

WMS INDUSTRIES INC /DE/
Form 10-Q
May 05, 2010
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM _____ TO _____

Commission file number: 1-8300

WMS INDUSTRIES INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its Charter)

Delaware
(State or other Jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

36-2814522
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

800 South Northpoint Blvd.

Waukegan, IL 60085

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(847) 785-3000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit and post such files.) Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 57,956,557 shares of common stock, \$0.50 par value, were outstanding at April 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

WMS INDUSTRIES INC.

INDEX

	Page
Part I. <u>Financial Information</u>	3
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (unaudited) for the Three and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2010 (unaudited) and June 30, 2009</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the Nine Months Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009</u>	5
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	6
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	22
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	44
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	44
Part II. <u>Other Information</u>	44
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	44
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	44
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	45
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	45
Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	46
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	46
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	47
<u>Signatures</u>	

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****WMS INDUSTRIES INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

For the Three and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009

(in millions of U.S. dollars and millions of shares, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
REVENUES:				
Product sales	\$ 123.9	\$ 114.0	\$ 325.8	\$ 316.2
Gaming operations	73.6	66.8	225.9	194.4
Total revenues	197.5	180.8	551.7	510.6
COSTS AND EXPENSES:				
Cost of product sales(1)	57.6	53.4	154.9	154.1
Cost of gaming operations(1)	14.0	10.1	43.5	34.0
Research and development	26.7	24.8	79.1	72.7
Selling and administrative	38.0	37.0	107.8	105.6
Depreciation (1)	16.7	17.3	50.9	51.4
Total costs and expenses	153.0	142.6	436.2	417.8
OPERATING INCOME	44.5	38.2	115.5	92.8
Interest expense	(0.4)	(0.9)	(2.9)	(3.1)
Interest income and other income and expense, net	1.1	0.6	4.2	6.5
Income before income taxes	45.2	37.9	116.8	96.2
Provision for income taxes	12.2	13.5	37.5	32.4
NET INCOME	\$ 33.0	\$ 24.4	\$ 79.3	\$ 63.8
Earnings per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.50	\$ 1.43	\$ 1.29
Diluted	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.43	\$ 1.32	\$ 1.10
Weighted-average common shares:				
Basic common stock outstanding	57.9	48.8	55.4	49.3
Diluted common stock and common stock equivalents	60.1	58.2	60.3	59.1

Edgar Filing: WMS INDUSTRIES INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

(1) Cost of product sales and cost of gaming operations exclude the following amounts of depreciation, which are included in the depreciation line item:

Cost of product sales	\$ 1.1	\$ 1.1	\$ 3.2	\$ 3.0
Cost of gaming operations	\$ 10.8	\$ 13.0	\$ 33.8	\$ 39.5

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**WMS INDUSTRIES INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2009****(in millions of U.S. dollars and millions of shares)**

	March 31, 2010 (unaudited)	June 30, 2009
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 144.3	\$ 135.7
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	17.1	19.0
Total cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	161.4	154.7
Accounts and notes receivable, net	255.3	214.2
Inventories	58.9	43.1
Other current assets	44.1	38.0
Total current assets	519.7	450.0
NON-CURRENT ASSETS:		
Gaming operations equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$239.7 and \$211.3, respectively	62.4	68.0
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$89.8 and \$73.9, respectively	179.2	158.8
Intangible assets, net	99.1	99.3
Deferred income tax assets	29.5	31.2
Other assets, net	51.9	48.7
Total non-current assets	422.1	406.0
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 941.8	\$ 856.0
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable	\$ 50.9	\$ 50.4
Accrued compensation and related benefits	21.6	27.9
Other accrued liabilities	41.2	37.4
Total current liabilities	113.7	115.7
NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Deferred income tax liabilities	18.3	17.8
Long-term debt	9.9	115.0
Other non-current liabilities	11.7	16.1
Total non-current liabilities	39.9	148.9
Commitments, contingencies and indemnifications (see Note 11)		
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:		
Preferred stock (5.0 shares authorized, none issued)		
Common stock (200.0 and 100.0 shares authorized and 59.0 and 51.0 shares issued, respectively)	29.5	25.5

Edgar Filing: WMS INDUSTRIES INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Additional paid-in capital	421.7	311.9
Retained earnings	375.4	296.1
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(1.5)	3.3
Treasury stock, at cost (1.0 and 1.8 shares, respectively)	(36.9)	(45.4)
Total stockholders equity	788.2	591.4
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 941.8	\$ 856.0

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**WMS INDUSTRIES INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****For the Nine Months Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009****(in millions of U.S. dollars)****(Unaudited)**

	2010	2009
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 79.3	\$ 63.8
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation	50.9	51.4
Amortization of intangible and other assets	16.7	14.4
Share-based compensation	14.9	12.7
Other non-cash items	(0.5)	16.8
Deferred income taxes	1.5	8.4
Tax benefit from the exercise of stock options	(13.2)	(0.3)
Change in operating assets and liabilities	(70.6)	(36.0)
Net cash provided by operating activities	79.0	131.2
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	(39.4)	(41.1)
Additions to gaming operations equipment	(30.2)	(36.6)
Payments to acquire or license intangible and other assets	(6.4)	(9.3)
Net cash used in investing activities	(76.0)	(87.0)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Cash received from exercise of stock options and employee stock purchase plan	32.0	0.9
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options	13.2	0.3
Purchases of treasury stock	(37.0)	(40.5)
Proceeds from borrowings under revolving credit facility		50.0
Repayments of borrowings under revolving credit facility		(50.0)
Debt issuance costs	(1.7)	
Other	(0.9)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	5.6	(39.3)
Effect of Exchange Rates on Cash and Cash Equivalents		(1.5)
INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	8.6	3.4
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	135.7	100.8
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$ 144.3	\$ 104.2

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

WMS INDUSTRIES INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(tabular amounts in millions of U.S. dollars and millions of shares, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND BUSINESS OVERVIEW

The accompanying unaudited interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of WMS Industries Inc. (WMS , we , us or the Company) have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) for quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and do not include all of the information and note disclosures required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, (US GAAP) for complete financial statements. The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should therefore be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. The accompanying unaudited interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and reflect all adjustments of a normal, recurring nature that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of results for these interim periods.

Sales of our gaming machines to casinos are generally strongest in the spring and slowest in the summer months, while gaming operations revenues are generally strongest in the spring and summer months. In addition, quarterly revenues and net income may increase when we receive a larger number of product approvals or a new jurisdiction allows gaming. Operating results for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2010. For further information, refer to our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009.

We have production facilities in the United States, with development and distribution offices located in the United States, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Canada, China, Italy, Mexico, the Netherlands, South Africa, Spain, and the United Kingdom.

We market our gaming machines in two principal ways. First, product sales includes the sale to casinos and other gaming machine operators of new and used gaming machines and VLTs, conversion kits, parts, amusement-with-prize gaming machines, equipment manufactured under original equipment manufacturing agreements and gaming related systems for smaller international casino operators. Second, we license our game content and intellectual property to third parties for distribution and we lease gaming machines and VLTs to casinos and other licensed gaming machine operators for payments based upon (1) a percentage of the net win, which is the earnings generated by casino patrons playing the gaming machine, (2) fixed daily fees or (3) a percentage of the amount wagered or a combination of a fixed daily fee and a percentage of the amount wagered. We categorize our lease arrangements into five groups: wide-area progressive (WAP) participation gaming machines; local-area progressive (LAP) participation gaming machines; stand-alone participation gaming machines; casino-owned daily fee games; and gaming machine, VLT and other leases. We refer to WAP, LAP and stand-alone participation gaming machines as participation games and when combined with casino-owned daily fee games, royalties we receive under license agreements with third parties to utilize our game content and intellectual property, and gaming machine, VLT and other lease revenues, we refer to this business as our gaming operations.

