Edgar Filing: Brookfield Global Listed Infrastructure Income Fund Inc. - Form N-CSR

Brookfield Global Listed Infrastructure Income Fund Inc. Form N-CSR March 07, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED

MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-22570

BROOKFIELD GLOBAL LISTED INFRASTRUCTURE INCOME FUND INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

BROOKFIELD PLACE

250 VESEY STREET, 15th Floor

NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10281-1023

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

KIM G. REDDING, PRESIDENT

BROOKFIELD GLOBAL LISTED INFRASTRUCTURE INCOME FUND INC.

BROOKFIELD PLACE

250 VESEY STREET, 15th Floor

NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10281-1023

(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (855) 777-8001

Date of fiscal year end: December 31, 2013

Date of reporting period: December 31, 2013

Item 1. Reports to Shareholders.

Item 2. Code of Ethics.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Registrant had adopted a Code of Ethics for Principal Executive and Principal Financial Officers (the Code). There were no amendments to or waivers from the Code during the period covered by this report. A copy of the Registrant s Code will be provided upon request to any person without charge by contacting Investor Relations at (855) 777-8001 or by writing to Secretary, Brookfield Global Listed Infrastructure Income Fund Inc., Brookfield Place, 250 Vesey Street, 15th Floor, New York, NY 10281-1023.

Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert.

The Registrant s Board of Directors has determined that three members serving on the Registrant s audit committee are audit committee financial experts. Their names are Messrs. Rodman L. Drake, Edward A. Kuczmarski, Stuart A. McFarland and Louis P. Salvatore. Messrs. Rodman L. Drake, Edward A. Kuczmarski, Stuart A. McFarland and Louis P. Salvatore are each independent.

Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

Audit Fees

For each fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, Deloitte & Touche LLP billed the Registrant aggregate fees of \$50,000 and \$60,000, respectively. Each bill is for professional services rendered for the audit of the Registrant s annual financial statements and the review of financial statements that are included in the Registrant s annual and semi-annual reports to stockholders.

Tax Fees

For each fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, Deloitte & Touche LLP billed the Registrant aggregate fees of \$6,000 and \$6,000, respectively. Each bill is for professional services rendered for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning. The nature of the services comprising the Tax Fees was the review of the Registrant s income tax returns and tax distribution requirements.

Audit-Related Fees

For the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Audit-related fees were \$0 and \$3,963, respectively.

All Other Fees

For the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were no Other Fees.

Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrant.

The Registrant has a separately-designated standing Audit Committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Registrant s Audit Committee members include Messrs. Rodman L. Drake, Edward A. Kuczmarski, Stuart A. McFarland and Louis P. Salvatore.

Item 6. Schedule of Investments.

Please see Item 1.

Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies.

BROOKFIELD INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT INC.

PORTFOLIO PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

May 2012

The Portfolio Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures (the Policies and Procedures) set forth the proxy voting policies, procedures and guidelines to be followed by Brookfield Investment Management Inc. and its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, BIM) in voting portfolio proxies relating to securities that are held in the portfolios of the investment companies or other clients (Clients) for which BIM has been delegated such proxy voting authority.

A. Proxy Voting Committee

BIM s internal proxy voting committee (the Committee) is responsible for overseeing the proxy voting process and ensuring that BIM meets its regulatory and corporate governance obligations in voting of portfolio proxies.

The Committee shall oversee the proxy voting agent s compliance with these Policies and Procedures, including any deviations by the proxy voting agent from the proxy voting guidelines (Guidelines).

B. Administration and Voting of Portfolio Proxies

1. Fiduciary Duty and Objective

As an investment adviser that has been granted the authority to vote on portfolio proxies, BIM owes a fiduciary duty to its Clients to monitor corporate events and to vote portfolio proxies consistent with the best interests of its Clients. In this regard, BIM seeks to ensure that all votes are free from unwarranted and inappropriate influences. Accordingly, BIM generally votes portfolio proxies in a uniform manner for its Clients and in accordance with these Policies and Procedures and the Guidelines.

