Amarantus BioSciences, Inc. Form 10-K April 20, 2012	
UNITED STATES	
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549	
FORM 10-K	
[X] ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE	SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011	
[] TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF T	THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
For the transition period from to	
Commission file number: 333-148922	
Amarantus BioSciences, Inc. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)	
Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	26-0690857 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
675 Almanor Ave., Sunnyvale, CA (Address of principal executive offices)	94085 (Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number: (408) 737-2734	
Securities registered under Section 12(b) of	the Exchange Act:
Title of each class Name of each exchange none not applicable	on which registered

E	dgar Filing: Amarantus BioSciences, Inc Form 10-K
Sec	urities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:
Titl non	e of class e
Indicate by check mark if the r Yes [] No [X]	egistrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Indicate by check mark if the r Act. Yes [] No [X]	egistrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 193	r the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the 34 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was nd (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []
any, every Interactive Data File	er the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if the required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 1) the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to the set of the
chapter) is not contained herein	osure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this n, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or orated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.
Indicate by check mark whether or a smaller reporting company	er the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer,
[] Large accelerated filer [] A [] Non-accelerated filer [X]	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes

[] No [X]

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter. **Approximately** \$36,525,741 as of June 30, 2011

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. 103,414,150 as of April 17, 2012.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Pag
PART I		
Item 1. Item 1A. Item 1B. Item 2. Item 3. Item 4.	Business Risk Factors Unresolved Staff Comments Properties Legal Proceedings Mine Safety Disclosures	3 6 6 6 6 7
PART II		
Item 5. Item 6. Item 7. Item 7A. Item 8. Item 9. Item 9A(T). Item 9B.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities Selected Financial Data Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure Controls and Procedures Other Information	7 15 15 26 26 27 27
PART III		
Item 10. Item 11. Item 12. Item 13. Item 14.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance Executive Compensation Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Principal Accountant Fees and Services	27 31 35 37 37
PART IV Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	37

Table of Contents PART I

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements, other than purely historical information, including estimates, projections, statements relating to our business plans, objectives, and expected operating results, and the assumptions upon which those statements are based, are "forward-looking statements." These forward-looking statements generally are identified by the words "believes," "project," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates," "intends," "strategy," "plan," "may," "will," "would," "will be," "vilkely result," and similar expressions. Forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and assumptions that are subject to risks and uncertainties which may cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements. Our ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could have a material adverse affect on our operations and future prospects on a consolidated basis include, but are not limited to: changes in economic conditions, legislative/regulatory changes, availability of capital, interest rates, competition, and generally accepted accounting principles. These risks and uncertainties should also be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements.

Item 1. Business

Company Overview

Amarantus BioSciences, Inc. is a California-based development-stage biotechnology company founded in January 2008. On May 25, 2011, the Company entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement") with Amarantus Therapeutics, Inc., a privately held Delaware corporation (Amarantus"), and JKIK Acquisition Corp. (Acquisition Sub"), our newly formed wholly-owned Delaware subsidiary. In connection with the closing of this merger transaction, Amarantus merged with and into Acquisition Sub (the Merger") on May 25, 2011. Additional information regarding the merger can be found in Note 4 to our financial statements.

We focus on developing our intellectual property and proprietary technology to develop drug candidates to treat human disease. We own the intellectual property rights to a therapeutic protein known as Mesencephalic-Astrocyte-derived Neurotrophic Factor (MANF").

MANF is a protein that corrects protein misfolding. Protein misfolding is one of the major causes of apoptosis (cell death). This property provides a compelling rationale for the research and development of MANF-based products as therapeutics for human disease. Our lead MANF product development effort is centered on a therapy for Parkinson's disease.

We also own an inventory of 88 cell lines that we refer to as PhenoGuard Cell Lines. MANF was the first therapeutic protein discovered from a PhenoGuard Cell Line. We believe that we may identify additional therapeutic proteins from its inventory of PhenoGuard Cell Lines.

Principal Products

Our philosophy is to acquire in-license, discover and develop biologics with the potential to address critically important biological pathways involved in human disease. Since our inception, we have been focused on developing MANF as a therapeutic for Parkinson's disease, and other apoptosis-related disorders. Our business plans are focused in these specific areas:

Development of MANF to treat Parkinson's disease

Development of MANF to treat other apoptosis-related disorders

Exploration of our PhenoGuard Cell Lines for therapeutic protein discovery

Evaluation of external drug candidates for potential in-licensure or acquisition

<u>Table of Contents</u> MANF: Overview

We own the intellectual property rights to a novel therapeutic protein called MANF acquired from EMS Development Group in 2008. MANF is a novel, endogenous, evolutionally conserved and widely expressed secreted human protein. We believe that MANF is the first of a new class of therapeutic proteins that are secreted in response to stressful physiological conditions in the body. MANF is believed to have mechanisms of action that are fundamentally different from other therapeutic proteins; MANF decreases the activity of apoptosis-causing enzymes, corrects protein misfolding and increases neurotransmitter release.

MANF: Development Plan

We will focus on developing MANF as a therapeutic protein for Parkinson's disease with the intention of gaining Investigational New Drug Status with the FDA in order to initiate human clinical studies in the United States. We will gather further information on additional MANF applications and will evaluate product development programs as data becomes available.

For the next 12 months, we will focus our product development efforts on the completion of experiments in non-human primate models of Parkinson's disease. This will provide the experimental rationale for moving forward into human clinical studies for the treatment of Parkinson's disease.

Parkinson's Disease Overview

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a severe neurological disorder characterized by tremor, muscle rigidity, and an inability to walk with a steady gait. PD was first reported by James Parkinson in 1817. It is currently widely accepted that PD is primarily associated with the degeneration of a specific set of dopaminergic (DA) neurons in the human brain located in the midbrain. According to the NIH, symptoms begin to appear when 60-80% of these DA neurons have become dysfunctional or have died. Humans have roughly 1 million of these critical DA neurons in the midbrain that play a vital role in controlling motor functions such as walking, stability and overall muscle control. DA neurons release the neurotransmitter dopamine, which plays a critical role in motor function. When a person is diagnosed with PD, roughly 600,000 to 800,000 of these DA neurons have already degenerated or have died. The remaining DA neurons continue to degenerate as PD progresses until such a time when there aren't enough DA neurons left for the body to function. PD progresses at different rates in different patients. Ultimately, every patient becomes incapable of functioning independently at a certain point in the progression of his or her PD. According to the NIH, it is estimated that at least 500,000 people are afflicted with this disorder in the United States. PD generally affects patients later in life, with an average onset age of 60. NIH estimates the total cost to the nation exceeds \$6 billion annually.

Parkinson's Disease Market

According to a 2008 report generated by DataMonitor, there are over 1.5 million PD in the United States, Western Europe and Japan. It is widely accepted that with the increasing trend towards a longer lifespan coupled with the baby-boomer population approaching retirement, the incidence of Parkinson's disease is likely to double in the next 20 years.

Deep Brain Stimulation

Deep brain stimulation (DBS) is a surgical procedure used to treat the symptoms associated with Parkinson's disease. At present, the procedure is used only for patients whose symptoms cannot be adequately controlled with medications. DBS uses a surgically implanted, battery-operated medical device called a neurostimulator, which is similar to a heart pacemaker and approximately the size of a stopwatch, to deliver electrical stimulation to targeted areas in the brain that control movement.

Table of Contents

Unlike previous surgeries for PD, DBS minimizes tissue damage by focusing on neura pathways. Instead the procedure blocks electrical signals from targeted areas in the brain. Thus, if newer, more promising treatments develop in the future, the DBS procedure can be reversed. Stimulation from the neurostimulator is adjustable without further surgical intervention. Although most patients still need to take medication after undergoing DBS, many patients experience considerable reduction of their PD symptoms and are able to greatly reduce their medications. The amount of reduction varies from patient to patient but can be considerably reduced in most patients.

Competition: Disease-modifying Treatment in Development

There are several disease-modifying treatments under development seeking to address the key unmet medical need in Parkinson's disease treatment.

- A. MedGenesis licensed GDNF protein rights from Amgen in January 2010. GDNF is a promising disease-modifying therapy for Parkinson's Disease.
- B. Ceregene reported Phase II data in 2010 of their neurturin gene therapy, showing improvement in Parkinson's symptoms (UPDRS) at 18 months vs. placebo. Genzymed licensed ex-US rights to this product. Ceregene is currently planning an additional Phase II study.
- C. Amsterdam Molecular has a preclinical GDNF gene therapy program under an exclusive license from Amgen for GDNF in gene therapy.

MANF belongs to this category of therapies. Effective disease modifying treatments that become commercially available would dramatically affect the PD market, shifting the market from symptomatic drugs in favor of new disease modifying treatments and potentially growing the overall market.

Manufacture of GMP quality MANF

We will outsource the manufacturing of the MANF Parkinson's Disease product to a Contract Manufacturing Organization ("CMO"), with special capabilities to manufacture biological drug candidates for submission and clinical testing under Food & Drug Administration ("FDA") guidelines.

Distribution & Marketing

We intend to develop its drug candidates and utilize its deep industry connections to effect partnering transactions with biopharmaceutical drug companies seeking to strategically fortify pipelines and fund the costly clinical development required to achieve successful commercialization. As such, we do not anticipate selling products directly into the marketplace; rather we will effect partnering transactions which will give us a distribution and marketing partner to sell our products into the marketplace, allowing us to focus on the research and product development which represent our core competencies.

Regulatory Compliance

Drug Development and distribution in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries in the United States is heavily regulated by the FDA. These regulations and policies relate to the safety and efficacy of drug candidates being developed for the US market. These regulations and policies are continually being updated to reflect the current state of the art in our understanding of science and human biology. The Affordable Healthcare for America Act passed in 2010 is an example of how the landscape in the healthcare and biotechnology space is continually evolving and subject to significant political influence.

The FDA imposed requirements represent a critical component to the overall development plan for Amarantus' drug development candidates. Management will use all resources available to it to ensure that the Company develops its drug candidates in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations.

Table of Contents

Intellectual Property

- 1. EU MANF Composition of Matter Patent
- 2. US MANF Composition of Matter Patent
- 3. US MANF Method of Use Patent Application
- 4. EU MANF Method of Use Patent Application
- 5. Japanese Method of Use Patent Application
- 6. Canadian Method of Use Patent Application
- 7. Chinese MANF Method of Use Patent Application
- 8. Indian MANF Method of Use Patent Application
- 9. Brazilian MANF Method of Use Patent Application
- 10. PCT Neurodegenerative disorders Method of Use Patent Application

Personnel

We currently have four (4) employees/consultants. Our current internal departments include Business Development, Finance, Research & Development and Administration. We are led by a management team that includes an engineer, a scientist, an accountant and an executive. We intend to expand our management team as operations ramp up to include additional technical staff required to achieve our business objectives.

Expected Changes In Number of Employees, Plant, and Equipment

We do not plan to purchase any specific additional physical plant or significant equipment within the immediate future. We do not currently have specific plans to change the number of our employees during the next twelve months.

Item 1A: Risk Factors

A smaller reporting company is not required to provide the information required by this Item.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

The Company leases its main office facility and a second facility for research in Sunnyvale,CA under sublease agreements that provide for month-to-month extensions by the Company.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

On January 6, 2012 the Company was served a summons regarding the filing of a lawsuit (Complaint for Breach of Contract, Specific Performance and Common Counts) against the Company by a former consultant to the Company, Peter Freeman v. Amarantus Therapeutics, Inc. The Company intends to defend ourselves vigorously. The Company is unable to predict the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome or estimate its potential liability, if any, and no provision has been made in its financial statements for this matter.

In addition the Company is in default on payment of certain Convertible Notes that were due as of December 6, 2011 and is also late with regard to making payments to various trade account vendors for goods and services received, of which some accounts are currently with collection agencies and could possibly result in lawsuits with the Company.

Power3 Medical Products, Inc. ("Power3") entered into a License Agreement with Amarantus BioSciences, Inc. ("our", "us", or "we") on January 16, 2012 to, among other things, license the NuroPro diagnostic test for Parkinson's disease to us (the "Agreement"). As part of the Agreement, we were granted an option to acquire certain intellectual property, and a right of first refusal to acquire certain intellectual property (collectively the "IP"). We recently found out the Agreement was entered into at a time when Power3 may not have had the authority to enter into the Agreement.

Table of Contents

On March 26th, 2012, we became aware of a previously undisclosed legal dispute between Power3 and NeoGenomics, Inc. ("NeoGenomics") where certain intellectual property assets of Power3 were placed into receivership in September 2011 in the State of Texas as a result of an unpaid note to NeoGenomics. On March 15, 2012 Power3 filed for Chapter 7 bankruptcy. However, on March 7th, 2012, the receiver sold, among other things, Power3's IP to NeoGenomics. Although this sale may be considered a preference in the Power3 Bankruptcy, at this time NeoGenomics may have title to certain intellectual property of Power3.

On April 12, 2012 our representatives appeared at bankruptcy related meeting of creditors of Power3. Ira Goldknopf, the President of Power3, testified for Power3. In the meeting it was discussed, among other things, that (i) Power3 had not transferred any of ourstock, other than providing \$25,000 worth of our stock to its attorney; (ii) that another entity may own a portion of the IP; (iii) NeoGenomics was not a secured creditor when they credit bid their claim in the receivership; and (iv) the status of the license and ownership of the IP is still in question.

We are continuing to review our legal options with respect to the material misrepresentations made by the officers of Power3 and our rights in the IP.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Information

Our common stock is currently quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board ("OTCBB"), which is sponsored by FINRA. The OTCBB is a network of security dealers who buy and sell stock. The dealers are connected by a computer network that provides information on current "bids" and "asks", as well as volume information. Our shares are quoted on the OTCBB under the symbol "AMBS".

The following table sets forth the range of high and low bid quotations for our common stock for each of the periods indicated as reported by the OTCBB. These quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

Period Ending I	December	31, 2011
Quarter Ended	High \$	Low \$
March 30	0.004	0.004
June 30	1.50	0.004
September 30	1.05	0.06
December 31	0.25	0.06

Period Ending December 31, 2010		
Quarter Ended	High \$	Low \$
March 30	n/a	n/a
June 30	n/a	n/a
September 30	0.004	0.004
December 31	0.004	0.004

On April 17, 2012, the last sales price per share of our common stock was \$0.0495.

Table of Contents Penny Stock

The SEC has adopted rules that regulate broker-dealer practices in connection with transactions in penny stocks. Penny stocks are generally equity securities with a market price of less than \$5.00, other than securities registered on certain national securities exchanges or quoted on the NASDAQ system, provided that current price and volume information with respect to transactions in such securities is provided by the exchange or system. The penny stock rules require a broker-dealer, prior to a transaction in a penny stock, to deliver a standardized risk disclosure document prepared by the SEC, that: (a) contains a description of the nature and level of risk in the market for penny stocks in both public offerings and secondary trading; (b) contains a description of the broker's or dealer's duties to the customer and of the rights and remedies available to the customer with respect to a violation of such duties or other requirements of the securities laws; (c) contains a brief, clear, narrative description of a dealer market, including bid and ask prices for penny stocks and the significance of the spread between the bid and ask price; (d) contains a toll-free telephone number for inquiries on disciplinary actions; (e) defines significant terms in the disclosure document or in the conduct of trading in penny stocks; and (f) contains such other information and is in such form, including language, type size and format, as the SEC shall require by rule or regulation.

The broker-dealer also must provide, prior to effecting any transaction in a penny stock, the customer with (a) bid and offer quotations for the penny stock; (b) the compensation of the broker-dealer and its salesperson in the transaction; (c) the number of shares to which such bid and ask prices apply, or other comparable information relating to the depth and liquidity of the market for such stock; and (d) a monthly account statement showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer's account.

In addition, the penny stock rules require that prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from those rules, the broker-dealer must make a special written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written acknowledgment of the receipt of a risk disclosure statement, a written agreement as to transactions involving penny stocks, and a signed and dated copy of a written suitability statement.

These disclosure requirements may have the effect of reducing the trading activity for our common stock. Therefore, stockholders may have difficulty selling our securities.

Holders of Our Common Stock

As of April 17, 2012, we had 103,414,150 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding, held by sixty five (65) shareholders of record.

Dividends

There are no restrictions in our articles of incorporation or bylaws that restrict us from declaring dividends. The Delaware General Corporation Law (the "DGCL") provides that a corporation may pay dividends out of surplus, out the corporation's net profits for the preceding fiscal year, or both provided that there remains in the stated capital account an amount equal to the par value represented by all shares of the corporation's stock raving a distribution preference.