We are engaged in one business segment: the design, manufacture, and distribution of gaming machines (video and mechanical reel type) and video lottery terminals (VLTs) for customers in legalized gaming jurisdictions worldwide. Data for product sales and gaming operations is only maintained on a consolidated basis as presented in our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, with no additional separate data maintained for product sales and gaming operations (other than the revenues and costs of revenues information included in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income and cost of gaming operations equipment and related accumulated depreciation included in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets).

2. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Revenue Recognition

We evaluate the recognition of revenue based on the criteria set forth in the following accounting guidance: Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 605, Revenue Recognition (Topic 605), or FASB ASC 985, Software (Topic 985).

Edgar Filing: WMS INDUSTRIES INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Our revenue recognition policy for both product sales and gaming operations is to record revenue when all the following criteria are met:

- Ø Persuasive evidence of an agreement exists;

Table of Contents

WMS INDUSTRIES INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(tabular amounts in millions of U.S. dollars and millions of shares, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

Ø The price to the customer is fixed or determinable;

Ø Delivery has occurred, title has been transferred, and any acceptance terms have been fulfilled;

Ø No significant contractual obligations remain; and

Ø Collectibility is reasonably assured.

We recognize revenue when the criteria listed above are met. We defer revenue for any undelivered units of accounting. Deliverables are divided into separate units of accounting if:

Ø each item has value to the customer on a stand-alone basis; and

Ø delivery of any undelivered item is considered probable and substantially in our control.

Product Sales

We sell gaming machines and VLTs typically with payment terms of 30 to 90 days. In certain circumstances, we offer extended payment terms typically for up to one year but in limited cases for longer terms up to three years, which obligation may be secured by the related gaming machines and may accrue interest recognized at market rates. In fiscal 2009, due to the slowing economy and credit availability challenges our customers experienced, we implemented a program to increase the amount of extended terms offered to select customers. We expect to continue this program throughout fiscal 2010. Revenues are reported net of incentive rebates, imputed interest or discounts. We annually investigate sales contracts with extended payment terms in excess of one year to determine if there is sufficient history to prove assurance of collectibility under the original sales contract payment terms. Based upon this investigation, we have concluded that adequate supporting historical documentation exists to conclude collectibility is probable for sales contracts with extended payment terms of 36 months or less. Our product sales contracts do not include specific performance, cancellation, termination, and refund type provisions.

Our services for initial gaming machine installation, as well as standard warranty and technical support, are not separately priced components of our sales arrangements and are included in our revenues when the associated product sales revenue is recognized. Labor costs for gaming machine installs and participation placements, as well as labor costs associated with performing routine maintenance on participation gaming machines are included in selling and administrative expenses. We accrue for the cost of installing gaming machines sold to our customers at the time of sale, based on the percent of such gaming machines that we expect to install for our customers. We capitalize the costs to install gaming operations equipment.

Gaming Operations

We earn gaming operations revenues from leasing gaming machines, VLTs and other leased equipment, and earn royalties from third parties under license agreements to use our game content and intellectual property.

Edgar Filing: WMS INDUSTRIES INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

For WAP leasing agreements, revenues are recognized for each gaming machine based upon a percentage of coin-in, which is the amount of coins, currency and credits wagered on the gaming machine, or a combination of a fixed daily fee and a percentage of coin-in. Participating casinos pay a percentage of the coin-in from WAP gaming machines directly to us for services related to the design, assembly, installation, operation, maintenance, and marketing of the WAP systems and to administer the progressive jackpot funding. Revenues are recognized as earned when collectibility is reasonably assured. WAP systems entail a configuration of numerous electronically linked gaming machines located in multiple casino properties within a single gaming jurisdiction, which are connected to our central WAP computer system via a network of communications equipment. WAP system gaming machines differ from non-linked gaming machines in that they build a progressive jackpot with every wager until a player hits the top award winning combination. We fund WAP progressive jackpots won by casino patrons.

A LAP system electronically links gaming machines within a single casino to a site controller which builds a series of small progressive jackpots within that specific casino based on every wager made on the LAP system; whereas a WAP jackpot system links gaming machines in multiple casinos to a progressive jackpot within a single gaming jurisdiction. Each casino funds LAP progressive jackpots won by patrons of its casino.

Table of Contents

WMS INDUSTRIES INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(tabular amounts in millions of U.S. dollars and millions of shares, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

We also offer participation gaming machines on a non-linked basis, which we call stand-alone games. Stand-alone and LAP progressive participation lease agreements are based on either a pre-determined percentage of the daily net win of each gaming machine or a fixed daily rental fee, or for one specific product, a percentage of the coin-in.

Casino-owned daily fee lease agreements are for a fixed daily fee per day. Casino-owned daily fee games are games for which we sell the base gaming machine without a game theme to the casino at a normal sales price excluding the game theme, earn a normal product sales gross profit and then earn a lower ongoing daily fee from leasing the top box and game theme to the casino. All components or elements of the arrangement are delivered at the time of physical delivery of the gaming machine and we have no further obligation to refresh or change the game theme. Revenue recognized for casino-owned daily fee game lease arrangements is not material to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. We exclude casino-owned daily fee gaming machines from our installed base of participation gaming machines.

VLTs may be operated as stand-alone units or may interface with central monitoring systems operated by government agencies. Our leased VLTs typically are located in places where casino-style gaming is not the only attraction, such as racetracks, bars and restaurants, and are usually operated by the lottery organization of the jurisdiction. Our lease revenues are based on a fixed percentage of daily net win of the VLTs or a fixed daily lease rate. We exclude our leased VLTs from our installed base of participation gaming machines.

Some customers prefer to lease our standard for-sale gaming machines as an option rather than to purchase them. In these cases, we lease the game and the gaming machine, either for a fixed daily fee or as a percentage of the net win of the gaming machine. We do not include leased for-sale units in our installed base of participation gaming machines.

Under agreements with licensees who are generally located in geographic areas or operate in markets where we are not active, we license our games, artwork, and other intellectual property. Currently these arrangements are not material to our financial results. License royalties are recorded as earned when the licensee purchases or places the game or other intellectual property, and collectibility is reasonably assured.

Recent Updates to Topics 605 and 985

In October 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2009-13, *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements* (ASU No. 2009-13) and ASU No. 2009-14 *Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software Elements* (ASU No. 2009-14). As permitted under these ASU s, we early adopted both of these ASU s on a prospective basis effective July 1, 2009, the beginning of our 2010 fiscal year. Accordingly, this guidance is being applied to all new or materially modified revenue arrangements entered into since the start of our fiscal year of adoption, which is July 1, 2009. While the adoption of these two ASU s changed our revenue recognition policies beginning in fiscal 2010, the impact on our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements was not significant to either the nine months ended March 31, 2010, or had these ASU s been applied retroactively, to the fiscal years ended June 30, 2009, 2008 or 2007, as we had vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE) for all elements of our multiple deliverable arrangements.

