

ERIE INDEMNITY CO
Form 10-Q/A
January 27, 2003

Table of Contents

FORM 10-Q/A

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarter ended September 30, 2002

Commission file number 0-24000

ERIE INDEMNITY COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

PENNSYLVANIA
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

25-0466020
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

100 Erie Insurance Place, Erie, Pennsylvania
(Address of principal executive offices)

16530
(Zip Code)

(814) 870-2000

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

Not applicable

Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter periods that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practical date.

Class A Common Stock, no par value, with a stated value of \$.0292 per share
63,677,106 shares as of November 1, 2002.

Class B Common Stock, no par value, with a stated value of \$70 per share
3,050 shares as of November 1, 2002.

The common stock is the only class of stock the Registrant is presently authorized to issue.

Table of Contents

AMENDMENTS:

On January 16, 2003, the Company filed Amendment No. 4 to its Registration Statement on Form S-3 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), amending its registration statement on Form S-3 originally filed on September 20, 2002. The Company hereby amends and restates Items 1 and 2 of its Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2002 that was originally filed with the SEC on November 6, 2002 (the Original Filing) to provide supplemental information and clarifying disclosure requested by the SEC in connection with the Form S-3. This report continues to speak as of the date of the Original Filing, and the Company has not updated the disclosures in this report to speak as of a later date.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

ITEM 11. STATEMENT REGARDING COMPUTATION OF PER SHARE EARNINGS

SIGNATURES

CERTIFICATIONS

Table of Contents

INDEX

ERIE INDEMNITY COMPANY

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Consolidated Statements of Financial Position September 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001

Consolidated Statements of Operations Three and nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income Three and nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2002

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

Item 11. Statement Regarding Computation of Per Share Earnings

SIGNATURES

OFFICER CERTIFICATIONS

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ERIE INDEMNITY COMPANY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION

| ASSETS | (Dollars in thousands) | |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|
| | September 30, 2002 | December 31, 2001 |
| | (Unaudited) | |
| INVESTMENTS | | |
| Fixed maturities at fair value (amortized cost of \$620,274 and \$543,423, respectively) | \$ 646,172 | \$ 559,873 |
| Equity securities at fair value (cost of \$165,772 and \$159,727, respectively) | 181,919 | 193,798 |
| Limited partnerships (cost of \$93,197 and \$79,668, respectively) | 88,952 | 81,596 |
| Real estate mortgage loans | 5,601 | 5,700 |
| | \$ 922,644 | \$ 840,967 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 76,190 | 88,213 |
| Accrued investment income | 12,496 | 9,138 |
| Premiums receivable from Policyholders | 240,448 | 186,175 |
| Prepaid federal income tax | 4,383 | 14,056 |
| Reinsurance recoverable from Erie Insurance Exchange | 506,012 | 438,605 |
| Ceded unearned premium to Erie Insurance Exchange | 64,116 | 52,450 |
| Note receivable from Erie Family Life Insurance Company | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| Other receivables from Erie Insurance Exchange and affiliates | 191,167 | 149,600 |
| Reinsurance recoverable non-affiliates | 273 | 372 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs | 21,635 | 17,018 |
| Property and equipment | 13,419 | 14,635 |
| Equity in Erie Family Life Insurance Company | 47,254 | 44,683 |
| Prepaid pension | 40,017 | 25,451 |
| Other assets | 39,636 | 39,203 |
| | \$ 2,194,690 | \$ 1,935,566 |

(Continued)

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

ERIE INDEMNITY COMPANY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION

| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | (Dollars in thousands) | |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|
| | September 30, 2002 | December 31, 2001 |
| | (Unaudited) | |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses | \$ 629,618 | \$ 557,278 |
| Unearned premiums | 390,639 | 311,969 |
| Commissions payable and accrued | 137,383 | 110,121 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 41,044 | 46,164 |
| Deferred income taxes | 10,054 | 12,945 |
| Dividends payable | 10,895 | 10,930 |
| Employee benefit obligations | 16,783 | 20,904 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 1,236,416 | \$ 1,070,311 |
| SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Capital Stock | | |
| Class A common, stated value \$.0292 per share; authorized 74,996,930 shares; 67,080,000 shares issued in 2002; 63,677,106 and 63,836,323 shares outstanding in 2002 and 2001, respectively | \$ 1,957 | \$ 1,955 |
| Class B common, stated value \$70 per share; authorized 3,050 shares; 3,050 shares issued and outstanding in 2002 and 3,070 shares issued and outstanding in 2001 | 213 | 215 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 7,830 | 7,830 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 31,266 | 35,222 |
| Retained earnings | 1,018,868 | 913,406 |
| Total contributed capital and retained earnings | \$ 1,060,134 | \$ 958,628 |
| Treasury stock, at cost 3,402,894 shares in 2002 and 3,195,677 shares in 2001 | (101,860) | (93,373) |
| Total shareholders' equity | \$ 958,274 | \$ 865,255 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 2,194,690 | \$ 1,935,566 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

ERIE INDEMNITY COMPANY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

| | Three Months Ended September 30 | | Nine Months Ended September 30 | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
| (Amounts in thousands, except per share data) | | | | |
| OPERATING REVENUE: | | | | |
| Management fee revenue | \$ 209,068 | \$ 167,308 | \$ 593,895 | \$ 480,805 |
| Premiums earned | 42,171 | 34,766 | 119,824 | 100,857 |
| Service agreement revenue | 1,192 | 7,089 | 16,310 | 20,339 |
| Total operating revenue | \$ 252,431 | \$ 209,163 | \$ 730,029 | \$ 602,001 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES: | | | | |
| Cost of management operations | \$ 144,801 | \$ 119,353 | \$ 421,097 | \$ 349,796 |
| Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred | 35,044 | 35,017 | 98,431 | 88,074 |
| Policy acquisition and other underwriting expenses | 13,416 | 10,338 | 37,343 | 28,696 |
| Total operating expenses | \$ 193,261 | \$ 164,708 | \$ 556,871 | \$ 466,566 |
| OTHER INCOME and EXPENSES: | | | | |
| Net investment income | \$ 13,867 | \$ 12,347 | \$ 40,705 | \$ 36,855 |
| Net realized losses on investments | (4,047) | (5,451) | (8,628) | (2,726) |
| Equity in earnings (losses) of limited partnerships | 803 | (229) | 1,110 | 1,279 |
| Total other income and expenses | \$ 10,623 | \$ 6,667 | \$ 33,187 | \$ 35,408 |
| Income before income taxes and equity earnings of Erie Family Life Insurance Company | \$ 69,793 | \$ 51,122 | \$ 206,345 | \$ 170,843 |
| Less: Provision for income taxes | 23,730 | 16,996 | 69,171 | 56,835 |
| Equity in earnings of Erie Family Life Insurance Company, net of tax | 99 | 304 | 1,015 | 2,337 |
| Net income | \$ 46,162 | \$ 34,430 | \$ 138,189 | \$ 116,345 |
| Net income per share | \$ 0.65 | \$ 0.48 | \$ 1.94 | \$ 1.63 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 71,006 | 71,346 | 71,109 | 71,380 |
| Dividends declared per share: | | | | |
| Class A | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.1525 | \$ 0.51 | \$ 0.4575 |
| Class B | 25.50 | 22.875 | 76.50 | 68.625 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

ERIE INDEMNITY COMPANY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

| | Three Months Ended September 30 | | Nine Months Ended September 30 | |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Net Income | \$46,162 | \$ 34,430 | \$ 138,189 | \$ 116,345 |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on securities: | | | | |
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period | 5,153 | (10,602) | (19,030) | (8,021) |
| Less: Losses included in net income | 4,047 | 5,451 | 8,628 | 2,726 |
| Net unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period | 9,200 | (5,151) | (10,402) | (5,295) |
| Income tax (expense) benefit related to unrealized gains (losses) | (3,220) | 1,802 | 3,641 | 1,853 |
| Net appreciation (depreciation) of investments | 5,980 | (3,349) | (6,761) | (3,442) |
| Minimum pension liability adjustment | 0 | 0 | 4,315 | 0 |
| Less: Tax asset related to pension liability adjustment | 0 | 0 | (1,510) | 0 |
| Net pension liability adjustment | 0 | 0 | 2,805 | 0 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | 5,980 | (3,349) | (3,956) | (3,442) |
| Comprehensive income | \$52,142 | \$ 31,081 | \$ 134,233 | \$ 112,903 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

ERIE INDEMNITY COMPANY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2002 | 2001 |
| (Amounts in thousands) | | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income | \$ 138,189 | \$ 116,345 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,909 | 2,306 |
| Deferred income tax expense | 726 | 3,820 |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 21,516 | 17,852 |
| Equity in income of limited partnerships | (1,110) | (1,279) |
| Realized loss on investments | 8,628 | 2,726 |
| Net amortization of bond discount | (318) | (156) |
| Undistributed losses (earnings) of Erie Family Life Insurance Company | 196 | (1,317) |
| Deferred compensation | 341 | 119 |
| Increase in accrued investment income | (3,358) | (1,231) |
| Increase in receivables | (174,815) | (148,346) |
| Policy acquisition costs deferred | (26,133) | (21,283) |
| Increase in prepaid expenses and other assets | (14,476) | (11,497) |
| (Decrease) increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses | (5,265) | 5,698 |
| Increase in commissions payable and accrued | 27,262 | 11,803 |
| Increase in income taxes payable | 9,673 | 22,313 |
| Increase in loss reserves | 72,340 | 54,383 |
| Increase in unearned premiums | 78,669 | 48,331 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 134,974 | \$ 100,587 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Purchase of investments: | | |
| Fixed maturities | (\$248,428) | (\$161,360) |
| Equity securities | (41,655) | (43,267) |
| Limited partnership investments | (34,448) | (20,488) |
| Sales/maturities of investments: | | |
| Fixed maturity sales | 83,978 | 79,485 |
| Fixed maturity calls/maturities | 80,950 | 61,249 |
| Equity securities | 33,949 | 39,881 |
| Mortgage loans | 99 | 850 |
| Limited partnership sales or distributions | 22,028 | 5,687 |
| Purchase of property and equipment | (1,334) | (1,821) |
| Purchase of computer software | (359) | (818) |
| Loans to agents | (2,527) | (6,903) |
| Collections on agent loans | 2,000 | 1,788 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (\$105,747) | (\$45,717) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Dividends paid to shareholders | (\$32,763) | (\$29,507) |
| Purchase of treasury stock | (8,487) | (6,687) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (\$41,250) | (\$36,194) |

Edgar Filing: ERIE INDEMNITY CO - Form 10-Q/A

| | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (12,023) | 18,676 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 88,213 | 38,778 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 76,190 | \$ 57,454 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | |
| Income tax payments | \$ 69,326 | \$ 31,571 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

ERIE INDEMNITY COMPANY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

All dollar amounts are in thousands except per share data

NOTE A BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements, which include the accounts of Erie Indemnity Company and its wholly owned property and casualty insurance subsidiaries, Erie Insurance Company (EIC), Erie Insurance Company of New York (EINY) and Erie Insurance Property & Casualty Company, have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2002 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2002. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2001 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 27, 2003.

