

CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD
Form 10-Q
August 10, 2015

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2015

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 0-25248

CONSOLIDATED WATER CO. LTD.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

CAYMAN ISLANDS
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

98-0619652
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Description	Page
PART	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	4
I		
Item 1	<u>Financial Statements</u>	4
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2015 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2014</u>	4
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014</u>	5
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited) for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014</u>	6
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014</u>	7
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	8
Item 2	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	22
Item 3	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	34
Item 4	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	34
PART	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	34
II		
Item 1A	<u>Risk Factors</u>	34
Item 2	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	36
Item 6	<u>Exhibits</u>	37
	<u>SIGNATURES</u>	38

NOTE REGARDING CURRENCY AND EXCHANGE RATES

Unless otherwise indicated, all references to “\$” or “US\$” are to United States dollars.

The exchange rate for conversion of Cayman Island dollars (CI\$) into US\$, as determined by the Cayman Islands Monetary Authority, has been fixed since April 1974 at US\$1.20 per CI\$1.00.

The exchange rate for conversion of Belize dollars (BZE\$) into US\$, as determined by the Central Bank of Belize, has been fixed since 1976 at US \$0.50 per BZE\$1.00.

The exchange rate for conversion of Bahamas dollars (B\$) into US\$, as determined by the Central Bank of The Bahamas, has been fixed since 1973 at US\$1.00 per B\$1.00.

The official currency of the British Virgin Islands is the United States dollar.

Consolidated Water Co. Ltd.’s Netherlands subsidiary conducts business in US\$ and euros, its Indonesian subsidiary conducts business in US\$ dollars and Indonesian rupiahs, and its Mexico subsidiary conducts business in US\$ and Mexican pesos. The exchange rates for conversion of euros, rupiahs and Mexican pesos into US\$ vary based upon market conditions.

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION**ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****CONSOLIDATED WATER CO. LTD.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	June 30, 2015 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2014
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 39,322,529	\$ 35,713,689
Certificate of deposit	5,637,538	5,000,000
Restricted cash	473,283	456,083
Accounts receivable, net	9,143,006	11,773,744
Inventory	1,775,521	1,738,382
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,793,593	1,961,385
Current portion of loans receivable	1,783,145	1,726,310
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings - construction project	771,464	1,090,489
Total current assets	61,700,079	59,460,082
Property, plant and equipment, net	55,221,505	56,396,988
Construction in progress	1,677,824	1,900,016
Inventory, non-current	4,572,957	4,240,977
Loans receivable	4,704,856	5,610,867
Investment in OC-BVI	4,810,026	5,208,603
Intangible assets, net	849,856	927,900
Goodwill	3,499,037	3,499,037
Land held for development	20,558,424	20,558,424
Other assets	2,464,191	2,656,937
Total assets	\$ 160,058,755	\$ 160,459,831
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	\$ 4,231,276	\$ 5,962,015
Dividends payable	1,192,956	1,190,325
Demand loan payable	8,000,000	9,000,000
Total current liabilities	13,424,232	16,152,340

Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD - Form 10-Q

Other liabilities	224,827	224,827
Total liabilities	13,649,059	16,377,167
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity		
Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders' equity		
Redeemable preferred stock, \$0.60 par value. Authorized 200,000 shares; issued and outstanding 45,570 and 36,840 shares, respectively	27,342	22,104
Class A common stock, \$0.60 par value. Authorized 24,655,000 shares; issued and outstanding 14,740,605 and 14,715,899 shares, respectively	8,844,363	8,829,539
Class B common stock, \$0.60 par value. Authorized 145,000 shares; none issued	-	-
Additional paid-in capital	84,159,579	83,779,292
Retained earnings	50,934,385	49,000,621
Cumulative translation adjustment	(523,002)	(482,388)
Total Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders' equity	143,442,667	141,149,168
Non-controlling interests	2,967,029	2,933,496
Total equity	146,409,696	144,082,664
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 160,058,755	\$ 160,459,831

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED WATER CO. LTD.**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME****(UNAUDITED)**

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Retail revenues	\$6,152,185	\$6,499,257	\$12,287,823	\$12,612,218
Bulk revenues	8,187,273	9,966,194	16,569,589	19,925,930
Services revenues	146,211	466,381	294,369	742,294
Total revenues	14,485,669	16,931,832	29,151,781	33,280,442
Cost of retail revenues	2,771,598	3,119,483	5,648,386	6,050,859
Cost of bulk revenues	5,633,381	6,895,914	11,082,893	14,007,459
Cost of services revenues	212,748	546,389	497,635	881,653
Total cost of revenues	8,617,727	10,561,786	17,228,914	20,939,971
Gross profit	5,867,942	6,370,046	11,922,867	12,340,471
General and administrative expenses	3,564,222	3,781,161	7,363,811	9,123,794
Income from operations	2,303,720	2,588,885	4,559,056	3,216,677
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	258,201	366,772	491,783	539,704
Interest expense	(67,929)	(47,530)	(137,461)	(343,268)
Profit sharing income from OC-BVI	22,275	30,375	48,600	50,625
Equity in earnings of OC-BVI	62,668	85,840	137,823	140,329
Impairment of investment in OC-BVI	(275,000)	-	(585,000)	-
Other	27,980	(117,804)	(147,107)	80,493
Other income (expense), net	28,195	317,653	(191,362)	467,883
Net income	2,331,915	2,906,538	4,367,694	3,684,560
Income attributable to non-controlling interests	103,815	146,845	218,333	269,958
Net income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders	\$2,228,100	\$2,759,693	\$4,149,361	\$3,414,602
Basic earnings per common share attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. common stockholders	\$0.15	\$0.19	\$0.28	\$0.23
Diluted earnings per common share attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. common stockholders	\$0.15	\$0.19	\$0.28	\$0.23
Dividends declared per common share	\$0.075	\$0.075	\$0.15	\$0.15
Weighted average number of common shares used in the determination of:				
Basic earnings per share	14,736,057	14,698,499	14,727,455	14,692,654
Diluted earnings per share	14,793,298	14,760,159	14,780,269	14,764,058

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED WATER CO. LTD.**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)****(UNAUDITED)**

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net income	\$2,331,915	\$2,906,538	\$4,367,694	\$3,684,560
Other comprehensive income (loss)				
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(7,202)	(64,110)	(42,751)	36,346
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	(7,202)	(64,110)	(42,751)	36,346
Comprehensive income	2,324,713	2,842,428	4,324,943	3,720,906
Comprehensive income attributable to non-controlling interests	103,454	143,639	216,195	271,775
Comprehensive income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders	\$2,221,259	\$2,698,789	\$4,108,748	\$3,449,131

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED WATER CO. LTD.**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(UNAUDITED)**

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2015	2014
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$8,162,901	\$13,397,396
Cash flows from investing activities		
Purchase of certificate of deposit	(5,637,538)	(5,000,000)
Maturity of certificate of deposit	5,000,000	-
Additions to property, plant and equipment and construction in progress	(1,513,694)	(2,146,991)
Proceeds from sale of equipment	1,660	11,400
Distribution of earnings from OC-BVI	-	727,200
Collections on loans receivable	849,176	868,991
Sale of marketable securities	-	8,587,475
Payment for land held for development	-	(17,432,858)
Restriction on cash balance	(42,090)	(515,849)
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,342,486)	(14,900,632)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Dividends paid to CWCO shareholders	(2,212,966)	(2,208,001)
Dividends paid to non-controlling interests	(182,663)	(164,396)
Issuance (repurchase) of redeemable preferred stock, net	1,386	(11,256)
Proceeds received from exercise of stock options	205,876	-
Principal repayments of long term debt	-	(5,301,327)
Proceeds received from demand loan payable	-	10,000,000
Repayments of demand loan payable	(1,000,000)	-
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(3,188,367)	2,315,020
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(23,208)	(1,005)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	3,608,840	810,779
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	35,713,689	33,626,516
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$39,322,529	\$34,437,295
Interest paid in cash	\$76,956	\$110,603
Non-cash investing and financing activities		
Issuance of 8,615 and 5,957, respectively, shares of redeemable preferred stock for services rendered	\$110,703	\$65,289
Issuance of 0 and 12,302, respectively, shares of common stock for services rendered	\$-	\$173,458
Dividends declared but not paid	\$1,108,963	\$1,105,527
Obligation paid for land held for development	\$-	\$(10,050,000)
Transfers from inventory to property, plant and equipment and construction in progress	\$92,643	\$83,084
	\$346,335	\$-

Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD - Form 10-Q

Transfer from costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings - construction project to accounts receivable		
Transfers from construction in progress to property, plant and equipment	\$1,419,549	\$329,265
Transfer from construction in progress to costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings - construction project	\$88,834	\$-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED WATER CO. LTD.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

1. Principal activity

Consolidated Water Co. Ltd., and its subsidiaries (collectively, the “Company”) use reverse osmosis technology to produce potable water from seawater. The Company processes and supplies water to its customers in the Cayman Islands, Belize, The Bahamas, the British Virgin Islands and Indonesia. The Company sells water to a variety of customers, including public utilities, commercial and tourist properties, residential properties and government facilities. The base price of water supplied by the Company, and adjustments thereto, are determined by the terms of a retail license and bulk water supply contracts, which provide for adjustments based upon the movement in the government price indices specified in the license and contracts, as well as monthly adjustments for changes in the cost of energy. The Company also provides engineering and design services for water plant construction, and manages and operates water plants owned by others.

2. Accounting policies

Basis of presentation: The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company’s (i) wholly-owned subsidiaries, Aquilex, Inc., Cayman Water Company Limited (“Cayman Water”), Consolidated Water (Belize) Limited (“CW-Belize”), Ocean Conversion (Cayman) Limited (“OC-Cayman”), DesalCo Limited (“DesalCo”), Consolidated Water Cooperatief, U.A. (“CW-Cooperatief”); and (ii) majority-owned subsidiaries Consolidated Water (Bahamas) Ltd. (“CW-Bahamas”), Consolidated Water (Asia) Pte. Limited, PT Consolidated Water Bali (“CW-Bali”) and N.S.C. Agua, S.A. de C.V. (“NSC”). The Company’s investment in its affiliate, Ocean Conversion (BVI) Ltd. (“OC-BVI”), is accounted for using the equity method of accounting. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying interim condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited. These condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (which are of a normal recurring nature) that, in the opinion of management, are necessary to fairly present the Company’s financial position, results of operations and cash flows as of and for the periods presented. The results of operations for these interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for future periods, including the fiscal year ending December 31, 2015.

These condensed consolidated financial statements and notes are presented in accordance with the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) relating to interim financial statements

and in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“US GAAP”). Certain information and note disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with US GAAP have been condensed or omitted in these condensed financial statements pursuant to SEC rules and regulations, although the Company believes that the disclosures made herein are adequate to make the information not misleading. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Foreign currency: The Company’s reporting currency is the United States dollar (“US\$”). The functional currency of the Company and its foreign operating subsidiaries (other than its majority-owned subsidiary, NSC) is the currency for each respective country. The functional currency for NSC is the US\$. The exchange rates between the Cayman Islands dollar, the Belize dollar, the Bahamian dollar are fixed to the US\$. CW-Cooperatief conducts business in US\$ and euros, CW-Bali conducts business in US\$ and Indonesian rupiahs, and NSC conducts business in US\$ and Mexican pesos. The exchange rates for conversion of euros, rupiahs and Mexican pesos into US\$ vary based upon market conditions. Net foreign currency gains (losses) arising from transactions conducted in foreign currencies were (\$97,438) and (\$115,053) for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and (\$272,533) and \$46,680 for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and are included in “Other income (expense)” in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income.

Comprehensive income: Comprehensive income (loss) is defined as the change in equity during a period from transactions and other events from non-owner sources. Comprehensive income (loss) is the total of net income and other comprehensive income (loss) which, for the Company, is comprised entirely of foreign currency translation adjustments related to CW-Bali.

Cash and cash equivalents: Cash and cash equivalents consist of demand deposits at banks and highly liquid deposits at banks with an original maturity of three months or less. As of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, this balance includes \$17.5 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, of certificates of deposits with an original maturity of three months or less.

Transfers from the Company’s Bahamas and Belize bank accounts to Company bank accounts in other countries require the approval of the Central Bank of the Bahamas and Belize, respectively. As of June 30, 2015, the equivalent United States dollar cash balances for deposits held in the Bahamas and Belize were approximately \$31.0 million and \$4.0 million, respectively. The \$31.0 million Bahamas balance includes the Company’s certificate of deposit balance of approximately \$5.6 million.

Comparative amounts: Certain amounts reported in the financial statements issued in prior periods have been reclassified herein to conform to the current period's presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on consolidated net income.

3. Fair value measurements

As of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and other current liabilities, demand loan and dividends payables approximate their fair values due to the short term maturities of these instruments. Management considers that the carrying amounts for loans receivable as of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 approximate their fair value as the stated interest rates approximate market rates.

Under US GAAP, fair value is defined as the exit price, or the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. The US GAAP guidance also establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs market participants would use in valuing the asset or liability and are developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company's assumptions about the factors market participants would use in valuing the asset or liability. The guidance establishes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 - Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements. The Company reviews its fair value hierarchy classifications on a quarterly basis. Changes in the observability of valuation inputs may result in a reclassification of levels for certain securities within the fair value

hierarchy.

The following table presents the Company's fair value hierarchy for assets and liabilities measured at fair value as of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

	June 30, 2015			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Assets:				
Recurring				
Restricted cash	\$473,283	\$-	\$-	\$473,283
Certificate of deposit	-	5,637,538	-	5,637,538
Total recurring	\$473,283	\$5,637,538	\$-	\$6,110,821
Nonrecurring				
Investment in OC-BVI	\$-	\$-	\$4,810,026	\$4,810,026

	December 31, 2014			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Assets:				
Recurring				
Restricted cash	\$456,083	\$-	\$-	\$456,083
Certificate of deposit	-	5,000,000	-	5,000,000
Total recurring	\$456,083	\$5,000,000	\$-	\$5,456,083
Nonrecurring				
Investment in OC-BVI	\$-	\$-	\$5,208,603	\$5,208,603

The activity for Level 3 investments for the six months ended June 30, 2015 was as follows:

Balance as of December 31, 2014	\$5,208,603
Profit sharing and equity from earnings of OC-BVI	186,423
Distributions received from OC-BVI	-
Impairment of investment in OC-BVI (See Note 6)	(585,000)
Balance as of June 30, 2015	\$4,810,026

4. Segment information

The Company has three reportable segments: retail, bulk and services. The retail segment operates the water utility for the Seven Mile Beach and the West Bay area of Grand Cayman Island pursuant to an exclusive license granted by the Cayman Islands government and also sells water to resort properties in Bali, Indonesia. The bulk segment supplies potable water to government utilities in Grand Cayman, The Bahamas and Belize under long-term contracts. The services segment develops, designs, constructs and sells desalination plants and provides desalination plant management and operating services to affiliated companies. Consistent with prior periods, the Company records all non-direct general and administrative expenses in its retail business segment and does not allocate any of these non-direct expenses to its other two business segments.