We have not declared any dividends, and we do not plan to declare any dividends in the foreseeable future.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

Following are the securities transactions since May 27, 2011 that were entered into and not registered under the Securities Act of 1933 per their respective exemption:

On June 6, 2011, we issued a \$10,000 convertible promissory note to H. Viscount Nelson, with a 5% per annum 1.interest rate, due June 6, 2013, with a conversion price equal to one-third the per share price of the Next Equity Financing in excess of \$1,000,000. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

Table of Contents

On June 7, 2011, we issued a \$31,250 convertible promissory note to Austin Gleason, with a 5% per annum interest rate, due December 6, 2011, with a conversion price equal to one-third the per share price of the Next Equity 2. Financing in excess of \$1,750,000, not to be less than \$0.60 per share. This note also provides a warrant for 100% of the note principal as of the date of the conversion, which expires five years from that date, with an exercise price 100% of the per share price with the Next Equity Financing, but not to be less than \$0.60 per share. We are currently in default on this note. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

On June 9, 2011, we issued a \$31,250 convertible promissory note to J. Truman Bidwell, with a 5% per annum interest rate, due December 6, 2011, with a conversion price equal to one-third the per share price of the Next Equity Financing in excess of \$1,750,000, not to be less than \$0.60 per share. This note also provides a warrant for 100% of the note principal as of the date of the conversion, which expires five years from that date, with an exercise price 100% of the per share price with the Next Equity Financing, but not to be less than \$0.60 per share. We are currently in default on this note. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

On July 28, 2011, we issued a \$21,000 convertible promissory note to Robert Harris, a Board member, with a 6% per annum interest rate, due January 24, 2012. This note also provides a warrant for 100% of the note principal with an exercise price of \$0.20 per share and expires July 28, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.10 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 217,280 shares of Common Stock. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

On July 28, 2011, we issued a \$21,000 convertible promissory note to Arnold Grisham, a former Board member, with a 6% per annum interest rate, due January 24, 2012. This note also provides a warrant for 100% of the note principal with an exercise price of \$0.20 per share and expires July 28, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.10 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 217,280 shares of Common Stock. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

On August 16, 2011, we issued a \$10,000 convertible promissory note to Joseph Rubinfeld with a 6% per annum interest rate, due February 12, 2012. This note also provides a warrant for 100% of the note principal with an 6. exercise price of \$0.20 per share and expires August 16, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.20 per share. We are currently in default on the note. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

On August 18, 2011, we issued a \$20,000 convertible promissory note to Ascendant Partners, LLC with a 6% per annum interest rate, due February 14, 2012. This note also provides a warrant for 100% of the note principal with an 7. exercise price of \$0.20 per share and expires August 18, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.20 per share. We are currently in default on the note. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

8. On August 18, 2011, we issued a \$20,000 convertible promissory note to Ascendant Partners, LLC with a 6% per annum interest rate, due February 14, 2012. This note also provides a warrant for 100% of the note principal with an exercise price of \$0.20 per share and expires August 18, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.20 per share. We are currently in default on the note. This issuance was exempt under

Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

9

On September 6, 2011, we issued a \$5,000 convertible promissory note to David Fiamingo with a 6% per annum interest rate, due March 4, 2012. This note also provides a warrant for 100% of the note principal with an exercise price of \$0.10 per share and expires September 6, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.10 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 51,400 shares of Common Stock. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

Table of Contents

On September 9, 2011, we issued a \$5,000 convertible promissory note to David Fiamingo with a 6% per annum interest rate, due March 7, 2012. This note also provides a warrant for 100% of the note principal with an exercise price of \$0.10 per share and expires September 9, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.10 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 51,375 shares of Common Stock. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

On September 26, 2011, we issued a \$3,000 convertible promissory note to Joseph Moscato with a 6% per annum interest rate, due March 24, 2012. This note also provides a warrant for 100% of the note principal with an exercise 11.price of \$0.10 per share and expires September 26, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.10 per share. We are currently in default on the note. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

On October 27, 2011, we issued a \$5,000 convertible promissory note to David Fiamingo with a 6% per annum interest rate, due April 24, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.10 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 50,925 shares of Common Stock. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

On November 2, 2011, we issued a \$5,000 convertible promissory note to David Fiamingo with a 6% per annum interest rate, due April 30, 2012. This note also provides a warrant for 100% of the note principal with an exercise price of \$0.10 per share and expires November 2, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.10 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 50,975 shares of Common Stock. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

On November 23, 2011, we issued a \$10,000 convertible promissory note to Ascendant Partners, LLC with a 6% 14. per annum interest rate, due May 21, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.10 per share. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

On November 30, 2011, we issued a \$30,000 convertible promissory note to Robert Harris, a Board member, with a 6% per annum interest rate, due May 28, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the 15. note holder at \$0.05 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 608,300 shares of Common Stock. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

On December 8, 2011, we issued a \$10,000 convertible promissory note to Scott VanderMeer with a 6% per annum interest rate, due June 5, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.10 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 101,250 shares of Common Stock. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

17. On December 14, 2011, we issued a \$5,000 convertible promissory note to Larry Johns with a 6% per annum interest rate, due June 11, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.10 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 50,575

shares of Common Stock. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

On December 30, 2011, we issued a \$5,000 convertible promissory note to Scott VanderMeer with a 6% per annum interest rate, due June 27, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.05 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 101,667 shares of Common Stock. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

Table of Contents

On January 17, 2012, we issued a \$100,000 convertible promissory note to Gabriel Ferrer with a 6% per annum 19 interest rate, due July 15, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.065 per share. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

On January 24, 2012, we issued a \$112,321 promissory note to Cane Clark, LLP, company counsel, with a 8.5% per annum interest rate, due on demand. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time at the option of the note holder at \$0.11 per share. This note is for prior legal services provided. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

On January 24, 2012, we issued a \$132,667 promissory note to Cane Clark, LLP, company counsel, with a 8.5% per annum interest rate, due on demand. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time at the option of the note holder at \$0.11 per share. This note is for prior legal services provided. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

On February 16, 2012, we issued a \$37,500 convertible promissory note to Asher Enterprises with a 8% per annum interest rate, due October 27, 2012. The note holder has the right to convert at any time and any amount of the 22. principal and accrued interest after 180 days from the date of the note. The conversion price per share is 55% of the average of the three lowest trading days of the prior ten trading days from the date of the conversion request. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

On February 21, 2012, we issued a \$3,750 convertible promissory note to Conner Atchley with a 6% per annum interest rate, due August 19, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.03 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 125,347 shares of Common Stock. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

On March 9, 2012, we issued a \$15,000 convertible promissory note to Machiavelli Global Marketing, Inc. with a 12% per annum interest rate, due date September 9, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at 50 % of the lowest closing bid price for 20 trading days before conversion. This note was the result of a vendor trade debt assignment. On March 9, 2012 note holder converted the principal, no interest, in return for 483,871 shares of Common Stock. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

On March 19, 2012, we issued a \$17,000 convertible promissory note to Asher Enterprises with a 8% per annum interest rate, due December 21, 2012. The note holder has the right to convert at any time and any amount of the 25. principal and accrued interest after 180 days from the date of the note. The conversion price per share is 55% of the average of the three lowest trading days of the prior ten trading days from the date of the conversion request. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

26. On March 20, 2012, we issued a \$9,500 convertible promissory note to BlackBridge Capital, LLC, due September 20, 2012, with no stated interest rate, in return for \$10,000, \$500 of the \$10,000 proceeds was for a Unit and the balance of \$9,500 is the convertible promissory note. The Unit calls for the payment, to the note holder, of \$10,500 within five days of having received any form of proceeds. The \$9,500 promissory note may be converted six months from the date of the note, at the note holders option, with a conversion price equal to 50% of the lowest

intraday trading price of the prior 20 trading days. We are currently in default on the Unit. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

On April 2, 2012, we issued a \$25,000 convertible promissory note to Scott VanderMeer with a 6% per annum 27. interest rate, due September 29, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.02 per share. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

Table of Contents

- On October 4, 2011 we received short-term financing in the amount of \$150,000 under a Promissory Note issued to Dr. Samuel Herschkowitz as with interest of 20% per annum due April 1, 2012. In addition, in conjunction with the Promissory Note, Dr. Herschkowitz received an equity bonus of 2,054,794 shares of common stock. As
- 28. security for our obligations under the note, we have pledged in favor of the note holder 8,219,178 shares of Common Stock. We are currently in default under this note. Also as part of the requirements of the agreement, on October 17, 2011 we issued a certificate for 8,219,178 shares as security for the note. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- On September 28, 2011, Robert Zimmer exercised a portion of his vested stock options for \$17,813 for 737,357 29. shares of Common Stock. The option was previous granted to Mr. Zimmerman while a consultant to the Company. This issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 30. On October 14, 2011, we issued 2,265,692 shares to Centurion Private Equity, LLC related to an equity funding facility. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 31. On November 7, 2011we issued 143,333 shares to Danny Y. Lee for business advisory services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 32. On October 31, 2011 we issued 273,333 shares to Seraphim Holdings, LLC for investor relations. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 33. On October 31, 2011 we issued 60,000 shares to Carpe DM, Inc. for investor relations. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- On October 31, 2011 we issued 750,000 shares to BeSpoke Growth Partners, Inc. for capital market public 34 relations and business consulting services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- On November 3, 2011 we issued 250,000 shares to BeSpoke Growth Partners, Inc. for capital market public 35 relations and business consulting services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 36. On November 18, 2011 we issued 156,250 shares to Power 3 Medical Products, Inc., as a payment for an option to acquire certain intellectual properties. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 37. On November 22, 2011, we issued 2,000,000 shares to The Brewer Group for business advisory services. This is to be earned over six months. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 38. On November 22, 2011, we issued 2,000,000 shares to First Choice International Company for business advisory services. This is to be earned over six months. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of

1933.

- 39. On November 22, 2011, we issued 1,000,000 shares to Seraphim Holdings, LLC for investor relations. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 40. On December 5, 2011, we issued 200,000 shares to Justin Eastland for investor relations. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 41. On December 5, 2011, we issued 200,000 shares to Larry Eastland for investor relations. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 42. On December 5, 2011, we issued 60,000 shares to J. Rob Laud for investor relations. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

Table of Contents

- 43. On December 5, 2011, we issued 37,500 shares to Danny Lee for investor relations. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 44. On December 5, 2011, we issued 600,000 shares to Brian Piper for investor relations. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 45. On December 13, 2011, we issued 50,000 shares to Ray Herrera for business consulting services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 46. On December 13, 2011, we issued 25,000 shares to Jeff Stephens for introductory services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 47. On December 13, 2011, we issued 5,000 shares to Anson Hallex for introductory services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 48. On December 13, 2011, we issued 5,000 shares to Kent William Hodges II for introductory services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933
- 49. On December 13, 2011, we issued 10,000 shares to Harry Pavilak for introductory services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 50. On December 13, 2011, we issued 5,000 shares to Ann Morales for introductory services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 51. On December 13, 2011, we issued 273,333 shares to Seraphim Holdings, LLC for investor relations. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 52. On December 30, 2011, we issued 500,000 shares to Seraphim Holdings, LLC for investor relations. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 53. On December 30, 2011, we issued 200,000 shares to Issuers Capital Advisors, LLC for introductory services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 54. On December 30, 2011, we issued 50,000 shares to n Ray Herrera for business consulting services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 55. On December 30, 2011, we issued 25,000 shares to Jeff Stephens for introductory services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

- 56. On January 4, 2012, we issued 450,000 shares to BeSpoke Growth Partners, Inc. for capital market public relations and business consulting services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 57. On January 20, 2012, we issued 273,333 shares to Seraphim Holdings, LLC for investor relations. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933
- 58. On January 20, 2012, we issued 25,000 shares to Harry Pavilak for introductory services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 59. On January 20, 2012, we issued 25,000 shares to Kent William Hodges II for introductory services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933

On January 24, 2012, we issued 373,500 shares to BeSpoke Growth Partners, Inc. for capital market public 60.relations and business consulting services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

Table of Contents

- 61. On March 2, 2012, we issued 4,201,859 shares to Samuel Herschkowitz for consulting services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 62. On March 13, 2012, we issued 50,000 shares to Ray Herrera for business consulting services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 63. On March 13, 2012, we issued 121,079 shares to Rila Partners for technical advisory services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 64. On March 13, 2012, we issued 180,000 shares to Stuart Fine for investor relations. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 65. On March 13, 2012, we issued 3,571,428 shares to Power 3 Medical Products, Inc. for an exclusive license to certain technology. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 66. On March 13, 2012, we issued 357,143 shares to Steven Rash for transaction fee related to Power 3 Medical Products, Inc. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 67. On March 13, 2012, we issued 1,500,000 shares to Hanover 1 Capital Corporation for investor relations. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 68. On March 13, 2012, we issued 500,000 shares to Robert Sullivan for marketing, public and investor relations services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 69. On March 13, 2012, we issued 25,000 shares to Harry Pavilak for introductory services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 70. On March 13, 2012, we issued 25,000 shares to Kent William Hodges II for introductory services. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 71. On March 13, 2012, we issued 201,277 shares to Robert Harris, a Board member, for payment of \$23,600 of fees due him. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 72. On March 13, 2012, we issued 162,979 shares to Arnold Grisham, a former Board member, for payment of \$19,150 of fees due him. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.
- 73. On March 13, 2012, we issued 99,084 shares to AVS Communications, Inc. for payment of \$11,642.32 of fees due them. The issuance was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

Table of Contents

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

The Company's Board of Directors has approved the 2008 Stock Plan (the "Plan"). Under the Plan, the Board of Directors may grant up to 10,742,127 shares of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, or stock awards to eligible persons, including employees, nonemployees, members of the Board of Directors, consultants, and other independent advisors who provide services to the Company. In general, options are granted with an exercise price equal to the fair value of the underlying common stock on the date of the grant. Options generally have a contractual life of 10 years and vest over periods ranging from being fully vested as of the grant dates to four years. Total options exercised to date is 7,532,454. There are currently 1,535,876 shares available to grant and 1,673,797 grants outstanding to date.

In February 2011, the Board granted two Restricted Stock Purchase Agreements to two officers totaling 2,382,496 of Common Stock. As of 12/31/11, 1,261,269 shares were fully vested.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

A smaller reporting company is not required to provide the information required by this Item.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Results of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2011 and December 31,2010

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, Amarantus generated \$223,588 in revenue and incurred 3,963,646 in operating expenses, resulting in a loss from operations of \$3,740,058. Operating expenses consisted of research and development costs of \$1,177,532 and general and administrative expenses of \$2,786,113. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, Amarantus incurred interest expense of \$1,015,203, an increase of \$444,135 related to a change in fair value of warrant and derivative liabilities, and incurred other expenses of \$128,977. Amarantus' net loss for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$4,440,103.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, Amarantus generated \$192,408 in revenue and incurred \$1,414,923 in operating expenses, resulting in a loss from operations of \$1,222,515. Operating expenses consisted of research and development costs of \$490,428 and general and administrative expenses of \$924,495. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, Amarantus incurred interest expense of \$79,410, an expense of \$73,996 related to a change in fair value of warrant and derivative liabilities, and experienced other income of \$168,360. Amarantus' net loss for the year

ended December 31, 2010 was \$1,207,561.

In June of 2010, Amarantus repurchased certain notes from a convertible debt holder for a lump sum payment of \$100,000. This transaction resulted in the release of the noteholder's security interest in the intellectual property, as well as the cancellation of certain warrants related to the notes.

In December of 2010, Amarantus entered into a convertible debt arrangement with certain investors which had a related security interest in the intellectual property attached to it. Amarantus believes the likelihood of attracting investment from investors not related to the December 2010 notes is low, thus limiting the investor pool the Company would seek to attract funding from. The security interest in the notes was released in May, 2011 in exchange for certain additional financial and other consideration.

Inflation adjustments have had no material impact on the Company.

Off-balance-sheet arrangements.

Pursuant to the terms of certain contractual agreements, we have agreed to compensate certain vendors for services rendered contingent upon the occurrence of future financings. These transactions are described more fully under Liquidity and Capital Resources, below, and in Note 10 to our financial statements. These obligations are not reflected in our accounts and represent an off balance sheet liability contingent upon achieving the respective funding levels specified in the relevant agreements.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of December 31, 2011, the Company had total current assets of \$336,368 consisting of \$870 in cash and cash equivalents and \$335,498 in prepaid expenses and other current assets. As of December 31, 2011, the Company had current liabilities in the amount of \$3,542,786, consisting of:

Accounts payable	\$2,052,763
Accrued liabilities	\$77,208
Related party liabilities	\$222,230
Notes payable	\$150,000
Current portion of warrant liability	\$281,143
Current portion of derivative liabilities	\$45,180
Current portion of convertible promissory notes	\$714,261

As of December 31, 2011, Amarantus had a working capital deficit in the amount of \$3,206,418.

The table below sets forth selected cash flow data for for the periods presented:

	2011	2010
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities		\$ (538,549)
Net cash used in investing activities	(4,688) (30,162)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	2,773,551	615,000
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$(46,651) \$46,289

Currently, we owe the principal amount of \$230,000 to a total of six (6) investors who were issued Convertible Promissory Notes under the terms of a Convertible Promissory Note Agreement dated December 13, 2010 and amended on March 23, 2011 as follows:

Principal Amount	Issue Date	Maturity Date
\$100,000	12-13-10	12-13-12
\$25,000	4-11-11	4-11-13
\$35,000	4-15-11	4-15-13
\$10,000	4-22-11	4-22-13
\$50,000	4-27-11	4-27-13
\$10,000	6-6-11	6-6-13

These notes bear interest at a rate of 5% per annum, with all principal and accrued interest payable on the maturity date. Principal and unpaid accrued interest due under these notes shall be automatically converted into our equity securities at the closing of our next equity financing in which the gross proceeds exceed \$1,000,000 (the Next Equity Financing"), based on a conversion price equal to one-third of the price per share of the stock sold to outside investors in the Next Equity Financing. If the Next Equity Financing does not occur on or before the maturity date, the principal and unpaid accrued interest can be converted at our option into shares of our most recently closed equity financing, based on a conversion price equal to one-third of the price per share of the most recently closed equity financing.

Table of Contents

In addition, we also currently owe the principal sum of \$41,537 to Molecular Medicine Research Institute (MMRI''), who was issued a series of Convertible Promissory Notes under the terms of a Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement as follows:

Principal Amount	Issue Date	Maturity Date
\$16,037	11-1-10	11-1-12
\$4,250	12-1-10	12-1-12
\$4,250	1-1-11	1-1-13
\$4,250	2-1-11	2-1-13
\$4,250	3-1-11	3-1-13
\$4,250	4-1-11	4-1-13
\$4,250	5-1-11	5-1-13

These notes bear interest at a rate of 5% per annum, with all principal and accrued interest payable on demand by the holder on or after the maturity date. Principal and unpaid accrued interest due under these notes shall be converted, at the option of the holder, into our equity securities at the closing of our next equity financing in which the gross proceeds exceed \$1,000,000 (the Next Equity Financing"), based on a conversion price equal to the price per share of the stock sold to outside investors in the Next Equity Financing. If the Next Equity Financing does not occur on or before the maturity date, the principal and unpaid accrued interest can be converted at our option into a new class of equity securities to be designated Series A Preferred Stock," with the conversion price per share to be based upon a pre-money" valuation of the company at that time of \$2,000,000. These notes also include 20% warrant coverage which expire seven years from the date of the note.

We are currently party to a Sponsored Research Agreement with MMRI under which we are provided office and laboratory space, use of research equipment, and other items within MMRI's research facility in exchange for a monthly Sponsor Research Fee. The notes detailed above, in conjunction with certain warrants to purchase stock, were issued in payment of 50% of the respective monthly fees due under this agreement.

