

PRO DEX INC
Form 10-Q
May 13, 2013

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

- x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013

OR

- o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO .

Commission File Number 0-14942

PRO-DEX, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Colorado
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

84-1261240
(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

2361 McGaw Avenue, Irvine, California 92614
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Registrant's telephone number: 949-769-3200

Edgar Filing: PRO DEX INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding twelve months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past ninety days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company under Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the Registrant's classes of Common Stock outstanding as of the latest practicable date: 3,360,684 shares of Common Stock, no par value, as of May 1, 2013.

Table of Contents

\

INDEX

Pro-Dex, Inc.

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>Part I. Financial Information</u> | 3 |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited):</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed consolidated balance sheets — March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed consolidated statements of operations — Three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed consolidated statements of operations — Nine months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012</u> | 5 |
| <u>Condensed consolidated statements of cash flows — Nine months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012</u> | 6 |
| <u>Notes to condensed consolidated financial statements — March 31, 2013</u> | 7 |
| <u>Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 19 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 25 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 25 |
| <u>Part II. Other Information</u> | 26 |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 26 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | 26 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 26 |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 26 |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 26 |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | 26 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 27 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 28 |

Table of Contents

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

PRO-DEX, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
 (unaudited)

| | March 31, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
|---|----------------|---------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash | \$ 2,039,000 | \$ 4,112,000 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$21,000 at March 31, 2013 and \$16,000 at June 30, 2012 | 1,577,000 | 1,581,000 |
| Other current receivables | 36,000 | 123,000 |
| Inventories | 3,746,000 | 2,791,000 |
| Prepaid expenses | 207,000 | 172,000 |
| Income taxes receivable | 564,000 | 609,000 |
| Deferred income taxes | 109,000 | 109,000 |
| Total current assets | 8,278,000 | 9,497,000 |
| Property, plant, equipment and leasehold improvements, net | 2,187,000 | 2,539,000 |
| Real estate held for sale | 733,000 | 733,000 |
| Other assets | 53,000 | 53,000 |
| Total assets | \$ 11,251,000 | \$ 12,822,000 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 981,000 | \$ 633,000 |
| Accrued expenses | 1,218,000 | 1,425,000 |
| Income taxes payable | 48,000 | 47,000 |
| Bank term loan | — | 774,000 |
| Capital leases | 5,000 | — |
| Total current liabilities | 2,252,000 | 2,879,000 |
| Non-current liabilities: | | |
| Deferred income taxes | 109,000 | 109,000 |
| Deferred rent | 277,000 | 284,000 |
| Capital leases | 17,000 | — |
| Total non-current liabilities | 403,000 | 393,000 |
| Total liabilities | 2,655,000 | 3,272,000 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Shareholders' equity: | 17,004,000 | 16,846,000 |

Edgar Filing: PRO DEX INC - Form 10-Q

Common shares; no par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized; 3,340,684 and 3,272,350 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012, respectively

| | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Accumulated deficit | (8,408,000) | (7,296,000) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 8,596,000 | 9,550,000 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 11,251,000 | \$ 12,822,000 |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PRO-DEX, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
 (unaudited)

| | For the Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net sales | \$ 3,060,000 | \$ 4,539,000 |
| Cost of sales | 2,177,000 | 3,336,000 |
| Gross profit | 883,000 | 1,203,000 |
| Operating expenses: | | |
| Selling expenses | 311,000 | 450,000 |
| General and administrative expenses | 853,000 | 973,000 |
| Research and development costs | 490,000 | 486,000 |
| Total operating expenses | 1,654,000 | 1,909,000 |
| Loss from continuing operations before items below | (771,000) | (706,000) |
| Other expense: | | |
| Interest expense | (2,000) | (8,000) |
| Total other expense | (2,000) | (8,000) |
| Loss from continuing operations before benefit from income taxes | (773,000) | (714,000) |
| Benefit from income taxes | (8,000) | (167,000) |
| Loss from continuing operations | (765,000) | (547,000) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of provision for income taxes of \$14,000 in 2013 and benefit from income taxes of \$269,000 in 2012 | 18,000 | 60,000 |
| Net loss | \$ (747,000) | \$ (487,000) |
| Per share data (basic and diluted): | | |
| Loss from continuing operations | \$ (0.23) | \$ (0.17) |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.02 |
| Net loss | \$ (0.22) | \$ (0.15) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 3,340,684 | 3,272,350 |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PRO-DEX, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
 (unaudited)

| | For the Nine Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net sales | \$ 9,527,000 | \$ 13,601,000 |
| Cost of sales | 6,376,000 | 9,043,000 |
| Gross profit | 3,151,000 | 4,558,000 |
| Operating expenses: | | |
| Selling expenses | 907,000 | 1,192,000 |
| General and administrative expenses | 2,087,000 | 2,477,000 |
| Research and development costs | 1,360,000 | 1,555,000 |
| Total operating expenses | 4,354,000 | 5,224,000 |
| Loss from continuing operations before items below | (1,203,000) | (666,000) |
| Other expense: | | |
| Interest expense | (8,000) | (28,000) |
| Total other expense | (8,000) | (28,000) |
| Loss from continuing operations before benefit from income taxes | (1,211,000) | (694,000) |
| Benefit from income taxes | (27,000) | (165,000) |
| Loss from continuing operations | (1,184,000) | (529,000) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of provision for income taxes of \$39,000 in 2013 and benefit from income taxes of \$269,000 in 2012 | 72,000 | 197,000 |
| Net loss | \$ (1,112,000) | \$ (332,000) |
| Per share data (basic and diluted): | | |
| Loss from continuing operations | \$ (0.36) | \$ (0.16) |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.06 |
| Net loss | \$ (0.34) | \$ (0.10) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 3,312,946 | 3,272,350 |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PRO-DEX, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 (unaudited)

| | For the Nine Months Ended March 31, 2013 | 2012 |
|---|---|---------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (1,112,000) | \$ (332,000) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 443,000 | 493,000 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 5,000 | 7,000 |
| Share-based compensation | 107,000 | 73,000 |
| Changes in: | | |
| Accounts receivable and other current receivables | 86,000 | 817,000 |
| Inventories | (955,000) | 644,000 |
| Prepaid expenses | (35,000) | (48,000) |
| Other assets | — | 8,000 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 134,000 | (555,000) |
| Income taxes receivable and payable | 46,000 | (486,000) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | (1,281,000) | 621,000 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchases of equipment | (68,000) | (245,000) |
| Proceeds from sale of equipment | — | 82,000 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (68,000) | (163,000) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 50,000 | — |
| Principal payments on term loan | (774,000) | (268,000) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (724,000) | (268,000) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash | (2,073,000) | 190,000 |
| Cash, beginning of period | 4,112,000 | 4,689,000 |
| Cash, end of period | \$ 2,039,000 | \$ 4,879,000 |
| Supplemental Information | | |
| Cash payments for interest | \$ 10,000 | \$ 25,000 |
| Cash payments for income taxes | \$ 5,000 | \$ 54,000 |
| Supplemental Non-Cash Information | | |

In February 2013 the Company entered into a capital lease agreement for the acquisition of equipment having a cost of \$22,000.

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PRO-DEX, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)

NOTE 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Pro-Dex, Inc. (“we”, “us”, “our”, “Pro-Dex” or the “Company”) have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Regulation S-K. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The results of operations for such interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, for the year ended June 30, 2012.

