

UNIVERSAL TECHNICAL INSTITUTE INC  
Form DEF 14A  
January 05, 2015

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the  
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240-14a-12

UNIVERSAL TECHNICAL INSTITUTE, INC.

---

(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

N/A

---

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

---

“ Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:  
\_\_\_\_\_
  - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:  
\_\_\_\_\_
  - (3) Filing Party:  
\_\_\_\_\_
  - (4) Date Filed:  
\_\_\_\_\_
-

UNIVERSAL TECHNICAL INSTITUTE, INC.

16220 North Scottsdale Road

Suite 100

Scottsdale, Arizona 85254

(623) 445-9500

Dear Fellow Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Universal Technical Institute, Inc. (the "Company," "UTI," "we," "us" or "our"), to be held at 8:00 a.m. local time on Wednesday, February 18, 2015, at our office located at 16220 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 100, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254.

We have attached a notice of meeting and a proxy statement that contain details of the business to be conducted at the Annual Meeting.

Whether or not you attend the Annual Meeting, it is important that your shares be represented and voted at the meeting. Therefore, I urge you to promptly vote and submit your proxy before the meeting so that your shares will be represented and voted at the meeting even if you cannot attend in person.

On behalf of the Board of Directors, I would like to express our appreciation for your continued interest in the affairs of UTI. We look forward to seeing you at the Annual Meeting.

Sincerely,

/s/ Kimberly J. McWaters

Kimberly J. McWaters

Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer

January 5, 2015

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials</u>	i
<u>Annual Meeting of Stockholders</u>	1
<u>General Information</u>	1
<u>Voting Information</u>	1
<u>Proposal 1 Election of Directors</u>	3
<u>Corporate Governance and Related Matters</u>	7
<u>Board Meetings</u>	9
<u>Board Committees and Charters</u>	9
<u>Director Qualifications and Review of Director Nominees</u>	11
<u>Board Attendance at Annual Stockholder Meetings</u>	13
<u>Communication with the Board of Directors</u>	13
<u>Code of Conduct; Corporate Governance Guidelines</u>	13
<u>Compensation of Non-Management Directors</u>	13
<u>Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	16
<u>Fees Paid to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP</u>	16
<u>Audit Committee Pre-Approval Procedures for Services Provided by the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	17
<u>Audit Committee Report for the Year Ended September 30, 2014</u>	18
<u>Equity Compensation Plan Information</u>	19
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	20
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	36
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	48
<u>Other Matters</u>	48
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	48
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	51
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	51
<u>Policy Regarding Transactions with Related Persons</u>	51
<u>Registration Rights Agreement</u>	51
<u>Transactions with Management and Others</u>	52
<u>Submission of Stockholder Proposals</u>	53
<u>Annual Report</u>	53
<u>No Incorporation by Reference</u>	53
<u>Delivery of Documents to Security Holders</u>	53

---

UNIVERSAL TECHNICAL INSTITUTE, INC.  
16220 North Scottsdale Road  
Suite 100  
Scottsdale, Arizona 85254  
(623) 445-9500

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS  
AND

NOTICE OF INTERNET AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS

To the holders of common stock of Universal Technical Institute, Inc.:

The 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Universal Technical Institute, Inc. (the "Company") will be held at our offices located at 16220 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 100, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254 on Wednesday, February 18, 2015 at 8:00 a.m. local time for the following purposes:

1. To elect four directors to the Board of Directors to serve for a term of three years or until their respective successors are elected and qualified.
2. To consider and act upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on December 26, 2014 are entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the meeting. A list of stockholders entitled to vote will be available for examination at the meeting by any stockholder for any purpose germane to the meeting. The list will also be available for the same purpose for ten days prior to the meeting at our principal executive offices at 16220 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 100, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254.

To obtain directions to attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person, please call Investor Relations at (623) 445-9500.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to Be Held on February 18, 2015. The Proxy Statement and UTI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year 2014 are available electronically at <http://www.envisionreports.com/uti>.

**WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING, YOU ARE REQUESTED TO SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE PROXY AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE. YOUR PROXY IS BEING SOLICITED BY THE COMPANY'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS.**

By Order of the Board of Directors,

/s/ Chad A. Freed  
Chad A. Freed  
Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

Scottsdale, Arizona  
January 5, 2015

---

UNIVERSAL TECHNICAL INSTITUTE, INC.  
16220 North Scottsdale Road  
Suite 100  
Scottsdale, Arizona 85254  
(623) 445-9500

PROXY STATEMENT  
ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

February 18, 2015

General Information

This Proxy Statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors (the “Board”) of Universal Technical Institute, Inc. (the “Company,” “UTI,” “we,” “us” or “our”), of proxies for use in voting at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the “Annual Meeting”) to be held at 8:00 a.m. local time on February 18, 2015 at our offices located at 16220 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 100, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254, and any adjournment or postponement thereof. On or about January 8, 2015, proxy materials for the Annual Meeting, including this Proxy Statement and the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014 (the “2014 Annual Report”), are being made available to stockholders entitled to vote at the annual meeting. The date of this Proxy Statement is January 5, 2015.

In accordance with rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”), except for stockholders who have requested otherwise, we have generally mailed to our stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the “Notice of Internet Availability”). The Notice of Internet Availability provides instructions either for accessing our proxy materials, including this Proxy Statement and the 2014 Annual Report, at the website address referred to in the Notice of Internet Availability, or for requesting printed copies of the proxy materials by mail or electronically by e-mail. If a stockholder would like to receive a paper or e-mail copy of our proxy materials either for this Annual Meeting or for all future meetings, such stockholder should follow the instructions for requesting such materials included in the Notice of Internet Availability we mailed to our stockholders.

We will bear the cost of soliciting proxies. Copies of solicitation material may be furnished to brokers, custodians, nominees and other fiduciaries for forwarding to beneficial owners of shares of common stock, and normal handling charges may be paid for such forwarding service. We may solicit proxies by mail or by personal interview, telephone and other electronic communication by our officers and other management employees, who will receive no additional compensation for their services.

Any stockholder giving a proxy pursuant to this solicitation may revoke it at any time prior to exercise of the proxy by giving written notice of such revocation to our Secretary at our executive offices at 16220 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 100, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254, or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person.

At the close of business on December 26, 2014, there were 25,230,642 shares of our common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. Only common stockholders of record on December 26, 2014 will be entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. Each share is entitled to one vote on each matter voted upon. Votes may not be cumulated.

Voting Information

The presence, in person or by a proxy relating to any matter to be acted upon at the Annual Meeting, of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of common stock will constitute a quorum for purposes of the

Annual Meeting. For purposes of the quorum requirement and the discussion below regarding the vote necessary to take stockholder action, stockholders of record who are present at the Annual Meeting in person or by proxy and who abstain are considered stockholders who are present and entitled to vote and they count toward the quorum.

Voting without attending the Annual Meeting can be done in the following ways:

Internet. A proxy can be submitted over the Internet to vote shares at the Annual Meeting by following the instructions provided either in the Notice of Internet Availability or on the proxy card or voting instruction form if a printed set of proxy materials were requested and received.

Telephone. If a printed set of proxy materials were requested and received, a proxy can be submitted over the telephone to vote shares at the Annual Meeting by following the instructions provided on the proxy card or voting instruction form enclosed with the proxy materials received. If only a Notice of Internet Availability was received, a proxy can be submitted over the telephone to vote shares by following the instructions at the Internet website address referred to in the Notice of Internet Availability.

Mail. If a printed set of proxy materials were requested and received, a proxy can be submitted by mail to vote shares at the Annual Meeting by completing, signing and returning the proxy card or voting instruction form enclosed with the proxy materials received.

Submissions of proxy via telephone or internet must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on February 17, 2015 in order for the shares to be voted at the Annual Meeting. However, a stockholder of record who received a printed copy of the proxy materials may instead mark, sign, date and return the proxy card so that it is received by the Company before the polls close at the Annual Meeting in order for shares to be voted at the Annual Meeting. Stockholders of shares held in street name must comply with the deadlines included in the voting instructions provided by the bank, broker or other nominee that holds the shares.

Brokers, banks or other nominees that hold shares of common stock in "street name" for a beneficial owner of those shares typically have the authority to vote in their discretion if permitted by the stock exchange or other organization of which they are members. Brokers, banks and other nominees are permitted to vote the beneficial owner's proxy in their own discretion as to certain "routine" proposals when they have not received instructions from the beneficial owners. If a broker, bank or other nominee votes such "uninstructed" shares for or against a "routine" proposal, those shares will be counted towards determining whether or not a quorum is present and are considered entitled to vote on the "routine" proposals. However, where a proposal is not "routine," a broker, bank or other nominee is not permitted to exercise its voting discretion on that proposal without specific instructions from the beneficial owner. These non-voted shares are referred to as "broker non-votes" when the nominee has voted on other non-routine matters with authorization or voted on routine matters. These shares will be counted towards determining whether or not a quorum is present, but will not be considered entitled to vote on the "non-routine" proposals.

Broker non-votes will not affect the outcome of any matter being voted on at the meeting, assuming that a quorum is obtained. Abstentions, on the other hand, have the same effect as votes against the matter, although abstentions will have no effect on the election of directors because approval of a percentage of shares present or outstanding is not required for that proposal.

Election of Directors. Our Bylaws provide that in a non-contested election, each director nominee must be elected by the affirmative vote of the majority of the votes cast with respect to that director's election. A "majority of the votes cast" means that the number of votes "FOR" a director nominee must exceed the number of votes "AGAINST" that director nominee. Accordingly, abstentions will have no effect on the election of a director. Pursuant to our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board of Directors expects any director nominee who is an incumbent director and is not re-elected to promptly tender his or her resignation, and the Board of Directors, excluding the director who tenders his or her resignation, must promptly decide whether to accept or reject the resignation. Uninstructed shares are not entitled to vote on the election of directors.

Any stockholder entitled to vote on any matter may vote part of such stockholder's shares in favor of the proposal and refrain from voting the remaining shares or, except with respect to the election of directors, may vote the remaining shares against the proposal; but if the stockholder fails to specify the number of shares which the stockholder is voting affirmatively or otherwise indicates how the number of shares to be voted affirmatively is to be determined, it will be conclusively presumed that the stockholder's approving vote is with respect to all shares which the stockholder is entitled to vote.

If any other matters are properly presented at the Annual Meeting for consideration, including, among other things, consideration of a motion to adjourn the meeting to another time or place, the individuals named as proxies and acting thereunder will have discretion to vote on those matters according to their best judgment to the same extent as the person delivering the proxy would be entitled to vote. If the Annual Meeting is postponed or adjourned, a stockholder's proxy will remain valid and may be voted at the postponed or adjourned meeting. A stockholder still will be able to revoke the stockholder's proxy until it is voted. As of the date of this Proxy Statement, the Board of Directors did not know of any matters other than those described in this Proxy Statement to be presented at the Annual Meeting. Proxies properly executed and received by the Company prior to the Annual Meeting and not revoked will be voted as directed therein on all matters presented at the Annual Meeting. If you submit a proxy or voting instruction form by Internet, telephone or mail without giving specific voting instructions on one or more matters listed in the notice for the meeting, your shares will be voted as recommended by our Board on such matters, and as the proxyholders may determine in their discretion with respect to any other matters properly presented for a vote at the meeting.

#### PROPOSAL 1

##### ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

**Board of Directors Structure.** Our Board of Directors currently has ten members, the majority of whom are independent directors. The Board of Directors is divided into three classes. Directors in each class serve three-year terms. At each annual meeting, the term of one class expires. Currently, Messrs. Blaszkiewicz and Conrad and Ms. McWaters serve as Class I Directors, Messrs. Lennox, Penske and White and Ms. Srere serve as Class II Directors and Messrs. Cabito, Paige and Trammell serve as Class III Directors.

**Nominees for Election at this Annual Meeting.** The Board of Directors, acting on the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, has nominated Lieutenant General William J. Lennox, Jr. (USA Ret.), Roger S. Penske, John C. White and Linda J. Srere for re-election as Class II Directors, each to serve a three-year term ending in 2018, or until the director's successor is duly elected. It is intended that the votes represented by the proxies at the Annual Meeting will be cast for the election of Messrs. Lennox, Penske and White and Ms. Srere as directors.

The following table and text presents information as of the date of this Proxy Statement concerning the nominees for election as directors, including in each case their current membership on committees of the Board of Directors, year first elected a director and principal occupations or affiliations during the last five years, other directorships currently held or held during the past five years and the experiences, qualifications, attributes or skills that each nominee and director brings to our Board of Directors. We believe that each of the director nominees possesses an ability, as demonstrated by recognized success in his or her field, to make meaningful contributions to the Board's oversight of the business and affairs of the Company.



## Director Nominees

Name/Title	Age	Board Committees	Elected to UTI Board
LTG (R) William J. Lennox, Jr.	65	Compensation Committee; Government Affairs and Public Policy Committee	2014
Roger S. Penske	77	Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee; Government Affairs and Public Policy Committee	2002
John C. White	66	None	1997
Linda J. Srere	59	Compensation Committee; Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee	2005

LTG (R) William J. Lennox, Jr. Lieutenant General William J. Lennox, Jr. (USA Ret.) has served as a director on our Board of Directors since January 2014. Mr. Lennox has served as Chief Executive Officer of Lennox Strategies, LLC, a consulting company and personal business venture, since 2012. From 2006 to 2012, Mr. Lennox served as Senior Vice President, Washington, D.C., for Goodrich Corporation, a Fortune 500 aerospace firm. Prior to his position at Goodrich Corporation, Mr. Lennox served approximately 35 years in the United States Army, culminating as Superintendent of the United States Military Academy at West Point. Mr. Lennox currently serves on the Board of Princeton Power Systems, a privately held manufacturer of advanced power conversion products and alternative energy systems. Additionally, Mr. Lennox is a member of the Board of Trustees for Saint Leo University. Mr. Lennox received a bachelor's degree in international affairs from the United States Military Academy at West Point, as well as a master's degree and Ph.D. in literature from Princeton University. Mr. Lennox's exceptional military career and his expertise in developing and managing strategic relationships between the private sector and government entities brings a unique set of experiences and capabilities to the Board.

Roger S. Penske Roger S. Penske has served as a director on our Board of Directors since 2002. Mr. Penske has served as Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of Penske Automotive Group, Inc., a publicly-traded automotive retailer, since 1999. Mr. Penske has also been Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of Penske Corporation since 1969. Mr. Penske also serves as a director of Business Leaders for Michigan and vice chairman of Downtown Detroit Partnership. Mr. Penske has also served as a director of General Electric Company and Internet Brands, Inc. and as a trustee of the Detroit Medical Center during the last five years. Mr. Penske has executive management experience in the automotive industry and experience as a public company director. Mr. Penske brings to the Board of Directors high-level connections to various automotive companies and extensive experience in and understanding of the automotive retail industry.

John C. White

John C. White has served as a director on our Board of Directors since 1997. Mr. White served as Chairman of our Board of Directors from October 2005 until December 2013. From October 2003 to September 2005, Mr. White served as our Chief Strategic Planning Officer and Vice Chairman. From April 2002 to September 2003, Mr. White served as our Chief Strategic Planning Officer and Co-Chairman of our Board of Directors. From 1997 to March 2002, Mr. White served as our Chief Strategic Planning Officer and Chairman of our Board of Directors. Mr. White served as the President of Clinton Harley Corporation (which operated under the name Motorcycle Mechanics Institute and Marine Mechanics Institute) from 1977 until it was acquired by UTI in 1998. Prior to 1977, Mr. White was a marketing representative with International Business Machines Corporation. Mr. White was appointed by the Arizona Senate to serve as a member of the Joint Legislative Committee on Private Regionally Accredited Degree Granting Colleges and Universities and Private Nationally Accredited Degree Granting and Vocational Institutions in 1990. He was appointed by the Governor of Arizona to the Arizona State Board for Private Post-secondary Education, where he was a member and Complaint Committee Chairman from 1993-2001. Mr. White received a BS in Engineering from the University of Illinois. Mr. White has experience in the post-secondary technical education services industry and has experience involving accreditation issues. Mr. White has assisted with our strategic planning, both as a director and as an employee.

Linda J. Srere

Linda J. Srere has served as a director on our Board of Directors since 2005. Ms. Srere is a marketing and advertising consultant. From January 2000 to November 2001, she served as President of Young & Rubicam Advertising, a worldwide advertising network. From September 1998 to January 2000, Ms. Srere served as Vice Chairman and Chief Client Officer of Young & Rubicam Inc. ("Y&R"). From January 1997 to September 1998, she served as President and CEO of Y&R's New York office. Ms. Srere joined Y&R in September 1994 as Executive Vice President and Director of Business Development. Ms. Srere served as the Chairman of advertising agency Earle Palmer Brown New York from 1992 to 1994, and served as President of advertising agency Rosenfeld, Sirowitz, Humphrey & Strauss from 1990 to 1992. For 11 years, until July 2012, Ms. Srere was a director of Electronic Arts Inc. During her tenure, she served on its compensation and its nominating and governance committees. Ms. Srere also served as a director of aQuantive, Inc., which was sold to Microsoft in 2007. She currently sits on the Investor and Executive Council of DCubed Group, a private market investment firm. Ms. Srere brings to the Board of Directors marketing, strategic and business leadership skills from her career in marketing and advertising.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU  
VOTE "FOR" EACH OF THESE NOMINEES**

Continuing Directors. The terms of Messrs. Cabito, Paige and Trammell are scheduled to end in February 2016, and the terms of Messrs. Blaszkiewicz and Conrad and Ms. McWaters are scheduled to end in February 2017. David A. Blaszkiewicz, age 46, has served as a director on our Board of Directors since December 2011. Mr. Blaszkiewicz has served as the Chief Executive Officer of Invest Detroit, a leading economic development organization, and its predecessor companies since November 2014 and as President of Invest Detroit since 2001. Mr. Blaszkiewicz served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Downtown Detroit Partnership, Inc., a private/public

partnership of corporate and civic leaders engaged in key community revitalization efforts, from February 2011 to June 2014. In addition, Mr. Blaszkiewicz currently serves on the board of a number of non-profit organizations, including the national New Markets Tax Credit Coalition, Detroit's Downtown Development Authority, M-1 RAIL, New Detroit, Detroit Economic Growth Corporation and Detroit Community Loan Fund.

