

Inter-Atlantic Financial, Inc.

Form PRER14A

August 28, 2009

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
SCHEDULE 14A**

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. 3)

Filed by the Registrant ☐

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant ☐

Check the appropriate box:

☐ Preliminary Proxy Statement

☐ **Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**

☐ Definitive Proxy Statement

☐ Definitive Additional Materials

☐ Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Inter-Atlantic Financial, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

☐ No fee required.

☐ Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

Class B common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, of Inter-Atlantic Financial, Inc.

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

11,900,000 shares of Class B common stock of Inter-Atlantic Financial, Inc.

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

\$7.90 per share of Class B common stock, representing the average of the high and low prices of a share of our Common Stock on August 19, 2009.

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

\$90,129,900

(5) Total fee paid:

\$5,030

- b Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
 - o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - (3) Filing Party:
 - (4) Date Filed:
-

Table of Contents

**INTER-ATLANTIC FINANCIAL, INC.
400 Madison Ave.
New York, NY 10017**

To the Warrantholders and Stockholders of Inter-Atlantic Financial, Inc.:

You are cordially invited to attend the meetings of the warrant holders and the stockholders of Inter-Atlantic Financial, Inc., or Inter-Atlantic, relating to the proposed acquisition of Patriot Risk Management Inc., or Patriot, which will be held at 10:00 a.m. and 10:30 a.m., respectively, eastern time, on _____, 2009, at the offices of DLA Piper LLP (US), 1251 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10020.

At this important stockholders meeting, stockholders will be asked to consider and vote upon the following proposals:

to adopt, and approve the transactions contemplated by, the Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 23, 2009, among Inter-Atlantic, Patriot and the stockholders of Patriot we call this proposal the acquisition proposal;

to adopt the amendment and restatement of the certificate of incorporation of Inter-Atlantic to:

(1) change the name of Inter-Atlantic to Patriot Risk Management, Inc., (2) remove the provisions which are typically found only in special purpose acquisition companies, including without limitation the termination date and providing for the duration of the corporation to be perpetual, (3) increase the authorized common stock from 49,000,000 shares to [_____] shares and designate [_____] shares as Class A common stock and [_____] shares as Class B common stock, (4) reclassify the outstanding shares of common stock into shares of Class A common stock, (5) provide for certain dividend rights for holders of Class A common stock, (6) require the affirmative vote of 66 2/3 % of all stockholders entitled to vote, voting together as a single class, to (i) amend the certificate of incorporation or adopt a bylaw inconsistent with the certificate of incorporation, and (ii) remove a director for cause, and (7) elect to be governed by Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, or DGCL we call this proposal the charter amendment proposal;

to elect two Class I directors, three Class II directors and three Class III directors, to Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors to hold office until each such director's term expires or until their successors are elected and qualified (in the event the acquisition is approved), we call this proposal Director Proposal A, **OR** to elect two Class A directors to Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors to hold office until the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are elected and qualified (in the event the acquisition is not approved), we call this proposal Director Proposal B;

to adopt Inter-Atlantic's 2009 Stock Incentive Plan (an equity-based incentive compensation plan) we call this proposal the plan proposal; and

to adopt a proposal to adjourn the special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary, to permit further solicitation and vote of proxies in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to adopt the acquisition proposal or the plan proposal we call this proposal the adjournment proposal.

At this important warrant holder meeting, warrant holders will be asked to consider and vote upon the following proposals:

to amend the terms of the warrant agreement governing the Inter-Atlantic warrants exercisable for shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock in order to require the automatic redemption of all of the outstanding Inter-Atlantic warrants, including those held by Inter-Atlantic's sponsors, at a price of \$0.50 per warrant upon the consummation of the business combination proposal we call this proposal the warrant redemption proposal; and

to adopt a proposal to adjourn the special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary, to permit further solicitation and vote of proxies in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to adopt the acquisition proposal or the plan proposal we call this proposal the Warrant holder Adjournment Proposal.

Approval of the warrant redemption proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding Inter-Atlantic warrants as of the record date. The approval of the warrant holder adjournment proposal

requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding Inter-Atlantic warrants represented in person or by proxy at the special meeting of warrant holders and entitled to vote thereon as of the record date.

Table of Contents

The affirmative vote of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock is required to adopt the acquisition proposal and the charter amendment proposal. The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock represented in person or by proxy at the meeting is required to adopt the plan proposal and the adjournment proposal. Adoption of the acquisition proposal also requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock issued in its initial public offering.

Adoption by Inter-Atlantic stockholders of the acquisition proposal is conditioned on the adoption of the warrant redemption proposal and the charter amendment proposal but is not conditioned on the adoption of the plan proposal, the director proposal or adjournment proposal. However, the adoption of the charter amendment proposal, the director proposal and the plan proposal is conditioned upon the adoption of the acquisition proposal.

As provided in Inter-Atlantic's certificate of incorporation, each Inter-Atlantic stockholder who holds shares of common stock issued in Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering, which we sometimes call IPO shares, has the right to vote against the acquisition proposal and at the same time demand that Inter-Atlantic convert such stockholder's shares into cash equal to such stockholder's pro rata portion of the trust account which contains a substantial portion of the net proceeds of Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering. These IPO shares will be converted into cash only if the acquisition is completed. If the holders of more than 2,582,229 IPO shares, or 29.99% of the total number of IPO shares, demand conversion of their shares into their pro rata portion of the trust account, then Inter-Atlantic will not consummate the acquisition under the terms of Inter-Atlantic's certificate of incorporation. Inter-Atlantic's shares of common stock are listed on the NYSE Amex under the symbol IAN.

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders have agreed, with respect to the acquisition proposal, to vote their 1,875,000 shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock acquired prior to Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering, representing an aggregate of approximately 17.9% of the outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, in accordance with the vote of the majority of the IPO shares. The initial stockholders intend to vote all of their shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock

FOR the charter amendment proposal, the plan proposal, the director proposal and the adjournment proposal.

After careful consideration, Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors has determined that the acquisition proposal is fair to and in the best interests of Inter-Atlantic and its stockholders. Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors has also determined that the charter amendment proposal, the plan proposal, the director proposal and adjournment proposal are in the best interests of Inter-Atlantic's stockholders. Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors has determined that the warrant redemption proposal and the warrant adjournment proposal are in the best interests of Inter-Atlantic's warrant holders. Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote or give instruction to vote FOR the adoption of the acquisition proposal, the charter amendment proposal, the plan proposal, the director proposal, the adjournment proposal, the warrant redemption proposal and the warrant adjournment proposal.

Enclosed is a notice of special meetings and proxy statement containing detailed information concerning the acquisition proposal and the transactions contemplated thereby as well as detailed information concerning the charter amendment proposal, the plan proposal, the director proposal, the adjournment proposal, the warrant redemption proposal and the warrant adjournment proposal. Whether or not you plan to attend the special meetings, we urge you to read this material carefully.

I look forward to seeing you at the meeting.

Sincerely,

Andrew S. Lerner

Chief Executive Officer and Director

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT. WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE SPECIAL MEETINGS, PLEASE SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD AS SOON AS POSSIBLE IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has determined if this proxy statement is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

SEE RISK FACTORS BEGINNING ON PAGE 22 FOR A DISCUSSION OF VARIOUS FACTORS THAT YOU SHOULD CONSIDER IN CONNECTION WITH THE ACQUISITION.

Table of Contents

INTER-ATLANTIC FINANCIAL, INC.
400 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10017
NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF WARRANTHOLDERS
TO BE HELD ON _____, 2009

TO THE WARRANTHOLDERS OF INTER-ATLANTIC FINANCIAL, INC.:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a special meeting of warrantholders, including any adjournments or postponements thereof, of Inter-Atlantic Financial, Inc., or Inter-Atlantic, a Delaware corporation, will be held at 10:00 a.m., eastern time, on _____, 2009, at the offices of DLA Piper LLP (US), 1251 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10020 to consider the below proposals:

to amend the terms of the warrant agreement governing the Inter-Atlantic warrants exercisable for shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock in order to require the automatic redemption of all of the outstanding Inter-Atlantic warrants, including those held by Inter-Atlantic's sponsors, at a price of \$0.50 per warrant upon the consummation of the business combination proposal we call this proposal the warrant redemption proposal; and
to adopt a proposal to adjourn the special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary, to permit further solicitation and vote of proxies in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to adopt the acquisition proposal or the plan proposal we call this proposal the warrantholder adjournment proposal.

Inter-Atlantic warrantholders of record at the close of business on _____, 2009 will be entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the Inter-Atlantic special meeting of warrantholders and any and all adjournments thereof.

This proxy statement is dated _____, 2009 and is first being mailed to Inter-Atlantic stockholders on or about _____, 2009.

Your vote is important. Please sign, date and return your proxy card as soon as possible to make sure that your shares are represented at the special meeting. If you are a warrantholder of record of Inter-Atlantic warrants, you may also cast your vote in person at the special meeting. If your warrants are held in an account at a brokerage firm or bank, you must instruct your broker or bank on how to vote your warrants. If you do not vote or do not instruct your broker or bank how to vote, it will have the same effect as voting against the warrant redemption proposal and the warrant adjournment proposal.

Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote FOR the adoption of each proposal listed above.

IF THE ACQUISITION IS NOT COMPLETED AND INTER-ATLANTIC DOES NOT COMPLETE AN INITIAL BUSINESS COMBINATION PRIOR TO OCTOBER 9, 2009, YOUR WARRANTS WILL EXPIRE WORTHLESS.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Andrew S. Lerner

Chief Executive Officer and Director

_____, 2009

Table of Contents

INTER-ATLANTIC FINANCIAL, INC.
400 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10017
NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
TO BE HELD ON _____, 2009

TO THE STOCKHOLDERS OF INTER-ATLANTIC FINANCIAL, INC.:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a special meeting of stockholders, including any adjournments or postponements thereof, of Inter-Atlantic Financial, Inc., or Inter-Atlantic, a Delaware corporation, will be held at 10:30 a.m., eastern time, on _____, 2009, at the offices of DLA Piper LLP (US), 1251 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10020 to consider the below proposals:

to adopt, and approve the transactions contemplated by, the Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 23, 2009, among Inter-Atlantic, Patriot we call this proposal the acquisition proposal;
to adopt the amendment and restatement of the certificate of incorporation of Inter-Atlantic to:
(1) change the name of Inter-Atlantic to Patriot Risk Management, Inc., (2) remove the provisions which are typically found only in special purpose acquisition companies, including without limitation the termination date and providing for the duration of the corporation to be perpetual, (3) increase the authorized common stock from 49,000,000 shares to [_____] shares and designate [_____] shares as Class A common stock and [_____] shares as Class B common stock, (4) reclassify the outstanding shares of common stock into shares of Class A common stock, (5) provide for certain dividend rights for holders of Class A common stock, (6) require the affirmative vote of 66 2/3 % of all stockholders entitled to vote, voting together as a single class, to (i) amend the certificate of incorporation or adopt a bylaw inconsistent with the certificate of incorporation, and (ii) remove a director for cause, and (7) elect to be governed by Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, or DGCL we call this proposal the charter amendment proposal;
to elect two Class I directors, three Class II directors and three Class III directors, to Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors to hold office until each such director's term expires or until their successors are elected and qualified (in the event the acquisition is approved), we call this proposal Director Proposal A, **OR** to elect two Class I directors to Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors to hold office until the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are elected and qualified (in the event the acquisition is *not* approved), we call this proposal Director Proposal B;
to adopt Inter-Atlantic's 2009 Stock Incentive Plan (an equity-based incentive compensation plan) we call this proposal the plan proposal; and
to adopt a proposal to adjourn the special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary, to permit further solicitation and vote of proxies in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to adopt the acquisition proposal or the plan proposal we call this proposal the adjournment proposal.

Adoption by Inter-Atlantic stockholders of the first proposal listed above, the acquisition proposal, is conditioned on the adoption of the second proposal, but is not conditioned on the adoption of the third, fourth and fifth proposals listed above. However, adoption of the second proposal listed above, the charter amendment proposal, the third proposal listed above, the director proposal, the fourth proposal listed above, the plan proposal, is conditioned upon the adoption of the acquisition proposal. If the holders of more than 2,582,229 shares of common stock issued in Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering (which we sometimes call IPO shares), or 29.99% of the total number of IPO shares, demand conversion of their shares into their pro rata portion of the trust account, then Inter-Atlantic will not consummate the acquisition under the terms of Inter-Atlantic's certificate of incorporation.

This proxy statement is dated _____, 2009 and is first being mailed to Inter-Atlantic stockholders on or about _____, 2009.

Inter-Atlantic stockholders of record at the close of business on _____, 2009 will be entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the Inter-Atlantic special meeting and any and all adjournments thereof.

Table of Contents

Your vote is important. Please sign, date and return your proxy card as soon as possible to make sure that your shares are represented at the special meeting. If you are a stockholder of record of Inter-Atlantic common stock, you may also cast your vote in person at the special meeting. If your shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm or bank, you must instruct your broker or bank on how to vote your shares. If you do not vote or do not instruct your broker or bank how to vote, it will have the same effect as voting against the acquisition proposal and the charter amendment proposal.

Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote FOR the adoption of each proposal listed above.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Andrew S. Lerner

Chief Executive Officer and Director

_____, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>SUMMARY</u>	1
<u>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE PROPOSALS FOR THE WARRANTHOLDERS AND STOCKHOLDERS</u>	9
<u>FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>	15
<u>SELECTED HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	17
<u>SELECTED HISTORICAL CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	19
<u>PER SHARE MARKET PRICE INFORMATION</u>	21
<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	22
<u>THE INTER-ATLANTIC SPECIAL MEETING OF WARRANTHOLDERS</u>	43
<u>THE INTER-ATLANTIC SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS</u>	46
<u>THE WARRANT REDEMPTION PROPOSAL</u>	51
<u>THE WARRANT ADJOURNMENT PROPOSAL</u>	53
<u>THE ACQUISITION PROPOSAL</u>	54
<u>THE CHARTER AMENDMENT PROPOSAL</u>	64
<u>THE DIRECTOR PROPOSAL</u>	67
<u>THE PLAN PROPOSAL</u>	74
<u>THE ADJOURNMENT PROPOSAL</u>	81
<u>THE PATRIOT STOCK PURCHASE AGREEMENT</u>	82
<u>INFORMATION ABOUT PATRIOT S BUSINESS</u>	90
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	134
<u>MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	143
<u>INFORMATION ABOUT INTER-ATLANTIC</u>	181
	186

<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OF INTER-ATLANTIC</u>	
<u>BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES</u>	188
<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS</u>	190
<u>PRICE RANGE OF SECURITIES AND DIVIDENDS</u>	192
<u>DESCRIPTION OF INTER-ATLANTIC'S SECURITIES FOLLOWING THE ACQUISITION</u>	194
<u>COMPARISON OF STOCKHOLDER RIGHTS</u>	197
<u>STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS</u>	199
<u>INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS</u>	200
<u>WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION</u>	200

Table of Contents

ANNEX

- A Stock Purchase Agreement
- B Form of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation
- C 2009 Stock Incentive Plan
- D Amendment No. 1 to the Warrant Agreement

Table of Contents

SUMMARY

The proxy statement is a proxy statement for use in the solicitation of proxies. The following discusses in summary form the material terms of the warrant redemption proposal, the warrant adjournment proposal, the acquisition proposal, the charter amendment proposal, the plan proposal, the director proposal and the adjournment proposal. The proposals are described in greater detail elsewhere in this document. You should carefully read this entire document and the other documents to which this document refers you. See Where You Can Find More Information on page 201.

The Warrant Redemption Proposal (page 51)

The warrant redemption proposal seeks the approval of a majority of the Inter-Atlantic warrants outstanding to amend the terms of the warrant agreement governing the Inter-Atlantic warrants exercisable for shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock in order to require the automatic redemption of all of the outstanding Inter-Atlantic warrants, including those held by Inter-Atlantic's sponsors, at a price of \$0.50 per warrant upon the consummation of the business combination proposal.

The form of Amendment No. 1 to the Warrant Agreement is attached as Annex D to this proxy statement. You are encouraged to read the amendment in its entirety. See the section The Warrant Redemption Proposal on p. 51. If the acquisition is not consummated and Inter-Atlantic does not consummate an initial business combination by October 9, 2009, Inter-Atlantic will be required to liquidate and all the Inter-Atlantic warrants will expire worthless.

The Warrant Adjournment Proposal (page 53)

In the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting of warrant holders to approve the warrant redemption proposal, Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors may adjourn the special meeting of warrant holders to a later date or dates, if necessary, to permit further solicitation of proxies. See The Warrant Adjournment Proposal on page 53.

The Acquisition Proposal (page 54)

The Stock Purchase Agreement (page 82)

The acquisition proposal seeks the approval of the stockholders of the Stock Purchase Agreement entered into on April 23, 2009 among Inter-Atlantic, Patriot and the stockholders of Patriot. The Stock Purchase Agreement provides for the acquisition of all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of Patriot by Inter-Atlantic for an aggregate purchase price of 6,900,000 newly issued shares of Inter-Atlantic Class B common stock, plus the contingent deferred payments described below. All shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock to be issued to the stockholders of Patriot as purchase price for Patriot's capital stock will be issued without registration under applicable securities laws pursuant to an exemption from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The contingent deferred payments are as follows: in the event that at any time after the closing but prior the fifth anniversary of the closing date of the transaction, the average closing trading price of Inter-Atlantic common stock on the NYSE Amex (or on another national securities market on which the Company's common stock is then quoted for trading) equals or exceeds the following per share amounts for 20 consecutive trading days: (i) \$12, (ii) \$13, (iii) \$14, (iv) \$15 and (v) \$16, then the consideration payable to the stockholders of Patriot shall be increased by an additional 1,000,000 shares of newly issued Inter-Atlantic Class B common stock upon reaching each of the foregoing per share amounts. Inter-Atlantic and the stockholders of Patriot plan to complete the acquisition promptly after the Inter-Atlantic special meeting, provided that:

- Inter-Atlantic's stockholders have approved the acquisition proposal and the charter amendment proposal;
- holders of not more than 2,582,229, or 29.99% of the shares of common stock issued in Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering, or IPO shares, properly elect to exercise their right to convert their shares into cash; and
- the other conditions specified in the Stock Purchase Agreement have been satisfied or waived.

The Stock Purchase Agreement is included as Annex A to this document. We encourage you to read the Stock Purchase Agreement in its entirety. It is the legal document that governs the acquisition. See The Patriot Stock Purchase Agreement on page 82.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement:

The directors and executive officers of Patriot will not transfer, sell, assign, pledge or otherwise dispose of the shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock that they receive at the closing of the acquisition, other than certain permitted transfers to relatives, affiliates, family trusts and the like until the six month anniversary, provided, however, that Messrs. Steven Mariano, Timothy Tompkins, Ronald Formento, Richard Allen, John Del Pizzo and C. Timothy Morris shall not transfer all or any part of, or any interest in, any shares of Inter-Atlantic received by them at the Closing or pursuant to the contingent deferred payment until the first anniversary of the Closing.

Andrew Lerner and Frederick Hammer, current directors of Inter-Atlantic, have the right to designate for election or appointment two (2) members to the Board of Directors of Inter-Atlantic, who shall initially be Messrs. Lerner and Hammer. Patriot shall use its reasonable best efforts to cause such designees to be included in the slate of nominees recommended by the Inter-Atlantic Board to Inter-Atlantic's stockholders for election as directors, and Steven Mariano, Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of Patriot, shall vote, and cause his respective affiliates to vote, all shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock owned, held or controlled beneficially or of record by Mr. Mariano and his affiliates, in favor of such designees.

Inter-Atlantic stockholders at the time of closing will become holders of Class A common stock, which Class A common stock is anticipated to receive a dividend of \$0.20 per share per quarter, if and when declared by the Board of Directors. Class A common stock is to receive an aggregate of \$2.40 in dividends, inclusive of any quarterly dividends, on or prior to a change of control transaction or liquidation. The Inter-Atlantic Class B common stock only converts into Class A common stock after the Class A common stock receives \$2.40 per share in aggregate dividends or the share price exceeds an average price of \$11 per share for 20 consecutive trading days, whichever is earlier. It is anticipated that the Inter-Atlantic Class B common stock to be received by current Patriot stockholders will not receive dividends.

The form of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Inter-Atlantic, which reflects the amendments included in the charter amendment proposal, is included as Annex B to this document. You are encouraged to read the form of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation in its entirety. It is the legal document that will govern Inter-Atlantic following the acquisition. See *Comparison of Stockholder Rights* on page 197.

Conditions to the Completion of the Acquisition (page 87)

Completion of the acquisition is subject to the satisfaction or waiver of specified conditions, including the conditions set forth below. All of these conditions may be waived except for the condition requiring approval of Inter-Atlantic's stockholders and the condition limiting the number of shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock which can elect to exercise their right to convert their shares into cash. Neither Inter-Atlantic nor Patriot has agreed at present to waive any of these conditions.

Conditions to Inter-Atlantic's obligations

the representations and warranties of the stockholders of Patriot must be true and correct;
the stockholders of Patriot must have performed in all material respects all obligations required to be performed by them under the terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement;
no material adverse effect shall have occurred with respect to Patriot since the date of the Stock Purchase Agreement;
Inter-Atlantic's stockholders must have approved the transaction; and
holders of not more than 29.99% of the shares of common stock issued in Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering, or IPO shares, have properly elected to exercise their right to convert their shares into cash.

Conditions to Patriot's stockholders' obligations

the representations and warranties of Inter-Atlantic must be true and correct;
Inter-Atlantic must have performed in all material respects all obligations required to be performed by it under the Stock Purchase Agreement;

Table of Contents

no material adverse effect shall have occurred with respect to Inter-Atlantic since the date of the Stock Purchase Agreement;

Inter-Atlantic's stockholders must have approved the transaction; and

holders of not more than 29.99% of the shares of common stock issued in Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering, or IPO shares, have properly elected to exercise their right to convert their shares into cash.

amendment of the Inter-Atlantic warrants so that these warrants shall be effectively redeemed at closing for no more than \$0.50 per warrant; and

Inter-Atlantic must have a minimum of \$35,000,000 in cash at closing, net of capped transaction expenses (\$4.5 million for Inter-Atlantic and \$3.225 million for Patriot) as set forth in Section 5.12 of the Stock Purchase Agreement and the payment obligations of Inter-Atlantic relating to the transactions contemplated hereby, including the expenses related to the redemption or modification of the outstanding warrants.

Termination

The Stock Purchase Agreement may be terminated at any time prior to the closing of the acquisition, as follows:

- by mutual consent of Inter-Atlantic and the stockholders of Patriot;
- by Inter-Atlantic, on the one hand, or Patriot's stockholders, on the other hand, if the other party has breached any of its covenants or representations and warranties in any material respect; or
- by either party if Inter-Atlantic's stockholders fail to approve the acquisition or if the closing has not occurred by October 9, 2009.

If permitted under applicable law, either Inter-Atlantic or the stockholders of Patriot may waive conditions for their own respective benefit, and consummate the acquisition even though one or more of these conditions have not been met. We cannot assure you that all of the conditions will be satisfied or waived or that the acquisition will occur.

Inter-Atlantic (page 181)

Inter-Atlantic is a blank check company organized as a corporation under the laws of the State of Delaware on January 12, 2007. We were formed for the purpose of acquiring, through a merger, a capital stock exchange, asset acquisition, stock purchase or other similar business combination, an unidentified operating business in the financial services industry or a business deriving a majority of its revenues from providing services to financial services companies (including for example, payment processing companies and technology providers).

On October 9, 2007, we completed our initial public offering (IPO) of 7,500,000 Units. Each Unit consists of one share of our common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, (the common stock) and one warrant entitling the holder to purchase one share of our common stock at an exercise price of \$4.50. The public offering price of each Unit was \$8.00 and we generated gross proceeds of \$60,000,000 in the IPO. On October 16, 2007, we consummated the closing of 1,110,300 Units pursuant to the underwriters' over-allotment option which generated gross proceeds of \$8,882,400. Of the \$68,882,400 in gross proceeds from the IPO and the exercise of the over-allotment option: (i) we deposited \$66,215,928 into a trust account at American Stock Transfer & Trust Company as trustee, which proceeds were invested in money market funds meeting certain conditions under Rule 2a-7 promulgated under the Investment Company Act of 1940, and included \$2,755,296 of contingent underwriting discount; (ii) the underwriters received \$2,066,472 as underwriting discount (excluding the contingent underwriting discount); and (iii) we retained approximately \$600,000 for offering expenses and working capital. In addition, we deposited into the trust account \$2,300,000 that we received from the issuance and sale of an aggregate of 2,100,000 warrants to our executive officers and directors and 200,000 warrants to one of our stockholders. Inter-Atlantic's common stock, warrants to purchase common stock and units consisting of one share of common stock and one warrant to purchase common stock are listed on the NYSE Amex under the symbols IAN, IAN.WS and IAN.U, respectively. Other than its initial public offering and the pursuit of a business combination, Inter-Atlantic has not engaged in any business to date. If Inter-Atlantic does not consummate a business combination by October 9, 2009, then, pursuant to its certificate of incorporation, as amended, Inter-Atlantic's officers must take all actions necessary to dissolve and liquidate Inter-Atlantic as soon as reasonably practicable.

Table of Contents

Inter-Atlantic will use the proceeds of its initial public offering held in the trust account, \$68,521,491 as of June 30, 2009 as follows:

The Inter-Atlantic stockholders electing to exercise their conversion rights will receive their pro rata portion of the funds deposited in the trust account; and

The remaining funds in the trust account after the distributions listed above will be released to Inter-Atlantic to be used to fund transaction expenses, dividend payments to holders of Class A common stock, if and when declared by the board of directors, to purchase shares from stockholders of Inter-Atlantic who have indicated their intention to vote against the Acquisition and convert their shares into cash, to contribute capital to Guarantee Insurance as necessary and for working capital purposes.

The mailing address of the principal executive office of Inter-Atlantic is 400 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10017, and its telephone number is (212)581-2000. See Information about Inter-Atlantic on page 181.

Patriot and its Subsidiaries (page 90)

Patriot produces, underwrites and administers alternative market and traditional workers compensation insurance plans and provides claims services for insurance companies, segregated portfolio cell captives and reinsurers. Through its wholly owned insurance company subsidiary, Guarantee Insurance, Patriot may also participate in a portion of the insurance underwriting risk. In its insurance services segment, Patriot generates fee income by providing workers compensation claims services as well as agency and underwriting services. Workers compensation claims services include nurse case management, cost containment services and claims administration and adjudication services. Workers compensation agency and underwriting services include general agency services and specialty underwriting, policy administration and captive management services. Claims services and agency and underwriting services are performed for the benefit of Guarantee Insurance, segregated portfolio captives, Guarantee Insurance's traditional business quota share reinsurers under the Patriot Risk Services brand and for the benefit of another insurance company under its brand, which Patriot refers to as business process outsourcing. In its insurance segment, Patriot generates underwriting income and investment income by providing alternative market workers compensation risk transfer solutions and traditional workers compensation insurance coverage.

Patriot provides insurance services, alternative market workers compensation risk transfer solutions and traditional workers compensation insurance coverage in Florida, where Guarantee Insurance writes a majority of its business, 22 other states and the District of Columbia. Patriot believes that its insurance services capabilities, specialized alternative market product knowledge and its hybrid business model allow it to achieve attractive returns through a range of industry pricing cycles and provide a substantial competitive advantage in areas that are underserved by competitors, who are generally insurance service providers or insurance carriers. Although Patriot currently focuses its business in the Midwest and Southeast, it believes that there are opportunities to market its insurance services, alternative market workers compensation risk transfer solutions and traditional workers compensation insurance coverage in other areas of the United States.

The mailing address of Patriot's principal executive offices is 401 East Las Olas Boulevard, Suite 1540 Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301, and its telephone number is (954) 670-2900. See Information about Patriot on page 90.

Charter Amendment Proposal (page 64)

Inter-Atlantic is proposing to adopt the amendment and restatement of the certificate of incorporation of Inter-Atlantic to: (1) change the name of Inter-Atlantic to Patriot Risk Management, Inc., (2) remove the provisions which are typically found only in special purpose acquisition companies, including without limitation the termination date and providing for the duration of the corporation to be perpetual, (3) increase the authorized common stock from 49,000,000 shares to [] shares and designate [] shares as Class A common stock and [] shares as Class B common stock, (4) reclassify the outstanding shares of common stock into shares of Class A common stock, (5) provide for certain dividend rights for holders of Class A common stock, (6) require the affirmative vote of 66 2/3 % of all stockholders entitled to vote, voting together as a single class, to (i) amend the certificate of incorporation or adopt a bylaw inconsistent with the certificate of incorporation, and (ii) remove a director for cause, and (7) elect to be governed by Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, or DGCL. See The Charter Amendment Proposal on page 64.

Director Proposal (page 67)

Inter-Atlantic is proposing that its stockholders elect two Class I directors, three Class II directors and three Class III directors, to Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors to hold office until each such director's term expires or until their successors are elected and qualified (in the event the acquisition is approved), or Director Proposal A, **OR** elect two Class A directors to Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors to hold office until the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are elected and qualified (in the event the acquisition is *not* approved), or Director Proposal B. See The Director Proposal on page 67.

Table of Contents

Plan Proposal (page 74)

Inter-Atlantic is proposing that its stockholders adopt Inter-Atlantic's 2009 Stock Incentive Plan. Inter-Atlantic believes that the awards available under the 2009 Stock Incentive Plan will assist Inter-Atlantic in attracting, retaining and motivating employees and officers or those who will become employees or officers of Inter-Atlantic and/or its subsidiaries (including Patriot), and aligning the interests of those individuals with the interests of Inter-Atlantic's shareholders. The 2009 Stock Incentive Plan is included as Annex C to this document. We encourage you to read the 2009 Stock Incentive Plan in its entirety. It is the legal document that governs the plan. See The 2009 Stock Incentive Plan in Annex C.

Adjournment Proposal (page 81)

In the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the acquisition proposal, Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors may submit a proposal to adjourn the special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary, to permit further solicitation of proxies. See The Adjournment Proposal on page 81.

Special Meeting of Inter-Atlantic's Warrantholders and Stockholders (pages 43 and 46)

The special meeting of the warrant holders and the stockholders of Inter-Atlantic will be held at 10:00 a.m. and 10:30 a.m., Eastern Time, respectively, on _____, 2009, at the offices of DLA Piper LLP (US), 1251 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10020.

Voting Power; Record Date (pages 43 and 46)

You will be entitled to vote or direct votes to be cast at the special meeting of warrant holders and the special meeting of stockholders, respectively, if you owned Inter-Atlantic warrants and shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock at the close of business on _____, 2009, which is the record date for the special meetings. For the special meeting of warrant holders you will have one vote for each share of Inter-Atlantic common stock underlying your Inter-Atlantic warrants, and for the special meeting of stockholders you will have one vote for each share of Inter-Atlantic common stock you owned at the close of business on the record date. Inter-Atlantic warrants do not have voting rights with respect to the Inter-Atlantic special meeting of stockholders.

Vote Required to Adopt the Warrant Redemption Proposal (page 51)

Approval of the warrant redemption proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the Inter-Atlantic warrants outstanding as of the record date. Adoption of the acquisition proposal is conditioned upon the adoption of the warrant redemption proposal but is not conditioned on the adoption of the warrant adjournment proposal.

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders intend to vote their Inter-Atlantic warrants, representing an aggregate of approximately 21% of the outstanding Inter-Atlantic warrants, FOR the warrant redemption proposal.

Vote Required to Adopt the Warrant Adjournment Proposal (page 53)

Approval of the warrant holder adjournment proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the Inter-Atlantic warrants represented in person or by proxy at the special meeting of warrant holders and entitled to vote thereon as of the record date. Adoption of the warrant adjournment proposal is not conditioned upon the adoption of the warrant redemption proposal.

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders have agreed to vote their Inter-Atlantic warrants, representing an aggregate of approximately 21% of the outstanding Inter-Atlantic warrants, FOR the adoption of the warrant adjournment proposal.

Vote Required to Adopt the Acquisition Proposal (page 54)

The affirmative vote of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock is required to adopt the acquisition proposal. Adoption of the acquisition proposal also requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock issued in its initial public offering. Adoption of the acquisition proposal is not conditioned upon the adoption of the plan proposal, the director proposal or the adjournment proposal. If the holders of more than 2,582,229 IPO shares, or 29.99% of the total number of IPO shares, demand conversion of their shares into their pro rata portion of the trust account, then Inter-Atlantic will not consummate the acquisition under the terms of Inter-Atlantic's certificate of incorporation. See Conversion Rights below.

Table of Contents

At the close of business on June 30, 2009, there were 10,485,300 shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock outstanding, 8,610,300 of which were issued in Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering.

With respect to the acquisition proposal, Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders have agreed to vote their 1,875,000 shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock acquired prior to Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering, representing an aggregate of approximately 17.9% of the outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, in accordance with the vote of the majority of the shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock issued in its initial public offering.

Conversion Rights (page 48)

As provided in Inter-Atlantic's certificate of incorporation, holders of IPO shares may, if the stockholder votes against the acquisition proposal, demand that Inter-Atlantic convert their shares into cash. **This demand must be made on the proxy card at the same time that the stockholder votes against the acquisition proposal.** If so demanded, upon consummation of the acquisition, Inter-Atlantic will convert each share of common stock into a pro rata portion of the trust account in which a substantial portion of the net proceeds of Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering are held. Based on the amount of cash held in the trust account at June 30, 2009, you will be entitled to convert each share of common stock that you hold into approximately \$7.96. If you exercise your conversion rights, then you will be exchanging your shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock for cash and will no longer own these shares. You will only be entitled to receive cash for these shares if you continue to hold these shares through the closing date of the acquisition and then tender your stock certificate to Inter-Atlantic. If the acquisition is not completed, then these shares will not be converted into cash. Warrants are unaffected by the exercise of conversion rights.

The acquisition will not be consummated if the holders of more than 2,582,229 IPO shares, or 29.99% of the total number of IPO shares, exercise their conversion rights.

Prior to exercising conversion rights, Inter-Atlantic stockholders should verify the market price of Inter-Atlantic's common stock as they may receive higher proceeds from the sale of their common stock in the public market than from exercising their conversion rights. Inter-Atlantic's shares of common stock are listed on the NYSE Amex under the symbol IAN.

Vote Required to Adopt the Charter Amendment Proposal (page 64)

Adoption of the charter amendment proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock. Adoption of the charter amendment proposal is conditioned upon the adoption of the acquisition proposal but is not conditioned on adoption of the director proposal, the plan proposal or the adjournment proposal.

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders intend to vote their shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, representing an aggregate of approximately 18.0% of the outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, **FOR** the charter amendment proposal.

Vote Required to Adopt the Director Proposal (page 67)

Adoption of the director proposal requires a plurality of the shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock represented in person or by proxy at the meeting. Adoption of the director proposal is conditioned upon the adoption of the acquisition proposal and the charter amendment proposal but is not conditioned on adoption of the plan proposal or the adjournment proposal.

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders intend to vote their shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, representing an aggregate of approximately 18.0% of the outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, **FOR** the director proposal.

Vote Required to Adopt the Plan Proposal (page 74)

Adoption of the plan proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock represented in person or by proxy at the meeting. Adoption of the plan proposal is conditioned upon the adoption of the acquisition proposal and the charter amendment proposal but is not conditioned on adoption of the director proposal or the adjournment proposal.

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders intend to vote their shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, representing an aggregate of approximately 18.0% of the outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, **FOR** the plan proposal.

Table of Contents

Vote Required to Adopt the Adjournment Proposal (page 53)

Adoption of the adjournment proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock represented in person or by proxy at the meeting. Adoption of the adjournment proposal is not conditioned upon the adoption of the acquisition proposal, the charter amendment proposal, the director proposal or the plan proposal.

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders have agreed to vote their shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, representing an aggregate of approximately 18.0% of the outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, **FOR** the adoption of the adjournment proposal.

Appraisal or Dissenters Rights (page 61)

No appraisal or dissenters rights are available under the Delaware General Corporation Law for the stockholders of Inter-Atlantic in connection with the acquisition proposal.

Proxies

Proxies may be solicited by mail, telephone or in person.

If you grant a proxy, you may still vote your shares in person if you revoke your proxy before the special meeting.

Stock Ownership (page 50)

At the close of business on the record date, Andrew S. Lerner, Stephen B. Galasso, D. James Daras, Brett G. Baris, Robert M. Lichten, Frederick S. Hammer, Samuel J. Weinhoff, Michael P. Esposito Jr., P. Carter Rise and Matthew Vertin, together with their affiliates, beneficially owned 1,882,200 shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, or approximately 18.0% of the outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock. Such number does not include 2,300,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants held by those individuals and their affiliates. These 1,882,200 shares have a market value of approximately \$14,869,380 based on Inter-Atlantic's closing common stock price of \$7.90 per share on August 14, 2009. Those persons have agreed, with respect to the acquisition proposal, to vote their shares of common stock acquired by them prior to the initial public offering in accordance with the vote of the majority of the shares issued in connection with Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering. For more information on beneficial ownership of Inter-Atlantic's common stock by executive officers, directors and 5% stockholders, see page 188.

Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors' Recommendation

After careful consideration, the Board of Directors of Inter-Atlantic has determined that the warrant redemption proposal and the warrant adjournment proposal is fair and in the best interests of Inter-Atlantic and its stockholders. The Board of Directors has also determined that the acquisition proposal is fair to and in the best interests of Inter-Atlantic and its stockholders. The Board of Directors of Inter-Atlantic has also determined that the charter amendment proposal, the director proposal, the plan proposal and the adjournment proposal are in the best interests of Inter-Atlantic's stockholders. **Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote or give instruction to vote **FOR** the adoption of the warrant redemption proposal, the warrant adjournment proposal, the acquisition proposal, the charter amendment proposal, the plan proposal, the director proposal and the adjournment proposal.**

Interests of Inter-Atlantic Directors and Officers in the Acquisition (page 62)

When you consider the recommendation of Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors that you vote in favor of adoption of the acquisition proposal, you should keep in mind that certain of Inter-Atlantic's executive officers and members of Inter-Atlantic's Board, and certain of their affiliates, have interests in the acquisition that are different from, or in addition to, your interest as a stockholder. These interests include, among other things:

If the acquisition is not approved and Inter-Atlantic is therefore required to liquidate, the shares of common stock beneficially owned by Inter-Atlantic's executive officers and directors and their affiliates that were acquired prior to Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering may be worthless because no portion of the net proceeds of Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering that may be distributed upon liquidation of Inter-Atlantic will be allocated to such shares. Similarly, the warrants to purchase Inter-Atlantic common stock held by Inter-Atlantic's executive officers and directors and their affiliates may become worthless if the acquisition is not approved and Inter-Atlantic fails to consummate an alternative transaction within the time allotted pursuant to its certificate of incorporation. In addition, certain

Inter-Atlantic executive officers and directors and their affiliates may not be reimbursed for certain acquisition and other expenses;

Table of Contents

After the completion of the acquisition, it is expected that two of Inter-Atlantic's current directors, Andrew Lerner and Frederick Hammer, will continue to serve on Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors. Messrs. Lerner and Hammer, as directors of Inter-Atlantic, will, following the acquisition, be compensated in such manner, and in such amounts, as Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors may determine to be appropriate. No agreements or plans with respect to such compensation have been entered into, adopted or otherwise agreed upon by Inter-Atlantic; and

Certain of Inter-Atlantic's executive officers and directors have agreed in writing that, if Inter-Atlantic liquidates prior to the consummation of a business combination, they may be personally liable to pay debts and obligations to vendors or other entities that are owed money by Inter-Atlantic for services rendered or products sold to Inter-Atlantic in excess of amounts not held in the trust account.

Interests of Patriot Directors and Officers in the Acquisition (page 63)

You should understand that some of the current directors and officers of Patriot have interests in the acquisition that are different from, or in addition to, your interest as a stockholder. In particular:

Steven Mariano has personally guaranteed borrowings by Patriot to third party lenders;

Each of the executive officers of Patriot, including Steven Mariano, the Chief Executive Officer, Michael Grandstaff, Charles Schuver, Timothy Ermatinger, Richard Turner and Theodore Bryant are expected to remain in their present positions with Patriot and each such individual has entered into an employment agreement with Patriot in anticipation of Patriot becoming a public company; and

Each of the executive officers of Patriot, including Steven Mariano, the Chief Executive Officer, Michael Grandstaff, Charles Schuver, Timothy Ermatinger, Richard Turner and Theodore Bryant are expected to receive stock option grants in connection with Patriot becoming a public company.

Comparison of Stockholders Rights (page 197)

In connection with the completion of the acquisition, Inter-Atlantic's certificate of incorporation will be amended and restated to incorporate those amendments approved at the special meeting. See the section "Comparison of Stockholders Rights" starting on page 197.

United States Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Acquisition (page 61)

The U.S. Federal income tax consequences of the warrant redemption proposal and the acquisition of Patriot are discussed in the section entitled "United States Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Acquisition" on page 61.

Regulatory Matters (page 61)

The acquisition and the transactions contemplated by the Stock Purchase Agreement are not subject to any Federal, state or provincial regulatory requirement or approval; other than certain regulatory requirements of the Florida Office of Insurance Regulation.

Risk Factors (page 22)

In evaluating the acquisition proposal, the acquisition proposal, the charter amendment proposal, the director proposal, the plan proposal and the adjournment proposal, you should carefully read this proxy statement and specifically consider the matters discussed under the heading "Risk Factors."

Table of Contents

**QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE
PROPOSALS FOR THE WARRANTHOLDERS AND STOCKHOLDERS**

Q. What is being voted on?

A. There are two proposals that warrantholders are being asked to vote on. There are five proposals that stockholders are being asked to vote on. The first proposal for warrantholders is the proposal to amend the terms of the warrant agreement governing the Inter-Atlantic warrants exercisable for shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock in order to require the automatic redemption of all of the outstanding Inter-Atlantic warrants, including those held by Inter-Atlantic's sponsors, at a price of \$0.50 per warrant upon the consummation of the business combination proposal. We refer to this first proposal as the warrant redemption proposal. See page 51.

The second proposal allows the adjournment of the special meeting of warrantholders to a later date if necessary to permit further solicitation of proxies in the event that there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the warrant redemption proposal. We refer to this second proposal as the warrant adjournment proposal. See page 53.

The first proposal for the stockholders is to adopt, and approve the transactions contemplated by, the Stock Purchase Agreement providing for the acquisition of Patriot Risk Management, Inc., which we refer to as Patriot. We refer to this first proposal as the acquisition proposal. See page 54.

The second proposal is to adopt the amendment and restatement of the certificate of incorporation of Inter-Atlantic to: (1) change the name of Inter-Atlantic to Patriot Risk Management, Inc., (2) remove the provisions which are typically found only in special purpose acquisition companies, including without limitation the termination date and providing for the duration of the corporation to be perpetual, (3) increase the authorized common stock from 49,000,000 shares to [_____] shares and designate [_____] shares as Class A common stock and [_____] shares as Class B common stock, (4) reclassify the outstanding shares of common stock into shares of Class A common stock, (5) provide for certain dividend rights for holders of Class A common stock, (6) require the affirmative vote of 66 2/3 % of all stockholders entitled to vote, voting together as a single class, to (i) amend the certificate of incorporation or adopt a bylaw inconsistent with the certificate of incorporation, and (ii) remove a director for cause, and (7) elect to be governed by Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, or DGCL we call this proposal the charter amendment proposal. See page 64.

The third proposal is to elect two Class I directors, three Class II directors and three Class III directors, to Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors to hold office until each such director's term expires or until

their successors are elected and qualified (in the event the acquisition is approved), we call this proposal Director Proposal A, **OR** to elect two Class A directors to Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors to hold office until the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are elected and qualified (in the event the acquisition is *not* approved), we call this proposal Director Proposal B. See page 67.

The fourth proposal is to adopt Inter-Atlantic's 2009 Stock Incentive Plan, which is an equity-based compensation plan. We refer to this fourth proposal as the plan proposal. See page 74.

The fifth proposal allows the adjournment of the special meeting to a later date if necessary to permit further solicitation of proxies in the event that there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the acquisition proposal and the plan proposal. We refer to this fifth proposal as the adjournment proposal. See page 81.

Table of Contents

Q. Why is Inter-Atlantic proposing the acquisition proposal?

A. Inter-Atlantic was organized to effect a business combination with an operating business. Under the terms of its certificate of incorporation, prior to completing a business combination, Inter-Atlantic must submit the transaction to its stockholders for approval. Having negotiated the terms of a business combination with Patriot, Inter-Atlantic is now submitting the transaction to its stockholders for their approval.

Q. Why is Inter-Atlantic proposing the warrant redemption proposal?

A. Inter-Atlantic's warrant holders are being asked to approve the warrant redemption proposal because the approval of the warrant redemption proposal is a condition to consummation of the acquisition. In addition, Inter-Atlantic's board of directors believes that the elimination of the warrants from the Inter-Atlantic's capital structure will increase Inter-Atlantic's strategic opportunities and attractiveness to future investors.

Q. Why is Inter-Atlantic proposing the charter amendment proposal?

A. Inter-Atlantic believes it is prudent to adopt the amendment and restatement of the certificate of incorporation of Inter-Atlantic to: (1) change the name of Inter-Atlantic to Patriot Risk Management, Inc., (2) remove the provisions which are typically found only in special purpose acquisition companies, including without limitation the termination date and providing for the duration of the corporation to be perpetual, (3) increase the authorized common stock from 49,000,000 shares to [] shares and designate [] shares as Class A common stock and [] shares as Class B common stock, (4) reclassify the outstanding shares of common stock into shares of Class A common stock, (5) provide for certain dividend rights for holders of Class A common stock, (6) require the affirmative vote of 66 2/3 % of all stockholders entitled to vote, voting together as a single class, to (i) amend the certificate of incorporation or adopt a bylaw inconsistent with the certificate of incorporation, and (ii) remove a director for cause, and (7) elect to be governed by Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, or DGCL we call this proposal the charter amendment proposal. See page 64.

Q. Why is Inter-Atlantic proposing the director proposal?

A. The director proposal involves the election of two Class I directors, three Class II directors and three Class III directors to Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors to hold office until such directors' terms expire or until their successors are elected and qualified (in the event the acquisition is approved), referred to as Director Proposal A, OR the election of two Class A directors to Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors to hold office until the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are elected and qualified (in the event the acquisition is not approved), referred to as Director Proposal B. See page 67. The Stock Purchase Agreement provides that following the acquisition, the Board of Directors will consist of six directors nominated by Patriot and two directors nominated by Inter-Atlantic. Therefore, it is a

condition under the Stock Purchase Agreement that Director Proposal A be approved. See page 67.

Q. Why is Inter-Atlantic proposing the plan proposal?

A. Inter-Atlantic believes that the awards available under the 2009 Stock Incentive Plan will assist Inter-Atlantic in attracting, retaining and motivating employees and officers or those who will become employees or officers of Inter-Atlantic and/or its subsidiaries (including Patriot), and to align the interests of those individuals and Inter-Atlantic's stockholders. See page 74.

Q. Why is Inter-Atlantic proposing the adjournment proposal?

A. In the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the acquisition proposal, Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors may submit a proposal to adjourn the special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary, to permit further solicitation of proxies. See page 81.

Q. What vote is required in order to adopt the warrant redemption proposal?

A. Adoption of the warrant redemption proposal requires the affirmative vote of a _____ majority of the Inter-Atlantic warrants outstanding as of the record date. See page 51.

Table of Contents

Q. What vote is required in order to adopt the warrant adjournment proposal?

A. The adoption of the warrant holder adjournment proposal requires the _____ affirmative vote of a majority of the Inter-Atlantic warrants represented in person or by proxy at the special meeting of warrant holders and entitled to vote thereon as of the record date. See page 53.

Q. What vote is required in order to adopt the acquisition proposal?

A. Adoption of the acquisition proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock. Adoption of the acquisition proposal also requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock issued in its initial public offering. If the holders of more than 2,582,229 shares of common stock issued in Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering (which we sometimes call IPO shares), or 29.99% of the total number of IPO shares, demand conversion of their shares into their pro rata portion of the trust account, then Inter-Atlantic will not consummate the acquisition under the terms of Inter-Atlantic's certificate of incorporation. See page 48. Adoption of the acquisition proposal is conditioned upon adoption of the charter amendment proposal but is not conditioned upon the plan proposal, the director proposal and the adoption of the adjournment proposal. See page 54.

