ING Global Advantage & Premium Opportunity Fund
Form N-CSR
May 03, 2013
Table of Contents

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number:	3235-0570
Expires:	January 31, 2014
Estimated average burden hours per response:	20.6

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF

REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number: 811-21786

ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund

(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

7337 E. Doubletree Ranch Rd., Scottsdale, AZ	**85258**
(Address of principal executive offices)	**(Zip code)**

The Corporation Trust Company, 1209 Orange

Street, Wilmington, DE 19801

(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: **1-800-992-0180**

Date of fiscal year end: **February 28**

Date of reporting period: **February 28, 2013**

Table of Contents

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders.

The following is a copy of the report transmitted to stockholders pursuant to Rule 30e-1 under the Act (17 CFR 270.30e-1):

Table of Contents

Annual Report

February 28, 2013

ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund

E-Delivery Sign-up details inside

This report is submitted for general information to shareholders of the ING Funds. It is not authorized for distribution to prospective shareholders unless accompanied or preceded by a prospectus which includes details regarding the fund s investment objectives, risks, charges, expenses and other information. This information should be read carefully.

MUTUAL FUNDS

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Go Paperless with E-Delivery!

Sign up now for on-line prospectuses, fund reports, and proxy statements. In less than five minutes, you can help reduce paper mail and lower fund costs.

Just go to www.inginvestment.com, click on the E-Delivery icon from the home page, follow the directions and complete the quick 5 Steps to Enroll.

You will be notified by e-mail when these communications become available on the internet. Documents that are not available on the internet will continue to be sent by mail.

PROXY VOTING INFORMATION

A description of the policies and procedures that the Fund uses to determine how to vote proxies related to portfolio securities is available: (1) without charge, upon request, by calling Shareholder Services toll-free at (800) 992-0180; (2) on the ING Funds website at www.inginvestment.com; and (3) on the SEC s website at www.sec.gov. Information regarding how the Fund voted proxies related to portfolio securities during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30 is available without charge on the ING Funds website at www.inginvestment.com and on the SEC s website at www.sec.gov.

QUARTERLY PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS

The Fund files its complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. This report contains a summary portfolio of investments for the Fund. The Fund s Forms N-Q are available on the SEC s website at www.sec.gov. The Fund s Forms N-Q may be reviewed and copied at the SEC s Public Reference Room in Washington, DC, and information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling (800) SEC-0330. The Fund s Forms N-Q, as well as a complete portfolio of investments, are available without charge upon request from the Fund by calling Shareholder Services toll-free at (800) 992-0180.

Table of Contents

PRESIDENT S LETTER

Dear Shareholder,

ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund (the Fund) is a diversified, closed-end management investment company whose shares are traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol IGA. The primary objective of the Fund is to provide a high level of income, with a secondary objective of capital appreciation.

The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing at least 80% of its managed assets in a diversified global equity portfolio and employing an option strategy of writing index call options on a portion of its equity portfolio. The Fund also hedges most of its foreign currency exposure to seek to reduce volatility of total returns.

For the year ended February 28, 2013 the Fund made quarterly distributions totaling $1.18 per share, characterized of $0.54 per share capital gains, $0.20 per share return of capital, and $0.44 per share net investment income.

Based on net asset value (NAV), the Fund provided a total return of 12.85% including reinvestments for the year ended February 28, 2013.(1) This NAV return reflects an increase in

the Fund s NAV from $12.66 on February 29, 2012 to $12.92 on February 28, 2013. Based on its share price, the Fund provided a total return of 17.49% including reinvestments for the year ended February 28, 2013.(2) This share price return reflects an increase in the Fund s share price from $11.90 on February 29, 2012 to $12.64 on February 28, 2013.

The global equity markets have witnessed a challenging and turbulent period. Please read the Market Perspective and Portfolio Managers Report for more information on the market and the Fund s performance.

At ING Funds our mission is to help you grow, protect and enjoy your wealth. We seek to assist you and your financial advisor by offering a range of global investment solutions. We invite you to visit our website at www.inginvestment.com. Here you will find information on our products and services, including current market data and fund statistics on our open- and closed-end funds. You will see that we offer a broad variety of equity, fixed income and multi-asset funds that aim to fulfill a variety of investor needs.

We thank you for trusting ING Funds with your investment assets, and we look forward to serving you in the months and years ahead.

Sincerely,

Shaun P. Mathews

President and Chief Executive Officer

ING Funds

April 1, 2013

The views expressed in the President s Letter reflect those of the President as of the date of the letter. Any such views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions and ING Funds disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied on as investment advice and because investment decisions for an ING Fund are based on numerous factors, may not be relied on as an indication of investment intent on behalf of any ING Fund. Reference to specific company securities should not be construed as recommendations or investment advice. International investing does pose special risks including currency fluctuation, economic and political risks not found in investments that are solely domestic.

For more complete information, or to obtain a prospectus for any ING Fund, please call your Investment Professional or the fund s Shareholder Service Department at (800) 992-0180 or log on to www.inginvestment.com. The prospectus should be read carefully before investing. Consider the fund s investment objectives, risks, charges and expenses carefully before investing. The prospectus contains this information and other information about the fund. Check with your Investment Professional to determine which funds are available for sale within their firm. Not all funds are available for sale at all firms.

(1) Total investment return at net asset value has been calculated assuming a purchase at net asset value at the beginning of each period and a sale at net asset value at the end of each period and assumes reinvestment of dividends, capital gain distributions and return of capital distributions/allocations, if any, in accordance with the provisions of the Fund s dividend reinvestment plan.

(2) Total investment return at market value measures the change in the market value of your investment assuming reinvestment of dividends, capital gain distributions and return of capital distributions/allocations, if any, in accordance with the provisions of the Fund s dividend reinvestment plan.

Table of Contents

MARKET PERSPECTIVE: YEAR ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2013

In the first half of our fiscal year global equities, in the form of the MSCI World Index[SM], measured in local currencies including net reinvested dividends, edged up 0.72%, recovering from a slump of 11% in two months from early April. In the second half, the MSCI World Index[SM] built on this recovery and ended the fiscal year up 13.23% in the wake of central bank actions that made risky assets much more attractive to hold. (The MSCI World Index[SM] returned 10.69% for the one year ended February 28, 2013, measured in U.S. dollars.)

These actions were twofold. Firstly in July, as pessimism about the future of the euro mounted, European Central Bank (ECB) President Draghi announced that the ECB was ready to do whatever it takes to preserve the euro. He expanded on this in September: under certain conditions, the ECB would buy without limitation the 1-3 year bonds of a country in difficulties. Also in September, Federal Reserve Chairman Bernanke announced a third round of quantitative easing: an additional $40 billion of agency mortgage-backed securities would be purchased monthly. Later, in December, Operation Twist was replaced by $45 billion in monthly Treasury purchases. Exceptionally low policy interest rates would remain at least until the unemployment rate fell to 6.5%.

These initiatives were enough to sustain European markets through the fiscal year, and by the end the view seemed to have taken hold that the existential threat to the euro could be discounted. Even the worst possible Italian election result at the end of February a stalemate on low turnout that signaled the rejection of reform gave markets pause for barely a day.

In the U.S., sentiment ebbed and flowed as economic data from retail sales to consumer confidence to durable goods orders were mostly inconclusive. On the employment front the three-month average of 245,000 new jobs reported in March slumped to only 94,000 in September, before rebounding to 200,000 by February, with the unemployment rate still uncomfortably high at 7.7%. Third quarter gross domestic product (GDP) growth was finalized at 3.1%, which some commentators regarded as unrepresentative of true economic activity which felt considerably weaker. On the last day of February however, fourth quarter GDP growth was reported at just 0.1%, an anomalously weak reading, depressed by a reduction in government spending and in inventories.

In contrast to other economic data, the housing market was undoubtedly on the mend. The final S&P/Case-Shiller 20-City Composite Home Price Index showed a 6.8% year-over-year gain, the most in over six years, while new home sales in January were the highest since July 2008.

Political gridlock continued. The newly-elected Congress in November looked rather like the old one, and an ominous year-end cocktail of deflationary tax increases and spending cuts was forestalled by an eleventh-hour agreement. But large, indiscriminate federal spending cuts and an even bigger conflict on the debt ceiling loomed in March.

In U.S. fixed income markets the Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index of investment grade bonds rose 3.12% in the fiscal year. The Barclays U.S. Treasury Index, a sub-index of the Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index, underperformed, gaining just 2.00%, while the Barclays U.S. Corporate Investment Grade Bond Index, also a sub-index of the

Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index, was much stronger, adding 6.41%. The Barclays High-Yield Bond 2% Issuer Constrained Composite Index (not part of the Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index) surged an equity-like 11.79%.

U.S. equities, represented by the S&P 500® Index including dividends, returned 13.46% in the fiscal year, ending just 3.22% below its all-time high reached on October 9, 2007. All ten sectors gained, but it was a mixed picture. Telecommunications led the way with a return of 24.19%, while healthcare and financials also returned more than 20%. However only single digit gains were made by the materials, energy and technology sectors, the last named managing a barely positive 1.36%. Operating earnings per share for S&P 500® companies set a new record in the second quarter of 2012, but as the fiscal year ended fourth quarter earnings looked likely to come in about 9% below this level.

In currency markets, the dollar rose 2.05% against the euro over the fiscal year and 4.97% against the pound, most or all of it in February as recovery in Europe seemed ever more distant. But the dollar soared 14.06% over the yen. Japan s parliamentary opposition won a landslide victory in December elections and promised unlimited monetary easing.

In international markets, the MSCI Japan® Index jumped 19.54%, due mainly to the monetary stimulus referred to above. This was despite the dampening effect on Japan s export-focused economy of the euro zone crisis, of a slowdown in China and (to the outside world at least) a quite unnecessary dispute with that country over a few tiny islands. The Japanese economy returned to technical recession after consecutive quarters of declining GDP. The MSCI Europe ex UK® Index rose 14.23% due to central bank initiatives, as there was little else to cheer investors, with the exception of Germany. The euro zone s GDP recorded its third straight quarterly fall in the fourth quarter of 2012. Unemployment reached a record 11.7%, within which Spain and Greece both stood above 26%. The composite purchasing managers index, a leading indicator of GDP growth, stayed in contraction territory as it had since February 2012. The MSCI UK® Index added 12.77%, boosted by financials but held back by the energy and telecommunications sectors, accounting for some 30% of the index, which actually fell in value. The economy grew 0.9% in the third quarter of 2012, largely due to one-time statistical anomalies, worth perhaps 0.8%. In the fourth quarter, GDP fell by 0.3% with the strong possibility that the U.K. would suffer a triple dip recession with negative growth in the first quarter of 2013.

Parentheses denote a negative number.

Past performance does not guarantee future results. The performance quoted represents past performance. Investment return and principal value of an investment will fluctuate, and shares, when redeemed, may be worth more or less than their original cost. The Fund s performance is subject to change since the period s end and may be lower or higher than the performance data shown. Please call (800) 992-0180 or log on to www.inginvestment.com to obtain performance data current to the most recent month end.

Market Perspective reflects the views of ING s Chief Investment Risk Officer only through the end of the period, and is subject to change based on market and other conditions.

Table of Contents

BENCHMARK DESCRIPTIONS

Index	Description
Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index	An unmanaged index of publicly issued investment grade U.S. Government, mortgage-backed, asset-backed and corporate debt securities.
Barclays U.S. Corporate Investment Grade Bond Index	An unmanaged index consisting of publicly issued, fixed rate, nonconvertible, investment grade debt securities.
Barclays High Yield Bond 2% Issuer Constrained Composite Index	An unmanaged index that includes all fixed-income securities having a maximum quality rating of Ba1, a minimum amount outstanding of $150 million, and at least one year to maturity.
Barclays U.S. Treasury Index	An unmanaged index that includes public obligations of the U.S. Treasury. Treasury bills, certain special issues, such as state and local government series bonds (SLGs), as well as U.S. Treasury TIPS and STRIPS, are excluded.
MSCI Europe ex UK® Index	A free float-adjusted market capitalization index that is designed to measure developed market equity performance in Europe, excluding the UK.
MSCI Japan® Index	A free float-adjusted market capitalization index that is designed to measure developed market equity performance in Japan.
MSCI UK® Index	A free float-adjusted market capitalization index that is designed to measure developed market equity performance in the UK.
MSCI World Index℠	An unmanaged index that measures the performance of over 1,400 securities listed on exchanges in the U.S., Europe, Canada, Australia, New Zealand and the Far East.
S&P 500® Index	An unmanaged index that measures the performance of securities of approximately 500 large-capitalization companies whose securities are traded on major U.S. stock markets.
S&P/Case-Shiller 20-City Composite Home Price Index	A composite index of the home price index for the top 20 Metropolitan Statistical Areas in the United States. The index is published monthly by Standard & Poor s.

ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund s (the Fund) primary investment objective is to provide a high level of income. Capital appreciation is a secondary investment objective. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objectives by:

- investing at least 80% of its managed assets in a portfolio of common stocks of companies located in a number of different countries throughout the world, including the United States; and

- utilizing an integrated derivatives strategy.

The Fund is managed by Pieter Schop, Bert Veldman, Willem van Dommelen and Edwin Cuppen, Portfolio Managers, ING Investment Management Advisors B.V. a Sub-Adviser.*

Equity Portfolio Construction: Under normal market conditions the Fund will invest at least 80% of its managed assets in a diversified portfolio of equity securities across a broad range of countries, industries and market sectors. Equity securities held by the Fund may be denominated in both U.S. dollars and non-U.S. currencies. The Fund may invest up to 20% of its managed assets in securities issued by companies located in emerging markets when the Sub-Advisers believe they present attractive investment opportunities.

The Fund seeks to invest in a portfolio of approximately 100 to 150 equity securities and will select securities through solid, long-term based analysis of a company s fundamentals in terms of sales, margins and capital use. The

Geographic Diversification as of February 28, 2013

(as a percentage of net assets)

United States	47.6%
Japan	9.4%
United Kingdom	8.5%
Switzerland	6.4%
Germany	3.8%
France	2.8%
Singapore	2.0%
Israel	1.8%
Brazil	1.4%
Countries between 0.4%-1.3%^	11.9%
Assets in Excess of Other Liabilities	4.4%
Net Assets	100.0%

^ Includes 15 countries, which each represents 0.4%-1.3% of net assets.

Portfolio holdings are subject to change daily.

Sub-Advisers seek to identify opportunities in mispricing between the bottom-up fundamental fair value and the market price of individual stocks using a proprietary discounted cash flow valuation model. Highest conviction ideas are selected from the focus list to construct a coherent, well-diversified portfolio.

The Fund s weighting between U.S. and international equities depends on the Sub-Advisers ongoing assessment of market opportunities for the Fund. Under normal market conditions, the Fund seeks to target at least a 40% weighting in international (ex-U.S.) equity securities.

The Sub-Advisers seek to target a relatively high active share in combination with a moderate tracking error as measured against the MSCI World Index^{SM}.

The Fund s Integrated Option Strategy: The option strategy of the Fund is designed to seek gains and lower volatility of total returns over a market cycle by writing (selling) index call options on selected indices and/or exchange traded funds (ETFs) in an amount equal to approximately 50% to 100% of the value of the Fund s holdings in common stocks.

The extent of call option writing activity depends upon market conditions and the Sub-Advisers ongoing assessment of the attractiveness of writing call options on selected indices and/or ETFs. Call options will be written (sold) usually at-the money or near-the-money and can be written both in exchange-listed option markets and over-the-counter markets with major international banks, broker-dealers and financial institutions.

The Fund writes call options that are generally short-term (between 10 days and three months until expiration). The Fund typically maintains its call positions until expiration, but it retains the option to buy back the call options and sell new call options.

Top Ten Holdings as of February 28, 2013

(as a percentage of net assets)

JPMorgan Chase & Co.	1.8%
Pfizer, Inc.	1.8%
Nestle S.A.	1.7%
Roche Holding AG - Genusschein	1.6%
General Electric Co.	1.6%
Google, Inc. - Class A	1.6%
Toyota Motor Corp.	1.5%
Microsoft Corp.	1.5%
Wells Fargo & Co.	1.5%
Merck & Co., Inc.	1.4%

Portfolio holdings are subject to change daily.

Additionally, in order to reduce volatility of NAV returns, the Fund employs a policy to hedge major foreign currencies using FX forwards or zero cost collars.

In addition to the intended strategy of selling index call options, the Fund may invest in other derivative instruments such as futures for investment, hedging and risk-management purposes to gain or reduce exposure to securities, security markets, market indices consistent with its investment objectives and strategies. Such derivative instruments are acquired to enable the Fund to make market directional tactical decisions to enhance returns, to protect against a decline in its assets or as a substitute for the purchase or sale of equity securities.

Performance: Based on net asset value (NAV) as of February 28, 2013, the Fund provided a total return of 12.85% for the period. This NAV return reflects an increase in the Fund s NAV from $12.66 on February 29, 2012 to $12.92 on February 28, 2013. Based on its share price as of February 28, 2013, the Fund provided a total return of 17.49% for the year. This share price return reflects an increase in the Fund s share price from $11.90 on February 29, 2012 to $12.64 on February 28, 2013. The Fund s reference index, the MSCI World Index SM returned 10.69%.(1) During the year, the Fund made quarterly distributions totaling $1.18 per share, characterized of $0.54 per share capital gains, $0.20 per share return of capital, and $0.44 per share net investment income. As of February 28, 2013, the Fund had 18,340,467 shares outstanding.

Overview: Global equity markets performed well during the reporting period. The S&P 500® Index rose more than 13% and international equity indices posted double-digit returns. The euro zone staged a comeback, thanks to actions by the European Central Bank. There were increasing signs of a turnaround in the global economy in the second half of the year. Macroeconomic data improved markedly in the United States throughout the reporting period; what s more, Chinese data got better towards the end. This resulted in an increase in risk appetite among investors, which favored the beaten-down financial sector. The consumer sectors also posted strong performance, as did health care. Lower Chinese demand for resources and a drop in oil prices hurt performance of the materials and energy sectors during the period and caused them to lag the total reference index.

Equity Portfolio: The Fund outperformed the reference index thanks to stock selection in the equity sleeve and contributions from the currency hedges. The equity sleeve outperformed the reference index thanks to stock picking in the information technology (IT), consumer discretionary and energy sectors. In contrast, selection in the materials and consumer staples sectors detracted from results.

Responsibility for managing the equity sleeve was transferred to the global core equity team of ING Investment Management Advisors B.V. as of December 20, 2012. On that date, the sleeve s investment style switched from a quantitative discipline to a low-tracking-error, fundamental, bottom-up, stock-picking discipline. As a result, we reduced the number of stocks in the sleeve from 750 to around 120.

Options Portfolio: The options sleeve sold short-maturity options on the S&P 500® Index, the DJ Eurostoxx 50 Index (and/or component country indices), the Nikkei 225 Index, the FTSE 100 Index, the S&P/ASX 200, CAC40 and the DAX Index. The last two indexes were added due to regulatory constraints relating to positions in the DJ Eurostoxx 50 Index, which prevented selling call options. The strike prices of the traded options were typically at or near the money, and the expiration dates ranged between three and six weeks. We maintained the coverage ratio between 65 70% throughout the period. Equity markets rallied towards the end of the period, which reduced implied volatility to its lowest level in recent years. As a result, premiums the Fund received for its call-writing activities declined and the option sleeve detracted from performance as total premiums received fell short of options settlements in generally strong markets.

The Fund continued its policy of hedging currencies back to the U.S. dollar in order to seek to reduce volatility of NAV returns. These hedges contributed significantly to overall return as the U.S. dollar strengthened towards the end of the reporting period.

Outlook and Current Strategy: We remain positive about the prospects for equities. In light of generally accommodative central bank policies and lower euro zone risks, we believe markets seem more willing to look beyond the uncertainties related to the U.S. debt ceiling and budget negotiations and the challenges in peripheral Europe. Four key factors underpin our view: cyclical recovery in the global economy, reduced risks of systemic shocks, current depressed investor sentiment and defensive positioning. We are seeing signs of strengthening in the U.S. and Chinese economies and we expect other countries to follow these leaders. Since we think markets trade more on the direction of the cycle than on the level of growth, we expect this to put upward pressure on Treasury yields and support risk assets such as equities. We prefer equities over other asset classes given this supportive macroeconomic and policy backdrop as well as the attractive valuations, particularly in cyclical sectors and among European equities.

Earnings momentum remains weak but is slowly improving, and has turned positive in Japan, the U.S. and the UK. Across the globe, many companies are in excellent shape, with manageable leverage and ample cash reserves. Combined with attractive valuations we re seeing increased merger and acquisition activity, which in our view is another positive driver for equities.

* On December 20, 2012, shareholders approved the addition of ING Investment Management Advisors B.V. as a sub-adviser to the Fund. Effective December 20, 2012, Paul Zemsky, Vincent Costa, Jody Hrazanek, Sam Lam and Frank van Etten were removed as portfolio managers to the Fund, and Edwin Cuppen, Willem van Dommelen, Bert Veldman and Pieter Schop were added as portfolio managers to the Fund.

(1) Prior to December 20, 2012, the Fund s reference index was a blended index consisting of 60% S&P 500® Index and 40% MSCI EAFE® Index.

Portfolio holdings and characteristics are subject to change and may not be representative of current holdings and characteristics. The outlook for this Fund is based only on the outlook of its portfolio managers through the end of this period, and may differ from that presented for other ING Funds. Performance data represents past performance and is no guarantee of future results. Past performance is not indicative of future results. The indices do not reflect fees, brokerage commissions, taxes or other expenses of investing. Investors cannot invest directly in an index.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Shareholders and Board of Trustees

ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund

We have audited the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities, including the summary portfolio of investments, of ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund as of February 28, 2013, and the related statement of operations for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the years in the two-year period then ended and the financial highlights for each of the years in the seven-year period then ended and the period from October 31, 2005 (commencement of operations) to February 28, 2006. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of February 28, 2013, by correspondence with the custodian, transfer agent, and brokers, or by other appropriate auditing procedures where replies from brokers were not received. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund as of February 28, 2013, and the results of its operations for the year then ended, the changes in its net assets for each of the years in the two-year period then ended and the financial highlights for each of the years in the seven-year period then ended and the period from October 31, 2005 to February 28, 2006, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Boston, Massachusetts

April 25, 2013

Table of Contents

STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES AS OF FEBRUARY 28, 2013

ASSETS:	
Investments in securities at fair value*	$ 226,632,085
Cash	8,286,517
Cash collateral for futures	813,375
Foreign currencies at value**	694,374
Foreign cash collateral for futures***	3,125,638
Receivables:	
Investment securities sold	1,368,923
Dividends	459,843
Foreign tax reclaims	167,447
Variation margin	7,678
Unrealized appreciation on forward foreign currency contracts	1,188,565
Prepaid expenses	1,694
Reimbursement due from manager	13,200
Total assets	242,759,339
LIABILITIES:	
Payable for investment securities purchased	1,349,199
Unrealized depreciation on forward foreign currency contracts	101,832
Payable for derivatives collateral (Note 2)	830,000
Payable for investment management fees	136,643
Payable for administrative fees	18,219
Payable for trustee fees	2,039
Other accrued expenses and liabilities	193,533
Written options, at fair value^	3,093,462
Total liabilities	5,724,927
NET ASSETS	$ 237,034,412
NET ASSETS WERE COMPRISED OF:	
Paid-in capital	$ 225,750,388
Distributions in excess of net investment income	(288,785)
Accumulated net realized loss	(541,004)
Net unrealized appreciation	12,113,813
NET ASSETS	$ 237,034,412

*	Cost of investments in securities	$ 215,380,672
**	Cost of foreign currencies	$ 763,400
***	Cost of foreign cash collateral for futures	$ 3,125,638
^	Premiums received on written options	$ 2,586,658

Net assets	$ 237,034,412
Shares authorized	unlimited
Par value	$ 0.01
Shares outstanding	18,340,467
Net asset value and redemption price per share	$ 12.92

See Accompanying Notes to Financial Statements

Table of Contents

STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2013

INVESTMENT INCOME:	
Dividends, net of foreign taxes withheld*	$ 6,075,577
Total investment income	6,075,577
EXPENSES:	
Investment management fees	1,701,740
Transfer agent fees	26,097
Administrative service fees	226,896
Shareholder reporting expense	28,884
Professional fees	57,336
Custody and accounting expense	250,004
Trustee fees	7,138
Proxy and solicitation costs (Note 5)	97,100
Miscellaneous expense	38,570
Interest expense	1,595
Total expenses	2,435,360
Net waived and reimbursed fees	(169,045)
Net expenses	2,266,315
Net investment income	3,809,262
REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS):	
Net realized gain (loss) on:	
Investments	19,155,560
Foreign currency related transactions	1,537,046
Futures	2,593,149
Written options	(6,228,991)
Net realized gain	17,056,764
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on:	
Investments	1,825,238
Foreign currency related transactions	2,443,922
Futures	247,369
Written options	1,210,954
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	5,727,483

Net realized and unrealized gain	22,784,247
Increase in net assets resulting from operations	$ 26,593,509
* Foreign taxes withheld	$ 262,657

See Accompanying Notes to Financial Statements

8

Table of Contents

STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

	Year Ended February 28, 2013	Year Ended February 29, 2012
FROM OPERATIONS:		
Net investment income	$ 3,809,262	$ 4,035,464
Net realized gain	17,056,764	16,611,481
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	5,727,483	(16,786,516)
Increase in net assets resulting from operations	26,593,509	3,860,429
FROM DISTRIBUTIONS TO SHAREHOLDERS:		
Net investment income	(8,099,640)	(24,121,012)
Net realized gains	(9,831,041)	
Return of capital	(3,784,430)	
Total distributions	(21,715,111)	(24,121,012)
FROM CAPITAL SHARE TRANSACTIONS:		
Reinvestment of distributions		871,120
Net increase in net assets resulting from capital share transactions		871,120
Net increase (decrease) in net assets	4,878,398	(19,389,463)
NET ASSETS:		
Beginning of year or period	232,156,014	251,545,477
End of year or period	$ 237,034,412	$ 232,156,014
Undistributed (distributions in excess of) net investment income at end of year or period	$ (288,785)	$ 1,017,789

See Accompanying Notes to Financial Statements

Table of Contents

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

Selected data for a share of beneficial interest outstanding throughout each year or period.

