Edgar Filing: ITT EDUCATIONAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-K/A

ITT EDUCATIONAL SERVICES INC Form 10-K/A February 10, 2006 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K/A

(Amendment No. 1)

(Mark One)

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended **December 31, 2004**

OR

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-13144

ITT EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Registrant s telephone number, including area cod(317) 706-9200

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of **36-2061311** (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

incorporation or organization)

13000 North Meridian Street

Carmel, Indiana (Address of principal executive offices)

46032-1404 (Zip Code)

1

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class COMMON STOCK, \$.01 PAR VALUE

Name of each exchange on which registered **NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE, INC.**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

NONE

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K/A or any amendment to this Form 10-K/A. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes x No "

\$1,723,418,237

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.): Yes "No x

Aggregate market value of the voting stock held by nonaffiliates of the registrant based on the last sale price for such stock at June 30, 2004 (assuming solely for the purposes of this calculation that all Directors and executive officers of the registrant are affiliates).

46,114,797

Number of shares of Common Stock, \$.01 par value, outstanding at February 28, 2005.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the following documents have been incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K/A

IDENTITY OF DOCUMENT

PARTS OF FORM 10-K/A INTO WHICH DOCUMENT IS INCORPORATED

Definitive Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 3, 2005 PART III

ITT EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, INC.

Carmel, Indiana

Annual Report to Securities and Exchange Commission

December 31, 2004

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A (Form 10-K/A) to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, initially filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on March 15, 2005 (Original Filing), reflects a restatement (Restatement) of our Consolidated Financial Statements as discussed in Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The determination to restate those financial statements was made as a result of our management s identification of errors related to how we reported restricted cash and where we reported the tax benefit from stock option exercises on our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Those errors were identified as a result of additional controls and enhanced procedures that we adopted during the preparation of our Consolidated Financial Statements in connection with the financial reporting close process of our 2005 fiscal year that ended on December 31, 2005. Further information on the effect of the Restatement on our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows can be found in Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

This Form 10-K/A only amends and restates Items 7, 8 and 9A of Part II of the Original Filing, and references to this Form 10-K have been revised to refer to this Form 10-K/A. Although this Form 10-K/A contains all of the items required to be included in an Annual Report on Form 10-K, no other information in the Original Filing is amended hereby. The foregoing items have not been updated to reflect other events occurring after the Original Filing or to modify or update those disclosures affected by subsequent events. In addition, pursuant to the rules of the SEC, Item 15 of Part IV of the Original Filing has been amended to contain the consent of our independent registered public accounting firm and currently dated certifications from our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as required by Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. An updated consent of our independent registered public accounting firm and the certifications of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer are attached to this Form 10-K/A as Exhibits 23, 31.1, 31.2, 32.1 and 32.2.

Except for the foregoing amended information, this Form10-K/A continues to speak as of the date of the Original Filing, and we have not updated the disclosure contained herein to reflect events that occurred at a later date. Other events occurring after the filing of the Original Filing or other disclosures necessary to reflect subsequent events have been addressed in our reports filed with the SEC subsequent to the filing of the Original Filing, including the Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the periods ended March 31, June 30 and September 30, 2005, amendments of which are being filed concurrently with the filing of this Form 10-K/A.

With this filing, we have amended the Original Filing. As such, our Consolidated Financial Statements as of and for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, the report of our independent registered public accounting firm and the related financial information contained in the Original Filing should no longer be relied upon. In addition, the financial information contained in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, June 30 and September 30, 2005, previously filed by us, should no longer be relied upon.

PART I

Item 1. BUSINESS.

Forward-Looking Statements: All statements, trend analyses and other information contained in this report that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the safe harbor provision of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and as defined in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements are made based on our management s current expectations and beliefs concerning future developments and their potential effects on us. You

- 1 -

can identify these statements by the use of words such as could, should, would, may, will, project, believe, anticipate, expect, proferecast, potential, intend, continue, and contemplate, as well as similar words and expressions. Forward-looking statements involve risks a uncertainties and do not guarantee future performance. We cannot assure you that future developments affecting us will be those anticipated by our management. Among the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially are the following:

business conditions and growth in the postsecondary education industry and in the general economy; changes in federal and state governmental regulations with respect to education and accreditation standards, or the interpretation or enforcement thereof, including, but not limited to, the level of government funding for, and our eligibility to participate in, student financial aid programs utilized by our students;

effects of any change in our ownership resulting in a change in control, including, but not limited to, the consequences of such changes on the accreditation and federal and state regulation of our institutes;

our ability to implement our growth strategies;

receptivity of students and employers to our existing program offerings and new curricula;

loss of lender access to our students for student loans;

the effects of the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) investigation of us, which could result in monetary fines or penalties or other sanctions imposed on us (including our loss of eligibility to participate in student financial aid programs) that could materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations;

the results of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) inquiry into the allegations being investigated by the DOJ, which could result in the restatement of our financial statements, monetary fines or penalties or other sanctions that could materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations; and

the results of the securities class action, shareholder derivative and books and records inspection lawsuits filed against us, which, if adversely determined, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Readers are also directed to other risks and uncertainties discussed in other documents we file with the SEC. We undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking information, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise.

You should keep in mind the following points as you read this report:

References in this document to we, us, our and ITT/ESI refer to ITT Educational Services, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

The terms ITT Technical Institute or institute (in singular or plural form) refer to an individual school owned and operated by ITT/ESI, including its learning sites, if any. The terms institution or campus group (in singular or plural form) mean a main campus and its additional locations, branch campuses and/or learning sites, if any.

Background

We are a Delaware corporation incorporated in 1946. Our principal executive offices are located at 13000 North Meridian Street, Carmel, Indiana 46032-1404, and our telephone number is (317) 706-9200. From 1966 until our initial public offering on December 27, 1994, we were wholly owned by ITT Corporation, formerly a Delaware corporation and now known as ITT Industries, Inc., an Indiana corporation (Old ITT). On September 29, 1995, ITT Corporation, a Nevada corporation (ITT), succeeded to the interests of Old ITT in the beneficial ownership of 83.3% of our common stock. Public offerings of our common stock by ITT in June 1998 and February 1999 and our repurchase of 1,500,000 shares of our common stock from ITT in February 1999 completely eliminated ITT s beneficial ownership of any of our common stock.

Overview

- 2 -

We are a leading provider of technology-oriented postsecondary degree programs in the United States based on revenue and student enrollment. As of December 31, 2004, we were offering associate, bachelor and master degree programs and non-degree diploma programs to more than 40,000 students. We currently have 77 institutes located in 30 states. Each of our institutes is (a) authorized by the applicable education authorities of the states in which they operate and recruit and (b) accredited by an accrediting commission recognized by the U.S. Department of Education (ED). We design our education programs, after consultation with employers, to help graduates prepare for careers in various fields involving their areas of study. As of December 31, 2004, more than 99% of our program offerings were degree programs, and we were seeking authorization to award a degree in the remaining programs. We have provided career-oriented education programs since 1969 under the ITT Technical Institute name, and our institutes have graduated over 185,000 students since 1976.

In 2004, we opened new institutes and began using learning sites. A learning site is an institute location where educational activities are conducted and student services are provided away from the institute s campus. We plan to open three or four new institutes and add up to four new learning sites to existing institutes in the remainder of 2005. In 2004, we developed several new residence and online bachelor and associate degree programs. At the end of 2004, all of our residence associate degree and bachelor degree programs were being taught on a three-day-per-week class schedule or under our hybrid education delivery model, pursuant to which certain program courses are taught in residence on campus and others are taught online over the Internet (the Hybrid Delivery Model). In 2004, we expanded the use of the Hybrid Delivery Model to most of our institutes. In 2004, we also expanded our alliance with an international educator in China, pursuant to which more of our curricula are offered to students in China either by us through online programs offered over the Internet or by the international educator through residence programs under a license to use our curricula. We intend to continue expanding by opening new institutes, adding learning sites to existing institutes, offering a broader range of both residence and online programs at our existing institutes and pursuing new and expanded alliances with both domestic and international educators. We also intend to further expand our Hybrid Delivery Model by teaching more of the courses in each of our programs online over the Internet and fewer courses in residence on campus.

Business Strategy

Our strategy is to pursue multiple opportunities for growth. We are implementing a growth strategy designed to increase revenue and operating efficiencies by increasing the number and types of program offerings and student enrollment at existing institutes, opening new institutes across the United States, adding learning sites to existing institutes, licensing the use of our curricula to third parties and offering our curricula in international markets. The principal elements of this strategy include the following:

Enhance Results at the Institute Level.

Increase Enrollments at Existing Institutes. We intend to increase recruiting efforts aimed at enrolling more working adults at our existing institutes. In addition, we believe that current demographic and employment trends will allow us to enroll a greater number of recent high school graduates.

Broaden Availability of Current Program Offerings. We intend to continue expanding the number of program offerings at our existing institutes. Our objective is to offer multiple programs at each institute. Our 77 institutes provide significant potential for the introduction of existing programs to a broader number of institutes. We believe that introducing new programs at existing institutes will attract more students. In 2004, we added a total of 164 program offerings among 41 existing institutes, and in 2005 we intend to add a total of approximately 76 program offerings among 29 existing institutes.

Develop or Acquire Additional Programs. We plan to introduce both residence and online programs in additional fields of study and at different levels. In 2004, we developed:

two new residence bachelor degree programs;

two new online bachelor degree programs;

three new residence associate degree programs; and

three new online associate degree programs.

In 2005, we intend to increase the number of our institutions that offer the master, bachelor and associate degree programs developed in 2004. We also intend to develop additional degree programs of study in 2005 and begin offering those programs at one or more of our institutes. The new degree programs are expected to involve disciplines in drafting and design, business or criminal justice, and be at the associate, bachelor and master degree levels. We

- 3 -

intend to develop both a residence and online version of many of the new programs planned for 2005, and we expect that most, if not all, of the residence versions of these programs will be taught under our Hybrid Delivery Model. We believe that introducing new programs and making our programs more convenient for students can attract a broader base of students, motivate current students to extend their studies and help us improve the utilization of our facilities.

Extend Total Program Duration. In 2004, we increased the number of our institutes that offer bachelor degree programs from 51 to 52. In 2005, we intend to increase the number of our institutes that offer bachelor degree programs to 54. The average combined total program time that graduates of one or more of our programs were enrolled has increased over time as a result of:

a portion of the graduates of our associate degree programs enrolling in bachelor degree programs at our institutes;

a decrease in the average number of credit hours taken each academic quarter by full-time students in our residence degree programs of study as a consequence of our conversion to a three-day-per-week class schedule (from a five-day-per-week class schedule) in those programs;

the elimination of shorter, non-degree programs; and

the increased duration of some of our associate degree programs from 18 months to 24 months.

We expect that the average combined total program time of our students will increase further as we increase the number of our institutes offering bachelor degree programs, we add additional bachelor degree programs at our institutes and we expand our online curricula offerings to include additional master degree programs.

Improve Student Outcomes. We strive to improve the graduation and graduate employment rates of our undergraduate students by providing academic and career services and dedicating administrative resources to those services.

Geographically Expand Our Institutes and Program Offerings. We plan to add new institutes and new learning sites to existing institutes at locations throughout the United States. Using our proprietary methodology, we determine locations for new institutes and learning sites of existing institutes based on a number of factors, including demographics and population and employment growth. We opened four new institutes in 2002 and three new institutes in 2003. In 2004, we opened two new institutes and added one learning site to our existing institutes. We plan to open three or four new institutes and add up to four new learning sites to existing institutes in the remainder of 2005. We will also continue to consider acquiring schools. We currently offer at least one of our online bachelor degree programs in 47 states. We intend to expand the number of our online programs offered in each state in 2005. We also expanded our alliance with an international educator in China in 2004, pursuant to which more of our curricula are offered to students in China either by us through online programs offered over the Internet or by the international educator through residence programs under a license to use our curricula.

Increase Margins By Leveraging Fixed Costs at Institute and Headquarters Levels. Our efforts to optimize school capacity and class size have allowed us to increase student enrollment without incurring a proportionate increase in fixed costs at our institutes. We believe that our online programs and Hybrid Delivery Model will help us to improve the utilization of our facilities by eliminating student usage of our facilities with respect to our online program offerings and reducing student usage of our facilities with respect to our Hybrid Delivery Model. In addition, we have realized substantial operating efficiencies by centralizing management functions and implementing operational uniformity among our institutes. We will continue to seek to improve margins by increasing enrollments and revenue without incurring a proportionate increase in fixed costs, and by reducing our variable costs.

Programs of Study

As of December 31, 2004, we were offering:

17 degree programs and several diploma programs in various fields of study;

programs involving information technology (IT), electronics technology and drafting and design at all of our institutes, except one;

a program involving business at 50 of our institutes; and

a program involving criminal justice at 40 of our institutes.

The table below sets forth information regarding the programs of study we were offering as of December 31, 2004.

- 4 -

Number of Institutes Offering the Programs at

		December 31, 2004			
	Master	Bachelor	Associate		
	Degree	Degree	Degree	Diploma (1)	
School of Business					
Business Administration (2)	1 (3)	40	2		
Business Accounting Technology (2)		40	2		
Technical Project Management (2)		50			
School of Criminal Justice					
Criminal Justice (2)		38	2		
School of Drafting and Design					
Computer Drafting and Design			70	2	
Digital Entertainment and Game Design		45			
Industrial Design		3			
Multimedia (4)			76		
School of Electronics Technology					
Computer and Electronics Engineering Technology			76		
Electronics and Communications Engineering Technology		49			
Industrial Automation Engineering Technology		6			
School of Information Technology					
Computer Network Systems (4)			77		
Data Communication Systems Technology		48			
Information Systems Security (2)		50			
Software Applications and Programming (4)			74	2	
Software Engineering Technology		45			
Web Development (4)			74	2	

(1) We have submitted, or are in the process of submitting, the requisite applications to the applicable state education authorities for approval to offer the diploma programs identified in this column as associate degree programs at each of the affected ITT Technical Institutes.

(2) Residence and online programs. Our institute in Indianapolis is the only institute that presently offers online programs.

(3) Online program.

(4) Depending on the location of the ITT Technical Institute, this program of study may have been approved by the applicable state education authority(ies) either as a separate program or as one of as many as four disciplines within one program of study. For purposes of this table, this program is considered to be a separate program of study at every ITT Technical Institute where it was taught.

As of December 31, 2004, approximately 37% of our students were enrolled in IT programs, approximately 29% were enrolled in electronics technology programs, approximately 28% were enrolled in drafting and design programs, approximately 3% were enrolled in criminal justice programs. We design our programs to help graduates prepare for careers in various fields involving their education by offering students a broad-based foundation in a variety of skills used in those fields. Graduates of our IT programs have obtained a variety of entry-level positions in various fields involving IT, such as network administration, technical support, network technology and systems technology. Graduates of our electronics technology programs have obtained a variety of entry-level positions in various fields involving electronics, such as electronics product design and fabrication, communications, computer technology, industrial electronics, instrumentation, telecommunications and consumer electronics. Graduates of our drafting and design programs have obtained a variety of entry-level positions in various fields involving drafting and design, such as computer-aided drafting, electrical and electronics drafting, mechanical drafting, architectural and construction drafting, civil drafting, interior design, landscape architecture and multimedia communications. Graduates of our business programs are expected to obtain a variety of entry-level positions in various types of businesses involving the subject matter of their programs of study. Graduates of our criminal justice programs are expected to obtain a variety of entry-level positions in various types of businesses involving criminal justice in both the private and public sectors.

We generally organize the academic schedule for programs of study offered at our institutes on the basis of four 12-week academic quarters in a calendar year, with new students beginning at the start of each academic quarter. Students can complete our associate degree programs in eight academic quarters, bachelor degree programs in at least 12 academic quarters and master degree program in seven academic quarters. We

typically offer classes in most residence programs in four to five hour sessions three days a week (or two days a week under the Hybrid Delivery Model with one course taught online over the Internet most academic quarters), Monday through Saturday. Depending on student enrollment, class sessions are generally available in the morning, afternoon and evening. Each of our

courses that is taught online over the Internet is delivered through an asynchronous learning network and has a prescribed schedule for completion of the coursework. The class schedule for our residence courses and the coursework completion schedule for our online courses generally provide students with the flexibility to pursue employment opportunities concurrently with their studies. Based on student surveys, we believe that a substantial majority of our students work at least part-time during their programs of study.

Most of our programs of study blend traditional academic content with applied learning concepts and have the objective of helping graduates begin to prepare for a changing economic and technological environment. A significant portion of most programs offered at our institutes involves practical study in a lab environment.

The content of most courses in each program of study is substantially standardized among our institutes to provide greater uniformity and to better enable students to transfer, if necessary, to other institutes offering the same programs with less disruption to their education. We regularly review each curriculum to respond to changes in technology and industry needs. Each of our institutes has established an advisory committee for each field of study, which is comprised of representatives of local employers. These advisory committees assist our institutes in assessing and updating curricula, equipment and laboratory design. In addition to courses directly related to a student s program of study, our programs also include general education courses, such as economics, mathematics, communications and sociology.

Tuition for a student entering an undergraduate residence program in December 2004 for 36 quarter credit hours (the minimum course load of a full-time student for an academic year at traditional two- and four-year colleges) is \$13,248 for all of our undergraduate residence programs, except as adjusted in some states to reflect applicable taxes and fees. We typically adjust the tuition for our programs of study at least annually. The majority of students attending residence programs at our institutes lived in that institute s metropolitan area prior to enrollment. We do not provide any student housing.

Student Recruitment

We strive to attract students with the motivation and ability to complete the career-oriented educational programs offered by our institutes. To generate interest among potential students, we engage in a broad range of activities to inform potential students and their parents about our institutes and the programs they offer. These activities include television, Internet and other media advertising, direct mailings and high school visits.

We centrally coordinate and develop our advertising. We direct our television advertising at both the national market and the local markets in which our institutes are located. Our television commercials generally include a toll free telephone number and a website address for direct responses and information about the location of our institutes in the area. We place our Internet advertising on websites visited by different groups of potential postsecondary students. We centrally receive, track and forward responses to our television and Internet advertising to the appropriate institute representatives to contact prospective students and schedule interviews. We target our direct mail campaigns at different groups of potential postsecondary students, including, among others, high school students and working adults. We centrally receive, track and forward responses to direct mail campaigns to the appropriate institute representatives to contact prospective students and schedule interviews.