Q. Do I have the right to convert my shares into cash?

A. If you hold IPO shares, then you have the right to vote against the acquisition proposal and demand that Inter-Atlantic convert your shares into your pro rata portion of the trust account in which a substantial portion of the net proceeds of Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering are held if the acquisition is consummated. If the holders of more than 2,582,229 IPO shares, or 29.99% of the total number of IPO shares, demand conversion of their shares into their pro rata portion of the trust account, then Inter-Atlantic will not consummate the acquisition under the terms of Inter-Atlantic's certificate of incorporation. We sometimes refer to the right to vote against the acquisition and demand conversion of your shares into your pro rata portion of the trust account as conversion rights. See page 48.

Q. If I have conversion rights, how do I exercise them?

A. If you wish to exercise your conversion rights, you must vote against the acquisition and at the same time demand that Inter-Atlantic convert your shares into cash as well as deliver your shares to [_____] by [_____] 2009. If, notwithstanding your vote, the acquisition is completed, then you would be entitled to receive your pro rata share of the trust account in which a substantial portion of the net proceeds of Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering are held. Based on the amount of cash held in the trust account at April 14, 2009, you would be entitled to convert each share that you hold into approximately \$7.96. If you exercise your conversion rights, then you will be exchanging your shares for cash and will no longer own these shares. You will only be entitled to receive cash for these shares if you continue to hold these shares through the closing date of the acquisition and then tender your stock certificate to Inter-Atlantic. If

the acquisition is not completed, then your shares will not be converted to cash at this time. See page 48.

Prior to exercising conversion rights, Inter-Atlantic stockholders should verify the market price of Inter-Atlantic's common stock as they may receive higher proceeds from the sale of their common stock in the public market than from exercising their conversion rights. Inter-Atlantic's shares of common stock are listed on the NYSE Amex under the symbol IAN.

Q. What vote is required to adopt the charter amendment proposal?

A. Adoption of the charter amendment proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock. Adoption of the charter amendment proposal is conditioned upon the adoption of the acquisition proposal, but is not conditioned on adoption of the director proposal, the plan proposal or the adjournment proposal. See page 64.

Table of Contents

Q. What vote is required to adopt the director proposal?

A. Adoption of the director proposal requires a plurality of the shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock represented in person or by proxy at the meeting. Adoption of the director proposal is conditioned upon the adoption of the acquisition proposal and the charter amendment proposal but is not conditioned on adoption of the plan proposal or the adjournment proposal. See page 67.

Q. What vote is required to adopt the plan proposal?

A. Adoption of the plan proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock represented in person or by proxy at the meeting. Adoption of the plan proposal is conditioned upon the adoption of the acquisition proposal and the charter amendment proposal, but is not conditioned on adoption of the director proposal or the adjournment proposal. See page 74

Q. What vote is required to adopt the adjournment proposal?

A. Adoption of the adjournment proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock represented in person or by proxy at the meeting. Adoption of the adjournment proposal is not conditioned upon the adoption of the acquisition proposal, the charter amendment proposal, the director proposal or the plan proposal. See page 81.

Q. What is Inter-Atlantic acquiring in the acquisition?

A. Inter-Atlantic will acquire all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of Patriot, a Delaware corporation based in Fort Lauderdale, Florida. Patriot is a workers' compensation risk management company that provides alternative market and traditional workers' compensation products and services. See page 90.

Q. What is Inter-Atlantic paying for Patriot?

A. Inter-Atlantic has agreed to buy all of Patriot's issued and outstanding stock for 6,900,000 newly issued shares of Inter-Atlantic Class B common stock. Patriot's stockholders have the opportunity to receive up to an additional 5,000,000 shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock (which we sometimes refer to as the contingent deferred payment) based on the future trading price of Inter-Atlantic's Class A common stock. See page 82.

Q. What will stockholders receive in the acquisition?

A. Stockholders will not receive any cash or other property in the acquisition, but instead will continue to hold shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, which will be re-classified as Class A common stock following the acquisition. As a result of the acquisition, Inter-Atlantic will own all of the outstanding stock of Patriot.

Q. Is Inter-Atlantic issuing any shares of common stock in the acquisition?

A. Yes. At the closing of the acquisition of Patriot, Inter-Atlantic will issue an aggregate of 6,900,000 shares of Inter-Atlantic Class B common stock to the stockholders of Patriot. Following the closing, Inter-Atlantic may issue up to an additional 5,000,000 shares of its Class B common stock to Patriot's stockholders based on the future trading price of Inter-Atlantic's common stock. See page 82.

Q. What happens to the funds deposited in the trust account after consummation of the acquisition?

A. Upon consummation of the acquisition:

The Inter-Atlantic stockholders electing to exercise their conversion rights will receive their pro rata portion of the funds deposited in the trust account; and

The remaining funds in the trust account after the distributions listed above will be released to Inter-Atlantic to be used for transaction expenses, dividend payments to holders of Class A common stock, if and when declared by the Board of Directors, and working capital purposes.

Table of Contents

Q. What will the structure of the company be after the acquisition?

A. As a result of the acquisition, Patriot will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of Inter-Atlantic. See page 82.

Q. Who will manage the acquired company?

A. Patriot and Inter-Atlantic will be managed by Patriot's existing management. See page 58.

Q. What happens if the acquisition is not consummated?

A. If the acquisition is not consummated, and Inter-Atlantic does not consummate another business combination by October 9, 2009, the trust account in which a substantial portion of the net proceeds of Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering are held will be liquidated. In any liquidation, the amount held in the trust account will be distributed pro rata to Inter-Atlantic's common stockholders. If the acquisition is not consummated, and Inter-Atlantic does not consummate another business combination by October 9, 2009, the Inter-Atlantic warrants will expire worthless.

Q. When do you expect the acquisition to be completed?

A. It is currently anticipated that the acquisition will be completed, or closed, promptly following the Inter-Atlantic special meeting to be held on _____, 2009.

Q. If I am not going to attend the Inter-Atlantic special meeting of warrant holders or stockholders in person, should I return my proxy card instead?

A. Yes. After carefully reading and considering the information contained in this document, please fill out and sign your proxy card. Then return the enclosed proxy card in the return envelope as soon as possible, so that your shares may be represented at the Inter-Atlantic special meeting of warrant holders or stockholders. See pages 43 and 46.

Q. What will happen if a warrant holder or a stockholder abstains from voting or fails to vote?

A. An abstention or failure to vote will have the same effect as a vote against the acquisition proposal, but will not have the effect of converting your shares into your pro rata portion of the trust account in which a substantial portion of the net proceeds of Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering are held, unless you vote against the acquisition proposal, make an affirmative election to convert shares of common stock on the proxy card and deliver your shares to [_____] by [_____] 2009. An abstention or failure to vote will also have the same effect as a vote against the charter amendment proposal. To exercise your conversion rights, you must vote against the acquisition proposal, affirmatively elect to convert your shares by checking the appropriate box, or directing your broker to check the appropriate box, on the proxy card and ensure that the proxy card is delivered to Inter-Atlantic's Chief Executive Officer prior to the Inter-Atlantic special meeting and ensure that your shares are delivered to [_____] by [_____] 2009. See pages 43 and 46.

An abstention or failure to vote for the warrant redemption proposal will have the same effect as a vote against the warrant redemption proposal,

Q. What do I do if I want to change my vote?

A. Send a later-dated, signed proxy card to Inter-Atlantic's Chief Executive Officer prior to the date of the special meeting of warrant holders or the special meeting of stockholders or attend the special meeting of warrant holders or the special meeting of stockholders in person, revoke your proxy and vote. You also may revoke your proxy by sending a notice of revocation to Inter-Atlantic's Chief Executive Officer at the address of Inter-Atlantic's corporate headquarters. See pages 43 and 46.

Table of Contents

Q. If my warrants or shares are held in street name by my broker, will my broker vote my shares for me?

A. No. Your broker can vote your warrants or shares only if you provide instructions on how to vote. You should instruct your broker to vote your warrants or shares, following the directions provided by your broker. For shareholders to exercise their conversion rights, they must vote against the acquisition proposal, affirmatively elect to convert their shares by directing their broker to check the appropriate box on the proxy card and ensure that the proxy card is delivered to Inter-Atlantic's Chief Executive Officer prior to the Inter-Atlantic special meeting of stockholders and ensure that their shares are delivered to [_____] by [_____] , 2009. See pages 43 and 46.

Q. Who will pay for this proxy solicitation?

A. Inter-Atlantic has retained Morrow & Co., LLC to aid in the solicitation of proxies. Morrow & Co., LLC will receive a fee of approximately \$40,000 (Morrow & Co. received an advance of \$10,000 upon signing an agreement with Inter-Atlantic Financial, Inc.), as long as the acquisition proposal obtains the requisite approvals, as well as reimbursement for certain costs and out of pocket expenses incurred by them in connection with their services, all of which will be paid by Inter-Atlantic. In addition, officers and directors of Inter-Atlantic may solicit proxies by mail, telephone, telegraph and personal interview, for which no additional compensation will be paid, though they may be reimbursed for their out-of-pocket expenses. Inter-Atlantic will bear the cost of preparing, assembling and mailing the enclosed form of proxy, this Proxy Statement and other material which may be sent to warrant holders or stockholders in connection with this solicitation. Inter-Atlantic may reimburse brokerage firms and other nominee holders for their reasonable expenses in sending proxies and proxy material to the beneficial owners of our shares. See page 45.

Q. Who can help answer my questions?

A. If you have questions about the solicitation of proxies, you may write, e-mail or call Morrow & Co., LLC, 470 West Avenue, Stamford, CT 06902; email: Inter-Atlantic.info@morrowco.com. Stockholders, banks and brokerage firms, please call 800-607-0088.

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Some of the statements in this document may include forward-looking statements. These statements reflect the current views of our senior management with respect to future events and our financial performance. These statements include forward-looking statements with respect to our business, Patriot's business and the insurance industry in general. Statements that include the words expect, intend, plan, believe, project, estimate, may, should, anticipate, or similar words, identify forward-looking statements for purposes of the federal securities laws or otherwise.

Forward-looking statements address matters that involve risks and uncertainties. Accordingly, there are or will be important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those indicated in these statements. We believe that these factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

- greater frequency or severity of claims and loss activity, including as a result of natural or man-made catastrophic events, than Patriot's underwriting, reserving or investment practices anticipate based on historical experience or industry data;
- increased competition on the basis of insurance coverage availability, claims management, loss control services, payment terms, premium rates, policy terms, types of insurance offered, overall financial strength, financial ratings and reputation;
- regulatory risks, including further rate decreases in Florida and other states where Patriot writes business;
- the cyclical nature of the workers' compensation insurance industry;
- negative developments in the workers' compensation insurance industry;
- decreased level of business activity of Patriot's policyholders;
- decreased demand for Patriot's insurance;
- adverse developments regarding our legacy asbestos and environmental claims arising from policies written or assumed by Guarantee Insurance prior to 1983;
- changes in the availability, cost or quality of reinsurance and the failure of Patriot's reinsurers to pay claims in a timely manner or at all;
- changes in regulations or laws applicable to us or Patriot, Patriot's policyholders or the agencies that sell Patriot's insurance;
- changes in rating agency policies or practices;
- changes in legal theories of liability under Patriot's insurance policies;
- developments in capital markets that adversely affect the performance of our or Patriot's investments;
- loss of the services of any of Patriot's senior management or other key employees;
- the effects of U.S. involvement in hostilities with other countries and large-scale acts of terrorism, or the threat of hostilities or terrorist acts; and
- changes in general economic conditions, including inflation and other factors.

Table of Contents

The foregoing factors should not be construed as exhaustive and should be read together with the other cautionary statements included in this document, including in particular the risks described under "Risk Factors" beginning on page 22 of this document. If one or more of these or other risks or uncertainties materialize, or if our underlying assumptions prove to be incorrect, actual results may differ materially from what we anticipate. Any forward-looking statements you read in this document reflect Inter-Atlantic's and Patriot's views as of the date of this document with respect to future events and are subject to these and other risks, uncertainties and assumptions relating to Inter-Atlantic's and Patriot's operations, growth strategy and liquidity.

Before you grant your proxy or instruct how your vote should be cast you should be aware that the occurrence of the events described in the "Risk Factors" section and elsewhere in this document could have a material adverse effect on Inter-Atlantic or Patriot.

Table of Contents**SELECTED HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

The following income statement data for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 and balance sheet data as of June 30, 2009 were derived from Patriot's unaudited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this document. The income statement data for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 and balance sheet data as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 were derived from Patriot's audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this document. The income statement data for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 and balance sheet data as of December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were derived from Patriot's audited consolidated financial statements that are not included in this document. These historical results are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected in any future period. You should read the following summary financial information together with the other information contained in this document, including Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the financial statements and related notes included elsewhere.

	Six Months Ended June 30,		Years Ended December 31, In thousands, except per share data				
	2009	2008	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
Income Statement Data							
Gross premiums written	\$ 62,555	\$ 69,732	\$ 117,563	\$ 85,810	\$ 62,372	\$ 47,576	\$ 30,911
Ceded premiums written	30,789	40,438	71,725	54,894	42,986	23,617	22,702
Net premiums written	31,766	29,294	45,838	30,961	19,386	23,959	8,209
Revenues							
Net premiums earned	21,770	20,104	49,220	24,613	21,053	21,336	2,948
Insurance services income	3,787	3,008	5,657	7,175	4,369	6,429	5,952
Net investment income	920	980	2,028	1,326	1,321	1,077	233
Net realized gains (losses) on investments	743	56	(1,037)	(5)	(1,346)	(2,298)	(4,632)
Total revenues	27,220	24,148	55,868	32,961	28,203	24,484	4,978
Expenses							
Net losses and loss adjustment expenses	12,105	11,956	28,716	15,182	17,839	12,022	2,616
Net policy acquisition and underwriting expenses	6,632	5,495	13,535	6,023	3,834	3,168	2,016
Other operating expenses	4,960	4,233	10,930	8,519	9,704	6,378	4,989
Interest expense	734	725	1,437	1,290	1,109	1,129	555
Total expenses	24,431	22,409	54,618	31,014	32,486	22,697	10,176
Other income		219	1,469		796		110
Loss from write-off of deferred equity offering			(3,486)				

costs (1)							
Gain on early extinguishment of debt (2)					6,586		
Income (loss) before income tax expense benefit	2,789	1,958	(767)	1,947	3,099	1,787	(5,088)
Income tax expense (benefit)	1,023	250	(643)	(432)	1,489	687	(751)
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,766	\$ 1,708	\$ (124)	\$ 2,379	\$ 1,610	\$ 1,100	\$ (4,337)
Earnings Per Share							
Basic	\$ 1.70	\$ 1.26	\$ (0.09)	\$ 1.77	\$ 1.16	\$.88	NM(3)
Diluted	1.69	1.25	(0.09)	1.76	1.15	.87	NM(3)
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding:							
Basic	1,037	1,361	1,361	1,342	1,392	1,251	NM(3)
Diluted	1,046	1,370	1,361	1,351	1,398	1,258	NM(3)
Return on average equity(4)	43.9%	55.7%	(2.0)%	58.5%	107.0%	NM(3)	NM(3)
Selected Insurance Ratios(5)							
Net loss ratio	55.6%	59.5%	57.5%	61.7%	84.7%	56.3%	NM(3)
Net expense ratio	30.5%	27.3%	27.1%	24.5%	18.2%	14.8%	NM(3)
Net combined ratio	86.1%	86.8%	84.6%	86.2%	102.9%	71.1%	NM(3)

Table of Contents

	June 30, 2009	2008	2007	December 31, 2006	2005	2004
	In thousands					
Balance Sheet Data						
Investments	\$ 50,888	\$ 55,089	\$ 56,816	\$ 32,543	\$ 20,955	\$ 16,446
Cash and cash equivalents	4,179	8,333	4,946	17,841	20,420	3,965
Amounts recoverable from reinsurers	52,779	42,134	47,519	41,531	22,955	10,978
Premiums receivable, net	76,406	58,826	36,748	19,450	21,943	19,244
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	37,443	33,731	14,963	7,466	4,402	14,925
Other assets	16,079	13,179	14,248	11,838	9,563	8,957
 Total assets	 \$ 237,774	 \$ 211,292	 \$ 175,237	 \$ 130,669	 \$ 100,238	 \$ 74,515
 Reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses	 \$ 83,013	 74,550	 69,881	 65,953	 39,478	 19,885
Unearned and advanced premium reserves	58,160	44,613	29,160	15,643	13,214	20,185
Reinsurance funds withheld and balances payable	45,167	47,449	44,073	26,787	25,195	15,697
Debt and accrued interest	21,243	22,592	16,907	11,741	11,995	10,379
Other liabilities	21,245	14,951	9,780	7,851	10,040	8,324
 Total liabilities	 228,828	 204,155	 169,801	 127,975	 99,922	 74,470
Stockholders' equity	8,946	7,137	5,436	2,694	316	45
 Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	 \$ 237,774	 \$ 211,292	 \$ 175,237	 \$ 130,669	 \$ 100,238	 \$ 74,515

(1) In 2008, Patriot wrote off approximately \$3.5 million of deferred equity offering costs incurred in connection with its efforts to consummate an initial public offering.

(2) In 2006, Guarantee Insurance entered into a

settlement and termination agreement with the former owner of Guarantee Insurance that allowed for an early extinguishment of debt in the amount of \$8.8 million in exchange for \$2.2 million in cash and release of the indemnification agreement previously entered into by the parties. As a result, Patriot recognized a gain on the early extinguishment of debt on a pre-tax basis of \$6.6 million. Patriot also recognized other income in connection with the forgiveness of accrued interest associated with the early extinguishment of debt on a pre-tax basis of \$796,000.

- (3) Patriot does not believe this metric is meaningful for the period indicated.

(4)

Return on average equity is calculated by dividing net income by average stockholders equity as of the beginning and end of the period.

- (5) The net loss ratio is calculated by dividing net losses and loss adjustment expenses by net earned premiums. The net expense ratio is calculated by dividing net policy acquisition and underwriting expenses (which are comprised of gross policy acquisition costs and other gross expenses incurred in Patriot's insurance operations, net of ceding commissions earned from its reinsurers) by net earned premiums. The net combined ratio is the sum of the net loss ratio and the net expense ratio.

Table of Contents**SELECTED HISTORICAL CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

The following income statement data for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 and balance sheet data as of June 30, 2009 were derived from Inter-Atlantic's unaudited condensed financial statements included elsewhere in this document. The income statement data for the year ended December 31, 2008 and the period from January 12, 2007 (inception) through December 31, 2007 and balance sheet data as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 were derived from Inter-Atlantic's audited condensed financial statements audited by Rothstein Kass & Co., P.C., independent registered public accountants, which are included elsewhere in this proxy statement. These historical results are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected in any future period. You should read the following summary financial information together with the other information contained in this document, including Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the financial statements and related notes included elsewhere.

	Six months ended		Year Ended	Period from
	June 30,		December 31,	January 12,
	2009	2008	2008	(inception)
				December
				31,
				2007
Income Statement Data				
Revenue	\$	\$	\$	\$
Formation, transaction and administrative costs	690,204	244,416	446,683	156,678
Loss from operations	(690,204)	(244,416)	(446,683)	(156,678)
Interest income	68,763	715,891	1,049,804	601,393
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	(621,441)	471,475	603,121	444,715
Provision for income taxes (income tax benefit)	(224,814)	206,765	280,000	178,000
Net income (loss)	\$ (396,627)	\$ 264,710	\$ 323,121	\$ 266,715
Maximum number of shares subject to possible conversion:				
Approximate weighted average number of shares	2,582,000	2,582,000	2,582,000	2,582,000
Approximate weighted average number of common shares outstanding (not subject to possible conversion):				
Basic	7,903,000	7,903,000	7,903,000	3,290,000
Diluted	7,903,000	11,701,000	11,698,000	4,168,000

Income (loss) per common share not subject to possible conversion:

Basic	\$	(0.05)	\$	0.03	\$	0.04	\$	0.08
Diluted	\$	(0.05)	\$	0.02	\$	0.03	\$	0.06

Income (loss) per common share subject to possible conversion:

Basic	\$		\$		\$		\$	
Diluted	\$		\$		\$		\$	

Table of Contents

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008	2007
Balance Sheet Data			
Current Assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 52,332	\$ 32,248	\$ 6,967
Prepaid insurance	29,250	29,250	146,250
Prepaid income taxes	18,041	51,061	
Total current assets	99,623	112,559	153,217
Other Assets			
Investments held in Trust Account	68,521,491	68,525,418	68,725,471
Deferred tax asset	500,000	211,000	70,000
Total other assets	69,021,491	68,736,418	68,795,471
Total assets	\$ 69,121,114	\$ 68,848,977	\$ 68,948,688
Current Liabilities			
Accrued expenses	\$ 464,272	\$ 20,833	\$ 35,250
Accrued offering costs			146,755
Notes payable, affiliate	250,000		
Income taxes payable			248,000
Delaware franchise tax payable	8,225	32,900	46,560
Total current liabilities	722,497	53,733	476,565
Long Term Liabilities			
Deferred underwriters fee	1,928,707	1,928,707	1,928,707
Common stock, subject to possible conversion, 2,582,229 shares at conversion value, approximately \$7.96 per share	20,547,927	20,547,927	20,547,927
Total liabilities	23,199,191	22,530,367	22,953,199
Stockholders Equity			
Preferred stock, \$.0001 par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized; non issued Common stock, \$.0001 par value; 49,000,000 shares authorized; 10,485,300 issued and outstanding	1,049	1,049	1,049
Additional paid-in capital	45,727,725	45,727,725	45,727,725
Retained earnings	193,209	589,836	266,715
Total stockholders equity	45,921,983	46,318,610	45,995,489
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 69,121,114	\$ 68,848,977	\$ 68,948,688

Table of Contents**PER SHARE MARKET PRICE INFORMATION**

The shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, warrants and units are currently quoted on the NYSE Amex under the symbols IAN, IAN.WS and IAN.U, respectively. On April 23, 2009, the last day for which information was available prior to the date of the public announcement of the signing of the Stock Purchase Agreement, the last quoted sale prices of IAN, IAN.WS and IAN.U were \$7.72, \$0.031 and \$7.70, respectively. On August 14, 2009, the last quoted sale prices of IAN, IAN.WS and IAN.U were \$7.90, \$0.17 and \$8.01, respectively. Each unit of Inter-Atlantic consists of one share of Inter-Atlantic common stock and one redeemable common stock purchase warrants.

There is no established public trading market for the shares of common stock of Patriot because it is a private company.

The following table sets forth, for the calendar quarter indicated; the quarterly high and low bid information of Inter-Atlantic's common stock, warrants and units as reported on the NYSE Amex. The quotations listed below reflect interdealer prices, without retail markup, markdown or commission and may not necessarily represent actual transactions:

Quarter Ended	Common Stock*		Warrants*		Units**	
	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low
2007						
Fourth Quarter	7.34	7.25	0.95	0.84	8.25	8.00
2008						
First Quarter	7.48	7.26	0.87	0.30	8.10	7.57
Second Quarter	7.57	7.29	0.40	0.28	7.89	7.45
Third Quarter	7.62	7.27	0.35	0.25	7.76	7.60
Fourth Quarter	7.35	7.05	0.20	0.02	7.59	7.10
2009						
First Quarter	7.80	7.35	0.08	0.02	7.70	7.33
Second Quarter	7.86	7.65	0.27	0.02	8.10	7.64
Third Quarter (through August 14, 2009)	7.90	7.78	0.20	0.05	8.10	7.85

* Commencing
October 23,
2007

** Commencing
October 2, 2007

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information included and incorporated by reference in this document, Inter-Atlantic stockholders should consider the matters described below in determining whether to approve the acquisition proposal and approve the issuance of Inter-Atlantic common stock in the transaction, the adoption of the 2009 Stock Incentive Plan and the increase in the number of authorized shares of Inter-Atlantic. Described below are these risk factors which could result in a significant or material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. If this were to happen, the price of our shares could decline significantly and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Related to Patriot's Business

Patriot's business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected if its actual losses and loss adjustment expenses exceed its estimated loss and loss adjustment expense reserves.

Patriot maintains reserves for estimated losses and loss adjustment expenses. Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves represent an estimate of amounts needed to pay and administer claims with respect to insured events that have occurred, including events that have occurred but have not yet been reported to Patriot. Such reserves are estimates and are therefore inherently uncertain. Judgment is required to determine the degree to which historical payment and claim settlement patterns should be considered in establishing loss and loss adjustment expense reserves. The interpretation of historical data can be impacted by external forces, such as legislative changes, economic fluctuations and legal trends.

Patriot's net reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses at December 31, 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were \$26.6 million, \$24.8 million, \$17.4 million and \$11.8 million, respectively. At December 31, 2008, Patriot's re-estimated reserves for those four years were \$27.9 million, \$21.3 million, \$16.7 million and \$11.4 million, respectively. Accordingly, at December 31, 2008 Patriot's reserves for the years ending December 31, 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004 showed a net cumulative redundancy (deficiency) of approximately \$(1.3) million, \$3.6 million, 697,000 and \$429,000, respectively. Patriot's historical claims data is limited and not fully developed, and, accordingly, it currently relies principally on industry data in establishing its reserves. Key assumptions that Patriot utilizes to estimate its reserves include industry frequency and severity trends and health care cost and utilization patterns. There can be no assurance that Patriot's reserves will be adequate in the future. If there are unfavorable changes in its assumptions, Patriot's reserves may need to be increased.

It is difficult to estimate reserves for workers' compensation claims, because workers' compensation claims are often paid over a long period of time, and there are no policy limits on liability for claim amounts. Accordingly, Patriot's reserves may prove to be inadequate to cover its actual losses. Patriot reviews its reserves each quarter. Patriot may adjust its loss reserves based on the results of these reviews, and these adjustments could be significant. If Patriot changes its estimates, these changes would result in adjustments to its reserves and its losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred in the period in which the estimates are changed. If the estimate is increased, Patriot's pre-tax income for the period in which it makes the change will decrease by a corresponding amount.

Additionally, Patriot has certain exposures related to legacy commercial general liability claims, including asbestos and environmental liability claims, and there can be no assurance that Patriot's loss and loss adjustment expense reserves for these claims are adequate. See Patriot has legacy commercial general liability claims, including asbestos and environmental liability claims.

If Patriot does not properly price its insurance policies, its business, financial condition and results of operations will be adversely affected; Patriot does not set prices for its policies in Florida or the other administered pricing states where it writes premiums.

Table of Contents

If Patriot's premium rates are too low, its results of operations and its profitability will be adversely affected, and if Patriot's premium rates are too high, its competitiveness may be reduced and it may generate lower revenues.

In general, the premium rates for its insurance policies are established by Patriot (in states other than administered pricing states, as discussed below) when coverage is initiated and, therefore, before all of the underlying costs are known. Like other workers' compensation insurance companies and insurance holding companies, Patriot relies on estimates and assumptions in setting its premium rates. Establishing adequate rates is necessary to generate sufficient revenue, together with investment income, to operate profitably. If Patriot fails to accurately assess the risks that it assumes, it may fail to charge adequate premium rates. For example, when underwriting coverage on a new policy, Patriot estimates future claims expense based, in part, on prior claims information provided by the policyholder's previous insurance carriers. If this prior claims information is not accurate or not indicative of future claims experience, Patriot may under-price its policy by using claims estimates that are too low. As a result, Patriot's actual costs for providing insurance coverage to its policyholders may be significantly higher than its premiums. In order to set premium rates accurately, Patriot must:

- collect and properly analyze a substantial volume of data;
- develop, test and apply appropriate rating formulae;
- closely monitor and timely recognize changes in trends; and
- make assumptions regarding both the frequency and severity of losses with reasonable accuracy.

Patriot must also price its insurance policies appropriately for each jurisdiction. The assumptions Patriot makes regarding its premium rates in states in which it currently writes policies may not be appropriate for new geographic markets into which it may expand. Patriot's ability to establish appropriate premium rates in new markets is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, principally:

- insufficient reliable data;
- incorrect or incomplete analysis of available data;
- uncertainties generally inherent in estimates and assumptions, especially in markets in which it has less experience;
- its inability to implement appropriate rating formulae or other pricing methodologies;
- regulatory constraints on rate increases;
- costs of ongoing medical treatment;
- its inability to accurately estimate retention, investment yields and the duration of its liability for losses and loss adjustment expenses; and
- unanticipated court decisions, legislation or regulatory action.

For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, Patriot wrote approximately 67% and 70%, of its direct premiums written, respectively, in four administered pricing states—Florida, New York, Indiana and New Jersey. In administered pricing states, insurance rates are set by the state insurance regulators and are adjusted periodically. Rate competition generally is not permitted in these states. Therefore, rather than setting rates for the policies, Patriot's underwriting efforts in these states for its traditional business relate primarily to the selection of the policies it chooses to write at the premium rates that have been set. Effective October 1, 2008, New York is no longer an administered pricing state. In October 2008, the Florida OIR approved an average statewide rate decrease of 18.6% effective January 1, 2009. In February 2009, the Florida OIR approved an average statewide rate increase of 6.4%, effective April 1, 2009, associated with the Florida Supreme Court's decision to eliminate statutory limits on attorney fees that were imposed as a result of 2003 reforms. In June 2009, the Florida OIR approved a rollback to the rates that became effective on January 1, 2009 in connection with Florida legislation that restored the limit on attorney fees and clarified related statutory language that the Florida Supreme Court had determined to be ambiguous.

In October 2007, the NCCI submitted an amended filing calling for a Florida statewide rate decrease of 18.4%, which was approved by the Florida OIR on October 31, 2007 and was effective January 1, 2008. In October 2006, the Florida OIR approved an average statewide rate decrease of 15.7%, effective January 1, 2007.

If a state insurance regulator lowers premium rates, Patriot will be less profitable, and it may choose not to write policies in that state. Patriot has responded to these rate decreases by expanding its alternative market business in Florida, strengthening its collateral on that business where appropriate, and increasing consent-to-rate (a limited

program under which the Florida OIR allows insurers to charge a rate that exceeds the state-established rate when deemed necessary by the insurer) on renewal policies on Florida traditional business. In addition, Patriot has the ability to offer different kinds of policies in administered pricing states, including retrospectively rated policies and dividend policies, for which an insured can receive a return of a portion of the premium paid if the insured's claims experience is favorable. Patriot expects an increase in Florida experience modifications, which permit it to increase the premium charge based on a policyholder's loss history. Patriot anticipates that its ability to adjust to these market changes will create opportunities as its competitors with higher expense ratios find the Florida market less desirable. However, there can be no assurance that state mandated insurance rates in administered pricing states will enable Patriot to generate appropriate underwriting margins. Furthermore, there can be no assurance that alternative kinds of policies in administered pricing states will continue to be permitted or will enable Patriot to generate appropriate underwriting margins.

Table of Contents

Patriot's geographic concentration ties its performance to business, economic and regulatory conditions in Florida and certain other states.

In 2008, Patriot wrote insurance in 22 states and the District of Columbia. For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, approximately 46% and 59% of Patriot's total direct premiums written, respectively, were concentrated in Florida.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, approximately 30% of Patriot's traditional business direct premiums written were concentrated in Florida, and approximately 14%, 12% and 9% were concentrated in New Jersey, Missouri and Indiana, respectively. No other state accounted for more than 7% of its traditional business direct premiums written for the year ended December 31, 2008. For the year ended December 31, 2007, approximately 41% of Patriot's traditional business direct premiums written were concentrated in Florida, and approximately 17%, 12% and 11% were concentrated in Missouri, Indiana and Arkansas, respectively. No other state accounted for more than 5% of its traditional business direct premiums written for the year ended December 31, 2007.

For the year ended December 31, 2008 approximately 70% of Patriot's alternative market business direct premiums written were concentrated in Florida, and approximately 9% and 6% were concentrated in Georgia and New York, respectively. No other state accounted for more than 5% of its alternative market business direct premiums written for the year ended December 31, 2008. For the year ended December 31, 2007, approximately 84% of Patriot's alternative market business direct premiums written were concentrated in Florida. No other state accounted for more than 5% of its alternative market business direct premiums written for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Unfavorable business, economic or regulatory conditions in the states where Patriot conducts the majority of its traditional and alternative market business could have a significant adverse impact on its business, financial condition and results of operations. In Florida, the state in which it writes the majority of its premium, and also in Indiana, New York and New Jersey, insurance regulators establish the premium rates Patriot charges. In these states, insurance regulators may set rates below those that Patriot requires to maintain profitability.

Because its business is concentrated in Florida and certain other states, Patriot may be exposed to economic and regulatory risks that are greater than the risks it would face if its business were spread more evenly by state. Patriot's workers' compensation insurance operations are affected by the economic health of the states in which it operates. Premium growth is dependent upon payroll growth, which, in turn, is affected by economic conditions. Furthermore, losses and loss adjustment expenses can increase in weak economic conditions because it is more difficult to return injured workers to work when employers are otherwise reducing payrolls. Florida is exposed to severe natural perils, such as hurricanes. If Florida were to experience a natural peril of the magnitude of Hurricane Katrina or other catastrophic event, the result could be a disruption of the entire local economy and the loss of jobs, which could have a material adverse effect on Patriot's business, financial condition and results of operations. Patriot could also be adversely affected by any material change in Florida law or regulation or any Florida court decision affecting workers' compensation carriers generally. Unfavorable changes in economic conditions affecting the states in which it writes business could adversely affect Patriot's business, financial condition and results of operations.

The workers' compensation insurance industry is cyclical in nature, which may affect Patriot's overall financial performance.

Historically, the workers' compensation insurance market has undergone cyclical periods of price competition and excess underwriting capacity (known as a soft market), followed by periods of high premium rates and shortages of underwriting capacity (known as a hard market). Although an individual insurance company's financial performance is dependent on its own specific business characteristics, the profitability of most workers' compensation insurance companies tends to follow this cyclical market pattern. Beginning in 2000 and accelerating in 2001, the workers' compensation insurance industry experienced a hardening market, featuring increasing premium rates and more conservative risk selection. Patriot believes these trends slowed beginning in 2004, and also believes that the current workers' compensation insurance market has been transitioning to a more competitive market environment in which underwriting capacity and price competition may increase. Additional underwriting capacity, and the resulting increased competition for premium, is the result of insurance companies expanding the types or amounts of business they write, or of companies seeking to maintain or increase market share at the expense of underwriting discipline. In its traditional workers' compensation business, Patriot experienced increased price competition in 2007 and 2008 in

certain markets, and these cyclical patterns, the actions of its competitors and general economic factors could cause Patriot's revenues and net income to fluctuate, which may cause the price of our common stock to be volatile. Because this cyclical nature is due in large part to the actions of Patriot's competitors and general economic factors beyond our control, Patriot cannot predict with certainty the timing or duration of changes in the market cycle.

Table of Contents

Because it has a limited operating history, Patriot's future operating results and financial condition are more likely to vary from expectations.

Patriot commenced operations in 2004 after acquiring Guarantee Insurance, and it formed PRS Group Inc. in 2005. As a relatively new company, Patriot has a limited operating history on which you can evaluate its performance and base an estimate of Patriot's future earning prospects. In addition, Patriot's business plan contemplates that it will expand into new geographic areas and provide claims administration, general agency and general underwriting services to other insurance companies and self-insured employers through business process outsourcing relationships. Patriot cannot assure you that it will obtain the regulatory approvals necessary for it to conduct business as planned or that any approval granted will not be subject to conditions that restrict its operations. In addition, we cannot assure you that we will have, or be able to raise, the funds necessary to capitalize Patriot's subsidiaries in order to further grow its business. Accordingly, Patriot's future results of operations or financial condition may vary significantly from expectations.

Patriot's insurance services fee income and insurance services net income is currently almost wholly dependent on Guarantee Insurance's premium levels.

Because Patriot's insurance services fee income and insurance services net income is generated from Guarantee Insurance, the segregated portfolio captives and its quota share reinsurers, it is currently almost wholly dependent on Guarantee Insurance's premium levels. If Guarantee Insurance premium levels decrease, Patriot would experience a corresponding decrease in consolidated insurance services fee income and insurance services net income. There can be no assurance that Guarantee Insurance premium levels will not decrease.

Patriot's consolidated insurance services fee income is currently almost wholly dependent on Guarantee Insurance's risk retention levels.

Because insurance services fee income earned by PRS from Guarantee Insurance attributable to the portion of the insurance risk that Guarantee Insurance retains is eliminated upon consolidation, Patriot's consolidated insurance services income is currently dependent on Guarantee Insurance's risk retention levels. If Guarantee Insurance increases its risk retention levels, Patriot's consolidated insurance services fee income will decrease, in which case it would also experience a corresponding decrease in its consolidated losses and loss adjustment expenses and net policy acquisition and underwriting expenses. Guarantee Insurance's risk retention levels, measured by the ratio of net premiums earned to gross premiums earned, were approximately 50% and 33% for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Guarantee Insurance entered into additional quota share agreements effective December 31, 2008 and January 1, 2009 which will reduce its risk retention levels in 2009. There can be no assurance as to Patriot's overall risk retention levels in the future.

Patriot needs to obtain additional licenses to allow us to provide insurance services to third parties.

As part of its business plan, Patriot expects to expand its fee-generating insurance services by offering reinsurance brokerage, policy and claims administration, general agency and general underwriting services to other regional and national insurance companies and self-insured employers. Patriot also plans to explore strategic acquisitions of policy and claims administrators, general agencies and general underwriters. In order to expand these services, Patriot will need to obtain additional licenses to allow it to provide certain of these services to third parties. Patriot has two general agency property and casualty licenses in Florida. It will need additional licenses to expand these services in other states. However, there can be no assurance that it will be successful in expanding these fee-generating services or obtaining the necessary licenses. Patriot's failure to expand these services would have a material adverse effect on its business plan.

Patriot has legacy commercial general liability claims, including asbestos and environmental liability claims.

Patriot has legacy commercial general liability claims, including asbestos and environmental liability claims, arising out of the sale of general liability insurance and participations in reinsurance assumed through underwriting management organizations, commonly referred to as pools. Patriot ceased offering direct liability coverage in 1983 and ceased participations in reinsurance pools after 1982. In addition to the general uncertainties encountered in estimating workers' compensation loss and loss adjustment expense reserves described above, there are significant additional uncertainties in estimating the amount of Patriot's potential losses from asbestos and environmental claims. Generally, reserves for asbestos and environmental claims cannot be estimated with traditional loss reserving

techniques that rely on historical accident year development factors due to the uncertainties surrounding asbestos and environmental liability claims. Among the uncertainties impacting the estimation of such losses are:

potentially long waiting periods between exposure and emergence of any bodily injury or property damage;

Table of Contents

difficulty in identifying sources of environmental or asbestos contamination;
 difficulty in properly allocating responsibility and liability for environmental or asbestos damage;
 changes in underlying laws and judicial interpretation of those laws;
 potential for an environmental or asbestos claim to involve many insurance providers over many policy periods;
 long reporting delays from insureds to insurance companies;
 historical data concerning asbestos and environmental losses being more limited than historical information on other types of claims;
 questions concerning interpretation and application of insurance coverage; and
 uncertainty regarding the number and identity of insureds with potential asbestos or environmental exposure.

These factors generally render traditional actuarial methods less effective at estimating reserves for asbestos and environmental losses than reserves on other types of losses. As of December 31, 2008, Patriot had established gross reserves of approximately \$6.8 million and net reserves, net of reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, of approximately \$3.0 million for legacy asbestos and environmental claims, which include 30 direct claims and Patriot's participation in two reinsurance pools and its estimate for the impact of unreported claims. As of December 31, 2008, one of the pools in which it is a participant (which accounts for approximately 80% of these net reserves at December 31, 2008) had approximately 1,600 open claims. Of these, one claim carries reserves of more than \$100,000. In this pool, Patriot reinsured the risks of other insurers and then ceded a portion (generally 80%) of these reinsurance risks to other reinsurers, which it refers to as participating pool reinsurers. Under this structure, Patriot remains obligated for the total liability under each reinsurance contract to the extent any of the participating pool reinsurers fails to pay its share. Over time, Patriot's net liabilities under these reinsurance contracts have increased from approximately 20% to approximately 50% of the pooled risks, due to the insolvency of some participating pool reinsurers. In the second pool (which accounts for approximately 20% of its net reserves for legacy asbestos and environmental claims at December 31, 2008), Patriot is one of a number of participating pool reinsurers, and its liability is based on the percentage share of the pool obligations it reinsures. Patriot reviews its loss and loss adjustment expense reserves for our asbestos and environmental claims based on historical experience, current developments and actuarial reports for the pools, and this review entails a detailed analysis of its direct and assumed exposure.

In addition, as of December 31, 2008, Patriot had established gross reserves of approximately \$3.6 million and net reserves, net of reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, of \$1.5 million for legacy commercial general liability claims.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, Patriot's incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses associated with adverse development of reserves for legacy claims were approximately \$709,000. For the year ended December 31, 2007, Patriot recognized a reduction of incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses attributable to favorable development of reserves for legacy claims of approximately \$1.3 million. For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses associated with adverse development of reserves for legacy asbestos and environmental and commercial general liability claims were \$516,000 and \$421,000, respectively.

Patriot plans to continue to monitor industry trends and its own experience in order to determine the adequacy of its environmental and asbestos reserves. However, there can be no assurance that the reserves it has established are adequate.

If Patriot cannot sustain its relationships with independent agencies, it may be unable to operate profitably.

Patriot markets and sells its insurance products and services primarily through direct contracts with more than 440 independent, non-exclusive agencies. Patriot's products are marketed by independent wholesale and retail agencies, some of which account for a large portion of its revenues. Other insurance companies compete with Patriot for the services and allegiance of these agents. These agents may choose to direct business to Patriot's competitors, or may direct less desirable business to it. Patriot's business relationships are generally governed by agreements with agents that may be terminated on short notice. For the year ended December 31, 2008, approximately 14% of Patriot's total direct premiums written were derived from the agent whose single account with it in 2008 was Progressive Employer Services, Inc., (PES) its then largest policyholder. The policy with PES was cancelled in October 2008. For the year

ended December 31, 2008, approximately 9% and 7% of Patriot's total direct premiums written were derived from various offices of Appalachian Underwriters, Inc. and the Insurance Office of America, Inc., respectively. No other agent accounted for more than 4% of Patriot's direct premiums written. As a result, Patriot's continued profitability depends, in part, on the marketing efforts of its independent agencies and on its ability to offer workers' compensation insurance that meet the requirements and preferences of its independent agencies and their customers. A significant decrease in business from, or the entire loss of, Patriot's largest agent or several of its other large agents, would have a material adverse effect on Patriot's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Patriot has filed a lawsuit against its former largest customer regarding amounts it contends are due and owing and are in dispute. This customer is controlled by an individual who was one of Patriot's stockholders as of December 31, 2008. The loss of this customer could adversely affect Patriot, and amounts recognized by Patriot that it contends are due and owing and are in dispute may not be realized.

For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, approximately 16% and 15% of Patriot's direct premiums written, respectively, were attributable to one customer, Progressive Employer Services, Inc., or PES. The policy was cancelled in October 2008 for non-payment of premium and duplicate coverage. PES is a company controlled by Steven Herrig, an individual who, as of December 31, 2008, beneficially owned shares of Patriot through Westwind Holding Company, LLC, or Westwind, a company controlled by Mr. Herrig. Westwind's stock ownership represented approximately 15.8% of Patriot's outstanding common stock as of December 31, 2008. Most of PES's employees are located in Florida, where workers' compensation insurance premium rates are established by the state. Premiums receivable from PES totaled approximately \$8.3 million as of December 31, 2008. This amount is comprised of approximately \$1.1 million for billed but unpaid premium audits for the 2006 policy year, approximately \$2.0 million for a billed but unpaid experience rate modification as determined by NCCI, approximately \$300,000 for billed but unpaid premium installments for the 2008 policy year and approximately \$4.9 million of estimated but unbilled premium audits for the 2007 and 2008 policy years.

Patriot has filed a lawsuit against PES to collect these and additional amounts it believes are due and owing. Patriot has the right to access certain collateral pledged by Westwind to offset against premium and other amounts owed by PES and Westwind to Guarantee, including funds held under reinsurance treaties, which totaled approximately \$3.3 million as of both June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. Additionally, in March 2009, Patriot exercised a call option on all of its outstanding common stock owned by Westwind to partially satisfy the amounts it contends are due and owing. On May 11, 2009, Westwind filed a complaint in Florida State Court related to the exercise of the call option claiming breach of contract and conversion, seeking damages of \$2.2 million and other damages as determined by the court. There can be no assurance that Patriot will prevail in the lawsuit or that premiums receivable from PES will be fully realized. PES has contended that Patriot has failed to arrange for the issuance of a dividend from Guarantee Insurance to PES from the segregated portfolio cell controlled by it in the amount of \$3.9 million and that it has failed to provide PES with certain information. Moreover, PES may bring claims against Patriot alleging that its conduct has damaged them. As the litigation continues Patriot and PES may identify additional amounts in dispute.

If Patriot does not obtain reinsurance from traditional reinsurers or segregated portfolio captives on favorable terms, its business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

Patriot purchases reinsurance to manage its risk and exposure to losses. Reinsurance is an arrangement in which an insurance company, called the ceding company, transfers insurance risk by sharing premiums with another insurance or reinsurance company, called the reinsurer. In return, the reinsurer assumes insurance risk from the ceding company. Patriot participates in quota share and excess of loss reinsurance arrangements. Under its quota share reinsurance agreement effective July 1, 2008, Patriot ceded 50% of all net retained liabilities arising from all traditional business premiums written, excluding certain states, for all losses up to \$500,000 per occurrence, subject to various restrictions and exclusions. Effective January 1, 2009, coverage from one of the reinsurers under this quota share agreement, which comprised 37.5% of the total 50.0% coverage, expired, the participation of the other quota share reinsurer was increased from 12.5% to 25.0% and previously excluded states were added to the coverage. Patriot entered into an additional quota share agreement pursuant to which it ceded 37.83% of its gross unearned premium reserves as of December 31, 2008. Additionally, effective January 1, 2009, Patriot entered into a quota share agreement pursuant to which it will cede 68% all net retained liabilities arising from all traditional business premiums written in Florida, Georgia and New Jersey for all losses up to \$500,000 per occurrence, subject to various restrictions and exclusions. Patriot does not have any other quota share reinsurance arrangements for its traditional business.

The excess of loss reinsurance for both Patriot's traditional and alternative market business under its 2008/2009 reinsurance program covers, subject to certain restrictions and exclusions, losses that exceed \$1.0 million per occurrence up to \$9.0 million per occurrence, with coverage of up to an additional \$10.0 million per occurrence for certain losses involving injuries to several employees. However, effective July 1, 2008, the first layer of this excess of loss reinsurance for Patriot's traditional business (\$4.0 million excess of a \$1.0 million retention) is subject to an

annual deductible of \$1.0 million such that this reinsurance only applies to losses in excess of \$1.0 million per occurrence after July 1, 2008 to the extent that such losses exceed \$1.0 million in the aggregate. See Information About Patriot Business Reinsurance.

Table of Contents

The availability, amount and cost of reinsurance are subject to market conditions and Patriot's experience with insured losses. There can be no assurance that Patriot's reinsurance agreements can be renewed or replaced prior to expiration upon terms as satisfactory as those currently in effect. If it is unable to renew or replace any of its quota share or excess of loss reinsurance agreements, Patriot's net liability on individual risks would increase, it would have greater exposure to catastrophic losses, its underwriting results would be subject to greater variability, and its underwriting capacity would be reduced. Any reduction or other changes in Patriot's reinsurance arrangements could materially adversely affect its business, financial condition and results of operations.

