

MEADOW VALLEY CORP

Form DEF 14A

May 09, 2007

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT
SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION
Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)**

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

MEADOW VALLEY CORPORATION

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

PROXY STATEMENT FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

MATTERS TO BE VOTED ON AT THE ANNUAL MEETING

PROPOSAL NO. 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

PROPOSAL NO. 2 THE RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF SEMPLE, MARCHAL & COOPER, LLP AS THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM OF THE COMPANY FOR 2007

PROPOSAL NO. 3 SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL RELATING TO THE LIQUIDATION OF THE COMPANY'S INVESTMENT IN READY MIX, INC

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCK AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED ACCOUNTANTS

OTHER INFORMATION

OTHER BUSINESS

Table of Contents

**MEADOW VALLEY CORPORATION
4602 E. Thomas Road
Phoenix, Arizona 85018
Telephone: (602) 437-5400
NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS
TO BE HELD ON JUNE 11, 2007**

To the Shareholders of Meadow Valley Corporation:

The 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Meadow Valley Corporation, a Nevada corporation (the Company), will be held at 4602 East Thomas Road, Phoenix, Arizona, at 12:00 p.m., Arizona time, on June 11, 2007, for the following purposes:

1. To elect two Class C directors to serve for three-year terms;
2. To ratify the selection of Semple, Marchal & Cooper, LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Company for 2007;
3. To consider a proposal introduced by a shareholder to act in the most expeditious manner, consistent with effective tax considerations, to liquidate the Company's investment in Ready Mix, Inc. and distribute the proceeds to the Company's shareholders; and
4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

Details relating to the above matters are set forth in the attached Proxy Statement. All shareholders of record of the Company as of the close of business on April 4, 2007, will be entitled to notice of and to vote at such meeting or at any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Management of the Company cordially invites you to attend the annual meeting. Your attention is directed to the attached proxy statement for a discussion of the foregoing proposals and the reasons why the board of directors encourages you to vote for approval of proposals 1 and 2 and against proposal 3. A copy of the Company's 2006 Annual Report, which includes audited financial statements, was mailed with this notice and proxy statement to all shareholders of record on the record date.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF
DIRECTORS

/s/ Bradley E. Larson

Bradley E. Larson
Chief Executive Officer

May 9, 2007

IMPORTANT: IF YOU DO NOT PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING, YOU ARE URGED TO SIGN, DATE AND PROMPTLY RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY. A REPLY CARD IS ENCLOSED FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE. THE GIVING OF A PROXY WILL NOT AFFECT YOUR RIGHT TO VOTE IN PERSON IF YOU ATTEND THE MEETING.

Table of Contents

MEADOW VALLEY CORPORATION
4602 E. Thomas Road
Phoenix, Arizona 85018
Telephone: (602) 437-5400
PROXY STATEMENT FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS
TO BE HELD ON JUNE 11, 2007

This Proxy Statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Meadow Valley Corporation, a Nevada corporation (the Company), to be used at the 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Company (Annual Meeting) to be held at 4602 East Thomas Road, Phoenix, Arizona, at 12:00 p.m., Arizona time, on June 11, 2007, or at any adjournment or postponement thereof. The Company anticipates that this Proxy Statement and the accompanying form of proxy will be first mailed or given to shareholders of the Company on or about May 9, 2007.

Meeting Agenda

At the Annual Meeting, you will be asked to elect two Class C directors to serve for three-year terms, ratify the selection of Semple, Marchal & Cooper, LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Company for 2007, consider the shareholder proposal to act in the most expeditious manner, consistent with effective tax considerations, to liquidate the Company's investment in Ready Mix, Inc. and distribute the proceeds to the Company's shareholders (the Shareholder Proposal), and transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any postponement(s) or adjournment(s) thereof.

Record Versus Beneficial Ownership of Shares

If your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Corporate Stock Transfer, you are considered, with respect to those shares, the shareholder of record. If you are a shareholder of record, we sent our Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders, Proxy Statement, Proxy Card and Annual Report to Shareholders directly to you.

If your shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other holder of record, you are considered the beneficial owner of shares held in street name. In that case, our Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders, Proxy Statement, Proxy Card and Annual Report to Shareholders have been forwarded to you by your broker, bank or other holder of record who is considered, with respect to those shares, the shareholder of record. Your broker, bank or other holder of record will also send you instructions on how to vote. If you have not heard from your broker, bank or other holder of record who holds your stock, please contact them as soon as possible.

Record Date and Number of Votes

The record date for the 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders is April 4, 2007. If you were a shareholder of record at the close of business on April 4, 2007, you may vote at the Annual Meeting. At the close of business on the record date, there were 5,125,760 shares of our common stock, par value \$.001 per share (Common Stock), outstanding and entitled to vote and approximately 1,000 beneficial and shareholders of record. Each shareholder is entitled to one vote per share.

How You Can Vote

The shares represented by all proxies that are properly executed and submitted will be voted at the meeting in accordance with the instructions indicated thereon. Unless otherwise directed, votes will be cast (i) for the election of the nominees for directors hereinafter named; (ii) for the ratification of Semple, Marchal & Cooper, LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm; (iii) against the Shareholder Proposal; and (iv) to transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting. Shareholders who hold their shares in street name (i.e., in the name of a bank, broker or other record holder) must vote their shares in the manner prescribed by their brokers.

Table of Contents

Changing Your Vote

You can revoke your proxy at any time before it is exercised in one of three ways:

- (1) by delivering to the Secretary of the Company a written instrument of revocation bearing a date later than the date of the proxy.
- (2) by duly executing and delivering to the Secretary of the Company a subsequent proxy relating to the same shares.
- (3) by attending the meeting and voting in person, provided that the shareholder notifies the Secretary at the meeting of his or her intention to vote in person at any time prior to the voting of the proxy.

Required Votes

A plurality of votes cast by shareholders who are either present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the meeting is required to elect the two Class C nominees for Director under Proposal 1. Approval of Proposals 2 and 3 requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present and entitled to vote on these proposals at the Annual Meeting. The total number of votes that could be cast at the meeting is the number of votes actually cast plus the number of abstentions. Abstentions are counted as shares present at the meeting for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists and have the effect of a vote against any matter as to which a specific proportion of affirmative votes is required for approval. Proxies submitted by brokers that do not indicate a vote for some or all of the proposals because they do not have discretionary voting authority and have not received instructions as to how to vote on these proposals (so-called broker non-votes) are counted for the purpose of determining the presence of or absence of a quorum but are not counted for determining the number of votes cast for or against a proposal.

Dissenter's Rights or Appraisal

Pursuant to applicable Nevada law, there are no dissenter's or appraisal rights relating to the matters to be acted upon at the Annual Meeting.

Other Matters to Be Acted Upon at the Meeting

We do not know of any matters other than the election of directors, the ratification of independent registered public accounting firm and the Shareholder Proposal that are expected to be presented for consideration at the Annual Meeting. If any other matters are properly presented at the meeting, the shares represented by proxies will be voted in accordance with the judgment of the persons voting those shares.

Table of Contents

**MATTERS TO BE VOTED ON AT THE ANNUAL MEETING
PROPOSAL NO. 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

The Company's By-Laws provide for directors with staggered terms of office, to be divided as equally as possible. Nominees of each class of directors serve for terms of three years (unless a nominee is changing to a different class) and until election and qualification of their successors or until their resignation, death, disqualification or removal from office.

The Board of Directors currently consists of five members, including two Class A Directors whose terms expire in 2009, one Class B Director whose term expires in 2008, and two Class C Directors whose terms expire in 2007. At the Annual Meeting, the two Class C Directors are to be elected to three-year terms expiring in 2010. The three nominees receiving a plurality of votes by shares represented at the Annual Meeting, if a quorum is present, will be elected as Directors of the Company.

The nominees for the Class C Directors are Charles R. Norton and Bradley E. Larson, both of whom presently serve on the Board of Directors.

Recommendation of the Board

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR the election of the nominees for Director.

Cumulative voting is not permitted for the election of directors. In the absence of instructions to the contrary, the person named in the accompanying proxy will vote in favor of the election of each of the persons named below as the Company's nominees for directors of the Company. Each of the nominees has consented to be named herein and to serve if elected. It is not anticipated that any nominee will become unable or unwilling to accept nomination or election, but if such should occur, the person named in the proxy intends to vote for the election in his stead of such person as the Board of Directors of the Company may recommend. Biographical information concerning the director nominees is set forth beginning at page 20 of this Proxy Statement.