Mr. Blaszkievicz also served as Director of Finance and Secretary/Treasurer of Detroit Renaissance, Inc., an organization of CEOs now known as Business Leaders for Michigan, from 1994 through 2001. Mr. Blaszkievicz received a BS in Business from Wayne State University and received his MBA in 1998 from Michigan State University. Mr. Blaszkievicz brings to the Board significant financial, commercial real estate and development expertise as well as municipal and private investment experience.

Alan E. Cabito, age 66, has served as a director on our Board of Directors since 2008. Mr. Cabito began his career with Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc. in 1971. Over the course of his 36-year tenure at Toyota, Mr. Cabito served in a variety of functional areas, including sales, marketing, research, pricing, distribution, logistics, production control and dealer market representation. Most recently, he was Group Vice President, Sales Administration, and an officer of Toyota Motor Sales. Mr. Cabito also served as the President of AirFlite, Toyota's fixed-base operation located at the Long Beach, California airport. Mr. Cabito retired from Toyota Motor Sales in December 2007. Mr. Cabito also served as a director on the board of New United Motor Manufacturing, Inc. through September 2013. Mr. Cabito received an MBA in Finance from the University of Southern California. Along with his executive management experience, Mr. Cabito brings to the Board of Directors extensive experience in and knowledge of the automotive manufacturing industry.

Conrad A. Conrad, age 68, has served as a director on our Board of Directors since February 2004 and as our Lead Director since December 2013, also serving as the Chairman of the Audit Committee from 2004 to 2012 and as a member of the Compensation Committee since 2004. Mr. Conrad served as a director of Rural/Metro Corporation until June 2011 and as a director of Fender Musical Instruments Corporation until April 2014. Mr. Conrad was employed with The Dial Corporation from August 2000 to October 2005, where he served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Prior to this, Mr. Conrad worked for 25 years with Quaker State Corporation, a leading manufacturer of branded automotive and consumer products and services, where he held multiple positions, most recently Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Conrad received an AB in Accounting from The College of William & Mary. As a former chief financial officer for a public company, Mr. Conrad has experience in finance and accounting, particularly as it applies to public companies such as UTI. His prior positions with Quaker State gave him insight into the automotive products and services market. Mr. Conrad also served as the chairman of the board of Rural/Metro Corporation, which experience aids his service to our Board of Directors. Mr. Conrad qualifies as an audit committee financial expert under SEC guidelines.

Kimberly J. McWaters, age 50, has served as our Chief Executive Officer since October 2003, as the Chairman of our Board of Directors since December 2013 and as a director on our Board of Directors since 2005. Ms. McWaters served as our President from 2000 to March 2011 and previously served on our Board of Directors from 2002 to 2003. From 1984 to 2000, Ms. McWaters held several positions with UTI, including Vice President of Marketing and Vice President of Sales and Marketing. Ms. McWaters has also served as a director Mobile Mini, Inc. since August 2014 and as a director of Penske Automotive Group, Inc. since December 2004. Ms. McWaters received a BS in Business Administration from the University of Phoenix. As a long-time employee of UTI, Ms. McWaters brings to the Board of Directors an understanding of the organization and experience in the post-secondary technical education services industry.

Dr. Roderick R. Paige, age 81, has served as a director on our Board of Directors since September 2010. Dr. Paige was a founder of the Chartwell Education Group, LLC, an education consulting firm, and served as its Chairman from 2005 to 2009. Dr. Paige has also served as Senior Advisor to Higher Ed Holdings, LLC since 2005. Dr. Paige served as the United States Secretary of Education from 2001 to 2005 and was a Public Policy Scholar at the Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars in 2005. Dr. Paige also served as a director of News Corporation during the last five years and was a member of its compensation committee. Dr. Paige also has significant experience in the education industry, including 10 years as the dean of a college of education, four years as trustee of a 200,000 student school district and approximately seven years as the Superintendent of Schools of the Houston Independent School District. Dr. Paige received his doctorate and masters in health and physical education from Indiana University and his BS from Jackson State University. Dr. Paige brings to the Board of Directors governmental regulatory and leadership experience and contacts in the education industry.



Kenneth R. Trammell, age 54, has served as a director on our Board of Directors since June 2011. Mr. Trammell has served as the Chief Financial Officer of Tenneco Inc., a publicly traded manufacturer of vehicle components and systems, since September 2003, Vice President and Controller from 1999 through 2003 and Corporate Controller from 1997 through 1999. Prior to joining Tenneco in 1996, Mr. Trammell spent 12 years with the international public accounting firm of Arthur Andersen LLP. Mr. Trammell received a BBA in accounting from the University of Houston. Mr. Trammell has significant business experience in the original equipment and aftermarket automotive parts industry for more than 15 years. He also has considerable experience in financial reporting, accounting, internal controls, capital markets transactions, investor relations and operations finance. Mr. Trammell qualifies as an audit committee financial expert under SEC guidelines.

There are no family relationships among any of our directors and executive officers.

#### Corporate Governance and Related Matters

Corporate governance is typically defined as the system that allocates duties and authority among a company's stockholders, board of directors and management. The stockholders elect the board and vote on extraordinary matters; the board is the company's governing body, responsible for hiring, overseeing and evaluating management; management runs the company's day-to-day operations. Our Board of Directors currently consists of ten directors.

**Board Leadership Structure.** Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that our Board of Directors is free to choose the Chairman of the Board in any manner that is in the best interests of UTI. In making leadership structure determinations, our Board of Directors considers many factors, including the specific characteristics and circumstances existing at that time, whether the role of Chairman should be separate from that of the Chief Executive Officer and, if the roles are separate, whether the Chairman should be selected from the independent directors or from management. The Board believes that no single board leadership model is universally or permanently appropriate and will periodically review its Chair selection and whether or not that selection should remain in effect.

At this time, our Chief Executive Officer (Ms. McWaters) also serves as the Chairman of the Board of Directors, and the Board also has an independent Lead Director (Mr. Conrad). The Board implemented the current structure in December 2013 in connection with Mr. White's retirement from the Chairman position and believes this leadership structure is in the current best interests of UTI. The Board believes that combining the positions of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer provides an efficient and effective leadership model, including clarity of leadership, effective decision-making and a firm link between management and the Board. The Board also believes that the Chief Executive Officer's extensive understanding of UTI's business and operations and her years of experience with UTI and in the industry make her well-positioned to lead Board discussions of important matters affecting our business. In addition, as part of considering the successor to the Chairman, the Board determined that it would be appropriate to establish an independent Lead Director position. The Board believes that this will help facilitate a smooth leadership transition and the ongoing balance of the independent director perspective. The Board believes that Mr. Conrad's leadership experience and 10 years of experience with our company make him well-qualified to serve in the Lead Director role.

In connection with the December 2013 leadership transition, the Board revised the Corporate Governance Guidelines to define the role and responsibilities of the Lead Director. Under the current Corporate Governance Guidelines, an independent Lead Director will be appointed when the Chairman position is not held by an independent director. The role of the Lead Director is to assist the Chairman and the remainder of the Board in assuring effective governance in overseeing the direction and management of the Company. The Lead Director serves at the pleasure of the Board, and his appointment and responsibilities will be reviewed at least annually. The Lead Director's responsibilities include the following: (i) organizing, setting the agenda for, leading and presiding over non-management/executive sessions of the Board; (ii) providing feedback to and engaging with the Chief Executive Officer on non-management/executive sessions; (iii) authority to call meetings of the independent

directors; (iv) facilitating communication among directors, and between the Board and the senior management team, serving when needed as a liaison between the Chief Executive Officer and the directors; (v) coordinating the activities of the independent directors; (vi) working with the Chairman of the Board to set and approve the Board schedule and agenda; (vii) presiding over any meeting of the Board in the absence of the Chairman of the Board/CEO and any vice chairman appointed by the Board, unless otherwise determined by the Board; (viii) presiding over any meeting of the stockholders in the absence of the Chairman of the Board/CEO and any vice chairman appointed by the Board, unless otherwise determined by the Board; (ix) supporting the Board's review of the Corporate Governance Guidelines; and (x) such other functions and responsibilities as set forth in the Corporate Governance Guidelines or as requested by the Board from time to time.

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines call for regular executive sessions of the non-management directors (those not employed by us). The Board of Directors believes that these regular executive sessions outside of the presence and influence of management ensure that non-management directors have sufficient opportunity to fully and candidly discuss ideas and issues regarding the Company, management's performance and whether Board operations are satisfactory. As discussed above, the Lead Director will lead and preside over these non-management sessions, and will organize and establish the agenda for these sessions. If the Lead Director is absent or that position is vacant, the independent directors will select from among them who will preside over any private sessions. Prior to the December 2013 changes, the role of presiding director at regular executive sessions of the non-management directors rotated on an annual basis. During fiscal 2012, the chairperson of the Compensation Committee presided over executive sessions of the non-management directors. During fiscal 2013, the chairperson of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee served in that role. There was one executive session of the non-management directors in fiscal 2014 prior to the appointment of the Lead Director, which was led by Mr. Cabito.

"Independent" Directors. Our Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. Blaszkievicz, Cabito, Conrad, Lennox, Paige, Penske and Trammell and Ms. Srere qualify as "independent" in accordance with the published listing requirements of the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE"). The NYSE's independence definition includes a series of objective tests, such as that the director is not an employee of the Company, has no material relationships with the Company and has not engaged in various types of business dealings with the Company. An explanation of the independence standard used by our Board of Directors, which standard incorporates the NYSE independence definition, is set forth in the Corporate Governance Guidelines adopted by the Board of Directors and discussed elsewhere in this Proxy Statement. The Board of Directors considers all relevant facts and circumstances in evaluating the independence of its members from management. Non-material business transactions conducted in the ordinary course of business are not determinative of the issue of independence. As required by the NYSE rules, the Board of Directors has made an affirmative determination as to each independent director that no relationships exist which, in the opinion of the Board of Directors, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director and has affirmatively determined that each independent director meets the independence standard used by the Board of Directors. In making these determinations, the Board of Directors reviewed and discussed information provided by the directors and our management with regard to each director's business and personal activities as they may relate to us and our management, including Ms. McWaters' service on the board of directors of another entity for which one of the directors serves as chairman and chief executive officer. The Board of Directors also considered each director's other relationships that do not involve us or our management such as the employment of UTI graduates in the service departments of automotive dealerships owned by an entity of which one of our directors is an affiliate and the service on the UTI Foundation board by the spouse of one of the independent directors.

"Independence" for Audit Committee and Compensation Committee Members and Audit Committee Financial Expert. As required by the NYSE rules, the members of our Audit Committee each qualify as "independent" under special standards established by the SEC for members of audit committees, and the members of our Compensation Committee each qualify as "independent" under special standards established by the NYSE for members of compensation committees. Our Audit Committee also includes at least one independent member who is determined by the Board of Directors to meet the qualifications of an "audit committee financial expert" in accordance with SEC rules, including that the person meets the relevant definition of an "independent director."





Mr. Conrad and Mr. Trammell have been determined to be audit committee financial experts. Stockholders should understand that this designation is a disclosure requirement of the SEC related to Mr. Conrad's and Mr. Trammell's experience and understanding with respect to certain accounting and auditing matters. The designation does not impose upon Mr. Conrad or Mr. Trammell any duties, obligations or liabilities that are greater than those generally imposed on members of the Audit Committee and the Board of Directors, and the designation as an audit committee financial expert pursuant to this SEC requirement does not affect the duties, obligations or liabilities of any other member of our Audit Committee or the Board of Directors.

**Board's Role in Risk Oversight.** The Board of Directors as a whole is responsible for risk management oversight of the Company and in ensuring that management develops sound business strategies. The involvement of the full Board of Directors in setting the Company's business strategy and objectives is integral to the Board's assessment of our risk and also a determination of what constitutes an appropriate level of risk and how best to manage any such risk. This involves receiving reports and/or presentations from applicable members of management and the committees of the Board. The full Board of Directors continually evaluates Company risks such as financial risk, legal/compliance risk, operational/strategic risk and fraud risk and addresses individual risk issues with management throughout the year as necessary.

While the Board of Directors has the ultimate oversight responsibility for the risk management process, the Board delegates responsibility for certain aspects of risk management to its committees, which are comprised of only independent directors. In particular, the Audit Committee focuses on enterprise risks and related controls and procedures, including financial reporting, fraud and regulatory risks. The Compensation Committee strives to create compensation practices that do not encourage excessive levels of risk taking that would be inconsistent with the Company's strategy and objectives. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for overseeing the Company's corporate governance and corporate governance principles.

#### Board Meetings

Our Board of Directors and its committees meet throughout the year on a set schedule and also hold special meetings and act by written consent from time to time as appropriate. The Board of Directors has delegated various responsibilities and authority to different Board committees as described elsewhere in this Proxy Statement.

Committees regularly report on their activities and actions to the full Board of Directors. In addition, the Corporate Governance Guidelines that have been adopted by the Board of Directors and which are discussed elsewhere in this Proxy Statement call for regular executive sessions of the non-management directors.

In fiscal 2014, the Board of Directors held seven meetings. Each director attended at least 75% of the aggregate of the Board of Director meetings and meetings of committees on which such director served during the director's tenure as a director and committee member, other than Mr. Penske, who attended approximately 73% of the Board of Director meetings and meetings of committees on which he served.

#### Board Committees and Charters

In accordance with the NYSE Corporate Governance Rules, we currently have four standing Board committees: Audit, Compensation, Nominating and Corporate Governance and Government Affairs and Public Policy. Each member of the Audit, Compensation, Nominating and Corporate Governance and Government Affairs and Public Policy Committees is an independent director in accordance with NYSE standards. Each of the Board committees has a written charter approved by the Board of Directors. Copies of each charter are posted on our website at [www.uti.edu](http://www.uti.edu) under the "Investors - Corporate Governance" captions. We will provide copies of our Board committee charters upon request made by writing to us at our principal executive offices at 16220 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 100, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254.

The current committee membership is as follows:

Director	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee	Government Affairs and Public Policy Committee
David A. Blaszkiewicz		Chair		
Alan E. Cabito	ü		Chair	
Conrad A. Conrad	ü	ü		
LTG (R) William J. Lennox, Jr.		ü		Chair
Roderick R. Paige			ü	ü
Roger S. Penske			ü	ü
Linda J. Srere		ü	ü	
Kenneth R. Trammell	Chair			

Audit Committee. Messrs. Cabito, Conrad and Trammell served as members of our Audit Committee during fiscal 2014. The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is financially literate and satisfies the independence requirements of the NYSE and the SEC. The Audit Committee has the responsibility for overseeing, among other things, our accounting and financial reporting processes, the reliability of our financial statements, the effective evaluation and management of our financial risks, our compliance with laws and regulations, and the effective and efficient audit of our financial statements by a qualified independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee met eight times during fiscal 2014. The Audit Committee is required by SEC rules to publish a report to stockholders concerning the Audit Committee's activities during the prior fiscal year. The Audit Committee's report is set forth elsewhere in this Proxy Statement.

Compensation Committee. Messrs. Blaszkiewicz, Conrad and Lennox and Ms. Srere served as members of our Compensation Committee during fiscal 2014. The Board of Directors has determined that each of Messrs. Blaszkiewicz, Conrad and Lennox and Ms. Srere satisfies the independence requirements of the NYSE. The primary responsibility of the Compensation Committee is to develop and oversee the implementation of the Company's philosophy with respect to the compensation of our officers. In that regard, the Compensation Committee has the responsibility for, among other things, developing and maintaining a compensation policy and strategy that creates a direct relationship between pay levels and corporate performance and returns to stockholders; recommending compensation and benefit plans to the Board of Directors for approval; reviewing and approving annual corporate and personal goals and objectives to serve as the basis for the Chief Executive Officer's compensation, evaluating the Chief Executive Officer's performance in light of the goals and, based on such evaluation, determining the Chief Executive Officer's compensation; determining the annual total compensation for our Named Executive Officers; approving the grants of equity-based incentives as permitted under our equity-based compensation plans; reviewing and recommending to the Board of Directors compensation for our non-management directors; and reviewing and recommending employment agreements, severance arrangements and change in control plans that provide for benefits upon a change in control, or other provisions for our executive officers and directors, to the Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee met nine times during fiscal 2014.