We employ a director of recruitment at each of our institutes, who reports to the director of that institute. We centrally establish recruiting policies and procedures, as well as standards for hiring and training sales representatives. We implement these policies, procedures and standards at the local level. We employ approximately 900 sales representatives to assist in local recruiting efforts. These representatives perform most of their services in student recruitment offices located at the campus and any learning site of each of our institutes. Our sales representatives, along with other employees, also make presentations to students at high schools. These presentations promote our institutes and obtain information

about high school juniors and seniors who may be interested in attending our institutes.

Local sales representatives of an institute pursue expressions of interest from potential students for our residence programs of study by contacting prospective students and arranging for interviews at the campus or any learning site of that institute. We have designed these interviews to establish a prospective student s qualifications, academic background, interests, motivation and goals for the future. Occasionally, we also pursue expressions of interest from students for our residence programs of study by contacting them and arranging for their attendance at an informational seminar providing information about the institute and its programs. We pursue expressions of interest from potential

- 6 -

students for our online programs of study by providing program and resource information on our website and through telephone calls, electronic mail and the mail.

Student recruitment activities are subject to substantial regulation at both the state and federal level. Most states have bonding and licensing requirements that apply to many of our representatives and other employees involved in student recruitment. Our Vice President, Recruitment and the directors of field recruitment and training oversee the implementation of recruitment policies and procedures. In addition, our compliance department generally reviews student recruiting practices relating to student presentations and the execution and completion of enrollment agreements at each of our institutes on an annual basis.

Student Admission and Retention

We strive to admit incoming students who have the ability to complete their chosen programs of study. We require all applicants for admission to any of our institutes programs of study to have a high school diploma or a recognized equivalent and, depending on the program of study and the institute, applicants may also be required to pass an admission examination or possess a designated number of credit hours or degree with a specified overall cumulative grade point average from an accredited postsecondary educational institution. Our student demographics as of December 31, 2004, were as follows:

Student Demographics	Approximate Percent of Student Census
Age	
19 or less	20%
20 through 24	39%
25 through 30	23%
31 or over	18%
Gender	
Male	81%
Female	19%
Race	
Caucasian	55%
Minority (1)	45%

(1) Based on applicable federal classifications.

The faculty and staff at each of our institutes strive to help students overcome obstacles to the completion of their programs of study. As is the case in other postsecondary institutions, however, students often fail to complete their programs for a variety of personal, financial or academic reasons. Student withdrawals prior to program completion not only affect the students, they also have a negative regulatory, financial and marketing effect on the institute. To minimize student withdrawals, each of our institutes devotes staff resources to assist and advise students regarding academic and financial matters. We encourage academic advising and tutoring in the case of students experiencing academic difficulties. We also offer assistance and advice to students in our residence programs who are looking for part-time employment and housing. In addition, we consider factors relating to student retention in the performance evaluation of all of our instructors and most of the managers of our institutes.

In December 2002, we discontinued admitting new students at two of our ITT Technical Institutes: one in Hayward, California and the other in Santa Clara, California. All formal operations at each of those two institutes finally ceased at the end of 2004, but we are continuing to provide career services to the graduates of the programs of study at those institutes. The cessation of operations at those two institutes did not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Graduate Employment

We believe that the success of our graduates who begin their careers in fields involving their programs of study is critical to the ability of our institutes to continue to recruit students. We try to obtain data on the number of students employed following graduation. The reliability of such data depends largely on information that students and employers report to us. Based on this information, we believe that approximately 69% of the Employable Graduates (as defined below) from our institutes programs during 2003 obtained employment or were already employed by April 30,

- 7 -

2004 in positions that required the direct or indirect use of skills taught in their programs of study. Employable Graduates include all of the graduates from our institutes, except for those graduates who:

- have been admitted into other programs of study at postsecondary educational institutions that are scheduled to begin within one academic year following their graduation;
- possessed visas that did not permit them to work in the United States following their graduation;
- were personally suffering from a health condition that prevented them from working;
- were actively engaged in U.S. military service; or
- moved out of the Continental United States with a spouse or parent who was actively engaged in U.S. military service.

The definition of Employable Graduates is based on the information that our institutes are required to report to their accrediting commission, and this information is used, in part, by their accrediting commission to evaluate the student outcomes of our institutes.

Each of our institutes employs personnel to offer its students and graduates career services. These persons assist in job searches and solicit employment opportunities from employers. In addition, certain courses in our undergraduate programs of study include instruction on job search techniques, the use of relevant reference materials, the composition of resumes and letters of introduction and the appropriate preparation, appearance and conduct for interviews.

Based on information from graduates and employers who responded to our inquiries, we estimate that the reported annualized salaries initially following graduation averaged approximately \$26,940 for the Employable Graduates of our institutes programs who graduated in 2003 and obtained employment or were already employed by April 30, 2004 in fields involving skills taught in their programs of study. The calculation of this amount excludes any reported annualized initial salaries above \$60,000. The average annual salary initially following graduation for our Employable Graduates may vary significantly among our institutes depending on local employment conditions and each Employable Graduates s background and prior work experience. Initial employers of Employable Graduates from our institutes programs include small, medium and large companies.

Faculty

We hire faculty members in accordance with criteria established by us, the accrediting commission that accredits our institutes and the state education authorities that regulate our institutes. We hire faculty with related work experience and/or academic credentials to teach most technical subjects. Faculty members at each institute typically include the chairperson for each school of study and various categories of instructors. Our institutes currently employ a total of approximately 1,200 full-time and 1,600 part-time or adjunct faculty members.

Administration and Employees

Each of our institutes is administered by a director who has overall responsibility for the management of the institute. The administrative staff of each institute also includes a director of recruitment, a director of career services, a director of finance, a dean and a registrar. We employ approximately 175 people at our corporate headquarters in Carmel, Indiana. We currently have approximately 3,800 full-time and 2,400 part-time employees at our institutes and corporate headquarters. In addition, we currently employ approximately 175 students as laboratory assistants and in other part-time positions. None of our employees are represented by labor unions.

Our headquarters provides centralized services to all of our institutes in the following areas:

accounting marketing public relations curricula development management information systems purchasing legal regulatory legislative affairs real estate human resources compliance/internal audit

In addition, national managers of each of the following major institute functions reside at our headquarters and develop policies and procedures to guide these functions at our institutes:

- 8 -

recruiting
finance
academic affairs

career services library

Managers located at our headquarters monitor the operating results of each of our institutes and periodically conduct on-site reviews.

Competition

The postsecondary education market in the United States is highly fragmented and competitive with no private or public institution enjoying a significant market share. Our institutes compete for students with graduate, bachelor and associate degree-granting institutions, which include nonprofit public and private colleges and for-profit institutions, as well as with alternatives to higher education such as military service or immediate employment. We believe competition among educational institutions is based on:

- the quality and reliability of the institution s programs and student services;
- the perceived reputation of the institution and its programs and student services;
- the cost of the institution s programs;
- the employability of the institution s graduates;
- the ability to provide easy and convenient access to the institution s programs and courses;
- the quality and experience of the institution s faculty; and
- the time required to complete the institution s programs.

Certain public and private colleges may offer programs similar to those offered by our institutes at a lower tuition cost due in part to government subsidies, foundation grants, tax deductible contributions or other financial resources not available to for-profit institutions. Other for-profit institutions offer programs that compete with those of our institutes. Certain of our competitors in both the public and private sectors have greater financial and other resources than we do.

Federal and Other Financial Aid Programs

In 2004, we indirectly derived approximately 69% of our revenue determined on an accrual accounting basis (or 66% determined on a cash accounting basis as defined by the ED s regulations) from the federal student financial aid programs under Title IV (the Title IV Programs) of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (the HEA). Our institutes students also rely on unaffiliated private loan programs, family contributions, personal savings, employment, state financial aid programs, scholarships and other resources to pay their educational expenses. Students at our institutes receive grants, loans and other aid to fund the cost of their education under the following Title IV Programs:

the Federal Family Education Loan (the FFEL) program, which accounted in aggregate for approximately 56% of our revenue in 2004;

the Federal Pell Grant (the Pell) program, which accounted in aggregate for approximately 13% of our revenue in 2004; the William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan (the FDL) program, which accounted in aggregate for a negligible portion of our revenue in 2004;

the Federal Work-Study (the Work-Study) program, which makes federal funds available to provide part-time employment to students and under which our institutes employed approximately 350 students and paid \$1,885,315 in student wages in 2004; and the Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant (the SEOG) program, which accounted in aggregate for a negligible portion of our revenue in 2004.

The Work-Study and SEOG programs each require our institutions to make a 25% matching contribution for all of the federal funds the institution receives from the ED under those programs. In 2004, our 25% matching contribution amounted to \$637,078 for the Work-Study program and \$86,898 for the SEOG program.

- 9 -

In 2004, we indirectly derived approximately 3% of our revenue from state student financial aid programs. In 2004, we also indirectly derived approximately 25% of our revenue from unaffiliated, private loan programs that were made available to eligible students at various ITT Technical Institutes to help fund a portion of the students cost of education. We have no financial responsibility with respect to any loans made to students or their parents under those programs, except for \$976,000 of loans made in the mid-1990 s for which we have guaranteed repayment to the lender if the borrowers fail to pay. We have reserved \$944,000 for our guarantee obligation with respect to those loans and, as a result, we do not believe that such guarantee will result in a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Highly-Regulated Industry

We are subject to extensive regulation by the ED, the state education authorities (the SEAs) and the Accrediting Council for Independent Colleges and Schools (the ACICS) that accredits our institutes. The statutes, regulations and standards applied by the ED, the SEAs and the ACICS are periodically revised and the interpretations of existing requirements are periodically modified. We cannot predict with certainty how all of the statutes, regulations and standards applied by the ED, the SEAs and the ACICS will be interpreted.

At the federal level, the HEA and the regulations promulgated thereunder by the ED set forth numerous and complex standards that institutions must satisfy in order to participate in Title IV Programs. To participate in Title IV Programs, an institution must receive and maintain authorization by the appropriate SEAs, be accredited by an accrediting commission recognized by the ED and be certified as an eligible institution by the ED. The purpose of those standards is to limit institutional dependence on Title IV Program funds, prevent institutions with unacceptable student loan default rates from participating in Title IV Programs and, in general, require institutions to satisfy certain criteria related to educational value, administrative capability and financial responsibility. All of our ITT Technical Institutes currently participate in Title IV Programs. In 2004, we indirectly derived approximately 69% of our revenue determined on an accrual accounting basis (or 66% determined on a cash accounting basis as defined by the ED as a main campus and its additional locations, if any. Under the ED s regulations, an additional location is any location away from the main campus that offers at least 50% of an entire educational program and obtains the necessary authorization of the applicable SEAs and accrediting commission. Twenty-nine of our 77 institutes are main campuses and the remaining 48 of our institutes are additional locations. Each of the learning sites of our institutes is also an additional location under the ED s regulations. The HEA standards require an institution to obtain and periodically renew its certification by the ED as an eligible institution that has been authorized by the relevant SEAs and accredited by an accrediting commission recognized by the ED.

At the state level, we currently operate one or more institutes in 30 states and our institutes recruit students in the remaining 20 states and the District of Columbia. Each of our institutes must be authorized by the applicable SEAs to operate and grant degrees or diplomas to their students. The state laws and regulations that we must comply with in order to obtain authorization from the SEAs are numerous and complex. Currently, each of our institutes has received authorization from one or more SEAs. In addition, some states require an institute to be in operation for a period of up to two years before such institute can be authorized to grant degrees. Institutes that confer bachelor or master degrees must, in most cases, meet additional regulatory standards. Raising the curricula of our existing institutes to the bachelor and/or master degree level requires the approval of the SEAs and the ACICS. State education laws and regulations affect our operations and may limit our ability to introduce degree programs or to obtain authorization to operate in some states. If any one of our institutes lost its state authorization, the institute would be unable to offer postsecondary education and we would be forced to close the institute. Closing one of our institutes for any reason could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

State authorization and accreditation by an accrediting commission recognized by the ED are required for an institution to become and remain eligible to participate in Title IV Programs. In addition, some states require institutions operating in the state to be accredited as a condition of state authorization. All of our institutes are accredited by the ACICS, which is an accrediting commission recognized by the ED. The HEA specifies a series of criteria that each recognized accrediting commission must use in reviewing institutions. For example, accrediting commissions must assess the length of each academic program offered by an institution in relation to the objectives of the degrees or diplomas offered. Further, accrediting commissions must evaluate each institution s success with respect to student achievement, as measured by rates of program completion, passing of state licensing examinations and job placement. During 2004, the ACICS evaluated 44 of our institutes for

initial grants of accreditation or the renewal of their current grants of accreditation. As of the end of 2004, the ACICS had granted initial accreditation to two

institutes, had reaccredited 29 institutes, and had deferred the decision on whether to reaccredit 13 institutes. Under ACICS standards, deferring the decision on whether to reaccredit a school extends the school s current grant of accreditation for a period of time to allow the school to address any items on which the ACICS seeks additional information from, or action by, the school. None of our institutes is on probation with the ACICS, but 14 institutes are subject to graduate placement reporting by the ACICS. Under the ACICS standards, an institute that is subject to a financial or outcomes review (including graduate placement reporting) must periodically report its results in such areas to the ACICS and obtain permission from the ACICS prior to applying to add a new program of study or establish a branch campus or learning site. We do not believe that these limitations will have a material adverse effect on our expansion plans. The loss of accreditation by one of our existing institutes or the failure of a new institute to obtain full accreditation:

would make only the affected institute ineligible to participate in Title IV Programs, if the affected institute was an additional location; would make the entire campus group ineligible to participate in Title IV Programs, if the affected institute was a main campus; and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The statutes, regulations and standards applied by the ED, the SEAs and the ACICS cover the vast majority of our operations, including our educational programs, facilities, instructional and administrative staff, administrative procedures, marketing, recruiting, financial operations and financial condition. Those requirements also affect our ability to open or acquire additional institutes and learning sites, add new, or expand our existing, educational programs and change our corporate structure and ownership. If our institutes failed to comply with any of the statutes, regulations or standards applied by the ED, the SEAs or the ACICS, those authorities could:

impose monetary fines and/or penalties;

terminate or limit our institutes operations and/or ability to grant degrees and diplomas;

revoke or restrict our institutes accreditation;

limit, terminate or suspend our institutes eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs or any state financial aid programs;

require our institutes to repay Title IV Program funds and/or state financial aid;

subject our institutes to heightened cash monitoring by the ED;

transfer our institutes from the ED s advance system of receiving Title IV Program funds to its reimbursement system, under which a school must disburse its own funds to students and document the students eligibility for Title IV Program funds before receiving such funds from the ED; and

subject us or our institutes to other civil and criminal penalties.

Each of these sanctions could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows and impose significant operating restrictions upon us. If the ED terminates an institution s participation in Title IV Programs, the institution in most circumstances must wait 18 months before requesting a reinstatement of its participation. If any sanction substantially limited our institutes participation in Title IV Programs or required the repayment of a substantial sum of Title IV Program funds that our institutes disbursed in prior years, we would be materially adversely affected, even if we could arrange or provide alternative financing sources or repay those funds. If an institute lost its eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs and we could not arrange for alternative financing sources for the students attending that institute, we would probably have to close that institute.

The internal audit function of our compliance department reviews our institutes compliance with Title IV Program requirements and conducts an annual compliance review of each of our institutes. The review addresses numerous compliance areas, including student tuition refunds and return of Title IV Program funds, student academic progress, student admission, graduate employment, student attendance, student financial aid applications, implementation of prior audit recommendations and a general review of student recruiting practices relating to student presentations and the execution and completion of enrollment agreements. Each of our institutes administration of Title IV Program funds must also be audited annually by an independent accounting firm, and the resulting audit report must be submitted to the ED for review.

Due to the highly-regulated nature of the postsecondary education industry, we may be subject from time to time to audits, reviews, inquiries, investigations, claims of non-compliance or lawsuits by federal and state governmental agencies, the ACICS or third parties, which may allege violations of statutes, regulations or accreditation standards or

common law causes of action (collectively, Claims). If the results of any Claims are unfavorable to us, we may be required to pay money damages or be subject to fines, penalties, injunctions, terminations, limitations, debarments, additional oversight and reporting, other civil and criminal penalties or other censure that could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Even if we satisfactorily resolve the issues raised by a Claim, we may have to expend significant amounts of money and devote significant management resources, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. In particular, the DOJ investigation and resulting securities class action, shareholder derivative and books and records inspection lawsuits currently pending against us and certain of our current and former officers and directors have demanded significant management time and financial resources to resolve and defend and have had a material adverse effect on our financial condition. Adverse publicity regarding any Claims could also negatively affect our business.

The following are some of the significant factors related to our highly-regulated industry:

Legislative Action. Political and budgetary concerns significantly affect Title IV Programs. The U.S. Congress must reauthorize the HEA approximately every six years, and the last reauthorization occurred in October 1998. The U.S. Congress began the process of reviewing and reauthorizing the HEA in 2003, a process that may be concluded in 2005. There has been extensive discussion about various possible changes to the HEA, but we cannot predict what changes the U.S. Congress will ultimately make. In addition, the U.S. Congress determines federal appropriations for Title IV Programs on an annual basis. The U.S. Congress can also make changes in the laws affecting Title IV Programs in those annual appropriations bills and in other laws it enacts between the HEA reauthorizations. Since a significant percentage of our revenue is indirectly derived from Title IV Programs, any action by the U.S. Congress that significantly reduces Title IV Program funding or the ability of our institutes or students to participate in Title IV Programs could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

If one of our institutes lost its eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs, or if Congress significantly reduced the amount of available Title IV Program funding, we would try to arrange or provide alternative sources of financial aid for that institute s students. There are a number of private organizations that provide loans to students. Although we believe that one or more private organizations would be willing to provide loans to students attending one of our institutes, we cannot assure you that this would occur or that the interest rate and other terms of such loans would be as favorable as for Title IV Program loans. In addition, the private organizations could require us to guarantee all or part of this assistance and we might incur other additional costs. If we provided more direct financial assistance to our students, we would incur additional costs and assume increased credit risks.

