INTELLI CHECK INC Form DEF 14A February 06, 2008

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

| Filed | by | the | Registrant | X |
|-------|----|-----|------------|---|
|-------|----|-----|------------|---|

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-12.

INTELLI-CHECK, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- o No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies: none
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies: none
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined): Calculated based upon the purchase price of \$50,722,000, consisting of \$43,477,000 of Common Stock, \$7,045,000 of options to purchase Common Stock and \$200,000 of expenses.
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction: \$50,722,000

(5)

x Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:

Total fee paid: \$1993.38

| o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing. |
|---|
| (1) Amount Previously Paid: |
| (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: |
| (3) Filing Party: |
| (4) Date Filed: |

INTELLI-CHECK, INC. 246 CROSSWAYS PARK WEST WOODBURY, NY 11797

TO THE STOCKHOLDERS OF INTELLI-CHECK, INC.:

You are cordially invited to attend a special meeting of stockholders of Intelli-Check, Inc. (referred to in this proxy statement as Intelli-Check) to be held on March 14, 2008. At the meeting, you will be asked to consider proposals to approve the merger of a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intelli-Check into Mobilisa, Inc., referred to in this proxy statement as Mobilisa, resulting in Mobilisa becoming a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intelli-Check. Mobilisa is in the business of providing mobile and wireless technology solutions for document authentication and over-water communications.

The special meeting will be held at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time, on March 14, 2008, at 246 Crossways Park West, Woodbury, NY 11797. At this important meeting, you will be asked to consider and vote upon the following:

- · The proposed merger of a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intelli-Check into Mobilisa, resulting in Mobilisa becoming a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intelli-Check, and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement dated November 20, 2007 among Intelli-Check, the wholly-owned subsidiary of Intelli-Check, Mobilisa and certain common shareholders of Mobilisa;
 - · Amending Intelli-Check's Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of Intelli-Check's authorized shares of Common Stock (the "Common Stock") to 40,000,000;
- · Amending Intelli-Check's 2006 Stock Option and Equity Incentive Plan (the "Plan") to increase the number of shares of Common Stock authorized to be issued under the plan by 3,000,000;
- · Amending Intelli-Check's Certificate of Incorporation to change the name of the corporation to Intelli-Check Mobilisa, Inc.; and
- The approval of any adjournment or postponement of the special meeting for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies.

As of November 21, 2007, the date the definitive agreement was announced, the aggregate value of the consideration to be paid was \$50,722,000. If the any of the first three proposals are not approved, Intelli-Check will not be able to consummate the merger with Mobilisa.

The Proxy Statement following this letter is dated February 6, 2008 and is first being mailed to Intelli-Check stockholders on or about, February 11, 2008. The holders of record on January 31, 2008 will be entitled to vote at the meeting.

Under the merger agreement, Intelli-Check Merger Sub, Inc. (referred to in this proxy statement as Merger Sub), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intelli-Check, will merge into Mobilisa, resulting in Mobilisa becoming a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intelli-Check. The current shareholders of Mobilisa will receive an aggregate of 12,281,728 shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock, or exactly half of the post-transaction company. Mobilisa's current option and warrant holders will receive an aggregate of 2,469,494 options and warrants to purchase shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock, which will be approximately 50% of the outstanding derivative securities of the post-transaction company.

Intelli-Check's Common Stock is traded on AMEX under the symbol "IDN." Mobilisa is a private company incorporated in the state of Washington. Intelli-Check expects its securities to continue to be traded on AMEX.

After careful consideration of all relevant factors, Intelli-Check's Board of Directors has determined that these proposals are fair to and in the best interests of Intelli-Check and its stockholders and has recommended that you vote or give instruction to vote "FOR" adoption of each of them.

Enclosed is a notice of special meeting and proxy statement containing detailed information concerning the acquisition, the other proposals and the meeting.

Your vote is important. Whether or not you plan to attend the special meeting, please authorize the individuals named on your proxy card to vote your shares, by completing and promptly mailing your proxy card in the return envelope enclosed, or if available, by toll-free telephone number or Internet, as described in the instructions included with your proxy card. This will not prevent you from voting in person at the special meeting, if you so desire.

I look forward to seeing you at the meeting.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Levy Interim Chairman and CEO

NEITHER THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION NOR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION HAS APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED OF THE MERGER OR PASSED UPON THE ADEQUACY OR ACCURACY OF THIS PROXY STATEMENT. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| SUMMARY OF THE MATERIAL TERMS OF THE MERGER | 1 |
| QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ACQUISITION AND THE INTELLI-CHECK | |
| SPECIAL MEETING | 2 |
| SUMMARY | 5 |
| RISK FACTORS | 9 |
| MOBILISA SELECTED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION | 21 |
| INTELLI-CHECK SELECTED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION | 22 |
| SELECTED UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED FINANCIAL INFORMATION | 23 |
| COMPARATIVE PER SHARE INFORMATION | 24 |
| PRICE RANGE OF SECURITIES AND DIVIDENDS | 25 |
| THE INTELLI-CHECK SPECIAL MEETING | 26 |
| PROPOSAL TO ACQUIRE MOBILISA | 29 |
| PROPOSAL TO AMEND THE COMPANY'S CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION TO | |
| INCREASE THE NUMBER OF AUTHORIZED SHARES OF COMMON STOCK TO | |
| 40,000,000 | 37 |
| PROPOSAL TO AMEND THE COMPANY'S 2006 STOCK OPTION AND EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN TO | 20 |
| INCREASE THE NUMBER OF SHARES OF COMMON STOCK ISSUABLE UNDER THE PLAN BY 3,000,000 PROPOSAL TO AMEND THE COMPANY'S CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION TO CHANGE THE | 38 |
| COMPANY'S NAME TO INTELLI-CHECK - MOBILISA, INC. | 41 |
| PROPOSAL TO ADJOURN OR POSTPONE THE SPECIAL MEETING FOR THE | 71 |
| PURPOSE OF SOLICITING ADDITIONAL PROXIES | 42 |
| INFORMATION ABOUT MOBILISA | 43 |
| INFORMATION ABOUT INTELLI-CHECK | 61 |
| UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | 79 |
| DIRECTORS AND MANAGEMENT | 85 |
| CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS | 99 |
| BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES | 101 |
| SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE | 103 |
| INTELLI-CHECK'S SECURITIES | 103 |
| STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS | 106 |
| DELIVERY OF DOCUMENTS TO STOCKHOLDERS | 106 |
| WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION | 107 |
| FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | F-1 |
| ANNEXES | |
| A - MERGER AGREEMENT DATED NOVEMBER 20, 2007 | A-1 |
| B - FORM OF PLAN OF MERGER AND ARTICLES OF MERGER | B-1 |
| C - FORM OF LOCK-UP AGREEMENT | C-1 |
| D - INTELLI-CHECK, INC. 2006 EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN | D-1 |
| E - FORM OF CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT OF THE CERTIFICATE OF | |
| INCORPORATION FOR INTELLI-CHECK, INC. | E-1 |
| | |
| i | |

SUMMARY OF THE MATERIAL TERMS OF THE MERGER

This Proxy relates to the terms of a merger of a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intelli-Check into Mobilisa resulting in Mobilisa becoming a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intelli-Check. The most material terms of the acquisition are as follows:

- · Mobilisa is in the business of identity management and providing mobile and wireless technology solutions. Intelli-Check is in the business of developing and marketing an advanced identity document verification system as part of its identity management and productivity enchancement solutions. See the sections entitled "Information about Mobilisa" and "Information about Intelli-Check."
- · Intelli-Check, through the merger of its wholly-owned subsidiary into Mobilisa, will acquire Mobilisa and all its assets and liabilities. See the section entitled "Proposal to Acquire Mobilisa."
- The former shareholders of Mobilisa will receive a number of shares of Intelli-Check Common Stock such that they will own 50% of Intelli-Check's Common Stock post-merger. The former Mobilisa optionholders and warrantholders will also receive replacement options to purchase shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock with substantially equivalent value to Mobilisa's outstanding options and warrants. See the section entitled "Proposal to Acquire Mobilisa."
- · The consummation of the transaction is subject to: (i) Intelli-Check stockholder approval of the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement; (ii) Intelli-Check stockholder approval of an amendment to Intelli-Check's certificate of incorporation increasing the number of authorized shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock; (iii) Intelli-Check stockholder approval of an increase in the number of shares of Intelli-Check Common Stock authorized to be issued pursuant to the Plan; and (iv) Mobilisa shareholder approval of the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement. See the sections entitled "The Intelli-Check Special Meeting" and "Proposal to Acquire Mobilisa."
- The merger agreement contains representations by Intelli-Check, Mobilisa, and Nelson Ludlow and Bonnie Ludlow, Mobilisa's principal shareholders, and representations to be made by Mobilisa's other shareholders upon closing. Mobilisa also makes certain covenants relating to the conduct of its business between the time the merger agreement was signed and the consummation of the merger, including that it will not take certain actions without the permission of Intelli-Check and that Intelli-Check will have access to Mobilisa's records. The parties to the merger agreement also make covenants relating to confidentiality, non-solicitation and non-competition. See the section entitled "Proposal to Acquire Mobilisa."
- The Board of Directors of the combined corporation will be composed of eight (8) members, four (4) selected by Intelli-Check's Board of Directors and four (4) selected by Mobilisa's Board of Directors. The Chief Financial Officer, Chief Technology Officer and the Chairman of the Board of Directors of the combined corporation will be selected by Intelli-Check's Board of Directors and the Chief Executive Officer and the Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors of the combined corporation will be selected by Mobilisa's Board of Directors. See the section entitled "Proposal to Acquire Mobilisa."

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ACQUISITION AND THE INTELLI-CHECK SPECIAL MEETING

These Questions and Answers are only summaries of the matters they discuss. Please read this entire proxy statement.

Q. Why is Intelli-Check proposing the acquisition? A. Intelli-Check is in the business of developing and marketing an advanced identity document verification system as part of its identity management and productivity enhancement solutions. Mobilisa is in the business of identity management and providing mobile and wireless technology solutions. Intelli-Check believes that the combination with Mobilisa will create an organization with greater overall strength in identity verification and authentication and productivity enhancement as well as the emerging market of high-speed wireless communications.

Q. What is being voted on?

A. You are being asked to vote on four proposals:

- · The proposed merger of a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intelli-Check into Mobilisa, resulting in Mobilisa becoming a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intelli-Check and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement dated November 20, 2007 among Intelli-Check, the wholly-owned subsidiary of Intelli-Check, Mobilisa and certain common shareholders of Mobilisa:
- · Amending Intelli-Check's Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of Intelli-Check's authorized shares of Common Stock to 40,000,000;
- · Amending Intelli-Check's 2006 Stock Option and Equity Incentive Plan (the "Plan") to increase the number of shares of Common Stock authorized to be issued by 3,000,000;
- · Amending Intelli-Check's Certificate of Incorporation to change the name of the corporation to Intelli-Check Mobilisa, Inc.; and
- 1 The approval of any adjournment or postponement of the special meeting for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies.

Pursuant to the American Stock Exchange Rules, on which Intelli-Check's shares are listed, Intelli-Check is required to obtain stockholder approval of the acquisition of Mobilisa. If the proposal relating to the merger is not approved, Intelli-Check will not be able to go forward with the acquisition of Mobilisa. In addition, the approval of this proposal is contingent upon stockholder approval of the proposal relating to the amendment to Intelli-Check's certificate of incorporation to increase Intelli-Check's authorized shares of Common Stock and the proposal relating to increasing the number of shares issuable under Intelli-Check's Plan. The proposal approving the merger is contingent on these other proposals being approved since, if these

other proposals are not approved, Intelli-Check will not be able to pay Mobilisa's stockholders, option holders and warrant holders the consideration required by the merger agreement.

Q. Why is Intelli-Check proposing to increase its authorized shares of Common Stock?

A. Currently, Intelli-Check's certificate of incorporation allows it to issue up to 20,000,000 shares of Common Stock. Intelli-Check currently has 12,281,728 shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding and 2,382,853 shares of Common Stock issuable upon exercise of convertible securities. If the merger were completed, Mobilisa's stockholders would be entitled to receive 12,281,728 shares of Intelli-Check Common Stock, and its holders of derivative securities would be entitled to receive derivative securities exercisable for 2,469,494 shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock. Therefore, Intelli-Check will need to amend its certificate of incorporation to authorize the issuance of up to an additional 9,415,803 shares of Common Stock to be able to pay the merger consideration. Intelli-Check is requesting that 20,000,000 additional shares of Common Stock be authorized so that Intelli-Check would be able to use such additional authorized shares in the future for general corporate purposes, including financing transactions.

- Q. Why is
 Intelli-Check
 proposing to
 increase the
 number of
 shares of
 Common
 Stock issuable
 under its
 Plan?
- Q. Why is
 Intelli-Check
 proposing to
 amend its
 certificate of
 incorporation
 to change its
 name to
 Intelli-Check Mobilisa,
 Inc.?
- of Common Stock. Intelli-Check has already issued options exercisable for 1,460,509 shares of its Common Stock and 22,884 shares of restricted stock under the plan. If the merger were completed, Mobilisa's stockholders would be entitled to receive options to purchase 2,408,398 shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock. Therefore, Intelli-Check would need to amend the Plan to increase the number of shares of Common Stock issuable under the Plan by 951,583. Intelli-Check is requesting that the number of shares of Common Stock issuable under the Plan be increased by 3,000,000 so that Intelli-Check would be able to use such additional shares as incentives to its employees, directors and independent contractors in future option grants.

A. Currently, the Plan permits the issuance of up to 2,470,491 shares

A. Intelli-Check is proposing to change its name to Intelli-Check - Mobilisa, Inc. so that its name reflects the combination of the two companies.

Q. What vote is required to approve the proposals?

A. Approval of the acquisition requires the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares of Intelli-Check Common Stock present in person or by proxy at the special meeting. Approval of the amendments to Intelli-Check's Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation will require the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares of Intelli-Check Common Stock outstanding on the record date. Approval of the amendment to the Plan will require the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the special meeting, provided that there is a quorum. However, if the proposal relating to the merger is approved and either of the two other proposals are not approved, the merger will not be consummated since the other proposals must be approved in order for Intelli-Check to pay the merger consideration to Mobilisa's security holders.

Q Who will manage Intelli-Check, Inc. after the merger?

A. Post merger, Nelson Ludlow will become Intelli-Check's Chief Executive Officer, Peter Mundy will remain Intelli-Check's Chief Financial Officer, and Russell Embry will remain Intelli-Check's Chief Technology Officer. In addition, Intelli-Check's Board of Directors will consist of four persons nominated by Intelli-Check's pre-transaction Board of Directors and four persons nominated by Mobilisa's pre-transaction Board of Directors. Jeffrey Levy will become Chairman of Intelli-Check's Board of Directors.

Q. How much of Intelli-Check will its current stockholders own post-acquisition? A. Based on the consideration to be paid to the shareholders of Mobilisa, Intelli-Check's pre-acquisition holders of Common Stock will own in the aggregate 50% of Intelli-Check's post-acquisition Common Stock. On a fully diluted basis (assuming all the options and warrants owned by all of Intelli-Check's securities holders post-transaction exercise such securities), Intelli-Check's pre-transaction security holders would own in the aggregate approximately 50% of Intelli-Check's post-acquisition Common Stock.

Q.Do Intelli-Check stockholders have dissenter or appraisal rights under Delaware A. No.

Q. When do you expect the acquisition to be completed?

law?

- A. If the acquisition is approved at the special meeting, Intelli-Check expects to consummate the acquisition promptly thereafter.
- Q. If I am not going to attend the special meeting in person, should I return my proxy card instead?
- A. Yes. After carefully reading and considering the information in this document, please fill out and sign your proxy card. Then return it in the return envelope as soon as possible, so that your shares may be represented at the special meeting. If you are a street name holder of our securities, you may also vote by telephone or internet, as explained on the proxy card. A properly executed proxy will be counted for the purpose of determining the existence of a quorum.
- Q. How do I change my vote?
- A. Send a later-dated, signed proxy card to Intelli-Check's secretary prior to the date of the special meeting or attend the special meeting in person and vote. You also may revoke your proxy by sending a notice of revocation to Jeffrey Levy, Intelli-Check, Inc., 246 Crossways Park West, Woodbury NY, 11797.
- Q. If my shares are held in "street name," will my broker automatically vote them for me?
- A. No. Your broker can vote your shares only if you provide instructions on how to vote. You should instruct your broker to vote your shares. Your broker can tell you how to provide these instructions.

- Q. Who can help answer my questions?
- A. If you have questions, you may write or call Intelli-Check, Inc., 246 Crossways Park West, Woodbury NY, 11797, Attention: Peter J. Mundy or Jeffrey Levy.
- Q. Where will the special meeting be held?
- A. The meeting will be held at 246 Crossways Park West, Woodbury NY, 11797.

SUMMARY

This section summarizes information related to the proposals to be voted on at the special meeting and to the consideration to be offered to the Intelli-Check stockholders. These items are described in greater detail elsewhere in this proxy statement. This entire proxy statement and the other documents to which it refers should be carefully read.

The Companies

Mobilisa, Inc. was incorporated in the state of Washington in March 2001. Mobilisa is currently designated as a woman- and veteran-owned, small business. Mobilisa's headquarters in Port Townsend, Washington are located in a Historically Underutilized Business Zone ("HUBZone"). Mobilisa specializes in custom software development for mobile and wireless devices and Wireless Over Water ("WOW") technology implementation and is comprised of two business units—ID systems and wireless technologies—designed to address the following issues:

- · Access Control: Mobilisa's Defense ID® system is designed to increase security at access points manned by law enforcement and military personnel.
- · Marine Environment Communications: Mobilisa's WOW technology allows for instant communication between multiple points, both on land and at sea, across wide, over-water expanses and optimizes performance by taking into account sea state and Fresnel zones (Fresnel zones result from obstructions in the path of radio waves and impact the signal strength of radio transmissions). Mobilisa is currently developing Floating Area Network ("FAN") and Littoral Sensor Grid technology as the next evolutionary step in marine communications.
- · Network Design: Mobilisa's AIRchitectTM tool designs optimum wireless networks based on user parameters and location architecture.

Mobilisa also derives its revenue from selling handheld communication devices with patent-pending software which allows users to send various, forms of identification and compare it to information on databases. A key component of Mobilisa's business strategy is its commitment to cutting-edge research and development in both ID systems and advanced applications of wireless technologies.

The mailing address of Mobilisa's principal executive offices is 191 Otto St., Port Townsend, WA, 98368, and its telephone number is (877) 766-2454.

Intelli-Check, Inc. was originally incorporated in the state of New York in 1994. In August 1999, Intelli-Check reincorporated in Delaware. Intelli-Check is in the business of developing and marketing an advanced identity document verification system as part of its identity management and productivity enchancement solutions. Intelli-Check is a Microsoft® Certified Partner. Intelli-Check's technology addresses problems such as:

- · Commercial Fraud which may lead to economic losses to merchants from check cashing, debit and, credit card as well as other types of fraud such as identity theft that principally utilize fraudulent identification cards as proof of identity;
- · **Unauthorized Access** Intelli-Check's systems and software are designed to increase security and deter terrorism at airports, shipping ports, rail and bus terminals, military installations, high profile buildings and infrastructure where security is a concern;
- · Underage Access to Age Restricted Products and Services Intelli-Check's systems and software are designed to determine the customer's age as well as the validity of the encoded format on identification documents, to detect and

prevent the use of fraudulent identification for the purchase of alcohol, tobacco and other age-restricted products and services and to reduce the risk to the retailer of substantial monetary fines, criminal penalties and the potential for license revocation for the sale of age-restricted products to under-age purchasers; and

• Inefficiencies Associated With Manual Data Entry - by reading encoded data contained in the bar code and magnetic stripe of an identification card with a quick swipe or scan of the card, where permitted by law, customers are capable of accurately and instantaneously inputting information into forms, applications and the like without the errors associated with manual data entry.

The mailing address of Intelli-Check's principal executive office is 246 Crossways Park West, Woodbury, NY 11797, and its telephone number is (516) 992-1900.

The Merger

On November 20, 2007, Intelli-Check, Intelli-Check Merger Sub, Inc., a wholly-owned Washington subsidiary of Intelli-Check (referred to as the Merger Sub), Mobilisa and certain common shareholders of Mobilisa entered into a merger agreement. Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, Merger Sub will be merged with and into Mobilisa under the laws of the state of Washington, resulting in Mobilisa becoming the surviving corporation post-merger and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intelli-Check. The surviving corporation will be called Intelli-Check-Mobilisa, Inc. The headquarters of Intelli-Check will be moved to Mobilisa's offices in Port Townsend, Washington.

The former shareholders of Mobilisa will receive a number of shares of Intelli-Check Common Stock such that they will own 50% of Intelli-Check's Common Stock and approximately 50% of the total outstanding derivative securities post-merger. On November 21, 2007, the date the definitve agreement was announced, the aggregate value of the merger consideration was equal to \$50,722,000.

The Board of Directors of the combined corporation will be composed of eight (8) members, four (4) selected by Intelli-Check's Board of Directors and four (4) selected by Mobilisa's Board of Directors. The Chief Financial Officer, Chief Technology Officer and the Chairman of the Board of Directors of the combined corporation will be selected by Intelli-Check's Board of Directors and the Chief Executive Officer and the Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors of the combined corporation will be selected by Mobilisa's Board of Directors. Upon consummation of the merger and for a period of at least two years following the consummation of the merger, Nelson Ludlow will be the Chief Executive Officer of the combined corporation. Upon consummation of the merger, Peter Mundy will be the Chief Financial Officer and Russell Embry will be the Chief Technology Officer of the combined corporation.

Procedure. Pursuant to the American Stock Exchange Rules, on which Intelli-Check's shares are listed, Intelli-Check is required to obtain stockholder approval of the acquisition of Mobilisa. Promptly after obtaining approval from its stockholders to proceed with the acquisition of Mobilisa, Intelli-Check, Intelli-Check Merger Sub, Inc., Intelli-Check's wholly owned subsidiary and Mobilisa will consummate the merger.

If the Acquisition Is Not Approved. If the proposal relating to the merger is not approved, Intelli-Check will not be able to go forward with the acquisition of Mobilisa. In addition, the approval of this proposal is contingent upon stockholder approval of the proposal relating to the amendment to Intelli-Check's certificate of incorporation to increase Intelli-Check's authorized shares of Common Stock and the proposal relating to increasing the number of shares issuable under Intelli-Check's Plan. The proposal approving the merger is contingent on these other proposals being approved since if these other proposals are not approved, Intelli-Check will not be able to pay Mobilisa's stockholders, option holders and warrant holders the consideration required by the merger agreement.

Conditions. Approval of the acquisition requires the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares of Intelli-Check Common Stock present in person or by proxy at the meeting. Approval of the amendments to Intelli-Check's Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation will require the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares of Intelli-Check Common Stock outstanding on the record date. Approval of the amendment to the Plan will require the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the special meeting, provided that there is a

quorum.

Amendments to the Certificate of Incorporation. The Intelli-Check Board of Directors has also determined that it is in Intelli-Check's best interests to amend its Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to (i) increase the number of shares of Common Stock that Intelli-Check is authorized to issue from 20,000,000 to 40,000,000; and (ii) change its name to Intelli-Check - Mobilisa, Inc.

The 2006 Stock Option and Equity Incentive Plan.

The purposes of the 2006 Stock Option and Equity Plan (the "Plan") are to attract and retain key employees, directors, consultants and advisors who are expected to contribute to future growth and success and to provide additional incentive by permitting such individuals to participate in the ownership of Intelli-Check. There are currently options to purchase 156,000 shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock outstanding under the Plan and 22,884 shares of restricted stock have been granted under the Plan. Any proceeds derived from the sale of Common Stock subject to options will be used for general corporate purposes. The administrators of the Plan are permitted to issue restricted shares of Common Stock and options to purchase shares of Common Stock to participants.

Under the Plan, as it would be amended by the proposal set forth in this Proxy Statement, up to 3,850,000 shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock are authorized for issuance to directors, employees and independent contractors of, Intelli-Check and any subsidiary corporations pursuant to options or restricted stock awards. Options granted under the Plan may be either incentive stock options (incentive options) within the meaning of Section 422 of the Code and/or options that do not qualify as incentive options (nonqualified options); provided, however, that only employees of Intelli-Check or a subsidiary corporation are eligible to receive incentive options. The Plan, which expires in March 2016, is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the "Committee").

The Plan may be amended or terminated by the Board at any time, provided that no amendment requiring stockholder approval by law or by the rules of the American Stock Exchange or any other market in which shares are traded may be made without stockholder approval. The Plan specifically provides for repricings or reissuances of options without stockholder approval. Also, no amendment or termination may materially adversely affect any outstanding award without the written consent of the participant.

The Merger Agreement and Related Documents. The Merger Agreement, the form of the proposed amendment to Intelli-Check's certificate of incorporation and related documents are annexed to this proxy statement. The investors are encouraged to read them, as they are the key legal documents underlying the acquisition. They are also described in detail elsewhere in this document.

Management. The Board of Directors of the combined corporation will be composed of eight (8) members, four (4) selected by Intelli-Check's Board of Directors and four (4) selected by Mobilisa's Board of Directors. The Chief Financial Officer, Chief Technology Officer and the Chairman of the Board of Directors of the combined corporation will be selected by Intelli-Check's Board of Directors and the Chief Executive Officer and the Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors of the combined corporation will be selected by Mobilisa's Board of Directors.

Intelli-Check Special Meeting

Date, Time and Place. The special meeting of Intelli-Check's stockholders will be held at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time, on March 14, 2008, at 246 Crossways Park West, Woodbury, NY 11797.

Voting Power; Record Date. An Intelli-Check investor will be entitled to vote or direct votes to be cast at the special meeting, if the investor owned Intelli-Check Common Stock at the close of business on January 31, 2008, the record date for the special meeting. The investor will have one vote for each share of Intelli-Check Common Stock owned at that time.

Votes Required. Pursuant to the American Stock Exchange Rules, on which Intelli-Check's shares are listed, Intelli-Check is required to obtain stockholder approval of the acquisition of Mobilisa. If the proposal relating to the merger is not approved, Intelli-Check will not be able to go forward with the acquisition of Mobilisa. In addition, the approval of this proposal is contingent upon stockholder approval of the proposal relating to the amendment to Intelli-Check's certificate of incorporation to increase Intelli-Check's authorized shares of Common Stock and the

proposal relating to increasing the number of shares issuable under Intelli-Check's Plan. The proposal approving the merger is contingent on these other proposals being approved since if these other proposals are not approved, Intelli-Check will not be able to pay Mobilisa's shareholders, option holders and warrant holders the consideration required by the merger agreement.

Under Delaware law, no other business may be transacted at the special meeting.

At the close of business on January 31, 2008, the record date, there were 12,281,728 shares of Intelli-Check Common Stock outstanding. Each Intelli-Check Common Stock entitles its holder to cast one vote per proposal.

Appraisal Rights. Under the Delaware General Corporation Law, appraisal rights are not available to Intelli-Check stockholders in connection with the acquisition.

Proxies; Board Solicitation. Proxies are being solicited by the Intelli-Check Board of Directors on each proposal being presented to stockholders at the special meeting. Proxies may be solicited in person or by mail, telephone or other electronic means. If a stockholder grants a proxy, the stockholder may still vote their shares in person, if the proxy is revoked before the special meeting.

Significant Stockholdings. The holdings of Intelli-Check's directors and significant stockholders are detailed in "Beneficial Ownership of Securities."

Intelli-Check's Recommendation; Interests of Intelli-Check's Management

After careful consideration, Intelli-Check's Board of Directors has determined that the acquisition and the other proposals presented at this meeting are fair to, and in the best interests of, Intelli-Check and its stockholders. The Board of Directors has approved and declared advisable the proposals, and recommends that the stockholders vote or direct that the stockholder's vote to be cast "FOR" the adoption of each.

When a stockholder considers the recommendation of the Board of Directors, the stockholder should keep in mind that the members of the Board of Directors have interests in the acquisition that are different from, or in addition to, the stockholder's. These interests include the fact that after the completion of the acquisition, Messrs. Levy, Maxwell, Money and Smith will continue to serve as members of the Board of Directors of Intelli-Check and Messrs. Embry and Mundy will continue to serve as officers. As such, in the future they may receive cash compensation, board fees, stock options or stock awards if the Intelli-Check Board of Directors so determines. Intelli-Check currently has made no determinations regarding the compensation it will pay its directors or officers after completion of the acquisition.

Certain U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences

U.S. federal income tax consequences of this acquisition are described in summary form on page 35 of this proxy statement.

Quotation/Listing

Intelli-Check's Common Stock is traded on AMEX under the symbol "IDN."

Accounting Treatment

Intelli-Check will account for the acquisition of Mobilisa as a purchase. The purchase price will be allocated to the various tangible and intangible assets and assumed liabilities based upon an appraisal.

Regulatory Matters

The acquisition is subject to filings necessary to effectuate the merger in the state of Washington. Specifically, if the proposals are approved by the shareholders, the articles of merger and a plan of merger will be prepared and filed with the Secretary of State of the state of Washington.

RISK FACTORS

Stockholders should carefully consider the following risk factors, together with all of the other information included in this proxy statement, before deciding whether to vote or direct their vote to be cast to approve the acquisition.

Risks related to Mobilisa's Business

Currently, Mobilisa derives approximately 22.5% of its revenue from government R&D (Research and Development) contracts, which are often non-standard, involve competitive bidding, may be subject to cancellation and may produce volatility in earnings and revenue.

In the year ended December 31, 2006 and the nine months ended September 30, 2007, Mobilisa derived 48.3% and 31.5% of its revenue respectively from government R&D contracts. These government contracts often include provisions that substantially differ from those found in typical private commercial transactions. For instance, government contracts may:

- · include provisions that allow the agency, in certain circumstances, to terminate the contract without penalty;
 - · be subject to purchasing decisions by agencies that are subject to political influence;
 - · include bonding requirements;
- · contain comprehensive procurement provisions that require Mobilisa to expend substantial resources in pursuing the contract;
- · specify performance criteria that Mobilisa must satisfy before the customer accepts the products and services; and
 - be subject to cancellation or reduction if funding is reduced or becomes unavailable.

Securing government contracts typically involves a lengthy competitive bidding process. Often, unsuccessful bidders have the ability to challenge contract awards. Such challenges may increase costs, result in delays and risk the loss of the contract by the winning bidder. Protests or other delays related to material government contracts that may be awarded to Mobilisa could result in revenue volatility. State and local government agency contracts may depend on the availability of matching funds from federal, state or local entities. State and local government agencies are subject to political, budgetary, purchasing and delivery constraints that may result in irregular revenue and operating results. Revenue volatility makes management of Mobilisa's business difficult. Outright loss of any material government contract through the protest process or otherwise, could significantly reduce Mobilisa's revenues.

Mobilisa has been granted contracts based on its status as a small business in a HUBZone and, in the future, Mobilisa may not continue to meet the qualifications for such status.

At times, Mobilisa has been granted government contracts in part due to its status as a small business in a HUBZone. There is a possibility that, due to future growth or the proposed transaction, Mobilisa will no longer meet the Small Business Administration's definition of a "small business", that Port Townsend, WA will no longer be designated a HUBZone, or that Mobilisa will relocate all or a portion of its operations outside of a HUBZone. If any of these things were to happen, Mobilisa may be at a disadvantage when competing for future government contracts, which may in turn reduce Mobilisa's revenue.

Mobilisa's business strategy exposes it to long sales and implementation cycles for its products.

Historically, Mobilisa's primary target customers have been government agencies and branches of the United States military, both of which require long sales and implementation cycles for products, which may result in a long period of time prior to revenue realization. The loss or significant reduction in government spending could limit Mobilisa's ability to obtain government contracts. These limitations, if significant, could significantly reduce Mobilisa's revenues. Mobilisa will need to develop additional strategic relationships with large government contractors in order to successfully compete for government contracts. Should Mobilisa lose or fail to develop these strategic relationships, it may not be able to implement its business strategy.

Mobilisa cannot be certain that its backlog estimates will result in actual revenues in any particular fiscal period because its clients may modify or terminate projects or may decide not to exercise contract options.

Mobilisa's backlog represents sales value of firm orders for products and services not yet delivered and, for long-term, executed contractual arrangements (contracts, subcontract and customer commitments), the estimated future sales value of product shipments, transactions processed and services to be provided over the term of the contractual arrangements, including anticipated renewal options. For contracts with indefinite quantities, Mobilisa's backlog is estimated based on current activity levels. Its backlog includes estimates of revenues, the receipt of which require future government appropriations, depend on option exercise by clients or are subject to contract modification or termination. At December 31, 2007, Mobilisa's backlog approximated \$11.4 million, \$3.0 million of which is estimated to be realized in the next twelve months. These estimates are based on Mobilisa's experience under such contracts and similar contracts, and it believes that such estimates are reasonable. If Mobilisa does not realize a substantial amount of its backlog, its operations could be harmed and future revenues could be significantly reduced.

The market for Mobilisa's products is evolving and its growth is uncertain.

Demand and market acceptance for recently introduced and existing products and sales from such products are subject to a high level of uncertainty and risk. Mobilisa's business may suffer if the market for those products develops more slowly than anticipated or if products do not obtain market acceptance.

Failure to manage Mobilisa's operations if they expand could impair future growth.

If Mobilisa is able to expand its operations, particularly through multiple sales to government agencies, the expansion will place significant strain on its existing management, financial controls, operating systems, personnel and other resources. Mobilisa's ability to manage future growth, should it occur, will depend to a large extent upon several factors, including its ability to do the following:

- · build and train its sales force;
- · establish and maintain relationships with distributors;
 - · develop customer support systems;
- · develop expanded internal management and financial controls adequate to keep pace with growth in personnel and sales, if they occur; and
 - · manage the use of third-party manufacturers and suppliers.

If Mobilisa is able to grow its business, but does not manage growth successfully, it may experience increased operating expenses, loss of customers, distributors or suppliers and declining or slowed growth of revenues.

