

DIGITAL RIVER INC /DE
Form 10-Q
August 05, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2010
OR**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO
Commission file number 000-24643
DIGITAL RIVER, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

DELAWARE
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

41-1901640
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

**9625 WEST 76TH STREET
EDEN PRAIRIE, MINNESOTA 55344
(Address of principal executive offices)
(952) 253-1234
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

The number of shares of common stock outstanding at July 1, 2010 was 39,639,543 shares.

DIGITAL RIVER, INC.
Form 10-Q
Index

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 6 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 15 |
| <u>Item 3. Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosure about Market Risk</u> | 21 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 22 |
| <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 23 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | 23 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 23 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 24 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 25 |
| <u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u> | 26 |

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements**

DIGITAL RIVER, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except share data)

| | (Unaudited) | |
|---|-------------|------------|
| | June 30, | December |
| | 2010 | 31, |
| | | 2009 |
| ASSETS | | |
| CURRENT ASSETS: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 311,787 | \$ 392,704 |
| Short-term investments | 36,739 | 15,228 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$3,924 and \$2,222 | 37,603 | 50,657 |
| Deferred income taxes | 9,895 | 9,901 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 12,500 | 14,899 |
| Total current assets | 408,524 | 483,389 |
| Property and equipment, net | 52,438 | 54,343 |
| Goodwill | 264,340 | 279,538 |
| Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$74,341 and \$74,158 | 26,724 | 25,605 |
| Long-term investments | 105,526 | 119,581 |
| Deferred income taxes | 22,600 | 22,416 |
| Other assets | 766 | 770 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 880,918 | \$ 985,642 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 131,557 | \$ 192,301 |
| Accrued payroll | 12,149 | 16,131 |
| Deferred revenue | 16,909 | 17,879 |
| Accrued acquisition liabilities | 615 | 2,001 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 27,052 | 38,801 |
| Total current liabilities | 188,282 | 267,113 |
| NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES: | | |
| Convertible senior notes | 8,805 | 8,805 |
| Other liabilities | 17,954 | 15,505 |
| Total non-current liabilities | 26,759 | 24,310 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 215,041 | 291,423 |

STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Preferred Stock, \$.01 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued or outstanding | | |
| Common Stock, \$.01 par value; 120,000,000 shares authorized; 45,986,731 and 44,917,986 shares issued | 460 | 449 |
| Treasury stock at cost; 6,347,188 and 6,238,166 shares | (219,999) | (216,880) |
| Additional paid-in capital | 665,773 | 653,956 |
| Retained earnings | 243,354 | 238,867 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | (23,711) | 17,827 |
| Total stockholders equity | 665,877 | 694,219 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | \$ 880,918 | \$ 985,642 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**DIGITAL RIVER, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(in thousands, except per share data; unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenue | \$ 81,832 | \$ 96,564 | \$ 180,558 | \$ 199,495 |
| Costs and expenses (exclusive of depreciation and amortization expense shown separately below): | | | | |
| Direct cost of services | 4,356 | 3,951 | 8,993 | 7,893 |
| Network and infrastructure | 12,118 | 10,963 | 23,550 | 21,276 |
| Sales and marketing | 36,159 | 39,189 | 77,209 | 77,636 |
| Product research and development | 16,221 | 13,136 | 31,910 | 25,471 |
| General and administrative | 10,766 | 9,832 | 21,595 | 18,961 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 6,095 | 4,629 | 11,576 | 8,473 |
| Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles | 1,612 | 1,916 | 3,093 | 3,919 |
| Total costs and expenses | 87,327 | 83,616 | 177,926 | 163,629 |
| Income (loss) from operations | (5,495) | 12,948 | 2,632 | 35,866 |
| Interest Income | 608 | 762 | 1,372 | 1,951 |
| Other income (expense), net | | 1,075 | 785 | (5,481) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (4,887) | 14,785 | 4,789 | 32,336 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | (2,407) | 3,016 | 302 | 7,247 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (2,480) | \$ 11,769 | \$ 4,487 | \$ 25,089 |
| Net income (loss) per share basic | \$ (0.07) | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.68 |
| Net income (loss) per share diluted | \$ (0.07) | \$ 0.31 | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.67 |
| Shares used in per-share calculation basic | 37,577 | 36,856 | 37,548 | 36,794 |
| Shares used in per-share calculation diluted | 37,577 | 37,781 | 38,345 | 37,361 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**DIGITAL RIVER, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(in thousands; unaudited)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 4,487 | \$ 25,089 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles | 3,093 | 3,919 |
| Change in accounts receivable allowance, net of acquisitions | 1,769 | 96 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 11,576 | 8,473 |
| Debt financing costs write-off | | 5,208 |
| Stock-based compensation expense related to stock-based compensation plans | 9,998 | 8,598 |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation | (502) | (144) |
| Deferred and other income taxes | (231) | 2,184 |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions: | | |
| Accounts receivable | 8,347 | 4,497 |
| Prepaid and other assets | 1,555 | 2,906 |
| Accounts payable | (49,686) | 1,301 |
| Deferred revenue | (14) | 2,299 |
| Income tax payable | 2,680 | (3,504) |
| Other accrued liabilities | (15,264) | (14,406) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | (22,192) | 46,516 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Purchases of investments | (33,155) | (3,153) |
| Sales of investments | 20,150 | 17,100 |
| Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash received | (8,950) | (3,187) |
| Purchases of equipment and capitalized software | (10,291) | (19,396) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (32,246) | (8,636) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Cash paid for convertible senior notes | | (186,660) |
| Exercise of stock options | 866 | 7,432 |
| Sales of common stock under employee stock purchase plan | 1,138 | 1,336 |
| Repurchase of restricted stock to satisfy tax withholding obligation | (3,119) | (511) |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation | 502 | 144 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (613) | (178,259) |
| EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH | (25,866) | 433 |

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | (80,917) | (139,946) |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period | 392,704 | 490,335 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period | \$ 311,787 | \$ 350,389 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES: | | |
| Cash paid for interest on convertible senior notes | \$ 55 | \$ 1,219 |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$ 2,754 | \$ 8,482 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

DIGITAL RIVER, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included herein reflect all adjustments, including normal recurring adjustments, which in our opinion are necessary to fairly state our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements included in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for any subsequent quarter or for the entire fiscal year ending December 31, 2010. The December 31, 2009, balance sheet was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A detailed description of our significant accounting policies can be found in our most recent Annual Report filed on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

Software Development

Costs to develop software for internal use are required to be capitalized and amortized over the estimated useful life of the software. For the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, we capitalized \$2.0 million and \$5.9 million related to software development, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, we capitalized \$3.0 million and \$11.5 million related to software development, respectively. This capitalization is primarily related to the development of our new enterprise resource planning (ERP) system, new data management and reporting infrastructure. We expect these investments to drive long-term operational efficiencies across the organization and provide further competitive differentiation.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income includes revenues, expenses, and gains and losses that are excluded from net earnings under GAAP. Items of comprehensive income are unrealized gains and losses on investments and foreign currency translation adjustments which are added to net income (loss) to compute comprehensive income. Comprehensive income is net of income tax benefit or expense excluding cumulative translation adjustments as these funds are indefinitely invested.

