

DYNEGY INC /IL/
Form 10-K/A
January 18, 2005
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K/A
Amendment No. 2

x **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 1-15659

DYNEGY INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

Illinois
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

74-2928353
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

1000 Louisiana, Suite 5800

Houston, Texas 77002

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(713) 507-6400

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<u>Title of each class</u>	<u>Name of each exchange on which registered</u>
Class A common stock, no par value	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

<u>Title of each class</u>	<u>Name of each exchange on which registered</u>
None	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to the filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2003, computed by reference to the closing sale price of the registrant's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on such date, was \$1,155,609,441, using the definition of beneficial ownership contained in Rule 13d-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and excluding shares held by directors and executive officers.

Number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: Class A common stock, no par value per share, 279,871,186 shares outstanding as of February 23, 2004; Class B common stock, no par value per share, 96,891,014 shares outstanding as of February 23, 2004.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE. Part III (Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14) incorporates by reference portions of the Notice and Proxy Statement for the registrant's 2004 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed not later than 120 days after December 31, 2003.

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC. FORM 10-K/A

INTRODUCTORY NOTE

Dynegy Inc. is filing this Amendment No. 2 on Form 10-K/A (Amendment No. 2) to reflect the effect of the following items on our historical consolidated financial statements and related information, as reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, which was originally filed on February 27, 2004 (the Original Filing):

An increase of \$139 million to the \$242 million goodwill impairment charge originally recorded in the fourth quarter 2003 and a previously unrecorded after-tax asset impairment charge of \$120 million, in the fourth quarter 2003, each associated with the sale of Illinois Power and

A \$154 million decrease to our deferred tax liability at December 31, 2003 resulting from our tax basis balance sheet review.

The aforementioned items are discussed in more detail in the Explanatory Note to the accompanying consolidated financial statements beginning on page F-8. The following Items of the Original Filing are amended by this Amendment No. 2:

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K

Unaffected items have not been repeated in this Amendment No. 2.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS AMENDMENT NO. 2, INCLUDING THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND THE NOTES THERETO, DOES NOT REFLECT EVENTS OCCURRING AFTER THE DATE OF THE ORIGINAL FILING. SUCH EVENTS INCLUDE, AMONG OTHERS, THE EVENTS DESCRIBED IN OUR QUARTERLY REPORTS ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE PERIODS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004, JUNE 30, 2004 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 2004 AND THE EVENTS SUBSEQUENTLY DESCRIBED IN OUR CURRENT REPORTS ON FORM 8-K. FOR A DESCRIPTION OF THESE EVENTS, PLEASE READ OUR EXCHANGE ACT REPORTS FILED SINCE FEBRUARY 27, 2004, INCLUDING OUR

QUARTERLY REPORTS ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE PERIODS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004, JUNE 30, 2004 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 2004, OUR CURRENT REPORTS ON FORM 8-K AND ANY AMENDMENTS THERETO.

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC.

FORM 10-K/A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
	<u>PART I</u>
<u>Definitions</u>	1
	<u>PART II</u>
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	2
Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	4
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	49
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	49
	<u>PART IV</u>
Item 15. <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K</u>	51
<u>Signatures</u>	58
<u>Index to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-1
<u>Explanatory Note - Restatements</u>	F-8

Table of Contents

PART I

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS AMENDMENT NO. 2, INCLUDING THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND THE NOTES THERETO, DOES NOT REFLECT EVENTS OCCURRING AFTER THE DATE OF THE ORIGINAL FILING. SUCH EVENTS INCLUDE, AMONG OTHERS, THE EVENTS DESCRIBED IN OUR QUARTERLY REPORTS ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE PERIODS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004, JUNE 30, 2004 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 2004 AND THE EVENTS SUBSEQUENTLY DESCRIBED IN OUR CURRENT REPORTS ON FORM 8-K. FOR A DESCRIPTION OF THESE EVENTS, PLEASE READ OUR EXCHANGE ACT REPORTS FILED SINCE FEBRUARY 27, 2004, INCLUDING OUR QUARTERLY REPORTS ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE PERIODS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004, JUNE 30, 2004 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 2004, OUR CURRENT REPORTS ON FORM 8-K AND ANY AMENDMENTS THERETO.

DEFINITIONS

As used in this Amendment No. 2, the abbreviations contained herein have the meanings set forth in the glossary beginning on page F-88. Additionally, the terms Dynegy, we, us and our refer to Dynegy Inc. and its subsidiaries, unless the context clearly indicates otherwise.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The selected financial information presented below was derived from, and is qualified by reference to, our Consolidated Financial Statements, including the notes thereto, contained elsewhere herein. The selected financial information should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Earnings (loss) per share (EPS), shares outstanding for EPS calculation and cash dividends per common share have been adjusted for a two-for-one stock split on August 22, 2000 and, for all periods prior to February 1, 2000, the 0.69-to-one exchange ratio in the Illinova acquisition.

As discussed in the Explanatory Note to the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements, the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements have been restated since the date of the Original Filing. Please read the Explanatory Note to the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about these restatements. The selected financial data that follows has been adjusted to reflect these restatements.

Dynegy's Selected Financial Data

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999
	(in millions, except per share data) (Restated)				
Statement of Operations Data (1):					
Revenues	\$ 5,787	\$ 5,326	\$ 9,124	\$ 9,715	\$ 4,821
General and administrative expenses	(366)	(325)	(420)	(312)	(208)
Depreciation and amortization expense	(454)	(466)	(452)	(386)	(114)
Asset impairment, abandonment and other charges	(200)	(190)			
Goodwill impairment	(311)	(814)			
Operating income (loss)	(569)	(1,058)	971	770	185
Interest expense	(509)	(297)	(255)	(247)	(77)
Income tax expense (benefit)	(246)	(352)	368	230	45
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	(688)	(1,190)	479	417	90
Income (loss) on discontinued operations (3)	(19)	(1,154)	(82)	27	44
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principles	40	(234)	2		
Net income (loss)	\$ (667)	\$ (2,578)	\$ 399	\$ 444	\$ 134
Net income (loss) available to common stockholders	346	(2,908)	357	409	134
Earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations	\$ 0.79	\$ (4.16)	\$ 1.29	\$ 1.20	\$ 0.39
Net income (loss) per share	0.84	(7.95)	1.05	1.29	0.58
Shares outstanding for diluted EPS calculation	423	370	340	315	230
Cash dividends per common share	\$	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.04
Cash Flow Data:					
Cash flows from operating activities	\$ 876	\$ (25)	\$ 550	\$ 420	\$ 40
Cash flows from investing activities	(266)	677	(3,828)	(1,539)	(391)
Cash flows from financing activities	(900)	(44)	3,450	1,131	399
Cash dividends or distributions to partners, net		(55)	(98)	(112)	(8)
Capital expenditures, acquisitions and investments	(338)	(981)	(4,687)	(2,415)	(521)

Table of Contents

	December 31,				
	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999
	(in millions) (Restated)				
Balance Sheet Data (2):					
Current assets	\$ 3,030	\$ 7,586	\$ 8,956	\$ 10,827	\$ 2,658
Current liabilities	2,576	6,748	8,538	10,286	2,467
Property, plant and equipment, net	8,203	8,458	9,269	7,148	2,155
Total assets	12,961	20,029	25,083	22,572	6,491
Long-term debt (excluding current portion)	5,893	5,454	5,016	3,754	1,372
Notes payable and current portion of long-term debt	331	861	458	118	192
Non-recourse debt					35
Serial preferred securities of a subsidiary	11	11	46	46	
Subordinated debentures		200	200	300	200
Series B Preferred Stock (4)		1,212	882		
Series C convertible preferred stock	400				
Minority interest (5)	121	146	1,040	1,022	
Capital leases not already included in long-term debt		15	29	15	
Total equity	1,947	2,203	4,894	3,405	1,196

- (1) The following acquisitions were accounted for in accordance with the purchase method of accounting and the results of operations attributable to the acquired businesses are included in our financial statements and operating statistics beginning on the acquisitions effective date for accounting purposes:
- Northern Natural February 1, 2002;
 - BGSL December 1, 2001;
 - iaxis March 1, 2001;
 - Extant October 1, 2000; and
 - Illinova January 1, 2000.
- (2) The Northern Natural, BGSL, iaxis, Extant and Illinova acquisitions were each accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Accordingly, the purchase price was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values as of the effective dates of each transaction. See note (1) above for respective effective dates.
- (3) Discontinued operations includes the results of operations from the following businesses:
- Northern Natural (sold third quarter 2002);
 - U.K. Storage Hornsea facility (sold fourth quarter 2002) and Rough facility (sold fourth quarter 2002);
 - DGC (portions sold in fourth quarter 2002 and first and second quarters 2003);
 - Global Liquids (sold fourth quarter 2002); and
 - U.K. CRM (substantially liquidated in first quarter 2003).
- (4) The 2002 amount equals the \$1.5 billion in proceeds related to the Series B Preferred Stock less the \$660 million implied dividend recognized in connection with the beneficial conversion option plus \$372 million in accretion of the implied dividend through December 31, 2002. The 2001 amount equals the \$1.5 billion in proceeds less the \$660 million implied dividend plus \$42 million in accretion of the implied dividend through December 31, 2001. Please read Note 15 Redeemable Preferred Securities Series B Preferred Stock beginning on page F-54 for further discussion.
- (5) The 2001 and 2000 amounts include amounts relating to the Black Thunder transaction discussed in Note 12 Debt Black Thunder Secured Financing beginning on page F-45.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read together with the audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in this report.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS AMENDMENT NO. 2, INCLUDING THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND THE NOTES THERETO, DOES NOT REFLECT EVENTS OCCURRING AFTER THE DATE OF THE ORIGINAL FILING. SUCH EVENTS INCLUDE, AMONG OTHERS, THE EVENTS DESCRIBED IN OUR QUARTERLY REPORTS ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE PERIODS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004, JUNE 30, 2004 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 2004 AND THE EVENTS SUBSEQUENTLY DESCRIBED IN OUR CURRENT REPORTS ON FORM 8-K. FOR A DESCRIPTION OF THESE EVENTS, PLEASE READ OUR EXCHANGE ACT REPORTS FILED SINCE FEBRUARY 27, 2004, INCLUDING OUR QUARTERLY REPORTS ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE PERIODS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004, JUNE 30, 2004 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 2004, OUR CURRENT REPORTS ON FORM 8-K AND ANY AMENDMENTS THERETO.

OVERVIEW

We are a holding company and conduct substantially all of our business operations through our subsidiaries. Our current business operations are focused primarily in three areas of the energy industry: power generation; natural gas liquids; and regulated energy delivery. Because of the diversity among their respective operations, we report the results of each business as a separate segment in our consolidated financial statements. We also separately report the results of our customer risk management business, which primarily consists of our four remaining power tolling arrangements and related gas transportation contracts, as well as legacy gas and power trading positions. Our consolidated financial results also reflect corporate-level expenses such as general and administrative, interest and depreciation and amortization, but because of their nature, these items are not reported as a separate segment.

Following is a brief discussion of each of our four business segments, including a list of key factors that have affected, and are expected to continue to affect, their respective earnings and cash flows. We also present a brief discussion of our corporate-level expenses. This Overview section concludes with a summary of our current liquidity position and items that could impact our liquidity position in 2004 and beyond. Please note that this Overview section is merely a summary and should be read together with the remainder of Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, as well as the audited consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto, and the other information included in this report.

Power Generation. Our power generation business owns or leases more than 12,700 MWs of net generating capacity located in six regions of the United States. Our power generating fleet is diversified by facility type (base load, intermediate and peaking), fuel source and geographic location. We generate earnings and cash flows in this business through sales of energy and capacity.

The primary factors impacting our power generation earnings and cash flows are the prices for power and, to a lesser extent, natural gas, which in turn are largely driven by supply and demand. Demand for power can vary regionally due to, among other things, weather and general economic conditions. Power supplies similarly vary by region and are impacted significantly by available generating capacity, transmission capacity and federal and state regulation. We also are impacted by the relationship between prices for power and natural gas, commonly referred to as the spark spread, and its impact on the cost of generating electricity. However, we believe that our significant coal-fired and fuel oil generating facilities partially mitigate our sensitivity to changes in the spark spread, in that coal and fuel oil prices are relatively stable and insensitive to changes in gas prices, and position us for potential increases in earnings and cash flows in an environment where both power and

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

gas prices increase. Please read [Liquidity and Capital Resources](#) [Internal Liquidity Sources](#) [Cash Flows from Operations](#) beginning on page 16 for a discussion of our views on the current pricing environment and its anticipated long-term recovery.

Table of Contents

Other factors that have impacted, and are expected to continue to impact, earnings and cash flows for this business include:

our ability to control our capital expenditures, which primarily are limited to maintenance, safety, environmental and reliability projects, and other costs through disciplined management and safe, efficient operations;

our ability to optimize our assets through forward hedging activities and similar transactions, which is affected by general market liquidity and the need to satisfy counterparties' collateral requirements given our non-investment grade credit ratings; and

our ability to enter into new sales contracts and to renew our existing contracts, particularly the CDWR and Illinois Power power purchase agreements that are scheduled to expire at the end of 2004. In connection with our recently announced agreement to sell Illinois Power to Ameren, we agreed, conditioned upon the closing of the sale, to sell 2,800 MWs of capacity and up to 11.5 million MWh of energy to Illinois Power at fixed prices for two years beginning in January 2005. The closing of the sale to Ameren, which is expected by the end of 2004, is subject to receipt of required regulatory approvals and other closing conditions. Please read Results of Operations Segment Discussion 2004 Outlook REG Outlook beginning on page 34 and Note 23 Subsequent Event beginning on page F-86 for further discussion.

Natural Gas Liquids. Our natural gas liquids business owns natural gas gathering and processing, or upstream, assets in key producing areas of Louisiana, New Mexico and Texas. This business also owns integrated downstream assets used to fractionate, store, terminal, transport, distribute and market natural gas liquids. These downstream assets generally are connected to and supplied by our and third parties' upstream assets and are located in Mont Belvieu, Texas, the hub of the U.S. natural gas liquids business, and West Louisiana.

We generate earnings and cash flows in the upstream business by selling our gathering, processing and treating services to producers. We generate earnings and cash flows in our downstream business through sales of our fractionation, storage, transportation and terminalling services and sales of natural gas liquids through our marketing operations.

The earnings and cash flows that we generate in this business are sensitive to natural gas and natural gas liquids prices and the relationship between the two, commonly referred to as the frac spread. In our upstream business, we continued the restructuring of our contract portfolio in 2003. As a result, our current contract mix has reduced our exposure to frac spread risk. Please read Item 1. Business Segment Discussion Natural Gas Liquids Upstream Business beginning on page 7 of our Original Filing for a detailed discussion of our current upstream contract mix.

In addition to commodity prices, other factors that have impacted, and are expected to continue to impact, the earnings and cash flows for this business include:

our ability to control our capital expenditures, which primarily are limited to maintenance, safety and reliability projects, and other costs through disciplined management and safe, efficient operations;

reduced market liquidity and our obligation to post collateral to counterparties because of our non-investment grade credit ratings, which limit our ability to contract forward physically for some of our natural gas liquids products;

producer drilling activity, which is significantly affected by commodity prices;

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

a low frac spread environment and the resulting reduction in volumes available for fractionation, distribution and marketing;

the petrochemical industry's need for and utilization of our natural gas liquids feedstocks and related natural gas liquids facilities;

Table of Contents

our ability to manage our natural gas liquids inventories efficiently; and

our ability to meet customer demands for timely delivery and transportation.

Regulated Energy Delivery. Our regulated energy delivery segment is currently comprised of our Illinois Power subsidiary. From February 2002 through July 2002, this segment, formerly called the Transmission and Distribution segment, also included the results of Northern Natural. Northern Natural's results for this period are reflected in Discontinued Operations in our consolidated statements of operations.

Illinois Power is a regulated utility that serves more than 590,000 electricity customers and nearly 415,000 natural gas customers in portions of northern, central and southern Illinois. We generate earnings and cash flows in this business through sales of electric and gas service to residential, commercial and industrial customers.

The earnings and cash flows generated by this business are primarily driven by the volumes of electricity and natural gas that we sell and deliver. In terms of costs, retail electric rates are frozen through 2006, and gas costs are passed through to customers. The primary factors impacting sales volumes include:

weather and its effect on demand for our services, particularly with respect to residential electric customers;

the number of customers that choose another retail electric provider under the Illinois Customer Choice Law;

our ability to control our capital expenditures, which primarily are limited to maintenance, safety and reliability projects, and other costs through disciplined management and safe, efficient operations; and

general economic conditions and the resulting effect on demand for our services, particularly with respect to commercial and industrial customers.

We recently entered into an agreement to sell Illinois Power and our 20% interest in the Joppa power generation facility to Ameren for \$2.3 billion. The transaction is expected to close by the end of 2004, subject to the receipt of required regulatory approvals and other closing conditions. Please read Note 23 Subsequent Event beginning on page F-86 for further discussion.

Customer Risk Management. Our customer risk management business primarily consists of our four remaining power tolling arrangements and related gas transportation contracts, as well as our legacy gas and power trading positions. We have significant, long-term fixed obligations associated with our tolling and gas transportation arrangements, which obligations substantially exceed the earnings and cash flows we expect to generate in connection with these arrangements. Our ability to mitigate partially the negative impact of these arrangements on our earnings and cash flows depends on the price of power and the spark spread in the regions where the tolling plants are located, as well as our ability to re-market the related capacity under the transportation arrangements. It also will be significantly impacted by our ability to restructure or terminate one or more of our power tolling arrangements, which we expect would require a significant cash payment.

Regarding our legacy gas and power trading positions, we have substantially reduced the size of our portfolio relative to when we were primarily a marketing and trading company. Please read Item 1. Business Segment Discussion Customer Risk Management beginning on page 18 of our

Original Filing for further discussion.

Corporate and Other. Beginning January 1, 2003, Corporate and other includes corporate-level items that were previously allocated to our operating segments. Significant items impacting future earnings and cash flows include:

interest expense, which increased in 2003 as a result of our refinancing and restructuring activities and will continue to reflect our non-investment grade credit ratings;

Table of Contents

general and administrative costs, with respect to which we have implemented a number of initiatives expected to yield savings beginning in 2004; general and administrative costs also will be impacted by, among other things, (i) any future corporate-level litigation reserves or settlements and (ii) potential funding requirements under our pension plans; and

income taxes, with respect to which we currently only pay minimal state and foreign income taxes; income taxes will also be impacted by our ability to realize our significant deferred tax assets, including loss carryforwards.

In addition, dividends associated with our outstanding preferred stock will continue to affect our earnings available to our common shareholders.

Liquidity. As of February 23, 2004, we had cash on hand of \$397 million and available borrowing capacity of \$866 million, for total liquidity of nearly \$1.3 billion. During 2003, we substantially reduced our debt and other obligations while maintaining liquidity between \$1.4 billion and \$1.7 billion. Our ability to maintain our liquidity position in the future will depend on a number of factors, including our ability to consummate the Illinois Power sale to Ameren and, over the longer term, to generate cash flows from our asset-based energy businesses in relation to our substantial debt obligations and ongoing operating requirements.

For the next 12 months, assuming continuation of the current commodity pricing environment, we expect that our operating cash flows will be insufficient to satisfy our capital expenditures, debt maturities, increased interest expenses and operating commitments. When combined with our cash on hand, proceeds from anticipated asset sales and capacity under our \$1.1 billion revolving credit facility, however, we believe we have sufficient capital resources to satisfy these obligations during this period. To further our deleveraging efforts, we also intend to explore other capital-raising activities, including potential public or private equity issuances. In addition, we will seek to renew or replace our \$1.1 billion revolving credit facility, which is scheduled to mature on February 15, 2005. Our liquidity position will be materially adversely affected if we are unable to renew or replace this facility, with respect to which our ability to borrow and/or issue letters of credit could become increasingly important, on or before its scheduled maturity.

Over the longer term, we believe that power prices will improve in some or all of the regions in which we operate as the supply-demand imbalance for power decreases. Much of the restructuring work that we did during 2003 extended a substantial portion of our debt maturities from 2005-2006 to 2008 and beyond, positioning us to benefit from earnings and growth opportunities associated with this expected recovery in the U.S. power markets. Conversely, although depressed frac spreads have negatively impacted our NGL segment's downstream operations, our upstream business is currently operating in a relatively favorable pricing environment. Our future financial condition and results of operations will be materially affected if the U.S. power markets fail to recover in accordance with our expectations or if we experience significant pricing deterioration in our NGL segment.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Debt Maturities

During 2003, we consummated a series of refinancing and restructuring transactions comprised of the following:

Restructuring of \$1.66 billion in credit facilities prior to their scheduled maturities, in connection with which we granted security interests in a substantial portion of the available assets and stock of our direct and indirect subsidiaries, excluding Illinois Power;

Issuance by DHI of \$1.75 billion of senior notes at a weighted average interest rate of 9.71% and a weighted average yield to maturity of 9.65%, which notes are secured on a second priority basis by substantially the same collateral that secures the obligations under DHI's restructured credit facility;

Table of Contents

Issuance by Dynegy of \$225 million of convertible subordinated debentures at an interest rate of 4.75%, which debentures are convertible into shares of our Class A common stock at \$4.1210 per share, subject to certain adjustments, and guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by DHI;

The purchase of approximately \$282 million of DHI's \$300 million 8.125% Senior Notes due 2005, virtually all of DHI's \$150 million 6³/₄% Senior Notes due 2005 and approximately \$177 million of DHI's \$200 million 7.450% Senior Notes due 2006; and

Restructuring of the \$1.5 billion in Series B Mandatorily Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock previously held by a ChevronTexaco subsidiary, which we refer to as the Series B Preferred Stock. Under this restructuring, which we refer to as the Series B Exchange, the Series B Preferred Stock was exchanged for \$225 million in cash, \$225 million principal amount of our Junior Unsecured Subordinated Notes due 2016, which we refer to as the Junior Notes, and 8 million shares of our Series C Mandatorily Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock due 2033 (liquidation preference \$50 per share), which we refer to as the Series C preferred stock. The Series C preferred stock generally is convertible into shares of our Class B common stock at \$5.78 per share, subject to shareholder approval, which approval we intend to solicit at our 2004 annual shareholder meeting.

We used the net cash proceeds from these transactions, together with approximately \$300 million of cash on hand and additional funds received in the form of returned prepayments from ChevronTexaco under the Series B Exchange, to make the \$225 million Series B Exchange payment, to purchase the DHI senior notes and to otherwise reduce our 2005 debt maturities as follows:

Prepay in full the \$200 million Term A loan outstanding under DHI's restructured credit facility;

Prepay in full the \$360 million Term B loan outstanding under DHI's restructured credit facility;

Prepay in full the \$696 million of debt outstanding under the Black Thunder secured financing; and

Prepay in full the \$170 million capital lease obligation associated with our CoGen Lyondell power generating facility.

For a more complete description of these transactions, including the increasing interest rate and conversion features of the securities issued in connection with the Series B Exchange, please read Note 11 Refinancing and Restructuring Transactions beginning on page F-39.

As a result of these transactions, we extended a substantial portion of our 2005-2006 maturities to 2008 and beyond. Our aggregate maturities for long-term debt are as follows:

Period	Total	Illinois Power (1)	Total Less Illinois Power (1)
		(in millions)	
2004 (2)	\$ 331	\$ 157	\$ 174
2005	258	156	102
2006	130	86	44
2007	270	86	184

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

2008	311	86	225
Thereafter	4,924	1,366	3,558

-
- (1) If the Ameren transaction closes as expected before the end of 2004, Ameren will assume Illinois Power's then outstanding indebtedness. Please read Note 12 Debt beginning on page F-41 for further discussion of our outstanding debt.
 - (2) Included in Illinois Power's 2004 maturities of \$157 million is \$71 million related to the Tilton capital lease. In October 1999, Illinois Power entered into a sublease with DMG pursuant to which DMG is obligated to make all payments under the lease.

Table of Contents

One important near-term maturity that remains is our \$1.1 billion revolving credit facility, which is scheduled to mature on February 15, 2005. While we currently have no drawn amounts under this facility, our ability to borrow and/or issue letters of credit under a revolving credit facility could become increasingly important, particularly if we are unable to generate operating cash flows relative to our substantial debt obligations and ongoing operating requirements or to realize the asset sale proceeds we anticipate. We currently intend to renew or replace this facility during 2004, although we cannot guarantee that we will be successful.