The accounting policies of the segments are consistent with those described in Note 2. The Company evaluates each segment's performance based upon its income (loss) from operations. All intercompany transactions are eliminated for segment presentation purposes.

The Company's segments are strategic business units that are managed separately because, while all segments derive their revenues from desalination-related activities, each segment sells different products and/or services, serves customers with distinctly different needs and generates different gross profit margins.

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2015			
	Retail	Bulk	Services	Total
Revenues	\$6,152,185	\$8,187,273	\$146,211	\$14,485,669
Cost of revenues	2,771,598	5,633,381	212,748	8,617,727
Gross profit (loss)	3,380,587	2,553,892	(66,537)	5,867,942
General and administrative expenses	2,636,525	412,465	515,232	3,564,222
Income (loss) from operations	\$744,062	\$2,141,427	\$(581,769)	2,303,720
Other income, net				28,195
Net income				2,331,915

Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD - Form 10-Q

Income attributable to non-controlling interests	103,815
Net income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders	\$2,228,100

Depreciation and amortization expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2015 for the retail, bulk and services segments were \$598,079, \$792,241 and \$22,474, respectively.

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014			
	Retail	Bulk	Services	Total
Revenues	\$6,499,257	\$9,966,194	\$466,381	\$16,931,832
Cost of revenues	3,119,483	6,895,914	546,389	10,561,786
Gross profit (loss)	3,379,774	3,070,280	(80,008)	6,370,046
General and administrative expenses	2,843,293	325,403	612,465	3,781,161
Income (loss) from operations	\$536,481	\$2,744,877	\$(692,473)	2,588,885
Other income, net				317,653
Consolidated net income				2,906,538
Income attributable to non-controlling interests				146,845
Net income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders				\$2,759,693

Depreciation and amortization expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2014 for the retail, bulk and services segments were \$598,223, \$780,510 and \$22,474, respectively.

Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD - Form 10-Q

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2015			
	Retail	Bulk	Services	Total
Revenues	\$12,287,823	\$16,569,589	\$294,369	\$29,151,781
Cost of revenues	5,648,386	11,082,893	497,635	17,228,914
Gross profit (loss)	6,639,437	5,486,696	(203,266)	11,922,867
General and administrative expenses	5,441,563	829,829	1,092,419	7,363,811
Income (loss) from operations	\$1,197,874	\$4,656,867	\$(1,295,685)	4,559,056
Other income, net				(191,362)
Net income				4,367,694
Income attributable to non-controlling interests				218,333
Net income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders				\$4,149,361

Depreciation and amortization expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2015 for the retail, bulk and services segments were \$1,204,668, \$1,570,418 and \$44,948, respectively.

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2014			
	Retail	Bulk	Services	Total
Revenues	\$12,612,218	\$19,925,930	\$742,294	\$33,280,442
Cost of revenues	6,050,859	14,007,459	881,653	20,939,971
Gross profit (loss)	6,561,359	5,918,471	(139,359)	12,340,471
General and administrative expenses	5,731,520	760,373	2,631,901	9,123,794
Income (loss) from operations	\$829,839	\$5,158,098	\$(2,771,260)	3,216,677
Other income, net				467,883
Consolidated net income				3,684,560
Income attributable to non-controlling interests				269,958
Net income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders				\$3,414,602

Depreciation and amortization expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2014 for the retail, bulk and services segments were \$1,231,496, \$1,566,412 and \$57,448, respectively.

	As of June 30, 2015			
	Retail	Bulk	Services	Total
Accounts receivable, net	\$2,388,539	\$6,142,053	\$612,414	\$9,143,006
Property plant and equipment, net	26,031,989	29,061,184	128,332	55,221,505
Construction in progress	1,361,970	315,854	-	1,677,824
Goodwill	1,170,511	2,328,526	-	3,499,037
Land held for development	-	-	20,558,424	20,558,424

Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD - Form 10-Q

Total assets	48,057,146	88,429,778	23,571,831	160,058,755
--------------	------------	------------	------------	-------------

	As of December 31, 2014			
	Retail	Bulk	Services	Total
Accounts receivable, net	\$2,521,008	\$8,399,999	\$852,737	\$11,773,744
Property plant and equipment, net	26,978,259	29,318,534	100,195	56,396,988
Construction in progress	902,656	997,360	-	1,900,016
Goodwill	1,170,511	2,328,526	-	3,499,037
Land held for development	-	-	20,558,424	20,558,424
Total assets	52,051,461	84,331,227	24,077,143	160,459,831

5. Earnings per share

Earnings per share (“EPS”) are computed on a basic and diluted basis. Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income (less preferred stock dividends) available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. The computation of diluted EPS assumes the issuance of common shares for all potential common shares outstanding during the reporting period and, if dilutive, the effect of stock options as computed under the treasury stock method.

The following summarizes information related to the computation of basic and diluted EPS for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014.

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. common stockholders	\$2,228,100	\$2,759,693	\$4,149,361	\$3,414,602
Less: preferred stock dividends	(3,418)	(3,139)	(6,181)	(6,278)
Net income available to common shares in the determination of basic earnings per common share	\$2,224,682	\$2,756,554	\$4,143,180	\$3,408,324
Weighted average number of common shares in the determination of basic earnings per common share attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. common stockholders	14,736,057	14,698,499	14,727,455	14,692,654
Plus:				
Weighted average number of preferred shares outstanding during the period	38,459	37,990	37,654	37,701
Potential dilutive effect of unexercised options	18,782	23,670	15,160	33,703
Weighted average number of shares used for determining diluted earnings per common share attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. common stockholders	14,793,298	14,760,159	14,780,269	14,764,058

6. Investment in OC-BVI

The Company owns 50% of the outstanding voting common shares and a 43.5% equity interest in the profits of Ocean Conversion (BVI) Ltd. (“OC-BVI”). The Company also owns certain profit sharing rights in OC-BVI that raise its effective interest in the profits of OC-BVI to approximately 45%. Pursuant to a management services agreement, OC-BVI pays the Company monthly fees for certain engineering and administrative services. OC-BVI’s sole customer

is the Ministry of Communications and Works of the Government of the British Virgin Islands (the “Ministry”) to which it sells bulk water.

The Company’s equity investment in OC-BVI amounted to \$4,810,026 and \$5,208,603 as of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

Until 2009, substantially all of the water sold by OC-BVI to the Ministry was supplied by one desalination plant with a capacity of 1.7 million gallons per day located at Baughers Bay, Tortola (the “Baughers Bay plant”). As discussed later in this Note (see “*Baughers Bay litigation*”), the BVI government assumed the operating responsibilities for the Baughers Bay plant in March 2010. During 2007, OC-BVI completed the construction of a desalination plant with a capacity of 720,000 gallons per day located at Bar Bay, Tortola (the “Bar Bay plant”). OC-BVI began selling water to the Ministry from this plant in January 2009 and on March 4, 2010, OC-BVI and the BVI government executed a seven-year contract for the Bar Bay plant (the “Bar Bay agreement”). Under the terms of the Bar Bay agreement, OC-BVI is required to deliver and the Ministry is required to purchase 600,000 gallons of water per day from the Bar Bay plant. The Bar Bay agreement includes a seven-year extension option exercisable by the BVI government and required OC-BVI to complete a storage reservoir on a BVI government site by no later than March 4, 2011. OC-BVI has not commenced construction of this storage reservoir due to the BVI government’s failure to pay (i) the full amount of invoices for the water provided by the Bar Bay plant on a timely basis; and (ii) the full amount ordered pursuant to a court ruling relating to the Baughers Bay litigation (see discussion that follows).

Summarized financial information of OC-BVI is presented as follows:

	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Current assets	\$3,351,474	\$2,547,542
Non-current assets	5,014,140	5,297,904
Total assets	\$8,365,614	\$7,845,446

	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Current liabilities	\$497,409	\$427,269
Non-current liabilities	1,490,400	1,393,200
Total liabilities	\$1,987,809	\$1,820,469

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2015	2014	June 30, 2015	2014
Revenues	\$1,027,352	\$1,201,641	\$2,096,253	\$2,376,769
Cost of revenues	558,783	735,179	1,140,427	1,456,328
Gross profit	468,569	466,462	955,826	920,441
General and administrative expenses	261,456	257,952	505,798	524,627
Income from operations	207,113	208,510	450,028	395,814
Other income (expense), net	(44,551)	(12,298)	(97,200)	(67,253)
Net income	162,562	196,212	352,828	328,561
Income (loss) attributable to non-controlling interests	18,598	(984)	36,212	6,189
Net income attributable to controlling interests	\$143,964	\$197,196	\$316,616	\$322,372

The Company recognized \$62,668 and \$85,840 in earnings from its equity investment in OC-BVI for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and \$137,823 and \$140,329 for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The Company recognized \$22,275 and \$30,375 in profit sharing income from its profit sharing agreement with OC-BVI for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and \$48,600 and \$50,625 for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The Company recognized revenues from its management services agreement with OC-BVI of \$135,537 and \$129,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and \$264,312 and \$404,912 for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. OC-BVI owes the Company \$40,342 and \$33,707 as of June 30, 2015

and December 31, 2014, respectively. The Company's remaining unamortized balance recorded for this management services agreement, which is reflected as an intangible asset on the condensed consolidated balance sheets, was approximately \$151,000 and \$196,000 as of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

Baughers Bay Litigation

Under the terms of a water supply agreement dated May 1990 (the "1990 Agreement") between OC-BVI and the Government of the British Islands (the "BVI Government"), upon the expiration of its initial seven-year term in May 1999, the 1990 Agreement would automatically be extended for another seven-year term unless the BVI government provided notice, at least eight months prior to such expiration, of its decision to purchase the plant from OC-BVI at the agreed upon amount under the 1990 Agreement of approximately \$1.42 million. In correspondence between the parties from late 1998 through early 2000, the BVI government indicated that it intended to purchase the plant but would be amenable to negotiating a new water supply agreement, and that it considered the 1990 Agreement to be in force on a monthly basis until negotiations between the BVI government and OC-BVI were concluded. Occasional discussions were held between the parties since 2000 without resolution of the matter. OC-BVI continued to supply water from the plant and expended approximately \$4.7 million between 1995 and 2003 to significantly expand the production capacity of the plant beyond that contemplated in the 1990 Agreement.

In 2006, the BVI government took the position that the seven-year extension of the 1990 Agreement had been completed and that it was entitled to ownership of the Baughers Bay plant. In response, OC-BVI disputed the BVI government's contention that the original terms of the 1990 Agreement remained in effect.

During 2007, the BVI government significantly reduced the amount and frequency of its payments for the water being supplied by OC-BVI and filed a lawsuit with the Eastern Caribbean Supreme Court (the “Court”) seeking ownership of the Baughers Bay plant. OC-BVI counterclaimed to the Court that it was entitled to continued possession and operation of the Baughers Bay plant until the BVI government paid OC-BVI approximately \$4.7 million, which OC-BVI believed represented the value of the Baughers Bay plant at its expanded production capacity. OC-BVI subsequently filed claims with the Court seeking payment for water sold and delivered to the BVI government through May 31, 2009 at the contract prices in effect before the BVI government asserted its purported right of ownership of the plant.

The Court ruled on this litigation in 2009, determining that (i) the BVI government was entitled to immediate ownership and possession of the Baughers Bay plant and dismissed OC-BVI’s claim for compensation of approximately \$4.7 million for the expenditures made to expand the production capacity of the plant; (ii) OC-BVI was entitled to full payment of water invoices issued up to December 20, 2007, which had been calculated under the terms of the original 1990 Agreement; and (iii) OC-BVI was entitled to the amount of \$10.4 million for water produced by OC-BVI from the Baughers Bay plant subsequent to December 20, 2007. The BVI government made a payment of \$2.0 million to OC-BVI under the Court order during the fourth quarter of 2009, a second payment of \$2.0 million under the Court order during 2010 and a third payment under the Court order of \$1.0 million in 2011.

OC-BVI filed an appeal with the Eastern Caribbean Court of Appeals (the “Appellate Court”) in October 2009 asking the Appellate Court to review the September 17, 2009 ruling by the Court as it related to OC-BVI’s claim for compensation for expenditures made to expand the production capacity of the Baughers Bay plant. In October 2009, the BVI government also filed an appeal with the Appellate Court requesting the Appellate Court to reduce the \$10.4 million awarded by the Court to OC-BVI for water supplied subsequent to December 20, 2007 to an amount equal to the cost of producing such water.

In March 2010, OC-BVI vacated the Baughers Bay plant and the BVI government assumed direct responsibility for the plant’s operations.

In June 2012, the Appellate Court issued the final ruling with respect to the Baughers Bay litigation. This ruling dismissed the BVI government’s appeal against the previous judgment of the Court awarding \$10.4 million for the water supplied, and also awarded OC-BVI compensation for improvements made to the plant in the amount equal to the difference between (i) the value of the Baughers Bay plant at the date OC-BVI transferred possession of the plant to the BVI government and (ii) \$1.42 million (the purchase price for the Baughers Bay plant under the 1990 Agreement). OC-BVI was also awarded all of its court costs at the trial level and two-thirds of such costs incurred on appeal. Prior to the final ruling, the BVI government had paid only \$5.0 of the original \$10.4 million, and the remaining \$5.4 million amount due had increased to approximately \$6.7 million by the fourth quarter of 2012 due to the court costs awarded by the Appellate Court and the accrued interest due on the aggregate unpaid balance. The BVI government paid OC-BVI \$4.7 million of this amount during the fourth quarter of 2012 and the remaining \$2.0 million in January 2013. These amounts paid by the BVI government were recognized in OC-BVI’s earnings in the periods in which they were received. To date, OC-BVI and the BVI government have not reached an agreement on the

value of the plant at the date it was transferred to the BVI government. However, OC-BVI and the BVI government appointed a mutually approved appraiser to complete a valuation of the Baughers Bay plant in accordance with the Appellate Court ruling during the first quarter of 2015, and such valuation is presently in process.