PROPOSAL NO. 2 THE RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF SEMPLE, MARCHAL & COOPER, LLP AS THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM OF THE COMPANY FOR 2007.

Semple, Marchal & Cooper, LLP has been selected by the Audit Committee as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2007. The Board of Directors recommends to the shareholders the ratification of the selection of Semple, Marchal & Cooper, LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, to audit the financial statements of the Company. Unless otherwise specified, the proxies solicited herein will be voted in favor of the ratification of Semple, Marchal & Cooper, LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Company for 2007.

Ratification of the appointment of Semple, Marchal & Cooper, LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2007 will require the affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the outstanding Common Stock represented in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting. All of the directors and executive officers of the Company have advised the Company that they will vote their shares of Common Stock **FOR** the ratification of the appointment of Semple, Marchal & Cooper, LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2007. If the holders of at least a majority of the outstanding Common Stock fail to ratify the appointment of Semple, Marchal & Cooper, LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, the Audit Committee will consider such failure at a subsequent meeting of the Audit Committee and determine, in its discretion, what actions it should take, if any.

Recommendation of the Board

The board recommends a vote FOR the ratification of Semple, Marchal & Cooper, LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL NO. 3 SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL RELATING TO THE LIQUIDATION OF THE COMPANY'S INVESTMENT IN READY MIX, INC.

One of the Company's shareholders has given notice of his intention to introduce the following proposal for consideration and action by the shareholders at the Annual Meeting. The proposed resolution and accompanying supporting statement has been provided by the shareholder/proponent. The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Common Stock present or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting is required for approval of the proposal.

This shareholder proposal is submitted by Walter Schenker of TCMP3 Partners at 7 Century Drive, Suite 201, Parsippany, New Jersey 07054. Mr. Schenker has represented to the Company that TCMP3 Partners has held at least \$2,000.00 in market value of the Company's securities for the past year and intends to hold at least \$2,000.00 in the Company's securities through the 2007 annual meeting.

Resolved, the Board of Directors and management should act in the most expeditious manner, consistent with effective tax considerations, to liquidate the investment in Ready Mix, Inc. and distribute the proceeds (cash, stock or other financial instruments) to Meadow Valley shareholders.

Shareholder Supporting Statement:

There is no business synergy between Meadow Valley Corp. and Ready Mix Inc. Management has taken the first step in realizing value by creating Ready Mix as a public company. TCMP3 Partners believes the current environment of active acquisition activity in the concrete industry provides an outstanding window to complete the process of creating additional shareholder value. Furthermore, based on the 2006 equity raise (which diluted Meadow Valley shareholders' proportional ownership of Ready Mix) Meadow Valley now has the financial strength to grow its business based solely on its own balance sheet.

Your Directors' Position:

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote AGAINST adoption of this proposal for the following reasons:

The Board of Directors believes it is currently in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders to retain its investment in Ready Mix, Inc. (RMI) and that the proposed liquidation of its investment in RMI would significantly and adversely affect shareholder value in the Company by materially reducing the Company's revenue, profitability and its ability to compete for contracts. Additionally, the Company's investment in RMI is subject to pledge agreements whereby the Company's RMI investment serves as collateral for obtaining substantial bonding, and borrowing capacity, the absence of which would be materially detrimental to the value of the Company.

Prior to RMI's initial public offering in 2005, it was a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. The Company has retained stock in RMI as a strategic business decision and management of the Company evaluates the use of that asset in its ongoing day to day management of the Company. The retention of RMI stock plays a significant role in the day to day operations of the Company because (1) the RMI stock owned by the Company is pledged as collateral and used to support and increase the Company's bonding capacity (surety credit provided by an insurance company guaranteeing the Company's completion of work and payment of bills) when making bids for contracts, (2) RMI provides a significant amount of revenue and profit to the Company and (3) the RMI stock is pledged to the Company's primary lender as collateral under the Company's credit lines.

Negative Effect of an RMI Stock Sale on Company Bonding Capacity, Revenue and Profits. A significant portion of the Company's current bonding capacity is provided because the RMI stock has been pledged as collateral. The Board of Directors believes that a sale of the RMI stock would significantly reduce the Company's bonding capacity and materially and adversely affect the size and number of projects on which the Company could bid.

The Company's bonding company has provided the Company with a letter that states, in part, that it considers the Ready Mix, Inc. asset of Meadow Valley Corporation to be a key material asset factored in establishing surety credit for Meadow Valley Contractors, Inc.

Table of Contents

If the shareholder proposal was executed, the Board of Directors believes that the Company's bonding capacity would be materially reduced and the Company's ability to compete for new contracts would be so severely compromised so as to likely render it incapable of sustaining itself at an operating level commensurate with being a public company with its remaining assets. The Board of Directors believes executing this shareholder proposal would, with a high degree of likelihood, lead to a significant reduction in the Company's revenue and net income. In 2006, RMI accounted for 43% of the Company's revenue and 48% of the Company's gross profit. The Board of Directors believes that the Company's current total bonding capacity of \$200 million could likely decrease to a total capacity of between approximately \$50-\$75 million, thus, the Board of Directors believes it is reasonable to expect the revenue generating capacity of the remaining assets would be reduced by approximately 50-75%, which would have likely had the affect of shrinking 2006 total revenue to an unaudited pro forma total revenue of between approximately \$55-\$75 million from \$195.5 million, or a revenue reduction of between approximately 62-72%.

In addition to the material adverse result the loss of bonding capacity would have on the Company, the Board of Directors believes that such a sale simply cannot be made for some time. The Company's obligations under the terms of the pledge agreements entered into to obtain its surety credit require that the Company eliminate all of the liabilities secured by the bonds before it can sell the RMI stock that has been pledged to secure the bonds, unless the bonding company consents to the sale of the stock. The Board of Directors believes that it is highly unlikely that the bonding company will agree to any reduction of the collateral. Based on the currently existing bonds, the Board of Directors believes that it would take more than one year to eliminate such liabilities. During that time, the Company would be unable to compete for any new contracts secured by the RMI stock.

The Board of Directors believes that the probable reduction in the value of the Company after a sale of the RMI stock would negate any short term gain to shareholders that might be obtained by the sale of the stock. In addition, while the Board of Directors has not quantified the tax implications of a RMI sale, it believes that these tax implications, including corporate taxes to be paid upon the sale of the stock and income taxes to be paid by each shareholder for the dividend, would likely reduce any possible shareholder gain even further. For these reasons, the Board of Directors believes that the liquidation of the Company's RMI stock would result in an overall reduction in shareholder value as well as a reduction in the value of the Company as a whole.

The Company's Two Complimentary Segments, Services and Materials, Protect the Company from Industry Sector or Operational Downturns. Currently, the Board of Directors believes that the Company is better able to protect itself from swings in certain sectors of the construction industry or unexpected operational impacts through the diversification offered by its two lines of different, yet complimentary businesses. A sale of RMI would likely end this mutually beneficial protection and expose both companies and their shareholders to certain sector or operational downturns from time to time. For example, the Board of Directors believes that what the Company was able to accomplish in the second half of 2006 to have the services segment offset declines in the materials segment was directly connected to the services segment's ability to capture new work with its added bonding capacity. Much of the increase in bonding capacity during 2006 was directly related to the value of the stock pledged as collateral following RMI's IPO at the end of 2005.

The Board of Directors believes that the Company's historical performance has demonstrated the support that one segment or the other has provided during periods where the other segment's performance was negatively impacted for one reason or another. For example, the decline in the housing sector caused a noticeable shift in the Company's business from the first half to the second half of 2006. This shift illustrates the importance of the Company's diversification away from sole dependence upon either the services or the materials segment alone. As found in the Company's periodic reports, from the first half to the second half of 2006, revenue increased approximately 7.9% due to a 24.3% increase from the services segment, which helped offset the materials segment's decline of 11.4%. Consolidated gross profit during the same comparable period increased approximately 2.9%, but this increase resulted from a 51.9% increase in gross profit from the services segment that offset a 33.0% decline in the materials segment gross profit. On the other hand, in fiscal year 2004, the materials segment mitigated the impact of the services segment's operational downturn by generating \$6.6 million in gross profit compared to \$.3 million in gross profit from the services segment. The Board of Directors believes that the current benefits of this diversification are in the best interests of the Company's shareholders and that the effects of the shareholder proposal would materially diminish the

Company's ability to withstand the effects of downturns of a particular segment of the Company or construction industry sector.