Legislative action may also increase our administrative costs and burden and require us to adjust our practices in order for our institutes to comply fully with the legislative requirements, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Student Loan Defaults. Under the HEA, an institution may lose its eligibility to participate in some or all Title IV Programs, if the rates at which the institution s students default on their federal student loans exceed specified percentages. The ED calculates these rates on an institutional basis, based on the number of students who have defaulted, not the dollar amount of such defaults. The ED calculates an institution s cohort default rate on an annual basis as the rate at which borrowers scheduled to begin repayment on their loans in one year default on those loans by the end of the next year. Each institution participating in the FFEL and/or FDL programs receives a FFEL/FDL cohort default rate for each federal fiscal year (i.e., October 1st through September 30th) based on defaulted FFEL and FDL program loans. An institution whose FFEL/FDL cohort default rate is: (a) 25% or greater for three consecutive federal fiscal years loses eligibility to participate in the FFEL, FDL and Pell programs for the remainder of the federal fiscal year in which the ED determines that the institution has lost its eligibility and for the two subsequent federal fiscal years; or (b) greater than 40% for one federal fiscal year loses eligibility to participate in the FFEL and FDL programs for the remainder of the federal fiscal year in which the ED determines that the institution has lost its eligibility and for the two subsequent federal fiscal years. An institution can appeal this loss of eligibility. During the pendency of any such appeal, the institution remains eligible to participate in the FFEL, FDL and Pell programs. If an institution must pay the ED the amount of interest, special allowance, reinsurance and any related payments paid by the ED (or which the ED is obligated to pay) with respect to the FFEL and FDL program loans

made to the institution s students or their parents that would not have been made if the institution had not continued its participation (the Direct Costs). If a substantial number of our campus groups were subject to losing their eligibility to participate because of their FFEL/FDL cohort default rates, the potential amount of the Direct Costs for which we

would be liable if our appeals were unsuccessful would prevent us from continuing some or all of the affected campus groups participation in the FFEL and/or FDL programs during the pendency of those appeals.

Our campus groups FFEL/FDL cohort default rates ranged from:

4.5% to 17.5% for the 2000 federal fiscal year;

4.9% to 12.7% for the 2001 federal fiscal year; and

2.1% to 12.1% for the 2002 federal fiscal year, the most recent year for which the ED has published FFEL/FDL official cohort default rates.

Our campus groups FFEL/FDL preliminary cohort default rates ranged from 4.4% to 14.9% for the 2003 federal fiscal year, which preliminary rates were issued by the ED in February 2005.

If an institution s FFEL/FDL cohort default rate is 25% or greater in any of the three most recent federal fiscal years, or if its cohort default rate for loans under the Perkins program exceeds 15% for any federal award year, the ED may place that institution on provisional certification status. A federal award year is July 1 through June 30. Nineteen of our campus groups (consisting of 43 institutes) had a cohort default rate under the Federal Perkins Loan (the Perkins) program in excess of 15% for students who were scheduled to begin repayment in the 2001/2002 federal award year and went into default by June 30, 2003 (the most recent year for which the ED has published Perkins cohort default rates). When all of the ITT Technical Institute campus groups were recertified by the ED to participate in Title IV Programs during 2003, the reason given by the ED for placing two of our campus groups (consisting of three institutes) on provisional certification was their Perkins cohort default rate. We recently submitted to the ED our institutions Perkins cohort default rates for students scheduled to begin repayment in the 2002/2003 federal award year, but those rates have not yet been accepted or published by the ED. Our reported data for that year show that 19 of our campus groups (consisting of 50 institutes) had a Perkins cohort default rate in excess of 15% for that year. See Administrative Capability and Eligibility and Certification Procedures. We no longer participate in the Perkins program.

The servicing and collection efforts of student loan lenders and guaranty agencies help to control our FFEL/FDL cohort default rates. We are not affiliated with any student loan lenders or guaranty agencies. We supplement their efforts by attempting to contact students to advise them of their responsibilities and any deferment or forbearance for which they may qualify.

Financial Responsibility Standards. The HEA and its implementing regulations prescribe specific financial responsibility standards that an institution must satisfy to participate in Title IV Programs. The ED evaluates institutions for compliance with these standards each year, based on the institution s annual audited financial statements, as well as following any change of control of the institution and when the institution is reviewed for recertification by the ED. The most significant financial responsibility measurement is the institution s composite score, which is calculated by the ED based on three ratios:

the equity ratio, which measures the institution s capital resources, ability to borrow and financial viability; the primary reserve ratio, which measures the institution s ability to support current operations from expendable resources; and the net income ratio, which measures the institution s ability to operate at a profit.

The ED assigns a strength factor to the results of each of these ratios on a scale from negative 1.0 to positive 3.0, with negative 1.0 reflecting financial weakness and 3.0 reflecting financial strength. The ED then assigns a weighting percentage to each ratio and adds the weighted scores

for the three ratios together to produce a composite score for the institution. The composite score must be at least 1.5 for the institution to be deemed financially responsible by the ED without the need for further oversight. We have calculated that our campus groups composite score, based on our fiscal year consolidated financial statements at the parent company level, was 2.9 in 2004 and 2.8 in 2003. In evaluating an institution s compliance with the financial responsibility standards, the ED may examine the financial statements of the individual institution, the institution s parent company, or any party related to the institution. Historically, the ED has evaluated the financial condition of our institutions on a consolidated basis based on our financial statements at the parent company level. If the ED determines that an institution does not satisfy the ED s financial responsibility standards, the institution may establish its financial responsibility on one of several alternative bases, including posting a letter of credit in an amount equal to a specified percentage of the total Title IV Program

- 13 -

funds received by the institution during the institution s most recently completed fiscal year and, in some cases, agreeing to receive Title IV Program funds under an arrangement other than the ED s standard advance funding arrangement while being provisionally certified. Based on our current understanding of how the ED applies its financial responsibility standards, we do not believe that these standards will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or expansion plans.

Return of Funds for Withdrawn Students. The HEA and its implementing regulations impose limits on the amount of Title IV Program funds withdrawing students can use to pay their education costs (the Return Policy). The Return Policy permits a student to use only a pro rata portion of the Title IV Program funds that the student would otherwise be eligible to use, if the student withdraws during the first 60% of any period of enrollment. For our institutes, a period of enrollment is generally an academic quarter. The institution must return to the appropriate lenders or the ED any Title IV Program funds that the institution receives on behalf of a withdrawing student in excess of the amount the student can use for such period of enrollment. If the excess funds are not properly calculated and timely returned, we may have to post a letter of credit in favor of the ED or be otherwise sanctioned by the ED. An institution is required to post a letter of credit with the ED in an amount equal to 25% of the total dollar amount of unearned Title IV Program funds that the institution was found in an audit or program review to have untimely returned unearned Title IV Program funds with respect to 5% or more of the students in the audit or program review sample of withdrawn students, in either of its two most recently completed fiscal years. No audit or review has found that any of our institutes was violating the ED is standard on the timely return of unearned Title IV Program funds. The requirement to post a letter of credit or other sanctions by the ED is standard on the timely return of unearned Title IV program funds that any of our institutes was violating the ED is standard on the timely return of unearned Title IV program funds that any of our institutes was violating the ED is standard on the timely return of unearned Title IV program funds that any of our institutes was violating the ED is standard on the timely return of unearned Title IV program funds. The requirement to post a letter of credit or other sanction

The standards of most of the SEAs and the ACICS limit a student s obligation for tuition and fees to an institution, if a student withdraws from the institution (the Refund Policy). The specific standards vary among the SEAs. Depending on when a student withdraws during an academic quarter and the applicable Refund Policy, in many instances the student remains obligated to the ITT Technical Institute for some or all of the student s education costs that were paid by the Title IV Program funds returned under the Return Policy. In these instances, many withdrawing students are unable to pay all of their education costs, unless the students have access to other sources of financial aid. We have arranged for unaffiliated private funding sources to offer eligible students loans that can help replace any Title IV Program funds that are returned if any of those students withdraw. We believe that other unaffiliated private funding sources would also be willing to make these types of loans available to our students, but we cannot assure you of this. If these types of loans were unavailable, we could be unable to collect a significant portion of many withdrawing students effect on our results of operations and cash flows.

The 90/10 Rule. Under a provision of the HEA commonly referred to as the 90/10 Rule, a for-profit institution becomes ineligible to participate in Title IV Programs if, on a cash accounting basis, the institution derives more than 90% of its applicable revenue for a fiscal year from Title IV Programs. If any of our campus groups violated the 90/10 Rule for any fiscal year, it would be ineligible to participate in Title IV Programs as of the first day of the following fiscal year and would be unable to apply to regain its eligibility until the next fiscal year. Furthermore, if one of our campus groups violated the 90/10 Rule and became ineligible to participate in Title IV Programs but continued to disburse Title IV Program funds, the ED would require the institution to repay, with limited exceptions, all Title IV Program funds disbursed by the institution after the effective date of the loss of eligibility. For our 2004 fiscal year, none of our campus groups derived more than approximately 75% of its revenue on a cash accounting basis from Title IV Programs, with a range from approximately 58% to approximately 75%. We regularly monitor compliance with this requirement to minimize the risk that any of our campus groups would derive more than the maximum allowable percentage of its applicable revenue from Title IV Programs for any fiscal year. If a campus group appeared likely to approach the maximum percentage threshold, we would consider making changes in student financing to comply with the 90/10 Rule.

Restrictions on Distance Education Programs. The HEA and its implementing regulations provide for differing restrictions on the number of course offerings and students that can be enrolled via distance education (including courses offered online over the Internet), depending on the nature of the institution s program offerings. The ED s regulations state that an institution is not eligible to participate in Title IV Programs, if more than 50% of its courses are offered through correspondence, which is defined to include courses taught through telecommunications, such as distance education courses in certain circumstances. The number of students enrolled in courses offered through distance education at our institutions will not impact an institution s eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs, so

- 14 -

long as the institution offers more residence courses than distance education courses during a federal award year. For the 2003/2004 federal award year, none of our institutions offered more than 28% of their courses through distance education.

Administrative Capability. The HEA directs the ED to assess the administrative capability of each institution to participate in Title IV Programs. ED regulations require each institution to satisfy a series of separate standards that demonstrate administrative capability. Failure to satisfy any of the standards may lead the ED to find the institution ineligible to participate in Title IV Programs or to place the institution on provisional certification as a condition of its participation. A violation of these requirements could also subject the institution to other penalties.

One standard that applies to programs with the stated objective of preparing students for employment requires the institution to show a reasonable relationship between the length of the program and the entry-level job requirements of the relevant field of employment. Other standards provide that an institution lacks administrative capability if its FFEL/FDL cohort default rate equals or exceeds 25% for any of the three most recent federal fiscal years for which such rates have been published, or if its Perkins cohort default rate exceeds 15% for any federal award year. If an institution s administrative capability is impaired solely because its FFEL/FDL and/or Perkins cohort default rates exceed the percentages specified above, the institution can continue to participate in Title IV Programs, but the ED may place the institution on provisional certification.

Nineteen of our campus groups (consisting of 43 institutes) had a Perkins cohort default rate in excess of 15% for the most recent federal award year for which such rates have been published. When all of the ITT Technical Institute campus groups were recertified by the ED to participate in Title IV Programs during 2003, the reason given by the ED for placing two of our campus groups (consisting of three institutes) on provisional certification was their Perkins cohort default rate. See Student Loan Defaults and Eligibility and Certification Procedures. We no longer participate in the Perkins program.

Compensation of Recruitment, Admission and Financial Aid Employees. The HEA prohibits an institution that participates in Title IV Programs from providing any commission, bonus or other incentive payment based directly or indirectly on success in securing enrollments or financial aid to any person or entity engaged in any student recruitment or admission activity or in making decisions regarding the awarding of Title IV Program funds. Prior to November 2002, the ED s regulations implementing this provision of the HEA repeated almost verbatim the language of the HEA, and the ED s interpretations of this provision were inconsistent and generally not publicly disseminated. In November 2002, after re-examining Congress original intent and purposes in enacting this HEA provision, the ED revised its regulations to clarify its interpretation of this provision and set forth 12 types of activities and payment arrangements that an institution may carry out without violating this provision (the Safe Harbors). One of the Safe Harbors permits the payment of fixed compensation, such as a fixed annual salary or hourly wage, so long as the fixed compensation is not adjusted up or down more than twice during any 12-month period, and any adjustment to the fixed compensation is not based solely on the number of students recruited, admitted, enrolled or awarded financial aid. Prior to the ED s revision of its regulations in November 2002, we believe that we compensated our employees involved in student recruitment, admissions, enrollment or financial aid in accordance with this Safe Harbor. Subsequent to the ED s revision of its regulations in November 2002, we believe that we have compensated the applicable employees in accordance with this Safe Harbor and other Safe Harbors, but the ED has stated that it will no longer review and approve individual schools compensation plans.

Additional Locations and Programs. Our expansion plans assume we will be able to continue to obtain the necessary ED, ACICS and SEA approvals to establish new institutes, add learning sites to our existing institutes and expand the program offerings at our existing institutes. From 2002 through 2004, we established nine new institutes and one learning site, all of which are participating in Title IV Programs, and added 469 programs at our existing institutes. Each of the institutes and learning sites added from 2002 through 2004 constitutes an additional location under the ED s regulations.

The HEA requires a for-profit institution to operate for two years before it can qualify to participate in Title IV Programs. If an institution that is certified to participate in Title IV Programs establishes an additional location and receives all of the necessary SEA and accrediting commission approvals for that location, that additional location can participate in Title IV Programs immediately upon being reported to the ED, unless the institution will offer at least 50% of an entire educational program at that location and any one of the following restrictions applies, in which case the ED must approve the additional location before it can participate in Title IV Programs:

the institution is provisionally certified to participate in Title IV Programs (See Eligibility and Certification Procedures);

- 15 -

the institution receives Title IV Program funds under the ED s reimbursement or cash monitoring payment method;

the institution acquired the assets of another institution that provided educational programs at that location during the preceding year and participated in Title IV Programs during that year;

the institution would be subject to loss of eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs, because the additional location lost its eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs as a result of high FFEL/FDL cohort default rates; or

the ED previously notified the institution that it must apply for approval to establish an additional location.

The HEA and applicable regulations permit students to use Title IV Program funds only to pay the cost associated with enrollment in an eligible program offered by an institution participating in Title IV Programs. Generally, an institution that is eligible to participate in Title IV Programs may add a new educational program without the ED s approval, if that new program: (a) leads to an associate level or higher degree and the institution already offers programs at that level; or (b) prepares students for gainful employment in the same or a related occupation as an educational program that has previously been designated as an eligible program at the institution and meets minimum length requirements. Otherwise, the institution must obtain the ED s approval before it may disburse Title IV Program funds to students enrolled in the new program. If an institution erroneously determines that a new educational program is eligible for Title IV Program funding, the institution would likely be liable for repayment of the Title IV Program funds provided to students in that educational program. Based on our current understanding of how the ED regulations will be applied, we do not believe that these limitations will have a material adverse effect on our expansion plans.

The ACICS accreditation standards generally permit an institution s main campus to establish branch campuses, and both the institution s main campus and branch campuses to establish learning sites. Our institutes that are treated as branch campuses under the ACICS accreditation standards are treated as additional locations of the main campus under the ED s regulations. Any locations of one of our main or branch campuses that are located away from the main or branch campus are treated as learning sites of that main or branch campus under the ACICS accreditation standards, but the ED s regulations treat each learning site as an additional location of the main campus. The process of obtaining any required ACICS authorizations can also delay our opening new institutes, adding learning sites or offering new programs. In certain circumstances, the ACICS standards may limit our ability to establish branch campuses and learning sites and expand the programs offered at an institute, which could have a material adverse effect on our expansion plans.

The laws and regulations in most of the states in which our institutes are located treat each of our institutes as a separate, unaffiliated institution and do not distinguish between main campuses and additional locations or branch campuses, although many states recognize other institute locations within the state where educational activities are conducted and/or student services are provided as learning sites, teaching sites, satellite campuses, or otherwise. In some states, the requirements to obtain state authorization limit our ability to establish new institutes, add learning sites and offer new programs. The process of obtaining any required state authorizations can also delay our opening new institutes, adding learning sites or offering new programs. In certain circumstances, the state laws and regulations in effect in the states where we are located or anticipate establishing a new location may limit our ability to establish new institutes and expand the programs offered at an institute, which could have a material adverse effect on our expansion plans.

Eligibility and Certification Procedures. The HEA and its implementing regulations require each institution to periodically reapply to the ED for continued certification to participate in Title IV Programs. The ED recertifies each institution deemed to be in compliance with the HEA and the ED s regulations for a period of six years or less. Before that period ends, the institution must apply again for recertification. The current ED certifications of our institutes range from three years to six years and expire over the period from March 31, 2006 to September 30, 2009.

The ED may place an institution on provisional certification for a period of three years or less, if it finds that the institution does not fully satisfy all the eligibility and certification standards. If an institution successfully participates in Title IV Programs during its period of provisional certification but fails to satisfy the full certification criteria, the ED may renew the institution 's provisional certification. The ED may revoke an institution 's provisional certification without advance notice, if the ED determines that the institution is not fulfilling all material requirements. If the ED revokes an institution 's provisional certification, the institution may not apply for reinstatement of its eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs for at least 18 months. If the ED does not recertify the institution following the expiration of its provisional certification, the institution loses eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs until the

- 16 -

institution reapplies to participate and the ED certifies the institution to participate. The ED may also more closely review an institution that is provisionally certified, if it applies for approval to open a new location or offer a new program of study that requires approval, or makes some other significant change affecting its eligibility. Provisional certification does not otherwise limit an institution s access to Title IV Program funds. During 2003, two of our campus groups (consisting of three institutes) were provisionally certified to participate in Title IV Programs, because their Perkins cohort default rate exceeded 30%. We no longer participate in the Perkins program and our students have not received any loans under the Perkins program since 2001.