The components of comprehensive income (loss) are (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net Income (loss) | \$ (2,480) | \$ 11,769 | \$ 4,487 | \$ 25,089 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | |
| Unrealized foreign exchange gain (loss) on the revaluation of investments in foreign subsidiaries | (26,090) | 15,410 | (41,423) | 74 |
| (Increase) decrease in temporary impairment of auction rate securities | (269) | 2,769 | (217) | 8,523 |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on investments | (10) | | 46 | (7) |
| Tax benefit (expense) | 97 | (1,030) | 56 | (3,145) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | (26,272) | 17,149 | (41,538) | 5,445 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ (28,752) | \$ 28,918 | \$ (37,051) | \$ 30,534 |

Foreign Currency

Substantially all of our foreign subsidiaries use the local currency of their respective countries as their functional currency. Assets and liabilities are translated at exchange rates prevailing at the balance sheet dates. Revenues, costs and expenses are translated at the average exchange rates for the reported period. Gains and losses resulting from translation are recorded as a component of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) within

6

Table of Contents

DIGITAL RIVER, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

stockholders' equity. Gains and losses resulting from foreign currency transactions are recognized as Other income (expense), net.

We are exposed to market risk from changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Our primary risk is the effect of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations on the U.S. dollar value of foreign currency denominated operating sales and expenses. During the second quarter 2010, these exposures were mitigated by the use of foreign exchange forward contracts with maturities of approximately one week. Our derivatives are not designated as hedges and are adjusted to fair value through income each period. The principal exposures mitigated were euro and pound sterling currencies. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, derivative exposures were immaterial. The notional amounts held and the underlying gain/loss were determined to be immaterial when compared to our overall cash and cash equivalents and the net income (loss) reported for the respective periods. We had no open foreign exchange forward contracts outstanding as of June 30, 2010.

Our foreign currency contracts contain credit risk to the extent that our bank counterparties may be unable to meet the terms of the agreements. We minimize such risk by limiting our counterparties to major financial institutions of high credit quality.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2009-13 Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements: In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued ASU 2009-13. This update provides amendments to Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 605 Revenue Recognition that enables vendors to account for products or services (deliverables) separately rather than as a combined unit. The amendments eliminate the residual method of allocation and require that arrangement consideration be allocated at the inception of the arrangement to all deliverables using the relative selling price method. The amendments also require that a vendor determine its best estimate of selling price in a manner that is consistent with that used to determine the price to sell the deliverable on a standalone basis. Additionally, disclosures related to multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements have also been expanded. The provisions will be effective for fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010 and we will adopt in the first quarter of 2011. We are currently evaluating the impact of ASU 2009-13.

ASU 2010-06 Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements: In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06. This update provides amendments to ASC Topic 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures that requires additional disclosures about transfers into and out of Levels 1 and 2 in the fair value hierarchy and additional disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements relating to Level 3 fair value measurements. Additionally, it clarifies existing fair value disclosures about the level of disaggregation of inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value. We adopted the new disclosure requirements in ASU 2010-06 as of the period ended March 31, 2010.

ASU 2010-09 Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements: In February 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-09. This amendment to ASC Topic 855 Subsequent Events removes the requirement for an SEC filer to disclose the date through which subsequent events are evaluated. This includes both issued and revised financial statements. We adopted the new disclosure requirements in ASU 2010-09 as of the period ended March 31, 2010.

ASC 810 Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities: In June 2009, FASB issued additional guidance related to ASC Topic No. 810, Consolidation (ASC 810). ASC 810 requires an analysis to determine whether a variable interest gives the entity a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. This guidance requires an ongoing reassessment and eliminates the quantitative approach previously required for determining whether an entity is the primary beneficiary. We adopted the additional guidance as of the period ended March 31, 2010, and it did not have a material impact on our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

We have determined that all other recently issued accounting standards will not have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements, or do not apply to our operations.

Table of Contents

DIGITAL RIVER, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

2. NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE

The following table summarizes the computation of basic and diluted net income (loss) per share (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income (loss) per share basic | | | | |
| Net income (loss) basic | \$ (2,480) | \$ 11,769 | \$ 4,487 | \$ 25,089 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding basic | 37,577 | 36,856 | 37,548 | 36,794 |
| Net income (loss) per share basic | \$ (0.07) | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.68 |
| Net income (loss) per share diluted | | | | |
| Net income (loss) basic | \$ (2,480) | \$ 11,769 | \$ 4,487 | \$ 25,089 |
| Exclude: Interest expense and amortized financing cost of convertible senior notes, net of tax benefit | | 21 | 42 | 42 |
| Net income (loss) diluted | \$ (2,480) | \$ 11,790 | \$ 4,529 | \$ 25,131 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding basic | 37,577 | 36,856 | 37,548 | 36,794 |
| Dilutive impact of non-vested stock and options outstanding | | 725 | 597 | 367 |
| Dilutive impact of convertible senior notes | | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding diluted | 37,577 | 37,781 | 38,345 | 37,361 |
| Net income (loss) per share diluted | \$ (0.07) | \$ 0.31 | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.67 |

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, 773,560 incremental shares related to dilutive securities were not included in the diluted net income (loss) per share calculation because the Company reported a loss for this period. Incremental shares related to dilutive securities have an anti-dilutive impact on net income (loss) per share when a net loss is reported and therefore are not included in the calculation.

Options to purchase 1,460,388 and 567,685 shares for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and 1,460,338 and 1,362,043 shares for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted net income (loss) per share, because their effect on diluted net income (loss) per share would have been anti-dilutive.

The unissued shares underlying contingent convertible notes are treated as if such shares were issued and outstanding for the purposes of calculating GAAP diluted net income (loss) per share beginning with the issuance of our 1.25% convertible senior notes on June 1, 2004. The impact of the convertible note repurchase was anti-dilutive for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, and has been excluded from the computation of diluted net income (loss) per share as a result.

3. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Financial assets and liabilities that are measured and reported at fair value at each reporting period are classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

- Level 1 Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets;
- Level 2 Other observable inputs available at the measurement date, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, either directly or indirectly, including:
- Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;
 - Quoted prices for identical or similar assets in non-active markets;
 - Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for assets or liabilities; and
 - Inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by other observable market data.
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs that cannot be corroborated by observable market data and reflect the use of significant management judgment. These values are generally determined using pricing models for which the assumptions utilize management's estimate of market participant assumptions, including assumptions about risk.

Table of Contents

DIGITAL RIVER, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Assets and Liabilities that are Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The fair value hierarchy requires the use of observable market data when available. In instances in which the inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the fair value measurement has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. Our assessment of the significance of a particular item to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, including the consideration of inputs specific to the assets or liabilities. The Company's policy is to recognize transfers between levels at the end of the quarter.