	Per Share Operating Performance											Ratios and Supplement		
	Income (loss) from investment operations			Less distributions									Ratios to average net	
Net asset value, beginning of year or period	Net investment income gain (loss)	Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	Total from investment operations	From net investment income	From net realized gains	From return of capital	Total distributions	Net asset value, end of year or period	Market value, end of year or period	**Total investment return at net asset value(1)**	**Total investment return at market value(2)**	Net assets, end of year or period (000 s)	Gross expenses prior to expense waiver/ recoupment	Net expenses after expense waiver/ recoupment(3)
($)	($)	($)	($)	($)	($)	($)	($)	($)	($)	(%)	(%)	($000 s)	(%)	(%)
12.66	0.21	1.23	1.44	0.44	0.54	0.20	1.18	12.92	12.64	**12.85**	**17.49**	237,034	1.07	1.00
13.76	0.22	0.00*	0.22	1.32			1.32	12.66	11.90	**2.43**	**(3.44)**	232,156	1.00	1.00
13.37	0.20	1.57	1.77	1.38			1.38	13.76	13.72	**14.05**	**6.32**	251,545	0.98	0.99
11.29	0.21	3.64	3.85			1.77	1.77	13.37	14.30	**35.81**	**57.38**	242,426	1.01	1.00
17.79	0.31	(4.95)	(4.64)	0.74		1.12	1.86	11.29	10.42	**(26.96)**	**(28.32)**	204,546	0.99	0.99
21.19	0.30	(0.73)	(0.43)		2.40	0.57	2.97	17.79	16.73	**(2.40)**	**(7.87)**	324,275	0.97	0.97
20.24	0.26	2.55	2.81	0.04	1.54	0.28	1.86	21.19	21.11	**14.81**	**24.40**	385,433	0.95	0.95
19.06(6)	0.06	1.28	1.34	0.16			0.16	20.24	18.61	**7.08**	**(6.17)**	365,374	1.06	1.00

(1) Total investment return at net asset value has been calculated assuming a purchase at net asset value at the beginning of each period and a sale at net asset value at the end of each period and assumes reinvestment of dividends, capital gain distributions and return of capital distributions/allocations, if any, in accordance with the provisions of the dividend reinvestment plan. Total investment return at net asset value is not annualized for periods less than one year.

(2) Total investment return at market value measures the change in the market value of your investment assuming reinvestment of dividends, capital gain distributions and return of capital distributions/allocations, if any, in

accordance with the provisions of the Fund s dividend reinvestment plan. Total investment return at market value is not annualized for periods less than one year.

(3) Annualized for periods less than one year.

(4) The Investment Adviser has agreed to limit expenses, (excluding interest, taxes, brokerage, extraordinary expenses and acquired fund fees and expenses) subject to possible recoupment by ING Investments, LLC within three years of being incurred.

(5) Commencement of operations.

(6) Net asset value at beginning of period reflects the deduction of the sales load of $0.90 per share and offering costs of $0.04 per share paid by the shareholder from the $20.00 offering price.

· Calculated using average number of shares outstanding throughout the period.

* Amount is less than $0.005 or 0.005% or more than $(0.005) or (0.005)%.

Impact of waiving the advisory fee for the ING Institutional Prime Money Market Fund holding has less than 0.005% impact on the expense ratio and net investment income or loss ratio.

See Accompanying Notes to Financial Statements

Table of Contents

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF FEBRUARY 28, 2013

NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION

ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund (the Fund) is a diversified, closed-end management investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act). The Fund is organized as a Delaware statutory trust.

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The following significant accounting policies are consistently followed by the Fund in the preparation of its financial statements, and such policies are in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for investment companies.

A. ***Security Valuation.*** All investments in securities are recorded at their estimated fair value, as described below. Investments in equity securities traded on a national securities exchange are valued at the last reported sale price. Securities reported by NASDAQ are valued at the NASDAQ official closing prices. Securities traded on an exchange or NASDAQ for which there has been no sale and equity securities traded in the over-the-counter-market are valued at the mean between the last reported bid and ask prices. All investments quoted in foreign currencies will be valued daily in U.S. dollars on the basis of the foreign currency exchange rates prevailing at that time. Debt securities with more than 60 days to maturity are fair valued using matrix pricing methods determined by an independent pricing service which takes into consideration such factors as yields, maturities, liquidity, ratings and traded prices in similar or identical securities. Investments in open-end mutual funds are valued at the net asset value. Investments in securities of sufficient credit quality maturing in 60 days or less from date of acquisition are valued at amortized cost which approximates fair value.

Securities for which valuations are not readily available from an independent pricing service may be valued by brokers which use prices provided by market makers or estimates of fair market value obtained from yield data relating to investments or securities with similar characteristics.

Securities and assets for which market quotations are not readily available (which may include certain restricted securities that are subject to limitations as to their sale) are valued at their fair values as defined by the 1940 Act, and as determined in good faith by or under the supervision of the Fund s Board of Trustees (Board), in accordance with methods that are specifically authorized by the Board. Securities traded

on exchanges, including foreign exchanges, which close earlier than the time that the Fund calculates its net asset value (NAV) may also be valued at their fair values, as defined by the 1940 Act, and as determined in good faith by or under the supervision of the Board, in accordance with methods that are specifically authorized by the Board. The value of a foreign security traded on an exchange outside the United States is generally based on its price on the principal foreign exchange where it trades as of the time the Fund determines its NAV or if the foreign exchange closes prior to the time the Fund determines its NAV, the most recent closing price of the foreign security on its principal exchange. Trading in certain non-U.S. securities may not take place on all days on which the NYSE

Euronext (NYSE) is open. Further, trading takes place in various foreign markets on days on which the NYSE is not open. Consequently, the calculation of the Fund s NAV may not take place contemporaneously with the determination of the prices of securities held by the Fund in foreign securities markets. Further, the value of the Fund s assets may be significantly affected by foreign trading on days when a shareholder cannot purchase or redeem shares of the Fund. In calculating the Fund s NAV, foreign securities denominated in foreign currency are converted to U.S. dollar equivalents. If an event occurs after the time at which the market for foreign securities held by the Fund closes but before the time that the Fund s NAV is calculated, such event may cause the closing price on the foreign exchange to not represent a readily available reliable market value quotation for such securities at the time the Fund determines its NAV. In such a case, the Fund will use the fair value of such securities as determined under the Fund s valuation procedures. Events after the close of trading on a foreign market that could require the Fund to fair value some or all of its foreign securities include, among others, securities trading in the U.S. and other markets, corporate announcements, natural and other disasters, and political and other events. Among other elements of analysis in the determination of a security s fair value, the Board has authorized the use of one or more independent research services to assist with such determinations. An independent research service may use statistical analyses and quantitative models to help determine fair value as of the time the Fund calculates its NAV. There can be no assurance that such models accurately reflect the behavior of the applicable markets or the effect of the behavior of such markets on the fair value of securities, or that such markets will continue to behave in a fashion that is consistent with

Table of Contents

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF FEBRUARY 28, 2013 (CONTINUED)

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING

POLICIES (continued)

such models. Unlike the closing price of a security on an exchange, fair value determinations employ elements of judgment. Consequently, the fair value assigned to a security may not represent the actual value that the Fund could obtain if it were to sell the security at the time of the close of the NYSE.

Pursuant to procedures adopted by the Board, the Fund is not obligated to use the fair valuations suggested by any research service, and valuation recommendations provided by such research services may be overridden if other events have occurred or if other fair valuations are determined in good faith to be more accurate. Unless an event is such that it causes the Fund to determine that the closing prices for one or more securities do not represent readily available reliable and market value quotations at the time the Fund determines its NAV, events that occur between the time of the close of the foreign market on which they are traded and the close of regular trading on the NYSE will not be reflected in the Fund s NAV.

Options that are traded over-the-counter will be valued using one of three methods: (1) dealer quotes; (2) industry models with objective inputs; or (3) by using a benchmark arrived at by comparing prior-day dealer quotes with the corresponding change in the underlying security. Exchange traded options will be valued using the last reported sale. If no last sale is reported, exchange traded options will be valued using an industry accepted model such as Black Scholes. Options on currencies purchased by the Fund are valued using industry models with objective inputs.

Fair value is defined as the price that the Fund would receive to sell an asset or pay to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Each investment asset or liability of the Fund is assigned a level at measurement date based on the significance and source of the inputs to its valuation. Quoted prices in active markets for identical securities are classified as Level 1, inputs other than quoted prices for an asset or liability that are observable are classified as Level 2 and unobservable inputs, including the sub-adviser s judgment about the assumptions that a market participant would use in pricing an asset or liability are classified as Level 3. The inputs used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risks associated with investing in those securities. Short-term securities of sufficient credit quality which are valued at amortized

cost, which approximates fair value, are generally considered to be Level 2 securities under applicable accounting rules. A table summarizing the Fund s investments under these levels of classification is included following the Summary Portfolio of Investments.

The Board has adopted methods for valuing securities and other assets in circumstances where market quotes are not readily available, and has delegated the responsibility for applying the valuation methods to the Pricing Committee as established by the Fund s Administrator. The Pricing Committee considers all facts it deems relevant that are reasonably available, through either public information or information available to the Investment Adviser or sub-adviser, when determining the fair value of the security. In the event that a security or asset cannot be valued pursuant to one of the valuation methods established by the Board, the fair value of the security or asset will be determined in good faith by the Pricing Committee. When the Fund uses these fair valuation methods that use significant unobservable inputs to determine its NAV, securities will be priced by a method that the Pricing Committee believes accurately reflects fair value and are categorized as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The methodologies used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risks of investing in those securities valued in good faith at fair value nor can it be assured the Fund can obtain the fair value assigned to a security if it were to sell the security.

To assess the continuing appropriateness of security valuations, the Pricing Committee may compare prior day prices, prices on comparable securities, and traded prices to the prior or current day prices and the Pricing Committee challenges those prices exceeding certain tolerance levels with the third party pricing service or broker source. For those securities valued in good faith at fair value, the Pricing Committee reviews and affirms the reasonableness of the valuation on a regular basis after considering all relevant information that is reasonably available.

For fair valuations using significant unobservable inputs, U.S. GAAP requires a reconciliation of the beginning to ending balances for reported fair values that presents changes attributable to total realized and unrealized gains or losses, purchases and sales, and transfers in or out of the Level 3 category during the period. The end of period timing recognition is used for the transfers between Levels of the Fund s assets and liabilities. A reconciliation of Level 3 investments is

Table of Contents

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF FEBRUARY 28, 2013 (CONTINUED)

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING

POLICIES (continued)

presented when the Fund has a significant amount of Level 3 investments.

For the year ended February 28, 2013, there have been no significant changes to the fair valuation methodologies.

B. ***Security Transactions and Revenue Recognition.*** Security transactions are recorded on the trade date. Realized gains or losses on sales of investments are calculated on the identified cost basis. Interest income is recorded on the accrual basis. Premium amortization and discount accretion are determined using the effective yield method. Dividend income is recorded on the ex-dividend date, or in the case of some foreign dividends, when the information becomes available to the Fund.

C. ***Foreign Currency Translation.*** The books and records of the Fund are maintained in U.S. dollars. Any foreign currency amounts are translated into U.S. dollars on the following basis:

(1) Market value of investment securities, other assets and liabilities at the exchange rates prevailing at the end of the day.

(2) Purchases and sales of investment securities, income and expenses at the rates of exchange prevailing on the respective dates of such transactions

Although the net assets and the market values are presented at the foreign exchange rates at the end of the day, the Fund does not isolate the portion of the results of operations resulting from changes in foreign exchange rates on investments from the fluctuations arising from changes in market prices of securities held. Such fluctuations are included with the net realized and unrealized gains or losses from investments. For securities, which are subject to foreign withholding tax upon disposition, liabilities are recorded on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities for the estimated tax withholding based on the securities current market value. Upon disposition, realized gains or losses on such securities are recorded net of foreign withholding tax. Reported net realized foreign exchange gains or losses arise from sales of foreign currencies, currency gains or losses realized between the trade and settlement dates on securities transactions, the difference between the amounts of dividends, interest, and foreign withholding taxes recorded on the Fund s books and the U.S. dollar equivalent of the amounts actually

received or paid. Net unrealized foreign exchange gains and losses arise from changes in the value of assets and liabilities other than investments in securities at period end, resulting from changes in the exchange rate. Foreign security and currency transactions may involve certain considerations and risks not typically associated with investing in U.S. companies and U.S. government securities. These risks include, but are not limited to, revaluation of currencies and future adverse political and economic developments which could cause securities and their markets to be less liquid and prices more volatile than those of comparable U.S. companies and U.S. government securities.

D. ***Distributions to Shareholders.*** The Fund intends to make quarterly distributions from its cash available for distribution, which consists of the Fund s dividends and interest income after payment of Fund expenses, net option premiums and net realized and unrealized gains on investments. Such quarterly distributions may also consist of a return of capital. At least annually, the Fund intends to distribute all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains. Distributions are recorded on the ex-dividend date. Distributions are determined annually in accordance with federal tax principles, which may differ from U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for investment companies.

The tax treatment and characterization of the Fund s distributions may vary significantly from time to time depending on whether the Fund has gains or losses on the call options written on its portfolio versus gains or losses on the equity securities in the portfolio. Each quarter, the Fund will provide disclosures with distribution payments made that estimate the percentages of that distribution that represent net investment income, other income or capital gains, and return of capital, if any. The final composition of the tax characteristics of the distributions cannot be determined with certainty until after the end of the Fund s tax year, and will be reported to shareholders at that time. A significant portion of the Fund s distributions may constitute a return of capital. The amount of quarterly distributions will vary, depending on a number of factors. As portfolio and market conditions change, the rate of dividends on the common shares will change. There can be no assurance that the Fund will be able to declare a dividend in each period.

E. ***Federal Income Taxes.*** It is the policy of the Fund to comply with the requirements of subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code that are applicable to regulated

Table of Contents

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF FEBRUARY 28, 2013 (CONTINUED)

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING

POLICIES (continued)

investment companies and to distribute substantially all of its net investment income and any net realized capital gains to its shareholders. Therefore, a federal income tax or excise tax provision is not required. Management has considered the sustainability of the Fund s tax positions taken on federal income tax returns for all open tax years in making this determination.

F. ***Use of Estimates.*** The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported mounts of increases and decreases in net assets from operations during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

G. ***Risk Exposures and the use of Derivative Instruments.*** The Fund s investment objectives permit the Fund to enter into various types of derivatives contracts, including, but not limited to, forward foreign currency exchange contracts, futures and purchased and written options. In doing so, the Fund will employ strategies in differing combinations to permit it to increase or decrease the level of risk, or change the level or types of exposure to market risk factors. This may allow the Fund to pursue its objectives more quickly and efficiently, than if it were to make direct purchases or sales of securities capable of affecting a similar response to market factors.

Market Risk Factors. In pursuit of its investment objectives, the Fund may seek to use derivatives to increase or decrease its exposure to the following market risk factors:

Credit Risk. Credit risk relates to the ability of the issuer to meet interest and principal payments, or both, as they come due. In general, lower-grade, higher-yield bonds are subject to credit risk to a greater extent than lower-yield, higher-quality bonds.

Equity Risk. Equity risk relates to the change in value of equity securities as they relate to increases or decreases in the general market.

Foreign Exchange Rate Risk. Foreign exchange rate risk relates to the change in U.S. dollar value of a security held that is denominated in a foreign currency. The U.S. dollar value of a foreign currency denominated

security will decrease as the dollar appreciates against the currency, while the U.S. dollar value will increase as the dollar depreciates against the currency.

Interest Rate Risk. Interest rate risk refers to the fluctuations in value of fixed-income securities resulting from the inverse relationship between price and yield. For example, an increase in general interest rates will tend to reduce the market value of already issued fixed-income investments, and a decline in general interest rates will tend to increase their value. In addition, debt securities with longer duration, which tend to have higher yields, are subject to potentially greater fluctuations in value from changes in interest rates than obligations with shorter duration. The Fund may lose money if short-term or long-term interest rates rise sharply or otherwise change in a manner not anticipated by the Sub-Adviser. As of the date of this report, interest rates in the United States are at, or near, historic lows, which may increase the Fund s exposure to risks associated with rising interest rates.

Risks of Investing in Derivatives. The Fund s use of derivatives can result in losses due to unanticipated changes in the market risk factors and the overall market. In instances where the Fund is using derivatives to decrease, or hedge, exposures to market risk factors for securities held by the Fund, there are also risks that those derivatives may not perform as expected resulting in losses for the combined or hedged positions.

The use of these strategies involves certain special risks, including a possible imperfect correlation, or even no correlation, between price movements of derivative instruments and price movements of related investments. While some strategies involving derivative instruments can reduce the risk of loss, they can also reduce the opportunity for gain or even result in losses by offsetting favorable price movements in related investments or otherwise, due to the possible inability of the Fund to purchase or sell a portfolio security at a time that otherwise would be favorable or the possible need to sell a portfolio security at a disadvantageous time because the Fund is required to maintain asset coverage or offsetting positions in connection with transactions in derivative instruments. Additional associated risks from investing in derivatives also exist and potentially could have significant effects on the valuation of the derivative and the Fund. Associated risks are not the risks that the Fund is attempting to increase or decrease exposure to, per its investment objectives, but are the additional risks from investing in derivatives. Examples of these associated risks are liquidity risk, which is the risk that the Fund will not be

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING

POLICIES (continued)

able to sell the derivative in the open market in a timely manner, and counterparty credit risk, which is the risk that the counterparty will not fulfill its obligation to the Fund. Associated risks can be different for each type of derivative and are discussed by each derivative type in the following notes.

Counterparty Credit Risk and Credit Related Contingent Features. Certain derivative positions are subject to counterparty credit risk, which is the risk that the counterparty will not fulfill its obligation to the Fund. The Fund s derivative counterparties are financial institutions who are subject to market conditions that may weaken their financial position. The Fund intends to enter into financial transactions with counterparties that it believes to be creditworthy at the time of the transaction. To reduce this risk, the Fund generally enters into master netting arrangements, established within the Fund s International Swap and Derivatives Association, Inc. (ISDA) Master Agreements (Master Agreements). These agreements are with select counterparties and they govern transactions, including certain over-the-counter (OTC) derivative and forward foreign currency contracts, entered into by the Fund and the counterparty. The Master Agreements maintain provisions for general obligations, representations, agreements, collateral, and events of default or termination. The occurrence of a specified event of termination may give a counterparty the right to terminate all of its contracts and affect settlement of all outstanding transactions under the applicable Master Agreement.

The Fund may also enter into collateral agreements with certain counterparties to further mitigate credit risk associated with OTC derivative and forward foreign currency contracts. Subject to established minimum levels, collateral is generally determined based on the net aggregate unrealized gain or loss on contracts with a certain counterparty. Collateral pledged to the Fund is held in a segregated account by a third-party agent and can be in the form of cash or debt securities issued by the U.S. government or related agencies.

As of February 28, 2013, the maximum amount of loss the Fund would incur if the counterparties to its derivative transactions failed to perform would be $1,188,565, which represents the gross payments to be received by the Fund on open forward foreign currency contracts were they to be unwound as of February 28, 2013. To reduce the amount of potential loss to the

Fund, certain counterparties have posted $830,000 in cash collateral to mitigate counterparty credit risk. There were no credit events during the year ended February 28, 2013 that triggered any credit related contingent features.

The Fund s master agreements with derivative counterparties have credit related contingent features that if triggered would allow its derivatives counterparties to close out and demand payment or additional collateral to cover their exposure from the Fund. Credit related contingent features are established between the Fund and its derivatives counterparties to reduce the risk that the Fund will not fulfill its payment obligations to its counterparties. These triggering features include, but are not limited to, a percentage decrease in the Fund s net assets and or a percentage decrease in the Fund s NAV, which could cause the Fund to accelerate payment of any net liability owed to the counterparty. The contingent features are established within the Fund s Master Agreements.

As of February 28, 2013, the Fund had a liability position of $3,195,294 on open forward foreign currency contracts and written options with credit related contingent features. If a contingent feature would have been triggered as of February 28, 2013, the Fund could have been required to pay this amount in cash to its counterparties. As of February 28, 2013 the Fund did not post collateral for its open derivatives transactions. There were no credit events during the year ended February 28, 2013 that triggered any credit related contingent features.

H. ***Forward Foreign Currency Contracts and Futures Contracts.*** The Fund may enter into forward foreign currency contracts primarily to hedge against foreign currency exchange rate risks on its non-U.S. dollar denominated investment securities. When entering into a forward foreign currency contract, the Fund agrees to receive or deliver a fixed quantity of reign currency for an agreed-upon price on an agreed future date. These contracts are valued daily and the Fund s net equity therein, representing unrealized gain or loss on the contracts as measured by the difference between the forward foreign exchange rates at the dates of entry into the contracts and the forward rates at the reporting date, is included in the statement of assets and liabilities. Realized and unrealized gains and losses on forward foreign currency contracts are included on the Statement of Operations. These instruments involve market and/or credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the statement of assets and liabilities. Risks arise from the

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING

POLICIES (continued)

possible inability of counterparties to meet the terms of their contracts and from movement in currency and securities values and interest rates.

During the year ended February 28, 2013, the Fund used forward foreign currency contracts to hedge its investments in non-U.S. dollar denominated equity securities in an attempt to decrease the volatility of the Fund s NAV.

During the year ended February 28, 2013, the Fund had average contract amounts on forward foreign currency contracts to buy and sell of $7,603,297 and $90,464,306, respectively.

The Fund may enter into futures contracts involving foreign currency, interest rates, securities and securities indices. A futures contract obligates the seller of the contract to deliver and the purchaser of the contract to take delivery of the type of foreign currency, financial instrument or security called for in the contract at a specified future time for a specified price. Upon entering into such a contract, the Fund is required to deposit and maintain as collateral such initial margin as required by the exchange on which the contract is traded. Pursuant to the contract, the Fund agrees to receive from or pay to the broker an amount equal to the daily fluctuations in the value of the contract. Such receipts or payments are known as variation margin and are recorded as unrealized gains or losses by the Fund. When the contract is closed, the Fund records a realized gain or loss equal to the difference between the value of the contract at the time it was opened and the value at the time it was closed.

Futures contracts are exposed to the market risk factor of the underlying financial instrument. During the year ended February 28, 2013, the Fund had purchased futures contracts on various equity indices primarily to provide exposures to such index returns while allowing the fund managers to maintain a certain level of cash balances in the Fund. Additional associated risks of entering into futures contracts include the possibility that there may be an illiquid market where the Fund is unable to liquidate the contract or enter into an offsetting position and, if used for hedging purposes, the risk that the price of the contract will correlate imperfectly with the prices of the Fund s securities. With futures, there is minimal counterparty credit risk to the Fund since futures are exchange traded and the exchange s clearinghouse, as counterparty to all exchange traded futures, guarantees the futures against default.

During the year ended February 28, 2013, the Fund had an average notional value of $12,687,764 on purchased futures contracts.

I. ***Options Contracts.*** The Fund may purchase put and call options and may write (sell) put options and covered call options. The premium received by the Fund upon the writing of a put or call option is included in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities as a liability which is subsequently marked-to-market until it is exercised or closed, or it expires. The Fund will realize a gain or loss upon the expiration or closing of the option contract. When an option is exercised, the proceeds on sales of the underlying security for a written call option or purchased put option or the purchase cost of the security for a written put option or a purchased call option is adjusted by the amount of premium received or paid. The risk in writing a call option is that the Fund gives up the opportunity for profit if the market price of the security increases and the option is exercised. The risk in buying an option is that the Fund pays a premium whether or not the option is exercised. Risks may also arise from an illiquid secondary market or from the inability of counterparties to meet the terms of the contract.

The Fund generates premiums and seeks gains by writing OTC call options on indices on a portion of the value of the equity portfolio. Please refer to Note 7 for the volume of written option activity during the year ended February 28, 2013.

J. ***Indemnifications.*** In the normal course of business, the Fund may enter into contracts that provide certain indemnifications. The Fund s maximum exposure under these arrangements is dependent on future claims that may be made against the Fund and, therefore, cannot be estimated; however, based on experience, management considers the risk of loss from such claims remote.

NOTE 3 INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATIVE FEES

ING Investments, LLC (ING Investments or the Investment Adviser), an Arizona limited liability company, is the Investment Adviser of the Fund. The Fund pays the Investment Adviser for its services under the investment management agreement (Management Agreement), a fee, payable monthly, based on an annual rate of 0.75% of the Fund s average daily managed assets. For purposes of the Management Agreement, managed assets are

Table of Contents

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF FEBRUARY 28, 2013 (CONTINUED)

NOTE 3 INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATIVE FEES (continued)

defined as the Fund s average daily gross asset value, minus the sum of the Fund s accrued and unpaid dividends on any outstanding preferred shares and accrued liabilities (other than liabilities for the principal amount of any borrowings incurred, commercial paper or notes issued by the Fund and the liquidation preference of any outstanding preferred shares). As of February 28, 2013, there were no preferred shares outstanding.

The Investment Adviser entered into a sub-advisory agreement (Sub-Advisory Agreement) with ING Investment Management Advisors B.V. (IIMA), a subsidiary of ING Groep N.V., domiciled in The Hague, The Netherlands, and ING Investment Management Co. LLC, a Delaware limited liability company. Subject to policies as the Board or the Investment Adviser might determine, IIMA and ING IM manage the Fund s assets in accordance with the Fund s investment objectives, policies and limitations.

ING Funds Services, LLC (the Administrator), a Delaware limited liability company, serves as Administrator to the Fund. The Fund pays the Administrator for its services a fee based on an annual rate of 0.10% of the Fund s average daily managed assets.

NOTE 4 EXPENSE LIMITATION AGREEMENT

The Investment Adviser has entered into a written expense limitation agreement (Expense Limitation Agreement) with the Fund under which it will limit the expenses of the Fund, excluding interest, taxes, leverage expenses, and extraordinary expenses (and acquired fund fees and expenses) to 1.00% of average daily managed assets. The Investment Adviser may at a later date recoup from the Fund fees waived and other expenses assumed by the Investment Adviser during the previous 36 months, but only if, after such recoupment, the Fund s expense ratio does not exceed the percentage described above. The Expense Limitation Agreement is contractual and shall renew automatically for one-year terms unless ING Investments or the Fund provides written notice of the termination within 90 days of the end of the then current term or upon written termination of the Management Agreement.

