# NUVEEN CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC Form N-CSR

November 09, 2007

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-5235

-----

Nuveen California Municipal Value Fund, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Nuveen Investments 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

-----

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Kevin J. McCarthy Nuveen Investments 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

-----

(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (312) 917-7700

-----

Date of fiscal year end: August 31

-----

Date of reporting period: August 31, 2007

-----

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. ss. 3507.

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

ANNUAL REPORT August 31, 2007

Nuveen Investments
MUNICIPAL CLOSED-END FUNDS

Photo of: Small child

NUVEEN CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND, INC. NCA

NUVEEN CALIFORNIA PERFORMANCE PLUS MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. NCP

NUVEEN CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL MARKET OPPORTUNITY FUND, INC. NCO

NUVEEN CALIFORNIA INVESTMENT QUALITY MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. NQC

NUVEEN CALIFORNIA SELECT QUALITY MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. NVC

NUVEEN CALIFORNIA QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. NUC

IT'S NOT WHAT YOU EARN, IT'S WHAT YOU KEEP. (R)

Logo: NUVEEN Investments

Photo of: Man working on computer

LIFE IS COMPLEX.

NUVEEN

MAKES THINGS
E-simple.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

It only takes a minute to sign up for e-Reports. Once enrolled, you'll receive an e-mail as soon as your Nuveen Investments Fund information is

ready--no more waiting for delivery by regular mail. Just click on the link within the e-mail to see the report and save it on your computer if you wish.

FREE E-REPORTS RIGHT TO YOUR E-MAIL!

www.investordelivery.com

If you receive your Nuveen Fund
dividends and statements from your
financial advisor or brokerage account.

OR

www.nuveen.com/accountaccess
If you receive your Nuveen Fund dividends
and statements directly from Nuveen.

Logo: NUVEEN Investments

Chairman's LETTER TO SHAREHOLDERS

Photo of: Timothy R. Schwertfeger

Timothy R. Schwertfeger

Chairman of the Board

Once again, I am pleased to report that over the twelve-month period covered by this report your Fund continued to provide you with attractive monthly tax-free income. For more details about the management strategy and performance of your Fund, please read the Portfolio Manager's Comments, the Dividend and Share Price Information, and the Performance Overview sections of this report.

I also wanted to take this opportunity to report some important news about Nuveen Investments. We have accepted a "buyout" offer from Madison Dearborn Partners, LLC. While this will affect the corporate structure of Nuveen Investments, it will have no impact on the investment objectives of the Funds, portfolio management strategies or their dividend policies. We will provide you with additional information about this transaction as more details become available.

For some time, I've used these letters to remind you that municipal bonds can be an important building block in a well-balanced investment portfolio. In addition to providing attractive tax-free monthly income, a municipal bond investment like your Fund may help you achieve and benefit from greater portfolio diversification. Portfolio diversification is a recognized way to try to reduce some of the risk that comes with investing. For more information about this important investment strategy, I encourage you to contact your personal financial advisor.

We also are pleased to be able to offer you a choice concerning how you receive your shareholder reports and other Fund information. As an alternative to mailed copies, you can sign up to receive future Fund reports and other Fund information by e-mail and the internet. The inside front cover of this report contains information on how you can sign up.

We are grateful that you have chosen us as a partner as you pursue your financial goals and we look forward to continuing to earn your trust in the months and years ahead. At Nuveen Investments, our mission continues to be to assist you and your financial advisor by offering investment services and products that can help you to secure your financial objectives.

Sincerely,

/s/ Timothy R. Schwertfeger

Timothy R. Schwertfeger Chairman of the Board October 15, 2007

Portfolio Manager's COMMENTS

Nuveen Investments Municipal Closed-End Funds

NCA, NCP, NCO, NQC, NVC, NUC

Portfolio manager Scott Romans reviews national and state economic and municipal market environments, key investment strategies, and the annual performance of the Nuveen California Municipal Funds. Scott, who joined Nuveen in 2000, has managed these six Funds since 2003.

WHAT FACTORS AFFECTED THE U.S. ECONOMY AND MUNICIPAL MARKET DURING THE ANNUAL REPORTING PERIOD ENDED AUGUST 31, 2007?

Between September 1, 2006, and August 31, 2007, the yield on the benchmark 10-year U.S. Treasury note dropped a total of almost 20 basis points to end the reporting period at 4.54%. In the municipal market, the yield on the Bond Buyer 25 Revenue Bond Index, a widely followed measure of longer-term municipal market rates, fell to 4.83% at the end of August 2007, a net decline of 8 basis points from the end of August 2006. Although longer-term municipal bond interest rates generally declined during the first nine months of this reporting period, developments in the financial sector, especially in the credit markets, led to increased volatility, tightening liquidity, and a flight to quality during the final three months of the period. This was particularly evident in August 2007, when market concerns about defaults on sub-prime mortgages resulted in a liquidity crisis across all fixed income asset classes. (We should note that the Nuveen California Municipal Closed-End Funds had no exposure to the collateralized debt products that were at the center of this liquidity crisis.) During the month of August, the yield on the Bond Buyer 25 Revenue Bond Index jumped 20 basis points from its July 31 level, even as the yield on the 10-year Treasury note dropped almost 25 basis points. These dramatic changes were indicative of the highly unusual dislocation between the direction of rate changes in the municipal and taxable fixed income markets during the last part of this period.

Throughout this 12-month reporting period, the Federal Reserve elected to stay on the sidelines, leaving monetary policy unchanged as it kept close tabs on the pace of economic growth, the situation in the housing market, and inflationary pressures, including higher energy prices. (On September 18, 2007, after the close of this reporting period, the Fed made its first adjustment to the fed discount rate in 15 months, cutting this target rate from 5.25% to 4.75%.) Through much of this period, the U.S. gross domestic product (GDP), a closely watched measure of economic growth, operated at below-trend levels, expanding at

a rate of 1.1% in the third quarter of 2006, 2.1% in the fourth quarter of 2006, and 0.6% in the first quarter of 2007, the weakest reading since 2002 (all GDP numbers annualized). However, in the second quarter of 2007, increases in government and business spending and exports helped GDP growth rebound sharply to 3.8%, overcoming a 12% decline in residential investment and noticeable deceleration in consumer spending. While the Consumer Price Index (CPI) registered a

Discussions of specific investments are for illustration only and are not intended as recommendations of individual investments. The views expressed herein represent those of the portfolio manager as of the date of this report and are subject to change at any time, based on market conditions and other factors. The Funds disclaim any obligation to advise shareholders of such changes.

4

2.0% year-over-year gain as of August 2007, the increase in this inflation gauge for the first eight months of 2007 was 3.7%, driven largely by gains in energy and food prices. The core CPI (which excludes food and energy prices) rose 2.3% between January and August 2007, remaining above the Fed's unofficial target of 2.0% or lower. The labor market continued to be tight, with a national unemployment rate of 4.6% in August 2007, down from 4.7% in August 2006.

Over the 12 months ended August 2007, municipal bond issuance nationwide totaled \$437.3 billion, up 22% from the previous 12 months. During the first eight months of 2007, \$289.4 billion in new securities came to market, up 21% over the same period in 2006. A major factor in 2007 volume was the 36% increase in advance refundings,1 driven by attractive borrowing rates for issuers during the first part of the year. In the month of August, however, as municipal bond interest rates rose, advance refundings fell off almost 33%, and several scheduled issues were postponed. For the majority of the period, the strength and diversity of demand for municipal bonds were important factors, as the surge in issuance was absorbed by a broad-based universe of traditional and non-traditional buyers, including retail investors, institutional investors such as hedge funds and arbitragers, and overseas investors.

HOW WERE THE ECONOMIC AND MARKET ENVIRONMENTS IN CALIFORNIA DURING THIS PERIOD?

In terms of gross domestic product by state, California ranked as the 13th fastest growing state economy in the nation in 2006, with growth of 4.2% versus the national average of 3.4%. The state's economy remained diverse, with international trade, technology, tourism, finance, and defense serving as key drivers. California's ports continued to benefit from trade with Asia, and strong global demand for technology products was positive for the state's long-term economic outlook. While overall economic growth remained strong, the California housing market was an area with greater exposure to riskier, non-traditional mortgage products, and we continued to monitor this situation for signs of potential impact on the state's economy. In recent months, the slowdown in the housing sector contributed to job losses in the construction industry, which were offset to some degree by job creation in other sectors. For the majority of this reporting period, California ranked second in the nation in terms of job creation. By August 2007, however, California's unemployment rate had risen to 5.5%, its highest level in more than two years, up from 4.9% in August 2006. Demographic trends in the state remained positive, with population growth of 7.6% over the past six years, compared with the national average of

6.4%.

After a delay of almost two months, the \$145.5 billion California state budget for fiscal 2008 was approved in late August 2007, following final cuts totaling \$700 million. Among the programs affected by the reductions were naturalization services, the state's discount prescription drug plan, and several Medi-Cal programs, while the \$57 billion budget for elementary and high school education was largely spared. In March 2007, Moody's and Standard & Poor's confirmed their ratings on California's general obligation bonds at A1 and A+, respectively, while Fitch affirmed its A+ rating in June 2007.

1 Advance refundings, also known as pre-refundings or refinancings, occur when an issuer sells new bonds and uses the proceeds to fund principal and interest payments of older existing bonds. This process often results in lower borrowing costs for bond issuers.

5

All three rating agencies maintained stable outlooks for the state. For the 12 months ended August 31, 2007, municipal issuance in California totaled \$67.4 billion, an increase of 38% over the previous 12 months. During the first eight months of 2007, California supply rose even more sharply, up 62% from that of January-August 2006, to \$49.3 billion. California remained the largest state issuer in the nation for both the 12-month and year-to-date periods.

WHAT KEY STRATEGIES WERE USED TO MANAGE THE CALIFORNIA FUNDS DURING THIS ANNUAL REPORTING PERIOD?

In the municipal market environment of the past 12 months, we continued to emphasize a disciplined approach to duration2 management and yield curve positioning. In all six of these Funds, our duration management strategies during this period included the use of inverse floating rate securities, 3 a type of derivative financial instrument. The inverse floaters had the dual benefit of bringing the Funds' durations closer to our preferred strategic target and enhancing the Funds' income-generation capabilities. This strategy was deployed at varying levels depending on the individual needs of each Fund.

As discussed in past shareholder reports, we have also used forward interest rate swaps (an additional type of derivative instrument) as a duration management tool when we believed this supported our overall investment performance strategies. The goal of this strategy is to help us manage net asset value (NAV) volatility without having a negative impact on the Funds' income streams or common share dividends over the short term. During this reporting period, we employed forward interest rate swaps in all six of these Funds.

With California's sizeable increase in municipal issuance during this period, new supply as well as advance refundings and debt restructurings provided us with a greater variety of bonds and sectors from which to choose. During the spring and early summer of 2007, a number of uninsured offerings from major California health care systems—mostly rated AA or A—came to market at very attractive prices. Because of the volume of issuance, the spread levels on these offerings were wider than historical norms, and we added a substantial number of new hospital and health care related issues. We found these opportunities attractive based not only on their price, but also on their performance potential and the support they could provide for the Funds' income streams. We also participated in the \$4.5 billion Golden State Tobacco Securitization offering in March 2007, the largest municipal bond deal of the first half of

2007. These tobacco bonds were offered at attractive spreads wider than the national norm, and we added significant positions across the complex of California Funds in order to bring the Funds' tobacco exposure closer to the market average. During this period, we also purchased single family housing bonds as a good way to add income to the California Funds.

As liquidity in the fixed income markets tightened in July and August, we also took advantage of offerings in the secondary markets to add various credit positions at very attractive levels. Among our purchases were community facilities district bonds, marking the first time in several years that we added these bonds to some of the Funds. Until recently, we believed that yields on these bonds were too low to compensate us

2 Duration is a measure of a bond's price sensitivity as interest rates change, with longer duration bonds displaying more sensitivity to these changes than bonds with shorter durations.

3 An inverse floating rate securities is a financial instrument designed to pay long-term tax-exempt interest at a rate that varies inversely with a short-term tax-exempt interest rate index. For the Nuveen Funds, the index typically used is the Securities Industry and Financial Markets (SIFM) Municipal Swap Index (previously referred to as the Bond Market Association Index or BMA). Inverse floaters, including those inverse floating rate securities in which the Funds invested during the 12-month period, are further defined within the "Notes to Financial Statements" and "Glossary of Terms Used in This Report" sections of this shareholder report.

6

for the securities' risk. However, following the municipal market's challenges, yields on these securities reached six percent, providing what we believed were compelling opportunities in this sector.

To generate cash for purchases and to help move the Funds' durations closer to our strategic target, we sold holdings with shorter durations, including short-dated pre-refunded bonds and callable bonds priced to short calls. As interest rates rose late in the period, we also found a wide variety of opportunities to sell holdings that were purchased when yields were lower and replace them with similar, newer credits that yielded comparatively more. This process allowed us to maintain the Funds' current portfolio characteristics while strengthening their future income streams.

#### HOW DID THE FUNDS PERFORM?

Individual results for these Nuveen California Municipal Funds, as well as relevant index and peer group information, are presented in the accompanying table.

Total Returns on Net Asset Value\* For periods ended 8/31/07

	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year
NCA4	2.11%	4.45%	5.14%
NCP	0.49%	5.24%	5.78%
NCO	0.07%	5.36%	5.61%
NQC	0.57%	4.86%	5.69%

NVC	0.29%	5.31%	5.94%
NUC	0.74%	5.24%	5.85%
Lehman Brothers CA			
Tax-Exempt Bond Index5	2.08%	4.31%	5.37%
Lipper CA Municipal			
Debt Funds Average6	0.34%	5.48%	5.63%

For the 12 months ended August 31, 2007, the total return on NAV for NCA exceeded the return on the Lehman Brothers California Tax-Exempt Bond Index, while the performances of the remaining five Funds in this report lagged the index return. The returns on NCA, NCP, NQC, and NUC outperformed the average return for the Lipper California peer group, while NCO and NVC trailed the group average.

One of the key factors in the annual performance of these Funds relative to that of the unleveraged Lehman Brothers California Tax-Exempt Bond Index was the use of financial leverage. The total returns of five of these Funds (NCP, NCO, NQC, NVC, and NUC) were negatively impacted by their use of leverage during this period; NCA is not leveraged. Although leveraging provides opportunities for additional income and total returns for common shareholders, it can also expose shareholders to additional risk when market conditions are unfavorable. With the dramatic increase in yields on longer municipal bonds during the last part of this period, the impact of the valuation

#### \*Annualized

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares.

For additional information, see the individual Performance Overview for your Fund in this report.

- 4 NCA is an unleveraged Fund; the remaining five Funds in this report are leveraged.
- 5 The Lehman Brothers California Tax-Exempt Bond Index is an unleveraged, unmanaged index comprising a broad range of investment-grade California municipal bonds. Results for the Lehman index do not reflect any expenses.
- 6 The Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds Average category is calculated using the returns of all closed-end funds in this category for each period as follows: 1 year, 24; 5 years, 23; and 10 years, 12. Fund and Lipper returns assume reinvestment of dividends.

7

changes in these bonds was magnified by the use of leverage in NCP, NCO, NQC, NVC, and NUC. Since NCA is not leveraged, the impact of these changes was much less, which was a major factor in the performance differential between NCA and the other five Funds.

Among the five leveraged Funds, some of the differential in the one-year returns shown in the above table can be attributed to the variation in the percentage of assets that was leveraged in each Fund. That is, the greater the percentage of a Fund's portfolio that was leveraged, the greater the negative impact on that Fund's performance during this period. While the value provided by leverage was limited over this reporting period, we firmly believe that the use of this strategy should work to the benefit of the leveraged Funds over the long term. This is demonstrated by the five-year and ten-year return performances—both absolute and relative to the Lehman Brothers California Tax-Exempt Bond Index—of the five leveraged Funds in this report.

In the interest rate environment over the past 12 months, municipal bonds with maturities of seven years and less, as measured by the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index7, performed best, generally outpacing municipal bonds with longer maturities. The goal among all of these Funds was the same: to reduce relative risk by decreasing the distance between the Funds' durations and the strategic target duration. During this period, that involved working to lengthen duration. However, the Funds' durations continued to be somewhat short of the target, due in part to a large number of advance refundings, which had a shortening effect on the Funds' durations, as well as the natural tendency of bond durations to shorten as time passes. With the strong performance of shorter maturity bonds, this positioning proved to be positive for the Funds' performances during this period.

In addition, pre-refunded bonds, especially those that were advance refunded before longer municipal rates began to rise in mid-2007, performed very well during this period, and we continued to see positive contributions from advance refunding activity, which benefited the Funds through both price appreciation and enhanced credit quality. The varying rates of pre-refundings in several of the Funds also influenced their relative performances for this period.

While the impact of credit quality and sector allocation on Fund performance was outweighed by duration and the use of derivatives during this period, we should note that lower credit quality bonds generally underperformed the municipal market as a whole for the first time in several years, as longer municipal rates rose and credit spreads widened. However, structure played an important role in the relative performance of bonds in this credit quality segment. Lower-rated credits that had higher coupons tended to remain at a premium as interest rates backed up during the summer of 2007, enabling these bonds to at least perform in line with the market during this period. At the same time, some of the lower-rated bonds added to the Funds during the earlier part of this period did not have higher coupons and were priced at par or even a slight discount, leading to underperformance as spreads widened.

7 The Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index is an unleveraged, unmanaged national index comprising a broad range of investment-grade municipal bonds. Results for the Lehman index do not reflect any expenses.

8

Revenue bonds in general, and specifically the industrial development and health care sectors that had ranked among the top performers in the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index over the past few years, also underperformed the general municipal market for this period. Zero coupon bonds also generally posted poor performance due to their longer durations. Sectors of the market that performed well during this period included transportation, water and sewer and special tax-backed issues.

9

Dividend and Share Price

#### INFORMATION

As previously noted, all of the Funds in this report except NCA use leverage to potentially enhance opportunities for additional income for common shareholders. Although the Funds' use of this strategy continued to provide incremental income, the extent of this benefit was reduced during this period due to short-term interest rates that remained relatively high, which, in turn, kept the Funds' borrowing costs high. The Funds' income streams were also impacted as the proceeds from older, higher-yielding bonds that matured or were called were reinvested into bonds currently available in the market, which generally offered lower yields during the majority of this period. These factors resulted in a single monthly dividend reduction in NVC and NUC and two reductions in NCO over the 12-month period ended August 31, 2007. NCA's dividend was also cut once during this period. The dividends of NCP and NQC remained stable throughout this reporting period.

Due to capital gains generated by normal portfolio activity, common shareholders of these Funds received capital gains and/or net ordinary income distributions at the end of December 2006 as follows:

		Short-Term Capital Gains
	Long-Term Capital Gains	and/or Ordinary Income
	(per share)	(per share)
NCA	\$0.0282	\$0.0001
NCP	\$0.0380	\$0.0149
NCO		\$0.0226
NQC	\$0.0610	\$0.0048
NVC	\$0.0459	\$0.0041
NUC	\$0.0269	\$0.0027

All of the Funds in this report seek to pay stable dividends at rates that reflect each Fund's past results and projected future performance. During certain periods, each Fund may pay dividends at a rate that may be more or less than the amount of net investment income actually earned by the Fund during the period. If a Fund has cumulatively earned more than it has paid in dividends, it holds the excess in reserve as undistributed net investment income (UNII) as part of the Fund's NAV. Conversely, if a Fund has cumulatively paid dividends in excess of its earnings, the excess constitutes negative UNII that is likewise reflected in the Fund's NAV. Each Fund will, over time, pay all of its net investment income as dividends to shareholders. As of August 31, 2007, all of the Funds in this report except NQC had a positive UNII balance for tax purposes and a negative UNII balance for financial statement purposes. NQC had a positive UNII balance for both tax and financial statement purposes.

10

As of August 31, 2007, the Funds' share prices were trading at discounts to their NAVs as shown in the accompanying chart:

	8/31/07 Discount	12-Month Average Discount
NCA	-2.23%	-1.83%
NCP	-4.74%	-4.79%
NCO	-3.62%	-0.31%
NQC	-7.22%	-4.92%
NVC	-5.29%	-2.65%
NUC	-5.69%	-1.49%

11

NCA

Performance OVERVIEW

Nuveen California Municipal Value Fund, Inc.

as of August 31, 2007

### Pie Chart:

Credit Quality (as a % of total investments)

AAA/U.S.

Guaranteed 64% AA 6% A 9% BBB 13% N/R 8%

### Bar Chart:

2006-2007 Monthly Tax-Free Dividends Per Share2

0.0385 0.0385 Oct 0.0385 Nov 0.0385 Dec 0.0385 Jan Feb 0.0385 Mar 0.0385 0.0385 Apr 0.0385 May Jun 0.0365 0.0365 Jul 0.0365 Aug

#### Line Chart:

Share Price Performance -- Weekly Closing Price

9,01/06 9,67 9,72 9,76 9,93 10.09 10.1287 9,93 9,87 9,95 10

10.06

10.0897

10.07

10.04 10.02 10.04 10.26 9.95 9.9601 10.1 9.97 10.1 10 10.01 9.97 10.0234 9.97 9.93 9.94 10.2 10.16 10.01 9.96 10.2 10.19 10.3 10.27 9.99 10.06 9.79 9.63 9.56 9.58 9.56 9.48 9.5 9.25 9.3 9.328 9.32 9.7 8/31/07 9.65 FUND SNAPSHOT Common Share Price \$9.65 Common Share \$9.87 Net Asset Value Premium/(Discount) to NAV -2.23% \_\_\_\_\_ Market Yield Taxable-Equivalent Yield1 6.95% Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000) \$249,022 \_\_\_\_\_ Average Effective Maturity on Securities (Years) \_\_\_\_\_ Modified Duration

# AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN (Inception 10/07/87)

(Inception	10/07/87)	
	ON SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
1-Year	4.74%	2.11%
5-Year	4.93%	4.45%
10-Year	5.07%	5.14%
INDUSTRIES (as a % of	total investments	;)
U.S. Guara	nteed 	36.3%
Tax Obligat	tion/Limited	26.7%
Health Care	9	9.2%
Tax Obligat	tion/General	5.6%
Long-Term (	Care	4.4%
Water and	Sewer	3.9%
Other		13.9%

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.7%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 The Fund paid shareholders capital gains and net ordinary income distributions in December 2006 of \$0.0283 per share.

12

NCP Performance OVERVIEW

Nuveen California Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc.

as of August 31, 2007

Pie Chart:

Credit Quality (as a % of total investments)

AAA/U.S.

Guaranteed 71% AA 11% A 9%

BBB NR	7% 2%	
Bar Chart: 2006-2007 Monthly Tax-Free Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug	Dividends 0.0595 0.0595 0.0595 0.0595 0.0595 0.0595 0.0595 0.0595 0.0595	Per Share2
Line Chart: Share Price Performance 9/01/06	Weekly Clo 14.42 14.38 14.37 14.49 14.38 14.55 14.34 14.36 14.51 14.46 14.66 14.5 14.54 14.67 14.69 14.537 14.71 14.77 14.81 14.77 14.81 14.77 14.82 14.75 14.76 1	osing Price

J	· ·	
		14.44 14.26 14.3901 14.77 14.45 14.13 14.18 14.02 13.81 13.68 13.78
8/31/07		14.07
FUND SNAPSHO	T 	
Common Share	Price	\$14.07
Common Share Net Asset Va	lue	\$14.77
	count) to NAV	-4.74%
Market Yield		5.07%
Taxable-Equi	valent Yield1	7.76%
Net Assets Ag Common Share	pplicable to s (\$000)	\$191,466
Average Effe	ctive Maturity s (Years)	15.62
Leverage-Adj	usted Duration	10.19
AVERAGE ANNU.	AL TOTAL RETURN 1/15/89)	
0:	N SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
1-Year	3.21%	0.49%
5-Year	5.05%	5.24%
10-Year	4.27%	5.78%
INDUSTRIES (as a % of to	otal investment	s)
Tax Obligati	on/Limited	19.8%
U.S. Guarant	eed	16.9%
Transportati	on 	16.7%
Tax Obligation		12.8%
Water and Se	 wer 	10.3%
Utilities		6.4%

Health Care	6.3%
Other	10.8%

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.7%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 The Fund paid shareholders capital gains and net ordinary income distributions in December 2006 of \$0.0529 per share.

13

NCO Performance OVERVIEW

Nuveen California Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc.

as of August 31, 2007

#### Pie Chart:

Credit Quality (as a % of total investments)

AAA/U.S.

Guaranteed 73% AΑ 11% 9% Α BBB 5% N/R 2%

#### Bar Chart:

2006-2007 Monthly Tax-Free Dividends Per Share2

Sep	0.0665
Oct	0.0665
Nov	0.0665
Dec	0.0665
Jan	0.0665
Feb	0.0665
Mar	0.064
Apr	0.064
May	0.064
Jun	0.0605
Jul	0.0605
Aug	0.0605

#### Line Chart:

Share Price Performance -- Weekly Closing Price

9/01/06 15.36 15.54 15.4 15.55 15.52 15.45 15.35

8/31/07 FUND SNAPSHOT	15.37 15.4 15.58 15.6 15.8 15.7 16.21 16.07 15.75 15.84 15.87 15.78 15.8 16.09 15.82 15.85 15.66 15.75 15.79 15.87 15.79 15.701 16.02 15.85 15.92 15.79 15.75 15.79 15.75 15.79 15.75 14.49 14.65 14.47 14.67 14.47 14.66 14.47 14.67 14.47 14.66 14.43 14.52 14.46 14.43 14.55 14.36
Common Share Price	\$14.36
Common Share	
Net Asset Value	\$14.90
	-3.62%
Market Yield	5.06%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1	7.75%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$121 <b>,</b> 728

Average Effective Maturity	
on Securities (Years)	16.13
Leverage-Adjusted Duration	10.54

# AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN (Inception 5/17/90)

	ON SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
1-Year	-1.62%	0.07%
5-Year	4.89%	5.36%
10-Year	4.76%	5.61%

#### INDUSTRIES

(as a % of total investments)

U.S. Guaranteed	20.6%
Tax Obligation/Limited	17.1%
Water and Sewer	16.4%
Transportation	14.5%
Tax Obligation/General	13.1%
Health Care	10.7%
Other	7.6%

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.7%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 The Fund paid shareholders a net ordinary income distribution in December 2006 of 0.0226 per share.

14

NQC Performance OVERVIEW

Nuveen California Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc.

as of August 31, 2007

Pie Chart:

Credit Quality (as a % of total investments) AAA/U.S.

```
Guaranteed
                                 70%
AA
                                 12%
                                  9%
Α
                                  8%
BBB
N/R
                                  1%
Bar Chart:
2006-2007 Monthly Tax-Free Dividends Per Share2
                              0.0585
Oct
                              0.0585
Nov
                              0.0585
                              0.0585
Dec
Jan
                              0.0585
Feb
                              0.0585
Mar
                              0.0585
Apr
                              0.0585
                              0.0585
May
                              0.0585
Jun
Jul
                              0.0585
Aug
                              0.0585
Line Chart:
Share Price Performance -- Weekly Closing Price
9/01/06
                              14.58
                              14.35
                              14.36
                              14.45
                              14.46
                              14.42
                              14.27
                             14.35
                             14.4
                             14.51
                             14.66
                              14.6
                              14.65
                              14.64
                              14.77
                              14.51
                              14.63
                              14.59
                              14.6
                              14.88
                              14.81
                              14.81
                              14.94
                              14.93
                              14.875
                              14.892
                              14.88
                              14.9
                              14.85
                              14.94
                              15.04
                              15.12
                             15.01
                              14.9
                              15.02
                              14.98
                              14.92
                              14.95
                              14.9
```

8/31/07	14.95 14.69 14.26 14.11 14.13 14 14.05 13.92 13.64 13.84 13.6 13.53 13.9 13.74
FUND SNAPSHOT	
Common Share Price	\$13.74
Common Share Net Asset Value	\$14.81
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	-7.22%
Market Yield	5.11%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1	7.83%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$201,067
Average Effective Maturity on Securities (Years)	15.48
Leverage-Adjusted Duration	9.62
AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN (Inception 11/20/90)	
ON SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
1-Year -1.03%	0.57%
5-Year 3.96%	4.86%
10-Year 4.42%	5.69%
INDUSTRIES (as a % of total investments	3)
U.S. Guaranteed	22.6%
Tax Obligation/Limited	21.5%
Transportation	17.9%
Education and Civic Organizations	8.0%

Health Care	7.6%
Tax Obligation/General	7.5%
Other	14.9%

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.7%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 The Fund paid shareholders capital gains and net ordinary income distributions in December 2006 of \$0.0658 per share.

15

NVC

Performance OVERVIEW

Nuveen California Select Quality Municipal Fund, Inc.

as of August 31, 2007

Pie Chart:

Credit Quality (as a % of total investments)

AAA/U.S.

 Guaranteed
 72%

 AA
 7%

 A
 12%

 BBB
 7%

 N/R
 2%

Bar Chart:

2006-2007 Monthly Tax-Free Dividends Per Share2

0.0635 Sep 0.0635 Oct Nov 0.0635 Dec 0.0635 Jan 0.0635 Feb 0.0635 0.061 Mar 0.061 Apr May 0.061 Jun 0.061 Jul 0.061 Aug 0.061

Line Chart:

Share Price Performance -- Weekly Closing Price

9/01/06 15.25 15.08 14.98

15.12

15.07

15.11

15.07 15.01 15.14 15.14 15.13 15.21 15.08 15.1601 15.25 15.29 15.43 15.52 15.4 15.36 15.31 15.28 15.22 15.1901 15.3 15.277 15.21 15.16 15.03 15.04 15.04 15.14 15.12 15.113 15.2 15.18 15.22 15.1699 15.2 15.19 14.67 14.44 14.3 14.29 14.18 14.09 14.09 13.78 14.05 13.85 13.51 14.05 8/31/07 13.97 FUND SNAPSHOT \_\_\_\_\_ Common Share Price \$13.97 Common Share Net Asset Value Premium/(Discount) to NAV -5.29% Market Yield \_\_\_\_\_ Taxable-Equivalent Yield1 8.02% \_\_\_\_\_

Net Assets Applicable to

Common Shares	(\$000)	\$341,102
Average Effect on Securities	_	15.73
Leverage-Adjus	ted Duration	10.63
AVERAGE ANNUAL		
ON	SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
1-Year	-3.40%	0.29%
5-Year	4.45%	5.31%
10-Year	4.87%	5.94%
INDUSTRIES (as a % of tot	al investment	s)
U.S. Guarantee	:d	27.4%
Tax Obligation	/Limited	18.7%
Tax Obligation	/General	11.8%
Transportation		10.9%
Health Care		10.6%
Utilities		8.6%
Other		12.0%

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.7%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 The Fund paid shareholders capital gains and net ordinary income distributions in December 2006 of \$0.0500 per share.

16

NUC Performance OVERVIEW

Nuveen California Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc.

as of August 31, 2007

Pie Chart:

```
Credit Quality (as a % of total investments)
AAA/U.S.
Guaranteed
                                  74%
AA
                                   6%
                                   9%
Α
BBB
                                   8%
N/R
                                   3%
2006-2007 Monthly Tax-Free Dividends Per Share2
Sep
                               0.065
                               0.065
Oct
                               0.065
Nov
                               0.065
Dec
                               0.065
Jan
Feb
                               0.065
Mar
                               0.0625
                              0.0625
Apr
May
                              0.0625
Jun
                              0.0625
Jul
                              0.0625
                              0.0625
Aug
Line Chart:
Share Price Performance -- Weekly Closing Price
9/01/06
                              15.29
                              15.33
                              15.11
                              15.19
                              15.22
                              15.17
                              15.11
                              15.19
                              15.41
                              15.34
                              15.62
                              15.58
                              15.48
                              15.78
                              15.75
                              15.45
                              15.27
                              15.34
                              15.53
                              15.66
                              15.52
                              15.54
                              15.55
                              15.69
                              15.61
                              15.59
                              15.51
                              15.57
                              15.26
                              15.24
                              15.31
                              15.52
                              15.22
                              15.18
                              15.31
                              15.44
                              15.51
```

8/31/07 FUND SNAPSHO	ΟΤ	15.349 15.31 15.27 15.24 15.0099 14.91 14.85 14.75 14.58 14.574 14.39 14.31 14.41 14.05 13.97 14.08
Common Share		 \$14.08
Common Share	 e	
Net Asset Va	alue 	\$14.93 
	scount) to NAV	
Market Yield		5.33%
	ivalent Yield1	8.16%
	Applicable to es (\$000)	\$328 <b>,</b> 756
Average Effe	ective Maturity es (Years)	15.19
	justed Duration	10.00
AVERAGE ANNU	JAL TOTAL RETURN 11/20/91)	
(	ON SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
1-Year	-2.92%	0.74%
5-Year	3.73%	5.24%
10-Year	4.93%	5.85%
INDUSTRIES (as a % of t	cotal investment	s)
U.S. Guarant	ceed	31.0%
Tax Obligati	ion/Limited	21.4%
Tax Obligati	ion/General	11.6%
Transportati	 ion 	8.8%

Health Care	7.2%
Water and Sewer	4.4%
Consumer Staples	4.2%
Other	11.4%

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.7%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 The Fund paid shareholders capital gains and net ordinary income distributions in December 2006 of \$0.0296 per share.

17

Report of INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

THE BOARDS OF DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS
NUVEEN CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND, INC.
NUVEEN CALIFORNIA PERFORMANCE PLUS MUNICIPAL FUND, INC.
NUVEEN CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL MARKET OPPORTUNITY FUND, INC.
NUVEEN CALIFORNIA INVESTMENT QUALITY MUNICIPAL FUND, INC.
NUVEEN CALIFORNIA SELECT QUALITY MUNICIPAL FUND, INC.
NUVEEN CALIFORNIA QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND, INC.