The following table sets forth by level within the fair value hierarchy, our financial assets that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2010 (in thousands), according to the valuation techniques we used to determine their fair values. There have been no transfers of assets between the fair value hierarchies presented below:

| | Fair Value Measurements | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|
| | As of June 30, 2010 | | | |
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 311,787 | \$ 311,787 | \$ | \$ |
| Certificates of Deposit | 2,000 | 2,000 | | |
| U.S. government sponsored entities | 17,979 | 17,979 | | |
| Corporate Bonds | 16,760 | 16,760 | | |
| Student loan bonds | 84,180 | | | 84,180 |
| Total assets measured at fair value | \$ 432,706 | \$ 348,526 | \$ | \$ 84,180 |

The following table is a reconciliation of assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 inputs) (in thousands):

| | Fair Value Measurements Using | | |
|--|--|--------------------|--------------|
| | Significant Unobservable Inputs | | |
| | (Level 3) | | |
| | Short-term | Long-term | Total |
| | Investments | Investments | |
| Balance as of December 31, 2008 | \$ | \$ 93,213 | \$ 93,213 |
| Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized) included in other comprehensive income | | 9,988 | 9,988 |
| Purchases | | | |
| Issuances | | | |
| Settlements | | (10,400) | (10,400) |
| Transfers in and/or out of Level 3 | | | |
| Balance as of December 31, 2009 | | 92,801 | 92,801 |
| Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized) included in other comprehensive income | | (221) | (221) |
| Purchases | | | |
| Issuances | | | |
| Settlements | | (8,400) | (8,400) |
| Transfers in and/or out of Level 3 | | | |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----|----|--------|-----------|
| Balance as of June 30, 2010 | \$ | \$ | 84,180 | \$ 84,180 |
|-----------------------------|----|----|--------|-----------|

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument. There have been no changes in the valuation techniques used by the Company to fair value our financial instruments:

Cash and Cash equivalents. Consist of cash on hand in bank deposits, highly liquid investments, primarily high grade commercial paper and money market accounts. The fair value was measured using quoted market prices and is classified as Level 1. The carrying amount approximates fair value.

Table of Contents

DIGITAL RIVER, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Certificates of Deposit. Consist of time deposit accounts with original maturities of less than one year and various yields. The carrying amount approximates fair value and is classified as Level 1.

U.S government sponsored entities. Consist of Fannie Mae and Federal Home Loan Bank investment grade bonds that trade with sufficient frequency and volume to enable us to obtain pricing information on an ongoing basis. The fair value of these bonds was measured using quoted market prices and is classified as Level 1. The contractual maturity of these investments is within one year.

Corporate Bonds. Consist of investment grade corporate bonds that trade with sufficient frequency and volume to enable us to obtain pricing information on an ongoing basis. The fair value of these bonds was measured using quoted market prices and is classified as Level 1. The contractual maturity of these investments is within two years.

Auction Rate Securities (Student loan bonds in table). As of June 30, 2010, we held \$90.7 million of auction rate securities (ARS) at par value which we have recorded at a \$84.2 million fair value; all of the ARS are AAA/Aaa rated and 105%-115% over collateralized by student loans guaranteed by the U.S. government with the exception of one security which is rated AAA/A3 and one security which is rated AAA/Aa1. All the securities are 100% guaranteed by the Department of Education or the Federal Family Education Loan Program (FFELP) with the exception of two securities which are 82.5% and 99% guaranteed by FFELP. Almost all of these securities continue to fail at auction due to continued illiquid market conditions.

Due to the illiquid market conditions, the Company determined a market value discount was required in calendar year 2008 and recorded a temporary fair value reduction of \$16.3 million (14.9% of par value) to Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) . Since 2008, we have successfully liquidated \$18.8 million of our ARS at par (\$7.9 million in the second quarter of 2010). As of June 30, 2010, the adjusted market value discount on the remaining ARS was \$6.5 million (7.2% of par value). This fair value adjustment is recorded in our balance sheet under Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) .

The determination of fair value required management to make estimates and assumptions about the ARS. The discounted cash flow model we used to value these securities included the following assumptions:

determination of the penalty coupon rate, frequency of reset period associated with each ARS

an average redemption period of seven years

a contribution of the ARS paying its contractually stated interest rate

determination of the risk adjusted discount rate based on LIBOR rates for these maturities plus market information on student loan credit spreads

The aggregate ARS portfolio is yielding 1.5% and we continue to receive 100% of the contractually required interest payments. We continue to believe that we will be able to liquidate at par over time. We do not intend to sell the investments prior to recovery of their amortized cost basis nor do we believe it is more likely than not we may be required to sell the investments prior to recovery of their amortized cost basis. Accordingly, we treated the fair value decline as temporary. We anticipate we will have sufficient cash flow from operations to execute our business strategy and fund our operational needs. We believe that capital markets are also available if we need to finance other investing alternatives.

Based on the current illiquid market conditions, the Company classifies its ARS as Level 3 long-term investments until the Company has received a call or partial call on the securities. Upon receipt of a call or partial call, the Company classifies the securities subject to the call or partial call, as Level 1 short term investments. As of June 30, 2010 the fair value of the Company's \$90.7 million in ARS was classified as \$84.2 million Level 3 long-term investments. Also as of June 30, 2010, the difference between fair value and par value of the ARS was \$6.5 million, or 1.5% of total assets measured at fair value or 0.7% of total assets reported in our financial statements.

Assets and Liabilities that are Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

In the second quarter of 2010, we had no significant measurements of assets or liabilities at fair value on a nonrecurring basis subsequent to their initial recognition.

The carrying amount of accounts receivable and accounts payable approximates fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments.

Table of Contents

DIGITAL RIVER, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

The aggregate carrying value and fair value of the Company's cost method equity investments at June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, was \$21.3 million and \$25.1 million, respectively. The decrease in carrying value was due to translation adjustments. The Company acquired the majority of these investments in late 2009 and believes the entity valuations completed at acquisition continue to represent the fair value of the acquisitions.

As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the fair value of our \$8.8 million 1.25% fixed rate convertible senior notes was valued at \$7.3 million and \$7.5 million, respectively, based on the quoted fair market value of the debt.

4. INVESTMENTS

As of June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, our available-for-sale securities consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | Cost | Unrealized Gain (Loss) | | Fair Value | Maturities/Reset Dates | |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | Less than 12 Months | Greater than 12 Months | | Less than 12 Months | Greater than 12 Months |
| Balance, June 30, 2010 | | | | | | |
| Certificates of Deposit U.S. government sponsored entities | \$ 2,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ 2,000 | \$ 2,000 | \$ |
| Corporate Bonds | 17,976 | 3 | | 17,979 | 17,979 | |
| Student loan bonds | 16,706 | 54 | | 16,760 | 7,653 | 9,107 |
| | 90,700 | | (6,520) | 84,180 | | 84,180 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$ 127,382 | \$ 57 | \$ (6,520) | \$ 120,919 | \$ 27,632 | \$ 93,287 |
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | | | | | | |
| U.S. government sponsored entities | \$ 3,999 | \$ (2) | \$ | \$ 3,997 | \$ 1,993 | \$ 2,004 |
| Corporate Bonds | 11,221 | 10 | | 11,231 | 3,112 | 8,119 |
| Student loan bonds | 99,100 | | (6,299) | 92,801 | | 92,801 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$ 114,320 | \$ 8 | \$ (6,299) | \$ 108,029 | \$ 5,105 | \$ 102,924 |

