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 95,894</b>	<b>\$ 88,699</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Notes payable and short-term borrowings	\$ 3,591	\$ 3,186
Accounts payable	14,021	11,787
Employee compensation and benefits	3,206	3,465
Taxes on earnings	806	1,891
Deferred revenue	5,664	5,025
Other accrued liabilities	14,612	13,906
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>41,900</b>	<b>39,260</b>
Long-term debt	6,628	4,997
Other liabilities	8,871	5,916
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value (300 shares authorized; none issued)		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value (9,600 shares authorized; 2,446 and 2,580 shares issued and outstanding, respectively)	24	26
Additional paid-in capital	14,148	16,381
Retained earnings	23,727	21,560
Accumulated other comprehensive income	596	559
<b>Total stockholders' equity</b>	<b>38,495</b>	<b>38,526</b>
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 95,894</b>	<b>\$ 88,699</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.



## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

	Nine months ended July 31	
	2008	2007
	In millions	
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net earnings	\$ 6,217	\$ 5,100
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	2,268	2,006
Stock-based compensation expense	449	461
Provision for bad debt and inventory	296	272
Gains on investments	7	(28)
In-process research and development charges	13	186
Restructuring charges	19	407
Pension curtailments and pension settlements, net		(517)
Deferred taxes on earnings	1,163	299
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation	(213)	(340)
Other, net	(32)	(124)
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts and financing receivables	(437)	(965)
Inventory	(255)	(503)
Accounts payable	2,148	(446)
Taxes on earnings	(269)	181
Restructuring	(69)	(539)
Other assets and liabilities	10	556
Net cash provided by operating activities	11,315	6,006
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Investment in property, plant and equipment	(1,966)	(2,227)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	271	503
Purchases of available-for-sale securities and other investments	(86)	(36)
Maturities and sales of available-for-sale securities and other investments	212	403
Payments made in connection with business acquisitions, net	(1,478)	(4,893)
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,047)	(6,250)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
(Repayment) issuance of commercial paper and notes payable, net	(21)	2,324
Issuance of debt	3,054	4,106
Payment of debt	(1,051)	(3,382)
Issuance of common stock under employee stock plans	1,347	2,393
Repurchase of common stock	(7,720)	(8,847)
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation	213	340
Dividends	(600)	(640)
Net cash used in financing activities	(4,778)	(3,706)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	3,490	(3,950)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	11,293	16,400
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 14,783	\$ 12,450



## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

### Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities:

Issuance of options assumed in business acquisitions	\$	(4)	\$	68
Purchase of assets under financing arrangement	\$		\$	57

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements**

**(Unaudited)**

**Note 1: Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies**

In the opinion of management, the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements of Hewlett-Packard Company and its consolidated subsidiaries ("HP") contain all adjustments, including normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly HP's financial position as of July 31, 2008, its results of operations for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, and its cash flows for the nine months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007. The Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheet as of October 31, 2007 is derived from the October 31, 2007 audited financial statements. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior-year amounts in order to conform to the current-year presentation.

The results of operations for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. The information included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with "Risk Factors," "Legal Proceedings," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" and the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in Items 1A, 3, 7, 7A and 8, respectively, of the Hewlett-Packard Company Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007.

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in HP's Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

*Recent Pronouncements*

Updates to recent accounting standards as disclosed in HP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007 are as follows:

As previously reported in HP's 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K, HP recognized the funded status of its benefit plans at October 31, 2007 in accordance with the recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans - An Amendment of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R)" ("SFAS 158"). In addition to the recognition provisions, SFAS 158 also requires companies to measure the funded status of the plan as of the date of their fiscal year end, effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. HP will adopt the measurement provisions of SFAS 158 effective October 31, 2009. HP does not expect the adoption of the measurement provisions of SFAS 158 will have a material impact on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS 157"). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and is required to be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ("FSP") No. FAS 157-1, "Application of FASB Statement No. 157 to FASB Statement No. 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements That Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement under Statement 13" and also issued FSP No. 157-2, "Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157," which

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 1: Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

collectively remove certain leasing transactions from the scope of SFAS 157 and partially delay the effective date of SFAS 157 for one year for certain nonfinancial assets and liabilities. Although the Company will continue to evaluate the application of SFAS 157, HP does not currently believe adoption of SFAS 157 will have a material impact on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" ("SFAS 159"). SFAS 159 allows companies to elect to measure eligible financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not required to be measured at fair value. SFAS 159 requires that unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected be reported in earnings at each reporting date. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and is required to be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. Although the Company will continue to evaluate the application of SFAS 159, HP does not currently believe adoption will have a material impact on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141(R)"). SFAS 141(R) establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. SFAS 141(R) also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141(R) is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and will be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. HP continues to evaluate the impact the adoption of SFAS 141(R) will have on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition, which will be largely dependent on the size and nature of business combinations subject to this statement.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51" ("SFAS 160"). SFAS 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent, the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest, changes in a parent's ownership interest, and the valuation of retained noncontrolling equity investments when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. SFAS 160 also establishes disclosure requirements that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and will be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. HP is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of SFAS 160 on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In December 2007, the FASB ratified Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 07-1, "Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements" ("EITF 07-1"). EITF 07-1 provides accounting guidance regarding collaborative arrangements with respect to the classification of the payments between participants of the arrangement, the appropriate income statement presentation of these arrangements, and the disclosures related to these arrangements. EITF 07-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and will be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. HP is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of EITF 07-1 on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 1: Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133" ("SFAS 161"). SFAS 161 applies to all derivative instruments and related hedged items accounted for under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("SFAS 133"). SFAS 161 requires entities to provide greater transparency about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS 133 and its related interpretations, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. SFAS 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008 and will be adopted by HP in the second quarter of fiscal 2009. HP does not expect the adoption of SFAS 161 to have a material effect on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, "The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles" ("SFAS 162"). SFAS 162 identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (the GAAP hierarchy). Statement 162 will become effective 60 days following the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board amendments to AU Section 411, "The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles." HP does not expect the adoption of SFAS 162 to have a material effect on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FSP Accounting Principles Board ("APB") 14-1 "Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)" ("FSP APB 14-1"). FSP APB 14-1 requires the issuer of certain convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash (or other assets) on conversion to separately account for the liability (debt) and equity (conversion option) components of the instrument in a manner that reflects the issuer's non-convertible debt borrowing rate. FSP APB 14-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 on a retroactive basis and will be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. HP is currently evaluating the potential impact of the adoption of FSP APB 14-1 on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP EITF 03-6-1, "Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities." FSP EITF 03-6-1 clarifies that share-based payment awards that entitle their holders to receive nonforfeitable dividends or dividend equivalents before vesting should be considered participating securities. HP has some grants of restricted stock that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends and will be considered participating securities upon adoption of FSP EITF 03-6-1. As participating securities, HP will be required to include these instruments in the calculation of earnings per share (EPS), and it will need to calculate EPS using the "two-class method." The two-class method of computing EPS is an earnings allocation formula that determines EPS for each class of common stock and participating security according to dividends declared (or accumulated) and participation rights in undistributed earnings. FSP EITF 03-6-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 on a retrospective basis and will be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. HP is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, the adoption of FSP EITF 03-6-1 could have on its calculation of EPS.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 1: Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

During the first nine months of fiscal 2008, HP adopted the following accounting standard:

On November 1, 2007, HP adopted FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes by prescribing the recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. See Note 11 for the effect of applying FIN 48 on the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets.

**Note 2: Stock-Based Compensation**

HP's stock-based compensation plans include incentive compensation plans and an employee stock purchase plan. Incentive compensation plans include principal option plans as well as various stock option plans assumed through acquisitions. Principal option plans include stock options, restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards. HP accounts for its stock-based compensation plans under SFAS No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment."

In fiscal 2008, HP implemented a program that provides for the issuance of performance-based restricted units ("PRUs") representing hypothetical shares of HP common stock that may be issued under the Hewlett-Packard Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. PRU awards may be granted to eligible employees, including HP's principal executive officer, principal financial officer and other executive officers.

Each PRU award reflects a target number of shares that may be issued to the award recipient. The actual number of shares the recipient receives is determined at the end of a three-year performance period based on results achieved versus company performance goals. Those goals are based on HP's annual cash flow from operations as a percentage of revenue and average total shareholder return ("TSR") relative to the S&P 500 over the performance period. Depending on HP's results during the three-year performance period, the actual number of shares that a grant recipient receives at the end of the period may range from 0% to 200% of the targeted shares granted, based on the calculations described below.

Cash flow performance goals are established at the beginning of each year. At the end of each year, a portion of the target number of shares may be credited in the award recipient's name depending on the achievement of the cash flow performance goal for that year. The number of shares credited varies between 0% if performance is below minimum level and 150% if performance is at or above maximum level. For performance between the minimum level and the maximum level, a proportionate percentage between 30% and 150% is applied based on relative performance between minimum and maximum.

Following the expiration of the three-year performance period, the number of shares credited to the award recipient during the performance period is adjusted by a TSR modifier. The TSR modifier, which is determined at the beginning of each performance period, varies between 0%, if the minimum level is not met, resulting in no payout under the PRU award, and 133%, if performance is at or above the maximum level. For performance between the minimum level and the maximum level, a proportionate TSR modifier between 66% and 133% is applied based on relative performance between

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

## Note 2: Stock-Based Compensation (Continued)

minimum and maximum. The number of shares, if any, received by the PRU award recipient equals the number of shares credited to the award recipient during the performance period multiplied by the TSR modifier.

Recipients of PRU awards generally must remain employed by HP on a continuous basis through the end of the applicable three-year performance period in order to receive any portion of the shares subject to that award. Target shares subject to PRU awards do not have dividend equivalent rights and do not have the voting rights of common stock until earned and issued following the end of the applicable performance period.

Total stock-based compensation expense for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007 was as follows:

	Three months ended July 31		Nine months ended July 31	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
	In millions			
Cost of sales	\$ 34	\$ 34	\$ 106	\$ 121
Research and development	16	19	55	56
Selling, general and administrative	90	91	288	284
Stock-based compensation expense before income taxes	140	144	449	461
Income tax benefit	(38)	(36)	(130)	(128)
Total stock-based compensation expense after income taxes	\$ 102	\$ 108	\$ 319	\$ 333

HP estimated the fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions and weighted-average fair values:

	Stock Options			
	Three months ended July 31		Nine months ended July 31	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Weighted-average fair value of grants	\$ 14.20	\$ 14.62	\$ 15.34	\$ 12.89
Risk-free interest rate	3.30%	4.86%	3.16%	4.69%
Dividend yield	0.71%	0.68%	0.68%	0.76%
Expected volatility <sup>(1)</sup>	31%	27%	33%	28%
Expected life in months	61	61	60	59

(1) HP uses implied volatility for stock options

HP granted PRU awards representing an aggregate of 8,732,712 shares at target during the first nine months of fiscal 2008. As the cash flow goals are considered performance conditions, the expense for these awards, net of estimated forfeitures, will be recorded over the three-year performance period based on the number of shares that are expected to be earned based on the achievement of the cash flow goals during the performance period. HP estimated the fair value of a target PRU share using the Monte Carlo simulation model, as the TSR modifier contains a market condition. The estimated fair



## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

## Note 2: Stock-Based Compensation (Continued)

value of each target share for the current year was \$40.21. The estimated fair value of a target share for the second and third years of the three-year performance period will be determined at the beginning of the second and third years, respectively, and the expense will be amortized over the remainder of the three-year performance period.

The following assumptions were used to determine the fair value of the PRU awards for the first year:

Expected volatility <sup>(1)</sup>	26%
Risk-free interest rate	3.13%
Dividend yield	0.70%
Expected life in months	33

(1) HP uses historic volatility for PRU awards as implied volatility cannot be used when simulating multivariate prices for companies in the S&P 500.

Option activity as of July 31, 2008 and changes during the nine months ended July 31, 2008 were as follows:

	Shares (in thousands)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions)
Outstanding at October 31, 2007	367,339	\$ 33		
Granted and assumed through acquisitions	2,163	\$ 46		
Exercised	(37,201)	\$ 27		
Forfeited/cancelled/expired	(8,126)	\$ 41		
<b>Outstanding at July 31, 2008</b>	<b>324,175</b>	<b>\$ 33</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>\$ 4,442</b>
Vested and expected to vest at July 31, 2008	320,526	\$ 33	3.5	\$ 4,402
<b>Exercisable at July 31, 2008</b>	<b>266,624</b>	<b>\$ 33</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>\$ 3,820</b>

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value that option holders would have received had all option holders exercised their options on July 31, 2008. The aggregate intrinsic value is the difference between HP's closing stock price on the last trading day of the third quarter of fiscal 2008 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options. Total intrinsic value of options exercised for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008 was \$211 million and \$787 million respectively.

At July 31, 2008, there was \$610 million of unrecognized pre-tax compensation expense related to stock options and PRU awards, which HP expects to recognize over a weighted-average period of 1.8 years.



## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 2: Stock-Based Compensation (Continued)**

Non-vested restricted stock awards as of July 31, 2008 and changes during the nine months ended July 31, 2008 were as follows:

	Number of shares (in thousands)	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value
Non-vested at October 31, 2007	5,698	\$ 29
Granted	1,308	\$ 45
Vested	(2,607)	\$ 25
Forfeited	(1,309)	\$ 26
Non-vested at July 31, 2008	3,090	\$ 41

At July 31, 2008, there was \$86 million of unrecognized pre-tax stock-based compensation expense related to non-vested restricted stock awards, which HP expects to recognize over a weighted-average period of 1.6 years.

**Note 3: Net Earnings Per Share**

HP calculates basic EPS using net earnings and the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted EPS includes the effect from potential issuance of common stock, such as stock issuable pursuant to the exercise of stock options and the assumed conversion of convertible notes.

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

## Note 3: Net Earnings Per Share (Continued)

The reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted EPS calculations was as follows:

	Three months ended July 31		Nine months ended July 31	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
In millions, except per share amounts				
<b>Numerator:</b>				
Net earnings	\$2,027	\$1,778	\$6,217	\$5,100
Adjustment for interest expense on zero-coupon subordinated convertible notes, net of taxes		1	3	5
Net earnings, adjusted	\$2,027	\$1,779	\$6,220	\$5,105
<b>Denominator:</b>				
Weighted-average shares used to compute basic EPS	2,459	2,600	2,497	2,648
<b>Effect of dilutive securities:</b>				
Dilution from employee stock plans	74	89	76	78
Zero-coupon subordinated convertible notes		8	4	8
Dilutive potential common shares	74	97	80	86
Weighted-average shares used to compute diluted EPS	2,533	2,697	2,577	2,734
<b>Net earnings per share:</b>				
Basic	\$ 0.82	\$ 0.68	\$ 2.49	\$ 1.93
Diluted	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.66	\$ 2.41	\$ 1.87

HP excludes options with exercise prices that are greater than the average market price from the calculation of diluted EPS because their effect would be anti-dilutive. For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, HP excluded 57 million shares and 55 million shares, respectively, from its diluted EPS calculation compared to 62 million shares and 84 million shares in the prior-year comparable periods. Also, as a result of adopting SFAS 123(R), HP excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS options to purchase an additional 28 million shares and 29 million shares, respectively, in the third quarter and the first nine months of fiscal 2008 compared to an additional 31 million and 32 million shares in the prior-year comparable periods, whose combined exercise price, unamortized fair value and excess tax benefits were greater in each of those periods than the average market price for HP's common stock, as their effect would be anti-dilutive. As disclosed in Note 2, during the nine months ended July 31, 2008, HP granted PRU awards representing approximately 9 million shares at target. In accordance with SFAS No. 128, "Earnings per Share" these awards have been excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS as they are contingently issuable shares that have not met the performance conditions.

In October and November 1997, HP issued U.S. dollar zero-coupon subordinated convertible notes due 2017 (the "LYONs"), the outstanding principal amount of which was redeemed in March 2008. The LYONs were convertible at the option of the holders at any time prior to maturity, unless previously redeemed or otherwise purchased. For purposes of calculating diluted earnings per share above, the interest expense (net of tax) associated with the LYONs was added back to net earnings, and the shares

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 3: Net Earnings Per Share (Continued)**

issuable upon conversion of the LYONs were included in the weighted-average shares used to compute diluted earnings per share for periods that the LYONs were outstanding.

**Note 4: Balance Sheet Details**

Balance sheet details were as follows:

*Accounts and Financing Receivables*

	July 31, 2008	October 31, 2007
	In millions	
Accounts receivable	\$ 14,030	\$ 13,646
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(276)	(226)
	\$ 13,754	\$ 13,420
Financing receivables	\$ 2,638	\$ 2,547
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(44)	(40)
	\$ 2,594	\$ 2,507

HP has revolving trade receivables-based facilities permitting it to sell certain trade receivables to third parties on a non-recourse basis. The aggregate maximum capacity under these programs was approximately \$724 million as of July 31, 2008. HP sold approximately \$2.1 billion of trade receivables during the first nine months of fiscal 2008. As of July 31, 2008, there was approximately \$364 million available under these programs.

*Inventory*

	July 31, 2008	October 31, 2007
	In millions	
Finished goods	\$ 5,295	\$ 5,404
Purchased parts and fabricated assemblies	2,865	2,629
	\$ 8,160	\$ 8,033

**Note 5: Acquisitions**

During the first nine months of fiscal 2008, HP completed seven acquisitions. In addition, HP completed the purchase of the remaining 30% minority interest in China Hewlett-Packard Company Limited during the third quarter of fiscal 2008. Total consideration for the acquisitions and the minority interest purchase was approximately \$1.5 billion, which includes direct transaction costs, the estimated fair value of earned unvested stock options and certain liabilities recorded in connection with these transactions. HP recorded approximately \$927 million of goodwill, approximately \$533 million of purchased intangibles and approximately \$13 million of in-process research and development charges

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 5: Acquisitions (Continued)**

("IPR&D") related to these transactions. Projects that qualify for treatment as IPR&D have not yet reached technical feasibility and have no alternative use.

The largest of the seven transactions was the acquisition of Exstream Software, LLC, which has been integrated into HP's Imaging and Printing Group. The total purchase price paid was approximately \$720 million, which included direct transaction costs as well as certain debt that was repaid at the acquisition date. In connection with this acquisition, HP recorded approximately \$434 million of goodwill and \$235 million of purchased intangibles. HP also expensed \$11 million for IPR&D. HP is amortizing the purchased intangibles on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives ranging from three to eight years.

HP has recorded all acquisitions using the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, included the results of operations in HP's consolidated results as of the date of each acquisition. HP allocates the purchase price of its acquisitions to the tangible assets, liabilities and intangible assets acquired, including IPR&D charges, based on their estimated fair values. The excess purchase price over those fair values is recorded as goodwill. The fair value assigned to assets acquired is based on valuations using management's estimates and assumptions. HP does not expect goodwill recorded on a majority of these acquisitions to be deductible for tax purposes. HP has not presented pro forma results of operations because these acquisitions are not material to HP's consolidated results of operations on either an individual or an aggregate basis.

*Pending & Subsequent Acquisitions*

On August 26, 2008, HP completed its acquisition of Electronic Data Systems Corporation ("EDS") at a price of \$25.00 per share or an enterprise value of approximately \$13.9 billion. HP paid the purchase price utilizing both cash from operations and cash generated from the issuance of commercial paper under its existing commercial paper programs. HP expects to replace some of the commercial paper with issuances of term debt securities. EDS is a leading global technology services company, delivering a broad portfolio of information technology and business process outsourcing services to clients in the manufacturing, financial services, healthcare, communications, energy, transportation, and consumer and retail industries and to governments around the world. HP intends to include EDS within HP Services for financial reporting purposes.

In August 2008, HP agreed to acquire Colubris Networks, Inc., a privately-held global provider of intelligent wireless networks for enterprises and service providers. The acquisition is subject to certain closing conditions and is expected to be completed by the end of HP's 2008 fiscal year. Following the close of the acquisition, HP plans to integrate the Colubris product line into its ProCurve Networking product portfolio.

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

## Note 6: Goodwill and Purchased Intangible Assets

*Goodwill*

Goodwill allocated to HP's business segments as of July 31, 2008 and changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the nine months ended July 31, 2008 were as follows:

	HP Services	Enterprise Storage and Servers	HP Software	Personal Systems Group	Imaging and Printing Group	HP Financial Services	Total
	In millions						
Balance at October 31, 2007	\$6,221	\$ 5,076	\$ 5,921	\$ 2,523	\$ 1,887	\$ 145	\$21,773
Goodwill acquired during the period	279		52	3	593		927
Goodwill adjustments	(10)	(302)	230	(7)	(11)	(1)	(101)
Balance at July 31, 2008	\$6,490	\$ 4,774	\$ 6,203	\$ 2,519	\$ 2,469	\$ 144	\$22,599

The goodwill adjustments relate primarily to the reversal of income tax reserves for HP's acquisitions associated with their pre-acquisition tax years. These reserves have been reclassified as a reduction of goodwill. In addition, the goodwill adjustments for the first nine months of fiscal 2008 include the transfer of goodwill associated with certain acquisitions from Enterprise Storage and Servers to HP Software in line with the organizational reclassifications to our software business.

*Purchased Intangible Assets*

HP's purchased intangible assets associated with completed acquisitions are composed of:

	July 31, 2008			October 31, 2007		
	Gross	Accumulated Amortization	Net	Gross	Accumulated Amortization	Net
	In millions					
Customer contracts, customer lists and distribution agreements	\$3,516	\$ (1,996)	\$ 1,520	\$3,239	\$ (1,679)	\$ 1,560
Developed and core technology and patents	3,009	(2,000)	1,009	2,768	(1,694)	1,074
Product trademarks	130	(99)	31	115	(92)	23
Total amortizable purchased intangible assets	6,655	(4,095)	2,560	6,122	(3,465)	2,657
Compaq trade name	1,422		1,422	1,422		1,422
Total purchased intangible assets	\$8,077	\$ (4,095)	\$ 3,982	\$ 7,544	\$ (3,465)	\$ 4,079

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 6: Goodwill and Purchased Intangible Assets (Continued)**

Estimated future amortization expense related to finite lived purchased intangible assets at July 31, 2008 is as follows:

Fiscal year:	In millions
2008 (remaining 3 months)	\$ 214
2009	781
2010	670
2011	416
2012	242
Thereafter	237
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,560</b>

**Note 7: Restructuring Charges**

The 2005, 2003, 2002 and 2001 restructuring plans are substantially complete, although HP records minor revisions to previous estimates as necessary. For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, HP recorded net charges of \$5 million and \$17 million, respectively, due primarily to adjustments for severance and facilities costs associated with restructuring programs in prior years. As of July 31, 2008, there was a remaining balance of approximately \$111 million of accrued restructuring expenses associated with these programs. In addition, HP had a remaining balance of approximately \$11 million of accrued restructuring expenses associated with the restructuring plan implemented in connection with the acquisition of Mercury Interactive Corporation ("Mercury") in November 2006. HP expects to pay the majority of these costs through 2018.

The adjustments to the accrued restructuring expenses related to all of HP's restructuring programs described above for the nine months ended July 31, 2008 were as follows:

	In millions
Restructuring liability at October 31, 2007	\$ 173
Charges	19
Goodwill adjustments	(8)
Non cash settlements and other adjustments	7
Cash payments	(69)
 Restructuring liability at July 31, 2008	 \$ 122

At July 31, 2008 and October 31, 2007, HP included the long-term portion of the restructuring liability of \$54 million and \$50 million, respectively, in Other liabilities, and the short-term portion in Other accrued liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets.

*Workforce Rebalancing*

As part of HP's ongoing business operations, HP incurred workforce rebalancing charges for severance and related costs within certain business segments during the first nine months of fiscal 2008. Workforce rebalancing activities are considered part of normal operations as HP continues to optimize

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 7: Restructuring Charges (Continued)**

its cost structure. Workforce rebalancing costs are included in HP's business segment results, and HP expects to incur additional workforce rebalancing costs through the remainder of fiscal 2008.

**Note 8: Financing Receivables and Operating Leases**

Financing receivables represent sales-type and direct-financing leases resulting from the marketing of HP's and third-party products. These receivables typically have terms from two to five years and are usually collateralized by a security interest in the underlying assets. Financing receivables also include billed receivables from operating leases. The components of net financing receivables, which are included in financing receivables and long-term financing receivables and other assets, were as follows:

	July 31, 2008	October 31, 2007
	In millions	
Minimum lease payments receivable	\$ 5,699	\$ 5,568
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(93)	(84)
Unguaranteed residual value	276	291
Unearned income	(513)	(490)
<b>Financing receivables, net</b>	<b>5,369</b>	<b>5,285</b>
Less current portion, net	(2,594)	(2,507)
<b>Amounts due after one year, net</b>	<b>\$ 2,775</b>	<b>\$ 2,778</b>

Equipment leased to customers under operating leases was approximately \$2.7 billion at July 31, 2008 and \$2.4 billion at October 31, 2007 and is included in property, plant and equipment in the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets. Accumulated depreciation on equipment under lease was approximately \$0.7 billion at July 31, 2008 and \$0.6 billion at October 31, 2007.

**Note 9: Guarantees***Indemnifications*

In the ordinary course of business, HP enters into contractual arrangements under which HP may agree to indemnify the third party to such arrangement from any losses incurred relating to the services they perform on behalf of HP or for losses arising from certain events as defined within the particular contract, which may include, for example, litigation or claims relating to past performance. Such indemnification obligations may not be subject to maximum loss clauses. Historically, payments made related to these indemnifications have been immaterial.