Our Board of Directors has adopted a charter for the Compensation Committee that provides, among other things, that the Compensation Committee may, at its discretion, utilize consultants, counsel or other advisers to assist the Compensation Committee in fulfilling its duties. Pursuant to its written charter, the Compensation Committee has the sole authority to retain, obtain the advice of or terminate any such consultant, counsel or other adviser, including sole authority to approve the fees and other retention terms. The Compensation Committee retained Compensia, Inc. ("Compensia") to assist as independent compensation consultants. For additional information on the role of compensation consultants, please see "Compensation Discussion and Analysis - Role of Compensation Consultants," which is included elsewhere in this Proxy Statement. Other than their review and



analysis of officer and director compensation or as otherwise discussed in this Proxy Statement, Compensia did not provide any additional services to us during the 2014 fiscal year.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Messrs. Cabito, Paige and Penske and Ms. Srere served as members of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee during fiscal 2014. The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee satisfies the independence requirements of the NYSE. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has the responsibility for, among other things, identifying individuals qualified to serve as directors of UTI; recommending qualified individuals for election to the Board of Directors at the annual meeting of stockholders; recommending to the Board of Directors those directors to serve on each of the Board committees; recommending a set of corporate governance guidelines to the Board of Directors; reviewing periodically our Corporate Governance Guidelines and recommending governance issues that should be considered by the Board of Directors; reviewing periodically the Board of Director's committee structure and operations and the working relationship between each committee and the Board of Directors; and considering, discussing and recommending ways to improve the Board of Director's effectiveness. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also reviews and makes recommendations to the Board of Directors regarding the size and the composition of the Board of Directors. In addition, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will review and consider properly submitted stockholder recommendations on candidates for membership on the Board of Directors as described below. In evaluating such recommendations, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will use the same review criteria discussed below under "Director Qualifications and Review of Director Nominees." Any stockholder recommendations proposed for consideration by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee must include the candidate's name, accompanied by relevant biographical information, and must be submitted in accordance with our Bylaws to the attention of our Corporate Secretary at Universal Technical Institute, Inc., 16220 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 100, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met four times during fiscal 2014.

Government Affairs and Public Policy Committee. In December 2014, our Board of Directors established our Government Affairs and Public Policy Committee and appointed Messrs. Lennox, Penske and Paige to serve as members of the committee. The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Government Affairs and Public Policy Committee satisfies the independence requirements of the NYSE. The Government Affairs and Public Policy Committee has the responsibility for, among other things, identifying, evaluating and reviewing legislative, regulatory, governmental and public policy matters and trends that may impact our strategic business goals, activities and performance; evaluating and reviewing our strategic governmental affairs and public policy mission and objectives and developing recommendations to allow our company to effectively achieve strategic business goals; providing tactical assistance to manage and to support our governmental affairs and public policy mission and objectives and achievement of strategic business goals; and reviewing the policies, practices and priorities of our political action committee.

#### Director Qualifications and Review of Director Nominees

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee makes recommendations to the Board of Directors regarding the size and composition of the Board of Directors. The Committee reviews annually with the Board of Directors the composition of the Board of Directors as a whole and recommends, if necessary, measures to be taken so that the Board of Directors reflects the appropriate balance of knowledge, experience, skills, expertise and diversity required for the Board of Directors as a whole and contains at least the minimum number of independent directors required by the NYSE and other applicable laws and regulations. The Committee is responsible for ensuring that the composition of the Board of Directors accurately reflects the needs of our business and, in accordance with the foregoing, proposing the addition of members and the necessary resignation of members for purposes of obtaining the appropriate members and skills.

To fulfill its responsibility to recruit and recommend to the full Board of Directors nominees for election as directors, the Committee reviews the composition of the Board of Directors to determine the qualifications and

areas of expertise needed to further enhance the composition of the Board of Directors and works to attract candidates with those qualifications. In evaluating a director candidate, the Committee considers factors that are in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders, including the knowledge, experience and integrity of each candidate; the potential contribution of each candidate to the diversity of backgrounds, experience and competencies which the Board of Directors desires to have represented; each candidate's ability to devote sufficient time and effort to his or her duties as a director; and any other criteria established by the Board of Directors and any core competencies or technical expertise necessary to staff committees of the Board of Directors. Directors should have a background and experience in areas important to the operations of the Company, such as business, education, marketing, finance, government or law, and should be individuals of high integrity and independence with substantial accomplishments. The Committee does not assign specific weights to any particular criteria. Rather, the Board of Directors believes that the backgrounds and qualifications of the directors, considered as a group, should provide a composite mix of experience, knowledge and abilities that will allow the Board of Directors to fulfill its responsibilities. In connection with each director nomination recommendation, the Committee considers the issue of continuing director tenure and whether the Board of Directors will be exposed to new ideas and viewpoints and will maintain willingness to critically examine the status quo.

In connection with director nominations, the Committee also considers the nominees' roles in (i) assisting with our business strategy, (ii) overseeing our efforts in complying with the disclosure requirements of the SEC and the NYSE, (iii) assisting in improving our internal controls and disclosure controls and (iv) overseeing our corporate governance and leadership structure.

The Committee shall consider nominees recommended by stockholders for election to the Board, provided the names of such nominees, accompanied by relevant biographical information, are submitted in accordance with the Company's Bylaws. Pursuant to the Company's Bylaws, a stockholder may nominate a person for election to the Board by making timely notice in proper written form to the Secretary of the Company. To be timely, a stockholder's notice must be delivered to or mailed to and received by the Secretary at the principal executive offices of the Company at 16220 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 100, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254, not less than 90 days nor more than 120 days in advance of the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting; provided, however, that in the event that (i) no annual meeting was held in the previous year or (ii) the date of the annual meeting has been changed by more than 30 days from the date of the previous year's meeting, or in the event of a special meeting of stockholders called for the purpose of electing directors, not later than the close of business on the 10th day following the day on which notice of the date of the meeting was mailed or public disclosure of the date of the meeting was made, whichever occurs first. To be in proper written form, such stockholder's notice to the Secretary shall set forth in writing (a) as to each person whom such stockholder proposes to nominate for election or re-election as a director, all information relating to such person that is required to be disclosed in solicitations of proxies for election of directors, or is otherwise required, in each case pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), including, without limitation, such person's written consent to being named in the proxy statement as a nominee and to serving as director if elected as well as (i) such person's name, age, business address and residence address, (ii) his or her principal occupation or employment, (iii) the class and number of shares of the Company that are beneficially owned by such person, (iv) a statement as to whether such person, if elected, intends to tender, promptly following such person's failure to receive the required vote for re-election at the next meeting which such person would face re-election, an irrevocable resignation effective upon acceptance of such resignation by the Board, in accordance with the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, and (v) a description of all arrangements or understandings between the stockholder and each nominee and any other person or persons (naming such person or persons) pursuant to which the nominations are to be made by the stockholder; and (b) as to such stockholder (i) the name and address, as they appear on the Company's books, of such stockholder and the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the nomination is made, (ii) the class and number of shares of the Company which are beneficially owned by such stockholder and the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the nomination is made, and any material interest of such stockholder and owner and (iii) a description of any agreement, arrangement or understanding (including any derivative or short positions, profit interests, options, hedging transactions, and borrowed or loaned shares) that has been entered into as of the date of the stockholder's notice to the Secretary by, or on behalf of, the stockholder



or any of its affiliates or associates, the effect or intent of which is to mitigate loss to, manage risk or benefit of share price changes for, or increase or decrease the voting power of the stockholder or any of its affiliates or associates with respect to shares of stock of the Company, and a representation that the stockholder will notify the Company in writing within five business days after the record date for such meeting of any such agreement, arrangement or understanding in effect as of the record date for the meeting.

#### Board Attendance at Annual Stockholder Meetings

While all directors are encouraged to attend our annual stockholder meetings, the Board of Directors does not have a formal policy with respect to such attendance. All directors attended last year's annual meeting of stockholders.

#### Communication with the Board of Directors

Stockholders and other interested parties may communicate with the Chairman of the Board of Directors, the directors as a group, the non-management directors as a group or an individual director directly by submitting a letter in a sealed envelope labeled accordingly. This letter should be placed in a larger envelope and mailed to Universal Technical Institute, Inc., 16220 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 100, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254.

#### Code of Conduct; Corporate Governance Guidelines

We have a Code of Conduct (including a Supplemental Code of Ethics for the Chief Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers) (the "Code of Conduct") that applies to all of our employees, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer. This Code of Conduct is posted on our website at [www.uti.edu](http://www.uti.edu) under the "Investors - Corporate Governance" captions.

We will provide a copy of the Code of Conduct upon request made by writing to us at our principal executive offices at 16220 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 100, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254.

As indicated elsewhere in this Proxy Statement, the Board of Directors has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines. These Corporate Governance Guidelines are posted on our website at [www.uti.edu](http://www.uti.edu) under the "Investors - Corporate Governance - Board of Directors" captions. We will provide a copy of the Corporate Governance Guidelines upon request made by writing to us at our principal executive offices at the address indicated above and on the first page of this Proxy Statement.

#### Compensation of Non-Management Directors

In fiscal 2014, our non-management directors received a \$35,000 annual retainer. Each non-management director also received an annual award under our 2003 Incentive Compensation Plan (the "2003 Plan") of shares of the Company's common stock equal to approximately \$50,000 on the date of grant. In addition, each non-management director received reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses, including travel expense on commercial flights or the equivalent cost of advance purchase first class commercial travel for non-management directors utilizing private aircraft. Upon election or appointment to the Board of Directors, our new non-management directors receive a one-time grant of restricted stock units with a value of \$75,000, which are subject to a three-year vesting period.

The Lead Director receives an additional annual retainer of \$20,000. The chairperson of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee receives an additional annual retainer of \$12,000, the chairperson of the Compensation Committee receives an additional annual retainer of \$15,000 and the chairperson of the Audit Committee receives an additional annual retainer of \$20,000. The non-chairperson directors serving on the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee each receive an additional annual retainer of \$6,000. The non-chairperson directors serving on the Audit Committee each receive an additional

annual retainer of \$8,000. The chairperson of the Government Affairs and Public Policy Committee and the non-chairperson directors serving on the Government Affairs and Public Policy Committee will each receive an additional annual retainer to be determined by the Board of Directors at its February 2015 meeting, which annual retainer will be pro-rated for fiscal 2015. No director receives additional compensation for meeting attendance. Directors who are also officers do not receive any separate compensation for serving as directors.

Our non-management directors are also eligible to participate in a non-qualified deferred compensation plan, which was implemented in April 2010. The Company offers this non-qualified deferred compensation plan to allow the directors to set aside a portion of their income for retirement on a pre-tax basis. A non-management director may defer up to 100% of cash Board compensation earned in the calendar year. The non-qualified deferred compensation plan is more fully discussed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section included elsewhere in this Proxy Statement.

The following table sets forth a summary of the compensation we paid to our non-management directors in fiscal year 2014. The table also includes the fiscal year 2014 compensation to Mr. White, who served as Chairman of our Board of Directors and an executive officer during the fiscal year. Mr. White retired from his duties as Chairman and an executive officer on December 9, 2013 and continues to serve as a non-executive member of our Board. Additional information regarding Mr. White's compensation arrangements for fiscal year 2014 and in connection with his retirement from the Chairman position is set forth in the footnotes to and following the Director Compensation table.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) (1)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
David A. Blaszkievicz	47,375	50,012	—	97,387
Alan E. Cabito	55,000	50,012	—	105,012
Conrad A. Conrad	65,250	50,012	—	115,262
LTG (R) William J. Lennox, Jr.	28,542	125,021	—	153,563
Roderick R. Paige	41,000	50,012	—	91,012
Roger S. Penske (2)	41,000	50,012	—	91,012
Linda J. Srere	51,500	50,012	—	101,512
Kenneth R. Trammell	55,000	50,012	—	105,012
John C. White	28,438	50,012	503,318 (3)	581,768

(1) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of awards issued under the 2003 Plan computed in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, Topic 718 ("Topic 718"). The annual grant was based on 3,892 shares at the closing price on February 20, 2014 of \$12.85. Mr. Lennox was also awarded 6,194 restricted stock units on February 19, 2014 at a market value of \$12.11 per share. Directors listed in this table who held unvested restricted stock awards or units at the end of fiscal year 2014 (and the number of unvested shares of restricted stock held by such directors at September 30, 2014) were as follows: Mr. Blaszkievicz (2,038 shares) and Mr. Lennox (6,194 shares). In addition, as of September 30, 2014, Mr. White held 11,662 shares of restricted stock, subject to the terms of his severance and transition agreement discussed below.



- (2) Mr. Penske elected to defer \$41,000 of fees into the Universal Technical Institute Deferred Compensation Plan. All Other Compensation for Mr. White reflects his fiscal year 2014 compensation for service as our executive Chairman and includes: \$1,615 in salary, \$481,702 in severance, \$13,209 in medical premiums, \$856 in dental premiums, \$260 in disability premiums, \$226 in life insurance premiums, \$2,638 in imputed income from group-term life insurance (including tax gross-up for group-term life insurance), \$2,790 in ArmadaCare medical reimbursement benefits and premiums and \$22 contributed on a matching basis pursuant to the terms of the Section 401(k) plan. See below for additional information regarding Mr. White's compensation.
- (3)

Indemnification. We indemnify our directors and officers to the fullest extent permitted by law so that they will be free from undue concern about personal liability in connection with their service to the Company. We have also entered into agreements with our directors, contractually obligating us to provide this indemnification to them.

Mr. White's Compensation. While he served as our executive Chairman, the terms of Mr. White's employment and compensation were governed by an employment agreement, as amended in October 2012. As amended, Mr. White's employment agreement provided for a minimum salary of \$1.00, for certain prerequisites and other benefits as determined by the Board (including certain health and welfare benefits consistent with past practice and with our other officers' benefits), and for an annual bonus in the discretion of the Board. Mr. White did not receive any cash bonus or any cash or equity incentive awards for fiscal year 2013.

As previously announced, we entered into a severance and transition agreement with Mr. White in connection with his retirement from his duties as an executive officer and Chairman of the Board. Pursuant to that arrangement, Mr. White's employment with us ended on December 9, 2013, and he continues to serve as a non-employee, non-management member of the Board. For that continued service, he receives the same compensation paid to our Board members generally (pro-rated and cash-only for the period from his retirement until the annual meeting date). Mr. White also receives certain severance amounts and benefits under the severance and transition agreement, which generally includes the amounts and benefits provided for under his previous employment agreement for terminations without cause or resignations for good reason, with certain modifications. Accordingly, Mr. White receives cash severance at the rate of \$551,655 per year, payable for 24 months in bi-weekly installments in accordance with his previous employment agreement. We will pay medical, dental and vision COBRA premiums for periods of up to approximately nine months for Mr. White and 18 months for his spouse if they are eligible for and elect to receive COBRA continuation coverage. After the expiration of or earlier election by Mr. White or his spouse to terminate the COBRA continuation coverage, we will provide Mr. White's spouse with health insurance benefits until October 31, 2019, on terms comparable to the medical benefits provided to the spouses of senior executives of the Company. Mr. White also receives an additional cash severance amount of \$51,000, payable in bi-weekly installments for 24 months in accordance with the payment terms applicable to his severance payments under his previous employment agreement.

While Mr. White remains a Board member, restricted stock awards granted to him before he retired will continue to vest and restrictions thereon will continue to lapse in accordance with the award terms. If Mr. White resigns from the Board or his Board service otherwise terminates, and any restricted stock awards granted before his retirement date remain subject to any restrictions at that time, we will pay Mr. White a cash amount equal to the fair value of those unvested restricted shares within 30 days of his departure from the Board. The previous award agreements relating to Mr. White's outstanding restricted stock awards otherwise remain applicable and are not superseded. The estimated fair market value of Mr. White's outstanding restricted stock as of the end of fiscal year 2014 was \$109,040. Except as described above or as provided by law, his participation in or coverage under all Company benefit plans ceased as of December 15, 2013.

Mr. White remains subject to the non-competition provisions of his previous employment agreement, under which he has agreed not to compete directly or indirectly with us or directly or indirectly recruit, solicit, or employ any persons or entities with whom we have business relationships for a period of 24 months. Mr. White

also remains subject to the confidentiality provisions of his employment agreement. The severance and transition agreement includes a standard release and waiver of claims by Mr. White.

#### INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee has not selected an independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2015. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP has served as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal years 1999 through 2014. On December 10, 2014, the Audit Committee commenced a comprehensive, competitive process to select an independent registered public accounting firm to audit our financial statements for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2015 (“request for proposal”). On December 19, 2014, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP declined to stand for re-election and informed us that it would not respond to our request for proposal. The termination of the relationship will become effective upon completion by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP of its procedures on our unaudited interim financial statements as of and for the quarter ended December 31, 2014 and the filing of the related Form 10-Q.

The audit reports of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP on our consolidated financial statements as of and for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 did not contain an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion and were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles.

During the fiscal years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, and the subsequent interim period through December 19, 2014, there were (i) no disagreements between us and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, which disagreements, if not resolved to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP’s satisfaction, would have caused PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreement in connection with its reports and (ii) no reportable events (as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K and the related instructions).

The request for proposal process is ongoing. In connection with the process, the Audit Committee will consider the independence of each independent registered public accounting firm with respect to the services to be performed and other factors that the Audit Committee and the Board of Directors believe are advisable and in the best interest of the stockholders. The Audit Committee expects to have a firm in place during the second fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2015. We intend to propose in future years the ratification of our independent registered public accounting firm in our proxy statement.

#### Fees Paid to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

As more fully described below, all services to be provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP are pre-approved by the Audit Committee, including audit services, audit-related services, tax services and certain other services.