Title IV Program Funds Management. ED regulations govern how an institution participating in Title IV Programs requests, maintains, disburses and otherwise manages Title IV Program funds. These regulations require institutions to disburse all Title IV Program funds by payment period. For our institutes, the payment period is an academic quarter. These regulations affect the timing of our receipt and disbursement of Title IV Program funds and prescribe time frames within which our campus groups must notify Title IV Program fund recipients of certain information and return any undisbursed Title IV Program funds.

Predominant Use of One Lender and One Guaranty Agency. Our students have traditionally received their FFEL program loans from a limited number of lending institutions. For example, in our 2004 fiscal year, one lender provided approximately 97% of the FFEL program loans that our students received and one student loan guaranty agency guaranteed almost 100% of the FFEL program loans made to our students. We do not believe that either our primary lender or our primary guaranty agency intends to withdraw from the student loan field or reduce the volume of loans it makes or guarantees in the near future. If FFEL program loans by our primary lender or guarantees of those loans by our primary guaranty agencies to make and guarantee those loans for our students, because the student loan industry is highly competitive and we are frequently approached by other lenders and guaranty agencies seeking our business. If we were unable to timely identify other lenders and guaranty agencies to make and guarantee FFEL program loans for our students, that could delay our students receipt of their loans, increase our receivables, cause our student population to decrease and have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Change in Control. The ED, the ACICS and most of the SEAs have laws, regulations and/or standards (collectively Regulations) pertaining to the change in ownership and/or control (collectively change in control) of institutions, but those Regulations do not uniformly define what constitutes a change in control. The ED s Regulations describe some transactions that constitute a change in control, including the transfer of a controlling interest in the voting stock of an institution or the consolidated corporation of which the institution is a part. Under the ED s Regulations, a change in control of a publicly traded corporation, such as us, occurs when: (a) there is an event that obligates the corporation to file a Current Report on Form 8-K with the SEC disclosing a change in control; or (b) the corporation has a shareholder that owns or controls at least 25% of the total outstanding voting stock of the corporation and is the largest shareholder of the corporation, and that shareholder ceases to own or control at least 25% of such stock or ceases to be the largest shareholder, but this measure does not include a shareholder whose sole ownership of the corporation s voting stock is held as a U.S. institutional investor, in mutual funds, through a profit-sharing plan or in an Employee Stock Ownership Plan. Most of the SEAs include the sale of a controlling interest of common stock in the definition of a change in control. The ACICS defines a change in control of a publicly traded corporation to include, among other things:

a change in 50% or more of the voting members of the corporation s board of directors in any rolling, 12-month period; a change in the number of voting members of the corporation s board of directors in any rolling, 12-month period that allows a group of directors to exercise control who could not exercise control before the change; the acquisition of 50% or more of the total outstanding voting shares of the corporation by any entity; or any transaction that is deemed by an appropriate governmental agency to constitute a change in control.

The change in control Regulations adopted by the ED, the ACICS and the SEAs are subject to varying interpretations as to whether a particular transaction constitutes a change in control.

When a change in control occurs under the ED s Regulations, an institution s eligibility to continue to participate in Title IV Programs is subject to review and the institution could lose its eligibility, with the result that the institution would no longer be able to authorize or, with limited exceptions, disburse Title IV Program funds to its students. If an institution timely submits a materially complete application following a change in control, the ED may provisionally

- 17 -

certify the institution for a temporary period following a change in control, pending a review by the ED to determine whether to reinstate the institution s participation in Title IV Programs. The ED s determination depends on whether the institution, under its new ownership and control, complies with specified ED requirements for institutional eligibility. Following a change in control of an institution, the ED may also impose restrictions on the growth of that institution, including expansion through new additional locations or new programs of study.

The ACICS will not reaccredit an institution following a change in control until the institution submits an application for reaccreditation, which requires documentation that the institution has been reauthorized or continues to be authorized by the appropriate SEA or SEAs. The ACICS standards provide that, generally within five business days after an institution documents that it has been reauthorized or continues to be authorized by the appropriate SEA or SEAs following a change in control, the ACICS will determine whether to temporarily reinstate the institution s accreditation for an undefined period to allow for the completion and review of the application.

Many of the SEAs require that a change in control of an institution be approved before it occurs in order for the institution to maintain its SEA authorization. Other SEAs will only review a change in control of an institution after it occurs.

A change in control could occur as a result of future transactions in which we or our institutes are involved, such as some corporate reorganizations and some changes in our board of directors. If a future transaction results in a change in control of us or our institutes, we believe that we would be able to obtain all necessary approvals from the ED, the SEAs and the ACICS. We cannot assure you, however, that all such approvals could be obtained, or could be obtained in a timely manner that would not delay the availability of Title IV Program funds, prevent some students from receiving Title IV Program funds or include limitations that could restrict our growth and expansion plans. A material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows would result if we had a change in control and a material number of our institutes:

failed to timely obtain the approvals of the SEAs required prior to or following a change in control;

failed to timely regain accreditation by the ACICS or have their accreditation temporarily continued or reinstated by the ACICS; failed to timely regain eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs from the ED or receive temporary certification to continue to participate in Title IV Programs pending further review by the ED; or were subjected by the ED to restrictions that severely limited for a substantial period of time the number of new additional locations and/or new programs of study that are eligible to participate in Title IV Programs.

Shareholder Information

We make the following materials available free of charge through our website at www.ittesi.com as soon as reasonably practicable after such materials are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act):

our annual reports on Form 10-K and all amendments thereto; our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and all amendments thereto; our current reports on Form 8-K and all amendments thereto; and various other filings that we make with the SEC.

We also make the following materials available free of charge through our website at www.ittesi.com:

our Corporate Governance Guidelines;

the charter for each of the Audit, Compensation, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees of our Board of Directors; and

our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics ($\ \, \mbox{Code} \ \, \mbox{)}.$

We will provide a copy of the following materials without charge to anyone who makes a written request to our Investor Relations Department at ITT Educational Services, Inc., 13000 North Meridian Street, Carmel, Indiana 46032-1404 or by e-mail through our website at www.ittesi.com:

our annual report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2004, excluding certain of its exhibits; our Corporate Governance Guidelines;

- 18 -

the charter for each of the Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees of our Board of Directors; and the Code.

We also intend to promptly disclose on our website any amendments that we make to, or waivers for our Directors or executive officers that we grant from, the Code.

Risk Factors

In addition to the other information contained in this report, you should consider carefully the following risk factors in evaluating us and our business before making an investment decision with respect to any shares of our common stock. This report contains certain statements that constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act (and Section 21E of the Exchange Act). Those forward-looking statements are based on the beliefs of, as well as assumptions made by and information currently available to, our management. All statements which are not statements of historical fact are intended to be forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements contained in this report reflect our or our management s current views and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including, but not limited to, those set forth in the following Risk Factors. Should one or more of those risks or uncertainties materialize or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, our actual results, performance or achievements in 2005 and beyond could differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, those forward-looking statements.

Risks Related to Our Highly-Regulated Industry.

Failure of our institutes to comply with the extensive regulatory requirements for school operations could result in financial penalties, restrictions on our operations, loss of federal and state financial aid funding for our students or loss of our authorization to operate our institutes. In 2004, we indirectly derived approximately 69% of our revenue determined on an accrual accounting basis (or 66% determined on a cash accounting basis as defined by the ED regulations) from Title IV Programs. To participate in Title IV Programs, an institution must receive and maintain authorization by the appropriate SEAs, be accredited by an accrediting commission recognized by the ED and be certified as an eligible institution by the ED. As a result, our institutes are subject to extensive regulation by the ED, the SEAs and the ACICS, which is an accrediting commission recognized by the ED. These regulatory requirements cover the vast majority of our operations, including our educational programs, facilities, instructional and administrative staff, financial operations and financial condition, student recruitment, opening of new institutes and learning sites, changes in corporate structure and ownership, and many administrative procedures. Most ED requirements are applied on an institutional basis, with an institution defined by the ED as a main campus and its additional locations. Under the ED s definition, we have 29 such institutions. We currently operate one or more institutes in 30 states and our institutes recruit students in the remaining 20 states. The ED, the SEAs and the ACICS periodically revise their requirements and modify their interpretations of existing requirements. We cannot predict with certainty how all of the requirements applied by these agencies will be interpreted or whether all of our institutes will be able to comply with all of the requirements in the future.

If our institutes were to fail to comply with any of these regulatory requirements, these agencies could:

impose monetary fines or penalties; require the repayment of funds received under Title IV Programs or state financial aid programs; place restrictions on our institutes receipt of Title IV Program funds; limit or terminate our institutes operations or ability to grant degrees and diplomas; restrict or revoke our institutes accreditation; limit or terminate our institutes eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs or state financial aid programs; or

subject us or our institutes to other civil or criminal penalties.

Each of these sanctions could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows and impose significant operating restrictions on us. If any of our institutes lost its state authorization, the institute would be unable to offer postsecondary education and we would be forced to close the institute. If any of our institutes lost its accreditation, it would lose its eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs. If any of our institutes lost its eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs, and we could not arrange for alternative financing sources for the students

- 19 -

attending that institute, we could be forced to close the institute. Closing any of our institutes could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. See Highly-Regulated Industry.

The following are some of the specific risk factors related to our highly-regulated industry:

Action by the U.S. Congress to revise the laws governing the federal student financial aid programs or reduce funding for those programs could reduce our student population and increase our costs of operation. The U.S. Congress must periodically reauthorize the HEA and other laws governing Title IV Programs, and annually determine the funding level for each Title IV Program. Congress began the process of reviewing and reauthorizing the HEA in 2003 and may conclude the process in 2005, which will likely result in numerous changes to the law. Any action by the U.S. Congress that significantly reduces Title IV Program funding or the ability of our institutes or students to participate in Title IV Programs could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. Congressional action may also require us to modify our practices in ways that could result in our administrative costs increasing and our profit margin decreasing, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

If one of our institutes lost its eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs, or if Congress significantly reduced the amount of available Title IV Program funding, we would try to arrange for alternative sources of financial aid for that institute s students. We cannot assure you that one or more private organizations would be willing to provide loans to students attending one of our institutes, or that the interest rate and other terms of such loans would be as favorable as for Title IV Program loans. In addition, the private organizations could require us to guarantee all or part of this assistance and we might incur other additional costs. If we provided more direct financial assistance to our students, we would incur additional costs and assume increased credit risks. See Highly-Regulated Industry Legislative Action.

One or more of our institutes may lose their eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs, if their student loan default rates are too high. An institution may lose its eligibility to participate in some or all Title IV Programs, if the rates at which its students default on their federal student loans exceed specified percentages. An institution whose FFEL/FDL cohort default rate is: (a) 25% or greater for three consecutive federal fiscal years loses eligibility to participate in the FFEL, FDL and Pell programs for the remainder of the federal fiscal year in which the ED determines that the institution has lost its eligibility and for the two subsequent federal fiscal years; or (b) greater than 40% for one federal fiscal year loses eligibility to participate in the FFEL and FDL programs for the remainder of the federal fiscal year in which the ED determines that the institution has lost its eligibility and for the two subsequent federal fiscal years. If any of our campus groups lost its eligibility to participate in FFEL, FDL and Pell programs for alternative financing sources for the students attending the institutes in that campus group, we would probably have to close those institutes, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. See Highly-Regulated Industry Student Loan Defaults.

We may be required to post a letter of credit or accept other limitations in order to continue our institutes participation in Title IV Programs, if we or our institutes do not meet the ED s financial responsibility standards. To participate in Title IV Programs, an institution must satisfy specific measures of financial responsibility prescribed by the ED. The most significant measurement is the institution s composite score that can range from a negative 1.0 reflecting financial weakness to a positive 3.0 reflecting financial strength. The composite score must be at least 1.5 for the institution to be deemed financially responsible by the ED without the need for further oversight. Historically, the ED has evaluated the financial condition of our institutions on a consolidated basis based on our financial statements at the parent company level. If the ED determines that an institution does not satisfy the ED s financial responsibility standards, the institution may establish its financial responsibility on one of several alternative bases, including posting a letter of credit in an amount equal to a specified percentage of the total Title IV Program funds received by the institution during the institution s most recently completed fiscal year and, in some cases, agreeing to receive Title IV Program funds under an arrangement other than the ED s standard advance funding arrangement while being provisionally certified. See Highly-Regulated Industry Financial Responsibility Standards.

One or more of our institutes may have to post a letter of credit or be subject to other sanctions, if they do not correctly calculate and timely return Title IV Program funds for students who withdraw before completing their program of study. A school participating in Title IV Programs must correctly calculate the amount of unearned Title IV Program funds that was disbursed to students who withdrew from their educational programs before completing them, and must return those unearned funds in a timely manner, generally within 30 days of the date the school determines that the student has withdrawn. If the unearned funds are not properly calculated and timely returned, we may have to

- 20 -

post a letter of credit in favor of the ED or be otherwise sanctioned by the ED. An institution is required to post a letter of credit with the ED in an amount equal to 25% of the total dollar amount of unearned Title IV Program funds that the institution was required to return with respect to withdrawn students during its most recently completed fiscal year, if the institution was found in an audit or program review to have untimely returned unearned Title IV Program funds with respect to 5% or more of the students in the audit or program review sample of withdrawn students, in either of its two most recently completed fiscal years. The requirement to post a letter of credit or other sanctions by the ED could increase our cost of regulatory compliance and adversely affect our results of operations. See Highly-Regulated Industry Return of Funds for Withdrawn Students.

One or more of our institutes may lose their eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs, if the percentage of their revenue derived from those programs is too high. A for-profit institution loses its eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs if, on a cash accounting basis, it derives more than 90% of its applicable revenue for a fiscal year from Title IV Programs. If one of our campus groups exceeded this threshold but continued to disburse Title IV Program funds, the ED would require the institution to repay, with limited exceptions, all Title IV Program funds disbursed by the institution after the effective date of the loss of eligibility. If any of our campus groups lost its eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs and we could not arrange for alternative financing sources for the students attending the institutes in that campus group, we would probably have to close those institutes, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. See Highly-Regulated Industry The 90/10 Rule.

One or more of our institutes may lose their eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs, if the institute teaches too many of its courses through distance education. An institution loses its eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs if more than 50% of its courses are offered through correspondence, which is defined to include courses taught through telecommunications, such as distance education courses offered online over the Internet. If any of our campus groups lost its eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs and we could not arrange for alternative financing sources for the students attending the institutes in that campus group, we would probably have to close those institutes, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. See Highly-Regulated Industry Restrictions on Distance Education Programs.

We are subject to sanctions if we pay impermissible commissions, bonuses or other incentive payments to individuals involved in certain recruiting, admissions or financial aid activities. An institution participating in Title IV Programs may not provide any commission, bonus or other incentive payment based directly or indirectly on success in securing enrollments or financial aid to any person or entity engaged in any student recruitment or admission activity or in making decisions regarding the awarding of Title IV Program funds. The law and regulations governing this requirement do not establish clear criteria for compliance in all circumstances. If the ED determined that an institution s compensation practices violated these standards, the ED could subject the institution to monetary fines or penalties or other sanctions. Any substantial fine or penalty or other sanction could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. See Highly-Regulated Industry Compensation of Recruitment, Admission and Financial Aid Employees.

We cannot open new institutes or learning sites or offer new programs if they are not timely approved by our regulators, and we may have to repay Title IV Program funds disbursed to students enrolled at any of those locations or in any of those programs if we do not obtain prior approval. An institution must obtain approvals from the ED, the ACICS and the relevant SEAs to establish new institutes and, in some cases, to add new learning sites for existing institutes and to expand program offerings. The requirements of the ACICS and some SEAs limit our ability to establish new institutes, add learning sites and offer new programs. If we established a new institute, added a new learning site or expanded program offerings at any institute without obtaining the required approvals, we could be required to repay any Title IV Program funds received by students at that institute or site or in that program, and could be subject to other sanctions. Our expansion plans assume that we will be able to continue to obtain the necessary approvals in a timely manner. If we are unable to obtain the approvals from the ED, the ACICS or the relevant SEAs for any new institutes, learning sites or program offerings where such approvals are required, or to obtain such approvals in a timely manner, our ability to open the new institutes, add the new learning sites or offer the new programs as planned would be impaired, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. See Highly-Regulated Industry Additional Locations and Programs.

A high percentage of the Title IV Program loans that our students receive are made by one lender and guaranteed by one guaranty agency. In our 2004 fiscal year, one lender provided approximately 97% of the FFEL program loans that our students received and one student loan guaranty agency guaranteed almost 100% of the FFEL

program loans made to our students. If FFEL program loans by our primary lender or guarantees of those loans by our primary guaranty agency were significantly reduced or no longer available and we were unable to timely identify other lenders and guaranty agencies to make and guarantee FFEL program loans for our students, that could delay our students receipt of their loans, increase our receivables, cause our student population to decrease and have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. See Highly-Regulated Industry Predominant Use of One Lender and One Guaranty Agency.

The ability of the affected institutes to participate in Title IV Programs or operate may be impaired, if regulators do not timely approve a change in control of us or any of our institutes. The ED, the ACICS and most of the SEAs have requirements pertaining to the change in control of institutions, but those requirements do not uniformly define what constitutes a change in control and are subject to varying interpretations as to whether a particular transaction constitutes a change in control. If we or any of our institutes experience a change in control under the standards of the ED, the ACICS or any of the SEAs, we or the affected institutes must seek the approval of the relevant regulatory agencies. Transactions or events that constitute a change in control for one or more of our regulatory agencies include the acquisition of a school from another entity, significant acquisitions or dispositions of our common stock and significant changes to the composition of our Board of Directors. Some of these transactions or events may be beyond our control. Our failure to obtain, or a delay in obtaining, a required approval of any change in control from the ED, the ACICS or any of the SEAs in states in which our institutes are located could impair our ability or the ability of the affected institutes to participate in Title IV Programs. Our failure to obtain, or a delay in obtaining, a required approval of any change in control from the SEA in any state in which we do not have an institute but in which we recruit students could require us to suspend our recruitment of students in that state until we receive the required approval. If we had a change in control and a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. See Highly-Regulated Industry Change in Control.