While our restructuring and refinancing transactions have extended our significant debt maturities, they also resulted in significantly increased interest expenses, as further described under Results of Operations Interest Expense beginning on page 32. We also are subject to the more restrictive covenants that are contained in the related transaction agreements. Specifically, among other limitations, these covenants limit our ability to receive payments from DHI for the purpose of paying dividends on our common stock and otherwise, limit DHI's ability to incur additional indebtedness other than for refinancing purposes and require that a significant portion of proceeds from specified asset sales and equity issuances be used to pay down outstanding indebtedness. For example, upon closing of the agreed sale of Illinois Power to Ameren, we must use 75% of the net cash proceeds to repay the Junior Notes. We are required to use 25% of the net cash proceeds of the sale to reduce permanently or cash collateralize the commitments under the facility, subject to certain exceptions, to the extent the Junior Notes are repaid up to \$100 million. If the Junior Notes are not outstanding, 100% of the net cash proceeds from asset sales are required to be used, subject to certain exceptions, to reduce the commitments under the revolver. While we are currently in compliance with these restrictive covenants, our future financial condition and results of operations could be significantly affected by our ability to execute our business and financial strategies within the confines of these restrictive covenants.

The following table depicts our consolidated third-party debt obligations, including the principle-like maturities associated with the DNE leveraged lease, and the extent to which they are secured as of December 31, 2003 and 2002:

	December 31, 2003	December 31, 2002
	_____	_____
	(in millions)	
First Secured Obligations		
Dynegy Holdings Inc.	\$ 1,127	\$ 2,440
Dynegy Inc.		360
Illinois Power (1)	1,967	2,092
	_____	_____
Total First Secured Obligations	3,094	4,892
Second Secured Obligations	1,750	
Unsecured Obligations	2,160	2,266
	_____	_____
Subtotal	7,004	7,158
Preferred Obligations	411	1,711
	_____	_____
Total Obligations	\$ 7,415	\$ 8,869
	_____	_____
Less: DNE Lease Financing	(758)	(746)
Less: Preferred Obligations	(411)	(1,711)
Other (2)	(22)	(97)
	_____	_____
Total Notes Payable and Long-term Debt	\$ 6,224	\$ 6,315
	_____	_____

(1) Ameren will assume Illinois Power's debt obligations upon closing of our agreed sale of Illinois Power, which is anticipated to occur before the end of 2004, subject to receipt of required regulatory approvals and other closing conditions. Please read Note 23 Subsequent Event

beginning on page F-86 for further discussion.

- (2) Consists of net discounts on debt (totaling \$12 million and \$16 million at December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively) and the \$10 million difference between the carrying value of the Tilton capital lease

Table of Contents

and the purchase obligation of \$81 million at December 31, 2003. At December 31, 2002, the Tilton lease was off-balance sheet as it was accounted for as an operating lease.

Collateral Postings

We have substantially reduced our collateral postings since the end of 2002. As detailed in the table below, total collateral postings are down by approximately \$704 million as of February 23, 2004. The reduction is particularly pronounced in our CRM segment, which we commenced exiting in October 2002. Our collateral postings are down in that segment by more than \$634 million since year-end 2002 and by more than \$800 million from their peak at September 30, 2002.

The following table summarizes our consolidated collateral postings to third parties by operating division at February 23, 2004, December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002:

	February 23, 2004	December 31, 2003	December 31, 2002
	(in millions)		
GEN	\$ 146	\$ 136	\$ 168
CRM	172	121	806
NGL	144	179	166
REG	42	38	28
Other	8	8	48
Total	\$ 512	\$ 482	\$ 1,216

As described in Note 12 Debt DHI Credit Facility beginning on page F-42, we incur a 0.15% fronting fee upon the issuance of letters of credit under our restructured credit facility. A letter of credit fee is also payable on the undrawn amount of each letter of credit outstanding at a percentage per annum equal to 4.75% of such undrawn amount. To reduce these fees, we have used, and expect to continue to use, cash on hand, as opposed to letters of credit, to satisfy our future collateral obligations where practicable. Our ability to continue this strategy depends to a large extent on the creditworthiness of our counterparties and the availability of cash on hand.

Going forward, we expect counterparties' collateral demands to reflect changes in commodity prices, including seasonal changes in weather-related demand, as well as their view of our creditworthiness. We believe that we have sufficient capital resources to satisfy counterparties' collateral demands, including those for which no collateral is currently posted, for at least the next 12 months. Over the longer term, we expect to achieve incremental reductions associated with the completion of our exit from the customer risk management business. Please see Results of Operations 2004 Outlook CRM Outlook beginning on page 35 for a discussion of the expected collateral roll-off from this business.

Table of Contents**Disclosure of Contractual Obligations and Contingent Financial Commitments**

We incur contractual obligations and financial commitments in the normal course of our operations and financing activities. Contractual obligations include future cash payments required under existing contracts, such as debt and lease agreements. These obligations may result from both general financing activities and from commercial arrangements that are directly supported by related operating activities. Financial commitments represent contingent obligations, such as financial guarantees, that become payable only if specified events occur. Details on these obligations are set forth below.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2003. Cash obligations reflected are not discounted and do not include related interest, accretion or dividends.

	Payments Due by Period						
	Total	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Thereafter
	(in millions)						
Long-Term Debt (including Current Portion)	\$ 6,153	\$ 260	\$ 258	\$ 130	\$ 270	\$ 311	\$ 4,924
Capital Leases	81	81					
Redeemable Preferred Securities	411						411
Operating Leases	1,588	81	81	81	127	147	1,071
Unconditional Purchase Obligations	53	53					
Capacity Payments	2,852	259	243	231	232	232	1,655
Conditional Purchase Obligations	766	222	158	207	127	38	14
Pension Funding Obligations	111	8	57	46			
Other Long-Term Obligations	7	6	1				
Total Contractual Obligations	\$ 12,022	\$ 970	\$ 798	\$ 695	\$ 756	\$ 728	\$ 8,075

Long-Term Debt (including Current Portion). Total amounts of Long-Term Debt (including Current Portion) are included in the December 31, 2003 Consolidated Balance Sheet. For additional explanation, please read Note 12 Debt beginning on page F-41.

Additionally, we have entered into various joint ventures principally to share risk or optimize existing commercial relationships. These joint ventures maintain independent capital structures and, where necessary, have financed their operations on a non-recourse basis to us. Please read Note 9 Unconsolidated Investments beginning on page F-34 for further discussion of these joint ventures.

Capital Leases. Capital leases consist of our Tilton capital lease obligation. Of the \$81 million obligation above, \$71 million is included in the December 31, 2003 Consolidated Balance Sheet as a component of Notes Payable and Current Portion of Long-Term Debt. The \$10 million difference will be accreted over the remaining term of the capital lease through a charge to interest expense with a corresponding increase to short-term debt. We began reflecting the Tilton facility and the related debt in our consolidated balance sheets in September 2003 as a result of

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

our delivery of a notice of our intent to purchase the related turbines upon the lease expiration in September 2004. For additional explanation, please read Note 12 Debt Tilton Capital Lease beginning on page F-46.

Redeemable Preferred Securities. Total amounts of Redeemable Preferred Securities are included in the December 31, 2003 Consolidated Balance Sheet. For additional explanation, please read Note 15 Redeemable Preferred Securities beginning on page F-53.

Operating Leases. Operating leases includes the minimum lease payment obligations associated with our DNE leveraged lease. For additional information, please read Liquidity and Capital Resources Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements DNE Leveraged Lease beginning on page 13. Amounts also include minimum lease payment obligations associated with office and office equipment leases.

Table of Contents

Unconditional Purchase Obligations. Amounts include natural gas and power purchase agreements. For additional information, please read Note 17 Commitments and Contingencies Other Commitments and Contingencies Purchase Obligations beginning on page F-67.

Capacity Payments. Capacity payments include future payments aggregating \$2.3 billion under our four remaining power tolling arrangements, as further described in Item 1. Business Segment Discussion Customer Risk Management beginning on page 18 of our Original Filing. This amount includes the fixed payments associated with a derivative instrument related to the Sithe tolling arrangement, which is reflected at its fair value on our Consolidated Balance Sheet in Risk-Management Liabilities, as well as amounts relating to contracts that are accounted for on an accrual basis. At December 31, 2003, approximately \$325 million of fixed payments have been reflected in the fair value of the Sithe derivative instrument. We are exploring opportunities to renegotiate or terminate one or more of these arrangements on terms we consider economical. Please read Results of Operations 2004 Outlook CRM Outlook beginning on page 35 for further discussion of the anticipated effects of these arrangements on our future results of operations.

In addition, capacity payments include fixed obligations associated with transmission, transportation and storage arrangements totaling approximately \$573 million.

Conditional Purchase Obligations. Amounts include our obligations as of December 31, 2003 to purchase 14 gas-fired turbines. The purchase orders include milestone requirements by the manufacturer and provide us with the ability to cancel each discrete purchase order commitment in exchange for a fee, which escalates over time. The \$479 million included herein assume all 14 turbines will be purchased. In February 2004, we terminated our conditional purchase obligation related to these gas fired turbines as part of a comprehensive settlement agreement with the manufacturer. No cash, other than \$11 million previously paid to the manufacturer as a deposit, is expected to be provided as consideration for the termination.

Amounts also include \$205 million related to Illinois Power's long-term power purchase agreement with AmerGen. The agreement was entered into in connection with the sale of Illinois Power's former Clinton nuclear generation facility in December 1999. Illinois Power is obligated to purchase a predetermined percentage of Clinton's electricity output through 2004 at fixed prices that exceed current and projected wholesale prices. At the time of the sale of the nuclear generation facility, a liability was recorded related to the above-market portion of this purchase agreement, which is being amortized through 2004, based on the expected energy to be purchased from AmerGen.

Amounts also include \$136 million related to our co-sourcing agreement with Accenture Ltd. This 10-year agreement may be cancelled after two years upon the payment of a termination fee.

Pension Funding Obligations. Amounts include estimated defined benefit pension funding obligations for 2004 (\$8 million), 2005 (\$57 million) and 2006 (\$46 million). Although we expect to incur significant funding obligations subsequent to 2006, such amounts have not been included in this table because our estimates are imprecise. Under the terms of the sale of Illinois Power to Ameren, we will be required to accelerate certain of our 2005 cash funding requirements at closing of the sale.

Other Long-Term Obligations. Amounts include decommissioning costs related to Illinois Power's sale of its Clinton nuclear facility in 1999 and decontamination and decommissioning charges associated with Illinois Power's use of a facility that enriched uranium for the Clinton Power Station.

Table of Contents**Contingent Financial Obligations**

The following table provides a summary of our contingent financial obligations as of December 31, 2003 on an undiscounted basis. These obligations represent contingent obligations that may require a payment of cash upon the occurrence of specified events.

	Expiration by Period				
	Total	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More than 5 Years
	(in millions)				
Letters of Credit (1)	\$ 188	\$ 188	\$	\$	\$
Surety Bonds (2)(4)	80	80			
Guarantees (3)	131	13	26	26	66
Total Financial Commitments	\$ 399	\$ 281	\$ 26	\$ 26	\$ 66

- (1) Amounts include outstanding letters of credit.
- (2) Surety bonds are generally on a rolling 12-month basis.
- (3) Amounts include two charter party agreements relating to VLGCs previously utilized in our global liquids business sub-chartered to a wholly owned subsidiary of Transammonia Inc. The terms of the sub-charters are identical to the terms of the original charter party agreements. We are currently in negotiations with the owners of the VLGCs and their lenders to obtain a novation/release of the two charter party agreements and a release of our guarantees.
- (4) \$45 million of the surety bonds were supported by collateral.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In September 2003, we delivered notice of our intent to exercise our option to purchase the Tilton assets upon the expiration of the operating lease in September 2004. As a result of this action, we began accounting for the related lease obligation, which we formerly reported as an off-balance sheet arrangement, as a capital lease. Following is a discussion of our remaining off-balance sheet arrangement.

DNE Leveraged Lease. As described in Item 1. Business Segment Discussion Power Generation Northeast region Northeast Power Coordinating Council (NPCC) beginning on page 5 of our Original Filing, we established our presence in the Northeast region by acquiring the DNE power generating facilities in January 2001 for \$950 million from Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corporation, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. and Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation.

In May 2001, we entered into an asset-backed sale-leaseback transaction relating to these facilities to provide us with long-term financing for our acquisition. In this transaction, which was structured as a sale-leaseback to maximize the value of the facilities and to transfer ownership to the purchaser, we sold for approximately \$920 million four of the six generating units comprising these facilities to Danskammer OL LLC and Roseton OL LLC, each of which was newly formed by an unrelated third-party investor, and we concurrently agreed to lease them back from these entities, which we refer to as the owner lessors. The owner lessors used \$138 million in equity funding from the unrelated third-party investor to fund a portion of the purchase of the respective facilities. The remaining \$800.4 million of the purchase price and the related

transaction expenses was derived from proceeds obtained in a private offering of pass-through trust certificates issued by two of our subsidiaries, Dynegy Danskammer, L.L.C. and Dynegy Roseton, L.L.C., who serve as lessees of the applicable facilities. The pass-through trust certificate structure was employed, as it has been in similar financings historically executed in the airline and energy industries, to optimize the cost of financing the assets and to facilitate a capital markets offering of sufficient size to enable the purchase of the lessor notes from the owner lessors. The pass-through trust certificates were sold to qualified institutional buyers in a private offering and the proceeds were used to purchase debt instruments, referred to as lessor notes, from the owner lessors. The lease payments on the facilities support the principal and interest payments on the pass-through trust certificates, which are ultimately secured by a mortgage on the underlying facilities.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2003, future lease payments are \$60 million for each year 2004 through 2006, with \$1.3 billion in the aggregate due from 2007 through lease expiration. The Roseton lease expires on February 8, 2035 and the Danskammer lease expires on May 8, 2031. We have no option to purchase the leased facilities at the end of their respective lease terms. DHI has guaranteed the lessees' payment and performance obligations under their respective leases on a senior unsecured basis. At December 31, 2003, the present value (discounted at 10%) of future lease payments was \$758 million.

The following table sets forth our lease expenses and lease payments relating to these facilities for the periods presented.

	2003	2002	2001
	—	—	—
	(in millions)		
Lease Expense	\$ 50	\$ 50	\$ 34
Lease Payments (Cash Flows)	\$ 60	\$ 60	\$ 30

If one or more of the leases were to be terminated because of an event of loss, because it had become illegal for the applicable lessee to comply with the lease or because a change in law had made the facility economically or technologically obsolete, DHI would be required to make a termination payment in an amount sufficient to redeem the pass through trust certificates related to the unit or facility for which the lease was terminated at par plus accrued and unpaid interest. As of December 31, 2003, the termination payment at par would be \$997 million for all of the DNE facilities, which exceeds the \$920 million we received on the sale of the facilities. If a termination of this type were to occur with respect to all of the DNE facilities, it would be difficult for DHI to raise sufficient funds to make this termination payment. Alternatively, if one or more of the leases were to be terminated because we determine, for reasons other than as a result of a change in law, that it has become economically or technologically obsolete or that it is no longer useful to our business, DHI must redeem the related pass through trust certificates at par plus a make-whole premium in an amount equal to the discounted present value of the principal and interest payments still owing on the certificates being redeemed less the unpaid principal amount of such certificates at the time of redemption. For this purpose, the discounted present value would be calculated using a discount rate equal to the yield-to-maturity on the most comparable U.S. treasury security plus 50 basis points.

Capital Expenditures

In connection with our restructuring, we have undertaken various efforts to tightly manage costs and capital expenditures. We had approximately \$333 million in capital expenditures during 2003. This is a significant reduction from the approximately \$947 million in capital expenditures during 2002 and reflects our efforts to improve our capital efficiency without compromising the operational integrity of our facilities. Our 2003 capital spending by segment was as follows (in millions):

GEN	\$ 151
NGL	51
REG	126
Other	5
	—
Total	\$ 333

Capital spending in our GEN segment primarily consisted of maintenance capital projects, as well as approximately \$40 million spent on completing the construction of the Rolling Hills facility, which began commercial operation during the summer of 2003. Capital spending in our

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

NGL segment primarily related to maintenance capital projects and wellconnects, as well as \$8 million in development capital at our Cedar Bayou Fractionators, LP. Capital spending in our REG segment primarily related to projects intended to maintain system reliability and new business services.

Table of Contents

We expect capital expenditures for 2004 to approximate \$375 million. This primarily includes maintenance capital projects, environmental projects, contributions to equity investments and limited GEN and NGL development projects. The capital budget is subject to revision as opportunities arise or circumstances change. Estimated funds budgeted for the aforementioned items by segment in 2004 are as follows (in millions):

GEN	\$ 150
NGL	75
REG	140
Other	10
	<hr/>
Total	<u>\$ 375</u>

Increased capital spending in the NGL segment is primarily due to \$20 million for gathering system expansion, additional compression and plant de-bottlenecking in North Texas related to increased gas from the Barnett Shale formation and \$7 million for a significant upgrade in compression technology and efficiencies at our Monument gas processing plant.

As reflected in this section, the capital spending in our NGL segment includes 100% of the expenditures of our consolidated partnerships, Versado Gas Processors, LLC and Cedar Bayou Fractionators, LP. Our ownership percentages of these partnerships are 63% and 88%, respectively, and net funding equal to our ownership percentage is achieved through adjustments to partnership distributions. Adjusted for our partners' share of capital expenditures, our expenditures would have been \$45 million in 2003 and are expected to be \$67 million in 2004.

Our capital expenditures in 2004 and beyond will be limited by negative covenants contained in our restructured credit agreements. These covenants place specific dollar limitations on our ability to incur capital expenditures except in our REG segment. Please read Note 11 Refinancing and Restructuring Transactions beginning on page F-39 for further discussion of these transactions.

Financing Trigger Events

Our debt instruments and other financial obligations include provisions, which, if not met, could require early payment, additional collateral support or similar actions. These trigger events include leverage ratios and other financial covenants, insolvency events, defaults on scheduled principal or interest payments, changes in law resulting in loss of tax-exempt status on certain bond issuances, acceleration of other financial obligations and change of control provisions. We do not have any trigger events tied to specified credit ratings or stock price in our debt instruments and have not executed any transactions that require us to issue equity based on credit ratings or other trigger events.

Commitments and Contingencies

Please read Note 17 Commitments and Contingencies beginning on page F-56, which is incorporated herein by reference, for a discussion of our commitments and contingencies.

Dividends on Preferred and Common Stock

Dividend payments on our common stock are at the discretion of our Board of Directors. We do not foresee a declaration of dividends in the near term, particularly given the dividend restrictions contained in our financing agreements. We have, however, continued to make the required dividend payments on our outstanding trust preferred securities. Please read Note 11 Refinancing and Restructuring Transactions beginning on page F-39 for a discussion of the dividend restrictions contained in our financing agreements.

Table of Contents

The Series B Preferred Stock issued to ChevronTexaco in November 2001 had no dividend requirement. Because of ChevronTexaco's discounted conversion option, however, we accreted an implied preferred stock dividend over the redemption period, as required by GAAP. Please read Note 15 Redeemable Preferred Securities beginning on page F-53 for further discussion of this non-cash implied dividend. In conjunction with the Series B Exchange, we recognized a gain of approximately \$1.2 billion as a preferred stock dividend during 2003.

We accrue dividends on our Series C preferred stock at a rate of 5.5% per annum. We accrued \$8 million in dividends during the year ended December 31, 2003. We did not make any dividend payments on the Series C preferred stock during the year ended December 31, 2003. However, we made the first semi-annual dividend payment of \$11 million on February 11, 2004, as a result of which capacity under our revolving credit facility was reduced by \$11 million. Dividends are payable on the Series C preferred stock in February and August of each year, but we may defer payments for up to 10 consecutive semi-annual periods. Please read Note 15 Redeemable Preferred Securities beginning on page F-53 for further discussion.

Internal Liquidity Sources

Our primary internal liquidity sources are cash flows from operations, cash on hand and available capacity under our \$1.1 billion revolving credit facility, which is scheduled to mature on February 15, 2005.

Cash Flows from Operations. We had operating cash flows of \$876 million in 2003, which included approximately \$500 million associated with our CRM business and \$110 million from a federal income tax refund, neither of which is expected to be repeated in 2004. For 2004, we have projected operating cash flows of \$150 to \$185 million. This projection, which is subject to change based on a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control, reflects \$825 to \$850 million in forecasted operating cash flows from our GEN, NGL and REG business segments, offset by projected cash outflows of \$180 to \$185 million from our customer risk management business and \$485 to \$490 million in corporate-level expenses, including interest.

Our operating cash flows are significantly impacted by commodity prices, particularly in our power generation and NGL businesses. Although the depressed frac spread is negatively impacting our NGL segment's downstream operations, our upstream business is currently operating in, and is expected to continue to operate in, a favorable pricing environment. However, our power generation business is currently operating in a relatively weak pricing environment due to overcapacity in the markets we serve. Management believes, however, that the U.S. power markets will improve and reach a state of equilibrium—a condition where supply equals demand plus a reasonable reserve—over the longer term. This belief is based on various market indicators, including projected supply-demand imbalances and the perceived reaction to the risk of supply interruption. If equilibrium were to occur in one or more of the regions in which we operate, we expect that the pricing environment in the applicable regions would significantly improve. As a result, baseload and dual-fuel plants would produce higher earnings and cash flows and peaking plants would be more economical to operate.

As described above, much of the restructuring work that we have done has extended our significant debt maturities to 2008 and beyond, positioning us to benefit from this expected long-term recovery in the U.S. power markets. Our future financial condition and results of operations will be materially adversely affected if the U.S. power markets fail to recover in accordance with our expectations or if we experience significant price deterioration in the upstream portion of the NGL segment. Please read Item 1. Business Segment Discussion Power Generation beginning on page 2 of our Original Filing for a discussion of our current views on supply and demand in the regions where our power generation business operates.

Over the longer term, our operating cash flows also will be impacted by, among other things, our ability to tightly manage our operating costs and to renew or replace our CDWR agreement. With respect to costs, we launched a value creation project in early 2003, a company-wide initiative focused on identifying opportunities to improve our operational efficiencies. In connection with this project, we have undertaken a number of initiatives,

Table of Contents

including our October 2003 co-sourcing agreement with Accenture Ltd. and a centralized procurement program, designed to reduce costs across the company. We also have sharpened our focus on reducing operating costs and, in January 2004, entered into a new rail transportation contract that we anticipate will reduce the fees associated with fuel procurement at our coal-fired generation facilities. Our ability to achieve these cost savings in the face of industry-wide increases in labor and benefits costs will impact our future operating cash flows.

In addition, our CDWR power purchase agreement expires by its terms on December 31, 2004. Our share of West Coast Power's revenues under this agreement in 2003 totaled \$305 million. If we are unable to renew or replace this agreement, we would seek to sell the associated energy and capacity into the open market, where our operating cash flows would be dependent on then prevailing market prices. We expect that the generating facilities supporting the CDWR contract would be significantly less profitable as merchant facilities.

Cash on Hand. At February 23, 2004 and December 31, 2003, we had cash on hand of \$397 million and \$477 million, respectively. We intend to continue our disciplined cash management practices to maintain our cash position. For example, we have been, and intend to continue, substituting more cash as collateral with certain high-credit quality counterparties than letters of credit under our revolving credit facility. This has resulted in reduced letter of credit fees relative to cash interest income. However, unforeseen events such as legal judgments or regulatory requirements, as well as litigation settlements or contract terminations, could negatively impact our ability to do so.

Revolver Capacity. Our primary credit facility is DHI's \$1.1 billion revolving credit facility, which is scheduled to mature on February 15, 2005. We currently have no drawn amounts under this facility, although as of February 23, 2004, we had \$222 million in letters of credit issued under the facility. Our ability to borrow and/or issue letters of credit under a revolving credit facility could become increasingly important, particularly if we are unable to generate operating cash flows relative to our substantial debt obligations and ongoing operating requirements or to realize the asset sale proceeds we anticipate. We currently plan to pursue such a renewal or replacement during 2004, although we cannot guarantee that we will be successful in this pursuit. We expect to incur significant fees in connection with any such renewal or replacement. Please see Note 11 Refinancing and Restructuring Transactions Credit Facility Restructuring beginning on page F-39 for a discussion of the fees we incurred in connection with our April 2003 credit facility restructuring.

Current Liquidity. During 2003, we maintained a strong liquidity position, averaging total available liquidity of approximately \$1.5 billion. The following table summarizes our consolidated credit capacity and liquidity position at February 23, 2004, December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002:

	February 23, 2004	December 31, 2003	December 31, 2002
	_____	_____	_____
	(in millions)		
Total Revolver Capacity	\$ 1,088(1)	\$ 1,100(2)	\$ 1,400
Outstanding Loans			(228)
Outstanding Letters of Credit Under Revolving Credit Facility	(222)	(188)	(872)
	_____	_____	_____
Unused Revolver Capacity	866	912	300
Cash (3)	397(4)	477	757
Liquid Inventory (5)			258
	_____	_____	_____
Total Available Liquidity	\$ 1,263(6)	\$ 1,389(6)	\$ 1,315
	_____	_____	_____

(1)

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

The February 23, 2004 amount reflects \$12 million of mandatory reductions of our revolving credit facility related to asset sales and dividend payments on the Series C preferred stock.