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities, including the portfolios of investments, of Nuveen California Municipal Value Fund, Inc., Nuveen California Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen California Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc., Nuveen California Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen California Select Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. and Nuveen California Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (the "Funds"), as of August 31, 2007, and the related statements of operations for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and financial highlights, assessing the accounting principles used

and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of August 31, 2007, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers or by other applicable auditing procedures where replies from brokers were not received. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Nuveen California Municipal Value Fund, Inc., Nuveen California Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen California Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc., Nuveen California Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen California Select Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. and Nuveen California Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. at August 31, 2007, the results of their operations for the year then ended, the changes in their net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Chicago, Illinois October 18, 2007

18

NCA

Nuveen California Municipal Value Fund, Inc. Portfolio of INVESTMENTS

August 31, 2007

PRINCIPA AMOUNT (000	AL O) DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS
	CONSUMER STAPLES - 2.9% (2.9% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
\$ 59	California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Sonoma County Tobacco Securitization Corporation, Series 2005, 4.250%, 6/01/21	6/15 at 100
10,13	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2007A-2, 0.000%, 6/01/37	6/22 at 100
10,70	Total Consumer Staples	

EDUCATION AND CIVIC ORGANIZATIONS - 0.8% (0.8% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)

California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of Redlands, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 10/01/35

10/15 at 100

California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of the Pacific, Series 2006:

95 125	5.000%, 11/01/21 5.000%, 11/01/25	11/15 a 11/15 a	
1,500	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Certificates of Participation, San Diego Space and Science Foundation, Series 1996, 7.500%, 12/01/26	12/07 8	at 104
1,860	Total Education and Civic Organizations		
	HEALTH CARE - 9.2% (9.2% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)		
730	Arcadia, California, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Methodist Hospital of Southern California, Series 1992, 6.500%, 11/15/12	11/07 8	at 100
1,870	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Series 2005, 5.000%, 11/15/34	11/15 a	at 100
5,365	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sutter Health, Series 2007A, 5.250%, 11/15/46 (UB)	11/16 8	at 100
4,690	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanante System, Series 2006, 5.000%, 3/01/41	3/16 8	at 100
1,460	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanente System, Series 2001C, 5.250%, 8/01/31	8/16 8	at 100
2,710	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sherman Oaks Health System, Series 1998A, 5.000%, 8/01/22 - AMBAC Insured	No (	Opt. (
1,845	Rancho Mirage Joint Powers Financing Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, Eisenhower Medical Center, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 7/01/38	7/17 a	at 10(
1,000	Sierra View Local Health Care District, California, Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, 5.250%, 7/01/37 (WI/DD, Settling 9/18/07)	9/17 a	at 100
1,440	Stockton, California, Health Facility Revenue Bonds, Dameron Hospital Association, Series 1997A, 5.300%, 12/01/08	12/07 a	at 102
1,730	West Contra Costa Healthcare District, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2004, 5.375%, 7/01/21 - AMBAC Insured	7/14 8	at 100
22,840	Total Health Care		

19

NCA

Nuveen California Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS August 31, 2007

PRINCIPAL		OPTIONAL C
AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	PROVISIONS

	HOUSING/MULTIFAMILY - 3.2% (3.2% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
\$ 3,350	ABAG Finance Authority for Non-Profit Corporations, California, Multifamily Housing Revenue Refunding Bonds, United Dominion/The Highlands of Marin Apartments, Series 2000A, 6.400%, 8/15/30 (Mandatory put 8/15/08) (Alternative Minimum Tax)	No Opt. (
2,520	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Harbor City Lights, Series 1999Y, 6.650%, 7/01/39 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/09 at 10:
465	Riverside County, California, Subordinate Lien Mobile Home Park Revenue Bonds, Bravo Mobile Home Park Project, Series 1999B, 6.500%, 3/20/29	11/07 at 100
1,440	San Dimas Housing Authority, California, Mobile Home Park Revenue Bonds, Charter Oak Mobile Home Estates Acquisition Project, Series 1998A, 5.700%, 7/01/28	7/08 at 10:
	Total Housing/Multifamily	
	HOUSING/SINGLE FAMILY - 0.2% (0.2% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
395	California Housing Finance Agency, Home Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2006H, 5.750%, 8/01/30 - FGIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	2/16 at 10
5	California Rural Home Mortgage Finance Authority, GNMA Collateralized Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1993A-2, 7.950%, 12/01/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	No Opt. (
400	Total Housing/Single Family	
	INDUSTRIALS - 0.4% (0.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
1,000	California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds, Waste Management Inc., Series 2002A, 5.000%, 1/01/22 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	1/16 at 102
	LONG-TERM CARE - 4.4% (4.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
	ABAG Finance Authority for Non-Profit Corporations, California, Cal-Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Elder Care Alliance of Union City, Series 2004:	
1,850 2,130	5.400%, 8/15/24 5.600%, 8/15/34	8/14 at 100 8/14 at 100
2,720	ABAG Finance Authority for Non-Profit Corporations, California, Certificates of Participation, American Baptist Homes of the West, Series 1997A, 5.750%, 10/01/17	11/07 at 102
2,640	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Certificates of Participation, Internext Group, Series 1999,	4/09 at 10

5.375%, 4/01/17

1,500	Riverside County Public Financing Authority, California, Certificates of Participation, Air Force Village West, Series 1999, 5.750%, 5/15/19	5/09 at 101
10,840	Total Long-Term Care	
	TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL - 5.6% (5.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
5	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.250%, 4/01/34	4/14 at 100
	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004:	
500	5.000%, 2/01/20	2/14 at 100
5,200	5.000%, 2/01/21	2/14 at 100
1,000	Fremont Unified School District, Alameda County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.000%, 8/01/21 - FGIC Insured	8/12 at 101
1,500	Los Angeles Unified School District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006F, 5.000%, 7/01/24 - FGIC Insured	7/16 at 100
2,000	Puerto Rico, General Obligation and Public Improvement Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.500%, 7/01/20 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. (
270	Roseville Joint Union High School District, Placer County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006B, 5.000%, 8/01/27 - FGIC Insured	8/15 at 100
1,500	San Ramon Valley Unified School District, Contra Costa County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 8/01/23 - FSA Insured	8/13 at 100
	20	
PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL (

TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED - 27.1% (26.7% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)

California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003B,

TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL (continued)

5.000%, 8/01/20 - FSA Insured

13,435 Total Tax Obligation/General

Bell Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Bell Project Area, Series 2003:

1,460 West Contra Costa Unified School District, Contra Costa County, 8/11 at 101

	THOUSE THE STREET COLOR OF			
3,000 1,000	5.500%, 10/01/23 - RAAI Insured 5.625%, 10/01/33 - RAAI Insured	10/13 10/13		
1 700	Burbank Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Golden State Redevelopment Project, Series 2003:	10/10	~ ±	100
1,700 5,010	5.625%, 12/01/28 - FGIC Insured 5.750%, 12/01/33 - FGIC Insured	12/13 12/13		
2,400	Calexico Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Merged Central Business and Residential District Project, Series 2003C, 5.000%, 8/01/28 - AMBAC Insured	8/13	at	102
1,790	California, Economic Recovery Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 7/01/15	7/14	at	100
340	Capistrano Unified School District, Orange County, California, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District, Series 2005, 5.000%, 9/01/24 - FGIC Insured	9/15	at	100
1,005	Chino Redevelopment Agency, California, Merged Chino Redevelopment Project Area Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 9/01/38 - AMBAC Insured	9/16	at	101
2,800	Commerce Community Development Commission, California, Subordinate Lien Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Redevelopment Project 1, Series 1997B, 6.000%, 8/01/21	2/08	at	102
1,530	Duarte Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Amended Davis Addition Project Area, Series 1997A, 6.700%, 9/01/14	9/07	at	102
	Irvine, California, Unified School District, Community Facilities District Special Tax Bonds, Series 2006A:			
160 375	5.000%, 9/01/26 5.125%, 9/01/36	9/16 9/16		
2,500	Kern County Board of Education, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 6/01/31 - MBIA Insured	6/16	at	100
615	Los Angeles Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Lease Revenue Bonds, Manchester Social Services Project, Series 2005, 5.000%, 9/01/37 - AMBAC Insured	9/15	at	100
2,750	Los Angeles County Schools, California, Certificates of Participation, Pooled Financing Program, Regionalized Business Services Corporation, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 9/01/28 - FSA Insured	9/13	at	100
3 <b>,</b> 665	Milpitas, California, Local Improvement District 20 Limited Obligation Bonds, Series 1998A, 5.650%, 9/02/13	3/08	at	103
1,045	Modesto Schools Infrastructure Financing Agency, Stanislaus County, California, Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2004: 5.250%, 9/01/22 - AMBAC Insured	9/14	a+	100
1,145 1,255	5.250%, 9/01/22 AMBAC Insured 5.250%, 9/01/23 - AMBAC Insured 5.250%, 9/01/24 - AMBAC Insured	9/14 9/14	at	100
2,000	Monterey County, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2007, 4.500%, 8/01/37 - AMBAC Insured	8/17	at	100
420	Oakland Redevelopment Agency, California, Subordinate Lien Tax Allocation Bonds, Central District Redevelopment Project,	3/13	at	100

Series 2003, 5.500%, 9/01/18 - FGIC Insured

8,000	Palmdale Elementary School District, Los Angeles County,	8/09 at 101
	California, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District 90-1, Series 1999, 5.800%, 8/01/29 - FSA Insured	
290	Rialto Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Merged Project Area, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 9/01/35 - XLCA Insured	9/15 at 100

21

#### NCA

Nuveen California Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS August 31, 2007

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)					OPTIONAL C		
		TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED (continued)					
\$	5,000	Riverside County Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Housing Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 10/01/37 - XLCA Insured	10/14	at	100		
	360	Roseville, California, Certificates of Participation, Public Facilities, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 8/01/25 - AMBAC Insured	8/13	at	100		
	3,130	San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, California, Lease Revenue Bonds, Moscone Convention Center, Series 2004, 5.250%, 7/01/23 - AMBAC Insured	7/11	at	102		
	2,750	San Jose Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Convention Center Project, Series 2001F, 5.000%, 9/01/20 - MBIA Insured	9/11	at	100		
	1,400	Shafter Joint Powers Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Bonds, Community Correctional Facility Acquisition Project, Series 1997A, 5.950%, 1/01/11	1/08	at	100		
	1,000	Simi Valley, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2004, 5.000%, 9/01/24 - AMBAC Insured	9/14	at	100		
	1,925	Travis Unified School District, Solano County, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2006, 5.000%, 9/01/26 - FGIC Insured	9/16	at	100		
	3,845	Ventura County Superintendent of Schools, California, Certificates Participation, Series 2003, 5.000%, 12/01/27 - AMBAC Insured	12/11	at	100		
	1,185	Vista Joint Powers Financing Authority, California, Special Tax Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Community Facilities District 90-2, Series 1997A, 5.875%, 9/01/20	9/07	at	100		
	65 <b>,</b> 390	Total Tax Obligation/Limited					

	TRANSPORTATION - 3.7% (3.7% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
2,500	Bay Area Toll Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, San Francisco Bay Area Toll Bridge, Series 2006, 5.000%, 4/01/31	4/16 at 100
5,500	Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, California, Toll Road Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1999, 0.000%, 1/15/27	1/14 at 101
1,250	Fresno, California, Airport Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.500%, 7/01/30 - FSA Insured	7/10 at 101
240	Palm Springs Financing Authority, California, Palm Springs International Airport Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.550%, 7/01/28 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/14 at 102
9,490	Total Transportation	
	U.S. GUARANTEED - 36.6% (36.3% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) (4)	
2,400	California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Sonoma County Tobacco Funding Corporation, Series 2002B, 5.500%, 6/01/30 (Pre-refunded 6/01/12)	6/12 at 100
4,500	California Department of Water Resources, Power Supply Revenue Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.125%, 5/01/18 (Pre-refunded 5/01/12)	5/12 at 101
3,115	California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Pooled College and University Projects, Series 2000C, 6.750%, 6/01/30 (ETM)	6/10 at 101
12,000	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Series 1999A, 6.125%, 12/01/30 (Pre-refunded 12/01/09)	12/09 at 101
2,845	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.250%, 4/01/34 (Pre-refunded 4/01/14)	4/14 at 100
6,260	California, Various Purpose General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000, 5.750%, 3/01/27 (Pre-refunded 3/01/10) - MBIA Insured	3/10 at 101
5,000	Central California Joint Powers Health Finance Authority, Certificates of Participation, Community Hospitals of Central California Obligated Group, Series 2000, 6.000%, 2/01/30 (Pre-refunded 2/01/10)	2/10 at 101
2,065	Contra Costa County, California, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Home Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1988, 8.250%, 6/01/21 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (ETM)	No Opt. C

22

PRINCIPAL OPTIONAL CAMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1) PROVISIONS

	U.S. GUARANTEED (4) (continued)		
\$ 3,800 3,000	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2003B: 5.500%, 6/01/33 (Pre-refunded 6/01/13) 5.625%, 6/01/38 (Pre-refunded 6/01/13)	6/13 at 6/13 at	
2,500	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2003A-1, 6.250%, 6/01/33 (Pre-refunded 6/01/13)	6/13 at	t 100
1,935	Los Angeles Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Central Business District Redevelopment Project, Series 1987G, 6.750%, 7/01/10 (ETM)	1/08 at	t 100
3,200	Los Angeles Unified School District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 7/01/22 (Pre-refunded 7/01/13) - FSA Insured	7/13 at	t 100
1,845	Oakland Unified School District, Alameda County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.125%, 8/01/21 (Pre-refunded 8/01/08) - FSA Insured	8/08 at	t 101
5,000	Orange County Sanitation District, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2003, 5.250%, 2/01/27 (Pre-refunded 8/01/13) - FGIC Insured	8/13 at	t 100
8,565	Palmdale, California, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1988A, 0.000%, 3/01/17 (ETM)	No Op	pt. C
3,300	Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, Highway Revenue Bonds, Series 2002D, 5.375%, 7/01/36 (Pre-refunded 7/01/12)	7/12 at	t 100
20,415	San Bernardino County, California, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Single Family Home Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1988A, 0.000%, 9/01/21 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (ETM)	No Op	pt. C
5,000	San Diego Unified School District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004F, 5.000%, 7/01/29 (Pre-refunded 7/01/14) - FSA Insured	7/14 at	t 100
3,000	Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, Gross Receipts Taxes Loan Note, Series 1999A, 6.500%, 10/01/24 (Pre-refunded 10/01/10)		t 101
 99 <b>,</b> 745	Total U.S. Guaranteed		
	UTILITIES - 2.7% (2.7% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)		
2,600	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Certificates of Participation Refunding, Rio Bravo Fresno Project, Series 1999A, 6.300%, 12/01/18	11/07 at	t 101
605	Merced Irrigation District, California, Electric System Revenue	9/15 at	t 100

	Bonds, Series 2005, 5.125%, 9/01/31 - XLCA Insured	
3,47	Environmental Control Facilities Financing Authority, Co-Generation Facility Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A, 6.625%, 6/01/26 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	6/10 at 101
6,67	5 Total Utilities	
	WATER AND SEWER - 3.9% (3.9% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
1,50	O California Department of Water Resources, Water System Revenue Bonds, Central Valley Project, Series 2005AD, 5.000%, 12/01/22 - FSA Insured	6/15 at 100
1,50	O Castaic Lake Water Agency, California, Revenue Certificates of Participation, Series 2006C, 5.000%, 8/01/36 - MBIA Insured	8/16 at 100
41	O Healdsburg Public Financing Authority, California, Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 4/01/36 - MBIA Insured	4/16 at 100
50	0 Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts Financing Authority, California, Senior Revenue Bonds, Capital Projects, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 10/01/23 - FSA Insured	10/13 at 100
62	Sacramento County Sanitation District Financing Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/31 - FGIC Insured	6/16 at 100
1,58	O San Diego County Water Authority, California, Water Revenue Refunding Certificates of Participation, Series 2002A, 5.000%, 5/01/26 - MBIA Insured	5/12 at 101
	23	
	ornia Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (continued) INVESTMENTS August 31, 2007	
PRINCIPA AMOUNT (000		OPTIONAL O
	WATER AND SEWER (continued)	
\$ 3,50	Woodbridge Irrigation District, California, Certificates of Participation, Water Systems Project, Series 2003, 5.625%, 7/01/43	7/13 at 100
	5 Total Water and Sewer	

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Floating Rate Obligations - (1.4)%

Other Assets Less Liabilities - 0.7%

35

Net Assets - 100%

FORWARD SWAPS OUTSTANDING AT AUGUST 31, 2007:

		FUND			FIXED RATE	,
	NOTIONAL	PAY/RECEIVE	FLOATING RATE	FIXED RATE	PAYMENT	EFF
COUNTERPARTY	AMOUNT	FLOATING RATE	INDEX	(ANNUALIZED)	FREQUENCY	DA
Citigroup	\$ 7,500,000	Pay	3-Month USD-LIBOR	5.808%	Semi-Annually	
JPMorgan	11,000,000	Pay	SIFM	4.376	Quarterly	

USD-LIBOR (United States Dollar-London Inter-Bank Offered Rate)

 ${\tt SIFM}$  - The daily arithmetic average of the weekly  ${\tt SIFM}$  (the Securities Industry and Financial Markets) Municipal Swap Index.

The Fund may invest in "zero coupon" securities. A zero coupon security does not pay a regular interest coupon to its holders during the life of the security. Tax-exempt income to the holder of the security comes from accretion of the difference between the original purchase price of the security at issuance and the par value of the security at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. Such securities are included in the Portfolio of Investments with a 0.000% coupon rate in their description. The market prices of zero coupon securities generally are more volatile than the market prices of securities that pay interest periodically.

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common Shares unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- (3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the higher of Standard & Poor's or Moody's rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's Group or Baa by Moody's Investor Service, Inc. are considered to be below investment grade.
- (4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- (5) Effective date represents the date on which both the Fund and counterparty commence interest payment accruals on each forward swap contract.

N/R Not rated.

- WI/DD Purchased on a when-issued or delayed delivery basis.
- (ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
- (UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 140.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

24

NCP

Nuveen California Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. Portfolio of INVESTMENTS

August 31, 2007

PRIN AMOUNT	CIPAL (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTI PROV		_
		CONSUMER STAPLES - 4.5% (2.9% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
\$	705	California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Sonoma County Tobacco Securitization Corporation, Series 2005, 4.250%, 6/01/21	6/15	at	100
1	12,135	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2007A-2, 0.000%, 6/01/37	6/22	at	100
1	L2,840	Total Consumer Staples			
		EDUCATION AND CIVIC ORGANIZATIONS - 6.5% (4.2% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
	160	California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of Redlands, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 10/01/35	10/15	at	100
		California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of the Pacific, Series 2006:			
	110	5.000%, 11/01/21	11/15		
	150	5.000%, 11/01/25	11/15	at	100
	4,730	California Infrastructure Economic Development Bank, Revenue Bonds, J. David Gladstone Institutes, Series 2001, 5.500%, 10/01/21	10/11	at	101
	4,730	California State University, Systemwide Revenue Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.000%, 11/01/19 - AMBAC Insured	11/12	at	100
	2,000	University of California, Revenue Bonds, Multi-Purpose Projects, Series 2003A, 5.125%, 5/15/17 - AMBAC Insured	5/13	at	100

11,880	Total Education and Civic Organizations	
	HEALTH CARE - 9.8% (6.3% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
2,205	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Series 2005, 5.000%, 11/15/34	11/15 at 100
6 <b>,</b> 385	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sutter Health, Series 2007A, 5.250%, 11/15/46 (UB)	11/16 at 100
5,595	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanante System, Series 2006, 5.000%, 3/01/41	3/16 at 100
1,755	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanente System, Series 2001C, 5.250%, 8/01/31	8/16 at 100
1,355	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sherman Oaks Health System, Series 1998A, 5.000%, 8/01/22 - AMBAC Insured	No Opt. (
1,600	The Regents of the University of California, Medical Center Pooled Revenue Bonds, Series 2007A, 4.500%, 5/15/37 - MBIA Insured	5/15 at 101
18,895	Total Health Care	
	HOUSING/MULTIFAMILY - 4.9% (3.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
3,750	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Irvine Apartment Communities Development, Series 1998A, 4.900%, 5/15/25 (Mandatory put 5/15/08)	No Opt. (
1,500	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Student Housing Revenue Bonds, EAH - Irvine East Campus Apartments, LLC Project, Series 2002A, 5.500%, 8/01/22 - ACA Insured	8/12 at 100
	25	
	nia Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) NVESTMENTS August 31, 2007	
		ODETOMA (
PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL ( PROVISIONS
	HOUSING/MULTIFAMILY (continued)	
3,915	Los Angeles, California, GNMA Collateralized Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Ridgecroft Apartments, Series 1997E, 6.250%, 9/20/39 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	11/07 at 102

9,165	Total Housing/Multifamily	
	HOUSING/SINGLE FAMILY - 0.3% (0.2% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
475	California Housing Finance Agency, Home Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2006H, 5.750%, 8/01/30 - FGIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	2/16 at 100
	INDUSTRIALS - 0.6% (0.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
1,250	California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds, Waste Management Inc., Series 2002A, 5.000%, 1/01/22 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	1/16 at 102
	TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL - 19.9% (12.8% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
5	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.250%, 4/01/34	4/14 at 100
2,000	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.250%, 2/01/22 - CIFG Insured	8/13 at 100
	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004:	
500 3 <b>,</b> 950	5.000%, 2/01/23 5.200%, 4/01/26	2/14 at 100 4/14 at 100
3,550	Centinela Valley Union High School District, Los Angeles County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.250%, 2/01/26 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
1,400	Los Rios Community College District, Sacramento, El Dorado and Yolo Counties, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006C, 5.000%, 8/01/24 - FSA Insured	8/14 at 102
3,200	Murrieta Valley Unified School District, Riverside County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 4.500%, 9/01/30 - FSA Insured	9/17 at 100
4,765	North Orange County Community College District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003B, 0.000%, 8/01/27 - FGIC Insured	No Opt. C
2,575	Oxnard School District, Ventura County, California, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.750%, 8/01/30 - MBIA Insured	2/22 at 103
6,000	Puerto Rico, General Obligation and Public Improvement Bonds, Series 1993, 7.000%, 7/01/10 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
	Riverside Community College District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A:	
15	5.250%, 8/01/25 - MBIA Insured	8/14 at 100
20	5.250%, 8/01/26 - MBIA Insured	8/14 at 100
325	Roseville Joint Union High School District, Placer County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006B,	8/15 at 100

Edgar Filing	g: NUVEEN CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR	
	5.000%, 8/01/27 - FGIC Insured	
4,000	San Diego Unified School District, San Diego County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003E, 5.250%, 7/01/22 - FSA Insured	7/13 at 101
3,000	San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, Santa Clara County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000B, 5.600%, 9/01/24 - FGIC Insured	9/10 at 100
2,200	Santa Maria Joint Union High School District, Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo Counties, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003B, 5.625%, 8/01/24 - FSA Insured	No Opt. C
1,440	Southwestern Community College District, San Diego County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 8/01/24 - MBIA Insured	8/15 at 102
38,945	Total Tax Obligation/General	
	TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED - 30.9% (19.8% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
5,045	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, Department of Corrections, Series 2002A, 5.250%, 3/01/22 - AMBAC Insured	3/12 at 100
1,575	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, Department of General Services, Series 2003D, 5.500%, 6/01/20	12/13 at 100
3,010	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, Department of Mental Health, Coalinga State Hospital, Series 2004A, 5.500%, 6/01/19	6/14 at 100
	26	
PRINCIPAL		OPTIONAL C
AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	PROVISIONS
	TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED (continued)	
\$ 2,195	California, Economic Recovery Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 7/01/15	7/14 at 100
400	Capistrano Unified School District, Orange County, California, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District, Series 2005, 5.000%, 9/01/24 - FGIC Insured	9/15 at 100
1,210	Chino Redevelopment Agency, California, Merged Chino Redevelopment Project Area Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 9/01/38 - AMBAC Insured	9/16 at 101
2 000	Georgia II a Wallan Walland Geberal District District Const	0/16 -1 10/

2,000 Coachella Valley Unified School District, Riverside County, 9/16 at 100

California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2007,

5.000%, 9/01/31 - AMBAC Insured

2,500	Corona Public Financing Authority, California, Superior Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 1999A, 5.000%, 9/01/20 - FSA Insured	9/09	at 102
1,660	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Asset Backed Settlement Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, Residual Series 1500, 6.390%, 6/01/45 - AMBAC Insured (IF)	6/15	at 100
1,045	Hawthorne Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Project Area 2 Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.250%, 9/01/36 - XLCA Insured	9/16	at 100
1,750	Hesperia Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 9/01/25 - XLCA Insured	9/15	at 100
195 450	Irvine, California, Unified School District, Community Facilities District Special Tax Bonds, Series 2006A: 5.000%, 9/01/26 5.125%, 9/01/36	- ,	at 100 at 100
730	Los Angeles Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Lease Revenue Bonds, Manchester Social Services Project, Series 2005, 5.000%, 9/01/37 - AMBAC Insured	9/15	at 100
3,335	Los Angeles County Public Works Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Bonds, Series 2006B, Residuals 1940, 6.450%, 9/01/31 - FGIC Insured (IF)	No	Opt. (
4,000	Los Angeles, California, Municipal Improvement Corporation, Lease Revenue Bonds, Police Headquarters, Series 2006A, 4.750%, 1/01/31 - FGIC Insured	1/17	at 100
1,065	Monterey County, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2007, 4.500%, 8/01/37 - AMBAC Insured	8/17	at 100
1,395	Moreno Valley Unified School District, Riverside County, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2005, 5.000%, 3/01/22 - FSA Insured	3/14	at 100
3,500	Murrieta Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 8/01/37 - MBIA Insured	8/17	at 100
1,000	Paramount Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Redevelopment Project Area 1, Series 2003, 5.000%, 8/01/23 - MBIA Insured	8/13	at 100
350	Rialto Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Merged Project Area, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 9/01/35 - XLCA Insured	9/15	at 100
1,500	Riverside County Public Financing Authority, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Multiple Projects, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 10/01/37 - XLCA Insured	10/15	at 100
1,000	Rohnert Park Community Development Commission, California, Redevelopment Project Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2007R, 5.000%, 8/01/37 - FGIC Insured	8/17	at 100
435	Roseville, California, Certificates of Participation, Public Facilities, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 8/01/25 - AMBAC Insured	8/13	at 10
1,000	Sacramento City Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue	No	Opt.

Refunding Bonds, Series 1993A, 5.400%, 11/01/20 MBIA Insured

5,000 San Marcos Public Facilities Authority, California, Tax Allocation 8/15 at 100
Bonds, Project Areas 2 and 3, Series 2005C, 5.000%, 8/01/35 AMBAC Insured

Santa Clara Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Bayshore North Project, Series 2003:

2,695 5.000%, 6/01/20 - MBIA Insured 6/13 at 100 1,500 5.000%, 6/01/21 - MBIA Insured 6/13 at 100

27

#### NCP

Nuveen California Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS August 31, 2007

PRIN AMOUNT	NCIPAL (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTI PROV		
		TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED (continued)			
\$	2,000	Sweetwater Union High School District, San Diego County, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2002: 5.000%, 9/01/23 - FSA Insured	9/12		
	4,015	5.000%, 9/01/24 - FSA Insured	9/12	at	102
	57 <b>,</b> 555	Total Tax Obligation/Limited			
		TRANSPORTATION - 26.0% (16.7% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
	1,430	Bay Area Toll Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, San Francisco Bay Area Toll Bridge, Series 2006, 5.000%, 4/01/31	4/16	at	100
	6,500	Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, California, Toll Road Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1999, 0.000%, 1/15/29	1/14	at	101
		Long Beach, California, Harbor Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A:			
	2,740	5.750%, 5/15/14 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	5/10		
-	11,885	5.750%, 5/15/15 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (5)	5/10	at	101
	8,550	Port of Oakland, California, Revenue Bonds, Series 2000K, 5.750%, 11/01/29 - FGIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	5/10	at	100
	1,400	Port of Oakland, California, Revenue Bonds, Series 2002M, 5.250%, 11/01/20 - FGIC Insured	11/12	at	100
Ē	14,000	San Francisco Airports Commission, California, Revenue Bonds, San Francisco International Airport, Second Series 2000, Issue 25, 5.500%, 5/01/24 - FSA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	5/10	at	101
	2,000	San Francisco Airports Commission, California, Revenue	5/11	at	100

Refunding Bonds, San Francisco International Airport,

Second Series 2001, Issue 27B, 5.000%, 5/01/23 - FGIC Insured

48 <b>,</b> 505	Total Transportation			
	U.S. GUARANTEED - 26.3% (16.9% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) (4)			
2,000	California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Sonoma County Tobacco Funding Corporation, Series 2002B, 5.125%, 6/01/20 (Pre-refunded 6/01/10)	6/10	at	100
5,250	California Department of Water Resources, Power Supply Revenue Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.125%, 5/01/18 (Pre-refunded 5/01/12)	5/12	at	101
3,000	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Series 1999A, 6.125%, 12/01/30 (Pre-refunded 12/01/09)	12/09	at	101
5,360	California Infrastructure Economic Development Bank, First Lien Revenue Bonds, San Francisco Bay Area Toll Bridge, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 7/01/23 - FSA Insured (ETM)	No	Opt	. c
3,395	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.250%, 4/01/34 (Pre-refunded 4/01/14)	4/14	at	100
4,000	Contra Costa County, California, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Home Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1988, 8.250%, 6/01/21 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (ETM)	No	Opt	. c
3 <b>,</b> 750	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2003B, 5.500%, 6/01/33 (Pre-refunded 6/01/13)	6/13	at	100
3,000	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2003A-1, 6.750%, 6/01/39 (Pre-refunded 6/01/13)	6/13	at	100
2,500	Hawthorne School District, Los Angeles County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1997A, 5.500%, 5/01/22 (Pre-refunded 11/01/08) - FGIC Insured	11/08	at	102
8,000	Los Angeles Unified School District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000D, 5.375%, 7/01/25 (Pre-refunded 7/01/10) - FGIC Insured	7/10	at	100
4,000	Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, Highway Revenue Bonds, Series 2002D, 5.375%, 7/01/36 (Pre-refunded 7/01/12)	7/12	at	100

28

PRINCIPAL			OPTIONAL C
AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	(1)	PROVISIONS

\$ 1	1,960	Riverside Community College District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.250%, 8/01/26 (Pre-refunded 8/01/14) - MBIA Insured	8/14	at	100
46	5,215 	Total U.S. Guaranteed			
		UTILITIES - 10.0% (6.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
4	1,470	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Certificates of Participation Refunding, Rio Bravo Fresno Project, Series 1999A, 6.300%, 12/01/18	11/07	at	101
	725	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, California, Power System Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A-2, 5.000%, 7/01/21 - MBIA Insured	7/13	at	100
	500	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, California, Power System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A-1, 5.000%, 7/01/31 - FSA Insured	7/15	at	100
	715	Merced Irrigation District, California, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.125%, 9/01/31 - XLCA Insured	9/15	at	100
10	,450	Orange County Public Financing Authority, California, Waste Management System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1997, 5.250%, 12/01/13 - AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	No	Opt	E. 0
1	L <b>,</b> 000	Sacramento Municipal Utility District, California, Electric Revenue Bonds, Series 2004T, 5.250%, 5/15/23 - FGIC Insured	No	Opt	. c
	500	Sacramento Municipal Utility District, California, Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002Q, 5.250%, 8/15/22 - FSA Insured	8/12	at	100
18	 3 <b>,</b> 360	Total Utilities			
		WATER AND SEWER - 16.1% (10.3% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
1	L <b>,</b> 000	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Water and Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Pooled Financing Program, Series 2003A, 5.250%, 10/01/23 - FSA Insured	10/13	at	100
2	2,500	El Centro Financing Authority, California, Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A, 4.750%, 10/01/31 - FSA Insured	10/16	at	100
	490	Healdsburg Public Financing Authority, California, Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 4/01/36 - MBIA Insured	4/16	at	100
4	1,770	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, California, Waterworks Revenue Bonds, Series 2004C, 5.250%, 7/01/20 - MBIA Insured	7/14	at	100
2	2,500	Pajaro Valley Water Management Agency, California, Revenue Certificates of Participation, Series 1999A, 5.750%, 3/01/29 - AMBAC Insured	3/09	at	101

5,985 Sacramento County Sanitation District Financing Authority,

5,985	Sacramento County Sanitation District Financing Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.250%, 12/01/12	12/10 at	i 101
750	Sacramento County Sanitation District Financing Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/36 - FGIC Insured	6/16 at	t 100
4,000	Sacramento County Sanitation District Financing Authority, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2001, 5.500%, 12/01/20 - AMBAC Insured	No Op	pt. C
4,585	Santa Maria, California, Subordinate Water and Wastewater Revenue Certificates of Participation, Series 1997A, 5.550%, 8/01/27 - AMBAC Insured	8/12 at	t 101
1,700	South Gate Utility Authority, California, Subordinate Revenue Bonds, Water and Sewer System Projects, Series 2001, 5.000%, 10/01/22 - FGIC Insured	10/11 at	t 102
	29		
	nia Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) NVESTMENTS August 31, 2007		
PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTION PROVIS	
	WATER AND SEWER (continued)		
\$ 945	Woodbridge Irrigation District, California, Certificates of Participation, Water Systems Project, Series 2003, 5.625%, 7/01/43		t 100
	Total Water and Sewer		
\$ 293,310	Total Investments (cost \$289,405,379) - 155.8%		
	Floating Rate Obligations - (2.2)%		
	Other Assets Less Liabilities - 1.8%		
	Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value - (55.4)%		
	Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares - 100%		
FORWARD SWAPS	OUTSTANDING AT AUGUST 31, 2007:		
	FUND NOTIONAL PAY/RECEIVE FLOATING RATE FIXED RATE	FIXED RATE PAYMENT	EFF
COUNTERPARTY	AMOUNT FLOATING RATE INDEX (ANNUALIZED)	FREQUENCY	DA

12/10 at 101

\_\_\_\_\_

USD-LIBOR (United States Dollar-London Inter-Bank Offered Rate)

SIFM - The daily arithmetic average of the weekly SIFM (the Securities Industry and Financial Markets) Municipal Swap Index.