Realized gains or losses on investments are recorded in our statement of operations within Other expense, net. In the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company's proceeds on sales of investments equaled par value. Upon the sale of a security classified as available for sale, the amount reclassified out of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) into earnings or net assets is based on the average cost method. In the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) to net assets \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, related to securities settled at par within the periods. Realized losses on sales of investments were immaterial in the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

5. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS, GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS**Business Combinations**

Acquisitions completed in 2010

On April 29, 2010, we entered an agreement to acquire all of the capital stock of fatfoogoo, AG, a privately held company based in Vienna, Austria, for \$7.0 million in cash. The agreement provides us with the opportunity to offer game publishers and developers a single e-commerce connection for managing their online product sales both in-store and in-game. The purchase agreement provides fatfoogoo shareholders with an earn-out opportunity based on achieving certain earnings targets during the first two years subsequent to the acquisition. Prior to this acquisition, we held a 19% investment in fatfoogoo; this investment was recorded using the cost method in our financial statements. The results of operations of fatfoogoo and the preliminary estimated fair value of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities have been included in our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. The preliminary allocation of the purchase price was based upon preliminary valuations for certain assets and assumed liabilities and will be completed during the third quarter. The final allocation of the purchase price may be different from our preliminary allocation.

Acquisitions completed in 2009

No acquisitions in 2009.

Future Earn-outs

As of June 30, 2010, there were estimated future earn-outs of \$0.6 million in accrued acquisition liabilities.

Table of Contents

DIGITAL RIVER, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

6. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The following table summarizes stock-based compensation expense related to employee stock options, awards and employee stock purchases recognized (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Costs and expenses | | | | |
| Direct cost of services | \$ 161 | \$ 172 | \$ 299 | \$ 341 |
| Network and infrastructure | 270 | 207 | 468 | 320 |
| Sales and marketing | 1,876 | 1,643 | 3,249 | 3,160 |
| Product research and development | 908 | 622 | 1,633 | 1,078 |
| General and administrative | 2,307 | 2,243 | 4,349 | 3,699 |
| Stock-based compensation included in costs and expenses | \$ 5,522 | \$ 4,887 | \$ 9,998 | \$ 8,598 |

7. INCOME TAXES

For the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, our tax benefit was \$2.4 million and our tax expense was \$3.0 million, respectively. For the three months ended June 30, 2010, our tax benefit consisted of approximately \$2.3 million of U.S. tax benefit and \$0.1 million of foreign tax benefit. For the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the tax rate was 49.2% and 20.4%, respectively.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, our tax expense was \$0.3 million and \$7.2 million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, our tax expense consisted of approximately \$0.2 million of U.S tax benefit and \$0.5 million of foreign tax expense. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the tax rate was 6.3% and 22.4%, respectively.

As of June 30, 2010, we had \$7.5 million of unrecognized tax benefits, excluding related interest. All of these unrecognized tax benefits would affect our effective tax rate if recognized. Gross unrecognized tax benefits increased by \$0.4 million during the quarter for items identified during the quarter. As of June 30, 2010, we had approximately \$1.1 million of accrued interest related to uncertain tax positions.

There is uncertainty of future realization of a portion of the deferred tax assets resulting from acquired tax loss carryforwards. Therefore a valuation allowance was recorded against the tax effect of such tax loss carryforwards. At June 30, 2010, the Company has a valuation allowance on approximately \$2.5 million of deferred tax assets as we believe it is more likely than not that these deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Due to the potential resolution of examinations currently being performed by taxing authorities and the expiration of various statutes of limitation, it is reasonably possible that the balance of our gross unrecognized tax benefits may change within the next twelve months by a range of zero to \$1.8 million.

8. CONTINGENCIES**Litigation**

DDR Holdings, LLC (DDR Holdings) has brought a claim against us and several other defendants regarding U.S. Patents No. 6,629,135 (the 135 patent) and 6,993,572 (the 572 patent), which are owned by DDR Holdings. These patents claim e-commerce outsourcing systems and methods relating to the provision of outsourced e-commerce support pages having a common look and feel with a host's website. The case was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas on January 31, 2006. The complaint seeks injunctive relief, declaratory relief, damages and attorneys' fees. We have denied infringement of any valid claim of the patents-in-suit, and have asserted counter-claims which seek a judicial declaration that the patents are invalid and not infringed. In September 2006, DDR Holdings filed an application for reexamination of its patents based upon the prior art produced by us and the

other defendants in the case. As part of that application, DDR Holdings asserted that this prior art raised a substantial

Table of Contents

DIGITAL RIVER, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

question as to the patentability of the inventions claimed in the patents. In December 2006, the Court stayed the litigation pending a decision on the reexamination application. In February 2007, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ordered reexamination of DDR Holdings' patents. On January 5, 2009, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office issued a final office action rejecting the claims in the 135 patent which were subject to reexamination. On January 14, 2009, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office issued a final office action rejecting all but two of the claims in the 572 patent which were subject to reexamination. On April 16, 2010, the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences reversed the decision of the Examiner to reject the claims in the 135 patent and the 572 patent which were subject to reexamination. On July 20, 2010 the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office issued Reexamination Certificates for the 135 and 572 patents. Should the stay of litigation be lifted, we intend to vigorously defend ourselves in this matter. We are subject to legal proceedings, claims and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. While the final outcome of these matters is currently not determinable, we believe there is no litigation pending against us that is likely to have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operation or cash flows. Because of the uncertainty inherent in litigation, it is possible that unfavorable resolutions of these lawsuits, proceedings and claims could exceed the amount we have currently reserved for these matters. Third parties have from time-to-time claimed, and others may claim in the future, that we have infringed their intellectual property rights. We have been notified of several potential patent disputes, and expect that we will increasingly be subject to patent infringement claims as our services expand in scope and complexity. We have in the past been forced to litigate such claims. We may also become more vulnerable to third-party claims as laws, such as the Digital Millennium Copyright Act, the Lanham Act and the Communications Decency Act are interpreted by the courts and as we expand geographically into jurisdictions where the underlying laws with respect to the potential liability of online intermediaries like ourselves are either unclear or less favorable. These claims, whether meritorious or not, could be time consuming and costly to resolve, cause service upgrade delays, require expensive changes in our methods of doing business, or could require us to enter into costly royalty or licensing agreements.

Indemnification Provisions

In the ordinary course of business we have included limited indemnification provisions in certain of our agreements with parties with whom we have commercial relations. Under these contracts, we generally indemnify, hold harmless and agree to reimburse the indemnified party for losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified party in connection with claims by any third party with respect to our domain names, trademarks, logos and other branding elements to the extent that such marks are applicable to our performance under the subject agreement. In certain agreements, including both agreements under which we have developed technology for certain commercial parties and agreements with our clients, we have provided an indemnity for other types of third-party claims. To date, no significant costs have been incurred, either individually or collectively, in connection with our indemnification provisions.