In meeting its fiduciary duty, BIM generally view proxy voting as a way to enhance the value of the company s stock held by the Clients. Similarly, when voting on matters for which the Guidelines dictate a vote be decided on a case-by-case basis, BIM s primary consideration is the economic interests of its Clients.

2. Proxy Voting Agent

BIM may retain an independent third party proxy voting agent to assist BIM in its proxy voting responsibilities in accordance with these Policies and Procedures and in particular, with the Guidelines. As discussed above, the Committee is responsible for monitoring the proxy voting agent.

In general, BIM may consider the proxy voting agent s research and analysis as part of BIM s own review of a proxy proposal in which the Guidelines recommend that the vote be considered on a case-by-case basis. BIM bears ultimate responsibility for how portfolio proxies are voted. Unless instructed otherwise by BIM, the proxy voting agent, when retained, will vote each portfolio proxy in accordance with the Guidelines. The proxy voting agent also will assist

BIM in maintaining records of BIM s portfolio proxy votes, including the appropriate records necessary for registered investment companies to meet their regulatory obligations regarding the annual filing of proxy voting records on Form N-PX with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

3. Material Conflicts of Interest

BIM votes portfolio proxies without regard to any other business relationship between BIM and the company to which the portfolio proxy relates. To this end, BIM must identify material conflicts of interest that may arise between a Client and BIM, such as the following relationships:

BIM provides significant investment advisory or other services to a portfolio company or its affiliates (the Company) whose management is soliciting proxies or BIM is seeking to provide such services; BIM serves as an investment adviser to the pension or other investment account of the Company or BIM is seeking to serve in that capacity; or

BIM and the Company have a lending or other financial-related relationship.

In each of these situations, voting against the Company management s recommendation may cause BIM a loss of revenue or other benefit.

BIM generally seeks to avoid such material conflicts of interest by maintaining separate investment decision-making and proxy voting decision-making processes. To further minimize possible conflicts of interest, BIM and the Committee employ the following procedures, as long as BIM determines that the course of action is consistent with the best interests of the Clients:

If the proposal that gives rise to a material conflict is specifically addressed in the Guidelines, BIM will vote the portfolio proxy in accordance with the Guidelines, provided that the Guidelines do not provide discretion to BIM on how to vote on the matter (*i.e.*, case-by-case); or

If the previous procedure does not provide an appropriate voting recommendation, BIM may retain an independent fiduciary for advice on how to vote the proposal or the Committee may direct BIM to abstain from voting because voting on the particular proposal is impracticable and/or is outweighed by the cost of voting.

4. Certain Foreign Securities

Portfolio proxies relating to foreign securities held by Clients are subject to these Policies and Procedures. In certain foreign jurisdictions, however, the voting of portfolio proxies can result in additional restrictions that have an economic impact to the security, such as share-blocking. If BIM votes on the portfolio proxy, share-blocking may prevent BIM from selling the shares of the foreign security for a period of time. In determining whether to vote portfolio proxies subject to such restrictions, BIM, in consultation with the Committee, considers whether the vote, either in itself or together with the votes of other shareholders, is expected to affect the value of the security that outweighs the cost of voting. If BIM votes on a portfolio proxy and during the share-blocking period, BIM would like to sell the affected foreign security, BIM, in consultation with the Committee, will attempt to recall the shares (as allowable within the market time-frame and practices).

C. Fund Board Reporting and Recordkeeping

BIM will prepare periodic reports for submission to the Boards of Directors of its affiliated funds (the Funds) describing:

deviations.

any issues arising under these Policies and Procedures since the last report to the Funds Directors/Trustees and the resolution of such issues, including but not limited to, information about conflicts of interest not addressed in the Policies and Procedures; and any proxy votes taken by BIM on behalf of the Funds since the last report to such Funds Boards of Directors/Trustees that deviated from these Policies and Procedures, with reasons for any such

In addition, no less frequently than annually, BIM will provide the Boards of Directors/Trustees of the Funds with a written report of any recommended changes based upon BIM s experience under these Policies and Procedures, evolving industry practices and developments in the applicable laws or regulations.