The Fund may invest in "zero coupon" securities. A zero coupon security does not pay a regular interest coupon to its holders during the life of the security. Tax-exempt income to the holder of the security comes from accretion of the difference between the original purchase price of the security at issuance and the par value of the security at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. Such securities are included in the Portfolio of Investments with a 0.000% coupon rate in their description. The market prices of zero coupon securities generally are more volatile than the market prices of securities that pay interest periodically.

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- (3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the higher of Standard & Poor's or Moody's rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's Group or Baa by Moody's Investor Service, Inc. are considered to be below investment grade.
- (4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- (5) Portion of investment, with an aggregate market value of \$263,382, has been pledged to collateralize the net payment obligations under forward swap contracts.
- (6) Effective date represents the date on which both the Fund and counterparty commence interest payment accruals on each forward swap contract.
- N/R Not rated.
- (ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
- (IF) Inverse floating rate investment.
- (UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 140.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

30

NCO

Nuveen California Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc. Portfolio of INVESTMENTS

August 31, 2007

PRINCIPAL		OPTI	ONA	AT, C		
	DESCRIPTION (1)	PROV:				
	CONSUMER STAPLES - 4.7% (3.0% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)					
\$ 450	California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Sonoma County Tobacco Securitization Corporation, Series 2005, 4.250%, 6/01/21	6/15	at	100		
8,090	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2007A-2, 0.000%, 6/01/37	6/22	at	100		
8,540	Total Consumer Staples					
	EDUCATION AND CIVIC ORGANIZATIONS - 1.1% (0.7% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)					
100	California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of Redlands, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 10/01/35	10/15	at	100		
	California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of the Pacific, Series 2006:					
70 95	5.000%, 11/01/21 5.000%, 11/01/25	11/15 11/15				
1,000	California Infrastructure Economic Development Bond Bank, Revenue Bonds, Scripps Research Institute, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 7/01/24	7/15	at	100		
1,265	Total Education and Civic Organizations					
	HEALTH CARE - 17.1% (10.7% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)					
1,415	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Series 2005, 5.000%, 11/15/34	11/15	at	100		
5,305	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sutter Health, Series 2007A, 5.250%, 11/15/46 (UB)	11/16	at	100		
3,200	California Infrastructure Economic Development Bank, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Hospital Assistance LLC, Series 2001A, 5.550%, 8/01/31	8/11	at	102		
1,000	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Insured Health Facility Revenue Bonds, Henry Mayo Newhall Memorial	10/17	at	100		

Hospital, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 10/01/37

3 <b>,</b> 580	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanante System, Series 2006, 5.000%, 3/01/41	3/16 at 100
1,135	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanente System, Series 2001C, 5.250%, 8/01/31	8/16 at 100
675	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sherman Oaks Health System, Series 1998A, 5.000%, 8/01/22 - AMBAC Insured	No Opt. C
3,690	Rancho Mirage Joint Powers Financing Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, Eisenhower Medical Center, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 7/01/38	7/17 at 100
1,000	The Regents of the University of California, Medical Center Pooled Revenue Bonds, Series 2007A, 4.500%, 5/15/37 - MBIA Insured	5/15 at 101
21,000	Total Health Care	
	HOUSING/MULTIFAMILY - 1.3% (0.8% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
1,550	San Bernardino County Housing Authority, California, Multifamily Housing Revenue Refunding Bonds, Equity Residential Properties/Redlands Lawn and Tennis Apartments, Series 1999A, 5.200%, 6/15/29 (Mandatory put 6/15/09)	No Opt. C
	31	
	nia Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc. (continued) IVESTMENTS August 31, 2007	
PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C
	HOUSING/SINGLE FAMILY - 0.3% (0.2% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
\$ 305	California Housing Finance Agency, Home Mortgage Revenue	2/16 at 100

TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL - 20.7% (13.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)

5.000%, 1/01/22 (Alternative Minimum Tax)

INDUSTRIALS - 0.6% (0.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)

(Alternative Minimum Tax)

Bonds, Series 2006H, 5.750%, 8/01/30 - FGIC Insured

750 California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Solid Waste 1/16 at 102

Disposal Revenue Bonds, Waste Management Inc., Series 2002A,

4,12	Alameda Unified School District, Alameda County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 0.000%, 8/01/25 - FSA Insured	No	Opt. C
1,00 1,00	•		at 100 at 100
1,35	Coachella Valley Unified School District, Riverside County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 8/01/30 - FGIC Insured	8/15	at 100
3,23	Fullerton Joint Union High School District, Orange County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 8/01/27 - FGIC Insured	8/15	at 100
2,15	Los Rios Community College District, Sacramento, El Dorado and Yolo Counties, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006C, 5.000%, 8/01/24 - FSA Insured	8/14	at 102
4,10	Monrovia Unified School District, Los Angeles County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001B, 0.000%, 8/01/27 - FGIC Insured	No	Opt. C
2,50	Oakland Unified School District, Alameda County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.250%, 8/01/21 - FGIC Insured	8/12	at 100
1,00	Pomona Unified School District, Los Angeles County, California, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 1997A, 6.150%, 8/01/15 - MBIA Insured	8/11	at 103
2	Riverside Community College District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.250%, 8/01/24 - MBIA Insured	8/14	at 100
21	Roseville Joint Union High School District, Placer County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006B, 5.000%, 8/01/27 - FGIC Insured	8/15	at 100
5,00	San Diego Unified School District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election of 1998, Series 2000B, 5.125%, 7/01/21 - MBIA Insured	7/10	at 100
4,97	San Rafael City High School District, Marin County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004B, 0.000%, 8/01/27 - FGIC Insured	No	Opt. C
4,17	Southwestern Community College District, San Diego County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 0.000%, 8/01/25 - FGIC Insured	No	Opt. C
34,83	5 Total Tax Obligation/General		
	TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED - 26.9% (17.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)		
2,00	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds,	6/14	at 100

Department of Mental Health, Coalinga State Hospital,

Series 2004A, 5.500%, 6/01/19 1,420 California, Economic Recovery Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A, 7/14 at 100 5.000%, 7/01/15 260 Capistrano Unified School District, Orange County, California, 9/15 at 100 Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District, Series 2005, 5.000%, 9/01/24 - FGIC Insured 9/16 at 101 770 Chino Redevelopment Agency, California, Merged Chino Redevelopment Project Area Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 9/01/38 - AMBAC Insured 1,035 Hawthorne Community Redevelopment Agency, California, 9/16 at 100 Project Area 2 Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.250%, 9/01/36 - XLCA Insured Irvine, California, Unified School District, Community Facilities District Special Tax Bonds, Series 2006A: 125 5.000%, 9/01/26 9/16 at 100 290 5.125%, 9/01/36 9/16 at 100 32 OPTIONAL C PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1) PROVISIONS -----TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED (continued) 470 Los Angeles Community Redevelopment Agency, California, 9/15 at 100 \$ Lease Revenue Bonds, Manchester Social Services Project, Series 2005, 5.000%, 9/01/37 - AMBAC Insured Modesto Schools Infrastructure Financing Agency, Stanislaus County, California, Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2004: 9/14 at 100 5.250%, 9/01/25 - AMBAC Insured 1,375 1,500 5.250%, 9/01/26 - AMBAC Insured 9/14 at 100 Ontario Redevelopment Financing Authority, San Bernardino No Opt. C 10,900 County, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Redevelopment Project 1, Series 1995, 7.400%, 8/01/25 - MBIA Insured 1,000 Ontario, California, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities 3/08 at 101 District 5, Freeway Interchange Project, Series 1997, 6.375%, 9/01/17 1,065 Panama-Buena Vista Union School District, California, 9/16 at 100 Certificates of Participation, School Construction Project, Series 2006, 5.000%, 9/01/22 - MBIA Insured

225 Rialto Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, 9/15 at 100

280 Roseville, California, Certificates of Participation, Public 8/13 at 100

2,500 Sacramento City Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue No Opt. C

Merged Project Area, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 9/01/35 -

Refunding Bonds, Series 1993A, 5.400%, 11/01/20 -

Facilities, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 8/01/25 - AMBAC Insured

XLCA Insured

	AMBAC Insured	
2,255	San Bernardino County, California, Certificates of Participation, Medical Center Financing Project, Series 1995, 5.500%, 8/01/22 - MBIA Insured	2/08 at 100
1,200	San Jose Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Convention Center Project, Series 2001F, 5.000%, 9/01/20 - MBIA Insured	9/11 at 100
28,670	Total Tax Obligation/Limited	
	TRANSPORTATION 22 00 (14 50 OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
	TRANSPORTATION - 22.8% (14.5% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
4,000	Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, California, Toll Road Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1999, 0.000%, 1/15/29	1/14 at 101
8,500	Long Beach, California, Harbor Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.750%, 5/15/14 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	5/10 at 101
5,250	Port of Oakland, California, Revenue Bonds, Series 2000K, 5.750%, 11/01/29 - FGIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	5/10 at 100
	San Francisco Airports Commission, California, Revenue Bonds, San Francisco International Airport, Second Series 2000, Issue 25:	
2,515 3,100	5.500%, 5/01/24 - FSA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) 5.750%, 5/01/30 - FSA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	5/10 at 101 5/10 at 101
1,250	San Francisco Airports Commission, California, Revenue Bonds, San Francisco International Airport, Second Series 2000, Issue 26B, 5.000%, 5/01/21 - FGIC Insured	5/10 at 101
2,465	San Francisco Airports Commission, California, Special Facilities Lease Revenue Bonds, San Francisco International Airport, SFO Fuel Company LLC, Series 2000A, 6.125%, 1/01/27 - FSA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	1/08 at 102
27,080	Total Transportation	
	U.S. GUARANTEED - 32.6% (20.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) (4)	
1,260	California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Sonoma County Tobacco Funding Corporation, Series 2002B, 5.125%, 6/01/20 (Pre-refunded 6/01/10)	6/10 at 100
3,000	California Department of Water Resources, Power Supply Revenue Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.125%, 5/01/18 (Pre-refunded 5/01/12)	5/12 at 101
400	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Series 1999A, 6.125%, 12/01/30 (Pre-refunded 12/01/09)	12/09 at 101

33

NCO

Nuveen California Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc. (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS August 31, 2007

Series 1999A, 6.300%, 12/01/18

PRII	NCIPAL (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL PROVISIO
		U.S. GUARANTEED (4) (continued)	
\$	1,000 2,100	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004: 5.125%, 2/01/27 (Pre-refunded 2/01/14) 5.250%, 4/01/34 (Pre-refunded 4/01/14)	2/14 at 1 4/14 at 1
	2,000	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2003A-1, 6.250%, 6/01/33 (Pre-refunded 6/01/13)	6/13 at 1
	5,000	Los Angeles Unified School District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000D, 5.375%, 7/01/25 (Pre-refunded 7/01/10) - FGIC Insured	7/10 at 1
	2,000	Monterey County, California, Certificates of Participation, Master Plan Financing, Series 2001, 5.000%, 8/01/21 (Pre-refunded 8/01/11) - MBIA Insured	8/11 at 1
	4,000	Pomona, California, GNMA/FHLMC Collateralized Single Family Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1990B, 7.500%, 8/01/23 (ETM)	No Opt.
	1,875	Riverside Community College District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.250%, 8/01/24 (Pre-refunded 8/01/14) - MBIA Insured	8/14 at 1
	7,000	Union City Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds, Redevelopment Project, Series 1999, 5.750%, 10/01/32 (Pre-refunded 10/01/09) - AMBAC Insured	10/09 at 1
	1,530	University of California, Certificates of Participation, San Diego and Sacramento Campus Projects, Series 2002A, 5.250%, 1/01/20 (Pre-refunded 1/01/10)	1/10 at 1
	5,580	University of California, Revenue Bonds, Multiple Purpose Projects, Series 2000K, 5.000%, 9/01/13 (Pre-refunded 9/01/08)	9/08 at 1
		Total U.S. Guaranteed	
		UTILITIES - 4.0% (2.5% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
	1,500	California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Southern California Edison Company, Series 1999A, 5.450%, 9/01/29 - MBIA Insured	9/09 at 1
	2,990	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Certificates of Participation Refunding, Rio Bravo Fresno Project,	11/07 at 1

455	Merced Irrigation District, California, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.125%, 9/01/31 - XLCA Insured	9/15 at 100
4,945	Total Utilities	
	WATER AND SEWER - 25.9% (16.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
3,330	California Department of Water Resources, Water System Revenue Bonds, Central Valley Project, Series 2001W, 5.500%, 12/01/15	12/11 at 100
1,030	California Department of Water Resources, Water System Revenue Bonds, Central Valley Project, Series 2002X, 5.500%, 12/01/17 - FGIC Insured	No Opt. (
1,390	California Department of Water Resources, Water System Revenue Bonds, Central Valley Project, Series 2005AD, 5.000%, 12/01/22 - FSA Insured	6/15 at 100
2,500	El Centro Financing Authority, California, Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A, 4.750%, 10/01/31 - FSA Insured	10/16 at 100
750	Fortuna Public Finance Authority, California, Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 10/01/36 - FSA Insured	10/16 at 100
315	Healdsburg Public Financing Authority, California, Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 4/01/36 - MBIA Insured	4/16 at 100
5,000	Orange County Water District, California, Revenue Certificates of Participation, Series 2003B, 5.000%, 8/15/34 - MBIA Insured	8/13 at 100
3,500	Placerville Public Financing Authority, California, Wastewater System Refinancing and Improvement Project Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 9/01/34 - XLCA Insured	9/16 at 100
500	Sacramento County Sanitation District Financing Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/31 - FGIC Insured	6/16 at 100
	34	
PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL ( PROVISIONS
	WATER AND SEWER (continued)	
\$ 2,000	San Francisco City and County Public Utilities Commission, California, Clean Water Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.250%, 10/01/20 - MBIA Insured	4/13 at 100
10,000	Santa Maria, California, Subordinate Water and Wastewater Revenue Certificates of Participation, Series 1997A, 5.550%, 8/01/27 - AMBAC Insured (5)	8/12 at 101
30,315	Total Water and Sewer	

196,000 Total Investments (cost \$185,334,133) - 158.0%

Floating Rate Obligations - (2.9)%

Other Assets Less Liabilities - 0.8%

Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value - (55.9)%

Note Touche Touch's ability of Commence of

Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares - 100%

FORWARD SWAPS OUTSTANDING AT AUGUST 31, 2007:

		FUND			FIXED RATE	
COUNTERPARTY	NOTIONAL AMOUNT	PAY/RECEIVE FLOATING RATE	FLOATING RATE INDEX	FIXED RATE (ANNUALIZED)	PAYMENT FREQUENCY	EFF DA
Goldman Sachs	\$2,000,000	Pay	3-Month USD-LIBOR	5.215%	Semi-Annually	3
JPMorgan	2,000,000	Pay	SIFM	4.376	Quarterly	

\_\_\_\_\_\_

USD-LIBOR (United States Dollar-London Inter-Bank Offered Rate)

 ${\tt SIFM}$  - The daily arithmetic average of the weekly  ${\tt SIFM}$  (the Securities Industry and Financial Markets) Municipal Swap Index.

The Fund may invest in "zero coupon" securities. A zero coupon security does not pay a regular interest coupon to its holders during the life of the security. Tax-exempt income to the holder of the security comes from accretion of the difference between the original purchase price of the security at issuance and the par value of the security at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. Such securities are included in the Portfolio of Investments with a 0.000% coupon rate in their description. The market prices of zero coupon securities generally are more volatile than the market prices of securities that pay interest periodically.

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- (3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the higher of Standard & Poor's or Moody's rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's Group or Baa by Moody's Investor Service, Inc. are considered to be below investment grade.
- (4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such

investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.

- (5) Portion of investment, with an aggregate market value of \$308,293, has been pledged to collateralize the net payment obligations under forward swap contracts.
- (6) Effective date represents the date on which both the Fund and counterparty commence interest payment accruals on each forward swap contract.
- N/R Not rated.
- (ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
  - (UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 140.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

35

NQC Nuveen California Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. Portfolio of INVESTMENTS

August 31, 2007

	NCIPAL		OPTI		-
AMOUNI	(000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	PROV	151	ONS
		CONSUMER STAPLES - 5.8% (3.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)  California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Sonoma County Tobacco			
		Securitization Corporation, Series 2005:			
\$		4.250%, 6/01/21	6/15		
	3,500	5.250%, 6/01/45	6/15	at	100
	6,740	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2007A-2, 0.000%, 6/01/37	6/22	at	100
	3,500	Tobacco Securitization Authority of Northern California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2005A-1, 5.375%, 6/01/38	6/15	at	100
	L4,480	Total Consumer Staples			

EDUCATION AND CIVIC ORGANIZATIONS - 12.6% (8.0% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)

California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, 11/07 at 101 575 Chapman University, Series 1996, 5.125%, 10/01/26 -CONNIE LEE/AMBA Insured

California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Occidental College, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 10/01/27 - MBIA Insured	10/15	at	100
California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of Redlands, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 10/01/35	10/15	at	100
California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of the Pacific, Series 2000, 5.750%, 11/01/30 - MBIA Insured	11/10	at	100
California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of the Pacific, Series 2006:			
5.000%, 11/01/21 5.000%, 11/01/25			
California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, California State University Projects, Series 1997C, 5.400%, 10/01/22	10/07	at	102
Long Beach Bond Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Long Beach Aquarium of the South Pacific, Series 2001, 5.250%, 11/01/30 - AMBAC Insured	11/11	at	101
University of California System, General Revenue Bonds, Series 2006J, 4.500%, 5/15/35 - FSA Insured	5/15	at	101
University of California, Revenue Bonds, Multi-Purpose Projects, Series 2003A:			
5.125%, 5/15/16 - AMBAC Insured	5/13	at	100
5.125%, 5/15/17 - AMBAC Insured	5/13	at	100
5.000%, 5/15/24 - AMBAC Insured	5/13	at	100
Total Education and Civic Organizations			
HEALTH CARE - 12.0% (7.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Healthcare West, Series 2004G, 5.250%, 7/01/23	7/14	at	100
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanante System, Series 2006, 5.250%, 3/01/45	3/16	at	100
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sutter Health, Series 2007A, 5.250%, 11/15/46 (UB)	11/16	at	100
36			
	Occidental College, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 10/01/27 - MBIA Insured  California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of Redlands, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 10/01/35  California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of the Pacific, Series 2000, 5.750%, 11/01/30 - MBIA Insured  California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of the Pacific, Series 2006: 5.000%, 11/01/21 5.000%, 11/01/25  California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, California State University Projects, Series 1997C, 5.400%, 10/01/22  Long Beach Bond Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Long Beach Aquarium of the South Pacific, Series 2001, 5.250%, 11/01/30 - AMBAC Insured  University of California System, General Revenue Bonds, Series 2006J, 4.500%, 5/15/35 - FSA Insured  University of California, Revenue Bonds, Multi-Purpose Projects, Series 2003A: 5.125%, 5/15/16 - AMBAC Insured 5.125%, 5/15/17 - AMBAC Insured  5.000%, 5/15/24 - AMBAC Insured  Total Education and Civic Organizations  HEALTH CARE - 12.0% (7.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)  California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Healthcare West, Series 2004G, 5.250%, 7/01/23  California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanante System, Series 2006, 5.250%, 3/01/45  California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanante System, Series 2006, 5.250%, 3/01/45	Occidental College, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 10/01/27 - MBIA Insured  California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of Redlands, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 10/01/35  California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of the Pacific, Series 2000, 5.750%, 11/01/30 - MBIA Insured  California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of the Pacific, Series 2006: 5.000%, 11/01/21 11/15 5.000%, 11/01/25 11/15 5.000%, 11/01/25 11/15  California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, California State University Projects, Series 1997C, 5.400%, 10/01/22  Long Beach Bond Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Long Beach Aquarium of the South Pacific, Series 2001, 5.250%, 11/01/30 - AMBAC Insured  University of California System, General Revenue Bonds, 5/15  Series 2005J, 4.500%, 5/15/35 - FSA Insured  University of California, Revenue Bonds, Multi-Purpose Projects, Series 2003A: 5.125%, 5/15/16 - AMBAC Insured 5/13  5.125%, 5/15/16 - AMBAC Insured 5/13  5.125%, 5/15/17 - AMBAC Insured 5/13  5.125%, 5/15/17 - AMBAC Insured 5/13  Total Education and Civic Organizations  HEALTH CARE - 12.0% (7.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)  California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanante System, Series 2006, 5.250%, 7/01/23  California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanante System, Series 2006, 5.250%, 3/01/45  California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Nather Health, Series 2007A, 5.250%, 11/15/46 (UB)	Occidental College, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 10/01/27 - MBIA Insured  California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of Redlands, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 10/01/35  California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of the Pacific, Series 2000, 5.750%, 11/01/30 - MBIA Insured  California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of the Pacific, Series 2006: 5.000%, 11/01/21 5.000%, 11/01/25  California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, California State University Projects, Series 1997C, 5.400%, 10/01/22  Long Beach Bond Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Long Beach Aquarium of the South Pacific, Series 2001, 5.250%, 11/01/30 - AMBAC Insured  University of California System, General Revenue Bonds, Series 2001, 5.250%, 11/01/30 - AMBAC Insured  University of California, Revenue Bonds, Multi-Purpose Projects, Series 2003: 5.125%, 5/15/16 - AMBAC Insured 5/13 at 5.125%, 5/15/16 - AMBAC Insured 5/13 at 5.125%, 5/15/17 - AMBAC Insured 5/13 at 5.000%, 5/15/24 - AMBAC Insured  Total Education and Civic Organizations  HEALTH CARE - 12.0% (7.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)  California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Side at Sates Permanante System, Series 2006, 5.250%, 3/01/45  California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sutter Health, Series 2007A, 5.250%, 11/15/46 (UB)

INCIPAL (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS
 	HEALTH CARE (continued)	
\$ 5,905	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanante System, Series 2006, 5.000%, 3/01/41	3/16 at 100

			Į.
1,840	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanente System, Series 2001C, 5.250%, 8/01/31	8/16 at	t 100
2,145	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanente System, Series 2002E, 4.700%, 11/01/36 (Mandatory put 6/01/09)	No Oj	pt. C
1,000	Stockton, California, Health Facility Revenue Bonds, Dameron Hospital Association, Series 1997A, 5.700%, 12/01/14	12/07 at	t 102
24,010	Total Health Care		
	HOUSING/MULTIFAMILY - 2.5% (1.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)		
3,000	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Irvine Apartment Communities Development, Series 1998A, 4.900%, 5/15/25 (Mandatory put 5/15/08)	No O <sub>l</sub>	pt. C
2,000	Daly City Housing Development Finance Agency, California, Mobile Home Park Revenue Bonds, Franciscan Mobile Home Park Project, Series 2002A, 5.850%, 12/15/32	12/13 at	t 102
5,000	Total Housing/Multifamily		
	HOUSING/SINGLE FAMILY - 1.5% (1.0% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)		
495	California Housing Finance Agency, Home Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2006H, 5.750%, 8/01/30 - FGIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	2/16 at	t 100
2,485	California Housing Finance Agency, Home Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2006K, 5.500%, 2/01/42 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	2/16 at	t 100
	Total Housing/Single Family		
	INDUSTRIALS - 0.6% (0.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)		
1,250	California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds, Waste Management Inc., Series 2002A, 5.000%, 1/01/22 (Alternative Minimum Tax)		
	LONG-TERM CARE - 1.3% (0.8% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)		
2,595	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Certificates of Participation, Internext Group, Series 1999, 5.375%, 4/01/17	4/09 at	t 101

	TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL - 11.9% (7.5% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
5	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.250%, 4/01/34	4/14 at 100
2,000	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.250%, 2/01/20	8/13 at 100
1,000	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 2/01/21	2/14 at 100
2,395	Fontana Unified School District, San Bernardino County, California, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 1997D, 5.800%, 5/01/17 - FGIC Insured	5/09 at 102
10,060	Los Angeles, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.000%, 9/01/21	9/11 at 100
3,250	Puerto Rico, General Obligation and Public Improvement Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.500%, 7/01/20 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. 0
20	Riverside Community College District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.250%, 8/01/21 - MBIA Insured	8/14 at 100
345	Roseville Joint Union High School District, Placer County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006B, 5.000%, 8/01/27 - FGIC Insured	8/15 at 100
3,500	San Diego Unified School District, San Diego County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003E, 5.250%, 7/01/24 - FSA Insured	7/13 at 101
	Total Tax Obligation/General	

37

#### NQC

Nuveen California Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS August 31, 2007

RINCIPAL NT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)			AL C
	TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED - 34.0% (21.5% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
\$ 3,000	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, Department of Mental Health, Coalinga State Hospital, Series 2004A, 5.500%, 6/01/20	6/14	at	100
3,000	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, Department of Mental Health, Hospital Addition, Series 2001A, 5.000%, 12/01/21 - AMBAC Insured	12/11	at	102
2,350	California, Economic Recovery Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 7/01/15	7/14	at	100
425	Capistrano Unified School District, Orange County, California, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District, Series 2005,	9/15	at	100

5.000%, 9/01/24 - FGIC Insured 1,595 Fontana Public Financing Authority, California, Tax Allocation 9/11 at 101 Revenue Bonds, North Fontana Redevelopment Project, Series 2003A, 5.375%, 9/01/25 - AMBAC Insured 840 Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, 6/15 at 100 Enhanced Asset Backed Settlement Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, Residual Series 1500, 6.390%, 6/01/45 -AMBAC Insured (IF) 9/16 at 100 1,770 Hawthorne Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Project Area 2 Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 9/01/26 - XLCA Insured 3,840 Hesperia Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax 9/15 at 100 Allocation Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 9/01/35 -XLCA Insured Irvine, California, Unified School District, Community Facilities District Special Tax Bonds, Series 2006A: 9/16 at 100 205 5.000%, 9/01/26 470 5.125%, 9/01/36 9/16 at 100 770 Los Angeles Community Redevelopment Agency, California, 9/15 at 100 Lease Revenue Bonds, Manchester Social Services Project, Series 2005, 5.000%, 9/01/37 - AMBAC Insured 3,335 Los Angeles County Public Works Financing Authority, California, No Opt. C Lease Revenue Bonds, Series 2006B, Residuals 1940, 6.450%, 9/01/31 - FGIC Insured (IF) 4,130 Manteca Unified School District, San Joaquin County, California, 9/11 at 101 Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District 89-2, Series 2001C, 5.000%, 9/01/23 - MBIA Insured 3,890 Ontario Redevelopment Financing Authority, California, Lease 8/11 at 101 Revenue Bonds, Capital Projects, Series 2001, 5.000%, 8/01/21 -AMBAC Insured Ontario Redevelopment Financing Authority, San Bernardino No Opt. C 3,600 County, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Redevelopment Project 1, Series 1995, 7.400%, 8/01/25 - MBIA Insured 1,685 Ontario, California, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities 9/07 at 101 District 5, Freeway Interchange Project, Series 1997, 6.375%, 9/01/17 Orange County, California, Special Tax Bonds, Community 8/12 at 101 1,500 Facilities District 03-1 of Ladera Ranch, Series 2004A, 5.625%, 8/15/34 Paramount Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation 8/13 at 100 Bonds, Redevelopment Project Area 1, Series 2003, 5.000%, 8/01/23 - MBIA Insured 370 Rialto Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, 9/15 at 100 Merged Project Area, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 9/01/35 -XLCA Insured 2,000 Rohnert Park Community Development Commission, California, 8/17 at 100
Redevelopment Project Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2007R.

Redevelopment Project Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2007R,

	5.000%, 8/01/37 - FGIC Insured	
460	Roseville, California, Certificates of Participation, Public Facilities, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 8/01/25 - AMBAC Insured	8/13 at 100
4,000	Sacramento City Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1993A, 5.400%, 11/01/20 - AMBAC Insured	No Opt. 0
2,000	San Jose Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Civic Center Project, Series 2002B, 5.250%, 6/01/19 - AMBAC Insured	6/12 at 100
3,535	San Jose Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Convention Center Project, Series 2001F, 5.000%, 9/01/20 - MBIA Insured	9/11 at 100
6,000	San Ramon Public Financing Authority, California, Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 2/01/38 - AMBAC Insured (UB)	2/16 at 100
	38	
PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C
	TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED (continued)	
\$ 2,840	Santa Clara Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Bayshore North Project, Series 2003, 5.000%, 6/01/23 - MBIA Insured	6/13 at 100
5,250	Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Agency, California, Subordinate Lien Tax Allocation Bonds, Live Oak and Soquel Community Improvement Projects, Series 2000, 5.250%, 9/01/25 - AMBAC Insured	9/10 at 102
1,265	Washington Unified School District, Yolo County, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2007, 5.125%, 8/01/37 - AMBAC Insured	8/17 at 100
65,125	Total Tax Obligation/Limited	
	TRANSPORTATION - 28.3% (17.9% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
13,000	Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority, California, Senior Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 1999A, 5.000%, 10/01/29 - MBIA Insured	10/09 at 101
2,080	Bay Area Toll Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, San Francisco Bay Area Toll Bridge, Series 2006, 5.000%, 4/01/31	4/16 at 100
6,500	Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, California, Toll Road Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1999, 0.000%, 1/15/29	1/14 at 101

9,980	Long Beach, California, Harbor Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.500%, 5/15/25 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	5/10	at	101
9,000	Port of Oakland, California, Revenue Bonds, Series 2000K, 5.750%, 11/01/29 - FGIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	5/10	at	100
15,000	San Francisco Airports Commission, California, Revenue Bonds, San Francisco International Airport, Second Series 2000, Issue 24A, 5.750%, 5/01/30 - FSA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) (5)	5/10	at	101
55,560	Total Transportation			
	U.S. GUARANTEED - 35.8% (22.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) (4)			
	Bay Area Toll Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, San Francisco			
2 075	Bay Area Toll Bridge, Series 2001D:	4/11		1.00
3,875 2,605	5.000%, 4/01/12 (Pre-refunded 4/01/11) 5.000%, 4/01/16 (Pre-refunded 4/01/11)	4/11 4/11		
2,000	0.0000, 1,01,10 (210 101411404 1,01,11)	1, 11	u 0	100
	California Department of Water Resources, Power Supply Revenue			
3,600	Bonds, Series 2002A: 5.375%, 5/01/17 (Pre-refunded 5/01/12) - XLCA Insured	5/12	at	1 0 1
•	5.125%, 5/01/18 (Pre-refunded 5/01/12)	5/12		
2,070	California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of the Pacific, Series 2000, 5.750%, 11/01/30 (Pre-refunded 11/01/10) - MBIA Insured	11/10	at	100
1,000	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Series 1999A, 6.125%, 12/01/30 (Pre-refunded 12/01/09)	12/09	at	101
2,110	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanente System, Series 1998B, 5.250%, 10/01/12 (ETM)	10/08	at	101
3,145	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.250%, 4/01/34 (Pre-refunded 4/01/14)	4/14	at	100
11,300	California, Various Purpose General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000, 5.750%, 3/01/27 (Pre-refunded 3/01/10) - MBIA Insured	3/10	at	101
2,250	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2003B, 5.625%, 6/01/33 (Pre-refunded 6/01/13)	6/13	at	100
1 <b>,</b> 935	Los Angeles Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Central Business District Redevelopment Project, Series 1987G, 6.750%, 7/01/10 (ETM)	1/08	at	100
	Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, California, Proposition C Second Senior Lien Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A:			
8,005 6,500	5.250%, 7/01/25 (Pre-refunded 7/01/10) - FGIC Insured 5.250%, 7/01/30 (Pre-refunded 7/01/10) - FGIC Insured	7/10 7/10		
2,285	Moreno Valley Unified School District, Riverside County, California,	8/14	at	100

General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.250%, 8/01/24 (Pre-refunded 8/01/14) - FSA Insured

39

#### NQC

Nuveen California Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS August 31, 2007

PRIN AMOUNT	NCIPAL (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL ( PROVISIONS
		U.S. GUARANTEED (4) (continued)	
\$	2,745	Northridge Water District, California, Revenue Certificates of Participation, Series 2001, 5.250%, 2/01/21 (Pre-refunded 2/01/11) - AMBAC Insured	2/11 at 101
	4,000	Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, Highway Revenue Bonds, Series 2002D, 5.375%, 7/01/36 (Pre-refunded 7/01/12)	7/12 at 100
	815	Riverside Community College District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.250%, 8/01/21 (Pre-refunded 8/01/14) - MBIA Insured	8/14 at 100
	1,000	Tobacco Securitization Authority of Southern California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, San Diego County Tobacco Asset Securitization Corporation, Senior Series 2001A, 5.500%, 6/01/36 (Pre-refunded 6/01/12)	6/12 at 100
	2,540	University of California, Revenue Bonds, Research Facilities, Series 2001E, 5.000%, 9/01/25 (Pre-refunded 9/01/09) - AMBAC Insured	9/09 at 103
	57 <b>,</b> 780	Total U.S. Guaranteed	
		UTILITIES - 4.7% (2.9% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
	5,000	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, California, Power System Revenue Bonds, Series 2001A-1, 5.250%, 7/01/20 - FSA Insured	7/11 at 10
	740	Merced Irrigation District, California, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.125%, 9/01/31 - XLCA Insured	9/15 at 10
	3,210	Turlock Irrigation District, California, Electric Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 1/01/16 - MBIA Insured	1/13 at 10
	8,950	Total Utilities	
		WATER AND SEWER - 7.3% (4.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	

3,330 California Department of Water Resources, Water System Revenue

12/11 at 100

		Bonds, Central Valley Project, Series 2001W, 5.500%, 12/01/16	
	520	Healdsburg Public Financing Authority, California, Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 4/01/36 - MBIA Insured	4/16 at 10
	1,500	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, California, Waterworks Revenue Bonds, Series 2004C, 5.250%, 7/01/19 - MBIA Insured	7/14 at 10
	3,015	Oxnard Financing Authority, California, Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 6/01/17 - FGIC Insured	6/13 at 10
	870	Sacramento County Sanitation District Financing Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/36 - FGIC Insured	6/16 at 10
	1,310	San Elijo Joint Powers Authority, San Diego County, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, San Elijo Wastewater Facilities, Series 2003, 5.000%, 3/01/17 - FSA Insured	3/12 at 10
	3,430	Westlands Water District, California, Revenue Certificates of Participation, Series 2002, 5.250%, 9/01/22 - MBIA Insured	9/12 at 10
	•	Total Water and Sewer	
\$	309,065	Total Investments (cost \$307,858,192) - 158.3%	
===-	======	Floating Rate Obligations - (4.6)%	
		Other Assets Less Liabilities - 2.0%	
		Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value - (55.7)%	

40

Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares - 100%

FORWARD	SWAPS	OUTSTANDING	AΤ	AUGUST	31.	2007:

COUNTERPARTY	NOTIONAL AMOUNT	FUND PAY/RECEIVE FLOATING RATE	FLOATING RATE INDEX	FIXED RATE (ANNUALIZED)	FIXED RATE PAYMENT FREQUENCY	EFF DA
Citigroup	\$7,000,000	Pay	3-Month USD-LIBOR	5.808%	Semi-Annually	
JPMorgan	9,500,000	Pay	SIFM	4.376	Quarterly	

\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_

USD-LIBOR (United States Dollar-London Inter-Bank Offered Rate)

 ${\tt SIFM}$  - The daily arithmetic average of the weekly  ${\tt SIFM}$  (the Securities Industry and Financial Markets) Municipal Swap Index.