Recent Accounting Standards

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2013-02, “Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income,” that requires entities to disclose either on the face of, or in the notes to, the financial statements the effects of reclassifications out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (“AOCI”). For items reclassified out of AOCI and into net income in their entirety, entities must disclose the effect of the reclassification on each affected net income item. For items that are not reclassified in their entirety into net income, entities must provide a cross reference to other required GAAP disclosures. This ASU does not change the items currently reported in other comprehensive income and is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012 and interim periods within those years. The adoption of these provisions did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements of the Company.

Reclassification

In 2013, we reclassified certain intercompany transactions so as to include them with discontinued operations to which they were related. The 2012 amounts related to such intercompany transactions have been reclassified to conform to the 2013 presentation in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations.

NOTE 2. INVENTORIES

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out) or market and consist of the following:

March 31, 2013 June 30, 2012

Edgar Filing: PRO DEX INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|
| Raw materials | \$ | 1,408,000 | \$ | 1,087,000 |
| Work in process | | 986,000 | | 579,000 |
| Sub-assemblies / Finished components | | 1,106,000 | | 895,000 |
| Finished goods | | 246,000 | | 230,000 |
| Total inventories | \$ | 3,746,000 | \$ | 2,791,000 |

NOTE 3. WARRANTY

The warranty accrual is based on historical costs of warranty repairs and expected future identifiable warranty expenses, and is included in accrued expenses in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. As of March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012, the warranty reserve related to continuing operations amounted to \$399,000 and \$526,000, respectively. Warranty expenses are included in cost of sales in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. Changes in estimates to previously established warranty accruals result from current period updates to assumptions regarding repair costs and warranty return rates, and are included in current period warranty expense. Total warranty expense from continuing operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$127,000 and \$26,000, respectively, and for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$252,000 and \$444,000, respectively.

Information regarding the accrual for warranty costs relating to continuing operations for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Balances, beginning of period | \$ 361,000 | \$ 695,000 |
| Accruals during the period | 107,000 | 69,000 |
| Changes in estimates of prior period accruals | 20,000 | (43,000) |
| Warranty expenditures | (89,000) | (122,000) |
| Balances, end of period | \$ 399,000 | \$ 599,000 |

| | Nine Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|-----------------------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Balances, beginning of period | \$ 526,000 | \$ 688,000 |
| Accruals during the period | 261,000 | 340,000 |
| Changes in estimates of prior period accruals | (9,000) | 104,000 |
| Warranty expenditures | (379,000) | (533,000) |
| Balances, end of period | \$ 399,000 | \$ 599,000 |

The changes in estimates recorded during the three and nine months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were due primarily to fluctuations in per-unit warranty repair costs and return rates and the effects of those fluctuations on our estimates of future warranty repair costs.

In 2013, we reclassified certain amounts, previously classified as warranty costs, to a classification as out-of-warranty repair costs. The 2012 amounts appearing in the tables above have been reclassified to conform to the 2013 presentation. Such reclassifications had no effect on the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

NOTE 4. NET LOSS PER SHARE

The difference in the weighted average shares outstanding used in the calculation of basic and diluted net loss per share for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Numerators for basic and diluted per share data: | | |
| Loss from continuing operations | \$ (765,000) | \$ (547,000) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 18,000 | 60,000 |
| Net loss | \$ (747,000) | \$ (487,000) |
| Denominators for basic and diluted per share data: | | |
| Basic: | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 3,340,684 | 3,272,350 |
| Shares used in the computation of basic per share data | 3,340,684 | 3,272,350 |
| Diluted: | | |
| Shares used in the computation of basic per share data | 3,340,684 | 3,272,350 |
| Net shares assumed issued using the treasury stock method for outstanding common stock options | — | — |
| Shares used in the computation of diluted per share data | 3,340,684 | 3,272,350 |
| Basic and diluted per share data: | | |
| Loss from continuing operations | \$ (0.23) | \$ (0.17) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Net loss | \$ (0.22) | \$ (0.15) |

Table of Contents

| | Nine Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Numerators for basic and diluted per share data: | | |
| Loss from continuing operations | \$ (1,184,000) | \$ (529,000) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 72,000 | 197,000 |
| Net loss | \$ (1,112,000) | \$ (332,000) |
| Denominators for basic and diluted per share data: | | |
| Basic: | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 3,312,946 | 3,272,350 |
| Shares used in the computation of basic per share data | 3,312,946 | 3,272,350 |
| Diluted: | | |
| Shares used in the computation of basic per share data | 3,312,946 | 3,272,350 |
| Net shares assumed issued using the treasury stock method for outstanding common stock options | — | — |
| Shares used in the computation of diluted per share data | 3,312,946 | 3,272,350 |
| Basic and diluted per share data: | | |
| Loss from continuing operations | \$ (0.36) | \$ (0.16) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.02 | 0.06 |
| Net loss | \$ (0.34) | \$ (0.10) |

Potentially dilutive securities, consisting of options to purchase shares of our common stock as described in Note 8, are not included in the calculation of diluted loss per share due to their anti-dilutive effect.

NOTE 5. BANK DEBT

On February 4, 2011, we entered into a credit facility agreement with Union Bank, N.A. that provided for the following:

A revolving credit line of up to \$1.5 million in borrowing availability, under which no amounts were borrowed;

A non-revolving credit line of up to \$350,000 in borrowing availability for the purchase of equipment, which expired unused on February 4, 2012; and

A term loan of \$1.25 million, the outstanding balance of which, amounting to \$685,000, was repaid in full on September 24, 2012, as discussed further below.

Table of Contents

The revolving credit line's terms required monthly interest payments based on borrowed amounts at a floating interest rate, calculated as Union Bank's Reference Rate plus 0.5% (an aggregate interest rate of 3.75% during the term over which the line was in effect). The line's initial term was to expire on December 15, 2012.

The terms of the \$1.25 million term loan required monthly principal payments of \$29,762, plus interest over its 42-month term. The term loan bore interest at a floating rate, calculated as Union Bank's Reference Rate plus 0.5% (an aggregate interest rate of 3.75% during the term over which the loan was outstanding).

All personal property assets of the Company collateralized the outstanding borrowings under the Union Bank credit facility.

The credit facility agreements contained various covenants, including certain covenants measured annually based on fiscal year results, concerning our financial performance. At June 30, 2012, we were in violation of profitability-based covenants, for which the bank had the right to declare us in default of the bank credit facility agreements and the entire amount owing under the facility, consisting of the term loan, to become immediately due and payable.

On August 30, 2012, we notified the bank of our intent to terminate the credit facility agreements and repay the term loan in full, on or before September 30, 2012. By letter to us dated September 4, 2012, the bank waived, through October 1, 2012, the rights it otherwise would have had pursuant to the covenant violations described above. In conformity with the correspondence described in this paragraph, on September 24, 2012, we repaid the entire principal balance of the term loan, amounting to \$685,000, and the credit facility agreements were terminated.

As a result of the foregoing, we no longer have a credit facility with a financial institution.

NOTE 6. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS AND REAL ESTATE HELD FOR SALE

Sale of Product Line

On February 27, 2012 (the "Closing Date"), we completed the sale of our fractional horsepower motor product line, operating under the name Pro-Dex Astromec ("Astromec") and located in Carson City, Nevada, to SL Montevideo Technology, Inc. ("MTI"), a wholly owned subsidiary of SL Industries, Inc., pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement (the "APA").