Table of Contents

Ready Mix Stock is Pledged as Collateral for the Company's Borrowings. Similar to the pledge to the Company's surety provider, RMI stock is also pledged as collateral to obtain borrowing capacity from the Company's primary lender. The Board of Directors believes the loss of this collateral would materially and unfavorably alter not only the terms available to the Company for borrowing, but also the level of borrowing capacity. The Board of Directors believes that the loss of pledged RMI stock would result in an increase in the Company's cost of capital, a material decrease in the Company's borrowing ability, and a material negative impact on the value of the Company to its shareholders.

The Board of Directors Should Have Flexibility to Control a Sale, if any. If, in the future, the Board of Directors determines that it is in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders to liquidate its investment in RMI, it should have the flexibility to control both the timing of such a sale and the use of any proceeds. For example, the Board of Directors may decide in the future to affect such a sale but may decide to reinvest the proceeds of a sale into acquiring another company, building Meadow Valley's existing operations, or entering into a new product area.

The Board of Directors Believes it is Currently in the Best Interests of the Company and its Shareholders to Retain the Investment in Ready Mix, Inc.

Accordingly, the Board of Directors recommends that you vote **AGAINST** this Shareholder Proposal.

PROXIES SOLICITED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS WILL BE SO VOTED UNLESS SHAREHOLDERS SPECIFY OTHERWISE IN THEIR PROXIES.

Table of Contents**CORPORATE GOVERNANCE****Director Independence**

We are authorized by our Bylaws to maintain a Board of Directors comprised of a minimum of three directors and no more than nine directors. Our Board currently consists of five directors, three of whom, Messrs. Norton, Patterson and Cowan, are independent as defined under rules promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and the Nasdaq Capital Market. In accordance with the independence standards of the Nasdaq Capital Market, a director must be determined to have no personal, professional, familial or other relationship with our company other than as a director. Our directors are elected for three year terms, or until an earlier resignation, death or removal. There are no family relationships among any of our directors or officers.

Board of Directors and Board Committees

The Board of Directors held four regularly scheduled meetings during the last full fiscal year and three special meetings. No director attended less than 75% of the aggregate of such meetings and meetings held by committees of the Board on which he served. We do not have a formal policy regarding attendance by members of the Board of Directors at our annual meeting of shareholders, but strongly encourage directors to attend. All members of our Board of Directors attended the 2006 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

The standing committees of the Board are as follows: Audit, Nominating and Governance, and Compensation Committee. The Board has adopted a charter for its Audit Committee and its Nominating and Governance Committee, which are available on our website at www.meadowvalley.com by following the link Investor Information. The Compensation Committee is not operating under a written charter. Shareholders may also receive a free copy of the committee charters by sending a written request to 4602 E. Thomas Road, Phoenix, Arizona 85018, Attention: Secretary, or calling (602) 437-5400.

Members of the Company's Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Governance Committee are footnoted below.

Name	Positions and Offices with the Company
Charles E. Cowan (1) (2) (3)	Director
Charles R. Norton (1) (2) (3)	Director
Don A. Patterson (1) (2) (3)	Director
Bradley E. Larson	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
Kenneth D. Nelson	Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer and Director

(1) Member of the
Compensation
Committee

(2) Member of the
Audit
Committee

(3) Member of the
Nominating and
Governance
Committee

The Audit Committee

Our Audit Committee consists of Messrs. Patterson, Cowan and Norton. Mr. Patterson is our Audit Committee Chairman and has been determined by the Board to be a financial expert as defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission. In the opinion of the Board and as independent is defined under current standards of the Nasdaq Capital Market, these directors are independent of management and free of any relationship that would interfere with their exercise of independent judgment as a member of this committee. The Audit Committee reviews in detail and

recommends approval by the Board of our annual and quarterly financial statements, recommends approval of the remuneration of our auditors to the Board, reviews the scope of the audit procedures and the final audit report prepared by our auditors and reviews our overall accounting practices, procedures and internal controls with our auditors.

Table of Contents

The Nominating and Governance Committee

The Nominating and Governance Committee is currently comprised of Messrs. Cowan, Norton and Patterson, our independent directors. Mr. Cowan is our Nominating and Governance Committee Chairman. The committee met four times during fiscal 2006. The purpose of the Nominating and Governance Committee is as follows:

identify, consider and nominate candidates for membership on the Board, including any nominees properly received by the Secretary of the Corporation from any shareholder;

develop, recommend and evaluate corporate governance guidelines and a code of business conduct and ethics applicable to the Company;

make recommendations regarding the structure and composition of the Board and Board committees; and

advise the Board on corporate governance matters.

All members of our Nominating and Governance Committee are independent, as defined under applicable law and the listing standards for companies traded on the Nasdaq Capital Market. Nominations of candidates for election as Directors may be made by the Board of Directors upon recommendation by the Nominating and Governance Committee, or by shareholders. Shareholders may nominate candidates for election as directors if they follow the procedures and conform to the deadlines specified in our By-laws. For more information, see the disclosure in our Proxy Statement for our 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders under the caption Shareholder Proposals for the 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the SEC and mailed to our shareholders on approximately May 9, 2007.

In evaluating the suitability of potential nominees for membership on the Board, the Nominating and Governance Committee will consider the Board's current composition, including expertise, diversity, and balance of inside, outside and independent directors, and consider the general qualifications of the potential nominees, such as:

Unquestionable integrity and honesty;

The ability to exercise sound, mature and independent business judgment in the best interests of the shareholders as a whole;

Recognized leadership in business or professional activity;

A background and experience that will complement the talents of the other Board members;

Willingness and capability to take the time to actively participate in Board and Committee meetings and related activities;

Ability to work professionally and effectively with other Board members and the Company's management;

An age to enable the Director to remain on the Board long enough to make an effective contribution; and

Lack of realistic possibilities of conflict of interest or legal prohibition.

The Committee will also see that all necessary and appropriate inquiries are made into the backgrounds of such candidates. Other than the foregoing, there are no stated minimum criteria for director nominees, although the Nominating and Governance Committee may also consider such other factors as it may deem to be in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders.

The Compensation Committee on Executive Compensation

Our Compensation Committee consists of Messrs. Patterson, Cowan and Norton. Mr. Norton is our Compensation Committee Chairman. The purpose of the Compensation Committee is to determine the compensation to be paid to the Company's executive officers, and to approve any incentive compensation plans. The Compensation Committee

will recommend approval to the Board of the compensation of our executive officers, the annual compensation budget for all other employees, bonuses, grants of stock options and any changes to our benefit plans. The committee met four times during fiscal 2006.

Table of Contents**Shareholder Communications with Directors**

Shareholders may communicate with any and all members of the Company's Board of Directors by transmitting correspondence by mail or facsimile addressed to one or more directors by name or, for a communication to the entire board, to the President at the following address and fax number: Meadow Valley Corporation, 4602 E. Thomas Road, Phoenix, Arizona 85018; main, (602) 437-5400; facsimile, (602) 437-1681.

Communications from our shareholders to one or more directors will be collected and organized by our Corporate Secretary under procedures adopted by our independent directors. The Corporate Secretary will forward all communications to the President or to the identified director(s) as soon as practicable, although communications that are abusive, in bad taste or that present safety or security concerns may be handled differently. If multiple communications are received on a similar topic, the Corporate Secretary may, in his direction, forward only representative correspondence.

The President will determine whether any communication addressed to the entire Board of Directors should be properly addressed by the entire Board of Directors or a committee thereof. If a communication is sent to the Board of Directors or a committee, the Chairman of the Board or the chairman of that committee, as the case may be, will determine whether a response to the communication is warranted. If a response to the communication is warranted, the content and method of the response may be coordinated with our counsel.