The Fund may invest in "zero coupon" securities. A zero coupon security does not pay a regular interest coupon to its holders during the life of the security. Tax-exempt

income to the holder of the security comes from accretion of the difference between the original purchase price of the security at issuance and the par value of the security at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. Such securities are included in the Portfolio of Investments with a 0.000% coupon rate in their description. The market prices of zero coupon securities generally are more volatile than the market prices of securities that pay interest periodically.

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- (3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the higher of Standard & Poor's or Moody's rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's Group or Baa by Moody's Investor Service, Inc. are considered to be below investment grade.
- (4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- (5) Portion of investment, with an aggregate market value of \$723,472, has been pledged to collateralize the net payment obligations under forward swap contracts.
- (6) Effective date represents the date on which both the Fund and counterparty commence interest payment accruals on each forward swap contract.
- N/R Not rated.
- (ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
- (IF) Inverse floating rate investment.
- (UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 140.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

41

NVC

Nuveen California Select Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. Portfolio of INVESTMENTS

PRINCIPAL UNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIC PROVI		
	CONSUMER STAPLES - 6.1% (3.9% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
\$ 1,270	California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Sonoma County Tobacco Securitization Corporation, Series 2005, 4.250%, 6/01/21	6/15 a	аt	100
4,625	California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Stanislaus County Tobacco Funding Corporation, Series 2002A, 5.500%, 6/01/33	6/12 a	аt	100
22,915	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2007A-2, 0.000%, 6/01/37	6/22 a	аt	100
 28,810	Total Consumer Staples			
	EDUCATION AND CIVIC ORGANIZATIONS - 2.9% (1.8% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
290	California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of Redlands, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 10/01/35	10/15 a	аt	100
200	California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of the Pacific, Series 2006: 5.000%, 11/01/21	11/15 a		
270	5.000%, 11/01/25	11/15 a	аt	100
1,595	California Infrastructure Economic Development Bank, Revenue Bonds, Claremont University Consortium, Series 2003, 5.125%, 10/01/24	10/12 a	at	100
1,740	California Infrastructure Economic Development Bond Bank, Revenue Bonds, Scripps Research Institute, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 7/01/24	7/15 a	аt	100
6,000	University of California System, General Revenue Bonds, Series 2006J, 4.500%, 5/15/35 - FSA Insured	5/15 a	аt	101
 10,095	Total Education and Civic Organizations			
	HEALTH CARE - 16.5% (10.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
1,750	ABAG Finance Authority for Non-Profit Corporations, California, Cal-Mortgage Insured Revenue Bonds, Sansum-Santa Barbara Medical Foundation Clinic, Series 2002A, 5.500%, 4/01/21	4/12 a	аt	100
545	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Insured Health Facility Revenue Refunding Bonds, Catholic Healthcare West, Series 1994A, 4.750%, 7/01/19 - MBIA Insured	1/08 a	аt	100
4,040	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Series 2005, 5.000%, 11/15/34	11/15 a	аt	100

Sutter Health, Series 2007A, 5.250%, 11/15/46 (UB)

15,145 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, 11/16 at 100

		'
10,110	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanante System, Series 2006, 5.000%, 3/01/41	3/16 at 100
3,140	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanente System, Series 2001C, 5.250%, 8/01/31	8/16 at 100
1,355	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sherman Oaks Health System, Series 1998A, 5.000%, 8/01/22 - AMBAC Insured	No Opt. C
6,000	Madera County, California, Certificates of Participation, Valley Children's Hospital Project, Series 1995, 5.750%, 3/15/28 - MBIA Insured	9/07 at 100
	42	
PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS
	HEALTH CARE (continued)	
\$ 13,855	Rancho Mirage Joint Powers Financing Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, Eisenhower Medical Center, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 7/01/38	7/17 at 100
1,050	Stockton, California, Health Facility Revenue Bonds, Dameron Hospital Association, Series 1997A, 5.450%, 12/01/10	12/07 at 102
56 <b>,</b> 990	Total Health Care	
	HOUSING/MULTIFAMILY - 1.8% (1.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
1,000	Independent Cities Lease Finance Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, Morgan Hill, Hacienda Valley Mobile Home Park, Series 2004A, 5.950%, 11/15/39	11/14 at 100
4,750	Montclair Redevelopment Agency, California, Revenue Bonds, Monterey Manor Mobile Home Estates Project, Series 2000, 6.400%, 12/15/30	12/10 at 102
5 <b>,</b> 750	Total Housing/Multifamily	
	HOUSING/SINGLE FAMILY - 0.4% (0.3% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	

California Housing Finance Agency, Home Mortgage Revenue

Bonds, Series 2006H, 5.750%, 8/01/30 - FGIC Insured

855

2/16 at 100

(Alternat	ive Mir	n i mum	Tax)

	(Miternative Minimum Tax)			
85	California Housing Finance Agency, Single Family Mortgage Bonds, Mezzanine Series 1995B-2, 6.250%, 8/01/14 - AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	10/07	at	100
535	California Housing Finance Agency, Single Family Mortgage Bonds, Senior Series 1995B-2, 6.250%, 2/01/18 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	10/07	at	100
1,475	Total Housing/Single Family			
	INDUSTRIALS - 2.1% (1.3% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
5,000	California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds, Republic Services Inc., Series 2002C, 5.250%, 6/01/23 (Mandatory put 12/01/17) (Alternative Minimum Tax)	No	Op	t. C
2,000	California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds, Waste Management Inc., Series 2002A, 5.000%, 1/01/22 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	1/16	at	102
7,000	Total Industrials			
	TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL - 18.6% (11.8% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003:			
3,750 5,000	5.250%, 11/01/19 - RAAI Insured 5.250%, 2/01/22	11/13 8/13		
1,000	5.250%, 2/01/22 - CIFG Insured	8/13		
	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004:			
3,800	5.000%, 2/01/21	2/14		
1,850	5.200%, 4/01/26	4/14	at	100
4,700	California, Various Purpose General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000, 5.625%, 5/01/22 - FGIC Insured	5/10	at	101
3,850	Coachella Valley Unified School District, Riverside County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 8/01/30 - FGIC Insured	8/15	at	100
	Fontana Unified School District, San Bernardino County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004:			
1,470 1,040	5.250%, 5/01/19 - MBIA Insured 5.250%, 5/01/20 - MBIA Insured	5/14 5/14		
4,000	Long Beach Community College District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 5/01/30 - FGIC Insured	5/15	at	100
10,060	Los Angeles, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.000%, 9/01/20	9/11	at	100
	Los Rios Community College District, Sacramento, El Dorado and			

2006C:

1,000

Yolo Counties, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series

2,710 5.000%, 8/01/25 - FSA Insured 8/14 at 102 3,875 5.000%, 8/01/26 - FSA Insured 8/14 at 102 43 Nuveen California Select Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS August 31, 2007 OPTIONAL C PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1) PROVISIONS \_\_\_\_\_\_ TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL (continued) North Orange County Community College District, California, 6,000 No Opt. C General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003B, 0.000%, 8/01/27 -FGIC Insured 5,000 Puerto Rico, General Obligation and Public Improvement Bonds, No Opt. C Series 2001A, 5.500%, 7/01/20 - MBIA Insured Roseville Joint Union High School District, Placer County, 8/15 at 100 585 California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006B, 5.000%, 8/01/27 - FGIC Insured 3,760 West Contra Costa Unified School District, Contra Costa County, 8/11 at 101 California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003B, 5.000%, 8/01/22 - FSA Insured West Contra Costa Unified School District, Contra Costa County, 8/11 at 101 2,000 California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003C, 5.000%, 8/01/22 - FGIC Insured \_\_\_\_\_\_ 64,450 Total Tax Obligation/General TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED - 29.5% (18.7% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) 2,870 Bell Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation 10/13 at 100 Bonds, Bell Project Area, Series 2003, 5.500%, 10/01/23 -RAAI Insured California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, Department of Mental Health, Coalinga State Hospital, Series 2004A: 4,000 5.500%, 6/01/21 6/14 at 100 2,000 5.500%, 6/01/23 6/14 at 100 730 Capistrano Unified School District, Orange County, California, 9/15 at 100 Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District, Series 2005, 5.000%, 9/01/24 - FGIC Insured

Coachella Valley Unified School District, Riverside County, 9/16 at 100

California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2007,

5.000%, 9/01/31 - AMBAC Insured

Coronado Community Development Agency, California, Tax

3,000

2,580

	TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED (continued)	
PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL (
	44	
6,000	4.500%, 1/01/37 - FGIC Insured	1/17 at 10
8,175	4.750%, 1/01/31 - FGIC Insured	1/17 at 10
1,000	5.000%, 1/01/25 - FGIC Insured	1/17 at 10
	Los Angeles, California, Municipal Improvement Corporation, Lease Revenue Bonds, Police Headquarters, Series 2006A:	
	AMBAC Insured	
16,500	Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, California, Proposition C Second Senior Lien Sales Tax Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1998A, 5.000%, 7/01/23 -	7/08 at 10
4,315	Los Angeles Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Lease Revenue Bonds, Manchester Social Services Project, Series 2005, 5.000%, 9/01/37 - AMBAC Insured	9/15 at 10
3,510	Long Beach Bond Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue and Refunding Bonds, Civic Center Project, Series 1997A, 5.000%, 10/01/27 - MBIA Insured	10/07 at 10:
	5.000%, 9/01/21 - AMBAC Insured	
3,000	La Quinta Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Redevelopment Project Area 1, Series 2001,	9/11 at 10
805	5.125%, 9/01/36	9/16 at 10
350	Irvine, California, Unified School District, Community Facilities District Special Tax Bonds, Series 2006A: 5.000%, 9/01/26	9/16 at 10
435	Indian Wells Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Consolidated Whitewater Project Area, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 9/01/20 - AMBAC Insured	9/13 at 10
	California, Certificates of Participation, Capital Improvement, Series 2007, 5.000%, 2/01/41 - AMBAC Insured	
1 <b>,</b> 500	Hesperia Unified School District, San Bernardino County,	2/17 at 10
1,785	Hawthorne Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Project Area 2 Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.250%, 9/01/36 - XLCA Insured	9/16 at 10
-,	California, General Obligation Bonds, School Facilities Improvement District 2, Series 2004B, 5.000%, 10/01/25 - FSA Insured	
1,030	Allocation Bonds, Community Development Project, Series 2005, 5.000%, 9/01/30 - AMBAC Insured  Folsom Cordova Unified School District, Sacramento County,	10/14 at 10

Oakland Redevelopment Agency, California, Subordinate Lien Tax

Allocation Bonds, Central District Redevelopment Project,

3/13 at 100

9/15 at 100

	Series 2003, 5.500%, 9/01/18 - FGIC Insured		
3,605	Oakland State Building Authority, California, Lease Revenue Bonds, Elihu M. Harris State Office Building, Series 1998A, 5.000%, 4/01/23 - AMBAC Insured	4/08 at	101
2,280	Ontario Redevelopment Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Bonds, Capital Projects, Series 2001, 5.250%, 8/01/18 - AMBAC Insured	8/11 at	101
1,000	Orange County, California, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District 03-1 of Ladera Ranch, Series 2004A, 5.500%, 8/15/24	8/12 at	101
1,120	Panama-Buena Vista Union School District, California, Certificates of Participation, School Construction Project, Series 2006, 5.000%, 9/01/23 - MBIA Insured	9/16 at	100
8 <b>,</b> 750	Pittsburg Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Los Medanos Community Development Project, Series 1999, 0.000%, 8/01/23 - AMBAC Insured	No Opt	i. C
635	Rialto Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Merged Project Area, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 9/01/35 - XLCA Insured	9/15 at	100
115	Riverside Public Financing Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, Multiple Project Loans, Series 1991A, 8.000%, 2/01/18	2/08 at	100
820	Roseville, California, Certificates of Participation, Public Facilities, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 8/01/25 - AMBAC Insured	8/13 at	100
2,200	San Jose Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Convention Center Project, Series 2001F, 5.000%, 9/01/20 - MBIA Insured	9/11 at	100
4,625	Santa Clara Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Bayshore North Project, Series 2003, 5.000%, 6/01/17 - MBIA Insured	6/13 at	100
6 <b>,</b> 870	Vernon Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Industrial Redevelopment Project, Series 2005, 5.000%, 9/01/35 - MBIA Insured	9/15 at	100
2,175	Washington Unified School District, Yolo County, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2007, 5.125%, 8/01/37 - AMBAC Insured	8/17 at	100
5,000	West Hollywood, California, Refunding Certificates of Participation, Series 1998, 5.000%, 2/01/25 - MBIA Insured		
103,780	Total Tax Obligation/Limited		
	TRANSPORTATION - 17.2% (10.9% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)		
2,210	Bay Area Toll Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, San Francisco Bay Area Toll Bridge, Series 2006, 5.000%, 4/01/31	4/16 at	10
8,300	Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, California,	1/10 at	10

	Toll Road Revenue Bonds, Series 1995A, 5.000%, 1/01/35 - MBIA Insured	
10,500	Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, California, Toll Road Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1999, 0.000%, 1/15/29	1/14 at 101
8,000	Port of Oakland, California, Revenue Bonds, Series 2000K, 5.750%, 11/01/29 - FGIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	5/10 at 100
20,000	San Francisco Airports Commission, California, Revenue Bonds, San Francisco International Airport, Second Series 2000, Issue 25, 5.750%, 5/01/30 - FSA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	5/10 at 101
5,000	San Francisco Airports Commission, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, San Francisco International Airport, Second Series 2001, Issue 27B, 5.250%, 5/01/18 - FGIC Insured	5/11 at 100
3,665	San Francisco Airports Commission, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, San Francisco International Airport, Second Series 2002, Issue 28A, 5.250%, 5/01/18 - MBIA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	5/12 at 100
57 <b>,</b> 675	Total Transportation	

45

#### NVC

Nuveen California Select Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS August 31, 2007

MBIA Insured

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)		DESCRIPTION (1)		OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS		
		U.S. GUARANTEED - 43.2% (27.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) (4)				
		0.0. COMMINIBLE 13.20 (27.10 OF TOTAL INVESTIGATION (1)				
		California Department of Water Resources, Power Supply Revenue Bonds, Series 2002A:				
\$	2,500	5.375%, 5/01/17 (Pre-refunded 5/01/12) - XLCA Insured	5/12	at	101	
	9,750	5.125%, 5/01/18 (Pre-refunded 5/01/12)	5/12	at	101	
	13,000	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Series 1999A, 6.125%, 12/01/30 (Pre-refunded 12/01/09)	12/09	at	101	
	3,000	California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds, North County Recycling Center, Series 1991A, 6.750%, 7/01/17 (ETM)	11/07	at	100	
		California Statewide Community Development Authority, Certificates of Participation, Catholic Healthcare West, Series 1999:				
	4,495	6.500%, 7/01/20 (Pre-refunded 7/01/10)	7/10	at	101	
	1,845	6.500%, 7/01/20 (Pre-refunded 7/01/10)	7/10	at	101	
	10,000	California, Various Purpose General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000, 5.750%, 3/01/27 (Pre-refunded 3/01/10) -	3/10	at	101	

1,165	Contra Costa County, California, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Home Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1989, 7.700%, 11/01/09 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (ETM)	No	Opt.
5,515	Fresno Unified School District, Fresno County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001E, 5.000%, 8/01/25 - FGIC Insured (ETM) (5)	8/09	at 102
2,000	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2003B: 5.625%, 6/01/33 (Pre-refunded 6/01/13)	6/13	at 100
2,500	5.625%, 6/01/38 (Pre-refunded 6/01/13)		at 100
3,000	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2003A-1, 6.750%, 6/01/39 (Pre-refunded 6/01/13)	6/13	at 100
3,000	Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, California, Proposition C Second Senior Lien Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.250%, 7/01/25 (Pre-refunded 7/01/10) - FGIC Insured	7/10	at 103
4,220	Los Angeles Unified School District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1998B, 5.000%, 7/01/23 (Pre-refunded 7/01/08) - FGIC Insured	7/08	at 101
6,030	Los Angeles Unified School District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000D, 5.375%, 7/01/25 (Pre-refunded 7/01/10) - FGIC Insured	7/10	at 100
2 <b>,</b> 650	Los Angeles, California, Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 1998A, 5.000%, 6/01/28 (Pre-refunded 6/01/08) - FGIC Insured	6/08	at 101
	Monterey County, California, Certificates of Participation, Master Plan Financing, Series 2001:		
2,075 3,000	5.000%, 8/01/19 (Pre-refunded 8/01/11) - MBIA Insured 5.000%, 8/01/26 (Pre-refunded 8/01/11) - MBIA Insured		at 100 at 100
2,200	Oakland Unified School District, Alameda County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.125%, 8/01/21 (Pre-refunded 8/01/08) - FSA Insured	8/08	at 10
2 <b>,</b> 270	Pajaro Valley Unified School District, Santa Cruz County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 8/01/20 (Pre-refunded 8/01/13) - FSA Insured	8/13	at 100
10,000	Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, Highway Revenue Bonds, Series 2000B, 6.000%, 7/01/31 (Pre-refunded 7/01/10)	7/10	at 103
4,000	Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, Special Obligation Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.500%, 10/01/32	10/10	at 10
2,000	Puerto Rico Public Finance Corporation, Commonwealth Appropriation Bonds, Series 2002E, 6.000%, 8/01/26 - AGC Insured	No	Opt. (
17,670	San Francisco City and County Public Utilities Commission, California, Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2001A,	11/11	at 100

5.000%, 11/01/24 (Pre-refunded 11/01/11) - FSA Insured

46

PRIN AMOUNT	NCIPAL (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL PROVISION
		U.S. GUARANTEED (4) (continued)	
\$	2,415 1,625	Solano County, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 5.250%, 11/01/22 (Pre-refunded 11/01/12) - MBIA Insured 5.250%, 11/01/23 (Pre-refunded 11/01/12) - MBIA Insured	2002: 11/12 at 10 11/12 at 10
	6,555	Sweetwater Authority, California, Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2002, 5.000%, 4/01/22 (Pre-refunded 4/01/10) - FSA Insured	4/10 at 10
	5,125	University of California, Revenue Bonds, Research Facilities, Series 2001E, 5.000%, 9/01/22 (Pre-refunded 9/01/09) - AMBAC Insured	9/09 at 10
	2,000	Vista, California, Mobile Home Park Revenue Bonds, Vista Manor Mobile Home Park Project, Series 1999A, 5.750%, 3/15/29 (Pre-refunded 3/15/24)	3/24 at 10
13	38 <b>,</b> 605	Total U.S. Guaranteed	
		UTILITIES - 13.6% (8.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
	2,000	Anaheim Public Finance Authority, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Electric Generating System, Series 2002B, 5.250%, 10/01/18 - FSA Insured	10/12 at 10
	1,810	Anaheim Public Finance Authority, California, Second Lien Electric Distribution Revenue Bonds, Series 2004, 5.250%, 10/01/21 - MBIA Insured	10/14 at 10
1	10,350	California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, San Diego Gas and Electric Company, Series 1991A, 6.800%, 6/01/15 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	No Opt.
	4,000	Imperial Irrigation District, California, Certificates of Participation, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series 2003, 5.250%, 11/01/23 - FSA Insured	11/13 at 10
	5,000	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, California, Power System Revenue Bonds, Series 2001A-2, 5.375%, 7/01/20 - MBIA Insured	7/11 at 10
	5,000	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, California, Power System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A-1, 5.000%, 7/01/31 - FSA Insured	7/15 at 10

8/08 at 102

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL PROVISION
	nia Select Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) IVESTMENTS August 31, 2007	
	47	
1,510	Sacramento County Sanitation District Financing Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/36 - FGIC Insured	6/16 at 10
2,000	Pico Rivera Water Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, Series 2001A, 6.250%, 12/01/32	12/11 at 10
3,750	Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2004B-3, 5.000%, 10/01/29 - MBIA Insured	10/14 at 10
1,250	<pre>Indio Water Authority, California, Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 4/01/31 - AMBAC Insured</pre>	4/16 at 10
890	Healdsburg Public Financing Authority, California, Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 4/01/36 - MBIA Insured	4/16 at 10
1,185	Burbank, California, Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 6/01/24 - AMBAC Insured	6/14 at 10
	WATER AND SEWER - 5.6% (3.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
	Total Utilities	
	Magnolia Power Project, Series 2003-1A, 5.000%, 7/01/20 - AMBAC Insured	,,15 dc 10
3,460	Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002Q, 5.250%, 8/15/19 - FSA Insured  Southern California Public Power Authority, Revenue Bonds,	7/13 at 1
4,360	Bonds, Series 2005, 5.125%, 9/01/31 - XLCA Insured  Sacramento Municipal Utility District, California, Electric	8/12 at 1
1,260	Merced Irrigation District, California, Electric System Revenue	9/15 at 1
1,025	Los Angeles, California, Sanitation Equipment Charge Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 2/01/22 - AMBAC Insured	2/14 at 1
	Los Angeles, California, Sanitation Equipment Charge Revenue Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.250%, 2/01/18 - FSA Insured	

2,525 Sacramento County Sanitation District Financing Authority,

California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2001,

San Francisco City and County Public Utilities Commission,

5.500%, 12/01/20 - AMBAC Insured

\$

No Opt. C

	2,120 2,960	California, Clean Water Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2003A: 5.250%, 10/01/19 - MBIA Insured 5.250%, 10/01/20 - MBIA Insured	4/13 a 4/13 a	
	18,190	Total Water and Sewer		
\$	536,310	Total Investments (cost \$520,638,060) - 157.5%		
_===		Floating Rate Obligations - (3.0)%		
		Other Assets Less Liabilities - 1.8%		
		Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value - (56.3)%		
		Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares - 100%		

FORWARD SWAPS OUTSTANDING AT AUGUST 31, 2007:

COUNTERPARTY	NOTIONAL AMOUNT	FUND PAY/RECEIVE FLOATING RATE	FLOATING RATE INDEX	FIXED RATE (ANNUALIZED)	FIXED RATE PAYMENT FREQUENCY	EFF DA
Citigroup	\$12,500,000	Pay	3-Month USD-LIBOR	5.808%	Semi-Annually	
JPMorgan	18,500,000	Pay	SIFM	4.376	Quarterly	

\_\_\_\_\_\_

USD-LIBOR (United States Dollar-London Inter-Bank Offered Rate)

 ${\tt SIFM}$  - The daily arithmetic average of the weekly  ${\tt SIFM}$  (the Securities Industry and Financial Markets) Municipal Swap Index.

The Fund may invest in "zero coupon" securities. A zero coupon security does not pay a regular interest coupon to its holders during the life of the security. Tax-exempt income to the holder of the security comes from accretion of the difference between the original purchase price of the security at issuance and the par value of the security at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. Such securities are included in the Portfolio of Investments with a 0.000% coupon rate in their description. The market prices of zero coupon securities generally are more volatile than the market prices of securities that pay interest periodically.

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- (3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the higher of Standard &

Poor's or Moody's rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's Group or Baa by Moody's Investor Service, Inc. are considered to be below investment grade.

- (4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- (5) Portion of investment, with an aggregate market value of \$633,914, has been pledged to collateralize the net payment obligations under forward swap contracts.
- (6) Effective date represents the date on which both the Fund and counterparty commence interest payment accruals on each forward swap contract.
- N/R Not rated.
- (ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
- (UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 140.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

48

#### NUC

Nuveen California Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. Portfolio of INVESTMENTS

August 31, 2007

PRINCIPAI	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS
	CONSUMER STAPLES - 6.6% (4.2% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
\$ 5,000	California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Alameda County Tobacco Asset Securitization Corporation, Series 2002, 5.750%, 6/01/29	6/12 at 100
1,220	California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Sonoma County Tobacco Securitization Corporation, Series 2005, 4.250%, 6/01/21	6/15 at 100
4,620	California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Stanislaus County Tobacco Funding Corporation, Series 2002A, 5.500%, 6/01/33	6/12 at 100
8,645	California Statewide Financing Authority, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Pooled Tobacco Securitization Program, Series 2002A, 5.625%, 5/01/29	5/12 at 100
3,370	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California,	6/22 at 100

Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2007A-2, 0.000%, 6/01/37

22 <b>,</b> 855	Total Consumer Staples			
	EDUCATION AND CIVIC ORGANIZATIONS - 5.8% (3.7% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
280	California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of Redlands, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 10/01/35	10/15	at	100
2,785	California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of the Pacific, Series 2000, 5.750%, 11/01/30 - MBIA Insured	11/10	at	100
	California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of the Pacific, Series 2006:			
195	5.000%, 11/01/21	11/15	at	100
260	5.000%, 11/01/21	11/15		
4,000	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Community Colleges Projects, Series 1996B, 5.625%, 3/01/19 - AMBAC Insured	9/07	at	101
6,400	California State University, Systemwide Revenue Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.000%, 11/01/20 - AMBAC Insured	11/12	at	100
435	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Auxiliary Organization Revenue Certificates of Participation, University Corporation - California State University - Northridge, Series 1996, 6.000%, 4/01/26 - AMBAC Insured	10/07	at	100
4,500	University of California System, General Revenue Bonds, Series 2006J, 4.500%, 5/15/35 - FSA Insured	5/15	at	101
18,855	Total Education and Civic Organizations			
	HEALTH CARE - 11.4% (7.2% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
1,750	ABAG Finance Authority for Non-Profit Corporations, California, Cal-Mortgage Insured Revenue Bonds, Sansum-Santa Barbara Medical Foundation Clinic, Series 2002A, 5.500%, 4/01/21	4/12	at	100
3,640	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanante System, Series 2006, 5.250%, 3/01/45	3/16	at	100
14,550	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sutter Health, Series 2007A, 5.250%, 11/15/46 (UB)	11/16	at	100

49

#### NUC

Nuveen California Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS August 31, 2007

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)		DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONA PROVIS		
		HEALTH CARE (continued)			
\$	1,225	California State Public Works Board, Revenue Bonds, University of California - Davis Medical Center, Series 2004II-A, 5.000%, 11/01/23 - MBIA Insured	11/14	at	100
	820	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Certificates of Participation, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Series 1992, 6.500%, 8/01/12	No	Opt	ž. (
	9,670	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanante System, Series 2006, 5.000%, 3/01/41	3/16	at	100
	3,015	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanente System, Series 2001C, 5.250%, 8/01/31	8/16	at	100
	3,000	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanente System, Series 2002E, 4.700%, 11/01/36 (Mandatory put 6/01/09)	No	Opt	. C
	37,670	Total Health Care			
	1,000	HOUSING/MULTIFAMILY - 4.8% (3.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)  California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Irvine Apartment Communities	7/08	at	101
		Development, Series 1998A, 5.100%, 5/15/25 (Mandatory put 5/17/10)			
	3,000	Daly City Housing Development Finance Agency, California, Mobile Home Park Revenue Bonds, Franciscan Mobile Home Park Project, Series 2002A, 5.850%, 12/15/32	12/13	at	102
	1,000	Independent Cities Lease Finance Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, Morgan Hill, Hacienda Valley Mobile Home Park, Series 2004A, 5.950%, 11/15/39	11/14	at	100
	2,285	Irvine, California, Mobile Home Park Revenue Bonds, Meadows Mobile Home Park, Series 1998A, 5.700%, 3/01/18	3/08	at	102
	2,310	Oceanside, California, Mobile Home Park Revenue Bonds, Laguna Vista Mobile Estates Acquisition Project, Series 1998, 5.800%, 3/01/28	3/08	at	102
	3,040	Riverside County, California, Mobile Home Park Revenue Bonds, Bravo Mobile Home Park Project, Series 1999A, 5.900%, 3/20/29	3/09	at	10
	1,640	Stanton, California, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Continental Gardens Apartments, Series 1997, 5.625%, 8/01/29 (Mandatory put 8/01/09) (Alternative Minimum Tax)	11/07	at	10
		Yolo County Housing Authority, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Russell Park Apartments, Series 1992A:			

390 1,030	6.900%, 11/01/08 7.000%, 11/01/14	11/07 at 100 11/07 at 100
15,695	Total Housing/Multifamily	
	HOUSING/SINGLE FAMILY - 0.3% (0.2% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
820	California Housing Finance Agency, Home Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2006H, 5.750%, 8/01/30 - FGIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	2/16 at 100
	INDUSTRIALS - 0.6% (0.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
2,000	California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds, Waste Management Inc., Series 2002A, 5.000%, 1/01/22 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	1/16 at 102
	TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL - 18.3% (11.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
1,900	Azusa Unified School District, Los Angeles County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.375%, 7/01/20 - FSA Insured	7/12 at 100
35	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.250%, 4/01/32	4/12 at 100
	50	
PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C
	TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL (continued)	
\$ 80	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000, 5.500%, 6/01/25	6/10 at 100
3,750 500	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003: 5.250%, 11/01/19 - RAAI Insured 5.250%, 2/01/33	11/13 at 100 2/13 at 100
6,300	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.200%, 4/01/26	4/14 at 100
6,085	California, General Obligation Veterans Welfare Bonds, Series 1997BJ, 5.700%, 12/01/32 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/07 at 101
1,370	Fremont-Newark Community College District, Alameda County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.375%, 8/01/20 - MBIA Insured	8/11 at 101
3,610	Hartnell Community College District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006B, 5.000%, 6/01/29 -	6/16 at 100

FSA Insured

2,500	Hemet Unified School District, Riverside County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 4.250%, 8/01/32 - FSA Insured	8/15 at 1	L O O
5,255	Livermore Valley Joint Unified School District, Alameda County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election of 1999, Series 2001, 5.125%, 8/01/26 - FSA Insured	8/11 at 1	L O O
2,645	Long Beach Community College District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 5/01/30 - FGIC Insured	5/15 at 1	L O O
1,170	Los Angeles Unified School District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003F, 5.000%, 7/01/17 - FSA Insured	7/13 at 1	L O O
565	Roseville Joint Union High School District, Placer County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006B, 5.000%, 8/01/27 - FGIC Insured	8/15 at 1	L O O
1,500	Sacramento City Unified School District, Sacramento County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 7/01/27 - MBIA Insured	7/15 at 1	L O O
6 <b>,</b> 760	San Diego Unified School District, San Diego County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003E, 5.250%, 7/01/21 - FSA Insured	7/13 at 1	L01
515	San Joaquin Delta Community College District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 8/01/29 - FSA Insured	8/15 at 1	L O O
1,500	San Jose Unified School District, Santa Clara County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 8/01/25 - FGIC Insured	8/15 at 1	L O O
6,865	San Ramon Valley Unified School District, Contra Costa County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 8/01/23 - FSA Insured	8/13 at 1	L O O
1,390	South Pasadena Unified School District, Los Angeles County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 8/01/22 - FGIC Insured	8/13 at 1	L O O
3 <b>,</b> 925	West Contra Costa Unified School District, Contra Costa County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003B, 5.000%, 8/01/23 - FSA Insured	8/11 at 1	L01
	Total Tax Obligation/General		
	TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED - 33.7% (21.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)		
1,655	Bell Community Housing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 10/01/36 - AMBAC Insured	10/15 at 1	L O O
1,200	Burbank Public Financing Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, West Olive Redevelopment Project, Series 2002, 5.125%, 12/01/22 - AMBAC Insured	12/12 at 1	L O O

California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds,

Department of General Services, Capital East End Project,

3,070

335 5.000%, 9/01/26

775 5.125%, 9/01/36

	Series 2002A, 5.250%, 12/01/16 - AMBAC Insured	
2,030	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, Department of General Services, Series 2002C, 5.250%, 3/01/21 - AMBAC Insured	3/12 at 100
	51	
	rnia Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) INVESTMENTS August 31, 2007	
PRINCIPAL UNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL (
	TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED (continued)	
\$ 5,115	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, Department of Mental Health, Coalinga State Hospital, Series 2004A, 5.500%, 6/01/20	6/14 at 100
2,715	California, Economic Recovery Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 7/01/15	7/14 at 100
690	Capistrano Unified School District, Orange County, California, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District, Series 2005, 5.000%, 9/01/24 - FGIC Insured	9/15 at 100
3,000	Coachella Valley Unified School District, Riverside County, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2007, 5.000%, 9/01/31 - AMBAC Insured	9/16 at 100
	Commerce Community Development Commission, California, Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Merged Area Development Projects 2 and 3, Series 1998A:	
•	·	8/08 at 102 8/08 at 102
1,250	Coronado Community Development Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Community Development Project, Series 2005, 5.000%, 9/01/30 - AMBAC Insured	9/15 at 100
3,065	Corona-Norco Unified School District, Riverside County, California, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District 98-1, Series 2003, 5.500%, 9/01/33 - MBIA Insured	9/13 at 100
2,335	Fresno, California, Certificates of Participation, Street Improvement Project, Series 1991, 6.625%, 12/01/11	12/07 at 100
9,500	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 6/01/35 - FGIC Insured	6/15 at 100
225	Irvine, California, Unified School District, Community Facilities District Special Tax Bonds, Series 2006A:	0/16 10