Patriot reinsures on a quota share basis a substantial portion of its underwriting risk on our alternative market business to segregated portfolio captives in which its policyholders or other parties have an economic interest. Generally, Patriot cedes between 50% and 90% of the premium and losses under such an alternative market policy to a segregated portfolio captive, up to \$1 million per occurrence subject to various restrictions and exclusions, including an aggregate limit on the captive's reinsurance obligations. For the year ended December 31, 2008, Patriot ceded approximately 88% of its segregated portfolio captive alternative market gross premiums written under quota share reinsurance agreements with the segregated portfolio captives. For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, Patriot ceded 82%, 87% and 78% of its segregated portfolio captive alternative market gross premiums written under quota share reinsurance agreements with the segregated portfolio captives, respectively. On its segregated portfolio captive alternative market business, any losses in excess of the aggregate limit are borne by Patriot. If it sets this aggregate limit too low with the result that a substantial amount of losses are borne by Patriot, its business, financial condition and results of operations would be adversely affected.

If Patriot is not able to recover amounts due from its reinsurers, its business, financial condition and results of operations would be adversely affected.

Reinsurance does not discharge Patriot's obligations under its insurance policies. Patriot remains liable to its policyholders even if it is unable to make recoveries that it believes it is entitled to receive under its reinsurance contracts. As a result, Patriot is subject to credit risk with respect to its reinsurers. Losses are recovered from Patriot's reinsurers as claims are paid. With respect to long-term workers' compensation claims, the creditworthiness of its reinsurers may change before it recovers amounts to which it is entitled. If a reinsurer is unable to meet any of its obligations to Patriot, it would be responsible for all claims and claim settlement expenses for which it would have otherwise received payment from the reinsurer.

As of December 31, 2008, Patriot had \$42.1 million of gross exposures to reinsurers, comprised of reinsurance recoverables on paid and unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses. Furthermore, as of December 31, 2008, Patriot had \$26.1 million of net exposure to reinsurers—\$23.5 million from reinsurers licensed in Florida, which it refers to as authorized reinsurers, and \$2.6 million from reinsurers not licensed in Florida, which it refers to as unauthorized reinsurers. If Patriot is unable to collect amounts recoverable from its reinsurers, its business, financial condition and results of operations would be adversely affected.

Because Patriot is subject to extensive state regulation, legislative changes may adversely impact its business.

Patriot is subject to extensive regulation by the Florida OIR, and the insurance regulatory agencies of other states in which it is licensed and, to a lesser extent, federal regulation. State agencies have broad regulatory powers designed primarily to protect policyholders and their employees, and not our stockholders. Regulations vary from state to state, but typically address:

- standards of solvency, including risk-based capital measurements;
- restrictions on the nature, quality and concentration of investments;
- restrictions on the terms of insurance policies;
- restrictions on the way premium rates are established and the premium rates charged;
- procedures for adjusting claims, which can affect the ultimate amount for which a claim is settled;
- standards for appointing general agencies;
- limitations on transactions with affiliates;
- restrictions on mergers and acquisitions;
- medical privacy standards;

Table of Contents

restrictions on the ability of insurance companies to pay dividends;
 establishment of reserves for unearned premiums, losses and other purposes;
 licensing requirements and approvals that affect Patriot's ability to do business;
 certain required methods of accounting; and
 potential assessments for state guaranty funds, second injury funds and other mandatory pooling arrangements.

Patriot may be unable to comply fully with the wide variety of applicable laws and regulations that are frequently undergoing revision. In addition, Patriot follows practices based on its interpretations of laws and regulations that it believes are generally followed by the insurance industry. These practices may be different from interpretations of insurance regulatory agencies. As a result, insurance regulatory agencies could preclude Patriot from conducting some or all of its activities or otherwise penalize or fine it. Moreover, in order to enforce applicable laws and regulations or to protect policyholders, insurance regulatory agencies have relatively broad discretion to impose a variety of sanctions, including examinations, corrective orders, suspension, revocation or denial of licenses and the takeover of insurance companies. As a result, if Patriot fails to comply with applicable laws or regulations, insurance regulatory agencies could preclude it from conducting some or all of its activities or otherwise penalize it. The extensive regulation of Patriot's business may increase the cost of its insurance and may limit its ability to obtain premium rate increases or to take other actions to increase its profitability. For example, as a result of a financial examination by the Florida OIR in 2006 for the year ended December 31, 2004, Guarantee Insurance was fined \$40,000 for various violations including failure to maintain a minimum statutory policyholders' surplus. Also, as a result of writing premiums in South Carolina in an inadvertent breach of its agreement with the South Carolina Department of Insurance not to write any new business in South Carolina without the Department's consent, it may be required to pay a fine or face other disciplinary action.

Guarantee Insurance is subject to periodic examinations by state insurance departments in the states in which it is licensed. In March 2008, the Florida OIR completed its financial examination of Guarantee Insurance as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006. In its examination report, the Florida OIR made a number of findings relating to Guarantee Insurance's failure to comply with corrective comments made in earlier examination reports by the Florida OIR as of the year ended December 31, 2004 and by the South Carolina Department of Insurance as of the year ended December 31, 2005. The Florida OIR also made a number of proposed adjustments to the statutory financial statements of Guarantee Insurance for the year ended December 31, 2006, attributable to, among other things, corrections of accounting errors and an upward adjustment in Guarantee Insurance's reserves for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses. These proposed adjustments, which resulted in a \$119,000 net decrease in Guarantee Insurance's reported policyholders surplus, did not cause Guarantee Insurance to be in violation of a consent order issued by the Florida OIR in 2006 in connection with the redomestication of Guarantee Insurance from South Carolina to Florida that requires Guarantee Insurance to maintain a minimum statutory policyholders surplus of the greater of \$9.0 million or 10% of total liabilities excluding taxes, expenses and other obligations due or accrued, and Guarantee Insurance was not required to file an amended 2006 annual statement with the Florida OIR reflecting these adjustments. In connection with the Florida OIR examination report for the year ended December 31, 2006, the Florida OIR issued a consent order requiring Guarantee Insurance to pay a penalty of \$50,000, pay \$25,000 to cover administrative costs and undergo an examination prior to June 1, 2008 to verify that it has addressed all of the matters raised in the examination report. In addition, the consent order requires Guarantee Insurance to hold annual shareholder meetings, maintain complete and accurate minutes of all stockholder and board of director meetings, implement additional controls and review procedures for its reinsurance accounting, perform accurate and timely reconciliations for certain accounts, establish additional procedures in accordance with Florida OIR information technology specialist recommendations, correctly report all annual statement amounts, continue to maintain adequate loss and loss adjustment reserves and continue to maintain a minimum statutory policyholders surplus of the greater of \$9.0 million or 10% of total liabilities excluding taxes, expenses and other obligations due or accrued. The consent order required Guarantee Insurance to provide documentation of compliance with these requirements. In 2008, the Florida OIR engaged a consultant to perform a target examination of Guarantee Insurance to assess its compliance with these requirements. The consultant's target examination field work was completed in August 2008, and the Florida OIR issued its report on the target examination, concluding that, with certain immaterial exceptions, Guarantee Insurance

was in compliance with all of the findings from the examination report for the year ended December 31, 2006. State laws require insurance companies to maintain minimum surplus balances and place limits on the amount of insurance a company may write based on the amount of that company's surplus. These limitations may restrict the rate at which our insurance operations can grow.

Table of Contents

State laws also require insurance companies to establish reserves for payments of policyholder liabilities and impose restrictions on the kinds of assets in which insurance companies may invest. These restrictions may require Guarantee Insurance to invest in assets more conservatively than it would if we were not subject to state law restrictions and may prevent it from obtaining as high a return on its assets as it might otherwise be able to realize.

State regulation of insurance company financial transactions and financial condition are based on statutory accounting principles, or SAP. State insurance regulators closely monitor the financial condition of insurance companies reflected in SAP financial statements and can impose significant operating restrictions on an insurance company that becomes financially impaired. Regulators generally have the power to impose restrictions or conditions on the following kinds of activities of a financially impaired insurance company: transfer or disposition of assets, withdrawal of funds from bank accounts, extension of credit or advancement of loans and investment of funds.

Many states have laws and regulations that limit an insurer's ability to withdraw from a particular market. For example, states may limit an insurer's ability to cancel or not renew policies. Furthermore, certain states prohibit an insurer from withdrawing from one or more lines of business in the state, except pursuant to a plan that is approved by the state insurance department. The state insurance department may disapprove a plan that may lead to market disruption. Laws and regulations that limit cancellation and non-renewal and that subject program withdrawals to prior approval requirements may restrict Patriot's ability to exit unprofitable markets.

Licensing laws and regulations vary from state to state. In all states, the applicable licensing laws and regulations are subject to amendment or interpretation by regulatory authorities. Generally such authorities are vested with relatively broad and general discretion as to the granting, renewing and revoking of licenses and approvals. Licenses may be denied or revoked for various reasons, including the violation of regulations and conviction of crimes. Possible sanctions which may be imposed by regulatory authorities include the suspension of individual employees, limitations on engaging in a particular business for specified periods of time, revocation of licenses, censures, redress to clients and fines.

In some instances, Patriot follows practices based on interpretations of laws and regulations generally followed by the industry, which may prove to be different from the interpretations of regulatory authorities.

Guarantee Insurance is currently not rated by A.M. Best Company, the largest and longest-established company devoted to issuing in-depth reports and financial strength ratings about insurance organizations. Without an A.M. Best Company rating, its business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected.

A.M. Best Company rates insurance companies based on their financial strength and their ability to pay claims, factors that are relevant to agents and policyholders. Guarantee Insurance has never been rated by any nationally recognized, independent rating agency. The absence of a rating assigned by A.M. Best Company to Guarantee Insurance may become material to Patriot's ability to maintain and expand its business. Ratings from A.M. Best Company and other rating agencies are used by some insurance buyers, agents and brokers as an indicator of financial strength and security.

Patriot is more vulnerable to negative developments in the workers' compensation insurance industry than companies writing other lines of insurance.

Although it provides insurance services to Guarantee Insurance and other insurance companies through PRS, a substantial part of Patriot's business is workers' compensation insurance. Patriot has no current plans to focus its efforts on offering other lines of insurance. As a result, negative developments in the economic, competitive or regulatory conditions affecting the workers' compensation insurance industry could have a material adverse effect on Patriot's business, financial condition and results of operations. Negative developments in the workers' compensation insurance industry could have a greater effect on Patriot than on more diversified insurance companies that also sell other lines of insurance.

New agreements involving fronting arrangements or distribution and insurance services relationships with other carriers or acquisitions could result in operating difficulties and other harmful consequences. Acquisitions could also result in dilution.

In 2009, Patriot entered into an agreement with another insurance company to gain access to workers' compensation insurance business in certain additional states. Under this agreement, Patriot earns commissions for writing business and insurance services income for providing underwriting, policy and claims administration, nurse case management

and cost containment services and, in certain cases, services to segregated portfolio cell captives on the business it produces for the other insurance company. Additionally, in certain cases, Patriot assumes a portion of the premium and associated losses and loss adjustment expenses on the business it produces for the other insurance company.

Table of Contents

Patriot anticipates growth in the business it produces for the other insurance company and is seeking to enter into similar relationships with additional insurance companies. Developing the technology infrastructure necessary to facilitate these new relationships will require substantial time and effort on Patriot's part, and the integration and management of these relationships may divert management time and focus from operating its current business. In addition, Patriot's experience acquiring companies has been limited to its acquisition of Guarantee Insurance. Patriot has evaluated, and expects to continue to evaluate, a wide array of potential strategic transactions. From time to time, Patriot may engage in discussions regarding potential acquisitions. The costs and benefits of future acquisitions are uncertain. Any of these transactions could be material to its business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, the process of integrating the operations of an acquired company may create unforeseen operating difficulties and expenditures and is risky. The areas where Patriot may face risks include:

- the need to implement or remediate controls, procedures and policies appropriate for a public company at companies that, prior to the acquisition, lacked these controls, procedures and policies;
- diversion of management time and focus from operating its business to acquisition integration challenges;
- cultural challenges associated with integrating employees from the acquired company into its organization;
- retaining employees from the businesses it acquires; and
- the need to integrate each company's accounting, management information, human resource and other administrative systems to permit effective management.

Patriot operates in a highly competitive industry, and others may have greater financial resources to compete effectively.

The market for workers' compensation insurance products and risk management services is highly competitive. Competition in Patriot's business is based on many factors, including pricing (with respect to insurance products, either through premiums charged or policyholder dividends), services provided, underwriting practices, financial ratings assigned by independent rating agencies, capitalization levels, quality of care management services, speed of claims payments, reputation, perceived financial strength, effective loss prevention, ability to reduce claims expenses and general experience. In some cases, Patriot's competitors offer lower priced products and services. If its competitors offer more competitive prices, payment plans, services or commissions to independent agencies, Patriot could lose market share or have to reduce its prices in order to maintain market share, which would adversely affect its profitability. Patriot's competitors are insurance companies, self-insurance funds, state insurance pools and workers compensation insurance service providers, many of which are significantly larger and possess considerably greater financial, marketing, management and other resources. Consequently, they can offer a broader range of products, provide their services nationwide and capitalize on lower expenses to offer more competitive pricing.

With respect to its insurance services business, Patriot believes PRS Group's principal competitors in the nurse case management and cost containment services market are CorVel Corporation, GENEX Services, Inc. and various other smaller providers. In the general agency market, Patriot believes it competes with numerous national wholesale agents and brokers.

With respect to its alternative market insurance business, Patriot believes its principal competitors are American International Group, Inc., Liberty Mutual Insurance Company and Hartford Insurance Company, as well as smaller regional carriers, although it believes that these companies generally target customers with annual premiums of more than \$5 million, whereas Patriot's target market generally is customers with annual premiums of \$3 million or less. With respect to its traditional insurance business, Patriot's main competitors in the principal states in which it operates vary from state to state but are usually those companies that offer a full range of services in underwriting, loss prevention and claims. In Florida, which represented approximately 46% and 59% of its total direct written premium for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, Patriot believes its principal competitors are American International Group, Inc., Liberty Mutual Insurance Company, Employers Insurance Group and Zenith Insurance Company. In the other South and Southeast states, which represented approximately 18% and 11% of Patriot's total direct written premium for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, it believes its principal competitors are American International Group, Inc., CNA Financial Corporation, The Travelers Companies, Inc.,

Liberty Mutual Insurance Company and other national and regional carriers. In Midwest states, which represented approximately 18% and 19% of Patriot's total direct written premium for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, it believes its principal competitors are American International Group, Inc., Accident Fund Insurance Company of America, Liberty Mutual Insurance Company and numerous other smaller regional carriers. In Northeast and Mid-Atlantic states, which represented approximately 17% and 9% of Patriot's total direct written premium for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, it believes its principal competitors are American International Group, Inc., Liberty Mutual Insurance Company, Hartford Fire & Casualty Insurance Company, New Jersey Manufacturers Group, Inc. and numerous other smaller regional carriers.

Table of Contents

State insurance regulations require maintenance of minimum levels of surplus and of ratios of net premiums written to surplus. Accordingly, competitors with more surplus than Patriot possesses have the potential to expand in its markets more quickly and to a greater extent than it can. Additionally, greater financial resources permit a carrier to gain market share through more competitive pricing, even if that pricing results in reduced underwriting margins or an underwriting loss. Many of Patriot's competitors are multi-line carriers that can price the workers' compensation insurance that they offer at a loss in order to obtain other lines of business at a profit. If Patriot is unable to compete effectively, its business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected. ***An inability to effectively manage the growth of its operations could make it difficult for Patriot to compete and affect its ability to operate profitably.***

Patriot's continuing growth strategy includes expanding in our existing markets, opportunistically acquiring insurance services companies, entering new geographic markets and further developing its agency relationships. Patriot's growth strategy is subject to various risks, including risks associated with its ability to:

- identify profitable new geographic markets for entry;
- attract and retain qualified personnel for expanded operations;
- identify potential acquisition targets and successfully acquire them;
- expand existing and develop new agency relationships;
- identify, recruit and integrate new independent agencies; and
- augment its internal monitoring and control systems as it expands its business.

The effects of emerging claim and coverage issues on Patriot's business are uncertain.

As industry practices and legal, judicial, social and other environmental conditions change, unexpected and unintended issues related to claims and coverage may emerge. These issues may adversely affect Patriot's business by either extending coverage beyond its underwriting intent or by increasing the number or size of claims. In some instances, these changes may not become apparent until after Patriot has issued insurance policies that are affected by the changes. As a result, the full extent of Patriot's liability under an insurance policy may not be known until many years after the policy is issued. For example, medical costs associated with permanent and partial disabilities may increase more rapidly or be higher than Patriot currently expects. Changes of this nature may expose Patriot to higher claims than it anticipated when it wrote the underlying policy. As of December 31, 2008, approximately 0.4%, 2%, 2% and 6% of Patriot's total reported claims for accident years 2004, 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively, remained open.

As more fully described under "Information About Patriot's Business—Legal Proceedings," Patriot is involved in certain litigation matters. Litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties, and if there were an outcome unfavorable to Patriot, its business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

If Patriot is unable to realize its investment objectives, its business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected.

Investment income is an important component of Patriot's net income. As of December 31, 2008, Patriot's investment portfolio, including cash and cash equivalents, had a carrying value of \$63.4 million. For the year ended December 31, 2008, Patriot had net investment income of \$2.0 million. Patriot's investment portfolio is managed by an independent asset manager pursuant to investment guidelines approved by Guarantee Insurance's board of directors. Although these guidelines stress diversification and capital preservation, Patriot's investments are subject to a variety of risks, including risks related to general economic conditions, interest rate fluctuations and market volatility. For example, in 2007 credit markets were significantly impacted by sub-prime mortgage losses, increased mortgage defaults and worldwide market dislocations. More recently, the financial markets have experienced substantial and unprecedented volatility as a result of further dislocations in the credit markets, including the bankruptcy of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. In 2008, Patriot recognized an other-than-temporary-impairment charge of approximately \$350,000 related to investments in certain bonds issued by Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc., which filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court, and approximately \$875,000 related to investments in certain common stocks purchased in 2005.

Table of Contents

In addition, Patriot's investment portfolio includes asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities. As of December 31, 2008, asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities constituted approximately 26% of its invested assets, including cash and cash equivalents. As with other fixed income investments, the fair market value of these securities fluctuates depending on market and other general economic conditions and the interest rate environment. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary policies and domestic and international economic and political conditions. Changes in interest rates could have an adverse effect on the value of Patriot's investment portfolio and future investment income. For example, changes in interest rates can expose Patriot to prepayment risks on asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities included in its investment portfolio. When interest rates fall, asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities are prepaid more quickly than expected and the holder must reinvest the proceeds at lower interest rates. In periods of increasing interest rates, asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities are prepaid more slowly, which may require Patriot to receive interest payments that are below the interest rates then prevailing for longer than expected.

Patriot also seeks to manage its investment portfolio such that the security maturities provide adequate liquidity relative to its expected claims payout pattern. However, the duration of Patriot's insurance liabilities may differ from Patriot's expectations. If it needs to liquidate invested assets prematurely in order to satisfy its claim obligations and the fair value of such assets is below original cost, Patriot may recognize realized losses on investments, which could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition and results of operations.

Additionally, Patriot's fixed maturity securities were reclassified as available for sale at December 31, 2007, and, accordingly, are now carried at market value. Decreases in the value of our fixed securities may have a material adverse effect on Patriot's business, financial condition and results of operations.

General economic conditions may be adversely affected by a variety of factors, including U.S. involvement in hostilities with other countries, large-scale acts of terrorism and the threat of hostilities or terrorist acts. These and other factors affect the capital markets and, consequently, the value of Patriot's investment portfolio and its investment income. Any significant decline in Patriot's investment income would adversely affect its revenues, results of operations and financial position.

Patriot's business is dependent on the efforts of its senior management and other key employees because of their industry expertise, knowledge of its markets and relationships with the independent agencies that sell its insurance.

Patriot believes its success will depend in substantial part upon its ability to attract and retain qualified executive officers, experienced underwriting talent and other skilled employees who are knowledgeable about its business. Patriot relies substantially upon the services of its executive management team and other key employees. The key executive officers and employees upon whom Patriot relies are Steven M. Mariano, Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer; Michael W. Grandstaff, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer; Charles K. Schuver, Senior Vice President and Chief Underwriting Officer of Guarantee Insurance; Timothy J. Ermatinger, Chief Executive Officer of PRS Group, Inc.; Richard G. Turner, Senior Vice President; Dean D. Watters, Vice President Business Development; and Theodore G. Bryant, Senior Vice President, Counsel and Secretary. Patriot entered into employment agreements with each of these officers (other than Mr. Watters) in 2008. Although Patriot is not aware of any planned departures or retirements, if it were to lose the services of members of its senior management team, Patriot's business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected. Patriot does not currently maintain key man life insurance policies with respect to its employees.

Assessments for state guaranty funds and second injury funds and other mandatory pooling arrangements may reduce Patriot's profitability.

Most states require insurance companies licensed to do business in their state to participate in guaranty funds, which require the insurance companies to bear a portion of the unfunded obligations of impaired, insolvent or failed insurance companies. These obligations are funded by assessments, which are expected to continue in the future. State guaranty associations levy assessments, up to prescribed limits, on all member insurance companies in the state based on their proportionate share of premiums written in the lines of business in which the impaired, insolvent or failed insurance companies are engaged. See Information About Patriot Business Regulation. Accordingly, the assessments levied on Patriot may increase as it increases its premiums written. Some states also have laws that establish second injury funds to reimburse insurers and employers for claims paid to injured employees for aggravation of prior

conditions or injuries. These funds are supported by assessments based on premiums or paid losses. For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, Patriot's gross expenses incurred in connection with assessments for state guaranty funds and second injury funds were \$4.1 million and \$3.4 million, respectively. Patriot's alternative market customers reimburse it for their pro rata share of any such amounts that it is assessed with respect to premiums written for such customers.

Table of Contents

In addition, as a condition to conducting business in some states, insurance companies are required to participate in residual market programs to provide insurance to those employers who cannot procure coverage from an insurance carrier on a negotiated basis. Insurance companies generally can fulfill their residual market obligations by, among other things, participating in a reinsurance pool where the results of all policies provided through the pool are shared by the participating insurance companies. Although it prices its insurance to account for obligations it may have under these pooling arrangements, Patriot may not be successful in estimating its liability for these obligations. It is possible that losses from its participation in these pools may exceed the premiums Patriot receives from the pools.

Accordingly, mandatory pooling arrangements may cause a decrease in Patriot's profits. Patriot currently participates in the NCCI national workers' compensation insurance pool. Net underwriting income (losses) associated with this mandatory pooling arrangement for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 were approximately \$(98,000) and \$159,000, respectively. As Patriot writes policies in new states that have mandatory pooling arrangements, it will be required to participate in additional pooling arrangements. Furthermore, the impairment, insolvency or failure of other insurance companies in these pooling arrangements would likely increase Patriot's liability under these pooling arrangements. The effect of assessments or changes in assessments could reduce Patriot's profitability in any given period or limit its ability to grow our business.

The outcome of recent insurance industry investigations and regulatory proposals could adversely affect Patriot's business, financial condition and results of operations.

The United States insurance industry has in recent years become the focus of investigations and increased scrutiny by regulatory and law enforcement authorities, as well as class action attorneys and the general public, relating to allegations of improper special payments, price-fixing, bid-rigging, improper accounting practices and other alleged misconduct, including payments made by insurers to brokers and the practices surrounding the placement of insurance business. Formal and informal inquiries have been made of a large segment of the industry, and a number of companies in the insurance industry have received or may receive subpoenas, requests for information from regulatory agencies or other inquiries relating to these and similar matters. For example, on September 28, 2007, Patriot received a Subpoena from the New Jersey Office of the Insurance Fraud Prosecutor regarding insurance policies issued to one of its policyholders. Patriot has responded to the subpoena and expects no further action. These efforts have resulted and are expected to result in both enforcement actions and proposals for new state and federal regulation. Some states have adopted new disclosure requirements in connection with the placement of insurance business. It is difficult to predict the outcome of these investigations, whether they will expand into other areas not yet contemplated, whether activities and practices currently thought to be lawful will be characterized as unlawful, what form any additional laws or regulations will have when finally adopted and the impact, if any, of increased regulatory and law enforcement action and litigation on Patriot's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Recently, as a result of complaints related to claims handling practices by insurers in the wake of the 2005 hurricanes that struck the Gulf Coast states, Congress has examined a possible repeal of the McCarran-Ferguson Act, which exempts the insurance industry from federal anti-trust laws. There can be no assurance that the McCarran-Ferguson Act will not be repealed, or that any such repeal, if enacted, would not have a material adverse effect on Patriot's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Patriot may have exposure to losses from terrorism for which it is required by law to provide coverage.

When writing workers' compensation insurance policies, Patriot is required by law to provide workers' compensation benefits for losses arising from acts of terrorism. The impact of any terrorist act is unpredictable, and the ultimate impact on Patriot's business would depend upon the nature, extent, location and timing of such an act as well as the availability of any reinsurance that it purchases for terrorism losses and of any assistance for the payment of such losses provided by the Federal government pursuant to the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002, or TRIA.

TRIA provides co-assistance to commercial property and casualty insurers for payment of losses from an act of terrorism which is declared by the U.S. Secretary of Treasury to be a certified act of terrorism. Assistance under the TRIA program is subject to other limitations and restrictions. Such assistance is only available for losses from a certified act of terrorism if aggregate insurance industry losses from the act exceed \$100 million. As originally enacted, TRIA only applied to acts of terrorism committed on behalf of foreign persons or interests. However, recent legislation extending the program through December 31, 2014 removed this restriction so that TRIA now applies to

both domestic and foreign terrorism occurring in the U.S. Under the TRIA program, the federal government covers 85% of the losses from covered certified acts of terrorism in excess of a deductible amount. This deductible is calculated as 20% of an affiliated insurance group's prior year premiums on commercial lines policies (with certain exceptions, such as commercial auto insurance policies) covering risks in the United States. Patriot estimates that its deductible would be approximately \$23.5 million for 2009. Because TRIA does not cover 100% of its exposure to terrorism losses and there are substantial limitations and restrictions on the protection against terrorism losses provided to it by its reinsurance, the risk of severe losses to Patriot from acts of terrorism remains. Accordingly, events constituting acts of terrorism may not be covered by, or may exceed the capacity of, its reinsurance and TRIA protections and could adversely affect Patriot's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

The federal terrorism risk assistance provided by TRIA will expire at the end of 2014, and it is not currently clear whether that assistance will be renewed. Any renewal may be on substantially less favorable terms.

Risks Related to the Acquisition

The trading price of our common stock may decline after the closing of the acquisition.

The trading price of our common stock may decline after the closing of the acquisition for many reasons, some of which are beyond our control, including, among others:

- our results of operations;
- changes in expectations as to our future results of operations, including financial estimates and projections by securities analysts and investors;
- results of operations that vary from those expected by securities analysts and investors;
- developments in the healthcare or insurance industries;
- changes in laws and regulations;
- announcements of claims against us by third parties;
- future sales of our common stock;
- lack of liquidity available to our stockholders;
- rising levels of claims costs, including medical and prescription drug costs, that we cannot anticipate at the time we establish our premium rates;
- fluctuations in interest rates, inflationary pressures and other changes in the investment environment that affect returns on invested assets;
- changes in the frequency or severity of claims;
- the financial stability of our reinsurers and changes in the level of reinsurance capacity and our capital and surplus;
- new types of claims and new or changing judicial interpretations relating to the scope of liabilities of insurance companies;
- volatile and unpredictable developments, including man-made, weather-related and other natural catastrophes or terrorist attacks; and
- price competition.

In addition, the stock market in general has experienced significant volatility that often has been unrelated to the operating performance of companies whose shares are traded. These market fluctuations could adversely affect the trading price of our common stock, regardless of our actual operating performance. As a result, the trading price of our common stock may be less than the per-share redemption value of approximately \$7.96.

Patriot's independent registered public accounting firm has in the past identified certain deficiencies in internal controls that it considered to be control deficiencies and material weaknesses. If Patriot fails to remediate these internal control deficiencies and material weaknesses and maintain an effective system of internal controls over financial reporting, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results subsequent to the acquisition.

During their audit of Patriot's financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006, BDO Seidman, LLP, its independent registered public accounting firm (independent auditors), identified certain deficiencies in internal controls that they considered to be control deficiencies and material weaknesses. Specifically, Patriot's independent auditors identified material weaknesses relating to: (1) a lack of independent reconciliation regarding the schedule of premiums receivable, and (2) problems regarding the files maintained for reinsurance agreements, making it difficult to determine which agreement was in force and which versions of the various agreements are in force.

Table of Contents

In response, Patriot initiated corrective actions to remediate these control deficiencies and material weaknesses, including the implementation of timely account reconciliations, formal purchasing policies, accurate premium tax accruals, the appropriate segregation of accounting duties, a formal impairment analysis for intangible assets, proper accounting for equity-based compensation in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R) and enhanced reinsurance documentation and risk transfer analysis. Patriot's independent auditors did not identify any material weaknesses during their audit of its 2008 and 2007 financial statements. However, it is possible that Patriot or its independent auditors may identify additional significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in Patriot's internal control over financial reporting in the future. Any failure or difficulties in implementing and maintaining these controls could cause Patriot to fail to meet periodic reporting obligations or result in material misstatements in Patriot's financial statements. The existence of a material weakness could result in errors to our financial statements requiring a restatement of our financial statements, cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations and cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, which could lead to a decline in our stock price.

We plan to evaluate Patriot's internal control systems to allow management to report on, and our independent auditors to assess, our internal controls over financial reporting subsequent to the acquisition. We plan to perform the system and process evaluation and testing, and any necessary remediation, of Patriot's internal control system required to comply with the management certification and auditor attestation requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act subsequent to the acquisition. Complying with these laws and regulations will require the time and attention of our board of directors and management and will increase our expenses. However, because we do not believe there will be sufficient time to complete our system and process evaluation and testing, and any necessary remediation, by December 31, 2009, we plan to comply with the management certification and auditor attestation requirements of Section 303 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act by December 31, 2010. Furthermore, upon completion of this process, we may identify control deficiencies of varying degrees of severity under applicable SEC and Public Company Accounting Oversight Board rules and regulations that remain unremediated. In addition, complying with these laws and regulations will require the time and attention of our Board of Directors and management and will increase our expenses.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or a combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. If we fail to implement the requirements of Section 404 in a timely manner, we might be subject to sanctions or investigation by regulatory agencies such as the SEC. In addition, failure to comply with Section 404 or the report by us of a material weakness may cause investors to lose confidence in our financial statements and the trading price of our common stock may decline. If we fail to remediate any material weakness, our financial statements may be inaccurate, our access to the capital markets may be restricted and the trading price of our common stock may decline.

Due to the concentration of our capital stock ownership with Patriot's founder, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Steven M. Mariano, he may be able to influence stockholder decisions, which may conflict with your interests as a stockholder.

Immediately upon completion of the acquisition, Steven M. Mariano, Patriots founder, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, directly and through trusts that he controls, will beneficially own shares representing between 33% and 39% of the voting power of our common stock. As a result of his ownership position, Mr. Mariano will have the ability to significantly influence matters requiring stockholder approval, including, without limitation, the election or removal of directors, mergers, acquisitions, changes of control of our company and sales of all or substantially all of our assets. Your interests as a stockholder may conflict with his interests, and the trading price of shares of our common stock could be adversely affected.

Provisions in our executive officers' employment agreements and provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws and under the laws of the State of Delaware and the State of Florida could impede an attempt to replace or remove our directors or otherwise effect a change of control of Patriot Risk Management, which could diminish the price of our common stock.

We have entered into employment agreements with our executive officers. These agreements provide for substantial payments upon a change in control. These payments may deter any transaction that would result in a change in control. See Executive Compensation Employment Agreements.

Our charter and bylaws contain provisions that may entrench directors and make it more difficult for stockholders to replace directors even if the stockholders consider it beneficial to do so. In particular, stockholders are required to provide us with advance notice of stockholder nominations and proposals to be brought before any annual meeting of stockholders, which could discourage or deter a third party from conducting a solicitation of proxies to elect its own slate of directors or to introduce a proposal. In addition, our charter eliminates our stockholders' ability to act without a meeting.

Table of Contents

These provisions could delay or prevent a change of control that a stockholder might consider favorable. For example, these provisions may prevent a stockholder from receiving the benefit from any premium over the market price of our common stock offered by a bidder in a potential takeover. Even in the absence of an attempt to effect a change in management or a takeover attempt, these provisions may materially adversely affect the prevailing market price of our common stock if they are viewed as discouraging changes in management and takeover attempts in the future.

Further, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and our amended and restated bylaws provide that the number of directors shall be fixed from time to time by our board of directors, provided that the board shall consist of at least three and no more than thirteen members. Our board of directors is divided into three classes with the number of directors in each class being as nearly equal as possible. Each director serves a three-year term. The classification and term of office for each of our directors is noted in the table listing our directors and executive officers under

Management Directors, Executive Officers and Key Employees. These provisions make it more difficult for stockholders to replace directors, which may materially adversely affect the prevailing market price of our common stock if they are viewed as discouraging changes in management and takeover attempts in the future.

In addition, Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law may limit the ability of an interested stockholder to engage in business combinations with us. An interested stockholder is defined to include persons owning 15% or more of any class of our outstanding voting stock. See Description of Capital Stock Anti-Takeover Effects of Delaware Law and Our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws.

Florida insurance law prohibits any person from acquiring 5% or more of our outstanding voting securities or those of any of our insurance subsidiaries without the prior approval of the Florida OIR. However, a party may acquire less than 10% of our voting securities without prior approval if the party files a disclaimer of affiliation and control. Any person wishing to acquire control of us or of any substantial portion of our outstanding shares would first be required to obtain the approval of the Florida OIR or file such a disclaimer. In addition, any transaction that would constitute a change of control of Guarantee Insurance, including a change of control of Patriot, may require pre-notification in other states in which Guarantee Insurance operates. Obtaining these approvals may result in the material delay of, or may deter, any such transaction.

Inter-Atlantic's or Patriot's officers and directors may purchase additional shares in the open market, which may result in the approval of the acquisition which would not have been approved had such officers and directors not purchased such additional shares.

The ability of our officers and directors and their affiliates to acquire Inter-Atlantic's common stock in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions, vote such acquired shares in favor of the acquisition and effectively reduce the number of shares that other public shareholders may elect to redeem into a pro rata portion of the trust account may allow us to consummate the acquisition that otherwise would not have been approved. In addition, because Inter-Atlantic's officers and directors would have purchased their securities at a lower average cost than the public stockholders, some of our officers and directors could profit from the acquisition even if it would be unprofitable for our other public stockholders.

Inter-Atlantic did not obtain an opinion from an unaffiliated third party as to the fair market value of Patriot or that the price it is paying for the business is fair to its stockholders.

Inter-Atlantic's charter documents do not require Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors to obtain an opinion from an unaffiliated third party that Patriot has a total fair market value in excess of 80% of Inter-Atlantic's net assets (excluding the amount held in the trust account representing a portion of the underwriters' discount) or that the price that Inter-Atlantic is paying for Patriot is fair to the Inter-Atlantic stockholders. The Inter-Atlantic Board of Directors, in valuing Patriot and approving the acquisition, determined based on the information provided or developed during the due diligence process that they had sufficient information and expertise to determine that Patriot's fair market value exceeded 80% of Inter-Atlantic's net assets and that the consideration to be issued in the acquisition is fair to the Inter-Atlantic stockholders. Accordingly, in considering to approve the acquisition, the Inter-Atlantic stockholders will be relying on the determinations made by the Inter-Atlantic Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

Risks to Inter-Atlantic Stockholders

Inter-Atlantic may not be able to consummate the acquisition, or another business combination, within the required time frame, in which case Inter-Atlantic would be forced to liquidate.

Inter-Atlantic must complete a business combination with a fair market value of at least 80% of its net assets at the time of acquisition by October 9, 2009. If Inter-Atlantic fails to consummate the acquisition within the required time frame, it will be forced to liquidate.

If Inter-Atlantic is forced to liquidate before a business combination, its public stockholders are expected to receive approximately \$7.96 per share upon distribution of the trust account, and its warrants will expire worthless.

If Inter-Atlantic is unable to complete the acquisition or another business combination and is forced to liquidate its assets, the per share liquidation value is expected to be approximately \$7.96, which is less than \$8.00 because of the expenses related to its initial public offering, its operating expenses and the costs of performing due diligence for the acquisition, negotiating the stock purchase agreement and otherwise seeking a business combination. Furthermore, Inter-Atlantic's warrants will expire worthless if it liquidates before the completion of a business combination.

There will be a substantial number of shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock available for resale in the future that may be dilutive to Inter-Atlantic's current stockholders and may cause a decrease in the market price of Inter-Atlantic's common stock.

The consideration to be issued in the acquisition to Patriot's stockholders will be comprised of 6,900,000 shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, with the possibility of up to an additional 5,000,000 additional shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock depending on the trading price of Inter-Atlantic's common stock after the closing of the acquisition. None of these shares are initially being registered for resale. The Patriot stockholders who will receive substantially all of the shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock issued in the acquisition have agreed that their shares cannot be sold publicly for a period of 12 months following the closing. In addition, warrants to purchase 10,910,300 shares of common stock issued in connection with Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering and private placement will become exercisable at the closing of the acquisition as described under "Description of Inter-Atlantic's Securities—Warrants" on page 196, unless such warrants are redeemed. All of the common stock of Inter-Atlantic issuable upon exercise of the warrants will be available for resale upon exercise. In addition, 1,875,000 shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock purchased by its initial stockholders prior to the initial public offering will be held in escrow for a period of 12 months following the closing, at which time they will be released from escrow and be eligible for resale in the public market subject to compliance with applicable law, and Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders are entitled to demand that Inter-Atlantic register the resale of their shares of common stock at any time after the date on which their shares are released from escrow. Lastly, if the plan proposal is approved, there will be an additional 3 million shares reserved for future issuance in connection with employee compensation awards which may be resold in the public market pursuant to a registration statement on Form S-8. The presence of this additional number of shares of common stock eligible for trading in the public market may have an adverse effect on the market price of Inter-Atlantic's common stock.

Inter-Atlantic's directors may have certain conflicts in determining to recommend the acquisition proposal since certain of their interests, and certain interests of their affiliates, are different from, or in addition to, your interests as a stockholder.

Members of Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors have interests in the acquisition that are different from, or in addition to, your interests as a stockholder, including the fact that the shares of common stock owned by them, or their affiliates, would become worthless if the acquisition is not approved and Inter-Atlantic otherwise fails to consummate a business combination prior to its liquidation date. Such shares, as of August 14, 2009, had a market value of approximately \$14,812,500. Similarly, the warrants owned by such officers, directors and a stockholder to purchase 2,300,000 shares of common stock would expire worthless. In addition, if the acquisition is approved, it is expected that two of Inter-Atlantic's directors will continue to serve as such, and be compensated in such capacity. Moreover, if the acquisition is not consummated, certain of Inter-Atlantic's directors and officers have agreed in writing to be liable for certain of its potential liabilities in excess of Inter-Atlantic's available cash. You should take these potential conflicts into account when considering the recommendation of Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors to vote in favor of the acquisition proposal.

Table of Contents

If the acquisition's benefits do not meet the expectations of financial or industry analysts, the market price of Inter-Atlantic's common stock may decline.

The market price of Inter-Atlantic's common stock may decline as a result of the acquisition if:

Inter-Atlantic does not achieve the perceived benefits of the acquisition as rapidly as, or to the extent anticipated by, financial or industry analysts; or
the effect of the acquisition on Inter-Atlantic's financial results is not consistent with the expectations of financial or industry analysts.

Accordingly, investors may experience a loss as a result of a decreasing stock price and Inter-Atlantic may not be able to raise future capital, if necessary, in the equity markets.

Inter-Atlantic may issue shares of its common stock and preferred stock to complete a future business combination, which would reduce the equity interest of Inter-Atlantic's stockholders.

Inter-Atlantic's certificate of incorporation authorizes the issuance of up to 49,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$.0001 per share, and 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$.0001 per share. Inter-Atlantic currently has 27,554,400 authorized but unissued shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock available for issuance (after appropriate reservation for the issuance of shares upon full exercise of Inter-Atlantic's outstanding warrants and unit purchase options) and all of the 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock available for issuance. Under the terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement, the stockholders of Patriot are entitled to receive 6,900,000 shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock at the closing of the acquisition, with the possibility of receiving up to a total of 5,000,000 additional shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock depending on the future trading price of Inter-Atlantic's common stock after the closing. Although Inter-Atlantic currently has no other commitments to issue any additional shares of its common or preferred stock, Inter-Atlantic may in the future determine to issue additional shares of its common or preferred stock. The issuance of additional shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock or preferred stock may significantly reduce the equity interest of stockholders and may adversely affect prevailing market prices for Inter-Atlantic's common stock.

If Inter-Atlantic's stockholders exercise their right to convert their shares into cash, Inter-Atlantic's working capital would be reduced and may limit Patriot's growth and operations.

Pursuant to Inter-Atlantic's certificate of incorporation, holders of shares issued in Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering may vote against the merger and demand that Inter-Atlantic convert their shares into a pro rata share of the trust account where a substantial portion of the net proceeds of the initial public offering are held. Inter-Atlantic will not consummate the acquisition of Patriot if holders of more than 2,582,229 shares of common stock issued in Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering exercise these conversion rights. To the extent the acquisition is consummated and holders have demanded to convert their shares, there will be a corresponding reduction in the amount of funds available to Inter-Atlantic and Patriot following the acquisition. As of June 30, 2009, assuming the acquisition proposal is adopted, the maximum amount of funds that could be disbursed to the Inter-Atlantic stockholders upon exercise of their conversion rights is approximately \$20,548,000.

If third parties bring claims against Inter-Atlantic, the proceeds held in trust could be reduced and the per-share liquidation price received by stockholders from the trust account as part of the stockholder-approved plan of dissolution and liquidation will be less than \$7.96 per share.

Inter-Atlantic's placing of funds in trust may not protect those funds from third party claims against Inter-Atlantic. Although Inter-Atlantic is obligated to have all significant vendors, prospective target businesses or other entities with which we execute agreements waive any and all right, title, interest or claim of any kind in or to any monies held in our trust account for the benefit of our public stockholders, there is no guarantee that if they execute such agreements that they would be prevented from bringing claims against our trust account including but not limited to fraudulent inducement, breach of fiduciary responsibility and other similar claims, as well as claims challenging the enforceability of the waiver, in each case in order to gain an advantage with a claim against our assets, including the funds held in our trust account. The determination of which vendors will be deemed significant will be made by our management but will include any investment bankers, legal advisors and accounting firms we hire in connection with a business combination.

Table of Contents

Accordingly, any creditor's claims against the trust account will take priority over the claims of our public stockholders and the per-share liquidation price could be less than the \$7.96 per share held in our trust account. If we are unable to complete a business combination and are forced to dissolve and liquidate, Messrs. Lerner, Daras, Baris, Hammer and Lichten will be personally liable to ensure that the proceeds in our trust account are not reduced by the claims of various vendors, prospective target businesses or other entities that are owed money by us for any reason, including for services rendered or products sold to us, to the extent necessary to ensure that such claims do not reduce the amount in our trust account in order to preserve a \$7.96 per-share liquidation price. We cannot assure you that these directors and executive officers will be able to satisfy those obligations. These indemnifying officers and directors have agreed to indemnify us for any and all claims to the extent necessary to ensure that the proceeds in the trust account are not reduced by the claims of vendors, service providers and prospective target businesses. Additionally, if we are forced to file a bankruptcy case or an involuntary bankruptcy case is filed against us which is not dismissed, the funds held in our trust account will be subject to applicable bankruptcy law, and may be included in our bankruptcy estate and subject to claims of third parties with priority over the claims of our public stockholders. To the extent bankruptcy claims deplete our trust account, we cannot assure you we will be able to return to our public stockholders the liquidation amounts due them.

Our stockholders may be held liable for claims by third parties against us to the extent of distributions received by them.

Our certificate of incorporation provides that we will continue in existence only until October 9, 2009. If we have not completed a business combination by such date and amended this provision in connection therewith, pursuant to the Delaware General Corporation Law, our corporate existence will cease except for the purposes of winding up our affairs and liquidating. Under Sections 280 through 282 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, stockholders may be held liable for claims by third parties against a corporation to the extent of distributions received by them in a dissolution. If the corporation complies with certain procedures intended to ensure that it makes reasonable provision for all claims against it, including a 60-day notice period during which any third-party claims can be brought against the corporation, a 90-day period during which the corporation may reject any claims brought, and an additional 150-day waiting period before any liquidating distributions are made to stockholders, any liability of stockholders with respect to a liquidating distribution is limited to the lesser of such stockholder's pro rata share of the claim or the amount distributed to the stockholder, and any liability of the stockholder would be barred after the third anniversary of the dissolution. However, it is our intention to make liquidating distributions to our stockholders within 10 business days after the 24 month period and, therefore, we do not intend to comply with those procedures. Because we will not be complying with these procedures, we are required, pursuant to Section 281(b) of the Delaware General Corporation Law, to adopt a plan that will provide for our payment, based on facts known to us at such time, of (i) all existing claims, (ii) all pending claims and (iii) all claims that may be potentially brought against us within the subsequent 10 years. However, because we are a blank check company, rather than an operating company, and our operations will be limited to searching for prospective target businesses to acquire, the only likely claims to arise would be from our vendors (such as accountants, lawyers, investment bankers) or potential target businesses. As described above, we intend to have all significant vendors, service providers and prospective target businesses execute agreements with us waiving any and all right, title, interest or claim of any kind in or to any monies held in our trust account. Based on representations made to us by our indemnifying officers and directors, we currently believe that they have substantial means to fund any shortfall in our trust account to satisfy their foreseeable indemnification obligations, but we have not asked them to reserve for such eventuality. The indemnification obligations may be substantially greater than our indemnifying officers and directors currently foresee or expect. Their financial resources may also deteriorate in the future. Hence, we cannot assure you that our officers and directors will be able to satisfy those obligations. In addition, because we will not be complying with Section 280, our public stockholders could potentially be liable for any claims to the extent of distributions received by them in a dissolution and any such liability of our stockholders will likely extend beyond the third anniversary of such dissolution. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that third parties will not seek to recover from our public stockholders amounts owed to them by us.

An effective registration statement may not be in place when an investor desires to exercise warrants, thus precluding such investor from being able to exercise his, her or its warrants and causing such warrants to be

practically worthless.

No warrant will be exercisable and Inter-Atlantic will not be obligated to issue shares of its common stock unless at the time a holder seeks to exercise such warrant, a prospectus relating to the common stock issuable upon exercise of the warrant is current and the common stock has been registered or qualified or deemed to be exempt under the securities laws of the state of residence of the holder of the warrants. Under the terms of the warrant agreement, Inter-Atlantic has agreed to use its best efforts to meet these conditions and to maintain a current prospectus relating to the common stock issuable upon exercise of the warrants until the expiration of the warrants. However, Inter-Atlantic cannot assure you that it will be able to do so, and if Inter-Atlantic does not maintain a current prospectus related to the common stock issuable upon exercise of the warrants, holders will be unable to exercise their warrants and Inter-Atlantic will not be required to settle any such warrant exercise. If the prospectus relating to the common stock issuable upon the exercise of the warrants is not current or if the common stock is not qualified or exempt from qualification in the jurisdictions in which the holders of the warrants reside, the warrants may have no value, the market for the warrants may be limited and the warrants may expire worthless.

Table of Contents

Inter-Atlantic's securities may be delisted from the NYSE Amex which could limit investors' ability to effect transactions in Inter-Atlantic's securities and subject Inter-Atlantic to additional trading restrictions.

Inter-Atlantic's securities are listed on the NYSE Amex, a national securities exchange, or the Exchange. On February 10, 2009, Inter-Atlantic received notice from the staff of the Exchange that it is not considered to be in compliance with Section 704 of the Exchange's Company Guide in that it did not hold an annual meeting of its stockholders during 2008. In order to maintain the listing of its common stock on the Exchange, Inter-Atlantic was required to submit a plan by March 10, 2009, advising the Exchange of the actions it had taken, or will take, that will bring it into compliance by August 11, 2009. Inter-Atlantic submitted a plan to the Exchange on March 10, 2009. On May 4, 2009, Inter-Atlantic received a notice from the Exchange that its plan of compliance was accepted. Accordingly, Inter-Atlantic was then able to continue its listing during the time up to August 11, 2009, the compliance deadline set by the Exchange, but during that time it will be subject to periodic review by the Exchange to determine whether it is making progress consistent with the plan. Subsequently, the Exchange has advised Inter-Atlantic that it has until October 9, 2009 to hold an annual meeting; however, the Exchange has advised Inter-Atlantic that it will need to apply for continued listing of the combined Inter-Atlantic/Patriot entity. It is possible that Inter-Atlantic will not satisfy the necessary continued listing requirements, including the requirement to have 400 shareholders. If Inter-Atlantic does not satisfy the continuing listing standards at closing, the Exchange may move to delist Inter-Atlantic's securities; provided, that Inter-Atlantic will have at least a 45 day period following the closing of the proposed business combination to become compliant with the Exchange's continuing listing standards.