- (2) Reflects the conversion of \$200 million of credit capacity under the former DHI revolving credit facilities into the Term A loan in connection with the April 2003 restructuring of such facilities, as well as the May 2003 payment of the final \$100 million then outstanding under Illinois Power's termed out revolving credit facility.

Table of Contents

- (3) Reflects \$95 million repayment of Illinova senior notes on February 2, 2004.
- (4) Includes approximately \$40 million of cash that remains in Canada and the U.K. that is associated primarily with contingent liabilities relating to our former Canadian and U.K. marketing and trading operations.
- (5) Amounts reflected for 2003 and 2004 periods do not include liquid inventory, as we have sold the natural gas inventories that comprised that item and converted them to cash.
- (6) Includes approximately \$71 million and \$17 million, respectively, of liquidity at Illinois Power. Please read Item 1. Business Regulation beginning on page 21 of our Original Filing for a discussion of ICC regulations that restrict our ability to receive cash dividends from Illinois Power. Please also read Note 23 Subsequent Event beginning on page F-86 for a discussion of our pending sale of Illinois Power to Ameren.

External Liquidity Sources

Our primary external liquidity sources are proceeds from asset sales and other types of capital-raising transactions, including potential equity issuances.

Asset Sale Proceeds. As indicated above, assuming continuation of the current commodity pricing environment, our estimated operating cash flows for 2004 will be insufficient to satisfy our capital expenditures, debt maturities, increased interest expenses and operating commitments. Accordingly, the receipt of proceeds from asset sales that we are currently pursuing or considering will significantly impact our near-term financial condition.

In February 2004, we entered into an agreement to sell Illinois Power and our 20% interest in the Joppa power generation facility to Ameren for \$2.3 billion. Upon closing of the transaction, which is subject to regulatory approval and other closing conditions, we would receive \$400 million in cash, subject to working capital adjustments, and Ameren would put \$100 million in escrow, subject to full release to us on December 31, 2010 or earlier upon the occurrence of specified events. Please read Note 23 Subsequent Event beginning on page F-86 for further discussion of the transaction, which is expected to close before the end of 2004, and the required use of proceeds.

In an effort to maximize our return on investment and to further clarify our business strategy, we are pursuing or considering sales of other assets that we do not consider core to our operations. These assets primarily include our ownership interests in certain non-strategic and international power generation facilities, as further described in Item 1. Business Segment Discussion Power Generation beginning on page 2 of our Original Filing, as well as our minority ownership interests in a gas processing plant and Gulf Coast Fractionators, a partnership that owns a fractionator in Mont Belvieu. The sales of these non-core assets, together with other potential payments relating to our prior sale of the Hackberry LNG project, are expected to generate aggregate cash proceeds of \$255 to \$270 million in 2004. These aggregate proceeds include approximately \$5.5 million in proceeds received in January 2004 in connection with the sale of our Jamaica investment. Generally, the aggregate projected earnings impact of these transactions is not considered material and is expected to be offset substantially by net gains on sale in 2004.

We are in the late stages of negotiations to sell our remaining interest in the Hackberry LNG project. Commercial conditions affecting projects of this type have reduced the value of our interest, which primarily included rights to future earnings from the project. As a result, we could agree to a sale of our interest at a price that would reduce the \$255 to \$270 million in anticipated sale proceeds above by \$30 to \$35 million.

Our desire or ability to effect these transactions is subject to a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control, including the market for the subject assets and investments and the receipt of any regulatory and other approvals that may be required. Accordingly, we cannot make any guarantees that these sales will be consummated or that the expected proceeds will be received. In addition, if the sales are consummated while the Junior Notes remain outstanding, we are required to use: (i) 75% of the net cash proceeds from the sale of Illinois Power to pay down the

Junior Notes and 25% of the net cash proceeds to reduce the commitments of the

Table of Contents

revolver; (ii) 25% of the net cash proceeds from other sales to pay down the Junior Notes; and (iii) 25% of the net cash proceeds from other sales to reduce permanently or cash collateralize the commitments under our revolving credit facility up to a maximum of \$100 million. If the Junior Notes are not outstanding, 100% of the net cash proceeds from asset sales are required to be used, subject to certain exceptions, to reduce the commitments under the revolver. We intend to use the remaining proceeds to pay transaction fees and expenses and to repay other outstanding debt.

Although no other asset sales or related transactions have been specifically identified, we discuss and evaluate merger and acquisition activities as part of our ongoing business strategy.

Capital-Raising Transactions. As part of our ongoing efforts to develop a capital structure that is more closely aligned with the cash-generating potential of our asset-based businesses, we intend to explore additional capital-raising transactions both in the near- and longer term. These transactions could include public or private equity issuances. Our ability to issue public equity is enhanced by our effective shelf registration statement, under which we have approximately \$430 million in remaining availability. However, the receptiveness of the capital markets to a public equity issuance cannot be assured and may be negatively impacted by, among other things, our non-investment grade credit ratings, significant debt maturities, long-term business prospects and other factors beyond our control. Our ability to issue private equity could be similarly affected and, if such an issuance were completed, would likely be more costly, both in terms of required rates of return and other requirements typically associated with this type of transaction. Any issuance of equity likely would have other effects as well, including shareholder dilution.

The proceeds from any such issuance would be subject to the mandatory prepayment provisions of our revolving credit agreement and second secured senior notes indenture, which generally do not require prepayment for the first \$250 million in proceeds, which may be used for repayment of the Junior Notes and for dollar-for-dollar commitment reduction under our revolving credit facility up to a maximum of \$100 million. Please see Note 12 Debt DHI Credit Facility beginning on page F-42 for further discussion.

Conclusion

During 2003, we completed a series of refinancing and restructuring transactions that included sales of nearly \$2.0 billion in DHI second priority senior secured notes and Dynegy convertible subordinated debentures. We used the net proceeds from these offerings, together with cash on hand, to repay approximately \$2.0 billion in 2005-2006 debt maturities. We also made a \$225 million cash payment to ChevronTexaco as part of the Series B Exchange. As a result of these transactions, we have extended a substantial portion of our debt maturities from 2005-2006 to 2008 and beyond and eliminated the uncertainty that surrounded the Series B Preferred Stock.

For the next 12 months, assuming continuation of the current commodity pricing environment, we expect that our operating cash flows will be insufficient to satisfy our capital expenditures, debt maturities, increased interest expenses and operating commitments. When combined with our cash on hand, proceeds from anticipated asset sales and capacity under our \$1.1 billion revolving credit facility, however, we believe we have sufficient capital resources to discharge these obligations during this period. In order to further our deleveraging efforts, we also intend to explore other capital-raising activities, including potential public or private equity issuances. Our ability to raise additional funds may impact our ability to settle our significant ongoing litigation, as well as one or more of our four remaining power tolling arrangements, with respect to which we have substantial fixed payment obligations extending well into the future.

Over the longer term, our liquidity position and financial condition will be materially affected by a number of factors, including our ability to consummate the Illinois Power sale to Ameren and to generate cash flows from our asset-based energy businesses in relation to our debt and

commercial obligations, including a substantial increase in interest expense, the fixed payment obligations associated with our CRM business and counterparty collateral requirements. The sale of Illinois Power would provide significant cash proceeds to repay

Table of Contents

outstanding debt and advance our business strategy of focusing on our unregulated energy businesses. Our future financial success is also substantially dependent on our ability to renew or replace our \$1.1 billion revolving credit facility, which is scheduled to mature on February 15, 2005, with respect to which our ability to borrow and/or issue letters of credit could become increasingly important.

Our ability to generate operating cash flows from our asset-based energy businesses will be impacted by a number of factors, some of which are beyond our control, including weather, commodity prices, particularly for power and natural gas, and the success of our ongoing efforts to manage operating costs and capital expenditures. Over the longer term we believe that power prices will improve in some or all of the regions in which we operate as the supply-demand imbalance for power decreases. Much of the restructuring work that we did in 2003 has extended our significant debt maturities from 2005-2006 to 2008 and beyond, positioning us to benefit from earnings and growth opportunities associated with this expected recovery in the U.S. power markets. Conversely, although depressed frac spreads have negatively impacted our NGL segment's downstream operations, our upstream business is currently operating in a relatively favorable pricing environment. Our future financial condition and results of operations will be materially affected if the U.S. power markets fail to recover in accordance with our expectations or if we experience significant pricing deterioration in the NGL segment.

Please read [Uncertainty of Forward-Looking Statements and Information](#) for additional factors that could impact our future operating results and financial condition.

Table of Contents

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview and Discussion of Comparability of Results. In this section, we discuss our results of operations, both on a consolidated basis and, where appropriate, by segment, for 2003, 2002 and 2001. At the end of this section, we have included our 2004 outlook for each segment.

As reflected in this report, we have changed our reporting segments. We historically reported results for the following four business segments: WEN, DMS, T&D and DGC. Beginning January 1, 2003, we have been reporting our operations in the following segments: GEN, NGL, REG and CRM. Other reported results include corporate overhead and our discontinued communications business. All corporate overhead included in other reported results was allocated to our four former reporting segments prior to January 1, 2003. Beginning January 1, 2003, all direct general and administrative expenses incurred by us on behalf of our subsidiaries are charged to the applicable subsidiary as incurred. In addition, all interest expense was allocated to our four former reporting segments prior to January 1, 2003. Other income (expense) items incurred by us on behalf of our subsidiaries are allocated directly to the four segments.

Prior to January 1, 2003, the GEN and CRM segments were operated together as an asset-based third-party marketing, trading and risk-management business, then referred to as the WEN segment. Please read Note 21 Segment Information beginning on page F-79 for a discussion of the impact of comparing segment results period over period. Regarding our results of operations for 2003, 2002 and 2001, the impact of acquisition and disposition activity reduces the comparability of some of our historical financial and volumetric data. Lastly, recent accounting pronouncements have affected our financial results, particularly those of our CRM business, so as to further reduce the comparability of some of our historical financial data. For example, the rescission of EITF Issue 98-10, effective January 1, 2003, has reduced the number of contracts accounted for on a mark-to-market basis in the 2003 period as compared to the 2002 and 2001 periods. Please read Results of Operations Cumulative Effect of Change in Accounting Principles beginning on page 31 for further discussion.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures. Management uses EBIT as one measure of financial performance of our business segments. EBIT is a non-GAAP financial measure and consists of operating income (loss), earnings (losses) from unconsolidated investments, other income and expense, net, minority interest income (expense), accumulated distributions associated with trust preferred securities, discontinued operations and cumulative effect of change in accounting principles. EBIT does not include interest expense or income taxes, each of which is evaluated on a consolidated level. Because we do not allocate interest expense and income taxes by segment, management believes that EBIT is a useful measure of our segment's operating performance for investors. EBIT should not be considered an alternative to, or more meaningful than, net income or cash flows from operations as determined in accordance with GAAP. Our segment and consolidated EBIT may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies.

Year Ended December 31, 2001

	GEN	NGL	REG	CRM	Other and Eliminations	Total
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
				(Restated)		
Operating income	\$ 391	\$ 133	\$ 182	\$ 265	\$	\$ 971
Earnings (losses) from unconsolidated investments	202	13		(24)		191
Other items, net	(5)	(3)	2	(54)		(60)
Discontinued operations		(2)		(25)	(100)	(127)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principles				3		3
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Earnings (loss) before interest and taxes	\$ 588	\$ 141	\$ 184	\$ 165	\$ (100)	\$ 978
Interest expense						(255)
						<u> </u>
Pre-tax income						723
Income tax provision						(324)
						<u> </u>
Net income						\$ 399
						<u> </u>

Table of Contents

The following table provides summary segmented operating statistics for 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Power Generation			
Million megawatt hours generated gross	39.1	39.8	40.3
Million megawatt hours generated net	37.2	37.4	34.5
Average natural gas price Henry Hub (\$/MMbtu) (1)	\$ 5.28	\$ 3.35	\$ 3.90
Average on-peak market power prices (\$/MW hour)			
Cinergy	\$ 37.26	\$ 26.89	\$ 34.85
Commonwealth Edison	36.73	26.45	34.15
Southern	41.27	30.10	38.30
New York Zone G	61.47	46.36	51.51
ERCOT	44.89	29.10	39.26
Natural Gas Liquids			
Natural gas processing volumes (MBbls/d):			
Field plants	59.6	56.0	56.1
Straddle plants	25.6	35.9	27.7
Total natural gas processing volumes	85.2	91.9	83.8
Fractionation volumes (MBbls/d)	185.3	215.2	226.2
Natural gas liquids sold (MBbls/d)	311.7	498.8	557.4
Average commodity prices:			
Crude oil WTI (\$/Bbl)	\$ 31.01	\$ 25.75	\$ 26.39
Natural gas Henry Hub (\$/MMbtu) (2)	\$ 5.38	\$ 3.22	\$ 4.26
Natural gas liquids (\$/Gal)	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.45
Fractionation spread (\$/MMBtu) first of month	\$ 0.87	\$ 1.26	\$ 0.88
Fractionation spread (\$/MMBtu) daily	\$ 0.79	\$ 1.13	\$ 1.15
Regulated Energy Delivery			
Electric sales in KWH (millions)			
Residential	5,309	5,548	5,202
Commercial	4,413	4,415	4,337
Industrial	6,123	6,306	6,353
Transportation of customer-owned electricity	2,382	2,505	2,645
Other	374	370	373
Total electric sales	18,601	19,144	18,910
Gas sales in Therms (millions)			
Residential	337	323	315
Commercial	145	137	136
Industrial	70	80	88
Transportation of customer-owned gas	226	233	246
Total gas delivered	778	773	785
Cooling degree days Actual (3)	980	1,467	1,302
Cooling degree days 10-year rolling average	1,214	1,246	1,297
Heating degree days Actual (4)	5,256	5,118	4,749

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

Heating degree days 10-year rolling average	4,930	5,002	5,032
---	-------	-------	-------

- (1) Calculated as the average of the daily gas prices for the period.
- (2) Calculated as the average of the first of the month prices for the period.
- (3) A Cooling Degree Day (CDD) represents the number of degrees that the mean temperature for a particular day is above 65 degrees Fahrenheit in our region. The CDDs for a period of time are computed by adding the CDDs for each day during the period.
- (4) A Heating Degree Day (HDD) represents the number of degrees that the mean temperature for a particular day is below 65 degrees Fahrenheit in our region. The HDDs for a period of time are computed by adding the HDDs for each day during the period.

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize significant items on a pre-tax basis, with the exception of the 2003 tax item, affecting net income (loss) for the periods presented.

Year Ended December 31, 2003					
GEN	NGL	REG	CRM	Other	Total
(in millions)					
Goodwill impairment	\$	\$	\$ (311)	\$	\$ (311)
Asset impairment			(193)		(193)
Southern Power tolling settlement			(133)		(133)
Sithe power tolling contract			(121)		(121)
Second quarter accrual of legal reserve				(50)	(50)
Batesville tolling settlement			(34)		(34)
Kroger settlement			(30)		(30)
Discontinued operations		(2)	(3)	(30)	(28)
Impairment of generation investments	(26)				(26)
Acceleration of financing costs				(24)	(24)
West Coast Power goodwill impairment	(20)				(20)
Impairment of fractionator investment		(12)			(12)
Taxes	(1)			34	33
Gain on sale of Hackberry LNG		25		2	27
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principles	24		(3)	43	64
Total	\$ (23)	\$ 11	\$ (510)	\$ (33)	\$ (858)

Year Ended December 31, 2002					
GEN	NGL	REG	CRM	Other	Total
(in millions)					
Discontinued operations	\$	\$ (37)	\$ (561)	\$ (51)	\$ (854)
Goodwill impairment	(489)			(325)	(814)
Restructuring costs	(42)	(19)	(23)	(73)	(157)
Impairment of generation investments	(144)				(144)
Generation equity earnings (loss)	(50)				(50)
Impairment of technology investments	(5)	(4)	(2)	(20)	(31)
Tolling settlement accrual				(25)	(25)
Illinois Power regulatory asset amortization expense			(23)		(23)
ChevronTexaco contract settlement				(22)	(22)
Enron settlement	(6)	(4)	(2)	(9)	(21)
Other (1)	(23)	(3)	(1)	(37)	(64)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle				(234)	(234)
Total	\$ (759)	\$ (67)	\$ (612)	\$ (562)	\$ (3,088)

Year Ended December 31, 2001					
GEN	NGL	REG	CRM	Other	Total

			(in millions)			
Discontinued operations	\$	\$ (2)	\$	\$ (25)	\$ (100)	\$ (127)
Enron bankruptcy exposure				(129)		(129)
Illinois Power severance costs			(15)			(15)
Terminated Enron merger costs	(2)	(1)	(3)	(3)	(1)	(10)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle				3		3
Total	\$	(2)	\$	(3)	\$	(18)
				\$	(154)	\$
					\$	(101)
						\$
						(278)

- (1) Other includes a pre-tax charge of approximately \$25 million related to the write-off of our investment in *Dynegydirect* and a pre-tax charge of approximately \$14 million associated with the impairment of a generation turbine. These amounts are included in Impairment and other charges. Other also includes various other individually insignificant items.

Table of Contents

Operating Income (Loss)

Operating income (loss) was \$(569) million in 2003, compared to \$(1,058) million and \$971 million in 2002 and 2001, respectively.

GEN. Operating income (loss) for the GEN segment was \$194 million in 2003, compared to \$(341) million and \$391 million in 2002 and 2001, respectively. Operating income for 2003 included general and administrative expense of \$61 million and depreciation and amortization expense of \$188 million. Please see **Other** beginning on page 28 for a consolidated discussion of general and administrative expense and depreciation and amortization expense.

Operating income for 2002 included the following charges:

a \$489 million impairment of goodwill (please see Note 10 **Goodwill** beginning on page F-38 for further discussion);

\$42 million charge associated with this segment's allocated portion of costs incurred in connection with our corporate restructuring and related work force reductions (please see Note 4 **Restructuring and Impairment Charges - Severance and Other Restructuring Costs** beginning on page F-27 for further discussion);

\$14 million associated with the impairment of a turbine; and

\$6 million associated with fees related to a voluntary action that we took that altered the accounting for certain lease obligations.

In addition, operating income for 2002 included general and administrative expense of \$66 million and depreciation and amortization expense of \$175 million. Operating income for 2001 included general and administrative expense of \$103 million and depreciation and amortization expense of \$163 million.

Operating income in 2003 included a \$34 million benefit related to pricing and a \$51 million benefit due to generated volumes versus 2002. GEN's results for 2003 reflect higher power prices on average as compared to 2002. This is primarily driven by higher demand in the Midwest and Northeast regions given colder than expected weather conditions during the first half of 2003. Average on-peak prices in the Midwest and Northeast regions during 2003 increased 39 percent and 33 percent, respectively, from the corresponding prices for 2002. The earnings from our peaking generation facilities, which include both capacity and energy sales, were unfavorably impacted by compressed natural gas spark spreads and overcapacity in the generation marketplace. Overall, volumes remained relatively flat to 2002; however, the net MW hours in the Midwest and Northeast were 21.1 million and 5.7 million, respectively, for 2003 compared to 20.4 million and 3.6 million, respectively, for 2002.

Operating income for 2002 included approximately \$30 million associated with favorable fuel supply contracts that expired in 2002. Additionally, revenues associated with the DNE facilities decreased approximately \$20 million in 2003 as compared to 2002. This decrease primarily reflects reduced income recognized through amortization of a liability established for a transitional power purchase agreement acquired from the seller of the facilities as part of the acquisition, which agreement expires in October 2004. Finally, 2003 operating income includes an \$11 million charge related to a comprehensive settlement agreement with a manufacturer of turbines in which we agreed in principle to forfeit a prepayment in the amount of \$11 million.

Operating income in 2002 included a \$155 million decrease related to pricing and a \$50 million benefit due to generated volumes versus 2001. GEN s results for 2002 reflect lower power prices on average as compared to 2001. This was primarily driven by a weakening economy, significantly compressed natural gas spark spreads

Table of Contents

and milder than normal summer and winter temperatures. Average on-peak prices in the Midwest and Northeast regions during 2002 decreased 23 percent and 10 percent, respectively, from the corresponding prices for 2001. Volumes increased in 2002 by 8 percent over 2001 primarily due to increased coal-fired production in the Midwest. The net MW hours generated by our Midwest and Northeast facilities were 18 million and 4.3 million, respectively, for 2001.

The decrease in operating income for 2002 also results from the fact that 2001 included approximately \$50 million in revenue generating capacity contracts that expired and were not renewed in 2002. Also, revenues associated with the DNE facilities decreased approximately \$40 million in 2002 as compared to 2001. This decrease primarily reflects reduced income recognized through the amortization of a liability established for a transitional power purchase agreement acquired from the seller of the facilities as part of the acquisition, which agreement expires in October 2004.

GEN's reported operating income for the 2003 period also includes approximately \$4 million of mark-to-market income related to purchases and sales that did not meet the criteria for hedge accounting under SFAS No. 133 and, therefore, were accounted for on a mark-to-market basis. GEN's results for the 2002 and 2001 periods include approximately \$8 million and \$11 million, respectively, of mark-to-market income related to derivative contracts that did not qualify as hedges.

In December 2003, we tested certain 100% owned assets for impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 144, based on the identification of certain trigger events. These triggers indicated that our Bluegrass, Calcasieu, Riverside, Rockingham and Rolling Hills peaking facilities could be impaired due to decreased spark spreads and other market factors. After performing the test, it was concluded that no impairment was necessary as the estimated undiscounted cash flows exceeded the book value of the respective asset.

Operating income for 2002 and 2001 reflects the sale to our CRM segment of the fair value of GEN's generation capacity, forward sales and related trading positions at an internally determined transfer price. For 2003, operating income for the GEN segment reflects the sale of power to third parties at market prices.

NGL. Operating income for the NGL segment was \$170 million in 2003, compared to \$77 million and \$133 million in 2002 and 2001, respectively. Operating income for 2003 included general and administrative expense of \$37 million and depreciation and amortization expense of \$81 million. Please see "Other" beginning on page 28 for a consolidated discussion of general and administrative expense and depreciation and amortization expense. 2003 operating income also included a \$25 million gain associated with the sale of our Hackberry LNG project. Please see Note 3 "Discontinued Operations, Dispositions, Contract Terminations and Acquisitions" Dispositions and Contract Termination Hackberry LNG Project beginning on page F-25 for further discussion.

Operating income for 2002 included \$19 million in charges relating to this segment's allocated portion of costs incurred in connection with our corporate restructuring and related work force reductions, as well as general and administrative expense of \$36 million and depreciation and amortization expense of \$88 million. Operating income for 2001 included general and administrative expense of \$48 million and depreciation and amortization expense of \$84 million.

The decrease in operating income in 2002 as compared to 2001 and 2003 relates primarily to the upstream business. As compared to 2002, 2001 and 2003 experienced higher natural gas and natural gas liquids prices, which resulted in a significant increase in processing plant margins at our field plants, where our frac spread risk is largely mitigated as a result of our substantial POP and POL contracts. In addition to favorable pricing, volumes of natural gas liquids produced at our field plants were 6% higher in 2003 as compared to 2002 and 2001. This is primarily due to increased production in the highly active drilling area in North Texas. Our 2003 straddle plant volumes were substantially in line with 2001

volumes, but much lower as compared to 2002 because of the low frac spread, which resulted in our decision to by-pass unprofitable gas or to shut-down some of our plants that are subject to significant frac spread risk and whose contract mix is substantially made up of KW contracts.

Table of Contents

In our downstream business, volumes available for fractionation have steadily declined over each of the last three years from 226 MBbls per day in 2001 to 185 MBbls per day in 2003 as a direct result of reduced natural gas liquids recovery from both our own and from third-party gas processing plants due to the low frac spread. Additionally, some of our competitors' recent expansion of Mont Belvieu area fractionation capacity beyond the availability of raw natural gas liquids supplies has increased competition for supplies, leading to lower fees charged for fractionation service in the area.

