

NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORP
Form 10-Q
December 31, 2008

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended November 23, 2008.

or

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission File Number: **1-6453**

National Semiconductor Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

2900 SEMICONDUCTOR DRIVE, P.O. BOX 58090

SANTA CLARA, CALIFORNIA

(Address of principal executive offices)

(408) 721-5000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

95-2095071

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

95052-8090

(Zip Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORP - Form 10-Q

Yes ☒

No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated filer ☒

Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☐

Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes ☐

No ☒

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Title of Each Class

Outstanding at November 23, 2008

Common stock, par value \$0.50 per share

229,368,602

NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION

INDEX

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| <u>Part I. Financial Information</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u> | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) for the Three Months and Six Months Ended November 23, 2008 and November 25, 2007</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) as of November 23, 2008 and May 25, 2008</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended November 23, 2008 and November 25, 2007</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | 6-20 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 21-32 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 32 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 33 |
| <u>Part II. Other Information</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 34-35 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | 36-42 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 43 |
| <u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 44 |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | 44 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 45 |
| <u>Signature</u> | 46 |

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

| (In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts) | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------|----------|---------------------|----------|
| | Nov. 23, | Nov. 25, | Nov. 23, | Nov. 25, |
| | 2008 (Unaudited) | 2007 | 2008 (Unaudited) | 2007 |
| Net sales | \$ 421.6 | \$ 499.0 | \$ 887.2 | \$ 970.5 |
| Cost of sales | 144.2 | 177.8 | 302.6 | 352.4 |
| Gross margin | 277.4 | 321.2 | 584.6 | 618.1 |
| Research and development | 91.6 | 91.9 | 181.2 | 185.7 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 69.5 | 82.8 | 151.3 | 158.3 |
| Severance and restructuring expenses (recovery) | 28.1 | - | 29.2 | (1.5) |
| Other operating (income) expense, net | (0.1) | 2.7 | (0.2) | (0.4) |
| Operating expenses, net | 189.1 | 177.4 | 361.5 | 342.1 |
| Operating income | 88.3 | 143.8 | 223.1 | 276.0 |
| Interest income | 3.8 | 10.1 | 7.6 | 21.3 |
| Interest expense | (18.7) | (23.7) | (37.0) | (43.3) |
| Other non-operating expense, net | (12.3) | (0.8) | (15.1) | (1.9) |
| Income before taxes | 61.1 | 129.4 | 178.6 | 252.1 |
| Income tax expense | 24.8 | 38.8 | 62.7 | 75.9 |
| Net income | \$ 36.3 | \$ 90.6 | \$ 115.9 | \$ 176.2 |
| Earnings per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.51 | \$ 0.67 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.33 | \$ 0.49 | \$ 0.63 |
| Weighted-average common and potential common shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | 228.0 | 258.9 | 228.9 | 264.5 |
| Diluted | 234.0 | 271.5 | 237.6 | 277.7 |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| (In Millions, Except Share Amounts) | Nov. 23, 2008 (Unaudited) | May 25, 2008 |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 785.9 | \$ 736.8 |
| Receivables, less allowances of \$24.8 in fiscal 2009 and \$25.4 in fiscal 2008 | 125.9 | 137.3 |
| Inventories | 154.5 | 148.6 |
| Deferred tax assets | 82.6 | 82.9 |
| Other current assets | 35.1 | 66.0 |
| Total current assets | 1,184.0 | 1,171.6 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 559.3 | 557.3 |
| Goodwill | 60.5 | 60.5 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 236.5 | 247.5 |
| Other assets | 108.2 | 112.2 |
| Total assets | \$ 2,148.5 | \$ 2,149.1 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt | \$ 62.5 | \$ 62.5 |
| Accounts payable | 58.2 | 53.5 |
| Accrued expenses | 187.9 | 180.3 |
| Income taxes payable | 11.6 | 12.3 |
| Total current liabilities | 320.2 | 308.6 |
| Long-term debt, net of current portion | 1,383.4 | 1,414.8 |
| Long-term income taxes payable | 155.9 | 143.4 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 75.9 | 85.4 |
| Total liabilities | 1,935.4 | 1,952.2 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock of \$0.50 par value | | |
| Authorized 850,000,000 shares. Issued and outstanding 229,368,602 in fiscal 2009 and 232,587,464 in fiscal 2008 | 114.7 | 116.3 |
| Additional paid-in-capital | 7.4 | - |
| Retained earnings | 178.3 | 167.4 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (87.3) | (86.8) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 213.1 | 196.9 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 2,148.5 | \$ 2,149.1 |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| (In Millions) | Six Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------------|------------------|
| | Nov. 23, 2008 (Unaudited) | Nov. 25, 2007 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 115.9 | \$ 176.2 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income with net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 61.5 | 65.4 |
| Share-based compensation | 35.5 | 47.6 |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based payment arrangements | (4.3) | (13.0) |
| Tax benefit associated with stock options | 6.5 | 22.2 |
| Loss on investments | 15.1 | 1.7 |
| Gain on disposal of equipment | (0.6) | (0.5) |
| Other, net | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Changes in certain assets and liabilities, net: | | |
| Receivables | 8.9 | (38.9) |
| Inventories | (5.7) | 26.6 |
| Other current assets | 1.7 | 15.6 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | (4.9) | 17.6 |
| Current and deferred income taxes | 48.8 | 14.2 |
| Other non-current liabilities | (24.8) | (25.1) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 254.0 | 310.1 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchase of property, plant and equipment | (55.1) | (52.5) |
| Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment | 0.6 | 16.0 |
| Proceeds from sale of investments | - | 0.2 |
| Funding of benefit plan | (0.5) | (5.1) |
| Other, net | - | (2.6) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (55.0) | (44.0) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from unsecured senior notes, net of issuance costs | - | 992.9 |
| Proceeds from bank borrowings, net of issuance costs | - | 1,996.5 |
| Repayment of bank borrowing | (31.4) | (1,515.6) |
| Payment on software license obligations | - | (8.4) |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based payment arrangements | 4.3 | 13.0 |
| Minimum tax withholding paid on behalf of employees for net share settlements | (0.2) | (14.1) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 33.5 | 76.3 |
| Purchase and retirement of treasury stock | (128.4) | (1,780.1) |
| Cash dividends declared and paid | (27.7) | (21.3) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (149.9) | (260.8) |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | 49.1 | 5.3 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 736.8 | 828.6 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 785.9 | \$ 833.9 |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies***Operations***

We design, develop, manufacture and market a wide range of semiconductor products, most of which are analog and mixed-signal integrated circuits. We create energy-efficient analog and mixed-signal semiconductors. Our PowerWise® products enable systems that consume less power, extend battery life and generate less heat.

Basis of Presentation

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (including normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of National Semiconductor Corporation and our majority-owned subsidiaries. You should not expect interim results of operations necessarily to be indicative of the results for the full fiscal year. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. This report should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 25, 2008.

Earnings Per Share

A reconciliation of the shares used in the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share follows:

| (In Millions) | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Nov. 23, 2008 | Nov. 25, 2007 | Nov. 23, 2008 | Nov. 25, 2007 |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 36.3 | \$ 90.6 | \$ 115.9 | \$ 176.2 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding used for basic earnings per share | 228.0 | 258.9 | 228.9 | 264.5 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units | 6.0 | 12.6 | 8.7 | 13.2 |
| Weighted-average common and potential common shares outstanding used for diluted earnings per share | 234.0 | 271.5 | 237.6 | 277.7 |
| Anti-dilutive common equivalent shares: | | | | |
| Stock options: | | | | |
| Number of shares | 42.7 | 23.7 | 35.1 | 21.9 |

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Weighted-average exercise price | \$ 23.39 | \$ 27.37 | \$ 24.69 | \$ 27.30 |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|

Anti-dilutive common equivalent shares are not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share. For the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2009 and 2008, the effect of these shares was anti-dilutive because the exercise price of the related stock options exceeded the average market price during the period. Shares related to outstanding stock options at November 23, 2008 that were anti-dilutive could potentially dilute basic earnings per share in the future.

Page 6 of 46

Share-Based Compensation

Share-based compensation expense included in operating results for fiscal 2009 and 2008 is presented in the following table:

| (In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts) | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Nov. 23, 2008 | Nov. 25, 2007 | Nov. 23, 2008 | Nov. 25, 2007 |
| Cost of sales: | | | | |
| Gross compensation | \$ 4.2 | \$ 5.8 | \$ 8.1 | \$ 10.8 |
| Capitalized in inventory during the period | (3.8) | (4.6) | (7.3) | (8.6) |
| Realized from inventory during the period | 3.6 | 4.3 | 7.1 | 8.2 |
| | 4.0 | 5.5 | 7.9 | 10.4 |
| Research and development | 6.4 | 7.7 | 12.8 | 14.7 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 5.7 | 14.4 | 14.8 | 22.5 |
| Total share-based compensation included in income before taxes | 16.1 | 27.6 | 35.5 | 47.6 |
| Income tax benefit | (4.5) | (8.8) | (10.8) | (14.8) |
| Total share-based compensation, net of tax, included in net income | \$ 11.6 | \$ 18.8 | \$ 24.7 | \$ 32.8 |
| Share-based compensation effects on earnings per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.12 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.12 |
| Share-based compensation capitalized in inventory | \$ 2.2 | \$ 2.7 | \$ 2.2 | \$ 2.7 |
| Total gross share-based compensation | \$ 16.3 | \$ 27.9 | \$ 35.7 | \$ 48.0 |

The fair value of share-based awards to employees was estimated using a Black-Scholes option pricing model that used the following weighted-average assumptions:

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Six Months Ended | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---|------------------|---|------------------|---|------------------|---|
| | Nov. 23, 2008 | | Nov. 25, 2007 | | Nov. 23, 2008 | | Nov. 25, 2007 | |
| Stock Option Plans | | | | | | | | |
| Expected life (in years) | 3.7 | | 4.1 | | 3.7 | | 4.1 | |
| Expected volatility | 49 | % | 35 | % | 39 | % | 32 | % |
| Risk-free interest rate | 2.2 | % | 3.9 | % | 2.8 | % | 4.9 | % |
| Dividend yield | 2.1 | % | 0.7 | % | 1.1 | % | 0.5 | % |
| Stock Purchase Plans | | | | | | | | |
| Expected life (in years) | 0.8 | | 0.8 | | 0.8 | | 0.8 | |

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|
| Expected volatility | 38 | % | 34 | % | 38 | % | 34 | % |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.2 | % | 4.1 | % | 1.2 | % | 4.1 | % |
| Dividend yield | 1.5 | % | 0.7 | % | 1.5 | % | 0.7 | % |

For all options granted after December 31, 2007, we determine expected life based on historical stock option exercise experience for the last four years, adjusted for our expectation of future exercise activity. For options granted prior to January 1, 2008, we use the simplified method specified by the SEC's Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 to determine the expected life of stock options. The expected volatility is based on implied volatility and is determined based on our traded options, which are actively traded on several exchanges. We derive the implied volatility using the closing prices of traded options during a period that closely matches the timing of the option grant. The traded options selected for our

measurement are near-the-money and close to the exercise price of the option grants and have terms ranging from one to two years. The risk-free interest rate is based upon interest rates that match the expected life of the outstanding options under our employee stock option plans and of purchase rights under our employee stock purchase plan. The dividend yield is based on recent history and our expectation of dividend payouts.

The weighted-average fair value of stock options granted during the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2009 was \$4.37 and \$6.18 per share, respectively. The weighted-average fair value of stock options granted during the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2008 was \$8.07 and \$8.96 per share, respectively. The weighted-average fair value of rights granted under the stock purchase plan was \$4.72 per share for both the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2009 since there are no longer rights granted under the stock purchase plan in the first fiscal quarter. The weighted-average fair value of rights granted under the stock purchase plans was \$7.40 per share for both the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2008.

Note 2. Fair Value Measurements

At the beginning of fiscal 2009, we adopted SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value," except as it applies to those non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities for which FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. FAS 157-2 has delayed the effective date of adoption until the beginning of our fiscal 2010. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. The adoption of SFAS No. 157 in fiscal 2009 had no significant effect on either our consolidated financial position or results of operations since it did not result in a significant change in our calculation of fair value for affected assets and liabilities. We are currently evaluating the effect of SFAS No. 157 on our consolidated financial statements as it relates to non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities. We have not yet determined whether it will result in a change to our fair value measurements for those non-financial assets and liabilities.

We measure fair value to record our cash equivalents, the deferred compensation plan assets and the corresponding deferred compensation plan liability. The measurement of fair value for our long-term debt is used to provide annual disclosure in accordance with SFAS No. 107, "Disclosure about Fair Value of Financial Instruments," and is not used to record the carrying value of our long-term debt.

SFAS No. 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. A fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell the asset or transfer the liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability.

SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under SFAS No. 157 are described below:

- *Level 1.* Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that an entity has the ability to access.

Level 1 assets and liabilities include our investments in institutional money-market funds that are classified as cash equivalents, the investment funds of the deferred compensation plan assets and the corresponding deferred compensation plan liability, where the respective financial instruments are traded in an active market with sufficient volume and frequency of activity.

- *Level 2.* Valuations based on quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 2 assets and liabilities include our investments in commercial paper that are classified as cash equivalents and our senior notes that represent long-term debt instruments that are less actively traded in the market, but where quoted market prices exist for similar instruments that are actively traded.

- *Level 3.* Valuations based on inputs that are supported by little or no market activity, and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 liabilities include our unsecured term loan with a bank, where we determine fair value based on unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances and take into consideration assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the liability.