Under the terms of the APA, we sold substantially all the assets of Astromec, consisting primarily of inventory, equipment and intangibles, and excluding cash, accounts receivable and the Carson City facility. We retained substantially all of Astromec's liabilities except for those liabilities associated with certain contracts and unfilled purchase orders assumed by MTI.

Upon closing of the sale and finalization of other items required by the APA, such as a physical inventory count, we recorded proceeds from the sale of \$756,000, equal to the net book value of the assets sold as of the Closing Date, summarized as follows:

| | |
|-------------|------------|
| Inventories | \$ 664,000 |
| Equipment | 82,000 |
| Other | 10,000 |
| Total | \$ 756,000 |

Under the terms of the APA, we may also receive earnout payments based on revenues generated from the sale of (i) Astromec products and (ii) MTI products to Astromec prospects (defined in the APA) (collectively, the “Earnout Sales Base”). Such earnout payments, if and when earned, will be paid by MTI to us within 30 days following the end of each of our fiscal quarters during the three years subsequent to the Closing Date, and will amount to 6%, 4% and 2% of the Earnout Sales Base in the first, second and third such years, respectively. The earnout payments will be recognized in the quarter in which we become entitled to receive them. For the three and nine months ended March 31, 2013, we recognized income from earnout payments of \$43,000 and \$135,000, respectively, of which \$43,000 was included in accounts receivables in the accompanying March 31, 2013 consolidated balance sheet and was received in April 2013. An aggregate of \$200,000 in income from earnout payments has been recognized during the period from the Closing Date through March 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Also on the Closing Date, we entered into a Transition Production Agreement (the “TPA”) with MTI, under which we provided MTI with manufacturing and certain administrative support services. MTI paid us for all our costs in providing the manufacturing services, and a fixed monthly amount for the administrative support services. In conformity with its terms, the TPA was terminated effective May 10, 2012.

Based on the foregoing, and in conformity with applicable accounting guidance, the Astromec product line qualifies as a discontinued operation. Accordingly, financial results of Astromec have been reported as discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations for all periods presented. Information regarding revenue and operating results of Astromec included in discontinued operations is as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Revenues | \$ 43,000 | \$ 513,000 |
| Income (loss) before provision for (benefit from) income taxes | \$ 33,000 | \$ (209,000) |
| | Nine Months Ended March 31, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Revenues | \$ 135,000 | \$ 2,389,000 |
| Income (loss) before provision for (benefit from) income taxes | \$ 111,000 | \$ (72,000) |

Information regarding Astromec assets and liabilities included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets is as follows:

| | March 31, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
|---------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Accounts receivable | \$ 43,000 | \$ 45,000 |
| Other assets | \$ 10,000 | \$ — |
| Accounts payable | \$ 26,000 | \$ 3,000 |
| Accrued expenses | \$ 5,000 | \$ 25,000 |

Real Estate Held For Sale

In addition, as a result of the sale of the Astromec product line, we listed for sale the land and building constituting the facility we own in Carson City, Nevada, which are presented as real estate held for sale in the accompanying March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012 consolidated balance sheets with an aggregate carrying amount of \$733,000. We have evaluated the aggregate carrying amount of the land and building in relation to their aggregate estimated fair value less cost to sell, and have determined that an adjustment to such carrying amount was not required.

On April 22, 2013, we entered into a Purchase Agreement (the “Agreement”) with Aesthetic and Reconstructive Technologies, Inc., a Nevada corporation (“AART”), whereby we have agreed to sell the Carson City facility described above.

The purchase price for the Carson City facility is \$980,000, of which \$15,000 has been funded by AART into escrow as an initial deposit, with an additional \$80,000 deposit payable by AART into escrow prior to the expiration of AART's 60-day due diligence period (described below). The balance of the purchase price, in the amount of \$885,000, is payable by AART at closing.

The Agreement affords AART a 60-day due diligence period (ending on June 21, 2013) with respect to the Carson City facility. If AART does not terminate the Agreement during its due diligence period, its \$15,000 and \$80,000 deposits become nonrefundable unless the Agreement does not close due to AART's failure to obtain financing, a breach by us or a failure of one of the closing conditions.

The Agreement contains customary representations, warranties and covenants by AART and us, and is subject to customary closing conditions, including completion of due diligence to the satisfaction of AART. Subject to the foregoing, the Agreement provides for the closing to occur on or before July 8, 2013. Until the consummation of the closing, there can be no assurance that the Carson City facility will be sold.

Table of Contents**NOTE 7. INCOME TAXES**

Deferred income taxes are provided on a liability method whereby deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for temporary differences. Temporary differences are the differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax bases. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment.

Significant management judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes and the recoverability of our deferred tax assets. Such determination is based primarily on our historical taxable income or loss, with some consideration given to our estimates of future taxable income or loss by jurisdictions in which we operate and the period over which our deferred tax assets would be recoverable. Due to cumulative taxable losses during the past three years, we maintained a valuation allowance of \$2.6 million against our deferred tax assets as of June 30, 2012.

As of March 31, 2013, the valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets is approximately \$3.1 million. The change in valuation allowance is primarily the result of not recognizing the carryforward benefit of the current period loss.

As of March 31, 2013, we have accrued \$339,000 of unrecognized tax benefits related to federal and state income tax matters. The amount that would reduce the Company's income tax expense if recognized and result in a corresponding decrease in the Company's effective tax rate is \$47,000.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Balance at July 1, 2012 | \$313,000 |
| Additions based on tax positions related to the current year | 25,000 |
| Additions for tax positions of prior years | 7,000 |
| Reductions for tax positions of prior years | (6,000) |
| Balance at March 31, 2013 | \$339,000 |

We recognize accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense when applicable. As of March 31, 2013 no interest or penalties applicable to our unrecognized tax benefits have been accrued since we have sufficient tax attributes available to fully offset any potential assessment of additional tax.

Pro-Dex and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax, as well as income tax of multiple state tax jurisdictions. We are currently open to audit under the statute of limitations by the Internal Revenue Service for the years ended June 30, 2010 and later. Our state income tax returns are open to audit under the statute of limitations for the years ended June 30, 2009 and later. We do not anticipate a significant change to the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits within the next 12 months.

NOTE 8. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

We have two equity compensation plans, the Second Amended and Restated 2004 Stock Option Plan (the “Employees Stock Option Plan”) and the Amended and Restated 2004 Directors Stock Option Plan (the “Directors Stock Option Plan”) (collectively, the “Stock Option Plans”), pursuant to which (i) options to purchase shares of common stock, or (ii) restricted shares of common stock, may be granted up to an aggregate amount of 1,333,333 common shares, with 1,066,667 and 266,666 shares distributed between the Employees Stock Option Plan and the Directors Stock Option Plan, respectively. The Stock Option Plans are substantially similar, providing for a strike price equal to the closing price for a share of our common stock as of the last business day immediately prior to the grant date, vesting periods (as determined by the Board for the Employees Stock Option Plan and six months for the Directors Stock Option Plan), and terms of up to ten years, subject to forfeit 30 days after the holder ceases to be an employee or 90 days after the holder ceases to be director, as the case may be. At March 31, 2013, 419,966 and 56,667 shares under the Employees Stock Option Plan and the Directors Stock Option Plan, respectively, are available to grant in future years. Share-based compensation expense under the Stock Option Plans for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$42,000 and \$40,000, respectively, and for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$107,000 and \$73,000, respectively.