In addition, we are required by our credit card processors to comply with credit card association operating rules, and we have agreed to indemnify our processors for any fines they are assessed by credit card associations as a result of processing payments for us. The credit card associations and their member banks set and interpret the credit card rules. Visa, MasterCard, American Express, or Discover could adopt new operating rules or re-interpret existing rules that we or our credit card processors might find difficult to follow. We also could be subject to fines or increased fees from MasterCard and Visa.

9. DEBT

In 2004 we sold and issued \$195.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 1.25% convertible senior notes due January 1, 2024 (Notes), in a private, unregistered offering. The Notes were sold at 100% of their principal amount. On January 5, 2009, we announced that holders of 95.5% of the Notes exercised the option to require us to repurchase those Notes on January 2, 2009 at a purchase price of 100.25% of the principal amount of each tendered Note. Notes with an aggregate principal amount of approximately \$8.8 million remain outstanding. Holders of the remaining outstanding Notes have the right to require us to repurchase their Notes prior to maturity on January 1, 2014 and 2019.

We are required to pay interest on the Notes on January 1 and July 1 of each year so long as the Notes are outstanding. The Notes bear interest at a rate of 1.25% and, if specified conditions are met, are convertible into our

Table of Contents

DIGITAL RIVER, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

common stock at a conversion price of \$44.063 per share. The Notes may be surrendered for conversion under certain circumstances, including the satisfaction of a market price condition, such that the price of our common stock reaches a specified threshold; the satisfaction of a trading price condition, such that the trading price of the Notes falls below a specified level; the redemption of the Notes by us, the occurrence of specified corporate transactions, as defined in the related indenture; and the occurrence of a fundamental change, as defined in the related indenture. The initial conversion price is equivalent to a conversion rate of approximately 22.6948 shares per \$1,000 of principal amount of the Notes. We will adjust the conversion price if certain events occur, as specified in the related indenture, such as the issuance of our common stock as a dividend or distribution or the occurrence of a stock subdivision or combination. We incurred interest expense of \$0.03 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009, and made no interest payments.

10. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company has completed an evaluation of all subsequent events and concluded no additional subsequent events occurred that required recognition or disclosure.

11. RESTATEMENT OF FIRST QUARTER 2009 FINANCIALS

The Company reported net income of \$16.6 million, or \$0.45 per diluted share for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, in its first quarter 2009 Form 10-Q filed on May 8, 2009. In performing its detailed review of the financial statements and notes at year end 2009, management identified an additional adjustment associated with its January 2, 2009, convertible note repurchase. Management determined that a \$5.2 million non-cash expense for debt financing costs (\$3.3 million net of tax) was incorrectly charged to additional paid-in capital in the first quarter 2009 and should have been expensed to other income (expense), net. The impact of the note repurchase on diluted earnings per share was anti-dilutive and has been excluded as a result. The restated results decrease our reported net income for the three months ended March 31, 2009, by \$3.3 million or \$0.09 per diluted share. The write-off of the debt financing costs was correctly reported in our 2009 Form 10-K filed on February 23, 2010.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The discussion in this Quarterly Report contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed below. Additional factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those identified below, and those discussed in the section entitled Risk Factors, included in Item 1A of Part II of this Quarterly Report and Item 1A of Part 1 of the Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2009. When used in this document, the words believes, expects, anticipates, intends, plans, and similar expressions, are intended to identify certain of these forward-looking statements. However, these words are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements. In addition, any statements that refer to expectations, projections or other characterizations of future events or circumstances are forward-looking statements. The cautionary statements made in this document should be read as being applicable to all related forward-looking statements wherever they appear in this document. We have no obligation to update the matters set forth herein, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Overview

We provide end-to-end global e-commerce and marketing solutions to a wide variety of companies in software, consumer electronics, computer games, video games, and other markets. We offer our clients a broad range of services that enable them to quickly and cost effectively establish an online sales channel capability and to subsequently manage and grow online sales on a global basis while mitigating risks. Our services include design, development and hosting of online stores and shopping carts, store merchandising and optimization, order management, denied parties screening, export controls and management, tax compliance and management, fraud management, digital product delivery via download, physical product fulfillment, subscription management, online marketing including e-mail marketing, management of affiliate programs, paid search programs, payment processing services, website optimization, web analytics and reporting, and CD production and delivery.

Our products and services allow our clients to focus on promoting and marketing their products and brands while leveraging our investments in technology and infrastructure to facilitate the purchase of products through their online websites. When shoppers visit one of our clients' branded websites and purchase goods, they are transferred to an e-commerce store and/or shopping cart operated by us on our e-commerce platforms. Once on our system, shoppers can browse for products and make purchases online. We typically are the seller of record for transactions through our client branded stores. After a purchase is made, we either deliver the product digitally via download over the Internet or transmit instructions to a third party for physical fulfillment of the order. We also process the buyer's payment as the merchant of record, including collection and remittance of applicable taxes and compliance with various regulatory matters. We have invested substantial resources to develop our e-commerce and marketing platforms, including business-to-business software, and we provide access and use of our platforms to our clients as a service as opposed to selling the software to be operated on their own in-house computer hardware. Our e-commerce store solutions range from simple remote control models to more comprehensive online store models.

In addition to the services we provide that facilitate the completion of an online transaction, we also offer services designed to increase traffic to our clients' websites and the associated online stores and to improve the sales productivity of those stores. Our services include paid search advertising, search engine optimization, affiliate marketing, store optimization, multi-variant testing, web analytic services and e-mail optimization. All of our services are designed to help our clients acquire customers more effectively, sell to those customers more often and more efficiently, and increase the lifetime value of each customer.

As announced on October 12, 2009, Symantec Corporation informed us that it elected not to renew its e-commerce agreement with us. As a consequence, their e-commerce agreement terminated on June 30, 2010. We recorded \$7.6 million and \$24.9 million in overall revenues from the Symantec contract in the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. We intend to moderate the impact on our consolidated financial results of the reduction in revenue through acquisition of new clients, organic growth within existing clients, new product and service introductions, cost-saving initiatives and acquisition activities. Unless we generate sufficient new business to offset the loss of Symantec's business, our 2009 financial results will be difficult to duplicate in 2010.

We view our operations and manage our business as one reportable segment, providing outsourced e-commerce solutions globally to a variety of companies, primarily in the software and high-tech products markets.

Edgar Filing: DIGITAL RIVER INC /DE - Form 10-Q

We were incorporated in Delaware in February 1994. Our headquarters are located at 9625 West 76th Street, Eden Prairie, Minnesota and our telephone number is 952-253-1234.