The exercise of discretion by Inter-Atlantic's directors and officers in agreeing to changes to the terms of or waivers of closing conditions in the Stock Purchase Agreement may result in a conflict of interest when determining whether such changes to the terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement or waivers of conditions are appropriate and in Inter-Atlantic's securityholders' best interest.

In the period leading up to the closing of the Acquisition, events may occur that, pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement, would require Inter-Atlantic to agree to amend the Stock Purchase Agreement, to consent to certain actions taken by Patriot or to waive rights that Inter-Atlantic is entitled to under the Stock Purchase Agreement. Such events could arise because of changes in the course of Patriot's business, a request by Patriot to undertake actions that would otherwise be prohibited by the terms of the Purchase Agreement or the occurrence of other events that would have a material adverse effect on Patriot's business and would entitle Inter-Atlantic to terminate the Stock Purchase Agreement. In any of such circumstances, it would be in the discretion of Inter-Atlantic, acting through its board of directors, to grant its consent or waive its rights. The existence of the financial and personal interests of the directors described elsewhere in this proxy statement may result in a conflict of interest on the part of one or more of the directors between what he may believe is best for Inter-Atlantic and its securityholders and what he may believe is best for himself in determining whether or not to take the requested action. As of the date of this proxy statement, Inter-Atlantic does not believe there will be any changes or waivers that its directors and officers would be likely to make after stockholder approval of the Acquisition has been obtained. While certain changes could be made without further stockholder approval, if there is a change to the terms of the transaction that would have a material impact on the stockholders or warrant holders, Inter-Atlantic will be required to circulate a new or amended proxy statement or supplement thereto and resolicit the vote of its stockholders with respect to the Acquisition Proposal.

If the Acquisition is completed, a large portion of the funds in the trust account established by Inter-Atlantic in connection with its IPO for the benefit of public stockholders may be used for the purchase, directly or indirectly, of common shares held by public stockholders and redemption of all outstanding warrants. As a consequence, if the Acquisition is completed, such funds will not be available to the Company for working capital and general corporate purposes.

After the payment of expenses associated with the Acquisition, including deferred underwriting commissions, the balance of funds in Inter-Atlantic's trust account will be available to the Company for working capital and general corporate purposes. However, funds in the trust account may be used to acquire IPO shares, either from holders thereof who vote against the Acquisition Proposal and elect to convert their IPO shares into cash or from holders thereof who have indicated their intention to vote against the Acquisition Proposal but sell their shares to Inter-Atlantic, Patriot or their affiliates so that such IPO shares will be voted in favor of the Acquisition Proposal. In

addition, if the Warrant Redemption Proposal is approved, the warrants will be redeemed at a price of \$0.50 per warrant (for an aggregate amount of \$5,455,150 assuming that all warrants are redeemed pursuant to the Warrant Redemption). As a result, the amount of funds from Inter-Atlantic's trust account that will be released to the Company following the Acquisition and the Warrant Redemption for working capital and general corporate purposes will be diminished.

Table of Contents

Risks if the Adjournment Proposal is not Approved

If the adjournment proposal is not approved, and an insufficient number of votes have been obtained to approve the acquisition proposal, the charter amendment proposal, the director proposal and the plan proposal, Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors will not have the ability to adjourn the special meeting to a later date in order to solicit further votes, and, therefore, the acquisition proposal, the charter amendment proposal, the director proposal and the plan proposal will not be approved.

Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors is seeking approval to adjourn the special meeting to a later date if, at the special meeting, there are insufficient votes to approve the acquisition proposal, the charter amendment proposal and director proposal. If the adjournment proposal is not approved, Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors will not have the ability to adjourn the special meeting to a later date and, therefore, will not have more time to solicit votes to approve the acquisition proposal, director proposal and plan proposal. In such case, the acquisition proposal, the charter amendment proposal, director proposal and plan proposal will not be approved. Since approval of the acquisition by Inter-Atlantic's stockholders is a condition to completion of the acquisition, the acquisition would not be completed.

Table of Contents

THE INTER-ATLANTIC SPECIAL MEETING OF WARRANTHOLDERS

Inter-Atlantic Special Meeting of Warrantholders

We are furnishing this document to you as part of the solicitation of proxies by Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors for use at the special meeting of warrantholders called to consider and vote upon the warrant redemption proposal and the warrant adjournment proposal. This document provides you with the information you need to know to be able to vote or instruct your vote to be cast at the special meeting.

Date, Time and Place

We will hold the special meeting at 10:00 a.m., eastern time, on __, 2009, at the offices of DLA Piper LLP (US), 1251 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York, to vote on the adoption of the warrant redemption proposal and the warrant adjournment proposal.

Purpose of the Special Meeting

At the special meeting, we are asking holders of Inter-Atlantic common stock to:

- adopt the warrant redemption proposal; and
- adopt the warrant adjournment proposal.

Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors:

- unanimously recommends that Inter-Atlantic common stockholders vote **FOR** the warrant redemption proposal; and
- unanimously recommends that Inter-Atlantic common stockholders vote **FOR** the warrant adjournment proposal.

Adoption by Inter-Atlantic stockholders of the acquisition proposal is conditioned on the adoption of the warrant redemption proposal but is not conditioned on the adoption of the adjournment proposal.

Record Date; Who is Entitled to Vote

The record date for the special meeting is ____, 2009. Record holders of Inter-Atlantic warrants at the close of business on the record date are entitled to vote or have their votes cast at the special meeting. On the record date, there were 10,910,300 outstanding Inter-Atlantic warrants.

Each Inter-Atlantic warrant is entitled to one vote for each share of common stock underlying the warrants you owned at the close of business on the record date.

The initial stockholders intend to vote all of the Inter-Atlantic warrants **FOR** the warrant redemption proposal and the warrant adjournment proposal.

Voting Your Warrants

Each Inter-Atlantic warrant that you own in your name entitles you to one vote for each share of common stock underlying the warrants. Your proxy card shows the number of Inter-Atlantic warrants that you own.

Street name holders should follow the instructions provided to them from their broker, bank or other nominee as to how to execute their vote.

There are two ways to vote your Inter-Atlantic warrants at the special meeting:

- You can vote by signing and returning the enclosed proxy card. If you vote by proxy card, your proxy, whose name is listed on the proxy card, will vote your warrants as you instruct on the proxy card. If you sign and return the proxy card but do not give instructions on how to vote your warrants, your warrants will be voted as recommended by Inter-Atlantic's Board **FOR** the adoption of the warrant redemption proposal and the warrant adjournment proposal.

Table of Contents

You can attend the special meeting and vote in person. We will give you a ballot when you arrive. However, if your warrants are held in the name of your broker, bank or another nominee, you must get a legal proxy from the broker, bank or other nominee. That is the only way we can be sure that the broker, bank or nominee has not already voted your warrants

IF YOU DO NOT VOTE YOUR INTER-ATLANTIC WARRANTS IN ANY OF THE WAYS DESCRIBED ABOVE, IT WILL HAVE THE SAME EFFECT AS A VOTE AGAINST THE ADOPTION OF THE WARRANT REDEMPTION PROPOSAL.

Outstanding Warrants

The closing price as reported by NYSE Amex of Inter-Atlantic's warrants on [____], 2009 (the record date for the Inter-Atlantic Special Meeting of Warrantholders) was \$[____]. Prior to voting on the Warrant Redemption Proposal, warrant holders should verify the market price of Inter-Atlantic's warrants as they may receive higher proceeds from the sale of their warrants in the public market than from the redemption of the warrants pursuant to the Warrant Redemption if the market price per warrant is higher than the redemption price of \$0.50 per warrant. Inter-Atlantic cannot assure its warrant holders that they will be able to sell their warrants in the open market, even if the market price per warrant is higher than the redemption price stated above, as there may not be sufficient liquidity in the warrants when warrant holders wish to sell their warrants.

Upon redemption of the warrants, warrant holders will be exchanging warrants for cash and will no longer own those warrants. Warrantholders will be entitled to receive cash for these warrants only if you deliver your warrant certificate (either physically or electronically) to the Company's transfer agent in accordance with the procedures outlined in the section The Warrant Redemption Proposal.

Who Can Answer Your Questions About Voting Your Warrants

If you have questions, you may write, e-mail or call Morrow & Co., LLC, 470 West Avenue, Stamford, CT 06902; email: Inter-Atlantic.info@morrowco.com. Stockholders, banks and brokerage firms, please call 800-607-0088.

No Additional Matters May Be Presented at the Special Meeting

This special meeting has been called only to consider the adoption of the warrant redemption proposal and the adjournment proposal. Under Inter-Atlantic's by-laws, other than procedural matters incident to the conduct of the meeting, no other matters may be considered at the special meeting, if they are not included in the notice of the meeting.

In addition, representatives of Inter-Atlantic's accountants are not expected to be present at the special meeting and accordingly will not make any statement or be available to respond to any questions.

Revoking Your Proxy

If you give a proxy, you may revoke it at any time before it is exercised by doing any one of the following:

- You may send another proxy card with a later date;
- You may notify Andrew Lerner, Inter-Atlantic's Chief Executive Officer, in writing before the special meeting that you have revoked your proxy; and
- You may attend the special meeting, revoke your proxy, and vote in person.

Vote Required to Adopt the Warrant Redemption Proposal

Approval of the warrant redemption proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the Inter-Atlantic warrants outstanding as of the record date. Adoption of the acquisition proposal is conditioned upon the adoption of the warrant redemption proposal but is not conditioned on the adoption of the warrant adjournment proposal.

Table of Contents

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders intend to vote their Inter-Atlantic warrants, representing an aggregate of approximately 21% of the outstanding Inter-Atlantic warrants, FOR the warrant redemption proposal.

Vote Required to Adopt the Warrant Adjournment Proposal

Approval of the warrant holder adjournment proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the Inter-Atlantic warrants represented in person or by proxy at the special meeting of warrant holders and entitled to vote thereon as of the record date. Adoption of the warrant adjournment proposal is not conditioned upon the adoption of the warrant redemption proposal.

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders have agreed to vote their Inter-Atlantic warrants, representing an aggregate of approximately 21% of the outstanding Inter-Atlantic warrants, FOR the adoption of the warrant adjournment proposal. Abstention

If you abstain from voting or do not vote, either in person or by proxy or by voting instruction, it will have the same effect as a vote against the adoption of the warrant redemption proposal.

Broker Non-Votes

If your broker holds your shares in its name and you do not give the broker voting instructions, under the rules of the NASD, your broker may not vote your shares on the warrant redemption proposal and warrant the adjournment proposal. If you do not give your broker voting instructions and the broker does not vote your shares, this is referred to as a broker non-vote. Abstentions or broker non-votes have the same effect as a vote against the warrant redemption proposal.

Solicitation Costs

Inter-Atlantic will bear all expenses incurred in connection with the solicitation of proxies. Inter-Atlantic will, upon request, reimburse brokerage firms and other nominee holders for their reasonable expenses incurred in forwarding the proxy solicitation materials to the beneficial owners of our shares. Our officers and directors may solicit proxies by mail, personal contact, letter, telephone, telegram, facsimile or other electronic means. They will not receive any additional compensation for those activities, but they may be reimbursed for their out-of-pocket expenses. In addition, we have hired Morrow & Co., LLC to solicit proxies on our behalf. The cost of soliciting proxies on our behalf will be approximately \$40,000 plus expenses.

Table of Contents

THE INTER-ATLANTIC SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Inter-Atlantic Special Meeting of Stockholders

We are furnishing this document to you as part of the solicitation of proxies by Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors for use at the special meeting of Stockholders called to consider and vote upon the acquisition proposal, the charter amendment proposal, the director proposal, the plan proposal and the adjournment proposal. This document provides you with the information you need to know to be able to vote or instruct your vote to be cast at the special meeting.

Date, Time and Place

We will hold the special meeting at 10:30 a.m., eastern time, on ___, 2009, at the offices of DLA Piper LLP (US), 1251 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York, to vote on the adoption of the acquisition proposal, the charter amendment proposal, the director proposal, the plan proposal and the adjournment proposal.

Purpose of the Special Meeting

At the special meeting, we are asking holders of Inter-Atlantic common stock to:

- adopt the acquisition proposal;
- adopt the charter amendment proposal;
- adopt the director proposal;
- adopt the plan proposal; and
- adopt the adjournment proposal.

Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors:

- unanimously recommends that Inter-Atlantic common stockholders vote FOR the acquisition proposal;
- unanimously recommends that Inter-Atlantic common stockholders vote FOR the charter amendment proposal;
- unanimously recommends that Inter-Atlantic common stockholders vote FOR Director Proposal A;
- unanimously recommends that Inter-Atlantic common stockholders vote FOR the plan proposal; and
- unanimously recommends that Inter-Atlantic common stockholders vote FOR the adjournment proposal.

Adoption by Inter-Atlantic stockholders of the acquisition proposal is conditioned on the adoption of the charter amendment proposal but is not conditioned on the adoption of the plan proposal, the director proposal, the charter amendment proposal or the adjournment proposal. However, the adoption of the charter amendment proposal, the director proposal and the plan proposal is conditioned upon the adoption of the acquisition proposal.

Record Date; Who is Entitled to Vote

The record date for the special meeting is ____, 2009. Record holders of Inter-Atlantic common stock at the close of business on the record date are entitled to vote or have their votes cast at the special meeting. On the record date, there were 10,485,300 outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock.

Each share of Inter-Atlantic common stock is entitled to one vote at the special meeting.

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders have agreed, with respect to the acquisition proposal, to vote their 1,875,000 shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock acquired prior to Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering, representing an aggregate of approximately 17.9% of the outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, in accordance with the vote of the majority of the shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock issued in its initial public offering. The initial stockholders intend to vote all of their shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock FOR the charter amendment proposal, the director proposal, the plan proposal and the adjournment proposal.

Table of Contents

Inter-Atlantic's issued and outstanding warrants do not have voting rights and record holders of Inter-Atlantic warrants will not be entitled to vote at the special meeting.

Voting Your Shares

Each share of Inter-Atlantic common stock that you own in your name entitles you to one vote. Your proxy card shows the number of shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock that you own.

Street name holders should follow the instructions provided to them from their broker, bank or other nominee as to how to execute their vote.

There are two ways to vote your shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock at the special meeting:

You can vote by signing and returning the enclosed proxy card. If you vote by proxy card, your proxy, whose name is listed on the proxy card, will vote your shares as you instruct on the proxy card. If you sign and return the proxy card but do not give instructions on how to vote your shares, your shares will be voted as recommended by Inter-Atlantic's Board FOR the adoption of the acquisition proposal, the charter amendment proposal, the plan proposal, the director proposal and the adjournment proposal.

You can attend the special meeting and vote in person. We will give you a ballot when you arrive.

However, if your shares are held in the name of your broker, bank or another nominee, you must get a legal proxy from the broker, bank or other nominee. That is the only way we can be sure that the broker, bank or nominee has not already voted your shares.

IF YOU DO NOT VOTE YOUR SHARES OF INTER-ATLANTIC COMMON STOCK IN ANY OF THE WAYS DESCRIBED ABOVE, IT WILL HAVE THE SAME EFFECT AS A VOTE AGAINST THE ADOPTION OF THE ACQUISITION PROPOSAL, THE CHARTER AMENDMENT PROPOSAL AND THE DIRECTOR PROPOSAL BUT WILL NOT HAVE THE EFFECT OF A DEMAND FOR CONVERSION OF YOUR SHARES INTO A PRO RATA SHARE OF THE TRUST ACCOUNT IN WHICH A SUBSTANTIAL PORTION OF THE PROCEEDS OF INTER-ATLANTIC'S INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERING ARE HELD. TO EXERCISE YOUR CONVERSION RIGHTS, YOU MUST AFFIRMATIVELY ELECT TO CONVERT YOUR SHARES BY CHECKING THE APPROPRIATE BOX, OR DIRECTING YOUR BROKER TO CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX, ON THE PROXY CARD AND ENSURE THAT THE PROXY CARD IS DELIVERED PRIOR TO THE INTER-ATLANTIC SPECIAL MEETING AND DELIVER YOUR SHARES OF INTER-ATLANTIC COMMON STOCK BY [___].

Who Can Answer Your Questions About Voting Your Shares

If you have questions, you may write, e-mail or call Morrow & Co., LLC, 470 West Avenue, Stamford, CT 06902; email: Inter-Atlantic.info@morrowco.com. Stockholders, banks and brokerage firms, please call 800-607-0088.

No Additional Matters May Be Presented at the Special Meeting

This special meeting has been called only to consider the adoption of the acquisition proposal, the charter amendment proposal, the director proposal, the plan proposal and the adjournment proposal. Under Inter-Atlantic's by-laws, other than procedural matters incident to the conduct of the meeting, no other matters may be considered at the special meeting, if they are not included in the notice of the meeting.

In addition, representatives of Inter-Atlantic's accountants are not expected to be present at the special meeting and accordingly will not make any statement or be available to respond to any questions.

Revoking Your Proxy

If you give a proxy, you may revoke it at any time before it is exercised by doing any one of the following:

You may send another proxy card with a later date;

You may notify Andrew Lerner, Inter-Atlantic's Chief Executive Officer, in writing before the special meeting that you have revoked your proxy; and

You may attend the special meeting, revoke your proxy, and vote in person.

Table of Contents

Vote Required to Adopt the Acquisition Proposal

The affirmative vote of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock is required to adopt the acquisition proposal. Adoption of the acquisition proposal also requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock issued in its initial public offering, which we sometimes refer to as the IPO shares. Adoption of the acquisition proposal is not conditioned upon the adoption of the director proposal, the plan proposal or adjournment proposal. However, if the holders of more than 2,582,229 IPO shares, or 29.99% of the total number of IPO shares, demand conversion of their shares into their pro rata portion of the trust account, then Inter-Atlantic will not consummate the acquisition under the terms of Inter-Atlantic's certificate of incorporation. See **Conversion Rights** below.

At the close of business on August 14, 2009, there were 10,485,300 shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock outstanding, 8,610,300 of which were issued in Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering.

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders have agreed to vote their 1,875,000 shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock acquired prior to Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering, representing an aggregate of approximately 17.9% of the outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, in accordance with the vote of the majority of the shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock issued in its initial public offering.

Conversion Rights

As provided in Inter-Atlantic's certificate of incorporation, holders of IPO shares may, if the stockholder votes against the acquisition, demand that Inter-Atlantic convert their shares into cash. This demand must be made on the proxy card at the same time that the stockholder votes against the acquisition proposal. If so demanded, upon consummation of the acquisition, Inter-Atlantic will convert each share of common stock into a pro rata portion of the trust account in which \$68,515,928 of the net proceeds of Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering are held. Based on the amount of cash held in the trust account at June 24, 2009, you will be entitled to convert each share of common stock that you hold into approximately \$7.96. If you exercise your conversion rights, then you will be exchanging your shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock for cash and will no longer own these shares. You will only be entitled to receive cash for these shares if you continue to hold these shares through the closing date of the acquisition and then tender your stock certificate to Inter-Atlantic by [_____]. If the acquisition is not completed, then these shares will not be converted into cash.

The acquisition will not be consummated if the holders of more than 2,582,229 IPO shares, or 29.99% of the total number of IPO shares, exercise their conversion rights.

Prior to exercising conversion rights, Inter-Atlantic stockholders should verify the market price of Inter-Atlantic's common stock as they may receive higher proceeds from the sale of their common stock in the public market than from exercising their conversion rights. Inter-Atlantic's shares of common stock are listed on the NYSE Amex under the symbol IAN.

Vote Required to Adopt the Charter Amendment Proposal

Adoption of the charter amendment proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock. Adoption of the charter amendment proposal is conditioned upon the adoption of the acquisition proposal but is not conditioned on adoption of the director proposal, the plan proposal or the adjournment proposal.

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders intend to vote their shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, representing an aggregate of approximately 17.9% of the outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, **FOR** the charter amendment proposal.

Vote Required to Adopt the Director Proposal

Adoption of the director proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock. Adoption of the director proposal is conditioned upon the adoption of the acquisition proposal and the charter amendment proposal but is not conditioned on adoption of the plan proposal or the adjournment proposal.

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders intend to vote their shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, representing an aggregate of approximately 18.0% of the outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, **FOR** Director Proposal A.

Table of Contents

Vote Required to Adopt the Plan Proposal

Adoption of the plan proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock represented in person or by proxy at the meeting. Adoption of the plan proposal is conditioned upon the adoption of the acquisition proposal and the charter amendment proposal but is not conditioned on adoption of the director proposal or the adjournment proposal.

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders intend to vote their shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, representing an aggregate of approximately 18.0% of the outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, **FOR** the plan proposal.

Vote Required to Adopt the Adjournment Proposal

Adoption of the adjournment proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock represented in person or by proxy at the meeting. Adoption of the adjournment proposal is not conditioned upon the adoption of the acquisition proposal, the charter amendment proposal, the director proposal or the plan proposal.

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders have agreed to vote their shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, representing an aggregate of approximately 18.0% of the outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, **FOR** the adoption of the adjournment proposal.

Abstention

If you abstain from voting or do not vote, either in person or by proxy or by voting instruction, it will have the same effect as a vote against the adoption of the acquisition proposal, the charter amendment proposal and the director proposal, but not as a demand of conversion of your shares into a pro rata portion of the trust account in which the proceeds of Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering are held. To exercise your conversion rights, you must affirmatively elect to convert your shares by checking the appropriate box, or directing your broker to check the appropriate box, on the proxy card and ensure that the proxy card is delivered prior to the Inter-Atlantic special meeting.

Broker Non-Votes

If your broker holds your shares in its name and you do not give the broker voting instructions, under the rules of the NASD, your broker may not vote your shares on the acquisition proposal, the charter amendment proposal, the director proposal, the plan proposal or the adjournment proposal. If you do not give your broker voting instructions and the broker does not vote your shares, this is referred to as a broker non-vote. Abstentions or broker non-votes have the same effect as a vote against the acquisition proposal, the charter amendment proposal and the director proposal, but will not have the effect of electing to exercise your conversion rights. To exercise your conversion rights, you must affirmatively elect to convert your shares by checking the appropriate box, or directing your broker to check the appropriate box, on the proxy card and ensure that the proxy card is delivered prior to the Inter-Atlantic special meeting and deliver your shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock by [_____].

Solicitation Costs

Inter-Atlantic will bear all expenses incurred in connection with the solicitation of proxies. Inter-Atlantic will, upon request, reimburse brokerage firms and other nominee holders for their reasonable expenses incurred in forwarding the proxy solicitation materials to the beneficial owners of our shares. Our officers and directors may solicit proxies by mail, personal contact, letter, telephone, telegram, facsimile or other electronic means. They will not receive any additional compensation for those activities, but they may be reimbursed for their out-of-pocket-expenses. In addition, we have hired Morrow & Co., LLC to solicit proxies on our behalf. The cost of soliciting proxies on our behalf will be approximately \$40,000 plus costs and expenses.

Table of Contents

Stock Ownership

At the close of business on the record date, Andrew S. Lerner, Stephen B. Galasso, D. James Daras, Brett G. Baris, Robert M. Lichten, Frederick S. Hammer, Samuel J. Weinhoff, Michael P. Esposito Jr., P. Carter Rise and Matthew Vertin, together with their affiliates, beneficially owned 1,882,200 shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, or approximately 18.0% of the outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock. Such number does not include 2,300,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants held by those persons and their affiliates. These 1,882,200 shares have a market value of approximately \$14,869,380 based on Inter-Atlantic's common stock price of \$7.90 per share as of August 14, 2009. Those persons have agreed, with respect to the acquisition proposal, to vote their shares of common stock acquired by them prior to the initial public offering in accordance with the vote of the majority of the shares issued in connection with Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering. For more information on beneficial ownership of Inter-Atlantic's common stock by executive officers, directors and 5% stockholders, see page 188.

Approval of the warrant redemption proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the Inter-Atlantic warrants outstanding as of the record date. Adoption of the acquisition proposal is conditioned upon the adoption of the warrant redemption proposal but is not conditioned on the adoption of the warrant adjournment proposal.

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders intend to vote their Inter-Atlantic warrants, representing an aggregate of approximately 21% of the outstanding Inter-Atlantic warrants, FOR the warrant redemption proposal.

Table of Contents

THE WARRANT REDEMPTION PROPOSAL

General Description of the Warrant Redemption Proposal

The warrant redemption proposal is to amend the terms of the warrant agreement governing the Inter-Atlantic warrants exercisable for shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock in order to require the automatic redemption of all of the outstanding Inter-Atlantic warrants, including those held by Inter-Atlantic's sponsors, at a price of \$0.50 per warrant upon the consummation of the business combination proposal.

In the event the warrant redemption proposal is not approved, the acquisition is not consummated and Inter-Atlantic does not consummate a business combination by October 9, 2009, Inter-Atlantic will be required to liquidate and the warrants will expire worthless.

Inter-Atlantic believes the warrant redemption will provide benefits to Inter-Atlantic and its warrantholders, including the following:

Redemption of the warrants is a condition to the closing of the acquisition;

Inter-Atlantic believes that the warrant redemption is an important step in the consummation of the acquisition because the elimination of the warrants from Inter-Atlantic's capital structure following the consummation of the acquisition will increase Inter-Atlantic's strategic opportunities and attractiveness to future investors; and

The closing price of Inter-Atlantic's warrants on August 14, 2009, 2009 was \$0.17. The redemption price of \$0.50 is a significant premium to the current market price for the warrants. Inter-Atlantic's board of directors believes the redemption price is fair to Inter-Atlantic's warrantholders.

Inter-Atlantic has 10,910,300 warrants outstanding and 255 warrantholders.

Warrantholders should note that they will recognize gain or loss for federal income tax purposes upon consummation of the acquisition if the warrant redemption is approved and consummated. For a discussion of the tax consequences of the Acquisition for warrantholders, please see the section *Proposals to be Considered by Inter-Atlantic Stockholders The Acquisition Proposal The Purchase Agreement Material Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Acquisition to Inter-Atlantic's Securityholders United States Federal Income Tax Considerations Tax Consequences of the Acquisition.*

Certain Effects of the Approval of the Warrant Redemption Proposal

Approximately \$5,455,150 will be required to purchase warrants in the warrant redemption and approximately \$[5,000] will be required to pay related fees and expenses. The warrant redemption will be funded from the working capital of Inter-Atlantic following the consummation of the acquisition, which will include the funds released from Inter-Atlantic's trust account following the consummation of the acquisition.

The consummation of the warrant redemption will result in the warrants becoming eligible for termination of registration under the Exchange Act.

The Inter-Atlantic units will also cease to be outstanding and will no longer be listed on the NYSE Amex following the acquisition as a result of the redemption and cancellation of the warrants.

Procedure for Redeeming Warrants

THE RIGHTS OF THE COMPANY'S WARRANTHOLDERS UNDER THE WARRANTS AND WARRANT AGREEMENT WILL TERMINATE IMMEDIATELY UPON CONSUMMATION OF THE WARRANT REDEMPTION WHICH WILL OCCUR CONTEMPORANEOUSLY WITH THE BUSINESS COMBINATION. AT SUCH TIME, HOLDERS OF THE COMPANY'S WARRANTS WILL HAVE NO RIGHTS EXCEPT TO RECEIVE, UPON SURRENDER OF THE WARRANTS, THE REDEMPTION PRICE OF \$0.50 PER WARRANT. The redemption price is substantially less than the market price of the shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock issuable upon exercise of the Inter-Atlantic warrants but the redemption price is substantially more than the price that could be obtained upon the sale of Inter-Atlantic warrants in the open market. See *Price Range of Securities and Dividends* herein for information on the historical market prices for the warrants and common stock on the NYSE Amex.

Table of Contents

Payment of the amount to be received on redemption will be made by the Warrant Agent upon the presentation and surrender of the warrants for payment at any time on or after the date on which the commencement of the Warrant Redemption is announced. As soon as reasonably practicable after the consummation of the Warrant Redemption, the Warrant Agent will, upon receipt of any documents as may be reasonably required by the Warrant Agent, deliver electronically through DTC to the record holders of Inter-Atlantic's warrants \$0.50 per warrant redeemed for further distribution and credit to the account of the beneficial holders of such warrant. To physically surrender warrants for redemption, holders should deliver certificates representing their warrants to American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, the Warrant Agent, at the following address:

American Stock Transfer & Trust Company
59 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038

Consequences if Warrant Redemption Proposal is Not Approved

If the warrant redemption proposal is not approved by a majority of the Inter-Atlantic warrants outstanding, Inter-Atlantic will not be able to consummate the acquisition proposal.

Required Vote

Approval of the warrant redemption proposal requires the affirmative vote a majority of the Inter-Atlantic warrants outstanding of the record date. Adoption of the acquisition proposal is conditioned upon the adoption of the warrant redemption proposal but is not conditioned on the adoption of the warrant adjournment proposal.

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders intend to vote their Inter-Atlantic warrants, representing an aggregate of approximately 21% of the outstanding Inter-Atlantic warrants, FOR the warrant redemption proposal.

Recommendation

After careful consideration, Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors has determined unanimously that the warrant redemption proposal is in the best interest of Inter-Atlantic and its warrant holders. Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors has approved and declared advisable the warrant redemption proposal.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT THE WARRANTHOLDERS VOTE
FOR THE WARRANT REDEMPTION PROPOSAL.

Table of Contents

THE WARRANT ADJOURNMENT PROPOSAL

General Description of the Warrant Adjournment Proposal

The warrant adjournment proposal allows Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors to adjourn the special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary, to permit further solicitation of proxies in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the warrant redemption proposal.

Consequences if Warrant Adjournment Proposal is Not Approved

If the warrant adjournment proposal is not approved by a majority of the Inter-Atlantic warrants outstanding, Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors may not be able to adjourn the special meeting to a later date in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the warrant redemption proposal.

Required Vote

Approval of the warrant holder adjournment proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the Inter-Atlantic warrants represented in person or by proxy at the special meeting of warrant holders and entitled to vote thereon as of the record date. Adoption of the warrant adjournment proposal is not conditioned upon the adoption of the warrant redemption proposal.

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders have agreed to vote their Inter-Atlantic warrants, representing an aggregate of approximately 21% of the outstanding warrants of Inter-Atlantic common stock, FOR the adoption of the warrant adjournment proposal.

Recommendation

After careful consideration, Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors has determined unanimously that the warrant adjournment proposal is in the best interest of Inter-Atlantic and its warrant holders. Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors has approved and declared advisable the warrant adjournment proposal.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT THE WARRANTHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE WARRANT ADJOURNMENT PROPOSAL.

Table of Contents

THE ACQUISITION PROPOSAL

The discussion in this document of the acquisition summarizes the principal terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 23, 2009, by and among Inter-Atlantic, Patriot and the stockholders of Patriot signatory to the Stock Purchase Agreement. A copy of the Stock Purchase Agreement is attached as Annex A to this document and is incorporated in this document by reference.

General Description of the Acquisition

The acquisition involves the purchase all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of Patriot by Inter-Atlantic.

Background of the Acquisition

The terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement are the result of arm's-length negotiations between representatives of Inter-Atlantic and Patriot. The following is a brief discussion of the background of these negotiations, the acquisition and related transactions.

Following its initial public offering, Inter-Atlantic contacted numerous investment banks, private equity firms and financial services executives in an effort to identify a suitable target company for an acquisition. Inter-Atlantic also reviewed lists of privately-held financial services companies generated from databases including CapitalIQ. From and after October 2007, Inter-Atlantic reviewed and considered, at various levels of evaluation, more than one hundred prospective target businesses. Inter-Atlantic submitted preliminary indication of interest letters to approximately five companies, none of which advanced to the letter of intent stage except Patriot and a second company described herein. In February 2009, Inter-Atlantic entered into a non-binding letter of intent with a prospective target company, which included a summary of terms including specified levels of merger consideration. Subsequent to entering into the non-binding letter of intent, Inter-Atlantic completed substantial due diligence on this prospective target company. As a result of its due diligence investigation, Inter-Atlantic chose not to proceed further with this transaction, primarily due to the prospective target company's uncertain ability to refinance its maturing debt. The potential of a transaction with Patriot was also a factor in Inter-Atlantic's decision not to proceed further. The proposed transaction with this company was a discussion agenda item for the Inter-Atlantic Board of Directors but no vote was held on this proposed transaction. Although many potential target companies were discussed with the Inter-Atlantic Board of Directors, no proposals were submitted to the Inter-Atlantic Board of Directors for formal consideration and approval other than with respect to the proposed acquisition of Patriot.

In December 2007, Mr. Andrew Lerner, our Chief Executive Officer and Director, and Mr. Eric Solash an investment banker acquainted with Mr. Lerner, had a chance meeting in New York City. They had been acquainted several years earlier when Mr. Solash worked as an executive at a privately-held life insurance company. Mr. Solash indicated he had recently joined Freeman & Co. in an investment banking capacity. Mr. Solash invited Mr. Lerner to meet with him and his colleagues at Freeman & Co. In January 2008, Mr. Lerner met with Mr. Solash and his colleagues at Freeman & Co. At that meeting, Mr. Lerner introduced Inter-Atlantic, explained that Inter-Atlantic was seeking to complete a business combination, and outlined its criteria for a potential target company. The criteria for a potential target company included Inter-Atlantic's exclusive focus on the financial services industry, its minimum size requirement of 80% of Inter-Atlantic's net assets, its preference for a transaction that minimized cash consideration and its preference for a target company that had an intact management team capable of managing a publicly-traded company. Throughout 2008, Mr. Solash was one of many investment bankers that Mr. Lerner periodically contacted to inquire about suitable prospective target companies.

In December 2008, Mr. Solash contacted Mr. Lerner, indicating that he was aware of a potential target company that may be of interest to Inter-Atlantic. Mr. Solash did not disclose the name of the company at that time. On January 5, 2009, Mr. Solash sent an email to Mr. Lerner with information about Patriot, specifically that it was a company operating in the property and casualty insurance industry that had filed for an initial public offering in 2008, but did not disclose the name of the company. Mr. Lerner indicated to Mr. Solash that Inter-Atlantic would be interested in learning more about the company. Shortly thereafter Mr. Solash identified the company as Patriot. Freeman & Co. was hired as Patriot's financial advisor on February 25, 2009. Mr. Solash did not receive any compensation from Inter-Atlantic for identifying Patriot as a viable candidate for a business combination.

Inter-Atlantic spent much of January and February reviewing publicly available information on Patriot, including Patriot's 2008 Form S-1 filing and the documents filed as exhibits to the Form S-1. During this time period

Inter-Atlantic was also in discussions with the other prospective target company discussed above. One meeting between Patriot and Inter-Atlantic was held on February 13, 2009, as discussed below. Once Inter-Atlantic concluded that Patriot better matched its criteria for a business combination than the other prospective target company, the discussions between Inter-Atlantic and Patriot accelerated and an onsite meeting and due diligence session at Patriot's headquarters was planned for early March.

On February 25, 2009, Patriot and Inter-Atlantic entered into a confidentiality agreement and waiver agreements regarding Inter-Atlantic's funds held in trust. At the same time, Inter-Atlantic Group, a company that provides office space and managerial services to Inter-Atlantic as well as a working capital line of credit facility, agreed to indemnify Patriot in the event certain individuals affiliated with Inter-Atlantic committed willful misconduct.

Table of Contents

On February 13, 2009, Messrs. Mariano and Tompkins of Patriot, Mr. Solash of Freeman & Co. and Messrs. Lerner and Baris of Inter-Atlantic met in Inter-Atlantic's offices in New York to discuss a possible business combination. The parties continued their conversations throughout February and March. An in-person meeting and extensive due diligence session was held on March 4, 2009 in Ft. Lauderdale which was attended by Messrs. Lerner, Baris and Hammer of Inter-Atlantic, Mr. Richard Viton of R.L. Viton & Co., Inter-Atlantic's financial consultant, Mr. Mariano, Mr. Michael Grandstaff, Mr. Charlie Schuver, Mr. Ted Bryant, Mr. Tim Ermatinger, Mr. Richard Turner and Mr. Dean Watters of Patriot, and Mr. Solash and Mr. Michael Kaspar of Freeman & Co., Patriot's financial advisor. In addition, as part of their due diligence Inter-Atlantic's representatives toured Patriot's headquarters offices and offices in an adjacent building where they were briefly introduced to numerous Patriot employees. Following this meeting, as a consequence of the ongoing discussions between the parties, and Inter-Atlantic gaining a better understanding of the various aspects of Patriot's business, operations and financial condition, the terms of a possible transaction were negotiated and agreed upon. On March 6, 2009, a draft non-binding letter of intent was presented by Inter-Atlantic to Patriot. After some additional negotiations, on March 13, 2009 Inter-Atlantic and Patriot signed the non-binding letter of intent setting forth the terms of Inter-Atlantic's proposed acquisition of all of the capital stock of Patriot. The terms in the letter of intent are substantially similar to the final terms reflected in the Stock Purchase Agreement, with the primary difference being closing conditions that were included in the Stock Purchase Agreement but not the letter of intent.

Subsequent to signing the letter of intent, Inter-Atlantic received significantly more due diligence materials and obtained industry information. In addition to the internal due diligence conducted by Inter-Atlantic personnel, Inter-Atlantic employed third-party legal, actuarial and insurance claims due diligence experts. Several telephonic discussions were held in the subsequent weeks among Inter-Atlantic, Patriot, and legal counsel for each of Inter-Atlantic and Patriot to discuss the remaining open items with respect to the transaction.

On April 21, 2009, Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors met in Inter-Atlantic's offices, with certain directors participating by conference telephone call, to discuss and consider the prospective transaction. At this meeting, the terms of the proposed transaction were discussed, the draft Stock Purchase Agreement was reviewed, and the due diligence findings of Inter-Atlantic and its third-party due diligence experts were considered. Representatives of (i) DLA Piper, Inter-Atlantic's counsel; (ii) R.L. Viton & Co., Inter-Atlantic's financial consultant; and (iii) Morgan Joseph, Inter-Atlantic's lead underwriter in its initial public offering, were available at the meeting and addressed questions posed by various members of Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors. Based on its review of the transaction and other matters discussed below, Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors unanimously approved the transaction and authorized the Chief Executive Officer to enter into the Stock Purchase Agreement and other documents related to the transaction.

In approving the Stock Purchase Agreement, Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors considered whether Patriot's fair market value was at least equal to 80% of Inter-Atlantic's expected net assets at the time of closing of the acquisition. Inter-Atlantic's Board relied on the results of Inter-Atlantic's due diligence investigation of Patriot, including financial information, financial projections, business prospects, market conditions, comparable company analyses and other factors discussed below under the heading **Factors Considered by the Inter-Atlantic Board in Approving the Acquisition**. Of particular importance to the Board was Patriot's expected capacity to generate substantial earnings post-acquisition. After considering the recommendation of Inter-Atlantic management, the Board agreed at its April 21, 2009 meeting that Patriot's fair market value was at least equal to 80% of Inter-Atlantic's expected net assets at the time of closing of the acquisition. The valuation analysis presented orally by management and considered by the Board formed the basis of the valuation section of the investor presentation filed by Inter-Atlantic on Form 8-K on June 3, 2009 and amended on Form 8-K filed on August 13, 2009.

On April 23, 2009, Inter-Atlantic, Patriot and certain stockholders of Patriot entered into the Stock Purchase Agreement, and on April 24, 2009, prior to the opening of the stock markets, publicly announced their agreement through a joint press release.

Factors Considered by the Inter-Atlantic Board in Approving the Acquisition

In approving the Stock Purchase Agreement, Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors relied on financial and other information relating to Patriot, the competitive environment, and industry fundamentals. Inter-Atlantic's Board also

relied on a financial and legal due diligence review of Patriot, including a review of Patriot's businesses and relationships, actuarial reserves, claims processing, credit arrangements and reinsurance arrangements. Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors considered a wide variety of factors in connection with its evaluation of the acquisition. In light of the complexity of those factors, the Inter-Atlantic Board did not consider it practical to, nor did it attempt to, quantify or otherwise assign relative weights to the specific factors it considered in reaching its decision. In addition, individual members of the Inter-Atlantic Board may have given different weight to different factors.

Table of Contents

Valuation as Related to Fairness to Inter-Atlantic Shareholders

The Inter-Atlantic Board reviewed and considered a comparable company valuation methodology based on the projected performance of the combined company post-acquisition. This methodology consisted of analyzing pro forma combined financial projections for Patriot and Inter-Atlantic. The financial projections were prepared by Patriot's management after close consultation with Inter-Atlantic's management. The Inter-Atlantic Board considered financial projections for 2009, 2010 and 2011, although the projections for 2009 and 2011 were considered less relevant because the 2009 financial projections reflected the fact that Patriot and Inter-Atlantic would be separate entities for the majority of the year and the 2011 financial projections were considered more speculative than the 2010 financial projections. The earnings capacity of the pro forma combined company was considered by the Inter-Atlantic Board to be the most meaningful metric, specifically projected net income. The pro forma combined financial projections assumed 29.99% of Inter-Atlantic's public shareholders would elect to redeem their shares for cash in the amount of \$7.96 per share, and that the combined company would have the benefit of Inter-Atlantic's trust account less the 29.99% redemption amount and expected transaction expenses including the redemption in full of the outstanding Inter-Atlantic warrants at \$0.50 per warrant. Additionally, the pro forma combined financial projections included several key assumptions about Patriot's business, including a successful rollout of its national footprint expansion commencing April 1, 2009, no material merger or acquisition activity, that Guarantee Insurance's status as an unrated insurer would continue and therefore would not benefit from being assigned an insurance claims paying rating, and an overall macroeconomic climate of zero growth. The pro forma combined financial projections for 2010 showed approximately \$235 million of gross premiums written by Patriot and produced for other insurance companies, \$92 million of revenues, \$64 million of expenses and \$16.5 million of after-tax net income, or net income per share of \$1.11 assuming 14.8 million basic shares outstanding.

Based on its review and consideration of the pro forma combined financial projections for Patriot and Inter-Atlantic, a 2010 projected net income per share of \$1.11 was presented to the Inter-Atlantic Board by Inter-Atlantic management as a reasonable projection. The Inter-Atlantic Board then considered a comparable company valuation methodology based on two distinct groups of publicly-traded companies, business process outsourcing firms and workers compensation insurers. Inter-Atlantic management noted the lack of directly comparable companies that act as both business process outsourcers and workers compensation insurers and recommended that a comparable company valuation methodology should therefore consider both types of companies. The Inter-Atlantic Board did not assign relative weights to either set of comparable companies. The publicly-traded business process outsourcing firms selected as most comparable were Cerner Corp., Hewitt Associates, Ebix Inc., CorVel Corp., EXL Service Holdings Inc. and Crawford & Co. The publicly-traded workers compensation insurers selected as most comparable were Zenith National Insurance Corp., Employers Holdings, Inc., Amerisafe Inc., SeaBright Insurance Holdings, Inc., and PMA Capital Corp. The Inter-Atlantic Board considered the publicly-traded companies' ratio of stock price to projected 2010 net income per share estimates as compiled by Bloomberg. The ratios of stock prices to projected 2010 net income per share estimates as compiled by Bloomberg for Cerner Corp., Hewitt Associates, Inc., EXL Service Holdings Inc., Zenith National Insurance Corp., Employers Holdings, Inc., Amerisafe Inc., PMA Capital Corp. and SeaBright Insurance Holdings, Inc., were 20.9x, 10.5x, 17.2x, 23.8x, 8.3x, 8.1x, 7.1x, and 5.5x respectively. Ebix Inc., CorVel Corp., and Crawford & Co. did not have 2010 net income estimates published on Bloomberg. The Inter-Atlantic Board noted that a substantial majority of these ratios exceeded 7.2, the amount equal to Inter-Atlantic's per share cash in trust of \$7.96 divided by the pro forma combined 2010 net income projection of \$1.11 per share. The Inter-Atlantic Board further noted that it was reasonable to assume that the appropriate ratio of stock price to projected 2010 net income per share for the combined company was the median comparable company ratio of 9.4, which, when multiplied by \$1.11 per share implies a share price of \$10.43. The Inter-Atlantic Board noted that the implied combined company share price of \$10.43 exceeds \$7.96, the per share amount available to Inter-Atlantic stock holders in the event the Acquisition is not completed. Based on this analysis, as well as all of the qualitative factors discussed below, the Inter-Atlantic Board determined that the consideration offered is fair to Inter-Atlantic shareholders.

Valuation as Related to 80% of Inter-Atlantic Trust Assets.

It is a requirement that any business acquired by Inter-Atlantic have a fair market value equal to at least 80% of Inter-Atlantic's trust account (excluding amounts payable for deferred underwriting fees), or approximately \$53 million as of June 30, 2009. The Inter-Atlantic Board considered Patriot's standalone valuation without the benefit of Inter-Atlantic's funds in trust to be in excess of \$53 million, based on Patriot's 2010 projected standalone net income of approximately \$15 million multiplied by the median comparable company multiple of 9.4 derived from the eight comparable company multiples listed above, which equals approximately \$141 million. The Inter-Atlantic Board also noted that the value of the 6.9 million Inter-Atlantic common shares proposed to be issued to Patriot shareholders exceeds 80% of Inter-Atlantic's trust assets, assuming such shares are valued at \$7.96 per share, even if no Inter-Atlantic shareholders elect to redeem their shares for cash, since the product of 6.9 million and \$7.96 is in excess of \$53 million. As a result of the above valuation considerations, the Inter-Atlantic Board determined that Patriot's fair market value will exceed 80% of Inter-Atlantic's trust account (excluding amounts payable for deferred underwriting fees) at closing.

Table of Contents

Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors also considered the factors below, in addition to the Risk Factors described starting on page 22 above, in reaching its conclusion to adopt the Stock Purchase Agreement and approve the acquisition.

Committed and Experienced Management Team with Interests Aligned with Stockholders

An important factor for the Inter-Atlantic Board of Directors is the commitment and experience of Patriot's management team. Many members of Patriot's senior management team have had significant experience in the insurance industry. In addition, Steven Mariano, Patriot's founder, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, and other members of Patriot's board of directors are exchanging all of their shares in Patriot solely for shares of Inter-Atlantic, and Inter-Atlantic's Board considered this as evidence of a commitment by Patriot's management team.

High Growth Potential for Patriot's Insurance Services Businesses

Another important factor for the Inter-Atlantic Board of Directors is Patriot's growing insurance services businesses, including claims management, bill review, captive management services and other non-premium sources of revenue. The Board considered the historical growth of these business segments as well as the prospects for continued substantial growth. The Inter-Atlantic Board noted that the insurance services businesses grew from a de minimus amount in 2004 to approximately \$12 million of unconsolidated revenues in 2008. Inter-Atlantic management also reported to the Inter-Atlantic Board that Patriot's 2009-2011 financial projections included the expectation of continued robust growth in its insurance services businesses and that its due diligence investigation confirmed that such expectation was reasonable. The Board viewed these services businesses as a major positive differentiating feature of Patriot's overall operations.

Stability of the Workers' Compensation Insurance Industry

Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors also noted that workers' compensation insurance is required by statute or regulation to be purchased by employers. Therefore, unlike many other financial services businesses, the workers' compensation insurance sector can be viewed as relatively stable in light of the uncertain economic climate. According to the National Council on Compensation Insurance, Inc. the workers' compensation market has exceeded \$50 billion in annual premiums each year since _____, and Patriot management considers it reasonable to expect that the workers' compensation market will continue this trend in 2009.