*Warranty*

HP provides for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time it recognizes revenue. HP engages in extensive product quality programs and processes, including actively monitoring and evaluating the quality of its component suppliers. However, product warranty terms offered to customers, ongoing product failure rates, material usage and service delivery costs incurred in correcting a product failure, as well as specific product class failures outside of HP's baseline experience, affect the estimated warranty obligation. If actual product failure rates, material usage or

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 9: Guarantees (Continued)**

service delivery costs differ from estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability would be required.

The changes in HP's aggregate product warranty liability for the nine months ended July 31, 2008 were as follows:

	In millions
Product warranty liability at October 31, 2007	\$ 2,376
Accruals for warranties issued	2,497
Adjustments related to pre-existing warranties (including changes in estimates)	(74)
Settlements made (in cash or in kind)	(2,247)
Product warranty liability at July 31, 2008	\$ 2,552

**Note 10: Borrowings***Notes Payable and Short-Term Borrowings*

Notes payable and short-term borrowings, including the current portion of long-term debt, were as follows:

	July 31, 2008		October 31, 2007	
	Amount Outstanding	Weighted- Average Interest Rate	Amount Outstanding	Weighted- Average Interest Rate
	In millions			
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 1,088	2.9%	\$ 675	4.0%
Commercial paper	2,093	2.4%	2,065	5.0%
Notes payable to banks, lines of credit and other	410	3.9%	446	5.2%
	\$ 3,591		\$ 3,186	

Notes payable to banks, lines of credit and other includes deposits associated with HP's banking-related activities of approximately \$344 million and \$391 million at July 31, 2008 and October 31, 2007, respectively.



## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

## Note 10: Borrowings (Continued)

## Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt was as follows:

	July 31, 2008	October 31, 2007
	In millions	
<b>U.S. Dollar Global Notes</b>		
\$500 issued June 2002 at 6.5%, due July 2012	\$ 499	\$ 499
\$500 issued March 2003 at 3.625%, matured and paid March 2008		500
\$600 issued February 2007 at floating interest rate, due March 2012	600	600
\$900 issued February 2007 at 5.25%, due March 2012	900	900
\$500 issued February 2007 at 5.4%, due March 2017	499	499
\$1,000 issued June 2007 at floating interest rate, due June 2009	1,000	1,000
\$1,000 issued June 2007 at floating interest rate, due June 2010	1,000	1,000
\$750 issued March 2008 at floating interest rate, due September 2009	750	
\$1,500 issued March 2008 at 4.5%, due March 2013	1,499	
\$750 issued March 2008 at 5.5%, due March 2018	750	
	7,497	4,998
<b>Series A Medium-Term Notes</b>		
\$50 issued December 2002 at 4.25%, matured and paid December 2007		50
		50
<b>Other</b>		
\$505, U.S. dollar zero-coupon subordinated convertible notes, due 2017 ("LYONS"), issued in October and November 1997 at an imputed rate of 3.13% and redeemed March 2008		371
Other, including capital lease obligations, at 3.75%-8.63%, due 2007-2029	195	263
	195	634
Fair value adjustment related to SFAS No. 133	24	(10)
Less current portion	(1,088)	(675)
	\$ 6,628	\$ 4,997

HP may redeem some or all of the Global Notes as set forth in the above table at any time at the redemption prices described in the prospectus supplements relating thereto. The Global Notes are senior unsecured debt.

In May 2006, HP filed a shelf registration statement (the "2006 Shelf Registration Statement") with the SEC to enable HP to offer and sell, from time to time, in one or more offerings, debt securities, common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares and warrants. As of July 31, 2008, HP had \$7.0 billion of global notes issued under the 2006 Shelf Registration Statement. The global notes included \$600 million of notes due March 2012 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.11% per annum, \$900 million of notes due March 2012 with a fixed interest rate of 5.25% per annum, \$500 million of notes due March 2017 with a fixed interest rate of 5.4% per

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 10: Borrowings (Continued)**

annum, \$1.0 billion of notes due June 2009 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.01% per annum, \$1.0 billion of notes due June 2010 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.06% per annum, \$750 million of notes due September 2009 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.40% per annum, \$1.5 billion of notes due March 2013 with a fixed interest rate of 4.5% per annum, and \$750 million of notes due March 2018 with a fixed interest rate of 5.5% per annum.

HP registered the sale of up to \$3.0 billion of debt or global securities, common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares and warrants under a shelf registration statement in March 2002 (the "2002 Shelf Registration Statement"). In December 2002, HP filed a supplement to the 2002 Shelf Registration Statement, which allows HP to offer from time to time up to \$1.5 billion of Medium-Term Notes, Series B, due nine months or more from the date of issuance (the "Series B Medium-Term Note Program"). As of July 31, 2008, HP has not issued Medium-Term Notes pursuant to the Series B Medium-Term Note Program. HP will be unable to issue any additional securities under the 2002 Shelf Registration Statement after December 1, 2008.

HP registered the sale of up to \$3.0 billion of Medium-Term Notes under its Euro Medium-Term Note Programme filed with the Luxembourg Stock Exchange. HP can denominate these notes in any currency, including the Euro. HP has not and will not register these notes in the United States.

The LYONs were convertible at the option of the holders at any time or prior to maturity, unless previously redeemed or otherwise purchased. In March 2008, HP redeemed all of the outstanding LYONs for approximately \$377 million.

In May 2008, the Board of Directors approved increasing the capacity of HP's U.S. commercial paper program by \$10.0 billion to \$16.0 billion. HP's subsidiaries are authorized to issue up to an additional \$1.0 billion of commercial paper, of which \$500 million of capacity is currently available to be used by Hewlett-Packard International Bank PLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of HP, for its Euro Commercial Paper/Certificate of Deposit Programme.

HP has a \$3.0 billion five-year credit facility. In February and July 2008, HP entered into additional 364-day credit facilities of \$3.0 billion and \$8.0 billion, respectively. Commitment fees, interest rates and other terms of borrowing under the credit facilities vary based on HP's external credit ratings. The credit facilities are senior unsecured committed borrowing arrangements primarily to support the issuance of U.S. commercial paper. No amounts are outstanding under the credit facilities. Under the terms of the July 2008 \$8.0 billion 364-day credit facility, the amount of credit available will decline in an amount equal to the proceeds of any future issuance of long-term debt by HP.

HP also maintains uncommitted lines of credit from a number of financial institutions that are available through various foreign subsidiaries. The amount available for use as of July 31, 2008 was approximately \$1.4 billion.

At July 31, 2008, HP had up to approximately \$19.8 billion of available borrowing resources under the 2002 Shelf Registration Statement and other programs. HP also may issue additional debt securities, common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares and warrants under the 2006 Shelf Registration Statement.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 11: Income Taxes**

*Provision for Taxes*

HP's effective tax rate was 20.6% and 22.2% for the three months ended July 31, 2008 and July 31, 2007, respectively, and 20.6% and 21.3% for the nine months ended July 31, 2008 and July 31, 2007, respectively. HP's effective tax rate generally differs from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35% due to the tax rate benefits of certain earnings from HP's operations in lower-tax jurisdictions throughout the world. HP has not provided U.S. taxes for such earnings because HP plans to reinvest those earnings indefinitely outside the United States.

In the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, HP recorded discrete items with a net tax benefit of \$5 million and \$52 million, respectively, decreasing the effective tax rate. These amounts include reductions to net income tax accruals of \$72 million and \$296 million for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, respectively, as a result of settlements with tax authorities regarding certain transfer pricing issues for fiscal years 1993 through 2005. These favorable adjustments in the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008 were offset in part by a tax charge of \$44 million for the adjustment to estimated fiscal 2007 tax accruals upon filing the 2007 U.S. federal income tax return, and by net increases of \$30 million and \$235 million, respectively, to deferred tax liabilities related to earnings outside the United States. HP recorded other miscellaneous discrete items that resulted in a net tax benefit of \$7 million and \$35 million for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, respectively.

In the three months ended July 31, 2007, HP recorded other income tax adjustments of \$64 million. This amount included a tax charge of \$33 million for the adjustment to estimated fiscal 2006 tax accruals upon filing the 2006 U.S. federal income tax returns and a net increase to various tax reserves of \$31 million. In the nine months ended July 31, 2007, HP recorded other income tax adjustments of \$54 million. This amount included a tax charge of \$33 million as discussed above, a net increase to various tax reserves of \$17 million and other items, resulting in a net charge of \$4 million.

On November 1, 2007, HP adopted FIN 48, which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes by prescribing the recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements and also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. As a result of the adoption of FIN 48, HP reduced the liability for net unrecognized tax benefits by \$718 million. HP accounted for this as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle that was recorded as an increase to retained earnings of \$687 million and a decrease to goodwill of \$31 million. The total amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits as of the date of adoption was \$2.3 billion, of which \$650 million would affect the effective tax rate if realized. HP historically classified unrecognized tax benefits in current income taxes payable. In implementing FIN 48, HP has reclassified \$1.3 billion from current income taxes payable to long-term income taxes payable. In addition, HP reclassified its income tax receivable to long-term income tax receivable.

As of the date of adoption of FIN 48, the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") was in the process of concluding its examination of HP's income tax returns for years 2002 and 2003. This examination concluded during the first fiscal quarter of 2008. The IRS began an audit of HP's 2004 and 2005 income tax returns in 2007. In addition, HP is subject to numerous ongoing audits by state and foreign tax authorities. HP believes that adequate reserves have been provided for all open tax years.

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 11: Income Taxes (Continued)**

During the first nine months of fiscal 2008, the amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits was reduced by approximately \$300 million attributable to settlements with tax authorities. The total amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits was \$2 billion as of July 31, 2008, of which up to \$870 million would affect HP's effective tax rate if realized.

HP recognizes interest expense and penalties accrued on unrecognized tax benefits within income tax expense. This policy did not change as a result of adoption of FIN 48. In addition, upon adoption of FIN 48, HP began recognizing interest income from favorable settlements and income tax receivables within income tax expense. As of the date of adoption of FIN 48, HP had accrued a net \$28 million payable for interest and penalties. As of July 31, 2008, HP had accrued a net \$23 million receivable for interest and penalties. For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, HP recognized net interest income on tax overpayments and deficiencies, net of tax, of \$9 million and \$37 million, respectively.

HP engages in continuous discussion and negotiation with taxing authorities regarding tax matters in the various jurisdictions. HP does not expect complete resolution of any IRS audit cycle within the next 12 months. However, it is reasonably possible that certain foreign and state tax issues may be concluded in the next 12 months, including issues involving transfer pricing and other matters. Accordingly, HP believes it is reasonably possible that its existing unrecognized tax benefits may be reduced by an amount up to \$340 million within the next twelve months. With respect to major foreign and state tax jurisdictions, HP is no longer subject to tax authority examinations for years prior to 1999.

HP is subject to income tax in the United States and over sixty foreign countries and is subject to routine corporate income tax audits in many of these jurisdictions. As described below, HP has received from the IRS Notices of Deficiency for its fiscal 1999, 2000 and 2003 tax years and Revenue Agent's Reports ("RAR's") for its fiscal 2001 and 2002 tax years.

The breakdown between current and long-term deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities was as follows:

	July 31, 2008	October 31, 2007
	In millions	
Current deferred tax assets	\$ 3,692	\$ 4,609
Current deferred tax liabilities	(121)	(123)
Long-term deferred tax assets	663	961
Long-term deferred tax liabilities	(1,948)	(397)
<b>Total deferred tax assets net of deferred tax liabilities</b>	<b>\$ 2,286</b>	<b>\$ 5,050</b>

On January 30, 2008, HP received a Notice of Deficiency from the IRS for its fiscal 2003 tax year. The Notice of Deficiency asserted that HP owes additional tax of \$21 million. At the same time, HP received a RAR from the IRS for its fiscal 2002 tax year that proposed no change in HP's tax liability for that year. In addition to the proposed deficiency for fiscal 2003, the IRS's adjustments for both years, if sustained, would reduce tax refund claims HP has filed for net operating loss carrybacks to earlier fiscal years and reduce the tax benefits of tax credit carryforwards to subsequent years, by approximately \$249 million. This amount reflects certain transfer pricing adjustments that were settled during the first nine months of fiscal 2008. HP plans to contest certain remaining adjustments proposed

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 11: Income Taxes (Continued)**

in the Notice of Deficiency and the RAR. Towards this end, HP filed a petition with the United States Tax Court on April 29, 2008. HP believes that it has provided adequate reserves for any tax deficiencies or reductions in refund claims that could result from the IRS actions.

On June 28, 2007, HP received a Notice of Deficiency from the IRS for its fiscal 1999 and 2000 tax years. The Notice of Deficiency asserted that HP owes additional tax of \$13 million for these two years. At the same time, HP received a RAR from the IRS for its fiscal 2001 tax year that proposed no change in HP's tax liability for that year. In addition to the proposed deficiencies for fiscal 1999 and 2000, the IRS's adjustments, if sustained, would reduce tax refund claims HP has filed for foreign tax credit and net operating loss carrybacks to earlier fiscal years and reduce the tax benefits of carryforwards to subsequent years, by approximately \$80 million. This amount reflects certain transfer pricing adjustments that were settled during the first nine months of fiscal 2008. HP plans to contest certain remaining adjustments proposed in the Notice of Deficiency and the RAR. Towards this end, HP filed a Petition with the United States Tax Court on September 25, 2007. HP believes that it has provided adequate reserves for any tax deficiencies or reductions in refund claims that could result from the IRS actions.

**Note 12: Stockholders' Equity**

*Stock Repurchase Program*

HP's share repurchase program authorizes both open market and private repurchase transactions. HP paid approximately \$1.6 billion and \$2.5 billion in connection with repurchases of approximately 34 million shares and 55 million shares during the three months ended July 31, 2008 and July 31, 2007, respectively. HP paid \$7.7 billion and \$7.0 billion in connection with share repurchases of 171 million shares and 167 million shares in the first nine months of fiscal 2008 and 2007, respectively.

In addition to the above transactions, HP entered into an Accelerated Share Repurchase (the "ASR Program") with a third-party investment bank during the second quarter of fiscal 2007. Pursuant to the terms of the ASR Program, HP purchased 40 million shares of its common stock from the investment bank for \$1.8 billion (the "Purchase Price") on March 30, 2007 (the "Purchase Date"). HP decreased its shares outstanding and reduced the outstanding shares used to calculate the weighted-average common shares outstanding for both basic and diluted EPS on the Purchase Date. The shares delivered to HP included shares that the investment bank borrowed from third parties. The investment bank purchased an equivalent number of shares in the open market to cover its position with respect to the borrowed shares during a contractually specified averaging period that began on the Purchase Date and ended on June 6, 2007. At the end of the averaging period, the investment bank's total purchase cost based on the volume weighted-average purchase price of HP shares during the averaging period was approximately \$90 million less than the Purchase Price. Accordingly, HP had the option to either receive additional shares of HP's common stock or a cash payment in the amount of the difference from the investment bank. In June 2007, HP received approximately 2 million additional shares purchased by the investment bank in the open market with a value approximately equal to \$90 million. HP reduced its shares outstanding upon receipt of those shares.

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

## Note 12: Stockholders' Equity (Continued)

On November 19, 2007, HP's Board of Directors authorized an additional \$8.0 billion for future repurchases of HP's common stock. As of July 31, 2008, HP had remaining authorization of \$3.0 billion for future share repurchases.

*Comprehensive Income*

The changes in the components of other comprehensive income, net of taxes, were as follows:

	Three months ended July 31		Nine months ended July 31	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
	In millions			
Net earnings	\$2,027	\$1,778	\$6,217	\$5,100
Change in net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities	1		(2)	(11)
Change in net unrealized gains/losses on cash flow hedges	11	79	67	13
Change in cumulative translation adjustment	7	26	18	56
Change in additional minimum pension liability	(6)		(46)	3
Comprehensive income	\$2,040	\$1,883	\$6,254	\$5,161

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes, were as follows:

	July 31, 2008	October 31, 2007
	In millions	
Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities	\$ 2	\$ 4
Net unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges	3	(64)
Cumulative translation adjustment	191	173
Additional minimum pension liability	400	446
Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 596	\$ 559

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 13: Retirement and Post-Retirement Benefit Plans**

HP's net pension and post-retirement benefit (gain) cost recognized in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings were as follows:

	Three months ended July 31					
	U.S. Defined Benefit Plans		Non-U.S. Defined Benefit Plans		Post- Retirement Benefit Plans	
	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007
	In millions					
Service cost	\$ 8	\$ 27	\$ 66	\$ 66	\$ 7	\$ 8
Interest cost	59	65	109	92	20	19
Expected return on plan assets	(63)	(93)	(171)	(145)	(10)	(10)
Amortization and deferrals:						
Actuarial (gain) loss	(9)	(3)	1	22	5	7
Prior service benefit			(2)	(2)	(14)	(13)
Net periodic benefit (gain) cost	\$ (5)	\$ (4)	\$ 3	\$ 33	\$ 8	\$ 11
Curtailement gain						(16)
Special termination benefit cost				1		
Net benefit (gain) cost	\$ (5)	\$ (4)	\$ 3	\$ 34	\$ 8	\$ (5)

	Nine months ended July 31					
	U.S. Defined Benefit Plans		Non-U.S. Defined Benefit Plans		Post- Retirement Benefit Plans	
	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007
	In millions					
Service cost	\$ 24	\$ 104	\$ 193	\$ 197	\$ 21	\$ 25
Interest cost	177	197	323	272	59	57
Expected return on plan assets	(190)	(270)	(506)	(430)	(30)	(28)
Amortization and deferrals:						
Actuarial (gain) loss	(27)	(8)	1	66	15	19
Prior service benefit			(6)	(6)	(42)	(39)
Net periodic benefit (gain) cost	\$ (16)	\$ 23	\$ 5	\$ 99	\$ 23	\$ 34
Curtailement gain		(541)		(9)		(26)
Settlement loss (gain)		36		(2)		
Special termination benefit cost		306	3	2		60
Net benefit (gain) cost	\$ (16)	\$ (176)	\$ 8	\$ 90	\$ 23	\$ 68

*Employer Contributions and Funding Policy*

HP previously disclosed in its Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007 that it expected to contribute approximately \$145 million to its pension plans and approximately \$15 million to cover benefit payments to U.S. non-qualified plan participants. In addition, HP expected to pay approximately \$80 million to cover benefit claims for HP's post-retirement benefit





HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 13: Retirement and Post-Retirement Benefit Plans (Continued)**

plans. HP's funding policy is to contribute cash to its pension plans so that it meets at least the minimum contribution requirements, as established by local government and funding and taxing authorities.

As of July 31, 2008, HP has made \$80 million of contributions to non-U.S. pension plans, paid \$3 million to cover benefit payments to U.S. non-qualified plan participants, and paid \$39 million to cover benefit claims under post-retirement benefit plans. HP presently anticipates making additional contributions of between \$20 million and \$30 million to its pension plans, of which approximately \$4 million is for U.S. non-qualified plan participants, and expects to pay approximately \$20 million to cover benefit claims under post-retirement benefit plans during the remainder of fiscal 2008.

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies**

HP is involved in lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings, including those identified below, consisting of intellectual property, commercial, securities, employment, employee benefits and environmental matters that arise in the ordinary course of business. In accordance with SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," HP records a provision for a liability when management believes that it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. HP believes it has adequate provisions for any such matters. HP reviews these provisions at least quarterly and adjusts these provisions to reflect the impact of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel and other information and events pertaining to a particular case. Based on its experience, HP believes that any damage amounts claimed in the specific matters discussed below are not a meaningful indicator of HP's potential liability. Litigation is inherently unpredictable. However, HP believes that it has valid defenses with respect to legal matters pending against it. Nevertheless, it is possible that cash flows or results of operations could be materially affected in any particular period by the unfavorable resolution of one or more of these contingencies or because of the diversion of management's attention and the creation of significant expenses.

*Pending Litigation, Proceedings and Investigations*

Copyright levies. As described below, proceedings are ongoing against HP in certain European Union ("EU") member countries, including litigation in Germany, seeking to impose levies upon equipment (such as multifunction devices ("MFDs"), personal computers ("PCs") and printers) and alleging that these devices enable producing private copies of copyrighted materials. The total levies due, if imposed, would be based upon the number of products sold and the per-product amounts of the levies, which vary. Some EU member countries that do not yet have levies on digital devices are expected to implement similar legislation to enable them to extend existing levy schemes, while some other EU member countries are expected to limit the scope of levy schemes and applicability in the digital hardware environment. HP, other companies and various industry associations are opposing the extension of levies to the digital environment and advocating compensation to rights holders through digital rights management systems.

Verwertungsgesellschaft Wort ("VG Wort"), a collection agency representing certain copyright holders, instituted non-binding arbitration proceedings against HP in June 2001 in Germany before the arbitration board of the Patent and Trademark Office. The proceedings relate to whether and to what extent copyright levies for photocopiers should be imposed in accordance with copyright laws implemented in Germany on MFDs that allegedly enable the production of copies by private persons. Following unsuccessful arbitration, VG Wort filed a lawsuit against HP in May 2004 in the Stuttgart

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)**

**(Unaudited)**

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

Civil Court in Stuttgart, Germany seeking levies on certain MFDs sold from 1997 to 2001. On December 22, 2004, the court held that HP is liable for payments regarding MFDs sold in Germany and ordered HP to pay VG Wort an amount equal to 5% of the outstanding levies claimed, plus interest, on MFDs sold in Germany up to December 2001. VG Wort appealed this decision. On July 6, 2005, the Stuttgart Court of Appeals ordered HP to pay VG Wort levies based on the published tariffs for photocopiers in Germany (which range from EUR 38.35 to EUR 613.56 per unit), plus interest, on MFDs sold in Germany up to December 2001. HP appealed the Stuttgart Court of Appeals' decision to the Bundesgerichtshof (the German Federal Supreme Court). On January 30, 2008, the German Federal Supreme Court held that the MFDs covered by this lawsuit were photocopiers within the meaning of the German copyright law that was in effect until December 31, 2007, and, therefore, are subject to the levies on photocopiers established by that law. HP has filed a claim with the German Federal Constitutional Court challenging that ruling.

On September 26, 2005, VG Wort filed an additional lawsuit against HP in the Stuttgart Civil Court in Stuttgart, Germany seeking levies on MFDs sold in Germany between 1997 and 2001, as well as for MFDs sold from 2002 onwards. On July 26, 2007, the court issued a decision following the ruling of the Stuttgart Court of Appeals with respect to the initial VG Wort lawsuit as described above. HP has appealed the decision. Both parties are required to submit comments on the German Federal Supreme Court judgment in the initial VG Wort lawsuit seeking levies on MFDs described above, but no deadline has been set for those comments to be submitted.

In July 2004, VG Wort filed a separate lawsuit against HP in the Stuttgart Civil Court seeking levies on printers. On December 22, 2004, the court held that HP is liable for payments regarding all printers using ASCII code sold in Germany but did not determine the amount payable per unit. HP appealed this decision in January 2005 to the Higher Regional Court of Baden Wuerttemberg. On May 11, 2005, the Higher Regional Court issued a decision confirming that levies are due. On June 6, 2005, HP filed an appeal to the German Federal Supreme Court in Karlsruhe. On December 6, 2007 the German Federal Supreme Court issued a judgment that printers are not subject to levies under the existing law. The court issued a written decision on January 25, 2008, and VG Wort subsequently filed an application with the German Federal Supreme Court under Section 321a of the German Code of Civil Procedure contending that the court did not consider their arguments. On May 9, 2008, the German Federal Supreme Court denied VG Wort's application. In addition, VG Wort has filed a claim with the German Federal Constitutional Court challenging the ruling that printers are not subject to levies.

In September 2003, VG Wort filed a lawsuit against Fujitsu Siemens Computer GmbH ("FSC") in Munich State Court seeking levies on PCs. This is an industry test case in Germany, and HP has agreed not to object to the delay if VG Wort sues HP for such levies on PCs following a final decision against FSC. On December 23, 2004, the Munich State Court held that PCs are subject to a levy and that FSC must pay 12 euros plus compound interest for each PC sold in Germany since March 2001. FSC appealed this decision in January 2005 to the Higher Regional Court of Bavaria. On December 15, 2005, the Higher Regional Court affirmed the Munich State Court decision. FSC filed an appeal with the German Federal Supreme Court in February 2006. A hearing date has been set for October 2, 2008.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

On December 29, 2005, ZPU, a joint association of various German collection societies, instituted non-binding arbitration proceedings against HP before the arbitration board of the Patent and Trademark Office demanding reporting of every PC sold by HP in Germany from January 2002 through December 2005 and seeking a levy of 18.42 euros plus tax for each PC sold during that period. HP filed a notice of defense in connection with these proceedings in February 2006, and an arbitration hearing was held in December 2006. On August 3, 2007, the arbitration board issued a ruling proposing a levy of 15 euros plus tax for each PC sold during that period. HP has rejected the ruling of the arbitration board, and the arbitration proceedings have concluded. ZPU has filed a claim with the appeals court in Munich to which HP has responded. A hearing date has been set by the court for November 12, 2009.