Table of Contents

Stock Options

The following assumptions were used in the calculation of share-based compensation expense for options granted during the three months ended March 31, 2013 (no options were granted during the three months ended March 31, 2012), and the nine months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

| | | |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | |
| Dividend rate | None | |
| Price volatility | 92% | |
| Risk-free interest rate | 0.8% | |
| Expected life | 5.2 years | |
| | Nine Months Ended March 31, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Dividend rate | None | None |
| Price volatility | 89% | 62% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 0.86% | 1.0%-1.3% |
| Expected life | 5.7 years | 6.0 years |

As of March 31, 2013, there was an aggregate of \$114,000 of unrecognized compensation cost under the Stock Option Plans related to 130,000 non-vested outstanding stock options with a per share weighted average value of \$1.27. The unrecognized expense is anticipated to be recognized on a straight-line basis over a weighted average period of 1.6 years. Following is a summary of stock option activity for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

| | 2013 | | 2012 | |
|--|------------|---|-----------|---|
| | Shares | Weighted- Average Exercise Price | Shares | Weighted- Average Exercise Price |
| Outstanding at beginning of period | 591,672 | \$ 2.48 | 320,842 | \$ 2.71 |
| Granted | 60,000 | 1.90 | 205,000 | 2.04 |
| Exercised | (33,334) | 1.50 | — | — |
| Forfeited | (213,334) | 2.35 | (47,502) | 2.43 |
| Outstanding at end of period | 405,004 | \$ 2.34 | 478,340 | \$ 2.67 |
| Exercisable at end of period | 274,726 | \$ 2.88 | 278,062 | \$ 3.15 |
| Weighted-average fair value per option granted during the period | | \$ 1.34 | | \$ 1.16 |

Following is a summary of information regarding options outstanding and options exercisable at March 31, 2013:

| Range of Exercise Price | Options Outstanding | | | | Options Exercisable | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Number Outstanding | Average Contractual Life | Average Exercise Price | Aggregate Intrinsic Value | Number Outstanding | Average Remaining Contractual Life | Average Exercise Price | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
| \$1.35 to \$3.48 | 340,000 | 8.3 years | \$ 1.97 | \$ 58,350 | 209,722 | 8.0 years | \$ 2.03 | \$ 26,669 |
| \$3.49 to \$5.62 | 50,003 | 3.0 years | 4.81 | \$ — | 50,003 | 3.0 years | 4.81 | \$ — |
| \$5.63 to \$7.76 | 10,001 | 2.5 years | 7.34 | \$ — | 10,001 | 2.5 years | 7.34 | \$ — |
| \$7.77 to \$9.90 | 5,000 | 2.3 years | 9.90 | \$ — | 5,000 | 2.3 years | 9.9 | \$ — |
| Total | 405,004 | 6.9 years | \$ 2.34 | \$ 58,350 | 274,726 | 6.8 years | \$ 2.88 | \$ 26,669 |

Restricted Stock

The following is a summary of restricted share activity for the nine months ended March 31, 2013:

Table of Contents

| | Shares | Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|----------------------------------|--------|--|
| Outstanding at beginning of year | — | — |
| Granted | 35,000 | \$ 1.73 |
| Vested | — | — |
| Forfeited | — | — |
| Outstanding at end of period | 35,000 | \$ 1.73 |

As of March 31, 2013, there was \$39,000 in unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested outstanding restricted shares. The unrecognized expense is anticipated to be amortized over the next 2.5 years.

There was no restricted share activity during the nine months ended March 31, 2012, nor were any restricted shares outstanding during the period.

NOTE 9. MAJOR CUSTOMERS

Information with respect to two customers who accounted for sales in excess of 10% of our total sales in any of the three or nine month periods ended March 31, 2013 or 2012 is as follows:

| | As of and for the Three Months Ended March 31, | | | | | | | |
|------------|--|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | | | | 2012 | | | |
| | Sales | Percent of Total | Accounts Receivable | Percent of Total | Sales | Percent of Total | Accounts Receivable | Percent of Total |
| Customer 1 | \$ 82,000 | 3% | \$ — | —% | \$ 1,811,000 | 40% | \$ 630,000 | 40% |
| Customer 2 | \$ 1,759,000 | 58% | \$ 946,000 | 59% | \$ 1,467,000 | 32% | \$ 531,000 | 34% |

| | As of and for the Nine Months Ended March 31, | | | | | | | |
|------------|---|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | | | | 2012 | | | |
| | Sales | Percent of Total | Accounts Receivable | Percent of Total | Sales | Percent of Total | Accounts Receivable | Percent of Total |
| Customer 1 | \$ 572,000 | 6% | \$ — | —% | \$ 6,106,000 | 45% | \$ 630,000 | 40% |
| Customer 2 | \$ 4,830,000 | 51% | \$ 946,000 | 59% | \$ 4,008,000 | 29% | \$ 531,000 | 34% |

In December 2009, Customer 1 (the “Customer”), our then-largest customer, informed us that it was in the process of developing, and planned to eventually manufacture, its own surgical devices that were functionally comparable to the products the Company provided to the Customer at that time. Pro-Dex had been the exclusive manufacturer of these products since they were developed. Through May 2012, we provided the Customer with two products (“Product A” and “Product B”) and we continue to provide repair services for both products. Sales for each of these categories for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

Edgar Filing: PRO DEX INC - Form 10-Q

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|-----------|------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Product A | \$ — | \$ 470,000 |
| Product B | — | 744,000 |
| Repairs | 82,000 | 597,000 |
| Total | \$ 82,000 | \$ 1,811,000 |

| | Nine Months Ended March 31, | |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Product A | \$ — | \$ 1,420,000 |
| Product B | — | 3,276,000 |
| Repairs | 572,000 | 1,410,000 |
| Total | \$ 572,000 | \$ 6,106,000 |

Table of Contents

In June 2011, the Customer informed us that its product development had progressed to the point at which it did not plan to place any new orders with us for these products beyond those orders already placed with delivery dates through May 2012, and we have received no such new orders.

In addition, the Customer indicated that it planned to limit repair requests from us to those Products A and B that are covered by our product warranty. Although we continue to receive out-of-warranty repair orders from the Customer, such repair revenue would decline to zero or a negligible amount should the Customer decide at any time in the future to cease placing new repair orders with us.

We continue to implement the steps of a strategic plan in response the loss of the Customer, the objectives of which are to identify and capture additional revenue opportunities and concurrently reduce operating costs not critical to revenue growth. There can be no assurance, however, as to either the timing or success of achieving these objectives, which, during any period not achieved, could cause us to experience a prolonged material and adverse impact on our business.

NOTE 10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Change in Composition of our Board of Directors

In June 2012, AO Partners Group, whose members then owned approximately 22% of our outstanding common stock, nominated a slate of three director nominees, Messrs. Nicholas J. Swenson, Raymond E. Cabillot and William J. Farrell III, (the “AO Nominees”) to run in opposition to the slate of five director nominees placed into nomination by our then-incumbent Board of Directors (the “Pro-Dex Nominees”). At its January 17, 2013 Annual Meeting, our shareholders elected the three AO Nominees and two Pro-Dex Nominees, Messrs. William L. Healey (an incumbent member of our Board of Directors) and David C. Hovda, to fill the five seats on our new Board of Directors. As a result, the new Board of Directors is composed of four new members and one continuing member. At its February 4, 2013 meeting, the Board of Directors elected Mr. Swenson as Chairman, Mr. Cabillot as Chair of the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Governance Committee, and Mr. Hovda as Chair of the Audit Committee.