9/16 at 100

9/16 at 100

12/12 at 100

_agai i iiiig	. HOVEELY ONE!! OF INTERVIOUS THE VALUE FORD INC. FOR IT COST	
3,245	Los Angeles Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Lease Revenue Bonds, Manchester Social Services Project, Series 2005, 5.000%, 9/01/37 - AMBAC Insured	9/15 at 100
1,350	Los Angeles Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Subordinate Lien Tax Allocation Bonds, Bunker Hill Redevelopment Project, Series 2004L, 5.100%, 3/01/19	3/13 at 100
4,850	Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, California, Proposition A First Tier Senior Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 7/01/16 - FSA Insured	7/13 at 100
4,000	Los Angeles County Public Works Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Bonds, Master Refunding Project, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 12/01/26 - MBIA Insured	12/15 at 100
6,230	Los Angeles, California, Municipal Improvement Corporation, Lease Revenue Bonds, Police Headquarters, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 1/01/25 - FGIC Insured	1/17 at 100
15,300	Ontario Redevelopment Financing Authority, San Bernardino County, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Redevelopment Project 1, Series 1995, 7.400%, 8/01/25 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
1,170	Panama-Buena Vista Union School District, California, Certificates of Participation, School Construction Project, Series 2006, 5.000%, 9/01/24 - MBIA Insured	9/16 at 100
	Redding Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation	
1,500	Bonds, Canby-Hilltop-Cypress Area Project, Series 2003A: 5.000%, 9/01/17 - MBIA Insured	9/13 at 100
1,500	5.000%, 9/01/17 - MBIA Insured 5.000%, 9/01/20 - MBIA Insured	9/13 at 100 9/13 at 100
600	Rialto Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Merged Project Area, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 9/01/35 - XLCA Insured	9/15 at 100
4,320	Richmond Joint Powers Financing Authority, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.250%, 9/01/22 - MBIA Insured	9/13 at 100
	52	

PRINCIPAI AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS
	TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED (continued)	
\$ 2,000	Rohnert Park Community Development Commission, California, Redevelopment Project Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2007R, 5.000%, 8/01/37 - FGIC Insured	8/17 at 100
745	Roseville, California, Certificates of Participation, Public Facilities, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 8/01/25 - AMBAC Insured	8/13 at 100
8,625	Sacramento City Financing Authority, California, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, 300 Richards Boulevard, Series 2006C, 5.000%, 12/01/36 - AMBAC Insured	12/16 at 100
2,500	San Jose Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue	9/11 at 100

	Refunding Bonds, Convention Center Project, Series 2001F, 5.000%, 9/01/20 - MBIA Insured			
2,770	Santa Ana Community Redevelopment Agency, Orange County, California, Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, South Main Street Redevelopment, Series 2003B, 5.000%, 9/01/19 - FGIC Insured	9/13	at	100
2,090	Washington Unified School District, Yolo County, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2007, 5.125%, 8/01/37 - AMBAC Insured	8/17	at	100
103,295	Total Tax Obligation/Limited			
	TRANSPORTATION - 13.9% (8.8% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
3,950	Bay Area Toll Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, San Francisco Bay Area Toll Bridge, Series 2006, 5.000%, 4/01/31	4/16	at	100
11,000	Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, California, Toll Road Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1999, 0.000%, 1/15/29	1/14	at	101
2,000	Orange County Transportation Authority, California, Toll Road Revenue Bonds, 91 Express Lanes Project, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 8/15/20 - AMBAC Insured	8/13	at	100
2,685	Sacramento County, California, Airport System Revenue Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.250%, 7/01/21 - FSA Insured	7/12	at	100
20,000	San Francisco Airports Commission, California, Revenue Bonds, San Francisco International Airport, Second Series 2000, Issue 25, 5.750%, 5/01/30 - FSA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	5/10	at	101
	San Francisco Airports Commission, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, San Francisco International Airport, Second Series 2002, Issue 28A:			
	5.250%, 5/01/17 - MBIA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) 5.250%, 5/01/19 - MBIA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	5/12 5/12		
	Total Transportation			
	U.S. GUARANTEED - 48.7% (31.0% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) (4)			
7,325	California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Sonoma County Tobacco Funding Corporation, Series 2002B, 5.500%, 6/01/30 (Pre-refunded 6/01/12)	6/12	at	100
	California Department of Water Resources, Power Supply Revenue Bonds, Series 2002A:			
2,500	5.375%, 5/01/17 (Pre-refunded 5/01/12) - XLCA Insured	5/12		
9,000	5.125%, 5/01/18 (Pre-refunded 5/01/12)	5/12	at	TUI
6,190	California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds,	11/10	at	100
-,	University of the Pacific, Series 2000, 5.750%, 11/01/30			

(Pre-refunded 11/01/10) - MBIA Insured

	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds,			
	Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Series 1999A:			
10,400	6.125%, 12/01/30 (Pre-refunded 12/01/09)	12/09	at	101
7,700	6.250%, 12/01/34 (Pre-refunded 12/01/09)	12/09	at	101
8,000	California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds, North County Recycling Center, Series 1991A, 6.750%, 7/01/17 (ETM)	11/07	at	100
1,965	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.250%, 4/01/32 (Pre-refunded 4/01/12)	4/12	at	100

53

#### NUC

Nuveen California Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS August 31, 2007

PRINCIP	i INVESTMENTS August 31, 2007	OPTIONAL C
AMOUNT (00		PROVISIONS
	U.S. GUARANTEED (4) (continued)	
\$ 1,5	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Water and Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Pooled Financing Program, Series 2004A, 5.250%, 10/01/24 (Pre-refunded 10/01/13) - FSA Insured	10/13 at 101
	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000:	
1,1	05 5.500%, 6/01/25 (Pre-refunded 6/01/10) 15 5.500%, 6/01/25 (Pre-refunded 6/01/10)	6/10 at 100 6/10 at 100
2,5	OO California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.125%, 2/01/27 (Pre-refunded 2/01/14)	2/14 at 100
4,4	Coast Community College District, Orange County, California, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 8/01/22 (Pre-refunded 8/01/13) - MBIA Insured	8/13 at 100
1,6	Compton Unified School District, Los Angeles County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.375%, 9/01/19 (Pre-refunded 9/01/13) - MBIA Insured	9/13 at 100
12,8	O5 Contra Costa County, California, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Home Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1988, 8.250%, 6/01/21 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (ETM)	No Opt. C
	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2003B:	
5,0	00 5.625%, 6/01/33 (Pre-refunded 6/01/13)	6/13 at 100
2,5	00 5.625%, 6/01/38 (Pre-refunded 6/01/13)	6/13 at 100
8,0	Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, California, Proposition C Second Senior Lien Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.250%, 7/01/25 (Pre-refunded 7/01/10) - FGIC Insured	7/10 at 101

2,000 Los Angeles Unified School District, California, General

7/10 at 100

	Obligation Bonds, Series 2000D, 5.375%, 7/01/25 (Pre-refunded 7/01/10) - FGIC Insured	
3,005	Monterey County, California, Certificates of Participation, Master Plan Financing, Series 2001, 5.000%, 8/01/20 (Pre-refunded 8/01/11) - MBIA Insured	8/11 at 100
2,375	Moreno Valley Unified School District, Riverside County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.250%, 8/01/24 (Pre-refunded 8/01/14) - FSA Insured	8/14 at 100
5,000	Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, Special Obligation Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.500%, 10/01/32	10/10 at 10
13,525	San Bernardino County, California, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Single Family Home Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1989A, 7.750%, 11/01/14 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (ETM)	No Opt. (
3,000	San Francisco Airports Commission, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, San Francisco International Airport, Second Series 2002, Issue 28B, 5.250%, 5/01/22 (Pre-refunded 5/01/12) - MBIA Insured	5/12 at 100
1,615	University of California, Certificates of Participation, San Diego and Sacramento Campus Projects, Series 2002A, 5.250%, 1/01/21 (Pre-refunded 1/01/10)	1/10 at 103
	University of California, Revenue Bonds, Multi-Purpose Projects, Series 20020:	
5,265 10,255	5.000%, 9/01/18 (Pre-refunded 9/01/10) - FGIC Insured 5.000%, 9/01/19 (Pre-refunded 9/01/10) - FGIC Insured	9/10 at 103 9/10 at 103
	University of California, Revenue Bonds, Research Facilities, Series 2001E:	
2,305 5,150	5.000%, 9/01/23 (Pre-refunded 9/01/09) - AMBAC Insured 5.000%, 9/01/24 (Pre-refunded 9/01/09) - AMBAC Insured	9/09 at 103 9/09 at 103
146,370	Total U.S. Guaranteed	

RINCIPAL NT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS
	UTILITIES - 6.4% (4.0% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
\$ 500	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, California, Power System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A-1, 5.000%, 7/01/31 - FSA Insured	7/15 at 100
1,235	Merced Irrigation District, California, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.125%, 9/01/31 - XLCA Insured	9/15 at 100
5,000	Merced Irrigation District, California, Revenue Certificates of Participation, Electric System Project, Series 2003,	9/13 at 102

5.700%, 9/01/36 1,200 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, California, Electric No Opt. C Revenue Bonds, Series 2004T, 5.250%, 5/15/23 - FGIC Insured 8/12 at 100 2,410 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, California, Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002Q, 5.250%, 8/15/21 -7/13 at 100 4,000 Southern California Public Power Authority, Revenue Bonds, Magnolia Power Project, Series 2003-1A, 5.000%, 7/01/20 -AMBAC Insured 5,500 Southern California Public Power Authority, Revenue Bonds, No Opt. C Multiple Projects, Series 1989, 6.750%, 7/01/11 19,845 Total Utilities WATER AND SEWER - 6.9% (4.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) 10/13 at 101 5,525 California Statewide Community Development Authority, Water and Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Pooled Financing Program, Series 2004A, 5.250%, 10/01/24 - FSA Insured Goleta Water District, California, Certificates of Participation Revenue Bonds, Series 2003: 1,000 5.250%, 12/01/20 - MBIA Insured 12/13 at 100 1,440 5.250%, 12/01/21 - MBIA Insured 12/13 at 100 1,205 5.250%, 12/01/22 - MBIA Insured 12/13 at 100 850 Healdsburg Public Financing Authority, California, Wastewater 4/16 at 100 Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 4/01/36 - MBIA Insured 1,250 Indio Water Authority, California, Water Revenue Bonds, 4/16 at 100 Series 2006, 5.000%, 4/01/31 - AMBAC Insured Norco, California, Certificates of Participation Refunding, 10/08 at 102 Water and Sewerage System Improvement Project, Series 1998, 5.125%, 10/01/28 - AMBAC Insured 1,380 Sacramento County Sanitation District Financing Authority, 6/16 at 100 California, Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/36 -FGIC Insured 5,375 San Francisco City and County Public Utilities Commission, 11/12 at 100 California, Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.000%, 11/01/19 - MBIA Insured (5) Turlock Public Finance Authority, California, Sewerage Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A: 1,565 5.000%, 9/15/19 - FGIC Insured 9/13 at 100 1,650 5.000%, 9/15/20 - FGIC Insured 9/13 at 100 21,740 Total Water and Sewer \$ 492,345 Total Investments (cost \$496,139,469) - 157.4% 

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Floating Rate Obligations - (2.9)%

55

#### NUC

Nuveen California Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS August 31, 2007

FORWARD SWAPS OUTSTANDING AT AUGUST 31, 2007:

		FUND			FIXED RATE	,
	NOTIONAL	PAY/RECEIVE	FLOATING RATE	FIXED RATE	PAYMENT	EFF
COUNTERPARTY	AMOUNT	FLOATING RATE	INDEX	(ANNUALIZED)	FREQUENCY	DA
Citigroup	\$13,500,000	Pay	3-Month USD-LIBOR	5.808%	Semi-Annually	•
JPMorgan	20,000,000	Pay	SIFM	4.376	Quarterly	ļ
		-			_	

-----

USD-LIBOR (United States Dollar-London Inter-Bank Offered Rate)

 ${\tt SIFM}$  - The daily arithmetic average of the weekly  ${\tt SIFM}$  (the Securities Industry and Financial Markets) Municipal Swap Index.

The Fund may invest in "zero coupon" securities. A zero coupon security does not pay a regular interest coupon to its holders during the life of the security. Tax-exempt income to the holder of the security comes from accretion of the difference between the original purchase price of the security at issuance and the par value of the security at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. Such securities are included in the Portfolio of Investments with a 0.000% coupon rate in their description. The market prices of zero coupon securities generally are more volatile than the market prices of securities that pay interest periodically.

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- (3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the higher of Standard & Poor's or Moody's rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard &

Poor's Group or Baa by Moody's Investor Service, Inc. are considered to be below investment grade.

- (4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- (5) Portion of investment, with an aggregate market value of \$1,868,384, has been pledged to collateralize the net payment obligations under forward swap contracts.
- (6) Effective date represents the date on which both the Fund and counterparty commence interest payment accruals on each forward swap contract.
- N/R Not rated.
- (ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
- (UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 140.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

56

Statement of ASSETS & LIABILITIES

August 31, 2007

CALIFORNIA CALIFORNIA CALIFORNIA  VALUE PERFORMANCE PLUS OPPORTUNITY  (NCA) (NCP) (NCO)  ASSETS Investments, at value  (cost \$240,358,725, \$289,405,379,	INVESTMENT QUALITY (NQC)
ASSETS Investments, at value (cost \$240,358,725,	-
ASSETS Investments, at value (cost \$240,358,725,	(NQC)
Investments, at value (cost \$240,358,725,	
Investments, at value (cost \$240,358,725,	
(cost \$240,358,725,	
\$289 405 379	
7207, 403, 379,	
\$185,334,133,	
\$307,858,192,	
\$520,638,060 and	
\$496,139,469,	
respectively) \$250,696,131 \$298,290,272 \$192,344,214	\$318,303,480
Cash 67,350	
Receivables:	
Interest 2,980,110 4,325,972 2,150,379	5,049,529
Investments sold 165,000	
Unrealized appreciation	
on forward swaps 642,663 146,052 54,268	579,016
Other assets 16,097 44,478 16,528	42,563
Total assets 254,567,351 302,806,774 194,565,389	

LIABILITIES

Cash overdraft		156,257	654,622	752,782
Payable for investments				
purchased	974 <b>,</b> 920			
Floating rate obligations	3,576,000	4,258,000	3,536,000	9,170,000
Unrealized depreciation				
on forward swaps			55 <b>,</b> 626	
Accrued expenses:				
Management fees	114,854	157 <b>,</b> 578	101,307	165,369
Other	69 <b>,</b> 578	75 <b>,</b> 871	34,291	80,240
Common share				
dividends payable	810,329	656 <b>,</b> 797	441,010	697,741
Preferred share	27 / 2	26 400	14 005	41 750
dividends payable	N/A	36,492	14,985	41,750
Total liabilities	5,545,681	5,340,995	4,837,841	10,907,882
Preferred shares,				
at liquidation value	N/A	106,000,000	68,000,000	112,000,000
Net assets applicable				
to Common shares	\$249,021,670	\$191,465,779	\$121,727,548	\$201,066,706
			=========	
Common shares				
outstanding	25,241,808	12,965,742	8,168,248	13,580,232
applicable to Common				
shares, divided by Common shares outstanding)	\$ 9.87	\$ 14.77	\$ 14.90	\$ 14.81
	·	· 	\$ 14.90	\$ 14.81
shares outstanding) NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMM	·	· 	\$ 14.90	\$ 14.81 
shares outstanding) NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMM Common shares,	·	· 	\$ 14.90	\$ 14.81 
shares outstanding) NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMM	MON SHARES CONSIST	OF:		\$ 14.81  \$ 135,802
shares outstanding)	·	· 	\$ 81,682	
shares outstanding)	ON SHARES CONSIST	OF: \$ 129,657	\$ 81,682	\$ 135,802
shares outstanding)	ON SHARES CONSIST	OF: \$ 129,657	\$ 81,682	\$ 135,802
shares outstanding)	ON SHARES CONSIST	OF: \$ 129,657	\$ 81,682	\$ 135,802
shares outstanding)	\$ 252,418 237,577,056	\$ 129,657 181,322,684	\$ 81,682 113,901,601	\$ 135,802 189,659,207
shares outstanding)	\$ 252,418 237,577,056	\$ 129,657 181,322,684	\$ 81,682 113,901,601	\$ 135,802 189,659,207
shares outstanding)	\$ 252,418 237,577,056	\$ 129,657 181,322,684 (42,624)	\$ 81,682 113,901,601 (57,947)	\$ 135,802 189,659,207
shares outstanding)	\$ 252,418 237,577,056	\$ 129,657 181,322,684	\$ 81,682 113,901,601	\$ 135,802 189,659,207
shares outstanding)	\$ 252,418 237,577,056	\$ 129,657 181,322,684 (42,624)	\$ 81,682 113,901,601 (57,947)	\$ 135,802 189,659,207
shares outstanding)  ===================================	\$ 252,418 237,577,056	\$ 129,657 181,322,684 (42,624)	\$ 81,682 113,901,601 (57,947)	\$ 135,802 189,659,207
shares outstanding)  ===================================	\$ 252,418 237,577,056 (189,797)	\$ 129,657 181,322,684 (42,624)	\$ 81,682 113,901,601 (57,947)	\$ 135,802 189,659,207 204,202
shares outstanding)  ===================================	\$ 252,418 237,577,056	\$ 129,657 181,322,684 (42,624)	\$ 81,682 113,901,601 (57,947)	\$ 135,802 189,659,207 204,202
shares outstanding) ====================================	\$ 252,418 237,577,056 (189,797)	\$ 129,657 181,322,684 (42,624)	\$ 81,682 113,901,601 (57,947)	\$ 135,802 189,659,207 204,202
shares outstanding)  ===================================	\$ 252,418 237,577,056 (189,797)	\$ 129,657 181,322,684 (42,624)	\$ 81,682 113,901,601 (57,947)	\$ 135,802 189,659,207 204,202
shares outstanding) ====================================	\$ 252,418 237,577,056 (189,797) 401,924	\$ 129,657 181,322,684 (42,624) 1,025,117	\$ 81,682 113,901,601 (57,947) 793,489	\$ 135,802 189,659,207 204,202 43,191
shares outstanding)  ===================================	\$ 252,418 237,577,056 (189,797) 401,924 10,980,069	\$ 129,657 181,322,684 (42,624) 1,025,117 9,030,945 \$191,465,779	\$ 81,682 113,901,601 (57,947) 793,489 7,008,723 \$121,727,548	\$ 135,802 189,659,207 204,202 43,191 11,024,304 \$201,066,706
shares outstanding) ====================================	\$ 252,418 237,577,056 (189,797) 401,924	\$ 129,657 181,322,684 (42,624) 1,025,117	\$ 81,682 113,901,601 (57,947) 793,489	\$ 135,802 189,659,207 204,202 43,191

 ${\rm N/A}$  - Fund is not authorized to issue Preferred shares.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

57

Statement of OPERATIONS

Year Ended August 31, 2007

	CALIFORNIA VALUE (NCA)	CALIFORNIA PERFORMANCE PLUS (NCP)	CALIFORNIA OPPORTUNITY (NCO)	CALIFORNIA INVESTMENT QUALITY (NQC)
INVESTMENT INCOME	\$13,085,755	\$15,041,630	\$ 9,729,818	\$15,903,250
EXPENSES				
Management fees	1,386,078	1,902,431	1,225,097	1,994,793
Preferred shares - auction fee	s N/A	265,001	169,999	279,999
Preferred shares -				
dividend disbursing				
agent fees	N/A	30,000	20,000	20,000
Shareholders' servicing agent	fees			
and expenses	34,534	18,428	11,740	17,021
Interest expense on floating r	ate			
obligations	72,387	152,306	62,166	243,536
Custodian's fees and expenses	49,902	73,190	73,236	88,172
Directors' fees and expenses	6,670	7,463	4,843	8,122
Professional fees	16,644	23,346	18,792	23,135
Shareholders' reports - printi				,
and mailing expenses	36,989	28,726	20,066	30,115
Stock exchange listing fees	9,697	9,697	9,702	9,697
Investor relations expense	30,651	31,949	20,516	33,575
Other expenses	10,674	30,548	24,531	31,079
Total expenses before custodia.	 n			
fee credit	1,654,226	2,573,085	1,660,688	2,779,244
Custodian fee credit	(27,277)		(24,020)	(38,178)
Net expenses	1,626,949	2,534,906	1,636,668	2,741,066
Net investment income	11,458,806	12,506,724	8,093,150	13,162,184
REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (	 LOSS)			
Net realized gain (loss) from:				
Investments	285,881	707,835	1,377,217	14,246
Forward swaps	116,800	731,300	(85,714)	55 <b>,</b> 300
Change in net unrealized appre	ciation			
(depreciation) of:				
		(8,786,247)		
Forward swaps	(56 <b>,</b> 964) 	(556 <b>,</b> 393)	(1,358)	(117,109)
Net realized and				
unrealized gain (loss)	(6,080,991)	(7,903,505)	(5,574,772)	(8,185,456)
DISTRIBUTIONS TO PREFERRED SHA	 REHOLDERS			
From net investment income	N/A	(3,344,706)	(2,275,505)	(3,463,790)
From accumulated net realized gains	NI / 7	(208,558)		(261,799)
net rearraed darms	IN / A	(200,336) 	 	(201, /99)

Decrease in net assets

applicable to Common shares

N/A	(3,553,264)	\$(2,275,505)	(3,725,589)
ć F 277 01F	¢ 1 040 0FF	¢ 040 070	¢ 1 0F1 100
\$ 5,3//,815	\$ 1,049,955	\$ 242,873	\$ 1,251,139
	N/A \$ 5,377,815		

N/A - Fund is not authorized to issue Preferred shares.

to reinvestment of distributions --

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

58

Statement of CHANGES in NET ASSETS

	CALIFORNIA VALUE (NCA)		CALIE PERFORMANCE	FORNIA E PLUS (NCP)
	YEAR ENDED 8/31/07	YEAR ENDED 8/31/06	YEAR ENDED 8/31/07	YEAR ENDED 8/31/06
OPERATIONS				
Net investment income	\$ 11,458,806	\$ 11,509,918	\$ 12,506,724	\$ 12,478,945
Net realized gain			, ,	
(loss) from:				
Investments	285,881	1,153,412	707 <b>,</b> 835	636,401
Forward swaps	116,800	· 	731,300	
Change in net unrealized	•		•	
appreciation (depreciation	n) of:			
Investments		(5,153,097)	(8,786,247)	(5,068,042)
Forward swaps	(56, 964)	699,627	(556, 393)	
Distributions to		,		•
Preferred Shareholders:				
From net				
investment income	N/A	N/A	(3,344,706)	(2,949,820)
From accumulated	•	•	\-, - ,	V. , - ,
net realized gains	N/A	N/A	(208,558)	
Net increase (decrease) in ne	et assets			
applicable to Common share				
from operations		8,209,860	1,049,955	5,799,929
DISTRIBUTIONS TO COMMON SHARE				
From net investment income		(11 664 242)	(0 250 482)	/10 132 7291
From accumulated	(11,314,039)	(11,004,444)	(7, 402)	(±U,±3∠,1∠)
	(712 571)	(1,458,977)	(683,961)	
	(/±2 <b>/</b> > /±/	(1, 100, 511)	(000 <b>,</b> 50±,	
Decrease in net assets applic	cable			
to Common shares from				
distributions to Common				
shareholders	(12,224,610)	(13,123,219)	(9,943,443)	(10,132,729
CARTERI CUARE TRANSACTIONS				
CAPITAL SHARE TRANSACTIONS Net proceeds from Common shar	·· - <u>·</u>			
-				
issued to shareholders due	<b>3</b>			

Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common shares from capital share transactions				
Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common shares Net assets applicable to Common shares at the beginning		(4,913,359)	(8,893,488)	(4,332,800)
of year	255,868,465	260,781,824	200,359,267	204,692,067
Net assets applicable to Common shares at the end of year	\$249,021,670	\$255,868,465	\$191,465,779	\$200,359,267
Undistributed  (Over-distribution of)  net investment income  at the end of year	\$ (189,797)	\$ (136 <b>,</b> 562)	\$ (42,624)	\$ 134 <b>,</b> 327

N/A - Fund is not authorized to issue Preferred shares.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

59

Statement of CHANGES in NET ASSETS (continued)

	CALIFORNIA INVESTMENT QUALITY (NQC)			FORNIA ALITY (NVC)
		YEAR ENDED 8/31/06		
OPERATIONS				
Net investment income	\$ 13.162.184	\$ 13-104.675	\$ 22-553.630	\$ 22.764.430
Net realized gain (loss) from:		Y 13/101/0/0	4 22,000,000	Y 22, 101, 100
Investments		1,109,302	607,991	3,503,725
Forward swaps	55,300		802,400	
Change in net unrealized	,		* · , · · ·	
appreciation (depreciation)	of:			
		(5,269,926)	(15,805,336)	(11,039,966)
Forward swaps		696,124		
Distributions to	( , · · · ,	,	\ <del>-</del>	-, -, -
Preferred Shareholders:				
From net investment income	(3,463,790)	(3,059,941)	(6,274,053)	(5,098,860)
From accumulated	( - ,   - ,   ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
net realized gains	(261,799)	(110,537)	(290,571)	(435,734)
Net increase (decrease)				
in net assets applicable to				
Common shares from operation	ns 1,251,139	6,469,697	1,169,699	11,193,584

From net				
investment income	(9,533,321	(10,857,398)	(17,364,648)	(19,733,775)
From accumulated net realized gains	(893,572	(816,172)	(1,062,552)	(2,695,380)
Decrease in net assets applica to Common shares from distributions to Common shareholders		(11,673,570)	(18,427,200)	(22,429,155)
CAPITAL SHARE TRANSACTIONS Net proceeds from Common shares issued to shareholders due to reinvestment of distributio	ns	·	228,521	279,153
Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common shares from capital share transactions			228,521	279,153
Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common shares Net assets applicable to Common shares at the beginning of year	(9,175,754 210,242,460	(5,203,873)		
Net assets applicable to Common shares at the end of year	\$201,066,706	\$210,242,460	\$341,101,960	\$358,130,940
Undistributed  (Over-distribution of)  net investment income  at the end of year	\$ 204,202	2 \$ 142,252	\$ (697,633)	\$ 428,636

\_\_\_\_\_\_

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

60

Notes to FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### 1. GENERAL INFORMATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The California funds (the "Funds") covered in this report and their corresponding Common share New York Stock Exchange symbols are Nuveen California Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (NCA), Nuveen California Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. (NCP), Nuveen California Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc. (NCO), Nuveen California Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (NQC), Nuveen California Select Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (NVC) and Nuveen California Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUC). The Funds are registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as closed-end, diversified management investment companies.

Each Fund seeks to provide current income exempt from both regular federal and California state income taxes by investing primarily in a diversified portfolio

of municipal obligations issued by state and local government authorities within the state of California or certain U.S. territories.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies followed by the Funds in the preparation of their financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Investment Valuation

The prices of municipal bonds in each Fund's investment portfolio are provided by a pricing service approved by the Fund's Board of Directors. When market price quotes are not readily available (which is usually the case for municipal securities), the pricing service may establish fair value based on yields or prices of municipal bonds of comparable quality, type of issue, coupon, maturity and rating, indications of value from securities dealers, evaluations of anticipated cash flows or collateral and general market conditions. Prices of forward swap contracts are also provided by an independent pricing service approved by each Fund's Board of Directors. If the pricing service is unable to supply a price for a municipal bond or forward swap contract, each Fund may use a market price or fair market value quote provided by a major broker/dealer in such investments. If it is determined that the market price or fair market value for an investment or derivative transaction is unavailable or inappropriate, the Board of Directors of the Funds, or its designee, may establish a fair value for the investment. Temporary investments in securities that have variable rate and demand features qualifying them as short-term investments are valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value.

#### Investment Transactions

Investment transactions are recorded on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses from transactions are determined on the specific identification method. Investments purchased on a when-issued/delayed delivery basis may have extended settlement periods. Any investments so purchased are subject to market fluctuation during this period. The Funds have instructed the custodian to segregate assets with a current value at least equal to the amount of the when-issued/delayed delivery purchase commitments. At August 31, 2007, California Value (NCA) had outstanding when-issued/delayed delivery purchase commitments of \$974,920. There were no such outstanding purchase commitments in any of the other Funds.

Investment Income

Interest income, which includes the amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts for financial reporting purposes, is recorded on an accrual basis. Investment income also includes paydown gains and losses, if any.

61

Notes to FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Income Taxes

Each Fund is a separate taxpayer for federal income tax purposes. Each Fund intends to distribute substantially all net investment income and net capital gains to shareholders and to otherwise comply with the requirements of Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies. Therefore, no federal income tax provision is required. Furthermore, each Fund intends to satisfy conditions which will enable interest from

municipal securities, which is exempt from regular federal and California state income taxes, to retain such tax-exempt status when distributed to shareholders of the Funds. Net realized capital gains and ordinary income distributions paid by the Funds are subject to federal taxation.

Dividends and Distributions to Common Shareholders

Dividends from tax-exempt net investment income are declared monthly. Net realized capital gains and/or market discount from investment transactions, if any, are distributed to shareholders not less frequently than annually. Furthermore, capital gains are distributed only to the extent they exceed available capital loss carryforwards.

Distributions to Common shareholders of tax-exempt net investment income, net realized capital gains and/or market discount, if any, are recorded on the ex-dividend date. The amount and timing of distributions are determined in accordance with federal income tax regulations, which may differ from U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

#### Preferred Shares

California Value (NCA) is not authorized to issue Preferred shares. The Funds below have issued and outstanding Preferred shares, \$25,000 stated value per share, as a means of effecting financial leverage. Each Fund's Preferred shares are issued in more than one Series. The dividend rate paid by the Funds on each Series is determined every seven days, pursuant to a dutch auction process overseen by the auction agent, and is payable at the end of each rate period. The number of Preferred shares outstanding, by Series and in total, for each Fund is as follows:

CALIFORNIA PERFORMANCE PLUS (NCP)	CALIFORNIA OPPORTUNITY (NCO)	CALIFORNIA INVESTMENT QUALITY (NQC)	CALIFO SE QUA (
		3,600	
1,800			2
640	2,200	880	1
			3
1,800	520		
4,240	2,720	4,480	7
_	PERFORMANCE PLUS (NCP)  1,800 640 1,800	PERFORMANCE CALIFORNIA PLUS OPPORTUNITY (NCP) (NCO)  1,800 640 2,200 1,800 520	PERFORMANCE CALIFORNIA INVESTMENT PLUS OPPORTUNITY QUALITY (NCP) (NCO) (NQC)  3,600 1,800 640 2,200 880 1,800 520

62

### Inverse Floating Rate Securities

Each Fund may invest in inverse floating rate securities. An inverse floating rate security is created by depositing a municipal bond, typically with a fixed interest rate, into a special purpose trust created by a broker-dealer. In turn, this trust (a) issues floating rate certificates, in face amounts equal to some fraction of the deposited bond's par amount or market value, that typically pay short-term tax-exempt interest rates to third parties, and (b) issues to a long-term investor (such as one of the Funds) an inverse floating rate

certificate (sometimes referred to as an "inverse floater") that represents all remaining or residual interest in the trust. The income received by the inverse floater holder varies inversely with the short-term rate paid to the floating rate certificates' holders, and in most circumstances the inverse floater holder bears substantially all of the underlying bond's downside investment risk and also benefits disproportionately from any potential appreciation of the underlying bond's value. The price of an inverse floating rate security will be more volatile than that of the underlying bond because the interest rate is dependent on not only the fixed coupon rate of the underlying bond but also on the short-term interest paid on the floating rate certificates, and because the inverse floating rate security essentially bears the risk of loss of the greater face value of the underlying bond.

A Fund may purchase an inverse floating rate security in a secondary market transaction without first owning the underlying bond (referred to as an "externally-deposited inverse floater"), or instead by first selling a fixed-rate bond to a broker-dealer for deposit into the special purpose trust and receiving in turn the residual interest in the trust (referred to as a "self-deposited inverse floater"). An investment in an externally-deposited inverse floater is identified in the Portfolio of Investments as an "Inverse floating rate investment". An investment in a self-deposited inverse floater is accounted for as a financing transaction in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 140 "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishment of Liabilities". In such instances, a fixed-rate bond deposited into a special purpose trust is identified in the Portfolio of Investments as an "Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust", with the Fund accounting for the short-term floating rate certificates issued by the trust as "Floating rate obligations" on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. In addition, the Fund reflects in Investment Income the entire earnings of the underlying bond and accounts for the related interest paid to the holders of the short-term floating rate certificates as "Interest expense on floating rate obligations" in the Statement of Operations.

During the fiscal year ended August 31, 2007, each Fund invested in externally deposited inverse floaters and/or self-deposited inverse floaters.