As of November 23, 2008, assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis include only the following level 1 assets and liabilities:

| (In Millions) | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Instruments |
|--|---|
| (Level 1) | |
| Assets | |
| Institutional money-market funds | \$ 274.9 |
| Investment funds – Deferred compensation plan assets | 39.2 |
| Total assets measured at fair value | \$ 314.1 |
| Liabilities | |
| Deferred compensation plan liability | \$ 39.2 |
| Total liabilities measured at fair value | \$ 39.2 |

Our institutional money-market funds and the various investment funds of the deferred compensation plan assets are traded in an active market and the net asset value of each fund on the last day of the quarter is used to determine its fair value and the fair value of the corresponding deferred compensation plan liability. We continued to make investments in commercial paper during the second quarter of fiscal 2009. However, all of our investments in commercial paper matured prior to November 23, 2008.

Note 3. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Detail*Condensed consolidated balance sheets:*

| (In Millions) | Nov. 23, 2008 | May 25, 2008 |
|--|------------------|-----------------|
| <u>Receivable allowances:</u> | | |
| Doubtful accounts | \$ 1.2 | \$ 1.3 |
| Returns and allowances | 23.6 | 24.1 |
| Total receivable allowances | \$ 24.8 | \$ 25.4 |
| <u>Inventories:</u> | | |
| Raw materials | \$ 9.1 | \$ 8.9 |
| Work in process | 97.0 | 93.7 |
| Finished goods | 48.4 | 46.0 |
| Total inventories | \$ 154.5 | \$ 148.6 |
| <u>Property, plant and equipment:</u> | | |
| Total property, plant and equipment | \$ 2,643.3 | \$ 2,626.5 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | (2,084.0) | (2,069.2) |
| Total property, plant and equipment, net | \$ 559.3 | \$ 557.3 |
| <u>Other assets:</u> | | |
| Deposits | \$ 22.1 | \$ 16.1 |
| Debt issuance costs | 7.6 | 8.7 |
| Income tax receivable | 21.8 | 20.0 |
| Deferred compensation plan assets | 39.2 | 53.7 |
| Other | 17.5 | 13.7 |
| Total other assets | \$ 108.2 | \$ 112.2 |
| <u>Accrued expenses:</u> | | |
| Payroll and employee related | \$ 76.9 | \$ 100.5 |
| Severance and restructuring expenses | 31.6 | 15.3 |
| Accrued interest payable | 25.6 | 25.4 |
| Dividends payable | 18.4 | - |
| Other | 35.4 | 39.1 |
| Total accrued expenses | \$ 187.9 | \$ 180.3 |

| | Nov. 23, 2008 | May 25, 2008 |
|---|------------------|-----------------|
| <u>Other non-current liabilities:</u> | | |
| Accrued pension cost | \$ 10.4 | \$ 13.9 |
| Deferred compensation plan liability | 39.2 | 53.7 |
| Other | 26.3 | 17.8 |
| Total other non-current liabilities | \$ 75.9 | \$ 85.4 |
| <u>Accumulated other comprehensive loss:</u> | | |
| Defined benefit pension plans | \$ (86.8) | \$ (86.8) |
| Other | (0.5) | - |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive loss | \$ (87.3) | \$ (86.8) |
| Condensed consolidated statements of income: | | |

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Nov. 23, 2008 | Nov. 25, 2007 | Nov. 23, 2008 | Nov. 25, 2007 |
| <u>Other operating (income) expense, net</u> | | | | |
| Net intellectual property income | \$ (0.1) | \$ (0.1) | \$ (0.2) | \$ (0.1) |
| Gain on sale of manufacturing plant assets | - | - | - | (3.1) |
| Litigation settlement | - | 3.3 | - | 3.3 |
| Other | - | (0.5) | - | (0.5) |
| Total other operating (income) expense, net | \$ (0.1) | \$ 2.7 | \$ (0.2) | \$ (0.4) |
| <u>Other non-operating expense, net</u> | | | | |
| Trading securities: | | | | |
| Change in net unrealized holding losses | \$ (12.3) | \$ (0.8) | \$ (15.1) | \$ (1.9) |
| Non-marketable investments: | | | | |
| Gain from sale | - | 0.2 | - | 0.2 |
| Charitable contribution | - | (0.2) | - | (0.2) |
| Total other non-operating expense, net | \$ (12.3) | \$ (0.8) | \$ (15.1) | \$ (1.9) |

Note 4. Statements of Cash Flows Information

| | Six Months Ended | |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| (In Millions) | Nov. 23, 2008 | Nov. 25, 2007 |
| <u>Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:</u> | | |
| Cash paid for: | | |
| Interest | \$ 35.7 | \$ 13.9 |
| Income taxes | \$ 6.6 | \$ 44.7 |
| <u>Supplemental Schedule of Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities:</u> | | |
| Dividends declared but not yet paid | \$ 18.4 | \$ 15.3 |
| Acquisition of software under license obligations, net | \$ 3.3 | \$ - |

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORP - Form 10-Q

Cancellation of shares withheld for taxes on restricted stock and
performance share unit awards

\$ 0.2

\$ 14.2

Page 11 of 46

Note 5. Cost Reduction and Restructuring Programs

During the second quarter of fiscal 2009, we responded to the uncertain business climate by announcing a global workforce reduction that eliminated approximately 330 positions. These positions were primarily in non-manufacturing functions in our product line, marketing and sales, and general administrative operations. In addition to the workforce reduction, we plan to eliminate certain design centers located in the United States. The closure of related facilities is expected to be completed before the end of fiscal 2009. We expect to incur a total charge of approximately \$29 million in connection with this action, of which approximately \$13 million will be reported under the Analog segment. Of the \$29 million estimated total, \$27.8 million was recorded in the second quarter of fiscal 2009, which included \$27.7 million for severance and \$0.1 million for the impairment of test equipment. A majority of the affected employees, who were mostly located in the United States, departed before the end of the second quarter of fiscal 2009. A portion of the affected employees located in non-U.S. sites are not expected to depart until the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009. Severance amounts are generally paid 30 to 60 days after the employee's actual departure date.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2009, we completed activities related to the plan announced in January 2008 to modernize our facilities and rationalize our capacity. As a result, we recorded an additional \$0.5 million charge in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 for other exit-related costs incurred in connection with dismantling and removing equipment. Charges for those activities totaled \$2.1 million in the first six months of fiscal 2009. The charges were partially offset by gains of \$0.1 million in the second quarter and \$0.5 million in the first six months of fiscal 2009 attributable to the subsequent sale of some of the equipment that had been previously written down.

In addition to the charges described above, we also reversed a liability by \$0.1 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 for the release of other exit-related cost accruals no longer required upon completion of activities related to prior cost reduction actions.

The following table provides further detail of amounts reported as severance and restructuring expenses, net on the condensed consolidated income statement for the second quarter of fiscal 2009:

| (In Millions) | Analog Segment | All Others | Total |
|---|-------------------|---------------|---------|
| Fiscal 2009 workforce reduction: | | | |
| Severance | \$ 11.9 | \$ 15.8 | \$ 27.7 |
| Impairment of equipment | - | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| | 11.9 | 15.9 | 27.8 |
| Manufacturing restructure: | | | |
| Other exit-related costs | - | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Gain from disposal of equipment | - | (0.1) | (0.1) |
| | - | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Release of reserves: | | | |
| Other exit-related costs | - | (0.1) | (0.1) |
| | - | (0.1) | (0.1) |
| Total severance and restructuring expenses, net | \$ 11.9 | \$ 16.2 | \$ 28.1 |

As part of the action related to the workforce reduction announced in April 2008, we decided to close certain facilities primarily used as design centers. We recorded a \$0.1 million charge in the first six months of fiscal 2009 upon vacating a leased facility located in Southern California. In addition, we released \$0.2 million of severance accruals in the first six months of fiscal 2009 after finalizing severance packages with certain terminated employees in foreign locations.

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table provides further detail of amounts reported as severance and restructuring expenses, net on the condensed consolidated income statement for the first six months of fiscal 2009:

| (In Millions) | Analog Segment | All Others | Total |
|---|-------------------|---------------|---------|
| Fiscal 2009 workforce reduction: | | | |
| Severance | \$ 11.9 | \$ 15.8 | \$ 27.7 |
| Impairment of equipment | - | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| | 11.9 | 15.9 | 27.8 |
| Fiscal 2008 workforce reduction: | | | |
| Other exit-related costs | - | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Release of reserves: | | | |
| Severance | (0.2) | - | (0.2) |
| | (0.2) | 0.1 | (0.1) |
| Manufacturing restructure: | | | |
| Other exit-related costs | - | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Gain from disposal of equipment | - | (0.5) | (0.5) |
| | - | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Release of reserves: | | | |
| Other exit-related costs | - | (0.1) | (0.1) |
| | - | (0.1) | (0.1) |
| Total severance and restructuring expenses, net | \$ 11.7 | \$ 17.5 | \$ 29.2 |

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORP - Form 10-Q

Since certain of the above expenses were incurred in connection with actions originally announced in fiscal 2008, the following table presents a summary of cumulative charges through November 23, 2008 for those actions, as well as the fiscal 2009 action:

| (In Millions) | Analog Segment | All Others | Total |
|---|-------------------|---------------|---------|
| Fiscal 2009 workforce reduction: | | | |
| Severance | \$ 11.9 | \$ 15.8 | \$ 27.7 |
| Impairment of equipment | - | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| | 11.9 | 15.9 | 27.8 |
| Fiscal 2008 workforce reduction: | | | |
| Severance | 6.5 | 3.1 | 9.6 |
| Other exit-related costs | - | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Release of reserves: | | | |
| Severance | (0.2) | - | (0.2) |
| | 6.3 | 3.2 | 9.5 |
| Manufacturing restructure: | | | |
| Severance | - | 13.2 | 13.2 |
| Other exit-related costs | - | 5.3 | 5.3 |
| Impairment of equipment | - | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Gain from disposal of equipment | - | (2.3) | (2.3) |
| | - | 20.7 | 20.7 |
| Release of reserves: | | | |
| Other exit-related costs | - | (0.1) | (0.1) |
| | - | (0.1) | (0.1) |
| Total severance and restructuring expenses, net | \$ 18.2 | \$ 39.7 | \$ 57.9 |

The following table provides a summary of the activities through the second quarter of fiscal 2009 related to our cost reduction and restructuring actions included in accrued expenses:

| | Fiscal 2009 Workforce Reduction | Cost Reduction and Restructuring Actions In Prior Years Other Exit- Related | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--------|
| (In Millions) | Severance | Severance Costs | Total |
| Balance at May 25, 2008 | | \$ 14.5 | \$ 0.8 |
| Severance and restructuring expenses | \$ 27.7 | - | 2.2 |

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|---------|
| Cash payments | (0.5) | (10.3) | (2.5) | (13.3) |
| Release of residual reserves | | (0.2) | (0.1) | (0.3) |
| Balance at November 23, 2008 | \$ 27.2 | \$ 4.0 | \$ 0.4 | \$ 31.6 |

During the first six months of fiscal 2009, we paid severance to 144 employees in connection with the workforce reductions announced in fiscal 2009 and 2008, as well as the manufacturing action announced in fiscal 2008. The balance at November 23, 2008 represents activities yet to be completed related to these actions. We expect to complete most of the remaining severance payments for the fiscal 2009 workforce reduction by the end of the fiscal year, while the remaining severance payments for the fiscal 2008 workforce reduction should be completed in the third quarter of fiscal 2009. Excluding the lease obligation, the payments for other exit-related costs are expected to be completed in the third quarter of fiscal 2009. As of November 23, 2008, all of the accrued balance was classified as current.

Note 6. Debt

Our \$20 million multicurrency credit agreement with a bank that provides for multicurrency loans, letters of credit and standby letters of credit was renewed in October 2008. The agreement contains restrictive covenants, conditions and default provisions that require the maintenance of certain financial ratios. As of November 23, 2008, we were in compliance with all financial covenants under the agreement. The agreement expires in October 2009.

Note 7. Income Taxes

We recorded income tax expense of \$24.8 million in the second quarter and \$62.7 million in the first six months of fiscal 2009, which included the write down in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 of foreign deferred tax assets that resulted from a tax holiday granted by the Malaysian government that will be effective for a ten-year period beginning in our fiscal 2010. The effect of the write down of foreign deferred tax assets was partially offset by net tax benefits associated with the recent reinstatement of the federal R&D tax credit. A portion of the gross tax benefit associated with the reinstatement of the federal R&D tax credit was recorded as an unrecognized tax benefit. As of November 23, 2008, our total deferred tax assets were \$319.1 million and long-term income taxes payable was \$155.9 million. For fiscal 2008, we recorded income tax expense of \$38.8 million in the second quarter and \$75.9 million in the first six months of fiscal 2008.

Note 8. Retirement and Pension Plans

Net periodic pension costs for our defined benefit pension plans maintained in the United Kingdom, Germany and Taiwan are presented in the following table:

| (In Millions) | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | Nov 23, 2008 | Nov 25, 2007 | Nov 23, 2008 | Nov 25, 2007 |
| Service cost of benefits earned during the period | \$ 0.9 | \$ 1.3 | \$ 1.9 | \$ 2.8 |
| Plan participant contributions | (0.3) | (0.3) | (0.5) | (0.6) |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 3.9 | 4.4 | 8.2 | 9.0 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (4.4) | (5.6) | (9.2) | (11.2) |
| Net amortization and deferral | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 3.2 |
| Net periodic pension cost | \$ 0.9 | \$ 1.4 | \$ 2.1 | \$ 3.2 |

Total contributions paid to these plans during fiscal 2009 were \$10.5 million in the second quarter and \$11.0 million in the first six months which included a \$10.0 million voluntary contribution to one of our international defined benefit pension plans. Total contributions paid to these plans during fiscal 2008 were \$0.7 million in the second quarter and \$27.7 million in the first six months which included a \$26.2 million contribution to establish a trust fund related to another one of our international defined benefit pension plans. We currently expect our total fiscal 2009 contribution to these plans to be approximately \$16 million.

