

Amarok Resources, Inc.
Form 10-K
January 29, 2013

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended October 31, 2012

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

For the Transition Period from _____ to _____

Amarok Resources, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

| | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| Nevada | 333-156594 | 98-0599925 |
| (State or other jurisdiction of Incorporation) | (Commission File Number) | (IRS Employer Identification Number) |
| | 30021 Tomas Street, Suite 300 | |
| | Rancho Santa Margarita, CA92688 | |
| | (Address of principal executive offices) | |
| | (949) 682-7889 | |
| | (Registrant's Telephone Number) | |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

None

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, \$.001 par value

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer **Accelerated Filer**

Non-Accelerated Filer **Smaller Reporting Company**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of April 30, 2012 was \$2,530,482.88 based upon the price (\$0.0620) at which the common stock was last sold as of the last business day of the most recently completed second fiscal quarter, multiplied by the approximate number of shares of common stock held by persons other than executive officers, directors and five percent stockholders of the registrant without conceding that any such person is an “affiliate” of the registrant for purposes of the federal securities laws. Our common stock is traded in the over-the-counter market and quoted on the Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board under the symbol (“AMOK”).

As of January 29, 2013, there were 79,025,760 shares of the registrant’s \$0.001 par value common stock issued and outstanding.

AMAROK RESOURCES, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page No. |
|--|---------------------|
| PART I | |
| Item 1. Business | 4 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 6 |
| Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments | 6 |
| Item 2. Properties | 6 |
| Item 3. Legal Proceedings | 6 |
| Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures | 7 |
| PART II | |
| Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | 7 |
| Item 6. Selected Financial Data | 8 |
| Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 8 |
| Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 10 |
| Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data | 11 |
| Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | 25 |
| Item 9A. Controls and Procedures | 25 |
| Item 9B. Other Information | 26 |
| PART III | |
| Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance | 26 |
| Item 11. Executive Compensation | 29 |
| Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters | 30 |
| Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence | 31 |
| Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services | 31 |
| PART IV | |
| Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules | 32 |
| Signatures | 33 |

PART I

Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Information included in this Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (“Securities Act”), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (“Exchange Act”). This information may involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of Amarok Resources Inc. (the “Company”), to be materially different from future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by any forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements, which involve assumptions and describe future plans, strategies and expectations of the Company, are generally identifiable by use of the words “may,” “will,” “should,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “believe,” “intend,” or “project” or the negative of these words or other variations on these words or comparable terminology. These forward-looking statements are based on assumptions that may be incorrect, and there can be no assurance that these projections included in these forward-looking statements will come to pass. Actual results of the Company could differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors. Except as required by applicable laws, the Company has no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements for any reason, even if new information becomes available or other events occur in the future.

**Please note that throughout this Annual Report, and unless otherwise noted, the words “we,” “our,” “us,” the “Company,” “AMOK,” or “Amarok” refers to Amarok Resources Inc.*

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Corporate Background

Amarok Resources, Inc. (the “Company”) was incorporated in the state of Nevada on October 23, 2008 under the name Ukragro Corporation. The Company’s principal activity was mining properties for future commercial development and production.

On January 29, 2010, the Company filed an amendment to its articles of incorporation changing its name to Amarok Resources, Inc. In the same amendment, the Company changed its authorized capital to 175,000,000 shares of common stock at a restated par value of \$.001. Effective February 23, 2010, the Company authorized a 60:1 stock split.

Effective February 1, 2010, the Company entered the exploratory stage as defined under the provisions of Accounting Codification Standard (“ASC”) 915-10.

Effective February 23, 2010, the Company authorized a 60:1 stock split.

Business Summary

Amarok Resources, Inc is a public company trading on the OTCBB market under the symbol AMOK. Amarok Resources, Inc is focused on the acquisition, exploration and development of oil and gas properties located within favorable geo-political climates.

Our Properties and Material Agreements

Rodeo Creek Project, Nevada

On February 22, 2010, the Company entered into an agreement (the “Agreement”) with Carlin Gold Resources, Inc, (“Carlin”) in which Carlin assigned the Company all of its rights, title, and interest in an exploration agreement (the “Assigned Agreement”) between it and Trio Gold Corp (“Trio”). The Assigned Agreement agreement was dated January 28, 2010. In consideration for the assignment of the interest in the Assigned Agreement, the Company paid Carlin \$1 and issued 100,000 shares of its common stock.

Trio has leased and has an option to purchase a 100% interest in 29 unpatented lode mining claims located in Nevada within the Carlin Gold Trend (the "Claims"). The Claims are subject to a 1.5% Net Smelter Return.

Under the Agreement with Trio, the Company earns a 75% undivided interest in the Claims during an earn-in period commencing in January 2010 and completing in December 2012 (the "earn-in period"). Upon completion of the earn-in period, a Joint Venture is to be formed with the same 75% / 25% interest the parties held during the earn-in period. The Joint Venture shall remain in effect for twenty-five years or as long as the Claims are being actively mined or developed, whichever is longer. After the termination of the Joint Venture, the Claims shall revert back to Trio.

During the earn-in-period, the Company shall provide \$5,500,000 in funding to cover operational costs according to the following schedule: \$1,500,000 during the 2010 budget year, \$2,000,000 during the 2011 budget year and \$2,000,000 during the 2012 budget year. Each budget year shall commence on January 1 of that year and end on December 31 of that same year. Once the Company has provided \$5,500,000 in funding for the project, the Company and Trio shall fund the operational costs jointly, with the Company providing 75% of the funds and Trio providing 25% of the funds.

The Company is required to pay a minimum annual royalty during the earn-in period to Trio according to the following schedule: \$75,000 cash payment upon signing of the agreement, \$100,000 cash payment on April 1, 2011 and \$150,000 cash payment on April 1, 2012. The Company paid \$75,000 to Trio on February 8, 2010.

On March 23, 2012, the Company and Trio entered into an agreement that modified certain terms of the original Agreement (the "Modified Agreement"). During the earn-in-period, the Company is to provide \$5,500,000 in funding to cover operational costs. Under the original Agreement, \$1,500,000 was to be funded during the 2010 budget year, \$2,000,000 was to be funded during the 2011 budget year and \$2,000,000 was to be funded during the 2012 budget year. The Modified Agreement eliminates the annual funding requirements and extends the due date of the \$5,500,000 funding to December 31, 2013.

Under the original Agreement, the Company was required to pay a minimum annual royalty during the earn-in period to Trio of which \$75,000 was paid upon signing of the Agreement, \$100,000 was paid on April 1, 2011 and \$150,000 was to be paid on April 1, 2012. Under the Modified Agreement, there are no further minimum royalty payments due and the minimum royalty payments made are to be applied against the \$5,500,000 funding. In consideration for modifying the terms of the original Agreement and extending the due date, the Company issued Trio 139,400 shares of its common shares valued at \$11,152, which was charged to operations and included in exploratory costs.

Once the Company has provided \$5,500,000 in funding for the project, the Company and Trio shall fund the operational costs jointly, with the Company providing 75% of the funds and Trio providing 25% of the funds. Through July 31, 2012, the Company funded a total of \$2,350,000 in the property's operational costs as defined under

the Modified Agreement. The funds paid have been charged to operations and included in exploratory costs.

In addition, within three months of the assignment, the Company is required to issue Trio shares of its common stock equaling 0.20% of its total issued and outstanding as of February 22, 2010. Upon expenditure of a minimum of \$2,000,000 on the claims, Trio shall receive an additional 0.10% of the Company's issued and outstanding common shares. Upon expending a minimum of \$4,000,000 on the claims, Trio shall receive an additional 0.10% of the Company's issued and outstanding common shares. Upon expenditure of \$5,500,000 on the claims, Trio shall receive a final 0.10% of the Company's issued and outstanding common shares. All shares issued shall be restricted common shares and will be stamped with the applicable hold period. On February 22, 2010, the Company issued 144,240 shares of its common stock to Trio valued at \$242,323, based upon the trading price of the shares on the date of issuance. On October 25, 2011, the Company issued 72,120 shares of its common stock to Trio valued at \$5,769, based upon the trading price of the shares on the date of issuance. On March 23, 2012, the Company issued 139,400 shares of its common stock to Trio valued at \$11,152, based upon the trading price of the shares on the date of issuance. The values of the shares have been charged to operations and included in exploration costs.

Cuevas Blanca Gold Property

On April 16, 2010, the Company entered into an agreement (the "Letter Agreement") with St. Elias Mines Ltd. ("St. Elias") in which the Company was given an option to earn a 60% interest, subject to a 1.5% net smelter return ("NSR") royalty, in the Cueva Blanca gold property (1,200 hectares) in Northern Peru (the "Cueva Blanca Property"), which is wholly owned by St. Elias. Under the terms of the Letter Agreement, it is possible for the Company to acquire a 60% interest in the Cueva Blanca Property (subject to a 1.5% NSR) in consideration of:

- (a) making cash payments of \$200,000 to St. Elias over a two-year period;
- (b) issuing 100,000 common shares in the capital of Amarok to St. Elias; and
- (c) incurring at least \$1,500,000 in exploration expenditures on the property over a three-year period.

In addition, the Company shall have the right to purchase one-half of the 1.5% NSR from St. Elias for the sum of \$1,500,000, thereby reducing the NSR payable to from 1.5% to 0.75%.

The Company's first payment of \$10,000 was paid on June 24, 2010. On April 27, 2011, the Letter Agreement between St. Elias and the Company was formally terminated by St. Elias. As of April 30, 2012, the Company has paid a total of \$27,603 in fees towards property maintenance costs on the Cueva Blanca Property. The Company is currently considering its options following St. Elias' termination of the agreement.