The following table shows fees that were accrued for audit and other services provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for fiscal years 2014 and 2013:

	2014	2013
Audit Fees	\$ 1,256,988	\$ 1,002,510
Audit-Related Fees	—	—
Tax Fees	20,000	28,265
All Other Fees	1,800	1,800
Total	\$ 1,278,788	\$ 1,032,575

**Audit Fees.** Audit fees for the years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 relate primarily to services rendered for the integrated audit of the consolidated financial statements and internal control over financial reporting included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and for the limited reviews of the financial information included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q.

**Tax Fees.** Tax fees for the years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 related primarily to income tax compliance services, including technical and tax advice related to the review of tax returns.

**All Other Fees.** This amount represents an annual subscription for access to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's online database of accounting guidance issued by various standard-setting bodies.

It is expected that representatives of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP will be present at the Annual Meeting, will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire and will be available to respond to any appropriate questions from stockholders.

**Audit Committee Pre-Approval Procedures for Services Provided by the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

**Pre-Approval of Audit Services.** The Audit Committee meets with the independent registered public accounting firm prior to the audit to review the planning and staffing of the audit and approve the services to be provided by the independent registered public accounting firm in connection with the audit.

**Pre-Approval of Non-Audit Services.** The Audit Committee reviews and approves in advance the retention of the independent registered public accounting firm for any non-audit service that is not prohibited by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the "Act"), provided, however, that:

(a) permitted non-audit services that account for less than \$10,000 shall be deemed to be pre-approved, and  
(b) as permitted by Section 302 of the Act, such pre-approval is waived and shall not be required with respect to non-audit services:

- (i) that account, in the aggregate, for less than 5% of the total fees paid by us to our independent registered public accounting firm during the fiscal year in which such non-audit services are provided;
- (ii) that we did not recognize as "non-audit" services at the time of the engagement; and
- (iii) that are promptly brought to the attention of, and approved by, the Committee before the completion of the audit (and such approval may be given by the Audit Committee or any member of the Audit Committee).

The Audit Committee may delegate to any one of its members the authority to grant pre-approval of any permitted non-audit services that account for between \$10,000 and \$20,000 (and except as otherwise provided in a resolution of the Audit Committee adopted hereafter, the Audit Committee shall be deemed to have delegated such authority, such that any one member of the Audit Committee shall have the authority to grant pre-approval of any permitted non-audit services within such dollar limits). The pre-approval of any non-audit services pursuant to delegated authority or deemed approval shall be reported to the full Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. Approval of non-audit services to be performed by the independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to clause (b) above will be disclosed by us as required pursuant to Section 202 of the Act in the applicable reports filed with the SEC.

#### AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014

The Audit Committee reviews the Company's financial reporting process on behalf of the Board of Directors. The Audit Committee is currently composed of three independent directors. The Audit Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors that is available on the Company's website at [www.uti.edu](http://www.uti.edu) under the "Investors - Corporate Governance" captions. The Audit Committee met eight times during fiscal 2014. Management has the primary responsibility for the financial statements and the reporting process, including the system of internal control over financial reporting.

In fulfilling its responsibilities, the Audit Committee meets with management and the independent registered public accounting firm to review and discuss the Company's annual and quarterly financial statements, including the disclosures under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, any material changes in accounting policies used in preparing the financial statements prior to the filing of a report on Form 10-K or Form 10-Q with the SEC, and the items required to be discussed by AU Section 380, Communication with Audit Committees ("AU 380"), with respect to annual financial statements, and AU Section 722, Interim Financial Information, with respect to quarterly financial statements.

The Audit Committee met and held discussions with management and the independent registered public accounting firm regarding the fair and complete presentation of the Company's financial statements, management's assessment of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and the significant accounting policies applied by management in the preparation of the Company's financial statements, as well as any alternative accounting policies. Management represented to the Audit Committee that the Company's consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the consolidated financial statements with management and the independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm matters required to be discussed by AU 380.

In addition, the Audit Committee received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accounting firm's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm such firm's independence from the Company and its management. The Audit Committee also has considered whether the independent registered public accounting firm's provision of permitted non-audit services to the Company is compatible with its independence. The Audit Committee has concluded that the independent registered public accounting firm is independent from the Company and its management.

The Audit Committee discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the overall scope and plans for its audit. The Audit Committee met with the independent registered public accounting firm, with and without management present, to discuss the results of its audit, the evaluation of the Company's internal controls, the overall quality of the Company's financial reporting and other matters required to be discussed by AU 380.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board of Directors approved, the inclusion of the audited financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, for filing with the SEC.

The Audit Committee:

Kenneth R. Trammell (Chair)

Alan E. Cabito

Conrad A. Conrad

#### EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

We maintain the Management 2002 Stock Option Program (the "2002 Plan") and the 2003 Plan pursuant to which we may grant equity awards to eligible persons.

Management 2002 Stock Option Program. The 2002 Plan was adopted by our Board of Directors and became effective in April 2002. A maximum of 783,000 shares of common stock may be issued under the 2002 Plan, which is administered by our Compensation Committee.

The 2002 Plan provides for the grant of incentive and non-qualified stock options to our employees and employees of related companies, including officers and management directors, and non-statutory options to other persons providing material services to us or related companies. A non-management director is not eligible to receive an award.

As of September 30, 2014, we had issued 690,152 shares of common stock upon the exercise of options granted under the 2002 Plan. We will not make any further grants under the 2002 Plan.

2003 Incentive Compensation Plan. The 2003 Plan was adopted by our Board of Directors and approved by holders of the majority voting power of our voting stock and became effective in December 2003. The 2003 Plan was amended by holders of the majority voting power of our voting stock at the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The 2003 Plan was amended to, among other things, increase the number of shares issuable under the 2003 Plan to 5,280,972 shares of our common stock, subject to proportional adjustment to reflect stock splits, stock dividends and other similar events. The 2003 Plan provides for the issuance of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, stock units, performance shares, performance units, performance-based awards and cash bonuses.

Awards under the 2003 Plan may be granted to employees, directors, consultants and advisors to the Company or any of our subsidiaries. However, only employees (including officers and directors who are also employees) of the Company or any of our subsidiaries may receive incentive stock options under the 2003 Plan. The 2003 Plan is administered by our Compensation Committee.

As of September 30, 2014, we had issued 412,293 shares of common stock upon the exercise of options granted under the 2003 Plan, at a weighted average exercise price of \$19.15 per share. In addition, 384,310 shares of common stock are issuable pursuant to currently exercisable options granted under the 2003 Plan, at a weighted average exercise price of \$26.81 per share.

As of September 30, 2014, we had granted 2,971,705 shares of restricted stock awards under the 2003 Plan, of which 1,071,749 shares have been forfeited and 401,102 shares are still subject to restrictions. During the year ended September 30, 2014, restrictions lapsed with respect to 272,102 shares, of which 97,978 shares were withheld to settle individual participant tax obligations.

As of September 30, 2014, we had awarded 831,635 restricted stock units under the 2003 Plan, of which 65,023 shares have been forfeited and 673,151 shares remain unvested. During the year ended September 30, 2014, 143,991 restricted stock units vested, of which 52,835 shares were withheld to settle individual participant tax obligations.

As of September 30, 2014, we had awarded 110,591 performance units under the 2003 Plan, of which 26,979 units have been forfeited, with a maximum payout of 200%, under the 2003 Plan. During the year ended September 30, 2014, no performance units vested and 41,843 performance units were cancelled. Cancellations related to performance units assumed vested at the end of the prior year measurement period which did not actually vest on the subsequent settlement date. As of September 30, 2014, there were no outstanding performance units.

The following table summarizes our equity compensation plan information as of September 30, 2014. Information is included for both equity compensation plans approved by the stockholders and equity plans not approved by the stockholders.

Plan Category	Common Shares to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (b)	Common Shares Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Shares Reflected in Column (a) (c))
Equity compensation plans approved by UTI stockholders	384,310	\$26.81	1,634,696
Equity compensation plans not approved by UTI stockholders	—	—	—
Totals	384,310	\$26.81	1,634,696

#### COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

In this Compensation Discussion and Analysis, we describe the material components of our executive compensation program for our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and the three other most-highly compensated individuals, who were serving as executive officers of the Company as of the end of fiscal 2014.

Kimberly J. McWaters, our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (our “CEO/Chairman”);

Eugene S. Putnam, Jr., our President and Chief Financial Officer;

Chad A. Freed, our General Counsel and Senior Vice President of Business Development;

Kenneth J. Cranston, our Senior Vice President of Admissions; and

Sherrell E. Smith, our Senior Vice President of Operations.

These individuals are referred to in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis as the “Named Executive Officers” or “NEOs.”

Specifically, this Compensation Discussion and Analysis provides an overview of our executive compensation philosophy, the overall objectives of our executive compensation program, and each compensation component that we provide. In addition, we explain how and why our Compensation Committee arrived at the specific compensation decisions for our executive officers during fiscal 2014.

The compensation of the NEOs for fiscal 2014 is set forth in the Fiscal 2014 Summary Compensation Table and other tables contained in this Proxy Statement.

#### Executive Summary

We are the leading provider of post-secondary education for students seeking careers as professional automotive, diesel, collision repair, motorcycle and marine technicians as measured by total average undergraduate enrollment and graduates.



## Fiscal 2014 Business Highlights

Lower student population levels as we began fiscal 2014, combined with lower new student starts throughout the year, resulted in a 4.0% decline in our average undergraduate full-time student enrollment to approximately 14,400 students for the year ended September 30, 2014. The decline in our average undergraduate full-time student enrollment resulted in a decline in revenues and net income for fiscal 2014. Our revenues for fiscal 2014 were \$378.4 million, a decrease of \$1.9 million, or 0.5%, from fiscal 2013. Our net income for fiscal 2014 was \$2.0 million, a decrease of \$1.9 million from the prior year.

Despite these financial challenges and continued uncertainties surrounding our industry, our business continues to be fundamentally strong:

Our graduate employment rate for fiscal 2013 increased 3% with 88% of our fiscal 2013 graduates finding employment within one year of their graduation date. We continue to invest in our graduate employment teams and processes in order to more effectively assist our graduates in finding employment.<sup>1</sup>

We completed the integration of our Automotive Technology and Diesel Technology II curricula at our Sacramento, California campus in 2014, bringing the total number of campuses teaching this curricula to three. We will continue to integrate the curricula at our other automotive campuses in future years.

In January 2014, we entered into amended lease agreements for certain buildings on our Orlando, Florida campus, which allow us to expand the square footage at one of the buildings. We intend to utilize this space to support the integration of our Diesel Technology II program at this campus. We will begin teaching our diesel and industrial programs at this campus in January 2015.

In October 2014, we announced that we will open a new campus location in Long Beach, California in late summer 2015.

We returned \$11.3 million to shareholders during the year ended September 30, 2014 through \$0.10 per share quarterly dividend payments and share repurchases.

We continue to have a debt free balance sheet with the exception of the financing obligation for our Lisle, Illinois campus, which is related to the lease and joint venture.

In response to the ongoing economic uncertainties, we continue to manage discretionary operating costs, to develop our strong industry relationships and to provide alternative solutions to help students achieve their educational goals.

The employment calculation is based on all graduates, including those that completed manufacturer specific advanced training programs, from October 1, 2012 to September 30, 2013, excluding graduates not available for employment because of continuing education, military, health, incarceration, death or international student status. Graduates are counted as employed based on a verified understanding of the graduate's job duties to assess and confirm that the graduate's primary job responsibilities are in his or her field of study. For 2013, we had approximately 10,600 total graduates, of which approximately 9,900 were available for employment. Of those graduates available for employment, approximately 8,700 were employed within one year of their graduation date, for a total of 88%.





#### Key Compensation Action in Fiscal 2014

Effective April 8, 2014, we entered into new employment agreements with Ms. McWaters and Mr. Putnam. These new agreements supersede their prior employment agreements which expired on April 7, 2014. We also amended and restated the employment agreement for Mr. Cranston effective April 8, 2014. For greater detail on these employment agreements see "Compensation Discussion and Analysis - Employment Agreements" below.

In September 2013, the Compensation Committee approved a reinstatement of the fiscal 2011 salary levels for Ms. McWaters and Mr. Putnam as well as a market adjustment for Ms. McWaters and Mr. Freed based on its review of the competitive positioning of base salary for their roles relative to our compensation peer group.

#### What We Pay and Why

The primary elements of NEO compensation is comprised of base salary, annual incentive awards and long-term incentive compensation, or, "total direct compensation."

For fiscal 2014, the overall mix of the aggregate target total direct compensation for the CEO/Chairman was 32% base salary, 30% annual incentive award and 38% long term incentive compensation opportunity. The mix for NEOs was approximately 43% base salary, 28% annual incentive award opportunity and 29% long-term incentive compensation opportunity.

#### CEO/Chairman Compensation

We believe that the success of our business and resulting value for our stockholders is largely dependent on a stable management team led by an individual with the vision to identify our long-term challenges and craft effective responses to enable us to navigate the ongoing challenges of the economic and regulatory environments. Accordingly, the compensation package of our CEO/ Chairman has been designed to support this belief while, at the same time, fulfilling our overall compensation objectives, including rewarding sustainable growth as reflected by favorable student outcomes and our financial performance, effective cost management and consistent operational excellence. To achieve these objectives, the fiscal 2014 compensation actions and decisions for our CEO/Chairman emphasized the following:

- Supported our belief that compensation should track corporate performance by paying an annual cash incentive award at approximately 40% of its target level; consistent with our financial results and student metrics in fiscal 2014 as measured by EBIT (our consolidated earnings before interest and taxes) - the key measure by which we gauge our ability to generate sustainable stockholder value, graduate placement and completion rate of our students; and
- Reinforced the alignment of her interests with those of our stockholders by linking her long-term incentive compensation opportunity to stockholder value through the grant of restricted stock unit(RSU) awards.

#### Impact of Company Performance on Compensation - Reported and Realized Pay

As the Company experienced lower average student enrollments resulting in a decline in revenues, the

CEO/Chairman’s actual compensation levels have also declined. The graphic below provides a more complete view of total direct compensation by providing “reported” and “realized” pay of the CEO/Chairman for fiscal years 2012, 2013 and 2014. While “reported” pay is useful, it is only part of the story in terms of pay alignment with performance. Reported pay includes (i) base salary, (ii) actual annual incentive awards earned and (iii) the grant date fair value of long-term incentive compensation, each as reported in the 2014 Summary Compensation Table for the applicable year.

Realized pay includes (i) base salary, (ii) actual annual incentive awards earned and (iii) the value of stock options exercised or stock awards vested in the applicable year, if any.

#### 2014 Executive Compensation Policies and Practices

We endeavor to maintain sound governance standards consistent with our executive compensation policies and practices. The Compensation Committee evaluates our executive compensation program on an ongoing basis to ensure that it is consistent with our short-term and long-term goals given the dynamic nature of our business and the market in which we compete for executive talent. The following policies and practices were in effect during fiscal 2014:

#### What We Do

We Have an Independent Compensation Committee

#### How We Do It

The Compensation Committee is comprised solely of independent directors who have established effective means for communicating with stockholders regarding their executive compensation ideas and concerns.

We Conduct an Annual Executive Compensation Review	ð	The Compensation Committee conducts an annual review and approval of our compensation strategy, including a review of our compensation peer group used for comparative purposes and a review of our compensation-related risk profile to ensure that our compensation-related risks are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our company.
We Utilize an Independent Compensation Consulting Firm	ð	The Compensation Committee has engaged Compensia, Inc., a national compensation consulting firm, to assist it in fulfilling its responsibilities and duties.
We Pay for Performance and Place Compensation At-Risk	ð	A significant portion of each NEO's annual pay is based on objective performance metrics. Our executive compensation program is designed so that a significant portion of compensation is "at-risk" based on corporate performance, as well as equity-based to align the interests of our executive officers and stockholders.
We Target Pay Competitively	ð	Using an appropriately selected peer group of companies, we target total direct compensation within a competitive range.
We Use Multi-Year Vesting Requirements	ð	The equity awards granted to our executive officers vest or are earned over multi-year periods, consistent with current market practice and our retention objectives; To further align the interests of our executive officers with the interests of our stockholders, and after evaluation of best practices and consultation by the Compensation Committee with Compensia, its compensation consultant, effective September 1, 2012, our Board of Directors implemented stock ownership guidelines applicable to our executive officers. Each executive officer is expected to hold shares of our common stock with an aggregate value greater than or equal to a multiple of his or her base salary as set forth below:
We Enforce Executive Stock Ownership Guidelines	ð	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Chief Executive Officer and Chairman- four times base salary;</li> <li>• President &amp; Chief Financial Officer - three times base salary;</li> <li>• Senior Vice Presidents - two times base salary.</li> </ul>
		Under these guidelines, shares of our common stock held directly or indirectly, as well as shares of our common stock subject to outstanding restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards, count towards satisfaction of the stock ownership requirements. Compliance with these guidelines is measured annually in September. Our executive officers are expected to be in compliance with these guidelines within three years of the later of September 1, 2012 or the date the executive officer first became subject to the guidelines. Currently, each of the Named Executive Officers is in compliance with the guidelines.
We Have a Cap on Annual Incentive Award Compensation	ð	The aggregate maximum annual incentive award that can be earned by each of our NEOs is capped at 150% of their target.