Based on industry opposition to the extension of levies to digital products, HP's assessments of the merits of various proceedings and HP's estimates of the units impacted and levies, HP has accrued amounts that it believes are adequate to address the matters described above. However, the ultimate resolution of these matters and the associated financial impact on HP, including the number of units impacted, the amount of levies imposed and the ability of HP to recover such amounts through increased prices, remains uncertain.

Floppy Disk Controller Litigation. Between January 2000 and January 2005, the following six defective product consumer class actions were filed against HP or Compaq Computer Corporation in Texas, Oklahoma and California: LaPray v. Compaq was filed in the District Court of Jefferson County, Texas, on January 28, 2000; Alvis v. HP was filed in that same court in Jefferson County in April 2001; Barrett v. HP and Grider v. Compaq were each filed in the District Court of Cleveland County, Oklahoma, on June 4, 2003; Batiste v. HP (formerly Scott v. HP) was filed in state court in San Joaquin County, California, on November 5, 2004; and Schultz v. HP (formerly Jurado v. HP), was filed in that same court in San Joaquin County on January 27, 2005. The basic allegation in all of these cases was that HP and Compaq sold computers containing floppy disk controllers that fail to alert the user to certain floppy disk controller errors, which failure was alleged to result in data loss or data corruption. The plaintiffs in each case sought, among other things, injunctive relief, declaratory relief, unspecified damages and attorneys' fees. On May 9, 2008, the trial court in Oklahoma granted final approval to a settlement under which all of these lawsuits were dismissed with prejudice. Under the settlement, eligible class members each had the right to obtain a redemption certificate for use in purchasing a computer through HP's website; a USB flash drive as long as the class member met certain requirements; and a software patch designed to address the alleged defect at issue in the lawsuits. Also pursuant to the terms of the settlement, HP paid an aggregate of approximately \$49 million in stipends to the named plaintiffs and plaintiffs' counsel's attorneys' fees, costs and expenses, which amount was accrued on HP's financial statements as of July 31, 2008 and paid in August 2008. Separately, the Civil Division of the Department of Justice, the General Services Administration Office of Inspector General and other Federal agencies are conducting an investigation of allegations that HP and Compaq made, or caused to be made, false claims for payment to the United States for computers known by HP and Compaq to contain defective parts or otherwise to perform in a defective manner relating to the same alleged floppy disk controller errors. HP's agreement with the Department of Justice to extend the statute of limitations on its investigation expired on December 6, 2006. HP is cooperating fully with this investigation.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

Barbara's Sales, et al. v. Intel Corporation, Hewlett-Packard Company, et al. and Neubauer, et al. v. Compaq Computer Corporation are separate lawsuits filed on June 3, 2002, in the Circuit Court, Third Judicial District, Madison County, Illinois, alleging that HP and Compaq (along with Intel) misled the public by suppressing and concealing the alleged material fact that systems that use the Intel Pentium 4 processor are less powerful and slower than systems using the Intel Pentium III processor and processors made by a competitor of Intel. The plaintiffs sought unspecified damages, restitution, attorneys' fees and costs, and certification of a nationwide class. The trial court in the HP action certified an Illinois class as to Intel but denied a nationwide class. On appeal, the Fifth District Appellate Court ruled that the trial court erred in applying Illinois law in deciding to certify the Illinois class and to deny certification of the nationwide class. On appeal, the Illinois Supreme Court reversed certification of the nationwide class and held that no statewide class could be certified under Illinois law. Both cases subsequently were dismissed with prejudice. Skold, et al. v. Intel Corporation and Hewlett-Packard Company is a lawsuit in which HP was joined on June 14, 2004, that was initially filed in state court in Alameda County, California, based upon factual allegations similar to those in the Illinois cases. The plaintiffs in the Skold matter also seek unspecified damages, restitution, attorneys' fees and costs, and certification of a nationwide class. The Skold case has since been transferred to state court in Santa Clara County, California. The trial court denied plaintiffs' motion for class certification on March 27, 2008, but granted plaintiffs' leave to file a new motion for class certification.

Feder v. HP (formerly Tyler v. HP) is a lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on June 16, 2005, asserting breach of express and implied warranty, unjust enrichment, violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act and deceptive advertising and unfair business practices in violation of California's Unfair Competition Law. Among other things, plaintiffs allege that HP employed a "smart chip" in certain inkjet printing products in order to register ink depletion prematurely and to render the cartridge unusable through a built-in expiration date that is hidden, not documented in marketing materials to consumers, or both. Plaintiffs also contend that consumers received false ink depletion warnings and that the smart chip limits the ability of consumers to use the cartridge to its full capacity or to choose competitive products. On September 6, 2005, a lawsuit captioned Ciolino v. HP was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The allegations in the Ciolino case are substantively identical to those in Feder, and the two cases have been formally consolidated in a single proceeding in the District Court for the Northern District of California under the caption In re HP Inkjet Printer Litigation. On January 4, 2008, the court heard plaintiffs' motions for class certification and to add a class representative and HP's motion for summary judgment. On July 25, 2008, the court denied all three motions. On January 17, 2007, an additional lawsuit captioned Blennis v. HP was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California with allegations substantially the same as those made previously in In re Inkjet Printer Litigation regarding HP's use of built-in expiration dates. The plaintiffs in Blennis seek class certification, restitution, damages (including enhanced damages), injunctive relief, interest, costs, and attorneys' fees. Three related lawsuits filed in California state court, Tyler v. HP (filed in Santa Clara County on February 17, 2005), Obi v. HP (filed in Los Angeles County on February 17, 2005), and Weingart v. HP (filed in Los Angeles County on March 18, 2005), have been dismissed without prejudice by the plaintiffs. In addition, two related lawsuits filed in federal court, namely Grabell v. HP (filed in the District of New Jersey on March 18, 2005) and Just v. HP (filed in the Eastern District of New York on April 20, 2005), have been dismissed without prejudice by the plaintiffs. Allegations substantially similar to the ones made in In re HP Inkjet Litigation and in Blennis have been made

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

against HP and its subsidiary, Hewlett-Packard (Canada) Co., in four Canadian class actions, one commenced in British Columbia in February 2006, two commenced in Quebec in April 2006 and May 2006, respectively, and one commenced in Ontario in June 2006, all seeking class certification, restitution, declaratory relief, injunctive relief and unspecified statutory, compensatory and punitive damages.

Schorsch v. HP is a consumer class action filed against HP on October 28, 2003, in Illinois state court alleging that HP has included an electrically erasable programmable read only memory (EEPROM) chip in certain of its LaserJet printers that prematurely advises the user that the drum kit needs replacing in violation of Illinois state law. The plaintiffs subsequently filed an amended complaint seeking to expand the class from purchasers of drum kits to purchasers of all HP printer consumables that contain EEPROM chips. The most current amended complaint seeks certification of an Illinois-only class and seeks unspecified damages, attorneys' fees and costs. On June 6, 2007, a separate consumer class action lawsuit captioned Baggett v. HP was filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California containing similar allegations, i.e., that HP employs a technology in its LaserJet color printers whereby the printing process shuts down prematurely, thus preventing customers from using the toner that is allegedly left in the cartridge. The plaintiffs allege that HP fails to disclose to consumers that they will be unable to utilize the toner remaining in the cartridge after the printer shuts down. The complaint seeks certification of a nationwide class of purchasers of all HP LaserJet color printers and seeks unspecified damages, restitution, disgorgement, injunctive relief, attorneys' fees and costs.

Rich v. HP is a consumer class action filed against HP on May 22, 2006 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The suit alleges that HP designed its color inkjet printers to unnecessarily use color ink in addition to black ink when printing black and white images and text. The plaintiffs seek injunctive and monetary relief on behalf of a nationwide class. The Court has granted HP's motion to dismiss several of the plaintiffs' claims, and HP answered the remaining claims in February 2007. The Court set a deadline of January 23, 2009, by which plaintiffs are required to file a motion for class certification. A hearing on plaintiff's motion for class certification is currently scheduled for May 8, 2009.

On December 27, 2001, Cornell University and the Cornell Research Foundation, Inc. filed a complaint, amended on September 6, 2002, against HP in United States District Court for the Northern District of New York alleging that HP's PA-RISC 8000 family of microprocessors, and servers and workstations incorporating those processors, infringe a patent assigned to Cornell Research Foundation, Inc. that describes a way of executing microprocessor instructions. The complaint sought declaratory and injunctive relief and unspecified damages. On March 26, 2004, the district court issued a ruling interpreting the disputed claim terms in the patent at issue. HP filed five motions for summary judgment on September 29, 2006. The district court ruled on those motions on September 24, 2007, eliminating certain patent claims but otherwise allowing the case to proceed to trial. The patent at issue in this litigation, United States Patent No. 4,807,115, expired on February 21, 2006. Therefore, the plaintiffs are no longer entitled to seek injunctive relief against HP. This matter was tried between May 19 and May 30, 2008, and, on May 30, 2008, a jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiffs in the amount of \$184 million. The court has not yet entered a final judgment, and it will not do so until after it rules on HP's equitable defenses and HP's post-trial motions to vacate the judgment and/or to reduce the amount of damages awarded by the jury. Depending on the outcome of HP's defenses and post-trial motions, HP may file an appeal with the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

*Digwamaje et al. v. Bank of America et al.* is a purported class action lawsuit that names HP and numerous other multinational corporations as defendants. It was filed on September 27, 2002, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York on behalf of current and former South African citizens and their survivors who suffered violence and oppression under the apartheid regime. The lawsuit alleges that HP and other companies helped perpetuate, profited from, and otherwise aided and abetted the apartheid regime during the period 1948-1994 by selling products and services to agencies of the South African government. Claims are based on the Alien Tort Claims Act, the Torture Victims Protection Act, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act and state law. The complaint seeks, among other things, an accounting, the creation of a historic commission, compensatory damages in excess of \$200 billion, punitive damages in excess of \$200 billion, costs and attorneys' fees. On November 29, 2004, the District Court dismissed with prejudice the plaintiffs' complaint. On October 12, 2007, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit affirmed in part and reversed in part the District Court's decision. The Second Circuit affirmed the dismissal of the plaintiffs' claims under the Torture Victims Protection Act, but reversed the District Court's dismissal of the plaintiffs' Alien Tort Claims Act claims, finding that it was possible for the plaintiffs to state such a claim. The Second Circuit, therefore, remanded the case to the District Court to permit the plaintiffs to attempt to plead the allegations needed to state a claim under the Alien Tort Claims Act. On January 10, 2008, HP and the other defendants filed a certiorari petition with the United States Supreme Court, which the Supreme Court declined to grant for lack of a quorum on May 12, 2008.

*CSIRO Patent Litigation. Microsoft Corporation, Hewlett-Packard Company, et al. v. Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation of Australia* is an action filed by HP and two other plaintiffs on May 9, 2005, in the District Court for the Northern District of California seeking a declaratory judgment against Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation of Australia ("CSIRO") that HP's products employing the IEEE 802.11a and 802.11g wireless protocol standards do not infringe CSIRO's United States Patent No. 5,487,069 relating to wireless transmission of data at frequencies in excess of 10GHz. On September 22, 2005, CSIRO filed an answer and counterclaims alleging that all HP products which employ those wireless protocol standards infringe the CSIRO patent and seeking damages, including enhanced damages and attorneys' fees and costs, and an injunction against sales of infringing products. On December 12, 2006, CSIRO successfully moved to have the case transferred to the District Court of the Eastern District of Texas, a court that has granted CSIRO's motions for summary judgment on the issues of validity and patent infringement and a permanent injunction in favor of CSIRO in a patent infringement action brought by CSIRO against a third-party vendor of wireless networking products based on the same patent. On June 15, 2007, CSIRO filed an amended answer and counterclaims adding the allegation that all HP products which employ the draft IEEE 802.11n wireless protocol infringe the CSIRO patent. Trial is scheduled for April 2009.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

Polaroid Corp. v. HP is a lawsuit filed against HP by Polaroid Corporation in December 2006 in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. The lawsuit involves a single U.S. patent that expired in April 2008. Polaroid alleges that certain HP products containing "Digital Flash" or "Adaptive Lighting" technology infringe Polaroid's U.S. Patent No. 4,829,381 relating to a system and method for continuously enhancing electronic images by varying the contrast in different portions of the image. Polaroid seeks monetary relief. A trial is scheduled for December 2008.

Convolve, Inc. and Massachusetts Institute of Technology v. Compaq Computer Corporation and Seagate Technology, Inc. In July 2000, Compaq and Seagate were sued in the United States District court for the Southern District of New York by MIT and a small technology company named Convolve. Convolve accused Compaq and Seagate of misappropriating certain confidential information and infringing certain patents in Seagate's development of certain disk drive products and Compaq's development of a user interface. MIT and Convolve are owners of one of the patents at issue. With respect to one of the patents, the accused feature is contained within the Seagate drive procured by Compaq, not in Compaq's own designs or products; therefore, Seagate is taking the lead in defending against Convolve's claims. The second patent relates to a user interface that HP has removed from its products. Seagate has agreed to indemnify HP with respect to one patent; HP has requested but not received indemnification from Seagate with respect to the second. Pre-trial discovery is ongoing. A claim construction hearing was held on March 30-31, 2004; the court issued its ruling on August 10, 2005. No trial date has been set.

The United States of America, ex rel. Norman Rille and Neal Roberts v. Hewlett-Packard Company, et al. In 2004, two private individuals filed a civil "qui tam" complaint under the False Claims Act in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas containing generalized allegations that HP and several other companies participated in an industry-wide practice of using partnership and alliance programs to make improper payments and cause the submission of false claims in connection with contracts to provide products and services to the federal government. On April 12, 2007, the U.S. Department of Justice intervened in the *qui tam* action and filed a complaint against HP (and several other companies in separate actions) on behalf of the United States containing allegations that HP violated the False Claims Act and the Anti-Kickback Act of 1986 by providing millions of dollars in kickbacks to its alliance partners, including "influencer fees" and "new business opportunity rebates." The U.S. complaint further alleges that HP violated the False Claims Act and the Anti-Kickback Act, breached its federal government contracts, induced the federal government to make payments to HP that HP was not entitled to receive under those contracts, and was unjustly enriched by expressly or impliedly making false statements, records or certifications to the federal government that it complied with and would continue to comply with the Anti-Kickback Act and by submitting claims to the government that allegedly were inflated because they included the amounts of the influencer fees and new business opportunity rebates. The U.S. complaint seeks treble damages plus civil penalties in connection with the alleged violations of the False Claims Act, double damages plus civil penalties in connection with violations of the Anti-Kickback Act and disgorgement of profits earned in connection with the breach of contract and unjust enrichment claims.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

Leak Investigation Proceedings. As described below, HP is or has been the subject of various governmental inquiries concerning the processes employed in an investigation into leaks of HP confidential information to members of the media that concluded in May 2006:

In August 2006, HP was informally contacted by the Attorney General of the State of California requesting information concerning the processes employed in the leak investigation. On December 7, 2006, HP announced that it entered into an agreement with the California Attorney General to resolve civil claims arising from the leak investigation, including a claim made by the California Attorney General in a Santa Clara County Superior Court action filed on December 7, 2006, that HP committed unfair business practices under California law in connection with the leak investigation. As a result of this agreement, which includes an injunction, the California Attorney General will not pursue civil claims against HP or its current and former directors, officers and employees. Under the terms of the agreement, HP paid a total of \$14.5 million and agreed to implement and maintain for five years a series of measures designed to ensure that HP's corporate investigations are conducted in accordance with California law and the company's high ethical standards. Of the \$14.5 million, \$13.5 million has been used to create a Privacy and Piracy Fund to assist California prosecutors in investigating and prosecuting consumer privacy and information piracy violations, \$650,000 was used to pay statutory damages and \$350,000 reimbursed the California Attorney General's office for its investigation costs. There was no finding of liability against HP as part of the settlement.

Beginning in September 2006, HP received requests from the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the U.S. House of Representatives (the "Committee") for records and information concerning the leak investigation, securities transactions by HP officers and directors, including an August 25, 2006, securities transaction by Mark Hurd, HP's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and related matters. HP has responded to those requests. In addition, Mr. Hurd voluntarily gave testimony to the Committee regarding the leak investigation on September 28, 2006.

In September 2006, HP was informally contacted by the U.S. Attorney for the Northern District of California requesting similar information concerning the processes employed in the leak investigation. HP has responded to that request.

Beginning in September 2006, HP has received requests from the Division of Enforcement of the SEC, for records and information and interviews with current and former HP directors and officers relating to the leak investigation, the resignation of Thomas J. Perkins from HP's Board of Directors, HP's May 22, 2006 and September 6, 2006 filings with the SEC on Form 8-K, stock repurchases by HP and securities transactions by its officers and directors that occurred between May 1 and October 1, 2006, and HP's policies, practices and approval of securities transactions. In May 2007, HP consented to the entry of an order by the SEC ordering HP to cease and desist from committing or causing violations of the public reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. HP has been advised by the staff of the Division of Enforcement that the staff has completed its investigation and does not intend to recommend that any other SEC enforcement action be brought in connection with these matters.



HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

In September 2006, HP received a request from the U.S. Federal Communications Commission for records and information relating to the processes employed in the leak investigation. HP has responded to that request.

In addition, four stockholder derivative lawsuits have been filed in California purportedly on behalf of HP stockholders seeking to recover damages for alleged breach of fiduciary duty and to require HP to improve its corporate governance and internal control procedures as a result of the activities of the leak investigation: Staehr v. Dunn, et al. was filed in Santa Clara County Superior Court on September 18, 2006; Worsham v. Dunn, et al. was filed in Santa Clara County Superior Court on September 14, 2006; Tansey v. Dunn, et al. was filed in Santa Clara County Superior Court on September 20, 2006; and Hall v. Dunn, et al. was filed in Santa Clara County Superior Court on September 25, 2006. On October 19, 2006, the Santa Clara County Superior Court consolidated the four California cases under the caption In re Hewlett-Packard Company Derivative Litigation. The consolidated complaint filed on November 19, 2006, also seeks to recover damages in connection with sales of HP stock alleged to have been made by certain current and former HP officers and directors while in possession of material non-public information. Two additional stockholder derivative lawsuits, Pifko v. Babbio, et al., filed on September 19, 2006, and Gross v. Babbio, et al., filed on November 21, 2006, were filed in Chancery Court, County of New Castle, Delaware; both seek to recover damages for alleged breaches of fiduciary duty and to obtain an order instructing the defendants to refrain from further breaches of fiduciary duty and to implement corrective measures that will prevent future occurrences of the alleged breaches of fiduciary duty. On January 24, 2007, the Delaware court consolidated the two cases under the caption In re Hewlett-Packard Company Derivative Litigation and subsequently stayed the proceedings, as the parties had reached a tentative settlement. The HP Board of Directors appointed a Special Litigation Committee consisting of independent Board members authorized to investigate, review and evaluate the facts and circumstances asserted in these derivative matters and to determine how HP should proceed in these matters. On December 14, 2007, HP and the plaintiffs in the California and Delaware derivative actions entered into an agreement to settle those lawsuits. Under the terms of the settlement, HP agreed to continue certain corporate governance changes until December 31, 2012 and to pay the plaintiffs' attorneys' fees. The California court granted final approval to the settlement on March 11, 2008 and subsequently granted plaintiffs' counsel's fee application and dismissed the action. On June 12, 2008, the Delaware court granted final approval to the settlement and the plaintiffs' application for attorneys' fees and also dismissed the action. Because neither the dismissal of the California nor the Delaware derivative action was thereafter appealed, both cases are now concluded.

Electronic Data Systems Corporation Proceedings. In August, 2008, HP completed its acquisition of EDS. Upon completion of the acquisition, HP assumed oversight for all litigation and regulatory matters pending or subsequently commenced against EDS. Those pending matters include, among others, a lawsuit filed on August 17, 2004 by Sky Subscribers Services Limited ("SSSL") and British Sky Broadcasting Limited ("BSkyB") against EDS and EDS Limited (UK) ("EDS UK"), one of EDS's subsidiaries, alleging deceit, negligent misrepresentation and breach of contract. The claims arose out of a customer relationship management project that was awarded to EDS in 2000; the principal objective of the project was to develop a customer call center in Scotland. EDS's main role was as systems integrator. On November 12, 2004, EDS and EDS UK filed their defense and counterclaim denying the claims and seeking damages for monies owed under the contract. The trial of this action

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

commenced on October 15, 2007, and final arguments concluded on July 30, 2008. A decision from the court is expected in October 2008.

Mercury Interactive Corporation Proceedings. In November 2006, HP completed its acquisition of Mercury. Upon completion of the acquisition, HP assumed oversight for all litigation and regulatory matters pending or subsequently commenced against Mercury. The following Mercury-related litigation and regulatory inquiries currently are pending:

Prior to the announcement of the acquisition, and beginning on or about August 19, 2005, four securities class action lawsuits were filed against Mercury and certain of its officers and directors on behalf of purchasers of Mercury's stock from October 2003 to November 2005: Archdiocese of Milwaukee Supporting Fund, Inc. v. Mercury Interactive, et al., Johnson v. Mercury Interactive, et al., Munao v. Mercury Interactive, et al., and Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi v. Mercury Interactive, et al. These class action lawsuits were consolidated in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California as In re Mercury Interactive Corporation Securities Litigation. The consolidated complaint filed on September 8, 2006, alleges that, during the putative class period of October 17, 2000 through November 1, 2005, the defendants made false or misleading public statements regarding Mercury's business and operations in violation of Section 10(b) and Section 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder and seeks unspecified monetary damages and other relief. On July 30, 2007, the court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss the consolidated complaint with leave to amend. On October 15, 2007, HP and counsel for the plaintiffs reached an agreement in principle to settle the consolidated class action lawsuit. The agreement, if finalized and approved by the court, provides for HP to pay an aggregate of \$117.5 million to administer the settlement, to compensate the class, and to pay attorneys' fees. The court preliminarily approved the settlement on June 2, 2008, and it scheduled a

hearing to be held on September 25, 2008, to determine whether to grant final approval to the settlement and dismiss the case.

On February 26, 2007, HP received a request from the Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations of the U.S. Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs for information relating to Mercury's past executive compensation and stock option granting policies and procedures, including information about the practice of backdating the grant date of options that allegedly occurred before HP acquired Mercury. HP has responded to the Subcommittee's request and intends to cooperate with the inquiry.

European Commission OEM Investigation. In May 2002, the European Commission of the EU publicly stated that it was considering conducting an investigation into original equipment manufacturer ("OEM") activities concerning the sales of printers and supplies to consumers within the EU. The European Commission contacted HP requesting information on the printing systems businesses. HP has cooperated fully in response to the initial inquiry and intends to cooperate fully with respect to subsequent requests for information.

*Concluded Litigation, Proceedings and Investigations*

Tandberg Data Corporation v. HP. In January 2006, Exabyte Corporation, which was subsequently acquired by Tandberg Data Corporation, sued HP in the United States District Court for the District of

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)**

(Unaudited)

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

Colorado. The plaintiff alleged that a particular HP tape drive infringed a patent that describes an apparatus and method for recovering data from a distorted tape by rewinding and replaying the tape at a slower speed. In June 2006, HP filed counterclaims against the plaintiff, including a claim of patent infringement of one HP patent relating to tape drive technology. Both parties sought injunctive relief and unspecified damages. In May 2008, the parties agreed to settle the matter with HP paying the plaintiff an amount of money that is immaterial to HP and by dismissing the pending lawsuit. An order of dismissal was entered in June 2008.

*Environmental*

HP is party to, or otherwise involved in, proceedings brought by U.S. or state environmental agencies under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), known as "Superfund," or state laws similar to CERCLA. HP is also conducting environmental investigations or remediations at several current or former operating sites pursuant to administrative orders or consent agreements with state environmental agencies. It is our policy to apply strict standards for environmental clean-up to sites outside the United States, even where we are not required to do so under applicable local laws and regulations.

The EU adopted the Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment Directive in January 2003. The directive makes producers of electrical goods, including computers and printers, financially responsible for specified collection, recycling, treatment and disposal of past and future covered products. The deadline for the individual member states of the EU to enact legislation implementing the directive in their respective countries was August 13, 2004 (such legislation, together with the directive, the "WEEE Legislation"). The EU member states were obliged to make producers participating in the market financially responsible for implementing these responsibilities under the WEEE Legislation beginning in August 2005. Implementation in certain of the member states was delayed until 2007. Similar legislation has been or may be enacted in other jurisdictions, including in the United States, Canada, Mexico, China and Japan. HP is continuing to evaluate the impact of and take steps to comply with the WEEE Legislation and similar legislation in other jurisdictions as individual countries issue their implementation legislation and guidance.

The liability for environmental remediation and other environmental costs is accrued when it is considered probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated. We have accrued amounts in conjunction with the foregoing environmental issues that we believe were adequate as of July 31, 2008. These accruals were not material to our operations or financial position, and we do not currently anticipate material capital expenditures for environmental control facilities.

**Note 15: Segment Information**

*Description of Segments*

HP is a leading global provider of products, technologies, software, solutions and services to individual consumers, small and medium sized businesses ("SMBs"), and large enterprises including the public and education sectors. HP's offerings span personal computing and other access devices; imaging and printing-related products and services; enterprise information technology ("IT") infrastructure, including enterprise storage and server technology; software that optimizes business technology

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 15: Segment Information (Continued)**

investments; and multi-vendor customer services, including technology support and maintenance, consulting and integration and outsourcing services.