In our wholesale marketing operations, profits were higher due to margin increases resulting from weather-driven propane sales in the first quarter and the impact of higher commodity prices on contracts where we retain a percentage of the sales price as our fee for marketing natural gas liquids on behalf of others, such as in our refinery services agreements and our natural gas liquids marketing agreements with ChevronTexaco. NGL's marketing results declined from prior period levels as a result of reduced overall market liquidity and customer concerns relating to our liquidity and non-investment grade credit status. Finally, downstream operating income for 2002 and 2001 includes income of approximately \$18 million and \$14 million, respectively, related to our Canadian crude business, which was sold in August 2002. Although our marketed volumes declined from approximately 498,800 barrels per day in 2002 to approximately 311,700 barrels per day during 2003 due to reduced domestic marketing opportunities and the divestiture of our global liquids business, effective January 1, 2003, this decline had little impact on our operating income, as the financial impact of our global liquids business is included in discontinued operations for all periods presented. The global liquids business sold an average of 95,500 barrels per day in 2002.

REG. Operating income (loss) for the REG segment was \$(302) million in 2003, compared to \$157 million and \$182 million in 2002 and 2001, respectively. Operating income for 2003 included a \$504 million charge for the impairment of goodwill and other assets associated with this segment, as further described in Note 10 Goodwill beginning on page F-38, as well as general and administrative expense of \$68 million and depreciation and amortization expense of \$121 million. Please see Other beginning on page 28 for a consolidated discussion of general and administrative expense and depreciation and amortization expense.

Operating income for 2002 included restructuring charges of \$23 million, as well as general and administrative expense of \$67 million and depreciation and amortization expense of \$175 million. Operating income for 2001 included a \$15 million charge for severance costs, as well as general and administrative expense of \$65 million and depreciation and amortization expense of \$171 million.

We were negatively impacted in 2003 as compared to 2002 by cooler than normal spring and summer weather partially offset by colder than normal winter weather, which caused net decreases in residential and commercial electricity sales volumes and increases in residential and commercial gas sales volumes. Additionally, revenues during 2003 and 2002 attributable to the sale of electricity to residential customers were negatively impacted by a 5% rate reduction effective May 1, 2002. 2002 operating income was favorably impacted as compared to 2001 due to weather-related increases in electric and gas residential and commercial sales volumes. The decrease in industrial revenues from 2001 to 2003 is primarily due to unfavorable economic conditions.

CRM. Operating income (loss) for the CRM segment was \$(385) million in 2003, compared to \$(951) million and \$265 million in 2002 and 2001, respectively. Results for 2003 were impacted by the following pre-tax losses:

\$133 million charge associated with the settlement of power tolling arrangements with Southern Power, for which we paid \$155 million;

\$121 million mark-to-market loss on contracts associated with the Sithe Independence power tolling arrangement;

Table of Contents

\$34 million charge associated with the cash settlement of the Batesville tolling arrangement; and

\$30 million associated with the settlement of power supply agreements with Kroger, for which we received approximately \$110 million.

In addition, 2003 results include losses associated with fixed payments on power tolling arrangements in excess of realized margins on power generated and sold pursuant to these arrangements. These items were offset by gains totaling approximately \$61 million associated with sales of natural gas in storage which had previously been recorded at fair value. Please read Note 2 Accounting Policies Revenue Recognition beginning on page F-15 for additional details.

Results for 2002 were impacted by the following items:

\$325 million charge for the impairment of goodwill (for further information, please see Note 10 Goodwill beginning on page F-38);

\$73 million in costs associated with our corporate restructuring and related work force reductions (for further information, please see Note 4 Restructuring and Impairment Charges Severance and Other Restructuring Costs beginning on page F-27);

\$25 million in charges associated with the settlement of tolling contracts;

\$25 million in charges associated with the write-off of our investment in *Dynegydirect*; and

\$7 million in losses associated with the sale of our Canadian physical gas business to Seminole.

In addition, 2002 results included general and administrative expense of \$154 million and depreciation and amortization expense of \$28 million. Please see Other below for a consolidated discussion of general and administrative expense and depreciation and amortization expense. Finally, 2002 results were negatively impacted by reduced gas marketing volumes as a result of reduced market liquidity and our lower credit ratings.

Results for 2001 were impacted by the following:

\$129 million charge relating to exposure to Enron as a result of its Chapter 11 filing;

\$35 million mark-to-market gain on the Sithe Independence power tolling arrangement; and

Higher commodity prices and price and basis volatility as well as market liquidity.

In addition, 2001 results included general and administrative expense of \$205 million and depreciation and amortization expense of \$34 million.

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

During 2002 and 2001, the CRM segment was actively managed as part of our ongoing strategy and its results included, in part, settlement with third parties of physical power and other trading positions purchased from our GEN segment at an internally determined transfer price. Please read Note 21 Segment Information beginning on page F-79 for further discussion.

Other. Other operating income (loss) was \$(246) million in 2003, compared to zero in 2002 and 2001. The \$(246) million loss in 2003 primarily relates to general and administrative expenses and depreciation and amortization expenses which are incurred at a corporate level. Prior to 2003, these costs were allocated to the segments.

Consolidated general and administrative expenses were \$366 million in 2003, compared to \$325 million and \$420 million in 2002 and 2001, respectively. The \$41 million increase from 2002 to 2003 is principally the result of the \$50 million second quarter 2003 litigation reserve and higher professional fees, offset by significantly

Table of Contents

lower compensation costs in the 2003 period resulting from the work force reductions. The \$95 million decrease from 2001 to 2002 is primarily due to lower compensation expenses in the 2002 period, due to the June 2002 and October 2002 work force reductions, which included 325 and 780 people, respectively, as well as a reduction in variable compensation expense.

Consolidated depreciation and amortization expenses were \$454 million in 2003, compared to \$466 million and \$456 million in 2002 and 2001, respectively. The \$12 million decrease from 2002 to 2003 is primarily due to reduced depreciation in our REG segment, offset by increased depreciation of generation assets due to an increased asset base. The \$10 million increase from 2001 to 2002 is primarily due to the \$23 million acceleration of regulatory amortization recorded in our REG segment in 2002, as well as a \$17 million charge recorded in the fourth quarter 2002 associated with the acceleration of depreciation due to a change in the estimated useful lives of leasehold improvements and technology assets which were abandoned as part of our October 2002 restructuring. In addition, depreciation in 2002 was slightly higher due to an increased asset base. Increases in our asset base during the three-year period include the construction of the Heard and Riverside facilities in 2001, the construction of the Renaissance, Bluegrass and Foothills facilities in 2002 and the completion of Rolling Hills in 2003. These items were offset by a \$46 million decrease due to the implementation of SFAS No. 142, which required the discontinuation of goodwill amortization beginning January 1, 2002.

Earnings (Losses) from Unconsolidated Investments.

Our earnings (losses) from unconsolidated investments were approximately \$124 million during 2003 compared to \$(80) million and \$191 million in 2002 and 2001, respectively. Both 2002 and 2003 results include significant impairment charges related to these investments, primarily associated with the GEN segment.

GEN. GEN's earnings (losses) from unconsolidated investments were approximately \$128 million during 2003 compared to \$(71) million and \$202 million in 2002 and 2001, respectively. Earnings for 2003 include a \$26 million impairment of U.S. and international investments and a \$20 million charge associated with our 50% share of a goodwill impairment charge recorded by West Coast Power in the fourth quarter 2003. Earnings for 2002 include a \$144 million impairment of U.S. investments as well as a \$50 million charge associated with our 50% share of a bad debt allowance recognized by West Coast Power. West Coast Power provided equity earnings of approximately \$117 million, \$17 million and \$162 million in the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Excluding impairments, earnings from our West Coast Power investment are the primary driver of results for each of the three periods.

Earnings at West Coast Power were higher in 2003 as compared to 2002 due to higher realized margins resulting from forward hedges put in place in connection with the execution of the CDWR contract. The decrease in earnings at West Coast Power from 2001 to 2002 is due in part to a reduction in contingent capacity and energy sales under the CDWR contract, as well as lower overall market prices. Please read Item 1. Business Segment Discussion Power Generation West region Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC) beginning on page 6 of our Original Filing for further discussion of the CDWR contract.

As noted above, we recorded a \$26 million impairment of our investments in Panama, Jamaica, Michigan Power, Commonwealth and Black Mountain, because of our determination that current market value was less than the book values of the investments.

As noted above, we recorded a \$144 million impairment of U.S. investments in 2002, of which \$33 million related to West Coast Power. We assessed the carrying value of our generation portfolio on an asset-by-asset basis and determined that the fair value of some of our U.S. investments was less than our book value. The diminution in the fair value of these investments was primarily a result of depressed energy prices.

NGL. NGL's earnings (losses) from unconsolidated investments were approximately \$(2) million during 2003 compared to \$14 million and \$13 million in 2002 and 2001, respectively. NGL's 2003 results were

Table of Contents

negatively impacted by a \$12 million pre-tax impairment on our minority investment in GCF related to the difference between our book value and indicative bids received related to the possible sale of our minority investment. In addition, WTLPS, which we sold to ChevronTexaco in August 2002, contributed approximately \$6 million and \$5 million to our results for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

CRM. CRM's earnings (losses) from unconsolidated investments were approximately \$(2) million during 2003 compared to \$(21) million and \$(24) million in 2002 and 2001, respectively. As of December 31, 2003, CRM has no material unconsolidated investments. As such, 2004 and future results are expected to be immaterial. The 2002 loss is primarily comprised of charges allocated to the CRM segment for impairments associated with technology investments. The 2001 loss of \$24 million is primarily comprised of a \$19 million impairment on a technology investment and a \$6 million loss on our investment in Nicor Energy.

Other Items, Net

Other items, net consists of other income and expense items, net, minority interest income (expense) and accumulated distributions associated with trust preferred securities. Other items, net totaled \$20 million, \$(107) million and \$(60) million for 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

The 2003 results included the following significant items:

\$17 million in interest income;

\$11 million gain on foreign currency transactions;

\$8 million charge for accumulated distributions associated with trust preferred securities; and

The remaining amounts consist of individually insignificant items.

The 2002 results included the following significant items:

\$36 million in interest income;

\$36 million minority interest deduction, primarily related to ABG Gas Supply and Black Thunder;

\$22 million charge relating to the cancellation of our natural gas purchases and sales contract with ChevronTexaco;

\$21 million charge associated with the settlement of the Enron litigation relating to the termination of our proposed merger;

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

\$12 million charge for accumulated distributions associated with trust preferred securities;

\$10 million charge primarily related to our settlements with the CFTC (\$4 million) and SEC (\$3 million); and

The remaining amounts consist of individually insignificant items.

The 2001 results included the following significant items:

\$49 million interest income;

\$13 million dividend income on our investment in Northern Natural preferred stock;

\$93 million minority interest deduction, primarily related to Black Thunder;

\$22 million charge for accumulated distributions associated with trust preferred securities; and

The remaining amounts consist of individually insignificant items.

Table of Contents

Discontinued Operations

Discontinued operations include Northern Natural in our REG segment, our global liquids business in the NGL segment, our U.K. natural gas storage assets and our U.K. CRM business in the CRM segment and our communications business in Other and Eliminations. The largest contributor to the pre-tax loss of \$28 million (\$19 million after-tax) for 2003 is \$30 million in pre-tax losses on operations of U.K. CRM and the U.K. natural gas storage assets. This loss is associated with costs relating to our exit from these foreign operations.

During 2002, the \$1,503 million pre-tax loss (\$1,154 million after-tax) from discontinued operations was primarily comprised of \$854 million in pre-tax losses (\$538 million after-tax) from the global communications business and \$561 million in pre-tax losses (\$538 million after-tax) from Northern Natural. The global communications business recorded pre-tax charges of \$635 million for the impairment of communications assets. The remaining \$219 million in losses is related to approximately \$48 million of impairments of technology investments and carrying costs associated with the business. In August 2002, we sold Northern Natural to MidAmerican and incurred a pre-tax loss of approximately \$599 million associated with the sale. We recorded a valuation allowance against a portion of the tax benefit resulting from the sale, due to uncertainty as to the ability to generate capital gains in the future. Discontinued operations for the REG segment in 2002 also includes \$38 million in pre-tax earnings associated with operating results from Northern Natural prior to its sale. The CRM pre-tax loss of \$51 million (\$49 million after-tax) consisted of \$115 million in losses associated with the U.K. CRM business offset by \$64 million in income from our U.K. natural gas storage assets. The global liquids pre-tax loss of \$37 million (\$29 million after-tax) included a pre-tax charge of approximately \$12 million associated with the impairment of an LPG investment in the global liquids business. The remaining \$25 million loss related to the write-off of a logistics and accounting computer system and other costs associated with the wind-down of the business.

The 2001 pre-tax loss of \$127 million (\$82 million after-tax) consists primarily of \$100 million in pre-tax losses from the communications business and \$31 million in pre-tax losses associated with the U.K. CRM business.

Cumulative Effect of Change in Accounting Principles

We reflected EITF Issue 02-03's rescission of EITF Issue 98-10 effective January 1, 2003 as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle. The net impact was a pre-tax benefit of \$33 million (\$21 million after-tax), of which a benefit of \$43 million was recognized in our CRM segment and a charge of \$10 million was recognized in our GEN segment. We also adopted SFAS No. 143 effective January 1, 2003 and recognized a pre-tax benefit of \$54 million (\$34 million after-tax) associated with its implementation. The \$54 million benefit was split between our GEN (\$57 million) and REG (\$3 million) segments. Finally, we adopted certain provisions of FIN No. 46R in the fourth quarter 2003 and recognized a pre-tax charge of \$23 million (\$15 million after-tax) in our GEN segment related to our CoGen Lyondell facility.

On January 1, 2002, we adopted SFAS No. 142. In connection with its adoption, we realized a cumulative effect loss of approximately \$234 million associated with a write-down of goodwill associated with our discontinued communications business.

On January 1, 2001, we adopted SFAS No. 133 and recognized a pre-tax benefit of \$3 million (\$2 million after-tax) in our CRM segment.

Please read Note 2 Accounting Policies beginning on page F-11 for further discussion of our adoption of recent accounting policies.

Table of Contents

Interest Expense

Interest expense totaled \$509 million for 2003, compared with \$297 million and \$255 million for 2002 and 2001, respectively. The significant increase in 2003, as compared to 2002, primarily is attributable to the following:

Higher average interest rates on borrowings (approximately \$70 million of the increase), including Illinois Power's new mortgage bonds and the new notes issued in connection with our August 2003 refinancing;

Interest expense for 2002 does not include approximately \$65 million of interest expense which was allocated to our discontinued businesses;

Higher average principal balances in the 2003 period (approximately \$30 million of the increase);

Increased amortization of debt issuance costs (approximately \$35 million of the increase, of which approximately \$24 million relates to accelerated amortization of previously incurred financing costs and the settlement value of the associated interest rate hedge instruments); and

Higher letter of credit fees (approximately \$15 million of the increase). The higher letter of credit fees resulted from the restructuring of our credit facility in April 2003, with respect to which such fees are higher than those contained in our previous facility.

The increase in interest expense in 2002 compared to 2001 was due primarily to increased principal borrowed to support our liquidity needs in 2002. Specifically, these additional principal amounts primarily relate to cash borrowings and letters of credit under our revolving credit facilities used to satisfy counterparty collateral demands. The effect of the increased interest expense relating to these additional principal amounts was partially offset by lower variable rates than in 2001.

Income Tax (Provision) Benefit

We reported an income tax benefit from continuing operations of \$246 million in 2003, compared to an income tax benefit from continuing operations of \$352 million in 2002 and an income tax provision from continuing operations of \$368 million in 2001. These amounts reflect effective rates of 26%, 23% and 43%, respectively. The 2003 and 2002 effective rates were impacted significantly by the \$311 million goodwill impairment relating to the REG segment in 2003 and the \$814 million goodwill impairment relating to the CRM and GEN segments in 2002. As there was no tax basis in the goodwill impaired in 2003 or \$579 million of the goodwill impaired in 2002, there were no tax benefits associated with the charges. Additionally, the 2003 tax benefit includes a \$33 million reduction in a valuation allowance associated with our capital loss carryforward as a result of capital gains recognized in 2003 or anticipated to be recognized in early 2004 related to various dispositions. Excluding these items from the 2003 and 2002 calculations would result in effective tax rates of 34% in 2003 and 37% in 2002, compared to the 2001 effective tax rate of 43%. In general, differences between these adjusted effective rates and the statutory rate of 35% result primarily from the effect of certain foreign and state income taxes and permanent differences attributable to book-tax basis differences.

Please see Note 14 Income Taxes beginning on page F-50 for further discussion of our income taxes.

2004 Outlook

The following summarizes our 2004 outlook for our four reportable segments.

GEN Outlook. We expect that this segment's financial results will continue to reflect a sensitivity to power prices and that the 2004 pricing environment will be similar to what we experienced in 2003. We will continue our efforts to manage price risk through the optimization of fuel procurement and the marketing of power generated from our assets. Our sensitivity to prices and our ability to manage this sensitivity is subject to a

market-based prices. Any capacity and energy needs not met by this agreement would be secured from either existing agreements, through a specified competitive purchasing process, or, in limited circumstances, through open market purchases.

Table of Contents

The current power purchase agreement between DMG and Illinois Power requires that notice of termination be presented by December 31, 2003, one year prior to the scheduled expiration. The parties have agreed to amend the agreement to extend this notice date requirement to March 31, 2004.

In the event that both the pending transaction for the sale of Illinois Power to Ameren is not completed, the existing agreement with DMG is terminated and no replacement agreement is executed with a Dynegy affiliate, Illinois Power will be required to purchase a substantial portion of its power on the open market at then current market prices. In the event that the Ameren transaction is not completed and the existing agreement with DMG is either not terminated or is replaced by another agreement with a Dynegy affiliate, Illinois Power will be required to purchase any amount of capacity and energy not provided under the contract on the open market at then current market prices. Volatility in market prices for power could affect Illinois Power to the extent that it would be required to purchase power in the open market.

CRM Outlook. Our CRM business' future results of operations will be significantly impacted by our ability to execute our exit strategy. We continue to explore opportunities to assign or renegotiate the terms of some of our four remaining power tolling arrangements. If we do not renegotiate or terminate these power tolling arrangements, these arrangements will continue to negatively impact our earnings and cash flows based on the current pricing environment. Even if we do renegotiate or terminate some of these arrangements, we could be required to pay a significant amount of cash relating to any such renegotiation or termination which may also negatively impact earnings and cash flows. For a discussion of our annual and long-term obligations under these arrangements, see Item 1. Business Segment Discussion Customer Risk Management beginning on page 18 of our Original Filing.

The earnings of the CRM segment may also be significantly impacted, either positively or negatively, by mark-to-market changes in the value of a derivative contract associated with the Sithe Independence tolling agreement as power and gas prices change.

We have posted approximately \$120 million of collateral associated with this business. Approximately \$20 million of this balance relates to our tolling arrangements. An additional \$40 million relates to the ABG Gas Supply gas contract, which will expire in the first quarter of 2006. The remaining \$60 million is related to our legacy gas and power positions, which collateral will be substantially eliminated by 2007.

commercial and operational management and our coal- and dual-fired generation assets. Similarly, our NGL segment contributed cash flows from operations in excess of \$180 million due to a strong commodity price environment, particularly in the upstream business, offset by increases in prepayments and lower downstream results due to industry-wide reductions in volumes available for fractionation. Our REG segment contributed operating cash flows in excess of \$60 million, primarily from normal operating conditions, offset by working capital outflows due to increased injection of gas into storage, as well as an increase in prepayments. General and administrative costs, a \$45 million litigation settlement and continued extinguishment of liabilities during our exit from our communications business offset these positive operational cash flows during the 12 months ended December 31, 2003.

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

We made dividend payments of \$40 million to the holders of Class A common stock and \$15 million to the holder of Class B common stock.

The fair value model has historically been used to account for forward physical and financial transactions, primarily in the CRM and GEN segments, which meet criteria defined by the FASB or the EITF. The criteria are complex, but generally require these contracts to relate to future periods, to contain fixed price and volume components and to have terms that require or permit net settlement of the contract in cash or the equivalent. The FASB determined that the fair value model is the most appropriate method for accounting for these types of contracts. In part, this conclusion is based on the cash settlement provisions in these agreements, as well as the volatility in commodity prices, interest rates and, if applicable, foreign exchange rates, which impact the valuation of these contracts. Since these transactions may be settled in cash or the equivalent, the value of the assets and liabilities associated with these transactions is reported at estimated settlement value based on current prices and rates as of each balance sheet date.

We estimate the fair value of our marketing portfolio using a liquidation value approach assuming that the ability to transact business in the market remains at historical levels. The estimated fair value of the portfolio is

future cash flows.

Our assessment regarding the existence of impairment factors is based on market conditions, operational performance and legal factors impacting our businesses. Our review of factors present and the resulting estimation of the appropriate carrying value of our property, plant and equipment, investments and goodwill are subject to judgments and estimates that management is required to make. Our fair value estimates are impacted significantly by the estimated useful lives of the assets, commodity prices, regulations and discount rate assumptions. If different judgments were applied to fair value calculations, the fair value estimate, and potential resulting impairment, could differ from our estimate. Actual results could vary materially from these estimates.

We must then assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income and, to the extent we believe that it is more likely than not (a likelihood of more than 50%) that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized, we must establish a valuation allowance. We consider all available evidence, both positive and negative, to determine whether, based on the weight of the evidence, a

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

The absolute notional contract amounts associated with our commodity risk-management, interest rate and foreign currency exchange contracts are discussed in Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk beginning on page 80 of our Original Filing.

the effects of the proposed sale of specified non-strategic assets, particularly the agreed upon sale of Illinois Power to Ameren;

the condition of the capital markets generally, which will be affected by interest rates, foreign currency fluctuations and general economic conditions, and our financial condition, including our ability to satisfy our significant debt maturities;

our ability to realize our significant deferred tax assets, including loss carryforwards;

the effectiveness of our risk-management policies and procedures and the ability of our counterparties to satisfy their financial commitments;

Table of Contents

attest to and report on management's assessment of our internal controls over financial reporting. In seeking to achieve compliance with Section 404 within the prescribed period, management formed a steering committee to oversee our efforts to comply with Section 404, engaged outside consultants and adopted and implemented a detailed project work plan to assess the adequacy of our internal controls over financial reporting, remediate any control weaknesses that may be identified, validate through testing that controls are functioning as documented and implement a continuous reporting and improvement process for internal controls over financial reporting.

Additionally, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board recently adopted very stringent standards governing management's required evaluation of its internal controls over financial reporting and the independent auditors' review of those controls and management's evaluation thereof. These standards will likely result in a significant number of companies, which may include Dynegy, identifying significant deficiencies and/or material weaknesses in their internal controls. Indeed, the items referenced in the preceding paragraphs could preclude our independent auditors from delivering an unqualified opinion on internal controls under Section 404 of Sarbanes-Oxley.

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

Series A Capital Securities Guarantee Agreement executed by NGC Corporation and The First National Bank of Chicago, as Guarantee Trustee, dated as of May 28, 1997 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.9 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 1997 of NGC Corporation, File No. 1-11156).

7. During the quarter ended December 31, 2003, we filed a Current Report on Form 8-K on December 8, 2003. Items 5 and 7 were reported and no final statements were filed.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: January 18, 2005

DYNEGY INC.
/s/ NICK J. CARUSO

Nick J. Caruso
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

potentially dilutive common shares outstanding during the period.

Foreign Currency. For subsidiaries whose functional currency is not the U.S. Dollar, assets and liabilities are translated at year-end rates of exchange and revenues and expenses are translated at monthly average exchange rates. Translation adjustments for the asset and liability accounts are included as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive loss in stockholders' equity.

F-17

U.K. CRM. We substantially completed our exit from the U.K. CRM business during the first quarter 2003. For the year ended December 31, 2003, we recognized an after-tax loss of \$21 million, mostly from selling and terminating all our U.K. gas and power positions, as well as administrative expenses, depreciation and

F-23

Batesville Tolling Arrangement. In December 2003, we reached an agreement with Virginia Electric and Power Company, a subsidiary of Dominion Resources, to terminate a wholesale power tolling contract totaling approximately 110 MWs. Under the terms of the agreement, we paid Virginia Power \$34 million to end the arrangement. As a result, we eliminated approximately \$63 million in future capacity payments as well as collateral obligations of \$12.5 million. We recognized a pre-tax loss of approximately \$34 million (\$22 million after-tax) in connection with this agreement.

DNE. In the first quarter 2001, we acquired the DNE power generation facilities. These facilities consist of a combination of baseload, intermediate and peaking facilities aggregating approximately 1,700 MWs. The facilities are approximately 50 miles north of New York City and were acquired for approximately \$903 million cash, plus inventory and certain working capital adjustments. In May 2001, two of our subsidiaries completed a sale-leaseback transaction to provide term financing for the DNE facilities. Under the terms of the sale-leaseback transaction, our subsidiaries sold plants and equipment and agreed to lease them back for terms expiring within 34 years, exclusive of renewal options.