Government and regulatory agencies and third parties may bring claims or actions against us based on alleged violations of the extensive regulatory requirements, which could require us to pay monetary damages, receive other sanctions and expend significant resources to defend those claims or actions. Due to the highly regulated nature of the postsecondary education industry, we are subject to audits, compliance reviews, inquiries, complaints, investigations, claims of non-compliance and lawsuits by federal and state governmental agencies, regulatory agencies, present and former students and employees, shareholders and other third parties, which may allege violations of any of the regulatory requirements applicable to us and our institutes. If the results of any such claims or actions are unfavorable to us, we may be required to pay money damages or be subject to fines, operational limitations, loss of federal or state funding, injunctions, additional oversight and reporting or other civil and criminal penalties. Those penalties could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Even if we satisfactorily resolve the issues raised by any such claims or actions, we may have to expend significant financial and management resources from our ongoing business operations to address and defend those claims or actions, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. In particular, the DOJ investigation and the securities class action, shareholder derivative and books and records inspection lawsuits currently pending against us and certain of our current and former officers and directors have demanded significant management time and financial resources to defend and resolve, and have had a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Adverse publicity regarding such claims and actions could also negatively affect our business. See Highly-Regulated Industry.

Investigations, claims and actions against companies in our industry could adversely affect our business and stock price. Our operations and the operations of a number of other companies in the postsecondary education industry have been subject to intense regulatory scrutiny, especially over the last year. In some cases, allegations of wrongdoing have resulted in reviews or investigations by the DOJ, the SEC, the ED, the SEAs or other state agencies. These allegations, reviews and investigations of us and other companies and the accompanying adverse publicity could have a negative impact on our industry as a whole and on our stock price.

Budget constraints in states that provide state financial aid to our students could reduce the amount of such financial aid that is available to our students, which could reduce our student population. A significant number of states are facing budget constraints that are causing them to reduce state appropriations in a number of areas. Some of those states provide financial aid to our students, such as California, Florida, Ohio, Pennsylvania and New York. Some of those states may decide to reduce the amount of state financial aid that they provide to students, but we cannot predict how significant any of those reductions may be or how long they could last. If the level of state funding for our

- 22 -

students decreased and our students were not able to secure alternative sources of funding, our student population could be reduced, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Business.

If we fail to effectively identify, establish and operate new institutes and learning sites, our growth may be slowed and our profitability may be impaired. As part of our business strategy, we anticipate opening and operating new institutes and new learning sites to existing institutes at locations throughout the United States. Establishing new institutes and learning sites poses challenges and requires us to make investments in management and capital expenditures, incur marketing and advertising expenses and devote other resources that are different, and in some cases greater, than those required with respect to the operation of existing institutes. To open a new institute or learning site, we would be required to obtain the appropriate approvals from the SEAs and ACICS, which may be conditioned or delayed in a manner that could significantly affect our growth plans. In addition, to be eligible to participate in Title IV Programs, a new institute or learning site may have to be certified by the ED. We cannot be sure that we will be able to identify suitable expansion opportunities to help maintain or accelerate our current growth rate or that we will be able to successfully integrate or profitably operate any new institutes or learning sites. Any failure by us to effectively identify, establish and manage the operations of newly established institutes or learning sites could slow our growth and make any newly established institutes or learning sites more costly to operate than we had planned and have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. See Business Strategy Geographically Expand Our Institutes and Program Offerings.

Our success depends, in part, on our ability to effectively identify, develop, obtain approval to offer and teach new and/or higher-level degree programs in a cost-effective and timely manner. Part of our business strategy also includes increasing the number and level of degree programs offered at our institutes. Developing and offering new degree programs pose challenges and require us to make investments in research and development, management and capital expenditures, to incur marketing and advertising expenses and to devote other resources that are in addition to, and in some cases greater than, those associated with our current program offerings. In order to offer new and higher-level degree programs at our institutes, we would be required to obtain the appropriate approvals from the ED, the SEAs, the ACICS and, in certain circumstances, specialized programmatic accrediting agencies, which may be conditioned or delayed in a manner that could significantly affect our growth plans. We cannot be sure that we will be able to identify new programs to help maintain or accelerate our current growth rate, that we will be able to obtain the requisite approvals to offer new and/or higher-level degree programs at our institutes or that students will enroll in any new and/or higher-level degree programs that we develop, obtain approval for and offer at our institutes. Any failure by us to effectively identify, develop, obtain approval to offer and teach new and/or higher-level degree programs at our institutes could slow our growth and have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. See Business Strategy Enhance Results at the Institute Level.

Our success depends, in part, on our ability to keep pace with changing market needs and technology. Increasingly, prospective employers of our graduates demand that their entry-level employees possess appropriate technical skills and also appropriate soft skills, such as communication, critical thinking and teamwork skills. These skills can evolve rapidly in a changing economic and technological environment. Accordingly, it is important for our programs to evolve in response to those economic and technological changes. The expansion of our existing programs and the development of new programs may not be accepted by prospective students or the employers of our graduates. Even if we are able to develop acceptable new programs, we may not be able to begin offering these new programs as quickly as required by the employers we serve or as quickly as our competitors offer similar programs. If we are unable to adequately respond to changes in market requirements due to regulatory or financial constraints, unusually rapid technological changes or other factors, our ability to attract and retain students could be impaired and the rates at which our graduates obtain jobs involving their fields of study could suffer.

Our financial performance depends, in part, on our ability to continue to develop awareness and acceptance of our programs among high school graduates and working adults. The awareness of our programs among high school graduates and working adults. The awareness of our programs among high school graduates and working adults is important to the success of our institutes. If we were unable to successfully market or advertise our programs, our ability to attract and enroll prospective students in our programs would be adversely affected and, consequently, our ability to increase revenue or maintain profitability would be impaired. The following are some of the factors that could prevent us from successfully marketing or advertising our programs:

student dissatisfaction with our programs and services; employer dissatisfaction with our programs and services;

- 23 -

diminished access to high school students; and

our failure to maintain or expand our brand or other factors related to our marketing or advertising practices.

A significant percentage of private student loans received by our students are made by one lender and serviced by one loan servicer. In 2004, we indirectly derived approximately 25% of our revenue from unaffiliated, private loan programs that were made available to eligible students at our institutes to help fund a portion of the students cost of education. These private loan programs are offered by one lender and serviced by one loan servicer. If the lender or loan servicer ended the programs or reduced the volume of loans made or serviced under the programs in the near future and we were unable to timely identify other lenders and loan servicers to make and service private loans for our students and their parents on similar terms, our students ability to finance their education could be adversely affected, our receivables could increase and our student population could decrease, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Our loss of key personnel could harm our business. Our success to date has depended, and will continue to depend, largely on the skills, efforts and motivation of our executive officers. Our success also depends in large part upon our ability to attract and retain highly qualified faculty, school administrators and corporate management. We face competition in the attraction and retention of personnel who possess the skill sets that we seek. In addition, key personnel may leave us and subsequently compete against us. Furthermore, we do not currently carry key man life insurance. The loss of the services of any of our key personnel, or our failure to attract and retain other qualified and experienced personnel on acceptable terms, could impair our ability to successfully manage our business.

In order to support revenue growth, we need to hire, retain, develop and train our sales representatives, who are our employees dedicated to student recruitment. Our ability to develop a strong team of sales representatives may be affected by a number of factors, including:

our ability to timely and effectively train and motivate our sales representatives in order for them to become productive; restrictions on the method of compensating sales representatives imposed by regulatory bodies; the competition we face from other companies in hiring and retaining sales representatives; our ability to attract enough prospective students to our program offerings; and our ability to effectively manage a multi-location educational organization.

If we are unable to hire, retain, develop and train our sales representatives, the effectiveness of our student recruiting efforts would be adversely affected.

Competition could decrease our market share, cause us to reduce tuition or force us to increase spending. The postsecondary education market in the United States is highly fragmented and competitive with no private or public institution enjoying a significant market share. Our institutes compete for students with graduate, bachelor and associate degree-granting institutions, which include nonprofit public and private colleges and for-profit institutions, as well as with alternatives to higher education such as military service or immediate employment. We believe competition among educational institutions is based on:

- the quality and reliability of the institution s programs and student services;
- the perceived reputation of the institution and its programs and student services;
- the cost of the institution s programs;
- the employability of the institution s graduates;
- the ability to provide easy and convenient access to the institution s programs and courses;
- the quality and experience of the institution s faculty; and

the time required to complete the institution s programs.

Certain public and private colleges offer programs similar to those offered by our institutes at a lower tuition cost due in part to government subsidies, foundation grants, tax deductible contributions or other financial resources not available to for-profit institutions. Other for-profit institutions offer programs that compete with those of our institutes. Certain of our competitors in both the public and private sectors have greater financial and other resources than we do. All of these factors could affect the success of our marketing efforts and enable our competitors to recruit prospective students more effectively.

- 24 -

We may be required to reduce tuition or increase spending in response to competition in order to retain or attract students or pursue new market opportunities. As a result, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows may be negatively affected. We cannot be sure that we will be able to compete successfully against current or future competitors or that competitive pressures faced by us will not adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

High interest rates could adversely affect our ability to attract and retain students. Interest rates have reached historical lows in recent years, creating a favorable borrowing environment for our students. Much of the financing our students receive is tied to floating interest rates. Therefore, any future increase in interest rates will result in a corresponding increase in the cost to our existing and prospective students of financing their education, which could result in a reduction in the number of students attending our institutes and in our revenue. Higher interest rates could also contribute to higher default rates with respect to our students repayment of Title IV Program and private loans. High default rates may, in turn, adversely impact our eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs and/or the willingness of private lenders to make private loan programs available to our students, which could result in a reduction in the number of students attending our institutes.

Our quarterly results of operations are likely to fluctuate based on our seasonal student enrollment patterns. In reviewing our results of operations, you should not focus on quarter-to-quarter comparisons. Our results in any quarter may not indicate the results we may achieve in any subsequent quarter or for the full year. Our quarterly results of operations have tended to fluctuate as a result of seasonal variations in our business, principally due to changes in our total student population. Our student population varies as a result of new student enrollments, graduations and student attrition. Historically, our revenue in our third and fourth fiscal quarters has generally benefited from increased student matriculations. The number of new students entering our institutes tends to be substantially higher in June and September, because of the significant number of recent high school graduates entering our institutes for the academic quarters beginning in those two months. Our institutes academic schedule generally does not affect our incurrence of most of our costs, however, and our costs do not fluctuate significantly on a quarterly basis. We expect quarterly fluctuations in results of operations to continue as a result of seasonal enrollment patterns. These patterns may change, however, as a result of new institute openings, new program offerings and increased enrollment of adult students. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Variations in Quarterly Results of Operations.

Terrorist attacks and other acts of violence or war could have an adverse effect on our operations. Terrorist attacks and other acts of violence or war could have an adverse effect on our operations. Terrorist attacks and other acts of violence or war could disrupt our operations. Attacks or armed conflicts that directly impact our physical facilities or ability to recruit and retain students could adversely affect our ability to deliver our programs of study to our students and, thereby, impair our ability to achieve our financial and operational goals. Furthermore, violent acts and threats of future attacks could adversely affect the U.S. and world economies. Finally, future terrorist acts could cause the United States to enter into a wider armed conflict that could further impact our operations and result in prospective students, as well as our current students and employees, entering military service. These factors could cause significant declines in the number of students who attend our institutes and have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Anti-takeover provisions in our charter documents and under Delaware law could make an acquisition of us more difficult. Certain provisions of Delaware law, our Restated Certificate of Incorporation and our By-Laws could have the effect of making it more difficult for a third party to acquire, or discouraging a third party from attempting to acquire, control of us. These provisions could limit the price that certain investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock. These provisions of Delaware law, our Restated Certificate of Incorporation and our By-Laws may also have the effect of discouraging or preventing certain types of transactions involving an actual or threatened change in control of us (including unsolicited takeover attempts), even though such a transaction may offer our stockholders the opportunity to sell their stock at a price above the prevailing market price. Certain of these provisions authorize us to issue blank check preferred stock, divide our Board of Directors into three classes expiring in rotation, require advance notice for stockholder proposals and nominations, prohibit stockholders from calling a special meeting and prohibit stockholder action by written consent. These provisions may make it more difficult for stockholders to take certain corporate actions and could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control of us.

If we are unable to conclude successfully the litigation, governmental investigations and inquiries pending against us, our business, financial condition and results of operations could continue to be adversely affected. We are

- 25 -

subject to an investigation by the DOJ. Although no formal charges have been filed, we believe that the DOJ is investigating claims alleging, among other matters, falsification of records relating to student attendance, grades and academic progress and graduate job placement statistics, and fraudulent misrepresentations regarding the transferability of credits, graduation rates and graduates salaries. The costs that we have incurred in connection with the DOJ investigation have had a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations, and we cannot assure you that the ultimate outcome of the DOJ investigation will not have a further material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

The SEC has also initiated an inquiry into the allegations being investigated by the DOJ as described in the preceding paragraph. We cannot assure you that the ultimate outcome of the SEC inquiry will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

In addition, the Office of the Attorney General for the State of California (CAG) is investigating our ITT Technical Institutes in California. We believe that the CAG is investigation is in response to one or more qui tam actions filed against us under the state and/or federal False Claims Acts. The CAG has not asserted any claims against us, and we have not been informed of the specific matters that the CAG is investigating. Based on the information that the CAG has requested, however, we believe that the CAG is investigating, among other matters, whether one or more of our California ITT Technical Institutes:

falsified records relating to student attendance, grades and academic progress;

falsified student grade point average calculations used to qualify students for financial aid under the State s Cal Grant Program; and retaliated against employees who may have complained about those alleged acts.

While we cannot assure you of the ultimate outcome of the CAG investigation, based on the results of our investigation to date, we do not believe that the CAG investigation and any qui tam actions that may be associated with the investigation will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We and some of our current and former officers and directors have also been named as defendants in numerous private securities class action, shareholder derivative, books and records inspection and retirement savings plan class action lawsuits alleging that we and some of our current and former officers and directors, among other things, made certain material misrepresentations, failed to disclose certain material facts about our condition and prospects, breached fiduciary duties, violated laws and falsified our records. We cannot predict what the outcome of these lawsuits will be. Although the derivative actions are brought nominally on behalf of us, we expect to incur defense costs and other expenses in connection with the derivative lawsuits, and we cannot assure you that the ultimate outcome of these or other actions will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. The current and former executive officers named in one or more of the securities class action, shareholder derivative and retirement savings plan class action lawsuits described above include: Gene A. Baugh, Rene R. Champagne, Clark D. Elwood, Nina F. Esbin, Eugene W. Feichtner, Martin A. Grossman, Thomas W. Lauer, Kevin M. Modany and Omer E. Waddles.

Additionally, in the ordinary conduct of our business, we and our institutes are subject to various other lawsuits, investigations and claims, covering a wide range of matters, including, but not limited to, claims involving students or graduates and routine employment matters. It is possible that we may be required to pay substantial damages or settlement costs in excess of our insurance coverage, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operation. We have incurred substantial legal costs, and management s attention and resources have been diverted from our business. See Legal Proceedings.

Item 2. PROPERTIES.

As of December 31, 2004, we leased some or all of the facilities of 64 of our institutes and their learning sites, and we owned some or all of the facilities of 17 of our institutes. The average remaining lease term of our leased facilities is approximately five years. The leased facilities for our institutes involve 72 buildings:

two of these leases are for institutes in their first year of operation as of December 31, 2004; three of these leases are for facilities where we plan to open three new institutes in 2005;

- 26 -

- one of these leases is for a facility of an institute that we closed at the end of 2004; one of these leases is for a learning site in operation;
- one of these leases is for a facility where we plan to open a learning site in 2005; and
- eight of these leases are for four institutes that each utilize two separate facilities.

None of the facilities owned by us is subject to a mortgage or other indebtedness.

We generally locate our institutes in suburban areas near major population centers. We generally house our campus facilities in modern, air conditioned buildings, which include classrooms, laboratories, student break areas and administrative offices. Our institutes have accessible parking facilities and are generally near a major highway. The typical lease for our institutes facilities is for a three to 13 year term. If desirable or necessary, an institute may be relocated to a new facility reasonably near the existing facility at the end of the lease term.

We own our headquarters building in Carmel, Indiana, which represents approximately 43,000 square feet of office space. In addition, we lease approximately 17,200 square feet of office space for our headquarters personnel in two nearby buildings for a two-year lease term expiring in October 2006 at one building and a 5.2 year lease term expiring in April 2010 at the other building.

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

We are subject to litigation in the ordinary course of our business. When we are aware of a claim or potential claim, we assess the likelihood of any loss or exposure. If it is probable that a loss will result and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated, we record a liability for the loss. The liability recorded includes probable and estimable legal costs associated with the claim or potential claim. If the loss is not probable or the amount of the loss cannot be reasonably estimated, we disclose the claim if the likelihood of a potential loss is reasonably possible and the amount involved is material.

On November 5, 1999, a qui tam action was filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas by two former employees (relators) on behalf of themselves and the federal government under the following caption: *United States ex rel. Dan Graves and Susan Newman v. ITT Educational Services, Inc., et al.* (the Graves Action). The Graves Action alleges, among other things, violations of the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3730, by us, one of our employees and our registered public accounting firm in connection with how we compensated our sales representatives. The relators seek various forms of recovery on behalf of themselves and the federal government, including: (a) treble the amount of unspecified damages sustained by the federal government; (b) a civil penalty of up to \$10,000 for each violation of the False Claims Act; (c) double back pay for Susan Newman; and (d) attorney s fees, costs and interest.

A qui tam action is a civil lawsuit brought by one or more individuals (a qui tam relator) on behalf of the federal or state government for an alleged submission to the government of a false claim for payment. A qui tam action is always filed under seal and remains under seal until the government decides whether to intervene in the litigation. Whenever a relator files a qui tam action, the government typically initiates an investigation in order to determine whether to intervene in the litigation. If the government intervenes, it has primary control over the litigation. If the government decides to intervene, the relator may pursue the litigation on behalf of the federal or state government and, if successful, receives a portion of the government s recovery.

