RENT A CENTER INC DE Form DEF 14A April 03, 2009

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 SCHEDULE 14A (Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Filed by the Registrant b

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- **b** Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material Pursuant to Rule 14a-12

RENT-A-CENTER, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter) NOT APPLICABLE

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- b No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - 1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - 2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - 3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - 5) Total fee paid:

o	Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.		
O	Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing. 1) Amount Previously Paid:		
	2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:	
	3)	Filing Party:	
	4)	Date Filed	

NOTICE OF 2009 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Annual May 14, 2009

Meeting: 9:30 a.m., local time

Location: Rent-A-Center, Inc.

5501 Headquarters Drive Plano, Texas 75024

Record Date: The record date is the close of business on March 16, 2009.

Stockholders If you were a stockholder of record at the close of business on the record date, you are entitled **Entitled to Vote:** to notice of and to vote at the annual meeting and at any and all adjournments or postponements

thereof.

Agenda: 1. To elect the two Class III directors nominated by the Board;

- 2. To ratify the Audit Committee s appointment of Grant Thornton LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2009; and
- 3. To transact other business that properly comes before the meeting.

Proxies: You cannot vote your shares of common stock unless you are present at the meeting or you have previously given your proxy. You can vote by proxy in one of the following three convenient ways:

in writing, by completing, signing, dating and returning the proxy card in the enclosed envelope;

by the Internet, by visiting the website shown on the proxy card and following the instructions; or

calling the toll-free telephone number shown on the proxy card and following the instructions.

All properly executed proxies, unless revoked as described below, will be voted at the meeting in accordance with your directions on the proxy. If a properly executed proxy does not provide instructions, the shares of common stock represented by your proxy will be voted:

FOR each of the Board s nominees for Class III director; and

FOR the ratification of the Audit Committee s appointment of Grant Thornton LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2009.

The proxy holders will use their discretion on any other matters that properly come before the meeting.

First Mailing Date:

This proxy statement is dated April 1, 2009. We are first mailing this proxy statement and the enclosed proxy card on or about April 8, 2009.

IMPORTANT

Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting in person, please vote by signing, dating and promptly returning the proxy card in the enclosed postage prepaid envelope or by using the Internet or the telephone voting procedures described on the proxy card.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE 2009 ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING	
PROCEDURES	ii
PROPOSAL ONE: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	1
BOARD INFORMATION	4
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION	6
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	8
PROPOSAL TWO: RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED	
PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	11
AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT	12
EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	13
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	14
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	41
RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS	42
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS	42
SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	42
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	43
SUBMISSION OF STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS	45
OTHER BUSINESS	45
i	

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE 2009 ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING PROCEDURES

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to Be Held on May 14, 2009.

This Proxy Statement and our 2008 Annual Report are available at: http://bnymellon.mobular.net/bnymellon/rcii

Who may vote? Stockholders of record as of the close of business on March 16, 2009, the record date for the annual meeting, may vote at the meeting. As of March 16, 2009, there were 66,001,834 shares of our common stock outstanding. Each share of common stock entitles the holder to one vote per share.

What constitutes a The holders of a majority of our outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote at the quorum? annual meeting, or 33,000,918 shares of our common stock, must be represented at the annual meeting in person or by proxy to have a quorum. Any stockholder present at the annual meeting, either in person or by proxy, but who abstains from voting, will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists. If holders of fewer than 33,000,918 shares are present at the annual meeting, we will adjourn and reschedule the annual meeting until a quorum is present.

How many votes Under our Bylaws, directors are elected by a majority of the votes cast in uncontested elections. must each proposal Accordingly, the numbers of votes cast for a director nominee must exceed the number of votes receive to be cast against that nominee. In contested elections, the vote standard would be a plurality of votes adopted? cast. Each share may be voted for each of the nominees, but no share may be voted more than once for any particular nominee. Broker non-votes and abstentions will not affect the outcome of the vote.

> A majority of the votes cast is required to ratify Grant Thornton as our independent registered public accounting firm and to approve all other matters submitted to you at the meeting, except as otherwise provided by law or our Certificate of Incorporation or Bylaws. Broker non-votes and abstentions will have no effect on the outcome of the vote to ratify Grant Thornton or on any other proposal that may properly come before the meeting.

What are broker Broker non-votes occur when nominees, such as banks and brokers, holding shares on behalf of non-votes? beneficial owners, or customers, do not receive voting instructions from the customers. Brokers holding shares of record for customers generally are not entitled to vote on certain matters unless they receive voting instructions from their customers. In the event that a broker does not receive voting instructions for these matters, a broker may notify us that it lacks voting authority to vote those shares. These broker non-votes refer to votes that could have been cast on the matter in question by brokers with respect to uninstructed shares if the brokers had received their customers instructions. These broker non-votes will be included in determining whether a quorum exists. To be sure your shares are voted in the manner you desire, you should instruct your broker how to vote your shares.

How will the The enclosed proxies will be voted in accordance with the instructions you place on the proxy proxies be voted? card or, if you vote by the Internet or by telephone, as indicated using such method. Unless otherwise stated, all shares represented by your completed, returned, and signed proxy will be voted as described on the Notice of 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. If you are voting by the Internet or by telephone, the proxies will be voted in accordance with your voting instructions. If you are voting by the Internet or by telephone, your voting instructions must be received by 11:59 p.m., Eastern Daylight Savings Time, on May 13, 2009.

ii

Table of Contents

How may I revoke You may revoke your proxy at any time before or at the annual meeting by: my proxy?

> Delivering a signed, written revocation letter, dated later than the proxy, to Ronald D. DeMoss, Executive Vice President General Counsel and Secretary, at 5501 Headquarters Drive, Plano, TX 75024;

> Delivering a signed proxy, dated later than the first one, to BNY Mellon Shareowner Services, 480 Washington Boulevard, Jersey City, NJ 07310, Attn: Proxy Department;

> Voting at a later time by the Internet or by telephone, if you previously voted by the Internet or by telephone; or

Attending the meeting and voting in person or by proxy. Attending the meeting alone will not revoke your proxy.

Who is soliciting The Board of Directors is soliciting this proxy. In addition to the solicitation of proxies by mail, this proxy? proxies may also be solicited by telephone, telegram, electronic mail or personal interview by our officers and employees. We will reimburse banks, brokers, custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for reasonable expenses they incur in sending these proxy materials to you if you are a beneficial holder of our shares.

iii

PROPOSAL ONE:

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Board Structure: The number of directors currently constituting our entire Board is eight. The directors are

divided into three classes. In general, directors in each class serve for a term of three years.

Number of Directors to

be Elected:

Board Nominees:

Two Class III directors are to be elected by our stockholders.

Our Board, upon recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, has nominated each of Michael J. Gade and J.V. Lentell to be re-elected as Class III directors by the stockholders. **We urge you to vote FOR each of Mr. Gade and**

Mr. Lentell.

Both Mr. Gade and Mr. Lentell have agreed to stand for re-election. However, should either of them become unable or unwilling to accept nomination or election, the shares of common stock voted for that nominee by proxy will be voted for the election of a substitute nominee whom the proxy holders believe will carry out our present policies. Our Board of Directors has no reason to believe that Mr. Gade or Mr. Lentell will be unable or unwilling to serve if elected, and, to the knowledge of the Board of Directors, each intends to serve the entire term for which election is sought.

Terms to Expire at the 2012 Annual Meeting:

Michael J. Gade

Mr. Gade has served as one of our directors since May 2005. Since 2004, Mr. Gade has been an Executive in Residence at the University of North Texas as a professor of marketing and retailing. A founding partner of Challance Group, LLP, Mr. Gade has 30 years of marketing and management experience, most recently serving as senior executive for the southwest region of Home Depot, Inc. from 2003 to 2004. From 2000 to 2003, Mr. Gade served as Senior Vice President, Merchandising, Marketing and Business Development for 7-Eleven, Inc. From 1995 to 2000, Mr. Gade was employed by Associates First Capital Corporation as Executive Vice President, Strategic Marketing and Development. Mr. Gade s term as a Class III director expires at this year s annual stockholder meeting. Mr. Gade is 57 years old.

J. V. Lentell

Mr. Lentell has served as one of our directors since February 1995, and as Lead Director since April 2009. Since July 1993, he has served as a director and Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors of Intrust Bank, N.A., successor by merger to Kansas State Bank & Trust Co. Mr. Lentell was employed by Kansas State Bank & Trust Co., in Wichita,

Kansas from 1966 until July 1993, serving as Chairman of the Board from 1981 until July 1993. Mr. Lentell also serves on the Board of Directors of Intrust Financial Corporation. Mr. Lentell s term as a Class III director expires at this year s annual stockholder meeting. Mr. Lentell is 70 years old.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR EACH OF THE BOARD NOMINEES

1

Table of Contents

Term to Expire at the Mitchell E. Fadel 2010 Annual Meeting:

Mr. Fadel has served as our President since July 2000, as our Chief Operating Officer since December 2002 and as a director since December 2000. From November 1992 until July 2000, Mr. Fadel served as President and Chief Executive Officer of our subsidiary, ColorTyme, Inc. Mr. Fadel s term as a Class I director expires at our 2010 annual stockholder meeting. Mr. Fadel is 51 years old.