McNeil Claims, Canada

On March 24, 2011 the Company signed an agreement with Warrior Ventures, Inc. (“Warrior”), a private company, to acquire 100% of the McNeil Gold Property (the “McNeil Property”) consisting of 20 claims totaling 256 claim units in McNeil and Robertson townships in the Larder Lake Mining Division. The McNeil Property is located within the Abitibi Greenstone belt, approximately 30 miles southeast of Timmins, Ontario, Canada and approximately 35 miles west of Kirkland Lake, Ontario, Canada. In exchange for the McNeil Property, the Company issued 1,400,000 shares of the Company’s restricted common stock to Warrior along with an option to purchase 1,400,000 of the Company’s common shares at a price of \$1.00 per common share until October 1, 2011. Any options remaining unexercised as of September 1, 2011 may be exercised at a price of \$1.25 per common share until March 31, 2012, after which the option to purchase any shares of the Company automatically terminates.

In March 2012, the Company staked an additional 701 claim units encompassing approximately 17,350 acres. These new claims border onto the company’s 100% owned McNeil property to the North and West, and are found in Cleaver and McNeil Townships. This new acquisition brings Amarok’s total land holdings in the area to over 27,000 acres.

Rodeo Creek Property, Nevada

On November 9, 2012, the Company entered into a Property Option Letter Agreement (the “Option Agreement”) with Dragon Resources Holdings Inc. (“Dragon”). The Company’s granting of the option to acquire a 30% interest in the Rodeo Creek and McNeil Properties and providing other consideration to Dragon as indicated in the letter was based upon Dragon paying a Purchase Option Fee of \$500,000 on or before December 15, 2012. The \$500,000 was not paid by the due date and under the terms of the Agreement, the agreement automatically terminated.

Environmental Matters

United States mining and exploration activities are subject to various federal and state laws and regulations governing the protection of the environment, including the Clean Air Act; the Clean Water Act; the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act; the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act; the Endangered Species Act; the Federal Land Policy and Management Act; the National Environmental Policy Act; the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act; and related state laws. These laws and regulations are continually changing and are generally becoming more restrictive. Activities outside the United States are also subject to governmental regulations for the protection of the environment.

Competition And Mineral Prices

The mining industry has historically been intensely competitive and the increasing price of gold since 2002 has led a number of companies to begin once again to aggressively acquire claims and properties

Employees

As of the date of this report, Amarok has no employees. However, we currently use our officers and directors to perform management functions. Amarok may use third-party consultants to assist in the completion of various projects. Third-parties are instrumental to keep the development of projects on time and on budget. Management expects to continue to use consultants, attorneys, and accountants as necessary.

Executive Offices

Our executive offices are located at 30021 Tomas Street, Suite 300 Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

As a “smaller reporting company,” as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act, we are not required to provide the information called for by this Item.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our current business address is 30021 Tomas Street, Suite 300 Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688. Our telephone number is (949) 682-7889. We currently rent this space for approximately \$1,182 per month. We believe that this space is adequate for our current and immediately foreseeable operating needs.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, the Company may become subject to various legal proceedings that are incidental to the ordinary conduct of its business. Although the Company cannot accurately predict the amount of any liability that may ultimately arise with respect to any of these matters, it makes provision for potential liabilities when it deems them probable and reasonably estimable. These provisions are based on current information and legal advice and may be adjusted from time to time according to developments.

We know of no material, existing or pending legal proceedings against our company, nor are we involved as a plaintiff in any material proceeding or pending litigation. There are no proceedings in which our director, officer or any affiliates, or any registered or beneficial shareholder, is an adverse party or has a material interest adverse to our interest.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not Applicable.

PART II

ITEM MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER 5. MATTERS MARKET INFORMATION

Our common stock, has been quoted on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board (OTCBB) since March 6, 2009 under the symbol "UKRA". The Company changed its name and has been quoted under the symbol "AMOK" since February 23, 2010. Effective February 23, 2010, the Company completed a 60:1 stock split. Because we are quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board, our common stock may be less liquid, receive less coverage by security analysts and news media, and generate lower prices than might otherwise be obtained if it were listed on a national securities exchange.

The following table sets forth, for the fiscal quarters indicated, the high and low sales prices per share of our common stock, as derived from quotations provided by Pink Sheets, LLC. Such quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission, and may not represent actual transactions.

| | BID PRICE PER SHARE | |
|---|----------------------------|------------|
| | HIGH | LOW |
| First Quarter (Nov. 1, 2010-Jan. 31 2011) | \$ 1.56 | 0.95 |
| Second Quarter (Feb. 1, 2011-Apr. 30, 2011) | \$ 1.28 | 0.2914 |
| Third Quarter (May 1, 2011-Jul. 31, 2011) | \$ 0.42 | 0.1550 |
| Fourth Quarter (Aug. 1, 2011-Oct. 31, 2011) | \$ 0.1825 | 0.0600 |
| First Quarter (Nov. 1, 2011-Jan. 31, 2012) | \$ 0.2200 | 0.0275 |
| Second Quarter (Feb. 1, 2012-Apr. 30, 2012) | \$ 0.1200 | 0.0501 |
| Third Quarter (May 1, 2012-Jul. 31, 2012) | \$ 0.0650 | 0.0400 |
| Fourth Quarter (Aug. 1, 2012-Oct. 31, 2012) | \$ 0.0500 | 0.0200 |

Record Holders

Total shares outstanding as of October 31, 2012 were 79,025,760 held by approximately 55 shareholders of record with an undetermined additional number of holders in street name, plus an additional 3,000,000 shares issuable upon the exercise of outstanding warrants and 750,000 shares issuable upon the exercise of outstanding options.

Dividends

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock nor do we anticipate paying any in the foreseeable future. Furthermore, we expect to retain any future earnings to finance our operations and expansion. The payment of cash dividends in the future will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend upon our earnings levels, capital requirements, any restrictive loan covenants and other factors the Board considers relevant.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities; Use of Proceeds from Registered Securities

Recent Sales of unregistered securities that have not been previously disclosed are incorporated by reference from the notes to financial statements found herein.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

We have not established any compensation plans under which equity securities are authorized for issuance.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Small Business Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

We did not purchase any of our shares of common stock or other securities during the year ended October 31, 2012.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

We are a smaller reporting company as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and are not required to provide the information under this item.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our audited financial statements and notes thereto included herein. We caution readers regarding certain forward looking statements in the following discussion and elsewhere in this report and in any other statement made by, or on our behalf, whether or not in future filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Forward-looking statements are statements not based on historical information and which relate to future operations, strategies, financial results or other developments. Forward looking statements are necessarily based upon estimates and assumptions that are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond our control and many of which, with respect to future business decisions, are subject to change. These uncertainties and contingencies can affect actual results and could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward looking statements made by, or our behalf. We disclaim any obligation to update forward-looking statements.

Results of Operations

For the year ended October 31, 2012 as compared to the year ended October 31, 2011.

Revenues.

The Company did not generate any revenues during the years ended October 31, 2012 or October 31, 2011.

Operating Expenses and Net Loss.

Operating expenses and net loss for the years ended October 31, 2012 as compared to the year ended October 31, 2011 is as follows:

| | For the Year Ended October 31, | | Change in Fiscal 2012 Compared to Fiscal 2011 | Percentage Change in Fiscal 2012 Compared to Fiscal 2011 |
|----------------------------------|---|--------------------|--|---|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Operating Expenses | | | | |
| Exploratory costs | \$ 121,592 | \$ 1,393,378 | \$(1,271,786) | -91.27% |
| Impairment losses | - | 322,000 | (322,000) | -100.00% |
| | - | - | - | - |
| Contributed services | | | | |
| Management fees | 180,000 | 124,000 | 56,000 | 45.16% |
| Professional services | 114,926 | 128,846 | (13,920) | -10.80% |
| Rent | 14,506 | 14,716 | (210) | -1.43% |
| Other general and administrative | 23,863 | 40,800 | (16,937) | -41.51% |
| Total operating expenses | (454,887) | (2,023,740) | (1,568,853) | -77.52% |
| Loss from operations | | | | |
| Other Income (Expenses) | | | | |
| Interest income | 80 | 729 | (649) | -89.03% |
| Interest expense | - | (66) | 66 | -100.00% |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (454,807) | (2,023,077) | \$(1,568,270) | -77.57% |

Liquidity and Capital Resources

During the year ended October 31, 2012, net cash used in operating activities totaled \$214,083. Net cash used in investing activities totaled \$35,088 which was paid in the development of the Company's mineral properties. The Company's cash balances during the year ended October 31, 2012 decreased by a total of \$249,171. The Company's cash balances at the beginning of the year totaled \$267,995 and its cash balances at October 31, 2012 totaled \$18,824.

During the year ended October 31, 2011, net cash used in operating activities totaled \$1,656,258. Net cash used in investing activities totaled \$87,380 which was paid in the development of the Company's mineral properties. The Company's cash balances during the year ended October 31, 2011 decreased by a total of \$1,743,638. The Company's cash balances at the beginning of the year totaled \$2,011,633 and its cash balances at October 31, 2011 totaled \$267,995

As indicated, the Company had cash of \$18,824 as of October 31, 2012, as compared to \$267,995 as of October 31, 2011. As of October 31, 2012, the Company had prepaid assets of NIL, as compared to \$60,643 at October 31, 2011. The Company also has \$1,200 in security deposits as of October 31, 2012 and as of October 31, 2011.