NOTE B RECLASSIFICATIONS

Certain amounts previously reported in the 2001 financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation. Such reclassifications were minor in nature and did not impact earnings.

NOTE C EARNINGS PER SHARE

Earnings per share is based on the weighted average number of Class A shares outstanding (63,789,404 and 64,011,614 at September 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively), giving effect to the conversion of the weighted average number of Class B shares outstanding (3,050 in 2002 and 3,070 in 2001) at a rate of 2,400 Class A shares for one Class B share. In August 2002, 20 shares of Class B voting stock were converted to 48,000 non voting shares of Class A common stock. Weighted average equivalent shares outstanding totaled 71,006,149 for the quarter ended September 30, 2002 and 71,345,939 for the same period one year ago. For the nine months ended September 30, 2002 weighted average equivalent shares outstanding were 71,109,404 compared to 71,379,614 for the nine months ended September 30, 2001.

NOTE D INVESTMENTS

Marketable equity securities consist primarily of common and non redeemable preferred stocks while fixed maturities consist of bonds, notes and redeemable preferred stock. Management considers all fixed maturities and marketable equity securities available-for-sale. Management determines the appropriate classification of fixed maturities at the time of purchase and reevaluates such designation as of each statement of financial position date. Available-for-sale securities are stated at fair value, with the unrealized gains and losses, net of deferred tax, reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity. When a decline in the value of investments is considered to be other-than-temporary by management, the investments are written down to their estimated realizable value. Investment impairments are evaluated on an individual security basis and investments considered impaired are recorded as realized losses on investments in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. In the third quarter of 2002 and 2001, the Company recognized impairment charges totaling \$6,975 and \$5,736, respectively. In the first nine months of 2002 and 2001 the Company recognized impairment charges totaling \$17,668 and \$5,736, respectively.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

NOTE D INVESTMENTS (Continued)

The following is a summary of available-for-sale securities:

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Estimated Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| September 30, 2002 | | | | |
| Fixed maturities: | | | | |
| U.S. treasuries & government agencies | \$ 8,281 | \$ 727 | \$ 82 | \$ 8,926 |
| States & political subdivisions | 52,071 | 3,371 | 0 | 55,442 |
| Special revenue | 96,068 | 5,506 | 0 | 101,574 |
| Public utilities | 42,820 | 1,524 | 988 | 43,356 |
| U.S. industrial & miscellaneous | 360,806 | 24,573 | 8,997 | 376,382 |
| Foreign | 47,177 | 2,070 | 2,691 | 46,556 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total bonds | \$607,223 | \$37,771 | \$12,758 | \$632,236 |
| Redeemable preferred stock | 13,051 | 885 | 0 | 13,936 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total fixed maturities | \$620,274 | \$38,656 | \$12,758 | \$646,172 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Equity securities: | | | | |
| Common stock: | | | | |
| U.S. banks, trusts & insurance companies | \$ 1,846 | \$ 784 | \$ 484 | \$ 2,146 |
| U.S. industrial & miscellaneous | 19,777 | 14,023 | 1,632 | 32,168 |
| Foreign | 417 | 233 | 0 | 650 |
| Non redeemable preferred stock: | | | | |
| Public utilities | 11,902 | 125 | 114 | 11,913 |
| U.S. banks, trusts & insurance companies | 22,189 | 1,478 | 486 | 23,181 |
| U.S. industrial & miscellaneous | 89,166 | 4,651 | 3,785 | 90,032 |
| Foreign | 20,475 | 1,404 | 50 | 21,829 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total equity securities | \$165,772 | \$22,698 | \$ 6,551 | \$181,919 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$786,046 | \$61,354 | \$19,309 | \$828,091 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

NOTE D INVESTMENTS (Continued)

| | <u>Amortized Cost</u> | <u>Gross Unrealized Gains</u> | <u>Gross Unrealized Losses</u> | <u>Estimated Fair Value</u> |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| December 31, 2001 | | | | |
| Fixed maturities: | | | | |
| U.S. treasuries & government agencies | \$ 11,211 | \$ 502 | \$ 0 | \$ 11,713 |
| States & political subdivisions | 42,392 | 1,817 | 88 | 44,121 |
| Special revenue | 110,267 | 3,496 | 345 | 113,418 |
| Public utilities | 25,150 | 1,156 | 36 | 26,270 |
| U.S. industrial & miscellaneous | 311,757 | 8,989 | 1,438 | 319,308 |
| Foreign | 26,634 | 859 | 17 | 27,476 |
| | <u>527,411</u> | <u>16,819</u> | <u>1,924</u> | <u>542,306</u> |
| Redeemable preferred stock | 16,012 | 1,555 | 0 | 17,567 |
| | <u>543,423</u> | <u>18,374</u> | <u>1,924</u> | <u>559,873</u> |
| Equity securities: | | | | |
| Common stock: | | | | |
| U.S. banks, trusts & insurance companies | \$ 3,284 | \$ 814 | \$ 16 | \$ 4,082 |
| U.S. industrial & miscellaneous | 28,718 | 31,570 | 579 | 59,709 |
| Nonredeemable preferred stock: | | | | |
| Public utilities | 2,370 | 12 | 3 | 2,379 |
| U.S. banks, trusts & insurance companies | 14,685 | 938 | 58 | 15,565 |
| U.S. industrial & miscellaneous | 91,185 | 2,573 | 2,111 | 91,647 |
| Foreign | 19,485 | 1,039 | 108 | 20,416 |
| | <u>159,727</u> | <u>36,946</u> | <u>2,875</u> | <u>193,798</u> |
| Total available-for-sale securities | <u>\$703,150</u> | <u>\$55,320</u> | <u>\$4,799</u> | <u>\$753,671</u> |

The Company participates in a securities lending program whereby certain securities from its portfolio are loaned to other institutions through a lending agent. A fee is paid to the Company by the borrower. Collateral, comprised of cash and government securities, that exceeds the market value of the loaned securities is maintained by the lending agent in the event a borrower becomes insolvent or fails to return securities. The Company had loaned securities with a market value of \$37,396 and \$38,503 secured by collateral of \$39,067 and \$39,645 at September 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The Company maintains the loaned securities on its Consolidated Statements of Financial Position as part of its invested assets. The Company has incurred no losses on the loan program since the program's inception.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

NOTE D INVESTMENTS (Continued)

The components of net realized loss on investments as reported in the Consolidated Statements of Operations are included below. The securities that were recognized as impaired during the third quarter of 2002 were in the energy industry segment. Impairment charges taken in the third quarter of 2001 related to the internet, telecommunications equipment, semi-conductor and software development industry segments.

| | Three Months Ended September 30 | | Nine Months Ended September 30 | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
| Fixed maturities: | | | | |
| Gross realized gains | \$ 2,585 | \$ 1,056 | \$ 5,515 | \$ 3,697 |
| Gross realized losses and impairments | (7,006) | (316) | (15,425) | (503) |
| Net realized (loss) gain | <u>(\$4,421)</u> | <u>\$ 740</u> | <u>(\$9,910)</u> | <u>\$ 3,194</u> |
| Equity securities: | | | | |
| Gross realized gains | \$ 475 | \$ 190 | \$ 7,989 | \$ 4,045 |
| Gross realized losses and impairments | (101) | (6,381) | (6,707) | (9,965) |
| Net realized gain (loss) | <u>\$ 374</u> | <u>(\$6,191)</u> | <u>\$ 1,282</u> | <u>(\$5,920)</u> |
| Net realized loss on investments | <u>(\$4,047)</u> | <u>(\$5,451)</u> | <u>(\$8,628)</u> | <u>(\$2,726)</u> |

Limited partnerships include U.S. and foreign private equity, real estate and fixed income investments. The private equity limited partnerships invest in small-to medium-sized companies. Limited partnerships are recorded using the equity method, which approximates the Company's share of the carrying value of the partnership. Unrealized gains and losses on private equity limited partnerships are reflected in shareholders equity in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of deferred taxes. The Company has not guaranteed any of the partnership liabilities.

Limited partnerships that have declined in value below cost and for which the decline is considered to be other-than-temporary by management are written down to realizable value. These impairments are made directly on an individual limited partnership basis and are considered a loss in the Equity in Earnings of Limited Partnerships in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The components of Equity in Earnings of Limited Partnerships as reported in the Consolidated Statements of Operations are included below. There were no impairment charges recognized in the third quarter of 2002. For the nine months ended September 30, 2002, impairment charges related to limited partnerships were \$1,381. There were no impairments recognized during the nine month period ended September 30, 2001.

| | Three Months Ended September 30 | | Nine Months Ended September 30 | |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
| Private equity | \$524 | (\$961) | (\$2,734) | (\$373) |
| Real estate | 232 | 456 | 3,712 | 1,318 |
| Fixed income | 47 | 276 | 132 | 334 |
| Total equity in earnings (losses) of limited partnerships | <u>\$803</u> | <u>(\$229)</u> | <u>\$ 1,110</u> | <u>\$ 1,279</u> |

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

NOTE D INVESTMENTS (Continued)

During 2001, the Company entered into several foreign currency forward contracts which are by definition derivatives. The purpose of these contracts is to hedge future capital calls related to the Company's limited partnership commitments. However, under accounting rules, these contracts are not considered hedges. The forward contracts have no cash requirements at the inception of the arrangement. At September 30, 2002 there were no contracts outstanding. For the quarter ended September 30, 2002 changes in value totaling \$85 were recognized in earnings as realized losses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Gains on these contracts totaled \$214 for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 and \$50 for the nine months ended September 30, 2001.

NOTE E SUMMARIZED FINANCIAL STATEMENT INFORMATION OF AFFILIATE

The Company owns 21.6% of Erie Family Life Insurance Company (EFL) common shares outstanding and accounts for this investment using the equity method of accounting. EFL is a Pennsylvania- domiciled life insurance company operating in 10 states and the District of Columbia. Dividends paid to the Company for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001 totaled \$1,257 and \$1,163, respectively.

The following represents unaudited condensed financial statement information for EFL on a GAAP basis:

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2002 | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2001 |
|---|---|---|
| Revenues | \$ 79,598 | \$ 79,066 |
| Benefits and expenses | 70,604 | 61,302 |
| Income before income taxes | 8,994 | 17,764 |
| Income taxes | 3,112 | 6,147 |
| Net income | \$ 5,882 | \$ 11,617 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 18,675 | \$ 22,285 |
| Dividends paid to shareholders | \$ 5,812 | \$ 5,387 |
| Net unrealized appreciation on investment securities at September 30, net of deferred taxes | \$ 31,152 | \$ 14,355 |

NOTE F NOTE RECEIVABLE FROM ERIE FAMILY LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY

The Company is due \$15,000 from EFL in the form of a surplus note. The note bears an annual interest rate of 6.45% and all payments of interest and principal on the note may be repaid only out of unassigned surplus of EFL and are subject to prior approval by the Pennsylvania Insurance Commissioner. Interest on the surplus note is scheduled to be paid semi-annually. The note will be payable on demand on or after December 31, 2005. EFL paid \$484 in the first nine months of 2002 and 2001 to the Company. EFL also accrued interest of \$242 in the third quarters of 2002 and 2001 which is payable to the Company.