On May 25, 2001, the DOJ declined to intervene in the Graves Action. On March 31, 2002, the court dismissed all of the claims against all of the defendants for failure to allege facts sufficient to support the claims and gave the relators 20 days to file an amended complaint. The relators filed an amended complaint on April 22, 2002 against all of the defendants. On March 31, 2003, the court issued a final judgment in the Graves Action dismissing with prejudice all of the relators claims against us and all of the other defendants for failure to state a claim. On April 28, 2003, the relators filed a notice of appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Judicial Circuit (¹⁵ Circuit). On October 20, 2004, the 5th Circuit affirmed the district court s judgment that dismissed with prejudice all of the relators claims against us and the other defendants in the Graves Action. On November 3, 2004, the relators filed a petition with the 5th Circuit requesting a rehearing *en banc* of the relators appeal. On November 18, 2004, the 5th Circuit denied the relators request for a rehearing. On or about February 17, 2005, the relators filed a petition with the U.S. Supreme Court requesting that the Court hear the relators appeal of the th Circuit s affirmation of the district court s judgment dismissing the Graves Action.

- 27 -

On March 4, 2005, we were served with a qui tam action that was filed on April 8, 2004 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana by a former employee (relator) on behalf of himself and the federal government under the following caption: *United States of America ex rel. Robert Olson v. ITT Educational Services, Inc. d/b/a ITT Technical Institute* (the Olson Action). The relator alleges that we violated the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729, *et seq.*, by knowingly making and using false records and statements relating to, among other things, student recruitment, admission, enrollment, attendance and grading, graduate placement and course materials in order to fraudulently participate in the federal student financial aid programs. The complaint seeks an unspecified judgment and attorney s fees and costs. We were served with the Olson Action after the DOJ declined to intervene in the litigation. We intend to defend ourselves vigorously against the allegations made in the complaint.

On February 25, 2004, federal agents executed search warrants at our corporate headquarters and at ten of our 77 ITT Technical Institutes nationwide. On that same date, our Directors and executive officers and some of our other employees each received a federal grand jury subpoena that was issued, along with the search warrants, by the U.S. District Court, Southern District of Texas, located in Houston, Texas. The search warrants and subpoenas seek broad categories of documents, including documents containing information relating to our figures and rates for placement, retention, graduation and attendance, recruitment and admissions materials, student grades, graduate salaries, transferability of credits to other institutions, and personnel records. Although no formal charges have been filed, we believe that the DOJ is investigating claims alleging, among other matters, falsification of records relating to student attendance, grades and academic progress and graduate job placement statistics, and fraudulent misrepresentations regarding the transferability of credits, graduation rates and graduates salaries. We, our Directors and our executive officers are continuing to work with the DOJ to resolve its investigation. The costs that we have incurred in connection with the DOJ investigation have had a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations, and results of operations.

On March 4, 2004, we were notified by the Fort Worth, Texas regional office of the SEC that it had initiated an inquiry into the allegations being investigated by the DOJ as described in the preceding paragraph. We are cooperating with the SEC in its inquiry. We cannot assure you, however, that the ultimate outcome of the SEC inquiry will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

In October 2002, the CAG informed us that it had initiated an investigation of our ITT Technical Institutes in California. We believe that the CAG s investigation is in response to one or more qui tam actions filed against us under the state and/or federal False Claims Acts. The CAG has not asserted any claims against us. Based on the information that the CAG has requested, however, we believe that the CAG is investigating, among other matters, whether one or more of our California ITT Technical Institutes:

falsified records relating to student attendance, grades and academic progress;

falsified student grade point average calculations used to qualify students for financial aid under the State s Cal Grant Program; and retaliated against employees who may have complained about those alleged acts.

We are cooperating with the CAG in its investigation, and we have been conducting our own investigation of the same matters. While we cannot assure you of the ultimate outcome of the CAG investigation, based on the results of our investigation to date, we do not believe that the CAG investigation and any qui tam actions that may be associated with the investigation will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

On August 19, 2004, a consolidated complaint in a securities class action lawsuit was filed against us and ten of our current and former Directors and executive officers in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Indiana under the following caption: *City of Austin Police Retirement System, Individually And On Behalf Of All Others Similarly Situated v. ITT Educational Services, Inc., et al.* This action is a result of the court s June 18, 2004 order to consolidate 13 separate securities class action lawsuits filed from February 26, 2004 through April 23, 2004. The consolidated complaint alleges, among other things, that the defendants violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act, and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, by engaging in an unlawful course of conduct, pursuant to which the defendants knowingly or recklessly

engaged in acts, transactions, practices and courses of business to conceal adverse material information about our financial condition, and that this conduct operated as a fraud and deceit upon the plaintiffs. The complaint also alleges that the defendants made various deceptive and untrue statements of material facts and omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the

circumstances under which they were made, not misleading to the plaintiffs, causing the plaintiffs to purchase our securities at artificially inflated prices. The putative class period in this action is from October 17, 2002 through March 8, 2004. The plaintiffs seek, among other things, an award of unspecified compensatory damages, interest, costs, expenses and attorney s fees. All of the defendants intend to defend themselves vigorously against the allegations made in the complaint. We cannot assure you, however, that the ultimate outcome of this or other actions (including other actions under federal or state securities laws) will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

On or about April 29, 2004, a consolidated complaint in a shareholder derivative lawsuit was filed against five of our current and former executive officers, ten of our current and former Directors and PWC, our independent registered public accounting firm, in the Superior Court of Hamilton County, Indiana under the following caption: *In Re ITT Educational Services, Inc. Derivative Litigation.* This action is a result of the court s March 30, 2004 order to consolidate two separate shareholder derivative lawsuits filed on or about February 27, 2004. On December 1, 2004, the court dismissed the consolidated complaint without prejudice and gave the plaintiffs 30 days to replead their complaint. On January 3, 2005, the plaintiffs filed an amended consolidated complaint. The amended consolidated complaint alleges, among other things, that:

certain individual defendants breached a fiduciary duty by selling our common stock and misappropriating our information; all defendants breached their fiduciary duties to us, abused their ability to control and influence us, grossly mismanaged us, caused us to waste corporate assets and were unjustly enriched; and

PWC breached a duty of care and professional competence to us and breached its contracts with us.

The amended consolidated complaint seeks unspecified damages, extraordinary equitable and/or injunctive relief, disgorgement of profits, benefits and other compensation, costs and attorneys fees. All of the individual defendants intend to defend themselves vigorously against the allegations in the complaint.

On September 7, 2004, a shareholder derivative lawsuit was filed against five of our current and former executive officers, ten of our current and former Directors and PWC, in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Indiana under the following caption: *Alaska Electrical Pension Fund Derivatively on Behalf of ITT Educational Services, Inc. v. Rene R. Champagne, et al.* The complaint alleges, among other things, that the defendants caused us to violate state and federal education finance laws and regulations by falsifying our student records and federal securities laws by falsifying our accounting, auditing and financial reporting between October 2002 and April 2004. As a result, the complaint alleges, among other things, that the individual defendants:

breached and/or aided and abetted in the breach of:

a duty to disseminate accurate information about us;

fiduciary duties of care, candor and loyalty to us and disclosure to our shareholders;

a duty to test, oversee and monitor our system of internal controls, governance procedures and disclosure procedures; and

a duty to ensure that our internal controls, governance procedures and disclosure procedures were functioning in an effective manner and in compliance with Pub. L. 107-204, 116 Stat. 745 (2002);

abused their ability to control and influence us;

grossly mismanaged us;

committed constructive fraud;

will be and have been unjustly enriched at our expense; and

violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10-5 promulgated thereunder by:

disseminating or approving false statements that they knew or recklessly disregarded were misleading;

failing to disclose material facts necessary in order to make those statements not misleading; and

misappropriating our proprietary information.

In addition, the complaint alleges, among other things, that PWC:

violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by:

disseminating or approving false statements that it knew or recklessly disregarded were misleading; and failing to disclose material facts necessary in order to make those statements not misleading;

- 29 -

was negligent and committed accounting malpractice by failing to conduct its audits of our 2002 and 2003 fiscal year financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, generally accepted auditing standards and SEC rules; aided and abetted the individual defendants :

breach of fiduciary duties to us;

abuse of their control of us; and

gross mismanagement of us; and

violated their duty of candor to our shareholders.

The complaint seeks unspecified damages, extraordinary equitable and/or injunctive relief, punitive damages, costs and expenses, attorneys fees, pre-judgment interest, an order directing the defendants to account for all damages caused by them and all profits, special benefits and unjust enrichment they obtained, and an order directing us to reform and improve our corporate governance and internal control procedures. On December 8, 2004, the parties agreed to stay this action pending the entry of a final judgment in the *In Re ITT Educational Services, Inc. Derivative Litigation* action, except that the stay will be lifted if a subsequently filed shareholder derivative lawsuit is filed in the Southern District of Indiana and the defendants are unable to enter into a similar stay of that action. All of the individual defendants intend to defend themselves vigorously against the allegations in the complaint.

On November 17, 2004, a shareholder derivative lawsuit was filed against ten of our current and former Directors, in the Chancery Court of New Castle County, Delaware under the following caption: *Albert Reitan, derivatively on behalf of nominal defendant ITT Educational Services, Inc. v. Rand V. Araskog, et al.* The complaint alleges, among other things, that the defendants abdicated their fiduciary duty of good faith to us by making no effort to oversee our operations and business practices to ensure that we comply with all applicable laws, rules and regulations. The complaint seeks unspecified damages, equitable relief, attorneys fees, accountants fees, experts fees, costs and expenses. All of the defendants intend to defend themselves vigorously against the allegations in the complaint.

Although the derivative actions are brought nominally on behalf of us, we expect to incur defense costs and other expenses in connection with the derivative lawsuits, and we cannot assure you that the ultimate outcome of these or other actions will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

On July 7, 2004, we received a derivative demand letter pursuant to Del. Ct. Ch. R. 23.1 on behalf of Arthur Stein, a purported shareholder, demanding that our Board of Directors commence a civil action against each of our current Directors, one former Director and four of our current and former executive officers to recover for our benefit the amount of damages sustained by us as a result of the misconduct alleged in the letter. The misconduct alleged in the letter is similar to the type of misconduct alleged against the individual defendants in the consolidated shareholder derivative lawsuit described above. The demand letter indicates that Mr. Stein will commence a shareholder s derivative action on our behalf, if our Board does not commence an action as demanded therein within a reasonable period of time. We have informed Mr. Stein that our Board has deferred its decision with respect to Mr. Stein s demand until the conclusion of the DOJ investigation of us, the inquiry initiated by the SEC into the allegations being investigated by the DOJ and the securities class action lawsuits filed against us, or until the receipt of additional information concerning the allegations made in the demand.

On October 26, 2004, a lawsuit was filed against us in the Chancery Court of New Castle County, Delaware under the following caption: *Arthur Stein v. ITT Educational Services, Inc.* The complaint alleges that we violated Section 220 of the Delaware General Corporation Law by refusing to allow Mr. Stein to inspect and make copies of our books and records relating to the misconduct alleged in his derivative demand letter described above. The complaint seeks an order compelling us to permit Mr. Stein to inspect and make copies of our books and records, and to pay his costs, expenses and attorney s fees to prosecute this action. We intend to defend the action vigorously.

On December 15, 2004, a lawsuit was filed against us, our internal committee that administers our 401(k) retirement savings plan (the Plan), the chairperson of that committee and a number of other unnamed entities and individuals, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana under the following caption: *William Curry, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. ITT Educational Services, Inc., et al.* The complaint alleged, among other things, that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties under Section 502 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) that are owed to the participants and beneficiaries of the Plan by failing to prudently manage the Plan s assets. Allegedly, the breach arose from the Plan s holding and acquisition of our common stock when the defendants knew or should have known that our common stock was not a suitable and appropriate investment for the Plan. The complaint alleged that our common stock was an inappropriate investment,

- 30 -

because we supposedly misrepresented our operational success and the subsequent disclosure of those misrepresentations caused the price of our common stock to decrease. As a result of this decrease in the price of our common stock, the complaint alleged that the value of the Plan assets suffered losses. The plaintiff sought to certify this action as a class action to include all participants in the Plan and their beneficiaries, excluding the defendants and their immediate family members, for whose accounts the Plan fiduciaries made or maintained investments in our common stock from October 17, 2002 through March 18, 2004. The plaintiff also sought, among other things:

- a declaration that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties under ERISA to the Plan;
- to require the defendants to restore to the Plan all losses resulting from the alleged imprudent investment of the Plan s assets;
- to require the defendants to restore to the Plan all profits that the defendants made through their use of the Plan s assets;
- to require the defendants to restore to the Plan all profits that the Plan would have realized had the defendants fulfilled their fiduciary obligations under ERISA;
- other unspecified equitable restitution and monetary relief;
- a constructive trust with respect to any unjust enrichment received by the defendants at the expense of the Plan as a result of the defendants alleged breach of their fiduciary duties under ERISA;
- to enjoin the defendants from further violating their fiduciary duties under ERISA;
- the appointment of independent fiduciaries to administer the Plan; and
- costs and attorneys fees.

The plaintiff filed a notice to voluntarily dismiss this action on January 25, 2005, and the court dismissed this action without prejudice on the same date.

The current and former executive officers named in one or more of the securities class action, shareholder derivative and Plan class action lawsuits and derivative demand letter described above include: Gene A. Baugh, Rene R. Champagne, Clark D. Elwood, Nina F. Esbin, Eugene W. Feichtner, Martin A. Grossman, Thomas W. Lauer, Kevin M. Modany and Omer E. Waddles.

Certain of our current and former officers and Directors are or may become a party in certain of the actions described above. Our By-Laws and Restated Certificate of Incorporation obligate us to indemnify our officers and Directors to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law, provided that their conduct complied with certain requirements. We are obligated to advance defense costs to our officers and Directors, subject to the individual s obligation to repay such amount if it is ultimately determined that the individual was not entitled to indemnification. In addition, our indemnity obligation can, under certain circumstances, include indemnifiable judgments, penalties, fines and amounts paid in settlement in connection with those actions.

As previously disclosed, on March 4, 2004, our Board of Directors appointed a Special Committee of independent Directors. The Special Committee has completed its investigation relating to the conduct and integrity of our senior management. In late June 2004, the Special Committee reported to our Board of Directors that it had found no evidence that our senior management had engaged in any violations of our policies and procedures or any wrongful or criminal conduct related to the matters that are the subject of the DOJ and CAG investigations and the securities class action lawsuit described above. The Special Committee also reported that when allegations of possible violations have been brought to the attention of our senior management, we have investigated those allegations and our senior management has taken appropriate action when responding to those allegations and any violations found.

Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

No matters were submitted to a vote of the holders of the common stock during the fourth quarter of 2004.

PART II

Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

- 31 -

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the trading symbol ESI. The prices set forth below are the high and low sale prices of our common stock during the periods indicated, as reported in the NYSE s consolidated transaction reporting system.

	2004		2003	
Fiscal Quarter Ended	High	Low	High	Low
March 31	\$ 60.75	\$ 27.83	\$ 30.00	\$ 23.19
June 30	\$ 43.34	\$ 31.05	\$ 31.55	\$ 26.34
September 30	\$ 38.78	\$ 27.98	\$ 49.45	\$ 28.97
December 31	\$ 50.60	\$ 34.26	\$ 56.50	\$ 44.19

There were 127 holders of record of our common stock on February 15, 2005.

We did not pay a cash dividend in 2003 or 2004. We do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future and we plan to retain our earnings to finance future growth. The declaration and payment of dividends on our common stock are subject to the discretion of our Board of Directors and compliance with applicable law. Our decision to pay dividends in the future will depend on general business conditions, the effect of such payment on our financial condition and other factors our Board of Directors may in the future consider to be relevant.

The information required by this Item concerning securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans is set forth in or incorporated herein by reference into Part III, Item 12 of this Annual Report.

We did not sell any of our securities during the three months ended December 31, 2004 that were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act). The following information is furnished as to our securities sold in January 2005 that were not registered under the Securities Act:

- (a) On January 1, 2005, we issued 420 treasury shares of our common stock to one non-employee director under the ESI Non-Employee Directors Deferred Compensation Plan (the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan) as the stock portion of the semi-annual installment payment of his annual retainer.
- (b) On January 1, 2005, we credited 420 treasury shares of our common stock to the deferred share accounts of each of five non-employee directors under the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan as the stock portion of the semi-annual installment payment of their annual retainer for 2005. These shares of our common stock will be issued upon the termination of the non-employee director s service as a non-employee director for any reason, including retirement or death.

The transactions described in paragraphs (a) and (b) above are exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act pursuant to Section 4(2) thereof.

The following table sets forth information regarding purchases made by us of shares of our common stock on a monthly basis during the fourth quarter of 2004:

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

			Total Number of Shares	Maximum Number of
	Total Number of	Average Price Paid	Purchased as Part of	Shares that May Yet Be
	Shares	Frice Falu	Publicly Announced	Purchased Under the
Period	Purchased	per Share	Plans or Programs (1)	Plans or Programs (1)
October 1, 2004 through October 31, 2004 November 1, 2004 through November 30, 2004		\$		4,216,300 4,216,300
December 1, 2004 through December 31, 2004				4,216,300
Total		\$		

(1) On October 17, 2002, we announced that our Board of Directors on October 15, 2002 authorized us to repurchase 5.0 million shares of our common stock (the 2002 Repurchase Program). As of December 31, 2004, 4,216,300 shares remained to be repurchased under the 2002 Repurchase Program. The terms of the 2002 Repurchase Program provide that we may repurchase shares of our common stock, from time to time depending on market conditions and other considerations, in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions in accordance with Rule 10b-18 of the Exchange Act. Unless earlier terminated by our Board of Directors, the 2002 Repurchase Program will expire when we repurchase all shares authorized for repurchase thereunder.