Kerney Laday

Mr. Laday has served as one of our directors since May 2008. Mr. Laday has served as President of The Laday Company, a management consulting and business development firm, since 1997. From 1971 to 1997, Mr. Laday was employed by Xerox Corporation, serving in various capacities including Vice President - Field Operations for the Southern Region of U.S. Customer Operations; Vice President & Region General Manager; and Vice President, National Service, United States Marketing Group. Mr. Laday also serves as a director of TDIndustries, Inc., The Beck Group, and Texas Health Resources, Mr. Laday s term as a Class I director expires at our 2010 annual stockholder meeting. Mr. Laday is 67 years old.

Paula Stern, Ph.D.

The Honorable Paula Stern joined the Board in December 2008. Dr. Stern is Chairwoman of The Stern Group, Inc., an international advisory firm in areas of business and government strategy established in 1988. She was Commissioner of the U.S. International Trade Commission from 1978 to 1987 and Chairwoman from 1984 to 1986. She is a member of the Atlantic Council of the United States and serves on the Board of Trustees of the Committee for Economic Development and on the Advisory Council of Columbia University School of Social Work. She is also a member of Council on Foreign Relations, Inter-American Dialogue, Bretton Woods Committee, and the High Level Advisory Group for the Global Subsidies Initiative of the International Institute for Sustainable Development, Dr. Stern also serves on the boards of Avon Products, Inc. and Hasbro, Inc. Dr. Stern s term as a Class I director expires at our 2010 annual stockholder meeting. Dr. Stern is 64 years old.

2

Terms to Expire at the Mark E. Speese **2011 Annual Meeting:**

Jeffery M. Jackson

Mr. Speese has served as our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer since October 2001 and has served as one of our directors since 1990. Mr. Speese previously served as our Vice Chairman from September 1999 until March 2001. From 1990 until April 1999, Mr. Speese served as our President. Mr. Speese also served as our Chief Operating Officer from November 1994 until March 1999. Mr. Speese s term as a Class II director expires at our 2011 annual stockholder meeting. Mr. Speese is 51 years old. Mr. Jackson has served as one of our directors since March 2007. Mr. Jackson serves as the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Sabre Holdings, Inc. Mr. Jackson served as a board member of Travelocity.com until March 2002, when it became a Sabre Holdings subsidiary. Prior to joining Sabre Holdings in 1998, Mr. Jackson served as both Vice President of Corporate Development and Treasurer, and Vice President and Controller of American Airlines, Inc. Mr. Jackson s term as a Class II director expires at our 2011 annual stockholder meeting. Mr. Jackson is 53 years old.

Leonard H. Roberts

Mr. Roberts has served as one of our directors since September 2006. Mr. Roberts served as the Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors of RadioShack Corporation from May 2005 until May 2006, and had previously served as a director since 1997, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer from 1999 to 2005, and President from 1993 to 1999. From 1990 to 1993, Mr. Roberts was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Shoney s, Inc., and from 1985 to 1990 was the President and Chief Executive Officer of Arby s, Inc. Mr. Roberts is Chairman Emeritus of Students in Free Enterprise, a director of the Fort Worth Crime Commission, a director and Vice Chairman of Texas Health Resources, and a director of J.C. Penney, Inc. Mr. Roberts term as a Class II director expires at our 2011 annual stockholder meeting. Mr. Roberts is 60 years old.

3

BOARD INFORMATION

Board Meetings: During 2008, our Board met 12 times, including regularly scheduled and special meetings. Each director attended all meetings of the Board during his or her service as a director, except that Mr. Jackson and Mr. Roberts were each unable to attend one meeting after receiving or waiving proper notice. All of our directors attended more than 75% of the aggregate of the total number of meetings of the Board and the total number of meetings of the Board committees on which they serve. The Board also took action by unanimous written consent five times during 2008.

Independent As part of the Company s corporate governance practices, and in accordance with Nasdaq rules, **Directors:** the Board has established a policy requiring a majority of the members of the Board to be independent. In February 2009, each of our non-employee directors completed a questionnaire which inquired as to their relationship with us (and those of their immediate family members) and other potential conflicts of interest. Our legal department reviewed the responses of our directors to such questionnaire, as well as material provided by management related to transactions, relationships and arrangements between us and our directors or parties related to our directors. In March 2009, our Board met to discuss the independence of our directors who are not employed by us. Following such discussions, our Board determined that the following directors are independent as defined under Nasdaq rules: Michael J. Gade, Jeffery M. Jackson, Kerney Laday, J.V. Lentell, Leonard H. Roberts, and Paula Stern, Ph.D. The table below includes a description of categories or types of transactions, relationships or arrangements considered by our Board in reaching its determination that the directors are independent.

Name	Independent	Transactions/Relationships/Arrangements
Maland L.C. Ja	V.	Ni
Michael J. Gade	Yes	None
Jeffery M. Jackson	Yes	None
Kerney Laday	Yes	None
J.V. Lentell	Yes	Banking relationship with Intrust immaterial
Leonard H. Roberts	Yes	Charitable contributions by us to Students in Free
		Enterprise immaterial
Paula Stern, Ph.D.	Yes	None

Lead Director: As part of the Company s corporate governance practices, our Board has created a Lead Director position. The duties of the Lead Director as established by the Board include (i) serving as the chairman of executive sessions of the Board, (ii) consulting with our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer on matters to be addressed at Board meetings, (iii) facilitating information flow and communication among the directors, and (iv) performing such other duties as may be specified by the Board. Mr. Lentell serves as our Lead Director.

Board Committees: The standing committees of the Board during 2008 included the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Each of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has the authority to retain independent advisors and consultants, with all fees and expenses to be paid by us.

The Audit Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities by reviewing (1) the financial reports and other financial information provided by us to any governmental body or the public, (2) our systems of controls regarding finance, accounting, legal compliance and ethics that management and the Board have established, (3) our independent auditor s qualifications and independence, (4) the performance of our internal audit function and our independent auditors, and (5) the efficacy and efficiency of our auditing, accounting and financial reporting processes generally. The Audit Committee has the direct responsibility for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of our independent auditors, and reviews our internal audit department s reports, responsibilities, budget and staffing. The Audit Committee also pre-approves all audit and non-audit services provided by our independent auditors and oversees compliance with our codes of ethics. The Board has adopted a charter for the Audit Committee, which can be found in the Corporate Governance section of the Investor Relations section of our website at

4

Table of Contents

www.rentacenter.com. The Audit Committee reviews, updates and assesses the adequacy of its charter on an annual basis, and may recommend any proposed modifications to its charter to the Board for its approval, if and when appropriate.

The Board has determined that each of Mr. Jackson, Mr. Gade and Mr. Laday is independent as defined under SEC and Nasdaq rules. In addition, the Board has determined that Mr. Jackson is an audit committee financial expert as defined by SEC rules and each of Mr. Gade and Mr. Laday meets the financial sophistication requirements of Nasdaq. During 2008, the Audit Committee held 12 regularly scheduled meetings. *Members: Mr. Jackson, Chairman, Mr. Gade and Mr. Laday*.

The Compensation Committee (1) discharges the Board s responsibilities with respect to all forms of compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President General Counsel, Executive Vice President Operational Services and Executive Vice Presidents Operations, (2) administers our equity incentive plans, and (3) reviews and discusses with our management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis to be included in our annual proxy statement, annual report on Form 10-K or information statement, as applicable, and makes a recommendation to the Board as to whether the Compensation Discussion and Analysis should be included in our annual proxy statement, annual report on Form 10-K or any information statement, as applicable. The Compensation Committee is also responsible for recommending to the Board the form and amount of director compensation and conducting a review of such compensation as appropriate. The Board has adopted a charter for the Compensation Committee, which can be found in the Corporate Governance section of the Investor Relations section of our website at www.rentacenter.com. In addition, the Compensation Committee reviews, updates and assesses the adequacy of its charter on an annual basis, and may recommend any proposed modifications to its charter to the Board for its approval, if and when appropriate.

The Compensation Committee s processes for fulfilling its responsibilities and duties with respect to executive compensation and the role of our executive officers in the compensation process are described under Compensation Discussion and Analysis Compensation Process beginning on page 14 of this proxy statement.

Pursuant to its charter, the Compensation Committee has the authority, to the extent it deems necessary or appropriate, to retain compensation consultants, independent legal counsel or other advisors and has the sole authority to approve the fees and other retention terms with respect to such advisors. Hewitt Associates LLC (Hewitt) was retained by the Compensation Committee in September 2008 to advise the Compensation Committee with respect to compensation to be paid to our management as well as compensation to be paid to the non-employee members of our Board commencing with the 2009 fiscal year.

The Compensation Committee held eight meetings in 2008, including regularly scheduled and special meetings. All members of the Compensation Committee are non-employee directors and are independent under Nasdaq rules. *Members: Mr. Roberts, Chairman, Mr. Gade and Mr. Lentell.*

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities by (1) identifying individuals believed to be qualified to become members of the Board, consistent with criteria approved by the Board, (2) recommending to the Board

candidates for election or reelection as directors, including director candidates submitted by the Company s stockholders, and (3) overseeing, reviewing and making periodic recommendations to the Board concerning our corporate governance policies. The Board has adopted a written charter for the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, which is available in the Corporate Governance section of the Investor Relations section of our website at www.rentacenter.com. In addition, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee reviews, updates and assesses the adequacy of its charter on an annual basis, and may recommend any proposed modifications to its charter to the Board for its approval, if and when appropriate.