As of October 31, 2012, the Company had \$184,996 in total current liabilities, which was represented by \$51,935 in accounts payable, and \$133,061 in related party accounts payable. As of October 31, 2011, the Company had \$55,021 in total current liabilities, which was represented by \$29,628 in accounts payable, and \$25,393 in related party accounts payable.

The Company had no long-term liabilities at October 31, 2012 or at October 31, 2011; therefore the Company had total liabilities of \$184,996 at October 31 2012 and \$55,021 at October 31, 2011.

The Company is not aware of any known trends, events or uncertainties which may affect its future liquidity.

The Company is in the exploratory stage of operations and has not yet generated any revenues. Management cannot guarantee that the Company will be successful in its business operations. The Company's business is subject to risks inherent in the establishment of a new business enterprise, including limited capital resources and possible cost overruns.

The Company will continue to seek additional financing in order to obtain the capital required to continue implementation of its business plan.

Management provides no assurance that future financing will be available to the Company on acceptable terms. If financing is not available on satisfactory terms, the Company may be unable to continue, develop, or expand its operations. Equity financing could result in additional dilution to the Company's existing shareholders.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Mining Costs

Costs incurred to purchase, lease or otherwise acquire property are capitalized when incurred. General exploration costs and costs to maintain rights and leases are expensed as incurred. Management periodically reviews the recoverability of the capitalized mineral properties. Management takes into consideration various information including, but not limited to, historical production records taken from previous mining operations, results of exploration activities conducted to date, estimated future prices and reports and opinions of outside consultants. When it is determined that a project or property will be abandoned or its carrying value has been impaired, a provision is made for any expected loss on the project or property.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Issuances Involving Non-cash Consideration

All issuances of the Company's stock for non-cash consideration have been assigned a dollar amount equaling the market value of the shares issued on the date the shares were issued for such services.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation under ASC Topic 505-50, "Equity-Based Payments to Non-Employees." This topic defines a fair-value-based method of accounting for stock-based compensation. In accordance with the Topic, the cost of stock-based compensation is measured at the grant date based on the value of the award and is recognized over the vesting period. The value of the stock-based award is determined using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, whereby compensation cost is the excess of the fair value of the award as determined by the pricing model at the grant date or other measurement date over the amount that must be paid to acquire the stock. The resulting amount is charged to expense on the straight-line basis over the period in which the Company expects to receive the benefit, which is generally the vesting period.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are a smaller reporting company as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and are not required to provide the information under this item.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AMAROK, RESOURCES, INC.

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

OCTOBER 31, 2012

| Financial Statements | Page |
|--|------|
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | F-1 |
| Balance Sheets as of October 31, 2012 and October 31, 2011 | F-2 |
| Statements of Operations for the years ended October 31, 2012 and October 31, 2011 | F-3 |
| Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended October 31, 2012 and October 31, 2011 | F-4 |
| Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended October 31, 2012 and October 31, 2011 | F-5 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | F-6 |

ROBISON, HILL & CO.
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

Certified Public Accountants

DAVID O. SEAL, CPA
W. DALE WESTENSKOW, CPA
BARRY D. LOVELESS, CPA
STEPHEN M. HALLEY, CPA

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Amarok Resources, Inc.

(An Exploration Stage Company)

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Amarok Resources, Inc. (an exploration stage company) as of October 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related statements of operations, and cash flows for the two years ended October 31, 2012 and 2011, and the cumulative since February 1, 2010 (inception of exploration stage) to October 31, 2012, and the statement of stockholder's equity since February 1, 2010 (inception of exploration stage) to October 31, 2012. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Amarok Resources, Inc. (an exploration stage company) as of October 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the two years ended October 31, 2012 and 2011, and the cumulative since February 1, 2010 (inception of exploration stage) to October 31, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, the Company has incurred net losses from operations and has no source of revenues, which raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 1. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Robison, Hill & Co

Certified Public Accountants

Salt Lake City, Utah

January 29, 2013

MEMBERS OF AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

MEMBERS OF THE SEC PRACTICE SECTION and THE PRIVATE COMPANIES PRACTICE SECTION

1366 East Murray-Holladay Road, Salt Lake City, Utah 84117-5050

Telephone 801/272-8045, Facsimile 801/277-9942

F-1

AMAROK RESOURCES, INC.**(An Exploratory Stage Company)****Balance Sheets**

| | October 31, 2012 | October 31, 2011 |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 18,824 | \$ 267,995 |
| Prepaid rent | 1,182 | - |
| Prepaid services | - | 60,643 |
| Security deposit | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| | 21,206 | 329,838 |
| Mining claims | 689,710 | 656,122 |
| Website development, (net accumulated amortization of \$136) | 1,364 | - |
| Total assets | \$ 712,280 | \$ 985,960 |
| Liabilities and stockholders' equity | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 51,935 | \$ 29,628 |
| Accounts payable - related parties | 133,061 | 25,393 |
| | 184,996 | 55,021 |
| Stockholders' equity | | |
| Common stock, 175,000,000 shares authorized, \$0.001 par value, 79,025,760 shares issued and outstanding at October 31, 2012 and 78,386,360 shares issued and outstanding at October 31, 2011 | 79,025 | 78,386 |
| Additional paid-in capital (deficit) | 5,060,889 | 5,010,376 |
| Accumulated deficit | (161,790) | (161,790) |
| Deficit accumulated during the exploratory stage | (4,450,840) | (3,996,033) |
| | 527,284 | 930,939 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 712,280 | \$ 985,960 |

The Accompanying notes are an integral part to the financials

AMAROK RESOURCES, INC.**(An Exploratory Stage Company)****Statements of Operations**

| | For the Year Ended October 31, | | From February 1, 2010 through October 31, 2012 (Exploratory Stage) |
|---|---|----------------------|---|
| | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Revenue | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Operating expenses | | | |
| Exploratory costs | 121,592 | 1,393,378 | 3,318,276 |
| Impairment loss | - | 322,000 | 322,000 |
| Contributed services | - | - | 250 |
| Management fees | 180,000 | 124,000 | 384,000 |
| Professional services | 114,926 | 128,846 | 293,369 |
| Rent | 14,506 | 14,716 | 39,156 |
| Other general and administrative | 23,863 | 40,800 | 95,621 |
| Total operating expenses | 454,887 | 2,023,740 | 4,452,672 |
| Other income | | | |
| Interest income | 80 | 729 | 1,898 |
| Interest expense | - | (66) | (66) |
| Net loss | \$(454,807) | \$(2,023,077) | \$(4,450,840) |
| Net loss per common share - basic and diluted | \$(0.01) | \$(0.03) | |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding | 78,808,346 | 77,348,678 | |

The Accompanying notes are an integral part to the financials

AMAROK RESOURCES, INC.**(An Exploratory Stage Company)****Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity****For the Period from February 1, 2010 (Inception of Exploratory Stage) to October 31, 2012**

| | Common Stock | | Additional | Accumulated | Deficit | Accumulated | |
|---|---------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Paid-in | Deficit | Stage | During the | Totals |
| | | | Capital | | | Exploratory | |
| Balance, October 31, 2009 | 150,120,000 | \$150,120 | \$6,501 | \$ (160,290) | \$- | | \$(3,669) |
| Issuance of common stock for cash | 6,000,000 | 6,000 | 3,494,000 | - | - | | 3,500,000 |
| Offering costs | - | - | (50,000) | - | - | | (50,000) |
| Issuance of common stock pursuant to exploration agreement | 244,240 | 244 | 410,079 | - | - | | 410,323 |
| Issuance of common stock for services | 40,000 | 40 | 85,060 | - | - | | 85,100 |
| Return and cancellation of common stock | (80,000,000) | (80,000) | 80,000 | - | - | | - |
| Contributed services and rent | - | - | 1,750 | - | - | | 1,750 |
| Net loss for period | - | - | - | (1,500) | (1,972,956) | | (1,974,456) |
| Balance, October 31, 2010 | 76,404,240 | \$76,404 | \$4,027,390 | \$ (161,790) | \$(1,972,956) | | \$1,969,048 |
| Issuance of common stock in exchange for mining properties | 1,400,000 | 1,400 | 782,600 | - | - | | 784,000 |
| Stock options granted as additional consideration on acquisition of mining properties | - | - | 98,724 | - | - | | 98,724 |
| Issuance of common stock pursuant to exploration agreement | 72,120 | 72 | 5,697 | - | - | | 5,769 |
| Issuance of common stock for services | 510,000 | 510 | 67,690 | - | - | | 68,200 |
| Compensation recognized on option grants | - | - | 28,275 | - | - | | 28,275 |
| Net loss for period | - | - | - | - | (2,023,077) | | (2,023,077) |
| Balance, October 31, 2011 | 78,386,360 | 78,386 | 5,010,376 | (161,790) | (3,996,033) | | 930,939 |
| Issuance of common stock for services | 500,000 | 500 | 39,500 | - | - | | 40,000 |
| Issuance of common stock pursuant to modification to exploration agreement | 139,400 | 139 | 11,013 | - | - | | 11,152 |
| Net loss for period | - | - | - | - | (454,807) | | (454,807) |
| Balance, October 31, 2012 | 79,025,760 | \$79,025 | \$5,060,889 | \$ (161,790) | \$(4,450,840) | | \$527,284 |