NOTE G TREASURY STOCK

The Company has in place a stock repurchase plan under which the Company may repurchase as much as \$120,000 of its outstanding Class A common stock through December 31, 2002. Treasury shares are recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position at cost. The Company filed a registration statement on Form S-3 with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 20, 2002. The Company has suspended share repurchases under this plan until the secondary offering has been completed.

Edgar Filing: ERIE INDEMNITY CO - Form 10-Q/A

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

NOTE H COMMITMENTS

The Company has contractual commitments to invest up to \$116,000 related to its limited partnership investments at September 30, 2002. These commitments will be funded as required by the partnership s agreements through September 2007. At September 30, 2002, the total commitment to fund limited partnerships that invest in private equity securities is \$80,000, real estate activities \$20,000 and fixed income securities \$16,000. The Company expects to have sufficient cash flows from operations to meet these partnership commitments.

Table of Contents

NOTE I SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company operates its business as three reportable segments management operations, property and casualty insurance underwriting and investment operations. The Company's principal operations consist of serving as attorney-in-fact for the Erie Insurance Exchange (the Exchange). In its capacity as attorney-in-fact, the Company sells, underwrites and issues property and casualty insurance policies for the Exchange. These activities constitute the Company's management operations. The Company's property and casualty insurance underwriting operations arise through direct business of its insurance subsidiaries and by virtue of the intercompany pooling agreement between its subsidiaries and the Exchange which includes assumed reinsurance from non-affiliated domestic and foreign sources. The performance of the management operations segment is evaluated principally based on revenue growth and the profitability is measured by the gross margin from management operations, while profitability of the property and casualty insurance operations segment is evaluated principally based on the combined ratio. Investment operations performance is evaluated based on appreciation of asset, rate of return and overall return. Net income from investment operations includes equity in earnings of EFL, net of tax. Accounting policies for segments are the same as described in the summary of significant accounting policies Note 2, of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2001 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 27, 2003.

The Exchange and its property and casualty subsidiary, Flagship City Insurance Company, combined with the Company's property and casualty insurance subsidiaries (collectively, the Property and Casualty Group) provide personal and commercial lines insurance through independent agents. The performance of the personal and commercial lines is evaluated based upon the underwriting results as determined under statutory accounting practices (SAP) for the total pooled business of the Property and Casualty Group. Assets are not allocated to segments and are reviewed in total by management for purposes of decision making. No single customer or agent provides 10% or more of revenues for the Property and Casualty Group.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

NOTE I SEGMENT INFORMATION (Continued)

Summarized financial information for these operations is presented below:

| | Three Months Ended September 30 | | Nine Months Ended September 30 | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
| Management operations: | | | | |
| <i>Operating revenue:</i> | | | | |
| Management fee revenue | \$ 209,068 | \$ 167,308 | \$ 593,895 | \$ 480,805 |
| Service agreement revenue | 1,192 | 7,089 | 16,310 | 20,339 |
| Total operating revenue | \$ 210,260 | \$ 174,397 | \$ 610,205 | \$ 501,144 |
| Cost of management operations | \$ 144,801 | \$ 119,353 | \$ 421,097 | \$ 349,796 |
| Income before income taxes | \$ 65,459 | \$ 55,044 | \$ 189,108 | \$ 151,348 |
| Net income from management operations | \$ 43,203 | \$ 36,744 | \$ 125,715 | \$ 100,998 |
| Insurance underwriting operations: | | | | |
| <i>Operating revenue:</i> | | | | |
| Premiums earned: | | | | |
| Commercial lines | \$ 11,910 | \$ 9,042 | \$ 33,890 | \$ 25,627 |
| Personal lines | 29,449 | 24,778 | 83,461 | 72,137 |
| Reinsurance | 1,966 | 1,667 | 5,724 | 5,024 |
| Total premiums earned (SAP) | 43,325 | 35,487 | 123,075 | 102,788 |
| GAAP adjustments | (1,154) | (721) | (3,251) | (1,931) |
| Total premiums earned (GAAP) | \$ 42,171 | \$ 34,766 | \$ 119,824 | \$ 100,857 |
| <i>Operating expenses:</i> | | | | |
| Losses and expenses: | | | | |
| Commercial lines | \$ 12,448 | \$ 10,089 | \$ 37,351 | \$ 28,554 |
| Personal lines | 35,184 | 28,132 | 97,775 | 79,434 |
| Reinsurance | 2,348 | 8,355 | 5,265 | 12,213 |
| Total losses and expenses (SAP) | 49,980 | 46,576 | 140,391 | 120,201 |
| GAAP adjustments | (1,520) | (1,221) | (4,617) | (3,431) |
| Total losses and expenses (GAAP) | \$ 48,460 | \$ 45,355 | \$ 135,774 | \$ 116,770 |
| Loss before income taxes | \$ (6,289) | \$ (10,589) | \$ (15,950) | \$ (15,913) |
| Net loss from insurance underwriting operations | \$ (4,151) | \$ (7,069) | \$ (10,603) | \$ (10,619) |
| Investment operations: | | | | |
| Net investment income | \$ 13,867 | \$ 12,347 | \$ 40,705 | \$ 36,855 |
| Net realized loss on investments | (4,047) | (5,451) | (8,628) | (2,726) |

Edgar Filing: ERIE INDEMNITY CO - Form 10-Q/A

| | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Equity in earnings (losses) of limited partnerships | 803 | (229) | 1,110 | 1,279 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Income before income taxes and equity in earnings of EFL | \$ 10,623 | \$ 6,667 | \$ 33,187 | \$ 35,408 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Net income from investment operations | \$ 7,110 | \$ 4,755 | \$ 23,077 | \$ 25,966 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

NOTE I SEGMENT INFORMATION (Continued)

The following table presents the management fee revenue by line of business:

| | Three Months Ended September 30 | | % Change | Nine Months Ended September 30 | | % Change |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | 2002 | 2001 | | 2002 | 2001 | |
| Private passenger auto | \$ 111,942 | \$ 92,807 | 20.6% | \$ 313,786 | \$ 262,901 | 19.4% |
| Commercial auto | 16,699 | 13,007 | 28.4 | 50,884 | 39,963 | 27.3 |
| Homeowner | 35,705 | 28,916 | 23.5 | 92,017 | 76,373 | 20.5 |
| Commercial multi-peril | 20,568 | 14,665 | 40.3 | 62,371 | 44,619 | 39.8 |
| Workers compensation | 16,394 | 11,678 | 40.4 | 52,173 | 38,829 | 34.4 |
| All other lines of business | 7,760 | 6,235 | 24.5 | 22,664 | 18,120 | 25.1 |
| Total | \$ 209,068 | \$ 167,308 | 25.0% | \$ 593,895 | \$ 480,805 | 23.5% |

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

NOTE I SEGMENT INFORMATION (Continued)

The growth rate of policies in force and policy retention trends (the percentage of current Policyholders who have renewed their policies) directly impact the Company's management and property and casualty insurance subsidiaries operating segments. Below is a summary of each by line of business for the Property and Casualty Group's insurance business.

Growth rate of policies in force for Property and Casualty Group insurance operations:

| Date | Private passenger auto | 12-mth. growth rate | Homeowners | 12-mth. growth rate | All other personal lines of business | 12-mth. growth rate | Total Personal Lines |
|------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| 12/31/2000 | 1,337,280 | 4.9% | 986,654 | 7.5% | 192,909 | 10.3% | 2,516,843 |
| 03/31/2001 | 1,356,651 | 5.3 | 1,003,517 | 7.7 | 197,849 | 10.7 | 2,558,017 |
| 06/30/2001 | 1,382,419 | 5.9 | 1,029,339 | 8.1 | 204,614 | 10.9 | 2,616,372 |
| 09/30/2001 | 1,408,092 | 6.3 | 1,053,014 | 8.4 | 210,220 | 11.4 | 2,671,326 |
| 12/31/2001 | 1,432,747 | 7.1 | 1,075,816 | 9.0 | 215,134 | 11.5 | 2,723,697 |
| 03/31/2002 | 1,469,617 | 8.3 | 1,104,806 | 10.1 | 222,061 | 12.2 | 2,796,484 |
| 06/30/2002 | 1,512,335 | 9.4 | 1,146,639 | 11.4 | 231,951 | 13.4 | 2,890,925 |
| 09/30/2002 | 1,554,425 | 10.4 | 1,190,651 | 13.1 | 240,410 | 14.4 | 2,985,486 |

| Date | CML* auto | 12-mth. growth rate | CML* multi-peril | 12-mth. growth rate | Workers' comp. | 12-mth. growth rate | All other CML* lines of business | 12-mth. growth rate | Total Commercial Lines |
|------------|-----------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| 12/31/2000 | 87,567 | 5.8% | 148,910 | 10.1% | 47,156 | 8.4% | 65,077 | 7.1% | 348,710 |
| 03/31/2001 | 89,388 | 7.0 | 152,260 | 10.6 | 48,104 | 8.7 | 66,309 | 8.0 | 356,061 |
| 06/30/2001 | 91,794 | 7.9 | 157,804 | 10.8 | 49,711 | 9.5 | 67,964 | 8.9 | 367,273 |
| 09/30/2001 | 94,204 | 8.8 | 162,246 | 11.1 | 50,984 | 9.6 | 70,048 | 9.0 | 377,482 |
| 12/31/2001 | 96,100 | 9.7 | 166,214 | 11.6 | 52,033 | 10.3 | 71,539 | 9.9 | 385,886 |
| 03/31/2002 | 98,926 | 10.7 | 171,283 | 12.5 | 53,320 | 10.8 | 73,392 | 10.7 | 396,921 |
| 06/30/2002 | 102,447 | 11.6 | 179,761 | 13.9 | 55,607 | 11.9 | 75,884 | 11.7 | 413,699 |
| 09/30/2002 | 105,353 | 11.8 | 185,608 | 14.4 | 57,375 | 12.5 | 78,131 | 11.5 | 426,467 |

Policy retention trends for Property and Casualty Group insurance operations:

| Date | Private passenger auto | CML* auto | Homeowners | CML* multi-peril | Workers' comp. | All other lines of business | Total |
|------------|------------------------|-----------|------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| 12/31/2000 | 92.31% | 89.80% | 90.70% | 87.92% | 88.48% | 87.89% | 91.01% |
| 03/31/2001 | 92.24 | 90.29 | 90.66 | 88.58 | 89.06 | 88.03 | 91.03 |
| 06/30/2001 | 92.25 | 90.35 | 90.63 | 88.36 | 88.76 | 88.18 | 91.01 |
| 09/30/2001 | 92.22 | 90.16 | 90.38 | 88.18 | 88.53 | 88.16 | 90.89 |
| 12/31/2001 | 92.24 | 90.53 | 90.24 | 88.03 | 88.43 | 88.15 | 90.85 |
| 03/31/2002 | 92.26 | 90.86 | 90.24 | 88.77 | 89.34 | 88.11 | 90.91 |
| 06/30/2002 | 92.35 | 91.12 | 90.35 | 88.95 | 89.46 | 88.30 | 91.02 |
| 09/30/2002 | 92.50 | 90.79 | 90.54 | 88.69 | 89.51 | 88.15 | 91.12 |

* CML = Commercial

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following information should be read in conjunction with the historical financial information and the notes thereto included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q /A and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2001 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 27, 2003.