The Board has determined that each member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is independent as defined under Nasdaq rules. During 2008, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met six times, including regularly scheduled and special meetings. *Members: Mr. Lentell, Chairman, Mr. Gade and Mr. Roberts*.

5

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Cash In 2008, our non-employee directors each received an annual retainer of \$30,000. Additionally, **Compensation:** non-employee directors each received \$2,000 for each Board meeting and \$1,000 for each committee meeting attended in person and were reimbursed for their expenses in attending such meetings. Non-employee directors also each received \$500 for each telephonic Board or committee meeting attended. In addition to such compensation, in 2008 the Chairperson of the Audit Committee received a \$7,500 retainer and the other members of the Audit Committee received a \$4,000 retainer. Furthermore, the Chairperson of the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee received a \$4,000 retainer and the other members of those committees a \$2,000 retainer. Neither Mr. Speese nor Mr. Fadel received any cash compensation for his service as a director during 2008.

> In September 2008, our Compensation Committee engaged Hewitt to advise it with respect to the compensation paid to our non-employee directors as compared to similarly situated public companies. Based on such input from Hewitt, in December 2008 the Compensation Committee recommended certain changes with respect to the cash compensation paid to our non-employee directors for their service as directors and our Board adopted such changes. Accordingly, beginning in 2009, our non-employee directors will receive an annual retainer of \$40,000. Additionally, non-employee directors will receive \$2,500 for each Board meeting attended in person and will be reimbursed for their expenses in attending such meetings. No fees for participation in telephonic meetings of the Board or committees will be paid in 2009. In addition to such compensation, additional annual retainers will be paid as follows:

Position	Annual Retainer
Lead Director	\$10,000
Chairperson of the Audit Committee	\$10,000
Other members of the Audit Committee	\$7,500
Chairperson of the Compensation Committee	\$6,000
Other members of the Compensation Committee	\$5,000
Chairperson of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee	\$6,000
Other members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee	\$5,000

All retainers are payable in cash, in four equal installments on the first day of each fiscal quarter.

Neither Mr. Speese nor Mr. Fadel will be paid any cash compensation for his service as a director during 2009.

Equity Prior to December 31, 2008, our non-employee directors also received options to purchase Compensation: 9,000 shares of our common stock on the first business day of the first full fiscal year of service as a director and options to purchase 5,000 shares of our common stock on the first business day of each year thereafter. Pursuant to the Rent-A-Center, Inc. 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 2006 Plan), the exercise price of such options is the closing price for shares of our common

stock on the Nasdaq Global Select Market on the last market trading day prior to the date the option is granted. These options are exercisable immediately.

All of our non-employee directors serving on January 2, 2008, other than Mr. Jackson, were granted options to purchase 5,000 shares of our common stock pursuant to such terms on that date. On such date, Mr. Jackson was granted an option to purchase 9,000 shares of our common stock pursuant to such terms. Neither Mr. Speese nor Mr. Fadel were granted any equity compensation for his service as a director during 2008.

In September 2008, our Compensation Committee engaged Hewitt to advise it with respect to the compensation paid to our non-employee directors as compared to similarly situated public companies. Based on such input from Hewitt, in December 2008 the Compensation Committee recommended certain changes with respect to the equity compensation paid to our non-employee directors for their service as directors and our

6

Table of Contents

Board adopted such changes. Accordingly, beginning in 2009, our non-employee directors will receive a deferred stock award pursuant to the 2006 Plan rather than options to purchase shares of our common stock. Each deferred stock award consists of the right to receive shares of our common stock and is fully vested upon issuance. The shares covered by the award will be issued upon the termination of the director s service as a member of the Board.

All of our non-employee directors serving on January 2, 2009 were granted deferred stock units valued at \$50,000 on that date. Mr. Laday, who joined our Board in May 2008, received additional deferred stock units valued at \$25,520 in lieu of the first year stock option grant he would otherwise have received. Neither Mr. Speese nor Mr. Fadel will be granted any equity compensation for his service as a director during 2009.

Director Equity Our Board has adopted a guideline encouraging each non-employee member of the Board to Interest Guideline: hold at least \$200,000 in our common stock and/or the deferred stock units issued as compensation for Board service (based on the price per share on the date or dates of such acquisition) within 5 years of the later of (i) December 23, 2008, or (ii) the date of their original election or appointment to the Board, and to hold such equity interest for so long as such member continues as a director.

> The following table sets forth certain information regarding the compensation of our non-employee directors during 2008:

Director Compensation

Fees Earned or			
Name	Paid in Cash ⁽¹⁾	Option Awards ⁽²⁾	Total
Michael J. Gade	\$67,500	\$35,100	\$102,600
Jeffrey M. Jackson	\$55,000	\$63,180	\$118,180
Kerney Laday	\$29,250	\$0	\$29,250
J.V. Lentell	\$57,500	\$35,100	\$92,600
Leonard H. Roberts	\$64,500	\$35,100	\$99,600
Paula Stern, Ph.D. ⁽³⁾	\$0	\$0	\$0

- (1) Includes annual retainer, committee fees and meeting attendance fees paid to each non-employee director.
- (2) The amounts in this column reflect the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for our fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, in accordance with FAS 123(R). Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in footnote L to our consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 27. 2009. Because each of the options awarded to our directors during 2008 were fully vested on the date of grant, the grant date fair value of such options is equal to the amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for our fiscal year ended

December 31, 2008. On January 2, 2008, each then current director, with the exception of Mr. Jackson, was granted options to purchase 5,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$14.52. On such date, Mr. Jackson was granted an option to purchase 9,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$14.52. Mr. Laday joined our Board in May 2008, and Dr. Stern joined our Board in December 2008 and, accordingly, were granted no options during 2008. As of December 31, 2008, the number of outstanding options held by each of our then-current non-employee directors was as follows: Mr. Gade (19,000 shares), Mr. Jackson (9,000 shares), Mr. Laday (0 shares), Mr. Lentell (65,000 shares), Mr. Roberts (14,000 shares), and Dr. Stern (0 shares).

(3) Dr. Stern was appointed to the Board on December 23, 2008 and received no compensation in 2008 for her services as a director.

7

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

General: Our Board has established corporate governance practices designed to serve the best interests of our company and our stockholders. In this regard, our Board has, among other things, adopted:

a code of business conduct and ethics applicable to all of our employees, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, our principal accounting officer and controller;

a code of business conduct and ethics applicable to all of our Board members;

procedures regarding stockholder communications with our Board and its committees;

appointment of a Lead Director;

a majority voting standard in non-contested elections for directors;

a policy for the submission of complaints or concerns relating to accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters;

provisions in our Bylaws regarding director candidate nominations and other proposals by stockholders: and

written charters for its Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Our Board intends to monitor developing standards in the corporate governance area and, if appropriate, modify our policies and procedures with respect to such standards. In addition, our Board will continue to review and modify our policies and procedures as appropriate to comply with any new requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission or Nasdag.

Code of Business Our Board has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics governing all of our employees, Conduct and including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, our principal accounting officer Ethics: and controller. A copy of this Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is published in the Corporate Governance section of the Investor Relations section of our website at www.rentacenter.com. We intend to make all required disclosures concerning any amendments to, or waivers from, this Code of Business Conduct and Ethics on our website.

Board Code of Our Board has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applicable to all of the members Business Conduct of the Board. The Board Code of Business Conduct and Ethics provides guidance to our and Ethics: directors to help them recognize and deal with ethical issues and provides a mechanism to report unethical conduct. The Board Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is available on the Corporate Governance section of the Investor Relations section of our website at www.rentacenter.com.

with the Board: methods:

Stockholder Our Board has established a process by which stockholders may communicate with our Board. Communications Stockholders may contact the Board or any committee of the Board by any one of the following

By telephone: By mail: By e-mail:

972-624-6210 Rent-A-Center, Inc. RAC.Board@rentacenter.com

Attn: Compliance Officer 5501 Headquarters Drive

Plano, TX 75024

Procedures for The Audit Committee has established procedures for (1) the receipt, retention and treatment of **Reporting** complaints received by us regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing **Accounting** matters, and (2) the submission by our employees, on a confidential and anonymous basis, of **Concerns:** concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters. These procedures are posted in the Corporate Governance section of the Investor Relations section of our website at

www.rentacenter.com.

8

Table of Contents

Director Director Nominees. Under our Bylaws, only persons who are nominated in accordance with the Nominations: procedures set forth in our Bylaws are eligible for election as, and to serve as, members of our Board. Under our Bylaws, nominations of persons for election to our Board may be made at a meeting of our stockholders (1) by or at the direction of our Board or (2) by any stockholder, provided they comply with the provisions of Article I, Section 3 of our Bylaws. The Board has delegated the screening and recruitment process for Board members to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee selects individuals it believes are qualified to be members of the Board, and recommends those individuals to the Board for nomination for election or re-election as directors. From time to time, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may engage a consultant to conduct a search to identify qualified candidates. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee then undertakes the evaluation process described below for any candidates so identified.