BIM will maintain all records that are required under, and in accordance with, all applicable regulations, including the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, and the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, which include, but not limited to:

these Policies and Procedures, as amended from time to time;

records of votes cast with respect to portfolio proxies, reflecting the information required to be included in Form N-PX, as applicable;

records of written client requests for proxy voting information and any written responses of BIM to such requests; and

any written materials prepared by BIM that were material to making a decision in how to vote, or that memorialized the basis for the decision.

D. Amendments to these Procedures

The Committee shall periodically review and update these Policies and Procedures as necessary. Any amendments to these Procedures and Policies (including the Guidelines) shall be provided to the Board of Directors of BIM and to the Boards of Directors of the Funds for review and approval.

E. Proxy Voting Guidelines

Guidelines are available upon request.

Item 8. Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies.

Portfolio Managers

Craig Noble, CFA Mr. Noble is a Portfolio Manager across the Adviser s global listed infrastructure strategies. He leads the Adviser s global listed infrastructure business and oversees the Fund s portfolio construction and execution of buy/sell decisions. Mr. Noble joined the Adviser s parent company, Brookfield Asset Management Inc., in 2004 and moved to the Adviser in 2008, bringing more than 12 years of experience in the infrastructure arena. Mr. Noble holds the Chartered Financial Analyst designation and has a Masters degree from York University s Schulich School of Business and a Commerce degree from Mount Allison University. He has served as Portfolio Manager of the Fund since its inception.

Samuel Arnold, CFA Mr. Arnold is Co-Portfolio Manager of the Fund. Mr. Arnold manages the North American infrastructure market and assists in growing the infrastructure opportunistic strategy for the Adviser. He has seven years of infrastructure investing experience, including as a sell side analyst at Credit Suisse in the U.S. pipeline sector, and as an analyst on a long/short energy infrastructure fund with Magnetar Capital. Mr. Arnold is trained as an engineer and began his career with ExxonMobil in the U.S., focusing on the design, construction, and operation of energy infrastructure assets. He holds the Chartered Financial Analyst designation and has an MBA from Tulane University. Messrs. Noble and Arnold are jointly responsible for the day-to-day management of the Fund s portfolio.

Management of Other Accounts

The portfolio managers listed below manage other investment companies and/or investment vehicles and accounts in addition to the Registrant. The table below shows the number of other accounts managed by Messrs. Noble and Arnold, respectively, and the total assets in each of the following categories: (a) registered investment companies; (b) other pooled investment vehicles; and (c) other accounts. For each category, the table also shows the number of accounts and the total assets in the accounts with respect to which the advisory fee is based on account performance.

Name of	Type of	Total # of	Total Assets in	# of Accounts Managed with Advisory Fee	Total Assets
Portfolio	Accounts	Accounts	USD Millions as		with Advisory
Manager		Managed as of	of January 31,	Based on Performance	Fee Based on Performance
		January 31, 2013	2013		
Craig Noble, CFA	Registered Investment Company Other Pooled	4	\$1,679	0	0
	Investment Vehicles	7	\$1,143	1	\$147
	Other Accounts	14	\$1,371	0	0
Samuel Arnold, CFA	Registered Investment Company Other Pooled	2	\$660	0	0
	Investment Vehicles	7	\$1,143	1	\$147
Shara Ownership	Other Accounts	14	\$1,371	0	0

Share Ownership

The following table indicates the dollar range of securities of the Registrant owned by the Registrant s portfolio managers as of December 31, 2013.

Dollar Range of Securities Owned

Craig Noble, CFA \$ 10,001 - \$50,000 Samuel Arnold, CFA \$ 10,001 - \$50,000

Portfolio Manager Material Conflict of Interest

Potential conflicts of interest may arise when a fund s portfolio manager has day-to-day management responsibilities with respect to one or more other funds or other accounts, as is the case for the portfolio managers of the Registrant.

