

NEUSTAR INC  
Form 10-K  
February 13, 2015  
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
Form 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014

Or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_  
Commission File No. 001-32548

NeuStar, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

21575 Ridgetop Circle

Sterling, Virginia

(Address of principal executive offices)

(571) 434-5400

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Class A Common Stock

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Edgar Filing: NEUSTAR INC - Form 10-K

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

On February 9, 2015, 55,711,800 shares of NeuStar Class A common stock were outstanding and 3,082 shares of NeuStar Class B common stock were outstanding. The aggregate market value of the NeuStar common equity held by non-affiliates as of June 30, 2014 was approximately \$2.1 billion.

---

Table of Contents

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Information required by Part III (Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14) is incorporated by reference to portions of NeuStar's definitive proxy statement for its 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which NeuStar intends to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days of December 31, 2014.

---

Table of Contents

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Item            | Description   | Page      |
|-----------------|---|-----------|
| <u>PART I</u>   |   |           |
| 1.              | <u>Business</u>   | <u>4</u>  |
| 1A.             | <u>Risk Factors</u>   | <u>14</u> |
| 1B.             | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>  | <u>26</u> |
| 2.              | <u>Properties</u>   | <u>26</u> |
| 3.              | <u>Legal Proceedings</u>  | <u>27</u> |
| 4.              | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>  | <u>27</u> |
| <u>PART II</u>  |   |           |
| 5.              | <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | <u>28</u> |
| 6.              | <u>Selected Financial Data</u>  | <u>29</u> |
| 7.              | <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>                        | <u>32</u> |
| 7A.             | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>   | <u>41</u> |
| 8.              | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>  | <u>42</u> |
| 9.              | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>                         | <u>86</u> |
| 9A.             | <u>Controls and Procedures</u>  | <u>86</u> |
| 9B.             | <u>Other Information</u>  | <u>89</u> |
| <u>PART III</u> |   |           |
| 10.             | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>   | <u>89</u> |
| 11.             | <u>Executive Compensation</u>   | <u>89</u> |
| 12.             | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>               | <u>89</u> |
| 13.             | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u>                                     | <u>89</u> |
| 14.             | <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>   | <u>89</u> |
| <u>PART IV</u>  |   |           |
| 15.             | <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>  | <u>90</u> |
|                 | <u>Signatures</u>   | <u>92</u> |

Table of Contents

Unless the context requires otherwise, references in this report to “Neustar,” “we,” “us,” the “Company” and “our” refer to NeuStar, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Our Business

We are a neutral and trusted provider of real-time information services and analytics. Our authoritative, hard-to-replicate data sets and proprietary analytics provide insights to help our clients promote and protect their businesses. We primarily serve marketing and security functions in the communications, financial services, media and advertising, retail and eCommerce, Internet, and technology industries. Our integrated marketing solution enhances our clients' ability to acquire and retain valuable customers across disparate platforms. We operate top-level domain names and provide services to help our clients optimize their web performance. We enable the exchange of essential operating information across multiple carriers to provision and manage services. We operate the user authentication and rights management system, which supports the digital content locker that consumers use to access their entertainment content. We provide the critical infrastructure that enables the dynamic routing of calls and text messages for communications service providers in the United States.

We incorporated in Delaware in 1998. Our principal executive offices are located at 21575 Ridgetop Circle, Sterling, Virginia, 20166, and our telephone number at that address is (571) 434-5400.

Our Services

Marketing Services

Our Marketing Services empower clients to make informed and high impact decisions in real time to promote their businesses, increase customer retention, mitigate risk and fraud from non-performing customers, achieve greater campaign success and increase their return on investment. In particular, these services enable clients to plan and execute marketing strategies and to measure the effectiveness of advertising campaigns across multiple channels with advanced marketing analytics, custom segmentation and media optimization. Using our workflow solutions, marketers are able to tailor their media spending plans, efficiently reach specific audiences, and measure campaign performance across an array of devices and online and offline channels.

Our Marketing Services provide:

**Customer Intelligence.** We provide scientific, cloud-based solutions that enable marketers to identify, verify and segment existing and potential customers in real-time for both marketing initiatives and fraud and risk mitigation. Using a privacy-by-design foundation, these solutions provide clients with a comprehensive view of the customers and prospects most likely to purchase their products and services based on attributes such as demographics, geography, and buying propensities. Our services enable clients to plan data-driven marketing strategies, develop high-impact advertising and lead generation campaigns and execute informed media planning for consistent execution across multiple channels. Our lead scoring service assigns a real-time predictive score to inbound telephone and web leads and predicts which prospects are most likely to convert into customers and/or become high-value customers, or which current customers are likely to respond to additional offers.

**Activation.** Our activation services enable marketers to maximize the impact of online display ad targeting for specific prospect audiences and customers. Our predictive segmentation and geo-targeting capabilities enable clients to reach online customers with relevant messages, by deploying criteria based on buying propensity, geography or a combination of each, in a privacy-compliant manner.

**Media Intelligence.** We provide a single, neutral media intelligence platform, using a unified dashboard, for measurement and optimization of multi-channel, multi-device advertising campaigns and conversion-attribution analytics. We also provide campaign conversion analytics that enable clients to measure advertising effectiveness, for example, by assessing the offline consumer behavior of persons exposed to online advertising campaigns, consistent with privacy-by-design principles.



## Table of Contents

### Security Services

We direct and manage the flow of Internet traffic, resolve Internet queries and provide security protection against cyber attacks. We also manage authoritative domain-name registries.

Our Security Services provide:

**DNS Services.** Our domain name systems, or DNS, solutions protect our client's Internet ecosystem and defend most standard transmission control protocol based applications, including, among others, websites, email servers, application programming interfaces, and databases. Our managed and recursive DNS services deliver fast, accurate responses to online queries with the scalability that today's enterprises demand. In addition, we provide load-testing analysis to help an enterprise prepare for peak loads to new and existing systems. Our extensive diagnostics and multi-domain views give clients a holistic perspective both inside and outside their firewalls. We also provide early detection and alerting against cyber attacks, and provide advanced services that strengthen and protect an enterprise's defenses against such attacks.

**Domain Name Registries.** We operate the authoritative registries of Internet domain names for the .biz, .us, .co, .nyc, and .travel top-level domains, and provide international registry gateways. We also provide back-end support for generic top-level domains, or gTLDs. All Internet communications routed to any of these domains must query a copy of our directory to ensure that the communication is routed to the appropriate destination.

### Data Services

We manage large, complex and hard-to-replicate data sets that enable clients to process decisions and transactions in real time. Our workflow solutions enable the exchange of essential operating information with multiple carriers in order to provision and manage services. Our services assist clients with fast and accurate order processing and immediate routing of customer inquiries.

Our Data Services provide:

**Carrier Provisioning.** We provide network services that enable our carrier customers to exchange essential operating information with multiple carriers to provision and manage services for their subscribers. In addition, we offer inventory management services that allow our carrier customers to manage efficiently their assigned telephone numbers and associated resources.

**Caller-name Identification.** Our technology provides authoritative, accurate and current caller-name data and related information to telephony providers.

**Common Short Codes.** We operate the authoritative common short codes registry on behalf of the U.S. wireless industry.

**User Authentication and Rights Management.** We operate the user authentication and rights management system, which supports the UltraViolet™ digital content locker that consumers use to access their entertainment content. We operate a managed service that offers a global routing and addressing solution to help clients optimize their evolving interconnected business.

### NPAC Services

**Number portability administration center, or NPAC, Services** include the dynamic routing of calls and text messages among all competing communications service providers in the United States and related connection services and system enhancements. We operate and maintain authoritative databases that help manage the increasing complexity in the telecommunications industry. Our NPAC Services provide a key foundation for subscriber acquisition and for a robust and competitive telecommunications market. These services also support the industry's needs for real-time network and resource optimization, emergency preparedness and disaster recovery, and efficient telephone number utilization. The NPAC is the world's largest and most complex number management system with connections to over 4,800 individual customers in the United States and is a critical component of the national telecommunications network infrastructure.

## Table of Contents

### Operations

#### Sales Force and Marketing

We operate a unified marketing and sales organization in order to more effectively promote our brand and go to market with our solutions. Our sales and marketing teams are aligned by industry verticals. We believe this operating model allows us to deliver solutions that address the most critical challenges of our clients' business. Our experienced sales and marketing staff have extensive knowledge of the industries we serve and understand our clients' priorities and needs. It is this client focused perspective that we believe allows us to generate client demand successfully. We employ a wide array of direct and indirect sales approaches and marketing strategies, and we base our strategy for each industry vertical on our analysis of market requirements, client needs, and industry direction. As of December 31, 2014, our sales and marketing organization consisted of 489 people who work together to offer our clients advanced services and solutions.

#### Client Support

Client support personnel are responsible for the resolution of all client inquiries, provisioning and trouble requests. Our staff works closely with our clients to ensure that our service level agreements are being met. They continually solicit client feedback and are in charge of bringing together the appropriate internal resources to troubleshoot any problems or issues that clients may have. We measure the performance of our client support personnel based on responses to client satisfaction surveys and measurements of key performance indicators.

#### Operational Capabilities

We provide our services through our state-of-the-art data centers and remotely hosted computer hardware located in third-party facilities throughout the world. Our data centers, including third-party facilities that we use, are custom designed for processing and transmitting high volumes of transaction-related, time-sensitive data in a highly secure environment. We are committed to employing best-of-breed tools and equipment for application development, infrastructure management, operations management and information security. In general, we subscribe to the highest level of service and responsiveness available from each third-party vendor that we use. Further, to protect the integrity and ensure the reliability of our systems, the major components of our networks are generally designed with the the intention of eliminating any single point of failure.

We consistently meet and frequently exceed our contractual service level requirements. Our performance results for certain services are monitored internally and are subjected to independent audits on a regular basis.

#### Research and Development

We maintain a research and development group, the principal function of which is to develop new and innovative services and improvements to existing services, oversee quality control processes and perform application testing. Our processes surrounding the development of new services and improvements to existing services focus on resolving the challenges our clients face. We employ industry experts in areas of technology that we believe are key to solving these challenges. Our quality control and application testing processes focus predominantly on resolving highly technical issues that are integral to the performance of our services and solutions. These issues are identified through both internal and external feedback mechanisms, and continuous testing of our applications and systems to ensure uptime commensurate with the service level standards we have agreed to provide to our clients. As of December 31, 2014, we had 94 employees dedicated to research and development, including software engineers, quality assurance engineers, technical project managers and documentation specialists. Our research and development expense was \$29.8 million, \$28.0 million and \$27.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively.

#### Clients and Markets

We primarily serve clients in the following industries:

**Communications.** Our clients include telecommunications services providers, as well as emerging providers of voice over Internet protocol, or VoIP, services, social media, and message aggregation. Within this industry, we provide services in numbering, caller name, carrier provisioning, and marketing analytics.

**Financial Services.** Our clients span several financial sectors, including retail banking, collections, insurance, credit cards and investments. Within this industry, we provide verification for risk and compliance mitigation, web infrastructure protection, demographic analytics, digital marketing and measurement, and customer call center



experience.

6

---

## Table of Contents

**Media and Advertising.** Our clients include both the buy-side and sell-side of the advertising and media landscapes, including advertisers, agencies, ad enablers, publishers and performance marketing providers. Within this industry, we provide marketing solutions that enable identification and targeting of customers, optimization of media investments and measurement of campaign effectiveness.

**Retail and eCommerce.** Our clients include department stores, travel and hospitality companies, consumer packaged goods providers, educational institutions and auto parts manufacturers. Within this industry, we primarily provide marketing data analytics, media intelligence platform, and Internet infrastructure services.

**Internet.** Our clients include eCommerce, consumer Internet services (e.g. social networks), and online gaming companies. Within this industry, we primarily provide security services such as managed DNS, website personalization, and protection against cyber attacks, as well as marketing analytics and measurement.

**Technology.** Our clients include hardware, consumer electronics, software, SaaS companies and high-tech manufacturers. Within this industry, we primarily provide security services such as protection against cyber attacks and website personalization, as well as call center optimization.

Our clients include over 15,000 different corporate entities, each of which is separately billed for the services we provide, regardless of whether it may be affiliated with one or more of our other clients. No single corporate entity accounted for more than 10% of our total revenue in 2014. The amount of our revenue derived from clients inside the United States was \$776.0 million, \$839.3 million and \$901.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively. The amount of our revenue derived from clients outside the United States was \$55.4 million, \$62.7 million and \$62.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively. The amount of our revenue derived under our contracts with North American Portability Management LLC, or NAPM, an industry group that represents all telecommunications service providers in the United States, was \$418.2 million, \$446.4 million and \$474.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively, and represented 50%, 49% and 49% of our revenue for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively. Our total revenue from our contracts with NAPM includes revenue from our NPAC Services, connection services related to our NPAC Services and NPAC-related system enhancements.

We currently operate in one operating segment. A single management team reports to the chief operating decision maker who manages the entire business. We do not operate any separate lines of businesses or separate business entities with respect to the sale and support of our services. For further discussion of enterprise-wide results, including goodwill and intangible assets, revenue, total long-lived assets, as well as information concerning our international operations, see Note 4 and Note 15 to our Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of Part II of this report.

### Competition

We have a number of competitors for our services:

**Marketing Services.** Our primary competitors include Comscore, Inc., Acxiom Corporation, Nielsen N.V., Adobe Systems Incorporated, DataLogix International Inc. and Oracle Corporation, which compete with us in customer intelligence, activation, and media intelligence.

**Security Services.** Our competitors include Akamai Technologies, Inc. which competes with us in services that protect against cyber attacks. With respect to our registries, our primary competitors include VeriSign, Inc. and Afilias Limited. With respect to our managed DNS services, our competitors include VeriSign, Inc. and OpenDNS, Inc.

**Data Services.** Our competitors include Synchronoss Technologies, Inc., Telcordia Technologies, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of LM Ericsson Telephone Company, and Syniverse Technologies, LLC. With respect to caller-name identification services, we compete with Transaction Network Services, Inc. We are the sole operator of the authoritative registry for U.S. common short codes and there are no other providers currently providing the services we offer.

**NPAC Services.** There are no other providers currently providing the services we offer as the Local Number Portability Administrator, or LNPA. Our existing NPAC contracts expire on June 30, 2015.

NAPM has initiated a process to select the next LNPA. We are participating in the NAPM Request For Proposal process and seek to be selected to continue to provide the NPAC Services. (For more information regarding the current status of the selection process, see “Risk Factors — Risks Related to Our Business — The revenue we receive under

our seven contracts with North American Portability Management LLC represents, in the aggregate, a substantial portion of our overall revenue. These contracts are not exclusive and could be terminated or modified in

7

---

## Table of Contents

ways unfavorable to us. These contracts are due to expire in June 2015 and are currently subject to a competitive proposal process. If we are not selected to continue to provide these services, or if these contracts are terminated or modified in a manner that is adverse to us, our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations will be materially adversely affected.” in Item 1A of this report).

With respect to our contracts to act as the North American Number Plan Administrator, the National Pooling Administrator, operator of the authoritative registry for the .us and .biz Internet domain names, and the operator of the authoritative registry for U.S. common short codes, the relevant counterparty could elect not to exercise the extension period under the contract, if applicable, or could allow the contract to terminate in accordance with its terms. If any of these contracts were allowed to terminate, or otherwise were not extended, we could be required to compete with other providers to continue the services we are currently providing under these contracts. The U.S. Department of Commerce recently conducted a competitive procurement process with respect to our contract to act as the operator of the authoritative registry for the .us domain name, and as a result of this competitive process, we received the contract award and entered into a contract for renewal on February 28, 2014. This new contract is for a term of three years, with two additional one-year extension options.

Competitive factors in the market for our services include breadth and quality of services offered, reliability, security, cost-efficiency, privacy compliance and client support. Our ability to compete successfully depends on numerous factors, both within and outside our control, including:

- our responsiveness to clients’ needs;
- our ability to support existing and new industry standards and protocols;
- our ability to continue to develop technical innovations and invest in product development; and
- the quality, reliability, security and price-competitiveness of our services.

We may not be able to compete successfully against current or future competitors and competitive pressures that we face may materially and adversely affect our business. See “Risk Factors — Risks Related to Our Business — The markets for our services are competitive, and if we do not adapt our organization and services to meet rapid technological and market change, we could lose clients or market share.” in Item 1A of this report.

### Employees

As of December 31, 2014, we had 1,576 employees. None of our employees are currently represented by a labor union. We have not experienced any work stoppages and consider our relationship with our employees to be good.

### Contracts

We provide many of our services pursuant to private commercial and government contracts. Specifically, in the United States, we provide centralized wireline and wireless number portability services pursuant to seven regional contracts with the NAPM, and implement the allocation of pooled blocks of telephone numbers and manage the North American Numbering Plan pursuant to contracts with the Federal Communications Commission, or FCC. Although the FCC has plenary authority over the administration of telephone number portability, it is not a party to our contracts with NAPM. The North American Number Council, or NANC, a federal advisory committee to which the FCC has delegated limited oversight responsibilities, reviews and oversees NAPM’s management of these contracts. See — “Regulatory Environment — Telephone Numbering.”

Our seven regional contracts with NAPM provide for an annual fixed-fee pricing model under which the annual fixed fee, or Base Fee, was set at \$410.7 million, \$437.4 million and \$465.8 million in 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively, and is subject to an annual price escalator of 6.5% in subsequent years. If the actual volume of transactions in a given year is above or below the contractually established volume range for that year, the Base Fee may be adjusted up or down, respectively, with any such adjustment being applied in the following year.

Under the fixed-fee model, our fees are billed to telecommunications service providers based on their allocable share of the total annual charges. This allocable share is based on each respective telecommunications service provider’s share of the aggregate end-user services revenue of all U.S. telecommunications service providers, as determined by the FCC. Under these contracts, we also bill to our clients a revenue recovery collections fee, or RRC fee, equal to a percentage of monthly billings, which is available to us if any telecommunications service provider fails to pay its allocable share of total transaction charges. If the RRC fee proves insufficient for that purpose, these contracts also

provide for the recovery of such differences from the remaining telecommunications service providers. Under these contracts, users of our directory services also pay fees to connect to our data center and additional fees for reports that we generate at the user's request. Our contracts with NAPM continue through June, 30 2015. Each regional contract with NAPM automatically renews for another year at the current

8

---

Table of Contents

pricing terms when it expires on June 30, 2015, unless NAPM provides notice of non-renewal at least 90 days before June 30, 2015. If NAPM does not renew the contracts, NAPM may elect to extend the regional contracts at the current pricing terms for up to (i) 180 days if NAPM also elects to license from us the source code we use to provide NPAC services or (ii) 18 months if NAPM does not elect to license our source code. We may also be required to provide transition services during any contract extension or for up to 180 days if the contracts are not extended. (See “Risk Factors — Risks Related to Our Business — The revenue we receive under our seven contracts with North American Portability Management LLC represents, in the aggregate, a substantial portion of our overall revenue. These contracts are not exclusive and could be terminated or modified in ways unfavorable to us. These contracts are due to expire in June 2015 and are currently subject to a competitive proposal process. If we are not selected to continue to provide these services, or if these contracts are terminated or modified in a manner that is adverse to us, our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations will be materially adversely affected.” in Item 1A of this report).

We also provide wireline and wireless number portability and network management services in Canada pursuant to a contract with the Canadian LNP Consortium Inc., a private corporation composed of telecommunications service providers who participate in number portability in Canada. The Canadian Radio-Television and Telecommunications Commission oversees the Canadian LNP Consortium’s management of this contract. We bill each telecommunications service provider for our services under this contract primarily on a per-transaction basis. In July 2010, this contract was amended to continue through December 2016. The services we provide under the contracts with NAPM and the Canadian LNP Consortium are subject to rigorous performance standards, and we are subject to corresponding penalties for failure to meet those standards.

We serve as the North American Numbering Plan Administrator and the National Pooling Administrator pursuant to two separate contracts with the FCC. Under these contracts, we administer the assignment and implementation of new area codes in North America, the allocation of central office codes (which are the prefixes following the area codes) to telecommunications service providers in the United States, and the assignment and allocation of pooled blocks of telephone numbers in the United States in a manner designed to conserve telephone number resources. The North American Numbering Plan Administration contract is a fixed-fee government contract that was originally awarded by the FCC to us in 2003. In July 2012, we were awarded a new contract to serve as the North American Numbering Plan Administrator for a term not to exceed five years. The National Pooling Administration contract was originally awarded to us by the FCC in 2001. Under this contract, we perform the administrative functions associated with the allocation of pooled blocks of telephone numbers in the United States. The terms of this contract provide for a fixed fee associated with the administration of the pooling system. In July 2013, the FCC awarded us a new contract to continue as the National Pooling Administrator. The initial contract term was one year, commencing in July 2013, with four possible one-year extensions exercisable at the election of the FCC. In July 2014, the FCC exercised the first of the four one-year extension options to extend the current contract through July 14, 2015.

We are the operator of the .biz Internet top-level domain by contract with the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers, or ICANN. The .biz contract was originally granted to us in May 2001. In August 2013, the .biz contract was extended through June 30, 2019. Similarly, pursuant to a contract with the U.S. Department of Commerce, originally awarded in October 2001, we operate the .us Internet top-level domain. The Department of Commerce recently conducted a competitive procurement process with respect to this contract, and as a result of this competitive process, we were awarded the contract on February 28, 2014. This new contract is for a term of three years, with two additional one-year extension options exercisable at the election of the Department of Commerce. The .biz and .us contracts allow us to provide domain name registration services to domain name registrars, who pay us on a per-name basis.

We have an exclusive contract with the CTIA — The Wireless Association to serve as the registry operator for the administration of U.S. Common Short Codes. U.S. Common Short Codes are short strings of numbers to which text messages can be addressed — a common addressing scheme that works across all participating wireless networks. We provide U.S. Common Short Code registration services to wireless content providers, who pay us subscription fees per each U.S. Common Short Code registered. We were awarded this contract in October 2003 through an open proposal

process by the major wireless carriers. In June 2008, the contract was amended to extend the term through December 2015. CTIA has initiated a selection process for the contract following the expiration of the current term. We are participating in the selection process but we may not be selected to serve as the registry operator. If we are selected to continue to provide these services, it may be under terms and conditions that are less favorable than those in effect today.

#### Regulatory Environment

##### Telephone Numbering

Overview. Congress enacted the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to remove barriers to entry in the communications market. Among other things, the Telecommunications Act of 1996 mandates portability of telephone numbers and requires

Table of Contents

traditional telephone companies to provide non-discriminatory access and interconnection to potential competitors. The FCC has plenary jurisdiction over issues relating to telephone numbers, including telephone number portability and the administration of telephone number resources. Under this authority, the FCC promulgated regulations governing the administration of telephone numbers and telephone number portability. In 1995, the FCC established the NANC, a federal advisory committee, to advise and make recommendations to the FCC on telephone numbering issues, including telephone number resources administration and telephone number portability. The members of the NANC include representatives from local exchange carriers, interexchange carriers, wireless providers, VoIP providers, manufacturers, state regulators, consumer groups, and telecommunications associations.

Telephone Number Portability. The Telecommunications Act of 1996 requires telephone number portability, which is the ability of users of telecommunications services to retain existing telephone numbers without impairment of quality, reliability, or convenience when switching from one telecommunications service provider to another. Through a competitive proposal process, a consortium of service providers representing the communications industry selected us to develop, build and operate a solution to enable telephone number portability in the United States. We ultimately entered into seven regional contracts to administer the system that we developed, after which the NANC recommended to the FCC, and the FCC approved, our selection to serve as a neutral administrator of telephone number portability. The FCC also directed the seven original regional entities, each comprising a consortium of service providers operating in the respective regions, to manage and oversee the administration of telephone number portability in their respective regions, subject to NANC oversight. Under the rules and policies adopted by the FCC, NAPM, as successor in interest to the seven regional consortiums, has the power and authority to manage and negotiate changes to the current master agreements.

