

AeroGrow International, Inc.  
Form 10-K  
August 15, 2011

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

\_\_\_\_\_  
FORM 10-K  
\_\_\_\_\_

ý ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
o For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011  
or  
TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

(Commission File No.) 001-33531

AEROGROW INTERNATIONAL, INC.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

46-0510685  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

6075 Longbow Drive, Suite 200  
Boulder, Colorado 80301

(303) 444-7755

(Address, including zip code and telephone number, including area code, of registrant's of principal executive office)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Title of each class:  
Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share

Name of each exchange on which registered:  
OTC Bulletin Board

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities

Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of the Form 10-K or any amendment to the Form 10-K.  .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer	Accelerated filer	Non-accelerated	Smaller reporting company
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	filer <input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
		(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of September 30, 2010 was \$1,101,721, the last day of the Company's most recent second quarter. For the purpose of the foregoing calculation only, all directors and executive officers of the registrant and owners of more than 5% of the registrant's common stock are assumed to be affiliates of the registrant. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily conclusive for any other purpose.

The number of shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding as of July 29, 2011 is 19,244,160.

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None

Table of Contents

AeroGrow International Inc.  
Annual Report on Form 10-K  
Year Ended March 31, 2011

## Table of Contents

	PART I	Page
Item 1.	<u>Business</u>	1
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	9
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	16
Item 2.	<u>Description of Property</u>	16
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	16
Item 4.	<u>Reserved</u>	16
	PART II	
Item 5.	<u>Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	16
Item 6.	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	18
Item 7.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	19
Item 7A.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	42
Item 8.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	42
Item 9.	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	42
Item 9A.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	42
Item 9B.	<u>Other Information</u>	43
	PART III	
Item 10.	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	44
Item 11.	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	49
Item 12.	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	58
Item 13.	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	60
Item 14.	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	61
	PART IV	
Item 15.	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	62
<u>Signatures</u>		62

This Annual Report on Form 10-K (“Annual Report”) for AeroGrow International, Inc. (“AeroGrow,” the “Company,” “we,” “our” or “us”), including the “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” contains forward-looking statements regarding future events and our future results that are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts, and projections about the industry in which we operate and the beliefs and assumptions of our management. Words such as “expects,” “anticipates,” “targets,” “goals,” “projects,” “may,” “will,” “would,” “intends,” “plans,” “believes,” “seeks,” “estimates,” variations of such words, and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. These forward looking statements may include, among others, statements concerning our expectations regarding our business, growth prospects, revenue trends, operating costs, working capital requirements, access to funding, competition, results of operations and other statements of expectations, beliefs, future plans and strategies, anticipated events or trends, and similar expressions concerning matters that are not historical facts. These forward-looking statements are only predictions that are subject to risks, uncertainties, and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Therefore, actual results may differ materially and adversely from those

expressed in any forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in this Report under the section entitled “Risk Factors” in Item 1A of Part I and elsewhere, and in other reports we file with the SEC, specifically the most recent reports on Form 10-Q. While forward-looking statements are based on reasonable expectations of our management at the time that they are made, you should not rely on them. We undertake no obligation to revise or update publicly any forward-looking statements for any reason.

---

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Our Business

AeroGrow is a developer, marketer, direct-seller, and wholesaler of advanced indoor garden systems designed for consumer use and priced to appeal to the gardening, cooking, and healthy eating, and home and office décor markets. To date, we have launched multiple lines of proprietary indoor gardens, more than 50 corresponding proprietary seed kits, and various cooking, gardening and décor accessories, both nationally and internationally.

As of March 31, 2011, we had manufactured and shipped 1,035,690 AeroGarden® units and 2,324,062 replacement seed kits to consumer and retailers worldwide. We commenced initial marketing and distribution of our products in March 2006 through retail distribution. We have expanded these marketing efforts to encompass direct-to-consumer sales channels, which include direct television, internet, and our own in-house direct mail catalogue, online retailers, home shopping networks, and international markets.

Our principal products are indoor gardens and proprietary seed kits that allow consumers, with or without gardening experience, the ability to grow vegetables such as tomatoes, chili peppers and salad greens, fresh herbs including cilantro, chives, basil, dill, oregano, and mint, and flowers such as petunias, snapdragons, pink geraniums and phlox. Consumers can also plant and grow their own seeds using our proprietary “grow anything” kits, or use their AeroGardens as seed starters for their outdoor gardens with our “garden starter” trays.

Our indoor gardens are designed to be simple, consistently successful, and affordable. We believe that our focus on the design and features of our indoor gardening products made them the first of their kind on the consumer market. This conclusion was reached on the basis of market research, and a review of potentially competitive products offered at all ranges of functionality and price.

We believe that our products allow almost anyone, from consumers who have no gardening experience to professional gardeners, to produce year-round harvests of a variety of herbs, vegetables, and flowers, regardless of season, weather, or availability of natural light. We believe that our indoor gardening products’ unique and attractive designs make them appropriate for use in almost any location, including kitchens, living areas, and offices.

Our indoor gardening units are sold through different channels designed to match customer needs and interests with the appropriate garden unit features and benefits at prices ranging from approximately \$100 to \$230, depending on size, design elements, light intensity and other automated features.

Corporate History

AeroGrow International, Inc. (“AeroGrow”) was formed as a Nevada corporation on March 25, 2002. AeroGrow merged with Wentworth I, Inc., a Delaware corporation on February 24, 2006, and AeroGrow was the surviving corporation.

After more than three years of initial research and product development, we began sales activities in March 2006. Since that time, we have expanded our operations and currently distribute our products through multiple sales channels. We have developed direct sales channels including web sales, direct television sales, including infomercials and 60 and 120 second television commercials, and a direct mail catalogue business with approximately 2.8 million catalogues mailed in our fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 (“Fiscal 2011”). AeroGarden products are also sold through an estimated 575 retail storefronts in North America, and in nine countries internationally. We have expanded our

product lines, and now offer more than 15 different indoor garden models, more than 50 seed kits, and various gardening and kitchen accessories.

## Table of Contents

### Hydroponics Industry - Background

Hydroponics is the science of growing plants using nutrients suspended in water instead of soil. Used commercially worldwide, hydroponics is considered an advanced and often preferred crop production method. Hydroponics is typically used inside greenhouses to give growers the ability to better regulate and control nutrient delivery, light, air, water, humidity, pests, and temperature. Hydroponic growers benefit by producing crops faster and with higher crop yields per acre than traditional soil-based growers.

Aeroponic technology is derived from hydroponics and occurs when plant roots are suspended in an air chamber and bathed with a nutrient solution. We believe that the aeroponic technology used in our indoor gardening products is a technological advance over most hydroponic growing systems because plant roots are partially suspended in air and allowed direct access to oxygen, while being bathed in a highly oxygenated, nutrient rich solution. For these reasons, we believe the use of a well-designed and maintained aeroponic system can yield increases in growth rates and plant survival when compared to most hydroponic or soil-based systems.

Until the development of our indoor gardening products, certain barriers prevented hydroponic or aeroponic technology from being incorporated into mainstream, mass-marketed consumer products, including:

- Consumers generally lack the specialized knowledge required to select, set up, operate, and maintain the various components for a typical hydroponic or aeroponic system, including growing trays, irrigation channels, growing media, nutrient reservoirs, and nutrient delivery systems consisting of electronic timers, pumps, motors, tubing, and nozzles;
- Consumers generally do not possess the specialized knowledge required to select, set up, operate, and maintain the varied indoor lighting systems that are necessary to grow plants indoors in the absence of adequate natural light;
- Consumers are often unable to properly mix and measure complex hydroponic nutrient formulas, which change depending on the plant variety and the stage of plant growth;
  - Consumers are unable to deal with the problem of nutrient spoilage; and
  - Federally-mandated water quality reports show that the water in many large cities is not suitable for hydroponic or aeroponic growing and requires treatments in order to sustain growth.

Our research led us to believe that these complexities have been accepted in existing hydroponic market channels because these manufacturers have generally focused their product development and marketing efforts on satisfying the needs of the commercial greenhouse and dedicated hobbyist markets. These users are motivated to gain the specialized knowledge, equipment and experience currently required to successfully grow plants with these products. Our research indicated that the hydroponic growing equipment currently available in these markets is bulky, expensive and comprised of many, often unintegrated, parts.

We believe that the complexities of currently available commercial hydroponic and aeroponic products fail to address the needs and wants of the mass consumer market, leaving that market unserved. We further believe that our trade secrets, patented and patent-pending inventions, and companion technologies have simplified and improved hydroponic and aeroponic technologies and have enabled us to create an indoor hydroponic and aeroponic gardening system appropriate for the mass consumer market.

### Our Proprietary Technology

We spent the years between the concept development and today innovating, simplifying, and integrating our proprietary technologies and inventions into a family of “plug and grow” indoor gardening products and related seed kits specifically designed and priced for the mass consumer market. We have used this technology platform to develop more than 15 different models of indoor gardens, each with different features and technology groupings, priced from approximately \$100 to \$230. We have filed 18 patent applications in the United States and internationally to protect our inventions, and seven patents have been issued (four in the United States and three internationally). Following is a description of our proprietary technologies and inventions that are used in our indoor garden system and seed kits.

Rainforest Nutrient Delivery System. Our “rainforest” nutrient delivery system combines our patent-pending technologies with features from several hydroponic and aeroponic methodologies into a proprietary system that leaves plant roots suspended in an air gap. Plant roots take oxygen directly out of the air and, in testing of aeroponic systems by multiple different sources, including lettuce studies by NASA Small Business Innovation Research, plants grow faster as a result.



## Table of Contents

**Advanced Growing System.** Our Advanced Growing System (“AGS”) is available on several of our indoor gardens and combines features from our rainforest delivery system with new technologies that deliver increased nutrient oxygenation, faster, healthier root growth, decreased needs for consumer maintenance, and increased product reliability. With AGS, plant roots are suspended in air in a 100% humid aeroponic chamber and then grow into a continuously oxygenated nutrient bath.

**Pre-Seeded Bio-Grow Seed Pods.** Our proprietary bio-grow seed pods include pre-implanted, specially selected seeds, a growing medium, removable bio-dome covers, and a grow basket.

**Microprocessor-Based Control Panel and Nutrient Cycle Delivery System.** Microprocessor-based control panels can include automated grow lights to ensure that plants receive proper lighting can feature nutrient and water reminder systems that alert consumers to add water and nutrients when needed. In addition, several systems allow consumers to select from multiple plant types (for example, lettuce, herbs, tomatoes, or flowers) and the system then automatically adjusts and optimizes the nutrient, water and lighting cycles based on the plant variety selected. In addition, some systems take into account stage of growth of the specific plants when optimizing these factors.

**Custom Nutrients and Automatic pH Adjustment.** We have developed patented nutrient solutions designed specifically to deliver the proper nutrients to plants, while offering consumers a user-friendly application methodology. Plant specific nutrients are included with each seed kit, and consumers simply add them when instructed by the microprocessor-based nutrient reminder. The pre-measured and mixed nutrients eliminate the need for measuring and mixing multi-part nutrient formulas and storing various nutrients in separate containers. Also formulated into the nutrients is a proprietary buffer that automatically adjusts tap water from around the country to the right pH ranges for plant growth. Without this adjustment, tap water from many areas in the country will severely limit or inhibit plant growth in most aeroponic and hydroponic systems.

**Integrated and Automated Lighting System.** Hydroponic systems typically do not incorporate built-in lighting systems. Our indoor gardening products include built-in adjustable grow lights with ballast, reflector hood, grow bulbs and an electronic timer. Our integrated lighting systems include high-output compact fluorescent light bulbs that deliver a spectrum and intensity of light designed to optimize plant growth without natural light. In addition, our lighting system is fully automated and controlled by our microprocessor-based control panel described above. Variations in lighting are a differentiator in our product lines, and we have several gardens on the market with “50% more light and twice the height” of our initial gardens, allowing consumers to grow larger plants such as full-sized tomatoes in our indoor gardens, and deliver higher yields.

**New Technologies in Development.** Although we have scaled down our research and development activities in the last few years, we continue to develop incremental improvements in lights, nutrients, oxygenation, seed variety selection, and style and design innovation that are introduced to products on an ongoing basis.

## Table of Contents

### Markets

Based on our sales experience to date and our existing channels of distribution, and supplemented by our own formal and informal market research consisting of individual consumer interviews, focus groups, blog monitoring, customer modeling, and Internet survey responses, we believe that our indoor gardening products appeal to a broad spectrum of consumers across multiple areas of interest. We believe that our products appeal to at least four major market segments:

**Gardener Market.** The 2010 National Gardening Survey conducted by the National Gardening Association states that gardening is America's number one hobby with more than 81 million households active in gardening. Based upon this survey, there were estimated to be 36 million households participating in food gardening and 13 million households participating in fresh herb gardening. We believe that our indoor gardening products and related products offer both expert and novice gardeners several major benefits not readily available through traditional gardening methods, including:

- the ability to grow fresh herbs, lettuces, vegetables, tomatoes, and flowers year-round, regardless of indoor light levels or seasonal weather conditions,
- the ability to easily start plants indoors during colder months and then transplant them outdoors at the onset of the outdoor growing season,
- the ability to use stem cuttings to propagate multiple reproductions of the desired plants in our indoor gardening products, and
- the ease of growing in our indoor gardens, in contrast to the toil associated with traditional gardening, including preparing the soil, planting, thinning, weeding, watering, and removing pests.

**“Want-to-be” Gardener Market.** We believe that many people have an interest in gardening but lack the knowledge, confidence, available space, equipment, or time to garden. We have observed the following barriers that often prevent people from gardening:

- gardening requires an ongoing time commitment,
- apartment, high-rise, and condominium dwellers often lack the land needed for a traditional garden,
- gardening requires physical work, which can be a significant barrier to people with limited mobility or health issues,
  - buying the necessary equipment to garden can be expensive, and
  - gardening requires knowledge and expertise.

We believe that our indoor gardening products overcome many of these barriers and provide a simple, convenient way for many current non-gardeners to begin to garden.

**Cooking and Healthy Eating Market.** Many Americans enjoy cooking as a hobby. Consumers in this market include:

- people interested in cooking who would appreciate the convenience and satisfaction of having a readily available supply of fresh-cut herbs to flavor soups, salads, and other dishes,

- people who prefer the distinctive texture and taste of freshly picked, vine-ripened tomatoes, basil, lettuces, and other vegetables over days-old supermarket produce, and
- people interested in healthy, pesticide-free foods for themselves and their families, reflecting both the rapidly growing interest in naturally and organically grown foods and the increasing number of people who, for health or weight concerns, include salads and fresh vegetables as part of their families' diets.

We believe that our indoor gardening products are embraced in this market by people who understand the value of having an ongoing supply of fresh herbs and fresh produce throughout the year.

Home and Office Décor Market. Flowers are frequently used to brighten homes and offices around the world. It is difficult to readily grow flowers indoors due to a lack of sufficient light and growing knowledge. As a result, people often use cut flowers, which are expensive, short-lived, and require ongoing maintenance. Our indoor gardening products enable colorful and fragrant flowers to be easily grown indoors year-round. Flowers grown with our indoor gardening products will last for months with minimal care and maintenance. Flowers can be grown in a wide variety of indoor locations, including kitchen and bathroom countertops, living rooms, bedrooms, family rooms, offices, work stations, waiting rooms, and lobbies.

## Table of Contents

### Products

AeroGarden Indoor Gardens. We currently offer more than 15 different indoor garden models priced from approximately \$100 to \$230 and differentiated based on size, design, light intensity, degree of automation, inclusion of Adaptive Growth Technology or Advanced Growing Systems, height potential of light hoods, and inclusions of plant support systems.

Currently, our AeroGarden product line is divided into four main categories:

1. AeroGarden 3 Series – The AeroGarden 3 series features the Advanced Growing System, grow lights, a smaller footprint, and an attractive, stylish design that makes it suitable for use as a decorative feature throughout the home or office. AeroGarden 3s fit easily on kitchen counters, night stands, and end tables. Some models include upgraded trim and new finish designs such as the “Ladybug” garden targeted at all-family usage. Retail prices range from \$100 to \$110.
2. AeroGarden 6 Series – The AeroGarden 6 series features the Advanced Growing System, grow lights, greater output than the AeroGarden 3 series, and a space-saving configuration with a 30% smaller footprint relative to our AeroGarden 7 and AeroGarden Extra series, to fit more easily on countertops and in corners for apartments, condos, and smaller kitchens. Retail price is \$149.
3. AeroGarden 7 Classic Series – Our original products which feature the rainforest nutrient delivery system, automated lights, and reminder systems. Retail prices range from \$170 to \$190.
4. AeroGarden Extra Series – AeroGarden 7 with extended lamp arms and greater light output for growing larger vegetables. Some models also include stainless steel trim. Retail prices range from \$200 to \$230.

AeroGarden Seed Kits. We currently offer more than 50 seed kits for use in our indoor gardening products. These seed kits include pre-seeded bio-grow seed pods and a three-to-six-month supply of nutrients, including our patented formula for adjusting water quality. Our seed kits retail at prices ranging from \$15 to \$30, and include:

- Vegetable Gardens: cherry tomato, chili pepper, salsa garden.
- Herb Gardens: gourmet herbs, Italian herbs, pesto basil.
- Flower Gardens: cascading petunias, English cottage, scented blooms, mountain meadow.
- Salad Gardens: salad greens, romaine lettuce.

Our seed kits are sold to consumers for use with our indoor gardening products. Individual seed kits are grown by consumers for three to six months and then new seed kits may be purchased for replanting.

AeroGarden Seed-Starting Kits. Our line of Seed Starting Trays and Grow Anything Kits are designed to allow consumers to plant and grow their own seeds in the AeroGarden. With our Seed Starter Trays, consumers can start up to 66 seedlings in our indoor gardens for transplant into their outdoor gardens when weather allows. With the Grow Anything Kit, consumers can grow their own seeds to maturity in the AeroGarden, or transplant seeds outdoors when weather allows.

**Other Accessories.** To complement and expand the functionality of our indoor gardening products, we have developed a variety of accessory products including cookbooks and cooking accessories. We also offer multiple wall brackets and other shelving and support systems, which can hold multiple indoor gardens at the same time. We also offer the Herb ‘n Serve, a battery-operated herb blender and salad dressing maker, the Herb ‘n Save and Herb ‘n Ice accessories which are easy-to-use fresh herb storage devices, and many other accessory items.

**Future Products.** Our core technology platform can be leveraged by bundling different components into new products with a wide variety of features and price points that then can be sold through a variety of direct and retail channels for use in different settings around the home or office. Examples include a desk garden series, a professional system for larger plants and vegetables, patio and deck gardens, home décor and air freshening gardens, and additional seed kits and accessories.

## Table of Contents

### Integrated Marketing and Sales Channel Strategy

We consider our products to be an entirely new product category. A primary objective since launch has been to maximize the exposure of the product and educate consumers on the benefits of indoor gardening through an integrated marketing and distribution strategy. We launched with a nationwide public relations campaign in 2006, and have since received extensive media exposure, with multiple features on national talk shows as well local television coverage, local and national print articles and blog and Internet pieces. We combined the public relations launch with a retail and direct strategy focusing on high visibility partners and media, including product sales through retailers, national cataloguers, home shopping channels, direct television commercials, our own in-house direct response catalogue, internet sales, and inbound and outbound telemarketing.

**Shift in Channel Strategy.** During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 (“Fiscal 2010”) we determined that broad distribution through the retail channel was not appropriate for a company at our stage of development because of relatively low profit margins, high capital requirements, and the operational requirements of our retailer customers. As a consequence, we terminated our relationships with certain retailers and re-focused our efforts on building our direct-to-consumer business. As of March 31, 2010, our products were being offered in approximately 1,290 storefronts in North America, as well as through select online retailers. During Fiscal 2011, we further reduced the number of storefronts carrying our products as we focused our efforts on building relationships with only those retailers, both traditional and non-traditional, that had historically proven to be good business partners for AeroGrow. As of March 31, 2011, our products were being offered in approximately 575 storefronts in North America, as well as through select online retailers. During the fiscal year ending March 31, 2012, we anticipate that there will be a continued reduction in the number of retail outlets carrying our products.

To further our strategic shift towards direct-to-consumer selling, we began investigating the network marketing channel of distribution during Fiscal 2011. Network marketing, which is also known as direct selling or multi-level marketing, involves person-to-person selling through independent distributors, which we believe represents a logical potential next step in our stated strategy to move the marketing and selling of AeroGrow products closer to the end consumer. In April 2011, we entered into a Licensing Agreement with Cyrano Partners, LLC (“Cyrano”) under which Cyrano began to offer our products in the network marketing sales channel. Simultaneously we entered into a Transaction Agreement with Cyrano to form a joint venture to pursue the network marketing sales channel, subject to the achievement of certain conditions precedent, including an obligation on the part of Cyrano to raise the capital necessary to fund the joint venture. Cyrano was unable to satisfy the funding condition precedent and, in August 2011, the Licensing Agreement and the Transaction Agreement were terminated. (For further information on the Cyrano transactions, please refer to Item 9B. Other Information of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2011.)

**Direct Response Sales.** In the fall of 2006 we launched an infomercial advertising campaign, which began with 30-minute programming and has since been supplemented with 60-second and 120-second television commercials. We have since created new infomercials and commercials highlighting our products. Overall spending on TV advertising has decreased as a percentage of the overall marketing budget, as we have been cash constrained and therefore focused our spending on the most efficient media channels.

In 2007, we produced and began mailing our own in-house, direct mail product catalogue, which tested successfully with a mailing of approximately 60,000 catalogues. In Fiscal 2011 we mailed approximately 2.8 million catalogues. With our catalogue sales we focus on remarketing to current customers and also prospecting for new customers using database marketing techniques.

We established our first consumer product website in the fall of 2006 and in late 2007 supplemented this with search engine advertising, banner advertising, email campaigns and web affiliate programs. In the fall of 2008 we took on

in-house management of many of these programs from third-party providers and have seen resulting increases in efficiency. A key focus of our web and catalogue marketing is to maximize the lifetime value of AeroGrow customers through repeat sales of our seed kits, light bulbs and accessories.

During Fiscal 2011, direct response sales represented 79.3% of our total net sales.

## Table of Contents

Retail Sales. Initial shipments to retailers commenced in March 2006. Over the next several years, we rapidly grew our retail distribution and as of March 31, 2009 our products were being sold through approximately 7,500 stores in North America. In Fiscal 2010 and 2011, we reduced our sales to retailers as discussed above, and as of March 31, 2011 our products were being sold through approximately 575 stores in North America. During Fiscal 2011, sales to retailers represented 19.1% of our total net sales. Approximately 81.1% of our total sales to retailers represented sales to Canadian Tire Corporation, Amazon, and QVC. Canadian Tire Corporation represented 60.4% of our total retail sales for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011.

International Sales. We began testing international sales opportunities in the UK and Japan in late 2007, and have since expanded internationally into Australia, South Korea, Mexico, France and several other countries. During Fiscal 2011, international sales represented 1.6% of our total sales. International efforts in Fiscal 2011 were limited to supporting distribution in existing markets. Material incremental expansion into new countries is not anticipated in the immediate future, but we expect to pursue international growth in future years as and when resources allow.

## Competition

Aeroponic and hydroponic technologies have historically been limited to ardent hobbyists and commercial growing facilities. We believe that we are the first company to develop and offer a simple dirt-free indoor growing system for the mass consumer market.

Typical hydroponic manufacturers offer a range of equipment and accessories through distributors or small independent “hydro-shops” in a trade-oriented manner similar to plumbing or electrical suppliers. Purchasers typically mix and match equipment from various suppliers in an “a la carte” fashion to individually customize a large system that they then assemble on their premises. We believe that these products are substantially more expensive than our products.

We believe that our simplified and complete indoor gardening products and current and planned methods of distribution offer significant benefits from these traditional hydroponic industry practices. To date, we have discovered a kitchen design firm that has tried to introduce an indoor growing system into the market, to what appears to be very limited success. In our in-house laboratory tests, these systems have performed at levels far below our own systems in terms of germination success, longevity, speed-of-growth and overall yields.

However, we recognize that there are other companies that are better funded and have greater experience in producing hydroponic products in commercial markets, or that have been more successful in manufacturing or selling consumer product or soil-based gardening products.

## Manufacturing and Operations

We source our AeroGarden products and accessory items from contract manufacturing companies that manufacture products using tooling we own, in accordance with our specifications, and subject to our intellectual property rights. During Fiscal 2011, we assembled and packaged our seed kits in our facility in Indianapolis, Indiana.

We have three Chinese manufacturers of our garden products. Each is capable of manufacturing multiple garden models. We believe the existing production capacity of these manufacturers is more than sufficient to meet our garden requirements for the short-to-medium term. In addition, capacity expansion is available in a reasonable period of time with a nominal tooling investment. We also try to have multiple, dual-sourced manufacturers of our many component parts and accessories.



In July 2008 we opened a company-operated distribution center in Indianapolis, Indiana where we also produced and assembled our seed kits. In May 2011, we closed this facility and moved all our North American distribution and seed kit assembly operations to a third party logistics facility in Mexico, Missouri. Indoor gardening products are shipped from China to the third-party fulfillment center in Missouri, as well as to third party distribution facilities in countries outside the North America.

## Table of Contents

### Product Returns and Warranties

To date, product returns have been within our expectations for both retail and direct-to-consumer sales. At retail, we generally use a “destroy in field” methodology as the cost of shipping a used product back to us often does not justify the value of the recovered unit. Some customers are obligated to ship products to our facility in order to receive credit for returned goods. In certain cases, customers are provided a fixed allowance, usually in the 1% to 2% range, to cover returned goods, which allowance is deducted from payments made by such customers. To our knowledge, our retailers are satisfied with these arrangements. Our manufacturers will provide us with replacement parts at no charge for products that are deemed defective due to materials or manufacturing complications. We record warranty liabilities at the time of sale for the estimated costs that may be incurred under our basic warranty program. The specific warranty terms and conditions vary depending upon the product sold but generally include technical support, repair parts, and labor for periods up to one year. Factors that affect our warranty liability include the number of installed units currently under warranty, historical and anticipated rates of warranty claims on those units, and cost per claim to satisfy our warranty obligation.

### Intellectual Property

Our success and ability to compete are substantially dependent upon our internally developed technology and expertise. While we rely on patent, copyright, trade secret, and trademark law to protect our technology, we also believe that factors such as the technological and creative skills of our personnel, new product developments, frequent product enhancements, and reliable product maintenance are essential to establishing and maintaining a technology leadership position. There can be no assurance that others will not develop technologies that are similar or superior to our technology.

As of March 31, 2011, we have filed eight patent applications in the United States and four patent applications internationally to protect our inventions, and of these, four United States and three international patents have been issued. We believe that the technology covered by our patent applications does not infringe on issued patents owned by others. We believe that if we fail to receive patents for any one of these patent applications, our operations will not be materially adversely affected. We believe that failure to obtain patents, however, will make it easier for competitors to bring competitive products to market. If competitive products perform better or are marketed by companies with greater financial and distribution resources than us, these competitive products may adversely affect our operations. In addition to the patents being sought, we maintain critical information about our products as trade secrets. The inventions under the patent applications have not been granted patents, and there can be no assurance that patents will be granted.

In addition, we have filed, and from time to time will file, trademark applications in the United States and internationally, a number of which have been registered or allowed. We intend to prosecute to protect our products and brand equity.

Each of our employees, independent contractors, interns, and consultants has executed assignment of rights to intellectual property agreements and nondisclosure agreements. The assignment of application rights to intellectual property agreements grant us the right to own inventions and related patents which may be granted in the United States and throughout the world. The nondisclosure agreements generally provide that these people will not disclose our confidential information to any other person without our prior written consent. We have also obtained, both domestically and internationally, domain names for AeroGrow.com, AeroGarden.com, AeroGarden.net, AeroGarden.tv, AeroGarden.biz, and Getthegarden.com, among others.

Our success will depend upon our ability to obtain necessary intellectual property rights and protect our intellectual property rights. We cannot be certain that patents will be issued on the patent applications that we have filed, or that we will be able to obtain the necessary intellectual property rights, or that other parties will not contest our intellectual property rights.

## Table of Contents

### Governmental Regulation and Certification

We believe that we are complying with United States regulations concerning the shipping and labeling of seeds and nutrients. Currently, the components for the indoor garden system are UL and/or ETL certified. These certifications confirm that the products have been tested and conform to a recognized level of fire and other safety standards for consumers. Such independent third party certification is required for sales of products through many major retailers.

We believe that our costs of compliance with environmental laws will not be material.

### Personnel

As of March 31, 2011, AeroGrow employed 34 employees with 33 full-time and 1 part-time. In addition, we contract the services of part-time and project consultants on an “as needed” basis. We believe that our employee relations are good. Our outsourced business includes, but is not limited to, manufacturing, some telemarketing, infomercial production, and some fulfillment and shipping. Additional employees and/or consultants may be hired in the future as our operations grow.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our business, future performance and forward-looking statements are affected by general industry and market conditions and growth rates, general U.S. and non-U.S. economic and political conditions (including the global economy), competition, interest rate and currency exchange rate fluctuations and other events. The following items are representative of the risks, uncertainties and other conditions that may impact our business, future performance and the forward-looking statements that we make in this report or that we may make in the future.

### Risks Related to our Business, Products and Markets

Our management and our independent registered public accounting firm, in their report on our financial statements as of and for the year ended March 31, 2011, have concluded that due to our need for additional capital, and the uncertainties surrounding our ability to raise such funding, substantial doubt exists as to our ability to continue as a going concern.

Our audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, were prepared on a going concern basis in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles. The going concern basis of presentation assumes that we will continue in operation for the next twelve months and will be able to realize our assets and discharge our liabilities and commitments in the normal course of business and do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classification of liabilities that may result from our inability to continue as a going concern. Our management and our independent registered public accounting firm have concluded that due to our need for additional capital, and the uncertainties surrounding our ability to raise such funding, substantial doubt exists as to our ability to continue as a going concern. We may be forced to reduce our operating expenses, raise additional funds, principally through the additional sales of our securities or debt financings, or enter into a corporate partnership to meet our working capital needs. However, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to obtain sufficient additional funds when needed or that such funds, if available, will be obtainable on terms satisfactory to us. If we are unable to raise sufficient additional capital or complete a strategic transaction, we may be unable to continue to fund our operations, develop our product candidates or realize value from our assets and discharge our liabilities in the normal course of business. These uncertainties raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. If we become unable to continue as a going concern, we may have to liquidate our assets, and might realize significantly less than the values at which they are carried on our financial statements, and stockholders may lose all or part of their investment in our common

stock.

If we are unable to obtain needed additional capital to fund our operations over the near-term, stockholders may lose all of their investment in our common stock.

During the fiscal year ending March 31, 2012, we will require additional capital to support our business, cover operational expenses, acquire inventory, invest in consumer marketing, and finance our growth. Without additional capital we will not be able to meet all of these requirements and will have to take actions to reduce costs and minimize other cash requirements. There can be no assurances given that we will be able to raise the capital we need, or that, in the absence of new capital, we will be able to reduce our cash requirements in a sufficient amount, or within the necessary timeframe, to be able to continue operating. We may need to issue equity, debt, or securities convertible into equity, which are likely to rank senior to our common stock and to dilute the current stock ownership in AeroGrow, and may cause stockholders to lose all of their investment in our common stock.

9

---

Table of Contents

We have incurred substantial losses since inception and may never achieve profitability.

Since we commenced operations in 2002, and through March 31, 2011, we incurred substantial losses, including a net loss of \$7,921,740 for the twelve month period ended March 31, 2011. As of March 31, 2011, our losses have resulted in an accumulated deficit of \$64,194,543. The future success of our business will depend on our ability to profitably expand sales of our AeroGarden indoor garden systems, seed kits and accessory products to consumers, and develop new product extensions and applications.

We are subject to many of the risks common to developing enterprises, including undercapitalization, cash shortages, limitations with respect to financial and other resources, and insufficient scale to be self-sustaining. There is no assurance that we will ever attain profitability.

Our financial condition may limit our ability to borrow funds or to raise additional equity as may be required to fund our future operations.

Our ability to borrow funds or raise additional equity may be limited by our financial condition. In addition, a failure to obtain additional funding to support our working capital and operating requirements could prevent us from making expenditures that are needed to allow us to maintain our operations.

Our cash and cash equivalents may not be sufficient to fund our operations beyond the second fiscal quarter of the fiscal year ending March 31, 2012.

Assuming our current costs of operations remain relatively unchanged over the next several months, we believe that our present cash and cash equivalents, combined with our projected results from operations, may not be sufficient to fund our operations beyond the second fiscal quarter of the fiscal year ending March 31, 2012. Unless we are able to raise additional funds from our financing efforts prior to such time, we may not be able to support our operations and may be forced to cease operations, dissolve the company, or file for bankruptcy.

We have shifted the focus of our business strategy toward the direct-to-consumer sales channels. Failure to successfully develop our position in these channels could have an adverse impact on our future results of operations.

During Fiscal 2010, we announced a strategic shift to increase our focus on the direct-to-consumer business channels. Since then we have dedicated a larger proportion of our capital and human resources to develop these channels. Successfully growing these channels will require that we invest in revenue-generating media to attract new customers, effectively target our marketing messages to consumers, and develop ongoing relationships with consumers through excellence in our fulfillment, shipping and customer service operations. There can be no assurances given that we will be successful in achieving these requirements, or that we can do so on a timely basis. In the event we do not succeed in developing the direct-to-consumer channels as we intend, there could be a material adverse impact on our future results of operations.

We have effectively transitioned our business away from the retail distribution channel. Unless our direct-to-consumer strategy is successful, this change in channel strategy could have a material adverse impact on our business.

In the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, approximately 19.1% of our net sales were to retailer customers. Our transition to a direct-to-consumer business model has resulted in our discontinuing sales to all but one of our former retailer customers. Unless the direct-to-consumer distribution model is successful, this change could have a material adverse impact on our business and our financial results.



Table of Contents

We currently sell to only one major “brick and mortar” retailer customer, Canadian Tire Corporation (“CTC”). The loss of this customer could have a material adverse impact on our business.

In Fiscal 2011, our net sales to CTC totaled \$1,303,657, representing 11.5% of our total net sales. The loss of CTC as a customer, or a significant decline in orders from CTC could materially affect our sales of indoor garden systems, seed kits and accessories, and therefore have a material adverse impact on our financial results.

Because we have a limited operating history, we may not be able to successfully manage our business or achieve profitability.

We have a limited operating history upon which to base an evaluation of our prospects and the potential value of our common stock. Since commencing operations in 2002, we have not achieved profitability in any fiscal year. We are confronted with the risks inherent in an early stage company, including difficulties and delays in connection with the production and sales of our indoor garden systems, reliance on a small number of products and manufacturers, operational difficulties, and difficulty in estimating future sales, production requirements and costs, and administrative costs. If we cannot successfully manage our business, we may not be able to generate future profits and may not be able to support our operations. We are likely to incur additional expenses and losses in the further implementation of our business plan. We may not be able to improve operations and therefore may never become profitable.

A worsening of the United States economy could materially adversely affect our business.

The success of our business operations depends significantly on consumer confidence and spending, which deteriorated during the recent worldwide economic downturn. A continuation or re-occurrence of the economic downturn, and the consequent impact on consumer spending, could adversely impact our revenue, ability to market our products, build customer loyalty, or otherwise implement our business strategy. If the current economic situation persists, or deteriorates significantly, our business could be negatively impacted.

Our future depends on the success of our indoor garden systems, seed kits and accessory products. We do not know if our indoor garden systems, seed kits and accessory products will generate consumer acceptance on a broader scale.

We have introduced our indoor garden systems and seed kits as new products to consumer markets unfamiliar with their use and benefits. Although we believe that we have penetrated only a small portion of the potential market for our products, we cannot be certain that our products will generate widespread consumer adoption. If consumers do not purchase our products in sufficient numbers, we will not be profitable.