BACKGROUND

Erie Indemnity Company (the Company) operates predominantly as a provider of sales, underwriting and policy issuance services to Erie Insurance Exchange (the Exchange) and also as an underwriter of insurance risk through its property and casualty insurance subsidiaries. The financial results of the Exchange are not consolidated with the Company. The Exchange and its property and casualty insurance subsidiary, Flagship City Insurance Company, and the Company's three property and casualty insurance subsidiaries, Erie Insurance Company, Erie Insurance Company of New York and Erie Insurance Property & Casualty Company (collectively, the Property and Casualty Group) write personal and commercial lines property and casualty insurance coverages exclusively through approximately 8,000 independent agents.

The following discussion of financial results is presented on a segment basis consistent with Note I in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. That presentation, which management uses internally to monitor and evaluate results, is an alternative view of the company's Consolidated Statements of Operations.

OPERATING RESULTS

Financial Overview

Consolidated net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 increased 18.8% to \$138,189,091, from \$116,345,197 during the same period in 2001. Income from management operations grew as a result of a 23.5% increase in direct written premiums of the Property and Casualty Group. Results from insurance underwriting operations were about the same in the first nine months of 2002 compared to the same period in 2001. Insurance underwriting results reflect wind storm-related catastrophe losses and increased technology spending related to the eCommerce initiative in 2002 and World Trade Center losses in 2001. In addition, charges of \$17,668,090 and \$5,736,463 were taken for impaired investments contributing to net realized losses on investments in the first nine months of 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Consolidated net income for the third quarter of 2002 increased 34.1% to \$46,161,999, from \$34,430,497 during the third quarter of 2001. Income from management operations grew as a result of a 25.0% increase in direct written premiums of the Property and Casualty Group. Losses in the insurance underwriting operations for the quarter were the result of deteriorating loss ratios on direct business combined with catastrophe losses. Revenue from investment operations increased as a result of increases in net investment income combined with increased equity in earnings of limited partnerships.

During the third quarter of 2002, the Company increased its estimated effective tax rate from 33.3% to 34%. Investment income on tax-advantaged securities has remained fairly stable while the total taxable income of the Company continues to grow, making the tax advantaged income a smaller percentage of total income. The increase in the effective tax rate produced an additional \$488,551, or \$.01 per share charge, in the provision for income taxes for 2002.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Continued)

For the nine months ended September 30, 2002, net income excluding net realized losses and related federal income taxes increased 21.7% to \$143,797,370, from \$118,116,935 reported for the same period in 2001. Net income excluding net realized losses and related federal income taxes increased 28.5% to \$48,792,748 for the quarter ended September 30, 2002 from \$37,973,228 for the same period in 2001.

The Company has benefited during this period, and expect to continue to benefit, from premium increases by the Property and Casualty Group that have resulted from pricing actions approved by regulators through September 30, 2002. These premiums accounted for \$48.4 million in increased premiums from the Property and Casualty Group for the nine months ended September 30, 2002. These increases were primarily related to private passenger automobile, workers' compensation and homeowners lines of business premium rate increases realized in the states of Pennsylvania, Maryland and Ohio. The remaining anticipated premium rate increases to be recognized in future periods are in the private passenger automobile, commercial multiple peril and homeowners lines of business in the states of Pennsylvania, Ohio and West Virginia.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Analysis of Management Operations

The Company's principal source of revenue is derived from its management fee revenue earned for providing sales, underwriting and policy issuance services as attorney-in-fact for the Exchange. The management fee is currently 25.0% of the direct written premiums of the Property and Casualty Group. Management fee revenue increased 23.5% to \$593,894,850 for the nine months ended September 30, 2002, from \$480,804,743 for the same period in 2001. Management fee revenue increased 25.0% to \$209,068,067 for the three months ended September 30, 2002 from \$167,307,976 for the same period in 2001 (see also Note I Segment Information which details management fee revenue by line of business).

The direct written premiums of the Property and Casualty Group grew 25.0% to \$836,272,269 in the third quarter of 2002 from \$669,231,901 for the same period in 2001. For the nine month period in 2002, direct premiums written increased 23.5% to \$2,375,579,406 compared to \$1,923,218,968 written for the first nine months of 2001. Direct written premiums of the Property and Casualty Group grew 22.5% on a rolling twelve-month basis with personal lines growing 18.8% while commercial lines grew 32.8%.

Increases in average premium per policy, increases in new policy growth and continuing favorable policy retention rates were all contributing factors in the growth of direct written premiums.

The average premium per policy increased 9.5% to \$877 for the twelve months ended September 30, 2002 from \$801 for the same period in 2001. In private passenger auto (which accounted for 53.1% of the direct written premiums of the Property and Casualty Group and over 1.5 million policies in force), the average premium per policy increased 7.2% to \$1,023 for the twelve months ended September 30, 2002 from \$954 during the same period one year ago.

Continued growth in the number of new policies also drove the gains experienced in the Property and Casualty Group's direct written premium. Personal lines new business premium grew 45.3% for the first nine months of 2002 to \$270.6 million, from \$186.2 million, while commercial lines new premium grew 56.8% to \$164.6 million, from \$104.9 million, during the same period in 2001.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
(Continued)

Policies in force increased at an annualized rate of 11.9% to 3,411,953 at September 30, 2002, from 3,048,808 at September 30, 2001.

Policy retention increased to 91.1% for the twelve months ended September 30, 2002 from 90.9% for the same period one year ago, for all lines of business combined (see Note I, Segment Information which contains policies in force and policy retention trends by line of business).

Service agreement revenue decreased by 19.8% to \$16,309,790 for the nine months ended September 30, 2002, from \$20,339,426 for the same period in 2001. Service agreement revenue decreased to \$1,191,868 for the three months ended September 30, 2002 from \$7,088,812 for the same period in 2001. Included in service agreement revenue are service charges the Company collects from Policyholders for providing extended payment plans on policies written by the Property and Casualty Group. During the third quarter of 2002 the Company determined service charges were incorrectly being recognized in full as billing installments were created at the time of policy issuance instead of at the time the billings were rendered. The Company recorded a one-time adjustment reducing service charge income by \$7.4 million. The effect on net income per share after taxes and other adjustment items was \$.06 per share. The service charge revenue for the third quarter of 2002 was (\$2,285,675), compared to \$4,299,884 for the quarter ended September 30, 2001.

Also included in service agreement revenue is service income received from the Exchange as compensation for the management and administration of voluntary assumed reinsurance from non-affiliated insurers. These fees (equal to 7% of assumed reinsurance for all periods presented) increased to \$3,477,543 for the three months ended September 30, 2002 from \$2,788,928 for the same period in 2001. Service income for the nine months ended 2002 and 2001 totaled \$9,598,205 and \$8,307,365, respectively. During the fourth quarter of 2001, the Exchange obtained significant price increases on treaties it renewed for 2002. In addition, the Exchange reduced its aggregate exposure in non-affiliated assumed voluntary reinsurance by non-renewing unprofitable business, generally excluding terrorism coverage, and restricting its exposure on certain types of risks. These factors impact the level of service income received from the Exchange since this fee is based on a percentage of non-affiliated assumed reinsurance premiums.

The cost of management operations increased 21.3% for the third quarter of 2002 to \$144,800,981 from \$119,353,171 during the third quarter of 2001. For the nine months ended September 30, 2002 the cost of management operations grew by 20.4% to \$421,096,679 compared to \$349,796,546 for the same period in 2001.

Commission costs totaled \$105,855,984 for the third quarter of 2002, a 24.7% increase over the \$84,910,266 reported in the third quarter of 2001. Commissions to independent Agents, which are the largest component of the Cost of Management Operations, include scheduled commissions earned by independent agents on premiums written, as well as promotional incentives for agents and agent contingency awards. Scheduled commissions, including Agent contingency awards, increased 24.9% to \$103,870,732 for the quarter ended September 30, 2002. Agent contingency awards are based upon underwriting profitability of the direct business written with the Property and Casualty Group by the independent Agent. The estimate for the Agent contingency awards is modeled on a monthly basis using the two prior years actual underwriting data by Agency combined with the current year to date actual data. Company estimates use projected

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
(Continued)

underwriting data for the remainder of the current year in order to model the 36-month underwriting results by Agency.

Accelerated commissions are offered to newly recruited Agents in addition to normal commission schedules. Charges incurred for accelerated commissions above normal scheduled rate commissions increased \$583,034 to \$2,322,752 for the quarter ended September 30, 2002.

Promotional incentive expenses for the quarter ended September 30, 2002 were \$600,000 which related entirely to a 2002 sales incentive contest for the Company's independent Agents.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2002 commission costs grew by 23.9% to \$302,582,286 from \$244,147,299 for the same period in 2001. Charges for accelerated commission costs, above normal scheduled rate commissions, totaled \$6,754,817 compared to \$4,995,396 for the same period one year ago. The accrual recorded for the sales incentive contest was \$1,800,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2002.

The cost of management operations excluding commission costs, increased 13.1% for the three months ended September 30, 2002 to \$38,944,997 from \$34,442,905 recorded in the third quarter of 2001. Personnel costs, including salaries, employee benefits, and payroll taxes, are the second largest component in cost of operations. Personnel costs increased as employment grew by 8.4% as strong policy sales growth drove staff increases in sales support, underwriting and policy issuance functions. The Company's personnel costs totaled \$22,049,850 for the three month period ended September 30, 2002, compared to \$19,659,120 for the same period in 2001, an increase of 12.2%. For the first nine months of 2002, personnel costs increased 10.2% to \$68,800,021, from \$62,453,399 for the same period in 2001.

Also included in cost of management operations are amounts related to information technology hardware and infrastructure from the Property and Casualty Group's eCommerce initiative launched in June 2001. Company expenses totaled \$192,728 for the third quarter 2002 compared to \$354,748 from the same period one year ago. For the nine months of 2002 and 2001 these costs totaled \$2,509,081 and \$486,378, respectively. These expenditures will continue to be incurred in future periods as the program develops (see Factors That May Affect Future Results section herein).

As part of the eCommerce initiative, a significant portion of the Erie Insurance Group's information technology staff have been deployed to work on the Property and Casualty Group's eCommerce program. As such, certain personnel costs are currently being allocated to the property and casualty insurance operations of the Property and Casualty Group as part of the eCommerce project under the terms of a Technology Cost Sharing Agreement. However, once the eCommerce program is completed some of these personnel costs will again be included in the Company's cost of management operations. There are approximately 60 full time equivalent staff, or 15% of total Erie Insurance Group information technology staff, currently deployed to work on the eCommerce program. The cost of management operations could increase by as much as \$8.0 million per year when the staff is reassigned to non-eCommerce tasks.