The Accompanying notes are an integral part to the financials

F-4

AMAROK RESOURCES, INC.**(An Exploratory Stage Company)****Statement of Cash Flows**

| | For the Year Ended | | From |
|---|--------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | October 31, | | February 1, |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | | | through |
| | | | October 31, |
| | | | 2012 |
| | | | Exploratory |
| | | | Stage) |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net loss | \$(454,807) | \$(2,023,077) | \$(4,450,840) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: | | | |
| Amortization expense | 136 | — | 136 |
| Impairment loss | — | 322,000 | 322,000 |
| Stock based compensation | 51,152 | 43,826 | 588,176 |
| Contributed services and rent | — | — | 250 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities | | | |
| (Increase) decrease in prepaid rent | (1,182) | 1,217 | (1,182) |
| (Increase) decrease in prepaid services | 60,643 | 9,675 | 60,643 |
| Increase in security deposit | — | — | (1,200) |
| Increase in accounts payable | 22,307 | 10,997 | 40,652 |
| Increase (decrease) in accounts payable - related parties | 107,668 | (20,896) | 132,657 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (214,083) | (1,656,258) | (3,308,708) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Investments in mining properties | (33,588) | (87,380) | (120,968) |
| Website development | (1,500) | — | (1,500) |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | (35,088) | (87,380) | (122,468) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock net of offering costs | — | — | 3,450,000 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | — | — | 3,450,000 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash | (249,171) | (1,743,638) | 18,824 |
| Cash - beginning of period | 267,995 | 2,011,633 | — |
| Cash - end of period | \$18,824 | \$267,995 | \$18,824 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | | |
| Interest paid | \$— | \$66 | \$— |
| Income taxes paid | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Noncash investing and financing activities: | | | |

The Accompanying notes are an integral part to the financials

F-5

AMAROK RESOURCES, INC.

(An Exploratory Stage Company)

Statement of Cash Flows

(Continued)

In March 2012, the Company issued 139,400 shares of its common stock to Trio Gold Corp pursuant to the Amendment to February 22, 2010 Exploratory Agreement. The shares were valued at \$11,152 (See Note 3).

In February 2012, the Company issued 500,000 shares of its common stock to pursuant to the terms of the agreement with its director of exploration, David Gibson. The shares were valued at \$40,000.

In March 2011, the Company acquired mining property in consideration for 1,400,000 shares of its common stock and the grant of options to purchase 1,400,000 shares of the Company's common stock. (See Note 6 to the accompanying financials statements).

In May 2011, the Company issued 10,000 shares of its common stock as a signing bonus to a geologist valued at \$3,200 that is being charged to operations over the six-month term of the underlying service agreement (See Note 6 to the accompanying financial statements).

In August 2011, the Company issued 500,000 shares of its common stock to pursuant to the terms of the agreement with its director of exploration. The shares were valued at \$65,000. Pursuant to the terms of the same agreement, the Company granted options to the director to purchase a total of 1,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$0.20 to \$0.75 per share. The Company valued the option grant at \$28,275. The value of the common shares and stock options totaling \$93,275 was amortized to operations over the six-month term of the underlying service agreement.

In October 2011, the Company issued Trio Gold Corp 72,120 shares of its common stock pursuant to the terms of an exploration agreement. The common shares were valued at their respective market price of \$5,769 that was charged to operations and included in exploratory costs.

The Accompanying notes are an integral part to the financials

Amarok Resources, Inc.

(An Exploratory Stage Company)

Notes to the Financial Statements

NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Amarok Resources, Inc. (“Amarok” or the “Company”) was incorporated in the state of Nevada on October 23, 2008 under the name Ukragro Corporation. The Company’s principal activity is the exploration and development of mineral properties for future commercial development and production.

On January 29, 2010, the Company filed an amendment to its articles of incorporation changing its name to Amarok Resources, Inc. In the same amendment, the Company changed its authorized capital to 175,000,000 shares of common stock at a restated par value of \$0.001. Effective February 23, 2010, the Company authorized a 60:1 stock split. The accompanying financial statements have been restated to reflect the change in capital and stock split as if they occurred at the Company’s inception.

Effective February 1, 2010, the Company entered the exploratory stage as defined under the provisions of Accounting Codification Standard (“ASC”) 915-10.

Going Concern

The Company has incurred net losses since inception, and as of October 31, 2012 had a combined accumulated deficit of \$4,612,630. These conditions raise substantial doubt as to the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. These financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty. These financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts, or amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

Management recognizes that the Company must generate additional funds to enable it to continue operating. Management intends to raise additional financing through debt and or equity financing and by other means that it deems necessary, with a view to moving forward and sustaining a prolonged growth in its strategy phases. However, no assurance can be given that the Company will be successful in raising additional capital. Further, even if the company raises additional capital, there can be no assurance that the Company will achieve profitability or positive cash flow. If management is unable to raise additional capital and expected significant revenues do not result in positive cash flow, the Company will not be able to meet its obligations and may have to cease operations

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The Company follows accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of financial position and the results of operations for the periods presented have been reflected herein.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments and other short-term investments with a maturity date of three months or less, when purchased, to be cash equivalents.

F-7

Mining Costs

Costs incurred to purchase, lease or otherwise acquire property are capitalized when incurred. General exploration costs and costs to maintain rights and leases are expensed as incurred. Management periodically reviews the recoverability of the capitalized mineral properties. Management takes into consideration various information including, but not limited to, historical production records taken from previous mining operations, results of exploration activities conducted to date, estimated future prices and reports and opinions of outside consultants. When it is determined that a project or property will be abandoned or its carrying value has been impaired, a provision is made for any expected loss on the project or property.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Pursuant to ASC No. 820, “*Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*,” the Company is required to estimate the fair value of all financial instruments included on its balance sheets as of October 31, 2012 and 2011. The Company’s financial instruments consist of accounts payables. The Company considers the carrying value of such amounts in the financial statements to approximate their fair value.

Loss Per Share of Common Stock

The Company follows ASC No. 260, Earnings Per Share (ASC No. 260) that requires the reporting of both basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share. Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. The calculation of diluted earnings (loss) per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. In accordance with ASC No. 260, any anti-dilutive effects on net earnings (loss) per share are excluded. Potential common shares at October 31, 2012 that have been excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per share include warrants exercisable into 3,000,000 shares of common stock and options exercisable into 750,000 shares of common stock. Potential common shares at October 31, 2011 that have been excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per share include warrants exercisable into 3,000,000 shares of common stock and options exercisable into 2,400,000 shares of common stock.

Issuances Involving Non-cash Consideration

All issuances of the Company’s stock for non-cash consideration have been assigned a dollar amount equaling the market value of the shares issued on the date the shares were issued for such services. The non-cash consideration received pertains to consulting services.

Stock Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation under ASC Topic 505-50, "Equity-Based Payments to Non-Employees." This topic defines a fair-value-based method of accounting for stock-based compensation. In accordance with the Topic, the cost of stock-based compensation is measured at the grant date based on the value of the award and is recognized over the vesting period. The value of the stock-based award is determined using Binomial or Black-Scholes option-pricing models, whereby compensation cost is the excess of the fair value of the award as determined by the pricing model at the grant date or other measurement date over the amount that must be paid to acquire the stock. The resulting amount is charged to expense on the straight-line basis over the period in which the Company expects to receive the benefit, which is generally the vesting period. During the year ended October 31, 2012, the Company incurred stock-based compensation totaling \$51,152 from the issuance of 500,000 shares of its common stock for geological services valued at \$40,000 and from the issuance of 139,400 shares of common stock to Trio Gold Corp valued at \$11,152. Trio received the 139,400 common shares pursuant to the terms of the exploration agreement between the two companies (See Note 3). During the year ended October 31, 2011, the Company incurred stock-based compensation totaling \$96,475 from the issuance of a total 510,000 shares of its common stock for geological services valued at \$68,200 and from the grant of 1,000,000 common stock options valued at \$28,275. The \$96,475 is being charged to operations over the six-month term of the underlying service agreements. Of the \$96,475, \$35,832 was charged to exploratory costs in 2011 and \$60,643 charged to exploratory costs in 2012.

Reclassification

Certain reclassifications have been made to conform the 2011 amounts to 2012 classifications for comparative purposes.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-02, "Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment" ("ASU 2012-02"), which permits an entity to make a qualitative assessment of whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit's indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than the asset's carrying value before applying the two-step goodwill impairment model that is currently in place. If it is determined through the qualitative assessment that the fair value of a reporting unit's indefinite-lived intangible asset is more likely than not greater than the asset's carrying value, the remaining impairment steps would be unnecessary. The qualitative assessment is optional, allowing companies to go directly to the quantitative assessment. ASU 2012-02 is effective for the Company for annual and interim indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment tests performed beginning October 1, 2012; however, early adoption is permitted. The Company believes the adoption of ASU 2012-02 will not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

The Company continually assesses any new accounting pronouncements to determine their applicability to the Company. Where it is determined that a new accounting pronouncement affects the Company's financial reporting, the Company undertakes a study to determine the consequence of the change to its financial statements and assures that there are proper controls in place to ascertain that the Company's financials properly reflect the change.