F-25

In the fourth quarter 2002, we also recognized a \$14 million (\$9 million after-tax) charge associated with the impairment of a generation turbine, as its fair value calculated in accordance with SFAS No. 144 was less than its carrying value. The charge was recorded in impairment and other charges in the consolidated statements of operations.

F-28

Table of Contents
DYNEGY INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(RESTATED)

The carrying values of current financial assets and liabilities approximate fair values due to the short-term maturities of these instruments. The carrying amounts and fair values of debt are included in Note 12 Debt beginning on page F-41. The carrying amounts and fair values of our other financial instruments were:

	December 31,			
	2003		2002	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
	(in millions)			
Dynegy Inc.				
Series B Preferred Stock (1)	\$	\$	\$ 1,500	\$ 365
Series C Convertible Preferred Stock	400	316		
Foreign Currency Risk-Management Contracts			3	3
Dynegy Holdings Inc.				
Subordinated Debentures (2)			200	14
Fair Value Hedge Interest Rate Swap	3	3	73	73
Cash Flow Hedge Interest Rate Swap	(3)	(3)	(16)	(16)
Interest Rate Risk-Management Contracts	(4)	(4)	(74)	(74)
Commodity Cash Flow Hedge Contracts	17	17		
Commodity Risk-Management Contracts	(86)	(86)	(43)	(43)
Illinois Power Company				
Serial Preferred Securities of a Subsidiary	11	10	11	4

- (1) Carrying value at December 31, 2002 represents \$1,212 million included in Redeemable Preferred Securities, \$660 million in additional paid-in capital and \$(372) million in accumulated deficit in the consolidated balance sheets.
- (2) At December 31, 2003, these securities were classified as Debt on the consolidated balance sheets. Please read Note 2 Accounting Policies Accounting Principles Adopted SFAS No. 150 beginning on page F-20 and Note 12 Debt beginning on page F-41.

The fair value of our Preferred Securities of a Subsidiary Trust at December 31, 2002 were based on quoted market prices by financial institutions that actively trade these debt securities. The fair value of the Series B Preferred Stock at December 31, 2002 reflects management's then-current estimate of the realizable value of such securities based on an estimate of our enterprise value. This enterprise value estimate reflected information derived from the debt and equity markets and, as a result, was highly sensitive to the market prices at which our public debt and equity securities traded. The fair value of the Series C convertible preferred stock at December 31, 2003 is based on an estimate provided by an external financial institution. The estimate reflects debt and equity market information for comparable securities and also incorporates the original lock-up period of the security. The fair value stated above is the mid-point of the valuation range of \$287 million to \$344 million. The fair value of interest rate, foreign currency and commodity risk-management contracts were based upon the estimated consideration that would be received to terminate those contracts in a gain position and the estimated cost that would be incurred to terminate those contracts in a loss position.

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

Our unconsolidated investments consist primarily of investments in affiliates that we do not control, but where we have significant influence over operations. These investments are accounted for by the equity method of accounting. Our share of net income from these affiliates is reflected in the consolidated statements of operations as earnings (losses) from unconsolidated investments. Our principal equity method investments consist of entities that operate generation and natural gas liquids assets. We entered into these ventures principally to share risk and leverage existing commercial relationships. These ventures maintain independent capital structures and have financed their operations either on a non-recourse basis to us or through their ongoing commercial activities. We hold investments in joint ventures in which ChevronTexaco or its affiliates are investors. For additional information about these investments, please read Note 13 Related Party Transactions beginning on page F-48.

A summary of our unconsolidated investments is as follows:

	December 31,	
	2003	2002
	(in millions)	
Equity affiliates:		
GEN investments	\$ 518	\$ 542
NGL investments	82	102
CRM investments		4
Total equity affiliates	600	648
Other affiliates, at cost	12	20
Total unconsolidated investments	\$ 612	\$ 668

CRM Investments. During the first quarter 2003, we sold substantially all of the operations of Nicor Energy, a joint venture with Nicor Inc., and we are in the process of completing the liquidation of the company. As of December 31, 2003, we had settled all payments relating to this joint venture and no longer maintain a purchase agreement with Nicor Energy.

We adopted SFAS No. 142 effective January 1, 2002, and, accordingly, tested for impairment all amounts recorded as goodwill. We determined that goodwill associated with our former DGC reporting segment was impaired and we therefore recognized a charge of \$234 million for this impairment. The fair value of this reporting segment was estimated using the expected discounted future cash flows. The value was negatively impacted by continued weakness in the communications and broadband markets. The impairment charge is reflected in the consolidated statements of operations as a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle.

During 2002, the value of goodwill associated with our former WEN segment was determined to be impaired, resulting in our recognizing a charge of \$814 million. The fair values of the respective components of this segment were estimated utilizing the expected discounted future cash flows. The primary factors leading to this impairment were: (1) the reduction in near-term power prices; (2) an increase in the rate of return required for investors to enter the energy merchant sector; and (3) our decision to exit third-party risk management aspects of the marketing and trading business. The impairment charge is reflected in the consolidated statements of operations as a goodwill impairment.

Note 11 Refinancing and Restructuring Transactions

During 2003, we completed a series of transactions that significantly altered our outstanding debt balances. The following summarizes the most significant of those transactions.

Credit Facility Restructuring. On April 2, 2003, DHI entered into a \$1.66 billion credit facility, consisting of: (i) a \$1.1 billion DHI secured revolving credit facility; (ii) a \$200 million DHI secured term loan (Term A Loan); and (iii) a \$360 million DHI secured term loan (Term B Loan). The credit facility replaced, and preserved the commitment of each lender under, DHI s former \$900 million and \$400 million revolving credit facilities, which had maturity dates of April 28, 2003 and May 27, 2003, respectively, and Dynegy s \$360 million DGC secured debt, which had a maturity date of December 15, 2005. For further discussion of the credit facility, please see Note 12 Debt DHI Credit Facility beginning on page F-42. We incurred debt issuance costs aggregating approximately \$41 million in connection with the new facility. Such amounts have been capitalized and are amortized over the term of the credit facility and term loans.

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(RESTATED)

Refinancing. In August 2003, we consummated a series of refinancing transactions, which we refer to collectively as the Refinancing. In connection with the Refinancing, DHI issued \$1.45 billion of second priority senior secured notes in a private placement transaction pursuant to Rule 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 and completed a cash tender offer and related consent solicitation pursuant to which it purchased: approximately (i) \$282 million in principal amount of its \$300 million 8.125% Senior Notes due 2005; (ii) virtually all of its \$150 million 6¾% Senior Notes due 2005; and (iii) \$177 million in principal amount of its \$200 million 7.450% Senior Notes due 2006. We paid approximately \$5 million above par value of the notes in connection with this purchase, and we paid a consent fee in connection with the related consent solicitation to eliminate several of the restrictive covenants and certain other provisions previously contained in the indentures governing these notes.

Also in connection with the Refinancing, we issued \$225 million of convertible subordinated debentures in a private placement transaction pursuant to Rule 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

We used the net proceeds from the Refinancing, along with cash on hand, to make the \$225 million cash payment required under the Series B Exchange, as described below, and to prepay or repurchase indebtedness including the Term A loan, \$165 million of the Term B loan, \$609 million of DHI's outstanding senior notes in the tender offer described above and \$696 million of debt outstanding under the Black Thunder secured financing.

The prepayment of the debt above resulted in accelerated charges during 2003 of approximately \$20 million, pre-tax, of unamortized financing costs and the settlement value of the associated interest rate hedge instruments. We incurred debt issuance costs aggregating approximately \$60 million in connection with the Refinancing. Such amounts have been capitalized and are amortized over the term of the notes issued in connection with the Refinancing.

For further discussion of the second priority senior secured notes and the convertible subordinated debentures, please see Note 12 Debt DHI Second Priority Senior Secured Notes beginning on page F-44 and Note 12 Debt Convertible Subordinated Debentures beginning on page F-47.

Series B Exchange. Also in August 2003, we restructured the \$1.5 billion in Series B Preferred Stock previously held by a subsidiary of ChevronTexaco. Pursuant to the restructuring, which we refer to as the Series B Exchange, this ChevronTexaco subsidiary exchanged its Series B Preferred Stock for: (i) a \$225 million cash payment; (ii) \$225 million principal amount of our Junior Unsecured Subordinated Notes due 2016, which we refer to as the Junior Notes; and (iii) 8 million shares of our Series C Mandatorily Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock due 2033 (liquidation preference of \$50 per share), which we refer to as the Series C convertible preferred stock.

For further discussion of the Junior Notes and the Series C convertible preferred stock, please see Note 12 Debt Junior Unsecured Subordinated Notes beginning on page F-47 and Note 15 Redeemable Preferred Securities Series C Convertible Preferred Stock beginning on page F-53.

Follow-on Notes Offering. In October 2003, DHI consummated a follow-on offering, which we refer to as the follow-on notes offering, of \$300 million aggregate principal amount of additional second priority senior secured notes in a private placement transaction pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933. The net proceeds from the follow-on notes offering, along with cash on hand, were utilized to prepay the \$194 million outstanding under our Term B Loan and retire the \$170 million capital lease obligation associated with the CoGen Lyondell generation facility. We incurred debt issuance costs aggregating approximately \$3 million in connection with the follow-on notes offering. Such amounts have been capitalized and will be amortized over the term of the notes issued in connection with the follow-on notes offering.

F-40

We are required to prepay or cash collateralize outstanding borrowings under our amended credit facility with: (i) all net cash proceeds from non-ordinary course asset sales, subject to certain exceptions, subject to our prior obligation to use a portion of such proceeds to prepay outstanding Junior Notes; (ii) half of the net cash proceeds from issuances of equity, subordinated debt or additional second lien debt, except that we may use up to \$250 million of equity issuance proceeds to make mandatory prepayments on the Junior Notes, so long as we reduce permanently or cash collateralize the commitments under the revolving credit facility according to a specified formula; (iii) all net cash proceeds from the issuance of senior debt; and (iv) half of extraordinary receipts (as defined in the amended credit facility).

the principal balance on the financing. In December 2002, we repaid the principal balance under one of the generation facility lease arrangements. We incurred upfront fees of approximately \$6 million in connection with the remaining generation facility lease arrangement which were capitalized and are being amortized over the term of the arrangement. The remaining generation lease arrangement expires in 2007 and bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.5%

remaining balance of this financing with a portion of the proceeds therefrom. We incurred upfront fees of approximately \$6 million in connection with these interim financings.

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

upon the expiration of the operating lease in September 2004. As a result of this action, we began accounting for the lease obligation as a capital lease. Accordingly, we recorded a \$66 million increase to property, plant and equipment

F-46

During September 2003, we used proceeds of approximately \$2 million from the previously described sales of certain non-strategic generation investments to redeem a portion of the Junior Notes.

F-47

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

Other transactions with ChevronTexaco result from purchases and sales of natural gas and natural gas liquids between our affiliates and ChevronTexaco. We believe that these transactions are executed on terms that are fair and reasonable. During the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, our marketing business recognized net purchases from ChevronTexaco of \$0.3 billion, \$1.5 billion and \$2.7 billion, respectively. In accordance with the net presentation provisions of EITF Issue 02-03, all of these transactions, whether physically or financially settled, have been presented net on the consolidated statements of operations. In addition, during the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, our other businesses recognized aggregate sales to ChevronTexaco of \$0.9 billion, \$0.8 billion and \$0.9 billion, respectively, and aggregate purchases of \$0.8 billion, \$0.5 billion and \$0.5 billion, respectively, which are reflected gross on the consolidated statements of operations.

F-48

under this program to remain with us post-restructuring, we agreed to forgive one-half of the remaining balance of each of their loans on or before December 31, 2003 and to forgive the then remaining balance under each such loan on or

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(RESTATED)

before December 19, 2004, subject to achievement of specified employment objectives. For employees terminated as part of the restructuring, the remaining balance outstanding under each loan matures and is due and payable on December 19, 2004. Interest rates charged under these loans remain unchanged.

At December 31, 2003 and 2002, approximately \$8 million and \$12 million, respectively, which included accrued and unpaid interest, was owed to us under this program. The loans are accounted for as subscriptions receivable within stockholders' equity on the consolidated balance sheets and at December 31, 2003 are fully reserved.

December 2001 Equity Purchases. In December 2001, 10 members of our senior management purchased Class A common stock from us in a private placement pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933. These officers received loans from us totaling approximately \$25 million to purchase the common stock at a price of \$19.75 per share, the same price as the net proceeds per share received by us from a concurrent public offering. The loans bear interest at 3.25% per annum and are full recourse to the borrowers. Such loans are accounted for as subscriptions receivable within stockholders' equity on the consolidated balance sheets. We recognized compensation expense in 2001 of approximately \$1.2 million related to the shares purchased by these officers. This amount, which was recorded as general and administrative expense, is derived from the \$1.00 per share discount these officers received based on the initial public offering price of \$20.75 per share.

At December 31, 2003, one of our former executive officers, who resigned his position following our October 2002 restructuring, had a balance of \$512,000 remaining under the December 2001 equity purchase with an extended maturity date of September 30, 2007 for the loan. The extended loan bears interest at the same interest rate as the initial loan. The loan is accounted for as subscriptions receivable within stockholders' equity on the consolidated balance sheets and at December 31, 2003 is fully reserved. No other December 2001 equity purchase loans are outstanding.

Note 14 Income Taxes

Amounts in this footnote have been restated. For further information, please see the Explanatory Note beginning on page F-8.

General. We are subject to U.S. federal, foreign and state income taxes on our operations. Components of income tax expense (benefit) related to income (loss) from continuing operations were:

Year Ended December 31,

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
	(in millions)		
Current tax expense (benefit):			
Domestic	\$ 9	\$ (3)	\$ 89
Foreign		2	11
Deferred tax expense (benefit):			
Domestic	(256)	(365)	272
Foreign	1	14	(4)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Income tax expense (benefit)	<u>\$ (246)</u>	<u>\$ (352)</u>	<u>\$ 368</u>

F-50

Table of Contents**DYNEGY INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(RESTATED)**

Components of income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes were as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
	(in millions)		
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes:			
Domestic	\$ (920)	\$ (1,513)	\$ 845
Foreign	(14)	(29)	2
	\$ (934)	\$ (1,542)	\$ 847

Significant components of deferred tax liabilities and assets were:

	December 31,	
	2003	2002
	(in millions)	
Deferred tax assets:		
NOL carryforwards	\$ 543	\$ 246
AMT credit carryforwards	218	218
Capital loss carryforward	194	223
Investments	21	103
Other	45	58
Subtotal	1,021	848
Less: valuation allowance	(170)	(203)
Total deferred tax assets	851	645
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Depreciation and other property differences	1,291	1,246
Miscellaneous book/tax recognition differences	84	164
Total deferred tax liabilities	1,375	1,410

Net deferred tax liability	\$ 524	\$ 765
----------------------------	--------	--------

Realization of the aggregate deferred tax asset is dependent on, among other things, our ability to generate taxable income of the appropriate character in the future. At December 31, 2003 and 2002, \$161 million and \$194 million of the valuation allowances, respectively, relate to capital loss carryforwards, and \$9 million relates to foreign tax credit carryforwards, which management believes are not likely to be fully realized in the future based on our ability to generate capital gains and foreign income. During 2003, we recognized a benefit of approximately \$33 million related to the release of a valuation allowance for our capital loss carryforwards based on capital gains recognized in 2003 or anticipated to be recognized in early 2004 related to various dispositions. The financial statement impact of the valuation allowance recorded in 2002 relating to the capital loss carryforwards was reflected in discontinued operations.

In February 2004, we entered into an agreement to sell Illinois Power and our 20% interest in the Joppa power generation facility to Ameren. Please read Note 23 Subsequent Event beginning on page F-86 for further discussion. As a part of this transaction, we expect to utilize approximately \$740 million in net operating loss carryforwards and approximately \$100 million in capital loss carryforwards to offset the gain on sale. The transaction is subject to regulatory approval.

Table of Contents**DYNEGY INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(RESTATED)**

Income tax provisions on continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, were equivalent to effective rates of 26%, 23% and 43%, respectively. Differences between taxes computed at the U.S. federal statutory rate and our reported income tax expense (benefit) were:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
	(in millions)		
Expected tax at U.S. statutory rate (35%)	\$ (327)	\$ (540)	\$ 296
State taxes	(1)	(58)	20
Foreign taxes	8	9	6
Valuation allowance	(33)	9	
Goodwill permanent differences and impairments	109	211	23
Basis differentials and other	(2)	17	23
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$ (246)	\$ (352)	\$ 368

At December 31, 2003, we had approximately \$1,363 million of regular federal tax net operating loss carryforwards after considering the effect of carryback to prior years, \$218 million of AMT credit carryforwards and \$2,102 million of AMT net operating loss carryforwards. The federal net operating loss carryforwards expire from 2009 through 2023. The AMT credit carryforwards do not expire. Certain provisions of the Internal Revenue Code place an annual limitation on our ability to utilize tax carryforwards existing as of the date of a 1995 and a 2000 business acquisition. These limitations are not expected to have a material impact on our overall ability to utilize such tax carryforwards. There was no valuation allowance established at December 31, 2003 for a net operating loss carryforward, as management believes the net operating loss carryforward is more likely than not to be fully realized in the future based, among other things, on management's estimates of future taxable net income and future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences. It is anticipated that approximately 36% of the net operating loss carryforwards at December 31, 2003 available will be realized with the recognition of gain on the sale of Illinois Power.

State net operating loss carryforwards total \$939 million. In states where we file unitary state income tax returns, our net operating loss carryforwards are \$30 million in New Mexico, \$35 million in California and \$387 million in Illinois. These state net operating loss carryforwards will begin to expire in 2007, 2012 and 2015, respectively. State net operating loss carryforwards (in states where we file separate returns) are \$8 million in Virginia, \$23 million in Texas, \$36 million in Michigan, \$40 million in North Carolina, \$30 million in Pennsylvania, \$53 million in Georgia, \$74 million in Louisiana, \$86 million in New York, \$108 million in Kentucky and a total of \$29 million in various other states. These state net operating loss carryforwards will begin to expire in Texas in 2007, Michigan and Pennsylvania in 2011, North Carolina in 2015 and in 2022 for the remaining separately listed states. We believe such carryforwards will be fully realized prior to expiration.

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

Based on 2002 operating results, we generated a significant current tax net operating loss that was carried back to reclaim certain U.S. federal income taxes paid in prior years. Accordingly, we received a tax refund in the first quarter of 2003 of approximately \$110 million for U.S. federal income taxes paid in 2001 and 2000.

We have disposed of or discontinued the majority of our foreign operations. We do not have any material undistributed earnings from these foreign operations. Therefore, we have not provided any U. S. deferred taxes or foreign withholding taxes, if any, that might be payable on the actual or deemed remittance of any such earnings.

F-52

Table of Contents**DYNEGY INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(RESTATED)**

Contingent Liability Transactions. We entered into settlement negotiations with the IRS relating to three contingent liability transactions in 1996, 1997 and 1999. These transactions involved the transfer of an aggregate of \$182 million in contingent liabilities primarily assumed by us in prior acquisitions of three separate companies. The three companies to which these contingent liabilities were transferred subsequently sold preferred or restricted common stock to various purchasers. Two of the companies sold stock to an aggregate of 15 non-executive Dynegy employees with positions of influence over the contingent liabilities held in such companies; the purchaser of the stock of the third company is an unaffiliated third party. The stock purchased by these non-executive employees was later redeemed under the terms of the applicable purchase agreements. The average redemption prices and dividends paid to these present and former employees, which related to their successful management of the subject contingent liabilities and exceeded the amounts paid by such employees for the stock they acquired, was \$62,000 and no such employee received more than \$81,000.

On January 18, 2001, the IRS issued Notice 2001-17 in which it identified these types of transactions as listed transactions or tax shelters. Pursuant to a settlement initiative described in IRS Revenue Procedure 2002-67, we are currently resolving with the IRS any issues in dispute related to these liability management companies. We do not expect the settlement to have a material impact on our financial results.

Note 15 Redeemable Preferred Securities

Redeemable preferred securities consisted of the following:

	December 31,	
	2003	2002
	(in millions)	
Series C convertible preferred stock	\$ 400	\$
Series B Preferred Stock		1,212
Subordinated Debentures, 8.316%, due 2027		200
Serial preferred securities of a subsidiary	11	11
Total redeemable preferred securities	\$ 411	\$ 1,423

Series C Convertible Preferred Stock. In August 2003, we issued 8 million shares of our Series C convertible preferred stock due 2033 to CUSA. Each share carries a liquidation preference of \$50, and the aggregate redemption value is \$400 million. Dividends are payable at a rate of 5.5% per annum in cash semi-annually. At our election, we may defer dividend payments for up to 10 consecutive semi-annual dividend payment periods. Upon termination of any deferral period, all accrued and unpaid amounts are due in cash. We may not pay dividends on our

common stock during any deferral period. Additionally, if we fail to obtain shareholder approval within one year for conversion of the Series C convertible preferred stock into shares of our Class B common stock, the dividend rate on the Series C convertible preferred stock will increase to 10% until such time as we obtain such approval or it is determined that such approval is not required under applicable rules and regulations. Following the receipt of such approval, the shares of Series C convertible preferred stock generally are convertible, at the option of the holder, at a price of \$5.78 per share. The initial holder of the Series C convertible preferred stock may not transfer the shares of the Series C convertible preferred stock (other than to affiliates) until the earlier of (a) 18 months following the closing of the Series B Exchange or (b) 120 days following the consummation of one or more public or private sales of our qualified capital stock resulting in gross proceeds to us of at least \$250 million. On or after the third anniversary of this lock-up period, we may cause the Series C convertible preferred stock to be converted into shares of our Class B common stock at any time the closing price of our Class A common stock exceeds 130% of the conversion price then in effect for at

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(RESTATED)

least 20 trading days within any period of 30 consecutive trading days prior to such conversion. Upon any conversion of the Series C convertible preferred stock, we have the right to deliver, in lieu of shares of our Class B common stock, cash or a combination of cash and shares of our Class B common stock. At any time after the 10th anniversary of the closing of the Series B Exchange, we may redeem all of the shares of Series C convertible preferred stock for a redemption price equal to \$50 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends.

Series B Preferred Stock. On November 13, 2001, ChevronTexaco purchased 150,000 shares of our Series B Preferred Stock for \$1.5 billion. The proceeds from this issuance were used to finance our investment in Northern Natural, which is discussed in detail in Note 3 Discontinued Operations, Dispositions, Contract Terminations and Acquisitions Discontinued Operations Northern Natural beginning on page F-22. Each share of our Series B Preferred Stock was convertible, at the option of ChevronTexaco, for a period of two years into shares of our Class B common stock at the conversion price of \$31.64. The \$660 million intrinsic value of this beneficial conversion option was calculated using a commitment date of November 13, 2001, the date ChevronTexaco funded its preferred stock purchase and the preferred securities were issued. We accreted an implied preferred stock dividend over the redemption period the Series B Preferred Stock was outstanding as required by GAAP. The shares of Series B Preferred Stock provided for a mandatory redemption on November 13, 2003.

In August 2003, we consummated a restructuring of the Series B Preferred Stock. Please read Note 11 Refinancing and Restructuring Transactions Series B Exchange beginning on page F-40 for further discussion. The following table summarizes the impact of this transaction on our consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of operations (in millions):

Series B Preferred Stock (previously included in redeemable preferred securities on the consolidated balance sheets)	\$ 1,414
Implied dividend on Series B Preferred Stock (previously included in additional paid-in-capital on the consolidated balance sheets)	660
	<hr/>
Total balance immediately prior to transaction	2,074
Issuance of Series C convertible preferred stock	(400)
Issuance of junior notes	(225)
Cash payment to ChevronTexaco	(225)
	<hr/>
Gain related to Series B Exchange	1,224
Implied dividends on Series B Preferred Stock recorded in 2003	(203)
Dividends on Series C convertible preferred stock recorded in 2003	(8)
	<hr/>
Net preferred stock dividend gain reflected on the consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2003	\$ 1,013
	<hr/>

Subordinated Debentures. In May 1997, NGC Corporation Capital Trust I (Trust) issued, in a private transaction, \$200 million aggregate liquidation amount of 8.316% Subordinated Capital Income Securities (Trust Securities) representing preferred undivided beneficial interests in the assets of the Trust. The Trust invested the proceeds from the issuance of the Trust Securities in an equivalent amount of DHI s 8.316% Subordinated Debentures (Subordinated Debentures). The sole assets of the Trust are the Subordinated Debentures. The Trust Securities are

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

subject to mandatory redemption in whole, but not in part, on June 1, 2027, upon payment of the Subordinated Debentures at maturity, or in whole, but not in part, at any time, contemporaneously with the optional prepayment of the Subordinated Debentures, as allowed by the associated indenture. The Subordinated Debentures are redeemable, at DHI's option, at specified redemption prices. The

F-54

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(RESTATED)

Subordinated Debentures represent DHI's unsecured obligations and rank subordinate and junior in right of payment to all of DHI's senior indebtedness to the extent and in the manner set forth in the associated indenture. We have irrevocably and unconditionally guaranteed, on a subordinated basis, payment for the benefit of the holders of the Trust Securities the obligations of the Trust to the extent the Trust has funds legally available for distribution to the holders of the Trust Securities. Since the Trust is considered a special purpose entity, FIN No. 46R must be adopted effective December 31, 2003. The holders of the Trust Securities absorb a majority of the Trust's expected losses. Accordingly, DHI's obligation is represented by the Subordinated Debentures payable to the deconsolidated Trust rather than the Trust Securities that were payable to the holders of the Trust Securities. This deconsolidation does not impact our consolidated balance sheets or consolidated statements of operations.