On November 3, 2005, BellSouth Corporation, or BellSouth, filed a petition with the FCC seeking changes in the way our clients are billed for services provided by us under our contracts with NAPM. In response to the BellSouth petition, the FCC requested comments from interested parties. As of February 13, 2015, the FCC had not initiated a formal rulemaking process and the BellSouth petition remains pending. Similarly, on May 20, 2011, Verizon Communications Inc. and Verizon Wireless Inc. filed a joint petition, the Verizon Petition, with the FCC seeking a ruling that certain carrier initiated modifications of NPAC records be excluded from the costs of the shared NPAC database and be paid for instead by the provider that caused such costs to be incurred. In response to the Verizon Petition, the FCC requested comments from interested parties. On April 18, 2013, the FCC initiated a rulemaking concerning interconnected VoIP providers direct access to telephone numbers in which it asked for comment on the question of whether the FCC should initiate a rulemaking to examine the FCC's cost allocation rules for number administration, portability and pooling more generally. As of February 13, 2015, the FCC had not initiated a formal rulemaking process and the Verizon Petition remains pending.

After the amendment of our contracts with NAPM in September 2006, Telcordia Technologies, Inc., d/b/a iconectiv, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Swedish telecommunications equipment manufacturer, Ericsson, filed a petition with the FCC requesting an order that would require NAPM to conduct a new bidding process to appoint a provider of telephone number portability services in the United States. In response to our amendment of these contracts in January 2009, Telcordia filed another petition asking that the FCC abrogate these contracts and initiate a government-managed procurement in their place. As of February 13, 2015, the FCC had not initiated a formal rulemaking process on either of these petitions, and the Telcordia petitions are still pending. Although these Telcordia petitions remain pending, we believe that they have been superseded by the initiation of a selection process for the next LNPA at the expiration of the existing contracts. (See “Risk Factors — Risks Related to Our Business — The revenue we receive under our seven contracts with North American Portability Management LLC represents, in the aggregate, a substantial portion of our overall revenue. These contracts are not exclusive and could be terminated or modified in ways unfavorable to us. These contracts are due to expire in June 2015 and are currently subject to a competitive proposal process. If we are not selected to continue to provide these services, or if these contracts are terminated or modified in a manner that is adverse to us, our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations will be materially adversely affected.” in Item 1A of this report).



North American Numbering Plan Administrator and National Pooling Administrator. We have contracts with the FCC to act as the North American Numbering Plan Administrator and the National Pooling Administrator, and we must comply with the rules and regulations of the FCC that govern our operations in each capacity. We are charged with administering numbering resources in an efficient and non-discriminatory manner, in accordance with FCC rules and industry guidelines developed primarily by the Industry Numbering Committee. These guidelines provide governing principles and procedures to be followed in the performance of our duties under these contracts. The communications industry regularly reviews and revises these guidelines to adapt to changed circumstances or as a result of the experience of industry participants in applying the guidelines. A committee of the NANC evaluates our performance against these rules and guidelines each year and provides an annual review to the NANC and the FCC. If we violate these rules and guidelines, or if we fail to perform at required levels, the FCC may reevaluate our fitness to serve as the North American Numbering Plan Administrator and the National Pooling Administrator and may terminate our contracts or impose fines. The division of the NANC responsible for reviewing our performance as the North American Numbering Plan Administrator and the National Pooling Administrator has determined

Table of Contents

that, with respect to our performance in 2013, we “more than met” our performance guidelines under each such respective review. Similar reviews of our performance in 2014 have not yet been completed.

Neutrality. Under FCC rules and orders establishing the qualifications and obligations of the North American Numbering Plan Administrator and National Pooling Administrator, and under our contracts with NAPM to provide telephone number portability services, we are required to comply with neutrality regulations and policies. Under these neutrality requirements, we are required to operate our numbering plan, pooling administration and number portability functions in a neutral and impartial manner, which means that we cannot favor any particular telecommunications service provider, telecommunications industry segment or technology or group of telecommunications consumers over any other telecommunications service provider, industry segment, technology or group of consumers in the conduct of those businesses. We are examined periodically on our compliance with these requirements by independent third parties. The combined effect of our contracts and the FCC’s regulations and orders requires that we:

- not be a telecommunications service provider, which is generally defined by the FCC as an entity that offers telecommunications services to the public at large, and is, therefore, providing telecommunications services on a common carrier basis, or an interconnected VoIP provider;

- not be an affiliate of a telecommunications service provider or VoIP provider, which means, among other things, that we:

- must restrict the beneficial ownership of our capital stock by telecommunications service providers, VoIP providers or affiliates of a telecommunications service provider or VoIP provider; and

- may not otherwise, directly or indirectly, control, be controlled by, or be under common control with, a telecommunications service provider or VoIP provider;

- not derive a majority of our revenue from any single telecommunications service provider; and

- not be subject to undue influence by parties with a vested interest in the outcome of numbering administration and activities. Notwithstanding our satisfaction of the other neutrality criteria above, the NANC or the FCC could determine that we are subject to such undue influence. The NANC may conduct an evaluation to determine whether we meet this “undue influence” criterion.

We are required to maintain confidentiality of competitive client information obtained during the conduct of our business. In addition, as part of our neutrality framework, we are required to comply with a code of conduct that is designed to ensure our continued neutrality. Among other things, our code of conduct, which was approved by the FCC, requires that:

- we never, directly or indirectly, show any preference or provide any special consideration to any telecommunications service provider;

- we prohibit access by our stockholders to user data and proprietary information of telecommunications service providers served by us (other than access of employee stockholders that is incidental to the performance of our numbering administration duties);

- our stockholders take steps to ensure that they do not disclose to us any user data or proprietary information of any telecommunications service provider in which they hold an interest, other than the sharing of information in connection with the performance of our numbering administration duties;

- we not share confidential information about our business services and operations with employees of any telecommunications service provider;

- we refrain from simultaneously employing, whether on a full-time or part-time basis, any individual who is an employee of a telecommunications service provider and that none of our employees hold any interest, financial or otherwise, in any company that would violate these neutrality standards;

- we prohibit any individual who serves in the management of any of our stockholders from being involved directly in our day-to-day operations;

- we implement certain requirements regarding the composition of our Board of Directors;

- no member of our Board of Directors simultaneously serves on the Board of Directors of a telecommunications service provider; and



## Table of Contents

we hire an independent party to conduct a quarterly neutrality audit to ensure that we and our stockholders comply with all the provisions of our code of conduct.

In connection with the neutrality requirements imposed by our code of conduct and under our contracts, we are subject to a number of neutrality audits that are performed on a quarterly and annual basis. In connection with these audits, all of our employees, directors and officers must sign a neutrality certification that states that they are familiar with our neutrality requirements and have not violated them. Failure to comply with applicable neutrality requirements could result in government fines, corrective measures, curtailment of contracts or even the revocation of contracts. See “Risk Factors — Risks Related to Our Business — Failure to comply with neutrality requirements could result in loss of significant contracts.” in Item 1A of this report.

In contemplation of the initial public offering of our securities, we sought and obtained FCC approval for a “safe harbor” from previous orders of the FCC that allowed us to consummate the initial public offering for our securities but required us to seek prior approval from the FCC for any change in our overall ownership structure, corporate structure, bylaws, or distribution of equity interests, as well as certain types of transactions, including the issuance of indebtedness by us. Under the safe harbor order, we are required to maintain provisions in our organizational and other corporate documents that require us to comply with all applicable neutrality rules and orders. We are no longer required to seek prior approval from the FCC for many of these changes and transactions, although we are required to provide notice of such changes or transactions. In addition, we are subject to the following requirements under the safe harbor order:

- we may not issue more than 50% of our aggregate outstanding indebtedness to any telecommunications service provider;

- we may not acquire any equity interest in a telecommunications service provider or an affiliate of a telecommunications service provider without prior approval of the FCC;

- we must restrict any telecommunications service provider or affiliate of a telecommunications service provider from acquiring or beneficially owning 5% or more of our outstanding capital stock;

- we must report to the FCC the names of any telecommunications service providers or telecommunications service provider affiliates that own a 5% or greater interest in our Company;

- we must make beneficial ownership records available to our auditors, and must certify upon request that we have no actual knowledge of any ownership of our outstanding capital stock by a telecommunications service provider or telecommunications service provider affiliate other than as previously disclosed; and

- we must make our debt records available to our auditors and certify that no telecommunications service provider holds more than 50% of our aggregate outstanding indebtedness.

### Internet Domain Name Registrations

We are also subject to government and industry regulation under our Internet registry contracts with the U.S. government and ICANN, the industry organization responsible for regulation of Internet top-level domains. We are the operator of the .biz Internet domain under a contract with ICANN, as described above under “Contracts.” Similarly, pursuant to a contract with the U.S. Department of Commerce, we operate the .us Internet domain registry. This contract is also described above under “Contracts.” Under each of these registry service contracts, we are required to:

- provide equal access to all registrars of domain names;

- comply with Internet standards established by the industry; and

- implement additional policies as they are adopted by the U.S. government or ICANN.

### Intellectual Property

Our success depends in part upon our proprietary technology. We rely principally upon trade secret and copyright law to protect our technology, including our software, network design, and subject matter expertise. We enter into confidentiality and license agreements with our employees, consultants, outsourcing suppliers, partners, distributors, clients, and potential clients and limit access to and distribution of our software, documentation, and other proprietary information. We believe, however, that because of the rapid pace of technological change, these legal protections for our services are less significant factors in our success than the knowledge, ability, and experience of our employees

and the timeliness and quality of our services. In addition, where appropriate, we seek patent protection for our proprietary technology used in our service offerings.

Table of Contents

Available Information and Exchange Certifications

We maintain an Internet website at [www.neustar.biz](http://www.neustar.biz). Information contained on, or that may be accessed through, our website is not part of this report. Our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to reports filed or furnished pursuant to Sections 13(a) and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are available, free of charge, on the Investor Relations section of our website under the heading “SEC Filings by NeuStar,” as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such reports with, or furnish those reports to, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC. Our Principles of Corporate Governance, Board of Directors committee charters (including the charters of the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee) and code of ethics entitled “Corporate Code of Business Conduct” also are available on the Investor Relations section of our website. Stockholders may request free copies of these documents, including a copy of our annual report on Form 10-K, by sending a written request to our Corporate Secretary at NeuStar, Inc., 21575 Ridgetop Circle, Sterling, VA 20166. In the event that we make any changes to, or provide any waivers from, the provisions of our Corporate Code of Business Conduct, we intend to disclose these events on our website or in a report on Form 8-K within four business days of such event. We have filed, as exhibits to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the certification of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer regarding the quality of our public disclosures, which is required to be filed with the SEC, under Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “expects,” “intends,” “plans,” “anticipates,” “believes,” “estimates,” “predicts,” “continue” or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. These statements relate to future events or our future financial performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to differ materially from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. Many of these risks are beyond our ability to control or predict. These risks and other factors include those listed under “Risk Factors” in Item 1A of this report and elsewhere in this report and include:

- termination, modification or non-renewal of our contracts to provide telephone number portability and other directory services;
- failures or interruptions of our systems and services;
- loss of, or damage to, a data center;
- security or privacy breaches;
- adverse changes in statutes or regulations affecting the communications industry;
- our failure to adapt to rapid technological change in the communications industry;
- competition from our clients’ in-house systems or from other providers of information and analytics services;
- our failure to achieve or sustain market acceptance at desired pricing levels;
- a decline in the volume of transactions we handle;
- inability to manage our growth;
- economic, political, regulatory and other risks associated with our further potential expansion into international markets;
- inability to obtain sufficient capital to fund our operations, capital expenditures and expansion; and
- loss of members of senior management, or inability to recruit and retain skilled employees.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The following sets forth risk factors associated with our business. The risks set forth below could materially affect our business, financial condition and future results and are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or operating results.

Risks related to our business

The loss of, or damage to, a data center or any other failure or interruption to our system architecture and / or network infrastructure could materially harm our revenue and impair our ability to conduct our operations.

Because virtually all of the services we provide require our clients to query a copy of our continuously updated databases and directories to obtain necessary routing, operational and marketing data, the integrity of our data centers, including network elements managed by third parties throughout the world, and the systems through which we deliver our services are essential to our business. Notably, certain of our data centers and related systems are essential to the orderly operation of the U.S. telecommunications system because they enable carriers to ensure that telephone calls are routed to the appropriate destinations.

Our system architecture is integral to our ability to process a high volume of transactions in a timely and effective manner. Moreover, both we and our clients rely on hardware, software and other computer technology and equipment developed, supported and maintained by third-party providers. We could experience failures or interruptions of our systems and services, or other problems in connection with our operations, as a result of, for example:

- damage to, or failure of, our computer software or hardware or our connections to, and outsourced service arrangements with, third parties;
- failure of, or defects in, the third-party systems, software or equipment on which we or our clients rely to access our data centers and other systems;
- errors in the processing of data by our systems;
- computer viruses, malware or software defects;
- physical or electronic break-ins, sabotage, distributed denial of service, or DDoS, penetration attacks, intentional acts of vandalism and similar events;
- increased capacity demands or changes in systems requirements of our clients;
- virtual hijacking of traffic destined to our systems; and
- power loss, communications failures, pandemics, wars, acts of terrorism, political unrest or other man-made or natural disasters.

We may not have sufficient redundant systems or back-up facilities to allow us to receive and process data if one or more of the foregoing events occurs. Further, increases in the scope of services that we provide increase the complexity of our network infrastructure. As the scope of services we provide expands or changes in the future, we may be required to make significant expenditures to establish new data centers and acquire additional network capacity from which we may provide services. Moreover, as we add clients, expand our service offerings and increase our visibility in the market we may become a more likely target of attacks similar to those listed in the bullets above. The number of electronic attacks and viruses grows significantly every year, as does the sophistication of these attacks. For example, undetected attackers may be able to monitor unencrypted Internet traffic anywhere in the world and modify it before it reaches our destination, and these attackers may harm our clients by stealing personal or proprietary information, Internet email or IP addresses. If we are not able to react to threats quickly and effectively and stop attackers from exploiting vulnerabilities or circumventing our security measures, the integrity of our systems and networks, and those of our clients and trading partners, may be adversely affected. If we cannot adequately secure and protect the ability of our data centers, offices, networks and related systems to perform consistently at a high level and without interruptions, or if we otherwise fail to meet our clients' expectations:

- our reputation may be damaged, which may adversely affect our ability to market our services and attract or retain clients;





Table of Contents

- we may be subject to significant penalties or damages claims, under our contracts or otherwise, including the requirement to pay substantial penalties related to service level requirements in our contracts;
- we may be required to make significant expenditures to repair or replace equipment, third-party systems or an entire data center, to establish new data centers and systems from which we may provide services or to take other required corrective action; or
- one or more of our significant contracts may be terminated early, or may not be renewed.

Any of these consequences would adversely affect our revenue, performance and business prospects.

The revenue we receive under our seven contracts with North American Portability Management LLC represents, in the aggregate, a substantial portion of our overall revenue. These contracts are not exclusive and could be terminated or modified in ways unfavorable to us. These contracts are due to expire in June 2015 and are currently subject to a competitive proposal process. If we are not selected to continue to provide these services, or if these contracts are terminated or modified in a manner that is adverse to us, our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations will be materially adversely affected.

We provide NPAC Services pursuant to seven separate contracts with North American Portability Management LLC, or NAPM, an industry group that represents all carriers in the United States. These seven contracts, each of which represented between 4.9% and 9.2% of our total revenue in 2014, in the aggregate represented approximately 48% of our total revenue in 2014. These contracts are not exclusive and NAPM could, at any time, solicit or receive proposals from other providers to provide services that are the same as or similar to ours. The contracts could be terminated or modified in ways unfavorable to us. These contracts are currently scheduled to expire on June 30, 2015.

NAPM has initiated a process to select the next LNPA following the expiration of the current contracts. NAPM posted a Request for Proposal on February 5, 2013 and we submitted a proposal on April 5, 2013, which we revised on September 18, 2013. On October 21, 2013, we requested the opportunity for all bidders in the selection process to submit additional revised proposals. Together with that request, we also submitted a sealed revised proposal. On January 24, 2014, NAPM notified us that our October 21, 2013 proposal would not be considered.

On June 9, 2014, the Wireline Competition Bureau of the FCC issued a public notice seeking comment on the NANC's recommendation to select Telcordia Technologies, Inc., d/b/a iconectiv, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Swedish telecommunications equipment manufacturer, Ericsson, as the sole vendor to serve as the next LNPA. Ultimately, the FCC will rule on the NANC's recommendation. We continue to compete vigorously in the selection process and maintain the positions that we have set forth in our FCC filings. We disagree with the NANC recommendation of Telcordia and will oppose its adoption by the FCC. The FCC may elect not to take action favorable to us in response to our filings. And, ultimately, we may not be selected by the FCC to serve as the LNPA. Additionally, delays in the final outcome of the competitive proposal process could negatively impact the market price of our common stock.

If these contracts are terminated, expire without renewal, or are modified in a manner that is adverse to us, it would have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations. We may not be able to replace the revenue we will lose if we are not selected to continue to provide these services, or if we are selected to continue to provide these services under terms and conditions that are materially less favorable to us than the current terms and conditions.

We have incurred, and expect to continue to incur, expenses in connection with our participation in the selection process and our pursuit of FCC action to address concerns arising from this process. These efforts may be costly and time consuming and could divert our management and key personnel from our business operations.

We are exposed to risks related to cybersecurity and protection of confidential information.

Our operations rely on the secure processing, storage and transmission of confidential, sensitive, proprietary and other types of information relating to our products and services and confidential and sensitive information about our clients and others. We expend significant resources on security measures to protect our data and infrastructure against security breaches and cyber attacks and use a complex system of internal processes and software controls along with policies, procedures and training to protect the confidentiality of client data and sensitive information. The cyber risks we face range from cyber attacks common to most industries, to more advanced threats that target us due to our sales

of security-related solutions. Breaches of our technology and systems or those of our third party service providers and vendors, whether from circumvention of security systems, denial of service attacks or other cyber-attacks, hacking, computer viruses or malware, technical malfunction, employee error, malfeasance, physical breaches, system disruptions or other actions could cause material interruptions or malfunctions of our products and services or those of third party service providers and may compromise the confidentiality and

15

---

Table of Contents

integrity of confidential or sensitive information regarding our business or clients. Any material incidents or even a perceived breach of our security measures could cause us to experience reputational harm, loss of clients, regulatory actions, sanctions or other statutory penalties, litigation, liability for failure to safeguard our clients' information or financial losses that are either not insured against or not fully covered through any insurance we maintain. As a global company, we could also be impacted by existing and proposed U.S. and foreign laws and regulations, as well as government policies and practices related to cybersecurity, privacy and data protection. Any of the foregoing could materially impact our business, operating results or financial condition.

A significant decline in the volume of transactions we handle could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Under our contracts with NAPM, we earn revenue for NPAC Services on an annual, fixed-fee basis. However, in the event that the volume of transactions in a given year is above or below the contractually established volume range for that year, the fixed-fee may be adjusted up or down, respectively, with any such adjustment being applied to the following year's invoices. In addition, under our contract with the Canadian LNP Consortium Inc., we earn revenue on a per transaction basis. As a result, if industry participants in the United States reduce their usage of our services in a particular year to levels below the established volume range for that year or if industry participants in Canada reduce their usage of our services from their current levels, our revenue and results of operations may suffer. For example, consolidation in the industry could result in a decline in transactions if the remaining carriers decide to handle changes to their networks internally rather than use the services that we provide. Moreover, if customer turnover among carriers in the industry stabilizes or declines, or if carriers do not compete vigorously to lure customers away from their competitors, use of our telephone number portability and other services may decline. If carriers develop internal systems to address their infrastructure needs, or if the cost of such transactions makes it impractical for a given carrier to use our services for these purposes, we may experience a reduction in transaction volumes. Carriers might be able to charge consumers directly for our services, which could also have an adverse impact on transaction volumes. Finally, the trends that we believe will drive the future demand for our services, such as the emergence of IP services, growth of wireless services, consolidation in the industry, and pressure on carriers to reduce costs, may not actually result in increased demand for our existing services or for the ancillary directory services that we expect to offer, which would harm our future revenue and growth prospects.

Certain of our client contracts may be terminated or modified at any time prior to their completion, which could lead to an unexpected loss of revenue, adversely affect our operating performance and damage our reputation.

In addition to our contracts with NAPM, we provide other revenue-generating services to clients in the communications sector and a wide variety of other sectors, trade associations, and government agencies. For example, we serve as the provider of NPAC Services in Canada; as operator of the .biz registry under contract with ICANN; as operator of the registry of U.S. Common Short Codes; as the provider of DNS services to a wide variety of major corporations, and as a provider of data services to major retailers and marketers. Each of these contracts provides for early termination in limited circumstances, most notably if we are in default. In addition, our contracts to serve as the North American Numbering Plan Administrator and as the National Pooling Administrator, each of which is with the U.S. government, may be terminated by the government at will.

If we fail to meet the expectations of the FCC, the U.S. Department of Commerce or any of our other clients that has the right to unilaterally terminate their contracts with us for any reason, including for performance-related or other reasons, the clients may unilaterally terminate the contracts or require us to modify the contracts in ways unfavorable to us, either of which could lead to an unexpected loss of revenue and adversely affect our operating performance. The loss or significant modification of a major contract also could cause us to suffer a loss of reputation that would make it more difficult for us to compete for contracts to provide similar services in the future. Further, a termination arising out of our default under a contract could expose us to liability for breach of contract.

Failure to comply with neutrality requirements could result in loss of significant contracts.

Pursuant to orders and regulations of the U.S. government and provisions contained in our material contracts, we must comply with certain ongoing neutrality requirements, meaning generally that we cannot favor any particular telecommunications service provider, interconnected VoIP provider, telecommunications industry segment,

technology, or group of telecommunications consumers over any other telecommunications or VoIP service provider, industry segment, technology, or group of consumers in the conduct of our business. The FCC oversees our compliance with the neutrality requirements applicable to us in connection with some of the services we provide. We provide to the FCC and the NANC, a federal advisory committee established by the FCC to advise and make recommendations on telephone numbering issues, regular certifications relating to our compliance with these requirements. Our ability to comply with the neutrality requirements to which we are subject may be affected by the activities of our stockholders or lenders. For example, if the ownership of our capital stock subjects us to undue influence by parties with a vested interest in the outcome of numbering

Table of Contents

administration, the FCC could determine that we are not in compliance with our neutrality obligations. Our failure to continue to comply with the neutrality requirements to which we are subject under applicable orders and regulations of the U.S. government and commercial contracts may result in fines, corrective measures, termination of our contracts, or exclusion from bidding on future contracts, any one of which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Regulatory and statutory changes that affect us or the communications industry in general may increase our costs or otherwise adversely affect our business.

Certain of our domestic operations and many of our clients' operations are subject to regulation by the FCC and other federal, state and local agencies. As communications technologies and the communications industry continue to evolve, the statutes governing the communications industry or the regulatory policies of the FCC may change. If such statutory or regulatory changes were to occur, the demand for many of our services could change in ways that we cannot predict and our revenue could decline, or our costs could increase due to such changes. These risks include the ability of the federal government, most notably the FCC, the Department of Commerce and the Federal Trade Commission, to:

- increase or change regulatory oversight over services we provide;

- adopt or modify statutes, regulations, policies, procedures or programs in ways that are disadvantageous to the services we provide, or that are inconsistent with our current or future plans, or that require modification of the terms of our existing contracts or contracts like the NPAC that are subject to a competitive proposal process, including the manner in which we charge for certain of our services. For example,

- in November 2005 major carriers filed petitions with the FCC seeking changes in the way our clients are billed

- for services provided by us under our contracts with North American Portability Management LLC; Verizon Corporation filed a similar petition with the FCC in May 2011;
- after the amendment of our contracts with NAPM in September 2006, Telcordia filed a petition with the FCC requesting an order that would require North American Portability Management LLC to conduct a new bidding process to appoint a provider of telephone number portability services in the United States. In response to our amendment of these contracts in January 2009, Telcordia filed another petition asking that the FCC abrogate these contracts and initiate a government managed procurement in their place. If successful, either of these petitions could result in the loss of one or more of our contracts with North American Portability Management LLC or otherwise frustrate our strategic plans. Although the FCC has not initiated a formal rulemaking process on either of the Telcordia petitions, the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau issued orders on March 8, 2011 and May 16, 2011 for NAPM to complete a process to select the next LNPA at the expiration of the current contracts. See “-The revenue we receive under our seven contracts with North American Portability Management LLC represents, in the aggregate, a substantial portion of our overall revenue. These contracts are not exclusive and could be terminated or modified in ways unfavorable to us. These contracts are due to expire in June 2015 and are currently subject to a competitive proposal process. If we are not selected to continue to provide these services, or if these contracts are terminated or modified in a manner that is adverse to us, our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations will be materially adversely affected.”; and

- in January 2014, the FCC issued a Report and Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Notice of Inquiry, commencing voluntary experiments intended to assess the impact of the potential future transition in the telecommunications industry from traditional dedicated circuit network architecture to a design where all forms of traffic - voice, video, and information - are transmitted digitally over IP-based networks, or the IP Transition.