Long lead times for the components used in certain products creates uncertainty in Mobilisa's supply chain and may result in Mobilisa taking a write-down for obsolete inventory or prevent it from making required deliveries to its customers on time.

Mobilisa relies exclusively on commercial off-the-shelf technology in manufacturing its products. The lead-time for ordering certain components used in its products and for the production of products can be lengthy. As a result, Mobilisa must, from time to time, order products based on forecasted demand. If demand for products lags significantly behind forecasts, Mobilisa may purchase more product than it can sell, which may result in write-downs of obsolete or excess inventory. Conversely, if demand exceeds forecasts, Mobilisa may not have enough product to

meet its obligations to its customers.

Mobilisa relies on commercial off-the-shelf technology to provide hardware products.

Although Mobilisa believes that it can find alternative sources for hardware, any disruption in Mobilisa's ability to obtain required hardware could result in delaying deliveries or in the loss of sales. Loss of suppliers may result in delays or additional expenses, and Mobilisa may not be able to meet its obligations to its customers.

Mobilisa obtains certain hardware and services, as well as some software applications, from a limited group of suppliers, and its reliance on these suppliers involves significant risks, including reduced control over quality and delivery schedules.

Any financial instability of Mobilisa's suppliers could result in having to find new suppliers. Mobilisa may experience significant delays in manufacturing and deliveries of products and services to customers if it loses its sources or if supplies and services delivered from these sources are delayed. As a result, Mobilisa may be required to incur additional development, manufacturing and other costs to establish alternative supply sources. It may take several months to locate alternative suppliers, if required. Mobilisa cannot predict whether it will be able to obtain replacement hardware within the required time frames at affordable costs, or at all. Any delays resulting from suppliers failing to deliver hardware or delays in obtaining alternative hardware, in sufficient quantities and of sufficient quality, or any significant increase in the cost of hardware from existing or alternative suppliers could result in delays on the shipment of product which, in turn, could result in the loss of customers it may not be able to successfully complete.

Mobilisa's Defense ID® system relies on access to databases run by various government agencies. If these governmental agencies were to stop sharing data with Mobilisa, the utility of the Defense ID® system would be diminished and business would be damaged.

Currently, Mobilisa's Defense ID® system accesses over 140 separate databases run by various government and law enforcement agencies. Mobilisa cannot be assured that each of these agencies will continue to cooperate with it. In the event that one or more of these agencies does not continue to provide access to these databases, the utility of the Defense ID® system may be diminished.

Mobilisa's Defense ID® system manages private personal information and information related to sensitive government functions, and a breach of the security systems protecting such information may result in a loss of suppliers or customers or result in litigation.

The protective security measures designed to protect sensitive information and contained in Mobilisa's products may not prevent all security breaches. Failure to prevent security breaches may disrupt Mobilisa's business, damage its reputation and expose it to litigation and liability. A party who is able to circumvent protective security measures used in these systems could misappropriate sensitive information or cause interruptions or otherwise damage Mobilisa's products, services and reputation and the property and privacy of customers. If unintended parties obtain sensitive data and information, or create bugs or viruses or otherwise sabotage the functionality of Mobilisa's products, Mobilisa may receive negative publicity, incur liability to its customers or lose the confidence of its customers, any of which may cause the termination or modification of contracts. Further, Mobilisa's existing insurance coverage may be insufficient to cover losses and liabilities that may result from such events.

In addition, Mobilisa may be required to expend significant capital and other resources to protect against the threat of security breaches or to alleviate problems caused by the occurrence of any such breaches. However, protective or remedial measures may not be available at a reasonable price or at all, or may not be entirely effective if commenced.

Future government regulation restricting the capture of information electronically stored on identification cards could adversely affect Mobilisa's business.

The Defense ID® system is designed to read, verify and capture information from identification cards. Currently, some jurisdictions have restrictions on what can be done with this information without consent. Because issues of personal privacy continue to be a major topic of public policy debate, it is possible that, in the future, these or other jurisdictions may introduce similar or additional restrictions on capturing this information. Therefore, the implementation of unfavorable regulations or unfavorable interpretations of existing regulations by courts or regulatory bodies could require Mobilisa to incur significant compliance costs, cause the development of the affected markets to become impractical and reduce revenues and potential revenues.

Mobilisa is subject to risks associated with product failure and technological flaws.

Products as complex as those offered by Mobilisa may contain undetected errors or result in failures when first introduced or when new versions are released. Despite vigorous product testing efforts and testing by current and potential customers, it is possible that errors will be found in a new product or enhancement after commercial shipments have commenced. The occurrence of product defects or errors could result in negative publicity, delays in product introduction, the diversion of resources to remedy defects and loss of or delay in market acceptance or claims by customers against Mobilisa and could cause Mobilisa to incur additional costs, any one of which could adversely affect business. Because of the risk of undetected error, Mobilisa may be compelled to accept liability provisions that vary from its preferred contracting model in certain critical transactions. There is a risk that in certain contracts and circumstances Mobilisa may not be successful in adequately minimizing product and related liabilities or that the protections negotiated will not ultimately be deemed enforceable.

Mobilisa carries product liability insurance, but existing coverage may not be adequate to cover potential claims. The failure of Mobilisa products to perform as promised could result in increased costs, lower margins, liquidated damage payment obligations and harm to Mobilisa's reputation.

Mobilisa may not be able to keep up with rapid technological change.

The markets for all of Mobilisa's products are characterized by rapid technological advancements. Significant technological change could render existing technology obsolete. If Mobilisa is unable to successfully respond to these developments, or does not respond in a cost-effective manner, its business, financial condition and results of operations will be materially adversely affected.

Failure to protect its proprietary technology may impair Mobilisa's competitive position.

Mobilisa continues to allocate significant resources to developing new and innovative technologies that are utilized in its products and systems. Because its continued success depends on, to a significant degree, Mobilisa's ability to offer products providing superior functionality and performance over those offered by its competitors, Mobilisa considers the protection of its technology from unauthorized use to be fundamental to its success. This is done by processes aimed at identifying and seeking appropriate protection for newly-developed intellectual property, including patents, trade secrets, copyrights and trademarks, as well as policies aimed at identifying unauthorized use of such property in the marketplace. These processes include:

- · contractual arrangements providing for non-disclosure of proprietary information;
- · maintaining and enforcing issued patents and filing patent applications on innovative solutions to commercially important problems;
 - · protecting trade secrets;
 - · protecting copyrights and trademarks by registration and other appropriate means,
- · establishing internal processes for identifying and appropriately protecting new and innovative technologies; and
 - · establishing practices for identifying unauthorized use of intellectual property.

Mobilisa may have to litigate to enforce patents or trademarks or to determine the scope and validity of other parties' proprietary rights. Litigation could be very costly and divert management's attention. An adverse outcome in any litigation may have a severe negative effect on Mobilisa's financial results. To determine the priority of inventions,

Mobilisa may have to participate in interference proceedings declared by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office or oppositions in foreign patent and trademark offices, which could result in substantial cost and limitations on the scope or validity of Mobilisa's patents or trademarks.

In addition, foreign laws treat the protection of proprietary rights differently from laws in the United States and may not protect proprietary rights to the same extent as U.S. laws. The failure of foreign laws or judicial systems to adequately protect Mobilisa's proprietary rights or intellectual property, including intellectual property developed on Mobilisa's behalf by foreign contractors or subcontractors, may have a material adverse effect on Mobilisa's business, operations and financial results.

Legal claims regarding infringement of third-party intellectual property rights by Mobilisa or its suppliers could result in substantial costs, diversion of managerial resources and harm to Mobilisa's reputation.

To Mobilisa's knowledge, its current products do not infringe on the intellectual property rights of any third parties and there are no claims regarding infringement of third-party intellectual property rights against either it or its supplier. If any third party were to bring such an infringement claim against either Mobilisa or its suppliers, it may result in substantial costs to Mobilisa, diversion of Mobilisa's resources and harm to Mobilisa's business.

If Mobilisa's future products incorporate technologies that infringe the proprietary rights of third parties and it does not secure licenses from them, Mobilisa could be liable for substantial damages.

To Mobilisa's knowledge, its current products do not infringe the intellectual property rights of any third parties, and it is not aware of any third-party intellectual property rights that may hamper Mobilisa's ability to provide future products and services. However, Mobilisa recognizes that the development of services or products may require it to acquire intellectual property licenses from third parties so as to avoid infringement of those parties' intellectual property rights. These licenses may not be available at all or may only be available on terms that are not commercially reasonable. If third parties make infringement claims against Mobilisa, whether or not they are upheld, such claims could:

- · consume substantial time and financial resources:
- · divert the attention of management from growing Mobilisa's business and managing operations; and
 - · disrupt product sales and shipments.

If any third party prevails in an action against Mobilisa for infringement of its proprietary rights, it could be required to pay damages and either enter into costly licensing arrangements or redesign its products so as to exclude any infringing use. As a result, Mobilisa would incur substantial costs; suffer delays in product development, sales and shipments; revenues may decline substantially; and Mobilisa may not be able to achieve the minimum, necessary growth for continued success.

Failure to attract and retain management and other personnel may damage operations and financial results and cause revenue to decline.

Mobilisa depends, to a significant degree, on the skills, experience and efforts of executive officers and other key management and of technical, finance, sales and other personnel. A failure to attract, integrate, motivate and retain existing or additional personnel could disrupt or otherwise harm Mobilisa's operations and financial results. Mobilisa does not carry key employee life insurance policies covering any employees. The loss of services of certain of key employees, an inability to attract or retain qualified personnel in the future, or delays in hiring additional personnel could delay the development of Mobilisa's business and could cause revenues to decline.

Mobilisa is currently developing several new systems, including Floating Area Networks ("FANs") and Littoral Sensor Grids, that rely on government funding for continued research and development, and the failure to meet project milestones and development targets could impact that funding.

Mobilisa anticipates that projects currently in research and development, including FANs and Littoral Sensor Grids, will play a critical role in its future growth. Because these projects are in development and being funded by various government agencies, Mobilisa has certain ongoing milestones and development targets that it must meet. If these milestones or development targets are not met, Mobilisa could lose its research and development funding for these projects. In addition, even if milestones and development targets are met, there is no guarantee that the funding

agencies will continue to grant the same level of, or any, research and development funds. Failure to attract research and development funding adequate to fully fund these projects could result in the termination of those projects, which could have a significant impact on Mobilisa's revenue.

Mobilisa cannot guarantee that projects currently in research and development stage, including FANs and Littoral Sensor Grids, will result in operational systems or prototypes or that such systems or prototypes, if produced, will be commercially marketable.

Projects in the research and development stage have not yet been proven operational. While Mobilisa anticipates that it will be able to produce operational systems or prototypes based on its research and development, there is no guarantee that it will be able to do so. Furthermore, even if Mobilisa's is able to produce operational systems or prototypes, there is no guarantee that those systems or prototypes will prove commercially marketable.

Risks Relating to the Acquisition

As a result of the acquisition, Intelli-Check stockholders will incur substantial dilution.

As of the date of this Proxy Statement, Intelli-Check had approximately 12,281,728 shares outstanding. If the acquisition is consummated, this amount would approximately double. As a result, Intelli-Check's stockholders' proportionate holding in the combined corporation would be diluted by approximately fifty percent.

Because Intelli-Check does not intend to pay dividends on its Common Stock, stockholders will benefit from an investment in Intelli-Check's Common Stock only if it appreciates in value.

Intelli-Check has never declared or paid any cash dividends on its shares of Common Stock. Post acquisition, Intelli-Check currently intends to retain all future earnings, if any, for use in the operations and expansion of the business. As a result, Intelli-Check does not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Any future determination as to the declaration and payment of cash dividends will be at the discretion of Intelli-Check's Board of Directors and will depend on factors Intelli-Check's Board of Directors deems relevant, including among others, Intelli-Check's results of operations, financial condition and cash requirements, business prospects, and the terms of Intelli-Check's credit facilities and other financing arrangements. Accordingly, realization of a gain on stockholders' investments will depend on the appreciation of the price of Intelli-Check's Common Stock. There is no guarantee that Intelli-Check's Common Stock will appreciate in value.

Intelli-Check has had a limited ability to evaluate the target business' management.

Although Intelli-Check closely examined the management of Mobilisa, Intelli-Check cannot provide assurance that its assessment of Mobilisa's management will prove to be correct, or that future management will have the necessary skills, qualifications or abilities to manage its business successfully. Many of the current members in the management of Mobilisa will be involved with the management of the combined company and will have the ability to affect its day to day operations.

Post acquisition, Intelli-Check's management team will control a substantial interest in Intelli-Check and thus may influence certain actions requiring a stockholder vote.

Post-acquisition, Intelli-Check's management team (including all of Intelli-Check's directors) will own approximately 52.4% of Intelli-Check's issued and outstanding common stock, approximately 49.7% of which would be owned by Nelson Ludlow and Bonnie Ludlow, Mobilisa's two primary shareholders. By owning this many shares, Intelli-Check's management team will be able to control decisions to be made by Intelli-Check's stockholders.

If Intelli-Check is not successful in integrating the two organizations, Intelli-Check will not be able to operate efficiently after the merger.

Achieving the benefits of the merger will depend in part on the successful integration of Intelli-Check's and Mobilisa's operations, products and personnel in a timely and efficient manner. The integration process requires coordination of different sales forces, administrative staff and development and engineering teams, and involves the integration of systems, applications, policies, procedures, business processes and channel operations. This, too, will be difficult, unpredictable, and subject to delay because of possible cultural conflicts and different opinions on technical decisions and product roadmaps. If Intelli-Check cannot successfully integrate the operations and personnel of the two companies, Intelli-Check will not realize the expected benefits of the merger.

Integrating the companies may divert management's attention away from operations.

Successful integration of Intelli-Check's and Mobilisa's operations, products and personnel may place a significant burden on the management and the internal resources of both Intelli-Check and Mobilisa. The diversion of management attention and any difficulties encountered in the transition and integration process could harm the business, financial condition and operating results of each of the companies, and the combined company after completion of the merger.

Intelli-Check expects to incur significant costs integrating the companies into a single business, and if such integration is not successful, Intelli-Check may not realize the expected benefits of the merger.

Intelli-Check expects to incur significant costs integrating Intelli-Check's and Mobilisa's operations, products and personnel. These costs may include costs for:

- · employee severance;
- · conversion of information systems;
- · combining research and development teams and processes;
- · relocation or disposition of excess equipment.

In addition, Intelli-Check expects to incur significant transaction costs in connection with the merger. Intelli-Check does not know whether it will be successful in these integration efforts or in consummating the merger and cannot assure its investors that it will realize the expected benefits of the merger.

If Intelli-Check fails to retain key employees, the benefits of the merger could be diminished.

The successful combination of Intelli-Check and Mobilisa will depend in part on the retention of key personnel. There can be no assurance that Intelli-Check will be able to retain its or Mobilisa's key management, technical, sales and customer support personnel. If Intelli-Check fails to retain such key employees, it may not realize the anticipated benefits of the merger.

If Intelli-Check does not integrate Mobilisa's products, Intelli-Check may lose customers and fail to achieve its financial objectives.

Achieving the benefits of the merger will depend in part on the integration of Intelli-Check's and Mobilisa's products in a timely and efficient manner. In order for Intelli-Check to provide enhanced and more valuable products to its customers after the merger, Intelli-Check will need to integrate its product lines and development organizations with

those of Mobilisa. This will be difficult, unpredictable, and subject to delay because Intelli-Check's and Mobilisa's products are highly complex, have been developed independently and were designed without regard to such integration. If Intelli-Check cannot successfully integrate Mobilisa's products and continue to provide customers with products and new product features in the future on a timely basis, Intelli-Check may lose customers and its business and results of operations may be harmed.

Sales could decline if customer or supplier relationships are disrupted by the merger.

The customers of Intelli-Check and Mobilisa may not continue their current buying patterns during the pendency of, and following, the merger. Any significant delay or reduction in orders for Intelli-Check's or Mobilisa's products could harm the combined company's business, financial condition and results of operations. Customers may defer purchasing decisions as they evaluate the likelihood of successful integration of Intelli-Check's and Mobilisa's products and the combined company's future product strategy, or consider purchasing products of competitors. Customers may also seek to modify or terminate existing agreements, or prospective customers may delay entering into new agreements or purchasing products. In addition, by increasing the breadth of Intelli-Check's and Mobilisa's business, the merger may make it more difficult for the combined company to enter into or maintain relationships, including customer relationships, with suppliers or strategic partners, some of whom may view the combined company as a more direct competitor than either Intelli-Check or Mobilisa as an independent company.

The trading price of the combined company's stock may be affected by factors different from those currently affecting the prices of Intelli-Check and Mobilisa Common Stock.

Upon completion of the merger, holders of Mobilisa's Common Stock will become holders of the Common Stock of Intelli-Check. The results of operations of the combined company, as well as the trading price of Intelli-Check's Common Stock after the merger, may be affected by factors different from those currently affecting the results of operations and the trading price of the Common Stock of Intelli-Check.

Risks to Intelli-Check's Business

Intelli-Check has not pursued certain business opportunities after signing the merger agreement with Mobilisa, at the request of Mobilisa, because such opportunities would compete with Mobilisa's business

Intelli-Check has traditionally sold its software through a network of distributors and resellers that compete in the same market segments as Mobilisa. At Mobilisa's request, Intelli-Check has turned down certain business opportunities to sell its products and software integration tools to certain resellers that would compete directly against Mobilisa currently or in the future.

Intelli-Check has incurred losses since inception and losses may continue, which could result in a decline in the value of its securities and a loss of stockholder investment.

Intelli-Check sustained net losses of \$3,238,959, \$2,879,970 and \$2,288,443 for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2005, December 31, 2006 and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively, and its accumulated deficit was \$44,276,295 as of September 30, 2007. Since it is expected that additional expenditures will be incurred in line with the sales growth of the business, there is no assurance that Intelli-Check will achieve operating profits in the near future.

Intelli-Check may be unable to meet future capital requirements.

Intelli-Check's capital requirements have been and will continue to be significant. In the event that Intelli-Check does not generate meaningful revenue, there may be a need to raise additional capital. If Intelli-Check is unable to raise additional capital, it may have to implement cost saving measures to sustain business activities on a reduced level. Acquisition and development opportunities and other contingencies may arise, which could require additional capital. If additional capital is raised through the sale of equity, including preferred stock, or convertible debt securities, the percentage ownership by then existing stockholders will be diluted.

Intelli-Check currently does not have a credit facility or any commitments for additional financing. Intelli-Check cannot be certain that additional financing, should it be needed, will be available when and to the extent required. If adequate funds are not available on acceptable terms, Intelli-Check may be unable to fund expansion, develop or enhance its products, or respond to competitive pressures. Such limitation could have a material adverse effect on business, financial condition and results of operations.

Intelli-Check may not be able to keep up with rapid technological change.

Intelli-Check's market is characterized by frequent new product announcements and rapid advancements in hardware technology. Significant technological change could render existing technology obsolete. If unable to successfully respond to these developments, or if Intelli-Check does not respond in a cost-effective way, its business, financial condition and results of operations will be materially adversely affected.

Intelli-Check's proprietary software relies on reference data provided by government and quasi-government agencies. If these governmental and quasi-government agencies were to stop sharing data with Intelli-Check, the utility of its proprietary software would be diminished in those jurisdictions and its business would be damaged.

Currently, the fifty states, ten Canadian provinces and the District of Columbia, which in most instances conform to the guidelines established by certain organizations responsible for implementing industry standards, cooperate by providing Intelli-Check sample identification cards so that Intelli-Check may modify all of its hardware and software products to read and analyze the encoded information found on such jurisdiction's identification cards. Intelli-Check cannot provide assurance that each of these jurisdictions will continue to cooperate with Intelli-Check. In the event that one or more of these jurisdictions do not continue to provide this reference data, the utility of Intelli-Check's proprietary software may be diminished in those jurisdictions.

Future government regulation restricting the capture of information electronically stored on identification cards could adversely affect Intelli-Check's business.

Intelli-Check's proprietary software products are designed to read, verify and capture information from identification cards. Currently, those customers located in Nebraska, New Hampshire, North Carolina and Texas have some restrictions on what can be done with this information. Because issues of personal privacy continue to be a major topic

of public policy debate, it is possible that in the future, additional customers in these and other jurisdictions may be restricted from capturing this information. Therefore, the implementation of unfavorable regulations or unfavorable interpretations of existing regulations by courts or regulatory bodies could require Intelli-Check to incur significant compliance costs, cause the development of the affected markets to become impractical and reduce revenues and potential revenues.

Intelli-Check's business strategy exposes the company to long sales and implementation cycles for its products.

Intelli-Check's target customers in the commercial fraud protection, access control and age verification markets include large retailers and government agencies, which typically require longer sales and implementation cycles for the company's products than does a potential customer base solely interested in age verification, such as restaurant, bar and convenience store operators. The longer sales and implementation cycles for larger retail companies continue to have an adverse impact on the timing of realizing revenues. In addition, budgetary constraints and potential economic slowdowns may also continue to delay purchasing decisions by these prospective customers. These initiatives have costs associated with them, and Intelli-Check cannot provide assurance that they ultimately will prove successful or result in, an increase to, revenues or profitability.

In addition, the loss or significant reduction in government spending by government entities could materially limit Intelli-Check's ability to obtain government contracts. These limitations, if significant, could also have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, Intelli-Checkmay need to develop additional strategic relationships with large government contractors in order to successfully compete for government contracts. Should Intelli-Check lose or fail to develop these strategic relationships the company may not be able to implement its business strategy.

The market for Intelli-Check's systems and software is evolving and its growth is uncertain.

Demand and market acceptance for recently introduced and existing systems and software and sales from such systems and software, are subject to a high level of uncertainty and risk. Intelli-Check's business may suffer if the market develops more slowly than anticipated and does not sustain market acceptance.

Failure to properly manage operations if they expand could impair Intelli-Check's future growth.

If Intelli-Check is able to expand its operations, particularly through multiple sales to large retailers and government agencies in the document verification market, the expansion will place significant strain on management, financial controls, operating systems, personnel and other resources. The Company's ability to manage future growth, should it occur, will depend to a large extent upon several factors, including its ability to do the following:

- · build and train a well organized sales force;
- · establish and maintain relationships with distributors and end user customer base;
 - · develop customer support systems;
- · develop expanded internal management and financial controls adequate to keep pace with growth in personnel and sales, if they occur; and
 - · manage the use of third-party manufacturers and suppliers.

If Intelli-Check is able to grow its business but does not manage growth properly, it may experience increased operating expenses, loss of customers, distributors or suppliers and declining or slowed growth of revenues.

Intelli-Check is subject to risks associated with product failure and technological flaws.

Products as complex as those offered by Intelli-Check may contain undetected errors or result in failures when first introduced or when new versions are released. Despite vigorous product testing efforts and testing by current and potential customers, it is possible that errors will be found in a new product or enhancement after commencement of

commercial shipments. The occurrence of product defects or errors could result in adverse publicity, delay in product introduction, diversion of resources to remedy defects, loss of or a delay in market acceptance, claims by customers against Intelli-Check, or could cause additional costs to be incurred, any of which could adversely affect the company's business.

Failure to protect proprietary technology may impair competitive position.

Intelli-Check continues to allocate significant resources to develop new and innovative technologies which are utilized in Intelli-Check's products and systems. Intelli-Check considers such allocation to be fundamental to its continued success as such success depends, to a significant degree, upon the ability to provide products and systems that provide superior functionality and performance compared to those of Intelli-Check's competitors. Accordingly, Intelli-Check must protect its technology and intellectual property from unauthorized use. This is done by processes aimed at identifying and seeking appropriate protection for newly developed intellectual property, i.e., patents, trade secrets, copyrights and trademarks, as well as policies aimed at identifying unauthorized use of such property in the marketplace. These processes include:

- · contractual arrangements providing for non-disclosure of proprietary information;
- · maintaining and enforcing issued patents and filing patent applications on innovative solutions to commercially important problems;
 - · protecting trade secrets;
 - · protecting copyrights and trademarks by registration and other appropriate means,
- · establishing internal processes for identifying and appropriately protecting new and innovative technologies; and
 - · establishing practices for identifying unauthorized use of its intellectual property.

While Intelli-Check actively protects its intellectual property, it does not follow that others will not intentionally or innocently use such intellectual property. Accordingly, at times Intelli-Check may be required to bring legal proceedings to preclude such unauthorized use. The Company is mindful that such measures can be costly and time consuming and undertakes such measures only as a last resort.

These policies and practices with respect to intellectual property rights do not prevent Intelli-Check's competitors from independently developing products similar or superior to Intelli-Check's products and technologies. It merely protects Intelli-Check's property rights created as a result of allocating significant portions of its technical and monetary resources.

If future products incorporate technologies that infringe the proprietary rights of third parties, and Intelli-Check does not secure licenses from them, the company could be liable for substantial damages.

Intelli-Check is not aware that any of its current products infringe the intellectual property rights of any third parties. Intelli-Check is also not aware of any third party intellectual property rights that may hamper its ability to provide future products and services. However, Intelli-Check recognizes that the development of its services or products may require acquisition of intellectual property licenses from third parties so as to avoid infringement of those parties' intellectual property rights. These licenses may not be available at all or may only be available on terms that are not commercially reasonable. If third parties make infringement claims against Intelli-Check which, whether or not they are upheld, such claims could:

- · consume substantial time and financial resources;
- · divert the attention of management from growing business and managing operations; and
 - · disrupt product sales and shipments.

If any third party prevails in an action against Intelli-Check for infringement of its proprietary rights, Intelli-Check could be required to pay damages and either enter into costly licensing arrangements or redesign its products so as to exclude any infringing use. As a result, Intelli-Check would incur substantial costs, delays in product development, sales and shipments, revenues may decline substantially and Intelli-Check may not be able to achieve the minimum, necessary growth for continued success.

Failure to attract and retain management and other personnel may damage Intelli-Check's operations and financial results and cause its stock price to decline.

Intelli-Check depends to a significant degree on the skills, experience and efforts of its executive officers and other key management, technical, finance, sales and other personnel. Failure to attract, integrate, motivate and retain existing or additional personnel could disrupt or otherwise harm operations and financial results. Intelli-Check does not carry key man life insurance policies covering any employees. The loss of services of certain of its key employees, an inability to attract or retain qualified personnel in the future, or delays in hiring additional personnel could delay the development of Intelli-Check's business and could cause its stock price to decline.

Intelli-Check's share price may be volatile and could decline substantially

The market price of Intelli-Check's Common Stock, like the price of shares of technology companies generally, has been and may continue to be volatile. From January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2007, the closing bid price of the Company's Common Stock has varied from a high of \$19.45 to a low of \$2.10 per share, as reported on the American Stock Exchange. Many factors may cause the market price for the Company's Common Stock to decline, including:

- · shortfalls in revenues, cash flows or continued losses from operations;
 - · delays in development or roll-out of products;
- · announcements by one or more competitors of new product acquisitions or technological innovations;
 - · additional turnover in management; and
 - · unfavorable outcomes from outstanding litigation.

In addition, the stock market experiences extreme fluctuations in price and volume that particularly affect the market price of shares of emerging technology companies. These price and volume fluctuations are often unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of the affected companies. Because of this volatility, the Company may fail to meet the expectations of its stockholders or of securities analysts and its stock price could decline as a result. Declines in the Company's stock price for any reason, as well as broad-based market fluctuations or fluctuations related to financial results or other developments, may adversely affect stockholder ability to sell shares at a price equal to or above the price at which it was purchased. Decreases in the price of Common Stock could also lead to de-listing of Intelli-Check's Common Stock.

MOBILISA SELECTED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following financial information is provided to assist in the analysis of the financial aspects of the acquisition. The December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 historical information concerning Mobilisa was derived from its audited consolidated financial statements and the September 30, 2007 and 2006 and the December 31, 2003 and 2002, information from its unaudited financial statements. Historical information concerning Intelli-Check was derived from its audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006 and from its September 30, 2007 unaudited financial statements. The information is only a summary and should be read in conjunction with each company's historical consolidated financial statements and related notes contained elsewhere herein. The historical results included below and elsewhere in this proxy statement are not indicative of the future performance of Mobilisa, Intelli-Check or the combined company resulting from the acquisition.

| | Nine Mo | nths | Ended | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-------|----------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|-------|------------|------|--------|
| | Septe | mber | 30, | | | Years E | nded | Dece | mber 31, | | |
| | 2007 | | 2006 | 2006 | | 2005 | 20 | 04 | 2003 | | 2002 |
| | (Una | udite | ed) | | | | | | (Una | udi | ted) |
| | | | | (| In th | ousands, | expec | t per | share amou | nts) | |
| Statement of Operations Data: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 4,063 | \$ | 2,030 \$ | 3,423 | \$ | 2,265 | \$ | 1,682 | \$ 663 | \$ | 98 |
| Loss from operations | 360 |) | (57) | 1137 | | (229) | | 200 | (86 |) | (45) |
| Net income (loss) | 347 | ' | (118) | 63 | | (203) | | 104 | (86 |) | (45) |
| Net income (loss) per common | | | | | | | | | | | |
| share - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| basic and diluted | 0.03 | } | (0.01) | 0.01 | | (0.02) | | 0.01 | (0.01 |) | (0.00) |
| Common shares used in | | | | | | | | | | | |
| computing | | | | | | | | | | | |
| per share amounts - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| basic and diluted | 11,251 | | 11,242 | 11,243 | | 11,241 | 1 | 1,241 | 11,180 | | 10,853 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | As of | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>-</u> | ember 30, | | | | | of Dece | | 31, | | | |
| | 2007 | 2 | 2006 | 200 | 5 | 200 | 04 | | 2003 | | 2002 |
| (Un | audited) | | | | | | | | (Unaud | ted |) |
| | | | | | | (In thous | sands) | 1 | | | |
| Balance sheet data: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash | | | | | | | | | | | |
| equivalents \$ | 258 | \$ | 183 | \$ | 88 | \$ | 44 | \$ | 5 | \$ | 1 |
| Working capital | 649 | | 164 | | (81) | | 100 | | (114) | | (32) |
| Total assets | 2,493 | | 1,261 | | 443 | | 635 | | 78 | | 16 |
| Total liabilities | 2,009 | | 1,064 | | 392 | | 452 | | 165 | | 38 |
| Stockholders equity | 484 | | 197 | | 51 | | 183 | | (87) | | (22) |
| 21 | | | | | | | | | | | |

INTELLI-CHECK SELECTED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following presents selected financial data under the captions "Statement of Operations Data" and "Balance Sheet Data" as of the end of each of the five years ended December 31, 2006, which are derived from the financial statements of Intelli-Check, Inc, and as of nine months ended September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006. The financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005 and 2006 were audited by Amper, Politziner & Mattia, P.C., independent registered certified public accountants. The selected financial data should be read in conjunction with the financial statements as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006, the accompanying notes and the report of independent registered public accounting firms thereon, which are included elsewhere in this Proxy Statement.

| included elsewhere in this Proxy Statement. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|------------------------------------|------|---------------|----|---------|-----|------------|------|-----------|-------|--------|------|---------|
| | | Nine Mor Septen 2007 (Una | nbei | r 30, 2006 | | 2006 | | Years En | 2 | 2004 | : | 2003 | .4-\ | 2002 |
| | | | | | | (In | tno | ousands, | expe | ect per s | snare | amoui | its) | |
| Statement of Operations | Data: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Revenue | | \$ 2,282 | \$ | 2,025 | \$ | 3,162 | \$ | 2,384 | \$ | 1,119 | \$ | 1,236 | \$ | 1,139 |
| Loss from operations | | (2,424) | | (2,704 |) | (3,103) | | (3,385) | | (7,017) |) | (5,537 |) | (5,936) |
| Net loss | | (2,288) | | (2,534 |) | (2,880) | | (3,239) | | (6,923) |) | (6,451 |) | (5,550) |
| Net loss per common sharbasic and diluted | ·e - | (0.19) | | (0.21 |) | (0.24) | | (0.31) | | (0.79) |) | (0.74 |) | (0.64) |
| Common shares used in | | (0.17) | | (0.21 | , | (0.21) | | (0.31) | | (0.77) | | (0.71 | , | (0.01) |
| computing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| per share amounts - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| basic and diluted | | 12,257 | | 12,130 | | 12,146 | | 11,201 | | 10,225 | | 9,218 | | 8,686 |
| | As | of | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Septem | ber 30, | | | | | As | of Decen | ıber | 31, | | | | |
| | 200 | 07 | 200 | 06 | | 2005 | | 2004 | | 2 | 2003 | | 2 | 2002 |
| | (Unau | dited) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | (| (In thousa | ands |) | | | | |
| Balance sheet data: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| equivalents | \$ | 272 \$ | | 527 | \$ | 528 | 3 | \$ 1, | 750 | \$ | 3, | 307 | \$ | 1,911 |
| Working capital | | 2,279 | | 3,860 | | 5,289 |) | 3, | 594 | | 8, | 350 | | 2,634 |
| Total assets | | 4,015 | | 5,656 | | 6,909 |) | 5, | 615 | | 10, | 732 | | 5,415 |
| Total liabilities | | 1,677 | | 1,719 | | 1,519 |) | 1, | 907 | | 1, | 956 | | 1,542 |
| Stockholders equity | | 2,338 | | 3,937 | | 5,390 |) | | 868 | | 6, | 901 | | 3,873 |
| 22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

SELECTED UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following selected unaudited pro forma financial information combines Intelli-Check's historical balance sheet and that of Mobilisa as of September 30, 2007, giving effect to the transactions described in the merger agreement as if they had occurred on December 31, 2006. Additionally, the financial information combines (i) Intelli-Check's historical statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006 with those of Mobilisa for the year ended December 31, 2006 and (ii) Intelli-Check's historical statement of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 with those of Mobilisa, in each case giving effect to the acquisition as if it had occurred on December 31, 2006. The following selected unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information is intended to provide stockholders with a picture of what Intelli-Check's business might have looked like had the acquisition been completed on or as of the dates specified above. The combined financial information may have been different had the acquisition actually been completed on or as of those dates. Stockholders should not rely on the selected unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information as being indicative of the historical results that would have occurred had the acquisition occurred or the future results that may be achieved after the acquisition. The following selected unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information has been derived from, and should be read in conjunction with, the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes thereto starting on page 79.

| | Nine Months | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Ended | Year Ended |
| | September 30, | December 31, |
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Selected Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed | (unaudited) | (unaudited) |
| | (In thousand | ls, except |
| Combined Statement of Operations Data: | per share | e data) |
| Revenue | 6,345 | 6,584 |
| Loss from operations | (2,909) | (4,399) |
| Net loss | (2,786) | (4,213) |
| Net loss per common share - basic and | | |
| diluted | (0.11) | (0.17) |
| Common shares used in computing per | | |
| share amounts - basic and diluted | 24,532 | 24,412 |
| | | As of September 30, 2007 |
| | | (unaudited) |
| Selected Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Balance Sheet Data: | | (In thousands) |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | 530 |
| Working capital | | 3,009 |
| Total assets | | 56,464 |
| Total liabilities | | 3,604 |
| Stockholders equity | | 52,860 |
| 23 | | |

COMPARATIVE PER SHARE INFORMATION

The following table sets forth selected historical per share information and unaudited pro forma combined information as of September 30, 2007 and for the nine months then ended for Intelli-Check and Mobilisa giving effect to the acquisition as if it had occurred on December 31, 2006. Intelli-Check is providing this information to aid stockholders in their analysis of the financial aspects of the acquisition. The unaudited pro forma combined share information should be read in conjunction with the historical financial statements of Intelli-Check and Mobilisa and the related notes thereto included elsewhere in this proxy statement.