If we are unable to recruit, train and retain key personnel necessary to operate our business, our ability to successfully manage our business and develop and market our products may be harmed.

To maintain our business position, we will need to attract, retain, and motivate highly skilled design, development, management, accounting, sales, merchandising, marketing, and customer service personnel. Competition for many of these types of personnel can be intense, depending on general economic conditions, alternative employment options, and job location. As a result, we may be unable to successfully attract or retain qualified personnel. Additionally, any of our officers or employees can terminate their employment with us at any time. The loss of any key employee, or our inability to attract or retain other qualified employees, could harm our business and results of operations.

As a result of the economic downturn, decline in consumer spending and the consequent impact on the sales of our products, we restructured our operations and implemented a substantial workforce reduction. These actions could adversely impact the morale and performance of our remaining employees and our ability to hire new personnel.



Our business was adversely impacted by the deterioration in the global economy and the consequent impact on our various channels of distribution. We restructured our business operations in order to re-size our overhead costs and streamline our distribution, fulfillment, and manufacturing operations. This resulted in a substantial decrease in the number of our full-time employees. These restructuring actions and headcount reductions could have unintended impacts on our remaining employees, could lead to a decline in employee morale, and could lead to a loss of additional personnel over and above the level desired by the Company. In the event of such employee attrition, we may not be able to replace the lost personnel on a timely basis, or with individuals having the same level of skills. In either case, our operations and our financial performance could be adversely impacted.

Table of Contents

Our marketing strategies may not be successful, which would adversely affect our future revenue and profitability.

Our future revenue and profitability depend on the successful marketing of our indoor garden systems. We cannot give assurance that consumers will continue to be interested in purchasing our products. We currently use direct consumer marketing, including television commercials, infomercials, catalogue, magazine and newspaper advertising, and the Internet. If our marketing strategies fail to attract customers, our product sales will not produce future revenue sufficient to meet our operating expenses or fund our future operations.

Our current or future manufacturers could fail to fulfill our orders for indoor garden systems, which would disrupt our business, increase our costs, and could potentially cause us to lose our market.

We currently depend on three contract manufacturers in China to produce our indoor garden systems. These manufacturers could fail to produce the indoor garden system to our specifications or in a workmanlike manner and may not deliver the systems on a timely basis. Our manufacturers must also obtain inventories of the necessary parts and tools for production. We own the tools and dies used by our manufacturers. Our manufacturers operate in China and may be subject to business risks that fall outside our control, including but not limited to, political, currency, and regulatory risks, each of which may affect the manufacturer's ability to fulfill our orders for indoor garden systems. In addition, weather or natural disasters in China could disrupt our supply of product. Any change in manufacturers could disrupt our ability to fulfill orders for indoor garden systems. Any change in manufacturers could disrupt our business due to delays in finding a new manufacturer, providing specifications, and testing initial production.

Our revenue and level of business activity are highly seasonal, requiring us to staff our operations, incur overhead and marketing costs, purchase and manufacture inventory, and incur other operating costs in advance of having firm customer orders for our products. A material variance in actual orders relative to anticipated orders could have an adverse impact on our business.

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, approximately ---49.2% of our total net sales occurred during four consecutive calendar months (September – December). We must therefore estimate sales in advance of the anticipated peak months and operate our business during the balance of the year in such a way as to insure that we can meet the demand for our products during the peak months. This requires us to incur significant operating, marketing, and overhead expenses, and to utilize cash and other capital resources to invest in inventory in advance of having certainty as to the ultimate level of demand for our product during the peak months. Significant variations in actual demand for our products during the peak months relative to our forecast could result in our sales being limited by lack of product, our not achieving a sufficient level of sales to cover expenses incurred throughout the balance of the year, or in our having excess inventory to liquidate at potentially lower margins. In any of these cases, there could be a material adverse impact on our financial performance.

We are highly reliant upon a single distribution and assembly facility. Any material disruption to the operation of this facility could adversely affect our business.

In April 2011 we entered into an agreement with Wilderndest Logistics Solutions, Inc. to provide fulfillment and manufacturing services from a facility based in Mexico, Missouri. We transitioned the entirety of our fulfillment and seed kit assembly operations to this facility in May 2011. We cannot predict with certainty whether this change will result in improved and more efficient and cost-effective operations. Any material disruption to the operation of this facility, whether caused by internal or external factors could have a material adverse impact on our business and financial performance.



## Table of Contents

We rely on third party providers in our manufacturing, warehouse, distribution, order processing, and fulfillment operations. If these parties are unwilling to continue providing services to us, or are unable to adequately perform such services for us on a cost effective basis, our business could be materially harmed.

We engage third parties to perform certain critical functions supporting our business operations. Any disruption in our relationship with any of our vendors could cause significant disruption to our business and we may not be able to locate another party that can provide comparable services in a timely manner or on acceptable commercial terms. In addition, no assurance can be made that these relationships will be adequate to support our business as we follow our business plan.

Our intellectual property and proprietary rights give us only limited protection, and can be expensive to defend.

Our ability to produce and sell indoor garden systems exclusively depends in part on securing patent protection for the components of our systems, maintaining various trademarks, and protecting our operational trade secrets. To protect our proprietary technology, we rely on a combination of patents pending (and if granted, patents), trade secrets, and non-disclosure agreements, each of which affords only limited protection. We own the rights to 18 United States and international patent applications, three of which have been issued in the United States and three of which have issued internationally. However, the remaining patent applications may not result in issued patents and even issued patents may be challenged. We are selling our indoor garden systems prior to receiving issued patents relating to our patent applications. All of our intellectual property rights may be challenged, invalidated, or circumvented. Claims for infringement may be asserted or prosecuted against us in the future and we may not be able to protect our patents, if any more are obtained, and intellectual property rights against others. Our former employees or consultants may violate their non-disclosure agreements, leading to a loss of proprietary intellectual property. We could also incur substantial costs to assert our intellectual property or proprietary rights against others.

We may face significant competition, and if we are unable to compete effectively, our sales may be adversely affected.

We believe that our complete indoor garden systems offer significant benefits over traditional hydroponic industry products. There are companies in a variety of related markets including but not limited to, consumer electronics, commercial hydroponics, gardening wholesale, and soil-based gardening that are larger, better funded, have more recognizable brand names, and have experience in our channels of distribution. These companies could potentially decide to develop products to compete with our products. These companies could use hydroponic technologies, and could achieve better consumer acceptance. The success of any competing products may adversely impact us.

Increases in energy prices, resulting from general economic conditions, or other factors, may raise our cost of goods sold and adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Energy costs, especially gasoline and fuel costs, are significant expenses in the delivery of our products. Increased costs resulting from general economic conditions, acts of nature, or other factors, may result in declining margins and operating results if market conditions prevent us from passing these increased costs on to our customers through timely price increases on our products.

Our current AeroGarden manufacturers are located in China and therefore our product costs may be subject to fluctuations in the value of the dollar against the Chinese currency.

Although we purchase our AeroGarden products in U.S. dollars, the prices charged by our factories are predicated upon their cost for components, labor and overhead. Therefore, changes in the valuation of the U.S. dollar in relation to the Chinese currency may cause our manufacturers to raise prices of our products which could reduce our profit

margins.

If our indoor garden systems fail to perform properly, our business could suffer with increased costs and reduced income.

Through March 31, 2011, we have sold 1,035,690 AeroGardens since our inception and have provided a limited warranty with each garden sold. In addition, our indoor garden systems are “guaranteed to grow.” We therefore may be required to replace or repair products or refund the purchase price to consumers. Failure of our products to meet expectations could damage our reputation, decrease sales, increase costs related to returns and repairs, delay market acceptance of our products, result in unpaid accounts receivable, and divert our resources to remedy the malfunctions. The occurrence of any of these events would have an adverse impact on our results of operations.

13

---

## Table of Contents

From time to time, we may be subject to litigation that, if decided adversely to us, could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition.

From time to time, we are a party to various litigation matters, in most cases involving ordinary and routine claims incidental to our business. We cannot estimate with certainty our ultimate legal and financial liability with respect to any such pending litigation matters. However, we believe, based on our examination of such matters, that our ultimate liability, if any, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

### Risks Related to the Market for our Securities

The market price of our shares may fluctuate greatly. Investors in AeroGrow bear the risk that they will not recover their investment.

Effective as of May 4, 2009, our common stock ceased to be listed on The Nasdaq Stock Market and is now traded on the OTC Bulletin Board. Currently, trading in our common stock is limited, and the price per share is likely to be influenced by the price at which and the amount of shares the selling security holders are attempting to sell at any time. This could have the effect of limiting the trading price or lowering the market price to the selling security holders' offering prices. Common stock such as ours may be subject to the activities of persons engaged in short selling securities, which generally has the effect of driving the price down. In addition, the common stock of emerging growth companies is typically subject to high price and volume volatility. Therefore, the price of our common stock has fluctuated, and may continue to fluctuate, widely. A full and stable trading market for our common stock may never develop and, as a result, stockholders may not be able to sell their shares at the time they elect, if at all.

There may be substantial sales of our common stock by existing security holders which could cause the price of our stock to fall.

Future sales of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market or the perception that such sales might occur, could cause the market price of our common stock to decline and could impair the value of an investment in our common stock and our ability to raise equity capital in the future.

Sales of our common stock by security holders, or even the appearance that such holders may make such sales, may limit the market for our common stock or depress any trading market volume and price before other investors are able to sell the common stock. Moreover, a number of shareholders have held their investment for a substantial period of time and may desire to sell their shares, which could drive down the price of our common stock.

We can issue debt securities and shares of preferred stock without stockholder approval, which could adversely affect the rights of common stockholders.

Our Articles of Incorporation allow our Board of Directors to approve the terms and conditions of debt securities and preferred stock for issuance by the Company, including but not limited to voting rights, conversion privileges and liquidation preferences, without the approval of common stockholders. The rights of the holders of our common stock may be adversely impacted as a result of the rights that could potentially be granted to holders of debt securities or preferred stock that we may issue in the future. In addition, there could be an impact on the price of our common stock because of the potential impact on the rights of common shareholders resulting from future issuances of debt or preferred stock.



## Table of Contents

Our outstanding warrants, options and additional future obligations to issue our securities to various parties, may dilute the value of an investment in AeroGrow. In addition, the terms and conditions of these securities could significantly impact the price of our common shares, and could adversely impact our ability to raise additional equity capital.

As of March 31, 2011, we had reserved for issuance up to approximately 246 million additional shares of common stock under the terms of outstanding convertible notes, warrants, options, conversion of Series A Convertible Preferred and other arrangements.

During Fiscal 2010, we issued an aggregate of 7,586 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred stock that now give the holders the right to convert their preferred shares into a total of 43,822,253 common shares of AeroGrow. The preferred shareholders also received 4,164 warrants to purchase additional preferred shares that now can be exercised to purchase 24,911,126 shares of AeroGrow common stock. During 2010 we issued \$7.02 million in 8% Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes that now give the holders the right to convert their notes and accrued interest into 68,842,846 common shares of AeroGrow. The note holders also received 70,200,000 warrants to purchase common shares of AeroGrow.

As part of the actions necessary to proceed with the transactions anticipated by our pending network marketing initiative, we have agreed to adjust the terms of the 8% Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes and warrants which, in turn will trigger an anti-dilution adjustment to the conversion price of the Series A Convertible Preferred shares. Giving effect to the adjustments to the conversion and exercise prices, there will be an aggregate of approximately 94 million shares of Common Stock reserved for issuance pursuant to the conversion of the Series A Convertible Preferred which is outstanding and the underlying warrants, and approximately 222 million shares of Common Stock reserved for issuance pursuant to the conversion of the 8% Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes and the underlying warrants.

For the length of time the convertible notes, warrants, options and preferred shares are outstanding, the holders will have an opportunity to profit from a rise in the market price of our common stock without assuming all the risks of common share ownership. This may adversely affect the terms upon which we can obtain additional capital. The holders of such derivative securities would likely exercise or convert them at a time when we would be able to obtain equity capital on terms more favorable than the exercise or conversion prices provided by the notes, warrants or options.

Further, future sales of substantial amounts of these shares, or the perception that such sales might occur, could cause the market price of our common stock to decline and could impair the value of an investment in our common stock and our ability to raise equity capital in the future.

For more detail on the Series A Convertible Preferred securities, the 8% Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes, and our pending transactions to pursue the network marketing sales channel, see Item 7 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources, and Item 9B. Other Information of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2011. In addition, see Note 2 – Notes Payable and Long Term Debt and Note 7 – Stockholders' Equity in the accompanying Notes to Financial Statements.

If an exemption from registration on which we have relied for any of our past offerings of common stock or warrants is challenged legally, our principals may have to spend time defending claims, and we would then risk paying expenses for defense, rescission, and/or regulatory sanctions.

To raise working capital, we offered common stock and warrants in private transactions that we believed to be exempt from registration under the Securities Act and state securities laws. In 2004 we conducted a state-registered offering in



Colorado of common stock and warrants, intended to be exempt from registration under the Securities Act as an intrastate offering. However, because we are incorporated in Nevada, the offering did not satisfy all of the requirements for an intrastate offering. This could result in investors or regulators asserting that the Colorado offering and/or private offerings subsequent to the Colorado offering (if the private offerings were integrated with the Colorado offering) violated the Securities Act. There can be no assurance that investors or regulators will not be successful in asserting a claim that these transactions should not be integrated. In the event that one or more investors seeks rescission, with resulting return of investment funds and interest at a market rate, or that state or federal regulators seeks sanctions against us or our principals, we would spend time and financial resources to pay expenses for defense, rescission awards, or regulatory sanctions. The use of funds would reduce the capital available to operate our business. No assurance can be given regarding the outcome of any such actions.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

We lease a 21,012 square foot office space in, Boulder, Colorado, with a current monthly rent of \$19,261. We also pay our proportionate share of building taxes, insurance and operating expenses. The initial term continues until January 31, 2012, unless modified under specified circumstances. The agreement contains other standard office lease provisions.

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, we also rented approximately 90,400 square feet of warehouse and manufacturing space in Indianapolis, Indiana at the rate of \$16,950 per month. We closed this facility and terminated the lease in May 2011.

While our facilities appear adequate for the foreseeable future, we may add space to meet future growth as needed. Upon expiration of our current leases, we believe that we will be able to either renew our existing leases or arrange new leases in nearby locations on acceptable terms. We believe that these properties are adequately covered by insurance.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, we are party to various litigation matters, in most cases involving ordinary and routine claims incidental to our business. We cannot estimate with certainty our ultimate legal and financial liability with respect to any such pending litigation matters. However, we believe, based on our examination of such matters, that our ultimate liability, if any, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

ITEM 4. RESERVED

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

Our common stock has been listed on the OTC Bulletin Board (the "OTCBB") since June 9, 2009 under the symbol AERO. In the past, our common stock was listed on the Pink Sheets Electronic OTC Market from May 4, 2009 through June 9, 2009, on the NASDAQ Capital Market from June 13, 2007, to May 1, 2009, and on the OTCBB from January 8, 2007 to June 12, 2007.

Table of Contents

## Price Range of Common Stock

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low daily closing prices of our common stock for the two most recently completed fiscal years while trading on the markets noted above.

	Fiscal Year Ended 3/31/11		Fiscal Year Ended 3/31/10	
	High	Low	High	Low
1st Quarter - Ended June 30	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.12
2nd Quarter - Ended Sept 30	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.07
3rd Quarter - Ended Dec 31	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.12
4th Quarter - Ended Mar 31	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.12

## Holders

As of May 31, 2011, we had approximately 485 holders of record of our common stock.

## Dividends

We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock. We currently intend to retain all available funds and any future earnings for use in the operation of our business and do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Any future determination to declare cash dividends will be made at the discretion of our board of directors, subject to compliance with covenants under any existing financing agreements, which may restrict or limit our ability to declare or pay dividends, and will depend on our financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements, general business conditions, and other factors that our board of directors may deem relevant.

## Equity Compensation Plan Information

The equity compensation plan information called for by Item 201(d) of Regulation S-K is set forth in Item 12 of Part III of this Annual Report under the heading "Equity Compensation Plan Information."

## Company Stock Performance

The following graph compares the cumulative total stockholder return data for our stock for the period beginning April 1, 2008 and ending on March 31, 2011 to the cumulative return over such period of (i) The Russell 2000 Index (RUT) and (ii) the Russell Microcap Index (IWC). The graph assumes \$100 was invested on March 31, 2008 in our Common Stock and in each of the comparative indices. Note that the historical stock price performance on the following graph is not necessarily indicative of future stock price performance.

Table of Contents

## ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Consolidated Statements of Operations Data				
	Fiscal Years ended March 31,			
	2011	2010	2009	
Revenues	\$ 11,313,212	\$ 17,251,347	\$ 37,449,868	
Cost of revenue	7,928,687	11,846,399	23,710,787	
Research and development	187,178	424,741	2,146,493	
Sales and marketing	3,760,290	6,104,742	13,772,822	
General and administrative	3,116,446	5,112,647	7,043,391	
Total operating expenses	14,992,601	23,488,529	46,673,493	
Loss from operations	(3,679,389 )	(6,237,182 )	(9,223,625 )	
Other (income) expense	4,242,351	94,023	1,089,889	
Net loss	\$ (7,921,740 )	\$ (6,331,205 )	\$ (10,313,514)	
Net loss per share, basic and diluted	\$ (0.56 )	\$ (0.50 )	\$ (0.82 )	
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding, basic and diluted	14,240,761	12,564,140	12,519,999	
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data				
	March 31,			
	2011	2010	2009	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 355,781	\$ 249,582	\$ 332,698	
Total assets	\$ 6,234,896	\$ 6,843,780	\$ 14,609,190	
Total liabilities	\$ 8,086,171	\$ 10,170,710	\$ 18,840,815	
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	\$ (1,851,275 )	\$ (3,326,930 )	\$ (4,231,625 )	

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K ("Annual Report"), including the "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," contains forward-looking statements regarding future events and our future results that are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts, and projections about the industry in which we operate and the beliefs and assumptions of our management. Words such as "expects," "anticipates," "targets," "goals," "projects," "may," "will," "would," "could," "intends," "plans," "believes," "seeks," "estimates," variations of such words, and expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. These forward looking statements may include, among others, statements concerning our expectations regarding our business, growth prospects, revenue trends, operating costs, working capital requirements, access to funding, competition, results of operations and other statements of expectations, beliefs, future plans and strategies, anticipated events or trends, and similar expressions concerning matters that are not historical facts. These forward-looking statements are only predictions that are subject to risks, uncertainties, and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Therefore, actual results may differ materially and adversely from those expressed in any forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in this Report under the section entitled "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of Part I and elsewhere, and in other reports we file with the SEC, specifically the most recent reports on Form 10-Q. While forward-looking statements are based on reasonable expectations of our management at the time that they are made, you should not rely on them. We undertake no obligation to revise or update publicly any forward-looking statements for any reason.

Executive Overview

We are in the business of developing, marketing, and distributing advanced indoor aeroponic and hydroponic garden systems. After several years of initial research and product development, we began sales activities in March 2006. Since that time we have expanded our operations and currently offer more than 15 different indoor garden models, more than 50 seed kits, and various gardening and kitchen accessories. Although our business is focused on the United States and Canada, our products are available in nine other countries.

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 ("Fiscal 2010"), and continuing through the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 ("Fiscal 2011"), we scaled back our operations as a result of the general economic downturn and the resulting decline in consumer confidence and spending. We also determined that broad distribution through retail channels was not appropriate for a company at our stage of development because of relatively low profit margins, high capital requirements, and the operational requirements of our retailer customers. As of March 31, 2011, our products were offered in approximately 575 storefronts in North America, as compared to approximately 1,290 stores as of March 31, 2010. Going forward, we plan to continue selling through our largest retailer customer that comprised approximately 60.4% of our sales to retailers during Fiscal 2011.

Beginning in late Fiscal 2010 and continuing through Fiscal 2011, we re-focused our efforts towards building our direct-to-consumer business, which carries higher margin opportunity. To position our business for the future, we have substantially increased the depth and breadth of our direct sales distribution channels to include a direct mail catalogue business with approximately 2.8 million catalogues mailed in Fiscal 2011, print ads in national magazines, web sales, infomercials, mall kiosks, and long-form and short-form infomercials. In Fiscal 2011, approximately 79.3% of our total sales were to direct customers.

To further our strategic shift towards direct-to-consumer selling, we began investigating the network marketing channel of distribution during Fiscal 2011. Network marketing, which is also known as direct selling or multi-level marketing, involves person-to-person selling through independent distributors, which we believe represents a logical potential next step in our stated strategy to move the marketing and selling of AeroGrow products closer to the end

consumer. In April 2011, we entered into a Licensing Agreement with Cyrano Partners, LLC (“Cyrano”) under which Cyrano began to offer our products in the network marketing sales channel. Simultaneously we entered into a Transaction Agreement with Cyrano to form a joint venture to pursue the network marketing sales channel, subject to the achievement of certain conditions precedent, including an obligation on the part of Cyrano to raise the capital necessary to fund the joint venture. Cyrano was unable to satisfy the funding condition precedent and, in August 2011, the Licensing Agreement and the Transaction Agreement were terminated. (For further information on the Cyrano transactions, please refer to Item 9B. Other Information of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2011.)

Table of Contents

During Fiscal 2010 we began the process of re-capitalizing the Company by issuing approximately \$6.7 million in convertible preferred shares. In addition, we negotiated deferred payment arrangements with certain vendors, negotiated reduced balances with certain vendors, and reduced the amount of our interest-bearing debt and our accounts payable obligations. Nonetheless, we continued to face cash and liquidity constraints during Fiscal 2010 that were, at times, severe, and that had a material impact on our ability to operate our business. During Fiscal 2011, we issued \$7,020,000 in three-year convertible secured subordinated debt and \$1,500,000 in short-term convertible debt to supplement our internal cash sources and to address our liquidity constraints.

Despite the capital raised during the last two fiscal years, we continue to face severe cash and liquidity constraints. Our ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon our ability to generate sufficient cash flows to meet our obligations on a timely basis, to obtain additional capital as may be required, and ultimately to attain profitable operations. We believe we will need to raise new capital to meet our cash requirements for the next twelve months. Management is in the process of attempting to raise the additional capital required to support our operations; however, there can be no assurances given that we will be successful in raising such additional capital. In such an event we would take such actions as are available to us to reduce our cash requirements; however, there can be no assurances given that we would be able to reduce our cash requirements in the amount, or in the timeframe, necessary to enable us to continue operating and we may be forced to dissolve the company or file for bankruptcy. Assuming our current costs of operations remain relatively unchanged over the next several months, we believe that our present cash and cash equivalents, in combination with our projected operating results, may not be sufficient to fund our operations beyond the second fiscal quarter of the fiscal year ending March 31, 2012.

## Our Critical Accounting Policies

## Inventory

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost, determined by the first-in, first-out method, or market. Included in inventory costs where the Company is the manufacturer are raw materials, labor and manufacturing overhead. The Company records the raw materials at delivered cost. Standard labor and manufacturing overhead costs are applied to the finished goods based on normal production capacity as prescribed under ASC 330 (prior authoritative guidance: Accounting Research Bulletin (“ARB”) No. 43, Chapter 4, Inventory Pricing). A majority of the Company’s products are manufactured overseas and are recorded at cost.

	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Finished goods	\$ 1,351,245	\$ 2,515,451
Raw materials	1,196,325	978,281
	\$ 2,547,570	\$ 3,493,132

The Company determines an inventory obsolescence reserve based on management’s historical experience and establishes reserves against inventory according to the age of the product. As of March 31, 2011, the Company had reserved \$848,794 for inventory obsolescence and as of March 31, 2010 the Company had reserved \$670,134.

## Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue from product sales, net of estimated returns, when persuasive evidence of a sale exists: that is, a product is shipped under an agreement with a customer; risk of loss and title has passed to the customer; the fee is fixed or determinable; and collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured.

The Company records estimated reductions to revenue for customer and distributor programs and incentive offerings, including promotions and other volume-based incentives. Certain incentive programs require the Company to estimate based on industry experience the number of customers who will actually redeem the incentive. At March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, the Company had accrued \$23,889 and \$80,119 respectively, as its estimate for the foregoing deductions and allowances. In addition, for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, the Company had accrued \$0 and \$66,000 for possible returns or price markdowns associated with several large retailer accounts along with \$17,791 and \$21,207 of general allowance for potential returns. These accruals were recorded as bad debt expense, and an increase in allowance for bad debt, which reduced net trade receivables relating to retailers.



Table of Contents

## Warranty and Return Reserves

The Company records warranty liabilities at the time of sale for the estimated costs that may be incurred under its basic warranty program. The specific warranty terms and conditions vary depending upon the product sold but generally include technical support, repair parts and labor for periods up to one year. Factors that affect the Company's warranty liability include the number of installed units currently under warranty, historical and anticipated rates of warranty claims on those units, and cost per claim to satisfy the Company's warranty obligation. Based upon the foregoing, the Company has recorded as of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 a provision for potential future warranty costs of \$22,105 and \$55,842, respectively.

The Company reserves for known and potential returns and associated refunds or credits related to such returns based upon historical experience. In certain cases, customers are provided a fixed allowance, usually in the 1% to 2% range, to cover returned goods from which this allowance is deducted from payments from such retailers. As of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, the Company has recorded a reserve for customer returns of \$44,315 and \$47,398, respectively.

## Shipping and Handling Costs

Shipping and handling costs associated with inbound freight are recorded in cost of revenue. Shipping and handling costs associated with freight out to customers are also included in cost of revenue. Shipping and handling charges paid by customers are included in product sales.

## Stock Based Compensation

The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued ASC 710-10-15 (prior authoritative guidance: FASB Statement No. 123R, Share-Based Payment). The Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") provided for a phase-in implementation process, which required adoption of the new accounting standard no later than January 1, 2006. ASC 710-10-15 requires accounting for stock options using a fair-value-based method as described in such statement and recognizing the resulting compensation expense in the Company's financial statements. Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for employee stock options using the intrinsic value method under APB No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" and related Interpretations, which generally results in no employee stock option expense. The Company uses the Black-Scholes option valuation model to estimate the fair value of stock option awards issued under ASC 710-10-15. In addition, the Company uses the "simplified" method for determining the expected term of its "plain vanilla" stock options as permitted. For the years ended March 31, 2011, March 31, 2010, March 31, 2009, equity compensation in the form of stock options and grants of restricted stock totaled \$138,804, \$452,393, and \$723,406, respectively, and is included in the accompanying Statements of Operations in the following categories:

	Years ended March 31		
	2011	2010	2009
General and administrative	\$ 69,295	\$ 319,673	\$ 457,592
Research and development	-	6,644	121,952
Sales and marketing	69,509	126,076	143,862
Total	\$ 138,804	\$ 452,393	\$ 723,406

## Advertising and Production Costs

The Company expenses all production costs related to advertising, including, print, television, and radio advertisements when the advertisement has been broadcast or otherwise distributed. The Company records media costs related to its direct-to-consumer advertisements, inclusive of postage and printing costs incurred in conjunction

with mailings of direct response catalogues, and related direct response advertising costs, in accordance with the Statement of Position, ASC 340-20-25 (prior authoritative guidance: SOP 93-7, Reporting on Advertising Costs). In accordance with ASC 340-20-25, direct response advertising costs incurred should be reported as assets and should be amortized over the estimated period of the benefits, based on the proportion of current period revenue from the advertisement to probable future revenue. As of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, the Company had deferred \$3,386 and \$19,058, respectively, related to such media costs. Advertising expenses for the years ended March 31, 2011, March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2009 were \$1,542,939, \$2,299,431 and \$5,101,910, respectively.

## Table of Contents

### Research and Development

Research, development, and engineering costs are expensed as incurred, in accordance with ASC 730-10-25 (prior authoritative guidance: SFAS No. 2, Accounting for Research and Development Costs). Research, development, and engineering expenses primarily include payroll and headcount related costs, contractor fees, infrastructure costs, and administrative expenses directly related to research and development support.

### Deferred Debt Issuance Costs

The Company capitalizes debt issuance costs that are directly attributable to realizing the proceeds of the debt issued and these costs are amortized over the contractual life of the debt.

### New Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs or ASU 2011-04, which changes the wording used to describe the requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements in order to improve consistency in the application and description of fair value between U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”). ASU 2011-04 clarifies how the concepts of highest and best use and valuation premise in a fair value measurement are relevant only when measuring the fair value of nonfinancial assets and are not relevant when measuring the fair value of financial assets or of liabilities. In addition, the guidance expanded the disclosures for the unobservable inputs for Level 3 fair value measurements, requiring quantitative information to be disclosed related to (1) the valuation processes used, (2) the sensitivity of the fair value measurement to changes in unobservable inputs and the interrelationships between those unobservable inputs, and (3) use of a nonfinancial asset in a way that differs from the asset’s highest and best use. The revised guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and early application by public entities is prohibited. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of adopting this guidance on its consolidated financial position and results of operations.

### Inflation and Seasonality

We do not currently expect inflation to have a significant effect on our operations. Because our indoor garden systems are designed for an indoor gardening experience, we experience slower sales in the United States during the late spring and summer months when our consumers may tend to garden outdoors. In addition, we have experienced increased sales during the holiday season in the fourth calendar quarter (the third quarter of our fiscal year). We sell to our international distributors in US Dollars thereby minimizing effects from currency fluctuations. We purchase our gardens and other accessory products from Chinese manufacturers, and these purchases are denominated in US Dollars. However, over time, the cost of the products we procure from China may be affected by changes in the value of the US Dollar relative to the Chinese currency and/or by labor and material cost increases faced by our Chinese manufacturers.

Table of Contents

## Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, as a percentage of sales, our financial results for the last three fiscal years:

	Fiscal Years Ended March 31,					
	2011		2010		2009	
Revenue						
Product sales- retail	19.1	%	40.1	%	60.9	%
Product sales- direct-to-consumer	79.3	%	57.5	%	32.9	%
Product sales- international	1.6	%	2.4	%	6.2	%
Total sales	100.0	%	100.0	%	100.0	%
Operating expenses						
Cost of revenue	70.1	%	68.7	%	63.3	%
Research and development	1.7	%	2.5	%	5.7	%
Sales and marketing	33.2	%	35.4	%	36.8	%
General and administrative	27.5	%	29.6	%	18.8	%
Total operating expenses	132.5	%	136.3	%	124.6	%
Loss from Operations	-32.5	%	-36.3	%	-24.6	%
Total other (income)/expense, net	37.5	%	0.4	%	2.9	%
Net Loss	-70.0	%	-36.7	%	-27.5	%

## Fiscal Years Ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010

## Summary Overview

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 (“Fiscal 2011”), our net sales totaled \$11,313,212, a decrease of 34.4% from the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 (“Fiscal 2010”).

Our sales to retailer customers declined by 68.8% to \$2,157,211, representing 80.3% of the overall sales decrease we experienced during Fiscal 2011. The reduction in sales to retailers reflected a combination of factors, but was principally caused by our decision to de-emphasize sales to retailers because of the higher risk and lower margins associated with these sales. As a result of our strategic decision to reduce our exposure to the retail channel, we reduced the number of retail store doors carrying our products, to approximately 575 at March 31, 2011, from approximately 1,290 a year earlier, and 4,600 store doors as of December 31, 2009.

Our direct-to-consumer sales also declined, by 9.5% to \$8,976,534, because of a 32.9% decrease in our spending on revenue-generating advertising driven by our cash constraints, our decision to implement a more targeted marketing program during the year, and lower average pricing on the sales of our products. However, we did deliver a 34.8% year-over-year increase in the efficiency of our marketing efforts during Fiscal 2011, as measured by dollars of direct-to-consumer sales per dollar of advertising expense, which offset a large part of the impact on sales of the decline in advertising spending. Sales to international distributors also fell in Fiscal 2011, by 55.8% to \$179,467, as we did not promote international sales, and our distributors’ inventory levels in existing markets were sufficient to meet most of our international consumer demand, thereby limiting re-orders.

The combination of these factors resulted in a year-over-year decline in total net sales of 34.4% to \$11,313,212 in Fiscal 2011.

Our gross margin for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 was 29.9%, down from 31.3% in the prior year. The decrease in percentage margin reflected a variety of offsetting factors experienced during Fiscal 2011. Positive impacts were achieved through efficiencies in our manufacturing and distribution operations, an increased mix of higher-margin direct-to-consumer and seed kit and accessory sales. However, these were more than offset by lower average pricing across our key products, the margin impact of our constrained liquidity situation, and inventory adjustments, reserves, and obsolescence charges that were approximately \$258,000 higher than in Fiscal 2010. The higher inventory charges in large part reflected the impact of strategic decisions we took during the fiscal year to accelerate our de-emphasis of the retail channel in North America, to re-design our seed kits to improve manufacturing efficiencies and reduce cost, and to re-evaluate our international warehousing and distribution. These decisions resulted in certain inventories being discontinued and/or becoming obsolete.

Table of Contents

Operating expenses other than cost of revenue for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 totaled \$7,063,914, 39.3% or \$4,578,216 lower than the prior fiscal year. This decrease reflected cost saving initiatives, staffing reductions, and reduced spending on advertising and promotion. Sales and marketing costs were reduced by 38.4%, including a \$756,492, or 32.9% reduction in advertising spending caused in large part by the cash constraints we experienced throughout Fiscal 2011, as well as by planned reductions we implemented. General and administrative expense totaled \$3,116,446 during Fiscal 2011, representing a \$1,996,201, or 39.0% reduction relative to the prior fiscal year. The lower general and administrative expense primarily reflected the impact of lower headcount, as well as spending reductions in all areas, including legal expenses, administration, and facility and office-related expenses. Research and development costs also declined year-over-year, by \$237,563, or 55.9%, to \$187,178 in Fiscal 2011. The reduction in research and development expense primarily reflected the impact of lower headcount relative to the prior fiscal year.

Our loss from operations totaled \$3,679,389 for Fiscal 2011, as compared to a loss of \$6,237,182 in the prior year. The lower loss reflected the impact of margin improvements, cost savings initiatives and lower media spending which offset the impact of lower sales during Fiscal 2011.

Other expense for Fiscal 2011 totaled \$4,242,351 as compared to \$94,023 in the prior year. The net other expense in Fiscal 2011 included \$3,267,566 in non-cash expense related to: (i) the amortization of deferred financing costs, and (ii) the amortization of a calculated debt discount (including amounts accelerated because of the conversion of convertible debt into common stock during the period). Each of these charges related to convertible notes issued during Fiscal 2011. These notes were considered to have been issued at a discount because they had a conversion price lower than the market price of our stock at the time of issuance, and because the notes were issued with warrants to purchase our common stock. The resulting discount will be amortized to expense over the three-year life of the notes, as will the related financing costs. In addition, current year other expense included \$105,191 related to a revised vendor payment agreement. In a prior year period the vendor had discounted the amount owed in order to accelerate payment terms; however, we fell behind in the agreed accelerated payments and the vendor exercised its option to collect the original amount in full. In Fiscal 2010, other expense included the impact of approximately \$807,000 in gains recorded as a result of negotiated reductions in accounts payable amounts owed to certain vendors.

The net loss for Fiscal 2011 increased to \$7,921,740 from \$6,331,205 in Fiscal 2010, because the \$2,557,793 reduction in the operating loss was offset by approximately \$3.3 million in non-cash interest expense related to the convertible note issue in Fiscal 2011; and approximately \$807,000 in gains related to the negotiated discounts on accounts payable obligations that were recognized in Fiscal 2010.