15

Table of Contents

General information about us can be found at www.digitalriver.com under the Company/Investor Relations link. Our annual report on

Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, as well as any amendments or exhibits to those reports, are available free of charge through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after we file them with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth certain items from our condensed consolidated statements of operations as a percentage of total revenue for the periods indicated:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|--------|------------------|--------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenue | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Costs and expenses (exclusive of depreciation and amortization expense shown separately below): | | | | |
| Direct cost of services | 5.3 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 3.9 |
| Network and infrastructure | 14.8 | 11.3 | 13.0 | 10.7 |
| Sales and marketing | 44.2 | 40.6 | 42.8 | 38.9 |
| Product research and development | 19.8 | 13.6 | 17.7 | 12.8 |
| General and administrative | 13.2 | 10.2 | 12.0 | 9.5 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 7.4 | 4.8 | 6.4 | 4.2 |
| Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.0 |
| Total costs and expenses | 106.7 | 86.6 | 98.5 | 82.0 |
| Income (loss) from operations | (6.7) | 13.4 | 1.5 | 18.0 |
| Interest Income | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Other income (expense), net | | 1.1 | 0.4 | (2.7) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (6.0) | 15.3 | 2.7 | 16.2 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | (3.0) | 3.1 | 0.2 | 3.6 |
| Net income (loss) | (3.0)% | 12.2% | 2.5% | 12.6% |

REVENUE. Our revenue was \$81.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$96.6 million for the same period in the prior year, a decrease of \$14.7 million or 15.3%. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, revenue totaled \$180.6 million, a decrease of \$18.9 million, or 9.5%, from revenue of \$199.5 million for the same period in the prior year. The revenue decrease attributable to the decline in Symantec revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, was \$21.3 million and \$37.1 million, respectively, compared to the same periods in the prior year as a result of Symantec diverting traffic to their in-house solution. Excluding Symantec, revenue increased 9.8% for the three months ended June 30, 2010, over the same period in the prior year. The increase is attributed to increased traffic, growth in the number of consumer electronic clients, growth in our digital software business and expanded strategic marketing activities with a larger number of clients. The revenue increase was also partially offset by foreign currency impact year over year.

International e-commerce sales were approximately 44.8% and 45.7% of total sales in the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010, compared to 39.4% and 37.6% for the same periods in the prior year. The increase in international revenue was primarily driven by the transition of Symantec revenue to an in-house solution resulting in a changed mix in international sales. The revenue increase was also partially offset by foreign currency impact year over

year.

Sales of products for Symantec accounted for approximately 8.3% of our revenue in the three month period ended June 30, 2010, compared to 22.5% for the same period in the prior year. In addition, revenues derived from proprietary Digital River services sold to Symantec consumers and dealer network sales of Symantec products amounted to approximately 1.0% of our total revenue in the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to 7.5% for the same period in the prior year. Sales of products for Symantec accounted for approximately 11.6% of our revenue in the six month period ended June 30, 2010, compared to 23.2% for the same period in the prior year. In addition, revenues derived from proprietary Digital River services sold to Symantec consumers and dealer network sales of Symantec products amounted to approximately 2.2% of our total revenue in the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to 7.9% for the same period in the prior year.

DIRECT COST OF SERVICES. Direct cost of services primarily includes costs related to personnel, product fulfillment, backup CD production and delivery solutions and certain client-specific costs. Direct cost of service

Table of Contents

expenses increased to \$4.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to \$4.0 million for the same period in the prior year. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, direct cost of service expense was \$9.0 million, compared to \$7.9 million for the same period in the prior year. The increases were primarily attributable to higher CD production and delivery volume.

As a percentage of revenue, direct cost of services were 5.3% and 4.9% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to 4.1% and 3.9% for the same periods in the prior year.

NETWORK AND INFRASTRUCTURE. Our network and infrastructure expenses primarily include personnel related expenses, costs to operate and maintain our technology platforms, customer service, data communication and data center operations. Network and infrastructure expenses were \$12.1 million and \$11.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Network and infrastructure expenses were \$23.6 million and \$21.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increases were mainly due to increased software license expense, data communication expenses and higher client website traffic as a result of various marketing campaigns and client product launches.

As a percentage of revenue, network and infrastructure expenses were 14.8% and 13.0% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to 11.3% and 10.7% for the same periods in the prior year.

SALES AND MARKETING. Our sales and marketing expenses include credit card transaction and other payment processing fees, personnel and related costs, advertising, promotional and product marketing expenses, credit card chargebacks and bad debt expense. Sales and marketing expenses were \$36.2 million and \$39.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Sales and marketing expenses were \$77.2 million and \$77.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease in sales and marketing was primarily driven by lower payment processing related fees partially offset by higher workforce related costs to drive new products and incremental revenue and higher marketing and advertising costs due to new or expanded client paid search marketing programs.

As a percentage of revenue, sales and marketing expenses were 44.2% and 42.8% in the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to 40.6% and 38.9% for the same periods in the prior year.

PRODUCT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT. Our product research and development expenses include personnel and related expenses associated with developing, maintaining and enhancing our technology platforms and related systems. Product research and development expenses were \$16.2 million and \$13.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Product research and development expenses were \$31.9 million and \$25.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Lower capitalization of internal and consulting labor and higher research and development workforce related costs were incurred during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the same period of the prior year. These costs support the increased investment in technologies used to strengthen our leadership position in software and unlock opportunities in markets such as consumer electronics, games, subscriptions, and business-to-business software. These investments advance global system scalability, our e-marketing capabilities, data management and client reporting.

As a percentage of revenue, product research and development expenses were 19.8% and 17.7% in the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to 13.6% and 12.8% for the same periods in the prior year.

GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE. Our general and administrative expenses primarily include executive, accounting and administrative personnel and related expenses, professional fees for legal, tax and audit services, bank fees and insurance. General and administrative expenses were \$10.8 million and \$9.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. General and administrative expenses were \$21.6 million and \$19.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase in general and administrative costs was mainly due to higher professional fees.

As a percentage of revenue, general and administrative expenses were 13.2% and 12.0% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to 10.2% and 9.5% for the same periods in the prior year.

DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION. Our depreciation and amortization expenses include the depreciation of computer equipment, office furniture, the amortization of purchased and internally developed software, leasehold improvements and debt financing costs. Computer equipment, software and furniture are depreciated under the straight-line method using three to seven year lives and leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the

life of the asset or the remaining length of the lease. Depreciation and amortization expense was \$6.1 million and

Table of Contents

\$11.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, compared to \$4.6 million and \$8.5 million for the same periods in the prior year. The increased expense was primarily due to the amortization of our new enterprise resource planning system and a new data management and reporting infrastructure.

AMORTIZATION OF ACQUISITION-RELATED INTANGIBLES. Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles consisted primarily of the amortization of customer relationships, technology and trade names acquired in prior year acquisitions. Amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets was \$1.6 million and \$3.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, compared to \$1.9 million and \$3.9 million for the same periods in the prior year.

INTEREST INCOME. Our interest income represents the total of interest income on our cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments, certain long-term investments and interest received on tax refunds. Interest income was \$0.6 million and \$1.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, compared to \$0.8 million and \$2.0 million for the same periods in the prior year. Interest income declined due to lower market yields on our portfolio.

OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE), NET. Our other income (expense), net includes the total of interest expense on our debt, foreign currency transaction gains and losses and asset disposal gains and losses. Interest expense was \$0.04 million and \$0.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, compared to \$0.03 million and \$5.3 million for the same period in the prior year. The decrease in other interest expense in the six months ended June 30, 2010, was due to the \$5.2 million write-off of debt financing costs related to the retirement of the Notes in January 2009. Foreign currency re-measurement was a gain of \$0.1 million and \$0.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, compared to a gain of \$1.1 million and a \$0.2 million loss for the same periods in the prior year. Gains and losses on asset disposals were immaterial for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, and 2009.