We may defer payment of interest on the subordinated debentures as described in the indenture, although we have not yet done so and have continued to pay interest as and when due. As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, the redemption amount associated with these securities totaled \$200 million. In accordance with SFAS No. 150, on July 1, 2003, we reclassified these securities to long-term debt on the consolidated balance sheets. Prior year amounts have not been reclassified to conform to this change.

Serial Preferred Securities of a Subsidiary. Serial preferred securities of a subsidiary of approximately \$11 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002 consists of six series of preferred stock issued by Illinois Power, with interest rates ranging from 4.08% to 7.75%. Certain series are redeemable at the option of Illinois Power, in whole or in part. In March 2002, Illinois Power completed a solicitation of consents from its preferred stockholders which amended its Restated Articles of Incorporation to eliminate a provision restricting its ability to incur unsecured debt. Concurrently, Illinova completed a tender offer pursuant to which it paid approximately \$35 million to acquire 662,924 shares, or approximately 73%, of Illinois Power's preferred stock. As a result, the NYSE has delisted each of the series of preferred stock that was subject to the tender offer and previously listed thereon. As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, the redemption amount associated with these remaining securities totaled \$11 million. As part of our pending sale of the stock of Illinois Power to Ameren, Ameren will acquire the preferred securities owned by Illinova. For further discussion of the pending sale, please see Note 23 Subsequent Event beginning on page F-86.

Table of Contents**DYNEGY INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(RESTATED)****Note 16 Earnings (Loss) Per Share**

Amounts in this footnote have been restated. For further information, please see the Explanatory Note beginning on page F-8.

The reconciliation of basic earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations to diluted earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations is shown in the following table:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
	(in millions, except		
	per share amounts)		
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (688)	\$ (1,190)	\$ 479
Convertible preferred stock (dividends) gain	1,013	(330)	(42)
Income (loss) from continuing operations for basic earnings per share	325	(1,520)	437
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Interest on convertible subordinated debentures	3		
Dividends on Series C convertible preferred stock	8		
Dividends on Series B Preferred Stock			
Income (loss) from continuing operations for diluted earnings per share	\$ 336	\$ (1,520)	\$ 437
Basic weighted-average shares	374	366	326
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock options	2	4	10
Convertible subordinated debentures	20		4
Series C convertible preferred stock	27		
Diluted weighted-average shares (1)	423	370	340
Earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations			
Basic	\$ 0.87	\$ (4.16)	\$ 1.35
Diluted (2)	\$ 0.79	\$ (4.16)	\$ 1.29

-
- (1) The diluted shares do not include the effect of the preferential conversion to Class B common stock of the Series B Preferred Stock previously held by a ChevronTexaco subsidiary, as such inclusion would be anti-dilutive.
 - (2) When an entity has a net loss from continuing operations, SFAS No. 128, Earnings per Share, prohibits the inclusion of potential common shares in the computation of diluted per-share amounts. Accordingly, we have utilized the basic shares outstanding amount to calculate both basic and diluted loss per share for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Note 17 Commitments and Contingencies

Summary of Material Legal Proceedings

Set forth below is a description of our material legal proceedings. In addition to the matters described below, we are party to legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. In management's opinion, the disposition of these matters will not materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

F-56

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(RESTATED)

We record reserves for estimated losses from contingencies when information available indicates that a loss is probable and the amount of the loss is reasonably estimable under SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies. For environmental matters, we record liabilities when remedial efforts are probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated. Please see Note 2 Accounting Policies beginning on page F-11 for further discussion. Environmental reserves do not reflect management's assessment of the insurance coverage that may be applicable to the matters at issue, whereas litigation reserves do reflect such potential coverage. We cannot make any assurances that the amount of any reserves will cover any cash obligations we might incur as a result of litigation or regulatory proceedings, payment of which could be material.

With respect to some of the items listed below, management has determined that a loss is not probable or that any such loss, to the extent probable, is not reasonably estimable. In some cases, management is not able to predict with any degree of certainty the range of possible loss that that could be incurred. Notwithstanding these facts, management has assessed these matters based on current information and made a judgment concerning their potential outcome, giving due consideration to the nature of the claim, the amount and nature of damages sought and the probability of success. Management's judgment may, as a result of facts arising prior to resolution of these matters or other factors, prove inaccurate and investors should be aware that such judgment is made subject to the known uncertainty of litigation.

Shareholder Litigation. We are defending a class action lawsuit filed on behalf of purchasers of our publicly traded securities from January 2000 to July 2002 seeking unspecified compensatory damages and other relief. The lawsuit principally asserts that we and certain of our current and former officers and directors violated the federal securities laws in connection with our disclosures, including accounting disclosures, regarding Project Alpha (*i.e.*, a structured natural gas transaction entered into by us in April 2001), round-trip trading, the submission of false trade reports to publications that calculate natural gas index prices, the alleged manipulation of the California power market, and the restatement of financial statements for periods since 1999. The Regents of the University of California have been appointed as lead plaintiff and Milberg Weiss is class counsel. Our original motions to dismiss this action have yet to be heard. Recently, the plaintiff filed an amended complaint which provides further explanation of the allegations in the plaintiff's former complaints. Briefing on the motions to dismiss the amended complaint, and the plaintiff's response to such motions, will occur from March to June 2004. An adverse result in this action could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. We previously recorded a reserve in connection with this litigation.

In addition, we are a nominal defendant in several derivative lawsuits brought on Dynegy's behalf by certain of our shareholders against certain of our former officers and current and former directors whose claims are similar to those described above. These lawsuits have been consolidated into two groups—one pending in federal court and the other pending in state court. Our motion to dismiss the federal derivative claim is currently pending and is set for hearing on March 15, 2004. We do not expect to incur any material liability with respect to these claims.

ERISA/401(k) Litigation. We are defending a purported class action complaint filed in federal district court alleging violations of ERISA in connection with our 401(k) Savings Plan. The lawsuit claims that our Board and certain of our former and current officers, past and present members of our Benefit Plans Committee, former employees who served on a predecessor committee to our Benefit Plans Committee, and Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company and CG Trust Company (trustees of the trust that held Plan assets for portions of the putative class period) breached their fiduciary duties to the Plan's participants and beneficiaries in connection with the Plan's investment in Dynegy common stock—in particular with respect to our financial statements, Project Alpha, round-trip trades and the gas price index investigation. The lawsuit seeks unspecified damages for the

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(RESTATED)

losses to the Plan, as well as attorney's fees and other costs. In July 2003, we filed a motion to dismiss this action. Hearing on our motion is likely to occur in the second quarter of 2004, but no date has been set.

We are analyzing these claims and intend to defend against them vigorously. We cannot predict with certainty whether we will incur any liability or to estimate the damages, if any, that might be incurred in connection with this lawsuit. However, given the nature of the claims, an adverse outcome could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Baldwin Station Litigation. Illinois Power and DMG, collectively referred to in this section as the Defendants, are the subject of an NOV from the EPA and a complaint filed by the EPA and the Department of Justice in federal district court alleging violations of the Clean Air Act and certain federal and Illinois regulations adopted under the Clean Air Act. Similar notices and complaints were filed against other owners of coal-fired power plants in what we refer to as the Utility Enforcement Initiative. Both the NOV and the complaint allege that certain equipment repairs, replacements and maintenance activities at the Defendants' three Baldwin Station generating units constituted major modifications under the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD), the New Source Performance Standard (NSPS) regulations and the applicable Illinois regulations, and that the Defendants failed to obtain required operating permits under the applicable Illinois regulations. When activities that meet the definition of major modifications occur and are not otherwise exempt, the Clean Air Act and related regulations generally require that the generating facilities at which such activities occur meet more stringent emissions standards, which may entail the installation of potentially costly pollution control equipment.

We have significantly reduced emissions at the Baldwin Station since the 1999 complaint by converting the Baldwin Station from high to low sulfur coal, resulting in sulfur dioxide emission reductions of over 90% from 1999 levels, and installing selective catalytic reduction equipment at two of the three Baldwin Station units, resulting in significant emission reductions of nitrogen oxides. However, the EPA may seek to require the installation of the best available control technology, or the equivalent, at the Baldwin Station, which we estimate could require us to incur capital expenditures of up to \$410 million. The EPA also has the authority to seek penalties for the alleged violations at the rate of up to \$27,500 per day for each violation.

In February 2003, the Court granted our motion for partial summary judgment based on the five-year statute of limitations. As a result, the EPA is not permitted to seek any monetary civil penalties for claims related to construction without a permit under the PSD regulations. The Court's ruling also precludes monetary civil penalties for a portion of the claims under the NSPS regulations and the applicable Illinois regulations. We believe that we have meritorious defenses against the remaining claims and vigorously defended against them at trial. The trial to resolve claims of liability began in June 2003 and closing arguments occurred in September 2003. Shortly after closing arguments, several interveners were granted the right to file briefs in support of arguments they believe the United States has ceased to pursue. The judge indicated at the end of the trial that he intended to issue a liability decision before the end of 2003. However, delays in post-trial briefing and associated with the intervention have postponed the issuance of the liability order. We have recorded a reserve in an amount we consider reasonable for potential penalties that could be imposed if the Court finds us liable and the EPA prosecutes successfully the remaining claims for penalties.

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

In August 2003, two significant decisions were handed down in other cases that are part of the Utility Enforcement Initiative. In *United States v. Ohio Edison*, the Court found the defendant liable for violations of the Clean Air Act and applied the EPA's narrow interpretation of the routine maintenance, repair and replacement exclusion, which defines it with respect to what is routine for the specific unit where the projects occurred. In *United States v. Duke Energy Company*, however, the Court rejected the EPA's narrow interpretation, holding

F-58

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(RESTATED)

that the exclusion should be defined relative to what is routine for the particular industry, not what is routine for the particular unit at issue. The *Duke* case also held that the government bears the burden of proof on the issue of whether a particular project is routine.

Also in August 2003, the EPA issued a new rule, the Equipment Replacement Provision of the Routine Maintenance, Repair and Replacement Exclusion, which was scheduled to go into effect in December 2003. Several northeastern states and environmental groups challenged the new rule by filing an appeal. Prior to its effective date, the Court stayed the effect of the new rule pending a ruling on the appeal. The new rule, if sustained, would provide that the replacement of components of a process unit with identical components (or their functional equivalents) will fall within the scope of the routine maintenance, repair and replacement exclusion if (i) the replacement cost is less than 20% of the total cost of replacing the unit, (ii) the replacement does not alter the unit's basic design and (iii) the unit will continue to comply with applicable emission and operational standards.

None of our other facilities are covered in the complaint and NOV, but the EPA previously requested information, which we provided, concerning activities at our Vermilion, Wood River, Hennepin, Danskammer and Roseton plants. The EPA could eventually commence enforcement actions based on activities at these plants, although the uncertainty surrounding the new rule makes it difficult to assess the likelihood of additional EPA enforcement actions.

California Market Litigation. We and numerous other power generators and marketers are the subject of numerous lawsuits arising from our participation in the western power markets during the California energy crisis. Eight of these lawsuits, which primarily allege manipulation of the California wholesale power markets and seek unspecified treble damages, were consolidated before a single federal judge. That judge dismissed two of the cases in the first quarter 2003 on the grounds of FERC preemption and the filed rate doctrine. A decision on the plaintiffs' appeal of that dismissal is not expected before May 2004. Regarding the other six consolidated cases, we are awaiting a ruling from the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals on our appeal of a prior decision to remand those cases to state court.

In addition to the eight consolidated lawsuits discussed above, nine other putative class actions and/or representative actions were filed in state and federal court on behalf of business and residential electricity consumers against us and numerous other power generators and marketers between April and October 2002. The complaints allege unfair, unlawful and deceptive practices in violation of the California Unfair Business Practices Act and seek to enjoin illegal conduct, restitution and unspecified damages. While some of the allegations in these lawsuits are similar to the allegations in the eight lawsuits described above, these lawsuits include additional allegations relating to, among other things, the validity of the contracts between these power generators and the CDWR. The court granted our motion to dismiss eight of these nine actions, although the plaintiffs have appealed. The ninth case was recently remanded to state court where we are preparing to file a motion to dismiss it.

In December 2002, two additional actions were filed with similar allegations on behalf of residents of Washington and Oregon. In May 2003, the plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed these actions and refiled them in California Superior Court as a class action complaint. The complaint, which was brought on behalf of consumers and businesses in Oregon, Washington, Utah, Nevada, Idaho, New Mexico, Arizona and Montana that purchased energy from the California market, alleges violations of the Cartwright Act and unfair business practices. We have removed the action from state court and consolidated it with existing actions pending before the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

The hearing on plaintiffs' appeal to remand to state

F-59

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(RESTATED)

court occurred in February 2004. The judge stayed his ruling on the appeal pending the Ninth Circuit's ruling on the six consolidated cases referenced above. Most recently, the Montana Attorney General has filed a case alleging similar antitrust and market manipulation claims, although we have not been served with this lawsuit.

We believe that we have meritorious defenses to these claims and intend to defend against them vigorously. We cannot predict with certainty whether we will incur any liability or to estimate the range of possible loss, if any, that we might incur in connection with these lawsuits. However, given the nature of the claims, an adverse result in any of these proceedings could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

FERC and Related Regulatory Investigations - Requests for Refunds. In July 2001, the FERC initiated a hearing to establish refunds to electricity customers, or offsets against amounts owed to electricity suppliers, during the period of October 2000 through June 2001. In particular, the FERC established a methodology to calculate mitigated market clearing prices in the Cal ISO and the Cal PX markets. In December 2002, an administrative law judge issued his recommendations regarding the appropriate level of refunds or offsets. Those recommendations, however, do not fully reflect proposed refund or offset amounts for individual companies. In October 2003, the FERC issued two orders addressing various applications for rehearing, including ours, relating to its previous refund orders. The orders addressed numerous requests by the parties, the most significant of which was the refusal to change the gas pricing methodology and a requirement that the Cal ISO and Cal PX recalculate the refund liability of market participants. The gas price methodology approved by the FERC in March 2003 replaces the gas prices used in the computation, thus reducing the mitigated market clearing price for power and increasing calculated refunds, subject to a provision that provides full recoverability of actual gas costs paid by the generators to unaffiliated third parties. We do not expect a final refund calculation prior to August 2004.

Also in October 2003, DPM and subsidiaries of West Coast Power filed a Petition for Review in federal appeals court, challenging numerous FERC orders relating to our potential refund liability and similar matters arising out of various energy transactions in California and elsewhere in the western U.S. for the period of May 2000 to June 2001. We are unable to predict when the case will be heard, when a decision will be issued or the affects of the decision on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

In June 2003, the FERC issued an order to show cause why the activities of certain participants in the California power markets from January 2000 to June 2001, including Dynegy, did not constitute gaming and/or anomalous market behavior as defined in the Cal ISO and Cal PX tariffs. In January 2004, Dynegy and the FERC staff submitted a stipulation and settlement agreement to the presiding administrative law judge to settle the issues raised in the June 2003 show cause order. The settlement provides that West Coast Power will pay approximately \$3 million, following final FERC approval into a fund established at the U.S. Treasury for the benefit of California and Western electricity consumers. Under the terms of the proposed settlement, this payment will not constitute an admission of any wrongdoing by West Coast Power or us. This settlement does not include the pending refund proceedings described above.

Also in June 2003, the FERC issued an order requiring parties to demonstrate that certain bids did not constitute anomalous market behavior. Specifically, the order requires the FERC staff to investigate all parties who bid above the level of \$250/MWH in the Cal ISO and Cal PX

markets during the period from May 2000 to October 2000. Parties identified through this process will be required to demonstrate why this bidding behavior did not violate market protocols. The order also states that, to the extent such practices are not found to be legitimate business behavior, the FERC will require the disgorgement of all unjust profits for that period and will consider other non-monetary remedies, such as the revocation of market-based rate authority.

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(RESTATED)

West Coast Power recorded a reserve in the fourth quarter 2003 relating to its estimated refund exposure.

Data Requests. In addition to civil litigation and refund proceedings, we are also subject to a number of investigations and inquiries by FERC and others regarding our past trading practices. In 2002 and 2003, the FERC issued data requests to us and numerous other energy companies seeking information with respect to reporting by these companies of trade data to index publications and whether these companies engaged in physical withholding of power in California and in wash or round-trip trading. We also received a request for information from the PUCT in June 2002 regarding our trading practices in ERCOT. We have responded timely to all such requests and intend to cooperate fully with these investigations. Nevertheless, we cannot predict with certainty how or when these investigations will be resolved.

Western Long-Term Contract Complaints. In February 2002, the California Public Utilities Commission and the California Electricity Oversight Board filed complaints with the FERC asking that it void or reform power supply contracts between the CDWR and, among others, DPM. The complaints allege that prices under the contracts exceed just and reasonable prices permitted under the FPA. In June 2003, the FERC ruled that long-term contracts with the CDWR, including DPM's, were valid and would be upheld. In August 2003, various California parties filed a request for a rehearing on the long-term contract issue with FERC. In November 2003, the FERC denied the applications for rehearing, again upholding the long-term contracts. The complainants have now appealed the decision to a federal appeals court. The California Public Utilities Commission has also filed a Petition of Review appealing the denial of the application for rehearing at FERC. We are awaiting rulings on all of these filings and cannot predict their outcome.

West Coast Power. Through our interest in West Coast Power, we have credit exposure for past transactions to the Cal ISO and Cal PX, which primarily relied on cash payments from California utilities to in turn pay their bills. West Coast Power currently sells directly to the CDWR pursuant to a long-term sales agreement.

At December 31, 2003, our portion of the receivables owed to West Coast Power by the Cal ISO and Cal PX approximated \$195 million. Management periodically assesses our exposure through West Coast Power, relative to our California receivables and establishes and maintains reserves under SFAS 5. Our share of the total reserve taken by West Coast Power at December 31, 2003 was approximately \$196 million.

Enron Trade Credit Litigation. At December 31, 2002, Enron's net exposure to us, including certain liquidated damages and other amounts relating to the termination of the transactions, was determined to approximate \$84 million and was calculated by setting off approximately \$230 million owed from various Dynegy entities to various Enron entities against approximately \$314 million owed from various Enron entities to various Dynegy entities. The master netting agreement between Enron and us and the valuation of the commercial transactions covered by the agreement, which valuation is based principally on the parties' assessment of market prices for such period, remain subject to dispute by Enron. We are engaged in an ongoing process with Enron to reconcile the differences between our respective valuations of the various contracts and accounts receivable. As a result of this process, we have reduced the amount owed to us by Enron to approximately \$68 million, including the liabilities under the gas transportation agreement related to the Sithe Independence power tolling arrangement. This reduction from the previous calculation results largely from our own recalculation of the mark-to-market value of certain Canadian power transactions. If the parties cannot resolve their disputes, the agreement calls for arbitration. In 2002 we instituted arbitration proceedings against those Enron parties not in

bankruptcy and filed a motion with the Bankruptcy Court requesting that we be allowed to proceed to arbitration against those Enron parties that are in bankruptcy. The Enron parties have responded by opposing our request to enforce the arbitration requirement and filing an adversary proceeding

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(RESTATED)

against us, alleging that the master netting agreement should not be enforced and that the Enron companies should recover approximately \$230 million from us. We have disputed such allegations and are vigorously defending our position regarding the setoff rights provided for in the master netting agreement, although the Bankruptcy Court has yet to rule on the enforceability of the master netting agreement.

In November 2003, we gave notice of our intent to pursue arbitration against Enron Canada Corp. In response, Enron Canada Corp. filed a lawsuit in Canadian District Court against Dynegy Canada Inc. to recover the amounts that it claims to be owed under the master netting agreement. The lawsuit states that the complainant's remedy is contingent upon a Bankruptcy Court ruling on the enforceability of the master netting agreement. In December 2003, Enron filed an application with the Bankruptcy Court for an injunction to prohibit this arbitration, to which we responded in January 2004. In February 2004, the Bankruptcy Court ruled that the automatic stay of the bankruptcy applied to our request to pursue arbitration against Enron Canada Corp. under the master netting agreement. Consequently, we are currently prohibited from enforcing the master netting agreement by arbitration. We intend to appeal this ruling.

If the setoff rights are modified or disallowed, either by agreement or otherwise, the amount available for our entities to set off against sums that might be due Enron entities could be reduced materially. In fact, we could be required to pay to Enron the full amount that it claims to be owed, while we would be an unsecured creditor of Enron to the extent of our claim. We cannot predict with certainty whether we will incur any liability in connection with these disputes. However, given the size of the claims at issue, an adverse result could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Trans-Elect Litigation. In October 2003, Trans-Elect, Inc. and Illinois Electric Transmission Company, LLC filed suit against Illinois Power Company in the Northern District of Illinois requesting specific performance and estoppel, and claiming damages as a result of breach of contract and lost profits. These causes of action allegedly arise from Illinois Power's termination of an asset purchase and sale agreement entered into by the parties in October 2002. Under the terms of the agreement, Illinois Power agreed to sell its transmission assets to Trans-Elect if, on or before July 7, 2003, the agreement received the required FERC, ICC, SEC and Hart-Scott Rodino approvals. As of July 7, 2003, the agreement had not been approved by, among other entities, the FERC and, as a result, Illinois Power terminated the agreement in accordance with its terms on July 8, 2003. Trans-Elect claims that Illinois Power breached the agreement by failing to use its best efforts to obtain the required approvals and/or to negotiate an alternate agreement that could be approved. Trial has been scheduled in this matter for January 2005.

We deny these claims, in that we believe we complied with the terms of the agreement, and intend to defend against them vigorously. We cannot predict with certainty whether we will incur any liability or estimate the damages, if any, that might be incurred in connection with this lawsuit. However, we do not believe that any liability we might incur as a result of this litigation would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. Additionally, we have retained this liability in connection with our proposed sale of Illinois Power to Ameren and do not expect that the outcome will negatively impact our ability to close the sale.

Severance Arbitrations. Our former CEO, Chuck Watson, former President, Steve Bergstrom, and former CFO, Rob Doty, have each filed for arbitration pursuant to the terms of their employment/severance agreements. In each case, the parties disagree as to the amounts that may be owed pursuant to their respective agreements. These former officers have made arbitration claims that seek payments of up to approximately

\$28.7 million, \$10.4 million and \$3.4 million, respectively. Their agreements are subject to interpretation and we believe that

F-62

Table of Contents**DYNEGY INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(RESTATED)**

the amounts owed are substantially lower than the amounts sought. In particular, the severance agreement with Mr. Bergstrom provides that the amounts identified in the agreement are not due him if material financial restatements have occurred or allegations of wrongdoing are made against him by a state or federal law enforcement agency. We have recorded reserves in amounts we consider reasonable and appropriate in the event these arbitrations are decided adversely to Dynegy. These arbitrations are currently scheduled to commence in March 2004 (Bergstrom) and June 2004 (Doty and Watson).