ASU No. 2009-13 replaces and significantly changes the existing separation criteria for multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements, by eliminating the criteria for objective and reliable evidence of fair value for each deliverable. ASU No 2009-13 also eliminates the use of the residual method of allocation of consideration among deliverables and requires, instead, that arrangement consideration be allocated, at the inception of the arrangement, to all deliverables based on their relative selling price (i.e., the relative selling price method). When applying the relative selling price method, a hierarchy is used for estimating the selling price based first on VSOE, then third-party evidence (TPE) and finally management s estimate of the selling price (ESP). In the September 2009, December 2009 and March 2010 quarters, we used VSOE to value all elements in our multiple deliverable arrangements and did not use either TPE or ESP. These new revenue recognition standards will have more impact on our revenue recognition when we launch our networked gaming system and related software applications in fiscal 2011.

Table of Contents

WMS INDUSTRIES INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(tabular amounts in millions of U.S. dollars and millions of shares, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

Prior to July 1, 2009, when multiple product deliverables were included under a sales arrangement, we allocated revenue to each unit of accounting based upon its respective fair value against the total contract value and deferred revenue recognition on those deliverables where we did not meet all of the requirements of revenue recognition. We allocated revenue to each unit of accounting, which typically consisted of gaming machines and additional game themes the customer can receive in the future, based on fair value as determined by VSOE. VSOE of fair value for all elements of a multiple deliverable arrangement is based upon the normal pricing and discounting practices for those products and services when sold individually.

ASU No 2009-14 amends the scope of software revenue recognition to exclude all tangible products containing both software and non-software components that function together to deliver the product's essential functionality. As a result, certain products that were previously accounted for under the scope of software revenue recognition guidance in Topic 985 will no longer be accounted for as software. Prior to July 1, 2009, we had determined sales of certain of our products, specifically *Bluebird*^{®2} gaming machines and revenues generated from the sales of gaming related systems by our subsidiary Systems in Progress GmbH (SiP) included software that was more than incidental to the product as a whole and accordingly were accounted for under the scope of software revenue recognition guidance in Topic 985. Effective July 1, 2009, with the adoption of ASU No. 2009-14, we no longer apply software revenue recognition guidance from Topic 985 to our *Bluebird2* gaming machine sales.

Effective July 1, 2009, Topic 985 primarily effects our SiP revenues and will impact future networked gaming (NG) revenues because SiP and future NG revenues are derived from computer software applications and systems to be sold or leased. As we begin to commercialize NG software applications through multiple deliverable arrangements in fiscal 2011, the application of Topic 985 will require us to obtain VSOE for undelivered NG software applications in a multiple deliverable arrangement before revenue can be recognized on the subsequent delivery of a software application that is part of the multiple deliverable arrangement. This may delay the recognition of revenue and increase deferred revenues and deferred costs. NG refers to a networked gaming system that links groups of server-enabled gaming machines to a server in the casino data center.

Cost of Product Sales, Cost of Gaming Operations and Selling and Administrative Expenses

Cost of product sales consists primarily of raw materials, labor and overhead. These components of cost of product sales also include licensing and royalty charges, inbound and outbound freight charges, purchasing and receiving costs, inspection costs and internal transfer costs.

Cost of gaming operations consists primarily of licensing and royalty charges, WAP jackpot expenses, telephone costs, gaming operations taxes and fees and spare parts.

Selling and administrative expenses consist primarily of sales, marketing, distribution, installation and corporate support functions such as administration, information technology, legal, regulatory compliance, human resources and finance. The costs of distribution were \$6.6 million and \$5.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 and \$18.3 million and \$15.9 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Fair Value Measurements

We apply the provisions of FASB ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements* (Topic 820), to our financial assets and financial liabilities. Topic 820 defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Topic 820 also establishes a fair value hierarchy, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs when measuring fair value. The adoption of Topic 820 effective July 1, 2009 did not have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Edgar Filing: WMS INDUSTRIES INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Topic 820 describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 Inputs are unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 Inputs to the valuation method include:

Ø Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;

Table of Contents**WMS INDUSTRIES INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(tabular amounts in millions of U.S. dollars and millions of shares, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

- Ø Quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets;
- Ø Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability;
- Ø Inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means; and
- Ø If the asset or liability has a specified (contractual) term, the Level 2 input must be observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

The only assets subject to fair value measurement in accordance with Topic 820 were investments in various money market funds totaling approximately \$92.5 million at March 31, 2010 and approximately \$96.6 million at June 30, 2009. These money market investments are included in our cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and are considered Level 1 securities valued at quoted market prices.

Accounts Receivable, Notes Receivable, Allowance for Doubtful Accounts and Bad Debt Expense

We carry our accounts and notes receivable at face amounts less an allowance for doubtful accounts and imputed interest. On a quarterly basis, we evaluate our receivables and establish the allowance for doubtful accounts based on a combination of specific customer circumstances, credit conditions and our history of write-offs and collections. Bad debt expense for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$0.7 million respectively for both periods. Bad debt expense for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$1.8 million and \$4.3 million, respectively. The expense in the nine months ended March 31, 2009 was higher due to the impact of the economic turndown and resulting increase in customer bankruptcy filings during that period.

The following summarizes the components of current and long-term accounts and notes receivable, net;

	March 31, 2010	June 30, 2009
Current:		
Accounts receivable	\$ 124.0	\$ 105.6
Notes receivable	134.4	112.6
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(3.1)	(4.0)
Current accounts and notes receivable, net	\$ 255.3	\$ 214.2
Long-term, included in Other assets, net:		
Notes receivable	\$ 40.5	\$ 39.6

Edgar Filing: WMS INDUSTRIES INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Allowance for doubtful accounts	(1.3)	(1.3)
Long-term notes receivable, net	\$ 39.2	\$ 38.3
Total accounts and notes receivable, net	\$ 294.5	\$ 252.5

Our policy is to generally not record interest on receivables after the invoice payment becomes past due. A receivable is considered past due if payments have not been received within agreed upon invoice terms. With regard to notes receivable, interest income is recognized ratably over the life of the note receivable and any related fees or costs to establish the notes are charged to expense as incurred, as they are considered insignificant. Actual or imputed interest, if any, is determined based on current market rates at the time the note originated and is recorded in Interest income and other income and expense, net, ratably over the payment period.

The fair value of notes receivable is estimated by discounting expected future cash flows using current interest rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and remaining maturities. As of March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2009 respectively, the fair value of the accounts and notes receivable, net, approximated the carrying value.