INCOME TAXES. For the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, our tax benefit was \$2.4 million and our tax expense was \$3.0 million, respectively. For the three months ended June 30, 2010, our tax benefit consisted of approximately \$2.3 million of U.S. tax benefit and \$0.1 million of foreign tax benefit. For the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the tax rate was 49.2% and 20.4%, respectively.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, our tax expense was \$0.3 million and \$7.2 million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, our tax expense consisted of approximately \$0.2 million of U.S tax benefit and \$0.5 million of foreign tax expense. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the tax rate was 6.3% and 22.4%, respectively.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

None

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

As of June 30, 2010, we had \$311.8 million of cash and cash equivalents. Our primary source of internal liquidity is our operating activities. Net cash used for operations for the six months ended June 30, 2010, of \$22.2 million was primarily the result of net income adjusted for non-cash expenses and balance sheet changes such as a decrease in accounts payable. Net cash provided by operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009, of \$46.5 million was primarily the result of net income adjusted for non-cash expenses and balance sheet changes such as a decrease in other accrued liabilities.

Net cash used for investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2010, was \$32.2 million and was the result of net purchases of investments of \$13.0 million, net cash paid for acquisitions of \$9.0 million, and purchases of equipment and capitalized software of \$10.3 million. Net cash used for investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2009, was \$8.6 million and was the result of net sales of investments of \$13.9 million, net cash paid for acquisitions of \$3.2 million, and purchases of capital equipment and capitalized software of \$19.4 million.

Net cash used for financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2010, was \$0.6 million. Proceeds of \$0.9 million were provided by the sale of stock through the exercise of stock options, proceeds of \$1.1 million were provided by the sale of stock under the employee stock purchase plan, cash used in the repurchase of restricted stock to satisfy tax withholding obligation was \$3.1 million and proceeds of \$0.5 million were provided by the excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation. Net cash used for financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2009, was \$178.3 million. Cash paid for the Notes was \$186.7 million, proceeds of \$7.4 million were provided by the sale of stock through the exercise of stock options, proceeds of \$1.3 million were provided by the sale of stock under the employee stock purchase plan, cash used in the repurchase of restricted stock to satisfy tax withholding obligation was \$0.5 million and proceeds of \$0.1 million were provided by the excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation. As announced on October 12, 2009, Symantec Corporation informed us that it elected not to renew its e-commerce agreement with us. As a consequence, their e-commerce agreement terminated on June 30, 2010. We recorded \$7.6 million and \$24.9 million in overall revenues from the Symantec contract in the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. We intend to moderate the impact on our consolidated financial results of the reduction in revenue through acquisition of new clients, organic growth within existing clients, new product and service introductions, cost-saving initiatives and acquisition activities. Unless we generate sufficient new business to offset the loss of Symantec's business, our 2009 financial results will be difficult to duplicate in 2010.

As of June 30, 2010, we held \$90.7 million of auction rate securities (ARS) at par value which we have recorded at a \$84.2 million fair value; all of the ARS are AAA/Aaa rated and 105%-115% over collateralized by student loans guaranteed by the U.S. government with the exception of one security which is rated AAA/A3 and one security which is rated AAA/Aa1. All the securities are 100% guaranteed by the Department of Education or the Federal Family Education Loan Program (FFELP) with the exception of two securities which are 82.5% and 99% guaranteed by FFELP. Almost all of these securities continue to fail at auction due to continued illiquid market conditions.

Due to the illiquid market conditions, the Company determined a market value discount was required in calendar year 2008 and recorded a temporary fair value reduction of \$16.3 million (14.9% of par value) to Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Since 2008, we have successfully liquidated \$18.8 million of our ARS at par (\$7.9 million in the second quarter of 2010). As of June 30, 2010, the adjusted market value discount on the remaining ARS was \$6.5 million (7.2% of par value). This fair value adjustment is recorded in our balance sheet under

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) .

The determination of fair value required management to make estimates and assumptions about the ARS. The discounted cash flow model we used to value these securities included the following assumptions:

determination of the penalty coupon rate, frequency of reset period associated with each ARS

an average redemption period of seven years

a contribution of the ARS paying its contractually stated interest rate

determination of the risk adjusted discount rate based on LIBOR rates for these maturities plus market information on student loan credit spreads

The aggregate ARS portfolio is yielding 1.5% and we continue to receive 100% of the contractually required interest payments. We continue to believe that we will be able to liquidate at par over time. We do not intend to sell the

Table of Contents

investments prior to recovery of their amortized cost basis nor do we believe it is more likely than not we may be required to sell the investments prior to recovery of their amortized cost basis. Accordingly, we treated the fair value decline as temporary. We anticipate we will have sufficient cash flow from operations to execute our business strategy and fund our operational needs. We believe that capital markets are also available if we need to finance other investing alternatives.

Based on the current illiquid market conditions, the Company classifies its ARS as Level 3 long-term investments until the Company has received a call or partial call on the securities. Upon receipt of a call or partial call, the Company classifies the securities subject to the call or partial call, as Level 1 short term investments. As of June 30, 2010 the fair value of the Company's \$90.7 million in ARS was classified as \$84.2 million Level 3 long-term investments. Also as of June 30, 2010, the difference between fair value and par value of the ARS was \$6.5 million, or 1.5% of total assets measured at fair value or 0.7% of total assets reported in our financial statements.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies***Critical Accounting Estimates and Policies***

A detailed description of our critical accounting policies can be found in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2009-13 Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements: In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued ASU 2009-13. This update provides amendments to Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 605 Revenue Recognition that enables vendors to account for products or services (deliverables) separately rather than as a combined unit. The amendments eliminate the residual method of allocation and require that arrangement consideration be allocated at the inception of the arrangement to all deliverables using the relative selling price method. The amendments also require that a vendor determine its best estimate of selling price in a manner that is consistent with that used to determine the price to sell the deliverable on a standalone basis. Additionally, disclosures related to multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements have also been expanded. The provisions will be effective for fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010 and we will adopt in the first quarter of 2011. We are currently evaluating the impact of ASU 2009-13.

ASU 2010-06 Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements: In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06. This update provides amendments to ASC Topic 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures that requires additional disclosures about transfers into and out of Levels 1 and 2 in the fair value hierarchy and additional disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements relating to Level 3 fair value measurements. Additionally, it clarifies existing fair value disclosures about the level of disaggregation of inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value. We adopted the new disclosure requirements in ASU 2010-06 as of the period ended March 31, 2010.

ASU 2010-09 Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements: In February 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-09. This amendment to ASC Topic 855 Subsequent Events removes the requirement for an SEC filer to disclose the date through which subsequent events are evaluated. This includes both issued and revised financial statements. We adopted the new disclosure requirements in ASU 2010-09 as of the period ended March 31, 2010.