Valuation of Investment in OC-BVI

The Company accounts for its investment in OC-BVI under the equity method of accounting for investments in common stock. This method requires recognition of a loss on an equity investment that is other than temporary, and indicates that a current fair value of an equity investment that is less than its carrying amount may indicate a loss in the value of the investment.

As a quoted market price for OC-BVI's stock is not available, to test for possible impairment of its investment in OC-BVI, the Company estimates its fair value through the use of the discounted cash flow method, which relies upon projections of OC-BVI's operating results, working capital and capital expenditures. The use of this method requires the Company to estimate OC-BVI's cash flows from (i) the Bar Bay agreement and (ii) the pending amount awarded by the Appellate Court for the value of the Baughers Bay plant previously transferred by OC-BVI to the BVI government.

The Company estimates the cash flows OC-BVI will receive from its Bar Bay agreement by (i) identifying various possible future scenarios for this agreement, which include the cancellation of the agreement after its initial seven-year term, and the exercise by the BVI government of the seven-year extension in the agreement; (ii) estimating the cash flows associated with each possible scenario; and (iii) assigning a probability to each scenario. The Company similarly estimates the cash flows OC-BVI will receive from the BVI government for the amount due under the ruling by the Appellate Court for the value of the Baughers Bay plant at the date it was transferred to the BVI government by assigning probabilities to different valuation scenarios. The resulting probability-weighted sum represents the expected cash flows, and the Company's best estimate of future cash flows, to be derived by OC-BVI from its Bar Bay agreement and the pending Appellate Court award.

The identification of the possible scenarios for the Bar Bay plant agreement and the Baughers Bay plant valuation, the projections of cash flows for each scenario, and the assignment of relative probabilities to each scenario all represent significant estimates made by the Company. While the Company uses its best judgment in identifying these possible scenarios, estimating the expected cash flows for these scenarios and assigning relative probabilities to each scenario, these estimates are by their nature highly subjective and are also subject to material change by the Company's management over time based upon new information or changes in circumstances.

During the three month periods ended March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2015, after updating its probability-weighted estimates of OC-BVI's future cash flows and its resulting estimate of the fair value of its investment in OC-BVI, the Company determined that the carrying value of its investment in OC-BVI exceeded its fair value and recorded impairment losses on this investment of \$310,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and \$275,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2015. The resulting carrying value of the Company's investment in OC-BVI of approximately \$4.8 million as of June 30, 2015 assumes that the BVI government will honor its obligations under the Bar Bay agreement and also assumes (on a probability-weighted basis) that (i) the BVI government will exercise its option to extend the Bar Bay agreement for seven years beyond its initial term, which expires February 2017, and (ii) OC-BVI will receive the pending amount (as estimated by the Company) awarded by the Eastern Caribbean Court of Appeals for the value of the Baughers Bay plant previously transferred by OC-BVI to the BVI government.

The \$4.8 million carrying value of the Company's investment in OC-BVI as of June 30, 2015 exceeds the Company's underlying equity in OC-BVI's net assets by approximately \$1.4 million. The Company accounts for this excess as goodwill. The BVI government is OC-BVI's sole customer and substantially all of OC-BVI's revenues are generated from its Bar Bay plant. As the Bar Bay agreement matures to its February 2017 expiration date, and OC-BVI receives (or is determined by the valuation expert to not be entitled to receive) the pending court award amount assumed due for the value of the Baughers Bay plant, OC-BVI's expected future cash flows, and therefore its fair value computed under the discounted cash flow method, will decrease. Unless OC-BVI obtains an extension or modification of its Bar Bay agreement that results in a significant increase in the estimated future cash flows from its Bar Bay plant, the Company will be required to record impairment losses during the remainder of 2015 and in 2016 to reduce the carrying value of its investment in OC-BVI to its then current fair value. These impairment losses will, in the aggregate, at least equal the underlying \$1.4 million in goodwill reflected in the carrying value of the Company's investment in OC-BVI. The losses the Company records for its investment in OC-BVI in the future will exceed this \$1.4 million if OC-BVI ultimately ceases operations at its Bar Bay plant, as OC-BVI will be required to record an impairment loss to reduce the carrying value of its Bar Bay plant to its then estimated fair value. OC-BVI's aggregate carrying value of the assets that comprise its Bar Bay plant was approximately \$4.8 million as of June 30, 2015. Future impairment losses for the Company's investment in OC-BVI and the Company's equity in any future operating losses incurred by OC-BVI could have a material adverse impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations.

7. N.S.C. Agua, S.A. de C.V.

In May 2010, the Company acquired, through its wholly-owned Netherlands subsidiary, CW-Cooperatief, a 50% interest in NSC, a development stage Mexican company. The Company has since purchased, through the conversion of a loan it made to NSC, sufficient shares to raise its ownership interest in NSC to 99.9%. NSC was formed to pursue a project encompassing the construction, operation and minority ownership of a 100 million gallon per day seawater reverse osmosis desalination plant to be located in northern Baja California, Mexico and an accompanying pipeline to deliver water to the Mexican potable water infrastructure and the U.S. border (the "Project"). The Company believes the Project can be successful due to what it believes is a growing need for a new potable water supply for the areas of northern Baja California, Mexico and Southern California, U.S.

NSC has engaged engineering groups with extensive regional and/or technical experience to prepare preliminary designs and cost estimates for the desalination plant and the proposed pipeline and prepare the environmental impact studies for local, state and federal regulatory agencies. NSC will be required to accomplish various steps before it can commence construction of the plant and pipeline including, but not limited to, obtaining approvals and permits from various governmental agencies in Mexico, securing contracts with its proposed customers to sell water in sufficient quantities and at prices that make the Project financially viable, and obtaining equity and debt financing for the Project. NSC's potential customers will also be required to obtain various governmental permits and approvals in order to purchase water from NSC.

In February 2012, the Company paid \$300,000 to enter into an agreement (the "Option Agreement") that provided it with an option, exercisable through February 7, 2014, to purchase the shares of one of the other shareholders of NSC, along with an immediate power of attorney to vote those shares, for \$1.0 million. Such shares constituted 25% of the ownership of NSC as of February 2012. In May 2013, NSC repaid a \$5.7 million loan payable to CW-Cooperatief by issuing additional shares of its stock. As a result of this share issuance to CW-Cooperatief, the Company acquired 99.9% of the ownership of NSC. The Option Agreement contained an anti-dilution provision that required the Company to issue new shares in NSC of an amount sufficient to maintain the other shareholder's 25% ownership interest in NSC if (i) any new shares of NSC were issued subsequent to the execution of the Option Agreement and (ii) the Company did not exercise its share purchase option by February 7, 2014. The Company exercised its option and paid the \$1.0 million to purchase the Option Agreement shares in February 2014.

NSC entered into a purchase contract for 8.1 hectares of land on which the proposed plant would be constructed and in 2012 obtained an extension of this purchase contract through May 15, 2014 in exchange for prepayments of (i) \$500,000 paid at signing of the extension and (ii) a further \$500,000 paid in May 2013. NSC paid \$7.4 million in May 2014 to complete this land purchase. In 2013, NSC purchased an additional 12 hectares of land for the project for \$12 million, of which \$2 million was paid. NSC paid the remaining \$10 million balance for this land purchase on May 15, 2014. The Company obtained new financing in May 2014 to assist in the funding of NSC's land purchases in the form of a \$10.0 million loan which is payable on demand by the lender. The loan terms require principal and interest payments to be made quarterly under a five year amortization schedule and payment of the remaining principal balance after two years, if the loan is not called before that time. This loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.5% and is secured by substantially all of the Company's assets in the Cayman Islands.

In 2012 and 2013, NSC conducted an equipment piloting plant and water data collection program at the proposed feed water source for the Project under a Memorandum of Understanding (the “EPC MOU”) with a global engineering, procurement and construction contractor for large seawater desalination plants. Under the EPC MOU, the contractor installed and operated an equipment piloting plant and collected water quality data from the proposed feed water source site in Rosarito Beach, Baja California, Mexico. The EPC MOU required that NSC negotiate exclusively with the contractor for the construction of the 100 million gallon per day seawater reverse osmosis desalination plant, and further required payment by NSC to the contractor of up to \$500,000 as compensation for the operation and maintenance of the equipment piloting plant should NSC not award the engineering, procurement and construction contract for the Project to the contractor. This first phase of the pilot plant testing program was completed in October 2013. NSC decided not to extend the EPC MOU beyond its February 2014 expiration date and NSC paid the contractor \$350,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2014 as compensation for the operation and maintenance of the pilot plant.

NSC is currently conducting additional source water sampling protocols to comply with regulatory requirements in the U.S. and Mexico, and is also coordinating with regulators.

In November 2012, NSC signed a letter of intent with Otay Water District in Southern California to deliver no less than 20 million and up to 40 million gallons of water per day from the plant to the Otay Water District at the border between Mexico and the U.S. On November 25, 2013, Otay Water District submitted an application to the Department of State of the United States of America for a Presidential Permit authorizing the construction, connection, operation and importation of desalinated seawater at the international boundary between the United States and Mexico in San Diego County, California. The Company understands that this application is currently being reviewed by the relevant authorities.

NSC has entered into a 20-year lease, effective November 2012, with the Comisión Federal de Electricidad for approximately 5,000 square meters of land on which it plans to construct the water intake and discharge works for the plant. The amounts due on this lease are payable in Mexican pesos at an amount that is currently equivalent to approximately \$20,000 per month. This lease is cancellable should NSC ultimately not proceed with the Project.

In August 2014, the State of Baja California enacted new legislation to regulate Public-Private Association projects which involve the type of long-term contract between a public sector authority and a private party that NSC is required to obtain to complete the Project. Pursuant to this new legislation, on January 4, 2015, NSC submitted an expression of interest for its project to the Secretary of Infrastructure and Urban Development of the State of Baja California (“SIDUE”). On January 23, 2015, SIDUE accepted NSC’s expression of interest and requested that NSC submit a detailed proposal for the Project that complies with requirements of the new legislation. NSC submitted this detailed proposal to SIDUE in late March 2015. The new legislation requires that such proposal be evaluated by SIDUE and submitted to the Public-Private Association Projects State Committee (the “APP Committee”) for review and authorization. If the APP Committee grants its authorization, the State of Baja California is required to conduct a public tender for the Project. The Company presently cannot determine if the APP Committee will grant its authorization or, if a public tender process is commenced, when such process will be completed or whether NSC will

be awarded the Project.

The Company has acknowledged since the inception of the Project that, due to the amount of capital the Project requires, NSC will ultimately need an equity partner or partners for the Project. During the fourth quarter of 2014, the Company concluded that its chances of successfully completing the Project under the new Public-Private Association legislation would be greatly enhanced through the addition of an equity partner for NSC with substantial financial resources and a history of successful capital project investments in Mexico. In February 2015, NSC entered into a Letter of Intent (“LOI”) with such a potential partner. The terms of this LOI will be binding if and only if NSC and its potential partner are ultimately awarded the Project by early February 2016. Pursuant to the LOI, (i) NSC has agreed to sell the land and other Project assets to a new company (“Newco”) that will build and own the Project; (ii) NSC’s potential partner will provide the majority of the equity for the Project and thereby will own the majority interest in Newco; (iii) NSC will maintain a minority ownership position in Newco; and (iv) Newco will enter into a long-term management and technical services contract with NSC for the Project.

On February 20, 2015, NSC received notification from the regulatory authorities in Mexico that its federal environmental impact assessment and mitigation plan for the Project’s proposed desalination plant was approved.

Included in the Company’s consolidated results of operations are general and administrative expenses from NSC, consisting of organizational, legal, accounting, engineering, consulting and other costs relating to NSC’s project development activities. Such expenses amounted to \$475,815 and \$572,565 for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and \$1,012,438 and \$2,550,416 for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The assets and liabilities of NSC included in the Company’s consolidated balance sheets amounted to approximately \$22.0 million and \$269,000, respectively, as of June 30, 2015 and approximately \$22.0 million and \$214,000, respectively, as of December 31, 2014.

The Company expects to incur additional project development costs on behalf of NSC during the remainder of 2015.

Despite the expenditures made and the activities completed to date, the Company may ultimately be unsuccessful in its efforts to complete the Project.

The Mexico tax authority, the Servicio de Administracion Tributaria (“SAT”), assessed NSC 3,184,745 Mexican pesos for taxes relating to payments to foreign vendors on which the SAT contended should have been subject to income tax withholdings during NSC’s 2011 tax year. As of June 2015, the assessment has been revised by the SAT to 3,411,468 Mexican pesos to reflect inflation and accumulated late fees. The SAT also revised the 1,639,001 Mexican pesos in penalties to 1,744,061 Mexican pesos for inflation, and increased the surcharges to 1,749,751 Mexican pesos from 913,711 Mexican pesos to account for accumulated late fees, bringing the total revised assessment to 6,905,280 Mexican pesos. Such revised assessment is equivalent to approximately \$445,000 as of June 30, 2015 based upon the exchange rate between the US\$ and the Mexican peso as of that date.

NSC retained the assistance of Mexican tax advisers in this matter, as it believed the assumptions and related work performed by the SAT did not support their tax assessment. As a result, NSC elected to contest this assessment in Mexico federal tax court. NSC was required to provide an irrevocable letter of credit in the amount of 7,367,875 Mexican pesos as collateral in connection with this tax case. The letter of credit amount includes 462,595 Mexican pesos in additional charges calculated by the SAT to adjust the value of the original assessment to its potential future value at the time when the tax court settles the matter.

In November 2014, NSC received a favorable judgment from the tax court. Based on this outcome, the SAT filed an appeal shortly thereafter to contest the judgment.

The restricted cash balance of \$473,283 and \$456,083 included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, represents cash on deposit with a bank to secure payment of the irrevocable letter of credit.

The Company is presently unable to determine what amount, if any, of this assessment NSC will ultimately be required to pay by the Mexico federal tax court. Consequently, no provision for this potential liability has been made in the accompanying financial statements. Furthermore, if the SAT is successful in its appeal to reverse the November 2014 ruling, the SAT may seek to levy an assessment on payments of a similar nature made by NSC during tax years subsequent to 2011.

8. Contingencies

Retail License

The Company sells water through its retail operations under a license issued in July 1990 by the Cayman Islands government that grants Cayman Water the exclusive right to provide potable water to customers within its licensed service area. As discussed below, this license was set to expire in July 2010 but has since been extended while negotiations for a new license take place. Pursuant to the license, Cayman Water has the exclusive right to produce potable water and distribute it by pipeline to its licensed service area which consists of two of the three most populated areas of Grand Cayman, the Seven Mile Beach and West Bay areas. For the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Company generated approximately 42% and 38%, respectively, of its consolidated revenues and 60% and 54%, respectively, of its consolidated gross profits from the retail water operations conducted pursuant to Cayman Water's exclusive license. For the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Company generated approximately 42% and 38%, respectively, of its consolidated revenues and 58% and 55%, respectively, of its consolidated gross profits from the retail water operations conducted pursuant to Cayman Water's exclusive license. As discussed later herein, if Cayman Water is not in default of any of its terms, this license provides Cayman Water with the right to renew the license on terms that are no less favorable than those that the government offers to any third party.