- Although the purpose of the experiments is to gather data only and no specific regulatory changes relating to the IP Transition have been proposed, this FCC action may indicate increasing momentum in connection with the implementation of the IP Transition;

- prohibit us from entering into new contracts or extending existing contracts to provide services to the communications industry based on actual or suspected violations of our neutrality requirements, business performance concerns, or other reasons;

-

adopt or modify statutes, regulations, policies, procedures or programs in a way that could cause changes to our operations or costs or the operations of our clients (e.g., regulatory changes to support IP Transition); appoint, or cause others to appoint, substitute or add additional parties to perform the services that we currently provide including abrogation of our contracts to provide NPAC Services; and

17

---

Table of Contents

prohibit or restrict the provision or export of new or expanded services under our contracts, or prevent the introduction of other services not under the contracts based upon restrictions within the contracts or in FCC policies. In addition, we are subject to risks arising out of the delegation of the Department of Commerce's responsibilities for the domain name system to ICANN. Changes in the regulations or statutes to which our clients are subject could cause our clients to alter or decrease the services they purchase from us. We cannot predict when, or upon what terms and conditions, further regulation, deregulation or litigation designed to delay or prevent the introduction of new top-level domains might occur or the effect future regulation or deregulation may have on our business.

Further, the current regulatory environment for Internet communications, products and services generally is uncertain and various laws and governmental regulations, both in the U.S. and abroad, governing Internet related services, related communications services and information technologies remain largely unsettled. It may take several years to determine whether and how existing laws, such as those governing intellectual property, privacy, libel, telecommunications services and taxation, apply to the Internet and to related products and services such as ours, and substantial resources may be required to comply with regulations or bring any non-compliant business practices into compliance with such regulations. Our failure or the failure of our clients and others with whom we transact business to comply with existing or future regulatory or other legal requirements could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If we are unable to protect our intellectual property rights adequately, the value of our services and solutions could be diminished.

Our success is dependent in part on obtaining, maintaining and enforcing our proprietary rights and our ability to avoid infringing on the proprietary rights of others. While we take precautionary steps to protect our technological advantages and intellectual property and rely in part on patent, trademark, trade secret and copyright laws, we cannot assure that the precautionary steps we have taken will completely protect our intellectual property rights. Effectively policing our intellectual property is time consuming and costly, and the steps taken by us may not prevent infringement of our intellectual property or proprietary rights in our products, technology and trademarks, particularly in foreign countries where in many instances the local laws or legal systems do not offer the same level of protection as in the United States. Further, because patent applications in the United States are maintained in secrecy until either the patent application is published or a patent is issued, we may not be aware of third-party patents, patent applications and other intellectual property relevant to our services and solutions that may block our use of our intellectual property or may be used by third-parties who compete with our services and solutions. As we expand our business and introduce new services and solutions, there may be an increased risk of infringement and other intellectual property claims by third-parties. From time to time, we and our clients may receive claims alleging infringement of intellectual property rights, or may become aware of certain third-party patents that may relate to our services and solutions. Additionally, some of our client agreements require that we indemnify our clients for infringement claims resulting from their use of our intellectual property embedded in their products. Any litigation regarding patents or other intellectual property could be costly and time consuming and could divert our management and key personnel from our business operations. The complexity of the technology involved, and the number of parties holding intellectual property within the communications industry, increase the risks associated with intellectual property litigation. Moreover, the commercial success of our services and solutions may increase the risk that an infringement claim may be made against us. Royalty or licensing arrangements, if required, may not be available on terms acceptable to us, if at all. Any infringement claim successfully asserted against us or against a client for which we have an obligation to defend could result in costly litigation, the payment of substantial damages, and an injunction that prohibits us from continuing to offer the service or solution in question, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

The markets for our services are competitive, and if we do not adapt our organization and services to meet rapid technological and market change, we could lose clients or market share.

Our future growth is largely dependent upon our ability to continue to adapt our products, services, and organization to meet the demands of rapidly evolving markets and industry standards. We compete against well-funded providers of data registry, information and analytics services, as well as communications software companies and system

integrators. In addition, our industry is characterized by rapid technological change, evolving industry standards, and frequent new service offerings. Significant technological changes could make our technology and services obsolete. Accordingly, our future success depends on our ability to: (i) adapt our products, services, organization, workforce, and sales strategies to fit the rapidly changing needs of current and future clients; (ii) identify emerging technological and other trends in our target markets; and (iii) develop or acquire and bring to market competitive products and services quickly and cost-effectively by continually improving the features, functionality, reliability and responsiveness of our services, and by developing new features, services and applications to meet changing client needs. Our ability to take advantage of



Table of Contents

opportunities in the market may require us to invest considerable resources adapting our organization and capabilities to support development of products and systems that can support new services or be integrated with new technologies and incur other expenses well in advance of our ability to generate revenue from these services. These development efforts may divert resources from other potential investments in our businesses, management time and attention from other matters, and may not lead to the development of new products or services on a timely basis.

We cannot guarantee that we will be able to adapt to these challenges or respond successfully or in a cost-effective way, particularly in the early stages of launching a new service. Further, we may experience delays in the development of one or more features of our solutions, which could materially reduce the potential benefits to us for providing these services. Potential clients may not adopt our solutions and we may not be able to reach acceptable contract terms with clients to provide these services.

As a result, the failure to effectively adapt our organization, products and services to the needs of our markets or the failure of our offerings to gain market acceptance, could significantly reduce our revenues, increase our operating costs or otherwise materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Our failure to adapt to meet market demand in a cost-effective manner could adversely affect our ability to compete and retain clients or market share.

If we are not able to obtain the data required to provide our information services, or we obtain inaccurate data, our operating results could be adversely affected.

Much of the data that we use in connection with our information and analytics services is purchased or licensed from third parties, obtained from public record sources or provided to us as part of a broader business relationship with a client. If we are not able to obtain this data on favorable economic terms or otherwise, or link to this data, or if the data we obtain is inaccurate, our ability to provide information and analytics services to our clients could be materially adversely impacted, which could result in decreased revenue, net income and earnings per share.

Regulatory and statutory requirements, changes in requirements regarding privacy and data protection or public perceptions of data usage may increase our costs or otherwise adversely affect our business.

Our business operations are subject to a variety of complex privacy and data protection laws and regulations in the United States at both the state and federal levels, and in other jurisdictions. These statutory and regulatory requirements are evolving, increasing in complexity and number, and may change significantly. How companies collect, process, use, store, share or transmit personal data is subject to increasing scrutiny by governments as well as the public, which could influence the adoption of legislation or regulation. There may be conflicts among the privacy and data protections laws adopted by the various countries in which we operate. Judicial and regulatory application and interpretation of these statutory and regulatory requirements are often uncertain and could be interpreted in ways that could restrict our use of data to provide information and analytics services to our clients or otherwise harm our business. We may need to incur significant costs or modify our business practices and/or our services in order to comply with existing or revised laws and regulations, or to adapt to changing public attitudes about data usage. Any restrictions on our ability to provide services to clients or costs to modify our business practices and/or services could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or prospects. If we are not able to comply with applicable laws, we may be subject to significant monetary penalties, orders demanding that we cease alleged noncompliant activities, fines and/or criminal prosecution in one or more jurisdictions. These or other remedies could have a material adverse effect on our results of operation or financial condition. Our failure or alleged failure to comply with privacy and data protection laws, or with public attitudes about data usage, including any perception of our practices as an invasion of privacy, could harm our reputation, result in legal actions against us by governmental authorities or private claimants or cause us to lose clients, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or prospects.

Reorganization activities could disrupt our business and affect our results of operations.

We have previously taken steps, including reductions in force, office closures, and internal reorganizations to reduce the size and cost of our operations, improve efficiencies, and align our organization and staffing to better match our market opportunities and our technology development initiatives. We may take similar steps in the future as we seek to realize additional operating synergies and profitability objectives, or better reflect changes in the strategic direction

of our business. These changes could be disruptive to our business, including our research and development efforts, and may result in significant expense, including accounting charges for technology-related write-offs, workforce reduction costs and charges relating to consolidation of facilities. Substantial expense or charges resulting from reorganization activities could adversely affect our results of operations and use of cash in those periods in which we undertake such actions.

Table of Contents

If we are unable to manage our costs, our profits could be adversely affected.

Historically, sustaining our growth has placed significant demands on our management as well as on our administrative, operational and financial resources. For us to continue to manage our expanded operations, as well as any future growth, we must continue to improve our operational, financial and management information systems and expand, motivate and manage our workforce. If our quality of service is compromised because we are unable to successfully manage our costs, or if new systems that we implement in connection with any future restructuring to assist in managing our operations do not produce the expected benefits, we may experience higher turnover in our client base and our revenue and profits could be adversely affected.

Changes in our tax rates or exposure to additional income tax liabilities could affect our profitability. In addition, audits by tax authorities could result in additional tax payments for prior periods.

We are subject to income taxes in the U.S. and in various non-U.S. jurisdictions. Our effective tax rate can be affected by changes in our mix of earnings in countries with differing statutory tax rates (including as a result of business acquisitions and dispositions), changes in the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities, establishment of accruals related to contingent tax liabilities and period-to-period changes in such accruals, the expiration of statutes of limitations, the implementation of tax planning strategies and changes in tax laws. The impact of these factors may be substantially different from period to period. Due to the ambiguity of tax laws and the subjectivity of factual interpretations, our estimates of income tax liabilities may differ from actual payments or assessments. In addition, our income tax returns are subject to ongoing audits by U.S. federal, state and local tax authorities and by non-U.S. tax authorities. If these audits result in payments or assessments different from our reserves, our future results may include unfavorable adjustments to our tax liabilities, which may negatively affect our results of operations.

Moreover, indemnification rights that we may have in respect of tax liabilities may be insufficient or unavailable to protect us against such liabilities.

Our operating results and margins could fluctuate due to factors relating to stock-based compensation.

Similar to many other companies, we use stock awards as a form of compensation for certain employees and non-employee directors. We must recognize the fair value of all stock-based awards, including grants of employee stock options, in our financial statements. The valuation model we use to estimate the fair value of our stock-based awards requires us to make several estimates and assumptions, such as the expected holding period of the awards and expected price volatility of our common stock. The amount we recognize for stock-based compensation expense could vary materially depending on changes in these estimates and assumptions. Other factors that could impact the amount of stock-based compensation expense we recognize include changes in the mix and type of stock-based awards we grant, changes in our compensation plans or tax rate, changes in the award forfeiture rate and differences in our company's actual operating results compared to management's estimates for performance-based awards.

Changes in accounting principles and guidance, or their interpretation, could result in unfavorable accounting charges or effects, including changes to previously filed financial statements.

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or U.S. GAAP. These principles are subject to interpretation by the SEC and various bodies formed to interpret and create appropriate accounting principles and guidance. A change in these principles or guidance, or in their interpretations, may have a significant effect on our reported results and may retroactively affect previously reported results.

We must recruit and retain skilled employees to succeed in our business, and our failure to recruit and retain qualified employees could harm our ability to maintain and grow our business.

We believe that our success depends upon the continued contributions of our management team and other key employees, including our engineering, sales and marketing and operations personnel. Our success is also contingent upon our continuing ability to recruit, hire, develop, motivate and retain highly skilled employees for all areas of our organization. Any of the following factors may affect our ability to motivate and retain our existing employees and recruit new employees:

- competition for employees with the skills we require to operate and grow our business is intense, and, as a result, our competitors may seek to hire our key employees;

- despite our comprehensive compensation packages, we may not be successful in attracting new employees and retaining and motivating our existing employees; and any adverse change in reputation, whether as a result of decreases in revenue, an unfavorable outcome, or uncertainty about the outcome, in the competitive proposal process for the new contracts with NAPM, or a decline in the market price of our common stock.

Table of Contents

Our ability to maintain and grow our business and to compete effectively could be impaired if we are unable to retain and motivate our existing employees and recruit new employees. If we are unable to retain existing employees, we may incur additional costs to recruit and train new employees, which may decrease our profits.

Our failure to achieve or sustain market acceptance of our services at desired pricing levels could impact our ability to maintain profitability or positive cash flow.

Our competitors and clients may cause us to reduce the prices we charge for our services and solutions. The primary sources of pricing pressure include:

competitors offering our clients services at reduced prices, or bundling and pricing services in a manner that makes it difficult for us to compete. For example, a competing provider of Internet infrastructure services might offer its services at lower rates than we do, or a competing domain name registry provider may reduce its prices for domain name registration;

clients with a significant volume of transactions may have enhanced leverage in pricing negotiations with us; and potential clients may find it economically advantageous to handle certain functions internally instead of using our services.

We may not be able to offset the effects of any price reductions by increasing the number of transactions we handle or the number of clients we serve, by generating higher revenue from enhanced services or by reducing our costs.

Our expansion into international markets may be subject to uncertainties that could increase our costs to comply with regulatory requirements in foreign jurisdictions, disrupt our operations, and require increased focus from our management.

We currently provide services to clients located in various international locations. We intend to pursue additional international business opportunities. International operations and business expansion plans are subject to numerous additional risks, including:

economic and political risks in foreign jurisdictions in which we operate or seek to operate;

difficulties in enforcing contracts and collecting receivables through foreign legal systems;

differences in foreign laws and regulations, including foreign tax, intellectual property, privacy, labor and contract law, as well as unexpected changes in legal and regulatory requirements;

differing technology standards and pace of adoption;

export restrictions on encryption and other technologies;

fluctuations in currency exchange rates and any imposition of currency exchange controls;

increased competition by local, regional, or global companies;

difficulties in maintaining positive relationships with foreign governments and government officials; and

difficulties associated with managing a large organization spread throughout various countries.

If we continue to expand our business globally, our success will depend, in large part, on our ability to anticipate and effectively manage these and other risks associated with our international operations. However, any of these factors could adversely affect our international operations and, consequently, our operating results.

If we are not successful in growing our information services at the rate that we anticipate, our operating results could be negatively impacted.

Our ability to successfully grow our information services depends on a number of different factors, including market acceptance of our information services products and analytics solutions, the expansion of our information services capabilities and geographic coverage, and continued public and regulatory acceptance of data usage for the provision of our information services and analytics solutions, among others. If we are not successful in growing our information services business at the rate that we anticipate, we may not meet expected growth and gross margin projections or expectations, and our operating results, prospects and the market price of our securities could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

We may be unable to complete acquisitions, or we may undertake acquisitions that increase our costs or liabilities or are disruptive to our business.

We have made a number of acquisitions in the past, and one of our strategies is to pursue acquisitions selectively in the future. We may not be able to locate acquisition candidates at prices that we consider appropriate or on terms that are satisfactory to us. If we do identify an appropriate acquisition candidate, we may not be able to successfully negotiate the terms of the acquisition or, if the acquisition occurs, integrate the acquired business into our existing business. Acquisitions of businesses or other material operations may require additional debt or equity financing, resulting in additional leverage or dilution to our stockholders.

Integration of acquired business operations is a time consuming process that could disrupt our business by diverting significant management attention and resources away from day-to-day operations. The difficulties of integration may be increased by the necessity of coordinating geographically dispersed organizations, integrating personnel with disparate business backgrounds and combining different corporate cultures. It is also possible that the integration process could result in the loss of key employees, the disruption of each company's ongoing businesses or inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies that adversely affect our ability to maintain relationships with clients, suppliers, distributors, creditors, or lessors, or to achieve the anticipated benefits of the acquisition. Further, if we cannot successfully integrate an acquired company's internal control over financial reporting, the reliability of our financial statements may be impaired and we may not be able to meet our reporting obligations under applicable law. Any such impairment or failure could cause investor confidence and, in turn, the market price of our common stock, to be materially adversely affected.

Even if we are able to integrate acquired businesses successfully, we may not realize the full benefits of the cost efficiencies or synergies or other benefits that we anticipated when selecting our acquisition candidates or that these benefits will be achieved within a reasonable period of time. We may be required to invest significant capital and resources after acquisition to maintain or grow the businesses that we acquire. In addition, we may need to record write-downs from impairments of goodwill, intangible assets, or long-lived assets, or record adjustments to the purchase price that occur after the closing of the transaction, which could reduce our future reported earnings. If we fail to successfully integrate and support the operations of the businesses we acquire, or if anticipated revenue enhancements and cost savings are not realized from these acquired businesses, our business, results of operations and financial condition would be materially adversely affected. Further, acquired businesses may have liabilities, neutrality-related risks or adverse operating issues that we fail to discover through due diligence prior to the acquisition. These liabilities could include employment, retirement or severance-related obligations under applicable law, other benefits arrangements, legal claims, warranty or similar liabilities to clients, claims by or amounts owed to vendors, tax liabilities or other amounts owed by the acquired companies. The failure to discover such issues prior to such acquisition, should they be significant, could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Failure to maintain effective internal controls over financial reporting could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and stock price.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires that we include in our annual report a report containing management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting as of the end of our fiscal year and a statement as to whether or not such internal controls are effective. Compliance with these requirements has resulted in, and is likely to continue to result in, significant costs and the commitment of time and operational resources. Changes in our business, including certain initiatives to transform business processes, to invest in information systems or to transition certain functions to third party resources or providers, will necessitate modifications to our internal control systems, processes and information systems as we optimize our business and operations. We cannot be certain that our current design for internal control over financial reporting, or any additional changes to be made, will be sufficient to enable management to determine that our internal controls are effective for any period, or on an ongoing basis. If we are unable to assert that our internal controls over financial reporting are effective, market perception of our financial condition and the trading price of our stock may be adversely affected, and client perception of our business may suffer.

Risks related to financial market conditions

We may be unable to raise additional capital, if needed, or to raise capital on favorable terms.

The general economic and capital market conditions in the United States and other parts of the world deteriorated significantly in 2008, adversely affecting access to capital and increasing the cost of capital. Although conditions have improved, a large degree of uncertainty remains both domestically and abroad, which continues to adversely impact access to, and the cost of, capital. If funds generated by our operations or available under our 2013 Credit Facilities are insufficient to fund our future activities, including acquisitions, organic business ventures, or capital expenditures, we may need to raise additional funds through public or private equity or debt financing. If unfavorable capital market conditions exist when we seek additional financing, we may not be able to raise sufficient capital on favorable terms or at all. Failure to obtain capital on

Table of Contents

a timely basis could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and we may not be able to fund further organic and inorganic growth of our business.

Risks related to the notes and our other indebtedness

Our indebtedness could adversely affect our financial condition and prevent us from fulfilling our obligations under the notes.

As of December 31, 2014, borrowings under our 2013 Credit Facilities and Senior Notes were approximately \$783.3 million, and we had unused revolving commitments of \$8.2 million (after giving effect to borrowings of \$175.0 million and \$16.8 million of outstanding letters of credit). In addition, the 2013 Term Facility allows us to request one or more increases to the available term commitments under such facility. We are entitled to request such increases in an amount such that, after giving effect to such increases, either (a) the aggregate amount of increases does not exceed \$400 million or (b) our consolidated secured leverage ratio on a pro forma basis after giving effect to any such increase is below 2.50 to 1.00. As of December 31, 2014, the total amount of such potential incremental increases we could request was approximately \$631.5 million.

Subject to the limits contained in the credit agreement that governs our 2013 Term Facility, the indenture that governs the Senior Notes and our other debt instruments, we may be able to incur substantial additional debt from time to time to finance investments or acquisitions, or for other general corporate purposes. If we do so, the risks related to our level of debt could intensify. Specifically, our level of debt could have important consequences to the holders of our securities, including the following:

- making it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations with respect to the Senior Notes and our other debt;
- limiting our ability to obtain additional financing to fund future acquisitions or other general corporate requirements;
- requiring a substantial portion of our cash flows to be dedicated to debt service payments instead of other purposes, thereby reducing the amount of cash flows available for acquisitions and other general corporate purposes;
- increasing our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;
- exposing us to the risk of increased interest rates as certain of our borrowings, including borrowings under our 2013 Term Facility, are at variable rates of interest;
- limiting our flexibility in planning for and reacting to changes in the industry in which we compete;
- placing us at a disadvantage compared to other, less leveraged competitors; and
- increasing our cost of borrowing.

In addition, the indenture that governs the Senior Notes and the credit agreement that governs our 2013 Term Facility contain restrictive covenants that limit our ability to engage in activities that may be in our long-term best interest. Our failure to comply with those covenants could result in an event of default which, if not cured or waived, could result in the acceleration of the repayment of all our debt.

We may not be able to generate sufficient cash to service all of our indebtedness, and may be forced to take other actions to satisfy our obligations under our indebtedness, which may not be successful.

Our ability to make scheduled payments on or refinance our debt obligations depends on our financial condition and operating performance, which are subject to prevailing economic and competitive conditions and to certain financial, business, legislative, regulatory and other factors beyond our control. We may be unable to maintain a level of cash flows from operating activities sufficient to permit us to pay the principal, premium, if any, and interest on our indebtedness.

If our cash flows and capital resources are insufficient to fund our debt service obligations, we could face substantial liquidity problems and could be forced to reduce or delay investments and capital expenditures or to dispose of material assets or operations, seek additional debt or equity capital or restructure or refinance our indebtedness. We may not be able to effect any such alternative measures, if necessary, on commercially reasonable terms or at all and, even if successful, those alternative actions may not allow us to meet our scheduled debt service obligations. The credit agreement that governs our 2013 Term Facility and the indenture that governs the Senior Notes restrict our ability to dispose of assets and use the proceeds from those dispositions and also restrict our ability to raise debt or equity capital to be used to repay other indebtedness when it becomes due. We may not be able to consummate those dispositions or to obtain proceeds in an amount sufficient to meet any debt service obligations then due.





Table of Contents

Our inability to generate sufficient cash flows to satisfy our debt obligations would materially and adversely affect our financial position and results of operations and our ability to satisfy our debt obligations.

If we cannot make scheduled payments on our debt, we will be in default and holders of the Senior Notes could declare all outstanding principal and interest to be due and payable, the lenders under our 2013 Term Facility could terminate their commitments to loan money, the lenders could foreclose against the assets securing their borrowings and we could be forced into bankruptcy or liquidation.

Our variable rate indebtedness subjects us to interest rate risk, which could cause our debt service obligations to increase significantly.

Borrowings under our 2013 Credit Facilities are at variable rates of interest and expose us to interest rate risk. If interest rates were to increase, our debt service obligations on the variable rate indebtedness would increase even though the amount borrowed remained the same, and our net income and cash flows, including cash available for servicing our indebtedness, will correspondingly decrease. Assuming all loans are fully drawn, each quarter point change in interest rates would result in a \$0.7 million change in annual interest expense on our indebtedness under our 2013 Credit Facilities. In the future, we may enter into interest rate swaps that involve the exchange of floating for fixed rate interest payments in order to reduce interest rate volatility. However, we may not maintain interest rate swaps with respect to all of our variable rate indebtedness, and any swaps we enter into may not fully mitigate our interest rate risk.