Waived and reimbursed fees and any recoupment by the Investment Adviser of such waived and reimbursed fees are reflected on the accompanying

Statement of Operations for the Fund. As of February 28, 2013, the amounts of waived or reimbursed fees that are subject to possible recoupment by the Investment Adviser, and the related expiration dates, are as follows:

	February 28,		
2014	**2015**	**2016**	**Total**

$	$	$ 71,945	$ 71,945

NOTE 5 OTHER TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATED AND RELATED PARTIES

The Fund incurred $97,100 of proxy and solicitation costs associated with the shareholder vote to add ING Investment Management Advisors B.V. as a sub-advisor to the Fund. The investment advisor reimbursed the Fund $97,100 for these costs.

The Fund has adopted a Deferred Compensation Plan (the Plan), which allows eligible non-affiliated trustees as described in the Plan to defer the receipt of all or a portion of the trustees fees payable. Amounts deferred are treated as though invested in various notional funds advised by ING Investments until distribution in accordance with the Plan.

NOTE 6 PURCHASES AND SALES OF INVESTMENT SECURITIES

The cost of purchases and proceeds from sales of investments for the year ended February 28, 2013, excluding short-term securities, were $522,740,580 and $549,626,584, respectively.

NOTE 7 TRANSACTIONS IN WRITTEN OPTIONS

Transactions in written OTC call options on indices were as follows:

	Number of Contracts	**Premiums Received**
Balance at 02/29/12	214,991	$ 2,646,412
Options Written	2,598,092	34,468,034
Options Expired	(838,016)	(10,420,120)
Options Exercised		
Options Terminated in Closing Purchase Transactions	(1,800,467)	(24,107,668)
Balance at 02/28/13	174,600	$ 2,586,658

NOTE 8 CONCENTRATION OF INVESTMENT RISKS

All mutual funds involve risk some more than others and there is always the chance that you could lose money or not earn as much as you hope. The Fund s risk profile is largely a factor of the principal securities in which it invests and investment techniques

17

Table of Contents

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF FEBRUARY 28, 2013 (CONTINUED)

NOTE 8 CONCENTRATION OF INVESTMENT

RISKS (continued)

that it uses. For more information regarding the types of securities and investment techniques that may be used by the Fund and its corresponding risks, see the Fund s most recent Prospectus and/or the Statement of Additional Information.

Foreign Securities and Emerging Markets. The Fund makes significant investments in foreign securities and may invest up to 20% of its managed assets in securities issued by companies located in countries with emerging markets. Investments in foreign securities may entail risks not present in domestic investments. Since investments in securities are denominated in foreign currencies, changes in the relationship of these foreign currencies to the U.S. dollar can significantly affect the value of the investments and earnings of the Fund. Foreign investments may also subject the Fund to foreign government exchange restrictions, expropriation, taxation or other political, social or economic developments, as well as from movements in currency, security value and interest rate, all of which could affect the market and/or credit risk of the investments. The risks of investing in foreign securities can be intensified in the case of investments in issuers located in countries with emerging markets.

Leverage. Although the Fund has no current intention to do so, the Fund is authorized to utilize leverage through the issuance of preferred shares and/or borrowings, including the issuance of debt securities. In the event that the Fund determines in the future to utilize investment leverage, there can be no assurance that such a leveraging strategy will be successful during any period in which it is employed.

NOTE 9 CAPITAL SHARES

Transactions in capital shares and dollars were as follows:

Year or period ended	Reinvestment of distributions #	Net increase in shares outstanding #	Reinvestment of distributions ($)	Net increase ($)
2/28/2013				
2/29/2012	66,109	66,109	871,120	871,120

NOTE 10 FEDERAL INCOME TAXES

The amount of distributions from net investment income and net realized capital gains are determined in accordance with federal income tax regulations, which

may differ from U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for investment companies. These book/tax differences may be either temporary or permanent. Permanent differences are reclassified within the capital accounts based on their federal tax-basis treatment; temporary differences are not reclassified. Key differences include the treatment of short-term capital gains, foreign currency transactions, income from passive foreign investment companies (PFICs) and wash sale deferrals. Distributions in excess of net investment income and/or net realized capital gains for tax purposes are reported as return of capital.

The following permanent tax differences have been reclassified as of the Fund s tax year ended December 31, 2012:

Paid-in Capital	**Undistributed Net Investment Income**	**Accumulated Net Realized Gains/ (Losses)**
$ (3,827,168)	$ 2,983,804	$ 843,364

Dividends paid by the Fund from net investment income and distributions of net realized short-term capital gains are, for federal income tax purposes, taxable as ordinary income to shareholders.

The tax composition of dividends and distributions in the current period will not be determined until after the Fund s tax year-end of December 31, 2013. The tax composition of dividends and distributions as of the Fund s most recent tax year-ends was as follows:

Tax Year Ended December 31, 2012			**Tax Year Ended December 31, 2011**
Ordinary Income	**Long-term Capital Gain**	**Return of Capital**	**Ordinary Income**
$ 12,130,631	$ 5,800,051	$ 3,784,430	$ 24,121,012

The tax-basis components of distributable earnings as of the tax year ended December 31, 2012 were:

Unrealized Appreciation/ (Depreciation)
$ 2,073,041

The Fund s major tax jurisdictions are U.S. federal and Arizona. The earliest tax year that remains subject to examination by these jurisdictions is 2008.

As of February 28, 2013, no provision for income tax is required in the Fund s financial statements as a result of tax positions taken on federal and state income tax returns for open tax years. The Fund s federal and state income and federal excise tax returns for tax years for which the applicable statutes of limitations have not expired are subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service and state department of revenue.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF FEBRUARY 28, 2013 (CONTINUED)

NOTE 11 RESTRUCTURING PLAN

The Investment Adviser, ING IM, and the Administrator, are indirect, wholly-owned subsidiaries of ING U.S., Inc. (ING U.S.). ING U.S. is a U.S.-based financial institution whose subsidiaries operate in the retirement, investment, and insurance industries. As of February 28, 2013, ING U.S. and IIMA are wholly-owned subsidiaries of ING Groep N.V. (ING Groep), which is a global financial institution of Dutch origin, with operations in more than 40 countries.

In October 2009, ING Groep submitted a restructuring plan (the Restructuring Plan) to the European Commission in order to receive approval for state aid granted to ING Groep by the Kingdom of the Netherlands in November 2008 and March 2009. To receive approval for this state aid, ING Groep was required to divest its insurance and investment management businesses, including ING U.S., before the end of 2013. In November 2012, the Restructuring Plan was amended to permit ING Groep additional time to complete the divestment. Pursuant to the amended Restructuring Plan, ING Groep must divest at least 25% of ING U.S. by the end of 2013, more than 50% by the end of 2014, and the remaining interest by the end of 2016 (such divestment, the Separation Plan).

On November 9, 2012, ING U.S. filed a Registration Statement on Form S-1 (the Form S-1) with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) to register an initial public offering of ING U.S. common stock (the IPO). Following an IPO, ING Groep would likely continue to own a majority of the common stock of ING U.S. Subsequent to an IPO, ING Groep would likely sell its controlling ownership interest in ING U.S. over time. While the base case for the Separation Plan is the IPO, all options remain open and it is possible that ING Groep s divestment of ING U.S. may take place by means of a sale to a single buyer or group of buyers. Notwithstanding the filing of the Form S-1, there can be no assurance that the IPO will occur.

It is anticipated that one or more of the transactions contemplated by the Separation Plan would result in the automatic termination of the existing advisory and sub-advisory agreements under which the Adviser and sub-adviser(s) provide services to the Fund. In order to

ensure that the existing investment advisory and sub-advisory services can continue uninterrupted, the Board approved new advisory and sub-advisory agreements for the Fund in connection with the IPO. In addition, shareholders of the Fund will be asked to approve new investment advisory and sub-advisory agreements prompted by the IPO, as well as any future advisory and sub-advisory agreements prompted by the Separation Plan that are approved by the Board and whose terms are not be materially different from the current agreements. This means that shareholders may not have another opportunity to vote on a new agreement with the Adviser or an affiliated sub-adviser even if they undergo a change of control, as long as no single person or group of persons acting together gains control (as defined in the 1940 Act) of ING U.S.

The Separation Plan, whether implemented through public offerings or other means, may be disruptive to the businesses of ING U.S. and its subsidiaries, including the Adviser and affiliated entities that provide services to the

Fund, and may cause, among other things, interruption of business operations or services, diversion of management s attention from day-to-day operations, reduced access to capital, and loss of key employees or customers. The completion of the Separation Plan is expected to result in the Adviser s and affiliated entities loss of access to the resources of ING Groep, which could adversely affect its business. It is anticipated that ING U.S., as a stand-alone entity, may be a publicly held U.S. company subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as well as other U.S. government and state regulations, and subject to the risk of changing regulation.

During the time that ING Groep retains a majority interest in ING U.S., circumstances affecting ING Groep, including restrictions or requirements imposed on ING Groep by European and other authorities, may also affect ING U.S. A failure to complete the Separation Plan could create uncertainty about the nature of the relationship between ING U.S. and ING Groep, and could adversely affect ING U.S. and the Adviser and its affiliates. Currently, the Adviser and its affiliates do not anticipate that the Separation Plan will have a material adverse impact on their operations or the Fund and its operation.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF FEBRUARY 28, 2013 (CONTINUED)

NOTE 12 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Dividends: Subsequent to February 28, 2013, the Fund made a distribution of:

Per Share Amount	Declaration Date	Payable Date	Record Date
$ 0.280	3/15/2013	4/15/2013	4/3/2013

Each quarter, the Fund will provide disclosures with distribution payments made that estimate the percentages of that distribution that represent net investment income, capital gains, and return of capital, if any. A significant portion of the quarterly distribution payments made by the Fund may constitute a return of capital.

At a meeting of the Board on January 10, 2013, the Board nominated to Class II of the Board five individuals (collectively, the Nominees) for election as Trustees of the Trust. The Nominees include John V. Boyer, Patricia W. Chadwick, and Sheryl K. Pressler, each of whom is a current member of the Board. In addition, the Board has nominated to Class II of the Board Albert E. DePrince Jr. and Martin J. Gavin and appointed to Class I of the Board Joseph E. Obermeyer and Russell H. Jones, each of whom is not currently a

member of the Board, but serves as a director or trustee to other investment companies in the ING Fund complex. If the Nominees are approved by shareholders, the election of the Nominees and appointment of Messrs. Obermeyer and Jones are expected to be effective May 21, 2013. These nominations and appointments are, in part, the result of an effort on the part of the Board, another board in the ING Fund complex, and the Investment Adviser to the Fund to consolidate the membership of the boards so that the same members serve on each board in the ING Fund complex. A proxy statement has been sent to shareholders of the Fund included in this report, as well as shareholders of other ING Funds, seeking approval of the same Nominees. If these proposals were all approved by shareholders, the result would be that all ING Funds would be governed by a board made up of the same individuals.

The Fund has evaluated events occurring after the balance sheet date (subsequent events) to determine whether any subsequent events necessitated adjustment to or disclosure in the financial statements. Other than the above, no such subsequent events were identified.

Table of Contents

SUMMARY PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS

ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund

as of February 28, 2013

Shares		Value	Percentage of Net Assets
COMMON STOCK: 95.6%			
	Brazil: 1.4%		
225,970	Other Securities	$ 3,409,902	1.4
	Canada: 0.5%		
40,302	Other Securities	1,218,733	0.5
	China: 0.9%		
3,350,000	Other Securities	2,058,703	0.9
	France: 2.8%		
63,902	Total S.A.	3,190,356	1.3
167,614	Other Securities	3,471,836	1.5
		6,662,192	**2.8**
	Germany: 3.8%		
14,955	Allianz AG	2,038,909	0.9
22,169	Bayerische Motoren Werke AG	2,038,241	0.8
82,598	Other Securities	4,910,153	2.1
		8,987,303	**3.8**
	Hong Kong: 0.9%		
475,970	AIA Group Ltd.	2,058,364	0.9
	Ireland: 0.9%		
38,265	Other Securities	2,014,652	0.9
	Israel: 1.8%		
161,442	Other Securities	4,135,288	1.8
	Italy: 0.5%		
71,388	Other Securities	1,229,810	0.5
	Japan: 9.4%		
184,300	Itochu Corp.	2,126,583	0.9
69,900	Japan Tobacco, Inc.	2,207,028	0.9
7,300	Keyence Corp.	2,055,208	0.9
484,200	Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc.	2,683,644	1.1
68,100	Sumitomo Mitsui Financial Group, Inc.	2,717,809	1.2
72,389	Tokio Marine Holdings, Inc.	2,040,697	0.9

70,500	Toyota Motor Corp.	3,620,851	1.5
887,960	Other Securities	4,843,064	2.0
		22,294,884	**9.4**
	Mexico: 1.1%		
136,813	Other Securities	2,596,061	1.1
	Netherlands: 0.4%		
64,703	Other Securities	981,751	0.4

Shares		**Value**	**Percentage of Net Assets**
COMMON STOCK: (continued)			
	Norway: 0.6%		
62,326	Other Securities	$ 1,471,877	0.6
	Peru: 0.5%		
46,278	Other Securities	1,185,642	0.5
	Poland: 0.7%		
13,634	Other Securities	1,725,852	0.7
	Russia: 1.2%		
263,869	Other Securities	2,939,674	1.2
	Singapore: 2.0%		
181,000	DBS Group Holdings Ltd.	2,201,022	0.9
2,106,593	Other Securities	2,559,611	1.1
		4,760,633	**2.0**
	South Korea: 1.3%		
2,103	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	2,978,647	1.3
	Spain: 0.8%		
138,917	Other Securities	1,813,196	0.8
	Sweden: 0.6%		
116,000	Other Securities	1,410,165	0.6
	Switzerland: 6.4%		
33,641	Cie Financiere Richemont SA	2,698,309	1.1
56,500	Nestle S.A.	3,944,297	1.7
46,357	Novartis AG	3,139,210	1.3
16,826	Roche Holding AG -Genusschein	3,849,927	1.6
29,910	Other Securities	1,564,707	0.7
		15,196,450	**6.4**
	Taiwan: 0.6%		
81,608	Other Securities	1,489,346	0.6
	Turkey: 0.4%		

182,355	Other Securities	885,869	0.4
	United Kingdom: 8.5%		
437,852	BP PLC	2,936,046	1.2
289,723	HSBC Holdings PLC (GBP)	3,208,897	1.4
149,294	Prudential PLC	2,215,948	0.9
48,124	Rio Tinto PLC	2,573,320	1.1
73,535	Standard Chartered PLC	1,996,091	0.8

See Accompanying Notes to Financial Statements

Table of Contents

SUMMARY PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS

ING GLOBAL ADVANTAGE AND PREMIUM OPPORTUNITY FUND

AS OF FEBRUARY 28, 2013 (CONTINUED)

Shares			Value	Percentage of Net Assets
COMMON STOCK: (continued)				
		United Kingdom: (continued)		
136,987		WPP PLC	$ 2,182,753	0.9
271,164		Other Securities	5,099,311	2.2
			20,212,366	**8.5**
		United States: 47.6%		
67,421		Altria Group, Inc.	2,261,975	1.0
84,778		AT&T, Inc.	3,044,378	1.3
206,970		Bank of America Corp.	2,324,273	1.0
144,036		Blackstone Group LP	2,722,280	1.1
130,505		Cisco Systems, Inc.	2,721,029	1.1
78,558		Citigroup, Inc.	3,297,079	1.4
50,909		CVS Caremark Corp.	2,602,468	1.1
65,352		Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold, Inc.	2,086,036	0.9
165,460		General Electric Co.	3,841,981	1.6
20,728		Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.	3,104,225	1.3
4,660	@	Google, Inc. - Class A	3,733,592	1.6
33,855		Hess Corp.	2,251,357	0.9
89,764		JPMorgan Chase & Co.	4,391,255	1.8
80,394		Merck & Co., Inc.	3,435,236	1.4
124,984		Microsoft Corp.	3,474,555	1.5
79,937	@	Mylan Laboratories	2,366,935	1.0
68,610	@	NetApp, Inc.	2,321,076	1.0
37,778		Nike, Inc.	2,057,390	0.9
30,765		Occidental Petroleum Corp.	2,532,882	1.1
89,741		Oracle Corp.	3,074,527	1.3
159,942		Pfizer, Inc.	4,377,613	1.8
33,354		Philip Morris International, Inc.	3,060,230	1.3
43,858		Procter & Gamble Co.	3,341,102	1.4
38,665		Qualcomm, Inc.	2,537,584	1.1
37,429		Target Corp.	2,356,530	1.0
39,456		Walt Disney Co.	2,153,903	0.9
98,809		Wells Fargo & Co.	3,466,220	1.5
1,065,253		Other Securities	33,977,014	14.3
			112,914,725	**47.6**
		Total Common Stock (Cost $215,380,672)	**226,632,085**	**95.6**

Assets in Excess of Other Liabilities	**10,402,327**	**4.4**
Net Assets	**$ 237,034,412**	**100.0**

Other Securities represents issues not identified as the top 50 holdings in terms of market value and issues or issuers not exceeding 1% of net assets individually or in aggregate respectively as of February 28, 2013.

The following footnotes apply to either the individual securities noted or one or more of the securities aggregated and listed as a single line item.

@ Non-income producing security
Cost for federal income tax purposes is $215,767,001.

Net unrealized appreciation consists of:	
Gross Unrealized Appreciation	$ 15,447,723
Gross Unrealized Depreciation	(4,582,639)
Net Unrealized Appreciation	$ 10,865,084

Sector Diversification	**Percentage of Net Assets**
Financials	22.7%
Information Technology	14.6
Energy	10.7
Consumer Staples	10.0
Industrials	9.6
Consumer Discretionary	9.0
Health Care	7.9
Materials	6.0
Utilities	3.0
Telecommunication Services	2.1
Assets in Excess of Other Liabilities	4.4
Net Assets	**100.0%**

See Accompanying Notes to Financial Statements

Table of Contents

SUMMARY PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS

ING GLOBAL ADVANTAGE AND PREMIUM OPPORTUNITY FUND

AS OF FEBRUARY 28, 2013 (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurements^

The following is a summary of the fair valuations according to the inputs used as of February 28, 2013 in valuing the assets and liabilities:

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Investments (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs# (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Fair Value at February 28, 2013
Asset Table				
Investments, at fair value				
Common Stock				
Brazil	$ 3,409,902	$	$	$ 3,409,902
Canada	1,218,733			1,218,733
China		2,058,703		2,058,703
France		6,662,192		6,662,192
Germany		8,987,303		8,987,303
Hong Kong		2,058,364		2,058,364
Ireland	2,014,652			2,014,652
Israel	2,931,921	1,203,367		4,135,288
Italy		1,229,810		1,229,810
Japan		22,294,884		22,294,884
Mexico	2,596,061			2,596,061
Netherlands		981,751		981,751
Norway		1,471,877		1,471,877
Peru	1,185,642			1,185,642
Poland		1,725,852		1,725,852
Russia	2,939,674			2,939,674
Singapore		4,760,633		4,760,633
South Korea		2,978,647		2,978,647
Spain		1,813,196		1,813,196
Sweden		1,410,165		1,410,165
Switzerland	1,512,098	13,684,352		15,196,450
Taiwan	1,489,346			1,489,346
Turkey		885,869		885,869
United Kingdom		20,212,366		20,212,366
United States	112,914,725			112,914,725
Total Common Stock	132,212,754	94,419,331		226,632,085

Total Investments, at fair value	$	132,212,754	$	94,419,331	$		$	226,632,085
Other Financial Instruments+								
Futures		359,457						359,457
Forward Foreign Currency Contracts				1,188,565				1,188,565
Total Assets	$	132,572,211	$	95,607,896	$		$	228,180,107
Liabilities Table								
Other Financial Instruments+								
Written Options	$		$	(3,093,462)	$		$	(3,093,462)
Forward Foreign Currency Contracts				(101,832)				(101,832)
Total Liabilities	$		$	(3,195,294)	$		$	(3,195,294)

^ See Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to Financial Statements for additional information.

\+ Other Financial Instruments are derivatives not reflected in the Portfolio of Investments and may include open forward foreign currency contracts, equity forwards, futures, swaps, and written options. Forward foreign currency contracts, equity forwards and futures are valued at the unrealized gain (loss) on the instrument. Swaps and written options are valued at the fair value of the instrument.

\# The earlier close of the foreign markets gives rise to the possibility that significant events, including broad market moves, may have occurred in the interim and may materially affect the value of those securities. To account for this, the Fund may frequently value many of its foreign equity securities using fair value prices based on third party vendor modeling tools to the extent available. Accordingly, a portion of the Fund s investments are categorized as Level 2 investments.

See Accompanying Notes to Financial Statements

Table of Contents

SUMMARY PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS

ING GLOBAL ADVANTAGE AND PREMIUM OPPORTUNITY FUND

AS OF FEBRUARY 28, 2013 (CONTINUED)

At February 28, 2013, the following forward foreign currency contracts were outstanding for the ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund:

Counterparty	Currency	Contract Amount	Buy/Sell	Settlement Date	In Exchange For	Fair Value	Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)
Barclays Bank PLC	Japanese Yen	140,000,000	Buy	03/19/13	$ 1,521,622	$ 1,510,558	$ (11,064)
							$ (11,064)
Barclays Bank PLC	Japanese Yen	2,292,000,000	Sell	03/19/13	$ 24,639,227	$ 24,729,995	$ (90,768)
Barclays Bank PLC	Israeli New Shekel	15,750,000	Sell	03/19/13	4,274,388	4,238,487	35,901
Barclays Bank PLC	British Pound	13,750,000	Sell	03/19/13	21,335,999	20,857,668	478,331
Barclays Bank PLC	Swiss Franc	12,500,000	Sell	03/19/13	13,549,577	13,338,170	211,407
Barclays Bank PLC	EU Euro	16,740,000	Sell	03/19/13	22,320,279	21,857,353	462,926
							$ 1,097,797

ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund Open Futures Contracts on February 28, 2013:

Contract Description	Number of Contracts	Expiration Date	Notional Value	Unrealized Appreciation/ (Depreciation)
Long Contracts				
Euro STOXX 50®	462	03/15/13	$ 15,893,389	$ 172,206
FTSE 100 Index	144	03/15/13	13,890,559	87,502
NIKKEI 225 (SGX)	103	03/07/13	6,386,800	77,463
S&P 500 E-Mini	82	03/15/13	6,204,530	22,286
			$ 42,375,278	$ 359,457

ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund Written OTC Options on February 28, 2013:

Counterparty	Description	Fair Value

Number of Contracts			Exercise Price		Expiration Date	Premiums Received	
Options on Indices							
1,000	Barclays Bank PLC	Call on CAC 40 Index	3,743.740	EUR	03/01/13	$ 90,178	$ (10,076)
200	Barclays Bank PLC	Call on DAX Index	7,725.400	EUR	03/01/13	35,172	(9,355)
1,700	Barclays Bank PLC	Call on Euro Stoxx 50® Index	2,630.628	EUR	04/05/13	134,316	(140,756)
1,600	Royal Bank of Scotland Group PLC	Call on Euro Stoxx 50® Index	2,711.710	EUR	03/15/13	107,128	(25,381)
1,200	Barclays Bank PLC	Call on FTSE 100 Index	6,284.053	GBP	04/05/13	188,767	(230,780)
1,300	Royal Bank of Scotland Group PLC	Call on FTSE 100 Index	6,139.830	GBP	03/01/13	169,330	(434,088)
1,200	Royal Bank of Scotland Group PLC	Call on FTSE 100 Index	6,306.430	GBP	03/15/13	168,731	(150,333)
36,000	Barclays Bank PLC	Call on Nikkei 225 Index	11,079.325	JPY	04/05/13	148,713	(232,902)
36,900	Royal Bank of Scotland Group PLC	Call on Nikkei 225 Index	10,968.260	JPY	03/01/13	120,480	(235,264)
36,300	Royal Bank of Scotland Group PLC	Call on Nikkei 225 Index	11,219.630	JPY	03/15/13	121,872	(167,580)
17,900	Royal Bank of Scotland Group PLC	Call on S&P 500 Index	1,473.810	USD	03/01/13	421,509	(729,833)
19,800	Royal Bank of Scotland Group PLC	Call on S&P 500 Index	1,509.520	USD	03/15/13	436,154	(339,841)
19,500	Royal Bank of Scotland Group PLC	Call on S&P 500 Index	1,519.000	USD	04/05/13	444,308	(387,273)
		Total Written OTC Options				$ 2,586,658	$ (3,093,462)

See Accompanying Notes to Financial Statements

24

Table of Contents

SUMMARY PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS

ING GLOBAL ADVANTAGE AND PREMIUM OPPORTUNITY FUND

AS OF FEBRUARY 28, 2013 (CONTINUED)

A summary of derivative instruments by primary risk exposure is outlined in the following tables.

The fair value of derivative instruments as of February 28, 2013 was as follows:

Derivatives not accounted for as hedging instruments	Location on Statement of Assets and Liabilities	Fair Value
Asset Derivatives		
Foreign exchange contracts	Unrealized appreciation on forward foreign currency contracts	$ 1,188,565
Equity contracts	Net Assets- Unrealized appreciation*	359,457
Total Asset Derivatives		$ 1,548,022
Liability Derivatives		
Foreign exchange contracts	Unrealized depreciation on forward foreign currency contracts	$ 101,832
Equity contracts	Written options, at fair value	3,093,462
Total Liability Derivatives		$ 3,195,294

* Includes cumulative appreciation/depreciation of futures contracts as reported in the table following the Summary Portfolio of Investments.

The effect of derivative instruments on the Fund s Statement of Operations for the year ended February 28, 2013 was as follows:

Derivatives not accounted for as hedging instruments	Amount of Realized Gain or (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income			
	Foreign currency related transactions*	Futures	Written options	Total
Equity contracts	$	$ 2,593,149	$ (6,228,991)	$ (3,635,842)
Foreign exchange contracts	3,816,945			3,816,945
Total	$ 3,816,945	$ 2,593,149	$ (6,228,991)	$ 181,103

Derivatives not accounted for as hedging instruments	Change in Unrealized Appreciation or (Depreciation) on Derivatives Recognized in Income

	Foreign currency related transactions*	Futures	Written options	Total
Equity contracts	$	$ 247,369	$ 1,210,954	$ 1,458,323
Foreign exchange contracts	2,520,851			2,520,851
Total	$ 2,520,851	$ 247,369	$ 1,210,954	$ 3,979,174

* Amounts recognized for forward foreign currency contracts are included in net realized gain (loss) on foreign currency related transactions and net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation on foreign currency related transactions.