Under the license, Cayman Water pays a royalty to the government of 7.5% of its gross retail water sales revenues (excluding energy adjustments). The selling prices of water sold to customers are determined by the license and vary depending upon the type and location of the customer and the monthly volume of water purchased. The license provides for an automatic adjustment for inflation or deflation on an annual basis, subject to temporary limited exceptions, and an automatic adjustment for the cost of electricity on a monthly basis. The Water Authority-Cayman ("WAC"), on behalf of the government, reviews and confirms the calculations of the price adjustments for inflation and electricity costs. If Cayman Water wants to adjust its prices for any reason other than inflation or electricity costs, it must request prior approval of the Cabinet of the Cayman Islands government. Disputes regarding price adjustments are referred to arbitration.

The license was scheduled to expire in July 2010 but has been extended several times by the Cayman Islands government in order to provide the parties with additional time to negotiate the terms of a new license agreement. The most recent extension of the license expires December 31, 2015.

In February 2011, the Water (Production and Supply) Law, 2011 and the Water Authority (Amendment) Law, 2011 (the “New Laws”) were published and are now in full force and effect. Under the New Laws, the WAC will issue any new license, and such new license could include a rate of return on invested capital model, as discussed in the following paragraph.

The Company has been advised in correspondence from the Cayman Islands government and the WAC that: (i) the WAC is now the principal negotiator, and not the Cayman Islands government, in these license negotiations, and (ii) the WAC has determined that a rate of return on invested capital model (“RCAM”) for the retail license is in the best interest of the public and Cayman Water’s customers. RCAM is the rate model currently utilized in the electricity transmission and distribution license granted by the Cayman Islands government to the Caribbean Utilities Company, Ltd. The Company advised the Cayman Islands government that it disagreed with its position on these two issues.

In July 2012, in an effort to resolve several issues relating to its retail license renewal negotiations, the Company filed an Application for Leave to Apply for Judicial Review (the “Application”) with the Grand Court of the Cayman Islands (the “Court”), seeking declarations that: (i) certain provisions of the Water Authority (Amendment) Law, 2011 and the Water (Production and Supply) Law, 2011, appear to be incompatible and a determination as to how those provisions should be interpreted, (ii) the WAC’s roles as the principal license negotiator, statutory regulator and the Company’s competitor put the WAC in a position of hopeless conflict, and (iii) the WAC’s decision to replace the rate structure under the Company’s current exclusive license with RCAM was predetermined and unreasonable. In October 2012 the Company was notified that the Court agreed to consider the issues raised in the Application.

Throughout the course of the license renewal negotiations, the Company objected to the use of RCAM on the basis that it believes such a model would not promote the efficient operation of its water utility and could ultimately increase water rates to Cayman Water’s customers.

The hearing for this judicial review was held on April 1, 2014. Prior to the commencement of the hearing, the parties agreed that the Court should solely be concerned with the interpretation of the statutory provisions. As part of this agreement, the WAC agreed to consider our submissions on the RCAM model and/or alternative models of pricing. In June 2014, the Court determined that: (i) the renewal of the 1990 License does not require a public bidding process; and (ii) the WAC is the proper entity to negotiate with the Company for the renewal of the 1990 License.

The Company’s submissions on the RCAM model and/or alternative models of pricing were made to the WAC on June 9, 2014. The Company received a letter from the WAC dated September 11, 2014, which fully rejected the Company’s submissions and stated that they intend to provide the Company with a draft RCAM license in due course.

On November 21, 2014, the Company wrote to the Minister of Works offering to recommence license negotiations on the basis of the RCAM model subject to certain conditions which are: (i) the Government would undertake to amend the current water legislation to provide for an independent regulator and a fair and balanced regulatory regime more consistent with that provided under the electrical utility regulatory regime, (ii) the Government and the Company would mutually appoint an independent referee and chairman of the negotiations, (iii) the Company's new license would provide exclusivity for the production and provision of all piped water, both potable and non-potable, within its Cayman Islands license area, (iv) the Government would allow the Company to submit its counter proposal to the WAC's June 2010 RCAM license draft, and (v) the principle of subsidization of residential customer rates by commercial customer rates would continue under a new license. On March 23 2015, the Company received a letter from the Minister of Works with the following responses to the Company's November 21, 2014 letter: (1) while the Cayman government plans to create a new public utilities commission, the provision of the new retail license will not depend upon the formation of such a commission; (2) any consideration regarding inclusion of the exclusive right to sell non-potable water within the area covered by the retail license will not take place until after the draft license has proceeded through the review process of the negotiations; (3) rather than allow the Company to submit its counter proposal to the WAC's June 2010 RCAM license draft, the WAC will draft the license with the understanding that the Company will be allowed to propose amendments thereto; (4) the principle of subsidization of residential customer rates by commercial customer rates would continue under the new license; and (5) the Minister requested that the Company consider eliminating its monthly minimum volume charge in the new license.

The Company is presently waiting for the WAC to provide its current draft of the license and to propose dates on which the negotiation process may recommence.

The Cayman Islands government could ultimately offer a third party a license to service some or all of Cayman Water's present service area. However, as set forth in the 1990 license, "*the Governor hereby agrees that upon the expiry of the term of this Licence or any extension thereof, he will not grant a licence or franchise to any other person or company for the processing, distribution, sale and supply of water within the Licence Area without having first offered such a licence or franchise to the Company on terms no less favourable than the terms offered to such other person or company.*"

The resolution of these license negotiations could result in a material reduction of the operating income and cash flows the Company has historically generated from its retail license and could require the Company to record an impairment charge to reduce the \$3,499,037 carrying value of its goodwill. Such impairment charge could have a material adverse impact on the Company's results of operations.

The Company is presently unable to determine what impact the resolution of this matter will have on its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

By Statutory Instrument No. 81 of 2009, the Minister of Public Utilities of the government of Belize published an order, the Public Utility Provider Class Declaration Order, 2009 (the “Order”), which as of May 1, 2009 designated CW-Belize as a public utility provider under the laws of Belize. With this designation, the Public Utilities Commission of Belize (the “PUC”) has the authority to set the rates charged by CW-Belize and to otherwise regulate its activities. On November 1, 2010, CW-Belize received a formal complaint from the PUC alleging that CW-Belize was operating without a license under the terms of the Water Industry Act. CW-Belize applied for this license in December 2010. On July 29, 2011, the PUC issued the San Pedro Public Water Supply Quality and Security Complaint Order (the “Second Order”) which among other things requires that (i) CW-Belize and its customer jointly make a submission to the responsible Minister requesting that the area surrounding CW-Belize’s seawater abstraction wells be designated a forest reserve or national park and be designated a Controlled Area under section 58 of the Water Industry Act, (ii) CW-Belize submit an operations manual for CW-Belize’s desalination plant to the PUC for approval, (iii) CW-Belize and its customer modify the water supply agreement between the parties to (a) include new water quality parameters included in the Order and (b) cap the current exclusive water supply arrangement in the agreement at a maximum of 450,000 gallons per day, (iv) CW-Belize keep a minimum number of replacement seawater RO membranes in stock at all times and (v) CW-Belize take possession of and reimburse the PUC for certain equipment which the PUC purchased from a third-party in late 2010. CW-Belize has applied for declaratory judgment and has been granted a temporary injunction to stay the enforcement of the Second Order by the PUC until such time as the Belize courts could hear the matter. The initial hearing on this matter was conducted on October 30 and 31, 2012 with an additional hearing on November 29, 2012. The ruling on this case is pending. The Company is presently unable to determine what impact the Order and the Second Order will have on its results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Windsor Plant Water Supply Agreement

CW-Bahamas provides bulk water to the Water and Sewerage Corporation of The Bahamas (“WSC”), which distributes the water through its own pipeline system to residential, commercial and tourist properties on the Island of New Providence. Pursuant to a water supply agreement, CW-Bahamas was required to provide the WSC with at least 16.8 million gallons per week of potable water from the Windsor plant, and the WSC had contracted to purchase at least that amount on a take-or-pay basis. This water supply agreement was scheduled to expire when CW-Bahamas delivered the total amount of water required under the agreement in July 2013, but has been extended on a month-to-month basis. At the conclusion of the agreement, the WSC has the option to:

- extend the agreement for an additional five years at a rate to be negotiated;
- exercise a right of first refusal to purchase any materials, equipment and facilities that CW-Bahamas intends to remove from the Windsor plant site, and negotiate a purchase price with CW-Bahamas; or
- require CW-Bahamas to remove all materials, equipment and facilities from the site.

At the request of the government of The Bahamas, CW-Bahamas continues to operate and maintain the Windsor plant on a month-to-month basis to provide the government of The Bahamas with additional time to decide whether or not it will extend CW-Bahamas’ water supply agreement for the Windsor plant on a long-term basis. CW-Bahamas generated revenues from the operation of this plant of approximately \$1.5 million and \$1.6 million during the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and \$3.0 million and \$3.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

CW-Bali

Through its subsidiary CW-Bali, the Company has built and presently operates a seawater reverse osmosis plant with a productive capacity of approximately 790,000 gallons per day located in Nusa Dua, one of the primary tourist areas of Bali, Indonesia. The Company built this plant based upon its belief that future water shortages in this area of Bali will eventually enable CW-Bali to sell all of this plant’s production. The current sales volumes for this plant are not sufficient to cover its operating costs, and CW- Bali’s operating losses were approximately (\$302,000) and (\$242,000) for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. As of June 30, 2015, the capitalized costs for this plant reflected on the Company’s consolidated balance sheet were approximately \$3.3 million. If CW-Bali is not able to significantly increase the revenues generated by this plant in the future, the Company will be required to record an impairment charge to reduce the carrying value of CW-Bali’s plant assets to their fair value. Such an impairment charge could have a material adverse impact on the Company’s results of operations.

North Sound Plant Water Supply Agreement

OC-Cayman's bulk water supply agreement with the WAC for the WAC's North Sound plant, which was scheduled to expire on April 1, 2015, was extended in July 2015 through April 1, 2017 on modified terms pursuant to which OC-Cayman will refurbish the plant for an agreed upon price while continuing to operate the plant. OC-Cayman generated revenues from the operation of this plant of approximately \$801,000 and \$1.0 million during the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and \$1.5 million and \$2.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

9. Impact of recent accounting standards

Effect of Newly Issued But Not Yet Effective Accounting Standards:

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*. ASU 2014-09 requires revenue recognition to depict the transfer of goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. ASU 2014-09 prescribes a five step framework in accounting for revenues from contracts within its scope, including (a) identification of the contract, (b) identification of the performance obligations under the contract, (c) determination of the transaction price, (d) allocation of the transaction price to the identified performance obligations and (e) recognition of revenues as the identified performance obligations are satisfied. ASU 2014-09 also prescribes additional disclosures and financial statement presentations. This amendment is effective for public entities in annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. Early application is not permitted. ASU 2014-09 may be adopted retrospectively or under a modified retrospective method where the cumulative effect is recognized at the date of initial application. The Company is currently evaluating the effect the adoption of this standard will have on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-02, *Consolidation (Topic 810) - Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis*. The amendments in this update require management to reevaluate whether certain legal entities should be consolidated. Specifically, the amendments (1) modify the evaluation of whether limited partnerships and similar legal entities are variable interest entities (“VIEs”) or voting interest entities, (2) eliminate the presumption that a general partner should consolidate a limited partnership, (3) affect the consolidation analysis of reporting entities that are involved with VIEs, particularly those that have fee arrangements and related party relationships, and (4) provide a scope exception from consolidation guidance for reporting entities with interests in legal entities that are required to comply with or operate in accordance with requirements that are similar to those in Rule 2a-7 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 for registered money market funds. The amendments in this update are effective for public business entities for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the effect the adoption of this amendment will have on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, *Interest-Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs*. ASU 2015-03 provides authoritative guidance related to the presentation of debt issuance costs on the balance sheet, requiring companies to present debt issuance costs as a direct deduction from the carrying value of debt. The amendments in this update are effective for public business entities in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The new guidance must be applied retrospectively to each prior period presented. The Company is currently evaluating the effect the adoption of this amendment will have on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-11, *Inventory (Topic 330): Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory*. ASU 2015-11 applies to all inventory that is measured using first-in, first-out or average cost. The guidance requires an entity to measure inventory at the lower of cost or net realizable value. ASU 2015-11 is effective prospectively for fiscal years, and for interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2016. Early application is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the effect the adoption of this amendment will have on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

10. Subsequent events

The Company's management evaluated subsequent events through the time of the filing of this report on Form 10-Q. Other than as disclosed in these condensed consolidated financial statements, the Company's management is not aware of any significant events that occurred subsequent to the balance sheet date but prior to the filing of this report that would have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, including but not limited to, statements regarding our future revenues, future plans, objectives, expectations and events, assumptions and estimates. Forward-looking statements can be identified by use of the words or phrases “will,” “will likely result,” “are expected to,” “will continue,” “estimate,” “project,” “potential,” “believe,” “anticipate,” “expect,” “intend,” or similar expressions and variations of such words. Statements that are not historical facts are based on our current expectations, beliefs, assumptions, estimates, forecasts and projections for our business and the industry and markets related to our business.

The forward-looking statements contained in this report are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions, which are difficult to predict. Actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what is expressed in such forward-looking statements. Important factors, which may affect these actual outcomes and results, include, without limitation:

- tourism and weather conditions in the areas we serve;
- the economies of the U.S. and other countries we serve;
- our relationships with the governments we serve;
- regulatory matters, including resolution of the negotiations for the renewal of our retail license on Grand Cayman;
- our ability to successfully enter new markets, including Mexico and Asia; and
- other factors, including those “Risk Factors” set forth under Part II, Item 1A in this Quarterly Report and in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Each of the forward-looking statements in this Quarterly Report speaks as of its date. We expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to update or revise any forward-looking statement contained in this Quarterly Report to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any forward-looking statement is based, except as may be required by law.

Unless otherwise indicated, references to “we,” “our,” “ours” and “us” refer to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. and its subsidiaries.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Our actual results could differ significantly from such estimates and assumptions.