Table of Contents

WMS INDUSTRIES INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(tabular amounts in millions of U.S. dollars and millions of shares, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

Other Principal Accounting Policies

For a description of our other principal accounting policies, see Note 2, *Principal Accounting Policies*, to the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In September 2006, the FASB issued Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements*. Topic 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Topic 820 does not require any new fair value measurements, but provides guidance on how to measure fair value by providing a fair value hierarchy used to classify the source of the information. Subsequent to the issuance of Topic 820, the FASB issued a provision to Topic 820, *Effective Date of FASB Statement Topic 820*. This provision delays the effective date of Topic 820 for all non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis. For the instruments subject to the effective date delay under Topic 820, the effective date to adopt the fair value provisions for us was July 1, 2009. On October 10, 2008, the FASB issued another provision under Topic 820, *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active*. This provision does not change the fair value measurement principles in Topic 820, but rather provides guidance for the application of those measurement principles in the extreme inactive markets that existed at that time. We adopted Topic 820 effective July 1, 2009 and the adoption had no material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FASB ASC 350-30-65-1, *Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets* (Topic 350-30-65-1). Topic 350-30-65-1 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under ASC 350-30, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* (Topic 350-30). Previously, under the provisions of ASC 350-30, an entity was precluded from using its own assumptions about renewal or extension of an arrangement where there was likely to be substantial cost or material modifications. Topic 350-30-65-1 removes the requirement of Topic 350-30 for an entity to consider whether an intangible asset can be renewed without substantial cost or material modification to the existing terms and conditions and requires an entity to consider its own experience in renewing similar arrangements. Topic 350-30-65-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. We adopted Topic 350-30-65-1 effective July 1, 2009 and the adoption had no material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued ASC 105 *Accounting Standards Codification and Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, which establishes the Codification as the single source of authoritative US GAAP. This statement is effective for interim and annual financial statements issued after September 15, 2009 and has changed the way we reference accounting standards in this Form 10-Q and in future disclosures.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-13, *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements* and ASU No. 2009-14 *Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software Elements*. As permitted under these ASU's, we early adopted both of these ASU's on a prospective basis effective July 1, 2009, the beginning of our 2010 fiscal year and the adoption did not have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements. See the *Revenue Recognition* section in Note 2 *Principal Accounting Policies* to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto in this report.

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-16, *Accruals for Casino Jackpot Liabilities*, which clarifies when a casino entity is required to accrue a jackpot liability. ASU No. 2010-16 will be effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2010, which for WMS would be our fiscal year beginning July 1, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact of applying the provisions of this guidance to WAP accounting in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**WMS INDUSTRIES INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(tabular amounts in millions of U.S. dollars and millions of shares, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

3. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic and diluted earnings per share are calculated as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net income	\$ 33.0	\$ 24.4	\$ 79.3	\$ 63.8
After tax interest expense and amortization of issuance cost on convertible subordinated notes		0.5	0.5	1.5
Diluted earnings (numerator)	\$ 33.0	\$ 24.9	\$ 79.8	\$ 65.3
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	57.9	48.8	55.4	49.3
Dilutive effect of stock options	1.0	0.6	1.2	1.0
Dilutive effect of restricted common stock and warrants	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.1
Dilutive effect of convertible subordinated notes	0.8	8.7	3.3	8.7
Diluted weighted average common stock and common stock equivalents (denominator)	60.1	58.2	60.3	59.1
Basic earnings per share of common stock	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.50	\$ 1.43	\$ 1.29
Diluted earnings per share of common stock and common stock equivalents	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.43	\$ 1.32	\$ 1.10
Common stock equivalents excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because their impact would render them anti-dilutive	1.0	3.0	1.0	2.2

Included in our anti-dilutive common stock equivalents for the three months and nine months ended March 31, 2010 are warrants to purchase 0.5 million shares, of our common stock, which are contingent upon future events that were issued to Hasbro Inc. and Hasbro International, Inc. These warrants are excluded from the diluted share calculation because the vesting criteria have not been met. See Note 12, Equity Compensation Plan Warrants to our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009.

4. INVENTORIES

Inventories consisted of the following:

March 31, 2010	June 30, 2009
-------------------	------------------

Edgar Filing: WMS INDUSTRIES INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Raw materials and work-in-process	\$ 38.9	\$ 26.8
Finished goods	20.0	16.3
Total inventories	\$ 58.9	\$ 43.1

Cost elements included in work-in-process and finished goods include raw materials, direct labor and overhead expenses. We recorded raw material and finished goods inventory write-downs totaling approximately \$0.8 million and \$4.2 million for three months ended March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2009, respectively and \$2.1 million and \$12.1 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. These charges are classified in cost of product sales in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. The inventory write-downs were greater in the nine months ended March 31, 2009 as we transitioned to our new *Bluebird2* product which was launched in the December 2008 quarter and, as a result, demand for our *Bluebird* product line abated more rapidly than expected due to the popularity of the *Bluebird2* product.

Table of Contents**WMS INDUSTRIES INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(tabular amounts in millions of U.S. dollars and millions of shares, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

5. INTANGIBLE ASSETS*General*

Intangible assets recorded in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets consisted of the following:

	March 31, 2010	June 30, 2009
Goodwill	\$ 19.3	\$ 19.9
Finite lived intangible assets, net	91.5	88.3
Indefinite lived intangible assets	3.6	3.6
Less: royalty advances and licensed or acquired technologies, short-term	(15.3)	(12.5)
Total long-term intangible assets	\$ 99.1	\$ 99.3

Goodwill

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 include:

Goodwill balance at June 30, 2009	\$ 19.9
Additions	
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(0.6)
 Goodwill balance at March 31, 2010	 \$ 19.3

Other Intangible Assets

Other intangible assets consisted of the following:

			March 31, 2010		June 30, 2009		
	Useful Life (Years)	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Finite lived intangible assets:							
Royalty advances for licensed brands, talent, music and other	1 - 15	\$ 91.7	\$ (55.2)	\$ 36.5	\$ 79.8	\$ (44.2)	\$ 35.6
Licensed or acquired technologies	1 - 15	47.6	(12.5)	35.1	42.6	(9.6)	33.0
Patents	4 - 17	22.9	(5.3)	17.6	20.9	(4.2)	16.7
Customer relationships	6	4.5	(2.8)	1.7	4.7	(2.3)	2.4

Edgar Filing: WMS INDUSTRIES INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Trademarks	4	1.3	(0.7)	0.6	1.1	(0.5)	0.6
Total	\$ 168.0	\$	(76.5)	\$ 91.5	\$ 149.1	\$	(60.8) \$ 88.3
Indefinite lived intangible assets:							
Acquired brand names	\$ 3.6	\$		\$ 3.6	\$ 3.6	\$	\$ 3.6

Table of Contents**WMS INDUSTRIES INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(tabular amounts in millions of U.S. dollars and millions of shares, except per share amounts)****(Unaudited)**

The following table summarizes cash additions to finite lived intangible assets during the nine months ended March 31, 2010:

	Total Additions
Finite lived intangible assets:	
Royalty advances for licensed brands, talent, music and other	\$ 11.9
Licensed or acquired technologies	5.0
Patents	2.0
Trademarks	0.2
 Total	 \$ 19.1

Certain of our intangible assets including goodwill are denominated in a foreign currency and, as such, include the effects of foreign currency translation.

Amortization expense for our finite-lived intangible assets was \$5.2 million and \$4.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively and \$15.8 million and \$11.0 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The estimated aggregate amortization expense for finite live intangible assets for each of the next five years is as follows:

2010 (remaining three months of fiscal year)	\$ 5.6
2011	17.6
2012	9.2
2013	7.1
2014	4.7
2015	2.6

The estimated aggregate future intangible amortization as of March 31, 2010 does not reflect the significant commitments we have for future payments for royalty advances and licensed or acquired technologies. If we determine that we may not realize the net book value of any of the finite lived intangible assets or value of commitments, we would record an immediate charge against earnings up to the full amount of the value of these assets or commitments in the period in which such determination is made. See Note 11, Commitments, Contingencies and Indemnifications to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto in this report.