- 32 -

Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

The following selected financial data are qualified by reference to and should be read with our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and other financial data included elsewhere in this report.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
	(In thous	ands, excep	t per share	and opera	ting data)
Statement of Income Data:					
Revenue (a)	\$617,834	\$ 522,856	\$454,118	\$400,063	\$ 336,331
Cost of educational services (a)	298,747	280,006	256,675	237,641	200,460
Student services and administrative expenses	174,396	148,329	129,134	110,816	94,156
Special legal and other investigation costs (b)	25,143				
Total costs and expenses	498,286	428,335	385,809	348,457	294,616
Operating income	119,548	94,521	68,309	51,606	41,715
Interest income, net	3,834	1,995	2,684	2,708	2,707
	, 	, 		,	,
Income before income taxes and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	123,382	96,516	70,993	54,314	44,422
Income taxes	48,119	37,658	27,139	20,600	16,937
			.,	- ,	-)
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	75,263	58,858	43,854	33,714	27,485
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax (c)	,	,	,	,	(2,776)
Net income	\$ 75.263	\$ 58,858	\$ 43.854	\$ 33 714	\$ 24 709
	\$ 75,205	\$ 50,050	φ +3,03+	\$ 55,714	ψ 24,707
Earnings per share (d):					
Basic (e)	\$ 1.64	\$ 1.31	\$ 0.96	\$ 0.71	\$ 0.51
Diluted (f)	\$ 1.61	1	1	1 11	1
Difuted (1)	φ 1.01	φ 1.27	φ 0.94	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.51
Other Operating Data:					
Capital expenditures, net	\$ 10.116	\$ 14,391	\$ 14.265	\$ 21.560	\$ 29,393
Facility expenditures and land purchases		\$ 25,718			\$ 29,393 \$
Number of students at end of period (unaudited)	40,876	\$ 23,718 37,076	\$ 19,843 32,631	э 30,778	¢ 27,640
Number of technical institutes at end of period (unaudited)	40,870	57,070	52,031	50,778	27,040 69
Number of technical institutes at end of period (unaudited)	11	11	/4	70	09

		At December 31,				
	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	
	(In thousands)					
Balance Sheet Data:						
Cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and investments	\$356,516	\$254,174	\$156,708	\$110,232	\$ 70,618	
Total current assets	\$ 372,781	\$256,646	\$173,266	\$ 133,026	\$ 92,570	
Property and equipment, less accumulated depreciation	\$ 98,746	\$ 81,503	\$ 62,584	\$ 49,593	\$ 46,560	
Total assets	\$ 493,389	\$363,270	\$247,707	\$ 194,215	\$ 150,896	
Total current liabilities	\$233,101	\$ 202,337	\$ 142,495	\$105,372	\$ 79,926	
Total liabilities	\$ 258,315	\$217,146	\$ 158,683	\$116,027	\$ 86,210	
Shareholders equity	\$ 235,074	\$ 146,124	\$ 89,024	\$ 78,188	\$ 64,686	

- 33 -

⁽a) The reclassification of tuition revenue with respect to students who withdraw reduced revenue and cost of educational services by \$10,828 in the year ended December 31, 2002, \$10,488 in the year ended December 31, 2001 and \$11,193 in the year ended December 31, 2000. The reclassifications had no impact on our total consolidated results reported in any period presented. See Note 1 to our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

⁽b) Accrued estimated legal and other investigation costs associated with the DOJ investigation, the inquiry initiated by the SEC into the allegations being investigated by the DOJ, and the securities class action, shareholder

derivative and books and records inspection lawsuits filed against us, certain of our current and former executive officers and each of our Directors.

- (c) Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax represents revenue recognition in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements.
- (d) Earnings per share in all prior periods have been restated to reflect the two-for-one stock split declared on May 10, 2002 that became effective June 6, 2002.
- (e) Basic earnings per share data are based on historical net income and the number of shares of our common stock outstanding during each period. Basic earnings per share for all periods have been calculated in conformity with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 128, Earnings per Share.
- (f) The difference in the number of shares used to calculate diluted earnings per share from the number of shares used to calculate basic earnings per share represents the average number of shares issued under our stock option plans less shares assumed to be purchased with proceeds from the exercise of those stock options.

Item 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

This Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations reflects the restatement of our Consolidated Financial Statements, as discussed in Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following discussion should be read with the Selected Financial Data and the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

General

We operate 77 institutes in 30 states, which were providing technology-oriented postsecondary education to more than 40,000 students as of December 31, 2004. We derive our revenue almost entirely from tuition, tool kits, fees and charges paid by, or on behalf of, our students. Most students at our institutes pay a substantial portion of their tuition and other education-related expenses with funds received under various government-sponsored student financial aid programs, especially the federal student financial aid programs under Title IV (Title IV Programs) of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (the HEA). In 2004, we indirectly derived approximately 66% of our revenue, determined on a cash accounting basis as defined by the U.S. Department of Education s (ED) regulations, from Title IV Programs.

Our revenue varies based on the aggregate student population, which is influenced by the following factors:

the number of students attending our institutes at the beginning of a fiscal period; the number of new first-time students entering and former students re-entering our institutes during a fiscal period; student retention rates; and general economic conditions.

New students generally enter our institutes at the beginning of an academic quarter that begins for most programs of study in early March, mid-June, early September or late November. Our establishment of new institutes and the introduction of additional program offerings at our

existing institutes have been significant factors in increasing the aggregate student population in recent years.

In order to participate in Title IV Programs, a new institute must be authorized by the state in which it will operate, accredited by an accrediting commission recognized by the ED, and certified by the ED to participate in Title IV Programs. The accrediting commission that accredits our institutes grants accreditation to a new institute prior to its first class start date. The ED s certification process cannot commence until the institute receives its state authorization and accreditation. In the last two years, we have experienced minimal delay in obtaining ED certification of our new institutes and learning sites.

We earn tuition revenue on a weekly basis, pro rata over the length of each of four, 12-week academic quarters in each fiscal year. State regulations, accrediting commission criteria and our policies generally require us to refund a portion of the tuition and fee payments received from a student who withdraws from one of our institutes during an

- 34 -

academic quarter. Our statement of income recognizes immediately the amount of tuition and fees, if any, that we may retain after payment of any refund.

Prior to 2003, if a student withdrew, the tuition revenue related to the remainder of that academic quarter and the fee revenue related to the remainder of the student s program were recorded and the amount of any refund resulting from the application of federal, state or accreditation requirements or our refund policy was recorded as an expense. For the periods presented, we have reclassified tuition and fee revenue by netting the corresponding revenue and expense related to the remaining tuition and fees with any related refund recorded for students who withdrew. As a result, revenue and cost of educational services have each been reduced by \$10,828 for the year ended December 31, 2002. The reclassifications had no impact on our total consolidated results reported in any period presented.

We incur expenses throughout a fiscal period in connection with the operation of our institutes. The cost of educational services includes faculty and administrative salaries, cost of course materials, occupancy costs, depreciation and amortization of equipment costs, facilities and leasehold improvements, and certain other administrative costs incurred by our institutes.

Student services and administrative expenses include direct marketing costs (which are marketing expenses directly related to new student recruitment), indirect marketing expenses, an allowance for doubtful accounts and administrative expenses incurred at our corporate headquarters. Direct marketing costs include salaries and employee benefits for recruiting representatives and direct solicitation expenses. We capitalize our direct marketing costs (excluding advertising expenses) using the successful efforts method and amortize them on a cost-pool-by-cost-pool basis over the period that we expect to receive revenue streams associated with those assets. We expense as incurred our marketing costs that do not relate to the direct solicitation of potential students.

In 2002, we developed five new residence bachelor degree programs and one new online bachelor degree program. We began offering one or more of these new bachelor degree programs at 39 of our institutes in 2002. In addition, a total of 41 of our institutes were approved to offer bachelor degree programs as of December 31, 2002 compared to 29 as of December 31, 2001.

In 2003, we developed:

three new residence bachelor degree programs; three new residence associate degree programs; and one new online master degree program.

In addition, a total of 51 of our institutes were approved to offer bachelor degree programs as of December 31, 2003 compared to 41 as of December 31, 2002. We also expanded to several additional institutes in 2003 the use of our hybrid education delivery model, pursuant to which certain program courses are taught on campus and others are taught online over the Internet (the Hybrid Delivery Model).

In 2004, we developed:

two new residence bachelor degree programs;

two new online bachelor degree programs;

three new residence associate degree programs; and

three new online associate degree programs.

In addition, a total of 52 of our institutes were approved to offer bachelor degree programs as of December 31, 2004 compared to 51 as of December 31, 2003. We also expanded the use of the Hybrid Delivery Model to 62 institutes in 2004.

We opened four new institutes in 2002, three new institutes in 2003 and two new institutes and one learning site in 2004. We also closed two institutes in 2004. We plan to open three or four new institutes and up to four learning sites in the remainder of 2005. Our new institutes have historically incurred a loss during the 24-month period after the first class start date.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

- 35 -

This management s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, revenue and expenses and contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from those estimates and judgments under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant estimates and judgments used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. These policies should be read in conjunction with Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Property and Equipment. We include all property and equipment in the financial statements at cost and make provisions for depreciation of property and equipment using the straight-line method. Estimated useful lives generally range from three to ten years for our furniture and equipment, three to 14 years for leasehold improvements, 20 to 40 years for the buildings and three to eight years for capitalized software. Changes in circumstances, such as changes in our curricula and technological advances, may result in the actual useful lives of our property, equipment and capitalized software differing from our estimates. We regularly review and evaluate the estimated useful lives of our property and equipment and capitalized software. Although we believe our assumptions and estimates are reasonable, deviations from our assumptions and estimates could produce a materially different result.

Recognition of Revenue. Tuition revenue is recorded on a straight-line basis over the length of the applicable course. If a student withdraws from an institute, the standards of most state education authorities that regulate our institutes, the accrediting commission that accredits our institutes and our own internal policy limit a student s obligation for tuition and fees to the institute depending on when a student withdraws during an academic quarter (Refund Policies). The terms of the Refund Policies vary by state, and the limitations imposed by the Refund Policies are generally based on the portion of the academic quarter that has elapsed at the time the student withdraws. The greater the portion of the academic quarter that has elapsed at the time the student s obligation is to the institute for the tuition and fees related to that academic quarter. We record revenue net of any refunds paid as a result of any applicable Refund Policy. On an individual student basis, tuition earned in excess of cash received is recorded as accounts receivable, and cash received in excess of tuition earned is recorded as deferred revenue.

The cost of textbooks is included in the tuition and is amortized on a straight-line basis over the applicable course length and the deferral of textbook costs is recorded in prepaids and other current assets. Tool kit sales and the related cost of the tool kits are recognized at the beginning of each academic quarter. Academic fees (which are charged only one time to students on their first day of class attendance) and admission processing fees (which, prior to their discontinuance in 2003, were charged only one time to students upon being evaluated for admission to their programs of study) are recognized as revenue on a straight-line basis over the average program length of 24 months. Deferred revenue is recorded for fees collected in excess of revenue recognized. If a student withdraws from an institute, all unrecognized revenue relating to his or her fees, net of any refunds paid as a result of any applicable Refund Policy, is recognized upon the student s departure. Administrative fees, which are charged to students when they withdraw or graduate from their programs of study at an institute, are recognized when the students withdraw or graduate from their programs of study at the institute.

More than 95% of our revenue represents tuition charges and less than 5% of our revenue represents bookstore sales and student fees. The amount of tuition earned depends on the cost per credit hour of the courses in the program, the number of courses in the program, how long a student remains enrolled in the program, how many program courses a student takes during each period of enrollment in the program, and the total number of students enrolled in each program. Each of these factors is known at the time our tuition revenue is calculated and is not subject to estimation.

Direct Marketing Costs. Direct costs incurred relating to the enrollment of new students are capitalized using the successful efforts method. Direct marketing costs include salaries and employee benefits of recruiting representatives and other direct costs less admission processing fees,

if any. Successful efforts is the ratio of students enrolled to prospective students interviewed. The higher the rate of interviewed students who enroll, the greater the percentage of our direct marketing costs that are capitalized. We amortize our direct marketing costs on a cost-pool-by-cost-pool basis over the period that we expect to receive revenue streams associated with those assets. The direct costs subject to capitalization are readily quantifiable and are not subject to estimation. The amortization method is based on historical trends of student enrollment and retention activity and is not subject to significant assumptions. We regularly evaluate the future recoverability of these deferred costs.

- 36 -

Contingent Liabilities. We are subject to litigation in the ordinary course of our business. When we are aware of a claim or potential claim, we assess the likelihood of any loss or exposure. If it is probable that a loss will result and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated, we record a liability for the loss. The liability recorded includes probable and estimable legal costs associated with the claim or potential claim. If the loss is not probable or the amount of the loss cannot be reasonably estimated, we disclose the claim if the likelihood of a potential loss is reasonably possible and the amount involved is material. Although we believe our estimates are reasonable, deviations from our estimates could produce a materially different result.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In January and May 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued two staff positions with respect to the application of Statements of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 106, Employers Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions, to the accounting and disclosure requirements associated with the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (Drug Act). The Drug Act affects postretirement health care benefits that companies may provide to their employees. We do not provide any postretirement health care benefits to our employees, and, therefore, those staff positions do not have an impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

In June 2004, the FASB s Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) issued EITF 03-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments. EITF 03-1 provides further guidance on the meaning of other-than-temporary impairment and its application to debt and equity securities in accordance with Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 18, The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock, and SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities. In September 2004, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position EITF 03-1-1, which delays the effective date until additional guidance is issued for the application of the recognition and measurement provisions of EITF 03-1 to investments in securities that are impaired. The disclosure requirements of EITF 03-1, however, are effective for annual periods ending after June 15, 2004. Until further guidance is provided by the FASB, we are unable to determine the effect, if any, that EITF 03-1 will have on our financial condition or results of operations. See Note 1 for additional disclosures regarding our investments.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payment that revises SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation and supercedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. Under this revised standard, all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, must be reflected in the financial statements using the fair value method with the related expenses recognized over the service period. SFAS No. 123R will be effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2005 and allows for several alternative transition methods. We expect to adopt SFAS No. 123R in our third fiscal quarter of 2005 on a modified-prospective basis without restating prior interim periods, which will require that we recognize compensation expense for all stock option and other equity-based awards that vest or become exercisable after the effective date. We are currently evaluating the impact that SFAS No. 123R will have on our financial condition or results of operations.

Variations in Quarterly Results of Operations

Our quarterly results of operations have tended to fluctuate within a fiscal year due to the timing of student matriculations. Each of our four fiscal quarters have 12 weeks of earned tuition revenue. Revenue in our third and fourth fiscal quarters generally benefits from increased student matriculations. The number of new students entering our institutes tends to be substantially higher in June (24% of all new students in 2004) and September (35% of all new students in 2004) because of the significant number of recent high school graduates entering our institutes for the academic quarters beginning in those two months. The academic schedule generally does not affect our incurrence of most of our costs, however, and costs do not fluctuate significantly on a quarterly basis.

- 37 -

The following table sets forth our revenue in each fiscal quarter of 2004, 2003, and 2002.

Quarterly Revenue

	200	4	2003		2002	
			(Dollars in t	housands)		
Three Months Ended	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
March 31	\$ 141,730	23%	\$ 119,000	23%	\$ 105,112	23%
June 30	150,931	24%	124,831	24%	108,325	24%
September 30	157,945	26%	134,382	26%	117,746	26%
December 31	167,228	27%	144,643	27%	122,935	27%
			·			
Total for Year	\$ 617,834	100%	\$ 522,856	100%	\$454,118	100%

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth the percentage relationship of certain statement of income data to revenue for the periods indicated.

	Year En	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002	
Revenue	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Cost of educational services	48.3%	53.5%	56.5%	
Student services and administrative expenses	28.2%	28.4%	28.5%	
Special legal and other investigation costs	4.1%	%	%	
Operating income	19.4%	18.1%	15.0%	
Interest income, net	0.6%	0.4%	0.6%	
Income before income taxes	20.0%	18.5%	15.6%	

The following table sets forth our total student enrollment as of December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002:

As of December 31,	Total Student	Increase Over
	Enrollment	Prior Year

2004	40,876	10.2%
2003	37,076	13.6%
2002	32,631	6.0%

The following table sets forth total new student enrollment during the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002:

	Total New Student	
For the Year Ended December 31,	Enrollment	Prior Year
2004	41,959	16.9%
2003	35,880	16.6%
2002	30,761	4.4%

We generally organize the academic schedule for programs of study offered at our institutes on the basis of four 12-week academic quarters in a calendar year that typically begin in early March, mid-June, early September and late November. To measure the persistence of our students, the number of continuing students in any academic quarter is divided by the total student enrollment as of the end of the immediately preceding academic quarter. A continuing student is any student who, in any academic quarter, is enrolled in a program of study at an ITT Technical Institute and was enrolled in the same program at any ITT Technical Institute at the end of the immediately preceding academic quarter. Total student enrollment includes all new and continuing students enrolled at our ITT Technical Institutes at the end of an academic quarter.

- 38 -

The following table sets forth the rates of our students persistence for each quarter in 2004, 2003, and 2002:

	F	For the Three Months Ended,				
	March 31	June 30	Sept 30	Dec 31		
2004	78%	75%	71%	78%		
2003	77%	77%	73%	80%		
2002	79%	77%	73%	80%		

Beginning in the second quarter of 2004, we began using the Hybrid Delivery Model with a larger number of our students, which increased the number of courses that we teach online over the Internet to our students. Student retention is typically lower in courses taught online over the Internet compared to courses taught on campus. As a result of the expanded use of the Hybrid Delivery Model, our student persistence rate decreased. We believe that the student persistence rate will continue to decrease until we expect it to stabilize in the second quarter of 2005.

Year Ended December 31, 2004 Compared with Year Ended December 31, 2003

Revenue. Revenue increased \$94.9 million, or 18.2%, to \$617.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 from \$522.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, primarily due to:

a 6.0% increase in tuition rates in March 2004;

a 13.6% increase in the total student enrollment at December 31, 2003 compared to December 31, 2002; and

a 16.9% increase in new student enrollment at our institutes in the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to the same period in 2003, which was primarily the result of:

the opening of new institutes;

an increased number of institutes offering bachelor degree programs;

an increased number of new programs of study offered by our institutes;

an increased number of responses to our marketing and advertising;

operational changes that increased the percentage of new student enrollments arising from those responses;

the availability of private student loans to supplement federal student financial aid; and

the use of the Hybrid Delivery Model at more institutes.

This increase in revenue was partially offset by a decrease in our students persistence rate for the year ended December 31, 2004.