The average floating rate obligations outstanding and average annual interest rate and fees related to self-deposited inverse floaters during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2007, were as follows:

	CALIFORNIA VALUE (NCA)	CALIFORNIA PERFORMANCE PLUS (NCP)	CALIFORNIA OPPORTUNITY (NCO)	CALIFORNIA INVESTMENT QUALITY (NQC)	CALIFO SE QUA (
Average floating rate obligations Average annual interest rate and fees	\$1,862,499	\$3,924,452 3.88%	\$1,599,622 3.89%	\$6,267,967	\$8 <b>,</b> 225

#### Forward Swap Transactions

The Funds are authorized to invest in forward interest rate swap transactions. Each Fund's use of forward interest rate swap transactions is intended to help the Fund manage its overall interest rate sensitivity, either shorter or longer, generally to more closely align the Fund's interest rate sensitivity with that of the broader municipal market. Forward interest rate swap transactions involve each Fund's agreement with a counterparty to pay, in the future, a fixed or

variable rate payment in exchange for the counterparty paying the Fund a variable or fixed rate payment, the accruals for which would begin at a specified date in the future (the "effective date"). The amount of the payment obligation is based on the notional amount of the forward swap contract and the termination date of the swap (which is akin to a bond's maturity). The value of the Fund's swap commitment would increase or decrease based primarily on the extent to which long-term interest rates for bonds having a maturity of the swap's termination date increases or decreases. The Funds may terminate a swap contract prior to the effective date, at which point a realized gain or loss is recognized. When a forward swap is terminated, it ordinarily does not involve the delivery of securities or other underlying assets or principal, but rather is settled in cash on a net basis. Each Fund intends, but is not obligated, to terminate its forward swaps before the effective date. Accordingly, the risk of loss with respect to the swap counterparty on such transactions is limited to the credit risk associated with a counterparty failing to honor its commitment to pay any realized gain to the Fund upon termination. To reduce such credit risk, all counterparties are required to pledge collateral daily (based on the daily valuation of each swap) on behalf of each Fund with a value approximately equal to the amount of any unrealized gain above a pre-determined threshold. Reciprocally, when any of the Funds have an unrealized loss on a swap contract, the Funds have instructed the custodian to pledge assets of the Funds as collateral with a value approximately equal to the amount of the unrealized loss above a pre-determined threshold. Collateral pledges are monitored and subsequently adjusted if and when the swap valuations fluctuate, either up or down, by at least the predetermined threshold amount.

63

Notes to FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

#### Custodian Fee Credit

Each Fund has an arrangement with the custodian bank whereby certain custodian fees and expenses are reduced by net credits earned on each Fund's cash on deposit with the bank. Such deposit arrangements are an alternative to overnight investments. Credits for cash balances may be offset by charges for any days on which the Fund overdraws its account at the custodian bank.

#### Indemnifications

Under the Funds' organizational documents, their Officers and Directors are indemnified against certain liabilities arising out of the performance of their duties to the Funds. In addition, in the normal course of business, the Funds enter into contracts that provide general indemnifications to other parties. The Funds' maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against the Funds that have not yet occurred. However, the Funds have not had prior claims or losses pursuant to these contracts and expect the risk of loss to be remote.

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of increases and decreases in net assets applicable to Common shares from operations during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

#### 2. FUND SHARES

Transactions in Common shares were as follows:

	, ,		CALIFORNIA PERFORMANCE PLUS (NCP)		OPP	
	YEAR ENDED 8/31/07	YEAR ENDED 8/31/06	YEAR ENDED 8/31/07	YEAR ENDED 8/31/06	YEAR E 8/3	
Common shares issued to shareholders due to reinvestment of distributions					11	
			CALIFORNIA SELECT QUALITY (NVC)		QUAL	
	YEAR ENDED	YEAR ENDED 8/31/06		YEAR ENDED		
Common shares issued to shareholders due to reinvestment of distributions			15,014	18,202	20	

64

#### 3. INVESTMENT TRANSACTIONS

Purchases and sales (including maturities but excluding short-term investments and derivative transactions) during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2007, were as follows:

		CALIFORNIA		CALIFORNIA	CALIFOR
	CALIFORNIA	PERFORMANCE	CALIFORNIA	INVESTMENT	SEL
	VALUE	PLUS	OPPORTUNITY	QUALITY	QUAL
	(NCA)	(NCP)	(NCO)	(NQC)	(N
Purchases	\$25,075,159	\$58,904,131	\$22,789,795	\$49,458,208	\$99,044,
Sales and maturities	21,436,521	54,637,782	19,068,495	40,140,734	89,939,

#### 4. INCOME TAX INFORMATION

The following information is presented on an income tax basis. Differences between amounts for financial statement and federal income tax purposes are primarily due to the treatment of paydown gains and losses, timing differences in recognizing taxable market discount, timing differences in recognizing certain gains and losses on investment transactions and the treatment of investments in inverse floating rate transactions subject to SFAS No. 140. To

the extent that differences arise that are permanent in nature, such amounts are reclassified within the capital accounts on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities presented in the annual report, based on their federal tax basis treatment; temporary differences do not require reclassification. Temporary and permanent differences do not impact the net asset values of the Funds.

At August 31, 2007, the cost of investments was as follows:

	CALIFORNIA	PERFORMANCE	CALIFORNIA	INVESTMENT	SEL
	VALUE	PLUS	OPPORTUNITY	QUALITY	QUAL
	(NCA)	(NCP)	(NCO)	(NQC)	(N
Cost of investments	\$236,729,476	\$285,171,980	\$181,776,636	\$298,737,121	\$510,703,

CALIFORNIA

Gross unrealized appreciation and gross unrealized depreciation of investments at August 31, 2007, were as follows:

	CALIFORNIA VALUE (NCA)	CALIFORNIA PERFORMANCE PLUS (NCP)	CALIFORNIA OPPORTUNITY (NCO)	CALIFORNIA INVESTMENT QUALITY (NQC)	CALIF S QU
Gross unrealized: Appreciation Depreciation	\$12,108,926 (1,712,278)	\$11,273,807 (2,406,504)	\$ 8,499,143 (1,461,639)	\$12,371,635 (1,966,351)	\$20,91 (4,51
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments	\$10,396,648	\$ 8,867,303	\$ 7,037,504	\$10,405,284	\$16,40

The tax components of undistributed net tax-exempt income, net ordinary income and net long-term capital gains at August 31, 2007, the Funds' tax year end, were as follows:

	CALIFORNIA VALUE (NCA)	CALIFORNIA PERFORMANCE PLUS (NCP)	CALIFORNIA OPPORTUNITY (NCO)	CALIFORNIA INVESTMENT QUALITY (NQC)	CALIFO SE QUA (
Undistributed net tax-exempt income * Undistributed net ordinary income **	\$669,390 2,895	\$ 503,111 120,842	\$267,304 156,498	\$656,393 258,241	\$ 648
Undistributed net long-term capital gains	401 <b>,</b> 924	1,184,099	793 <b>,</b> 489	208 <b>,</b> 950	1,643 =====

Undistributed net tax-exempt income (on a tax basis) has not been reduced for the dividend declared on August 1, 2007, paid on September 4, 2007.

CALIFORNIA

CALIFOR

<sup>\*\*</sup> Net ordinary income consists of taxable market discount income and net short-term capital gains, if any.

Notes to FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

The tax character of distributions paid during the Funds' tax years ended August 31, 2007 and August 31, 2006, was designated for purposes of the dividends paid deduction as follows:

	CALIFORNIA	CALIFORNIA PERFORMANCE	CALIFORNIA	CALIFORNIA INVESTMENT	CALIFO SE
	VALUE	PLUS	OPPORTUNITY	QUALITY	QUA
2007	(NCA)	(NCP)	(NCO)	(NQC)	(
Distributions from net					
tax-exempt income ***	\$11,560,751	\$12,586,202	\$8,568,752	\$13,020,096	\$23 <b>,</b> 676
Distributions from net					
ordinary income **	1,772	81,182	237,458	82 <b>,</b> 870	118
Distributions from net					
long-term capital gains ****	712,571	813 <b>,</b> 872		1,072,501	1,353
		CALIFORNIA		CALIFORNIA	CALIFO
	CALIFORNIA	PERFORMANCE	CALIFORNIA	INVESTMENT	SE
	VALUE	PLUS	OPPORTUNITY	QUALITY	QUA
2006	(NCA)	(NCP)	(NCO)	(NQC)	(
Distributions from net					
Distributions from net	\$11.661.718	\$13.221.167	\$8.779.357	\$14.049.482	\$25.049
tax-exempt income	\$11,661,718	\$13,221,167	\$8,779,357	\$14,049,482	\$25 <b>,</b> 049
tax-exempt income Distributions from net	\$11,661,718 123,685	\$13,221,167 	\$8,779 <b>,</b> 357	\$14,049,482 102,358	\$25 <b>,</b> 049
tax-exempt income		\$13 <b>,</b> 221 <b>,</b> 167	\$8,779,357 		·
<pre>tax-exempt income Distributions from net   ordinary income **</pre>		\$13,221,167  	\$8,779,357  		15

- \*\* Net ordinary income consists of taxable market discount income and net short-term capital gains, if any.
- \*\*\* The Funds hereby designate these amounts paid during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2007, as Exempt Interest Dividends.
- \*\*\*\* The Funds hereby designate these amounts paid during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2007, as long-term capital gain dividends pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 852(b)(3).

California Quality Income (NUC) elected to defer net realized losses from investments incurred from November 1, 2006, through August 31, 2007 ("post-October losses") in accordance with federal income tax regulations. Post-October losses of \$36,885 were treated as having arisen on the first day of the following taxable year.

#### 5. MANAGEMENT FEES AND OTHER TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES

Each Fund's management fee is separated into two components — a complex-level component, based on the aggregate amount of all fund assets managed by Nuveen Asset Management (the "Adviser"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Nuveen Investments, Inc., ("Nuveenand a specific fund-level component, based only on the amount of assets within each individual Fund, and for California Value (NCA) a gross interest income component. This pricing structure enables Nuveen fund shareholders to benefit from growth in the assets within each individual fund as well as from growth in the amount of complex-wide assets managed by the Adviser.

California Value (NCA) pays an annual fund-level fee, payable monthly, of .15%

of the average daily net assets of the Fund, as well as 4.125% of the gross interest income of the Fund.

66

The annual fund-level fee, payable monthly, for each Fund (excluding California Value (NCA)) is based upon the average daily net assets (including net assets attributable to Preferred shares) of each Fund as follows:

AVERAGE DAILY NET ASSETS
(INCLUDING NET ASSETS
ATTRIBUTABLE TO PREFERRED SHARES)

For the first \$125 million
For the next \$125 million

FUND-LEVEL FEE RATE

For the first \$125 million	.4500%
For the next \$125 million	.4375
For the next \$250 million	.4250
For the next \$500 million	.4125
For the next \$1 billion	.4000
For the next \$3 billion	.3875
For net assets over \$5 billion	.3750
	=======================================

The annual complex-level fee, payable monthly, which is additive to the fund-level fee, for all Nuveen sponsored funds in the U.S., is based on the aggregate amount of total fund assets managed as stated in the table below. As of August 31, 2007, the complex level fee rate was .1841%.

Effective August 20, 2007, the complex-level fee schedule is as follows:

COMPLEX-LEVEL ASSET BREAKPOINT LEVEL (1) EFFECTIVE RATE AT BREAKPOINT LEVEL \$55 billion \$56 billion .1996 \$57 billion .1989 \$60 billion .1961 \$63 billion .1931 \$66 billion .1900 \$71 billion .1851 \$76 billion .1806 \$80 billion .1773 \$91 billion .1691 \$125 billion .1599 \$200 billion .1505 \$250 billion .1469 \$300 billion

Prior to August 20, 2007, the complex-level fee schedule was as follows:

COMPLEX-LEVEL ASSET BREAKPOINT I	LEVEL (1)	EFFECTIVE RATE	AT BREAKPOINT	LEVEL
\$55 billion				.2000%
\$56 billion				.1996
\$57 billion				.1989
\$60 billion				.1961
\$63 billion				.1931
\$66 billion				.1900
\$71 billion				.1851
\$76 billion				.1806

\_\_\_\_\_\_

\$80 billion	.1773
\$91 billion	.1698
\$125 billion	.1617
\$200 billion	.1536
\$250 billion	.1509
\$300 billion	.1490

(1) The complex-level fee component of the management fee for the funds is calculated based upon the aggregate Managed Assets ("Managed Assets" means the average daily net assets of each fund including assets attributable to preferred stock issued by or borrowings by the Nuveen funds) of Nuveen-sponsored funds in the U.S.

67

# Notes to FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

The management fee compensates the Adviser for overall investment advisory and administrative services and general office facilities. The Funds pay no compensation directly to those of its Directors who are affiliated with the Adviser or to its Officers, all of whom receive remuneration for their services to the Funds from the Adviser or its affiliates. The Board of Directors has adopted a deferred compensation plan for independent Directors that enables Directors to elect to defer receipt of all or a portion of the annual compensation they are entitled to receive from certain Nuveen advised funds. Under the plan, deferred amounts are treated as though equal dollar amounts had been invested in shares of select Nuveen advised funds.

#### Agreement and Plan of Merger

On June 20, 2007, Nuveen Investments announced that it had entered into a definitive Agreement and Plan of Merger ("Merger Agreement") with Windy City Investments, Inc. ("Windy City"), a corporation formed by investors led by Madison Dearborn Partners, LLC, pursuant to which Windy City would acquire Nuveen Investments. Madison Dearborn Partners, LLC is a private equity investment firm based in Chicago, Illinois. The investors include an affiliate of Merrill Lynch. It is anticipated that Merrill Lynch and its affiliates will be indirect "affiliated persons" (as that term is defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940) of the Funds upon and after the acquisition. One important implication of this is that the Funds will not be able to buy securities from or sell securities to Merrill Lynch; however, the portfolio management teams and Fund management do not expect that this will significantly impact the ability of the Funds to pursue their investment objectives and policies. Under the terms of the merger, each outstanding share of Nuveen Investments' common stock (other than dissenting shares) will be converted into the right to receive a specified amount of cash, without interest. The merger is expected to be completed by the end of the year, subject to customary conditions. The obligations of Windy City to consummate the merger are not conditioned on its obtaining financing.

The consummation of the merger will be deemed to be an "assignment" (as defined in the 1940 Act) of the investment management agreement between each Fund and the Adviser, and will result in the automatic termination of each Fund's agreement. The Board of Directors of each Fund has approved a new investment management agreement with the Adviser. On October 12, 2007, at a meeting of the respective Funds' shareholders, California Value (NCA), California Performance Plus (NCP), California Opportunity (NCO), California Investment Quality (NQC), California Select Quality (NVC) and California Quality Income (NUC) received the

required number of shareholder votes to approve the new investment management agreements. The new agreements will take effect upon consummation of the merger of Nuveen Investments and Windy City.

#### 6. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48

On July 13, 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) released FASB Interpretation No. 48 "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" (FIN 48). FIN 48 provides guidance for how uncertain tax positions should be recognized, measured, presented and disclosed in the financial statements. FIN 48 requires the evaluation of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in the course of preparing the Funds' tax returns to determine whether the tax positions are "more-likely-than-not" of being sustained by the applicable tax authority. Tax positions not deemed to meet the more-likely-than-not threshold would be recorded as a tax benefit or expense in the current year. Adoption of FIN 48 is required for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, and is to be applied to all open tax years as of the effective date. Recent SEC quidance allows funds to delay implementing FIN 48 into NAV calculations until the fund's last NAV calculation in the first required financial statement reporting period. As a result, the Funds must begin to incorporate FIN 48 into their NAV calculations by February 29, 2008. At this time, management is continuing to evaluate the implications of FIN 48 and does not expect the adoption of FIN 48will have a significant impact on the net assets or results of operations of the Funds.

68

Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standards  $\text{No.}\ 157$ 

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements." This standard establishes a single authoritative definition of fair value, sets out a framework for measuring fair value and requires additional disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies to fair value measurements already required or permitted by existing standards. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The changes to current generally accepted accounting principles from the application of this standard relate to the definition of fair value, the methods used to measure fair value, and the expanded disclosures about fair value measurements. As of August 31, 2007, management does not believe the adoption of SFAS No. 157 will impact the financial statement amounts; however, additional disclosures may be required about the inputs used to develop the measurements and the effect of certain of the measurements included within the Statement of Operations for the period.

#### 7. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Distributions to Common Shareholders

The Funds declared Common share dividend distributions from their tax-exempt net investment income which were paid on October 1, 2007, to shareholders of record on September 15, 2007, as follows:

CALIFORNIA CALIFO

	CALIFORNIA	PERFORMANCE	CALIFORNIA	INVESTMENT	SE
	VALUE	PLUS	OPPORTUNITY	QUALITY	QUA
	(NCA)	(NCP)	(NCO)	(NQC)	(
Dividend per share	\$.0365	\$.0595	\$.0605	\$.0585	\$.

69

Financial HIGHLIGHTS

Selected data for a Common share outstanding throughout each period:

			Inv	vestment Operatio	ons	
	Net Asset	Investment	Realized/ Unrealized	Income to Preferred Share-	from Capital Gains to Preferred	Total
CALIFORNIA VALUE	(NCA)		<del></del>		·====	
Year Ended 8/31:						
2007	\$10.14	\$ .45	\$(.23)	N/A	N/A	\$ .22
2006	10.33		(.13)	N/A	N/A	.33
2005	10.20	.47	.21	N/A	N/A	.68
2004	9.93		.34	N/A	N/A	.82
2003	10.27	.50	(.32)	N/A	N/A	.18
CALIFORNIA PERFOR	MANCE PLUS (	NCP)				
Year Ended 8/31:						
2007	15.45	.96	(.60)	(.26)	(.02)	.08
2006	15.79	.96	(.29)	(.23)		.44
2005	15.53	.97	.49	(.12)	(.01)	1.33
2004	14.76	.99	.80	(.06)		1.73
2003	15.32	1.02	(.58)	(.08)		.36

			Total	Returns
				Based
	Ending			on
	Common		Based	Common
	Share	Ending	on	Share Net
	Net Asset	Market	Market	Asset
	Value	Value	Value*	Value*
				========
CALIFORNIA VALUE (N	NCA)			

Year Ended 8/31: 2007 2006 2005 2004 2003	\$ 9.87 10.14 10.33 10.20 9.93	\$ 9.65 9.67 9.92 9.27 9.10	4.74% 2.85 13.33 8.02 (3.55)	2.11% 3.34 6.82 8.40 1.73
CALIFORNIA PERFORMANCE PLUS	(NCP)			
Year Ended 8/31:				
2007	14.77	14.07	3.21	.49
2006	15.45	14.36	4.42	2.97
2005	15.79	14.52	9.66	8.89
2004	15.53	14.26	9.65	12.00
2003	14.76	13.90	(1.30)	2.30
				======

Ratios/Supplemental Data

		Ratios/Supplemental Data						
		Applica	to Average Net A able to Common Sh Before Credit	Assets hares	Ratios Applic	s to Av cable t Afte		
	to Common Shares (000)	Including Interest++(a)	Expenses Excluding Interest++(a)	Net Investment Income++	Expenses Including Interest++(a)	Expe Exclu Inte		
CALIFORNIA VAL	UE (NCA)							
Year Ended 8/3	1:							
	\$249,022	.65%	.62%	4.49%	.64%			
2006	255,868		.64	4.51				
2005	260,782		.63	4.54				
2004	•	.65		4.70				
2003	250 <b>,</b> 749	.66	.66	4.84	.66	ļ		
CALIFORNIA PER	FORMANCE PLUS (	(NCP)						
Year Ended 8/3	1:							
2007	191,466	1.30	1.22	6.28	1.28			
2006	200,359	1.23	1.23	6.28	1.22			
2005	204,692	1.23	1.23	6.22	1.22			
2004	201,307	1.26	1.26	6.48	1.25			
2003	191,409	1.26	1.26	6.65	1.25			

		Pi	referre	ed Share	s at En	d of Pe	eriod		_	Obliga Period		
		Am tstar	egate nount nding (000)			Cove	Asset erage Share	Ar Outsta	egate mount nding (000)		Asset erage 1,000	
CALIFORNIA	VALUE	(NC	A)		=====	=====			=====	=====	=====	
Year Ended 2007	8/31:	\$	N/A	\$	N/A	\$	N/A	\$:	3,576	\$7(	0,637	

2006 2005 2004	N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A	  	  
2003  CALIFORNIA PERFOR	N/A MANCE PLUS (1	N/A NCP) 	N/A 		
Year Ended 8/31:					
2007	106,000	25,000	70,157	4,258	70,860
2006	106,000	25,000	72,255		
2005	106,000	25,000	73,276		
2004	106,000	25,000	72,478		
2003	106,000 	25 <b>,</b> 000 	70,144		

N/A Fund is not authorized to issue Preferred shares.

\* Total Return on Market Value is the combination of changes in the market price per share and the effect of reinvested dividend income and reinvested capital gains distributions, if any, at the average price paid per share at the time of reinvestment. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending market price. The actual reinvestment for the last dividend declared in the period takes place over several days, and in some instances may not be based on the market price, so the actual reinvestment price may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

Total Return on Common Share Net Asset Value is the combination of changes in Common share net asset value, reinvested dividend income at net asset value and reinvested capital gains distributions at net asset value, if any. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending net asset value. The actual reinvest price for the last dividend declared in the period may often be based on the Fund's market price (and not its net asset value), and therefore may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

- \*\* After custodian fee credit, where applicable.
- + The amounts shown are based on Common share equivalents.
- ++ Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to Preferred shareholders; income ratios reflect income earned on assets attributable to Preferred shares.
- (a) Interest expense arises from the application of SFAS No. 140 to certain inverse floating rate transactions entered into by the Fund as more fully described in Footnote 1 - Inverse Floating Rate Securities.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

70-71 spread

Financial HIGHLIGHTS (continued)

Selected data for a Common share outstanding throughout each period:

Investment Operations

			1nv	vestment Operatio	ons 	
	Net Asset Value	Investment Income	Realized/ Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Investment Income to Preferred Share- holders+	from Capital Gains to Preferred	
CALIFORNIA OPPORTU	UNITY (NCO)					
Year Ended 8/31:						
2007	\$15.67	\$ .99		\$(.28)	· ·	\$ .03
2006	16.14	1.00	(.41)	(.22)		.37
2005			.50			1.40
2004			.88	, ,		1.85
2003	15.26	1.04	(.55)	(.07)		.42
CALIFORNIA INVESTM	~	. ~ /				
Year Ended 8/31:						
2007					(.02)	
2006	15.86	.96	(.24)	(.23)	(.01)	.48
2005			.40		(.01)	
2004		1.00			(.01)	
2003	15.78	1.06	(.71)	(.08)		.27
			::	Total Returns	_	:======

			Total	Returns
	Ending Common Share Net Asset Value	Ending Market Value	Market	Share Net Asset
CALIFORNIA OPPORTUN	ITY (NCO)			
Year Ended 8/31: 2007 2006 2005 2004 2003	·		, ,	.07% 2.47 9.19 12.86 2.73
CALIFORNIA INVESTME	NT QUALITY (NQC	C)		
Year Ended 8/31: 2007 2006 2005 2004 2003	14.81 15.48 15.86 15.65 15.09	14.63 15.10	(1.03) 2.73 9.33 8.94 .29	.57 3.21 8.18 11.11 1.60

Ratios/Supplemental Data

Ratios to Average Net Assets

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Ratios to

			icable to Common Before Credit		* *	licable Af
	to Common Shares (000)	Expenses Including Interest++(a)	Excluding Interest++(a)	Net Investment Income++	Expenses Including Interest++(a)	Exclu Inte
	DPPORTUNITY (NCO)					=
Year Ended 8	3/31:					
2007	\$121,728	1.31%	1.26%	6.37%	1.29%	
2006		1.26				
2005		1.25	1.25	6.42		
2004	•	1.28				
2003	120,437	1.27	1.27	6.74	1.26	
	INVESTMENT QUALITY	. = .				
Year Ended 8		· <b></b>			· <b></b>	
2007	,	1.34				
2006	210,242	1.22	1.22	6.28	1.21	
2005	,	1.21	1.21			
2004	•	1.22		6.48		
2003	204,974	1.21	1.21	6.73	1.21	

	Preferred S	hares at End	of Period	at End of	f Period
	Outstanding	and Market Value	Coverage		Coverage
CALIFORNIA	OPPORTUNITY (NCO)				
Year Ended 2007 2006 2005 2004 2003	\$ 68,000 68,000 68,000	25,000 25,000 25,000	71,982 73,377	\$3,536    	\$54 <b>,</b> 656    
Year Ended 2007 2006 2005 2004 2003		25,000 25,000 25,000 25,000 25,000	69,881 71,929 73,091 72,435 70,753	9,170    	35,140   

<sup>\*</sup> Total Return on Market Value is the combination of changes in the market price per share and the effect of reinvested dividend income and reinvested capital gains distributions, if any, at the average price paid per share at the time of reinvestment. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is

assumed to be reinvested at the ending market price. The actual reinvestment for the last dividend declared in the period takes place over several days, and in some instances may not be based on the market price, so the actual reinvestment price may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

Total Return on Common Share Net Asset Value is the combination of changes in Common share net asset value, reinvested dividend income at net asset value and reinvested capital gains distributions at net asset value, if any. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending net asset value. The actual reinvest price for the last dividend declared in the period may often be based on the Fund's market price (and not its net asset value), and therefore may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

- \*\* After custodian fee credit, where applicable.
- + The amounts shown are based on Common share equivalents.
- ++ Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to Preferred shareholders; income ratios reflect income earned on assets attributable to Preferred shares.
- (a) Interest expense arises from the application of SFAS No. 140 to certain inverse floating rate transactions entered into by the Fund as more fully described in Footnote 1 - Inverse Floating Rate Securities.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

72-73 spread

Financial HIGHLIGHTS (continued)

Selected data for a Common share outstanding throughout each period:

			Inv	vestment Operatio	ons	
				Distributions	Distributions	
				from Net	from	
	Beginning			Investment	Capital	
	Common		Net	Income to	Gains to	
	Share	Net	Realized/	Preferred	Preferred	
	Net Asset	Investment	Unrealized	Share-	Share-	
	Value	Income	Gain (Loss)	holders+	holders+	Total
CALIFORNIA SELEC Year Ended 8/31:						
2007						
	\$15 49	\$ 98	\$ ( 64)	\$ ( 27)	\$(.01)	 \$ 06
		·		\$(.27)		
2006	15.98	.99	(.27)	(.22)	(.02)	.48
2006 2005	15.98 15.63	.99 1.02	(.27) .53	(.22) (.13)	(.02) (.01)	.48 1.41
2006	15.98	.99 1.02	(.27)	(.22) (.13)	(.02)	.48 1.41

Year Ended 8/31:						
2007	15.60	1.01	(.59)	(.28)	(.01)	.13
2006	16.03	1.02	(.35)	(.23)		. 44
2005	15.49	1.04	.69	(.13)	(.01)	1.59
2004	14.85	1.05	.73	(.07)		1.71
2003	15.84	1.08	(.87)	(.07)	(.01)	.13

			Total	Returns
		Ending Market Value	Market	Share Net Asset
CALIFORNIA SELECT QUALITY (NVC)				
Year Ended 8/31: 2007 2006 2005 2004 2003		14.81	3.63 13.70	3.21 9.33 11.97
CALIFORNIA QUALITY INCOME (NUC)				
Year Ended 8/31: 2007 2006 2005 2004 2003	15.60 16.03	15.73 15.00 14.67	2.90 12.30	.74 2.96 10.57 11.76 .71

				Ratios/Suppl	emental Data	
			os to Average Net cable to Common Before Credit			ios to licable Af
	to Common	Including	Expenses Excluding Interest++(a)			Expe Exclu Inte
CALIFORNIA SELE	CT QUALITY (NV	 'C)			=========	
Year Ended 8/31	: :					
2007	\$341,102	1.28%	1.19%	6.36%	1.26%	
2006	358 <b>,</b> 131	1.20	1.20	6.38	1.19	
2005	369 <b>,</b> 087	1.19	1.19	6.44	1.18	
2004	360,938	1.21	1.21	6.78	1.20	
2003	344,892	1.20	1.20	6.78	1.20	

CALIFORNIA QUALITY INCOME (NUC)

Year Ended 8/31:

1001 11000 0,01	- •					
2007	328,756	1.28	1.20	6.51	1.27	
2006	343,096	1.21	1.21	6.54	1.20	
2005	352,752	1.20	1.20	6.62	1.20	
2004	340,873	1.22	1.22	6.89	1.21	
2003	326,280	1.22	1.22	6.90	1.21	

Floating Rate Obligations Preferred Shares at End of Period at End of Period \_\_\_\_\_\_ Aggregate Liquidation Aggregate
Amount and Market Asset Amount Asset
Outstanding Value Coverage Outstanding Coverage
(000) Per Share Per Share (000) Per \$1,000 Outstanding \_\_\_\_\_\_ CALIFORNIA SELECT QUALITY (NVC) Year Ended 8/31: 2007 \$192,000 \$25,000 \$69,414 \$10,096 2006 192,000 25,000 71,632 --2005 192,000 25,000 73,058 --2004 192,000 25,000 71,997 --2003 192,000 25,000 69,908 --\$53,803 CALIFORNIA QUALITY INCOME (NUC) \_\_\_\_\_\_ Year Ended 8/31: 
 185,000
 25,000
 69,427
 9,698

 185,000
 25,000
 71,364
 - 

 185,000
 25,000
 72,669
 - 

 185,000
 25,000
 71,064
 - 

 185,000
 25,000
 69,092
 - 53,975 2006 2005 2004 2003

\* Total Return on Market Value is the combination of changes in the market price per share and the effect of reinvested dividend income and reinvested capital gains distributions, if any, at the average price paid per share at the time of reinvestment. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending market price. The actual reinvestment for the last dividend declared in the period takes place over several days, and in some instances may not be based on the market price, so the actual reinvestment price may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Total Return on Common Share Net Asset Value is the combination of changes in Common share net asset value, reinvested dividend income at net asset value and reinvested capital gains distributions at net asset value, if any. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending net asset value. The actual reinvest price for the last dividend declared in the period may often be based on the Fund's market price (and not its net asset value), and therefore may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

- \*\* After custodian fee credit, where applicable.
- + The amounts shown are based on Common share equivalents.

- ++ Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to Preferred shareholders; income ratios reflect income earned on assets attributable to Preferred shares.
- (a) Interest expense arises from the application of SFAS No. 140 to certain inverse floating rate transactions entered into by the Fund as more fully described in Footnote 1 - Inverse Floating Rate Securities.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

74-75 spread

Board Members & Officers

10/22/48

Chicago, IL 60606

333 W. Wacker Drive Board member

The management of the Funds, including general supervision of the duties performed for the Funds by the Adviser, is the responsibility of the Board Members of the Funds. The number of board members of the Fund is currently set at eight. None of the board members who are not "interested" persons of the Funds has ever been a director or employee of, or consultant to, Nuveen or its affiliates. The names and business addresses of the board members and officers of the Funds, their principal occupations and other affiliations during the past five years, the number of portfolios each oversees and other directorships they hold are set forth below.

NAME,	POSITION(S) HELD	YEAR FIRST	NUMBER	PRINCIPA
BIRTHDATE	WITH THE FUNDS		OF PORTFOLIOS	
& ADDRESS			IN FUND COMPLEX	
		AND TERM(2)	OVERSEEN BY BOARD MEMBER	DIRECTOR DURING P
OARD MEMBER WHO IS AN INTE	RESTED PERSON OF THE FU	JNDS:		
] TIMOTHY R. SCHWERTFEGER(				Director
		1994		1996) an
333 W. Wacker Drive		ANNUAL	177	1, 2007)
Chicago, IL 60606	and Board Member			(1996-Ju
				Inc. and
				other su
				Inc.; fo
				Institut
OARD MEMBERS WHO ARE NOT I	NTERESTED PERSONS OF TH	IE FUNDS:		
] ROBERT P. BREMNER				Private
8/22/40	Lead	1997		
333 W. Wacker Drive		CLASS III	177	
Chicago, IL 60606	Board member			
] JACK B. EVANS				Presiden

1999

CLASS III

private p

1996); Di

Fire Grou of the Bo Iowa Univ Companies Iowa Coll Advisory Finance i

177

Universit Alliant E Reserve E and Chief Group, In firm.

Dean, Tip

of Iowa (

and Disti

[] WILLIAM C. HUNTER 3/6/48 333 W. Wacker Drive

Chicago, IL 60606

2004 CLASS II Board member

177

School of Connectio Vice Pres the Feder (1995-200 Research

Director Director, 2005-Octo

76

NAME, BIRTHDATE & ADDRESS

POSITION(S) HELD WITH THE FUNDS

YEAR FIRST NUMBER

ELECTED OR OF PORTFOLIOS OCCUPATION APPOINTED IN FUND COMPLEX INCLUDING

PRINCIPAL DIRECTORS

DURING PA

Director,

Managemen

AND TERM(2) OVERSEEN BY BOARD MEMBER

BOARD MEMBERS WHO ARE NOT INTERESTED PERSONS OF THE FUNDS:

[] DAVID J. KUNDERT 10/28/42

333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

Board member

2005 CLASS II

177

Chairman, President Advisors

Group Mut Vice Pres Chairman Managemen College;

Associati Friends c of Board Theater.

[] WILLIAM J. SCHNEIDER 9/24/44

333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

1997 Board member

ANNUAL

177

Chairman a real es Senior Pa (retired, formerly, Realty; E

Committee of Premie not-for-p Hospital; Philharmo

Member, F promotes issues; D

[] JUDITH M. STOCKDALE 12/29/47 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Board member	1997 CLASS I	177
[] CAROLE E. STONE 6/28/47 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Board member	2007 CLASS I	177
	77		
NAME, BIRTHDATE AND ADDRESS	POSITION(S) HELD WITH THE FUNDS	YEAR FIRST ELECTED OR APPOINTED(4)	NUMBER OF PORTFOLIOS IN FUND COMPLEX OVERSEEN BY OFFICER
OFFICERS OF THE FUND:			
[] GIFFORD R. ZIMMERMAN 9/9/56 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Chief Administrative Officer	1988	177

2007

[] WILLIAMS ADAMS IV

333 West Wacker Drive Vice President

6/9/55

119

Executive

Products

1999), pr

Coalition Advisory Ohio and Cleveland

Executive Donnelley thereto, Protection

Director, (since 20 Associati Commission Public Aut formerly of the But Authoriti Director, Corporati

PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION DURING PA

Managing Secretary formerly, General C Managing General C Nuveen As Assistant Managemen Nuveen In 2002), Sy NWQ Inves (since 20 LLC, and (since 20 Richards Managing and Assis Managemen Director Secretary Investmen (since 20 LLC.

