

COHEN & STEERS SELECT UTILITY FUND INC  
Form DEFA14A  
March 11, 2008

## SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)

### INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

#### SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

#### Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant  Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Under Rule 14a-12

**Cohen & Steers Select Utility Fund, Inc.**

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.
  - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

Edgar Filing: COHEN & STEERS SELECT UTILITY FUND INC - Form DEFA14A

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

The Wall Street Journal

Cohen & Steers Funds Face Proxy Row

By LINGLING WEI and SHEFALI ANAND

March 7, 2008; Page C3

Cohen & Steers Inc., a publicly traded money-management firm and the largest U.S. investor in real-estate investment trusts, is facing a proxy battle from a hedge fund over two of its closed-end funds.

The hedge fund, Western Investment LLC, claims that the interests of the directors of the funds aren't aligned with those of their shareholders and that the directors aren't doing enough to boost the funds' values. Hedge funds have been targeting all kind of closed-end funds that are trading at discounts to the value of their underlying assets. And real-estate funds are likely candidates for such activism because they have been sold off amid fears the property market is weakening.

The corporate-governance criticism appears ironic for Cohen & Steers, given that the New York firm often preaches on that topic to the REITs it invests in.

Absolutely, we consider ourselves a corporate-governance leader," said Robert Steers, co-chairman and co-chief executive of Cohen & Steers. "We're not embarrassed by what this firm is doing. He said the funds have strong governance and investment records and he questioned Western Investment's motivations.

Western Investment, a large shareholder of the two funds—the Cohen & Steers REIT and Utility Income Fund and the Cohen & Steers Select Utility Fund—says the directors have failed to take steps to narrow the persistent discounts at which the funds have been trading to their net asset values, a measure of the worth of the funds' underlying holdings. The REIT and Utility Income fund traded at a discount of 10.6% to net asset value and the Select Utility fund at a discount of 5% as of Wednesday, according to research firm Lipper Inc.

Recently, Western Investment filed a slate of three directors to run for election to the funds' boards at their annual meetings April 1. In a filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission, Western Investment said truly independent directors would have long ago aggressively acted to narrow the funds' discounts to the values of their holdings through stock buybacks. Western Investment said it believes Cohen & Steers has been unwilling to do that because doing so would reduce the funds' net assets, thereby lowering the management fees paid to the parent company. The money manager collects fees based on the amount of assets under management.

Western Investment said the seven outside directors of the funds, who sit on the boards of all the funds run by Cohen & Steers, serve to make the parent company more profitable at the expense of shareholders of the individual funds. "The directors are handpicked by the management," says Arthur Lipson, managing member of Western Investment in Salt Lake City.

Cohen & Steers says the criticism is off base. In letters to shareholders yesterday in response to Western Investment's challenge, the funds' board said both funds have outperformed their benchmarks over the one- and three-year periods. "Your board is focused on sustainable and long-term performance and is proud of the funds' strong track record," the letters said. Both funds have managed to narrow the discounts to their net asset value through dividend increases and investment performance, Mr. Steers said, adding that share repurchases have only "very short-term benefit but create longer-term problems" because that would leave the funds saddled with higher expenses.



This isn't the first time Western Investment has launched such proxy fights. It has been active in waging proxy fights against various kinds of closed-end funds in recent years. Closed-end funds have a set number of shares that trade all day on an exchange like a stock. Unlike traditional mutual funds, closed-end funds can trade at a price different from the value of their underlying assets. If a fund trades at a deep discount for a long period, activist shareholders sometimes move to get their representatives on the fund's board, as Western is trying to do. The goal is to try to reduce the discounts, by making the fund buy back shares, or in an extreme case, liquidating it.