Other Corporate Governance Policies

We have adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to all of our directors and senior management. Amendments to and waivers, if any, from our Code of Ethics will be disclosed on our website. Our Code of Ethics is available on our website at www.meadowvalley.com by following the links Investor Information Code of Ethics. Shareholders may also receive a free copy of this document by sending a written request to 4602 E. Thomas Road, Phoenix, Arizona 85018, Attention: Secretary, or calling (602) 437-5400.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

We use a combination of cash and long-term equity incentive awards to compensate members of our board of directors. The following table provides information regarding compensation earned in 2006 by each individual who served as a nonemployee member of our board of directors in 2006.

Name (1)	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (2)	Stock Awards	Option Awards (3)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred		Total
					Compensation Earnings	All Other Compensation	
Charles E. Cowan	\$13,000		\$ 48,100				\$ 61,100
Charles R. Norton	13,000		48,100				61,100
Don A. Patterson	13,000		48,100				61,100
	\$39,000		\$144,300				\$183,300

(1) Bradley E.
Larson,
President and
Chief Executive

Officer, and Kenneth D. Nelson, Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer, are not included in this table as they are employees of our Company and receive no additional compensation for their service as directors. Their compensation is shown in the Summary Compensation Table.

- (2) Directors receive \$7,000 per year for being a member of the Board of Directors and \$2,000 for each committee upon which they serve and are reimbursed for out-of-pocket expenses. The above amounts do not include out-of-pocket expenses. Directors who are employed by the Company are not compensated for their service on the Board.
- (3) Directors are entitled to participate in

our equity incentive plan, and each director was issued 10,000 stock options on November 30, 2006, for their service on the Board during 2006. This column represents the aggregate dollar amount of the awards granted in 2006. Therefore, the values shown here are not representative of the amounts that may eventually be realized by a director. Pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, we have provided a grant date fair value for Stock Awards in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), Share-based Payments. At December 31, 2006, there were no restricted stock units, deferred stock units or dividend

equivalent units
accumulated in
any director
accounts.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION
Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview of Executive Compensation Program

The Compensation Committee of Meadow Valley Corporation's Board is responsible for assisting the Board in defining and overseeing Meadow Valley's general compensation practices. During fiscal 2006, the Compensation Committee engaged FMI Management Consulting to assist it in evaluating executive compensation matters and to revise the executive compensation package for the fiscal year ending 2007.

The persons who served as our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer during 2006, as well as other individuals named in our Summary Compensation Table for 2006, are referred to as the named executive officers through this Proxy Statement.

Executive Summary

As discussed in more detail below, the following actions concerning the compensation of Meadow Valley Corporation's named executive officers were taken for fiscal 2006:

Clint Tryon resigned as Principal Accounting Officer, Secretary and Treasurer and was appointed Chief Financial Officer, Principal Accounting Officer, Secretary and Treasurer of the Company's majority owned subsidiary Ready Mix, Inc.

David D. Doty was appointed Chief Financial Officer, Principal Accounting Officer, Secretary and Treasurer.

Bradley E. Larson, Kenneth D. Nelson, Alan A. Terril and David D. Doty received incentives pursuant to the Company's Annual Non-Equity Incentive Plan (the Incentive Plan).

Clint Tryon received incentives pursuant to Meadow Valley Corporation's Incentive Plan as it related to the portion of 2006 spent as Principal Accounting Officer of the Company and the subsequent subsidiary transition.

Bradley E. Larson, Kenneth D. Nelson, Alan A. Terril, David D. Doty and Clint Tryon, each received 10,000 stock options issued from the Company's equity incentive plan (the Equity Incentive Plan).

Oversight of Executive Compensation Program and Role of Executive Officers in Compensation Decisions

While the Compensation Committee approves all aspects of our executive compensation program, it reports to the full Board of Directors on a regular basis and seeks approval for certain actions. The Committee coordinates with its consultants and management to obtain marketplace and internal data analyses, project reports and program recommendations to assist the Committee in making executive compensation decisions. Our Chief Executive Officer and, in some cases, other executive officers make recommendations to the Committee with respect to various elements of executive compensation.

Compensation Objectives and Philosophy

The Company's primary objectives when determining compensation for its named executive officers are to:
set levels of annual salary, non-equity incentives and equity compensation that are competitive and that will attract and retain superior executives, taking into account the difficult industry conditions and competitive environment that the Company faces,

incorporate a performance-based component to executive compensation by linking the incentive compensation to the Company's financial and operational performance, and

provide long-term equity-based compensation, thereby further aligning the interests of the Company's executives with those of its other stockholders.

These objectives are designed to reward each executive's (1) past individual performance and contribution to the Company's corporate performance, (2) level and scope of responsibility and experience, and (3) ability to influence the Company's future growth and profitability.

Table of Contents**Components of 2006 Executive Compensation**

Our executive compensation has three main parts: a salary paid in cash, an annual non-equity cash incentive plan, in which payment is contingent on the financial performance of the Company, and a long-term equity incentive that the Company provides through the award of options to purchase the Company's common stock. The salary component is intended to reward executives for their current, day-to-day work. The cash incentive bonus is intended to be a reward for the executive's contribution to the financial success of the Company in a given year. Awards of equity are intended to create longer-term incentive for the executive to remain with the Company since the benefit is realized, if the Company is successful, over a multi-year period similar to our shareholders.

Annual Salary

Because an executive's annual salary is meant to reflect his value to the Company on a day-to-day basis, it is a fixed, predetermined element of his compensation. When the Compensation Committee reviews the level of an executive's salary for a possible increase at the end of the term of his employment agreement or annual basis if no employment agreement is in place, that review is based on two main factors: his prior salary and the salary range of executives in comparable companies at a comparable level of responsibility. The Compensation Committee members take an executive's prior salary into account because they believe that it reflects the assessment of prior boards and/or compensation committees of the executive's value to the Company. Compensation levels of comparable companies are obtained from industry trade publications, management consulting firms such as FMI Management Consulting and other public companies similar to our size and industry. In addition, the Compensation Committee provides their knowledge of construction industry compensation levels gained in the course of the work each independent director performs in their daily business activity.

Non-Equity Cash Incentive Plan

The level of compensation that an executive can earn under the Company's cash incentive plan is based on the Company's attainment of financial success when compared to a predetermined amount, which the Board of Directors has approved in advance. Financial performance that exceeds expectations can enable the Company's management team including its CEO, CFO, Vice-Presidents and other management personnel to earn a cash incentive. The amount of the cash incentive earned in a given year, if any, is derived from a formula based principally on the Company's income before tax, by the manager's primary geographic area of responsibility. The amount of income before tax for each year must be approved by the Board of Directors, which has a majority of directors who are not employees of the Company and are independent. The cash incentive bonus plan has a discretionary element. In exercising this discretion, members of the Compensation Committee use their personal judgment of appropriate amounts after taking into account information about the executive's work during the year, his past compensation, his current cash incentive amount, his perceived contribution to the Company generally, his level of responsibility, and any notable individual achievements or failings in the year in question.

The Compensation Committee authorizes the payment of incentive amounts and, if applicable, makes any decisions on discretionary amounts, when the results for the year in question are known, typically in late February of the following year. Bonuses for 2006 were approved in March, 2007. The *Summary Compensation Table for 2006*, below, shows the total 2006 cash incentive amounts of each named executive officer.

Equity Incentive Plan

The award of an option to buy the Company's common stock is a long-term element of compensation since on the date of the award, the exercise price, or purchase price, of the shares subject to the option is the same as the price of those shares on the open market. The recipient of a stock option will only realize its value if the market price of the shares increases over the life of the option, the award gives the executive an incentive to remain with the Company and aligns his interests with those of our shareholders.

The Company calculates the value of a stock option award on the date of its grant under accounting requirements that involve the use of a complex formula consisting of estimates about the Company, its stock price and the likelihood of the option holder forfeiting the stock option. Beginning in fiscal year 2006, recording stock option awards as an expense was required of all companies under Financial Accounting Standard No. 123 (revised 2004), or FAS 123R. The dollar amount shown in the *Summary Compensation Table for 2006*, below, for stock option awards is the value of the options computed under FAS 123R.

Table of Contents

In determining the size of a stock option award, the Compensation Committee takes into account both the value of the award to the recipient and the corresponding accounting cost to the Company.