What We Don't Do	How We Avoid It
<p>We Don't Permit Hedging</p>	<p>Our Insider Trading Policy provides that no employee, officer, or director may acquire, sell, or trade in any interest or position relating to the future price of Company securities, such as a put option, a call option or a short sale (including a short sale "against the box"), or engage in hedging transactions (including "cashless collars").</p>
<p>We Don't Provide Tax Gross-Up Provisions</p>	<p>We do not plan to provide for tax gross-up payments for a change in control in any new or existing employment agreements.</p>
<p>We Don't Offer Single Trigger Change in Control Severance Benefits</p>	<p>Our executives will only be eligible to receive severance benefits if they experience an involuntary termination of employment within the one-year period following a change in control.</p>

### Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

Our executive compensation program is driven by our business environment, objectives and outcomes. Consequently, we evaluate the performance of our executive officers, including the NEOs, based on their management of the Company in the context of current business and economic conditions and our performance relative to our peers.

Because the NEOs have broad policy-making authority, the Compensation Committee holds them responsible for our financial performance and for upholding our values in a competitive marketplace.

We believe that, in this highly competitive market for top executive talent, it is critical that we provide our executive officers with incentives to excel, be internally and externally equitable and promote a culture of innovation and results-oriented service for our students and customers while, at the same time, not encouraging undue risk-taking. We also believe an effective executive compensation program rewards the achievement of short-term, long-term and strategic objectives that are closely aligned with the soundness of the Company and the interests of our stockholders and encourages appropriate decision-making regarding the long-term value of the Company.

Therefore, we believe that a meaningful portion of each executive officer's total direct compensation opportunity should be at risk and payable only if his or her performance benefits the interests of our stockholders.

### 2014 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

During the Company's 2014 Annual Meeting, our shareholders supported our executive compensation programs by voting 93% in favor (including abstentions and excluding broker non-votes) of the then-current program. Our prior vote in 2011 also achieved substantial support with 93% approval (including abstentions and excluding broker non-votes). We hold stockholder advisory "say on pay" votes on the compensation of our NEOs every three years. The Compensation Committee considers the results of our "say on pay" votes, together with the other factors discussed in this Compensation Discussion & Analysis, as it considers appropriate when it reviews and modifies the pay program and compensation packages provided to our NEOs.

### Oversight of the Executive Compensation Program

#### Role of Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee has responsibility for overseeing the administration and development of our executive compensation program. In fulfilling this responsibility, the Compensation Committee formulates recommendations for the total direct compensation, and each individual element of compensation, for our executive officers, including the NEOs, for consideration by and approval of our Board of Directors.

In developing these recommendations, the Compensation Committee evaluates the financial and operational performance of the Company and conducts performance reviews of our CEO/Chairman, taking into consideration the goals and objectives of our short-term and long-term incentive compensation plans. The Compensation Committee also uses the annual performance reviews of our other executive officers, as conducted by our CEO/Chairman or our President and Chief Financial Officer, as a significant factor in developing the compensation recommendations for these individuals.

The Compensation Committee also conducts an annual assessment of the competitiveness of our executive compensation program, with the assistance of its compensation consultant, reviewing our executive officers' total direct compensation opportunities, while, at the same time, analyzing the competitiveness of each compensation element. The Compensation Committee monitors the overall compensation mix of our executive officers' pay by comparing each element to peer group practices to ensure appropriate pay leverage is maintained in the overall compensation package and in equity-based incentives that emphasize the creation of long-term stockholder value. In the summer of 2013, the Compensation Committee conducted its review of compensation for fiscal 2014, examining the base salary, annual cash incentive award opportunity and long-term incentive compensation opportunity of each executive officer, including each NEO. The Compensation Committee also reviewed the value of outstanding long-term incentive compensation awards previously granted to our executive officers again in September 2014 as part of its review and approval of annual equity awards.

#### Role of Executive Officers

Our CEO/Chairman, in consultation with our President and Chief Financial Officer, makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee as to the base salaries, target annual cash incentive award opportunities and long-term incentive compensation opportunities of our executive officers, including the NEOs (other than themselves). These recommendations are based on an analysis of relevant market data (derived from a review of peer company and survey data), as well as his or her evaluation of each executive officer's performance.

#### Role of Compensation Consultants

The Compensation Committee has engaged Compensia, Inc., a national compensation consulting firm, to assist it in fulfilling its responsibilities and duties. Specifically, Compensia's engagement encompasses advisory services such as periodic review of our executive compensation philosophy, a competitive assessment of executive compensation levels and "pay-for-performance" linkage, executive cash and broad-based equity incentive program design, the review of executive officer employment and other agreements and other ad hoc support. In fiscal 2014, Compensia:

- assisted in revising the compensation peer group that the Compensation Committee used to assess the competitive market for its executive positions;
- provided advice and analysis with respect to the propriety and competitive value of all elements of compensation, including base salary, annual cash incentive award opportunities and long-term incentive compensation opportunities for our executive officers; and
- provided advice and analysis on our employment and change-in-control agreements.

Compensia works at the direction of, and reports directly to, the Compensation Committee. A representative of Compensia attends all Compensation Committee meetings. Compensia does not perform any services for the Company unless directed to do so by the Compensation Committee. Other than the work described above, there are no other material relationships between Compensia and the Company or its executive officers or members of our Board of Directors.



The Compensation Committee has analyzed whether the work of Compensia as a compensation consultant has raised any conflicts of interest, taking into consideration the following factors: (i) the provision of other services to the Company by Compensia; (ii) the amount of fees paid by the Company to Compensia as a percentage of Compensia's total revenue; (iii) the policies and procedures of Compensia that are designed to prevent conflicts of interest; (iv) any business or personal relationship of Compensia or any individual compensation consultant employed by Compensia and involved in providing us services with any of our executive officers; (v) any business or personal relationship of Compensia or any individual compensation consultant employed by Compensia and involved in providing us services with any member of the Compensation Committee; and (vi) any stock of the Company owned by Compensia or the individual compensation consultants employed by Compensia and involved in providing services to us. The Compensation Committee has determined, based on its analysis in light of the factors listed above, that the work of Compensia and any individual compensation consultant employed by Compensia and involved in providing us services on behalf of the Compensation Committee has not created any conflicts of interest.

#### Competitive Positioning

To evaluate the competitiveness of our executive compensation program, the Compensation Committee compares each compensation element, as well as the total direct compensation of our executive officers, including the NEOs, against the compensation practices of the following group of peer companies that includes several publicly-traded companies:

American Public Education, Inc.	K12 Inc.
Bridgepoint Education Inc.	Learning Tree International, Inc.
Capella Education Corporation	Lincoln Educational Services Corporation
Career Education Corporation	Nobel Learning Communities, Inc.
Corinthian Colleges, Inc.	Strayer Education, Inc.
DeVry, Inc.	Entegris Inc
Education Management Corporation	GP Strategies Corporation
Grand Canyon Education, Inc.	MTS Systems Corporation
ITT Educational Services, Inc.	True Religion Apparel Inc.

We revised our peer group during fiscal 2014 as noted below. However, data from the above peer group was used to inform all NEO compensation decisions made during fiscal 2014.

In addition to peer group data, we also compile and analyze job-specific compensation survey data prepared from general industry organizations for companies with similar revenue levels. For fiscal 2014, the compensation surveys used were Mercer's Benchmark Database and the Salary.com Survey Database. The data drawn from these surveys was combined with the data derived from the companies in the compensation peer group to create a composite comparison group (the "Competitive Market Data"). In the case of executive officers where compensation data was publicly available from SEC filings, the data derived from the companies in the compensation peer group was weighted more heavily than the compensation survey data in developing the Competitive Market Data for their positions.

We use the Competitive Market Data as a guide when making decisions about target total direct compensation, as well as individual elements of compensation, for our executive officers, including the NEOs. Generally, using the data from the 50th and 75th percentiles of target total direct compensation as reference points, the Compensation Committee determines the amount of each individual element of compensation for each executive officer. Since the Competitive Market Data is simply a starting point for the Compensation Committee's deliberations, which are influenced by several additional factors as described below, the target total direct

compensation opportunities for our executive officers, as well as the amounts of each individual compensation element, may vary from the 50th and 75th percentiles of such data.

We compare the positioning of our employee benefits annually against the Towers Watson Employer Survey on Purchasing Value in Health Care, which covers approximately 11.3 million U.S. employees. Retirement benefits are evaluated annually against general industry standards, using data from our plan administrators (T. Rowe Price and The Newport Group). Typically, we target the median of this data to maintain competitive levels with respect to each of our benefits programs.

In the summer of 2014, we conducted an extensive review of our then-current compensation peer group with the assistance of Compensia and made some significant revisions. The criteria developed to refresh our peer group selection included the following factors: headquartered in the U.S. and traded on a major U.S. stock exchange; revenues of between \$150 million and \$ 950 million; market capitalization between \$55 million and \$1.4 billion; headcount from 430 to 10,750; and a focus on the education services industry. The revised compensation peer group, will be used by the Compensation Committee to evaluate NEO compensation decisions in fiscal 2015:

American Public Education, Inc.  
Bridgepoint Education Inc.  
Cambium Learning Group, Inc.  
Capella Education Corporation  
Career Education Corporation  
Corinthian Colleges, Inc.

GP Strategies Corporation  
Grand Canyon Education, Inc.  
K12, Inc.  
Lincoln Educational Services Corporation  
National American University Holdings, Inc.  
Strayer Education, Inc.

#### Compensation Mix

Our executive compensation program is designed around the concept of total direct compensation - that is, the combination of base salary, annual incentive awards and long-term incentive compensation. In setting the appropriate level of target total direct compensation, the Compensation Committee seeks to establish each compensation element at a level that is competitive and that will attract and motivate top talent, while keeping the overall pay levels aligned with stockholder interests and job responsibilities.

The Compensation Committee believes that the mix of annual incentive awards and long-term incentive compensation was well-balanced and consistent with our executive compensation objectives as impacted by the current business environment. In addition, the Compensation Committee has determined that the relationship between performance and incentive plan payouts are within the range of competitive practices as measured each year against the performance of our compensation peer group and the corresponding compensation paid by the companies in the compensation peer group to their executive officers.

#### Compensation Elements

##### Base Salary

Each year, the Compensation Committee reviews the base salaries of our executive officers, including the NEOs, using the deliberative process described above. In making base salary adjustment recommendations, the Compensation Committee considers our current business environment, the Competitive Market Data and each executive officer's past performance, anticipated future contributions, role, responsibilities, skills and experience. In September 2013, the Compensation Committee approved a reinstatement of the fiscal 2011 salary levels for Ms. McWaters and Mr. Putnam as well as a market adjustment for Ms. McWaters and Mr. Freed based on its review of the competitive positioning of base salary for their roles relative to the compensation peer group.

In addition, the Compensation Committee chose to increase the annual base salary of each of our executive officers to offset the reduction in the contributions the Company had previously made towards the health benefits coverage for each such executive officer. These base salary adjustments were effective December 15, 2013.

The base salaries of the NEOs during fiscal 2013 and 2014 and the salaries approved for fiscal 2015 are as follows:

Named Executive Officer	Fiscal 2013 Base Salary	Fiscal 2014 Base Salary	Fiscal 2015 Base Salary
Ms. McWaters	\$629,342	\$709,000	\$723,180
Mr. Putnam	\$427,500	\$459,000	\$468,180
Mr. Freed	\$320,000	\$359,000	\$366,180
Mr. Cranston	\$320,000	\$329,000	\$335,580
Mr. Smith	\$320,000	\$329,000	\$335,580

The fiscal 2014 base salaries of the Named Executive Officers are included in the “Fiscal 2014 Summary Compensation Table” below.

#### Annual Cash Incentive Awards

During fiscal 2014, our executive officers, including the NEOs, were eligible to earn annual cash incentive awards based on the achievement of specific performance goals for the fiscal year under the 2003 Plan (in the case of Ms. McWaters and Mr. Putnam) and our Management Incentive Plan (in the case of Messrs. Freed, Cranston and Smith).

#### Target Annual Cash Incentive Award Opportunities

The Compensation Committee considered (i) the desired target total cash compensation opportunity and target total direct compensation opportunity that it believed were reasonable and appropriate for each executive officer, (ii) each executive's prior annual cash incentive awards, (iii) our current business environment, (iv) the Competitive Market Data and (v) each executive's past performance, anticipated future contributions, role, responsibilities, skills and experience when establishing their target annual cash incentive award opportunities. The Compensation Committee recommended, and the independent members of our Board of Directors approved, the following target annual cash incentive award opportunities for the NEOs for fiscal 2014:

Named Executive Officer	Target Annual Cash Incentive Award Opportunity
Ms. McWaters	90%
Mr. Putnam	78%
Mr. Freed	60%
Mr. Cranston	60%
Mr. Smith	60%

## Corporate Performance Measure

The annual cash incentive awards of the NEOs were to be based on our financial performance as measured by the Company's EBIT as well as the success of student metrics, graduate placement and completion rates during fiscal 2014. The Compensation Committee recommended, and the independent members of our Board of Directors selected, these performance measures for the annual cash incentive awards because it believed that it effectively captured our need to reward performance and contain costs during fiscal 2014, as well as provided incentives for meeting key student metrics. The bonus metric components were weighted as follows:

Corporate Performance Measure	Weighting
1. EBIT	50%
2. Graduate Placement	25%
3. Completion Rate	25%

Any payment of the student metrics, graduate placement and completion rate, were "modified" based on the achievement of consolidated EBIT for 2014. The threshold, target and maximum performance levels for these measures, as well as the related award payment levels, for the annual cash incentive awards were as follows:

	Threshold	Target	Maximum
EBIT performance level	\$10,000,000	\$25,000,000	\$29,000,000
Award payment level	5%	50%	75%
Student metric modifier	40%	100%	100%

	Threshold	Target	Maximum
Graduate placement	81.5%	86.5%	91.5%
Award payment level*	12.5%	25%	37.5%

	Threshold	Target	Maximum
Completion rate	65%	70%	75%
Award payment level*	12.5%	25%	37.5%

Performance between these stated levels was to be interpolated for purposes of determining the corresponding payment level.

\*Subject to EBIT student metric modifier.

For purposes of the fiscal 2014 annual cash incentive awards, consolidated EBIT was adjusted to exclude the expense associated with the annual cash incentive awards as well as costs associated with the first phase of the restructuring completed in September 2014. The payment calculation for the EBIT component was based on the greater of the annual result or the total of the quarterly results so that it was possible to recover from poor performance during a specific fiscal quarter and retain an incentive for the subsequent fiscal quarters, thereby enhancing the motivational aspects of the awards. However, the portion of the payment attributable to the student metrics was based on the annual fiscal year result.

## Award Decisions and Analysis

In October 2014, the Compensation Committee determined that the Company's adjusted consolidated EBIT for fiscal 2014 was \$11.2 million. The results of the consolidated annual student metrics were 88% for the graduate placement rate and 65.2% for the completion rate. These resulted in an award payment equal to approximately 40.35% of each NEO's target annual cash incentive award opportunity. Accordingly, the independent

members of our Board of Directors approved the following annual cash incentive awards for fiscal 2014:

Named Executive Officer	Target Fiscal 2014 Annual Cash Incentive Award Opportunity	Actual Fiscal 2014 Annual Cash Incentive Award
Ms. McWaters	\$638,100	\$250,797
Mr. Putnam	\$358,100	\$142,173
Mr. Freed	\$215,400	\$84,735
Mr. Cranston	\$197,400	\$79,148
Mr. Smith	\$197,400	\$79,148

#### Long-Term Incentive Compensation

In September 2014, the Compensation Committee recommended, and the independent members of our Board of Directors approved, RSU awards for the NEOs using the deliberative process described above. In determining the size of these awards, the Compensation Committee considered our current challenging business environment, as well as the fiscal concerns of the Company, and, as a result, the size of these awards was maintained at levels equal to the prior two fiscal year awards which continue to be considerably below competitive practice in terms of value. The Compensation Committee continued to issue time-based equity to our NEOs in order to emphasize retention of key talent in an industry in turmoil and to offset the below-market grant levels. These RSU awards vest in four equal annual installments, on each anniversary of the date of grant. The RSU awards were granted to the NEOs in the following amounts:

Named Executive Officer	Number of Shares of Common Stock Underlying Restricted Stock Unit Award	Grant Date Fair Value of Restricted Stock Unit Award
Ms. McWaters	80,322	\$800,007
Mr. Putnam	48,193	\$480,002
Mr. Freed	16,065	\$160,007
Mr. Cranston	16,065	\$160,007
Mr. Smith	16,065	\$160,007

The RSU awards are subject to transfer restrictions and the NEOs will not have voting, dividend or other stockholder rights until the shares of our common stock subject to the awards are issued upon vesting. Vesting of each installment of the RSU awards is subject to continued employment with or service to the Company or a subsidiary through the applicable vesting date, except that the awards vest upon death, disability and terminations of employment or service without cause or for good reason within one year after a change in control of the Company (as defined in the 2003 Plan and award agreements) and except as determined pursuant to the 2003 Plan.

For more information about the RSU awards granted to the NEOs during fiscal 2014, see “Fiscal 2014 Summary Compensation Table” and “Fiscal 2014 Grants of Plan-Based Awards” below.

#### Retirement Benefits

We maintain a defined contribution plan, intended to qualify under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, which is generally available to all employees, including the NEOs, to assist them in saving for retirement. Under this plan, a participant may contribute a maximum of 50% of his or her pre-tax base salary (up to the statutorily-prescribed annual limit). The Board of Directors may approve discretionary matching and/or profit-sharing contributions into the plan for eligible employees. During fiscal 2014, we matched 25 cents on each dollar

saved up to the first 6% of eligible compensation contributed to the plan after the first year of employment. A five-year vesting schedule applies to all of our matching contributions. Effective January 1, 2015, all company matching amounts were suspended. A participant is considered fully vested in our matching contributions after he or she has completed five years of service with the Company.