Certain of our accounting estimates or assumptions constitute “critical accounting estimates” for us because:

- the nature of these estimates or assumptions is material due to the levels of subjectivity and judgment necessary to account for highly uncertain matters or the susceptibility of such matters to change; and

- the impact of the estimates and assumptions on financial condition and results of operations is material.

Our critical accounting estimates relate to the valuation of our (i) equity investment in our affiliate, OC-BVI; (ii) goodwill and intangible assets; and (iii) long-lived assets.

Valuation of Investment in OC-BVI. We account for our investment in OC-BVI under the equity method of accounting for investments in common stock. This method requires recognition of a loss on an equity investment that is other than temporary, and indicates that a current fair value of an equity investment that is less than its carrying amount may indicate a loss in the value of the investment.

As a quoted market price for OC-BVI’s stock is not available, to test for possible impairment of our investment in OC-BVI, we estimate its fair value through the use of the discounted cash flow method, which relies upon projections of OC-BVI’s operating results, working capital and capital expenditures. The use of this method requires us to estimate OC-BVI’s cash flows from (i) its water supply agreement with the BVI government for its Bar Bay plant (the “Bar Bay agreement”); and (ii) the pending amount awarded by the Eastern Caribbean Court of Appeals for the value of the Baughers Bay plant previously transferred by OC-BVI to the BVI government (see further discussion of the Baughers Bay litigation at Item 1. - Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements - Note 6).

We estimate the cash flows OC-BVI will receive from its Bar Bay agreement by (i) identifying various possible future scenarios for this agreement, which include the cancellation of the agreement after its initial seven-year term, and the exercise by the BVI government of the seven-year extension in the agreement; (ii) estimating the cash flows associated with each possible scenario; and (iii) assigning a probability to each scenario. We similarly estimate the cash flows OC-BVI will receive from the BVI government for the amount due under the ruling by the Eastern Caribbean Court of Appeals for the value of the Baughers Bay plant at the date it was transferred to the BVI government by assigning probabilities to different valuation scenarios. The resulting probability-weighted sum represents the expected cash flows, and our best estimate of future cash flows, to be derived by OC-BVI from its Bar Bay agreement and the pending court award.

The identification of the possible scenarios for the Bar Bay plant agreement and the Baughers Bay plant valuation, the projections of cash flows for each scenario, and the assignment of relative probabilities to each scenario all represent significant estimates made by us. While we use our best judgment in identifying these possible scenarios, estimating the expected cash flows for these scenarios and assigning relative probabilities to each scenario, these estimates are by their nature highly subjective and are also subject to material change by our management over time based upon new information or changes in circumstances.

During the three month periods ended March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2015, after updating our probability-weighted estimates of OC-BVI's future cash flows and our resulting estimate of the fair value of our investment in OC-BVI, we determined that the carrying value of our investment in OC-BVI exceeded its fair value and recorded impairment losses on this investment of \$310,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and \$275,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2015. The resulting carrying value of our investment in OC-BVI of approximately \$4.8 million as of June 30, 2015 assumes that the BVI government will honor its obligations under the Bar Bay agreement and also assumes (on a probability-weighted basis) that (i) the BVI government will exercise its option to extend the Bar Bay agreement for seven years beyond its initial term, which expires in February 2017 and (ii) OC-BVI will receive the pending amount (based upon our estimate) awarded by the Eastern Caribbean Court of Appeals for the value of the Baughers Bay plant previously transferred by OC-BVI to the BVI government.

The \$4.8 million carrying value of our investment in OC-BVI as of June 30, 2015 exceeds our underlying equity in OC-BVI's net assets by approximately \$1.4 million. We account for this excess as goodwill. The BVI government is OC-BVI's sole customer and substantially all of OC-BVI's revenues are generated from its Bar Bay plant. As the Bar Bay agreement matures to its February 2017 expiration date and OC-BVI receives (or is determined by the valuation expert to not be entitled to receive) the pending court award amount assumed due for the value of the Baughers Bay plant, OC-BVI's expected future cash flows, and therefore its fair value computed under the discounted cash flow method, will decrease. Unless OC-BVI obtains an extension or modification of its Bar Bay agreement that results in a significant increase in the estimated future cash flows from its Bar Bay plant, we will be required to record impairment losses during the remainder of 2015 and in 2016 to reduce the carrying value of our investment in OC-BVI to its then current fair value. These impairment losses will, in the aggregate, at least equal the underlying \$1.4 million in goodwill reflected in the carrying value of our investment in OC-BVI. The losses we record for our investment in OC-BVI in the future will exceed this \$1.4 million if OC-BVI ultimately ceases operations at its Bar Bay plant, as OC-BVI will be required to record an impairment loss to reduce the carrying value of its Bar Bay plant to its then estimated fair value. OC-BVI's aggregate carrying value of the assets that comprise its Bar Bay plant was

approximately \$4.8 million as of June 30, 2015. Future impairment losses for our investment in OC-BVI and our equity in any future operating losses incurred by OC-BVI could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated results of operations.

Goodwill and intangible assets. Goodwill represents the excess cost over the fair value of the assets of an acquired business. Goodwill and intangible assets acquired in a business combination accounted for as a purchase and determined to have an indefinite useful life are not amortized, but are tested for impairment at least annually. Intangible assets with estimable useful lives are amortized over their respective estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values and reviewed periodically for impairment. We evaluate the possible impairment of goodwill annually as part of our reporting process for the fourth quarter of each fiscal year. Management identifies our reporting units and determines the carrying value of each reporting unit by assigning the assets and liabilities, including the existing goodwill and intangible assets, to those reporting units. We determine the fair value of each reporting unit and compare the fair value to the carrying amount of the reporting unit. To the extent the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds the fair value of the reporting unit, we are required to perform the second step of the impairment test, as this is an indication that the reporting unit goodwill may be impaired. In this step, we compare the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill with the carrying amount of the reporting unit goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit to all the assets (recognized and unrecognized) and liabilities of the reporting unit in a manner similar to a purchase price allocation. The residual fair value after this allocation is the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill. If the implied fair value is less than its carrying amount, the impairment loss is recorded.

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, we estimated the fair value of our reporting units by applying the discounted cash flow method, the subject company stock price method, the guideline public company method, and the mergers and acquisitions method.

The discounted cash flow method relied upon seven-year discrete projections of operating results, working capital and capital expenditures, along with a terminal value subsequent to the discrete period. These seven-year projections were based upon historical and anticipated future results, general economic and market conditions, and considered the impact of planned business and operational strategies. The discount rates for the calculations represented the estimated cost of capital for market participants at the time of each analysis. In preparing these seven-year projections for our retail unit we (i) identified possible outcomes of our on-going negotiations with the Cayman Islands government for the renewal of our retail license; (ii) estimated the cash flows associated with each possible outcome; and (iii) assigned a probability to each outcome and associated estimated cash flows. The weighted average estimated cash flows were then summed to determine the overall fair value of the retail unit under this method. The possible outcomes used for the discounted cash flow method for the retail unit included the implementation of a rate of return on invested capital model, the methodology proposed by Cayman Islands government representatives for the new retail license.

We also estimated the fair value of each of our reporting units for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 through reference to the quoted market prices for our Company and guideline companies and the market multiples implied by guideline merger and acquisition transactions.

We weighted the fair values estimated for each of our reporting units under each method and summed such weighted fair values to estimate the overall fair value for each reporting unit. The respective weightings we applied to each method for the year ended December 31, 2014 were consistent with those used for the year ended December 31, 2013 and were as follows:

Method	2014		2013	
	Retail	Bulk	Retail	Bulk
Discounted cash flow	50 %	50 %	50 %	50 %
Subject company stock price	30 %	30 %	30 %	30 %
Guideline public company	10 %	10 %	10 %	10 %
Mergers and acquisitions	10 %	10 %	10 %	10 %
	100%	100 %	100%	100 %

The fair values we estimated for our retail and bulk units exceeded their carrying amounts by 47% and 23%, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2013. The fair values we estimated for our retail and bulk units exceeded their carrying amounts by 36% and 29%, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2014.

We also performed an analysis reconciling the conclusions of value for our reporting units to our market capitalization at October 1, 2014. This reconciliation resulted in an implied control premium for our Company of 1%.

Long-lived assets: We review the carrying amounts of our long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets might not be recoverable. Conditions that would necessitate an impairment assessment include a significant decline in the observable market value of an asset, a significant change in the extent or manner in which an asset is used, or a significant adverse change that would indicate that the carrying amount of an asset or group of assets is not recoverable. For long-lived assets to be held and used, we recognize an impairment loss only if its carrying amount is not recoverable through its undiscounted cash flows and measure the impairment loss based on the difference between the carrying amount and fair value.

Through our subsidiary CW-Bali, we have built and presently operate a seawater reverse osmosis plant with a productive capacity of approximately 790,000 gallons per day located in Nusa Dua, one of the primary tourist areas of Bali, Indonesia. We built this plant based upon our belief that future water shortages in this area of Bali will eventually enable us to sell all of this plant's production. Our current sales volumes for this plant are not sufficient to cover its operating costs, and CW- Bali's operating losses were approximately (\$302,000) and (\$242,000) for the six

months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. As of June 30, 2015, the capitalized costs for this plant reflected on our consolidated balance sheet were approximately \$3.3 million. If we are not able to significantly increase the revenues generated by this plant in the future, we will be required to record an impairment charge to reduce the carrying value of CW-Bali's plant assets to their fair value. Such an impairment charge could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included under Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report and our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for our fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 ("2014 Form 10-K") and the information set forth under Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of our 2014 Form 10-K.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2015 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2014

Consolidated Results

Net income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. common stockholders for 2015 was \$2,228,100 (\$0.15 per share on a fully-diluted basis), as compared to \$2,759,693 (\$0.19 per share on a fully-diluted basis) for 2014.

Total revenues for 2015 decreased to \$14,485,669 from \$16,931,832 in 2014 due to decreases in revenues in all three segments. Gross profit for 2015 was \$5,867,942 or 41% of total revenues, as compared to \$6,370,046 or 38% of total revenues, for 2014. In 2015 as compared to 2014, gross profit for the retail segment remained consistent but decreased for the bulk segment. The gross loss for the services segment decreased slightly in 2015 as compared to 2014. For further discussion of revenues and gross profit for 2015, see the “Results by Segment” analysis that follows.

General and administrative (“G&A”) expenses on a consolidated basis were \$3,564,222 and \$3,781,161 for 2015 and 2014, respectively. The slight decline in consolidated G&A expenses from 2014 to 2015 reflects (i) a decrease in professional fees of almost \$172,000, as we incurred incremental legal fees in 2014 for the judicial review conducted in connection with our retail license negotiations; and (ii) a decrease of approximately \$73,000 in the project development expenses incurred by NSC, our Mexico subsidiary.

Interest income decreased to \$258,201 in 2015 from \$366,772 in 2014 due to WSC being current on their past due accounts receivables in 2015.

As a result of the declining cash flows projected from its Bar Bay contract, we recorded an impairment charge of \$275,000 in 2015 to reduce the carrying value of our investment in OC-BVI to its estimated fair value (see further discussion at Note 6 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements).

Results by Segment

Retail Segment:

Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD - Form 10-Q

The retail segment contributed \$744,062 and \$536,481 to our income from operations for 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Revenues generated by our retail water operations were \$6,152,185 and \$6,499,257 for 2015 and 2014, respectively. The drop in retail revenues in 2015 is attributable to a decrease in electricity prices from 2014 to 2015 that reduced the energy component of our retail water rates for 2015, as the volume of water sold by our retail operations in 2015 was consistent with that sold in 2014.

Retail segment gross profit was \$3,380,587 (55% of retail revenues) and \$3,379,774 (52% of retail revenues) for 2015 and 2014, respectively. The slight improvement in retail gross profit as a percentage of revenues from 2014 to 2015 is due to energy prices for 2015 that were less than those for 2014.

Consistent with prior periods, we record all non-direct G&A expenses in our retail segment and do not allocate any of these non-direct costs to our other two business segments. Retail G&A expenses for 2015 and 2014 were \$2,636,525 and \$2,843,293, respectively. G&A expenses declined from 2014 to 2015 as a result of a decrease of approximately \$198,000 in professional fees primarily due to incremental legal fees incurred in 2014 for the judicial review conducted in connection with our retail license negotiations.

CW-Bali owns and operates a seawater reverse osmosis plant with a productive capacity of approximately 790,000 gallons per day located in Nusa Dua, one of the primary tourist areas of Bali, Indonesia. We built this plant based upon our belief that future water shortages in this area of Bali will eventually enable us to sell all of this plant's production. We sold approximately 11.6 million and 8.0 million gallons for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, to customers on a month-to-month basis. As of June 30, 2015, capitalized costs for this plant reflected on our consolidated balance sheet were approximately \$3.3 million. The revenues we generated from this plant amounted to approximately \$96,000 and \$74,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2015, and 2014, respectively. CW-Bali's operating losses were approximately (\$142,000) and (\$86,000) for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Bulk Segment:

The bulk segment contributed \$2,141,427 and \$2,744,877 to our income from operations for 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Bulk segment revenues were \$8,187,273 and \$9,966,194 for 2015 and 2014, respectively. The decrease in bulk revenues from 2014 to 2015 is attributable to both our Bahamas and Cayman operations, whose revenues decreased by approximately \$1,266,000 and \$437,000, respectively. The 2015 revenue decrease for our bulk operations resulted from declines of 9% and 5% in the volumes of water sold by our Bahamas and Cayman operations, respectively, and

significant decreases in the prices of diesel fuel and electricity from 2014 to 2015 that reduced the energy component of our bulk water rates. These lower volumes of water sold by our bulk operations in 2015 reflects (i) the continuing water conservation and loss mitigation efforts of the Water and Sewerage Corporation of the Bahamas; and (ii) a decrease in demand from the Water Authority- Cayman.

Gross profit for our bulk segment was \$2,553,892 and \$3,070,280 for 2015 and 2014, respectively. Gross profit as a percentage of bulk revenues was approximately 31% for both 2015 and 2014. Total gross profit dollars decreased in 2015 due to maintenance and repairs expenses in the Bahamas in 2015 that exceeded those for 2014 by approximately \$343,000 and, to a lesser extent, the lower revenues generated in 2015.

Bulk segment G&A expenses remained relatively consistent at \$412,465 and \$325,403 for 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Services Segment:

The services segment incurred losses from operations of (\$581,769) and (\$692,473) for 2015 and 2014, respectively. We anticipate that the services segment will continue to incur losses from operations while we continue to fund the project development activities of NSC and/or until such time as we obtain significant new management services or plant construction contracts.