The gross margins from management operations were 31.1% and 31.6% in the third quarters of 2002 and 2001, respectively. Gross margins were 31.0% and 30.2% for the first nine months of 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
(Continued)

Analysis of Insurance Underwriting Operations

The underwriting loss from the insurance operations of the Company's property and casualty insurance subsidiaries, Erie Insurance Company (EIC) and Erie Insurance Company of New York (EINY), which together assume a 5.5% share of the underwriting results of the Property and Casualty Group under an intercompany pooling agreement, improved to an underwriting loss of \$6,289,314 during the third quarter of 2002 compared to underwriting losses of \$10,588,452 during the same period in 2001. The underwriting losses in the third quarter 2002 resulted from a deteriorated loss ratio on direct business compared to the same period of 2001, and continued development of catastrophe losses during the current period. Also contributing to the third quarter 2002 underwriting results were increased underwriting expenses related to the application development costs of the Property and Casualty Group's eCommerce technology program and assigned risk buyout program costs. The 2001 underwriting losses include the adverse impact from the attack on the World Trade Center through the reinsurance business of the property and casualty insurance operations.

The Company had an underwriting loss of \$15,950,012 for the first nine months of 2002 compared to an underwriting loss of \$15,912,690 for the same period in 2001. Losses resulting from spring storm-related catastrophes were partly responsible for the underwriting loss in 2002. The underwriting results for the first nine months of 2002 also reflect increased underwriting expenses related to the eCommerce technology program and assigned risk buyout program costs.

The Company's property and casualty insurance subsidiaries' share of the Property and Casualty Group's direct business generated underwriting losses of \$5,907,437 and \$3,900,364 for the third quarters of 2002 and 2001, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2002 underwriting losses from the Company's 5.5% of the Property and Casualty Group's direct business was \$16,409,065 compared to \$8,723,395 in 2001. The increase in the underwriting loss of \$7.7 million was primarily attributable to two factors: (i) increased incurred underwriting losses from catastrophes in our underwriting territories and increased loss severity and (ii) increased underwriting expenses related to the eCommerce technology initiatives, which amounted to \$3.0 million, and to the assigned risk buyout program costs, which amounted to \$0.8 million. The Company is addressing these loss trends principally by increasing premium rates and through more stringent underwriting and re-underwriting of insurance policies. Over the past two years, rate increases were filed by members of the Property and Casualty Group, including the Company's property and casualty subsidiaries, for certain lines of business in various states to offset growing loss costs in those lines of business. The Property and Casualty Group only writes one-year policies; therefore, rate increases take 24 months to be reflected fully in earned premium because it takes 12 months to implement the rate increase as to all policyholders and 12 months thereafter to earn fully the increased premiums. (See Factors That May Affect Future Results, Insurance Premium Rate Increases section herein).

Catastrophes are an inherent risk of the property and casualty insurance business and can have a material impact on the Company's insurance underwriting results. In addressing this risk, the Company has developed what it believes are reasonable underwriting standards and monitors the Property and Casualty Group's exposure by geographic region. Additionally, EIC and EINY have in effect an all-lines aggregate excess of loss agreement with the Exchange (discussed below), which should substantially mitigate the effect of catastrophe losses on the Company's financial position. The Company's share of catastrophe losses, as defined by the Property and Casualty

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Continued)

Group, was \$1,479,519 for the three months ended September 30, 2002. Included in this amount was \$1,000,535 in continued development of the storm-related catastrophes that occurred during the second quarter. Also included in this amount was \$234,195 related to tornados that hit the state of Indiana in late September. The Company's share of catastrophe losses was \$330,000 for the third quarter of 2001. Catastrophe losses of the Company's property and casualty insurance subsidiaries were \$5,427,709 and \$1,470,778 for the nine months of 2002 and 2001, respectively.

In late 2001, the Company took measures to improve the underwriting results from its non-affiliated voluntary assumed reinsurance book of business. The effect of these measures was to lower the Property and Casualty Group's exposure to loss by excluding terrorism coverage on certain contracts, not renewing unprofitable contracts and, at the same time, raising pricing substantially. Pricing in the reinsurance marketplace has firmed considerably since the World Trade Center terrorist attack and the Company obtained significant price increases in the 2002 contract renewals.

The Company's property and casualty insurance subsidiaries' unaffiliated net assumed reinsurance business generated underwriting losses of \$381,877 and \$6,688,088 in the third quarters of 2002 and 2001, respectively. The third quarter 2001 loss includes the Company's 5.5% share of the Property and Casualty Group's estimated incurred losses from the September 11th terrorist attack on the World Trade Center. These losses, net of recoveries under the excess of loss agreement with the Exchange totaled \$5.8 million before taxes. There has been no additional reserve development in 2002 relating to the estimated incurred reinsurance losses related to this terrorist attack. Through September 30, 2002 loss payments made by the Property and Casualty Group related to the attack have totaled \$35,622,505 with an additional \$114,377,495 set up as reserves for case and incurred but not reported claims. Underwriting income from the Company's property and casualty unaffiliated voluntary assumed reinsurance business totaled \$459,053 for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 compared to an underwriting loss of \$7,189,295 for the same period one year ago.

A dispute concerning whether the World Trade Center terrorist attack should be considered one or two insurable events is currently being litigated. The Property and Casualty Group's \$150 million estimated incurred loss, which was recorded in the third quarter of 2001, assumes that the World Trade Center terrorist attack will continue to be considered one event. If the attack is considered as two events, the total potential exposure for the Property and Casualty Group would increase between \$50 million and \$75 million. The effect on the Company, as a result, would be an additional loss of between \$2.7 million and \$4.1 million out of this amount. Taking into consideration the excess of loss reinsurance agreement, the net impact of such potential additional losses would be minimal to the Company's results of operations or financial condition.

EIC and EINY's aggregate excess of loss reinsurance agreement with the Exchange limits their net retained share of ultimate net losses in any applicable accident year. Under the agreement, the Exchange assumes losses that exceed 72.5% of the Company's earned premium for each accident year. The Exchange is liable for 95.0% of the amount of such excess up to, but not exceeding, 15.0% of the Company's earned premium. EIC and EINY are liable for amounts in excess of the coverage in the excess of loss agreement. This reinsurance treaty is excluded from the intercompany pooling agreement. EIC and EINY pay a premium equal to 1.01% of their net premium earned to the Exchange for this coverage. The deposit premium paid to the Exchange for the agreement totaled \$1,423,226 and \$1,449,617 for the nine months ended September 30,

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
(Continued)

2002 and 2001, respectively. Recoveries during the third quarter 2002 amounted to \$400,448, compared to recoveries of \$2,505,138 for the same period one year ago. Recoveries recorded during the third quarter of 2002 totaled \$294,880 for accident year 2000, and \$381,271 for 1999 offset by a reduction in loss recoveries for accident year 2001 of \$275,703. Recoveries totaled \$1,970,959 for the first nine months of 2002 compared to \$3,058,718 for the same period one year ago. No cash payments have been made between companies in 2002 or 2001 for recoveries under this agreement since related losses are reserved but not yet paid.

Included in the Company's underwriting expenses are the Company's share of eCommerce initiative expenses for application development costs which are covered under the technology cost sharing agreement. These costs total \$945,903 and \$410,443 for the quarters ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001 eCommerce cost sharing agreement expenses totaled \$3,001,813 and \$601,981, respectively. These shared costs will continue to be incurred in future periods as the program develops (see "Factors That May Affect Future Results" section, herein).

The Company experienced an increase in costs associated with assigned risk buyout programs in 2002. Under a buyout program, one insurer pays another insurer to assume the first insurer's obligations to participate in a state-mandated involuntary coverage program, such as an assigned risk plan for those who are unable to obtain automobile insurance in the voluntary market because of underwriting considerations. The third quarter costs in 2002 were \$204,995 compared to \$143,812 for the same period in 2001. The buyout programs consist of Limited Assignment Distribution (LAD) agreements, which cover personal automobile risks, and Commercial Limited Assignment Distribution (CLAD) agreements, which cover commercial automobile risks. The Property and Casualty Group has a CLAD program in Pennsylvania and both LAD and CLAD programs in New York, Illinois, Virginia and West Virginia. These programs provide that a servicing carrier perform all administrative functions relative to the assigned risk policies, including collecting premiums and making payments for losses and loss adjustment expenses. The Property and Casualty Group makes payments to the servicing carrier, which includes an administrative fee, as well as a fee for rate inadequacy costs above the collected premium.

The increase in LAD/CLAD expense is almost exclusively attributable to the program in the state of New York, which had costs of \$201,423 compared to \$139,684 during the third quarters of 2002 and 2001, respectively. The rise in costs in New York is the result of significant increases in both the population of assigned risk policies and the deteriorating rate adequacy of the New York residual market. Additionally, the Property and Casualty Group's market share in the state has increased, resulting in more assigned risk policies being allocated to the Property and Casualty Group.

The combined ratio for the Company's property and casualty insurance operations calculated under Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) was 114.9% and 130.5% for the three months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The GAAP combined ratio represents the ratio of loss, loss adjustment, acquisition, and other underwriting expenses incurred to premiums earned. During the third quarter of 2002, this ratio was impacted by 3.8 points related to adverse development on loss reserves of the prior accident years, 3.5 points related to catastrophe losses incurred and by 2.2 combined ratio points related to eCommerce expenses. The higher ratio in 2001 reflects the impact of the September 11th attacks which amounted to 16.7 combined ratio points in the third quarter 2001. The GAAP combined ratio, excluding adverse development of prior years, catastrophe losses and eCommerce expenses,

Table of ContentsITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
(Continued)

was 105.4% for the quarter ended September 30, 2002. For the nine months of 2002 and 2001, the GAAP combined ratio was 113.3% and 115.8%, respectively.

Analysis of Investment Operations

The Company's net revenue from investment operations is comprised of four major elements: (1) equity in earnings (losses) of Erie Family Life Insurance Company; (2) equity in the earnings (losses) of limited partnerships; (3) net realized capital gains (losses) and (4) net investment income that is comprised of interest, dividend and rental income from our invested assets less investment expenses incurred.

Net income from investment operations after tax, for the third quarter of 2002 increased to \$7,109,980 from \$4,754,607 in the third quarter of 2001. Net investment income rose 12.3% to \$13,867,678 for the quarter ended September 30, 2002. Increases in investments in taxable bonds contributed to the growth in net investment income for the quarter. For the nine months ended September 30, 2002 net investment income increased 10.4% to \$40,704,633 compared to \$36,855,462 for the same period one year ago.

As a result of impairment charges taken in the third quarters of 2002 and 2001 the Company realized net losses on investments of \$4,047,306 and \$5,450,356, respectively. The third quarter 2002 impairment charges that totaled \$6,975,269 were for fixed maturity investments in the energy sector. For the nine months ended September 30, 2002 net revenue from investment operations declined 6.3% to \$33,186,414 from \$35,408,298 for the same period in 2001. This decrease primarily resulted from a \$5,902,391 increase in net realized losses from investments. Impairment charges for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001 totaled \$17,668,090 and \$5,736,463, respectively.