	NAME, BIRTHDATE AND ADDRESS	POSITION(S) HELD WITH THE FUNDS	YEAR FIRST ELECTED OR APPOINTED(4)	NUMBER OF PORTFOLIOS IN FUND COMPLEX OVERSEEN	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATIO DURING PA
		78			
[]	WILLIAM M. FITZGERALD 3/2/64 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President	1995	177	Managing Vice Pres Managing Advisory Advisory 2001) of President Advisers
[]	LORNA C. FERGUSON 10/24/45 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President	1998	177	Managing Vice Pres Managing President Corp. and Corp.(3); Nuveen As
					Investmen Investmen 2002); Ri (since 20 Investors Asset Man Nuveen Hy &Tierney, Symphony formerly, (1999-200 Nuveen In Chartered
[]	PETER H. D'ARRIGO 11/28/67 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President	1999	177	Vice Pres Investmen Inc.; Vic Asset Man
[]	MICHAEL T. ATKINSON 2/3/66 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President and Assistant Secretary	2000	177	Vice Pres Investmen
[]	CEDRIC H. ANTOSIEWICZ 1/11/62 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President	2007	119	Managing Vice Pres Investmen
[]	JULIA L. ANTONATOS 9/22/63 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President	2004	177	Managing Vice Pres Investmen Analyst.
	Chicago, IL 60606				Structure

BY OFFICER

OFFICERS OF THE FUND:

OI.	ITCENS OF THE TOND.			
[]	STEPHEN D. FOY 5/31/54 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President and Controller	1998	177
[]	WALTER M. KELLY 2/24/70 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Chief Compliance Officer and Vice President	2003	177
[]	DAVID J. LAMB 3/22/63 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President	2000	177
[]	TINA M. LAZAR (since 1999). 8/27/61 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President	2002	177
[]	LARRY W. MARTIN 7/27/51 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President and Assistant Secretary	1988	177
[]	KEVIN J. MCCARTHY 3/26/66 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President and Secretary	2007	177

Vice Pres (since 20 Secretary Rittenhou Investment Instituti Investment Tradewind NWQHoldin LLC, Sant

Vice Pres Controlle Investmen and Funds Investmen Accountant

Vice Pres Assistant General C Investmen and Assis (2003-200 (2001-200 Price, Ka

Vice Pres Investment Accountant

Vice Pres

Vice Pres Assistant Investmen and Assis Corp. and Corp. (3); Assistant Inc.; Vic Assistant Asset Man 2000), As General C Asset Man Assistant Advisers Managemen Symphony Tradewind Barbara A and of Nu Richards

Nuveen Hy &Tierney, and Assis Investmenthereto, (1997-200

Managing Vice Pres Investmen Analyst.

[] JOHN V. MILLER 4/10/67 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

Vice President

2007

- (1) Mr. Schwertfeger is an "interested person" of the Funds, as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940, by reason of being Non-Executive Chairman of Nuveen Investments, Inc. and having previously served in various other capacities with Nuveen Investments, Inc. and its subsidiaries.
- (2) Board Members serve three year terms, except for two board members who are elected by the holders of Preferred Shares. The Board of Trustees is divided into three classes, Class I, Class II, and Class III, with each being elected to serve until the third succeeding annual shareholders' meeting subsequent to its election or thereafter in each case when its respective successors are duly elected or appointed, except two board members are elected by the holders of Preferred Shares to serve until the next annual shareholders' meeting subsequent to its election or thereafter in each case when its respective successors are duly elected or appointed. The first year elected or appointed represents the year in which the board member was first elected or appointed to any fund in the Nuveen Complex.
- (3) Nuveen Advisory Corp. and Nuveen Institutional Advisory Corp. were reorganized into Nuveen Asset Management, effective January 1, 2005.
- (4) Officers serve one year terms through July of each year. The year first elected or appointed represents the year in which the Officer was first elected or appointed to any fund in the Nuveen Complex.

79

Annual Investment
Management Agreement
APPROVAL PROCESS

The Board Members are responsible for overseeing the performance of the investment adviser to the Funds and determining whether to continue the advisory arrangements. At the annual review meeting held on May 21, 2007 (the "May Meeting"), the Board Members of the Funds, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously approved the continuance of the Investment Management Agreement between each Fund (each, a "Fund") and Nuveen Asset Management ("NAM"). The foregoing Investment Management Agreements with NAM are hereafter referred to as "Original Investment Management Agreements."

Subsequent to the May Meeting, Nuveen Investments, Inc. ("Nuveen"), the parent company of NAM, entered into a merger agreement providing for the acquisition of Nuveen by Windy City Investments, Inc., a corporation formed by investors led by Madison Dearborn Partners, LLC ("MDP"), a private equity investment firm (the "Transaction"). Each Original Investment Management Agreement, as required by Section 15 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "1940 Act"), provides for its automatic termination in the event of its "assignment" (as defined in the 1940 Act). Any change in control of the adviser is deemed to be an assignment. The consummation of the Transaction will result in a change of control of NAM as

well as its affiliated sub-advisers and therefore cause the automatic termination of each Original Investment Management Agreement, as required by the 1940 Act. Accordingly, in anticipation of the Transaction, at a meeting held on July 31, 2007 (the "July Meeting"), the Board Members, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously approved new Investment Management Agreements (the "New Investment Management Agreements") with NAM on behalf of each Fund to take effect immediately after the Transaction or shareholder approval of the new advisory contracts, whichever is later. The 1940 Act also requires that each New Investment Management Agreement be approved by the respective Fund's shareholders in order for it to become effective. Accordingly, to ensure continuity of advisory services, the Board Members, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously approved Interim Investment Management Agreements to take effect upon the closing of the Transaction if shareholders have not yet approved the New Investment Management Agreements.

Because the information provided and considerations made at the annual review continue to be relevant with respect to the evaluation of the New Investment Management Agreements, the Board considered the foregoing as part of its deliberations of the New Investment Management Agreements. Accordingly, as indicated, the discussions immediately below outline the materials and information presented to the Board in connection with the Board's prior annual review and the analysis undertaken and the conclusions reached by Board Members when determining to continue the Original Investment Management Agreements.

#### I. APPROVAL OF THE ORIGINAL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT AGREEMENTS

During the course of the year, the Board received a wide variety of materials relating to the services provided by NAM and the performance of the Funds. At each of its quarterly meetings, the Board reviewed investment performance and various matters relating to the operations of the Funds and other Nuveen funds, including the compliance program, shareholder services, valuation, custody, distribution and other information relating to the nature, extent and quality of services provided by NAM. Between the regularly scheduled quarterly meetings, the Board Members received information on particular matters as the need arose.

In preparation for their considerations at the May Meeting, the Independent Board Members received extensive materials, well in advance of the meeting, which outlined or are related to, among other things:

- [] the nature, extent and quality of services provided by NAM;
- [] the organization and business operations of NAM, including the responsibilities of various departments and key personnel;

80

- [] each Fund's past performance as well as the Fund's performance compared to funds with similar investment objectives based on data and information provided by an independent third party and to customized benchmarks;
- the profitability of Nuveen and certain industry profitability analyses for unaffiliated advisers;
- [] the expenses of Nuveen in providing the various services;
- [] the advisory fees and total expense ratios of each Fund, including comparisons of such fees and expenses with those of comparable, unaffiliated funds based on information and data provided by an independent third party (the "Peer Universe") as well as compared to a subset of funds

within the Peer Universe (the "Peer Group") of the respective Fund (as applicable);

- [] the advisory fees NAM assesses to other types of investment products or clients;
- [] the soft dollar practices of NAM, if any; and
- [] from independent legal counsel, a legal memorandum describing among other things, applicable laws, regulations and duties in reviewing and approving advisory contracts.

At the May Meeting, NAM made a presentation to, and responded to questions from, the Board. Prior to and after the presentations and reviewing the written materials, the Independent Board Members met privately with their legal counsel to review the Boardduties in reviewing advisory contracts and considering the renewal of the advisory contracts. The Independent Board Members, in consultation with independent counsel, reviewed the factors set out in judicial decisions and Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") directives relating to the renewal of advisory contracts. As outlined in more detail below, the Board Members considered all factors they believed relevant with respect to each Fund, including, but not limited to, the following: (a) the nature, extent and quality of the services to be provided by NAM; (b) the investment performance of the Fund and NAM; (c) the costs of the services to be provided and profits to be realized by Nuveen and its affiliates; (d) the extent to which economies of scale would be realized; and (e) whether fee levels reflect those economies of scale for the benefit of the Fund's investors. In addition, as noted, the Board Members met regularly throughout the year to oversee the Funds. In evaluating the Original Investment Management Agreements, the Board Members also relied upon their knowledge of NAM, its services and the Funds resulting from their meetings and other interactions throughout the year. It is with this background that the Board Members considered each Original Investment Management Agreement.

## A. NATURE, EXTENT AND QUALITY OF SERVICES

In considering the renewal of the Original Investment Management Agreements, the Board Members considered the nature, extent and quality of NAM's services. The Board Members reviewed materials outlining, among other things, Nuveen's organization and business; the types of services that NAM or its affiliates provide and are expected to provide to the Funds; the performance record of the applicable Fund (as described in further detail below); and, any initiatives Nuveen had taken for the municipal fund product line. As noted, at the annual review, the Board Members were already familiar with the organization, operations and personnel of NAM due to the Board Members' experience in governing the respective Funds and working with NAM on matters relating to the Funds. With respect to personnel, the Board Members recognized NAM's investment in additional qualified personnel throughout the various groups in the organization and recommended to NAM that it continue to review staffing needs as necessary. In addition, the Board Members reviewed materials describing the current status and, in particular, the developments in 2006 with respect to NAM's investment process, investment strategies (including additional tools used in executing such strategies), personnel (including portfolio management and research teams), trading process, hedging activities, risk management operations (e.g., reviewing credit quality, duration limits, and derivatives use, as applicable), and investment operations (such as enhancements to trading procedures, pricing procedures, and client services). The Board Members recognized NAM's investment of resources and efforts to continue to enhance and refine its investment process.

In addition to advisory services, the Independent Board Members considered the quality of administrative and non-advisory services provided by NAM and noted that NAM and its affiliates provide the Funds with a wide variety of services

and officers and other personnel as are necessary for the operations of the Funds, including:

81

ANNUAL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT APPROVAL PROCESS (continued)

- [] product management;
- [] fund administration;
- [] oversight by shareholder services and other fund service providers;
- [] administration of Board relations;
- [] regulatory and portfolio compliance; and
- [] legal support.

As the Funds operate in a highly regulated industry and given the importance of compliance, the Board Members considered, in particular, Nuveen's compliance activities for the Funds and enhancements thereto. In this regard, the Board Members recognized the quality of Nuveen's compliance team. The Board Members further noted Nuveen's negotiations with other service providers and the corresponding reduction in certain service providers' fees at the May Meeting.

In addition to the foregoing services, the Board Members also noted the additional services that NAM or its affiliates provide to Nuveen's closed-end funds, including, in particular, its secondary market support activities. The Board Members recognized Nuveen's continued commitment to supporting the secondary market for the common shares of its closed-end funds through a variety of programs designed to raise investor and analyst awareness and understanding of closed-end funds. These efforts include:

- [] maintaining shareholder communications;
- [] providing advertising for the Nuveen closed-end funds;
- [] maintaining its closed-end fund website;
- [] maintaining continual contact with financial advisers;
- [] providing educational symposia;
- [] conducting research with investors and financial analysis regarding closed-end funds; and
- [] evaluating secondary market performance.

With respect to the Nuveen closed-end funds that utilize leverage through the issuance of preferred shares ("Preferred Shares"), the Board Members noted Nuveen's continued support for the holders of Preferred Shares by, among other things:

- [] maintaining an in-house trading desk;
- [] maintaining a product manager for the Preferred Shares;

- [] developing distribution for Preferred Shares with new market participants;
- [] maintaining an orderly auction process;
- [] managing leverage and risk management of leverage; and
- [] maintaining systems necessary to test compliance with rating agency criteria.

Based on their review, the Board Members found that, overall, the nature, extent and quality of services provided (and expected to be provided) to the respective Funds under the Original Investment Management Agreements were satisfactory.

#### B. THE INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE OF THE FUNDS AND NAM

At the May Meeting, the Board considered the investment performance for each Fund, including the Fund's historic performance as well as its performance compared to funds with similar investment objectives (the "Performance Peer Group") based on data provided by an independent third party (as described below). The Board Members also reviewed the respective Fund's portfolio level performance (which does not reflect fund level fees and expenses, and leverage) against customized benchmarks, described in further detail below.

82

In evaluating the performance information during the annual review at the May Meeting, in certain instances, the Board Members noted that the closest Performance Peer Group for a fund may not adequately reflect such fund's investment objectives and strategies, thereby limiting the usefulness of the comparisons of such fund's performance with that of the Performance Peer Group.

With respect to state-specific municipal funds, the Board Members also recognized that certain funds do not have a corresponding state-specific Performance Peer Group in which case their performance is measured against a more general municipal category for various states. With respect to municipal closed-end funds, funds that do not have corresponding state-specific Performance Peer Groups are from states other than New York, California, Florida, New Jersey, Michigan and Pennsylvania. However, with respect to funds based in Florida, New Jersey, Michigan and Pennsylvania, the peer group may be so small or the Nuveen funds may dominate the category to such an extent that performance information for such funds was also compared to the more general category for all states (other than New York and California).

The Board Members reviewed performance information including, among other things, total return information compared with the Fund's Performance Peer Group for the one-, three- and five-year periods (as applicable) ending December 31, 2006. The Board Members also reviewed the Fund's portfolio level performance (which does not reflect fund level fees and expenses, and leverage) compared to customized portfolio level benchmarks for the one- and three-year periods ending December 31, 2006 (as applicable). The analysis was used to assess the efficacy of investment decisions against appropriate measures of risk and total return, within specific market segments. This information supplemented the Fund performance information provided to the Board at each of its quarterly meetings. Based on their review, the Board Members determined that each Fund's investment performance over time had been satisfactory, subject to the following. With respect to various municipal closed-end funds, the Board Members noted relative total return underperformance in recent years compared to peers. The Board Members reviewed materials and discussed with NAM the factors contributing to

the shift in performance including, among other things, the degree of risk undertaken by peers compared to the municipal closed-end funds (such as through the increased use of leverage or taking concentrated positions in high risk credits). In addition, the Board Members also considered a fund's dividend performance and the extent of any secondary market discounts. The Board Members noted NAM's efforts to evaluate the factors affecting performance and determine whether modification to a fund's investment strategy is necessary or appropriate, and concluded that they were satisfied with the steps being taken.

#### C. FEES, EXPENSES AND PROFITABILITY

#### 1. FEES AND EXPENSES

During the annual review, in evaluating the management fees and expenses of a Fund, the Board reviewed, among other things, the Fund's advisory fees (net and gross management fees) and total expense ratios (before and after expense reimbursements and/or waivers) in absolute terms as well as comparisons to the gross management fees (before waivers), net management fees (after waivers) and total expense ratios (before and after waivers) of comparable funds in the Peer Universe and the Peer Group. In reviewing the fee schedule for a Fund, the Board Members considered the fund-level and complex-wide breakpoint schedules (described in further detail below) and any fee waivers and reimbursements provided by Nuveen (applicable, in particular, for certain funds launched since 1999). The Board Members further reviewed data regarding the construction of Peer Groups as well as the methods of measurement for the fee and expense analysis and the performance analysis. In certain cases, due to the small number of peers in the Peer Universe, the Peer Universe and Peer Group had significant overlap or even consisted entirely of the same unaffiliated funds. In reviewing the comparisons of fee and expense information, the Board Members recognized that in certain cases, the fund size relative to peers, the small size and odd composition of the Peer Group (including differences in objectives and strategies), expense anomalies, timing of information used or other factors impacting the comparisons thereby limited some of the usefulness of the comparative data. The Board Members also considered the differences in the use of leverage. Based on their review of the fee and expense information provided, the Board Members determined that each Fund's net total expense ratio was within an acceptable range compared to peers.

83

ANNUAL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT APPROVAL PROCESS (continued)

### 2. COMPARISONS WITH THE FEES OF OTHER CLIENTS

At the annual review, the Board Members further reviewed data comparing the advisory fees of NAM with fees NAM charges to other clients. With respect to municipal funds, such clients include NAM's municipal separately managed accounts. In general, the advisory fees charged for separate accounts are somewhat lower than the advisory fees assessed to the Funds. The Board Members considered the differences in the product types, including, but not limited to, the services provided, the structure and operations, product distribution and costs thereof, portfolio investment policies, investor profiles, account sizes and regulatory requirements. The Board Members noted, in particular, that the range of services provided to the Funds (as discussed above) is much more extensive than that provided to separately managed accounts. As described in further detail above, such additional

services include, but are not limited to: product management, fund administration, oversight of third party service providers, administration of Board relations, and legal support. The Board Members noted that the Funds operate in a highly regulated industry requiring extensive compliance functions compared to other investment products. Given the inherent differences in the products, particularly the extensive services provided to the Funds, the Board Members believe such facts justify the different levels of fees.

#### 3. PROFITABILITY OF NUVEEN

In conjunction with its review of fees, the Board Members also considered the profitability of Nuveen for its advisory activities (which incorporated Nuveen's wholly-owned affiliated sub-advisers) and its financial condition. At the annual review, the Board Members reviewed the revenues and expenses of Nuveen's advisory activities for the last three years, the allocation methodology used in preparing the profitability data as well as the 2006 Annual Report for Nuveen. The Board Members noted this information supplemented the profitability information requested and received during the year to help keep them apprised of developments affecting profitability (such as changes in fee waivers and expense reimbursement commitments). In this regard, the Board Members noted the enhanced dialogue and information regarding profitability with NAM during the year, including more frequent meetings and updates from Nuveen's corporate finance group. The Board Members also reviewed data comparing Nuveen's profitability with other fund sponsors prepared by three independent third party service providers as well as comparisons of the revenues, expenses and profit margins of various unaffiliated management firms with similar amounts of assets under management prepared by Nuveen.

In reviewing profitability, the Board Members recognized the subjective nature of determining profitability which may be affected by numerous factors, including the allocation of expenses. Further, the Board Members recognized the difficulties in making comparisons as the profitability of other advisers generally is not publicly available and the profitability information that is available for certain advisers or management firms may not be representative of the industry and may be affected by, among other things, the adviser's particular business mix, capital costs, types of funds managed and expense allocations.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Board Members reviewed Nuveen's methodology and assumptions for allocating expenses across product lines to determine profitability. Last year, the Board Members also designated an Independent Board Member as a point person for the Board to review the methodology determinations during the year and any refinements thereto, which relevant information produced from such process was reported to the full Board. In reviewing profitability, the Board Members recognized Nuveen's increased investment in its fund business. Based on its review, the Board Members concluded that Nuveen's level of profitability for its advisory activities was reasonable in light of the services provided.

In evaluating the reasonableness of the compensation, the Board Members also considered other amounts paid to NAM by the Funds as well as any indirect benefits (such as soft dollar arrangements, if any) NAM and its affiliates receive, or are expected to receive, that are directly attributable to the management of the Funds, if any. See Section E below for additional information on indirect benefits NAM may receive as a result of its relationship with the Funds. Based on their review of the overall fee arrangements of each Fund, the Board Members determined that the advisory fees and expenses of the Funds were reasonable.

#### D. ECONOMIES OF SCALE AND WHETHER FEE LEVELS REFLECT THESE ECONOMIES OF SCALE

With respect to economies of scale, the Board Members recognized the potential benefits resulting from the costs of a Fund being spread over a larger asset base. To help ensure the shareholders share in these benefits, the Board Members reviewed and considered the breakpoints in the advisory fee schedules that reduce advisory fees. In addition to advisory fee breakpoints, the Board also approved a complex-wide fee arrangement in 2004. Pursuant to the complex-wide fee arrangement, the fees of the funds in the Nuveen complex, including the Funds, are reduced as the assets in the fund complex reach certain levels. In evaluating the complex-wide fee arrangement, the Board Members noted that the last complex-wide asset level breakpoint for the complex-wide fee schedule was at \$91 billion and that the Board Members anticipated further review and/or negotiations prior to the assets of the Nuveen complex reaching such threshold. Based on their review, the Board Members concluded that the breakpoint schedule and complex-wide fee arrangement were acceptable and desirable in providing benefits from economies of scale to shareholders, subject to further evaluation of the complex-wide fee schedule as assets in the complex increase. See Section II, Paragraph D - "Approval of the New Investment Management Agreements -Economies of Scale and Whether Fee Levels Reflect These Economies of Scale" for information regarding subsequent modifications to the complex-wide fee.

#### E. INDIRECT BENEFITS

In evaluating fees, the Board Members also considered any indirect benefits or profits NAM or its affiliates may receive as a result of its relationship with each Fund. With respect to closed-end funds, the Board Members considered the revenues received by affiliates of NAM for serving as agent at Nuveen's preferred trading desk and for serving as a co-manager in the initial public offering of new closed-end exchange traded funds.

In addition to the above, the Board Members considered whether NAM received any benefits from soft dollar arrangements whereby a portion of the commissions paid by a Fund for brokerage may be used to acquire research that may be useful to NAM in managing the assets of the Funds and other clients. With respect to NAM, the Board Members noted that NAM does not currently have any soft dollar arrangements; however, to the extent certain bona fide agency transactions that occur on markets that traditionally trade on a principal basis and riskless principal transactions are considered as generating "commissions," NAM intends to comply with the applicable safe harbor provisions.

Based on their review, the Board Members concluded that any indirect benefits received by NAM as a result of its relationship with the Funds were reasonable and within acceptable parameters.

## F. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

The Board Members did not identify any single factor discussed previously as all-important or controlling in their considerations to continue an advisory contract. The Board Members, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously concluded that the terms of the Original Investment Management Agreements are fair and reasonable, that NAM's fees are reasonable in light of the services provided to each Fund and that the renewal of the Original Investment Management Agreements be approved.

### II. APPROVAL OF THE NEW INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT AGREEMENTS

Following the May Meeting, the Board Members were advised of the potential

Transaction. As noted above, the completion of the Transaction would terminate each of the Original Investment Management Agreements. Accordingly, at the July Meeting, the Board of each Fund, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously approved the New Investment Management Agreements on behalf of the respective Funds. Leading up to the July Meeting, the Board Members had several meetings and deliberations with and without Nuveen management present, and with the advice of legal counsel, regarding the proposed Transaction as outlined below

On June 8, 2007, the Board Members held a special telephonic meeting to discuss the proposed Transaction. At that meeting, the Board Members established a special ad hoc committee comprised solely of Independent Board Members to focus on the Transaction and to keep the Independent Board Members updated with developments regarding the Transaction. On June 15, 2007, the ad hoc committee discussed

85

ANNUAL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT APPROVAL PROCESS (continued)

with representatives of NAM the Transaction and modifications to the complex-wide fee schedule that would generate additional fee savings at specified levels of complex-wide asset growth. Following the foregoing meetings and several subsequent telephonic conferences among Independent Board Members and independent counsel, and between Independent Board Members and representatives of Nuveen, the Board met on June 18, 2007 to further discuss the proposed Transaction. Immediately prior to and then again during the June 18, 2007 meeting, the Independent Board Members met privately with their independent legal counsel. At that meeting, the Board met with representatives of MDP, of Goldman Sachs, Nuveen's financial adviser in the Transaction, and of the Nuveen Board to discuss, among other things, the history and structure of MDP, the terms of the proposed Transaction (including the financing terms), and MDP's general plans and intentions with respect to Nuveen (including with respect to management, employees, and future growth prospects). On July 9, 2007, the Board also met to be updated on the Transaction as part of a special telephonic Board meeting. The Board Members were further updated at a special in-person Board meeting held on July 19, 2007 (one Independent Board Member participated telephonically). Subsequently, on July 27, 2007, the ad hoc committee held a telephonic conference with representatives of Nuveen and MDP to further discuss, among other things, the Transaction, the financing of the Transaction, retention and incentive plans for key employees, the effect of regulatory restrictions on transactions with affiliates after the Transaction, and current volatile market conditions and their impact on the Transaction.

In connection with their review of the New Investment Management Agreements, the Independent Board Members, through their independent legal counsel, also requested in writing and received additional information regarding the proposed Transaction and its impact on the provision of services by NAM and its affiliates.

The Independent Board Members received, well in advance of the July Meeting, materials which outlined, among other things:

the structure and terms of the Transaction, including MDP's co-investor entities and their expected ownership interests, and the financing arrangements that will exist for Nuveen following the closing of the Transaction;

- [] the strategic plan for Nuveen following the Transaction;
- [] the governance structure for Nuveen following the Transaction;
- [] any anticipated changes in the operations of the Nuveen funds following the Transaction, including changes to NAM's and Nuveen's day-to-day management, infrastructure and ability to provide advisory, distribution or other applicable services to the Funds;
- [] any changes to senior management or key personnel who work on Fund related matters (including portfolio management, investment oversight, and legal/compliance) and any retention or incentive arrangements for such persons;
- [] any anticipated effect on each Fund's expense ratio (including advisory fees) following the Transaction;
- [] any benefits or undue burdens imposed on the Funds as a result of the Transaction;
- [] any legal issues for the Funds as a result of the Transaction;
- [] the nature, quality and extent of services expected to be provided to the Funds following the Transaction, changes to any existing services and policies affecting the Funds, and cost-cutting efforts, if any, that may impact such services or policies;
- [] any conflicts of interest that may arise for Nuveen or MDP with respect to the Funds;
- [] the costs associated with obtaining necessary shareholder approvals and who would bear those costs; and
- [] from legal counsel, a memorandum describing the applicable laws, regulations and duties in approving advisory contracts, including, in particular, with respect to a change of control.

86

Immediately preceding the July Meeting, representatives of MDP met with the Board to further respond to questions regarding the Transaction. After the meeting with MDP, the Independent Board Members met with independent legal counsel in executive session. At the July Meeting, Nuveen also made a presentation and responded to questions. Following the presentations and discussions of the materials presented to the Board, the Independent Board Members met again in executive session with their counsel. As outlined in more detail below, the Independent Board Members considered all factors they believed relevant with respect to each Fund, including the impact that the Transaction could be expected to have on the following: (a) the nature, extent and quality of services to be provided; (b) the investment performance of the Funds; (c) the costs of the services and profits to be realized by Nuveen and its affiliates; (d) the extent to which economies of scale would be realized; and (e) whether fee levels reflect those economies of scale for the benefit of investors. As noted above, the Board Members had completed their annual review of the respective Original Investment Management Agreements at the May Meeting and many of the factors considered at the annual review were applicable to their evaluation of the New Investment Management Agreements. Accordingly, in evaluating the New Investment Management Agreements, the Board Members relied

upon their knowledge and experience with NAM and considered the information received and their evaluations and conclusions drawn at the annual review. While the Board reviewed many Nuveen funds at the July Meeting, the Independent Board Members evaluated all information available to them on a fund-by-fund basis, and their determinations were made separately in respect of each Fund.

#### A. NATURE, EXTENT AND QUALITY OF SERVICES

In evaluating the nature, quality and extent of the services expected to be provided by NAM under the New Investment Management Agreements, the Independent Board Members considered, among other things, the expected impact, if any, of the Transaction on the operations, facilities, organization and personnel of NAM; the potential implications of regulatory restrictions on the Funds following the Transaction; the ability of NAM and its affiliates to perform their duties after the Transaction; and any anticipated changes to the current investment and other practices of the Funds.

The Board noted that the terms of each New Investment Management Agreement, including the fees payable thereunder, are substantially identical to those of the Original Investment Management Agreement relating to the same Fund (with both reflecting reductions to fee levels in the complex-wide fee schedule for complex-wide assets in excess of \$80 billion that have an effective date of August 20, 2007). The Board considered that the services to be provided and the standard of care under the New Investment Management Agreements are the same as the Original Investment Management Agreements. The Board Members further noted that key personnel who have responsibility for the Funds in each area, including portfolio management, investment oversight, fund management, fund operations, product management, legal/compliance and board support functions, are expected to be the same following the Transaction. The Board Members considered and are familiar with the qualifications, skills and experience of such personnel. The Board also considered certain information regarding anticipated retention or incentive plans designed to retain key personnel. Further, the Board Members noted that no changes to Nuveen's infrastructure or operations as a result of the Transaction were anticipated other than potential enhancements as a result of an expected increase in the level of investment in such infrastructure and personnel. The Board noted MDP's representations that it does not plan to have a direct role in the management of Nuveen, appointing new management personnel, or directly impacting individual staffing decisions. The Board Members also noted that there were not any planned "cost cutting" measures that could be expected to reduce the nature, extent or quality of services. After consideration of the foregoing, the Board Members concluded that no diminution in the nature, quality and extent of services provided to the Funds and their shareholders is expected.

In addition to the above, the Board Members considered potential changes in the operations of each Fund. In this regard, the Board Members considered the potential effect of regulatory restrictions on the Funds' transactions with future affiliated persons. During their deliberations, it was noted that, after the Transaction, a subsidiary of Merrill Lynch is expected to have an ownership interest in Nuveen at a level that will make Merrill Lynch an affiliated person of Nuveen. The Board Members recognized that applicable law would generally prohibit the Funds from engaging in securities transactions with Merrill Lynch as principal, and would also impose restrictions on using Merrill Lynch for agency transactions. They recognized that having MDP and Merrill Lynch as affiliates may restrict the Nuveen funds' ability to invest in securities of issuers controlled by MDP or issued by Merrill Lynch and its affiliates even if not bought directly from MDP or Merrill

APPROVAL PROCESS (continued)

Lynch as principal. They also recognized that various regulations may require the Nuveen funds to apply investment limitations on a combined basis with affiliates of Merrill Lynch. The Board Members considered information provided by NAM regarding the potential impact on the Nuveen funds' operations as a result of these regulatory restrictions. The Board Members considered, in particular, the Nuveen funds that may be impacted most by the restricted access to Merrill Lynch, including: municipal funds (particularly certain state-specific funds), senior loan funds, taxable fixed income funds, preferred security funds and funds that heavily use derivatives. The Board Members considered such funds' historic use of Merrill Lynch as principal in their transactions and information provided by NAM regarding the expected impact resulting from Merrill Lynch's affiliation with Nuveen and available measures that could be taken to minimize such impact. NAM informed the Board Members that, although difficult to determine with certainty, its management did not believe that MDP's or Merrill Lynch's status as an affiliate of Nuveen would have a material adverse effect on any Nuveen fund's ability to pursue its investment objectives and policies.

In addition to the regulatory restrictions considered by the Board, the Board Members also considered potential conflicts of interest that could arise between the Nuveen funds and various parties to the Transaction and discussed possible ways of addressing such conflicts.

Based on its review along with its considerations regarding services at the annual review, the Board concluded that the Transaction was not expected to adversely affect the nature, quality or extent of services provided by NAM and that the expected nature, quality and extent of such services supported approval of the New Investment Management Agreements.

#### B. PERFORMANCE OF THE FUNDS

With respect to the performance of the Funds, the Board considered that the portfolio management personnel responsible for the management of the Funds' portfolios were expected to continue to manage the portfolios following the completion of the Transaction.

In addition, the Board Members recently reviewed Fund performance at the May Meeting, as described above, and determined that Fund performance was satisfactory or better, subject to the following. With respect to certain municipal closed-end funds with relative short-term underperformance, the Board Members concluded NAM was taking steps to evaluate the factors affecting performance and those steps would continue following the Transaction. Further, the investment policies and strategies were not expected to change as a result of the Transaction.

In light of the foregoing factors, along with the prior findings regarding performance at the annual review, the Board concluded that its findings with respect to performance supported approval of the New Investment Management Agreements.

## C. FEES, EXPENSES AND PROFITABILITY

As described in more detail above, during the annual review, the Board Members considered, among other things, the management fees and expenses of the Funds, the breakpoint schedules, and comparisons of such fees and expenses with peers. At the annual review, the Board Members determined that the respective Fund's advisory fees and expenses were reasonable. In evaluating the costs of services to be provided by NAM under the New Investment Management Agreements and the profitability of Nuveen for its advisory activities, the Board Members

considered their prior conclusions at the annual review and whether the management fees or other expenses would change as a result of the Transaction. As described above, the investment management fee is composed of two components—a fund—level component and complex—wide level component. The fee schedule under the New Investment Management Agreements to be paid to NAM is identical to that under the Original Investment Management Agreements, including the modified complex—wide fee schedule. As noted above, the Board recently approved a modified complex—wide fee schedule that would generate additional fee savings on complex—wide assets above \$80 billion. The modifications have an effective date of August 20, 2007 and are part of the Original Investment Management Agreements. Accordingly, the terms of the complex—wide component under the New Investment Management Agreements are the same as under the Original Investment Management Agreements. The Board Members also noted that Nuveen has committed for a period of two years from the

88

date of closing of the Transaction that it will not increase gross management fees for any Nuveen fund and will not reduce voluntary expense reimbursement levels for any Nuveen fund from their currently scheduled prospective levels. Based on the information provided, the Board Members did not expect that overall Fund expenses would increase as a result of the Transaction.

In addition, the Board Members considered that additional fund launches were anticipated after the Transaction which would result in an increase in total assets under management in the complex and a corresponding decrease in overall management fees under the complex-wide fee schedule. Taking into consideration the Board's prior evaluation of fees and expenses at the annual renewal, and the modification to the complex-wide fee schedule, the Board determined that the management fees and expenses were reasonable.