Revenue

The table set forth below shows quarterly revenues by sales channel for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2011, and March 31, 2010:

	Quarters ended				Year ended
	30-Jun-09	30-Sep-09	31-Dec-09	31-Mar-10	31-Mar-10
Sales – retail	\$ 868,263	\$ 1,831,781	\$ 3,649,483	\$ 574,092	\$ 6,923,619
Sales –					
direct-to-consumer	2,010,243	1,375,141	4,159,984	2,375,910	9,921,278
Sales – international	101,187	79,027	129,781	96,455	406,450
	\$ 2,979,693	\$ 3,285,949	\$ 7,939,248	\$ 3,046,457	\$ 17,251,347

Quarters ended

Year ended

Edgar Filing: AeroGrow International, Inc. - Form 10-K

	30-Jun-10	30-Sep-10	31-Dec-10	31-Mar-11	31-Mar-11
Sales – retail	\$ 191,578	\$ 287,373	\$ 1,366,060	\$ 312,200	\$ 2,157,211
Sales – direct-to-consumer	1,546,935	1,071,606	3,563,597	2,794,396	8,976,534
Sales – international	79,506	20,638	73,214	6,109	179,467
	\$ 1,818,019	\$ 1,379,617	\$ 5,002,871	\$ 3,112,705	\$ 11,313,212

Table of Contents

In Fiscal 2011, revenue totaled \$11,313,212, a decrease of \$5,938,135, or 34.4%, from Fiscal 2010.

80.3% of the overall sales decline resulted from a \$4,766,408, or 68.8%, year-over-year reduction in our sales to retailers, to \$2,157,211 in Fiscal 2011 from \$6,923,619 in Fiscal 2010. The decrease in our sales to retailers principally reflected our strategic decision to reduce our exposure to the retail channel because of the low margins and high capital requirements associated with sales into this channel. As a result of this decision we discontinued our relationships with a number of retailers that had previously carried our products. As of March 31, 2011, AeroGrow products were being sold through approximately 575 traditional “brick and mortar” storefronts in the United States and Canada, as compared to approximately 1,290 as of March 31, 2010, and 4,600 store doors as of December 31, 2009. Because of the impact of non-“brick and mortar” retailers, including online retailers and television shopping channels, which do not have storefronts, on our retail sales, we do not believe “sales per store” is a meaningful metric for assessing our retail business.

Direct-to-consumer sales also decreased \$944,744 year-over-year, to \$8,976,534. The decrease reflected a combination of factors, including a 32.9% year-over-year reduction in the amount of revenue-generating media spent during the year and lower average pricing on the sales of our products that resulted from our shift to a sales strategy that included price reductions across much of our product line. Despite the decline in direct-to-consumer revenue, there was a 34.8% increase in media effectiveness as direct-to-consumer sales per dollar of advertising expense totaled \$5.82 in Fiscal 2011, as compared to \$4.31 in Fiscal 2010. During Fiscal 2011, direct-to-consumer sales were down 9.5% relative to Fiscal 2010, despite a much larger 32.9% reduction in the total amount of revenue-generating media spending.

International sales totaled \$179,467 in Fiscal 2011 as compared to \$406,450 in the prior fiscal year. During the current year period we were not attempting to develop international markets and, in addition, sales to existing international distributors were further constrained by a lack of available inventory, and a dispute with a third party warehouse in Europe that impacted our ability to fulfill orders from customers in Western Europe. As of March 31, 2011, our products were being sold in nine countries outside the United States and Canada, principally in Europe, Asia and in Australia.

The following table presents our quarterly sales by product category, in US Dollars and as a percent of total net revenue, for Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010.

	Quarters ended				Year ended
	30-Jun-09	30-Sep-09	31-Dec-09	31-Mar-10	31-Mar-10
<b>Product Revenue</b>					
AeroGardens	\$ 1,584,308	\$ 2,343,439	\$ 5,662,031	\$ 1,858,339	\$ 11,448,117
Seed kits and accessories	1,395,385	942,510	2,277,217	1,188,118	5,803,230
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,979,693</b>	<b>\$ 3,285,949</b>	<b>\$ 7,939,248</b>	<b>\$ 3,046,457</b>	<b>\$ 17,251,347</b>
<b>% of Revenue</b>					
AeroGardens	53.20 %	71.30 %	71.30 %	61.00 %	66.40 %
Seed kits and accessories	46.80 %	28.70 %	28.70 %	39.00 %	33.60 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.00 %</b>	<b>100.00 %</b>	<b>100.00 %</b>	<b>100.00 %</b>	<b>100.00 %</b>

	Quarters ended				Year ended
	30-Jun-10	30-Sep-10	31-Dec-10	31-Mar-11	31-Mar-11
<b>Product Revenue</b>					
AeroGardens	\$ 1,108,992	\$ 510,458	\$ 3,251,866	\$ 1,462,971	\$ 6,334,287



Edgar Filing: AeroGrow International, Inc. - Form 10-K

Seed kits and accessories	709,027		869,159		1,751,005		1,649,734		4,978,925	
Total	\$ 1,818,019		\$ 1,379,617		\$ 5,002,871		\$ 3,112,705		\$ 11,313,212	
% of Revenue										
AeroGardens	61.00	%	37.00	%	65.00	%	47.00	%	56.00	%
Seed kits and accessories	39.00	%	63.00	%	35.00	%	53.00	%	44.00	%
Total	100.00	%	100.00	%	100.00	%	100.00	%	100.00	%

25

---

Table of Contents

Year-over-year, sales of our AeroGardens decreased 44.7% during Fiscal 2011, to \$6,334,287, principally reflecting the impact of our decision to reduce sales into the retail channel, lower pricing on certain garden models, and the lower level of direct-to-consumer advertising. Seed kit and accessory sales also declined, by \$824,305 or 14.2%, to \$4,978,925, principally because of lower pricing for seed kits and grow bulbs and the reduced advertising spending. The lower decline in seed kit and accessory sales relative to the decline in garden sales reflected the impact of our expanded base of cumulative gardens sold since inception, to 1,035,690. On a cumulative basis, we have sold 2,324,062 replacement seed kits to-date. In Fiscal 2011, sales of seed kits and accessories represented 44.0% of our total net revenue, up from 33.6% in the prior fiscal year.

## Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue for Fiscal 2011 totaled \$7,928,687, a 33.1% decrease from the prior fiscal year. Cost of revenue includes product costs for purchased and manufactured products, freight costs for inbound freight from manufacturers and outbound freight to customers, costs related to warehousing, and duties and customs applicable to products imported. The year-over-year decline in cost of revenue principally resulted from the decrease in sales during the period, partially offset by inventory adjustments, reserves and obsolescence charges that totaled \$1,274,381, and that were approximately \$258,000 higher than in Fiscal 2010. The higher inventory charges in large part reflected the impact of strategic decisions we took during the fiscal year to accelerate our de-emphasis of the retail channel in North America, to re-design our seed kits to improve manufacturing efficiencies and reduce cost, and to re-evaluate our international warehousing and distribution. These decisions resulted in certain inventories being discontinued and/or becoming obsolete. As a percent of total revenue, cost of revenue totaled 70.1% in Fiscal 2011, as compared to 68.7% in the year earlier period. The increase in costs as a percent of revenue reflected the positive impacts of efficiencies achieved in our manufacturing and distribution operations, a greater mix of higher margin direct-to-consumer sales, a greater mix of higher margin seed kit and accessory sales, offset by the impact of lower average pricing and the higher inventory charges.

Our gross margin varies based upon the factors impacting net revenue and cost of revenue as discussed above, as well as the mix of our revenue that comes from the retail, direct-to-consumer, and international channels. In a direct-to-consumer sale, we recognize as revenue the full consumer purchase price for the product; in retail and international sales, by comparison, we recognize as revenue the wholesale price for the product which we charge to the retailer or international distributor. Media costs associated with direct sales are included in sales and marketing costs. For international sales, margins are structured based on the distributor purchasing products by letter of credit or cash-in-advance terms with the distributors bearing all of the marketing and distribution costs within their territories. As a result, international sales generally have lower margins than domestic retail sales. Overall, the gross margin for Fiscal 2011 was 29.9% as compared to 31.3% in the prior year.

## Sales and Marketing

Sales and marketing costs for Fiscal 2011 totaled \$3,760,290, a reduction of \$2,344,452, or 38.4%, from the prior fiscal year. Sales and marketing costs include all costs associated with the marketing, sales, operations, customer support, and sales order processing for our products. The following table breaks down the components of our sales and marketing costs for Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010:

	Fiscal Years Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Advertising	\$ 1,542,939	\$ 2,299,431
Salaries and related expenses	1,425,104	2,431,068
Sales commissions	93,353	309,283
Trade shows	7,518	43,877

Edgar Filing: AeroGrow International, Inc. - Form 10-K

Other	691,376	1,021,083
	\$ 3,760,290	\$ 6,104,742

## Table of Contents

Advertising is principally made up of the costs of developing and airing our infomercials, the costs of development, production, printing, and postage for our catalogues, and mailing and web media costs for search and affiliate web marketing programs. Each of these are key components of our integrated marketing strategy because they generate direct-to-consumer sales and help build awareness of, and consumer demand for, our products in all our channels of distribution. Advertising expense totaled \$1,542,939 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, a decrease of \$756,492, or 32.9%, from the prior fiscal year, principally reflecting a 25% decline in the number of catalogues mailed, and a reduction in television advertising, principally retail-focused spending in Canada, particularly in the fiscal third quarter. Cash constraints impacted the amount of advertising we were able to spend during the current year period. Spending on television advertising during Fiscal 2011 totaled \$75,584, a \$147,167 reduction from the prior year, while spending on catalogues totaled \$1,149,861, a reduction of \$491,388. Spending on other forms of advertising, including online advertising, totaled \$317,494 during Fiscal 2011, a \$117,946 reduction from the prior year.

Sales and marketing personnel costs include salaries, payroll taxes, employee benefits and other payroll costs for our sales, operations, customer service, graphics and marketing departments. For Fiscal 2011, these costs totaled \$1,425,104, a decrease of \$1,005,964, or 41.4%, from the prior fiscal year. The decrease principally reflects staff reductions undertaken throughout the prior fiscal year.

Sales commissions, ranging from 2.5% to 5% of cash collections from our retailer customers, are paid to third-party sales representative organizations that assist us in developing and maintaining our relationships with retailers. These commissions totaled \$93,353 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, down 69.8% from the prior fiscal year. The year-over-year decline in sales commissions resulted from the combined effect of the decline in sales to retailers during Fiscal 2011, as discussed above, the termination of our agreements with the majority of our sales representative organizations, reflecting our strategic decision to de-emphasize the retail channel, and lower negotiated rates.

Trade show expense comprises all the costs necessary to participate in trade shows and includes show fees, expenses related to developing, transporting and setting up show booths, and travel and entertainment costs, among others. Trade show expense in Fiscal 2011 totaled \$7,518, down \$36,359 from the prior year. The decrease resulted from our decision not to participate in trade shows that had been part of our marketing program for the retail distribution channel in the prior fiscal year. Given our shift in strategic focus away from retail distribution in Fiscal 2011, these shows no longer fit our marketing requirements. In addition, cash constraints experienced during Fiscal 2011 limited our ability to participate in shows to support our direct response marketing efforts.

Other sales and marketing costs include third party contracted services, promotional items, media production costs, travel-related costs, displays, and order processing costs. These costs totaled \$691,376 in Fiscal 2011, down \$329,707 because of lower travel and entertainment expense, inventory storage fees, order processing costs, as well as reduced spending in a variety of categories.

### General and Administrative

General and administrative expense for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 totaled \$3,116,446, down \$1,996,201, or 39.0% from the prior fiscal year. The decrease reflected the impact of lower headcount, as well as spending reductions in all areas, including legal expenses, administration, and facility and office-related expenses.

### Research and Development

Research and development costs totaled \$187,178 for Fiscal 2011, a decline of \$237,563, or 55.9%, from the prior fiscal year. Research and development costs comprise the costs associated with our staff that develops new AeroGarden models and technologies, and our plant laboratories that research new plant varieties that will grow well

in our AeroGarden products, as well as technologies such as improved lighting and nutrient formulation and delivery to enhance the performance of our products. The decrease in research and development spending in Fiscal 2011 principally reflected lower employee expense resulting from staffing reductions.

Table of Contents

## Operating Loss

The loss from operations totaled \$3,679,389 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, \$2,557,793, or 41.0%, less than the prior year. The improved performance resulted from the higher gross margin and the 39.3% reduction in non-cost of sales operating expenses, which more than offset the impact of lower revenue during the current year period.

As a non-U.S. GAAP measure of our operating performance, we track earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (“EBITDA”) as an indicator of our ability to generate cash, which we define as Operating Profit or Loss excluding the non-cash depreciation and amortization expense incurred during the period. As calculated in the table below, our EBITDA loss for Fiscal 2011 totaled \$2,980,957, which was \$2,415,910 better than the \$5,396,867 EBITDA loss recognized during the prior year.

	Fiscal Years Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Operating Loss	\$ (3,679,389)	\$ (6,237,182)
Add Back Non-Cash Items:		
Depreciation	680,452	836,976
Amortization	17,980	3,339
Total Non-Cash Items	698,432	840,315
EBITDA	\$ (2,980,957)	\$ (5,396,867)

## Other Income and Expense

Other expense for Fiscal 2011 totaled \$4,242,351 as compared to \$94,023 in the prior year. The net other expense in Fiscal 2011 included \$3,267,566 in non-cash expense related to: (i) the amortization of deferred financing costs, and (ii) the amortization of a calculated debt discount (including amounts accelerated because of the conversion of convertible debt into common stock during the period). Each of these charges related to convertible notes issued during Fiscal 2011. These notes were considered to have been issued at a discount because they had a conversion price lower than the market price of our stock at the time of issuance, and because the notes were issued with warrants to purchase our common stock. The resulting discount will be amortized to expense over the three-year life of the notes, as will the related financing costs. In addition, current year other expense included \$105,191 related to a revised vendor payment agreement. In a prior year period the vendor had discounted the amount owed in order to accelerate payment terms; however, we fell behind in the agreed accelerated payments and the vendor exercised its option to collect the original amount in full. In Fiscal 2010, other expense included the impact of approximately \$807,000 in gains recorded as a result of negotiated reductions in accounts payable amounts owed to certain vendors.

## Net Loss

The net loss for Fiscal 2011 increased to \$7,921,740 from \$6,331,205 in Fiscal 2010, because the \$2,557,793 reduction in the operating loss was offset by approximately \$3.3 million in non-cash interest expense related to the convertible note issue in Fiscal 2011; and approximately \$807,000 in gains related to the negotiated discounts on accounts payable obligations that were recognized in Fiscal 2010.

Fiscal Years Ended March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2009

## Summary Overview

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 (“Fiscal 2010”), our net sales totaled \$17,251,347, a decrease of 53.9% from the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009 (“Fiscal 2009”).

Our sales to retailer customers declined by 69.7% to \$6,923,619, representing 78.7% of the overall sales decrease we experienced during Fiscal 2010. The reduction in sales to retailers reflected a combination of factors, included our decision to de-emphasize sales to retailers because of the higher risk and lower margins associated with these sales. In addition, during Fiscal 2010, retailers generally took a more cautious approach to inventory decisions, resulting in fewer and smaller orders than in previous years. As a result of our strategic decision to reduce our exposure to the retail channel, and, in some case, inventory actions by certain retailers, we reduced the number of retail store doors carrying our products, to an estimated 1,290 at March 31, 2010, from approximately 7,500 a year earlier.

Our direct-to-consumer sales also declined, by 19.4% to \$9,921,278, despite a 78.9% year-over-year increase in the efficiency of our marketing efforts during Fiscal 2010, as measured by dollars of direct-to-consumer sales per dollar of advertising expense. However, we reduced our revenue-generating advertising spending by 54.9% during Fiscal 2010, more than offsetting the increase in marketing efficiency, because of our cash constraints, and our decision to implement a more targeted marketing program during the year in light of the difficult macroeconomic environment. Sales to international distributors also fell, by 82.5% to \$406,450 in Fiscal 2010 as we did not promote international sales, and our distributors’ inventory levels in existing markets were sufficient to meet most of our international consumer demand, thereby limiting re-orders.

Table of Contents

Total sales declined 53.9% to \$17,751,347 for the reasons stated above.

Our gross margin for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 was 31.3%, down from 36.7% in the prior year. The reduction in gross margin reflected a number of factors including severe operational inefficiencies caused by our cash constraints during Fiscal 2010, a higher inventory reserve established during Fiscal 2010, and the impact of fixed facility costs on a lower level of revenue during the year.

Operating expenses other than cost of revenue for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 totaled \$11,642,130, 49.3% or \$11,320,576 lower than the prior fiscal year. This decrease reflected the combined impacts of lower headcount and cost reduction initiatives executed throughout the year. Sales and marketing costs were reduced by 55.7%, including a \$2,802,479, or 54.9% reduction in advertising spending caused in large part by the cash constraints we experienced throughout Fiscal 2010, as well as by planned reductions we implemented in reaction to the impact of the global economic recession on consumer spending. General and administrative expense totaled \$5,112,647 during Fiscal 2010, representing a \$1,930,744, or 27.4% reduction relative to the prior fiscal year. The lower general and administrative expense primarily reflected the impact of headcount reductions, lower legal and accounting costs, lower facility costs, and reductions in corporate and governance expenses, partially offset by a higher allowance for bad debts associated with the termination of our relationships with certain retailers. Research and development costs also declined year-over-year, by \$1,721,752, or 80.2%, to \$424,741 in Fiscal 2010. The reduction in research and development expense primarily reflected the impact of lower headcount relative to the prior fiscal year.

Our loss from operations totaled \$6,237,182 for Fiscal 2010, as compared to a loss of \$9,223,625 in the prior year. The lower loss reflected the impact of cost savings initiatives and lower media spending which offset the impact of lower sales and gross margin during Fiscal 2010.

Other expense for Fiscal 2010 totaled \$94,023 as compared \$1,089,889 in the prior year. The year-over-year change reflected \$807,310 in gains recorded as a result of negotiated reductions in accounts payable amounts owed to certain vendors, and a \$56,819 decrease in interest expense resulting from a lower average level of debt outstanding being offset by a higher effective interest rate during Fiscal 2010.

The net loss for Fiscal 2010 was \$6,331,205 as compared to a net loss of \$10,313,514 in Fiscal 2009. The lower loss reflected the impact of cost savings initiatives, lower media spending, and the gains recorded on the negotiated reductions in accounts payable which offset the impact of lower sales and gross margin during the period.

## Revenue

The table set forth below shows quarterly revenues by sales channel for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2010, and March 31, 2009:

	Quarters ended				Year ended
	30-Jun-08	30-Sep-08	31-Dec-08	31-Mar-09	31-Mar-09
Sales – retail	\$ 2,642,575	\$ 11,508,030	\$ 5,621,688	\$ 3,048,651	\$ 22,820,944
Sales – direct-to-consumer	3,339,410	1,365,438	4,867,808	2,731,362	12,304,018
Sales – international	738,096	981,462	521,389	83,959	2,324,906
	\$ 6,720,081	\$ 13,854,930	\$ 11,010,885	\$ 5,863,972	\$ 37,449,868

	Quarters ended				Year ended
	30-Jun-09	30-Sep-09	31-Dec-09	31-Mar-10	31-Mar-10
Sales – retail	\$ 868,263	\$ 1,831,781	\$ 3,649,483	\$ 574,092	\$ 6,923,619



Edgar Filing: AeroGrow International, Inc. - Form 10-K

Sales – direct-to-consumer	2,010,243	1,375,141	4,159,984	2,375,910	9,921,278
Sales – international	101,187	79,027	129,781	96,455	406,450
	\$ 2,979,693	\$ 3,285,949	\$ 7,939,248	\$ 3,046,457	\$ 17,251,347

Table of Contents

In Fiscal 2010, revenue totaled \$17,251,347, a decrease of \$20,198,521, or 53.9%, from Fiscal 2009.

78.7% of the overall sales decline resulted from a \$15,897,325, or 69.7%, year-over-year reduction in our sales to retailers, to \$6,923,619 in Fiscal 2010 from \$22,820,944 in Fiscal 2009. The decrease in our sales to retailers partially reflected our strategic decision to reduce our exposure to the retail channel because of our determination that sales to retailers were not generating sufficient financial return to compensate for the high level of capital required to support the sales, and to the risks associated with being a supplier to large retail chains. In addition, the decline in sales to retailers reflected more conservative product, procurement, and inventory management strategies being executed by major retail chains during Fiscal 2010, and a comparison to a prior year period in which more retailer customers stocked AeroGrow products. As of March 31, 2010, AeroGrow products were being sold through approximately 1,290 storefronts in the United States and Canada, as compared to approximately 7,500 storefronts at March 31, 2009. We expect the number of stores carrying our products will vary during the fiscal year ending March 31, 2011, and will likely decline during the year due to our strategy of reducing the breadth of our retail distribution and focusing on a small number of key retailer customers, including both traditional brick and mortar and non-traditional retailers. However, because of the potential variability in the number of stores carrying our products, and the potential impact of web-based retailers, TV sales channels, and catalogue retailers, which do not have storefronts, on our reported sales to retailers, we do not believe “sales per store” is a meaningful metric for assessing our retail business.

Direct-to-consumer sales also decreased \$2,382,740, or 19.4% year-over-year, to \$9,921,278. The decline principally reflected a reduction in the amount of revenue-generating media spending during Fiscal 2010 relative to Fiscal 2009. Specifically, infomercial spending declined \$388,981 year-over-year, and there was a 24% decrease in the number of catalogues mailed, to 3,678,080. The decline in spending reflected management’s determination to more precisely target marketing spending because of the reduced level of consumer spending in the economy generally, cash constraints experienced during Fiscal 2010, and analysis demonstrating that broadcast media spending in the April to June time period had historically resulted in an inadequate return on investment. During Fiscal 2010, direct-to-consumer sales were down 19.4% relative to Fiscal 2009, despite a much larger 54.9% reduction in the total amount of revenue-generating media spending.

From time-to-time, during the Fiscal 2010, we offered our direct customers 36 days to evaluate the product (“Trial Sales”), with the customer paying only the shipping and handling costs for such products before making required installment payments after the expiration of the 36-day trial period. As of March 31, 2010, we did not record \$1,354 of revenue, related to the unpaid balance due for orders shipped in conjunction with these Trial Sales. This compares to \$0 in the unpaid balance of Trial Sales that were not recorded as of March 31, 2009. Also, as of March 31, 2010, we did not record \$561 of product costs associated with the Trial Sales because in the event the customer returned the product, we were able to recover these costs through resale of the goods. Similarly, as of March 31, 2009, there was \$0 of unrecorded product cost relating to Trial Sales.

International sales totaled \$406,450 in Fiscal 2010 as compared to \$2,324,906 in the prior fiscal year. Fiscal 2009 was the first full fiscal year of revenue for our international operations and included large initial stocking shipments to distributors in several countries. In Fiscal 2010, the worldwide recession impacted the marketing activities of our distributors, as well as consumer demand for our products in international markets, with the result that inventory levels at our international distributors remained sufficient to meet local demand for our products, limiting re-orders from these customers relative to the Fiscal 2009 period. As of March 31, 2010, our products were being sold in nine countries outside the United States and Canada, principally in Europe, Asia and in Australia.

Table of Contents

The following table presents our quarterly sales by product category, in US Dollars and as a percent of total net revenue, for Fiscal 2010 and Fiscal 2009.

	Quarters ended				Year ended	
	30-Jun-08	30-Sep-08	31-Dec-08	31-Mar-09	31-Mar-09	
<b>Product Revenue</b>						
AeroGardens	\$4,983,416	\$11,278,260	\$8,683,670	\$4,189,614	\$29,134,960	
Seed kits and accessories	1,736,665	2,576,670	2,327,215	1,674,358	8,314,908	
Total	\$6,720,081	\$13,854,930	\$11,010,885	\$5,863,972	\$37,449,868	
<b>% of Revenue</b>						
AeroGardens	74.2	% 81.4	% 78.9	% 71.4	% 77.8	%
Seed kits and accessories	25.8	% 18.6	% 21.1	% 28.6	% 22.2	%
Total	100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0	%
	Quarters ended				Year ended	
	30-Jun-09	30-Sep-09	31-Dec-09	31-Mar-10	31-Mar-10	
<b>Product Revenue</b>						
AeroGardens	\$1,584,308	\$2,343,439	\$5,662,031	\$1,858,339	\$11,448,117	
Seed kits and accessories	1,395,385	942,510	2,277,217	1,188,118	5,803,230	
Total	\$2,979,693	\$3,285,949	\$7,939,248	\$3,046,457	\$17,251,347	
<b>% of Revenue</b>						
AeroGardens	53.2	% 71.3	% 71.3	% 61.0	% 66.4	%
Seed kits and accessories	46.8	% 28.7	% 28.7	% 39.0	% 33.6	%
Total	100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0	%

Year-over-year, sales of our AeroGardens decreased 60.7% during Fiscal 2010, to \$11,448,117, principally reflecting the decline in sales to retailer customers, and, to a lesser extent, the sales declines in other channels of distribution. Seed kit and accessory sales also declined, by \$2,511,678 or 30.2%, to \$5,803,230, again reflecting the overall decline in sales in all channels of distribution. The lower decline in seed kit and accessory sales relative to the decline in garden sales reflected the impact of our expanded base of cumulative gardens sold since inception, to 958,000. On a cumulative basis, we have sold over 2,037,000 seed kits to-date. In Fiscal 2010, sales of seed kits and accessories represented 33.6% of our total net revenue, up from 22.2% in the prior fiscal year.

**Cost of Revenue**

Cost of revenue for Fiscal 2010 totaled \$11,846,399, a 50.0% decrease from the prior fiscal year. Cost of revenue includes product costs for purchased and manufactured products, freight costs for inbound freight from manufacturers and outbound freight to customers, costs related to warehousing, and duties and customs applicable to products imported. The year-over-year decline in cost of revenue principally resulted from the decrease in sales during the period. As a percent of total revenue, these costs totaled 68.7% in Fiscal 2010, as compared to 63.3% in the year earlier period. The increase in costs as a percent of revenue reflects changes in channel, customer, and product mix, as well as the impact of fixed facility costs on a lower revenue base. These latter costs principally reflect the impact of our Indianapolis, Indiana manufacturing and distribution center which was opened in July 2008 and became fully operational in October 2008. In addition, during Fiscal 2010 we experienced increased raw material, freight, fulfillment, and labor costs resulting from our severe cash constraints and the consequent adverse impact on our operational efficiencies. Finally, cost of revenue in Fiscal 2010 included the impact of \$670,134 in reserves taken for excess and obsolete inventory, as compared to \$335,705 in Fiscal 2009. The reserve in Fiscal 2010 principally related to the decision to de-emphasize our sales to retailers, and the consequent need to dispose of excess finished goods, raw

materials, packaging, and marketing materials designated for the retail channel.

Our gross margin varies based upon the factors impacting net revenue and cost of revenue as discussed above, as well as the mix of our revenue that comes from the retail, direct-to-consumer, and international channels. In a direct-to-consumer sale, we recognize as revenue the full consumer purchase price for the product as opposed to retail sales, where we recognize as revenue the wholesale price for the product which we charge to the retailer. Media costs associated with direct sales are included in sales and marketing costs. For international sales, margins are structured based on the distributor purchasing products by letter of credit or cash-in-advance terms with the distributor bearing all of the marketing and distribution costs within their territory, hence international margins are lower than margins from domestic retail sales.

Gross margin for Fiscal 2010 was 31.3% of net revenue, as compared to 36.7% in the prior fiscal year. The decline in gross margin as a percent of net revenue principally reflected the operational cost impacts noted above as well as the higher inventory reserve established during Fiscal 2010.

Table of Contents

## Sales and Marketing

Sales and marketing costs for Fiscal 2010 totaled \$6,104,742, a reduction of \$7,668,080, or 55.7%, from the prior fiscal year. Sales and marketing costs include all costs associated with the marketing, sales, operations, customer support, and sales order processing for our products. The following table breaks down the components of our sales and marketing costs for Fiscal 2010 and Fiscal 2009:

	Fiscal Years Ended March 31,	
	2010	2009
Advertising	\$ 2,299,431	\$ 5,101,910
Salaries and related expenses	2,431,068	3,772,942
Sales commissions	309,283	1,145,141
Trade shows	43,877	302,138
Other	1,021,083	3,450,691
	\$ 6,104,742	\$ 13,772,822

Advertising is principally made up of the costs of developing and airing our infomercials and short-form television commercials, the costs of development, production, printing, and postage for our catalogues, and mailing and web media costs for search and affiliate web marketing programs. Each of these are key components of our integrated marketing strategy because they generate direct-to-consumer sales and help build awareness of, and consumer demand for, our products in all our channels of distribution. Advertising expense totaled \$2,299,431 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010, a decrease of \$2,802,479, or 54.9%, from the prior fiscal year, principally reflecting management's determination to more precisely target marketing spending because of the reduced level of consumer spending in the economy generally during Fiscal 2010, cash constraints experienced throughout Fiscal 2010, and analysis demonstrating that media spending in the April to June time period had historically resulted in an inadequate return on investment. Spending on television advertising during Fiscal 2010 totaled \$222,751, a \$1,566,849 reduction from the prior year, while spending on catalogues totaled \$1,641,249, a reduction of \$711,673. Spending on other forms of advertising, including online advertising, totaled \$435,431 during Fiscal 2010, a \$523,957 reduction from the prior year.

Sales and marketing personnel costs include salaries, payroll taxes, employee benefits and other payroll costs for our sales, operations, customer service, graphics and marketing departments. For Fiscal 2010, these costs totaled \$2,431,068, a decrease of \$1,341,874, or 35.6%, from the prior fiscal year. The decrease principally reflected headcount reductions in sales, marketing, and operations personnel. In addition Fiscal 2010 personnel costs included a \$76,305 increase in severance expense over that recorded in Fiscal 2009, primarily attributable to the departure of our former vice president of sales and marketing during Fiscal 2010.

Sales commissions, ranging from 2.5% to 7% of cash collections from our retailer customers, are paid to third-party sales representative organizations that assist us in developing and maintaining our relationships with retailers. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010, sales commissions totaled \$309,283, a 73.0% decrease relative to the prior fiscal year. The decrease principally reflected the overall decline in sales to retailers during the fiscal year.

Trade show expense comprises all the costs necessary to participate in trade shows and includes show fees, expenses related to developing, transporting and setting up show booths, and travel and entertainment costs, among others. Trade show expense in Fiscal 2010 totaled \$43,877, down \$258,261 from the prior year. The decrease resulted from our decision not to participate in trade shows that had been part of our marketing program for the retail distribution channel in the prior fiscal year. Given our shift in strategic focus away from retail distribution in Fiscal 2010, these shows no longer fit our marketing requirements. In addition, cash constraints experienced during Fiscal 2010 limited our ability to participate in shows to support our direct response marketing efforts.



Table of Contents

Other sales and marketing costs include third party contracted service, promotional items, media production costs, travel related costs, displays and order processing costs. These costs totaled \$1,021,083 in Fiscal 2010, down \$2,429,608 from the prior year because of the reduction in related headcount as well as our focus on bringing services in house, or eliminating them.

## General and Administrative

General and administrative expense for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 totaled \$5,112,647, down \$1,930,744, or 27.4% from the prior fiscal year. The decrease reflected lower headcount and spending reductions in all areas including payroll related costs which totaled \$1,880,345, and were down \$568,824, legal, accounting and other contracted services fees which totaled \$514,489 and were down \$642,153, and corporate governance and insurance costs which totaled \$356,409, and were down \$119,018. Fiscal 2010 also included a \$40,308 increase in severance expense over that recorded in Fiscal 2009, primarily attributable to the departure of our former chief executive officer during Fiscal 2010.

## Research and Development

Research and development costs totaled \$424,741 for Fiscal 2010, a decline of \$1,721,752, or 80.2%, from the prior fiscal year. Research and development costs comprise the costs associated with our engineering staff that develops new AeroGarden models and technologies, and our plant laboratories that research new plant varieties that will grow well in our AeroGarden products, as well as technologies such as improved lighting and nutrient formulation and delivery to enhance the performance of our products. The decrease in research and development spending in Fiscal 2010 reflected lower headcount costs resulting from staffing reductions, and the impact of cash constraints experienced during the year that impacted our ability to spend on new product design and development efforts.

## Operating Loss

The loss from operations totaled \$6,237,182 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010, \$2,986,433, or 32.4%, less than the prior year.

As a non-U.S. GAAP measure of our operating performance, we track EBITDA as an indicator of our ability to generate cash, which we define as Operating Profit or Loss excluding the non-cash depreciation and amortization expense incurred during the period. As calculated in the table below, our EBITDA loss for Fiscal 2010 totaled \$5,396,867, which was \$2,982,331 better than the \$8,379,198 EBITDA loss recognized during the prior year.

	Fiscal Years Ended March 31,	
	2010	2009
Operating Loss	\$ (6,237,182)	\$ (9,223,625)
Add Back Non-Cash Items:		
Depreciation	836,976	858,344
Amortization	3,339	(13,917 )
Total Non-Cash Items	840,315	844,427
EBITDA	\$ (5,396,867)	\$ (8,379,198)

## Other Income and Expense

Other expense for Fiscal 2010 and Fiscal 2009 totaled to \$94,023 and \$1,089,889, respectively. The year-over-year change of \$995,866 was driven by \$807,310 of gains attributable to negotiated reductions in accounts payable due to

vendors and a \$56,819 decrease in interest expense, reflecting lower average levels of debt being mostly offset by a higher effective interest rate during Fiscal 2010.

Net Loss

The net loss for the Fiscal 2010 totaled \$6,331,205, as compared to the \$10,313,514 loss reported for the prior year period.



## Table of Contents

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

After adjusting the net loss for non-cash items and changes in assets and liabilities, the net cash used by operating activities totaled \$4,252,914 in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 as compared to \$1,192,496 net cash used by operating activities in the prior fiscal year.

Non-cash items, comprising depreciation, amortization, loss on disposal of fixed assets, bad debt allowances, issuances of common stock and options, change in allowances for bad debt, and interest expense from warrants issued with convertible debentures, totaled to a net cash gain of \$4,249,423 for the year ended March 31, 2011, as compared to a net cash loss of \$509,109 in the prior fiscal year.

Changes in current assets contributed cash of \$1,204,065 during Fiscal 2011, principally from reductions in inventory and accounts receivable. In Fiscal 2010, changes in these assets contributed \$8,292,240, reflecting a reduction in accounts receivable of more than \$3.1 million and an inventory reduction of approximately \$4.9 million. As of March 31, 2011, the inventory balance was \$2,547,570, representing approximately 117 days of sales activity, and 85 days of sales activity, at the average daily rate of product cost expensed during the 12 months and three months ended March 31, 2011, respectively. Net accounts receivable totaled \$290,997 as of March 31, 2011, representing approximately 49 days of net retail sales activity, and 84 days of net retail sales activity, at the average daily rate of sales recognized during the 12 months and three months ended March 31, 2011, respectively.

Current operating liabilities decreased \$1,865,176 during the twelve months ended March 31, 2011, principally because of reductions in accounts payable totaling \$1,847,862. In the prior year period, current operating liabilities decreased \$2,515,053, primarily because of reductions in accounts payable and accrued expenses. Accounts payable as of March 31, 2011 totaled \$1,506,841, representing approximately 38 days of daily expense activity, and 36 days of daily expense activity, at the average daily rate of expenses incurred during the 12 months and three months ended March 31, 2011, respectively.

Net investment activity provided a source of cash totaling \$154,240, principally because of the release of cash that was previously restricted to support letter of credit obligations. Net financing activity, including the issuance of convertible debt, the impact of the conversions of various short-term obligations to convertible debt, as well as the net reduction in other debt obligations, provided net cash of \$4,204,873 during Fiscal 2011, as compared to \$1,233,397 in the prior year.

As of March 31, 2011, we had a cash balance of \$518,618, of which \$162,837 was restricted as collateral for our various corporate obligations. This compares to a cash balance of \$693,444 as of March 31, 2010, of which \$443,862 was restricted.

We use, or have used, a variety of funding sources to meet our liquidity requirements:

#### First National Loan

On May 19, 2008, the Company and Jack J. Walker, then one of the Company's directors and now the Company's Chairman, acting as co-borrowers, entered into a Business Loan Agreement with First National Bank for a loan to the Company in a principal amount of up to \$1,000,000 (the "FNB Loan"). The FNB Loan had an initial maturity date of May 19, 2009, which was extended by various agreements between the Company and FNB.