Our executive officers, including the NEOs, are also eligible to participate in our Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan. We offer this plan to allow participants to set aside a portion of their income for retirement on a pre-tax basis, in addition to the amounts allowed under the Section 401(k) plan. We view these retirement benefits as a key component of our executive compensation program because they are intended to encourage long-term service by our executive officers. In view of the recent reduction and subsequent elimination in our matching contribution to our defined contribution plan, however, we recognize that these retirement benefits do not currently meet the competitive positioning objectives of our compensation philosophy.

#### Severance and Change in Control Benefits

Each of the NEOs is eligible to receive certain payments and benefits in connection with his or her termination of employment under various circumstances, including following a change in control of the Company, under his or her employment agreement or other applicable agreements, and under the terms that apply to our outstanding restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards. We believe that these benefits were necessary to attract our executives and that the change in control benefits are in the best interests of our company and our stockholders because they help assure us that we will have the continued dedication and objectivity of our executive officers, notwithstanding the possibility or occurrence of a change in control. Specifically, these arrangements are intended to mitigate a potential disincentive for the NEOs when they are evaluating a potential acquisition of the Company, particularly when their services may not be required by the acquiring entity. In such a situation, we believe that these arrangements are necessary to encourage retention of the NEOs through the conclusion of the transaction and to ensure a smooth management transition. For further details, see “Executive Compensation - Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control” below.

#### Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits

We provide limited perquisites, such as Company-paid premiums for disability, accidental death and dismemberment coverage, executive physicals, additional term-life insurance and the Executive Medical Plan (ArmadaCare), to certain of our executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers. Given the rigorous demands placed on the Named Executive Officers, we have a vested interest in their proactive focus on their health and security. Based on its review of the Competitive Market Data, the Compensation Committee believes that perquisite levels provided to our executive officers are less than those provided by comparable companies.

#### Tax and Accounting Considerations

##### Deduction Limit

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code limits our ability to deduct non-performance based compensation in excess of \$1 million that we pay to certain of our executive officers. The Compensation Committee seeks to have the incentive compensation paid to the Named Executive Officers be deductible for federal income tax purposes to the greatest extent possible and if it determines that such result is in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders. In certain situations, however, the Compensation Committee may determine that the amount of any foregone income tax deduction is less important than appropriate design and delivery of compensation to our executive officers.

The 2003 Plan, which was approved by our stockholders, permits the grant of options to purchase shares of our common stock, performance shares, performance units, stock appreciation rights, performance-based awards

and cash bonuses that qualify as “performance-based compensation” and which, therefore, should be fully deductible under Section 162(m).

#### “Golden Parachute” Compensation

Sections 280G and 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code provide that our executive officers and directors who hold significant equity interests and certain other service providers may be subject to an excise tax if they receive payments or benefits in connection with a change in control of the Company that exceeds certain prescribed limits, and that we, or a successor, may forfeit an income tax deduction on the amounts subject to this additional tax. We did not provide any executive officer, including any Named Executive Officer, with a “gross-up” or other reimbursement payment for any tax liability that he or she might owe as a result of the application of Sections 280G or 4999 during fiscal 2014 and we have not agreed and are not otherwise obligated to provide any Named Executive Officer with such a “gross-up” or other reimbursement in connection with the payments or benefits in connection with a change in control of the Company. Amounts payable to our Named Executive Officers as a result of terminations in connection with a change in control may be reduced pursuant to provisions of the applicable agreements if they would be subject to taxes as a result of Sections 280G or 4999.

#### Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

We follow Financial Accounting Standard Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, or ASC Topic 718, for our stock-based compensation awards. ASC Topic 718 requires companies to measure the compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors, including stock options, based on the grant date “fair value” of these awards. This calculation is performed for accounting purposes and reported in the compensation tables below, even though our executive officers may never realize any value from their awards. ASC Topic 718 also requires companies to recognize the compensation cost of their stock-based compensation awards in their income statements over the period that an executive officer is required to render service in exchange for the option or other award.

In granting equity awards to the Named Executive Officers during fiscal 2014, the Compensation Committee generally considered the potential expense of those awards under generally accepted accounting principles and their impact on our earnings per share. The Compensation Committee concluded that the award levels were in the best interests of our stockholders given competitive compensation practices among the companies in our compensation peer group, the awards’ potential compensation expense, our performance and the impact of the awards on employee motivation and retention.

#### Other Compensation Policies

##### Compensation Recovery Policy

Currently, we have not implemented a policy regarding retroactive adjustments to any cash or equity-based incentive compensation paid to our executive officers and other employees where the payments were predicated upon the achievement of financial results that were subsequently the subject of a financial restatement. We intend to adopt a general compensation recovery (“clawback”) policy covering our annual and long-term incentive compensation plans and arrangements once the SEC adopts final rules implementing the requirement of Section 954 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act.

##### Equity Award Policy

Pursuant to our equity award grant policy, we grant equity awards upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee and with the approval from the independent members of our Board of Directors. Awards to newly-hired or promoted executive officers who are eligible to receive stock awards are proposed for approval at our Board of Directors’ next regularly-scheduled meeting following the executive officer’s hire or promotion.





Award timing is applied consistently. Typically, our annual equity awards are granted in September. Equity award levels are based on the grant date fair value of the shares of our common stock, reduced by the present value of the expected future dividends (which restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards do not receive) and vary among participants based on their positions within the Company.

For fiscal 2014, the equity awards were granted at the Board of Directors' meeting held in September.

#### Compensation-Related Risk

The Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors believes that our compensation policies and practices as generally applicable to the Company's employees do not encourage excessive and unnecessary risk-taking and that the level of risk that the policies and practices do encourage is not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

#### Compensation Committee Interlocks

Ms. Srere and Messrs. Conrad, Blaszkiewicz and Lennox served as members of the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors during fiscal 2014. None of these directors was an executive officer or otherwise an employee of the Company before or during such service, and no executive officer of the Company served on any other company's compensation committee.

## EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

## Fiscal 2014 Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes the compensation during the last three fiscal years (as applicable) to our CEO/Chairman, our President and Chief Financial Officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers of the Company (other than our principal executive officer and principal financial officer) who were serving as executive officers at the end of fiscal 2014.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$) (1)	Stock Awards (\$) (2)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) (3)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Kimberly J. McWaters Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board	2014	695,183	—	800,007	250,797	41,050	(4) 1,787,037
	2013	631,673	80,000	1,200,006	233,400	56,074	2,201,153
	2012	662,465	—	800,011	447,200	64,093	1,973,769
Eugene S. Putnam, Jr. President and Chief Financial Officer	2014	454,223	—	480,002	142,173	42,768	(5) 1,119,166
	2013	429,144	162,500	680,000	137,400	55,084	1,464,128
	2012	450,000	67,500	480,004	263,300	58,148	1,318,952
Chad A. Freed General Counsel and Senior Vice President Business Development	2014	352,281	—	160,007	84,735	39,793	(6) 636,816
	2013	321,231	130,000	210,003	79,100	51,340	791,674
	2012	320,000	48,000	160,010	144,000	53,851	725,861
Kenneth J. Cranston Senior Vice President Admissions	2014	328,396	—	160,007	79,148	39,740	(7) 607,291
	2013	321,231	30,000	210,003	79,100	148,597	788,931
	2012	320,000	176,000	160,010	—	183,516	839,526
Sherrell E. Smith Senior Vice President Operations	2014	328,396	—	160,007	79,148	43,624	(8) 611,175
	2013	321,231	30,000	210,003	79,100	50,265	690,599

(1) The amounts reported in the Bonus column include recognition and retention bonuses.

The amounts reported in this Stock Awards column for 2014 represent the aggregate grant date fair value of the restricted stock unit awards granted during fiscal 2014. Amounts reported in this Stock Awards column for 2013 represent the aggregate grant date fair value of the restricted stock unit awards granted during fiscal 2013 and the aggregate grant date fair value of the SMIP award opportunities for fiscal 2013. Amounts reported in this Stock Awards column for 2012 represent the aggregate grant date fair value of the restricted stock awards granted to the Named Executive Officers in fiscal 2012. Amounts in this column do not reflect whether the recipient has actually realized a financial benefit from the award. The assumptions used in the calculations of these amounts are included in Note 15 to our Consolidated Financial Statements contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2014. The SMIP was an annual plan and did not achieve payout.

(3) The amounts reported in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column represent, with respect to Ms. McWaters and Mr. Putnam, amounts earned under the 2003 Plan. With respect to the other NEOs, the amounts

reported represent amounts earned under our Management Incentive Plan.

The amount reported in this All Other Compensation column for fiscal 2014 represents \$14,341 in medical premiums, \$461 in dental premiums, \$1,038 in disability premiums and \$902 in life insurance premiums. This (4) amount also includes \$1,929 imputed income from group-term life insurance, \$18,288 ArmadaCare medical reimbursement benefits and premiums, and \$4,091 contributed on a matching basis pursuant to the terms of the Section 401(k) plan.

The amount reported in this All Other Compensation column for fiscal 2014 represents \$14,341 in medical premiums, \$461 in dental premiums, \$1,038 in disability premiums and \$902 in life insurance premiums. This (5) amount also includes \$3,511 imputed income from group-term life insurance, \$18,288 ArmadaCare medical reimbursement benefits and premiums, \$4,025 contributed on a matching basis pursuant to the terms of the Section 401(k) plan and \$202 in service awards.

The amount reported in this All Other Compensation column for fiscal 2014 represents \$14,341 in medical premiums, \$461 in dental premiums, \$1,038 in disability premiums and \$902 in life insurance premiums. This (6) amount also includes \$904 imputed income from group-term life insurance, \$18,288 ArmadaCare medical reimbursement benefits and premiums, and \$3,859 contributed on a matching basis pursuant to the terms of the Section 401(k) plan.

The amount reported in this All Other Compensation column for fiscal 2014 represents \$14,341 in medical premiums, \$461 in dental premiums, \$1,038 in disability premiums and \$902 in life insurance premiums. This (7) amount also includes \$2,078 imputed income from group-term life insurance, \$18,288 ArmadaCare medical reimbursement benefits and premiums, and \$2,632 contributed on a matching basis pursuant to the terms of the Section 401(k) plan.

The amount reported in this All Other Compensation column for fiscal 2014 represents \$14,341 in medical premiums, \$461 in dental premiums, \$1,038 in disability premiums and \$902 in life insurance premiums. This (8) amount also includes \$2,078 imputed income from group-term life insurance, \$18,288 ArmadaCare medical reimbursement benefits and premiums, \$3,790 contributed on a matching basis pursuant to the terms of the Section 401(k) plan, and \$2,726 for an executive physical.

## Fiscal 2014 Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table sets forth information regarding the grant of plan-based awards to the NEOs in fiscal 2014.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	All Other Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)			
Kimberly J. McWaters										
ACIA (1)		9,600	638,100	957,200						
Stock Award (2)	Sep 4, 2014						80,322		800,007	
Eugene S. Putnam, Jr.										
ACIA (1)		5,400	358,100	537,100						
Stock Award (2)	Sep 4, 2014						48,193		480,002	
Chad A. Freed										
ACIA (1)		3,300	215,400	323,100						
Stock Award (2)	Sep 4, 2014						16,065		160,007	
Kenneth J. Cranston										
ACIA (1)		3,000	197,400	296,100						
Stock Award (2)	Sep 4, 2014						16,065		160,007	
Sherrell E. Smith										
ACIA (1)		3,000	197,400	296,100						
Stock Award (2)	Sep 4, 2014						16,065		160,007	

(1) The "Annual Cash Incentive Awards (ACIA)" amounts reported represent the dollar value of the estimated possible payout upon satisfaction of the conditions subject to the non-equity incentive plan awards granted to the Named Executive Officers in fiscal 2014. The ACIA Payout was based on the greater of the annual result or the total of the weighted quarterly results. Therefore, the threshold amount is based on the potential of earning the EBIT portion of the lowest-weighted quarter for the period. Please note that each quarter is weighted as follows: Q1 = 36%, Q2 = 3%, Q3 = 7%, Q4 = 54%.

(2) The amounts reported in the Stock Awards column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of the restricted stock unit awards granted to the Named Executive Officers in fiscal 2014 and do not reflect whether the recipient will actually realize a financial benefit from the award. The assumptions used in the calculations of these amounts are included in Note 15 to our Consolidated Financial Statements contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2014.

In fiscal 2014, each of the NEOs received his or her long-term incentive compensation in the form of a restricted stock unit award for shares of our common stock. These awards are subject to service-based restrictions which lapse at a rate

of 25% of the shares of our common stock subject to the award on the first four anniversaries of the date of grant. The NEOs have no voting, dividend or other stockholder rights with respect to the shares of our common stock underlying the restricted stock unit awards until the awards vest and the shares subject to the awards are issued. Further, the NEOs may not sell or transfer the awards (or the shares of our common stock subject to the awards) until the awards vest.

The restricted stock unit awards vest upon a NEO's death, disability, or termination of employment without "cause" or termination of employment by the NEO for "good reason" within one year following a change in control of the Company. The employment agreements with Ms. McWaters and Mr. Putnam, provide for any restricted stock or stock units scheduled to vest within twelve months of a qualified retirement (the point at which age and service total 65) to

continue to vest as scheduled post-retirement. Only Ms. McWaters currently meets the required age and service requirements to be eligible for qualified retirement at this time.

For purposes of these restricted stock unit awards:

“Cause” includes, but is not limited to, the following: (i) conviction of, or plea of guilty or nolo contendere to, a felony or a crime involving embezzlement, conversion of property or moral turpitude; (ii) a finding by a majority of our Board of Directors of fraud, embezzlement or conversion of the Company’s property; (iii) conviction of, or plea of guilty or nolo contendere to, a crime involving the acquisition, use or expenditure of federal, state or local government funds or the unlawful use, possession or sale of illegal substances; (iv) an administrative or judicial determination of fraud or any other violation of law involving federal, state or local government funds; and (v) a finding by a majority of our Board of Directors of a knowing breach of any fiduciary duties to the Company or our stockholders or making of a misrepresentation or omission which breach, misrepresentation or omission would reasonably be expected to materially adversely affect the business, properties, assets, condition (financial or other) or prospects of the Company.

“Good reason” means a material reduction in a Named Executive Officer’s authority, perquisites, position or responsibilities (other than such a reduction which affects all of our senior executives on a substantially equal or proportionate basis), or a requirement that the Named Executive Officer relocate greater than 50 miles from his or her current primary work location.

#### Fiscal 2014 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table sets forth certain information regarding all outstanding equity awards held by each of the NEOs as of September 30, 2014. The values reported in the table have not been, and may never be, realized. The options to purchase shares of our common stock reported in the table may never be exercised and the value, if any, will depend on the market price of our common stock on the exercise date. In addition, the restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards are subject to forfeiture restrictions, unless an eligible NEO (currently only Ms. McWaters and Mr. Putnam), experiences a qualified retirement as described above. The value realized, if any, will depend on the market price of our common stock on the date a NEO eventually sells such shares once the restrictions have lapsed.

Name	Award Date	Option Awards			Stock Awards		Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units, or Other Rights Held That Have Not Vested (\$)
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)		
Kimberly J. McWaters								
	Feb 16, 2005	65,000	\$ 38.46	Feb 16, 2015				
	Jun 15, 2006	52,500	\$ 23.25	Jun 15, 2016				
	Feb 28, 2007	9,300	\$ 23.63	Feb 28, 2017				
	Jun 03, 2008	39,300	\$ 12.75	Jun 03, 2015				
	Sep 20, 2011				29,155	(2) \$272,599		
	Sep 20, 2012				37,976	(2) \$355,076		
	Sep 05, 2013				62,501	(3) \$584,384		
	Sep 04, 2014				80,322	(3) \$751,011		
Eugene S. Putnam, Jr.								
	Aug 11, 2008	22,914	\$ 15.79	Aug 11, 2015				
	Mar 07, 2011				2,146	(1) \$20,065		
	Sep 20, 2011				17,493	(2) \$163,560		
	Sep 20, 2012				22,785	(2) \$213,040		
	Sep 05, 2013				37,500	(3) \$350,625		
	Sep 04, 2014				48,193	(3) \$450,605		
Chad A. Freed								
	Feb 16, 2005	12,000	\$ 38.46	Feb 16, 2015				
	Jun 15, 2006	11,000	\$ 23.25	Jun 15, 2016				
		2,800	\$ 23.63					



Feb 28, 2007			Feb 28, 2017		
Jun 03, 2008	19,425	\$ 12.75	Jun 03, 2015		
Sep 20, 2011				7,596	(2) \$54,520
Sep 20, 2012				12,501	(2) \$71,023
Sep 05, 2013				16,065	(3) \$116,884
Sep 04, 2014				10,128	(3) \$150,208

Kenneth J. Cranston

Sep 20, 2011				5,831	(2) \$54,520
Sep 20, 2012				7,596	(2) \$71,023
Sep 05, 2013				12,501	(3) \$116,884
Sep 04, 2014				16,065	(3) \$150,208

Sherrell E. Smith

Sep 11, 2012				646	(2) \$6,040
Sep 20, 2012				7,596	(2) \$71,023
Sep 05, 2013				12,501	(3) \$116,884
Sep 04, 2014				16,065	(3) \$150,208

- 
- (1) Assuming continued employment with the Company, the shares of common stock subject to these restricted stock awards will vest 25% per year on the first four anniversaries of the date of grant.
- (2) Assuming continued employment with the Company, the shares of common stock subject to these restricted stock awards will vest 20% per year on the first five anniversaries of the date of grant.