ASC 810 Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities: In June 2009, FASB issued additional guidance related to ASC Topic No. 810, Consolidation (ASC 810). ASC 810 requires an analysis to determine whether a variable interest gives the entity a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. This guidance requires an ongoing reassessment and eliminates the quantitative approach previously required for determining whether an entity is the primary beneficiary. We adopted the additional guidance as of the period ending March 31, 2010, and it did not have a material impact on our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

We have determined that all other recently issued accounting standards will not have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements, or do not apply to our operations.

Table of Contents**Item 3. Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosure about Market Risk****Interest Rate Risk**

Our portfolio of cash equivalents, short-term investments and long-term investments is maintained in a variety of securities, including government agency obligations and money market funds. Investments are classified as available-for-sale securities and carried at their market value with cumulative unrealized gains or losses recorded as a component of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) within stockholders' equity. A sharp rise in interest rates could have an adverse impact on the market value of certain securities in our portfolio. We do not currently hedge our interest rate exposure and do not enter into financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

At June 30, 2010, we had long-term debt of \$8.8 million associated with our Notes. The market value of our long-term debt will fluctuate with movements of interest rates, increasing in periods of declining rates of interest and declining in periods of increasing rates of interest.

Foreign Currency Risk

Growth in our international operations will incrementally increase our exposure to foreign currency fluctuations as well as other risks typical of international operations, including, but not limited to, differing economic conditions, changes in political climate, differing tax structures and other regulations and restrictions.

Foreign exchange rate fluctuations may adversely impact our consolidated results of operations as exchange rate fluctuations on transactions denominated in currencies other than our functional currencies result in gains and losses that are reflected in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. To the extent the U.S. dollar weakens against foreign currencies, the translation of these foreign currency-denominated transactions will result in increased net revenues and operating expenses. Conversely, our net revenues and operating expenses will decrease when the U.S. dollar strengthens against foreign currencies.

Transaction Exposure

The Company enters into short-term foreign currency forward contracts to offset the foreign exchange gains and losses generated by the re-measurement of certain assets and liabilities recorded in non-functional currencies. Changes in the fair value of these derivatives, as well as re-measurement gains and losses, are recognized in current earnings in

Other expense, net. Foreign currency transaction gains and losses were a gain of \$0.1 million and a gain of \$1.1 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010, and 2009, respectively. Foreign currency transaction gains and losses were a gain of \$0.9 million and a loss of \$0.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Translation Exposure

Foreign exchange rate fluctuations may adversely impact our consolidated financial position as the assets and liabilities of our foreign operations are translated into U.S. dollars in preparing our consolidated balance sheet. These gains or losses are recognized as an adjustment to stockholders' equity which is reflected in our balance sheet under

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

Other Market Risks**Investments in Auction Rate Securities**

At June 30, 2010, we held approximately \$90.7 million of ARS at par. In light of current conditions in the ARS market as described in Item 2, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, we may incur temporary unrealized losses, or other-than-temporary realized losses, in the future if market conditions persist and we are unable to recover the investment principal in our ARS.

Table of Contents**Item 4. Controls and Procedures*****Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures***

We are committed to maintaining disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our periodic reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of June 30, 2010. The term "disclosure controls and procedures" means controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to the company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives, and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on their evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2010, our Chief Executive officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of that date, our disclosure controls were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We are in the process of converting to a new enterprise resource planning (ERP) system. Implementation of the new ERP system is scheduled to occur in phases. During the quarter ended June 30, 2010, no new phases of the new ERP system were implemented. There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended June 30, 2010, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, is responsible for establishing and maintaining an adequate system of internal control over financial reporting. This system of internal accounting controls is designed to provide reasonable assurance that assets are safeguarded, transactions are properly recorded and executed in accordance with management's authorization and financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within our company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We have provided information about legal proceedings in which we are involved in Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

As of the date of this filing, there have been no material changes from the risk factors set forth in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits

**EXHIBIT
NUMBER**

DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENTS

| | |
|--------|---|
| 3.1(1) | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, as currently in effect. |
| 3.2(2) | Amended and Restated Bylaws, as currently in effect. |
| 4.1(3) | Specimen of Common Stock Certificate. |
| 4.2(4) | Form of Senior Debt Indenture. |
| 4.3(4) | Form of Subordinated Debt Indenture. |
| 4.4 | References are made to Exhibits 3.1 and 3.2. |
| 4.5(5) | Indenture dated as of June 1, 2004 between Digital River, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as trustee, including therein the form of the Note. |
| 31.1 | Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101 | The following financial information from Digital River, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2010, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (iv) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (tagged as blocks of text).* |
| (1) | Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on June 1, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference. |
| (2) | Filed as an exhibit to our Annual Report |

on Form 10-K
for the year
ended
December 31,
2000, filed on
March 27, 2001,
and
incorporated
herein by
reference.

- (3) Filed as an exhibit to our Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 333-56787, declared effective on August 11, 1998, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (4) Filed as exhibits 4.2 and 4.3 to our Registration Statement on Form S-3, File No. 333-56787, declared effective on February 12, 2002, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (5) Filed as exhibit 99.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on July 13, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.

* Pursuant to
Rule 406T of

Regulation S-T,
the XBRL
related
information in
Exhibit 101 to
this Quarterly
Report on Form
10-Q shall not
be deemed to be
filed for
purposes of
Section 18 of
the Exchange
Act, or
otherwise
subject to the
liability of that
section, and
shall not be
deemed part of a
registration
statement,
prospectus or
other document
filed under the
Securities Act or
the Exchange
Act, except as
shall be
expressly set
forth by specific
reference in
such filings.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: August 5, 2010

DIGITAL RIVER, INC.

By: /s/ Thomas M. Donnelly
Thomas M. Donnelly
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

25

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

| EXHIBIT NUMBER | DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENTS |
|---------------------------|---|
| 3.1(1) | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, as currently in effect. |
| 3.2(2) | Amended and Restated Bylaws, as currently in effect. |
| 4.1(3) | Specimen of Common Stock Certificate. |
| 4.2(4) | Form of Senior Debt Indenture. |
| 4.3(4) | Form of Subordinated Debt Indenture. |
| 4.4 | References are made to Exhibits 3.1 and 3.2. |
| 4.5(5) | Indenture dated as of June 1, 2004 between Digital River, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as trustee, including therein the form of the Note. |
| 31.1 | Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101 | The following financial information from Digital River, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2010, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (iv) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (tagged as blocks of text).* |
| (1) | Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on June 1, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference. |
| (2) | Filed as an exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-K |

for the year
ended
December 31,
2000, filed on
March 27, 2001,
and
incorporated
herein by
reference.

- (3) Filed as an exhibit to our Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 333-56787, declared effective on August 11, 1998, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (4) Filed as exhibits 4.2 and 4.3 to our Registration Statement on Form S-3, File No. 333-56787, declared effective on February 12, 2002, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (5) Filed as exhibit 99.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on July 13, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.

* Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T,

the XBRL related information in Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q shall not be deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be deemed part of a registration statement, prospectus or other document filed under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filings.