> *Qualifications*. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believes that the minimum requirements for a person to be qualified to be a member of the Board are that a person must be committed to equal opportunity employment, and must not be a director, consultant, employee of or to any competitor of ours (i.e., a company in the rent-to-own business). The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also believes that members of the Board should possess character, judgment, skills (such as an understanding of the retail and rent-to-own industries, business management, finance, accounting, marketing, operations and strategic planning), diversity, and experiences with businesses and other organizations of a comparable size and industry. In addition, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers the composition of the current Board and the Board s needs when evaluating the experience and qualification of director candidates. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee evaluates whether certain individuals possess the foregoing qualities and recommends to the Board candidates for nomination to serve as our directors. This process is the same regardless of whether the nominee is recommended by one of our stockholders.

Advance Resignation Policy. As a condition to nomination by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of an incumbent director, a nominee shall submit an irrevocable offer of resignation to the Board, which resignation shall become effective in the event that (a) such nominee is proposed for reelection and is not reelected at a meeting of the stockholders in which majority voting applies and (b) the resignation is accepted by the Board by the vote of a majority of the directors, not including any director who has not been reelected.

Stockholder Nominations. In addition to nominees by or at the direction of our Board, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider candidates for nomination proposed by stockholders, so long as the stockholder provides notice and information on the proposed nominee to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee through the Secretary in accordance with the provisions of Article I, Sections 3 and 4 of our Bylaws relating to direct stockholder nominations.

For the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to consider candidates recommended by stockholders, Section I, Article 3 of our Bylaws requires that the stockholder provide notice to our Secretary (1) not less than 90 nor more than 120 days prior to the anniversary date of the immediately preceding annual meeting of stockholders, or (2) with

respect to an election to be held at a special meeting of stockholders for the election of directors, no earlier than 120 days prior to the date of such special meeting, nor later than the close of business on the later to occur of the 90th day prior to the date of such special meeting or the 10th day following the day on which public disclosure of the date of the special meeting was made. The notice to our Secretary must set forth:

Name & address of stockholder and/or beneficial owner;

Class & number of shares of capital stock owned, directly or indirectly, beneficially or of record by such stockholder and/or beneficial owner;

Any derivative interests held by such stockholder and/or beneficial owner;

9

Table of Contents

Proxy or voting agreements to which such stockholder and/or beneficial owner may vote any shares of any of our securities;

Short interest position of such stockholder and/or beneficial owner, if any;

Dividend rights to which such stockholder and/or beneficial owner are entitled, if separable;

Proportionate interests of such stockholder and/or beneficial owner arising out of partnership arrangements; and

Performance related fees to which such stockholder and/or beneficial owner is entitled based on the increase or decrease in the value of such shares or derivative instrument.

The above information must be supplemented (1) no later than 10 days following the record date for the meeting, and (2) 10 days prior to the meeting date. In addition, as to each person whom the stockholder proposes to nominate for election or re-election as a director, the following information must be provided:

Information relating to such person that is required to be disclosed in solicitations of proxies for election of directors, or is otherwise required, pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (including such person s written consent to being named in the proxy statement as a nominee and to serve as a director if elected);

A description of any compensatory and other material agreements among the nominating stockholder/beneficial owner, its affiliates & associates and the proposed nominee;

A questionnaire furnished by our Secretary and completed by the proposed nominee; and

The representation and agreement of the proposed nominee regarding no voting agreements, non-disclosed compensation arrangements, and compliance upon election with our governance policies and guidelines.

Director Our Board has adopted a policy stating that each member of the Board should attend our annual Attendance at meeting of stockholders. All of our directors then serving as directors attended the 2008 Annual Annual Meeting of Meeting of Stockholders.

Stockholders:

10

PROPOSAL TWO: RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Overview: The Audit Committee of the Board has selected Grant Thornton LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009. Our Board has further directed that we submit the selection of our independent registered public accounting firm for ratification by our stockholders at the annual meeting.

The Audit Committee reviews and pre-approves both audit and all permissible non-audit services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm, and accordingly, all services and fees in 2008 provided by Grant Thornton were pre-approved by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee has considered whether Grant Thornton s provision of services, other than services rendered in connection with the audit of our annual financial statements, is compatible with maintaining Grant Thornton s independence. The Audit Committee has determined that the rendering of non-audit services by Grant Thornton during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 was compatible with maintaining their independence. Representatives of Grant Thornton will attend the annual meeting, will have an opportunity to make a statement if they so desire and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Stockholder ratification of the selection of Grant Thornton as our independent registered public accounting firm is not required by our Bylaws or otherwise. However, the Board is submitting the selection of Grant Thornton to the stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. The Audit Committee believes it to be in the best interests of our stockholders to retain, and has retained, Grant Thornton as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009. If the stockholders fail to ratify the selection, the Audit Committee will reconsider whether or not to continue the retention of Grant Thornton. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if they determine that such a change would be in our best interests and those of our stockholders. The Audit Committee annually reviews the performance of our independent registered public accounting firm and the fees charged for their services. Based upon the Audit Committee s analysis of this information, the Audit Committee will determine which registered independent public accounting firm to engage to perform our annual audit each year.

Principal The aggregate fees billed by Grant Thornton for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2008 and **Accountant Fees** December 31, 2007 for the professional services described below are as follows: and Services:

	2008	2007
Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$923,487	\$970,721
Audit-Related Fees ⁽²⁾	\$36,453	\$31,868
Tax Fees ⁽³⁾	\$17,265	\$12,000
All Other Fees ⁽⁴⁾	\$0	\$0

- (1) Represents the aggregate fees billed by Grant Thornton for (a) professional services rendered for the audit of our annual financial statements for 2008 and 2007, (b) the audit of management s assessment of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, (c) reviews of the financial statements included in our Forms 10-Q filed with the SEC, and (d) services in connection with regulatory filings during 2008 and 2007.
- (2) Represents the aggregate fees billed by Grant Thornton for 2008 and 2007 for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements and are not reported above under the caption Audit Fees.
- (3) Represents the aggregate fees billed by Grant Thornton for professional services rendered for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning. This amount consists of fees related to state tax work in 2008 and 2007.
- (4) There were no fees paid to Grant Thornton in 2008 or 2007 for products or services not included above under the captions Audit Fees, Audit Related Fees and Tax Fees.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE PROPOSAL TO RATIFY GRANT THORNTON LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

11

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

In accordance with its written charter adopted by the Board, the Audit Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities by, among other things, reviewing the financial reports and other financial information provided by the Company to any governmental body or the public.

In discharging its oversight responsibilities, the Audit Committee obtained from the independent registered public accounting firm a formal written statement describing all relationships between the firm and the Company that might bear on the auditors independence consistent with the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Standards Board, discussed with the independent auditors any relationships that may impact their objectivity and independence, and satisfied itself as to the auditors independence. The Audit Committee also discussed with management, the internal auditors and the independent auditors the integrity of the Company s financial reporting processes, including the Company s internal accounting systems and controls, and reviewed with management and the independent auditors the Company s significant accounting principles and financial reporting issues, including judgments made in connection with the preparation of the Company s financial statements. The Audit Committee also reviewed with the independent auditors their audit plans, audit scope and identification of audit risks.

The Audit Committee discussed with the independent auditors the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended, and, with and without management present, discussed and reviewed the results of the independent auditors examination of the consolidated financial statements of the Company.

The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 with management and the independent auditors. Management is responsible for the Company s financial reporting process, including its system of internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934), and for the preparation of the Company s consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The independent auditor is responsible for auditing those financial statements, and expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. The Audit Committee s responsibility is to monitor and review these processes. The members of the Audit Committee are independent as defined by SEC and Nasdaq rules, and our Board has determined that (i) Jeffery M. Jackson is an audit committee financial expert as defined by SEC rules and (ii) each of Mr. Gade and Mr. Laday meets the financial sophistication requirements of Nasdaq.

The Audit Committee discussed with the Company s internal and independent auditors the overall scope and plans for their respective audits, including internal control testing under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. The Audit Committee periodically meets with the Company s internal and independent auditors, with and without management present, and in private sessions with members of senior management to discuss the results of their examinations, their evaluations of the Company s internal controls, and the overall quality of the Company s financial reporting. The Audit Committee also periodically meets in executive session.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board (and the Board subsequently approved the recommendation) that the audited financial statements be included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

AUDIT COMMITTEE
Jeffery M. Jackson, Chairman

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The Board appoints our executive officers at the first Board meeting following our annual stockholders meeting and updates the executive officer positions as needed throughout the year. Each executive officer serves at the behest of the Board and until their successors are appointed, or until the earlier of their death, resignation or removal.