While it is difficult to predict with any degree of certainty the impact of the Transaction on Nuveen's profitability, at the recent annual review, the Board Members were satisfied that Nuveen's level of profitability for its advisory activities was reasonable. During the year, the Board Members had noted the enhanced dialogue regarding profitability and the appointment of an Independent Board Member as a point person to review methodology determinations and refinements in calculating profitability. Given their considerations at the annual review and the modifications to the complex-wide fee schedule, the Board Members were satisfied that Nuveen's level of profitability for its advisory activities continues to be reasonable.

## D. ECONOMIES OF SCALE AND WHETHER FEE LEVELS REFLECT THESE ECONOMIES OF SCALE

The Board Members have been cognizant of economies of scale and the potential benefits resulting from the costs of a Fund being spread over a larger asset base. To help ensure that shareholders share in the benefits derived from economies of scale, the Board adopted the complex-wide fee arrangement in 2004. At the May Meeting, the Board Members reviewed the complex-wide fee arrangements and noted that additional negotiations may be necessary or appropriate as the assets in the complex approached the \$91 billion threshold. In light of this assessment coupled with the upcoming Transaction, at the June 15, 2007 meeting, the ad hoc committee met with representatives of Nuveen to further discuss modifications to the complex-wide fee schedule that would generate additional savings for shareholders as the assets of the complex grow. The proposed terms for the complex-wide fee schedule are expressed in terms of targeted cumulative savings at specified levels of complex-wide assets, rather than in terms of targeted marginal complex-wide fee rates. Under the modified schedule, the schedule would generate additional fee savings beginning at complex-wide assets

of \$80 billion in order to achieve targeted cumulative annual savings at \$91 billion of \$28 million on a complex-wide level (approximately \$0.6 million higher than those generated under the then current schedule) and generate additional fee savings for asset growth above complex-wide assets of \$91 billion in order to achieve targeted annual savings at \$125 billion of assets of approximately \$50 million on a complex-wide level (approximately \$2.2 million higher annually than that generated under the then current schedule). At the July Meeting, the Board approved the modified complex-wide fee schedule for the Original Investment Management Agreements and these same terms will apply to the New Investment Management Agreements. Accordingly, the Board Members believe that the breakpoint schedules and revised complex-wide fee schedule are appropriate and desirable in ensuring that shareholders participate in the benefits derived from economies of scale.

#### E. INDIRECT BENEFITS

During their recent annual review, the Board Members considered any indirect benefits that NAM may receive as a result of its relationship with the Funds, as described above. As the policies and operations of Nuveen are not anticipated to change significantly after the Transaction, such indirect benefits should remain after the Transaction. The Board Members further considered any additional indirect benefits to be received by NAM or its affiliates after the Transaction. The Board Members noted that other than benefits from its ownership interest in Nuveen and indirect benefits from fee revenues paid by the Funds under the management agreements and other Board-approved relationships, it was currently not expected that MDP or its affiliates would derive any benefit from the Funds as a result of the Transaction or transact any business with or on behalf of the Funds (other than perhaps potential Fund acquisitions, in secondary market transactions, of securities issued by MDP portfolio companies); or that Merrill Lynch or its affiliates would derive any benefits from the Funds as a result of the Transaction (noting that, indeed, Merrill Lynch would stand to experience the discontinuation of principal transaction activity with the Nuveen funds and likely would experience a noticeable reduction in the volume of agency transactions with the Nuveen funds).

89

ANNUAL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT APPROVAL PROCESS (continued)

#### F. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

In addition to the factors above, the Board Members also considered the following with respect to the Funds:

Nuveen would rely on the provisions of Section 15(f) of the 1940 Act. Section 15(f) provides, in substance, that when a sale of a controlling interest in an investment adviser occurs, the investment adviser or any of its affiliated persons may receive any amount or benefit in connection with the sale so long as (i) during the three-year period following the consummation of a transaction, at least 75% of the investment company's board of directors must not be "interested persons" (as defined in the 1940 Act) of the investment adviser or predecessor adviser and (ii) an "unfair burden" (as defined in the 1940 Act, including any interpretations or no-action letters of the SEC) must not be imposed on the investment company as a result of the transaction relating to the sale of such interest, or any express or implied terms, conditions or understanding applicable thereto. In this regard, to help ensure that an unfair burden is not

imposed on the Nuveen funds, Nuveen has committed for a period of two years from the date of the closing of the Transaction (i) not to increase gross management fees for any Nuveen fund; (ii) not to reduce voluntary expense reimbursement levels for any Nuveen fund from their currently scheduled prospective levels during that period; (iii) that no Nuveen fund whose portfolio is managed by a Nuveen affiliate shall use Merrill Lynch as a broker with respect to portfolio transactions done on an agency basis, except as may be approved in the future by the Compliance Committee of the Board; and (iv) that NAM shall not cause the Funds and other municipal funds that NAM manages, as a whole, to enter into portfolio transactions with or through the other minority owners of Nuveen, on either a principal or an agency basis, to a significantly greater extent than both what one would expect an investment team to use such firm in the normal course of business, and what NAM has historically done, without prior Board or Compliance Committee approval (excluding the impact of proportionally increasing the use of such other "minority owners" to fill the void necessitated by not being able to use Merrill Lynch).

- [] The Funds would not incur any costs in seeking the necessary shareholder approvals for the New Investment Management Agreements (except for any costs attributed to seeking shareholder approvals of Fund specific matters unrelated to the Transaction, such as approval of Board Members, in which case a portion of such costs will be borne by the applicable Funds).
- [] The reputation, financial strength and resources of MDP.
- [] The long-term investment philosophy of MDP and anticipated plans to grow Nuveen's business to the benefit of the Nuveen funds.
- [] The benefits to the Nuveen funds as a result of the Transaction including:
   (i) as a private company, Nuveen may have more flexibility in making additional investments in its business; (ii) as a private company, Nuveen may be better able to structure compensation packages to attract and retain talented personnel; (iii) as certain of Nuveen's distribution partners are expected to be equity or debt investors in Nuveen, Nuveen may be able to take advantage of new or enhanced distribution arrangements with such partners; and (iv) MDP's experience, capabilities and resources that may help Nuveen identify and acquire investment teams or firms and finance such acquisitions.
- [] The historic premium and discount levels at which the shares of the Nuveen funds have traded at specified dates with particular focus on the premiums and discounts after the announcement of the Transaction, taking into consideration recent volatile market conditions and steps or initiatives considered or undertaken by NAM to address discount levels.

90

## G. CONCLUSION

The Board Members did not identify any single factor discussed previously as all-important or controlling. The Board Members, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously concluded that the terms of the New Investment Management Agreements are fair and reasonable, that the fees therein are reasonable in light of the services to be provided to each Fund and that the New Investment Management Agreements should be approved and recommended to shareholders.

#### III. APPROVAL OF INTERIM CONTRACTS

As noted above, at the July Meeting, the Board Members, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously approved the Interim Investment Management Agreements. If necessary to assure continuity of advisory services, the Interim Investment Management Agreements will take effect upon the closing of the Transaction if shareholders have not yet approved the New Investment Management Agreements. The terms of each Interim Investment Management Agreement are substantially identical to those of the corresponding Original Investment Management Agreement and New Investment Management Agreement, respectively, except for certain term and escrow provisions. In light of the foregoing, the Board Members, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously determined that the scope and quality of services to be provided to the Funds under the respective Interim Investment Management Agreement are at least equivalent to the scope and quality of services provided under the applicable Original Investment Management Agreement.

91

Reinvest Automatically EASILY and CONVENIENTLY

NUVEEN MAKES REINVESTING EASY. A PHONE CALL IS ALL IT TAKES TO SET UP YOUR REINVESTMENT ACCOUNT.

NUVEEN CLOSED-END FUNDS DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT PLAN Your Nuveen Closed-End Fund allows you to conveniently reinvest dividends and/or capital gains distributions in additional Fund shares.

By choosing to reinvest, you'll be able to invest money regularly and automatically, and watch your investment grow through the power of tax-free compounding. Just like dividends or distributions in cash, there may be times when income or capital gains taxes may be payable on dividends or distributions that are reinvested.

It is important to note that an automatic reinvestment plan does not ensure a profit, nor does it protect you against loss in a declining market.

#### EASY AND CONVENIENT

To make recordkeeping easy and convenient, each month you'll receive a statement showing your total dividends and distributions, the date of investment, the shares acquired and the price per share, and the total number of shares you own.

## HOW SHARES ARE PURCHASED

The shares you acquire by reinvesting will either be purchased on the open market or newly issued by the Fund. If the shares are trading at or above net asset value at the time of valuation, the Fund will issue new shares at the greater of the net asset value or 95% of the then-current market price. If the shares are trading at less than net asset value, shares for your account will be purchased on the open market. If the Plan Agent begins purchasing Fund shares on the open market while shares are trading below net asset value, but the Fund's shares subsequently trade at or above their net asset value before the Plan Agent is able to complete its purchases, the Plan Agent may cease open-market purchases and may invest the uninvested portion of the distribution in newly-issued Fund shares at a price equal to the greater of the shares' net asset value or 95% of the shares' market value on the last business day immediately prior to the

purchase date. Dividends and distributions received to purchase shares in the open market will normally be invested shortly after the dividend payment date. No interest will be paid on dividends and distributions awaiting reinvestment. Because the market price of the shares may increase before purchases are completed, the average purchase price per share may exceed the market price at the time of valuation, resulting in the acquisition of fewer shares than if the dividend or distribution had been paid in shares issued by the Fund. A pro rata portion of any applicable brokerage commissions on open market purchases will be paid by Plan participants. These commissions usually will be lower than those charged on individual transactions.

92

#### FLEXIBLE

You may change your distribution option or withdraw from the Plan at any time, should your needs or situation change. Should you withdraw, you can receive a certificate for all whole shares credited to your reinvestment account and cash payment for fractional shares, or cash payment for all reinvestment account shares, less brokerage commissions and a \$2.50 service fee.

You can reinvest whether your shares are registered in your name, or in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee. Ask your investment advisor if his or her firm will participate on your behalf. Participants whose shares are registered in the name of one firm may not be able to transfer the shares to another firm and continue to participate in the Plan.

The Fund reserves the right to amend or terminate the Plan at any time. Although the Fund reserves the right to amend the Plan to include a service charge payable by the participants, there is no direct service charge to participants in the Plan at this time.

CALL TODAY TO START REINVESTING DIVIDENDS AND/OR DISTRIBUTIONS

For more information on the Nuveen Automatic Reinvestment Plan or to enroll in or withdraw from the Plan, speak with your financial advisor or call us at (800) 257-8787.

93

# Glossary of TERMS USED in this REPORT

- [] AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN: This is a commonly used method to express an investment's performance over a particular, usually multi-year time period. It expresses the return that would have been necessary each year to equal the investment's actual cumulative performance (including change in NAV or market price and reinvested dividends and capital gains distributions, if any) over the time period being considered.
- [] AVERAGE EFFECTIVE MATURITY: The average of the number of years to maturity of the bonds in a Fund's portfolio, computed by weighting each bond's time to maturity (the date the security comes due) by the market value of the

security. This figure does not account for the likelihood of prepayments or the exercise of call provisions unless an escrow account has been established to redeem the bond before maturity. The market value weighting for an investment in an inverse floating rate security is the value of the portfolio's residual interest in the inverse floating rate trust, and does not include the value of the floating rate securities issued by the trust.

- INVERSE FLOATERS: Inverse floating rate securities are created by depositing a municipal bond, typically with a fixed interest rate, into a special purpose trust created by a broker-dealer. This trust, in turn, (a) issues floating rate certificates typically paying short-term tax-exempt interest rates to third parties in amounts equal to some fraction of the deposited bond's par amount or market value, and (b) issues an inverse floating rate certificate (sometimes referred to as an "inverse floater") to an investor (such as a Fund) interested in gaining investment exposure to a long-term municipal bond. The income received by the holder of the inverse floater varies inversely with the short-term rate paid to the floating rate certificates' holders, and in most circumstances the holder of the inverse floater bears substantially all of the underlying bond's downside investment risk. The holder of the inverse floater typically also benefits disproportionately from any potential appreciation of the underlying bond's value. Hence, an inverse floater essentially represents an investment in the underlying bond on a leveraged basis.
- [] LEVERAGE-ADJUSTED DURATION: Duration is a measure of the expected period over which a bond's principal and interest will be paid, and consequently is a measure of the sensitivity of a bond's or bond Fund's value to changes when market interest rates change. Generally, the longer a bond's or Fund's duration, the more the price of the bond or Fund will change as interest rates change. Leverage-adjusted duration takes into account the leveraging process for a Fund and therefore is longer than the duration of the Fund's portfolio of bonds.
- [] MARKET YIELD (ALSO KNOWN AS DIVIDEND YIELD OR CURRENT YIELD): An investment's current annualized dividend divided by its current market price.
- [] NET ASSET VALUE (NAV): A Fund's common share NAV per share is calculated by subtracting the liabilities of the Fund (including any MuniPreferred shares issued in order to leverage the Fund) from its total assets and then dividing the remainder by the number of shares outstanding. Fund NAVs are calculated at the end of each business day.
- [] TAXABLE-EQUIVALENT YIELD: The yield necessary from a fully taxable investment to equal, on an after-tax basis, the yield of a municipal bond investment.
- [] ZERO COUPON BOND: A zero coupon bond does not pay a regular interest coupon to its holders during the life of the bond. Tax-exempt income to the holder of the bond comes from accretion of the difference between the original purchase price of the bond at issuance and the par value of the bond at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. The market prices of zero coupon bonds generally are more volatile than the market prices of bonds that pay interest periodically.

OUARTERLY PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS AND PROXY VOTING INFORMATION

Each Fund's (i) quarterly portfolio of investments, (ii) information regarding how the Funds voted proxies relating to portfolio securities held during the 12-month period ended June 30, 2007, and (iii) a description of the policies and procedures that the Funds used to determine how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities are available without charge, upon request, by calling Nuveen Investments toll-free at (800) 257-8787 or on Nuveen's website at www.nuveen.com.

You may also obtain this and other Fund information directly from the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). The SEC may charge a copying fee for this information. Visit the SEC on-line at http://www.sec.gov or in person at the SEC's Public Reference Room in Washington, D.C. Call the SEC at 1-202-942-8090 for room hours and operation. You may also request Fund information by sending an e-mail request to publicinfo@sec.gov or by writing to the SEC's Public References Section at 450 Fifth Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20549.

#### CEO CERTIFICATION DISCLOSURE

Each Fund's Chief Executive Officer has submitted to the Exchange the annual CEO certification as required by Section 303A.12(a) of the NYSE Listed Company Manual.

Each Fund has filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission the certification of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

#### INVESTMENT POLICY CHANGES

In February 2007, the Board of Directors voted to remove investment policy restrictions that limited the territorial bond holdings of these Funds to a maximum of 10 percent of net assets. This change will give the Funds' portfolio manager greater flexibility to achieve its investment objectives.

In May 2007, the Funds' Board of Directors voted to permit the Funds' to make loans from Fund assets to certain bond issuers. The amounts of these loans are subject to strict limits. This policy is designed to enhance the Funds' ability to meet their Funds' investment objectives by providing for increased portfolio management flexibility, greater diversification potential, and opportunities for increased capital appreciation over time.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS
Robert P. Bremner
Jack B. Evans
William C. Hunter
David J. Kundert
William J. Schneider
Timothy R. Schwertfeger
Judith M. Stockdale
Carole E. Stone

FUND MANAGER Nuveen Asset Management 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

CUSTODIAN
State Street Bank & Trust Company
Boston, MA

TRANSFER AGENT AND
SHAREHOLDER SERVICES
State Street Bank & Trust Company
Nuveen Funds
P.O. Box 43071
Providence, RI 02940-3071
(800) 257-8787

LEGAL COUNSEL Chapman and Cutler LLP Chicago, IL

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM Ernst & Young LLP Chicago, IL

Each Fund intends to repurchase shares of its own common or preferred stock in the future at such times and in such amounts as is deemed advisable. No shares were repurchased during the period covered by this report. Any future repurchases will be reported to shareholders in the next annual or semiannual report.

95

# Nuveen Investments:

-----

#### SERVING INVESTORS FOR GENERATIONS

Since 1898, financial advisors and their clients have relied on Nuveen Investments to provide dependable investment solutions. For the past century, Nuveen Investments has adhered to the belief that the best approach to investing is to apply conservative risk-management principles to help minimize volatility.

Building on this tradition, we today offer a range of high quality equity and fixed-income solutions that are integral to a well-diversified core portfolio. Our clients have come to appreciate this diversity, as well as our continued adherence to proven, long-term investing principles.

We offer many different investing solutions for our clients' different needs.

Managing \$170 billion in assets, as of September 30, 2007, Nuveen Investments offers access to a number of different asset classes and investing solutions through a variety of products. Nuveen Investments markets its capabilities under six distinct brands: Nuveen, a leader in fixed-income investments; NWQ, a leader in value-style equities; Rittenhouse, a leader in growth-style equities; Symphony, a leading institutional manager of market-neutral alternative investment portfolios; Santa Barbara, a leader in growth equities; and Tradewinds, a leader in global equities.

Find out how we can help you reach your financial goals.

To learn more about the products and services Nuveen Investments offers, talk to your financial advisor, or call us at (800) 257-8787. Please read the information provided carefully before you invest. Be sure to obtain a prospectus, where applicable. Investors should consider the investment objective and policies, risk considerations, charges and expenses of the Fund carefully

before investing. The prospectus contains this and other information relevant to an investment in the Fund. For a prospectus, please contact your securities representative or Nuveen Investments, 333 W. Wacker Dr., Chicago, IL 60606. Please read the prospectus carefully before you invest or send money.

Learn more about Nuveen Funds at: www.nuveen.com/etf

Share prices
Fund details
Daily financial news
Investor education
Interactive planning tools

EAN-A-0807D

ITEM 2. CODE OF ETHICS.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the registrant has adopted a code of ethics that applies to the registrant's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. There were no amendments to or waivers from the Code during the period covered by this report. The registrant has posted the code of ethics on its website at www.nuveen.com/etf. (To view the code, click on the Investor Resources drop down menu box, click on Fund Governance and then click on Code of Conduct.)

#### ITEM 3. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT.

The registrant's Board of Directors or Trustees determined that the registrant has at least one "audit committee financial expert" (as defined in Item 3 of Form N-CSR) serving on its Audit Committee. The registrant's audit committee financial expert is Jack B. Evans, Chairman of the Audit Committee, who is "independent" for purposes of Item 3 of Form N-CSR.

Mr. Evans was formerly President and Chief Operating Officer of SCI Financial Group, Inc., a full service registered broker-dealer and registered investment adviser ("SCI"). As part of his role as President and Chief Operating Officer, Mr. Evans actively supervised the Chief Financial Officer (the "CFO") and actively supervised the CFO's preparation of financial statements and other filings with various regulatory authorities. In such capacity, Mr. Evans was actively involved in the preparation of SCI's financial statements and the resolution of issues raised in connection therewith. Mr. Evans has also served on the audit committee of various reporting companies. At such companies, Mr. Evans was involved in the oversight of audits, audit plans, and the preparation of financial statements. Mr. Evans also formerly chaired the audit committee of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago.

ITEM 4. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

Nuveen California Municipal Value Fund, Inc.

The following tables show the amount of fees that Ernst & Young LLP, the Fund's auditor, billed to the Fund during the Fund's last two full fiscal years. For engagements with Ernst & Young LLP the Audit Committee approved in advance all audit services and non-audit services that Ernst & Young LLP provided to the Fund, except for those non-audit services that were subject to the pre-approval exception under Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X (the "pre-approval exception"). The pre-approval exception for services provided directly to the Fund waives the pre-approval requirement for services other than audit, review or attest

services if: (A) the aggregate amount of all such services provided constitutes no more than 5% of the total amount of revenues paid by the Fund to its accountant during the fiscal year in which the services are provided; (B) the Fund did not recognize the services as non-audit services at the time of the engagement; and (C) the services are promptly brought to the Audit Committee's attention, and the Committee (or its delegate) approves the services before the audit is completed.

The Audit Committee has delegated certain pre-approval responsibilities to its Chairman (or, in his absence, any other member of the Audit Committee).

SERVICES THAT THE FUND'S AUDITOR BILLED TO THE FUND

FISCAL YEAR ENDED		AUDIT-RELATED FEES BILLED TO FUND (2)	
August 31, 2007	\$ 13,057	\$ 0	\$ 500
Percentage approved pursuant to pre-approval exception	0%	0%	0%
August 31, 2006	\$ 12,412	\$ 0	\$ 400
Percentage approved pursuant to pre-approval exception	0%	0%	0%

- (1) "Audit Fees" are the aggregate fees billed for professional services for the audit of the Fund's annual financial statements and services provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.
- (2) "Audit Related Fees" are the aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of financial statements and are not reported under "Audit Fees".
- (3) "Tax Fees" are the aggregate fees billed for professional services for tax advice, tax compliance, and tax planning.

# SERVICES THAT THE FUND'S AUDITOR BILLED TO THE ADVISER AND AFFILIATED FUND SERVICE PROVIDERS

The following tables show the amount of fees billed by Ernst & Young LLP to Nuveen Asset Management ("NAM" or the "Adviser"), and any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with NAM ("Control Affiliate") that provides ongoing services to the Fund ("Affiliated Fund Service Provider"), for engagements directly related to the Fund's operations and financial reporting, during the Fund's last two full fiscal years.

The tables also show the percentage of fees subject to the pre-approval exception. The pre-approval exception for services provided to the Adviser and any Affiliated Fund Service Provider (other than audit, review or attest services) waives the pre-approval requirement if: (A) the aggregate amount of all such services provided constitutes no more than 5% of the total amount of

revenues paid to Ernst & Young LLP by the Fund, the Adviser and Affiliated Fund Service Providers during the fiscal year in which the services are provided that would have to be pre-approved by the Audit Committee; (B) the Fund did not recognize the services as non-audit services at the time of the engagement; and (C) the services are promptly brought to the Audit Committee's attention, and the Committee (or its delegate) approves the services before the Fund's audit is completed.

FISCAL YEAR ENDED		TAX FEES BILLED TO ADVISER AND AFFILIATED FUND SERVICE PROVIDERS (1)	AND AFFILIAT
August 31, 2007	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Percentage approved pursuant to pre-approval exception	0%	0%	0%
August 31, 2006	\$ 0	\$ 2,400	\$ 0
Percentage approved pursuant to pre-approval exception	0%	0%	0%

(1) The amounts reported for the Fund under the column heading "Tax Fees" represents amounts billed to the Adviser exclusively for the preparation for the Fund's tax return, the cost of which is borne by the Adviser. In the aggregate, for all Nuveen funds for which Ernst & Young LLP serves as independent registered public accounting firm, these fees amounted to \$161,400 in 2006. Beginning with fund fiscal years ending August 31, 2006, Ernst & Young LLP will no longer prepare the fund tax returns.

### NON-AUDIT SERVICES

The following table shows the amount of fees that Ernst & Young LLP billed during the Fund's last two full fiscal years for non-audit services. The Audit Committee is required to pre-approve non-audit services that Ernst & Young LLP provides to the Adviser and any Affiliated Fund Services Provider, if the engagement related directly to the Fund's operations and financial reporting (except for those subject to the de minimis exception described above). The Audit Committee requested and received information from Ernst & Young LLP about any non-audit services that Ernst & Young LLP rendered during the Fund's last fiscal year to the Adviser and any Affiliated Fund Service Provider. The Committee considered this information in evaluating Ernst & Young LLP's independence.

FISCAL YEAR ENDED

TOTAL NON-AUDIT FEES
BILLED TO ADVISER AND
AFFILIATED FUND SERVICE
PROVIDERS (ENGAGEMENTS

TOTAL N BILLED T

TOTAL NON-AUDIT FEES OPERATIONS AND FINANCIAL PROVIDE
BILLED TO FUND REPORTING OF THE FUND) ENG

RELATED DIRECTLY TO THE AFFILIATE

August 31, 2007 \$ 500 \$ 0
August 31, 2006 \$ 400 \$ 2,400

"Non-Audit Fees billed to Adviser" for both fiscal year ends represent "Tax Fees" billed to Adviser in their respective amounts from the previous table.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures. Generally, the Audit Committee must approve (i) all non-audit services to be performed for the Fund by the Fund's independent accountants and (ii) all audit and non-audit services to be performed by the Fund's independent accountants for the Affiliated Fund Service Providers with respect to operations and financial reporting of the Fund. Regarding tax and research projects conducted by the independent accountants for the Fund and Affiliated Fund Service Providers (with respect to operations and financial reports of the Fund) such engagements will be (i) pre-approved by the Audit Committee if they are expected to be for amounts greater than \$10,000; (ii) reported to the Audit Committee chairman for his verbal approval prior to engagement if they are expected to be for amounts under \$10,000 but greater than \$5,000; and (iii) reported to the Audit Committee at the next Audit Committee meeting if they are expected to be for an amount under \$5,000.

#### ITEM 5. AUDIT COMMITTEE OF LISTED REGISTRANTS.

The registrant's Board of Directors or Trustees has a separately designated Audit Committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(58)(A)). The members of the audit committee are Robert P. Bremner, Jack B. Evans, William J. Schneider and David J. Kundert. Mr. Eugene S. Sunshine, who also served as a member of the Committee during this reporting period, resigned from the Board of Directors effective July 31, 2007.

ITEM 6. SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS.

See Portfolio of Investments in Item 1.

ITEM 7. DISCLOSURE OF PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

The registrant invests its assets primarily in municipal bonds and cash management securities. On rare occasions the registrant may acquire, directly or through a special purpose vehicle, equity securities of a municipal bond issuer whose bonds the registrant already owns when such bonds have deteriorated or are expected shortly to deteriorate significantly in credit quality. The purpose of acquiring equity securities generally will be to acquire control of the municipal bond issuer and to seek to prevent the credit deterioration or facilitate the liquidation or other workout of the distressed issuer's credit problem. In the course of exercising control of a distressed municipal issuer, NAM may pursue the registrant's interests in a variety of ways, which may entail negotiating and executing consents, agreements and other arrangements, and otherwise influencing the management of the issuer. NAM does not consider such activities proxy voting for purposes of Rule 206(4)-6 under the 1940 Act, but nevertheless provides reports to the registrant's Board of Trustees on its control activities on a quarterly basis.

In the rare event that a municipal issuer were to issue a proxy or that the registrant were to receive a proxy issued by a cash management security, NAM

would either engage an independent third party to determine how the proxy should be voted or vote the proxy with the consent, or based on the instructions, of the registrant's Board of Trustees or its representative. A member of NAM's legal department would oversee the administration of the voting, and ensure that records were maintained in accordance with Rule 206(4)-6, reports were filed with the SEC on Form N-PX, and the results provided to the registrant's Board of Trustees and made available to shareholders as required by applicable rules.

ITEM 8. PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OF CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

The Portfolio Manager

The following individual has primary responsibility for the day-to-day implementation of the registrant's investment strategies:

Name Fund

Scott R. Romans Nuveen California Municipal Value Fund, Inc.

Other Accounts Managed. In addition to managing the registrant, the portfolio manager is also primarily responsible for the day-to-day portfolio management of the following accounts:

Portfolio Manager	Type of Account Managed	Number of Accounts	Assets
Scott R. Romans	Registered Investment Company	28	\$5.607 billion
	Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$0
	Other Accounts	3	\$.52 million

o Assets are as of August 31, 2007. None of the assets in these accounts are subject to an advisory fee based on performance.

Compensation. Each portfolio manager's compensation consists of three basic elements--base salary, cash bonus and long-term incentive compensation. The compensation strategy is to annually compare overall compensation, including these three elements, to the market in order to create a compensation structure that is competitive and consistent with similar financial services companies. As discussed below, several factors are considered in determining each portfolio manager's total compensation. In any year these factors may include, among others, the effectiveness of the investment strategies recommended by the portfolio manager's investment team, the investment performance of the accounts managed by the portfolio manager, and the overall performance of Nuveen Investments, Inc. (the parent company of NAM). Although investment performance is a factor in determining the portfolio manager's compensation, it is not necessarily a decisive factor. The portfolio manager's performance is evaluated in part by comparing manager's performance against a specified investment benchmark. This fund-specific benchmark is a customized subset (limited to bonds in each Fund's specific state and with certain maturity parameters) of the S&P/Investortools Municipal Bond index, an index comprised of bonds held by managed municipal bond fund customers of Standard & Poor's Securities Pricing, Inc. that are priced daily and whose fund holdings aggregate at least \$2 million. As of September 30, 2007, the S&P/Investortools Municipal Bond index was comprised of 51,709 securities with an aggregate current market value of \$999 billion.

Base salary. Each portfolio manager is paid a base salary that is set at a level determined by NAM in accordance with its overall compensation strategy discussed

above. NAM is not under any current contractual obligation to increase a portfolio manager's base salary.

Cash bonus. Each portfolio manager is also eligible to receive an annual cash bonus. The level of this bonus is based upon evaluations and determinations made by each portfolio manager's supervisors, along with reviews submitted by his peers. These reviews and evaluations often take into account a number of factors, including the effectiveness of the investment strategies recommended to the NAM's investment team, the performance of the accounts for which he serves as portfolio manager relative to any benchmarks established for those accounts, his effectiveness in communicating investment performance to stockholders and their representatives, and his contribution to the NAM's investment process and to the execution of investment strategies. The cash bonus component is also impacted by the overall performance of Nuveen Investments, Inc. in achieving its business objectives.

Long-term incentive compensation. Each portfolio manager is eligible to receive bonus compensation in the form of equity-based awards issued in securities issued by Nuveen Investments, Inc. The amount of such compensation is dependent upon the same factors articulated for cash bonus awards but also factors in his long-term potential with the firm.

Material Conflicts of Interest. Each portfolio manager's simultaneous management of the registrant and the other accounts noted above may present actual or apparent conflicts of interest with respect to the allocation and aggregation of securities orders placed on behalf of the Registrant and the other account. NAM, however, believes that such potential conflicts are mitigated by the fact that the NAM has adopted several policies that address potential conflicts of interest, including best execution and trade allocation policies that are designed to ensure (1) that portfolio management is seeking the best price for portfolio securities under the circumstances, (2) fair and equitable allocation of investment opportunities among accounts over time and (3) compliance with applicable regulatory requirements. All accounts are to be treated in a non-preferential manner, such that allocations are not based upon account performance, fee structure or preference of the portfolio manager. In addition, NAM has adopted a Code of Conduct that sets forth policies regarding conflicts of interest.

Beneficial Ownership of Securities. As of the August 31, 2007, the portfolio manager beneficially owned the following dollar range of equity securities issued by the Registrant and other Nuveen Funds managed by NAM's municipal investment team.

DOLLAR EQUITY SECURITI
RANGE OF BENEFICIALLY OW
EQUITY IN THE PROPERTY OF T SECURITIES NUVEEN FUNDS BENEFICIALLY MANAGED BY NAM' NAME OF PORTFOLIO OWNED IN MUNICIPAL MANAGER FUND INVESTMENT TEAM Scott R. Romans Nuveen California Municipal Value Fund, Inc. \$0 \$10,000-\$50,000

PORTFOLIO MANAGER BIO:

DOLLAR RANGE OF

Scott R. Romans, PhD, joined Nuveen Investments in 2000 as a senior analyst in the education sector. In 2003, he was assigned management responsibility for several closed— and open—ended municipal bond funds most of which are state funds covering California and other western states. He has been Vice President of NAM since 2004, Portfolio Manager since 2003, and was, formerly, Assistant Vice President (2003—2004) and Senior Analyst (2000—2003). Currently, he manages investments for 29 Nuveen—sponsored investment companies. He holds an undergraduate degree from the University of Pennsylvania and an MA and PhD from the University of Chicago.

ITEM 9. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS.

Not applicable.

ITEM 10. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may recommend nominees to the registrants Board implemented after the registrant last provided disclosure in response to this item.

#### ITEM 11. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

- (a) The registrant's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, have concluded that the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act") (17 CFR 270.30a-3(c))) are effective, as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of this report that includes the disclosure required by this paragraph, based on their evaluation of the controls and procedures required by Rule 30a-3(b) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-3(b)) and Rules 13a-15(b) or 15d-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") (17 CFR 240.13a-15(b) or 240.15d-15(b)).
- (b) There were no changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-3(d)) that occurred during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

#### ITEM 12. EXHIBITS.

File the exhibits listed below as part of this Form. Letter or number the exhibits in the sequence indicated.

- (a) (1) Any code of ethics, or amendment thereto, that is the subject of the disclosure required by Item 2, to the extent that the registrant intends to satisfy the Item 2 requirements through filing of an exhibit: Not applicable because the code is posted on registrant's website at www.nuveen.com/etf and there were no amendments during the period covered by this report. (To view the code, click on the Investor Resources drop down menu box, click on Fund Governance and then Code of Conduct.)
- (a) (2) A separate certification for each principal executive officer and principal financial officer of the registrant as required by Rule 30a-2(a) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2(a)) in the exact form set forth below: Ex-99.CERT Attached hereto.
- (a) (3) Any written solicitation to purchase securities under Rule 23c-1 under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.23c-1) sent or given during the period covered by the

report by or on behalf of the registrant to 10 or more persons. Not applicable.

(b) If the report is filed under Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, provide the certifications required by Rule 30a-2(b) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2(b)); Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13a-14(b) or 240.15d-14(b)), and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350) as an exhibit. A certification furnished pursuant to this paragraph will not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78r), or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference. Ex-99.906 CERT attached hereto.

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Kevin J. McCarthy
Vice President and Secretary

Date: November 9, 2007

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By (Signature and Title) \* /s/ Gifford R. Zimmerman

Gifford R. Zimmerman Chief Administrative Officer (principal executive officer)

Date: November 9, 2007

By (Signature and Title) \* /s/ Stephen D. Foy

Stephen D. Foy Vice President and Controller (principal financial officer)

Date: November 9, 2007

<sup>\*</sup> Print the name and title of each signing officer under his or her signature.