These potential conflicts include:

Allocation of Limited Time and Attention. A portfolio manager who is responsible for managing multiple funds and/or accounts may devote unequal time and attention to the management of those funds and/or accounts. As a result, the portfolio manager may not be able to formulate as complete a strategy or identify equally attractive investment opportunities for each of those accounts as the case may be if he or she were to devote substantially more attention to the management of a single fund. The effects of this potential conflict may be more pronounced where funds and/or accounts overseen by a particular portfolio manager have different investment strategies.

<u>Allocation of Limited Investment Opportunities</u>. If a portfolio manager identifies a limited investment opportunity that may be suitable for multiple funds and/or accounts, the opportunity may be allocated among these several funds or accounts, which may limit a fund s ability to take full advantage of the investment opportunity. In addition, in the

event that a portfolio manager determines to purchase a security for more than one account in an aggregate amount that may influence the market price of the security, accounts that purchased or sold the security first may receive a more favorable price than accounts that made subsequent transactions.

<u>Pursuit of Differing Strategies</u>. At times, a portfolio manager may determine that an investment opportunity may be appropriate for only some of the funds and/or accounts for which he or she exercises investment responsibility, or may decide that certain of the funds and/or accounts should take differing positions with respect to a particular security. In these cases, the portfolio manager may place separate transactions for one or more funds or accounts which may affect the market price of the security or the execution of the transaction, or both, to the detriment or benefit of one or more other funds and/or accounts.

Selection of Brokers/Dealers. A portfolio manager may be able to select or influence the selection of the brokers and dealers that are used to execute securities transactions for the funds or accounts that he supervises. In addition to providing execution of trades, some brokers and dealers provide portfolio managers with brokerage and research services which may result in the payment of higher brokerage fees than might otherwise be available. These services may be more beneficial for certain funds or accounts than to others. Although the payment of brokerage commissions is subject to the requirement that the investment adviser determines in good faith that the commissions are reasonable in relation to the value of the brokerage and research provided to the funds or accounts, a portfolio manager s decision as to the selection of brokers and dealers could yield disproportionate costs and benefits among the funds or other accounts that the investment adviser manages. In addition, with respect to certain types of accounts, the investment adviser may be limited by the client concerning the selection of brokers or may be instructed to direct trades to particular brokers. In these cases, the investment adviser may place separate, non-simultaneous transactions in the same security for the Registrant and another account that may temporarily affect the market price of the security or the execution of the transaction, or both, to the detriment of the Registrant or the other accounts.

Variation in Compensation. A conflict of interest may arise where the financial or other benefits available to the portfolio manager differ among the funds and/or accounts that he or she manages. If the structure of the investment adviser s management fee and/or the portfolio manager s compensation differs among funds and/or accounts (such as where certain funds or accounts pay higher management fees or performance-based management fees), the portfolio manager might be motivated to help certain funds and/or accounts over others. The portfolio manager might be motivated to favor funds and/or accounts in which he or she has an interest or in which the investment adviser and/or its affiliates have interests. Similarly, the desire to maintain or raise assets under management or to enhance the portfolio manager s performance record or to derive other rewards, financial or otherwise, could influence the portfolio manager to lend preferential treatment to those funds and/or accounts that could most significantly benefit the portfolio manager.

<u>Related Business Opportunities</u>. The investment adviser or its affiliates may provide more services (such as distribution or recordkeeping) for some types of funds or accounts than for others. In such cases, a portfolio manager may benefit, either directly or indirectly, by devoting disproportionate attention to the management of fund and/or accounts that provide greater overall returns to the investment manager and its affiliates.

The Adviser, the Sub-Adviser and the Registrant have adopted compliance policies and procedures that are reasonably designed to address the various conflicts of interest that may arise for the Adviser, the Sub-Adviser and the individuals that each employs. For example, the Adviser and the Sub-Adviser seeks to minimize the effects of competing interests for the time and attention of portfolio managers by assigning portfolio managers to manage funds and accounts that share a similar investment style. The Sub-Adviser has also adopted trade allocation procedures that are designed to facilitate the fair allocation of limited investment opportunities among multiple funds and accounts. There is, however, no guarantee that such policies and procedures will be able to detect and prevent every situation in which an actual or potential conflict may appear.