Other Compensation

The only other forms of compensation for our executive officers are the personal use of company vehicles, disability and term life insurance and other benefits made available to all salaried employees and the perquisites shown in the *Summary Compensation Table for 2006*, below, in the column labeled *All Other Compensation*. A detailed description of the perquisites is shown in footnote 3 to the table. The payment of Messrs. Larson, Terril and Nelson's term life insurance premiums is a benefit that has been provided to them by the Company for many years and was established when the Company determined to carry a key officer life policy on each of the executives in the same amounts as the policy provided each officer. This benefit is also applicable to Mr. Doty; however, no amounts were paid in 2006 for term life insurance on his behalf.

Overall Compensation Levels

As with salary, the Company attempts to provide its executives with a total compensation package that is comparable to their peers in the industry and that the members of the Compensation Committee believe in their personal judgment based on their business experience is fair and appropriate for the executive's level of responsibility and contribution to the Company. The Compensation Committee, which consists of only our independent members of the Board of Directors, makes the final determination of the compensation of the named executive officers. However, the Committee discusses their compensation recommendations for each executive officer with the Chief Executive Officer in advance of making a decision.

Employment Agreements

Messrs. Larson, Doty, Terril and Nelson currently are employed by the Company through employment agreements. In November 2006, the Company entered into three-year employment agreements with Messrs. Larson, Doty and Nelson providing for an annual base salary. These individuals are also eligible to receive incentive amounts based upon performance as determined by our Compensation Committee and approved by our Board of Directors. In the event the Company terminates any of these individuals without cause (as defined in the agreements) or the Company is the subject of a change of control, all options held by the individual will vest and the Company will be required to pay compensation to these individuals for the remaining terms of the agreements.

Compensation of the Chief Executive Officer

Employment Agreement and Compensation Elements

Mr. Larson's 2006 compensation was determined according to the above compensation components per his applicable employment agreement. Amounts paid to or due to Mr. Larson for 2006 compensation are shown below in the *Summary Compensation Table for 2006*.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based on our review and discussions with management, we have recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

Submitted by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors:

Charles R. Norton

Don A. Patterson

Charles E. Cowan

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in any of our previous filings under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that incorporates future filings, including this Proxy Statement, in whole or in part, the foregoing Compensation Committee Report shall not be incorporated by reference into any such filings.

Table of Contents

The Securities and Exchange Commission recently adopted enhanced executive compensation disclosure requirements for public companies. As a result, the following disclosure regarding the compensation of our executive officers and directors will be somewhat different in content and format from previous years.

Summary Compensation Table for 2006

The following table provides information regarding the total compensation earned in 2006 by the named executive officers, which include the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Administrative Officer, who are the only other executive officers whose compensation for 2006 exceeded \$100,000. The named executive officers who also serve on the board of directors are not compensated for their services rendered to the Board of Directors. The Company pays compensation to these executive officers according to the terms of their employment agreements or as determined by the Compensation Committee when no employment agreement is in place. The amounts include any compensation that was deferred by the executive through contributions to his defined contribution plan account under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. All amounts are rounded to the nearest dollar.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards(1) (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation(2) (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation(3)	All Other Compensation(3) (\$)	Total (\$)
							Nonqualified Deferred Compensation(3) (\$)		
Bradley E. Larson, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	2006	250,000			48,100	363,785		15,889	677,774
David D. Doty, Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) Secretary and Treasurer	2006	140,000			48,100	216,664		7,500	412,264
Clint Tryon, Former Principal Accounting Officer, Secretary and Treasurer	2006				48,100	88,200			136,300

Alan A. Terril, Vice-President and Chief Operating Officer	2006	150,000	48,100	230,400	10,935	439,435
Kenneth D. Nelson, Vice-President and Chief Administrative Officer	2006	140,000	48,100	216,664	14,875	419,639

(1) This column represents the aggregate dollar amount of the awards granted in 2006. Therefore, the values shown here are not representative of the amounts that may eventually be realized by an executive. Pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, we have provided a grant date fair value for option awards in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), Share-based Payments. For option awards, the fair value is estimated as of the date of grant using the

Black-Scholes option pricing model, which requires the use of certain assumptions, including the risk-free interest rate, dividend yield, volatility and expected term. The risk-free interest rate is based on the yield at the date of grant of a U.S. Treasury security with a maturity period equal to or approximating the option's expected term. The dividend yield assumption is based on our historical dividend payouts, which is zero. The volatility assumption is based on the historical volatility of our common stock over a period equal to the option's expected term or trading stock's trading history whichever is shorter. The expected term of options granted is based on expectations about future exercises and

represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding.

(2) The non-equity incentive plan payments were made on March 9, 2007. See discussion of non-equity incentive plans under the heading Compensation Discussion and Analysis above. None of the named executive officers elected to defer their 2006 non-equity incentive plan payment.

(3) Includes company-paid disability and life insurance premiums, and defined contribution plan payments for the fiscal year ended 2006, as set forth in the following table:

Name	Company Paid Disability Insurance	Company Paid Life Insurance	Defined Contribution Plan	Total
Bradley E. Larson	\$ 7,744	\$ 645	\$7,500	\$15,889
David D. Doty	\$	\$	\$7,500	\$ 7,500
Alan A. Terril	\$ 1,905	\$ 1,530	\$7,500	\$10,935

Kenneth D. Nelson	\$ 6,620	\$ 755	\$7,500	\$14,875
-------------------	----------	--------	---------	----------

Table of Contents**Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2006**

The following table provides information regarding cash incentive awards and options granted under our equity incentive plan to the named executive officers in 2006.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)(1)	Maximum (\$)(2)	Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)		
Bradley E. Larson	11/30/2006	\$37,500	\$363,785	N/A				10,000	\$ 10.11
David D. Doty	11/30/2006	\$21,000	\$216,664	N/A				10,000	\$ 10.11
Clint Tryon	11/30/2006	\$	\$ 88,200	N/A				10,000	\$ 10.11
Alan A. Terril	11/30/2006	\$22,500	\$230,400	N/A				10,000	\$ 10.11
Kenneth D. Nelson	11/30/2006	\$21,000	\$216,664	N/A				10,000	\$ 10.11

(1) The non-equity incentive plan payments were made on March 9, 2007 and related to the achievement of specified financial performance objectives, as discussed under the heading Compensation Discussion and Analysis above.

(2) No individual maximum is

applicable since the payments were made under the Non-Equity Incentive Plan, which has no individual cap.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table provides information regarding all outstanding equity awards held by the named executive officers as of December 31, 2006. The Company did not issue any stock awards during fiscal 2006.

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Awards (1) Equity Incentive Plan Awards:		
			Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)(2)	Option Expiration Date
Bradley E. Larson, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	7,000			5.8750	04/16/2008
	7,000			3.8750	10/21/2009
	33,334			1.4600	11/19/2013
			10,000	10.1100	11/30/2011
David D. Doty, Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) Secretary and Treasurer	2,500		5,000	9.3800	11/01/2010
			10,000	10.1100	11/30/2011
Clint Tryon, Former Principal Accounting Officer Secretary and Treasurer			10,000	10.1100	11/30/2011
Alan A. Terril, Vice-President and Chief Operating Officer			10,000	10.1100	11/30/2011
Kenneth D. Nelson, Vice-President and Chief Administrative Officer	5,800			5.8750	04/16/2008
	5,800			3.8750	10/21/2009
	20,000			2.4375	03/08/2011
	32,500			1.4600	11/19/2013
			10,000	10.1100	11/30/2011

(1)

Outstanding options vest in one-third increments on each anniversary date of grant.

- (2) Pursuant to the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, the exercise price for all outstanding options is based on the grant date fair market value, which is the market closing price of our Common Stock on the Nasdaq Capital Market on the date of grant.

Table of Contents**Option Exercises and Stock Vested in 2006**

The following table provides information regarding each exercise of stock options, if any, by the named executive officers in 2006.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)
Bradley E. Larson	25,000	\$ 143,375		\$
David D. Doty				
Clint Tryon	13,334	117,873		
Alan A. Terril	11,775	103,942		
Kenneth D. Nelson	15,000	87,825		

Retirement Plans**Pension Benefits**

During the fiscal year 2006 we did not have a pension benefit plan. We do not intend on implementing a pension plan in the near future.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

During the fiscal year 2006 we did not have a nonqualified deferred compensation plan. We do not intend on implementing a nonqualified deferred compensation plan in the near future.