The unaudited pro forma combined per share information does not purport to represent what the actual results of operations of Intelli-Check and Mobilisa would have been had the acquisition taken place on the dates noted or to project Intelli-Check's or Mobilisa's results of operations that may be achieved after the acquisition.

Intelli-Check Historical Per Share Data:

| | E Septe | Months nded mber 30, 007 | Year Ended December 31, 2006 |
|------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Historical Per Share Data: | | | |
| Net loss per share — basic | \$ | (0.19) \$ | (0.24) |
| Net loss per share — diluted | | (0.19) | (0.24) |
| Book value per share | | 0.19 | 0.33 |
| Cash dividends per share | | | |

Mobilisa Historical Per Share Data:

| Historical Per Share Data: | Nine Mo Ende Septembe 2007 | ł | Year Ended December 31, 2006 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|
| Net income per share — basic | \$ | 0.02 \$ | 0.01 |
| Net income per share — diluted | · | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| Book value per share | | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| Cash dividends per share | | _ | _ |

Combined Pro Forma Per Share Data:

| Pro Forma Per Share Data: | En | Months ded aber 30, | Year Ended December 31, 2006 |
|------------------------------|----|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | ф | (O 11) A | (0.16) |
| Net loss per share — basic | \$ | (0.11) \$ | (0.16) |
| Net loss per share — diluted | | (0.11) | (0.16) |

| Book value per share | 2.15 | 2.22 |
|--------------------------|------|------|
| Cash dividends per share | _ | |
| | | |
| 24 | | |

PRICE RANGE OF SECURITIES AND DIVIDENDS

Intelli-Check

Intelli-Check's Common Stock is traded on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol "IDN." The closing price for the Common Stock on August 9, 2007, the last trading day before announcement of the acquisition, was \$4.07. The closing price for the Common Stock on February 1, 2008, the most recent trading day practicable before the date of this proxy statement, was \$3.15.

The following table indicates high and low sales quotations for the periods indicated based upon information available on the American Stock Exchange's web site.

| | Low | High |
|----------------|------------|------------|
| <u>2006</u> | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 3.77 | \$ 7.30 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 4.41 | \$ 6.60 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 4.80 | \$ 6.23 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 5.40 | \$ 7.49 |
| | | |
| <u>2007</u> | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 5.75 | \$ 7.85 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 4.76 | \$ 7.41 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 2.63 | \$ 5.70 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 2.96 | \$ 4.05 |

<u>Number of Record Holders of Common Stock</u>. As of January 31, 2008, the number of holders of record of Intelli-Check's Common Stock was 65, which does not include individual participants holding shares in street name accounts.

<u>Dividends</u>. There were no cash dividends or other cash distributions made by Intelli-Check during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007. Future dividend policy will be determined by Intelli-Check's Board of Directors based upon earnings, financial condition, capital requirements and other then existing conditions. It is anticipated that cash dividends will not be paid to the holders of Intelli-Check's Common Stock in the foreseeable future.

Mobilisa

Mobilisa securities are not publicly traded.

As of January 31, 2008, there were six holders of record of Mobilisa common stock.

<u>Dividends</u>. There were no cash dividends or other cash distributions made by Mobilisa during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007. In accordance with the terms of the Merger Agreement dated November 20, 2007, Mobilisa may pay, declare or distribute a dividend up to \$1,000,000 to its shareholders prior to the date of the merger.

Dividends Post Acquisition

The payment of dividends by the combined company in the future will be contingent upon revenues and earnings, if any, capital requirements and general financial condition subsequent to completion of the acquisition. The payment of any dividends subsequent to that time will be within the discretion of the Board of Directors serving at that time. It is the present intention of the Board to retain all earnings, if any, for use in business operations and, accordingly, it does

not anticipate declaring any dividends in the foreseeable future. Loans or credit facilities may also limit the combined company's ability to pay dividends.

THE INTELLI-CHECK SPECIAL MEETING

Intelli-Check is furnishing this proxy statement to its stockholders as part of the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors for use at the special meeting in connection with the proposed acquisition of Mobilisa. This document provides information stockholders need to know to be able to vote or instruct their vote to be cast at the special meeting.

Date, Time and Place. Intelli-Check will hold the special meeting at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time, on March 14, 2008, at 246 Crossways Park West, Woodbury, NY 11797 to vote on the proposals.

Purpose. At the special meeting, holders of Intelli-Check Common Stock will be asked to approve proposals relating to:

- •The merger of a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intelli-Check into Mobilisa, resulting in Mobilisa becoming a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intelli-Check and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement dated November 20, 2007 among Intelli-Check, the wholly-owned subsidiary of Intelli-Check, Mobilisa and certain common shareholders of Mobilisa;
- · Amending Intelli-Check's Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of Intelli-Check's authorized shares of Common Stock to 40,000,000;
- · Amending Intelli-Check's 2006 Stock Option and Equity Incentive Plan (the "Plan") to increase the number of shares of Common Stock authorized to be issued under the plan by 3,000,000;
- · Amending Intelli-Check's Certificate of Incorporation to change the name of the corporation to Intelli-Check Mobilisa, Inc.; and
- •The approval of any adjournment or postponement of the special meeting for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies.

The first three proposals are essential to the acquisition of Mobilisa, and, therefore, Intelli-Check's Board of Directors will abandon the acquisition unless all three proposals are approved by stockholders.

Intelli-Check's Board of Directors determined that each of the foregoing proposals are fair to, and in the best interests of, Intelli-Check and its stockholders, approved and declared each of them advisable, and recommends that Intelli-Check's stockholders vote "FOR" the acquisition of Mobilisa, the amendment to Intelli-Check's certificate of incorporation to increase its authorized shares of Common Stock, the amendment to the Plan to increase the number of shares of Common Stock issuable under the Plan, the amendment to Intelli-Check's Certificate of Incorporation to change its name to Intelli-Check - Mobilisa, Inc. and any adjournment or postponement of the special meeting for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies.

The special meeting has been called only to consider the foregoing proposals. Under Delaware law no other business may be transacted at the special meeting.

Record Date; Who is Entitled to Vote. The "record date" for the special meeting is January 31, 2008. Record holders of Intelli-Check Common Stock at the close of business on the record date are entitled to vote or have their votes cast at the special meeting. On the record date, there were 12,281,728 outstanding shares of Intelli-Check Common Stock. Each share of Common Stock is entitled to one vote per proposal at the special meeting.

Vote Required. Approval of the acquisition requires an affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock present at the meeting in person or by proxy. Approval of the amendments to Intelli-Check's Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation will require the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares of Intelli-Check Common Stock outstanding on the record date. Approval of the amendment to the Plan will require the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the special meeting, provided that there is a quorum. However, if the proposal relating to the merger is approved and either of the two other proposals are not approved, the merger will not be consummated since the other proposals must be approved in order for Intelli-Check to pay the merger consideration to Mobilisa's security holders.

Voting Your Shares. Each share of Common Stock that you own in your name entitles you to one vote per proposal. Your proxy card shows the number of shares you own.

There are three ways to vote your shares at the special meeting:

- · By signing and returning the enclosed proxy card. If you vote by proxy card, your "proxy," whose names are listed on the proxy card, will vote your shares as you instruct on the card. If you sign and return the proxy card, but do not give instructions on how to vote your shares, your shares will be voted as recommended by the Intelli-Check Board "for" approval of each proposal.
- ·By telephone or on the Internet. If you are a street name holder of common stock in our shares, you can vote this way by following the telephone or Internet voting instructions included with your proxy card. If you do, you should not return the proxy card.
- · You can attend the special meeting and vote in person. We will give you a ballot when you arrive. If your shares are held in the name of your broker, bank or another nominee, however, you must get a proxy from the broker, bank or other nominee. That is the only way we can be sure that the broker, bank or nominee has not already voted your shares.

Questions About Voting. If you have any questions about how to vote or direct a vote in respect of your Intelli-Check Common Stock, you may call Peter J. Mundy of Intelli-Check, at 516-992-1900. You may also want to consult your financial and other advisors about the vote.

Revoking Your Proxy and Changing Your Vote. If you give a proxy, you may revoke it or change your voting instructions at any time before it is exercised by:

Sending another proxy card with a later date;

- ·Notifying 246 Crossways Park West, Woodbury, NY 11797, Attention: Peter J. Mundy, Secretary, in writing before the special meeting that you have revoked your proxy; or
 - Attending the special meeting, revoking your proxy and voting in person.
- ·Regardless of the foregoing, if your shares are held in "street name," consult your broker for instructions on how to revoke your proxy or change your vote.

Broker Non-Votes. If your broker holds your shares in its name and you do not give the broker voting instructions, Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) rules prohibit your broker from voting your shares on the acquisition, the proposed amendments to the certificate of incorporation or the amendment to the Plan. This is known as a "broker non-vote."

Solicitation Costs. Intelli-Check is soliciting proxies on behalf of Intelli-Check's Board of Directors. This solicitation is being made by mail, but also may be made in person or by telephone or other electronic means. Intelli-Check and its respective directors, officers, employees and consultants may also solicit proxies in person or by mail, telephone or other electronic means. In addition, Mobilisa shareholders, officers and directors may solicit proxies in person or by mail, telephone or other electronic means on Intelli-Check's behalf. These persons will not be paid for doing this.

Intelli-Check has not hired a firm to assist in the proxy solicitation process but may do so if it deems this assistance necessary. Intelli-Check will pay all fees and expenses related to the retention of any proxy solicitation firm.

Intelli-Check will ask banks, brokers and other institutions, nominees and fiduciaries to forward proxy materials to their principals and to obtain their authority to execute proxies and voting instructions. Intelli-Check will reimburse them for their reasonable expenses.

Stock Ownership. Information concerning the holdings of certain Intelli-Check stockholders is set forth below under "Beneficial Ownership of Securities."

PROPOSAL TO ACQUIRE MOBILISA

General

On November 20, 2007, Intelli-Check, Intelli-Check Merger Sub, Inc., a wholly-owned Washington subsidiary of Intelli-Check (referred to as the Merger Sub), Mobilisa and certain common shareholders of Mobilisa entered into a merger agreement. Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, Merger Sub will be merged with and into Mobilisa under the laws of the state of Washington, resulting in Mobilisa becoming the surviving corporation post-merger and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intelli-Check. The surviving corporation will be called Intelli-Check-Mobilisa, Inc. The headquarters of Intelli-Check will be moved to Mobilisa's offices in Port Townsend, Washington.

The former shareholders of Mobilisa will receive a number of shares of Intelli-Check Common Stock such that they will own, in the aggregate, 50% of Intelli-Check's Common Stock post-merger (a total of 12,281,728 shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock), valued at \$43,477,000. Under the purchase method of accounting and the guidance of EITF 99-12 "Determination of the Measurement Date for the Market Price of Acquirer Securities Issued in a Purchase Business Combination", the fair value of the equity consideration was determined using an average of Intelli-Check's closing share prices beginning two days before and ending two days after November 21, 2007, the date on which the Merger Agreement was announced, or \$3.54 per share. Each issued and outstanding share of Mobilisa common stock will be converted into a right to receive a number of shares of Intelli-Check Common Stock determined by multiplying such share of Mobilisa common stock by an exchange ratio, the numerator of which is the number of shares of Intelli-Check Common Stock outstanding immediately prior to the consummation of the merger and the denominator of which is the number of shares of Mobilisa common stock outstanding immediately prior to the consummation of the merger (referred to as the Exchange Ratio). The former Mobilisa optionholders and warrantholders will also receive replacement options to purchase shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock with substantially equivalent value to Mobilisa's outstanding options and warrants (options to purchase a total of 2,408,398 shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock), valued at \$7,045,000 (the fair value was determined in accordance with FASB 123(R) "Share-based Payments", based on the Black-Scholes method computed as of the date of the Merger Agreement). These options have a weighted average exercise price of \$0.52 per share. Each former Mobilisa optionholder and warrantholder will be entitled to receive options or warrants to purchase a number of fully vested shares of Intelli-Check Common Stock as is determined by multiplying the number of shares of Mobilisa common stock the applicable securities are exercisable for by the Exchange Ratio. The total acquisition cost of \$50,722,000 (as of November 21, 2007, the date the merger agreement was announced) includes \$200,000 of Mobilisa transaction expenses.

Mobilisa has no outstanding debt other than accounts payable.

The Board of Directors of the combined corporation will be composed of eight (8) members, four (4) selected by Intelli-Check's Board of Directors and four (4) selected by Mobilisa's Board of Directors. The four members of the Intelli-Check board of directors who will continue with the combined company are Jeffrey Levy, John E. Maxwell, Arthur L. Money and Guy L. Smith. The Mobilisa directors who will continue with the combined company are Nelson Ludlow, John W. Paxton, L. Gen. Emil R. Bedard and Bonnie Ludlow. Jeffrey Levy will be the Chairman and John W. Paxton will be the Vice Chairman of the combined company. The remainder of the members of the Board of Directors of Intelli-Check will resign. The Chief Financial Officer, Chief Technology Officer and the Chairman of the Board of Directors of the combined corporation will be selected by Intelli-Check's Board of Directors and the Chief Executive Officer and the Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors of the combined corporation will be selected by Mobilisa's Board of Directors. Upon consummation of the merger, Nelson Ludlow will be the Chief Executive Officer of the combined corporation. Upon consummation of the merger, Peter Mundy will be the Chief Financial Officer and Russell Embry will be the Chief Technology Officer of the combined corporation.

As a result of the transaction, Dr. and Mrs. Nelson and Bonnie Ludlow, Mobilisa's principal shareholders, will own approximately 49.6% of the outstanding Common Stock of the combined corporation.

The Merger Agreement

Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, the parties have agreed that, immediately prior to the consummation of the merger, Intelli-Check will amend the option agreements of any of its current directors who do not continue on Intelli-Check's Board of Directors after the consummation of the merger to provide that options granted pursuant to such option agreements may be exercised for up to one year after the date of the consummation of the merger. In addition, immediately prior to the consummation of the merger, Mobilisa will amend the option agreements of any of its current directors who do not continue on Mobilisa's Board of Directors after the consummation of the merger to provide that options granted pursuant to such option agreements may be exercised for up to one year after the date of the consummation of the merger.

The merger agreement contains representations by Intelli-Check, Mobilisa, and Nelson Ludlow and Bonnie Ludlow, Mobilisa's principal shareholders, and representations to be made by Mobilisa's other shareholders upon closing. Intelli-Check's representations include representations relating to litigation, the issuance of Intelli-Check's Common Stock in the merger, fees to be paid upon consummation of the merger, the accuracy of Intelli-Check's financial statements and money laundering laws. With respect to the Merger Sub, Intelli-Check makes certain representations including representations relating to its formation and ownership. The representations of Mobilisa and Dr. and Mrs. Ludlow include representations relating to capitalization and ownership, the accuracy of financial statements, accounts receivable, the accuracy of books and records, the absence of certain changes in Mobilisa's business since the last audit, property owned, intellectual property, relationships with customers and suppliers, litigation, material agreements, licenses and permits, compliance with labor laws, the filing of tax returns, fees to be paid in connection with the merger and money laundering laws. At the closing of the merger, Mobilisa's shareholders will make certain representations, including representations relating to the ownership of their securities in Mobilisa, litigation, investment intent in Intelli-Check's securities, and the assumption of risk of acquiring Intelli-Check's securities. Mobilisa also makes certain covenants relating to the conduct of its business between the time the merger agreement was signed and the consummation of the merger, including that it will not take certain actions without the permission of Intelli-Check and that Intelli-Check will have access to Mobilisa's records. The parties to the merger agreement also make covenants relating to confidentiality, non-solicitation and non-competition.

Mobilisa's principal shareholders and certain other individuals will be subject to a lock-up agreement (the "Lock-Up Agreement") on the shares of Intelli-Check Common Stock he or she receives as merger consideration, as of the consummation of the merger.

The consummation of the transaction is subject to: (i) Intelli-Check stockholder approval of the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement; (ii) Intelli-Check stockholder approval of an amendment to Intelli-Check's certificate of incorporation increasing the number of authorized shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock; (iii) Intelli-Check stockholder approval of an increase in the number of shares of Intelli-Check Common Stock authorized to be issued pursuant to the Plan; and (iv) Mobilisa shareholder approval of the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement.

Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, Intelli-Check has agreed to prepare and file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, at its expense and within 120 days of the consummation of the merger, a registration statement so as to permit the re-sale of the shares of Intelli-Check Common Stock issued to the former Mobilisa shareholders.

The merger agreement also provides that, prior to the consummation of the acquisition, the Chief Executive Officer of the combined company post-acquisition, Nelson Ludlow, would enter into an employment agreement with at least a two-year term. That employment agreement has not yet been negotiated by the parties.

After the merger, each full-time employee in the Woodbury, New York office at the time of the merger is entitled to receive two months of severance in the event that such employee is terminated other than for cause within one year of the merger.

Finally, the merger agreement provides that dividends of up to \$1,000,000 may be paid to Mobilisa's shareholders prior to the consummation of the transaction.

The Merger Agreement may be terminated by either party if: (i) the merger is not consummated before March 31, 2008; (ii) there is a material adverse change to the non-terminating party's business; or (iii) the non-terminating party is unable to obtain the necessary stockholder or shareholder approval. If a party chooses to terminate the Merger Agreement other than for the reasons described above, the terminating party would be required to pay a break-up fee of \$1,000,000 over 36 months to the non-terminating party.

A copy of the Merger Agreement is attached hereto as Annex A.

A copy of the form of Plan of Merger and Articles of Merger is attached here to as Annex B.

A copy of the form of Lock-Up Agreement is atached here to as Annex C.

Background

Intelli-Check, Inc. was founded in 1994 in direct response to the need for a technical solution to the problem of underage persons attempting to purchase age-restricted products such as alcohol, tobacco and lottery tickets via use of fake IDs, specifically driver licenses which had been altered or produced to foil efforts to determine the actual age of the bearers.

With the rise in concerns over terrorism, security, and identity fraud after 2000, an entirely new market to Intelli-Check opened. Security of facilities and modes of transportation became dependent upon ability to quickly verify identities and reduce data error rates. The Company repositioned its marketing focus to quantity sales to larger buyers, such as U.S. government agencies, airlines and shipping companies. Although sales cycles to these customers tend to be long, Intelli-Check believes the ultimate pay-off will be rewarding in terms of revenues and profits.

As a means to improve its product offerings, and improve its competitive advantage and financial outlook, Intelli-Check began searching for a target company for a business combination in 2001. While several potential candidates were identified and investigated, most were deemed unaffordable or were unprepared to agree to terms acceptable to Intelli-Check. After an extensive search, Intelli-Check did end up purchasing one of its competitors, IdentiScan.

In 2005, while awaiting completion of major sales to the government and commercial retailers, Intelli-Check again expanded its product line to include multiple hardware platforms and software development tools which facilitated customers' ability to add driver license reading capabilities without extensive development or integration efforts.

Subsequently, Intelli-Check expanded its horizons by searching for new applications for its technology such as commercial fraud, identity management and inefficiencies associated with manual data entry and sought complimentary partners. New target markets were added, such as visitor access control, check cashing, casinos and credit and loyalty card operations. By early 2007, Intelli-Check had built an impressive image and reputation within the identity management industry. It completed some major sales to large entities and had established partnering and reseller agreements with a significant number of other companies.

On June 7, 2007, Frank Mandelbaum, Intelli-Check's long standing Chairman and CEO died unexpectedly. Immediately following Mr. Mandelbaum's death, Jeff Levy, Chairman of Intelli-Check's Governance and Nominating Committee and the longest serving outside director was appointed Interim Chairman and CEO.

Mr. Levy assessed the financial condition, sales outlook and expense run-rate of Intelli-Check and by mid-July concluded that a major change in both direction and management were required.

Events Preceding Merger

Upon drawing the above conclusion, Mr. Levy, with assistance of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, established the following immediate objectives:

- Concentration on immediate revenue opportunities and reduction in expense burn rate;
- Cessation of announcements of future expected sales and public reporting only of actual attainments;
- · Focus on sales of products/solutions to end-users and de-emphasis on sales of software tools and to resellers;

Recruitment of a CEO; and

Pursuit of a key acquisition to accelerate the Company's growth.

After reviewing past merger and acquisition opportunities, an effort was undertaken to find either a company to merge with or one to acquire Intelli-Check. On the strength of a lead provided by a board member, Mr. Levy contacted and held preliminary discussions with Nelson Ludlow, CEO of Mobilisa, Inc. on July 10, 2007. Dr. Ludlow relayed that he had previously spoken to Frank Mandelbaum on a few occasions in 2006 regarding possible partnering on projects, but that nothing more had come from these discussions.

Mr. Levy and Dr. Ludlow met for several hours on July 26, 2007. The meeting began with each of Intelli-Check and Mobilisa signing confidentiality and non-disclosure agreements relating to the meeting. During these discussions it became obvious that significant synergies existed between Intelli-Check and Mobilisa.

Mobilisa had a strong presence in the government marketplace, especially the military, which Intelli-Check had thus far been pursuing with limited success. Intelli-Check, on the other hand, had a strong presence in the commercial identity management, financial and age verification marketplaces, in which Mobilisa was greatly interested. Intelli-Check was primarily East Coast based while Mobilisa had a strong West Coast presence. Intelli-Check had the benefit of being publicly traded on the American Stock Exchange, while Mobilisa was privately owned. Several critical personnel synergies also existed.

The following day, July 27, Mr. Levy discussed the meeting with members of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and corporate counsel. Later in the day, Mr. Levy met again with Dr. Ludlow at Mobilisa's offices in Alexandria, Virginia where Dr. Ludlow gave a formal presentation on Mobilisa and both shared additional detailed information on each other's companies. The Chairman of Mobilisa's Board, Mr. John Paxton joined these discussions.

On July 28, 2007, Mr. Levy forwarded detailed information on a potential merger and the briefing on Mobilisa prepared by Dr. Ludlow to the Corporate Governance Committee and corporate counsel.

Mr. Levy and Dr. Ludlow discussed merging the two companies during several phone calls on July 28, July 29, and July 30. Mr. Levy communicated the results of these meetings in a series of phone calls to the Corporate Governance Committee and corporate counsel.

On July 31, 2007, Mr. Levy then requested several additional items of information from Dr. Ludlow. Mr. Levy, Dr. Ludlow and Mobilisa's Senior Vice President, Mr. Steve Williams then met for several hours on July 31 to discuss the potential merger.

On August 1, 2007, Mr. Levy and Dr. Ludlow exchanged emails and phone calls regarding the strategy, tactics and timing of events associated with completing an agreement in principle to merge and making an announcement of such agreement to the public.

Following a meeting between Dr. Ludlow and Mr. Paxton, Mobilisa's Board met on August 2, 2007 to discuss the potential merger.

On August 3, 2007, Dr. Ludlow advised Mr. Levy that he had had several conversations/meetings with his corporate counsel regarding merger and wanted to have additional discussions with Mr. Levy regarding a preliminary term sheet.

Mr. Levy and Dr. Ludlow met again for several hours on August 5, 2007, to discuss a preliminary term sheet for the merger that had been prepared by Intelli-Check's counsel.

On August 6, 2007, corporate counsel for both Mobilisa and Intelli-Check began discussion on the preliminary term sheet and it was agreed between the parties that the term sheet would be a binding agreement.

On August 7, 2007, Intelli-Check's Corporate Governance Committee met, discussed and approved a proposed binding term sheet and briefing to be presented to the full Board at the meeting scheduled to take place in New York the next day.

On August 8, 2007, Messrs. Ludlow and Paxton met with Intelli-Check's Corporate Governance Committee and both corporate counsels and reached agreement in a binding term sheet agreement to be presented to the Board of Directors of both companies. Later that day, Intelli-Check's second quarter board meeting was held. After acquiring agreement in a special non-disclosure agreement by board members physically present at the meeting, Mr. Levy then briefed the Board on the proposed merger and proposed binding term sheet. Following introductions and remarks by Messrs. Ludlow and Paxton to Intelli-Check's Board, the Board by majority vote agreed to proceed with the merger in accordance with the terms and conditions contained in the binding term sheet.

Due to the intensity of the activities, Mr. Levy then directed that trading in Intelli-Check's stock be suspended pending the announcement of the merger at a quarterly shareholders conference call scheduled for August 10, 2007.

On August 9, 2007, Messrs. Ludlow and Levy prepared press releases and the presentation to be given during the quarterly shareholders conference call and, subsequent to acquiring special non-disclosure agreements, briefed Intelli-Check's employees.

On August 10, 2007, the proposed merger was announced to the shareholders, Mobilisa's employees and the public. On the same day, Mr. Peter Mundy, Intelli-Check's Chief Financial Officer was assigned responsibility to manage the due diligence process on behalf of Intelli-Check.

On August 16, 2007, Intelli-Check's counsel provided Mobilisa's counsel with a list of due diligence materials requested of Mobilisa. Due diligence continued from this point until the signing of the merger agreement.

On September 11, 2007, Mobilisa provided a list of due diligence materials requested of Intelli-Check.

From September 17 to 19, 2007, Dr. Ludlow and four members of the Mobilisa staff visited Intelli-Check in Woodbury to continue the due diligence process.

From September 20 to November 7, 2007, Mr. Levy and Mr. Mundy worked with corporate counsel in preparing the draft definitive agreement. The draft definitive agreement was first approved by the Intelli-Check board on October 4 and transmitted to Mobilisa on October 5, 2007.

Through November 7, 2007, negotiation of the terms of the draft definitive agreement was conducted by Messrs. Levy and Mundy and Intelli-Check's corporate counsel and Messrs. Ludlow and Paxton and Mobilisa's corporate counsel. Negotiation was conducted through various phone calls and emails and frequent board conference calls.

On November 8, 2007, Messrs. Ludlow and Paxton met with the Intelli-Check board to negotiate outstanding issues with the definitive agreement.

On November 13, 2007, Messrs. Ludlow, Williams and Levy met for several hours to discuss outstanding issues not resolved during the November 8 Intelli-Check board meeting.

From November 9 to November 19, 2007, Messrs. Ludlow and Levy worked together to resolve outstanding issues. Daily board conference calls were held until November 19 when both boards approved a definitive agreement.

The definitive merger agreement was signed on November 20, 2007, and the signing of such definitive merger agreement was publicly announced on November 21, 2007.

Board Consideration and Approval

While no one factor determined the final agreed upon consideration in the acquisition, Intelli-Check's Board of Directors reviewed various industry and financial data, including certain valuation analyses and metrics compiled by Intelli-Check in order to make its determination that the consideration to be paid to the Mobilisa shareholders was reasonable and that the acquisition was in the best interests of Intelli-Check's stockholders.

Intelli-Check's officers and representatives conducted a due diligence review of Mobilisa, which included an industry analysis, a description of Mobilisa's existing business model, inspections of company premises, review of corporate records and files, in-depth meetings with various levels of Mobilisa management, a valuation analysis of intangible assets by Marshall & Stevens and a review of financial projections in order to enable the Board of Directors to ascertain the reasonableness of the consideration. On November 20, 2007, Intelli-Check's executive officers completed a Board presentation relating to the acquisition. During its negotiations with Mobilisa's major shareholders, Intelli-Check did not receive services from any financial advisor, other than the intangible asset analysis regarding this

merger.

Interest of Intelli-Check's Management in the Acquisition.

Intelli-Check's officers and directors have interests in the acquisition that are different from, or in addition to, those of the stockholders. These interests include the fact that after the completion of the acquisition, Messrs. Levy, Maxwell, Money and Smith will continue to serve as members of the Board of Directors of Intelli-Check and Messrs. Embry and Mundy will continue to serve as officers. As such, in the future they may receive cash compensation, board fees, stock options or stock awards if the Intelli-Check Board of Directors so determines. Intelli-Check currently has made no determinations regarding the compensation it will pay its directors or officers after completion of the acquisition.

Intelli-Check's Reasons for the Acquisition and Its Recommendation. Intelli-Check's Board of Directors concluded that the acquisition is in the best interests of Intelli-Check's stockholders. The Board of Directors considered a wide variety of factors in connection with its evaluation of the acquisition. In light of the complexity of those factors, the Board did not consider it practicable to, nor did it attempt to, quantify or otherwise assign relative weights to the specific factors it considered in reaching its decision. In considering the acquisition, Intelli-Check's Board of Directors gave considerable weight to the factors discussed below.

In its review of Mobilisa, the Board noted that Mobilisa had been successful in development of software and the integration of hardware and software and the sale of complete products, solutions and supporting services to end users.

In addition, Mobilisa has a strong presence in identity document scanning technology and products in the government and military marketplace, while Intelli-Check has a strong presence in the commercial marketplace for productivity enhancement products and services associated with scanning identity documents. The two companies' product offerings and core competencies compliment each other well and there is little overlap of intellectual property. Mobilisa's strength in identity management application software, which will be materially enhanced by the integration of Intelli-Check's driver's license reading technology, will launch the merged company into more of a total systems sale approach, bringing higher average selling prices, greater sales volume and higher profit margins. The Board of Directors believes the combination of Mobilisa's government identity management business with Intelli-Check's commercial identity management business is a winning combination.

Integration of Intelli-Check's proprietary software into commercial product offerings often involve a customer transmitting its data via wireless communications. In addition to identity management, Mobilisa's other major business unit focuses on wireless communications and networks. The Board believes the ability to extend Intelli-Check's business into wireless technology, with the help of Mobilisa's technical expertise, would be a natural and wise progression.

In terms of management and personnel, each company has a strong team complementing the other. For example, Mobilisa has a business-wise CEO seasoned in the technology and the identity management industry with demonstrated leadership and management skills. Intelli-Check has an outstanding CFO seasoned in public company financial management and a highly experienced CTO with vast technology management experience in identity systems. Mobilisa has an identity management business unit manager with senior level sales and operations management experience along with significant experience in government contracting and procurement and the federal budget process. Intelli-Check has a senior level manager with significant federal government identity document expertise and experience and another with significant experience in the state driver's license issuing realm. Intelli-Check has in-house marketing and web-site development expertise. Mobilisa has in-house public and press relations expertise. Both companies have highly qualified and experienced technical product development and sales departments. Intelli-Check has a diverse Board of directors with significant high-level business, finance, federal government, driver license community and public relations experience while Mobilisa has a diverse board with high level public company leadership, senior level military, law enforcement and technology experience.

Mobilisa has recently passed the break-even point in its growth. Since the merger was announced, Mobilisa has booked new orders in excess of \$7 million. Mobilisa is now generating significant positive cash flows from its own operations. Intelli-Check's net operating loss (NOL), which is of no immediate value to Intelli-Check, should be of significant value to the merged company.

Intelli-Check has limited cash resources and limited opportunity to raise additional cash prior to a merger or acquisition. Every other merger and acquisition opportunity previously investigated by Intelli-Check would have required significant cash consideration. The merger with Mobilisa was specifically structured to be an equity-only exchange. The Board of Directors believes an acquisition not requiring a large cash outlay to be an extremely significant positive factor supporting the merger, especially given the outstanding opportunity to enhance stockholder value going forward.

In evaluating the purchase price to acquire Mobilisa, the Board looked at valuation of similar sized companies in the Business Software and Services industry. Many of the companies of similar size to Mobilisa were not profitable. Among the few companies in this industry that were profitable, the average P/E ratio is approximately 39. Mobilisa was similar in many ways to Intelli-Check. It was in business for a similar period of time and it sold its products in the same industry. Intelli-Check is on the verge of significant growth and expects to achieve profitability. Mobilisa is a small company that is growing at a faster rate than others in the industry. Therefore, Intelli-Check's Board of Directors believes this acquisition to be a merger of equals and that the consideration for the purchase granted to the shareholders of Mobilisa equal to the number of shares now held by Intelli-Check stockholders to be a fair value.

It is anticipated that Mobilisa's revenue in 2007 will have significantly exceeded Intelli-Check's as will have its operating results and backlog of business. Therefore, the Board of Directors has determined that Mobilisa is equal in worth to Intelli-Check and very strongly supports and recommends the merger of the two companies as in the very best interest of its shareholders and stockholders. Furthermore, based upon the synergies involved, the Board of Directors believes the combined company to be of significantly greater value than the sum of the value of the two companies individually.

Conclusion of Intelli-Check's Board of Directors. After careful consideration of all relevant factors, Intelli-Check's Board of Directors determined that the acquisition is fair to, and in the best interests of, Intelli-Check and its stockholders. The Board of Directors has approved and declared the proposal advisable and recommends that stockholders vote or give instructions to vote "FOR" the approval of the acquisition.