NOTE 3 – MINING CLAIMS

McNeil Claims, Canada

On March 24, 2011 the Company signed an agreement with Warrior Ventures, Inc. a private company, to acquire 100% of the McNeil Gold Property. The McNeil property is located within the Abitibi Greenstone belt, approximately 30 miles southeast of Timmins, Ontario, Canada and approximately 35 miles west of Kirkland Lake, Ontario, Canada. The purchase price of the property was in exchange for Warrior receiving 1,400,000 shares of the

Company's restricted common stock along with an option to purchase 1,400,000 of the Company common shares of the Company at a price of \$1.00 per common share until October 1, 2011. Any options remaining unexercised as of September 1, 2011 may be exercised at a price of \$1.25 per common share until March 31, 2012, after which the option to purchase any shares of Amarok automatically terminates. The Company initially valued the 1,400,000 shares at \$784,000 based upon the trading price of the common shares on the date of issuance. The Company valued the 1,400,000 options at \$98,724 using a binomial option model with a trading price of \$0.56 per share, risk free interest rate of 0.26%, and volatility of 93.221%. The total of \$882,724 was capitalized as mining properties. At October 31, 2011, the Company recognized an impairment of \$322,000 on the reduction in the fair value of mining claim based upon the agreed upon price of \$.33 per share pursuant to the underlying purchase agreement. The \$0.33 per share was based upon the trading price of the Company's common share on the March 23, 2011. Through October 31, 2012, the Company has incurred additional acquisition costs totaling \$128,986. The capitalized costs of the McNeil claim as of October 31, 2012 amounted to \$689,710.

F-9

Rodeo Creek Project, Nevada

On February 22, 2010, the Company entered into an agreement with Carlin Gold Resources, Inc., (“Carlin”) in which Carlin assigned the Company all of its rights, title, and interest in an exploration agreement between it and Trio Gold Corp. (“Trio”). The assigned exploration agreement was dated January 28, 2010. In consideration for the assignment of the interest in the exploration agreement, the Company paid Carlin \$1 and issued 100,000 shares of its common stock, valued at \$168,000 based upon the trading price of the shares on the date of issuance. The value of these shares has been charged to operations and included in exploration costs.

Trio has leased and has an option to purchase a 100% interest in 29 unpatented lode mining claims located in Nevada within the Carlin Gold Trend (the “Claims”). The Claims are subject to a 1.5% Net Smelter Return.

Under the agreement, the Company earns a 75% undivided interest in the Property during an earn-in period commencing in January 2010 and completing in December 2012 (the “earn-in period”). Upon completion of the earn-in period, a joint venture (the “Joint Venture”) is to be formed with the same 75% / 25% interest the parties held during the earn-in period. The Joint Venture shall remain in effect for twenty-five years or as long as the claims are being actively mined or developed, whichever is longer. After the termination of the Joint Venture, the Claims shall revert back to Trio.

On March 23, 2012, the Company and Trio entered into an agreement that modified certain terms of the original agreement (“modified agreement”). During the earn-in-period, the Company is to provide \$5,500,000 in funding to cover operational costs. Under the original agreement, \$1,500,000 was to be funded during the 2010 budget year, \$2,000,000 was to be funded during the 2011 budget year and \$2,000,000 was to be funded during the 2012 budget year. The modified agreement eliminates the annual funding requirements and extends the due date of the \$5,500,000 funding to December 31, 2013.

Under the original agreement, the Company was required to pay a minimum annual royalty during the earn-in period to Trio of which \$75,000 was paid upon signing of the agreement, \$100,000 was paid on April 1, 2011 and \$150,000 was to be paid on April 1, 2012. Under the modified agreement, there are no further minimum royalty payments due and the minimum royalty payments made are to be applied against the \$5,500,000 funding. In consideration for modifying the terms of the original agreement and extending the due date, the Company issued Trio 139,400 shares of its common shares valued at \$11,152, which was charged to operations and included in exploratory costs.

Once the Company has provided \$5,500,000 in funding for the project, the Company and Trio shall fund the operational costs jointly, with the Company providing 75% of the funds and Trio providing 25% of the funds. Through July 31, 2012, the Company funded a total of \$2,350,000 in the property’s operational costs as defined under the modified agreement. The funds paid have been charged to operations and included in exploratory costs.

In addition, within three months of the assignment, the Company is required to issue Trio shares of its common stock equaling 0.20% of its total issued and outstanding as of February 22, 2010. Upon expenditure of a minimum of \$2,000,000 on the claims, Trio shall receive an additional 0.10% of the Company’s issued and outstanding common shares. Upon expending a minimum of \$4,000,000 on the claims, Trio shall receive an additional 0.10% of the Company’s issued and outstanding common shares. Upon expenditure of \$5,500,000 on the claims, Trio shall receive a final 0.10% of the Company’s issued and outstanding common shares. All shares issued shall be restricted common shares and will be stamped with the applicable hold period. On February 22, 2010, the Company issued 144,240 shares of its common stock to Trio valued at \$242,323, based upon the trading price of the shares on the date of issuance. On October 25, 2011, the Company issued 72,120 shares of its common stock to Trio valued at \$5,769, based upon the trading price of the shares on the date of issuance. On March 23, 2012, the Company issued 139,400 shares of its common stock to Trio valued at \$11,152, based upon the trading price of the shares on the date of issuance. The values of the shares have been charged to operations and included in exploration costs.

Trio is a company incorporated in the Province of Alberta, Canada. Trio's current President is the father of one of the Company's officers and directors.

The sole officer, director, and shareholder of Carlin is a business associate of one of the Company's officers and directors.

F-10

Cuevas Blanca Gold Property

On April 16, 2010, the Company entered into an agreement with St. Elias Mines Ltd. (“St. Elias”) in which Amarok is given an option to earn a 60% interest, subject to a 1.5% net smelter return (“NSR”) royalty, in the Cueva Blanca gold property (1,200 hectares) in Northern Peru, which is wholly owned by St. Elias. Under the terms of the letter agreement, it is possible for the Company to acquire a 60% interest in the Property (subject to a 1.5% NSR) in consideration of:

- (a) making cash payments of \$200,000 to St. Elias over a two-year period;
- (b) issuing 100,000 common shares in the capital of Amarok to St. Elias; and
- (c) incurring at least \$1,500,000 in exploration expenditures on the property over a three-year period.

In addition, the Company shall have the right to purchase one-half of the 1.5% NSR from St. Elias for the sum of \$1,500,000, thereby reducing the NSR payable to from 1.5% to 0.75%.

The Company’s first payment of \$10,000 was paid on June 24, 2010. On April 27, 2011, the agreement between St. Elias and Amarok was formally terminated by St. Elias. As of April 30, 2012, the Company has paid a total of \$27,603 in fees towards property maintenance costs on the Cueva Blanca property. The Company is currently considering its option following St. Elias’ termination of the agreement.

Mining properties at October 31, 2012 consist of the following:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Beginning balance –November 1, 2011 | \$656,122 |
| Acquisition related costs | 33,588 |
| Ending balance – October 31, 2012 | \$689,710 |

NOTE 4 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

As discussed in Note 3, on February 22, 2010 the Company entered into an agreement with Carlin Gold Resources, Inc., (“Carlin”) in which Carlin assigned the Company all of its rights, title, and interest in an exploration agreement between it and Trio Gold Corp (“Trio”). Trio is a company incorporated in the Province of Alberta Canada. Trio’s current President is the father of one of the Company’s officers and directors. Further, the sole officer, director, and shareholder of Carlin is a business associate of one of the Company’s officers and directors.

In January 2010, an agreement went into effect whereby the Company is paying a company affiliated with one of the Company’s officers and directors for consulting services of \$8,000 a month on a month-to-month basis. On July 1, 2011, the consulting agreement was amended to increase the monthly payment to \$15,000 effective July 1, 2011. Total consulting fees charged to operations under this agreement for the year ended October 31, 2012 and 2011 were \$180,000 and \$124,000, respectively.

NOTE 5 – STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Year Ended October 31, 2012

On February 27, 2012, the Company issued 500,000 shares of its common stock pursuant to the terms of the agreement it has with David Gibson, the Company's director of exploration. The shares were valued at \$40,000 and charged to exploration.

As discussed in Note 3, the Company issued 139,400 shares of its common stock to Trio Gold Corp on March 23, 2012 pursuant to the amended exploratory agreement. The shares were valued at \$11,152.

Year Ended October 31, 2011

On March 24, 2011 the Company signed an agreement with Warrior Ventures, Inc. a private company, to acquire 100% of the McNeil Gold Property. The McNeil property is located within the Abitibi Greenstone belt, approximately 30 miles southeast of Timmins, Ontario, Canada and approximately 35 miles west of Kirkland Lake, Ontario, Canada. The purchase price of the property consisted of the issuance to Warrior of 1,400,000 shares of Company's restricted common stock along with an option to purchase 1,400,000 of the Company common shares at a price of \$1.00 per common share until October 1, 2011. Any options remaining unexercised as of September 1, 2011 may be exercised at a price of \$1.25 per common share until March 31, 2012, after which the option to purchase any shares of Amarok automatically terminates. The Company valued the 1,400,000 shares at \$784,000 based upon the trading price of the common shares on the date of issuance. The Company valued the 1,400,000 options at \$98,724 using a binomial option model with a trading price of \$0.56 per share, risk free interest rate of 0.26%, and volatility of 93.221%.

Pursuant to an agreement with an outside consultant to perform geological work for the Company the Company issued the consultant 10,000 shares of the Company's common stock on May 2, 2011. The shares were valued at \$3,200 based upon the trading the price of the common shares on date of issuance. The \$3,200 was charged to operations and included in exploratory costs.

Under terms of the Company's agreement with its Vice President of Exploration, the Company issued him 500,000 shares of common stock on August 25 2011. The shares were valued at \$65,000 based upon the trading the price of the common shares on date of issuance. In addition, the Company granted him stock options to purchase a total of 1,000,000 shares of common stock at prices ranging from \$0.20 to \$0.75 per share expiring at various dates through August 24, 2013. The 1,000,000 options were valued at \$28,275 under a binomial option model using a trading price of \$0.13 per share, risk free interest rates ranging from 0.10% to 0.20% and volatility ranging from 98.6721% to 102.087%. The value of the share and option based compensation of \$93,275 is being amortized to operations over the six-month term of the underlying service agreement.