Equity Incentive Plans***Meadow Valley Corporation Equity Incentive Plan:***

In 2005, the 2004 Plan was ratified by the shareholders. The 2004 Plan permits the granting of any or all of the following types of awards: (1) incentive and nonqualified stock options, (2) stock appreciation rights, (3) stock awards, restricted stock and stock units, and (4) other stock or cash-based awards. In connection with any award or any deferred award, payments may also be made representing dividends or their equivalent.

The 2004 Plan authorizes the issuance of up to 1,200,000 shares of Common Stock, all of which were previously reserved for issuance under the Company's prior plan. Shares of Common Stock covered by an award granted under the 2004 Plan will not be counted as used unless and until they are actually issued and delivered to a participant. Shares relating to awards granted under the 2004 Plan that are forfeited, settled for cash or otherwise terminated and shares withheld by or tendered to the Company in connection with the exercise of an option or other award granted under the 2004 Plan or in connection with the satisfaction of tax withholding obligations relating to awards or exercises of options or other awards are available for grant under the 2004 Plan. Awards made or adjusted to assume or convert awards in connection with acquisition transactions will not reduce the number of shares authorized for issuance under the 2004 Plan. The shares of stock deliverable under the 2004 Plan will consist of authorized and unissued shares. The plan administrator may adjust the aggregate number of shares or the awards under the plan in the event of a change affecting shares of Common Stock, such as stock dividends, recapitalization, reorganization or mergers.

The 2004 Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors which was comprised of non-employee directors. The 2004 Plan has no fixed termination date. The Company's Board of Directors or the committee may generally amend, alter, suspend, discontinue or terminate all or a portion of the 2004 Plan at any time, as long as the rights of a participant are not materially impaired without the participant's consent, subject to shareholder approval to the extent necessary to comply with applicable law, stock exchange rule or regulatory requirements or, as determined by the committee, to qualify with tax requirements.

Table of Contents

In 2006, we granted, under the 2004 Plan, an aggregate of 85,000 stock options to officers and directors at an exercise price of \$10.11.

We have reserved 1,200,000 shares of our common stock for issuance under the 2004 Plan. As of December 31, 2006, 90,149 shares were available for future grant under the 2004 Plan. The term of the stock options is five or ten years and may be exercised after issuance as follows: 33.3% after one year of continuous service, 66.6% after two years of continuous service and 100% after three years of continuous service. The exercise price of each option is equal to the market closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant.

Ready Mix, Inc. Equity Incentive Plan:

In January 2005, RMI adopted an equity incentive plan, which we refer to as RMI's Plan, which provides for the grant of options intended to qualify as incentive stock options and non-statutory stock options within the meaning of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, together with the grant of bonus stock and stock appreciation rights at the discretion of RMI's Board of Directors. Incentive stock options are issuable only to RMI's eligible officers, directors and key employees. Non-statutory stock options are issuable only to RMI's non-employee directors and consultants.

RMI's Plan is administered by RMI's Compensation Committee. Currently, RMI has 675,000 shares of common stock reserved for issuance under RMI's Plan. Under RMI's Plan, the Board of Directors determines which individuals shall receive options, grants or stock appreciation rights, the time period during which the rights may be exercised, the number of shares of common stock that may be purchased under the rights and the option price.

With respect to stock options, the per share exercise price of the common stock may not be less than the fair market value of the common stock on the date the option is granted. No person who owns, directly or indirectly, at the time of the granting of an incentive stock option, more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of our stock is eligible to receive incentive stock options under RMI's Plan unless the option price is at least 110% of the fair market value of the common stock subject to the option on the date of grant. The option price for non-statutory options is established by RMI's Board and may not be less than 100% of the fair market value of RMI's common stock subject to the option on the date of grant.

No options may be transferred by an optionee other than by will or the laws of descent and distribution, and during the lifetime of an optionee, the option may only be exercisable by the optionee. Options may be exercised only if the option holder remains continuously associated with us from the date of grant to the date of exercise, unless extended under RMI's Plan grant. Options under RMI's Plan have no expiration date and the exercise date of an option cannot be longer than 10 years from the date of grant, but can be shorter when established by RMI's plan administrator. Any options that expire unexercised or that terminate upon an optionee's ceasing to be employed by RMI become available once again for issuance. Shares issued upon exercise of an option rank equally with other shares then outstanding.

RMI has reserved 675,000 shares of common stock for issuance to officers, directors and employees under RMI's equity incentive plan described above. Options will be issued to employees and executive officers based on the recommendation of RMI's Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors according to the following:

employees holding positions of responsibility with RMI whose performance can have a significant effect on RMI's success; and

non-employee directors.

Currently, RMI has granted under RMI's Plan an aggregate of 348,125 stock options to officers, directors and employees at an exercise price from \$10.35 to \$12.50. From the grant date 33% of the options are exercisable after one year of continuous service to RMI, 66% after two years of continuous service and 100% after three years of continuous service.

Table of Contents**EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION**

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2006 regarding compensation plans (including individual compensation arrangements) under which equity securities of the Company are authorized for issuance.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	434,542	4.86	90,149
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	434,542		90,149

RMI also maintains an equity compensation plan as follows:

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders (1)(2)	466,875	11.47	324,375

Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders

Total	466,875	324,375
-------	---------	---------

(1) Includes an individual compensation agreement for 116,250 warrants issued to RMI s underwriters as a portion of their compensation in connection with RMI s initial public offering.

(2) Includes 350,625 stock options issued to employees, directors and consultants from RMI s 2005 equity incentive plan.

Table of Contents**CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS**

During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company sold its minority interest in a related party, LAM Contracting, LLC (LAM) to LAM 's majority owner. During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company provided construction materials to LAM in the amount of \$152,630. Included in accounts receivable at December 31, 2005 was \$15,146 that was due from the related party.

LAM provided materials, services and equipment used in the Company 's construction service business during the year ended December 31, 2005 in the amount of \$7,740. At December 31, 2005 there were no liabilities due to related parties from subcontracts and supplies.

In January 2005, we entered into a three-year Administrative Services Agreement with Ready Mix, Inc. (RMI), our 53% owned subsidiary. Under the terms of the agreement, RMI pays us \$22,000 per month for all such administrative services including the time of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Administrative Officer who perform similar services for RMI. Notwithstanding the agreement, each company has its own separate field facilities, operating management and employees.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCK AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

The Compensation Committee currently consists of the following three members of the Board of Directors: Messrs. Cowan, Norton and Patterson. No member of this Committee was at any time during the Company 's 2006 fiscal year, or at any other time, an officer or employee of the Company.

No executive officer of the Company has served on the compensation committee of any other entity that has, or has had, one or more executive officers serving as a member of the Company 's Board of Directors.

Three of our independent Directors, Messrs. Cowan, Norton and Patterson, are also members of the Board of Directors of Ready Mix, Inc.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth information concerning the holdings of Common Stock by each person who, as of April 4, 2007, holds of record or is known by the Company to hold beneficially or of record, more than 5% of the Company 's Common Stock, by each director, named executive officer, and by all directors and executive officers as a group.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership (1)	Percent of Class (1)
Bradley E. Larson (2)	144,796	2.8%
Kenneth D. Nelson (3)	140,018	2.7%
Alan A. Terril	0	*
David D. Doty (4)	2,500	*
Don A. Patterson (5)	2,500	*
Charles E. Cowan	0	*
Charles R. Norton (6)	18,000	*
Cyrus W. Spurlino (7)	498,870	9.5%
North Atlantic Value LLP (8)	400,124	7.6%

Table of Contents

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership (1)	Percent of Class (1)
Kim A. Lewis, Trustee of Richard C. Lewis GST Marital Sub Trust and Kim A. Lewis Survivor s Trust (9)	392,000	7.5%
Praesidium Investment Management Company, LLC (10)	327,701	6.2%
Tontine Capital Partners, LP (11)	344,452	6.6%
Hoak Public Equities, LP (12)	273,924	5.2%
CD Capital Management LLC (13)	257,531	4.9%
All executive officers and directors as a group (7 persons)	307,814	5.9%

* Less than 1%.