A lowering or withdrawal of the ratings assigned to our debt securities by rating agencies may increase our future borrowing costs and reduce our access to capital.

Our debt currently has a non-investment grade rating, and any rating assigned could be lowered or withdrawn entirely by a rating agency if, in that rating agency's judgment, future circumstances relating to the basis of the rating, such as adverse changes, so warrant. Any downgrade by either Standard & Poor's or Moody's could increase the interest rate on the 2013 Credit Facilities, result in higher borrowing costs and decrease earnings. Any future adverse changes to our ratings likely would make it more difficult or more expensive for us to obtain additional debt financing.

**Risks Related to Our Common Stock**

Our common stock price may be volatile.

The market price of our Class A common stock has fluctuated, and may continue to fluctuate, widely. Fluctuations in the market price of our Class A common stock could be caused by many things, including:

- our perceived prospects and the prospects of the telephone, Internet and data analytics industries in general;
- differences between our actual financial and operating results and those expected by investors and analysts;
- changes in analysts' recommendations or projections;
- developments in the vendor selection process to provide NPAC Services under contracts with NAPM when our current contracts expire in June 2015;
- changes in general valuations for communications and data analytics companies;
- adoption or modification of regulations, policies, procedures or programs applicable to our business;
- sales of our Class A common stock by our officers, directors or principal stockholders;
- sales of significant amounts of our Class A common stock in the public market, or the perception that such sales may occur;
- sales of our Class A common stock due to a required divestiture under the terms of our certificate of incorporation;
- and
- changes in general economic or market conditions and broad market fluctuations.

Each of these factors, among others, could have a material adverse effect on the market price of our Class A common stock. Recently, the stock market in general has experienced extreme price fluctuations. This volatility has had a substantial effect on the market prices of securities issued by many companies for reasons unrelated to the operating performance of the specific companies. Some companies that have had volatile market prices for their securities have had securities class action



Table of Contents

suits filed against them. Such a lawsuit was filed against us in July 2014. While this lawsuit was dismissed, if another class action lawsuit were to be filed against us, regardless of the outcome, it could result in substantial costs and a diversion of our management's attention and resources. This could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

Delaware law and provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could make a merger, tender offer or proxy contest difficult, and the market price of our Class A common stock may be lower as a result.

We are a Delaware corporation, and the anti-takeover provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law may discourage, delay or prevent a change in control by prohibiting us from engaging in a business combination with an interested stockholder for a period of three years after the person becomes an interested stockholder, even if a change of control would be beneficial to our existing stockholders. In addition, our certificate of incorporation and bylaws may discourage, delay or prevent a change in our management or control over us that stockholders may consider favorable. Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws:

- authorize the issuance of "blank check" preferred stock that could be issued by our Board of Directors to thwart a takeover attempt;

- prohibit cumulative voting in the election of directors, which would otherwise enable holders of less than a majority of our voting securities to elect some of our directors;

- establish a classified Board of Directors, as a result of which the successors to the directors whose terms have expired will be elected to serve from the time of election and qualification until the third annual meeting following election;

- require that directors only be removed from office for cause;

- provide that vacancies on the Board of Directors, including newly-created directorships, may be filled only by a majority vote of directors then in office;

- disqualify any individual from serving on our board if such individual's service as a director would cause us to violate our neutrality requirements;

- limit who may call special meetings of stockholders;

- prohibit stockholder action by written consent, requiring all actions to be taken at a meeting of the stockholders; and

- establish advance notice requirements for nominating candidates for election to the Board of Directors or for proposing matters that can be acted upon by stockholders at stockholder meetings.

In order to comply with our neutrality requirements, our certificate of incorporation contains ownership and transfer restrictions relating to telecommunications service providers, VoIP providers and their respective affiliates, which may inhibit potential acquisition bids that our stockholders may consider favorable, and the market price of our Class A common stock may be lower as a result.

In order to comply with neutrality requirements imposed by the FCC in its orders and rules, no entity that qualifies as a "telecommunications service provider," "VoIP provider" or an affiliate of a telecommunications service provider or VoIP provider, as defined under the Communications Act of 1934 and FCC rules and orders, may beneficially own 5% or more of our capital stock. In general, a telecommunications service provider is an entity that offers telecommunications services to the public at large, and is, therefore, providing telecommunications services on a common carrier basis. In general, a VoIP provider is an entity that provides two-way voice communications over a broadband connection and interconnects with the public switched telephone network.

Moreover, a party will be deemed to be an affiliate of a telecommunications service provider or a VoIP provider if that party controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with, a telecommunications service provider or a VoIP provider, respectively. A party is deemed to control another if that party, directly or indirectly:

- owns 10% or more of the total outstanding equity of the other party;

- has the power to vote 10% or more of the securities having ordinary voting power for the election of the directors or management of the other party; or

- has the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of the other party.

Table of Contents

As a result of this regulation, subject to limited exceptions, our certificate of incorporation (a) prohibits any telecommunications service provider, VoIP provider or affiliate of a telecommunications service provider or VoIP provider from beneficially owning, directly or indirectly, 5% or more of our outstanding capital stock and (b) empowers our Board of Directors to determine whether any particular holder of our capital stock is a telecommunications service provider, VoIP provider or an affiliate of a telecommunications service provider or VoIP provider. Among other things, our certificate of incorporation provides that:

if one of our stockholders experiences a change in status or other event that results in the stockholder violating this restriction, or if any transfer of our stock occurs that, if effective, would violate the 5% restriction, we may elect to purchase the excess shares (i.e., the shares that cause the violation of the restriction) or require that the excess shares be sold to a third-party whose ownership will not violate the restriction;

pending a required divestiture of these excess shares, the holder whose beneficial ownership violates the 5% restriction may not vote the shares in excess of the 5% threshold; and

if our Board of Directors, or its permitted designee, determines that a transfer, attempted transfer or other event violating this restriction has taken place, we must take whatever action we deem advisable to prevent or refuse to give effect to the transfer, including refusal to register the transfer, disregard of any vote of the shares by the prohibited owner, or the institution of proceedings to enjoin the transfer.

Any person who acquires, or attempts or intends to acquire, beneficial ownership of our stock that will or may violate this restriction must notify us as provided in our certificate of incorporation. In addition, any person who becomes the beneficial owner of 5% or more of our stock must notify us and certify that such person is not a telecommunications service provider, VoIP provider or an affiliate of a telecommunications service provider or VoIP provider. If a 5% stockholder fails to supply the required certification, we are authorized to treat that stockholder as a prohibited owner - meaning, among other things, that we may elect to require that the excess shares be sold. We may request additional information from our stockholders to ensure compliance with this restriction. Our board will treat any "group," as that term is defined in Section 13(d)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as a single person for purposes of applying the ownership and transfer restrictions in our certificate of incorporation.

Nothing in our certificate of incorporation restricts our ability to purchase shares of our capital stock. If a purchase by us of shares of our capital stock results in a stockholder's percentage interest in our outstanding capital stock increasing to over the 5% threshold, such stockholder must deliver the required certification regarding such stockholder's status as a telecommunications service provider, VoIP provider or affiliate of a telecommunications service provider or VoIP provider. In addition, to the extent that a repurchase by us of shares of our capital stock causes any stockholder to violate the restrictions on ownership and transfer contained in our certificate of incorporation, that stockholder will be subject to all of the provisions applicable to prohibited owners, including required divestiture and loss of voting rights. These restrictions and requirements may:

discourage industry participants that might have otherwise been interested in acquiring us from making a tender offer or proposing some other form of transaction that could involve a premium price for our shares or otherwise be in the best interests of our stockholders; and

discourage investment in us by other investors who are telecommunications service providers or VoIP providers or who may be deemed to be affiliates of a telecommunications service provider or VoIP provider, which may decrease the demand for our Class A common stock and cause the market price of our Class A common stock to be lower.

**ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS**

None.

**ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

Our principal executive offices are located at 21575 Ridgetop Circle, Sterling, Virginia, 20166, and our telephone number at that address is (571) 434-5400. As of December 31, 2014, we leased approximately 550,000 square feet of space, primarily in the United States, and to a lesser extent in Europe and Colombia, in support of general office and sales operations. We own a 54,000 square foot facility in Englewood, Colorado. As of February 13, 2015, we believe that our facilities have sufficient capacity to meet the current and projected needs of our business. We periodically evaluate the adequacy of existing facilities and the availability of additional facilities, and we believe that additional

or alternative space, if needed, will be available as

26

---

Table of Contents

needed in the future on commercially reasonable terms. The following table lists our major locations that are primarily used for administrative, sales, marketing, support and research and development operations:

| Leased Property Locations           | Approximate<br>Square Footage |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Sterling, VA, United States         | 192,000                       |
| McLean, VA, United States           | 44,000                        |
| California, United States           | 209,000                       |
| Kentucky, United States             | 36,000                        |
| Illinois, United States             | 7,000                         |
| Utah, United States                 | 8,000                         |
| District of Columbia, United States | 13,000                        |
| New York, United States             | 19,000                        |
| Bogotá, Colombia                    | 3,000                         |
| Staines, United Kingdom             | 3,000                         |
| Heredia, Costa Rica                 | 13,000                        |

| Owned Property Locations | Approximate<br>Square Footage |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Colorado, United States  | 54,000                        |

Upon expiration of the property leases, we expect to obtain renewals or to lease alternative space. Lease expiration dates range from 2015 through 2023.

#### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On July 15, 2014, the Oklahoma Firefighters Pension and Retirement System, or OFPRS, individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated stockholders, filed a putative class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division, or the Alexandria Division, against us and certain of our senior executive officers. The OFPRS complaint asserted claims for purported violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and SEC Rule 10b-5 on behalf of those who purchased our securities between April 19, 2013 and June 6, 2014, inclusive, and sought unspecified compensatory damages, costs and expenses, including attorneys' and experts' fees, and injunctive relief.

On October 7, 2014, the Alexandria Division issued an order appointing lead counsel and designating The Indiana Public Retirement System, or IPRS, as lead plaintiff. On November 6, 2014, the IPRS filed an amended complaint and on December 8, 2014, we moved to dismiss IPRS's amended complaint. On December 22, 2014, IPRS filed its opposition to our motion to dismiss. On December 29, 2014, we filed a reply brief to the IPRS opposition. The Alexandria Division heard oral arguments on the motions on January 22, 2015 and on January 27, 2015, and issued an order granting our motion to dismiss IPRS's amended complaint with prejudice. IPRS has the right to appeal the dismissal.

#### ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

## PART II

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

## Market for Our Common Stock

Since June 29, 2005, our Class A common stock has traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "NSR." As of February 9, 2015, our Class A common stock was held by 175 stockholders of record. The following table sets forth the per-share range of the high and low sales prices of our Class A common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange for the periods indicated:

|                                     | High    | Low     |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 |         |         |
| First quarter                       | \$47.07 | \$42.66 |
| Second quarter                      | \$50.03 | \$42.38 |
| Third quarter                       | \$56.51 | \$49.48 |
| Fourth quarter                      | \$49.95 | \$45.40 |
| Fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 |         |         |
| First quarter                       | \$49.59 | \$32.51 |
| Second quarter                      | \$34.38 | \$24.14 |
| Third quarter                       | \$29.80 | \$24.74 |
| Fourth quarter                      | \$27.80 | \$24.35 |

There is no established public trading market for our Class B common stock. As of February 9, 2015, our Class B common stock was held by 5 stockholders of record.

## Dividends

We did not pay any cash dividends on our Class A or Class B common stock in 2013 or 2014 and we do not expect to pay any cash dividends on our common stock for the foreseeable future. Our 2013 Term Facility limits our ability to declare or pay dividends to an amount up to \$100 million per year. We currently intend to retain any future earnings to finance our operations and growth. We are limited by Delaware law in the amount of dividends we can pay. Any future determination to pay cash dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on earnings, financial condition, operating results, capital requirements, any contractual restrictions and other factors that our Board of Directors deems relevant.

## Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table is a summary of our repurchases of common stock during each of the three months in the quarter ended December 31, 2014:

| Month                                | Total Number of Shares Purchased <sup>(1)</sup> | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|--------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|--|
| October 1 through October 31, 2014   | 10,646  | \$25.56                      | —  | \$—  |
| November 1 through November 30, 2014 | 1,313   | 26.74                        | —  |  |
| December 1 through December 31, 2014 | 1,784   | 25.99                        | —  | —  |
| Total                                | 13,743  | \$25.73                      | —  | \$—  |

The number of shares purchased represents shares of common stock tendered by employees to us to satisfy the (1) employees' minimum tax withholding obligations arising as a result of vesting of restricted stock grants under our stock incentive plan. We purchased these shares for their fair market value on the vesting date.





Table of Contents

Performance Graph

The following chart compares Neustar's cumulative stockholder return on its common stock over the last five fiscal years compared with \$100 invested in the Russell 1000 Index and the NYSE TMT Index, an Index of Technology, Media and Telecommunications companies, each over that same period.

The comparison assumes reinvestment of dividends. The stock performance in the graph is included to satisfy our SEC disclosure requirements, and is not intended to forecast or to be indicative of future performance.

This performance graph shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into our SEC filings and shall not constitute soliciting material or otherwise be considered filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The tables below present selected consolidated statements of operations data and selected consolidated balance sheet data for each year in the five year period ended December 31, 2014. The selected consolidated statements of operations data for each of the three years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, and the selected consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2013 and 2014, have been derived from, and should be read together with, our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing in this report. The selected consolidated statements of operations data for each of the two years ended December 31, 2010 and 2011, and the selected consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2010, 2011 and 2012, have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes not included in this report.

Table of Contents

The following information should be read together with, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to, the more detailed information contained in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in Item 7 of this report and our consolidated financial statements and related notes in Item 8 of this report.

|  | Year Ended December 31,               |           |            |            |            |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|
|  | 2010                                  | 2011      | 2012       | 2013       | 2014       |
|  | (in thousands, except per share data) |           |            |            |            |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations  |                                       |           |            |            |            |
| Data:  |                                       |           |            |            |            |
| Revenue  | \$520,866                             | \$620,455 | \$831,388  | \$902,041  | \$963,588  |
| Operating expense:   |                                       |           |            |            |            |
| Cost of revenue (excluding depreciation and amortization shown separately below) | 111,282                               | 137,992   | 185,965    | 212,572    | 247,115    |
| Sales and marketing  | 86,363                                | 109,855   | 163,729    | 178,017    | 198,142    |
| Research and development   | 13,780                                | 17,509    | 29,794     | 27,993     | 27,739     |
| General and administrative   | 65,496                                | 96,317    | 81,797     | 93,930     | 104,970    |
| Depreciation and amortization  | 32,861                                | 46,209    | 92,955     | 100,233    | 117,785    |
| Restructuring charges  | 5,361                                 | 3,549     | 489        | 2          | 6,521      |
|  | 315,143                               | 411,431   | 554,729    | 612,747    | 702,272    |
| Income from operations   | 205,723                               | 209,024   | 276,659    | 289,294    | 261,316    |
| Other (expense) income:  |                                       |           |            |            |            |
| Interest and other expense   | (6,995)                               | ) (6,279) | ) (34,155) | ) (34,527) | ) (26,218) |
| Interest and other income  | 7,582                                 | 1,966     | 596        | 357        | 445        |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes                            | 206,310                               | 204,711   | 243,100    | 255,124    | 235,543    |
| Provision for income taxes, continuing operations                                | 82,282                                | 81,137    | 87,013     | 92,372     | 71,849     |
| Income from continuing operations  | 124,028                               | 123,574   | 156,087    | 162,752    | 163,694    |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax                           | (17,819)                              | ) 37,249  | —          | —          | —          |
| Net income   | \$106,209                             | \$160,823 | \$156,087  | \$162,752  | \$163,694  |
| Basic net income (loss) per common share:  |                                       |           |            |            |            |
| Continuing operations  | \$1.66                                | \$1.69    | \$2.34     | \$2.52     | \$2.84     |
| Discontinued operations  | (0.24)                                | ) 0.51    | —          | —          | —          |
| Basic net income per common share  | \$1.42                                | \$2.20    | \$2.34     | \$2.52     | \$2.84     |
| Diluted net income (loss) per common share:                                      |                                       |           |            |            |            |
| Continuing operations  | \$1.63                                | \$1.66    | \$2.30     | \$2.46     | \$2.75     |
| Discontinued operations  | (0.23)                                | ) 0.50    | —          | —          | —          |
| Diluted net income per common share  | \$1.40                                | \$2.16    | \$2.30     | \$2.46     | \$2.75     |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding:                                      |                                       |           |            |            |            |
| Basic  | 74,555                                | 72,974    | 66,737     | 64,463     | 57,647     |
| Diluted  | 76,065                                | 74,496    | 67,956     | 66,108     | 59,535     |

Table of Contents

|   | As of December 31, |           |           |           |           |
|---|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|   | 2010               | 2011      | 2012      | 2013      | 2014      |
|   | (in thousands)     |           |           |           |           |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:  |                    |           |           |           |           |
| Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments                               | \$345,372          | \$132,782 | \$343,921 | \$223,309 | \$326,577 |
| Working capital   | 345,221            | 196,442   | 368,326   | 264,245   | 343,053   |
| Goodwill and intangible assets  | 143,625            | 910,946   | 860,665   | 920,102   | 991,891   |
| Total assets  | 733,874            | 1,382,610 | 1,526,724 | 1,508,863 | 1,739,108 |
| Deferred revenue and client credits, excluding current portion                  | 10,578             | 10,363    | 9,922     | 12,061    | 27,017    |
| Long-term note payable and capital lease obligations, excluding current portion | 4,076              | 586,727   | 577,505   | 610,711   | 780,897   |
| Total stockholders' equity  | 596,112            | 502,634   | 646,608   | 589,574   | 618,906   |

31

Table of Contents

## ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

You should read the following discussion and analysis in conjunction with the information set forth under "Selected Financial Data" in Item 6 of this report and our consolidated financial statements and related notes in Item 8 of this report. The statements in this discussion related to our expectations regarding our future performance, liquidity and capital resources, and other non-historical statements in this discussion, are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, the risks and uncertainties described in "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of this report and "Business — Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" in Item 1 of this report. Our actual results may differ materially from those contained in or implied by any forward-looking statements.

## Overview

In 2014, we made significant progress in achieving our strategy to become a leading provider of information services and analytics. We launched new services, augmented our capabilities and entered into new partnerships with leading mobile media and measurement companies. In the fourth quarter of 2013, we aligned our teams into a functional organization. This initiative was completed in 2014 with the alignment of our sales and marketing teams into key industry verticals to serve our clients more effectively. In addition, in April 2014, we completed the acquisition of .CO Internet S.A.S, or .CO Internet, and are now the exclusive operator of the worldwide registry for Internet addresses with the ".co" top-level domain. We also continued to compete for the NPAC contract and advance our position that we are the logical choice to remain as the NPAC administrator.

Revenue for the year increased 7% to \$963.6 million compared to \$902.0 million in 2013. This increase in revenue was driven by a 24% increase in Security Services revenue, a 17% increase in Marketing Services revenue, and a 6% increase in NPAC Services revenue. Of note, the 24% increase in Security Services revenue included an 11% contribution from .CO Internet. These increases were partially offset by a 7% decrease in Data Services due to a decrease in revenue from caller identification services.

In May 2010, the NAPM announced a process to select the next Local Number Portability Administrator, or LNPA, commencing July 1, 2015. On June 9, 2014, the Wireline Competition Bureau, or WCB, of the Federal Communications Commission, or FCC, issued a public notice seeking comment on the NANC's recommendation to select Telcordia Technologies, Inc., d/b/a iconectiv, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Swedish telecommunications equipment manufacturer, Ericsson, as the sole vendor to serve as the next LNPA. This comment and reply period concluded on August 22, 2014. On November 7, 2014, the WCB issued a public notice seeking comment on the petitions that we filed for declaratory ruling in February and October of 2014. In these petitions, we asked the FCC to remedy several deficiencies in the LNPA selection process. This comment and reply period concluded on December 3, 2014. The authority to select the vendor to serve as the next LNPA rests with the FCC. We continue to compete vigorously in the selection process, advancing the positions in our filings with the FCC.

During 2014, we continued to execute our capital allocation strategy. We completed a share repurchase program under which we purchased approximately 7.1 million shares of our common stock at an average price of \$28.30 per share for a total of approximately \$200 million. During the same period, the average end of day price of our common stock was \$29.55.

## Our Company

We were founded to meet the technical and operational challenges of the communications industry when the U.S. government mandated local number portability in 1996. We provide the authoritative solution that the communications industry relies upon to meet this mandate. Since then, we have grown to offer a broad range of real-time information services and analytics, including marketing services, security services, and data services. Our costs and expenses consist of cost of revenue, sales and marketing, research and development, general and administrative, depreciation and amortization, and restructuring charges.

Cost of revenue includes all direct materials costs, direct labor costs, and indirect costs related to the generation of revenue such as indirect labor, outsourced services, materials and supplies, payment processing fees, and general facilities costs. Our primary cost of revenue is personnel costs associated with service implementation, product

maintenance, client deployment and client care, including salaries, stock-based compensation and other personnel-related expense. In addition, cost of revenue includes costs relating to developing modifications and enhancements of our existing technology and services, as well as royalties paid to third parties related to our U.S. Common Short Code services and registry gateway services. Cost of revenue also includes costs relating to our information technology and systems department, including network costs, data center maintenance, database management, data processing costs and general facilities costs.

Sales and marketing expense consists of personnel costs, such as salaries, sales commissions, travel, stock-based compensation, and other personnel-related expense; costs associated with attending and sponsoring trade shows; facilities costs; professional fees; costs of marketing programs, such as Internet and print marketing programs, as well as costs for product branding, market analysis and forecasting; and client relationship management.

Research and development expense consists primarily of personnel costs, including salaries, stock-based compensation and other personnel-related expense; contractor costs; and the costs of facilities, and computer and support services used in service and technology development.

General and administrative expense consists primarily of personnel costs, including salaries, stock-based compensation, and other personnel-related expense, for our executive, administrative, legal, finance and human resources functions. General and administrative expense also includes facilities, support services and professional services fees.

Depreciation and amortization relates to amortization of identifiable intangibles, and the depreciation of our property and equipment, including our network infrastructure and facilities related to our services.

Restructuring charges relate to the termination of certain employees and reduction in or closure of leased facilities.

#### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or U.S. GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP requires us to utilize accounting policies and make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingencies as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expense during a fiscal period. The U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, considers an accounting policy to be critical if it is important to a company's financial condition and results of operations, and if it requires significant judgment and estimates on the part of management in its application. We have discussed the selection and development of the critical accounting policies with the audit committee of our Board of Directors, and the audit committee has reviewed our related disclosures in this report.

Although we believe that our judgments and estimates are appropriate and reasonable, actual results may differ from those estimates. In addition, while we have used our best estimates based on the facts and circumstances available to us at the time, we reasonably could have used different estimates in the current period. Changes in the accounting estimates we use are reasonably likely to occur from period to period, which may have a material impact on the presentation of our financial condition and results of operations. If actual results or events differ materially from those contemplated by us in making these estimates, our reported financial condition and results of operations could be materially affected. See the information in our filings with the SEC from time to time and Item 1A of this report, "Risk Factors," for certain matters that may bear on our results of operations.

## Table of Contents

### Revenue Recognition

We provide wireline and wireless number portability, implement the allocation of pooled blocks of telephone numbers and provide network management services pursuant to seven contracts with NAPM. The aggregate fees for transactions processed under the contracts are determined by an annual fixed-fee pricing model under which the annual fixed fee is subject to an annual price escalator of 6.5%. If actual volume of transactions in a given year is above or below the contractually established volume range for that year, the annual fixed fee may be adjusted up or down, respectively. At each reporting period, we assess the volume of transactions in comparison to the contractually established volume range for that year and determine the probability of an adjustment, either up or down, to the annual fixed fee. If we determine an adjustment is probable and measurable, we record the adjustment to revenue in the reporting period in which our assessment is made. We have not recorded any adjustments to the annual fixed fee since the inception of these contract terms in January 2009.