Services segment revenues were \$146,211 and \$466,381 for 2015 and 2014, respectively. Services revenues decreased in 2015 as 2014 service revenues included approximately \$337,000 in revenues from contracts with the Water Authority-Cayman to refurbish their Lower Valley plant and to build a plant on Cayman Brac.

The gross profit (loss) for our services segment remained relatively consistent at (\$66,537) and (\$80,008) for 2015 and 2014, respectively.

G&A expenses for the services segment were \$515,232 and \$612,465 for 2015 and 2014, respectively. The decrease in G&A expenses for 2015 as compared to 2014 reflects a decrease of approximately \$73,000 in the project development expenses incurred by NSC, our Mexican subsidiary.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2014

Consolidated Results

Net income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. common stockholders for 2015 was \$4,149,361 (\$0.28 per share on a fully-diluted basis), as compared to \$3,414,602 (\$0.23 per share on a fully-diluted basis) for 2014.

Total revenues for 2015 decreased to \$29,151,781 from \$33,280,442 in 2014 due to decreases in revenues for all three segments. Gross profit for 2015 was \$11,922,867 or 41% of total revenues, as compared to \$12,340,471 or 37% of total revenues, for 2014. In 2015 as compared to 2014, gross profit for the retail segment remained consistent but decreased for the bulk segment. The gross loss for the services segment decreased in 2015 as compared to 2014. For further discussion of revenues and gross profit for 2015, see the “Results by Segment” analysis that follows.

General and administrative (“G&A”) expenses on a consolidated basis were \$7,363,811 and \$9,123,794 for 2015 and 2014, respectively. The decline in consolidated G&A expenses from 2014 to 2015 reflects (i) a decrease in professional fees of almost \$258,000, as we incurred incremental legal fees in 2014 for the judicial review conducted in connection with our retail license negotiations; and (ii) a decrease of approximately \$1,490,000 in the project development expenses incurred by NSC, our Mexico subsidiary.

Interest expense decreased to \$137,461 in 2015 from \$343,268 in 2014 as interest expense for 2014 reflects the prepayment premium paid for the early redemption in February 2014 of the remaining outstanding balance on our bonds payable and the amortization of the related bond discount and deferred issuance costs.

As a result of the declining cash flows projected from its Bar Bay contract, we recorded an impairment charge of \$585,000 in 2015 to reduce the carrying value of our investment in OC-BVI to its estimated fair value (see further discussion at Note 6 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements).

Results by Segment

Retail Segment:

The retail segment contributed \$1,197,874 and \$829,839 to our income from operations for 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Revenues generated by our retail water operations were \$12,287,823 and \$12,612,218 for 2015 and 2014, respectively. The drop in retail revenues in 2015 is attributable to a decrease in electricity prices from 2014 to 2015 that reduced the energy component of our retail water rates.

Retail segment gross profit was \$6,639,437 (54% of retail revenues) and \$6,561,359 (52% of retail revenues) for 2015 and 2014, respectively. The slight improvement in retail gross profit as a percentage of revenues from 2014 to 2015 is due to energy prices for 2015 that were less than those for 2014.

Consistent with prior periods, we record all non-direct G&A expenses in our retail segment and do not allocate any of these non-direct costs to our other two business segments. Retail G&A expenses for 2015 and 2014 were \$5,441,563 and \$5,731,520, respectively. G&A expenses declined from 2014 to 2015 principally as a result of a decrease of approximately \$298,000 in professional fees primarily due to incremental legal fees incurred in 2014 for the judicial review conducted in connection with our retail license negotiations.

CW-Bali owns and operates a seawater reverse osmosis plant with a productive capacity of approximately 790,000 gallons per day located in Nusa Dua, one of the primary tourist areas of Bali, Indonesia. We built this plant based upon our belief that future water shortages in this area of Bali will eventually enable us to sell all of this plant's production. We sold approximately 20.3 million and 9.7 million gallons for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, to customers on a month-to-month basis. As of June 30, 2015, capitalized costs for this plant reflected on our consolidated balance sheet were approximately \$3.3 million. The revenues we generated from this plant amounted to approximately \$167,000 and \$97,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. CW-Bali's operating losses were approximately (\$302,000) and (\$242,000) for 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Bulk Segment:

The bulk segment contributed \$4,656,867 and \$5,158,098 to our income from operations for 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Bulk segment revenues were \$16,569,589 and \$19,925,930 for 2015 and 2014, respectively. The decrease in bulk revenues from 2014 to 2015 is attributable to both our Bahamas and Cayman operations, whose revenues decreased by approximately \$2,703,000 and \$528,000, respectively. The 2015 revenue decrease for our bulk operations resulted from declines of 12% and 3% in the volumes of water sold by our Bahamas and Cayman operations, respectively, and significant decreases in the prices of diesel fuel and electricity from 2014 to 2015 that reduced the energy component of our bulk water rates. These lower volumes of water sold by our bulk operations in 2015 reflects (i) the continuing water conservation and loss mitigation efforts of the Water and Sewerage Corporation of the Bahamas; and (ii) a

decrease in demand from the Water Authority- Cayman.

Gross profit for our bulk segment was \$5,486,696 and \$5,918,471 for 2015 and 2014, respectively. Gross profit as a percentage of bulk revenues was approximately 33% for 2015 and 30% for 2014. Total gross profit dollars decreased in 2015 due to maintenance and repairs expenses in the Bahamas in 2015 that exceeded those for 2014 by approximately \$317,000 and, to a lesser extent, the lower revenues generated in 2015.

Bulk segment G&A expenses remained relatively consistent at \$829,829 and \$760,373 for 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Services Segment:

The services segment incurred losses from operations of (\$1,295,685) and (\$2,771,260) for 2015 and 2014, respectively. We anticipate that the services segment will continue to incur losses from operations while we continue to fund the project development activities of NSC and/or until such time as we obtain significant new management services or plant construction contracts.

Services segment revenues were \$294,369 and \$742,294 for 2015 and 2014, respectively. Services revenues decreased in 2015 as 2014 service revenues included approximately \$337,000 in revenues from contracts with the Water Authority-Cayman to refurbish their Lower Valley plant and to build a plant on Cayman Brac.

The gross profit (loss) for our services segment remained relatively consistent at (\$203,266) and (\$139,359) for 2015 and 2014, respectively.

G&A expenses for the services segment were \$1,092,419 and \$2,631,901 for 2015 and 2014, respectively. The decrease in G&A expenses for 2015 as compared to 2014 reflects a decrease of approximately \$1,490,000 in the project development expenses incurred by NSC, our Mexican subsidiary.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Liquidity Position

Our projected liquidity requirements for the remainder of 2015 include capital expenditures for our existing operations of approximately \$3.6 million, approximately \$1.0 million for debt service on our demand loan payable, approximately \$1.1 million for NSC's project development activities along with approximately \$1.0 million for a third party services project. Our liquidity requirements for the rest of 2015 may also include quarterly dividends, if such dividends are declared by our Board. Our dividend payments amounted to approximately \$4.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 and approximately \$2.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015.

In May 2014, we obtained financing (the proceeds of which were used to fund NSC's land purchases in May 2014) in the form of a demand loan payable with an initial principal balance of \$10 million. Assuming the loan is not called by the lender, payments on this loan are due quarterly under a five year amortization schedule with the remaining principal balance due after two years. This loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.5%. The outstanding balance on this demand loan payable was \$8.0 million as of June 30, 2015.

As of June 30, 2015, we had cash and cash equivalents and a certificate of deposit totaling approximately \$45.0 million and working capital of approximately \$48.3 million. We are not presently aware of anything that would lead us to believe that we will not have sufficient liquidity to meet our needs for the remainder of 2015 and thereafter.

Transfers from the Company's Bahamas and Belize bank accounts to Company bank accounts in other countries require the approval of the Central Banks of the Bahamas and Belize, respectively. As of June 30, 2015, the equivalent United States dollar cash balances for deposits held in the Bahamas and Belize were approximately \$31.0 million and \$4.0 million, respectively. The \$31.0 million Bahamas balance includes the certificate of deposit balance of approximately \$5.6 million.

Discussion of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2015

Our cash and cash equivalents increased to \$39.3 million as of June 30, 2015 from \$35.7 million as of December 31, 2014.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Our operating activities provided net cash of approximately \$8.2 million. This net cash provided reflects net income generated for the six months of approximately \$4.4 million as adjusted for (i) various items included in the determination of net income that do not affect cash flows during the year and (ii) changes in the other components of working capital. The more significant of such items included depreciation and amortization of approximately \$2.8 million, a net decrease in accounts receivable of approximately \$2.6 million, and a non-cash impairment charge for our investment in OC-BVI of \$585,000.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Net cash used in our investing activities was approximately \$1.3 million. We increased the total amount invested in certificates of deposit by \$638,000 during the six months and purchased property plant and equipment and expended funds on construction in progress in the normal course of business in the aggregate of amount of approximately \$1.5 million. We also collected \$849,176 in principal repayments on our notes receivable from the Water Authority-Cayman.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Our financing activities used approximately \$3.2 million in net cash as we paid dividends of approximately \$2.4 million and repaid \$1.0 million of our demand note payable.

Material Commitments, Expenditures and Contingencies

Retail License

We sell water through our retail operations under a license issued in July 1990 by the Cayman Islands government that grants Cayman Water the exclusive right to provide potable water to customers within its licensed service area. As discussed below, this license was set to expire in July 2010 but has since been extended while negotiations for a new license take place. Pursuant to the license, we have the exclusive right to produce potable water and distribute it by pipeline to our licensed service area which consists of two of the three most populated areas of Grand Cayman, the Seven Mile Beach and West Bay areas. For the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Company generated approximately 42% and 38%, respectively, of its consolidated revenues and 60% and 54%, respectively, of its consolidated gross profits from the retail water operations conducted pursuant to Cayman Water's exclusive license. For the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Company generated approximately 42% and 38%, respectively, of its consolidated revenues and 58% and 55%, respectively, of its consolidated gross profits from the retail water operations conducted pursuant to Cayman Water's exclusive license. As discussed later herein, if we are not in default of any of its terms, this license provides us with the right to renew the license on terms that are no less favorable than those that the government offers to any third party.

Under our license, we pay a royalty to the government of 7.5% of our gross retail water sales revenues (excluding energy cost adjustments). The selling prices of water sold to our customers are determined by the license and vary depending upon the type and location of the customer and the monthly volume of water purchased. The license provides for an automatic adjustment for inflation or deflation on an annual basis, subject to temporary limited exceptions, and an automatic adjustment for the cost of electricity on a monthly basis. The Water Authority-Cayman ("WAC"), on behalf of the government, reviews and confirms the calculations of the price adjustments for inflation and electricity costs. If we want to adjust our prices for any reason other than inflation or electricity costs, we have to request prior approval of the Cabinet of the Cayman Islands government. Disputes regarding price adjustments would be referred to arbitration.

The license was scheduled to expire in July 2010 but has been extended several times by the Cayman Islands government in order to provide the parties with additional time to negotiate the terms of a new license agreement. The most recent extension of the license expires December 31, 2015.

In February 2011, the Water (Production and Supply) Law, 2011 and the Water Authority (Amendment) Law, 2011 (the “New Laws”) were published and are now in full force and effect. Under the New Laws, the WAC will issue any new license, and such new license could include a rate of return on invested capital model, as discussed in the following paragraph.

We have been advised in correspondence from the Cayman Islands government and the WAC that: (i) the WAC is now the principal negotiator, and not the Cayman Islands government, in these license negotiations, and (ii) the WAC has determined that a rate of return on invested capital model (“RCAM”) for the retail license is in the best interest of the public and our customers. RCAM is the rate model currently utilized in the electricity transmission and distribution license granted by the Cayman Islands government to the Caribbean Utilities Company, Ltd. We have advised the Cayman Islands government that we disagree with its position on these two issues.

In July 2012, in an effort to resolve several issues relating to our retail license renewal negotiations, we filed an Application for Leave to Apply for Judicial Review (the “Application”) with the Grand Court of the Cayman Islands (the “Court”), seeking declarations that: (i) certain provisions of the Water Authority (Amendment) Law, 2011 and the Water (Production and Supply) Law, 2011, appear to be incompatible and a determination as to how those provisions should be interpreted, (ii) the WAC’s roles as the principal license negotiator, statutory regulator and our competitor put the WAC in a position of hopeless conflict, and (iii) the WAC’s decision to replace the rate structure under our current exclusive license with RCAM was predetermined and unreasonable. In October 2012, we were notified that the Court agreed to consider the issues raised in the Application.

Throughout the course of the license renewal negotiations, we have objected to the use of RCAM on the basis that we believe such a model would not promote the efficient operation of our water utility and could ultimately increase water rates to our customers.

The hearing for this judicial review was held on April 1, 2014. Prior to the commencement of the hearing, the parties agreed that the Court should solely be concerned with the interpretation of the statutory provisions. As part of this agreement, the WAC agreed to consider our submissions on the RCAM model and/or alternative models of pricing. In June 2014, the Court determined that: (i) the renewal of the 1990 License does not require a public bidding process; and (ii) the WAC is the proper entity to negotiate with us for the renewal of the 1990 License.

Our submissions on the RCAM model and/or alternative models of pricing were made to the WAC on June 9, 2014. We received a letter from the WAC dated September 11, 2014, which fully rejected our submissions and stated that the WAC intend to provide us with a draft RCAM license in due course.

On November 21, 2014, we wrote to the Minister of Works offering to recommence license negotiations on the basis of the RCAM model subject to certain conditions which are: (i) the Government would undertake to amend the current water legislation to provide for an independent regulator and a fair and balanced regulatory regime more consistent with that provided under the electrical utility regulatory regime, (ii) the Government and we would mutually appoint an independent referee and chairman of the negotiations, (iii) our new license would provide exclusivity for the production and provision of all piped water, both potable and non-potable, within our Cayman Islands license area, (iv) the Government would allow us to submit our counter proposal to the WAC's June 2010 RCAM license draft, and (v) the principle of subsidization of residential customer rates by commercial customer rates would continue under a new license. On March 23 2015, we received a letter from the Minister of Works with the following responses to our November 21, 2014 letter: (1) that while the Cayman government plans to create a public utilities commission, the provision of a new license will not depend upon the formation of such a commission; (2) any consideration regarding inclusion of the exclusive right to sell non-potable water within the area covered by the retail license will not take place until after the draft license has proceeded through the review process of the negotiations; (3) rather than allow us to submit a counter proposal to the WAC's June 2010 RCAM license draft, the WAC will draft the license with the understanding that we will be allowed to propose amendments thereto; (4) the principle of subsidization of residential customer rates by commercial customer rates would continue under the new license; and (5) the Minister requested us to consider eliminating our monthly minimum volume charge in the new license.