The degree of change in composition of our Board as described above constitutes a “change of control” as that term is defined in existing change of control agreements we previously entered into with members of senior management (“Change of Control Agreements”) and as defined in our Stock Option Plans. The impact on the accompanying financial statements of the change of control as defined in these instruments and the remaining potential effects of the change of control are as follows:

Change of Control Agreements

The Change of Control Agreements provide that, if the individual’s employment with us involuntarily terminates (as such term is defined in the Change of Control Agreements) within 12 months after a change of control, the individual will receive, subject to signing a release of claims, (i) a lump sum amount equal to 30 weeks base compensation of the individual at the time of such termination and (ii) 100% Company-paid insurance coverage as provided to the individual immediately prior to his termination of employment for a period equal to the earlier of (i) 12 months

following termination or (ii) until the individual becomes covered under another employer's insurance plan. In addition, the individual shall be entitled to receive bonus or compensation award payments, if any, in accordance with the terms of our incentive compensation plans in which the individual was an eligible participant at the time of the termination.

As discussed further below, on February 25, 2013, Michael J. Berthelot, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and President, was separated from the Company. In connection with the separation, Mr. Berthelot was paid, among other items, \$165,423 as contemplated by his Change of Control Agreement. Also on that date, Harold A. Hurwitz began service as the Company's Chief Executive Officer and President. Mr. Hurwitz had been the Company's Chief Financial Officer and continues to serve in such capacity concurrent with his service as the Company's Chief Executive Officer and President.

After giving effect to our payment to Mr. Berthelot under his Change of Control Agreement as described above, we have remaining Change of Control Agreements with five members of senior management, who could be entitled to benefits under such Agreements in the event of termination under the conditions described above and whose annual base compensation aggregates \$900,000.

Stock Option Plans

In the event of a change of control, our Board has the discretion to accelerate the vesting of any outstanding options or shares of restricted stock held by employees. At its meeting on February 4, 2013, the Board did not take action to effect such acceleration. Vesting of outstanding options held by members of our Board would be automatically accelerated as a result of

Table of Contents

a change of control. However, at January 17, 2013, all outstanding options held by members of our Board were already fully vested under such options' terms.

Board Compensation

From July 1, 2010 until May 2, 2013, the compensation plan for non-employee directors (the "2010 Plan") of the Board provided for the following:

- An annual retainer of \$24,000 for each director;
- An additional annual retainer of \$7,000 for the Board Chairman or Lead Director, and \$5,000 for each Board Committee Chair;
- Fees ranging from \$500 to \$1,000 for participation in Board or Committee meetings in excess of six per year; and
- An option grant under the Directors Plan (as defined in Note 8) for the purchase of (i) 15,000 shares of common stock upon the director's initial election or appointment to the Board, and (ii) 10,000 shares of common stock upon the director's re-election to the Board.

On February 4, 2013, Messrs. Swenson, Cabillot and Farrell each opted to waive (a) receipt of stock options they were otherwise entitled to receive upon their election to the Board at the January 17, 2013 Annual Meeting of our shareholders, and (b) any cash retainers or meeting fees in excess of \$200 per meeting and \$2,000 per year.

At its meeting on May 2, 2013, our Board replaced the 2010 Plan with the 2013 Directors' Compensation Plan (the "2013 Plan") that provides for the following:

- Fees of \$200 for participation in Board or Committee meetings, to a maximum of \$2,000 per fiscal year;
- An annual retainer of \$23,000 for the Audit Committee Chair (which may be modified in compensating any future Audit Committee Chair)

The 2013 Plan has no provision for (a) retainers other than that described above, or (b) grants of options to purchase shares of our common stock.

Change in Chief Executive Officer

In connection with Mr. Berthelot's separation of employment, as described above, the Company and Mr. Berthelot entered into a Separation Agreement and General Release of All Claims ("Separation Agreement") concerning the conclusion of Mr. Berthelot's employment services with the Company. Under the terms of the Separation Agreement, Mr. Berthelot was paid all unpaid base salary and unreimbursed business expenses for the period through February 25, 2013, plus his prorated portion under the Company's Long Term Incentive Plan in the amount of \$1,971, in addition to the \$165,423 under the terms of the Change of Control Agreement described above and as additional consideration for

the release of claims under the terms of the Separation Agreement. In addition, as a result of Mr. Berthelot's separation, the option to purchase 200,000 shares of the Company's common stock, issued to Mr. Berthelot in May 2012 pursuant to his appointment as the Company's Chief Executive Officer, expired as unvested and unexercised.

In connection with Mr. Hurwitz's appointment as Chief Executive Officer and President (in addition to his existing duties as Chief Financial Officer) as described above, Mr. Hurwitz's base compensation was increased to an annualized rate of \$225,000. All other terms of Mr. Hurwitz's employment remained unchanged.

Legal Matters

In February 2011, we became aware of a report entitled "Site Discovery Report, Southeast Santa Ana Project DTSC – Cypress Region," dated February 2010 (the "Report"), that was prepared by the Cypress regional office of the Cal/EPA Department of Toxic Substances Control ("DTSC") for Region 9 of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("USEPA") under an agreement between the two agencies. The purpose of the Report was to identify sites within an area of southeast Santa Ana, California that may be sources of groundwater contamination previously detected in that area. The Report identified 25 sites, including our former Santa Ana site, for further screening by DTSC staff. DTSC has informed us that no further evaluation of our former site has taken place subsequent to the Report's issuance, and that no such evaluation is planned for fiscal year 2013. It is uncertain whether future developments, if any, from DTSC's screening process would have any application to our former site.

In general, we are from time to time a party to various legal proceedings incidental to our business, none of which we consider may be material. There can be no certainty, however, that we may not ultimately incur liability or that such liability will not be material and adverse.

NOTE 11. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value is measured based on the prices that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value measurements are based on a three-tier hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs for which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions.

Table of Contents

Cash and cash equivalents: The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents is considered to be representative of their fair values based on the short term nature of these instruments. As such, cash and cash equivalents are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy.

Real estate held for sale: The land and building comprising this asset category are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy for purposes of evaluating carrying value.

Although the methods above may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of the net realizable value or reflective of future fair values, we believe its valuation methods are appropriate.

NOTE 12. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

We have evaluated events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date of March 31, 2013 and have identified no such events or transactions which required adjustment to, or disclosure in, these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements other than as presented in such financial statements and the Notes thereto, including Note 6, "Discontinued Operations and Real Estate Held For Sale," and Note 10, "Commitments and Contingencies."

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

COMPANY OVERVIEW

The following discussion and analysis provides information that management believes is relevant to an assessment and understanding of the results of operations and financial condition of Pro-Dex, Inc. ("Company", "Pro-Dex", "we", "our" or "us") for the three and nine-month periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto included elsewhere in this report. This report contains certain forward-looking statements and information. The cautionary statements included herein should be read as being applicable to all related forward-looking statements wherever they may appear. Our actual future results could differ materially from those discussed herein.

Except for the historical information contained herein, the matters discussed in this report, including, but not limited to, discussions of our product development plans, business strategies and market factors influencing our results, are forward-looking statements that involve certain risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ from those anticipated by us as a result of various factors, both foreseen and unforeseen, including, but not limited to, our ability to continue to develop new products and increase sales in markets characterized by rapid technological evolution, consolidation within our target marketplace and among our competitors, and competition from larger, better capitalized competitors. Many other economic, competitive, governmental and technological factors could impact our ability to achieve our goals. You are urged to review the risks, uncertainties and other cautionary language described in this report, as well as in our other public disclosures and reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") from time to time, including, but not limited to, the risks, uncertainties and other cautionary language discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, for our fiscal year ended June 30, 2012.