HP and its operations are organized into seven business segments: Enterprise Storage and Servers ("ESS"), HP Services ("HPS"), HP Software, the Personal Systems Group ("PSG"), the Imaging and Printing Group ("IPG"), HP Financial Services ("HPFS"), and Corporate Investments. HP's organizational structure is based on a number of factors that management uses to evaluate, view and run its business operations, which include, but are not limited to, customer base, homogeneity of products and technology. The business segments disclosed in the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements are based on this organizational structure and information reviewed by HP's management to evaluate the business segment results. ESS, HPS and HP Software are structured beneath a broader Technology Solutions Group ("TSG"). In order to provide a supplementary view of HP's business, aggregated financial data for TSG is presented herein.

HP has reclassified segment operating results for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007 to conform to certain fiscal 2008 organizational realignments. None of the changes impact HP's previously reported consolidated net revenue, earnings from operations, net earnings or net earnings per share. Future changes to this organizational structure may result in changes to the business segments disclosed. A description of the types of products and services provided by each business segment follows.

*Technology Solutions Group.* Each of the business segments within TSG is described in detail below.

*Enterprise Storage and Servers* provides storage and server products. The server offerings range from entry-level servers to high-end scalable servers, virtualization technologies, rack and tower infrastructure and server and storage management software. The business spans a range of product lines, including pedestal-tower servers, density-optimized rack servers and HP's BladeSystem family of server blades. ProLiant servers are primarily entry-level and mid-range servers, which run principally Windows<sup>®</sup>(<sup>1</sup>), Linux operating systems and leverage Intel Corporation and Advanced Micro Devices processors. Business critical Integrity systems include Itanium<sup>®</sup>(<sup>2</sup>)-based servers running on HP-UX, Windows®, Linux and OpenVMS operating systems, including the high-end Superdome servers and fault-tolerant Integrity NonStop servers. StorageWorks offerings include entry-level, mid-range and high-end storage area networks, network attached storage, storage management software, and virtualization technologies, as well as tape drives, tape libraries and optical archival storage. HP XP storage is principally enterprise-level storage, EVA and MSA are primarily mid-range storage and entry storage respectively.

*HP Services* provides a portfolio of multi-vendor IT services including technology services, consulting and integration and outsourcing services. HPS also offers a variety of services tailored to particular industries such as communications, media and entertainment, manufacturing and distribution, financial services, health and life sciences and the public sector, including government services. HPS collaborates with the Enterprise Storage and Servers and HP Software groups, as well as with third-party system integrators and software and networking companies to

---

(1) Windows<sup>®</sup> is a registered trademark of Microsoft Corporation.

(2) Itanium<sup>®</sup> is a registered trademark of Intel Corporation.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 15: Segment Information (Continued)**

bring solutions to HP customers. HPS also works with HP's Imaging and Printing Group and Personal Systems Group to provide managed print services, end user workplace services, and mobile workforce productivity solutions to enterprise customers. Technology services provides a range of services, including stand-alone product support and high availability services for complex, global, networked and multi-vendor environments. Technology services also manages the delivery of product warranty support through its own service organization, as well as through authorized partners. Consulting and integration provides services to architect, design and implement technology and industry-specific solutions for customers. Consulting and integration also provides cross-industry solutions in the areas of architecture and governance, infrastructure, applications and packaged applications, security, IT service management, information management and enterprise Microsoft solutions. Outsourcing services offers a variety of IT management and outsourcing services that support customers' infrastructure, applications, business processes, end user workplace, print environment and business continuity and recovery requirements.

*HP Software* provides enterprise IT management software solutions, including support, that allow customers to manage and automate their IT infrastructure, operations, applications, IT services and business processes under the HP Business Technology Optimization ("BTO") brand. The portfolio of BTO solutions enables HP's customers to reduce IT costs and gain better insight to business and IT operations, helping them align IT resources with business goals and automate data center operations and IT processes, enabling IT to deliver more value to the business. HP Software also provides various products and solutions in the other software category, which include OpenCall products, a suite of comprehensive, carrier-grade software platforms for developing and deploying next-generation voice, data and converged services to network and service providers; and Business Information Optimization ("BIO") products, which include enterprise data warehousing, information business continuity and data availability, compliance and e-discovery solutions.

HP's other business segments are described below.

*Personal Systems Group* provides commercial PCs, consumer PCs, workstations, handheld computing devices, digital entertainment products, calculators and other related accessories, software and services for the commercial and consumer markets. Commercial PCs are optimized for commercial uses, including enterprise and SMB customers, and for connectivity and manageability in networked environments. Commercial PCs include the HP Compaq business desktops, thin clients, business notebooks and Tablet PCs. Consumer PCs are targeted at the home user and include the HP Pavilion and Compaq Presario series of multi-media consumer desktops and notebooks, as well as HP Media Center, Touchsmart PC and Voodoo Gaming PCs. Workstations are individual computing products designed for users demanding enhanced performance, such as computer animation, engineering design and other programs requiring high-resolution graphics. Workstations run on UNIX<sup>(3)</sup>, Windows® and Linux-based operating systems. Handheld computing devices include a series of HP iPAQ handheld computing devices, ranging from Pocket PCs and navigation devices to smartphones and data devices that run on Windows® Mobile software, and include software and support. Handheld computing also offers

---

<sup>(3)</sup> UNIX® is a registered trademark of The Open Group.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 15: Segment Information (Continued)**

software and support that provide security and manageability of mobile devices. Digital entertainment products include LCD flat-panel televisions, HD DVD and RW drives and DVD writers.

*Imaging and Printing Group* provides consumer and commercial printer hardware, printing supplies, printing media and scanning devices. IPG is also focused on imaging solutions in the commercial markets, from managed print services solutions to

addressing new growth opportunities in commercial printing in areas such as industrial applications, outdoor signage, and the graphic arts business. Inkjet systems include desktop single-function and inkjet all-in-one printers, including photo, productivity and business inkjet printers and scanners. Digital imaging products and services include photo specialty printers and accessories, photo kiosks and online photo services through Snapfish. LaserJet systems include monochrome and color laser printers, printer-based MFDs and Total Print Management Solutions for enterprise customers. Graphics and Imaging products include large format (DesignJet) printers, Indigo and Scitex digital presses, digital publishing solutions and graphics printing solutions. Printer supplies include LaserJet toner and inkjet printer cartridges and other printing-related media such as HP-branded Vivera and ColorSphere ink and HP Premium and Premium Plus photo papers.

*HP Financial Services* supports and enhances HP's global product and services solutions, providing a broad range of value-added financial life cycle management services. HPFS enables HP's worldwide customers to acquire complete IT solutions, including hardware, software and services. HPFS offers leasing, financing, utility programs, and asset recovery services, as well as financial asset management services, for large global and enterprise customers. HPFS also provides an array of specialized financial services to SMBs and educational and governmental entities. HPFS offers innovative, customized and flexible alternatives to balance unique customer cash flow, technology obsolescence and capacity needs.

*Corporate Investments* is managed by the Office of Strategy and Technology and includes HP Labs, ProCurve and certain business incubation projects. Revenue in this segment is attributable primarily to the sale of certain network infrastructure products, including Ethernet switch products that enhance computing and enterprise solutions under the brand "ProCurve Networking," and to a lesser extent the licensing of specific HP technology to third parties.

*Segment Data*

HP derives the results of the business segments directly from its internal management reporting system. The accounting policies HP uses to derive business segment results are substantially the same as those the consolidated company uses. Management measures the performance of each business segment based on several metrics, including earnings from operations. Management uses these results, in part, to evaluate the performance of, and to assign resources to, each of the business segments. HP does not allocate to its business segments certain operating expenses, which it manages separately at the corporate level. These unallocated costs include primarily amortization of purchased intangible assets, stock-based compensation expense related to HP-granted stock awards and certain acquisition-related employee stock options and the employee stock purchase plan, certain acquisition-related charges and charges for purchased IPR&D, restructuring charges and any associated adjustments related to restructuring actions, as well as certain corporate governance costs.

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

## Note 15: Segment Information (Continued)

Selected operating results information for each business segment was as follows:

	Three months ended July 31			
	Total Net Revenue		Earnings (Loss) from Operations	
	2008	2007 <sup>(1)</sup>	2008	2007 <sup>(1)</sup>
In millions				
Enterprise Storage and Servers	\$ 4,741	\$ 4,516	\$ 544	\$ 507
HP Services	4,753	4,165	574	417
HP Software	781	606	122	51
Technology Solutions Group	10,275	9,287	1,240	975
Personal Systems Group	10,254	8,894	587	519
Imaging and Printing Group	6,979	6,751	1,048	981
HP Financial Services	680	582	51	39
Corporate Investments	271	220	26	(5)
<b>Segment total</b>	<b>\$28,459</b>	<b>\$25,734</b>	<b>\$2,952</b>	<b>\$2,509</b>

	Nine months ended July 31			
	Total Net Revenue		Earnings (Loss) from Operations	
	2008	2007 <sup>(1)</sup>	2008	2007 <sup>(1)</sup>
In millions				
Enterprise Storage and Servers	\$ 14,341	\$ 13,531	\$ 1,872	\$ 1,412
HP Services	13,758	12,222	1,571	1,272
HP Software	2,174	1,772	266	76
Technology Solutions Group	30,273	27,525	3,709	2,760
Personal Systems Group	31,116	26,276	1,759	1,350
Imaging and Printing Group	21,882	20,911	3,428	3,221
HP Financial Services	2,007	1,679	141	107
Corporate Investments	719	552	40	(52)
<b>Segment total</b>	<b>\$85,997</b>	<b>\$76,943</b>	<b>\$9,077</b>	<b>\$7,386</b>

(1)

Certain fiscal 2008 organizational reclassifications have been reflected retroactively to provide improved visibility and comparability. For each of the quarters in fiscal year 2007, the reclassifications resulted in the transfer of revenue and operating profit among the Enterprise Storage and Servers, HP Services and HP Software segments within the Technology Solutions Group. There was no impact on the previously reported financial results for the other segments or on the previously reported consolidated financial results for the company as a whole.





## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

## Note 15: Segment Information (Continued)

The reconciliation of segment operating results information to HP consolidated totals was as follows:

	Three months ended July 31		Nine months ended July 31	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
In millions				
Net revenue:				
Total segments	\$28,459	\$25,734	\$85,997	\$76,943
Elimination of inter-segment net revenue and other	(427)	(357)	(1,236)	(950)
Total HP consolidated net revenue	\$28,032	\$25,377	\$84,761	\$75,993
Earnings before taxes:				
Total segment earnings from operations	\$ 2,952	\$ 2,509	\$ 9,077	\$ 7,386
Corporate and unallocated costs and eliminations	(85)	(101)	(308)	(242)
Unallocated costs related to stock-based compensation expense	(120)	(114)	(375)	(385)
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	(213)	(183)	(630)	(596)
In-process research and development charges			(13)	(186)
Restructuring	(5)	5	(19)	(407)
Pension curtailments and pension settlements, net				517
Interest and other, net	23	170	98	391
Total HP consolidated earnings before taxes	\$ 2,552	\$ 2,286	\$ 7,830	\$ 6,478

HP allocates its assets to its business segments based on the primary segments benefiting from the assets. As disclosed in Note 6, in connection with the organizational reclassifications discussed herein, goodwill associated with certain acquisitions was transferred from ESS to HP Software. There have been no material changes in the total assets of all the segments.

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

## Note 15: Segment Information (Continued)

Net revenue by segment and business unit

	Three months ended July 31		Nine months ended July 31	
	2008	2007 <sup>(1)</sup>	2008	2007 <sup>(1)</sup>
	In millions			
Net revenue:				
Industry standard servers	\$ 2,874	\$ 2,814	\$ 8,680	\$ 8,321
Business critical systems	829	811	2,603	2,519
Storage	1,038	891	3,058	2,691
Enterprise Storage and Servers	4,741	4,516	14,341	13,531
Technology services	2,394	2,128	6,966	6,310
Outsourcing services	1,456	1,239	4,129	3,568
Consulting and integration	903	798	2,663	2,344
HP Services	4,753	4,165	13,758	12,222
Business technology optimization <sup>(2)</sup>	642	487	1,783	1,384
Other Software <sup>(2)</sup>	139	119	391	388
HP Software	781	606	2,174	1,772
Technology Solutions Group	10,275	9,287	30,273	27,525
Notebooks	5,350	4,254	16,387	12,486
Desktops	4,158	3,933	12,480	11,667
Workstations	468	441	1,429	1,248
Handhelds	90	116	281	423
Other	188	150	539	452
Personal Systems Group	10,254	8,894	31,116	26,276
Commercial hardware	1,567	1,658	5,104	4,983
Consumer hardware	861	996	3,015	3,245
Supplies	4,551	4,097	13,762	12,683
Other			1	
Imaging and Printing Group	6,979	6,751	21,882	20,911
HP Financial Services	680	582	2,007	1,679
Corporate Investments	271	220	719	552
Total segments	28,459	25,734	85,997	76,943
Eliminations of inter-segment net revenue and other	(427)	(357)	(1,236)	(950)
Total HP consolidated	\$28,032	\$25,377	\$84,761	\$75,993

- (1) Certain fiscal 2008 organizational reclassifications have been reflected retroactively to provide improved visibility and comparability. For each of the quarters in fiscal year 2007, the reclassifications resulted in the transfer of revenue among Enterprise Storage and Servers, HP Services and HP Software segments within the Technology Solutions Group. In addition, revenue was transferred among the business units within the Imaging and Printing Group and among the business units within the Personal Systems Group, but there was no change to the previously reported revenue for either segment as a whole. There was no impact on the previously reported financial results for the HP Financial Services and Corporate Investments segments or on the previously reported consolidated financial results for the company as a whole.
- (2) The OpenView business unit was renamed as "Business Technology Optimization" and the OpenCall and Other business unit was renamed as "Other Software" effective in fiscal 2008. The renamed "Other Software" business unit includes primarily the OpenCall and Business Information Optimization products.

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**Management's Discussion and Analysis of  
Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

*The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements and the related notes that appear elsewhere in this document.*

**OVERVIEW**

We are a leading global provider of products, technologies, software, solutions and services to individual consumers, small and medium sized businesses, and large enterprises, including the public and education sectors. Our offerings span:

personal computing and other access devices;

imaging and printing-related products and services;

enterprise information technology infrastructure, including enterprise storage and server technology, and software that optimizes business technology investments; and

multi-vendor customer services, including technology support and maintenance, consulting and integration and outsourcing services.

We have seven business segments: Enterprise Storage and Servers ("ESS"), HP Services ("HPS"), HP Software, the Personal Systems Group ("PSG"), the Imaging and Printing Group ("IPG"), HP Financial Services ("HPFS"), and Corporate Investments. ESS, HPS and HP Software are structured beneath a broader Technology Solutions Group ("TSG"). While TSG is not an operating segment, we sometimes provide financial data aggregating the segments within TSG in order to provide a supplementary view of our business.

The operating framework in which we manage our businesses and guide our strategies is based on the disciplined management of three business levers: targeted growth, operational efficiency and capital strategy. Although we have made progress towards our goals in recent periods, there are still many areas in which we believe that we can improve. To implement this operating framework, we are focused on the following initiatives:

We are engaged in a process of examining every function and every business in the company in order to optimize efficiency and reduce cost;

We are in the process of consolidating 85 data centers worldwide into six state-of-the-art centers in three U.S. cities and consolidating several hundred real estate locations worldwide to fewer core sites in order to reduce our IT spending and real estate costs;

We are reinvesting the cost savings from these initiatives by expanding our sales force and aligning our resources in order to build our market share in emerging markets while expanding our coverage to drive growth in mature markets;

We are developing training programs for our sales forces designed to enhance our ability to provide solutions to our customers and build customer loyalty;

We are building and expanding our services organization to support our technology businesses and provide comprehensive solutions to our customers;

We are developing a global delivery structure to take advantage of regions where advanced technical expertise is available at lower costs;

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

We are expanding our ethics and compliance programs and enhancing our corporate governance to ensure that all of our actions are consistent with HP's values; and

We are repurchasing shares of our common stock under an ongoing program to manage the dilution created by shares issued under employee benefit plans as well as to repurchase shares opportunistically.

We continue to grow our business organically and through strategic acquisitions. During the first nine months of fiscal 2008, we acquired seven companies, the largest of which was Exstream Software, LLC, which we acquired for approximately \$720 million. On August 26, 2008, we completed our acquisition of Electronic Data Systems Corporation ("EDS") for an enterprise value of approximately \$13.9 billion. We expect to continue to make strategic acquisitions periodically in the future.

In terms of how our execution has translated into financial performance, the following provides an overview of our key financial metrics in the third quarter and year to date fiscal 2008:

	HP		TSG					IPG	HPFS
	Consolidated	ESS	HPS	HP Software	Total	PSG			
<b>In millions, except per share amounts</b>									
<b>Three Months Ended July 31</b>									
Net revenue	\$ 28,032	\$ 4,741	\$ 4,753	\$ 781	\$ 10,275	\$ 10,254	\$ 6,979	\$ 680	
Year-over-year net revenue % increase	10.5%	5.0%	14.1%	28.9%	10.6%	15.3%	3.4%	16.8%	
Earnings from operations	\$ 2,529	\$ 544	\$ 574	\$ 122	\$ 1,240	\$ 587	\$ 1,048	\$ 51	
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	9.0%	11.5%	12.1%	15.6%	12.1%	5.7%	15.0%	7.5%	
Net earnings	\$ 2,027								
Net earnings per share									
Basic	\$ 0.82								
Diluted	\$ 0.80								
<b>Nine Months Ended July 31</b>									
Net revenue	\$ 84,761	\$ 14,341	\$ 13,758	\$ 2,174	\$ 30,273	\$ 31,116	\$ 21,882	\$ 2,007	
Year-over-year net revenue % increase	11.5%	6.0%	12.6%	22.7%	10.0%	18.4%	4.6%	19.5%	
Earnings from operations	\$ 7,732	\$ 1,872	\$ 1,571	\$ 266	\$ 3,709	\$ 1,759	\$ 3,428	\$ 141	
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	9.1%	13.1%	11.4%	12.2%	12.3%	5.7%	15.7%	7.0%	
Net earnings	\$ 6,217								
Net earnings per share									
Basic	\$ 2.49								
Diluted	\$ 2.41								

Cash and cash equivalents at July 31, 2008 totaled \$14.8 billion, an increase of approximately \$3.5 billion from October 31, 2007. The increase for the first nine months of fiscal 2008 was due primarily to \$11.3 billion in cash generated from operations, a \$2.0 billion net issuance of our debt, and \$1.3 billion in proceeds from issuance of common stock under employee stock plans, partially offset by \$7.7 billion paid to repurchase our common stock, a \$1.7 billion net investment in property, plant and equipment, and \$1.5 billion of net cash paid for business acquisitions.

We intend the discussion of our financial condition and results of operations that follows to provide information that will assist in understanding our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, the changes in certain key items in those financial statements from year to year, and the primary factors that accounted for those changes, as well as how certain accounting principles, policies and estimates affect our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

The discussion of results of operations at the consolidated level is followed by a more detailed discussion of results of operations by segment.

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

For a further discussion of factors that could impact operating results, see the section entitled "Factors That Could Affect Future Results" below.

### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is based upon our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, which we have prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Senior management has discussed the development, selection and disclosure of significant estimates with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

An accounting policy is deemed to be critical if it requires an accounting estimate to be made based on assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time the estimate is made, if different estimates reasonably could have been used, or if changes in the estimate that are reasonably likely to occur could materially impact the financial statements. Except for tax accounting policy changes as illustrated in detail below, management believes that there have been no significant changes during the nine months ended July 31, 2008 to the items that we disclosed as our critical accounting policies and estimates in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007.

#### *Taxes on Earnings*

We calculate our current and deferred tax provisions based on estimates and assumptions that could differ from the actual results reflected in our income tax returns filed during the subsequent year. We record adjustments based on filed returns when we have identified and finalized them, which is generally in the third and fourth quarters of the subsequent year for U.S. federal and state provisions, respectively.

We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected tax consequences of temporary differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which we expect the differences to reverse. We record a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred tax assets to the amount that we are more likely than not to realize. We have considered future market growth, forecasted earnings, future taxable income, the mix of earnings in the jurisdictions in which we operate and prudent and feasible tax planning strategies in determining the need for a valuation allowance. In the event we were to determine that we would not be able to realize all or part of our net deferred tax assets in the future, we would increase the valuation allowance and make a corresponding charge to earnings in the period in which we make such a determination. Likewise, if we later determine that we are more likely than not to realize the net deferred tax assets, we would reverse the applicable portion of the previously provided valuation allowance. In order for us to realize our deferred tax assets we must be able to generate sufficient taxable income in the tax jurisdictions in which the deferred tax assets are located.

Our effective tax rate includes the impact of certain undistributed foreign earnings for which we have not provided U.S. taxes because we plan to reinvest such earnings indefinitely outside the United States. We plan foreign earnings remittance amounts based on projected cash flow needs as well as the working capital and long-term investment requirements of our foreign subsidiaries and our domestic operations. Based on these assumptions, we estimate the amount we will distribute to the United States

and provide the U.S. federal taxes due on these amounts. Further, as a result of certain employment actions and capital investments HP has undertaken, income from manufacturing activities in certain countries is subject to reduced tax rates, and in some cases is wholly exempt from taxes, for fiscal years through 2019. Material changes in our estimates of cash, working capital and long-term investment requirements in the various jurisdictions in which we do business could impact our effective tax rate.

We are subject to income taxes in the United States and over sixty foreign countries, and we are subject to routine corporate income tax audits in many of these jurisdictions. We believe that our tax return positions are fully supported, but tax authorities are likely to challenge certain positions, which may not be fully sustained. However, our income tax expense includes amounts intended to satisfy income tax assessments that result from these challenges in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" ("FIN 48"). Determining the income tax expense for these potential assessments and recording the related assets and liabilities requires management judgments and estimates. We evaluate our uncertain tax positions in accordance with FIN 48. We believe that our reserve for uncertain tax positions, including related interest, is adequate. The amounts ultimately paid upon resolution of audits could be materially different from the amounts previously included in our income tax expense and therefore could have a material impact on our tax provision, net income and cash flows. Our reserve for uncertain tax positions is attributable primarily to uncertainties concerning the tax treatment of our international operations, including the allocation of income among different jurisdictions, and related interest. We review our reserves quarterly, and we may adjust such reserves because of proposed assessments by tax authorities, changes in facts and circumstances, issuance of new regulations or new case law, previously unavailable information obtained during the course of an examination, negotiations between tax authorities of different countries concerning our transfer prices, execution of Advanced Pricing Agreements, resolution with respect to individual audit issues, the resolution of entire audits, or the expiration of statutes of limitations. In addition, our tax contingency reserve includes certain amounts for potential tax assessments for pre-acquisition tax years of acquired companies which, if released, will impact the carrying value of goodwill attributable to the acquired company.

#### **RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

Updates to recent accounting standards as disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007 are as follows:

As previously reported in our 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K, we recognized the funded status of our benefit plans at October 31, 2007 in accordance with the recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans - An Amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R)" ("SFAS 158"). In addition to the recognition provisions, SFAS 158 also requires companies to measure the funded status of the plan as of the date of their fiscal year end, effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. We will adopt the measurement provisions of SFAS 158 effective October 31, 2009. We do not expect the adoption of the measurement provisions of SFAS 158 to have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS 157"). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and is required to be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ("FSP") No. FAS 157-1, "Application of FASB Statement No. 157 to FASB Statement No. 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements That Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement under Statement 13" and also issued FSP No. 157-2, "Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157," which



collectively remove certain leasing transactions from the scope of SFAS 157 and partially delay the effective date of SFAS 157 for one year for certain nonfinancial assets and liabilities. Although we will continue to evaluate the application of SFAS 157, we do not currently believe adoption of SFAS 157 will have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" ("SFAS 159"). SFAS 159 allows companies to elect to measure eligible financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not required to be measured at fair value. SFAS 159 requires that unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected be reported in earnings at each reporting date. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and is required to be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. Although we will continue to evaluate the application of SFAS 159, we do not currently believe adoption will have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141(R)"). SFAS 141(R) establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. SFAS 141(R) also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141(R) is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and will be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. We continue to evaluate the impact that the adoption of SFAS 141(R) will have on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition, which will be largely dependent on the size and nature of business combinations subject to this statement.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51" ("SFAS 160"). SFAS 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent, the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest, changes in a parent's ownership interest, and the valuation of retained noncontrolling equity investments when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. SFAS 160 also establishes disclosure requirements that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and will be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. We are currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of SFAS 160 on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In December 2007, the FASB ratified Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 07-1, "Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements" ("EITF 07-1"). EITF 07-1 provides accounting guidance on collaborative arrangements with respect to the classification of the payments between participants of the arrangement, the appropriate income statement presentation of these arrangements and the disclosures related to these arrangements. EITF 07-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and will be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. We are currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of EITF 07-1 on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133" ("SFAS 161"). SFAS 161 applies to all derivative instruments and related hedged items accounted for under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("SFAS 133"). SFAS 161 requires entities to provide greater transparency about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS 133 and its related interpretations, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, results

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

of operations and cash flows. SFAS 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008 and will be adopted by us in the second quarter of fiscal 2009. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 161 to have a material effect on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, "The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles" ("SFAS 162"). SFAS 162 identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (the GAAP hierarchy). Statement 162 will become effective 60 days following the Securities and Exchange Commission's ("SEC") approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board amendments to AU Section 411, "The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles." We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 162 to have a material effect on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FSP Accounting Principles Board ("APB") 14-1 "Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)" ("FSP APB 14-1"). FSP APB 14-1 requires the issuer of certain convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash (or other assets) on conversion to separately account for the liability (debt) and equity (conversion option) components of the instrument in a manner that reflects the issuer's non-convertible debt borrowing rate. FSP APB 14-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 on a retroactive basis and will be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. We are currently evaluating the potential impact of the adoption of FSP APB 14-1 on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP EITF 03-6-1, "Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities." FSP EITF 03-6-1 clarifies that share-based payment awards that entitle their holders to receive nonforfeitable dividends or dividend equivalents before vesting should be considered participating securities. We have some grants of restricted stock that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends and will be considered participating securities upon adoption of FSP EITF 03-6-1. As participating securities, we will be required to include these instruments in the calculation of earnings per share ("EPS"), and we will need to calculate EPS using the "two-class method." The two-class method of computing EPS is an earnings allocation formula that determines EPS for each class of common stock and participating security according to dividends declared (or accumulated) and participation rights in undistributed earnings. FSP EITF 03-6-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 on a retrospective basis and will be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. We are currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, the adoption of FSP EITF 03-6-1 could have on our calculation of EPS.