We are presently waiting for the WAC to provide its current draft of the license and to propose dates on which the negotiation process may recommence.

The Cayman Islands government could ultimately offer a third party a license to service some or all of our present service area. However, as set forth in the 1990 license, *“the Governor hereby agrees that upon the expiry of the term of this Licence or any extension thereof, he will not grant a licence or franchise to any other person or company for the processing, distribution, sale and supply of water within the Licence Area without having first offered such a licence or franchise to the Company on terms no less favourable than the terms offered to such other person or company.”*

The resolution of these license negotiations could result in a material reduction of the operating income and cash flows we have historically generated from our retail license and could require us to record an impairment charge to reduce the \$3,499,037 carrying value of our goodwill. Such impairment charge could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations.

We are presently unable to determine what impact the resolution of this matter will have on our cash flows, financial condition or results of operations.

N.S.C. Agua, S.A. de C.V.

In May 2010, we acquired, through our wholly-owned Netherlands subsidiary, Consolidated Water Cooperatief, U.A., (“CW-Cooperatief”) a 50% interest in N.S.C. Agua, S.A. de C.V. (“NSC”), a development stage Mexican company. We have since purchased, through the conversion of a loan we made to NSC, sufficient shares to raise our ownership interest in NSC to 99.9%. NSC was formed to pursue a project encompassing the construction, operation and minority ownership of a 100 million gallon per day seawater reverse osmosis desalination plant to be located in northern Baja California, Mexico and an accompanying pipeline to deliver water to the Mexican potable water infrastructure and the U.S. border (the “Project”). We believe the Project can be successful due to what we believe is a growing need for a new potable water supply for the areas of northern Baja California, Mexico and Southern California, U.S.

NSC has engaged engineering groups with extensive regional and/or technical experience to prepare preliminary designs and cost estimates for the desalination plant and the proposed pipeline and prepare the environmental impact studies for local, state and federal regulatory agencies. NSC will be required to accomplish various additional steps before it can commence construction of the plant and pipeline including, but not limited to, obtaining approvals and permits from various governmental agencies in Mexico, securing contracts with its proposed customers to sell water in sufficient quantities and at prices that make the project financially viable, and obtaining equity and debt financing for the Project. NSC’s potential customers will also be required to obtain various governmental permits and approvals in order to purchase water from NSC.

In February 2012, we paid \$300,000 to enter into an agreement (the “Option Agreement”) that provided us with an option, exercisable through February 7, 2014, to purchase the shares of one of the other shareholders of NSC, along with an immediate power of attorney to vote those shares, for \$1.0 million. Such shares constituted 25% of the ownership of NSC as of February 2012. In May 2013, NSC repaid a \$5.7 million loan payable to CW-Cooperatief by issuing additional shares of its stock. As a result of this share issuance to CW-Cooperatief, we acquired 99.9% of the ownership of NSC. The Option Agreement contained an anti-dilution provision that required us to issue new shares in NSC of an amount sufficient to maintain the other shareholder’s 25% ownership interest in NSC if (i) any new shares of NSC were issued subsequent to the execution of the Option Agreement and (ii) we did not exercise our share purchase option by February 7, 2014. In order to avoid dilution of our increased ownership interests in NSC, we exercised our option and paid the \$1.0 million to purchase the Option Agreement shares in February 2014.

NSC entered into a purchase contract for 8.1 hectares of land on which the proposed plant would be constructed and in 2012 obtained an extension of this purchase contract through May 15, 2014 in exchange for prepayments of (i) \$500,000 paid at signing of the extension and (ii) a further \$500,000 paid in May 2013. NSC paid \$7.4 million in May 2014 to complete this land purchase. In 2013, NSC purchased an additional 12 hectares of land for the project for \$12 million, of which \$2 million was paid. NSC paid the remaining \$10 million balance for this land purchase on May 15, 2014. We obtained new financing in May 2014 to assist in the funding of NSC's land purchases in the form of a \$10.0 million loan which is payable on demand by the lender. The loan terms require principal and interest payments to be made quarterly under a five year amortization schedule and payment of the remaining principal balance after two years, if the loan is not called before that time. This loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.5% and is secured by substantially all of our assets in the Cayman Islands.

In 2012 and 2013, NSC conducted an equipment piloting plant and water data collection program at the proposed feed water source for the Project under a Memorandum of Understanding (the "EPC MOU") with a global engineering, procurement and construction contractor for large seawater desalination plants. Under the EPC MOU, the contractor installed and operated an equipment piloting plant and collected water quality data from the proposed feed water source site in Rosarito Beach, Baja California, Mexico. The EPC MOU required that NSC negotiate exclusively with the contractor for the construction of the 100 million gallon per day seawater reverse osmosis desalination plant, and further required payment by NSC to the contractor of up to \$500,000 as compensation for the operation and maintenance of the equipment piloting plant should NSC not award the engineering, procurement and construction contract for the Project to the contractor. This first phase of the pilot plant testing program was completed in October 2013. NSC decided not to extend the EPC MOU beyond its February 2014 expiration date and NSC paid the contractor \$350,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2014 as compensation for the operation and maintenance of the pilot plant.

NSC is currently conducting additional source water sampling protocols to comply with regulatory requirements in the U.S. and Mexico, and is also coordinating with regulators to assess the need, if any, for further process and equipment piloting.

In November 2012, NSC signed a letter of intent with Otay Water District in Southern California to deliver no less than 20 million and up to 40 million gallons of water per day from the plant to the Otay Water District at the border between Mexico and the U.S. On November 25, 2013, Otay Water District submitted an application to the Department of State of the United States of America for a Presidential Permit authorizing the construction, connection, operation and importation of desalinated seawater at the international boundary between the United States and Mexico in San Diego County, California. We understand that this application is currently being reviewed by the relevant authorities.

NSC entered into a 20-year lease, effective November 2012, with the Comisión Federal de Electricidad for approximately 5,000 square meters of land on which it plans to construct the water intake and discharge works for the plant. The amounts due on this lease are payable in Mexican pesos at an amount that is currently equivalent to approximately \$20,000 per month. This lease is cancellable should NSC ultimately not proceed with the Project.

In August 2014, the State of Baja California enacted new legislation to regulate Public-Private Association projects which involve the type of long-term contract between a public sector authority and a private party that NSC is required to obtain to complete the Project. Pursuant to this new legislation, on January 4, 2015, NSC submitted an expression of interest for its project to the Secretary of Infrastructure and Urban Development of the State of Baja California (“SIDUE”). On January 23, 2015, SIDUE accepted NSC’s expression of interest and requested that we submit a detailed proposal for the project that complies with requirements of the new legislation. NSC submitted this detailed proposal to SIDUE in late March 2015. The new legislation requires that such proposal be further evaluated by SIDUE and submitted to the Public-Private Association Projects State Committee (the “APP Committee”) for review and authorization. If the APP Committee grants its authorization, the State of Baja California is required to conduct a public tender for the Project. We presently cannot determine if the APP Committee will grant its authorization or, if a public tender process is commenced, when such process will be completed or whether NSC will be awarded the Project.

We have acknowledged since the inception of the Project that, due to the amount of capital the Project requires, we will ultimately need an equity partner or partners for the Project. During the fourth quarter of 2014, we concluded that our chances of successfully completing the Project under the new Public-Private Association legislation would be greatly enhanced through the addition of an equity partner for NSC with substantial financial resources and a history of successful capital project investments in Mexico. In February 2015, NSC entered into a Letter of Intent (“LOI”) with such a potential partner. The terms of this LOI will be binding if and only if NSC and its potential partner are ultimately awarded the Project by early February 2016. Pursuant to the LOI, (i) NSC has agreed to sell the land and other Project assets to a new company (“Newco”) that will build and own the Project; (ii) NSC’s potential partner will provide the majority of the equity for the Project and thereby will own the majority interest in Newco; (iii) NSC will maintain a minority ownership position in Newco; and (iv) Newco will enter into a long-term management and technical services contract with NSC for the Project.

On February 20, 2015, NSC received notification from the regulatory authorities in Mexico that its federal environmental impact assessment and mitigation plan for the Project’s proposed desalination plant was approved.

Included in our consolidated results of operations are general and administrative expenses from NSC, consisting of organizational, legal, accounting, engineering, consulting and other costs relating to NSC’s project development activities. Such expenses amounted to \$475,815 and \$572,565 for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and \$1,012,438 and \$2,550,416 for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The assets and liabilities of NSC included in the Company’s consolidated balance sheets amounted to approximately \$22.0 million and \$269,000, respectively, as of June 30, 2015 and approximately \$22.0 million and \$214,000, respectively, as of December 31, 2014.

We expect to incur project development costs on behalf of NSC. We presently expect these costs to total approximately \$1.1 million for the remainder of 2015.

Despite the expenditures made and the activities completed to date, we may ultimately be unsuccessful in our efforts to complete the Project.

The Mexico tax authority, the Servicio de Administracion Tributaria (“SAT”), assessed NSC 3,184,745 Mexican pesos for taxes relating to payments to foreign vendors on which the SAT contended should have been subject to income tax withholdings during NSC’s 2011 tax year. As of June 2015, the assessment has been revised by the SAT to 3,411,468 Mexican pesos to reflect inflation and accumulated late fees. The SAT also revised the 1,639,001 Mexican pesos in penalties to 1,744,061 Mexican pesos for inflation, and increased the surcharges to 1,749,751 Mexican pesos from 913,711 Mexican pesos to account for accumulated late fees, bringing the total revised assessment to 6,905,280 Mexican pesos. Such revised assessment is equivalent to approximately \$445,000 as of June 30, 2015 based upon the exchange rate between the US\$ and the Mexican peso as of that date.

NSC retained the assistance of Mexican tax advisers in this matter, as it believed the assumptions and related work performed by the SAT did not support their tax assessment. As a result, NSC elected to contest this assessment in Mexico federal tax court. NSC was required to provide an irrevocable letter of credit in the amount of 7,367,875 Mexican pesos as collateral in connection with this tax case. The letter of credit amount includes 462,595 Mexican pesos in additional charges calculated by the SAT to adjust the value of the original assessment to its potential future value at the time when the tax court settles the matter.

In November 2014, NSC obtained a favorable judgment by the court. Based on this outcome, the SAT filed an appeal shortly thereafter to contest the judgment.

The restricted cash balance of \$473,283 and \$456,083 included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, represents cash on deposit with a bank to secure payment of the irrevocable letter of credit.

We are presently unable to determine what amount, if any, of this assessment NSC will ultimately be required to pay by the Mexico federal tax court. Consequently, no provision for this potential liability has been made in our financial statements. Furthermore, if the SAT is successful in its appeal to reverse the 2014 ruling, the SAT may seek to levy an assessment on payments of a similar nature made by NSC during tax years subsequent to 2011.

CW-Belize

By Statutory Instrument No. 81 of 2009, the Minister of Public Utilities of the government of Belize published an order, the Public Utility Provider Class Declaration Order, 2009 (the “Order”), which as of May 1, 2009 designated CW-Belize as a public utility provider under the laws of Belize. With this designation, the Public Utilities Commission of Belize (the “PUC”) has the authority to set the rates charged by CW-Belize and to otherwise regulate its activities. On November 1, 2010, CW-Belize received a formal complaint from the PUC alleging that CW-Belize was operating without a license under the terms of the Water Industry Act. CW-Belize applied for this license in December 2010. On July 29, 2011, the PUC issued the San Pedro Public Water Supply Quality and Security Complaint Order (the “Second Order”) which among other things requires that (i) CW-Belize and its customer jointly make a submission to the responsible Minister requesting that the area surrounding CW-Belize’s seawater abstraction wells be designated a forest reserve or national park and be designated a Controlled Area under section 58 of the Water Industry Act, (ii) CW-Belize submit an operations manual for CW-Belize’s desalination plant to the PUC for approval, (iii) CW-Belize and its customer modify the water supply agreement between the parties to (a) include new water quality parameters included in the Order and (b) cap the current exclusive water supply arrangement in the agreement at a maximum of 450,000 gallons per day, (iv) CW-Belize keep a minimum number of replacement seawater RO membranes in stock at all times and (v) CW-Belize take possession of and reimburse the PUC for certain equipment which the PUC purchased from a third-party in late 2010. CW-Belize has applied for declaratory judgment and has been granted a temporary injunction to stay the enforcement of the Second Order by the PUC until such time as the Belize courts could hear the matter. The initial hearing on this matter was conducted on October 30 and 31, 2012 with an additional hearing on November 29, 2012. The ruling on this case is pending. We are presently unable to determine what impact the Order and the Second Order will have on our results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Windsor Plant Water Supply Agreement

Our subsidiary CW-Bahamas provides bulk water to the WSC, which distributes the water through its own pipeline system to residential, commercial and tourist properties on the Island of New Providence. Pursuant to a water supply agreement, we are required to provide the WSC with at least 16.8 million gallons per week of potable water from the Windsor plant, and the WSC had contracted to purchase at least that amount on a take-or-pay basis. This water supply agreement was scheduled to expire when we delivered the total amount of water required under the agreement in July 2013, but has been extended on a month-to-month basis. At the conclusion of the agreement, the WSC has the option to:

- extend the agreement for an additional five years at a rate to be negotiated;
- exercise a right of first refusal to purchase any materials, equipment and facilities that CW-Bahamas intends to remove from the Windsor plant site, and negotiate a purchase price with CW-Bahamas; or
- require CW-Bahamas to remove all materials, equipment and facilities from the site.

At the request of the government of The Bahamas, we continue to operate and maintain the Windsor plant on a month-to-month basis to provide the government of The Bahamas with additional time to decide whether or not it will extend CW-Bahamas' water supply agreement for the Windsor plant on a long-term basis. CW-Bahamas generated revenues from the operation of this plant of approximately \$1.5 million and \$1.6 million during the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and \$3.0 million and \$3.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

CW-Bali

Through our subsidiary CW-Bali, we have built and presently operate a seawater reverse osmosis plant with a productive capacity of approximately 790,000 gallons per day located in Nusa Dua, one of the primary tourist areas of Bali, Indonesia. We built this plant based upon our belief that future water shortages in this area of Bali will eventually enable us to sell all of this plant's production. Our current sales volumes for this plant are not sufficient to cover its operating costs, and CW-Bali's operating losses were approximately (\$302,000) and (\$242,000) for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. As of June 30, 2015, the capitalized costs for this plant reflected on our consolidated balance sheet were approximately \$3.3 million. If we are not able to significantly increase the revenues generated by this plant in the future, we will be required to record an impairment charge to reduce the carrying value of CW-Bali's plant assets to their fair value. Such an impairment charge could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations.