Note 9. Shareholders' Equity***Stock Repurchase Program***

During the second quarter of fiscal 2009, we repurchased a total of 1.3 million shares of our common stock for \$23.3 million as part of the \$500 million stock repurchase program announced in June 2007. All of these shares were repurchased in the open market and have been cancelled as of November 23, 2008. During the first six months of fiscal 2009, we repurchased a total of 6.2 million shares of our common stock for \$128.4 million as part of the \$500 million stock repurchase program announced in June 2007.

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table provides a summary of the activity under our approved stock repurchase program and the related amount remaining that is available for future common stock repurchases:

| (In Millions) | Stock Repurchase Program June 2007 |
|------------------------------|---|
| Balance at May 25, 2008 | \$ 255.8 |
| Common stock repurchases | (128.4) |
| Balance at November 23, 2008 | \$ 127.4 |

There is no expiration date for the current repurchase program.

Dividends

On September 24, 2008, our Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.08 per outstanding share of common stock, which will be paid on January 5, 2009 to shareholders of record at the close of business on December 15, 2008. This dividend was recorded in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 and is included in accrued expenses at November 23, 2008. We paid cash dividends of \$13.7 million (\$0.06 per outstanding share of common stock) in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 and a total of \$27.7 million in the first six months of fiscal 2009. We paid cash dividends of \$10.5 million (\$0.04 per outstanding share of common stock) in the second quarter of fiscal 2008 and a total of \$21.3 million in the first six months of fiscal 2008.

Note 10. Share-Based Compensation Plans

Stock Option Plans

The following table summarizes the activity of common stock shares related to our stock option plans (excluding the director stock option plan, under which new options can no longer be granted) during the first six months of fiscal 2009:

| | Number of Shares (In Millions) | Weighted-Average Exercise Price |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Outstanding at May 25, 2008 | 54.5 | \$ 19.76 |
| Granted | 6.0 | \$ 20.50 |
| Exercised | (1.9) | \$ 10.57 |
| Forfeited | (1.0) | \$ 24.34 |
| Expired | (0.7) | \$ 26.35 |
| Outstanding at November 23, 2008 | 56.9 | \$ 20.00 |

The total intrinsic value of options exercised in fiscal 2009 was \$1.1 million in the second quarter and \$21.9 million in the first six months. The total intrinsic value of options exercised in fiscal 2008 was \$7.6 million in the second quarter and \$66.9 million in the first six months. Total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock option grants not yet recognized as of November 23, 2008 was \$79.4million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.5years.

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table provides additional information about total options outstanding under the stock option plans (excluding the director stock option plan) at November 23, 2008:

| | Number of | | Aggregate | Weighted-Average |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|
| | Shares | Weighted-Average | Intrinsic | Remaining |
| | (In Millions) | Exercise Price | Value | Contractual Life |
| | | | (In Millions) | (In Years) |
| Fully vested and expected to vest | 55.6 | \$19.92 | \$15.1 | 2.8 |
| Currently exercisable | 43.9 | \$19.05 | \$15.1 | 2.3 |

Other Stock Plans

The following table provides a summary of activity during the first six months of fiscal 2009 for non-vested restricted shares and restricted stock units (excluding units granted with performance based restrictions):

| | Number of Shares (In Thousands) | Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Outstanding at May 25, 2008 | 475.4 | \$ 23.21 |
| Granted/Issued | 43.0 | \$ 20.26 |
| Vested | (45.8) | \$ 21.10 |
| Forfeited | (13.5) | \$ 21.97 |
| Outstanding at November 23, 2008 | 459.1 | \$ 23.18 |

The total fair value of shares vested in fiscal 2009 was \$0.1 million in the second quarter and \$0.9 million in the first six months. The total fair value of shares vested in fiscal 2008 was \$0.9 million in the second quarter and \$1.5 million in the first six months. Total unrecognized compensation cost related to shares granted that have not vested as of November 23, 2008 was \$6.6 million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.3 years.

Under the director stock plan, we issued a total of 90,716 shares of common stock to our directors in the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2009. Total unrecognized compensation cost as of November 23, 2008 related to non-vested awards granted under this plan was \$0.5 million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.0 years.

Under the Executive Officer Equity Plan (EOEP), options for 490,000 shares of common stock were granted to executive officers in July 2008; these shares are included in the amounts presented in the table above that summarizes stock option activity. With respect to performance share units under the EOEP, no shares were issued in July 2008 upon completion of the second two-year performance period because minimum performance thresholds were not achieved. Targets for a third two-year performance period, which is still in progress, were established in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 and will be measured after the end of fiscal 2009. In July 2008, targets for a fourth two-year performance period were established and will be measured after the end of fiscal 2010. Total unrecognized compensation cost related to performance share units not yet vested as of November 23, 2008 was \$2.7 million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 0.5 years. The decrease in this balance compared to the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2009 was due primarily to lower estimated achievement levels against the established performance targets for all outstanding performance units. A significant factor in this trend is the recent and significant deterioration in the global economy which in turn is causing a significant contraction in estimated semiconductor demand. As a result, operating results for the second quarter of fiscal 2009 reflect a \$2.2 million net recovery of compensation expense.

Subsequent to the end of our second quarter of fiscal 2009, the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors approved retention arrangements for our executive officers on November 25, 2008 that provide a cash retention payment to each executive officer on or about November 30, 2010. The amount of the retention payment for each executive officer as set forth under each arrangement will be adjusted depending upon pre-established ranges of the average daily closing price of our common stock at the end of the second quarter of fiscal 2011 and is limited to a maximum amount.

Note 11. Segment Information

The following table presents information related to our reportable segments:

| (In Millions) | Analog Segment | All Others | Total |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|----------|
| Three months ended November 23, 2008: | | | |
| Sales to unaffiliated customers | \$ 414.2 | \$ 7.4 | \$ 421.6 |
| Segment income (loss) before taxes | \$ 93.6 | \$ (32.5) | \$ 61.1 |
| Three months ended November 25, 2007: | | | |
| Sales to unaffiliated customers | \$ 485.8 | \$ 13.2 | \$ 499.0 |
| Segment income (loss) before taxes | \$ 138.5 | \$ (9.1) | \$ 129.4 |
| Six months ended November 23, 2008: | | | |
| Sales to unaffiliated customers | \$ 870.1 | \$ 17.1 | \$ 887.2 |
| Segment income (loss) before taxes | \$ 222.7 | \$ (44.1) | \$ 178.6 |
| Six months ended November 25, 2007: | | | |
| Sales to unaffiliated customers | \$ 945.5 | \$ 25.0 | \$ 970.5 |
| Segment income (loss) before taxes | \$ 265.6 | \$ (13.5) | \$ 252.1 |

The information in the table above for fiscal 2008 has been reclassified to present segment information based on the structure of our operating segments in fiscal 2009. Operating segments that do not meet the criteria in SFAS No. 131 of a reportable segment are combined under the category "All Others" and their sales are generated from foundry and contract service arrangements.

Note 12. Contingencies – Legal Proceedings***Environmental Matters***

We have been named to the National Priorities List for our Santa Clara, California, site and we have completed a remedial investigation/feasibility study with the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), acting as an agent for the Federal Environmental Protection Agency. We have agreed with the RWQCB to a site remediation plan and we are conducting remediation and cleanup efforts at the site. In addition to the Santa Clara site, from time to time we have been designated as a potentially responsible party (PRP) by international, federal and state agencies for certain environmental sites with which we may have had direct or indirect involvement. These designations are made regardless of the extent of our involvement. These claims are in various stages of administrative or judicial proceedings and include demands for recovery of past governmental costs and for future investigations and remedial actions. In many cases, the dollar amounts of the claims have not been specified, and in the case of the PRP matters, claims have been asserted against a number of other entities for the same cost recovery or other relief as is sought from us. We accrue costs associated with environmental matters when they become probable and can be reasonably estimated. The amount of all environmental charges to earnings, including charges for the Santa Clara site remediation, (excluding potential reimbursements from insurance coverage), were not material during the second quarter of fiscal 2009.

We have retained responsibility for environmental claims and matters connected with businesses we sold in fiscal years 1996 and 1997. To date, the costs associated with the liabilities we have retained in these dispositions have not been material and there have been no related legal proceedings.

Tax Matters

We are not currently undergoing any federal tax audits. Our federal tax returns for fiscal years 2005 through 2007 remain subject to possible examination by the IRS. Several U.S. state taxing jurisdictions are examining our state tax returns for fiscal 2004 and 2005, and tax authorities from several foreign jurisdictions are also examining our foreign tax returns. We

believe we have made adequate tax payments and/or accrued adequate amounts such that the outcome of these audits will have no material adverse effect on our financial statements.

Other Matters

In November 2000, a derivative action was brought against us and other defendants by a shareholder of Fairchild Semiconductor International, Inc. The plaintiff seeks recovery of alleged “short-swing” profits under section 16(b) of the Exchange Act from the sale by the defendants in January 2000 of Fairchild common stock. The complaint alleges that Fairchild’s conversion of preferred stock held by the defendants at the time of Fairchild’s initial public offering in August 1999 constitutes a “purchase” that must be matched with the January 2000 sale for purposes of computing the “short-swing” profits. The plaintiff seeks from us alleged recoverable profits of \$14.1 million. The case has completed discovery in the District Court and we filed a motion for summary judgment in June 2004. The SEC proposed clarifying amendments to its Section 16(b) rules in June 2004 which we believe are dispositive of the case and the SEC adopted the rule amendments in August 2005. Oral argument on the briefing ordered by the District Court as to whether the SEC amendments should apply to the case was held in November 2005. In February 2007, the District Court granted our motion for summary judgment. The plaintiff appealed this ruling and the argument on the appeal was heard in the Third Circuit Court of Appeals in March 2008. On October 1, 2008, the Court of Appeals upheld the District Court’s ruling. Plaintiff appealed for a rehearing en banc, which appeal was denied. We do not know if plaintiff will appeal further but we will continue to contest the case through all available means.

In April 2008, LSI Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary Agere Systems Inc. (collectively LSI) instituted an action in the United States International Trade Commission (ITC), naming us and 17 other respondents in an action seeking an exclusion order preventing importation into the United States of semiconductor integrated circuit devices and products made by methods alleged to infringe an LSI patent on the use of tungsten metallization in semiconductor manufacturing. LSI also filed a companion case against the same parties in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas seeking unspecified amounts of monetary damages (trebled because of the alleged willful infringement), royalties, attorneys’ fees, costs and an injunction against further infringement. Since the initiation of both actions, five other parties have been named as respondents/defendants in the respective actions and two parties have settled with LSI. The action in the U.S. District Court has been stayed pending the outcome of the ITC action. A hearing on the merits is set for the ITC action in July 2009 with the Administrative Law Judge’s Initial Determination on the merits due September 21, 2009, and the ITC’s Final Decision on the merits due January 21, 2010. The LSI patent-in-suit expires July 13, 2010. Discovery in the ITC investigation is on-going. In July 2008, we brought an action in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware against LSI alleging infringement of two of our patents, one related to a semiconductor process and the other related to voltage controllers. In September 2008, we amended our complaint to add assertion of infringement by LSI of three additional National patents and adding Best Buy as a defendant for infringement of one of the patents. We are seeking an injunction against further infringement, a finding of willful infringement, unspecified amounts of monetary damages (trebled because of the alleged willful infringement), attorneys’ fees and costs. In December 2008, LSI moved to add counterclaims of infringement by National of four LSI patents. The Delaware Court has not yet set a schedule for the Delaware case. It is too early in any of the proceedings for us to make any estimate of a probable outcome and range of potential loss. We intend to contest all actions through all available means.

In May 2008, eTool Development, Inc. and eTool Patent Holdings Corporation (collectively eTool) brought suit in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas alleging that our WEBENCH® online design tools infringe an eTool patent and seeking an injunction and unspecified amounts of monetary damages (trebled because of the alleged willful infringement), attorneys’ fees and costs. We have filed an answer to the complaint and counterclaims for declaratory judgment of non-infringement and invalidity of the patent. It is too early in the proceedings for us to make any estimate of a probable outcome and range of potential loss. We intend to contest the case through all available means.

We are currently a party to various claims and legal proceedings, including those noted above. We make provisions for a liability when it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. We believe we have made adequate provisions for potential liability in litigation matters. We review these provisions at least quarterly and adjust these provisions to reflect the impact of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel and other information and events pertaining to a particular case. Based on the information that is currently available to us, we believe that the ultimate outcome of litigation matters, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or consolidated financial position. However, litigation is inherently unpredictable. If an unfavorable ruling or outcome were to occur, there is a possibility of a material adverse effect on results of operations or our consolidated financial position.

Contingencies -- Other

In connection with our past divestitures, we have routinely provided indemnities to cover the indemnified party for matters such as environmental, tax, product and employee liabilities. We also routinely include intellectual property indemnification provisions in our terms of sale, development agreements and technology licenses with third parties. Since maximum obligations are not explicitly stated in these indemnification provisions, the potential amount of future maximum payments cannot be reasonably estimated. To date we have incurred minimal losses associated with these indemnification obligations and as a result, we have not recorded any liabilities in our consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This MD&A contains a number of forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These statements are based on our current expectations and could be affected by the uncertainties and risk factors described throughout this filing and particularly in Part II of Form 10-Q "Item 1A: Risk Factors" and business outlook section of this MD&A. These statements relate to, among other things, sales, gross margins, operating expenses, capital expenditures, R&D efforts, asset dispositions, acquisition of and investments in other companies, and are indicated by words or phrases such as "anticipate," "expect," "outlook," "foresee," "believe," "could," "intend," "will," and similar words or phrases. These statements involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from expectations. These forward-looking statements should not be relied upon as predictions of future events as we cannot assure you that the events or circumstances reflected in these statements will be achieved or will occur. For a discussion of some of the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our forward-looking statements, see the discussion on risk factors that appears in Part II, Item 1A of this Form 10-Q and other risks and uncertainties detailed in this and our other reports and filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We undertake no obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect developments or information obtained after the date hereof and disclaim any obligation to do so.

This discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended November 23, 2008 and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 25, 2008.

Strategy and Business

We design, develop, manufacture and market a wide range of semiconductor products, most of which are analog and mixed-signal integrated circuits. Our goal is to be the premier provider of high-performance, energy-efficient analog and mixed-signal solutions. We are focused on the following:

- providing high-performance, analog-intensive solutions that enable customers to effectively differentiate their products;
- targeting our investments on high-growth and high-return markets, particularly by leveraging our strengths in creating energy-efficient circuits and solutions, many of which will fall under our PowerWise® brand;
- strengthening and deepening our relationships with customers;
- using our proprietary technologies to create and maintain our market-leading solutions; and
- consistently delivering superior returns on invested capital to our shareholders.

A large portion of our sales comes from analog products that are classified within the analog standard linear categories (as defined by the World Semiconductor Trade Statistics or WSTS). Beyond the standard linear categories, we also sell analog systems and subsystems that can be more specifically targeted at various applications. We look to create analog-intensive solutions that provide more energy efficiency, greater portability, better audio, sharper images and higher performance in electronic systems. Energy-efficiency is our overarching theme and our PowerWise® products enable systems that consume less power, extend battery life and generate less heat. Our leading-edge products include power management circuits, audio and operational amplifiers, communication interface products and data conversion solutions. Approximately 98 percent of our net sales in the first six months of fiscal 2009 came from our Analog segment, which is comparable to 97 percent in the first six months of fiscal 2008. For more information on our business, see Part I, Item 1, Business, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 25, 2008.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We believe the following critical accounting policies are those policies that have a significant effect on the determination of our financial position and results of operations. These policies also require us to make our most difficult and subjective judgments:

- a) Revenue Recognition

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORP - Form 10-Q

We recognize revenue from the sale of semiconductor products upon shipment, provided we have persuasive evidence of an arrangement typically in the form of a purchase order, title and risk of loss have passed to the customer, the amount is fixed or determinable and collection of the revenue is reasonably assured. We record a provision for estimated future returns at the time of shipment. Approximately 52 percent of our semiconductor product sales were made to distributors in the first six months of fiscal 2009, compared to approximately 54 percent

Page 21 of 46

in the first six months of fiscal 2008. We have agreements with our distributors that cover various programs, including pricing adjustments based on resale pricing and volume, price protection for inventory and scrap allowances. The revenue we record for these distribution sales is net of estimated provisions for these programs. When determining this net distribution revenue, we must make significant judgments and estimates. Our estimates are based upon historical experience rates by geography and product family, inventory levels in the distribution channel, current economic trends, and other related factors. Actual distributor claims activity has been materially consistent with the provisions we have made based on our estimates. However, because of the inherent nature of estimates, there is always a risk that there could be significant differences between actual amounts and our estimates. Our financial condition and operating results are dependent on our ability to make reliable estimates, and we believe that our estimates are reasonable. However, different judgments or estimates could result in variances that might be significant to our operating results.

Service revenues are recognized as the services are provided or as milestones are achieved, depending on the terms of the arrangement. These revenues are included in net sales and totaled \$9.4 million in the first six months of fiscal 2009 and \$13.6 million in the first six months of fiscal 2008.

Certain intellectual property income is classified as revenue if it meets specified criteria established by company policy that defines whether it is considered a source of income from our primary operations. These revenues are included in net sales and totaled \$1.0 million in each of the first six months of fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008. All other intellectual property income that does not meet the specified criteria is not considered a source of income from primary operations and is therefore classified as a component of other operating income, net, in the consolidated statement of income. Intellectual property income is recognized when the license is delivered, the fee is fixed or determinable, collection of the fee is reasonably assured and remaining obligations are perfunctory or inconsequential to the other party.

b) Valuation of Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of standard cost, which approximates actual cost on a first-in, first-out basis, or market. The total carrying value of our inventory is reduced for any difference between cost and estimated market value of inventory that is determined to be obsolete or unmarketable, based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. Reductions in carrying value are deemed to establish a new cost basis. Inventory is not written up if estimates of market value subsequently improve. We evaluate obsolescence by analyzing the inventory aging, order backlog and future customer demand on an individual product basis. If actual demand were to be substantially lower than what we have estimated, we may be required to write inventory down below the current carrying value. While our estimates require us to make significant judgments and assumptions about future events, we believe our relationships with our customers, combined with our understanding of the end-markets we serve, provide us with the ability to make reasonable estimates. The actual amount of obsolete or unmarketable inventory has been materially consistent with previously estimated write-downs we have recorded. We also evaluate the carrying value of inventory for lower-of-cost-or-market on an individual product basis, and these evaluations are intended to identify any difference between net realizable value and standard cost. Net realizable value is used as a measure of market for purposes of evaluating lower-of-cost-or-market and is determined as the selling price of the product less the estimated cost of disposal. When necessary, we reduce the carrying value of inventory to net realizable value. If actual market conditions and resulting product sales were to be less favorable than what we have projected, additional inventory write-downs may be required.

c) Impairment of Goodwill, Intangible Assets and Other Long-lived Assets

We assess the impairment of long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable from the estimated future cash flows expected to result from their use and eventual disposition. Our long-lived assets subject to this evaluation include property, plant and equipment and amortizable intangible assets. Amortizable intangible assets subject to this evaluation include developed technology we have acquired, patents and technology licenses. We assess the impairment of goodwill annually in our fourth fiscal quarter and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that it is more likely than not that an impairment loss has been incurred. We are required to make judgments and assumptions in identifying those events or changes in circumstances that may trigger impairment. Some of the factors we consider include:

- significant decrease in the market value of an asset;

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORP - Form 10-Q

- significant changes in the extent or manner for which the asset is being used or in its physical condition;
- significant change, delay or departure in our business strategy related to the asset;
- significant negative changes in the business climate, industry or economic conditions; and

Page 22 of 46

- current period operating losses or negative cash flow combined with a history of similar losses or a forecast that indicates continuing losses associated with the use of an asset.

Our impairment evaluation of long-lived assets includes an analysis of estimated future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the assets over their remaining estimated useful lives. If the estimated future undiscounted net cash flows are insufficient to recover the carrying value of the assets over the remaining estimated useful lives, we record an impairment loss in the amount by which the carrying value of the assets exceeds the fair value. We determine fair value based on discounted cash flows using a discount rate commensurate with the risk inherent in our current business model. Major factors that influence our cash flow analysis are our estimates for future revenue and expenses associated with the use of the asset. Different estimates could have a significant impact on the results of our evaluation. If, as a result of our analysis, we determine that our amortizable intangible assets or other long-lived assets have been impaired, we will recognize an impairment loss in the period in which the impairment is determined. Any such impairment charge could be significant and could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations.

Our impairment evaluation of goodwill is based on comparing the fair value to the carrying value of our reporting units containing goodwill. Our reporting units are based on our operating segments as defined under SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information." The fair value of a reporting unit is measured at the business unit level using a discounted cash flow approach that incorporates our estimates of future revenues and costs for those business units. As of November 23, 2008 our reporting units containing goodwill include our advanced power, ASIC & telecom, data conversion, infrastructure power products, interface, mobile devices power products, non-audio amplifier and performance power products business units, all of which are operating segments within our Analog reportable segment. The estimates we use in evaluating goodwill are consistent with the plans and estimates that we use to manage the underlying businesses. If we fail to deliver new products for these business units, if the products fail to gain expected market acceptance, or if market conditions for these business units fail to materialize as anticipated, our revenue and cost forecasts may not be achieved and we may incur charges for goodwill impairment, which could be significant and could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

d) Income Taxes

We determine deferred tax assets and liabilities based on the future tax consequences that can be attributed to net operating loss and credit carryovers and differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases, using the enacted tax rate expected to be applied when the taxes are actually paid or recovered. The recognition of deferred tax assets is reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that the tax benefits will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets depends upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which the net operating loss and credit carryovers and differences between financial statement carrying amounts and their respective tax bases become deductible. In determining a valuation allowance, we consider past performance, expected future taxable income and prudent and feasible tax planning strategies. We currently have a valuation allowance that has been established primarily against the reinvestment and investment tax credits related to our operation in Malaysia, as we have concluded that the deferred tax assets will not be realized due to a tax holiday granted by the Malaysian government that will be effective for a ten-year period beginning in our fiscal 2010 and the uncertainty of sufficient taxable income in Malaysia beyond the foreseeable future. Our forecast of expected future taxable income is based on historical taxable income and projections of future taxable income over the periods that the deferred tax assets are deductible. Changes in market conditions that differ materially from our current expectations and changes in future tax laws in the United States and international jurisdictions or changes in our tax structure may cause us to change our judgments of future taxable income. These changes, if any, may require us to adjust the existing tax valuation allowance higher or lower than the amount we currently have recorded; such an adjustment could have a material impact on the tax expense for the fiscal year.

The calculation of tax liabilities involves significant judgment in estimating the impact of uncertainties in the application of complex tax laws. Although FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), which we adopted at the beginning of fiscal 2008, provides further clarification on the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in the financial statements, the threshold and measurement attribute prescribed by FIN 48 will continue to require significant judgment by management. If the ultimate resolution of tax uncertainties is different from what we have currently estimated, the effect of such resolution on our income tax expense could be material.

e) Share-based Compensation

We measure and record compensation expense for all share-based payment awards based on estimated fair values in accordance with SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" (SFAS No. 123 (R)). We provide share-based awards to our employees, executive officers and directors through various equity compensation plans including our employee equity, stock option, stock purchase and restricted stock plans. The fair value of stock option and stock purchase equity awards is measured at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model and the fair value of restricted stock awards is based on the market price of our common stock on the date of grant. In determining fair value using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, management is required to make certain estimates of the key assumptions such as expected life, expected volatility, dividend yields and risk free interest rates. The estimates of these key assumptions involve judgment regarding subjective future expectations of market price and trends. The assumptions used in determining expected life and expected volatility have the most significant effect on calculating the fair value of share-based awards. For all options granted after December 31, 2007, we determine expected life based on historical stock option exercise experience for the last four years, adjusted for our expectation of future exercise activity. For options granted prior to January 1, 2008, we use the simplified method specified by the SEC's Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 to determine the expected life of stock options. Expected volatility is based on implied volatility, as management has determined that implied volatility better reflects the market's expectation of future volatility than historical volatility. If we were to determine that another method to estimate these assumptions was more reasonable than our current methods, or if another method for calculating these assumptions were to be prescribed by authoritative guidance, the fair value for our share-based awards could change significantly. If the expected volatility and/or expected life were increased under our assumptions, then the Black-Scholes computation of fair value would also increase, thereby resulting in higher compensation costs being recorded.

SFAS No. 123(R) also requires forfeitures to be estimated at the date of grant. Our estimate of forfeitures is based on our historical activity, which we believe is indicative of expected forfeitures. In subsequent periods if the actual rate of forfeitures differs from our estimate, the forfeiture rates may be revised, as necessary. Changes in the estimated forfeiture rates can have a significant effect on share-based compensation expense since the effect of adjusting the rate is recognized in the period the forfeiture estimate is changed.

We also grant performance share units to executive officers that require us to estimate expected achievement of performance targets over a two-year performance period. This estimate involves judgment regarding future expectations of various financial performance measures such as those described in the overview section below. If there are changes in our estimate of the level of financial performance measures expected to be achieved, the related share-based compensation expense may be significantly increased or reduced in the period that our estimate changes.

Overview

We focus on providing leading-edge analog solutions with a large portion of our sales classified within the analog standard linear categories, which the WSTS defines as amplifiers, data converters, regulators and references (power management products), and interface products. In the first six months of fiscal 2009, approximately 98 percent of our total sales came from our Analog segment, compared to 97 percent in the first six months of fiscal 2008. We believe that the success we have achieved in these markets has been driven by our understanding of the analog markets and our circuit design capabilities, especially as they pertain to energy efficiency that is enabled by our products. Our success has also been due to our innovative packaging and proprietary analog process technology, as well as our comprehensive manufacturing supply and logistics network.

Although net sales were lower in the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2009 compared to sales in the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2008, we achieved a higher gross margin percentage in both the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2009 compared to the same periods in fiscal 2008. Our performance in gross margin percentage is attributable to ongoing improvement in our sales mix of higher-value analog products, as well as continued improvements in manufacturing efficiencies and execution relative to the level of factory utilization. We continue to direct our research and development investments on high-value growth areas in analog markets and applications, with particular focus on power management and energy efficiency where our PowerWise® products enable systems that consume less power, extend battery life and generate less heat.

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORP - Form 10-Q

In reviewing our performance, we consider several key financial measures. When reviewing our net sales performance, we look at sales growth rates, new order rates (including turns orders, which are orders received with delivery requested in the same quarter), blended-average selling prices, sales of new products and market share. We gauge our

Page 24 of 46

operating income performance based on gross margin trends, product mix, blended-average selling prices, factory utilization rates and operating expenses relative to sales. We are focused on growing our earnings per share over time while generating a consistently high return on invested capital by concentrating on operating income, working capital management, capital expenditures and cash management. We determine return on invested capital based on net operating income after tax divided by invested capital, which generally consists of total assets reduced by goodwill and non-interest bearing liabilities.

We repurchased a total of 1.3 million shares of our common stock during the second quarter of fiscal 2009 for \$23.3 million. Through the first six months of fiscal 2009, we repurchased a total of 6.2 million shares of our common stock for \$128.4 million. These shares were repurchased in the open market in connection with the \$500 million stock repurchase program announced in June 2007. The stock repurchase activity is one element of our overall program to deliver a consistently high return on invested capital, which we believe improves shareholder value over time.