Farnsworth Litigation. In August 2002, Bradley Farnsworth filed a lawsuit against us in state court claiming breach of contract and that he was demoted and ultimately fired from the position of Controller for refusing to participate in illegal activities. Specifically, Mr. Farnsworth alleges, in the words of his amended complaint, that certain of our former executive officers requested that he shave or reduce for accounting purposes the forward price curves associated with the natural gas business in the United Kingdom for the period of October 1, 2000 through March 31, 2001, in order to indicate a reduction in our mark-to-market losses. He also claims that Project Alpha and the round-trip trades provide evidence to support his theory that these same former executive officers were engaged in a conspiracy to manipulate our financial results and statements. Mr. Farnsworth, who seeks unspecified actual and exemplary damages and other compensation, also alleges that he is entitled to a termination payment under his employment agreement equal to 2.99 times the greater of his average base salary and incentive compensation for the highest three calendar years preceding termination or his base salary and target bonus amount for the year of termination (currently estimated at a range of approximately \$700,000 to \$1,200,000). We filed a motion for summary judgment on all claims in early October 2003, on which we expect a ruling in the first quarter of 2004. Trial, which was scheduled for January 2004, has been rescheduled to October 18, 2004. Although we have recorded a reserve with respect to this litigation, we do not believe that any liability we might incur as a result of this litigation would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Apache Litigation. In May 2002, Apache Corporation filed suit in state court against Versado, as purchaser and processor of Apache's gas, and DMS, as operator of the Versado assets in New Mexico, seeking more than \$9 million in damages. The amended petition alleges that Versado engages in sham transactions with affiliates, resulting in Versado not receiving fair market value when it sells gas and liquids, and that the formula for calculating the amount Versado receives from its buyers of gas and liquids is flawed since it is based on gas price indexes that these same affiliates are alleged to have manipulated by providing false price information to the index publisher. In May 2003, we filed a motion for partial summary judgment relating to lost gas and related matters. The Court granted substantially all of our motions in September 2003. Trial on the remaining claims occurred in January 2004. The jury found in favor of the plaintiff and awarded approximately \$1.9 million in damages. Although DMS recorded a reserve with respect to this litigation, it intends to appeal this decision. DMS's motion to set aside the judgment notwithstanding the verdict will be filed in March 2004. In any case, we do not believe that any liability we might incur as a result of this litigation would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Gas Index Pricing Litigation. We, and in some cases several other natural gas marketers, are the subject of a number of lawsuits seeking damages as the result of alleged false reporting of pricing and volume information regarding natural gas transactions. One such suit is a class action lawsuit filed on behalf of purchasers of natural gas and electricity in the state of California. We have successfully dismissed this case twice, but plaintiffs were permitted to file another amended complaint in December 2003 in pursuit of their claims. We filed another motion to dismiss in January 2004 and are awaiting a ruling from the court. The court has ruled expeditiously on the two prior motions.

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(RESTATED)

In another case, Sierra Pacific Resources and Nevada Power Company filed suit against various sellers of natural gas, including some of our subsidiaries, in federal district court. Plaintiffs claim that they purchased natural gas from us to produce electricity for their customers at artificially high prices based on published index prices at the California-Arizona border market. Plaintiffs claim that we were part of a conspiracy to restrict natural gas transmission capacity on the El Paso pipeline system, which in turn raised the California border price. Plaintiffs also claim that we withheld capacity from the market in concert with El Paso and that there was an illicit agreement between the other defendants, El Paso and us to restrict output and raise prices in violation of the Nevada Unfair Trade Practices Act. Plaintiffs further allege that we conspired with El Paso, in violation of Nevada's Racketeering Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act, to intentionally misrepresent natural gas prices and volumes to trade publications that compile and report index prices in an effort to fraudulently induce plaintiffs to enter into natural gas purchase contracts and associated hedging transactions at artificially high prices. Plaintiffs sought an award of unspecified treble damages with respect to these claims based on the alleged excess natural gas costs they incurred. In response to our September 2003 motion to dismiss, the court dismissed the Plaintiffs' claims in their entirety in January 2004.

In addition to the Sierra Pacific suit, we have been named as defendants in a third-party lawsuit originally initiated by Nelson Brothers, LLC against Cherokee Nitrogen in Alabama state court. The underlying suit relates to an agreement between Cherokee and Nelson Brothers pursuant to which Cherokee allegedly agreed to supply ammonium nitrate to Nelson Brothers and to use its commercially reasonable efforts to reduce its supply costs. When Nelson Brothers sued Cherokee under their agreement, Cherokee filed a third-party complaint alleging that it purchased natural gas from DMT based on index pricing and, citing our December 2002 settlement with the CFTC, that the index prices used were artificially inflated by DMT due to fraudulent and inaccurate reporting to index services, which resulted in higher costs that it passed on to Nelson Brothers. Cherokee claims that DMT is liable to it for alleged overcharges and seeks actual and punitive damages in unspecified amounts. Our motion to dismiss this action on grounds of FERC preemption was denied. We have petitioned the Court for permission to immediately appeal the denial, and are awaiting a ruling on that request.

We also are among some 40 defendants named in a consolidated class action titled *In re Natural Gas Commodity Litigation* pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. This complaint was filed in January 2004 and consolidates at least two former cases in which we were a defendant. The complaint alleges that during the class period from January 2000 through December 2002, defendants unlawfully manipulated the prices of natural gas futures and options contracts traded on the New York Mercantile Exchange through, among other things, deliberately reporting inaccurate, misleading and false trading information to industry trade publications that compile and publish indices of natural gas prices. In addition, plaintiff alleges that defendants engaged in a variety of trades, including wash trades, whose sole purpose was to create the perception of increased liquidity and demand for natural gas. No firm dates regarding this new matter have been established.

Recently, Texas-Ohio Energy, Inc. filed a class action in federal district court, naming several defendants, including Dynegy, Inc. Holding Co. The complaint alleges that at least 17 defendants and their co-conspirators engaged in wash trades and false reporting to the various gas indices. Plaintiff alleges that defendants made money by manipulating prices to increase margins. Shortly before the scheduled answer date, this case was transferred via the multi-district litigation process to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, where at least seven other index manipulation cases are currently pending. Plaintiff's objection to the transfer is expected shortly and our response to the original complaint is due following the court's resolution of the transfer dispute.

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(RESTATED)

Most recently, in February 2004, Mark and Susan Benschmidt initiated class action litigation on behalf of purchasers of natural gas in California against 16 defendants, including Dynegy, DMT and DPM. The complaint is similar to the above-described gas index manipulation cases. Plaintiffs also allege that all defendants engaged in wash trades with Enron and with each other which had no rational economic basis; and agreed not to compete with each other in the pricing and sale of bundled natural gas in California, in the pricing and sale of interstate gas transportation contracts into California in the secondary (or replacement) market and in the pricing and sale of derivatives known as basis swaps derived from California natural gas market prices. Plaintiffs maintain that all defendants' actions constitute violations of the Cartwright Act and the California Unfair Competition Act. Accordingly, Plaintiffs seek an award of unspecified treble damages with respect to these claims.

We are analyzing all of these claims and intend to defend against them vigorously. We cannot predict with certainty whether we will incur any liability or to estimate the damages, if any, that might be incurred in connection with this lawsuit. We do not believe that any liability that we might incur as a result of this litigation would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Triad Litigation. In March 2003, Triad Energy Resources Corp. and five other alleged representatives of two plaintiffs' classes filed a putative antitrust class action against NiSource Inc. and other defendants, including us, in federal district court. The plaintiffs purport to represent classes of purchasers, marketers, wholesalers, managers, sellers and shippers of natural gas that allegedly were damaged by an illegal gas scheme devised by three federally regulated interstate pipeline systems which are now owned by NiSource, and certain shippers on these pipelines. It alleges that the interstate pipelines provided preferential storage and transportation services to their own unregulated marketing affiliate, in violation of FERC regulations, and in return for percentages of the profits reaped by the marketing affiliate. The complaint also alleges that certain shippers, including us, having learned of the Columbia arrangements, demanded and received similar preferential storage and transportation services that were not available to all shippers.

Although this alleged scheme was the subject of an October 2000 FERC order, which required the Columbia companies to pay \$27.5 million to certain customers of Columbia Gas and Columbia Gulf, plaintiffs claim that the FERC order did not remedy the competitive injury to plaintiffs caused by the scheme. The complaint seeks aggregate damages of approximately \$1.716 billion, which under the federal antitrust laws, damages are subject to trebling. In October 2003, the court granted defendants' motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction and allowed time for the plaintiffs to amend their complaint. The plaintiffs have since filed a motion to voluntarily dismiss their complaint and indicated an intent to refile in a proper jurisdiction, although plaintiffs have not yet re-filed. We are analyzing these claims and intend to defend against them vigorously. We cannot predict with certainty whether we will incur any liability or to estimate the damages, if any, that we might incur in connection with this lawsuit.

Atlantigas Corp. Litigation. In November 2003, Atlantigas Corporation filed a suit similar to Triad in Maryland against us and several other defendants alleging certain conspiracies between natural gas shippers and storage facilities. The complaint seeks unspecified compensatory and punitive damages. In addition, we are alleged to have conspired with the other defendants to receive preferential natural gas storage and transportation services at off-tariff prices. Defendants are currently challenging plaintiff on the threshold issues of standing, statute of limitations and jurisdiction. These issues will be fully briefed in February 2004 and are expected to be resolved in the spring of 2004.

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

We are analyzing these claims and intend to defend against them vigorously. We cannot predict with certainty whether we will incur any liability or to estimate the damages, if any, that we might incur in connection with this lawsuit.

F-65

office space, equipment, plant sites, power generation assets and LPG vessel charters. The following describes the more significant commitments outstanding at December 31, 2003.

Purchase Obligations. We have routinely entered into contracts for the purchase and sale of electricity, some of which contain fixed capacity payments. Such obligations are generally payable on a ratable basis, the terms of which extend through September 2017. In return for such fixed capacity payments, we receive the right to generate electricity, which we then may re-market. These types of arrangements are referred to as tolling

Other Minimum Commitments. We have a commitment to pay decommissioning costs of approximately \$5 million in 2004 related to the sale of the Clinton nuclear facility in 1999. This sale occurred prior to our acquisition of Illinova in 2000; thus we were not involved with the sale. However, we assumed this decommissioning obligation in connection with our acquisition of Illinova. See Note 2 Accounting Policies Asset Retirement Obligations beginning on page F-13 for further discussion of our accounting policies surrounding asset retirement obligations and Note 23 Subsequent Event beginning on page F-86 for a discussion of our pending sale of the stock of Illinois Power to Ameren.

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

and the natural gas liquids assets total \$209 million, £316 million (approximately \$564 million at December 31, 2003), £130 million (approximately \$232 million at December 31, 2003), and \$28 million, respectively.

At December 31, 2003, we do not expect any of the indemnities provided to third parties to have a material impact on our financial statements. However, we may incur a liability under such indemnity in the future, and it may have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

F-69

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(RESTATED)

Through one of our subsidiaries, we hold a 50% ownership interest in Nevada Cogeneration Associates #2. Nevada Cogeneration, in which our partner is a ChevronTexaco subsidiary, owns the Black Mountain power generation facility and has a power purchase agreement with a third party that extends through April 2023. In connection with the power purchase agreement, pursuant to which Nevada Cogeneration receives payments the amounts of which decrease over time, we agreed to guarantee 50% of certain payments that may be due to the purchaser under a mechanism designed to protect it from early termination of the agreement. At December 31, 2003, if an event of default had occurred under the terms of the mortgage on the facility entered into in connection with the power purchase agreement, we could have been required to pay the purchaser \$39 million under the guarantee. In addition, while there is a question of interpretation regarding the existence of an obligation to make payments calculated under this mechanism upon the scheduled termination of the agreement, management does not expect that any such payments would be required.

Note 18 Regulatory Issues

We are subject to regulation by various federal, state, local and foreign agencies, including extensive rules and regulations governing transportation, transmission and sale of energy commodities as well as the discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise relating to environmental protection. Compliance with these regulations requires general and administrative, capital and operating expenditures including those related to monitoring, pollution control equipment, emission fees and permitting at various operating facilities and remediation obligations. In addition, the U.S. Congress has before it a number of bills that could impact existing regulations or impose new regulations applicable to us and our subsidiaries. We cannot predict the outcome of these bills or other regulatory developments or the effects that they might have on our business.

Note 19 Capital Stock

At December 31, 2003, we had authorized capital stock consisting of 900,000,000 shares of Class A common stock, 360,000,000 shares of Class B common stock and 70,000,000 shares of preferred stock.

Preferred Stock. Our preferred stock may be issued from time to time in one or more series, the shares of each series to have such designations and powers, preferences, rights, qualifications, limitations and restrictions thereof as specified by our Board of Directors.

Please read Note 15 Redeemable Preferred Securities beginning on page F-53 for a discussion of the Series B Preferred Stock we issued to ChevronTexaco in November 2001, which was exchanged in August 2003, and the Series C convertible preferred stock we issued to CUSA in connection with such exchange.

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

Common Stock. At December 31, 2003, there were 377,241,183 shares of Class A and B common stock issued in the aggregate and 1,679,183 shares were held in treasury. During 2003, no quarterly cash dividend payments were made. During 2002, we paid quarterly cash dividends on our common stock of \$0.075 per share for the first and second quarters and none thereafter, or \$0.15 per share on an annual basis.

F-70

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

In January 2002, CUSA purchased approximately 10.4 million shares of Class B common stock in a private transaction, pursuant to the exercise of its preemptive rights under the shareholder agreement. The proceeds from this sale were approximately \$205 million.

In December 2001, 27.5 million shares of Class A common stock were sold through a public offering resulting in proceeds of approximately \$539 million, net of underwriting commission and expenses of approximately \$32 million. Concurrent with the public offering, members of our senior management purchased

F-71

Stock Options. As further discussed in Note 2 Accounting Policies Employee Stock Options beginning on page F-18, we have nine stock option plans, all of which contain authorized shares of our Class A common stock. Each option granted is valued at an option price, which ranges from \$0.88 per share to \$57.95 per share at date of grant. A brief description of each plan is provided below:

NGC Plan. Created early in our history and revised prior to Dynegy becoming a publicly traded company in 1996, this plan contains 13,651,802 authorized shares, has a 10-year term, and expires in May 2006. All option grants are vested.

Employee Equity Plan. This plan expired in May 2002 and is the only plan in which we granted options below the fair market value of Class A common stock on the date of grant. This plan contains 20,358,802 authorized shares, and grants from this plan vest on the fifth anniversary from the date of the grant.

Illinova Plan. Adopted by Illinova prior to the merger with Dynegy, this plan expired upon the merger date in February 2000 and contains 3,000,000 authorized shares. All option grants are vested.

Extant Plan. Adopted by Extant prior to its acquisition by Dynegy, this plan expired in September 2000 and contains 202,577 authorized shares. Grants from this plan vest at 25% per year.

F-72

In addition, in 2003 we adopted the Mid-Term Incentive Performance Award Program. This program is limited to select employees who are eligible to receive cash compensation of up to 200% of their annual base salary, paid in installments over a two-year period, based on the performance of our Class A common stock during the last 30 trading days in 2004 and stock performance over the entire year in 2005. We account for this cash plan using variable plan accounting and recognized less than \$1 million in compensation expense during 2003 associated with the plan.

401(k) Savings Plan. Our employees participate in four 401(k) savings plans, all of which meet the requirements of Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code and are defined contribution plans subject to the provisions of ERISA. The following summarizes the plans:

Dynegy Inc. 401(k) Savings Plan this plan and the related trust fund are established and maintained for the exclusive benefit of participating employees in the United States and certain expatriates. All employees of certain entities are eligible to participate in the plan. Employee pre-tax contributions to the plan are matched 100%, up to a maximum of 5% of base pay, subject to IRS limitations. Vesting in our

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(RESTATED)

contributions is based on years of service at 25% per full year of service. We may also make discretionary contributions to employee accounts, subject to our performance. Matching and discretionary contributions are made in our common stock. During the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, we issued approximately 1.8 million, 2.7 million and 0.3 million shares, respectively, of our common stock to fund the plan. We discontinued the additional 5% profit sharing contribution to active employee accounts in 2001. However, in 2001, active employees who normally would have received the profit sharing contribution under the plan began participating in the pension plan described below. No discretionary contributions were made for 2002 or 2003;

Illinois Power Company Incentive Savings Plan and Illinois Power Company Incentive Savings Plan for Employees Covered Under A Collective Bargaining Agreement we match 50% of employee contributions to the plans, up to a maximum of 6% of compensation, subject to IRS limitations. Employees are immediately 100% vested in our contributions. Matching contributions to the plans are made in our common stock. During the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, we issued 1.2 million, 1.1 million and 72,700 shares, respectively, of our common stock to fund the plans; and

Dynegy Northeast Generation, Inc. Savings Incentive Plan this plan, which is for union employees, matches 24% of employee contributions up to 6% of base salary. For non-union employees, we match 50% of employee contributions up to 8% of base salary. Our guaranteed match is subject to a maximum of 6 or 8% of base pay, subject to IRS limitations. Employees are immediately 100% vested in our contributions. Matching contributions to this northeast plan are made in cash.

Similar plans are available to other employees resident in foreign countries and are subject to the laws of each country. During the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, we recognized aggregate costs related to these employee compensation plans of \$8 million, \$17 million and \$27 million, respectively.

Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefits.

We have various defined benefit pension plans and post-retirement benefit plans. All domestic employees participate in the pension plans, but only some of our domestic employees participate in the other post-retirement medical and life insurance benefit plans. We added a cash balance feature effective for 2001 and thereafter with respect to employees who would have otherwise received a profit sharing contribution under the Dynegy Inc. 401(k) Savings Plan (the contribution credit under such cash balance feature is generally 6% of base pay). We use a December 31 measurement date for all of our plans.

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(RESTATED)

Obligations and Funded Status. The following tables contain information about the obligations and funded status of these plans on a combined basis:

	Pension Benefits		Other Benefits	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
	(in millions)			
Projected benefit obligation, beginning of the year	\$ 626	\$ 524	\$ 161	\$ 140
Service cost	21	19	5	3
Interest cost	39	38	11	10
Plan amendments	1			
Actuarial (gain) loss	40	74	33	15
Special termination benefits		1		
Curtailment (gain) loss		2		
Participant contributions			1	1
Benefits paid	(34)	(32)	(9)	(8)
	\$ 693	\$ 626	\$ 202	\$ 161
Fair value of plan assets, beginning of the year	\$ 501	\$ 584	\$ 67	\$ 79
Actual return on plan assets	104	(52)	14	(11)
Employer contributions		1	6	6
Participant contributions			1	1
Benefits paid	(34)	(32)	(9)	(8)
	\$ 571	\$ 501	\$ 79	\$ 67
Funded status	\$ (122)	\$ (125)	\$ (123)	\$ (94)
Unrecognized prior service costs	7	6		
Unrecognized actuarial (gain) loss	267	288	103	84
	\$ 152	\$ 169	\$ (20)	\$ (10)

Plan amendments of \$1 million in 2003 relate to an amendment to increase the career average accrual formula to 2.40% from 2.20%.

Curtailment losses of \$2 million during 2002 relate to the 2002 severance plans. Please see Note 4 Restructuring and Impairment Changes beginning on page F-26 for further discussion.

Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets consist of:

	<u>Pension Benefits</u>		<u>Other Benefits</u>	
	<u>December 31,</u>		<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
	(in millions)			
Prepaid benefit cost	\$ 122	\$ 127	\$	\$
Accrued benefit liability	(65)	(68)	(20)	(10)
Intangible asset	5	6		
Accumulated other comprehensive income	90	104		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Net amount recognized	<u>\$ 152</u>	<u>\$ 169</u>	<u>\$ (20)</u>	<u>\$ (10)</u>

F-76

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(RESTATED)

The accumulated benefit obligation for all defined benefit pension plans was \$615 million and \$559 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

On December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, our annual measurement date, the accumulated benefit obligation related to certain of our pension plans exceeded the fair value of the pension plan assets. As a result, in accordance with SFAS No. 87, Employers Accounting for Pensions, we have recorded a minimum pension liability, with an offset to accumulated other comprehensive loss. The following summarizes information for pension plans with an accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets:

	December 31,	
	2003	2002
	(in millions)	
Projected benefit obligation	\$ 386	\$ 352
Accumulated benefit obligation	336	309
Fair value of plan assets	273	243

The following summarizes the change to accumulated other comprehensive loss associated with the minimum pension liability:

	2003	2002	2001
	—	—	—
(in millions)			
Change in minimum liability included in other comprehensive income (net of tax benefit (expense) of \$5 million, \$38 million and zero, respectively)	\$ (9)	\$ 66	\$

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost. The components of net periodic benefit cost were:

	Pension Benefits			Other Benefits		
	2003	2002	2001	2003	2002	2001
(in millions)						
Service cost benefits earned during period	\$ 21	\$ 19	\$ 10	\$ 5	\$ 3	\$ 2
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	39	38	34	11	10	8

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

Expected return on plan assets	(53)	(59)	(57)	(6)	(7)	(7)
Amortization of prior service costs	1	1				
Recognized net actuarial (gain)/loss	9			5	3	1
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Net periodic benefit cost (income)	\$ 17	\$ (1)	\$ (13)	\$ 15	\$ 9	\$ 4
Additional early retirement window benefits		2	9			
Additional cost due to curtailment						
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total net periodic benefit cost (income)	\$ 17	\$ 1	\$ (4)	\$ 15	\$ 9	\$ 4
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Assumptions. The following weighted average assumptions were used to determine benefit obligations:

	Pension Benefits		Other Benefits	
	December 31,		December 31,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Discount rate	6.00%	6.50%	6.00%	6.50%
Rate of compensation increase	4.50%	4.50%	4.50%	4.50%

F-77

Table of Contents**DYNEGY INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(RESTATED)**

The following weighted average assumptions were used to determine net periodic benefit cost:

	Pension Benefits			Other Benefits		
	Year Ended December 31,			Year Ended December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001	2003	2002	2001
Discount rate	6.50%	7.50%	7.99%	6.50%	7.50%	8.00%
Expected return on plan assets	9.00%	9.50%	9.47%	9.00%	9.50%	9.50%
Rate of compensation increase	4.50%	4.50%	4.48%	4.50%	4.50%	4.50%

Our expected long-term rate of return on plan assets for the year ended December 31, 2004 will be 8.75%. This figure begins with a blend of asset class-level returns developed under a theoretical global capital asset pricing model methodology conducted by an outside consultant. In development of this figure, the historical relationships between equities and fixed income are preserved consistent with the widely accepted capital market principle that assets with higher volatility generate a greater return over the long-term. Current market factors such as inflation and interest rates are also incorporated in the assumptions. The figure also incorporates an upward adjustment reflecting the plan's use of active management and favorable past experience.

The following summarizes our assumed health care cost trend rates:

	December 31,	
	2003	2002
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year	10.1%	9.44%
Ultimate trend rate	5.47%	5.47%
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate trend rate	2009	2009

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plans. The impact of a one percent increase/decrease in assumed health care cost trend rates is as follows:

Increase Decrease

	(in millions)	
Aggregate impact on service cost and interest cost	\$ 3	\$ 2
Impact on accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation	\$ 26	\$ 18

Plan Assets. We employ a total return investment approach whereby a mix of equities and fixed income investments are used to maximize the long-term return of plan assets for a prudent level of risk. The intent of this strategy is to minimize plan expenses by outperforming plan liabilities over the long run. Risk tolerance is established through careful consideration of plan liabilities, plan funded status, and corporate financial condition. The investment portfolio contains a diversified blend of equity and fixed income investments. Furthermore, equity investments are diversified across U.S. and non-U.S. stocks as well as growth, value, and small and large capitalization. Other assets such as real estate and private equity are used judiciously to enhance long-term returns while improving portfolio diversification.

Derivatives may be used to gain market exposure in an efficient and timely manner; however, derivatives may not be used to leverage the portfolio beyond the market value of the underlying investment. Investment risk is measured and monitored on an ongoing basis through quarterly investment portfolio reviews, periodic asset/liability studies, and annual liability measurement.

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(RESTATED)

Our pension plans weighted-average asset allocations by asset category were as follows:

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Equity securities	64%	59%
Debt securities	28%	30%
Real estate	5%	6%
Other	3%	4%
Cash		1%
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total	100%	100%
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Equity securities did not include any of our common stock at December 31, 2003 or 2002.

Our other postretirement benefit plans weighted-average asset allocations by asset category were as follows:

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Equity securities	75%	74%
Debt securities	25%	26%
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total	100%	100%
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Equity securities did not include any of our common stock at December 31, 2003 or 2002.

Contributions. We expect to contribute \$8 million to our pension plans and \$5 million to our other postretirement benefit plans in 2004. Under the terms of the sale of Illinois Power to Ameren, we will be required to accelerate certain of our 2005 cash funding requirements at closing.

Note 21 Segment Information

Amounts in this footnote have been restated. For further information, please see the Explanatory Note beginning on page F-8.

In 2002, we reported results for the following four business segments: WEN, DMS, T&D and DGC. Beginning January 1, 2003, we are reporting our operations in the following segments: GEN, NGL, REG and CRM. All corporate overhead included in other reported results was allocated to our four former reporting segments prior to January 1, 2003. Beginning January 1, 2003, all direct general and administrative expenses incurred by us on behalf of our subsidiaries are charged to the applicable subsidiary as incurred. In addition, all interest expense was allocated to our four former reporting segments prior to January 1, 2003. Other income (expense) items incurred by us on behalf of our subsidiaries are allocated directly to the four segments.