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to our executive officers as of March 31, 2009:

Name	Age	Position	
Mark E. Speese	51	Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer	
Mitchell E. Fadel	51	President and Chief Operating Officer	
Robert D. Davis	37	Executive Vice President Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	
Christopher A. Korst	49	Executive Vice President Operations	
William S. Short	51	Executive Vice President Operations	
Ronald D. DeMoss	58	Executive Vice President General Counsel and Secretary	
David E. West	58	Executive Vice President Operational Services	
Mark E. Speese	Mr. Speese has served as the Chairman of our Board and Chief Executive Officer since October 2001 and has served as one of our directors since 1990. Mr. Speese previously served as our Vice Chairman from September 1999 until March 2001. From 1990 until April 1999, Mr. Speese served as our President. Mr. Speese also served as our Chief Operating Officer from November 1994 until March 1999.		
Mitchell E. Fadel	since December 2002 and as a	esident since July 2000, as our Chief Operating Officer director since December 2000. From November 1992 until President and Chief Executive Officer of ColorTyme.	
Robert D. Davis	Senior Vice President Finance since March 1999 and as our T September 1999, Mr. Davis se	Recutive Vice President Finance since February 2008, our ce since September 1999, as our Chief Financial Officer Treasurer since January 1997. From September 1998 until rved as our Vice President Finance and Treasurer. ent with us in 1993 as an accountant. Mr. Davis is a ntant in the State of Texas.	
Christopher A. Korst	Mr. Korst has served as our Executive Vice President Operations since January 2008. Mr. Korst previously served as our Secretary since September 2004 and our Senior Vice President General Counsel since May 2001. From January 2000 until May 2001, Mr. Korst owned and operated AdvantEdge Quality Cars, which he acquired in a management buyout.		
William S. Short		ecutive Vice President Operations since November 2006. 2006, Mr. Short was employed by Rent-Way Inc., serving	

in various capacities including President and a member of Rent-Way s board since May 2005. Mr. Short also served as Senior Vice President of Operations of Rent-Way from 2002 to 2004, and Executive Vice President Chief Operating Officer of Rent-Way from 2004 to 2005. We acquired Rent-Way in November 2006.

Ronald D. DeMoss

Mr. DeMoss has served as our Executive Vice President General Counsel and Secretary since August 2008, and as our Senior Vice President General Counsel and Secretary of the Company since January 2008. From November 2006 until December 2007, Mr. DeMoss served as our Vice President Associate General Counsel. Mr. DeMoss previously served as Vice President and General Counsel of Rent-Way, Inc. from February 1996 to November 2006. We acquired Rent-Way in November 2006.

David E. West

Mr. West has served as our Executive Vice President Operational Services since August 2008, and as our Senior Vice President Operational Services since May 2005. From September 2004 until May 2005, Mr. West served as our Vice President Operational Services. From August 1992 until September 2004, Mr. West served as our Vice President Product Service.

13

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Executive Compensation Program Objectives

Decisions with respect to compensation of our executive officers, including our chief executive officer and other named executive officers, are made by our Compensation Committee, which is comprised solely of independent directors. Our Compensation Committee has identified four primary objectives for our executive compensation program, which govern the decisions it makes with respect to the amount and type of compensation paid to our named executive officers. The objectives of our executive compensation program are to:

attract, retain and motivate senior executives with competitive compensation opportunities;

balance short-term and long-term strategic goals;

align our executive compensation program with the core values identified in our mission statement which include respect for people, integrity, a commitment to excellence, ownership and stakeholder focus; and

reward performance that increases the value of our stock.

Compensation Process

The Compensation Committee typically begins the process of determining the amount and mix of total compensation to be paid to our senior executives, including our named executive officers, in December of each year and finalizes the amounts the following January. This enables the Compensation Committee to examine and consider our performance during the previous year in establishing the current year s compensation.

The Compensation Committee has traditionally relied heavily on the input and recommendations of our Chief Executive Officer, Mark E. Speese, who reviews and makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee with respect to our executive compensation programs. The Compensation Committee believes Mr. Speese s unique insight into our business, his role as a founder of our company, his day-to-day interaction with our senior executives, as well as his over 30 years of experience in the rent-to-own industry, provides a valuable resource to the Compensation Committee. Prior to providing his input and recommendations to the Compensation Committee, our Human Resources department prepares, and Mr. Speese reviews, an informal position-level analysis of our compensation programs company-wide, which includes a review of our named executive officers compensation for internal consistency relative to our other officers and employees, and attempts to achieve consistency between the relative difference between the compensation of our named executive officers and the compensation of our other officers and employees. Mr. Speese does not, however, participate in the discussions among the members of the Compensation Committee with respect to his own compensation.

The Compensation Committee also reviews data with respect to the executive compensation practices of publicly-traded companies in the retail industry compiled by our Human Resources department. The Compensation Committee did not retain a compensation consultant to assist it with compensation decisions for 2008 executive compensation, but relied on market data gathered by our Human Resources department in evaluating the competitiveness of pay arrangements for our named executive officers, including independent compensation surveys by companies (such as Mercer LLC and the Economic Research Institute (ERI), conducted with respect to companies categorized as retailers with similar sales revenue as our company. Consistent with 2007, the Compensation Committee s compensation philosophy for 2008 was to target total executive compensation at the 50th percentile of

retail companies that are similar in size to ours. To assist it in evaluating compensation decisions for 2009, the Compensation Committee engaged Hewitt Associates, LLC to conduct a formal evaluation of our compensation arrangements for our senior executives and directors as compared to those arrangements offered by similarly-situated public companies in the retail industry. See page 23 of this proxy statement for a discussion regarding executive compensation changes implemented by the Compensation Committee for 2009 and Hewitt s role in that process.

Finally, various members of the Compensation Committee have significant professional experience in the retail industry, as well as with respect to the executive compensation practices of large publicly-traded companies. This experience provides a frame of reference within which to evaluate our executive compensation program relative to general economic conditions and our progress in achieving our short term and long-term goals.

When the Compensation Committee considers the mix and amount of total compensation for our named executive officers, it reviews a tally sheet which contains information regarding, among other things:

each named executive officer s compensation for the previous three years;

the type and amount of long-term incentive awards granted to each named executive officer in the previous three years;

14

Table of Contents

our equity securities owned by each named executive officer as of the end of the most recently completed fiscal year;

the proceeds realized by each named executive officer from sales of our equity securities in the previous three years; and

the severance payments to which each named executive officer would be entitled to receive upon the occurrence of certain events, taking into account the proposed compensation to be paid to such named executive officer for the new fiscal year.

The Compensation Committee uses these tally sheets to estimate the total annual compensation of the named executive officers, and provide it a perspective on the named executive officers wealth accumulation from our compensation programs and potential payouts to them under a range of termination scenarios. Before finalizing the compensation of the named executive officers for any given year, the tally sheets allow the Compensation Committee to fully understand the impact that its decisions will have on each named executive officer s total existing and potential compensation. In its review of tally sheets for 2008 compensation, the Compensation Committee discovered no unintended consequences of the compensation program, and consequently, no material changes were made or deemed necessary to the executive compensation program or the individual elements of our executive officers compensation as a result of this review.

See the sections entitled Potential Payments and Benefits Upon Termination Without a Change in Control and Potential Payments and Benefits Upon Termination With a Change in Control beginning on pages 36 and 38, respectively, of this proxy statement for the total amount of compensation and benefits each named executive officer could receive as a result of the various termination events and a description of our severance arrangements beginning on page 33 of this proxy statement.

Forms of Compensation

The following forms of compensation are currently utilized by the Compensation Committee in compensating our named executive officers:

base salary, which is paid in cash;

annual incentive compensation, which is paid in cash;

long-term incentive compensation;

severance arrangements; and

limited fringe benefits, including perquisites.

Base Salary. The base salary for each of our named executive officers represents the guaranteed portion of their total compensation and is determined annually by the Compensation Committee. Base salary is intended to reward the performance of each named executive officer during the fiscal year relative to his or her position with us. In establishing the base salary for each of our named executive officers, the Compensation Committee reviews:

the named executive officer s historical performance in his or her position with us, based on the input received from Mr. Speese, including whether he or she achieved the goals set under the MBO program (discussed below),

the financial performance within his or her area of responsibility and other subjective factors;

Mr. Speese s recommendations as to the proposed base salary (other than his own);

our financial performance; and

recent comparative peer data, which for 2008 compensation, was compiled by our Human Resources department and which generally consists of statistical compensation studies of public companies in the retail industry.

Annual Incentive Compensation. The Compensation Committee maintains an annual incentive compensation program for our executive officers that provides for awards in the form of a cash bonus. The Compensation Committee believes that cash bonuses are appropriate to promote our interests as well as those of our stockholders by providing our named executive officers with short-term financial rewards upon achievement of specified short-term objectives, which the Compensation Committee believes will ultimately increase the value of

15

Table of Contents

our stock, as well as help us attract and retain our named executive officers by providing attractive compensation opportunities.

MBO Program-General. Our named executive officers, other than Mr. Speese, participate in our management bonus opportunity program, which we refer to as our MBO program. Under our MBO program, cash bonuses are established at a pre-determined percentage of the named executive officer s base salary, with such percentage amount set in accordance with the eligible named executive officer s position and responsibilities with us. The percentage allocation as well as the potential ultimate payouts pursuant to our MBO program for each year are typically approved by the Compensation Committee in January at the same time that all compensation for our named executive officers is reviewed and, if applicable, approved. This enables the Compensation Committee and Mr. Speese to examine the named executive officer s performance during the previous year, establish performance goals for our eligible named executive officers relative to such performance, as well as determine financial performance targets for the new fiscal year based in part upon the previous year s performance.