With operations in Irvine, California and Beaverton, Oregon, we provide products used in medical, research and industrial applications. Experience in surgical devices and multi-axis motion control applications allows us to develop products that require high precision in harsh environments.

Our products are found in hospitals, dental offices, medical engineering labs, scientific research facilities and high tech manufacturing operations around the world. The names of Micro Motors and Oregon Micro Systems are used for marketing purposes as brand names.

On February 27, 2012, we completed the sale of our fractional horsepower motor product line, operating under the name Pro-Dex Astromec ("Astromec") and located in Carson City, Nevada, to SL Montevideo Technology, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of SL Industries, Inc. The Astromec product line has been treated as a discontinued operation in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto included elsewhere in this report for all periods presented. The following discussion and analysis provides information solely with respect to our continuing operations, which excludes Astromec, unless otherwise indicated.

Our principal headquarters are located at 2361 McGaw Avenue, Irvine, California 92614 and our phone number is 949-769-3200. Our Internet address is www.pro-dex.com. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, amendments to those reports and other SEC filings, are available free of

charge through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. In addition, our Code of Ethics and other corporate governance documents may be found on our website at the Internet address set forth above. Our filings with the SEC may also be read and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC at www.sec.gov and company specific information at www.sec.gov/edgar/searchedgar/companysearch.html.

Critical Accounting Estimates and Judgments

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP"). The preparation of our financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and related disclosures. We base our estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition

Revenue on product sales is recognized upon shipment to the customer when risk of loss and title transfer to the customer, and all other conditions for the recognition of a sale have been satisfied.

Returns of our product for credit are minimal; accordingly, we do not establish a reserve for product returns at the time of sale.

Warranties

Certain of our products are sold with a warranty that provides for repairs or replacement of any defective parts for a period, generally one year, after the sale. At the time of the sale, we accrue an estimate of the cost of providing the warranty based on prior experience with such factors as return rates and repair costs, which factors are reviewed quarterly.

Warranty expenses, including changes of estimates, are included in cost of sales in our consolidated statements of operations.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out) or market value. Reductions to estimated market value are recorded, and charged to cost of sales, when indicated based on a formula that compares on-hand quantities to estimated demand over the ensuing 12 months from the measurement date.

Accounts Receivable

Trade receivables are stated at their original invoice amounts, less an allowance for portions of such amounts, the collection of which is believed to be doubtful. Management determines the allowance for doubtful accounts based on facts and circumstances related to specific accounts, and on historical experience related to the age of accounts. Trade receivables are written off when deemed uncollectible. Recoveries of trade receivables previously reserved are offset against the allowance when received.

Long-lived Assets and Real Estate Held for Sale

We review the recoverability of long-lived assets, consisting primarily of equipment and leasehold improvements, and of real estate held for sale, when events or changes in circumstances occur that indicate carrying values may not be recoverable.

Stock-Based Compensation

We recognize compensation expense for all share-based awards made to employees and directors by estimating the fair value of share-based awards at the grant date and recognizing compensation expense over the requisite service period.

For stock options, fair value is estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The portion that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as compensation cost over the requisite service period using the straight-line single option method.

The determination of fair value using the Black-Scholes model is affected by our stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of complex and subjective variables, including expected stock price volatility, risk-free interest rate, expected dividends and projected stock option exercise behavior. We currently estimate stock price volatility based upon historical activity, with future volatility expected to approximate past volatility. The expected time to exercise is based on a simplified model of the vesting term of the option plus one-half the option life.

Income Taxes

We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of our assets and liabilities along with net operating loss and tax credit carryovers. Deferred tax assets at March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012 consisted primarily of net operating loss and research and development tax credit carryovers, as well as basis differences related to intangible assets, accrued expenses and inventories.

Table of Contents

Significant management judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes and the recoverability of our deferred tax assets. Such determination is based on our historical taxable income or loss, with consideration given to our estimates of future taxable income or loss and the periods over which deferred tax assets will be recoverable. We record a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets to reduce the net carrying value to an amount that we believe is more likely than not to be realized. When we establish or reduce the valuation allowance against deferred tax assets, the provision for income taxes will increase or decrease, respectively, in the period such determination is made. At March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012, we maintained a valuation allowance against the entire balance of our deferred tax assets, net of deferred tax liabilities.

Description of Business

The majority of our revenue is derived from designing, developing and manufacturing surgical devices for the medical device and dental industries and motion control software and hardware for industrial and scientific applications. The proportion of total sales by customer type is as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | | Nine Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|----------------------|------------------------------|------|----------|------|-----------------------------|------|-----------|------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Customer type | | | | | | | | |
| Medical | \$ 2,044 | 67% | \$ 3,463 | 76% | \$ 6,338 | 67% | \$ 10,539 | 78% |
| Industrial | 628 | 21% | 683 | 15% | 1,965 | 21% | 2,098 | 15% |
| Dental | 304 | 10% | 290 | 7% | 788 | 8% | 704 | 5% |
| Government and other | 84 | 2% | 103 | 2% | 436 | 4% | 260 | 2% |
| Total sales | \$ 3,060 | 100% | \$ 4,539 | 100% | \$ 9,527 | 100% | \$ 13,601 | 100% |

Our medical device products utilize proprietary designs developed by us under exclusive design and supply agreements and are manufactured in our Irvine, California facility, as are our dental products, which are sold primarily to original equipment manufacturers and dental product distributors. We design and manufacture embedded multi-axis motion controllers in our facility in Beaverton, Oregon.

At March 31, 2013, we had a backlog of \$6.8 million. We may experience variability in our new order bookings due to various reasons, including, but not limited to, the timing of major new product launches and customer planned inventory builds. However, we do not typically experience seasonal fluctuations in our shipments and revenues.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Comparison of the three-months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012

The following table sets forth financial data and the percentage of net sales of our operating results:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|------------------------------|------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Dollars in thousands | |

Edgar Filing: PRO DEX INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|------|-----------|------|
| Net sales | \$ 3,060 | 100% | \$ 4,539 | 100% |
| Cost of sales | 2,177 | 71% | 3,336 | 73% |
| Gross profit | 883 | 29% | 1,203 | 27% |
| Selling expenses | 311 | 10% | 450 | 10% |
| General and administrative expenses | 853 | 28% | 973 | 22% |
| Research and development costs | 490 | 16% | 486 | 11% |
| Loss from continuing operations before items below | (771) | -25% | (706) | -16% |
| Interest expense and other, net | (2) | — | (8) | — |
| Loss before benefit from income taxes | (773) | -25% | (714) | -16% |
| Benefit from income taxes | (8) | —% | (167) | 4% |
| Loss from continuing operations | (765) | -25% | (547) | -12% |
| Income from discontinued operations | 18 | 1% | 60 | 1% |
| Net loss | \$ (747) | -24% | \$ (487) | -11% |

Table of Contents

Net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2013 decreased \$1.5 million, or 33%, to \$3.1 million from \$4.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. Medical device sales decreased \$1.4 million, or 41%, due primarily to a decrease of \$1.7 million in sales to our former largest medical device customer, which was partially offset by increased sales of \$292,000 to our current largest medical device customer.