The foregoing discussion of the information and factors considered by the Intelli-Check Board is not meant to be exhaustive, but includes the material information and factors considered by it.

Certain U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger

The following discussion is a general summary of the material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the merger to Intelli-Check and to current holders of its Common Stock who are "United States persons," as defined in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (referred to in this proxy statement as the "Code") and who hold such stock as a "capital asset," as defined in the Code. The discussion does not purport to be a complete analysis of all of the potential tax effects of the merger. Tax considerations applicable to a particular stockholder will depend on that stockholder's individual circumstances. The discussion addresses neither the tax consequences that may be relevant to particular categories of stockholders subject to special treatment under certain U.S. federal income tax laws (such as dealers in securities, banks, insurance companies, tax-exempt entities, mutual funds, and foreign individuals and entities) nor any tax consequences arising under the laws of any state, local or foreign jurisdiction. In addition, the discussion does not consider the tax treatment of partnerships or other pass-through entities or persons who hold stock through such entities.

The discussion is based upon the Code, U.S. Department of the Treasury regulations, rulings of the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"), and judicial decisions now in effect, all of which are subject to change or to varying interpretation at any time. Any such changes or varying interpretations may also be applied retroactively.

Because of the complexity of the tax laws and because the tax consequences to Intelli-Check or any particular stockholder may be affected by matters not discussed herein, stockholders are urged to consult their own tax advisors as to the specific tax consequences of the merger, including tax reporting requirements, the applicability and effect of foreign, federal, state, local and other applicable tax laws and the effect of any proposed changes in the tax laws.

Tax Consequences to Intelli-Check and its Current Stockholders

Neither Intelli-Check nor the current holders of its Common Stock should recognize gain or loss as a result of the merger for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Anticipated Accounting Treatment of the Transaction

Intelli-Check will account for the acquisition of Mobilisa as a purchase. The purchase price will be allocated to the various tangible and intangible assets and assumed liabilities based upon an appraisal.

Regulatory Matters

The acquisition is subject to filings necessary to effectuate the merger in the state of Washington. Specifically, if the proposals are approved by the shareholders, the articles of merger and a plan of merger will be prepared and filed with the Secretary of State of the state of Washington.

PROPOSAL TO AMEND THE COMPANY'S CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION TO INCREASE THE NUMBER OF AUTHORIZED SHARES OF COMMON STOCK TO 40,000,000

In connection with the approval of the transaction with Mobilisa, Intelli-Check's Board of Directors approved a proposal to amend Intelli-Check's Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of Common Stock that Intelli-Check is authorized to issue from 20,000,000 to 40,000,000 (the "Amendment"), subject to stockholder approval at this meeting. If this proposal is not approved by Intelli-Check's stockholders the transaction with Mobilisa will not be able to be consummated because Intelli-Check will not have sufficient authorized shares to issue to Mobilisa's shareholders in consideration of the merger.

A form of the Amendment, as it would be filed to increase the authorized shares of Common Stock to 40,000,000 and to change Intelli-Check's name (as provided for in a subsequent proposal) is attached to this Proxy Statement as Annex B. The additional 20,000,000 shares of Common Stock will have the same rights and privileges as the shares of Common Stock presently issued and outstanding. Each holder of Common Stock is entitled to one vote per share on all matters submitted to a vote of stockholders. The Common Stock does not have cumulative voting rights. The holders of Common Stock share ratably on a per share basis in any dividends when and if declared by the Board of Directors out of funds legally available therefor and in all assets remaining after the payment of liabilities in the event of the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of Intelli-Check. There are no preemptive or other subscription rights, conversion rights or redemption or sinking fund provisions with respect to the Common Stock.

Purposes and certain possible effects of increasing the number of authorized shares of Common Stock

Intelli-Check's Certificate of Incorporation, as amended to date, authorizes the issuance of up to 20,000,000 shares of Common Stock and 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$0.001 par value per share.

As of the Record Date Intelli-Check had issued and outstanding 12,281,728 shares of Common Stock and no shares of preferred stock issued and outstanding. At that date, there were an additional 2,382,853 shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of options or warrants and 1,457,415 additional shares of Common Stock reserved for issuance under Intelli-Check's incentive plans. The merger with Mobilisa would require Intelli-Check to issue an additional 12,281,728 shares of Common Stock, options to purchase an additional 2,408,398 shares of Common Stock under one of Intelli-Check's incentive plans and warrants to purchase 61,096 shares of Common Stock. Therefore, in order to consummate the transaction with Mobilisa, at least an additional 10,895,502 shares of Common Stock would be required to be authorized under the Certificate of Incorporation.

The Board of Directors has requested that Intelli-Check's stockholders authorize 9,104,498 shares of Common Stock more than would be required for the transaction with Mobilisa so that, after the transaction with Mobilisa, the combined company will have flexibility to execute its business plan by having an adequate number of authorized but unissued shares of Common Stock available to facilitate potential equity financings, acquisitions, business combinations, stock dividends, stock options, stock splits, recapitalizations and other general corporate purposes, without the expense or delay attendant in seeking stockholder approval at a special or annual meeting at a time when such shares would be needed (except as may be required by law or by any stock exchange or over-the-counter market on which Intelli-Check's securities may then be listed).

Since the approval of the increase is necessary to enable Intelli-Check to issue the shares of its Common Stock required as part of the consideration of the transaction with Mobilisa, if this proposal is not approved, the transaction will not be consummated.

Although it is not the purpose of the proposed Amendment, the authorized but unissued shares or Common Stock also could be used by the Board of Directors to discourage, delay or make more difficult a change in control of the

post-transaction company. The Board of Directors is not aware of any pending or proposed effort to acquire control of the post-transaction company.

The proposed Amendment will not affect the rights of existing holders of Common Stock except that the issuance of shares of Common Stock in connection with the Mobilisa transaction and any other issuances will reduce each existing stockholder's proportionate ownership of Intelli-Check.

If the proposed Amendment is approved, it will become effective upon the filing of the Amendment with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware.

Conclusion of Intelli-Check's Board of Directors

After careful consideration of all relevant factors, Intelli-Check's Board of Directors determined that the Amendment is fair to, and in the best interests of, Intelli-Check and its stockholders. The Board of Directors has approved and declared the proposal advisable and recommends that the stockholders vote or give instructions to vote "FOR" the approval of the amendment to Intelli-Check's Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of Common Stock to 40,000,000.

PROPOSAL TO AMEND THE COMPANY'S 2006 STOCK OPTION AND EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN TO INCREASE THE

NUMBER OF SHARES OF COMMON STOCK ISSUABLE UNDER THE PLAN BY 3,000,000

In connection with the approval of the transaction with Mobilisa, Intelli-Check's Board of Directors approved a proposal to amend Intelli-Check's 2006 Stock Option and equity Incentive Plan (the "Plan") to increase the number of shares of Common Stock that may be issued pursuant to the Plan to 3,850,000 from 850,000, subject to stockholder approval at this meeting. If this proposal is not approved by Intelli-Check's stockholders, the transaction with Mobilisa will not be able to be consummated because Intelli-Check will not have sufficient awards authorized under its plans to issue to Mobilisa's option holders in connection with the merger.

The Plan is attached to this Proxy Statement as Annex D.

Intelli-Check is able to issue an aggregate of 2,939,916 shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock subject to Intelli-Check's currently approved option and incentive plans. Awards for 1,483,101 shares of Common Stock have already been issued under these plans. Therefore, in order to consummate the transaction with Mobilisa, at least an additional 925,297 shares of Common Stock would be required to be authorized under the Plan. The options issued to the former Mobilisa shareholders will have a weighted average price per share equal to \$0.52.

The Board of Directors has requested that Intelli-Check's stockholders authorize that 3,000,000 additional shares of Common Stock be made available for awards to be granted under the Plan so that, after the transaction with Mobilisa, the combined company will have the ability to issue options to its employees, directors and independent contractors in the future.

Since the approval of the increase is necessary to enable Intelli-Check to issue the options required as part of the consideration of the transaction with Mobilisa, if this proposal is not approved, the transaction will not be consummated.

The table below reflects shares of Common Stock and options to purchase shares of Common Stock that were granted to the indicated persons under the Plan.

2006 Equity Incentive Plan

| | | Dollar Value (\$) | Number of |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Name and Position | Type of Award | (1) | Shares |

Edgar Filing: INTELLI CHECK INC - Form DEF 14A

| Arthur Money, Director | Restricted Stock | 7,004 | 1,262 |
|------------------------|---------------------|---------|--------|
| | Non-qualified Stock | | |
| Arthur Money, Director | Option | 129,201 | 26,500 |
| | Non-qualified Stock | | |
| Arthur Money, Director | Option | 277,360 | 50,000 |
| Arthur Money, Director | Restricted Stock | 20,000 | 3,175 |
| | Non-qualified Stock | | |
| Guy Smith, Director | Option | 143,827 | 29,500 |
| | Non-qualified Stock | | |
| Guy Smith, Director | Option | 277,360 | 50,000 |
| Ashok Rao, Former | | | |
| Director | Restricted Stock | 9,002 | 1,622 |
| Ashok Rao, Former | | | |
| Director | Restricted Stock | 48,000 | 7,619 |
| Jeffrey Levy, Director | Restricted Stock | 6,000 | 952 |
| Jay Maxwell, Director | Restricted Stock | 52,000 | 8,254 |

⁽¹⁾ Dollar value computed using the Black-Scholes method as of the date of grant.

If the amendment to the Plan is approved, approximately 2,408,398 of the newly approved options under the Plan will be issued to holders of options for Mobilisa common stock. Options to purchase approximately 21,820 of such shares will be issued to Dr. and Mrs. Ludlow.

The purposes of the Plan are to attract and retain key employees, directors, consultants and advisors who are expected to contribute to future growth and success and to provide additional incentive by permitting such individuals to participate in the ownership of Intelli-Check. There are currently options to purchase 156,000 shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock outstanding under the Plan and 22,884 shares of restricted stock have been granted under the Plan. Any proceeds derived from the sale of Common Stock subject to options will be used for general corporate purposes. The administrators of the Plan are permitted to issue restricted shares of Common Stock and options to purchase shares of Common Stock to participants.

Under the Plan, as it would be amended by this proposal, up to 3,850,000 shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock are authorized for issuance to directors, employees and independent contractors of, Intelli-Check and any subsidiary corporations pursuant to options or restricted stock awards. Options granted under the Plan may be either incentive stock options (incentive options) within the meaning of Section 422 of the Code and/or options that do not qualify as incentive options (nonqualified options); provided, however, that only employees of Intelli-Check or a subsidiary corporation are eligible to receive incentive options. The Plan, which expires in March 2016, is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the "Committee"). Options granted under the Plan will be exercisable for a period fixed by the Committee, but no longer than 10 years from the date of grant, at an exercise price which is not less than the fair market value of Intelli-Check's Common Stock on the date of the grant, except that the term of an incentive option granted under the Plan to a stockholder who owns (or is deemed to own) more than 10% of the outstanding voting power may not exceed five years and its exercise price may not be less than 110% of the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant. To the extent that the aggregate fair market value, as of the date of grant, of the shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock for which incentive options become exercisable for the first time by an optionee during the calendar year exceeds \$100,000, the portion of such option which is in excess of the \$100,000 limitation will be treated as a nonqualified option. Options granted under the Plan to employees (including officers) of Intelli-Check may be exercised only while the optionee is employed by Intelli-Check or within three months of the date of termination of the employment relationship, except that: (i) if the individual is terminated for cause, the option shall terminate immediately and no longer be exercisable, and (ii) if options which are exercisable at the time the optionee's employment is terminated by death or disability such options may be exercised within one year of the date of termination of the employment relationship. With respect to options granted to individuals who are not employees of Intelli-Check, the Committee shall determine the consequences, if any, of the termination of the optionee's relationship with Intelli-Check. Payment of the exercise price of an option may be made by cash, by surrender of Common Stock having a fair market value equal to the exercise price, or by any other means that the Committee determines. Each restricted stock award will be evidenced by a written restricted stock agreement.

The Committee may determine if any consideration will be required to be paid by the Plan participant to receive the shares of Common Stock other than in the form of services performed under the terms and conditions determined by the Committee and specified in the restricted stock agreement. Terms and conditions for shares that are part of the award may include the completion of a specified number of years of service or attaining certain performance goals prior to the restricted shares subject to the award becoming vested. Upon termination, if the restricted stock is not vested, the shares will be canceled by Intelli-Check. A participant may be granted more than one award under the Plan. The Committee will, in its discretion, determine (subject to the terms of the Plan), among other things, who will be granted an award, the time or times at which awards shall be granted, and the number of shares of Common Stock subject to each award, whether options are incentive options or nonqualified options, the manner in which options may be exercised and the vesting schedule of any award. In making such determination, consideration shall be given to the value of the services rendered by the respective individuals, their present and potential contributions to the success of Intelli-Check and its subsidiaries and such other factors deemed relevant in accomplishing the purposes of the Plan. The maximum number of Common Stock issuable pursuant to options granted to a Plan participant in a

fiscal year of Intelli-Check is 150,000.

The Plan may be amended or terminated by the Board at any time, provided that no amendment requiring stockholder approval by law or by the rules of the American Stock Exchange or any other market in which shares are traded may be made without stockholder approval. The Plan specifically provides for repricings or reissuances of options without stockholder approval. Also, no amendment or termination may materially adversely affect any outstanding award without the written consent of the participant.

The foregoing summary of the Plan is qualified in its entirety by the specific language of the Plan. Upon consummation of the transaction with Mobilisa, there will be 56 employees and directors who would be entitled to receive stock options and/or restricted shares under the Plan. Future new hires and additional consultants would be eligible to participate in the Plan as well. Options to purchase approximately 2,408,398 shares of Common Stock will be issued in connection with the transaction with Mobilisa.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Intelli-Check maintains various stock plans under which options vest and shares are awarded at the discretion of the Board of Directors or its Compensation Committee. The purchase price of the shares under the plans and the shares subject to each option granted is not less than the fair market value on the date of grant. The term of each option is generally five to ten years and is determined at the time of grant by the Board of Directors or its Compensation Committee. The participants in these plans are officers, directors, employees and consultants of Intelli-Check and its subsidiaries or affiliates.

The table below provides information relating to Intelli-Check's outstanding stock plans as of December 31, 2007.

| | | | | Number of |
|--|-------------------|-------|---------------|------------------|
| | | | | securities |
| | | | | remaining |
| | | | | available for |
| | Number of | | | future issuance |
| | Securities to be | V | Veighted | under equity |
| | issued upon | aver | age exercise | compensation |
| | exercise of | | price of | plans (excluding |
| | outstanding | οι | ıtstanding | securities |
| | options, warrants | optic | ons, warrants | reflected in |
| Plan Category | and rights | a | nd rights | column a) |
| | (a) | | (b) | (c) |
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 990,792 | \$ | 6.35 | 1,457,415 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security | | | | |
| holders | 469,425(1 | .)\$ | 3.69 | None |
| Total | 1,460,217 | \$ | 5.49 | 1,457,415 |
| | | | | |

(1) From time to time Intelli-Check's Board of Directors approves the grant of non-plan options to officers and employees of, or consultants to Intelli-Check, which are included in this table. The shares of Common Stock listed under equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders in the above table consist of shares of Common Stock issuable pursuant to such options. The vesting schedule of the options varies, with some vesting immediately and some vesting upon the completion of certain performance objectives. The non-plan options currently outstanding have been granted to five (5) persons. These options have a weighted average exercise price per share equal to \$3.69 and options to purchase 469,425 shares of Common Stock are currently exercisable.

Certain U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences

Number of

The following is a general summary of certain U.S. federal income tax consequences under current tax law of options and restricted stock. It does not purport to cover all of the special rules, including special rules relating to participants subject to Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act and the exercise of an option with previously-acquired shares, or the state or local income or other tax consequences inherent in the ownership and exercise of stock options and the ownership and disposition of the underlying shares or the ownership and disposition of restricted stock.

A participant will not recognize taxable income for federal income tax purposes upon the grant of a nonqualified option or an incentive option. Upon the exercise of an incentive option, the optionee will not recognize taxable income. If the optionee disposes of the shares acquired pursuant to the exercise of an incentive option more than two years after the date of grant and more than one year after the transfer of the shares to him or her, the optionee will recognize long-term capital gain or loss and Intelli-Check will not be entitled to a deduction. However, if the optionee disposes of such shares prior to the end of the required holding period, all or a portion of the gain will be treated as ordinary income and Intelli-Check will generally be entitled to deduct such amount. Long-term capital gain is generally subject to more favorable tax treatment than ordinary income or short-term capital gain. Upon the exercise of a nonqualified option, the optionee will recognize ordinary income in an amount equal to the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the shares acquired on the date of exercise over the exercise price thereof, and Intelli-Check will generally be entitled to a deduction for such amount at that time. If the optionee later sells shares acquired pursuant to the exercise of a nonqualified option, he or she will recognize long-term or short-term capital gain or loss, depending on the period for which the shares were held. In addition to the federal income tax consequences described above, an optionee may be subject to the alternative minimum tax, which is payable to the extent it exceeds the optionee's regular tax. For this purpose, upon the exercise of an incentive option, the excess of the fair market value of the shares over the exercise price thereof is an adjustment which increases alternative minimum taxable income. In addition, the optionee's basis in such shares is increased by such excess for purposes of computing the gain or loss on the disposition of the shares for alternative minimum tax purposes. If an optionee is required to pay an alternative minimum tax, the amount of such tax which is attributable to deferral preferences (including the incentive option adjustment) is allowed as a credit against the optionee's regular tax liability in subsequent years. To the extent the credit is not used, it is carried forward. A participant who receives a grant of restricted stock will generally realize ordinary income equal to the fair market value of the stock at the time the restriction lapses. Alternatively, the participant may elect to be taxed on the value at the time of grant. Intelli-Check is generally entitled to a deduction at the same time and in the same amount as the income is required to be included by the participant.

Conclusion of Intelli-Check's Board of Directors.

After careful consideration of all relevant factors, Intelli-Check's Board of Directors determined that the amendment to the Plan to increase the number of share of Intelli-Check Common Stock that may be issued pursuant to awards under the Plan to 3,850,000 is fair to, and in the best interests of, Intelli-Check and its stockholders. The Board of Directors has approved and declared the proposal advisable and recommends that the stockholders vote or give instructions to vote "FOR" the approval of the amendment to the Plan.

PROPOSAL TO AMEND THE COMPANY 'S CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION TO CHANGE THE COMPANY'S NAME TO INTELLI-CHECK - MOBILISA, INC.

In connection with the approval of the transaction with Mobilisa, Intelli-Check's Board of Directors approved a proposal to amend Intelli-Check's Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to change the company's name to Intelli-Check - Mobilisa, Inc. (the "Name Amendment"), subject to stockholder approval at this meeting.

A form of the Amendment as it would be filed to change Intelli-Check's name and increase its authorized shares of common stock (as provided for in a previous proposal) is attached to this Proxy Statement as Annex E.

Intelli-Check's Board of Directors believes that the name change would be desirable to reflect to the fact the post transaction company was made up of both Intelli-Check and Mobilisa.

Conclusion of Intelli-Check's Board of Directors.

After careful consideration of all relevant factors, Intelli-Check's Board of Directors determined that the Name Amendment is fair to, and in the best interests of, Intelli-Check and its stockholders. The Board of Directors has

approved and declared the proposal advisable and recommends that the stockholders vote or give instructions to vote "FOR" the approval of the amendment to Intelli-Check's Certificate of Incorporation to change its name to Intelli-Check - Mobilisa, Inc.

PROPOSAL TO ADJOURN OR POSTPONE THE SPECIAL MEETING FOR THE PURPOSE OF SOLICITING ADDITIONAL PROXIES

This proposal allows Intelli-Check's Board of Directors to submit a proposal to adjourn the special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary, to permit further solicitation of proxies in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the proposed merger.

If this proposal is not approved by Intelli-Check's stockholders, its Board of Directors may not be able to adjourn the special meeting to a later date in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the proposed merger.

Conclusion of Intelli-Check's Board of Directors.

After careful consideration of all relevant factors, Intelli-Check's Board of Directors determined that the proposal to allow adjournment or postponement of the special meeting for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies is in the best interests of Intelli-Check and its stockholders. The Board of Directors has approved and declared the proposal advisable and recommends that the stockholders vote or give instructions to vote "FOR" the proposal.

INFORMATION ABOUT MOBILISA

Mobilisa's History and Current Business

Overview

Mobilisa, Inc. ("Mobilisa" for purposes of this section) was incorporated in the state of Washington in March 2001. Mobilisa is currently designated as a woman- and veteran-owned small business. Mobilisa's headquarters in Port Townsend, Washington are located in a Historically Underutilized Business Zone ("HUBZone"). Mobilisa specializes in custom software development for mobile and wireless devices and Wireless Over Water ("WOW") technology implementation and is comprised of two business units—ID systems and wireless technologies—designed to address the following issues:

- ·Access Control: Mobilisa's Defense ID system is designed to increase security at access points manned by law enforcement and military personnel.
- •Marine Environment Communications: Mobilisa's WOW technology allows for instant communication between multiple points, both on land and at sea, across wide, over-water expanses and optimizes performance by taking into account sea state and Fresnel zones (Fresnel zones result from obstructions in the path of radio waves and impact the signal strength of radio transmissions). Mobilisa is currently developing Floating Area Network ("FAN") and Littoral Sensor Grid technology as the next evolutionary step in marine communications.
- ·Network Design: Mobilisa's AIRchitectTM tool designs optimum wireless networks based on user parameters and location architecture.

Mobilisa also derives its revenue from selling handheld communication devices with patented software which allows users to read various forms of identification and compare it to information on databases. A key component of Mobilisa's business strategy is its commitment to cutting-edge research and development in both identity systems and advanced applications of wireless technologies.

Mobilisa's Products and Projects

Defense ID®.

Mobilisa's Defense ID® system offers law enforcement personnel and military security officers additional information for protecting their facilities. The Defense ID® System uses rugged, handheld, mobile devices to read barcodes, magnetic stripes, RFID (radio frequency identification) and OCR (optical character recognition) codes printed on current forms of identification cards. By scanning and comparing the information contained on the ID card to over 140 databases, Defense ID® can immediately determine if the card has been reported lost or stolen, the individual's identity information matches watch lists or law enforcement databases, or if they are on an authorized roster of previously-cleared personnel.

Background on Identification

<u>Driver Licenses</u>. State-issued driver licenses are the most widely used form of government-issued photo identification. The Real ID Act, which became federal law in May 2005, imposed standards for the issuance, data content, and acceptance of state-issued driver licenses. When the act is fully implemented, non-compliant driver licenses may not be accepted by any federal agency for any official purpose. The Real ID Act contemplates the inclusion of machine-readable technology, which is already included on many driver licenses, on all compliant licenses. The

Defense ID® system is capable of reading all machine-readable technologies currently in use.

Other Identification Cards. Since some people do not have a driver license, numerous jurisdictions offer other identification cards that may contain encoded information. These non-driver identification cards, as well as military ID cards and passports, are used in the same way as driver licenses as proof of identification. Presently, the Mobilisa Defense ID® system can also read all information encoded on these forms of identification. State-issued identification cards issued in lieu of driver licenses are also covered by some provisions of the Real ID Act.

<u>Databases</u>. There are numerous state and federal law enforcement databases containing information that may be critical to security and law enforcement officers. Historically, officers in the field have had limited access to such information, which greatly reduces the value of accurate identification. The Defense ID® system links information contained on readable forms of identification with information compiled from more than 140 different law enforcement databases. An individual using the system can immediately check the validity of the identification card and has instant access to key information concerning, among other things, outstanding wants and warrants.

Current Challenges Associated with Access Control

Secure facilities routinely rely on entry point identification checks as an important security measure. The most common verification performed at entry points is a visual check of identification cards presented by individuals attempting to access the facility. Entry point controls are used in a wide variety of settings, including military base entrances, airports, and commercial buildings.

There are many challenges associated with visual checks on identification cards. Because of the numerous forms of identification accepted, including driver licenses, military IDs, passports, base-specific badges, and vendor and visitor badges, it is virtually impossible for security officers to memorize each individual format and to distinguish fake forms of identification. Because identification cards vary greatly in their format, security officers waste precious time searching for pertinent information on the identification card, which causes unnecessary delays and resulting expense.

With a visual check, even though an experienced security officer may not be able to quickly determine if a card is expired, the security officer has no way of knowing whether the individual, even if properly identified, should be granted access. The officer typically cannot quickly review multiple no-access lists, confirm that the individual does not have a law enforcement or warrant, or determine if the identification card has been reported lost or stolen. As an additional frustration, there are no readily-accessible common databases that compile all of this shared information.

By using the Mobilisa Defense ID® system, security officers have the ability to instantly view the machine-readable information contained in the identification card's barcode or magnetic stripe. This information is then immediately checked against over 140 law enforcement databases and the system alerts the guard if the individual matches anyone in any of the databases. This greatly increases efficiency and gives the security officer real-time access to critical information, enabling the security officer to make an on-the-spot determination relating to access with increased speed and confidence in accuracy.

Defense ID® Solutions and Benefits

The Mobilisa Defense ID® system offers invaluable tools to users enabling them to increase safety and monitor the security of their installations. As an access control system, it offers instant checks of those individuals attempting to gain access and alerts the security or law enforcement officer if that check raises any suspicions.

Mobilisa Defense ID[®] works as a complete system that cuts down costs and implementation time by using cards already in existence as opposed to manufacturing and issuing new identification cards to each individual who wishes to access an installation. For ease of use and adaptability, Mobilisa offers this solution in multiple form factors.

·M2100 Agent Handheld. The M2100 Agent Handheld is a handheld that fits easily into a pocket or attaches to a belt. This device is recommended for any use that requires high mobility and easy concealment.

- ·M2500 Sentry Handheld. The M2500 Sentry Handheld is a rugged, mobile, handheld computer. This device is recommended for entry control points and other heavy use areas.
- ·M3000 Visitor/Vendor Control Station. The M3000 Visitor/Vendor Control Station makes it easier to process visitors and vendors. The system pre-populates fields, takes photos, runs instant background checks, prints badges and allows users to control visitors' or vendors' access by date, time, location or Force Protection Condition ("FPCON") or Homeland Security threat condition.

Wireless

Mobilisa creates custom wireless solutions for all types of industries. The Company provides a complete range of services, including consultation, custom wireless software, wireless infrastructure installation, wireless LAN security evaluation, and advanced research and development.

WOW

Mobilisa's Wireless on Water ("WOW") technology, based on IEEE 802.11 standards, uses a mix of terrestrial-based RF band radio and antenna hardware in conjunction with advanced optimization techniques to provide reliable, high speed wireless access coverage for constantly moving watercraft within a local waterway. The technology has been successfully implemented on the Washington State Ferries and the British Columbia Ferry System in British Columbia, Canada and is suited for other terrestrial forms of transportation including rail, bus and automobile applications.

AIR chitectTM

Mobilisa's AIRchitectTM is a tool to aid in the design of optimal wireless networks. It can be used for marine vessels, hangars, office buildings or outdoor facilities. The software uses imported structural drawings and other user input to calculate and display the optimal wireless network based on coverage, signal spill-over, bandwidth, interference, cost and security. AIRchitectTM eliminates the trial and error survey method commonly used in the design of wireless networks and, as a result, users realize a significant life-cycle cost reduction and an increase in network efficiency.

FAN

The Mobilisa Floating Area Network ("FAN") project created a Line of Sight ("LOS"), high-speed, wireless mesh-type network for use in marine and, in particular, naval applications. Currently, ships in a naval battle group rely on satellite communication to communicate both with one another and with shore facilities. However, the ever-increasing demand for bandwidth in naval communications taxes existing satellite communications systems and can result in slow and unreliable communication.

FAN uses advanced WOW technology based on IEEE 802.11 standards. FAN creates an ad hoc network between vessels operating within line of sight of one another that can be used for, among things, data exchange, VOIP (Voice over Internet Protocol) and video teleconferencing. FAN removes ship-to-ship communication within a battle group from the satellite network thereby freeing up valuable bandwidth for ship-to-shore communications. In addition, smaller vessels with less ship-to-shore bandwidth can share the greater capabilities of the larger platforms. The system design allows ships to join and leave the network as they come within communication range, while continuously adjusting for local conditions and ship movement.

FAN is currently in the approved prototype stage with the U.S. Navy. In addition to U.S. battle group applications, FAN technology provides a lower-cost method of integrating multinational naval forces. It also has many potential commercial applications such as port security, shipping coordination and commercial fishing fleet operations.

Littoral Sensor Grid

Mobilisa is developing a wireless buoy network using a variety of wireless technologies, sensors and instruments that will enable buoys to communicate near real-time data between other buoys and shore for environmental monitoring, analysis and security applications. Currently, most sensor buoys rely on VHF radio transmissions to transmit collected data back to shore facilities. The low bandwidth of VHF limits both the type and quantity of data that can be collected by the buoys and the ability to access the data in real time.

The Littoral Sensor Grid leverages Mobilisa's WOW technology to extend wireless technology into a low-level, height-of-eye, littoral environment ideal for use on buoys. Mobilisa's ongoing research and development efforts related to the Littoral Sensor Grid must consider the impact of Fresnel zones, reflectivity and line of sight limitations in the use of directional antennas and other advanced wireless technology to create a working wireless local area network for buoys within each other's line of sight. The range of the network will depend on the height above water of the antennas atop the buoys, the physics related to propagation near water of radio waves and the ability to switch between several highly directional antennas that operate within FCC guidelines. Mobilisa anticipates that such a system will enable the buoys to communicate at ranges of up to 5 miles apart.

Because the sensor buoys can be equipped with a variety of different types of sensors, Mobilisa envisions a wide variety of uses for the Littoral Sensor Grid. The possible sensor capabilities of this buoy network include wireless connectivity and networking, environmental data collection, mobile and configurable surveillance packages, TIS/VIS (Thermal Imaging System/Visual Imaging System), radar and sonar. The Littoral Sensor Grid may be used to gather near real-time sea and weather conditions, to gather environmental data that will enable scientists to monitor changing conditions in littoral waters and to enhance port security as a component in the layered harbor defense concept. The wireless network capabilities of the Littoral Sensor Grid may also be used to extend the capabilities of a FAN.

Strategy

Mobilisa's objective is to become a leading provider of enhanced security access systems and to continue to enhance its role as a leading provider and innovator of wireless technologies for the military.

Product Enhancement

Due to the success of Defense ID® in the military and government markets, Mobilisa intends to enhance its product line to support other entities such as law enforcement, port security and commercial installations. Mobilisa continues its ongoing efforts to research and implement the use of new identification cards, additional databases and upgraded equipment form factors in order to increase the efficiency and performance of the system.

Strengthen Sales and Marketing Efforts

As the need for access control systems continues to grow, Mobilisa's experienced sales and marketing departments will adjust to target new markets. Sales and marketing materials will be specially designed to clearly outline the capabilities of the system and how it is valuable to each of these specific markets. Mobilisa has sales staff on the West Coast, Midwest and East Coast, which allows a quick response to questions and personalized assistance for each customer based on location. In the future, Mobilisa's experience in marketing the Defense ID system will provide the foundation to successfully market new products and technologies, such as FAN and the Littoral Sensor Grid, as they become ready for application in the military, government, and commercial sectors.

Additional Access to Multiple Databases

Mobilisa continues to increase the data source information accessed through its Defense ID® system. This is achieved by increasing the capabilities of its internally-developed scraping programs for publicly-available information as well as by negotiating additional data source agreements with various law enforcement and government agencies. In addition to these general databases, Mobilisa customizes databases for each individual customer based on information provided by the customer.

Protect Intellectual Property

Mobilisa intends to continue to protect its intellectual property in order to retain its value and unique products. As discussed below, Mobilisa currently has three pending patents:

Dynamic Identity Matching in Response to Threat Levels;

Aggregation in Persons-of-Interest Information for Use in an Identification System; and

Dynamic Access Control in Response to Flexible Rules

Mobilisa intends to apply for patents as products and technology mature and challenge any attempt to infringe its patent rights. Where appropriate, Mobilisa will also utilize trade secret, copyright, trademark and similar laws to protect its intellectual property.

Research and Development

Mobilisa intends to pursue research and development projects through funding from various government agencies, and its current classification as a woman-owned, veteran-owned, small business located in a HUBZone has allowed it to receive several specialized grants for research and development work in the wireless and security arenas. Jefferson County, Washington, where Port Townsend is located, has been designated as a HUBZone until the year 2010. As long as combined company maintains its headquarters in Jefferson County, Washington and one-third of the total number of employees live in the designated HUBZone area, the combined company will maintain its HUBZone status.

The Company enjoys an excellent relationship with its current customers and continues to get funded for additional research and development work stemming from projects already completed or in process. Mobilisa will continue to work with these customers to determine how best to continue to create innovative solutions that meet customers' changing technological needs. For example, Mobilisa's current research and development efforts in FAN and in the Littoral Sensor Grid have the potential of revolutionizing ship-to-ship and ship-to-shore communications. The U.S. Navy, as well as other agencies and commercial customers, will greatly benefit from the successful development of these technologies.

Revenue Sources

Mobilisa derives revenue from the following sources:

Sales of systems by its own direct sales force;

Subscription fees from the licensed use of Mobilisa technology;

Extended maintenance programs; and

Government grants for research and development projects.

Target Markets

Defense ID®

Mobilisa's Defense ID® system is tailored to locations that validate identification cards as a means of access. Historically, the military market has been Mobilisa's primary focus, followed closely by the law enforcement market. Military bases are an ideal location for the use of the Defense ID® system because individual ID cards are checked prior to allowing base access and, in most cases, bases issue visitor/vendor passes to individuals needing access that do not possess a military ID.