We continued with the dividend program in the second quarter of fiscal 2009, during which time we paid cash dividends of \$13.7 million (\$0.06 per outstanding share of common stock). Through the first six months of fiscal 2009, we paid a total of \$27.7 million in cash dividends. On September 24, 2008, our Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.08 per outstanding share of common stock, which will be paid on January 5, 2009 to shareholders of record at the close of business on December 15, 2008. This dividend was recorded in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 and is included in accrued expenses at November 23, 2008. We paid cash dividends of \$10.5 million (\$0.04 per outstanding share of common stock) in the second quarter of fiscal 2008 and a total of \$21.3 million in the first six months of fiscal 2008.

The following table and discussion provide an overview of our operating results for the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2009 and 2008:

| (In Millions) | Three Months Ended | | | Six Months Ended | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|
| | Nov. 23, 2008 | % Change | Nov. 25, 2007 | Nov. 23, 2008 | % Change | Nov. 25, 2007 |
| Net sales | \$ 421.6 | (15.5 %) | \$ 499.0 | \$ 887.2 | (8.6 %) | \$ 970.5 |
| Gross margin | \$ 277.4 | | \$ 321.2 | \$ 584.6 | | \$ 618.1 |
| As a % of net sales | 65.8 % | | 64.4 % | 65.9 % | | 63.7 % |
| Operating income | \$ 88.3 | | \$ 143.8 | \$ 223.1 | | \$ 276.0 |
| As a % of net sales | 20.9 % | | 28.8 % | 25.1 % | | 28.4 % |
| Net income | \$ 36.3 | | \$ 90.6 | \$ 115.9 | | \$ 176.2 |
| As a % of net sales | 8.6 % | | 18.2 % | 13.1 % | | 18.2 % |

Net income for the second quarter of fiscal 2009 includes a charge of \$28.1 million for severance and restructuring expenses related to the workforce reduction announced in November 2008 (See Note 5 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements) and other operating income of \$0.1 million (See Note 3 to the Condensed Consolidated Statements). In addition to these amounts, net income for the first six months of fiscal 2009 includes an additional \$1.1 million for severance and restructuring expenses related to the factory modernization effort and workforce reduction announced in fiscal 2008 (See Note 5 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements) and an additional \$0.1 million of other operating income (See Note 3 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements). Net income for the second quarter of fiscal 2008 included other operating expenses, net of \$2.7 million (See Note 3 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements). In addition to this amount, net income for the first six months of fiscal 2008 included a recovery of \$1.5 million arising from the release of a restructuring-related accrual and other operating income of \$3.1 million (See Note 3 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements). All of the charges and credits described above are pretax amounts.

Share-Based Compensation Expense

Our operating results include the recognition of share-based compensation expense under SFAS No. 123R, which totaled \$16.1 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 compared to \$27.6 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2008. In the first six months of fiscal 2009, share-based compensation expense was \$35.5 million compared to \$47.6 million in the first six months of fiscal 2008. Our overall share-based compensation decreased in fiscal 2009 compared to fiscal 2008 primarily due to the drop off of higher compensation expense from stock options granted prior to fiscal 2007 that was determined

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORP - Form 10-Q

based on using historical volatility. For further information and a description of our share-based compensation plans, see Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 25, 2008. See also Note 1 and Note 10 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for discussion of and updates regarding our share-based compensation plans and the effect of share-based compensation expense for the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2009 and 2008.

Net Sales

| (In Millions) | Three Months Ended | | | Six Months Ended | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|
| | Nov. 23, 2008 | % Change | Nov. 25, 2007 | Nov. 23, 2008 | % Change | Nov. 25, 2007 |
| Analog segment | \$ 414.2 | (14.7 %) | \$ 485.8 | \$ 870.1 | (8.0 %) | \$ 945.5 |
| As a % of net sales | 98.2 | % | 97.4 % | 98.1 | % | 97.4 % |
| All others | \$ 7.4 | (43.9 %) | \$ 13.2 | \$ 17.1 | (31.6 %) | \$ 25.0 |
| As a % of net sales | 1.8 | % | 2.6 % | 1.9 | % | 2.6 % |
| Total net sales | \$ 421.6 | | \$ 499.0 | \$ 887.2 | | \$ 970.5 |
| | 100.0 | % | 100.0 % | 100.0 | % | 100.0 % |

The chart above and the following discussion are based on our reportable segments described in Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended May 25, 2008.

Analog segment sales were lower in the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2009 compared to the corresponding periods of fiscal 2008 due to lower overall demand from customers, particularly from customers in the wireless handset market. We also experienced a decline in new orders from our distributors, who service a number of customers across a broad range of industries and markets. Unit shipments in our Analog segment decreased 20 percent in the second quarter and 12 percent in the first six months of fiscal 2009 compared to the volume shipped in the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2008. Blended-average selling prices increased 7 percent in the second quarter and 5 percent in the first six months of fiscal 2009 compared to the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2008. The increase in blended-average selling prices was driven mainly by improved sales mix from higher-value products.

Within the Analog segment, net sales from our power management and data conversion business units decreased in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2008 by 16 percent and 8 percent, respectively, and they decreased in the first six months of fiscal 2009 compared to the first six months of fiscal 2008 by 7 percent and 6 percent, respectively. Net sales from the amplifiers business units also decreased in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2008, where sales of audio amplifier products decreased 33 percent and sales of operational amplifier products decreased 11 percent. The net sales from the amplifiers business units in the first six months of fiscal 2009 compared to the first six months of fiscal 2008 also decreased, where sales of audio amplifier products decreased 29 percent and sales of operational amplifier products decreased 6 percent. Net sales from the interface business unit were slightly higher in the first six months of fiscal 2009 compared to the first six months of fiscal 2008, but were slightly lower in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2008.

For other operating business units included in the category described as "All Others," the decrease in sales in the second quarter and in the first six months of fiscal 2009 compared to the corresponding periods of fiscal 2008 was primarily due to declining sales from non-analog business units that are not part of our core focus. The sales from these non-analog business units are generated from foundry and contract service arrangements.

Gross Margin

| (In Millions) | Three Months Ended | | | Six Months Ended | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|
| | Nov. 23, 2008 | % Change | Nov. 25, 2007 | Nov. 23, 2008 | % Change | Nov. 25, 2007 |
| Net Sales | \$ 421.6 | (15.5 %) | \$ 499.0 | \$ 887.2 | (8.6 %) | \$ 970.5 |
| Cost of sales | 144.2 | (18.9 %) | 177.8 | 302.6 | (14.1 %) | 352.4 |
| Gross margin | \$ 277.4 | | \$ 321.2 | \$ 584.6 | | \$ 618.1 |
| As a % of net sales | 65.8 % | | 64.4 % | 65.9 % | | 63.7 % |

We achieved higher gross margin percentages in the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2009 compared to the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2008 despite the decline in net sales in fiscal 2009 compared to fiscal 2008. The higher gross margin percentage was due to improved product mix of higher-margin analog products combined with improvements in manufacturing efficiencies and slightly higher factory utilization. As part of that product mix improvement, our blended-average analog selling prices in fiscal 2009 were higher compared to fiscal 2008. Our analog product portfolio has continued to improve through active efforts to increase the portion of our business that comes from high value, high-performance analog products that are more proprietary in nature. Wafer fabrication capacity utilization (based on wafer starts) was 68 percent for the first six months of fiscal 2009 compared to 65 percent for the first six months of fiscal 2008. Gross margin includes stock-based compensation expense of \$4.0 million in the second quarter and \$7.9 million in the first six months of fiscal 2009 compared to fiscal 2008 which was \$5.5 million in the second quarter and \$10.4 million in the first six months.

Research and Development

| (In Millions) | Three Months Ended | | | Six Months Ended | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|
| | Nov. 23, 2008 | % Change | Nov. 25, 2007 | Nov. 23, 2008 | % Change | Nov. 25, 2007 |
| Research and development | \$ 91.6 | (0.3 %) | \$ 91.9 | \$ 181.2 | (2.4 %) | \$ 185.7 |
| As a % of net sales | 21.7 % | | 18.4 % | 20.4 % | | 19.1 % |

The decrease in research and development expenses for the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2009 compared to the corresponding periods of fiscal 2008 primarily reflects cost savings associated with the cost reduction actions announced in the second half of fiscal 2008, which was partially offset by increases due to higher annual payroll and employee benefit expenses. Stock-based compensation expense included in R&D expense for fiscal 2009 was \$6.4 million in the second quarter and \$12.8 million in the first six months compared to fiscal 2008 which was \$7.7 million in the second quarter and \$14.7 million in the first six months. We are continuing to concentrate our research and development spending on analog products and underlying analog capabilities such as DC to DC power conversion, signal conditioning, high-speed amplification and low-power analog-to-digital conversion, and are focusing on being selective in R&D efforts. We continue to invest in the development of new analog products that can serve applications in a wide variety of end markets such as portable electronics, renewable energy products, communications infrastructure, industrial, medical, and sensing and detection applications. We are directing efforts to develop new and different types of products to serve emerging markets that require or involve greater energy efficiency and, therefore, a significant portion of our research and development is directed at power management technology.

Selling, General and Administrative

| (In Millions) | Three Months Ended | | | Six Months Ended | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|
| | Nov. 23, 2008 | % Change | Nov. 25, 2007 | Nov. 23, 2008 | % Change | Nov. 25, 2007 |
| Selling, general and administrative | \$ 69.5 | (16.1 %) | \$ 82.8 | \$ 151.3 | (4.4 %) | \$ 158.3 |
| As a % of net sales | 16.5 | % | 16.6 | 17.1 | % | 16.3 |

Selling, general and administrative expenses in the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2009 include credits of \$12.3 million and \$15.1 million, respectively, that represent a reduction in the liability associated with the employee deferred compensation plan due to a decline in the market value of the employees' corresponding investment assets for the plan. See discussion of the corresponding losses on the employees' investment assets described in the paragraph, "Other Non-Operating Expense, Net." Excluding the effect of these credits, SG&A expenses in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 were slightly lower compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2008, while they were higher in the first six months of fiscal 2009 compared to the first six months of fiscal 2008. The decrease in the quarter-to-quarter comparison is primarily due to a decrease in share-based compensation expense which was offset by some higher annual payroll and employee benefit expenses. The increase in the year-over-year comparison reflects higher annual payroll and employee benefit expenses combined with higher legal expenses related to intellectual property matters which more than offset the decreases in share-based compensation expense. Share-based compensation expense for fiscal 2009 included in SG&A expenses was \$5.7 million in the second quarter and \$14.8 million in the first six months compared to fiscal 2008 which was \$14.4 million in second quarter and \$22.5 million in the first six months.

Interest Income

| (In Millions) | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Nov. 23, 2008 | Nov. 25, 2007 | Nov. 23, 2008 | Nov. 25, 2007 |
| Interest income | \$ 3.8 | \$ 10.1 | \$ 7.6 | \$ 21.3 |

The decrease in interest income for the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2009 compared to the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2008 is due to lower interest rates along with lower cash balances.

Interest Expense

| (In Millions) | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Nov. 23, 2008 | Nov. 25, 2007 | Nov. 23, 2008 | Nov. 25, 2007 |
| Interest expense | \$ 18.7 | \$ 23.7 | \$ 37.0 | \$ 43.3 |

The decrease in interest expense in the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2009 compared to the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2008 is due to lower interest rates related to our debt that has floating interest rates and a lower overall debt balance.

Other Non-Operating Expense, Net

| (In Millions) | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Nov. 23, 2008 | Nov. 25, 2007 | Nov. 23, 2008 | Nov. 25, 2007 |
| Loss on investments | \$ (12.3) | \$ (0.6) | \$ (15.1) | \$ (1.7) |
| Charitable contribution | - | (0.2) | - | (0.2) |
| Total other non-operating expense, net | \$ (12.3) | \$ (0.8) | \$ (15.1) | \$ (1.9) |

The components of other non-operating expense, net are primarily derived from activities related to investment assets. The loss on investments in fiscal 2009 reflects a decline in the market value of the investment assets held in a trust for the employee deferred compensation plan. As described in the paragraph, "Selling, General and Administrative," SG&A expenses for the periods include the credits pertaining to the corresponding liability. The loss on investments in fiscal 2008 reflects a decline in the market value of the investments assets described above, which was offset by a small gain from a non-marketable investment recognized in the second quarter of fiscal 2008.

Income Tax Expense

| (In Millions) | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Nov. 23, 2008 | Nov. 25, 2007 | Nov. 23, 2008 | Nov. 25, 2007 |
| Income tax expense | \$ 24.8 | \$ 38.8 | \$ 62.7 | \$ 75.9 |
| Effective tax rate | 40.6 % | 30.0 % | 35.1 % | 30.1 % |

The effective tax rate was higher for the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2009 compared to the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2008, primarily due to the write down of foreign deferred tax assets that resulted from a tax holiday granted by the Malaysian government that will be effective for a ten-year period beginning in our fiscal 2010. The effect of the write down of foreign deferred tax assets was partially offset by tax benefits associated with the recent reinstatement of the federal R&D tax credit.

Financial Market Risks

Although there has recently been significant deterioration and instability in the financial markets, the credit quality of our investment portfolio (classified as cash and cash equivalents) remains very high. Our investment portfolio is mainly comprised of debt instruments that are with A/A2 or better rated issuers, of which the majority is rated AA-/Aa2 or better. We limit our exposure to any one counterparty by diversifying our investments and continually evaluating each counterparty's relative credit standing. As of November 23, 2008, the total credit exposure from most single counterparties did not exceed \$30 million with the exception of AAA rated government-backed bonds and deposits. Our debt instruments also have very short average maturities that are generally less than a month and we have not encountered any delays or disruption in their redemptions or maturities nor have we experienced any losses in connection with our cash investments.