6. INCOME TAXES

We, or one of our subsidiaries, files income tax returns in the U.S. Federal, various state, local and foreign jurisdictions. Our provision for income taxes for interim periods is based on an estimate of the effective annual income tax rate. The provision differs from income taxes currently payable because certain items of income and expense are recognized in different periods for financial statement purposes than for income tax return purposes. The estimated effective income tax rate was approximately 27.0% and 35.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively and 32.1% and 33.7% for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In the March 2010 quarter we had several discrete income tax items that netted out to a lower effective income tax rate which increased diluted earnings per share by \$0.06;

primarily the completion of Federal income tax return audits by the Internal Revenue Service for fiscal 2004 through fiscal 2007 that resulted in a reduction of our liability for uncertain tax positions by \$4.6 million, or a \$0.07 per diluted share benefit, partially offset by the expiration of the R&D tax credit legislation effective as of December 31, 2009 which had the impact of reducing our earnings per diluted share by \$0.01. Assuming that the R&D tax credit is not reinstated in the June 2010 quarter, we expect our effective tax rate will be between 36% and 37% for our June 2010 quarter.

As of March 31, 2010, we had \$2.5 million of gross unrecognized tax benefits, excluding accrued interest and penalties of \$0.2 million. Of the total unrecognized tax benefits, including accrued interest and penalties, \$2.3 million (net of the Federal benefit) represents the portion that, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate.

Table of Contents**WMS INDUSTRIES INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(tabular amounts in millions of U.S. dollars and millions of shares, except per share amounts)****(Unaudited)**

The reconciliation of the beginning and ending gross unrecognized income tax benefits, excluding accrued interest and penalties for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 is as follows:

Balance at June 30, 2009	\$ 7.0
Additions related to prior year tax positions	
Reductions related to prior year tax positions	(0.3)
Additions related to current year positions	0.4
Reductions due to settlements and payments	(4.6)
 Balance at March 31, 2010	 \$ 2.5

We are currently under audit in a major state for fiscal years 2004 thru 2007. Approximately \$0.2 million of unrecognized income tax benefits are currently subject to this audit. At this time, we believe appropriate provisions for all outstanding issues have been made for all jurisdictions and all open years. As a result of the recent completion of the Internal Revenue Service audits, we are no longer subject to any significant U.S. Federal income tax examinations for the years before fiscal 2008. We are no longer subject to any significant local or foreign income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before fiscal 2006.

Table of Contents

WMS INDUSTRIES INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(tabular amounts in millions of U.S. dollars and millions of shares, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

7. CONVERTIBLE SUBORDINATED NOTES AND REVOLVING CREDIT FACILITY

Convertible Subordinated Notes

At March 31, 2010, we had \$9.9 million of Convertible Subordinated Notes (Notes) remaining outstanding, bearing interest at 2.75%, maturing on July 15, 2010. The remaining Notes are convertible at any time into an aggregate of 0.8 million shares of our common stock at a conversion price of \$13.19 per share, subject to adjustment. The Notes are not callable. We pay interest on the Notes semi-annually on January 15 and July 15 of each year. The conversion of the Notes to common stock is dependent on individual holders' choices to convert, which is dependent on the spread of the market price of our stock above the conversion strike price of \$13.19 per share, and would reduce our annual interest expense.

As of March 31, 2010, the fair value of the Notes was \$31.6 million. The fair value of our convertible fixed rate debt is significantly dependent on the market price of our common stock into which it can be converted. We have classified our Notes outstanding at March 31, 2010 as a long-term liability because we have the ability and intent to refinance them under the amended and restated revolving credit agreement we entered into on September 25, 2009.

During the nine months ended March 31, 2010, we issued approximately 7.9 million shares of our common stock \$0.50 par value per share, upon the early conversion to common stock of \$105.1 million principal amount of our Notes, in several separate transactions in the September 2009 and December 2009 quarters. The aggregate impact of the conversion of the \$105.1 million of Notes to common stock resulted in a pre-tax charge of \$0.9 million for the inducement payments and the accelerated pre-tax write-off of the proportional deferred financing costs of \$0.5 million. The total pre-tax charge in fiscal 2010 will be more than offset by savings from reduced interest payments through the remaining term of the Notes, resulting in a favorable pre-tax net benefit to fiscal 2010 net income of approximately \$1.4 million. As a result of the conversion of the Notes during the nine months ended fiscal 2010, our long-term debt has been reduced by \$105.1 million and common stock and additional paid-in capital have increased by an aggregate of \$105.1 million.

We have no maturities of debt or sinking fund requirements through June 30, 2010.

Revolving Credit Facility

On September 25, 2009, we entered into an amended and restated revolving credit agreement with a group of five banks. The amended and restated revolving credit agreement provides for borrowings up to \$150 million through September 30, 2012 with the ability to expand the facility to \$200 million from the existing lenders willing to increase their commitments or from additional lenders with the consent of the administrative agent. The revolving credit facility requires that we maintain certain negative covenants and two financial ratios: a leverage ratio and an interest coverage ratio. These negative covenants and financial ratios could limit our ability to acquire companies, declare dividends, incur additional debt, make any distribution to holders of any shares of capital stock, or purchase or otherwise acquire shares of our common stock. The maximum leverage ratio is 3.25x through December 31, 2010, and 3.0x thereafter, and is computed as total indebtedness outstanding at the end of each quarter divided by the trailing twelve months earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, including share-based compensation and non-cash charges (Adjusted EBITDA) as defined in the agreement. The minimum interest coverage ratio is 2.5x and is computed as trailing twelve months Adjusted EBITDA divided by trailing twelve months interest charges as defined in the revolving credit agreement.

The agreement also defines permitted restricted payments related to cash dividends, and cash repurchases of our common stock and at March 31, 2010, approximately \$145.5 million was available for such purposes. The agreement also contains certain limitations on, among other items, the amount and types of additional indebtedness, liens, investments, loans, advances, guarantees and acquisitions. No amounts were outstanding under the amended and restated revolving credit facility at March 31, 2010. As of March 31, 2010, we maintained an aggregate cash balance of \$19.3 million in non-interest bearing accounts with two of the new banks in our amended and restated revolving credit facility.

Table of Contents**WMS INDUSTRIES INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(tabular amounts in millions of U.S. dollars and millions of shares, except per share amounts)****(Unaudited)**

Whether or not we have current borrowings outstanding under our revolving credit facility, we are required to maintain certain negative covenants and two financial ratios: a leverage ratio and an interest coverage ratio. We were in compliance with all of the negative covenants and financial ratios required by our revolving credit facility as of March 31, 2010.

8. STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY AND EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN***General***

Effective December 10, 2009 our stockholders voted to increase our authorized common stock to 200.0 million shares at \$0.50 par value. Prior to that date, our authorized common stock was 100.0 million shares. Additionally, we have 5.0 million shares of \$0.50 par value preferred stock authorized. The preferred stock is issuable in series, and the relative rights and preferences and the number of shares in each series are to be established by our Board of Directors.

On December 10, 2009, our stockholders approved the adoption of a restatement of the WMS Industries Inc. Incentive Plan (2009 Restatement) (the 2009 Restated Incentive Plan) increasing the number of shares available for grant by 3.8 million.