Under its assigned agreement with Trio Gold Corporation, the Company issued Trio 72,120 shares of its common stock on October 25, 2011. The 72,120 common shares were valued at \$5,769 based upon the trading price of the common shares on the date of issuance. The \$5,769 was charged to operations and is included in exploratory costs.

Warrants

The following is a schedule of warrants outstanding as of October 31, 2012:

| | Warrants Outstanding | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Life |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Balance, October 31, 2010 | 6,000,000 | \$0.83 | 1.58 Years |
| Warrants granted | -- | -- | -- |
| Warrants exercised | -- | -- | -- |
| Warrants expired | (3,000,000) | (\$0.92) | -- |
| Balance, October 31, 2011 | 3,000,000 | \$0.75 | 1.98 Years |
| Warrants granted | -- | -- | -- |
| Warrants exercised | -- | -- | -- |
| Warrants expired | -- | -- | -- |
| Balance, October 31, 2012 | 3,000,000 | \$0.75 | .98 Years |

At October 31, 2012, the entire 3,000,000 warrants were fully vested.

Options

The following is a schedule of options outstanding as of October 31, 2012:

| | Options Outstanding | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Life |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Balance, October 31, 2010 | -- | -- | --- |
| Options granted | 2,400,000 | \$0.93 | -- |
| Options exercised | -- | -- | -- |
| Options expired | -- | -- | -- |
| Balance, October 31, 2011 | 2,400,000 | \$0.93 | .84 Years |
| Options granted | -- | -- | -- |
| Options exercised | -- | -- | -- |
| Options expired | (1,650,000) | (\$1.09) | -- |

| | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|--------|-----------|
| Balance, October 31, 2012 | 750,000 | \$0.58 | .65 Years |
|------------------------------|---------|--------|-----------|

At October 31, 2012, the entire 750,000 options were fully vested.

F-13

NOTE 6 - INCOME TAXES

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are computed annually for differences between financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in the future based on enacted tax laws and rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. Income tax expense is the tax payable or refundable for the period plus or minus the change during the period in deferred tax assets and liabilities.

The effective tax rate on the net loss before income taxes differs from the U.S. statutory rate as follows:

| | October 31, | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Current expense-Benefit | | |
| Federal | \$- | \$- |
| State | - | - |
| Total current expense (benefit) | - | - |
| Deferred Benefit | | |
| Federal | \$- | \$- |
| State | - | - |
| Total deferred benefit | - | - |
| U.S statutory rate | 34.00% | 34.00% |
| Less valuation allowance | -34.00% | -34.00% |
| Effective tax rate | 0.00% | 0.00% |

The significant components of deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

| | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Deferred tax assets | \$81,089 | 14,144 |
| Stock based compensation | 1,193,160 | 1,076,536 |
| Net operating losses | 1,274,249 | 1,090,680 |
| Less valuation allowance | (1,274,249) | (1,090,680) |
| Deferred tax asset - net valuation | \$- | \$- |

The net change in the valuation allowance for 2012 was \$(183,569).

The Company has a net operating loss carryover of approximately \$3,509,000 available to offset future income for income tax reporting purposes, which will expire in various years through 2032, if not previously utilized. However, the Company's ability to use the carryover net operating loss may be substantially limited or eliminated pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 382.

We adopted the provisions of ASC 740-10-50, formerly FIN 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes." We had no material unrecognized income tax assets or liabilities for the year ended October 31, 2012 or for the year ended October 31, 2011.

Our policy regarding income tax interest and penalties is to expense those items as general and administrative expense but to identify them for tax purposes. During the year ended October 30, 2012 and 2011, there were no income tax, or related interest and penalty items in the income statement, or liability on the balance sheet. We file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state jurisdictions. We are no longer subject to U.S. federal or state income tax examination by tax authorities for years before 2008. We are not currently involved in any income tax

examinations.

F-14

NOTE 7 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On November 9, 2012, the Company entered into a Property Option Letter Agreement (the "Option Agreement") with Dragon Resources Holdings Inc. ("Dragon"). The Company's granting of the option to acquire a 30% interest in the Rodeo Creek and McNeil Properties and providing other consideration to Dragon as indicated in the letter was based upon Dragon paying a Purchase Option Fee of \$500,000 on or before December 15, 2012. The \$500,000 was not paid by the due date and under the terms of the Agreement, the agreement automatically terminated.

End Notes to Financials

F-15

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

A control system, no matter how well conceived or operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met.

Based on this evaluation, our principal executive and principal financial and accounting officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) were effective as of October 31, 2012

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(c) and (d) of the Exchange Act. Our internal controls are designed to provide reasonable assurance that the reported financial information is presented fairly, financial disclosures are adequate and that the judgments inherent in the preparation of financial statements are reasonable and in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles of the United States of America (GAAP).

Because of its inherent limitations, a system of internal control over financial reporting can provide only reasonable assurance and may not prevent or detect misstatements. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Further, because of

changes in conditions, effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting may vary over time

A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the company's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report external financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected. An internal control material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected.

27

As part of our compliance efforts relative to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2012. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth in the Internal Control - Integrated Framework by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). We evaluated control deficiencies identified through our test of the design and operating effectiveness of controls over financial reporting to determine whether the deficiencies, individually or in combination, are significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. In performing the assessment, our management has identified material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting existing as of October 31, 2012. Our evaluation of the significance of each deficiency included both quantitative and qualitative factors. Based on that evaluation, our management concluded that as of October 31, 2012, and as of the date that the evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal controls and procedures was completed, our internal controls are not effective, for the reason discussed below:

We do not yet have written documentation of our internal control policies and procedures. Written documentation 1. of key internal controls over financial reporting is a requirement of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and may be applicable to us in future years.

We do not have sufficient segregation of duties within accounting functions, which is a basic internal control. Due to our extremely small size and the fact that we only had one management employee, whom is also an executive 2. officer and director, segregation of all conflicting duties may not always be possible and may not be economically feasible. However, to the extent possible, the initiation of transactions, the custody of assets and the recording of transactions should be performed by separate individuals.

We do not currently have full-time accounting personnel, which means we lack the requisite expertise in the key 3. functional areas of finance and accounting. In addition, this means we do not have available personnel to properly implement control procedures.

4. We did not have a functioning audit committee or outside independent directors, resulting in ineffective oversight in the establishment and monitoring of required internal controls and procedures.

We had not established adequate financial reporting monitoring activities to mitigate the risk of management 5. override, specifically because there are no employees and only one officer and director with management functions and therefore there is lack of segregation of duties.

There is a strong reliance on the external auditors and contract accountant to review and adjust the annual and 6. quarterly financial statements, to monitor new accounting principles, and to ensure compliance with GAAP and SEC disclosure requirements.

7. There is a strong reliance on the external attorneys to review and edit the annual and quarterly filings and to ensure compliance with SEC disclosure requirements.

In light of the material weaknesses described above, we performed additional analysis and other post-closing procedures to ensure our financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Accordingly, we believe that the financial statements included in this Report fairly present, in all material respects, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented.

In addition, although our controls are not effective, these significant weaknesses did not result in any material misstatements in our financial statements. Our management is committed to improving its internal controls and will (1) continue to use third party specialists to address shortfalls in staffing and to assist us with accounting and finance responsibilities, (2) increase the frequency of independent reconciliations of significant accounts which is intended to mitigate the lack of segregation of duties until there are sufficient personnel and (3) has, subsequent to the evaluation period, appointed outside directors and will establish an audit committee in the future.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with our evaluation we conducted of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2012, that occurred during our fourth fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the SEC that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Once the Company is engaged in a business of merit and has sufficient personnel available, then our Board of Directors, in particular and in connection with the aforementioned deficiencies, will establish the following remediation measures:

1. Our Board of Directors will nominate an audit committee or a financial expert on our Board of Directors.
2. We will appoint additional personnel to assist with the preparation of the Company's monthly financial reporting, including preparation of the monthly bank reconciliations.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Directors and Executive Officers

The following table sets forth the names and ages of our current directors and executive officers. Our Board of Directors appoints our executive officers. Each director of the Company serves for a term of one year or until the

successor is elected at the Company's annual shareholders' meeting and is qualified, subject to removal by the Company's shareholders. Each officer serves, at the pleasure of the Board of Directors, for a term of one year and until the successor is elected at the annual meeting of the Board of Directors and is qualified. There are no family relationships among our directors or executive officers. None of our directors or officers is a director in any other U.S. reporting companies. None of our directors or officers has been affiliated with any company that has filed for bankruptcy within the last five years. The Company is not aware of any proceedings to which any of the Company's officers or directors, or any associate of any such officer or director, is a party adverse to the Company or any of the Company's subsidiaries or has a material interest adverse to it or any of its subsidiaries.

| Name/Address | Age | Position | Tenure |
|---|-----|---|--|
| Ron Ruskowsky 30021 Tomas Street, Suite 335 Rancho Santa Margarita, California 92688 | 45 | President, CEO, CFO Director | January 4, 2010 to present |
| Earl W. Abbott 3841 Amador Way Reno, NV 89502 | 71 | Vice President of Exploration and Director | present January 25, 2013 to present |

Background and Business Experience

The business experience during the past five years of the person presently listed above as an Officer or Director of the Company is as follows:

RON RUSKOWSKY. Ron Ruskowsky became our President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director on January 4, 2010. Ron Ruskowsky has a diverse and strong background in corporate structure, management and finance. He has been involved in all aspects of management from marketing to finance and acquisitions in both public and private corporations for over 23 years. Mr. Ruskowsky has been involved in the start-up and development phases of companies involved in precious metal exploration, oil and gas exploration and development and sales, as well as offering consulting services to numerous small companies in the late 1990's. Mr. Ruskowsky has significant experience and knowledge in managing the growth of small cap resource companies. Mr. Ruskowsky is currently President and CEO of Royal Quantum Group, Inc., a public company currently quoted on the OTCBB.