Since a portion of our long-term debt has floating interest rates, an increase in the reference interest rate increases our interest expense and likewise, a decrease in the reference interest rate decreases our interest expense. At our current debt levels, a change in the LIBOR of one percentage point would result in a corresponding change in our interest expense of up to approximately \$7 million annually. A significant portion of the floating-interest-rate debt can be repaid at any time without incurring prepayment penalties.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

| (In Millions) | Six Months Ended | |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| | Nov. 23, 2008 | Nov. 25, 2007 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 254.0 | \$ 310.1 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (55.0) | (44.0) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (149.9) | (260.8) |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | \$ 49.1 | \$ 5.3 |

The primary factors contributing to the changes in cash and cash equivalents in the first six months of fiscal 2009 and 2008 are described below:

During the first six months of fiscal 2009, cash from operating activities was generated by net income, adjusted for non-cash items (primarily depreciation and amortization, and share-based compensation expense) and the positive impact from changes in working capital components. Changes in working capital that had a positive effect were mostly attributable to an increase in current and deferred income taxes that was offset by a decrease in other non-current liabilities. The decrease in other non-current liabilities reflects a contribution we made to one of our defined benefit pension plans. In the first six months of fiscal 2008, cash from operating activities was generated by net income, adjusted for non-cash items (primarily depreciation and amortization, and share-based compensation expense) and the positive impact from changes in working capital components. Changes in working capital that had a positive effect were attributable to an increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses, an increase in current and deferred income taxes, and decreases in inventories and other current assets. This was partially offset by an increase in receivables and a decrease in other non-current liabilities.

The primary use of cash for investing activities in the first six months of fiscal 2009 was the purchase of property, plant and equipment of \$55.1 million, mainly representing the purchase of machinery and equipment. The primary use of cash for investing activities in the first six months of fiscal 2008 was the purchase of property, plant and equipment of \$52.5 million, mainly representing the purchase of machinery and equipment, which was partially offset by proceeds from the sale of property, plant and equipment of \$16.0 million.

The primary use of cash for financing activities in the first six months of fiscal 2009 was for the repurchase of 6.2 million shares of our common stock in the open market for \$128.4 million and payments of \$27.7 million for cash dividends and \$31.4 million for principal payments on the bank term loan. These amounts were partially offset by cash proceeds of \$33.5 million from the issuance of common stock under employee benefits plans. The primary use of cash for financing activities in the first six months of fiscal 2008 was for the repurchase of 61.4 million shares of our common stock for \$1,780.1 million, which included the delivery of 50.8 million shares of our common stock repurchased under the \$1.5 billion accelerated share repurchase program. The remaining shares were purchased in the open market. We also used cash for financing activities for the payments of \$21.3 million for cash dividends, \$15.6 million on the bank term loan and \$14.1 million related to tax withholdings paid on behalf of employees for net share settlements. These amounts were partially offset by cash proceeds of \$1,489.4 million (net of issuance costs) that came from new debt, which included the \$1.0 billion unsecured senior notes issued through a public offering and a \$500.0 million unsecured credit facility with a consortium of banks funded in fiscal 2008. Cash proceeds also included \$76.3 million from the issuance of common stock under employee benefits plans. Financing activities in the first six months of fiscal 2008 also included an additional \$1.5 billion of cash received from the unsecured bridge credit facility that was used to finance the accelerated stock repurchase and an additional payment of \$1.5 billion for its full repayment after completing the \$1.0 billion unsecured senior note offering and the \$500 million unsecured credit facility with a consortium of banks.

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORP - Form 10-Q

On September 24, 2008, our Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.08 per outstanding share of common stock, which will be paid on January 5, 2009 to shareholders of record at the close of business on December 15, 2008.

We foresee continuing cash outlays for plant and equipment in fiscal 2009, with our primary focus on new analog capabilities at our existing sites along with our efforts to convert a portion of our capacity from 150mm wafers to 200mm wafers. Currently, we anticipate that our fiscal 2009 capital expenditures will be lower than the fiscal 2008 amount. We will continue to manage the level of capital expenditures relative to sales levels, capacity utilization and industry business conditions. We expect that existing cash and investment balances, together with existing lines of credit and cash generated by

operations, will be sufficient to finance the capital investments currently planned for fiscal 2009, as well as the dividends to be paid in January 2009, the authorized stock repurchase program, and principal and interest payments due on long-term debt.

Our cash and investment balances are dependent in part on continued collection of customer receivables and the ability to sell inventories. Although we have not experienced major problems with our customer receivables, continual declines in overall economic conditions could lead to deterioration in the quality of customer receivables. Since we no longer hold investments with maturities greater than 90 days, we did not experience any major declines in our cash equivalents or marketable investments as a result of the continuing downturn in the financial markets. However, major declines in financial markets could possibly cause reductions in our cash equivalents and marketable investments in the future.

Under our unsecured term loan with a consortium of banks, we are required to comply with certain covenants, including the maintenance of certain financial ratios. As of November 23, 2008, we were in compliance with all covenants. In light of the uncertain economic environment that we currently are experiencing, there can be no assurance we will meet the requirements of certain financial ratios beyond the next fiscal quarter. However, we believe that we could take certain actions, if necessary, whereby we would be able to cure any potential covenant violation prior to its default. These actions are among those described under "Risk Factors Related to Our Debt" appearing in Part II of Form 10-Q "Item 1A: Risk Factors."

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. EITF 03-6-1, "Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions are Participating Securities." The FSP addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share under the two-class method described in paragraphs 60 and 61 of SFAS No. 128, "Earnings per Share." The FSP is effective beginning in our first quarter of fiscal 2010 and early adoption is not permitted. Upon adoption of the FSP, all prior-period EPS data presented must be adjusted retrospectively (including interim financial statements, summaries of earnings and selected financial data). We are currently evaluating the requirements under this FSP and have not yet determined its effect, if any, on our calculation of earnings per share.

In June 2008, the FASB ratified the consensus reached on EITF Issue No. 07-5, "Determining Whether an Instrument (or an Embedded Feature) is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock." This EITF provides guidance for determining whether an equity-linked financial instrument (or embedded feature) is indexed to an entity's own stock. The EITF applies to any freestanding financial instrument or embedded feature that has all the characteristics of a derivative under paragraphs 6-9 of SFAS No. 133, for purposes of determining whether that instrument or embedded feature qualifies for the first part of the scope exception under paragraph 11(a) of SFAS No. 133. The EITF also applies to any freestanding financial instrument that is potentially settled in an entity's own stock, regardless of whether the instrument has all the characteristics of a derivative under paragraphs 6-9 of SFAS No. 133, for purposes of determining whether the instrument is within the scope of EITF 00-19, which provides accounting guidance for instruments that are indexed to, and potentially settled in, the issuer's own stock. EITF No. 07-5 is effective beginning in our first quarter of fiscal 2010. Early application is not permitted by entities that have previously adopted an alternative accounting policy. We are currently evaluating the requirements of EITF No. 07-5 and have not yet determined its effect, if any, on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB ratified the consensus reached on EITF No. 08-3, "Accounting by Lessees for Maintenance Deposits under Lease Agreements." This EITF clarifies how a lessee shall account for a maintenance deposit under an arrangement accounted for as a lease. The EITF applies to the lessee's accounting for maintenance deposits paid by a lessee under an arrangement accounted for as a lease that are refunded only if the lessee performs specified maintenance activities. EITF No. 08-3 is effective beginning in our first quarter of fiscal 2010. Early application is not permitted by entities that have previously adopted an alternative accounting policy. We are currently evaluating the requirements of EITF No. 08-3 and have not yet determined its effect, if any, on our consolidated financial statements.

Outlook

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORP - Form 10-Q

During the second quarter of fiscal 2009, we experienced a sharp decline in new orders due to the weakening economic environment exacerbated by the recent instability of the financial markets. Our sales in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 were down 9.5 percent from the previous first quarter and down 15.5 percent compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2008.

Page 31 of 46

Although the level of new orders was reasonably in line with expectations in early September, they declined significantly for the remainder of the second quarter of fiscal 2009 as our customers, as well as our distributors became more cautious and made downward adjustments to what they planned to build for the holiday season. We also experienced a significant decrease in the amount of turns orders we received. Turns orders represent orders received with delivery requested in the same quarter. The decline was most significant for customers in the wireless handset market. As a result of this lower order activity, our opening backlog as we entered the third quarter of fiscal 2009 was down significantly from where it was when we began the second quarter of fiscal 2009. In addition, since our fiscal year ends on the last Sunday of May, fiscal 2009 is a 53-week year and our third fiscal quarter includes 14 weeks instead of the normal 13 weeks.

Considering all factors, including those described above and our historical seasonality patterns, we provided guidance for net sales in the third quarter of fiscal 2009 to decrease approximately 30 percent sequentially from the level achieved in the second quarter of fiscal 2009. However, if backlog orders are cancelled or if the currently anticipated level of turns orders is less than expected, we may not be able to achieve this predicted level of sales. As a result of the lower net sales outlook, we plan to reduce our manufacturing activity significantly by lowering our wafer fabrication utilization rate to below 40 percent in the third quarter of fiscal 2009. We also anticipate that our gross margin percentage will decrease to approximately 54 or 55 percent based on the projected sales level. This includes approximately \$5 million of share-based compensation expense that is expected to be in cost of sales. However, if there are further declines in factory utilization or changes in the expected sales level or product mix, our gross margin percentage could be further decreased.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Refer to Part II, Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 25, 2008 and to the subheading "Financial Market Risks" under the heading "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" on page 37 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 25, 2008 and to Note 1, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," and Note 2, "Financial Instruments," in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 25, 2008. Except as discussed under the subheading "Financial Market Risks" appearing in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" on page 29 of this Form 10-Q, there have been no material changes in market risk from the information reported in these sections.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are intended to ensure that the information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act filings is properly and timely recorded, processed, summarized and reported. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, we recognize that management necessarily is required to apply its judgment in evaluating controls and procedures. Since we have investments in certain unconsolidated entities which we do not control or manage, our disclosure controls and procedures with respect to such entities are necessarily substantially more limited than those we maintain for our consolidated subsidiaries.

We have a disclosure controls committee comprised of key individuals from a variety of disciplines in the company that are involved in the disclosure and reporting process. The committee meets regularly to ensure the timeliness, accuracy and completeness of the information required to be disclosed in our filings containing financial statements. As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b), the committee reviewed this Form 10-Q and also met with the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer to review this Form 10-Q and the required disclosures and the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. The committee performed an evaluation, under the supervision of and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation and their supervision of and participation in the process, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Changes in Internal Controls

As part of our efforts to ensure compliance with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we conduct a continual review of our internal control over financial reporting. The review is an ongoing process and it is possible that we may institute additional or new internal controls over financial reporting as a result of the review. During the second quarter of fiscal 2009, we did not make any changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent or detect all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Further, because of inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Projections of any evaluation of controls effectiveness to future periods are subject to risks. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree on compliance with policies or procedures.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We currently are a party to various legal proceedings, including those noted below. While we believe that the ultimate outcome of these various proceedings, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations, litigation is always subject to inherent uncertainties, and unfavorable rulings could occur. An unfavorable ruling could include money damages or an injunction prohibiting us from selling one or more products. Were an unfavorable ruling to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the net income of the period in which the ruling occurs, and future periods.

Tax Matters

We are not currently undergoing any federal tax audits. Our federal tax returns for fiscal years 2005 through 2007 remain subject to possible examination by the IRS. Several U.S. state taxing jurisdictions are examining our state tax returns for fiscal 2004 and 2005, and tax authorities from several foreign jurisdictions are also examining our foreign tax returns. We believe we have made adequate tax payments and/or accrued adequate amounts such that the outcome of these audits will have no material adverse effect on our financial statements.

Environmental Matters

We have been named to the National Priorities List (Superfund) for our Santa Clara, California site and we have completed a remedial investigation/feasibility study with the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), which is acting as agent for the EPA. We have agreed in principle with the RWQCB on a site remediation plan, and we are conducting remediation and cleanup efforts at the site. In addition to the Santa Clara site, we have been designated from time to time as a potentially responsible party by international, federal and state agencies for certain environmental sites with which we may have had direct or indirect involvement. These designations are made regardless of the extent of our involvement. These claims are in various stages of administrative or judicial proceedings and include demands for recovery of past governmental costs and for future investigations and remedial actions. In many cases, the dollar amounts of the claims have not been specified and the claims have been asserted against a number of other entities for the same cost recovery or other relief as is sought from us. We have also retained responsibility for environmental matters connected with businesses we sold in fiscal 1996 and 1997, but we are not currently involved in any legal proceedings relating to those liabilities.

Other

1. In November 2000, a derivative action was filed in the U.S. District Court in Delaware against us, Fairchild Semiconductor International, Inc. and Sterling Holding Company, LLC, by Mark Levy, a Fairchild stockholder. The action was brought under Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act and the rules issued under that Act by the SEC. The plaintiff seeks disgorgement of alleged short-swing insider trading profits. We had originally acquired Fairchild common and preferred stock in March 1997 at the time we disposed of the Fairchild business. Prior to its initial public offering in August 1999, Fairchild had amended its certificate of incorporation to provide that all Fairchild preferred stock would convert automatically to common stock upon completion of the initial public offering. As a result, our shares of preferred stock converted to common stock in August 1999. Plaintiff has alleged that our acquisition of common stock through the conversion constituted an acquisition that should be “matched” against our sale in January 2000 of Fairchild common stock for purposes of computing short-swing trading profits. The action seeks to recover from us on behalf of Fairchild alleged recoverable profits of approximately \$14.1 million. The case has completed discovery in the District Court and we filed a motion for summary judgment in June 2004. The SEC proposed clarifying amendments to its Section 16(b) rules in June 2004 which we believe are dispositive of the case and the SEC adopted the rule amendments in August 2005. Oral argument on the briefing ordered by the District Court on whether it should apply the SEC rule amendments to the case was held in November 2005. In February 2007, the District Court granted our motion for summary judgment. The plaintiff appealed this ruling and the argument on the appeal was heard in the Third Circuit Court of Appeals on March 24, 2008. On October 1, 2008, the Court of Appeals upheld the District Courts ruling. Plaintiff appealed for a rehearing en banc, which appeal was denied. We do not know if plaintiff will appeal further but we intend to continue to contest the case through all available means.