Principal payments totaling \$408,439 were made by Mr. Walker against the FNB Loan during the loan term. The first \$150,000 of payments made by Mr. Walker was recorded by the Company as an offset to a \$150,000 receivable due from Mr. Walker. The remaining \$258,439 was recorded by the Company as promissory notes due to Mr. Walker,

which carried an interest rate of 20% per annum. On May 7, 2010, the Company paid \$50,000 in principal and \$4,603 in interest to Mr. Walker. On June 24, 2010, Mr. Walker converted \$206,406 of principal and accrued interest on the promissory notes into three year convertible promissory notes (the "Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes") issued by the Company, as further described below under the caption "Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes." On June 28, 2010, the Company paid \$17,109 in remaining principal and interest to Mr. Walker.

On May 24, 2010, the Company paid the remaining balance on the FNB Loan of \$511,647, including accrued interest, and the FNB Loan was terminated.

## Table of Contents

### Revolving Credit Facility

On June 23, 2008, the Company entered into a Loan and Security Agreement with FCC, LLC d/b/a First Capital (“FCC”) for a revolving credit facility (the “Revolving Credit Facility”) to fund working capital requirements. The Revolving Credit Facility had an initial termination date of June 23, 2010. As collateral for the Revolving Credit Facility, the Company granted FCC a first priority security interest over all of the Company’s assets, including, but not limited to, accounts receivable, inventory, and equipment. From time to time, Jack J. Walker, the Company’s Chairman, provided guarantees of up to a maximum of \$1.5 million of the Company’s obligations under the Revolving Credit Facility. Through the term of the Revolving Credit Facility, the Company, FCC and Mr. Walker, as guarantor, entered into various agreements to amend the terms of the Revolving Credit Facility.

On May 3, 2010, the Company, FCC, and Jack J. Walker, as guarantor, executed a Forbearance Agreement and Fifth Amendment (the “Fifth Amendment”) effective as of April 30, 2010. Under the Fifth Amendment, FCC agreed to forbear from exercising its rights and remedies with regard to the Company’s non-compliance with financial covenants until May 21, 2010, if no other defaults occur. The Fifth Amendment enabled the Company to borrow up to \$600,000 more than would otherwise be permitted by the applicable borrowing base calculation under the Revolving Credit Facility until the earlier of (i) the Company closing on a sale of subordinated secured convertible promissory notes, or (ii) the termination date of the forbearance period. In addition, the Fifth Amendment set the maximum borrowing under the Revolving Credit Facility at \$2,000,000 until such time as the Company closed on a sale of subordinated secured convertible promissory notes, at which time the maximum borrowing amount was required to be reduced to \$1,000,000. The Fifth Amendment provided for a continuation of the \$500 per day forbearance fee set forth in the Fourth Amendment, with such fees related to both the Fourth Amendment and Fifth Amendment to be payable on the earlier of (i) May 21, 2010 or (ii) the date on which loans under the Revolving Credit Facility were repaid in full.

On May 24, 2010, the Company paid in full, amounts due under the Revolving Credit Facility, and the Revolving Credit Facility was terminated.

During Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010, Mr. Walker was paid a fee of \$25,000 each year for guaranteeing the Company’s obligations under the Revolving Credit Facility.

### Main Power Promissory Note

On June 30, 2009, the Company entered into a Letter Agreement (“Letter Agreement”) with Main Power Electrical Factory, Ltd. (“Main Power”) and executed a Promissory Note. Pursuant to the terms of the Letter Agreement, Main Power agreed to release the Company from \$1,386,041 of existing obligations owed by the Company to Main Power in return for the execution of the Promissory Note for the same amount. In addition, the Letter Agreement included other provisions relating to the terms and conditions under which AeroGrow must purchase AeroGarden products from Main Power. The original Promissory Note had a final maturity of June 30, 2011, carried an interest rate of 8% per annum and called for principal payments of \$150,000 monthly beginning January 31, 2011, with a final payment of all principal and accrued but unpaid interest due on June 30, 2011.

Effective as of December 31, 2010, AeroGrow and Main Power entered into an agreement to amend various obligations owed by AeroGrow to Main Power. As part of the amendments, AeroGrow issued a new promissory note (the “Revised Main Power Note”) in the amount of \$2,162,046. The Revised Main Power Note retired and replaced the original Promissory Note, and also retired and replaced certain obligations totaling \$661,446 relating to raw material and finished goods inventory purchased and/or manufactured by Main Power on behalf of AeroGrow. The Revised Main Power Note has a final maturity of May 31, 2013, and carries an interest rate of 8% per annum. Interest and principal amounts are payable monthly, with total scheduled principal amortization of \$625,000 during calendar year 2011, \$1,075,000 during calendar year 2012, with the balance paid during calendar year 2013. As of March 31, 2011,

the outstanding balance under the Revised Main Power Note, including accrued interest, totaled \$2,103,600. Subsequent to the end of Fiscal 2011, AeroGrow fell behind on the scheduled payments because of its cash constraints and reached an informal arrangement with Main Power to defer payments for an unspecified period of time.

## Table of Contents

### Bridge Financing

On August 28 through September 1, 2009, the Company entered into bridge financing arrangements totaling \$500,000 (the “Bridge Loans”) with nine lenders who were directors or officers, or who had greater than a 10% beneficial ownership in the Company. The Bridge Loans were unsecured, subordinated to loans made to the Company by FCC, and accrued interest at 15% per annum. The Company issued 500,000 warrants to purchase common shares of the Company to the Lenders. Each of the warrants has a five-year term and an exercise price of \$0.25 per common share.

On May 6, 2010, \$430,466 of the Bridge Loans, inclusive of accrued interest, was converted into the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes issued by the Company, as further described below. In addition, on May 6, 2010, \$25,000 of the Bridge Loans was extended to a new maturity date of February 1, 2011. On June 24, 2010, an additional \$43,594 of the Bridge Loans, inclusive of accrued interest, was converted into the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes issued by the Company, as described below under the caption “Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes. On October 28, 2010, a payment of principal and interest totaling \$28,092 was made against the Bridge Loans.

On January 19, 2011, the Company paid the remaining balance on the Bridge Loans of \$28,945, including accrued interest, and the Bridge Loans were terminated.

Between October 30 and November 9, 2009, the Company entered into additional bridge financing arrangements totaling \$580,000 (the “Additional Bridge Loans”) with five lenders. Directors, officers, and shareholders with greater than a 10% beneficial ownership in the Company extended \$400,000 of the Additional Bridge Loans. The remaining \$180,000 in Additional Bridge Loans was guaranteed by Jack J. Walker, the Company’s Chairman. The Additional Bridge Loans were unsecured, subordinated to loans made to the Company by FCC, and accrued interest at 20% per annum. The Company issued 580,000 warrants to purchase common shares of the Company to the Additional Lenders. Each of the warrants has a five-year term and an exercise price of \$0.25 per common share.

On May 6, 2010, \$504,534 of the Additional Bridge Loans, inclusive of accrued interest, was converted into the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes issued by the Company, as described below under the caption “Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes.” Of this amount, \$379,534 was converted by directors, officers, and shareholders with greater than a 10% beneficial ownership in the Company. In addition, on May 6, 2010, \$50,000 of the Additional Bridge Loans was extended to a new maturity date of February 1, 2011. On June 1, 2010, a payment of principal and interest totaling \$74,390 was made against the Additional Bridge Loans. On October 28, 2010, an interest payment \$5,464 was made against the Additional Bridge Loans. On February 11, 2011, a payment of principal and interest totaling \$25,000 was made against the Additional Bridge Loans. As of March 31, 2011, \$38,837 in Additional Bridge Loans were outstanding and past due, including accrued interest.

### First Western Trust Credit Facilities

On May 21, 2010, the Company and First Western Trust Bank (“FWTB”) executed a business loan agreement and related promissory note (the “FWTB Line of Credit”). The FWTB Line of Credit provides for loans by FWTB to the Company of up to a maximum of \$2 million at any given time, subject to the Company maintaining an equivalent amount of cash on deposit in a restricted account at FWTB. Loans under the FWTB Line of Credit bear interest at a fixed rate of 2.0% per annum. In addition, the Company paid FWTB an origination fee of \$2,500. The terms and conditions of the FWTB Line of Credit include limitations on the Company incurring additional debt and paying dividends on the Company’s stock without the consent of FWTB. In the event of a default under the FWTB Line of Credit, FWTB has the option to declare any loans outstanding immediately due and payable. During the Fiscal 2011 there were no borrowings under the FWTB line of credit. On October 22, 2010 the FWTB Line of Credit was terminated.

On May 21, 2010, the Company, FWTB and Jack J. Walker, the Company's Chairman, as guarantor, executed a business loan agreement and related promissory note (the "FWTB Term Loan") for a four-year loan in an initial principal amount of \$1 million. The FWTB Term Loan is secured by a lien on the Company's assets. In addition, Mr. Walker provided a guaranty of all Company obligations relating to the FWTB Term Loan. The Company paid Mr. Walker \$50,000 as compensation for guaranteeing the FWTB Term Loan. The FWTB Term Loan bears interest at a fixed rate of 7.25% per annum and the Company paid a \$12,500 origination fee to FWTB. The Company will make equal monthly payments of principal/interest over the four-year term of the FWTB Term Loan, which has a final maturity date of May 21, 2014. The terms and conditions of the FWTB Term Loan include limitations on the Company incurring additional debt and paying dividends on the Company's stock without the consent of FWTB. In the event of a default under the FWTB Term Loan, FWTB has the option to declare the loan immediately due and payable. As of March 31, 2011, there was \$816,151 outstanding under the FWTB Term Loan, including accrued interest.

Table of Contents

Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes

Beginning in March 2010, the Company began a private offering of units comprising an aggregate of up to \$8.4 million of 8% Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes and warrants to purchase 84,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock (the "Warrants"). The Company used the proceeds from the private offering to invest in advertising and marketing programs to support its direct-to-consumer business, provide general working capital, pay commissions and expenses related to the private offering, and repay certain outstanding obligations. The issuance of the Units (as defined below), the Additional Units (as defined below), and the Final Units (as defined below) was conducted in reliance upon exemptions from registration requirements under the Securities Act, including, without limitation, those under Rule 506 of Regulation D (as promulgated under the Securities Act). The Units, Additional Units, and Final Units were offered and sold only to investors who are "accredited investors," as defined in Rule 501 of Regulation D under the Securities Act. Because the units have not been registered under the Securities Act, investors will not be able to sell their Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes (or the shares of the Company's common stock issuable upon conversion of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes or conversion of the Warrants) in the United States absent an effective registration statement or an applicable exemption from registration.

On May 6, 2010, the Company closed on the private sale of units (the "Units") comprising an aggregate of \$4,200,000 in 8% Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes and an aggregate of 42,000,000 Warrants. The Units were sold at a price equal to the face value of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes. Consideration for the Units sold comprised \$3,265,000 in cash and \$935,000 from the conversion of existing obligations of the Company into the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes.

On June 24, 2010, the Company closed on the private sale of additional units (the "Additional Units") comprising an aggregate of \$2,600,000 in 8% Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes and an aggregate of 26,000,000 Warrants. The Additional Units were sold at a price equal to the face value of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes. The Company also received a commitment to purchase an additional \$200,000 face value of Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes under the same terms and conditions as the Additional Units. Consideration for the Additional Units sold comprised \$2,300,000 in cash and \$300,000 from the conversion of existing obligations of the Company into the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes.

On September 17, 2010, the Company closed on the private sale of units (the "Final Units") comprising an aggregate of \$220,000 in 8% Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes and an aggregate of 2,200,000 Warrants. The Final Units were sold at a price equal to the face value of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes. Consideration for the Final Units comprised \$220,000 in cash.

On September 17, 2010, the Company and GVC Capital LLC, the Company's placement agent, terminated the private offering.

The Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes bear interest at 8% per year, payable quarterly in cash, additional Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes, or in registered common stock of the Company, at the option of the Company, and mature on May 6, 2013. The Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes can be converted into shares of the Company's common stock at any time, initially at a conversion price of \$0.10 per share (the "Conversion Price"). The Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes will automatically convert into shares of the Company's common stock in the event (i) there is an effective registration statement registering the resale under the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") of the underlying stock ("Conversion Shares") or the Conversion Shares are eligible to be resold without restriction or limitation under Rule 144 under the Securities Act, and (ii) the closing bid price of the Company's common stock as quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board or other principal trading market is at least \$0.25 per share for 20 out of 30 consecutive trading days with an average daily trading volume of at least one million shares. The Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes are secured by a subordinated lien on all assets of the Company.





Table of Contents

Each Warrant entitles the holder to purchase one share of the Company's common stock at a price of \$0.20 per share, and contains customary anti-dilution rights (for stock splits, stock dividends and sales of substantially all the Company's assets) and piggyback registration rights. The Warrants expire May 6, 2015.

In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, the Company recorded a \$6,980,400 debt discount on the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes because the combined value of the Warrants and the beneficial conversion feature (resulting because the market price of the Company's shares on the date of issuance was greater than the Conversion Price of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes) exceeded the amount of Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes issued. The amortization of the \$6,980,400 debt discount will be reported as additional interest expense and increases in long-term debt over the three-year term of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes.

During Fiscal 2011, \$604,591 of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes and accrued interest was converted into common stock. The remaining unamortized debt discount of \$452,922 related to the amount converted was charged to interest expense during the quarters in which the conversions took place. Amortization of the debt discount on the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes amounted to \$1,942,695 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011. As of March 31, 2011, the remaining unamortized discount on the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes was \$4,584,783.

The Company paid \$534,263 in placement agent fees and related expenses in connection with the issuance of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes. This amount was recognized as deferred financing costs on the Company's balance sheet. These costs will be amortized to expense over the three-year term of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes. In addition, the Company granted warrants to purchase the Company's common stock to the placement agent for its Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes (the "Placement Agent Warrants"). The Company granted 7,020,000 Placement Agent Warrants with an exercise price of \$0.10 per common share and 7,020,000 Placement Agent Warrants with an exercise price of \$0.20 per common share. The Placement Agent Warrants have a five year term expiring May 6, 2015 and contain a cashless exercise provision. The value of the Placement Agent Warrants has been recognized as \$1,518,600 in deferred financing cost on the Company's balance sheet, which will be amortized to expense over the three-year term of the Subordinated Convertible Notes. For Fiscal 2011, the amortized deferred financing costs relating to the Subordinated Convertible Notes totaled \$629,894.

As of October 31, 2010, the Company issued new Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes to pay interest accrued through that date (the "Interest Notes"). A total of \$228,763 in Interest Notes, including \$30,942 in related party interest notes, was issued. The Interest Notes have the same terms and conditions as the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes.

As of January 31, 2011, the Company issued new Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes to pay interest accrued through that date (the "Additional Interest Notes"). A total of \$140,622 in Additional Interest Notes, which includes \$21,318 in related party interest notes, were issued. The Additional Interest Notes have the same terms and conditions as the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes and Interest Notes. As of March 31, 2011, the outstanding balance under the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes, including accrued interest totaled \$2,299,500.

Table of Contents

Notes Payable - Credit Card Receipts-Backed Notes

On October 28, 2010 and November 5, 2010, the Company closed on the private sale of \$1.5 million in 15% secured convertible promissory notes, including \$450,000 in 15% related party secured convertible promissory notes, backed by a portion of the Company's prospective credit card receipts, (the "Credit Card Notes") and 5,000,000 warrants to purchase the Company's common stock (the "Credit Card Warrants") (collectively, the "Credit Card Offering"). Consideration for the Credit Card Offering comprised \$1.5 million in cash. Net cash proceeds to the Company after deducting a 2% sales commission (1% on company-referred investors) paid to GVC Capital LLC, the Company's placement agent, totaled \$1,474,500. In addition, the Company will pay a 3% deferred sales commission (2% on company-referred investors) to the placement agent concurrently with the repayment of principal of the Credit Card Notes.

The Company used the proceeds from the Credit Card Offering to invest in advertising and marketing programs to support its direct-to-consumer business, provide general working capital, pay commissions and expenses related to the private offering, and repay certain outstanding obligations. The issuance of the Credit Card Offering was conducted in reliance upon exemptions from registration requirements under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act"), including, without limitation, those under Rule 506 of Regulation D (as promulgated under the Securities Act). The Credit Card Offering was offered and sold to six (6) investors who are "accredited investors," as defined in Rule 501(a) of Regulation D under the Securities Act. Because the Credit Card Offering has not been registered under the Securities Act, the securities sold in the Offering, including the Credit Card Notes, Credit Card Warrants and shares of common stock underlying the Credit Card Notes and Warrants are "restricted securities" within the meaning of Rule 144 under the Securities Act, and investors will not be able to sell their Credit Card Notes (or the shares of the Company's common stock issuable upon conversion of the Credit Card Notes or exercise of the Credit Card Warrants) in the United States absent an effective registration statement or an applicable exemption from registration.

The Credit Card Notes bear interest at 15% per annum, have a final maturity of July 28, 2011, and can be converted at any time into common shares of the Company at a conversion price of \$0.18 per share. 20% of the Company's daily credit card receipts will be held in escrow with First Western Trust Bank under an Escrow and Account Control Agreement to fund bi-weekly payments of principal and interest to the investors in the Credit Card Offering.

Each Credit Card Warrant entitles the holder to purchase one share of the Company's common stock at a price of \$0.20 per share, and contains customary anti-dilution rights (for stock splits, stock dividends and sales of substantially all the Company's assets) and piggyback registration rights. The Warrants expire October 28, 2015.

The obligation of the Company to repay certain of the Credit Card Notes is severally guaranteed by Jack J. Walker, the Company's Chairman and CEO (up to \$500,000), J. Michael Wolfe, Chief Operating Officer (up to \$200,000) and H. MacGregor Clarke, Chief Financial Officer (up to \$100,000). The guarantors have executed a Contribution Agreement between and among themselves to enforce the limited several guaranties, and the Company has executed an Indemnity Agreement to hold harmless the guarantors from any future liability under the guaranties.

In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, the Company recorded a \$90,000 debt discount on the Credit Card Offering. The amortization of the \$90,000 debt discount will be reported as additional interest expense and increases in notes payable over the estimated payoff period of the Credit Card Notes.

Amortization of the debt discount on the Credit Card Notes amounted to \$71,498 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011. As of March 31, 2011, the remaining unamortized discount on the Credit Card Notes was \$18,502.

The Company incurred \$80,659 in paid and deferred placement agent fees and related expenses in connection with the issuance of the Credit Card Notes. This amount was recognized as deferred financing costs on the Company's balance

sheet. These costs will be amortized to interest expense over the estimated payoff period of the Credit Card Notes. In addition, for nominal consideration, the Company sold a total of 1,333,333 warrants to purchase the Company's common stock to the placement agent (the "Placement Agent Warrants"). 833,333 of the Placement Agent Warrants have an exercise price of \$0.18 per share of common stock. 500,000 of the Placement Agent Warrants have an exercise price of \$0.20 per share of common stock. The Placement Agent Warrants have a five-year term and contain a cashless exercise provision. The value of the Placement Agent Warrants has been recognized as \$30,000 in deferred financing cost on the Company's balance sheet, which will be amortized to interest expense over the estimated payoff period of the Credit Card Notes. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, the total amortized deferred financing costs relating to the Credit Card Offering totaled \$87,258.

On November 5, 2010 the Company and the placement agent terminated the private offering.

As of March 31, 2011, \$580,621 in Credit Card Notes was outstanding, including accrued interest.

## Table of Contents

### Cash Requirements

The Company generally requires cash to:

- fund our operations and working capital requirements,
- develop and execute our product development and market introduction plans,
  - execute our sales and marketing plans,
- fund research and development efforts, and
- pay for debt obligations as they come due.

At this time, we believe we will need to raise new capital to meet our cash requirements for the next twelve months. Assuming our current costs of operations remain relatively unchanged over the next several months, we believe that our present cash and cash equivalents, combined with our projected results from operations, may not be sufficient to fund our operations beyond the second fiscal quarter of the fiscal year ending March 31, 2012. Unless we are able to raise additional funds from our financing efforts prior to such time, we may not be able to support our operations and may be forced to cease operations, dissolve the company, or file for bankruptcy.

We cannot predict with certainty the cash and other ongoing operational requirements for our proposed plans as market conditions, competitive pressures, regulatory requirements, and customer requirements can change rapidly. If we are unable to raise new capital, or generate cash from operations at currently estimated levels, our ability to operate will be adversely impacted.

There can be no assurances we will be able to raise the capital we need to meet our cash requirements, or in sufficient amounts or during the timeframe necessary to support our operating needs. In such an event we would reduce the scale of our operations and take such actions as are available to us to reduce our cash requirements. However, there can be no assurances given that such actions would be successful or that we would be able to continue operations in the absence of new capital.

At this time, we do not expect to enter into additional capital leases to finance major purchases. In addition, we do not currently have any binding commitments with third parties to obtain any material amount of equity or debt financing other than the financing arrangements described in this report.

### Assessment of Future Liquidity and Results of Operations

#### Liquidity

To assess our ability to fund ongoing operating requirements, we developed assumptions regarding operating cash flow. Critical sources of funding, and key assumptions and areas of uncertainty include:

- our cash of \$518,618 (\$162,837 of which is restricted as collateral for our various corporate obligations) as of March 31, 2011,
- our cash of \$161,126 (\$37,329 of which is restricted as collateral for our various corporate obligations) as of August 11, 2011,
  - continued support of, and extensions of credit by, our suppliers and lenders,
- our historical pattern of increased sales between September and March, and lower sales volume from April through August,
  - the level of spending necessary to support our planned initiatives, and
- our sales to consumers, retailers, and international distributors, and the resulting cash flow from operations, which will depend in great measure on the success of the planned direct-to-consumer sales initiatives.

Based on these assumptions, we believe we will need to raise new capital to meet our cash requirements for the next twelve months. There can be no assurances we will be able to raise the capital we need to meet our cash requirements, or in sufficient amounts or during the timeframe necessary to support our operating needs. In such an event we would reduce the scale of our operations and take such actions as are available to us to reduce our cash requirements. However, there can be no assurances given that such actions would be successful or that we would be able to continue operations in the absence of new capital.

Table of Contents

## Results of Operations

There are several factors that could affect our future results of operations. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

- the effectiveness of our consumer marketing efforts in generating both direct-to-consumer sales, and sales to consumers by our retailer customer,
  - uncertainty regarding the impact of macroeconomic conditions on consumer spending,
- uncertainty regarding the capital markets and our access to sufficient capital to support our current and projected scale of operations,
- the seasonality of our business, in which we have historically experienced higher sales volume during the fall and winter months (September through March), and
  - a continued, uninterrupted supply of product from our third-party manufacturing suppliers in China.

During Fiscal 2010 and Fiscal 2011, we took a number of actions to address our liquidity issues. Specifically, we re-focused our efforts on building our direct-to-consumer business, which we believe carries higher margin opportunities than our retailer business. We also reduced the number of retailers that carry our products in order to focus on those retailers that have proven to be the best and most profitable business partners. During Fiscal 2010 we issued approximately \$6.7 million of convertible preferred stock to re-capitalize the Company, restructured the amounts and payment timing of certain of our accounts payable, and reduced the amount of interest-bearing debt outstanding. Furthermore, during Fiscal 2011 we issued \$7,020,000 in Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes and \$1.5 million in Credit Card Notes (as described above). Despite these efforts, we believe we will need to raise new capital to meet our cash requirements for the next twelve months. There can be no assurances we will be able to raise the capital we need to meet our cash requirements, or in sufficient amounts or during the timeframe necessary to support our operating needs. In such an event we would reduce the scale of our operations and take such actions as are available to us to reduce our cash requirements. However, there can be no assurances given that such actions would be successful or that we would be able to continue operations in the absence of new capital.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have current commitments under capital leases and have not entered into any contracts for financial derivative such as futures, swaps, and options.

## Obligations and Commitments

As part of our ongoing operations, we enter into arrangements that obligate us to make future payments under contracts, such as leases and the timing and effect that such commitments are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flow in future periods. The following is a summary of these obligations as of March 31, 2011.

	Less than 1 year	1 -3 years	More than 3 years	Total
Operating Leases	\$ 366,115	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 366,115
Notes payable and long-term debt	1,674,274	8,701,429	47,788	10,423,491
Totals:	\$ 2,040,389	\$ 8,701,429	\$ 47,788	\$ 10,789,606

\

See Note 2 and Note 6 to our consolidated financial statements for additional information related to our notes payable and long term debt and operating leases, respectively.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest Rate Risk

Our interest income is most sensitive to fluctuations in the general level of U.S. interest rates. As such, changes in U.S. interest rates affect the interest earned on our cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments, and the value of those investments. Due to the short-term nature of our cash equivalents and investments, we have concluded that a change in interest rates does not pose a material market risk to us with respect to our interest income. Our debt carries fixed interest rates and therefore changes in the general level of market interest rates will not impact our interest expense during the terms of our existing debt arrangements.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

We transact business in primarily in U.S. currency. Although we purchase our products in U.S. dollars, the prices charged by our China factories are predicated upon their cost for components, labor and overhead. Therefore, changes in the valuation of the U.S. dollar in relation to the Chinese currency may cause our manufacturers to raise prices of our products which could reduce our profit margins.

In future periods, it is possible that we could be exposed to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates on accounts receivable from sales and net monetary assets denominated in foreign currencies and liabilities. To date, however, virtually all of our transactions have been denominated in U.S. dollars.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Our financial statements appear in a separate section at the end of this Annual Report. Such information is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2011. In making this assessment, the Company's management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations ("COSO") of the Treadway Commission's Internal Control-Integrated Framework.

Based on our assessment, management has concluded that, as of March 31, 2011, the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective based on those criteria.



## Table of Contents

### Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal controls over financial reporting for the Company. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the company's board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

- Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company;
  - Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and
  - Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failure. Internal control over financial reporting can also be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk.

### Attestation Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

This Annual Report does not include an attestation report of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the SEC that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this Annual Report.

### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter of fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 that have or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the previously mentioned evaluation.

## ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

On April 12, 2011, the Company entered into a Distribution and Licensing Agreement with AG Worldwide, LLC and Cyrano Partners, LLC (“Cyrano”) pursuant to which AG Worldwide began sales of AeroGrow products into the network marketing sales channel. Also on April 12, 2011, the Company entered into a Transaction Agreement with Cyrano to form a joint venture to pursue the network marketing sales channel, subject to the achievement of certain conditions precedent, including an obligation on the part of Cyrano to raise the capital necessary to fund the joint venture. Cyrano was unable to satisfy the funding condition precedent and, effective as of August 5, 2011, the Distribution and Licensing Agreement and the Transaction Agreement were terminated.

Table of Contents

## PART III

## ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following sets forth certain information with respect to the executive officers and significant employees of the Company, as of the filing date of this report. All officers and employees of the Company serve at the pleasure of the Board or until their successors are elected and qualified.

Name	Age	Position with AeroGrow
J. Michael Wolfe	53	President and Chief Executive Officer
Jack J. Walker	77	Chairman of the Board
H. MacGregor Clarke	50	Chief Financial Officer and Director
John K. Thompson	50	SVP, Sales & Marketing

J. Michael Wolfe, age 53, has been a director since February 2011. Mr. Wolfe became our Chief Operating Officer in January 2010, our President on February 9, 2011, and our Chief Executive Officer on March 31, 2011. He previously served as Vice President of Operations since April 2006. Prior to joining AeroGrow, Mr. Wolfe was an independent consultant. From 1992 to 2002, he was President and COO of Concepts Direct and was its CEO from 2000 to 2001. At Concepts Direct, Mr. Wolfe oversaw the development, launch and operations of seven independent catalogs. From 1987 to 1992, Mr. Wolfe served as Vice President of database management company Wiland Services, Inc, where he oversaw the redesign of the company's product line, its sales and investor relations. Mr. Wolfe's leadership experience, combined with his extensive direct response marketing background, his executive experience at a variety of direct response companies, and his knowledge of AeroGrow's history and business, led the Board to conclude that he should serve as a director.

Jack J. Walker, age 77, has been a director since February 2006, and became Chairman of the Board in July 2008. Mr. Walker served as President from January 2010 until February 9, 2011 and as our Chief Executive Officer from January 2010 until March 31, 2011. Mr. Walker has been the Managing Member of Walker Enterprises LLLP, and its predecessors, a real estate investment and development company, since 1980. During that time he has developed in excess of \$500 million of commercial real estate in California, Colorado, Arizona and Texas. He serves on the Board of Pathogen Systems, Inc. and is an advisor to several start-up companies. Mr. Walker is an English Solicitor and began his career in 1956 in London, England. In 1968, Mr. Walker founded English & Continental Property Company, and served as Joint Managing Director of this commercial property development company, which operated in Europe with over 200 staff, until its sale to the Post Office Pension Fund in 1973. From 1973, Mr. Walker controlled several English listed companies, including Charles Spreckly Industries, Town & Commercial Properties and Associated Development Holdings, with worldwide interests and over 3,500 employees. Mr. Walker served as a director of supermarket group Megafoods Stores, Inc. from 1987 to 1993, and was Chief Financial Officer for part of that time. Mr. Walker serves as a director of and advisor to various civic and charitable organizations. Mr. Walker's extensive executive leadership experience, combined with his international and entrepreneurial business background and broad range of knowledge of AeroGrow's history and business, among other factors, led the Board to conclude that he should serve as a Director and Chief Executive Officer.

H. MacGregor Clarke, age 50, has been a director since July 2009. Mr. Clarke became our Chief Financial Officer in May 2008. From 2007 to 2008, Mr. Clarke was President and Chief Executive Officer, and from 2006 to

2007, Chief Financial Officer, of Ankmar, LLC, a garage door manufacturer, distributor and installer. From 2003 to 2006, Mr. Clarke was a senior investment banker with FMI Corporation, a management consulting and investment banking firm serving the building and construction industry. At FMI Corporation, Mr. Clarke was responsible for delivering consulting and investment banking services to clients, and for marketing to prospective clients in the financial services industry. From 1997 to 2002, Mr. Clarke served as an operating group Chief Financial Officer, then Vice President and General Manager for Johns Manville Corporation, a subsidiary of Berkshire Hathaway Inc. Mr. Clarke also served as Vice President, Corporate Treasurer, and international division Chief Financial Officer for The Coleman Company, Inc. Prior to Coleman, Mr. Clarke was with PepsiCo, Inc. for over nine years and served in a range of financial roles, including Director of Corporate Strategic Planning, where he led strategy and planning related to the worldwide beverage sector. Mr. Clarke's extensive financial and executive experience, in particular his prior service as an executive officer of four companies, among other factors, led the Board to conclude that he should serve as a director.

John K. Thompson, age 50, became Senior Vice President of Sales and Marketing on January 14, 2010. Mr. Thompson joined AeroGrow in 2002 and has served in a variety of senior management positions at the Company, including his most recent position as Vice President of Marketing, which he assumed in October 2009. Mr. Thompson also served as the Company's International Division General Manager and Vice President of Investor Relations, and was instrumental in the research activities leading up to the development and launch of the Company's AeroGarden product line. Prior to joining AeroGrow, Mr. Thompson was Director of Marketing for Productivity Point International, a direct marketing and direct sales company, and Sales and Marketing Manager for CareerTrack, a direct marketing company that sold personal and professional growth products to the consumer and commercial markets.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS

Our Board oversees the management of AeroGrow on your behalf. Among other things, the Board reviews our long-term strategic plans and exercises direct decision-making authority on key issues, including the appointment of our executive officers and setting the scope of their authority in managing AeroGrow's day-to-day operations. Our Board is currently comprised of Jack J. Walker (Chairman), Michael S. Barish, H. MacGregor Clarke, Michael D. Dingman, Jr., and J. Michael Wolfe. Biographical information about Messrs. Walker, Clarke and Wolfe is contained above under the caption heading "Executive Officers." Biographical information for Messrs. Barish and Dingman is presented below.

Michael S. Barish, age 71, has been a director since July 2009. Mr. Barish co-founded Lazarus Investment Partners LLLP, a private investment partnership focused on microcap stocks, in 2003, and served as the fund's Chief Investment Officer until his retirement on June 30, 2009. In 1973, Mr. Barish founded Cambiar Investors and grew the firm's assets from less than \$1 million in 1973 to over \$2.3 billion upon his retirement in 2001. Mr. Barish's experience as a chief investment officer, particularly his specialized focus on microcap stocks, led the Board to conclude that he should serve as a director.

Michael D. Dingman, Jr., age 57, has been a director since July 2008. Mr. Dingman served as Chief Investment Administration Officer for Spencer Trask & Co, a venture capital firm based in New York City, from April 2008 through March 2009, where he was responsible for restructurings, recapitalizations, and the development and implementation of strategies to enhance the value and liquidity of individual portfolio companies. From June 2006 to July 2007, Mr. Dingman was Chief Financial Officer of Local Matters, Inc., a pre-IPO software and media services company supporting yellow pages and delivery assistance providers, where he was responsible for the financial and capital markets strategies, budgeting, and forecasting. From September 2000 until April 2006, Mr. Dingman served as the Chief Financial Officer of Intrado Inc., a provider of 911 information services and systems to telecommunications companies, where he was responsible for budgeting, forecasting, investor relations, capital market and financial strategy development and all aspects of the accounting/financial reporting functions. Prior to joining Intrado, from March 1999 to August 2000, Mr. Dingman was the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Internet Commerce and Communication (formerly RMI NET, Inc.). Mr. Dingman also served as a director of Wheeling-Pittsburgh Corporation from October 2003 to December 2006 and of Whereify Wireless, Inc. from March 2006 to August 2006. Mr. Dingman's prior work experience includes five years of banking in merger and acquisitions with Lazard Freres in New York during the late 1980s, three years as an independent consultant specializing in debt restructuring and workouts during the early 1990s, and five years as an investment advisor specializing in corporate retirement plans and high-net-worth accounts. Mr. Dingman's financial and managerial experience, in particular his prior service as chief financial officer of three companies, among other factors, led the Board to conclude that he should serve as a director.

## Table of Contents

### Board Committees and Meetings

We have established two standing committees so that certain matters can be addressed in more depth than may be possible in a full Board meeting: an Audit Committee and a Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee. The two committees each operate under a written charter.

**Audit Committee.** The current members of our Audit Committee are Mr. Dingman (chairman), Mr. Barish, and Mr. Walker. The members were elected to the committee, and the chairman was appointed, by the Board. The Board has determined that Messrs. Dingman and Barish are considered “audit committee financial experts,” as defined by Item 407(d)(5)(ii) of Regulation S-K, due to their extensive financial background and experience (as summarized in the biographical information for Messrs. Dingman and Barish disclosed above). The Board has affirmatively determined that Mr. Dingman is an independent director as defined by applicable securities law and NASDAQ corporate governance guidelines. Due to Mr. Barish’s position as a significant stockholder of AeroGrow, he is not an independent director. The Audit Committee’s charter provides that the committee shall:

- oversee the accounting and financial reporting processes and audits of the financial statements;
- assist the Board with oversight of the integrity of our financial statements, the Company’s compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, its independent auditors’ qualifications and independence, and the performance of the independent auditors; and
  - provide the Board with the results of its monitoring.

**Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee.** The current members of the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee are Mr. Walker (chairman), Mr. Barish, and Mr. Dingman. The Board has affirmatively determined that Mr. Dingman is an independent director as defined by applicable securities law and NASDAQ corporate governance guidelines. The members were elected to the committee, and the chairman was appointed, by the Board. The Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee’s charter provides that the committee shall:

- recommend to the Board the corporate governance guidelines to be followed;
  - review and recommend the nomination of Board members;
- set the compensation for the chief executive officer and other officers; and
- administer the equity-based performance compensation plans of AeroGrow.

The Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee does not have a formal policy concerning stockholder recommendations to the Board of Directors and we did not receive any recommendations from stockholders requesting that the Board consider a candidate for inclusion as a nominee in this Information Statement.. The Committee has determined that it is appropriate to not have such a policy given the infrequency of such recommendations. The absence of such a policy does not mean, however, that a recommendation would not have been considered had one been received. The Committee would consider any candidate proposed in good faith by a stockholder on the same basis as a candidate proposed directly by the Board. To do so, a stockholder should send the candidate’s name, credentials, contact information, and his or her consent to be considered as a candidate to the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee, c/o Corporate Secretary, AeroGrow International, Inc., 6075 Longbow Drive, Boulder, Colorado, 80301. The proposal should be received by the due date for a stockholder proposal, as set forth below under the caption heading “Submission of Stockholder Proposals,” in order to be considered timely for consideration at the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or, in lieu of an annual meeting, for

an action by written consent of the stockholders. The proposing stockholder should also include his or her contact information and a statement of his or her share ownership (how many shares owned and for how long).

## Table of Contents

In evaluating director nominees, the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee considers the appropriate skills and personal characteristics needed in light of the makeup of the current Board, including considerations of character, background, professional experience, education, skill, qualifications for committee membership, independence, race, gender, national origin, differences in viewpoint, and other individual qualities and attributes. Other than the foregoing, there are no stated minimum criteria for director nominees, although the Committee may also consider such other factors as it may deem are in the best interests of the AeroGrow and its stockholders. The Committee does, however, believe it is appropriate for a member or members of AeroGrow's management to participate as members of the Board.

The Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee identifies nominees by first evaluating the current members of the Board willing to continue in service. Current members of the Board with skills and experience that are relevant to our business and who are willing to continue in service are considered for re-nomination. If any member of the Board does not wish to continue in service or if the Board decides not to re-nominate a member for re-election, the Committee then identifies the desired skills and experience of a new nominee in light of the criteria above. Current members of the Board would be polled for suggestions as to individuals meeting the criteria described above. The Committee may also engage in research to identify qualified individuals. To date, we have not engaged third parties to identify or evaluate or assist in identifying potential nominees, although we reserve the right in the future to retain a third party search firm, if appropriate.

**Meetings.** During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 the Board held five meetings. Each director attended all of the meetings held by the Board during the period that he or she served as a director of AeroGrow, except Jervis Perkins, who was unable to attend two meetings. Also during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, the Audit Committee held six meetings and the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee held three meetings.

The Company encourages all incumbent directors, as well as all nominees for election as director, to attend the annual stockholder meetings, but they are not required to do so. We did not hold an annual meeting last year.

## Code of Ethics

The Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Ethics to provide guidance to all of our directors, officers and employees, including our principal executive officer, principal financial and accounting officers, and persons performing similar functions. The Code of Ethics is posted on our website at [www.aerogrow.com](http://www.aerogrow.com), and may be found by clicking on "Investors" and then "Code of Ethics." We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirement under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding an amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of this code of ethics by posting such information on our Website, at the address and location specified above.

## Board Structure and Risk Oversight

Jack J. Walker serves as the Chairman of the Board and J. Michael Wolfe serves as President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Walker is the largest single investor in AeroGrow and his financial support has been instrumental in allowing AeroGrow to persevere through a very difficult economic period. He is involved in helping set the strategic direction for the Company. Mr. Wolfe has responsibility for the day-to-day operations of the Company, as well as for helping set its strategic directions.

Our Board has overall responsibility for risk oversight. Throughout the year, the Board dedicates a portion of their meetings to review and discuss specific risk topics in greater detail. Strategic and operational risks are presented and discussed in the context of the President's report on operations to the board of directors at regularly scheduled board meetings and at presentations to the board of directors by our other employees and consultants. The Boards' risk oversight process builds upon management's risk assessment and mitigation processes. The small size of AeroGrow



allows our board of directors to develop in-depth knowledge of different facets of the business. This in-depth knowledge, coupled with exposure to and frequent communication with our management, assists the board of directors in performing its oversight responsibilities, including risk management, in an effective manner.

Table of Contents

Communications with the Board of Directors

Stockholders and other interested parties may communicate with the Board or any individual director, by writing to:  
AeroGrow International, Inc.  
Attention: Board of Directors  
c/o Corporate Secretary  
6075 Longbow Drive, Suite 200  
Boulder, Colorado 80301

If the letter is from a stockholder, the letter should state that the sender is a stockholder. Under a process approved by the Board, depending on the subject matter, management will:

- forward the letter to the director or directors to whom it is addressed; or
- attempt to handle the matter directly (as where information about the Company or its stock is requested); or
- not forward the letter if it is primarily commercial in nature or relates to an improper or irrelevant topic.

A summary of all relevant communications that are received after the last meeting of the full Board and which are not forwarded will be presented at each Board meeting along with any specific communication requested by a director.

All communications will be handled in a confidential manner, to the degree the law allows. Communications may be made on an anonymous basis; however, in these cases the reporting individual must provide sufficient details for the matter to be reviewed and resolved. The Company will not tolerate any retaliation against an employee who makes a good faith report.

**SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE**

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) requires our executive officers and directors, and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock (herein collectively, our “Section 16 insiders”) to file with the SEC certain forms reporting their ownership and changes in beneficial ownership of our common stock and other equity with the SEC, and to furnish us with copies of these filings.

To our knowledge, based solely upon a review of the copies of such forms furnished to us and written representations that no other reports were required, we believe that, during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010, all such filings required to be made by our Section 16 insiders were timely filed in accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, with the following exceptions. Messrs. Walker and Wolfe invested in the Company’s Convertible Notes on October 31, 2010 and again on January 31, 2011; their Form 4s were filed late on December 10, 2010 and March 15, 2011, respectively. Lazarus Investment Partners LLLP invested in Units comprised of the Company’s Convertible Notes and Warrants on May 6, 2010 and again on June 24, 2010; Lazarus’ Form 4s were filed late on June 15, 2010 and July 19, 2010, respectively.

Information concerning Section 16(a) beneficial ownership reporting compliance appearing in the Information Statement under “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance,” is incorporated herein by reference.

## Table of Contents

### ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

#### Compensation Philosophy

The Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee of our Board is responsible for guiding and overseeing the formulation and application of the compensation and benefit programs for our executive officers and our directors. A description of compensation for our non-employee directors is included above under the caption “Corporate Governance and Board Matters -- Director Compensation.” The Committee acts pursuant to a charter that has been approved by our Board.

The Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee believes that the most effective compensation program is one that is designed to reward the achievement of specific annual, long-term, and strategic goals by AeroGrow, and which aligns executives’ interests with those of the stockholders by rewarding performance above established goals, with the ultimate objective of increasing stockholder value. The Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee evaluates both performance and compensation to ensure that AeroGrow maintains its ability to attract and retain superior employees in key positions and that compensation provided to key employees remains competitive relative to the compensation paid to similarly situated executives of our peer companies. Accordingly, the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee believes executive compensation packages provided by AeroGrow to its executives, including the executive officers, should include salary compensation, annual cash incentives based on fundamental measures of financial performance, and longer-term stock-based compensation.

We compensate our executives through a mix of base salary, bonus, and equity compensation designed to be competitive with comparable employers and to align management’s incentives with the long-term interests of our stockholders. In making compensation decisions, the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee, may compare certain elements of total compensation against other comparable publicly traded and privately held companies that compete in our markets. However, the Committee did not use any peer group or benchmarking data in determining executive compensation during Fiscal 2011. Rather, the Committee’s primary focus was on liquidity issues facing the Company and the compensation amounts that the Company could reasonably afford to pay. A significant percentage of total compensation is allocated to incentive compensation as a result of the philosophy mentioned above. There is no pre-established policy or target for the allocation between either cash and non-cash or short-term and long-term incentive compensation. Rather, the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee reviews information such as that referenced above with respect to the Compensation Peers to determine the appropriate level and mix of incentive compensation. Income from such incentive compensation is realized as a result of the performance of AeroGrow or the individual, depending on the type of award.

#### Compensation Process

Generally, base salaries and annual incentive awards will be reviewed at the end of each fiscal year with changes made to the base salaries effective April 1 of the following fiscal year. Whether an individual’s salaries and incentive awards are increased or decreased depends on the individual’s performance as well as that of the Company.

Stock options and other stock grants are reviewed and approved at meetings of the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee and the full Board. By establishing the meeting schedule and agenda for these grants in advance, AeroGrow diminishes any opportunity for manipulation of exercise prices on option grants to the extent any recipients are in possession of non-public information at the time of the meetings. Approval of grants for any newly hired or promoted executives during the course of the year generally occurs at the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee’s meeting immediately following the hiring or promotion.

#### Role of Executive Officers in Compensation Decisions

The Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee makes all compensation decisions for the executive officers and approves recommendations regarding equity awards to all elected officers of AeroGrow. The Chief Executive Officer annually reviews the performance of each Named Executive Officer (other than the Chief Executive Officer, whose performance is reviewed by disinterested members of the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee). “Named Executive Officers” are the Company’s (i) Chief Executive Officer; (ii) former Chief Executive Officer; and (iii) other two most highly compensated executive officers based on SEC regulations. Compensation ranges for our Named Executive Officers are based on the individual’s experience and prior performance, as well as AeroGrow’s operating performance. The conclusions reached and recommendations based on these reviews, including with respect to salary adjustments and annual award amounts, are presented to the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee. The Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee can exercise its discretion in modifying any recommended adjustments or awards to executives.

## Table of Contents

### Components of Total Compensation

In Fiscal 2011, the principal components of compensation for executive officers were:

- base salary;
- annual incentive compensation;
- stock options; and
- benefits and other perquisites.

Each component is designed to achieve a specific purpose and to contribute to a total package that is competitive, appropriately performance-based, and valued by AeroGrow's executives.

### Base Salaries

AeroGrow provides executive officers and other employees with base salary to compensate them for services rendered during the fiscal year. Base salary ranges for executive officers are determined for each executive based on his or her position and responsibility. During its review of base salaries for executives, the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee primarily considers:

- individual scope of responsibility;
- years of experience;
- market data, such as that obtained from a review of other similarly situated companies;
- internal review of the executive's compensation, both individually and relative to other officers; and
- individual performance of the executive.

Salary levels are typically considered annually as part of AeroGrow's performance review process as well as upon a promotion or other change in job responsibility. Mr. Walker, our former Chief Executive Officer, refused to accept any salary or bonus compensation since his appointment in January 2010 until his resignation on March 31, 2011, in part due to the Company's recent liquidity issues.

### Performance-Based Annual Incentive Compensation

Though markets dictate that base salaries must be competitive, AeroGrow is moving towards basing a greater proportion of its executive compensation on the achievement of measurable individual and company results through the award of annual incentive bonuses. These bonuses are often tied to performance against the Company's EBITDA objectives. By increasing variable pay as a percentage of total compensation, the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee believes that executive compensation will be more aligned with value delivered to its stockholders. This limits fixed costs and also results in higher pay occurring only in years when merited by high performance. In July 2009, the Company paid an aggregate of \$95,000 in cash bonuses to its Named Executive Officers that were attributable to performance during Fiscal 2009. The Company has not paid any cash bonuses that are attributable to performance in Fiscal 2010 or Fiscal 2011.

### Long Term Stock-based Compensation

This category of awards covers options granted to executives out of the Company's 2005 Equity Compensation Program, and that vest over time, at different rates for different executives. Because these awards vest over time and become more valuable to the recipient only as AeroGrow's stock price increases, the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee believes these are a useful form of long-term incentive compensation, with the potential to directly align the interests of shareholders and management. During Fiscal 2011, we issued options to purchase 8,890,000 shares of common stock with exercise prices ranging from \$0.07 to \$0.14 per share under the 2005 Plan. In addition, we issued 700,000 restricted shares of common stock to an investor relations advisory firm during Fiscal 2011. For more details about outstanding stock options held by our Named Executive Officers, please refer to the table below entitled "Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End."

Table of Contents

Liquidity Performance Award Plan

In July 2009 the Board requested and received the consent of the covered executives to terminate the Liquidity Performance Award Plan, which provided compensation to certain executives in the event of a sale of the Company if specific conditions were met.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

2003 Stock Option Plan

On January 3, 2003, our Board adopted a stock option plan (the “2003 Plan”) for key employees (including key employees who are directors), non-employee directors, consultants, and investors. An aggregate of 400,000 shares of our common stock were available for grants under the 2003 Plan. Upon shareholder approval on February 23, 2006, the 2003 Plan was merged into the 2005 Equity Compensation Plan (as defined below) and ceased to exist separately. The 195,131 options still available for grants under the 2003 Plan as of that date were contributed to the 2005 Equity Compensation Plan. The options for the 204,869 shares originally issued under the 2003 Plan continue to be governed by their grant agreements but are administered under the 2005 Equity Compensation Plan. All grants under the 2003 Plan were fully vested as of December 2005.

Administration. The 2003 Plan was administered by our Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee, and in the past was administered by the Board. The plan provided that it could be administered by either the committee or Board, and in its administration it could:

- select participants,
- determine the date of grant, exercise price and other terms of options,
- establish rules and regulations to administer the plan,
- amend, suspend, or discontinue the plan subject to applicable shareholder approval,
- interpret the rules relating to the plan, and
- otherwise administer the plan.

2005 Equity Compensation Plan

In August 2005 we adopted the 2005 Equity Compensation Plan (the “2005 Plan”) to promote our interests and the interests of our shareholders by attracting, retaining, and motivating our key officers, employees, directors, and consultants. For more detailed information regarding the 2005 Plan, see “Action 2 – Approval of an Amendment to the 2005 Equity Compensation Plan to Authorize an Additional 10,000,000 Shares for Issuance under the Plan” above.

Table of Contents

The following table provides information about common stock that may be issued under our prior and existing equity compensation plans (the 2003 Plan and the 2005 Plan) pursuant to all outstanding awards as of March 31, 2011.

Equity Compensation Plan Information  
As of March 31, 2011

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance
Equity compensation plans	11,119,756	\$ 0.12	1,662,639
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	--	\$--	--
<b>Total</b>	<b>11,119,756</b>	<b>\$ 0.12</b>	<b>1,662,639</b>

At March 31, 2011, we had granted options for 7,425,815 shares of our common stock that are unvested and that will result in \$441,707 of compensation expense in future periods, if fully vested.

#### Executives and Employment Arrangements

The following discussion and table relate to compensation arrangements on behalf of, and compensation paid by us during Fiscal 2011, to our Named Executive Officers who were employed by the Company as of March 31, 2011.

##### Employment Contracts

We have entered into employment agreements with J. Michael Wolfe, H. MacGregor Clarke, and John K. Thompson, but Jack J. Walker's employment was not governed by a contract.

##### Jack J. Walker

Mr. Walker was employed as the Chief Executive Officer of the Company from January 2010 until March 31, 2011. He has served as on the Board of Directors since February 2006 and as Chairman of the Board since July 2008. Mr. Walker did not receive cash compensation nor does he have an annual incentive bonus. Instead, Mr. Walker was granted options to purchase 1,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock on December 8, 2010. The options have an exercise price of \$0.08 per share, which was the price at market close on the date of grant. The options vest quarterly over a two-year period. While Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Walker received medical benefits commensurate with the policies and programs adopted by us for our senior executives. For Fiscal 2011, the options granted for his service as Chief Executive Officer created an expense of \$60,000 in equity compensation expense for the Company during Fiscal 2011.

##### J. Michael Wolfe

The employment agreement for Mr. Wolfe, dated as of February 9, 2009 (the "Wolfe Agreement"), provides that he will be employed as the Vice President of Operations of the Company. The Wolfe Agreement did not change when he assumed his new position as President and Chief Executive Officer. He must devote his entire business time to the affairs of the Company. The initial term was until April 1, 2010 and renewable for successive one year terms. Mr. Wolfe is entitled to receive base compensation of \$175,000 per year and an annual bonus of 1.5% of the EBITDA of the Company as determined by our annual financial statements and pro-rated for any portion of such annual period covered under the Wolfe Agreement. Mr. Wolfe is eligible to receive grants under the 2005 Equity Compensation



Plan and such options will: (i) vest pursuant to a schedule that provides for vesting of at least of 50% of the amount of the grant per each 12-month period from the date of grant; (ii) not expire in less than five years from the date of grant; (iii) be subject to other standard terms and conditions under the 2005 Equity Compensation Plan; and (iv) shall have other terms and conditions no less favorable than that granted to other senior executives of the Company. The Wolfe Agreement also provides for medical, vacation, and other benefits commensurate with the policies and programs adopted by us for our senior executives. If Mr. Wolfe is terminated without cause by us or Mr. Wolfe terminates under certain circumstances constituting a breach of the agreement by us, Mr. Wolfe shall be entitled to receive severance compensation equivalent to six months base salary and a pro rata bonus. In addition, if Mr. Wolfe is terminated in the event of a change in control of AeroGrow, including a change in chief executive officer, Mr. Wolfe shall be entitled to receive severance equal to his base salary for one year. At the time of his hire, on March 28, 2006, Mr. Wolfe was granted 125,000 fully vested five-year options to purchase AeroGrow common stock under our 2005 Equity Compensation Plan at an exercise price of \$5.00. On March 4, 2009, Mr. Wolfe was granted five-year options to purchase 175,000 shares of AeroGrow's common stock under the Company's 2005 Equity Compensation Plan. The options have an exercise price of \$0.18 per share which was the price at market close on the date of grant. The options vest quarterly over a two-year period. On September 10, 2009, Mr. Wolfe was granted five-year options to purchase 50,000 shares of AeroGrow's common stock under the 2005 Equity Compensation Plan. The options have an exercise price of \$0.12 per share, which was the price at market close on the date of grant, and vest quarterly over a two-year period. Also on September 10, 2009, the exercise prices of 125,000 options, previously granted to Mr. Wolfe on March 28, 2006, were adjusted to \$0.12 per share. On June 23, 2010, Mr. Wolfe was granted five-year options to purchase 100,000 shares of AeroGrow's common stock under the Company's 2005 Equity Compensation Plan. The options have an exercise price of \$0.137 per share, which was the price at market close on the date of grant. The options vest quarterly over a two-year period. On December 8, 2010, Mr. Wolfe was granted five-year options to purchase 2,500,000 shares of AeroGrow's common stock under the Company's 2005 Equity Compensation Plan. The options have an exercise price of \$0.08 per share, which was the price at market close on the date of grant. The options vest quarterly over a two-year period. For Fiscal 2011, the Company paid Mr. Wolfe \$200,500 in cash compensation and expensed \$160,000 in equity compensation.

Table of Contents

## H. MacGregor Clarke

The employment agreement for Mr. Clarke, dated as of May 23, 2008 (the “Clarke Agreement”), provides that he will be employed as the Chief Financial Officer of the Company. He must devote all of his business time to the affairs of the Company. The initial term is one year ending May 23, 2009, and renewable for successive one year terms. Mr. Clarke is entitled to receive base compensation of \$200,000 per year and an annual bonus of not less than 1.5% EBITDA of the Company, as determined by our annual financial statements and prorated for any portion of such annual period covered under the Clarke Agreement. Mr. Clarke is entitled to reimbursement for car expenses at the rate of \$750 per month. The Clarke Agreement also provides for medical, vacation, and other benefits commensurate with the policies and programs as adopted by us for our senior executives. If the Company terminates the employment of Mr. Clarke without cause (as determined under the Clarke Agreement), then Mr. Clarke will be entitled to receive his base salary for 12 months following the date of termination, and a prorated portion of his annual cash bonus. Further, pursuant to the Clarke Agreement, Mr. Clarke was granted five year options to purchase our common stock under the 2005 Equity Compensation Plan on three different dates. The first grant was for 30,000 fully vested options to purchase the common stock of the Company on June 1, 2008 at an exercise price of \$2.60 per share. On July 1, 2008, Mr. Clarke was granted 60,000 options to purchase our common stock at an exercise price of \$2.07 per share. The vesting schedule for these options is 50% as of December 1, 2008 and 50% as of June 1, 2009. The final grant of options per the Clarke Agreement was on October 1, 2008. The grant was for 60,000 options to purchase common stock of the Company at an exercise price of \$2.86 per share with a vesting schedule of 50% on December 1, 2009 and 50% on June 1, 2010. The exercise prices of these options are equal to the price of the Company’s common stock at market close on the dates of grant. On March 4, 2009, Mr. Clarke was granted five-year options to purchase 200,000 shares of AeroGrow’s common stock under the Company’s 2005 Equity Compensation Plan. The options have an exercise price of \$0.18 per share, which was the price at market close on the date of grant. The options vest quarterly over a two-year period. On September 10, 2009, Mr. Clarke was granted five-year options to purchase 50,000 shares of AeroGrow’s common stock under the 2005 Equity Compensation Plan. The options have an exercise price of \$0.12 per share, which was the price at market close on the date of grant, and vest quarterly over a two-year period. Also on September 10, 2009, the exercise prices of 150,000 options, previously granted to Mr. Clarke and exclusive of the March 4, 2009 grant, were adjusted to \$0.12 per share, but all vesting schedules were unchanged. On June 23, 2010, Mr. Clarke was granted five-year options to purchase 100,000 shares of AeroGrow’s common stock under the Company’s 2005 Equity Compensation Plan. The options have an exercise price of \$0.137 per share, which was the price at market close on the date of grant. The options vest quarterly over a two-year period. On December 8, 2010, Mr. Clarke was granted five-year options to purchase 2,500,000 shares of AeroGrow’s common stock under the Company’s 2005 Equity Compensation Plan. The options have an exercise price of \$0.08 per share, which was the price at market close on the date of grant. The options vest quarterly over a two-year period. For Fiscal 2011, the Company paid Mr. Clarke \$209,500 in cash compensation and expensed \$160,000 in equity compensation.

## John K. Thompson

The employment agreement for Mr. Thompson, dated as of January 26, 2009 (the “Thompson Agreement”), provides that he will perform such duties as designated by the Company’s Chief Executive Officer. He must devote his entire business time to the affairs of the Company. The initial term was until April 1, 2009 and renewable for successive one year terms. Mr. Thompson is entitled to receive base compensation of \$150,000 per year and an annual bonus of 0.5% of the EBITDA of the Company as determined by our annual financial statements and pro-rated for any portion of such annual period covered under the Thompson Agreement. Mr. Thompson is eligible to receive grants under the 2005 Equity Compensation Plan and such options will: (i) vest pursuant to a schedule that provides for vesting of at least of 50% of the amount of the grant per each 12-month period from the date of grant; (ii) not expire in less than five years from the date of grant; (iii) be subject to other standard terms and conditions under the 2005 Equity Compensation Plan; and (iv) shall have other terms and conditions no less favorable than that granted to other senior

executives of the Company. The Thompson Agreement also provides for medical, vacation, and other benefits commensurate with the policies and programs adopted by us. If Mr. Thompson is terminated without cause by us or Mr. Thompson terminates under certain circumstances constituting a breach of the agreement by us, Mr. Thompson shall be entitled to receive severance compensation equivalent to six months base salary and a pro rata bonus. On March 4, 2009, Mr. Thompson was granted five-year options to purchase 100,000 shares of AeroGrow's common stock under the 2005 Equity Compensation Plan. The options have an exercise price of \$0.18 per share, which was the price at market close on the date of grant, and vest quarterly over a two-year period. On September 10, 2009, the exercise prices of 50,000 options, previously granted to Mr. Thompson and exclusive of the March 4, 2009 grant, were adjusted to \$0.12 per share, but all vesting schedules were unchanged. On December 8, 2010, Mr. Thompson was granted five-year options to purchase 1,750,000 shares of AeroGrow's common stock under the Company's 2005 Equity Compensation Plan. The options have an exercise price of \$0.08 per share, which was the price at market close on the date of grant. The options vest quarterly over a two-year period. For Fiscal 2011, the Company paid Mr. Thompson \$150,500 in cash compensation and expensed \$105,000 in equity compensation.

Other Company officers who do not qualify as Named Executive Officers are employed on an "at will" basis, subject to varying lengths of employment agreements and severance agreements.

Table of Contents

## Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth information regarding all forms of compensation received by the Named Executive Officers during Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010:

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary Paid	Bonus	Stock Awards	Option Awards (1)	All Other Compensation	Total
Jack J. Walker, Chairman and former CEO	2011	\$ --	\$ 100	\$ --	\$ 60,000 (2)	\$ --	\$ 60,100
	2010	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 11,000 (2)	\$ 2,000 (3)	\$ 13,000
J. Michael Wolfe, Director, President and CEO (4)	2011	\$ 200,000	\$ 500	\$ --	\$ 160,000 (10)	\$ --	\$ 360,500
	2010	\$ 210,231	\$ 36,000 (9)	\$ --	\$ 8,750 (11)	\$ --	\$ 254,981
H. MacGregor Clarke, Director and CFO (4)	2011	\$ 200,000	\$ 500	\$ --	\$ 160,000 (6)	\$ 9,000 (7)	\$ 369,500
	2010	\$ 212,308	\$ 23,000 (5)	\$ --	\$ 10,000 (8)	\$ 9,000 (7)	\$ 254,308
John K. Thompson, SVP, Sales and Marketing	2011	\$ 150,000	\$ 500	\$ --	\$ 105,000 (12)	\$ --	\$ 255,500
	2010	\$ 131,539	\$ 3,000	\$ --	\$ 2,500 (13)	\$ --	\$ 137,039

- (1) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of stock option awards, as computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.
- (2) Mr. Walker did not receive cash compensation for his service as Chief Executive Officer. On December 8, 2010, he was granted 1,000,000 five-year options at an exercise price of \$0.08 per share. These options vest quarterly over a two-year period. On January 14, 2010, he was granted 100,000 fully vested five year options to purchase shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.20 per share.
- (3) Mr. Walker's compensation for service as a non-employee director from April 1, 2009 through January 14, 2010.
- (4) Mr. Wolfe and Mr. Clarke did not receive compensation for their service on the Board of Directors.
- (5) On July 8, 2009, Mr. Clarke was awarded a \$23,000 bonus by the Board of Directors.
- (6)

On June 23, 2010, Mr. Clarke was granted 100,000 five year options to purchase our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.137 per share. These options vest quarterly over a two year period. On December 8, 2010, Mr. Clarke was granted 2,500,000 five year options to purchase our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.08 per share. These options vest quarterly over a two year period.

- (7) In accordance with Mr. Clarke's employment agreement, he was paid \$750 per month for auto expenses.
- (8) On September 10, 2009, Mr. Clarke was granted 50,000 five year options to purchase our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.12 per share, which vest quarterly over a 2 year period. On September 10, 2009, the exercise prices of 150,000 options, previously granted to Mr. Clarke and exclusive of the March 4, 2009 grant, were adjusted to \$0.12 per share and the vesting schedules were unchanged.
- (9) On July 8, 2009, Mr. Wolfe was awarded a \$36,000 bonus by the Board of Directors.
- (10) On June 23, 2010, Mr. Wolfe was granted 100,000 five year options to purchase our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.137 per share. These options vest quarterly over a two year period. On December 8, 2010, Mr. Wolfe was granted 2,500,000 five year options to purchase our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.08 per share. These options vest quarterly over a two year period.
- (11) On September 10, 2009, Mr. Wolfe was granted 50,000 five year options to purchase our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.12 per share, which vest quarterly over a 2 year period. On September 10, 2009, the exercise prices of 125,000 options, previously granted to Mr. Wolfe on March 28, 2006, were adjusted to \$0.12 per share.
- (12) On December 8, 2010, Mr. Thompson was granted 1,750,000 five year options to purchase our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.08 per share, which vest quarterly over a 2 year period.
- (13) On September 10, 2009, the exercise prices of 50,000 options, previously granted to Mr. Thompson, were adjusted to \$0.12 per share.

Table of Contents

The following table provides information with respect to the Named Executive Officers concerning unexercised stock options held by them at March 31, 2011. All options granted to date to the Named Executive Officers are unexercised.

## Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (Exercisable)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (Unexercisable)	Exercise Price per Share	Expiration Date
Jack J. Walker	10,000	--	\$ 0.12	22-Mar-2012
Jack J. Walker	23,000	--	\$ 0.12	2-Jul-2013
Jack J. Walker	13,000	--	\$ 0.12	23-Jul-2013
Jack J. Walker	41,000	--	\$ 0.08	8-Jul-2014
Jack J. Walker	100,000	--	\$ 0.20	14-Jan-2015
Jack J. Walker	166,668	833,332 (1)	\$ 0.08	8-Dec-2015
H. MacGregor Clarke	30,000	--	\$ 0.12	1-Jun-2013
H. MacGregor Clarke	60,000	--	\$ 0.12	1-Jul-2013
H. MacGregor Clarke	60,000	--	\$ 0.12	1-Oct-2013
H. MacGregor Clarke	200,000	--	\$ 0.18	4-Mar-2014
H. MacGregor Clarke	39,577	10,423 (2)	\$ 0.12	10-Sep-2014
H. MacGregor Clarke	41,670	58,330 (3)	\$ 0.137	23-Jun-2015
H. MacGregor Clarke	416,668	2,083,332 (1)	\$ 0.08	8-Dec-2015
J. Michael Wolfe	175,000	--	\$ 0.18	4-Mar-2014
J. Michael Wolfe	39,577	10,423 (2)	\$ 0.12	10-Sep-2014
J. Michael Wolfe	41,670	58,330 (3)	\$ 0.137	23-Jun-2015
J. Michael Wolfe	416,668	2,083,332 (1)	\$ 0.08	8-Dec-2015
J o h n K . Thompson	100,000	--	\$ 0.18	4-Mar-2014
J o h n K . Thompson	39,577	10,423 (2)	\$ 0.12	10-Sep-2014
J o h n K . Thompson	291,668	1,458,332 (1)	\$ 0.08	8-Dec-2015

(1) The options granted on December 8, 2010 at an exercise price of \$0.08 per share vest quarterly over a 2 year period.

- (2) The options granted on September 10, 2009 at an exercise price of \$0.12 per share vest quarterly over a 2 year period.
- (3) The options granted on June 23, 2010 at an exercise price of \$0.137 per share vest quarterly over a 2 year period.

#### Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Disclosure under this section is not required for a “smaller reporting company.”

#### Report of the Compensation Committee

Disclosure under this section is not required for a “smaller reporting company.”

#### Director Compensation

The following table provides information on AeroGrow’s compensation practices during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 for non-employee directors:

Table of Contents

## Non-Employee Director Meeting Fee and Retainer Information

Annual retainer for all non-employee directors	\$	5,000
Additional annual retainer for Board Chairman	\$	5,000
Additional annual retainer for Audit Committee Chairman	\$	5,000
Additional annual retainer for Governance, Compensation, and Nominating Committee Chairman	\$	5,000
Stock options granted for annual service on the Board by non-employee directors (1)		18,000
Stock options granted for annual service on the Audit Committee (1)		3,000
Stock options granted for annual service on the Governance, Compensation, and Nominating Committee (1)		2,000
Additional stock options granted for annual service as Board Chairman (1)		18,000
Reimbursement for expenses attendant to Board membership		Yes
Payment for Board meetings attended in person	\$	1,000
Payment for Board meetings attended in person by the Board Chairman	\$	2,000

(1) The options vest pro-rata monthly (one-twelfth per month) on the last day of each month throughout the term of service. If a director is unable to finish his or her term of service by reason of death or disability, the director options vest immediately.

Only Messrs. Barish and Dingman received non-employee director compensation during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011. One former director, Jervis B. Perkins, received non-employee director compensation from April 1, 2010 through February 9, 2011, when he resigned from the Board. Jack J. Walker, H. MacGregor Clarke, and J. Michael Wolfe all served as employees during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 and therefore were not eligible to receive cash and equity compensation as a non-employee director. We also maintain \$15 million of director and officer liability insurance and we have entered into indemnification agreements with each director.

## Summary of Board Committee Composition

Current Directors	Board	Audit	Governance, Compensation, and Nominating
Jack J. Walker, Chairman and Director	X	X	X
Michael S. Barish, Director	X	X	X
H. MacGregor Clarke, Director	X		
Michael D. Dingman Jr., Director	X	X	X



J. Michael Wolfe, Director	X			
Former Director	Board	Audit	Governance, Compensation, and Nominating	
Jervis B. Perkins, Former Director	X			

Table of Contents

## Director Compensation Table during Fiscal 2011

The following table sets forth information regarding all forms of compensation received by directors of the Company during Fiscal 2011:

Director	Director Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards	Option Awards (1)	Warrant Awards	All Other Compensation	Total
Jack J. Walker, Chairman and Former President and CEO (2)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Michael S. Barish, Director	\$ 8,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8,000
H. MacGregor Clarke, Director and CFO (3)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Michael D. Dingman, Jr., Director	\$ 13,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 13,000
J. Michael Wolfe, President, CEO and Director (4)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Jervis B. Perkins, Former Director (5)	\$ 1,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,000

(1) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of stock option awards, as computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

(2) As an employee of the Company, Mr. Walker did not receive compensation for his service on the Board of Directors. His compensation as an officer of the Company is included in the Executive Compensation Table.

(3) As an employee of the Company, Mr. Clarke did not receive compensation for his service on the Board of Directors. His compensation as an officer of the Company is included in the Executive Compensation Table.

(4) As an employee of the Company, Mr. Wolfe did not receive compensation for his service on the Board of Directors. His compensation as an officer of the Company is included in the Executive Compensation Table.

(5) Jervis B. Perkins resigned from the Board on February 9, 2011.

Table of Contents

## ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following table sets forth certain information as of July 29, 2011 regarding our common stock owned of record or known by the Company to be owned beneficially by: (i) each director, (ii) each executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table (the “Named Executive Officers”), (iii) all those known by the Company to beneficially own more than 5% of the Company’s common stock, and (iv) all directors and Named Executive Officers as a group.

In general, a person is deemed to be a “beneficial owner” of a security under SEC Rule 13d-3 if that person has or shares the power to vote or direct the voting of such security, or the power to dispose or to direct the disposition of such security. A person is also deemed to be a beneficial owner of any securities of which the person has the right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days. To the best of our knowledge, subject to community and marital property laws, all persons named have sole voting and investment power with respect to such shares except as otherwise noted. For purposes of calculating percent of class ownership, Shares of the Company’s common stock subject to warrants and stock options exercisable within 60 days of July 15, 2011 are deemed outstanding for purposes of computing the percentage of the person holding such warrants and stock options but are not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of any other person.