For more information regarding our revenue recognition policy, please see Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of Part II of this report.

### Service Level Standards

Some of our private commercial contracts require us to meet minimum service level standards and impose corresponding penalties for failure to meet those standards. We record a provision for these performance-related penalties when we become aware that we have failed to meet required service levels, which results in a corresponding reduction of our revenue.

### Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess purchase price paid over the fair value of tangible or identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed in our acquisitions. In accordance with the Intangibles-Goodwill and Other Topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC, we test our goodwill for impairment on an annual basis, or on an interim basis if an event occurs or circumstances change that indicate an impairment may have occurred.

Our 2014 annual goodwill impairment analysis, which we performed for our single reporting unit as of October 1, 2014, did not result in an impairment charge. We compared our enterprise value to our reporting unit carrying value as of October 1, 2014. As a result of this analysis, we determined that the estimated fair value of our reporting unit was substantially in excess of the carrying value.

We believe that the assumptions and estimates used to determine the estimated fair value of our reporting unit are reasonable; however, there are a number of factors, including factors outside of our control, such as stock price volatility, that could cause actual results to differ from our estimates.

Any changes to our key assumptions about our business and our prospects, or changes in market conditions, could cause the fair value of our reporting unit to fall below its carrying value, resulting in a potential impairment charge. In addition, changes in our organizational structure or how our management allocates resources and assesses performance could result in a change of our operating segment or reporting unit, requiring a reallocation and impairment analysis of our goodwill. A goodwill impairment charge could have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements because of the significance of goodwill to our consolidated balance sheet. As of December 31, 2014, we had \$689.3 million of goodwill.

### Accounts Receivable, Revenue Recovery Collections, and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and do not bear interest. In accordance with our contracts with NAPM, we bill a revenue recovery collections fee, or RRC fee, equal to a percentage of monthly billings to our clients. The aggregate RRC fees collected may be used to offset uncollectible receivables from an individual client. During the year ended December 31, 2012 and for the six months ended June 30, 2013, the RRC fee was 0.65%. On July 1, 2013, the RRC rate was reduced to 0.50% and remained at that level through December 31, 2014. Any accrued RRC fees in excess of uncollectible receivables are paid back to the clients annually on a pro rata basis. All other receivables related to services not covered by the RRC fees are evaluated and, if deemed not collectible, are appropriately reserved.

### Income Taxes

We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities based on temporary differences between the financial reporting bases and the tax bases of assets and liabilities. These deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when such amounts are expected to reverse or be utilized. The realization of deferred tax assets is contingent upon the generation of future taxable income. When appropriate, we recognize a valuation allowance to reduce such deferred tax assets to amounts that are more likely than not to be ultimately realized. The calculation of deferred tax assets,



Table of Contents

including valuation allowances, and liabilities requires us to apply significant judgment related to such factors as the application of complex tax laws, changes in tax laws and our future operations. We review our deferred tax assets on a quarterly basis to determine if a valuation allowance is required based upon these factors. Changes in our assessment of the need for a valuation allowance could give rise to a change in such allowance, potentially resulting in additional expense or benefit in the period of change.

Our income tax provision includes U.S. federal, state, local and foreign income taxes and is based on pre-tax income or loss. In determining the annual effective income tax rate, we analyzed various factors, including our annual earnings and taxing jurisdictions in which the earnings were generated, the impact of state and local income taxes and our ability to use tax credits and net operating loss carryforwards.

We assess uncertain tax positions and recognize income tax benefits when, based on the technical merits of a tax position, we believe that if a dispute arose with the taxing authority and was taken to a court of last resort, it is more likely than not (i.e., a probability of greater than 50 percent) that the tax position would be sustained as filed. If a position is determined to be more likely than not of being sustained, the reporting enterprise should recognize the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the taxing authority. Our practice is to recognize interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense.

We file income tax returns in the United States Federal jurisdiction and in many state and foreign jurisdictions. The tax years 2008 through 2013 remain open to examination by the major taxing jurisdictions to which we are subject. During 2014, the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, completed an examination of our federal income tax return for the year ended December 31, 2009. The audit resulted in no adjustments. The IRS has initiated an examination of the 2010 federal income tax return of Neustar Information Services, Inc. (formerly TARGUSInformation Corporation), a subsidiary. While the ultimate outcome of the audit is uncertain, we do not currently believe that the outcome will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

**Stock-Based Compensation**

We recognize stock-based compensation expense in accordance with the Compensation — Stock Compensation Topic of the FASB ASC which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for stock-based awards granted to employees based on estimated fair values on the date of grant.

See Note 12 to our Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of Part II of this report for information regarding our assumptions related to stock-based compensation and the amount of stock-based compensation expense we incurred for the years covered in this report.

We estimate the fair value of our restricted stock unit awards based on the fair value of our common stock on the date of grant. Our outstanding restricted stock unit awards are subject to service-based vesting conditions and performance-based vesting conditions. We recognize the estimated fair value of service-based awards, net of estimated forfeitures, as stock-based compensation expense over the vesting period on a straight-line basis. Awards with performance-based vesting conditions require the achievement of specific financial targets at the end of the specified performance period and are subject to the employee's continued employment over the vesting period. We recognize the estimated fair value of performance-based awards, net of estimated forfeitures, as stock-based compensation expense over the vesting period, which considers each performance period or tranche separately, based upon our determination of the level of achievement of the performance targets. At each reporting period, we reassess the level of achievement of the performance targets for the related performance period. Determining the level of achievement of the performance targets involves judgment, and the estimate of stock-based compensation expense may be revised periodically based on changes in performance. If any performance goals specific to the restricted stock unit awards are not met, we do not recognize any compensation cost for such awards, and we reverse any such compensation costs to the extent previously recognized. As of December 31, 2014, the level of achievement of the performance target awards for performance years 2012, 2013 and 2014 was 129.5%, 111.2% and 123.5%, respectively.

During 2014, we revised our estimate of the level of achievement of the performance awards for the performance year of 2014 from 100% of target to 123.5% of target. Our consolidated net income for the year ended December 31, 2014

was \$163.7 million and diluted earnings per share was \$2.75 per share. If we had continued to use the previous estimate of the level of achievement of 100% of the performance target for the performance year of 2014, the as adjusted net income for the year ended December 31, 2014 would have been approximately \$166.7 million and the as adjusted diluted earnings per share would have been approximately \$2.80 per share. As of December 31, 2014, the performance years 2012, 2013 and 2014 were complete and the levels of achievement of the performance targets were fixed.

Table of Contents

## Consolidated Results of Operations

Year Ended December 31, 2013 Compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2014

The following table presents an overview of our results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2014.

|  | Years Ended December 31,              |           | 2013 vs. 2014 |           |    |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|----|
|  | 2013                                  | 2014      | \$ Change     | % Change  |    |
|  | \$                                    | \$        | \$            | %         |    |
|  | (in thousands, except per share data) |           |               |           |    |
| Revenue  | \$902,041                             | \$963,588 | \$61,547      | 6.8       | %  |
| Operating expense:   |                                       |           |               |           |    |
| Cost of revenue (excluding depreciation and amortization shown separately below) | 212,572                               | 247,115   | 34,543        | 16.3      | %  |
| Sales and marketing  | 178,017                               | 198,142   | 20,125        | 11.3      | %  |
| Research and development   | 27,993                                | 27,739    | (254)         | (0.9)     | )% |
| General and administrative   | 93,930                                | 104,970   | 11,040        | 11.8      | %  |
| Depreciation and amortization  | 100,233                               | 117,785   | 17,552        | 17.5      | %  |
| Restructuring charges  | 2                                     | 6,521     | 6,519         | 325,950.0 | %  |
|  | 612,747                               | 702,272   | 89,525        | 14.6      | %  |
| Income from operations   | 289,294                               | 261,316   | (27,978)      | (9.7)     | )% |
| Other (expense) income:  |                                       |           |               |           |    |
| Interest and other expense   | (34,527)                              | (26,218)  | 8,309         | (24.1)    | )% |
| Interest and other income  | 357                                   | 445       | 88            | 24.6      | %  |
| Income before income taxes   | 255,124                               | 235,543   | (19,581)      | (7.7)     | )% |
| Provision for income taxes   | 92,372                                | 71,849    | (20,523)      | (22.2)    | )% |
| Net income   | \$162,752                             | \$163,694 | \$942         | 0.6       | %  |
| Net income per share:  |                                       |           |               |           |    |
| Basic  | \$2.52                                | \$2.84    |               |           |    |
| Diluted  | \$2.46                                | \$2.75    |               |           |    |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding:                                      |                                       |           |               |           |    |
| Basic  | 64,463                                | 57,647    |               |           |    |
| Diluted  | 66,108                                | 59,535    |               |           |    |

Revenue. Revenue increased \$61.5 million driven by strong demand for our Security and Marketing Services and a \$28.4 million increase in revenue from NPAC Services. Security Services revenue increased \$26.8 million driven by an increase in revenue of \$16.3 million from domain name registries and an increase in demand for our DNS services. In particular, the increase in revenue from domain name registries was driven by .CO Internet, which contributed \$12.6 million since we acquired this entity. Revenue from our Marketing Services increased \$20.8 million driven by increased demand for our services that help clients make informed and high impact decisions to promote their businesses. Data Services revenue decreased \$14.5 million driven by a total decrease of \$16.2 million in revenue due to contractual changes in caller identification services, partially offset by an increase of \$6.9 million in revenue from carrier provisioning services. The remaining decrease in Data Services revenue was driven by lower revenue from common short codes and user authentication and rights management services.

## Expense

Cost of revenue. Cost of revenue increased \$34.5 million due to an increase of \$18.3 million in personnel and personnel-related expense and an increase of \$16.1 million in costs related to our information technology and systems.

The increase in

35

---

Table of Contents

personnel and personnel-related expense included an increase in stock-based compensation. The increase in costs related to our information technology and systems was driven by increased data processing, telecommunications, and maintenance costs.

Sales and marketing. Sales and marketing expense increased \$20.1 million due to an increase of \$11.9 million in personnel and personnel-related expense, an increase of \$4.0 million in advertising and marketing costs and an increase of \$4.2 million in maintenance and general facilities costs. The increase in personnel and personnel-related expense was driven by an increase in stock-based compensation. The increase in advertising and marketing costs was driven by \$8.7 million in NPAC-related costs, partially offset by a decrease in costs associated with other professional fees.

Research and development. Research and development expense decreased \$0.3 million due to a decrease of \$0.6 million in maintenance and general facilities costs, partially offset by an increase of \$0.3 million in personnel and personnel-related expense.

General and administrative. General and administrative expense increased \$11.0 million due to an increase of \$7.6 million in professional fees, an increase of \$5.7 million in personnel and personnel-related expense and a decrease of \$2.2 million in maintenance and other administrative costs. The increase in professional fees was driven by \$9.0 million in costs related to the NPAC selection process, partially offset by a decrease in acquisition-related costs. The increase in personnel and personnel-related expense was driven by an increase of \$7.1 million in stock-based compensation expense, partially offset by a decrease in other personnel-related costs.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$17.6 million due to an increase of \$11.8 million in amortization expense related to acquired intangible assets. In addition, depreciation expense increased \$5.7 million related to an increase in capitalized software development costs and build-out of facilities.

Restructuring charges. Restructuring expense increased \$6.5 million attributable to our 2014 restructuring activities. We implemented this restructuring program to align our resources to serve our clients more effectively.

Interest and other expense. Interest and other expense decreased \$8.3 million due to a \$10.9 million loss on debt modification and extinguishment, recorded in the first quarter of 2013 and incurred in connection with the refinancing of our 2011 Credit Facilities. This decrease was partially offset by a \$2.5 million increase in losses recorded in connection with asset disposals and an increase in interest expense driven by additional borrowings under the 2013 Credit Facilities.

Interest and other income. Interest and other income for the year ended December 31, 2013 was comparable to that recorded for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Provision for income taxes. Our effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2014 decreased to 30.5% from 36.2% for the year ended December 31, 2013. During 2014, we recorded \$12.2 million of discrete items primarily associated with a change in estimate of our domestic production activities deduction for the years 2009 through 2013 and a reversal of unrecorded tax benefits upon the completion of an IRS audit for the year ended December 31, 2009. During 2013, we recorded \$4.8 million of discrete tax benefits primarily due to research tax credits and a worthless stock deduction. Excluding discrete tax items, our effective tax rates were approximately 38.1% and 35.7% for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2014, respectively. This decrease was driven by our domestic production activities deduction.

Table of Contents

Year Ended December 31, 2012 Compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2013

The following table presents an overview of our results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013.

|  | Years Ended December 31,              |           | 2012 vs. 2013 |          |   |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------|---------------|----------|---|
|  | 2012                                  | 2013      | \$ Change     | % Change |   |
|  | (in thousands, except per share data) |           |               |          |   |
| Revenue  | \$831,388                             | \$902,041 | \$70,653      | 8.5      | % |
| Operating expense:   |                                       |           |               |          |   |
| Cost of revenue (excluding depreciation and amortization shown separately below) | 185,965                               | 212,572   | 26,607        | 14.3     | % |
| Sales and marketing  | 163,729                               | 178,017   | 14,288        | 8.7      | % |
| Research and development   | 29,794                                | 27,993    | (1,801)       | (6.0)    | % |
| General and administrative   | 81,797                                | 93,930    | 12,133        | 14.8     | % |
| Depreciation and amortization  | 92,955                                | 100,233   | 7,278         | 7.8      | % |
| Restructuring charges  | 489                                   | 2         | (487)         | (99.6)   | % |
|  | 554,729                               | 612,747   | 58,018        | 10.5     | % |
| Income from operations   | 276,659                               | 289,294   | 12,635        | 4.6      | % |
| Other (expense) income:  |                                       |           |               |          |   |
| Interest and other expense   | (34,155)                              | (34,527)  | (372)         | 1.1      | % |
| Interest and other income  | 596                                   | 357       | (239)         | (40.1)   | % |
| Income before income taxes   | 243,100                               | 255,124   | 12,024        | 4.9      | % |
| Provision for income taxes   | 87,013                                | 92,372    | 5,359         | 6.2      | % |
| Net income   | \$156,087                             | \$162,752 | \$6,665       | 4.3      | % |
| Net income per share:  |                                       |           |               |          |   |
| Basic  | \$2.34                                | \$2.52    |               |          |   |
| Diluted  | \$2.30                                | \$2.46    |               |          |   |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding:                                      |                                       |           |               |          |   |
| Basic  | 66,737                                | 64,463    |               |          |   |
| Diluted  | 67,956                                | 66,108    |               |          |   |

**Revenue.** Revenue increased \$70.7 million driven by strong demand for our services and a \$28.2 million increase in revenue from NPAC Services. Revenue from our Marketing Services increased \$19.1 million, driven by increased demand for our services that help clients make informed and high impact decisions to promote their businesses. Data Services revenue increased \$13.4 million driven by increased demand for services that enable our carrier clients to exchange essential operating information with multiple carriers. Revenue from our Security Services increased \$10.0 million driven by an increase in demand for our DNS services.

**Expense**

**Cost of revenue.** Cost of revenue increased \$26.6 million due to an increase of \$10.4 million in personnel and personnel-related expense, an increase of \$8.4 million in costs related to our information technology and systems, an increase of \$5.4 million in royalties, and an increase of \$2.4 million in contractor costs incurred to support our business operations. The increase in personnel and personnel-related expense was due to increases in stock-based compensation and other personnel-related costs. In addition, the increase in costs related to our information technology and systems was associated with increased sales that resulted in increased data processing, telecommunications, and maintenance costs.

Sales and marketing. Sales and marketing expense increased \$14.3 million due to an increase of \$9.3 million in personnel and personnel-related expense and an increase of \$5.0 million in advertising and marketing costs. The increase in

37

---

Table of Contents

personnel and personnel-related expense was due to increases in stock-based compensation and other personnel-related costs, driven by the expansion of our sales and marketing teams to support service offerings. The increase in advertising and marketing costs was driven by costs incurred to promote awareness of our services and outsourced fees incurred to support our long-term sales strategy.

Research and development. Research and development expense decreased \$1.8 million due to a decrease of \$1.3 million in personnel and personnel-related expense.

General and administrative. General and administrative expense increased \$12.1 million due to an increase of \$6.8 million in personnel and personnel-related expense, an increase of \$3.6 million in professional fees, and an increase of \$2.1 million in bad debt expense. The increase in personnel and personnel-related expense was driven by stock-based compensation. The increase in professional fees was driven by costs incurred to support business growth, strategic planning and pursuit of new business opportunities.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$7.3 million due to an increase of \$9.2 million in depreciation expense related to capitalized development costs. This increase was partially offset by a decrease of \$2.1 million in depreciation expense related to assets under capital leases.

Restructuring charges. Restructuring charges for the year ended December 31, 2012 were comparable to the charges recorded for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Interest and other expense. Interest and other expense increased \$0.4 million due to a \$10.9 million loss on debt modification and extinguishment recorded in the first quarter of 2013 in connection with the refinancing of our 2011 Credit Facilities. This increase was partially offset by lower interest expense of \$10.3 million driven by the refinancing of our 2011 Credit Facilities.

Interest and other income. Interest and other income for the year ended December 31, 2012 was comparable to that recorded for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Provision for income taxes. Our effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2013 increased to 36.2% from 35.8% for the year ended December 31, 2012. During 2013, we recorded \$4.8 million of discrete tax benefits primarily due to research tax credits and a worthless stock deduction. During 2012, we recorded \$6.8 million of discrete tax benefits due to our domestic production activities deduction and utilization of foreign tax credits against federal income taxes. Excluding discrete tax benefits, our effective tax rates would have been approximately 38.6% and 38.1% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013, respectively. This decrease is primarily associated with federal research tax credits recorded in 2013, partially offset by nondeductible transaction related costs in connection with our acquisition completed in the fourth quarter of 2013.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Our principal source of liquidity is cash provided by our operating activities. Our principal uses of cash have been to fund share repurchases, acquisitions, capital expenditures and debt service requirements. We anticipate that our principal uses of cash in the future will be for capital expenditures, debt service requirements, share repurchases and acquisitions.

Total cash and cash equivalents were \$326.6 million at December 31, 2014, an increase of \$103.3 million from \$223.3 million at December 31, 2013. This increase in cash and cash equivalents was due to cash provided by operations and cash proceeds from borrowings under our 2013 Credit Facilities, partially offset by cash used for share repurchases and acquisitions.

On June 17, 2014, Moody's downgraded our corporate credit rating due to an increase in perceived NPAC-related business risk. In particular, our corporate family rating was lowered from Ba2 to Ba3, our 2013 Term Facility rating was lowered from Ba1 to Ba2, and our Senior Notes rating was lowered from Ba3 to B2. Downgrades in our credit ratings do not accelerate the scheduled maturity dates of our debt, or affect the interest rates charged on any of our debt, our debt covenant requirements, or cause any other operating issue. This downgrade has not, and we do not believe it will have a significant impact on our operating results; however, if our credit ratings were to be further downgraded, our access to, and cost of, debt financing may be negatively impacted.

We believe that our existing cash and cash equivalents, cash from operations and available borrowings under our credit facilities will be sufficient to fund our operations for the next twelve months.





Table of Contents

Credit Facilities

On January 22, 2013, we entered into a credit facility that provided for a \$325 million senior secured term loan facility, or 2013 Term Facility, and a \$200 million senior secured revolving credit facility, or the 2013 Revolving Facility, and together with the 2013 Term Facility, the 2013 Credit Facilities. In addition, we closed an offering of \$300 million aggregate principal amount of senior notes, or Senior Notes. For further discussion of this debt, see Note 7 to our Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of Part II of this report.

Discussion of Cash Flows

2014 compared to 2013

Cash flows from operations

Net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2014 was \$319.7 million, as compared to \$287.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. This \$31.8 million increase in net cash provided by operating activities was the result of an increase in non-cash adjustments of \$21.3 million, an increase in net changes in operating assets and liabilities of \$9.5 million and an increase in net income of \$0.9 million.

Non-cash adjustments increased \$21.3 million driven by an increase of \$23.8 million in stock-based compensation, an increase of \$17.6 million in depreciation and amortization expense, an increase of \$6.4 million in excess tax benefits from stock option exercises, an increase of \$1.1 million in loss of asset disposals, and an increase of \$0.8 million in bad debt expense. These increases in non-cash adjustments were partially offset by a decrease of \$17.2 million in deferred income taxes and a \$10.9 million loss on debt modification and extinguishment recorded in 2013 that did not reoccur in 2014.

Net changes in operating assets and liabilities increased \$9.5 million primarily due to an increase of \$20.3 million in accounts and unbilled receivables, an increase of \$12.0 million in other liabilities, an increase of \$12.0 million in prepaid expenses and other current assets, and an increase of \$1.1 million in deferred revenue. These increases in net changes in operating assets and liabilities were partially offset by a decrease of \$14.6 million in income taxes, a decrease of \$18.2 million in accounts payable and accrued expenses, a decrease of \$1.7 million in notes receivable, and a decrease of \$0.8 million in deferred costs.

Cash flows from investing

Net cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2014 was \$180.9 million, as compared to \$155.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. This \$25.7 million increase in net cash used in investing activities was due to an increase of \$15.3 million in cash used for acquisitions, an increase of \$6.9 million in cash used to purchase property and equipment, and a decrease of \$3.5 million in cash received from the sale and maturities of investments.

Cash flows from financing

Net cash used in financing activities was \$33.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to \$249.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. This \$216.0 million decrease in net cash used in financing activities was due to a net increase in cash of \$143.3 million from debt, a decrease of \$85.4 million in cash used for the purchase of our Class A common stock, and a decrease of \$11.4 million in cash used for debt offering costs. In particular, the net increase in cash from debt of \$143.3 million was attributable to borrowings of \$175.0 million in 2014 compared to net proceeds of \$31.7 million attributable to our debt refinancing completed in 2013. These increases in net cash used in financing activities were partially offset by a decrease of \$12.7 million in cash proceeds from the issuance of stock, a decrease of \$6.4 million in excess tax benefits from stock option exercises, an increase of \$2.7 million in cash used for the net down of employee shares, and an increase of \$1.8 million in cash used for principal repayments on capital lease obligations.

2013 compared to 2012

Cash flows from operations

Net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$287.9 million, as compared to \$303.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This \$15.7 million decrease in net cash provided by operating activities was the result of a decrease in net changes in operating assets and liabilities of \$43.8 million, partially offset by an increase in net income of \$6.7 million, and an increase in non-cash adjustments of \$21.4 million.

Net changes in operating assets and liabilities decreased \$43.8 million due to a decrease of \$33.2 million in income taxes receivable, a decrease of \$15.9 million in prepaid expenses and other current assets, and a decrease of \$4.8 million in deferred

Table of Contents

revenue. These decreases in net changes in operating assets and liabilities were partially offset by an increase of \$8.6 million in accounts payable and accrued expenses and an increase of \$4.1 million in other liabilities.

Non-cash adjustments increased \$21.4 million driven by an increase of \$12.5 million in stock-based compensation, a loss on debt modification and extinguishment of \$10.9 million recorded in the first quarter of 2013 related to our debt refinancing, an increase of \$7.3 million in depreciation and amortization expense, and an increase of \$2.1 million in bad debt expense. These increases in non-cash adjustments were partially offset by a decrease of \$11.5 million in deferred income taxes.

**Cash flows from investing**

Net cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$155.1 million, as compared to \$43.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This \$111.5 million increase in net cash used in investing activities was due to an increase of \$106.1 million in cash used for acquisitions completed in 2013, and a net decrease of \$5.3 million in cash received from the sale and maturities of investments and an increase of \$0.1 million in cash used to purchase property and equipment.

**Cash flows from financing**

Net cash used in financing activities was \$249.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to \$41.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This \$207.6 million increase in net cash used in financing activities was due to an increase of \$187.2 million in cash used to repurchase our Class A common stock, a decrease of \$35.4 million in proceeds from the exercise of stock options, and \$11.4 million of cash used for debt issuance costs attributable to our debt refinancing completed in the first quarter of 2013. These increases in cash used were partially offset by net proceeds of \$31.7 million attributable to our debt refinancing, and a decrease of \$7.0 million in restricted cash.