Gross profit for the three months ended March 31, 2013 decreased \$320,000, or 27%, compared to the corresponding period in 2012. Of this decrease, \$560,000 related to the decrease in sales volume discussed above, and \$101,000 related to increased warranty expense, partially offset by \$301,000 attributable to improved manufacturing efficiencies. As a percentage of sales, gross margin increased to 29% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from 27% for the corresponding period in 2012, attributable to the increased manufacturing efficiencies, partially offset by the increased warranty expense.

Selling expenses decreased \$139,000, or 31%, to \$311,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013, from \$450,000 for the corresponding period in 2012. This decrease is attributable primarily to decreases in advertising and tradeshow expenses of \$70,000 and 44,000, respectively.

General and administrative expenses decreased \$120,000, or 12%, to \$853,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013, from \$973,000 for the corresponding period in 2012, due primarily to (a) the lower cost incurred in the 2013 period, amounting to \$167,000, in separation-related payments to our former Chief Executive Officer, as compared to the 2012 period, amounting to \$339,000, paid in connection with the resignation of the then-Chief Executive Officer, and (b) a decrease in compensation expense of \$73,000. Partially offsetting these decreases were increases in legal and shareholder reporting expenses, aggregating \$135,000, incurred in connection with the contested election of directors at our 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders held in January 2013.

Research and development costs, which include costs related to development of new products and enhancements to existing products, were relatively unchanged, increasing \$4,000, or 1%, to \$490,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013, from \$486,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Net interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was \$2,000, compared to \$8,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2012. This decrease was due to the repayment, in September 2012, of the then-entire outstanding balance of the Company's bank term loan.

The benefit from income taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 resulted primarily from the tax effects related to the loss from continuing operations. The effective tax rates in both periods were less than statutory tax rates due to our inability to fully recognize the benefits of federal and state loss carryforwards prior to their utilization. (See Note 7 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this report.)

As a result of the foregoing, loss from continuing operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was \$765,000, as compared to \$547,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

Comparison of the nine-month periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012

The following table sets forth financial data and the percentage of net sales of our operating results:

| | For the Nine Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------|-----------|------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 9,527 | 100% | \$ 13,601 | 100% |
| Cost of sales | 6,376 | 67% | 9,043 | 66% |
| Gross profit | 3,151 | 33% | 4,558 | 34% |
| Selling expenses | 907 | 10% | 1,192 | 9% |
| General and administrative expenses | 2,087 | 22% | 2,477 | 18% |
| Research and development costs | 1,360 | 14% | 1,555 | 12% |
| Loss from continuing operations before items below | (1,203) | -13% | (666) | -5% |
| Net interest and other expense | (8) | — | (28) | — |
| Loss before benefit from income taxes | (1,211) | -13% | (694) | -5% |
| Benefit from income taxes | (27) | — | (165) | -1% |
| Loss from continuing operations | (1,184) | -13% | (529) | -4% |
| Income from discontinued operations | 72 | 1% | 197 | 2% |
| Net loss | \$ (1,112) | -12% | \$ (332) | -2% |

Net sales for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 decreased \$4.1 million, or 30%, to \$9.5 million from \$13.6 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2012. Contributing to this decrease was (a) a reduction, amounting to \$5.5 million, in sales to our former largest medical device customer, offset by an increase, amounting to \$822,000, in sales to our current largest medical device customer, (b) a net increase, amounting to \$510,000, in sales to other medical device customers, and (c) a net increase, amounting to \$127,000, in sales of other product lines.

For the nine months ended March 31, 2013, gross profit decreased \$1.4 million to \$3.2 million, or 31%, compared to \$4.6 million for the corresponding period in 2012, due to the decrease in sales volume described above. As a percentage of sales, gross margin decreased to 33% for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 from 34% for the corresponding period in 2012. This decrease resulted primarily from unfavorable changes in product mix, partially offset by a reduction in warranty costs.

Selling expenses decreased \$285,000, or 24%, to \$907,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2013, from \$1,192,000 for the corresponding period in 2012. This decrease is attributable primarily to decreases in (a) advertising and market research expenses of \$210,000, and (b) tradeshow expense of \$53,000 during the nine months ended March 31, 2013 relative to the corresponding period in 2012.

General and administrative expenses decreased \$390,000, or 16%, to \$2,087,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2013, from \$2,477,000 for the corresponding period in 2012, due to (a) the lower cost incurred in the 2013 period, amounting to \$167,000, in separation-related payments to our former Chief Executive Officer, as compared to the 2012 period, amounting to \$339,000, paid in connection with the resignation of the then-Chief Executive Officer, (b) a decrease in compensation expense of \$187,000 and (c) a decrease in professional consulting and legal expense (excluding proxy contest expenses discussed below) of \$225,000. Partially offsetting these decreases were increases

in legal and shareholder reporting expenses, aggregating \$177,000, incurred in connection with proxy contest expenses related to the contested election of directors at our 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders held in January 2013.

Research and development costs, which include costs related to development of new products and enhancements to existing products, decreased \$195,000, or 13%, to \$1,360,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2013, from \$1,555,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 due to the utilization of engineering resources in contractual revenue-producing activities and reductions in small motor development costs of \$155,000 and \$50,000, respectively.

Net interest expense for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 was \$8,000 compared to \$28,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2012. This decrease was due to the repayment, in September 2012, of the then-entire outstanding balance of the Company's bank term loan.

Table of Contents

The benefit from income taxes for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 resulted primarily from the tax effects related to the loss from continuing operations. The effective tax rates in both periods were less than statutory tax rates due to our inability to fully recognize the benefits of federal and state loss carryforwards prior to their utilization. (See Note 7 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this report.)

As a result of the foregoing, loss from continuing operations for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 was \$1,184,000 as compared to \$529,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2012.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The following table presents selected financial information as of March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012:

| | March 31, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
|---|----------------|---------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 2,039,000 | \$ 4,112,000 |
| Working capital | \$ 6,026,000 | \$ 6,618,000 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, net of bank debt | \$ 2,039,000 | \$ 3,338,000 |

Net cash used in operating activities during the nine months ended March 31, 2013 amounted to \$1,281,000. Our operations, excluding the balance sheet changes discussed below in this paragraph, used cash amounting to \$557,000. Uses of cash arose from (a) a build-up of inventory of \$955,000 related primarily to the purchase of components required to fulfill firm customer purchase orders in backlog as well as repair and warranty orders, and to build our stock of components related to certain of our products with the objective of shortening lead times when forecasted purchase orders are received and, (b) an increase of \$35,000 in prepaid expenses. Sources of cash arose from (a) a decrease in accounts receivable and other current receivables of \$86,000, (b) increases in accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$134,000 related primarily to the aforementioned increase in inventories, and (c) a reduction in income taxes receivable of \$46,000, representing a refund of taxes paid in the prior year.

Net cash used in investing activities for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 was \$68,000 and consisted primarily of capital expenditures for manufacturing equipment.

Net cash used in financing activities for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 was \$724,000 and consists primarily of our payment, in September 2012, of the remaining balance due, amounting to \$685,000, on the Union Bank term loan, fully retiring such indebtedness (see Note 5 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this report and our discussion under the caption “Changes in Bank Debt and Credit Facilities” below), partially offset by \$50,000 in proceeds from the exercise of stock options.

We believe that existing cash balances and cash flows from operations will be sufficient to fund operations for the next twelve months.