The table assumes a total of 19,244,160 shares of common stock outstanding and 7,576 shares of Series A preferred stock outstanding. Unless otherwise indicated the address of each beneficial owner shown is c/o AeroGrow International, Inc., 6075 Longbow Drive, Suite 200, Boulder, Colorado 80301.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Common Stock			Series A Preferred Stock			Percent Total Voting Power (3)
	Number of Common Shares Beneficially Owned (1)	Number of Common Shares Acquirable Within 60 Days (2)	Percent of Class	Number of Series A Preferred Shares Beneficially Owned (1)	Number of Series A Preferred Shares Acquirable Within 60 Days (2)	Percent of Class	
5% Stockholders							
Jack J. Walker (4), (5)	42,339,834	42,115,426	69.00 %	4,974	1,324	55.89 %	32.51 %
Lazarus Investment Partners LLLP (6)	29,678,675	29,175,819	61.29 %	1,288	428	16.09 %	8.37 %
The Peierls Foundation, Inc. (7)	16,721,313	16,721,313	46.49 %	-	-	-	-
GVC Capital LLC (8)	15,373,333	15,373,333	44.41 %	-	-	-	-
Michael S. Barish (5), (9)	10,028,333	9,875,168	34.44 %	649	217	8.33 %	4.05 %
	8,116,000	8,000,000	29.79 %	790	400	9.90 %	6.37 %

Edgar Filing: AeroGrow International, Inc. - Form 10-K

Alpha Capital Anstalt (10)									
Chestnut Ridge Partners, LP (11)	6,201,500	6,201,500	24.37 %	-	-	-	-	-	-
David Weiner (12)	5,092,055	5,092,055	20.92 %	-	-	-	-	-	-
H. Leigh Severance (13)	4,604,088	4,484,710	19.40 %	325	108	4.23 %	2.10 %		
Mingkeda Industries Co., Ltd. (14)	4,593,337	4,583,337	19.28 %	825	275	10.51 %	4.86 %		
Max & Kathleen Duncan JTWROS (15)	4,203,100	4,203,100	17.93 %	-	-	-	-		
Porter Partners, LP (16)	4,203,100	4,203,100	17.93 %	-	-	-	-		
The Angell Foundation (17)	4,180,328	4,180,328	17.85 %	-	-	-	-		
J. Michael Wolfe (5), (18)	4,201,895	4,201,895	17.92 %	270	90	3.52 %	1.59 %		
William D. Moreland (19)	2,841,069	2,841,069	12.86 %	-	-	-	-		
Kayue Electric Company Ltd. (20)	3,138,891	3,138,891	14.02 %	565	188	7.28 %	3.32 %		
Thunder Funding LLC (21)	2,777,780	2,777,780	12.61 %	500	500	6.19 %	-		
Brio Capital, LP (22)	2,626,938	2,626,938	12.01 %	-	-	-	-		
Brian Eliot Peierls (23)	2,508,197	2,508,197	11.53 %	-	-	-	-		
E. Jeffrey Peierls (24)	2,508,197	2,508,197	11.53 %	-	-	-	-		
Jerome P. Lauffenburger (25)	2,354,916	2,156,332	11.00 %	199	66	2.60 %	1.49 %		
H. MacGregor Clarke (5), (29)	2,253,341	2,248,341	10.48 %	112	37	0.0	0		
Joint Glory International Limited (26)	2,125,012	2,062,507	9.97 %	360	180	0.0	0		
Duncan Family Trust (27)	2,101,550	2,101,550	9.85 %	-	-	-	-		
J & T Meadows, Ltd. (28)	2,101,550	2,101,550	9.85 %	-	-	-	-		
Jervis B. Perkins (30)	1,763,835	1,758,335	8.40 %	303	101	0.0	0		
Grad-Wurn LLC (32)	1,556,938	1,556,938	7.48 %	-	-	-	-		
	1,521,909	1,521,909	7.33 %	-	-	-	-		

Margaret Bathgate (31) UD Ethel F. Peierls Charitable Lead Trust (33)	1,463,115	1,463,115	7.07	%	-	-	-	-
Delaware Charter G&T Co. TTEE FBO Steven M. Bathgate IRA (34)	1,385,201	1,385,201	6.71	%	-	-	-	-
Alvin R. Bonneter TTEE for Alvin R. Bonneter Rev. Trust dated 1/31/1985 (35)	1,050,775	1,050,775	5.18	%	-	-	-	-
David W. Fulker & Natalie Wolman JTWROS (36)	1,050,775	1,050,775	5.18	%	-	-	-	-
George F. Wood (37)	1,050,775	1,050,775	5.18	%	-	-	-	-
Janice D. Bowen (38)	1,050,775	1,050,775	5.18	%	-	-	-	-
Lawrence Greenberg (39)	1,050,775	1,050,775	5.18	%	-	-	-	-
Michael Osterman (40)	1,050,775	1,050,775	5.18	%	-	-	-	-
Southwest Securities, Inc. FBO: Sharon L. Pitkin Trust, IRA Kimberly L. Lawrenz, Trustee (41)	1,050,775	1,050,775	5.18	%	-	-	-	-
The Earl W. Sauder, LLC (42)	1,050,775	1,050,775	5.18	%	-	-	-	-
Delaware Charter Guarantee & Trust FBO: Alan Kurus, IRA (43)	1,045,082	1,045,082	5.15	%	-	-	-	-
The Julia B. Donovan Trust (44)	1,045,082	1,045,082	5.15	%	-	-	-	-

Directors

Edgar Filing: AeroGrow International, Inc. - Form 10-K

Jack J. Walker (4), (5)	42,339,834	42,115,426	69.00 %	4,974	1,324	55.89 %	32.51 %
Michael S. Barish (5), (9)	10,028,333	9,875,168	34.44 %	649	217	8.33 %	4.05 %
J. Michael Wolfe (5), (18)	4,201,895	4,201,895	17.92 %	270	90	3.52 %	1.59 %
H. MacGregor Clarke (5), (29)	2,253,341	2,248,341	10.48 %	112	37	1.47 %	0.67 %
Michael D. Dingman, Jr. (5)	113,000	113,000	0.58 %	-	-	-	-

Named  
Executive  
Officers

J. Michael Wolfe (5), (18)	4,201,895	4,201,895	17.92 %	270	90	3.52 %	1.59 %
H. MacGregor Clarke (5), (29)	2,253,341	2,248,341	10.48 %	112	37	1.47 %	0.67 %
John K. Thompson (5)	1,005,851	979,167	4.97 %	9	3	0.12 %	0.09 %

All AeroGrow  
Named  
Executive  
Officers and  
Directors as a  
Group (6  
Persons)

	59,942,254	59,532,997	76.09 %	6,014	1,671	65.04 %	38.91 %
--	------------	------------	---------	-------	-------	---------	---------

Table of Contents

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, which include holding voting and investment power with respect to the securities. Shares of common stock subject to preferred stock, options or warrants currently exercisable, or exercisable within 60 days, are deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of the total number of shares beneficially owned by the designated person, but are not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage for any other person. Includes shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, 8% Subordinated Secured Convertible Promissory Notes, and 15% Secured Convertible Promissory Notes. Beneficial ownership is based on holdings known to the Company and may not include all shares of common stock beneficially owned but held in street name or reflect recent sales or purchases of securities

- (1 ) that have not been made known to the Company.  
 The number of shares acquirable within 60 days includes any preferred stock, options or warrants currently exercisable or exercisable within the next 60 days. This number is included in the number of shares beneficially owned. Includes shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, 8% Subordinated Secured Convertible Promissory Notes, and 15%
- (2 ) Secured Convertible Promissory Notes.  
 The percent total voting power is based on the number of preferred shares and common shares presently held that could be voted. It does not include any exercisable options or warrants. Includes
- (3 ) shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock.  
 Mr. Walker's beneficial ownership includes 475 shares of preferred stock and warrants to purchase 273 shares of preferred stock that are held of record by M&J Walker Charitable Remainder Trust, of which Mr. Walker is a controlling person. Mr. Walker's beneficial ownership also includes 100 shares of preferred stock, warrants to purchase 50 shares of preferred stock, and 76,122 shares of common stock held of record by March Trade & Finance, Inc. of which Mr. Walker is a controlling person. Mr. Walker's beneficial ownership also includes a \$145,739 promissory note and accrued interest that is convertible into 809,661 shares of common stock that is held by his wife. In addition,
- (4 ) Mrs. Walker holds warrants to purchase an additional 1,333,333 shares of common stock.
- (5 ) The address of the beneficial owner is 6075 Longbow Dr., Suite 200, Boulder, CO 80301.  
 Beneficial ownership is based on holdings known to the Company and includes information provided in an Amendment to Schedule 13D as filed February 1, 2011. Lazarus Investment Partners LLLP and its affiliates hold 860 shares of Series A preferred stock, warrants to purchase 428 shares of Series A preferred stock, 502,856 shares of common stock, \$1,127,827 in promissory notes and accrued interest that is convertible into 10,954,408 shares of common stock, and warrants to purchase 10,941,187 shares of common stock. Lazarus Investment Partners LLLP's address is 2401
- (6 ) East 2nd Avenue, #600, Denver, CO 80206.  
 The Peierls Foundation, Inc.'s address is US Trust Co. attn: John S. Kennedy, 114 W. 47th Street,
- (7 ) New York, NY 10036.
- (8 ) GVC Capital LLC's address is 5350 South Roslyn Street, Suite 400, Greenwood Village, CO 80111.  
 Mr. Barish's ownership includes 5,000 shares held by Michael S. Barish Irrevocable Spousal Trust, 4,500 shares held by Barish Family Foundation, 29,429 shares held by his wife Joyce F. Barish, and 5,000 shares held by Joyce F. Barish Irrevocable Children's trust, of which Mr. Barish is a
- (9 ) controlling person.  
 Alpha Capital Anstalt's address is c/o LH Financial Services Corp., 150 Central Park South, 2nd
- (10 ) Floor, New York, NY 10019.
- (11 ) Chestnut Partners, LP's address is 10 Forest Avenue, Paramus, NJ 07652.
- (12 ) Mr. Weiner's address is 12400 Ventura Blvd. #327, Studio City, CA 91604.
- (13 ) Mr. Severance's beneficial ownership includes 106 shares of preferred stock, warrants to purchase an additional 53 shares of preferred stock, 57,709 shares of common stock, \$53,974 of promissory

Edgar Filing: AeroGrow International, Inc. - Form 10-K

notes and accrued interest that is convertible into 539,740 common shares, and warrants to purchase 500,000 shares of common stock held of record by H. Leigh Severance, Inc. Profit Sharing Plan and Trust, of which Mr. Severance is a controlling person. Mr. Severance's beneficial ownership also includes 5 shares of preferred stock, warrants to purchase an additional 2 shares of preferred stock, and 3,335 shares of common stock held of record by H. Leigh Severance, Inc. Pension Plan and Trust, of which Mr. Severance is a controlling person. Mr. Severance's address is 14282 E. Caley Ave., Aurora, CO 80016.

- Mingkeda Industries Co. Ltd.'s address is 1825 Renmin E Road, Heshan City, Guangdong Province, China.
- (14 ) Mr. and Mrs. Duncan's address is 5322 Stonewall, Corpus Christi, TX 78413.
- (15 ) Porter Partners, LP's address is 300 Drakes Ldg. Rd., Ste. 175, Greenbrae, CA 94904.
- (16 ) The Angel Foundation's address is 10880 Wilshire Blvd. #920, Los Angeles, CA 90024.
- (17 ) Mr. Wolfe's beneficial ownership includes a \$52,998 promissory note and \$976 in accrued interest on the note that is convertible into 539,740 shares of common stock and is held jointly with his wife. In addition, Mr. and Mrs. Wolfe jointly hold warrants to purchase an additional 500,000 shares of common stock.
- (18 ) Mr. Moreland's address is 1655 E. Layton Drive, Englewood, CO 80113.
- (19 ) Kayue Electric Company Ltd.'s address is Unit C, 19/F, Dragon Centre, 79 Wing Hong Street, Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon, Hong Kong.
- (20 ) Thunder Funding LLC's address is 11811 N. Tatum Blvd., Suite 1051, Phoenix, AZ 85028.
- (21 ) Brio Capital LP's address is 401 E. 34th St., Suite South 33C, New York, NY 10016.
- (22 ) Mr. Peierl's address is 7808 Harvestman Cove, Austin, TX 78731.
- (23 ) Mr. Peierl's address is 73 South Holman Way, Golden, CO 80401.
- (24 ) Mr. Lauffenburger's address and that of the trust is 2095 Heritage Place, Erie, CO 80516.
- (25 ) Joint Glory International Limited's address is c/o Accelera Management (Singapore) Pte. Ltd., 16 Collyer Quay, #10-00 Hitachi Tower, Singapore 049318.
- (26 ) The Duncan Family Trust's address is 2003 Cordoba Ave., Kerrville, TX 78028.
- (27 ) J & T Meadows, Ltd.'s address is 3656 Encanto, Fort Worth, TX 76109.
- (28 ) Mr. Clarke's beneficial ownership includes a \$8,282 promissory note and accrued interest that is convertible into 46,013 shares of common stock and is held jointly with his wife. In addition, Mr. and Mrs. Clarke jointly hold warrants to purchase an additional 83,333 shares of common stock.
- (29 ) Mr. Perkins beneficial ownership represents shares beneficially owned by Mr. Perkins prior to his death. These shares are now beneficially owned by his estate. The address for Mr. Perkins estate is 1750 Parliament Court, Lake Forest, IL 60045.
- (30 ) Ms. Bathgate's address is 6376 E. Tufts Ave, Englewood, CO 80111.
- (31 ) Grad-Wurn LLC's address is 82 Alpine Way, Boulder, CO 80302.
- (32 ) UD Ethel F. Peierls Charitable Lead Trust's address is US Trust Co. attn: John S. Kennedy, 114 W. 47th Street, New York, NY 10036.
- (33 ) Delaware Charter G&T Co. TTEE FBO Steven M. Bathgate IRA's address is c/o GVC Capital LLC, 5350 S. Roslyn St., Suite 400, Greenwood Village, CO 80111.
- (34 ) Alvin R. Bonnette Rev. Trust's address is 181 East Dunstable Rd., Nashua, NH 03062.
- (35 ) Mr. Fulker & Ms. Wolman's address is 1400 Mariposa Ave., Boulder, CO 80302.
- (36 ) Mr. Wood's address is 55 Madison Street #680, Denver, CO 80206.
- (37 ) Ms. Bowen's address is 313 Schreiner St., Kerrville, TX 78028.
- (38 ) Mr. Greenberg's address is 2371 Linden Dr., Boulder, CO 80304.
- (39 ) Mr. Osterman's address is 2910 N. Lakeridge Trail, Boulder, CO 80302.
- (40 ) Southwest Securities, Inc. FBO Sharon L Pitkin Trust, IRA Kimberly L Lawrenz, Trustee's address is 1201 Elm Street #3500, Dallas, TX 75270.
- (41 ) The Earl W. Sauder, LLC's address is 2824 Hidden Lakes Dr., Emporia, KS 66801.
- (42 ) Delaware Charter Guarantee & Trust FBO: Alan Kurus, IRA's address is 1201 Elm Street, Suite 3500, Dallas, TX 75270.
- (43 )



(44 ) The Julia B. Donovan Trust's address is 2440 CR 44 West, Eustis, FL 32726.

Table of Contents

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions with Related Parties

Since April 1, 2010, our Board of Directors reviewed and did not object to any of the related party transactions reported in this proxy statement. Our Board recognizes that related party transactions present a heightened risk of conflicts of interest and/or improper valuation (or the perception thereof) and therefore follows the procedures as described below to address such risks.

Our Board of Directors is required to review all related party transactions. AeroGrow is prohibited from entering or continuing a material related party transaction that has not been reviewed and approved or ratified by the Board. Additionally, in transactions where an executive officer is related to any of our goods or services provider, the board of directors must approve the transaction. In reviewing a related party transaction the board of directors considers all of the relevant factors surrounding the transaction including:

1. whether there is a valid business reason for us to enter into the related party transaction consistent with the best interests of AeroGrow and our stockholders;
2. whether the transaction is negotiated on an arm's length basis on terms comparable to those provided to unrelated third parties or on terms comparable to those provided to employees generally;
3. whether the board of directors determines that it has been duly apprised of all significant conflicts that may exist or may otherwise arise on account of the transaction, and it believes, nonetheless, that we are warranted in entering into the related party transaction and have developed an appropriate plan to manage the potential conflicts of interest;
4. whether the rates or charges involved in the transaction are determined by competitive bids, or the transaction involves rates or charges fixed in conformity with law or governmental authority; and/or
5. whether the interest of the related party or that of a member of the immediate family of the related party arises solely from the ownership of our class of equity securities and all holders of our equity securities received the same benefit on a pro-rata basis.

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 and in prior years, we relied upon a variety of debt funding sources to meet our liquidity requirements, including transactions: (i) which involved members of our Board, management team and certain stockholders that beneficially own more than five percent of our outstanding voting securities and (ii) which are required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 404 of Regulation S-K. These transactions are disclosed above under the heading "Liquidity and Capital Resources."

Board Independence

Our common stock trades on the OTC Bulletin Board and we are considered to be a "smaller reporting company" under applicable SEC rules. As such, we are not currently subject to corporate governance standards of other listed companies, which require, among other things, that the majority of the board of directors be independent. Because we are not currently subject to corporate governance standards defining the independence of our directors, we have chosen to define an "independent" director in accordance with the NASDAQ Global Market's requirements for

independent directors. Under the NASDAQ definition, an independent director is a person who is not an executive officer or employee of the Company and who does not have a relationship with the Company that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. Our Board has determined that Mr. Dingman is currently the only independent member of our Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

## ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Aggregate fees billed by Eide Bailly LLP (“Eide Bailly”), for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

	Fiscal 2011	Fiscal 2010
<b>Eide Bailly:</b>		
Audit Fees	\$ 86,211	\$ 86,906
Audit Related Fees	-	-
Tax Fees	-	-
All Other Fees	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 86,211</b>	<b>\$ 86,906</b>

**Audit Fees:** This category includes the audit of our annual financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, review of quarterly financial statements included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, the audit of management’s assessment of the effectiveness, and review of our internal controls.

**Audit-related fees:** This category consists of assurance and related services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements and are not reported above under “Audit Fees.” We did not incur any audit-related fees in the fiscal years ended March 31, 2011 or 2010.

**Tax fees:** This category consists of professional services rendered primarily in connection with our tax planning and compliance activities, including the preparation of tax returns. Although we did incur \$12,000 and \$24,410 in tax fees during Fiscal 2011 and 2010, respectively, we did not engage Eide Bailly for any tax services.

**All other fees:** This category consists of fees for other corporate services, primarily the review of SEC reports other than annual and quarterly reports.

#### Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services

The primary purpose of the Audit Committee is to assist the Board in monitoring (i) the integrity of our financial statements and disclosures, including oversight of the accounting and financial reporting processes and the audits of our financial statements, (ii) compliance with our legal, ethical, and regulatory requirements, and (iii) the independence and performance of our independent registered public accounting firm.

The Audit Committee’s policy is to pre-approve all audit and non-audit services, other than de minimis non-audit services, provided by the independent registered public accounting firm. These services may include, among others, audit services, audit-related services, tax services, and other services. Pre-approval is generally provided for up to one year and any pre-approval is detailed as to particular services or categories of services and is generally subject to a specific budget. The independent registered public accounting firm and management are required to periodically report to the full Board regarding the extent of services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm in accordance with this pre-approval, and the fees for the services performed to date.

The Audit Committee considers the provision of non-audit services by our independent registered public accounting firm compatible with its independence. The Audit Committee will continue to approve all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm.



Table of Contents

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

AEROGROW INTERNATIONAL, INC.,  
A NEVADA CORPORATION

By: /s/ J. Michael Wolfe  
J. Michael Wolfe  
President and Chief Executive  
Officer

## POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below does hereby constitute and appoint Jack J. Walker with full power of substitution and full power to act as his or her true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent with full power and authority to do and perform all and every act and thing whatsoever requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises as fully, to all intents and purposes, as he or she might or could do if personally present at the doing thereof, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney-in-fact and agent may or shall lawfully do, or cause to be done, in connection with the proposed filing by AeroGrow International, Inc. with the Securities and Exchange Commission, under the provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, of an Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 (the "Annual Report"), including but not limited to, such full power and authority to do the following: (i) execute and file such Annual Report; (ii) execute and file any amendment or amendments thereto; (iii) receive and respond to comments from the Securities and Exchange Commission related in any way to such Annual Report or any amendment or amendments thereto; and (iv) execute and deliver any and all certificates, instruments or other documents related to the matters enumerated above, as the attorney-in-fact in her sole discretion deems appropriate.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on the ---15th day of August 2011.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ JACK J. WALKER Jack J. Walker	Chairman of the Board	AUGUST 15, 2011
/s/ J. MICHAEL WOLFE J. Michael Wolfe	President and Chief Executive Officer	AUGUST 15, 2011
/s/ H. MACGREGOR CLARKE H. MacGregor Clarke	Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer, and Director	AUGUST 15, 2011
/s/ GREY H. GIBBS Grey H. Gibbs	Controller and Chief Accounting Officer	AUGUST 15, 2011

/s/ MICHAEL S. BARISH  
Michael S. Barish

Director

AUGUST 15, 2011

/s/ MICHAEL D. DINGMAN, JR.  
Michael D. Dingman, Jr.

Director

AUGUST 15, 2011

Table of Contents

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Audit Committee, Board of Directors and Stockholders  
AeroGrow International, Inc.  
Boulder, Colorado

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of AeroGrow International, Inc. (“the Company”) as of March 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related statements of operations, changes in stockholders’ equity (deficit) and cash flows for the years then ended. The Company’s management is responsible for these financial statements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of AeroGrow International, Inc. as of March 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1, the Company does not currently have sufficient liquidity to meet its anticipated working capital, debt service and other liquidity needs in the near term. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 1 to the financial statements. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Fargo, North Dakota  
August 15, 2011



Table of Contents

## AEROGROW INTERNATIONAL, INC.

## BALANCE SHEETS

	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$355,781	\$249,582
Restricted cash	162,837	443,862
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$17,791 and \$87,207 at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively	290,997	478,113
Other receivables	260,692	259,831
Inventory, net	2,547,570	3,493,732
Prepaid expenses and other	335,854	338,095
Total current assets	3,953,731	5,263,215
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$2,525,853 and \$2,486,377 at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively	359,962	1,002,530
Other assets		
Intangible assets, net of \$24,834 and \$6,854 of accumulated amortization at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively	273,081	275,599
Deposits	159,631	240,145
Deferred debt issuance costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$737,531 and \$486,791 at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively	1,488,491	62,291
Total other assets	1,921,203	578,035
Total Assets	\$6,234,896	\$6,843,780
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)</b>		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$1,506,841	\$3,354,703
Notes payable	350,798	-
Notes payable – Related party	211,321	-
Current portion - long term debt – Related Party	13,947	911,275
Current portion – long term debt	1,168,160	3,053,984
Accrued expenses	614,234	1,449,977
Customer deposits	122,377	339,041
Deferred rent	22,513	40,773
Total current liabilities	4,010,191	9,149,753
Long-term debt	3,716,229	1,020,957
Long-term debt-related party	359,751	-
Stockholders' equity (deficit)		
Preferred stock, \$.001 par value, 20,000,000 shares authorized, 7,576 and 7,586 issued and outstanding at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively	8	8
Common stock, \$.001 par value, 500,000,000 shares authorized, 19,244,160 and 12,398,249 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively	19,244	12,398
Additional paid-in capital	62,324,016	52,933,467
Accumulated (deficit)	(64,194,543 )	(56,272,803 )

Edgar Filing: AeroGrow International, Inc. - Form 10-K

Total Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)	(1,851,275 )	(3,326,930 )
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)	\$6,234,896	\$6,843,780

See accompanying notes to the financial statements

Table of ContentsAEROGROW INTERNATIONAL, INC.  
STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Years ended March 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Revenue			
Product sales, net	\$ 11,313,212	\$ 17,251,347	\$ 37,449,868
Operating expenses			
Cost of revenue	7,928,687	11,846,399	23,710,787
Research and development	187,178	424,741	2,146,493
Sales and marketing	3,760,290	6,104,742	13,772,822
General and administrative	3,116,446	5,112,647	7,043,391
Total operating expenses	14,992,601	23,488,529	46,673,493
(Loss) from operations	(3,679,389 )	(6,237,182 )	(9,223,625 )
Other (income) expense, net			
Interest (income)	(8,582 )	(8,537 )	(6,285 )
Interest expense	3,787,684	931,468	796,070
Interest expense – related party	415,109	117,382	195,961
Other (income) expense, net	48,140	(946,290 )	104,143
Total other (income) expense, net	4,242,351	94,023	1,089,889
Net (loss)	\$(7,921,740 )	\$(6,331,205 )	\$(10,313,514 )
Net (loss) per share, basic and diluted	\$(0.56 )	\$(0.50 )	\$(0.82 )
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding, basic and diluted	14,240,761	12,564,140	12,519,999

See accompanying notes to the financial statements

Table of Contents

AEROGROW INTERNATIONAL, INC.  
STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)

	Common Stock		Preferred Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated (Deficit)	Total
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount			
Balances, April 1, 2008	12,076,717	12,076	-	-	44,024,559	(39,628,084)	4,408,551
Common stock issued for services	22,605	23	-	-	46,769	-	46,792
Exercise of common stock warrants at \$.66	213,276	213	-	-	140,549	-	140,762
Exercise of common stock warrants at \$.75	976,703	977	-	-	731,550	-	732,527
Exercise of common stock warrants at \$2.50	10,000	10	-	-	24,990	-	25,000
Exercise of stock options	43,576	44	-	-	51,599	-	51,643
Stock options issued under equity compensation plans	-	-	-	-	676,614	-	676,614
Net (loss)	-	-	-	-	-	(10,313,514)	(10,313,514)
Balances, March 31, 2009	13,342,877	13,343	-	-	45,696,630	(49,941,598)	(4,231,625 )
Preferred Stock issued in private placements	-	-	7,586	8	6,685,478	-	6,685,486
Exercise of stock options	4,075	4	-	-	16	-	20
Repurchase and Retirement of Common Stock	(948,703 )	(949 )	-	-	-	-	(949 )
Warrants issued in accordance with debt	-	-	-	-	38,200	-	38,200
Stock options issued under	-	-	-	-	513,143	-	513,143

Edgar Filing: AeroGrow International, Inc. - Form 10-K

equity compensation plans								
Net (loss)	-	-	-	-	-	(6,331,205 )	(6,331,205 )	
Balances, March 31, 2010	12,398,249	\$ 12,398	7,586	\$ 8	\$ 52,933,467	\$ (56,272,803)	\$ (3,326,930 )	
Common stock issued upon conversion of convertible debt	6,045,911	6,046	-	-	598,545	-	604,591	
Conversion of preferred stock to common stock	100,000	100	(10 )	-	(100 )	-	-	
Common stock issued to consultants	700,000	700	-	-	34,300	-	35,000	
Warrants issued to consultants	-	-	-	-	1,548,600	-	1,548,600	
Stock options issued under equity compensation plans	-	-	-	-	138,804	-	138,804	
Convertible debt discount	-	-	-	-	7,070,400	-	7,070,400	
Net (loss)	-	-	-	-	-	(7,921,740 )	(7,921,740 )	
Balances, March 31, 2011	19,244,160	\$ 19,244	7,576	\$ 8	\$ 62,324,016	\$ (64,194,543)	\$ (1,851,275 )	

See accompanying notes to the financial statements

Table of ContentsAEROGROW INTERNATIONAL, INC.  
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Years Ended March 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net (loss)	\$(7,921,740 )	\$(6,331,205 )	\$(10,313,514 )
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) to cash and cash equivalents provided (used) by operations:			
Issuance of common stock and options under equity compensation plans	138,804	452,393	723,406
Issuance of common stock and options under equity compensation associated with severance agreements	-	60,750	-
Issuance of common stock not under equity compensation plans	35,000	-	-
Issuance of warrants related to debt	-	38,200	-
Issuance of warrants for services	-	-	-
Depreciation and amortization expense	698,432	840,315	844,427
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	73,438	-	-
Allowance for bad debt	(69,407 )	(1,336,311 )	911,798
Amortization of debt issuance costs	800,451	242,854	191,437
Amortization of debt issuance costs – related party	-	-	52,500
Gain on forgiveness of accounts payable	-	(807,310 )	-
Loss from revision of vendor payment agreement	105,591	-	-
Amortization of convertible debentures, beneficial conversion feature	1,134,140	-	-
Amortization of convertible debentures, beneficial conversion, feature -related party	157,002	-	-
Interest expense from warrants issued with convertible debentures	1,040,149	-	-
Interest expense from warrants issued with convertible debentures – related party	135,823	-	-
Change in assets and liabilities:			
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable	256,523	3,136,250	(777,749 )
(Increase) decrease in other receivable	(861 )	72,228	90,471
(Increase) decrease in inventory	946,162	4,856,403	(3,661,691 )
Decrease in other current assets	2,241	227,359	196,559
(Increase) decrease in deposits	80,514	(129,369 )	(9,612 )
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	(1,903,453 )	(1,747,506 )	5,315,193
(Decrease) in accrued expenses	(174,297 )	(868,693 )	(133,355 )
Increase in accrued interest – related party	39,025	14,465	19,418
Increase in accrued interest	408,473	10,878	35,528
Increase (decrease) in customer deposits	(216,664 )	92,313	14,528
(Decrease) in deferred rent	(18,260 )	(16,510 )	(7,754 )
Net cash and cash equivalents provided (used) by operating activities	(4,252,914 )	(1,192,496 )	(6,508,410 )
Cash flows from investing activities:			
(Increase) decrease in restricted cash	281,025	(5,531 )	(351,655 )
Purchases of equipment	(111,322 )	(71,139 )	(796,067 )
Patent expenses	(15,463 )	(47,347 )	(161,410 )
	154,240	(124,017 )	(1,309,132 )

## Net cash and cash equivalents provided (used) by investing activities

Cash flows from financing activities:			
(Decrease) in amount due to factor	-	-	(1,480,150 )
Proceeds from long term debt borrowings	6,554,677	13,981,196	27,034,153
Proceeds from long term debt borrowings – related party	300,000	863,439	1,213,953
Repayment of long term borrowings	(2,349,266 )	(17,851,462 )	(20,552,850 )
Repayment of long term borrowings – related party	(169,485 )	-	-
Proceeds from notes payable	1,050,000	-	-
Proceeds from notes payable – related party	450,000	-	-
Repayments of notes payable	(687,723 )	-	-
Repayments of notes payable – related party	(233,820 )	-	-
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net	-	-	-
Proceeds from exercise and issuance of warrants	-	-	898,289
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options, net	-	20	51,643
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock, net	-	4,441,537	-
Principal payments on capital leases	(31,459 )	(97,914 )	(128,927 )
(Increase) decrease in debt issuance costs	(678,051 )	(103,419 )	(393,163 )
(Increase) in debt issuance costs-related party	-	-	(52,500 )
Net cash provided by financing activities	4,204,873	1,233,397	6,590,448
Net increase (decrease) in cash	106,199	(83,116 )	(1,227,094 )
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	249,582	332,698	1,559,792
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$355,781	\$249,582	\$332,698

See supplemental disclosures on the following page and the accompanying notes to the financial statements

Table of Contents

	Years Ended March 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Interest paid	\$371,368	\$2,285,146	\$1,041,228
Income taxes paid	\$-	\$-	\$-
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Conversion of related party debt to equity	\$-	\$1,200,000	\$-
Conversion of accounts payable to equity	\$-	\$1,043,000	\$-
Increase of notes receivable for equity	\$-	\$139,000	\$-
Increase of notes receivable, related party for equity	\$-	\$762,000	\$-
Decrease of notes receivable, related party for equity as debt payment	\$-	\$150,000	\$-
Modification of accrued expenses to equity	\$-	\$89,000	\$-
Modification of accounts payable to long-term debt	\$-	\$1,386,040	\$-
Common stock returned upon issuance of preferred shares	\$-	\$949	\$-
Modification of accrued interest to long term debt	\$350,871	\$-	\$-
Modification of related party accrued interest to long term debt - related party	\$72,107	\$-	\$-
Modification of accounts payable to long-term debt related party	\$50,000	\$-	\$-
Conversion of long term debt to common stock	\$592,989	\$-	\$-
Conversion of accrued interest to common stock	\$11,602	\$-	\$-
Warrants issue to placement agent as a cost of debt issuance	\$1,548,600	\$-	\$-
Modification of accrued expenses and accounts payable to debt	\$661,446	\$-	\$-
Reclassification of related party debt to long term debt	\$37,548	\$-	\$-
Common stock issued upon conversion of preferred shares	\$700	\$-	\$-

See accompanying notes to the financial statements



Table of Contents

AEROGROW INTERNATIONAL, INC.  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 – Description of the Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization and Description of the Business

AeroGrow International, Inc. ("the Company") was incorporated in the State of Nevada on March 25, 2002. On January 12, 2006, the Company and Wentworth I, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Wentworth"), entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement") which was consummated on February 24, 2006. Under the Merger Agreement, Wentworth merged with and into the Company, and the Company was the surviving corporation ("Merger"). The Merger, for accounting and financial reporting purposes, has been accounted for as an acquisition of Wentworth by the Company. As such, the Company was the accounting acquirer in the Merger, and the historical financial statements of the Company will be the financial statements for the Company following the Merger.

The Company's principal business is developing, marketing, and distributing advanced indoor aeroponic garden systems designed and priced to appeal to the consumer gardening, cooking and small indoor appliance markets worldwide. The Company's principal activities from its formation through March 2006, consisted of product research and development, market research, business planning, and raising the capital necessary to fund these activities. In December 2005, the Company commenced pilot production of its AeroGarden system and, in March 2006, began shipping these systems to retail and catalogue customers. The Company manufactures, distributes and markets more than 15 different models of its AeroGarden systems in multiple colors, as well as over 50 varieties of seed kits and a full line of accessory products through multiple channels including retail, catalogue and direct-to-consumer sales in the United States as well as selected countries in Europe, Asia and Australia.

Liquidity, Ability to Continue as a Going Concern, and Basis of Presentation

As shown in the accompanying financial statements, we have incurred net losses of \$7,921,740 and \$6,331,205 for the years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, and have an accumulated deficit of \$64,194,543 and total debt obligations of \$10,423,491 (including our convertible notes at face value) as of March 31, 2011. These conditions raise substantial doubt as to our ability to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements contained herein do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue in existence.

Our ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon our ability to generate sufficient cash flows to meet our obligations on a timely basis, to obtain additional capital as may be required, and ultimately to attain profitable operations. We believe we will need to raise new capital to meet our cash requirements for the next twelve months. Management is in the process of attempting to raise the additional capital required to support our operations; however, there can be no assurances we will be able to raise the capital we need to meet our cash requirements, or in sufficient amounts or during the timeframe necessary to support our operating needs. In such an event we would reduce the scale of our operations and take such actions as are available to us to reduce our cash requirements. However, there can be no assurances given that such actions would be successful or that we would be able to continue operations in the absence of new capital.

Significant Accounting Policies

Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. It is reasonably possible that a change in the Company's estimates with regards to return reserves, inventory obsolescence and the allowance for bad debts will occur in the near term.

## Table of Contents

### Net Income (Loss) per Share of Common Stock

The Company computes net income (loss) per share of common stock in accordance with ASC 260 (prior authoritative guidance: Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 128, Earnings per Share, and SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 98). ASC 260 requires companies with complex capital structures to present basic and diluted Earnings per Share (“EPS”). Basic EPS is measured as the income or loss available to common stock shareholders divided by the weighted average shares of common stock outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS is similar to basic EPS but presents the dilutive effect on a per share basis of potential common stock (e.g., convertible securities, options, and warrants) as if they had been converted at the beginning of the periods presented. Potential shares of common stock that have an anti-dilutive effect (i.e., those that increase income per share or decrease loss per share) are excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS. For the years ended March 31, 2011, March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2009, the Company had 245,921,563, 68,191,852 and 8,434,815, respectively, of securities that could potentially dilute basic EPS in the future that were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because to do so would have been anti-dilutive for the periods presented.

### Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation.

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. There were no cash equivalents at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010.

### Restricted Cash

The Company has secured activity related to its corporate credit card purchase account with a restricted money market account. In addition, as discussed in Note 2– Notes Payable and Long Term Debt, 20% of the Company’s daily credit card receipts are held in a restricted escrow account in accordance with the Credit Card Receipts-Backed Notes. The balances in this account as of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 were \$162,837 and \$443,862, respectively.

### Concentrations of Risk

ASC 825-10-50-20 (prior authoritative guidance: SFAS No.105, Disclosure of Information About Financial Instruments with Off-Balance Sheet Risk and Financial Instruments with Concentrations of Credit Risk), requires disclosure of significant concentrations of credit risk regardless of the degree of such risk. Financial instruments with significant credit risk include cash. The amount on deposit with a financial institution exceeded the \$250,000 federally insured limit as of March 31, 2011. However, management believes that the financial institution is financially sound and the risk of loss is minimal.

### Customers:

For the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company had one customer who represented 12% of the Company’s net product sales. For the year ended March 31, 2010, the Company had two customers who represented 14% and 7% of the Company’s net product sales, respectively. For the year ended March 31, 2009, the Company had one customer who represented 10% of the Company’s net product sales.