Favorable Transaction Structure

Another important factor for the Inter-Atlantic Board of Directors is the structure of the transaction. Post-transaction, Inter-Atlantic's existing shareholders will benefit from structural advantages as compared to the existing Patriot shareholders. Inter-Atlantic's existing shareholders will benefit from an expected preferential common stock dividend of \$0.20 per quarter, payable up to an amount of \$2.40 over time, or immediately in the event of a liquidation or certain merger transactions, as described in The Charter Amendment Proposal. See page 64. Conversely, Patriot's holders of Class B common shares will not be entitled to dividends.

Public Company Quality Infrastructure

Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors also noted that Patriot has been preparing to become a publicly traded company since 2007 and has invested a considerable amount in building its infrastructure. Inter-Atlantic management is of the opinion that Patriot's financial reporting, system of internal controls, governance procedures and compliance functions are already, or can feasibly be made, suitable for a publicly traded company.

Opportunities for Growth with Additional Capital

Another important factor for the Inter-Atlantic Board of Directors is the expected benefit to Patriot from the use of the net proceeds of the funds currently held in trust. The funds are expected to be used to allow Patriot's insurance subsidiary to grow while maintaining adequate capital levels, as working capital to assist in Patriot's expansion of its operations on a nationwide scale, to support the Company's common stock dividend policy and for general corporate purposes. With the additional net proceeds from the trust, the Board is of the opinion that Patriot will be able to capitalize on substantial growth opportunities, including the ability to build a national footprint for its businesses as well as attract larger and more sophisticated customers and insurance counterparties that only conduct business with well-capitalized companies.

Table of Contents***Insurance Expertise of Inter-Atlantic's Board***

Another important factor for the Inter-Atlantic Board of Directors is the Board's substantial experience in the insurance industry. Board members Messrs. Gaebler, Hammer, Lerner, Lichten and Weinhoff have extensive experience in the insurance industry in managerial, board of director, financial advisory and/or principal investing capacities. The Board is of the opinion that it is well suited to properly evaluate Patriot because Patriot conducts business in the insurance industry.

Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors considered a variety of risks and other potentially negative factors associated with Patriot, including the Risk Factors described starting on page 22 and those factors listed below.

Lack of Public Comparables for Stock Evaluation

Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors noted that, because Patriot has substantial insurance services operations conducted through non-licensed subsidiaries as well as a licensed insurance subsidiary, there is a lack of publicly traded comparable companies available to use in evaluating Patriot's value.

Larger and Better Capitalized Competitors

Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors considered that Patriot competes with numerous insurance companies and insurance services providers, many of which are substantially larger and better capitalized than Patriot.

Lack of a Long-Term Track Record

Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors also noted that Patriot was established in 2003 and does not have a long-term track record in the sectors where it conducts business. While a fast growing business can be viewed as a positive consideration, the Board noted that such businesses have additional risks, such as the lack of a proven track record over many economic cycles.

Completion of the Acquisition

Inter-Atlantic will be required to liquidate under the terms of its certificate of incorporation if it does not complete a business combination by October 9, 2009.

Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors considered all of the foregoing factors as a whole and concluded that it supported a favorable determination to approve the acquisition and recommend it to Inter-Atlantic's stockholders.

Structure Following Completion of the Acquisition

Under the terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement, Inter-Atlantic will acquire 100% of the outstanding capital stock of Patriot from its stockholders. As a result of the acquisition, Patriot will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of Inter-Atlantic.

Directors and Executive Officers Following Completion of the Acquisition

If the acquisition of Patriot is completed, the directors and executive officers of Inter-Atlantic will be as follows:

Name	Age	Position
<i>Directors and Executive Officers</i>		
Steven M. Mariano	45	Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer
Michael W. Grandstaff	49	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Charles K. Schuver	53	Senior Vice President and Chief Underwriting Officer of Guarantee Insurance
Timothy J. Ermatinger	60	Chief Executive Officer of PRS Group, Inc.
Richard G. Turner	58	Senior Vice President
Theodore G. Bryant	39	Senior Vice President, Counsel and Secretary
Timothy J. Tompkins	47	Director
Richard F. Allen	75	Director
Ronald P. Formento Sr.	66	Director
John R. Del Pizzo	62	Director
C. Timothy Morris	58	Director
Frederick S. Hammer	72	Director
Andrew S. Lerner	43	Director

Table of Contents

Name	Age	Position
Key Employees		
Maria C. Allen	56	Vice President Client Services/Corporate Claims
Josephine L. Graves	43	President of Patriot Risk Services, Inc.
John J. Rearer	51	Chief Underwriting Officer of PRS
Michael J. Sluka	57	Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer
Dean D. Watters	52	Vice President Business Development
Robert G. Zamar	43	Vice President Claims of PRS

Set forth below is certain background information relating to our directors, executive officers and key employees.

Steven M. Mariano Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer for Patriot. Mr. Mariano, Patriot's founder, is an entrepreneur and businessman with 20 years of experience in the insurance industry. Mr. Mariano founded Strategic Outsourcing Inc., a professional staffing company, which was sold to Union Planters Bank (Regions Bank, NYSE) in 2000. Mr. Mariano formed Patriot Risk Management, Inc. during 2003 to acquire Guarantee Insurance. Shortly thereafter he formed PRS to provide fee-based care management, captive consulting, bill review, network development and other claims related services to Guarantee Insurance and other clients. Mr. Mariano has served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Guarantee Insurance since 2003. He is responsible for the overall direction and management of Patriot's operations and financial and strategic planning.

Michael W. Grandstaff, CPA Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Grandstaff is the principal financial officer for Patriot. He joined Patriot as a financial consultant in December 2007 and became Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in February 2008. From October 2006 until he joined Patriot, Mr. Grandstaff was President and Chief Executive Officer of Precedent Insurance Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of American Community Mutual Insurance Company. From June 2002 until November 2006, Mr. Grandstaff served as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of American Community Mutual Insurance Company, a mutual health insurance company. From February 2001 until June 2002, Mr. Grandstaff served as Treasurer and Vice President of Finance of Meadowbrook Insurance Group, Inc.

Charles K. Schuver Senior Vice President and Chief Underwriting Officer of Guarantee Insurance. Mr. Schuver directs Guarantee Insurance's underwriting activities. He joined Patriot in June 2008. Prior to joining Patriot, Mr. Schuver was Senior Vice President, Corporate Underwriting Executive for Arch Insurance Group, a specialty insurer based in New York with over \$2.5 billion in gross written premiums in 2007. Mr. Schuver served in that role from May 2004 until May 2008. He was Vice President, Strategic Development Executive for Royal & Sun Alliance Insurance Group PLC, from 1998 until 2004.

Timothy J. Ermatinger, CPA Chief Executive Officer of PRS Group. Mr. Ermatinger joined Patriot in June 2006 where he served as Senior Vice President of Strategic Planning. In October 2006 he became Patriot's Chief Operating Officer. Mr. Ermatinger joined PRS Group as its Chief Executive Officer in September, 2007. Mr. Ermatinger was a Principal in the Merger & Acquisitions department of Rachlin, Cohen & Holtz LLP, a Miami public accounting firm, from December 2005 until June 2006. He served as Senior Vice President of Client Services and Chief Financial Officer of Broadspire Services, Inc., a national third-party administrator in Plantation, Florida from July 2003 to December 2005. Mr. Ermatinger served as Chief Financial Officer of Kemper National Services, a provider of insurance services from September 2000 to July 2003.

Richard G. Turner Senior Vice President. Mr. Turner's primary responsibility is to direct Patriot's alternative markets business development. Mr. Turner joined Patriot in September 2008. Before joining Patriot, he was Senior Vice President in charge of captive and alternative market risk divisions at Lexington Insurance Company, a subsidiary of American International Group, from November 2007 until August 2008. From 2003 until 2007, Mr. Turner was Managing Director in charge of sales and distribution for the alternative market risk subsidiary of Liberty Mutual Group, Inc. For eighteen years prior to that, Mr. Turner was President of Commonwealth Risk Services, a company Mr. Turner founded in 1984 that was a pioneer in providing services to the alternative risk market.

Theodore G. Bryant, Esq. Senior Vice President, Counsel and Secretary of Patriot. Mr. Bryant serves as the senior legal officer and corporate secretary for Patriot and its subsidiaries. He also has principal oversight for regulatory and

compliance matters on behalf of Patriot and its subsidiaries. Prior to joining Patriot, as Senior Vice President – Director Business Development in December 2006, Mr. Bryant practiced law in Seattle, Washington with the law firm of Cozen O’Connor LLP, which he joined in 2000. From 2004 through 2006, Mr. Bryant was a member of the firm’s commercial and insurance litigation departments.

Timothy J. Tompkins – Director. Mr. Tompkins is General Counsel of The Hagerty Group in Traverse City, Michigan. The Hagerty Group is a leading insurance agency for collector cars and boats in the United States. Mr. Tompkins joined the Hagerty Group, as its General Counsel in June 2005. Prior to joining The Hagerty Group, Mr. Tompkins was a senior member of the international insurance practice group at Cozen O’Connor LLP in Seattle, Washington from June 1999 until June 2004. From June 2004 until June 2005, Mr. Tompkins was of counsel at Cozen O’Connor. Mr. Tompkins joined Patriot’s board of directors in 2007.

Table of Contents

Richard F. Allen Director. Mr. Allen is Office Managing Partner of the London, England office of Cozen O Connor. He has served in that position since 2002. Mr. Allen joined Cozen O Conner as a partner in 1999. He is a member of the Federation of Insurance Counsel and a fellow of the American College of Trial Lawyers. Mr. Allen joined Patriot's board of directors in 2007.

Ronald P. Formento Sr. Director. Mr. Formento serves as the President and Chairman of Transport Driver, Inc., a driver leasing company primarily servicing private manufacturing companies. He has served in that position since 1976. Mr. Formento also served as Chairman of the Board of Optimum Staffing, a provider of staffing services from 1992 until January 2005, and serves as Chairman of the Board of Mount Mansfield Insurance Group, a captive insurance company sponsored by American International Group that is engaged in reinsuring workers' compensation insurance for truck drivers. Mr. Formento joined Patriot's board of directors in 2008.

John R. Del Pizzo, CPA Director. Since 1997, Mr. Del Pizzo has served as President, Secretary and Treasurer of Del Pizzo & Associates, P.C., an accounting and business advisory firm. Mr. Del Pizzo joined Patriot's board of directors in 2003. Mr. Del Pizzo serves as the Chairman of Patriot's Audit Committee of the board of directors.

C. Timothy Morris Director. Mr. Morris is currently Managing Director of National Capital Advisors, Inc., an insurance consulting firm located in Charleston, South Carolina. He has served in that position since 2002. From 1997 to 2002, Mr. Morris was Senior Vice President and Chief Executive Officer, National Accounts, for Travelers Property and Casualty. Mr. Morris joined Patriot's board of directors in 2008.

Frederick S. Hammer Director since inception. Mr. Hammer has been Co-Chairman of Inter-Atlantic Group since 1994. Prior thereto Mr. Hammer served as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Mutual of America Capital Management Corporation. Mr. Hammer is a Director of Inter-Atlantic Group's portfolio companies, Avalon Healthcare Holdings and Homeowners of America Holding Corporation. In addition, he currently serves as a Director on the Board of ING Clarion Realty Funds and is a former Director of several public and private companies, including VISA USA and VISA International.

Andrew S. Lerner Director since inception and Chief Executive Officer from inception until the acquisition of Patriot. Mr. Lerner is Managing Partner of Inter-Atlantic Group, where he has been employed since 1995. Mr. Lerner was also President and Managing Director of Guggenheim Securities, LLC, Inter-Atlantic Group's former FINRA broker-dealer operation, until 2003. Mr. Lerner is a Director of HedgeCo Networks, LLC, a Board Observer at Planet Payment, Inc. and an advisory board member at TIO Networks, Inc., which are all portfolio companies of Inter-Atlantic Group. Prior to joining Inter-Atlantic Group, he served as an investment banker in the Financial Institutions Group of Smith Barney Inc. for four years and in its Mortgage and Asset Finance Group for two years.

Maria C. Allen Vice President-Client Services/Corporate Claims. Ms. Allen directs Patriot's claims handling operation. Ms. Allen joined Patriot in July 2003.

Josephine L. Graves President of Patriot Risk Services, Inc. She joined Patriot in October 2006. From May 2006 until joining Patriot Risk Services, she was Risk Manager for Interim Healthcare, Inc., a home health agency company based in Sunrise, Florida. From September 2004 until May 2006, Ms. Graves served as Workers' Compensation Manager for Aequicap Claims Services, a provider of insurance claims services, located in Fort Lauderdale, Florida. From March 1993 until September 2004, she was Director of Tenet DirectComp of South Florida, a third party administrator.

John J. Rearer Chief Underwriting Officer of PRS. Mr. Rearer leads the underwriting efforts at PRS. He joined Patriot in September 2007. From 1994 until September 2007, Mr. Rearer was Vice President of Preferred Employers Group, a managing general agent based in Miami, Florida that wrote workers' compensation insurance to franchised restaurant chains.

Michael J. Sluka, CPA Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer of Patriot. Mr. Sluka is Patriot's principal accounting officer. Mr. Sluka joined Patriot in April 2008. From December 1999 until he joined Patriot, Mr. Sluka served as the Chief Financial Officer, Senior Vice President and Treasurer of TRG Holding Corporation and TIG Insurance Company, subsidiaries of Fairfax Financial Holdings Limited (NYSE), a financial services company engaged in property and casualty insurance, reinsurance and investment management.

Dean D. Watters Vice President-Business Development. Mr. Watters directs Patriot's business development activities. Prior to joining Patriot in May 2008, Mr. Watters was Division Vice President, Insurance Services for the Added

Value Services Division of Automatic Data Processing, Inc., a provider of technology-based outsourcing solutions to employers, vehicle retailers and manufacturers. He served in that role from 2000 until 2007.

Table of Contents

Robert G. Zamary Vice President Claims for PRS. Mr. Zamary's primary responsibility is to direct Patriot's insurance services business development on a national basis. Mr. Zamary joined Patriot as Vice President Claims for PRS in May 2009. For nine years prior to joining PRS Group, Mr. Zamary held a variety of positions, most recently as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, of AVIZENT/The Frank Gates Service Company, a national provider of workers compensation, liability and maritime third-party administration, managed care and risk services.

Appraisal or Dissenters Rights

No appraisal or dissenters rights are available under the Delaware General Corporation Law for the stockholders of Inter-Atlantic in connection with the acquisition proposal.

United States Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Acquisition

The following discusses the U.S. Federal income tax consequences of the acquisition of Patriot by Inter-Atlantic. This discussion is based on the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The statements set forth as to tax consequences of the transaction to Inter-Atlantic common stockholders are those of Inter-Atlantic. Inter-Atlantic does not intend to obtain an opinion of counsel with respect to such matters. Accordingly, you should consult your personal tax advisor as to the tax consequences of the transaction.

Inter-Atlantic common stockholders who do not exercise their conversion rights will continue to hold their Inter-Atlantic common stock and as a result will not recognize any gain or loss from the acquisition.

Inter-Atlantic common stockholders who exercise their conversion rights will recognize gain or loss to the extent that the amount received by such common stock holders upon conversion is greater than or less than, respectively, such holder's tax basis in their shares. A holder's tax basis in the shares generally will equal the cost of the shares. A stockholder that purchased Inter-Atlantic's units will have to allocate the cost between the shares and the warrants of the units based on their fair market values at the time of the purchase. Assuming the shares are held as a capital asset, the gain or loss will be capital gain or loss and will be long-term capital gain or loss if such holder's holding period in the shares is longer than one year.

If the warrant redemption proposal is approved, Inter-Atlantic will automatically redeem each Inter-Atlantic warrant for \$0.50 per warrant upon the consummation of the business combination. An Inter-Atlantic warrant holder will recognize gain or loss, if any, equal to the difference between the warrant holder's adjusted basis (as determined as a result of the acquisition, as discussed below) and the \$0.50 redemption price. A U.S. Holder's (as defined below) adjusted tax basis in its shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock or warrants generally will equal the U.S. Holder's acquisition cost (plus any gain recognized on the receipt of the warrants) less any prior return of capital. For purposes of this discussion, a U.S. Holder is a beneficial owner of Inter-Atlantic securities that is, for U.S. federal income tax purposes: a citizen or resident of the United States; a corporation created or organized in or under the laws of the United States or any state thereof (including the District of Columbia); an estate the income of which is subject to United States federal income tax regardless of its source; or a trust, if a court within the United States can exercise primary supervision over its administration, and one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all of the substantial decisions of that trust (or the trust was in existence on August 20, 1996, was treated as a U.S. trust on August 19, 1996 and validly elected to continue to be treated as a U.S. trust). For purposes of this discussion, a

Non-U.S. Holder is, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, an individual, trust, or corporation that is a beneficial owner of Inter-Atlantic securities, who is not a U.S. Holder.

Fiscal Year

Inter-Atlantic's fiscal year end is December 31.

Regulatory Matters

The acquisition and the transactions contemplated by the Stock Purchase Agreement are not subject to any Federal, state or local regulatory requirement or approval, other than certain regulatory requirements of the Florida Department of Insurance. See Regulation on p. 127.

Consequences if Acquisition Proposal is Not Approved

If Inter-Atlantic does not complete a business combination by October 9, 2009, Inter-Atlantic will be liquidated and Inter-Atlantic will distribute to all holders of IPO shares, in proportion to the number of such shares held by them, an aggregate sum equal to the amount in the trust fund. Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders have waived their rights to participate in any trust distribution with respect to their shares of common stock sold in such offering. There will be

no distribution from the trust fund with respect to Inter-Atlantic's warrants.

Table of Contents

Required Vote

The affirmative vote of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock is required to adopt the acquisition proposal. Adoption of the acquisition proposal also requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock issued in its initial public offering.

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders have agreed to vote their shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock acquired prior to Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering, representing an aggregate of approximately 17.9% of the outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, in accordance with the vote of the majority of the shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock issued in its initial public offering.

Adoption of the acquisition proposal is conditioned upon the adoption of the charter amendment proposal but is not conditioned upon the adoption of the director proposal, the plan proposal or the adjournment proposal.

Recommendation

After careful consideration, Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors has determined unanimously that the acquisition proposal is in the best interests of Inter-Atlantic and its stockholders. Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors has approved and declared advisable the acquisition proposal.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT THE STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE ACQUISITION PROPOSAL.

Interest of Inter-Atlantic Directors and Officers in the Acquisition

In considering the recommendation of the Board of Directors of Inter-Atlantic to vote for the proposal to adopt the acquisition, you should be aware that certain members of the Inter-Atlantic's Board, and their affiliates, have agreements or arrangements that provide them with interests in the acquisition that differ from, or are in addition to, those of Inter-Atlantic stockholders generally. In particular:

If the acquisition is not approved and Inter-Atlantic is therefore required to liquidate, the shares of common stock beneficially owned by Inter-Atlantic's executive officers and directors and their affiliates that were acquired prior to Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering may be worthless because no portion of the net proceeds of Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering that may be distributed upon liquidation of Inter-Atlantic will be allocated to such shares. Similarly, the warrants to purchase Inter-Atlantic common stock held by Inter-Atlantic's executive officers and directors and their affiliates may become worthless if the acquisition is not approved and Inter-Atlantic fails to consummate an alternative transaction within the time allotted pursuant to its certificate of incorporation. In addition, certain Inter-Atlantic executive officers and directors and their affiliates may not be reimbursed for certain acquisition and other expenses;

After the completion of the acquisition, it is expected that two of Inter-Atlantic's current directors, Andrew S. Lerner and Frederick S. Hammer, will continue to serve on Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors. Messrs. Lerner and Hammer, as directors of Inter-Atlantic, will, following the acquisition, be compensated in such manner, and in such amounts, as Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors may determine to be appropriate. No agreements or plans with respect to such compensation have been entered into, adopted or otherwise agreed upon by Inter-Atlantic; and

Certain of Inter-Atlantic's executive officers and directors have agreed in writing that, if Inter-Atlantic liquidates prior to the consummation of a business combination, they may be personally liable to pay debts and obligations to vendors or other entities that are owed money by Inter-Atlantic for services rendered or products sold to Inter-Atlantic in excess of amounts not held in the trust account.

Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors was aware of these agreements and arrangements during its deliberations on the merits of the acquisition and in determining to recommend to the stockholders of Inter-Atlantic that they vote for the adoption of the acquisition proposal.

Table of Contents

Interests of Patriot Directors and Officers in the Acquisition

You should understand that some of the current directors and officers of Patriot have interests in the acquisition that are different from, or in addition to, your interest as a stockholder. In particular:

Steven Mariano has personally guaranteed borrowings by Patriot to third party lenders.

Each of the executive officers of Patriot, including Steven Mariano, the Chief Executive Officer, Michael Grandstaff, Charles Schuver, Timothy Ermatinger, Richard Turner and Theodore Bryant are expected to remain in their present positions with Patriot and each such individual has entered into an employment agreement with Patriot in anticipation of Patriot becoming a public company; and

Each of the executive officers of Patriot, including Steven Mariano, the Chief Executive Officer, Michael Grandstaff, Charles Schuver, Timothy Ermatinger, Richard Turner and Theodore Bryant are expected to receive stock option grants in connection with Patriot becoming a public company.

Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors was aware of these agreements and arrangements during its deliberations on the merits of the acquisition and in determining to recommend to the stockholders of Inter-Atlantic that they vote for the adoption of the acquisition proposal.

Table of Contents

THE CHARTER AMENDMENT PROPOSAL

General Description of the Charter Amendment

The material terms of the amendment and restatement of the certificate of incorporation of Inter-Atlantic involve: (1) changing the name of Inter-Atlantic to Patriot Risk Management, Inc., (2) removing the provisions which are typically found only in special purpose acquisition companies, including without limitation the termination date and providing for the duration of the corporation to be perpetual, (3) increasing the authorized common stock from 49,000,000 shares to [] shares and designating [] shares as Class A common stock and [] shares as Class B common stock, (4) reclassifying the outstanding shares of common stock into shares of Class A common stock, (5) providing for certain dividend rights for holders of Class A common stock, (6) requiring the affirmative vote of 66 2/3 % of all stockholders entitled to vote, voting together as a single class, to (i) amend the certificate of incorporation or adopt a bylaw inconsistent with the certificate of incorporation, and (ii) remove a director for cause, and (7) electing to be governed by Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. The acquisition will not be consummated unless the charter amendment proposal is approved by the stockholders. The discussion in this document of the charter amendment proposal is in general terms and we recommend that you carefully review the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation which is attached as Annex B to this document and is incorporated in this document by reference.

Inter-Atlantic's Reasons for the Amendment and Restatement

Inter-Atlantic's current amended and restated certificate of incorporation, or the Original Charter, was adopted in connection with Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering as a special purpose acquisition company. Therefore, there are provisions in the Original Charter which are not applicable to a publicly-traded operating company. In addition, as a condition to entering into the Stock Purchase Agreement, the Company agreed to amend the Original Charter to revise or delete certain of these provisions. The Original Charter also contained certain provisions that the current Board of Directors deemed to be anti-takeover provisions. The Board of Directors believed it to be in the best interests of Inter-Atlantic to address these matters by amending and restating the Original Charter in their entirety. The material changes resulting from adopting the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, or the Amended Charter, and the reasons the Board of Directors is recommending such changes are set forth below.

Change of Name. The Amended Charter will change the name of the company from Inter-Atlantic Financial, Inc. to Patriot Risk Management, Inc. In the judgment of our Board of Directors, this change is desirable, as a change in our name more properly reflects who we are and the business we will be engaged in following the acquisition.

Removing Special Purpose Acquisition Company Provisions. The Amended Charter will delete all provisions which were included because Inter-Atlantic is a special purpose acquisition company. Therefore Article Third regarding the purpose of the company will be revised. In addition, Article Fifth, Sections (A) through (G) will be deleted. In the judgment of our Board of Directors, the amendments to Articles Third and Fifth are desirable, as Articles Third and Fifth relate to the operation of Inter-Atlantic as a blank check company prior to the consummation of a business combination. Such provisions will not be applicable upon consummation of the acquisition.

Designating Class A and Class B common stock. Pursuant to the Stock Acquisition Agreement, Inter-Atlantic will issue 6,900,000 shares of Class B common stock to the stockholders of Patriot and may issue up to an additional 5,000,000 shares of Class B common stock based on the future trading price of Inter-Atlantic's common stock after the acquisition. We are soliciting approval for more authorized shares than are necessary for the issuance of the 6,900,000 shares of Class B common stock and the potential issuance of an additional 5,000,000 shares, respectively. We do not currently have any plans or commitments to issue these excess authorized shares, other than the 3,000,000 shares of Class B common stock which will be reserved for issuance pursuant to our 2009 Stock Incentive Plan. All of the current stockholders of Inter-Atlantic will be deemed to hold Class A common stock. The only material difference between Class A and Class B common stock is that the Class A common stock has certain dividend rights as described below. Therefore, the Amended Charter must designate both a Class A and Class B common stock.

Increasing the Authorized Common Stock. The Amended Charter will increase the authorized common stock from 49,000,000 shares to [] shares and designate [] shares as Class A common stock and [] shares as Class B common stock. The authorized common stock is being increased in order to allow Inter-Atlantic to have shares available to provide for the issuance of the Class B common stock, reserve shares in connection with the 2009

Stock Incentive Plan and the options and provide for sufficient authorized shares to allow for the potential conversion of Class B common stock into Class A common stock.

Requiring the Affirmative Vote of 66 2/3% for Certain Matters. The Amended Charter will require the affirmative vote of 66 2/3% of all stockholders entitled to vote, voting together as a single class, to (i) amend the certificate of incorporation or adopt a bylaw inconsistent with the certificate of incorporation, and (ii) remove a director for cause. The Charter is being amended pursuant to this proposal in order to promote the continuity of management to better enable Inter-Atlantic to achieve its long-term goals and thereby increase stockholder value.

Table of Contents

Election to be Governed by Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law may limit the ability of an interested stockholder to engage in a business combination with us. Inter-Atlantic is currently subject to this provision in accordance with Delaware law because its stock is traded on a national securities exchange. Electing to be governed by Section 203 will insure that the protections provided by this section will apply to Inter-Atlantic in the future should its stock cease to be listed on a national securities exchange.

Dividend Rights for Class A common stock. Pursuant to the Stock Acquisition Agreement, all of the current stockholders of Inter-Atlantic will be deemed to hold Class A common stock. The only material difference between the Class A and Class B common stock is that the Class A common stock is anticipated to receive a dividend of \$0.20 per share per quarter. The Class A common stock is to receive an aggregate of \$2.40 in dividends, inclusive of any quarterly dividends, on or prior to a change of control transaction or a liquidation. It is anticipated that the Class B common stock will not receive dividends. The Class B common stock only converts into Class A common stock after the Class A common stock receives \$2.40 per share in aggregate dividends, or the share price exceeds an average price of \$11 per share for 20 consecutive trading days, whichever is earlier. Therefore, the Amended Charter must provide certain dividend rights for the Class A common stock.

Limits in the ability to replace directors. We are proposing that our certificate of incorporation provide that the number of directors shall be fixed from time to time by our board of directors. Our board of directors will be divided into three classes with the number of directors in each class being as nearly equal as possible. Each director will serve a three-year term. We are also proposing that our certificate of incorporation provide that any director may be removed for cause, at any meeting of stockholders called for that purpose, by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least two-thirds of the shares of our stock entitled to vote for the election of directors.

Anti-Takeover Effects of Provisions in the Amended Charter and Bylaws

The Amended Charter and the Bylaws of the company after the acquisition will include the following anti-takeover provisions:

- we have 1,000,000 shares of undesignated preferred stock, the terms of which may be established by our Board of Directors without the approval of any of our common stockholders;
- vacancies on our Board of Directors may only be filled by the Board of Directors unless the vacancy was caused by stockholder action;
- special meetings of the stockholders may be called only by the Chairman of the Board or the Board of Directors;
- we will be governed by Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law;
- only a certain number of director positions will be able to be filled at each annual meeting; and
- a two-thirds vote will be required to remove a director for cause.

Our Amended Certificate and Bylaws do not provide for cumulative voting for directors.

Consequences if Charter Amendment Proposal is Not Approved

If the charter amendment proposal is not approved by the stockholders, Inter-Atlantic will not be able to consummate the acquisition proposal.

Table of Contents

Required Vote

Adoption of the charter amendment proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock. Adoption of the charter amendment proposal is conditioned upon the adoption of the acquisition proposal but not conditioned on adoption of the director proposal, the plan proposal or the adjournment proposal.

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders intend to vote their shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, representing an aggregate of approximately 18.0% of the outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, FOR the charter amendment proposal.

Recommendation

After careful consideration, Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors has determined unanimously that the charter amendment proposal is in the best interests of Inter-Atlantic and its stockholders. Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors has approved and declared advisable the charter amendment proposal.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT THE STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE CHARTER AMENDMENT PROPOSAL.

Table of Contents

THE DIRECTOR PROPOSAL

General Description of the Director Proposal

Inter-Atlantic's board of directors is currently divided into three classes, each of which generally serves for a term of three years, with only one class of directors being elected in each year. Whether or not the acquisition is approved, Inter-Atlantic's board of directors will remain classified as described in this section. The Director Proposal A involves the election of two Class I directors, three Class II directors and three Class III directors to Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors to hold office until such directors' terms expire or until their successors are elected and qualified (in the event the acquisition is approved) in the event the acquisition proposal is approved, OR, in the event the acquisition proposal is not approved, the election of two Class I directors, D. James Daras and Frederick S. Hammer, to Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors with their term expiring at the 2012 annual meeting, referred to as Director Proposal B.

Director Proposal A

We are proposing that the below individuals be elected as Class I directors, Class II directors and Class III directors, provided, that such election is conditional upon the approval of the acquisition proposal. There are not now, nor have there ever been, any other arrangements, agreements or understandings regarding the selection and nomination of Inter-Atlantic's directors, except as set forth in the Stock Purchase Agreement. Unless authority is withheld, the proxies solicited by the Board of Directors will be voted FOR the election of these nominees.

We are proposing that the following persons be elected as Class I directors with their term expiring at the 2010 annual meeting:

Steven M. Mariano, and
Timothy J. Tompkins.

We are proposing that the following persons be elected as Class II directors with their term expiring at the 2011 annual meeting:

Ronald P. Formento Sr.,
C. Timothy Morris, and
Frederick S. Hammer.

We are proposing that the following persons be elected as Class III directors with their term expiring at the 2012 annual meeting:

Richard F. Allen,
John R. Del Pizzo, and
Andrew S. Lerner.

The election of these directors would be effective upon the closing of the acquisition. For information about the background of these candidates see Directors and Executive Officers Following Completion of the Acquisition on page 58.

Director Proposal B

In the event that the acquisition is not approved Inter-Atlantic will continue to have a staggered Board of Directors, and according to Inter-Atlantic's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, the term of office of the first class of directors, consisting of D. James Daras and Frederick S. Hammer, expires at this meeting. In this case, Messrs. Daras and Hammer have been nominated as candidates for election. Unless authority is withheld, the proxies solicited by the board of directors will be voted FOR the election of these nominees in the event the acquisition is not approved.

Table of Contents

We are proposing that the following persons be elected as Class I directors with their term expiring at 2012 annual meeting:

D. James Daras, and
Frederick S. Hammer.

Inter-Atlantic's Reasons for Director Proposal A and B

The Share Purchase Agreement provides that Patriot shall be able to designate six persons, and that Andrew Lerner shall be able to designate two persons for election to our Board of Directors. Inter-Atlantic believes that these board candidates have the knowledge and experience to govern Inter-Atlantic after the completion of the acquisition. We are proposing Director Proposal B in the event the acquisition proposal is not approved.

In the event that the acquisition is not approved and assuming the election of Messrs. Daras and Hammer, the board of directors and management positions of Inter-Atlantic will be as follows:

Name	Age	Position
Andrew S. Lerner	43	Chief Executive Officer and Director
Stephen B. Galasso	60	Senior Strategic Officer and Director
D. James Daras	55	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Director
Brett G. Baris	34	Executive Vice President
Robert M. Lichten	68	Director
Frederick S. Hammer	72	Director
Samuel J. Weinhoff	58	Director
David Gaebler	47	Director

Information About Nominees

Mr. D. James Daras has been our Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and a Director since inception. Mr. Daras is a former Partner of Inter-Atlantic Group from January 2005 through March 2007. In addition, from March 2007 to March 2008, Mr. Daras was the Chief Executive Officer and Director of Loan Servicing Solutions Holdings, LLC, a former portfolio company of Inter-Atlantic Group. From approximately March 2002 to the present, Mr. Daras was Chief Executive Officer of JW Group, LLC, which provided advisory services to hedge funds investing in financial institutions and mortgage real estate investment trusts. From December 1990 through March 2002, at Dime Bancorp, Mr. Daras managed loan and securities portfolios, and also oversaw the bank's cash management, money transfer, derivatives, funding and risk management operations. Mr. Daras' previous positions include Executive Vice President, Treasurer and Asset-Liability Committee Chairman of Dime Bancorp, Chief Financial Officer of Cenlar Capital Corp., a mortgage banking company and Vice President of The Chase Manhattan Bank.

Frederick S. Hammer Director since inception. Mr. Hammer has been Co-Chairman of Inter-Atlantic Group since 1994. Prior thereto Mr. Hammer served as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Mutual of America Capital Management Corporation. Mr. Hammer is a Director of Inter-Atlantic Group's portfolio companies, Avalon Healthcare Holdings and Homeowners of America Holding Corporation. In addition, he currently serves as a Director on the Board of ING Clarion Realty Funds and is a former Director of several public and private companies, including VISA USA and VISA International.

Meetings and Committees of the Board of Directors of Inter-Atlantic

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, Inter-Atlantic's board of directors acted through meetings four times. Although Inter-Atlantic does not have any formal policy regarding director attendance at annual stockholder meetings,

Inter-Atlantic will attempt to schedule its annual meetings so that all of its directors can attend. In addition, Inter-Atlantic expects its directors to attend all board and committee meetings and to spend the time needed and meet as frequently as necessary to properly discharge their responsibilities.

Independence of Directors

Our board of directors has determined that, if elected to the Board pursuant to Director Proposal A, all of the members of the board of directors, other than Mr. Mariano, will be independent directors within the meaning of Rule 121(A) of the NYSE Amex Company Guide and Rule 10A-3 promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Table of Contents

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our directors, among others, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC. Our directors are also required to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based solely on a review of the copies of such forms furnished to us, we believe that from January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008 all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to our directors were complied with.

Audit Committee

If elected to the Board pursuant to Director Proposal A, John R. Del Pizzo, Ronald P. Formento Sr. and C. Timothy Morris, will be appointed to our audit committee. Mr. Del Pizzo will serve as the chairman of our audit committee. Our Board has determined that Mr. Del Pizzo meets the SEC criteria of an audit committee financial expert as defined in Item 401(h) of Regulation S-K. The audit committee will review the professional services and independence of our independent registered public accounting firm and our accounts, procedures and internal controls. The audit committee will recommend the firm selected to be our independent registered public accounting firm, reviews and will approve the scope of the annual audit, will review and evaluate with the independent public accounting firm our annual audit and annual consolidated financial statements, will review with management the status of internal accounting controls, will evaluate problem areas having a potential financial impact on us that may be brought to the committee's attention by management, the independent registered public accounting firm or the board of directors, and will evaluate all of our public financial reporting documents. Our audit committee has a written charter. A copy of the charter was filed with the SEC on July 30, 2007 as Exhibit 99.1 to our Registration Statement on Form S-1 (file no. 333-140690).

Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The firm of Rothstein, Kass & Company, P.C. (Rothstein Kass) acts as our principal accountant. Rothstein Kass manages and supervises the audit, and is exclusively responsible for the opinion rendered in connection with its examination. The following is a summary of fees paid to Rothstein Kass for services rendered:

Audit Fees

The aggregate fees billed or expected to be billed for professional services rendered by Rothstein Kass for the year ended December 31, 2007 for (a) the annual audit of our financial statements for such year and (b) the audit of our financial statements dated January 31, 2007, June 15, 2007 and October 9, 2007 and filed with our registration statement on Form S-1 or our current reports on Form 8-K, (c) reviews of SEC filings and (d) the review of our financial statement for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2007 amounted to approximately \$115,000.

The aggregate fees billed or expected to be billed for professional services rendered by Rothstein Kass for the year ended December 31, 2008 for (a) the annual audit of our financial statements for such year and (b) the reviews of our financial statements for the quarterly periods ended March 31, June 30 and September 30, 2008 amounted to approximately \$62,500.

Audit-Related Fees

We did not receive audit-related services that are not reported as Audit Fees for the years ended December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2008.

Tax Fees

We did not receive significant professional services for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning for the years ended December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2008.

All Other Fees

We did not receive products and services provided by Rothstein, other than those discussed above, for the years ended December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2008.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

In accordance with Section 10A(i) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, before we engage an independent accountant to render audit or permitted non-audit services, the engagement will be approved by the board of directors or audit committee.

Table of Contents

Audit Committee Report

The audit committee reviews the company's financial reporting process on behalf of the board. Management is responsible for our internal controls, the financial reporting process and the preparation of our financial statements. Our independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for performing an independent audit of the company's financial statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the U.S. and issuing a report on the financial statements.

In this context, the audit committee has met and held discussions with management and Rothstein, Kass & Company, P.C., the company's independent registered public accounting firm, on at least a quarterly basis. Management represented to the audit committee that the company's financial statements were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S., and the audit committee has reviewed and discussed the financial statements with management and the independent registered public accounting firm. The audit committee meets with management and the independent registered public accounting firm together and individually, as required, at each meeting. The audit committee discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61 (Communication with Audit Committees), as modified or supplemented.

During 2008, the audit committee reviewed management's documentation for maintaining adequate internal controls over financial reporting to meet continuing compliance requirements under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Based upon its assessment, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2007, the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective.

In addition, the audit committee has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the accountants' independence from the company and its management, and has received the written disclosures and letter required by the Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1 (Independence Discussion with Audit Committees).

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the audit committee recommended to the board of directors, and the board of directors approved, that the 2008 audited financial statements be included in the company's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

THE AUDIT COMMITTEE
Robert M. Lichten (Chairman)
Frederick S. Hammer
Samuel J. Weinhoff

Nominating Committee

The Board of Directors of Inter-Atlantic has established a nominating committee consisting of three directors who qualify as independent directors within the meaning of Rule 121(A) of the NYSE Amex Company Guide and Rule 10A-3 promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The nominating committee will be responsible for overseeing the selection of persons to be nominated to serve on our board of directors. The nominating committee will consider persons identified by its members, management, stockholders, investment bankers and others. The guidelines for selecting nominees, which will be specified in the nominating committee charter, will generally provide that persons to be nominated should be actively engaged in business endeavors, have an understanding of financial statements, corporate budgeting and capital structure, be familiar with the requirements of a publicly traded company, be familiar with industries relevant to our business endeavors, be willing to devote significant time to the oversight duties of the board of directors of a public company, and be able to promote a diversity of views based on the person's education, experience and professional employment. The nominating committee will evaluate each individual in the context of the board as a whole, with the objective of recommending a group of persons that can best implement our business plan, perpetuate our business and represent stockholder interests. The nominating committee may require certain skills or attributes, such as financial or accounting experience, to meet specific board needs that arise from time to time. The nominating committee will not distinguish among nominees recommended by stockholders and other persons.

Code of Conduct and Ethics

We have adopted a code of conduct and ethics applicable to our directors, officers and employees in accordance with applicable federal securities laws and the rules of the NYSE American Stock Exchange. A copy of the code of conduct and ethics is available to any person without charge upon written request. The request should be sent to: Inter-Atlantic Financial, Inc. 400 Madison Ave, New York, NY 10017.

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee Information

As no executive officer of Inter-Atlantic has received any cash or non-cash compensation for services rendered to Inter-Atlantic, a compensation committee was unnecessary. After the consummation of the Acquisition, the board of directors of Inter-Atlantic will establish a compensation committee consisting of three directors who will each qualify as an independent director within the meaning of Rule 121(A) of the NYSE American Stock Exchange Company Guide and Rule 10A-3 promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The independent members will be _____. The purpose of the compensation committee will be to review and approve compensation paid to Inter-Atlantic's officers and to administer the Inter-Atlantic's equity compensation plans, including authority to make and modify awards under such plans. We have not yet formed a compensation committee so therefore we have not yet adopted a written charter for a compensation committee.

Compensation Arrangements for Directors

Inter-Atlantic directors do not currently receive any cash compensation for their service as members of the board of directors. Upon consummation of the acquisition, non-employee directors of Inter-Atlantic will receive varying levels of compensation for their services as directors based on their eligibility as members of Inter-Atlantic's audit and compensation committees. Inter-Atlantic anticipates determining director compensation in accordance with industry practice and standards.

Stockholder Communications with the Board of Directors

Our board of directors will give appropriate attention to written communications on issues that are submitted by stockholders and other interested parties, and will respond if and as appropriate. The chairman of our audit committee will be primarily responsible for monitoring communications from stockholders and other interested parties and will provide copies or summaries of such communications to the other directors as he considers appropriate.

Communications will be forwarded to all directors if they relate to substantive matters and include suggestions or comments that the chairman of the audit committee considers to be important for the directors to know.

Stockholders and other interested parties who wish to send communications on any topic to the board of directors should address such communications to the chairman of the audit committee at our principal executive offices.

Executive Compensation

Inter-Atlantic

No executive officer of Inter-Atlantic has received any cash or non-cash compensation for services rendered to Inter-Atlantic. Each executive officer has agreed not to take any compensation prior to the consummation of a business combination.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overall, Inter-Atlantic will seek to provide total compensation packages that are competitive in terms of potential value to its executives, and which are tailored to the unique characteristics and needs of Inter-Atlantic within its industry in order to create an executive compensation program that will adequately reward its executives for their roles in creating value for Inter-Atlantic stockholders. Inter-Atlantic intends to be competitive with other similarly situated companies in its industry following completion of the acquisition. The compensation decisions regarding Inter-Atlantic's executives will be based on Inter-Atlantic's need to attract individuals with the skills necessary for Inter-Atlantic to achieve its business plan, to reward those individuals fairly over time, and to retain those individuals who continue to perform at or above Inter-Atlantic's expectations.

It is anticipated that Inter-Atlantic's executives' compensation will have three primary components—salary, cash incentive bonuses and stock-based awards. Inter-Atlantic will view the three components of executive compensation as related but distinct. Although Inter-Atlantic's compensation committee will review total compensation, Inter-Atlantic does not believe that significant compensation derived from one component of compensation should negate or reduce compensation from other components. Inter-Atlantic anticipates determining the appropriate level for each compensation component based in part, but not exclusively, on its view of internal equity and consistency, individual performance and other information deemed relevant and timely. Since Inter-Atlantic's compensation committee will not be formed until consummation of the Acquisition, Inter-Atlantic has not adopted any formal or informal policies or guidelines for allocating compensation between long-term and currently paid out compensation, between cash and non-cash compensation, or among different forms of compensation.

Table of Contents

In addition to the guidance provided by its compensation committee, Inter-Atlantic may utilize the services of third parties from time to time in connection with the hiring and compensation awarded to executive employees. This could include subscriptions to executive compensation surveys and other databases.

Inter-Atlantic's compensation committee will be charged with performing an annual review of Inter-Atlantic's executive officers' cash compensation and equity holdings to determine whether they provide adequate incentives and motivation to executive officers and whether they adequately compensate the executive officers relative to comparable officers in other companies.

Benchmarking of Cash and Equity Compensation

Inter-Atlantic believes it is important when making compensation-related decisions to be informed as to current practices of similarly situated publicly held companies in the insurance industry. Inter-Atlantic expects that the compensation committee will stay apprised of the cash and equity compensation practices of publicly held companies in the insurance industry through the review of such companies' public reports and through other resources. Following the completion of the acquisition, Inter-Atlantic expects to identify companies for inclusion in any benchmarking group which have business characteristics comparable to Inter-Atlantic, including revenues, financial growth metrics, stage of development, employee headcount and market capitalization. While benchmarking may not always be appropriate as a stand-alone tool for setting compensation due to the aspects of Inter-Atlantic post-acquisition business and objectives that may be unique to Inter-Atlantic, Inter-Atlantic generally believes that gathering this information will be an important part of its compensation-related decision-making process.

Compensation Components

Base Salary. Generally, Inter-Atlantic, working with the compensation committee, anticipates setting executive base salaries at levels comparable with those of executives in similar positions and with similar responsibilities at comparable companies. Inter-Atlantic will seek to maintain base salary amounts at or near the industry norms while avoiding paying amounts in excess of what Inter-Atlantic believes is necessary to motivate executives to meet corporate goals. It is anticipated base salaries will generally be reviewed annually, subject to terms of employment agreements, and that the compensation committee and board will seek to adjust base salary amounts to realign such salaries with industry norms after taking into account individual responsibilities, performance and experience.

Annual Bonuses. Inter-Atlantic intends to design and utilize cash incentive bonuses for executives to focus them on achieving key operational and financial objectives within a yearly time horizon. Near the beginning of each year, the board, upon the recommendation of the compensation committee and subject to any applicable employment agreements, will determine performance parameters for appropriate executives. At the end of each year, the board and compensation committee will determine the level of achievement for each corporate goal.

Inter-Atlantic will structure cash incentive bonus compensation so that it is taxable to its employees at the time it becomes available to them. At this time, it is not anticipated that any executive officer's annual cash compensation will exceed \$1 million, and Inter-Atlantic has accordingly not made any plans to qualify for any compensation deductions under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Equity Awards. Inter-Atlantic also will use stock options and other stock-based awards to reward long-term performance. Inter-Atlantic believes that providing a meaningful portion of its executives' total compensation package in stock options and other stock-based awards will align the incentives of its executives with the interests of Inter-Atlantic's stockholders and with Inter-Atlantic's long-term success. The compensation committee and board will develop their equity award determinations based on their judgments as to whether the complete compensation packages provided to Inter-Atlantic's executives, including prior equity awards, are sufficient to retain, motivate and adequately award the executives.

Other Compensation. Inter-Atlantic will establish and maintain various employee benefit plans, including medical, dental, life insurance and 401(k) plans. These plans will be available to all salaried employees and will not discriminate in favor of executive officers. While no determination has yet been made, following the acquisition Inter-Atlantic may extend other perquisites to its executives that are not available to our employees generally.

Consequences if the Director Proposal is Not Approved

If Director Proposal A is not approved by the stockholders, Inter-Atlantic will not be able to consummate the acquisition proposal. If Director Proposal A and Director Proposal B are both not approved by the stockholders, then

the current directors will remain in office.

Table of Contents

Required Vote

The election of each nominee for director requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a plurality of the shares of Common Stock cast in the election of directors. In the event the acquisition proposal is approved, then eight directors have been nominated by the Board of Directors, two of which are incumbent directors to continue to serve as Directors. In the event the acquisition proposal is not approved, then two incumbent directors have been nominated by the Board of Directors to continue to serve as Directors. The Board of Directors recommends that Messrs. Mariano, Tompkins, Formento, Morris, Hammer, Allen, Del Pizzo and Lerner serve as Directors if the acquisition proposal is approved (Director Proposal A). The Board of Directors recommends that Messrs. Daras and Hammer serve as Directors if the acquisition proposal is *not* approved. Proxies received by the Company will be voted **FOR** the election of these eight Directors if the acquisition proposal is approved and **FOR** the election of these two Directors if the acquisition proposal is *not* approved (Director Proposal B), unless marked to the contrary. A stockholder who desires to withhold voting of the proxy for all or one or more of the nominees may so indicate on the proxy.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT OUR STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF MESSRS. MARIANO, TOMPKINS, FORMENTO, MORRIS, HAMMER, ALLEN, DEL PIZZO AND LERNER IF THE ACQUISITION IS APPROVED (DIRECTOR PROPOSAL A) AND FOR THE ELECTION OF MESSRS. DARAS AND HAMMER AS CLASS A DIRECTORS IF THE ACQUISITION IS NOT APPROVED (DIRECTOR PROPOSAL B).

Table of Contents

THE PLAN PROPOSAL

General Description of the Plan

In [_____] 2009, Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors adopted Inter-Atlantic's 2009 Stock Incentive Plan (which we sometimes refer to as the Plan), subject to approval by Inter-Atlantic's stockholders. Inter-Atlantic's stockholders are now requested to approve the adoption of the Plan.