(1) Beneficial ownership includes direct and indirect ownership of shares of our Common Stock, including rights to acquire beneficial ownership of shares upon the exercise of stock options exercisable as of April 4, 2007 and that would become exercisable within 60 days of such date. To our knowledge and unless otherwise indicated, each shareholder listed below has sole voting and investment power over the shares listed as beneficially owned by such shareholder,

subject to community property laws where applicable. Percentage of ownership is based on 5,125,760 shares of Common Stock outstanding as of April 4, 2007 and options exercisable within 60 days. Unless otherwise indicated, all shareholders listed below have an address in care of our principal executive offices which are located at 4602 E. Thomas Road, Phoenix, Arizona 85018.

- (2) Includes vested portion of stock options to purchase 47,334 shares of Common Stock.
- (3) Includes vested portion of stock options to purchase 64,100 shares of Common Stock.
- (4) Includes vested portion of stock options to purchase 2,500 shares of Common Stock.

- (5) Includes vested portion of stock options to purchase 2,500 shares of Common Stock.
- (6) Includes vested portion of stock options to purchase 18,000 shares of Common Stock.
- (7) Based solely on a Form 3 filed with the SEC on January 7, 2004. According to this filing, the address of this holder is 4005 Industrial Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89103.
- (8) Based solely on a Schedule 13D/A filed with the SEC on September 15, 2006. According to this filing, the address of this holder is Ryder Court, 14 Ryder Street, London SW1Y 6QB, England.
- (9) Based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on March 30, 2004. According to this filing, the address of this holder is 630

Butte Falls
Highway,
Prospect, Oregon
97536.

(10) Based solely on a Form 13F-HR filed with the SEC on February 14, 2007. According to this filing, the address of this holder is 747 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10017.

(11) Based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 14, 2006. According to this filing, the address of this holder is 55 Railroad Avenue, 3rd Floor, Greenwich, Connecticut 06830.

(12) Based solely on a Schedule 13D filed with the SEC on March 6, 2007. According to this filing, the address of this holder is 500 Crescent Court, Suite 230, Dallas, Texas 75201.

(13) Based solely on a Schedule 13D filed with the

SEC on
March 15, 2007.
According to this
filing, the
address of this
holder is 2 North
Riverside Plaza,
Suite 720,
Chicago, Illinois
60606.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our directors and executive officers and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of our Common Stock to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission initial reports of ownership and reports of change in their ownership of our Common Stock. Securities and Exchange Commission regulations require our directors, executive officers and greater than 10% shareholders to furnish us with copies of these reports. Based solely upon a review of such reports and related information furnished to us, we believe that, during the 2006 fiscal year, each person who served as a director or executive officer of our company or held more than 10% of our Common Stock complied with the Section 16(a) filing requirements.

Table of Contents**Executive Officers and Directors**

Information concerning our directors and executive officers is set forth below:

Name	Age	Position	Officer or Director Since
Bradley E. Larson	52	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director	1994
Alan A. Terril	66	Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	1993
Kenneth D. Nelson	49	Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer and Director	1993
David D. Doty	42	Chief Financial Officer, Principal Accounting Officer, Secretary and Treasurer	2006
Don A. Patterson	53	Director	2005
Charles R. Norton	65	Director	1999
Charles E. Cowan	60	Director	1995

Business Experience of Each Executive Officer and Director

Information concerning the directors and executive officers of the Company who serve until our 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders is set forth below:

Charles E. Cowan has been a director of the Company since November 1995. Since 1993, he has been President of Charles Cowan & Associates, Ltd. and has an extensive background in government and heavy construction industry consulting. From 1991 to 1993, he held CEO positions in Arizona's Department of Transportation and Department of Economic Security. He graduated with a Bachelor of Economics Degree from St. Martin's College in Olympia, Washington, and a Master's Degree in Public Administration from the University of Missouri at Kansas City, Missouri.

Charles R. Norton has been a director of the Company since March 1999. Since 1963, Mr. Norton has been involved in the highway construction industry as a construction foreman, subcontractor, general manager and vice president. He graduated with a Bachelor of Science degree from Brigham Young University in 1968. From 1968 to 1972, he was General Manager of Quaker Empire Construction in Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania. From 1972 to 1992, Mr. Norton was Sales Manager, General Manager and Vice President of Syro Steel Company, headquartered in Girard, Ohio. Since 1992, Mr. Norton has been Vice President of Trinity Industries, which purchased Syro in 1972.

Don A. Patterson has been a director of the Company since November 2005. He began his career in public accounting, working for eight years at Arthur Andersen where he developed an extensive background in accounting for the construction and construction material industry. He left to become the managing partner of Mansperger, Patterson & McMullin CPA's where he worked for 19 years, continuing to provide accounting service and consultation to clients in both the construction materials and contracting industries. During that period he founded Legacy Window Coverings, LLC, an importing and distribution business where he currently serves as CEO. He has devoted his full time attention to Legacy's operations since 2004. Mr. Patterson graduated with a degree in accounting from Arizona State University in 1978 and received his Certified Public Accountant's certificate in 1983.

Bradley E. Larson has been a director of the Company since 1994 and was appointed President in July 1995 and Chief Executive Officer in November 1995. Mr. Larson was employed by Tanner Companies, a contracting and material company located in Phoenix, Arizona, from 1976 until December 1994. He was Division President of the Western Arizona region for Tanner from 1984 to 1988, Vice President of Operations from 1988 to 1989 and President of Tanner's Construction Division from 1989 until he joined the Company in December 1994. Mr. Larson earned a BSE degree in Industrial Engineering from Arizona State University in 1979. He has been active in several construction industry associations and is past Chairman and Director of The Arizona Rock Products Association and past Director of the Arizona Heavy Highway Chapter of the Associated General Contractors.

Kenneth D. Nelson has been a director of the Company since 1993 and has been involved in the financial reporting and operations management areas of the construction industry since 1982. He joined the Company in
20

Table of Contents

April 1989, became Vice President of Finance in February 1992 and Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer in April 1996. From August 1986 until April 1989, he was operations manager for Builders Unlimited, a construction firm based in Phoenix, Arizona. Mr. Nelson earned a Bachelors of Science Degree in Business Administration from Arizona State University in 1984.

Alan A. Terril joined the Company in May 1992, became its Vice President Nevada Operations in October 1993 and its Chief Operating Officer in March 2001. From February 1979 until April 1992, he was general superintendent, responsible for on site construction management, for Ron Lewis Construction Company, a heavy construction firm.

David D. Doty joined the Company in August 2005 and was named Secretary, Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer in April 2006. He received his Bachelor of Science degree with a major in Accounting from the California State Polytechnic University, Pomona in 1992. He received his Certified Public Accountant s certificate from the State of California in 2003. From 1991 to 1994, he was a supervisor at Brabo, Carlsen & Cahill, CPA s in Palm Springs California. From 1994 to 2000, he was Vice President of Operations and Controller for a hospitality and tourism firm in Rancho Mirage California and from 2000 to 2005 he was first Corporate Controller and then Vice President of Administration, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of Star Markets, Ltd. in Honolulu, Hawaii.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee oversees the Company s financial reporting process on behalf of the Board of Directors. Management has the primary responsibility for the financial statements and the reporting process including the systems of internal controls. In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the Committee reviewed the audited financial statements in the Annual Report with management including a discussion of the quality, not just the acceptability, of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments, and the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements.

The Committee reviewed with the independent auditors, who are responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of those audited financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles, their judgments as to the quality, not just the acceptability, of the Company s accounting principles and such other matters as are required to be discussed with the Committee under generally accepted auditing standards. In addition, the Committee has discussed with the independent auditors the auditors independence from management and the Company including the matters in the written disclosures required by the Independence Standards Board and considered the compatibility of nonaudit services with the auditors independence.

The Committee discussed with the Company s independent auditors the overall scope and plans for their audit. The Committee meets with the independent auditors, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their examinations, their evaluations of the Company s internal controls, and the overall quality of the Company s financial reporting. The Committee held four meetings during fiscal 2006.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors (and the Board has approved) that the audited financial statements be included in the Annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Committee and the Board have also recommended and approved the selection of the Company s independent auditors.