Supplemental Option Information (Unaudited)

Supplemental Call Option Statistics as of February 28, 2013:	
% of Total Net Assets against which calls written	65.27%
Average Days to Expiration at time written	44 days
Average Call Moneyness* at time written	ATM
Premium received for calls	$ 2,586,658
Value of calls	$ (3,093,462)

* Moneyness is the term used to describe the relationship between the price of the underlying asset and the option s exercise or strike price. For example, a call (buy) option is considered in-the-money when the value of the underlying asset exceeds the strike price. Conversely, a put (sell) option is considered in-the-money when its strike price exceeds the value of the underlying asset. Options are characterized for the purpose of Moneyness as, in-the-money (ITM), out-of-the-money (OTM) or at-the-money (ATM), where the underlying asset valu the strike price.

See Accompanying Notes to Financial Statements

Table of Contents

TAX INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

Dividends and distributions paid during the tax year ended December 31, 2012 were as follows:

Fund Name	Type	Per Share Amount	
ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund	NII	$	0.4416
	STCG	$	0.2198
	LTCG	$	0.3162
	ROC	$	0.2063

NII - Net investment income

STCG - Short-term capital gain

LTCG - Long-term capital gain

ROC - Return of capital

Of the ordinary distributions made during the tax year ended December 31, 2012, 33.33% qualifies for the dividends received deduction (DRD) available to corporate shareholders.

For the tax year ended December 31, 2012, 73.94% of ordinary income dividends paid by the Fund are designated as qualifying dividend income (QDI) subject to reduced income tax rates for individuals.

Above figures may differ from those cited elsewhere in this report due to differences in the calculation of income and gains under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (book) purposes and Internal Revenue Service (tax) purposes.

Shareholders are strongly advised to consult their own tax advisers with respect to the tax consequences of their investments in the Fund. In January, shareholders, excluding corporate shareholders, receive an IRS 1099-DIV regarding the federal tax status of the dividends and distributions they received in the calendar year.

Table of Contents

TRUSTEE AND OFFICER INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The business and affairs of the Trust are managed under the direction of the Trust s Board. A Trustee, who is not an interested person of the Trust, as defined in the 1940 Act, is an independent trustee (Independent Trustee). The Trustees and Officers of the Trust are listed below. The Statement of Additional Information includes additional information about trustees of the Trust and is available, without charge, upon request at (800) 992-0180.

Name, Address and Age	Position(s) Held with the Trust	Term of Office and Length of Time Served[1]	Principal Occupation(s) During the Past 5 Years	Number of Funds in Fund Complex Overseen by Trustee[2]	Other Board Pos Held by Trust
Independent Trustees:					
Colleen D. Baldwin 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 52	Trustee	October 2007 - Present	President, Glantuam Partners, LLC, a business consulting firm (January 2009 - Present).	143	None.
John V. Boyer 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 59	Trustee	July 2005-Present	President and Chief Executive Officer, Bechtler Arts Foundation, an arts and education foundation (January 2008 - Present).	143	None.
Patricia W. Chadwick 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd.	Trustee	January 2006 - Present	Consultant and President, Ravengate Partners LLC, a consulting firm that provides advice regarding	143	Wisconsin Energy Corporation (June - Present) and The Royce Fund, (35 f

Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 64			financial markets and the global economy (January 2000 - Present).		(December 2009 - Present).
Peter S. Drotch 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 71	Trustee	October 2007 - Present	Retired.	143	First Marblehead Corporation (Sept 2003- Present).
J. Michael Earley 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 67	Trustee	July 2005 - Present	Retired. Formerly, Banking President and Chief Executive Officer, Bankers Trust Company, N.A., Des Moines (June 1992 - December 2008).	143	None.
Patrick W. Kenny 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 70	Trustee	July 2005 - Present	Retired. Formerly, President and Chief Executive Officer, International Insurance Society (June 2001 - June 2009).	143	Assured Guaranty (April 2004 - Pres
Sheryl K. Pressler 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 62	Trustee	January 2006 - Present	Consultant (May 2001 - Present).	143	Stillwater Mining Company (May 2(Present).
Roger B. Vincent 7337 East Doubletree	Chairperson/Trustee	July 2005 - Present	Retired. Formerly, President, Springwell Corporation, a corporate	143	UGI Corporation (February 2006 - Present) and UGI

Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 67	finance firm (March 1989 - August 2011).	Utilities, Inc. (Feb 2006 - Present).

27

Table of Contents

TRUSTEE AND OFFICER INFORMATION (UNAUDITED) (CONTINUED)

Name, Address and Age	Position(s) Held with the Trust	Term of Office and Length of Time Served[1]	Principal Occupation(s) During the Past 5 Years	Number of Funds in Fund Complex Overseen by Trustee[2]	Other Board Positions Held by Trustee
Trustees who are Interested Persons :					
Robert W. Crispin[3] 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 66	Trustee	October 2007 - Present	Retired.	143	Intact Financial Corporation (December 2004 - Present) and PFM Group (November 2010 - Present).
Shaun P. Mathews[3] 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 57	Trustee	June 2006 - Present	President and Chief Executive Officer, ING Investments, LLC (November 2006 - Present).	177	ING Capital Corporation, LLC (December 2005 - Present).

(1) The Board is divided into three classes, with the term of one class expiring at each annual meeting of the Trust. At each annual meeting, one class of Trustees is elected to a three - year term and serves until their successors are duly elected and qualified. The tenure of each Trustee is subject to the Board s retirement policy, which states that each duly elected or appointed Trustee who is not an interested person of the Trust, as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (Independent Trustee), shall retire from and cease to be a member of the Board of Trustees as of the close of business on December 31 of the calendar year in which the Independent Trustee attains the age of 73. A majority vote of the Board s other Independent Trustees may extend the retirement date of an Independent Trustee if the retirement would trigger a requirement to hold a meeting of shareholders of the Trust under applicable law, whether for purposes of appointing a successor to the Independent Trustee or otherwise comply with applicable law, in which case the extension would apply until such time as the shareholder

meeting can be held or is no longer required (as determined by a vote of a majority of the other Independent Trustees).

(2) Except for Mr. Mathews and for the purposes of this table Fund Complex means the following investment companies: ING Asia Pacific High Dividend Equity Income Fund; ING Emerging Markets High Dividend Equity Fund; ING Emerging Markets Local Bond Fund; ING Equity Trust; ING Funds Trust; ING Global Equity Dividend and Premium Opportunity Fund; ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund; ING Global Strategic Income Fund; ING Infrastructure, Industrials and Materials Fund; ING International High Dividend Equity Income Fund; ING Investors Trust; ING Mayflower Trust; ING Mutual Funds; ING Partners, Inc.; ING Prime Rate Trust; ING Risk Managed Natural Resources Fund; ING Senior Income Fund; ING Separate Portfolios Trust; ING Short Duration High Income Fund; ING Variable Insurance Trust; and ING Variable Products Trust. For Mr. Mathews, the ING Fund Complex also includes the following investment companies: ING Balanced Portfolio, Inc.; ING Intermediate Bond Portfolio; ING Money Market Portfolio; ING Series Fund, Inc.; ING Strategic Allocation Portfolios, Inc.; ING Variable Funds; and ING Variable Portfolios, Inc. Therefore, for the purposes of this table with reference to Mr. Mathews, Fund Complex includes these investment companies. The number of funds in the ING Fund Complex is as of March 31, 2013.

(3) Messrs. Crispin and Mathews are deemed Interested Persons of the Trust because of their current or prior affiliation with ING Groep, N.V., the parent corporation of the Investment Adviser(s) and the Distributor.

Table of Contents

TRUSTEE AND OFFICER INFORMATION (UNAUDITED) (CONTINUED)

Name, Address and Age	Position(s) Held With the Trust	Term of Office and Length of Time Served[1]	Principal Occupation(s) During the Past 5 Years
Shaun P. Mathews 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 57	President and Chief Executive Officer	November 2006 - Present	President and Chief Executive Officer, ING Investments, LLC (November 2006 - Present).
Michael J. Roland 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 54	Executive Vice President	July 2005 - Present	Managing Director and Chief Operating Officer, ING Investments, LLC and ING Funds Services, LLC (April 2012 - Present) and Chief Compliance Officer, Directed Services LLC and ING Investments, LLC (March 2011 - Present). Formerly, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, ING Investments, LLC and ING Funds Services, LLC (January 2007 - April 2012) and Chief Compliance Officer, ING Funds (March 2011 - February 2012).
Stanley D. Vyner 230 Park Avenue New York, New York 10169	Executive Vice President Chief Investment Risk Officer	July 2005 - Present September 2009 - Present	Executive Vice President, ING Investments, LLC (July 2000 - Present) and Chief Investment Risk Officer, ING Investments, LLC (January 2003 - Present).

Age: 62			
Kevin M. Gleason 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 46	Chief Compliance Officer	February 2012 - Present	Senior Vice President, ING Investments, LLC (February 2012- Present). Formerly, Assistant General Counsel and Assistant Secretary, The Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company (June 2004 - January 2012).
Todd Modic 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 45	Senior Vice President, Chief/Principal Financial Officer and Assistant Secretary	July 2005 - Present	Senior Vice President, ING Funds Services, LLC (March 2005 - Present).
Kimberly A. Anderson 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 48	Senior Vice President	July 2005 - Present	Senior Vice President, ING Investments, LLC (October 2003 - Present).
Robert Terris 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 42	Senior Vice President	May 2006 - Present	Senior Vice President, Head of Division Operations, ING Funds Services, LLC (January 2006 - Present).
Julius A. Drelick, III 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100	Senior Vice President	July 2012 - Present	Senior Vice President - Fund Compliance, ING Funds Services, LLC (June 2012 - Present). Formerly, Vice President - Platform Product Management & Project Management, ING Investments, LLC (April 2007 -

Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 46			June 2012).
Fred Bedoya 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 40	Vice President and Treasurer	September 2012 - Present	Vice President, ING Funds Services, LLC (March 2012 - Present). Formerly, Assistant Vice President - Director, ING Funds Services, LLC (March 2003 - March 2012).
Robyn L. Ichilov 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 45	Vice President	July 2005 - Present	Vice President and Treasurer, ING Funds Services, LLC (November 1995 - Present) and ING Investments, LLC (August 1997 - Present). Formerly, Treasurer, ING Funds (November 1999 - February 2012).
Maria M. Anderson 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 54	Vice President	July 2005 - Present	Vice President, ING Funds Services, LLC (September 2004 - Present).

Table of Contents

TRUSTEE AND OFFICER INFORMATION (UNAUDITED) (CONTINUED)

Name, Address and Age	Position(s) Held With the Trust	Term of Office and Length of Time Served[1]	Principal Occupation(s) During the Past 5 Years
Lauren D. Bensinger 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 59	Vice President	July 2005 - Present	Vice President, ING Investments, LLC and ING Funds Services, LLC (February 1996 - Present); Director of Compliance, ING Investments, LLC (October 2004 - Present); and Vice President and Money Laundering Reporting Officer, ING Investments Distributor, LLC (April 2010 - Present). Formerly, Chief Compliance Officer, ING Investments Distributor, LLC (August 1995 - April 2010).
Jason Kadavy 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 37	Vice President	September 2012 - Present	Vice President, ING Funds Services, LLC (July 2007 - Present).
Kimberly K. Springer 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 55	Vice President	March 2006 - Present	Vice President - Platform Product Management & Project Management, ING Investments, LLC (July 2012 - Present); Vice President, ING Investment Management - ING Funds (March 2010 - Present) and Vice President, ING Funds Services, LLC (March 2006 - Present). Formerly Managing Paralegal, Registration Statements (June 2003 - July 2012).
Craig Wheeler	Assistant Vice President	May 2008 - Present	Vice President - Director of Tax, ING Funds Services, LLC (March 2013 -

7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 44			Present). Formerly, Assistant Vice President - Director of Tax, ING Funds Services, LLC (March 2008 - March 2013).
Huey P. Falgout, Jr. 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 49	Secretary	July 2005 - Present	Senior Vice President and Chief Counsel, ING Investment Management - ING Funds (March 2010 - Present). Formerly, Chief Counsel, ING Americas, U.S. Legal Services (October 2003 - March 2010).
Theresa K. Kelety 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 50	Assistant Secretary	July 2005 - Present	Vice President and Senior Counsel, ING Investment Management - ING Funds (March 2010 - Present). Formerly, Senior Counsel, ING Americas, U.S. Legal Services (April 2008 - March 2010) and Counsel, ING Americas, U.S. Legal Services (April 2003 - April 2008).
Paul A. Caldarelli 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Rd. Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Age: 61	Assistant Secretary	June 2010 - Present	Vice President and Senior Counsel, ING Investment Management - ING Funds (March 2010 - Present). Formerly, Senior Counsel, ING Americas, U.S. Legal Services (April 2008 - March 2010) and Counsel, ING Americas, U.S. Legal Services (May 2005 - April 2008).

(1) The Officers hold office until the next annual meeting of the Board of Trustees and until their successors shall have been elected and qualified.

ADVISORY CONTRACT APPROVAL DISCUSSION (UNAUDITED)

BOARD CONSIDERATION AND RE-APPROVAL OF INVESTMENT ADVISORY AND SUB-ADVISORY CONTRACTS

Section 15(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act) provides that, after an initial period, the existing investment advisory and sub-advisory contracts for ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund (the Fund) will remain in effect only if the Board of Trustees (the Board) of the Fund, including a majority of Board members who have no direct or indirect interest in the advisory and sub-advisory contracts, and who are not interested persons of the Fund, as such term is defined under the 1940 Act (the Independent Trustees), annually review and approve them. Thus, at a meeting held on November 29, 2012, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, considered whether to renew the investment advisory contract (the Advisory Contract) between ING Investments, LLC (ING Investments or the Adviser) and the Fund and the sub-advisory contract (Sub-Advisory Contract) with ING Investment Management Co. LLC (ING IM or the Sub-Adviser).

The Independent Trustees also held separate meetings on October 24 and November 27, 2012 to consider the renewal of the Advisory and Sub-Advisory Contracts. As a result, subsequent references herein to factors considered and determinations made by the Independent Trustees include, as applicable, factors considered and determinations made on those earlier dates by the Independent Trustees.

At its November 29, 2012 meeting, the Board voted to renew the Advisory and Sub-Advisory Contracts for the Fund. In reaching these decisions, the Board took into account information furnished to it throughout the year at meetings of the Board and the Board s committees, as well as information prepared specifically in connection with the annual renewal process. Determinations by the Independent Trustees also took into account various factors that they believed, in light of the legal advice furnished to them by K&L Gates LLP (K&L Gates), their independent legal counsel, and their own business judgment, to be relevant. Further, while the Board considered at the same meeting the advisory contracts and sub-advisory contracts that were subject to renewal for each of the ING Funds under its jurisdiction, the Trustees considered the Fund s advisory and sub-advisory relationships separately.

Provided below is an overview of the Board s contract approval process in general, as well as a discussion of certain specific factors that the Board considered at its

renewal meeting. While the Board gave its attention to the information furnished at the request of the Independent Trustees that was most relevant to its considerations, discussed below are a number of the primary factors relevant to the Board s consideration as to whether to renew the Advisory and Sub-Advisory Contracts for the one-year period ending November 30, 2013. Each Board member may have accorded different weight to the various factors in reaching his or her conclusions with respect to the Fund s advisory and sub-advisory arrangements.

Overview of the Contract Renewal and Approval Process

The Board follows a structured process pursuant to which it seeks and considers relevant information when it decides whether to approve new or existing advisory and sub-advisory arrangements for the investment companies in the ING

Fund complex under its jurisdiction, including the Funds existing Advisory and Sub-Advisory Contracts. Among other actions, the Independent Trustees of the Board: retain the services of independent consultants with experience in the mutual fund industry to assist the Independent Trustees in working with the personnel employed by the Adviser or its affiliates who administer the Fund (Management) to identify the types of information presented to the Board to inform its deliberations with respect to advisory and sub-advisory relationships and to help evaluate that information; evaluate industry best practices in regard to the consideration of investment advisory and sub-advisory contracts; establish a specific format in which certain requested information is provided to the Board; and determine the process for reviewing such information in connection with advisory and sub-advisory contract renewals and approvals. The result is a process (the Contract Review Process) employed by the Board and its Independent Trustees to review and analyze information in connection with the annual renewal of the ING Funds advisory and sub-advisory contracts, as well as the review and approval of new advisory and sub-advisory relationships.

Since the Contract Review Process was first implemented, the Board s membership has changed through periodic retirements of some Trustees and the appointment and election of new Trustees. In addition, the Independent Trustees have reviewed and refined the renewal and approval process at least annually in order to request additional or revised information from Management and address certain unique characteristics related to new or existing ING Funds.

ADVISORY CONTRACT APPROVAL DISCUSSION (UNAUDITED) (CONTINUED)

The Board has established (among other committees) two Investment Review Committees (each, an IRC), which meet independently and, at times, jointly, and a Contracts Committee. Among other matters, the Contracts Committee provides oversight with respect to the Contract Review Process, and the Fund is assigned to the IRCs, jointly, which provides oversight regarding, among other matters, the investment performance of the Adviser and Sub-Adviser, as well as the oversight by the Adviser of the performance of the Sub-Adviser. The IRCs may apply a heightened level of scrutiny in cases where performance has lagged the Fund s relevant benchmark, Lipper, Inc. (Lipper) category median, and/or Morningstar, Inc. (Morningstar) category median.

The type and format of the information provided to the Board or to legal counsel for the Independent Trustees in connection with the Contract Review Process has been codified in the ING Funds 15(c) Methodology Guide. This Guide was developed under the direction of the Independent Trustees and sets out a blueprint pursuant to which the Independent Trustees request certain information that they deem important to facilitate an informed review in connection with initial and annual approvals of advisory and sub-advisory contracts. The Independent Trustees review and update the 15(c) Methodology Guide annually.

Management provides certain of the information requested by the 15(c) Methodology Guide in Fund Analysis and Comparison Tables (FACT sheets) prior to the Independent Trustees review of advisory and sub-advisory arrangements (including the Fund s Advisory and Sub-Advisory Contracts). The Independent Trustees previously retained an independent firm to verify and test the accuracy of certain FACT sheet data for a representative sample of funds in the ING Fund complex. In addition, the Contracts Committee routinely employs the services of an independent consultant to assist in its review and analysis of, among other matters, the 15(c) Methodology Guide, the content and format of the FACT sheets, and selected peer group of investment companies (Selected Peer Group) to be used by the Fund for certain comparison purposes during the renewal process. As part of an ongoing process, the Contracts Committee recommends or considers recommendations from Management for refinements to the 15(c) Methodology Guide and other aspects of the review process, and the Board s IRCs review benchmarks used to assess the performance of funds in the ING Fund complex.

The Board employed its process for reviewing contracts when considering the renewals of the Fund s Advisory and Sub-Advisory Contracts that would be effective through November 30, 2013. Set forth below is a discussion of many of the Board s primary considerations and conclusions resulting from this process.

Nature, Extent and Quality of Service

In determining whether to approve the Advisory and Sub-Advisory Contracts for the Fund for the year ending November 30, 2013, the Independent Trustees received and evaluated such information as they deemed necessary regarding the nature, extent and quality of services provided to the Fund by the Adviser and Sub-Adviser. This included information regarding the Adviser and Sub-Adviser provided throughout the year at meetings of the Board and its committees, as well as information furnished in connection with the contract renewal meetings.

The materials requested by the Independent Trustees and provided to the Board, K&L Gates and/or independent consultants that assist the Independent Trustees prior to the November 29, 2012 Board meeting included, among other information, the following items for the Fund: (1) FACT sheets that provided information regarding the expenses of the Fund and other similarly managed funds in its Selected Peer Group, as well as information regarding the Fund s performance, investment portfolio, objective and strategies; (2) reports providing risk and attribution analyses of the Fund; (3) the 15(c) Methodology Guide, which describes how the FACT sheets were prepared, including the manner in which the Fund s benchmark and Selected Peer Group were selected and how profitability was determined; (4) responses from the Adviser and Sub-Adviser to a series of questions posed by K&L Gates on behalf of the Independent Trustees; (5) copies of the forms of Advisory and Sub-Advisory Contracts; (6) copies of the Forms ADV for the Adviser and Sub-Adviser; (7) financial statements for the Adviser and Sub-Adviser; (8) a draft of a narrative summary addressing key factors the Board customarily considers in evaluating the renewals of the ING Funds (including the Fund s) advisory contracts and sub-advisory contracts, including a written analysis for the Fund of how performance, fees and expenses compare to its Selected Peer Group and/or designated benchmark(s); (9) independent analyses of Fund performance by the Fund s Chief Investment Risk Officer; and (10) other information relevant to the Board s evaluations.

Table of Contents

ADVISORY CONTRACT APPROVAL DISCUSSION (UNAUDITED) (CONTINUED)

The Board also noted that ING Groep, N.V. (ING Groep), the ultimate parent company of the Adviser and the Sub-Adviser, has announced plans for the separation of its U.S.-based insurance, retirement services and investment management operations, which include the Adviser and Sub-Adviser, into an independent, standalone company by the end of 2016. The Board further noted that this separation may result in the Adviser and Sub-Adviser s loss of access to the services and resources of their current ultimate parent company, which could adversely affect its businesses and profitability. The Board recognized that, if the separation plan is deemed to be a change of control, the investment advisory and sub-advisory agreements for the Fund would terminate and trigger the necessity for new agreements, which would require the approval of the Board and, potentially, the shareholders of the Fund. The Board also recognized that there can be no assurance that the separation plan will be carried out. The Board considered the potential effects of the separation on the Fund, the Adviser, and Sub-Adviser, including their ability prior to, during and after the separation to perform the same level of service to the Fund as the Adviser and Sub-Adviser, currently provide. In this regard, the Board noted that the Adviser and Sub-Adviser, do not currently anticipate that the separation would have a material adverse impact on the Fund or their operations and administration.

The Fund s common shares were used for purposes of certain comparisons to the funds in its Selected Peer Group. Common shares were selected because they are the only Fund class issued and outstanding. The common shares were compared to the analogous class of shares for each fund in the Selected Peer Group. The mutual funds included in the Fund s Selected Peer Group were selected based upon criteria designed to represent the Fund share class being compared to the Selected Peer Group.

In arriving at its conclusions with respect to the Advisory Contract, the Board was mindful of the manager-of-managers platform of the ING Funds that has been developed by the Adviser. The Board recognized that the Adviser is responsible for monitoring the investment program and performance of the Sub-Adviser under this manager-of-managers arrangement. The Board also considered the techniques and resources that the Adviser has developed to provide ongoing oversight of the nature, extent and quality of the services the Sub-Adviser provides to the Fund and the Sub-Adviser s compliance with applicable laws and regulations. The Board noted that to assist in

the selection and monitoring of the Sub-Adviser, the Adviser has developed an oversight process formulated by its Manager Research & Selection Group (MRSG), which analyzes both qualitative (such as in-person meetings and telephonic meetings with the Sub-Adviser and research on sub-advisers) and quantitative information (such as performance data, portfolio data and attribution analysis) about the Sub-Adviser and the Fund that it manages. The Board recognized that the MRSG also typically provides in-person reports to the IRCs at their meetings prior to any Sub-Adviser presentations. In addition, the Board noted that the MRSG prepares periodic due diligence reports regarding the Sub-Adviser based on on-site visits and information and analysis which, team members use to attempt to gain and maintain an in-depth understanding of the Sub-Adviser s investment processes and to try to identify issues that may be relevant to the Sub-Adviser s services to the Fund and/or its performance. The Board also noted that the MRSG provides written reports on these due diligence analyses to the pertinent IRC. The Board noted the resources that the Adviser has committed to its services as a manager-of-managers, including resources for reporting to the Board and the IRCs to assist them with their assessment of the investment performance of the Fund on an on-going basis throughout the year. This includes the appointment of a Chief Investment Risk Officer and his staff, who report directly to the Board and who have developed attribution analyses and other metrics used by the IRCs to analyze the key factors underlying investment performance for the funds in the ING Fund complex.

The Board also considered the techniques that the Adviser has developed to screen and perform due diligence on new sub-advisers if and when the Adviser recommends to the Board a new sub-adviser to manage a fund in the ING Fund complex. The Board noted that, for new non-ING-affiliated sub-advisers, the MRSG is responsible for: identifying qualified candidates; analyzing their investment process, personnel and resources; conducting due diligence on the candidates; and selecting the firm to propose as a new sub-adviser, as well as preparing written materials and reports to the committees and the Board as part of the process of approving any new sub-adviser for the Fund.

The Board also considered that in the course of monitoring performance of the Sub-Adviser, the MRSG has developed, based on guidance from the IRCs, a methodology for comparing performance of the Fund to the Fund s Morningstar category median, Lipper

ADVISORY CONTRACT APPROVAL DISCUSSION (UNAUDITED) (CONTINUED)

category median, and/or primary benchmark. The Board also recognized that the MRSG provides the IRCs with regular updates on the Fund and alerts the IRCs to potential issues as they arise. The Board noted that another service provided by the MSRG is the preparation of the Fund Dispersion Report. The Board also noted that the Adviser regularly monitors performance, personnel, compliance and a myriad of other issues that may arise on a day-to-day basis with regards to the Sub-Adviser and noted that, if issues are identified either through formal or informal processes, they are brought before the IRCs and the Board for consideration and action and the Adviser consistently makes its resources available to the Board and the IRCs to assist with addressing any issues that arise.

The Board noted that the Fund also benefits from the services of the Adviser s Investment Risk Management Department (the IRMD), under the leadership of the Chief Investment Risk Officer, the costs of which are shared by the Fund and the Adviser. The Board noted that the IRMD regularly presents written materials and reports to the IRCs that focus on the investment risks of the Fund. The Board also noted that the IRMD provides the IRCs with analyses that are developed to assist the IRCs in identifying trends in Fund performance and other areas over consecutive periods. The Board noted that the services provided by the IRMD are meant to provide an additional perspective for the benefit of the IRCs, which may vary from the perspective of the MRSG.