Prior to January 1, 2003, the GEN and CRM segments were operated together as an asset-based third-party marketing, trading and risk-management business, then referred to as the WEN segment. Most, but not all, of the WEN third-party purchase and sale contracts were held by a subsidiary which is currently included within the CRM segment. Under this previous business model, the net fair value of most of GEN's generation capacity, forward sales and related trading positions were sold to the CRM segment monthly at an internally determined transfer price. The internal transfer price was primarily comprised of the option value of generation capacity and

Table of Contents**DYNEGY INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(RESTATED)**

executed forward sales contracts based on then-current forward prices of power and fuel. GEN intersegment revenues for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 reflect this internal transfer price and do not represent amounts actually received for power sold to third parties. As such, the GEN intersegment revenues for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 do not include the effect of intra-month market price volatility. The CRM segment recorded net unaffiliated revenue from these third-party contracts, together with all of its other third-party marketing and trading positions unrelated to the GEN segment.

In connection with our exit from the third-party marketing and trading business, individual contracts within the former WEN segment were identified on January 1, 2003 as either GEN contracts, as they were determined to be part of our continuing operations, or CRM contracts. Under this new business segment model, CRM continues to transact with third parties on behalf of GEN for contracts which were identified as GEN contracts, as well as new transactions executed on behalf of GEN but for which CRM is the legal party to the third-party purchase and sale contract. CRM continues to record net unaffiliated revenue from these third-party contracts, together with all of its other third-party marketing and trading positions unrelated to the GEN segment. However, rather than purchasing such capacity, forward sales and related trading positions from GEN at an internally determined transfer price, pricing between CRM and GEN is set at the actual amount received or paid for the purchases and sales to the third parties. Therefore, GEN intersegment revenues for the year ended December 31, 2003 include the effects of intra-month market price volatility and represent amounts actually received from or paid to third parties.

Prior to January 1, 2003, consolidated revenue associated with the retail power business represented energy trading activity that was recorded on a net basis. The GEN segment purchased from the CRM segment a portion of the physical power that was used to fill these retail power sales contracts. The revenues from retail power sales were presented gross in GEN unaffiliated revenues, with the corresponding power purchases from the CRM segment presented in GEN intersegment revenues. Beginning January 1, 2003, pursuant to the rescission of EITF Issue 98-10, retail power sales are presented gross in consolidated revenue. Any purchases of physical power by the GEN segment from the CRM segment are classified as cost of sales in the GEN segment and are presented in CRM intersegment revenues. These differences affect the comparability of the results for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001.

Revenues from third-party sales in which GEN is the legal party to the third-party sales contracts are presented gross in GEN unaffiliated revenues for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001.

Pursuant to EITF Issue 02-03, all gains and losses on third-party energy trading contracts in the CRM segment, whether realized or unrealized, are presented net in the consolidated statements of operations. For the purpose of the segment data presented below, intersegment transactions between CRM and our other segments are presented net in CRM intersegment revenues but are presented gross in the intersegment revenues of our other segments, as the activities of our other segments are not subject to the net presentation requirements contained in EITF Issue 02-03. If transactions between CRM and our other segments result in a net intersegment purchase by CRM, the net intersegment purchases and sales are presented as negative revenues in CRM intersegment revenues. In addition, intersegment hedging activities are presented net pursuant to SFAS No. 133.

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

Identifiable assets:						
Domestic	\$ 6,298	\$ 1,770	\$ 4,925	\$ 2,264	\$ (2,622)	\$ 12,635
Other	49	1		246	30	326
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total	\$ 6,347	\$ 1,771	\$ 4,925	\$ 2,510	\$ (2,592)	\$ 12,961
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Unconsolidated investments	\$ 530	\$ 82	\$	\$	\$	\$ 612
Capital expenditures and investments in unconsolidated affiliates	\$ (154)	\$ (51)	\$ (126)	\$ (2)	\$ (5)	\$ (338)

F-81

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

Capital expenditures and investments in unconsolidated affiliates \$ (589) \$ (105) \$ (170) \$ (14) \$ (83) \$ (961)

F-82

Note 22 Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)

Amounts in this footnote have been restated. For further information, please see the Explanatory Note beginning on page F-8.

F-83

Table of Contents**DYNEGY INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(RESTATED)**

The following is a summary of our unaudited quarterly financial information for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002:

	Quarter Ended			
	March	June	September	December
	2003	2003	2003	2003
	(in millions, except per share data)			
Revenues	\$ 1,879	\$ 1,067	\$ 1,385	\$ 1,456
Operating income (loss)	187	(374)	101	(483)
Net income (loss) before cumulative effect of change in accounting principles	92	(290)	5	(514)
Net income (loss)	147	(290)	5	(529)
Net income (loss) per share before cumulative effect of change in accounting principles	0.02	(1.00)	3.17	(1.38)
Net income (loss) per share	\$ 0.17	\$ (1.00)	\$ 3.17	\$ (1.42)

	Quarter Ended			
	March	June	September	December
	2002	2002	2002	2002
	(in millions, except per share data)			
Revenues	\$ 1,464	\$ 1,304	\$ 1,298	\$ 1,260
Operating income (loss)	85	(143)	(799)	(201)
Net loss before cumulative effect of change in accounting principles	(14)	(561)	(1,481)	(288)
Net loss	(248)	(561)	(1,481)	(288)
Net loss per share before cumulative effect of change in accounting principles	(0.27)	(1.76)	(4.25)	(1.00)
Net loss per share	\$ (0.91)	\$ (1.76)	\$ (4.25)	\$ (1.00)

A synopsis of the aggregate financial impact of these restatements on the amounts reported in the Original Filing is as follows:

RESTATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS BY QUARTER**Quarter Ended**

	<u>March 31</u>	<u>June 30</u>	<u>September 30</u>	<u>December 31</u>
	(in millions, except per share amounts)			
2003				
Results of Operations				
Operating income (loss):				
As previously reported	\$ 187	\$ (374)	\$ 101	\$ (221)
Restatement effect				(262)
As restated	<u>\$ 187</u>	<u>\$ (374)</u>	<u>\$ 101</u>	<u>\$ (483)</u>
Net income (loss) before cumulative effect of accounting change:				
As previously reported	\$ 92	\$ (290)	\$ 5	\$ (300)
Restatement effect				(214)
As restated	<u>\$ 92</u>	<u>\$ (290)</u>	<u>\$ 5</u>	<u>\$ (514)</u>

F-84

Table of Contents**DYNEGY INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(RESTATED)**

	Quarter Ended			
	<u>March 31</u>	<u>June 30</u>	<u>September 30</u>	<u>December 31</u>
	(in millions, except per share amounts)			
Net income (loss):				
As previously reported	\$ 147	\$ (290)	\$ 5	\$ (315)
Restatement effect				(214)
As restated	\$ 147	\$ (290)	\$ 5	\$ (529)
Net income (loss) per share before cumulative effect of accounting change:				
As previously reported	\$ 0.02	\$ (1.00)	\$ 3.17	\$ (0.81)
Restatement effect				(0.57)
As restated	\$ 0.02	\$ (1.00)	\$ 3.17	\$ (1.38)
Net income (loss) per share:				
As previously reported	\$ 0.17	\$ (1.00)	\$ 3.17	\$ (0.85)
Restatement effect				(0.57)
As restated	\$ 0.17	\$ (1.00)	\$ 3.17	\$ (1.42)
2002				
Results of Operations				
Operating income (loss):				
As previously reported	\$ 85	\$ (143)	\$ (882)	\$ (201)
Restatement effect			83	
As restated	\$ 85	\$ (143)	\$ (799)	\$ (201)
Net income (loss) before cumulative effect of accounting change:				
As previously reported	\$ (13)	\$ (561)	\$ (1,651)	\$ (278)
Restatement effect	(1)		170	(10)
As restated	\$ (14)	\$ (561)	\$ (1,481)	\$ (288)
Net income (loss):				
As previously reported	\$ (247)	\$ (561)	\$ (1,651)	\$ (278)
Restatement effect	(1)		170	(10)
As restated	\$ (248)	\$ (561)	\$ (1,481)	\$ (288)

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

Net income (loss) per share before cumulative effect of accounting change:				
As previously reported	\$ (0.27)	\$ (1.76)	\$ (4.71)	\$ (0.98)
Restatement effect			0.46	(0.02)
As restated	\$ (0.27)	\$ (1.76)	\$ (4.25)	\$ (1.00)
Net income (loss) per share:				
As previously reported	\$ (0.91)	\$ (1.76)	\$ (4.71)	\$ (0.98)
Restatement effect			0.46	(0.02)
As restated	\$ (0.91)	\$ (1.76)	\$ (4.25)	\$ (1.00)

F-85

Table of Contents**DYNEGY INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(RESTATED)****RESTATED SELECTED BALANCE SHEET DATA BY QUARTER**

	<u>March 31</u>	<u>June 30</u>	<u>September 30</u>	<u>December 31</u>
	(in millions)			
2003				
Total Assets:				
As previously reported	\$ 17,366	\$ 15,255	\$ 13,841	\$ 13,293
Restatement effect	(70)	(70)	(70)	(332)
As restated	<u>\$ 17,296</u>	<u>\$ 15,185</u>	<u>\$ 13,771</u>	<u>\$ 12,961</u>
Total Liabilities:				
As previously reported	\$ 13,557	\$ 11,700	\$ 10,947	\$ 10,716
Restatement effect	(186)	(186)	(186)	(234)
As restated	<u>\$ 13,371</u>	<u>\$ 11,514</u>	<u>\$ 10,761</u>	<u>\$ 10,482</u>
Stockholders' Equity:				
As previously reported	\$ 2,174	\$ 1,839	\$ 2,359	\$ 2,045
Restatement effect	116	116	116	(98)
As restated	<u>\$ 2,290</u>	<u>\$ 1,955</u>	<u>\$ 2,475</u>	<u>\$ 1,947</u>
2002				
Total Assets:				
As previously reported	\$ 28,246	\$ 27,946	\$ 23,720	\$ 20,099
Restatement effect	(153)	(153)	(70)	(70)
As restated	<u>\$ 28,093</u>	<u>\$ 27,793</u>	<u>\$ 23,650</u>	<u>\$ 20,029</u>
Total Liabilities:				
As previously reported	\$ 21,173	\$ 22,279	\$ 19,691	\$ 16,443
Restatement effect	(109)	(109)	(196)	(186)
As restated	<u>\$ 21,064</u>	<u>\$ 22,170</u>	<u>\$ 19,495</u>	<u>\$ 16,257</u>
Stockholders' Equity:				
As previously reported	\$ 4,839	\$ 4,217	\$ 2,503	\$ 2,087
Restatement effect	(44)	(44)	126	116

As restated	\$ 4,795	\$ 4,173	\$ 2,629	\$ 2,203
-------------	----------	----------	----------	----------

Note 23 Subsequent Event

Amounts in this footnote have been restated. For further information, please see the Explanatory Note beginning on page F-8.

In February 2004, we entered into a purchase agreement to sell all of the outstanding common and preferred shares of Illinois Power, which currently comprises our REG segment, owned by Illinova and our 20% interest in the Joppa power generation facility, to Ameren for \$2.3 billion. By acquiring Illinois Power, Ameren will also effectively assume Illinois Power's debt and preferred stock obligations, estimated to approximate \$1.8 billion at closing. Ameren will also pay us:

approximately \$400 million of cash to be received at closing, subject to working capital adjustments; and

\$100 million of cash to be placed in an escrow account and to be released to us on the sooner of December 31, 2010, the date on which DHI's senior unsecured debt achieves an investment grade rating

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(RESTATED)

from Standard & Poor's or Moody's Investor Services, Inc. or the occurrence of specified events relating to contingent environmental liabilities associated with Illinois Power's former generating facilities. During the time that funds remain in escrow, we are to receive quarterly payments equivalent to the net income and gain earned on such funds.

In addition, Illinois Power's \$2.3 billion intercompany note receivable, which was established in connection with Illinois Power's transfer of its generation facilities prior to our merger with Illinova in 2000, will be eliminated in conjunction with the closing of the transaction.

The consummation of the sale, which is scheduled to be completed by the end of 2004, is conditioned on, among other things, the elimination of the intercompany note receivable and the receipt of all regulatory and other consents and approvals as specified in the purchase agreement, including approvals from the ICC, the FERC, the SEC and other governmental and regulatory agencies. Under our financing agreements, we are required, upon the closing of the sale, to use 75% of the net cash proceeds from the sale to repay the Junior Notes and 25% of such proceeds to reduce permanently or cash collateralize the commitments under our \$1.1 billion revolving credit facility, subject to certain exceptions, to the extent the Junior Notes are repaid up to \$100 million. If no Junior Notes are outstanding, we are required to use all of the net cash proceeds from the sale, subject to certain exceptions, to reduce the commitments under our revolver.

In a related agreement that is conditioned upon the closing of the transaction, we have contracted to sell 2,800 MWs of generating capacity and up to 11.5 million MWh of energy to Illinois Power at fixed prices for two years beginning in January 2005. We also agreed to sell 300 MWs of capacity in 2005 and 150 MWs of capacity in 2006 to Illinois Power at a fixed price with an option to purchase energy at market-based prices. The capacity, which is expected to be provided by our midwest generating facilities, will be used by Illinois Power to meet its customer demand. It is anticipated that this arrangement will be in place concurrently with the termination of our existing power purchase agreement with Illinois Power and the closing of the transaction.

The execution of this agreement constituted a subsequent event of the type that, under GAAP, required us to consider the fair value indicated by the Ameren agreement in the assessment of our 2003 goodwill impairment charge. We originally reported in our 2003 year-end earnings release, based on the fair value indicated by the terminated Exelon transaction, a goodwill impairment charge of \$153 million. After considering the fair value indicated by the Ameren agreement, which we entered into after the date of the earnings release, we increased the amount of our 2003 goodwill impairment charge to \$242 million. Subsequently, as further discussed in the Explanatory Note beginning on page F-8, we have further revised this amount. In addition, in accordance with FAS 144, we will record a \$15 million after-tax charge in the first quarter 2004 for the anticipated costs, including taxes associated with this transaction. Finally, an after-tax gain of approximately \$80 million relating to our interest in Joppa is anticipated upon closing of the transaction.

Note 24 Liquidity

For the next 12 months, assuming continuation of the current commodity pricing environment, we expect that our operating cash flows will be insufficient to satisfy our capital expenditures, debt maturities, increased interest expenses and operating commitments. When combined with

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

our cash on hand, proceeds from anticipated asset sales and capacity under our \$1.1 billion revolving credit facility, however, we believe we have sufficient capital resources to discharge these obligations during this period. To further our deleveraging efforts, we also intend to explore other capital-raising activities, including potential public or private equity issuances. In addition, we will seek to renew or replace our \$1.1 billion revolving credit facility, which is scheduled to mature on February 15, 2005. Our liquidity position will be materially adversely affected if we are unable to renew or replace this facility, with respect to which our ability to borrow and/or issue letters of credit could become increasingly important, on or before its scheduled maturity.

F-87

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(RESTATED)

DEFINITIONS

As used in this Amendment No. 2 to our Form 10-K, the abbreviations listed below have the following meanings:

2002 Form 10-K/A	Amendment No. 1 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, filed on July 25, 2003.
AMP	Automated mitigation procedure.
Amendment No. 2	This amendment No. 2 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.
ARO	Asset retirement obligation.
Bcf/d	Billion cubic feet per day.
BGSL	BG Storage Limited.
Cal ISO	The California Independent System Operator.
Cal PX	The California Power Exchange.
CDWR	California Department of Water Resources.
CERCLA	The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended.
CFTC	Commodity Futures Trading Commission.
CRM	Our customer risk management business segment.
CUSA	Chevron U.S.A. Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of ChevronTexaco.
DGC	Dynegy Global Communications.
DGC-Asia	Dynegy Global Communications-Asia, our former Asian communications business.
DHI	Dynegy Holdings Inc., our primary financing subsidiary.
DMG	Dynegy Midwest Generation, Inc.
DMS	Dynegy Midstream Services.
DMT	Dynegy Marketing and Trade.
DNE	Dynegy Northeast Generation.
DPM	Dynegy Power Marketing Inc.
EBIT	A non-GAAP measure of Earnings Before Interest and Taxes. As an indicator of our segment operating performance, EBIT should not be considered an alternative to, or more meaningful than, net income or cash flows from operations as determined in accordance with GAAP.
EIOL	Energy Infrastructure Overseas Limited.
EITF	Emerging Issues Task Force.
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency.
ERCOT	Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc.
ERISA	The Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended.
EWG	Exempt Wholesale Generators.
FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board.
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.
FIN	FASB Interpretation.
FPA	Federal Power Act of 1935, as amended.
FTC	U.S. Federal Trade Commission.
FUCOs	Foreign Utility Companies.
GAAP	Generally Accepted Accounting Principles of the United States of America.
GEN	Our power generation business segment.

Table of Contents

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(RESTATED)

GCF	Gulf Coast Fractionators.
HLPSA	Hazardous Liquid Pipeline Safety Act of 1979, as amended.
ICC	Illinois Commerce Commission.
ISO	Independent System Operator.
KWH	Kilowatt hour.
LNG	Liquefied natural gas.
LPG	Liquefied petroleum gas.
MBbls/d	Thousands of barrels per day.
Mcf	Thousand cubic feet.
MMBtu	Millions of British thermal units.
MMCFD	Million cubic feet per day.
MW	Megawatts.
MWh	Megawatt hour.
NERC	North American Electric Reliability Council.
NGA	Natural Gas Act of 1938, as amended.
NGL	Our natural gas liquids business segment.
NGPA	Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, as amended.
NGPSA	Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act of 1968, as amended.
NOV	Notice of Violation issued by the EPA.
NYDEC	New York Department of Environmental Conservation.
Original Filing	Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, filed on February 27, 2004 as amended by Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A filed on July 20, 2004.
PUCT	Public Utility Commission of Texas.
PUHCA	The Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended.
QFs	Qualifying Facilities.
RCRA	The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, as amended.
REG	Our regulated energy delivery business segment.
RTO	Regional Transmission Organization.
SEC	U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.
SFAS	Statement of Financial Accounting Standards.
T&D	Our former transmission and distribution energy delivery business segment.
VaR	Value at Risk.
VLGC	Very large gas carrier.
WECC	Western Electricity Coordinating Council.
WEN	Our former wholesale energy network business segment.
WTLPS	West Texas LPG Pipeline Limited Partnership, the owner of West Texas LPG Pipeline.

Table of Contents

Schedule I

DYNEGY INC.**CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS OF THE REGISTRANT****(RESTATED)**

See Explanatory Note

(in millions)

	December 31, 2003	December 31, 2002
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 124	\$ 98
Accounts receivable		98
Intercompany accounts receivable	1,923	2,488
Prepayments and other current assets	1	4
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total Current Assets	2,048	2,590
Other Assets		
Investments in affiliates	3,682	3,572
Other long-term assets	10	1
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total Assets	\$ 5,740	\$ 6,163
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 8	\$ 2
Accrued liabilities and other current liabilities	2	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total Current Liabilities	10	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Long-Term Debt		
	448	
Intercompany long-term debt	2,243	2,244
Other liabilities	692	504
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total Liabilities	3,393	2,748
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Commitments and Contingencies		
Redeemable Preferred Securities, redemption value of \$400 and \$1,500 at December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively	400	1,212
Stockholders Equity		
Class A Common Stock, no par value, 900,000,000 shares authorized at December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002; 280,350,169 and 274,850,589 shares issued and outstanding at December 31,	2,848	2,825

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC /IL/ - Form 10-K/A

2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively

Class B Common Stock, no par value, 360,000,000 shares authorized at December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002; 96,891,014 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2003 and

December 31, 2002	1,006	1,006
Additional paid-in capital	41	705
Subscriptions receivable	(8)	(12)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(20)	(55)
Accumulated deficit	(1,852)	(2,198)
Treasury stock, at cost, 1,679,183 shares at December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002	(68)	(68)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total Stockholders' Equity	1,947	2,203
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 5,740	\$ 6,163
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

See Notes to Registrant's Financial Statements and Dynegy Inc.'s Consolidated Financial Statements

F-90

Table of Contents

Schedule I

DYNEGY INC.**CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS OF THE REGISTRANT****(RESTATED)****See Explanatory Note****(in millions)**

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Operating income (loss)	\$ (2)	\$ 3	\$ (8)
Equity in earnings (losses) of affiliates	(847)	(2,711)	738
Interest expense	(16)	(1)	
Other expense, net	(24)	(56)	(7)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(889)	(2,765)	723
Income tax benefit (expense)	222	703	(324)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(667)	(2,062)	399
Loss on discontinued operations, net of taxes		(516)	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Net income (loss)	(667)	(2,578)	399
Less: preferred stock dividends (gain)	(1,013)	330	42
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Net income (loss) applicable to common stockholders	<u>\$ 346</u>	<u>\$ (2,908)</u>	<u>\$ 357</u>

See Notes to Registrant's Financial Statements and Dynegy Inc.'s Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

Schedule I

DYNEGY INC.**CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS OF THE REGISTRANT****(RESTATED)**

See Explanatory Note

(in millions)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Operating cash flow, exclusive of intercompany transactions	\$ (466)	\$ (91)	\$ 174
Intercompany transactions	584	(103)	(602)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	118	(194)	(428)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Investments in affiliates			(1,500)
Net cash used in investing activities			(1,500)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Net proceeds from long-term borrowings	225		
Payment to ChevronTexaco for Series B preferred stock restructuring	(225)		
Proceeds from issuance of capital stock	6	240	604
Proceeds from issuance of convertible preferred stock			1,500
Purchase of treasury stock		(1)	(68)
Dividends and other distributions, net		(55)	(98)
Net cash provided by financing activities	6	184	1,938
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	124	(10)	10
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		10	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 124	\$	\$ 10
SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION			
Interest paid (net of amount capitalized)		1	6
Taxes paid (net of refunds)	(116)	12	79

See Notes to Registrant's Financial Statements and Dynegey Inc.'s Consolidated Financial Statements

F-92

Table of Contents

Schedule I

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO REGISTRANT'S FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(RESTATED)

EXPLANATORY NOTE

These condensed parent company financial statements include restatements for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003. Please see the Explanatory Note beginning on page F-8 of our consolidated financial statements for further information.

Note 1 Background and Basis of Presentation

These condensed parent company financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Rule 12-04, Schedule I of Regulation S-X, as the restricted net assets of Dynegy Inc.'s subsidiaries exceeds 25% of the consolidated net assets of Dynegy Inc. These statements should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Statements and notes thereto of Dynegy Inc.

We are a holding company and conduct substantially all of our business operations through our subsidiaries. We began operations in 1985 and became incorporated in the state of Illinois in 1999 in anticipation of our February 2000 merger with Illinova Corporation.

Note 2 Debt

For a discussion of our debt facilities, see Note 12 Debt beginning on page F-41 of our consolidated financial statements. All of our debt obligations outstanding are due subsequent to 2008.

Note 3 Commitments and Contingencies

For a discussion of our commitments and contingencies, see Note 17 Commitments and Contingencies beginning on page F-56 of our consolidated financial statements.

For a discussion of our guarantees, see Note 12 Debt beginning on page F-41 of our consolidated financial statements and Note 17 Commitments and Contingencies Other Commitments and Contingencies Guarantees beginning on page F-69 of our consolidated financial statements.

We have entered into various long-term non-cancelable operating leases, such as rental agreements for office space and equipment. Minimum commitments under these leases at December 31, 2003, were as follows: 2004-\$98,000; 2005-\$29,000; 2006-\$29,000; 2007-\$29,000; and 2008-\$29,000.

Table of Contents

Schedule II

DYNEGY INC.**VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS****Years Ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001****(RESTATED)**

	Balance at Beginning of Period	Charged to Costs and Expenses	Charged to Other Accounts	Deductions	Balance at End of Period
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	(in millions)				
2003					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 151	\$ 25	\$ 31	\$ (23)	\$ 184
Allowance for risk management assets (1) (2)	244			(233)	11
Deferred tax asset valuation allowance (3)	203			(33)	170
2002					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	113	47		(9)	151
Allowance for risk management assets (1)	248	(4)			244
Deferred tax asset valuation allowance		203			203
2001					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	69	92	(2)	(46)	113
Allowance for risk management assets (1)	146	102			248

- (1) Changes in price and credit reserves related to risk management assets are offset in the net mark-to-market income accounts reported in revenues.
- (2) Deduction of \$233 million primarily relates to the rescission of EITF Issue 98-10, which resulted in changing the accounting for certain tolling arrangements from the mark-to-market method to the accrual method. As such, the related reserves associated with the mark-to-market value were removed from the allowance for risk management assets.
- (3) Decrease in our deferred tax asset valuation relates to our release of a deferred tax capital gains valuation allowance.

F-94