A general description of the basic features of the Plan is set forth below. Such description is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the Plan, which is set forth as Annex C to this Proxy Statement.

Purpose

The purpose of the Plan is to further promote the interests of Inter-Atlantic, its subsidiaries and its stockholders by enabling Inter-Atlantic and its subsidiaries to attract, retain and motivate employees, non-employee directors and consultants or those who will become employees, non-employee directors or consultants, and to align the interests of those individuals and Inter-Atlantic's stockholders.

Number Of Shares

The maximum number of shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock as to which awards may be granted under the Plan may not exceed 3,000,000 shares of Class B common shares, inclusive of conversion options discussed below. Shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock subject to issuance upon exercise or settlement of awards with respect to stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and restricted stock units shall count against this limit. With respect to awards intended to be qualified performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (which is referred to as the Code), the maximum amount that can be awarded in any calendar year to any participant is (i) 800,000 shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock for awards denominated in shares, or (ii) \$1,500,000 in the case of awards not denominated in shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock. The limits on the numbers of shares described in this paragraph and the number of shares subject to any award under the Plan are subject to proportional adjustment as determined by Inter-Atlantic's Board to reflect certain stock changes, such as stock dividends and stock splits (see Recapitalization Adjustments below).

If any awards under the Plan expire or terminate unexercised, the shares of common stock allocable to the unexercised or terminated portion of such award shall again be available for award under the Plan.

Administration

The administration, interpretation and operation of the Plan will be vested in the Compensation Committee of Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee may designate persons other than members of the Compensation Committee to carry out the day-to-day administration of the Plan.

Eligibility

The Plan permits awards to employees, non-employee directors of, and consultants to Inter-Atlantic and its subsidiaries.

No determination has been made as to future awards which may be granted under the Plan except for conversion options in connection with the transactions contemplated by the Stock Purchase Agreement. It is not determinable what awards under the Plan would have been received by the executive officers and directors of Inter-Atlantic and its subsidiaries during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 had the Plan then been in effect.

Awards Under the Plan

Awards under the Plan may consist of stock options, stock appreciation rights (which are sometimes referred to as SARs), restricted stock and restricted stock units, each of which is described below. All awards will be evidenced by an award agreement between Inter-Atlantic and the individual participant and approved by the Compensation Committee. In the discretion of the Compensation Committee, an eligible employee may receive awards from one or more of the categories described below, and more than one award may be granted to an eligible employee.

Table of Contents

Stock Options and Stock Appreciation Rights

A stock option is an award that entitles a participant to purchase shares of Inter-Atlantic Class B common stock at a price fixed at the time the option is granted. Stock options granted under the Plan may be in the form of incentive stock options (which qualify for special tax treatment) or non-qualified stock options, and may be granted alone or in addition to other awards under the Plan.

An SAR entitles a participant to receive, upon exercise, an amount equal to:

the excess of:

the fair market value on the exercise date of a share of Inter-Atlantic common stock, over
the fair market value of a share of Inter-Atlantic common stock on the date the SAR was granted,
multiplied by the number of shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock for which the SAR has been
exercised.

The exercise price and other terms and conditions of stock options and the terms and conditions of SARs will be determined by the Compensation Committee at the time of grant, and in the case of stock options, the exercise price per share may not be less than 100 percent of the fair market value of a share of Inter-Atlantic common stock on the date of the grant except for conversion shares. In addition, the term of any incentive stock options granted under the Plan may not exceed ten years. An option or SAR grant under the Plan does not provide the recipient of the option any rights as a stockholder and such rights will accrue only as to shares actually purchased through the exercise of an option or the settlement of an SAR.

If stock options and SARs are granted together in tandem, the exercise of such stock option or the related SAR will result in the cancellation of the related stock option or SAR to the extent of the number of shares in respect of which such option or SAR has been exercised.

Stock options and SARs granted under the Plan shall become exercisable at such time as designated by the Compensation Committee at the time of grant.

Payment for shares issuable pursuant to the exercise of a stock option may be made either in cash, by certified check, bank draft, or money order payable to the order of Inter-Atlantic, or by payment through any other mechanism permitted by the Compensation Committee, including, if the Compensation Committee so determines, by delivery of shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock.

In addition, the Compensation Committee, in its sole discretion, may provide in any stock option or SAR award agreement that the recipient of the stock option or SAR will be entitled to dividend equivalents with respect to such award. In such instance, in respect of any such award which is outstanding on a dividend record date for Inter-Atlantic common stock, the participant would be entitled to an amount equal to the amount of cash or stock dividends that would have paid on the shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock covered by such stock option or SAR award had such shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock been outstanding on the dividend record date.

Conversion Options

Conversion option awards are grants of options in connection with the assumption of or the substitution for an outstanding award granted by a company or business acquired by the Company or a subsidiary or affiliate of the Company, or with which the Company or a subsidiary or affiliate combines. Conversion options may be incentive stock options or non-qualified stock options, as determined by the Committee. Conversion options shall be options to purchase the number of shares of common stock determined by multiplying the number of shares of the acquired entity's common stock underlying each such stock option immediately prior to the closing of such merger or acquisition by the number specified in the applicable merger or acquisition agreement for conversion of each share of such entity's common stock to a share of common stock (the *Merger Ratio*). Such conversion options shall be exercisable at an exercise price per share of common stock (increased to the nearest whole cent) equal to the exercise price per share of the acquired entity's common stock under each such stock option immediately prior to closing divided by the *Merger Ratio*. No fractional shares of common stock will be issued upon exercise of conversion options; the common stock issued pursuant to each such exercise shall be rounded down to the closest whole share of common stock. Conversion options may be granted and exercised without the issuance of an Award Agreement. The Stock Purchase Agreement contemplates that following the completion of the transactions described therein, the stock options issued under the Patriot 2005 Stock Option Plan and the Patriot 2006 Stock Option Plan will be assumed

under the Inter-Atlantic Stock Incentive Plan in accordance with the forgoing paragraph.

Table of Contents

Restricted Share Awards and Restricted Stock Units

Restricted share awards are grants of Inter-Atlantic common stock made to a participant subject to conditions established by the Compensation Committee in the relevant award agreement on the date of grant. Restricted stock units are similar to restricted stock except that no shares of common stock are actually awarded to a participant on the date of grant and the common stock underlying the award will generally be provided to the participant after the vesting conditions have been satisfied.

Restricted stock and restricted stock units will vest in accordance with the conditions and vesting schedule, if any, provided in the relevant award agreement. A participant may not sell or otherwise dispose of restricted stock or restricted stock units until the conditions imposed by the Compensation Committee with respect to such shares and/or units have been satisfied. Restricted share awards and restricted stock units under the Plan may be granted alone or in addition to any other awards under the Plan. Restricted stock which vests will be reissued as unrestricted stock of Inter-Atlantic common stock.

Each participant who receives a grant of restricted stock will have the right to receive all dividends and vote or execute proxies for such shares. Any stock dividends granted with respect to such restricted stock will be treated as additional restricted stock. Participants receiving grants of restricted stock units will not be stockholders until the common stock underlying the award is provided to them and they will not enjoy the rights of stockholders (such as receiving dividends and voting or executing proxies) until that time.

Qualified Performance-Based Awards

Performance-based awards are awards of restricted stock or restricted stock units that are intended to qualify as qualified performance-based compensation, as defined in Section 162(m) of the Code and the regulations promulgated under that section.

Generally, participants receiving performance-based awards will only earn such awards if certain performance goals are satisfied during a designated performance period. The participant may forfeit such awards in the event the performance goals are not met. In order to qualify as qualified performance-based compensation, the material terms of the performance goals must be disclosed to Inter-Atlantic's stockholders and approved by the stockholders. The performance goals set forth in the Plan for use in connection with qualified performance-based awards are:

- economic value added
- achievement of profit
- loss or expense ratio
- cash flow
- book value
- net income (either before or after taxes)
- operating earnings
- return on capital
- return on net assets
- return on stockholders' equity
- return on assets
- stockholder returns
- productivity
- expenses
- margins
- operating efficiency
- customer satisfaction
- earnings per share
- price per share of common stock
- market share

The above performance goals may be applied either alone or in any combination, on either a consolidated or business unit or divisional level, as the Compensation Committee may determine. Any of the above performance goals may be measured either in absolute terms or as compared to any incremental increase or as compared to results of a peer

group.

Your approval of the Plan will be an approval of the Compensation Committee's use of the business criteria described herein in establishing performance goals.

Table of Contents

Recapitalization Adjustments

Awards granted under the Plan, any agreements evidencing such awards and the maximum number of shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock subject to all awards, as well as the per participant per calendar year limitations described above, shall be subject to adjustment or substitution, as determined by Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors, as to the number, price or kind of a security or other consideration subject to such awards or as otherwise determined by the Board to be equitable (i) in the event of changes in the outstanding stock or in the capital structure of Inter-Atlantic by reason of stock or extraordinary cash dividends, stock splits, reverse stock splits, recapitalization, reorganizations, mergers, consolidations, combinations, exchanges, or other relevant changes in capitalization occurring after the date of grant of any such award or (ii) in the event of any change in applicable laws or any change in circumstances which results in or would result in any substantial dilution or enlargement of the rights granted to, or available for, participants, or which otherwise warrants equitable adjustment because it interferes with the intended operation of the Plan. Inter-Atlantic shall give each participant notice of an adjustment hereunder and, upon notice, such adjustment shall be conclusive and binding for all purposes.

Mergers And Other Similar Events

In the event of any of the following,

Inter-Atlantic is merged into or consolidated with another corporation or entity;

All or substantially all of the assets of Inter-Atlantic are acquired by another person; or

The reorganization or liquidation of Inter-Atlantic;

then Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors may cancel any outstanding awards and cause the holders thereof to be paid, in cash, securities or other property (including any securities or other property of a successor or acquirer), or any combination thereof, the value of such awards as determined by Inter-Atlantic Board of Directors, in its sole discretion (e.g., in the case of Stock Options, based upon the excess of the value of a share of Inter-Atlantic common stock over the exercise price per share). Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors may provide that such cash, securities or other property is subject to vesting and/or exercisability terms similar to the award being cancelled.

Amendment, Suspension or Termination of the Plan

Unless earlier terminated by Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors, the Plan shall terminate on the date 10 years after the date the Plan is approved by stockholders. The Board may amend, suspend or terminate the Plan (or any portion thereof) at any time. However, no amendment shall (a) materially and adversely affect the rights of any participant under any outstanding award, without the consent of such participant (except as described below) or (b) increase the number of shares available for awards under the Plan without stockholder approval.

Certain Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Plan

The following is a brief and general summary of some United States federal income tax consequences applicable to the Plan. The summary does not reflect any provisions of the income tax laws of any state, local or foreign taxing jurisdiction. Because the tax consequences of events and transactions under the Plan depend upon various factors, including an individual's own tax status, each participant who receives an award under the Plan should consult a tax advisor.

Incentive Stock Options

Stock options granted under the Plan may qualify as incentive stock options (within the meaning of Section 422 of the Code) or non-qualified stock options. Upon the grant of an incentive stock option, the optionee will not recognize any income. Generally, no income is recognized by the optionee upon the exercise of an incentive stock option. The optionee must increase his or her alternative minimum taxable income for the taxable year in which he or she exercised the incentive stock option by the amount that would have been ordinary income had the option not been an incentive stock option.

Upon the subsequent disposition of shares acquired upon the exercise of an incentive stock option, the federal income tax consequences will depend upon when the disposition occurs and the type of disposition. If the shares are disposed of by the optionee after the later to occur of (i) the end of the two year period beginning the day after the day the incentive stock option is awarded to the optionee, or (ii) the end of the one-year period beginning on the day after the day the shares are issued to the optionee (we refer to the later of (i) or (ii) as the ISO Holding Period), any gain or loss realized upon such disposition will be long-term capital gain or loss, and Inter-Atlantic (or a subsidiary) will not be

entitled to any income tax deduction in respect of the option or its exercise. For purposes of determining the amount of such gain or loss, the optionee's tax basis in the shares will be the option price.

Table of Contents

Generally, if the shares are disposed of by the optionee in a taxable disposition within the two year period beginning on the day after the day the option was awarded to the optionee, or the one-year period beginning on the day after the day the shares are issued to the optionee, the excess, if any, of the amount realized (up to the fair market value of the shares on the exercise date) over the option price will be compensation taxable to the optionee as ordinary income, and Inter-Atlantic generally will be entitled to a deduction (subject to the provisions of Section 162(m) of the Code discussed below under the caption *Limits on Deductions*) equal to the amount of ordinary income realized by the optionee. Any amount realized upon such a disposition by the optionee in excess of the fair market value of the shares on the exercise date will be capital gain.

If an optionee has not remained an employee of Inter-Atlantic or its subsidiaries during the period beginning with the grant of an incentive stock option and ending on the day three months (one year if the optionee becomes disabled) before the date the option is exercised (other than in the case of the optionee's death), the exercise of such option will be treated as the exercise of a non-qualified stock option with the tax consequences described below.

Non-Qualified Stock Options

In general, upon the grant of a non-qualified stock option, an optionee will not recognize any income. At the time a nonqualified option is exercised, the optionee will recognize compensation taxable as ordinary income, and Inter-Atlantic generally will be entitled to a deduction (subject to the provisions of Section 162(m) of the Code discussed below under the caption *Limits on Deductions*), in an amount equal to the difference between the fair market value on the exercise date of the shares acquired pursuant to such exercise and the option price. Upon a subsequent disposition of the shares, the optionee will recognize long- or short-term capital gain or loss, depending upon the holding period of the shares. For purposes of determining the amount of such gain or loss, the optionee's tax basis in the shares will be the fair market value of such shares on the exercise date.

Effect of Share-for-Share Exercise

If an optionee elects to tender shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock in partial or full payment of the option price for shares to be acquired through the exercise of an option, generally the optionee will not recognize any gain or loss on such tendered shares. However, if the shares tendered in connection with any share-for-share exercise were previously acquired upon the exercise of an incentive stock option, and such share-for-share exercise occurs during the ISO Holding Period for such shares, then there will be a taxable disposition of the tendered shares with the tax consequences described above for the taxable dispositions during the ISO Holding Period of the shares acquired upon the exercise of an incentive stock option.

If the optionee tenders shares upon the exercise of a nonqualified option, the optionee will recognize compensation taxable as ordinary income and Inter-Atlantic generally will be entitled to a deduction (subject to the provisions of Section 162(m) of the Code discussed below under the caption *Limits on Deductions*) in an amount equal only to the fair market value of the number of shares received by the optionee upon exercise which is in excess of the number of tendered shares, less any cash paid by the optionee.

Restricted Stock

A participant will not recognize any income upon the award of restricted stock unless the participant makes an election under Section 83(b) of the Code in respect of such grant, as described below. Unless a participant has made an election under Section 83(b) of the Code in respect of any restricted stock, any dividends received by the participant with respect to restricted stock prior to the date the participant recognizes income with respect to such award (as described below) must be treated by the participant as compensation taxable as ordinary income, and Inter-Atlantic will be entitled to a deduction, in an amount equal to the amount of ordinary income recognized by the participant. After the terms and conditions applicable to the restricted stock are satisfied, or if the participant has made an election under Section 83(b) of the Code in respect of the restricted stock, any dividends received by the participant in respect of such award will be treated as a dividend taxable as ordinary income, and Inter-Atlantic will not be entitled to a deduction in respect of any such dividend payment.

Unless the participant has made an election under Section 83(b) of the Code (as described below), at the time the terms and conditions applicable to the restricted stock are satisfied, a participant will recognize compensation taxable as ordinary income, and Inter-Atlantic generally will be entitled to a deduction, in an amount equal to the then fair market value of the shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock or which the terms and conditions applicable to the

restricted share award have been satisfied. The participant's tax basis for any such shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock would be the fair market value on the date such terms and conditions are satisfied.

Table of Contents

A participant may irrevocably elect under Section 83(b) of the Code to recognize compensation taxable as ordinary income, and Inter-Atlantic will be entitled to a corresponding deduction, in an amount equal to the fair market value of such restricted stock (determined without regard to any restrictions thereon) on the date of grant. Such an election must be made by the participant not later than 30 days after the date of grant. If such an election is made, no income would be recognized by the participant (and Inter-Atlantic will not be entitled to a corresponding deduction) at the time the applicable terms and conditions are satisfied. The participant's tax basis for the restricted stock received and for any shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock subsequently held in respect thereof would be the fair market value of the restricted stock (determined without regard to any restrictions thereon) on the date of grant. If a participant makes such an election and subsequently all or part of the award is forfeited, the participant will not be entitled to a deduction as a result of such forfeiture.

The holding period for capital gain or loss purposes in respect of the Inter-Atlantic common stock underlying an award of restricted stock shall commence when the terms and conditions applicable to the restricted stock are satisfied, unless the participant makes a timely election under Section 83(b) of the Code. In such case, the holding period will commence immediately after the grant of such restricted stock.

Restricted Stock Units

A participant will not recognize any income upon the award of a restricted stock unit. A participant will generally recognize compensation taxable as ordinary income when he or she receives payment with respect to a restricted stock unit, and at such time Inter-Atlantic will generally be entitled to a deduction equal to the then fair market value of unrestricted Inter-Atlantic common stock received by the participant in payment of the restricted stock units. The participant's tax basis for any such shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock would be the fair market value on the date such unrestricted stock are transferred to the participant. If all or a portion of the restricted stock units are paid in restricted stock, see *Restricted stock* above for a discussion of the applicable tax treatment.

Limits on Deductions

Under Section 162(m) of the Code, the amount of compensation paid to the chief executive officer and the four other most highly paid executive officers of Inter-Atlantic in the year for which a deduction is claimed by Inter-Atlantic (including its subsidiaries) is limited to \$1,000,000 per person in any year, except that qualified performance-based compensation will be excluded for purposes of calculating the amount of compensation subject to this \$1,000,000 limitation. The ability of Inter-Atlantic to claim a deduction for compensation paid to any other executive officer or employee of Inter-Atlantic (including its subsidiaries) is not affected by this provision.

Inter-Atlantic has structured the Plan so that Inter-Atlantic may claim a deduction in connection with (i) the exercise of non-qualified stock options and/or SARs, (ii) the disposition during the ISO Holding Period by an optionee of shares acquired upon the exercise of incentive stock options, and (iii) the payment of any restricted stock or restricted stock units, provided that, in each case, the requirements imposed on qualified performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code and the regulations thereunder are satisfied with respect to such awards. Any awards, other than stock options and SARs, which vest solely as a result of the passage of time will not be qualified performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code (e.g., certain restricted stock and restricted stock units), and amounts for which Inter-Atlantic may claim a deduction upon the lapse of any restrictions on such awards will be subject to the limitations on deductibility under Section 162(m).

However, the Plan does permit the Compensation Committee to make awards that will not qualify as qualified performance-based compensation within the meaning of Section 162(m) and, while the Compensation Committee expects that a significant portion of the awards it grants under the Plan will be qualified performance-based compensation, the Compensation Committee may very well make various awards that do not satisfy those requirements.

Section 409A of the Code

Section 409A of the Code provides substantial penalties (described below) to persons deferring taxable income, unless the requirements of Section 409A have been satisfied. Many awards provided under the Plan could be viewed as deferring income for participants and may, therefore, be subject to Section 409A. While it is the intention of Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors to prevent awards made under the Plan from being subject to Section 409A and failing to satisfy the requirements of Section 409A, there can be no assurance that awards made under the Plan which

are subject to Section 409A will satisfy the requirements of Section 409A.

In the event that an award made under the Plan is subject to Section 409A, but does not satisfy the requirements of that Section, then the affected participant will incur an additional 20% penalty of the amount found to be improperly deferred, as well as full taxation of that amount and interest on that amount from the date when that amount became vested. In addition, other deferrals by that participant found to be part of the same plan, even if the deferrals themselves satisfied Section 409A, would also be treated as failing to satisfy Section 409A and, with respect to those deferrals, the participant would also incur an additional 20% penalty of the amount deferred, as well as full taxation of that amount and interest on that amount from the date it became vested.

Table of Contents

Additional Information

The recognition by an employee of compensation income with respect to a grant or an award under the Plan will be subject to withholding for federal income and employment tax purposes. If an employee, to the extent permitted by the terms of a grant or award under the Plan, uses shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock to satisfy the federal income and employment tax withholding obligation, or any similar withholding obligation for state and local tax obligations, the employee will recognize a capital gain or loss, short-term or long-term, depending on the tax basis and holding period for such shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock.

In the event that certain compensation payments or other benefits received by disqualified individuals (as defined in Section 280G(c) of the Code) under the Plan may cause or result in excess parachute payments (as defined in Section 280G(b)(I) of the Code) then, pursuant to Section 280G of the Code, any amount that constitutes an excess parachute payment is not deductible by Inter-Atlantic. In addition, Section 4999 of the Code generally imposes a 20% excise tax on the amount of any such excess parachute payment received by such a disqualified individual, and any such excess parachute payments will not be deductible by Inter-Atlantic (or a subsidiary).

Effective Date

The Plan is effective on [_____], 2009, the date of its adoption by the Board of Directors subject to stockholder approval. The Plan will terminate on the date 10 years after the date of its adoption by the Board of Directors, except with respect to awards then outstanding. After such date no further awards will be granted under the Plan unless the Plan is extended by the Board.

Consequences if Plan Proposal is Not Approved

If the plan proposal is not approved by the stockholders, Inter-Atlantic will not adopt the 2009 Stock Incentive Plan.

Required Vote

Adoption of the plan proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock represented in person or by proxy at the meeting and it is a closing condition to the consummation of the acquisition. Adoption of the plan proposal is conditioned upon the adoption of the acquisition proposal and the charter amendment proposal but is not conditioned on adoption of the director proposal or the adjournment proposal.

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders intend to vote their shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, representing an aggregate of approximately 18.0% of the outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, FOR the plan proposal.

Recommendation

After careful consideration, Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors has determined unanimously that the plan proposal is in the best interests of Inter-Atlantic and its stockholders. Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors has approved and declared advisable the plan proposal.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT THE STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE PLAN PROPOSAL.

Table of Contents

THE ADJOURNMENT PROPOSAL

General Description of the Adjournment Proposal

The adjournment proposal allows Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors to submit a proposal to adjourn the special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary, to permit further solicitation of proxies in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the acquisition proposal.

Consequences if Adjournment Proposal is Not Approved

If the adjournment proposal is not approved by the stockholders, Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors may not be able to adjourn the special meeting to a later date in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the acquisition proposal, the charter amendment proposal and the director proposal.

Required Vote

Adoption of the adjournment proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic's common stock represented in person or by proxy at the meeting. Adoption of the adjournment proposal is not conditioned upon the adoption of the acquisition proposal, the charter amendment proposal, the director proposal or the plan proposal.

Inter-Atlantic's initial stockholders have agreed to vote their shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, representing an aggregate of approximately 18.0% of the outstanding shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock, FOR the adoption of the adjournment proposal.

Recommendation

After careful consideration, Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors has determined unanimously that the adjournment proposal is in the best interest of Inter-Atlantic and its stockholders. Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors has approved and declared advisable the adjournment proposal.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT THE STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE ADJOURNMENT PROPOSAL.

Table of Contents

THE PATRIOT STOCK PURCHASE AGREEMENT

The following summary of the material provisions of the Stock Purchase Agreement is qualified by reference to the complete text of the Stock Purchase Agreement for Patriot, a copy of which is attached as Annex A to this document. All stockholders are encouraged to read the Stock Purchase Agreement in its entirety for a more complete description of the terms and conditions of the acquisition.

Structure of the Acquisition

Upon completion of the acquisition under the Stock Purchase Agreement, Inter-Atlantic will own all of the outstanding stock of Patriot. Patriot will continue to operate its business in the same manner as before the acquisition.

Purchase Price

The purchase price for the shares of Patriot is 6,900,000 shares of newly issued Inter-Atlantic Class B common stock, plus the contingent deferred payments which are as follows: in the event that at any time after the closing but prior the fifth anniversary of the closing date of the transaction, the average closing trading price of Inter-Atlantic common stock on the NYSE Amex (or on another national securities market on which the Company's common stock is then quoted for trading) equals or exceeds the following per share amounts for 20 consecutive trading days: (i) \$12, (ii) \$13, (iii) \$14, (iv) \$15 and (v) \$16 then the consideration payable to the shareholders of Patriot shall be increased by an additional 1,000,000 shares of newly issued Inter-Atlantic Class B common stock for reaching each of the foregoing per share amounts. All shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock to be issued to the stockholders of Patriot as purchase price will be issued without registration under applicable securities laws pursuant to an exemption from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. These shares were offered to the stockholders of Patriot in a privately negotiated transaction in connection with the acquisition of Patriot. No form of general solicitation or general advertising was used to offer or sell these shares. Each stockholder of Patriot represented that such stockholder is an accredited investor within the meaning of Regulation D under the Securities Act of 1933.

Accounting for the Transaction

The transaction will be accounted for as a reverse acquisition, equivalent to a recapitalization through the issuance of stock by Patriot for the net monetary assets of Inter-Atlantic. This determination was made based on management's evaluation of the facts and circumstances associated with the transaction, including factors such as continuity of Patriot's management, continuity of Patriot's operations and business plan, a larger Patriot representation on the Board of Directors, ownership of the combined company and potential changes to ownership, and affiliations and ownership levels of minority stockholder groups. The net monetary assets of Inter-Atlantic will be recorded as of the acquisition date, at their respective historical cost. No goodwill or other intangible assets will be recorded as a result of the transaction.

Closing of the Acquisition

The closing of the acquisition will take place on the second business day following the satisfaction or waiver of the conditions described below under "The Patriot Stock Purchase Agreement - Conditions to the Completion of the Acquisition," unless Inter-Atlantic and the stockholders of Patriot agree in writing to another time.

Representations and Warranties

The Stock Purchase Agreement contains a number of representations and warranties that Patriot and each of the stockholders of Patriot, as to their shares of Patriot stock, made to Inter-Atlantic, and which Inter-Atlantic made to the stockholders of Patriot. The representations and warranties made by the Patriot stockholders as to themselves relate to:

- authority; execution and delivery; enforceability of the Stock Purchase Agreement;
- litigation and claims;
- absence of conflicts or violations under organizational documents, certain agreements and applicable laws or decrees;
- absence of brokers or finders;
- title to the shares of Patriot;
- investment representations; and
- receipt of all required consents and approvals;
- accredited investor status.

Table of Contents

The representations and warranties made by Patriot relate to:

- organization, good standing;
- accounting practices;
- subsidiaries, equity interests;
- tax matters;
- absence of conflicts or violations under organizational documents, certain agreements and applicable laws or decrees;
- absence of certain changes and events since December 31, 2008;
- receipt of all required consents and approvals;
- litigations and claims;
- authority, execution and delivery and enforceability of the Stock Purchase Agreement;
- environmental matters;
- capitalization; options;
- employee plans;
- title to assets; sufficiency of assets;
- accounts receivable, reinsurance recoverables;
- real property and leasehold interests;
- major customers;
- employment related agreements and actions;
- insurance matters;
- contracts;
- investment company;
- intellectual property;
- interests of officers and directors;
- insurance;
- privacy;
- books and records;
- the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act; and
- financial statements; liabilities;
- bank accounts.

The representations and warranties made by Inter-Atlantic relate to:

- organization; good standing;
- material contracts;
- authority; execution and delivery; enforceability of the Stock Purchase Agreement;
- purchase price;
- absence of conflicts or violations under organizational documents, certain agreements and applicable laws or decrees;
- litigations and claims;
- consents and approvals;
- capitalization;
- investment company;
- absence of brokers and finders;
- SEC reports;
- board approval; and
- employee benefit plans;
- tax free merger.
- trust fund;

Table of Contents

Materiality and Material Adverse Effect

Several of the representations and warranties of the Patriot stockholders and Patriot are qualified by materiality or material adverse effect. For the purposes of the Stock Purchase Agreement, a material adverse effect means any event, condition or contingency that has had, or is reasonably likely to have, a material adverse effect on the business, assets, liabilities, results of operations or condition (financial or otherwise) of Patriot and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole, except that a material adverse effect shall not include any such effect or change resulting from or arising in connection with: (i) changes or events affecting the United States economy or United States financial markets as a whole or the United States workers compensation insurance industry generally so long as such changes or events do not have a materially disproportional effect on Patriot and its subsidiaries, (ii) the announcement of the execution of the stock purchase agreement, or the pendency of the transactions contemplated in the stock purchase agreement, (iii) any change in GAAP or SAP or interpretation thereof after the date of the stock purchase agreement, (iv) the execution and performance of or compliance with the stock purchase agreement, (v) any change in applicable law, rule or regulation, or (vi) any circumstance, change or effect resulting from any act of terrorism or war.

Interim Operations Relating to Patriot

Under the Stock Purchase Agreement, Patriot's stockholders and Patriot have agreed to cause Patriot, prior to the closing of the acquisition, to conduct Patriot's business in the ordinary course consistent with past practice, except as expressly permitted by the Stock Purchase Agreement. In addition to this agreement regarding the conduct of the business generally, subject to specific exceptions, the Patriot stockholders have agreed that, without the prior written consent of Inter-Atlantic, Patriot:

- will not make any material change in its business or operations;
- will not incur any loans or other debt obligations to any third party;
- will not incur or discharge any liability or sell or transfer any property, or acquire or dispose of any assets or businesses, in each case, except in the ordinary course of business;
- will not guarantee or assume any other obligation or make any loan or advance to any third party;
- will not waive or cancel any debt owed to, or claims held by, Patriot except in the ordinary course of business;
- will not settle or compromise any dispute or litigation, except in the ordinary course of business;
- will not make any tax election or change any of its methods of reporting income or deductions for tax purposes, except as required by law;
- will not make any change in the methods of accounting or accounting principles except as required by law or generally accepted accounting principles;
- will not, except as required by law, adopt, amend or terminate any benefit plan, profit sharing, compensation or other plan or grant any general increase in compensation to its employees or any increase (other than increases required under a contract) in the compensation payable to any of its officers or directors, or completely or partially withdraw from any multiemployer plan;
- will not amend, modify, terminate or breach any material contract, or enter into any material contract, except in the ordinary course of business;
- will not enter into, amend or modify any collective bargaining agreements;
- will not authorize, or agree to make, capital expenditures in excess of \$100,000 individually or \$500,000 in the aggregate;
- will not amend its organizational documents;
- will not issue or grant any shares of its capital stock, or any option, warrant or right to purchase any shares of its capital stock, or any security convertible into or exchangeable for any shares of such capital stock, or issue any bonds, notes or other securities;

Table of Contents

will not conduct any capital reorganization of Patriot or redeem or acquire any of its securities, or declare, set aside or make any dividends or distributions of property in respect of Patriot's capital stock; will not fail to maintain the insurance coverage in effect the date of execution of the Stock Purchase Agreement;

will not issue any communication to its employees with respect to compensation, benefits or employment continuation, except as required by law;

will not enter into any partnership or joint venture agreement or arrangement or any similar agreement or arrangement;

will not enter into any contract which would require a consent with respect to the consummation of the acquisition;

will not enter into a voluntary recognition agreement or other contract with any employee association, labor union or other similar organization; and

will not authorize or enter into an agreement in violation of the foregoing;

provided, however, that nothing shall require the written consent of Inter-Atlantic to the extent the action taken or to be taken is in the ordinary course of business of Patriot or otherwise reasonably necessary for Patriot to continue to operate its business as currently conducted or as presently contemplated to be conducted.

Inter-Atlantic's Stockholders Meeting

Inter-Atlantic has agreed to call and hold a meeting of its stockholders, as promptly and as reasonably practicable, for the purpose of seeking the adoption of the acquisition proposal by its stockholders. Inter-Atlantic has also agreed that it will, through its Board of Directors, recommend to its stockholders that they approve and adopt the acquisition proposal, subject to applicable law and the fiduciary duties (exercised in good faith and on the advice of independent legal counsel) of Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors.

Access to Information; Confidentiality

The stockholders of Patriot will afford to Inter-Atlantic and its representatives prior to the closing of the acquisition reasonable access during normal business hours to all of Patriot's and its subsidiary's properties, assets, liabilities, books and records, operations and businesses and access to their directors, officers, employees, customers and business partners for the purposes of such meetings and communications as Inter-Atlantic and the stockholders of Patriot reasonably agree.

The stockholders of Patriot agree to hold in confidence all information of Patriot which is non-public, confidential or proprietary in nature, other than disclosures that are required by law.

Restrictions on Transfer

The stockholders of Patriot will not transfer, sell, assign, pledge or otherwise dispose of the shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock that they receive at the closing of the acquisition, other than certain permitted transfers to relatives, affiliates, family trusts and the like until the six month anniversary, provided, however, that Messrs. Steven Mariano, Timothy Tompkins, Ronald Formento, Richard Allen, John Del Pizzo, C. Timothy Morris shall not transfer all or any part of, or any interest in, any shares of Inter-Atlantic received by them at the Closing or pursuant to the contingent deferred payment until the first anniversary of the Closing.

Board Designation Right and Voting Agreement

Andrew Lerner and Frederick Hammer, current directors of Inter-Atlantic, have the right to designate for election or appointment two (2) members to the Board of Directors of Inter-Atlantic, who shall initially be Messrs. Lerner and Hammer. Patriot shall use its reasonable best efforts to cause such designees to be included in the slate of nominees recommended by the Inter-Atlantic Board to Inter-Atlantic's stockholders for election as directors, and Steven Mariano, Chairman, CEO and President of Patriot, shall vote, and cause his respective affiliates to vote, all shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock owned, held or controlled beneficially or of record by Mr. Mariano and his affiliates, in favor of such designees.

Table of Contents

Dividends

Inter-Atlantic stockholders at the time of closing will become holders of Class A common stock, which Class A common stock is anticipated to receive a dividend of \$0.20 per share per quarter, if and when declared by the Board of Directors. Class A common stock is to receive an aggregate of \$2.40 in dividends, inclusive of any quarterly dividends, on or prior to a change of control transaction or a liquidation. The Inter-Atlantic Class B common stock only converts into Class A common stock after the Class A common stock receives \$2.40 per share in aggregate dividends, or the share price exceeds an average price of \$11 per share for 20 consecutive trading days, whichever is earlier. It is anticipated that the Inter-Atlantic Class B common stock to be received by current Patriot stockholders will not receive dividends.

Reasonable Efforts; Notification

Inter-Atlantic and the stockholders of Patriot have agreed that they will use their respective reasonable best efforts to take all actions, and to do all things necessary, proper or advisable to consummate the acquisition and the transactions contemplated by the Stock Purchase Agreement. This includes:

- obtaining all necessary waivers, consents and approvals from governmental entities and making all necessary registrations and filings, including filings with governmental entities;
- obtaining all necessary consents, approvals or waivers from third parties; and
- executing and delivering any additional instruments necessary to consummate the acquisition or other transactions contemplated by the Stock Purchase Agreement and to fully carry out the purposes of the Stock Purchase Agreement and the transaction agreements contemplated by the Stock Purchase Agreement.

The Patriot stockholders will give prompt notice to Inter-Atlantic, and Inter-Atlantic will give prompt notice to the Patriot stockholders, of the occurrence of any event or condition which would result in such party's inability to satisfy any of the other party's conditions to the closing of the acquisition. However, no notification will affect the representations, warranties, covenants or agreements of the parties or the conditions to the obligation of the parties under the Stock Purchase Agreement or the related agreements.

Equity Compensation Plan

Inter-Atlantic agreed to submit to its stockholders for their approval a proposed equity compensation plan that would permit the granting of stock options, shares of restricted stock and other awards to such persons in such amounts as may be determined by the compensation committee of Inter-Atlantic's Board of Directors. The pool of shares initially available for this plan will equal 3,000,000 shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock. See "The Plan Proposal" on page 74.

Indemnification

Patriot will hold harmless Inter-Atlantic, its affiliates and their respective representatives, successors and permitted assigns for any damages, whether as a result of a third party or otherwise, which arise from or in connection with a breach of a representation or warranty with respect to themselves. Inter-Atlantic has agreed to hold harmless the stockholders of Patriot and its representatives, successors and permitted assigns, for any damages, whether as a result of any third party or otherwise, and which arise from or in connection with a breach of representations, warranties and covenants of Inter-Atlantic. Patriot and its stockholders each have irrevocably waived any claim they may have, now or in the future (in each case, however, prior to the consummation of a business combination), and will not seek recourse against, Inter-Atlantic's trust fund for any reason whatsoever. Subject to certain exceptions, claims made by Inter-Atlantic, its affiliates and their respective representatives, successors and permitted assigns for breaches of the representations and warranties of the Patriot stockholders may be asserted only once an aggregate of \$250,000 in damages for all claims has been incurred. Subject to certain exceptions, the maximum indemnification amount that may be received by Inter-Atlantic for breaches of representations and warranties with respect to Patriot will not exceed \$6,000,000. The representations and warranties of the Patriot stockholders with respect to Patriot will survive the closing until 30 days after Inter-Atlantic files its form 10-K for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2010, however certain representation and warranties will survive for a longer period.

Inter-Atlantic agrees not to change, for six years after the closing, the provisions of its certificate of incorporation and bylaws relating to indemnification of each present and former director of Inter-Atlantic in a manner that adversely affects the rights of such director, and to maintain director's and officers' liability insurance coverage for such directors

for six years after the closing.

Fees and Expenses

Subject to certain exceptions in Section 5.12 of the Stock Purchase Agreement, except for certain expenses in connection with indemnification claims, disputes regarding contingent deferred payments and transfer taxes, Patriot, on the one hand, and Inter-Atlantic, on the other, shall be responsible for their own fees and expenses (including, without limitation, legal and accounting fees and expenses) in connection with the Stock Purchase Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby.

Table of Contents

Public Announcements

Inter-Atlantic and the stockholders of Patriot have agreed that any announcements concerning the transactions provided for in the Stock Purchase Agreement by Inter-Atlantic or the stockholders of Patriot shall be subject to the prior approval of both parties, except that approval shall not be required as to any statements and other information which any party may be required to make pursuant to any applicable rule or regulation of the Securities and Exchange Commission, any United States or foreign securities exchange or otherwise required by law.

Conditions to the Completion of the Acquisition

Each of Inter-Atlantic's and Patriot's stockholders' obligations to effect the acquisition is subject to the satisfaction or waiver of specified conditions before completion of the acquisition, including the following:

Conditions to Inter-Atlantic's Obligations

The obligations of Inter-Atlantic to effect the acquisition are further subject to the following conditions:

the representations and warranties of the stockholders of Patriot that are qualified as to materiality must be true and correct and those not qualified as to materiality must be true and correct in all material respects, as of April 23, 2009, and as of the date of completion of the acquisition, except representations and warranties that address matters as of another date, which must be true and correct as of that other date;

the stockholders of Patriot must have performed in all material respects all covenants and agreements that are to be performed by them prior to the closing date;

there must not have occurred any material adverse effect on Patriot since the date of the Stock Purchase Agreement;

the absence of any order or injunction preventing consummation of the acquisition or the right of Patriot or any of its subsidiaries to operate their respective businesses after the completion of the acquisition;

the stockholders of Patriot shall have obtained all written consents, approvals, waivers or similar authorizations necessary to consummate the acquisition and the transactions contemplated by the Stock Purchase Agreement;

Inter-Atlantic shall have received evidence satisfactory to it of the release and discharge of any liens pursuant to the stock purchase agreement;

the stockholders of Patriot shall have delivered their Patriot stock certificates and evidence that Inter-Atlantic has been entered into the corporate records of each relevant entity as the holder of record of such stock certificates;

Inter-Atlantic shall have received a good standing certificate for Patriot and its subsidiaries in accordance with the stock purchase agreement;

the stockholders of Patriot and each of the directors of Patriot will have executed and delivered to Inter-Atlantic a general release with respect to events occurring prior to the closing; and

Inter-Atlantic will have received stockholder approval of the acquisition and the amended and restated certificate of incorporation.

Conditions to Patriot's Obligations

The obligation of Patriot's stockholders to effect the acquisition is further subject to the following conditions:

the representations and warranties of Inter-Atlantic that are qualified as to materiality must be true and correct and those not qualified as to materiality must be true and correct in all material respects, as of April 23, 2009, and the date of completion of the acquisition, except representations and warranties that address matters as of another date, which must be true and correct as of that other date;

Inter-Atlantic must have performed in all material respects all covenants and agreements that are to be performed by them prior to the closing date;

Table of Contents

there must not have occurred any material adverse effect on Inter-Atlantic since the date of the Stock Purchase Agreement;

Inter-Atlantic shall have obtained all written consents, approvals, waivers or similar authorizations necessary to consummate the acquisition and the transactions contemplated by the Stock Purchase Agreement;

Inter-Atlantic will have received the stockholder approval of the acquisition, the amended and restated certificate of incorporation and the 2009 Stock Incentive Plan;

the Patriot stockholders shall have received the written resignations of all directors of Inter-Atlantic except for Messrs. Lerner and Hammer, effective as of the closing time;

Inter-Atlantic shall have made arrangements to have the trust fund disbursed to Inter-Atlantic immediately upon the closing;

holders of thirty percent or more of shares of Inter-Atlantic common stock issued in Inter-Atlantic's initial public offering shall not have exercised rights to convert their shares;

amendment of the Inter-Atlantic warrants so that these warrants shall be effectively redeemed at closing for no more than \$0.50 per warrant; and

Inter-Atlantic must have a minimum of \$35,000,000 in cash at closing, net of capped transaction expenses (\$4.5 million for Inter-Atlantic and \$3.225 million for Patriot) set forth in Section 5.12 of the Stock Purchase Agreement herein and the payment obligations of Inter-Atlantic relating to the transactions contemplated hereby, including the expenses related to the redemption or modification of the outstanding warrants.

Termination, Amendment and Waiver

The Stock Purchase Agreement may be terminated at any time prior to the closing of the acquisition, as follows:

- by mutual consent of Inter-Atlantic and the stockholders of Patriot;
- by either party if the other party has breached any of its covenants or representations and warranties in any material respect; or
- by either party if Inter-Atlantic's stockholders fail to approve the acquisition, or if the closing has not occurred by October 9, 2009.

Effect of Termination

In the event of termination by either the Patriot stockholders or Inter-Atlantic, all further obligations and rights of the parties under Stock Purchase Agreement will terminate, with each party responsible for its own costs and expenses except that a party will remain liable for any material breach of the Stock Purchase Agreement that occurred prior to the date of termination. The parties to the Stock Purchase Agreement will not be subject to any penalties (other than possible damages for breach of contract claims) in the event of the termination of the Stock Purchase Agreement.

Assignment

The Stock Purchase Agreement may not be assigned by any party without prior written consent of the counterparty.

Table of Contents

Amendment

The Stock Purchase Agreement may not be amended or modified except by an instrument in writing. In the event the parties contemplate an amendment to the Stock Purchase Agreement following the closing, Inter-Atlantic will establish a special committee of the Board of Directors (which committee shall exclude the Patriot stockholders and their affiliates) for purpose of making all decisions on Inter-Atlantic's behalf.

Further Assurances

Each of Inter-Atlantic and the stockholders of Patriot agreed that they will execute and deliver, or cause to be executed and delivered, on or after the close of the acquisition, all such other documents and instruments and will take all reasonable actions as may be necessary to effectuate the transactions contemplated by the Stock Purchase Agreement.

Governing Law, Jurisdiction

The stock purchase agreement is governed by New York law. The parties to the stock purchase agreement have agreed to waive a jury trial and to submit to the jurisdiction of the courts of New York with respect to an action which arises.

Employment Agreements

Patriot has entered into employment agreements with each of its executive officers. For additional information, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis, Employment Agreements on p. 138.

Table of Contents

INFORMATION ABOUT PATRIOT S BUSINESS

Overview

Patriot produces, underwrites and administers alternative market and traditional workers compensation insurance plans and provides claims services for insurance companies, segregated portfolio cell captives and reinsurers. Through its wholly owned insurance company subsidiary, Guarantee Insurance, Patriot may also participate in a portion of the insurance underwriting risk. In its insurance services segment, Patriot generates fee income by providing workers compensation claims services as well as agency and underwriting services. Workers compensation claims services include nurse case management, cost containment services and claims administration and adjudication services. Workers compensation agency and underwriting services include general agency services and specialty underwriting, policy administration and captive management services. Claims services and agency and underwriting services are performed for the benefit of Guarantee Insurance, segregated portfolio captives, Guarantee Insurance s traditional business quota share reinsurers under the Patriot Risk Services brand and for the benefit of another insurance company under its brand, which Patriot refers to as business process outsourcing. In its insurance segment, Patriot generates underwriting income and investment income by providing alternative market workers compensation risk transfer solutions and traditional workers compensation insurance coverage.

Patriot provides insurance services, alternative market workers compensation risk transfer solutions and traditional workers compensation insurance coverage in Florida, where Guarantee Insurance writes a majority of its business, 22 other states and the District of Columbia. Patriot believes that its insurance services capabilities, specialized alternative market product knowledge and its hybrid business model allow it to achieve attractive returns through a range of industry pricing cycles and provide a substantial competitive advantage in areas that are underserved by competitors, who are generally insurance service providers or insurance carriers. Although Patriot currently focuses its business in the Midwest and Southeast, it believes that there are opportunities to market its insurance services, alternative market workers compensation risk transfer solutions and traditional workers compensation insurance coverage in other areas of the United States.

Patriot s Services and Products

Through its subsidiary, PRS Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries, which collectively Patriot refers to as PRS, Patriot earns income for nurse case management, cost containment and other insurance services, currently provided almost exclusively to Guarantee Insurance, for its benefit and for the benefit of segregated portfolio captives and for the benefit of Patriot s traditional business quota share reinsurers. Cost containment services refer to workers compensation bill review and re-pricing services. Other insurance services refer to workers compensation general agency services and, beginning in the second quarter of 2009, workers compensation policy and claims administration and general underwriting services.

Patriot s unconsolidated insurance services segment income includes all nurse case management, cost containment and other insurance services fee income earned by PRS. However, the fees earned by PRS from Guarantee Insurance that are attributable to the portion of the insurance risk that Guarantee Insurance retains are eliminated upon consolidation. Therefore, Patriot s consolidated insurance services income consists of the fees earned by PRS that are attributable to the portion of the insurance risk assumed by the segregated portfolio captives and Patriot s quota share reinsurers, which represent the fees paid by the segregated portfolio captives and quota share reinsurers for services performed on their behalf and for which Guarantee Insurance is reimbursed through a ceding commission. For financial reporting purposes, Patriot treats ceding commissions as a reduction in net policy acquisition and underwriting expenses. Because its consolidated insurance services income is currently generated principally from the services it provides to Guarantee Insurance for the benefit of the segregated portfolio captives and its quota share reinsurers, Patriot s consolidated insurance services income is currently almost wholly dependent on Guarantee Insurance s premium and risk retention levels. However, Patriot expects its nurse case management, cost containment and other insurance services operations will become less dependent over time on Guarantee Insurance s premium and risk retention levels as it expands its general agency appointments, obtains general underwriting appointments and secures other third-party insurance services contracts for nurse case management, cost containment and third party administration services.

Through its subsidiary Guarantee Insurance Company, or Guarantee Insurance, Patriot provides alternative market workers' compensation risk transfer solutions, including workers' compensation policies or arrangements where the policyholder, an agent or another party generally bears a substantial portion of the underwriting risk. For example, the policyholder, an agent or another party may bear a substantial portion of the underwriting risk through the reinsurance of the risk by a segregated portfolio captive that is controlled by the policyholder, an agent or another party. A segregated portfolio captive refers to a captive reinsurance company that operates as a single legal entity with segregated pools of assets, or segregated portfolio cells, the assets and associated liabilities of which are solely for the benefit of the segregated portfolio cell participants. Through its segregated portfolio captive arrangements, Patriot generally retains between 10% and 50% of the underwriting risk and earns a ceding commission from the captive, which is payment to Guarantee Insurance by the captive of a commission as compensation for providing underwriting, policy and claims administration, captive management and investment portfolio management services.