Don A. Patterson, Audit Committee Chair

Charles R. Norton, Audit Committee Member

Charles E. Cowan, Audit Committee Member

Dated: March 6, 2007

Table of Contents**INDEPENDENT REGISTERED ACCOUNTANTS**

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has selected Semple, Marchal & Cooper, LLP to continue as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2007. A representative of Semple, Marchal & Cooper, LLP is expected to be present at the Annual Meeting. He will not make a statement but will respond to appropriate questions.

Disclosure of Audit and Non-Audit Fees

The following table shows consolidated fees paid or accrued by the Company and its majority owned subsidiary for the audits and other services provided by the Company's accountants for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005:

	For the Years Ended December	
	2006	2005
Audit fees for the years ended December 31 and fees for the review of financial statements included in quarterly reports on Form 10-Q	\$ 169,000	\$ 129,000
Audit related fees (1)	4,322	182,670
Tax fees	30,008	27,603
Other service fees	36,284	1,160

(1) Fees paid in 2006 were associated with the registration of our majority owned subsidiary's common stock shares underlying the equity incentive plan. Fees paid in 2005 included fees that were associated with our majority owned subsidiary's initial public offering.

The Audit Committee has concluded that the provision of services by Semple, Marchal & Cooper, LLP are compatible with maintaining their independence and has approved the above mentioned services performed.

Audit Committee Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Service

The Audit Committee has a Pre-approval Policy (Policy) governing the approval of all audit and non-audit services performed by the independent registered public accountants in order to ensure that the performance of such services does not impair the independent registered public accountants.

According to the Policy, the Audit Committee will annually review and pre-approve the services and fees that may be provided by the independent registered public accountants during the following year. The Policy specifically describes the services and fees related to the annual audit, other services that are audit-related, preparation of tax

returns and tax related compliance services and all other services that have the pre-approval of the Audit Committee. The term of any general pre-approval is 12 months from the date of pre-approval, unless the Audit Committee specifically provides for a different period.

Any service to be provided by the independent registered public accountants that has not received general pre-approval under the Policy is required to be submitted to the Audit Committee for approval prior to the commencement of a substantial portion of the engagement. Any proposed service exceeding pre-approved cost levels is also required to be submitted to the Audit Committee for specific approval.

The Audit Committee will revise the list of general pre-approved services from time to time based on subsequent determinations. The Committee does not delegate its responsibilities to pre-approve services performed by the independent registered public accountant to management.

Table of Contents

Code of Ethics

Our Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to our executive officers, senior financial officers and directors of our company. We have posted the Code of Ethics on our website.

In accordance with the *Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002* and the listing standards of the Nasdaq Capital Market, we have adopted procedures to facilitate the submission, on a confidential and anonymous basis, of complaints, reports and concerns by any person regarding (1) accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, (2) actual or potential violations of laws, rules or regulations, and (3) other suspected wrongdoing, including in connection with our Code of Ethics.

OTHER INFORMATION

Solicitation

All of the expenses involved in preparing, assembling and mailing this Proxy Statement and the materials enclosed herewith and all costs of soliciting proxies will be paid by the Company. In addition to the solicitation by mail, proxies may be solicited by officers and regular employees of the Company by telephone, facsimile, or personal interview. Such persons will receive no compensation for their services other than their regular salaries. Arrangements will also be made with brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries to forward solicitation materials to the beneficial owners of the shares held of record by such persons, and the Company may reimburse such persons for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred by them in so doing.

Shareholder Proposals for the 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders

If you wish to submit a proposal for possible inclusion in our 2008 proxy materials, we must receive your notice, in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, on or before December 30, 2007. The proposal should be sent in writing to the Company's principal executive offices, Attention: Secretary.

Director Nominations

A Director nomination must be accompanied by a Notice of Nomination and proposals of shareholder business must be accompanied by a Notice of Business. Each such Notice must include (i) the name and record address of the shareholder and/or beneficial owner proposing such shareholder business or nomination, as they appear on the Company's books, (ii) the class and number of shares of stock held of record and beneficially by such shareholder and/or such beneficial owner, (iii) a representation that the shareholder is a holder of record of stock of the Company entitled to vote at the meeting and intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to propose such business or nomination, and (iv) all other information that would be required to be filed with the SEC if the person proposing such shareholder business or nomination were a participant in a solicitation subject to Section 14 of the Exchange Act.

Notices of Nominations must also include all information regarding each shareholder nominee that would be required to be set forth in a definitive proxy statement filed with the SEC pursuant to Section 14 of the Exchange Act, and the written consent of each such shareholder nominee to being named in a proxy statement as a nominee and to serve if elected. Notices of Business must include a brief description of the shareholder business desired to be brought before the annual meeting, the text of the proposal including the text of any resolutions proposed for consideration and, in the event that such business includes a proposal to amend the By-laws, the language of the proposed amendment, and the reasons for conducting such business at the annual meeting and any material interest of the shareholder and/or beneficial owner in such shareholder business. The proponent must be a record or beneficial shareholder entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of shareholders on the proposal and must continue to own the securities through the date on which the meeting is held and attend the annual meeting to present the shareholder business or Director nomination.

Table of Contents

OTHER BUSINESS

Management of the Company is not aware of any other matters which are to be presented at the Annual Meeting, nor has it been advised that other persons will present any other proposals. However, if other matters properly come before the Annual Meeting, the individual named in the accompanying proxy shall vote on such matters in accordance with his best judgment.

The above notice and Proxy Statement are sent by order of the Board of Directors.

/s/ Bradley E. Larson

Bradley E. Larson
Chief Executive Officer

Phoenix, Arizona
May 9, 2007

Table of Contents

**PROXY
FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS OF
MEADOW VALLEY CORPORATION
TO BE HELD JUNE 11, 2007**

The undersigned hereby appoints Bradley E. Larson as the lawful agent and Proxy of the undersigned (with all the powers the undersigned would possess if personally present, including full power of substitution), and hereby authorizes him to represent and to vote, as designated below, all the shares of Meadow Valley Corporation held of record by the undersigned on April 4, 2007, at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held June 11, 2007, or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

1. **Election of Class C directors:**

___ FOR

___ AGAINST

___ WITHHOLD AUTHORITY

NOMINEES: Bradley E. Larson and Charles R. Norton

INSTRUCTIONS: To withhold authority to vote for individual nominees, write their names in the space provided:

2. **Proposal to ratify the selection of Semple, Marchal & Cooper, LLP as independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2007:**

___ FOR

___ AGAINST

___ WITHHOLD AUTHORITY

3. **Proposal introduced by a shareholder to act in the most expeditious manner, consistent with effective tax considerations, to liquidate the Company's investment in Ready Mix, Inc. and distribute the proceeds to the Company's shareholders:**

___ FOR

___ AGAINST

___ WITHHOLD AUTHORITY

4. In his discretion, the Proxy is authorized to vote upon any matters which may properly come before the Annual Meeting, or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

It is understood that when properly executed, this proxy will be voted in the manner directed herein by the undersigned shareholder. **WHERE NO CHOICE IS SPECIFIED BY THE SHAREHOLDER, THE PROXY WILL BE VOTED FOR THE ELECTION OF DIRECTORS NAMED IN ITEM 1 AND THE RATIFICATION OF SEMPLE, MARCHAL & COOPER, LLP AS INDEPENDENT AUDITORS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND AGAINST THE SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL RELATING TO THE LIQUIDATION OF THE COMPANY'S INVESTMENT IN READY MIX, INC.**

The undersigned hereby revokes all previous proxies relating to the shares covered hereby and confirms all that said Proxy may do by virtue hereof.

Edgar Filing: MEADOW VALLEY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Please sign exactly as name appears below. When shares are held by joint tenants, both should sign. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, trustee or guardian, please give full title as such. If a corporation, please sign in full corporate name by President or other authorized officer. If a partnership, please sign in partnership name by authorized person.

Date

Signature

Signature, If Held Jointly

PLEASE MARK, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE PROXY CARD PROMPTLY BY FOLDING THIS CARD IN HALF, SEAL WITH THE MAILING ADDRESS SHOWING, ATTACH CORRECT POSTAGE AND MAIL.

PLEASE CHECK IF YOU INTEND TO BE PRESENT AT THE ANNUAL MEETING: _____

Table of Contents

MEADOW VALLEY CORPORATION
Attention: David D. Doty
4602 E. Thomas Road
Phoenix, Arizona 85018