Portfolio Performance

| | Two Years Ended September 30, 2002 (Pre-Tax Annualized Returns) |
|--|--|
| <i>Erie Indemnity Company:</i> | |
| Fixed Maturities | 8.43% |
| Fixed Maturities | 7.40(1) |
| Preferred Stock | 9.42(1) |
| Common Stock | (27.90) |
| <i>Other Indices:</i> | |
| Lehman Brothers Global Aggregate Bond Index - Unhedged | 8.67% |
| S&P 500 Composite Index | (23.57) |

(1) Returns on municipal fixed maturities and preferred stocks have tax-equivalent yields of 10.47% and 11.27%, respectively. Equity in earnings of limited partnerships was \$802,967 for the quarter ended September 30, 2002 compared to losses of \$229,464 for the same period in 2001. Private equity and fixed income limited partnerships realized earnings of \$570,841 for the three months ended September 30, 2002 compared to losses of \$684,613 for the same period of 2001. Real estate limited partnerships reflected earnings of \$232,126 for the three months ended September 30, 2002 compared to earnings of \$455,149 for the same period of 2001. In the third quarters of

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Continued)

2002 and 2001 there were no impairment charges related to limited partnerships. Equity in earnings of limited partnerships totaled \$1,109,903 and \$1,278,587 for the first nine months of September 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

The Company's investment in EFL before income taxes, is accounted for under the equity method of accounting. The Company's 2002 third quarter earnings from its 21.6 percent ownership of EFL totaled \$106,058, down from the \$326,953 recorded in the third quarter of 2001. Equity in earnings of EFL before income taxes, for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2002 totaled \$1,091,882 down from the \$2,512,865 recorded in the same period in 2001.

The decrease in earnings from EFL for the third quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2002 when compared to the same period in 2001, were the result of increased impairment charges taken by EFL on their investments in 2002.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Investments

The Company's investment strategy takes a long-term perspective emphasizing investment quality, diversification and superior investment returns. Investments are managed on a total return approach that focuses on current income and capital appreciation. The Company's investment strategy also provides for liquidity to meet the short- and long-term commitments of the Company. At September 30, 2002, the Company's investment portfolio of investment-grade bonds, common stock, preferred stock and cash and cash equivalents totaled \$889.0 million, or 40.6%, of total assets. These investments provide the liquidity the Company requires to meet the demands on its funds.

The Company reviews the investment portfolio to evaluate positions that may have incurred other-than-temporary declines in value. For all investment holdings, general economic conditions and/or conditions specifically affecting the underlying issuer or its industry are considered in evaluating impairment in value. In addition to specific factors, the primary factors considered in the Company's review of investment valuation are the length of time the market value is below cost and the amount the market value is below cost.

For common equity securities (including private equity limited partnerships) where the decline in market value is more than 20% below cost for a period exceeding six months, there is a strong indication of other-than-temporary impairment. Under these circumstances the Company considers market conditions, industry characteristics and the fundamental operating results of the issuer before deciding whether to sell the investment at a loss, to recognize an impairment charge to operations or to do neither. For common equity securities that have declined more than 20% below cost for a period exceeding twelve months, the position is presumed impaired and is either sold or recognized as impaired in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. There were no impairment charges related to equity securities in the third quarter of 2002. However, impairment charges related to equity securities totaled \$5,736,463 in the third quarter of 2001. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2002 and 2001 impairment charges relating to equity securities totaled \$2,326,980 and \$5,736,463, respectively. In the third quarters of 2002 and 2001 no private equity limited partnership values were written down. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2002 impairment charges relating to private equity limited partnerships totaled \$1,380,625. There were no impairment charges relating to private equity limited partnerships in 2001.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
(Continued)

For fixed maturity investments, the Company individually analyzes all positions whose market value has declined more than 20% below cost. The Company considers market conditions, industry characteristics and the fundamental operating results of the issuer to determine if the decline is due to changes in interest rates, changes relating to a decline in credit quality, or other issues affecting the investment. Positions that have incurred market price decline of over 20% for a period greater than six months where the creditworthiness of the issuer or other factors indicate a decline that is other-than-temporary are either sold or recognized as impaired and reflected as a charge to the Company's operations. Impairments recognized in the third quarter of 2002 related to fixed maturity investments totaled \$6,975,269 (See "Analysis of Investment Operations" section).

For the nine month period ended September 30, 2002 impairment charges relating to fixed maturity investments totaled \$15,341,110. There were no impairments recognized during the nine month period of 2001 for fixed maturity investments.

If the Company's policy for determining the recognition of impaired positions were different, the Company's Consolidated Statements of Financial Position and Results of Operations could be significantly impacted. Management believes its investment valuation philosophy and accounting practices result in appropriate and timely measurement of value and recognition of impairment.

The Company's investments are subject to certain risks, including interest rate and price risk. The Company monitors exposure to interest rate risk through periodic reviews of asset and liability positions. Estimates of cash flows and the impact of interest rate fluctuations relating to the investment portfolio are monitored regularly. Generally, the Company does not hedge its exposure to interest rate risk, as it has the ability to hold its fixed maturity investments to maturity.

The Company's portfolio of marketable equity securities, which is carried on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position at estimated fair value, has exposure to price risk, the risk of potential loss in estimated fair value resulting from an adverse change in prices. The Company's objective is to earn competitive relative returns by investing in a diverse portfolio of high-quality, liquid securities. Portfolio characteristics are analyzed regularly and market risk is actively managed through a variety of techniques. Portfolio holdings are diversified across industries; concentrations in any one company or industry are limited by parameters established by management and the Company's Board of Directors.

Liabilities

The process of estimating the liability for unpaid losses and loss expenses is inherently judgmental and can be influenced by factors subject to variation. Possible sources of variation include changing claim frequency and severity, changing rates of inflation as well as changes in other economic conditions, judicial trends and legislative changes. It is unlikely that future losses and loss adjustment expenses will develop exactly as projected. The Company continually refines reserves as experience develops and new information becomes known. The Company reflects adjustments to reserves in the results of operations in the periods in which the estimates are changed.

At September 30, 2002, the Property and Casualty Group's estimated total loss exposure related to the events of September 11th remained at \$150 million. Disputes concerning whether the September 11th attack on the World Trade Center should be considered one or two insurable events are currently being litigated. The Property and Casualty Group's \$150 million loss estimate anticipates that the events of September 11th is considered one event. If the attack comes to be considered as two events, the total potential exposure for the Property and Casualty Group, the

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Continued)

Company believes, would increase between \$50 million and \$75 million. The effect on the Company, as a result, would be additional losses between \$2.7 million and \$4.1 million. The net losses, after taking into consideration the excess of loss reinsurance agreement, would be minimal to the Company.

A recent court decision by a federal judge in New York ruled that the September 11th attack on the World Trade Center was a single occurrence under the terms of the insurance policies used by three of the 22 property insurers of the World Trade Center. The ruling would limit the insurers liability to a single payment for one series of events as opposed to two payments for two separate occurrences. Subsequent to this ruling, the leaseholder of the World Trade Center notified the federal judge of the intent to appeal in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. Prior to this ruling, a November 2002 trial date had been set to hear the case for the remaining insurers of the World Trade Center. The World Trade Center leaseholder has also requested the November trial be delayed until an appellate court decision is reached on the ruling of the New York federal judge.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Liquidity is a measure of an entity's ability to secure enough cash to meet its contractual obligations and operating needs. Operating cash flows are generated from the Company's management operations, the net cash flow from the EIC's 5% and the EINY's .5% participation in the underwriting results of the intercompany pooling agreement with the Exchange, and the Company's investment income from affiliated and non-affiliated investments. With respect to the management fee, funds are generally received from the Exchange on a premiums collected basis. The other receivable from the Exchange and affiliates represents the management fee receivable from premiums written but not yet collected as well as the management fee receivable on premiums collected in the current month, net of operating expenses paid by the Exchange. The Company pays commissions on premiums collected rather than written premiums. Cash outflows are variable because of the fluctuations in settlement dates for liabilities for unpaid losses and because of the potential for large losses, either individually or in aggregate.

At September 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001, the Company's receivables from its affiliates totaled \$761,294,991 and \$640,655,330, respectively. The receivables are the result of the management fee, cash payments for affiliates and the intercompany pooling agreement. They represent a concentration of credit risk. The management fee receivable due from the Exchange included in this total, is generally collected within a year and totaled \$189,455,080 at September 30, 2002 and \$147,344,684 at December 31, 2001. Another component of this receivable is the receivables for the intercompany pooling activity which rose 16.1% to \$570,128,358 at September 30, 2002 from the \$491,055,048 at December 31, 2001. This represents the increases in direct loss reserves, loss adjustment expense reserves and unearned premium reserves ceded to the Exchange under the pooling agreement. There is a direct correlation between the increase in direct written premium of the Company's subsidiaries and the increase in direct loss reserves, loss adjustment expense reserves and unearned premium reserves ceded to the Exchange. These increases were the result of the \$93,248,134, or 26.2% increase in direct written premium of the Company's subsidiaries for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 when compared to the same period in 2001.

The Company generates sufficient net positive cash flow from its operations to fund its commitments and build its investment portfolio, thereby increasing future investment returns. The Company also maintains a high degree of liquidity in its investment portfolio in the form of readily marketable fixed maturities, equity securities and short-term investments. Net cash flows provided

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
(Continued)

by operating activities were \$134,974,376 and \$100,586,985 for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Dividends declared and paid to shareholders for the quarters ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, totaled \$10,906,248 and \$9,832,321, respectively. Dividends declared and paid for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 were \$32,763,292 compared to \$29,506,890 for the same period in 2001. There are no regulatory restrictions on the payment of dividends to the Company's shareholders, although there are state law restrictions on the payment of dividends from the Company's insurance subsidiaries to the Company. Dividends from insurance subsidiaries are not material to the Company's cash flows.

Cash flows from financing activities include the purchase of treasury stock. During the first nine months of 2002, 207,217 shares were repurchased at a total cost of \$8,486,839 or an average price of \$40.96 compared to 194,200 shares repurchased for the same period in 2001 at a total cost of \$6,686,588 or an average price of \$34.43. The Company has discontinued share repurchases under this plan.

Company management continues to plan for space needs to accommodate increased personnel in its Home Office complex. The Company is in the design phase to build additional office and parking facilities on its current Home Office campus in Erie, Pennsylvania. The current estimate to build these facilities ranges between \$50 million and \$60 million.

FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

Management Fees

The rate for the management fee paid to the Company by the Exchange is determined by the Company's Board of Directors. The rate may be changed periodically by the Board at its discretion but may not exceed 25%. The Company's Board of Directors also acts in a fiduciary capacity with respect to the operation of the Exchange. The Board considers several factors in determining the management fee rate, including the relative financial strength of the Exchange and the Company and the long-term capital needs of the Exchange, in order to foster growth and competitiveness as well as maintain its superior financial strength, which ultimately benefits the entire Erie Insurance Group (including the Company, the Property and Casualty Group and EFL). Because the management fee revenue from the Exchange provides the majority of the Company's revenue, the income of the Company is dependent upon the ability of the Exchange to maintain its financial condition and its ability to continue to offer competitive insurance products in the marketplace. The management fee rate charged the Exchange was 25% for all periods presented herein. If the Board were to decrease the management fee rate 1% (from 25% to 24%) for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 it would have resulted in a \$23,755,794 reduction to the management fee revenue as reported on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The per share impact, after federal income taxes, would be approximately \$.22 per share.