**Contractual Obligations**

Our principal commitments consist of obligations under our Senior Notes, 2013 Credit Facilities, leases for office space, and computer equipment and furniture and fixtures. The following table summarizes our long-term contractual obligations as December 31, 2014:

|  | Payments Due by Period |                  |           |           |                   |
|--|------------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
|  | Total                  | Less Than 1 Year | 2-3 Years | 4-5 Years | More Than 5 Years |
|  | (in thousands)         |                  |           |           |                   |
| Capital lease obligations              | \$9,853                | \$4,050          | \$5,803   | \$—       | \$—               |
| Operating lease obligations            | 143,247                | 16,000           | 36,017    | 36,662    | 54,568            |
| 2013 Term Facility <sup>(1)</sup>      | 324,149                | 13,298           | 26,199    | 284,652   | —                 |
| Senior Notes <sup>(1)</sup>            | 408,825                | 13,500           | 27,000    | 27,000    | 341,325           |
| 2013 Revolving Facility <sup>(1)</sup> | 175,000                | —                | —         | 175,000   | —                 |
| Total                                  | \$1,061,074            | \$46,848         | \$95,019  | \$523,314 | \$395,893         |

Interest expense related to the 2013 Term Facility has been calculated using a rate of 1.7%. Interest expense related (1) to the Senior Notes has been calculated using a fixed 4.5% interest rate. Interest expense related to the 2013

Revolving Facility has been calculated using a rate of 3.8%.

Some of our commercial commitments are secured by standby letters of credit. The following is a summary of our commercial commitments secured by standby letters of credit by commitment date as of December 31, 2014:

|                           | Payments Due by Period |                  |           |           |                   |
|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
|                           | Total                  | Less Than 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 4-5 Years | More Than 5 Years |
|                           | (in thousands)         |                  |           |           |                   |
| Standby letters of credit | \$18,516               | \$16,775         | \$1,200   | \$—       | \$541             |

The amounts presented in the tables above may not necessarily reflect our actual future cash funding requirements because the actual timing of the future payments made may vary from the stated contractual obligation. As of

December 31, 2014, we had \$13.6 million of unrecognized tax benefits recorded in other long-term liabilities along with interest accrued thereon and \$50.7 million of long-term deferred tax liabilities. Due to the uncertainty with respect to the

40

---

Table of Contents

timing of payments in individual years in connection with these tax liabilities, we are unable to make reasonably reliable estimates of the period of cash settlement with the respective taxing authority. Therefore, we have not included these amounts in the contractual obligations table above. See Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 of Part II of this report for a discussion on income taxes.

Effect of Inflation

Inflation generally affects us by increasing our cost of labor and equipment. We do not believe that inflation had any material effect on our results of operations during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We had no off-balance sheet arrangements as of December 31, 2013 and 2014.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to a variety of market risks, including changes in interest rates affecting the return on our 2013 Credit Facilities and foreign currency fluctuations.

Borrowings outstanding under our 2013 Credit Facilities bore interest, at our option, either: (1) at the base rate, which was defined as the highest of (a) the federal funds rate plus 0.50%, (b) the interest rate published by the Wall Street Journal as the "U.S. Prime Rate" and (c) the adjusted LIBOR rate for a one-month interest period beginning on such day plus 1.00%; or (2) at the LIBOR rate plus, in each case, an applicable margin. The applicable margin is (1) if the Consolidated Leverage Ratio is less than 2.00:1.00, 0.50% per annum for borrowings based on the base rate and 1.50% per annum for borrowings based on the LIBOR rate, or (2) if the Consolidated Leverage Ratio is 2.00:1.00 or greater, 0.75% per annum for borrowings based on the base rate and 1.75% per annum borrowings based on the LIBOR rate. As of December 31, 2014, borrowings under our 2013 Credit Facilities were approximately \$483.3 million. A hypothetical change in interest rates by 100 basis points would not have a material impact on our financial position.

We have accounts on our foreign subsidiaries' ledgers which are maintained in the respective subsidiary's local foreign currency and remeasured into the United States dollar. As a result, we are exposed to movements in the exchange rates of various currencies against the United States dollar and against the currencies of other countries in which we sell services. As of December 31, 2014, our assets and liabilities related to non-dollar denominated currencies were primarily related to intercompany payables and receivables. An increase or decrease of 10% in foreign exchange rate would not have a material impact on our financial position.

Because our sales and expense are primarily denominated in local currency, the impact of foreign currency fluctuations on sales and expenses has not been material, and we do not employ measures intended to manage foreign exchange rate risk.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA  
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

|   | Page      |
|---|-----------|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>  | <u>43</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2014</u>   | <u>44</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014</u>           | <u>46</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014</u> | <u>47</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014</u> | <u>48</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014</u>           | <u>49</u> |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>   | <u>50</u> |

42

---

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Stockholders

NeuStar, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of NeuStar, Inc. as of December 31, 2013 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a)(2). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of NeuStar, Inc. at December 31, 2013 and 2014, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), NeuStar, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated February 13, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

McLean, Virginia

February 13, 2015



Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.  
 CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
 (in thousands, except share and per share data)

|  | December 31,<br>2013 | 2014        |
|--|----------------------|-------------|
| <b>ASSETS</b>  |                      |             |
| Current assets:  |                      |             |
| Cash and cash equivalents  | \$223,309            | \$326,577   |
| Restricted cash  | 1,858                | 2,191       |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2,507 and \$3,154, respectively | 152,821              | 155,086     |
| Unbilled receivables   | 10,790               | 13,084      |
| Notes receivable   | 1,008                | —           |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets  | 23,914               | 24,392      |
| Deferred costs   | 6,324                | 6,951       |
| Income taxes receivable  | 7,328                | 16,309      |
| Deferred income tax assets   | 8,420                | 10,380      |
| Total current assets   | 435,772              | 554,970     |
| Property and equipment, net  | 124,285              | 161,604     |
| Goodwill   | 644,961              | 689,269     |
| Intangible assets, net   | 275,141              | 302,622     |
| Other assets, long-term  | 28,704               | 30,643      |
| Total assets   | \$1,508,863          | \$1,739,108 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

|  | December 31,<br>2013 | 2014         |
|--|----------------------|--------------|
| <b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>  |                      |              |
| Current liabilities:   |                      |              |
| Accounts payable   | \$9,620              | \$8,439      |
| Accrued expenses   | 94,457               | 94,771       |
| Deferred revenue   | 54,004               | 73,908       |
| Notes payable  | 7,972                | 7,972        |
| Capital lease obligations  | 1,894                | 3,702        |
| Other liabilities  | 3,580                | 23,125       |
| Total current liabilities  | 171,527              | 211,917      |
| Deferred revenue, long-term  | 12,061               | 27,017       |
| Notes payable, long-term   | 608,292              | 775,318      |
| Capital lease obligations, long-term   | 2,419                | 5,579        |
| Deferred income tax liability, long-term   | 83,720               | 50,666       |
| Other liabilities, long-term   | 41,270               | 49,705       |
| Total liabilities  | 919,289              | 1,120,202    |
| Commitments and contingencies  | —                    | —            |
| Stockholders' equity:  |                      |              |
| Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2013 and 2014   | —                    | —            |
| Class A common stock, par value \$0.001; 200,000,000 shares authorized; 87,147,586 and 80,917,293 shares issued; and 61,400,908 and 55,080,441 outstanding at December 31, 2013 and 2014, respectively | 87                   | 81           |
| Class B common stock, par value \$0.001; 100,000,000 shares authorized; 3,082 and 3,082 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013 and 2014, respectively                                      | —                    | —            |
| Additional paid-in capital   | 602,796              | 674,385      |
| Treasury stock, 25,746,678 and 25,836,852 shares at December 31, 2013 and 2014, respectively, at cost  | (893,852             | ) (898,520   |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss   | (797                 | ) (2,222     |
| Retained earnings  | 881,340              | 845,182      |
| Total stockholders' equity   | 589,574              | 618,906      |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity   | \$ 1,508,863         | \$ 1,739,108 |

See accompanying notes.



Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(in thousands, except per share data)

|  | Year Ended December 31, |           |           |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|  | 2012                    | 2013      | 2014      |
| Revenue  | \$831,388               | \$902,041 | \$963,588 |
| Operating expense:   |                         |           |           |
| Cost of revenue (excluding depreciation and amortization shown separately below) | 185,965                 | 212,572   | 247,115   |
| Sales and marketing  | 163,729                 | 178,017   | 198,142   |
| Research and development   | 29,794                  | 27,993    | 27,739    |
| General and administrative   | 81,797                  | 93,930    | 104,970   |
| Depreciation and amortization  | 92,955                  | 100,233   | 117,785   |
| Restructuring charges  | 489                     | 2         | 6,521     |
|  | 554,729                 | 612,747   | 702,272   |
| Income from operations   | 276,659                 | 289,294   | 261,316   |
| Other (expense) income:  |                         |           |           |
| Interest and other expense   | (34,155                 | ) (34,527 | ) (26,218 |
| Interest and other income  | 596                     | 357       | 445       |
| Income before income taxes   | 243,100                 | 255,124   | 235,543   |
| Provision for income taxes   | 87,013                  | 92,372    | 71,849    |
| Net income   | \$156,087               | \$162,752 | \$163,694 |
| Net income per common share:   |                         |           |           |
| Basic  | \$2.34                  | \$2.52    | \$2.84    |
| Diluted  | \$2.30                  | \$2.46    | \$2.75    |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding:                                      |                         |           |           |
| Basic  | 66,737                  | 64,463    | 57,647    |
| Diluted  | 67,956                  | 66,108    | 59,535    |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.  
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME  
 (in thousands)

|  | Year Ended December 31, |            |            |
|--|-------------------------|------------|------------|
|  | 2012                    | 2013       | 2014       |
| Net income   | \$ 156,087              | \$ 162,752 | \$ 163,694 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax:   |                         |            |            |
| Available for sale investments, net of tax:  |                         |            |            |
| Change in net unrealized gains, net of tax of \$86, \$(73) and \$72 respectively                       | 192                     | (112)      | ) 112      |
| Reclassification for gains included in net income, net of tax of \$0, \$28 and \$17 respectively       | —                       | (44)       | ) (26)     |
| Net change in unrealized gains on investments, net of tax  | 192                     | (156)      | ) 86       |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax:   |                         |            |            |
| Change in foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax of \$85, \$(70) and \$(765) respectively | (201                    | ) 126      | (1,796)    |
| Reclassification adjustment included in net income, net of tax of \$0, \$0 and \$(183) respectively    | —                       | —          | 285        |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax  | (201                    | ) 126      | (1,511)    |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of tax   | (9                      | ) (30      | ) (1,425)  |
| Comprehensive income   | \$ 156,078              | \$ 162,722 | \$ 162,269 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY  
(in thousands)

|  | Class A<br>Common Stock |        | Class B<br>Common Stock |        | Additional<br>Paid-in<br>Capital | Treasury<br>Stock | Accumulated<br>Other<br>Comprehensive<br>Loss | Retained<br>Earnings | Total<br>Stockholders'<br>Equity |
|--|-------------------------|--------|-------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|-------------------|---|----------------------|----------------------------------|
|  | Shares                  | Amount | Shares                  | Amount |                                  |                   |   |                      |                                  |
| Balance at December 31, 2011                       | 82,959                  | \$83   | 3                       | \$—    | \$436,598                        | \$(495,790)       | \$ (758 )                                     | \$562,501            | \$ 502,634                       |
| Issuance of shares from employee equity plans      | 3,000                   | 3      | —                       | —      | 59,053                           | —                 | —   | —                    | 59,056                           |
| Stock-based compensation expense                   | —                       | —      | —                       | —      | 28,058                           | —                 | —   | —                    | 28,058                           |
| Common stock repurchase                            | —                       | —      | —                       | —      | —                                | (98,040 )         | —   | —                    | (98,040 )                        |
| Common stock received for tax withholding          | —                       | —      | —                       | —      | —                                | (10,212 )         | —   | —                    | (10,212 )                        |
| Net excess tax benefit from stock option exercises | —                       | —      | —                       | —      | 9,034                            | —                 | —   | —                    | 9,034                            |
| Net income   | —                       | —      | —                       | —      | —                                | —                 | —   | 156,087              | 156,087                          |
| Other comprehensive loss                           | —                       | —      | —                       | —      | —                                | —                 | (9 )  | —                    | (9 )                             |
| Balance at December 31, 2012                       | 85,959                  | 86     | 3                       | —      | 532,743                          | (604,042 )        | (767 )  | 718,588              | 646,608                          |
| Issuance of shares from employee equity plans      | 1,189                   | 1      | —                       | —      | 21,145                           | 2,540             | —   | —                    | 23,686                           |
| Stock-based compensation expense                   | —                       | —      | —                       | —      | 40,606                           | —                 | —   | —                    | 40,606                           |
| Replacement equity awards in business acquisition  | —                       | —      | —                       | —      | 924                              | —                 | —   | —                    | 924                              |
| Common stock repurchase                            | —                       | —      | —                       | —      | —                                | (285,277 )        | —   | —                    | (285,277 )                       |
| Common stock received for tax withholding          | —                       | —      | —                       | —      | —                                | (7,073 )          | —   | —                    | (7,073 )                         |
| Net excess tax benefit from stock option exercises | —                       | —      | —                       | —      | 7,378                            | —                 | —   | —                    | 7,378                            |
| Net income   | —                       | —      | —                       | —      | —                                | —                 | —   | 162,752              | 162,752                          |
| Other comprehensive loss                           | —                       | —      | —                       | —      | —                                | —                 | (30 )   | —                    | (30 )                            |
|  | 87,148                  | 87     | 3                       | —      | 602,796                          | (893,852 )        | (797 )  | 881,340              | 589,574                          |

|  |          |      |   |     |           |             |             |            |            |
|--|----------|------|---|-----|-----------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2013                       |          |      |   |     |           |             |             |            |            |
| Issuance of shares from employee equity plans      | 832      | 1    | — | —   | 5,893     | 5,100       | —           | —          | 10,994     |
| Stock-based compensation expense                   | —        | —    | — | —   | 64,379    | —           | —           | —          | 64,379     |
| Common stock repurchase                            | (7,063 ) | (7 ) | — | —   | —         | —           | —           | (199,852 ) | (199,859 ) |
| Common stock received for tax withholding          | —        | —    | — | —   | —         | (9,768 )    | —           | —          | (9,768 )   |
| Net excess tax benefit from stock option exercises | —        | —    | — | —   | 1,317     | —           | —           | —          | 1,317      |
| Net income   | —        | —    | — | —   | —         | —           | —           | 163,694    | 163,694    |
| Other comprehensive loss                           | —        | —    | — | —   | —         | —           | (1,425 )    | —          | (1,425 )   |
| Balance at December 31, 2014                       | 80,917   | \$81 | 3 | \$— | \$674,385 | \$(898,520) | \$ (2,222 ) | \$845,182  | \$ 618,906 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(in thousands)

|   | Year Ended December 31, |             |             |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|   | 2012                    | 2013        | 2014        |
| Operating activities:   |                         |             |             |
| Net income  | \$156,087               | \$162,752   | \$163,694   |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |                         |             |             |
| Depreciation and amortization   | 92,955                  | 100,233     | 117,785     |
| Stock-based compensation  | 28,058                  | 40,606      | 64,379      |
| Loss on debt modification and extinguishment                                      | —                       | 10,886      | —           |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs and original issue discount on debt      | 4,062                   | 3,397       | 3,385       |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation                                 | (9,041)                 | ) (7,876    | ) (1,495)   |
| Deferred income taxes   | (5,958)                 | ) (17,423   | ) (34,668)  |
| Provision for doubtful accounts   | 4,086                   | 6,174       | 7,015       |
| Amortization of bond premium  | 546                     | 123         | —           |
| Loss on disposal of assets  | —                       | —           | 1,057       |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions:                 |                         |             |             |
| Accounts receivable   | (30,874)                | ) (27,418   | ) (8,973)   |
| Unbilled receivables  | (821)                   | ) (3,759    | ) (1,865)   |
| Notes receivable  | 2,786                   | 2,740       | 1,008       |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets   | 12,089                  | (3,853)     | ) 8,100     |
| Deferred costs  | 794                     | 1,200       | 377         |
| Income taxes receivable   | 40,319                  | 7,131       | (7,485)     |
| Other assets  | (8)                     | ) (3,456    | ) (3,907)   |
| Other liabilities   | (2,534)                 | ) 1,567     | 13,533      |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses   | 3,472                   | 12,117      | (6,051)     |
| Deferred revenue  | 7,549                   | 2,716       | 3,773       |
| Net cash provided by operating activities   | 303,567                 | 287,857     | 319,662     |
| Investing activities:   |                         |             |             |
| Purchases of property and equipment   | (53,094)                | ) (53,239   | ) (60,161)  |
| Sales and maturities of investments   | 10,316                  | 3,543       | —           |
| Purchases of investments  | (1,494)                 | ) —         | —           |
| Businesses acquired, net of cash acquired   | 706                     | (105,419)   | ) (120,698) |
| Net cash used in investing activities   | (43,566)                | ) (155,115) | ) (180,859) |
| Financing activities:   |                         |             |             |
| Decrease in restricted cash   | 7,708                   | 685         | 130         |
| Proceeds from note payable  | —                       | 624,244     | 175,000     |
| Extinguishment of note payable  | —                       | (592,500)   | ) —         |
| Payments under notes payable obligations  | (6,000)                 | ) (8,126    | ) (8,125)   |
| Principal repayments on capital lease obligations                                 | (3,494)                 | ) (1,686    | ) (3,466)   |
| Debt issuance costs   | —                       | (11,410)    | ) —         |
| Proceeds from issuance of stock   | 59,056                  | 23,686      | 10,994      |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation                                 | 9,041                   | 7,876       | 1,495       |
| Repurchase of restricted stock awards   | (10,212)                | ) (7,073    | ) (9,768)   |
| Repurchase of common stock  | (98,040)                | ) (285,277) | ) (199,859) |



Edgar Filing: NEUSTAR INC - Form 10-K

|   |           |            |           |   |
|---|-----------|------------|-----------|---|
| Net cash used in financing activities                         | (41,941   | ) (249,581 | ) (33,599 | ) |
| Effect of foreign exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents | (42       | ) (107     | ) (1,936  | ) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents          | 218,018   | (116,946   | ) 103,268 |   |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year                | 122,237   | 340,255    | 223,309   |   |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year                      | \$340,255 | \$223,309  | \$326,577 |   |
| Supplemental cash flow information:                           |           |            |           |   |
| Cash paid for interest  | \$31,209  | \$14,700   | \$15,846  |   |
| Cash paid for income taxes                                    | \$50,229  | \$100,125  | \$107,231 |   |
| Non-cash investing activities:                                |           |            |           |   |
| Property and equipment acquired under capital leases          | \$1,057   | \$3,496    | \$8,460   |   |
| Accounts payable incurred to purchase property and equipment  | \$5,759   | \$1,884    | \$1,842   |   |
| Non-cash equity awards in business acquisition                | \$—       | \$924      | \$—       |   |
| See accompanying notes.                                       |           |            |           |   |

Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND ORGANIZATION

NeuStar, Inc. (the Company or Neustar) is a neutral and trusted provider of real-time information services and analytics. The Company's authoritative, hard-to-replicate data sets and proprietary analytics provide insights to help its clients promote and protect their businesses. The Company primarily serves marketing and security functions in the communications, financial services, media and advertising, retail and eCommerce, Internet, and technology industries. The Company's integrated marketing solution enhances its clients' ability to acquire and retain valuable customers across disparate platforms. The Company operates top-level domain names and provides services to help its clients optimize their web performance. The Company enables the exchange of essential operating information across multiple carriers to provision and manage services. The Company operates the user authentication and rights management system, which supports the digital content locker that consumers use to access their entertainment content. The Company provides the critical infrastructure that enables the dynamic routing of calls and text messages for communications service providers in the United States.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation and Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All material intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated in consolidation. The Company consolidates investments where it has a controlling financial interest. The usual condition for controlling financial interest is ownership of a majority of the voting interest and, therefore, as a general rule, ownership, directly or indirectly, of more than 50% of the outstanding voting shares is a condition indicating consolidation. The Company does not have any variable interest entities.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expense during the reporting periods. Significant estimates and assumptions are inherent in the analysis and the measurement of deferred tax assets; the identification and quantification of income tax liabilities due to uncertain tax positions; recoverability of goodwill; and the determination of the allowance for doubtful accounts. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and assumptions that it believes are reasonable. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value is the price that would be received in the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure Topic of FASB ASC establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value and requires that assets and liabilities carried at fair value be classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

• Level 1. Observable inputs, such as quoted prices in active markets;

• Level 2. Inputs, other than quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or indirectly; and

• Level 3. Unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data, which require the reporting entity to develop its own assumptions.

The Company evaluates assets and liabilities subject to fair value measurements on a recurring and non-recurring basis to determine the appropriate level at which to classify them for each reporting period. Due to their short-term nature, the carrying amounts reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements approximate the fair value for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses. The Company determines the fair value of its \$325 million senior secured term loan facility (2013 Term Facility) using pricing service quotations as quoted by Bloomberg (Level 2) (see Note 7). The Company believes the carrying value of its

revolving credit facility (2013 Revolving Facility) approximates the fair value of the debt as the term and interest rate approximates the market rate (Level 2) (see Note 7). The Company determines the fair value of its \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 4.50% senior notes due 2013 (Senior Notes) using a secondary market price on the last trading day in each period as quoted by Bloomberg (Level 2) (see Note 7).

Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments are as follows (in thousands):

|   | December 31, |            | 2014      |            |
|---|--------------|------------|-----------|------------|
|   | 2013         |            | 2014      |            |
|   | Carrying     | Fair Value | Carrying  | Fair Value |
|   | Amount       |            | Amount    |            |
| Cash and cash equivalents                                       | \$223,309    | \$223,309  | \$326,577 | \$326,577  |
| Restricted cash (current assets)                                | 1,858        | 1,858      | 2,191     | 2,191      |
| 2013 Term Facility (including current portion, net of discount) | 316,264      | 316,264    | 308,290   | 289,794    |
| 2013 Revolving Facility   | —            | —          | 175,000   | 175,000    |
| Senior Notes (including current portion)                        | 300,000      | 273,375    | 300,000   | 255,750    |

**Cash and Cash Equivalents**

The Company considers all highly liquid investments, which are investments that are readily convertible into cash and have original maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase, to be cash equivalents.

**Restricted Cash**

As of December 31, 2013 and 2014, cash of \$1.9 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, was restricted as collateral for certain of the Company's outstanding letters of credit and for deposits on leased facilities.

**Concentrations of Credit Risk**

Financial instruments that are potentially subject to a concentration of credit risk consist principally of cash, cash equivalents, and accounts receivable. The Company's cash management and investment policies are in place to restrict placement of these instruments with only financial institutions evaluated as highly creditworthy.

With respect to accounts receivable, the Company performs ongoing evaluations of its clients, generally granting uncollateralized credit terms to its clients, and maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts based on historical experience and management's expectations of future losses. Clients under the Company's contracts with North American Portability Management LLC (NAPM) are charged a Revenue Recovery Collection (RRC) fee (see "Accounts Receivable, Revenue Recovery Collections and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts" below).

**Accounts Receivable, Revenue Recovery Collections and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts**

Accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and do not bear interest. In accordance with the Company's contracts with NAPM, the Company bills a RRC fee to offset uncollectible receivables from any individual client. The RRC fee is based on a percentage of monthly billings. During the year ended December 31, 2012 and for the six months ended June 30, 2013, the RRC fee was 0.65%. During the six months ended December 31, 2013 and for the year ended December 31, 2014, the RRC fee was 0.50%. The RRC fees are recorded as an accrued expense when collected. If the RRC fee is insufficient, the uncollectible amounts can be recovered from the clients. Any accrued RRC fees in excess of uncollectible receivables are paid back to the clients annually on a pro rata basis. RRC fees of \$2.2 million and \$2.5 million are included in accrued expenses as of December 31, 2013 and 2014, respectively. All other receivables related to services not covered by the RRC fees are evaluated and, if deemed not collectible, are reserved. The Company recorded an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2.5 million and \$3.2 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2014, respectively. Bad debt expense amounted to \$4.1 million, \$6.2 million and \$7.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively.