Because Defense ID® is customizable, it can be used in many different environments. The information provided via instant access to multiple law enforcement databases proves invaluable to gate officers and law enforcement personnel ensuring the security of a facility. Current target markets include:

Military

· Army · Navy

· Air Force · Marines

· Coast Guard · Military Academies

· Military Hospitals

Law Enforcement/Government

· FBI · Drug Enforcement Administration

· State Police · Local Sheriffs

· Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearm · CIA

· Customs · Department of Transportation

· Department of Homeland Security · Border Patrol

Other Products

Mobilisa provides wireless services, including wireless environment analysis, custom wireless network design and application and custom wireless application development, to a range of customers across a variety of markets. Mobilisa's AIRchitectTM enables Mobilisa to design the ideal wireless network for a variety of different facilities. In addition to designing shipboard networks for the U.S. Navy and the Washington State and British Columbia Ferries, Mobilisa targets metropolitan areas, warehouses, hospitals, public transportation providers and other businesses requiring wireless design and specialty services. Mobilisa's WOW technology can be adapted for a variety of forms of transportation, including buses and trains, and the company continues to further explore potential customers in those markets. Currently, Mobilisa's target market for both FAN and the Littoral Sensor Network is the U.S. Navy, although there are many additional markets where such technology may be sold in the future.

Representative Customers

Mobilisa has generated revenue from its customers from the sale of systems, licensing of software and sale of extended service agreements. The following representative customers are using Mobilisa systems and software for security and identification purposes.

· The United States Air Force Academy

· Fort Wainwright

· Elmendorf Air Force Base ("AFB")

· Andrews AFB

· Fort Meade

· Fort Belvoir

· Fort Richardson

· Bolling AFB

· Fort Polk

· Fort Dix

· Schriever AFB

· Walter Reed Army Hospital

· Maxwell AFB

· Vandenberg AFB

· The US Military Academy at West Point

· Bangor Naval Submarine Base

· McChord AFB

· Claremont County Sheriff Department

· BAE Systems

· Peterson AFB

The following representative customers have used Mobilisa wireless solutions, including AIRchitectTM:

- · United States Navy
- · Sound and Sea Technologies
- · British Columbia Ferries
- · Port Townsend Paper Company
- · Parsons Corporation

- · United States Air Force
- · Science Application International Corporation
- · Washington State Ferries
- · Mikros Systems Corporation
- · National Center for Manufacturing Sciences

Marketing and Distribution

Defense ID®

Mobilisa has market-specific brochures for each product in its product line for both the military and law enforcement sectors that the sales force utilizes when demonstrating the Defense ID® system to potential customers. These brochures serve as a quick reference guide outlining the capabilities of Mobilisa's technology. Once customers have a clear understanding of Mobilisa's products, they can use these brochures to discuss their individual needs and ordering requirements.

When dealing with military and government entities, Mobilisa must comply with applicable procurement regulations. As a woman-owned, veteran-owned small business in a HUBzone, Mobilisa has a considerable advantage in the procurement process. These designations allow Mobilisa to quickly be awarded sole source contracts with the military and government. Following the proposed merger with Intelli-Check, the HUBZone designation will remain in place as the headquarters of the combined company will remain in Port Townsend, WA and Mobilisa anticipates that the combined entity will continue to meet the Small Business Administration's definition of a "small business."

In addition to sole source awards, Mobilisa also responds to Requests for Proposal ("RFPs") and Requests for Qualifications ("RFQs") when their technological capabilities meet that of the desired system. In many cases, Mobilisa is the only company that is able to meet the requirements in the RFP, which can lead to a quick and easy award.

Also, Mobilisa has all Defense ID® products, as well as individual labor services, listed on GSA Schedule 70. This makes it possible for government entities to make direct purchases of equipment and services for a pre-negotiated price without competition.

Mobilisa has offices in the West (Port Townsend, WA), the Midwest (Dayton, OH), and the East (Alexandria, VA) to fully support its current and potential customers. This makes it easy to schedule and complete installations and maintenance in an efficient, time-conscious manner.

Wireless

Marketing for Mobilisa's wireless products and capabilities is performed primarily via the Mobilisa website and tradeshow exhibitions. Mobilisa attends market-specific tradeshows to demonstrate current products and capabilities. Mobilisa prides itself on being an innovative solutions provider and this is a prime arena for showcasing the Company's talents and for interfacing with potential customers who can benefit from Mobilisa's wireless solutions.

Many of Mobilisa's potential wireless customers contact the company after seeing publicity about current wireless projects. Mobilisa's wireless systems have garnered both local and national publicity, which has been highly beneficial in projecting to the public the Company's capabilities.

Competition

Defense ID®

Mobilisa competes in a market that is relatively new, intensely competitive and rapidly changing. Unless a device can read, decode and analyze all of the electronically-stored information that is legally permitted to be analyzed from an identification card, the user may not obtain accurate and reliable confirmation that a identification card is valid and has not been altered. There are several companies, including Eid Passport, L-1 Identity Solutions, and Core Street, that are currently offering products that compete with the Defense ID® system.

Wireless

Mobilisa develops and applies WOW technology and believes that its Floating Area Network and Littoral Sensor Grid projects will enhance the Company's standing with its potential customers in the industry. Several competitors have developed technology that may compete with Mobilisa's products. These include EFJ, Inc., Sea-Mobile, and Motorola. In addition, other defense or wireless companies may be developing technology that will compete with Mobilisa's current products or with the projects and products that are currently in research and development.

Manufacturing

All Mobilisa products are created with commercial off the shelf ("COTS") items that Mobilisa personally customizes with software and specialized configurations. All products are customized, assembled, and tested in-house and then installed and placed by Mobilisa employees in the field.

Intellectual Property

Mobilisa has pending the following patent applications:

Utility application entitled DYNAMIC IDENTITY MATCHING IN RESPONSE TO THREAT LEVELS. This patent is for a dynamic identity matching system that enables a person to determine the status of an individual based on identification information contained in a record provided by the individual. An operator scans the individual's identification and the system, using a name match algorithm and generates a candidate score. Based on current security conditions, the system will display some or all of name or identity-match results. In addition, the system will rank results from highest score (BOLO violent terrorist based on direct id number match) to lowest score (EAL authorized).

Provisional application entitled AGGREGATION OF PERSONS-OF-INTEREST INFORMATION FOR USE IN AN IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM. This patent is for a software and/or hardware facility that gathers Person of Interest ("POI") information from public databases maintained by the FBI, U.S. Customs Enforcement, U.S. Secret Service, U.S. Drug Enforcement Agency, Interpol, U.S. Postal Service, State law enforcement agencies, Attorney General's Office, and various military departments. The system scans information and uses structural semantic and syntax to determine whether the data is in a form that the system recognizes. The system next converts POI information into a common format for use in aggregating information with the other scanned databases. The system reconciles received data with previously-stored data to ensure that duplicate entries do not exist for identical or similar individuals.

Provisional application entitled a DYNAMIC ACCESS CONTROL IN RESPONSE TO FLEXIBLE RULES. This patent is for a dynamic access control facility that enables an operator to determine whether to grant or deny facility access to an individual based, in part, on the status of the individual. The operator scans the individual's identification information from the identification record using a scanning device. To determine the status of the individual, the facility decodes the scanned identification information and identifies candidates based on the decoded identification

information. For each authorized candidate, the facility selects for display the locations or resources that the candidate is authorized to access. When there is at least one candidate, the facility displays the selected candidate(s) to the operator indicating the status of the individual and/or whether access should be denied or granted.

Employees

As of December 2007, Mobilisa had twenty-eight full-time employees at offices in Port Townsend, WA, Alexandria, VA, and Dayton, OH. All Mobilisa employees are employed "at will" and Mobilisa has no agreements with labor unions. Mobilisa management believes that its relations with its employees are good.

MOBILISA MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

Mobilisa, Inc. was incorporated in the state of Washington in March 2001 and is headquartered in Port Townsend, Washington with additional offices in Alexandria, Virginia and Dayton, Ohio. Mobilisa derives its revenue from research and development contracts with various branches of the United States government, which primarily entail developing solutions for wirelessly transmitting information over water and developing software applications for mobile, handheld devices. Mobilisa also derives its revenue from selling handheld communication devices with patent-pending software, which allows users to read various forms of identification and compare it to information on databases.

Secure facilities routinely rely on entry point identification checks as an important security measure. The most common verification performed at entry points is a visual check of identification cards presented by individuals attempting to access the facility. Entry point controls are used in a wide variety of settings, including military base entrances, airports, and commercial buildings. There are many challenges associated with visual checks on identification cards. Because of the numerous forms of identification accepted, including driver licenses, military IDs, passports, base-specific badges, and vendor and visitor badges, it is virtually impossible for security officers to memorize each individual format. By using the Mobilisa Defense ID® system, security officers have the ability to instantly view the machine-readable information contained in the identification card's barcode or magnetic stripe. This information is then immediately checked against over 140 law enforcement databases and the system alerts the guard if the individual matches anyone in any of the databases. This greatly increases efficiency and gives the security officer real-time access to critical information enabling.

In addition to its innovations in identity verification, Mobilisa develops and applies wireless over water ("WOW") technology. Based on 802.11 standards, WOW uses a mix of terrestrial-based RF band radio and antenna hardware in conjunction with advanced optimization techniques to provide reliable, high speed wireless access coverage for constantly moving watercraft within a local waterway.

Mobilisa's AIRchitectTM is a tool to aid in the design of optimal wireless networks. It can be used for marine vessels, hangars, office buildings or outdoor facilities. The software uses imported structural drawings and other user input to calculate and display the optimal wireless network based on coverage, signal spill-over, bandwidth, interference, cost and security. AIRchitectTM eliminates the trial and error survey method commonly used in the design of wireless networks and, as a result, users realize a significant life-cycle cost reduction and an increase in network efficiency.

Mobilisa is also designing and developing new products in security and wireless technology. The Mobilisa FAN project created a Line-of-Sight, high-speed, wireless mesh-type network for use in marine and, in particular, naval applications. FAN is currently in its prototype stage with the U.S. Navy. Moreover, FAN technology provides a lower-cost method of integrating multinational naval forces. It also has many potential commercial applications such as port security, shipping coordination and commercial fishing fleet operations. In addition to FAN, Mobilisa is developing a wireless buoy network using a variety of wireless technologies, sensors and instruments that will enable buoys to communicate near real-time data between other buoys and shore for environmental monitoring, analysis and security applications.

A key component of Mobilisa's business strategy is its commitment to research and development in both ID systems and wireless technologies. Because it is already developing and applying in both areas, Mobilisa is uniquely positioned to continue those efforts. Mobilisa's believes that its success over the last few years has laid a strong foundation for it to become the leading provider of enhanced security access systems in the nation and to continue to enhance its image as the leading provider and innovator of WOW technologies.

Critical Accounting Policies and the Use of Estimates

The preparation of Mobilisa's financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in Mobilisa's financial statements and accompanying notes. Significant estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the financial statements include inventory reserves, deferred tax valuation allowances and doubtful accounts and allowances. Due to the inherent uncertainties involved in making estimates, actual results reported in future periods may be different from those estimates.

Mobilisa believes that there are several accounting policies that are critical to understanding Mobilisa's historical and future performance, as these policies affect the reported amounts of revenue and the more significant areas involving management's judgments and estimates. These significant accounting policies relate to revenue recognition, valuation of inventory, stock based compensation, deferred taxes and commitments and contingencies. These policies and Mobilisa's procedures related to these policies are described in detail below.

Revenue Recognition and Deferred Revenue

Revenue is generally recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the fee is fixed and determinable, collectibility is probable, and there is no future Mobilisa involvement or commitment.

Specific criteria for each revenue type are as follows:

<u>Research and Development</u> - Revenue from research and development contracts are generally with government agencies under cost-plus fixed-fee contracts, where revenue is based on time and material costs incurred. Revenue from these arrangements is recognized as time is spent on the contract and materials are purchased.

<u>Consulting Income</u> - Mobilisa performs consulting services work for other entities. These services are billed based on time and materials. Revenue from these arrangements is also recognized as time is spent on the contract and materials are purchased.

<u>Commercial Products</u> - Mobilisa has revenue arrangements to sell products and services for which they are obligated to deliver products and services. A typical multiple-element arrangement includes handheld units loaded with patented software, installation and training services, database subscription services, and warranties.

Mobilisa applies Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 00-21, "Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables." Under EITF 00-21, multiple-element arrangements are assessed to determine whether they can be separated into more than one unit of accounting. In performing the assessment, Mobilisa first applies the separation criteria within FASB Technical Bulletin ("FTB 90-1"), "Accounting for Separately Priced Extended Warranty and Product Maintenance Contracts" to separate the deliverables falling within the scope of FTB 90-1. Multiple-element arrangements are separated into more than one element if all of the following are met:

- The delivered item(s) has value to the customer on a standalone basis.
- There is objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the undelivered item(s).

·If the arrangement includes a general right of return relative to the delivered item, delivery or performance of the undelivered item(s) is considered probable and substantially within Mobilisa's control.

If all of the above criteria are not met, revenue associated with the arrangement is deferred until the criteria are met on all undelivered elements, or the entire arrangement has been delivered. If objective and reliable evidence of fair value is available for all elements of the arrangement, revenue is allocated to each element based upon the relative fair value of each element to the total arrangement value. The price charged when an element is sold separately generally determines fair value. In the absence of fair value for a delivered element, Mobilisa allocates revenue first to the fair value of the undelivered elements and then allocates the residual value to the delivered elements. In the absence of fair value for an undelivered element, the entire arrangement is accounted for as a single unit of accounting and revenue for the delivered elements is deferred until the undelivered elements have been delivered.

In multiple element revenue arrangements that include software that is more than incidental to the products or services as a whole, software and software-related elements are accounted for in accordance with AICPA Statement of Position ("SOP") No. 97-2, "Software Revenue Recognition." Software-related elements include software products and services, as well as any non-software deliverable for which a software deliverable is essential to its functionality. Mobilisa allocates revenue to each element based upon vendor-specific objective evidence ("VSOE") of the fair value of the element or, if VSOE is not available, by application of the residual method. VSOE of fair value for an element is based upon the price charged when the element is sold separately.

Shipping and handling costs and out-of-pocket expenses reimbursed by customers are included in revenues and cost of revenues and are recognized when incurred.

Revenue for subscriptions and warranties included in the purchase of the commercial product is deferred and recognized over the contractual period, which is typically three years.

<u>Subscriptions</u> - Subscriptions to database information can be purchased for month-to-month, one, two, and three year periods. Revenue from subscriptions are deferred and recognized over the contractual period, which is typically three years.

<u>Warranties</u> - Mobilisa offers enhanced extended warranties for its sales of hardware and software at a set price. The revenue from these sales are deferred and recognized on a straight-line basis over the contractual period, which is typically three years.

Stock-Based Compensation

On January 1, 2006, Mobilisa adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123R"), which requires measurement of compensation cost for all share-based compensation awards at fair value on date of grant and recognition of compensation cost over the requisite service period.

Through December 31, 2005, stock options issued to consultants and other non-employees were accounted for in accordance with EITF No. 96-18 "Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services" ("EITF 96-18"), where the options were either valued at the known fair value of services received or the calculated fair value using the Black-Scholes model. Compensation expense is recognized over the requisite service period. Compensation cost recognized under EITF 96-18 was \$71,003 and \$41,374, for December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Prior to January 1, 2006, Mobilisa accounted for stock-based payments to employees and directors under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion ("APB") No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Options Issued to Employees," and related interpretations, as permitted by SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation." In accordance with APB 25, stock option expense was recognized based on the intrinsic value method whereby any difference between exercise price and fair value of the Common Stock on the date of grant was recognized as stock option compensation expense ratably over the vesting period. As all stock options granted to employees and directors through December 31, 2005, were granted with an exercise price equal to the fair value of the Common Stock at the date of grant, no expense was recognized though December 31, 2005.

Mobilisa adopted SFAS 123(R) using the prospective transition method whereby compensation cost recognized subsequent to January 1, 2006, includes compensation cost for all stock options granted, modified, repurchased, or cancelled subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123(R). Mobilisa amortizes stock compensation cost ratably over the requisite service period (typically the vesting period). Additionally, under this transition method, stock options that were outstanding at the date of adoption of SFAS 123(R) continue to be accounted for using the accounting principles originally applied to

them. For the year ended December 31, 2006, Mobilisa recognized \$81,756 of additional non-cash, share-based compensation expense due to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), which decreased net income by such amount. The financial results for the prior periods have not been restated.

The following table illustrates the effect on net income or loss for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, if Mobilisa had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 to stock-based employee compensation:

| | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|--------------------|---------|
| Net income (loss), as reported | \$ (203,255) \$ | 104,142 |
| Stock-based employee compensation expense included in net income | | |
| (loss), as reported | - | - |
| Less: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under | | |
| the fair value based method for all awards, net of tax effects | 49,133 | 17,760 |
| Pro forma net loss | \$ (252,388) \$ | 86,382 |

Income Taxes

Mobilisa complies with SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," which requires Mobilisa to recognize deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in Mobilisa's financial statements or tax returns using the liability method. Under this method, deferred tax liabilities and assets are determined based on the temporary differences between the financial statements and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. The difference between taxes computed by applying the U.S. tax rate in effect and the actual tax expense or benefit recorded is due to the valuation allowance on Mobilisa's deferred tax assets and varying tax rates between years presented. Mobilisa evaluates its valuation allowance requirements on an annual basis based on projected future operations. When circumstances change and this causes a change in management's judgment about the realization of deferred tax assets, the impact of the change on the valuation allowance is reflected in current income.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Mobilisa's sole source of cash is from operations. As of September 30, 2007, Mobilisa had \$258,061 cash on hand. On the same date in the prior year, September 30, 2006, Mobilisa had \$2,369 cash on hand. Cash generated from operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$130,680, an increase of \$363,049 over the cash used in operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, accounts receivable totaled \$1,791,224. Mobilisa anticipates full collection from these accounts by the first quarter 2008. Mobilisa has a \$250,000 revolving line of credit with Bank of America. As of September 30, 2007, Mobilisa had borrowed \$184,187 on this line of credit primarily for purchase of inventory. This was repaid in fourth quarter 2007 and Mobilisa does not currently have any debt outstanding. Historically, Mobilisa has been funded solely by cash from operations and, from time to time, non-interest loans from its founders. Not taking into account Mobilisa's proposed merger with Intelli-Check, Mobilisa currently expects that Mobilisa's cash on hand and cash resources from accounts receivable, as well as from the significant amount of deferred revenues, will be sufficient to meet Mobilisa's anticipated working capital and capital expenditure requirements for at least the next twelve months.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Mobilisa has never entered into any off-balance sheet financing arrangements and have never established any special purpose entities. Mobilisa has not guaranteed any debt or commitments of other entities or entered into any options on non-financial assets.

Contractual Obligations Mobilisa has several lease agreements for office and storage space. As of September 30, 2007, future minimum lease payments under the lease agreements were as follows for the years ending December 31:

Edgar Filing: INTELLI CHECK INC - Form DEF 14A

| Through end of | | |
|----------------|------|----------|
| 2007 | \$ | 71,961 |
| 2008 | | 219,701 |
| 2009 | | 205,868 |
| 2010 | | 84,869 |
| 2011 | | 74,985 |
| Thereafter | | 418,669 |
| | \$ 1 | ,076,053 |

Commitments and Contingencies

The above listing is not intended to be a comprehensive list of all of Mobilisa's accounting policies. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by generally accepted accounting principles, with no need for management's judgment in their application. There are also areas in which management's judgment in selecting any available alternative would not produce a materially different result.

On August 8, 2007, Mobilisa and Intelli-Check entered into an agreement in principle to combine the two companies. The terms of the agreement in principle provide that Mobilisa will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intelli-Check and that shares of Intelli-Check will be issued to the Mobilisa shareholders such that the two companies will each have 50%-ownership in the combined company. Mobilisa shareholders will also exchange their shares and options for Intelli-Check shares and options. The combination is anticipated to be structured as a "tax-free reorganization" under Section 368 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. It is anticipated that the merger will close in the first quarter of 2008.

Results of Operations

<u>COMPARISON OF THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2007 TO THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 (unaudited)</u>

REVENUE. Revenue increased \$2,033,217 or 100.2% from \$2,029,928 for the 9 months ended September 30, 2006 to \$4,063,145 for the 9 months ended September 30, 2007. Revenues for the 9 months ended September 30, 2007 consisted of revenue from research and development contracts of \$1,278,664, revenues from consulting income of \$889,378 and commercial sales of \$1,895,103. Sales of Mobilisa's commercial product of Defense ID® increased significantly and accounted for 46.6% of overall revenue. Consulting income accounted for 21.9% of overall revenue and research and development income accounted for 31.5%. Deferred revenue for the 9 months ended September, 30, 2007 for Defense

ID® subscriptions and warranties was \$1,013,667, less the current portion of \$461,674, or \$551,993.

<u>GROSS PROFIT</u>. Gross profits increased by \$1,513,257, or 82.6%, from \$1,831,137, for the 9 months ended September 30, 2006 to \$3,344,394 for the 9 months ended September 30, 2007. It is expected that gross profits will continue to increase due to the projected increase of commercial sales, which have a higher profit margin than other areas of the business.

<u>OPERATING EXPENSES.</u> Operating expenses, which consist of selling, general and administrative and research and development expenses, increased 57.8% from \$1,887,793 for the 9 months ended September 30, 2006 to \$2,979,653 for the 9 months ended September 30, 2007. The increase was primarily due Mobilisa's decision to expand its office space in Alexandria, Virginia from 1,333 square feet to 3,289 square feet. This resulted in a 79.2% increase in rent (from \$85,118 to \$151,641) and a 55.6% increase in overall general administrative expenses (from \$463,505 to \$721,346). Salaries and wages increased by 56.4%(from \$1,241,356 to \$1,941,702).

<u>INCOME TAXES</u>. The valuation allowance on deferred tax assets for the periods ended September 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006, changed by \$51,200 and \$11,008 respectively. As of September 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006, Mobilisa had no federal net operating loss carryforwards.

<u>NET INCOME</u>. As a result of the factors noted above, net income increased by \$344,108 from (\$117,795) for the 9 months ended September 30, 2006, to \$226,313 for the 9 months ended September 30, 2007. The change in net income is primarily due to an increase in commercial sales. Based on current orders of Defense ID[®], that were issued just prior to the end of the government fiscal year (September 30), Mobilisa expects revenue to be even stronger in the 4th quarter for commercial sales of Defense ID as the orders are completed and invoiced.

<u>RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS</u>. Mobilisa leases office space from a company which is wholly owned and controlled by Mobilisa's majority owners. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 total rental payments for this office space was \$56,240 and \$56,240. Mobilisa entered into a 10-year lease for the office space ending in 2017. The majority owners, who are members of management, have guaranteed all credit lines of Mobilisa.

GOVERNMENT OVERHEAD RATES. Revenue earned on government research and development contracts include amounts based on operating costs using a computed overhead rate that is adjusted each year. As a result of the standard yearly audit by the Defense Contract Audit Agency of the 2004 overhead rate, it was determined that Mobilisa was using an incorrect rate, which resulted in an overcharge of overhead costs. An amount of \$55,633 has been accrued as of December 31, 2004, and is included in accrued expenses as of September 30, 2007.

<u>SUBSEQUENT EVENTS</u> In November 2007, Mobilisa signed a definitive agreement with Intelli-Check, Inc., to combine the two companies on a basis of a merger of equals. The merger is subject to the approval by the shareholders of both companies.

<u>CASH FLOWS</u> In 2006, Mobilisa's accounts receivables increased due to a large volume of services provided at the end of 2006 for which Mobilisa received payment in the beginning of 2007. Mobilisa received payment for the entire outstanding amount of \$654,337 in accounts receivable. In addition, Mobilisa paid off all of its outstanding debt in 2007.

COMPARISON OF THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006 TO THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

REVENUE. Revenue increased \$1,158,477, or 51%, from \$2,264,989 for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$3,423,466 for the year ended December 31, 2006. Revenues for the period ended December 31, 2006 consisted of revenue from research and development contracts of \$1,651,801, revenues from consulting income of \$796,592 and commercial sales of \$975,073. Sales of Mobilisa's Defense ID® product continued to increase and accounted for 28.5% of overall revenue. Consulting income increased due to the award of two significant contracts; a contract from Telus of Canada to install wireless internet on the British Columbia Ferry routes and a contract from the Washington State Ferry System to develop a high speed data capability for sending streaming video from the ferries to the shore. Consulting income accounted for 23.3% of overall revenue. This indicates Mobilisa's success at diversifying its revenue sources. Deferred revenue for the year ended December 31, 2006 for Defense ID® subscriptions and warranties was \$300,487, less current portion of \$162,729, for \$137,758.

GROSS PROFIT. Gross profits increased by \$1,026,561, or 54.7%, from \$1,875,866 for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$2,902,427 for the year ended December 31, 2006. It is expected that gross profits will continue to increase because of the projected increase in commercial sales and consulting income, which are a higher margin business than other businesses Mobilisa is engaged in.

OPERATING EXPENSES. Operating expenses, which consist of selling, general and administrative and research and development expenses, increased 31.3%, from \$2,105,124 for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$2,765,069 for the year ended December 31, 2006. The increase was primarily due Mobilisa's decision to open offices in Alexandria, Virginia and Dayton, Ohio. The Virginia office was opened in February 2006 and consists of 1,333 square feet of class A office space located at suite 440, 1800 Diagonal Street, Alexandria, VA 22314. The Ohio office was opened in November and consists of 931 square feet located at suite 176, 4141 Colonel Glen Hwy, Beavercreek, OH 45431. Significant increases in general and administrative expenses include a 148.3% increase in rent (from \$49,238 to \$122,258) due to new office locations; 164.5% in utilities (from \$8,828 to \$23,345) mostly attributable to due to new office locations; 140.8% in research vessel - \$11,594 to \$27,924 due to paying off remainder of loan.

<u>INCOME TAXES</u>. The valuation allowance on deferred tax assets for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, changed by \$11,008 and \$13,780 respectively. As of December 31, 2006, Mobilisa had no federal net operating loss carryforwards.

<u>NET INCOME</u>. As a result of the factors noted above, net income increased by 131.1% from a loss of \$203,255 for the year ended December 31, 2005, which included \$71,003 of non-cash expenses to \$63,158 for the year ended December 31, 2006, which included \$81,756 of non-cash expenses. The increase in net income is primarily due to an increase in commercial sales and consulting fees.

GOVERNMENT OVERHEAD RATES. Revenue earned on government research and development contracts include amounts based on operating costs using a computed overhead rate that is adjusted each year. As a result of the standard yearly audit by the Defense Contract Audit Agency of the 2004 overhead rate, it was determined that Mobilisa was using an incorrect rate, which resulted in an overcharge of overhead costs. An amount of \$55,633 has been accrued as of December 31, 2004, and is included in accrued expenses as of December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004.

CASH FLOWS. Cash flow from operations decreased from \$144,843 for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$47,647 for the year ended December 31, 2006. The main reason for the change in cash flow was due to an increase in accounts receivable from a positive \$272,488 for the year ended December 31, 2005 to a negative \$654,337 for the year ended December 31, 2006 as well as an increase in prepaid expenses. Accounts receivable saw a large increase due to a high volume of services provided in December 2006 that were collected in January 2007. In addition, cash from financing increased from negative \$40,535 in the year ended December 31, 2005 to positive \$129,893 for the year ended December 31, 2006. There were no material commitments for capital expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2006.

<u>COMPARISON OF THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005 TO THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004.</u>

REVENUE. Revenues increased \$583,049, or 34.7%, from \$1,573,006 for the year ended December 31, 2004 to \$2,264,989 for the year ended December 31, 2005. Revenues for the period ended December 31, 2005 consisted of revenue from research and development contracts of \$2,149,376, revenues from consulting income of \$72,572 and commercial sales of \$43,041. Late in 2005, Mobilisa introduced a new commercial product called Defense ID® which is a mobile ID security system consisting of handheld devices, the software application, warranties, and a renewable subscription service for customer access to "persons of interest" databases. The system is gaining in popularity among military installations across the country to aid Law Enforcement and Security personnel in identifying suspicious individuals seeking access to military installations. After the initial customer payment for the system, the subscription service will provide a recurring revenue stream. Deferred revenues for the year ended December 31, 2005 for Defense ID® subscriptions was \$3,960, less the portion of \$3,960, or \$0.

GROSS PROFIT. Gross profits increased by \$411,140, or 28.1%, from \$1,464,726 for the year ended December 31, 2004 to \$1,875,866 for the year ended December 31, 2005. The growth in gross profits primarily resulted in the additional hiring of employees who were directly billable to existing research and development contracts increasing the revenue for labor.

OPERATING EXPENSES. Operating expenses, which consist of selling, general and administrative and research and development expenses, increased 52.9% from \$1,265,226 for the year ended December 31, 2004 to \$2,105,124 for the year ended December 31, 2005. Significant increases in general and administrative expenses, included a 76.2% increase in utilities (from \$5,009 to \$8,828); a 200.7% increase for vehicles (from \$2,721 to \$8,183) due to repairs to the Research Vessel, a 393.3% increase in insurance (from \$1,220 to \$6,007), a 1,000.7% increase in website design(from \$882 to \$9,709) due to hiring an outside graphic design firm, a 202.9% increase in postage and shipping costs (from\$4,331 to \$13,119) due to increase of distribution of marketing materials, a 120.8% increase in office expenses (from\$41,291 to \$91,166) due to continued growth and need for new computers, equipment and software a 989.7% increase in recruiting expenses (from \$1,457 to \$15,875) due to a relocation allowance for Mobilisa's Chief Technical Officer, a 107.5% increase in employee education (from \$11,743.16 to \$24,365); a 190.5% increase for legal services (from \$27,232 to \$79,096) due to attorney fees for trademark, intellectual property and email court case litigation; a 3,718.0% increase in consultant fees (from \$1,417 to \$54,120) for hiring of two specialized Business Development Consultants and payment to Chairman of the Board a 130.9% increase in indirect travel (from \$34,269 to \$79,113) due to increase travel of sales personnel, a 71.6% increase in stock based compensation expense (from \$41,374 to \$71,003 due to options granted to the Chief Technical Officer, a 59.5% increase in employee expenses (from \$845,053 to \$1,347,605) due to salary increases, new hires and increase in health benefits.

<u>INCOME TAXES.</u> The valuation allowance on deferred tax assets for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 changed by \$13,780, and \$28,855, respectively.

For the year ended December 31, 2004, Mobilisa utilized net operating loss carryforwards of \$45,818 to offset federal income taxes owed. As of December 31, 2005, Mobilisa had no federal net operating loss carryforwards.

NET LOSS. As a result of the factors noted above, Mobilisa incurred a net loss of \$104,142 for the year ended December 31, 2004, which included \$41,374 of non-cash expenses to (\$203,255) for the year ended December 31, 2005, which included \$71,003 of non-cash expenses. The net loss is primarily due to hiring a Chief Technical Officer and two Business Development Consultants as well as increased attorney fees. Mobilisa believed that it would benefit from filling the Chief Technical Officer position and the addition of the Business Development Personnel would increase potential business opportunities.

<u>GUARANTEES</u>. Beginning in 2004, Mobilisa began leasing office space from Eagle Coast, LLC, which is wholly owned and controlled by Mobilisa majority owners. The Eagle Coast's entire operations consist of the leased property and related bank debt. Mobilisa is a guarantor of the loans for the leased property. As of December 31, 2006, Mobilisa's maximum exposure to loss is \$450,187.

Under FASB Interpretation ("FIN") No. 46 (revised December 2003) "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an Interpretation of ARB No. 51," companies are required to consolidate a related variable interest entity ("VIE") when the reporting company is the "primary beneficiary" of that entity and holds a variable interest in the VIE. The determination of whether a reporting company is the primary beneficiary of a VIE ultimately turns on whether the reporting entity will absorb a majority of the VIE's anticipated losses or receive a majority of the VIE's anticipated gains.

Mobilisa analyzed its transactions with and relationship to the Eagle Coast, LLC and concluded that it had an implicit variable interest in the Eagle Coast, LLC. However, the primary beneficiary, based on an assessment of what entity absorbs a majority of the entity's expected losses, receives a majority of its expected residual returns, or both, as a result of holding variable interests, is the common owners. Accordingly, Mobilisa is not required to consolidate the operations of Eagle Coast, LLC.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS. The majority owners, who are members of management, loan money to Mobilisa from time to time. The loans bear no interest and are payable upon demand. As of December 31, 2005, and 2004, amounts owed to related parties were \$0, and \$12,900, respectively. Mobilisa leases office space from a Eagle Coast, LLC, which is wholly owned and controlled by Mobilisa's majority owners. For the years ended December 31, 2005, and 2004, total rental payments for this office space were \$48,957, and \$23,508. Mobilisa entered into a 10-year lease for the office space ending in 2017. The majority owners, who are members of management, have guaranteed all debt of Mobilisa.

GOVERNMENT OVERHEAD RATES. Revenue earned on government research and development contracts include amounts based on operating costs using a computed overhead rate that is adjusted each year. As a result of the standard yearly audit by the Defense Contract Audit Agency of the 2004 overhead rate, it was determined that Mobilisa was using an incorrect rate, which resulted in an overcharge of overhead costs. An amount of \$55,633 has been accrued as of December 31, 2004, and is included in accrued expenses as of December 31, 2005, and 2004.

<u>CASH FLOWS.</u> Cash flow from operations increased from negative \$1,792 for the year ended December 31, 2004 to positive \$144,843 for the year ended December 31, 2005. The positive cash flow from operations was partially offset from paying off a debt instrument in the amount of \$40,535 for the year ended December 31, 2005. There were not material commitments for capital expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2005.