Financial Condition of the Exchange

The relative financial condition of the Company and that of the Exchange are the principal areas evaluated at least annually by the Company's Board of Directors in their determination of the management fee rate. The Exchange is also the lead insurer in the underwriting results of the

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
(Continued)

Property and Casualty Group. The financial statements of the Exchange are prepared in accordance with Statutory Accounting Principles (SAP) required by the NAIC Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual, as modified to include prescribed or permitted practices of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The Exchange does not, nor is it required to prepare financial statements in accordance with GAAP. Financial statements prepared under SAP provide a more conservative approach than under GAAP. Under SAP, the principle focus is on the solvency of the insurer in order to protect the interests of the policyholders. Some significant differences between SAP and GAAP include the following:

SAP provides a more conservative approach to the valuation of invested assets.

SAP recognizes expenses when incurred and does not allow for the establishment of deferred policy acquisition cost assets.

Statutory deferred tax calculations follow GAAP with certain modifications for the realization criteria of deferred tax assets and the recording of the impact of changes in its deferred tax balances.

GAAP requires the establishment of an asset for the estimated salvage and subrogation that will be recovered in the future. Under SAP a company may establish this recoverable but is not required to do so. The Exchange does not establish salvage and subrogation recoveries.

The selected financial data below as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002 is derived from the Exchange's unaudited financial statements prepared in accordance with

Table of ContentsITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
(Continued)

SAP. In the opinion of management, all adjustments consisting only of normal recurring accruals, considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The financial data set forth below is only a summary.

| (In thousands) (Statutory Accounting Basis) | Nine months ended | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | September 30, 2002 | September 30, 2001 |
| | (Unaudited) | |
| Premiums earned | \$2,140,526 | \$1,792,450 |
| Loss and loss adjustment expenses | \$1,727,052 | \$1,568,896 |
| Insurance underwriting and other expenses | 718,881 | 551,623 |
| Net underwriting loss | \$ (305,407) | \$ (328,069) |
| Investment income (loss), net | 48,237 | (32,489) |
| Federal income tax benefit | (68,925) | (43,230) |
| Net loss | \$ (188,245) | \$ (317,328) |

| (In thousands) (Statutory Accounting Basis) | As of | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | September 30, 2002 | December 31, 2001 |
| | (Unaudited) | |
| Cash and invested assets | \$5,238,660 | \$5,990,511 |
| Total assets | 6,190,240 | 6,998,794 |
| Claims and unearned premium reserves | 3,663,000 | 3,200,836 |
| Total liabilities | 4,042,623 | 3,953,243 |
| Policyholders' surplus | \$2,147,617 | \$3,045,551 |

The Exchange's Policyholders' surplus has declined over the past nine months primarily as a result of continued underwriting losses (see Analysis of Insurance Underwriting Operations) combined with unrealized losses from their common equity securities. Common equity securities represent a significant portion of the Exchange's investment portfolio. They are exposed to price risk, volatility of the capital markets and general economic conditions. As such the Exchange had unrealized losses totaling \$749,342,000, net of statutory deferred taxes, for the first nine months of 2002.

To the extent that the Exchange incurs additional investment losses resulting from declines in the value of its marketable securities, the Exchange's policyholders' surplus will be further adversely affected. If the surplus of the Exchange were to decline significantly from its current level, the Property and Casualty Group could find it more difficult to retain its existing business and attract new business. A decline in the business of the Property and Casualty Group would have an adverse effect on the amount of the management fees the Company receives and the underwriting results of the Property and Casualty Group in which the Company has a 5.5% participation. In addition, a decline in the surplus of the Exchange from its current level would make it more likely that the management fee rate received by the Company would be reduced.

Catastrophe Risk

Edgar Filing: ERIE INDEMNITY CO - Form 10-Q/A

The Property and Casualty Group is currently obtaining quotes for treaty reinsurance to protect the 2003 accident year underwriting results of the Exchange and other members of the Property

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
(Continued)

and Casualty Group from catastrophes and other adverse underwriting events. The lower surplus levels of the Exchange, along with increasing catastrophe risk exposure as a result of accelerating policy growth, have resulted in management's decision to actively pursue the purchase of treaty reinsurance coverage. The Exchange's reported surplus totaled \$2.1 billion at September 30, 2002 compared to \$3.0 billion at December 31, 2001.

The risk of not maintaining reinsurance coverage in the event of a significant catastrophe or a series of moderate catastrophes in the same year means that surplus levels could be exposed to dramatic decline should such catastrophes occur. A dramatic decline in the surplus levels would result in pressure to reduce premium writings, and thereby, curtail growth. Without the benefit of higher surplus levels, the leverage of the Exchange is reduced and so is the opportunity to grow. Reinsurance for catastrophe exposure reduces the variability of earnings and protects the balance sheet and income statement against large and infrequent events.

The Company's current reinsurance agreement in effect with the Exchange mitigates catastrophe loss exposure risk to the Company's property and casualty insurance subsidiaries, but does not mitigate the exposure of the Exchange or the Property and Casualty Group as a whole.

Insurance Premium Rate Increases

Rate increases filed by the Property and Casualty Group for certain lines of business in various states were sought to offset growing loss costs in those lines. The Company continually evaluates pricing levels balancing competitive conditions and the need to maintain the solid financial condition of the insurers of the Property and Casualty Group. Pricing actions contemplated or taken by the insurers of the Property and Casualty Group are subject to various regulatory requirements of the states in which the insurers operate. Premium increases anticipated due to pricing actions approved through September 30, 2002 could amount to approximately \$122 million in premium for the Property and Casualty Group in 2002 and \$97 million in premium for the Property and Casualty Group in 2003. There is also the potential for an additional \$49 million in premium for the Property and Casualty Group in 2003 resulting from pricing actions contemplated or filed and awaiting approval. The majority of the anticipated increase stems from the private passenger and commercial auto lines of business as well as the homeowners line of business. Further rate actions continue to be contemplated for 2003.

Geographic Expansion

On December 6, 2001, the Company announced the Erie Insurance Group's (the Group) intention to expand its operations into Minnesota. Minnesota will be the 12th state served by the Group, in addition to the District of Columbia. Beginning in the third quarter of 2004, the Group intends to write all lines of insurance it currently offers, including auto, home, business, life and annuities in Minnesota.

Insurance Company Insolvencies

The insurance companies of the Property and Casualty Group pay assessments under the solvency or guaranty laws of the various states in which they are licensed. Pennsylvania-based PHICO Insurance Company, which became insolvent in late 2001, could impact future underwriting results of the Property and Casualty Group and consequently the Company. The impact of this insolvency on the Company's financial results cannot be reasonably estimated at

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Continued)

this time, thus no liability has been established by the Company to date. However, a charge to the Company's insurance underwriting operations is likely to be made as more information becomes available.

Terrorism

The tragic World Trade Center terrorist attack resulted in staggering losses for the insurance industry and has caused uncertainty in the insurance and reinsurance markets. The Property and Casualty Group incurred a loss of \$150 million in this attack assuming it continues to be considered one occurrence, and it estimates it would incur an additional loss of \$50 to \$75 million if the attack is considered two occurrences. The Company's 5.5% share of this incurred loss was \$5.8 million. Accordingly, the industry has been compelled to re-examine policy language and to address the potential for future threats of terrorist events and losses. The Property and Casualty Group's personal and commercial property and casualty insurance policies were not priced to cover the risk of terrorist attacks and losses such as those suffered in the World Trade Center terrorist attack. The Property and Casualty Group has withdrawn from some coverages and exposures, including terrorism, where permitted by state regulators. However, even in states where withdrawal has been permitted, the Property and Casualty Group is still exposed to terrorism under several lines, including personal lines and workers' compensation, and, in most states, losses caused by an ensuing fire. On November 26, 2002, President Bush signed the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002, establishing a program for commercial property and casualty losses, including workers' compensation, resulting from foreign acts of terrorism. The Terrorism Risk Insurance Act requires commercial insurers to make terrorism coverage available immediately and provides limited federal protection above individual company retention levels, based upon a percentage of direct earned premium, and above aggregate industry retention levels that range from \$10 billion in the first year to \$15 billion in the third year. The federal government will pay 90% of covered terrorism losses that exceed retention levels. The Terrorism Risk Insurance Act is scheduled to expire on December 31, 2005. Personal lines are not included under the protection of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act, and state regulators have not approved exclusions for acts of terrorism on personal lines policies. The Property and Casualty Group could incur large unexpected losses if future terrorist attacks occur.

Exposure to Losses for Mold

The industry continues to work to understand mold and toxic mold and control exposures and losses involving property damage and personal injuries, arguably related to mold. Due to media coverage and heightened awareness, the Property and Casualty Group is seeing an increase in the number of claims with a mold component from both first party and third party coverages in personal and commercial lines. While the level of activity has not reached significant proportions, this trend is expected to continue. The costs associated with these losses, both investigative and remedial, will continue to rise. The opinions of state insurance departments regarding mold coverages and exclusions continue to be unpredictable. The Company has recommended changes to policy language for the Property and Casualty Group policies that would limit exposure in personal lines policies in the future. Mold exclusions and limitations for commercial lines are currently under review. Exclusions and limitations in personal lines policies will be filed in various states for implementation in 2003.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
(Continued)

Information Technology Costs

In 2001, the Erie Insurance Group began a comprehensive program of eCommerce initiatives in support of the Erie Insurance Group's Agency force and back office policy underwriting, issuance and administration. The eCommerce program is intended to improve service and efficiency, as well as result in increased sales. The first major component of the eCommerce program (network and desktop hardware deployment) was completed during the second quarter of 2002. Also, the Erie Insurance Group completed the release of the new web interface to a limited number of Agents and employees in July 2002. In August 2002, the eCommerce program took advantage of a significant business opportunity to work with Accenture, a well-known provider of information technology services and solutions, to develop the Erie Insurance Group's eCommerce system called ERIEConnectionsm. The Erie Insurance Group is now working with Accenture to be the chief integrator and manager of the eCommerce program and to provide software applications that meet the Company's needs. Management of Erie Insurance Group believes this approach will allow the eCommerce program to meet the established budget goals. Through September 30, 2002, the Erie Insurance Group has spent \$87 million on its current technology development efforts. The Group expects to incur additional expenses of \$88 million over the next two years for a total program cost of \$175 million. Through September 30, 2002, the Company's portion of direct eCommerce expenses is \$8.4 million. The Company's share of remaining direct eCommerce program costs is estimated at \$4.4 million, approximately \$.03 to \$.04 per share after income taxes, and will be incurred over the next two years.