Consistent with prior years, the 2008 MBO program was divided into two parts — a measure based on our company-wide financial performance, which made up 40% of the potential annual incentive award, and an individual performance measure specific to each named executive officer, which made up the remaining 60% of the potential annual incentive award. The 60%/40% allocation for the 2008 MBO program represented the Compensation Committee—s determination that, although a substantial portion of the cash bonus opportunity for our named executive officers should be dependent upon our overall financial performance, the individual performance of each named executive officer could be measured in a number of ways which may not necessarily be reflected in our overall financial performance, since such performance may be largely dependent on a variety of factors over which an individual named executive officer may not exercise control. The Compensation Committee further determined that our consolidated pre-tax net income was the appropriate basis upon which to measure our performance in this context, based in part upon input previously received from Hewitt in 2005 regarding the performance measures utilized with respect to similar awards made by similarly-situated public companies in the retail industry. The Compensation Committee also believes that consolidated pre-tax net income generally represents an accurate indicator of our financial performance over a one-year period of time.

Financial Performance Measure. In setting the target amount of financial performance, the Compensation Committee reviews with Mr. Speese our financial projections as well as the market s expectations with respect to our company-wide financial performance for the applicable year. Our targeted financial performance portion of the MBO program contains provisions such that each participating named executive officer is typically eligible to receive a cash bonus in a range from a minimum amount should we approach, but fail to achieve, our targeted financial performance, a target amount, should we achieve our targeted financial performance, and a maximum amount should we exceed our targeted financial performance.

The Compensation Committee recognizes that there may be instances where the accounting treatment of a matter may have a disproportionate impact on our financial results in any given year, irrespective of whether or not such accounting impact truly reflects our operating results for that fiscal year, as may be the case with changes in our accruals for insurance and legal liabilities. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee uses its discretion in evaluating our financial performance with respect to the MBO program, and may exclude certain accounting measures and extraordinary items in determining whether we met our financial performance target when doing so is consistent with our compensation objectives.

For 2009, the Compensation Committee revised our MBO program structure to make 100% of the bonus payout contingent on company financial performance. See page 23 for a discussion of these changes for 2009.

Individual Performance Measure. As a result of a collaborative process between each named executive officer and Mr. Speese, approximately three to five distinct individual goals and objectives are established for each named executive officer, some of which may be linked to our performance within the named executive officer s sphere of influence, and some of which may be more personal in nature, depending on the facts and circumstances applicable to each named executive officer.

In January of each year, Mr. Speese reviews the named executive officer s performance with respect to the individual goals and objectives. To the extent that the achievement of such individual goals and objectives is not objectively quantifiable, the portion of the maximum amount otherwise payable upon the achievement of the individual goals and objectives which is ultimately awarded to a particular named executive officer reflects Mr. Speese s subjective determination, based upon his collaboration with such named executive officer, as to the named executive officer s performance with respect to any such individual goals and objectives. In the event that

16

Table of Contents

an eligible named executive officer approaches, yet fails to achieve, all of the individual goals and objectives, the named executive officer is typically eligible to receive a portion of the amount otherwise payable upon the achievement of the individual goals and objectives.

Mr. Speese Annual Incentive Compensation. Mr. Speese does not participate in our MBO program, but the Compensation Committee has the ability to award a cash bonus to Mr. Speese in its discretion pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement, which is discussed in greater detail below. In determining whether Mr. Speese is awarded a cash bonus and if so, in what amount, the Compensation Committee will review our financial performance for the relevant fiscal year, Mr. Speese s past performance, total cash compensation necessary to retain top executive talent, and whether we attained the financial performance measure for the MBO program for our other senior executive officers, including our named executive officers.

For a description of the cash bonuses paid to our named executive officers for 2008, please see Determination of 2008 Compensation Long-Term Incentive Compensation Award Grants in 2008 on page 21, the Summary Compensation Table on page 26 and the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 28 of this proxy statement.

Long-Term Incentive Compensation. Our equity incentive plans are administered by the Compensation Committee and are designed to enable the Compensation Committee to provide incentive compensation to our employees in the form of stock options, stock awards, other equity awards, and performance-based equity awards. The Compensation Committee believes that awarding our named executive officers non-cash, long-term equity incentive compensation, primarily in the form of long-term incentive awards which may increase in value in conjunction with the satisfaction by us of pre-determined performance measures and/or an increase in the value of our common stock, more effectively aligns their interests with ours. The Compensation Committee also believes that such awards will provide our named executive officers with an incentive to remain in their positions with us, since the determination as to whether a particular measure for our performance and/or an increase in the value of our common stock has been satisfied is typically made over an extended period of time. In general, the Compensation Committee considers equity awards to our named executive officers on an annual basis, normally in January of each year.

Generally, long-term incentive awards are made to our named executive officers pursuant to (i) the Rent-A-Center, Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan, which we refer to as the 2006 Plan, and (ii) the Rent-A-Center, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, which we refer to as the Equity Plan. Under the terms of each of the 2006 Plan and the Equity Plan, awards may be granted at times and upon vesting and other conditions as determined by the Compensation Committee, and may be made in the form of stock options, stock awards, other equity awards, and performance-based equity awards. In addition, under the 2006 Plan, awards may be made in the form of cash awards.

The Compensation Committee has generally granted long-term incentive equity awards to our named executive officers other than Mr. Speese in the form of options to purchase shares of our common stock and restricted stock units. Stock option awards under our equity incentive plans are granted at the fair market value per share of our common stock on the date the option is granted as determined by reference to the closing price for shares of our common stock on the Nasdaq Global Select Market on the last market trading day prior to the date the option is granted. The options granted to our named executive officers typically vest ratably over a four-year period, commencing one year from the date of grant, and expire after 10 years.

The restricted stock units granted by our Compensation Committee vest either incrementally over a period of time or upon the achievement of specified goals for our performance over a period of time. Awards of restricted stock with time-based vesting provide our named executive officers with a minimum level of value while also providing an additional incentive for such individuals to remain in their positions with us. Awards of restricted stock with performance-based vesting provide an additional incentive for our named executive officers to remain in their positions with us in order to realize the benefit of such award and also focus them on a performance parameter which

the Compensation Committee considers beneficial to increasing the value of our stock, and consequently, stockholder value. We typically grant awards of restricted stock units to our named executive officers as a portion of a long-term incentive award in January of each year. The Compensation Committee typically determines the dollar value for each award of restricted stock with time-based vesting and performance-based vesting to each of our named executive officers. Prior to 2009, the annual awards of restricted stock units to our named executive officers were structured so that half of the value of the award had time-based vesting and the other half had performance-based vesting.

17

Table of Contents

In place of long-term equity awards, the Compensation Committee has typically granted Mr. Speese a cash award with vesting provisions similar to the vesting provisions of the long-term equity awards granted to our other named executive officers.

For a description of the long-term incentive awards granted to our named executive officers for 2008, please see

Description of 2008 Compensation Long-Term Incentive Compensation Award Grants in 2008 on page 21, the
Summary Compensation Table on page 26 and the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 28 of this proxy statement.

In 2009, the Compensation Committee revised the structure of the annual long-term incentive awards. See page 23 for a discussion of these changes for 2009.

Severance Arrangements. We have an employment agreement with Mr. Speese and executive transition agreements with our other named executive officers to provide certain payments and benefits upon an involuntary termination of the named executive officer s employment or the occurrence of certain other circumstances that may affect the named executive officer. The Compensation Committee believes that such severance arrangements assist us in recruiting and retaining top-level talent. In addition, formalizing our severance practices benefits us (1) by providing us with certainty in terms of our obligations to an eligible executive in the event that our relationship with him or her is severed and (2) by virtue of the non-competition, non-solicitation and release provisions in our loyalty agreements, which inure to our benefit in the event that an eligible executive severs employment with us.

For a more detailed description of the severance arrangements which apply to our named executive officers, please see Termination of Employment and Change-in-Control Arrangements beginning on page 33 of this proxy statement.

Fringe Benefits and Perquisites. Our named executive officers are eligible to participate in the benefit plans generally available to all of our employees, which include health, dental, life insurance, vision and disability plans, all of which the Compensation Committee believes are commensurate with plans of other similarly situated public companies in the retail industry. In addition, we will pay for the cost of one annual executive physical examination for each named executive officer. Our named executive officers are also eligible to participate in our 401(k) plan and the Rent-A-Center, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan. The Deferred Compensation Plan allows our executive officers to defer tax liability on a portion of their compensation that they would not otherwise be able to contribute due to applicable IRS limitations on contributions to 401(k) plans by highly compensated individuals.

In addition, we own and operate a corporate jet for use by management for business purposes which is available for limited non-business use to our named executive officers. Use of the corporate aircraft by these executives for non-business use is subject to availability. Under the policy established by the Board, none of our executives is permitted to charter the corporate aircraft for non-business use more than once per calendar quarter, unless the executive first submits a request to Mr. Speese, who must consult with the Chairman of the Audit Committee in determining whether to approve such additional non-business use. Once a reservation of the corporate aircraft for non-business use is made, the executive must pay us, in advance, 110% of the estimated total cost of the requested use of the corporate aircraft. If the actual cost for the non-business use of the corporate aircraft exceeds the advance deposit made by the requesting executive, the additional amount is deemed compensation for the requesting executive and reflected on his or her W-2 earnings statement for the year.