2. In April 2008, LSI Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary Agere Systems Inc. (collectively LSI) instituted an action in the United States International Trade Commission (ITC), naming us and 17 other respondents in an action seeking an exclusion order preventing importation into the United States of semiconductor integrated circuit devices and products made by methods alleged to infringe an LSI patent on the use of tungsten metallization in semiconductor manufacturing. LSI also filed a companion case against the same parties in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas seeking unspecified amounts of monetary damages (trebled because of the alleged willful infringement), royalties, attorneys’ fees, costs and an injunction against further infringement. Since the initiation of both actions, five other

parties

Page 34 of 46

have been named as respondents/defendants in the respective actions and two parties have settled with LSI. The action in the U.S. District Court has been stayed pending the outcome of the ITC action. A hearing on the merits is set for the ITC action in July 2009 with the Administrative Law Judge's Initial Determination on the merits due September 21, 2009, and the ITC's Final Decision on the merits due January 21, 2010. The LSI patent-in-suit expires July 13, 2010. Discovery in the ITC investigation is on-going. In July 2008, we brought an action in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware against LSI alleging infringement of two of our patents, one related to a semiconductor process and the other related to voltage controllers. In September 2008, we amended our complaint to add assertion of infringement by LSI of three additional National patents and adding Best Buy as a defendant for infringement of one of the patents. We are seeking an injunction against further infringement, a finding of willful infringement, unspecified amounts of monetary damages (trebled because of the alleged willful infringement), attorneys' fees and costs. In December 2008, LSI moved to add counterclaims of infringement by National of four LSI patents. The Delaware Court has not yet set a schedule for the Delaware case. It is too early in the proceeding for us to make any estimate of a probable outcome and range of potential loss. We intend to contest all actions through all available means.

3. In May 2008, eTool Development, Inc. and eTool Patent Holdings Corporation (collectively eTool) brought suit in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas alleging that our WEBENCH® online design tools infringe an eTool patent and seeking an injunction and unspecified amounts of monetary damages (trebled because of the alleged willful infringement), attorneys' fees and costs. We have filed an answer to the complaint and counterclaims for declaratory judgment of non-infringement and invalidity of the patent. It is too early in the proceeding for us to make any estimate of a probable outcome and range of potential loss. We intend to contest the case through all available means.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

A description of the risk factors associated with our business is set forth below. We review and update our risk factors each quarter. The description set forth below includes any changes to and supersedes the description of risk factors previously disclosed in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 25, 2008. The risks described below are not the only ones facing us. Additional risks not currently known to us or that we currently believe are immaterial may also impair our business operations, results or financial condition.

You should read the following risk factors in conjunction with the factors discussed elsewhere in this and our other filings with the SEC and in materials incorporated by reference in these filings. These risk factors are intended to highlight certain factors that may affect our financial condition and results of operations and are not meant to be an exhaustive discussion of risks that apply to companies like National with broad international operations. Like other companies, we are susceptible to macroeconomic downturns in the United States or abroad that may affect the general economic climate and our performance and the performance of our customers. Similarly, the price of our stock is subject to volatility due to fluctuations in general market conditions, differences in our results of operations from estimates and projections generated by the investment community, and other factors beyond our control.

RISK FACTORS RELATING TO GENERAL BUSINESS CONDITIONS

Significant deterioration in the global business environment has reduced demand for our products and our business may be adversely affected.

We have seen significant reductions in new order rates as a result of the weakened global business environment. As a result of the credit market crisis (including uncertainties with respect to financial institutions and the global capital markets) and other macro-economic challenges currently affecting the economy of the United States and other parts of the world, customers or vendors may experience serious cash flow problems and as a result, may modify, delay or cancel plans to purchase our products and vendors may significantly and quickly increase their prices or reduce their output. Additionally, if customers are not successful in generating sufficient revenue or are precluded from securing financing, they may not be able to pay, or may delay payment of, accounts receivable that are owed to us. Any inability of current and/or potential customers to pay us for our products may adversely affect our earnings and cash flow. If economic conditions in the United States and other key markets deteriorate further or do not show improvement, our business and operating results may be adversely affected.

A large portion of our revenues is dependent on the wireless handset market.

The wireless handset market is a significant source of our overall sales. The recent global economic difficulties have resulted in substantially lower sales of handsets. Prolonged or continuing reductions in handset sales will adversely impact our revenues and profitability. We continue to develop new products to address new features and functionality in handsets, such as advanced color displays, advanced audio, lighting features and battery management that can adequately handle the demands of these advanced features. Due to high levels of competition, as well as complex technological requirements, we cannot guarantee you that we will continue to be successful in this market. Although the worldwide handset market is large, growth trends and other variables are often uncertain and difficult to predict and, as noted, shipments have declined recently as a result of the global economic difficulties. Since the wireless handset market is a consumer-driven market, changes in the economy that affect consumer demand have adversely affected our business and operating results.

Conditions inherent in the semiconductor industry may cause periodic fluctuations in our operating results.

Rapid technological change and frequent introduction of new technology leading to more complex and integrated products characterize the semiconductor industry. The result is a cyclical environment with potentially short product life cycles, characterized by significant and rapid increases and decreases in product demand. Substantial capital and R&D investment are required to support products and manufacturing processes in the semiconductor industry, which amplify the effect of this cyclicity although less capital investment is needed for analog products than for many other semiconductor products. As a result of this environment, we may experience periodic fluctuations in our operating results. Market shifts in product mix toward or away from higher margin products, including analog products, may also have a significant impact on our operating results. As a result of these and other factors, our financial results could fluctuate significantly from period to period.

Our business will be harmed if we are unable to compete successfully in our markets.

Competition in the semiconductor industry is intense. Our major competitors include Analog Devices, Linear Technology, Maxim Integrated Products and Texas Instruments. In some cases, we may also compete with our customers. Competition is based on design and quality of products, product performance, price and service, with the relative importance of these factors varying among products, markets and customers. We cannot assure you that we will be able to compete successfully in the future against existing or new competitors or that our operating results will not be adversely affected by increased competition.

RISK FACTORS RELATING TO INTERNATIONAL OPERATIONS

We operate in the global marketplace and face risks associated with worldwide operations.

During the first six months of fiscal 2009, approximately 78 percent of our sales were derived from customers in international markets. We expect that international sales will continue to account for a significant majority of our total revenue in the future. We have manufacturing facilities outside the United States in the United Kingdom, Malaysia and China. We are subject to the economic and political risks inherent in international operations, including the risks associated with ongoing uncertainties and political and economic instability in many countries around the world. In addition, the management of global operations subjects us to risks associated with trade balance issues, currency controls, differences in local business and cultural factors, fluctuations in interest and currency exchange rates, and difficulties in staffing and managing foreign operations.

Recent events and global disruptions could adversely affect our financial performance and operating results.

Terrorist activities worldwide and hostilities in and between nation states, including the continuing hostilities and violence in Iraq and the threat of future hostilities involving the United States and other countries, may cause uncertainty and instability in the overall state of the global economy or in the industries in which we operate. We have no assurance that the consequences from these events will not disrupt our operations in either the United States or other regions of the world. Continued wide fluctuations in oil prices, as well as spreading mortgage failures, could also affect our operations. Pandemic illnesses that spread globally and/or substantial natural disasters, as well as geopolitical events, may also affect our future costs, operating capabilities and revenues.

We have significant manufacturing operations in China and Malaysia, and, as a result, are subject to risks.

We have a significant assembly and test facilities in Suzhou, China and Melaka, Malaysia. Our operations in China and Malaysia are subject to the economic and political situation in each location. We may be adversely affected by changes in laws and regulations, including those relating to taxation, import and export tariffs, environmental regulations, land use rights, property and other matters. We believe that our operations in China and Malaysia are in compliance with all applicable legal and regulatory requirements. However, we cannot assure you that new, stricter regulations will be imposed or interpretations of existing regulations will be changed, each of which could require additional expenditures. Changes in the political environment or government policies could result in revisions to laws or regulations or their interpretation and enforcement, increased taxation, restrictions on imports, import duties or currency revaluations. A significant destabilization of relations between the United States and either China or Malaysia could result in restrictions or prohibitions on our operations in that country. With respect to China, the Chinese legal systems relating to foreign trade and intellectual property are relatively new and continue to evolve. Enforcement of existing laws or agreements may be sporadic and implementation and interpretation of laws may be inconsistent. Moreover, there is a high degree of fragmentation among Chinese regulatory authorities resulting in uncertainties as to which authorities have jurisdiction over particular parties or transactions.

We are subject to fluctuations in the exchange rate of the U.S. dollar and foreign currencies.

While we transact business primarily in U.S. dollars, and most of our revenues are denominated in U.S. dollars, a portion of our costs and revenues is denominated in other currencies, such as the euro, the Japanese yen, pound sterling and certain other Asian currencies. As a result, changes in the exchange rates of these or any other applicable currencies to the U.S. dollar will affect our net sales, costs of goods sold and operating margins. We have a program to hedge our exposure to currency rate fluctuations, but our hedging program may not be fully effective in preventing foreign exchange losses.

We are subject to export restrictions and laws affecting trade and investments.

As a global company headquartered in the United States, we are subject to U.S. laws and regulations that limit and restrict the export of some of our products and related product information. Compliance with these laws has not significantly limited our operations or our sales in the recent past but could significantly limit them in the future. We maintain an export compliance program but there are risks that the compliance controls could be circumvented, exposing us to legal liabilities. We must also comply with export restrictions and laws imposed by other countries affecting trade and investments. Although these restrictions and laws have not significantly restricted our operations in the recent past, there is a risk that they could in the future.

RISK FACTORS RELATING TO SALES AND OPERATIONS

Our performance depends on the availability and cost of raw materials, utilities, critical manufacturing equipment and third-party manufacturing services.

Our manufacturing processes and critical manufacturing equipment require that certain key raw materials and utilities be available. Limited or delayed access to or increases in the cost of these items, or the inability to implement new manufacturing technologies or install manufacturing equipment on a timely basis could adversely affect our results of operations. We subcontract a portion of our wafer fabrication and assembly and testing of our integrated circuits. We depend on a limited number of third parties, most of whom are located outside of the United States, to perform these subcontracted functions. We do not have long-term contracts with all of these third parties. Reliance on these third parties involves risks, including possible shortages of capacity in periods of high demand. Although we did not experience any material difficulties with supplies or subcontractors in the second quarter or first six months of fiscal 2009, we have had difficulties in the past and could experience them in the future.

A substantial portion of our sales are made to distributors and the termination of the relationship with one or more distributors could result in a negative impact on our business.

In the first six months of fiscal 2009, our distributors accounted for approximately 52 percent of our sales, with two of our distributors accounting for 27 percent of total sales. Distributors typically sell products from several of our competitors along with our products. A significant reduction of effort to sell National products or the termination of one or more distributors may reduce our access to certain end-customers and adversely impact our ability to sell our products. The termination of the distributor relationship agreement with a specific distributor could also result in the return of our inventory held by the distributor.

Our profit margins may vary over time.

Our profit margins may vary over time. Our profit margins may be adversely affected by a number of factors, including decreases in our shipment volume, reductions in, or obsolescence of our inventory and shifts in our product mix. In addition, the competitive market environment in which we operate may adversely affect pricing for our products, although we try to emphasize higher margin products. Because we own most of our manufacturing capacity, a significant portion of our operating costs are fixed, including costs associated with depreciation expense. In general, these costs do not decline with reductions in customer demand or utilization of our manufacturing capacity. If we are unable to utilize our manufacturing facilities at a high level, the fixed costs associated with these facilities will result in higher average unit costs and lower gross margins.

We make forecasts of customer demand that may be inaccurate.

Our ability to match inventory and production mix with the product mix needed to fill current orders and orders to be delivered in any given quarter may affect our ability to meet that quarter's revenue forecast. To be able to accommodate customer requests for shorter shipment lead times, we manufacture product based on customer forecasts. These forecasts are based on multiple assumptions. While we believe our relationships with our customers, combined with our understanding of the end markets we serve, provide us with the ability to make reliable forecasts, if we inaccurately forecast customer demand, it could result in inadequate, excess or obsolete inventory that would reduce our profit margins.

We are subject to warranty claims, product recalls and product liability.

We could be subject to warranty or product liability claims that could lead to significant expenses as we defend such claims or pay damage awards. In the event of a warranty claim, we may also incur costs if we compensate the affected customer. We maintain product liability insurance, but there is no guarantee that such insurance will be available or adequate to protect against all such claims. We may incur costs and expenses relating to a recall of one of our customers' products containing one of our devices. Although costs or payments we have made in connection with warranty claims or product recalls in the past have not materially affected our results of operations and financial condition, they could in the future.

We may be harmed by natural disasters and other disruptions.

Our worldwide operations could be subject to natural disasters and other disruptions. Our corporate headquarters are located near major earthquake fault lines in California. In the event of a major earthquake, or other natural or manmade disaster, we could experience loss of life of our employees, destruction of facilities or other business interruptions. The operations of our suppliers could also be subject to natural disasters and other disruptions, which could cause shortages and price increases in various essential materials. We use third party freight firms for nearly all of our shipments from vendors and from our wafer manufacturing facilities to assembly and test sites and for shipments to customers of our final product. This includes ground, sea and air freight. Any significant disruption of our freight shipments globally or in certain parts of the world, particularly where our operations are concentrated, would materially affect our operations. We maintain property and business interruption insurance, but there is no guarantee that such insurance will be available or adequate to protect against all costs associated with such disasters and disruptions.

RISK FACTORS RELATING TO R&D, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND LITIGATION

We may experience delays in introducing new products or market acceptance of new products may be below our expectations.