North Sound Plant Water Supply Agreement

OC-Cayman's bulk water supply agreement with the WAC for the WAC's North Sound plant, which was scheduled to expire on April 1, 2015, was extended in July 2015 through April 1, 2017 on modified terms pursuant to which OC-Cayman will refurbish the plant for an agreed upon price while continuing to operate the plant. OC-Cayman generated revenues from the operation of this plant of approximately \$801,000 and \$1.0 million during the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and \$1.5 million and \$2.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Effect of Newly Issued But Not Yet Effective Accounting Standards:

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*. ASU 2014-09 requires revenue recognition to depict the transfer of goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. ASU 2014-09 prescribes a five step framework in accounting for revenues from contracts within its scope, including (a) identification of the contract, (b) identification of the performance obligations under the contract, (c) determination of the transaction price, (d) allocation of the transaction price to the identified performance obligations and (e) recognition of revenues as the identified performance obligations are satisfied. ASU 2014-09 also prescribes additional disclosures and financial statement presentations. This amendment is effective for public entities in annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. Early application is not permitted. ASU 2014-09 may be adopted retrospectively or under a modified retrospective method where the cumulative effect is recognized at the date of initial application. We are currently evaluating the effect the adoption of this standard will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-02, *Consolidation (Topic 810) - Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis*. The amendments in this update require management to reevaluate whether certain legal entities should be consolidated. Specifically, the amendments (1) modify the evaluation of whether limited partnerships and similar legal entities are variable interest entities (“VIEs”) or voting interest entities, (2) eliminate the presumption that a general partner should consolidate a limited partnership, (3) affect the consolidation analysis of reporting entities that are involved with VIEs, particularly those that have fee arrangements and related party relationships, and (4) provide a scope exception from consolidation guidance for reporting entities with interests in legal entities that are required to comply with or operate in accordance with requirements that are similar to those in Rule 2a-7 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 for registered money market funds. The amendments in this update are effective for public business entities for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the effect the adoption of this amendment will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, *Interest-Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs*. ASU 2015-03 provides authoritative guidance related to the presentation of debt issuance costs on the balance sheet, requiring companies to present debt issuance costs as a direct deduction from the carrying value of debt. The amendments in this update are effective for public business entities in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The new guidance must be applied retrospectively to each prior period presented. We are currently evaluating the effect the adoption of this amendment will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-11, *Inventory (Topic 330): Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory*. ASU 2015-11 applies to all inventory that is measured using first-in, first-out or average cost. The guidance requires an entity to measure inventory at the lower of cost or net realizable value. ASU 2015-11 is effective prospectively for fiscal years, and for interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2016. Early application is permitted. We are currently evaluating the effect the adoption of this amendment will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Dividends

On January 31, 2015, we paid a dividend of \$0.075 to shareholders of record on January 1, 2015.

On April 30, 2015, we paid a dividend of \$0.075 to shareholders of record on April 1, 2015.

On May 28, 2015, our Board declared a dividend of \$0.075 payable on July 31, 2015 to shareholders of record on July 1, 2015.

We have paid dividends to owners of our common shares and redeemable preferred shares since we began declaring dividends in 1985. However, the payment of any future cash dividends will depend upon our earnings, financial condition, cash flows, capital requirements and other factors our Board of Directors deems relevant in determining the amount and timing of such dividends.

Dividend Reinvestment and Common Stock Purchase Plan

This program is available to our shareholders, who may reinvest all or a portion of their common stock cash dividends into shares of common stock at prevailing market prices and may also invest optional cash payments to purchase additional shares at prevailing market prices as part of this program.

Impact of Inflation

Under the terms of our Cayman Islands license and our water sales agreements in Belize, Bahamas and the British Virgin Islands, our water rates are automatically adjusted for inflation on an annual basis, subject to temporary exceptions. We, therefore, believe that the impact of inflation on our gross profit, measured in consistent dollars, will not be material. However, significant increases in items such as fuel and energy costs could create additional credit risks for us, as our customers' ability to pay our invoices could be adversely affected by such increases.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There have been no material changes in our exposure to market risk from December 31, 2014 to the end of the period covered by this report.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management has evaluated, with the participation of its principal executive officer and principal financial and accounting officer, the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial and accounting officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Controls

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation of such internal control that occurred during the Company's last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our business faces significant risks. These risks include those disclosed in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 as supplemented by the additional risk factors included below. If any of the events or circumstances described in the referenced risks actually occurs, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected and such events or circumstances could cause our

actual results to differ materially from the results contemplated by the forward-looking statements contained in this report. These risks should be read in conjunction with the other information set forth in this Quarterly Report as well as in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 and in our other periodic reports on Form 10-Q and Form 8-K.

Our exclusive license to provide water to retail customers in the Cayman Islands may not be renewed in the future.

In the Cayman Islands, we provide water to retail customers under a license issued to us in July 1990 by the Cayman Islands government that grants us the exclusive right to provide water to retail customers within our licensed service area. Our service area is comprised of an area on Grand Cayman that includes the Seven Mile Beach and West Bay areas, two of the three most populated areas in the Cayman Islands. For the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Company generated approximately 42% and 38%, respectively, of its consolidated revenues and 60% and 54%, respectively, of its consolidated gross profits from the retail water operations conducted pursuant to Cayman Water's exclusive license. For the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Company generated approximately 42% and 38%, respectively, of its consolidated revenues and 58% and 55%, respectively, of its consolidated gross profits from the retail water operations conducted pursuant to Cayman Water's exclusive license. If we are not in default of any of its terms, the license provides us with the right to renew the license on terms that are no less favorable than those that the government offers to any third party.

This license was set to expire on July 10, 2010; however, the Cayman Islands government and we have extended the license several times in order to provide sufficient time to negotiate the terms of a new license agreement. The most recent extension of our license expires December 31, 2015.

In February 2011, the Water (Production and Supply) Law, 2011 and the Water Authority (Amendment) Law, 2011 (the "New Laws") were published and are now in full force and effect. Under the New Laws, the Water Authority-Cayman ("WAC") will issue any new license, and such new license could include a rate of return on invested capital model, as discussed in the following paragraph.

We have been advised in correspondence from the Cayman Islands government and the WAC that: (i) the WAC is now the principal negotiator, and not the Cayman Islands government, in these license negotiations, and (ii) the WAC has determined that a rate of return on invested capital model ("RCAM") for the retail license is in the best interest of the public and our customers. RCAM is the rate model currently utilized in the electricity transmission and distribution license granted by the Cayman Islands government to the Caribbean Utilities Company, Ltd. We have advised the Cayman Islands government that we do not agree with its position on these two matters.

In July 2012, in an effort to resolve several issues relating to our retail license renewal negotiations, we filed an Application for Leave to Apply for Judicial Review (the "Application") with the Grand Court of the Cayman Islands (the "Court"), stating that: (i) certain provisions of the Water Authority Law, 2011 and the Water (Production and

Supply) Law, 2011, appear to be incompatible, (ii) the WAC's roles as the principal license negotiator, statutory regulator and our competitor put the WAC in a position of hopeless conflict, and (iii) the WAC's decision to replace the rate structure under our current exclusive license with RCAM was predetermined and unreasonable. In October 2012, we were notified that the Court agreed to consider the issues outlined in the Application.

Throughout the course of the retail license renewal negotiations, we have objected to the use of RCAM on the basis that we believe such a model would not promote the efficient operation of our water utility and could ultimately increase water rates to our customers.

The hearing for this judicial review was held on April 1, 2014. Prior to the commencement of the hearing, the parties agreed that the Court should solely be concerned with the interpretation of the statutory provisions. As part of this agreement, the WAC agreed to consider our submissions on the RCAM model and/or alternative models of pricing. In June 2014, the Court determined that: (i) the renewal of the 1990 License does not require a public bidding process; and (ii) the WAC is the proper entity to negotiate with us for the renewal of the 1990 License.

Our submissions on the RCAM model and/or alternative models of pricing were made to the WAC on June 9, 2014. We received a letter from the WAC dated September 11, 2014, which fully rejected our submissions and stated that the WAC intend to provide us with a draft RCAM license in due course.

On November 21, 2014, we wrote to the Minister of Works offering to recommence license negotiations on the basis of the RCAM model subject to certain conditions which are: (i) the Government would undertake to amend the current water legislation to provide for an independent regulator and a fair and balanced regulatory regime more consistent with that provided under the electrical utility regulatory regime, (ii) the Government and we would mutually appoint an independent referee and chairman of the negotiations, (iii) our new license would provide exclusivity for the production and provision of all piped water, both potable and non-potable, within our Cayman Islands license area, (iv) the Government would allow us to submit our counter proposal to the WAC's June 2010 RCAM license draft, and (v) the principle of subsidization of residential customer rates by commercial customer rates would continue under a new license. On March 23 2015, we received a letter from the Minister of Works with the following responses to our November 21, 2014 letter: (1) that while the Cayman government plans to create a public utilities commission, the provision of a new license will not depend upon the formation of such a commission; (2) any consideration regarding inclusion of the exclusive right to sell non-potable water within the area covered by the retail license will not take place until after the draft license has proceeded through the review process of the negotiations; (3) rather than allow us to submit a counter proposal to the WAC's June 2010 RCAM license draft, the WAC will draft the license with the understanding that we will be allowed to propose amendments thereto; (4) the principle of subsidization of residential customer rates by commercial customer rates would continue under the new license; and (5) the Minister requested us to consider eliminating our monthly minimum volume charge in the new license.

We are presently waiting for the WAC to provide its current draft of the license and to propose dates on which the negotiation process may recommence.

The Cayman Islands government could ultimately offer a third party a license to service some or all of our present service area. However, as set forth in the 1990 license, "*the Governor hereby agrees that upon the expiry of the term of this Licence or any extension thereof, he will not grant a licence or franchise to any other person or company for the*

processing, distribution, sale and supply of water within the Licence Area without having first offered such a licence or franchise to the Company on terms no less favourable than the terms offered to such other person or company.”

The resolution of these license negotiations could result in a material reduction of the operating income and cash flows we have historically generated from our retail license and could require us to record an impairment charge to reduce the \$3,499,037 carrying value of our goodwill. Such impairment charge could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations.

We have spent approximately \$36.3 million to fund the development costs for a possible project in Mexico and expect to expend significant additional funds in 2015 and 2016 to continue to pursue this project. However, we may not be successful in completing this project.

We own (through our wholly-owned Netherlands subsidiary, Consolidated Water Cooperatief, U.A.) a 99.9% interest in N.S.C. Agua, S.A. de C.V. (“NSC”), a development stage Mexico company formed to pursue a project encompassing the construction, operation and minority ownership of a 100 million gallon per day seawater reverse osmosis desalination plant to be located in northern Baja California, Mexico and an accompanying pipeline to deliver water to the Mexican potable water system and the U.S. border (the “Project”). NSC has conducted an equipment piloting plant and water data collection program at the proposed feed water source and will be required to accomplish various additional steps before it can commence construction of the plant and pipeline including, but not limited to, obtaining approvals and permits from various governmental agencies in Mexico, securing contracts with its proposed customers to sell water in sufficient quantities and at prices that make the project financially viable, and obtaining equity and debt financing for the project. NSC’s potential customers will also be required to obtain various governmental permits and approvals in order to purchase water from NSC. As of June 30, 2015, we have spent approximately \$36.3 million on the Project. Our condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2015 includes \$20.7 million of capitalized costs for this project that consist of land and property, plant and equipment.

In August 2014, the State of Baja California enacted new legislation to regulate Public-Private Association projects which involve the type of long-term contract between a public sector authority and a private party NSC is required to obtain to complete the Project. Pursuant to this new legislation, on January 4, 2015, NSC submitted an expression of interest for its project to the Secretary of Infrastructure and Urban Development of the State of Baja California (“SIDUE”). On January 23, 2015, SIDUE accepted NSC’s expression of interest and requested that we submit a detailed proposal for the project that complies with requirements of the new legislation. NSC submitted this detailed proposal to SIDUE in late March 2015. The new legislation requires that such proposal be further evaluated by SIDUE and submitted to the Public-Private Association Projects State Committee (the “APP Committee”) for review and authorization. If the APP Committee grants its authorization, the State of Baja California is required to conduct a public tender for the Project. We presently cannot determine if the APP Committee will grant its authorization or, if a public tender process is commenced, when such process will be completed or whether NSC will be awarded the Project.

Despite the expenditures we have made and the activities we have completed to date, we may ultimately be unsuccessful in our efforts to complete the Project.

We have constructed a plant in Bali, Indonesia pursuant to the belief that the future demand for our water in this area will enable us to sell water in sufficient quantities to generate profits from this plant. If we are unable to significantly increase the amount of water we presently sell from this plant, we will be required to record an impairment charge to reduce the carrying value of this plant’s assets.

Through our subsidiary CW-Bali, we have built and presently operate a seawater reverse osmosis plant with a productive capacity of approximately 790,000 gallons per day located in Nusa Dua, one of the primary tourist areas of Bali, Indonesia. We built this plant based upon our belief that future water shortages in this area of Bali will eventually enable us to sell all of this plant’s production. Our current sales volumes for this plant are not sufficient to cover its operating costs, and CW-Bali’s operating losses were approximately (\$302,000) and (\$242,000) for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. As of June 30, 2015, the capitalized costs for this plant reflected on our consolidated balance sheet were approximately \$3.3 million. If we are not able to significantly increase the revenues generated by this plant in the future, we will be required to record an impairment charge to reduce the carrying value of CW-Bali’s plant assets to their fair value. Such an impairment charge could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

In June 2015, we issued 8,615 shares of preferred stock to 86 employees for services rendered. The issuance of the preferred stock to 79 of the employees was exempt from registration under Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933 (the “Securities Act”) because the shares were issued outside of the United States to non-US persons (as defined in Regulation S). Six of the employees are US persons and the issuance of the shares to them was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

In June 2015, we also issued 503 shares of preferred stock to 4 employees for cash at a price of \$8.64 per share. The issuance of the preferred stock to the employees was exempt from registration under Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act because the shares were issued outside of the United States to non-US persons.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit

Number Exhibit Description

31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer

31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer

32.1 Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer

32.2 Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

101.INS XBRL Instance Document

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Schema

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase

37

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CONSOLIDATED WATER CO. LTD.

By: /s/ Frederick W. McTaggart
Frederick W. McTaggart
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ David W. Sasnett
David W. Sasnett
Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Date: August 10, 2015