INFORMATION ABOUT INTELLI-CHECK

Overview

Intelli-Check was originally incorporated in the state of New York in 1994. In August 1999, Intelli-Check reincorporated in Delaware. Intelli-Check is in the business development and marketing of an advanced identity documents verification system as part of its identity management and productivity enhancement solutions. Intelli-Check is a Microsoft® Certified Partner. Intelli-Check's technology addresses problems such as:

- § Commercial Fraud which may lead to economic losses to merchants from check cashing, debit and, credit card as well as other types of fraud such as identity theft that principally utilize fraudulent identification cards as proof of identity;
- § Unauthorized Access Intelli-Check's systems and software are designed to increase security and deter terrorism at airports, shipping ports, rail and bus terminals, military installations, high profile buildings and infrastructure where security is a concern;
- § Underage Access to Age Restricted Products and Services Intelli-Check's systems and software are designed to determine the customer's age as well as the validity of the encoded format on identification documents, to detect and prevent the use of fraudulent identification for the purchase of alcohol, tobacco and other age-restricted products and services and to reduce the risk to the retailer of substantial monetary fines, criminal penalties and the potential for license revocation for the sale of age-restricted products to under-age purchasers; and
- § Inefficiencies Associated With Manual Data Entry by reading encoded data contained in the bar code and magnetic stripe of an identification card with a quick swipe or scan of the card, where permitted by law, customers are capable of accurately and instantaneously inputting information into forms, applications and the like without the errors associated with manual data entry.

Products and Services

ID-Check® technology

Intelli-Check's patented ID-Check® technology is its advanced document verification software. ID-Checl® is contained in Intelli-Check's software products, and is capable of reading and verifying in one swipe or scan the encoded format contained on U.S. and Canadian driver licenses, state issued non-driver identification cards and military IDs. Intelli-Check's technology has the ability to verify the encoded formats on all currently encoded documents, even those that do not comply with the standards of the American Association of Motor Vehicle Administrators (AAMVA), the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) and the International Standards Organization (ISO).

ID-Check® SDK

Intelli-Check's software product, ID-Checl® SDK, is designed for software developers that wish to incorporate Intelli-Check's ID-Checl® technology into their applications. It contains Intelli-Check's proprietary technology, as well as a device controller, which is also capable of reading the smart chip contained in the military CAC. Intelli-Check currently has multiple license agreements with third parties for integration and sub-licensing of Intelli-Check's software applications into their core applications. The SDK is available for multiple platforms such as Microsoft® Windows, Windows Mobile, AIX and certain versions of Linux and it can easily be ported to other platforms as the need arises.

ID-Check® POS

ID-Check® POS is a software application that runs on multiple VeriFone devices, such as the Omni 37xx series. Intelli-Check's software uses both the onboard magnetic stripe reader and an optional external 2-D bar code reader that plugs into an open port on the back of the unit. The terminal has an integrated, high-speed thermal printer. The VeriFone devices are multi-application terminals that allow the ID-Check® software to run side by side with credit card processing software as well as other value added software applications certified by VeriFone. Intelli-Check has been designated as a VeriFone value added partner.

C-Link® software

Intelli-Check's C-Lin® software, which is a networkable data management software works in conjunction with Intelli-Check's ID-Chec® POS application that runs on multiple VeriFone secure electronic payment terminals or with Intelli-Check's data capture modules. It may be used only where permitted by law, since certain jurisdictions restrict using this information without customer consent. It allows the user to instantly view data from driver licenses as well as from the smart chip contained on the military common access card (CAC), for further verification and then archives it into a personal computer. C-Link® can be used on a stand alone personal computer or in a network environment. It contains features such as alerts, watch lists, and recurring entry.

ID-Check® PC

ID-Check® PC is a software solution that is designed to replicate the features of ID-Check® for Windows based platforms and is a Microsoft® Gold certified product. ID-Check® PC is designed to read the smart chip contained in the CAC card.

ID-Traveler

ID-Traveler is a software solution that can electronically compare two forms of government issued IDs instantaneously and determine whether the common fields match (e.g. name, address, date of birth). Should the fields match, the information is highlighted in one color. If the fields do not produce a match, the information is highlighted in a different color. Two forms of identification that are frequently used include driver licenses, state issued ID cards, military IDs, passports, border crossing cards and visas. The program also has the ability to store the images of the documents provided for proof of ID and has the ID-Prove module embedded so that with Internet connectivity it can generate a series of questions to test one's claimed identity.

ID-Prove

ID-Prove is a software solution that is intended to add additional layers to IDN's identity management suite of products. ID-Prove, when prompted, will provide an end user a variable number of "out of wallet" questions about that individual. These questions seek to ensure that the individual in question is truly who they claim to be. Currently, the ID-Prove product is not sold separately, but is integrated into Intelli-Check's ID-Traveler and ID-Check Portal products.

ID-Check® Mobile

ID-Check® Mobile is the designation for multiple hand held devices that Intelli-Check offers its customers. The form-factor is a small, lightweight mobile computer with a durable housing design that has 2-D bar code, magnetic stripe and/or Smart card reading capabilities. By allowing the user to move between locations, ID-Check® Mobile products provide the ability to check the encoded format of ID documents at multiple entry points. It additionally has the capability of providing a yes/no response when used for age verification purposes.

ID-Check® BHO

This software product, formerly called the Web Form Filler product, is a Browser Helper Object (BHO) for Internet Explorer. The BHO allows customers to seamlessly integrate Intelli-Check's core ID-Check® technology into their web based applications. The BHO can be programmed through a series of drop down menus to populate driver license data in the fields of specific web pages based on web page URLs and web page field names. The technology also provides the ability to check the encoded formats of ID documents.

Data Collection Devices

Intelli-Check's software products are designed for use with multiple data collection devices, which are commercially available in various compact forms and may contain either one or both of two-dimensional bar code and magnetic stripe readers. These devices enable Intelli-Check's software applications to be used on a variety of commercially available data processing devices, including credit card terminals, PDAs, tablets, laptops, desktops and point-of-sale terminals. Many of these devices contain an electronic serial number (ESN) to prevent unauthorized use of Intelli-Check's software.

New Products and Services

ID-Check® Portal

The ID-Check® Portal product is designed to support a variety of industries, including financial, retail and government in their "in-person" proofing process, by verifying the encoded format of government issued IDs as well as performing additional layers of security checks to assist in proving the claimed identity of the individual presenting such documents for identification purposes. The product has the capability of checking over 400 public data bases to match information such as the address, date of birth, telephone numbers and social security number against known information as well as the driver license number, where available. It has an option of providing a series of multiple choice questions, for the person being identified to answer, by utilizing the ID-Prove software solution. The questions are of such a nature that only the real person would know the proper response.

ID-Check® Technology integrated with 3RD Ring's Genuine Document System (GDS)

In December 2006, Intelli-Check entered into an agreement with 3RD Ring pursuant to which Intelli-Check agreed to integrate and jointly market the two companies' combined technologies. The combined system provides users with little or no document examination experience with a simple, secure and cost effective means of verifying the authenticity of government issued identification documents. It is capable of verifying the encoded formats on U.S. and Canadian driver licenses, U.S. and provincial non-driver IDs, military IDs, U.S and international passports, and U.S Resident Alien cards. Additionally, the system is capable of verifying the security and forensic features, including holograms and other optical variable characteristics, for these as well as other government issued documents such as U.S Social Security cards. This powerful enhanced document verification system will be jointly marketed to government agencies, law enforcement agencies and the private sector.

Instant Credit Application Kiosk Software Applications

These are custom software applications that Intelli-Check has developed for a variety of major financial service companies. The software installed on multiple kiosk devices provides the customers of the major financial service companies with the ability to perform in-store instant credit approval on these devices. The hardware platforms, on which the software applications run, range from stationary devices to handhelds to tablet PCs. The process involves the swiping or scanning of the driver license to verify the encoded format and after verification, the information parsed from the encoded data is populated into the proper fields on the application displayed on the kiosk. The applicant then completes the application by entering the remaining required information that is not encoded on the driver license, such as social security and telephone numbers. The software application then sends the data to the financial service company's back-end "decisioning" tool for credit approval. If approved, the applicant is granted instant credit which can then be used to make purchases.

ID-Check® Focus and ID-Cap67TM Handheld Imager

These handheld imager based bar code readers are designed to increase employee productivity while streamlining business processes in multiple business sectors such as retail, healthcare, government and security. These devices have the ability to capture images of ID documents and deliver the document clarity required to streamline recordkeeping, thus replacing paper-based files with electronic filing.

Upgrade Capability

Intelli-Check's software requires periodic updates as states and provinces adjust or modify the format of their electronically stored information. Jurisdictional updates can be distributed in a variety of ways depending on the product in use. Intelli-Check's technology can be upgraded by the installation of a file sent on an SD card, CD and/or e-mail to the customer. One of Intelli-Check's products can be upgraded by modem using a dial-up phone connection. Jurisdictional Updates are included in the purchase price of Intelli-Check products, for the first year after purchase. Intelli-Check sells upgrade packages for the period commencing after the first year of product purchase.

Background on Identification Documentation

Driver license

The driver license is the most widely used form of government issued photo identification. The Real ID Act, which became federal law in May 2005, recognizes that the driver license is also a quasi-identification card. In addition to its primary function, the driver license is used to verify identity for social services, firearm sales, check cashing, credit card use and other applications. There are approximately 245 million driver licenses in circulation in the U.S. and Canada. Intelli-Check's technology can read the data on all currently encoded licenses, even those that do not comply with the AAMVA/ANSI/ISO standards. Intelli-Check believes that its technology is able to read over 230 million of those issued at the current time. Currently, the fifty states, the District of Columbia, and ten Canadian provinces encode their licenses. Intelli-Check believes that the number of readable licenses will continue to grow as the three Canadian Provinces that have either not yet encoded their license or completed a rotation with encoding begin to encode and U.S. jurisdictions that have recently begun to encode complete their rotations.

Non-driver identification card

Since many people do not have a driver license, numerous jurisdictions offer other identification cards that may contain encoded information. These non-driver identification cards, as well as military IDs, are fundamentally identical to driver licenses. Because driver licenses are the most widely used form of legally acceptable government documentation, Intelli-Check refers to all these types of legally acceptable governmental identification documents as driver licenses. Intelli-Check's ID-Check software is equally capable of performing its function with these other types of government identification.

Scope of Authentication Capabilities

Intelli-Check believes that it is the only company that can machine read and verify the format contained on the machine readable zone on all of the North American encoded driver licenses and non-driver IDs issued by the U.S. states and Canadian provinces.

Regulation of Retailers of Tobacco Products and Alcoholic Beverages

In an effort to combat the problems of underage drinking and smoking, many states and Canadian provinces have enacted laws requiring businesses that sell age-restricted products to verify the IDs of potential customers to determine that they are of legal age to purchase these products. These laws impose stringent penalties for violations. New federal regulations have been enacted that place a greater burden on retailers to prevent the sale of tobacco products to minors. Clerks are required to check the photo ID of anyone trying to purchase tobacco products that appears to be under the age of 27, and in several states a retailer of alcoholic products who sells to an underage person could face potential fines, suspension of its license or the potential outright revocation of its license to sell alcoholic beverages. Additionally, in states where enacted, dram shop laws allow a person who is injured by any obviously intoxicated person to file a claim for relief for fault against any person who knowingly sells alcoholic beverages to a

person less than 21 years of age. As a result of law enforcement efforts and regulatory penalties, Intelli-Check believes retailers that sell alcohol and tobacco, such as liquor stores, bars and convenience stores, are facing increased pressure to accurately verify the age of their customers. There is legislation currently pending or proposed in some U.S. states that would make it mandatory to utilize electronic scanning devices by sellers of age-restricted products such as alcohol and tobacco.

Current Challenges Associated with Verifying Identification Documents

The high-tech revolution has created a major problem for those who rely on identification documents. In an age where scanners, computers and color printers are commonplace, fake IDs of the highest quality are easily obtainable from a number of locations including college campuses and from multiple sites on the Internet. These fakes appear so real, even law enforcement agencies have encountered difficulty distinguishing them from legally issued documents. Additionally, these high-tech devices have the ability to easily alter properly issued IDs. Therefore, anyone can gain access to a false identity that gives them the ability, in a commercial transaction, to present fake and stolen credit cards or checks that are supported by false identification. Additionally, starting with only a fraudulent driver license, an individual may be able to create multiple identities, commit fraud, buy age restricted products such as alcohol and tobacco while underage, evade law enforcement and engage in other criminal activities, such as:

· committing identity theft;

· gaining entrance to high profile buildings and sensitive infrastructures, such as nuclear

facilities;

· improperly boarding airplanes;

· illegally purchasing firearms;

· committing credit card, debit card and check cashing fraud;

· purchasing age restricted products such as alcohol and tobacco while under age;

· unlawfully obtaining welfare or other government benefits;

· committing employee fraud, including employee theft and payroll theft; and

· committing refund fraud;

· engaging in medical fraud.

· committing pharmacy fraud, including false narcotic prescriptions;

Given the ease with which identification can be falsified, simply looking at a driver license may not be sufficient to verify age or identity and determine whether or not it is fraudulent. Since merchants are facing significant economic losses due to these frauds, Intelli-Check believes that a document verification system which can accurately read the electronically stored information is needed. Intelli-Check possesses a patented software application technology that provides an analysis of the data contained on the encoded formats of these identification documents by reading and analyzing the encoded format on the magnetic stripe or bar code on the driver license and comparing it against known standards.

ID-Check® Solutions and Benefits

Intelli-Check believes that ID-Check® and Intelli-Check's family of software solutions contain the most advanced, reliable and effective technology, providing users with an easy, reliable, and cost-effective method of document and age verification. Intelli-Check has received encoding formats from all of the issuing jurisdictions in North America. This information, combined with Intelli-Check's patented technology, enables all of Intelli-Check's ID-Check® software products to read, decode, process and verify the encoded formats on driver licenses. Intelli-Check's ID-Check® Portal product can verify the claimed identity of the individual in question as well. As jurisdictions change their documents and guidelines, Intelli-Check believes its software can be adapted to these changes.

ID-Check® software does not require a connection to a central database to operate, thus negating privacy concerns. Many of Intelli-Check's products have the ability to operate add-on peripherals such as printers, fingerprint readers and

other devices.

The ID-Check® process is quick, simple and easy to use. After matching the (driver license) photograph to the person presenting the document for identification, the user simply swipes the driver license through the data capture device if the card has a magnetic stripe or scans it if it has a bar code. The software quickly determines if:

the format of the document is valid;

•the document has been altered or is fake, by displaying the parsed, encoded data for comparison with the printed information:

the document has expired; and

·being used for age verification, the encoded data contains a date of birth indicating an age that is equal to or greater than the legal age to purchase age restricted products, such as alcohol and tobacco.

Then, the ID-Check® software applications can:

- · respond to the user by displaying the format validation result and the parsed information;
 - save information that is permissible by law to memory; and
- •print a record of the transaction including the verification results, if a printer is part of the hardware configuration.

Strategy

Intelli-Check's objective is to be a leading provider of productivity enhancement and identity management solutions. These solutions include Intelli-Check's identity verification technology systems and software in the work-flow, commercial fraud protection, access control and age verification markets. Key elements of Intelli-Check's strategy are as follows:

<u>Productivity Enhancement.</u> Because of Intelli-Check's recent successes in the retail vertical market and Intelli-Check's entrance into the financial services vertical, Intelli-Check markets its technology as a key productivity enhancement tool. Intelli-Check's patented ID-Check software can add functionality to virtually any given software application to automatically populate fields within a given form, when a government-issued photo ID is presented. The automation that results from the intelligence added to the form dramatically increases throughput and data integrity, and it significantly enhances the customer's experience.

Develop Additional Strategic Alliances with Providers of Security Solutions. Intelli-Check has entered into strategic alliances to utilize its systems and software as the proposed or potential enrollment application for their technologies and to jointly market these security applications with multiple biometric companies: Northrop Grumman, EDS and the Anteon division of General Dynamics, integrators in the defense industry; Intermec, Metrologic and Motorola, hardware manufacturers; and Digimarc and Viisage, now part of L1 Identity Solutions, Inc., producers of driver licenses for approximately 90% of the jurisdictions in North America. Intelli-Check believes these relationships will broaden its marketing reach through their sales efforts and Intelli-Check intends to develop additional strategic alliances with additional providers of security solutions.

<u>Strengthen Sales and Marketing Efforts</u>. Intelli-Check intends to capitalize on the growth in demand for age and document verification by continuing to market and support its systems and software. Intelli-Check's sales and marketing departments are organized by target market rather than geographic area to provide focus and create experts in each area.

Enter into Additional Licensing Agreements. Intelli-Check intends to continue to license its software for use with a customer's system. Intelli-Check is currently licensing its ID-Check® SDK and C-Link® software products for Windows and Windows CE platforms and intend to similarly continue to license its ID-Check® PC and ID-Check® PDA software solutions. Intelli-Check's software is intended to be used with a compatible hardware device. Intelli-Check has entered into multiple licensing agreements to date.

Protect Intellectual Property. Intelli-Check intends to strongly protect its intellectual property portfolio in order to preserve value and obtain favorable settlements where warranted. For example, in February 2003, Intelli-Check filed suit against CardCom, Inc. d/b/a CardCom Technology, Inc. claiming that CardCom had infringed one of Intelli-Check's patents. Subsequently, Intelli-Check entered into a patent licensing agreement with CardCom effective March 2003 which provided for a non-exclusive three year license in connection with the manufacture, use and sale of CardCom's age verification products in the United States and Canada. Effective March 12, 2006, Intelli-Check renewed the licensing agreement with CardCom for an additional five years. Intelli-Check also filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Tricom Card Technologies, Inc. in July 2003, which is currently being litigated.

Intelli-Check's Revenue Sources

Intelli-Check derives its revenue from the following sources:

- · Sales of Intelli-Check's systems by Intelli-Check's own direct sales force and marketing partners;
 - · Per transaction or subscription fees from the licensed use of Intelli-Check's technology;
- · Royalties and licensing fees from licensing Intelli-Check's patented technology to third parties;
- · Revenue sharing and marketing arrangements through strategic alliances and partnerships; and
 - Sale of software upgrades and extended maintenance programs.

Intelli-Check's Target Markets

The use of false identification cards, primarily driver licenses and non-driver identification cards, to engage in commercial fraud, to gain access to unauthorized areas and to gain entry to critical infrastructure, or to purchase products from establishments that sell age-restricted items, is common. Given the ease with which identification can be falsified, Intelli-Check believes that simply looking at a driver license may not be sufficient to verify age or identity and determine whether or not such an identification card is fraudulent. Since merchants are facing significant economic losses due to these frauds, Intelli-Check believes that what they need is a document verification system, which can accurately read the electronically stored information. Intelli-Check targets the markets that would most benefit from Intelli-Check's systems and software.

In the past twelve months, Intelli-Check has marketed its products to opportunities where Intelli-Check's ID-Check® technology can be used to enhance productivity. Intelli-Check has made significant progress in the marketplace for the retail issuance of instant credit. Intelli-Check believes there is a financial benefit and a compelling business model for customers in this marketplace to utilize its technology.

Productivity Enhancement

· Mass merchandisers and retailers · Auto dealerships and rental car agencies

· Banks and other financial institutions · Casino for enrollment of guests

· Credit unions · Hospital patient admissions

· Credit card issuers · Lodging Industry

· Check cashing services · Airlines

Commercial fraud protection

· Mass merchandisers and retailers · Auto dealerships and rental car agencies

· Banks and other financial institutions · Casino cage operations

· Credit unions · Hospitals, medical facilities and health plans

· Lodging Industry · Credit card issuers · Check cashing services · Pharmacies **Access control** · Nuclear facilities · Airports and airlines · Departments of Motor Vehicles · Oil refineries and storage facilities · Prisons · Military establishments · College Campuses · Law enforcement agencies · Department of Homeland Security · Notable buildings · Court houses · Bus, rail and port facilities

Age verification market

· Bars and night clubs · Stadiums and arenas

· Convenience stores · Casinos and gaming establishments

· Grocery chains · Sellers of sexually explicit material

· Restaurants · Firearm dealers

Representative Customers

Intelli-Check has generated revenues from its customers from the sale of systems, licensing of software and sale of software upgrades. The following representative customers are using Intelli-Check's systems and software for commercial fraud protection and productivity enhancement:

· Fidelity National Information Services · Foxwoods Resorts and Casino

· MGM Grand · Mohegan Sun Resort Casino

· Caesar's Palace · Barclaycard USA

· Vanguard · JPMorgan Chase

· Toys R Us · GE Consumer Finance

· Century 21 Department Stores

The following representative customers and programs are using Intelli-Check's systems and software for access control:

· JFK Airport in New York, O'Hare · New York, Vermont, Delaware and New International Airport in Chicago and Reagan Hampshire Department of Motor Vehicles National Airport in Washington DC

· American Stock Exchange Port Authority of New York and New Jersey

· Fort Sam Houston and Fort Hood · United States Supreme Court

· Pentagon Force Protection Agency · Registered Traveler Program

The following representative customers are using Intelli-Check's systems and software for age verification:

· Idaho State Liquor Dispensary · Drake Petroleum

· Sunoco · Houston's Restaurants

· Exxon/Mobil franchisees · Michael Jordan's Steakhouse

Marketing and Distribution

Intelli-Check's objective is to become the leading developer and distributor of document and age verification products. To date, Intelli-Check's marketing efforts have been through direct sales by Intelli-Check's sales and marketing personnel, through resellers and license agreements. Intelli-Check is marketing its products through direct marketing approaches such as web marketing, a small number of select trade shows and well known public interest and trade associations.

Intelli-Check generates revenues from the licensing of its software and the selling of bundled solutions that contain hardware and software. Depending on the specific needs of Intelli-Check's clients, Intelli-Check tailors the right solution for them. Intelli-Check's bundled solutions, which include, but are not limited to, Intelli-Check's ID-Check® Mobile and ID-Check® POS technology, offer multiple pricing options. Intelli-Check also generates revenues from various new software solutions that are based upon a per transaction or subscription model.

Intelli-Check's ID-Checl® software runs on Microsoft® Windows and Windows Mobile platforms in addition to devices such as credit card terminals and other operating systems such as Linux. Intelli-Check is marketing its ID-Check® technology to the government, airlines, airports, high profile buildings or infrastructure, mass merchandisers, grocery, convenience and pharmacy chains, casinos and banks.

Intelli-Check has developed a comprehensive marketing plan to build customer awareness and develop brand recognition in Intelli-Check's target markets. Intelli-Check promotes the advantages and ease of use of Intelli-Check's products through:

Endorsements by nationally known public interest groups and trade associations;

· Trade publications;

· Trade shows;

Paid keyword searches;

Web seminars, as well as Intelli-Check's own website; and

· Various conventions and industry specific seminars.

As Intelli-Check gains market acceptance for Intelli-Check's ID-Check technology, Intelli-Check intends to develop and market other related software applications.

Intelli-Check further intends to add qualified "value added" remarketers that are capable of reaching smaller customers. Intelli-Check believes this represents the most cost-effective way to reach numerous "mom and pop" establishments in North America involved in the sale of age restricted products. Furthermore, in order to broaden Intelli-Check's sales "reach" into existing and new markets, Intelli-Check will continue to enter into selective agreements with proven application solution providers, system integrators, resellers and independent sales representatives. Basically, Intelli-Check reorganized its entire distribution network to provide the company with greater effectiveness.

Competition

Intelli-Check competes in a market that is relatively new, intensely competitive, and rapidly changing. Unless a device can read, decode and analyze all of the information that is legally permitted to be analyzed, which is electronically stored on a driver license, the user may not obtain accurate and reliable confirmation that a driver license is valid and has not been altered or tampered with. Intelli-Check is aware of several companies, including CardCom, TriCom Technologies, Positive Access, Concord EFS, Inc., Scan Technology and Legal Age that are currently offering products that electronically read and calculate age from a driver license. Intelli-Check has tested and compared some of these products to ID-Check® and believes that Intelli-Check's product is superior in quality and functionality. Intelli-Check believes that units unable to read bar codes are at a significant disadvantage because most states and Canadian provinces currently utilize bar codes to encode their driver licenses, as well as all U.S. military IDs and uniformed services cards. In addition, some of these other products cannot connect to a personal computer or use a printer.

Intelli-Check has experienced and expects to continue to experience increased competition in the age verification market, and has to date experienced limited competition from companies in the document verification market. If any of Intelli-Check's competitors were to become the industry standard or were to enter into or expand relationships with significantly larger companies through mergers, acquisitions or otherwise, Intelli-Check's business and operating results could be seriously harmed. In addition, potential competitors could bundle their products or incorporate functionality into existing products in a manner that discourages users from purchasing Intelli-Check's products.

Manufacturing

In January 2004, Intelli-Check entered into a two year product supply agreement for the purchase of input devices. Under the terms of this agreement, these devices, which are private labeled, are programmed to work in conjunction with Intelli-Check's ID-Chec® technology. On December 30, 2005, Intelli-Check entered into a two year product supply agreement with the same manufacturer and with similar terms and conditions as the prior agreement. On March 14, 2006, Intelli-Check signed a product supply agreement with another manufacturer for the purchase of alternate input devices that are also programmed to work in conjunction with Intelli-Check's ID-Chec® technology. The agreement automatically renews for successive one-year terms on December 31 of every year pursuant to the terms of the agreement.

Intellectual Property

In January 1999, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office granted Intelli-Check a patent on its ID-Check® software technology. In October 2002, Intelli-Check was granted another patent relating to its document authentication and age verification technology. At present, Intelli-Check has other patent applications pending in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. These patents cover commercially important aspects of Intelli-Check's capabilities relating to the authentication of a document, such as a driver license, along with the verification of the age of an individual associated with that document. Upon Intelli-Check's acquisition of the assets of IDentiScan, Intelli-Check also received equitable ownership and sole ownership rights to intellectual property, including other patents and patent applications relating to age verification technology. Intelli-Check currently holds five (5) U.S. patents, two (2) Canadian patents and one (1) United Kingdom patent.

Intelli-Check has also been granted multiple copyrights in the United States, which are effective in Canada and in other major industrial countries. The copyright protection covers software source codes and supporting graphics relating to the operation of ID-Check® and other software products. Intelli-Check also has several trademarks relating to its company, its product names and logos.

In connection with the sales or licensing of Intelli-Check's intellectual property, Intelli-Check has entered into an agreement with Mr. Kevin Messina, Intelli-Check's former Senior Executive V.P. and Chief Technology Officer, under which Intelli-Check will pay royalties equal to 0.005% of cumulative gross sales for cumulative gross sales of \$2,000,000 to \$52,000,000 and 0.0025% of cumulative gross sales for cumulative gross sales in excess of \$52,000,000 pertaining to those patents on which Mr. Messina was identified as an inventor. Cumulatively, as of December 31, 2007, total fees payable under this agreement amounted to less than \$1,000.

Employees

As of January 2008, Intelli-Check had twenty (20) full-time employees. Two (2) are engaged in executive management, nine (9) in information technology, seven (7) in sales and marketing and two (2) in administration. Intelli-Check believes its relations with its employees are generally good and Intelli-Check has no collective bargaining agreements with any labor unions.

Competition

If the acquisition is completed, Intelli-Check will become subject to competition from competitors of Mobilisa. For more information of the competition Mobilisa faces, please see the section entitled, "Information About Mobilisa—Competition" elsewhere in this document.

Properties

Intelli-Check maintains executive offices at 246 Crossways Park West, Woodbury, New York 11797. The base rental cost for this space is approximately \$17,662 per month. Intelli-Check believes, based on rents and fees for similar services in the Woodbury, New York area, that the base rental cost is reasonable. Intelli-Check considers its current office space adequate for current operations.

Legal Proceedings

On August 1, 2003, Intelli-Check filed a summons and complaint against Tricom Card Technologies, Inc. alleging infringement on its patent and seeking injunctive and monetary relief. On October 23, 2003, Intelli-Check amended its complaint to include infringement on an additional patent. On May 18, 2004, Intelli-Check filed a Second Amended Complaint alleging infringement and inducement to infringe against certain principals of Tricom in their personal

capacities, as well as alleging in the alternative, false advertising claims under the Lanham Act against all the defendants. The principals moved to dismiss the claims against them, and Tricom moved to dismiss the false advertising claims, which motions have been administratively terminated by the Court. On August 1, 2005, defendants filed an Answer and Affirmative Defenses to the Second Amended Complaint and Tricom filed a declaratory counterclaim. On November 2, 2005, the Court allowed Tricom to plead two additional defenses and declaratory counterclaims in the case, and on January 3, 2006, the parties filed a Stipulation of Dismissal of the Estoppel and Unenforceability Counterclaims and Affirmative Defenses. On February 28, 2006, the parties filed a Supplemental Proposed Joint Pretrial Order, and on March 1, 2006, the Court certified that fact discovery in this action was complete. On June 29, 2006, the Court held a pre-motion conference at Intelli-Check's request to discuss a proposed motion to disqualify defendants' counsel for a conflict of interest. Pursuant to the Court's order, Intelli-Check served moving papers upon defendants on July 14, 2006 and defendants served opposition to the motion on or about July 28, 2006. Intelli-Check served a reply to the opposition on August 11, 2006 and filed the motion with the Court. Also, on or about July 21, 2006, defendants filed with the Court a motion for claim construction together with Intelli-Check's opposition to defendants' motion and defendants' reply to the opposition. As of January 2008, the Court has not scheduled a hearing date for either motion and there is no trial date pending.

Intelli-Check is not aware of any infringement by its products or technology on the proprietary rights of others.

Other than as set forth above, Intelli-Check is not currently involved in any legal or regulatory proceeding, or arbitration, the outcome of which is expected to have a material adverse effect on its business.

Periodic Reporting and Audited Financial Statements

Intelli-Check has registered its securities under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and has reporting obligations, including the requirement to file annual and quarterly reports with the SEC. In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Intelli-Check's annual report contains financial statements, audited and reported on by Intelli-Check's independent accountants.

INTELLI-CHECK MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with Intelli-Check's financial statements and related notes thereto included elsewhere in this proxy statement.

Forward Looking Statements

This document contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, particularly statements anticipating future growth in revenues, loss from operations and cash flow. Words such as "anticipates," "expects," "projects," "intends," "plans," "believes" and words and terms of similar substan in connection with any discussion of future operating or financial performance identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based on management's current expectations and beliefs about future events. As with any projection or forecast, they are inherently susceptible to uncertainty and changes in circumstances, and Intelli-Check is under no obligation to, and expressly disclaims any obligation to, update or alter its forward-looking statements whether as a result of such changes, new information, subsequent events or otherwise.

Overview

Intelli-Check was formed in 1994 to address a growing need for a reliable document and age verification system that could be used to detect fraudulent driver licenses and other widely accepted forms of government-issued identification documents. Since then, its technology has been further developed for application in the commercial fraud protection, access control and governmental security markets. Additionally, it is currently being used to increase productivity by addressing inefficiencies and inaccuracies associated with manual data entry. The core of Intelli-Check's product offerings is its proprietary software technology that verifies the authenticity of driver licenses, state issued non-driver and military identification cards used as proof of identity. Intelli-Check's patented ID-Check® software technology instantly reads, analyzes, and verifies the encoded format in magnetic stripes and barcodes on government-issue IDs from over 60 jurisdictions in the U.S. and Canada to determine if the encoded format is valid. Intelli-Check has served as the national testing laboratory for the American Association of Motor Vehicle Administrators (AAMVA) since 1999 and has access to all the currently available encoded driver license formats.

Since the tragic events that occurred on September 11, 2001, and because of continuing terrorist threats worldwide since then, Intelli-Check believes there has been a significant increase in awareness of its software technology to help improve security across many industries, including airlines, rail transportation and high profile buildings and infrastructure, which Intelli-Check believes should enhance future demand for its technology. The adaptation of Homeland Security Presidential Directive 12 (HSPD 12) and the promulgation of Federal Identity Processing Standards 201 (FIPS 201) have raised the awareness of Intelli-Check's technology in the government sector. Therefore, Intelli-Check has begun to market to various government and state agencies, which have long sales cycles, including

extended test periods. Since inception, Intelli-Check has incurred significant losses and negative cash flow from operating activities and, as of December 31, 2006, Intelli-Check had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$42 million. Intelli-Check will continue to fund operating and capital expenditures from proceeds that it received from sales of its equity securities. In view of the rapidly evolving nature of Intelli-Check's business and Intelli-Check's operating history, Intelli-Check believes that period-to-period comparisons of revenues and operating results are not necessarily meaningful and should not be relied upon as indications of future performance.

By verifying the encoded format, Intelli-Check's ID-Check® patented technology provides the ability to verify the validity of military IDs, driver licenses and state issued non-driver ID cards that contain magnetic stripes, bar codes and SMART chips, which enables Intelli-Check to target three distinct markets. Intelli-Check's original target market was focused on resellers of age-restricted products, such as alcohol and tobacco, where the proliferation of high-tech fake IDs exposes merchants to fines and penalties for the inadvertent sale of these products to underage purchasers. Intelli-Check now also targets commercial fraud, which includes identity theft, and Intelli-Check's technology is designed to help prevent losses from these frauds. Intelli-Check is also marketing its products for security applications involving access control. As a result of its applicability in these markets, Intelli-Check has sold its products to some of the largest companies in the gaming industry, significant retailers, several large financial service companies, Certegy, now part of Fidelity National, one of the largest providers of check authorization services in the United States, a state port authority, military establishments, airports, nuclear power plants and high profile buildings. Intelli-Check's technology is currently being tested by several Fortune 50 Companies. Intelli-Check has entered into strategic alliances with VeriFone, the largest provider of credit card terminals in the U.S., the two largest providers of driver licenses in North America to assist with their compliance with the provisions of the Real ID Act (which is intended to set standards for the issuance of driver licenses and identification cards), several biometric companies, Northrop Grumman, EDS and General Dynamics (formerly Anteon), integrators in the defense industry, and Intermec Technologies, Motorola and Metrologic, hardware manufacturers, to utilize Intelli-Check's systems and software as the proposed or potential verification application for their proposed solutions for credentialing in the government sector and to jointly market these security applications. The passage of the Real ID ACT together with the regulations arising from HSPD-12, which sets the policy for a common identification standard for federal employees and contractors, have additionally created opportunities for Intelli-Check's verification technology in the government market at the federal, state and local levels. In addition, Intelli-Check has executed agreements with some high profile organizations to promote the use of Intelli-Check's technology and its products. Intelli-Check believes these relationships have broadened its marketing reach through their sales efforts and Intelli-Check intends to develop additional strategic alliances with additional high profile organizations and providers of security solutions.