Table of Contents

Patriot's alternative market business also includes other arrangements through which it shares underwriting risk with its policyholders, such as large deductible policies or policies for which the final premium is based on the insured's actual loss experience during the policy term, which are referred to as retrospectively rated policies. Unlike its traditional workers' compensation policies, these arrangements align Patriot's interests with those of the policyholders or other parties participating in the risk-sharing arrangements, allowing them to share in the underwriting profit or loss. In addition, Patriot's alternative market business includes policies issued to certain professional employer organizations and professional temporary staffing organizations on which it retains the risk. The excess of loss reinsurance on these policies is provided by the same reinsurer that covers Patriot's segregated portfolio captive insurance plans, retrospectively rated plans and large deductible plans, and these plans may be converted to risk sharing arrangements in the future.

Patriot typically provides alternative market risk transfer solutions to:

- larger and medium-sized employers such as hospitality companies, construction companies, professional employer organizations, clerical and professional temporary staffing companies, industrial companies and car dealerships;
- low to medium hazard classes and some higher hazard classes; and
- accounts with annual premiums ranging from \$200,000 to \$3 million.

Through Guarantee Insurance, Patriot also provides traditional workers' compensation insurance coverage, under which Guarantee Insurance bears a higher portion of the underwriting risk, subject to reinsurance arrangements. Patriot manages that risk through the use of quota share and excess of loss reinsurance. Quota share reinsurance is a form of proportional reinsurance in which the reinsurer assumes an agreed upon percentage of each risk being insured and shares all premiums and losses with Patriot in that proportion. Excess of loss reinsurance covers all or a specified portion of losses on underlying insurance policies in excess of a specified amount, or retention. Patriot typically provides traditional workers' compensation insurance coverage to:

- small to medium-sized employers in a broad array of industries, including clerical and professional services, food services, retail and wholesale operations and industrial services;
- low to medium hazard classes; and
- accounts with annual premiums below \$250,000.

In 2009, Patriot entered into an agreement with another insurance company to gain access to workers' compensation insurance business in certain additional states. Under this agreement, Patriot earns commissions for writing business and insurance services income for providing underwriting, policy and claims administration, nurse case management and cost containment services and, in certain cases, services to segregated portfolio cell captives on the business it produces for the other insurance company. Additionally, in certain cases, Patriot assumes a portion of the premium and associated losses and loss adjustment expenses on the business it produces for the other insurance company.

Patriot's Competitive Strengths

Patriot believes it has the following competitive strengths:

Exclusive Focus on Workers' Compensation Services and Products. Patriot's operations are focused exclusively on workers' compensation insurance services, workers' compensation alternative market risk management solutions and traditional workers' compensation insurance coverage. Patriot believes this focus allows it to provide superior services and products to its customers relative to exclusive providers of insurance services, including insurance agents and brokers, nurse case management organizations, bill review and re-pricing companies and third-party administrators as well as traditional monoline and multiline insurance carriers. Furthermore, a significant portion of Patriot's services and products are provided in Florida, and it believes that certain of its multiline competitors that offer workers' compensation coverage as part of a package policy including commercial property coverage tend to compete less for Florida workers' compensation business because of property-related loss experience.

Table of Contents

Hybrid Business Model. In addition to the fee income it earns for nurse case management, cost containment and other insurance services, Patriot also earns ceding commissions on a substantial portion of its alternative market business involving segregated portfolio cell captives and it earns underwriting income on its risk bearing traditional workers' compensation business. Because its nurse case management and cost containment service income is principally related to workers' compensation claim frequency and medical costs, the operating results of Patriot's insurance services segment are not materially dependent on fluctuations or trends in prevailing workers' compensation insurance premium rates. Patriot believes that by changing the emphasis it places on its insurance services segment and ceding commission-based alternative market business relative to its risk-bearing traditional workers' compensation business, it will be better able to achieve attractive returns and growth through a range of market cycles than if it only offered (i) nurse case management and cost containment services that are principally related to workers' compensation claims frequency and medical costs or (ii) general agency services and premium-based risk-bearing products that are materially dependent on prevailing workers' compensation insurance premium rates.

Targeted Market for Alternative Market Risk Transfer Solutions. Although other insurers generally only offer alternative market products to large corporate customers, Patriot offers alternative market workers' compensation solutions to medium-sized employers as well as larger companies, enabling them and others to share in the claims experience and be rewarded for favorable loss experience.

Enhanced Traditional Business Product Offerings. In its risk-bearing traditional business, Patriot offers a number of flexible payment plans, including pay-as-you-go plans in which it partners with payroll service companies and its independent agents and their small employer clients to collect premiums and payroll information on a monthly or bi-weekly basis. Pay-as-you-go plans provide Patriot with current payroll data and allow employers to remit premiums through their payroll service provider in an automated fashion. Flexible payment plans give employers a way to purchase workers' compensation insurance without having to make a large upfront premium deposit payment. Patriot believes that flexible payment plans, including pay-as-you-go plans, for small employers provide it with the opportunity to earn more favorable underwriting margins due to several factors:

- i. favorable cash flows afforded under this plan can be more important to smaller employers than a price differential;
- ii. smaller employers are generally less able to obtain premium rate credits and discounts; and
- iii. the premium remittance mechanism results in a more streamlined renewal process and a lower frequency of business being re-marketed at renewal, leading to more favorable retention rates.

Specialized Underwriting Expertise. Patriot selects and prices its alternative market and traditional business based on the specific risk associated with each potential policyholder rather than solely on the policyholder's industry class. It utilizes state-specific actuarial models on accounts with annual premiums over \$100,000. Patriot's field underwriters are experienced underwriting workers' compensation insurance. In its alternative market business, Patriot seeks to align its interests with those of its policyholders or other parties participating in the risk-sharing arrangements by having them share in the underwriting profits and losses. Patriot believes that it can compete effectively for alternative market and traditional insurance business based on its specialized underwriting focus and its accessibility to its clients. It generally competes on these attributes more so than on price, which it believes is generally not a differentiating factor in the states in which it writes most of our business. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and year ended December 31, 2008, Patriot reported consolidated net loss ratios of 55.6% and 57.5%, respectively. The net loss ratio is the ratio between losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred and net premiums earned, and is a measure of the effectiveness of its underwriting efforts.

Sound Reserving Practices and Synergisms Between Claims Management and Nurse Case Management and Bill Review Services. Guarantee Insurance began writing business as a subsidiary of Patriot Risk Management, Inc. in the first quarter of 2004. As its business has grown, Patriot has demonstrated success in estimating its total liabilities for losses, establishing and maintaining adequate case reserves

and rapidly closing claims. Patriot provides its customers with an active claims management program. Its claims department employees average more than 12 years of workers' compensation insurance industry experience, and members of its claims management team average more than 24 years of workers' compensation experience. In addition, Patriot's nurse case management and bill review professionals have extensive training and expertise in assisting injured workers to return to work quickly. As of December 31, 2008, approximately 0.4%, 2%, 2% and 6% of total reported claims for accident years 2004, 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively, remained open.

Table of Contents

Strong Distribution Relationships. Patriot maintains relationships with its network of more than 490 independent, non-exclusive agencies in 22 states and the District of Columbia by emphasizing personal interaction, offering superior services and maintaining an exclusive focus on alternative market workers compensation solutions and traditional workers compensation insurance coverage. Patriot's experienced underwriters work closely with its independent agents to market its products and serve the needs of prospective policyholders.

Proven Leadership and Experienced Management. The members of Patriot's senior management team average over 19 years of insurance industry experience and over 15 years of workers compensation insurance experience. Their authority and areas of responsibility are consistent with their functional and state-specific experience.

Patriot's Strategy

Patriot believes that this merger will provide it with the additional capital necessary to increase the amount of insurance that it plans to write. Patriot plans to continue pursuing profitable growth and favorable returns on equity and believes that its competitive strengths will help it achieve the goal of delivering superior returns to its investors. Patriot's strategy to achieve these goals is to:

Expand in Its Existing Markets. In all of the states in which Patriot operates, it believes that a significant portion of total workers compensation insurance premium is written by numerous companies that individually have a small market share. Patriot believes that its market share in each of the states in which it currently write business does not exceed 2%. It plans to continue to take advantage of its competitive position to expand in its existing markets. Patriot believes that the strength of its risk selection, claims management, nurse case management and cost containment services positions it to profitably increase market share in its existing markets.

Expand into Additional Markets. Patriot is actively licensed to write workers compensation insurance in 31 states and the District of Columbia, and it holds inactive licenses in an additional 9 states. In 2008, Patriot wrote traditional and alternative market business in 23 jurisdictions, principally in those jurisdictions that it believes provide the greatest opportunity for near-term profitable growth. In 2008, approximately 82% of Patriot's traditional and alternative market business was written in Florida, New Jersey, Missouri, Georgia, Indiana and New York. It wrote approximately 46% of its direct premiums written in Florida in 2008. In 2009, Patriot entered into an agreement with another insurance company to gain access to workers compensation insurance business in certain additional states. Under this agreement, Patriot earns commissions for writing business and insurance services income for providing underwriting, policy and claims administration, nurse case management and cost containment services and, in certain cases, services to segregated portfolio cell captives on the business it produces for the other insurance company. Additionally, in certain cases, Patriot assumes a portion of the premium and associated losses and loss adjustment expenses on the business it produces for the other insurance company. Patriot is seeking additional agreements with other insurance companies under similar arrangements. These relationships may involve fronting arrangements, where Patriot assumes a portion of the underwriting risk, or distribution and insurance services relationships, where it does not assume any underwriting risk but earn commissions for writing business and insurance services income for providing nurse case management and cost containment services and, in certain cases, services to segregated portfolio cell captives. Patriot plans to expand its business to other states where it believes it can profitably write business. To do this, Patriot plans to leverage its talented pool of personnel that have prior expertise operating in states in which it does not currently operate. In addition, it may seek to acquire other insurance companies, books of business or other workers compensation policy and claims administration providers, general agencies or

general underwriting organizations as it expands in its existing markets and into additional markets.

Expand Nurse Case Management, Cost Containment and Other Insurance Services Operations. Patriot plans to continue to generate fee income through its insurance services segment by offering workers compensation nurse case management and cost containment services to the segregated portfolio captives and its quota share reinsurers. It plans to offer these services, together with reinsurance intermediary, general agency, general underwriting and policy and claims administration services, to other regional and national insurance companies and self-insured employers. Patriot plans to increase its insurance services income by expanding both organically and through strategic acquisitions of workers compensation policy and claims administration providers, general agencies or general underwriting organizations. Taking advantage of its hybrid business model, Patriot plans to identify and acquire insurance services operations that will create synergies with its alternative market and traditional workers compensation business.

Leverage Existing Infrastructure. Patriot services its insurance services customers and policyholders through regional offices in three states, each of which it believes has been staffed to accommodate a certain level of insurance services business and premium growth. Patriot plans to realize economies of scale in its workforce and leverage other scalable infrastructure costs.

Table of Contents

Patriot's Organization

Patriot Risk Management, Inc. was incorporated in Delaware in April 2003 by Steven M. Mariano, its Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer. In September 2003, Patriot's wholly owned subsidiary, Guarantee Insurance Group, Inc., acquired Guarantee Insurance Company ("Guarantee Insurance"), a shell property and casualty insurance company that was not writing new business at the time Patriot acquired it. At that time, Guarantee Insurance had approximately \$3.2 million in loss and loss adjustment expense reserves relating to commercial general liability claims that had been in run-off since 1983, and was licensed to write insurance business in 41 states and the District of Columbia. Guarantee Insurance is domiciled in Florida and began writing business as a subsidiary of Patriot Risk Management, Inc. in the first quarter of 2004. Guarantee Insurance is currently actively licensed to write workers compensation insurance in 31 states and the District of Columbia, and holds inactive licenses in an additional 9 states. In 2005, Patriot formed PRS Group, Inc. ("PRS") as a wholly owned subsidiary and incorporated Patriot Risk Services, Inc. and Patriot Re International, Inc. as wholly owned subsidiaries of PRS. PRS provides nurse case management and cost containment services for the benefit of Guarantee Insurance, the segregated portfolio captives and Patriot's quota share reinsurers. Additionally, Patriot Re International, Inc. is licensed as a reinsurance intermediary broker in 2 jurisdictions.

In February 2008, Patriot changed the names of several of its companies. Prior to February 2008, Patriot Risk Management, Inc. was named SunCoast Holdings, Inc.; Guarantee Insurance Group, Inc. was named Brandywine Insurance Holdings, Inc.; and PRS Group, Inc. was named Patriot Risk Management, Inc.

In 2009, Patriot renamed two of its inactive subsidiaries Patriot Underwriters, Inc. and Patriot General Agency, Inc. Patriot plans to license these entities, as appropriate, and use them to provide general agency and general underwriting services to third parties and reinsurance brokerage services to Guarantee Insurance as well as third parties. General agency services were provided to third parties by certain PRS Group, Inc. subsidiaries in 2008 and 2007. Patriot Risk Services, Inc. is currently licensed as an insurance agent or producer in 19 jurisdictions. Patriot Insurance Management Company is currently licensed as an insurance agent or producer in 34 jurisdictions. Patriot Underwriters, Inc. is licensed as an insurance producer in 15 jurisdictions. Patriot General Agency, Inc. is licensed as an insurance producer in 38 jurisdictions. Patriot plans to utilize Patriot Underwriters, Inc. and Patriot General Agency, Inc. to provide general agency and general underwriting services to third parties and cease providing general agency services through Patriot Risk Services, Inc. and Patriot Insurance Management Company.

Patriot's current corporate structure is as follows:

Table of Contents

Industry Overview and Outlook

Workers' compensation insurance is a system established under state and federal laws under which employers are required to pay for their employees' medical, disability, vocational rehabilitation and death benefit costs for injuries, death or occupational diseases arising out of employment, regardless of fault. The principal concept underlying workers' compensation laws is that employees injured in the course and scope of their employment have only the legal remedies available under workers' compensation laws and do not have any other recourse against their employer. An employer's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits does not depend on any negligence or wrongdoing on the part of the employer and exists even for injuries that result from the negligence or fault of another person, a co-employee or, in most instances, the injured employee.

Insurance Services

Insurance services include workers' compensation general agency and underwriting services, including policy administration, and claims services. Claims services include nurse case management, cost containment and claims administration.

With respect to workers' compensation general agency and underwriting services, many regional and national insurance companies offering workers' compensation insurance coverage utilize managing general agencies and managing general underwriters to produce and underwrite their business. Patriot believes that there are a number of insurance companies, ranging from small regional to large national carriers, seeking to expand into specific states and specific customer segments but lacking the specialized underwriting and claims infrastructure to expand successfully. With respect to claims services, workers' compensation nurse case management and cost containment services are intended to help control the cost of workers' compensation claims through intervention and ongoing review of services proposed and provided. Claims case management and cost containment techniques were originally developed to stem the rising costs of medical care for employers and health insurance companies. Employers and workers' compensation insurance companies have been slow to implement nurse case management and cost containment techniques to workers' compensation claims, primarily because the aggregate costs are relatively small compared to costs associated with group health benefits and because state-by-state regulations related to workers' compensation are far more complex than those related to group health insurance. However, Patriot believes that employers and insurance carriers have been increasing their focus on nurse case management and cost containment to control their workers' compensation costs.

An increasing number of states have adopted legislation encouraging the use of workers' compensation nurse case management and cost containment to assist employers in controlling their workers' compensation costs. These laws generally provide employers an opportunity to channel injured employees into provider networks. In certain states, these laws require licensed organizations to offer certain specified services, such as utilization management, case management, peer review and provider bill review. Patriot believes that these laws generally establish a framework within which it can provide its customers a full range of nurse case management and cost containment services for greater workers' compensation cost control.

Certain states do not permit employers to restrict a claimant's choice of provider, making it more difficult for employers to utilize, or engage other organizations to provide, nurse case management and cost containment techniques.

However, in certain states, employers have the right to direct employees to a specific primary healthcare provider during the onset of a workers' compensation case, subject to the right of the employee to change physicians after a specific period. In addition, workers' compensation laws vary from state to state, making it difficult for multi-state employers to adopt uniform policies to administer, manage and control the costs of benefits. As a result, Patriot believes that effective nurse case management and cost containment requires approaches tailored to the specified regulatory environment in which the employer is operating.

Insurance

Workers' compensation insurance policies generally provide that the insurance carrier will pay all benefits that the insured employer may become obligated to pay under applicable workers' compensation laws. Each state has a regulatory and adjudicatory system that quantifies the level of wage replacement to be paid, determines the level of medical benefits to be provided and the cost of permanent impairment and specifies the options in selecting medical providers available to the injured employee or the employer. These state laws generally require two types of benefits

for injured employees: (1) medical benefits, which include expenses related to diagnosis and treatment of the injury, as well as any required rehabilitation, and (2) indemnity payments, which consist of temporary wage replacement, permanent disability payments and death benefits to surviving family members. To fulfill these mandated financial obligations, virtually all employers are required to purchase workers' compensation insurance or, if permitted by state law or approved by the U.S. Department of Labor, to self-insure. The employers may purchase workers' compensation insurance from a private insurance carrier, a state-sanctioned assigned risk pool or a self-insurance fund, which is an entity that allows employers to obtain workers' compensation coverage on a pooled basis. Employers, agents and other parties may also participate in workers' compensation underwriting risk through a segregated portfolio captive that is controlled by the policyholder, agent or another party, or through other risk sharing arrangements, such as large deductible policies or retrospectively rated policies.

Table of Contents

Patriot focuses on writing alternative market and traditional workers' compensation insurance in the states that it believes provide the greatest opportunity for profitable growth. In selecting the states in which it operates, Patriot takes into account a number of criteria, including prevailing underwriting profitability as measured by the National Council on Compensation Insurance, Inc., or NCCI. For the year ended December 31, 2008, approximately 78% of Patriot's direct premiums written were written in five of the ten jurisdictions with the lowest industry combined ratios according to NCCI data for the 2007 calendar year.

Patriot believes the challenges faced by the workers' compensation insurance industry over the past few years have created significant opportunity for it to increase the amount of business that it writes. According to the 2008 NCCI State of the Line Report, the workers compensation insurance industry combined ratio for 2007 is projected to reach 99%, a six-point increase from 2006's combined ratio of 93%. In addition to the eroding combined ratio, NCCI reports that the industry's surplus also declined over 17% during the year. Large carriers who traditionally compete for business on price rather than service dominate the industry. As the industry moves towards an average underwriting loss (greater than 100% combined ratio) the large carriers are forced to charge higher prices and workers compensation clients shift their buying habits from seeking the lowest cost option to buying the best service option. Since Patriot specializes in specialty niche underwriting, anytime the commodity portion of the market constricts, more business moves towards specialty carriers like Patriot.

Generally, market opportunities for commercial workers' compensation insurers are more favorable when residual markets are less active and less profitable. Residual market organizations are formed to be insurers of last resort, issuing policies to those who are not able to find traditional coverage in the voluntary market. These organizations come in several forms including Joint Underwriting Associations, Health Associations, and Compensation Funds. The 2008 NCCI State of the Line Report shows that residual market policy year premium volume decreased slightly from approximately \$1.2 billion in 2006 to approximately \$1.0 billion in 2007. According to the report, market share for the residual market (NCCI serviced pools) decreased from approximately 10% in 2006 to approximately 8% in 2007. According to the 2008 NCCI State of the Line Report, medical costs remain an area of concern. The report indicates that medical costs increased by approximately 8% per year from 2002 through 2006. The report projects that medical costs will comprise approximately 60% of total workers compensation claim costs in 2008, compared to approximately 53% in 1997 and 46% in 1987. To help control the impact of rising medical costs on workers compensation, Patriot believes that states will continue to enact medical fee schedules and insurers will continue to aggressively manage vendor selection and performance and to control prescription drug expenditures through the use of generic drugs and care management initiatives.

Florida, the state in which Patriot writes the most premiums, is an administered pricing state. In administered pricing states, insurance rates are established by the state insurance regulators and are adjusted periodically. Rate competition generally is not permitted in these states.

In October 2008, the Florida OIR approved an average statewide rate decrease of 18.6% effective January 1, 2009. In February 2009, the Florida OIR approved an average statewide rate increase of 6.4%, effective April 1, 2009, associated with the Florida Supreme Court's decision to eliminate statutory limits on attorney fees that were imposed as a result of 2003 reforms. In June 2009, the Florida OIR approved a rollback to the rates that became effective on January 1, 2009 in connection with Florida legislation that restored the limit on attorney fees and clarified related statutory language that the Florida Supreme Court had determined to be ambiguous.

In October 2007, the NCCI submitted an amended filing calling for a Florida statewide rate decrease of 18.4%, which was approved by the Florida OIR on October 31, 2007 and was effective January 1, 2008. In October 2006, the Florida OIR approved an average statewide rate decrease of 15.7%, effective January 1, 2007.

Significant declines in claim frequency and an improvement in loss development in Florida since the legislature enacted certain reforms in 2003 are the two main reasons for the mandated premium level decreases. Patriot has responded to these rate decreases by expanding its alternative market business in the state, strengthening its collateral on reinsurance balances on Florida alternative market business and increasing consents to rate-on-renewal policies on Florida traditional business. Patriot expects an increase in Florida experience modifications, which serve as a basic factor in the calculation of premiums. It anticipates that its ability to adjust to these market changes will create opportunities as its competitors find the Florida market less desirable.

Table of Contents

Business Segments

Patriot operates in two business segments:

Insurance Services Segment. In its insurance services segment, Patriot generates fee income by providing workers' compensation claims services as well as agency and underwriting services. Workers' compensation claims services include nurse case management, cost containment services and, beginning in the second quarter of 2009, claims administration and adjudication services. Workers' compensation agency and underwriting services include general agency services and, beginning in the second quarter of 2009, specialty underwriting, policy administration and captive management services. Nurse case management and cost containment services are performed for the benefit of Guarantee Insurance, segregated portfolio captives and Guarantee Insurance's traditional business quota share reinsurers under the Patriot Risk Services brand. In addition, claims services and agency and underwriting services are performed for the benefit of another insurance company through business process outsourcing relationships.

Insurance Segment. In its insurance segment, Patriot provides workers' compensation alternative market insurance solutions and traditional workers' compensation policies for small to mid-sized employers as well as larger companies, generally with annual premiums of less than \$3 million. In the alternative market, Patriot writes policies under which the policyholder, an agent or another party bears a substantial portion of the underwriting risk through a segregated portfolio captive. Alternative market business also includes other arrangements through which Patriot shares underwriting risk with its policyholders, including large deductible policies and retrospectively rated policies, all of which allow policyholders to share in their own claims experience. Patriot also writes workers' compensation business for employers with annual premiums generally below \$250,000 for which it bears substantially all of the underwriting risk (subject to reinsurance arrangements), which it refers to as traditional business. For employers with larger annual premiums, Patriot evaluates whether the risk is appropriate for its traditional business or more suited to an alternative market solution.

Insurance Services Segment

Operating Strategy

Through PRS and Patriot Underwriters, Inc., the primary insurance services provided by Patriot are claims services, including nurse case management and cost containment services for workers' compensation claims. In the second quarter of 2009, Patriot began providing these claims services, together with claims administration and adjudication services and general agency and underwriting services to another insurance company through a business process outsourcing relationships.

PRS and Patriot Underwriters, Inc. provided general agency services to Guarantee Insurance until January 1, 2008 and began providing general agency services to other insurance carriers in 2007. As consideration for providing general agency services for Guarantee Insurance, Guarantee Insurance paid PRS and Patriot Underwriters, Inc. general agency commission compensation, a portion of which was retained by PRS and Patriot Underwriters, Inc. and a portion of which was paid by PRS and Patriot Underwriters, Inc. as commission compensation to the producing agents. Effective January 1, 2008, Guarantee Insurance began working directly with agents to market its alternative market and traditional workers' compensation business and paying commissions directly to the producing agents. As a result, PRS and Patriot Underwriters, Inc. ceased earning general agency commissions and ceased paying commissions to the producing agents on Guarantee Insurance business.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, services performed for Guarantee Insurance, the segregated portfolio captives and its quota share reinsurers accounted for approximately 97% of PRS and Patriot Underwriters, Inc. unconsolidated revenues. For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, services performed for Guarantee Insurance, the segregated portfolio captives and its quota share reinsurers accounted for substantially all of PRS and Patriot Underwriters, Inc. unconsolidated revenues. For the year ended December 31, 2005, approximately 24% of PRS and Patriot Underwriters, Inc. unconsolidated revenues were generated from cost containment and other services performed by Tarheel for the benefit of other third parties.

Patriot's unconsolidated insurance services segment income includes all nurse case management, cost containment and other insurance services fee income earned by PRS and Patriot Underwriters, Inc. However, the fees earned by PRS from Guarantee Insurance that are attributable to the portion of the insurance risk that Guarantee Insurance retains are eliminated upon consolidation. Therefore, Patriot's consolidated insurance services income consists of the fees earned by PRS and Patriot Underwriters, Inc. that are attributable to the portion of the insurance risk assumed by the segregated portfolio captives and Guarantee Insurance's quota share reinsurers, which represent the fees paid by the segregated portfolio captives and its quota share reinsurers for services performed on their behalf and for which Guarantee Insurance is reimbursed through a ceding commission.

Because its consolidated insurance services income is currently generated principally from the services it provides to Guarantee Insurance for the benefit of the segregated portfolio captives and its quota share reinsurers, Patriot's consolidated insurance services income is currently almost wholly dependent on Guarantee Insurance's premium and risk retention levels. However, Patriot expects its nurse case management, cost containment and other insurance services operations will become less dependent over time on Guarantee Insurance's premium and risk retention levels as it expands its business process outsourcing business, obtains additional general agency appointments and secures other third-party insurance services contracts for nurse case management, cost containment and claims administration and adjudication services.

Table of Contents

To complement its organic insurance services growth, Patriot intends to expand its insurance services operations through additional targeted strategic relationships and explore acquisition opportunities. Patriot expects certain of these relationships to solely be distribution and insurance services relationships, where it does not assume any underwriting risk but earns commissions for writing business, insurance services income for providing nurse case management and cost containment services and, in certain cases, services to segregated portfolio cell captives. In addition, Patriot may seek to acquire other workers' compensation policy and claims administration providers, general agencies or general underwriting organizations as it expands in its existing markets and into additional markets. Although it is not currently engaged in discussions with any potential acquisition candidates, Patriot is routinely pursuing and evaluating acquisition opportunities that would enable it to expand its insurance services operations.

Customers

Patriot's insurance services revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were derived principally from Guarantee Insurance, the segregated portfolio captives and its quota share reinsurers. Beginning in the second quarter of 2009, Patriot began providing claims services and general agency and underwriting services to another insurance company, and plans to further expand its customer base for these services.

Products and Services

PRS and Patriot Underwriters, Inc. earn insurance services income for the following services:

Claims Services

Nurse Case Management. PRS provides nurse case management services for the benefit of Guarantee Insurance, the segregated portfolio captives and its quota share reinsurers. In the second quarter of 2009, PRS also began providing nurse case management services for the benefit of another insurance company through a business process outsourcing relationship. PRS's nurse case managers have nationally recognized credentials accepted by workers' compensation insurers, including the following: Registered Nurse, Certified Rehabilitation Registered Nurse and State Qualified Rehabilitation Provider. Upon receipt of the notice of injury, Guarantee Insurance claims are assigned to a nurse case manager. PRS's nurse case managers do not provide health care services to the claimant. The nurse case manager's role is to assist in resolving the claim and returning the injured worker to work as efficiently as possible. PRS nurse case managers actively monitor each file pursuant to a process that includes peer review and utilization guidelines for treatment. PRS's nurse case managers contact the injured worker within 24 hours from claim filing to assess and assist in the early-intervention process. Early intervention is essential for medical management and early return to work. PRS's nurse case managers remain active on the claim from inception until claim resolution. The nurse case manager and Guarantee Insurance adjuster work together to achieve the overall goal of helping the injured employee return to work and closing of the claim. The case management process remains active during the course of treatment to help ensure there is medically necessary treatment towards resolution and the injured worker returns to work or pre-injury status. PRS provides these nurse case management services for a flat monthly fee over the life of the claim. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and the year ended December 31, 2008, fees earned by PRS for nurse case management services represented approximately 50% and 47% of total unconsolidated PRS insurance services income, respectively.

Cost Containment Services. PRS provides cost containment services for the benefit of Guarantee Insurance, the segregated portfolio captives and its quota share reinsurers. In the second quarter of 2009, PRS also began providing cost containment services for the benefit of another insurance company through a business process outsourcing relationship. PRS has developed an extensive preferred provider network of physicians, clinics, hospitals, pharmacies and the like. Participating in PPO networks allows access to discounted services, which yield medical costs savings. For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, PRS cost containment activities reduced medical bills by an average of 54% and 45%, resulting in a total savings in medical costs of \$20.5 million and \$10.6 million, respectively. PRS provides these bill review services on a percentage of savings basis. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and the year ended December 31, 2008, fees earned by PRS for cost containment services

represented approximately 46% and 43% of total unconsolidated PRS insurance services income, respectively.

Claims Administration and Adjudication Services. In the second quarter of 2009, PRS began providing workers' compensation claims administration and adjudication services for the benefit of another insurance company through a business process outsourcing relationship. Claims administration and adjudication services are provided pursuant to and in compliance with state rules and regulations as well as client-specific process guidelines. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, fees earned by PRS for claims administration and adjudication services represented less than 1% of total unconsolidated PRS insurance services income.

Table of Contents

General Agency and Underwriting Services

General Agency Service. PRS began acting as a general agent for other insurance companies in late 2007. It facilitates the placement of workers' compensation submissions on behalf of independent retail agents throughout the country, and receives commission income as a percentage of premiums written. PRS does not take underwriting risk. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and the year ended December 31, 2008, fees earned by PRS for general agency services provided to other insurance companies represented approximately 1% and 2% of total unconsolidated PRS insurance services income, respectively. During the second quarter of 2009, PRS began offering general agency services to another insurance company through a business process outsourcing relationship, and plans to further expand its general agency services through additional business processing relationships and carrier appointments.

General Underwriting Services. Patriot Underwriters, Inc. provides workers' compensation general underwriting services to another insurance company through a business process outsourcing relationship. This company, which does not specialize in workers' compensation coverage, contracted with Patriot Underwriters, Inc. to source, underwrite, process and service workers' compensation insurance business on their behalf. Patriot Underwriters, Inc. will earn insurance services fee income for providing these services. The contracting insurance company will benefit from a specialty book of workers' compensation insurance business, written on their insurance policies, without the need for them to build out the necessary infrastructure and distribution network. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, fees earned by Patriot Underwriters, Inc. for general underwriting services provided to another insurance company represented approximately 1% of total unconsolidated PRS and Patriot Underwriters, Inc. insurance services income.

Reinsurance Intermediary Services. Through a reinsurance co-brokerage agreement that Patriot entered into in 2008 with an independent third party reinsurance intermediary, PRS placed excess of loss reinsurance and quota share reinsurance for Guarantee Insurance. This reinsurance co-brokerage agreement was terminated in the second quarter of 2009, and Patriot does not expect reinsurance intermediary services to be a material component of its future insurance services income. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and the year ended December 31, 2008, fees earned by PRS for reinsurance intermediary services represented approximately 2% and 6% of total unconsolidated PRS and Patriot Underwriters, Inc. insurance services income, respectively.

Marketing

PRS and Patriot Underwriters, Inc. market their claims services and general agency and underwriting services, respectively, through direct contact with insurance companies, reinsurance intermediaries and other insurance and claims service providers. Additionally, PRS and Patriot Underwriters, Inc. participate in insurance conventions and industry activities and advertise in insurance industry publications.

Insurance Segment

Operating Strategy

Patriot is committed to individual account underwriting and to selecting quality risks. Within its insurance segment, Patriot has two lines of business: alternative market insurance solutions and traditional business. For alternative market insurance solutions, Patriot's business is comprised of various risk classifications and hazard levels. For higher risk classifications and hazard levels, Patriot mitigates its risk by retaining only a small portion of the exposure, securing adequate collateral to protect its interests in the event of adverse claims experience and charging an appropriate premium for the underlying risks. For traditional business, Patriot generally writes low to medium risk classifications and hazard levels, such as clerical office, light manufacturing, artisan contractors and the service industry.

Alternative Market Insurance Solutions. Through its subsidiary Guarantee Insurance Company, or Guarantee Insurance, Patriot provides alternative market workers' compensation risk transfer solutions, including workers' compensation policies or arrangements where the policyholder, an agent or another party generally bears a substantial portion of the underwriting risk. For example, the policyholder, an agent or another party may bear a substantial

portion of the underwriting risk through the reinsurance of the risk by a segregated portfolio captive that is controlled by the policyholder, an agent or another party. A segregated portfolio captive refers to a captive reinsurance company that operates as a single legal entity with segregated pools of assets, or segregated portfolio cells, the assets and associated liabilities of which are solely for the benefit of the segregated portfolio cell participants. Through its segregated portfolio captive arrangements, Patriot generally retains between 10% and 50% of the underwriting risk and earns a ceding commission from the captive, which is payment to Guarantee Insurance by the captive of a commission as compensation for providing underwriting, policy and claims administration, captive management and investment portfolio management services.

Table of Contents

Patriot's alternative market business also includes other arrangements through which it shares underwriting risk with its policyholders, such as large deductible policies or policies for which the final premium is based on the insured's actual loss experience during the policy term, which are referred to as retrospectively rated policies. Unlike its traditional workers' compensation policies, these arrangements align Patriot's interests with those of the policyholders or other parties participating in the risk-sharing arrangements, allowing them to share in the underwriting profit or loss. In addition, Patriot's alternative market business includes policies issued to certain professional employer organizations and professional temporary staffing organizations on which it retains the risk. The excess of loss reinsurance on these policies is provided by the same reinsurer that covers Patriot's segregated portfolio captive insurance plans, retrospectively rated plans and large deductible plans, and these plans may be converted to risk sharing arrangements in the future.

Many of Patriot's alternative market insurance solutions allow policyholders to share in their own claims experience and be rewarded for low claims costs rather than simply paying fixed premiums. In other cases, agencies or other parties participate in the risk. While Patriot believes that its alternative market insurance solutions are generally available only to larger corporate customers from other insurers, Patriot offers them to middle market clients, generally with stable profitable claims experience. Patriot typically provides alternative market insurance solutions for:

- larger and medium-sized employers such as hospitality companies, construction companies, professional employer organizations, clerical and professional temporary staffing companies, industrial companies and car dealerships;
- a broad spectrum of risk classifications and hazard levels; and
- accounts with annual premiums ranging from \$200,000 to \$3 million.

Traditional Business. Patriot began writing workers' compensation policies through Guarantee Insurance in the first quarter of 2004. It focuses on servicing small to mid-sized employers in a broad array of industries, including clerical and professional services, food services, retail and wholesale operations and industrial services located in Florida and other states in the Southeast and Midwest United States that generally have fewer than 300 employees. In certain circumstances, Patriot also writes traditional policies for larger employers. Patriot typically writes these policies for:

- low to medium risk classifications and hazard levels; and
- accounts with annual premiums below \$250,000.

Alternative Market Segregated Portfolio Captives and Traditional Business Policyholders

As of June 30, 2009, there were 24 segregated portfolio cells with in-force policies in Patriot's alternative market segregated portfolio captive program. One agency-owned segregated portfolio captive cell comprised 42% of Patriot's total alternative market gross premiums written for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Four other captive cells individually comprised between 5% and 9% of Patriot's total alternative market gross premiums written for the six months ended June 30, 2009. No other captive cell comprised more than 5% of Patriot's total alternative market gross premiums written for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The average annual in-force premium per segregated portfolio captive cell as of June 30, 2009, including and excluding the segregated portfolio captive cell that comprised 42% of Patriot's total alternative market gross premiums written, was approximately \$1.2 million and \$858,000, respectively. Patriot's renewal rates on alternative market business that it elected to quote for renewal for the year ended December 31, 2008 were 100% and approximately 97%, based on segregated portfolio cell counts and in-force premium, respectively.

As of June 30, 2009, Patriot had approximately 5,900 traditional workers' compensation policyholders, and an average annual premium per policyholder of approximately \$11,700. Patriot's policy renewal rates on traditional business that it elected to quote for renewal for the year ended December 31, 2008 were approximately 94% and 91%, based on policy counts and in-force premium, respectively.

Products

All states require employers to provide workers' compensation benefits to their employees for injuries and occupational diseases arising out of employment, regardless of whether such injuries or disease result from the employer's or the employee's negligence. Employers may either insure their workers' compensation obligations or, subject to regulatory approval, self-insure their liabilities. Workers' compensation statutes require that a policy cover

three types of benefits: medical expenses, disability benefits and death benefits. Patriot's workers' compensation insurance policies also provide employers' liability coverage, which provides coverage for an employer if an injured employee sues the employer for damages as a result of the employee's injury.

Table of Contents

Through Guarantee Insurance, Patriot offers a range of workers' compensation products and a variety of payment options designed to fit the needs of its policyholders and employer groups. Working closely with its independent agents, Patriot's underwriting staff helps determine which type of workers' compensation insurance solution is appropriate for each risk.

Alternative Market Insurance Solutions. Patriot provides a variety of services to employers, insurance agencies or other parties who wish to bear a substantial portion of the underwriting risk with respect to workers' compensation exposures, including providing fronting, claims adjusting, claims administration and investment management services. Patriot earns a ceding commission as compensation for these services. Patriot's alternative market customers are subject to, at a minimum, monthly self-reporting of payroll figures. Patriot's alternative market insurance solutions include the following:

Segregated portfolio captive insurance plans. Patriot offers segregated portfolio captive plans to medium-sized and large employers in a broad array of industries, including hospitality companies, construction companies, professional employer organizations, clerical and professional temporary staffing companies, industrial companies and car dealerships, using offshore and onshore captive facilities. Prior to the advent of segregated portfolio captive programs, only very large risks could afford the capitalization and administrative costs associated with captive insurance company formation. Patriot's approach utilizes standardized agreements and processes that allow employers with annual premiums as low as \$200,000 to participate. Through its captive insurance plans, Patriot writes a workers' compensation policy for the employer and facilitates the establishment of a segregated portfolio cell within a segregated portfolio captive by coordinating the necessary interactions among the party controlling the cell, the insurance agency, the segregated portfolio captive, its manager and insurance regulators in the jurisdiction where the captive is domiciled. These segregated portfolio cells may be controlled by policyholders, insurance agencies, parties related to policyholders or other parties.

Once the segregated portfolio cell is established, Guarantee Insurance enters into a reinsurance agreement ("Captive Reinsurance Agreement") with the segregated portfolio captive acting on behalf of the segregated portfolio cell. Guarantee Insurance generally cedes on a quota share basis to the segregated portfolio captive between 50% and 90% of the risk on the workers' compensation policy up to a level specified in the captive reinsurance agreement, and retains 10% to 50% of the risk. Losses in excess of \$1.0 million per occurrence are not covered by the captive reinsurance agreement. If the aggregate covered losses for the segregated portfolio cell exceed the specified level, the segregated portfolio captive reinsures the entire amount of the excess losses up to the aggregate liability limit specified in the captive reinsurance agreement. If the aggregate losses for the segregated portfolio cell exceed the aggregate liability limit, Guarantee Insurance retains 100% of those excess losses, except to the extent that any loss exceeds \$1.0 million per occurrence, in which case the amount of such loss in excess of \$1.0 million is reinsured under Guarantee Insurance's excess of loss reinsurance program.

Because reinsurance does not relieve Guarantee Insurance of liability under the underlying workers' compensation policies and Guarantee Insurance's ability to collect for losses incurred is limited to the assets of the segregated portfolio cell, Patriot generally protects itself from potential credit risk related to a segregated portfolio cell by holding collateral, including funds withheld for the account of the cell, to provide for payment of the reinsurance obligations incurred by the segregated portfolio captive on behalf of the cell. Funds withheld consists of ceded premiums net of ceding commissions, less claims paid on behalf of the segregated portfolio cell, together with collateral that the segregated portfolio captive posts on behalf of the cell in the form of cash. Funds withheld also include interest credited to the funds withheld account. In certain cases, the segregated portfolio cell captive also provides letters of credit or other financial instruments acceptable to Guarantee Insurance as collateral. In addition, Patriot generally requires the party controlling the segregated portfolio cell to guarantee the payment to Guarantee Insurance of all liabilities and obligations related to the cell that are owed under the captive reinsurance agreement and related agreements.

Segregated portfolio captives are generally required to provide collateral to Patriot in an amount that is greater than or equal to the ceded reserves that Guarantee Insurance initially estimates will be required on the underlying workers' compensation policies. On an ongoing basis, Patriot evaluates the adequacy of the collateralization of the segregated portfolio cell reserves. If it determine that the amount of collateral is inadequate, Patriot seeks additional collateral or

otherwise evaluates the likelihood, based on available information, that the full amount of the reinsurance recoverable balance from the cell is collectible. If Patriot deems it probable, based on available information, that all or a portion of a cell's uncollateralized reinsurance recoverable balance is not collectible, it would establish an allowance for such uncollectible reinsurance recoverable.

In order for the party controlling a segregated portfolio cell to receive any funds withheld attributable to its segregated portfolio captive insurance program, that party must formally request a dividend. However, dividends may only be declared by the board of the segregated portfolio captive out of the profits of the segregated portfolio cell under the captive reinsurance agreement or out of monies otherwise available for distribution in accordance with applicable law.

In practice, upon receipt of a dividend request, Guarantee Insurance determines whether all expenses and liabilities with respect to the cell have been reasonably provided for or paid. If Guarantee Insurance approves the dividend request, it will submit a formal request to the domiciliary captive manager, supported with relevant financial justification for final approval. If approved by applicable regulatory authorities and the board of the segregated portfolio captive, Guarantee Insurance will remit the remaining funds attributable to the cell to the captive for payment to the party controlling the cell.

Table of Contents

For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and the year ended December 31, 2008, approximately 74% and 78% of Patriot's direct premiums written on alternative market business were derived from captive insurance arrangements, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and the year ended December 31, 2008, Patriot ceded approximately 71% and 88%, respectively, of its segregated portfolio captive alternative market gross premiums written under quota share reinsurance agreements with the segregated portfolio captives.

The following schematic illustrates the basic elements of a segregated portfolio captive arrangement, with Patriot's subsidiaries shaded:

* *Ceded premiums, net of ceding commission, are held by Guarantee Insurance for the account of the segregated portfolio cell and, along with the collateral, constitute the loss fund for payment of reinsured claims.*

Large deductible plans. In 2008, Patriot began offering large deductible plans as an alternative market insurance solution. Under these plans, Guarantee Insurance generally receives a lower premium than it would for a traditional plan, but the insured retains a greater share of the underwriting risk through a higher per-occurrence deductible. This gives the policyholder greater incentive to exercise effective loss controls. The per-occurrence deductibles on these plans range from \$100,000 to \$1,000,000, with various levels of aggregate protection. Under these plans, the policyholder is responsible for payments of claims that fall below the deductible. Guarantee Insurance pays the below-the-deductible portion of the claim and bill the policyholder for reimbursement. These types of programs require collateral from the policyholder based upon its individual loss profile and the loss development factors in the states where it is insured. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and the year ended December 31, 2008, approximately 11% and 6% of Patriots direct premiums written on alternative market business were derived from large deductible plans, respectively.

Table of Contents

Retrospectively rated plans. Under retrospectively rated plans, Patriot charges an initial premium that is subject to adjustment at the end of the policy period. Retrospectively rated policies use formulae to adjust premiums based on the policyholder's actual losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred and paid during the policy period, subject to a minimum and maximum premium. These policies are typically subject to annual adjustments until claims are closed. Unlike policyholder dividend plans in Patriot's traditional business, retrospective premium adjustments are established contractually and are not determined at the discretion of the board of directors of Guarantee Insurance. Guarantee Insurance generally offers retrospectively rated policies to employers with minimum annual premiums of \$100,000. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and the year ended December 31, 2008, approximately 3% and 4% of Patriot's direct premiums written on alternative market business were derived from retrospectively rated policies, respectively.

Full risk-retention policies. Patriot's alternative market business also includes policies issued to certain professional employer organizations and professional temporary staffing organizations on which it retains the risk. The excess of loss reinsurance on these policies is provided by the same reinsurer that covers Patriot's segregated portfolio captive insurance plans, retrospectively rated plans and large deductible plans, and these plans may be converted to risk sharing arrangements in the future. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and the year ended December 31, 2008, approximately 12% and 12% of Patriot's direct premiums written on alternative market business were derived from full risk-retention policies issued to certain professional employer organizations and professional temporary staffing organizations.

Traditional Business. Through Guarantee Insurance, Patriot also provides traditional workers' compensation insurance coverage, under which Guarantee Insurance bears a higher portion of the underwriting risk, subject to reinsurance arrangements. Patriot manages that risk through the use of quota share and excess of loss reinsurance. Quota share reinsurance is a form of proportional reinsurance in which the reinsurer assumes an agreed upon percentage of each risk being insured and shares all premiums and losses with Patriot in that proportion. Excess of loss reinsurance covers all or a specified portion of losses on underlying insurance policies in excess of a specified amount, or retention. Patriot typically provides traditional workers' compensation insurance coverage to small to medium-sized employers in a broad array of industries, including clerical and professional services, food services, retail and wholesale operations and industrial services, generally in low to medium risk classifications and hazard levels.

Patriot writes the following types of traditional workers' compensation insurance business:

Guaranteed cost plans. Patriot's basic traditional product is a guaranteed cost policy, under which the premium for a policyholder is set in advance based upon rate filings approved by the insurance regulator and varies based only upon changes in the policyholder's employee class codes and payroll. The premium does not increase or decrease based upon an updated participating employee census during the policy period. Patriot regularly audits the payroll records of its policyholders to help ensure that appropriate premiums are being charged and paid and adjusts premiums as appropriate. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and the year ended December 31, 2008, approximately 77% and 76% of Patriot's direct premiums written on traditional business were derived from guaranteed cost products, respectively.

Pay-as-you-go plans. Patriot offers a monthly self-reporting option, under which a policyholder's monthly premium payments are calculated by the policyholder using actual monthly payroll figures. Patriot refers to these as pay-as-you-go plans. Pay-as-you-go plans are a recent innovation in the workers' compensation industry. With pay-as-you-go plans, the insured works with a payroll vendor to collect accurate payrolls and corresponding premiums to be remitted to us. Pay-as-you-go plans have become popular with insureds, and as a result some payroll companies now own their own insurance agency and some traditional insurance agencies now own their own payroll company. Patriot believes that pay-as-you-go plans are a more efficient method of underwriting and administering workers' compensation. These plans reduce Patriot's credit exposure for additional premiums that it determines it is owed based on payroll audits. Furthermore, the plans create a more precise ongoing workers

compensation insurance expense and more predictable ongoing cash flow expectations for Patriot's policyholders. Patriot began offering pay-as-you-go plans in late 2006. For both the six months ended June 30, 2009 and the year ended December 31, 2008, approximately 15% and 18% of Patriot's direct premiums written on traditional business were derived from pay-as-you-go plans, respectively.

Policyholder dividend plans. Generally, under a policyholder dividend plan a fixed premium is charged based upon rate filings approved by the insurance regulator, but the insured may receive a dividend based upon favorable loss experience during the policy period. Patriot began offering policyholder dividend plans in Florida and other states in 2007. Eligibility for these plans varies based upon the nature of the policyholder's operations, value of premium generated, loss experience and existing controls intended to minimize workers' compensation claims and costs. Policyholder dividends, which are to be paid at the discretion of the board of directors of Guarantee Insurance and in accordance with law, cannot be guaranteed and are generally based upon the policyholder's loss experience and other terms stipulated in the policyholder dividend plan filed with the appropriate insurance regulators and policy terms, including the applicable dividend endorsements. Patriot plans to pay dividends, if any, 18 months after policy expiration. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and the year ended December 31, 2008, approximately 8% and 6% of Patriot's direct premiums written on traditional business were derived from policyholder dividend plans, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth gross premiums written and net premiums earned for alternative market and traditional business:

	Six Months Ended June 30,		Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2008	2007	2006
	In thousands				
Gross premiums written:					
Direct business:					
Alternative market	\$ 26,710	\$ 32,841	\$ 47,374	\$ 34,316	\$ 33,921
Traditional business	30,692	36,307	69,182	50,599	26,636
Total direct business	57,402	69,148	116,566	84,915	60,557
Assumed business (1)	5,153	584	1,007	895	1,815
Total	\$ 62,555	\$ 69,732	\$ 117,563	\$ 85,810	\$ 62,372
Net premiums earned:					
Direct business:					
Alternative market	\$ 7,153	\$ 5,397	\$ 15,733	\$ 3,054	\$ 2,852
Traditional business	13,014	14,129	32,456	20,490	16,584
Total direct business	20,167	19,526	48,189	23,544	19,436
Assumed business (1)	1,603	578	1,031	1,069	1,617
Total	\$ 21,770	\$ 20,104	\$ 49,220	\$ 24,613	\$ 21,053

(1) Includes premiums assumed from another insurance company for which Patriot provides general agency and underwriting services and claims services and, in certain cases, assumes a portion of the risk for the six months ended June 30, 2009,

together with
premiums
assumed as a
result of Patriot's
participation in
the NCCI
National
Workers
Compensation
Insurance Pool
for all periods.