We also owe the principal sum of \$500,000 to a total of ten (10) investors who were issued Secured Convertible Promissory Notes under the terms of a Senior Secured Convertible Promissory Note Agreement dated December 28, 2010, as amended May 20, 2011 as follows:

Principal Amount	Issue Date	Maturity Date
\$125,000	12-28-10	12-6-11
\$62,500	12-28-10	12-6-11
\$100,000	4-15-11	12-6-11
\$25,000	4-18-11	12-6-11
\$25,000	5-13-11	12-6-11
\$50,000	5-19-11	12-6-11
\$25,000	5-24-11	12-6-11
\$25,000	5-24-11	12-6-11

Edgar Filing: Amarantus BioSciences, Inc. - Form 10-K

\$31,250	6-7-11	12-6-11
\$31,250	6-9-11	12-6-11

Table of Contents

Principal and interest, accrued at the rate of 5% per annum, are due and payable on December 6, 2011, unless earlier converted into equity securities of the company. Principal and unpaid accrued interest shall be converted, at the option of the holder, into equity securities of the company at the closing of our next equity financing in which gross aggregate proceeds to the Company exceed \$1,750,000 and the Company registers its stock for sale pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934. The conversion price shall be equal to one-third of the price per share of this financing. If this financing does not occur on or before the maturity date, the principal and unpaid accrued interest can be converted, at the option of the holders of a majority of the aggregate principal amount of the senior secured convertible promissory notes, into common stock of the Company. Additional information regarding these notes can be found in Note 8 to our financial statements. These notes were formerly secured by collateral consisting of substantially all assets of the company. Under the May 20, 2011 amendment to the Senior Secured Convertible Promissory Note Agreement, this security interest was terminated. Under the terms of the agreement as amended, we may not incur any indebtedness for borrowed money except pursuant to an agreement that provides that repayment of such indebtedness will be subordinated to repayment of the Notes. In addition, we may not encumber any of our property during such time as the Notes remain due and owing. As provided in the amendment the note holders have warrant coverage equal to 100% of the note principal at an exercise price equal to 100% of that to outside investors in the closing of the next equity financing of \$1,175,000, but not to be less than \$0.60 per share. The warrants expire five years from the date of the next equity financing closing. We are currently in default on these notes. See Note 10 to the Financial Statements.

In addition, we owe the principal sum of \$12,240 to The Parkinson's Institute, which was issued a Convertible Promissory Note under the terms of a Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement dated August 25, 2010. This note bears interest at a rate of 5% per annum, with all principal and accrued interest payable on demand by the holder on or after the maturity date of August 25, 2012. Principal and unpaid accrued interest due under this note shall be automatically converted into our equity securities at the closing of our next equity financing in which the gross proceeds exceed \$1,000,000 (the Next Equity Financing"), based on a conversion price equal to the price per share of the stock sold to outside investors in the Next Equity Financing. If the Next Equity Financing does not occur on or before the maturity date, the principal and unpaid accrued interest can be converted at our option into a new class of equity securities to be designated Series A Preferred Stock," with the conversion price per share to be based upon a pre-money" valuation of the company at that time of \$2,000,000. In addition the note holder has warrant coverage equal to 5% of the note principal with a warrant exercise price equal to in the next equity financing per share price, and expiration seven years from the date of the note.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, the Company issued convertible promissory notes to various investors for aggregate proceeds of \$90,000. Principal and interest on these convertible notes, accrued at the rate of 6% per annum, are due and payable 180 days from the issuance date, unless earlier converted into equity securities of the Company, at the option of the Holder of the promissory note. Conversion of the principal and interest will be at either \$0.10 or \$0.20 per share. In addition, the Company issued warrants to the note holders to purchase a number of shares of capital stock issued to investors at the equivalent to 100% of the principal amount of the notes divided by the respective price per share of the stock which the principal of the note converts at. The warrants expire one year from the date of the note.

Principal Amount	Issue Date	Maturity Date
-------------------------	-------------------	----------------------

\$21,000	7-28-11	1-24-12
\$21,000	7-28-11	1-24-12

Edgar Filing: Amarantus BioSciences, Inc. - Form 10-K

\$10,000	0 16 11	2-12-12
	8-16-11	2-12-12
\$20,000	8-18/11	2-14-12
\$5,000	9-6-11	3-4-12
\$5,000	9-9-11	3-7-12
\$3,000	9-26-11	3-24-12
\$5,000	11-2-11	4-30-12

Table of Contents

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, the Company issued convertible promissory notes to various investors for aggregate proceeds of \$60,000. Principal and interest on these convertible notes, accrued at the rate of 6% per annum, are due and payable 180 days from the issuance date, unless earlier converted into equity securities of the Company, at the option of the Holder of the promissory note. Conversion of the principal and interest will be at either \$0.05 or \$0.10 per share.

Principal Amount	Issue Date	Maturity Date
\$5,000	10-27-11	4-24-12
\$10,000	11-23-11	5-21-12
\$30,000	11-30-11	5-28-12
\$10,000	12-8-11	6-5-12
\$5,000	12-14-11	6-11-12

In addition, pursuant to the terms of certain contractual agreements, we have agreed to compensate certain vendors for services rendered contingent upon the occurrence of future financings as follows:

Future financing with proceeds of at least:	Agreement	Amount due
\$1,000,000	Data Transfer Agreement with Prof. Mart Saarma	\$50,000
\$1,250,000	Intellectual Property Assignment with EMS Development Group, LLC	20,000
\$1,500,000	Consulting Agreement with Keelin Reeds Partners	26,000
\$2,000,000	Data Transfer Agreement with Prof. Mart Saarma	50,000
\$5,000,000	Intellectual Property Assignment with EMS Development Group, LLC	50,000
\$6,000,000	Intellectual Property Assignment with EMS Development Group, LLC	20,000
Total		\$216,000

These transactions are described more fully in Notes to our financial statements, including a reference to contingent obligations reflected in the financial statements. These obligations are not reflected in the accounts of the company and represent an off balance sheet liability contingent upon achieving the respective funding level.

In connection with certain liabilities incurred in connection with our March 5, 2008 acquisition of the intellectual property rights to the MANF protein compound, we have an outstanding Promissory Note issued as follows:

Note Payable To: Amount Due Date Neurotrophics, Inc. \$222,083 3-5-15

This note bears interest at the rate of 2% per annum. Additional details regarding these liabilities can be found in Notes to our financial statements.

On October 4, 2011 we received short-term financing in the amount of \$150,000 under a Promissory Note issued to Dr. Samuel Herschkowitz as follows:

Note Payable To: Amount Due Date Samuel Herschkowitz \$150,000 4-1-12

The balance due under the Note bears interest at the rate of twenty percent (20%) per year.

Table of Contents

In addition, in conjunction with the Promissory Note, Dr. Herschkowitz received an equity bonus of 2,054,794 shares of common stock. As security for our obligations under the note, w have pledged in favor of the note holder 8,219,178. We are currently in default under this note.

During the period from December 31, 2011 to April 19, 2012 the following transactions occurred:

On January 17, 2012, we issued a \$100,000 convertible promissory note with a 6% per annum interest rate, due July 15, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.065 per share.

On January 24, 2012, we issued a \$112,321 promissory note with a 8.5% per annum interest rate, due on demand. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time at the option of the note holder at \$0.11 per share. This note is with a vendor for services provided.

On January 24, 2012, we issued a \$132,667 promissory note a 8.5% per annum interest rate, due on demand. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time at the option of the note holder at \$0.11 per share. This note is with a vendor for services provided

On February 16, 2012, we issued a \$37,500 convertible promissory note with a 8% per annum interest rate, due October 27, 2012. The note holder has the right to convert at any time and any amount of the principal and accrued interest after 180 days from the date of the note. The conversion price per share is 55% of the average of the three lowest trading days of the prior ten trading days from the date of the conversion request.

On February 21, 2012, we issued a \$3,750 convertible promissory note with a 6% per annum interest rate, due August 19, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.03 per share.

On March 9, 2012, we issued a \$15,000 convertible promissory note with a 12% per annum interest rate, due date September 9, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at 50 % of the lowest closing bid price for 20 trading days before conversion. This note was the result of a vendor trade debt assignment.

On March 19, 2012, we issued a \$17,000 convertible promissory note with a 8% per annum interest rate, due December 21, 2012. The note holder has the right to convert at any time and any amount of the principal and accrued interest after 180 days from the date of the note. The conversion price per share is 55% of the average of the three lowest trading days of the prior ten trading days from the date of the conversion request.

On March 20, 2012, we issued a \$9,500 convertible promissory note due September 20, 2012, with no stated interest rate, in return for \$10,000, \$500 of the \$10,000 proceeds was for a Unit and the balance of \$9,500 is the convertible promissory note. The Unit calls for the payment, to the note holder, of \$10,500 within five days of having received any form of proceeds. The \$9,500 promissory note may be converted six months from the date of the note, at the note holders option, with a conversion price equal to 50% of the lowest intraday trading price of the prior 20 trading days. We are currently in default on the Unit.

On April 2, 2012, we issued a \$25,000 convertible promissory note with a 6% per annum interest rate, due September 29, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.02 per share.

Also during the period December 31, 2011 to April 19, 2012, the following promissory notes converted to equity:

A note with an original issuance date of July 28, 2011, for \$21,000 convertible promissory note to Robert Harris, a Board member, with a 6% per annum interest rate, due January 24, 2012. This note also provides a warrant for 100% of the note principal with an exercise price of \$0.20 per share and expires July 28, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.10 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 217,280 shares of Common Stock.

Table of Contents

A note with an original issuance date of July 28, 2011, for \$21,000 convertible promissory note to Arnold Grisham, a former Board member, with a 6% per annum interest rate, due January 24, 2012. This note also provides a warrant for 100% of the note principal with an exercise price of \$0.20 per share and expires July 28, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.10 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 217,280 shares of Common Stock.

A note with an original issuance date of September 6, 2011, for \$5,000 convertible promissory note with a 6% per annum interest rate, due March 4, 2012. This note also provides a warrant for 100% of the note principal with an exercise price of \$0.10 per share and expires September 6, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.10 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 51,400 shares of Common Stock.

A note with an original issuance date of September 9, 2011, for \$5,000 convertible promissory note with a 6% per annum interest rate, due March 7, 2012. This note also provides a warrant for 100% of the note principal with an exercise price of \$0.10 per share and expires September 9, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.10 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 51,375 shares of Common Stock.

A note with an original issuance date of October 27, 2011, for \$5,000 convertible promissory note with a 6% per annum interest rate, due April 24, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.10 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 50,925 shares of Common Stock.

A note with an original issuance date of November 2, 2011, for \$5,000 convertible promissory note with a 6% per annum interest rate, due April 30, 2012. This note also provides a warrant for 100% of the note principal with an exercise price of \$0.10 per share and expires November 2, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.10 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 50,975 shares of Common Stock

A note with an original issuance date of November 30, 2011, for \$30,000 convertible promissory note to Robert Harris, a Board member, with a 6% per annum interest rate, due May 28, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.05 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 608,300 shares of Common Stock.

A note with an original issuance date of December 8, 2011, for \$10,000 convertible promissory note with a 6% per annum interest rate, due June 5, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.10 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 101,250 shares of Common Stock.

A note with an original issuance date of December 14, 2011, for \$5,000 convertible promissory note with a 6% per annum interest rate, due June 11, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.10 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 50,575 shares of Common Stock

A note with an original issuance date of December 30, 2011, for \$5,000 convertible promissory note with a 6% per annum interest rate, due June 27, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.05 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 101,667 shares of Common Stock.

A note with an original issuance date of February 21, 2012, for \$3,750 convertible promissory note with a 6% per annum interest rate, due August 19, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at \$0.03 per share. On March 13, 2012 the note holder converted the principal and accrued interest in return for 125,347 shares of Common Stock.

Table of Contents

A note with an original issuance date of March 9, 2012, for \$15,000 convertible promissory note with a 12% per annum interest rate, due date September 9, 2012. The note and accrued interest is convertible at any time by the note holder at 50 % of the lowest closing bid price for 20 trading days before conversion. This note was the result of a vendor trade debt assignment. On March 9, 2012 note holder converted the principal, no interest, in return for 483,871 shares of Common Stock.

In addition, during the time period December 31, 2012 through April 19, 2012 three vendors converted the trade debts to equity:

On March 13, 2012, we issued 201,277 shares to Robert Harris, a Board member, for payment of \$23,600 of fees due him.

On March 13, 2012, we issued 162,979 shares to Arnold Grisham, a former Board member, for payment of \$19,150 of fees due him.

On March 13, 2012, we issued 99,084 shares to a vendor for payment of \$11,642.32 of fees due to the vendor.

Currently, we have material commitments to complete certain animal studies related to a contract executed with the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research in April 2010. We have received grant funding from the Michael J. For Foundation to support completion of such animal studies.

We will need to raise significant financing in order to continue to operate and execute our business plan. It will cost roughly \$1,000,000 to complete our next major milestone. Additionally, we will need ongoing operating capital to retain employees, pay creditors and ongoing expenses, as well as execute non-core aspects of our business plan, which management believes will yield significant value to its shareholders.

The success of our business plan during the next 12 months and beyond is contingent upon us generating sufficient revenue to cover our costs of operations, or upon us obtaining additional financing. Should our revenues be less than anticipated, or should our expenses be greater than anticipated, then we may seek to obtain business capital through the use of private equity fundraising or shareholders loans. We do not have any formal commitments or arrangements for the sales of stock or the advancement or loan of funds at this time except as disclosed in Note 17 to the Financial Statements.

We were founded in 2008 to advance novel therapies for human disease. We were seeking to raise capital from new investors when the financial collapse of 2008 resulted in a prolonged depression. This financial collapse dramatically altered the financing environment for biotechnology companies seeking to access the capital markets to obtain financing to advance their research and development activities. The trend of difficult access to the capital markets has continued through to the current fundraising environment and has been evidenced by reduced pricing and lower capital raises in many biotechnology-related initial public offerings.

We have been successful in raising convertible note financing from various individual investors over the last several months. This is an encouraging trend that we expect to continue as we continue operations. We will use commercially-reasonable efforts going forward to raise equity financing and other financing instruments to raise sufficient capital to continue operations and meet our major milestones.

Based upon our current financial condition, we do not have sufficient cash to operate our business at the current level for the next twelve months. We intend to fund operations through debt and/or equity financing arrangements, which may be insufficient to fund expenditures or other cash requirements. We plan to seek additional financing in a private equity offering to secure funding for operations. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in raising additional funding. If we are not able to secure additional funding, the implementation of our business plan will be impaired. There can be no assurance that such additional financing will be available to us on acceptable terms or at all. Similarly, there can be no assurance that we will be able to generate sufficient revenue in the future to cover the costs of our business operations. We will use all commercially-reasonable efforts at our disposal to raise sufficient capital to run our operations on a go forward basis.

Table of Contents

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

Pursuant to the terms of certain contractual agreements, we have agreed to compensate certain vendors for services rendered contingent upon the occurrence of future financings. These transactions are described more fully under Liquidity and Capital Resources, above, and in Note 10 to our financial statements. These obligations are not reflected in our accounts and represent an off balance sheet liability contingent upon achieving the respective funding levels specified in the relevant agreements.

Going Concern

We are a development stage company engaged in biotechnology research and development. We have suffered recurring losses from operations since inception, and have generated negative cash flows from operations. For these reasons, our auditors have raised a substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our financial statements have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern which contemplates the realization of assets and satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. We expect to incur further losses in the development of our business and have been dependent on funding operations through the issuance of convertible debt and private sale of equity securities. These conditions raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans include continuing to finance operations through the private or public placement of debt and/or equity securities and the reduction of expenditures. However, no assurance can be given at this time as to whether we will be able to achieve these objectives. The financial statements do not include any adjustment relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or the amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue as a going concern.

Critical Accounting Policies

Use of Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Certain Significant Risks and Uncertainties - The Company participates in a global dynamic highly competitive industry and believes that changes in any of the following areas could have a material adverse effect on the Company's future financial position, results of operations, or cash flows: ability to obtain future financing; advances and trends in new technologies and industry standards; regulatory approval and market acceptance of the Company's products; development of the necessary manufacturing capabilities and to obtain adequate resources of necessary materials; development of sales channels; certain strategic relationships; litigation or claims against the Company based on intellectual property, patent, product, regulatory, or other factors; and the Company's ability to attract and retain employees necessary to support its growth.

Concentration of Credit Risk - Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist of cash and cash equivalents. The Company places its cash and cash equivalents with domestic financial institutions that are federally insured within statutory limits.

Cash and Cash Equivalents - The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Property and Equipment - Property and equipment are stated at cost and are depreciated on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives as follows:

Equipment 3 years Computer equipment 2 years Furniture and fixtures 3 years

Table of Contents

The Company reviews the carrying value of long-lived assets, including property and equipment, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be fully recoverable. There have been no such impairments.

Revenue Recognition - The Company recognizes revenue when the earnings process is complete, which under SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, Topic No. 13, "Revenue Recognition" ("SAB 104"), is when revenue is realized or realizable and earned, there is persuasive evidence a revenue arrangement exists, delivery of goods or services has occurred, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured.

The Company accounts for milestones related to research and development activities in accordance with the milestone method of revenue recognition of Accounting Standards Codification Topic 605-28, under which consideration contingent on the achievement of a substantive milestone is recognized in its entirety in the period when the milestone is achieved. A milestone is considered to be substantive when it meets all of the following criteria: the milestone is commensurate with either the performance required to achieve the milestone or the enhancement of the value of the delivered items resulting from the performance required to achieve the milestone; the milestone relates solely to past performance; and, the milestone is reasonable relative to all of the deliverables and payment terms within the agreement.

To date, the Company has only received research grant revenue and contract revenue. Research grant revenue and contract revenue is recognized as the Company provides the services stipulated in the underlying agreement based on the time and expenditures incurred, and all milestones required in the agreement have been met. Amounts received in advance of services provided are recorded as deferred revenue and amortized as revenue when the services are provided and the milestones are met. The Company received and recognized total research grant revenue of \$5,000 and \$188,308 in the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2011, respectively, as the Company incurred all of the qualifying expenses and all applicable milestones were met. In the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2010, the Company received and recognized \$0 and \$192,408 of research grant revenue, respectively, as the Company incurred all of the qualifying expenses and all applicable milestones were met. See Note 5 to the financial statements for further information on the research grant revenue received and recognized to date. In addition, the company received and recognized \$0 and \$35,280 of contract revenue in the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2011. We received and recognized no contract revenue during 2010.

Research and Development Expenditures -Research and development expenses consist of personnel costs, including salaries, benefits and stock-based compensation, materials and supplies, licenses and fees, and overhead allocations consisting of various administrative and facilities related costs. Research and development activities are also separated into three main categories: research, clinical development, and biotechnology development. Research costs typically consist of preclinical and toxicology costs. Clinical development costs include costs for Phase 1 and 2 clinical studies. Biotechnology development costs consist of expenses incurred in connection with product formulation and analysis. The Company charges research and development costs, including clinical study costs, to expense when incurred, consistent with the guidance of FASB ASC 730, Research and Development.

Stock-Based Compensation - Stock-based compensation is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award. The fair value of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period, which is generally the vesting period. The expense recognized for the portion of the award that is expected to vest has been reduced by an estimated forfeiture rate. The forfeiture rate is determined at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates.

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option-pricing model as the method for determining the estimated fair value of stock options.

The Company recognizes the fair value of stock options granted to nonemployees as stock-based compensation expense over the period in which the related services are received.

Table of Contents

Stock Warrants - Certain warrants to purchase the Company's stock are classified as liabilities in the balance sheets. These warrants are subject to remeasurement at each balance sheet date, and any change in fair value is recognized as a component of other income (expense). Other warrants to purchase the Company's convertible preferred stock are classified as equity in the balance sheet and are not subject to remeasurement.

Derivative Liability - Certain derivatives embedded within convertible promissory notes have been bifurcated and recorded as derivatives in the balance sheets because they are not clearly and closely related. These derivatives are subject to remeasurement at each balance sheet date, and any change in fair value is recognized as a component of other income (expense).

Income Taxes - The Company accounts for income taxes using the liability method whereby deferred tax asset and liability account balances are determined based on differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The Company provides a valuation allowance, if necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to their estimated realizable value.

In evaluating the ability to recover its deferred income tax assets, the Company considers all available positive and negative evidence, including its operating results, ongoing tax planning, and forecasts of future taxable income on a jurisdiction-by-jurisdiction basis. In the event the Company determines that it would be able to realize its deferred income tax assets in the future in excess of their net recorded amount, it would make an adjustment to the valuation allowance that would reduce the provision for income taxes. Conversely, in the event that all or part of the net deferred tax assets are determined not to be realizable in the future, an adjustment to the valuation allowance would be charged to earnings in the period such determination is made.

The Company recognizes the tax benefit from uncertain tax positions in accordance with GAAP, which prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a company's tax return.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments -The carrying amount reported in the balance sheets for cash and cash equivalents, accounts payable, and accrued liabilities approximates their value due to the short-term maturities of such instruments.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued updated accounting guidance to amend existing requirements for fair value measurements and disclosures. The guidance expands the disclosure requirements around fair value measurements

categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy and requires disclosure of the level in the fair value hierarchy of items that are not measured at fair value but whose fair value must be disclosed. It also clarifies and expands upon existing requirements for fair value measurements of financial assets and liabilities as well as instruments classified in shareholders' equity. The guidance is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The implementation of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2011, the FASB issued guidance concerning the presentation of Comprehensive Income in the financial statements. Entities will have the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate, but consecutive statements. The disclosure requirements are effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and should be retrospectively applied. The implementation of this guidance is not expected to have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

In September 2011, the FASB issued guidance on annual and interim goodwill impairment tests. An entity may now first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is "more likely than not" that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test described in Topic 350, Intangibles-Goodwill and Other. The more-likely-than-not threshold is defined as having a likelihood of more than 50%. The new guidance is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The implementation of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

A smaller reporting company is not required to provide the information required by this Item.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Index to Financial Statements Required by Article 8 of Regulation S-X:

Audited Financial Statements:

- F-1 Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
- F-2Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010
- F-3 Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, and for the period from inception to December 31, 2011
- F-4 Statement of Stockholders' Deficit for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the period from inception to December 31, 2011
- F-5 Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, and for the period from inception to December 31, 2011
- F-6 Notes to Financial Statements

<u>Table of Contents</u>
Silberstein Ungar, PLLC CPAs and Business Advisors

Phone (248) 203-0080

Fax (248) 281-0940

30600 Telegraph Road, Suite 2175

Bingham Farms, MI 48025-4586

www.sucpas.com

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Amarantus BioSciences, Inc.

Sunnyvale, California

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of Amarantus BioSciences, Inc., a development stage company (the "Company"), as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' deficit, and cash flows for the years then ended, and for the period from January 14, 2008 (date of inception) to December 31, 2011. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Amarantus BioSciences, Inc. as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, and for the period from January 14, 2008 (date of inception) to December 31, 2011, in

conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. The Company is a development stage company engaged in biotechnology research and development. The Company has suffered recurring losses from operations since inception, has a working capital deficit, and has generated negative cash flow from operations that raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are described in Note 2 to the financial statements. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties.

/s/ Silberstein Ungar, PLLC

Silberstein Ungar, PLLC

Bingham Farms, Michigan

April 18, 2012

Table of Contents

AMARANTUS BIOSCIENCES, INC.

(A Development Stage Company)

BALANCE SHEETS

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 & DECEMBER 31, 2011

	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2011
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS: Cash and cash equivalents Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$47,521 30,217	\$870 335,498
Total current assets	77,738	336,368
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT — Net	25,105	18,389
TOTAL ASSETS	\$102,843	\$354,757
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT		
CURRENT LIABILITIES: Accounts payable Accrued liabilities Related Party Liabilities Notes payable Current portion of warrant liability Current portion of derivative liability Current portion of convertible promissory notes Total current liabilities	\$1,015,221 11,833 287,462 — — 141,690 1,094 1,457,300	\$2,052,763 77,208 222,230 150,000 281,143 45,180 714,261 3,542,786
LONG TERM LIABILITIES: Stock Warrant Liability Derivative Liability - Net of current portion Convertible Promissory Notes — Net of current portion	4,416 145,857 29,915	2,788 95,526 63,600
Total long term liabilities	180,188	161,914
TOTAL LIABILITIES	1,637,488	3,704,700
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES		
STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT:	685,342	_

Convertible preferred stock, \$0.001 par value - authorized, 5,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding, 1,838,354 shares at December 31, 2010 and - 0 - shares at December 31, 2011, (liquidation preference of \$735,342 at December 31, 2010) Common stock, \$0.001 par value - authorized, 250,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding, 24,961,474 shares at December 31, 2010 and 80,936,592 shares at 4,020 80,937 December 31, 2011 Additional paid-in capital 3,295,549 62,320) (6,726,430 Deficit accumulated during the development stage (2,286,327 Total stockholders' deficit (1,534,645) (3,349,944)TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT \$102,843 \$354,756

See notes to financial statements.

Table of Contents

AMARANTUS BIOSCIENCES, INC.

(A Development Stage Company)

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND 2011, AND

FOR THE PERIOD FROM JANUARY 14, 2008 (DATE OF INCEPTION) TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

			Period From January 14, 2008
	Year Ended	Year Ended	(Date of Inception)
	December 31	December 31	to December 31,
	2010	2011	2011
NET REVENUES	\$192,408	\$223,588	\$415,996
OPERATING EXPENSES:			
Research and development	490,428	1,177,532	1,605,787
General and administrative	924,495	2,786,113	4,444,446
Total costs and expenses	1,414,923	3,963,646	6,050,233
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	(1,222,515)	(3,740,058)	(5,634,236)
INTEREST & OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)			
Interest Expense	(79,410	(1,015,203)	(1,211,175)
Other Income / (Expense)	168,360	(128,977	87,685
Change In fair value of warrants & derivatives liabilities		444,135	397,168
Total interest & other income (expense)	14,954	(700,045	(726,322)
NET LOSS	\$(1,207,561)	\$(4,440,103)	\$(6,360,559)
NET LOSS PER SHARE - BASIC	\$(0.05	\$(0.08	•
NET LOSS PER SHARE - FULLY DILUTED	\$(0.05	\$(0.08)
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING - BASIC	24,961,474	52,691,358	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING - FULLY DILUTED	24,961,474	52,691,358	

See notes to financial statements.

Table of Contents

AMARANTUS BIOSCIENCES, INC.

(A Development Stage Company)

STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT

FOR THE PERIOD FROM JANUARY 14, 2008 (DATE OF INCEPTION) TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

	Convertible Preferred Sto Shares	ock Amount	Common St Shares	ock Amount	Additional Paid-In Capital	Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage	Total Stockholders' Deficit
BALANCE - January 14, 2008	_	\$—	_	\$	\$ —	\$	\$—
Sale of warrant to investor					35		35
Dividend to founder for assumption of						(365,870)	(365,870)
debts Net loss						(406,706)	(406,706)
BALANCE - December 31, 2008	_	_	4,020,000	4,020	35	(772,576)	(768,521)
Net loss						(306,190)	(306,190)
BALANCE - December 31, 2009	_	_	4,020,000	4,020	35	(1,078,766)	(1,074,711)
Issuance of Series 1 convertible preferred stock in May 2010 for cash at \$0.40 per share - net of issuance costs of \$50,000 Issuance of Series 1	1,250,000	450,000					450,000
convertible preferred stock in October 2010 in exchange for convertible	488,354	195,342					195,342
promissory notes Issuance of Series 1 convertible	100,000	40,000					40,000

Edgar Filing: Amarantus BioSciences, Inc. - Form 10-K

preferred stock in November 2010 for cash at \$0.40 per share Preferred stock warrants reclassified from liabilities Stock-based compensation Net loss					37,110 25,175	(1,207,561)	37,110 25,175 (1,207,561)
BALANCE - December 31, 2010	1,838,354	\$685,342	4,020,000	\$4,020	\$62,320	\$(2,286,327)	
Preferred shares converted to common shares	(1,838,354)	(685,342)		683,504		(1,838,354)	(685,342)
Option exercises Merger record ATI			1,469 (7,327)	181,893	183,362 (7,327)		
shares retired Effect of reverse recapitalization merger			45,500	(33,487)			
Merger issuance of stock & record shell common			21,500		21,500		
Option exercises post merger			738	16,718	17,456		
Issuance of common stock			13,199	1,754,535	1,767,734		
Merger expenses				(26,186)	(26,186)		
Stock-based compensation				656,252	656,252		
Net loss					(4,440,103)		
Balance - December 31, 2011	_	\$0	\$80,937	\$3,295,549	\$(3,349,944)	_	\$0

See notes to financial statements.

Table of Contents

AMARANTUS BIOSCIENCES, INC.

(A Development Stage Company)

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED December 31, 2010 AND 2011, AND

FOR THE PERIOD FROM JANUARY 14, 2008 (DATE OF INCEPTION) TO December 31,2011

	Period Ender December 31, 2010	d Period Ended December 31, 2011	Period From January 14, 2008 (Date of Inception) December 31, 2011
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: Net loss A divertments to recognile not loss to not each used in operating activities.	\$(1,207,561) \$(4,440,103)	\$(6,360,560)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: Depreciation and amortization Gain on disposal of equitpment Stock-based compensation Non-cash interest expense related to warrants and derivatives Change in fair value of warrant and derivative liabilities Gain on settlement of convertible note and warrants Changes in operating assets and liabilities: Prepaid expenses and other current assets	` '	656,252 642,646 (439,369) —) (305,281)	(137,632) (335,498)
Accounts payable Accrued liabilities Related party liabilities	675,896 5,151 (8,426	1,058,792 65,375) (65,232	2,206,540 117,550 (143,640)
Net cash used in operating activities	(538,549) (2,815,514)	(3,578,892)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Purchases of property and equipment	(30,162) (4,688	(40,392)
Net cash used in investing activities	(30,162) (4,688	(40,392)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Proceeds from borrowings Repayment of borrowings Proceeds from issuance of common stock Proceeds from issuance of stock options	225,000 (100,000 —	805,000) — 1,793,920 200,818	1,257,548 (100,000) 1,797,941 200,818

Edgar Filing: Amarantus BioSciences, Inc. - Form 10-K

Proceeds from issuance of convertible preferred stock Costs of financings Proceeds from sale of warrant	540,000 (50,000	— (26,187) —	540,000 (76,187) 35
Net cash provided by financing activities	615,000	2,773,551	3,620,155
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	46,289	(46,651)	870
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - Beginning of year	1,232	47,521	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - End of year	\$47,521	\$870	\$870
NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Exchange of convertible promissory notes for preferred stock	\$195,342	\$	\$195,342
Issuance of warrants to investors	\$3,680	\$314,833	\$371,180
Bifurcation of derivatives embedded in convertible notes	\$281,466	\$257,210	\$548,053
Preferred stock warrants reclassified from liabilities to equity	\$37,110	\$	\$37,110
Issuance of convertible notes in lieu of payment of payable	\$32,527	\$21,250	\$153,777
Dividend to founder for assumption of debts	\$ —	\$ —	\$365,870

See notes to financial statements.

Table of Contents

AMARANTUS BIOSCIENCES, Inc.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010 and 2011, and

for the period from january 14, 2008 (date of inception) to december 31, 2011

1. General

Amarantus BioSciences, Inc. (the "Company") was incorporated on January 14, 2008 in the state of Delaware. The Company is a development stage biopharmaceutical drug development company dedicated to sourcing high-potential therapeutic platform technologies and aligning their development with complementary clinical-stage compounds to reduce overall enterprise risk. Through December 31, 2011, the Company has been primarily engaged in biotechnology research and development and raising capital.

2. Development Stage and Going Concern

The Company's activities since inception have consisted principally of acquiring product and technology rights, raising capital, and performing research and development. Accordingly, the Company is considered to be in the development stage as of December 31, 2011, as defined by the Financial Accounting Standard Board, or FASB, Accounting Standard Codification, or ASC 915. Successful completion of the Company's development programs and, ultimately, the attainment of profitable operations are dependent on future events, including, among other things, its ability to access potential markets; secure financing, develop a customer base; attract, retain and motivate qualified personnel; and develop strategic alliances. As of December 31, 2011, the Company has been funded by equity and debt financings. Although management believes that the Company will be able to successfully fund its operations, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to do so or that the Company will ever operate profitably.

The Company expects to continue to incur substantial losses over the next several years during its development phase. To fully execute its business plan, the Company will need to complete certain research and development activities and clinical studies. Further, the Company's product candidates will require regulatory approval prior to commercialization. These activities may span many years and require substantial expenditures to complete and may ultimately be unsuccessful. Any delays in completing these activities could adversely impact the Company. The Company plans to meet its capital requirements primarily through issuances of debt and equity securities and, in the longer term, revenue from product sales.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP), which contemplate continuation of the Company as a going concern. As of December 31, 2011, the Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$870. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company incurred a net loss of \$4,440,103 and had negative cash flows from operating

activities of \$2,815,514. In addition, the Company had an accumulated deficit of \$6,726,429 at December 31, 2011. The Company believes its current capital resources are not sufficient to support its operations. Management intends to continue its research efforts and to finance operations of the Company through debt or equity financings. Management plans to seek additional debt and/or equity financing for the Company through private or public offerings or through a business combination or strategic partnership. There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in obtaining additional financing on favorable terms, or at all. These matters raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

3. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Use of Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Table of Contents

Certain Significant Risks and Uncertainties - The Company participates in a global dynamic highly competitive industry and believes that changes in any of the following areas could have a material adverse effect on the Company's future financial position, results of operations, or cash flows: ability to obtain future financing; advances and trends in new technologies and industry standards; regulatory approval and market acceptance of the Company's products; development of the necessary manufacturing capabilities and to obtain adequate resources of necessary materials; development of sales channels; certain strategic relationships; litigation or claims against the Company based on intellectual property, patent, product, regulatory, or other factors; and the Company's ability to attract and retain employees necessary to support its growth.

Concentration of Credit Risk - Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist of cash and cash equivalents. The Company places its cash and cash equivalents with domestic financial institutions that are federally insured within statutory limits.

Cash and Cash Equivalents - The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Property and Equipment - Property and equipment are stated at cost and are depreciated on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives as follows:

Equipment 3 years Computer equipment 2 years Furniture and fixtures 3 years

The Company reviews the carrying value of long-lived assets, including property and equipment, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be fully recoverable. There have been no such impairments.

Property and equipment at December 31, 2010 and 2011, consisted of the following:

	December	December
	31,	31,
	2010	2011
Equipment	\$30,162	\$34,851
Computer equipment	3,179	3,179
Furniture and fixtures	2,363	2,363

35,704 40,393

Less accumulated depreciation (10,599) (22,003)

Property and equipment — net \$25,105 \$18,390

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2011 and for the period from January 14, 2008 (date of inception) to December 31, 2011 was \$6,439, \$15,154, and \$25,753, respectively.

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition - The Company recognizes revenue when the earnings process is complete, which under SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, Topic No. 13, "Revenue Recognition" ("SAB 104"), is when revenue is realized or realizable and earned, there is persuasive evidence a revenue arrangement exists, delivery of goods or services has occurred, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured.

The Company accounts for milestones related to research and development activities in accordance with the milestone method of revenue recognition of Accounting Standards Codification Topic 605-28, under which consideration contingent on the achievement of a substantive milestone is recognized in its entirety in the period when the milestone is achieved. A milestone is considered to be substantive when it meets all of the following criteria: the milestone is commensurate with either the performance required to achieve the milestone or the enhancement of the value of the delivered items resulting from the performance required to achieve the milestone; the milestone relates solely to past performance; and, the milestone is reasonable relative to all of the deliverables and payment terms within the agreement.

To date, the Company has only received research grant revenue and contract revenue. Research grant revenue and contract revenue is recognized as the Company provides the services stipulated in the underlying agreement based on the time and expenditures incurred, and all milestones required in the agreement have been met. Amounts received in advance of services provided are recorded as deferred revenue and amortized as revenue when the services are provided and the milestones are met. The Company received and recognized total research grant revenue of \$5,000 and \$188,308 in the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2011, respectively, as the Company incurred all of the qualifying expenses and all applicable milestones were met. In the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2010, the Company received and recognized \$0 and \$192,408 of research grant revenue, respectively, as the Company incurred all of the qualifying expenses and all applicable milestones were met. See Note 5 to the financial statements for further information on the research grant revenue received and recognized to date. In addition, the company received and recognized \$0 and \$35,280 of contract revenue in the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2011. There was no contract revenue in 2010.

Research and Development Expenditures - Research and development expenses consist of personnel costs, including salaries, benefits and stock-based compensation, materials and supplies, licenses and fees, and overhead allocations consisting of various administrative and facilities related costs. Research and development activities are also separated into three main categories: research, clinical development, and biotechnology development. Research costs typically consist of preclinical and toxicology costs. Clinical development costs include costs for Phase 1 and 2 clinical studies. Biotechnology development costs consist of expenses incurred in connection with product formulation and analysis. The Company charges research and development costs, including clinical study costs, to expense when incurred, consistent with the guidance of FASB ASC 730, Research and Development.

Stock-Based Compensation - Stock-based compensation is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award. The fair value of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period, which is generally the vesting period. The expense recognized for the portion of the award that is expected to vest has been reduced by an estimated forfeiture rate. The forfeiture rate is determined at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates.

Expected Term — The expected term of options represents the period that the Company's stock-based awards are expected to be outstanding based on the simplified method provided in Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 110, Certain Assumptions Used in Valuation Methods.

Expected Volatility — As the Company has limited stock price history, expected volatility has been estimated based on the volatilities of similar companies that are publicly traded.

Risk-Free Interest Rate — The Company bases the risk-free interest rate on the implied yield available on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with an equivalent remaining term.

Expected Dividend — The Company has never declared or paid any cash dividends and does not plan to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future, and, therefore, used an expected dividend yield of zero in the valuation model.

The Company recognizes fair value of stock options granted to nonemployees as stock-based compensation expense over the period in which the related services are received.

Table of Contents

Stock Warrants - Certain warrants to purchase the Company's stock are classified as liabilities in the balance sheets. These warrants are subject to remeasurement at each balance sheet date, and any change in fair value is recognized as a component of other income (expense). Other warrants to purchase the Company's convertible preferred stock are classified as equity in the balance sheet and are not subject to remeasurement.

Derivative Liability - Certain derivatives embedded within convertible promissory notes have been bifurcated and recorded as derivatives in the balance sheets because they are not clearly and closely related. These derivatives are subject to remeasurement at each balance sheet date, and any change in fair value is recognized as a component of other income (expense).

Income Taxes - The Company accounts for income taxes using the liability method whereby deferred tax asset and liability account balances are determined based on differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The Company provides a valuation allowance, if necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to their estimated realizable value.

In evaluating the ability to recover its deferred income tax assets, the Company considers all available positive and negative evidence, including its operating results, ongoing tax planning, and forecasts of future taxable income on a jurisdiction-by-jurisdiction basis. In the event the Company determines that it would be able to realize its deferred income tax assets in the future in excess of their net recorded amount, it would make an adjustment to the valuation allowance that would reduce the provision for income taxes. Conversely, in the event that all or part of the net deferred tax assets are determined not to be realizable in the future, an adjustment to the valuation allowance would be charged to earnings in the period such determination is made.

The Company recognizes the tax benefit from uncertain tax positions in accordance with GAAP, which prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a company's tax return.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments -The carrying amount reported in the balance sheets for cash and cash equivalents, accounts payable, and accrued liabilities approximates their value due to the short-term maturities of such instruments.

Net income (loss) per share attributable to Amaratus common stockholders

Basic net income (loss) per share attributable to Amarantus common stockholders is calculated by dividing net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the period. In accordance with FASB ASC 260, because there was a net loss for the period, zero incremental shares were included for diluted earnings per share because the effect would be antidilutive.

Recently Adopted Accounting Guidance

Variable Interest Entities. In June 2009, the FASB issued new accounting guidance relating to consolidation of variable interest entities ("*VIEs*"), which amends the current accounting guidance for determining whether an entity is a VIE and defining the primary beneficiary. This guidance also requires additional disclosures relating to involvement with a VIE. We adopted this guidance during the first quarter of our fiscal 2010. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on our Financial Statements and disclosures.

Fair Value Measurements. In January 2010, the FASB issued new accounting guidance requiring additional disclosures about the different classes of assets and liabilities measured at fair value, valuation techniques and inputs used, the activity in Level 3 fair value measurements, and the transfers between Levels 1 and 2. It also clarified guidance around disaggregation and disclosures of inputs and valuation techniques for Level 2 and Level 3 fair value measurements. The current guidance is effective beginning with the first quarter of our fiscal 2010, except for the new disclosures relating to the Level 3 reconciliation, which was effective for the first quarter of our fiscal 2011. Refer to Note 6 – Fair Value Measurements for our Company's fair value measurements and disclosures.

Table of Contents

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued updated accounting guidance to amend existing requirements for fair value measurements and disclosures. The guidance expands the disclosure requirements around fair value measurements categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy and requires disclosure of the level in the fair value hierarchy of items that are not measured at fair value but whose fair value must be disclosed. It also clarifies and expands upon existing requirements for fair value measurements of financial assets and liabilities as well as instruments classified in shareholders' equity. The guidance is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The implementation of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2011, the FASB issued guidance concerning the presentation of Comprehensive Income in the financial statements. Entities will have the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate, but consecutive statements. The disclosure requirements are effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and should be retrospectively applied. The implementation of this guidance is not expected to have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In September 2011, the FASB issued guidance on annual and interim goodwill impairment tests. An entity may now first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is "more likely than not" that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test described in Topic 350, Intangibles-Goodwill and Other. The more-likely-than-not threshold is defined as having a likelihood of more than 50%. The new guidance is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The implementation of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

4. AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF MERGER

On May 25, 2011, the Company entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement") with Amarantus Therapeutics, Inc., a privately held Delaware corporation (Amarantus"), and JKIK Acquisition Corp. (Acquisition Sub"), our newly formed wholly-owned Delaware subsidiary. In connection with the closing of this merger transaction, Amarantus merged with and into Acquisition Sub (the Merger") on May 25, 2011, with the filing of articles of merger with the Delaware Secretary of State.

In addition, pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Merger Agreement:

- · Each share of Amarantus common stock and each share of Amarantus preferred stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to the closing of the Merger was converted into the right to receive a pro-rata portion of a total of 1,820,000 shares of our common stock. As a result, the shareholders of Amarantus received 1,820,000 newly issued shares of our common stock.
- · Our board of directors was reconstituted to consist of Martin D. Cleary, Chairman, together with Dr. John W. Commissiong, Gerald E. Commissiong, Arnold T. Grisham, Robert L. Harris, and Eugene Mancino, who prior to the Merger were the directors of Amarantus.
- · Our sole officer and director immediately prior to the Merger, Richard Douglas, resigned from the board and from all offices.
- · Our board appointed Martin D. Cleary as our Chief Executive Officer, Dr. John Commissiong as our Chief Scientific Officer, Gerald E. Commissiong as our Chief Operating Officer, and Marc E. Faerber as our Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer, and Secretary.
- · In connection with the Merger, our former sole officer and director immediately prior to the Merger, Richard Douglas, received a transfer of all assets and agreed to assume all liabilities related to our pre-merger business.
- · Following the closing of the merger, Mr. Douglas canceled and returned all 10,000,000 shares of his common stock.

Table of Contents

- · Following the closing of the merger, in a separate transaction, we authorized a forward split of 25 shares for each share of our common stock issued and outstanding at the time of the split.
- · Following the closing of the merger, our board of directors and shareholders approved a change in the name of the company to Amarantus BioSciences, Inc."
- · As a result, following these events, there were 67,000,000 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding.
- · In connection with the Merger, we adopted Amarantus' 2008 Stock Plan and confirmed all options issued thereunder. In addition, we adopted and assumed certain convertible notes and warrants issued by Amarantus prior to the Merger.
- · Amarantus provided customary representations and warranties and complied with standard closing conditions, including approval of the Merger by its voting stockholders.

Expenses incurred with the merger were \$26,186 and have been recorded as part of Stockholders' Equity.

The Merger is being accounted for as a reverse recapitalization. Reverse recapitalization accounting applies when a non-operating public shell company (Jumpkicks) acquires a private operating company (Amarantus) and the owners and management of the private operating company have actual or effective voting and operating control of the combined company. A reverse recapitalization is equivalent to the issuance of stock by the private operating company for the net monetary assets of the public shell corporation accompanied by a recapitalization with accounting similar to that resulting from a reverse acquisition, except that no goodwill or other intangible assets are recorded. In the Merger transaction, Jumpkicks qualifies as a non-operating public shell company because all pre-merger business assets and liabilities were transferred to and assumed by the sole officer and director of Jumpkicks, prior to the completion of the Merger. The reverse recapitalization accounting is attributable to a long-held position of the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission as the acquisition of a non-operating public shell company does not qualify as a business for business combination purposes, as described in Accounting Standards Codification Topic 805, Business Combinations.

Complete information regarding the merger was included in our Form 8K/A filed on June 3, 2011.

5. MICHAEL J. FOX FOUNDATION GRANT

In April 2010, the Company was awarded a grant from the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research ("MJFF"). Pursuant to the MJFF grant, the Company performed research related to comparison and analysis of certain genes in rodent models of Parkinson's disease. The grant provided for the reimbursement of expenses as incurred up to a maximum of \$370,716, payable in two installments with targeted payments in April 2010 and October 2010, and it

established two milestones. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, the Company achieved certain milestones and received payment and recorded revenue of \$178,308. During the fiscal year ended December 30, 2010, the Company achieved certain milestones and received payment and recorded revenue of \$192,408.

Fair Value Measurements

6.

Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value in the financial statements are categorized based upon the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their fair value. Hierarchical levels, which are directly related to the amount of subjectivity, associated with the inputs to the valuation of these assets or liabilities are as follows:

Level 1 — Inputs that are unadjusted, quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.

Level 2 — Inputs (other than quoted prices included in Level 1) that are either directly or indirectly observable for the asset or liability through correlation with market data at the measurement date and for the duration of the instrument's anticipated life.

Level 3 — Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities and which reflect management's best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. Consideration is given to the risk inherent in the valuation technique and the risk inherent in the inputs to the model.

Table of Contents

The Company's financial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2010 and 2011, by level within the fair value hierarchy, are as follows:

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Warrant Liability Derivative Liability			\$4,416 287,547	\$4,416 287,547
Total	\$	\$ —	\$291,963	\$291,963

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2011

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Warrant Liability Derivative Liability			\$283,931 140,706	\$283,931 140,706
Total	\$ —	\$	\$424,637	\$424,637

The following table provides a summary of changes in the fair value of the Company's Level 3 financial liability mentioned above for the period from January 14, 2008 (date of inception) to December 31, 20011, and for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2011:

	Warrant Liability	Derivative Liability	Total
January 14, 2008 (date of inception)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Issuance of warrants	52,665		52,665
Issuance of convertible notes	,	9,377	9,377
Changes in fair value	(15,960)	(4,402	(20,362)
December 31, 2008	36,705	4,975	41,680
Changes in fair value	(1,692)	(4,975	(6,667)
December 31, 2009	35,013	0	35,013
Issuance of warrants	3,680		3,680
Issuance of convertible notes		281,466	281,466
Reclassification of warrants to equity	(37,110)		(37,110)
Cancellation of warrants	(65,082)		(65,082)
Changes in fair value	67,915	6,081	73,996
December 31, 2010	\$4,416	\$287,547	\$291,963

Edgar Filing: Amarantus BioSciences, Inc. - Form 10-K

Issuance of warrants	314,835		314,835
Issuance of convertible notes		257,210	257,210
Changes in fair value	(35,320)	(404,051)	(439,371)
December 31, 2011	\$283,931	\$140,706	\$424,637

The valuation of the convertible preferred stock warrant liability is discussed in Note 11.

7. Net income (loss) per share

The following table sets forth the computation of the basic and diluted loss per share attributable to Amarantus common stockholders for the periods indicated:

	Years Ended 1 2010	December 31, 2011
Numerator		
Net Loss	\$(1,207,561)	\$(4,440,103)
Denominator Weighted average shares outstanding during period: Common stock - basic	24 961 474	52,691,358
Common stock - basic Common share equivalents	0	0
Common stock - diluted	24,961,474	52,691,358
Net loss per share	\$(0.05)	\$(0.08)

8.

Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities at December 31, 2010 and 2011, consisted of the following:

	December	December
	31,	31,
	2010	2011
Accrued compensation and related benefits Accrued interest	\$ 11,162 671	\$ 18,746 58,462
Total	\$ 11,833	\$ 77,208

9. Convertible Promissory Notes and derivative liability

The Company owes the principal amount of \$230,000 to a total of six (6) investors who were issued Convertible Promissory Notes under the terms of a Convertible Promissory Note Agreement dated December 13, 2010 and amended on March 23, 2011 as follows:

Edgar Filing: Amarantus BioSciences, Inc. - Form 10-K

\$100,000	12-13-10	12-13-12
\$25,000	4-11-11	4-11-13
\$35,000	4-15-11	4-15-13
\$10,000	4-22-11	4-22-13
\$50,000	4-27-11	4-27-13
\$10,000	6-6-11	6-6-13

These notes bear interest at a rate of 5% per annum, with all principal and accrued interest payable on the maturity date. Principal and unpaid accrued interest due under these notes shall be automatically converted into our equity securities at the closing of our next equity financing in which the gross proceeds exceed \$1,000,000 (the Next Equity Financing"), based on a conversion price equal to one-third of the price per share of the stock sold to outside investors in the Next Equity Financing. If the Next Equity Financing does not occur on or before the maturity date, the principal and unpaid accrued interest can be converted at our option into shares of our most recently closed equity financing, based on a conversion price equal to one-third of the price per share of the most recently closed equity financing.

In addition, we also currently owe the principal sum of \$41,537 to Molecular Medicine Research Institute (MMRI''), who was issued a series of Convertible Promissory Notes under the terms of a Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement as follows:

Principal Amount	Issue Date	Maturity Date
\$16,037	11-1-10	11-1-12
\$4,250	12-1-10	12-1-12
\$4,250	1-1-11	1-1-13
\$4,250	2-1-11	2-1-13
\$4,250	3-1-11	3-1-13
\$4,250	4-1-11	4-1-13
\$4,250	5-1-11	5-1-13

These notes bear interest at a rate of 5% per annum, with all principal and accrued interest payable on demand by the holder on or after the maturity date. Principal and unpaid accrued interest due under these notes shall be converted, at the option of the holder, into our equity securities at the closing of our next equity financing in which the gross proceeds exceed \$1,000,000 (the Next Equity Financing"), based on a conversion price equal to the price per share of the stock sold to outside investors in the Next Equity Financing. If the Next Equity Financing does not occur on or before the maturity date, the principal and unpaid accrued interest can be converted at our option into a new class of equity securities to be designated Series A Preferred Stock," with the conversion price per share to be based upon a pre-money" valuation of the company at that time of \$2,000,000. These notes also include 20% warrant coverage which expire seven years from the date of the note.

We are currently party to a Sponsored Research Agreement with MMRI under which we are provided office and laboratory space, use of research equipment, and other items within MMRI's research facility in exchange for a monthly Sponsor Research Fee. The notes detailed above, in conjunction with certain warrants to purchase stock, were issued in payment of 50% of the respective monthly fees due under this agreement.

We also owe the principal sum of \$500,000 to a total of ten (10) investors who were issued Secured Convertible Promissory Notes under the terms of a Senior Secured Convertible Promissory Note Agreement dated December 28, 2010, as amended May 20, 2011 as follows:

Edgar Filing: Amarantus BioSciences, Inc. - Form 10-K

Principal Amount	Issue Date	Maturity Date
\$125,000	12-28-10	12-6-11
\$62,500	12-28-10	12-6-11
\$100,000	4-15-11	12-6-11
\$25,000	4-18-11	12-6-11
\$25,000	5-13-11	12-6-11
\$50,000	5-19-11	12-6-11
\$25,000	5-24-11	12-6-11
\$25,000	5-24-11	12-6-11
\$31,250	6-7-11	12-6-11
\$31,250	6-9-11	12-6-11

Principal and interest, accrued at the rate of 5% per annum, are due and payable on December 6, 2011, unless earlier converted into equity securities of the company. Principal and unpaid accrued interest shall be converted, at the option of the holder, into equity securities of the company at the closing of our next equity financing in which gross aggregate proceeds to the Company exceed \$1,750,000 and the Company registers its stock for sale pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934. The conversion price shall be equal to one-third of the price per share of this financing. If this financing does not occur on or before the maturity date, the principal and unpaid accrued interest can be converted, at the option of the holders of a majority of the aggregate principal amount of the senior secured convertible promissory notes, into common stock of the Company. Additional information regarding these notes can be found in Note 8 to our financial statements. These notes were formerly secured by collateral consisting of substantially all assets of the company. Under the May 20, 2011 amendment to the Senior Secured Convertible Promissory Note Agreement, this security interest was terminated. Under the terms of the agreement as amended, we may not incur any indebtedness for borrowed money except pursuant to an agreement that provides that repayment of such indebtedness will be subordinated to repayment of the Notes. In addition, we may not encumber any of our property during such time as the Notes remain due and owing. As provided in the amendment the note holders have warrant coverage equal to 100% of the note principal at an exercise price equal to 100% of that to outside investors in the closing of the next equity financing of \$1,175,000, but not to be less than \$0.60 per share. The warrants expire five years from the date of the next equity financing closing. We are currently in default on these notes. See footnote 10 Commitments and Contingencies for further information.

In addition, we owe the principal sum of \$12,240 to The Parkinson's Institute, which was issued a Convertible Promissory Note under the terms of a Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement dated August 25, 2010. This note bears interest at a rate of 5% per annum, with all principal and accrued interest payable on demand by the holder on or after the maturity date of August 25, 2012. Principal and unpaid accrued interest due under this note shall be automatically converted into our equity securities at the closing of our next equity financing in which the gross proceeds exceed \$1,000,000 (the Next Equity Financing"), based on a conversion price equal to the price per share of the stock sold to outside investors in the Next Equity Financing. If the Next Equity Financing does not occur on or before the maturity date, the principal and unpaid accrued interest can be converted at our option into a new class of equity securities to be designated Series A Preferred Stock," with the conversion price per share to be based upon a pre-money" valuation of the company at that time of \$2,000,000. In addition the note holder has warrant coverage equal to 5% of the note principal with an warrant exercise price equal to in the next equity financing per share price, and expiration seven years from the date of the note.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, the Company issued convertible promissory notes to various investors for aggregate proceeds of \$90,000. Principal and interest on these convertible notes, accrued at the rate of 6% per annum, are due and payable 180 days from the issuance date, unless earlier converted into equity securities of the Company, at the option of the Holder of the promissory note. Conversion of the principal and interest will be at either \$0.10 or \$0.20 per share. In addition, the Company issued warrants to the note holders to purchase a number of shares of capital stock issued to investors at the equivalent to 100% of the principal amount of the notes divided by the respective price per share of the stock which the principal of the note converts at. The warrants expire one year from the date of the note.

\$21,000	7-28-11	1-24-12
\$21,000	7-28-11	1-24-12

Edgar Filing: Amarantus BioSciences, Inc. - Form 10-K

8-16-11	2-12-12
8-18/11	2-14-12
9-6-11	3-4-12
9-9-11	3-7-12
9-26-11	3-24-12
11-2-11	4-30-12
	8-18/11 9-6-11 9-9-11 9-26-11

Table of Contents

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, the Company issued convertible promissory notes to various investors for aggregate proceeds of \$60,000. Principal and interest on these convertible notes, accrued at the rate of 6% per annum, are due and payable 180 days from the issuance date, unless earlier converted into equity securities of the Company, at the option of the Holder of the promissory note. Conversion of the principal and interest will be at either \$0.05 or \$0.10 per share.

Principal Amount	Issue Date	Maturity Date
\$5,000	10-27-11	4-24-12
\$10,000	11-23-11	5-21-12
\$30,000	11-30-11	5-28-12
\$10,000	12-8-11	6-5-12
\$5,000	12-14-11	6-11-12

In connection with certain liabilities incurred in connection with our March 5, 2008 acquisition of the intellectual property rights to the MANF protein compound, we have an outstanding Promissory Note issued as follows:

Note Payable To: Amount Due Date Neurotrophics, Inc. \$222,083 3-5-15

This note bears interest at the rate of 2% per annum.

On October 4, 2011 we received short-term financing in the amount of \$150,000 under a Promissory Note issued to Dr. Samuel Herschkowitz as follows:

Note Payable To: Amount Due Date Samuel Herschkowitz \$150,000 4-1-12

The balance due under the Note bears interest at the rate of twenty percent (20%) per year.

In addition, in conjunction with the Promissory Note, Dr. Herschkowitz received an equity bonus of 2,054,794 shares of common stock. As security for our obligations under the note, we have pledged in favor of the note holder 8,219,178 shares of common stock. We are currently in default under this note.

At December 31, 2011, total future minimum payments under the Convertible Notes are as follows:

2011 2012 2013	\$500,000 282,527 151,250
Total minimum payments	\$933,777
Less: Debt discount resulting from warrant and derivative liabilities	(155,916)
Total	\$777,861
Current portion of convertible promissory notes	(714,261)
Convertible promissory notes - net of current portion	\$63,600

Table of Contents

A number of Company's convertible notes contain embedded derivatives wherein their automatic conversion, which is contingent upon a future equity raise, can accelerate the realization of the expected payout for each note. This feature creates the possibility of a greater than expected return for the note holder and thus a higher than expected liability for the Company. The value of this feature was estimated for each note using the probability expected return method, in which the payout of distinct potential early conversion scenarios was discounted to the present using the expected IRR of the note and compared with the present value of the note if held to maturity. Probabilities were applied to the value of early conversion in each scenario to arrive at a probability weighted value of the early conversion feature.

As of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the fair value of the derivative liability was \$140,706 and \$287,547, respectively. The changes in fair value for the three month periods ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 of \$95,909 and \$(6,053) respectively, and for the twelve month periods ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 and the period from January 14, 2008 (date of inception) to December 31, 2011 of \$404,051, \$(6,081) and \$407,347, respectively, have been recorded in the accompanying statements of operations as a component of other income (expense).

10. commitments and contingencies

Commitments — The Company leases its main office facility and a second facility for research in Sunnyvale, CA under sublease agreements that provide for month-to-month extensions by the Company.

Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2011, and for the period from January 14, 2008 (date of inception) to December 31, 2011, were \$81,846, \$119,283, and \$247,754, respectively.

Effective November 1, 2011 the Company entered into a consulting agreement where the consultant is to receive a stock option for common stock of 500,000 shares, fully vested, to be priced upon the Board of Directors approving such grant

Contingencies — From time to time, the Company may become involved in litigation. On January 6, 2012 the Company was served a summons regarding the filing of a lawsuit (Complaint for Breach of Contract, Specific Performance and Common Counts) against the Company by a former consultant to the Company, Peter Freeman v. Amarantus BioSciences, Inc. The Company intends to defend ourselves vigorously. The Company is unable to predict the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome or estimate its potential liability, if any, and no provision has been made in its financial statements for this matter.

In addition the Company is in default on payment of certain Convertible Notes that were due as of December 6, 2011 and is also late with regard to making payments to various trade account vendors for goods and services received, of which some accounts are currently with collection agencies and could possibly result in lawsuits with the Company.

The Company agreed to compensate certain vendors for services rendered contingent upon the occurrence of future financings as follows:

Future financing with proceeds of at least \$1,000,000 \$50,000 1,250,000 20,000 1,500,000 26,000 2,000,000 50,000 5,000,000 50,000 6,000,000 20,000 Total \$216,000

Table of Contents

The Company incurred various obligations related to the original acquisition of its intellectual property around the time the Company was founded. These transactions are described more fully below in Note 16, including a reference to contingent obligations reflected in the financial statements.

11. CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK

The holders of the Series 1 convertible preferred stock ("preferred stock") have various rights and preferences as follows:

Voting Rights — Each share of preferred stock has voting rights equivalent to the number of shares of common stock into which it is convertible.

Conversion — Each share of preferred stock is convertible by the holder at any time into shares of common stock (subject to adjustment for events of dilution, as defined) at a conversion rate of \$0.40 per share. The preferred stock shall automatically be converted into common stock upon the earlier of: (i) an initial public offering pursuant to an effective registration statement filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, covering the offer and sale of the Company's common stock or (ii) the prior written approval of the holders representing a majority of the outstanding shares of preferred stock, voting as a single class and on an as-converted basis.

Dividends — The holders of the preferred stock are entitled to receive noncumulative dividends, if and when declared by the Board of Directors. No dividends had been declared through December 31, 2010.

Liquidation — In the event of any liquidation, dissolution, or winding-up of the Company, the holders of the preferred stock shall be entitled to receive, prior and in preference to any distribution to the holders of common stock, their respective liquidation amounts. "Liquidation amount" shall mean the original issuance price of a particular share of preferred stock, plus any dividends declared but unpaid thereon. The original per share issuance price of Series 1 preferred stock is \$0.40, subject to adjustment in the event of any stock dividend, stock split, combination or other similar recapitalization. If, upon such liquidation, dissolution, or winding-up of the Company the assets available for distribution to the stockholders shall be insufficient to pay the holders of shares of preferred stock the full liquidation amounts, the holders of preferred stock shall share ratably in any distribution of the assets in proportion to their respective liquidation amounts. After the payment to the holders of preferred stock of their full liquidation amount, any remaining assets were distributed to the holders of common stock in proportion to the number of shares owned by each such stockholder.

Stock Warrants — In 2008, the Company issued warrants resulting in the right to purchase 193,750 shares of Series 1 preferred stock at an exercise price of \$0.40 per share to various investors (See Note 6), and recorded the fair value of the warrants at \$52,665 at the time of issuance. The warrants were valued using the Black-Scholes option-valuation

model with the following assumptions: contractual life of 7 years, volatility of 83.5% to 85.4%, risk-free interest rate of 2.8% to 3.6%, and no expected dividends.

In 2010, the Company issued warrants to various investors to purchase shares of the Company's equity securities in the Next Equity Financing at an exercise price equal to the price per share received from investors of the Next Equity Financing, and recorded the fair value of the warrants at \$3,680 at the time of issuance. The warrants were valued using the Black-Scholes option-valuation model with the following assumptions: contractual life of 7 years, volatility of 84.2% to 84.9%, risk-free interest rate of 1.9% to 2.0%, and no expected dividends.

In 2011, the Company issued warrants to various investors to purchase shares of the Company's equity securities in the Next Equity Financing at an exercise price equal to the price per share received from investors of the Next Equity Financing or at a specified exercise price of \$0.10-\$0.20 per share, and recorded the fair value of the warrants at \$314,835 at the time of issuance. The warrants were valued using the Black-Scholes option-valuation model with the following assumptions: contractual life of 1 to 7 years, volatility of 62.7% to 77.8%, risk-free interest rate of 0.9% to 2.9%, and no expected dividends.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the fair value of the warrant liability was \$283,932 and \$4,416, respectively. The changes in fair value for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period from January 14, 2008 (date of inception) to December 31, 2011 of \$35,319, \$(67,915) and \$(14,944), respectively, have been recorded in the accompanying statements of operations as a component of other income (expense). The fair value of the warrants at December 31, 2011 and 2010 were determined using the Black-Scholes model with the following assumptions:

	2010		2011	
Annualized volatility Contractual life (years)	85.20 4.2-4.9	%	62.7%-86.3% 0.6 - 6.3	6
Expected dividends	0	%	-	%
Risk-free interest rate	2.0% - 2.7	%	0.1% - 1.5	%

None of the stock warrants have been exercised as of December 31, 2011.

12.

COMMON STOCK

The Company's Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, authorize the Company to issue 250,000,000 shares of \$0.001 par value common stock. Common stockholders are entitled to dividends when and if declared by the Board of Directors. The holder of each share of common stock is entitled to one vote. As of December 31, 2011, no dividends had been declared.

Common stock that the Company had reserved for issuance at December 31, 2011, is as follows:

Exercise and conversion of common stock warrants	1,743,056
Stock options outstanding	1,673,797
Stock options available for future grants under the 2010 Stock Plan	1,535,876
Total shares of common stock reserved	4,952,729

As of December 31, 2011 the Company had outstanding \$933,777 of convertible note principal. These convertible notes, along with related accrued interest, convert upon the Next Equity Financing as follows:

\$12,240 and \$41,537 of convertible debt principal have interest at 5% and warrants equivalent to 5% and 20% of the principal balance, respectively.

\$500,000 and \$230,000 of convertible note principal and related accrued interest convert at two-thirds the price per share of the Next Equity Financing and the \$500,000 of convertible note principal have warrants equivalent to 100% of the principal balance and the \$230,000 of convertible note principal have no warrants attached..

\$60,000 of convertible note principal have interest at 6%, can convert at \$0.10 or \$0.05 per share at the Next Equity Financing and have no warrants.

The effect of the convertible debt is not included in the above schedule since the number of shares will not be determinable until the Next Equity Financing. (See Note 9)

Table of Contents

The effect of the following convertible debt has been included in the above schedule:

\$72,000 of convertible note principal have interest at 6%, can convert at \$0.20 per share at the Next Equity Financing and warrants equivalent to 100%.

\$18,000 of convertible note principal have interest at 6%, can convert at \$0.10 per share at the Next Equity Financing and warrants equivalent to 100%.

13.

Stock option plan

The Company's Board of Directors has approved the 2008 Stock Plan (the "Plan"). Under the Plan, the Board of Directors may grant up to 10,742,127 shares of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, or stock awards to eligible persons, including employees, nonemployees, members of the Board of Directors, consultants, and other independent advisors who provide services to the Company. In general, options are granted with an exercise price equal to the fair value of the underlying common stock on the date of the grant. Options generally have a contractual life of 10 years and vest over periods ranging from being fully vested as of the grant dates to four years.

	Shares	Outstanding	Weight	e W eighted- eAverage	Shares	Outstanding	g Options Weighte Weighted- Average Average	
	Available for	Number of	Exercis	seRemaining	Available for	Number of	Exercis	eRemaining
	Grant	Shares	Price	Contractual Term	Grant	Shares	Price	Contractual Term
Balance — January 1 2008 (date of inception)	4,							
Shares added to the plan	980,000				6,085,136			
Balance — December 31, 2008	er 980,000				6,085,136			
Balance — December 31, 2009	er 980,000				6,085,136			
Shares added to the plan	750,000				4,656,991			

Edgar Filing: Amarantus BioSciences, Inc. - Form 10-K

Options granted (weighted-average fair value of \$0.0237)	(516,400)	516,400	0.05			(3,206,494)	3,206,494	0.01	
Balance — December 31, 2010	1,213,600	516,400	0.05	9.2		7,535,633	3,206,494	0.01	9.2
Shares added to the plan									
Options granted (weighted-average fair value of \$0.0237)									
Employee Non-Employee	(742,500) (580,000)	742,500 580,000	0.05 0.05			(4,610,422) (3,601,407)		0.01 0.01	
Cancelled Shares	356,250	(356,250)				2,212,071	(2,212,071)		
Options exercised		(1,213,088)					(7,532,454)	0.01	
Balance —December 31, 2011	247,350	269,562		8.0		1,535,876	1,673,797		8.0
Options vested — December 31, 2011					**	5,876,526			
Options vested and expected to vest—December 31, 2011					**	7,951,362			

Table of Contents

Stock-based compensation expense for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the period from January 14, 2008 (date of inception) to December 31, 2011, is classified in the statements of operations as follows:

			Period From
	Twelve	Twelve	January 14, 2008
	Months	Months	(Date of
	Ended	Ended	Inception)
	December	December	December
	31,	31,	31,
	2010	2011	2011
Research and development	\$6,559	\$533,888	\$540,447
General and administrative	18,616	122,364	140,980
Total	\$25,175	\$656,252	\$681,427

At December 31, 2011, there was a total of \$36,000 of unrecognized compensation cost — net of estimated forfeitures, related to non-vested stock option awards, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 2.0 years.

The fair value of the Company's stock-based awards during the year ended December 31, 2010, and the period from January 14, 2008 (date of inception) to December 31, 2011, was estimated using the following weighted-average assumptions:

					January	/
					14, 200	8
					(Date o	f
					Inception	on)
					to	
					Decem	ber
					31,	
	2010		2011		2011	
Weighted-average volatility	83.3%		71.0%	6	80.2%	
Weighted-average expected term (years)	5		5		5	
Expected dividends	None	•	Non	e	None	
Risk-free interest rate	2.6	%	2.3	%	2.5	%

14.

INCOME TAXES

The reported amount of income tax expense attributable to operations for the year differs from the amount that would result from applying domestic federal statutory tax rates to loss before income taxes from operations as summarized below:

	Year Ended December		
	31,		
			Period from
			January 14,
			2008
	2010	2011	(date of
			inception) to
			December
			31, 2011
Federal tax expense (benefit) at statutory rate	(410,571)	(1,509,635)	(2,162,589)
State tax expense (benefit) net of federal tax effect		_	_
R&D Credit	(18,233)	(31,874)	(54,350)
Non-deductible expenses	26,820	(149,514)	(131,482)
Change in Valuation Allowance	401,983	1,664,730	2,322,129
Other		26,292	26,292
Total tax expense	\$(0)	\$(0)	\$0

The significant components of deferred tax assets are as follows:

Year Ended December		
31,		
2010	2011	
718,229	2,384,038	
38,078	92,080	
14,474	258,356	
15,193	15,194	
(4,102)	(659)	
781,872	2,749,009	
(781,872)	(2,749,009)	
_		
	31, 2010 718,229 38,078 14,474 15,193 (4,102)	

The Company's accounting for deferred taxes involves the evaluation of a number of factors concerning the realizability of the Company's net deferred tax assets. The Company primarily considered such factors as the Company's history of operating losses, the nature of the Company's deferred tax assets and the timing, likelihood and amount, if any, of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences and carryforwards become deductible. At present, the Company does not believe that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will be realized; accordingly, a full valuation allowance has been established and no deferred tax asset is shown in the accompanying balance sheets. The valuation allowance increased by approximately \$1,967, 137, \$480,442, and \$2,749,009 during the years ended December 31, 2011, December 31, 2010 and for the period from January 14, 2008 (date of inception) to December 31, 2011, respectively.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company had net federal and state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$ 5,985,155 and \$ 5,983,216, respectively. These net operating loss carry forwards will begin to expire, if not utilized, beginning in 2028 for both federal and state income tax purposes. The Company also has federal and state research and development credit carryforwards of approximately \$ 54,349 and \$ 57,168, respectively. The federal credits will expire if not utilized beginning in 2029. The California credits do not expire.

Table of Contents

The Tax Reform Act of 1986 and similar California legislation impose substantial restrictions on the use of net operating losses and tax credits in the event of an ownership change of a corporation. Accordingly, the Company's ability to use net operating losses and credit carry forwards may be significantly limited in the future as a result of such an ownership change.

On January 1, 2009, the Company adopted a newly issued standard of accounting for uncertain tax positions. This standard prescribes a comprehensive model for the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure in financial statements of any uncertain tax positions that have been taken or expected to be taken on a tax return. The cumulative effect of adopting the new standard resulted in no adjustment to retained earnings as of January 1, 2009. No liability related to uncertain tax positions is recorded on the financial statements. It is the Company's policy to include penalties and interest expense related to income taxes as a component of tax expense, as necessary.

Tabular Reconciliation of Unrecognized Tax Benefits	12/31/2011
Beginning Balance at January 1, 2010	5,124
Increase/(Decrease) of unrecognized tax benefits taken in prior years	
Increase/(Decrease) of unrecognized tax benefits related to current year	7,267
Increase/(Decreases) of unrecognized tax benefits related to settlements	
Reductions to unrecognized tax benefits related to lapsing statute of limitations	
Ending Balance at December 31,2011	12,391

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate is \$0.

The Company has not incurred any interest or penalties as of December 31, 2011. The Company does not anticipate any significant change within 12 months of this reporting date of its uncertain tax positions. The Company is subject to taxation in the US and California. There are no ongoing examinations by taxing authorities at this time.

The Company's tax years 2008 through 2011 will remain open for examination by the federal and state authorities for 3 and 4 years, respectively, from the date of utilization of any net operating loss credits.

15 segment reporting

The Company operates in one reportable segment. The Company's Chief Executive Officer, who is considered to be the chief operating decision maker, manages the Company's operations as a whole and reviews financial information presented on a this basis, for purposes of evaluating financial performance and allocating resources.

16. Related-Party Transactions

The Company was co-founded in 2008 by Mr. Gerald Commissiong and Dr. John Commissiong under the original name of CNS Protein Therapeutics, Inc. ("CNS"), and changed its name to Amarantus BioSciences, Inc. in 2010. Dr. Commissiong is currently the Chief Scientific Officer, a member of the Board of Directors (appointed in March 2011) and majority shareholder of the Company. Mr. Gerald Commissiong is currently the Chief Operating Officer, a member of the Board of Directors, and a significant shareholder of the Company. Dr. Commissiong also founded Neurotrophics, Inc., a Canadian company, in 2003. In 2007, Neurotrophics established an agreement with EMS Development Group to acquire the intellectual property rights to a protein compound, mesencephalic astrocyte-derived neurotrophic factor ("MANF"), from Prescient Neuropharma Co. MANF was discovered by Dr. Commissiong while working for Prescient in 2002, as a drug candidate with promising therapeutic properties for treatment of syndromes such Parkinson's Disease.

Table of Contents

EMS received \$59,000 in 2007 in funding from Neurotrophics to purchase the MANF intellectual property rights. Prior to this payment, Neurotrophics received a total of \$100,000 in investments from certain outside parties. The same investors provided \$100,000 in funding to CNS in 2008, and CNS renegotiated and assumed the \$100,000 convertible note investment made into Neurotrophics. The investors directed Neurotrophics and EMS to assign the MANF intellectual property rights to CNS and CNS agreed to assume certain other liabilities related to the technology transfer. CNS will compensate these creditors on a future date mutually agreeable between the parties., In addition, CNS agreed to compensate EMS for its assistance in acquiring the rights to MANF by making installment payments in an aggregate amount of \$95,000.

The technology transfer transaction created a contingent liability for the Company. Legal counsel to the Company has advised that transfers of assets out of the usual course of business, referred to under applicable Canadian law as "bulk sales", must comply with certain rules in order to avoid a potential voiding of the sale or transfer, making the purchaser liable to unpaid trade creditors, or creating an encumbrance on the assets transferred or sold. The transfer of the MANF rights by Neurotrophics to CNS may impose such obligations on CNS, as a purchaser. Counsel further advised that upon payment in full of all of the Neurotrophics debts outstanding as of March 5, 2008, no action can be successfully maintained to void or set aside the transfer of the MANF rights to CNS, and thus to the Company.

To remedy this contingent liability, CNS agreed to compensate Neurotrophics to repay its creditors on a future date mutually agreeable between the parties, and agreed to assume debts owed to John Commissiong and Gerald Commissiong by Neurotrophics.

The Company has recorded a total of \$287,462 and \$222,230 as of December 2010 and 2011, respectively in obligations reflecting this liability in its financial statements. The Company recorded the assumption of the Neurotrophics debts as a distribution in 2008.

In February 2011, the Company and Neurotrophics agreed to enter into two agreements regarding compensation for the March 5, 2008 transfer of the rights to MANF and issued notes in the amounts of \$222,083 and \$59,319, in favor of Neurotrophics and John and Gerald Commissiong, respectively. These notes bear interest at the rate of 2% per annum, and have maturity dates of March 5, 2015 and December 30, 2015, respectively. The loans may be repaid at the Company's option on or before the maturity dates in the form of common stock of the Company at the then fair market value.

In October 2010, the Company entered into an agreement with the founders, Gerald Commissiong and John Commissiong, where they will receive a 2.5% (1.25% each for Gerald Commissiong and John Commissiong) Royalty from the gross commercial revenue of patents derived from the Company's proprietary PhenoGuard platform technology, including patents associated with the MANF Protein and related Gene."

The Company obtained the services of its Chairman Martin D. Cleary through a consulting agreement. During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2011, and the period from January 14, 2008 (date of inception) to December 31, 2011, consulting services of \$200,000, \$200,000, and \$479,166, respectively are included in the statement of operations. This agreement also includes a change of control clause whereby the Company shall pay Mr. Cleary a bonus of 5% of the gross proceeds to the Company resulting from the change of control. Upon his election and in his sole discretion, and in lieu of the change of control bonus, the Company shall issue to him shares of the Company's common stock equal to 2.5% of the Company's fully diluted capitalization as of the date of termination of the agreement.

In March 2012, a former and an existing Board of Director member converted a Convertible Promissory Note in the amount of \$21,000, each plus accrued interest. This resulted in 217,280 shares of Common Stock to each party.

17. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company evaluated subsequent events through the date its financial statements were available for issuance. The Company determined that the financial statements were available for issuance on April 17, 2012.

On January 16, 2012 the Company entered into a License Agreement with Power3 Medical Products, Inc ("Power3") to license the NuroPro diagnostic test for Parkinson's disease to Amarantus BioSciences (the "License"). As part of the License, Amarantus was granted an option to acquire the Parkinson's Intellectual Property, and a right of first refusal to acquire the entire diagnostic platform for neurodegenerative diseases (collectively the "IP"). This license may have been granted at a time when Power3 did not have the authority to grant a license in some of its intellectual property (IP). On March 15th, 2012 Power3 filed for bankruptcy and the Receiver sold Power3's IP in receivership to NeoGenomics, Inc. Although this sale may be subject to the avoidance powers of the Chapter 7 Trustee, at this time NeoGenomics has title to certain IP. Amarantus has put NeoGenomics on notice of the licensing agreement entered into with Power3 in order to provide equitable defenses in the event NeoGenomics makes an infringement claim against Amarantus. Amarantus is currently reviewing its legal options with respect to material misrepresentations made by the Executive Officers of Power3 at the time of the Licensing Agreement. Amarantus has also initiated discussions with NeoGenomics to acquire the IP related to the assignment made by the Receiver and expects those discussions to continue throughout the Power3's bankruptcy process. At this point the Company does not know how long the bankruptcy process may take.

Item 9. Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

No events occurred requiring disclosure under Item 307 and 308 of Regulation S-K during the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011.

Item 9A(T). Controls and Procedures

As required by Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, we have carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this annual report, being December 31, 2011. This evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer.

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our company's reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Based upon that evaluation, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were ineffective as of the end of the period covered by this annual report.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Management has assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. As a result of this assessment, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2011, our internal control over financial reporting was ineffective as of the end of the period covered, due to the following material weaknesses which are indicative of many small companies with small staff: (i) inadequate segregation of duties and effective risk assessment; and (ii) insufficient written policies and procedures for accounting and financial reporting with respect to the requirements and application of both United States generally accepted accounting principles and Securities and Exchange Commission guidelines. Management anticipates that such disclosure controls and procedures will not be effective until the material weaknesses are remediated. We will be unable to remediate the material weakness in our

disclosure controls and procedures until we can hire additional employees. As of December 31, 2011, we did not have sufficient funds to hire another employee. There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2011.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our registered public accounting firm pursuant to an exemption for non-accelerated filers set forth in Section 989G of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act.

T 4	ΛD	α	T 0	4 •
Itam	uк	()ther	Intori	nation
	/1/.	VILLE	11111711	панин

None

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The following information sets forth the names, ages, and positions of our current directors and executive officers as of December 31, 2011.

27

Name AgeOffice(s) held

Martin D. Cleary 66 Chairman of the Board

Gerald E. Commissiong 30 President and Chief Executive Officer, Director

Dr. John W. Commissiong 68 Chief Scientific Officer, Director

Marc E. Faerber 57 Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer, Secretary

Robert L. Harris 68 Director Eugene Mancino 55 Director

Set forth below is a brief description of the background and business experience of each of our current executive officers and directors.

Martin D. Cleary. Mr. Cleary has served as the President, Chief Executive Officer, and Chairman of the Board of Amarantus since August 2009 to October 23, 2011. Mr Cleary continues to serve as Chairman of the Board. In addition, Mr. Cleary has served as the President and CEO of Juvaris BioTherapeutics, Inc. since 2003. Mr. Cleary is the founder of Juvaris BioTherapeutics, Inc., a company that was pursuing new technology in the area of immunotherapeutic vaccine design and efficacy. Mr. Cleary also serves as Chairman of the Audit Committee and a Board Member of Electrical Optical Systems (NASDQ: MELA). Mr. Cleary holds a B.S. degree from Rutgers University with majors in Accounting and Economics. He also holds a Certificate in International Business from Columbia University. Mr. Cleary is an experienced health care and biotech industry executive with experience in both established and early stage companies. His background also includes broad-based general management and experience in building organizations.

Gerald E. Commissiong. Mr. Commissiong has served as the Chief Operating Officer and a Director of Amanrantus since April of 2011. On October 23, 2011, Mr. Commissiong was appointed to serve as President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Commissiong was the co-founder and original President and CEO of Amarantus, which was formerly known as CNS Protein Therapeutics, Inc. Mr. Commissiong has been critical to the development of Amarantus since its founding in 2008. He was instrumental in sourcing the seed funding for the company in 2008, assisted in developing a strategic corporate development pathway that involved the recruitment of relevant expertise, identification of appropriate development strategy, liaising with expertise to define development pathway, creation of a technological mitigation strategy and the identification of appropriate funding partners with a strategic interest in the Company's technology. Mr. Commissiong also recruited senior executives to the Board of Directors to guide the company's growth and generated its official marketing materials, including investor brochures, corporate handouts, email newsletters and other materials necessary to raise awareness of the company. Prior to co-founding Amarantus, Mr. Commissiong played professional football for the Calgary Stampeders of the Canadian Football League. Mr. Commissiong holds a B.S. degree in Management Science and Engineering with a focus Financial Decisions from Stanford University.

Dr. John W. Commissiong. Dr. Commissiong has served as the Chief Scientific Officer and a Director of Amarantus since co-founding the company in 2008. From 2000 through 2008 Dr. Commissiong served as the CSO of Neurotrophics Inc & Prescient Neuropharma Inc. Dr. Commissiong has been focused on the discovery of novel neurotrophic factors for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases as well as understanding the fundamental

underlying biology of protoplasmic type-1 astrocytes that secrete neurotrophic factors. He was Chief of the Neural Transplantation Unit, NINDS-NIH, from 1989-94 where his research focused on identifying therapeutic approaches to spinal cord injury. Dr. Commissiong was Head of the Neurotrophic Factors Group, NINDS-NIH, from 1994-97 where he focused on developing technologies to systematically identify novel neurotrophic factors with applications for specific Central Nervous System disorders. He co-founded Prescient Neuropharma in 1999, and discovered MANF in 2003. MANF is currently in preclinical development for the treatment of Parkinson's disease. The work pioneered by Dr. Commissiong has led to significant advancements in the field of astrocyte-neuron biology. Dr. Commissiong believes that a fundamental understanding of astrocyte-neuron interactions in the Central Nervous System will lead to a new generation of therapies to treat brain-related disorders.

Dr. Commissiong did his Postdoctoral work in the Lab Preclin Pharmac, NIMH-NIH, concentrating on the application of quadrupole mass spectrometry in the analysis of neurotransmitters. He holds a Ph.D. in Neurophysiology from the University of Southampton, and M.Sc. in Biochemical Pharmacology from the University of Southampton, and a B.S. in Biology and Chemistry from the University of the West Indies.

28

Marc E. Faerber. Mr. Faerber has served as the Chief Financial Officer of Amarantus since May 2009. In addition, Mr. Faerber has worked as an independent business and financial advisor since 2001 to the present. In that capacity, he provides financial, business and strategic advisory services to various startup entities, including medical device, biotechnology, software and alternative energy related companies. His services and experience include facilitating startups in establishing appropriate internal controls, developing administrative procedural processes, writing and critiquing business plans and strategies, preparation of company presentations, short term financial operating plans, and long term strategic financial planning, assisting organizations with seeking financing and rendering advice in various negotiations related to merger and acquisitions, distribution rights, technology licensing and other business structural issues, and review and implementation of internal control structures in support of Sarbanes Oxley compliance. Mr. Faerber is a licensed CPA (Inactive) in California and was a Certified Valuation Analyst from 2004 through 2007. He holds a B.S. in Business Administration from Providence College and has done course work towards a M.S. in Taxation at Golden Gate University.

Robert L. Harris. Mr. Harris has served as a member of the Board of Directors of Amarantus since December 2010. Harris is a retired vice president of Environmental, Health, Safety, Technical and Land Services at Pacific Gas and Electric Company. where he worked from September 1972 to January 2007. He graduated from San Francisco State University in 1965 and received his Juris Doctor degree from the University Of California School of Law at Berkeley (Boalt Hall) in 1972. He was admitted to the California State Bar in December 1972 and argued and won a case in the United States Supreme Court in 1985. Harris also completed the Harvard Graduate School of Business Advanced Management Program and the Management Development Program at Duke University's School of Business. For five years, Harris was selected by Ebony magazine as one of the "100 Most Influential Blacks in America" (1980, 1992, 1993, 1994 and 1995).

Eugene Mancino. Mr. Mancino has been a member of the Board of Directors of Amarantus since September 2009. Mr. Mancino has successfully concluded a broad range of search assignments exclusively in the life sciences industry since 1979. His clients have included international pharmaceutical corporations as well as emerging biotechnology companies. Mr. Mancino is qualified to advise both development stage and fully integrated biopharma companies with respect to operational management, strategic leadership and corporate governance. Mr. Mancino's corporate development and investment network is well established in the industry. Gene is a founding partner in the life sciences search firm of Mancino Burfield Edgerton where his practice specialty is executive management, including Board Directors, Chief Executive Officer, President, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Business Officer, VP's of Business Development, Sales & Marketing and Clinical/Medical Affairs.

On October 17, 2011, Mr. Arnold Grisham resigned from the Board of Directors. There were no known disagreements with Mr. Grisham or any matter relating to our operations, policies, or practices.

Term of Office

Our bylaws authorize no less than one (1) and no more than twelve (12) directors. We currently have five directors. Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, Martin D. Cleary, Chairman, together with Dr. John W.

Commissiong, Gerald E. Commissiong, Arnold T. Grisham (resigned October 17, 2011), Robert L. Harris, and Eugene Mancino, who prior to the Merger were the directors of Amarantus, were appointed as our directors.

All directors hold office for one-year terms until the election and qualification of their successors. Officers are elected by the board of directors and serve at the discretion of the board.

Family Relationships

There are no family relationships between or among the directors, executive officers or persons nominated or chosen by the Company to become directors or executive officers, except that two of our officers and directors, Dr. John Commissiong and Gerald Commissiong, are father and son.

29

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

To the best of our knowledge, during the past ten years, none of the following occurred with respect to a present or former director, executive officer, or employee: (1) any bankruptcy petition filed by or against any business of which such person was a general partner or executive officer either at the time of the bankruptcy or within two years prior to that time; (2) any conviction in a criminal proceeding or being subject to a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offenses); (3) being subject to any order, judgment or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring, suspending or otherwise limiting his or her involvement in any type of business, securities or banking activities; and (4) being found by a court of competent jurisdiction (in a civil action), the SEC or the Commodities Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law, and the judgment has not been reversed, suspended or vacated.

Committees of the Board

We do not currently have a compensation committee, executive committee, or stock plan committee.

Audit Committee

We do not have a separately-designated standing audit committee. The entire Board of Directors performs the functions of an audit committee, but no written charter governs the actions of the Board when performing the functions of what would generally be performed by an audit committee. The Board approves the selection of our independent accountants and meets and interacts with the independent accountants to discuss issues related to financial reporting. In addition, the Board reviews the scope and results of the audit with the independent accountants, reviews with management and the independent accountants our annual operating results, considers the adequacy of our internal accounting procedures and considers other auditing and accounting matters including fees to be paid to the independent auditor and the performance of the independent auditor.

Our Board of Directors, which performs the functions of an audit committee, currently has one member who would qualify as an "audit committee financial expert" within the definition of Item 407(d)(5)(ii) of Regulation S-K, Mr. Cleary. Marc Faerber, our CFO, Secretary, and Treasurer, attends all meetings of the Board of Directors, including those meetings at which the board is performing those functions which would generally be performed by an audit committee. Mr. Faerber is a licensed CPA (Inactive) in California. Mr Faerber is experienced in facilitating startups in establishing appropriate internal controls, developing administrative procedural processes, and review and implementation of internal control structures in support of Sarbanes Oxley compliance. Mr. Faerber is technically proficient concerning GAAP, SEC and IRS rules and reporting, and in addressing internal control issues and assuring SOX compliance.

Nomination Committee

Our Board of Directors does not maintain a nominating committee. As a result, no written charter governs the director nomination process. Our size and the size of our Board, at this time, do not require a separate nominating committee.

When evaluating director nominees, our directors consider the following factors:

- · The appropriate size of our Board of Directors;
- · Our needs with respect to the particular talents and experience of our directors;
- · The knowledge, skills and experience of nominees, including experience in finance, administration or public service, in light of prevailing business conditions and the knowledge, skills and experience already possessed by other members of the Board;
- · Experience in political affairs;
- · Experience with accounting rules and practices; and
- · The desire to balance the benefit of continuity with the periodic injection of the fresh perspective provided by new Board members.

30

Table of Contents

Our goal is to assemble a Board that brings together a variety of perspectives and skills derived from high quality business and professional experience. In doing so, the Board will also consider candidates with appropriate non-business backgrounds.

Other than the foregoing, there are no stated minimum criteria for director nominees, although the Board may also consider such other factors as it may deem are in our best interests as well as our stockholders. In addition, the Board identifies nominees by first evaluating the current members of the Board willing to continue in service. Current members of the Board with skills and experience that are relevant to our business and who are willing to continue in service are considered for re-nomination. If any member of the Board does not wish to continue in service or if the Board decides not to re-nominate a member for re-election, the Board then identifies the desired skills and experience of a new nominee in light of the criteria above. Current members of the Board are polled for suggestions as to individuals meeting the criteria described above. The Board may also engage in research to identify qualified individuals. To date, we have not engaged third parties to identify or evaluate or assist in identifying potential nominees, although we reserve the right in the future to retain a third party search firm, if necessary. The Board does not typically consider shareholder nominees because it believes that its current nomination process is sufficient to identify directors who serve our best interests.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Our current compensation system consists of a combination of cash compensation and stock option and purchase agreements which allow our executive officers and directors to purchase common stock at set prices.

The services of our Chairman of the Board, Martin D. Cleary, are provided under the terms of an Executive Services Agreement dated August 10, 2009 and amended February 8, 2011. Under the agreement with Mr. Cleary, as amended, Mr. Cleary currently receives compensation in the amount of \$16,666.66 per month. For a short period of time in 2011 Mr. Cleary was receiving a salary of \$7,000 per month from the Company and accruing \$9,666.66 per month in consulting fees in order to allow the Company to continue to focus more of its capital resources on its ongoing business endeavors. This Executive Services Agreement also includes a change of control clause whereby we shall pay Mr. Cleary a bonus of 5% of the gross proceeds to us resulting from a change of control. Upon his election and in his sole discretion, and in lieu of the change of control bonus, we shall issue to him shares of common stock equal to 2.5% of our fully diluted capitalization as of the date of termination of the agreement. The goal of these arrangements is to provide, within the constraints of our limited financial resources, a monthly cash compensation commensurate with Mr. Cleary's executive experience, qualifications, and reputation. The change in control provisions are designed to reward Mr. Cleary in the event of a change in control transaction which brings value to the company and its shareholders in a manner that is linked to the total value realized in the transaction.

Our President and Chief Executive Officer, Gerald Commissiong, was receiving a monthly salary of \$6,000 for a short period in 2011. Our Chief Scientific Officer, Dr. John Commissiong, was receiving a monthly salary of \$6,000 for a short period in 2011. Our Chief Financial Officer, Marc Faerber, was receiving s a monthly salary of \$5,000 for a short period of tume in 2011, plus consulting fees of \$135 per hour for services provided. The goal of these arrangements is to provide the officers principally responsible for our scientific research and daily operations such compensation for their time and efforts as is appropriate in light of our currently limited capital resources and relatively early stage of business development. All of the executive officers received reasonable and customary health benefits through a health benefits plan administered by Trinet during 2011. As of January 2012, these benefits ceased.

There are no arrangements or plans in which we provide pension, retirement or similar benefits for executive officers. Our officers and certain consultants receive stock options at the discretion of the Board of Directors, either through our 2008 Stock Plan or otherwise at the discretion of our board of directors.

Table of Contents

Each of our executive officers and directors has been granted one or more Stock Option Agreements under the 2008 Stock Plan under which they have the right to acquire a certain number of shares of our common stock at a set price, which is typically \$0.0236 per share (older stock options have an exercise price of \$0.008 per share). These options vest at a rate of either 1/12 or 1/24 of the purchasable shares for each month of continuous service by the officer or director following the date of the agreement. The goal of these agreements is two-fold: (1) to provide our officers and directors with an incentive to remain in service to the company and to continuously aid in its growth and development, and (2) to provide an incentive to work over a period of time to increase the total value of the company to its investors and shareholders.

Our four named executive officers were each also issued Restricted Stock Purchase Agreements under the 2008 Stock Plan under which they have the right to acquire a certain number of shares of our common stock at a set price of \$0.0236 per share. Shares purchased under these agreements are subject to a "repurchase right" under which, at any time during the 90 days following the individual's departure from the company, we have the right to repurchase some or all of the shares at the original purchase price paid by the individual. Under these agreements, 25% of the shares "vest" free of the repurchase right on the date of the agreement, with the remaining 75% of the shares "vesting" free of the repurchase right in equal increments over the 36 months following the date of the agreement. The goals of these agreements are similar to those of the Stock Option Agreements, but with a greater emphasis placed on incentivizing longevity of service on the part of our four named executive officers.

Our plans with regard to executive stock options and similar arrangements are currently under review by the Board of Directors in light of our becoming a public company. We may consider additional options issues and/or the adoption of a new stock option plan during the current fiscal year.

Summary Compensation Table

The table below summarizes all compensation awarded to, earned by, or paid to each named executive officer for our last two completed fiscal years for all services rendered to us.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and principal position	l Yea	Salary	Bonus		Option Awards	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	All Other Compensation	Total
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)		Earnings (\$)	(\$)	(\$)
	201	1 200,00	0-	-	44,136	-	-	-	244,136
Martin D. Cleary, Chairman of the Board	2010	0200,00	0-	-	3,750	-	-	-	203,750
	2009	979,167	-	-	-	-	-	-	79,167

Gerald E.	201124,758	-		36,750		-	-	61,508
Commissiong,								
President and Chie	f 201045,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	45,000
Executive Officer,								
Director	2009-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dr. John W.	201124,758		-	24,623	-	-	-	49,381
Commissiong, Chief Scientific	201048,829	-	-	-	-	-	-	48,829
Officer, Director	2009-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marc Faerber,	2011178,465	5 -	-	22,074	-	-	-	200,539
Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer,	201085,660	-	-	1,250	-	-	-	86,910
Secretary	200917,739	-	-	-	-	-	-	17,739
	2011-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Richard Douglas, former sole officer and director	2010-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2009-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Narrative Disclosure to the Summary Compensation Table

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, our named executive officers each received cash compensation as detailed above. In addition, our Chairman, President and CEO, CSO and our CFO were each issued Stock Option Agreements under the 2008 Stock Plan. On February 2, 2011 our Chairman was issued a Restricted Stock Purchase Agreement under which he was granted the right to acquire 1,397,097 shares of our common stock and on April 10, 2011 he was issued a Stock Option Agreement to acquire 465,699 shares of our common stock, On February 2, 2011 our President and CEO was issued a Stock Option Agreement under which he was granted the right to acquire 931,398 shares of our common stock and on April 10, 2011 he was issued a Stock Option Agreement to acquire 620,932 shares of our common stock. Also on February 2, 2011 our CSO was issued a Stock Option Agreement under which he was granted the right to acquire 1,040,061 shares of our common stock and our CFO was issued a Restricted Stock Purchase Agreement under which he was granted the right to acquire 931,398 shares of our common stock. All grants had an exercise price of \$0.0237 per share and were fully vested as of the date of the merger.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, our named executive officers each received cash compensation as detailed above. In addition, our Chairman and CFO were each issued Stock Option Agreements under the 2008 Stock Plan. On March 23, 2010, our Chairman was issued a Stock Option Agreement under which he was granted the right to acquire 165,699 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.0081 per share. These options vest at a rate of either 1/12 of the purchasable shares for each month of continuous service by Mr. Cleary following the date of the agreement. On March 23, 2010, our CFO was issued a Stock Option Agreement under the 2008 Stock Plan under which he was granted the right to acquire 155,237 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.0081 per share. These options vest at a rate of 1/12 of the purchasable shares for each month of continuous service by Mr. Faerber following the date of the agreement.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The table below summarizes all unexercised options, stock that has not vested, and equity incentive plan awards for each named executive officer as of December 31, 2011.

OUTSTANDIN OPTION AWA	-	AWARDS AT	FISCAL YEA	R-END		STOCK	AWAR	RDS	
Name	Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options (#)	Option	Option Expiration Date	of Shares or Shares	of Shares or Shares	Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Shares or Other Rights That Have	Value of Unearned Shares, Shares or Other Rights That Have
Martin D. Cleary, Chairman of the Board Gerald E. Commissiong,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
President and Chief Executive Officer, Director Dr. John W.	269,329	-	-	\$0.0237	4/10/21	-	-	-	-
Commissiong, Chief Scientific Officer, Director Marc E. Faerber, Chief	131,557	-	-	\$0.0237	4/10/21	-	-	-	-
Financial Officer, Treasurer, Secretary	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Richard Douglas, former sole officer and director

Director Compensation

The table below summarizes all compensation of our directors as of_December 31, 2011.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

	Fees Earned	i		Non-Equity	Non-Qualifie	d	
	or			Incentive	Deferred	All	
Name	Paid in			Plan	Compensation Other		
	Cash	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Compensation Earnings		Compensation Total	
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Martin D. Cleary	_	-	44,136	-	-	-	44,136
Gerald E. Commissiong	_	_	36,750	-	_	-	36,750
Dr. John W. Commissiong	_	-	24,623	-	-	-	24,623
Arnold T. Grisham,							
former director of	26,500	_	7,358	-	_	-	33,858
Amarantus							
Robert L. Harris	31,000	-	7,358	-	-	-	38,358
Eugene Mancino	30,000	-	7,358	-	-	-	37,358
Kenneth Colemman,							
former director of	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	5,000
Amarantus							
Richard Douglas, former							
director	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Narrative Disclosure to the Director Compensation Table

Generally, our named executive officers who are also directors do not receive separate compensation for their service on the board. The stock option awards to Mr. Cleary, Mr. Commissiong and Dr. Commissiong reflects the option award discussed above with regard to their services as CEO excutives to the Company. In 2011, director Arnold Grisham, who resigned from our board October 17, 2011, received cash compensation of \$26,000, together with a stock option grant for 310,466 shares of common stock at \$0.0237 per share, vesting over 12 months; Robert Harris received cash compensation of \$31,000, together with a stock option grant for 310,466 shares of common stock at \$0.0237 per share, vesting over 12 months; Eugene Mancino received cash compensation of \$30,000, together with a stock option grant for 310,466 shares of common stock at \$0.0237 per share, vesting over 12 months; and Kenneth Coleman, who resigned from our board in early 2011, received \$5,000 in cash compensation.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The following table sets forth the beneficial ownership of our capital stock by each executive officer and director, by each person known by us to beneficially own more than 5% of any class of Stock and by the executive officers and directors as a group. Except as otherwise indicated, all Shares are owned directly and the percentage shown is based on 103,414,150 shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding as of April 17, 2012.

Title of class	Name and address of beneficial owner (1)	Amount of beneficial ownership	Percent of class
	utive Officers & Directors: Martin D. Cleary		
Common Stoc	k 320 Pheasant Run Drive	2,328,500 ⁽²⁾	2.25%
	Danville, CA 94506 Gerald E. Commissiong		
Common Stoc	k 190 Ryland St., #1223	7,709,885 ⁽³⁾	7.46%
	San Jose, CA 95110 Dr. John W. Commissiong		
Common Stoc	k 1269 Lakeside Dr., #1103	19,846,925 ⁽⁴⁾	19.19%
	Sunnyvale, CA 94085 Marc Faerber		
Common Stoc	k 6132 Crater Lake Court	1,086,625 ⁽⁵⁾	1.05%
	Pleasanton, CA 94588 Robert L. Harris		
Common Stoc	k 4082 Sequoyah Road	1,337,332	1.29%
	Oakland, CA 94605 Eugene Mancino		
Common Stoc	k 111 West Street	620,695	0.60%
Total of All C	Belle Mead , NJ 08502 urrent Directors and Officers:	32,929,962	31.84%
Other more th	han 5% Beneficial Owners Patrick W. Hopper		
Common Stoc	3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy. k Ste. 800	7,761,650	7.51%
	Las Vegas, NV 89169 Samuel Herschkowitz		
Common Stoc	k 122 Willow St.	10,273,972	9.93%
	Brooklyn, NY 11201		

As used in this table, "beneficial ownership" means the sole or shared power to vote, or to direct the voting of, a security, or the sole or shared investment power with respect to a security (i.e., the power to dispose of, or to direct the disposition of, a security). In addition, for purposes of this table, a person is deemed, as of any date, to have "beneficial ownership" of any security that such person has the right to acquire within 60 days after such date.

The total for Mr. Cleary includes 640,396 shares acquired under a Restricted Stock Purchase Agreement which

(2) currently may be re-purchased by the company at the original purchase price at any time during the 90 days following Mr. Cleary's departure from the company.

The total for Mr. Gerald Commissiong includes 859,523 shares acquired under a Restricted Stock Purchase

- Agreement which currently may be re-purchased by the company at the original purchase price at any time during the 90 days following Mr. Commissiong's departure from the company. In addition, the total for Mr. Commissiong includes 269,329 shares which he has the option to acquire within the next 60 days at a price of \$0.0237 per share. The total for Mr. John Commissiong includes 959,801 shares acquired under a Restricted Stock Purchase
- (4) Agreement which currently may be re-purchased by the company at the original purchase price at any time during the 90 days following Mr. Commissiong's departure from the company.
 - The total for Mr. Faerber includes 426,891 shares acquired under a Restricted Stock Purchase Agreement which
- (5) currently may be re-purchased by the company at the original purchase price at any time during the 90 days following Mr. Faerber's departure from the company.

Table of Contents

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

With the exception of the Merger, and except as set forth below, none of our directors or executive officers, nor any proposed nominee for election as a director, nor any person who beneficially owns, directly or indirectly, shares carrying more than 5% of the voting rights attached to all of our outstanding shares, nor any members of the immediate family (including spouse, parents, children, siblings, and in-laws) of any of the foregoing persons has any material interest, direct or indirect, in any transaction over the last two years or in any presently proposed transaction which, in either case, has or will materially affect us:

- 1. The services of our Chairman of the Board, Martin D. Cleary, are provided under the terms of an Executive Services Agreement dated August 10, 2009 and amended February 8, 2011.
- 2. In connection with certain liabilities incurred in connection with our March 5, 2008 acquisition of the intellectual property rights to the MANF protein compound, we have an Promissory Note issued as follows:

Note Payable To: Amount Due Date Neurotrophics, Inc. \$222,083 3-5-15

Dr. John Commissiong, our CSO and a Director, also founded Neurotrophics, Inc., a Canadian company, in 2003. Gerald Commissiong is our COO and a Director. Further information regarding these liabilities is contained in Note 12 to our financial statements.

At a meeting held October 26, 2010, our board of directors approved royalty rights for our founders, Gerald Commissiong and John Commissiong, under which they will receive a 2.5% (1.25% each for Gerald Commissiong and John Commissiong) royalty from the gross commercial revenue of patents derived from the our proprietary PhenoGuard platform technology, including patents associated with the MANF Protein and related gene.

Director Independence

We are not a "listed issuer" within the meaning of Item 407 of Regulation S-K and there are no applicable listing standards for determining the independence of our directors. Applying the definition of independence set forth in Rule 4200(a)(15) of The Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc., we believe that Robert L. Harris and Eugene Mancino are our independent directors.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Below is the table of Audit Fees (amounts in US\$) billed by our auditor in connection with the audit of the Company's annual financial statements for the years ended:

Financial					
Statements	Audit	Αι	ıdit	Tox	Other
for the	Services	Re	lated		Fees
Year	Services	Fe	es	rees	rees
Ended					
2011	\$28,225	\$	0	\$ 0	\$0
2010	\$18,000	\$	0	\$ 0	\$3,000

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statements Schedules

(a) Financial Statements and Schedules

The following financial statements and schedules listed below are included in this Form 10-K.

Financial Statements (See Item 8)

(b) Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation, as Amended (1)
3.2	Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation (5)
3.3	Bylaws ⁽¹⁾
10.1	Senior Secured Convertible Promissory Note Agreement ⁽¹⁾
10.2	Second Amendment to Senior Secured Convertible Promissory Note Agreement ⁽¹⁾
10.3	Convertible Promissory Note Agreement as Amended March 23, 2011 ⁽¹⁾
10.4	Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement – Molecular Medicine Research Institut(d)
10.5	Sponsored Research Agreement ⁽¹⁾
10.6	Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement – The Parkinson's Institute
10.7	Promissory Note – Neurotrophics, Inc. (1)
10.8	Intellectual Property Assignment ⁽¹⁾
10.9	Data Transfer Agreement ⁽¹⁾
10.10	Consulting Agreement with Keelin Reeds Partners ⁽¹⁾
10.11	Executive Services Agreement, as Amended ⁽¹⁾
10.12	Sublease ⁽¹⁾
10.13	MJFF Research Grant Terms and Conditions ⁽¹⁾
10.14	2008 Stock Plan ⁽¹⁾
10.15	Letter of Agreement with Argot Partners, LLC ⁽¹⁾
10.16	Letter Agreement regarding Intellectual Properties Licensing and Collaboration Arrangements – Generex
10.17	Biotechnology Corp. ⁽²⁾
10.17	Assignment and Assumption Agreement – Juvaris BioTherapeutics, Iné?)
10.18	Consent to Assignment – Juvaris BioTherapeutics, Inc.(3)
10.19	Lease Agreement, as amended – Juvaris BioTherapeutics, Inc.(3)
10.20 10.21	Note Purchase Agreement – Samuel Herschkowitz ⁴)
10.21	Promissory Note – Samuel Herschkowitte
10.22	Letter Agreement regarding Pledged Shares – Samuel Herschkowit ⁽²⁾ Investment Agreement – Centurion Private Equity, Inc. ⁽⁶⁾
10.23	Registration Rights Agreement – Centurion Private Equity, Inc. (6)
10.24	Exclusive License Agreement – Power 3 Medical Products, Inc?
10.23	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a),
31.1	as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a),
	as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350,
	as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

- (1) Incorporated by reference to Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed June 3, 2011
- (2) Incorporated by reference to Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 3, 2011
- (3) Incorporated by reference to Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 16, 2011
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 11, 2011
- (5) Incorporated by reference to Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 14, 2011
- (6) Incorporated by reference to Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 17, 2011

(7) Incorporated by reference to Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 30, 2012

Table of Contents SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Amarantus BioSciences, Inc.

By: /s/ Gerald Commissiong Gerald Commissiong

Title: President, CEO, and Director

Date: April 20, 2012

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Gerald Commissiong Gerald Commissiong

Title: President, CEO, and Director

Date: April 20, 2012

By: /s/ Marc E. Faerber Marc E. Faerber

Title: CFO

Date: April 20, 2012

By: /s/ John Commissiong John Commissiong Title: CSO and Director Date: April 20, 2012

By: /s/ Martin D. Cleary Martin D. Cleary

Title: Chairman of the Board and Director

Date: April 20, 2012

By: /s/ Robert L. Harris Robert L. Harris

Title: Director

Date: April 20, 2012

By: /s/ Eugene Mancino Eugene Mancino

Title: Director
Date: April 20, 2012