During the first nine months of fiscal 2008, we adopted the following accounting standard:

On November 1, 2007, we adopted FIN 48. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes by prescribing the recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. For the effect of applying FIN 48 on the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets, see Note 11 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1, which is incorporated herein by reference.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Results of operations in dollars and as a percentage of net revenue were as follows:

	Three months ended July 31				Nine months ended July 31			
	2008		2007		2008		2007	
	Dollars	% of Revenue	Dollars	% of Revenue	Dollars	% of Revenue	Dollars	% of Revenue
<b>In millions</b>								
Net revenue	\$28,032	100.0%	\$25,377	100.0%	\$84,761	100.0%	\$75,993	100.0%
Cost of sales <sup>(1)</sup>	21,253	75.8%	19,164	75.5%	64,013	75.5%	57,583	75.8%
Gross margin	6,779	24.2%	6,213	24.5%	20,748	24.5%	18,410	24.2%
Research and development	895	3.2%	917	3.6%	2,701	3.2%	2,697	3.5%
Selling, general and administrative	3,137	11.2%	3,002	11.8%	9,653	11.4%	8,954	11.8%
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	213	0.8%	183	0.8%	630	0.8%	596	0.9%
In-process research and development charges					13		186	0.2%
Restructuring	5		(5)		19		407	0.5%
Pension curtailments and pension settlements, net							(517)	(0.7)%
Earnings from operations	2,529	9.0%	2,116	8.3%	7,732	9.1%	6,087	8.0%
Interest and other, net	23	0.1%	170	0.7%	98	0.1%	391	0.5%
Earnings before taxes	2,552	9.1%	2,286	9.0%	7,830	9.2%	6,478	8.5%
Provision for taxes	525	1.9%	508	2.0%	1,613	1.9%	1,378	1.8%
Net earnings	\$ 2,027	7.2%	\$ 1,778	7.0%	\$ 6,217	7.3%	\$ 5,100	6.7%

(1) Cost of products, cost of services and financing interest.

*Net Revenue*

The components of weighted-average net revenue growth as compared to the prior-year periods were as follows:

	Three months ended July 31, 2008	Nine months ended July 31, 2008
<b>Percentage Points</b>		
Personal Systems Group	5.4	6.4
HP Services	2.3	2.0
Imaging and Printing Group	0.9	1.3
Enterprise Storage and Servers	0.9	1.1
HP Software	0.7	0.5
HP Financial Services	0.4	0.4
Corporate Investments/Other	(0.1)	(0.2)
Total HP	10.5	11.5

For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, net revenue increased 10.5% and 11.5%, respectively, from the prior-year comparable periods and increased 4.7% and 5.7%, respectively, on a constant currency basis. The favorable currency impact was due primarily to the movement of the dollar against the euro for all our major business segments. U.S. net revenue increased 2% to \$8.9 billion for the third quarter of fiscal 2008, while international net revenue increased 15% to \$19.1 billion. U.S. net revenue increased 2% to \$26.1 billion for the first nine months of fiscal 2008, while international net revenue increased 16% to \$58.7 billion.

PSG net revenue increased in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008 as a result of unit volume increases of 20% and 23%, respectively. The unit volume increases were the result of strong growth in notebooks, with continued strength in emerging markets. The

increases were partially

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

offset by declines in average selling prices ("ASPs") in consumer clients and commercial clients of 3% and 7%, respectively, in the third quarter of fiscal 2008 and by 2% and 6%, respectively, in the first nine months of fiscal 2008 as compared to the prior-year periods.

HPS net revenue in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008 increased due primarily to favorable currency impacts, revenue increases in technology services due to growth in extended warranty revenue and IT solution support services, revenue increases in outsourcing services driven by existing accounts growth and new business, and revenue increases in consulting and integration associated with base business growth.

IPG net revenue growth in the third quarter and the first nine months of fiscal 2008 was due primarily to favorable currency impacts and revenue increases in printer supplies as a result of higher unit volumes of supplies. For the third quarter of fiscal 2008, net revenue in commercial and consumer hardware declined. For the first nine months of fiscal 2008, commercial hardware net revenue increased while net revenue in consumer hardware declined.

ESS net revenue growth in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008 was the result primarily of favorable currency impacts, strong growth in blade revenue in our industry standard servers business, strong performance in mid-range EVA and entry MSA storage products, and revenue increases from our Integrity servers. The ESS growth was moderated by revenue declines in the PA-RISC product line and the planned phase-out of our Alpha Server product line.

The net revenue growth in HP Software for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008 was due primarily to growth in business technology optimization ("BTO"), due in part to our acquisition of Opware Inc. ("Opware") in September 2007; increases in support and services contracts; and increases in license revenue.

The HPFS net revenue increases for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008 were due primarily to portfolio growth, increased operating lease mix, higher end-of-lease activity, buyouts and used equipment sales.

### *Gross Margin*

The weighted-average components of the change in gross margin as a percentage of net revenue as compared to the prior-year periods were as follows:

	<b>Three months ended July 31, 2008</b>	<b>Nine months ended July 31, 2008</b>
	<b>Percentage Points</b>	
HP Software	0.3	0.2
HP Services	0.2	0.1
Enterprise Storage and Servers	(0.3)	0.1
Imaging and Printing Group	(0.1)	(0.1)
Personal Systems Group	(0.4)	(0.1)
HP Financial Services		
Corporate Investments/Other		0.1
<b>Total HP</b>	<b>(0.3)</b>	<b>0.3</b>

The improvements in HP Software gross margin for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008 were due primarily to cost savings in our BTO business, cost structure improvements as a result of increased scale in the information management business and, to a lesser extent, a favorable change in the revenue mix driven by higher BTO revenue, which typically has higher gross margins than the remainder of the segment.

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The improvements in HPS gross margin for both the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008 were due primarily to the continued focus on cost structure improvements from delivery efficiencies and overall cost controls.

For the third quarter of fiscal 2008, ESS gross margin decreased due primarily to discounting and pricing, partially offset by improved cost management. For the first nine months of fiscal 2008, ESS gross margin increased due primarily to improved cost management, improved higher-margin attach rates, and lower component costs in industry standard servers, the effect of which was partially offset by an ongoing mix shift to lower-margin Integrity products within business critical systems.

IPG gross margin remained flat for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008 due primarily to higher hardware discounting and component cost increases, offset by favorable supplies mix and less lower-margin hardware mix.

For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, PSG contributed unfavorably to our total company's weighted-average change in gross margin primarily as a result of a decline in ASPs and as PSG's lower-margin business contributed a greater amount to HP's overall segment revenue mix. For the third quarter of fiscal 2008, PSG gross margin decreased due primarily to ASPs declining at a faster pace than component cost declines, partially offset by lower supply chain costs and an increase in higher-margin option attach rates. For the first nine months of fiscal 2008, PSG gross margin increased due primarily to decreases in supply chain costs, combined with improvements in the higher-margin option attach business.

HPFS's contribution to our total company's weighted-average change in gross margin was flat for both the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008. HPFS gross margin increased slightly for the three months ended July 31, 2008. HPFS gross margin declined for the first nine months ended July 31, 2008 due primarily to higher bad debt expense as a result of additional reserves and lower recoveries, and lower portfolio margins due to an increase in operating leases in the overall lease mix, the effect of which was partially offset by higher margins associated with end-of-lease, remarketing and buyout activity.

### *Operating Expenses*

#### Research and Development

Total research and development ("R&D") expense decreased in the third quarter of fiscal 2008 as compared to the same period of fiscal 2007. The decrease in R&D expense in the current quarter was due primarily to effective cost controls, partially offset by additional expenses associated with acquisitions. Total R&D expense increased in the first nine months of fiscal 2008 as compared to the same period in fiscal 2007, due primarily to the unfavorable currency impacts related to the movement of the dollar against the euro and additional expenses related to acquisitions. As a percentage of net revenue, each of our major segments experienced a year-over-year decrease in R&D expense for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, except for PSG, which remained approximately flat for the first nine months ended July 31, 2008.

#### Selling, General and Administrative

Total selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expense increased in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008 due primarily to higher field selling costs as a result of our investments in sales resources, unfavorable currency impacts related to the movement of the dollar against the euro, and additional expenses related to recent acquisitions. As a percentage of net revenue, each of our major segments experienced a year-over-year decrease in SG&A expense for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, except for IPG, which remained approximately flat for the three months ended July 31, 2008.

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

### Amortization of Purchased Intangible Assets

The increases in amortization expense for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008 as compared to the same periods of fiscal 2007 were due primarily to amortization expenses related to the acquisitions made subsequent to the third quarter of fiscal 2007.

### In-Process Research and Development Charges

We recorded \$13 million of in-process research and development ("IPR&D") charges for the nine months ended July 31, 2008 as compared to \$186 million in the prior-year comparable period. IPR&D charges are incurred in connection with our acquisitions.

### Restructuring

Restructuring charges for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008 were \$5 million and \$19 million, respectively. These charges were due primarily to adjustments for severance and facility costs associated with restructuring programs implemented in fiscal years 2005, 2003, 2002 and 2001, as well as in relation to our acquisition of Mercury Interactive Corporation in November 2006.

Restructuring charges for the three months ended July 31, 2007 resulted in a credit of \$5 million. This included a curtailment gain of \$16 million related to a reduction in the eligible plan population under our U.S. post-retirement benefit plans stemming from the 2007 U.S. Enhanced Early Retirement program (the "2007 EER"). Such gain was partially offset by a net charge of \$11 million related to our 2005, 2003, 2002 and 2001 restructuring programs. Restructuring charges for the nine months ended July 31, 2007 were \$407 million. These charges included \$379 million of expenses related to severance and other benefit costs associated with those employees who elected to participate in the 2007 EER and a net charge of \$28 million relating to adjustments to our fiscal 2005, 2003, 2002 and 2001 restructuring programs.

### Workforce Rebalancing

As part of our ongoing business operations, we incurred workforce rebalancing charges for severance and related costs within certain business segments during the first nine months of fiscal 2008. Workforce rebalancing activities are considered part of normal operations as we continue to optimize our cost structure. Workforce rebalancing costs are included in our business segment results, and we expect to incur additional workforce rebalancing costs through the remainder of fiscal 2008.

### Pension Curtailments and Pension Settlements, Net

In the first nine months of fiscal 2007, we recognized a net gain on pension curtailments and settlements of \$517 million relating primarily to a \$542 million curtailment gain associated with a modification to our U.S. defined benefit pension plan. This curtailment gain was offset partially by settlement losses related to our other pension plan design changes.

### *Interest and Other, Net*

For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, interest and other, net decreased by \$147 million and \$293 million, respectively, compared to the same periods in fiscal 2007. The decreases for both periods resulted primarily from higher currency losses on various balance sheet items and lower interest income as a result of lower interest rates, the effect of which was partially offset by lower interest expense. Additionally, the prior-year period benefited from higher gains from the sale of real estate.

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

### *Provision for Taxes*

Our effective tax rate was 20.6% and 22.2% for the three months ended July 31, 2008 and July 31, 2007, respectively, and 20.6% and 21.3% for the nine months ended July 31, 2008 and July 31, 2007, respectively. Our effective tax rate generally differs from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35% due to the tax rate benefits of certain earnings from our operations in lower-tax jurisdictions throughout the world. We have not provided U.S. taxes for such earnings because we plan to reinvest those earnings indefinitely outside the United States.

In the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, we recorded discrete items with a net tax benefit of \$5 million and \$52 million, respectively, decreasing the effective tax rate. These amounts include reductions to net income tax accruals of \$72 million and \$296 million for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, respectively, as a result of settlements with tax authorities regarding certain transfer pricing issues for fiscal years 1993 through 2005. These favorable adjustments in the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008 were offset in part by a tax charge of \$44 million for the adjustment to estimated fiscal 2007 tax accruals upon filing the 2007 U.S. federal income tax return, and by net increases of \$30 million and \$235 million, respectively, to deferred tax liabilities related to earnings outside the United States. We recorded other miscellaneous discrete items that resulted in a net tax benefit of \$7 million and \$35 million for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, respectively.

In the three months ended July 31, 2007, we recorded other income tax adjustments of \$64 million. This amount included a tax charge of \$33 million for the adjustment to estimated fiscal 2006 tax accruals upon filing the 2006 U.S. federal income tax returns and a net increase to various tax reserves of \$31 million. In the nine months ended July 31, 2007, we recorded other income tax adjustments of \$54 million. This amount included a tax charge of \$33 million as discussed above, a net increase to various tax reserves of \$17 million and other items, resulting in a net charge of \$4 million.

### **Segment Information**

A description of the products and services for each segment can be found in Note 15 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements. Future changes to this organizational structure may result in changes to the business segments disclosed.

#### *Technology Solutions Group*

ESS, HPS and HP Software are structured beneath TSG. The results of the business segments of TSG are described in more detail below.

#### *Enterprise Storage and Servers*

	<b>Three months ended July 31</b>		
	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>% Increase</b>
	<b>In millions</b>		
Net revenue	\$4,741	\$4,516	5.0%
Earnings from operations	\$ 544	\$ 507	7.3%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	11.5%	11.2%	

	<b>Nine months ended July 31</b>		
	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>% Increase</b>
	<b>In millions</b>		
Net revenue	\$14,341	\$13,531	6.0%
Earnings from operations	\$ 1,872	\$ 1,412	32.6%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	13.1%	10.4%	



## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The components of weighted-average net revenue growth as compared to the prior-year periods by business unit were as follows:

	Three months ended July 31, 2008	Nine months ended July 31, 2008
	Percentage Points	
Storage	3.3	2.7
Industry standard servers	1.3	2.7
Business critical systems	0.4	0.6
Total ESS	5.0	6.0

ESS net revenue increased 5.0% (decreased 0.3% when adjusted for currency) and increased 6.0% (increased 0.3% when adjusted for currency) for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008, respectively, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2007. Industry standard servers net revenue increased by 2% and 4% for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008, respectively, compared to the prior-year periods. Blade servers continued to be the key driver of growth. Strong revenue growth in the industry standard servers business was tempered by erosion of average unit prices driven by market movement to the low-end product lines and by competition. Storage net revenue increased 16% and 14% for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008, respectively, compared to the prior-year periods, with strong performance in mid-range EVA and entry MSA products within the Storage Area Networks, in media within the tape business, in storage blades and in storage software. Business critical systems net revenue increased 2% and 3% for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008, respectively, as compared to the same periods in the prior year. The increases for both periods were due primarily to strong net revenue growth in our Integrity servers, which grew 18% and 30% for the third quarter and the first nine months of fiscal 2008, respectively. Integrity servers revenue represented 78% of the business critical systems revenue mix in the third quarter of fiscal 2008 and 77% in the first nine months of fiscal 2008, up from 67% and 61%, respectively, in the same periods in the prior year. The increases were partially offset by revenue declines in the PA-RISC product line and the planned phase-out of our Alpha Server product line. The contribution of Integrity server revenue to the business critical systems revenue mix is currently within the range expected in future periods. Integrity servers revenue in the first nine months of fiscal 2008 also included revenue from Montvale-based Integrity servers, which were first shipped in the first quarter of fiscal 2008.

ESS earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008 increased by 0.3 and 2.7 percentage points, respectively, compared to the prior-year periods, due to decreases in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. Gross margin in the third quarter of fiscal 2008 decreased primarily due to discounting and pricing, partially offset by improved cost management. The increase in gross margin in the first nine months of fiscal 2008 was driven primarily by improved cost management, improved higher-margin attach rates and lower component costs in industry standard servers, the effect of which was partially offset by ongoing mix shift to lower-margin Integrity products within business critical systems. The decreases in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008 were due primarily to continued cost structure improvements.

*HP Services*

	Three months ended July 31		
	2008	2007	% Increase
	In millions		
Net revenue	\$4,753	\$4,165	14.1%
Earnings from operations	\$ 574	\$ 417	37.6%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	12.1%	10.0%	

	Nine months ended July 31		
	2008	2007	% Increase
	In millions		
Net revenue	\$13,758	\$12,222	12.6%
Earnings from operations	\$ 1,571	\$ 1,272	23.5%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	11.4%	10.4%	

The components of weighted-average net revenue growth as compared to the prior-year periods by business unit were as follows:

	Three months	Nine months
	ended July 31, 2008	ended July 31, 2008
	Percentage Points	
Technology services	6.4	5.4
Outsourcing services	5.2	4.6
Consulting and integration	2.5	2.6
Total HPS	14.1	12.6

HPS net revenue increased 14.1% (6.2% when adjusted for currency) and 12.6% (5.5% when adjusted for currency) for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, respectively, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2007. Net revenue in technology services increased 13% and 10% for the third quarter and the first nine months of fiscal 2008, respectively, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2007, due primarily to growth in extended warranty revenue and IT solution support services and favorable currency impacts, the effect of which was partially offset by pricing pressures. During the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, outsourcing services net revenue grew 18% and 16%, respectively, from the same periods in fiscal 2007, due primarily to existing account growth, favorable currency impacts and new business, the effect of which was partially offset by installed base revenue erosion and pricing pressures. Net revenue in consulting and integration increased 13% and 14%, respectively, from the same periods in fiscal 2007, due primarily to favorable currency impacts and base business growth.

HPS earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue increased by 2.1 and 1.0 percentage points for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, respectively. The operating margin increase was the result of a combination of an increase in gross margin and a decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. The gross margin increase was due primarily to the continued focus on cost structure improvements from delivery efficiencies and overall cost controls. The decreases in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue for both periods were due primarily to continued efficiency improvements in our operating expense structure. For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, technology services operating margin continued to benefit from improved delivery efficiencies and cost controls, partially offset by price erosion and the impact of the ongoing portfolio mix shift from higher-margin proprietary support to lower-margin areas such as IT solution services. Outsourcing services operating margin increased in the third quarter and the first nine months of fiscal 2008 as compared to the corresponding periods in fiscal 2007, due primarily to existing account growth.

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

operating efficiencies and cost controls, the effect of which was partially offset by installed base erosion and pricing pressures. For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, consulting and integration operating margin decreased due primarily to increased customer project costs partially offset by base business growth.

### *HP Software*

	<b>Three months ended July 31</b>		
	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>% Increase</b>
	<b>In millions</b>		
Net revenue	\$ 781	\$ 606	28.9%
Earnings from operations	\$ 122	\$ 51	139.2%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	15.6%	8.4%	

	<b>Nine months ended July 31</b>		
	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>% Increase</b>
	<b>In millions</b>		
Net revenue	\$ 2,174	\$ 1,772	22.7%
Earnings from operations	\$ 266	\$ 76	250.0%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	12.2%	4.3%	

The components of weighted-average net revenue growth as compared to the prior-year periods by business unit were as follows:

	<b>Three months ended July 31, 2008</b>	<b>Nine months ended July 31, 2008</b>
	<b>Percentage Points</b>	
	Business technology optimization <sup>(1)</sup>	25.6
Other Software <sup>(1)</sup>	3.3	0.2
<b>Total HP Software</b>	<b>28.9</b>	<b>22.7</b>

(1) Effective in fiscal 2008 the OpenView business unit was renamed "Business Technology Optimization" ("BTO") and the OpenCall and Other business unit was renamed "Other Software." The renamed "Other Software" business unit includes primarily OpenCall and Business Information Optimization products.

HP Software net revenue increased 28.9% (22.3% when adjusted for currency) and increased 22.7% (16.4% when adjusted for currency) for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, respectively, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2007. Net revenue from BTO increased 32% and 29% for the third quarter and the first nine months of fiscal 2008, respectively, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2007. BTO net revenue growth for both periods was driven by the Opsware acquisition, increases in support and services contracts and increases in license revenue. Net revenue from Other Software increased 17% and 1% for the third quarter and the first nine months of fiscal 2008, respectively, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2007. The growth in Other Software net revenue for both periods was due primarily to our acquisition of Tower Software in May 2008 and the growth in the information management business, partially offset by a decline in OpenCall net revenue resulting from the competitive environment following industry consolidation and the transfer of associated hardware revenues to ESS.

HP Software earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue increased by 7.2 and 7.9 percentage points for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, respectively, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2007. The operating margin improvements for both periods were the result of

a combination of increases in gross margins and decreases in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. The increases in gross margins for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008 were due primarily to cost savings in the BTO business, cost structure improvements as a result of increased scale in the information management business and, to a lesser extent, a favorable change in the revenue mix driven by higher revenue from the BTO business, which typically has higher gross margins than the remainder of the segment. The gross margin increase in the current quarter was also driven by the improved OpenCall margins resulting primarily from product mix. The decreases in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008 were due primarily to continued cost controls, the effect of which was partially offset by increased field selling costs driven by sales force investments.

*Personal Systems Group*

	Three months ended July 31		
	2008	2007	% Increase
	In millions		
Net revenue	\$ 10,254	\$ 8,894	15.3%
Earnings from operations	\$ 587	\$ 519	13.1%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	5.7%	5.8%	

	Nine months ended July 31		
	2008	2007	% Increase
	In millions		
Net revenue	\$ 31,116	\$ 26,276	18.4%
Earnings from operations	\$ 1,759	\$ 1,350	30.3%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	5.7%	5.1%	

The components of weighted-average net revenue growth as compared to the prior-year periods by business unit were as follows:

	Three months ended	Nine months ended
	July 31, 2008	July 31, 2008
	Percentage Points	
Notebook PCs	12.3	14.9
Desktop PCs	2.6	3.1
Workstations	0.3	0.7
Other	0.4	0.3
Handhelds	(0.3)	(0.6)
Total PSG	15.3	18.4

PSG net revenue increased 15.3% (9.7% when adjusted for currency) and increased 18.4% (12.3% when adjusted for currency) for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008, respectively, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2007. Unit volumes increased by 20% and 23% for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008, respectively, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2007. The unit volume increases for both periods were the result of strong growth in notebooks, with continued strength in emerging markets. For the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008, net revenue for notebook PCs increased 26% and 31%, respectively. Desktop PC net revenue increased 6% and 7% for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008, respectively. For the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008, net revenue for consumer clients increased 17% and 21%, respectively, while net revenue for commercial clients increased 15% and 18%, respectively, from the prior-year periods. The net revenue increase in Other PSG for both periods was related primarily to increased sales of extended warranties and third-party branded options. The revenue increases were

partially offset by a decrease in handhelds due to a decline in the Personal Digital Assistant product market, coupled with a transition of our products within converged devices. For the third quarter of fiscal 2008, the PSG unit volume increase was moderated by ASP declines of 3% in consumer client and 7% in commercial client. For the first nine months of fiscal 2008, consumer client ASPs declined 2% while commercial client ASPs declined 6%. ASPs declined from the prior-year periods as a result of price erosion related to component cost reductions and a competitive pricing environment, the effect of which was partially offset by an increased notebook mix and improved attach rates for monitors and other options.

PSG earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue decreased by 0.1 percentage points for the third quarter of fiscal 2008 and increased by 0.6 percentage points for the first nine months of fiscal 2008 compared to the same periods in fiscal 2007. The decrease for the third quarter of fiscal 2008 was due primarily to a decrease in gross margin, which was partially offset by a decline in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. The decline in gross margin was the result primarily of ASPs declining at a faster pace than component costs, which was partially offset by lower supply chain costs and an increase in higher-margin option attach rates. The decline in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue was the result of higher revenue and continued cost controls. For the first nine months of fiscal 2008, an increase in gross margin combined with flat operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue contributed to the improvement in earnings from operations. The improvement in gross margin was primarily the result of decreases in supply chain costs combined with improvements in the higher-margin option attach business.