EARL W. ABBOTT. Since receiving his Ph.D. degree in geology at Rice University in Houston, Texas where he studied the tectonics of the western U.S., Dr. Abbott has spent 41 years exploring for gold, base metals, uranium, and other resources all over the world. Using his education and experience, he combines strong management skills with a creative vision that enables him to apply sound scientific principles to the search for giant ore deposits. In the early part of his career, his management of an exploration program in Nevada resulted in the acquisition of three gold ore

bodies that were subsequently mined profitably. In addition to having been a key employee with several large and small mining companies and a consultant to the mining industry, Dr. Abbott has been an officer and director of several junior mining companies. Dr. Abbott is active in his profession, having been a member and served as an executive of professional mining associations. As a result, he has an extensive network of technical as well as leadership contacts. He is a Certified Professional Geologist (C.P.G.) by the American Institute of Professional Geologists (AIPG) as well as being the past President of the Nevada Chapter. He is also a member and past president of the Geological Society of Nevada (GSN), a member and past President of the Nevada Petroleum & geothermal Society (NPSGS), a member and past President of the Denver Region Exploration Geologists Society (DREGS), a member of the Society of Economic Geologists (SEG), a member of the Society for Mining, Metallurgy, and Exploration (SME), a member of the Geological Society of America (GSA), a member of the Northwest Mining Association (NWMA), a member of the Association for Mineral Exploration British Columbia (AMEBC), and a member of the Prospectors and Developers Association of Canada (PDAC). Dr. Abbott is a Qualified Person under the rules of National Instrument 43-101.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

We do not yet have a class of equity securities registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Hence, compliance with Section 16(a) thereof by our officers and directors is not required.

Auditors

Robison, Hill & Co. an independent registered public accounting firm, is our auditor.

Audit Committee and Audit Committee Financial Expert

The Company does not have an audit committee or an audit committee financial expert (as defined in Item 407 of Regulation S-K) serving on its Board of Directors. All current members of the Board of Directors lack sufficient financial expertise for overseeing financial reporting responsibilities. The Company has not yet employed an audit committee financial expert on its Board due to the inability to attract such a person.

The Company intends to establish an audit committee of the board of directors, which will consist of independent directors. The audit committee's duties will be to recommend to the Company's board of directors the engagement of an independent registered public accounting firm to audit the Company's financial statements and to review the Company's accounting and auditing principles. The audit committee will review the scope, timing and fees for the annual audit and the results of audit examinations performed by the internal auditors and independent registered public accounting firm, including their recommendations to improve the system of accounting and internal controls. The audit committee will at all times be composed exclusively of directors who are, in the opinion of the Company's board of directors, free from any relationship which would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment as a committee member and who possess an understanding of financial statements and generally accepted accounting principles.

Compensation Committee

The Company intends to establish a compensation committee of the Board of Directors. The compensation committee would review and approve the Company's salary and benefits policies, including compensation of executive officers.

Security Holders Recommendations To Board Of Directors

Our Company does not currently have a process for security holders to send communications to the Board of Directors. However, we welcome comments and questions from our shareholders. Shareholders can direct communications to our Chief Executive Officer, Ron Ruskowsky, at the Company's executive offices.

While we appreciate all comments from shareholders, we may not be able to individually respond to all communications. Our Company does attempt to address shareholder questions and concerns in press releases and documents filed with the SEC so that all shareholders have access to information at the same time. Mr. Ruskowsky collects and evaluates all shareholder communications. If the communication is directed to the Board of Directors generally or to a specific director, Mr. Ruskowsky will disseminate the communications to the appropriate party at the next scheduled Board of Directors meeting. If the communication requires a more urgent response, Mr. Ruskowsky will direct that communication to the appropriate executive officer. All communications addressed to our directors and executive officers will be reviewed by those parties unless the communication is clearly frivolous.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

During the past ten years no director, executive officer, promoter or control person of the Company has been involved in the following:

A petition under the Federal bankruptcy laws or any state insolvency law which was filed by or against, or a receiver, fiscal agent or similar officer was appointed by a court for the business or property of such person, or any

(1) partnership in which he was a general partner at or within two years before the time of such filing, or any corporation or business association of which he was an executive officer at or within two years before the time of such filing;

(2) Such person was convicted in a criminal proceeding or is a named subject of a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offenses);

Such person was the subject of any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of

(3) any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining him from, or otherwise limiting, the following activities:

i. Acting as a futures commission merchant, introducing broker, commodity trading advisor, commodity pool operator, floor broker, leverage transaction merchant, any other person regulated by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, or an associated person of any of the foregoing, or as an investment adviser, underwriter, broker or dealer in securities, or as an affiliated person, director or employee of any investment company, bank, savings and loan association or insurance company, or engaging in or continuing any conduct or practice in connection with such activity;

ii. Engaging in any type of business practice; or

iii. Engaging in any activity in connection with the purchase or sale of any security or commodity or in connection with any violation of Federal or State securities laws or Federal commodities laws;

Such person was the subject of any order, judgment or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of

(4) any Federal or State authority barring, suspending or otherwise limiting for more than 60 days the right of such person to engage in any activity described in paragraph (f)(3)(i) of this section, or to be associated with persons engaged in any such activity;

Such person was found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action or by the Commission to have violated

(5) any Federal or State securities law, and the judgment in such civil action or finding by the Commission has not been subsequently reversed, suspended, or vacated;

(6) Such person was found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action or by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated any Federal commodities law, and the judgment in such civil action or finding by the

Commodity Futures Trading Commission has not been subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated;

(7) Such person was the subject of, or a party to, any Federal or State judicial or administrative order, judgment, decree, or finding, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, relating to an alleged violation of:

- i. Any Federal or State securities or commodities law or regulation; or
- ii. Any law or regulation respecting financial institutions or insurance companies including, but not limited to, a temporary or permanent injunction, order of disgorgement or restitution, civil money penalty or temporary or permanent cease-and-desist order, or removal or prohibition order; or
- iii. Any law or regulation prohibiting mail or wire fraud or fraud in connection with any business entity; or

Such person was the subject of, or a party to, any sanction or order, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any self-regulatory organization (as defined in Section 3(a)(26) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. (8)78c(a)(26))), any registered entity (as defined in Section 1(a)(29) of the Commodity Exchange Act (7 U.S.C. 1(a)(29))), or any equivalent exchange, association, entity or organization that has disciplinary authority over its members or persons associated with a member.

Significant Employees And Consultants

Other than our officers and directors, we currently have no other significant employees.

Code Of Ethics

Our board of directors has not adopted a code of ethics due to the fact that we presently only have two directors and we are in the exploration stage of our operations. We anticipate that we will adopt a code of ethics when we increase either the number of our directors and officers or the number of our employees.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation of Officers

The following tables set forth certain information about compensation paid, earned or accrued for services by our executive officers in the fiscal years ended October 31, 2012 and October 31, 2011.

A summary of cash and other compensation paid in accordance with management consulting contracts for our executives for the most recent two years is as follows:

| Name and Principal Position | Title | Year | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$) | Stock Options | | Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation | Nonqualified Deferred Compensation | All other compensation | Total |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------|--|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| | | | | | Awards (\$) | Awards (\$) | (\$) | (\$) | (\$) | (\$) |
| (a) | | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) | (f) | (g) | (h) | (i) | (j) |
| Ron Ruskowsky ⁽¹⁾ | President, CEO, CFO and Director | 2012 | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- | 180,000 | \$ 180,000 |
| | | 2011 | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- | 124,000 | \$ 124,000 |

Notes to Summary Compensation Table:

(1) Mr. Ron Ruskowsky has been a director of the Company since January 4, 2010.

Narrative Disclose to Summary Compensation Table

As of October 31, 2012, the Company has no plans that provides for group health insurance to employees, the payment of retirement benefits, or benefits that will be paid primarily following retirement.

The Company has no plans that provides for the payment of retirement benefits, or benefits that will be paid primarily following retirement.

The Company has no agreement that provides for payment to executive officers at, following, or in connection with the resignation, retirement or other termination, or a change in control of Company or a change in any executive officer's responsibilities following a change in control.

In January 2010, an agreement went into effect whereby the Company is paying a company affiliated with Ron Ruskowsky for consulting services of \$8,000 a month on a month-to-month basis. On July 1, 2011, the consulting agreement was amended to increase the monthly payment to \$15,000 effective July 1, 2011.

Option or Stock Award Plans

The Company has no option or stock award plan.

Long-Term Incentive Plans

The Company has no long-term incentive plan.

Stock Option Grants

We have not granted any stock options to the executive officers since our inception. Upon the further development of our business, we will likely grant options to directors and officers consistent with industry standards for junior mineral exploration companies.

Employment Agreements

None.