The following tables set forth the total gross written premium for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and year ended December 31, 2008:

Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

	Alternative Market Business		Traditional Business		Total	
	Premium	Percentage	Premium	Percentage	Premium	Percentage
	Amounts in thousands					
Florida	\$ 13,582	50.8%	\$ 8,010	26.1%	\$ 21,592	37.6%
New Jersey	4,076	15.3	6,860	22.4	10,936	19.1
Georgia	2,832	10.6	1,692	5.5	4,524	7.9
New York	1,304	4.9	2,616	8.5	3,920	6.8
Missouri	766	2.9	2,255	7.3	3,021	5.3
Arkansas	400	1.5	1,738	5.7	2,138	3.7
Indiana	329	1.2	1,760	5.7	2,089	3.6
Pennsylvania	480	1.8	1,056	3.4	1,536	2.7
Alabama	1,044	3.9	438	1.4	1,482	2.6
Other States	1,897	7.1	4,267	14.0	6,164	10.7
Total	\$ 26,710	100.0%	\$ 30,692	100.0%	\$ 57,402	100.0%

Year Ended December 31, 2008

	Alternative Market Business		Traditional Business		Total	
	Premium	Percentage	Premium	Percentage	Premium	Percentage
	Amounts in thousands					
Florida	\$ 32,977	69.6%	\$ 20,658	29.9%	\$ 53,635	46.0%
New Jersey	1,792	3.8	9,681	14.0	11,473	9.8
Missouri	981	2.1	8,590	12.4	9,571	8.2
Georgia	4,097	8.6	4,508	6.5	8,605	7.4
Indiana	255	0.5	6,330	9.1	6,585	5.6
New York	2,586	5.5	3,510	5.1	6,096	5.2
Arkansas	474	1.0	4,523	6.5	4,997	4.3
Alabama	1,465	3.1	1,068	1.5	2,533	2.2
Oklahoma	492	1.0	1,834	2.7	2,326	2.0
Other States	2,255	4.8	8,480	12.3	10,735	9.2
Total	\$ 47,374	100.0%	\$ 69,182	100.0%	\$ 116,556	100.0%

Table of Contents

Marketing and Distribution

Patriot distributes its alternative market and traditional workers' compensation products and services exclusively through a network of independent agencies. Patriot selects agencies based on several key factors, such as size and scope of the agency's operations, loss ratio of their existing business, targeted classes of business, reputation of the agency and its principals, producers and business philosophy. Patriot targets agencies that it believes share its service philosophy and are likely to send it the quality of business it is seeking. Patriot invests a substantial amount of time in developing relationships with its agents, and it believes that this gives it the opportunity to underwrite the most profitable business in each of its respective states. Guarantee Insurance has direct contracts with more than 490 independent non-exclusive agencies, with approximately 210 in the Midwest and 45 in the Northeast and 235 in the Southeast, including approximately 160 in Florida. As it seeks to expand geographically, Patriot plans to continue to devote considerable time developing strong relationships with quality agents that share its service philosophy. Working in conjunction with its agents, Patriot evaluates whether a given risk is appropriate for the traditional or alternative market. Patriot's alternative market insurance solutions are attractive to its agents' larger employer customers with favorable loss profiles because they are able to share in the risk and reduce their workers' compensation insurance costs if they continue to realize favorable loss experience.

Patriot assigns marketing representatives and underwriters based on relationships with agents and not necessarily based on geographic area. Patriot's marketing efforts directed at agencies are implemented by its field underwriters, marketing staff and client services personnel. These personnel are assigned to specific agencies and work with these agencies in making sales presentations to potential policyholders.

Patriot holds annual planning meetings with its agents to discuss the prior year's results and to determine financial goals for the coming year. It is imperative to Patriot's success that it understands the goals and objectives of its agents. To date, this understanding has been an integral factor in its success. The relationships with Patriot's agencies are managed primarily through its field marketing and underwriting staff. However, key management personnel also maintain strong relationships with most of its agencies' principals.

With its focus on workers' compensation insurance, its range of workers' compensation insurance solutions and products and its quality of service, Patriot believes it is able to compete with larger, better capitalized and highly rated insurance company competitors by forming close relationships with its agents and focusing on small to mid-sized businesses. Patriot strives to provide excellent customer service to its agents and policyholders, including fast turnaround of policy submissions, in order to attract and retain business. Patriot's pay-as-you-go plan, in which it partners with payroll service companies and their clients to collect premiums and payroll information on a monthly basis, is attractive to its agents' smaller business customers. Using this program, Patriot is able to underwrite smaller businesses without requiring a large premium down payment, which eases the cash flow burden for these companies. Patriot also takes an active role in several program and trade associations. These marketing efforts include print advertising in trade magazines as well as involvement in the associations. Patriot targets the trade organizations that service the classes of business that it seeks to write. This involvement helps to build client loyalty not only at the agency level, but at the insured level as well.

Underwriting

Patriot does not use a class underwriting approach that targets specific classes of business or industries in which the acceptability of a risk is determined by the entire class or industry. Its underwriting strategy is to identify and target individual risks based on the individual characteristics of a prospective insured. However, Patriot does not underwrite exposures involving occupational disease or exposures that are excluded from its reinsurance agreements. See Reinsurance.

Patriot's underwriters develop close relationships with its independent agency network through telephone and Internet contact and personal visits. Underwriters' personal interaction with agents provides an enhanced understanding of the businesses Patriot underwrites and the needs of both the agents and prospective insureds. Patriot's underwriters have authority to underwrite individual risks both in the field and in the office. The extent of their authority is based on their personal industry experience and the individual risk characteristics of the prospective business. Risks outside of an underwriter's authority are referred to underwriting management for underwriting approval. None of Patriot's agents has authority to bind Guarantee Insurance on policies for either alternative market or traditional business.

Table of Contents

In assessing a risk, the underwriter and underwriting management review the individual exposures and consider many factors, including an employer's prior loss experience, risk environment, commitment to loss prevention, willingness to offer modified duty or return to work to injured employees, safety record and operations.

In addition, the underwriters also evaluate losses in the employer's specific industry, geographic area and other non-employer specific conditions. These and other factors are documented on Patriot's underwriting risk worksheet. Patriot's underwriting risk worksheet was created as a way to document the decision process, the factors that went into making the decision to write the business and any information pertinent to the risk itself.

Patriot applies experience modification factors to a policyholder's rate either to increase the policy premium due to a history of prior losses or to reduce the policy premium due to a favorable prior claims history.

Patriot's underwriting strategy focuses on developing a relationship with the insured and the agent to promote account safety, long-term loyalty and continued profitability. Its loss prevention professionals visit many policyholders to ascertain the policyholder's willingness to comply with Patriot's underwriting and loss prevention philosophy.

Patriot's underwriting process and risk management techniques are substantially identical for its alternative market insurance solutions and its traditional business, except that Patriot employs two additional underwriting criteria on alternative market business. Using an actuarial loss development model, Patriot trends past losses and develops pricing for the prospective policy year. Patriot also conducts a financial review of the prospective insured. Patriot may write higher risk classifications and hazard levels in the alternative market than it does in its traditional business due to the fact that alternative market plans are generally either largely reinsured to a segregated portfolio captive or written on a large deductible or retrospectively rated policy, minimizing Patriot's underwriting exposure. In addition, Patriot believes that most of its alternative market insurance solutions provide an incentive for the policyholder to achieve favorable loss experience, which mitigates the exposures typically associated with higher risk classifications and hazard levels.

Loss Control

Patriot's loss control process begins with a request from its underwriting department to perform an inspection. Patriot's inspections focus on a policyholder's operations, loss exposures and existing safety controls designed to prevent potential loss. The factors considered in its inspections include employee experience, employee turnover, employee training, previous loss history and corrective actions, and workplace conditions, including equipment condition and, where appropriate, use of fall protection, respiratory protection or other safety devices. Patriot's inspectors travel to employers' worksites to perform these safety inspections.

During its relationship with its policyholders, Patriot seeks to emphasize workplace safety by periodically visiting the workplace, assisting the policyholder in designing and implementing enhanced safety management programs, providing current industry-specific safety-related information and conducting rigorous post-accident management.

Patriot's loss control department is comprised of two loss control representatives. Outside of Florida, Patriot utilizes third-party vendors to provide inspection services.

Patriot's loss control procedures support its loss reduction and prevention philosophy, which involves adhering to the early-return-to-work programs and implementing recommended safety practices. To the extent it is permitted by law, Patriot will cancel or not renew the policy of a policyholder that is not willing to comply with its loss control procedures and risk reduction and prevention philosophy.

Pricing

Generally, premiums for Patriot's alternative market workers' compensation insurance solutions and its traditional workers' compensation insurance business are a function of the state regulatory environment, the amount of the insured employer's payroll, the insured employer's risk class code, and factors reflecting the insured employer's historical loss experience.

Table of Contents

Patriot writes business in both administered pricing and competitive rating states. In administered pricing states, insurance rates are set by the state insurance regulators and are adjusted periodically. Rate competition generally is not permitted in these states and, consequently, Patriot's alternative market insurance solutions can be an important competitive factor. For example, by adjusting the amount of collateral required from a segregated portfolio captive or through the use of high deductible or retrospectively rated policies, Patriot seeks to maintain appropriate pricing in administered pricing states for business that would be difficult to insure through a traditional guaranteed cost plan. Florida, Indiana and New Jersey are administered pricing states, while the rest of the states in which we operate are competitive rating states. In both administrative pricing and competitive rating states, Patriot strives to achieve proper risk selection through disciplined underwriting. In competitive rating states, Patriot has more flexibility to charge premium rates that reflect the risk it is taking based on each employer's profile. In administered pricing states, Patriot is able to maintain appropriate pricing by adjusting collateral requirements, using consent-to-rate programs and applying experience modification factors to its rates.

Through its consent-to-rate program, the Florida OIR allows insurers to charge a rate that exceeds the state-established rate when deemed necessary by the insurer. Use of this program is limited to 10% of the number of an insurer's policies written in Florida. The insurer is responsible for determining the additional premium based on the specific characteristics of a policyholder that result in the need for additional premium, such as poor loss history, lack of prior experience, inadequate rate for exposure and specific lack of safety programs and procedures. The goal of the consent-to-rate program in Florida is for policyholders to be able to obtain coverage while working to improve their risk profile and to realize premium reductions over time and ultimately eliminate the consent-to-rate factor as improvements are achieved. This program enables Patriot to maintain appropriate pricing in Florida's administered pricing environment. Patriot looks for strong partnerships with, and commitments from, its policyholders and agents with respect to participation in this program. Patriot uses the consent-to-rate program primarily when rehabilitation of a policyholder is required or the exposures of a policyholder warrant additional premium. Approximately 1% of Patriot's Florida policies written in 2008 were written pursuant to the consent-to-rate program, which represented approximately 3% of its direct premiums written in Florida in 2008. Through this program, Patriot has been able to underwrite otherwise uncertain accounts that exhibited a strong commitment to improve their working conditions and risk profile.

In competitive rating states, the state approves a set of competitive prices that provides for expected payments. Regulators then permit pricing flexibility primarily through two variables: (1) the selection of the competitive pricing multiplier insurers apply to competitive prices to determine their insurance rates and (2) schedule rating modifications that allow insurers to adjust premiums upwards or downwards for specific risk characteristics of the policyholder, such as type of work conducted, on-site medical facilities, level of employee safety, use of safety equipment and policyholder management practices. In competitive pricing states, Patriot uses both variables to calculate a policy premium that it believes will cover the claims costs and policy acquisition and underwriting expenses and produce an acceptable underwriting profit for it.

Claims

Patriot believes that the claims management process is an integral part of its success. Establishing claims benchmarks, reviewing outcomes and conducting routine random audits help Patriot achieve its claims adjudication goals and objectives. Patriot's claims management program strives to ensure that the injured worker's medical care restores health in an effective and efficient manner, promotes the earliest possible return to work and provides appropriate and prompt payment of benefits while producing an economical net claim cost.

Patriot has established claims controls and a claims adjudication infrastructure to assist it in meeting these goals. The foundation of Patriot's claims quality and service excellence is built on the following set of goals and initiatives, which it collectively refers to as best practices:

Coverage Verification: Immediate analysis and documentation of confirmation of coverage.

Contact: Contact with the parties involved in the loss within 24 hours of the receipt of a claim. When the claim is received, the adjuster and a telephonic case manager registered nurse will make contact with the injured worker, employer and/or medical provider. Patriot believes that having both an adjuster and nurse case manager make these contacts and assist in establishing the most appropriate and efficient

medical treatment helps restore health and return the injured party to work as soon as practical.

Investigation: Within 14 days of receipt of a claim, a claim adjudication and management strategy is developed, including the identification and communication of what Patriot believes to be the most appropriate medical treatment and indemnity benefits to be paid.

Recovery and Cost Offsets: Effective recognition, investigation and pursuit of recovery and cost offsets.

Recoveries can be for a third-party claim and, in certain states (e.g. South Carolina and Georgia), certain recoveries from second injury fund claims. In some jurisdictions, such as Florida, where the claimant may also be eligible for social security disability benefits, the amount of such benefits received can be offset from the weekly workers' compensation rate using a prescribed formula.

Table of Contents

Evaluation: Appropriate analysis of claim exposure to probable ultimate cost. The claim file should reflect the action plan necessary to resolve the claim, while complying with applicable state laws, rules and regulations and corporate, insurer, reinsurer and employer reporting requirements.

Medical/Disability/Rehabilitation Management: Appropriate assistance in managing medical care and treatment, utilizing a broad range of techniques designed to return the injured worker to work as quickly as practical. Patriot believes that the most successful technique in returning injured workers back to work as soon as possible is ongoing communication with the injured worker, medical provider and employer. Consistent contact with the medical provider, including requests for light duty restrictions as appropriate, can hasten an injured worker's return to work. In many cases, the medical provider does not know the employer is able to make reasonable accommodations or offer the injured worker alternative work during recuperation. Patriot reinforces the value of a working employee with the employer, and assists in the identification of suitable light duty work when appropriate. Securing an employer's cooperation to identify suitable jobs and assisting in promptly returning employees to work can substantially reduce overall claim costs.

Negotiation and Disposition: Timely claim negotiation and disposition to achieve an equitable, cost-effective result.

Litigation Management: A proactive initiative by claims staff to manage litigation and, where necessary, involve defense counsel who are committed to providing aggressive, high quality, efficient representation under the direction of the claims management team.

Supervision: Consistent supervision of the claim by Patriot's claims staff with precise, documented guidance and coaching throughout the life of the claim that clearly pursues resolution and strives to ensure best practices of claims handling.

Data Quality: Clear understanding of the importance of data quality, reflected through prompt, accurate and thorough maintenance of claims data, resulting in timely and accurate reporting.

Customer Service: Prompt initial contact and ongoing contact with insured employers, including thorough and prompt responses to requests.

Privacy: An ongoing commitment to maintaining the integrity of claimant data and safeguarding medical and other information pertaining to injured workers and healthcare providers.

To help execute these initiatives and achieve these goals, Patriot targets experienced claims adjusters with a minimum of 5 years of experience handling workers' compensation claims within their jurisdictions of assignment. Patriot's claims department employees average more than 12 years of workers' compensation insurance industry experience, and members of its claims management team average more than 24 years of workers' compensation experience. To facilitate effective claims handling, Patriot seeks to limit the average number of claims handled at a time to approximately 125 per lost time adjuster and approximately 250 per medical-only adjuster.

Once a policy is bound, Patriot sends a claims kit to the insured outlining the policy provisions, mandated posting notices, information on how to report a claim and the importance of reporting all claims on a timely basis and answers to frequently asked questions. Patriot makes available a toll-free reporting line for insureds or employers to report injuries, available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, and can receive notices of injury via the Internet as well.

Patriot uses preferred provider organization networks and bill review services to reduce its overall claim costs. Patriot assigns authority levels for settlement authority and reserve placement to adjusters based upon their level of experience and position. Management must approve any changes of reserves that fall above the adjuster's authority to help ensure proper action plans are current in the claim. Claims that are reserved at \$50,000 or more must have a large loss report created that outlines the facts of the claim, as well as the reasons for the reserve requested. This report is reviewed by senior management. In addition, Patriot's claims adjusters coordinate with its underwriters and loss control personnel when it appears that there may be safety issues at the insured's location or if the work conducted by the injured employee at the time of the accident does not match the class codes on the policy.

Patriot continuously monitors open claims for potential subrogation, which is the recovery of a portion of paid medical and indemnity losses from a third party that has liability for the losses suffered. Patriot reviews new reported claims daily to help ensure timely identification of potential subrogation recoveries. Patriot seeks to place third parties

on notice and keeps them apprised of the status of the subject claim at regular intervals, including amounts paid by it for medical and indemnity benefits. Patriot keeps claims referred for subrogation open until a recovery has been received or a determination made that no subrogation is available.

Table of Contents

Florida and many of the other states in which Patriot operates require that all insurance carriers establish a special investigative unit to investigate and report fraudulent activities. Patriot's in-house special investigations unit, or SIU, has established guidelines for fraud investigation that exceed minimum SIU standards in each jurisdiction in which it operates and have been approved by the State of Florida.

Patriot's SIU operates in conjunction with the claims, audit, collections, loss prevention and underwriting departments to determine whether an allegation of fraud is valid. Patriot investigates allegations of fraud on the part of both policyholders and injured workers. Files referred to Patriot's SIU are reviewed to determine whether an investigation should be opened. If an investigation is opened, SIU gathers the information necessary to submit to the appropriate division of insurance fraud for further investigation.

Patriot also utilizes an internal control specialist, or ICS, to monitor the adjusters' compliance with best practices for claims handling. The ICS reviews specific areas of performance such as timely contact, proper coverage determination, investigations, litigation management, reserve integrity, documentation, supervision and direction, resolution and case closure action plans. On a monthly basis, the ICS reviews a certain number of claims by adjuster and evaluates adjusters' performance. Patriot has utilized these reviews to assist in the development of additional training programs and coaching points with its adjusters. The use of these ICS reviews assists Patriot in determining that its claims procedures and protocols are being carried out by its claims staff and its performance standards and goals are being consistently met.

Claims administration for Patriot's alternative market business is handled in a manner substantially similar to its traditional business. Patriot has dedicated adjusters assigned to alternative market plans, both for the medical only and lost time claims, to help ensure a smooth working relationship. Patriot's alternative market insurance solutions tend to involve higher risk classifications and hazard levels than its traditional policies. However, Patriot generally retains little underwriting risk on its alternative market business and it generally maintains a higher level of contact and communication with its alternative market customers as they have a shared incentive to resolve claims as effectively as possible and to assist employees to return to work. As a result, the claims closure rates for the alternative market tend to be slightly higher than the traditional market. As with claims in its traditional business, Patriot reviews reserve adequacy on its alternative market business on a regular basis until claims are closed.

Patriot strives for rapid closure of claims in order to reduce the cost of medical and indemnity expenses. The table below sets forth Patriot's open claim counts by accident year and its open claims as a percent of reported claims for alternative market and traditional business, in the aggregate, as of December 31, 2008, together with industry average open claims as a percent of reported claims:

	Patriot		Worker's Compensation Industry Average Open Claims
	As of December 31, 2008		
	Number of	Open Claims as a Percent of	as a Percent of Reported Claims as of
	Open Claims	Reported Claims	December 31, 2007
Current accident year	1,745	25.8%	29.0%
Prior accident year	314	6.3%	9.7%
Second prior accident year	85	1.8%	5.0%
Third prior accident year	38	1.0%	2.9%
Fourth prior accident year	4	0.4%	2.0%

Industry data from Highline Data, an affiliate of The National Underwriter Company and a provider of insurance industry financial performance data.

Policyholder Audits

Patriot conducts premium audits on its alternative market and traditional business policyholders annually upon the expiration of each policy, including when the policy is renewed. The purpose of these audits is to verify that policyholders have accurately reported their payroll expenses and employee job classifications, and therefore have paid the premium required under the terms of their policies. In addition to annual audits, Patriot selectively performs interim audits on certain classes of business if significant or unusual claims are filed or if the monthly reports submitted by a policyholder reflect a payroll pattern or any aberrations that cause underwriting, safety or fraud concerns.

Table of Contents

Reinsurance

Reinsurance is a transaction between insurance companies in which an original insurer, or ceding company, remits a portion of its premiums to a reinsurer, or assuming company, as payment for the reinsurer's commitment to indemnify the original insurer for a portion of its insurance liability. Reinsurance agreements may be proportional in nature, under which the assuming company shares proportionally in the premiums and losses of the ceding company. This arrangement is known as quota share reinsurance. Reinsurance agreements may also be structured so that the assuming company indemnifies the ceding company against all or a specified portion of losses on underlying insurance policies in excess of a specified amount, which is called an attachment level or retention, in return for a premium, usually determined as a percentage of the ceding company's primary insurance premiums. This arrangement is known as excess of loss reinsurance. Excess of loss reinsurance may be written in layers, in which a reinsurer or group of reinsurers accepts a band of coverage up to a specified amount. One form of excess of loss reinsurance is so-called "clash cover" reinsurance which only covers occurrences resulting in losses involving more than one reinsured policy or, in the case of workers' compensation insurance, more than one injured worker. Any liability exceeding the outer limit of a reinsurance program is retained by the ceding company. The ceding company also bears the credit risk of a reinsurer's insolvency.

Reinsurance can be facultative reinsurance or treaty reinsurance. Under facultative reinsurance, each policy or portion of a risk is reinsured individually. Under treaty reinsurance, an agreed-upon portion of a class of business is automatically reinsured.

Reinsurance is very important to Patriot's business. Patriot reinsures a portion of its exposures and pays to the reinsurers a portion of the premiums received on all policies reinsured. Insurance policies written by Patriot are reinsured with other insurance companies principally to:

- reduce net liability on individual risks;
- mitigate the effect of individual loss occurrence (including catastrophic losses);
- stabilize underwriting results;
- decrease underwriting leverage; and
- increase its underwriting capacity.

Patriot determines the amount and scope of reinsurance coverage to purchase each year based on a number of factors. These factors include the evaluation of the risks accepted, consultations with reinsurance representatives and a review of market conditions, including the availability and pricing of reinsurance.

The cost and limits of the reinsurance coverage Patriot purchases vary from year to year based upon the availability of reinsurance at an acceptable price, its catastrophe exposure and its desired level of retention. Retention refers to the amount of risk that Patriot retains for its own account.

Patriot regularly monitors its reinsurance requirements and reviews the availability, the amount and cost of reinsurance and its experience with insured losses. The availability, amount and cost of reinsurance are subject to market conditions and to Patriot's experience with insured losses. There can be no assurance that Patriot's reinsurance agreements can be renewed or replaced prior to expiration upon terms as favorable as those currently in effect. If Patriot is unable to renew or replace its reinsurance agreements, or elects to reduce or eliminate its quota share reinsurance, its net liability on individual risks would increase, it would have greater exposure to catastrophic losses, its underwriting results would be subject to greater variability, and its underwriting capacity would be reduced.

Patriot purchases both quota share and excess of loss reinsurance. The protection afforded by such reinsurance is subject to various limitations and restrictions. For example, the reinsurance purchased by Patriot excludes coverage for certain high-risk occupations, such as tunnel construction, mining and logging. In addition, the majority of this reinsurance either excludes or limits coverage for occupational diseases or excludes coverage for risks with known occupational disease exposures. The majority of this reinsurance also excludes or limits coverage for extra contractual damages, including punitive, exemplary, compensatory and consequential damages, as well as for losses paid in excess of policy limits. The majority of the reinsurance purchased by Patriot includes sunset clauses, which limit reinsurance coverage to claims reported to reinsurers within 84 months of the inception of the contract period for the reinsurance. In addition, many of the reinsurance policies purchased by Patriot includes commutation clauses, which permit the reinsurers to terminate their obligations by making a final payment to Patriot based on an estimate of their

remaining reinsurance liabilities, which may ultimately prove to be inadequate. Also, certain reinsurance purchased by Patriot excludes all coverage for terrorism losses, while other reinsurance excludes coverage for terrorism losses involving nuclear, biological or chemical explosion, pollution or contamination, applies an aggregate limit on the recovery of terrorism losses and/or otherwise limits coverage for terrorism losses.

Table of Contents

Alternative Market Business

Combined Quota Share and Aggregate Excess of Loss Reinsurance. In the alternative market, Guarantee Insurance issues workers' compensation and employers' liability coverage to employers that share in the income and losses associated with this insurance, including the loss experience and expenses under such policies, primarily through the employers' participation in a segregated portfolio captive reinsurance facility. Each segregated portfolio captive reinsures, on a quota share basis, a percentage (typically 50% to 90%) of the premiums and losses on the insurance that Guarantee Insurance issues for participating employers. Losses in excess of \$1.0 million per occurrence are not covered by this reinsurance agreement. If aggregate covered losses exceed the level specified in the reinsurance agreement, the segregated portfolio captive reinsures the entire amount of the excess losses up to the aggregate liability limit specified in the agreement. If the aggregate losses for the segregated portfolio cell exceed the aggregate liability limit, Guarantee Insurance retains 100% of those excess losses, except to the extent that any loss exceeds \$1.0 million per occurrence, in which case the amount of such loss in excess of \$1.0 million is reinsured under Guaranteed Insurance's excess of loss reinsurance program. In addition, the segregated portfolio captive's liability with respect to the underlying workers' compensation policies is limited to the assets held in the segregated portfolio cell for that employer's benefit.

Excess of Loss Reinsurance. Guarantee Insurance has purchased excess of loss reinsurance for alternative market workers' compensation losses in excess of \$1.0 million per occurrence. Guarantee Insurance generally cedes between 50% and 90% of the losses falling within this \$1.0 million retention under the segregated cell captive reinsurance agreements as described above. Some of the excess of loss reinsurance purchased by Guarantee Insurance applies solely to its alternative market business, while other excess of loss reinsurance applies to both the alternative market and the traditional business.

July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006. For alternative market workers' compensation policies that commence during the period from July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006, Guarantee Insurance retains \$1.0 million per occurrence and cedes losses greater than this \$1.0 million retention. This reinsurance applied to both traditional and alternative market business and is described below in the section describing excess of loss reinsurance for traditional business.

July 1, 2006 through April 30, 2007. For alternative market workers' compensation policies that commenced during the period July 1, 2006 through April 30, 2007, Guarantee Insurance retains \$1.0 million per occurrence. It purchased excess of loss reinsurance in the amount of \$4.0 million per occurrence for this alternative market business, but commuted this reinsurance effective May 1, 2007. Depending on the date of the loss occurrence, additional reinsurance protection was provided by excess of loss and clash cover reinsurance attaching over \$5.0 million per occurrence, which is described below in the section relating to excess of loss reinsurance for traditional business.

May 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008. For alternative market workers' compensation policies that commence during the period from May 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008, Guarantee Insurance retains \$1.0 million per occurrence and cedes losses greater than this \$1.0 million retention. Pursuant to a reinsurance agreement, which is with National Indemnity Company, a subsidiary of Berkshire Hathaway, Inc. rated A++ (Superior) by A.M. Best Company, the first layer excess of loss reinsurance for such claims and for losses occurring after May 1, 2007 under alternative market policies in force prior to that date provides \$4.0 million of coverage per occurrence excess of Guarantee Insurance's \$1.0 million retention. It reinsures losses in excess of \$1.0 million up to \$5.0 million per occurrence and has an aggregate limit of \$16.0 million during the contract period. In addition, depending on the date of the loss occurrence, additional reinsurance protection is provided by excess of loss and clash cover reinsurance attaching over \$5.0 million per occurrence, which is described below in the section dealing with excess of loss reinsurance for traditional business.

July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009. Guarantee Insurance has obtained excess of loss reinsurance for its alternative market policies from National Indemnity Company effective July 1, 2008 in an amount of \$4.0 million per occurrence in excess of a \$1.0 million retention. This reinsurance applies to losses occurring during the period July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009. It reinsures losses in excess of \$1.0 million up to \$5.0 million per occurrence and has an aggregate limit of \$16.0 million during the contract period. It excludes coverage for participation in assigned risk pools. The reinsurance for the period ended June 30, 2008 was written on a risk attaching basis. Coverage incepting July 1, 2008 is written on a losses occurring basis and applies to losses occurring during the period July 1, 2008

through June 30, 2009. Additional reinsurance is provided by excess of loss and clash cover reinsurance attaching over \$5.0 million per occurrence, which is described in the above section under the heading Excess of Loss Reinsurance. In addition, certain alternative market insurance policies, commencing during the period July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009, for which Guarantee Insurance typically cedes 50% to 90% of losses to a segregated portfolio captive controlled by an insurance agency, are not reinsured under the excess of loss reinsurance purchased for Guarantee Insurance's alternative market business but instead are reinsured under the first layer of excess of loss reinsurance purchased for Guarantee Insurance's traditional business, which is also described in the above section under the heading Excess of Loss Reinsurance.

Table of Contents

Traditional Business

Quota Share Reinsurance. Effective July 1, 2006, Guarantee Insurance entered into a quota share reinsurance agreement with National Indemnity Company. Pursuant to this agreement, Guarantee Insurance ceded 50% of its traditional business, excluding South Carolina, Georgia and Indiana, in force on July 1, 2006 and 50% of its new and renewal traditional business, excluding these states, effective during the period from July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007. Effective July 1, 2007, Guarantee Insurance entered into a second quota share reinsurance agreement with National Indemnity Company pursuant to which it ceded 50% of its new and renewal traditional business, excluding South Carolina, Georgia and Indiana, effective during the period from July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008. Both of these quota share agreements covered all losses up to \$500,000 per occurrence, subject to various restrictions and exclusions. Under these agreements, Guarantee Insurance ceded premiums and received a ceding commission in return. As with any reinsurance arrangement, the ultimate liability for the payment of claims resides with the ceding company, Guarantee Insurance.

Effective July 1, 2008, Guarantee Insurance entered into a third quota share reinsurance agreement with both National Indemnity Company and Swiss Reinsurance America Corporation, which is also rated A+ (Superior) by A.M. Best Company. Pursuant to this agreement, Guarantee Insurance again ceded 50% of its new and renewal traditional business, excluding South Carolina, Georgia and Indiana, effective on or after July 1, 2008. National Indemnity Company provided 75% of this reinsurance coverage, while Swiss Reinsurance America Corporation provided the remaining 25%. The agreement covered 50% of net retained liabilities for losses up to \$500,000 per occurrence arising from all subject traditional business. The agreement was written on a losses occurring basis and applies to losses occurring during the contract period, which extends from July 1, 2008 through January 1, 2009 for National Indemnity Company's share of the reinsured risks and from July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009 for Swiss Reinsurance American Corporation's share of the reinsured risks. The quota share reinsurance for prior periods was written on a risk attaching basis to cover all losses insured under policies commencing during the reinsurance contract period, including losses that occur after the end of that period. This prior reinsurance has been cut off with an adjustment of reinsurance premium for all losses occurring after June 30, 2008. These losses are covered by the reinsurance incepting July 1, 2008. The change from reinsurance written on a risk attaching basis to reinsurance written on a losses occurring basis will facilitate early termination of the reinsurance at the option of Guarantee Insurance. Guarantee Insurance has the option of terminating the reinsurance upon 15 days' prior notice. Effective January 1, 2009, coverage from National Indemnity Company expired, coverage from Swiss Reinsurance America Corporation was increased from 12.5% to 25.0% and previously excluded stated were added to the coverage.

Guarantee Insurance entered into an additional quota share agreement with Harco National Insurance Company pursuant to which it ceded 37.83% of Guarantee Insurance's traditional business gross unearned premium reserves as of December 31, 2008 and 37.83% of the first \$500,000 of losses and certain loss adjustment expenses incurred on all subject business in force at December 31, 2008, subject to various restrictions and exclusions. Under this agreement, Guarantee Insurance ceded unearned premium reserves and received a ceding commission, which varies based on loss experience, in return. Harco National Insurance Company is obligated to pay its pro rata share of losses and loss adjustment expenses. As with any reinsurance arrangement, the ultimate liability for the payment of claims resides with the ceding company, Guarantee Insurance.

Effective January 1, 2009, Guarantee Insurance entered into a quota share agreement with Ullico, Inc., an insurance company from which Patriot entered into an agreement to borrow \$5.4 million on December 31, 2008. Pursuant to the quota share agreement, Guarantee Insurance ceded 68% of traditional new and renewal business in the states of Georgia, New Jersey and Florida on the first \$1.0 million of incurred losses and certain loss adjustment expenses, subject to various restrictions and exclusions. Guarantee Insurance ceded unearned premium reserves and received a ceding commission in return. Ullico, Inc. is obligated to pay its pro rata share of losses and certain loss adjustment expenses up to an aggregate limit of 90% of its pro rata share of premiums earned. As with any reinsurance arrangement, the ultimate liability for the payment of claims resides with the ceding company, Guarantee Insurance.

Excess of Loss Reinsurance. In addition to quota share reinsurance, Guarantee Insurance purchases excess of loss reinsurance. Effective July 1, 2007, Guarantee Insurance's retention for its reinsured statutory workers' compensation liabilities is \$1.0 million per occurrence. All of Guarantee Insurance's excess of loss agreements are subject to various

restrictions and exclusions. For example, some of the higher layers of Guarantee Insurance's excess of loss reinsurance exclude coverage for the employer's liability insurance that is included in Guarantee Insurance's workers' compensation policies, and the first layer generally reinsures employer's liability losses at lower limits than those applicable to Guarantee Insurance's statutory workers' compensation liabilities.

The following description of Guarantee Insurance's excess of loss reinsurance for its statutory workers' compensation liabilities covers the period from July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2009. Different layers of this excess of loss reinsurance were renewed at different times during the applicable calendar year. All of the layers in the 2008/2009 program are scheduled to expire on June 30, 2009. In addition, until July 1, 2008, the first layer of this reinsurance was written on a risk attaching basis, while certain upper layers of this reinsurance apply only to losses occurring during the reinsurance contract period. Thus, for periods prior to July 1, 2008, a single loss may be reinsured under first layer reinsurance covering a particular period based on the date of policy issuance and under upper layer reinsurance covering a later period based on the date of the loss occurrence. Effective July 1, 2008, all layers of this excess of loss reinsurance are written on a losses occurring basis.

Table of Contents

Guarantee Insurance purchases first layer excess of loss reinsurance that applies solely to its traditional business. It purchases upper layers of excess of loss reinsurance (including clash cover reinsurance that only applies if an occurrence involves injuries to multiple employers) that apply to both its traditional and its alternative market business. As a result, losses from both business segments would be applied against any aggregate limits for such upper layers.

July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006. For workers' compensation claims covered under policies for Patriot's traditional business that commence during the period July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006, Guarantee Insurance retains \$750,000 per occurrence. Guarantee Insurance cedes losses greater than this \$750,000 retention. The excess of loss reinsurance for such claims totals \$19.3 million per occurrence provided in four layers, including in the two upper layers, certain clash covers that only apply if an occurrence involves injuries to multiple employers.

For losses incurred under policies commencing during the period July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006, the first layer of excess of loss reinsurance provides \$250,000 of coverage per occurrence excess of Guarantee Insurance's \$750,000 retention. This layer reinsures losses in excess of the \$750,000 retention up to \$1.0 million and only applies to Patriot's traditional business.

For losses incurred under policies commencing during the period July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006, the second layer of excess of loss reinsurance provides \$4.0 million of coverage per occurrence excess of \$1.0 million. This layer reinsures losses in excess of \$1.0 million up to \$5.0 million, subject to a maximum amount of recovery under this layer equal to 225% of the total reinsurance premiums paid by Guarantee Insurance for the layer. This means that regardless of the number of occurrences covered by this reinsurance with incurred losses in excess of \$1.0 million, the aggregate amount paid under the layer would not exceed an amount equal to 225% of the total reinsurance premiums for the layer. The amount of these premiums is \$3,850,000, subject to adjustment. This reinsurance applies to both traditional and alternative market business.

The third layer of excess of loss reinsurance consists of two separate clash cover treaties. Each of these treaties provides \$5.0 million of coverage per occurrence in excess of \$5.0 million. Each reinsures losses in excess of \$5.0 million up to \$10.0 million. The first of these treaties, which applied to losses incurred under policies commencing during the period from July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006, was commuted in 2006 and no longer is in force. The second of these treaties, which has not been commuted and remains in force, applies to losses occurring from January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2006. This second treaty covers both traditional and alternative market business but excludes coverage for participation in assigned risk pools.

The fourth layer of excess of loss reinsurance also consists of two separate clash cover treaties. Each of these treaties provides \$10.0 million of coverage per occurrence in excess of \$10.0 million. Each reinsures losses in excess of \$10.0 million up to \$20.0 million. The first of these treaties, which applied to losses incurred under policies commencing from July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006, was commuted in 2006 and no longer is in force. The second of these treaties, which has not been commuted and remains in force, applies to losses occurring from January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2006. This second treaty covers both traditional and alternative market business but excludes coverage for participation in assigned risk pools.

July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007. For workers' compensation claims covered under traditional policies that commence during the period July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007, Guarantee Insurance retains \$750,000 per occurrence and cedes losses greater than this \$750,000 retention. The amount of the excess of loss reinsurance that applies to such claims totals \$19.3 million per occurrence provided in three layers, including in the two upper layers certain clash covers.

For losses incurred under policies commencing during the period July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007, the first layer of excess of loss reinsurance provides \$4.3 million of coverage per occurrence excess of Guarantee Insurance's \$750,000 retention. This layer has an annual aggregate deductible of \$250,000 and reinsures losses in excess of the \$750,000 retention up to \$5.0 million. Pursuant to these deductible provisions, Guarantee Insurance must pay \$250,000 in combined statutory workers' compensation and

employers' liability losses incurred in the twelve-month contract period in addition to its \$750,000 retention before it is entitled to any excess of loss reinsurance recovery under this layer.

The second layer of excess of loss reinsurance consists of two separate treaties. Each of these treaties provides \$5.0 million of coverage per occurrence in excess of \$5.0 million. Each reinsures losses in excess of \$5.0 million up to \$10.0 million. The first of these treaties is a clash cover, which applies to losses occurring from January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2006. The second is not a clash cover and applies to losses occurring from January 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008, subject to an aggregate limit of \$10.0 million. This aggregate limit means that regardless of the number of occurrences during the 18-month contract period with incurred losses in excess of \$5.0 million, the aggregate amount paid under this treaty would not exceed \$10.0 million. Both of these treaties cover traditional and alternative market business but exclude coverage for participation in assigned risk pools.

Table of Contents

The third layer of excess of loss reinsurance consists of two separate clash cover treaties. Each of these treaties provides \$10.0 million of coverage per occurrence in excess of \$10.0 million. Each reinsures losses in excess of \$10.0 million up to \$20.0 million. The first of these treaties applies to losses occurring from January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2006. The second applies to losses occurring from January 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008, subject to an aggregate limit of \$20.0 million. Both of these treaties cover traditional and alternative market business but exclude coverage for participation in assigned risk pools.

July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008. For workers compensation claims covered under traditional insurance policies that commence during the period from July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008, Guarantee Insurance retains \$1.0 million per occurrence and cedes losses greater than this \$1.0 million retention. The amount of the excess of loss reinsurance that applies to such claims totals \$19.0 million per occurrence, provided in three layers, including a clash cover treaty in the highest layer.

Pursuant to a workers compensation excess of loss reinsurance agreement between Guarantee Insurance and Midwest Employers Casualty Company, the first layer of the excess of loss reinsurance provides \$4.0 million of coverage per occurrence excess of Guarantee Insurance's \$1.0 million retention for losses insured under policies commencing during the period July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008. It reinsures losses in excess of \$1.0 million up to \$5.0 million.

Pursuant to a workers compensation excess of loss reinsurance agreement between Guarantee Insurance and reinsurers Max Re, Ltd., Aspen Insurance UK Limited and various underwriters at Lloyd's London, the second layer of excess of loss reinsurance provides \$5.0 million of coverage per occurrence in excess of \$5.0 million for losses occurring on or after January 1, 2007 and prior to July 1, 2008. It reinsures losses in excess of \$5.0 million up to \$10.0 million and has an aggregate limit of \$10.0 million. The second layer covers both traditional and alternative market business and excludes coverage for participation in assigned risk pools.

The third layer of excess of loss reinsurance is a clash cover provided pursuant to a workers compensation excess of loss reinsurance agreement between Guarantee Insurance and the reinsurers Aspen Insurance UK Limited and various underwriters at Lloyd's London. This reinsurance applies to losses occurring from January 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008. It provides \$10.0 million of coverage per occurrence in excess of \$10.0 million, subject to an aggregate limit of \$20.0 million. It reinsures losses in excess of \$10.0 million up to \$20.0 million. The third layer covers both traditional and alternative market business and excludes coverage for participation in assigned risk pools.

July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009. Guarantee Insurance has obtained excess of loss reinsurance, effective July 1, 2008, in the same three layers (\$4.0 million excess of a \$1.0 million retention, \$5.0 million excess of \$5.0 million and \$10.0 million excess of \$10.0 million) as were in place for the prior period ended June 30, 2008. The first layer of this coverage, provided by Midwest Employers Casualty Company, applies only to traditional insurance policies. The second layer, provided by Max Bermuda, Ltd., Aspen Insurance UK Limited and various underwriters at Lloyd's London, applies to both traditional and alternative market insurance policies. The third layer, provided by Max Bermuda, Ltd., Tokio Millennium Reinsurance Limited, Aspen Insurance UK Limited and various underwriters at Lloyd's London, applies to both traditional and alternative market insurance policies and is a clash cover.

The first layer of coverage for the prior period ended June 30, 2008 was written on a risk attaching basis. Coverage under all layers of excess of loss reinsurance incepting July 1, 2008 is written on a losses occurring basis and applies to losses occurring during the period July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009. Coverage under the first layer of this new reinsurance is subject to an annual deductible of \$1.0 million such that this reinsurance only applies to losses in excess of \$1.0 million per occurrence during the period July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009 to the extent that such losses exceed \$1.0 million in the aggregate. Coverage under the second layer is subject to an aggregate limit of \$10.0 million, while coverage under the third layer is subject to an annual limit of \$20.0 million. All three layers exclude coverage for participation in assigned risk pools.

Recoverability of reinsurance. Reinsurance does not discharge or diminish Patriot's obligation to pay claims covered under insurance policies it issues. However, it does permit Patriot to recover losses on such risks from its reinsurers.

Patriot would be obligated to pay claims in the event these reinsurers were unable to meet their obligations. Therefore, it is subject to credit risk with respect to the obligations of its reinsurers. A reinsurer's ability to perform its obligations may be adversely affected by events unrelated to workers' compensation insurance losses.

Table of Contents

Patriot has reinsurance agreements with both authorized and unauthorized reinsurers. Authorized reinsurers are licensed or otherwise authorized to conduct business in the state of Florida (Guarantee Insurance's state of domicile). Under statutory accounting principles, Guarantee Insurance receives credit on its statutory financial statements for all paid and unpaid losses ceded to authorized reinsurers. Unauthorized reinsurers are not licensed or otherwise authorized to conduct business in the state of Florida. Under statutory accounting principles, Guarantee Insurance receives credit for paid and unpaid losses ceded to unauthorized reinsurers to the extent these liabilities are secured by funds held, letters of credit or other forms of acceptable collateral.

On a routine basis, Patriot reviews the financial strength of its authorized and unauthorized reinsurers, monitors the aging of reinsurance recoverables on paid losses and assesses the adequacy of collateral underlying reinsurance recoverable balances. If a reinsurer is unable to meet any of its obligations under the reinsurance agreements, Patriot would be responsible for the payment of all claims and claims expenses that it has ceded to such reinsurer. The collateral Guarantee Insurance maintains from certain reinsurers serves to mitigate this risk.

As of December 31, 2008, approximately 87% of Patriot's reinsurance recoverable balances on paid and unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses are either due from authorized reinsurers or are fully secured with collateral provided by unauthorized reinsurers. To date, Patriot has not, in the aggregate, experienced difficulties in collecting balances from its reinsurers. However, Patriot has historically maintained an allowance for the potential uncollectibility of reinsurance balances on paid and unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses by authorized and unauthorized reinsurers. The table below sets forth Patriot's reinsurance recoverable balances as of December 31, 2008:

		Reinsurance Recoverable Balances				
		Paid	Unpaid			
		Losses	Losses and			
		and	Loss			
	A.M.	Loss	Loss			
	Best	Adjustment	Adjustment			
	Rating	Expenses	Expenses	Total	Collateral ⁽¹⁾	Net
						Exposures
				In thousands		
Authorized reinsurers:						
National Indemnity Company	A++	\$ 2,301	\$ 13,435	\$ 15,736	\$	\$ 15,736
Swiss Reinsurance America Corporation	A+	293	1,643	1,936		1,936
Midwest Employers Casualty Company	A+	1,474	1,674	3,148		3,148
Other authorized reinsurers		441	2,468	2,909	238	2,671
Total authorized reinsurers		4,509	19,220	23,729	238	23,491
Unauthorized reinsurers:						
Excess of loss reinsurers:						
With net exposures						
With no net exposures			537	537	1,618	
Total excess of loss reinsurers			537	537	1,618	
Segregated portfolio cell captives:						
With net exposures			3,011	3,011	1,574	1,437
With no net exposures			11,340	11,340	22,301	

Total segregated portfolio cell captives		14,351	14,351	23,875	
Legacy exposure reinsurers:					
With net exposures	340	2,111	2,451	1,303	1,148
With no net exposures	93	1,273	1,366	2,350	
Total legacy exposure reinsurers	433	3,384	3,817	3,653	1,148
Total unauthorized reinsurers	433	18,272	18,705	29,146	2,585
Total	4,942	37,492	42,434	\$ 29,384	\$ 26,076
Less allowance	(300)		(300)		
Net	\$ 4,642	\$ 37,492	\$ 42,134		

(1) Collateral is principally comprised of funds held by Guarantee Insurance under reinsurance treaties and letters of credit.

As of December 31, 2008, Guarantee Insurance had net exposures from five segregated portfolio captive cells totaling approximately \$1.4 million. Individually, net exposures from these five segregated portfolio captive cells ranged from approximately \$23,000 to approximately \$498,000.

As of December 31, 2008, Guarantee Insurance had net exposures from six unauthorized reinsurers totaling approximately \$1.1 million attributable to its legacy asbestos and environmental claims and commercial general liability claims which arose from the sale of general liability insurance and participations in reinsurance assumed through underwriting management organizations. See Legacy Claims. . Individually, net exposures from these six reinsurers ranged from approximately \$44,000 to approximately \$598,000.

Table of Contents

Reserves for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

Patriot records reserves for estimated losses under insurance policies that it writes and for loss adjustment expenses related to the investigation and settlement of policy claims. Patriot's reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses represent the estimated cost of all reported and unreported losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred and unpaid at a given point in time. Patriot does not discount loss and loss adjustment expense reserves.

Patriot seeks to provide estimates of loss and loss adjustment expense reserves that equal ultimate incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses. Maintaining the adequacy of loss and loss adjustment reserve estimates is an inherent risk of the workers' compensation insurance business. Patriot uses an independent actuarial consulting firm to assist in the evaluation of the adequacy of its loss and loss adjustment reserves. Workers' compensation claims may be paid over a long period of time. Estimating reserves for these claims may be more uncertain than estimating reserves for other lines of insurance with shorter or more definite periods between occurrence of the claim and final determination of the loss. Patriot endeavors to minimize this risk by closing claims promptly and by relying on the estimates of its professional claims adjusting staff, supplemented by actuarial estimation techniques.

The three main components of loss