- (3) Assuming continued employment with the Company, the shares of common stock subject to these restricted stock unit awards will vest 25% per year on the first four anniversaries of the date of grant.

#### Fiscal 2014 Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the options to purchase shares of our common stock exercised by the NEOs and the restricted stock awards held by the NEOs that vested during fiscal 2014.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$) (1)
Kimberly J. McWaters	—	—	67,281	725,639
Eugene S. Putnam, Jr	—	—	41,634	455,438
Chad A. Freed	—	—	15,927	174,282
Kenneth J. Cranston	—	—	15,177	169,038
Sherrell E. Smith	—	—	7,021	75,447

(1) Represents the market value of the stock on the vesting date, multiplied by the number of shares that vested.

#### Pension Benefits

We did not sponsor any defined benefit pension or other actuarial plan for the NEOs during fiscal 2014.

#### Fiscal 2014 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

The following table sets forth the vested account balances of the NEOs under our Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014.

Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$ (1)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY (\$ (2)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$ (3)
Kimberly J. McWaters	—	—	43,704	—	580,808
Eugene S. Putnam, Jr.	83,647	—	29,935	—	392,008
Chad A. Freed	35,228	—	14,846	—	205,283
Kenneth J. Cranston	93,312	—	19,020	—	287,272
Sherrell E. Smith	138,385	—	13,213	—	216,907

Reflects the amounts deferred for each individual into the Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan. These (1) amounts are included in the Salary column in the Fiscal 2014 Summary Compensation Table.

- (2) Reflects the Company's contributions to the individual's deferred compensation account. There were no Company contributions in 2014.
- (3) Reflects the fully vested and earned compensation as of September 30, 2014.

Pursuant to our Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan, a participant employed for at least one year is eligible for an incentive matching contribution equal to 50% of his or her plan contributions, up to the maximum "matchable" compensation equivalent to 5% of base salary. These Company matching contributions are subject to a five-year vesting schedule. Beginning in January 2013, we suspended the Company matching contribution under the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan.

The Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan allows for deferral of up to 75% of salary and up to 90% of annual bonus, as well as participant deferrals to offset any excess deferrals which are returned to the participant due to required discrimination testing under the 401(k) plan. Participants may elect to invest among a number of mutual fund options and participant account balances will be credited on a pre-tax basis with earnings, or losses, equivalent to the actual market performance of these investments.

Participants can elect to receive payments at separation from service and/or in the form of an in-service withdrawal. Accounts are generally valued on the last business day of the month following separation from service, death or disability, with payments made in the following month. Separation from Service accounts can be paid in: (i) a lump sum, (ii) annual installments over a period of up to 10 years, or (iii) a partial lump sum with the balance paid in annual installments, according to a participant's election.

In-Service account elections must be made for a specific date, at least three years in the future, and can be paid in a lump sum or in annual installments over a period of up to five years, according to the participant's election.

If a participant dies, becomes disabled while employed by the Company or separates from services within 24 months following a change in control, all of his or her accounts will be paid in a single lump sum.

Participants may also receive distributions in the event of an unforeseeable financial emergency resulting from: (i) an illness or accident involving the participant, participant's spouse or dependents, or a beneficiary, (ii) a loss of property due to casualty, or (iii) other extraordinary and unforeseeable events that are beyond the participant's control. Participants may request an emergency distribution from the plan up to the amount necessary to satisfy the emergency. All requests for such distributions require the approval of the Company. No NEOs requested such distributions in fiscal year 2014.

#### Employment Agreements

We have entered into employment arrangements with certain of the NEOs as described below.

These agreements provide for employment for a specified term and include the NEO's initial base salary, a discretionary annual cash incentive award opportunity and standard employee benefit plan participation. These employment agreements were each subject to execution of our standard confidential information and covenant not to compete provision.

#### Employment Agreement with Ms. McWaters

Effective April 8, 2014, we entered into a new employment agreement with Ms. McWaters that provides for the terms and conditions of her employment as our Chief Executive Officer. The employment agreement has an initial term of three years and will automatically renew for successive three-year terms thereafter, unless either party gives written notice to the other 180-120 days prior to the end of the then-current term of intent not to renew



the agreement. The agreement provides for a base salary of \$709,000 per annum, which will be reviewed annually by the Board of Directors. The agreement also provides for an annual bonus based on performance as determined and approved by the Board of Directors and provides for other benefits and perquisites as established and made available from time to time by the Board of Directors. Equity awards will be granted in the discretion of and as determined and approved by the Board of Directors, and, unless we and Ms. McWaters agree otherwise, any stock units awarded to Ms. McWaters will include a provision that if Ms. McWaters experiences a qualifying “retirement” (as defined in the agreement), then any portion of such stock units that are scheduled to vest within 12 months following such retirement will continue to vest in accordance with their terms as if Ms. McWaters were still employed, notwithstanding any continuous employment or services requirements applicable generally under any equity plan or award, subject to Ms. McWaters’ continued compliance with certain covenants and subject to having signed and not revoked a release in favor of our Company. The employment agreement further provides for certain payments and benefits in the event of Ms. McWaters’ termination of employment under certain specified circumstances, including in connection with a change in control of our Company. For further information, see “Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control” below.

#### Employment Agreement with Mr. Putnam

Effective April 8, 2014, we entered into a new employment agreement with Mr. Putnam that provides for the terms and conditions of his employment as our President and Chief Financial Officer. The employment agreement has an initial term of three years and will automatically renew for successive three-year terms thereafter, unless either party gives written notice to the other 180-120 days prior to the end of the then-current term of intent not to renew the agreement. The agreement provides for a base salary of \$459,000 per annum, which will be reviewed annually by the Board of Directors. The agreement also provides for an annual bonus based on performance as determined and approved by the Board of Directors and provides for other benefits and perquisites as established and made available from time to time by the Board of Directors. Equity awards will be granted in the discretion of and as determined and approved by the Board of Directors, and, unless we and Mr. Putnam agree otherwise, any stock units awarded to Mr. Putnam will include a provision that if Mr. Putnam experiences a qualifying “retirement” (as defined in the agreement), then any portion of such stock units that are scheduled to vest within 12 months following such retirement will continue to vest in accordance with their terms as if Mr. Putnam were still employed, notwithstanding any continuous employment or services requirements applicable generally under any equity plan or award, subject to Mr. Putnam’s continued compliance with certain covenants and subject to having signed and not revoked a release in favor of our Company. The employment agreement further provides for certain payments and benefits in the event of Mr. Putnam’s termination of employment under certain specified circumstances, including in connection with a change in control of our Company. For further information, see “Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control” below.

#### Employment Agreement with Mr. Cranston

Effective April 8, 2014, we entered into an amended and restated employment agreement with Mr. Cranston, which amended and restated his employment agreement dated as of March 15, 2011, as amended and restated as of October 31, 2012, and as subsequently amended as of March 7, 2014. The amended and restated employment agreement has an initial term ending on April 8, 2015. The agreement provides for a base salary of \$329,000 per annum, which will be reviewed annually by the Chief Executive Officer. The agreement also provides for an annual bonus based on performance as determined and approved by the Board of Directors and provides for other benefits and perquisites as established and made available from time to time by the Board of Directors. The amended and restated employment agreement further provides for certain payments and benefits in the event of Mr. Cranston’s termination of employment under certain specified circumstances, including in connection with a change in control of our Company. For further information, see “Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control” below.

#### Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

Each of the NEOs is eligible to receive certain payments and benefits in connection with his or her termination of employment under various circumstances, including following a change in control of the Company, under his or her employment agreement or other applicable agreements, and under the terms that apply to our outstanding restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards.

The estimated potential payments and benefits payable to each NEO in the event of termination of employment as of September 30, 2014 pursuant to his or her particular post-employment compensation arrangement are described below.

The actual amounts that would be paid or distributed to the NEOs as a result of one of the termination events occurring in the future may be different than those presented below as many factors will affect the amount of any payments and benefits upon a termination of employment. For example, some of the factors that could affect the amounts payable include the NEO's base salary, then-current incentive opportunities and applicable arrangements, then-current levels of health and other welfare benefits coverage and the market price of our common stock. Although we have entered into written arrangements to provide payments and benefits to the NEOs in connection with a termination of employment under particular circumstances, we, or an acquirer, may mutually agree with the NEOs on severance terms that vary from those provided in these pre-existing arrangements. Finally, in addition to the amounts presented below, each NEO would also be able to exercise any previously vested options to purchase shares of our common stock that he or she holds. For more information about the NEOs outstanding equity awards as of September 30, 2014, see "Fiscal 2014 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End."

Along with the payments and benefits described in a NEO's individual post-employment compensation agreement and equity award agreements, he or she is also eligible to receive any benefits accrued under our broad-based benefit plans, in accordance with the terms of those plans and policies.

#### Ms. McWaters and Messrs. Putnam and Cranston

Each of the employment agreements with Ms. McWaters and Messrs. Putnam and Cranston provides for certain specific payments and benefits under certain circumstances, including in the event that his or her employment is terminated by us without "cause" or by him or her for "good reason" (as these terms are defined in the agreements), including in connection with a change in control of the Company. For Ms. McWaters and Mr. Putnam, non-renewal of the employment agreement will also result in severance payments. The death or disability of the NEO also triggers certain payments and benefits. While the details of these agreements vary, generally each provides for a continuation of base salary payments for a specified period following a termination of employment. For Ms. McWaters and Mr. Putnam, upon a qualified retirement, any stock units scheduled to vest within 12 months following such retirement will continue to vest according to their terms. As a condition to any such payments and benefits, the NEO must first execute a waiver and release of claims in favor of the Company.

#### Messrs. Freed and Smith

We have entered into change in control agreements with Messrs. Freed and Smith. These agreements provide that if the employment of either NEO is terminated by us without "cause" or by him for "good reason" (as these terms are defined in the agreement) within one year following a change in control of the Company, subject to executing a waiver and release of claims in favor of the Company, he will receive:

His base salary for a specified period following the date of termination of employment;

A prorated portion of his annual cash incentive award (calculated by multiplying his target bonus percentage by his fiscal year base salary earned through the date of termination); and

12 months of paid health benefits continuation and outplacement services.

We entered into these agreements with Messrs. Freed and Smith to facilitate our ability to retain the services of these NEOs in a competitive marketplace that commonly offers such protections.

In addition, in conjunction with Mr. Smith's offer of employment in August 2012, we entered into an agreement with him in the form of an addendum to his offer letter (the "Addendum Letter"). The Addendum Letter provides Mr. Smith with his base salary for 52 weeks if his employment is terminated by us without "cause." In addition, in this situation he will receive 12 months of subsidized health benefits continuation and Company-paid outplacement services. In the event that Mr. Smith's employment is terminated without "cause" following a change in control of the Company, he will be eligible to receive payments and benefits only as provided under his change in control agreement with us, and not pursuant to the Addendum Letter.

#### Other Executive Officers

In December 2014, we amended our Severance Plan to provide severance pay and outplacement to eligible Senior Vice Presidents of our Company. In the event an eligible Senior Vice President is terminated by us without "cause" (as defined in the Severance Plan), such Senior Vice President would be entitled to receive cash severance equal to their annual base salary at the highest rate in effect during the 12 months immediately preceding the termination. In addition, such eligible Senior Vice President would receive the following:

- additional cash severance equal to (i) the sum of 12 times the monthly employer-paid portion of the medical and dental premiums in effect at termination, plus (ii) 40% of the sum in subsection (i);
- payment of a pro-rated bonus for the fiscal year in which the termination occurs, but only if such bonus is approved by the Board of Directors;
- payment of any bonus to which the eligible Senior Vice President may be entitled for the fiscal year immediately preceding the termination date if the termination occurs between the end of the fiscal year and the applicable bonus payout; and
- payment for 12 months of outplacement services.

The purpose of this amendment was to provide equivalent protections to these executives in a consistent manner and eventually reduce the number of independent employment agreements.

The tables below show the estimated payments and benefits payable to each NEO under various scenarios related to a termination of employment, including in connection with a change in control of the Company. The tables below assume that such termination occurred on September 30, 2014, but also include the estimated payments and benefits payable to the Senior Vice Presidents pursuant to the amended Severance Plan adopted in December 2014. The actual amounts that would be paid to any NEO can only be determined at the time of an actual termination of employment and may vary from those set forth below. The estimated payments and benefits set forth below are in addition to any retirement, welfare and other benefits that are available to our employees generally.



	Termination without Cause or for Good Reason	Termination Following Change in Control	Current Company Non-Renewal Termination (Termination within 12 months)	Disability	Death
<b>Kimberly J. McWaters</b>					
Severance Payments (1)	\$1,418,000	\$1,418,000	\$1,418,000	\$1,418,000	\$1,418,000
Annual Incentive Plan (2)	250,797	1,276,200	—	250,797	250,797
Benefits (4)	140,309	140,309	—	140,309	879,185
Stock Awards (6)	187,748	1,963,070	187,748	1,963,070	1,963,070
Total	\$1,996,854	\$4,797,579	\$1,605,748	\$3,772,176	\$4,511,052
<b>Eugene S. Putnam, Jr.</b>					
Severance Payments (1)	\$918,000	\$918,000	\$688,500	\$918,000	\$918,000
Annual Incentive Plan (2)	142,173	537,150	—	142,173	142,173
Benefits (4)	140,309	140,309	—	140,309	879,185
Stock Awards (6)	—	1,197,894	—	1,197,894	1,197,894
Total	\$1,200,482	\$2,793,353	\$688,500	\$2,398,376	\$3,137,252
<b>Chad A. Freed</b>					
Severance Payments (1)	\$359,000	\$359,000	\$—	\$—	\$—
Annual Incentive Plan (2)	84,735	215,400	—	—	84,735
Benefits (5)	59,624	45,446	—	—	800,000
Stock Awards (7)	—	392,635	—	392,635	392,635
Total	\$503,359	\$1,012,481	\$—	\$392,635	\$1,277,370
<b>Kenneth J. Cranston</b>					
Severance Payments (1)	\$329,000	\$329,000	\$—	\$—	\$—
Bonus (3)	181,000	197,400	—	—	79,148
Benefits (5)	59,624	45,446	—	—	800,000
Stock Awards (7)	—	392,635	—	392,635	392,635
Deferred Compensation Plan (8)	—	4,505	—	4,505	4,505
Total	\$569,624	\$968,986	\$—	\$397,140	\$1,276,288
<b>Sherrell E. Smith</b>					
Severance Payments (1)	\$329,000	\$329,000	\$—	\$—	\$—
Annual Incentive Plan (2)	79,148	197,400	—	—	79,148
Benefits (5)	59,624	45,446	—	—	800,000
Stock Awards (7)	—	344,155	—	344,155	344,155
Total	\$467,772	\$916,001	\$—	\$344,155	\$1,223,303

(1) Represents 24 months of previous base salary for Ms. McWaters and Mr. Putnam. Represents 12 months of base salary for Messrs. Freed, Cranston and Smith.

(2) Represents actual bonus earned pro-rated through termination date for all NEOs for all applicable columns except for termination following a change in control. For terminations following a change in control, represents target bonus through termination date and two times target bonus for Ms. McWaters and Mr. Putnam.

Represents 55% of fiscal year salary earned through termination date for Mr. Cranston. For a change in control, (3) represents target bonus through termination date. In the event of death, the actual bonus earned pro-rated through termination date would be paid out.

Represents 150% of the value of 24 months medical, dental and vision insurance premiums for Ms. McWaters and Mr. Putnam. Includes reasonable outplacement benefits, and in the event of death, life insurance benefits of (4) \$800,000. If separation is the result of disability, executives would also be eligible for disability insurance benefits under the Company employee benefit plan. For Ms. McWaters and Mr. Putnam, if separation is the result of death this amount reflects 24 months of medical, dental and vision for Ms. McWaters' and Mr. Putnam's spouse and children.

Represents the value of 12 months of medical, dental and vision insurance premiums for Messrs. Freed, Cranston and Smith for a change in control, and represents 140% of the same value for termination without cause. Includes (5) reasonable outplacement benefits, and in the event of death, life insurance benefits of \$800,000. If separation is the result of disability, executives would also be eligible for disability insurance benefits under the Company employee benefit plan.

Represents all unvested restricted stock and restricted stock units which become fully vested and exercisable upon a change in control or the executive's death or disability. Ms. McWaters meets retirement criteria for which (6) unvested restricted stock units scheduled to vest within 12 months following a qualified retirement would continue to vest. Mr. Putnam will meet the criteria for a qualified retirement resulting in the continued vesting of his unvested restricted stock units for a 12 month period effective October 26, 2016.

(7) Represents all unvested restricted stock and restricted stock units, which become fully vested and exercisable upon a change in control or the executive's death or disability.

(8) Represents unvested portion of Company deferred compensation contribution as of September 30, 2014.

Total amounts payable to Ms. McWaters and Mr. Putnam would be whichever of the amounts, either the full amount or such lesser amount as would result in no part of the payments being subject to the excise tax, result in employee's receipt on an after-tax basis of the greater amount. Total amounts for all other Named Executive Officers may be reduced to the extent necessary so that the amount payable is not subject to excise tax under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code.

#### COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

This report of the Compensation Committee shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any previous filing by us under either the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), or the Exchange Act that incorporates future Securities Act or Exchange Act filings in whole or in part by reference.

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis included elsewhere in this Proxy Statement. Based on this review and the discussions with management, the Compensation Committee recommended to our Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2014 and this Proxy Statement.

The Compensation Committee:

David Blaszkiewicz (Chair)

Conrad A. Conrad

LTG. (R) William J. Lennox, Jr.

Linda J. Srere

#### OTHER MATTERS

The Board of Directors knows of no matters, other than the proposal presented above, to be submitted to the Annual Meeting. If any other matters properly come before the Annual Meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the proxy card enclosed with this Proxy Statement to vote the shares they represent as the Board of Directors may recommend.

#### SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth information as of December 26, 2014 with respect to the beneficial ownership of shares of common stock by:

- each person known to us to be the beneficial owner of 5% or more of the outstanding shares of our common stock;
- each of our directors, director nominees and NEOs; and
- all of our executive officers and directors as a group.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act and generally includes voting or investment power over securities. Under this rule, a person is deemed to be the beneficial owner of securities that can be acquired by such person within 60 days of December 26, 2014 upon the exercise of options. Each beneficial owner's percentage ownership is determined by assuming that all options held by such person that are exercisable within 60 days of December 26, 2014 have been exercised. Except in cases where community property laws apply or as indicated in the footnotes to this table, we believe that each stockholder identified in the table possesses sole voting and investment power over all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by the stockholder.

Name	Number	Percent	
Directors and Named Executive Officers:			
Kimberly J. McWaters(1)	371,409	1.5	%
Eugene S. Putnam, Jr.(2)	105,484	*	
Kenneth J. Cranston(3)	28,692	*	
Chad A. Freed(4)	75,950	*	
Sherrell E. Smith(5)	58,965	*	
David A. Blaszkiewicz	16,752	*	
Alan E. Cabito	13,005	*	
Conrad A. Conrad	25,108	*	
LTG (R) William J. Lennox, Jr.	3,976	*	
Roderick R. Paige	18,559	*	
Roger S. Penske	13,255	*	
Linda J. Srere	22,108	*	
Kenneth R. Trammell	15,627	*	
John C. White(6)	2,546,118	10.0	%
All directors and executive officers as a group (17 persons)(7)	3,372,897	13.2	%
5% Holders:(8)			
BlackRock, Inc.(9)	1,973,733	7.7	%
Royce & Associates, LLC(10)	2,388,211	9.4	%
Stadium Capital Management LLC(11)	3,606,894	14.2	%
Sterling Capital Management, LLC(12)	1,597,449	6.3	%
Vulcan Value Partners, LLC(13)	3,326,249	13.1	%

\* Less than 1%.

(1) Includes 67,131 shares of restricted stock which are forfeitable until vested (restrictions on the shares of restricted stock lapse according to specific schedules over a period of four or five years); 166,100 shares of common stock subject to exercisable options. Ms. McWaters has sole voting and investment power over 370,702 shares and shared voting and investment power over 707 shares. Ms. McWaters is our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer.

(2) Includes 42,424 shares of restricted stock which are forfeitable until vested (restrictions on the shares of restricted stock lapse according to specific schedules over a period of four or five years); 22,914 shares of common stock subject to exercisable options. Mr. Putnam is our President and Chief Financial Officer.

(3) Includes 13,427 shares of restricted stock which are forfeitable until vested (restrictions on the shares of restricted stock lapse according to specific schedules over a period of three, four or five years). Mr. Cranston is our Senior Vice President of Admissions.

- (4) Includes 13,427 shares of restricted stock which are forfeitable until vested (restrictions on the shares of restricted stock lapse according to specific schedules over a period of four or five years); 45,225 shares of common stock subject to exercisable options. Mr. Freed is our General Counsel, Senior Vice President Business Development.
- (5) Includes 12,916 shares of restricted stock which are forfeitable until vested (restrictions on the shares of restricted stock lapse according to specific schedules over a period of four or five years); 5,700 shares of common stock subject to exercisable options. Mr. Smith has sole voting and investment power over 37,036 shares and shared voting and investment power over 21,929 shares. Mr. Smith is our Senior Vice President of Operations.
- (6) Includes 2,464,675 shares of common stock held of record by Whites' Family Company, LLC; 1,000 shares held of record by John C. White and Cynthia L. White 1989 Family Trust, of which John C. White is a trustee; 11,662 shares of restricted stock which are forfeitable until vested (restrictions on the shares of restricted stock lapse according to specific schedules over a period of four or five years). The White Descendants Trust u/a/d September 10, 1997 is the sole member and manager of Whites' Family Company, LLC. John C. White is the trustee of the White Descendants Trust u/a/d September 10, 1997. Mr. White has sole voting and investment power over 80,443 shares and shared voting and investment power over 2,465,675 shares.
- (7) Includes 2,938,652 shares of common stock; 193,306 shares of restricted stock which are forfeitable until vested (restrictions on the shares of restricted stock lapse according to specific schedules over a period of four or five years); and 240,939 shares of common stock subject to exercisable options.
- (8) For 5% Holders, the Company is relying on the numbers of shares as reported in the applicable Schedule 13D or Schedule 13G and calculating the percentage in this table based on the number of shares outstanding at December 26, 2014. Accordingly, certain holders who previously filed a Schedule 13D or Schedule 13G have been excluded where their percentage ownership at the record date as so calculated falls below the 5% threshold.
- (9) Based solely on the information provided in a Schedule 13G (Amendment No. 4) filed by BlackRock, Inc. ("BlackRock") with the SEC as of December 31, 2013. BlackRock reported sole voting power with respect to 1,904,930 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 1,973,733 shares. The business address for BlackRock is 40 East 52nd Street, New York, New York 10022.
- (10) Based solely on the information provided in a Schedule 13G (Amendment No. 10) filed by Royce & Associates, LLC ("Royce") with the SEC as of December 31, 2013. Royce reported sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 2,388,211 shares. The business address for Royce is 745 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10151.
- (11) Based solely on the information provided in a Schedule 13G (Amendment No. 4) filed by Stadium Capital Management, LLC ("SCM"), Stadium Capital Partners, L.P. ("SCP"), Alexander M. Seaver ("Seaver") and Bradley R. Kent ("Kent") with the SEC as of December 31, 2012. The filing reported ownership as follows: SCM, Seaver and Kent - shared voting and dispositive power over 3,606,894 shares; and SCP - shared voting and dispositive power over 3,358,111 shares. The business address for these filers is 199 Elm Street, New Canaan, CT 06840-05321.
- (12) Based solely on the information provided in a Schedule 13G/A filed by Sterling Capital Management LLC ("Sterling") with the SEC as of December 31, 2013. Sterling reported sole voting and dispositive power over 1,597,449 shares. Sterling's business address is Two Morrocroft Centre, 4064 Colony Road, Suite 300, Charlotte, NC 28211.
- (13) Based solely on the information provided in a Schedule 13G (Amendment No. 2) filed by Vulcan Value Partners, LLC ("Vulcan") with the SEC as of December 31, 2013. Vulcan reported sole voting power with respect to 3,135,227 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 3,326,249 shares. The business address for Vulcan is 3500 Blue Lake Drive, Suite 400, Birmingham, AL 35243.

Unless otherwise noted, the address of each person named in the table is 16220 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 100, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254.



## SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our directors, executive officers and greater than 10% stockholders to file reports of holdings and transactions in our shares with the SEC. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, to our knowledge and based solely on a review of copies of reports furnished to us, or written representations, we believe that the applicable reporting requirements of Section 16(a) have been satisfied.

### CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

#### Policy Regarding Transactions with Related Persons

Our Board of Directors adopted a written Related Party Transaction Policy (the “Policy”) pursuant to which all “Interested Transactions” with a “Related Party” are subject to review and approval by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Ongoing or long-term transactions with a Related Party in existence at the time the Policy was adopted, if any, will also be subject to ratification on at least an annual basis. For purposes of the Policy, an “Interested Transaction” is a transaction, arrangement or relationship or a series of similar transactions, arrangements or relationships (including any indebtedness or guaranty of indebtedness) in an amount equal to or exceeding \$120,000 in any fiscal year in which us, including any of our subsidiaries, was, is or will be a participant and in which any “Related Party” had, has or will have a direct or indirect material interest. Any indirect interest includes an interest held by or through any entity in which any “Related Party” is employed or is a partner or principal; or in a similar position or in which such “Related Party” has a 10% or greater beneficial ownership interest. A “Related Party” includes executive officers, directors, nominees for director, any person who is known to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of any class of our voting securities and any immediate family member of any of the foregoing persons.

In considering whether to approve an Interested Transaction, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers such factors as it deems appropriate, which may include: (i) the Related Party’s relationship with us and interest in the transaction; (ii) the material facts of the proposed Interested Transaction, including the proposed value of such transaction, or, in the case of indebtedness, the principal amount that would be involved; (iii) the benefits to us of the Interested Transaction; (iv) an assessment of whether the Interested Transaction is on terms that are comparable to the terms available with an unrelated party; (v) in the case of an existing transaction, the impracticability or cost of securing alternative arrangements and (vi) such other factors as the committee deems relevant.

The Policy provides for standing pre-approval for certain categories of transactions with a Related Party without the need for specific approval by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. These categories are: (i) certain transactions with other companies where the Related Party’s only relationship is as an employee (other than as an executive officer), director or beneficial owner of less than 10% of the company’s shares, if the aggregate amount involved does not exceed the greater of \$1 million or 2% of the other company’s gross annual revenues in its most recently completed fiscal year; (ii) charitable contributions, grants or endowments by us to charitable organizations, foundations or universities at which a Related Party’s only relationship is as an employee (other than as an officer) or a director or trustee, if the aggregate amount involved does not exceed the lesser of \$500,000 or 2% of the charitable organization’s total annual receipts in its most recently completed fiscal year; and (iii) certain other transactions and arrangements which under certain SEC rules are excepted from disclosure as transactions with a Related Party.

#### Registration Rights Agreement

We are a party to a registration rights agreement with, among others, the following stockholders: (i) JZ Equity Partners PLC and the permitted transferees of The Jordan Company, LLC (collectively, the TJC Stockholders); (ii) Charlesbank Voting Trust, Charlesbank Equity Fund V, Limited Partnership, CB Offshore Equity

Fund V, L.P., CB Equity Co-investment Fund V, Limited Partnership and Coyote Training Group, LLC (collectively, the Charlesbank Stockholders), (iii) Whites' Family Company, LLC; and (iv) Robert D. Hartman. The registration rights agreement provides for "piggyback" registration rights with respect to the restricted shares of our common stock held by each of the stockholder parties to this agreement, including Whites' Family Company, LLC, an entity controlled by John C. White, a current director and former Chairman of the Board of Directors. Accordingly, if we propose to register any of our common stock for sale to the public, we are required to give written notice of our intention to do so to each of the stockholders who are a party to this agreement and to use our best efforts to include in the registration statement the number of restricted shares of our common stock beneficially owned and requested to be registered by such stockholders, subject to reduction of such shares under certain circumstances by an underwriter. If a reduction of shares is necessary, stockholders who request to participate in the registration will do so pro rata based on the numbers of shares held by such stockholders on a fully-diluted basis, except that we will have first priority to register shares of our common stock if we initiate the registration for our own account. Pursuant to the registration rights agreement, the "piggyback" right terminates from and after the date on which those stockholders cease to beneficially own at least 1% of our issued and outstanding shares of common stock.

#### Transactions with Management and Others

Since 1991, we have leased some of our properties from entities controlled by John C. White, a current director and former Chairman of our Board of Directors, or entities in which Mr. White's family members have an interest. A portion of the property comprising the Orlando location is occupied pursuant to a lease with the John C. and Cynthia L. White 1989 Family Trust, with the lease term expiring on August 19, 2022. The annual base lease payments for the first year under this lease totaled approximately \$326,000, with annual adjustments based on the higher of (i) an amount equal to 4% of the total annual rent for the immediately preceding year or (ii) the percentage of increase in the Consumer Price Index. Another portion of the property comprising the Orlando location is occupied pursuant to a lease with Delegates LLC, an entity controlled by the White Family Trust, with the lease term expiring on July 1, 2016. The beneficiaries of the White Family Trust, which is an irrevocable grantor trust, are Mr. White's children and the trustee of the trust is not related to Mr. White. Annual base lease payments for the first year under this lease totaled approximately \$680,000, with annual adjustments based on the higher of (i) an amount equal to 4% of the total annual rent for the immediately preceding year or (ii) the percentage of increase in the Consumer Price Index. Additionally, since April 1994, we have leased two of our Phoenix properties under one lease from City Park LLC, a successor in interest of 2844 West Deer Valley LLC and in which the John C. and Cynthia L. White 1989 Family Trust holds a 25% interest. This lease was amended effective on December 1, 2012. The amendment extended the term of the lease from February 28, 2015 to December 31, 2022 and reduced the base lease payments for the 2013 calendar year from approximately \$583,000 to approximately \$523,000. Additionally, the amendment specifies annual base lease payment increases of 2% beginning on March 1, 2015. During March 2014, City Park LLC sold these properties to an unrelated third party. Our existing lease remains in effect through December 31, 2022.

The table below sets forth the total payments that the Company made in fiscal 2012, 2013 and 2014 under these leases:

	City Park LLC	John C. and Cynthia L. White 1989 Family Trust	Delegates LLC
Fiscal 2012	\$651,721	\$700,888	\$1,138,614
Fiscal 2013	\$484,009	\$727,542	\$1,177,807
Fiscal 2014	\$184,713	\$749,246	\$1,212,975

We believe that the rental rates under these leases approximated fair market rental value of the properties at the time the lease agreements were negotiated.



John Murphy, the brother of our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Kimberly J. McWaters, works for us as our Admissions Process Director and has been employed by us for over 10 years. Mr. Murphy's compensation in fiscal 2014 totaled approximately \$187,198. He is eligible to receive benefits that are provided to all of our employees generally, including equity incentive awards under our 2003 Plan.

Lori Smith, the wife of our Senior Vice President of Operations, Sherrell E. Smith, works for us as our Vice President of Business Intelligence and Integrated Technology Solutions and has been employed by us for over 20 years. Ms. Smith's compensation in fiscal 2014 totaled approximately \$278,950. She is eligible to receive benefits that are provided to all of our employees generally, including equity incentive awards under our 2003 Plan. In fiscal 2014, Ms. Smith received a long-term incentive cash award of \$72,000, which will be paid out over four years in equal annual installments on the anniversary of the award date.

#### **SUBMISSION OF STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS**

From time to time, stockholders seek to nominate directors or to present proposals for inclusion in the proxy statement and form of proxy, or otherwise for consideration at the annual meeting. To be included in the proxy statement or considered at an annual meeting, a stockholder must timely submit nominations of directors or other proposals to us in addition to complying with certain rules and regulations promulgated by the SEC. We intend to hold our year 2016 annual meeting during February 2016. We must receive proposals for our 2016 annual meeting no later than September 10, 2015 for possible inclusion in our proxy materials, or between October 21, 2015 and November 20, 2015, for possible consideration at the meeting. Stockholders should direct any communications, as well as related questions, to our Corporate Secretary at the address set forth on the first page of this Proxy Statement.

#### **ANNUAL REPORT**

Our 2014 Annual Report to stockholders has been made available to stockholders concurrently with this Proxy Statement, but is not incorporated into this Proxy Statement and is not to be considered to be a part of our proxy solicitation materials.

Upon request, we will provide, without charge to each stockholder of record as of the record date specified on the first page of this Proxy Statement, a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2014 as filed with the SEC. Any exhibits listed in the Annual Report on Form 10-K also will be furnished upon request at the actual expense that we incur in furnishing such exhibits. Any such requests should be directed to our Corporate Secretary at the address set forth on the first page of this Proxy Statement.

#### **NO INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE**

In our filings with the SEC, information is sometimes "incorporated by reference." This means that we refer you to information previously filed with the SEC that should be considered as part of the particular filing. As provided under SEC regulations, the "Audit Committee Report" and the "Compensation Committee Report" contained in this Proxy Statement specifically are not incorporated by reference into any other filings with the SEC and shall not be deemed to be "Soliciting Material." In addition, this Proxy Statement includes several website addresses. These website addresses are intended to provide inactive, textual references only. The information on these websites is not part of this Proxy Statement.

#### **DELIVERY OF DOCUMENTS TO SECURITY HOLDERS**

Pursuant to the rules of the SEC, we and services that we employ to deliver communications to our stockholders are permitted to deliver to two or more stockholders sharing the same address a single copy of each of our Annual Report to stockholders and the Proxy Statement. Upon written or oral request, we will deliver a separate copy of the annual report to stockholders and/or proxy statement to any stockholder at a shared address

to which a single copy of each document was delivered and who wishes to receive separate copies of such documents in the future. Stockholders receiving multiple copies of such documents may request that we deliver single copies of such documents in the future. Stockholders may notify us of their requests by calling or writing our Corporate Secretary at Universal Technical Institute, Inc., 16220 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 100, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254, telephone (623) 445-9500.

**IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON FEBRUARY 18, 2015**

This Proxy Statement and 2014 Annual Report to stockholders are available at <http://www.envisionreports.com/uti>.  
Scottsdale, Arizona

Dated: January 5, 2015