Rapidly changing technologies and industry standards, along with frequent new product introductions, characterize the industries in which our primary customers operate. As our customers evolve and introduce new products, our success depends on our ability to anticipate and adapt to these changes in a timely and cost-effective manner by developing and introducing into the market new products that meet the needs of our customers. We are also directing efforts to develop new and different types of products to serve emerging mega trends such as energy efficiency. We believe that continued focused investment in research and development, especially the timely development, introduction and market acceptance of new products, is a key factor to successful growth and the ability to achieve strong financial performance. Successful development and introduction of these new products are critical to our ability to maintain a competitive position in the marketplace. We will continue to invest resources to develop more highly integrated solutions and building block products, both primarily based on our analog capabilities and continue to target applications such as wireless handsets, other portable devices and applications in other broad markets that require analog technology, especially in areas where analog technology can be used to achieve energy efficiencies. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in timely developing and introducing successful new products, or achieving anticipated revenues from new products, and a failure to bring new products to market or achieve market success with them may harm our operating results. We also cannot assure you that products that may be developed in the future by our competitors will not render our products obsolete or non-competitive.

Our products are dependent on the use of intellectual property that we need to protect.

We rely on patents, trade secrets, trademarks, mask works and copyrights to protect our products and technologies, and have a program to file applications for and obtain patents, trademarks, mask works and copyrights in the United States and in selected foreign countries where we believe filing for such protection is appropriate. Effective patent, trademark, copyright and trade secret protection may be unavailable, limited or not applied for in some countries. Some of our products and technologies are not covered by any patent or patent application. In addition, we cannot assure you that:

- the patents owned by us or numerous other patents which third parties license to us will not be invalidated, circumvented, challenged or licensed to other companies; or
- any of our pending or future patent applications will be issued within the scope of the claims sought by us, if at all.

We also seek to protect our proprietary technologies, including technologies that may not be patented or patentable, in part by confidentiality agreements and, if applicable, inventors' rights agreements with our collaborators, advisors, employees and consultants. We cannot assure you

that these agreements will not be breached, that we will have adequate remedies for any breach or that our collaborators will not assert rights to intellectual property arising out of our

research collaborations. In addition, we may not be able to enforce these agreements globally. Some of our technologies have been licensed on a non-exclusive basis from other companies, which may license such technologies to others, including our competitors. If necessary or desirable, we may seek licenses under patents or intellectual property rights claimed by others. However, we cannot assure you that we will obtain such licenses or that the terms of any offered licenses will be acceptable to us. The failure to obtain a license from a third party for technologies we use could cause us to incur substantial liabilities and to suspend the manufacture or shipment of products or our use of processes requiring the technologies.

We are subject to litigation risks.

All industries, including the semiconductor industry, are subject to legal claims. We are involved in a variety of routine legal matters that arise in the normal course of business. Further discussion of certain specific material legal proceedings in which we are involved is contained in Note 12 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. We believe it is unlikely that the final outcome of these legal claims will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations. However, litigation is inherently uncertain and unpredictable. An unfavorable resolution of any particular legal claim or proceeding could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

RISK FACTORS RELATED TO OUR DEBT

Our increased leverage may harm our financial condition and results of operations.

Our total liabilities at the end of the second quarter of fiscal 2009 were \$1.9 billion. Of total liabilities, \$1.4 billion was long term debt incurred in connection with the accelerated stock repurchase program announced in June 2007. See Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 25, 2008 for more information on the debt incurred in connection with the accelerated stock repurchase program. The debt and any future increase in our level of indebtedness will have several important effects on our future operations, including, without limitation:

- we have additional cash requirements in order to support the payment of principal and interest on our outstanding indebtedness;
- increases in our outstanding indebtedness and leverage may increase our vulnerability to adverse changes in general economic and industry conditions, as well as to competitive pressure;
- our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, general corporate and other purposes may be limited particularly in light of current credit market conditions; and
- our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and our industry may be limited.

Our ability to make payments of principal and interest on our indebtedness depends upon our future performance, which is subject to general economic conditions, industry cycles and financial, business and other factors affecting our consolidated operations, many of which are beyond our control. In addition, under our unsecured term loan with a consortium of banks, we are required to comply with certain covenants, including the maintenance of certain financial ratios. If we are unable to generate sufficient cash flow from operations in the future to service our debt or maintain compliance with the covenants of our unsecured term loan, we may take certain actions which require us to, among other things:

- seek additional financing in the debt or equity markets;
- refinance, retire or restructure all or a portion of our indebtedness;
- sell selected assets;
- reduce or delay planned capital expenditures; or
- reduce or delay planned operating expenditures.

Such measures might not be sufficient to enable us to service our debt. In addition, any such financing, refinancing or sale of assets might not be available on economically favorable terms, if at all.

Difficulties in the credit markets may limit our ability to refinance our debt as it becomes due.

As a result of the recent difficulties in the credit markets and limited availability of credit, we can not assure you that we will be able to refinance our debt as it becomes due. Due to recent market conditions, the cost and availability of credit has been and may continue to be adversely affected by illiquid credit markets and wider credit spreads. Continued turbulence in the U.S. and international markets and economies may adversely affect the liquidity and financial condition of issuers.

Increases in interest rates could adversely affect our financial condition.

Increases in prevailing interest rates have an immediate effect on the interest rates charged on our variable rate debt, including the senior floating rate notes and bank debt, which rise and fall upon changes in interest rates on a quarterly basis. Although interest rates actually remained stable during the second quarter and the first six months of fiscal 2009, any increased interest expense associated with increases in interest rates affects our cash flow and our ability to service our debt. As a protection against rising interest rates, we may enter into agreements such as interest rate swaps, caps, floors and other interest rate exchange contracts. However, the other parties to the agreements may fail to perform or the terms may be unfavorable to us depending on rate movements.

RISK FACTORS RELATING TO PERSONNEL AND MERGER AND ACQUISITION ACTIVITY

We may not be able to attract or retain employees with skills necessary to remain competitive in our industry.

Our continued success depends in part on the recruitment and retention of skilled personnel, including technical, marketing, management and staff personnel. Experienced personnel in the semiconductor industry, particularly in our targeted analog areas, are in high demand and competition for their skills is intense. We cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully recruit and retain the key personnel we require.

We may pursue acquisitions, investments and divestitures that could harm our operating results and may disrupt our business.

We have made and will continue to consider making strategic business investments, alliances and acquisitions we consider necessary to gain access to key technologies that we believe will augment our existing technical capability and support our business model objectives. Acquisitions and investments involve risks and uncertainties that may unfavorably impact our future financial performance. We may not be able to integrate and develop the technologies we acquire as expected. If the technology is not developed in a timely manner, we may be unsuccessful in penetrating target markets. With any acquisition there are risks that future operating results may be unfavorably affected by acquisition related costs, including in-process R&D charges and incremental R&D spending.

We have made and will continue to consider making strategic divestitures. With any divestiture, there are risks that future operating results could be unfavorably impacted if targeted objectives, such as cost savings, are not achieved or if other business disruptions occur as a result of the divestiture or activities related to the divestiture.

RISK FACTORS RELATING TO TAX AND ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATIONS

We may be affected by higher than expected tax rates or exposure to additional income tax liabilities.

As a global company, our effective tax rate is dependent upon the geographic composition of worldwide earnings and tax regulations governing each region. We are subject to income taxes in both the United States and various foreign jurisdictions, and complex analyses and significant judgment are required to determine worldwide tax liabilities. From time to time, we have received notices of tax assessments in various jurisdictions where we operate. We may receive future notices of assessments and the amounts of these assessments or our failure to favorably resolve such assessments may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

We have significant amounts of deferred tax assets. The recognition of deferred tax assets is reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that the tax benefits associated with the deferred tax benefits will not be realized. If we are unable to generate sufficient future taxable income in certain jurisdictions, or if there is a significant change in the actual effective tax rates or the time period within which the underlying temporary differences become taxable or deductible, we could be required to increase the valuation allowances against our deferred tax assets, which would cause an increase in our effective tax rate. A significant increase in our effective tax rate could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

We are subject to many environmental laws and regulations.

Increasingly stringent environmental regulations restrict the amount and types of materials that can be released from our operations into the environment. While the cost of compliance with environmental laws has not had a material adverse effect on our results of operations historically, compliance with these and any future regulations could require significant capital investments in pollution control equipment or changes in the way we make our products. In addition, because we

use hazardous and other regulated materials in our manufacturing processes, we are subject to risks of liabilities and claims, regardless of fault, resulting from accidental releases, including personal injury claims and civil and criminal fines. The following should also be considered:

- we currently are remediating past contamination at some of our sites;
- we have been identified as a potentially responsible party at a number of Superfund sites where we (or our predecessors) disposed of wastes in the past; and
- significant regulatory and public attention on the impact of semiconductor operations on the environment may result in more stringent regulations, further increasing our costs.

For more information on environmental matters, see Note 12 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

(a) During the second quarter of fiscal 2009 covered by this report, we did not make any unregistered sales of our securities.

(b) The following table summarizes purchases we made of our common stock during the second quarter of fiscal 2009:

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased ⁽¹⁾ | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs ⁽²⁾ |
|----------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|---|
| Month # 1 | | | | |
| August 25, 2008 - | | | | |
| September 24, 2008 | 1,204,678 | \$18.05 | 1,150,300 | \$130 million |
| Month # 2 | | | | |
| September 25, 2008 - | | | | |
| October 24, 2008 | 160,893 | \$17.09 | 150,000 | \$127 million |
| Month # 3 | | | | |
| October 25, 2008 - | | | | |
| November 23, 2008 | 4,194 | \$14.10 | - | \$127 million |
| Total | 1,369,765 | | 1,300,300 | |

⁽¹⁾ Includes 3,299 shares that were reacquired through the withholding of shares to pay employee tax obligations upon the vesting of restricted stock and 66,166 shares purchased by the rabbi trust utilized by our Deferred Compensation Plan, which permits participants to direct investment of their accounts in National stock in accordance with their instructions.

⁽²⁾ Purchases were made under the \$500 million stock repurchase program announced in June 2007. Shares were repurchased in the open market. As of November 23, 2008, \$127 million of the \$500 million stock repurchase program announced in June 2007 remains available for future stock repurchases. We do not have any plans to terminate the program prior to its completion and there is no expiration date for this repurchase program.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

(a) Our annual stockholders meeting was held on September 25, 2008.

(b) The following directors were elected at the meeting:

| Director | For | Against | Abstain |
|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| Brian L. Halla | 194,200,512 | 5,213,357 | 1,848,173 |
| Steven R. Appleton | 196,169,860 | 3,130,059 | 1,962,123 |
| Gary P. Arnold | 194,683,434 | 4,634,486 | 1,944,122 |
| Richard J. Danzig | 195,935,186 | 3,430,198 | 1,896,658 |
| John T. Dickson | 195,816,894 | 3,451,240 | 1,993,908 |
| Robert J. Frankenberg | 195,733,696 | 3,570,124 | 1,958,222 |
| Modesto A. Maidique | 194,424,538 | 4,898,331 | 1,939,173 |
| Edward R. McCracken | 194,052,676 | 5,320,523 | 1,888,843 |

(c) The following matter was also voted on at the meeting: proposal to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as the auditors of the Company:

| For: | Against: | Abstain: |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| 197,792,439 | 1,764,239 | 1,705,364 |

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

(a) Subsequent to the filing of our Current Report on Form 8-K on December 8, 2008 that included our news release in which we furnished our unaudited financial results for the quarter ended November 23, 2008, information became available to us that required us to reduce an estimate for a current liability account balance by \$3.7 million as of November 23, 2008 and to make certain related income statement adjustments in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. We have made an adjustment to reduce cost of sales by \$0.7 million, R&D expenses by \$1.9 million and SG&A expenses by \$1.1 million. The effect on income tax expense was an increase of \$1.3 million. As a result, net income increased by \$2.4 million for the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2009 from the amounts included in the December 8, 2008 news release. Both basic and diluted earnings per share for the second quarter of fiscal 2009 increased to \$0.16 per share. Basic and diluted earnings per share for first six months of fiscal 2009 increased to \$0.51 and \$0.49 per share, respectively.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

(a) Exhibits

- 3.1 Second Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, as amended (incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to our Registration Statement on Form S-3 Registration No. 33-52775, which became effective March 22, 1994); Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation dated September 30, 1994 (incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to our Registration Statement on Form S-8 Registration No. 333-09957, which became effective August 12, 1996); Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation dated September 22, 2000 (incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to our Registration Statement on Form S-8 Registration No. 333-48424, which became effective October 23, 2000).
- 3.2 By-Laws of the Company, as amended effective April 16, 2008 (incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to our Form 8-K dated April 16, 2008 filed April 18, 2008).
- 4.1 Form of Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to our Registration Statement on Form S-3 Registration No. 33-48935, which became effective October 5, 1992).
- 10.1 Management Contract or Compensatory Plan or Agreement: Retention arrangements with executive officers (incorporated by reference from our Form 8-K dated November 25, 2008 that describes the plan).
- 31.1 Rule 13a-14 (a) /15d-14 (a) Certifications.
- 32.1 Section 1350 Certifications.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION

Date: December 30, 2008

/s/ Jamie E. Samath

Jamie E. Samath
Corporate Controller
Signing on behalf of the registrant
and as principal accounting officer

Page 46 of 46

Exhibit 31

CERTIFICATION

I, Brian L. Halla, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of National Semiconductor Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: December 30, 2008

/s/ Brian L. Halla

Brian L. Halla

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, Lewis Chew, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of National Semiconductor Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: December 30, 2008

/s/ Lewis Chew

Lewis Chew

Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit 32

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO

18 U.S.C SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO

SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of National Semiconductor Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended November 23, 2008 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Brian L. Halla, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to my knowledge:

- The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and
- The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: December 30, 2008

/s/ Brian L. Halla

Brian L. Halla
Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO

18 U.S.C SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO

SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of National Semiconductor Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended November 23, 2008 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Lewis Chew, Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to my knowledge:

- The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and
- The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: December 30, 2008

/s/ Lewis Chew

Lewis Chew

Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer