

Edgar Filing: LACLEDE GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

LACLEDE GROUP INC
Form DEF 14A
December 19, 2011

SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Preliminary Proxy Statement | <input type="checkbox"/> | Soliciting Material Under Rule 14a-12 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Confidential, For Use of the
Commission Only (as permitted
by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)) | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Definitive Proxy Statement | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Definitive Additional Materials | | |

The Laclede Group, Inc.
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No fee required. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11. |
| | 1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies: |
| | 2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies: |
| | 3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to
Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is
calculated and state how it was determined): |
| | 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction: |
| | 5) Total fee paid: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Fee paid previously with preliminary materials: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which
the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or
schedule and the date of its filing. |
| | 1) Amount previously paid: |

Edgar Filing: LACLEDE GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

- 2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - 3) Filing Party:
 - 4) Date Filed:
-

Notice of

**ANNUAL MEETING
OF SHAREHOLDERS**

and

PROXY STATEMENT

January 26, 2012

720 Olive Street
St. Louis, MO 63101

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

Time 10:00 a.m. central standard time on Thursday, January 26, 2012

Place Renaissance St. Louis Grand Hotel
800 Washington Avenue
St. Louis, Missouri 63101

Items of Business

1. Elect three members of the Board of Directors to serve for a three-year term and one member to serve for a one-year term.
2. Approve The Laclede Group 2006 Equity Incentive Plan as amended.
3. Ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accountant for the 2012 fiscal year.
4. Transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting and any adjournment or postponement.

Record Date You can vote if you are a common shareholder of record on December 1, 2011.

Annual Report Our 2011 annual report was delivered with this proxy statement.

Your vote is important. Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting, PLEASE VOTE.

If you hold the shares in your own name: (1) use the toll-free telephone number shown on your proxy card; (2) visit the website shown on your proxy card to vote via the Internet; or (3) mark, sign, date and promptly return the proxy card in the enclosed, pre-addressed, postage-paid envelope.

If your shares are held by a broker, bank or nominee, please follow the voting instructions it provides for your vote to count.

By the order of the Board of Directors,

Mary Caola Kullman
Secretary

December 19, 2011

JANUARY 2012 PROXY STATEMENT SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this proxy statement. This summary does not contain all of the information that you should consider, and you should read the entire proxy statement carefully before voting.

Annual Meeting of Shareholders

- Time and Date
10:00 a.m., January 26, 2012
- Place
Renaissance St. Louis Grand Hotel
800 Washington Avenue
St. Louis, Missouri 63101
December 1, 2011
- Record Date
Shareholders as of the record date are entitled to vote. Each share of common stock is entitled to one vote for each director nominee and one vote for each of the proposals to be voted on.
- Voting
If you decide to attend the meeting in person, upon your arrival you will need to register. See page 1 for further instructions.
- Entry

Meeting Agenda

- Election of 4 directors
- Approval of our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan as amended
- Ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2012

Voting Matters

Proposal	Board Vote Recommendation	Page Reference (for more detail)
Election of four directors	FOR	3
Approval of our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan as amended	FOR	7
Ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2012	FOR	13

Directors and Nominees

The following table provides summary information about each director and nominee. The nominees receiving a majority of the votes cast at the meeting will be elected as directors.

Name	Director				Committees					
	Age	Since	Occupation	Term	Independent	AC	CC	CGC	CFC	IRC
Arnold W. Donald	56	2003	President and CEO, Executive Leadership Council	Nominee for term expiring January 2015	X		C	X		
Anthony V. Leness	71	2006	Former Managing Director Investment Banking-Global Power & Energy Group, Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc.	Nominee for term expiring January 2015	X	X	X			C
William E. Nasser	72	2000	Former CEO of SouthWest Nano Technologies, Inc.	Nominee for term expiring January 2015	X	X		X		X
Suzanne Sitherwood	51	2011	President of The Laclede Group	Nominee for term expiring January 2013						
Brenda D. Newberry	58	2007	Former Chairman of The Newberry Group	Expires January 2013	X		X		X	X
Mary Ann Van Lokeren	64	2000	Former Chairman and CEO of Krey Distributing Co.	Expires January 2013	X	X		C		
Douglas H. Yaeger	62	2000	Chairman and CEO of The Laclede Group	Expires January 2013					X	X
Edward L. Glotzbach	63	2005	Vice Chairman, Information Services Group	Expires January 2014	X	C	X			X
W. Stephen Maritz	53	2000	Chairman, Maritz Holdings, Inc.	Expires January 2014	X			X	C	
John P. Stupp, Jr.	61	2005	President, Stupp Bros., Inc.	Expires January 2014	X	X	X	X		

AC Audit Committee
 CC Compensation Committee
 CGC Corporate Governance Committee
 CFC Capital Funds Committee
 IRC Investment Review Committee
 C Committee Chairman

No director attended fewer than 75% of the meetings of the Board and committees on which he or she sits.

Approval of 2006 Equity Incentive Plan as amended

We are asking our shareholders to approve our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan as amended (the Plan) to allow:

- Director participation in the Plan,

- Pro rata vesting during the minimum three-year vesting period, and
 - Up to 5% of the shares available under the Plan to be issued not subject to the minimum vesting requirement.
-

Edgar Filing: LACLEDE GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

If approved, the amended Plan would be effective February 1, 2012, the directors would become participants in the Plan, and no further awards would be made under the Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors on and after that same date. This Plan was most recently approved by our shareholders at the last annual meeting.

Independent Registered Public Accountant

Although shareholder ratification is not required by law, we are asking shareholders to ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accountant for fiscal year 2012. Set forth below is summary information with respect to Deloitte & Touche's fees for services provided in fiscal year 2010 and fiscal year 2011.

	2011	2010
Audit fees	\$ 602,000	\$ 609,565
Audit related fees	14,532	47,707
Tax fees	47,409	25,900
All other fees	2,200	2,000
Total	\$ 666,141	\$ 685,172

Fiscal Year 2011 Corporate Performance

The following table provides information on the Company's performance during fiscal year 2011 on a GAAP and net economic earnings basis. The Laclede Group's non-regulated subsidiary LER and, to a lesser extent, Laclede Gas Company, its utility subsidiary, account for certain transactions through fair value measurements. As a result, management also uses the non-GAAP net economic earnings measure internally when evaluating the Company's performance. Net economic earnings exclude from net income the after-tax impacts of net unrealized gains and losses on energy-related derivatives resulting from the current changes in the fair value of financial and physical transactions prior to their completion and settlement. Management believes that excluding these timing differences provides a useful representation of the economic impact of only the actual settled transactions and their effects on results of operations. These internal non-GAAP operating metrics should not be considered as an alternative to, or more meaningful than, GAAP measures such as net income.

	Net Economic		Net Income (Loss) (GAAP)	Net Economic EPS (2) (Non-GAAP)	Diluted EPS (GAAP)
	Earnings (Losses) (Non-GAAP)	Add: Unrealized Gain (Loss) (1)			
(Millions, except per share amounts)					
Twelve Months Ended September 30, 2011					
Laclede Gas Company	\$ 53.8	\$ -	\$ 53.8	\$ 2.41	\$ 2.41
Laclede Energy Resources, Inc.	9.0	1.4	10.4	0.40	0.47
Other	(0.4)	-	(0.4)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Total	\$ 62.4	\$ 1.4	\$ 63.8	\$ 2.79	\$ 2.86
Per Share Amounts (2)	\$ 2.79	\$ 0.07	\$ 2.86		
Twelve Months Ended September 30, 2010					
Laclede Gas Company	\$ 39.8	\$ (0.1)	\$ 39.7	\$ 1.79	\$ 1.78
Laclede Energy Resources, Inc.	15.7	(2.0)	13.7	0.71	0.61
Other	0.6	-	0.6	0.02	0.04
Total	\$ 56.1	\$ (2.1)	\$ 54.0	\$ 2.52	\$ 2.43
Per Share Amounts (2)	\$ 2.52	\$ (0.09)	\$ 2.43		

Edgar Filing: LACLEDE GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

- (1) Amounts presented net of income taxes. Income taxes are calculated by applying federal, state, and local income tax rates applicable to ordinary income to the amounts of unrealized gain (loss) on energy-related derivative contracts. For the twelve months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the amounts of income tax expense (benefit) included in the consolidated reconciling items above are \$0.9 million and \$(1.3) million, respectively.
- (2) Consolidated net economic earnings per share (EPS) are calculated by replacing consolidated net income with consolidated net economic earnings in the GAAP diluted EPS calculation.

Note: EPS amounts by company represent contributions to Laclede Group's consolidated EPS.

Laclede Gas drove the improved results of fiscal year 2011 over fiscal year 2010, reflecting the impact of the general rate increase that went into effect September 1, 2010 as well as higher earnings from non-regulated sales of propane inventories that were no longer required to serve utility customers. Laclede Energy Resources also contributed to the Company's earnings but its results were below the prior year due to reduced margins and lower natural gas sales volumes, driven mainly by narrow regional price differentials.

During the past year, Mr. Yaeger announced his intent to retire from the Company and its Board effective February 1, 2012. The Board selected Ms. Sitherwood to succeed him and she began service as the Company's President on September 1, 2011. Further, the Board increased its size from nine to ten and appointed her to the Board effective September 1, 2011.

Last summer, the Company and Laclede Gas entered into new revolving loan agreements. Existing arrangements due to expire later in the year were terminated early to coincide with the execution of the new agreements. Both new agreements have 5-year terms with three 1-year extension options. The Company's credit facility provides for a credit commitment of \$50 million, with an added \$25 million accordion feature that provides for the facility to increase with lender approval. This agreement replaces facilities totaling \$50 million that were due to expire on September 30, 2011. The loan agreement for Laclede Gas Company provides \$300 million in credit, with an additional accordion feature of \$100 million. This agreement replaces a \$320 million facility that was due to expire on December 31, 2011. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. is the administrative agent and co-lead arranger, U.S. Bank, N.A. is the syndication agent and co-lead arranger, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is the documentation agent. Other lenders in the agreement include Comerica Bank, Fifth Third Bank, Commerce Bank, and UMB Bank, N.A.

Executive Compensation in Fiscal Year 2011

The graph below evidences the Company's commitment to pay for performance. It compares basic net economic earnings per share to the Annual Incentive Plan (AIP) payments to the named executive officers (NEOs), excluding Ms. Sitherwood, under the AIP. Basic net economic earnings per share is the key metric used in 2011 to determine funding under our AIP. The earnings in the graph are based on operations at the time of performance, except 2008 which excludes the sale and operations of SM&P Utility Resources, Inc.

Basic Net Economic EPS Compared to NEOs Annual Incentive Award

The Company also emphasizes pay for performance by placing a majority of the executives' target total direct compensation (TTDC) at risk through the annual and equity incentive plans. TTDC includes the current base salary, the 2011 target AIP opportunity, and the market value (target shares X grant date stock price) of the Equity Incentive Plan awards made during the fiscal year 2011. Further, the largest proportion of incentive pay, which is represented by the equity incentive award, focuses on long-term performance. The graph below shows the mix of fixed and at risk pay. Additional details on long-term incentives can be found on page 30.

Fiscal 2011 TTDC Component

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item	Page
Information about the Annual Shareholders Meeting	1
Annual Meeting Admission	1
Voting Matters	1
Proposal 1 Election of Directors	3
Proposal 2 Approval of The Laclede Group 2006 Equity Incentive Plan As Amended	7
Proposal 3 Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accountant	13
Beneficial Ownership of Laclede Group Common Stock	13
Stock Ownership Guidelines	14
Corporate Governance	14
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	19
Audit Committee Report	19
Fees of Independent Registered Public Accountant	20
Directors Compensation	20
Equity Compensation Plans	22
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	23
Compensation Committee Report	35
Executive Compensation	36
Other Matters	51
Appendix 1 The Laclede Group 2006 Equity Incentive Plan As Amended	A-1

**PROXY STATEMENT
INFORMATION ABOUT THE ANNUAL SHAREHOLDERS MEETING**

Important Notice Regarding Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholders Meeting to be held on January 26, 2012. The proxy statement is available at www.thelacledegroup.com/annualmeeting.

This proxy statement is furnished to solicit proxies by the Board of Directors of The Laclede Group for use at the annual meeting of its shareholders to be held on January 26, 2012, and at any adjournment or postponement of the meeting. The meeting will be held at the Renaissance St. Louis Grand Hotel, 800 Washington Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63101 at 10:00 a.m. central standard time. The Company expects to mail this proxy statement with the annual report for its fiscal year 2011 on or about December 19, 2011.

Annual Meeting Admission

If you are a shareholder of record and plan to attend the annual meeting, please check in with Company representatives at the meeting. If your shares are held by someone else on your behalf, such as a bank or broker, and you plan to attend the meeting, please bring a letter or statement from that firm that shows you were a beneficial holder on December 1, 2011. Please also bring personal identification.

Voting Matters

How you can vote

If your shares are registered in your own name, you may simplify voting and save the Company expense by voting by telephone or by Internet. Telephone and Internet voting information is provided on your proxy card. A control number on the proxy card is designed to verify your identity and allow you to vote your shares and confirm that your voting instructions have been properly recorded. If you vote by telephone or Internet, you need not mail back your proxy card.

If you choose to vote by mail, please return your proxy card, properly signed, in the postage-paid envelope provided.

If you hold your shares through a broker, bank or other financial institution, please follow its directions for providing voting instructions. The availability of telephone or Internet voting will depend on that firm's processes. Your broker will not be permitted to vote on your behalf for the first two proposals unless you instruct your broker as to how to vote your shares. For your vote to be counted, you will need to communicate your voting decisions to your broker, bank or other financial institution. Voting your shares is important to ensure that we meet the minimum quorum requirements for the meeting. If you have any questions about the voting process, please contact the broker, bank, or other financial institution where you hold your shares.

Edgar Filing: LACLEDE GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

If you participate in The Laclede Group dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan or in the Company Stock Fund of the Laclede Gas Wage Deferral Savings Plan, Salary Deferral Savings Plan, or Missouri Natural Wage Deferral Savings Plan and you do not give voting instructions for shares owned by you through any of these plans; none of your shares held in the plans will be voted. To allow sufficient time for voting by the administrators and trustee of the plans, your voting instructions must be received by January 24, 2012.

How you may revoke or change your vote

You may revoke your proxy at any time before it is voted at the meeting by:

- sending timely written notice of revocation to the corporate secretary;
- submitting another timely proxy by telephone, Internet or paper ballot; or
- attending the annual meeting and voting in person. If your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker or other holder of record, you must obtain a proxy executed in your favor from the holder of record to be able to vote at the meeting.

Other voting matters

Holders of record of The Laclede Group common stock at the close of business on December 1, 2011 are entitled to receive this proxy statement and to vote at the meeting. As of that date there were 22,460,981 shares of The Laclede Group common stock outstanding. You are entitled to one vote for each share owned of record on that date.

All shares that have been properly voted and not revoked will be voted at the annual meeting in accordance with your instructions. If you sign your proxy card but do not give voting instructions, the shares represented by that proxy will be voted by those named in the proxy card in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Directors.

If any other matters are properly presented at the annual meeting for consideration, the persons named in the enclosed proxy card will have the discretion to vote on those matters for you. As of the printing of this proxy statement, we do not know of any other matter to be raised at the annual meeting.

We have hired Broadridge Investor Communications as an independent tabulator of votes to ensure confidentiality of the voting process. However, if you write comments on your proxy card, the comments will be shared with us. We have also hired IVS Associates, Inc. to serve as independent inspector of elections.

How votes are counted and voting requirements

Holders of a majority of the shares entitled to vote at the annual meeting, present in person or represented by proxy, will constitute a quorum for the meeting. If a quorum is present, the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares entitled to vote that are present in person or by proxy is required for all proposals. Shares represented by proxies that are marked or voted as withhold, abstain or to deny discretionary authority will be counted to determine a quorum and those marked as withhold or deny will have the effect of voting against the proposals.

The Company may receive broker non-votes. A broker non-vote occurs when a broker submits a proxy card with respect to shares of common stock held in a representative capacity (typically referred to as being held in street name), but the broker cannot vote on a particular matter because the broker has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner. Under the rules governing brokers who are voting with respect to shares held in street name, brokers have no discretion to vote the shares on the first two proposals, but may exercise discretion to vote the shares on the ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accountant. Broker non-votes will be considered present for determining whether a quorum exists but will not be considered as votes cast.

PROPOSAL 1

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors is divided into three classes. Directors Donald, Leness, and Nasser, whose terms will expire upon the election of directors at the meeting on January 26, 2012, have been nominated to stand for reelection for terms expiring upon the election of their successors in January 2015. Effective September 1, 2011, the Board increased its size from nine to ten and elected Ms. Sitherwood to fill the new position. Ms. Sitherwood was appointed as President of the Company as part of the transition to Mr. Yaeger's retirement on February 1, 2012. She was elected to the Board class whose term expires at the annual meeting in 2013, subject to approval of shareholders at this annual meeting. The persons named in the enclosed proxy card intend to vote proxies FOR the election of the four nominees. If any nominee becomes unavailable for any reason before the meeting, which is not anticipated, the proxies received for that nominee will be voted for a person to be selected by our Board of Directors.

Information about the nominees and directors

Nominees for term expiring in 2015:

Arnold W. Donald, 56, is President and CEO of the Executive Leadership Council, a professional network of African-American executives of major U. S. companies. Previously, he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation International, the leading charitable funder and advocate of type 1 (juvenile) diabetes research worldwide, from January 2006 to March 2008. He served as Chairman of the Board of Merisant Company from March 2000 to November 2005 and as its CEO from March 2000 to June 2003. During the past five years, he has served and continues to serve as a director of Crown Holdings, Inc., Oil-Dri Corporation of America, and Carnival Corporation, and during the past five years has also served as a director of Russell Corporation from June 2004 to October 2006 and The Scotts Company from March 2000 to January 2009.

Mr. Donald's breadth of experience in chief executive officer and board roles at various companies outside of the energy industry assist the Company in its strategic development and consideration of challenges and opportunities, particularly as it considers opportunities for growth beyond the traditional utility business. Given his role as a director of a number of other NYSE-listed companies in various industries, he also brings governance insight and experience to the Board.

Director since 2003

Anthony V. Leness, 71, was Managing Director Investment Banking - Global Power & Energy Group at Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc., in New York City from 1978 to his retirement in 2006. Merrill Lynch provides wealth management, capital market, investment banking and consulting services. He served as a relationship manager on a broad range of large and small companies, including industrial, communications, oil and gas exploration, natural gas pipeline, and, from 1990, exclusively on power and natural gas distribution companies.

Mr. Leness' prior investment banking experience gives him extensive knowledge of the Company and the utility, pipeline, and oil and natural gas industries. He provides critical insight and analysis relative to the capital markets and corporate finance as well as investment strategy. Having previously provided expert testimony before Federal and State regulatory commissions, he also understands and advises relative to regulatory approaches in different markets as well as the implications of regulation to the Company and the industry.

Director since 2006

William E. Nasser, 72, was, until October 2003, CEO of SouthWest NanoTechnologies, Inc., a privately held specialty chemical firm. He served as Chairman of Enchira Biotechnology Corp. from April 1998 to January 2003. He retired as Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President of Petrolite Corporation in November 1995.

Mr. Nasser's experience on the Company's Board provides him with institutional knowledge of the Company and positions him well to serve as the Board's lead director and to facilitate effective communications between the Board and senior management. Further, his experience outside the Company provides insight into the broader oil and gas industry, its key risks and opportunities and potential impact on the utility industry.

Director since 2000

Nominee for term expiring in 2013:

Suzanne Sitherwood, 51, was, until August 2011, Senior Vice President of Southern Operations for AGL Resources and President of Atlanta Gas Light, Chattanooga Gas and Florida City Gas.

Ms. Sitherwood has over 30 years experience in the natural gas industry. Her experience ranges from Chief Engineer to Vice President of Gas Operations and Capacity Planning to her most recent title of President of three natural gas utilities with over 1.5 million customers and \$2.4 billion in revenues. She also brings to the Company her experience in working in a regulatory environment while implementing strategic growth initiatives.

Director since 2011

**The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR
election of these nominees as directors.**

Directors with term expiring in 2013:

Brenda D. Newberry, 58, was, until May 2010, Chairman of the Board of The Newberry Group. From 2006 to 2009, she served as its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. From 1996 to 2005, she served as its President and Chief Executive Officer. Ms. Newberry founded The Newberry Group in 1996 with her husband. The Newberry Group provides information technology consulting services on a global basis, specializing in cyber-security services, information systems consulting, and project management services. Since 2007, she has also served as a director of Enterprise Financial Services Corp. Ms. Newberry founded Neshier, LLC in 2009 and is currently the CEO. Neshier, LLC provides consulting services that include advising, speaking and writing on diverse business, board service, entrepreneurial, leadership and life management topics.

As technology becomes a larger part of business, Ms. Newberry provides valuable insight into the Company's information technology strategy and related risks and exposures. Further, her experience in creating and building her own business assists the Company as it considers growth opportunities and her government contractor experience provides insight in conducting business in a highly regulated business. Her experience on another public company board brings governance experience and insight to the Board.

Director since 2007

Mary Ann Van Lokeren, 64, retired as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Krey Distributing Co., an Anheuser-Busch wholesaler, in October 2006. She served in that capacity from December 1986. During the past five years, she has served and continues to serve as a director of Masco Corporation and in 2005 served as a director of Commerce Bancshares, Inc.

With her prior experience as CEO of one of the largest Anheuser-Busch wholesalers in Missouri, Ms. Van Lokeren has strong business and leadership expertise that assists the Board as it evaluates the Company's financial and operational risks, controls and strategy. She also has strong ties to the customer service area of the Company's utility subsidiary. Further, her experience on other public company boards provides insight as to the Board's role in oversight of management as well as corporate governance.

Director since 2000

Douglas H. Yaeger, 62, serves as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer since relinquishing his title as President on September 1, 2011. Prior to that he served as Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of The Laclede Group since its inception in October 2000. He has been Laclede Gas Chairman of the Board since January 1999, Chief Executive Officer since January 1999 and President since December 1997. During the past five years, he has served and continues to serve as a director of First Banks, Inc.

Mr. Yaeger brings a rich background to the Company from his many different roles in the pipeline, marketing and utility industries, as well as through his participation and leadership roles in industry organizations, such as the Southern Gas Association and the American Gas Association, and community organizations, such as the United Way of Greater St. Louis and the St. Louis Regional Chamber & Growth Association.

Director since 2000

Directors with term expiring in 2014:

Edward L. Glotzbach, 63, became Vice Chairman, Mergers and Acquisitions, of Information Services Group in November 2007 when it acquired Technology Partners International, Inc. From December 2004 to November 2007, he served as President and CEO of Technology Partners International, Inc., an organization that assists clients with the evaluation, negotiation, implementation and management of information technology and business process sourcing initiatives. From October 2003 to December 2004, he served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the firm. From 1970 to September 2003, he served in many positions with SBC Communications, with his most recent position there being Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer for six years. Mr. Glotzbach serves as a director of Perficient, Inc. and in 2005 he served as a director of Visual Networks, Inc.

Mr. Glotzbach brings to the Board business and leadership experience as an executive of a public company, regulated utility experience as a former executive of a telephone utility regulated by the Missouri Public Service Commission, financial expertise having served as a chief financial officer at other companies, and information technology expertise given his current position as well as his prior chief information officer position at a major telephone company. His experience also provides insight to the Company as to potential exposures and risks in those areas.

Director since 2005

W. Stephen Maritz, 53, has been Chairman of the Board of Maritz Holdings Inc. since February 2001 and Chief Executive Officer since November 1998. Maritz Holdings Inc. provides performance improvement, marketing research and travel services on a global basis.

In addition to his current business and leadership experience in managing a large, international company, Mr. Maritz brings to the Board his expertise in pursuing and measuring customer satisfaction as well as engaging and motivating employees. Further, he understands the demographics of the utility subsidiary's marketplace and implications for its business.

Director since 2000

John P. Stupp, Jr., 61, has been President of Stupp Bros., Inc. since March 2004 and Chief Executive Officer of Stupp Corporation since August 1995. He previously served as Executive Vice President from April 1995 to March 2004 and Chief Operating Officer from April 1996 to March 2004. Stupp Bros., Inc. has two operating divisions: Stupp Bridge Company, a fabricator of steel highway and railroad bridges; and Stupp Corporation, producer of custom-made HFW (high frequency weld) and spiral weld pipe for gas and oil transmission; and three subsidiaries: Hammert's Iron Works, Inc., a fabricator of structural steel; Bayou Coating LLC, coating applicators for steel line pipe; and Midwest BankCentre, a Missouri bank holding company. During the past five years, he has served and continues to serve as a director of Stupp Bros., Inc. and Atrion Corporation.

As President of Stupp Bros., Inc., one of the Company's largest shareholders with a long-term investment relationship with the Company, Mr. Stupp has historical institutional knowledge of the Company and directly represents the shareholder interest. Further, his experience with the various subsidiaries of Stupp Bros., Inc., provides insight as to the pipeline and other infrastructure industries on a national basis as well as insight into the regional economy.

Director since 2005

PROPOSAL 2

**APPROVAL OF
THE LACLEDE GROUP 2006 EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN
AS AMENDED**

Background of Amendments

Shareholders approved The Laclede Group 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (Equity Plan) at the last annual meeting of shareholders. This year we are seeking shareholder approval of amendments to the Equity Plan to:

- allow members of our Board of Directors to participate in the Equity Plan,
- allow pro rata vesting during the minimum three-year vesting period, and
- allow up to 5% of the shares available under the Equity Plan to be issued not subject to the minimum vesting requirement.

If shareholders approve these amendments, then effective February 1, 2012, grants to members of the Board of Directors will only be made under this Equity Plan and not under the Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors. The grants to directors on January 26, 2012 will be the last awards that the directors would receive under the Restricted Stock Plan as no further awards would be made under that plan on and after February 1, 2012 if the amendments to the Equity Plan are approved. In addition, the amendments will allow a limited amount of grants to be made without meeting the minimum vesting period requirements. This will provide the Company with the ability to award equity grants to those directors or employees who are nearing mandatory retirement age. For example, the Company has a mandatory retirement age of 65 for its executive officers. Under the Equity Plan s current provisions, time vested restricted stock awards made within three years of mandatory retirement would have no value to the executive since there would be no opportunity for vesting. As amended, however, awards could be made with shorter vesting periods that occur within the time remaining to retirement.

As of September 30, 2011, out of a total of 1,250,000 shares reserved for issuance under the Equity Plan, 639,275 remained available for grant, with the following types of grants made and outstanding:

69,000 nonqualified stock options; and

363,225 performance awards, restricted stock and restricted stock units.

Immediately following the fiscal year 2012 annual grants on December 1, 2011, out of the total of the 1,250,000 shares reserved for issuance, 507,237 will remain available for grant.

Purpose

The purpose of the Equity Plan is to encourage directors, officers and employees of the Company and its subsidiaries to contribute to the Company s success and to align their interests with shareholders. The Equity Plan, a full copy of which is included as Appendix 1 to this proxy statement, will expire in 2016.

Some of the key provisions of the Equity Plan are:

- The Compensation Committee, which is comprised wholly of independent directors, is the plan administrator.

Edgar Filing: LACLEDE GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

- The Equity Plan provides for restricted stock, restricted stock units, qualified and non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights and performance shares payable in stock or cash or a combination or both.
- The maximum number of shares reserved for issuance under the Equity Plan is 1,250,000.
- The minimum vesting period is three years for each type of award.

- The maximum number of shares available for issuance under the Equity Plan may only be replenished with those shares relative to awards that have expired, been forfeited or been canceled or shares withheld for taxes or exercise of an award.
- The Equity Plan does not allow repricing without shareholder approval nor awards at discounts from fair market value.

Shares and Plan Benefits

If shareholders approve the Equity Plan as amended, a total of 507,237 shares will be available for future grants. Since approval of the Equity Plan in 2006, equity grants have predominantly been in the form of performance awards – more specifically performance contingent restricted stock and performance contingent restricted stock units. These types of awards closely align the compensation of the executive officers with shareholder interests as vesting is contingent on meeting or exceeding certain performance metrics. Further, these awards also provide that even if the performance metrics are achieved, the amounts earned are subject to reduction if our total shareholder return is below the median of our peers, as identified in our Compensation Discussion and Analysis below in this proxy statement.

While future awards under the Equity Plan are not presently determinable, the grants made to the five named executive officers during the most recent fiscal year are set forth in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table. In the last fiscal year, the following awards were made and are outstanding under the Equity Plan:

Type of Award	All Participants	All Executive Officers
Nonqualified stock options	-	-
Time vested restricted awards	35,950	24,850
Performance awards	91,525	91,525

Eligibility

If shareholders approve the proposed amendments, directors, officers and employees of the Company and its subsidiaries will be eligible to be selected for awards under the Equity Plan. As of September 30, 2011, 73 participants are in the Equity Plan.

Administration

The Committee is the administrator of the Equity Plan. The Committee selects the participants and determines the types, terms and conditions of awards. It also has the authority to interpret the Equity Plan, establish, amend, and rescind any rules and regulations relating to the plan and make all other determinations necessary or advisable for the administration of the Equity Plan.

Term of Equity Plan

The Equity Plan expires in 2016, but awards outstanding at that time continue in accordance with their terms and will not be affected by the expiration or termination of the Equity Plan.

Stock Options

The Committee may award stock options under the Equity Plan and determine the individuals to whom options will be granted, the number of shares to be covered by each option, the term of each option, the times at which each option may be exercised and whether an option is an incentive stock option. No option may be granted with an exercise price less than the fair market value of a share of stock on the grant date. Options may not be repriced or exchanged for cash or for replacement options with a lower exercise price without shareholder approval. The terms of each option will be set forth in the individual option agreement. Options will vest in equal annual installments over a period of not less than three years. No option may be exercisable after the

expiration of ten years from the date the option is granted; however, incentive stock options granted to an employee who owns more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of shares of the Company may not have a term of over five years from the grant date.

Restricted Stock

The Committee may award restricted stock in such amounts, subject to such restrictions, and on such terms as it may determine. Restricted stock is subject to restrictions on transferability during the period the stock is subject to restrictions. The restricted period may be defined in terms of the passage of time or in any other manner the Committee deems appropriate, including based on the achievement of performance goals. While restricted stock must have a three year vesting period, including ratable vesting over those three years, after that three-year period the Committee may alter or waive any term or condition that is not mandatory under the Equity Plan. Holders of restricted stock awards that do not have performance goals for vesting will have dividend and voting rights relative to such shares. The Committee may also award restricted stock units having a value equal to an identical number of shares of stock. Payment of restricted stock units may be made in stock, cash or a combination based upon the fair market value of the stock on the day the restricted period expires, as determined by the Committee.

Stock Appreciation Rights (SARs)

The Committee may award SARs either alone or with underlying stock options. If granted in connection with an option, the SAR will cover the same number of shares of stock as are covered by the option (or such lesser number of shares as the Committee may determine) and will be subject to the same terms and conditions as the related option. SARs will vest in equal annual installments over a period of not less than three years. The Committee may limit in any manner the amount payable with respect to any SAR. SARs entitle the holder upon exercise to receive an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of the shares covered by the SAR over the exercise price, payable in the form of cash, shares or combination, as determined by the Committee.

Performance Awards

Performance awards may be granted in the form of actual shares of stock or stock units having a value equal to an identical number of shares of stock. The Committee shall determine whether performance shares granted in the form of stock units will be paid in cash, stock, or a combination of cash and stock. The Equity Plan also allows the award of performance-based cash bonuses. For all performance awards, the Committee will determine the applicable performance objectives and performance period.

The Committee shall establish the performance objectives, which means the level or levels of performance required to be attained with respect to specified performance goals in order that a participant shall become entitled to specified rights in connection with a performance award. The Committee may provide for adjustments to eliminate the effects of changes for restructuring, extraordinary items, discontinued operations, other non-recurring changes and the cumulative effects of accounting changes, each as defined by GAAP, any action by a regulatory agency or other extraordinary or non-recurring items that occur during a performance period, in each case, to preserve the economic intent of any performance award.

Performance goals means or may be expressed in terms of any of the following business criteria: revenue; earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA); earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT); funds from operations; funds from operations per share; operating income (loss); pre or after tax income (loss); cash available for distribution; cash available for distribution per share; cash and/or cash equivalents available for operations; net earnings (loss); earnings (loss) per share; return on equity; return on assets; return on capital; share price performance; total shareholder return; economic value added; economic profit; credit ratings; improvements in the Company's attainment of expense levels; objective third-party measures of customer satisfaction; objective measures of operating stability and reliability; operating goals related to customer satisfaction improvement; implementing or completion of critical projects, including, without

limitation, implementation of strategic plan(s), improvement in investor relations, marketing and manufacturing of key products, improvement in cash-flow (before or after tax), development of critical projects or product development, progress relating to research and development, or other business criteria as determined by the Committee.

A performance goal may be measured over a performance period on a periodic, annual, cumulative or average basis and may be established on a corporate-wide basis or established with respect to one or more operating units, divisions, subsidiaries, acquired businesses, minority investments, partnerships or joint ventures. The performance objectives shall be established by the Committee prior to, or reasonably promptly following the inception of, a performance period, but, to the extent required by Section 162(m) of the Code, by no later than the earlier of the date that is 90 days after the commencement of the performance period or the day prior to the date on which 25% of the performance period has elapsed.

More than one performance objective may be incorporated in a performance goal, in which case achievement with respect to each performance objective may be assessed individually or in combination with each other. The level or levels of performance specified with respect to a performance objective may be established in absolute terms, as objectives relative to performance in prior periods, as an objective compared to the performance of one or more comparable companies or an index covering multiple companies, or otherwise as the Committee may determine. Performance objectives shall be objective and shall otherwise meet the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Code. Performance objectives may differ for performance awards granted to any one participant or to different participants. A performance award to a participant who is a covered employee shall (unless the Committee determines otherwise) provide that in the event of the participant's termination of continuous service prior to the end of the performance period for any reason, such performance award will be payable only (i) if the applicable performance objectives are achieved and (ii) to the extent, if any, as the Committee shall determine. The Committee may, in its discretion, reduce or eliminate the amount of payment with respect to a performance award to a covered employee, despite achievements of specified performance objectives; but no such adjustment may be made that would adversely impact a participant following a change of control. The Committee may include or exclude specified items of an unusual or non-recurring nature.

Following the completion of each performance period, the Committee shall certify in writing, in accordance with the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Code, whether the performance objectives and other material terms of the performance award have been achieved or met. Unless the Committee determines otherwise, performance awards shall not be settled until the Committee has made the certification specified in the Equity Plan.

The maximum number of shares of stock that may be subject to a performance award granted to a covered employee in a performance award is 50,000 shares of stock during a fiscal year (or, to the extent a performance award is paid in cash, rather than stock, the maximum dollar amount of the award is the equivalent cash value, based on the fair market value of the stock on the last day of the performance period). If a performance award is a cash bonus, the maximum of cash bonuses payable in any one fiscal year to a participant is \$2,000,000.

Acceleration and Adjustments

Upon the effective date of a change of control if:

- outstanding awards are not terminated; and

- the awards are assumed or substituted for by the successor company or, if the Company is the ultimate parent corporation, are continued; and

- a participant's employment with such successor company (or the Company) or a subsidiary terminates within 24 months following such change in control (or such other period set forth in the award agreement, including prior thereto if applicable) and under the circumstances specified in the award agreement

then all outstanding options and SARs immediately become vested and exercisable to the full extent of the original grant and all restrictions or performance conditions, if any, on any other awards automatically lapse. If on the effective date the outstanding awards are not terminated and are not assumed, substituted, or continued as described above; then all options and SARs, granted immediately become vested and exercisable to the full extent of the original grant and all restrictions or performance conditions, if any, on any other awards automatically lapse. The Committee may include such further provisions and limitations in any agreement documenting such awards as it may deem equitable and in the best interests of the Company.

A change in control is defined in the Equity Plan as (i) the acquisition of 20% or more of the Company's common stock or voting power excluding certain acquisitions by or from the Company and its affiliates, (ii) a change in the composition of a majority of the Company's Board of Directors without the approval of the incumbent directors as defined in the Equity Plan, (iii) consummation of a reorganization, merger or consolidation, unless the Company's shareholders possess more than 50% of the surviving entity's then outstanding common stock or the surviving entity's combined voting power entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, (iv) liquidation or dissolution of the Company, or (v) the sale of all or substantially all of the Company's assets.

Upon the occurrence of certain events that the Committee determines affects the stock such that an adjustment is determined to be appropriate to prevent dilution or enlargement of the benefits or potential benefits intended to be made with respect to an award, the Committee may adjust: (i) the number and kind of shares of stock (or other securities or property) with respect to which awards may be granted or awarded; (ii) the number and kind of shares of stock subject to outstanding awards; and (iii) the grant or exercise price with respect to any award. Any adjustment made to an incentive stock option shall be made in accordance with Section 424(a) of the Code. Alternatively, if following such an event the outstanding awards will not be assumed or otherwise continued following the event, the Committee may terminate any outstanding award without a participant's consent and (a) provide for either (i) the purchase of any award for an amount of cash equal to the amount that could have been attained upon the exercise of the award or realization of the participant's rights had the award been currently exercisable or payable or fully vested or (ii) the replacement of such award with other rights or property selected by the Committee in its sole discretion and/or (b) provide that the award shall be exercisable (whether or not vested) as to all shares for at least ten (10) days prior to the event.

The Committee may also determine to accelerate the vesting or exercisability of an award due to the death, disability, retirement, or other termination of employment or service other than termination for cause. Cause is defined in the Equity Plan as (i) willful and continued failure by the participant to perform substantially the duties of employment assigned by the Company (other than any failure due to physical or mental illness) after a demand for substantial performance delivered by the Company that specifically identifies the manner in which it is believed that the participant has not substantially performed such duties, or (ii) willful engagement by the participant in misconduct that is materially injurious to the Company or any of its subsidiaries.

Recoupment

The Company intends to adopt a recoupment policy once guidance through rulemaking is issued by the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Equity Plan includes a provision that awards may be subject to such a policy.

Federal Income Tax Considerations

The following is a summary of the material federal tax consequences of receiving options under the Equity Plan and is based upon an analysis of the present provisions of the Code and the regulations promulgated thereunder, all of which are subject to change. A participant may also be subject to state and local taxes, the consequences of which are not discussed herein, in the jurisdiction in which he or she works and/or resides. This summary is for general information and is not tax advice.

Incentive Stock Options

Recipients of incentive stock options generally do not recognize taxable income, and the Company is not entitled to a deduction, on the grant or exercise of incentive stock options. If a recipient holds the shares acquired for at least one year from the exercise date and does not dispose of the shares for at least two years from the grant date, the recipient's gain or loss upon a subsequent sale will be long-term capital gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount realized on the sale and the recipient's basis in the shares acquired. The Company will not be entitled to a deduction. If a recipient disposes of the shares acquired without satisfying the required minimum holding period, such disqualifying disposition will give rise to ordinary income equal to the excess of the fair market value of the shares acquired on the exercise date (or, if less, the amount realized upon disqualifying disposition) over the recipient's basis in the shares acquired. The Company will ordinarily be entitled to a deduction equal to the amount of the ordinary income resulting from a disqualifying disposition. A recipient does recognize income for alternative minimum tax (AMT) purposes upon the exercise of incentive stock options in an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of the shares acquired over the exercise price of the stock options; that amount is also included in the recipient's AMT basis in the shares acquired. Upon disposition of the stock acquired that satisfies the required minimum holding period requirement, AMT gain or loss is equal to the excess of the amount realized less the recipient's AMT basis. Income from a disqualifying disposition generally is not income for AMT purposes.

Nonqualified Stock Options

A recipient generally does not recognize taxable income on the grant of nonqualified stock options, but does recognize ordinary income on the exercise date. The amount of income is the amount by which the fair market value of the shares received on the date of exercise exceeds the option price. The Company will ordinarily be entitled to a deduction on the exercise date equal to the ordinary income recognized by the recipient from the exercise of the nonqualified stock options.

Section 162(m)

Section 162(m) of the Code places a \$1,000,000 annual limit on the compensation deductible by the Company paid to certain executives. The limit, however, does not apply to qualified performance-based compensation. The Company believes that awards of stock options, SARs and certain other performance-based compensation awards under the Equity Plan will qualify for the performance-based limits.

Section 280G of the Code

Under certain circumstances, the accelerated vesting or exercise of options or the accelerated lapse of restrictions with respect to other awards in connection with a change of control might be deemed an excess parachute payment for purposes of the golden parachute tax provisions of Section 280G of the Code. To the extent it is so considered, the grantee may be subject to a 20% excise tax and our Company may be denied a federal income tax deduction. To the extent there is an excess parachute payment, the Company will not provide a gross-up payment to the participant. Instead, due to provisions in the management continuity protection plan, the payment under the Equity Plan will be reduced to the amount necessary to avoid triggering such an adverse tax consequence.

The affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares entitled to vote that are present in person or by proxy will be required to approve this Proposal.

**Your Board of Directors Recommends a Vote FOR
The Laclede Group
2006 Equity Incentive Plan
As Amended**

PROPOSAL 3**RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT**

The Board of Directors, upon recommendation of its Audit Committee, recommends that you ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP, independent registered public accountant, to audit the books, records and accounts of The Laclede Group and its subsidiaries for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2012. A representative of Deloitte & Touche LLP will be present at the annual meeting, will have an opportunity to make a statement if he or she desires to do so, and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

**Your Board of Directors Recommends a Vote FOR The Ratification of
The Appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as
Independent Registered Public Accountant.**

BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF LACLEDE GROUP COMMON STOCK

The table below shows as of November 1, 2011 the number of shares of our common stock beneficially owned by (i) each person known to the Company to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent of the Company's common stock, (ii) each current director and director nominee, (iii) each named executive officer listed in the Summary Compensation Table, and (iv) all directors, nominees and executive officers as a group.

Amount and Nature of Ownership

Name	Shares		Percent of Class
	Beneficially Owned (1)		
M. C. Darrell	30,985	(2)	*
A. W. Donald	9,600	(3)	*
E. L. Glotzbach	12,083	(3)	*
A. V. Leness	12,200		*
W. S. Maritz	10,550	(3)	*
W. E. Nasser	11,200		*
B. D. Newberry	7,200	(3)	*
S. Sitherwood	-		-
M. R. Spotanski	46,228	(2)	*
J. P. Stupp, Jr.	1,163,800	(3)(4)	5%
M. A. Van Lokeren	16,130		*
M. D. Waltermire	46,648	(2)	*
D. H. Yaeger	208,104	(2)	1%
BlackRock, Inc.	1,742,768	(5)	8%
Stupp Bros., Inc.	1,155,000	(4)	5%
The Vanguard Group, Inc.	1,120,973	(6)	5%
All nominees, directors and executive officers as a group (22)	1,717,384		8%

- (1) Except as otherwise indicated, each person has sole voting and investment power with respect to all of the shares listed.
- (2) Includes options exercisable currently and within 60 days for the following number of shares under the Equity Incentive Plan: M. C. Darrell 0; S. Sitherwood 0; M. R. Spotanski 12,000; M. D. Waltermire 14,000; D.H. Yaeger 90,000. Includes restricted non-vested shares granted under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, as to which a recipient has sole voting power and no current investment power as follows: M. C. Darrell 19,500; S. Sitherwood 0; M. R. Spotanski 19,500; M. D. Waltermire 19,500; and D. H. Yaeger 66,000.
- (3) Includes restricted, non-vested shares granted under the Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as to which each recipient has sole voting power and no current investment power, as follows: A. W. Donald 9,600; E.L. Glotzbach 8,800; W. S. Maritz 10,550; B. D. Newberry 7,200; and J. P. Stupp, Jr. 8,800.

- (4) Stupp Bros., Inc. owns 1,155,000 shares. Mr. Stupp is a director and executive officer of Stupp Bros., Inc. and has an interest in a voting trust that controls 100% of the stock of Stupp Bros., Inc., which is located at 3800 Weber Road, St. Louis, MO 63125. These shares are pledged as collateral to secure credit facilities for Stupp Bros., Inc. and are currently held by Bank of America.
- (5) Information provided as of December 31, 2010 in Schedule 13G/A filed by BlackRock, Inc., whose address is 40 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10022. The subsidiaries included in the report were as follows:

BlackRock Japan Co. Ltd.
 BlackRock Advisors, LLC
 BlackRock Institutional Trust Company, N.A.
 BlackRock Fund Advisors
 BlackRock Asset Management Australia Limited
 BlackRock Investment Management, LLC
 BlackRock Asset Management Ireland Limited
 BlackRock International Limited

The report indicated that no one of these entities owns 5% or greater of the Company's outstanding shares.

- (6) Information provided as of December 31, 2010 in Schedule 13G filed by The Vanguard Group, Inc., whose address is 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355. The report indicates that it has 32,771 shares with sole voting power and shared investment power and 1,088,202 shares with sole investment power and shared voting power. Its subsidiary, Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company, is the beneficial owner of 32,771 shares as a result of serving as investment manager of collective trust accounts.

* Less than one percent.

Stock Ownership Guidelines and Holding Requirements for Non-Employee Directors and Executive Officers

To provide a direct link between director, executive and shareholder interests, the Company adopted stock ownership guidelines. The table below indicates the number of shares directors and officers are expected to own.

Stock Ownership Guidelines	
Director	3X annual retainer
CEO	4X base salary
Executive & Senior Vice Presidents	2X base salary
Other Executive Officers	1X base salary

The ownership level is determined when the officer or director first becomes subject to the guidelines and must be met within five years of entrance into the covered position. Further, directors and executives must retain 90% of the net shares awarded to them under Company plans until they meet the new stock ownership requirements. All directors and executive officers are currently in compliance with the new stock ownership policy.

Corporate Governance

Board and Committee Structure

Our Board consists of ten directors, eight of whom are independent. Under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Chair may also be an officer or may be an independent member of the Board, at the discretion of the Board. The Board believes it should be free to use its business judgment to determine what it believes is best for the Company in light of all the circumstances. At its meeting in January 2011, the Board selected Mr. Yaeger, the Chief Executive Officer, to serve as Chairman. The Board determined that he is appropriate to serve as chairman based on his years of experience with the Company and in the industry and his effective leadership. Mr. Yaeger has decided to retire from the Board along with his retirement as CEO effective February 1, 2012 and the Board will select a new Chair at its meeting following the annual shareholders meeting. If the Chair is

not an independent director, the independent members of the Board select a lead director who is independent. Currently, Mr. Nasser serves in that role. In that function, he leads the executive sessions of the Board and facilitates the activities and the flow of information and communication among the directors, acts as liaison between non-management directors and Chief Executive Officer, and performs such other duties as assigned by the Board.

During the 2011 fiscal year, there were seven meetings of our Board of Directors. All directors attended 75% or more of the aggregate number of meetings of the Board and applicable Committee meetings, and all directors attended the last annual meeting of shareholders.

The standing committees of the Board of Directors include the Audit, Compensation, Corporate Governance, Investment Review and Capital Funds Committees.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee assists the Board of Directors in fulfilling the Board's oversight responsibilities with respect to the quality and integrity of the financial statements, financial reporting process, and systems of internal controls. The Audit Committee also assists the Board in monitoring the independence and performance of the independent registered public accountant, the internal audit department and the operation of ethics programs. At fiscal year end, the Committee members were Mr. Glotzbach as Committee chair and directors Leness, Nasser, Stupp, and Van Lokeren. All Committee members were determined by the Board to be independent and financially literate in accordance with The New York Stock Exchange requirements. Mr. Glotzbach has been determined to be the audit committee financial expert for the Audit Committee and further, directors Leness, Stupp, and Van Lokeren meet the audit committee financial expert requirements. The Committee met five times in fiscal year 2011.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee assists the Board in the discharge of its responsibility relative to the compensation of the Company's executives, reviews and makes recommendations to the Board relative to the Company's incentive compensation and equity-based plans, makes recommendations to the Board regarding director compensation and reviews management's risk assessment of the Company's compensation practices and programs. At fiscal year end, the Committee members, all of whom were determined to be independent, were directors Donald (chair), Glotzbach, Leness, Newberry, and Stupp. The Committee met four times in fiscal year 2011. There are no Compensation Committee interlocks. The Committee's retention of a compensation consultant is discussed later in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Corporate Governance Committee

The Corporate Governance Committee considers and makes recommendations to the Board relative to corporate governance and its Corporate Governance Guidelines, assists the Board in identifying individuals qualified to become Board members, assists the Board in the oversight of succession planning for executive officers and approves any related party transactions. The Committee also recommends committee appointments to the full Board. At fiscal year end, the Committee members, all of whom were determined to be independent, were directors Van Lokeren (chair), Donald, Maritz, Nasser, and Stupp. The Committee met two times in fiscal year 2011.

Capital Funds Committee

The Capital Funds Committee oversees the Company's significant multi-year contributions to charitable and civic organizations. It also establishes certain criteria for the corporate giving program. At fiscal year end, the Committee members were directors Maritz (chair), Newberry, and Yaeger. The Committee met once in fiscal year 2011.

Investment Review Committee

The Investment Review Committee oversees the investments of the defined benefit qualified pension plans. At fiscal year end, the Committee members were directors Leness (chair), Glotzbach, Nasser, Newberry, and Yaeger. The Committee met five times in fiscal year 2011.

Risk Oversight

Management is responsible for assessing and managing risk exposures on a day-to-day basis, and the Board is responsible for overseeing the Company's risk management. While the Company has a combined Chairman of the Board and CEO, there is a lead independent director and significant roles for other independent directors who serve as chairs of our Board committees. In its oversight role, the Board and its Committees ensure that the Company promotes a risk-aware culture and decision-making process. Several of the Board's Committees assist the Board in its risk oversight: the Audit Committee oversees the financial reporting and related risks, the Investment Review Committee oversees the pension plan funding risks, and the Compensation Committee oversees the compensation risks.

At the management level, the Company has a risk management committee that meets at least quarterly. This committee, with assistance from the Company's Director of Enterprise Risk Management, oversees the implementation of the enterprise risk management process and assists in identifying, prioritizing and monitoring risks. Because of the use of commodity-based derivatives by two of the Company's subsidiaries, there are also smaller risk committees who focus on those entities' risks and exposures in the commodity-based derivatives markets.

Compensation Risk Assessment

During the past year, management conducted a risk assessment of its overall compensation program. This risk assessment included consideration of the mix and amount of compensation:

- in cash and equity,
- with short-term and long-term performance goals,
- with individual, business unit and corporate performance objectives, and
- dependent on financial and non-financial performance measurement.

The assessment also considered the risk mitigation impact of stock ownership guidelines and retention requirements, the use of multiple types of metrics, the caps set on incentive compensation, the role of the Compensation Committee and its independent consultant as well as the use of the Internal Audit department to assess documentation of performance on the incentive-based metrics. Management determined and the Committee agreed that the risks relative to the Company's compensation policies and practices would not result in a material adverse effect on the Company.

Director Independence

The Board of Directors believes that a majority of the directors should be independent and determined that the following members were independent: Donald, Glotzbach, Leness, Maritz, Nasser, Newberry, Stupp, and Van Lokeren. Mr. Yaeger, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and Ms. Sitherwood, President, are the only non-independent members of the Board. In determining the independence of directors, the Board found that none of the directors, other than Yaeger and Sitherwood, has any material relationship with the Company other than as a director. In making these determinations, the Board considers all facts and circumstances as well as certain prescribed standards of independence, which are included with our Corporate Governance Guidelines in the Investor Services portion of our website (www.thelacledegroupp.com). The director independence standards adopted by the Board largely reflect the New York Stock Exchange standards; except the standards provide that

the Board does not consider material Laclede Gas Company's provision of natural gas service to any director or immediate family member of the director or director-related company pursuant to Laclede Gas Company's tariffed rates.

The independent members of the Board meet in executive session at least quarterly, which sessions are led by Mr. Nasser, the current Lead Director. Each quarter, the Lead Director solicits from other Board members topics for discussion in those sessions. Topics include, from time to time, the performance of the Chief Executive Officer, executive succession planning, executive compensation matters, and the Company's strategy.

All of the members of the Audit, Compensation and Corporate Governance Committees are independent under our director independence standards as well as under the standards of the New York Stock Exchange.

Corporate Governance Documents

Our key corporate governance documents include:

- Corporate Governance Guidelines, including Director Independence Standards;
- Charters of each of the Audit, Compensation, and Corporate Governance Committees;
- Code of Business Conduct;
- Financial Code of Ethics;
- Related Party Transaction Policy and Procedures; and
- Policy Regarding the Approval of Independent Registered Public Accountant Provision of Audit and Non-Audit Services.

All of these documents, other than the Policy Regarding the Approval of Independent Registered Public Accountant Provision of Audit and Non-Audit Services, are available on our website at www.thelacledegroup.com in the Investor Services section and a copy of any of these documents will be sent to any shareholder upon request.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

The Board generally conducts itself in accordance with its Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Guidelines, among other matters, provide:

- the independent directors may elect a Lead Director,
- each independent director must notify the Corporate Governance Committee Chair and the Chairman of the Board as soon as practicable of any event, situation or condition that may affect the Board's evaluation of his or her independence,
- independent directors will not be paid for consulting, the Company will not retain their firms for consulting or services without approval of the full Board nor will any personal loans or extensions of credit be made by the Company to any director other than on the same terms as loans or credit are available to customers generally,
- a director who materially changes his or her job responsibilities must submit a written offer to resign from the Board, which will then take into account the circumstances at that point in time and take such appropriate action as it deems necessary,
- directors should limit their service to a total of three boards of publicly traded companies (including our Company),
- a director shall retire from the Board at the annual meeting after reaching age 75,

- directors are responsible for attending Board and Committee meetings as well as the annual meeting of shareholders and expected to attend at a minimum 75% of such meetings,
- the Board and its Committees conduct annual assessments of their performance as well as assessments of the performance of each individual director,
- directors have complete access to management,
- the Board has the ability to retain consultants, experts, and advisors as it deems necessary, at Company expense, and
- directors are encouraged to attend educational programs.

Related Party Transaction Policy and Procedures

We have adopted the Related Party Transaction Policy and Procedures under which our Corporate Governance Committee generally pre-approves transactions involving more than \$100,000 with our directors, executive officers, 5% or greater shareholders, and their immediate family members. Based on its consideration of all of the relevant facts and circumstances, the Committee will decide whether or not to approve the transaction and will approve only those transactions that it determines to be in the best interests of the Company. If the Company becomes aware of an existing transaction with a related party that has not been approved under the policy, the matter will be referred to the Committee. The Committee will evaluate all options available including ratification, revision or termination of such transaction. The policy includes certain transactions that are deemed pre-approved because they do not pose a significant risk of a conflict of interest. Such pre-approved transactions include the provision of natural gas service to any of the related parties by our utility subsidiary in accordance with its tariffed rates, transactions entered into pursuant to the competitive bid process, and those transactions at such a level as not to be material to the Company or the related party. There were no related party transactions in fiscal year 2011 requiring Committee action.

Policy Regarding the Approval of Independent Registered Public Accountant Provision of Audit and Non-Audit Services

Consistent with Securities and Exchange Commission requirements regarding accountant independence, the Audit Committee recognizes the importance of maintaining the independence, in fact and appearance, of our independent registered public accountant. To this end, the Audit Committee adopted a policy to pre-approve all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by the independent accountant. Under the policy, the Committee or its designated member must pre-approve services prior to commencement of the specified service, provided that all fees relative to compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act may only be pre-approved by the Committee. Any pre-approvals by the designated member between meetings will be reported to the Audit Committee at its next meeting. The requests for pre-approval are submitted to the Audit Committee or its designated member, as applicable, by both the independent accountant and the Company's Chief Financial Officer with a joint statement as to whether in their view the request is consistent with the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules on accountant independence. At each Committee meeting, the Audit Committee reviews a report summarizing the services, including fees, provided by the independent accountant; a listing of pre-approved services provided since its last meeting; and a current projection presented similar to that included in this proxy statement, of the estimated annual fees to be paid to the independent accountant.

Shareholder Nominee Recommendations and Nominee Qualifications

Shareholders who wish to recommend nominees to the Corporate Governance Committee should make their submission to the Committee by the September 30 preceding the annual meeting by submitting it to Corporate Governance Committee Chair, c/o The Laclede Group, 720 Olive Street, Room 1517, St. Louis, MO 63101. Candidates properly recommended by shareholders will be evaluated by the Committee using the same criteria as applied to other candidates. While there is no set of specific criteria or policy on diversity

for nominees, the Corporate Governance Committee generally will consider the appropriate skills and characteristics needed in light of the current make-up of the Board, including an assessment of the experience, age, skills and characteristics represented on the Board. Generally, the Committee looks for persons who evidence personal characteristics of the highest personal and professional ethics, integrity and values; an inquiring and independent mind and practical wisdom and mature judgment; and expertise that is useful to the Company and complementary to the background and experience of other Board members. The Company does not typically hire or pay a third party to assist in this process.

Correspondence with the Board

Those who desire to communicate with the independent directors should send correspondence addressed to the Lead Director, c/o The Laclede Group, 720 Olive Street, Room 1517, St. Louis, MO 63101. All appropriate correspondence is forwarded directly to the Lead Director. The Company does not, however, forward spam, sales, marketing or mass mailing materials; product or service complaints or inquiries; new product or service suggestions; resumes and other forms of job inquiries; or surveys. However, any filtered information is available to any director upon request.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our directors and executive officers to file reports of holdings and transactions in The Laclede Group shares with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the New York Stock Exchange. Based on our records and information, in fiscal year 2011 our directors and executive officers met all applicable Securities and Exchange Commission reporting requirements.

Audit Committee Report

The primary function of the Audit Committee is oversight.

Management is responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of the Company's financial statements. Management is also responsible for maintaining appropriate accounting and financial reporting practices and policies; for establishing internal controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that the Company is in compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations, and for assessing the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

The independent registered public accounting firm (the firm) is responsible for planning and performing an independent audit of the financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board and to issue a report thereon.

The Audit Committee is comprised of five members, each of whom is an independent director, as defined under listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors. It is responsible for overseeing the conduct of these activities by Company management and the firm.

In this context, the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements for fiscal year 2011 with management and the firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP. The Committee has also discussed with the firm the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended, (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol. 1, AU Section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T.

Deloitte & Touche LLP has provided the Committee with the written disclosures and letter required by the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent registered public accounting firm's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence.

Edgar Filing: LACLEDE GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements referred to above be included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2011.

Audit Committee

Edward L. Glotzbach, Chairperson
 Anthony V. Leness
 William E. Nasser
 John P. Stupp, Jr.
 Mary Ann Van Lokeren

Fees of Independent Registered Public Accountant

The following table displays the aggregate fees for professional audit services for the audit of the financial statements for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 and fees billed for other services during those periods by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP.

	2011	2010
Audit fees	\$ 602,000	\$ 609,565
Audit related fees (1)	14,532	47,707
Tax fees (2)	47,409	25,900
All other fees (3)	2,200	2,000
Total	\$ 666,141	\$ 685,172

- (1) Audit related fees consisted of comfort letters, consents for registration statements and work paper reviews.
- (2) Tax fees consisted primarily of assistance with tax planning, compliance and reporting.
- (3) All other fees consisted of an annual subscription for the accounting technical library.

The Audit Committee pre-approved all of the fees disclosed for fiscal years 2010 and 2011. The policy regarding the approval of independent registered public accountant provision of audit and non-audit services is described earlier in this proxy statement.

Directors Compensation

The Compensation Committee periodically reviews director compensation relative to data provided by the Committee's independent consultant, Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. (the Consultant), of the Company's comparator group and publicly-traded companies based in St. Louis, Missouri. The basic retainers and fees payable are set forth below. No retainers or fees are paid to directors who are executives or employees of the Company and its subsidiaries.

Board and Committee Fees and Retainers

Annual Board Retainer	\$55,000
Lead Director Annual Retainer	12,000
Audit Committee Chair Annual Retainer	10,000
Compensation Committee Chair Annual Retainer	10,000
Other Committee Chair Annual Retainer	6,000
Board Meeting Fee for Personal Attendance	2,000
Board Meeting Fee for Teleconference Attendance	1,000
Committee Meeting Fee for Personal Attendance	1,000
Committee Meeting Fee for Teleconference Attendance	500

Edgar Filing: LACLEDE GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

The table below discloses the compensation paid or earned by all those who served as Company directors in fiscal year 2011. Not included in the table is the retirement plan for non-employee directors in which participation and benefits have been frozen since November 1, 2002. Under that plan, a non-employee director who had at least five years of service as a director as of November 1, 2002, qualified for an annual payment after retirement in an amount equal to the Board retainer at November 1, 2002 (\$18,000), with such payments being made for the longer of 10 years or life. The only current directors eligible for benefits under the plan are Nasser and Van Lokeren.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards (1)	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (2)	Total
Donald	\$ 78,500	\$ 63,168	\$ 1,407	\$ 143,075
Glotzbach	93,500	63,168	22,454	179,122
Leness	86,500	63,168	-	149,668
Maritz	77,000	63,168	14,152	154,320
Nasser	91,500	57,246	51,234	199,980
Newberry	81,500	63,168	2,095	146,763
Stupp, Jr.	82,000	63,168	-	145,168
Van Lokeren	77,000	57,246	41,478	175,724

- (1) Amounts calculated are the grant date fair value of awards granted during the fiscal year using the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 718, Compensation-Stock Compensation (FASB ASC Topic 718), except that these amounts are exclusive of the estimate of forfeitures. See Note 3, Stock-Based Compensation, of the consolidated financial statements in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2011 for a discussion regarding the manner in which the fair value of these awards are calculated, including assumptions used.

The table below provides more details relative to the restricted stock awards made under the Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors:

Name	No. of Shares Awarded in Fiscal Year 2011	Aggregate No. of Shares Awarded at 2011 Fiscal Year End
Donald	1,600	9,600
Glotzbach	1,600	8,800
Leness	1,600	8,200
Maritz	1,600	10,550
Nasser	1,450	10,200
Newberry	1,600	7,200
Stupp, Jr.	1,600	8,800
Van Lokeren	1,450	10,600

- (2) Represents above-market earnings in fiscal year 2011 on deferrals of fees and retainers by participating directors in the Deferred Income Plans.

The Company's Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors, amended and approved by shareholders in January 2009, provides an initial award of 800 restricted shares to each new non-employee director. Annually thereafter, each non-employee director who is not a participant in the retirement plan for non-employee directors receives an additional grant of 1,600 shares for service rendered during the year preceding the annual meeting, while each non-employee director with frozen benefits under that plan receives an annual grant of 1,450 shares on the annual meeting date for the prior year's service. In July 2011, the Board of Directors increased the amount of the annual grants by 150 shares to 1,750 shares for non-employee directors not in the retirement plan and to 1,600 shares for those in the plan. The Plan trustee purchases shares for grants

on the open market. Forfeited shares are held by the trustee and available for future grants. Participants receive cash dividends on the Company's common stock and may vote the shares awarded even while the shares are restricted, but the restricted shares may not be sold, pledged or otherwise transferred, except in accordance with the terms of the Plan. Directors Leness, Nasser and Van Lokeren are fully vested in their awards under the Plan. The table below shows the vesting schedule for the other directors.

Name	Half of Plan Shares Vest	All of Plan Shares Vest
Donald Glotzbach	-----	Annual Meeting January 2015
Maritz Newberry	-----	Annual Meeting January 2012
Stupp, Jr.	On 65 th birthday in 2013	Annual Meeting January 2017
	On 65 th birthday in 2018	Annual Meeting January 2019
	On 65 th birthday in 2015	Annual Meeting January 2017

If shareholders approve The Laclede Group 2006 Equity Incentive Plan as amended, no further grants will be made under this Restricted Stock Plan on and after February 1, 2012.

Equity Compensation Plans

The following table sets forth aggregate information regarding the Company's equity compensation plans as of September 30, 2011:

Plan Category	No. of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	No. of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders (1)	420,901	\$30.72	718,325
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	-	-	-
Total	420,901	\$30.72	718,325

- (1) Includes the Company's Equity Incentive Plan and Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors. Included in column (a) are 115,026 nonvested restricted stock units issued under the Equity Incentive Plan for which the weighted average exercise price in column (b) does not take into account. Included in column (c) are 79,050 shares remaining available to award under the Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors. Shares of the Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors are not original issue shares but are purchased by the Plan's trustee in the open market.

Additional information on the Equity Plan as well as the Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors is set forth in Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for fiscal year 2011.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (CD&A)

Fiscal Year 2011 Executive Summary

Earnings in fiscal year 2011 were the third highest in the Company's history. On a non-GAAP basis, net economic earnings (from continuing operations) were the highest in the Company's history. See footnote 2 on page 29 for an explanation of net economic earnings. The Company's operating results for fiscal year 2011 reflected improved performance at Laclede Gas Company, the Company's core utility subsidiary. The higher year-over-year earnings at Laclede Gas Company reflect higher net earnings from non-regulated sales of propane inventories no longer required to serve utility customers, a reduction in operating and maintenance expenses (excluding pension and group insurance expenses), and the general rate increase that went into effect September 1, 2010. These positive results were partially offset by lower earnings at Laclede Energy Resources, Inc. (LER), Laclede Group's non-regulated natural gas service provider. The decrease in LER earnings were primarily due to lower natural gas sales volumes and reduced margins, driven mainly by narrow regional price differentials and limited price volatility that have prevailed throughout the year and become the current norm in the marketplace. The Committee believes that the actions taken by the Company's CEO and management team throughout fiscal year 2011 were instrumental to the Company's results and positioned the Company for continued success.

The Committee has overseen the development of a pay for performance compensation program that rewards executives for results, such as in 2011. These programs are described more fully later in this CD&A, along with details on the compensation arrangements for the Company's new President, Ms. Suzanne Sitherwood. While Ms. Sitherwood is one of the named executive officers for fiscal year 2011, she joined the Company on September 1, 2011. Thus, most of the compensation components related directly to fiscal year 2011 performance do not apply to her. As a result, her compensation is covered separately in the CD&A on page 33.

The graph below evidences the Company's commitment to pay for performance. It compares basic net economic earnings per share to the Annual Incentive Plan (AIP) payments to the named executive officers, excluding Ms. Sitherwood, under the AIP. Basic net economic earnings per share is the key metric used in 2011 to determine funding under our AIP. The earnings in the graph are based on operations at the time of performance, except 2008 which excludes the sale and operations of SM&P Utility Resources, Inc.

Basic Net Economic EPS Compared to NEOs Annual Incentive Award

The Company also emphasizes pay for performance by placing a majority of the executives' target total direct compensation (TTDC) at risk through the annual and equity incentive plans. TTDC includes the current base salary, the 2011 target AIP opportunity, and the market value (target shares X grant date stock price) of the Equity Incentive Plan awards made during the fiscal year 2011. Further, the largest proportion of incentive pay, which is represented by the equity incentive award, focuses on long-term performance. The graph below shows the mix of fixed (base pay) and at risk pay. Additional details on long-term incentives can be found on page 30.

Fiscal 2011 TTDC Components

Moreover, the Committee regularly reviews the Company's executive compensation program as compared to best practices. In the past year, we obtained shareholder approval of the Company's annual incentive plan and equity incentive plan, as revised, to include potential recoupment and to require a termination following a change in control to accelerate nonvested equity awards that are not assumed or substituted by the successor company.

The Company believes that its responsible approach to executive compensation is reflected by the following provisions/features:

- Limits set on executive incentive compensation, which cap potential incentive awards at 150% of target;
- A majority of each named executive officer's long-term incentive opportunity (shares and value) is provided through performance-based shares;
- The Company does not enter into employment agreements or provide excise tax gross-ups;
- Benefits under the 2011 Management Continuity Protection Plan that are only triggered in the event of termination by the Company without cause or the participant's termination of his or her employment with the Company for good reason within 24 months after a change in control;
- The supplemental pension plans are traditional plans that cover the compensation not included in the qualified pension plan due solely to tax limitations, and do not otherwise factor in additional compensation or additional years of service;
- Stock ownership guidelines and retention requirements for executives and directors; and
- Accrual of dividends, rather than payment of dividends, on performance-based equity awards prior to vesting.

Further, the Company intends to adopt a recoupment policy after final guidance from the Securities and Exchange Commission so as to assure that the policy meets the requirements of the Dodd-Frank Act.

Compensation Overview & Philosophy

The Company's Compensation Committee (Committee) establishes the executive compensation philosophy and assists the Board in the development and oversight of all aspects of executive compensation.

Our pay for performance compensation philosophy promotes our corporate strategy, creates shareholder value, and remains equitable for the Company, its executives and its shareholders. The Committee believes that its compensation practices reflect a responsible pay for performance culture. The Committee seeks to deliver a total compensation package that balances short-term and longer-term compensation opportunities to ensure that the Company meets short-term objectives while continuing to produce value for its shareholders over the long-term. The Committee also promotes a fair and competitive compensation program designed to attract, motivate and retain key executives.

Role of the Compensation Committee

In implementing and administering the Company's compensation philosophy, the Committee:

- Evaluates the design and administration of each component of executive compensation;
- Analyzes total compensation for executives through reviews of tally sheets;
- Adjusts compensation as needed to reflect the execution of, or changes to, our corporate strategy and the creation of shareholder value;
- Reviews Company performance and the degree of attainment of pre-established performance goals for the executives;
- Considers executive compensation data provided by its Consultant from various sources, including published surveys from major independent compensation survey providers containing market data from general industry and energy/utilities industry companies, and publicly available data from our comparator group made up of companies of similar size and industry, to assess the competitiveness of the Company's compensation and opportunities;
- Approves or recommends executive compensation matters to the independent members of the Board;
- Reviews results of Internal Audit's review of the annual financial results for incentive compensation purposes and the CEO's expenses during the past year; and
- Reviews management's risk assessment of the compensation program.

The Committee also considered the results of the advisory say-on-pay proposal in the prior year when making compensation decisions. At the last meeting of shareholders, a vast majority (91%) of the shares voted approved the compensation program described in the Company's 2010 proxy statement. The Committee reviews executive compensation and considers if any changes are appropriate at each Committee meeting. The considered judgment of the Committee, which included taking into account the support from the shareholder vote, was that no changes were warranted.

Role of the Compensation Consultant

In fiscal year 2011, the Committee continued its retention of Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. (Consultant) to serve as its consultant. Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. is an independent firm, providing only compensation consulting services to the Committee. The Consultant reports to the Committee and attends most Committee meetings either in person or by phone. Specifically, the Consultant advises the Committee on performance metrics and linkage between pay and performance, keeps the Committee informed of current industry and market trends, makes recommendations to the Committee on companies to consider as a comparator group, presents findings relative to the competitiveness of the Company's executive compensation, and assists in the analysis of the results of the compensation risk assessment. However, the Committee and the Board retain all decision-making authority.

Role of the CEO in Compensation Matters

The CEO makes recommendations to the Committee on compensation changes for all Company officers (other than himself). The CEO does not play any role in the establishment of his own compensation opportunity. Each year the CEO provides the Committee with:

- Evaluation of the performance of all Company officers;
- Recommendations for base salary adjustments for those officers;
- Recommendations for promotions, as appropriate;
- Review of the individual objectives for those officers in the Annual Incentive Plan;
- Recommendations for awards under the Annual Incentive Plan based on each officer's performance documentation of which is reviewed by Internal Audit; and
- Recommendations for equity grants under the Equity Incentive Plan to those officers, based on each officer's performance and role in executing the corporate strategy to build long-term shareholder value.

Our Named Executive Officers

The Company's named executive officers for fiscal year 2011 consisted of the following individuals:

- Mr. Douglas H. Yaeger, our Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board;
- Mr. Mark D. Waltermire, our Chief Financial Officer;
- Ms. Suzanne Sitherwood, our President, hired on September 1, 2011;
- Mr. Mark C. Darrell, our General Counsel; and
- Mr. Michael R. Spotanski, our Senior Vice President of Operations and Marketing of Laclede Gas.

Components of Executive Compensation

The Company's executive compensation program is comprised of the following five components:

- Base salary;
- Short-term incentives;
- Long-term incentives;
- Post-termination compensation; and
- Other Company provided benefits.

The Committee uses the processes described in this CD&A to determine the appropriate balance among the components of executive compensation that will result in an effective total compensation package. It does not solely rely on the use of formulaic, targeted allocations between cash and equity-based compensation or annual and long-term incentive compensation. Instead the Committee evaluates a number of relevant and important factors, as described below, to determine pay levels that allow the Company to recruit, retain, and motivate the executive talent needed to successfully manage and grow the business.

As a result of the efforts to attract, motivate and retain Ms. Sitherwood, some parts of her total compensation are unique, including the severance benefit agreement that the Company entered into with her. Since Ms. Sitherwood's date of hire was in the final month of the fiscal year, most compensation components related directly to fiscal year 2011 performance do not apply to her. Thus, Ms. Sitherwood's compensation

is not discussed throughout the various sections of this CD&A. Instead, details are covered separately in this CD&A on page 33.

Comparator Group

Each year the Committee evaluates a number of factors when determining executive compensation levels to help ensure that pay opportunities being delivered to our executive officers are competitive with labor markets in which the Company competes for talent, including market data from surveys and other publicly available sources. While the Committee reviews the data to see how the Company's executive compensation levels compare to the labor market, decisions are not guided solely by such data as the Committee's focus is to make competent decisions uniquely appropriate for the Company. If any meaningful deviations from the market data are identified, the Committee reviews further to ensure that there is a rationale to support its decisions.

To assist the Committee in its annual market review of executive officer compensation, the Consultant prepares an analysis of the market competitiveness of compensation for each officer. The Consultant's analysis uses a combination of survey and peer company pay information to establish competitive market rates for base salary and annual and long-term incentives for the officer positions to ensure our competitive market data is as robust, reliable and objective as possible on an on-going basis. For 2011 compensation decisions, the Committee used the following sources to develop an understanding of the compensation paid to officers holding similar positions:

1. Comparator group data, as disclosed publicly, from AGL Resources Inc., Atmos Energy Corporation, New Jersey Resources Corporation, Nicor Inc., Northwest Natural Gas Company, Piedmont Natural Gas Company, South Jersey Industries, Inc., Southwest Gas Corporation, UGI Corporation and WGL Holdings, Inc., which provides information regarding the pay levels and programs at the companies competing in our industry, with whom we compete for executive talent;
2. American Gas Association (AGA) annual compensation survey, which provides an additional broader source of reliable and consistent industry-specific data; and
3. Third party survey data from multiple Towers Watson databases (e.g. General Industry and Energy Service Industry surveys), which provides an additional market reference for consideration.

Of the sources listed above, the Committee places more emphasis on our direct comparator group and broader energy industry sources.

The AGA compensation survey and Towers Watson data are proprietary third party surveys, and the specific identity of respondents for any given position is not provided to the Company. Because of the large variance in size among participating companies within the third-party surveys, the Consultant conducted analyses, including regression, to adjust the compensation data for differences in revenue size. These adjustments are necessary to allow for appropriate size comparisons between our Company and the participating companies in the third-party surveys. The adjusted data was used as the basis for comparison between us and the companies participating in the third-party surveys.

For each executive, the Committee considers a target total compensation to see if there is sufficient compensation to retain and motivate the executive. If adjustments are needed to create greater alignment with the Company's compensation philosophy stated earlier in this CD&A, or to reflect unique circumstances at the Company, the Committee evaluates each component of total target direct compensation (base salary, short-term incentive and long-term incentive targets) to determine where such adjustments may be required. In addition, the Committee considers other subjective factors in its compensation decisions, such as individual performance, experience, future potential, and expertise.

Base Salary

The Company's base salary program is designed to provide competitive salaries to attract and retain key executive talent and to reward leadership effectiveness. Base salaries for executive officers are generally based on the factors deemed relevant by the Company (none of which is given any particular weight), including the following:

- The executive's job responsibilities and performance in his or her position;
- The executive's level of experience and expertise in a given area;
- The executive's role in developing and executing corporate strategy;
- The executive's current leadership and future potential; and
- A comparison of salaries for similarly situated positions.

After considering these factors and corporate performance in fiscal year 2010, the Committee in January 2011 approved merit increases in the salaries for the named executive officers, except for Ms. Sitherwood, ranging from 2.99% - 4.32%, effective February 1, 2011. In addition to consideration of merit-based increases, the Committee also reviews the market pay data and determines whether to make certain market adjustments to base salaries that, when combined with short-term incentives and long-term incentives, produce total target direct compensation that is consistent with the stated compensation philosophy. After reviewing Mr. Waltermire's total compensation compared to Chief Financial Officers with similar experience at peer companies, the Committee approved an additional 9.4% market adjustment increase to Mr. Waltermire's salary, effective August 1, 2011.

Short-term Incentives

Annual Incentive Plan

The Company's Annual Incentive Plan (AIP) is designed to motivate and reward participants for short-term, annual results tied to corporate financial, operational and customer objectives, as well as to individual performance objectives. At the beginning of each fiscal year, the Board reviews and sets key performance indicators for the AIP based on the corporate business and strategic plan for the upcoming year. The level of attainment of the key performance indicators, which is calculated after completion of the fiscal year, determines the extent to which the AIP is funded. After completion of the fiscal year, the Committee, with assistance from the Company's Internal Audit department, determines first the extent to which the AIP is funded based upon corporate performance for the year, and if corporate performance is sufficient to fund awards, the extent to which each executive shall be rewarded based on individual performance. Awards for executives are based on the following formula:

[AIP Target Opportunity] X [Individual Performance Factor] X [Company Performance Factor].

The AIP Target Opportunity is a dollar value expressed as a percentage of the participant's base salary, and the actual award is based on performance against pre-defined goals and objectives. The maximum award for an executive is 150% of target. The Committee seeks to establish AIP Target Opportunities at levels that, when combined with base salary and long-term incentives, produce total target direct compensation that is consistent with the stated compensation philosophy. The AIP Target Opportunity percentages were approved by the Committee following an assessment by its Consultant of the total target direct compensation packages for the executive officers. Individual Performance Factor is the weighted percentage of performance achievement of the individual's objectives. Individual objectives for each named executive officer are set at the beginning of the fiscal year. These objectives are related to each executive's functional area of responsibility and are aligned with the corporate strategy.

Edgar Filing: LACLEDE GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

Company Performance Factor is the percentage of the AIP pool that is funded based on the corporate-level performance measures that are set at the beginning of the fiscal year. For fiscal year 2011, the Board set the following corporate-level performance measures and weightings and determined the actual performance level attained:

Performance Measure	Weighting	Potential Performance Levels/Funding Rate			Actual Performance Level
		Threshold	Target	High Performance	
Earnings Per Share	70%	\$2.30	\$2.65	\$3.00	\$2.80(2)
Customer Satisfaction Survey (as measured by RDA(3))	15%	Improvement made in 2011 over 2010 in 2 of the 4 key drivers of customer satisfaction	Improvement made in 2011 over 2010 in 3 of the 4 key drivers of customer satisfaction	Improvement made in 2011 over 2010 in all 4 key drivers of customer satisfaction	Improvement made in 2011 over 2010 in 3 of the 4 key drivers of customer satisfaction
Aggregate Attainment of Strategic Milestones	15%	60%	80%	100%	90%

(1) Maximum funding level.

(2) Represents basic net economic earnings per share. Net economic earnings exclude from net income the after-tax impacts of net unrealized gains and losses on energy-related derivatives that are required by GAAP fair value accounting. This adjustment eliminates the impact of timing differences related to current changes in the fair value of financial and physical transactions prior to their completion and settlement. Management believes that excluding the earnings volatility caused by recognizing changes in fair value prior to settlement provides a useful representation of the economic impact of only the actual settled transactions and their effects on results of operations. These internal non-GAAP operating metrics should not be considered as an alternative to, or more meaningful than, GAAP measures. More information regarding net economic earnings can be found in our annual report on Form 10-K in the Earnings Section of our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

(3) RDA Group is an independent global market research and consulting company.

The table below indicates for the named executive officers, their range of payout opportunities for fiscal year 2011, their target opportunities and the awards paid in November 2011 based on Company and individual performance results:

	Threshold Performance (1)	Target Performance (1)		High Performance (1)	Actual AIP Award (1)	
Yaeger	50%	75%	\$ 487,500	100%	92%	\$ 600,000
Waltermire	20%	40%	101,800	60%	55%	141,000
Darrell	20%	40%	103,120	60%	47%	122,000
Spotanski	20%	40%	95,800	60%	54%	130,000

(1) Represents percentage of 9/30/10 base salary.

Performance status is reviewed periodically during the year and the Committee reviews and approves the final evaluation shortly after the end of the fiscal year. Some discretionary adjustments may be applied where appropriate, and there are instances where objectives may be modified during the year, when warranted.

After evaluating the performance of the Company and the named executive officers in fiscal year 2011, the Board approved an annual incentive award for each of the officers. The award for Mr. Yaeger reflected his development and implementation of strategic objectives and his leadership role in achieving the third highest earnings in the history of the Company. The award for Mr. Waltermire reflected his success in negotiating new five year revolving loan agreements for the Company and Laclede Gas, as well as the selection and continued implementation of the Company's enterprise resource planning system. Mr. Darrell's award reflected his role in providing legal support and review for the Company's realignment of gas supply assets. Mr. Spotanski's award reflected his continuing leadership role and success in driving operating efficiencies throughout the core utility subsidiary, as well as his oversight of the development of the Company's disaster response plan.

Recognition Awards

During the past year, the Board actively pursued a successor to Mr. Yaeger, considering both internal and external candidates. At the conclusion of its process, the Board determined that Ms. Sitherwood was the appropriate person for the position at this point in time. The Board wanted to ensure that Ms. Sitherwood would inherit an intact leadership team and wanted to recognize Messrs. Waltermire and Spotanski for their excellent contributions and service to Laclede. Thus, the Board approved one-time cash recognition awards in July 2011, each in the amount of \$100,000, to Messrs. Waltermire and Spotanski in recognition of their past and continued leadership commitment to Laclede. These awards will vest on September 30, 2012 and shall be payable within 30 days thereafter.

Long-term Incentives
2006 Equity Incentive Plan

The Company provides long-term incentive compensation under its 2006 Equity Incentive Plan to further align the interests of executives with shareholders and the long-term strategy, as well as provide a retention incentive. The Committee approved equity grants with approximately 75% of the value of long-term incentives being awarded as performance contingent restricted awards (PCRAs) and approximately 25% of the value being awarded in time-vested restricted shares. The time-vested restricted shares are believed to contribute to share ownership and serve as an effective retention vehicle.

Fiscal Year 2011 Grants

On December 1, 2010, the Committee granted time-vested restricted shares and PCRAs ("2011 PCRAs") to 19 executives, including all of the named executive officers, except for Ms. Sitherwood. Both the time-vested restricted shares and the PCRAs are included in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table in this proxy statement. The time-vested restricted shares fully vest on the third anniversary of the grant date if the recipient continues employment with the Company through that date.

The PCRAs consist of restricted stock shares and restricted stock units that vest upon the attainment of multi-year performance results. The PCRAs include threshold, target and high performance levels that may be earned for each metric. The threshold level is 50% of the target level and high performance level is 150% of the target level. Restricted stock shares are granted up to the target level of the award and restricted stock units are granted for the award opportunity above target. Dividends on PCRAs will accrue throughout the performance period and only be paid to the participant in proportion to the number of shares actually earned at vesting, up to the amount of dividends that would be paid on the target number of shares. No interest is paid on the accrued dividends. Participants are entitled to vote the shares at the target level prior to vesting.

The performance criteria for the 2011 PCRAs are cumulative three-year average GAAP earnings per share growth over fiscal years 2011 – 2013 and business portfolio development metrics that are weighted at 80% and 20%, respectively. Performance under the cumulative average earnings per share growth metric is measured by calculating the three-year average. In the first year of the performance period, earnings per share were \$2.87.

Earnings per share for fiscal years 2012 and 2013 will be added to the 2011 earnings per share amounts for calculating the three-year average earnings per share to determine if performance at any level has been achieved. Performance under the business portfolio development metric is determined by measuring the level of organic earnings and/or investments or acquisitions made in new businesses during the three-year performance period. While we continue to explore various development options, no acquisitions or investments were made in fiscal year 2011.

To further emphasize the focus on building shareholder value, the Committee included a modifier to the 2011 grant of PCRAs based on a review of the Company's relative total shareholder return compared to the previously identified comparator group over the three-year performance period. The awards may be subject to forfeiture of up to 25% if relative total shareholder return is below the median of the comparator group. When performance falls between threshold, target, or high, the Committee uses straight-line interpolation. For examples of how this interpolation works, see Description and Example of Interpolation on page 52.

The performance targets are considered to be confidential and competitive information, particularly to the extent the performance targets relate to projected Company financial data and strategy, neither of which the Company publicly discloses. The Committee believes that targeted levels of performance for the equity incentive plan grants are challenging and will not be achieved all of the time. The Committee also believes, at the time the performance goals were set, that high performance is set at levels that would require exceptional performance that is very difficult to achieve. Fiscal years 2009 – 2011 is the only period with relatively comparable equity incentive plan metrics to the fiscal years 2011 – 2013 performance objectives. An analysis of the fiscal years 2009 – 2011 targets compared to actual performance, which was below target, is covered later in this section.

With regard to the Compensation Committee's certification of performance, the Committee, which is comprised solely of independent directors, reviews and discusses the calculations of the metrics to verify compliance with the terms of the award agreement, determines the level of performance achieved and the number of shares earned. The Committee then approves a resolution that reflects the Committee's determinations. The PCRAs will vest upon the latter of the Committee's certification as to performance or the third anniversary of the grant. Vesting in Fiscal Year 2011 of Shares Granted in Fiscal Year 2009

In November 2008, the Committee granted time-vested restricted shares and PCRAs to 16 current officers, including all named executive officers, except for Ms. Sitherwood. The time-vested restricted shares vested on November 5, 2011, which is the third anniversary of the grant date.

The PCRAs were granted for the performance period of fiscal years 2009 – 2011. The vesting of those awards was contingent upon the attainment of GAAP earnings per share growth and business portfolio development over the three-year performance period. The awards also included a modifier through which the Committee may reduce the award by up to 25% if relative total shareholder return was below the median of the comparator group.

Total Shareholder Return (TSR) for the Company and for comparator companies was calculated as:

Total Share Value at the end of the Performance Period	MINUS	Average Share Price Immediately Prior to the Grant
Average Share Price Immediately Prior to the Grant.		

Total Share Value at the end of the Performance Period is calculated as the average share price for the last quarter of the performance period (7/1/11 – 9/30/11) plus the value of reinvested dividends. Average Share Price Immediately Prior to the Grant is calculated using the average share price for the last quarter immediately prior to the grant (7/1/08 – 9/30/08).

Edgar Filing: LACLEDE GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

In reviewing the Company's performance over the period and in accordance with the terms of the Equity Incentive Plan, the Committee determined the Company's performance level at the end of the period by averaging the continuing operations earnings per share at the time of performance for fiscal years 2009, 2010 and 2011, all on a GAAP basis. The metrics and actual performance for these PCRA's were as follows:

	Weighting	Threshold Performance	Target Performance	High Performance	Actual Performance
Three Year Average EPS	80%	\$2.50	\$2.65	\$2.75	\$2.74
Portfolio Development	20%	Investment of \$25 million or earnings added of \$.05/share	Investment of \$50 million or earnings added of \$.10/share	Investment of \$75 million or earnings added of \$.15/share	Below Threshold \$0 Investment

The Company's total shareholder return was below the median, and the Committee chose to apply the discretionary modifier and reduce each award by 25%. The Committee certified these performance outcomes, resulting in a total of 27,405 PCRA shares vesting (representing 87% of target) for the named executive officers. A total of 10,000 time-vested restricted shares also vested for the named executive officers. The table below shows the number of shares that vested on November 5, 2011 for each named executive officer:

	PCRA's	Time-vested
Yaeger	14,355	5,500
Waltermire	4,350	1,500
Darrell	4,350	1,500
Spotanski	4,350	1,500

Incentive Compensation Plan

Laclede Gas Company offers an Incentive Compensation Plan that was designed to provide a long-term incentive for certain senior executives. The plan provided for the award of share units with two payment features: quarterly dividend equivalent payments and an annual deferred compensation amount based on changes in consolidated retained earnings. The Laclede Gas Incentive Compensation Plan was frozen in 2003. Under the plan, Mr. Yaeger is currently the only active employee participant and he has 73,465 fully vested share units. There are also other retired executives receiving benefits under this plan. The dividend equivalents and deferred compensation amounts are reflected in the all other compensation and non-equity incentive plan compensation columns, respectively, of the Summary Compensation Table.

Post-Termination Compensation

The Company believes it is important to provide officers the payment of benefits in the event of a termination, resignation or retirement after a change in control, particularly since it generally does not enter into employment agreements. As a result, various Company plans include provisions relative to vesting or payments in the event of a termination after a change in control. More details on these various provisions are discussed later in the Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control section.

The Company's Management Continuity Protection Plan provides for lump sum severance payments if an officer's employment is terminated for any reason (other than death, disability or for actions involving moral turpitude) within a certain period following a change in control. As noted in the executive summary, the Company adopted a new plan for those first becoming officers on and after January 1, 2011. These changes include a provision that the Company must terminate the executive's employment other than for cause, or the executive must terminate employment for good reason, following a change in control in order to trigger the benefit. Furthermore, the coverage period was reduced to 24 months after a change in control for all new participants. For more discussion of the details of these plan provisions, see the discussion in Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control below.

President's Compensation

In connection with Mr. Yaeger's previously announced transition to retirement, he relinquished his title as President of the Company on September 1, 2011 to Ms. Suzanne Sitherwood, formerly the President of Atlanta Gas Light, Chattanooga Gas and Florida City Gas, as well as Senior Vice President of Southern Operations for AGL Resources, Inc. She is expected to succeed him as Chief Executive Officer, effective February 1, 2012. Since Ms. Sitherwood's date of hire was in the final month of the fiscal year, most executive compensation components discussed above, related directly to fiscal year 2011 performance, do not apply to her. The following is a discussion of her compensation arrangements.

Ms. Sitherwood's annual base salary is \$550,000 and she received a sign-on bonus of \$200,000 which was paid during the first 30 days of her employment. This sign on bonus is subject to recoupment if Ms. Sitherwood is terminated for cause within the first 18 months of employment. She will participate in the Annual Incentive Plan with target and maximum awards of 75% and 100% of base salary, respectively. In lieu of participating in the fiscal year 2011 Annual Incentive Plan, she received a cash bonus of \$34,375 based on a pro-rata Annual Incentive Plan target opportunity for her.

With regard to the Company's 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, on September 1, 2011 she received a grant of 7,000 time-vested restricted stock units that vest ratably over three years. She also received a grant of 10,000 performance contingent restricted stock units, which will vest after two years of employment and the satisfaction of certain performance metrics. The performance metrics provide for (a) 50% vesting if the Company's average stock price for any period of three consecutive calendar months exceeds by 10% the Company's average stock price for the three-month period of September 1, 2011 through November 30, 2011 or (b) 100% vesting if the Company's average stock price for any period of three consecutive calendar months exceeds by 15% the Company's average stock price for the three-month period of September 1, 2011 through November 30, 2011. These performance awards expire September 1, 2016.

The Company and Ms. Sitherwood also entered into a severance benefit agreement as of September 1, 2011, which expires September 1, 2014 and addresses benefits payable with or without a change in control upon her termination without cause or upon her resignation for good reason, each such case referred to as a "qualifying termination." Effective September 1, 2014, she will be eligible to participate in the Company's Management Continuity Protection Plan. For more discussion on the details of the provisions in this agreement, see the Potential Payments Upon Termination and Change in Control section later in this proxy.

Ms. Sitherwood's total compensation package was designed to attract her and to provide an immediate meaningful linkage between her compensation outcome and our shareholders' experience. When constructing this package, the Committee also considered the compensation and benefits Ms. Sitherwood would forfeit from her prior employer.

Executive Salary Protection Plan

The Executive Salary Protection Plan entitles the designated beneficiaries of a participating executive officer to receive certain payments upon the executive officer's death. The amount of the payment is determined based upon the annual salary of the executive officer, whether the executive officer was actively employed or retired and the age of the executive officer, all as of the time of the executive officer's death. If the executive officer dies prior to retirement, his beneficiary receives, payable monthly, an aggregate amount equal to his annual salary at death for the first year following the officer's death. In each of the nine years following that first year, his beneficiary receives, payable monthly, an aggregate amount equal to ½ his annual salary at death. If the officer dies after retirement, but before age 70, his beneficiary receives, payable in four equal installments, an aggregate amount equal to twice the officer's annual salary at retirement. If the officer dies after retirement and after age 70, his beneficiary receives, payable in two equal installments, an aggregate amount equal to the officer's annual salary at retirement. Mr. Yaeger is currently the only active employee participant in this plan.

The Company provides a life insurance benefit for the other named executive officers in an amount equal to: (i) double their base salary up to a maximum benefit of \$500,000 while they are employed by the Company and prior to age 65, (ii) 65% of double their base salary while they are employed on and after age 65 but before age 70, (iii) 1 times their base salary after retirement but before age 70, and (iv) ½ times their base salary after age 70. The costs for this coverage are included in the All Other Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table.

Deferred Income Plans

Since 1986, the Company has offered its directors, officers and certain key employees the opportunity to defer income under deferred income plans. More details on the plan are provided in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation section later in this proxy statement.

Other Company Provided Benefits

The Company believes retirement, health and welfare benefits serve an important role in the total compensation and benefits package offered to employees to assist in attracting and retaining key talent. The Company, through its subsidiary, Laclede Gas Company, provides both Company-paid and voluntary health and welfare programs. The programs are reviewed periodically pursuant to the Company's intent to be competitive within the industry in terms of total compensation.

Retirement Plans

The Company offers its employees defined contribution 401(k) plans that provide Company matches for all employees, including the named executive officers. Except for Ms. Sitherwood who was not an eligible participant prior to the end of the fiscal year, all of the named executive officers participated in the Laclede Gas Company Salary Deferral Savings Plan in 2011.

Laclede Gas Company provides a qualified defined benefit retirement plan for its employees. Benefit payments under this plan are based upon a participant's "average final compensation" and years of service. Effective January 1, 2009, the Company froze the years of service component of the formula and introduced a new qualified defined benefit retirement formula derived from cash balance pay credits based on a participant's age. Laclede Gas Company also provides nonqualified supplemental retirement plan benefits. More details relative to these plans are included in the Pension Benefits and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation sections later in this proxy statement.

Perquisites

As a matter of business philosophy, the Company provides limited perquisites or personal benefits to executive officers (including the CEO). These limited perquisites include the personal use of a Company automobile, parking at the Company headquarters, spousal travel to industry associations that encourage spousal attendance, club memberships that are used primarily for business purposes, and Company paid annual physical exams. When an executive, such as Ms. Sitherwood, must relocate, perquisites may also include the payment of relocation expenses as well as the associated tax obligations. The Company will reimburse Ms. Sitherwood for certain relocation related expenses, not to exceed \$45,833.

Tax Implications of the Committee's Compensation Decisions

The Committee considers Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code in the design of incentive plans to preserve the corporate tax deductibility of compensation. In some circumstances, however, the Committee recognizes that factors other than tax deductibility should be considered in determining the forms and levels of executive compensation most appropriate and in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders. Annually, the Committee reviews all compensation programs and payments, including the tax impact on the Company.

Accounting Information

The Company accounts for equity incentive grants under FASB ASC Topic 718 and uses the binomial option-pricing model to estimate the fair value of awards of stock options. The fair value of restricted stock awards is estimated using the closing price of the Company's common stock on the grant date or, for those with the total shareholder return modifier, as valued by a Monte Carlo simulation model that assesses probabilities of various outcomes of market conditions. Each year an independent third party runs the Monte Carlo simulation, which is subject to the audit procedures of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. During fiscal year 2011, the Company did not make any modifications to equity grants that resulted in a re-measurement of expense under the accounting rules.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with Company management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis ("CD&A") section included in this proxy statement. Based on this review and discussion, the Committee recommended to the Board (and the Board has approved) that the CD&A be included in this proxy statement and incorporated by reference in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Arnold W. Donald, Chairman

Edward L. Glotzbach

Anthony V. Leness

Brenda D. Newberry

John P. Stupp, Jr.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Summary Compensation Table

The table that follows presents information about compensation for the Company's named executive officers for the last fiscal year. During fiscal year 2011, Mr. Yaeger announced his intention to retire effective February 1, 2012 and as part of that transition the Board appointed Ms. Suzanne Sitherwood as President of the Company effective September 1, 2011.

Salary – Salary includes amounts earned in the most recent fiscal year. The Board considers adjustments to salaries of officers at its January meeting after the appointment of officers. Adjustments are usually effective February 1, but they may be considered at other times. The amounts in this column also include any amounts of salary that the named executive officer may have deferred under the Laclede Gas Company Salary Deferral Savings Plan and deferred income plans. Salary deferred under the deferred income plans also appears in the “Executive Contributions in Last FY” column of the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table later in this proxy statement.

Bonus – The amounts in this column represent sign-on or discretionary bonuses. Amounts under the Company's Annual Incentive Plan are reported in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column.

Stock Awards – The amounts in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value calculated using the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 718. For those shares subject to performance based conditions, the value reflects the probable outcome as of the grant date.

Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation – This column includes incentive payments earned by the named executive officers under the Annual Incentive Plan, as well as deferred compensation amounts earned under the Laclede Gas Incentive Compensation Plan. Further details relative to the Plan are in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

With regard to the Laclede Gas Incentive Compensation Plan, share units were awarded that provide for the payment of dividend equivalents and the accrual of annual deferred compensation amounts. The amounts in this column represent the accruals of deferred compensation amounts in 2011. The dividend equivalent payments are in the All Other Compensation column. The plan has been frozen as to new participants and no awards have been made since 2002. Of the named executive officers, only Mr. Yaeger has outstanding awards under this plan and is fully vested in his award of 73,465 share units. He will forfeit all rights to the share units, however, if his employment ends for any reason other than retirement, death, disability or resignation following a hostile change in control of the Company. Because Mr. Yaeger is fully vested, he, and his spouse after his death, is entitled to continue receiving dividend equivalent payments for life. The deferred compensation amounts are payable upon retirement in ten annual installments with interest credited after retirement based on the then current prime rate. These amounts are also included in the “Company Contributions in Last FY” column of the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table later in this proxy statement.

Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings – This column includes the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of the named officers' accumulated benefit under the Employees' Retirement Plan of Laclede Gas Company and the Supplemental Retirement Plans, as well as the above market or preferential earnings in fiscal year 2011 on deferrals in the Deferred Income Plans.

Summary Compensation Table

Name	Year	Salary	Bonus	Stock Awards(1)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation(2)	Change in	All Other Compensation(4)	Total
						Pension Value And Nonqualified Deferred Compensation(3)		
Yaeger	2011	\$ 663,333	\$ -	\$ 732,586	\$ 691,831	\$ 273,952	\$ 170,613	\$ 2,532,315
Chairman of the Board, & CEO	2010	643,333	-	611,999	421,445	453,417	160,480	2,290,674
	2009	623,333	-	1,083,404	702,116	1,399,223	246,142	4,054,218
Waltermire	2011	266,000	-	216,008	141,000	243,045	24,318	890,371
Chief Financial Officer	2010	247,167	-	180,398	95,450	316,876	17,617	857,508
	2009	227,833	-	319,823	116,440	336,361	49,404	1,049,861
Sitherwood								
President	2011	45,833	234,375	553,328	-	35	12,736	846,307
Darrell	2011	262,933	-	216,008	122,000	61,474	26,875	689,290
General Counsel	2010	255,533	-	180,398	71,930	90,726	26,941	625,528
	2009	248,167	-	319,823	128,520	119,527	52,426	868,463
Spotanski	2011	246,167	-	216,008	130,000	235,685	21,838	849,698
Sr. Vice President of Operations & Marketing, Laclede Gas	2010	237,167	-	180,398	83,100	372,278	24,194	897,137
	2009	227,833	-	319,823	120,620	435,480	48,385	1,152,141

(1) See Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Stock-Based Compensation, of the consolidated financial statements in the Company's annual reports on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2009 and Notes 3 and 4, Stock-Based Compensation, of the consolidated financial statements for the years ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2011, respectively, for discussions regarding the manner in which the fair value of these awards are calculated, including assumptions used. The maximum amount for the performance based shares for the named executive officers are as follows:

	2011	2010	2009
Yaeger	\$959,324	\$795,052	\$1,447,460
Waltermire	284,714	235,866	430,141
Sitherwood	553,328	-	-
Darrell	284,714	235,866	430,141
Spotanski	284,714	235,866	430,141

- (2) In fiscal year 2011, the named executives earned awards under the Annual Incentive Plan; and Mr. Yaeger accrued deferred compensation amounts under the Laclede Gas Company Incentive Compensation Plan and Laclede Gas Company Incentive Compensation Plan II, which represents the incentive compensation plan as modified to comply with section 409A of the Code. The details are below:

	Annual Incentive Plan	Incentive Compensation Plan Deferred Compensation Amounts	Total
	Award Earned	Accrued	
Yaeger	\$600,000	\$91,831	\$691,831
Waltermire	141,000	-	141,000
Sitherwood	-	-	-
Darrell	122,000	-	122,000
Spotanski	130,000	-	130,000

- (3) The amounts shown below in the Above-Market Interest column are also included in the amounts in the Aggregate Earnings in Last FY column of the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table for the deferred income plans.

	Increase in Pension Value	Above-Market Interest	Total
	Yaeger	\$ 234,313	
Waltermire	241,606	1,439	243,045
Sitherwood	-	35	35
Darrell	59,804	1,670	61,474
Spotanski	230,528	5,157	235,685

- (4) The table below provides details on the amounts included in this column:

	401(k) Match	Dividend Equivalents under Laclede Gas Incentive		Total
		Compensation Plan	Perquisites	
Yaeger	\$ 16,175	\$ 119,013	\$35,425	\$170,613
Waltermire	12,403	-	11,915	24,318
Sitherwood	-	-	12,736	12,736
Darrell	12,288	-	14,587	26,875
Spotanski	12,284	-	9,554	21,838

Perquisites include personal use of sports boxes, Company automobiles, and club memberships not used wholly for business purposes; life insurance premiums, physical exams, parking, and spousal travel. For Ms. Sitherwood, this includes reimbursement for medical premiums and moving expenses. Values for perquisites are based on aggregate incremental costs to the Company and its subsidiaries. No individual perquisite exceeds \$25,000.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The plans under which grants in the table below were made are generally described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis in the Annual Incentive Plan and Equity Incentive Plan sections. Under the Annual Incentive Plan, grants of potential awards are typically made in November with the determinations of earned award amounts made in the following October based upon individual and corporate performance in the most recently completed fiscal year. Equity awards are generally considered for award in October each year, with the grant date being the fourth business day after the earnings release for the fiscal year is issued. Under the Equity Incentive Plan, performance awards, stock appreciation rights, stock options, restricted stock grants, and restricted stock units may be awarded.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

Name	Grant Date	Action Date	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(2)			All Other Stock Awards(3)	Grant Date Fair Value(4)
			Threshold	Target	Maximum	(In Shares)				
						Threshold	Target	Maximum(5)		
Yaeger	11/17/10	11/17/10	\$325,000	\$487,500	\$650,000					
	12/1/10	11/17/10				8,250	16,500	24,750	5,500	\$732,586
Waltermire	11/17/10	11/17/10	50,900	101,800	152,700					
	12/1/10	11/17/10				2,500	5,000	7,500	1,500	216,008
Sitherwood	9/1/11	6/21/11	-	-	-	5,000	10,000	-	7,000	553,328
Darrell	11/17/10	11/17/10	51,560	103,120	154,680					
	12/1/10	11/17/10				2,500				