#### ***Imaging and Printing Group***

	<b>Three months ended July 31</b>		
	%		
	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>Increase</b>
	<b>In millions</b>		
Net revenue	\$6,979	\$6,751	3.4%
Earnings from operations	\$1,048	\$ 981	6.8%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	15.0%	14.5%	

	<b>Nine months ended July 31</b>		
	%		
	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>Increase</b>
	<b>In millions</b>		
Net revenue	\$21,882	\$20,911	4.6%
Earnings from operations	\$ 3,428	\$ 3,221	6.4%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	15.7%	15.4%	

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The components of weighted-average net revenue growth as compared to the prior-year periods by business unit were as follows:

	<b>Three months ended July 31, 2008</b>	<b>Nine months ended July 31, 2008</b>
	<b>Percentage Points</b>	
Supplies	6.7	5.1
Commercial hardware	(1.3)	0.6
Consumer hardware	(2.0)	(1.1)
 Total IPG	 3.4	 4.6

IPG net revenue increased 3.4% (decreased 1.8% when adjusted for currency) and increased 4.6% (decreased 0.1% when adjusted for currency) for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, respectively, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2007. The growth in printer supplies net revenue in the current quarter was due to favorable pricing, higher unit volumes of supplies and installed base growth associated with color-related products. The growth in printer supplies net revenue for the first nine months of fiscal 2008 reflected higher unit volumes of supplies as a result of the strong performance of color-related products. The decrease in commercial hardware net revenue for the current quarter was due primarily to a slowing economy and competitive pricing pressures, partially offset by growth in large format printing products and additional revenue from recent acquisitions. The increase in commercial hardware net revenue for the first nine months ended July 31, 2008 was attributable mainly to unit volume growth in multifunction printers, color laser printers and large format printing products and additional revenue from recent acquisitions. The decrease in consumer hardware net revenue for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008 were due primarily to discontinued sales of cameras, competitive pricing pressures and slower growth in the overall consumer printing market. The consumer hardware was impacted by the continued shift in demand to lower-priced products and strategic pricing decisions, which caused average revenue per unit to decline for the current quarter.

For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, IPG earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue increased 0.5 and 0.3 percentage points, respectively, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2007. The operating margin increases for both periods were due to lower operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, gross margins remained flat due primarily to higher hardware discounting and component cost increases, the effect of which was offset by favorable supplies mix and a reduction in the lower-margin hardware mix. For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, the decreases in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue were due primarily to higher revenue and cost savings in marketing, research and development expenses, the effect of which was partially offset by increased investments in our enterprise printing sales force.

### *HP Financial Services*

	<b>Three months ended July 31</b>		
	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>Increase %</b>
	<b>In millions</b>		
Net revenue	\$ 680	\$ 582	16.8%
Earnings from operations	\$ 51	\$ 39	30.8%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	7.5%	6.7%	

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

	Nine months ended July 31		
	2008	2007	Increase %
	In millions		
Net revenue	\$2,007	\$1,679	19.5%
Earnings from operations	\$ 141	\$ 107	31.8%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	7.0%	6.4%	

For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, HPFS net revenue increased by 16.8% and 19.5%, respectively, as compared to the prior-year comparable period. The net revenue increase was due primarily to portfolio growth, increased operating lease mix, higher end-of-lease activity, buyouts and used equipment sales.

Earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue increased 0.8 and 0.6 percentage points for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, respectively. For the three months ended July 31, 2008, the increase consisted of a 0.7 percentage point decrease in operating expenses as a percent of revenue and a 0.1 percentage point increase in gross margin. For the nine months ended July 31, 2008, the increase consisted of a 0.9 percentage point decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of revenue partially offset by a 0.3 percentage point decrease in gross margin. The expense percentage decline was driven by a higher rate of increase in revenues relative to operating expenses. For the nine months ended July 31, 2008, the gross margin decline was due primarily to higher bad debt expense as a result of additional reserves and lower recoveries, and lower portfolio margins due to an increase in operating leases in the overall lease mix, the effect of which was partially offset by higher margins associated with end-of-lease, remarketing and buyout activity.

*Financing Originations*

	Three months ended July 31		Nine months ended July 31	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
	In millions			
Total financing originations	\$ 1,241	\$ 1,075	\$ 3,423	\$ 3,058

New financing originations, which represent the amounts of financing provided to customers for equipment and related software and services and include intercompany activity, increased 15% and 12% in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008, respectively, compared to the same period in fiscal 2007. The increase was driven by higher financing associated with HP product sales and a favorable currency impact.

*Portfolio Assets and Ratios*

HPFS maintains a strategy to generate a competitive return on equity by effectively leveraging its portfolio against the risks associated with interest rates and credit. The HPFS business model is asset-intensive and uses certain internal metrics to measure its performance against other financial services companies, including a segment balance sheet that is derived from our internal management reporting system. The accounting policies used to derive these amounts are substantially the same as those used by the consolidated company. However, certain intercompany loans and accounts that are reflected in the segment balances are eliminated in our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The portfolio assets and ratios derived from the segment balance sheet for HPFS were as follows:

	July 31, 2008	October 31, 2007
<b>In millions</b>		
Portfolio assets <sup>(1)</sup>	\$8,859	\$ 8,415
Allowance for doubtful accounts <sup>(2)</sup>	93	84
Operating lease equipment reserve	60	49
Total reserves	153	133
Net portfolio assets	\$8,706	\$ 8,282
Reserve coverage	1.7%	1.6%
Debt to equity ratio <sup>(3)</sup>	6.4x	6.0x

(1) Portfolio assets include gross financing receivables of approximately \$5.5 billion at July 31, 2008 and \$5.4 billion at October 31, 2007 and net equipment under operating leases of \$2.1 billion at July 31, 2008 and \$1.8 billion at October 31, 2007, as disclosed in Note 8 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1, which is incorporated herein by reference. Portfolio assets also include capitalized profit on intercompany equipment transactions of approximately \$600 million at July 31, 2008 and \$500 million at October 31, 2007, and intercompany leases of approximately \$700 million at both July 31, 2008 and October 31, 2007, both of which are eliminated in consolidation.

(2) Allowance for doubtful accounts includes both the short-term and the long-term portions of the allowance on financing receivables.

(3) HPFS debt consists of intercompany equity that is treated as debt for segment reporting purposes, intercompany debt and debt issued directly by HPFS.

Portfolio assets at July 31, 2008 increased 5% from October 31, 2007. The increase resulted from a high level of financing originations in the first nine months of fiscal 2008 and a favorable currency impact.

### *Rollforward of Reserves:*

	October 31, 2007	Additions to allowance	Deductions, net of recoveries	July 31, 2008
<b>In millions</b>				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 84	\$ 29	\$ (20)	\$ 93
Operating lease equipment reserve	49	18	(7)	60
Total reserve	\$ 133	\$ 47	\$ (27)	\$ 153

### *Corporate Investments*

	<b>Three months ended July 31</b>		
	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>% Increase</b>
<b>In millions</b>			
Net revenue	\$ 271	\$ 220	23.2%
Earnings (loss) from operations	\$ 26	\$ (5)	n/a
Earnings (loss) from operations as a % of net revenue	9.6%	(2.3)%	



	Nine months ended July 31		
	2008	2007	Increase %
	In millions		
Net revenue	\$ 719	\$ 552	30.3%
Earnings (loss) from operations	\$ 40	\$ (52)	n/a
Earnings (loss) from operations as a % of net revenue	5.6%	(9.4)%	

The majority of the net revenue in Corporate Investments relates to network infrastructure products sold under the brand "ProCurve Networking." For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2008, revenue from network infrastructure products increased 23% and 30%, respectively, compared to the same periods in fiscal 2007 as the result of continued increased sales of enterprise class gigabit and 10 gigabit Ethernet switch products.

Corporate Investments reported earnings from operations for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008 compared to losses in the same periods in fiscal 2007 due primarily to strong earnings from operations generated by network infrastructure products and lower expenses related to HP Labs.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our cash balances are held in numerous locations throughout the world, including substantial amounts held outside of the United States. Most of the amounts held outside of the United States could be repatriated to the United States but, under current law, would be subject to United States federal income taxes, less applicable foreign tax credits. Repatriation of some foreign balances is restricted by local laws. We have provided for the United States federal tax liability on these amounts for financial statement purposes except for foreign earnings that are considered indefinitely reinvested outside of the United States. Repatriation could result in additional United States federal income tax payments in future years. Where local restrictions prevent an efficient intercompany transfer of funds, our intent is that cash balances would remain outside of the United States and we would meet United States liquidity needs through ongoing cash flows, external borrowings, or both. We utilize a variety of tax planning and financing strategies in an effort to ensure that our worldwide cash is available in the locations in which it is needed.

### *FINANCIAL CONDITION (Sources and Uses of Cash)*

	Nine months ended July 31	
	2008	2007
	In millions	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 11,315	\$ 6,006
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,047)	(6,250)
Net cash used in financing activities	(4,778)	(3,706)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,490	\$(3,950)

### *Operating Activities*

Net cash provided by operating activities increased by approximately \$5.3 billion for the nine months ended July 31, 2008 as compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2007. The increase was due primarily to higher net cash earnings and increased accounts payable and, to a lesser degree, improvements on a year-over-year basis, in accounts receivable and inventory.

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

### *Investing Activities*

Net cash used in investing activities decreased by approximately \$3.2 billion for the nine months ended July 31, 2008 as compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2007 due primarily to lower cash paid for acquisitions.

### *Financing Activities*

Net cash used in financing activities increased by approximately \$1.1 billion for the nine months ended July 31, 2008 as compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2007 due primarily to lower issuances of debt and lower proceeds from our common stock issued under employee stock plans, the effect of which was partially offset by decreased repurchases of our common stock.

### *Common Stock Repurchases*

We repurchase shares of our common stock under an ongoing program to manage the dilution created by shares issued under employee benefit plans as well as to repurchase shares opportunistically. This program authorizes repurchases in the open market or in private transactions. We completed share repurchases of approximately 171 million shares for approximately \$7.7 billion in the first nine months of fiscal 2008. We completed share repurchases of approximately 167 million shares for approximately \$7.0 billion in the first nine months of fiscal 2007.

In addition to the above transactions, we entered into an Accelerated Share Repurchase program (the "ASR Program") with a third-party investment bank during the second quarter of fiscal 2007. Pursuant to the terms of the ASR Program, we purchased 40 million shares of our common stock from a third-party investment bank for \$1.8 billion (the "Purchase Price") on March 30, 2007 (the "Purchase Date"). We decreased our shares outstanding and reduced the outstanding shares used to calculate the weighted-average common shares outstanding for both basic and diluted EPS on the Purchase Date. The shares delivered to us included shares that the investment bank borrowed from third parties. The investment bank purchased an equivalent number of shares in the open market to cover its position with respect to the borrowed shares during a contractually specified averaging period that began on the Purchase Date and ended on June 6, 2007. At the end of the averaging period, the investment bank's total purchase cost based on the volume weighted-average purchase price of our shares during the averaging period was approximately \$90 million less than the Purchase Price. In June 2007, we received approximately 2 million additional shares purchased by the investment bank in the open market with a value approximately equal to \$90 million. We reduced our shares outstanding upon receipt of those shares.

We intend to continue to repurchase shares as a means to manage dilution from the issuance of shares under employee benefit plans and to purchase shares opportunistically. On November 19, 2007, our Board of Directors authorized an additional \$8.0 billion for future repurchases. As of July 31, 2008, we had remaining authorization of approximately \$3.0 billion for future share repurchases. For more information on our share repurchases, see Note 12 to the Consolidated Condensed financial Statements in Item 1, which is incorporated herein by reference.

### *Key Performance Metrics*

	<b>Three months ended</b>	
	<b>July 31,</b>	<b>October 31,</b>
	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Days of sales outstanding in accounts receivable	44	43
Days of supply in inventory	35	34
Days of purchases outstanding in accounts payable	(59)	(50)
Cash conversion cycle	20	27

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Days of sales outstanding in accounts receivable ("DSO") measures the average number of days our receivables are outstanding. DSO is calculated by dividing accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts, by a 90-day average net revenue.

Days of supply in inventory ("DOS") measures the average number of days from procurement to sale of our product. DOS is calculated by dividing net inventory by a 90-day average cost of goods sold.

Days of purchases outstanding in accounts payable ("DPO") measures the average number of days our accounts payable balances are outstanding. DPO is calculated by dividing accounts payable by a 90-day average cost of goods sold.

Our working capital requirements depend upon our effective management of the cash conversion cycle, which represents effectively the number of days that elapse from the day we pay for the purchase of raw materials to the collection of cash from our customers. The cash conversion cycle is the sum of DSO and DOS less DPO.

The slight increase in DSO was due primarily to a higher accounts receivable balance resulting from the timing of revenue during the three months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the three months ended October 31, 2007, and extended payment terms to certain customers. The slight increase in DOS in inventory was due primarily to a higher inventory balance as a result of strategic buys. The increase in DPO was due primarily to a higher accounts payable balance resulting from better accounts payable management and increased purchasing activity in the last month of the quarter. These changes contributed to the decrease in the cash conversion cycle for the third quarter ended July 31, 2008 compared to the fourth quarter ended October 31, 2007.

### ***LIQUIDITY***

As previously discussed, we use cash generated by operations as our primary source of liquidity; we believe that internally generated cash flows are generally sufficient to support business operations, capital expenditures and the payment of stockholder dividends, in addition to a level of discretionary investments and share repurchases. We are able to supplement this near-term liquidity, if necessary, with broad access to capital markets and credit line facilities made available by various foreign and domestic financial institutions.

We maintain debt levels that we establish through consideration of a number of factors, including cash flow expectations, cash requirements for operations, investment plans (including acquisitions), share repurchase activities, and the overall cost of capital. Outstanding debt increased to \$10.2 billion as of July 31, 2008 as compared to \$8.2 billion as of October 31, 2007, bearing weighted-average interest rates of 3.8% and 5.2%, respectively. Short-term borrowings increased to \$3.6 billion at July 31, 2008 from \$3.2 billion at October 31, 2007. The increase in short-term borrowings was due primarily to the reclassification from long-term to short-term debt relating to the \$1.0 billion U.S. Dollar Global Notes that will mature in June 2009. The increase was offset partially by the payment of \$676 million relating to maturing notes including the \$500 million U.S. Dollar Global Notes that matured in March 2008. During the first nine months of fiscal 2008, we issued \$9.2 billion and repaid \$9.2 billion of commercial paper. As of July 31, 2008, we had \$0.4 million in total borrowings collateralized by certain financing receivable assets.

The majority of our outstanding debt is related to HPFS. We issue debt in order to finance HPFS and as needed for other purposes, including acquisitions. HPFS has a business model that is asset-intensive in nature and therefore we fund HPFS more by debt than we fund our other business segments. At July 31, 2008, HPFS had approximately \$8.7 billion in net portfolio assets, which included short and long-term financing receivables and operating lease assets.

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

We have the following resources available to obtain short-term or long-term financings if we need additional liquidity:

	Original amount Available	At July 31, 2008	
		Used	Available
In millions			
<b>2002 Shelf Registration Statement<sup>(2)</sup></b>			
Debt, U.S. global securities and up to \$1,500 of Series B Medium-Term Notes	\$ 3,000	\$ 2,000	\$ 1,000
Euro Medium-Term Notes	3,000		3,000
Uncommitted lines of credit	2,500	1,100 <sup>(1)</sup>	1,400
<b>Commercial paper programs</b>			
U.S.	16,000	1,905	14,095
Euro	500	188	312
	\$ 25,000	\$ 5,193	\$ 19,807

(1) Approximately \$124 million of this amount was recorded as debt as of July 31, 2008; the remaining amount was used to satisfy business operational requirements.

(2) We will be unable to issue any additional securities under the 2002 Shelf Registration Statement after December 1, 2008.

In addition to the financing resources listed above, we had additional borrowing resources and activities as described below.

In May 2006, we filed a shelf registration statement (the "2006 Shelf Registration Statement") with the SEC to enable us to offer and sell, from time to time, in one or more offerings, debt securities, common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares and warrants. As of July 31, 2008, we had \$7.0 billion of global notes issued under the 2006 Shelf Registration Statement. The global notes included \$600 million of notes due March 2012 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.11% per annum, \$900 million of notes due March 2012 with a fixed interest rate of 5.25% per annum, \$500 million of notes due March 2017 with a fixed interest rate of 5.40% per annum, \$1.0 billion of notes due June 2009 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.01% per annum, \$1.0 billion of notes due June 2010 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.06% per annum, \$750 million of notes due September 2009 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.40% per annum, \$1.5 billion of notes due March 2013 with a fixed interest rate of 4.5% per annum, and \$750 million of notes due March 2018 with a fixed interest rate of 5.5% per annum.

We have a \$3.0 billion U.S. credit facility expiring in May 2012. In February and July 2008, we entered into additional 364-day credit facilities of \$3.0 billion and \$8.0 billion, respectively. The credit facilities are senior unsecured committed borrowing arrangements that we put in place primarily to support our U.S. commercial paper program. Under the terms of the July 2008 \$8.0 billion 364-day credit facility, the amount of credit available will decline in an amount equal to the proceeds of any future issuance of long-term debt by us. In May 2008, our Board of Directors approved increasing the capacity of the U.S. commercial paper program by \$10.0 billion to \$16.0 billion. Our ability to have a U.S. commercial paper outstanding balance that exceeds the \$14.0 billion supported by our credit facilities is subject to a number of factors, including liquidity conditions and business performance.

Our credit risk is evaluated by three independent rating agencies based upon publicly available information as well as information obtained in our ongoing discussions with them. Standard & Poor's Ratings Services, Moody's Investors Service and Fitch Ratings currently rate our senior unsecured long-term debt A, A2 and A+ and our short-term debt A-1, Prime-1 and F1, respectively. We do not

have any rating downgrade triggers that would accelerate the maturity of a material amount of our debt. However, a downgrade in our credit rating would increase the cost of borrowings under our credit facilities. Also, a downgrade in our credit rating could limit our ability to issue commercial paper under our current programs. If this occurs, we would seek alternative sources of funding, including through drawdowns under our credit facility or the issuance of notes under our existing shelf registration statements and our Euro Medium-Term Note Programme.

We have revolving trade receivables-based facilities permitting us to sell certain trade receivables to third parties on a non-recourse basis. The aggregate maximum capacity under these programs was approximately \$724 million as of July 31, 2008. We sold approximately \$2.1 billion of trade receivables during the first nine months of fiscal 2008. As of July 31, 2008, there was approximately \$364 million available under these programs.

#### *Contractual Obligations*

At July 31, 2008, our unconditional purchase obligations are approximately \$4.4 billion, compared with \$2.0 billion as previously reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007. Purchase obligations include agreements to purchase goods or services that are enforceable and legally binding on us and that specify all significant terms, including fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction. Purchase obligations exclude agreements that are cancelable without penalty. These purchase obligations are related principally to cost of sales, inventory and other items.

In addition to the above, at July 31, 2008, we had approximately \$1.2 billion of recorded FIN 48 liabilities and related interest and penalties. Of this liability amount, approximately \$295 million is expected to be paid within one year. For the remaining amount, we are unable to make a reasonable estimate as to when cash settlement with tax authorities might occur due to the uncertainties related to these tax matters. The \$1.2 billion of FIN 48 liabilities and related interest and penalties will be partially offset by \$340 million of deferred tax assets and interest receivable.

#### *Funding Commitments*

We previously disclosed in our Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007 that we expected to contribute approximately \$145 million to our pension plans and approximately \$15 million to cover benefit payments to U.S. non-qualified plan participants in fiscal 2008. We also noted that we expected to pay approximately \$80 million to cover benefit claims for our post-retirement benefit plans in fiscal 2008. As of July 31, 2008, we have made approximately \$80 million of contributions to non-U.S. pension plans, paid \$3 million to cover benefit payments to U.S. non-qualified plan participants, and paid \$39 million to cover benefit claims under post-retirement benefit plans. We presently anticipate making additional contributions of between \$20 million and \$30 million to our pension plans, of which approximately \$4 million is for U.S. non-qualified plan participants, and expect to pay approximately \$20 million to cover benefit claims under post-retirement benefit plans during the remainder of fiscal 2008. Our funding policy is to contribute cash to our pension plans so that we meet at least the minimum contribution requirements, as established by local government and funding and taxing authorities. We expect to use contributions made to the post-retirement benefit plans primarily for the payment of retiree health claims incurred during the fiscal year.

As a result of our approved restructuring plans, we expect future cash expenditures of approximately \$122 million, which we recorded on our Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheet at July 31, 2008. We expect to make cash payments of approximately \$68 million within one year and the remaining amount through 2018.

*Pending and Subsequent Acquisitions*

On August 26, 2008, we completed the acquisition of EDS for a purchase price of \$25.00 per share or an enterprise value of approximately \$13.9 billion. EDS is a leading global technology services company delivering a broad portfolio of information technology and business process outsourcing services to clients in the manufacturing, financial services, healthcare, communications, energy, transportation, and consumer and retail industries and to governments around the world. We paid the purchase price utilizing both cash from operations and cash generated from the issuance of commercial paper under our existing commercial paper programs. We expect to replace some of the commercial paper with issuances of term debt securities. Based on affirmations of our current credit ratings publicly issued by the three independent rating agencies that rated our debt in May 2008, we do not expect the increase in our debt levels to adversely affect our credit ratings.

In August 2008, we agreed to acquire Colubris Networks, Inc., a privately-held global provider of intelligent wireless networks for enterprises and service providers. The acquisition is subject to certain closing conditions and is expected to be completed by the end of our 2008 fiscal year. Following the close of the acquisition, we plan to integrate the Colubris product line into our ProCurve Networking product portfolio.

*Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements*

As part of our ongoing business, we have not participated in transactions that generate material relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities ("SPEs"), which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. As of July 31, 2008, we are not involved in any material unconsolidated SPEs.

*Indemnifications*

In the ordinary course of business, we enter into contractual arrangements under which we may agree to indemnify the third party to such arrangement from any losses incurred relating to the services they perform on behalf of us or for losses arising from certain events as defined within the particular contract, which may include, for example, litigation or claims relating to past performance. Such indemnification obligations may not be subject to maximum loss clauses. Historically, payments we have made related to these indemnifications have been immaterial.

**FACTORS THAT COULD AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS**

Because of the following factors, as well as other variables affecting our operating results, past financial performance may not be a reliable indicator of future performance, and historical trends should not be used to anticipate results or trends in future periods.

*The competitive pressures we face could harm our revenue, gross margin and prospects.*

We encounter aggressive competition from numerous and varied competitors in all areas of our business, and our competitors may target our key market segments. We compete primarily on the basis of technology, performance, price, quality, reliability, brand, reputation, distribution, range of products and services, ease of use of our products, account relationships, customer training, service and support, security, availability of application software, and Internet infrastructure offerings. If our products, services, support and cost structure do not enable us to compete successfully based on any of those criteria, our operations, results and prospects could be harmed.

Unlike many of our competitors, we have a portfolio of businesses and must allocate resources across these businesses while competing with companies that specialize in one or more of these product

lines. As a result, we may invest less in certain areas of our businesses than our competitors do, and these competitors may have greater financial, technical and marketing resources available to them than our businesses that compete against them. Industry consolidation also may affect competition by creating larger, more homogeneous and potentially stronger competitors in the markets in which we compete, and our competitors also may affect our business by entering into exclusive arrangements with existing or potential customers or suppliers.

We may have to continue to lower the prices of many of our products and services to stay competitive, while at the same time trying to maintain or improve revenue and gross margin. The markets in which we do business, particularly the personal computer and printing markets, are highly competitive, and we encounter aggressive price competition for all of our products and services from numerous companies globally. Over the past several years, price competition in the market for personal computers, printers and related products has been particularly intense as competitors have aggressively cut prices and lowered their product margins for these products. Our results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected by these and other industry-wide pricing pressures.

Because our business model is based on providing innovative and high quality products, we may spend a proportionately greater amount on research and development than some of our competitors. If we cannot proportionately decrease our cost structure on a timely basis in response to competitive price pressures, our gross margin and therefore our profitability could be adversely affected. In addition, if our pricing and other factors are not sufficiently competitive, or if there is an adverse reaction to our product decisions, we may lose market share in certain areas, which could adversely affect our revenue and prospects.

Even if we are able to maintain or increase market share for a particular product, revenue could decline because the product is in a maturing industry. Revenue and margins also could decline due to increased competition from other types of products. For example, refill and remanufactured alternatives for some of HP's LaserJet toner and inkjet cartridges compete with HP's supplies business. In addition, other companies have developed and marketed new compatible cartridges for HP's LaserJet and inkjet products, particularly in jurisdictions outside of the United States where adequate intellectual property protection may not exist. HP expects competitive refill and remanufacturing and cloned cartridge activity to continue to pressure margins in IPG, which in turn has a significant impact on HP margins and profitability overall.

*If we cannot continue to develop, manufacture and market products and services that meet customer requirements for innovation and quality, our revenue and gross margin may suffer.*

The process of developing new high technology products and services and enhancing existing products and services is complex, costly and uncertain, and any failure by us to anticipate customers' changing needs and emerging technological trends accurately could significantly harm our market share and results of operations. We must make long-term investments, develop or obtain appropriate intellectual property and commit significant resources before knowing whether our predictions will accurately reflect customer demand for our products and services. After we develop a product, we must be able to manufacture appropriate volumes quickly and at low costs. To accomplish this, we must accurately forecast volumes, mixes of products and configurations that meet customer requirements, and we may not succeed at all or within a given product's life cycle. Any delay in the development, production or marketing of a new product could result in our not being among the first to market, which could further harm our competitive position.

In the course of conducting our business, we must adequately address quality issues associated with our products and services, including defects in our engineering, design and manufacturing processes, as well as defects in third-party components included in our products. In order to address quality issues, we work extensively with our customers and suppliers and engage in product testing to determine the

cause of the problem and to determine appropriate solutions. However, we may have limited ability to control quality issues, particularly with respect to faulty components manufactured by third parties. If we are unable to determine the cause, find an appropriate solution or offer a temporary fix (or "patch"), we may delay shipment to customers, which would delay revenue recognition and could adversely affect our revenue and reported results. Finding solutions to quality issues can be expensive and may result in additional warranty, replacement and other costs, adversely affecting our profits. If new or existing customers have difficulty operating our products, our operating margins could be adversely affected, and we could face possible claims if we fail to meet our customers' expectations. In addition, quality issues can impair our relationships with new or existing customers and adversely affect our reputation, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.

*If we do not effectively manage our product and services transitions, our revenue may suffer.*

Many of the industries in which we compete are characterized by rapid technological advances in hardware performance and software features and functionality; frequent introduction of new products; short product life cycles; and continual improvement in product price characteristics relative to product performance. Among the risks associated with the introduction of new products and services are delays in development or manufacturing, variations in costs, delays in customer purchases or reductions in price of existing products in anticipation of new introductions, difficulty in predicting customer demand for the new offerings and effectively managing inventory levels so that they are in line with anticipated demand, risks associated with customer qualification and evaluation of new products and the risk that new products may have quality or other defects or may not be supported adequately by application software. If we do not make an effective transition from existing products and services to future offerings, our revenue may decline.

Our revenue and gross margin also may suffer due to the timing of product or service introductions by our suppliers and competitors. This is especially challenging when a product has a short life cycle or a competitor introduces a new product just before our own product introduction. Furthermore, sales of our new products and services may replace sales, or result in discounting of some of our current offerings, offsetting the benefit of even a successful introduction. There also may be overlaps in the current products and services of HP and portfolios acquired through mergers and acquisitions that we must manage. In addition, it may be difficult to ensure performance of new customer contracts in accordance with our revenue, margin and cost estimates and to achieve operational efficiencies embedded in our estimates. Given the competitive nature of our industry, if any of these risks materializes, future demand for our products and services and our results of operations may suffer.

*Our revenue, cost of sales, and expenses may suffer if we cannot continue to license or enforce the intellectual property rights on which our business depends or if third parties assert that we violate their intellectual property rights.*

We rely upon patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws in the United States and similar laws in other countries, and agreements with our employees, customers, suppliers and other parties, to establish and maintain our intellectual property rights in technology and products used in our operations. However, any of our direct or indirect intellectual property rights could be challenged, invalidated or circumvented, or such intellectual property rights may not be sufficient to permit us to take advantage of current market trends or otherwise to provide competitive advantages, which could result in costly product redesign efforts, discontinuance of certain product offerings or other competitive harm. Further, the laws of certain countries do not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. Therefore, in certain jurisdictions we may be unable to protect our proprietary technology adequately against unauthorized third-party copying or use, which could adversely affect our competitive position.



## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Because of the rapid pace of technological change in the information technology industry, much of our business and many of our products rely on key technologies developed or licensed by third parties. We may not be able to obtain or to continue to obtain licenses and technologies from these third parties at all or on reasonable terms, or such third parties may demand cross-licenses to our intellectual property. In addition, it is possible that as a consequence of a merger or acquisition transaction third parties may obtain licenses to some of our intellectual property rights or our business may be subject to certain restrictions that were not in place prior to the transaction. Consequently, we may lose a competitive advantage with respect to these intellectual property rights or we may be required to enter into costly arrangements in order to terminate or limit these rights.

Third parties also may claim that we or customers indemnified by us are infringing upon their intellectual property rights. For example, in recent years, individuals and groups have begun purchasing intellectual property assets for the sole purpose of making claims of infringement and attempting to extract settlements from large companies such as HP. If we cannot or do not license the infringed technology at all or on reasonable terms or substitute similar technology from another source, our operations could suffer. Even if we believe that the claims are without merit, the claims can be time-consuming and costly to defend and divert management's attention and resources away from our business. Claims of intellectual property infringement also might require us to redesign affected products, enter into costly settlement or license agreements or pay costly damage awards, or face a temporary or permanent injunction prohibiting us from marketing or selling certain of our products. Even if we have an agreement to indemnify us against such costs, the indemnifying party may be unable to uphold its contractual agreements to us.

Finally, our results of operations and cash flows could be affected in certain periods and on an ongoing basis by the imposition, accrual and payment of copyright levies or similar fees. In certain countries (primarily in Europe), proceedings are ongoing against HP seeking to impose levies upon equipment (such as PCs, multifunction devices and printers) and alleging that the copyright owners are entitled to compensation because these devices enable reproducing copyrighted content. Other countries that do not yet have levies on these types of devices are expected to extend existing levy schemes, and countries that do not currently have levy schemes may decide to impose copyright levies on these types of devices. If imposed, the amount of copyright levies would depend on the types of products determined to be subject to the levy, the number of units of those products sold during the period covered by the levy and the per unit fee for each type of product, all of which may be affected by several factors, including the outcome of ongoing litigation involving HP and other industry participants and possible action by the legislative bodies in the applicable countries, but could be substantial. Consequently, the ultimate impact of these potential copyright levies or similar fees and the ability of HP to recover such amounts through increased prices, remains uncertain.

*Economic conditions and uncertainty could adversely affect our revenue, gross margin and expenses.*

Our revenue and gross margin depend significantly on general economic conditions and the demand for computing and imaging products and services in the markets in which we compete. Economic weakness and constrained IT spending has previously resulted, and may result in the future, in decreased revenue, gross margin, earnings or growth rates and problems with our ability to manage inventory levels and collect customer receivables. We could experience such economic weakness and reduced spending, particularly in our consumer and financial services businesses, due to increases in fuel and other energy costs, conditions in the residential real estate and mortgage markets, access to credit and other macroeconomic factors affecting spending behavior. In addition, customer financial difficulties have previously resulted, and could result in the future, in increases in bad debt write-offs and additions to reserves in our receivables portfolio, inability by our lessees to make required lease payments and reduction in the value of leased equipment upon its return to us compared to the value estimated at lease inception. We also have experienced, and may experience in the future, gross margin

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

declines in certain businesses, reflecting the effect of items such as competitive pricing pressures, inventory write-downs, charges associated with the cancellation of planned production line expansion, increases in pension and post-retirement benefit expenses, and increases in component and manufacturing costs resulting from higher labor and material costs borne by our manufacturers and suppliers that, as a result of competitive pricing pressures or other factors, we are unable to pass on to our customers. Economic downturns also may lead to restructuring actions and associated expenses. Uncertainty about future economic conditions makes it difficult for us to forecast operating results and to make decisions about future investments. Delays or reductions in information technology spending could have a material adverse effect on demand for our products and services, and consequently our results of operations, prospects and stock price.

*Due to the international nature of our business, political or economic changes or other factors could harm our future revenue, costs and expenses and financial condition.*

Sales outside the United States make up approximately 68% of our net revenue. Our future revenue, gross margin, expenses and financial condition also could suffer due to a variety of international factors, including:

ongoing instability or changes in a country's or region's economic or political conditions, including inflation, recession, interest rate fluctuations and actual or anticipated military or political conflicts;

longer accounts receivable cycles and financial instability among customers;

trade regulations and procedures and actions affecting production, pricing and marketing of products;

local labor conditions and regulations;

managing a geographically dispersed workforce;

changes in the regulatory or legal environment;

differing technology standards or customer requirements;

import, export or other business licensing requirements or requirements relating to making foreign direct investments, which could affect our ability to obtain favorable terms for components or lead to penalties or restrictions;

difficulties associated with repatriating cash generated or held abroad in a tax-efficient manner and changes in tax laws; and

fluctuations in freight costs and disruptions in the transportation and shipping infrastructure at important geographic points of exit and entry for our products and shipments.

The factors described above also could disrupt our product and component manufacturing and key suppliers located outside of the United States. For example, we rely on manufacturers in Taiwan for the production of notebook computers and other suppliers in Asia for product assembly and manufacture.

As approximately 68% of our sales are from countries outside of the United States, other currencies, particularly the euro and the Japanese yen, can have an impact on HP's results (expressed in U.S. dollars). Currency variations also contribute to variations in sales of products and services in impacted jurisdictions. Accordingly, fluctuations in foreign currency rates, most notably the strengthening of the dollar against the euro, could have a material impact on our revenue growth in future periods. In addition, currency variations can adversely affect margins on sales of our products in countries outside of the United States and margins on sales of products that include components obtained from suppliers located outside of the United States. We use a combination of forward



contracts and options designated as cash flow hedges to protect against foreign currency exchange rate risks. Such hedging activities may be ineffective or may not offset more than a portion of the adverse financial impact resulting from currency variations. Gains or losses associated with hedging activities also may impact our revenue and to a lesser extent our cost of sales and financial condition.

In many foreign countries, particularly in those with developing economies, it is common to engage in business practices that are prohibited by laws and regulations applicable to us, such as the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. Although we implement policies and procedures designed to facilitate compliance with these laws, our employees, contractors and agents, as well as those companies to which we outsource certain of our business operations, may take actions in violation of our policies. Any such violation, even if prohibited by our policies, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

*Terrorist acts, conflicts and wars may seriously harm our business and revenue, costs and expenses and financial condition and stock price.*

Terrorist acts, conflicts or wars (wherever located around the world) may cause damage or disruption to HP, our employees, facilities, partners, suppliers, distributors, resellers or customers. The potential for future attacks, the national and international responses to attacks or perceived threats to national security, and other actual or potential conflicts or wars, including the ongoing military operations in Iraq, have created many economic and political uncertainties. In addition, as a major multi-national company with headquarters and significant operations located in the United States, actions against or by the United States may impact our business or employees. Although it is impossible to predict the occurrences or consequences of any such events, they could result in a decrease in demand for our products, make it difficult or impossible to deliver products to our customers or to receive components from our suppliers, create delays and inefficiencies in our supply chain and result in the need to impose employee travel restrictions. We are predominantly uninsured for losses and interruptions caused by terrorist acts, conflicts and wars.

*Business disruptions could seriously harm our future revenue and financial condition and increase our costs and expenses.*

Our worldwide operations could be subject to earthquakes, power shortages, telecommunications failures, water shortages, tsunamis, floods, hurricanes, typhoons, fires, extreme weather conditions, medical epidemics and other natural or manmade disasters or business interruptions, for which we are predominantly self-insured. The occurrence of any of these business disruptions could seriously harm our revenue and financial condition and increase our costs and expenses. Our corporate headquarters, and a portion of our research and development activities, are located in California, and other critical business operations and some of our suppliers are located in California and Asia, near major earthquake faults. In addition, all six of our worldwide IT data centers are located in the southern United States, making our operations more vulnerable to natural disasters or other business disruptions occurring in that geographical area. The ultimate impact on us, our significant suppliers and our general infrastructure of being located near major earthquake faults and being consolidated in certain geographical areas is unknown, but our revenue, profitability and financial condition could suffer in the event of a major earthquake or other natural disaster. Moreover, some areas, including California and parts of the East Coast, Southwest and Midwest of the United States, have previously experienced, and may experience in the future, major power shortages and blackouts. These blackouts could cause disruptions to our operations or the operations of our suppliers, distributors and resellers, or customers.

*If we fail to manage the distribution of our products and services properly, our revenue, gross margin and profitability could suffer.*

We use a variety of different distribution methods to sell our products and services, including third-party resellers and distributors and both direct and indirect sales to both enterprise accounts and

consumers. Successfully managing the interaction of our direct and indirect channel efforts to reach various potential customer segments for our products and services is a complex process. Moreover, since each distribution method has distinct risks and gross margins, our failure to implement the most advantageous balance in the delivery model for our products and services could adversely affect our revenue and gross margins and therefore our profitability. Other distribution risks are described below.

Our financial results could be materially adversely affected due to channel conflicts or if the financial conditions of our channel partners were to weaken.

Our future operating results may be adversely affected by any conflicts that might arise between our various sales channels, the loss or deterioration of any alliance or distribution arrangement or the loss of retail shelf space. Moreover, some of our wholesale and retail distributors may have insufficient financial resources and may not be able to withstand changes in business conditions, including economic weakness and industry consolidation. Many of our significant distributors operate on narrow product margins and have been negatively affected by business pressures. Considerable trade receivables that are not covered by collateral or credit insurance are outstanding with our distribution and retail channel partners. Revenue from indirect sales could suffer, and we could experience disruptions in distribution if our distributors' financial conditions, abilities to borrow funds in the credit markets or operations weaken.

Our inventory management is complex as we continue to sell a significant mix of products through distributors. We must manage inventory effectively, particularly with respect to sales to distributors, which involves forecasting demand and pricing issues. Distributors may increase orders during periods of product shortages, cancel orders if their inventory is too high or delay orders in anticipation of new products. Distributors also may adjust their orders in response to the supply of our products and the products of our competitors and seasonal fluctuations in end-user demand. Our reliance upon indirect distribution methods may reduce visibility to demand and pricing issues, and therefore make forecasting more difficult. If we have excess or obsolete inventory, we may have to reduce our prices and write down inventory. Moreover, our use of indirect distribution channels may limit our willingness or ability to adjust prices quickly and otherwise to respond to pricing changes by competitors. We also may have limited ability to estimate future product rebate redemptions in order to price our products effectively.

*We depend on third-party suppliers, and our revenue and gross margin could suffer if we fail to manage suppliers properly.*

Our operations depend on our ability to anticipate our needs for components, products and services and our suppliers' ability to deliver sufficient quantities of quality components, products and services at reasonable prices in time for us to meet critical schedules. Given the wide variety of systems, products and services that we offer, the large number of our suppliers and contract manufacturers that are dispersed across the globe, and the long lead times that are required to manufacture, assemble and deliver certain components and products, problems could arise in planning production and managing inventory levels that could seriously harm us. Other supplier problems that we could face include component shortages, excess supply, risks related to the terms of our contracts with suppliers, risks

associated with contingent workers, and risks related to our relationships with single source suppliers, as described below.

*Shortages.* Occasionally we may experience a shortage of, or a delay in receiving, certain supplies as a result of strong demand, capacity constraints, supplier financial weaknesses, inability of suppliers to borrow funds in the credit markets, disputes with suppliers (some of which are also customers), disruptions in the operations of component suppliers, other problems experienced by suppliers or problems faced during the transition to new suppliers. In particular, our PC business relies heavily upon Contract Manufacturers ("CMs") and Original Design Manufacturers ("ODMs") to manufacture its products and is therefore dependent upon the continuing operations of those CMs and ODMs to fulfill demand for our PC products. HP represents a substantial portion of the business of some of these CMs and ODMs, and any changes to the nature or volume of business transacted by HP with a particular CM or ODM could adversely affect the operations and financial condition of the CM or ODM and lead to shortages or delays in receiving products from that CM or ODM. If shortages or delays persist, the price of these supplies may increase, we may be exposed to quality issues or the supplies may not be available at all. We may not be able to secure enough supplies at reasonable prices or of acceptable quality to build products or provide services in a timely manner in the quantities or according to the specifications needed. Accordingly, our revenue and gross margin could suffer as we could lose time-sensitive sales, incur additional freight costs or be unable to pass on price increases to our customers. If we cannot adequately address supply issues, we might have to reengineer some products or service offerings, resulting in further costs and delays.

*Oversupply.* In order to secure supplies for the provision of products or services, at times we may make advance payments to suppliers or enter into non-cancelable commitments with vendors. In addition, we may purchase supplies strategically in advance of demand to take advantage of favorable pricing or to address concerns about the availability of future supplies. If we fail to anticipate customer demand properly, a temporary oversupply could result in excess or obsolete components, which could adversely affect our gross margin.

*Contractual terms.* As a result of binding price or purchase commitments with vendors, we may be obligated to purchase supplies or services at prices that are higher than those available in the current market and be limited in our ability to respond to changing market conditions. In the event that we become committed to purchase supplies or services for prices in excess of the current market price, we may be at a disadvantage to competitors who have access to components or services at lower prices, and our gross margin could suffer. In addition, many of our competitors obtain products or components from the same CMs, ODMs and suppliers that we utilize. Our competitors may obtain better pricing and other terms and more favorable allocations of products and components during periods of limited supply, and our ability to engage in relationships with certain CMs, ODMs and suppliers could be limited. The practice employed by our PC business of purchasing product components and transferring those components to its CMs and ODMs may create large supplier receivables with the CMs and ODMs that, depending on the financial condition of the CMs and ODMs, may have a risk of uncollectibility. In addition, certain of our CMs, ODMs and suppliers may decide in the future to discontinue conducting business with us. Any of these actions by our competitors, CMs, ODMs or suppliers could adversely affect our future operating results and financial condition.

*Contingent workers.* We also rely on third-party suppliers for the provision of contingent workers, and our failure to manage our use of such workers effectively could adversely affect our results of operations. We have been exposed to various legal claims relating to the status of contingent workers in the past and could face similar claims in the future. We may be subject to shortages, oversupply or fixed contractual terms relating to contingent workers, as described above. Our ability to manage the size of, and costs associated with, the contingent workforce may be subject to additional constraints imposed by local laws.

*Single source suppliers.* Our use of single source suppliers for certain components could exacerbate our supplier issues. We obtain a significant number of components from single sources due to technology, availability, price, quality or other considerations. For example, we rely on Intel Corporation to provide us with a sufficient supply of processors for many of our PCs, workstations, handheld computing devices and servers, and some of those processors are customized for our products. New products that we introduce may utilize custom components obtained from only one source initially until we have evaluated whether there is a need for additional suppliers. Replacing a single source supplier could delay production of some products as replacement suppliers initially may be subject to capacity constraints or other output limitations. For some components, such as customized components and some of the processors that we obtain from Intel, alternative sources may not exist or those alternative sources may be unable to produce the quantities of those components necessary to satisfy our production requirements. In addition, we sometimes purchase components from single source suppliers under short-term agreements that contain favorable pricing and other terms but that may be unilaterally modified or terminated by the supplier with limited notice and with little or no penalty. The performance of such single source suppliers under those agreements (and the renewal or extension of those agreements upon similar terms) may affect the quality, quantity and price of supplies to HP. The loss of a single source supplier, the deterioration of our relationship with a single source supplier, or any unilateral modification to the contractual terms under which we are supplied components by a single source supplier could adversely effect our revenue and gross margins.

*If we fail to comply with our customer contracts or government contracting regulations, our revenue could suffer.*

Our contracts with our customers may include unique and specialized performance requirements. In particular, our contracts with federal, state, provincial and local governmental customers are subject to various procurement regulations, contract provisions and other requirements relating to their formation, administration and performance. Any failure by us to comply with the specific provisions in our customer contracts or any violation of government contracting regulations could result in the imposition of various civil and criminal penalties, which may include termination of contracts, forfeiture of profits, suspension of payments and, in the case of our government contracts, fines and suspension from future government contracting. In addition, we are currently, and in the future may be, subject to *qui tam* litigation brought by private individuals on behalf of the government relating to our government contracts, which could include claims for up to treble damages. Further, any negative publicity related to our customer contracts or any proceedings surrounding them, regardless of its accuracy, may damage our business by affecting our ability to compete for new contracts. If our customer contracts are terminated, if we are suspended from government work, or if our ability to compete for new contracts is adversely affected, we could suffer a material reduction in expected revenue.

*The revenue and profitability of our operations have historically varied, which makes our future financial results less predictable.*

Our revenue, gross margin and profit vary among our products and services, customer groups and geographic markets and therefore will likely be different in future periods than our current results. Overall gross margins and profitability in any given period are dependent partially on the product, customer and geographic mix reflected in that period's net revenue. In particular, IPG and certain of its business units such as printer supplies contribute significantly to our gross margin and profitability. Competition, lawsuits, investigations and other risks affecting IPG therefore may have a significant impact on our overall gross margin and profitability. Certain segments, and ESS in particular, have a higher fixed cost structure and more variation in gross margins across their business units and product

portfolios than others and may therefore experience significant operating profit volatility on a quarterly basis. In addition, newer geographic markets may be relatively less profitable due to investments associated with entering those markets and local pricing pressures, and we may have difficulty establishing and maintaining the operating infrastructure necessary to support the high growth rate associated with some of those markets. Market trends, competitive pressures, commoditization of products, seasonal rebates, increased component or shipping costs, regulatory impacts and other factors may result in reductions in revenue or pressure on gross margins of certain segments in a given period, which may necessitate adjustments to our operations.

*We make estimates and assumptions in connection with the preparation of HP's Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, and any changes to those estimates and assumptions could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.*

In connection with the preparation of HP's Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, we use certain estimates and assumptions based on historical experience and other factors. Our most critical accounting estimates are described in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in this report. In addition, as discussed in Note 14 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, we make certain estimates under the provisions of SFAS No. 5 "Accounting for Contingencies," including decisions related to provisions for legal proceedings and other contingencies. While we believe that these estimates and assumptions are reasonable under the circumstances, they are subject to significant uncertainties, some of which are beyond our control. Should any of these estimates and assumptions change or prove to have been incorrect, it could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

*Unanticipated changes in HP's tax provisions or exposure to additional income tax liabilities could affect our profitability.*

We are subject to income taxes in the United States and numerous foreign jurisdictions. Our tax liabilities are affected by the amounts we charge for inventory, services, licenses, funding and other items in intercompany transactions. We are subject to ongoing tax audits in various jurisdictions. Tax authorities may disagree with our intercompany charges or other matters and assess additional taxes. We regularly assess the likely outcomes of these audits in order to determine the appropriateness of our tax provision. However, there can be no assurance that we will accurately predict the outcomes of these audits, and the actual outcomes of these audits could have a material impact on our net income or financial condition. In addition, our effective tax rate in the future could be adversely affected by changes in the mix of earnings in countries with differing statutory tax rates, changes in the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities, changes in tax laws and the discovery of new information in the course of our tax return preparation process. In particular, the carrying value of deferred tax assets, which are predominantly in the United States, is dependent on our ability to generate future taxable income in the United States. Any of these changes could affect our profitability.

*Our sales cycle makes planning and inventory management difficult and future financial results less predictable.*

In some of our segments, our quarterly sales often have reflected a pattern in which a disproportionate percentage of each quarter's total sales occur towards the end of such quarter. This uneven sales pattern makes prediction of revenue, earnings, cash flow from operations and working capital for each financial period difficult, increases the risk of unanticipated variations in quarterly results and financial condition and places pressure on our inventory management and logistics systems. If predicted demand is substantially greater than orders, there will be excess inventory. Alternatively, if orders substantially exceed predicted demand, we may not be able to fulfill all of the orders received in the last few weeks of each quarter. Other developments late in a quarter, such as a systems failure,



component pricing movements, component shortages or global logistics disruptions, could adversely impact inventory levels and results of operations in a manner that is disproportionate to the number of days in the quarter affected.

We experience some seasonal trends in the sale of our products that also may produce variations in quarterly results and financial condition. For example, sales to governments (particularly sales to the United States government) are often stronger in the third calendar quarter, consumer sales are often stronger in the fourth calendar quarter, and many customers whose fiscal and calendar years are the same spend their remaining capital budget authorizations in the fourth calendar quarter prior to new budget constraints in the first calendar quarter of the following year. European sales are often weaker during the summer months. Demand during the spring and early summer also may be adversely impacted by market anticipation of seasonal trends. Moreover, to the extent that we introduce new products in anticipation of seasonal demand trends, our discounting of existing products may adversely affect our gross margin prior to or shortly after such product launches. Typically, our third fiscal quarter is our weakest and our fourth fiscal quarter is our strongest. Many of the factors that create and affect seasonal trends are beyond our control.

*Any failure by us to execute on our strategy for operational efficiency successfully could result in total costs and expenses that are greater than expected.*

We have adopted an operating framework that includes a disciplined focus on operational efficiency. As part of this framework, we have adopted several initiatives, including:

A multi-year plan announced in the third fiscal quarter of 2006 to consolidate HP's 85 data centers worldwide into six larger centers located in three U.S. cities and transform our IT infrastructure to improve operational efficiency and workforce effectiveness and significantly reduce our IT spending;

A multi-year program announced in the third fiscal quarter of 2006 to reduce real estate costs by consolidating several hundred HP real estate locations worldwide to fewer core sites; and

A multi-year process of examining every function and every one of our businesses and functions in order to optimize efficiency and reduce cost.

Our ability to achieve the anticipated cost savings and other benefits from these initiatives within the expected time frame is subject to many estimates and assumptions, including estimates and assumptions regarding the cost of consolidating the data centers and real estate locations, the amount of accelerated depreciation or asset impairment to be incurred when we vacate facilities or cease using equipment before the end of their respective lease term or asset life, and the costs and timing of other activities in connection with these initiatives. These estimates and assumptions are subject to significant economic, competitive and other uncertainties, some of which are beyond our control. If these estimates and assumptions are incorrect, if we experience delays, or if other unforeseen events occur, our business and results of operations could be adversely affected.

*In order to be successful, we must attract, retain and motivate key employees, and failure to do so could seriously harm us.*

In order to be successful, we must attract, retain and motivate executives and other key employees, including those in managerial, technical, sales, marketing and IT support positions. Hiring and retaining qualified executives, engineers, skilled solutions providers in the IT support business and qualified sales representatives are critical to our future, and competition for experienced employees in the IT industry can be intense. The failure to hire executives and key employees or the loss of executives and key employees could have a significant impact on our operations.