Intelli-Check has developed additional software products that utilize its patented software technology. Intelli-Check's products include ID-Check® Portal, ID-Check® POS, ID-Check® BHO, ID-Traveler and the ID-Prove software solution. ID-Check® Portal utilizes its ID-Check® technology together with ID-Prove to provide an additional layer of security to prove an individual's claimed identity. ID-Check POS is the technology that has been integrated into multiple VeriFone platforms such as the 37xx series to enable the user to do verification of the encoded format on driver licenses as an additional function of the terminal. ID-Check® BHO is a browser helper object that enables a customer to add the ID-Check® technology as a "plug-in," to Internet Explorer pages without requiring software programming expertise. ID-Traveler electronically verifies and matches two forms of government issued IDs instantaneously while the embedded ID-Prove software solution provides "out of wallet" questions to assist in proving a user's claimed identity. Additional software solutions include ID-Check® PC and ID-Check® Mobile, which replicate the features of ID-Check®. Another application is C-Link®, the company's networkable data management software. Additionally, ID-Check® PC and C-Link® are designed to read the smart chip contained on the military Common Access Card (CAC). These products, which run on a personal computer, were created to work in conjunction with Intelli-Check's ID-Check® technology and allow a user to first verify the encoded format and then view the encoded data for further verification. Intelli-Check's ID-Check® Mobile product gives the user the additional flexibility of utilizing its software in a hand-held product. To date, Intelli-Check has entered into multiple licensing agreements and is in discussions with additional companies to license its software to be utilized within other existing systems.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of the Company's financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the Company's financial statements and accompanying notes. Significant estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the financial statements include deferred tax valuation allowances and doubtful accounts and allowances. Due to the inherent uncertainties involved in making estimates, actual results reported in future periods may be different from those estimates.

Intelli-Check believes that there are several accounting policies that are critical to understanding Intelli-Check's historical and future performance, as these policies affect the reported amounts of revenue and the more significant areas involving management's judgments and estimates. These significant accounting policies relate to revenue recognition, stock based compensation, deferred taxes and commitments and contingencies. These policies and Intelli-Check's procedures related to these policies are described in detail below.

Revenue Recognition and Deferred Revenue

Intelli-Check sells its products directly through its sales force and through distributors. Revenue from direct sales of Intelli-Check's products is recognized when shipped to the customer and title has passed. Intelli-Check's products require continuing service or post contract customer support and performance by it. Accordingly, a portion of the revenue pertaining to the service and support is deferred based on its fair value and recognized ratably over the period in which the future service, support and performance are provided, which is generally one year. Currently, with respect to sales of certain of Intelli-Check's products, Intelli-Check does not have enough experience to identify the fair value of each element and the full amount of the revenue and related gross margin is deferred and recognized ratably over the one-year period in which the future service, support and performance are provided.

In addition, Intelli-Check recognizes sales from licensing of its patented software to customers. Intelli-Check's licensed software requires continuing service or post contract customer support and performance by it; accordingly, a portion of the revenue is deferred based on its fair value and recognized ratably over the period in which the future service, support and performance are provided, which is generally one year.

The Company receives royalties from the licensing of its technology, which are recognized as revenues in the period they are earned.

Stock-Based Compensation

On January 1, 2006, Intelli-Check adopted SFAS No. 123(R). Intelli-Check adopted SFAS No. 123(R) using a modified prospective application, as permitted under SFAS No. 123(R). Accordingly, prior period amounts have not been restated. Under this application, Intelli-Check is required to record compensation expense for all awards granted after the date of adoption and for the unvested portion of previously granted awards that remain outstanding at the date of adoption. SFAS No. 123(R) requires that the cost resulting from all share based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements. SFAS No. 123(R) establishes fair value as the measurement objective in accounting for share based payment arrangements and requires Intelli-Check to apply a fair value based measurement method in accounting for generally all share based payment transactions with employees.

Deferred Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and net operating loss carry forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using expected tax rates in effect for

the year in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Intelli-Check has recorded a full valuation allowance for Intelli-Check's net deferred tax assets as of September 30, 2007, due to the uncertainty of the realizability of those assets.

Commitments and Contingencies

Intelli-Check is currently involved in certain legal proceedings as discussed in the footnotes to the financial statements and as disclosed under the caption Legal Proceedings described above. Other than as described above, Intelli-Check does not believe these legal proceedings will have a material adverse effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The above listing is not intended to be a comprehensive list of all of Intelli-Check's accounting policies. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by generally accepted accounting principles, with no need for management's judgment in their application. There are also areas in which management's judgment in selecting any available alternative would not produce a materially different result.

Results of Operations for the Nine-Month Period Ended September 30, 2007

REVENUES. Revenues increased by 12.6%, or \$256,093, to \$2,281,533 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 from \$2,025,442 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Revenues for the period ended September 30, 2007 consisted of revenues from direct sales to customers of \$1,517,842, revenue from distributors of \$745,330 and royalty payments of \$18,361, compared to \$1,213,543, \$789,650 and \$22,249, respectively, in the nine month period ended September 30, 2006. Booked orders, which represent the total value of all new non-cancellable orders for products, services and fees received from Intelli-Check's customers and distributors, during the first nine months of 2007 were \$4.0 million compared to \$2.8 million in the first nine months of 2006. However, period to period comparisons may not be indicative of future operating results, since Intelli-Check still faces long sales cycles, particularly in the government sector, and, therefore, Intelli-Check cannot predict with certainty at this time in which period the opportunities currently in the pipeline will develop into sales or if they will develop at all.

GROSS PROFIT. Gross profit as a percentage of revenues amounted to 61.6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 compared to 64.9% for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Intelli-Check's gross profit percentage was lower than in the prior year period as a result of a higher mix of Intelli-Check's bundled sales of hardware and software products over its licensing products which have a higher gross profit percentage.

OPERATING EXPENSES. Operating expenses, which consist of selling, general and administrative and research and development expenses, decreased 4.7% from \$4,018,183 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 to \$3,829,575 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. Selling expenses, which consist primarily of salaries and related costs for marketing, increased 1.6% from \$1,142,793 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 to \$1,160,703 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, primarily due to higher employee costs due to an increase in sales headcount and higher marketing expenses of approximately \$109,000, which was offset by a reduction in non-cash stock-based compensation expense from the granting of stock options totaling approximately \$92,000. General and administrative expenses, which consist primarily of salaries and related costs for general corporate functions, including executive, accounting, facilities and fees for legal and professional services, decreased 13.8% from \$2,133,244 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 to \$1,837,814 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, primarily as a result of a reduction in legal fees of approximately \$337,000 relating to decreased activity on the patent infringement litigation, lower non cash stock-based compensation expense from the granting of stock options totaling approximately \$138,000 and a decrease in employee costs and related expenses of approximately \$156,000. These amounts were offset by higher directors' fees, accounting and consulting fees of \$156,000, including Sarbanes-Oxley Section 404 compliance fees and the death benefit and other payroll costs of \$152,000 resulting from the untimely passing of Intelli-Check's Chairman and CEO, Frank Mandelbaum in June 2007. Also, included in the nine month period of 2006 was a bad debt recovery of approximately \$26,000. Research and development expenses, which consist primarily of salaries and related costs for the development of Intelli-Check's products, increased 12.0% to \$831,058 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 from \$742,146 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, primarily as a result of higher project management and employee related expenses of approximately \$47,000 and an increase in non cash stock-based compensation expense from the granting of stock options totaling approximately \$43,000. As Intelli-Check experiences sales growth, Intelli-Check expects that it will incur additional operating expenses to support this growth. Research and development expenses may also increase as Intelli-Check integrates additional products and technologies with Intelli-Check's patented ID-Check technology.

<u>INTEREST INCOME</u>. Interest income decreased from \$169,777 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 to \$135,646 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, which is a result of a decrease in cash and cash equivalents,

marketable securities and short term investments, partially offset by higher interest rates received on investments during 2007.

<u>INCOME TAXES.</u> Intelli-Check has incurred net losses to date; therefore, Intelli-Check has paid nominal income taxes.

<u>NET LOSS.</u> As a result of the factors noted above, Intelli-Check's net loss decreased from \$2,534,161 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 to \$2,288,443 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

Results of Operations for the Years ended December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005

REVENUE. Revenues increased by 32.7%, or \$778,322, from \$2,383,532 for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$3,161,854 for the year ended December 31, 2006. Revenues for the period ended December 31, 2006 consisted of revenues from distributors of \$1,058,426, revenues from direct sales to customers of \$2,074,925 and royalty payments of \$28,503. Sales bookings, which represents shipments of products and contracted services, and which include revenues that are deferred in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, increased by 36% from \$2.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$3.4 million for the year ended December 30, 2006. Revenues and sales bookings increases are due to Intelli-Check's ongoing success in penetrating certain key markets. Intelli-Check remains optimistic that sales opportunities should continue to increase as a result of recent successes in the retail market, continued sales to new customers, the positive results of certain of Intelli-Check's recent marketing and governmental tests and Intelli-Check's introduction of additional products in 2005 and 2006, as well as legislative efforts to improve identity management and security and to control sales of age-restricted products. However, period to period comparisons may not be indicative of future operating results, since Intelli-Check still faces long sales cycles, particularly in the government sector, and, therefore, Intelli-Check cannot predict with certainty at this time in which period the opportunities currently in the pipeline will develop into sales or if they will develop at all. As of December 31, 2006 Intelli-Check has a backlog, which represents non-cancelable sales orders for products and services not yet shipped or performed, as the case may be, of approximately \$1,052,000, an increase of 96.2% as compared to Intelli-Check's backlog of approximately \$536,000 at December 31, 2005.

GROSS PROFIT. Gross profits increased by \$485,596 or 29.6% from \$1,638,917 for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$2,124,513 for the year ended December 31, 2006. Intelli-Check's gross profit, as a percentage of revenues, decreased 1.6% to 67.2% in the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the 68.8% reported for the year ended December 31, 2005 due to a higher percentage of bundled sales which include hardware and software in 2006.

OPERATING EXPENSES. Operating expenses, which consist of selling, general and administrative and research and development expenses, increased 4% from \$5,023,724 for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$5,227,357 for the year ended December 31, 2006. Selling expenses, which consist primarily of salaries and related costs for marketing, increased 24.4% from \$1,257,810 for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$1,564,843 for the year ended December 31, 2006, primarily due to an increase in salaries, commissions, advertising and website costs of approximately \$153,000 and an increase in non-cash expenses from the granting of stock options totaling approximately \$148,000. General and administrative expenses, which consist primarily of salaries and related costs for general corporate functions, including executive, accounting, facilities and fees for legal and professional services, decreased 5.6% from \$2,824,384 for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$2,664,950 for the year ended December 31, 2006, primarily as a result of a decrease in expenses relating to investor relations fees of approximately \$71,000, a decrease in legal fees of approximately \$242,000, primarily relating to decreased activity on Intelli-Check's patent infringement litigation, a decrease in accounting fees of approximately \$35,000, a decrease of certain non-recurring costs relating to equity raising activities totaling approximately \$180,000, and a decrease in rent expense of approximately \$40,000 due to the reduction in rented space, which were partially offset by increases in employee costs and related expenses and travel of approximately \$75,000, an increase in non-cash expenses from the granting of stock options totaling approximately \$372,000 and an increase in board of directors fees and expenses of approximately \$30,000. Research and development expenses, which consist primarily of salaries and related costs for the development of Intelli-Check's products, increased 6% from \$941,530 for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$997,564 for the year ended December 31, 2006, primarily as a result of increases in employee salaries and related expenses of approximately

\$65,000 and an increase in non-cash expenses from the granting of stock options totaling approximately \$8,000, which were partially offset by a decrease in consulting expenses for product development of approximately \$26,000. Intelli-Check believes that it will require additional investments in development and operating infrastructure as the company continues to grow. Therefore, Intelli-Check expects that expenses will continue to incrementally increase in line with increases in the growth of the business, since Intelli-Check may increase expenditures for hiring of additional sales and support personnel, advertising, brand promotion and other marketing activities. Research and development expenses may also increase as Intelli-Check integrates additional products and technologies with its patented ID-Check technology.

<u>INTEREST INCOME</u>. Interest income increased from \$145,848 for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$222,874 for the year ended December 31, 2006, which is a result of an increase in Intelli-Check's cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities and short term investments available for investment from the completion of Intelli-Check's private placement in August 2005, as well as higher interest rates received on investments during 2006.

<u>INCOME TAXES</u>. Intelli-Check has incurred net losses to date; therefore, Intelli-Check has paid nominal income taxes.

<u>NET LOSS.</u> As a result of the factors noted above, Intelli-Check's net loss decreased 11% from \$3,238,959 for the year ended December 31, 2005, which included \$431,336 of non-cash expenses, to \$2,879,970 for the year ended December 31, 2006, which included \$939,555 of non-cash expenses.

Results of Operations for the Years ended December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004

REVENUE. Revenue increased \$1,264,183 or 113% from \$1,119,349 for the year ended December 31, 2004 to \$2,383,532 for the year ended December 31, 2005. Revenues for the period ended December 31, 2005 consisted of revenue from distributors of \$573,920, revenues from direct sales to customers of \$1,751,132 and royalty income of \$58,480. Sales bookings, which represent shipments of products and contracted services, which include revenues that are deferred in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, increased by \$1.4 million from the year ended December 31, 2004 to \$2.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. Revenues and sales bookings increases are due to Intelli-Check's continuing success in penetrating certain key target markets. Intelli-Check is optimistic that sales opportunities should continue to increase as a result of Intelli-Check's recent success in the retail market, the positive results of certain of Intelli-Check's recent marketing tests and agreements and Intelli-Check's introduction of additional products in 2004 and 2005, as well as legislative efforts to improve identity management and security and control sales of age restricted products. However, period to period comparisons may not be indicative of future operating results, since Intelli-Check still faces long sales cycles, particularly in the government sector, and therefore, Intelli-Check cannot predict with certainty at this time, in which period the opportunities currently in the pipeline will develop into sales. As of December 31, 2005 Intelli-Check has a backlog, which represent products and services of non cancelable sales orders not yet shipped, of approximately \$536,000.

GROSS PROFIT. Gross profits, excluding an inventory write down of \$357,332 for 2004, would have increased by \$913,152 or 126% from \$725,765 for the year ended December 31, 2004 to \$1,638,917 for the year ended December 31, 2005. Intelli-Check's gross profit excluding the inventory write downs for 2004 as a percentage of revenues would have increased to 68.8% in the year ended December 31, 2005 from 64.8% for the year ended December 31, 2004. Intelli-Check's gross profit percentage was positively impacted by an increase in revenues from licensing Intelli-Check's patented technology at higher gross margins than its bundled hardware and software products.

OPERATING EXPENSES. Operating expenses, which consist of selling, general and administrative and research and development expenses, decreased 32.0% from \$7,385,394 for the year ended December 31, 2004 to \$5,023,724 for the year ended December 31, 2005. Selling expenses, which consist primarily of salaries and related costs for marketing, increased 6.9% from \$1,176,911 for the year ended December 31, 2004 to \$1,257,810 for the year ended December 31, 2005 primarily due to an increase in salaries, commissions and employee costs of approximately \$39,000, increased travel and convention expenses of approximately \$69,000 and a net increase of non-recurring expenses of \$19,000 from the hiring of professional consultants to promote Intelli-Check's products, which was partially offset by a decrease in advertising and marketing expenses of approximately \$45,000. General and administrative expenses, which consist primarily of salaries and related costs for general corporate functions, including executive, accounting, facilities and fees for legal and professional services, decreased 43.9% from \$5,032,207 for the year ended December 31, 2004 to \$2,824,384 for the year ended December 31, 2005, primarily as a result of a decrease in non-cash expenses primarily related to the extension of stock options totaling \$1,480,000, a decrease in legal fees of approximately \$803,000 relating to decreased activity on Intelli-Check's patent infringement litigation, a decrease in

employee costs and related expenses of approximately \$14,000, a decrease in insurance costs of approximately \$20,000, a decrease of bad debt expense of approximately \$20,000 and a decrease in depreciation and amortization expense of approximately \$61,000 as a result of certain assets becoming fully depreciated which were partially offset by expensing certain non-recurring costs relating to equity raising activities totaling approximately \$180,000. Research and development expenses, which consist primarily of salaries and related costs for the development of Intelli-Check's products, decreased 20.0% from \$1,176,276 for the year ended December 31, 2004 to \$941,530 for the year ended December 31, 2005 primarily as a result of decreases in salaries and related expenses of approximately \$230,000 and decreases in internal development costs of approximately \$26,000, which were partially offset by an increase in consulting expenses for product development of approximately \$26,000. Intelli-Check believes that it will require additional investments in development and operating infrastructure as the company grows. Therefore, Intelli-Check expects that expenses will continue to incrementally increase in line with increases in the growth of the business as it may increase expenditures for advertising, brand promotion, public relations and other marketing activities. Research and development expenses may also increase as Intelli-Check completes and introduces additional products based upon its patented ID-Check technology.

<u>INTEREST INCOME</u>. Interest income increased from \$94,030 for the year ended December 31, 2004 to \$145,848 for the year ended December 31, 2005, which is a result of an increase in Intelli-Check's cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities and short term investments available for investment from the completion of Intelli-Check's private placement, as well as higher interest rates from investments, during 2005.

<u>INCOME TAXES</u>. Intelli-Check has incurred net losses to date and, therefore, Intelli-Check has paid nominal income taxes.

<u>NET LOSS.</u> As a result of the factors noted above, Intelli-Check's net loss decreased 53% from \$6,922,931 for the year ended December 31, 2004, which included \$2,231,544 of non-cash expenses to \$3,238,959 for the year ended December 31, 2005, which included \$431,336 of non-cash expenses.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of September 30, 2007, Intelli-Check had cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities and short term investments of \$2,297,119, working capital (defined as current assets minus current liabilities) of \$2,279,090, total assets of \$4,014,577 and stockholders' equity of \$2,337,989. The Company currently has no bank financing or long term debt. Cash used in operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$2,195,592, an increase of approximately \$428,109 over the cash used in operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The increase was primarily a result of cash used to fund the increase in accounts receivable and a reduction in non-cash adjustments for stock-based expenses, partially offset by a lower net loss. Cash provided by investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 of \$1,708,440 resulted primarily from net redemptions of marketable securities and short term investments, which were the principal means used to fund the operating cash deficit. Cash provided by financing activities was \$232,359 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and resulted from the proceeds from the issuance of Common Stock from the exercise of stock options and warrants.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2007, Intelli-Check used net cash of approximately \$2.0 million or approximately \$220,000 per month. During the third quarter of 2007, the level of accounts receivable increased by \$280,000 resulting from a delay in payment from some of Intelli-Check's larger customers. Intelli-Check anticipates full collection from these accounts in the fourth quarter of 2007. Also, as a result of the decrease in the market price of Intelli-Check's Common Stock in the third quarter, there were no stock option exercises in the third quarter compared to cash proceeds of \$232,000 in the first six months of 2007. Not taking into account Intelli-Check's proposed merger with Mobilisa (as described elsewhere in this Proxy Statement), Intelli-Check currently anticipates that its available cash on hand and marketable securities and cash resources from expected revenues from the sale of the units in inventory and the licensing of Intelli-Check's technology, as well as from the significant amount of deferred revenues and backlog, will be sufficient to meet Intelli-Check's anticipated working capital and capital expenditure requirements for at least the next twelve months. These requirements are expected to include the purchase of inventory, product development, sales and marketing, working capital requirements and other general corporate purposes. Intelli-Check may need to raise additional funds (regardless of whether the proposed merger with Mobilisa is consummated), however, to respond to business contingencies which may include the need to fund more rapid expansion, fund additional marketing expenditures, develop new markets for Intelli-Check's ID-Check® technology, enhance Intelli-Check's operating infrastructure, respond to competitive pressures, or acquire complementary businesses or necessary technologies. There can be no assurance that Intelli-Check will be able to secure the additional funds when needed or obtain such on terms satisfactory to the company, if at all.

Intelli-Check is currently involved in certain legal proceedings as discussed in the footnotes to the Financial Statements. Intelli-Check does not believe these legal proceedings will have a material adverse effect on Intelli-Check's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In March 2001, Intelli-Check declared a dividend distribution of one non-transferable right to purchase one share of its Common Stock for every 10 outstanding shares of Common Stock continuously held from the record date to the date of exercise, as well as Common Stock underlying vested stock options and warrants, held of record on March 30, 2001, at an exercise price of \$8.50. On May 10, 2007, the Board of Directors authorized extending these rights, which were due to expire on June 30, 2007 to June 30, 2008. Intelli-Check has the right to redeem the outstanding rights for \$.01 per right under certain conditions, which were not met as of August 10, 2007. Intelli-Check reserved 970,076 shares of Common Stock for future issuance under this rights offering. To date, Intelli-Check has received \$2,482,009 before expenses from the exercise of 292,001 of these rights, which has reduced the amount of shares available for future issuance. None of these rights were exercised in the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

In March 2001, Intelli-Check's Board of Directors authorized, subject to certain business and market conditions, the purchase of up to \$1,000,000 of Intelli-Check's Common Stock. As of September 30, 2007, Intelli-Check cumulatively purchased 40,200 shares for a total of approximately \$222,000 and subsequently retired these shares. None of these shares were purchased during 2007 or 2006. Intelli-Check may purchase additional shares when warranted by certain conditions.

Net Operating Loss Carry Forwards

As of September 30, 2007 Intelli-Check had net operating loss carryforwards ("NOL's") for federal income tax purposes of approximately \$35.3 million. There can be no assurance that Intelli-Check will realize the benefit of the NOL's. The federal NOL's are available to offset future taxable income and expire from 2018 to 2027 if not utilized. Under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, these NOL's may be limited in the event of an ownership change.

Contractual Obligations

Below is a table, which presents Intelli-Check's contractual obligations and commitments at September 30, 2007:

Payments Due by Period

| | Less than | | | | | After 5 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| | | Total | One Year | 1-3 years | 4-5 years | years |
| Operating Leases | \$ | 773,729 \$ | 214,982 \$ | 443,559 \$ | 115,188 \$ | - |
| Consulting Contracts | | 72,000 | 72,000 | - | - | - |
| Purchase Commitments | | 159,225 | 159,225 | - | - | - |
| Total Contractual Cash Obligation | \$ | 1 004 954 \$ | 446 207 \$ | 443 559 \$ | 115 188 \$ | _ |

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

Intelli-Check has never entered into any off-balance sheet financing arrangements and have never established any special purpose entities. Intelli-Check has not guaranteed any debt or commitments of other entities or entered into any options on non-financial assets.

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements are based on the respective historical consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes of Intelli-Check and Mobilisa. Both Intelli-Check and Mobilisa report its financial results on a calendar year basis ending December 31 of each year. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined balance sheet is based on historical balance sheets of Intelli-Check and Mobilisa and has been prepared to reflect the mergers as if they had been completed on September 30, 2007. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined statements of income assume that the mergers had been completed as of January 1, 2006. Intelli-Check's audited consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2006 has been combined with Mobilisa's audited consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 30, 2007. Intelli-Check's unaudited consolidated statement of income for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 has been combined with Mobilisa's unaudited consolidated statement of income for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. These unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements should be read in conjunction with the historical consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes of Intelli-Check and Mobilisa incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus as well as the summary selected historical consolidated financial data included elsewhere in this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Intelli-Check management believes that the unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements reflect a reasonable estimate of the Mobilisa acquisition based on currently available information as set forth in the notes to such statements and are not necessarily an indication of the results that would have been achieved had the mergers been completed as of the dates indicated or that may be achieved in the future. The acquisition will be accounted for under the purchase method of accounting and the allocation of purchase price will be based upon the estimated fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The purchase price allocations reflected in the unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements are preliminary and may be different from the final allocation of the purchase price. In addition, the unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements do not include the realization of any cost savings from operating efficiencies, synergies or other restructurings resulting from the acquisition. Therefore, the actual amounts recorded as of the completion of the mergers and thereafter may differ materially from the information presented herein.

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED BALANCE SHEET (In thousands)

Mobilisa Inc

Intelli-Check Inc

| | as of September 30, 2007 | | as of September 30, 2007 | | Pro Forma Adjustments | Pro Forma Combined | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|-------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| ASSETS | | | | | | | |
| Current Assets: | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash | | | | | | | |
| equivalents | \$ | 272 | \$ | 258 | \$ | \$ | 530 |
| Short-term investments | | 2,025 | | _ | | | 2,025 |
| Accounts receivable, | | | | | | | |
| net | | 906 | | 1,791 | | | 2,697 |
| Inventories | | 142 | | _ | | | 142 |
| Other current assets | | 522 | | 33 | | | 555 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total current assets | | 3,867 | | 2,082 | | | 5,949 |
| | | 87 | | 268 | | | $355^{(1)}$ |

| Property and | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------|------------|--------|------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|
| equipment, net | | | | | | | |
| Goodwill | | _ | | _ | | 37,653(2) | 37,653 |
| Intangible assets | | | | | - | 12,430(3) | 12,430 |
| Deferred income taxes | | _ | | 126 | | $(126)^{(5)}$ | _ |
| Other non-current | | | | | | | |
| assets | | 60 | | 17 | | | 77 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ | 4,014 | \$ | 2,493 | \$ | 49,957 | \$ 56,464 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | LIAB | ILITIES AN | D SHAI | REHOLDERS' | EQUIT | $\Gamma \mathbf{Y}$ | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | | | | | |
| Lines of credit | \$ | <u> </u> | \$ | 264 | \$ | _ | \$ 264 |
| Accounts payable | | 141 | | 11 | | _ | 152 |
| Accrued liabilities | | 324 | | 405 | | 200(4) | 929 |
| Deferred revenue | | 1,123 | | 4620 | | _ | 1,585 |
| Deferred taxes | | | | 281 | | $(281)^{(5)}$ | |
| Other current liabilities | | | | 10 | | | 10 |
| Total current liabilities | | 1,588 | | 1,433 | | (81) | 2,940 |
| Deferred revenue | | 88 | | 552 | | | 640 |
| Other long-term | | | | | | | |
| liabilities | | | | 24 | | | 24 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total liabilities | | 1,676 | | 2,009 | | (81) | 3,604 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total shareholders' | | | | | | | |
| equity | | 2,338 | | 484 | | 50,038(6) | 52,860 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total liabilities and | | | | | | | |
| shareholders' equity | \$ | 4,014 | \$ | 2,493 | \$ | 49,957 | \$ 56,464 |
| | | | | | | | |
| 79 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (In thousands, except per share data)

| | Intelli-Check Twelve Mor | | Mobilisa, Inc. Twelve Months | D 17 | D E |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Ended December 31, | 2006 Г | Ended December 31, 2006 | Pro Forma Adjustments | Pro Forma Combined |
| D | \$ | 3,161 \$ | 2.422 | - | \$ 6,584 |
| Revenue | Ф | | 3,423 | \$ (963) ^(a) | |
| Cost of goods sold | | (1,037) 2,124 | (521) 2,902 | (963)(4) | (2,521) 4,063 |
| Gross margin Operating expenses | | (5,227) | (2,765) | $(470)^{(a)}$ | (8,462) |
| Operating expenses | | (3,227) | (2,703) | (470)(4) | (8,402) |
| | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from | | | | | |
| operations | | (3,103) | 137 | (1,433) | (4,399) |
| Interest expense | | 0 | (37) | | (37) |
| Interest income | | 223 | _ | - — | 223 |
| | | | | | |
| Income (loss) before | | | | | |
| income taxes | | (2,880) | 100 | (1,433) | (4,213) |
| Income tax benefit | | | | (b) | |
| (expense) | | _ | (37) | 37 | _ |
| N | ф | (2 000) | (2) | ф (1.20 <i>C</i>) | Φ (4.212) |
| Net income | \$ | (2,880) \$ | 63 | \$ (1,396) | \$ (4,213) |
| Net income per share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ | (0.24) \$ | 0.01 | | \$ (0.17) |
| Busic | Ψ | (0.2 1) ψ | 0.01 | | ψ (0.17) |
| Diluted | \$ | (0.24) \$ | 0.01 | | \$ (0.17) |
| | | , , | | | , , |
| Shares used in per share | | | | | |
| calculation: | | | | | |
| Basic | | 12,146 | 11,243 | 1,023 ^(c) | 24,412 |
| | | | | | |
| Diluted | | 12,146 | 11,243 | 1,023 ^(c) | 24,412 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 80 | | | | | |

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (In thousands, except per share data)

| | Nine M | Check, Inc. onths Ended ber 30, 2007 | Mobilisa, Inc. Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 | Pro Forma Adjustments | Pro Forma Combined |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Revenue | \$ | 2,282 | \$ 4,063 | | \$ 6,345 |
| Cost of goods sold | | (876) | (719) | \$ (497) ^(a) | (2,092) |
| Gross margin | | 1,406 | 3,344 | (497) | 4,253 |
| Operating expenses | | (3,830) | (2,979) | (353) ^(a) | (7,162) |
| Income (loss) from | | | | | |
| operations | | (2,424) | 365 | (850) | (2,909) |
| Interest expense | | _ | - 	(13) | | (13) |
| Interest income | | 136 | - | _ | 136 |
| | | | | | |
| Income (loss) before | | | | | |
| income taxes | | (2,288) | 352 | (850) | (2,786) |
| Income tax benefit | | | | (b) | |
| (expense) | | _ | - (126) | 126 | _ |
| Net (loss) income | \$ | (2,288) | \$ 226 | \$ (724) | \$ (2,786) |
| Net income (loss) per share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ | (0.19) | \$ 0.02 | | \$ (0.11) |
| Diluted | \$ | (0.19) | \$ 0.02 | | \$ (0.11) |
| Shares used in per share calculation: | | | | | |
| Basic | | 12,257 | 11,251 | 1,024 ^(c) | 24,532 |
| Diluted | | 12,257 | 11,251 | 1,024 ^(c) | 24,532 |
| Diracca | | 14,437 | 11,231 | 1,024 | 27,552 |

NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1. BASIS OF PRO FORMA PRESENTATION

On November 20, 2007, Intelli-Check, Inc. (Intelli-Check) and Mobilisa, Inc. (Mobilisa) entered into a merger agreement under which it is contemplated that Mobilisa will merge into a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intelli-Check, which will then be merged into Intelli-Check in a transaction to be accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined balance sheet is presented to give effect to Intelli-Check's acquisition of Mobilisa as if the mergers had been completed on September 30, 2007. The statements of operations are presented as if the mergers had been completed as of the beginning of the applicable Intelli-Check periods presented. The purchase price allocation included within these unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements is based upon a preliminary estimated purchase price of approximately \$50.7 million, consisting of an exchange ratio of 1.091 shares of Intelli-Check common stock for each share of Mobilisa common stock, warrants, and transaction

costs.

The unaudited pro forma condensed combined balance sheet provides for the issuance of approximately 12.3 million Intelli-Check common shares, based upon a fixed exchange ratio of 1.091 Intelli-Check common shares for each outstanding share of Mobilisa common stock, using data as of January 15, 2008. The actual number of Intelli-Check common shares to be issued will be determined based on the actual number of shares of Mobilisa common stock outstanding upon the completion of the merger. Under the purchase method of accounting and the guidance of EITF 99-12 "Determination of the Measurement Date for the Market Price of Acquirer Securities Issued in a Purchase Business Combination", the fair value of the equity consideration was determined using an average of Intelli-Check's closing share prices beginning two days before and ending two days after November 21, 2007, the date on which the Merger Agreement was announced, or \$3.54 per share.

Outstanding options to purchase Mobilisa common stock to be issued will be assumed by Intelli-Check and converted into options to purchase Intelli-Check common stock, based on a formula in the merger agreement. No cash consideration will be paid for stock options. In accordance with FASB 123(R) "Share-based Payments", the fair value of issued and vested awards (employee stock options, performance share awards and restricted stock awards) assumed by Intelli-Check should be recognized as an element of the purchase price. For purpose of the preliminary valuation, the fair value of the assumed options was estimated using the Black Scholes model. The vested portion of this fair value is included in the purchase price.

Preliminary Estimated Purchase Price Allocation

The preliminary allocation of the purchase price to Mobilisa's tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed was based on their estimated fair values. The valuation of these tangible and identifiable intangible assets and liabilities is subject to further management review and may change materially between the preliminary valuation date and the completion of the mergers.

The calculation of purchase price and goodwill and other intangible assets is estimated as follows (dollars in thousands):

| Estimated fair value of Intelli-Check common stock issued to Mobilisa shareholders | \$ 43,477 |
|--|--------------|
| Estimated fair value of Intelli-Check common vested stock awards to be issued as consideration for | |
| replacement of outstanding Mobilisa vested stock awards | 7,045 |
| Estimated transaction costs | 200 |
| | |
| Assumed total purchase price | \$ 50,722 |
| | |
| Purchase price allocated to: | |
| Tangible assets acquired less liabilities assumed | \$ 484 |
| Identifiable intangible assets | 12,430 |
| Deferred tax adjustments | 155 |
| Goodwill | 37,653 |
| | |
| | \$ 50,722 |

Tangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed

Intelli-Check has estimated the fair value of tangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed. These estimates are based on a preliminary valuation dated as of September 30, 2007 and are subject to further review by management, which may result in material adjustments at the completion of the mergers. The fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed may be affected and materially changed by the results of Mobilisa's operations and changes in market values up to the completion of the mergers.

Identifiable intangible assets

Intelli-Check has estimated the fair value of the acquired identifiable intangible assets, which are subject to amortization, using the income approach. These estimates are based on a preliminary valuation and are subject to further review by management and adjustments (which may be material) at the completion of the mergers, which may reflect, among other considerations, the effect of Mobilisa's operations between the preliminary valuation and the closing date. The following table sets forth the components of these intangible assets and their estimated useful lives (dollars in thousands):

| | Fair | · Value | Estimated Useful Life |
|--|------|---------|--------------------------|
| Trade name | \$ | 1,110 | 20 years |
| Patents | \$ | 1,330 | 17 years |
| Developed technology | \$ | 4,640 | 7 years |
| Backlog | \$ | 300 | 1 year |
| Non-contractual customer relationships | \$ | 5,050 | 15 years |

NOTE 2. ELIMINATION OF MOBILISA'S HISTORICAL ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

Under the purchase method of accounting, any purchase price in excess of the recorded fair value of identified assets and liabilities will be ascribed to goodwill. In addition, the total stockholders' equity of Intelli-Check will be increased as described in Note 3 below.