### Suppliers:

For the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company purchased inventories and other inventory related items from three suppliers totaling \$855,203, \$790,372 and \$693,272, representing 12%, 11% and 10% of cost of sales, respectively. For the year ended March 31, 2010, the Company purchased inventory and other inventory related items from one supplier totaling \$1,495,163, representing 13% of cost of sales. For the year ended March 31, 2009, the Company purchased inventories and other inventory related items from three suppliers totaling \$8,862,484, \$3,857,284 and \$3,345,734, representing 37%, 16% and 14% of cost of sales, respectively.

The Company's primary contract manufacturers are located in China. As a result, the Company may be subject to political, currency, regulatory, and weather/natural disaster risks. Although the Company believes alternate sources of manufacturing could be obtained, these risks and any potential loss of supply could have an adverse impact on operations.

**Account Receivables:**

As of the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company had three customers who represented 19%, 19%, and 11% of outstanding accounts receivables, respectively. Management believes that all receivables from these customers are collectible. As of the year ended March 31, 2010, the Company had two customers who represented 23% and 20% of outstanding accounts receivables.

Table of Contents

## Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying value of financial instruments including cash, receivables, accounts payable and accrued expenses, approximates their fair value at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 due to the relatively short-term nature of these instruments. In February 2008, the FASB issued ASC 820-10-55 (prior authoritative guidance: FSP 157-2/Statement 157, Fair Value Measurements). ASC 820-10-55 delayed the effective date for all non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). On April 1, 2008 the Company adopted the portion of ASC 820-10-55 that was not delayed as it applies to non-financial assets and liabilities. As a result of the delay, ASC 820-10-55 was applied to the Company's non-financial assets and liabilities effective on April 1, 2009. ASC 820-10-55 defines fair value as the price that would be received upon the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (also referred to as an exit price). ASC 820-10-55 also establishes a three-level fair value hierarchy for classifying financial instruments that is based on whether the inputs to the valuation techniques used to measure fair value are observable or unobservable. The three levels of the ASC 820-10-55 fair value hierarchy are described below:

Level 1 Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

1:

Level 2 Observable market-based inputs, other than quoted prices in active markets for

2: identical assets or liabilities.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs.

3:

The carrying value of debt approximated fair value at March 31, 2010 due to the relative short-term nature of when the debt was entered into compared to the fiscal year end. As of March 31, 2011, the fair value of the Company's debt using Level 3 inputs was estimated using the discounted cash flow method, which is based on the future expected cash flows, discounted to their present values, using a discount rate of 18%.

	March 31, 2011		March 31, 2010	
	Fair Value	Carry Value	Fair Value	Carry Value
Liabilities				
Notes Payable	\$ 558,077	\$ 580,621	\$ -	\$ -
Notes Payable discount	-	(18,502 )	-	-
Long-term debt	7,000,700	9,842,870	4,986,216	4,986,216
Long-term debt discount	-	(4,584,783)	-	-
Total	\$ 7,558,777	\$ 5,820,206	\$ 4,986,216	\$ 4,986,216

As of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, the Company did not have any financial assets or liabilities that were measured at fair value on a recurring basis subsequent to initial recognition.

Table of Contents

## Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation for financial accounting purposes is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated lives of the respective assets. Office equipment and computer hardware are depreciated over five years. Tooling is depreciated over three years. Leasehold improvements are being amortized over the life of the lease.

Property and equipment consist of the following as of:

	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Manufacturing equipment and tooling	\$ 1,985,405	\$ 2,096,708
Computer equipment and software	404,159	800,073
Leasehold improvements	111,759	128,631
Other equipment	384,492	463,495
	2,885,815	3,488,907
Less: accumulated depreciation	(2,525,853)	(2,486,377)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 359,962	\$ 1,002,530

Depreciation expense for the years ended March 31, 2011, March 31, 2010, and March 31, 2009 was \$680,452, \$836,977 and \$858,145, respectively.

## Intangible Assets

Intangible assets, to date, have consisted of the direct costs incurred for application fees and legal expenses associated with patents and trademarks on the Company's products. The Company periodically reviews the recoverability from future operations using undiscounted cash flows. To the extent carrying values exceed fair values, an impairment loss will be evaluated for possible recording. The Company amortizes its patent and trademark costs on a straight line basis over their estimated useful life of 17 years.

Intangible assets consist of the following:

	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Patents	\$ 253,435	\$ 236,422
Trademarks	44,480	46,031
	297,915	282,453
Less: accumulated amortization	(24,834 )	(6,854 )
Intangible assets, net	\$ 273,081	\$ 275,599

Amortization expense for the years ended March 31, 2011, March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2009, was \$17,981, \$3,338 and \$61,656, respectively.

## Inventory

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost, determined by the first-in, first-out method, or market. Included in inventory costs where the Company is the manufacturer are raw materials, labor and manufacturing overhead. The Company records the raw materials at delivered cost. Standard labor and manufacturing overhead costs are applied to the finished goods based on normal production capacity as prescribed under ASC 330 (prior authoritative guidance:

Accounting Research Bulletin (“ARB”) No. 43, Chapter 4, Inventory Pricing). A majority of the Company’s products are manufactured overseas and are recorded at cost.

	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Finished goods	\$ 1,351,245	\$ 2,515,451
Raw materials	1,196,325	978,281
	\$ 2,547,570	\$ 3,493,732

The Company determines an inventory obsolescence reserve based on management’s historical experience and establishes reserves against inventory according to the age of the product. As of March 31, 2011, the Company had reserved \$848,794 for inventory obsolescence and as of March 31, 2010, the Company had reserved \$670,134 for inventory obsolescence.

Table of Contents

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company sells its products to retailers and consumers. Consumer transactions are paid primarily by credit card. Retailer sales terms vary by customer, but are generally net 30 days to net 60 days. Accounts receivable are reported at net realizable value and net of the allowance for doubtful accounts. The Company uses the allowance method to account for uncollectible accounts receivable. The Company writes-off uncollectible accounts when occurred and the allowance is based upon historical level of write-offs associated with all customers plus any specifically identified uncollectible receivables. The Company's allowance estimate resulted in an allowance of \$17,791 and \$87,207 at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively.

Other Receivables

In conjunction with the Company's processing of credit card transactions and for its direct-to-consumer sales activities and as security with respect to the Company's performance for required credit card refunds and charge backs, the Company is required to maintain a cash reserve with Litle and Company, the Company's credit card processor. This reserve is equal to 5% of the credit card sales processed during the previous six months. As of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, the balance in this reserve account was \$260,692 and \$259,831, respectively.

Advertising and Production Costs

The Company expenses all production costs related to advertising, including, print, television, and radio advertisements when the advertisement has been broadcast or otherwise distributed. The Company records media costs related to its direct-to-consumer advertisements, inclusive of postage and printing costs incurred in conjunction with mailings of direct response catalogues, and related direct response advertising costs, in accordance with ASC 340-20-25 (prior authoritative guidance: Statement of Position, "No. SOP 93-7" Reporting on Advertising Costs). In accordance with ASC 340-20-25, direct response advertising costs incurred should be reported as assets and should be amortized over the estimated period of the benefits, based on the proportion of current period revenue from the advertisement to probable future revenue. As of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, the Company had deferred \$3,386 and \$19,058, respectively, related to such media costs. Advertising expenses for the years ended March 31, 2011, March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2009 were \$1,542,939, \$2,299,431 and \$5,101,910, respectively.

Research and Development

Research, development, and engineering costs are expensed as incurred, in accordance with ASC 730-10-25 (prior authoritative guidance: SFAS No. 2 Accounting for Research and Development Costs). Research, development, and engineering expenses primarily include payroll and headcount related costs, contractor fees, infrastructure costs, and administrative expenses directly related to research and development support.

Stock Based Compensation

The Company accounts for share-based payments in accordance with ASC 710-10-55 (prior authoritative guidance: FASB Statement 123(R) Shared-Based Payment). The Company uses the Black-Scholes option valuation model to estimate the fair value of stock option awards issued under ASC 710-10-55. For the years ended March 31, 2011, March 31, 2010, March 31, 2009, equity compensation in the form of stock options and grants of restricted stock totaled \$138,804, \$452,393, and \$723,406, respectively, and is included in the accompanying Statements of Operations in the following categories:

Years ended



Edgar Filing: AeroGrow International, Inc. - Form 10-K

	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010	March 31, 2009
General and administrative	\$ 69,295	\$ 319,673	\$ 457,592
Research and development	-	6,644	121,952
Sales and marketing	69,509	126,076	143,862
Total	\$ 138,804	\$ 452,393	\$ 723,406

## Table of Contents

### Income Taxes

ASC 740-10 (prior authoritative guidance: FASB Interpretation No. (“FIN”) 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes) clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise’s financial statements. ASC 740-10 defines the minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. The Company adopted ASC 740-10 on April 1, 2007. As a result of the implementation, the Company recognized no material adjustment in the liability of unrecognized income tax benefits. At the adoption date, the Company had no unrecognized tax benefits, which would affect the Company’s effective tax rate. It is reasonably possible that the Company’s unrecognized tax benefit could change; however, the Company does not expect any such change to be material.

Deferred income taxes are recognized for the tax consequences in future years of differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts at the end of each period, based on enacted laws and statutory rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Any liability for actual taxes to taxing authorities is recorded as income tax liability. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. A valuation allowance is established against such assets where management is unable to conclude more likely than not that such asset will be realized. For the years ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, the Company recognized a valuation allowance equal to 100% of the net deferred tax asset balance.

### Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue from product sales, net of estimated returns, when persuasive evidence of a sale exists: that is, a product is shipped under an agreement with a customer; risk of loss and title has passed to the customer; the fee is fixed or determinable; and collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured.

The Company records estimated reductions to revenue for customer and distributor programs and incentive offerings, including promotions and other volume-based incentives. Certain incentive programs require the Company to estimate based on industry experience the number of customers who will actually redeem the incentive. At March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, the Company had accrued \$23,889 and \$80,119 respectively, as its estimate for the foregoing deductions and allowances. In addition, for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, the Company had accrued \$0 and \$66,000 for possible returns or price markdowns associated with several large retailer accounts along with \$17,791 and \$21,207 of general allowance for potential returns. These accruals were recorded as bad debt expense, and an increase in allowance for bad debt, which reduced net trade receivables relating to retailers.

### Warranty and Return Reserves

The Company records warranty liabilities at the time of sale for the estimated costs that may be incurred under its basic warranty program. The specific warranty terms and conditions vary depending upon the product sold but generally include technical support, repair parts and labor for periods up to one year. Factors that affect the Company’s warranty liability include the number of installed units currently under warranty, historical and anticipated rates of warranty claims on those units, and cost per claim to satisfy the Company’s warranty obligation. Based upon the foregoing, the Company has recorded as of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 a provision for potential future warranty costs of \$22,105 and \$55,842, respectively.

The Company reserves for known and potential returns and associated refunds or credits related to such returns based upon historical experience. In certain cases, customers are provided a fixed allowance, usually in the 1% to 2% range, to cover returned goods from which this allowance is deducted from payments from such retailers. As of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, the Company has recorded a reserve for customer returns of \$44,315 and \$47,398,

respectively.

#### Shipping and Handling Costs

Shipping and handling costs associated with inbound freight are recorded in cost of revenue. Shipping and handling costs associated with freight out to customers are also included in cost of revenue. Shipping and handling charges paid by customers are included in product sales.

#### Deferred Rent

In July 2006, the Company entered into a facility lease with a term through January 2012, for its corporate offices in Boulder, Colorado. At March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, the Company had recorded deferred rent related to this agreement in the amount of \$22,513 and \$40,773, respectively, based on the difference between rent expense recorded and the rent payment obligation.

#### Deferred Debt Issuance Costs

The Company capitalizes debt issuance costs that are directly attributable to realizing the proceeds of the debt issued and it is amortized over the contractual life of the debt.

## Table of Contents

### Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information

ASC 280 (previous authoritative guidance: SFAS No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information) replaces the industry segment approach under previously issued pronouncements with the management approach. The management approach designates the internal organization that is used by management for allocating resources and assessing performance as the source of the Company's reportable segments. ASC 280 also requires disclosures about products and services, geographic areas and major customers. At present, the Company only operates in one segment.

### New Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs or ASU 2011-04, which changes the wording used to describe the requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements in order to improve consistency in the application and description of fair value between U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). ASU 2011-04 clarifies how the concepts of highest and best use and valuation premise in a fair value measurement are relevant only when measuring the fair value of nonfinancial assets and are not relevant when measuring the fair value of financial assets or of liabilities. In addition, the guidance expanded the disclosures for the unobservable inputs for Level 3 fair value measurements, requiring quantitative information to be disclosed related to (1) the valuation processes used, (2) the sensitivity of the fair value measurement to changes in unobservable inputs and the interrelationships between those unobservable inputs, and (3) use of a nonfinancial asset in a way that differs from the asset's highest and best use. The revised guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and early application by public entities is prohibited. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of adopting this guidance on its consolidated financial position and results of operations.

### Note 2 – Notes Payable and Long Term Debt

We relied upon a variety of debt funding sources to help meet our liquidity requirements:

#### First National Loan

On May 19, 2008, the Company and Jack J. Walker, then one of the Company's directors and now the Company's Chairman, acting as co-borrowers, entered into a Business Loan Agreement with First National Bank for a loan to the Company in a principal amount of up to \$1,000,000 (the "FNB Loan"). The FNB Loan had an initial maturity date of May 19, 2009, which was extended by various agreements between the Company and FNB.

Principal payments totaling \$408,439 were made by Mr. Walker against the FNB Loan during the loan term. The first \$150,000 of payments made by Mr. Walker was recorded by the Company as an offset to a \$150,000 receivable due from Mr. Walker. The remaining \$258,439 was recorded by the Company as promissory notes due to Mr. Walker, which carried an interest rate of 20% per annum. On May 7, 2010, the Company paid \$50,000 in principal and \$4,603 in interest to Mr. Walker. On June 24, 2010, Mr. Walker converted \$206,406 of principal and accrued interest on the promissory notes into three year convertible promissory notes (the "Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes") issued by the Company, as further described below under the caption "Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes." On June 28, 2010, the Company paid \$17,109 in remaining principal and interest to Mr. Walker.

On May 24, 2010, the Company paid the remaining balance on the FNB Loan of \$511,647, including accrued interest, and the FNB Loan was terminated.



## Table of Contents

### Revolving Credit Facility

On June 23, 2008, the Company entered into a Loan and Security Agreement with FCC, LLC d/b/a First Capital (“FCC”) for a revolving credit facility (the “Revolving Credit Facility”) to fund working capital requirements. The Revolving Credit Facility had an initial termination date of June 23, 2010. As collateral for the Revolving Credit Facility, the Company granted FCC a first priority security interest over all of the Company’s assets, including, but not limited to, accounts receivable, inventory, and equipment. From time to time, Jack J. Walker, the Company’s Chairman, provided guarantees of up to a maximum of \$1.5 million of the Company’s obligations under the Revolving Credit Facility. Through the term of the Revolving Credit Facility, the Company, FCC and Mr. Walker, as guarantor, entered into various agreements to amend the terms of the Revolving Credit Facility.

On May 3, 2010, the Company, FCC, and Jack J. Walker, as guarantor, executed a Forbearance Agreement and Fifth Amendment (the “Fifth Amendment”) effective as of April 30, 2010. Under the Fifth Amendment, FCC agreed to forbear from exercising its rights and remedies with regard to the Company’s non-compliance with financial covenants until May 21, 2010, if no other defaults occur. The Fifth Amendment enabled the Company to borrow up to \$600,000 more than would otherwise be permitted by the applicable borrowing base calculation under the Revolving Credit Facility until the earlier of (i) the Company closing on a sale of subordinated secured convertible promissory notes, or (ii) the termination date of the forbearance period. In addition, the Fifth Amendment set the maximum borrowing under the Revolving Credit Facility at \$2,000,000 until such time as the Company closed on a sale of subordinated secured convertible promissory notes, at which time the maximum borrowing amount was required to be reduced to \$1,000,000. The Fifth Amendment provided for a continuation of the \$500 per day forbearance fee set forth in the Fourth Amendment, with such fees related to both the Fourth Amendment and Fifth Amendment to be payable on the earlier of (i) May 21, 2010 or (ii) the date on which loans under the Revolving Credit Facility were repaid in full.

On May 24, 2010, the Company paid in full, amounts due under the Revolving Credit Facility, and the Revolving Credit Facility was terminated.

During Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010, Mr. Walker was paid a fee of \$25,000 for each year for guaranteeing the Company’s obligations under the Revolving Credit Facility.

### Main Power Promissory Note

On June 30, 2009, the Company entered into a Letter Agreement (“Letter Agreement”) with Main Power Electrical Factory, Ltd. (“Main Power”) and executed a Promissory Note. Pursuant to the terms of the Letter Agreement, Main Power agreed to release the Company from \$1,386,041 of existing obligations owed by the Company to Main Power in return for the execution of the Promissory Note for the same amount. In addition, the Letter Agreement included other provisions relating to the terms and conditions under which AeroGrow must purchase AeroGarden products from Main Power. The original Promissory Note had a final maturity of June 30, 2011, carried an interest rate of 8% per annum and called for principal payments of \$150,000 monthly beginning January 31, 2011, with a final payment of all principal and accrued but unpaid interest due on June 30, 2011.

Effective as of December 31, 2010, AeroGrow and Main Power entered into an agreement to amend various obligations owed by AeroGrow to Main Power. As part of the amendments, AeroGrow issued a new promissory note (the “Revised Main Power Note”) in the amount of \$2,162,046. The Revised Main Power Note retired and replaced the original Promissory Note, and also retired and replaced certain obligations totaling \$661,446 relating to raw material and finished goods inventory purchased and/or manufactured by Main Power on behalf of AeroGrow. The Revised Main Power Note has a final maturity of May 31, 2013, and carries an interest rate of 8% per annum. Interest and principal amounts are payable monthly, with total scheduled principal amortization of \$625,000 during calendar year 2011, \$1,075,000 during calendar year 2012, with the balance paid during calendar year 2013. As of March 31, 2011,

the outstanding balance under the Revised Main Power Note, including accrued interest, totaled \$2,103,600. Subsequent to the end of Fiscal 2011, AeroGrow fell behind on the scheduled payments because of its cash constraints and reached an informal arrangement with Main Power to defer payments for an unspecified period of time.

## Table of Contents

### Bridge Financing

On August 28 through September 1, 2009, the Company entered into bridge financing arrangements totaling \$500,000 (the “Bridge Loans”) with nine lenders who were directors or officers, or who had greater than a 10% beneficial ownership in the Company. The Bridge Loans were unsecured, subordinated to loans made to the Company by FCC, and accrued interest at 15% per annum. The Company issued 500,000 warrants to purchase common shares of the Company to the Lenders. Each of the warrants has a five-year term and an exercise price of \$0.25 per common share.

On May 6, 2010, \$430,466 of the Bridge Loans, inclusive of accrued interest, was converted into the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes issued by the Company, as further described below. In addition, on May 6, 2010, \$25,000 of the Bridge Loans was extended to a new maturity date of February 1, 2011. On June 24, 2010, an additional \$43,594 of the Bridge Loans, inclusive of accrued interest, was converted into the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes issued by the Company, as described below under the caption “Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes. On October 28, 2010, a payment of principal and interest totaling \$28,092 was made against the Bridge Loans. On January 19, 2011, the Company paid the remaining balance on the Bridge Loans of \$28,945, including accrued interest, and the Bridge Loans were terminated.

Between October 30 and November 9, 2009, the Company entered into additional bridge financing arrangements totaling \$580,000 (the “Additional Bridge Loans”) with five lenders. Directors, officers, and shareholders with greater than a 10% beneficial ownership in the Company extended \$400,000 of the Additional Bridge Loans. The remaining \$180,000 in Additional Bridge Loans was guaranteed by Jack J. Walker, the Company’s Chairman. The Additional Bridge Loans were unsecured, subordinated to loans made to the Company by FCC, and accrued interest at 20% per annum. The Company issued 580,000 warrants to purchase common shares of the Company to the Additional Lenders. Each of the warrants has a five-year term and an exercise price of \$0.25 per common share.

On May 6, 2010, \$504,534 of the Additional Bridge Loans, inclusive of accrued interest, was converted into the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes issued by the Company, as described below under the caption “Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes.” Of this amount, \$379,534 was converted by directors, officers, and shareholders with greater than a 10% beneficial ownership in the Company. In addition, on May 6, 2010, \$50,000 of the Additional Bridge Loans was extended to a new maturity date of February 1, 2011. On June 1, 2010, a payment of principal and interest totaling \$74,390 was made against the Additional Bridge Loans. On October 28, 2010, an interest payment \$5,464 was made against the Additional Bridge Loans. On February 11, 2011, a payment of principal and interest totaling \$25,000 was made against the Additional Bridge Loans. As of March 31, 2011, \$38,837 in Additional Bridge Loans were outstanding and past due, including accrued interest.

### First Western Trust Credit Facilities

On May 21, 2010, the Company and First Western Trust Bank (“FWTB”) executed a business loan agreement and related promissory note (the “FWTB Line of Credit”). The FWTB Line of Credit provides for loans by FWTB to the Company of up to a maximum of \$2 million at any given time, subject to the Company maintaining an equivalent amount of cash on deposit in a restricted account at FWTB. Loans under the FWTB Line of Credit bear interest at a fixed rate of 2.0% per annum. In addition, the Company paid FWTB an origination fee of \$2,500. The terms and conditions of the FWTB Line of Credit include limitations on the Company incurring additional debt and paying dividends on the Company’s stock without the consent of FWTB. In the event of a default under the FWTB Line of Credit, FWTB has the option to declare any loans outstanding immediately due and payable. During the Fiscal 2011 there were no borrowings under the FWTB line of credit. On October 22, 2010 the FWTB Line of Credit was terminated.



On May 21, 2010, the Company, FWTB and Jack J. Walker, the Company's Chairman, as guarantor, executed a business loan agreement and related promissory note (the "FWTB Term Loan") for a four-year loan in an initial principal amount of \$1 million. The FWTB Term Loan is secured by a lien on the Company's assets. In addition, Mr. Walker provided a guaranty of all Company obligations relating to the FWTB Term Loan. The Company paid Mr. Walker \$50,000 as compensation for guaranteeing the FWTB Term Loan. The FWTB Term Loan bears interest at a fixed rate of 7.25% per annum and the Company paid a \$12,500 origination fee to FWTB. The Company will make equal monthly payments of principal/interest over the four-year term of the FWTB Term Loan, which has a final maturity date of May 21, 2014. The terms and conditions of the FWTB Term Loan include limitations on the Company incurring additional debt and paying dividends on the Company's stock without the consent of FWTB. In the event of a default under the FWTB Term Loan, FWTB has the option to declare the loan immediately due and payable. As of March 31, 2011, there was \$816,151 outstanding under the FWTB Term Loan, including accrued interest.

Table of Contents

Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes

Beginning in March 2010, the Company began a private offering of units comprising an aggregate of up to \$8.4 million of 8% Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes and warrants to purchase 84,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock (the "Warrants"). The Company used the proceeds from the private offering to invest in advertising and marketing programs to support its direct-to-consumer business, provide general working capital, pay commissions and expenses related to the private offering, and repay certain outstanding obligations. The issuance of the Units (as defined below), the Additional Units (as defined below), and the Final Units (as defined below) was conducted in reliance upon exemptions from registration requirements under the Securities Act, including, without limitation, those under Rule 506 of Regulation D (as promulgated under the Securities Act). The Units, Additional Units, and Final Units were offered and sold only to investors who are "accredited investors," as defined in Rule 501 of Regulation D under the Securities Act. Because the units have not been registered under the Securities Act, investors will not be able to sell their Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes (or the shares of the Company's common stock issuable upon conversion of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes or conversion of the Warrants) in the United States absent an effective registration statement or an applicable exemption from registration.

On May 6, 2010, the Company closed on the private sale of units (the "Units") comprising an aggregate of \$4,200,000 in 8% Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes and an aggregate of 42,000,000 Warrants. The Units were sold at a price equal to the face value of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes. Consideration for the Units sold comprised \$3,265,000 in cash and \$935,000 from the conversion of existing obligations of the Company into the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes.

On June 24, 2010, the Company closed on the private sale of additional units (the "Additional Units") comprising an aggregate of \$2,600,000 in 8% Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes and an aggregate of 26,000,000 Warrants. The Additional Units were sold at a price equal to the face value of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes. The Company also received a commitment to purchase an additional \$200,000 face value of Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes under the same terms and conditions as the Additional Units. Consideration for the Additional Units sold comprised \$2,300,000 in cash and \$300,000 from the conversion of existing obligations of the Company into the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes.

On September 17, 2010, the Company closed on the private sale of units (the "Final Units") comprising an aggregate of \$220,000 in 8% Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes and an aggregate of 2,200,000 Warrants. The Final Units were sold at a price equal to the face value of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes. Consideration for the Final Units comprised \$220,000 in cash.

On September 17, 2010, the Company and GVC Capital LLC, the Company's placement agent, terminated the private offering.

The Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes bear interest at 8% per year, payable quarterly in cash, additional Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes, or in registered common stock of the Company, at the option of the Company, and mature on May 6, 2013. The Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes can be converted into shares of the Company's common stock at any time, initially at a conversion price of \$0.10 per share (the "Conversion Price"). The Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes will automatically convert into shares of the Company's common stock in the event (i) there is an effective registration statement registering the resale under the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") of the underlying stock ("Conversion Shares") or the Conversion Shares are eligible to be resold without restriction or limitation under Rule 144 under the Securities Act, and (ii) the closing bid price of the Company's common stock as quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board or other principal trading market is at least \$0.25 per share for 20 out of 30 consecutive trading days with an average daily trading volume of at least one million shares. The Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes are secured by a subordinated lien on all assets of the Company.



Table of Contents

Each Warrant entitles the holder to purchase one share of the Company's common stock at a price of \$0.20 per share, and contains customary anti-dilution rights (for stock splits, stock dividends and sales of substantially all the Company's assets) and piggyback registration rights. The Warrants expire May 6, 2015.

In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, the Company recorded a \$6,980,400 debt discount on the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes because the combined value of the Warrants and the beneficial conversion feature (resulting because the market price of the Company's shares on the date of issuance was greater than the Conversion Price of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes) exceeded the amount of Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes issued. The amortization of the \$6,980,400 debt discount will be reported as additional interest expense and increases in long-term debt over the three-year term of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes.

During Fiscal 2011, \$604,591 of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes and accrued interest was converted into common stock. The remaining unamortized debt discount of \$452,922 related to the amount converted was charged to interest expense during the quarters in which the conversions took place. Amortization of the debt discount on the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes amounted to \$1,942,695 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011. As of March 31, 2011, the remaining unamortized discount on the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes was \$4,584,783.

The Company paid \$534,263 in placement agent fees and related expenses in connection with the issuance of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes. This amount was recognized as deferred financing costs on the Company's balance sheet. These costs will be amortized to expense over the three-year term of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes. In addition, the Company granted warrants to purchase the Company's common stock to the placement agent for its Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes (the "Placement Agent Warrants"). The Company granted 7,020,000 Placement Agent Warrants with an exercise price of \$0.10 per common share and 7,020,000 Placement Agent Warrants with an exercise price of \$0.20 per common share. The Placement Agent Warrants have a five year term expiring May 6, 2015 and contain a cashless exercise provision. The value of the Placement Agent Warrants has been recognized as \$1,518,600 in deferred financing cost on the Company's balance sheet, which will be amortized to expense over the three-year term of the Subordinated Convertible Notes. For Fiscal 2011, the amortized deferred financing costs relating to the Subordinated Convertible Notes totaled \$629,894.

As of October 31, 2010, the Company issued new Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes to pay interest accrued through that date (the "Interest Notes"). A total of \$228,763 in Interest Notes, including \$30,942 in related party interest notes, was issued. The Interest Notes have the same terms and conditions as the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes.

As of January 31, 2011, the Company issued new Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes to pay interest accrued through that date (the "Additional Interest Notes"). A total of \$140,622 in Additional Interest Notes, which includes \$21,318 in related party interest notes, were issued. The Additional Interest Notes have the same terms and conditions as the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes and Interest Notes. As of March 31, 2011, the outstanding balance under the Subordinated Secured Convertible note, including accrued interest totaled \$2,299,500.

Table of Contents

Notes Payable - Credit Card Receipts-Backed Notes

On October 28, 2010 and November 5, 2010, the Company closed on the private sale of \$1.5 million in 15% secured convertible promissory notes, including \$450,000 in 15% related party secured convertible promissory notes, backed by a portion of the Company's prospective credit card receipts, (the "Credit Card Notes") and 5,000,000 warrants to purchase the Company's common stock (the "Credit Card Warrants") (collectively, the "Credit Card Offering"). Consideration for the Credit Card Offering comprised \$1.5 million in cash. Net cash proceeds to the Company after deducting a 2% sales commission (1% on company-referred investors) paid to GVC Capital LLC, the Company's placement agent, totaled \$1,474,500. In addition, the Company will pay a 3% deferred sales commission (2% on company-referred investors) to the placement agent concurrently with the repayment of principal of the Credit Card Notes.

The Company used the proceeds from the Credit Card Offering to invest in advertising and marketing programs to support its direct-to-consumer business, provide general working capital, pay commissions and expenses related to the private offering, and repay certain outstanding obligations. The issuance of the Credit Card Offering was conducted in reliance upon exemptions from registration requirements under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act"), including, without limitation, those under Rule 506 of Regulation D (as promulgated under the Securities Act). The Credit Card Offering was offered and sold to six (6) investors who are "accredited investors," as defined in Rule 501(a) of Regulation D under the Securities Act. Because the Credit Card Offering has not been registered under the Securities Act, the securities sold in the Offering, including the Credit Card Notes, Credit Card Warrants and shares of common stock underlying the Credit Card Notes and Warrants are "restricted securities" within the meaning of Rule 144 under the Securities Act, and investors will not be able to sell their Credit Card Notes (or the shares of the Company's common stock issuable upon conversion of the Credit Card Notes or exercise of the Credit Card Warrants) in the United States absent an effective registration statement or an applicable exemption from registration.

The Credit Card Notes bear interest at 15% per annum, have a final maturity of July 28, 2011, and can be converted at any time into common shares of the Company at a conversion price of \$0.18 per share. 20% of the Company's daily credit card receipts will be held in escrow with First Western Trust Bank under an Escrow and Account Control Agreement to fund bi-weekly payments of principal and interest to the investors in the Credit Card Offering.

Each Credit Card Warrant entitles the holder to purchase one share of the Company's common stock at a price of \$0.20 per share, and contains customary anti-dilution rights (for stock splits, stock dividends and sales of substantially all the Company's assets) and piggyback registration rights. The Warrants expire October 28, 2015.

The obligation of the Company to repay certain of the Credit Card Notes is severally guaranteed by Jack J. Walker, the Company's Chairman and CEO (up to \$500,000), J. Michael Wolfe, Chief Operating Officer (up to \$200,000) and H. MacGregor Clarke, Chief Financial Officer (up to \$100,000). The guarantors have executed a Contribution Agreement between and among themselves to enforce the limited several guaranties, and the Company has executed an Indemnity Agreement to hold harmless the guarantors from any future liability under the guaranties.

In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, the Company recorded a \$90,000 debt discount on the Credit Card Offering. The amortization of the \$90,000 debt discount will be reported as additional interest expense and increases in notes payable over the estimated payoff period of the Credit Card Notes.

Amortization of the debt discount on the Credit Card Notes amounted to \$71,498 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011. As of March 31, 2011, the remaining unamortized discount on the Credit Card Notes was \$18,502.

The Company incurred \$80,659 in paid and deferred placement agent fees and related expenses in connection with the issuance of the Credit Card Notes. This amount was recognized as deferred financing costs on the Company's balance

sheet. These costs will be amortized to interest expense over the estimated payoff period of the Credit Card Notes. In addition, for nominal consideration, the Company sold a total of 1,333,333 warrants to purchase the Company's common stock to the placement agent (the "Placement Agent Warrants"). 833,333 of the Placement Agent Warrants have an exercise price of \$0.18 per share of common stock. 500,000 of the Placement Agent Warrants have an exercise price of \$0.20 per share of common stock. The Placement Agent Warrants have a five-year term and contain a cashless exercise provision. The value of the Placement Agent Warrants has been recognized as \$30,000 in deferred financing cost on the Company's balance sheet, which will be amortized to interest expense over the estimated payoff period of the Credit Card Notes. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, the total amortized deferred financing costs relating to the Credit Card Offering totaled \$87,258.

On November 5, 2010 the Company and the placement agent terminated the private offering.

As of March 31, 2011, \$580,621 in Credit Card Notes was outstanding, including accrued interest.

Table of Contents

## Debt Payment Obligations

The timing of the Company's estimated contractual obligations, including accrued interest and excluding unamortized discounts, as of March 31, 2011 is as follows:

	Fiscals Years Ended March 31				
	Total Amounts	2012	2013	2014	2015
Notes payable	\$ 580,621	\$ 580,621	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Long-term debt	9,842,870	1,093,653	1,380,343	7,321,086	47,788
Total	\$ 10,423,491	\$ 1,674,274	\$ 1,380,343	\$ 7,321,086	\$ 47,788

The amounts do not include the discounts related to the value of embedded warrants, the beneficial conversion feature and the related loan origination fees.

## Note 3 – Equity Compensation Plans

In 2003, the Company's Board of Directors approved a Stock Option Plan (the "2003 Plan") pursuant to which nonqualified stock options were reserved for issuance to eligible employees, consultants and directors of the Company. The 2003 Plan was administered by the Board of Directors, which had the authority to select the individuals to whom awards were to be granted, the number of shares of common stock to be covered by each award, the vesting schedule of stock options, and all other terms and conditions of each award. The Company has granted nonqualified stock options to purchase shares of common stock to certain employees at exercise prices ranging from \$0.01 to \$5.00 per share. In August 2005, the 2003 Plan was merged into the 2005 Equity Compensation Plan and it no longer separately exists. However, options issued and outstanding under the 2003 Plan continue to be governed by the original grant agreements but are administered under the 2005 Equity Compensation Plan.

In August 2005, the Company's Board of Directors approved the 2005 Equity Compensation Plan (the 2005 Plan) pursuant to which both qualified and nonqualified stock options as well as restricted shares of common stock are reserved for issuance to eligible employees, consultants and directors of the Company. A total of 13,505,000 shares of our common stock may be granted under the 2005 Plan as the original 1,505,000 was increased by 2,000,000 shares on October 1, 2008 and 10,000,000 shares on July 25, 2010.

The 2005 Plan is administered by the Company's compensation committee which has the authority to select the individuals to whom awards are to be granted, the number of shares of common stock to be covered by each award, the vesting schedule of stock options, and all other terms and conditions of each award. The Company has granted qualified stock options to purchase shares of common stock to certain employees at exercise prices ranging from \$0.01 to \$6.00 per share.

The Company accounts for share-based payments in accordance with ASC 710-10-55 (prior authoritative guidance: FASB Statement FASB 123(R) Share-Based Payments). In addition to recognizing compensation expense related to restricted stock and performance units, ASC 710-10-55 also requires recognition of compensation expense related to the estimated fair value of stock options. The Company uses the modified-prospective-transition method. Under that transition method, compensation expense recognized subsequent to adoption includes: (a) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the values estimated in accordance with the provisions of ASC 710-10-55, and (b) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair values estimated in accordance with the provisions of ASC

710-10-55. Consistent with the modified-prospective-transition method, the Company's results of operations for prior periods have not been adjusted to reflect the adoption of ASC 710-10-55.