Cost of Educational Services. Cost of educational services increased \$18.7 million, or 6.7%, to \$298.7 million in 2004 from \$280.0 million in 2003. The principal causes of this increase included:

the costs required to service the increased enrollment; normal inflationary cost increases for wages, rent and other costs of services;

increased costs due to opening new institutes (one opened in March 2003, one opened in June 2003, one opened in December 2004); and in menor dependence of the Harbeit Delivery Madel et many institutes.

increased costs associated with implementing the Hybrid Delivery Model at more institutes.

Cost of educational services as a percentage of revenue decreased to 48.3% in 2004 from 53.5% in 2003. The primary causes of this decrease include:

continued facility and faculty utilization efficiencies;

certain fixed costs at our institutes that did not increase proportionately with increases in our revenue resulting from increased student enrollment and tuition rate increases;

new and renegotiated vendor contracts; and

a net reduction of occupancy costs resulting from our purchase of six facilities in 2003 and one facility in 2004 that we had previously leased.

- 39 -

Student Services and Administrative Expenses. Student services and administrative expenses increased \$26.1 million, or 17.6%, to \$174.4 million in 2004 from \$148.3 million in 2003. Student services and administrative expenses decreased to 28.2% of revenue in 2004 compared to 28.4% of revenue in 2003, primarily due to an increase in the amount of marketing costs deferred as a result of discontinuing the admission processing fee, partially offset by a 19.4% increase in media advertising expenses and an increase in bad debt expense from 1.2% of revenue in 2003 to 1.9% of revenue in 2004.

Special Legal and Other Investigation Costs. Special legal and other investigation costs of \$25.1 million were accrued in the year ended December 31, 2004 for our estimated legal and other investigation costs associated with the DOJ investigation of us, the inquiry initiated by the SEC into the allegations being investigated by the DOJ, and the securities class action, shareholder derivative and books and records inspection lawsuits filed against us, certain of our current and former executive officers and each of our Directors (collectively, the Actions). We incurred \$20.7 million of this accrual and \$4.4 million of other non-legal investigation costs associated with the Actions during the year ended December 31, 2004. See Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements set forth elsewhere in this report.

Operating Income. Operating income increased \$25.0 million, or 26.5%, to \$119.5 million in 2004 from \$94.5 million in 2003. The operating margin increased to 19.4% of revenue in 2004 from 18.1% in 2003, primarily due to:

continued facility and faculty utilization efficiencies;

new and renegotiated vendor contracts;

a net reduction of occupancy costs resulting from our purchase of six facilities in 2003 and one facility in 2004 that replaced facilities which we previously leased; and

certain fixed costs at our institutes that did not increase proportionately with increases in our revenue resulting from increased student enrollment and tuition rate increases.

The increase in operating margin was partially offset by the \$25.1 million accrual for special legal and other investigation costs associated with the Actions.

Income Taxes. Our combined effective federal and state income tax rate in both 2004 and 2003 was 39.0%.

Year Ended December 31, 2003 Compared with Year Ended December 31, 2002

Revenue. Revenue increased \$68.8 million, or 15.1%, to \$522.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 from \$454.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, primarily due to:

a 5.0% increase in tuition rates in March 2003;

a 6.0% increase in the total student enrollment at December 31, 2002 compared to December 31, 2001; and

a 16.6% increase in total new student enrollment at our institutes in 2003 compared to 2002, which is primarily a result of opening new institutes, an increased number of responses to our marketing and advertising, and operational changes that increased the percentage of new student enrollments arising from those responses.

Cost of Educational Services. Cost of educational services increased \$23.3 million, or 9.1%, to \$280.0 million in 2003 from \$256.7 million in 2002. The principal causes of this increase included:

the costs required to service the increased enrollment;

normal inflationary cost increases for wages, rent and other costs of services; and

increased costs due to opening new institutes (one opened in June 2002, three opened in September 2002, one opened in March 2003, one opened in June 2003 and one opened in December 2003).

Cost of educational services as a percentage of revenue decreased to 53.5% in 2003 from 56.5% in 2002. The primary causes of this decrease include:

continued facility and faculty utilization efficiencies;

certain fixed costs at our institutes that did not increase proportionately with increases in our revenue resulting from increased student enrollment;

new and renegotiated vendor contracts;

- 40 -

a net reduction of occupancy costs resulting from our purchase of 12 facilities that replaced facilities which we had previously leased (six were purchased during the three months ended September 30, 2002 and six were purchased during the year ended December 31, 2003); and

changes in Indiana tax law that caused the classification of certain Indiana taxes to be changed from cost of educational services to income taxes.

Student Services and Administrative Expenses. Student services and administrative expenses increased \$19.2 million, or 14.9%, to \$148.3 million in 2003 from \$129.1 million in 2002. Student services and administrative expenses decreased to 28.4% of revenue in 2003 compared to 28.5% of revenue in 2002, primarily due to a 17.1% increase in media advertising expenses offset by a reduction in bad debt expense from 1.5% of revenue for 2002 to 1.2% of revenue for 2003.

Operating Income. Operating income increased \$26.2 million, or 38.4%, to \$94.5 million in 2003 from \$68.3 million in 2002. The operating margin increased to 18.1% of revenue in 2003 from 15.0% in 2002, primarily due to:

continued facility and faculty utilization efficiencies;

new and renegotiated vendor contracts;

a net reduction of occupancy costs resulting from our purchase of 12 facilities that replaced facilities which we had previously leased (six were purchased during the three months ended September 30, 2002 and six were purchased during the year ended December 31, 2003);

a reduction in bad debt expense; and

changes in Indiana tax law that caused the classification of certain Indiana taxes to be changed from cost of educational services to income taxes.

Income Taxes. Our combined effective federal and state income tax rate in 2003 was 39.0% compared to 38.2% in 2002, primarily due to changes in Indiana and other state tax laws.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

In 2004, we indirectly derived approximately 66% of our revenue, determined on a cash accounting basis as defined by the ED s regulations, from Title IV Programs. Federal regulations dictate the timing of disbursements of funds under Title IV Programs. Students must apply for a new loan for each academic year, which consists of three academic quarters. Loan funds are generally provided by lenders in three disbursements for each academic year. The first disbursement is usually received either 30 days after (in the case of students commencing a program of study) or ten days before the start of the first academic quarter of a student s academic year, and the second and third disbursements are typically received ten days before the start of each subsequent quarter of a student s academic year. While the timing of loan disbursements to us is subject to a student s directions to the lender and to existing regulatory requirements regarding such disbursements, we have typically received student loan funds upon the lender s disbursement of the student loan funds.

On May 10, 2002, we declared a two-for-one split of our common stock, effected on June 6, 2002 by payment of a stock dividend to all shareholders of record at the close of business on May 28, 2002 of one share on each one share of our common stock issued and outstanding or held as treasury stock on May 28, 2002 (Stock Split). Our earnings per share amounts and the number of shares in all prior periods have been restated to reflect the Stock Split.

On January 25, 2005, our Board of Directors approved an amendment to our Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of our common stock from 150 million to 300 million (Amendment). If the Amendment is approved by our shareholders at our 2005 annual meeting of shareholders, it will become effective upon the filing of a Certificate of Amendment with the Delaware Secretary of State.

Our Board of Directors has authorized us to repurchase outstanding shares of our common stock in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions in accordance with Rule 10b-18 of the Exchange Act.

The following table sets forth the repurchase of outstanding shares of our common stock during 2004, 2003 and 2002:

- 41 -

	<u> </u>	Year Ended December 31,			
	2004	2	2003	2	2002
Number of shares repurchased		1,0	078,000	2,	174,300
Total cost of shares repurchased (in millions)	\$	\$	28.7	\$	44.5
Average cost per share	\$	\$	26.65	\$	20.44

Voor Ended December 31

All of the repurchased shares of our common stock became treasury shares upon repurchase and most of the repurchased shares continue to be held as treasury shares. As of December 31, 2004, our existing repurchase authorization permits us to repurchase an additional 4,216,300 shares of our common stock. We may elect to repurchase additional shares of our common stock from time to time in the future, depending on market conditions and other considerations. The purpose of the stock repurchase program is to help us achieve our long-term goal of enhancing shareholder value.

Our principal uses of cash are to pay salaries, occupancy and equipment costs, recruiting and marketing expenses, administrative expenses and taxes, including institute start-up costs for new institutes. We have generated positive cash flows from operations for the past five years. Restated cash flows from operations in 2004 were \$142.9 million, a decrease of \$20.2 million from \$163.1 million in 2003. This decrease was primarily a result of a large initial increase in cash flows from operations realized in 2003 following the implementation of new cash management strategies, and amounts paid in connection with the Actions. As of December 31, 2004, we had \$28.4 million of investments that we plan to hold until maturity. The maturity dates extend beyond one year for \$5.4 million of those held-to-maturity investments. As of December 31, 2004, we had \$310.6 million of investments in auction rate debt securities, variable rate demand notes and auction rate preferred equity securities that were available for sale. Although the contractual maturity dates for some of our auction rate debt securities and variable rate demand notes extend beyond ten years, we have the ability to quickly liquidate those investments and we do not intend to hold those investments until they mature. Cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and investments ranged from a low of \$183.7 million in February 2004 to a high of \$370.3 million in November 2004.

Our investments in marketable debt securities with remaining contractual maturity dates of 90 days or less are recorded in cash and cash equivalents at cost, which approximates fair market value. We have investments in marketable debt and auction rate preferred equity securities, which are classified as trading, available-for-sale or held-to-maturity, depending on our investment intentions with regard to those securities. Marketable debt securities classified as trading or available-for-sale securities that have remaining contractual maturity dates in excess of 90 days at the time of purchase are recorded at their market value. Marketable debt securities classified as held-to-maturity securities are recorded at their market value. Marketable debt securities classified as held-to-maturity securities are recorded at their market value. Marketable debt securities classified as held-to-maturity securities are recorded at their amortized cost, because we have the intent and ability to hold those investments until they mature. Auction rate preferred equity securities classified as available-for-sale securities are recorded at their market value. Investments that we intend to hold for more than one year are recorded as non-current investments.

Our investments included auction rate debt securities, variable rate demand notes and auction rate preferred equity securities that were classified as available-for-sale securities and recorded in short-term investments and investments on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2004 and 2003. Despite the long-term nature of the contractual maturities of our auction rate debt securities and variable rate demand notes, we have the ability to quickly liquidate those investments. We also had no material gross unrealized holding or realized gains (losses) from our investments in auction rate debt securities and variable rate demand notes for the years ending December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002. All income generated from those investments was recorded as interest income.

Previously, some of our investments in auction rate debt securities and variable rate demand notes were recorded in cash and cash equivalents instead of short-term investments, based on their interest reset dates rather than their remaining contractual maturity dates. In addition, we had classified some of our investments in auction rate debt securities, variable rate demand notes and auction rate preferred equity securities as held-to-maturity securities. In connection with the preparation of this report, we reassessed the classification and recording of our investments in auction rate debt securities, variable rate demand notes and auction rate debt securities, variable rate demand notes and auction rate preferred equity securities.

reclassify as available-for-sale securities all of our auction rate debt securities, variable rate demand notes and auction rate preferred equity securities;

record on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2004 and 2003 all of our investments in auction rate debt securities and variable rate demand notes with remaining contractual maturities of:

90 days or less in cash and cash equivalents; and

- 42 -

greater than 90 days in either short-term investments or investments, based on our intent and ability to hold those auction rate debt securities and variable rate demand notes; and

record all of our investments in auction rate preferred equity securities in short-term investments on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2004 and 2003.

The reclassifications had no impact on our total current assets, cash flows provided by (used for) operating activities, cash flows provided by (used for) financing activities or total consolidated results reported in any period presented.

On December 31, 2004, we had positive working capital of \$139.7 million. Deferred revenue, which represents the cash received from students in excess of tuition earned, increased \$26.4 million to \$156.8 million on December 31, 2004 from \$130.4 million on December 31, 2003. This increase was primarily due to the students use of supplemental private loans and increased tuition revenue resulting from higher tuition rates and increased enrollment.

During 2002, we recorded a \$5.0 million minimum pension liability adjustment with respect to our obligations under the ESI Pension Plan and a corresponding \$3.1 million reduction in shareholders equity, which is net of a \$1.9 million deferred tax asset. During 2003, we reduced our minimum pension liability by \$1.0 million as a result of obtaining an investment return on plan assets in excess of our original estimates, and we recorded a corresponding \$0.6 million increase in shareholders equity, which is net of a \$0.4 million deferred tax asset. During 2004, we increased our minimum pension liability by \$2.1 million as a result of:

obtaining an investment return on plan assets less than our original estimate;

a decrease in our discount rate; and

refinements made to our future expected benefit payment assumptions.

We also recorded a corresponding \$1.3 million reduction in shareholders equity, which was net of a \$0.8 million deferred tax asset.

Under the HEA, an institution may lose its eligibility to participate in some or all Title IV Programs, if the rates at which the institution s students default on their federal student loans exceed specified percentages. An institution whose FFEL/FDL cohort default rate is: (a) 25% or greater for three consecutive federal fiscal years loses eligibility to participate in the FFEL, FDL and Pell programs for the remainder of the federal fiscal year in which the ED determines that the institution has lost its eligibility and for the two subsequent federal fiscal years; or (b) greater than 40% for one federal fiscal year loses eligibility to participate in the FFEL and FDL programs for the remainder of the federal fiscal year in which the ED determines that the institution has lost its eligibility and for the two subsequent federal fiscal years. If one of the campus groups lost its eligibility to participate in Title IV Programs and we could not arrange for alternative financing sources on similar terms for the students (and their parents) attending an institute in that campus group, the students ability to finance their education would be adversely affected, our receivables would increase and the number of students attending that campus group would probably decrease, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Our campus groups FFEL/FDL cohort default rates ranged from:

4.5% to 17.5% in the 2000 federal fiscal year;

4.9% to 12.7% in the 2001 federal fiscal year; and

2.1% to 12.1% in the 2002 federal fiscal year, the most recent year for which the ED has published FFEL/FDL official cohort rates.

If an institution s FFEL/FDL cohort default rate is 25% or greater in any of the three most recent federal fiscal years, or if its cohort default rate for loans under the Perkins program exceeds 15% for any federal award year, the ED may place that institution on provisional certification

status. The ED may withdraw an institution s provisional certification without advance notice, if the ED determines that the institution is not fulfilling all material requirements. The ED may also more closely review an institution that is provisionally certified, if it applies for approval to open a new location or makes some other significant change affecting its eligibility. Provisional certification does not otherwise limit an institution s access to Title IV Program funds. Provisional certification of our institutes has not had a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows, and we do not believe that it will in the future.

The standards of most of the state education authorities that regulate our institutes and the Accrediting Council for Independent Colleges and Schools that accredits our institutes limit how much of a student s tuition and fees an institution can retain for a student who withdraws from the institution. The HEA and its implementing regulations impose limits on the amount of Title IV Program funds withdrawing students can use to pay their education costs

- 43 -

(Return Policy). The Return Policy permits a student to use only a pro rata portion of the Title IV Program funds that the student would otherwise be eligible to use, if the student withdraws during the first 60% of any period of enrollment. The institution must return to the appropriate lenders or the ED any Title IV Program funds that the institution receives on behalf of a withdrawing student in excess of the amount the student can use for such period of enrollment. Depending on when a student withdraws during an academic quarter, the Return Policy can reduce the amount of Title IV Program funds that the withdrawing student can use to pay his or her education costs owed to the ITT Technical Institute. In these instances, withdrawing students may be unable to pay all of their education costs that would have been paid by the Title IV Program funds that were returned and we may be unable to collect a significant portion of those costs. Our students use of supplemental private loans has improved our collection of the students education costs.

Under a provision of the HEA commonly referred to as the 90/10 Rule, a for-profit institution, such as each of our campus groups, becomes ineligible to participate in Title IV Programs if, on a cash accounting basis, the institution derives more than 90% of its applicable revenue for a fiscal year from Title IV Programs. For our 2004 fiscal year, the range of our campus groups was from approximately 58% to approximately 75%.

In 2003, we purchased the facilities of five of our institutes and our corporate headquarters for a total of \$25.7 million. In 2004, we purchased a facility for one of our institutes for \$3.8 million. We previously leased these facilities under operating lease agreements. In addition, we purchased four parcels of land in 2004 for \$4.9 million on which we have commenced construction of facilities. During 2004, we spent \$7.7 million on the construction of facilities for four of our institutes. We have agreed to construct four facilities and purchase two existing facilities for our institutes at a cost of \$19.5 million, all of which is expected to be incurred in 2005. We may purchase or build additional facilities for our institutes in 2005.

Our capital assets, other than our facilities discussed above, consist primarily of classroom and laboratory equipment (such as computers, electronic equipment and robotic systems), classroom and office furniture, software and leasehold improvements. Capital expenditures, excluding facility and land purchases and facility construction, totaled \$19.1 million in 2004 and \$14.4 million during 2003. To date, cash generated from operations has been sufficient to meet our capital expenditures.

We plan to continue to upgrade and expand current facilities and equipment. We expect that 2005 capital expenditures, excluding facility and land purchases and facility construction, will be approximately \$20 to \$25 million. The capital additions are approximately \$0.6 million for a new institute and \$0.1 million for a new learning site. We anticipate that our planned capital additions can be funded from cash flows from operations. Cash flows on a long-term basis are highly dependent upon the receipt of Title IV Program funds and the amount of funds spent on new institutes, new learning sites, curricula additions at existing institutes and possible acquisitions.

We do not believe that any reduction in cash and cash equivalents or investments that may result from their use to effect any future stock repurchases, facility purchases or construction of facilities will have a material adverse effect on:

our expansion plans;

planned capital expenditures;

ability to meet any applicable regulatory financial responsibility standards; or

ability to conduct normal operations.

Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth the specified contractual obligations as of December 31, 2004.

		Less than	1-3	4-5	More than
Contractual Obligations	Total	1 Year	Years	Years	5 Years
		(Dollars in thousands)			
Operating Lease Obligations	\$ 129,249	\$ 26,436	\$44,102	\$ 33,822	\$ 24,889
Purchase Obligations	4,709	4,709(a)			
Total	\$ 133,958	\$ 31,145	\$44,102	\$ 33,822	\$ 24,889
			-		