The Compensation Committee has determined it is beneficial to offer the above-described fringe benefits and perquisites in order to attract and retain our named executive officers by offering compensation opportunities that are competitive with those offered by similarly-situated public companies in the retail industry. In determining the total compensation payable to our named executive officers for a given fiscal year, the Compensation Committee will examine such fringe benefits and perquisites in the context of the total compensation which our named executive

officers are eligible to receive. However, given the fact that such fringe benefits and perquisites which are available to our named executive officers represent a relatively insignificant portion of their total compensation, the availability of such items does not materially influence the decisions made by the Compensation Committee with respect to other elements of the total compensation to which our named executive officers are entitled or awarded.

For a description of the fringe benefits and perquisites received by our named executive officers in 2008, please see All Other Compensation on page 27 of this proxy statement.

18

Table of Contents

Section 162(m)

In general, Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code imposes a \$1,000,000 limit on the amount of compensation we can deduct in any year with respect to our Chief Executive Officer and each of our four other most highly compensated executive officers (other than our Chief Financial Officer). The limit does not apply to so-called performance-based compensation, which includes compensation attributable to stock options and performance-based restricted stock awards granted pursuant to the 2006 Plan or the Equity Plan. The Compensation Committee believes that our executive compensation deduction for 2008 will not be materially affected by the Section 162(m) limitations. Although the Compensation Committee generally seeks to avoid the Section 162(m) deduction limitations, it is possible, depending upon the circumstances, that items of future compensation may be non-deductible due to those limitations.

Determination of 2008 Compensation

2008 Annual Base Salary Adjustments and 2008 Target Annual Incentive Compensation

At the beginning of 2008, the Compensation Committee considered whether adjustments would be made to the annual base salaries and target annual incentive compensation opportunities for our named executive officers. During the Compensation Committee is review of base salaries for our named executive officers for 2008, the Compensation Committee primarily considered market data provided by our Human Resources department, the input of Mr. Speese (other than with respect to his own base salary), individual performance (including whether the named executive officer achieved the goals established under the MBO program for 2007), our financial performance, the experience of the executive officer, and each named executive officer is compensation in relation to our other executive officers. In determining Mr. Speese is base salary for 2008, the Compensation Committee considered our financial performance in 2007 and the level of compensation paid to the highest paid executive at similarly situated public companies in the retail industry.

Based on its review, the Compensation Committee increased the base salaries of the named executive officers for 2008 as set forth in the table below. The increases were generally consistent with the Compensation Committee s decision to increase our named executive officers base salaries consistent with the 4.0% cost of living increase, on an overall basis, of the base salaries for our home office employees. This increase was also consistent with the Compensation Committee s decision to move our executive compensation levels toward the 50th percentile of base salaries paid to executive officers with comparable duties and responsibilities at similarly-situated public companies in the retail industry. In doing so, the Compensation Committee reviewed the market data compiled by our Human Resources department and the ERI and Mercer data as described more fully in Compensation Discussion and Analysis Compensation Process on page 14 of this proxy. Mr. Speese s base salary was increased by approximately 3%, Messrs. Fadel and Short each received an approximately 4% increase in base salary and Mr. Davis received a 7% increase in base salary, each in an effort to more closely align their base salaries with that of individuals in comparable positions based on survey data collected by ERI and Mercer. Mr. Korst received a 12% salary increase for 2008 due to his promotion in January 2008 to Executive Vice President-Operations. The base salary adjustments for 2008 were effective March 1, 2008. As a percentage of each participating named executive officer s 2008 base salary, the 2008 target MBO program compensation represented for Mitchell E. Fadel, 50%; and for Messrs. Davis, Short and Korst, 40%. No adjustments were made to the named executive officers 2008 target annual incentive compensation as a percentage of their respective base salaries.

Annual Base Salaries

Name 2007 Base Salary 2008 Base Salary

Edgar Filing: RENT A CENTER INC DE - Form DEF 14A

			Percentage Increase
Mark E. Speese	\$ 775,000	\$ 800,000	3.2%
Robert D. Davis	\$ 355,000	\$ 380,000	7.0%
Mitchell E. Fadel	\$ 525,000	\$ 543,000	3.4%
Christopher A. Korst	\$ 294,000	\$ 330,000	12.2%
William S. Short	\$ 350,000	\$ 365,000	4.3%

MBO Program Compensation for 2008

In January 2009, the Compensation Committee reviewed the amounts payable pursuant to the named executive officers annual incentive awards under the 2008 MBO program for performance in 2008 based on the metrics

19

Table of Contents

approved by the Compensation Committee in January 2008. The Compensation Committee further awarded Mr. Speese s cash bonus for his performance in 2008 at that time. The annual cash incentive awards for 2008 performance were paid in cash in February 2009. The following discussion sets forth the process the Compensation Committee followed in reviewing the amounts payable to each named executive officer under the MBO program for 2008 performance. As previously described, the MBO program for 2008 was comprised of a company-wide financial performance measure and an individual performance measure.

MBO Program Company-Wide Financial Performance Goals. Our 2008 MBO financial performance goal, which was used to determine the 40% company financial performance portion for our named executive officers under the 2008 MBO program, was set at \$247.7 million of consolidated pre-tax net income. When setting the performance target for 2008, the Compensation Committee elected to use a pre-tax financial measurement because, in the Compensation Committee s view, that measure more appropriately reflects management s current year impact on operating results by eliminating any potential positive or negative effect on our effective tax rate of non-operating items (such as reserves and refinancing charges).

The percentage of the financial performance portion of the MBO program award to be received by each named executive officer if we missed, met or exceeded this target during 2008 is set forth in the table below:

2008 MBO Program **Financial Performance Target**

Percent of Target Financial	Percent of 40% Portion of MBO Target
Performance Target Achieved	Earned by Named Executive Officer

< 95.	99%	0%
96.00%	97.00%	25%
97.01%	98.00%	50%
98.01%	99.00%	75%
99.01%	101.00%	100%
101.01%	102.50%	110%
102.51%	105.00%	125%
105.00	0% <	150%

The financial performance targets were established following a review of our financial projections developed in alignment with our strategic plan and objectives for 2008. Generally, the financial performance target levels are set such that the relative difficulty of achieving the target level is consistent from year to year. For 2008, the corporate performance target was set below the target level set for 2007, as the Compensation Committee determined that financial performance for 2008 could be negatively impacted by our store consolidation program and our financial results from the summer of 2007.

MBO Program Individual Performance Goals. Individual performance goals for 2008 included some linked to performance within the named executive officer s sphere of influence, and some of which were more personal in nature, depending on the facts and circumstances applicable to each named executive officer. These individual goals and objectives included the management of expenses within a named executive officer s area of responsibility, the professional development of personnel which report directly to a named executive officer, and the implementation of certain initiatives.

MBO Program Compensation Determinations for 2008

On January 30, 2009, the Compensation Committee reviewed the actual performance of our company relative to the pre-tax consolidated net income amount for corporate performance goals that were established for the year. In reviewing our actual 2008 performance relative to the corporate financial goals, the Compensation Committee determined that it would not be appropriate to make any adjustments to exclude any accounting measures or extraordinary items in determining whether we met our financial performance target. The Compensation Committee determined that we achieved pre-tax consolidated net income of \$221.3 million, which was below the target of \$247.7 million.

Based on the policies described earlier in this compensation discussion and analysis and the individual criteria established for each named executive officer for 2008, in January 2009 the Compensation Committee reviewed Mr. Speese s analysis of the named executive officers performance in 2008. Based on such individual

20

Table of Contents

performance review, the individual performance factor payment generally ranged between 86% and 100% as determined by Mr. Speese and reviewed by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee discussed with Mr. Speese the performance of the named executive officers (other than himself) with respect to the individual goals that Mr. Speese discussed with each of them for 2008.

Based upon our company s financial performance in 2008 and the individual performance of each named executive officer (other than Mr. Speese):

Mr. Davis received an annual cash incentive bonus for his 2008 performance in the amount of \$91,200, which is based 0% on our target corporate performance and 100% on Mr. Davis s individual performance;

Mr. Fadel received an annual cash incentive bonus for his 2008 performance in the amount of \$142,130, which is based 0% on our target corporate performance and 87% on Mr. Fadel s individual performance;

Mr. Korst received an annual cash incentive bonus for his 2008 performance in the amount of \$68,112, which is based 0% on our target corporate performance and 86% on Mr. Korst s individual performance; and

Mr. Short received an annual cash incentive bonus for his 2008 performance in the amount of \$75,336, which is based 0% on our target corporate performance and 86% on Mr. Short s individual performance.

Mr. Speese 2008 Cash Bonus. Although Mr. Speese does not participate in our MBO program, the Compensation Committee determined a target cash bonus for Mr. Speese in January 2008 of 60% of his base salary. In determining whether Mr. Speese is actually awarded a cash bonus and if so, in what amount, the Compensation Committee reviews the factors described above under Annual Incentive Compensation Mr. Speese Annual Incentive Compensation on page 17 of this proxy statement. In January 2009, the Compensation Committee determined that Mr. Speese should receive a cash bonus for the fiscal 2008 year in the amount of \$288,000, which amount is equal to 60% of Mr. Speese s targeted cash bonus. In making this determination, the Compensation Committee considered Mr. Speese s individual contributions to our company and also considered that we did not attain the financial performance measure for our 2008 MBO program.

The amounts awarded to our named executive officers for their annual cash incentive bonus for 2008 performance is included in the Summary Compensation Table under the column Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation on page 26 of this proxy statement.