**Deferred Financing Costs**

Direct and incremental costs related to the issuance of debt are capitalized as deferred financing costs and are reported in other assets on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. The Company amortizes deferred financing costs using the effective-interest method and records such amortization as interest expense. Amortization of debt discount and annual commitment fees for unused portions of available borrowings are also recorded as interest expense.



Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Property and Equipment

Property and equipment, including leasehold improvements and assets acquired through capital leases, are recorded at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment are determined using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, as follows:

|                        |   |
|------------------------|---|
| Computer hardware      | 3 – 5 years                                 |
| Equipment              | 5 years                                     |
| Furniture and fixtures | 5 – 7 years                                 |
| Leasehold improvements | Lesser of related lease term or useful life |
| Building               | 30 years                                    |

Amortization expense of assets acquired through capital leases is included in depreciation and amortization expense in the consolidated statements of operations. Replacements and major improvements are capitalized; maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Impairments of long-lived assets are determined in accordance with the Property, Plant and Equipment Topic of the FASB ASC.

The Company capitalizes software development and acquisition costs in accordance with the Intangibles — Goodwill and Other, Internal-Use Software Topic of the FASB ASC, which requires the capitalization of costs incurred in connection with developing or obtaining software for internal use. Costs incurred to develop the internal-use software are capitalized, while costs incurred for planning the project and for post-implementation training and maintenance are expensed as incurred. The capitalized costs of purchased technology and software development are amortized using the straight-line method over an estimated useful life of three to five years. During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2014, the Company capitalized costs related to internal use software of \$25.2 million and \$23.1 million, respectively. Amortization expense related to internal use software for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014 was \$24.1 million, \$29.7 million and \$29.4 million, respectively, and is included in depreciation and amortization expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

## Segment Reporting

Operating segments are components of an enterprise about which discrete financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker (CODM) in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. Prior to the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company reported its results of operations based on three operating segments. In the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company aligned its organizational structure and internal financial reporting by functional area to reflect the manner in which the CODM allocates resources and assesses performance. This alignment by functional area resulted in a single operating segment and single reporting unit.

## Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of assets acquired, as well as other identifiable intangible assets. In accordance with the Intangibles — Goodwill and Other Topic of the FASB ASC, goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets are not amortized, but are reviewed for impairment at least annually and upon the occurrence of events or changes in circumstances that would reduce the fair value of such assets below their carrying amount. For the purposes of the Company's annual impairment tests completed on October 1, 2013 and October 1, 2014, the Company identified and assigned goodwill to one reporting unit (see Note 4).

Goodwill is tested for impairment at the reporting unit level using a two-step approach. The first step is to compare the fair value of a reporting unit's net assets, including assigned goodwill, to the book value of its net assets, including assigned goodwill. For the Company's impairment analysis completed as of October 1, 2013 and October 1, 2014, the fair value of the single reporting unit was based upon the Company's enterprise value, which was substantially in excess of the carrying value. To assist in the process of determining whether a goodwill impairment exists, the Company performs an internal valuation analysis and considers other market information that is publicly available, and the Company may obtain valuations from a third-party appraisal firm. If the fair value of the reporting unit is greater than its net book value, the assigned goodwill is not considered impaired. If the fair value is less than the reporting unit's net book value, the Company performs a second step to measure the amount of the impairment, if any.

The second step is to compare the book value of the reporting unit's assigned goodwill to the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill, using a theoretical purchase price allocation. If the carrying value of goodwill exceeds the implied fair value, an impairment has occurred and the Company is required to record a write-down of the carrying value and charge the impairment as an operating expense in the period the determination is made. There were no goodwill impairment charges recognized during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014.

Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Identifiable Intangible Assets

Identifiable intangible assets are amortized over their respective estimated useful lives using a method of amortization that reflects the pattern in which the economic benefits of the intangible assets are consumed or otherwise used and are periodically reviewed for impairment. There were no intangible asset impairment charges recognized during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014.

The Company's identifiable intangible assets are amortized as follows:

|                                | Years  | Method        |
|--------------------------------|--------|---------------|
| Acquired technologies          | 3 – 5  | Straight-line |
| Client lists and relationships | 3 – 10 | Various       |
| Trade names and trademarks     | 3      | Straight-line |
| Non-compete agreement          | 3      | Straight-line |

Amortization expense related to identifiable intangible assets is included in depreciation and amortization expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

## Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

In accordance with Property, Plant and Equipment Topic of the FASB ASC, the Company reviews long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. The Company measures recoverability of assets to be held and used by comparing the carrying amount of the assets to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the assets. Recoverability measurement and estimating undiscounted cash flows is performed at the lowest possible level for which there are identifiable cash flows. If the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the future undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by those assets, such assets fail the recoverability test and an impairment charge would be recognized, measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value.

Assets to be disposed of are recorded at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell.

## Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue when the price is fixed or determinable, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, services have been performed, and collectability is reasonably assured. The Company assesses whether the price is fixed or determinable based on the contractual payment terms and whether the sales price is subject to refund or adjustment.

For revenue arrangements that consist of monthly recurring fees for an established amount of transactions, the Company recognizes the monthly fee as services are provided. For transactions in excess of the established amount of transactions, the Company recognizes revenue on a per-transaction basis.

Revenue derived from the real-time and batch delivery of data for marketing analytics is recorded upon delivery of such data to the client. Revenue associated with engagements requiring periodic updates of data over the course of the service period, where cash is received or collectible in advance, are recorded as deferred revenue, and recognized on a straight-line basis over the service period, which is usually twelve months.

For revenue arrangements with separate deliverables, the consideration is allocated based on the relative selling price for each deliverable. The selling price for each contract deliverable can be established based on vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE) or if VSOE is not available, third-party evidence (TPE) is used. An estimate of selling price (ESP) is used if neither VSOE nor TPE is available. VSOE, when determinable, is established based on the Company's pricing for the specific service sold separately. In determining whether VSOE exists, the Company utilizes a bell-shape curve approach. This approach drives the requirement for a substantial majority of actual selling prices for a service to fall within a narrow range of the median pricing.

Client set-up and implementation fees are not considered separate deliverables. These fees are deferred and recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the contract, ranging from one to three years. The Company also receives annual technology fees from certain clients in exchange for access to intellectual property, standard technical support, emergency 24-hour support, and system upgrades on a when-and-if-available basis. These technology fees are not



considered separate deliverables. As a result, technology fees are deferred and recognized on a straight-line basis over the service period, which is usually twelve months.

Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Under its seven contracts with NAPM, the Company provides number portability administration center services. As discussed below under the heading "Revenue Recognition - Significant Contracts," the Company determines the fixed and determinable fee on an annual basis and recognizes such fee on a straight-line basis over twelve months.

The Company generates revenue from its telephone number administration services under two government contracts: North American Numbering Plan Administrator (NANPA) and National Pooling Administrator (NPA). Under its NANPA contract, the Company earns a fixed annual fee and recognizes this fee as revenue on a straight-line basis as services are provided. Under its NPA contract, the Company earns a fixed fee associated with administration of the pooling system. The Company recognizes revenue for this contract on a straight-line basis over the term of the contract.

**Professional Services**

The Company's professional services revenue is comprised of fees for consulting services that support a client's pre- and post- implementation activities, including plan and design, optimization, support and training services. Consulting services may be provided on a stand-alone basis or bundled within a multiple element arrangement. For consulting services provided on a stand-alone basis, revenue is recognized as services are performed. For consulting services bundled within a multiple element arrangement, the services are evaluated for separability by determining if they have stand-alone value to the client. The selling price for the consulting services is established using the VSOE, TPE, ESP hierarchy. For consulting services with no stand-alone value, the contract fee allocated to the consulting services is combined with the consideration from the undelivered elements in the arrangement and recognized as revenue when all other revenue recognition criteria have been met.

**Significant Contracts**

The Company provides number portability administration center (NPAC) services (NPAC Services), which include wireline and wireless number portability, implementation of the allocation of pooled blocks of telephone numbers and network management services in the United States pursuant to seven contracts with NAPM, an industry group that represents all telecommunications service providers in the United States. The aggregate fees for transactions processed under these contracts are determined by an annual fixed-fee pricing model under which the annual fixed fee (Base Fee) was set at \$410.7 million, \$437.4 million and \$465.8 million in 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively, and is subject to an annual price escalator of 6.5% in subsequent years. In the event that the volume of transactions in a given year is above or below the contractually established volume range for that year, the Base Fee may be adjusted up or down, respectively, with any such adjustment being applied against invoices in the following year. The Company determines the fixed and determinable fee under these contracts on an annual basis at the beginning of each year and recognizes this fee on a straight-line basis over twelve months.

The total amount of revenue derived under the Company's contracts with NAPM, which is comprised of fees for NPAC Services, connection service fees related to the Company's NPAC Services and fees for system enhancements, was approximately \$418.2 million, \$446.4 million and \$474.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively.

Fees under the Company's contracts with NAPM are billed to telecommunications service providers based on their allocable share of the total transaction charges. This allocable share is based on each respective telecommunications service provider's share of the aggregate end-user services revenue of all U.S. telecommunications service providers, as determined by the Federal Communications Commission. The Company also bills an RRC fee equal to a percentage of monthly billings to its clients, which is available to the Company if any client under the contracts to provide NPAC Services fails to pay its allocable share of total transactions charges.

**Service Level Standards**

Some of the Company's private commercial contracts require the Company to meet service level standards and impose corresponding penalties on the Company if the Company fails to meet those standards. The Company records a provision for these performance-related penalties in the period in which it becomes aware that it has failed to meet required service levels, triggering the requirement to pay a penalty, which results in a corresponding reduction to

revenue.

**Cost of Revenue and Deferred Costs**

Cost of revenue includes all direct materials costs, direct labor costs, and indirect costs related to the generation of revenue such as indirect labor, outsourced services, materials and supplies, payment processing fees, and general facilities cost. The Company's primary cost of revenue is personnel costs associated with service implementation, product maintenance, client deployment and client care, including salaries, stock-based compensation and other personnel-related expense. In addition, cost of revenue includes costs relating to developing modifications and enhancements of the Company's existing technology and

54

---

Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

services, as well as royalties paid related to U.S. common short code services and registry gateway services. Cost of revenue also includes costs relating to the Company's information technology and systems department, including network costs, data center maintenance, database management, data processing costs and general facilities costs. Deferred costs represent direct labor related to professional services incurred for the setup and implementation of contracts. These costs are recognized in cost of revenue on a straight-line basis over the contract term. Deferred costs also include royalties paid related to the Company's U.S. common short code services, which are recognized in cost of revenue on a straight-line basis over the contract term. Deferred costs are classified as such on the consolidated balance sheets.

**Research and Development**

The Company expenses its research and development costs as they are incurred. Research and development expense consists primarily of personnel costs, including salaries, stock-based compensation and other personnel-related expense, consulting fees, and the costs of facilities, and computer and support services used in service and technology development.

**Advertising**

The Company expenses advertising costs as they are incurred. Advertising expense was approximately \$12.7 million, \$15.4 million and \$17.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively.

**Stock-Based Compensation**

The Company accounts for its stock-based compensation plans under the recognition and measurement provisions of the Compensation — Stock Compensation Topic of the FASB ASC. The Company estimates the value of stock option awards and awards under the Company's employee stock purchase plan using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The fair value of restricted stock units is measured by reference to the closing market price of the Company's common stock price on the date of grant. For stock-based awards subject to graded vesting, the Company has utilized the "straight-line" method for allocating compensation cost by period. The Company presents benefits of tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized (excess tax benefits) as a financing cash inflow with a corresponding operating cash outflow.

**Basic and Diluted Net Income per Common Share**

In accordance with the Earnings Per Share Topic of the FASB ASC, unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities that should be included in the computation of earnings per share under the two-class method. The Company's restricted stock awards are considered to be participating securities because they contain non-forfeitable rights to cash dividends, if declared and paid. In lieu of presenting earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method, the Company has included shares of unvested restricted stock awards in the computation of basic net income per common share as the resulting earnings per share would be the same under both methods.

Basic net income per common share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares and participating securities outstanding during the period. Unvested restricted stock units and performance vested restricted stock units (PVRsUs) are excluded from the computation of basic net income per common share because the underlying shares have not yet been earned by the stockholder and are not participating securities. Shares underlying stock options are also excluded because they are not considered outstanding shares. Diluted net income per common share assumes dilution and is computed based on the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding after consideration of the dilutive effect of stock options, unvested restricted stock units and PVRsUs. The effect of dilutive securities is computed using the treasury stock method and average market prices during the period. Dilutive securities with performance conditions are excluded from the computation until the performance conditions are met.

**Income Taxes**

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with the Income Taxes Topic of the FASB ASC. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on temporary differences between the financial reporting bases

and the tax bases of assets and liabilities. Deferred income tax assets are also recognized for tax net operating loss carryforwards. These deferred income tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when such amounts are expected to be reversed or utilized. Valuation allowances are provided to reduce such deferred income tax assets to amounts more likely than not to be ultimately realized.

Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The income tax provision includes U.S. federal, state, local and foreign income taxes and is based on pre-tax income or loss. In determining the annual effective income tax rate, the Company analyzes various factors, including the Company's annual earnings and taxing jurisdictions in which the earnings will be generated, the impact of state and local income taxes and the ability of the Company to use tax credits and net operating loss carryforwards.

The Company assesses uncertain tax positions in accordance with income tax accounting standards. Under these standards, income tax benefits should be recognized when, based on the technical merits of a tax position, the Company believes that if a dispute arose with the taxing authority and were taken to a court of last resort, it is more likely than not (i.e., a probability of greater than 50 percent) that the tax position would be sustained as filed. If a position is determined to be more likely than not of being sustained, the reporting enterprise should recognize the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the taxing authority. The Company's practice is to recognize interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense.

Foreign Currency

Assets and liabilities of consolidated foreign subsidiaries, whose functional currency is the local currency, are translated to U.S. dollars at fiscal year-end exchange rates. Revenue and expense items are translated to U.S. dollars at the average rates of exchange prevailing during the fiscal year. The adjustment resulting from translating the financial statements of such foreign subsidiaries to U.S. dollars is reflected as a foreign currency translation adjustment and reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss.

Transactions denominated in currencies other than the functional currency are recorded based on exchange rates at the time such transactions arise. Subsequent changes in exchange rates result in transaction gains or losses, which are reflected within interest and other expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income is comprised of net earnings and other comprehensive income (loss), which includes certain changes in equity that are excluded from income. The Company includes unrealized holding gains and losses on available-for-sale securities, if any, and foreign currency translation adjustments in other comprehensive income (loss) in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income. Comprehensive income was approximately \$156.1 million, \$162.7 million and \$162.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). Under this standard, revenue is recognized when promised goods or services are transferred to customers, in an amount that reflects the consideration to which a company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The standard will be effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The standard allows for either full retrospective adoption, meaning the standard is applied to all of the periods presented, or a modified retrospective adoption, meaning the standard is applied only to the most current period presented. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adoption on its consolidated financial statements.

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-08, Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205) and Property, Plant, and Equipment (Topic 360): Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity. The standard raises the threshold for a disposal to qualify as a discontinued operation and requires new disclosures of both discontinued operations and certain other disposals that do not meet the threshold for a discontinued operation. The standard will be applied prospectively and will be effective for disposals that occur within annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. The Company does not currently expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-15, Presentation of Financial Statements—Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40): Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern. The standard requires that management of all entities evaluate whether there are conditions and events that raise substantial doubt

about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the financial statements are issued. Management will be required to make this evaluation for both annual and interim reporting periods and will have to make certain disclosures if it concludes that substantial doubt exists or when its plans alleviate substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern. The standard is effective for interim and annual periods ending after December 15, 2016. The Company does not currently expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### 3. ACQUISITIONS

The application of the acquisition method of accounting for business combinations requires management to make significant estimates and assumptions in the determination of the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in order to properly allocate purchase price consideration. These assumptions and estimates include a market participant's expected use of the asset and the appropriate discount rates from a market participant's perspective. The Company's estimates are based on historical experience and information obtained from the management of the acquired company and are determined with assistance from an independent third-party. The Company's significant assumptions and estimates made in connection with the application of the acquisition method of accounting for business combinations include the cash flows that an acquired asset is expected to generate in the future, the weighted-average cost of capital, long-term projected revenue and growth rates and estimated replacement costs.

#### Aggregate Knowledge, Inc. Acquisition

On October 29, 2013, the Company acquired Aggregate Knowledge, Inc., (Aggregate Knowledge), a leading campaign and predictive analytics platform for advertising agencies and brand marketers. The total preliminary purchase price was \$117.4 million, consisting of cash consideration of \$116.5 million, and non-cash consideration of \$0.9 million attributable to replacement equity awards granted to employees of the acquired company. Of the total preliminary purchase price, the Company recorded \$66.8 million of goodwill and \$31.0 million of definite-lived intangible assets. During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company adjusted its preliminary valuation of its acquired net deferred income tax assets based upon new information pertaining to acquisition date fair values of the acquired company's federal research and development tax credits and net operating losses for pre-acquisition tax periods. As of December 31, 2014, the adjusted goodwill balance related to this acquisition was \$68.9 million, and is not expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2013 has been retrospectively adjusted to include the effect of the measurement period adjustments.

Of the total purchase consideration, a \$17.6 million cash holdback exists to satisfy potential indemnification claims. The portion of this holdback amount not subject to or used in connection with indemnification claims will be disbursed no later than the fourth quarter of 2015.

The Company recorded acquisition-related expense of \$3.6 million attributable to the acceleration of vesting for certain Aggregate Knowledge equity awards as a result of the acquisition. This acquisition-related expense was recorded as stock-based compensation in the Company's 2013 consolidated statement of operations.

Under the terms of this transaction, the Company granted replacement equity awards to the employees of the acquired company with an estimated fair value of \$14.7 million. Of the total \$14.7 million, \$13.8 million will be expensed over a period during which post-combination services are provided to the Company by the award recipients, and \$0.9 million has been included in the purchase price.

The transaction was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting in accordance with the Business Combinations Topic of the FASB ASC and the results of operations have been included in the Company's consolidated statement of operations since the date of acquisition. The definite-lived intangible assets consist of \$28.0 million of acquired technology, \$2.6 million of client relationships, and \$0.4 million of trade names. The Company is amortizing client relationships and acquired technology on a straight-line basis over an estimated useful life of 5 years. Trade names are being amortized on a straight-line basis over an estimated useful life of 3 years. As a result of the acquisition, the Company recorded a net deferred income tax asset of approximately \$15.0 million in its purchase price allocation primarily related to net operating losses on the date of acquisition. During 2013, the Company recorded \$2.1 million of acquisition costs in general and administrative expense related to this transaction.

#### Asset Acquisition

On January 15, 2014, the Company acquired an entity that designs, develops, and maintains software tools and applications that enable North American communications service providers to exchange back-office provisioning information within and between carriers' networks. Total consideration for this purchase included cash consideration of \$14.1 million, of which \$12.1 million was paid at closing and \$2.0 million was retained by the Company as a



reserve fund for satisfaction of potential indemnification claims. The transaction was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting in accordance with the Business Combinations Topic of the FASB ASC and the results of operations have been included in the Company's consolidated statement of operations since the date of the acquisition. Of the total purchase price, the Company recorded \$5.9 million of definite-lived intangible assets and \$7.7 million of goodwill. Goodwill is expected to be deductible for tax

Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

purposes. During 2014, the Company recorded \$0.3 million of acquisition costs in general and administrative expense related to this transaction.

.CO Internet Acquisition

On April 14, 2014, the Company acquired .CO Internet S.A.S (.CO Internet) and certain associated assets. .CO Internet is the exclusive operator of the worldwide registry for Internet addresses with the “.co” top-level domain. This acquisition expanded the Company's registry services, which includes the .biz and .us top-level domains. Total consideration for this purchase, which was subject to certain customary working capital adjustments, included cash consideration of \$113.7 million, of which \$86.7 million was paid at closing and \$27.0 million was deposited into escrow for the satisfaction of potential indemnification claims and certain performance obligations. In addition, the Company may be required to make a contingent payment of up to \$6.0 million prior to or during the first quarter of 2020 in the event that the sellers satisfy certain post-closing performance obligations. The transaction was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting in accordance with Business Combination Topic of the FASB ASC. Of the total preliminary purchase price of \$114.8 million, which reflected initial estimates of .CO Internet's closing date working capital, the Company recorded \$85.1 million of definite-lived intangible assets and \$36.3 million of goodwill. During 2014, the Company adjusted the amounts recorded as preliminary purchase price and goodwill based upon the finalization of the acquired company's working capital as of the closing. As of December 31 2014, the adjusted preliminary purchase price was \$115.1 million and the adjusted goodwill balance recorded in connection with the transaction was \$36.6 million. The allocation of the purchase price is preliminary pending the finalization of the fair value of acquired deferred income tax assets and assumed income and non-income based tax liabilities. The goodwill is expected to be deductible for tax purposes. During 2014, the Company recorded \$2.1 million of acquisition costs in general and administrative expense related to this transaction.

**4. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS**Goodwill

The Company's goodwill as of December 31, 2013 and 2014 is as follows (in thousands):

|                         | December 31,<br>2012 | Acquisitions <sup>(2)</sup> | December 31,<br>2013 <sup>(1)</sup> | Adjustments | Acquisitions <sup>(2)</sup> | December<br>31, 2014 |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| Gross goodwill          | \$ 665,780           | \$ 70,634                   | \$ 736,414                          | \$ 2,476    | \$ 43,981                   | \$ 782,871           |
| Accumulated impairments | (93,602 )            | —                           | (93,602 )                           | —           | —                           | (93,602 )            |
| Net goodwill            | \$ 572,178           | \$ 70,634                   | \$ 642,812                          | \$ 2,476    | \$ 43,981                   | \$ 689,269           |

(1) Balance as originally reported at December 31, 2013, prior to the reflection of measurement period adjustments.

(2) See Note 3 for a discussion of acquisitions.

The Company's 2013 and 2014 annual goodwill impairment analysis was performed as of October 1 in each respective year and did not result in an impairment charge.

As of the date of the Company's 2014 annual impairment test, the estimated fair value for the Company's reporting unit was substantially in excess of the carrying value. The Company believes that the assumptions and estimates used to determine the estimated fair value of its reporting unit are reasonable; however, there are a number of factors, including factors outside of the Company's control, such as stock price volatility, that could cause actual results to differ from the Company's estimates. Changes in estimates and assumptions could have a significant impact on whether or not an impairment charge is recognized and also the magnitude of any such charge.

Any changes to the Company's key assumptions about its business and its prospects, or changes in market conditions, could cause the fair value of its reporting unit to fall below its carrying value, resulting in a potential impairment charge. In addition, changes in the Company's organizational structure or how the Company's management allocates resources and assesses performance could result in a change of its operating segments or reporting units, requiring a reallocation and impairment analysis of goodwill. A goodwill impairment charge could have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements because of the significance of goodwill to its consolidated balance sheet.



Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of the following (in thousands):

|                                     | December 31, |            | Weighted-Average<br>Amortization<br>Period<br>(in years) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------|--|
|                                     | 2013         | 2014       |  |
| Intangible assets:                  |              |            |  |
| Client lists and relationships      | \$323,539    | \$409,638  | 8.3  |
| Accumulated amortization            | (106,254     | ) (151,017 | )  |
| Client lists and relationships, net | 217,285      | 258,621    |  |
| Acquired technology                 | 87,059       | 91,959     | 4.9  |
| Accumulated amortization            | (31,649      | ) (48,248  | )  |
| Acquired technology, net            | 55,410       | 43,711     |  |
| Trade name                          | 8,030        | 8,030      | 3.0  |
| Accumulated amortization            | (5,662       | ) (7,785   | )  |
| Trade name, net                     | 2,368        | 245        |  |
| Non-compete agreement               | 100          | 100        | 3.0  |
| Accumulated amortization            | (22          | ) (55      | )  |
| Non-compete agreement, net          | 78           | 45         |  |
| Intangible assets, net              | \$275,141    | \$302,622  |  |

During the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company acquired Aggregate Knowledge, and recorded \$31.0 million of definite-lived intangible assets, consisting of \$28.0 million of acquired technology, \$2.6 million of client relationships, and \$0.4 million of trade names (see Note 3).

During the first quarter of 2014, the Company acquired an entity that designs, develops, and maintains software tools and applications that enable North American communications service providers to exchange back-office provisioning information within and between carriers' networks and recorded \$5.9 million of definite-lived intangible assets, consisting of \$4.9 million of acquired technology and \$1.0 million of client relationships (see Note 3). In addition, during the second quarter of 2014, the Company acquired .CO Internet and recorded \$85.1 million of definite-lived intangible assets, all of which represented client relationships.

Amortization expense related to intangible assets, which is included in depreciation and amortization expense, was approximately \$50.3 million, \$50.5 million and \$62.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively. Amortization expense related to intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019 and thereafter is expected to be approximately \$62.8 million, \$61.0 million, \$52.4 million, \$49.7 million, \$38.3 million and \$38.4 million, respectively. Intangible assets as of December 31, 2014 will be fully amortized during the year ended December 31, 2024.

Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## 5. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment consists of the following (in thousands):

|   | December 31, |            |
|---|--------------|------------|
|   | 2013         | 2014       |
| Computer hardware                         | \$ 120,401   | \$ 148,974 |
| Equipment                                 | 2,736        | 3,472      |
| Furniture and fixtures                    | 9,730        | 13,973     |
| Leasehold improvements                    | 29,737       | 66,306     |
| Construction in-progress                  | 9,786        | 7,060      |
| Capitalized software                      | 165,367      | 188,927    |
| Building                                  | 4,072        | 4,072      |
| Land                                      | 271          | 271        |
|   | 342,100      | 433,055    |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (217,815     | ) (271,451 |
| Property and equipment, net               | \$ 124,285   | \$ 161,604 |

The Company entered into capital lease obligations of \$3.5 million and \$8.5 million during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2014, respectively, primarily for computer hardware. As of December 31, 2013 and 2014, unamortized capitalized software costs were \$45.2 million and \$39.3 million, respectively. Amortization expense of assets recorded under capital leases is included in depreciation and amortization expense.

Depreciation and amortization expense related to property and equipment for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014 was \$42.7 million, \$49.7 million and \$52.7 million, respectively. Amortization of capitalized software costs for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014 was \$24.1 million, \$29.7 million and \$29.4 million, respectively.

## 6. ACCRUED EXPENSES

Accrued expenses consist of the following (in thousands):

|                      | December 31, |          |
|----------------------|--------------|----------|
|                      | 2013         | 2014     |
| Accrued compensation | \$61,573     | \$58,814 |
| RRC reserve          | 2,153        | 2,496    |
| Accrued interest     | 6,208        | 6,212    |
| Other                | 24,523       | 27,249   |
| Total                | \$94,457     | \$94,771 |

## 7. NOTES PAYABLE

Notes payable consist of the following (in thousands):

|  | December 31, |           |
|--|--------------|-----------|
|  | 2013         | 2014      |
| 2013 Term Facility (net of discount)   | \$316,264    | \$308,290 |
| 2013 Revolving Facility                | —            | 175,000   |
| Senior Notes                           | 300,000      | 300,000   |
| Total                                  | 616,264      | 783,290   |
| Less: current portion, net of discount | (7,972       | ) (7,972  |
| Long-term portion                      | \$608,292    | \$775,318 |

Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## 2011 Credit Facilities

On November 8, 2011, the Company entered into a credit facility that provided for: (1) a \$600 million senior secured term loan facility (2011 Term Facility); (2) a \$100 million senior secured revolving credit facility (2011 Revolving Facility and together with the 2011 Term Facility, the 2011 Credit Facilities), of which (a) \$30 million was available for the issuance of letters of credit and (b) \$25 million was available as a swingline subfacility; and (3) incremental term loan facilities in an aggregate amount of up to \$400 million. The maturity date of the 2011 Revolving Facility was November 8, 2016, and the maturity date of the 2011 Term Facility was November 8, 2018. The entire \$600 million 2011 Term Facility was borrowed on November 8, 2011, and used to fund a portion of an acquisition and to pay costs, fees and expenses incurred in connection with the acquisition. On January 22, 2013, the Company refinanced this credit facility. See 2013 Credit Facilities below.

## Debt Refinancing

On January 22, 2013, the Company entered into a credit facility that provided for a \$325 million senior secured term loan facility (2013 Term Facility) and a \$200 million senior secured revolving credit facility (2013 Revolving Facility, and together with the 2013 Term Facility, the 2013 Credit Facilities). In addition, the Company closed an offering of \$300 million aggregate principal amount of senior notes (Senior Notes). The Company used the proceeds received from the 2013 Term Facility and Senior Notes to repay its outstanding principal borrowings of \$592.5 million under the 2011 Term Facility. The Company used available borrowings under the 2013 Revolving Facility to secure outstanding letters of credit totaling \$7.8 million that were previously secured by the 2011 Revolving Facility. The 2011 Credit Facilities were terminated in connection with this refinancing event.

Certain investors in the 2011 Credit Facilities reinvested in either or both of the 2013 Credit Facilities and Senior Notes and the change in the present value of future cash flows between the investments was less than 10%.

Accordingly, the Company accounted for this refinancing event for these investors as a debt modification. Certain investors in the 2011 Credit Facilities either did not invest in the 2013 Credit Facilities or Senior Notes or the change in the present value of future cash flows between the investments was greater than 10%. Accordingly, the Company accounted for this refinancing event for these investors as a debt extinguishment. In applying debt modification accounting, during the first quarter of 2013, the Company recorded \$25.8 million in loan origination fees and deferred financing costs, of which \$16.9 million related to investors in the 2011 Credit Facilities that reinvested in either or both of the 2013 Credit Facilities and Senior Notes. This amount is being amortized into interest expense over the term of the 2013 Credit Facilities and Senior Notes using the effective interest method. In addition, the Company recorded \$10.9 million in interest and other expense, comprised of \$9.4 million in loss on debt extinguishment and \$1.5 million in debt modification expense, in connection with this refinancing event.

## 2013 Credit Facilities

The 2013 Credit Facilities include: (1) the 2013 Term Facility; (2) the 2013 Revolving Facility, of which (a) \$100 million is available for the issuance of letters of credit and (b) \$25 million is available as a swingline subfacility; and (3) incremental term loan facilities in an amount such that after giving effect to the incurrence of any such incremental loans, either (a) the aggregate amount of incremental loans does not exceed \$400 million or (b) the Consolidated Secured Leverage Ratio (as defined in the 2013 Credit Facilities) on a pro forma basis after giving effect to any such increase would not exceed 2.50 to 1.00. The 2013 Revolving Facility and 2013 Term Facility mature on January 22, 2018. As of December 31, 2014, outstanding borrowings under the 2013 Revolving Facility were \$175.0 million and available borrowings under the same facility were \$8.2 million, exclusive of outstanding letters of credit totaling \$16.8 million.

Future principal payments under the 2013 Term Facility as of December 31, 2014, are as follows (in thousands):

|      |         |
|------|---------|
| 2015 | \$8,125 |
| 2016 | 8,125   |
| 2017 | 8,125   |
| 2018 | 284,375 |

Total future principal payments \$308,750

Principal payments under the 2013 Term Facility of \$2.0 million are due on the last day of each fiscal quarter beginning on March 31, 2013 and ending on December 31, 2017. The remaining 2013 Term Facility principal balance of \$284.4 million is due in full on January 22, 2018, subject to early mandatory prepayments. The outstanding borrowings under the 2013 Revolving Facility of \$175.0 million are due in full on January 23, 2018.

61

---

Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The loans outstanding under the 2013 Credit Facilities (Loans) bear interest, at the Company's option, either: (1) at the base rate, which is defined as the highest of (a) the federal funds rate plus 0.50%, (b) the interest rate published by the Wall Street Journal from time to time as the "U.S. Prime Rate" and (c) the adjusted LIBOR rate for a one-month interest period beginning on such day plus 1.00%; or (2) at the LIBOR rate plus, in each case, an applicable margin. The applicable margin is (1) if the Consolidated Leverage Ratio is less than 2.00:1.00, 0.50% per annum for borrowings based on the base rate and 1.50% per annum for borrowings based on the LIBOR rate, or (2) if the Consolidated Leverage Ratio is 2.00:1.00 or greater, 0.75% per annum for borrowings based on the base rate and 1.75% per annum borrowings based on the LIBOR rate. The accrued interest under the 2013 Term Facility is payable quarterly beginning on March 31, 2013.

The Company may voluntarily prepay the Loans at any time in minimum amounts of \$1 million or an integral multiple of \$500,000 in excess thereof. The 2013 Credit Facilities provide for mandatory prepayments with the net cash proceeds of certain debt issuances, insurance receipts, and dispositions. The 2013 Term Facility also contains certain events of default, upon the occurrence of which, and so long as such event of default is continuing, the amounts outstanding may, at the option of the required lenders, accrue interest at an increased rate and payments of such outstanding amounts could be accelerated, or other remedies undertaken.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2014, deferred financing costs and loan origination fees related to the 2013 Credit Facilities were \$8.4 million and \$6.3 million, respectively. Total amortization expense of the deferred financing costs and loan origination fees was \$2.0 million and \$2.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2014, and is reported as interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

**Senior Notes**

On January 22, 2013, the Company closed an offering of \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 4.50% senior notes due 2023 to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to Rule 144A, and outside of the United States pursuant to Regulation S, under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Senior Notes were issued pursuant to an indenture, dated as of January 22, 2013, among the Company, certain of its domestic subsidiaries, or the Subsidiary Guarantors, and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee, or the Indenture. The Senior Notes are the general unsecured senior obligations of the Company and are guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by the Subsidiary Guarantors. In the third quarter of 2013, the Company conducted an exchange offer pursuant to which it exchanged the Senior Notes for new notes guaranteed by the Subsidiary Guarantors, with terms substantially identical in all material respects to those of the original Senior Notes, except that the new notes are not subject to restrictions on transfer or to any increase in annual interest rate.

Interest is payable on the Senior Notes semi-annually in arrears at an annual rate of 4.50%, on January 15 and July 15 of each year, beginning on July 15, 2013. The Senior Notes will mature on January 15, 2023. Interest accrues from January 22, 2013. As of December 31, 2013 and 2014, accrued interest under the Senior Notes was \$6.2 million and \$6.2 million, respectively. At December 31, 2014, the estimated fair value of the Senior Notes was \$255.8 million and was determined using a secondary market price on the last trading day in each period as quoted by Bloomberg (Level 2 inputs).

At any time and from time to time prior to July 15, 2016, the Company may redeem up to a maximum of 35% of the original aggregate principal amount of the Senior Notes with the proceeds of certain equity offerings, at a redemption price equal to 104.50% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon, if any, to the redemption date (subject to the right of holders of record on the relevant record date to receive interest due on the relevant interest payment date); provided that: (1) at least 65% of the original aggregate principal amount of the Senior Notes remains outstanding; and (2) the redemption occurs within 90 days of the completion of such equity offering upon not less than 30 nor more than 60 days prior notice.

After July 15, 2016, and prior to January 15, 2018, the Company may redeem some or all of the Senior Notes by paying a "make-whole" premium based on U.S. Treasury rates. During the 12-month period commencing on January 15 of the relevant year listed below, the Company may redeem some or all of the Senior Notes at the prices listed below,



plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but not including, the redemption date (subject to the right of holders of record on the relevant record date to receive interest due on the relevant interest payment date): 2018 at a redemption price of 102.25%; 2019 at a redemption price of 101.50%; 2020 at a redemption price of 100.75%; and 2021 and thereafter at a redemption price of 100.00%. If the Company experiences certain changes of control together with a ratings downgrade, it will be required to offer to purchase all of the Senior Notes then outstanding at a purchase price equal to 101.00% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of purchase. If the Company sells certain assets and does not repay certain debt or reinvest the proceeds of such sales within certain time periods, it will be required to offer to repurchase the Senior Notes with such proceeds at 100.00% of their principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of purchase.

Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Senior Notes contain customary events of default, including among other things, payment default, failure to provide certain notices and defaults related to bankruptcy events. The Senior Notes also contain customary negative covenants.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2014, deferred financing costs related to the Senior Notes were \$14.3 million and \$13.0 million, respectively. Total amortization expense of the deferred financing costs was \$1.2 million and \$1.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2014, and is reported as interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

**8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES****Capital Leases**

The following is a schedule of future minimum lease payments due under capital lease obligations as of December 31, 2014 (in thousands):

|   |         |   |
|---|---------|---|
| 2015                                    | \$4,050 |   |
| 2016                                    | 4,184   |   |
| 2017                                    | 1,619   |   |
| Total minimum lease payments            | 9,853   |   |
| Less: amounts representing interest     | (572)   | ) |
| Present value of minimum lease payments | 9,281   |   |
| Less: current portion                   | (3,702) | ) |
| Capital lease obligation, long-term     | \$5,579 |   |

The following assets are capitalized under capital leases at the end of each period presented (in thousands):

|                                 | December 31, |           |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
|                                 | 2013         | 2014      |
| Equipment and hardware          | \$36,515     | \$44,350  |
| Furniture and fixtures          | 334          | 1,005     |
| Subtotal                        | 36,849       | 45,355    |
| Less: accumulated amortization  | (32,423      | ) (35,192 |
| Net assets under capital leases | \$4,426      | \$10,163  |

**Operating Leases**

The Company leases office space under noncancelable operating lease agreements. The leases terminate at various dates through 2023 and generally provide for scheduled rent increases.

The Company leases 91,574 square feet of office space for its corporate headquarters in Sterling, Virginia from a third party. The initial term of the lease commenced on October 1, 2010 and terminates January 31, 2021. The Company has two five-year options to renew the lease, and the rent for the applicable renewal term will be determined if and when the Company exercises its applicable option to renew the lease. The Company recognizes rent incentives and leasehold improvements funded by landlord incentives on a straight-line basis, as a reduction of rent expense, over the initial term of the lease.

Future minimum lease payments under noncancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2014, are as follows (in thousands):

|            |           |
|------------|-----------|
| 2015       | \$16,000  |
| 2016       | 17,393    |
| 2017       | 18,624    |
| 2018       | 18,464    |
| 2019       | 18,198    |
| Thereafter | 54,568    |
|            | \$143,247 |



Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Future minimum sublease receipts under noncancelable operating leases for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018 are expected to be approximately \$7.5 million, \$6.3 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.4 million, respectively.

Rent expense was \$12.8 million, \$12.6 million, and \$14.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively.

## Contingencies

On July 15, 2014, the Oklahoma Firefighters Pension and Retirement System, or OFPRS, individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated stockholders, filed a putative class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division, or the Alexandria Division, against the Company and certain of its senior executive officers. The OFPRS complaint asserted claims for purported violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and SEC Rule 10b-5 on behalf of those who purchased the Company's securities between April 19, 2013 and June 6, 2014, inclusive, and sought unspecified compensatory damages, costs and expenses, including attorneys' and experts' fees, and injunctive relief.

On October 7, 2014, the Alexandria Division issued an order appointing lead counsel and designating The Indiana Public Retirement System, or IPRS, as lead plaintiff. On November 6, 2014, the IPRS filed an amended complaint and on December 8, 2014, the Company moved to dismiss IPRS's amended complaint. On December 22, 2014, IPRS filed its opposition to the Company's motion to dismiss. On December 29, 2014, the Company filed a reply brief to the IPRS opposition. The Alexandria Division heard oral arguments on the motions on January 22, 2015 and on January 27, 2015, and issued an order granting the Company's motion to dismiss IPRS's amended complaint with prejudice. IPRS has the right to appeal the dismissal.

## 9. RESTRUCTURING CHARGES

## 2011 Restructuring Plan

In the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company initiated a domestic work-force reduction. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company incurred severance and severance-related charges of approximately \$0.5 million under this plan. The Company incurred insignificant charges in 2013 under this plan and no further severance and severance-related payments are expected.

## 2014 Restructuring Plan

In the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company aligned its teams into a functional organization. This initiative continued into 2014 with the alignment of the sales and marketing teams into key industry verticals to serve the Company's clients more effectively. Under this plan, the Company recorded restructuring charges of \$6.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. As of December 31, 2014 the plan was complete and no further charges are expected.

## Summary of Accrued Restructuring Plans

Accrued restructuring costs are recorded in other current liabilities presented in the Company's consolidated balance sheets. The additions and adjustments to the accrued restructuring liability related to the Company's restructuring plans as described above for the year ended December 31, 2014 are as follows (in thousands):

|                                       | December 31,<br>2013 | Additional<br>Costs | Cash<br>Payments | December 31,<br>2014 |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 2014 Restructuring Plan:              |                      |                     |                  |                      |
| Severance and severance-related costs | \$—                  | \$6,319             | \$(5,945)        | ) \$374              |
| Lease and facility exit costs         | —                    | 202                 | (66)             | ) 136                |
| Total                                 | \$—                  | \$6,521             | \$(6,011)        | ) \$510              |

Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## 10. INTEREST AND OTHER EXPENSE

Interest and other expense consists of the following (in thousands):

|  | Year Ended December 31, |          |          |   |
|--|-------------------------|----------|----------|---|
|  | 2012                    | 2013     | 2014     |   |
| Interest and other expense:                  |                         |          |          |   |
| Interest expense                             | \$34,200                | \$23,907 | \$24,864 |   |
| Loss on debt modification and extinguishment | —                       | 10,886   | —        |   |
| Loss (gain) on asset disposals               | 22                      | (236     | ) 1,302  |   |
| Foreign currency transaction (gain) loss     | (67                     | ) (179   | ) 104    |   |
| Other  | —                       | 149      | (52      | ) |
| Total interest and other expense             | \$34,155                | \$34,527 | \$26,218 |   |

In 2011, the Company paid \$10.0 million of loan origination fees related to its 2011 Credit Facilities and recorded \$19.4 million in deferred financing costs. Total amortization expense of the loan origination fees and deferred financing costs was approximately \$4.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 and is reported as interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations. As of December 31, 2012, the balance of unamortized loan origination fees and deferred financing costs was \$24.8 million. For discussion of the loss on debt modification and extinguishment, see Note 7.

## 11. INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes, continuing operations, consists of the following components (in thousands):

|                                  | Year Ended December 31, |           |           |   |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|---|
|                                  | 2012                    | 2013      | 2014      |   |
| Current:                         |                         |           |           |   |
| Federal                          | \$76,563                | \$92,546  | \$89,958  |   |
| State                            | 16,408                  | 17,249    | 16,559    |   |
| Total current                    | 92,971                  | 109,795   | 106,517   |   |
| Deferred:                        |                         |           |           |   |
| Federal                          | (4,733                  | ) (13,812 | ) (29,015 | ) |
| State                            | (1,225                  | ) (3,611  | ) (5,653  | ) |
| Total deferred                   | (5,958                  | ) (17,423 | ) (34,668 | ) |
| Total provision for income taxes | \$87,013                | \$92,372  | \$71,849  |   |

A reconciliation of the statutory United States income tax rate to the effective income tax rate for continuing operations follows:

|  | Year Ended December 31, |        |        |   |
|--|-------------------------|--------|--------|---|
|  | 2012                    | 2013   | 2014   |   |
| Statutory federal tax rate               | 35.0                    | % 35.0 | % 35.0 | % |
| State taxes (net of federal benefit)     | 4.3                     | 4.0    | 3.8    |   |
| Domestic production activities deduction | (3.4                    | ) (1.2 | ) (6.5 | ) |
| Other                                    | (0.1                    | ) (1.6 | ) (1.4 | ) |
| Change in valuation allowance            | —                       | —      | (0.4   | ) |
| Effective tax rate                       | 35.8                    | % 36.2 | % 30.5 | % |

During 2014, the Company recorded \$12.2 million of discrete items primarily associated with a change in estimate of its domestic production activities deduction for the years 2009 through 2013.

The Company realized certain tax benefits related to nonqualified and incentive stock option exercises in the amounts of \$9.0 million, \$7.9 million and \$1.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively.

Deferred



Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's net deferred income taxes are as follows (in thousands):

|                                       | December 31, |             |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
|                                       | 2013         | 2014        |
| Deferred income tax assets:           |              |             |
| Domestic NOL carryforwards            | \$31,048     | \$24,230    |
| Foreign NOL carryforwards             | 1,636        | 4,052       |
| Deferred revenue                      | 3,942        | 4,993       |
| Accrued compensation                  | 4,953        | 6,063       |
| Stock-based compensation expense      | 25,438       | 40,418      |
| Realized losses on investments        | 712          | —           |
| Deferred rent                         | 5,193        | 11,423      |
| Other                                 | 6,322        | 5,247       |
| Total deferred income tax assets      | 79,244       | 96,426      |
| Valuation allowance                   | (3,397       | ) (4,584    |
| Total deferred income tax assets, net | 75,847       | 91,842      |
| Deferred income tax liabilities:      |              |             |
| Unbilled receivables                  | (4,244       | ) (5,108    |
| Depreciation and amortization         | (46,374      | ) (48,543   |
| Identifiable intangible assets        | (92,607      | ) (70,471   |
| Deferred costs                        | (2,690       | ) (3,335    |
| Other                                 | (5,232       | ) (4,671    |
| Total deferred income tax liabilities | (151,147     | ) (132,128  |
| Net deferred income tax liabilities   | \$(75,300    | ) \$(40,286 |

As of December 31, 2014, the Company had U.S. net operating loss carryforwards for federal tax purposes of approximately \$61.1 million which expire, if unused, in various years from 2020 to 2033. As of December 31, 2014, the Company had \$17.6 million of net operating losses that are ultimately available for carryforward indefinitely under U.K. tax law and the Company has a full valuation allowance against its deferred tax asset associated with its U.K. net operating loss carryforwards. As of December 31, 2014, the Company had other foreign net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$2.8 million, of which \$2.0 million can be carried forward indefinitely under current local tax laws and \$0.8 million which expire, if unused, in years beginning 2016.

As of December 31, 2014, the amount of earnings from foreign subsidiaries that the Company considers indefinitely reinvested and for which deferred taxes have not been provided was approximately \$2.3 million. It is not practicable to determine the income tax liability that would be payable if such earnings were not indefinitely reinvested.

Table of Contents

NEUSTAR, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

As of December 31, 2013 and 2014, the Company had unrecognized tax benefits of \$6.8 million and \$13.6 million, respectively, of which \$6.4 million and \$12.8 million, respectively, would affect the Company's effective tax rate if recognized. The net increase in the liability for unrecognized income tax benefits is as follows (in thousands):

|  |         |   |
|--|---------|---|
| Balance at January 1, 2012                         | \$1,566 |   |
| Increase related to current year tax positions     | 802     |   |
| Increase related to prior year tax positions       | 2,739   |   |
| Positions assumed in TARGUSinfo acquisition        | 147     |   |
| Reductions due to lapse in statutes of limitations | (545    | ) |
| Settlements  | (306    | ) |
| Balance at December 31, 2012                       | 4,403   |   |
| Increase related to current year tax positions     | 1,748   |   |
| Increase related to prior year tax positions       | 766     |   |
| Reductions due to lapse in statutes of limitations | (100    | ) |
| Settlements  | —       |   |
| Balance at December 31, 2013                       | 6,817   |   |
| Increase related to current year tax positions     | 3,116   |   |
| Increase related to prior year tax positions       | 4,939   |   |
| Reductions due to lapse in statutes of limitations |         |   |