Contingencies

In February, 2000 a civil class action lawsuit was filed against Erie Insurance Company and Erie Insurance Exchange (collectively, the ERIE) in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The Exchange issued an automobile insurance policy to the Plaintiff. The class action complaint alleges that the Plaintiff was involved in an accident and that her insured vehicle was damaged in the accident. The Complaint alleges that the ERIE acted improperly when it used non-original equipment manufacturer (non-OEM) parts in repairing the damage to the Plaintiff's vehicle. In March, 2002, the courts granted the Plaintiff's Revised Motion for Class Certification.

The ERIE is seeking to appeal the certification of the class. In addition, the ERIE has joined, as additional defendants in the class action lawsuit, other non-OEM manufacturers and distributors of crash parts. Although it is too early to assess the probable outcome or the amount of damages of this civil class action lawsuit, the Company believes the ERIE has meritorious legal and factual defenses to this lawsuit and these defenses will be vigorously pursued. As such, no liability has been established by the Company or any of the companies in the Property and Casualty Group to date for this lawsuit. The Company's exposure to liability arising from this litigation is limited to the 5.5% share of the Company's property and casualty subsidiaries underwriting results under the intercompany pooling agreement. See also Part II Item 1. Legal Proceedings .

Like other members of the insurance industry, the Company is the target of an increasing number of class action lawsuits like the one described above as well as other types of litigation. This litigation is based on a variety of issues including insurance and claim settlement practices. The Company assesses the likelihood of any adverse outcomes to these matters as well as potential ranges of probable losses. There can be no assurance that actual outcomes will not differ from those assessments.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
(Continued)

Employee Benefit Obligations

The Company has a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all Employees. It is the only funded pension plan of the Company. At September 30, 2002 Company management evaluated the fair market value of the pension assets against the accumulated benefit obligation. The approximate fair market value of the pension asset at September 30, 2002 is \$135.6 million, which continues to exceed the accumulated benefit obligation of approximately \$80 million, therefore an additional minimum liability in connection with the plan was not considered necessary. Also, the discount rates being used in the plan to determine the accumulated benefit obligation were reviewed and an estimated 2003 pension expense was calculated. Based on this preliminary review, the estimated pension expense in 2003 is not expected to be materially different from the 2002 pension expense as it relates to the financial results of the Company.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company's exposure to market risk is primarily related to fluctuations in prices and interest rates. Quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk resulting from changes in prices and interest rates are included in Item 7A. in the Company's 2001 Annual Report on Form 10-K. There have been no material changes in such risks or the Company's periodic reviews of asset and liability positions during the nine months ended September 30, 2002. The information contained in the investments section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is incorporated herein by reference.

The Company is also exposed to credit risk through its portfolios of fixed maturity securities, mortgage loans and to a lesser extent short-term investments. This risk is defined as the potential loss in market value resulting from adverse changes in the borrower's ability to repay the debt. The Company's objective is to earn competitive returns by investing in a diversified portfolio of securities. The Company manages this risk by performing up front underwriting analysis and regular reviews by its investment staff. The fixed maturity investments are also maintained between minimum and maximum percentages of invested assets.

The Company has significant receivables from the Exchange, which are subject to credit risk. Company results are directly related to the financial strength of the Exchange. Credit risks related to the receivables from the Exchange are evaluated monthly. Since the Company's inception, it has collected all amounts due from the Exchange in a timely manner (generally within 120 days).

Safe Harbor Statement Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995: Certain forward-looking statements contained herein involve risks and uncertainties. These statements include certain discussions relating to management fee revenue, cost of management operations, underwriting, premium and investment income volume, business strategies, profitability and business relationships and the Company's other business activities during 2002 and beyond. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terms such as may, will, should, could, would, expect, plan, intend, anticipate, believe, estimate, project, predict, potential and similar expressions. Forward-looking statements reflect the Company's current views about future events, are based on assumptions and are subject to known and unknown risks and uncertainties that may cause results to differ materially from those anticipated in those statements. Many of the factors that will determine future events or achievements are beyond our ability to control or predict.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Within 90 days prior to the date of filing of this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer, of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on this evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective for gathering, analyzing and disclosing the information the Company is required to disclose in the reports it files under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. There have been no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of this evaluation.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Brenda L. Fultz v. Erie Insurance Exchange and Erie Insurance Company

This civil class action lawsuit was filed in February of 2000 in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania. Erie Insurance Exchange and Erie Insurance Company (collectively, the ERIE) are the named defendants in the suit. The Erie Insurance Exchange issued an automobile insurance policy to the Plaintiff. The Class Action Complaint alleges that the Plaintiff was involved in an accident and that her insured vehicle was damaged in the accident. The Complaint alleges that the ERIE acted improperly when it prepared estimates using non-OEM parts in repairing the damage to the Plaintiff's vehicle. In repairing the Plaintiff's vehicle, two non-OEM parts were used. The two non-OEM parts used in repairing the Plaintiff's vehicle were the left and right front lens and housing assemblies. The Plaintiff's Complaint asserts that all non-OEM crash parts are inferior, defective and substandard, and do not return a damaged vehicle to its condition prior to the accident.

The Complaint, as amended, contains four counts. In the Count I, Plaintiff alleges that the ERIE's conduct constitutes a breach of contract under its insurance policy. Count II of the Complaint alleges that the ERIE's conduct violates the Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection law. Count III alleges that the ERIE's conduct violates the Pennsylvania bad faith statute. In Count IV of the Complaint Plaintiff requested declaratory relief and an injunction prohibiting the ERIE from using non-OEM parts. The Plaintiff later voluntarily dismissed Count IV. The ERIE answered the Complaint and denied liability on all of the counts. The ERIE also filed a Joinder Complaint in January of 2001 against the manufacturer and distributor of the non-OEM parts alleged by the Plaintiff to be defective. The Court issued an Order permitting the ERIE's joinder of TYC Brother Industrial Co. Ltd., the manufacturer of the parts at issue, and Genera Corporation, the distributor of the parts. TYC Brother Industrial Co. Ltd. and Genera have also denied any and all liability.

On March 13, 2002, the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County granted the Plaintiff's Revised Motion for Class Certification. The Court certified the following class:

All persons in the United States (1) who have been insured by an automobile policy issued by Erie Insurance Company or any other member of the Exchange; (2) who have made a claim at any time on or after February 2, 1994 for vehicle repairs pursuant to their Erie Insurance policies; and (3) have had non-OEM crash parts specified for their repairs. Excluded from the Class are officers, directors and employees of Erie Insurance Company, Exchange, and their subsidiaries.

The ERIE is seeking to appeal the certification of the class. In addition, the ERIE has joined, as additional Defendants in the class action lawsuit, other non-OEM manufacturers and distributors of crash parts. The Company believes the Exchange and Erie Insurance Company have meritorious legal and factual defenses to this lawsuit and these defenses will be vigorously pursued. In the event an adverse verdict is rendered against the Erie Insurance Exchange and Erie Insurance Company, both Erie Insurance Group companies will vigorously pursue any claims they may have against the non-OEM manufacturers and suppliers.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

Exhibits

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit |
|----------------|---|
| 3(ii) | Amended and Restated By-laws of Registrant effective September 9, 2002 |
| 10.1 | Amended and Restated Service Agreement between Registrant and Erie Insurance Company effective January 1, 1992 |
| 10.2 | Amended and Restated Supplemental Retirement Plan for Certain Members of the Erie Insurance Group Retirement Plan for Employees, effective December 31, 1995 |
| 10.3 | Amended and Restated Reinsurance Pooling Agreement effective January 1, 1995 between Erie Insurance Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary Erie Insurance Company of New York and Erie Insurance Exchange |
| 10.4 | Amended and Restated Aggregate Excess of Loss Reinsurance Contract effective January 1, 1998 between Erie Insurance Exchange, by and through its Attorney-in-Fact, Erie Indemnity Company and Erie Insurance Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary Erie Insurance Company of New York |
| 10.5 | Amended and Restated Retirement Plan for Employees of Erie Insurance Group, effective December 31, 2000 |
| 10.6 | Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan for Outside Directors of Registrant, effective January 1, 2001 |
| 10.7 | Amended and Restated Employee Savings Plan of Erie Insurance Group, effective January 1, 2001 |
| 10.8 | First Amendment and Restatement to Employee Savings Plan of Erie Insurance Group effective January 1, 2001 |
| 10.9 | 2001 Annual Incentive Plan of Erie Indemnity Company |
| 10.10 | Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan for Outside Directors of Registrant effective April 30, 2002 |
| 10.11 | Employment Agreement effective May 9, 2002 by and between Erie Indemnity Company and Jeffrey A. Ludrof |
| 10.12 | Form of Subscriber s Agreement whereby policyholders of Erie Insurance Exchange Appoint Registrant as their Attorney-in-Fact |
| 99.1 | Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 99.2 | Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 99.3 | First Amendment to Second Restated Agreement of H.O. Hirt Trust effective December 22, 1980 |

Reports on Form 8-K

On July 24, 2002, the Company filed a report on Form 8-K, reporting under Item 7 that the Company s Board of Directors held a special meeting on July 23, 2002. At the meeting, the Board voted to increase the number of directors from 12 to 13; elected Jeffrey A. Ludrof, the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer, to the Board; and accepted the resignation of Stephen A. Milne, the retired President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company.

On September 13, 2002, the Company filed a report on Form 8-K, reporting under Item 4 that the Company s Audit Committee selected Ernst & Young, LLP to be the Company s independent auditors

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K (Continued)

for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2003. Malin, Bergquist & Company, LLP, the Company's current independent auditors will continue on as the Company's independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2002. As mentioned in the Form 8-K, there were no disagreements with Malin, Bergquist & Company on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure during the Company's two most recent fiscal years and throughout the date of the Form 8-K filing.

ITEM 11. STATEMENT REGARDING COMPUTATION OF PER SHARE EARNINGS

| | Three Months Ended September 30 | | Nine Months Ended September 30 | |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
| Class A weighted average common shares outstanding (stated value \$.0292) | 63,686,149 | 63,977,939 | 63,789,404 | 64,011,614 |
| Class B common shares outstanding (stated value \$70) | | | | |
| Conversion of Class B shares to Class A shares (one share of Class B For 2,400 shares of Class A) | 7,320,000 | 7,368,000 | 7,320,000 | 7,368,000 |
| Total weighted average shares outstanding | 71,006,149 | 71,345,939 | 71,109,404 | 71,379,614 |
| Net income | \$46,161,999 | \$34,430,497 | \$138,189,091 | \$116,345,198 |
| Net income per share | \$ 0.65 | \$ 0.48 | \$ 1.94 | \$ 1.63 |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Erie Indemnity Company

(Registrant)

Date: January 24, 2003

/s/ Jeffrey A. Ludrof

Jeffrey A. Ludrof, President & CEO

/s/ Philip A. Garcia

Philip A. Garcia, Executive Vice President &
CFO

Table of Contents

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Jeffrey A. Ludrof, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A of Erie Indemnity Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
 - c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors:
 - a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: January 24, 2003

/s/ Jeffrey A. Ludrof

Jeffrey A. Ludrof, President & CEO

42

Table of Contents

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Philip A. Garcia, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A of Erie Indemnity Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
 - c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors:
 - a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: January 24, 2003

/s/ Philip A. Garcia

Philip A. Garcia, Executive Vice President & CFO

43