Long-Term Incentive Compensation Award Grants in 2008

The Compensation Committee determines the timing of the annual grants of stock options and restricted stock units to our named executive officers as well as the terms and restrictions applicable to such grants. The Compensation Committee approves the annual grant to our executive officers after the Compensation Committee has reviewed the information set forth in the tally sheets. Stock options are granted with an exercise price equal to the fair market value per share of our common stock on the date the option is granted by reference to the closing price for shares on the Nasdaq Global Select Market on the last market trading day prior to the date the option is granted. Grants may also be made in connection with significant hires or promotions.

Annual long-term incentive compensation grants to our named executive officers for 2008 were comprised of an approximate 50/50 combination of stock options and restricted stock units. Options are granted at target levels, are not adjusted for company or individual performance, and vest ratably over a four year period commencing on the one-year anniversary of the date of grant. Restricted stock units awards are generally divided into units with time-based vesting and units with performance-based vesting. The time-based restricted stock units vest upon the named executive officer

completing three years of continuous employment with us from January 30, 2008. The performance-based restricted stock units vest upon our achievement of a three-year EBITDA target of \$1.335 billion for the three-year period ending December 31, 2010. The long-term equity incentive grants to our named executive officers in 2008 were generally made in the same type of award and vesting provisions as was done in 2006 and 2007. The dollar amount, the allocation of the dollar amounts to each vesting requirement and the basis for selecting the dollar amounts allocated to each of the vesting requirements were determined by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee based the dollar amount of the award on data compiled by and recommendations of Hewitt in 2006. For 2008, the Compensation Committee generally used the same dollar amounts equal to a percentage of base salary for the awards to our named executive officers as were utilized in 2006 and 2007.

21

Table of Contents

Given Mr. Speese s significant ownership of our common stock, and consistent with the prior year, the Compensation Committee determined in January 2008 that a long-term incentive award granted to Mr. Speese should be a cash award, containing substantially the same vesting schedule and requirements as those of the long-term incentive equity awards granted to our other named executive officers.

The dollar amounts of the 2008 long-term incentive grants to our named executive officers, by type of award, were as follows:

D - -4--2 -4 - 1 C4 - -1- 11--24 -

		Restricted Stock Units Performance-Based			
	G 1		Time-Based	T 7	7 7. ()
Name	Cash	Stock Options	Vesting	Vesting	Total
Mark E. Speese	\$ 520,000				\$ 520,000
Robert D. Davis		\$ 57,000	\$ 28,500	\$ 28,500	\$ 114,000
Mitchell E. Fadel		\$ 122,000	\$ 61,000	\$ 61,000	\$ 244,000
William S. Short		\$ 55,000	\$ 27,500	\$ 27,500	\$ 110,000
Christopher A. Korst		\$ 49,500	\$ 24,750	\$ 24,750	\$ 99,000

Consistent with the prior year, the Compensation Committee established an EBITDA target as the appropriate basis upon which to measure our performance in this context based in part upon input previously received from Hewitt regarding the performance measures utilized with respect to similar awards made by similarly-situated public companies in the retail industry. The Compensation Committee also believes EBITDA represents an accurate indicator of our performance over an extended period of time, as an EBITDA measure incorporates certain factors which the Compensation Committee believed were important to an understanding of our performance over such period, such as an increase in revenue as well as the management of our expenses, while not incorporating other factors which the Compensation Committee did not believe were important to an understanding of our performance over such period, such as any repurchases of our outstanding shares which would affect an earnings per share measurement. In setting the target amount of EBITDA over such three-year period, the Compensation Committee reviewed with Mr. Speese our financial projections as well as the market s expectations with respect to our financial performance over such period. The Compensation Committee selected a three-year period over which to measure EBITDA based upon both input received from Hewitt regarding the time-period utilized with respect to similar awards made by similarly-situated public companies in the retail industry, as well as upon its belief that a three-year measurement period was appropriate to place an emphasis on our operating results over an extended period of time, as opposed to the single year measure which is typically utilized in our MBO program. The three year EBITDA target for the 2008 performance based awards was set at \$1.335 billion.

22

Table of Contents

In addition, the awards of restricted stock with performance-based vesting to our named executive officers (and cash, with respect to Mr. Speese s award) in January 2008 contained provisions with respect to our achievement of the target EBITDA, such that each eligible named executive officer may receive (1) an additional payout pursuant to such award in the event that we exceed the target EBITDA over such period, and (2) a portion of the target payout pursuant to such award in the event that we approach, yet fail to achieve, the target level of financial performance. The Compensation Committee believed that such adjustments were appropriate to operate as an additional incentive for our named executive officers in the event that we either exceed or approach, yet fail to achieve, the target EBITDA of \$1.335 billion. The percentage of the restricted stock unit awards to be received by each named executive office if we miss, meet, or exceed the target three-year EBITDA is set forth in the table below:

2008 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Financial Performance Target for Performance-Based Award

Percent of Target EBITDA Achieved

Percent of Target Performance-Based Award Received

< 87.0	0%	0%
87.00%	90.00%	20%
90.10%	93.00%	40%
93.10%	96.00%	60%
96.10%	99.00%	80%
99.10%	101.00%	100%
101.10%	104.00%	110%
104.10%	107.00%	120%
107.10%	111.00%	130%
111.10%	115.00%	140%
115.00	% <	150%

Changes for 2009 Compensation

In the fall of 2008, the Compensation Committee determined it appropriate to again engage Hewitt to conduct a formal evaluation of our compensation arrangements for our directors and our senior executives, including our named executive officers, in relation to those arrangements offered by similarly-situated public companies in the retail industry. Hewitt had previously been engaged by the Compensation Committee in connection with its evaluation of our compensation arrangements in 2006, and assisted the Compensation Committee in formulating the 2006 Plan and the structure of our long-term incentive awards under the 2006 Plan. Hewitt was engaged directly by the Compensation Committee, and has performed no other services to us or any of our executive officers or directors within the previous three years.

In December 2008, Hewitt presented its findings to the Compensation Committee and recommended that the Compensation Committee consider revisions to our compensation arrangements to more fully align our executive compensation arrangements with the 50th percentile of similarly situated public companies identified by Hewitt. In connection with that review, the Compensation Committee determined it advisable to revise our MBO program structure to both increase the eligible bonus percentage available to our senior executives, including our named executive officers, as well as to tie the attainment of that bonus solely to a company financial performance measure. The Compensation Committee also made revisions to the structure of our long-term incentive awards granted to our senior executives, including our named executive officers, both to increase the size of the award to an amount commensurate with the 50th percentile of similarly situated companies, as well as to revise the structure of such

awards to more heavily weight the awards toward company financial performance goals. These adjustments were made to more fully align potential compensation to the 50th percentile of similarly situated companies and to emphasize that such compensation should be more aligned with actual performance.

23

Table of Contents

In connection with its review of our compensation arrangements, Hewitt determined that the following similarly situated public companies provided an appropriate comparison for the purpose of evaluating our compensation arrangements for our senior executives and directors:

99 Cents Only Stores Aaron Rents Inc Advance America/Cash Advance

AmeriCredit Corp Autozone Inc Bed Bath & Beyond Inc

Big Lots Inc Blockbuster Inc Cash America International Inc

Dollar Financial Corp Dollar Tree Inc Ezcorp Inc
Family Dollar Stores Pier 1 Imports Inc Radioshack Corp

Williams-Sonoma Inc

Hewitt used the following criteria to establish this group:

Companies with a similar business focus as ours, including both retail (particularly home furnishings and other retail organizations with which we compete for customers in a similar demographic) and consumer finance;

Companies with similar revenue and market value as us, and where we approximated the median and average of the group; and

U.S.-based public companies, with a preference toward companies based in the Dallas/Fort-Worth metroplex area

The Compensation Committee approved the use of this group.

MBO Program General

As discussed above, for 2009 the Compensation Committee determined that it would be more appropriate to award bonuses to our named executive officers based upon the achievement of a company financial performance measure. In making that decision, the Compensation Committee determined that individualized objectives were better addressed through the amount of base salary awarded to the applicable named executive officer, and that the achievement of a bonus should be attributed more heavily to company financial performance. In that regard, the Compensation Committee determined that, since each executive officer was aligned with the overall performance of the company, 100% of the executive s bonus should be determined based upon the achievement of an overall company financial performance objective. In determining the financial measure target, the Compensation Committee reviewed our financial projections for 2009 as well as the market s expectations of our financial performance. Based upon that review, the Compensation Committee established a financial performance target under the 2009 MBO program of \$249.3 million of pre-tax consolidated net income. The Compensation Committee further determined that, consistent with its views as to the financial performance measure for our long term incentive awards, that each eligible executive officer may receive (1) an additional bonus amount in the event that we exceed the financial performance target for the fiscal year, and (2) a portion of the bonus in the event that we approach, yet fail to achieve, the target level of financial performance. The Compensation Committee believed that such adjustments were appropriate to operate as an additional incentive for our named executive officers in the event that we either exceed or approach, yet fail to achieve, the financial performance target.

24

Table of Contents

2009 MBO Program Financial Performance Target

Percent of Target Financial Performance Target Achieved	Percent of MBO Target Earned by Named Executive Officer	
< 80%	0%	
80.0% 85.0%	50%	
85.0% 90.0%	60%	