The Board also noted the techniques used by the Adviser to monitor the performance of the Sub-Adviser and the proactive approach that the Adviser, working in cooperation with the IRCs, has taken to advocate or recommend, when it believed appropriate, changes designed to assist in improving the Fund s performance.

In considering the Fund s Advisory Contract, the Board also considered the extent of benefits provided to the Fund s shareholders, beyond advisory services, from being part of the ING family of funds. This includes, in most cases, the right to exchange or transfer investments, without a sales charge, between the same class of shares of such funds or among ING Funds available on a product platform, and the wide range of ING Funds available for exchange or transfer. The Board also took into account the Adviser s ongoing efforts to reduce the expenses of the ING Funds through renegotiated arrangements with the ING Funds service providers. In addition, the Board considered the efforts of the Adviser and the expenses that it incurred in recent years to help make the ING Fund complex more balanced and efficient by the

launch of new investment products and the combinations of similar funds.

Further, the Board received periodic reports showing that the investment policies and restrictions for the Fund were consistently complied with and other periodic reports covering matters such as compliance by Adviser and Sub-Adviser personnel with codes of ethics. The Board considered reports from the Fund s Chief Compliance Officer (CCO) evaluating whether the regulatory compliance systems and procedures of the Adviser and Sub-Adviser are reasonably designed to assure compliance with the federal securities laws, including those related to, among others, late trading and market timing, best execution, fair value pricing, proxy voting and trade allocation practices. The Board also took into account the CCO s annual and periodic reports and recommendations with respect to service provider compliance programs. In this regard, the Board also considered the policies and procedures developed by the CCO in consultation with the Board s Compliance Committee that guide the CCO s compliance oversight function.

The Board reviewed the level of staffing, quality and experience of the Fund s portfolio management team. The Board took into account the respective resources and reputations of the Adviser and Sub-Adviser, and evaluated the ability of the Adviser and the Sub-Adviser to attract and retain qualified investment advisory personnel. The Board also considered the adequacy of the resources committed to the Fund (and other relevant funds in the ING Fund complex) by the Adviser and Sub-Adviser, and whether those resources are commensurate with the needs of the Fund and are sufficient to sustain appropriate levels of performance and compliance needs. In this regard, the Board considered the financial stability of the Adviser and the Sub-Adviser.

Based on their deliberations and the materials presented to them, the Board concluded that the advisory and related services provided by the Adviser and Sub-Adviser are appropriate in light of the Fund s operations, the competitive landscape of the investment company business, and investor needs, and that the nature, extent, and quality of the overall services provided by the Adviser and the Sub-Adviser were appropriate.

Fund Performance

In assessing advisory and sub-advisory relationships, the Board placed emphasis on the investment returns of the Fund. While the Board considered the performance reports and discussions with portfolio managers at Board and committee meetings during the year, particular attention in assessing performance was given to the FACT sheets furnished in connection with the renewal process. The FACT sheet prepared for the Fund included its investment performance compared to the Fund s Morningstar category median, Lipper category median, and/or primary benchmark. The FACT sheet performance data was as of June 30, 2012. In addition, the Board also considered at its November 29, 2012 meeting certain additional data regarding performance and Fund asset levels as of September 30 and October 31, 2012.

The Fund s performance was compared to its Morningstar category median and average, as well as its primary benchmark, a broad-based securities market index that appears in the Fund s shareholder report. With respect to Morningstar quintile rankings, the first quintile represents the highest (best) performance and the fifth quintile represents the lowest performance. The Fund s management fee and expense ratio were compared to the fees and expense ratios of the funds in its Selected Peer Group.

In considering whether to approve the renewal of the Advisory and Sub-Advisory Contracts for the Fund, the Board considered that, based on performance data for the periods ended June 30, 2012: (1) the Fund outperformed its Morningstar category median for all periods presented, with the exception of the three-year period, during which it underperformed; (2) the Fund outperformed its primary benchmark for the most recent calendar quarter and five-year periods, but underperformed for the year-to-date, one-year, and three-year periods; and (3) the Fund is ranked in the first (highest) quintile of its Morningstar category for the most recent calendar quarter, one-year, and five-year periods, the second quintile for the year-to-date period, and the third quintile for the three-year period.

In analyzing this performance data, the Board took into account: (1) Management s representations regarding the reasonableness of the Fund s performance during certain periods; (2) Management s analysis regarding the negative effect that sector allocation, stock selection and the Fund s call options and foreign currency hedging strategies had on the Fund s performance; (3) Management s discussion of the negative effect that the Fund s performance during the third quarter of 2011 had on the Fund s performance data; and (4) that the Board, at its September 2012 meeting, had approved a change in sub-adviser for the

Fund, and that this sub-adviser change was expected to occur in December 2012 if approved by the Fund s shareholders.

Economies of Scale

When evaluating the reasonableness of advisory fee rates, the Board considered whether economies of scale likely will be realized by the Adviser and Sub-Adviser as the Fund grows larger and the extent to which any such economies are reflected in contractual fee rates. The Board noted that the Fund, as a closed-end fund, generally does not issue new shares and is less likely to realize economies of scale from additional share purchases. The Board also considered that the Fund that does not have advisory fee rate breakpoints. In the case of sub-advisory fees, the Board considered that fee breakpoints would inure to the benefit of the Adviser, except to the extent that there are corresponding advisory fee rate breakpoints or waivers. In evaluating fee breakpoint arrangements and economies of scale, the Independent Trustees also considered prior periodic management reports, industry information on this topic and the Fund s investment performance.

Information Regarding Services to Other Clients

The Board requested and considered information regarding the nature of services and fee rates offered by the Adviser and Sub-Adviser to other clients, including other registered investment companies and relevant institutional accounts. When fee rates offered to other clients differed materially from those charged to the Fund, the Board considered any underlying rationale provided by the Adviser or the Sub-Adviser for these differences. The Board also noted that the fee rates charged to the Fund and other institutional clients of the Adviser or Sub-Adviser (including other investment companies) may differ materially due to, among other reasons: differences in services; different regulatory requirements associated with registered investment companies, such as the Fund, as compared to non-registered investment company clients; market differences in fee rates that existed when the Fund first was organized; investment capacity constraints that existed when certain contracts were first agreed upon or that might exist at present; and different pricing structures that are necessary to be competitive in different marketing channels.

Fee Rates and Profitability

The Board reviewed and considered the contractual investment advisory fee rate, combined with the

ADVISORY CONTRACT APPROVAL DISCUSSION (UNAUDITED) (CONTINUED)

administrative fee rate, payable by the Fund to the Adviser. The Board also considered the contractual sub-advisory fee rate payable by the Adviser to the Sub-Adviser for sub-advisory services for the Fund, including the portion of the contractual advisory fees that are paid to the Sub-Adviser, as compared to the portion retained by the Adviser. In addition, the Board considered fee waivers and expense limitations applicable to the fees payable by the Fund.

The Board considered: (1) the fee structure of the Fund as it relates to the services provided under the contracts; and (2) the potential fall-out benefits to the Adviser and the Sub-Adviser and their respective affiliates from their association with the Fund. For the Fund, the Board separately determined that the fee rate payable to the Adviser and the fee rate payable to the Sub-Adviser are reasonable for the services that each performs, which were considered in light of the nature, extent and quality of the services that each has performed and is expected to perform.

In considering the fee rates payable under the Advisory and Sub-Advisory Contracts for the Fund, the Board took into account the factors described above and also considered: (1) the fairness of the compensation under an Advisory Contract with a level fee rate that does not include breakpoints; and (2) the pricing structure (including the expense ratio to be borne by shareholders) of the Fund, as compared to its Selected Peer Group, including that: (a) the management fee (inclusive of a 0.10% administration fee) for the Fund is below the median and the average management fees of the funds in its Selected Peer Group; and (b) the expense ratio for the Fund is below the median and the average expense ratios of the funds in its Selected Peer Group.

The Board considered information on revenues, costs and profits realized by the Adviser and Sub-Adviser, which was prepared by Management in accordance with the allocation methodology (including related assumptions) specified in the 15(c) Methodology Guide. In analyzing the profitability of the Adviser and Sub-Adviser in connection with its services to the Fund, the Board took into account the sub-advisory fee rate payable by the Adviser to the Sub-Adviser. In addition, the Board considered information that it requested and was provided by Management with respect to the profitability of service providers affiliated with the Adviser. In this regard, the Board also noted that the Adviser (and not the Fund) pays the sub-advisory fees earned by the Sub-Adviser.

Although the 15(c) Methodology Guide establishes certain standards for profit calculation, the Board recognized that profitability analysis on a client-by-client basis is not an exact science and there is no uniform methodology within the asset management industry for determining profitability for this purpose. In this context, the Board realized that Management s calculations regarding its costs incurred in establishing the infrastructure necessary for the Fund s operations may not be fully reflected in the expenses allocated to the Fund in determining profitability, and that the information presented may not portray all of the costs borne by the Adviser and Management or capture their entrepreneurial risk associated with offering and managing a mutual fund complex in the current regulatory and market environment. In addition, the Board recognized that the use of different methodologies for purposes of calculating profit data can give rise to dramatically different profit and loss results.

In making its determinations, the Board based its conclusions as to the reasonableness of the advisory and sub-advisory fee rates of the Adviser and Sub-Adviser primarily on the factors described for the Fund herein. At the request of the Board, the Adviser has from time to time agreed to implement remedial actions regarding certain funds in the ING Fund complex. These remedial actions have included, among others: reductions in effective fee rates through expense limitation or fee waiver arrangements or through contractual fee rate revisions, such as the addition of the fee schedule breakpoints at higher asset levels; changes in sub-adviser or portfolio managers; and strategy modifications.

Conclusion

After its deliberation, the Board reached the following conclusions: (1) the Fund s management fee rate is reasonable in the context of all factors considered by the Board; (2) the Fund s expense ratio is reasonable in the context of all factors considered by the Board; (3) the Fund s performance is reasonable in the context of all factors considered by the Board; and (4) the sub-advisory fee rate payable by the Adviser to the Sub-Adviser is reasonable in the context of all factors considered by the Board. Based on these conclusions and other factors, the Board voted to renew the Advisory and Sub-Advisory Contracts for the Fund for the year ending November 30, 2013. During this renewal process, different Board members may have given different weight to different individual factors and related conclusions.

Table of Contents

ADVISORY CONTRACT APPROVAL DISCUSSION (UNAUDITED) (CONTINUED)

APPROVAL OF NEW SUB-ADVISORY CONTRACT WITH ING INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT ADVISORS B.V.

ING IM has served as a sub-adviser to the Fund since its inception in 2005. Prior to December 2012, ING Investment Management Asia/Pacific (Hong Kong) Limited (IIM A/P) served along ING IM as sub-adviser to the Fund. At a meeting of the Board held on September 6, 2012, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees of the Fund, determined to: (1) terminate the sub-advisory relationship with IIM A/P; (2) approve the appointment of ING Investment Management Advisors B.V. (IIMA) as a sub-adviser to the Fund; and (3) approve the proposed sub-advisory agreement with IIMA (the IIMA Sub-Advisory Agreement) under which it would serve as a sub-adviser to the Fund effective upon shareholder approval.

In determining whether to approve the IIMA Sub-Advisory Agreement, the Board received and evaluated such information as it deemed necessary for an informed determination of whether the Proposed Sub-Advisory Agreement should be approved for the Fund. The materials provided to the Board to inform its consideration of whether to approve the IIMA Sub-Advisory Agreement included the following: (1) memorandum and related materials provided to the Board in advance of its September 6, 2012 meeting discussing ING Investments rationale for recommending that IIMA serve as sub-adviser to the Fund; (2) responses from IIMA to inquires from K&L Gates LLP, the Board s Counsel; (3) supporting documentation, including a copy of the form of IIMA Sub-Advisory Agreement; and (4) other information relevant to the Board s evaluation.

The Board also took into account: (1) a presentation from representatives of IIMA that had been provided to the Board s Domestic Equity Funds Investment Review Committee and International/Balanced/Fixed Income Funds Investment Review Committee (the Joint Committee) at the Joint Committee s September 5, 2012 Meeting, and the materials related to the presentation; (2) the Joint Committee s recommendations with respect to the proposed sub-adviser change; and (3) the observations and recommendations of the Board s Contracts Committee, which met on August 29, 2012 and discussed the Proposed Sub-Advisory Agreement.

In reaching its decision to engage IIMA, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees,

considered a number of factors including, but not limited to, the following: (1) Management s representations regarding the effect that the proposed restructuring of ING Groep s Asian based insurance and investment management operations would have on the Fund s portfolio management team; (2) ING Investments view with respect to the reputation of IIMA as a manager to other funds in the ING Funds complex; (3) the strength and reputation of IIMA in the industry; (4) the nature, extent and quality of the services to be provided by IIMA under the Proposed Sub-Advisory Agreement; (5) the personnel, operations, financial condition, and investment management capabilities, methodologies, and resources of IIMA and its fit among the stable of managers in the ING Funds line-up; (6) the fairness of the compensation under the Proposed Sub-Advisory Agreement in light of the services to be provided by IIMA and the projected profitability of IIMA as a sub-adviser to the Fund; (7) the costs for the services to be provided by IIMA; (8) the sub-advisory fee rate payable by ING Investments to IIMA; (9) IIMA s operations and compliance programs, including the policies and procedures intended to assure compliance with the Federal securities laws, which had been approved for other Funds in the ING Funds complex and reviewed by the Board s Chief Compliance Officer (CCO); (10) the appropriateness of the selection of IIMA in light of the Fund s proposed investment objective and investor base; and (11) IIMA s Code of Ethics, which had previously been approved by the Board, and related procedures for complying with the Code.

In addition, the Board considered the potential for uncertainty given the proposed sale of IIM A/P and the loss of a portfolio manager to the Fund. The Board also considered that transition costs associated with Management s proposal were mitigated by the agreement with the Adviser, at the request of the Board, that the Adviser (or an affiliate) would bear 50% of these transition costs.

After its deliberation, the Board reached the following conclusions: (1) IIMA should be appointed to serve as a sub-adviser to the Fund under the Proposed Sub-Advisory Agreement with ING Investments; (2) the sub-advisory fee rate payable by ING Investments to IIMA is reasonable in the context of all factors considered by the Board; and (3) IIMA maintains appropriate compliance programs, with this conclusion, based upon, among other things, reports from the Fund s CCO evaluating whether IIMA s compliance policies and procedures are reasonably designed to assure

Table of Contents

ADVISORY CONTRACT APPROVAL DISCUSSION (UNAUDITED) (CONTINUED)

compliance with the Federal securities laws. Based on these conclusions and other factors, the Board voted to approve the Proposed Sub-Advisory Agreement for the Fund. During their deliberations, different Board members may have given different weight to different individual factors and related conclusions.

APPROVAL OF INVESTMENT ADVISORY AND SUB-ADVISORY CONTRACTS IN CONNECTION WITH SEPARATION PLAN

Pursuant to an agreement with the European Commission, ING Groep has announced its intention to divest ING U.S., Inc. (ING U.S.), a wholly owned, indirect subsidiary of ING Groep and a parent company of ING Investments and ING IM (such divestment, the Separation Plan). ING Groep s base case to achieve the Separation Plan is through an initial public offering of ING U.S. (the IPO) followed by the divestment of ING Groep s remaining ownership interest over time through one or more additional public offerings of ING U.S. stock, or, possibly, through one or more privately negotiated sales of the stock. (While the Separation Plan is the base case, it is possible that the Separation Plan may be achieved by means of a sale to a single buyer or group of buyers.)

The Fund is subject to the 1940 Act, which provides that any investment advisory agreement, including any sub-advisory agreement, must terminate automatically upon its assignment. As used in the 1940 Act, the term assignment includes any transfer of a controlling block of outstanding voting securities of an adviser or the parent company of an adviser. Such a transfer is often referred to as a Change of Control Event. It is anticipated that one or more of the transactions contemplated by the Separation Plan would be deemed a Change of Control Event.

As described above, the Separation Plan contemplates one or more transactions, commencing with the IPO, that are expected to result ultimately in a direct or indirect Change of Control Event for ING Investments and ING IM, which in turn would result in the automatic termination of the current advisory agreement and current sub-advisory agreements, including the sub-advisory agreement with IIMA (collectively, the Current Agreements). The decisions by the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, to approve a proposed new advisory agreement and a proposed new sub-advisory agreements for the Fund (collectively, the Proposed Agreements) were based on a determination by the Board that it would be in the best interests of the

shareholders of the Fund for ING Investments, ING IM and IIMA to continue providing investment advisory, sub-advisory, and related services for the Fund, without interruption, as consummation of the Separation Plan proceeds.

The Board was aware that the IPO may not result immediately in a Change of Control Event, but also recognized that the Separation Plan contemplates a series of transactions that are expected to result in one or more Change of Control Events in the future. The Board concluded that approval by shareholders at this time of both the Proposed Agreements and future agreements that may become effective upon certain Change of Control Events in the future will permit the Fund to benefit from the continuation of services by the Adviser, Sub-Advisers and their affiliates throughout the Separation Plan without the need for multiple shareholder meetings. The Board was informed by the Adviser and its counsel that the Adviser is seeking to obtain regulatory assurances that the staff of the SEC would not object to approval of future agreements by shareholders at this time.

Prior to its approval of the Proposed Agreements, the Board reviewed, among other matters, the quality, extent, and nature of the services currently being provided by ING Investments, ING IM and IIMA under the Current Agreements and to be provided under the Proposed Agreements. A substantial portion of this review was conducted as part of, and in conjunction with, the Board s annual reviews of the Current Agreements, which were most recently approved for continuation at an in-person meeting of the Board held on November 29, 2012. During the review process that led to its approval of the Current Agreements on November 29, 2012, the Board was aware that it likely would be asked in the very near future to consider approval of the Proposed Agreements.

On November 29, 2012, as applicable, the Board concluded, in light of all factors it considered, including undertakings by the Adviser relating to certain follow-up actions, that the approval of the Current Agreements was in the best interests of the Fund and its shareholders and that the fee rates set forth in the Current Agreements were fair and reasonable. Among other factors, the Board considered: (1) the nature, extent and quality of services provided and to be provided under the Current Agreements; (2) the extent to which economies of scale are reflected in fee rate schedules under the Current Agreements; (3) the existence of any fall-out benefits to the Adviser, Sub-Advisers and their affiliates; (4) a comparison of fee

ADVISORY CONTRACT APPROVAL DISCUSSION (UNAUDITED) (CONTINUED)

rates, expense ratios, and investment performance to those of similar funds; and (5) the costs incurred and profits realized by the Adviser, Sub-Advisers and their affiliates with respect to their services to the Fund. A further description of the process followed by the Board in approving the continuation of the Current Agreements on November 29, 2012, including the information reviewed, certain material factors considered and certain related conclusions reached, is set forth above under the section titled BOARD CONSIDERATION AND RE-APPROVAL OF INVESTMENT ADVISORY AND SUB-ADVISORY CONTRACTS.

In connection with its approval of the Proposed Agreements, on January 10, 2013, the Board considered its conclusions in connection with its November 29, 2012 approvals of those Current Agreements that were in effect on that date, including the Board s general satisfaction with the nature, extent and quality of services being provided and, as applicable, actions taken or to be taken in certain instances to improve the relationship between the costs and the quality of services being provided. Also in connection with its January 10, 2013 approvals of the Proposed Agreements, the Board considered a representation made to it on that date by the Adviser s president that there were no additional developments not already disclosed to the Board since November 29, 2012 that would be a material consideration to the Board in connection with its consideration of the Proposed Agreements.

As a result, in addition to the information identified above, in considering the Proposed Agreements, the Board focused its review on, and requested and evaluated other information relating to, the potential impact of implementing the Separation Plan on the operations, personnel, organizational structure, capitalization, and financial and other resources of the Adviser and its affiliates that render investment sub-advisory, administrative, distribution, compliance and other services to the Fund. When making its decisions on January 10, 2013, the Board took into account that, commencing in early 2011, it had posed ongoing inquiries to, and received regular updates from, management relating to the Separation Plan.

Between November 2012 and January 2013, the Board and its committees accelerated their due diligence processes by engaging in an extensive review and analysis of additional information regarding the proposed IPO and related matters. This analysis focused on, among other matters, the expectations for continuity and stability of ING U.S. throughout

implementation of the Separation Plan and thereafter. In this connection, the Board considered that the Separation Plan is being implemented as a result of legal and regulatory commitments by ING Groep, that the Board generally has been satisfied with the nature, extent and quality of the services provided to the Fund, including investment advisory, administrative and support services, and that it would be in the Fund s best interests to maintain continuity and stability of the services currently being provided. The Board carefully considered ING U.S. s anticipated future plans related to capitalization, operational matters, and the retention of current levels of staffing and related compensation structures, as well as the desires of its senior executives and key employees and the importance of the investment management operations within the ING U.S. business structure going forward.

Among other steps in its nearly two-year due diligence process, which accelerated upon ING U.S. s Form S-1 filing, the following actions were taken and considered by or on behalf of the Board:

1. The Independent Trustees solicited and received ongoing advice regarding the Board s legal duties from K&L Gates, legal counsel for such Board members, which law firm has extensive experience regarding such matters.

2. The Independent Trustees established an Ad Hoc IPO Transaction Committee (the IPO Committee), consisting exclusively of Independent Trustees, to help oversee, coordinate, and perform portions of the Board s due diligence activities. In this connection, the IPO Committee considered, among other matters, relevant legal guidance and the processes followed by certain other investment company boards of directors or trustees when they approved contracts in connection with Change of Control events.

3. The Independent Trustees, with assistance from K&L Gates, prepared written inquiries to the Adviser and its affiliates regarding the IPO, including details regarding ING U.S. s anticipated business plan for continuing operations after the IPO and potential Change of Control Events.

4. The Board received and evaluated written responses from the Adviser and its affiliates pursuant to inquiries made on the Board s behalf. These evaluations were conducted through a series of separate meetings by the Board s Audit Committee, Compliance Committee, Contracts Committee, Domestic Equity Funds Investment Review Committee, International/Balanced/Fixed Income Funds Investment Review Committee,

ADVISORY CONTRACT APPROVAL DISCUSSION (UNAUDITED) (CONTINUED)

Nominating and Governance Committee, and IPO Committee (collectively, the Committees), and by the Independent Trustees (which, at times, included one or both Board members who are not Independent Trustees). With respect to services to be rendered to the Fund by ING U.S. during implementation of the Separation Plan, each Committee evaluated matters relating to those services typically overseen by such Committee (and, in the case of the IPO Committee, relevant matters not otherwise assigned to a standing Committee). Future references herein to actions taken by the Board or the Independent Trustees may include, in some instances, actions taken by one or more of the Committees.

5. The Board requested and participated in a series of in-person and/or telephonic meetings involving presentations from senior management personnel at ING U.S. (including its Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Risk Officer, Head of Corporate Development, Head of Proprietary Investments, and the heads of each proposed primary operating unit of ING U.S.), as well as from senior management of the Adviser and its affiliates, including senior human resources personnel, senior investment personnel, and senior compliance personnel at ING IM. The Board also requested and had such meetings with the Fund s Chief Compliance Officer and Chief Investment Risk Officer who, as a matter of course, report directly to the Board or its Committees.

6. The Board received and reviewed the preliminary Form S-1 that contained extensive information relating to, among other matters, ING U.S. s anticipated business plans and financial structure. In this connection, the Board considered, among other matters: the anticipated arrangements between ING Groep and ING U.S. over the course of the Separation Plan; the anticipated use of potential proceeds of the capital that would be raised through the Form S-1 offering (including that portion of potential proceeds that may be retained by ING Groep and that portion that may be dedicated to the capitalization and operations of ING U.S., including its asset management operations); the potential short-term and long-term financial consequences to ING U.S. of the closed book of variable annuity business that would be maintained by ING U.S.-affiliated insurance companies; and other information provided by the Adviser and its affiliates.

7. K&L Gates retained Grail Partners LLC (Grail), an independent investment banking firm with extensive experience relating to business operations such as those to be conducted by ING U.S., in order to help K&L Gates

evaluate and advise the Board with respect to, among other matters, details of ING U.S. s anticipated business plan and financial capitalization as set forth in its Form S-1 and related information provided by the Adviser and its affiliates, including the potential implications to the Adviser and its non-insurance affiliates of insurance regulations and related capitalization matters. The Independent Trustees or IPO Committee members attended certain in-person and telephone conference call meetings at which Grail rendered advice to K&L Gates regarding these matters and responded to questions.

8. The Independent Trustees, the Board, and many of its Committees held in-person meetings on November 27, 28, and 29, 2012 during which, among other actions, they evaluated the responsive due diligence information provided to date by the Adviser and its affiliates, and considered input from K&L Gates, Grail, and others regarding the Form S-1. At the conclusion of these meetings, the Independent Trustees and the Committees posed to the Adviser and its

affiliates a series of follow-up information requests.

9. Among the follow-up actions arising from the November 27, 28, and 29 meetings, the Independent Trustees requested and received written assurances that the Adviser and its affiliates: are committed to maintaining appropriate levels of overall staffing, ongoing resources and service quality; and throughout the time period during which the Separation Plan is implemented, will notify and consult with the Board in advance if management proposes to take certain actions with respect to these matters. The Board considered that such services include, but are not limited to, portfolio management services, administrative services, and regulatory compliance services. In this regard, the Board considered representations by the Adviser and its affiliates that their separation from ING Groep as contemplated by the Separation Plan will not lead to a reduction in the quality or scope of these and other services provided by those firms to the Fund. The Board also considered that the importance of the asset management operations to the overall success of ING U.S., as described by the Form S-1 and during presentations by senior ING U.S. management personnel, could provide a strong incentive to ING U.S. to provide appropriate resource allocations to support those asset management operations.

10. The Board considered representations by the Adviser and its affiliates that approval of the Proposed Agreements would be necessary for the Fund to

ADVISORY CONTRACT APPROVAL DISCUSSION (UNAUDITED) (CONTINUED)

continue receiving investment management services from ING Investments, ING IM and IIMA following the Change of Control Events contemplated by the Separation Plan.

11. The Board considered representations by the Adviser and its affiliates, as well as related supporting documentation, indicating that the Proposed Agreements, including the fees payable thereunder, are substantially similar to and, in any event, are no less favorable to the Fund than, the terms of the corresponding Current Agreements.