Table of Contents

For the years ended March 31, 2011, March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2009, respectively, the Company granted 8,890,000, 1,744,973, and 1,781,701 options to purchase the Company's common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$0.07 to \$2.96 per share under the 2005 Plan as follows:

	Years ended March 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Employees	8,890,000	1,441,573	1,641,591
Consultants	-	400	13,110
Directors	-	303,000	127,000
	8,890,000	1,744,973	1,781,701

In November 2007, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a resolution authorizing that an increase of 1.0 million shares in the 2005 Plan be submitted as a matter to be voted on by the shareholders of the Company at the next annual shareholders meeting and that all grants of options in excess of the 1,505,000 shares allowable pursuant to the current 2005 Plan be issued subject to such shareholder approval. On July 23, 2008, the Board of Directors approved a revised resolution that increased the number of shares to be added to the 2005 Plan by 2,000,000. Included in the options granted for the year ended March 31, 2008 were 221,063 options which exceeded the 1,505,000 shares eligible to be issued under the 2005 Plan. On October 1, 2008, the shareholders of the Company approved the increase of 2,000,000 shares for the 2005 Plan.

In March 2007, in as much as the Company's stock had begun trading in the public market but trading history was limited, the Company reviewed the assumptions utilized for the volatility rate, researching companies within the consumer products category with comparable market capitalizations, comparable per share prices and with a minimum of five years of trading history, the length of time the options are exercisable. Accordingly, for the options granted for the period January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007, the Company used the following weighted average assumptions: no dividend yield; expected volatility rate of 50.3%; risk free interest rate of 5%; and average lives of 3 years resulting in a value of \$2.28 per option granted based upon a market value of \$5.90 per share on the date of grant. The foregoing assumptions were re-evaluated in March 2008 using the same comparison companies for the options granted for the period January 1, 2008 through October 19, 2009, the Company used the following weighted average assumptions: no dividend yield; expected volatility rate of 61.0%; risk free interest rate of 5%; and average lives of 3 years resulting in values of \$.18 to \$2.61 per option granted based upon market values on the date of grant. For the options granted in January 2010, the Company used the following weighted average assumptions: no dividend yield; expected volatility rate of 103.0%; risk free interest rate of 5%; and average lives of 3 years resulting in values of \$.09 and \$.11 per option granted based upon market values on the date of grant. Accordingly, for the options granted on June 23, 2010, December 8, 2010, and January 14, 2011, the Company used the following weighted average assumptions: no dividend yield; expected volatility rates of 122.24%, 122.57% and 121.05%, respectively; risk free interest rates of 1.0%, 1.0% and 1.0%, respectively; and average lives of 3 years resulting in values of \$0.10, \$0.06 and \$0.05, respectively, based on the market values on the date of grant. As a result of recognizing compensation expense for stock options pursuant to the provisions of ASC 710-10-55, the net loss for the years ended March 31, 2011, March 31, 2010 and the March 31, 2009, was increased by \$138,804, \$513,143 and \$676,614, respectively.

Table of Contents

A summary of option activity in the 2005 Plan is as follows:

	Options	Exercise price		Weighted-Average
		Low	High	
Balances at April 1, 2008				
	1,553,951	\$ 0.01	\$ 5.90	\$ 4.46
Granted	1,781,701	\$ 0.18	\$ 2.96	\$ 1.07
Exercised	(43,576 )	\$ 0.50	\$ 2.95	\$ 0.60
Forfeited	(273,003 )	\$ 0.01	\$ 5.90	\$ 2.52
Balances at March 31, 2009				
	3,019,073	\$ 0.01	\$ 5.90	\$ 2.77
Granted	1,744,973	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.09
Exercised	(4,075 )	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01
Forfeited	(1,591,509 )	\$ 0.21	\$ 5.90	\$ 3.65
Balances at March 31, 2010				
	3,168,462	\$ 0.18	\$ 5.90	\$ 0.83
Granted	8,890,000	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.08
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Forfeited	(938,706 )	\$ 0.12	\$ 5.00	\$ 2.27
Balances at March 31, 2011				
	11,119,756	\$ 0.07	\$ 5.90	\$ 0.12

Information regarding all stock options outstanding under the 2005 Plan as of March 31, 2011 is as follows:

Exercise price range	OPTIONS OUTSTANDING				OPTIONS EXERCISABLE			
	Options	Weighted-average Remaining Contract Life (years)	Weighted-average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value	Options	Weighted-average Remaining Contract Life (years)	Weighted-average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Over \$0.00 to \$0.50	11,075,425	4.31	\$0.09		3,649,610	3.55	\$0.12	
Over \$0.50 to \$2.50	-	-	\$-		-	-	\$-	
Over \$2.50 to \$5.00	-	-	\$-		-	-	\$-	
Over \$5.00 to \$5.50	19,331	0.58	\$5.00		19,331	0.58	\$5.00	
Over \$5.50	25,000	0.97	\$5.90		25,000	0.97	\$5.90	
	11,119,756	4.30	\$0.12	\$ -	3,693,941	3.51	\$0.19	\$ -

The aggregate intrinsic value in the preceding table represents the difference between the Company's closing stock price and the exercise price of each in-the-money option on the last trading day of the period presented.

At March 31, 2011 the Company has granted options for 7,425,815 shares of the Company's common stock that are unvested that will result in \$441,707 of compensation expense over the next 21 months.



Table of Contents

## Note 4 – Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with ASC 740, Income Taxes (formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No., 109, Accounting for Income Taxes). Under the provisions of ASC 740, a deferred tax asset or liability (net of a valuation allowance) is provided in the financial statements by applying the provisions of applicable laws to measure the deferred tax consequences of temporary differences that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years as a result of events recognized in the financial statements in the current or preceding years.

Income tax provision consisted of the following:

Income tax provision consisted of the following:

	2011	2010
Current:		
Federal	\$ -	\$ -
Foreign		
State	2,056	1,586
	2,056	1,586
Deferred:		
Federal	-	-
Foreign	-	-
State	-	-
	-	-
Income Tax Provision	\$ 2,056	\$ 1,586

Reconciliation of effective tax rate:

	2011		2010	
Federal taxes at statutory rate	34.00	%	34.00	%
State taxes, net of federal benefit	3.56	%	2.98	%
Permanent items	-0.68	%	-1.88	%
Change in Effective Tax Rate	3.00	%	0.00	%
Other Adjustments	0.91	%	-1.26	%
Valuation Allowance	-40.82	%	-33.87	%
Effective income tax rate	-0.03	%	-0.03	%

	2011	2010
Deferred tax assets:		
Net Operating Loss	\$ 19,041,350	\$ 16,115,294
R & D Credit carryforwards	609,807	622,739
Intangibles and Fixed Assets	166,354	85,159
Accrued Compensation	77,431	280,344
Allowance for Bad Debt	31,998	32,426
Reserve for Customer Returns	16,676	17,626
Warranty Reserve	8,318	20,766
Other Accrued Liabilities	-	-
Prepaid Expenses	-	-

Edgar Filing: AeroGrow International, Inc. - Form 10-K

SFAS 123R	1,545,249	1,526,407
Charitable Contributions	6,760	4,778
Other	109,483	-
Gross deferred tax assets	21,613,426	18,705,539
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Other	(3,662 )	-
Prepaid Expenses	(67,659 )	(80,461 )
Gross deferred tax liabilities	(71,321 )	(80,461 )
Net deferred tax assets before valuation allowance	21,542,105	18,625,078
Valuation Allowance	(21,542,105)	(18,625,078)
Deferred Tax Assets (Liabilities), Net	\$ -	\$ -

## Table of Contents

The Tax Reform Act of 1986 contains provisions that limit the utilization of net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards if there has been a change of ownership as described in Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Company has not prepared an analysis to determine if a change of ownership has occurred. Such a change of ownership may limit the Company's utilization of its net operating losses.

At March 31, 2011 approximately \$51.1 million of net operating loss carryforwards for federal income tax purposes were available to offset future taxable income through the year 2030. As of March 31, 2011 approximately \$546 thousand of the net operating loss carry-forwards are attributable to stock options, the benefit of which will be credited to additional paid-in capital if realized. The ultimate realization of these assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income sufficient to offset the related deductions and loss carryforwards within the applicable carryforward period. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the periods in which the deferred tax assets are deductible, management believes it is not more likely than not that the Company will realize the benefits of these deductible differences at March 31, 2011.

ASC 740 provides detailed guidance for the financial statement recognition, measurement and disclosure of uncertain tax positions recognized in the financial statements. Tax positions must meet a "more-likely-than-not" recognition threshold before a benefit is recognized in the financial statements. As of March 31, 2011, the Company has not recorded a liability for uncertain tax positions. Included in net deferred tax assets is \$610 thousand of research credits. While the company believes that the credit calculations are correct, it is possible that upon an examination by taxing authorities, the research credits available to offset future taxable income may be reduced in whole or in part. However, as the company is not currently recognizing a benefit for the research credits, there is no impact to the financial statements pursuant to ASC 740. There have been no income tax related interest or penalties assessed or recorded and if interest and penalties were to be assessed, the Company would charge interest and penalties to income tax expense. It is not anticipated that unrecognized tax benefits would significantly increase or decrease within 12 months of the reporting date. The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. and various state jurisdictions and there are open statutes of limitations for taxing authorities to audit the Company's tax returns from years ended March 31, 2007 through the current period.

### Note 5 – Related Party Transactions

See Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements for discussion related to debt transactions involving our officers, directors and 5% or greater shareholders.

### Note 6 – Commitments and Contingencies

We lease a 21,012 square foot office space in, Boulder, Colorado, with a current monthly rent of \$19,261. We also pay our proportionate share of building taxes, insurance and operating expenses. The initial term continues until January 31, 2012, unless modified under specified circumstances. The agreement contains other standard office lease provisions.

During Fiscal 2011, the Company also rented a warehouse and manufacturing space in Indianapolis, Indiana. The Indianapolis facility houses our distribution and fulfillment operations and our seed kit manufacturing operations. The lease was for approximately 90,400 square feet of space in this facility at a monthly rental rate of \$16,950 with no additional operating expenses. The initial term of the lease expired on February 28, 2011. After the initial term expired, the Company rented this facility on a month-to-month basis at a monthly rental rate of \$20,717 with no additional operating expenses. The Company moved completely out of the facility in May 2011.

Future cash payments under such operating lease for the remaining years of the leases are as follows:

Year Ended	Rent
March 31, 2012	366,115
\$366,115	

Rent expense for the years ended March 31, 2011, March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2009, was \$503,731 \$543,676, and \$807,702, respectively.

Table of Contents

Note 7 – Stockholders’ Equity

In September 2007, the Company completed a private offering in which it sold an aggregate of 800,000 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase 800,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$8.00 per share in the form of units consisting of one share of common stock and one warrant per unit, (the “September 2007 Offering”). The units were sold at a per unit price of \$6.25. Upon closing of offering, the Company received gross proceeds of \$5,000,000, less a placement agent fee in the amount of \$400,000 and approximately \$170,000 in other expenses related to the offering. In addition, the Company issued warrants to purchase 80,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$8.25 per share to the placement agent of this offering.

During the year ended March 31, 2008, the Company received proceeds, net of \$5,000 in expenses, of \$987,500 from the exercise of warrants to purchase 174,750 shares of the Company’s common stock at prices ranging from \$2.50 to \$6.25 per share.

On August 1, 2007, the Company entered into an agreement with an investor relations firm that included a grant of a five year warrant to purchase 50,000 shares of the Company’s common stock at an exercise price of \$6.96, the closing market price as of the date of the agreement. The warrants vested monthly over a one year period. The Company used the following weighted average assumptions for valuation of the warrants issued: no dividend yield; expected volatility rate of 50.3%; risk free interest rate of 6%; and average lives of three years, resulting in a total value of \$138,023 that was recognized monthly over the twelve month period.

Between November 17, 2008 and November 21, 2008, the Company agreed to reduce the per share exercise prices of certain of its outstanding warrants to purchase shares of its common stock from original exercise prices ranging from \$5.00 to \$8.25 to reduced exercise prices ranging from \$0.66 to \$0.75 in connection with an agreement by the holders of such warrants to immediately exercise such warrants. In connection with such warrant exercises, the Company received \$873,289 in cash proceeds and issued 1,189,979 shares of its common stock.

In connection with the warrant repricing and exercise of such warrants, the Company issued replacement warrants to such warrant holders (the “Replacement Warrants”), permitting the holder to purchase one share of the Company’s common stock for every two shares that were purchased pursuant to the exercise of such repriced warrants at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share. In total, Replacement Warrants representing the right to purchase 594,990 shares of Company’s common stock were issued, and the Replacement Warrants have termination dates ranging from September 13, 2010 to September 4, 2014.

As noted above in Note 2 “Notes Payable and Long Term Debt”, on May 6, 2010, the Company closed on the private sale of Units comprising an aggregate of \$4,200,000 in 8% Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes and an aggregate of 42,000,000 Warrants. The Units were sold at a price equal to the face value of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes. Consideration for the Units sold comprised \$3,265,000 in cash and \$935,000 from the conversion of existing obligations of the Company into the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes. The Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes can be converted at the option of the holders into the Company’s common stock at a conversion price of \$0.10 per share. Under certain circumstances, the Company can force conversion of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes.

As noted above in Note 2 “Notes Payable and Long Term Debt”, on June 24, 2010, the Company closed on the private sale of Additional Units comprising an aggregate of \$2,600,000 in 8% Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes and an aggregate of 26,000,000 Warrants. The Additional Units were sold at a price equal to the face value of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes. Consideration for the Additional Units sold comprised \$2,300,000 in cash and \$300,000 from the conversion of existing obligations of the Company into the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes. The Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes can be converted at the option of the holders into common stock



of AeroGrow at a conversion price of \$0.10 per share. Under certain circumstances, the Company can force conversion of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes.

As noted above in Note 2 “Notes Payable and Long Term Debt”, on September 17, 2010, the Company closed on the private sale of Final Units comprising an aggregate of \$220,000 in 8% Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes and an aggregate of 2,200,000 Warrants. The Final Units were sold at a price equal to the face value of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes. Consideration for the Final Units sold comprised \$220,000. The Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes can be converted at the option of the holders into common stock of AeroGrow at a conversion price of \$0.10 per share. Under certain circumstances, the Company can force conversion of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes.

Each Warrant issued as part of the Units, Additional Units, or Final Units entitles the holder to purchase one share of the Company's common stock at a price of \$0.20 per share, and contains customary anti-dilution rights (for stock splits, stock dividends and sales of substantially all the Company's assets) and piggyback registration rights. The Warrants expire May 6, 2015.

Table of Contents

The Company granted warrants to purchase the Company's common stock to the placement agent for its Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes (the "Placement Agent Warrants"). The Company granted 7,020,000 Placement Agent Warrants with an exercise price of \$0.10 per common share and 7,020,000 Placement Agent Warrants with an exercise price of \$0.20 per common share. The Placement Agent Warrants have a five year term expiring May 6, 2015 and contain a cashless exercise provision. The value of the Placement Agent Warrants has been recognized as \$1,518,600 in deferred financing costs on the Company's balance sheet, which will be amortized to expense over the three-year term of the Subordinated Convertible Notes. For Fiscal 2011, the amortized deferred financing costs relating to the Subordinated Convertible Notes Placement Agent Warrants totaled \$463,113.

As noted above in Note 2 "Notes Payable and Long Term Debt", on October 28, 2010 and November 5, 2010, the Company closed on the private sale of \$1.5 million in 15% Credit Card Notes and an aggregate of 5,000,000 Warrants. Consideration for the Credit Card Offering comprised \$1.5 million in cash. The Credit Card Notes can be converted at the option of the holders into common stock of AeroGrow at a conversion price of \$0.18 per share.

Each Credit Card Warrant entitles the holder to purchase one share of the Company's common stock at a price of \$0.20 per share, and contains customary anti-dilution rights (for stock splits, stock dividends and sales of substantially all the Company's assets) and piggyback registration rights. The Credit Card Warrants expire October 28, 2015.

The Company granted warrants to purchase the Company's common stock to the placement agent for its Credit Card Notes (the "Credit Card Note Placement Agent Warrants"). The Company granted 833,333 Credit Card Note Placement Agent Warrants with an exercise price of \$0.18 per common share and 500,000 Credit Card Note Placement Agent Warrants with an exercise price of \$0.20 per common share. The Credit Card Note Placement Agent Warrants have a five year term expiring October 28, 2015 and contain a cashless exercise provision. The value of the Credit Card Note Placement Agent Warrants has been recognized as \$30,000 in deferred financing cost on the Company's balance sheet, which will be amortized to interest expense over the estimated payoff period of the Credit Card Notes. For Fiscal 2011, the total amortized deferred financing costs relating to the Credit Card Note Placement Agent Warrants totaled \$23,656.

The shares of common stock issued upon exercise of the repriced warrants and the issuance of the Replacement Warrants were done in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder.

The Company's Articles of Incorporation authorize the issuance of 20,000,000 shares of preferred stock with \$.001 par value. As of March 31, 2011, 7,586 of preferred stock have been issued, 10 shares have been converted to common stock, as well as 4,164 warrants for preferred stock with a strike price of \$1,250.

A summary of the Company's warrant activity for the period from April 1, 2008 through March 31, 2011 is presented below:

	Warrants Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding, April 1, 2008	5,694,736	6.66	
Granted	935,991	1.65	
Exercised	(1,199,979)	.75	
Expired	(15,006)	2.50	
Outstanding, March 31, 2009	5,415,742	5.76	
Granted	1,320,000	.25	
Exercised	-	-	

Edgar Filing: AeroGrow International, Inc. - Form 10-K

	Expired	(462,352 )	2.00	
Outstanding, March 31, 2010		6,273,390	4.88	
	Granted	90,573,333	.19	
	Exercised	-	-	
	Expired	(2,846,795 )	5.90	
Outstanding, March 31, 2011		93,999,928	\$ .33	\$ -

Table of Contents

As of March 31, 2011, the Company had the following outstanding warrants to purchase its common stock:

Warrants Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Life (Yrs)
7,020,000	\$ 0.10	4.16
833,333	\$ 0.18	4.58
82,720,000	\$ 0.20	4.19
1,320,000	\$ 0.25	3.56
325,000	\$ 1.00	2.88
54,139	\$ 2.00	1.00
16,000	\$ 2.07	2.25
128,000	\$ 6.00	1.00
50,000	\$ 6.96	1.34
746,956	\$ 7.50	0.95
720,000	\$ 8.00	3.43
66,500	\$ 8.25	3.43
93,999,928	\$ 0.33	4.14

The Series A and Additional Series A Shares (the “Preferred Shares”) carry certain rights, preferences, and designations, including the right to convert each Preferred Share into 5,000 shares of the Company’s common stock. Each Preferred Share has an original issue price of \$1,000. The holders of the Preferred Shares are entitled to receive cumulative dividends in preference to any dividend on the Company’s common stock at the rate of 8% of the original issue price per annum, and are entitled to participate pro rata in any dividends paid on the Company’s common stock on an as-if-converted basis. In the event of a sale, liquidation, or other winding up of the Company, the holders of the Preferred Shares are entitled to receive in preference to the holders of the Company’s common stock a per share amount equal to the greater of (i) 1.5 times the original issue price, plus any accrued but unpaid dividends or (ii) the amount that the holder of a Preferred Share would otherwise receive in such event on an as-converted to common stock basis. The holders of the Preferred Shares vote along with holders of the Company’s common stock on an as-if-converted basis. Each Preferred Share shall have a number of votes equal to the number of shares of the Company’s common stock then issuable upon conversion of such Preferred Share. In addition, the holders of the Preferred Shares are entitled to elect three members of the Company’s Board of Directors by an affirmative vote or consent of the holders of at least a majority of the outstanding Preferred Shares, voting together as a single class. The Preferred Shares also carry certain other rights, including the right to block certain actions of the Company unless such actions are approved by a vote of the holders of the Preferred Shares voting together as a single class, anti-dilution provisions (the “Initial Anti-Dilution Provisions”), and other rights and preferences commonly associated with preferred shares.

The issuance of the Preferred Shares was conducted in reliance upon exemptions from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 (the “Securities Act”) including, without limitation, those under Rule 506 of Regulation D (as promulgated under the Securities Act). The Preferred Shares were offered and sold only to investors who are “accredited investors,” as defined in Rule 501 under the Securities Act.

On March 4, 2010, the anti-dilution provisions of the Preferred Shares were amended such that the effective conversion price of the Preferred Shares would be adjusted to the price at which new equity or equity-related instruments were issued, if these instruments were issued at prices below the effective conversion price of the Preferred Shares. Effective as of March 5, 2010, holders of 7,186 Preferred Shares agreed to waive the anti-dilution adjustments related to the issuance of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes on 75% of their shares. These holders also agreed to receive an adjustment related to the issuance of the Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes

equal to that provided by the Initial Anti-Dilution Provisions on the 25% balance of their Preferred Shares.

Note 8 – Subsequent Events

On April 12, 2011, the Company entered into a Distribution and Licensing Agreement with AG Worldwide, LLC and Cyrano Partners, LLC (“Cyrano”) pursuant to which AG Worldwide began sales of AeroGrow products into the network marketing sales channel. Also on April 12, 2011, the Company entered into a Transaction Agreement with Cyrano to form a joint venture to pursue the network marketing sales channel, subject to the achievement of certain conditions precedent, including an obligation on the part of Cyrano to raise the capital necessary to fund the joint venture. Cyrano was unable to satisfy the funding condition precedent and, effective as of August 5, 2011, the Distribution and Licensing Agreement and the Transaction Agreement were terminated.

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K/A-2, filed November 16, 2006)
- 3.2 Certificate of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation, dated June 25, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of our Current Report on Form 8-K/A-2, filed November 16, 2006)
- 3.3 Certificate of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation, dated November 3, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 of our Current Report on Form 8-K/A-2, filed November 16, 2006)
- 3.4 Certificate of Change to Articles of Incorporation, dated January 31, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 of our Current Report on Form 8-K/A-2, filed November 16, 2006)
- 3.5 Certificate of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation, dated July 27, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 of our Current Report on Form 8-K/A-2, filed November 16, 2006)
- 3.6 Certificate of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation, dated February 24, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 of our Current Report on Form 8-K/A-2, filed November 16, 2006)
- 3.7 Certificate of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation, certified May 3, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.7 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed August 12, 2010)
- 3.8 Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed September 26, 2008)
- 3.9 Amendment to Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.9 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009, filed July 6, 2009)
- 3.10 Certificate of Designations of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009, filed July 6, 2009)
- 3.11 Certificate of Amendment to Series A Convertible Preferred Stock Certificate of Designations, certified June 21, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.11 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter year ended June 30, 2010, filed August 12, 2010)
- 4.1 Form of Certificate of Common Stock of Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed September 5, 2007)
- 4.2 Form of 2005 Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)
- 4.3 Form of 2006 Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)
- 4.4 Form of 10% Convertible Note (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)
- 4.5

Edgar Filing: AeroGrow International, Inc. - Form 10-K

Form of \$10.00 Redeemable Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)

4.6 Form of \$15.00 Redeemable Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)

4.7 Form of Conversion Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)

Table of Contents

- 4.8 Form of 2005 Placement Agent Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)
- 4.9 Form of 2006 Placement Agent Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.9 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)
- 4.10 Form of \$2.50 Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.10 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)
- 4.11 Form of \$5.00 Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.11 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)
- 4.12 Form of Convertible Note Modification Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 of our Current Report on Form 8-K/A-2, filed November 16, 2006)
- 4.13 Form of 2007 March Offering Investor Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 16, 2007)
- 4.14 Form of 2007 March Offering Agent Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 16, 2007)
- 4.15 Form of 2007 March Offering Second Tranche Investor Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.15 of our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, filed June 29, 2007)
- 4.16 Form of 2007 March Offering Second Tranche Agent Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.16 of our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, filed June 29, 2007)
- 4.17 Form of 2007 September Offering Investor Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed September 5, 2007)
- 4.18 Form of 2007 September Offering Agent Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed September 5, 2007)
- 4.19 Form of Series A Preferred Stock Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.19 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009, filed July 6, 2009)
- 4.20 Investor Rights Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.20 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009, filed July 6, 2009)
- 4.21 Form of Credit Card Note, or Series 2010B Secured 15% Convertible Promissory Note (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed November 3, 2010)
- 4.22 Form of Credit Card Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed November 3, 2010)
- 10.1 Lease Agreement between the Company and United Professional Management, Inc. dated October 1, 2003, as amended by a Lease Amendment dated October 7, 2003, and a Lease Amendment dated April 7, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)



10.2 2003 Stock Option Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)

10.3 Form of Stock Option Agreement relating to the 2003 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)

Table of Contents

- 10.4 2005 Equity Compensation Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Appendix A of our Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, filed July 28, 2010)
- 10.5 Form of Stock Option Agreement relating to the 2005 Equity Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)
- 10.6 Form of Restricted Stock Grant Agreement relating to the 2005 Equity Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)
- 10.7 Form of Lock-up Agreement for certain investors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)
- 10.8 Business Lease dated December 8, 2004, between the Company and Investors Independent Trust Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)
- 10.9 Contract between the Company and Innotrac Corporation dated October 7, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)
- 10.10 Manufacturing Agreement among Mingkeda Industries Co., LTD., Source Plus, Inc. and the Company dated September 30, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)
- 10.11 Form of Subscription Agreement relating to the issuance of our convertible notes and redeemable 2005 warrants (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)
- 10.12 Form of Assignment of Application Agreement between the Company and our executives, employees and consultants (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)
- 10.13 Form of Non-disclosure Agreement between the Company and our executives, employees and consultants (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)
- 10.14 Form of Statement of Confidentiality, Non-Disclosure and Non-Compete Agreement between AeroGrow and our employees, consultants and other third-party contractors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)
- 10.15 Letter agreement dated July 15, 2005 between the Company and Patrice Tanaka & Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)
- 10.16 Production Agreement dated October 3, 2005, between AeroGrow and Respond2, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 7, 2006)
- 10.17 Waiver and Amendment No. 1 to Agreement and Plan of Merger (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 of our Current Report on Form 8-K/A-2, filed November 16, 2006)
- 10.18 Lease Agreement with Pawnee Properties, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed August 2, 2006)
- 10.19

Edgar Filing: AeroGrow International, Inc. - Form 10-K

Registration Rights Agreement dated as of March 12, 2007, by and between the Company and the other parties thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 16, 2007)

10.20 Product Supply Agreement between the Company and Global Infomercial Services, Inc., dated May 30, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 4, 2007)

10.21 Registration Rights Agreement dated as of March 30, 2007, by and between the Company and the other parties thereto. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 of our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended March 31, 2007)

10.22 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of September 4, 2007, by and between the Company and the other parties thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed September 5, 2007)

Table of Contents

- 10.23 Commitment for Credit Facility by and between the Company and FCC, LLC, d/b/a First Capital, dated May 20, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed May 23, 2008)
- 10.24 Business Loan Agreement by and between the Company, Jack J. Walker and First National Bank, dated May 16, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed May 23, 2008)
- 10.25 Promissory Note made by the Company and Jack J. Walker, dated May 16, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed May 23, 2008)
- 10.26 Commercial Pledge Agreement by and between the Company, Jack J. Walker and First National Bank, dated May 16, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed May 23, 2008)
- 10.27 Loan Agreement by and between the Company, Jack J. Walker, and WLoans, LLC, dated May 19, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed May 23, 2008)
- 10.28 Promissory Note made by the Company, dated May 19, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed May 23, 2008)
- 10.29 Letter Agreement between the Company and H. MacGregor (Greg) Clarke, dated May 21, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed May 28, 2008)
- 10.30 Loan and Security Agreement by and between FCC, LLC, d/b/a First Capital and the Company, dated June 24, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 30, 2008)
- 10.31 Validity Agreement, by and between H. MacGregor Clarke, the Company and First Capital, dated June 24, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 30, 2008)
- 10.32 Agreement between Benefactor Funding Corp, the Company and FCC, LLC, d/b/a First Capital, dated June 24, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 30, 2008)
- 10.33 Irrevocable Standby Letter of Credit in favor of Benefactor Funding Corp. in the amount of \$343,092.34, dated June 25, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 30, 2008)
- 10.34 Irrevocable Standby Letter of Credit in favor of Benefactor Funding Corp. in the amount of \$38,193.66, dated June 25, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 30, 2008)
- 10.35 First Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement between the Company and FCC, LLC d/b/a/ First Capital, dated July 31, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed August 6, 2008)
- 10.36 Employment Agreement between the Company and H. MacGregor Clarke, dated as of May 23, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, for the period ended September 30, 2008)
- 10.37 Second Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement between the Company and FCC, LLC d/b/a/ First Capital, dated October 24, 2008 (incorporated by referenced to Exhibit 10.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed

October 30, 2008)

- 10.38 Temporary Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement between the Company and FCC, LLC d/b/a/ First Capital, dated October 27, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed October 30, 2008)
- 10.39 Forbearance Agreement among the Company, FCC, LLC d/b/a/ First Capital, and Jack J. Walker, effective January 31, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, for the period ended December 31, 2008)
- 10.40 Temporary Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement between the Company and FCC, LLC d/b/a/ First Capital, effective as of January 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed January 21, 2009)

Table of Contents

- 10.41 Liquidity Performance Award Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.54 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009, filed July 6, 2009)
- 10.42 Change in Terms Agreement among the Company, First National Bank, and Jack J. Walker, dated May 19, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.55 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009, filed July 6, 2009)
- 10.43 Loan Extension Agreement among the Company, WLoans, LLC, and Jack J. Walker, effective June 30, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009, filed July 6, 2009)
- 10.44 Second Forbearance Agreement among the Company, FCC, LLC d/b/a First Capital, and Jack J. Walker, effective as of April 29, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.57 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009, filed July 6, 2009)
- 10.45 Waiver and Third Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement among the Company, FCC, LLC d/b/a First Capital, and Jack J. Walker, effective as of July 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009, filed July 6, 2009)
- 10.46 Promissory Note made by the Company in favor of Main Power Electrical Factory Ltd. Dated June 30, 2009 (incorporated (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, filed August 19, 2009)
- 10.47 Change in Terms Agreement, effective July 19, 2009, among First National Bank, Jack J. Walker, and the Company (incorporated (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, filed November 19, 2009)
- 10.48 Temporary Amendment to the Loan and Security Agreement between the Company and FCC, LLC, d/b/a First Capital, effective as of September 30, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, filed November 19, 2009)
- 10.49 Waiver Agreement between the Company and FCC, LLC, d/b/a First Capital, dated November 19, 2009 (incorporated (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, filed November 19, 2009)
- 10.50 Promissory Note in favor of Lazarus Investment Partners LLLP, dated as of September 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, filed November 19, 2009)
- 10.51 Subordination and Assignment Agreements effective September 1, 2009 among FCC, LLC, d/b/a First Capital, Lazarus Investment Partners LLLP, and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, filed November 19, 2009)
- 10.52 Promissory Note in favor of Jack J. Walker, dated August 28, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, filed November 19, 2009)
- 10.53 Subordination and Assignment Agreements effective August 28, 2009 among FCC, LLC, d/b/a First Capital, Jack J. Walker and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, filed November 19, 2009)

- 10.54 Promissory Note in favor of Michael S. Barish, effective September 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, filed November 19, 2009))
- 10.55 Promissory Note in favor of Jervis B. Perkins, effective September 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, filed November 19, 2009)
- 10.56 Subordination and Assignment Agreements effective August 28, 2009 among FCC, LLC, d/b/a First Capital, Jervis B. Perkins and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, filed November 19, 2009)
- 10.57 Promissory Note in favor of J. Michael Wolfe, effective September 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, filed November 19, 2009)
- 10.58 Subordination and Assignment Agreements effective September 1, 2009 among FCC, LLC, d/b/a First Capital, J. Michael Wolfe and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, filed November 19, 2009)
- 10.59 Promissory Note in favor of H. Leigh Severance, effective September 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, filed November 19, 2009)
- 10.60 Subordination and Assignment Agreements effective September 1, 2009 among FCC, LLC, d/b/a First Capital, H. Leigh Severance and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, filed November 19, 2009)

Table of Contents

- 10.61 Form of Promissory Note (Bridge Loan) between the Company and Lazarus Investment Partners LLP, Michael S. Barish, Jervis B. Perkins and J. Michael Wolfe, dated October 30, 2009 through November 5, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2009, filed February 17, 2010)
- 10.62 Form of Promissory Note (Bridge Loan) between the Company and Grad Wurn LLC, dated November 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2009, filed February 17, 2010)
- 10.63 Form of Subordination Agreement between the Company and Lazarus Investment Partners LLP, Michael S. Barish, Jervis B. Perkins, J. Michael Wolfe, and Grad Wurn LLC, dated October 30, 2009 through November 5, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2009, filed February 17, 2010)
- 10.64 Forbearance Agreement and Fourth Amendment to the Loan and Security Agreement between FCC, LLC, d/b/a First Capital, the Company, and Jack J. Walker as Guarantor, effective as of February 15, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2009, filed February 17, 2010)
- 10.65 Waiver Agreement between FCC, LLC, d/b/a First Capital, the Company, and Jack J. Walker as Guarantor, effective as of November 19, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2009, filed February 17, 2010)
- 10.66 Pledge Agreement dated as of February 15, 2010 executed by Jack J. Walker in favor of FCC, LLC, d/b/a First Capital, supporting borrowings of the Company under the Loan and Security Agreement between FCC, LLC, d/b/a First Capital, and the Company, as amended and restated (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2009, filed February 17, 2010)
- 10.67 Forbearance Agreement and Fifth Amendment to the Loan and Security Agreement between the Company, FCC, LLC d/b/a First Capital, and Jack J. Walker, as Guarantor, effective as of April 29, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, filed August 12, 2010)
- 10.70 Form of Collateral Agent between the Company, holders of the 8% Subordinated Secured Convertible Promissory Notes, and the Placement Agent for the 8% Subordinated Secured Convertible Promissory Notes (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, filed August 12, 2010)
- 10.71 Security Agreement between the Company and the Collateral Agent for the 8% Subordinated Secured Convertible Promissory Notes (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, filed August 12, 2010)
- 10.72 Business Loan Agreement and Promissory Note between the Company and First Western Trust Bank, dated May 21, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, filed August 12, 2010)
- 10.73 Business Loan Agreement and Promissory Note between the Company, Jack J. Walker (as guarantor) and First Western Trust Bank, dated May 21, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, filed August 12, 2010)



- 10.74 Form of Guaranty Agreement dated October 21, 2010 for the benefit of the holders of the Credit Card Notes (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed November 3, 2010)
- 10.75 Contribution Agreement dated October 25, 2010 by and among Jack J. Walker, H. MacGregor Clarke and J. Michael Wolfe (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed November 3, 2010)
- 10.76 Indemnity Agreement dated October 25, 2010 by and among Jack J. Walker, H. MacGregor Clarke and J. Michael Wolfe (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed November 3, 2010)
- 10.77 Escrow and Account Control Agreement dated October 21, 2010 by and among the Company and First Western Trust Bank (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed November 3, 2010)
- 10.78 Form of Indemnification Agreement for Officers and Directors of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2010, filed November 10, 2010)
- 10.79 Promissory Note and related Agreement by AeroGrow International, Inc. and Main Power Electrical Factory Ltd dated as of December 31, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2010, filed February 14, 2011)

Table of Contents

- 10.80 Transaction Agreement dated April 12, 2011 by and among the Company, AG Worldwide, LLC and Cyrano Partners, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed April 12, 2011)
- 10.81 Distributor and License Agreement, dated April 12, 2011 by and among the Company and AG Worldwide, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed April 12, 2011)
- 10.82 Warehouse Services Agreement dated April 20, 2011, by and between the Company and Wildernest Logistics Solutions, Inc.
- 10.83 Transaction (Joint Venture) Agreement by and between the Company and Cyrano Partners LLC and AG Worldwide, LLC, dated April 12, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Appendix I to our Information Statement on Schedule 14C, filed April 29, 2011)
- 10.84 Distributor and License Agreement” dated April 12, 2011 by and between the Company and AG Worldwide, LLC (incorporated by reference to Appendix I to our Information Statement on Schedule 14C, filed April 29, 2011)
- 24.1\* Power of Attorney (included on the signature page to this Annual Report on Form 10-K)
- 31.1\* Chief Executive Officer Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2\* Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1\* Chief Executive Officer Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2\* Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

\* Filed herewith

Table of Contents