Compensation of Directors

Our directors receive no extra compensation for their service on our Board of Directors.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The following table lists, as of January 25, 2013, the number of shares of common stock that are beneficially owned by (i) each person or entity known to the Company to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding common stock; (ii) each officer and director of the Company; and (iii) all officers and directors as a group. Information relating to beneficial ownership of common stock by principal shareholders and management is based upon information furnished by each person using “beneficial ownership” concepts under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Under these rules, a person is deemed to be a beneficial owner of a security if that person has or shares voting power, which includes the power to vote or direct the voting of the security, or investment power, which includes the power to vote or direct the voting of the security. The person is also deemed to be a beneficial owner of any security of which that person has a right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days. Under the Securities and Exchange Commission rules, more than one person may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of the same securities, and a person may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of securities as to which he or she may not have any pecuniary beneficial interest. Except as noted below, each person has sole voting and investment power.

As of the date of this Annual Report, there are 79,025,760 shares of common stock issued and outstanding, 3,000,000 total warrants outstanding and 750,000 total options outstanding.

| Name and Address of Beneficial Owner, | Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership | Percentage of Beneficial Ownership (1) |
|---|---|--|
| Directors and Officers: | | |
| Ron Ruskowsky | | |
| 30021 Tomas Street, Suite 335 | 37,000,000 | 44.70% |
| Rancho Santa Margarita, California 92688 | | |
| Earl W. Abbott | | |
| 3841 Amador Way | 30,000 | 0.04% |
| Reno, NV 89502 | | |
| All executive officers and directors as a group (2 people) | 37,030,000 | 44.74% |

Beneficial Shareholders greater than 5%

(1) Applicable percentage of ownership is based on 79,025,760 shares of common stock outstanding on October 31, 2012. Percentage ownership is determined based on shares owned together with securities exercisable or convertible into shares of common stock within 60 days of October 31, 2012 for each stockholder. Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Shares of common stock subject to securities exercisable or convertible into shares of common stock that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of October 31, 2012 are deemed to be beneficially owned by the person holding such securities for the purpose of computing the percentage of ownership of such person, but are not treated as outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person. Our common stock is our only issued and outstanding class of securities eligible to vote.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Related Party Transactions

As discussed in Note 3 to the Financial Statements, on February 22, 2010 the Company entered into an agreement with Carlin Gold Resources, Inc., (“Carlin”) in which Carlin assigned the Company all of its rights, title, and interest in an exploration agreement between it and Trio Gold Corp (“Trio”). Trio is a company incorporated in the Province of Alberta Canada. Trio’s current President is the father of the Company’s sole officer and director. Further, the sole officer, director, and shareholder of Carlin is a business associate of one of the Company’s officers and directors.

In January 2010, an agreement went into effect whereby the Company is paying a company affiliated with one of the Company’s officers and directors for consulting services of \$8,000 a month on a month-to-month basis. On July 1, 2011, the consulting agreement was amended to increase the monthly payment to \$15,000 effective July 1, 2011. Total consulting fees charged to operations under this agreement for the year ended October 31, 2012 and 2011 were \$180,000 and \$124,000, respectively.

Other than the foregoing, none of the directors or executive officers of the Company, nor any person who owned of record or was known to own beneficially more than 5% of the Company’s outstanding shares of its Common Stock, nor any associate or affiliate of such persons or companies, has any material interest, direct or indirect, in any transaction that has occurred during the past fiscal year, or in any proposed transaction, which has materially affected or will affect the Company.

With regard to any future related party transaction, we plan to fully disclose any and all related party transactions in the following manner:

- Disclosing such transactions in reports where required;
- Disclosing in any and all filings with the SEC, where required;
- Obtaining disinterested directors consent; and
- Obtaining shareholder consent where required.

Director Independence

For purposes of determining director independence, we have applied the definitions set out in NASDAQ Rule 5605(a)(2). The OTCBB on which shares of common stock are quoted does not have any director independence requirements. The NASDAQ definition of "Independent Officer" means a person other than an Executive Officer or employee of the Company or any other individual having a relationship which, in the opinion of the Company's Board of Directors, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director.

According to the NASDAQ definition, Ron Ruskowsky is not an independent director because he is also an executive officer of the Company. According to the NASDAQ definition, David Gibson is not an independent director because he is also a shareholder of the Company.

Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions with Related Persons

We are a smaller reporting company as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and are not required to provide the information under this item.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES**(1) Audit Fees**

Our principal independent accountant is Robison, Hill & Co. Their pre-approved fees billed to the Company are set forth below:

| | For Fiscal Year Ended | For Fiscal Year Ended |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | October 31, 2012 | October 31, 2011 |
| Audit Fees | \$ 34,203 | \$ 28,266 |
| Tax Fees (Paid to Robison, Hill & Co.) | \$ - | \$ - |

(2) Audit-Related Fees

No aggregate fees were billed in each of the last two fiscal years for assurance and related services by the principal accountant that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the registrant's financial statements and are not reported under item (1) for the fiscal years ending October 31, 2012 and 2011.

(3) Tax Fees

No aggregate fees were billed for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning for the fiscal years ending October 31, 2012 and 2011.

(4) All Other Fees

No aggregate fees were billed for professional services provided by the principal accountant, other than the services reported in items (1) through (3) for the fiscal years ending October 31, 2012 and 2011.

(5) Audit Committee

The registrant's Audit Committee, or officers performing such functions of the Audit Committee, have approved the principal accountant's performance of services for the audit of the registrant's annual financial statements and review

of financial statements included in the registrant's Form 10-K or services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for the fiscal year ending October 31, 2012. Audit-related fees, tax fees, and all other fees, if any, were approved by the Audit Committee or officers performing such functions of the Audit Committee.

(6) Work Performance by others

The percentage of hours expended on the principal accountant's engagement to audit the registrant's financial statements for the most recent fiscal year that were attributed to work performed by persons other than the principal accountant's full-time, permanent employees was less than 50 percent.

As of October 31, 2012, the Company did not have a formal documented pre-approval policy for the fees of the principal accountant. The Company does not have an audit committee. The percentage of hours expended on the principal accountant's engagement to audit our financial statements for the most recent fiscal year that were attributed to work performed by persons other than the principal accountant's full-time, permanent employees was 0%.

PART IV**ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE**

(a) The following Exhibits, as required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K, are attached or incorporated by reference, as stated below.

| Number | Description | Filing |
|---------------|---|--|
| 3.01 | Articles of Incorporation | Filed with the SEC on January 6, 2009 as part of our Registration Statement on Form S-1. |
| 3.02 | Bylaws | Filed with the SEC on January 6, 2009 as part of our Registration Statement on Form S-1. |
| 3.03 | Amended Articles of Incorporation | Filed with the SEC on March 22, 2010 as part of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. |
| 10.01 | Assignment of Exploration Agreement between the Company and Carlin Gold Resources, Inc., dated February 22, 2010 | Filed with the SEC on March 4, 2010 as part of our Current Report on Form 8-K. |
| 10.02 | Exploration Agreement by and between Carlin Gold Resources, Inc. and Trio Gold Corp dated January 28, 2010 | Filed with the SEC on March 4, 2010 as part of our Current Report on Form 8-K. |
| 10.03 | Agreement between the Company and St. Elias Mines Ltd. dated April 16, 2010 | Filed with the SEC on June 21, 2010 as part of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. |
| 10.04 | Revision to Exploration Agreement by and between Carlin Gold Resources, Inc. and Trio Gold Corp dated February 24, 2010 | Filed with the SEC on February 15, 2011 as part of our Annual Report on Form 10-K. |
| 10.05 | Purchase and Sale Agreement between the Company and Warrior Ventures, Inc. dated March 24, 2011 | Filed with the SEC on June 8, 2011 as part of our Current Report on Form 8-K. |
| 16.01 | Auditor Letter from M&K CPAS, PLLC dated September 3, 2010 | Filed with the SEC on September 10, 2010 as part of our Current Report on Form 8-K. |
| 16.02 | Agreement between the Company and Dragon Resource Holdings Inc. dated November 9, 2012 | Filed with the SEC on November 19, 2012 as part of our Current Report on Form 8-K. |
| 31.01 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14 | Filed herewith. |
| 31.02 | Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14 | Filed herewith. |

Edgar Filing: Amarok Resources, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------------|
| | | Filed herewith. |
| 32.01 | CEO Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act | Filed herewith. |
| 32.02 | CFO Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act | Filed herewith. |
| 101.INS* | XBRL Instance Document | Filed herewith. |
| 101.SCH* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document | Filed herewith. |
| 101.CAL* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document | Filed herewith. |
| 101.LAB* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document | Filed herewith. |
| 101.PRE* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document | Filed herewith. |
| 101.DEF* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document | Filed herewith. |

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act, the Company caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**AMAROK
RESOURCES, INC.**

Date : January 29, 2013 By: /s/ Ron Ruskowsky
Name: Ron Ruskowsky
Principal Executive
officer

Title: Principal
Accounting officer

President, CEO and
a director

Pursuant to the requirement of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Company and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Date : January 29, 2013 By: /s/ Ron Ruskowsky
Name: Ron Ruskowsky
Title: Director

Date : January 29, 2013 By: /s/ Earl W. Abbott
Name: Earl W. Abbott
Title: Director

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION TO BE FURNISHED WITH REPORTS FILED

PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(d) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT BY NON-REPORTING ISSUERS

1. No annual report to security holders covering the company's last fiscal year has been sent as of the date of this report.
2. No proxy statement, form of proxy, or other proxy soliciting material relating to the company's last fiscal year has been sent to any of the company's security holders with respect to any annual or other meeting of security holders.

If such report or proxy material is furnished to security holders subsequent to the filing of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the company will furnish copies of such material to the Commission at the time it is sent to security holders.