Common Stock Repurchase Program

On August 3, 2009, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of an additional \$75 million of our common stock over the following twenty-four months increasing our remaining repurchase authorization to approximately \$150 million. This authorization increased the existing program, previously authorized on August 4, 2008, from \$150 million to \$225 million and extended the expiration date to August 3, 2011. During the nine months ended March 31, 2010, we purchased 1.0 million shares for approximately \$40.0 million at an average cost of \$39.32, while during the nine months ended March 31, 2009 we purchased 1.6 million shares for approximately \$35.5 million at an average cost of \$22.15 per share. As of March 31, 2010, we had approximately \$109 million remaining of our repurchase authorization. Pursuant to the authorization, purchases may be made from time to time in the open market, through block purchases or in privately negotiated transactions. The timing and actual number of shares repurchased will depend on market conditions. At March 31, 2010, we had purchased approximately \$3.0 million of our common stock which was settled and paid for in April 2010. At June 30, 2008, we had purchased approximately \$5.0 million of our common stock which, was settled and paid in fiscal 2009.

Equity Compensation Plan

A summary of information with respect to share-based compensation expense included in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 respectively are as follows:

	2010	2009
Cost of product sales	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.1
Research and development	4.9	4.1
Selling and administrative	9.8	8.5
Share-based compensation expense included in pre-tax income	14.9	12.7
Income tax benefit related to share-based compensation	(5.6)	(4.8)

Edgar Filing: WMS INDUSTRIES INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Share-based compensation expense included in net income	\$ 9.3	\$ 7.9
Diluted earnings per share impact of share-based compensation expense	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.13

Table of Contents**WMS INDUSTRIES INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(tabular amounts in millions of U.S. dollars and millions of shares, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

Stock Options

For the nine months ended March 2010, we granted stock options to certain of our employees. The number of options awarded to each person varied. For options granted in the nine months ended March 2010 the range in fair value on the dates of grant was from \$16.84 – \$18.73 per share. We determined grant-date fair value of stock options based on the Black-Scholes methodology using the following range of assumptions depending on the characteristics of the option grant: risk-free interest rates between 2.03% – 2.29%; expected life between 4.5 – 4.75 years; expected volatility of 0.49; and 0.0% dividend yield. Stock option activity was as follows for the nine months ended March 31, 2010:

	Number of Stock Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value(1)
Stock options outstanding at June 30, 2009	5.3	\$ 22.37	5.4	\$ 49.1
Granted	0.5	42.08		
Exercised	(1.6)	18.97		
Expired or Cancelled				
Forfeited	(0.1)	29.04		
Stock options outstanding at March 31, 2010	4.1	\$ 26.00	5.1	\$ 65.7
Stock options exercisable at March 31, 2010	2.3	\$ 21.69	4.7	\$ 47.1

(1) Intrinsic value is defined as the amount by which the fair market value of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price of the stock option.

At March 31, 2010 there was \$11.8 million of total stock option compensation expense related to non-vested stock options not yet recognized, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.9 years.

Restricted Stock Awards Grants

We grant restricted stock and restricted stock units to certain employees and members of our Board of Directors, which vest from a range of two to four years on the grant date anniversary. Restricted stock share and restricted stock unit activity was as follows for the nine months ended March 31, 2010:

Restricted Stock Shares	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value(1)
----------------------------	--

Edgar Filing: WMS INDUSTRIES INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Nonvested balance at June 30, 2009	0.3	\$	27.53
Granted	0.1		44.28
Vested	(0.1)		30.08

Nonvested balance at March 31, 2010	0.3	\$	29.67
-------------------------------------	-----	----	-------

	Restricted Stock Units (including Performance -based Stock Units)		Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value(1)
Nonvested balance at June 30, 2009	0.3	\$	28.53
Granted	0.1		44.02
Vested	(0.1)		29.10

Nonvested balance at March 31, 2010	0.3	\$	34.29
-------------------------------------	-----	----	-------

(1) For restricted stock, grant-date fair value is equal to the closing market price of a share of our common stock on the grant date. At March 31, 2010 there was \$11.9 million of total restricted stock award compensation expense related to non-vested awards not yet recognized, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.4 years.

Table of Contents**WMS INDUSTRIES INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(tabular amounts in millions of U.S. dollars and millions of shares, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

Equity-Based Performance Units

In September 2009, we granted equity-based performance units, which will vest upon achievement of performance goals set by our Board of Directors. The shares of stock ultimately issued to participants will be dependent upon the extent to which the financial performance goals over the three year period ended June 30, 2012 are achieved, if at all or exceeded, and can result in shares issued ranging from: (1) nothing to the extent certain minimum threshold goals are not met, (2) a percentage from 10% to 99% for the achievement and surpassing of the threshold goals up to but not including achievement of the target goals, (3) 100% of the granted amount if the target goals are met, to (4) a percentage more than 100% and up to 200% of the granted amount to the extent the target goals are exceeded. We expense the originally granted fair value of equity-based performance units outstanding over the performance period based on our current assessment of achievement of the performance goals. In August 2009, shares related to the equity-based performance units with a three-year measurement period ending June 30, 2009 were issued in accordance with the performance matrix approved at grant date in 2006. In accordance with the grant agreements, we issued shares of common stock equal to 73.3% of the number of units granted as adjusted for our three-for-two stock split in June 2007. Equity-based performance unit activity was as follows for the nine months ended March 31, 2010:

	Equity-based Performance Units	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value(1)
Nonvested balance at June 30, 2009	0.4	\$ 23.92
Granted	0.1	44.28
Vested	(0.1)	16.95
Forfeited	(0.1)	19.07
Nonvested balance at March 31, 2010	0.3	\$ 34.21

(1) For equity-based performance units, grant-date fair value is equal to the closing market price of a share of our common stock on the grant date.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Effective July 1, 2009, we adopted an Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP) as defined under Section 423 of the Internal Revenue Code allowing eligible employees to elect to make contributions through payroll deductions which will be used to purchase our common stock at a purchase price equal to 85% of the fair value of a share of common stock on the date of purchase. We have reserved 500,000 shares for issuance under the ESPP. For the nine months ended March 31, 2010, participants purchased 37,060 shares under this plan at an average cost of \$36.12.

9. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Comprehensive income consists of the following:

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net income	\$ 33.0	\$ 24.4	\$ 79.3	\$ 63.8
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(5.0)	(3.0)	(4.8)	(9.6)
Total comprehensive income	\$ 28.0	\$ 21.4	\$ 74.5	\$ 54.2

10. SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION

The net amount of gaming operations machines transferred to inventory, a non-cash investing activity, was \$1.8 million and \$1.1 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

For other non-cash transactions related to income taxes and the conversion of Notes, see Note 6, *Income Taxes* and Note 7, *Convertible Subordinated Notes and Revolving Credit Facility*, respectively to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto in this report.

Table of Contents**WMS INDUSTRIES INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(tabular amounts in millions of U.S. dollars and millions of shares, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

11. COMMITMENTS, CONTINGENCIES AND INDEMNIFICATIONS

We routinely enter into license agreements with others for the use of intellectual properties and technologies in our products. These agreements generally provide for royalty advances and license fee payments when the agreements are signed and minimum commitments, which are cancellable in certain circumstances.