12. The Board considered that, to the extent that the Proposed Agreements do have changes, those changes are designed to benefit shareholders and/or to provide management, subject to Board supervision, with greater flexibility to manage the Fund in a manner consistent with stated investment objectives. In this connection, the Board considered, among other matters, the Adviser s representation that no material changes would be made to the Proposed Agreements, as compared to the Current Agreements, with respect to the material contractual terms that were previously negotiated under which the Fund and ING Investments, ING IM and IIMA currently operate, including contractual provisions relating to fees and expenses.

13. The Board considered representations by the Adviser and its affiliates, including senior investment management personnel, as well as related supporting documentation, indicating that: (a) ING Investments, ING IM and IIMA can be expected to provide services of the same nature, extent, and quality under the Proposed Agreements as are provided thereby under the Current Agreements; and (b) the Separation Plan is not expected to result in any changes to: (i) the management of the Fund, including the continuity of the Fund s portfolio managers and other personnel responsible for the management operations of the Fund; or (ii) the investment objective of or the principal investment strategies used to manage any of the Fund.

14. The Board considered the steps by the Adviser and its affiliates that have been taken and are planned to be taken to retain the employment of key personnel, including incentive compensation plan arrangements, as well as the overall positive indications by many such personnel regarding the opportunities presented by the Separation Plan to create and grow an investment management operation that is independent from other ING Groep banking and insurance operations that will not be part of ING U.S.

15. The Board considered that the Adviser and its affiliates have agreed to bear all expenses associated

with obtaining shareholder approval of the Proposed Agreements.

16. The Board considered ING U.S. s preliminary branding plans regarding the future name of its asset management operations, as well as its anticipated ability to continue to use the ING brand name for such operations for a period of time following the IPO.

17. The Board considered the advice provided by Dechert LLP, legal counsel to the Fund and the Adviser, with respect to the Proposed Agreements (including advice relating to the process and timing of seeking shareholder

approval of the Proposed Agreements, and whether shareholder approvals would be required in connection with any future aspects of the Separation Plan following the IPO) and regarding the Board s role and responsibilities with respect to ING Groep s restructuring.

18. The Board considered the legal obligation of ING Groep under the Separation Plan to divest its ownership interest in ING U.S., as well as certain potential advantages and disadvantages to shareholders of the Fund of this divestiture, and certain potential advantages and disadvantages of alternative divestiture actions that ING Groep might be forced to take if the Proposed Agreements are not approved by the Board or by shareholders of the Fund.

19. The Board considered peer group and benchmark investment performance comparison data relating to the Fund that was more current than related comparison data considered by it in connection with the November 29, 2012 approvals of the Current Agreements.

20. The Board considered actions taken by the Adviser subsequent to the November 29, 2012 approvals of the Current Agreements with respect to certain ING Funds in response to requests made by the Board in connection with those approvals.

21. The Board considered the potential benefits to be realized by the Adviser and its affiliates as a result of the Proposed Agreements.

22. The Board considered that, if shareholders approve the Proposed Agreements, the Board currently expects to continue to conduct an annual contracts review process consistent with the process it would have conducted had the Current Agreements continued in effect and not been replaced by the Proposed Agreements, notwithstanding the two-year initial term set forth in the Proposed Agreements. For example, if the Proposed Agreements are approved by

Table of Contents

ADVISORY CONTRACT APPROVAL DISCUSSION (UNAUDITED) (CONTINUED)

shareholders in 2013, the Board would not legally be required to review or renew those contracts until 2015. However, the Board currently intends to conduct annual reviews of such contracts in 2013 and 2014, and ING has consented to this process. Thus, the Board emphasized that it would be able to, and intends to, monitor on a regular basis the ability of the Adviser and its affiliates to comply with their undertakings to the Board and to monitor on an ongoing basis the quality of services to, and expenses of, the Fund. In addition, the Board considered that, under the Proposed Agreements, it will continue to have the authority, should the need arise in its view, to terminate any of the Proposed Agreements without penalty upon 60 days notice.

Based on the foregoing and other relevant considerations, at a meeting of the Board held on January 10, 2013, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, voted to approve the Proposed

Agreements and to recommend approval of the Proposed Agreements by shareholders of the Fund. In this connection, the Board concluded that, in light of all factors considered, the terms of the Proposed Agreements, including fee rates, were fair and reasonable, and that it would be in the best interests of shareholders of the Fund to approve the Proposed Agreements so as to enable there to be a continuation without interruption of the current services being provided by the current service providers pursuant to the Current Agreements. In this connection, the Board noted that no one factor was determinative of its decisions which, instead, were premised upon the totality of factors considered. In this connection, the Board also noted that different Board members likely placed emphasis on different factors in reaching their individual conclusions to vote in favor of the Proposed Agreements and to recommend approval of the Proposed Agreements to shareholders.

Table of Contents

SHAREHOLDER MEETING INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

An annual meeting of shareholders of the ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund was held July 5, 2012, at the offices of ING Funds, 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Road, Suite 100, Scottsdale, AZ 85258.

ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund, Class I Trustees

1 To elect three members of the Board of Trustees to represent the interests of the holders of Common Shares of the Fund, with all three individuals to serve as Class I Trustees, for a term of three-years, and until the election and qualification of their successors.

	Proposal*	Shares voted for	Shares voted against or withheld	Shares abstained	Total Shares Voted
Class I Trustees	**Colleen D. Baldwin**	16,129,633.760	1,096,570.391		17,226,204.151
	Robert W. Crispin	14,623,959.801	2,602,244.350		17,226,204.151
	Peter S. Drotch	16,108,320.794	1,117,883.357		17,226,204.151

* Proposal Passed

An annual meeting of shareholders of the ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund was held December 20, 2012, at the offices of ING Funds, 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Road, Suite 100, Scottsdale, AZ 85258.

ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund, Class I Trustees

1 To approve a new sub-advisory agreement between ING Investments, LLC and ING Investment Management Advisors B.V.

Proposal*	Shares voted for	Shares voted against or withheld	Shares abstained	Total Shares Voted
1	10,378,036.406	472,202.907	468,811.064	11,319,050.377

* Proposal Passed

43

Table of Contents

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

During the period, there were no material changes in the Fund s investment objective or policies that were not approved by the shareholders or the Fund s charter or by-laws or in the principal risk factors associated with investment in the Fund.

On December 20, 2012, shareholders approved the addition of ING Investment Management Advisors B.V. as a sub-adviser to the Fund. Effective December 20, 2012, Paul Zemsky, Vincent Costa, Jody Hrazanek, Sam Lam and Frank van Etten were removed as individuals responsible for the day-to-day management of the Fund, and Edwin Cuppen, Willem van Dommelen, Bert Veldman and Pieter Schop were added as individuals responsible for the day-to-day management of the Fund.

During the fiscal year, the Fund reduced its quarterly distribution from $0.312 to $0.280 per quarter, commencing with the distribution paid on October 1, 2012.

The Fund may lend portfolio securities in an amount equal to up to 33 1/3% of its managed assets to broker dealers or other institutional borrowers, in exchange for cash collateral and fees. The fund may use the cash collateral in connection with the Fund s investment program as approved by the Adviser, including generating cash to cover collateral posting requirements. Although the Fund has no current intention to do so, it may use the cash collateral to generate additional income. The use of cash collateral in connection with the Fund s investment program may have a leveraging effect on the Fund, which would increase the volatility of the Fund and could reduce its returns and/or cause a loss.

The Fund intends to engage in lending portfolio securities only when such lending is secured by cash or other permissible collateral in an amount at least equal to the market value of the securities loaned. The Fund will maintain cash, cash equivalents or liquid securities holdings in an amount sufficient to cover its repayment obligation with respect to the collateral, marked to market on a daily basis.

Securities lending involves the risks of delay in recovery or even loss of rights in the securities loaned if the borrower of the securities fails financially. Loans will be made only to organizations whose credit quality or claims paying ability is considered by the Sub-Adviser to be at least investment grade. The financial condition of the borrower will be monitored by the Adviser on an ongoing basis. The Fund will not lend portfolio securities subject to a written American style covered call option contract. The Fund may lend portfolio

securities subject to a written European style covered call option contract as long as the lending period is less than or equal to the term of the covered call option contract.

Dividend Reinvestment Plan

Unless the registered owner of Common Shares elects to receive cash by contacting Computershare Shareowner Services LLC (the Plan Agent), all dividends declared on Common Shares of the Fund will be automatically reinvested by the Plan Agent for shareholders in additional Common Shares of the Fund through the Fund s Dividend

Reinvestment Plan (the Plan). Shareholders who elect not to participate in the Plan will receive all dividends and other distributions in cash paid by check mailed directly to the shareholder of record (or, if the Common Shares are held in street or other nominee name, then to such nominee) by the Plan Agent. Participation in the Plan is completely voluntary and may be terminated or resumed at any time without penalty by notice if received and processed by the Plan Agent prior to the dividend record date; otherwise such termination or resumption will be effective with respect to any subsequently declared dividend or other distribution. Some brokers may automatically elect to receive cash on your behalf and may re-invest that cash in additional Common Shares of the Fund for you. If you wish for all dividends declared on your Common Shares of the Fund to be automatically reinvested pursuant to the Plan, please contact your broker.

The Plan Agent will open an account for each Common Shareholder under the Plan in the same name in which such Common Shareholder s Common Shares are registered. Whenever the Fund declares a dividend or other distribution (together, a Dividend) payable in cash, non-participants in the Plan will receive cash and participants in the Plan will receive the equivalent in Common Shares. The Common Shares will be acquired by the Plan Agent for the participants accounts, depending upon the circumstances described below, either (i) through receipt of additional unissued but authorized Common Shares from the Fund (Newly Issued Common Shares) or (ii) by purchase of outstanding Common Shares on the open market (Open-Market Purchases) on the NYSE or elsewhere. Open-market purchases and sales are usually made through a broker affiliated with the Plan Agent.

If, on the payment date for any Dividend, the closing market price plus estimated brokerage commissions per Common Share is equal to or greater than the net asset

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED) (CONTINUED)

value per Common Share, the Plan Agent will invest the Dividend amount in Newly Issued Common Shares on behalf of the participants. The number of Newly Issued Common Shares to be credited to each participant s account will be determined by dividing the dollar amount of the Dividend by the net asset value per Common Share on the payment date; provided that, if the net asset value is less than or equal to 95% of the closing market value on the payment date, the dollar amount of the Dividend will be divided by 95% of the closing market price per Common Share on the payment date. If, on the payment date for any Dividend, the net asset value per Common Share is greater than the closing market value plus estimated brokerage commissions, the Plan Agent will invest the Dividend amount in Common Shares acquired on behalf of the participants in Open-Market Purchases. In the event of a market discount on the payment date for any Dividend, the Plan Agent will have until the last business day before the next date on which the Common Shares trade on an ex-dividend basis or 30 days after the payment date for such Dividend, whichever is sooner (the Last Purchase Date), to invest the Dividend amount in Common Shares acquired in Open-Market Purchases.

The Fund pays quarterly Dividends. Therefore, the period during which Open-Market Purchases can be made will exist only from the payment date of each Dividend through the date before the next ex-dividend date, which typically will be approximately ten days.

If, before the Plan Agent has completed its Open-Market Purchases, the market price per common share exceeds the net asset value per Common Share, the average per Common Share purchase price paid by the Plan Administrator may exceed the net asset value of the Common Shares, resulting in the acquisition of fewer Common Shares than if the Dividend had been paid in Newly Issued Common Shares on the Dividend payment date. Because of the foregoing difficulty with respect to Open-Market Purchases, the Plan provides that if the Plan Agent is unable to invest the full Dividend amount in Open-Market Purchases during the purchase period or if the market discount shifts to a market premium during the purchase period, the Plan Agent will cease making Open-Market Purchases and will invest the un-invested portion of the Dividend amount in Newly Issued Common Shares at the net asset value per common share at the close of business on the Last Purchase Date provided that, if the net asset value is less than or equal to 95% of the then current market price per Common Share, the dollar

amount of the Dividend will be divided by 95% of the market price on the payment date.

The Plan Agent maintains all shareholders accounts in the Plan and furnishes written confirmation of all transactions in the accounts, including information needed by shareholders for tax records. Common Shares in the account of each Plan participant will be held by the Plan Agent on behalf of the Plan participant, and each shareholder proxy will include those shares purchased or received pursuant to the Plan. The Plan Agent will forward all proxy solicitation materials to participants and vote proxies for shares held under the Plan in accordance with the instructions of the participants.

In the case of shareholders such as banks, brokers or nominees which hold shares for others who are the beneficial owners, the Plan Agent will administer the Plan on the basis of the number of Common Shares certified from time to time by the record shareholder s name and held for the account of beneficial owners who participate in the Plan.

There will be no brokerage charges with respect to Common Shares issued directly by the Fund. However, each participant will pay a pro rata share of brokerage commissions incurred in connection with Open-Market Purchases. The automatic reinvestment of Dividends will not relieve participants of any federal, state or local income tax that may be payable (or required to be withheld) on such Dividends. Participants that request a partial or full sale of shares through the Plan Agent are subject to a $15.00 sales fee and a $0.10 per share brokerage commission on purchases or sales, and may be subject to certain other service charges.

The Fund reserves the right to amend or terminate the Plan. There is no direct service charge to participants with regard to purchases in the Plan; however, the Fund reserves the right to amend the Plan to include a service charge payable by the participants.

All questions concerning the Plan should be directed to the Fund s Shareholder Service Department at (800) 992-0180.

KEY FINANCIAL DATES CALENDAR 2013 DISTRIBUTIONS:

DECLARATION DATE	EX-DIVIDEND DATE	PAYABLE DATE
March 15, 2013	April 1, 2013	April 15, 2013
June 17, 2013	July 1, 2013	July 15, 2013
September 16, 2013	October 1, 2013	October 15, 2013
December 16, 2013	December 27, 2013	January 15, 2014

Ex-Dividend Date. These dates are subject to change.

Table of Contents

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED) (CONTINUED)

Stock Data

The Fund s common shares are traded on the NYSE (Symbol: IGA).

Repurchase of Securities by Closed-End Companies

In accordance with Section 23(c) of the 1940 Act, and Rule 23c-1 under the 1940 Act the Fund may from time to time purchase shares of beneficial interest of the Fund in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions and/or purchase shares to correct erroneous transactions.

Number of Shareholders

The approximate number of record holders of Common Stock as of February 28, 2013 was 12, which does not include approximately 6,808 beneficial owners of shares held in the name of brokers of other nominees.

Certifications

In accordance with Section 303A.12 (a) of the New York Stock Exchange Listed Company Manual, the Fund s CEO submitted the Annual CEO Certification on August 2, 2012 certifying that he was not aware, as of that date, of any violation by the Fund of the NYSE s Corporate governance listing standards. In addition, as required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and related SEC rules, the Fund s principal executive and financial officers have made quarterly certifications, included in filings with the SEC on Forms N-CSR and N-Q, relating to, among other things, the Fund s disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Investment Adviser

ING Investments, LLC

7337 East Doubletree Ranch Road, Suite 100

Scottsdale, Arizona 85258

Administrator

ING Funds Services, LLC

7337 East Doubletree Ranch Road, Suite 100

Scottsdale, Arizona 85258

Transfer Agent

Computershare Shareowner Services LLC

480 Washington Boulevard

Jersey City, New Jersey 07310-1900

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

KPMG LLP

Two Financial Center

60 South Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02111

Custodian

The Bank of New York Mellon

One Wall Street

New York, New York 10286

Legal Counsel

Dechert LLP

1900 K Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20006

Toll-Free Shareholder Information

Call us from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. Eastern time on any business day for account or other information, at (800) 992-0180

AR-UIGA (0213-042413)

Table of Contents

Item 2. Code of Ethics.
As of the end of the period covered by this report, Registrant had adopted a code of ethics, as defined in Item 2 of Form N-CSR, that applies to the Registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer. There were no amendments to the Code during the period covered by the report. The Registrant did not grant any waivers, including implicit waivers, from any provisions of the Code during the period covered by this report. The code of ethics is filed herewith pursuant to Item 10(a)(l), Exhibit 99,CODE ETH.

Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert.
The Board of Trustees has determined that J. Michael Earley, Peter S. Drotch and Colleen Baldwin are audit committee financial experts, as defined in Item 3 of Form N-CSR. Mr. Earley, Mr. Drotch and Ms. Baldwin are independent for purposes of Item 3 of Form N-CSR.

Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

(a) Audit Fees: The aggregate fees billed for each of the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered by KPMG LLP (KPMG), the principal accountant for the audit of the registrant s annual financial statements, for services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for the fiscal year were $24,500 for the year ended February 28, 2013 and $25,000 for year ended February 29, 2012.

(b) Audit-Related Fees: The aggregate fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for assurance and related services by KPMG that are seasonably related to the performance of the audit of the registrant s financial statements and are not reported under paragraph (a) of this Item were $2,400 for the year ended February 28, 2013 and $2,400 for the year ended February 29, 2012.

(c) Tax Fees: The aggregate fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered by KPMG for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning were $8,926 in the year ended February 28, 2013 and $7,390 in the year ended February 28, 2011. Such services included review of excise distribution calculations (if applicable), preparation of the Funds federal state and excise tax returns, tax services related to mergers and routine consulting.

(d) All Other Fees: The aggregate fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for all other fees were $2,205 for the year ended February 28, 2013 and $2,458 for the year ended February 29, 2012.

(e)(1) Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

Table of Contents

AUDIT AND NON-AUDIT SERVICES

PRE-APPROVAL POLICY

I. Statement of Principles

Under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the Act), the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors or Trustees (the Committee) of the ING Funds (each a Fund, collectively, the Funds) set out on Exhibit A to this Audit and Non-Audit Services Pre-Approval Policy (Policy) is responsible for the oversight of the work of the Funds independent auditors. As part of its responsibilities, the Committee must pre-approve the audit and non-audit services performed by the auditors in order to assure that the provision of these services does not impair the auditors independence from the Funds. The Committee has adopted, and the Board has ratified, this Policy, which sets out the procedures and conditions under which the services of the independent auditors may be pre-approved.

Under Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules promulgated in accordance with the Act, the Funds may establish two different approaches to pre-approving audit and non-audit services. The Committee may approve services without consideration of specific case-by-case services (general pre-approval) or it may pre-approve specific services (specific pre-approval). The Committee believes that the combination of these approaches contemplated in this Policy results in an effective and efficient method for pre-approving audit and non-audit services to be performed by the Funds independent auditors. Under this Policy, services that are not of a type that may receive general pre-approval require specific pre-approval by the Committee. Any proposed services that exceed pre-approved cost levels or budgeted amounts will also require the Committee s specific pre-approval.

For both types of approval, the Committee considers whether the subject services are consistent with the SEC s rules on auditor independence and that such services are compatible with maintaining the auditors independence. The Committee also considers whether a particular audit firm is in the best position to provide effective and efficient services to the Funds. Reasons that the auditors are in the best position include the auditors familiarity with the Funds business, personnel, culture, accounting systems, risk profile, and other factors, and whether the services will enhance the Funds ability to manage and control risk or improve audit quality. Such factors will be considered as a whole, with no one factor being determinative.

The appendices attached to this Policy describe the audit, audit-related, tax-related, and other services that have the Committee s general pre-approval. For any service that has been approved through general pre-approval, the general pre-approval will remain in place for a period 12 months from the date of pre-approval, unless the Committee determines that a different period is appropriate. The Committee will annually review and pre-approve the services that may be provided by the independent auditors without specific pre-approval. The Committee will revise the list of services subject to general pre-approval as appropriate. This Policy does not serve as a delegation to Fund management of the Committee s duty to pre-approve services performed by the Funds independent auditors.

2

Table of Contents

II. Audit Services

The annual audit services engagement terms and fees are subject to the Committee s specific pre-approval. Audit services are those services that are normally provided by auditors in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements or those that generally only independent auditors can reasonably provide. They include the Funds annual financial statement audit and procedures that the independent auditors must perform in order to form an opinion on the Funds financial statements (*e.g.*, information systems and procedural reviews and testing). The Committee will monitor the audit services engagement and approve any changes in terms, conditions or fees deemed by the Committee to be necessary or appropriate.

The Committee may grant general pre-approval to other audit services, such as statutory audits and services associated with SEC registration statements, periodic reports and other documents filed with the SEC or issued in connection with securities offerings.

The Committee has pre-approved the audit services listed on Appendix A. The Committee must specifically approve all audit services not listed on Appendix A.

III. Audit-related Services

Audit-related services are assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or the review of the Funds financial statements or are traditionally performed by the independent auditors. The Committee believes that the provision of audit-related services will not impair the independent auditors independence, and therefore may grant pre-approval to audit-related services. Audit-related services include accounting consultations related to accounting, financial reporting or disclosure matters not classified as audit services; assistance with understanding and implementing new accounting and financial reporting guidance from rulemaking authorities; agreed-upon or expanded audit procedures relating to accounting and/or billing records required to respond to or comply with financial, accounting or regulatory reporting matters; and assistance with internal control reporting requirements under Form N-SAR or Form N-CSR.

The Committee has pre-approved the audit-related services listed on Appendix B. The Committee must specifically approve all audit-related services not listed on Appendix B.

IV. Tax Services

The Committee believes the independent auditors can provide tax services to the Funds, including tax compliance, tax planning, and tax advice, without compromising the auditors independence. Therefore, the Committee may grant general pre-approval with respect to tax services historically provided by the Funds independent auditors that do not, in the Committee s view, impair auditor independence and that are consistent with the SEC s rules on auditor independence.

The Committee will not grant pre-approval if the independent auditors initially recommends a transaction the sole business purpose of which is tax avoidance and the tax treatment of which may not be supported in the Internal Revenue Code and related regulations. The Committee may consult outside counsel to determine that tax planning and reporting positions are consistent with this Policy.

Table of Contents

The Committee has pre-approved the tax-related services listed on Appendix C. The Committee must specifically approve all tax-related services not listed on Appendix C.

V. Other Services

The Committee believes it may grant approval of non-audit services that are permissible services for independent auditors to a Fund. The Committee has determined to grant general pre-approval to other services that it believes are routine and recurring, do not impair auditor independence, and are consistent with SEC rules on auditor independence.

The Committee has pre-approved the non-audit services listed on Appendix D. The Committee must specifically approve all non-audit services not listed on Appendix D.

A list of the SEC s prohibited non-audit services is attached to this Policy as Appendix E. The SEC s rules and relevant guidance should be consulted to determine the precise definitions of these impermissible services and the applicability of exceptions to certain of the SEC s prohibitions.

VI. Pre-approval of Fee levels and Budgeted Amounts

The Committee will annually establish pre-approval fee levels or budgeted amounts for audit, audit-related, tax and non-audit services to be provided to the Funds by the independent auditors. Any proposed services exceeding these levels or amounts require the Committee s specific pre-approval. The Committee considers fees for audit and non-audit services when deciding whether to pre-approve services. The Committee may determine, for a pre-approval period of 12 months, the appropriate ratio between the total amount of fees for the Fund s audit, audit-related, and tax services (including fees for services provided to Fund affiliates that are subject to pre-approval), and the total amount of fees for certain permissible non-audit services for the Fund classified as other services (including any such services provided to Fund affiliates that are subject to pre-approval).

VII. Procedures

Requests or applications for services to be provided by the independent auditors will be submitted to management. If management determines that the services do not fall within those services generally pre-approved by the Committee and set out in the appendices to these procedures, management will submit the services to the Committee or its delagee. Any such submission will include a detailed description of the services to be rendered. Notwithstanding this paragraph, the Committee will, on a quarterly basis, receive from the independent auditors a list of services provided for the previous calendar quarter on a cumulative basis by the auditors during the Pre-Approval Period.

Table of Contents

VIII. Delegation

The Committee may delegate pre-approval authority to one or more of the Committee s members. Any member or members to whom such pre-approval authority is delegated must report any pre-approval decisions, including any pre-approved services, to the Committee at its next scheduled meeting. The Committee will identify any member to whom pre-approval authority is delegated in writing. The member will retain such authority for a period of 12 months from the date of pre-approval unless the Committee determines that a different period is appropriate. The period of delegated authority may be terminated by the Committee or at the option of the member.

IX. Additional Requirements

The Committee will take any measures the Committee deems necessary or appropriate to oversee the work of the independent auditors and to assure the auditors independence from the Funds. This may include reviewing a formal written statement from the independent auditors delineating all relationships between the auditors and the Funds, consistent with Independence Standards Board No. 1, and discussing with the auditors their methods and procedures for ensuring independence.

Effective April 23, 2008, the KPMG LLP (KPMG) audit team for the ING Funds accepted the global responsibility for monitoring the auditor independence for KPMG relative to the ING Funds. Using a proprietary system called Sentinel, the audit team is able to identify and manage potential conflicts of interest across the member firms of the KPMG International Network and prevent the provision of prohibited services to the ING entities that would impair KPMG independence with the respect to the ING Funds. In addition to receiving pre-approval from the ING Funds Audit Committee for services provided to the ING Funds and for services for ING entities in the Investment Company Complex, the audit team has developed a process for periodic notification via email to the ING Funds Audit Committee Chairpersons regarding requests to provide services to ING Groep NV and its affiliates from KPMG offices worldwide. Additionally, KPMG provides a quarterly summary of the fees for services that have commenced for ING Groep NV and Affiliates at each Audit Committee Meeting.

Last Approved: November 29, 2012

Table of Contents

Appendix A

Pre-Approved Audit Services for the Pre-Approval Period January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013

Service

	The Fund(s)	Fee Range
Statutory audits or financial audits (including tax services associated with audit services)	ü	As presented to Audit Committee[1]
Services associated with SEC registration statements, periodic reports and other documents filed with the SEC or other documents issued in connection with securities offerings (e.g., consents), and assistance in responding to SEC comment letters.	ü	Not to exceed $9,750 per filing
Consultations by Fund management with respect to accounting or disclosure treatment of transactions or events and/or the actual or potential effect of final or proposed rules, standards or interpretations by the SEC, Financial Accounting Standards Board, or other regulatory or standard setting bodies.	ü	Not to exceed $8,000 during the Pre-Approval Period
Seed capital audit and related review and issuance of consent on the N-2 registration statement	ü	Not to exceed $13,000 per audit

1 For new Funds launched during the Pre-Approval Period, the fee ranges pre-approved will be the same as those for existing Funds, pro-rated in accordance with inception dates as provided in the auditors Proposal or any Engagement Letter covering the period at issue. Fees in the Engagement Letter will be controlling.