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

*Changes to our compensation and benefit programs could adversely affect our ability to attract and retain employees.*

We have historically used stock options and other forms of share-based payment awards as key components of our total rewards employee compensation program in order to align employees' interests with the interests of our stockholders, encourage employee retention and provide competitive compensation and benefit packages. HP began recording charges to earnings for stock-based compensation expense in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment." As a result, we began to incur increased compensation costs associated with our stock-based compensation programs. Like other companies, HP has reviewed its compensation strategy in light of the current regulatory and competitive environment, and HP has implemented changes to its compensation programs intended to reduce fixed costs, create a high performance culture at all levels and provide an opportunity for employees to earn significant rewards if HP delivers strong financial results. HP also has reduced the total number of share-based payment awards granted to employees and the number of employees who receive share-based payment awards. In addition, effective in fiscal 2008, HP changed its primary form of share-based payment award to performance-based restricted stock units that contain conditions relating to HP's long-term financial performance and continued employment by the recipient that may be viewed unfavorably by some employees who are accustomed to the fixed vesting and other terms historically associated with other forms of share-based payment awards. Due to these changes in our compensation strategy, combined with the pension and other benefit plan changes undertaken to reduce costs, we may find it difficult to attract, retain and motivate employees, and any such difficulty could materially adversely affect our business. Moreover, difficulties relating to obtaining stockholder approval of equity compensation plans could make it harder or more expensive for us to grant share-based payment awards to employees in the future.

*HP's stock price has historically fluctuated and may continue to fluctuate, which may make future prices of HP's stock difficult to predict.*

HP's stock price, like that of other technology companies, can be volatile. Some of the factors that could affect our stock price are:

speculation in the press or investment community about, or actual changes in, our business, strategic position, market share, organizational structure, operations, financial condition, financial reporting and results, effectiveness of cost cutting efforts, value or liquidity of our investments, exposure to market volatility, prospects, business combination or investment transactions, or executive team;

the announcement of new products, services, technological innovations or acquisitions by HP or its competitors;

quarterly increases or decreases in revenue, gross margin, earnings or cash flow from operations, changes in estimates by the investment community or guidance provided by HP, and variations between actual and estimated financial results;

announcements of actual and anticipated financial results by HP's competitors and other companies in the IT industry; and

the timing and amount of share repurchases by HP.

General or industry-specific market conditions or stock market performance or domestic or international macroeconomic and geopolitical factors unrelated to HP's performance also may affect the price of HP common stock. In particular, the stock market as a whole recently has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market price of many technology companies in ways that may have been unrelated to those companies' operating performance. For these

reasons, investors should not rely on recent trends to predict future stock prices, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. In addition, following periods of volatility in a company's securities, securities class action litigation against a company is sometimes instituted. If instituted against HP, this type of litigation could result in substantial costs and the diversion of management time and resources.

*System security risks and systems integration issues could disrupt our internal operations or information technology services provided to customers, and any such disruption could harm our revenue, increase our expenses and harm our reputation and stock price.*

Experienced computer programmers and hackers may be able to penetrate our network security and misappropriate our confidential information or that of third parties, create system disruptions or cause shutdowns. In addition, computer programmers and hackers may be able to develop and deploy viruses, worms, and other malicious software programs that attack our products or otherwise exploit any security vulnerabilities of our products. As a result, we could incur significant expenses in addressing problems created by security breaches of our network and any security vulnerabilities of our products. Moreover, we could lose existing or potential customers for information technology outsourcing services or other information technology solutions or incur significant expenses in connection with our customers' system failures or any actual or perceived security vulnerabilities in our products. In addition, sophisticated hardware and operating system software and applications that we produce or procure from third parties may contain defects in design or manufacture, including "bugs" and other problems that could unexpectedly interfere with the operation of the system. The costs to us to eliminate or alleviate security problems, bugs, viruses, worms, malicious software programs and security vulnerabilities could be significant, and the efforts to address these problems could result in interruptions, delays, cessation of service and loss of existing or potential customers that may impede our sales, manufacturing, distribution or other critical functions.

Portions of our IT infrastructure also may experience interruptions, delays or cessations of service or produce errors in connection with systems integration or migration work that takes place from time to time. We may not be successful in implementing new systems and transitioning data, including our current project to consolidate all of our worldwide IT data centers into six centers, which could cause business disruptions and be more expensive, time consuming, disruptive and resource-intensive. Such disruptions could adversely impact our ability to fulfill orders and interrupt other processes. Delayed sales, lower margins or lost customers resulting from these disruptions have adversely affected in the past, and in the future could adversely affect, our financial results, stock price and reputation.

*Any failure by us to manage, complete and integrate acquisitions, divestitures and other significant transactions successfully could harm our financial results, business and prospects and may result in financial results that are different than expected.*

As part of our business strategy, we frequently acquire complementary companies or businesses, divest non-core businesses or assets, enter into strategic alliances and joint ventures and make investments to further our business (collectively, "business combination and investment transactions"). In order to pursue this strategy successfully, we must identify suitable candidates for and successfully complete business combination and investment transactions, some of which may be large and complex, and manage post-closing issues such as the integration of acquired companies or employees. Integration and other risks associated with business combination and investment transactions can be more pronounced for larger and more complicated transactions, such as our recently completed acquisition of EDS, or if multiple transactions are integrated simultaneously. If we fail to identify and complete successfully business combination and investment transactions that further our strategic objectives, we may be required to expend resources to develop products and technology internally, we may be at a competitive disadvantage or we may be adversely affected by negative market perceptions, any of which may have a material adverse effect on our revenue, gross margin and profitability.

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Integration issues are complex, time-consuming and expensive and, without proper planning and implementation, could significantly disrupt our business. The challenges involved in integration include:

combining product offerings and entering into new markets in which we are not experienced;

convincing customers and distributors that the transaction will not diminish client service standards or business focus, preventing customers and distributors from deferring purchasing decisions or switching to other suppliers (which could result in our incurring additional obligations in order to address customer uncertainty), minimizing sales force attrition and coordinating sales, marketing and distribution efforts;

consolidating and rationalizing corporate IT infrastructure, which may include multiple legacy systems from various acquisitions and integrating software code;

minimizing the diversion of management attention from ongoing business concerns;

persuading employees that business cultures are compatible, maintaining employee morale and retaining key employees, engaging with employee works councils representing an acquired company's non-U.S. employees, integrating employees into HP, correctly estimating employee benefit costs and implementing restructuring programs;

coordinating and combining administrative, manufacturing, research and development and other operations, subsidiaries, facilities and relationships with third parties in accordance with local laws and other obligations while maintaining adequate standards, controls and procedures;

achieving savings from supply chain integration; and

managing integration issues shortly after or pending the completion of other independent transactions.

In August 2008, we completed our acquisition of EDS, a leading global technology services company delivering a broad portfolio of information technology and business process outsourcing services, and we are in the process of integrating EDS into our company. The size of the acquisition of EDS increases both the scope and consequence of ongoing integration risks. We may not successfully address the integration challenges in a timely manner, or at all, and we may not fully realize all of the anticipated benefits or synergies of the EDS acquisition.

We evaluate and enter into significant business combination and investment transactions on an ongoing basis. We may not fully realize all of the anticipated benefits of any business combination and investment transaction, and the timeframe for achieving benefits of a business combination and investment transaction may depend partially upon the actions of employees, suppliers or other third parties. In addition, the pricing and other terms of our contracts for business combination and investment transactions require us to make estimates and assumptions at the time we enter into these contracts, and, during the course of our due diligence, we may not identify all of the factors necessary to estimate our costs accurately. Any increased or unexpected costs, unanticipated delays or failure to achieve contractual obligations could make these agreements less profitable or unprofitable.

Managing business combination and investment transactions requires varying levels of management resources, which may divert our attention from other business operations. These business combination and investment transactions also have resulted and in the future may result in significant costs and expenses and charges to earnings, including those related to severance pay, early retirement costs, employee benefit costs, asset impairment charges, charges from the elimination of duplicative facilities and contracts, in-process research and development charges, inventory adjustments, assumed litigation and other liabilities, legal, accounting and financial advisory fees, and required payments to executive officers and key employees under retention plans. Moreover, HP has incurred and will incur additional depreciation and amortization expense over the useful lives of certain assets acquired in connection

with business combination and investment transactions, and, to the extent that the value of goodwill or intangible assets with indefinite lives acquired in connection with a business combination and investment transaction becomes impaired, we may be required to incur additional material charges relating to the impairment of those assets. In order to complete an acquisition, we may issue common stock, potentially creating dilution for existing stockholders. In addition, we may borrow to finance an acquisition, including borrowing to replace the short-term borrowings used to finance our recently completed acquisition of EDS. Although our current credit ratings have been affirmed by the three independent rating agencies taking into account the borrowing relating to the EDS acquisition, the amount and terms of any potential future acquisition-related borrowings, as well as other factors, could affect our liquidity and financial condition and potentially our credit ratings. Any potential prior or future downgrades in our credit rating associated with an acquisition could adversely affect our ability to borrow and cost of borrowing and result in more restrictive borrowing terms. In addition, HP's effective tax rate on an ongoing basis is uncertain, and business combination and investment transactions could impact our effective tax rate. We also may experience risks relating to the challenges and costs of closing a business combination and investment transaction and the risk that an announced business combination and investment transaction may not close. As a result, any completed, pending or future transactions may contribute to financial results that differ from the investment community's expectations in a given quarter.

*We cannot predict the outcome of various regulatory inquiries arising out of the processes employed in the investigation into leaks of HP confidential information to members of the media, and we may be named in additional regulatory inquiries and stockholder litigation, all of which could result in significant legal and other expenses.*

The Attorney General of the State of California, the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the U.S. House of Representatives, the U.S. Attorney for the Northern District of California, the Division of Enforcement of the SEC and the U.S. Federal Communications Commission all have conducted inquiries or investigations relating to the processes employed in an investigation into leaks of HP confidential information to members of the media that concluded in May 2006. We have entered into an agreement with the California Attorney General to resolve civil claims relating to the leak investigation. Under the terms of the agreement, which includes an injunction, we have paid a total of \$14.5 million and agreed to implement and maintain for five years a series of measures designed to ensure that HP's corporate investigations are conducted in accordance with California law and the company's high ethical standards. We also have consented to the entry of an order by the SEC ordering HP to cease and desist from committing or causing violations of the public reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. If we fail to implement and maintain the measures required under the agreement with the California Attorney General or if we fail to comply with the SEC cease and desist order, we could be subject to civil or criminal penalties.

*Unforeseen environmental costs could impact our future net earnings.*

We are subject to various federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations concerning environmental protection, such as laws governing the conduct of our facilities and operations with respect to the discharge of pollutants into the air and water, the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes and the cleanup of contaminated sites. It is our policy to apply strict standards for environmental clean-up to sites outside the United States, even where we are not required to do so under applicable local laws and regulations. Many of our products are subject to various federal, state and international laws governing chemical substances, including laws regulating the manufacture and distribution of chemical substances and laws restricting the presence of certain substances in electronics products. We could incur substantial costs, including cleanup costs, fines and civil or criminal sanctions, third-party property damage or personal injury claims, or our products could be enjoined from entering certain jurisdictions, if we were to violate or become liable under

environmental laws or if our products become non-compliant with environmental laws. The ultimate costs under environmental laws and the timing of these costs are difficult to predict, and liability under some environmental laws relating to contaminated sites can be imposed retroactively and on a joint and several basis. We record a liability for environmental remediation and other environmental costs when we consider the costs to be probable and the amount of the costs can be reasonably estimated. We face increasing complexity in our product design and procurement operations as we adjust to new and future requirements relating to the materials composition of our products, including the restrictions on lead, cadmium and certain other substances that apply to specified electronics products put on the market in the European Union as of July 1, 2006 (Restriction of Hazardous Substances Directive) and similar legislation in other countries including China, Japan and Korea. We also could face significant costs and liabilities in connection with product take-back legislation. The EU has enacted the Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment Directive (the "WEEE Legislation"), which makes producers of electrical goods, including computers and printers, financially responsible for specified collection, recycling, treatment and disposal of past and future covered products. Similar legislation has been or may be enacted in other jurisdictions, including in the United States, Canada, Mexico, China and Japan. We are continuing to evaluate the cumulative impact of, and are taking steps to comply with, the WEEE Legislation and similar legislation in other jurisdictions as individual countries issue their implementation legislation and guidance.

*Some anti-takeover provisions contained in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, as well as provisions of Delaware law, could impair a takeover attempt.*

We have provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, each of which could have the effect of rendering more difficult or discouraging an acquisition of HP deemed undesirable by our Board of Directors. These include provisions:

authorizing blank check preferred stock, which HP could issue with voting, liquidation, dividend and other rights superior to our common stock;

limiting the liability of, and providing indemnification to, HP's directors and officers;

specifying that HP stockholders may take action only at a duly called annual or special meeting of stockholders and otherwise in accordance with our bylaws and limiting the ability of our stockholders to call special meetings;

requiring advance notice of proposals by HP stockholders for business to be conducted at stockholder meetings and for nominations of candidates for election to our Board of Directors;

requiring a vote by the holders of two-thirds of HP's outstanding shares to amend certain bylaws relating to HP stockholder meetings, the Board of Directors and indemnification; and

controlling the procedures for conduct of HP Board and stockholder meetings and election, appointment and removal of HP directors.

These provisions, alone or together, could deter or delay hostile takeovers, proxy contests and changes in control or management of HP. As a Delaware corporation, HP also is subject to provisions of Delaware law, including Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which prevents some stockholders from engaging in certain business combinations without approval of the holders of substantially all of HP's outstanding common stock.

Any provision of our certificate of incorporation or bylaws or Delaware law that has the effect of delaying or deterring a change in control of HP could limit the opportunity for our stockholders to receive a premium for their shares of HP common stock and also could affect the price that some investors are willing to pay for HP common stock.

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.**

For quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk affecting HP, see "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" in Item 7A of Part II, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007, which is incorporated herein by reference. Our exposure to market risk has not changed materially since October 31, 2007.

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures.**

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as of the end of the period covered by this report (the "Evaluation Date"). Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded as of the Evaluation Date that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective such that the information relating to HP, including our consolidated subsidiaries, required to be disclosed in our SEC reports (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to HP's management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during our most recently completed fiscal quarter. Based on that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that there has not been any change in our internal control over financial reporting during that quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings.**

The information set forth above under Note 14 contained in the "Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements" is incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors.**

A description of factors that could materially affect our business, financial condition or operating results is included under "Factors that Could Affect Future Results" in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," contained in Item 2 of Part I of this report. This description includes any material changes to the risk factor disclosure in Item 1A of Part I of our 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K and is incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.**

There were no unregistered sales of equity securities during the period covered by this report.

**Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased under the Plans or Programs
<b>Month #1</b>				
(May 2008)	17,098,360	\$ 46.33	17,098,360	\$ 3,753,377,204
<b>Month #2</b>				
(June 2008)	7,522,179	\$ 46.52	7,522,179	\$ 3,403,410,166
<b>Month #3</b>				
(July 2008)	9,234,140	\$ 44.29	9,234,140	\$ 2,994,398,070
<b>Total</b>	<b>33,854,679</b>	<b>\$ 45.82</b>	<b>33,854,679</b>	

HP repurchased shares in the third quarter of fiscal 2008 under an ongoing program to manage the dilution created by shares issued under employee stock plans as well as to repurchase shares opportunistically. This program, which does not have a specific expiration date, authorizes repurchases in the open market or in private transactions. All shares repurchased in the third quarter of fiscal 2008 were purchased in open market transactions.

As of July 31, 2008, HP had remaining authorization of approximately \$3.0 billion for future share repurchases under the \$8.0 billion repurchase authorization approved by HP's Board of Directors on November 19, 2007.

**Item 6. Exhibits.**

The Exhibit Index beginning on page 87 of this report sets forth a list of exhibits.



**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

/s/ CATHERINE A. LESJAK

---

Catherine A. Lesjak  
*Executive Vice President and Chief Financial  
Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer and Authorized  
Signatory)*

Date: September 4, 2008

86

---

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Form	Incorporated by Reference		Filing Date
			File No.	Exhibit(s)	
2(a)	Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among Electronic Data Systems Corporation, Hewlett-Packard Company and Hawk Merger Corporation.	8-K/A	001-04423	2.1	May 13, 2008
2(b)	Amendment No. 1 to Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among Electronic Data Systems Corporation, Hewlett-Packard Company and Hawk Merger Corporation.	8-K	001-04423	2.1	July 25, 2008
3(a)	Registrant's Certificate of Incorporation.	10-Q	001-04423	3(a)	June 12, 1998
3(b)	Registrant's Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation.	10-Q	001-04423	3(b)	March 16, 2001
3(c)	Registrant's Amended and Restated By-Laws effective July 24, 2008.	8-K	001-04423	3.1	July 24, 2008
4(a)	Form of Senior Indenture.	S-3	333-30786	4.1	March 17, 2000
4(b)	Form of Registrant's Fixed Rate Note and Floating Rate Note and related Officers' Certificate.	8-K	001-04423	4.1, 4.2 and 4.4	May 24, 2001
4(c)	Form of Registrant's 6.50% Global Note due July 1, 2012, and form of related Officers' Certificate.	8-K	001-04423	4.2 and 4.3	June 27, 2002
4(d)	Form of Registrant's Fixed Rate Note and form of Floating Rate Note.	8-K	001-04423	4.1 and 4.2	December 11, 2002
4(e)	Indenture, dated as of June 1, 2000, between the Registrant and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association (formerly	S-3	333-134327	4.9	June 7, 2006

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Chase Manhattan Bank),  
as Trustee.

- |      |  |      |           |                  |                   |
|------|--|------|-----------|------------------|-------------------|
| 4(f) | Form of Registrant's Floating Rate Global Note due March 1, 2012, form of 5.25% Global Note due March 1, 2012 and form of 5.40% Global Note due March 1, 2017. | 8-K  | 001-04423 | 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 | February 28, 2007 |
| 4(g) | Form of Registrant's Floating Rate Global Note due June 15, 2009 and Floating Rate Global Note due June 15, 2010.  | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 4(l)             | September 7, 2007 |
| 4(h) | Form of Registrant's Floating Rate Global Note due September 3, 2009, 4.50% Global Note due March 1, 2013 and 5.50% Global Note due March 1, 2018.             | 8-K  | 001-04423 | 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 | February 29, 2008 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Form	Incorporated by Reference		Filing Date
			File No.	Exhibit(s)	
4(i)	Speciman certificate for the Registrant's common stock.	8-A/A	001-04423	4.1	June 23, 2006
10(a)	Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.*	S-8	333-114253	4.1	April 7, 2004
10(b)	Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan, amended and restated effective May 1, 2007.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(b)	June 8, 2007
10(c)	Registrant's 1997 Director Stock Plan, amended and restated effective November 1, 2005.*	8-K	001-04423	99.4	November 23, 2005
10(d)	Registrant's 1995 Incentive Stock Plan, amended and restated effective May 1, 2007.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(d)	June 8, 2007
10(e)	Registrant's 1990 Incentive Stock Plan, amended and restated effective May 1, 2007.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(e)	June 8, 2007
10(f)	Compaq Computer Corporation 2001 Stock Option Plan, amended and restated effective November 21, 2002.*	10-K	001-04423	10(f)	January 21, 2003
10(g)	Compaq Computer Corporation 1998 Stock Option Plan, amended and restated effective November 21, 2002.*	10-K	001-04423	10(g)	January 21, 2003
10(h)	Compaq Computer Corporation 1995 Equity Incentive Plan, amended and restated effective November 21, 2002.*	10-K	001-04423	10(h)	January 21, 2003
10(i)	Compaq Computer Corporation 1989 Equity Incentive Plan, amended and restated effective November 21, 2002.*	10-K	001-04423	10(i)	January 21, 2003
10(j)	Compaq Computer Corporation 1985 Nonqualified Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors.*	S-3	333-86378	10.5	April 18, 2002

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

10(k)	Amendment of Compaq Computer Corporation Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors, effective September 3, 2001.*	S-3	333-86378	10.11	April 18, 2002
10(l)	Compaq Computer Corporation 1998 Former Nonemployee Replacement Option Plan.*	S-3	333-86378	10.9	April 18, 2002
10(m)	Registrant's Excess Benefit Retirement Plan, amended and restated as of January 1, 2006.*	8-K	001-04423	10.2	September 21, 2006
10(n)	Hewlett-Packard Company Cash Account Restoration Plan, amended and restated as of January 1, 2005.*	8-K	001-04423	99.3	November 23, 2005
10(o)	Registrant's 2005 Pay-for-Results Plan.*	8-K	001-04423	99.5	November 23, 2005

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Form	Incorporated by Reference		Filing Date
			File No.	Exhibit(s)	
10(p)	Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2006.*	8-K	001-04423	10.1	September 21, 2006
10(q)	First Amendment to the Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2006.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(q)	June 8, 2007
10(r)	Employment Agreement, dated March 29, 2005, between Registrant and Mark V. Hurd.*	8-K	001-04423	99.1	March 30, 2005
10(s)	Employment Agreement, dated June 9, 2005, between Registrant and R. Todd Bradley.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(x)	September 8, 2005
10(t)	Employment Agreement, dated July 11, 2005, between Registrant and Randall D. Mott.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(y)	September 8, 2005
10(u)	Registrant's Amended and Restated Severance Plan for Executive Officers.*	8-K	001-04423	99.1	July 27, 2005
10(v)	Form letter to participants in the Registrant's Pay-for-Results Plan for fiscal year 2006.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(w)	March 10, 2006
10(w)	Registrant's Executive Severance Agreement.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(u)(u)	June 13, 2002
10(x)	Registrant's Executive Officers Severance Agreement.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(v)(v)	June 13, 2002
10(y)	Form letter regarding severance offset for restricted stock and restricted units.*	8-K	001-04423	10.2	March 22, 2005
10(z)	Form of Indemnity Agreement between Compaq Computer Corporation and its executive officers.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(x)(x)	June 13, 2002

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

10(a)(a)	Form of Stock Option Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan, as amended, Registrant's 1995 Incentive Stock Plan, as amended, the Compaq Computer Corporation 2001 Stock Option Plan, as amended, the Compaq Computer Corporation 1998 Stock Option Plan, as amended, the Compaq Computer Corporation 1995 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and the Compaq Computer Corporation 1989 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(a)(a)	June 8, 2007
10(b)(b)	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan, as amended, and Registrant's 1995 Incentive Stock Plan, as amended.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(b)(b)	June 8, 2007

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Form	Incorporated by Reference		Filing Date
			File No.	Exhibit(s)	
10(c)(c)	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(c)(c)	June 8, 2007
10(d)(d)	Form of Stock Option Agreement for Registrant's 1990 Incentive Stock Plan, as amended.*	10-K	001-04423	10(e)	January 27, 2000
10(e)(e)	Form of Common Stock Payment Agreement and Option Agreement for Registrant's 1997 Director Stock Plan, as amended.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(j)(j)	March 11, 2005
10(f)(f)	Form of Restricted Stock Grant Notice for the Compaq Computer Corporation 1989 Equity Incentive Plan.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(w)(w)	June 13, 2002
10(g)(g)	Forms of Stock Option Notice for the Compaq Computer Corporation Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended.*	10-K	001-04423	10(r)(r)	January 14, 2005
10(h)(h)	Form of Long-Term Performance Cash Award Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan and Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan, as amended.*	10-K	001-04423	10(t)(t)	January 14, 2005
10(i)(i)	Amendment One to the Long-Term Performance Cash Award Agreement for the 2004 Program.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(q)(q)	September 8, 2005
10(j)(j)	Form of Long-Term Performance Cash Award Agreement for the 2005 Program.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(r)(r)	September 8, 2005
10(k)(k)	Form of Long-Term Performance Cash Award Agreement.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(o)(o)	March 10, 2006
10(l)(l)	Second Amendment to the Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated	10-K	001-04423	10(l)(l)	December 18, 2007



Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

effective October 1,  
2006.\*

10(m)(m)	Form of Stock Notification and Award Agreement for awards of performance-based restricted units.*	8-K	001-04423	10.1	January 24, 2008
10(n)(n)	Form of Agreement Regarding Confidential Information and Proprietary Developments (California).*	8-K	001-04423	10.2	January 24, 2008
10(o)(o)	Form of Agreement Regarding Confidential Information and Proprietary Developments (Texas).*	10-Q	001-04423	10(o)(o)	March 10, 2008

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Form	Incorporated by Reference		Filing Date
			File No.	Exhibit(s)	
10(p)(p)	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(p)(p)	March 10, 2008
10(q)(q)	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(q)(q)	March 10, 2008
10(r)(r)	Form of Stock Option Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(r)(r)	March 10, 2008
10(s)(s)	Form of Special Performance-Based Cash Incentive Notification Letter.*	8-K	001-04423	10.1	May 20, 2008
10(t)(t)	Form of Option Agreement for Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(t)(t)	June 6, 2008
10(u)(u)	Form of Common Stock Payment Agreement for Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(u)(u)	June 6, 2008
11	None.				
12	Statement of Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.				
15	None.				
18-19	None.				
22-24	None.				
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.				
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.				

32 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

---

\*  
Indicates management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement.

Filed herewith.

Furnished herewith.

The registrant agrees to furnish to the Commission supplementally upon request a copy of (1) any instrument with respect to long-term debt not filed herewith as to which the total amount of securities authorized thereunder does not exceed 10 percent of the total assets of the registrant and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis and (2) any omitted schedules to any material plan of acquisition, disposition or reorganization set forth above.

QuickLinks

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES INDEX

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings (Unaudited)

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Item 6. Exhibits.

SIGNATURE

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES EXHIBIT INDEX