At March 31, 2010, we had total royalty and license fee advances and payments made and potential future royalty and license fee commitments as follows:

	Minimum Commitments
Total royalty and license fee commitments	\$ 234.7
Advances and payments made	(136.3)
Potential future payments	\$ 98.4

As of March 31, 2010, we estimate that potential future royalty payments in each fiscal year will be as follows:

	Minimum Commitments
2010 (remaining three months of fiscal year)	\$ 0.5
2011	14.1
2012	15.4
2013	15.9
2014	16.3
2015	14.8
Thereafter	21.4
Total	\$ 98.4

Indemnifications

We have agreements in which we may be obligated to indemnify other parties with respect to certain matters. Generally, these indemnification provisions are included in sales orders and agreements arising in the normal course of business under which we customarily agree to hold the indemnified party harmless against claims arising from a breach of representations related to matters such as title to assets sold and licensed, defective equipment or certain intellectual property rights. Payments by us under such indemnification provisions are generally conditioned on the other party making a claim. Such claims are typically subject to challenge by us and to dispute resolution procedures specified in the particular sales order or contract. Further, our obligations under these agreements may be limited in terms of time and/or amount and, in some instances, we may have recourse against third parties. It is not possible to predict the maximum potential amount of future payments under these indemnification agreements due to the conditional nature of the obligations and the unique facts of each particular agreement. Historically, we have not made any payments under these agreements that have been material individually or in the aggregate. As of March 31, 2010, we were not aware of any obligations arising under indemnification agreements that would require material payments.

We have agreements with our directors and certain officers that require us, among other things, to indemnify them against certain liabilities that may arise by reason of their status or service as directors or officers. We have also agreed to indemnify certain former officers and directors of acquired companies. We maintain director and officer insurance, which may cover our liabilities arising from these indemnification obligations in certain circumstances. As of March 31, 2010, we were not aware of any obligations arising under these agreements that would require material payments.

Special Purpose Entities and Derivative Instruments

We do not have any special purpose entities for investment or the conduct of our operations. We have not entered into any derivative financial instruments, although we have granted stock options, restricted stock, equity based performance units and deferred stock units to our employees, officers, directors and consultants and warrants to a licensor, and we have issued the Notes.

Table of Contents

WMS INDUSTRIES INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(tabular amounts in millions of U.S. dollars and millions of shares, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

Performance Bonds

We have performance and other bonds outstanding of \$1.7 million at March 31, 2010, \$1.0 million of which is attributed to one customer related to product sales, and we are liable to the issuer in the event of exercise due to our non-performance under the contract. Events of non-performance do not include the financial performance of our products.

Letters of Credit

Outstanding letters of credit issued under our line of credit to ensure payment to certain vendors and government agencies totaled \$0.7 million at March 31, 2010.

WMS Licensor Arrangements

Our sales agreements that include software and intellectual property licensing arrangements contain a clause whereby we indemnify the third-party licensee against liability and damages (including legal defense costs) arising from any claims of patent, copyright, trademark, or trade secret infringement. Should such a claim occur, we could be required to make payments to the licensee for any liabilities or damages incurred. Historically, we have not incurred any significant cost due to the infringement claims. As we consider the likelihood of incurring future costs to be remote, no liability has been accrued.

Self-Insurance

We are self-insured for various levels of general, umbrella, directors and officers, fiduciary, property, crime, workers' compensation, electronic errors and omissions, employment practices and automobile collision insurance, as well as employee medical, dental, prescription drug and disability coverage. We purchase stop-loss coverage to protect against unexpected claims. Accrued insurance claims and reserves include estimated settlements for known claims, and estimates of claims incurred but not reported.

Product Warranty

We generally warrant our new gaming machines sold in the U.S. for a period of 90 days, while we generally warrant our gaming machines sold internationally for a period of 180 days to one year. Our warranty costs have not been significant.

12. LITIGATION

On October 2, 2003, La Societe de Loteries du Quebec (Loto-Quebec) filed claims against us and Video Lottery Consultants Inc., a subsidiary of International Game Technology, (VLC) in the Superior Court of the Province of Quebec, Quebec City District (200-06-000017-015). The pleadings alleged that Loto-Quebec would be entitled to be indemnified by the manufacturers of Loto-Quebec's VLTs, specifically WMS and VLC, if the class action plaintiffs, described below, were successful in the pending class action lawsuit against Loto-Quebec. In July 2008, we entered into a settlement agreement with Loto-Quebec under which Loto-Quebec agreed to suspend the action in warranty against us in exchange for our agreement to continue cooperating with the defense of the class action lawsuit against Loto-Quebec and, in the event of an adverse outcome in such lawsuit against Loto-Quebec, to arbitration of any warranty claim by Loto-Quebec.

The class action lawsuit discussed in Loto-Quebec's claim was brought on May 18, 2001 against Loto-Quebec in the Superior Court of the Province of Quebec. It alleged that the members of the class developed a pathological gambling addiction by using Loto-Quebec's VLTs and that Loto-Quebec, as owner, operator and distributor of VLTs, failed to warn players of the alleged dangers associated with VLTs. Loto-Quebec and

the class-action plaintiffs reached a court approved settlement in March 2010. Loto-Quebec has indicated it does not expect to pursue arbitration of any claims against us under the terms of our settlement agreement, but the time period for a final election by Loto-Quebec under the settlement agreement has not expired. At this time we cannot predict whether Loto-Quebec will pursue any claims and what the ultimate outcome may be of any such claims.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 (Form 10-K). This discussion and analysis also contains forward-looking statements and should also be read in conjunction with the disclosures and information contained in Cautionary Note and Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Form 10-K. The following discussion and analysis is intended to enhance the reader's understanding of our business environment.

As used in this Report, the terms we, us, our, and WMS mean WMS Industries Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its subsidiaries. All references to years, unless otherwise noted, refer to our fiscal year, which ends on June 30. All references to quarters, unless otherwise noted, refer to the quarters of our fiscal year.

Product names mentioned in this Report are trademarks of WMS Gaming Inc., except for the following: G2E is a registered trademark of the American Gaming Association and Reed Elsevier Inc. G2S and S2S are registered trademarks of the Gaming Standards Association.

OVERVIEW

Our mission is: through imagination, talent and technology, we create and provide the world's most compelling gaming experiences. We design, manufacture and distribute gaming machines and video lottery terminals (VLTs) for customers in legalized gaming jurisdictions worldwide. Our products consist primarily of video gaming machines, mechanical reel gaming machines and VLTs. Our gaming machines are installed in all of the major regulated gaming jurisdictions in the United States, as well as in over 100 international gaming jurisdictions. In fiscal 2011 we expect to provide fully networked business service solutions to our customers which are aimed at increasing the revenue generating capabilities and operational efficiency of casino gaming floors and that use industry standard communication protocols in order to be interoperable with our competitor's systems that utilized the industry standard communication protocol in their gaming systems.

We generate revenue in two principal ways: product sales and gaming operations, as further described below. The financial market crisis that began in 2008 has disrupted credit and equity markets worldwide and has led to a weakened global economic environment. The effect of the weakened global economy and the fallout from the financial market crisis has been a challenge for our industry. Some gaming operators delayed or canceled construction projects, resulting in fewer new casino openings and expansions in calendar year 2010 than in calendar 2009, coupled with many customers reducing their annual capital budgets for calendar 2009 with only modestly higher replacement capital budgets in calendar 2010. The economic crisis reduced disposable income for casino patrons and resulted in fewer patrons visiting casinos. In anticipation of the further lengthening of the replacement cycle and in response to the challenging economic environment, we reduced the number of new employees we hired in fiscal 2009 and thus far in fiscal 2010, and took actions to contain non-payroll related spending. In fiscal 2010, we remain focused on controlling spending and prioritizing capital expenditures and other discretionary items. The economic crisis lowered the number of new units we sold in fiscal 2009 and this continued in the first three quarters of fiscal 2010.