6

Table of Contents

Appendix B

Pre-Approved Audit-Related Services for the Pre-Approval Period January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013

Service

	The Fund(s)	Fund Affiliates	Fee Range
Services related to Fund mergers (Excludes tax services - See Appendix C for tax services associated with Fund mergers)	ü	ü	Not to exceed $10,000 per merger
Consultations by Fund management with respect to accounting or disclosure treatment of transactions or events and/or the actual or potential effect of final or proposed rules, standards or interpretations by the SEC, Financial Accounting Standards Board, or other regulatory or standard setting bodies. [Note: Under SEC rules some consultations may be audit services and others may be audit-related services.]	ü		Not to exceed $5,000 per occurrence during the Pre-Approval Period
Review of the Funds semi-annual and quarterly financial statements	ü		Not to exceed $2,400 per set of financial statements per fund
Reports to regulatory or government agencies related to the annual engagement	ü		Up to $5,000 per occurrence during the Pre-Approval Period
Regulatory compliance assistance	ü	ü	Not to exceed $5,000 per quarter
Training courses		ü	Not to exceed $2,000 per course
For Prime Rate Trust, agreed upon procedures for quarterly reports to rating agencies	ü		Not to exceed $9,450 per quarter

7

Table of Contents

Appendix C

Pre-Approved Tax Services for the Pre-Approval Period January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013

Service

	The Fund(s)	Fund Affiliates	Fee Range
Preparation of federal and state income tax returns and federal excise tax returns for the Funds including assistance and review with excise tax distributions	ü		As presented to Audit Committee[2]
Review of IRC Sections 851(b) and 817(h) diversification testing on a real-time basis	ü		As presented to Audit Committee[2]
Assistance and advice regarding year-end reporting for 1099 s	ü		As presented to Audit Committee[2]
Tax assistance and advice regarding statutory, regulatory or administrative developments	ü	ü	Not to exceed $5,000 for the Funds or for the Funds investment adviser during the Pre-Approval Period

[2] For new Funds launched during the Pre-Approval Period, the fee ranges pre-approved will be the same as those for existing Funds, pro-rated in accordance with inception dates as provided in the auditors Proposal or any Engagement Letter covering the period at issue. Fees in the Engagement Letter will be controlling.

8

Table of Contents

Appendix C, *continued*

Service	**The Fund(s)**	**Fund Affiliates**	**Fee Range**
Tax training courses		ü	Not to exceed $2,000 per course during the Pre-Approval Period
Tax services associated with Fund mergers	ü	ü	Not to exceed $4,000 per fund per merger during the Pre-Approval Period
Other tax-related assistance and consultation, including, without limitation, assistance in evaluating derivative financial instruments and international tax issues, qualification and distribution issues, and similar routine tax consultations.	ü		Not to exceed $120,000 during the Pre-Approval Period

9

Table of Contents

Appendix D

Pre-Approved Other Services for the Pre-Approval Period January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013

Service	**The Fund(s)**	**Fund Affiliates**	**Fee Range**
Agreed-upon procedures for Class B share 12b-1 programs		ü	Not to exceed $60,000 during the Pre-Approval Period
Security counts performed pursuant to Rule 17f-2 of the 1940 Act (i.e., counts for Funds holding securities with affiliated sub-custodians) Cost to be borne 50% by the Funds and 50% by ING Investments, LLC.	ü	ü	Not to exceed $5,000 per Fund during the Pre-Approval Period
Agreed upon procedures for 15 (c) FACT Books	ü		Not to exceed $35,000 during the Pre-Approval Period

10

Table of Contents

Appendix E

Prohibited Non-Audit Services

Dated: January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013

Bookkeeping or other services related to the accounting records or financial statements of the Funds

Financial information systems design and implementation

Appraisal or valuation services, fairness opinions, or contribution-in-kind reports

Actuarial services

Internal audit outsourcing services

Management functions

Human resources

Broker-dealer, investment adviser, or investment banking services

Legal services

Expert services unrelated to the audit

Any other service that the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board determines, by regulation, is impermissible

EXHIBIT A

ING ASIA PACIFIC HIGH DIVIDEND EQUITY INCOME FUND

ING EMERGING MARKETS LOCAL BOND FUND

ING EMERING MARKETS HIGH DIVIDEND EQUITY FUND

ING EQUITY TRUST

ING FUNDS TRUST

ING GLOBAL ADVANTAGE AND PREMIUM OPPORTUNITY FUND

ING GLOBAL EQUITY DIVIDEND AND PREMIUM OPPORTUNITY FUND

ING GLOBAL STRATEGIC INCOME FUND

ING INFRASTRUCTURE, INDUSTRIALS, AND MATERIALS FUND

ING INTERNATIONAL HIGH DIVIDEND EQUITY INCOME FUND

ING INVESTORS TRUST

ING MAYFLOWER TRUST

ING MUTUAL FUNDS

ING PARTNERS, INC.

ING PRIME RATE TRUST

ING RISK MANAGED NATURAL RESOURCES FUNDING INVESTORS TRUST

ING SENIOR INCOME FUND

ING SEPARATE PORTFOLIOS TRUST

ING VARIABLE INSURANCE TRUST

ING VARIABLE PRODUCTS TRUST

(e)(2) Percentage of services referred to in 4(b) (4)(d) that were approved by the audit committee

100% of the services were approved by the audit committee.

(f) Percentage of hours expended attributable to work performed by other than full time employees of KPMG if greater than 50%.

Not applicable.

(g) Non-Audit Fees: The non-audit fees billed by the registrant s accountant for services rendered to the registrant, and rendered to the registrant s investment adviser, and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant were $574,179 for the year ended February 28, 2013 and $1,233,678 for year ended February 29, 2013.

(h) Principal Accountants Independence: The Registrant s Audit committee has considered whether the provision of non-audit services that were rendered to the registrant s investment adviser and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the investment adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant that were not pre-approved pursuant to Rule 2-01(c)(7)(ii) of Regulation S-X is compatible with maintaining KPMG s independence.

Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrants.

a. The registrant has a separately-designated standing audit committee. The members are J. Michael Earley, Patricia W. Chadwick and Peter S. Drotch.

b. Not applicable.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Schedule of Investments.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Shareholders and Board of Trustees

ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund

We have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the statement of assets and liabilities, including the summary portfolio of investments, of ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund as of February 28, 2013, and the related statement of operations for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the years in the two-year period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the years in the seven-year period then ended and the period from October 31, 2005 (commencement of operations) to February 28, 2006 and have issued our unqualified report thereon dated April 24, 2013 (which report and financial statements are included in Item 1 of this Certified Shareholder Report on Form N-CSR). In connection with our audits of the aforementioned financial statements and financial highlights, we also audited the related portfolio of investments included in Item 6 of this Form N-CSR. The portfolio of investments is the responsibility of management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the portfolio of investments based on our audits.

In our opinion, the portfolio of investments, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

Boston, Massachusetts

April 24, 2013

Table of Contents

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS

ING Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity Fund **as of February 28, 2013**

Shares			Value	Percentage of Net Assets
COMMON STOCK:		**95.6%**		
	Brazil:	**1.4%**		
57,885	Banco do Brasil S.A.		770,280	0.3
30,545	Embraer SA ADR		1,036,697	0.4
56,792	Petroleo Brasileiro SA ADR		833,139	0.4
80,748	Sul America SA		769,786	0.3
			3,409,902	**1.4**
	Canada:	**0.5%**		
40,302	Barrick Gold Corp.		**1,218,733**	**0.5**
	China:	**0.9%**		
1,152,000	Bank of China Ltd.		543,025	0.2
220,000	China Resources Enterprise		714,073	0.3
1,978,000	Shanghai Electric Group Co., Ltd.		801,605	0.4
			2,058,703	**0.9**
	France:	**2.8%**		
101,099	AXA S.A.		1,748,969	0.7
63,902	Total S.A.		3,190,356	1.4
40,056	Veolia Environnement		503,141	0.2
26,459	Vinci S.A.		1,219,726	0.5
			6,662,192	**2.8**
	Germany:	**3.8%**		
14,955	Allianz AG		2,038,909	0.9
22,169	Bayerische Motoren Werke AG		2,038,241	0.9
54,287	Deutsche Post AG		1,215,268	0.5
9,796	Linde AG		1,773,191	0.7
18,515	Siemens AG		1,921,694	0.8
			8,987,303	**3.8**
	Hong Kong:	**0.9%**		
475,970	AIA Group Ltd.		**2,058,364**	**0.9**
	Ireland:	**0.9%**		
26,570	Ingersoll-Rand PLC		1,398,910	0.6
11,695	Ingersoll-Rand PLC - Class A		615,742	0.3
			2,014,652	**0.9**
	Israel:	**1.8%**		

30,674	@	Check Point Software Technologies		1,610,692	0.7
93,350		Israel Chemicals Ltd.		1,203,367	0.5
37,418	@	Nice Systems Ltd. ADR		1,321,229	0.6
				4,135,288	**1.8**
		Italy:	**0.5%**		
46,388		Fiat Industrial SpA		562,282	0.2
25,000		Saipem S.p.A.		667,528	0.3
				1,229,810	**0.5**
		Japan:	**9.4%**		
73,000		Chiyoda Corp.		911,564	0.4
184,300		Itochu Corp.		2,126,583	0.9
69,900		Japan Tobacco, Inc.		2,207,028	0.9
7,300		Keyence Corp.		2,055,208	0.9
61,200		Komatsu Ltd.		1,541,156	0.7
484,200		Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc.		2,683,644	1.1
739,000		Nippon Yusen KK		1,854,747	0.8
68,100		Sumitomo Mitsui Financial Group, Inc.		2,717,809	1.1
72,389		Tokio Marine Holdings, Inc.		2,040,697	0.9
70,500		Toyota Motor Corp.		3,620,851	1.5
14,760		Yamada Denki Co., Ltd.		535,597	0.2
				22,294,884	**9.4**
		Mexico:	**1.1%**		
15,183		Fomento Economico Mexicano SAB de CV ADR		1,696,548	0.7
121,630		Grupo Financiero Banorte		899,513	0.4
				2,596,061	**1.1**
		Netherlands:	**0.4%**		
64,703		Reed Elsevier NV		**981,751**	**0.4**
		Norway:	**0.6%**		
62,326		Subsea 7 SA		**1,471,877**	**0.6**
		Peru:	**0.5%**		
46,278		Cia de Minas Buenaventura SA ADR		**1,185,642**	**0.5**
		Poland:	**0.7%**		
13,634		Powszechny Zaklad Ubezpieczen SA		**1,725,852**	**0.7**
		Russia:	**1.2%**		
142,961	@	Gazprom OAO ADR		1,272,353	0.5
120,908		Sberbank of Russia ADR		1,667,321	0.7
				2,939,674	**1.2**
		Singapore:	**2.0%**		
181,000		DBS Group Holdings Ltd.		2,201,022	0.9

1,642,593	Mapletree Greater China Commercial Trust		1,233,536	0.5
464,000	Wilmar International Ltd.		1,326,075	0.6
			4,760,633	**2.0**
	South Korea:	**1.3%**		
2,103	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.		**2,978,647**	**1.3**
	Spain:	**0.8%**		
138,917	Telefonica S.A.		**1,813,196**	**0.8**
	Sweden:	**0.6%**		
116,000	Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson		**1,410,165**	**0.6**
	Switzerland:	**6.4%**		
33,641	Cie Financiere Richemont SA		2,698,309	1.2
56,500	Nestle S.A.		3,944,297	1.7
46,357	Novartis AG		3,139,210	1.3

Table of Contents

16,826		Roche Holding AG - Genusschein		3,849,928	1.6
998		Transocean Ltd.		52,609	0.0
28,912	@	Transocean Ltd.		1,512,097	0.6
				15,196,450	**6.4**
		Taiwan:	**0.6%**		
81,608		Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Co., Ltd. ADR		**1,489,346**	**0.6**
		Turkey:	**0.4%**		
182,355		Akbank TAS		**885,869**	**0.4**
		United Kingdom:	**8.5%**		
101,206		BG Group PLC		1,784,629	0.8
437,852		BP PLC		2,936,046	1.2
289,723		HSBC Holdings PLC (GBP)		3,208,897	1.4
149,294		Prudential PLC		2,215,948	0.9
48,124		Rio Tinto PLC		2,573,320	1.1
76,234		Scottish & Southern Energy PLC		1,669,679	0.7
73,535		Standard Chartered PLC		1,996,090	0.8
136,987		WPP PLC		2,182,753	0.9
93,724		Xstrata PLC		1,645,004	0.7
				20,212,366	**8.5**
		United States:	**47.6%**		
48,108	@	Adobe Systems, Inc.		1,890,644	0.8
14,567		Air Products & Chemicals, Inc.		1,257,715	0.5
67,421		Altria Group, Inc.		2,261,975	1.0
41,818		American Electric Power Co., Inc.		1,956,664	0.8
84,778		AT&T, Inc.		3,044,378	1.3
206,970		Bank of America Corp.		2,324,273	1.0
144,036		Blackstone Group LP		2,722,280	1.1
49,278		Carnival Corp.		1,762,674	0.7
130,505		Cisco Systems, Inc.		2,721,029	1.1
78,558		Citigroup, Inc.		3,297,079	1.4
50,909		CVS Caremark Corp.		2,602,468	1.1
16,033		Cytec Industries, Inc.		1,160,629	0.5
9,316		Diamond Offshore Drilling		649,139	0.3
17,926		Dresser-Rand Group, Inc.		1,105,317	0.5
85,707	@	EMC Corp.		1,972,118	0.8
18,437		Emerson Electric Co.		1,045,378	0.4
51,512		Exelon Corp.		1,596,357	0.7
65,352		Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold, Inc.		2,086,036	0.9
165,460		General Electric Co.		3,841,981	1.6
20,728		Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.		3,104,225	1.3
4,660		Google, Inc. - Class A		3,733,592	1.6
47,110		Halliburton Co.		1,955,536	0.8
33,855		Hess Corp.		2,251,357	0.9
25,742		Honeywell International, Inc.		1,804,514	0.8
89,764		JPMorgan Chase & Co.		4,391,255	1.9
29,741		Kellogg Co.		1,799,330	0.8
10,794		Lorillard, Inc.		416,001	0.2

54,240		Marathon Oil Corp.	1,817,040	0.8
80,394		Merck & Co., Inc.	3,435,236	1.4
43,570		Metlife, Inc.	1,544,121	0.6
124,984		Microsoft Corp.	3,474,555	1.5
79,937	@	Mylan Laboratories	2,366,935	1.0
68,610	@	NetApp, Inc.	2,321,076	1.0
37,778		Nike, Inc.	2,057,390	0.9
86,787		Nuance Communications, Inc.	1,597,749	0.7
30,765		Occidental Petroleum Corp.	2,532,882	1.1
89,741		Oracle Corp.	3,074,527	1.3
159,942		Pfizer, Inc.	4,377,613	1.8
33,354		Philip Morris International, Inc.	3,060,230	1.3
49,816		PPL Corp.	1,535,329	0.6
43,858		Procter & Gamble Co.	3,341,102	1.4
38,665		Qualcomm, Inc.	2,537,584	1.1
188,826		Regions Financial Corp.	1,444,519	0.6
37,429		Target Corp.	2,356,530	1.0
12,756		United Parcel Service, Inc. - Class B	1,054,283	0.4
34,562		UnitedHealth Group, Inc.	1,847,339	0.8
39,456		Walt Disney Co.	2,153,903	0.9
105,533	@	Weatherford International Ltd.	1,253,732	0.5
98,809		Wells Fargo & Co.	3,466,220	1.5
23,074		Yum! Brands, Inc.	1,510,886	0.6
			112,914,725	**47.6**
		Total Common Stock		
		(Cost $215,380,672)	**226,632,085**	**95**.6
		Assets in Excess of Other Liabilities	**10,402,327**	**4.4**
		Net Assets	**$ 237,034,412**	**100.0**

@	Non-income producing security	
ADR	American Depositary Receipt	
	Cost for federal income tax purposes is $215,767,001.	
	Net unrealized appreciation consists of:	
	Gross Unrealized Appreciation	$ 15,447,723
	Gross Unrealized Depreciation	(4,582,639)
	Net Unrealized Appreciation	$ 10,865,084

Sector Diversification	Percentage of Net Assets
Financials	22.5%
Information Technology	14 .6
Energy	10 .7
Consumer Staples	10 .0
Industrials	9 .7
Consumer Discretionary	9 .2
Health Care	7 .9
Materials	5 .9
Utilities	3 .0
Telecommunication Services	2 .1
Assets in Excess of Other Liabilities	4 .4

Net Assets	**100.0%**

See Accompanying Notes to Financial Statements

Table of Contents

Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment companies.

ING FUNDS

PROXY VOTING PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES

Effective Date: July 10, 2003

Revision Date: March 7, 2013

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION

The following are the Proxy Voting Procedures and Guidelines (the Procedures and Guidelines) of the ING Funds set forth on ***Exhibit 1*** attached hereto and each portfolio or series thereof, except for any Sub-Adviser-Voted Series identified on ***Exhibit 1*** and further described in Section III below (each non-Sub-Adviser-Voted Series hereinafter referred to as a Fund and collectively, the Funds). The purpose of these Procedures and Guidelines is to set forth the process by which each Fund subject to these Procedures and Guidelines will vote proxies related to the equity assets in its investment portfolio (the portfolio securities). The term proxies as used herein shall include votes in connection with annual and special meetings of equity stockholders but not those regarding bankruptcy matters and/or related plans of reorganization. The Procedures and Guidelines have been approved by the Funds Boards of Trustees/Directors[1] (each a Board and collectively, the Boards), including a majority of the independent Trustees/Directors[2] of the Board. These Procedures and Guidelines may be amended only by the Board. The Board shall review these Procedures and Guidelines at its discretion, and make any revisions thereto as deemed appropriate by the Board.

II. COMPLIANCE COMMITTEE

The Boards hereby delegate to the Compliance Committee of each Board (each a Committee and collectively, the Committees) the authority and responsibility to oversee the implementation of these Procedures and Guidelines, and where applicable, to make determinations on behalf of the Board with respect to the voting of proxies on behalf of each Fund. Furthermore, the Boards hereby delegate to each Committee the authority to review and approve material changes to proxy voting procedures of any Fund s investment adviser (the Adviser). The Proxy Voting Procedures of the Adviser (the Adviser Procedures) are attached hereto as ***Exhibit 2***. Any determination regarding the voting of proxies of each Fund that is made by a Committee, or any member thereof, as permitted herein, shall be deemed to be a good faith determination regarding the voting of proxies by the full Board. Each Committee may rely on the Adviser through the Proxy Coordinator, Agent, , and/or Proxy Group (as such terms are defined in the Adviser Procedures (***Exhibit 2***, Sections II.A., B., and C., respectively)) to deal in the first instance with the application of these Procedures and Guidelines. Each Committee shall conduct itself in accordance with its charter.

III. DELEGATION OF VOTING AUTHORITY

Except as otherwise provided for herein, the Board hereby delegates to the Adviser to each Fund the authority and responsibility to vote all proxies with respect to all portfolio securities of the

1 Reference in these Procedures to one or more Funds shall, as applicable, mean those Funds that are under the jurisdiction of the particular Board or Compliance Committee at issue. No provision in these Procedures is intended to impose any duty upon the particular Board or Compliance Committee with respect to any other Fund.

2 The independent Trustees/Directors are those Board members who are not interested persons of the Funds within the meaning of Section 2(a)(19) of the Investment Company Act of 1940.

Effective Date: 07/10/03

Revision Date: 03/07/13

Fund in accordance with the current proxy voting procedures and guidelines that have been approved by the Board. The Board may revoke such delegation with respect to any proxy or proposal, and assume the responsibility of voting any Fund proxy or proxies as it deems appropriate. Non-material amendments to the Procedures and Guidelines may be approved for immediate implementation by the President or Chief Financial Officer of a Fund, subject to ratification at the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Compliance Committee. A Board may elect to delegate the voting of proxies to the Sub-Adviser of a portfolio or series of the ING Funds. In so doing, the Board shall also approve the Sub-Adviser s proxy policies for implementation on behalf of such portfolio or series (a Sub-Adviser-Voted Series). Sub-Adviser-Voted Series shall not be covered under these Procedures and Guidelines but rather shall be covered by such Sub-Adviser s proxy policies, provided that the Board, including a majority of the independent Trustees/Directors[3], has approved them on behalf of such Sub-Adviser-Voted Series, and ratifies any subsequent changes at the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Compliance Committee and the Board.

When a Fund participates in the lending of its securities and the securities are on loan at record date, proxies related to such securities will not be forwarded to the Adviser by the Fund s custodian and therefore will not be voted. However, the Adviser shall use best efforts to recall or restrict specific securities from loan for the purpose of facilitating a material vote as described in the Adviser Procedures.

Funds that are funds-of-funds will echo vote their interests in underlying mutual funds, which may include ING Funds (or portfolios or series thereof) other than those set forth on ***Exhibit 1*** attached hereto. This means that, if the fund-of-funds must vote on a proposal with respect to an underlying investment company, the fund-of-funds will vote its interest in that underlying fund in the same proportion all other shareholders in the underlying investment company voted their interests.

However, if the underlying fund has no other shareholders, the fund-of-funds will vote as follows:

A. If the fund-of-funds and the underlying fund are being solicited to vote on the same proposal (*e.g.*, the election of fund directors/trustees), the fund-of-funds will vote the shares it holds in the underlying fund in the same proportion as all votes received from the holders of the fund-of-funds shares with respect to that proposal; and

B. If the fund-of-funds is being solicited to vote on a proposal for an underlying fund (*e.g.*, a new Sub-Adviser to the underlying fund), and there is no corresponding proposal at the fund-of-funds level, the Board shall determine the most appropriate method of voting with respect to the underlying fund proposal

3 The independent Trustees/Directors are those Board members who are not interested persons of the Funds within the meaning of Section 2(a)(19) of the Investment Company Act of 1940.

The foregoing procedure shall also apply to any ING Fund (an Investing Fund) that, while not a fund-of-funds, invests in one or more underlying funds. Accordingly:

A. Each Investing Fund will echo vote its interests in an underlying fund, if the underlying fund has shareholders other than the Investing Fund;

B. In the event an underlying fund has no other shareholders, and the Investing Fund and the underlying fund are being solicited to vote on the same proposal, the Investing Fund will vote its interests in the underlying fund in the same proportion as all votes received from the holders of its own shares on that proposal; and

C. In the event an underlying fund has no other shareholders, and there is no corresponding proposal at the Investing Fund level, the Board shall determine the most appropriate method of voting with respect to the underlying fund proposal.

A fund that is a feeder fund in a master-feeder structure does not echo vote. Rather, it passes votes requested by the underlying master fund to its shareholders. This means that, if the feeder fund is solicited by the master fund, it will request instructions from its own shareholders, either directly or, in the case of an insurance-dedicated Fund, through an insurance product or retirement plan, as to the manner in which to vote its interest in an underlying master fund.

When a Fund is a feeder in a master-feeder structure, proxies for the portfolio securities owned by the master fund will be voted pursuant to the master fund s proxy voting policies and procedures. As such, and except as otherwise noted herein with respect to vote reporting requirements, feeder Funds shall not be subject to these Procedures and Guidelines.

IV. APPROVAL AND REVIEW OF PROCEDURES

Each Fund s Adviser has adopted proxy voting procedures in connection with the voting of portfolio securities for the Funds as attached hereto in ***Exhibit 2***. The Board hereby approves such procedures. All material changes to the Adviser Procedures must be approved by the Board or the Compliance Committee prior to implementation; however, the President or Chief Financial Officer of a Fund may make such non-material changes as they deem appropriate, subject to ratification by the Board or the Compliance Committee at its next regularly scheduled meeting.

V. VOTING PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES

The Guidelines that are set forth in ***Exhibit 3*** hereto specify the manner in which the Funds generally will vote with respect to the proposals discussed therein.

Unless otherwise noted, the defined terms used hereafter shall have the same meaning as defined in the Adviser Procedures.

A. Routine Matters

The Agent shall be instructed to submit a vote in accordance with the Guidelines where such Guidelines provide a clear policy (*e.g.*, For, Against, Withhold, or Abstain) on a proposal. However, the Agent shall be directed to refer any proxy proposal to the Proxy Coordinator for instructions as if it were a matter requiring case-by-case consideration under circumstances where the application of the Guidelines is unclear, it appears to involve unusual or controversial issues, or an Investment Professional (as such term is defined in the Adviser Procedures (***Exhibit 2***, Section II.D.)) recommends a vote contrary to the Guidelines.

Effective Date: 07/10/03

Revision Date: 03/07/13

B. Matters Requiring Case-by-Case Consideration

The Agent shall be directed to refer proxy proposals accompanied by its written analysis and voting recommendation to the Proxy Coordinator where the Guidelines have noted case-by-case consideration.

Upon receipt of a referral from the Agent, the Proxy Coordinator may solicit additional research from the Agent, Investment Professional(s), as well as from any other source or service.

Except in cases in which the Proxy Group has previously provided the Proxy Coordinator with standing instructions to vote in accordance with the Agent s recommendation, the Proxy Coordinator will forward the Agent s analysis and recommendation and/or any research obtained from the Investment Professional(s), the Agent, or any other source to the Proxy Group. The Proxy Group may consult with the Agent and/or Investment Professional(s), as it deems necessary.

The Proxy Coordinator shall use best efforts to convene the Proxy Group with respect to all matters requiring its consideration. In the event quorum requirements cannot be timely met in connection with a voting deadline, it shall be the policy of the Funds to vote in accordance with the Agent s recommendation, unless the Agent s recommendation is deemed to be conflicted as provided for under the Adviser Procedures, in which case no action shall be taken on such matter (*i.e.*, a Non-Vote).

1. **Within-Guidelines Votes:** Votes in Accordance with a Fund s Guidelines and/or where applicable, Agent Recommendation

In the event the Proxy Group, and where applicable, any Investment Professional participating in the voting process, recommend a vote Within Guidelines, the Proxy Group will instruct the Agent, through the Proxy Coordinator, to vote in this manner, except that the Proxy Coordinator may first consult with a Fund s Compliance Committee as described in Section V.B.5. below. Except as provided for herein, no Conflicts Report (as such term is defined in the Adviser Procedures (***Exhibit 2***, Section IV.B.)) is required in connection with Within-Guidelines Votes.