Portfolio Manager Compensation

The Registrant s portfolio manager is compensated by the Adviser and the Sub-Adviser. The portfolio managers are compensated based on the scale and complexity of their portfolio responsibilities, the total return performance of

funds and accounts managed by the portfolio manager on an absolute basis and when compared to appropriate peer groups of similar size and strategy, as well as the management skills displayed in managing their portfolio teams and the teamwork displayed in working with other members of the Adviser and/or the Sub-Adviser. Since the portfolio managers are responsible for multiple funds and accounts, investment performance is evaluated on an aggregate basis almost equally weighted among performance, management and teamwork. Base compensation for the portfolio

managers varies in line with a portfolio manager s seniority and position. The compensation of portfolio managers with other job responsibilities (such as acting as an executive officer of their firm or supervising various departments) includes consideration of the scope of such responsibilities and the portfolio manager s performance in meeting them. Both the Adviser and the Sub-Adviser seek to compensate portfolio managers commensurate with their responsibilities and performance, and that is competitive with other firms within the investment management industry. Salaries, bonuses and stock-based compensation also are influenced by the operating performance of the Adviser, the Sub-Adviser and their parent companies.

While the salaries of the portfolio managers are comparatively fixed, cash bonuses and stock-based compensation may fluctuate significantly from year to year. Bonuses are determined on a discretionary basis by the senior executives of the Adviser and measured by individual and team-oriented performance guidelines. The amount of the Long Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) is approved annually and there is a rolling vesting schedule to aid in retention of key people. A key component of this program is achievement of client objectives in order to properly align interests with the Adviser s and the Sub-Adviser s clients. Further, the incentive compensation of all investment personnel who work on each strategy is directly tied to the relative performance of the strategy and its clients.

The compensation structure of the portfolio managers and other investment professionals has four primary components:

A base salary;

An annual cash bonus;

If applicable, long-term compensation consisting of restricted stock units or stock options of the Adviser's ultimate parent company, Brookfield Asset Management Inc.; and

If applicable, long-term compensation consisting of restricted stock units in private funds managed by the investment professional.

The portfolio managers also receive certain retirement, insurance and other benefits that are broadly available to all employees. Compensation of the portfolio managers is reviewed on an annual basis by senior management.

Item 9. Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Management Investment Company and Affiliated Purchasers.

None.

Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which the shareholders may recommend nominees to the registrant s Board of Directors, where those changes were implemented after the registrant last provided disclosure in response to the requirements of Item 407(c)(2)(iv) of Regulation S-K (17 CFR 229.407) (as required by Item 22(b)(15) of Schedule 14A (17 CFR 240.14a-101)), or this Item.

Item 11. Controls and Procedures.

- (a) The Registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that the Registrant s Disclosure Controls and Procedures are effective, based on their evaluation of such Disclosure Controls and Procedures as of a date within 90 days of the filing of this report on Form N-CSR.
- (b) As of the date of filing this Form N-CSR, the Registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer are aware of no changes in the Registrant s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant s second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect the Registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

Item 12. Exhibits.

(a)(1) None.

- (2) A separate certification for each principal executive officer and principal financial officer of the Registrant as required by Rule 30a-2(a) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 is attached as an exhibit to this Form N-CSR.
- (3) None.
- (b) A separate certification for each principal executive officer and principal financial officer of the Registrant as required by Rule 30a-2(b) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 is attached as an exhibit to this Form N-CSR.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BROOKFIELD GLOBAL LISTED INFRASTRUCTURE INCOME FUND INC.

By: /s/ Kim G. Redding

Kim G. Redding

President and Principal Executive Officer

Date: March 7, 2014

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Kim G. Redding

Kim G. Redding

President and Principal Executive Officer

Date: March 7, 2014

By: /s/ Angela W. Ghantous

Angela W. Ghantous

Treasurer and Principal Financial Officer

Date: March 7, 2014