We had expected that with our launch of the network gaming-enabled *Bluebird2* gaming machines in the December 2008 quarter, concurrent with certain of our competitors launching their networked gaming-enabled products, the industry would experience an improvement in the replacement cycle, which has been at an abnormally low level for the past few years. However, as discussed above, the economy slowed just as the new gaming machines were being launched, so we did not see the expected improvement in the replacement cycle. Even with the adverse economic environment and its impact on our industry causing customers to constrain their capital budgets, we launched our *Bluebird2* gaming machines in the December 2008 quarter with premium features at a significantly higher price, and demand outpaced our expectations. For fiscal 2009, *Bluebird2* units accounted for 35% of our total new units shipped and with the continuing transition in the market to this new product, accounted for approximately 81% of new unit shipments in the nine months ended March 31, 2010. We sold more new units in the March 2010 quarter than in the March 2009 quarter due to the popularity of our products enabling us to increase our share of units shipped in the United States and Canada. We believe that as the economy continues to improve, the capital budgets of our customers will increase, the replacement demand in future years will improve, and we will also experience an increase in demand from casino expansions and new casino openings.

We believe several recent developments fueled by the challenging economic situation will benefit us in the long term. In the United States, legislators have passed or are considering enabling new or expanded gaming legislation in Ohio, Illinois, Kansas, Iowa, Maryland, California, New Hampshire, Maine and Massachusetts. The breadth and timing of these opportunities remains uncertain due to the political process in each of these jurisdictions as well as the difficult credit environment facing our customers and the risk of continued economic uncertainty. In fiscal 2010 we also expanded our channels of distribution by entering several markets that we had not directly served by introducing our gaming machines and new products. We are focused on expanding our initial presence in the Class II and central determinant markets and the Mexico market as it moves to Class III style gaming, and entering the New South Wales, Australia market, as well as opportunities for new or expanded gaming in Italy, Singapore, Spain and Taiwan.

Table of Contents

Product Sales

Product sales revenue includes the sale of new and used gaming machines and VLTs, parts, conversion kits (including game theme and/or operating system conversions), amusement-with-prize (AWP) gaming machines, gaming-related systems for smaller international casino operators and equipment manufactured under original equipment manufacturing (OEM) agreements to casinos and other licensed gaming machine operators. We derive product sales revenue from the sale of the following:

- Ø Multi-line, multi-coin video gaming machines, in our *Bluebird*, *Bluebird2* and Orion Financement Company (Orion Gaming) *Twinstar* and *Twinstar2*-branded gaming machines;
- Ø Mechanical reel-spinning gaming machines in our *Bluebird* and *Bluebird2* branded gaming machines;
- Ø Beginning in the June 2010 quarter, *Bluebird xD* branded gaming machines for the global market and *Helios* branded machine offered in international markets under the Orion Gaming name;
- Ø Video poker machines in our *Bluebird* and *Bluebird2* branded gaming machines, which are primarily offered as a casino-owned daily fee game, where the casino purchases the base gaming machine without a game theme and then leases the top box and game theme for a lower lease price point;
- Ø Replacement parts and conversion kits for our legacy, *Bluebird*, *Bluebird2*, *Twinstar*, *Twinstar2* and AWP gaming machines, and *CPU-NXT*[®] and *CPU-NXT2* upgrade kits;
- Ø Used gaming machines that are acquired on a trade-in basis or that were previously placed on a participation basis;
- Ø AWP gaming machines in certain international markets;
- Ø Gaming-related systems, including linked progressive systems and slot accounting systems applicable to smaller international casinos; and
- Ø Gaming machines in legacy, *Bluebird* and *Twinstar* cabinets in limited cases under OEM agreements with certain third parties.

Gaming Operations

We earn gaming operations revenues from leasing participation games, gaming machines and VLTs, and earn royalties that we receive from third parties under license agreements to use our game themes and intellectual property. Our gaming operations include the following product lines:

- Ø Participation games, which are gaming machines owned by us that we lease based upon any of the following payment methods: (1) a percentage of the net win, which is the casino's earnings generated by casino patrons playing the gaming machine; (2) fixed daily fees; or (3) a percentage of the amount wagered or a combination of a fixed daily fee plus a percentage of the amount wagered. We have the ability to lease these gaming machines on a participation basis because of the superior performance of the game and/or the popularity of the brand, which generates higher wagering and net win to the casinos or gaming machine operators than the gaming

machines we sell outright. Participation games include:

- Ø Wide-area progressive (WAP) participation games;

- Ø Local-area progressive (LAP) participation games; and

- Ø Stand-alone participation games.

- Ø Casino-owned daily fee games, where the casino or gaming machine operator purchases the base gaming machine without a game theme and pays a lower daily lease fee for the top box and game theme;

- Ø Leased gaming machines;

- Ø Video lottery terminals; and

- Ø Licensing revenues from licensing our game themes and intellectual properties to third parties.

Table of Contents

OUR FOCUS

We continue to operate in a challenging economic environment and the combination of economic uncertainty, lower demand for replacement products and reduced opportunities from new or expanded casinos has negatively impacted our industry. We expect to benefit from certain new or expansion projects currently in process, but the breadth and timing of such opportunities remains uncertain due to the difficult credit environment facing our customers and the risk of continued economic uncertainty. We believe that gaming operators' replacement buying demand will begin to improve in calendar 2010, as we believe, in general, that casino capital budgets are modestly higher than they were for calendar 2009.

As we navigate these macroeconomic challenges, we remain focused on five key strategic priorities: 1) drive growth in our gaming operations business, while selectively investing our capital deployed in that business; 2) grow our United States and Canadian market share by innovating differentiated products; 3) expand the breadth and profitability of our international business; 4) improve our gross margins and operating margins; and 5) increase our cash flow from operations.

1. *Priority:* Drive growth in our gaming operations business, while selectively investing our capital deployed in this business.

Quarter Ended March 2010 Result: During the three months ended March 31, 2010, our average installed base of participation gaming machines increased 4.6% over the prior year and, at March 31, 2010, our total installed participation footprint stood at 10,287 units compared to 9,901 units at March 31, 2009. Growth in the installed base was primarily led by our WAP gaming machines, which at March 31, 2010 comprised 31.6% of the footprint compared to 21.6% at March 31, 2009. The WAP footprint increased to 3,246 units at March 31, 2010 and was up 1,109 units or 52% compared to March 31, 2009, largely reflecting the successful launch of new WAP games. The increase in WAP games was partially offset by lower units of our stand-alone and LAP gaming machines at March 31, 2010. A shift in strategy in fiscal 2007 to focus on return on investment of our gaming operations assets coupled with a higher percentage of installed base being higher earning WAP gaming machines helped result in revenue per day for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 increasing by 8.5% to \$76.37 per day from \$70.37 per day for the March 2009 quarter. This strategy includes limiting the number of gaming machines for specific new themes at each casino and re-deploying gaming machines from casinos generating lower revenue per day to casinos generating higher revenue per day. By controlling the initial placement of new participation products, we continued to reduce the capital invested in gaming operations. An 8.5% improvement in the average daily revenue, coupled with the 4.6% improvement in the average installed base, produced a 13.5% year-over-year increase in participation revenue in our gaming operations business to \$70.4 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, which attests to the continued strong play levels and player appeal of our participation products.