2. **Non-Votes:** Votes in Which No Action is Taken

The Proxy Group may recommend that a Fund refrain from voting under circumstances including, but not limited to, the following: (1) if the economic effect on shareholders interests or the value of the portfolio holding is indeterminable or insignificant, *e.g.*, proxies in connection with fractional shares, securities no longer held in the portfolio of an ING Fund or proxies being considered on behalf of a Fund that is no longer in existence; or (2) if the cost of voting a proxy outweighs the benefits, *e.g.*, certain international proxies,

Effective Date: 07/10/03

Revision Date: 03/07/13

Table of Contents

particularly in cases in which share blocking practices may impose trading restrictions on the relevant portfolio security. In such instances, the Proxy Group may instruct the Agent, through the Proxy Coordinator, not to vote such proxy. The Proxy Group may provide the Proxy Coordinator with standing instructions on parameters that would dictate a Non-Vote without the Proxy Group s review of a specific proxy.

Reasonable efforts shall be made to secure and vote all other proxies for the Funds, but, particularly in markets in which shareholders rights are limited, Non-Votes may also occur in connection with a Fund s related inability to timely access ballots or other proxy information in connection with its portfolio securities.

Non-Votes may also result in certain cases in which the Agent s recommendation has been deemed to be conflicted, as described in V.B. above and V.B.4. below.

3. **Out-of-Guidelines Votes:** Votes Contrary to Procedures and Guidelines, or Agent Recommendation, where applicable, Where No Recommendation is Provided by Agent, or Where Agent s Recommendation is Conflicted

If the Proxy Group recommends that a Fund vote contrary to the Guidelines, or the recommendation of the Agent, where applicable, if the Agent has made no recommendation on a matter and the Procedures and Guidelines are silent, or the Agent s recommendation on a matter is deemed to be conflicted as provided for under the Adviser Procedures, the Proxy Coordinator will then request that all members of the Proxy Group, including any members who abstained from voting on the matter or were not in attendance at the meeting at which the relevant proxy is being considered, and each Investment Professional participating in the voting process complete a Conflicts Report. As provided for in the Adviser Procedures, the Proxy Coordinator shall be responsible for identifying to Counsel potential conflicts of interest with respect to the Agent.

If Counsel determines that a conflict of interest appears to exist with respect to the Agent, any member of the Proxy Group, or the participating Investment Professional(s), the Proxy Coordinator will then contact the Compliance Committee(s) and forward to such Committee(s) all information relevant to their review, including the following materials or a summary thereof: the applicable Procedures and Guidelines, the recommendation of the Agent, where applicable, the recommendation of the Investment Professional(s), where applicable, any resources used by the Proxy Group in arriving at its recommendation, the Conflicts Report and any other written materials establishing whether a conflict of interest exists, and findings of Counsel (as such term is defined in the Adviser Procedures (***Exhibit 2***, Section IV.A.)). Upon Counsel s finding that a conflict of interest exists with respect to one or more members of the Proxy Group or the Advisers generally, the remaining members of the Proxy Group shall not be required to complete a Conflicts Report in connection with the proxy.

Table of Contents

If Counsel determines that there does not appear to be a conflict of interest with respect to the Agent, any member of the Proxy Group, or the participating Investment Professional(s), the Proxy Coordinator will instruct the Agent to vote the proxy as recommended by the Proxy Group.

A vote that is contrary to the Agent s recommendation, but is based on input from an Investment Professional provided in connection with a Guideline requiring case-by-case review while specifying that primary consideration will be given to such input, shall be not be deemed an Out-of-Guidelines Vote if the Investment Professional completes and returns a Conflicts Report and Counsel determines that no conflict of interest appears to be present. The Proxy Group shall not be required to complete a Conflicts Report in connection with such votes.

4. Referrals to a Fund s Compliance Committee

A Fund s Compliance Committee may consider all recommendations, analysis, research and Conflicts Reports provided to it by the Agent, Proxy Group and/or Investment Professional(s), and any other written materials used to establish whether a conflict of interest exists, in determining how to vote the proxies referred to the Committee. The Committee will instruct the Agent through the Proxy Coordinator how to vote such referred proposals.

The Proxy Coordinator shall use best efforts to timely refer matters to a Fund s Committee for its consideration. In the event any such matter cannot be timely referred to or considered by the Committee, it shall be the policy of the Funds to vote in accordance with the Agent s recommendation, unless the Agent s recommendation is conflicted on a matter, in which case no action shall be taken on such matter (*i.e.*, a Non-Vote).

The Proxy Coordinator will maintain a record of all proxy questions that have been referred to a Fund s Committee, as well as all applicable recommendations, analysis, research, Conflicts Reports and vote determinations.

5. Consultation with a Fund s Compliance Committee

The Proxy Coordinator may consult with the Chair of a Fund s Compliance Committee for guidance on behalf of the Committee if application of the Procedures and Guidelines is unclear or in connection with any unusual or controversial issue or a recommendation received from an Investment Professional. The Chair may consider all recommendations, analysis, research, or Conflicts Reports provided by the Agent, Proxy Group, and/or Investment Professional(s). The Chair may provide guidance or direct the Proxy Coordinator to refer the proposal(s) to the full Compliance Committee. The guidance of the Chair, or the Committee, as applicable, shall be given primary consideration by the Proxy Group in making a vote determination.

Effective Date: 07/10/03

Revision Date: 03/07/13

The Proxy Coordinator will maintain a record of all proxy questions that have been referred to the Chair or Committee for guidance, as well as all applicable recommendations, analysis, research, Conflicts Reports and vote determinations.

VI. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

In all cases in which a vote has not been clearly determined in advance by the Procedures and Guidelines or for which the Proxy Group recommends an Out-of-Guidelines Vote, and Counsel has determined that a conflict of interest appears to exist with respect to the Agent, any member of the Proxy Group, or any Investment Professional participating in the voting process, the proposal shall be referred to the Fund s Committee for determination so that the Adviser shall have no opportunity to exercise voting discretion over a Fund s proxy in a situation in which the Adviser or certain other related parties or the Agent may be deemed to have a conflict of interest. In the event a member of a Fund s Committee believes he/she has a conflict of interest that would preclude him/her from making a voting determination in the best interests of the beneficial owners of the applicable Fund, such Committee member shall so advise the Proxy Coordinator and recuse himself/herself with respect to determinations regarding the relevant proxy.

VII. REPORTING AND RECORD RETENTION

A. Reporting by the Funds

Annually in August, each Fund will post its proxy voting record, or a link thereto, for the prior one-year period ending on June 30th on the ING Funds website. The proxy voting record for each Fund will also be available on Form N-PX in the EDGAR database on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). For any Fund that is a feeder in a master/feeder structure, no proxy voting record related to the portfolio securities owned by the master fund will be posted on the ING Funds website or included in the Fund s Form N-PX; however, a cross-reference to the master fund s proxy voting record as filed in the SEC s EDGAR database will be included in the Fund s Form N-PX and posted on the ING Funds website. If any feeder fund was solicited for vote by its underlying master fund during the reporting period, a record of the votes cast by means of the pass-through process described in Section III above will be included on the ING Funds website and in the Fund s Form N-PX.

B. Reporting to a Fund s Compliance Committee

At each regularly scheduled meeting, the Committee will receive a report from the Proxy Coordinator indicating each proxy proposal, or a summary of such proposals, that was (1) voted Out-of-Guidelines, including any proposals voted Out-of-Guidelines pursuant to special circumstances raised by an Investment Professional; (2) voted Within Guidelines in cases in which an Investment Professional s recommendation was not adopted by the Proxy Group; or (3) referred to the Committee for determination in accordance with Section V. hereof. Such report shall indicate the name of the issuer, the substance of the proposal, a summary of the Investment Professional s recommendation, where applicable and the reasons for voting, or recommending, an Out-of-Guidelines Vote or, in the case of (2) above, a Within-Guidelines Vote.

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT 1 List of ING Funds

ING ASIA PACIFIC HIGH DIVIDEND EQUITY INCOME FUND

ING EMERGING MARKETS HIGH DIVIDEND EQUITY FUND

ING EMERGING MARKETS LOCAL BOND FUND

ING EQUITY TRUST

ING FUNDS TRUST

ING GLOBAL ADVANTAGE AND PREMIUM OPPORTUNITY FUND

ING GLOBAL EQUITY DIVIDEND AND PREMIUM OPPORTUNITY FUND

ING GLOBAL STRATEGIC INCOME FUND

ING INFRASTRUCTURE, INDUSTRIALS AND MATERIALS FUND

ING INTERNATIONAL HIGH DIVIDEND EQUITY INCOME FUND

ING INVESTORS TRUST[1]

ING MAYFLOWER TRUST

ING MUTUAL FUNDS

ING PARTNERS, INC.

ING PRIME RATE TRUST

ING RISK MANAGED NATURAL RESOURCES FUND

ING SENIOR INCOME FUND

ING SEPARATE PORTFOLIOS TRUST

ING VARIABLE INSURANCE TRUST

ING VARIABLE PRODUCTS TRUST

1 *Sub-Adviser-Voted Series:* ING Franklin Mutual Shares Portfolio

Effective Date: 07/10/03

Revision Date: 03/07/13

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT 2 Proxy Voting Procedures of the Advisers

ING Investments, LLC,

ING Investment Management Co. LLC

and

Directed Services LLC

I. INTRODUCTION

ING Investments, LLC, ING Investment Management Co. LLC and Directed Services LLC (each an Adviser and collectively, the Advisers) are the investment advisers for the registered investment companies and each series or portfolio thereof (each a Fund and collectively, the Funds) comprising the ING family of funds. As such, the Advisers have been delegated the authority to vote proxies with respect to securities for certain Funds over which they have day-to-day portfolio management responsibility.

The Advisers will abide by the proxy voting guidelines adopted by a Fund s respective Board of Directors or Trustees (each a Board and collectively, the Boards) with regard to the voting of proxies unless otherwise provided in the proxy voting procedures adopted by a Fund s Board.

In voting proxies, the Advisers are guided by general fiduciary principles. Each must act prudently, solely in the interest of the beneficial owners of the Funds it manages. The Advisers will not subordinate the interest of beneficial owners to unrelated objectives. Each Adviser will vote proxies in the manner that it believes will do the most to maximize shareholder value.

The following are the Proxy Voting Procedures of ING Investments, LLC, ING Investment Management Co. LLC and Directed Services LLC (the Adviser Procedures) with respect to the voting of proxies on behalf of their client Funds as approved by the respective Board of each Fund.

Unless otherwise noted, best efforts shall be used to vote proxies in all instances.

II. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

A. Proxy Coordinator

The Proxy Coordinator identified in ***Appendix 1*** will assist in the coordination of the voting of each Fund s proxies in accordance with the ING Funds Proxy Voting Procedures and Guidelines (the Procedures or Guidelines and collectively the Procedures and Guidelines). The Proxy Coordinator is authorized to direct the Agent to vote a Fund s proxy in accordance with the Procedures and Guidelines unless the Proxy Coordinator receives a recommendation from an Investment Professional (as described below) to vote contrary to the Guidelines. In such event, and in connection with proxy proposals requiring case-by-case consideration (except in cases in which the Proxy Group has previously provided the Proxy Coordinator with standing instructions to vote in accordance with the Agent s recommendation), the Proxy Coordinator will call a meeting of the Proxy Group (as described below).

Effective Date: 07/10/03

Revision Date: 03/07/13

Table of Contents

Responsibilities assigned herein to the Proxy Coordinator, or activities in support thereof, may be performed by such members of the Proxy Group or employees of the Advisers affiliates as are deemed appropriate by the Proxy Group.

Unless specified otherwise, information provided to the Proxy Coordinator in connection with duties of the parties described herein shall be deemed delivered to the Advisers.

B. Agent

An independent proxy voting service (the Agent), as approved by the Board of each Fund, shall be engaged to assist in the voting of Fund proxies for publicly traded securities through the provision of vote analysis, implementation, recordkeeping, and disclosure services. The Agent is Institutional Shareholder Services Inc., a subsidiary of MSCI Inc. The Agent is responsible for coordinating with the Funds custodians to ensure that all proxy materials received by the custodians relating to the portfolio securities are processed in a timely fashion. To the extent applicable, the Agent is required to vote and/or refer all proxies in accordance with these Adviser Procedures. The Agent will retain a record of all proxy votes handled by the Agent. Such record must reflect all the information required to be disclosed in a Fund s Form N-PX pursuant to Rule 30b1-4 under the Investment Company Act. In addition, the Agent is responsible for maintaining copies of all proxy statements received by issuers and to promptly provide such materials to the Adviser upon request.

The Agent shall be instructed to vote all proxies in accordance with a Fund s Guidelines, except as otherwise instructed through the Proxy Coordinator by the Advisers Proxy Group or a Fund s Compliance Committee (Committee).

The Agent shall be instructed to obtain all proxies from the Funds custodians and to review each proxy proposal against the Guidelines. The Agent also shall be requested to call the Proxy Coordinator s attention to specific proxy proposals that although governed by the Guidelines appear to involve unusual or controversial issues.

Subject to the oversight of the Advisers, the Agent shall establish and maintain adequate internal controls and policies in connection with the provision of proxy voting services voting to the Advisers, including methods to reasonably ensure that its analysis and recommendations are not influenced by conflict of interest, and shall disclose such controls and policies to the Advisers when and as provided for herein. Unless otherwise specified, references herein to recommendations of the Agent shall refer to those in which no conflict of interest has been identified.

C. Proxy Group

The Adviser shall establish a Proxy Group (the Group or Proxy Group) which shall assist in the review of the Agent s recommendations when a proxy voting issue is referred to the Group through the Proxy Coordinator. The members of the Proxy Group, which may include employees of the Advisers affiliates, are identified in *Appendix 1*, as may be amended from time at the Advisers discretion.

A minimum of four (4) members of the Proxy Group (or three (3) if one member of the quorum is either the Fund s Chief Investment Risk Officer or Chief Financial Officer) shall constitute a quorum for purposes of taking action at any meeting of the Group. The vote of a simple majority of the members present and voting shall determine any matter submitted to a vote. Tie votes shall be broken by securing the vote of members not present at the meeting; provided, however, that the Proxy Coordinator shall ensure compliance with all applicable voting and conflict of interest procedures and shall use best efforts to secure votes from all or as many absent members as may reasonably be accomplished. A member of the Proxy Group may abstain from voting on any given matter, provided that quorum is not lost for purposes of taking action and that the abstaining member still participates in any conflict of interest processes required in connection with the matter. The Proxy Group may meet in person or by telephone. The Proxy Group also may take action via electronic mail in lieu of a meeting, provided that each Group member has received a copy of any relevant electronic mail transmissions circulated by each other participating Group member prior to voting and provided that the Proxy Coordinator follows the directions of a majority of a quorum (as defined above) responding via electronic mail. For all votes taken in person or by telephone or teleconference, the vote shall be taken outside the presence of any person other than the members of the Proxy Group and such other persons whose attendance may be deemed appropriate by the Proxy Group from time to time in furtherance of its duties or the day-to-day administration of the Funds. In its discretion, the Proxy Group may provide the Proxy Coordinator with standing instructions to perform responsibilities assigned herein to the Proxy Group, or activities in support thereof, on its behalf, provided that such instructions do not contravene any requirements of these Adviser Procedures or a Fund s Procedures and Guidelines.

A meeting of the Proxy Group will be held whenever (1) the Proxy Coordinator receives a recommendation from an Investment Professional to vote a Fund s proxy contrary to the Guidelines, or the recommendation of the Agent, where applicable, (2) the Agent has made no recommendation on a matter and the Procedures and Guidelines are silent, or (3) a matter requires case-by-case consideration, including those in which the Agent s recommendation is deemed to be conflicted as provided for under these Adviser Procedures, provided that, if the Proxy Group has previously provided the Proxy Coordinator with standing instructions to vote in accordance with the Agent s recommendation and no issue of conflict must be considered, the Proxy Coordinator may implement the instructions without calling a meeting of the Proxy Group.

For each proposal referred to the Proxy Group, it will review (1) the relevant Procedures and Guidelines, (2) the recommendation of the Agent, if any, (3) the recommendation of the Investment Professional(s), if any, and (4) any other resources that any member of the Proxy Group deems appropriate to aid in a determination of a recommendation.

If the Proxy Group recommends that a Fund vote in accordance with the Procedures and Guidelines, or the recommendation of the Agent, where applicable, it shall instruct the Proxy Coordinator to so advise the Agent, except that the Proxy Coordinator shall follow any procedures established by a Fund s Board with respect to recommendations received from an Investment Professional.

If the Proxy Group recommends that a Fund vote contrary to the Guidelines, or the recommendation of the Agent, where applicable, or if the Agent s recommendation on a matter is deemed to be conflicted, it shall follow the procedures for such voting as established by a Fund s Board. The Proxy Group may vote contrary to the Guidelines based on a recommendation from an Investment Professional, provided that incorporation of any such recommendation shall be subject to the conflict of interest review process established by a Fund s Board.

The Proxy Coordinator shall use best efforts to convene the Proxy Group with respect to all matters requiring its consideration. In the event quorum requirements cannot be timely met in connection with a voting deadline, the Proxy Coordinator shall follow the procedures for such voting as established by a Fund s Board.

D. Investment Professionals

The Funds Advisers, Sub-Advisers, and/or portfolio managers (each referred to herein as an Investment Professional and collectively, Investment Professionals) may submit, or be asked to submit, a recommendation to the Proxy Group regarding the voting of proxies related to the portfolio securities over which they have day-to-day portfolio management responsibility. The Investment Professionals may accompany their recommendation with any other research materials that they deem appropriate or with a request that the vote be deemed material in the context of the portfolio(s) they manage, such that lending activity on behalf of such portfolio(s) with respect to the relevant security should be reviewed by the Proxy Group and considered for recall and/or restriction. Input from the relevant Sub-Advisers and/or portfolio managers shall be given primary consideration in the Proxy Group s determination of whether a given proxy vote is to be deemed material and the associated security accordingly restricted from lending. The determination that a vote is material in the context of a Fund s portfolio shall not mean that such vote is considered material across all Funds voting that meeting. In order to recall or restrict shares timely for material voting purposes, the Proxy Group shall use best efforts to consider, and when deemed appropriate, to act upon, such requests timely, and requests to review lending activity in connection with a potentially material vote may be initiated by any relevant Investment Professional and submitted for the Proxy Group s consideration at any time.

III. VOTING PROCEDURES

A. In all cases, the Adviser shall follow the voting procedures as set forth in the Procedures and Guidelines of the Fund on whose behalf the Adviser is exercising delegated authority to vote.

Table of Contents

B. Routine Matters

The Agent shall be instructed to submit a vote in accordance with the Guidelines where such Guidelines provide a clear policy (*e.g.*, For, Against, Withhold, or Abstain) on a proposal. However, the Agent shall be directed to refer any proxy proposal to the Proxy Coordinator for instructions as if it were a matter requiring case-by-case consideration under circumstances where the application of the Guidelines is unclear, it appears to involve unusual or controversial issues, or an Investment Professional recommends a vote contrary to the Guidelines.

C. Matters Requiring Case-by-Case Consideration

The Agent shall be directed to refer proxy proposals accompanied by its written analysis and voting recommendation to the Proxy Coordinator where the Guidelines have noted case-by-case consideration.

Upon receipt of a referral from the Agent, the Proxy Coordinator may solicit additional research from the Agent, Investment Professional(s), as well as from any other source or service.

Except in cases in which the Proxy Group has previously provided the Proxy Coordinator with standing instructions to vote in accordance with the Agent s recommendation, the Proxy Coordinator will forward the Agent s analysis and recommendation and/or any research obtained from the Investment Professional(s), the Agent, or any other source to the Proxy Group. The Proxy Group may consult with the Agent and/or Investment Professional(s), as it deems necessary.

1. **Within-Guidelines Votes:** Votes in Accordance with a Fund s Guidelines and/or where applicable, Agent Recommendation

In the event the Proxy Group, and where applicable, any Investment Professional participating in the voting process, recommend a vote Within Guidelines, the Proxy Group will instruct the Agent, through the Proxy Coordinator, to vote in this manner, except that the Proxy Coordinator shall follow any procedures established by a Fund s Board with respect to recommendations received from an Investment Professional. Except as provided for herein, no Conflicts Report (as such term is defined herein) is required in connection with Within-Guidelines Votes.

2. **Non-Votes:** Votes in Which No Action is Taken

The Proxy Group may recommend that a Fund refrain from voting under circumstances including, but not limited to, the following: (1) if the economic effect on shareholders interests or the value of the portfolio holding is indeterminable or insignificant, *e.g.*, proxies in connection with fractional shares, securities no longer held in the portfolio of an ING Fund or proxies being considered on behalf of a Fund that is no longer in existence; or (2) if the cost of voting a proxy outweighs the benefits, *e.g.*, certain international proxies, particularly in cases in which share blocking practices may impose trading restrictions on the relevant portfolio security. In such instances, the Proxy Group may instruct the Agent, through the Proxy Coordinator, not to vote such proxy. The Proxy Group may provide the Proxy Coordinator with standing instructions on parameters that would dictate a Non-Vote without the Proxy Group s review of a specific proxy.

Effective Date: 07/10/03

Revision Date: 03/07/13

Table of Contents

Reasonable efforts shall be made to secure and vote all other proxies for the Funds, but, particularly in markets in which shareholders rights are limited, Non-Votes may also occur in connection with a Fund s related inability to timely access ballots or other proxy information in connection with its portfolio securities.

Non-Votes may also result in certain cases in which the Agent s recommendation has been deemed to be conflicted, as provided for in the Funds Procedures.

3. **Out-of-Guidelines Votes:** Votes Contrary to Procedures and Guidelines, or Agent Recommendation, where applicable, Where No Recommendation is Provided by Agent, or Where Agent s Recommendation is Conflicted

If the Proxy Group or where applicable, an Investment Professional, recommends that a Fund vote contrary to the Guidelines, or the recommendation of the Agent, where applicable, if the Agent has made no recommendation on a matter and the Procedures and Guidelines are silent, or the Agent s recommendation on a matter is deemed to be conflicted as provided for under these Adviser Procedures, the Proxy Coordinator will then implement the procedures for handling such votes as adopted by the Fund s Board.

The Proxy Coordinator will maintain a record of all recommendations from Investment Professionals to vote contrary to the Guidelines, all proxy questions that have been referred to a Fund s Compliance Committee, and all applicable recommendations, analysis, research, Conflicts Reports and vote determinations.

IV. ASSESSMENT OF THE AGENT AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

In furtherance of the Advisers fiduciary duty to the Funds and their beneficial owners, the Advisers shall establish the following:

A. Assessment of the Agent

The Advisers shall establish that the Agent (1) is independent from the Advisers, (2) has resources that indicate it can competently provide analysis of proxy issues, and (3) can make recommendations in an impartial manner and in the best interests of the Funds and their beneficial owners. The Advisers shall utilize, and the Agent shall comply with, such methods for establishing the foregoing as the Advisers may deem reasonably appropriate and shall do so not less than annually as well as prior to engaging the services of any new proxy service. The Agent shall also notify the Advisers in writing within fifteen (15) calendar days of any material change to information previously provided to an Adviser in connection with establishing the Agent s independence, competence, or impartiality.

Table of Contents

Information provided in connection with assessment of the Agent shall be forwarded to a member of the mutual funds practice group of ING U.S. Investment Management (Counsel) for review. Counsel shall review such information and advise the Proxy Coordinator as to whether a material concern exists and if so, determine the most appropriate course of action to eliminate such concern.

B. Conflicts of Interest

The Advisers shall establish and maintain procedures to identify and address conflicts that may arise from time to time concerning the Agent. Upon the Advisers request, which shall be not less than annually, and within fifteen (15) calendar days of any material change to such information previously provided to an Adviser, the Agent shall provide the Advisers with such information as the Advisers deem reasonable and appropriate for use in determining material relationships of the Agent that may pose a conflict of interest with respect to the Agent s proxy analysis or recommendations. The Proxy Coordinator shall forward all such information to Counsel for review. Counsel shall review such information and provide the Proxy Coordinator with a brief statement regarding whether or not a material conflict of interest is present. Matters as to which a material conflict of interest is deemed to be present shall be handled as provided in the Fund s Procedures and Guidelines.

In connection with their participation in the voting process for portfolio securities, each member of the Proxy Group, and each Investment Professional participating in the voting process, must act solely in the best interests of the beneficial owners of the applicable Fund. The members of the Proxy Group may not subordinate the interests of the Fund s beneficial owners to unrelated objectives, including taking steps to reasonably insulate the voting process from any conflict of interest that may exist in connection with the Agent s services or utilization thereof.

For all matters for which the Proxy Group or where applicable, an Investment Professional, recommends an Out-of-Guidelines Vote, or for which a recommendation contrary to that of the Agent or the Guidelines has been received from an Investment Professional, the Proxy Coordinator will implement the procedures for handling such votes as adopted by the Fund s Board, including completion of such Conflicts Reports as may be required under the Fund s Procedures. Completed Conflicts Reports should be provided to the Proxy Coordinator within two (2) business days and may be submitted to the Proxy Coordinator verbally, provided the Proxy Coordinator documents the Conflicts Report in writing. Such Conflicts Report should describe any known conflicts of either a business or personal nature, and set forth any contacts with respect to the referral item with non-investment personnel in its organization or with outside parties (except for routine communications from proxy solicitors). The Conflicts Report should also include written confirmation that any recommendation from an Investment Professional provided in connection with an Out-of-Guidelines Vote or under circumstances where a conflict of interest exists was made solely on the investment merits and without regard to any other consideration.

Effective Date: 07/10/03

Revision Date: 03/07/13

Table of Contents

The Proxy Coordinator shall forward all Conflicts Reports to Counsel for review. Counsel shall review each report and provide the Proxy Coordinator with a brief statement regarding whether or not a material conflict of interest is present. Matters as to which a material conflict of interest is deemed to be present shall be handled as provided in the Fund s Procedures and Guidelines.

V. REPORTING AND RECORD RETENTION

The Adviser shall maintain the records required by Rule 204-2(c)(2),