Reduction in Large Customer Orders

In December 2009, our then-largest customer informed us that it was in the process of developing, and planned to eventually manufacture, its own surgical devices that were functionally comparable to the products we provided to the

customer at that time. We had been the exclusive manufacturer of these products since they were developed. The resulting reduction in orders from our former largest customer and its expected future impact on our business is more fully described in Note 9 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this report.

We continue to implement the steps of a strategic plan in response the loss of the customer, the objectives of which are to identify and capture additional revenue opportunities and concurrently reduce operating costs not critical to revenue growth. There can be no assurance, however, as to either the timing or success of achieving these objectives, which, during any period not achieved, could cause us to experience a prolonged material and adverse impact on our business.

Changes in Bank Debt and Credit Facilities

As more fully described in Note 5 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this report, on February 4, 2011, we entered into a credit facility agreement with Union Bank that provided for (a) a revolving credit line of up to \$1.5 million, (b) a non-revolving credit line of up to \$350,000 for the purchase of equipment, and (c) a term loan of \$1.25 million.

The credit facility agreements contained various covenants, including certain covenants measured annually based on fiscal year results, concerning our financial performance. At June 30, 2012, we were in violation of profitability-based

Table of Contents

covenants, for which the bank had the right to declare us in default of the bank credit facility agreements and the entire amount owing under the facility, consisting of the term loan, to become immediately due and payable.

On August 30, 2012, we notified the bank of our intent to terminate the credit facility agreements and repay the term loan in full, on or before September 30, 2012. By letter to us dated September 4, 2012, the bank waived, through October 1, 2012, the rights it otherwise would have had pursuant to the covenant violations described above. In conformity with the correspondence described in this paragraph, on September 24, 2012, we repaid the entire principal balance of the term loan, amounting to \$685,000 and the credit facility agreements were terminated.

As a result of the foregoing, we no longer have a credit facility with a financial institution. Should we be unable to achieve anticipated cash flows from operations, we may be required to seek new financing. However, there is no assurance that such financing will be available on acceptable terms, if at all.

Reduction in Directors' Compensation

At its 2012 Annual Meeting held on January 17, 2013 our shareholders elected four new members to our Board of Directors. At a meeting of the newly constituted Board on February 4, 2013, three of those newly elected directors, Messrs. Swenson, Cabillot and Farrell, each opted to waive, through the date of our 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, (a) receipt of stock options they were otherwise entitled to receive under the provisions of the directors' compensation plan then in effect (the "2010 Plan"), and (b) any cash retainers or meeting fees they were otherwise entitled to receive under the 2010 Plan in excess of \$200 per meeting and \$2,000 per year.

At its meeting on May 2, 2013, our Board replaced the 2010 Plan with the 2013 Directors' Compensation Plan (the "2013 Plan") that provides for the following:

- Fees of \$200 for participation in Board or Committee meetings, to a maximum of \$2,000 per fiscal year;
- An annual retainer of \$23,000 for the Audit Committee Chair (which may be modified in compensating any future Audit Committee Chair)

The 2013 Plan has no provision for (a) retainers other than that described above, or (b) grants of options to purchase shares of our common stock. (See Note 10 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.)

We believe the approval of the 2013 Plan will result in a reduction of the directors cash compensation on an annualized basis of approximately \$85,000, and in a reduction of aggregate (cash and non-cash) directors compensation expense on an annualized basis of approximately \$140,000.

Surplus Capital Investment Policy

At its April 17, 2013 meeting, our Board approved a Surplus Capital Investment Policy (the "Policy") that provides, among other items, for the following:

- (a) Determination by our Board of Directors of (i) our surplus capital balance and (ii) the portion of such surplus capital balance to be invested according to the Policy;

(b) Selection of an Investment Committee responsible for implementing the Policy; and

(c) Objectives and criteria under which investments may be made

The initial composition of the Investment Committee, also approved by our Board at its April 17, 2013 meeting, is Messrs. Swenson (Chair) and Cabillot, both of whom are members of our Board of Directors, and Mr. Hurwitz, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer.

Item Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

3.

Not applicable.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer (the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, respectively) conducted an evaluation of the design and operation of our “disclosure controls and procedures” (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (“Exchange Act”). The term “disclosure controls and procedures,” as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures also include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company’s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on that evaluation as of March 31, 2013, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, there were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

25

Table of Contents

Inherent Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

Item Legal Proceedings.

1.

In February 2011, we became aware of a report entitled “Site Discovery Report, Southeast Santa Ana Project DTSC – Cypress Region,” dated February 2010 (the “Report”), that was prepared by the Cypress regional office of the Cal/EPA Department of Toxic Substances Control (“DTSC”) for Region 9 of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“USEPA”) under an agreement between the two agencies. The purpose of the Report was to identify sites within an area of southeast Santa Ana, California that may be sources of groundwater contamination previously detected in that area. The Report identified 25 sites, including our former Santa Ana site, for further screening by DTSC staff. DTSC has informed us that no further evaluation of our former site has taken place subsequent to the Report’s issuance, and that no such evaluation is planned for fiscal year 2013. It is uncertain whether future developments, if any, from DTSC’s screening process would have any application to our former site.

In general, we are from time to time a party to various legal proceedings incidental to our business, none of which we consider may be material. There can be no certainty, however, that we may not ultimately incur liability or that such liability will not be material and adverse.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Two of our directors hold voting power with respect to a substantial portion of our outstanding common stock, which will make it possible for them to have significant influence over the outcome of all matters submitted to our shareholders for approval and which influence may be alleged to conflict with our interests and the interests of other shareholders.

As of May 2, 2013, two of our directors, Nicholas J. Swenson and Raymond E. Cabillot, controlled voting power over approximately 23.5% (17.2% and 6.3%, respectively) of the outstanding shares of our common stock. As a result of such voting control, these directors will have significant influence over all matters submitted to our shareholders for approval, including the election of our directors and other corporate actions, and may be alleged to conflict with our interests and the interests of other shareholders.

In addition to the risk factor described above and the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the risk factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, for our fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 and in our subsequent quarterly reports on Form 10-Q. The risks discussed above, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, and in our subsequent quarterly reports on Form 10-Q could materially affect our business, financial condition and future results. The risks described above, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, and in our subsequent quarterly reports on Form 10-Q are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or operating results.

Item Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

2.

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Item Other Information.

5.

None.

26

Table of Contents

Item Exhibits.

6.

Exhibits:

- 10.1* Separation Agreement and General Release of All Claims entered into between Pro-Dex, Inc. and Michael J. Berthelot, dated February 25, 2013 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed February 25, 2013).
- 10.2* Employment Arrangement entered into between Pro-Dex, Inc. and Harold A. Hurwitz, dated February 19, 2013 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K filed February 25, 2013).
- 31 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Required by Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 101.INS** XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
- 101.LAB** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
- 101.PRE** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

* Denotes management contract or compensatory arrangement.

** Pursuant to applicable securities laws and regulations, the Company is deemed to have complied with the reporting obligation relating to the submission of interactive data files in such exhibits and are not subject to liability under any anti-fraud provisions of the federal securities laws as long as the Company has made a good faith attempt to comply with the submission requirements and promptly amend the interactive data files after becoming aware that the interactive data files fail to comply with the submission requirements. Users of this data are advised that, pursuant to Rule 406T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed and otherwise are not subject to liability.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: May 13, 2013

Date: May 13, 2013

PRO-DEX INC.

PRO-DEX INC.

By: / s / Harold A. Hurwitz

By: / s / Harold A. Hurwitz

Harold A. Hurwitz
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Harold A. Hurwitz
Secretary and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)