NOTE 3. PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS

The following is a description of pro forma adjustments reflected in the unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements:

Balance Sheet

1. Property and equipment

Property and equipment is estimated at the current replacement value. In evaluating the fair value of the equipment, Intelli-Check noted that the carrying value of a significant portion of the acquired equipment approximates replacement cost. The majority of the remaining equipment was purchased during the past twelve months, which Intelli-Check believes approximates fair value.

2. Goodwill

The adjustment represents an adjustment of \$37.7 million to record goodwill associated with this transaction, which is defined as a preliminary estimate of the excess of the purchase price over the historical net assets of Mobilisa, as adjusted to reflect estimates of fair value (see "Note 1, Basis of Pro Forma Presentation").

3. Intangible Assets

The adjustment reflects the estimated fair value of Mobilisa's identifiable intangible assets with definite lives totaling \$12.4 million (see "*Note 1, Basis of Pro Forma Presentation*").

4. Accrued Liabilities

Adjustment to reflect estimated unpaid transaction costs.

5. Deferred Taxes

The adjustments reflect an decrease in deferred tax liabilities related to the anticipated utilization of Intelli-Check's net operating loss carry forwards, the removal of Mobilisa's deferred tax assets related to accrued expenses and deferred revenue, and the increase of Mobilisa's valuation reserve against deferred tax assets based on a preliminary estimate of realizability subsequent to completion of the mergers (see "Note 1, Basis of Pro Forma Presentation").

6. Shareholders' Equity

| To eliminate Mobilisa's historical Shareholders' Equity | \$ (484) |
|---|--------------|
| Assumed total purchase price | 50,722 |
| Less transaction costs | (200) |
| | |
| Net adjustments to Shareholders' Equity | \$ 50,038 |

Income Statement

a. Cost of Goods Sold and Operating Expenses

The adjustments reflect the amortization for the developed product technology, order backlog, customer relationships and non-competition agreements. The pro forma amortization expense resulting from the \$12.4 million of identifiable intangible assets was \$0.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and \$1.4 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006.

b. Income Taxes

To adjust tax provision to reflect the utilization of Intelli-Check's net operating loss carryforwards. The pro forma combined provision for income taxes reflects the amounts that would have resulted had Intelli-Check and Mobilisa filed consolidated income tax returns during the periods presented.

c. Shares Used in Per Share Calculation

The pro forma earnings per share reflect the weighted average number of Intelli-Check shares that would have been outstanding had the mergers been completed at the beginning of the Intelli-Check periods presented. Mobilisa's shares outstanding were converted at the exchange ratio of 1.091.

DIRECTORS AND MANAGEMENT

Directors, Management and Key Employees Following the Acquisition

Upon consummation of the merger, Intelli-Check and Mobilisa intend the Board of Directors, executive officers and key employees of Intelli-Check to be as follows:

| Name | Age | Position |
|------------------------|-----|---|
| Dr. Nelson Ludlow | 46 | Chief Executive Officer and Director |
| Russell T. Embry | 44 | Senior Vice President and Chief |
| | | Technology Officer |
| Peter J. Mundy | 51 | Vice President Finance, Chief Financial |
| | | Officer, Treasurer & Secretary |
| Jeffrey Levy | 66 | Chairman and Director |
| John W. Paxton | 71 | Vice Chairman and Director |
| L. Gen. Emil R. Bedard | 64 | Director |
| Bonnie Ludlow | 52 | Director |
| John E. Maxwell | 53 | Director |
| Arthur L. Money | 67 | Director |
| Guy L. Smith | 58 | Director |

Nelson Ludlow, PhD was a co-founder of Mobilisa, Inc. and has been its Chief Executive Officer and a director since its inception in March 2001. Dr. Ludlow has over 20 years experience in software development for the military and corporate sectors. From 1982 to 1988, while in the Air Force, Dr. Ludlow served as a mathematician, a pilot, an intelligence officer at the National Air Intelligence Center, Technical Director for Artificial Intelligence at USAF Rome Laboratory, Assistant Professor of Computer Science at the Naval Postgraduate School, and the Director of Technology and Services for Radar Evaluation Squadron. In the corporate sector, Dr. Ludlow served as the Director of C2 Modeling for SAIC, Chief Scientist for the ORINCON Corporation and Chief Technology Officer for Ameranth Wireless--all in San Diego. He holds a PhD in Artificial Intelligence from the University of Edinburgh, Scotland and completed Post-Doctoral work in Computer Science at the University in Math and Physical Sciences, as well as a Masters of Science degree in Computer Science from Wright State University in Dayton, Ohio.

Russell T. Embry was appointed Senior Vice President and Chief Technology Officer in July 2001 and has been Vice President, Information Technology, since July 1999. From January 1998 to July 1999, Mr. Embry was Lead Software Engineer with RTS Wireless. From April 1995 to January 1998, he served as Principal Engineer at GEC-Marconi Hazeltine Corporation. From August 1994 through April 1995, he was a staff software engineer at Periphonics Corporation. From September 1989 to August 1994, Mr. Embry served as Senior Software Engineer at MESC/Nav-Com. From July 1985 through September 1989, he was a software engineer at Grumman Aerospace. Mr. Embry holds a B.S. in Computer Science from Stony Brook and an M.S. in Computer Science from Polytechnic University, Farmingdale.

Peter J. Mundy joined Intelli-Check, Inc. on March 26, 2007 as its Vice President of Finance, Chief Financial Officer, Secretary and Treasurer. Prior to joining Intelli-Check, Mr. Mundy spent over 24 years at Sentry Technology Corporation, a publicly held company in the electronic security industry, and its predecessors. From February 2001 until December 2006, Mr. Mundy was Vice President of Finance, Chief Financial Officer, Secretary and Treasurer of Sentry Technology Corporation. From December 1994 through February 2001, Mr. Mundy was Vice President of Finance, Chief Financial Officer, Secretary and Treasurer of Knogo North America Inc. Prior thereto, Mr. Mundy served as an officer of Knogo Corporation where he was Vice President - Corporate Controller from May 1994 and, prior to such time, Corporate Controller and Controller since 1982. Mr. Mundy was a supervisor with the accounting

firm of Ernst & Whinney (predecessor to Ernst & Young). Mr. Mundy received his BBA in accounting from Adelphi University and is a certified public accountant.

Jeffrey Levy was named Interim Chairman and CEO of Intelli-Check in June 2007. He was appointed a member of Intelli-Check's Board of Directors in December 1999 and has served as Chairman of the Governance and Nominating, Compensation and Technology Oversight Committees. He has been, since January 1997, President and Chief Executive Officer of LeaseLinc, Inc., a third-party equipment leasing company and lease brokerage. Prior to 1997, Mr. Levy served as President and Chief Executive Officer of American Land Cycle, Inc. and Goose Creek Land Cycle, LLC, arboreal waste recycling companies and before that as Chief Operating Officer of ICC Technologies, Inc. and AWK Consulting Engineers, Inc. Mr. Levy has had a distinguished career as a fighter pilot in the United States Air Force from which he retired as a colonel in 1988. He also serves as President and CEO of Virginia College Parents, Inc. and is a board member or appointee in several other non-profit organizations and commissions including Mothers Against Drunk Driving, the International Institute on Alcohol Awareness, the Washington Regional Alcohol Program, Security on Campus, Inc., Virginia Attorney General's Task Force on Drinking by College Students and Virginia Crime Commission Task Force on Campus Security. Mr. Levy holds a BS degree in International Relations from the United States Air Force Academy, a graduate degree in Economics from the University of Stockholm and an MBA from Marymount University.

John W. Paxton was appointed a director and Chairman of the Board of Mobilisa in September 2005. Mr. Paxton brings 30 years of experience in the wireless networking field to Mobilisa's board. Mr. Paxton was the President of Zebra Technologies' Bar Code Business Unit in 2003. Prior to 2000, Mr. Paxton served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Telxon Corporation, President and Chief Executive Officer of Monarch Marking Systems, Executive Vice President of Paxar Corporation and President of Paxar's Printing Solutions Group. Mr. Paxton joined Litton Industries as a corporate Vice President in 1991, when the company acquired Intermec Corporation. Between 1986 and 1991, he led Intermec, joining as President and Chief Operating Officer, and becoming Chairman and Chief Executive Officer in 1988. In addition to Mr. Paxton's corporate experience, he brings venture capital experience as the Chairman of Odyssey Industrial Technologies, LLC, a joint venture partnership with Odyssey Investment Partners, as well as consulting experience as the head of Paxton Associates LLC, a business consulting firm. Mr. Paxton has a Bachelor of Science degree and a Master of Science degree in Business Management from LaSalle University, is a registered Professional Engineer and is a fellow of Seattle Pacific University. He has served on the board of the National Association of Manufacturers, and has been the Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Automatic Identification Manufacturers (AIM), a leading industry association.

Lieutenant General Emil R. "Buck" Bedard was appointed a director of Mobilisa in September 2004. He retired from the US Marine Corps with over 37 years of active duty service in 2003. General Bedard's military career included two combat tours in Vietnam, as well as commanding the 7th Marine Regiment in Somalia and the 1st Marine Expeditionary Force during Operation Desert Storm. General Bedard's final active duty tour was as the Deputy Commandant for Plans, Policies and Operations for the US Marine Corps Headquarters in Washington, D.C., where he served until his retirement in 2003. He has continued to serve with the Marine Corps in Afghanistan and Iraq since his retirement. General Bedard's many military awards include a Distinguished Service Medal, Legion of Merit, and Bronze Star (with Combat V). General Bedard graduated from the University of North Dakota in 1967 with a Masters in Science.

Bonnie Ludlow was a co-founder of Mobilisa, Inc. and has been its Sr. Vice President, Finance and a director since its inception in March 2001. As a Senior Vice President of Finance, Ms. Ludlow was responsible for all financial transactions, including contracting and purchasing agreements, invoicing, and payroll as well as managing human resources for recruiting, hiring, and benefits administration. Ms. Ludlow has fifteen years of experience working with the Federal Government, six of which were active duty in the United States Air Force (March 1980 to February 1986), and nine as a Department of Defense civilian (February 1986 to October 1995). While on active duty, she was assigned to the Defense Security Agency (DSA) as a Czech linguist (September 1981 to September 1983). As a civil servant, Ms. Ludlow worked as a geodetic surveyor and engineering assistant, in which she positioned navigational aids on military runways. Additional duties in this position included the generation of technical drawings, maps and reports.

John E. (Jay) Maxwell was appointed a member of Intelli-Check's Board of Directors in September 2005. Mr. Maxwell has been the President & CEO of Clerus Solutions LLC, a firm dedicated to assisting the states and federal government with implementing secure identification as called for in the 9/11 Commission Report, since January 2006. From May 2002 to August 2005, he was the Senior Vice President of Technology and the Chief Information Officer (CIO) of the American Association of Motor Vehicle Administrators (AAMVA). At AAMVA, he was responsible for all of the information systems developed, implemented and operated by the association. Mr. Maxwell also had the responsibility to direct AAMVA's development of Driver License and ID Card Specifications intended to fight driver license and ID fraud and abuse. Prior to that, from 1997 to May 2002, he was the President and Chief Operating Officer of AAMVAnet, Inc., a subsidiary of AAMVA. Before joining AAMVA in July 1989, Mr. Maxwell spent 11 years with the U.S. Department of Transportation, working for the Federal Highway Administration and the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration developing information systems to improve highway safety.

Arthur L. Money was appointed a member of Intelli-Check's Board of Directors in February 2003. The Honorable Arthur L. Money was sworn in as Assistant Secretary of Defense for Command, Control, Communications and Intelligence by the Senate in 1999 and served in that position until 2001 and was also the Chief Information Officer for the Department of Defense from 1998 until 2001. Prior to that he served as the Senior Civilian Official, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense, from 1998 to 1999 and was earlier confirmed by the Senate as Assistant Secretary of the Air Force for Research, Development and Acquisition and served as Chief Information Officer, from 1996 to 1998. Mr. Money currently serves as a member of the Board of Directors of Terremark Worldwide, Inc. (AMEX: TWW) an international company specializing in network and telecommunications services and Intevac, Inc. (NASDAQ: IVAC) a manufacturer of capital equipment for hard disk media manufacturing. He also serves on the advisory board of several corporations including the Boeing Company (NYSE: BA) and Northrop Grumman (NYSE: NOC) and has been recognized for his vision, leadership and commitment to excellence in systems and process re-engineering. Mr. Money holds a Master of Science Degree in Mechanical Engineering from the University of Santa Clara (Calif.) and a Bachelor of Science Degree in Mechanical Engineering from San Jose (Calif.) State University. He also currently serves on several U.S. Government Boards and Panels such as the FBI Science & Technology Advisory Board (Chairman), the NSA Advisory Board (Chairman), the Defense Science Board and the US Navy "DSAP" Special Advisory Panel. Prior to his government service, he had a distinguished business career having served as President of ESL Inc., a subsidiary of TRW, Inc., from 1990 to 1994 prior to its consolidation with TRW's Avionics and Surveillance Group where he served as Vice President and Deputy General Manager of the Group from 1995 to 1996.

Guy L. Smith was appointed a member of Intelli-Check's Board of Directors in June 2005. Mr. Smith has been the Executive Vice President of Diageo, the world's leading premium drinks company, since 2000 and is responsible for Corporate Relations and Marketing Public Relations. At Diageo, Mr. Smith's responsibilities include overseeing the corporation's civic and social responsibility efforts in North America, including the Diageo Marketing Code. The Code governs the company's social responsibility activities with regard to the marketing and sale of alcoholic beverages and the company's undertakings to reduce underage access and abuse of alcohol. From 1998 - 1999, prior to joining Diageo, Mr. Smith was Special Advisor to President Clinton on The White House staff, where he served on the impeachment defense team. Mr. Smith also served as an informal strategic communications advisor to President Clinton from the beginning of the Clinton Administration. From 1999 to 2000, Mr. Smith was associated with The Hawthorn Group, a Washington-based public affairs firm, as well as with his own firm, Smith Worldwide Inc., from 1994 to 1996, which focused on reputation and crisis management. He was Chief Operating Officer of Hill & Knowlton International Public Relations, from 1992 to 1993, where he consulted with the firm's largest consumer product, technology, and legal clients. Prior to that Mr. Smith was Vice President-Corporate Affairs, the senior public affairs and public relations officer, for Philip Morris Companies Inc. from 1975 to 1992. During his 17 years with Philip Morris, Mr. Smith led the Corporate Affairs departments of the Miller Brewing Company and The Seven-Up Company, both then Philip Morris operating companies. Mr. Smith began his career as a reporter and assistant city editor for The Knoxville Journal. He is currently chairman of the Barrier Island Trust, an environmental protection organization and sits on the Board of Advisors of Mount Vernon, George Washington's home outside Washington,

D.C. Mr. Smith also serves as an Honorary Battalion Chief of the Fire Department of New York.

Mobilisa's management team will remain substantially the same, and Nelson Ludlow, its current Chief Executive Officer, will remain its Chief Executive Officer.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

The Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted rules relating to the filing of ownership reports under Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. One such rule requires disclosure of filings, which under the Commission's rules, are not deemed to be timely. Based on a review of the filings received, Intelli-Check is not aware of any non-timely filings for fiscal year 2007.

Meetings and Committees of the Board of Directors of Intelli-Check

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, the Board of Directors held seven meetings, the Audit Committee held five meetings, the Compensation Committee held four meetings and the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee held in excess of 15 meetings, particularly related to the proposed merger. All of the directors attended at least 75% of the aggregate of all Board meetings and meetings of committees on which they served. The Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. Blackwell, Levy, Maxwell, Money and Smith, are each independent directors as defined in Section 121(A) of the American Stock Exchange's listing standards. The Company does not have a written policy relating to attendance by members of the board of directors at annual shareholder meetings. However, it is communicated and understood by all directors that they are required to attend barring any unforeseen circumstance. All directors attended last year's annual shareholder meeting.

Compensation Committee

The Board of Directors has established a Compensation Committee which is currently comprised of Mr. Smith, chairperson, Mr. Money and Mr. Maxwell, each of whom is independent as defined in Section 121(A) of the American Stock Exchange's listing standards. The Compensation Committee has adopted a written charter, a copy of which was attached to Intelli-Check's proxy statement for its 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The charter sets forth responsibilities, authority and specific duties of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee reviews and recommends to the Board of Directors the compensation for all officers and directors of Intelli-Check and reviews general policy matters relating to the compensation and benefits of all employees. The Compensation Committee has been designated by the Board of Directors to administer Intelli-Check's stock option and equity incentive plans.

Compensation Committee Report

The following shall not be deemed to be "soliciting material" or to be "filed" with the Commission nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filing of Intelli-Check under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended or the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934.

Intelli-Check's Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management and, based on such review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Proxy Statement for Intelli-Check's 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Compensation Committee:

Guy L. Smith (Chair) John E. Maxwell Arthur L. Money

The members of Intelli-Check's compensation committee are named above. No member of the Compensation Committee has a relationship that would constitute an interlocking relationship with Executive Officers or Directors of Intelli-Check or another entity.

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee

The Board of Directors has established a Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, which is comprised of Mr. Maxwell, chairperson, Mr. Money and Mr. Smith, each of whom is independent as defined in Section 121(A) of the American Stock Exchange's listing standards. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee has adopted a written charter, a copy of which was attached to the Company's proxy statement for its 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The charter sets forth responsibilities, authority and specific duties of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee reviews Intelli-Check's internal policies and procedures and by-laws. With respect to nominating director candidates, this Committee identifies and evaluates potential director candidates and recommends candidates for appointment or election to the Board.

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee may consider those factors it deems appropriate in evaluating director nominees, including judgment, skill, diversity, strength of character, experience with businesses and organizations comparable in size or scope to Intelli-Check, experience and skill relative to other board members, and specialized knowledge or experience. Depending upon the current needs of the Board of Directors, certain factors may be considered more than others by the Committee in making its recommendation. In considering candidates for Intelli-Check's Board of Directors, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee will evaluate the entirety of each candidate's credentials and, other than the eligibility requirements established by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, will not have any specific minimum qualifications that must be met by a nominee. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee will consider candidates for the Board from any reasonable source, including current board members, shareholders, professional search firms or other persons. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee will not evaluate candidates differently based on who has made the recommendation.

Although Intelli-Check does not currently have a formal policy or procedure for stockholder recommendations of director candidates, the Board of Directors welcomes such recommendations and will consider candidates recommended by stockholders. Because Intelli-Check does not prohibit or restrict such recommendations, Intelli-Check has not implemented a formal policy with respect to stockholder recommendations. However, the Board may consider implementing such a policy in the future.

Audit Committee

The Board of Directors has a separately designated Audit Committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which is currently comprised of Mr. Blackwell, chairperson, Mr. Maxwell and Mr. Smith. Mr. Blackwell was appointed as a director and chairperson of Audit Committee in September 2007 after the resignation of Mr. Ashok Rao in August 2007. The members of the Audit Committee are independent as defined in Section 121(A) of the American Stock Exchange's listing standards. The Audit Committee recommends to the Board of Directors the annual engagement of a firm of independent accountants and reviews with the independent accountants the scope and results of audits, the Company's internal accounting controls and audit practices and professional services rendered to Intelli-Check by the independent accountants. The Audit Committee has adopted a written charter, a copy of which was attached to the company's proxy statement for its 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The charter sets forth the responsibilities, authority and specific duties of the Audit Committee.

The Board of Directors has determined that it has at least one audit committee financial expert serving on the audit committee. Robert J. Blackwell is a managing member of Levine, Jacobs & Company. Prior to the formation of Levine, Jacobs & Company, L.L.C., he was a partner in the firm of Levine, Hoffman, Blackwell and Karu. He is a licensed Certified Public Accountant in both New Jersey and New York and is a member of the AICPA and the NJSCPA. Mr. Blackwell is also a Personal Financial Specialist (PFS). Mr. Blackwell is an "audit committee financial expert" and is an independent member of the Board of Directors.

Audit Committee Report

The following shall not be deemed to be "soliciting material" or to be "filed" with the Commission nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filing of Intelli-Check under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934.

With respect to the audit of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, and as required by its written charter which sets forth its responsibilities and duties, the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the Company's audited financial statements with management.

In the course of its review, Intelli-Check has discussed with Amper, Politziner & Mattia, P.C., Intelli-Check, Inc.'s Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, those matters required to be discussed by Statement on Accounting Standards No. 61, as amended, "Communication with Audit Committees," by the Auditing Standards Board of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

Intelli-Check has received and discussed with Amper, Politziner & Mattia, P.C. the written disclosures required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, as amended, "Independence Discussions with Audit Committees." These disclosures relate to the firm's independence from Intelli-Check, Inc.

Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the financial statements referred to above be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Audit Committee:

Ashok Rao (Former Chair)
John E. Maxwell
Guy L. Smith

Acquisition Committee

The Board of Directors has established an Acquisition Committee comprised of Mr. Levy and Mr. Winiarz. The Acquisition Committee recommends to the Board of Directors opportunities within the Company's area of strategic development for merger and/or acquisition which may enhance stockholder value.

Process for Sending Communications to the Board of Directors

Stockholders who wish to communicate with the Board of Directors are welcomed to put their comments in writing addressed to the Company's Investor Relations Representative, Peter J. Mundy. Such communications may be sent to the Company's corporate headquarters located at 246 Crossways Park West, Woodbury, NY 11797. Upon receipt, Mr. Mundy will distribute the correspondence to the Directors. All communications received will be provided to the Directors specified in the communication.

Intelli-Check's Principal Accountant

For the fiscal years ended December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2007, Intelli-Check's principal independent auditor was Amper, Politziner & Mattia, P.C., the services of which were provided in the following categories and amount:

Audit Fees

The aggregate fees billed by Amper, Politziner and Mattia, P.C. for professional services rendered for the audit of Intelli-Check's annual financial statements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007 and for the reviews of the financial statements included in the company's Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for such fiscal years amounted to \$104,500 and \$104,500, respectively.

Audit Related Fees

Other than the fees described under the caption "Audit Fees" above, Amper, Politziner and Mattia, P.C. did not bill any fees for services rendered to Intelli-Check during fiscal year 2006 or 2007 for assurance and related services in connection with the audit or review of the company's financial statements.

Tax Fees

Amper, Politziner and Mattia, P.C. billed Intelli-Check for tax related services for the fiscal year 2006 totaling \$4,000, and for the fiscal year 2007 totaling \$5,000.

All Other Fees

The aggregate fees billed by Amper, Politziner and Mattia, P.C. for professional services rendered in connection with the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 to register certain shares under the Company's 2003 and 2006 Stock Option Plan amounted to \$3,700 in 2007.

No other fees were billed by Amper, Politziner & Mattia, P.C. for 2006.

Pre-approval of Services

The Audit Committee pre-approves all services, including both audit and non-audit services, provided by Intelli-Check's independent registered public accounting firm. For audit services, each year the independent auditor provides the Audit Committee with an engagement letter outlining the scope of proposed audit services to be performed during the year, which must be formally accepted by the Committee before the audit commences. The independent auditor also submits an audit services fee proposal, which also must be approved by the Committee before the audit commences.

Code of Ethics

On March 22, 2004, Intelli-Check adopted a code of ethics that applies to its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, and other persons who perform similar functions. A copy of Intelli-Check's Code of Ethics is filed as an exhibit to the Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated March 31, 2003, and is available on the Company's website. Intelli-Check's Code of Ethics is intended to be a codification of the business and ethical principles which guide the Company, and to deter wrongdoing, to promote honest and ethical conduct, to avoid conflicts of interest, and to foster full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosures, compliance with applicable governmental laws, rules and regulations, the prompt internal reporting of violations and accountability for adherence to this Code.

Executive Compensation

Mobilisa's Executive Officers. The following sets forth summary information concerning the compensation paid by Mobilisa to its Chief Executive Officer and its other four most highly compensated officers during the last three fiscal years.

MOBILISA HISTORICAL COMPENSATION INFORMATION

As a private company, Mobilisa is currently not required to have a compensation committee, and executive compensation has historically been set at the discretion of its principal shareholders and the board of directors. In setting the Chief Executive Officer's compensation, Mobilisa's principal shareholders and board of directors considered, among other factors, the following overarching principles:

Compensation must be consistent with Mobilisa's overall financial performance;
Compensation should be consistent with that paid to the other highest-paid employee; and
Compensation must be approved by the Defense Contractor Audit Agency ("DCAA") which bases its analysis of salary on education, years of applicable experience, training, and current responsibility level of the executive.

In setting the compensation for Mobilisa's other executive officers, Mobilisa's principal shareholders and its Chief Executive Officer considered, among other factors, salary offers used to recruit the executive from his or her previous employer and various salary guides for executive compensation. Like the Chief Executive Officer's compensation, other executive compensation must be approved by the DCAA. All executive compensation is subject to annual review and was approved by the board of directors pursuant to its review of Mobilisa's financial statements.

Dr. Ludlow's post-acquisition compensation has not yet been determined, although, pursuant to he merger agreement, Dr. Ludlow will be entitled to enter into an employment agreement with a term of at least two years.

INTELLI-CHECK EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Overview

This compensation discussion describes the material elements of compensation awarded to, earned by, or paid to each of Intelli-Check's executive officers who served as named executive officers during the last completed fiscal year. This compensation discussion focuses on the information contained in the following tables and related footnotes and narrative for primarily the last completed fiscal year, but also describes compensation actions taken before or after the last completed fiscal year to the extent it enhances the understanding of Intelli-Check's executive compensation disclosure.

The Compensation Committee currently oversees the design and administration of Intelli-Check's executive compensation program and compensation for the Board of Directors.

The principal elements of Intelli-Check's executive compensation program are base salary, annual cash incentives, long-term equity incentives in the form of stock options and other benefits. Intelli-Check's other benefits consist of reimbursed business travel and entertainment expenses, a vehicle allowance, health insurance benefits, vacation and sick pay and a qualified 401(k) savings plan. Intelli-Check's philosophy is to position the aggregate of these elements at a level that is commensurate with Intelli-Check's size and performance.

Compensation Program Objectives and Philosophy

In General. The objectives of Intelli-Check's compensation programs are to:

- attract, motivate and retain talented and dedicated executive officers,
- ·provide Intelli-Check's executive officers with both cash and equity incentives to further Intelli-Check's interests and those of Intelli-Check's stockholders, and
- •provide employees with long-term incentives so Intelli-Check can retain them and provide stability during Intelli-Check's growth stage.

Generally, the compensation of Intelli-Check's executive officers is composed of a base salary, an annual incentive compensation award and equity awards in the form of stock options. In setting base salaries, the Compensation Committee generally reviewed the individual contributions of the particular executive. The annual incentive compensation awards for 2006 and 2007 are and will be discretionary awards determined by the Compensation Committee based on expected Company performance. No annual incentive compensation has been paid to executive officers in the last three years. In addition, stock options are granted to provide the opportunity for long-term compensation based upon the performance of Intelli-Check's common stock over time.

Intelli-Check generally intends to qualify executive compensation for deductibility without limitation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. Section 162(m) provides that, for purposes of the regular income tax and the alternative minimum tax, the otherwise allowable deduction for compensation paid or accrued with respect to a covered employee of a publicly-held corporation (other than certain exempt performance-based compensation) is limited to no more than \$1.0 million per year. The non-exempt compensation paid to any of our executive officers for fiscal 2007 as calculated for purposes of Section 162(m) did not exceed the \$1.0 million limit.

Competitive Market. Intelli-Check defines its competitive market for executive talent and investment capital to be the technology and business services industries. To date, Intelli-Check has not engaged in the benchmarking of executive compensation but Intelli-Check may choose to do so in the future.

Compensation Process. For each of Intelli-Check's named executive officers, the Compensation Committee reviews and approves all elements of compensation, taking into consideration recommendations from Intelli-Check's CEO (for compensation other than his own), as well as competitive market guidance. Based upon its review, the Compensation Committee approves salaries for executive officers. The Compensation Committee sets the salary level of each executive officer on a case by case basis, taking into account the individual's level of responsibilities and performance. All executive officer salaries are reviewed on an annual basis. Salary changes for executives are based primarily on their performance in supporting the strategic initiatives of the Chief Executive Officer, economic and competitive factors, meeting individual goals and objectives set by the Chief Executive Officer, and improving the operating efficiency of the company. Also, where applicable, changes in the duties and responsibilities of each other executive officer may be considered in deciding on changes in annual salary. For 2007, the aggregate of the compensation paid to Intelli-Check's Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers was 61% cash and 39% non-cash option awards.

Executive Officer Bonuses. The Compensation Committee has made a significant portion of executive officer compensation contingent upon Intelli-Check's performance and each individual's contribution to Intelli-Check's success. For 2007, the Board of Directors approved a bonus plan for executives and employees which consisted of a bonus pool of up to \$200,000 if the Company's results of operations exceeded the approved 2007 budget by that amount. Intelli-Check has not yet computed if it met this threshold during 2007.

Stock Option Grants. The Compensation Committee currently administers Intelli-Check's stock option and equity incentive plans for executive officers, employees, consultants and outside directors. Under the plans, the Compensation Committee grants options to purchase Common Stock with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the Common Stock on the date of grant. The Compensation Committee believes that providing stock options to the executive officers, who are responsible for Intelli-Check's management and growth, gives them an opportunity to own Intelli-Check stock and better aligns their interests with the interests of the stockholders. It also promotes retention of the officers because of the vesting provisions of the option grants and the potential for stock price appreciation.

For these reasons, the Compensation Committee considers stock options as an important element of compensation when it reviews executive officer compensation. At its discretion, the Compensation Committee also grants options based on individual and corporate achievements.

Normally, the Chief Executive Officer makes a recommendation to the Committee for awards to be made to executive officers other than the Chief Executive Officer. The Committee approves grants made to the Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers and, in certain cases, recommends grants for approval by the entire Board. The Compensation Committee determines the number of shares underlying each stock option grant based upon the executive officer's and Intelli-Check's performance, the executive officer's role and responsibilities at Intelli-Check and the executive officer's base salary. Effective November 7, 2006, the Board enacted a new policy regarding all future stock option grants. Such policy requires that all future stock option issuances will be granted on the third Thursday of each month after they have been approved and that each such issuance will have a strike price per share equal to the closing price of the Corporation's common stock on such day.

Chief Executive Officer Compensation. In deciding on changes in the base salary of the Chief Executive Officer, which will occur annually, the Compensation Committee considers several performance factors. Among these are operating and administrative efficiency and the maintenance of an appropriately experienced management team. The Compensation Committee also evaluates the Chief Executive Officer's performance in the area of finding and

evaluating new business opportunities to establish the most productive strategic direction for Intelli-Check.

INTELLI-CHECK SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table sets forth compensation paid to executive officers whose compensation was in excess of \$100,000 for any of the three fiscal years ended December 31, 2007. No other executive officers received total salary and bonus compensation in excess of \$100,000 during any of such fiscal years.

| Name and Principal Position | Year | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$) | Option Awards (\$) (1) | All Other Compensation (\$) (2) (3) | Total (\$) |
|--|--------------|----------------|---------------|------------------------------|---|------------|
| Jeffrey Levy (4) Interim Chairman & Chief | 2007 | 99,167 | 50,000 | 80,140 | - | 229,405 |
| Executive Officer | 2006 2005 | - - | - | - | - - | - |
| D 11 T D 1 | 2005 | 150 (50 | | 22.506 | 2040 | 206 200 |
| Russell T. Embry | 2007 | 170,652 | - | 33,706 | 2,040 | 206,398 |
| Senior Vice President | 2006 | 166,480 | - | 10.000 | 2,040 | 168,520 |
| & Chief Technology Officer | 2005 | 162,766 | - | 10,089 | 2,040 | 174,895 |
| Lou Gryga (5) Senior Vice President of | 2007 | 50,739 | - | 80,446 | 4,175 | 135,360 |
| Marketing, | 2006 | _ | _ | - | _ | _ |
| Sales and Operations | 2005 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| • | | | | | | |
| Peter J. Mundy (6) | 2007 | 105,961 | - | 98,317 | - | 204,278 |
| Vice President Finance | 2006 | - | - | - | - | - |
| & Chief Financial Officer | 2005 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | | |
| Frank Mandelbaum (7) Former Chairman & Chief | 2007 | 124,569 | - | - | 7,500 | 132,069 |
| Executive Officer | 2006 | 254,763 | - | 104,571 | 18,000 | 377,334 |
| | 2005 | 250,000 | - | 64,902 | 18,000 | 332,902 |
| | | | | | | |
| Todd Liebman (8) Former Senior Vice | 2007 | 124,678 | - | - | 5,450 | 130,128 |
| President Marketing | 2006 | 171,536 | - | 307,391 | 9,000 | 487,927 |
| & Chief Operating Officer | 2005 | 135,128 | - | - | 9,000 | 144,128 |
| | | | | | | |
| Edwin Winiarz (9) | 2007 | 33,157 | - | - | - | 33,157 |
| Former Senior Executive | | | | | | |
| Vice President | 2006 | 172,087 | - | 104,571 | 15,000 | 291,658 |
| & Chief Financial Officer | 2005 | 161,343 | - | 116,740 | 15,000 | 293,083 |

⁽¹⁾ The amounts reported in the "Option Awards" column reflect the dollar amount of expense recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, in accordance with SFAS 123R. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in Note 3 to the Company's unaudited financial statements for the quarter ended September 30, 2007 and in Note 8 to the audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 13, 2007 and March 26, 2007, respectively.

- (2) Amount represents car allowances.
- (3) No other compensation, including perquisites, in excess of \$10,000 was paid to any of Intelli-Check's named executive officers.
- (4) Mr. Levy was named Interim CEO as of June 8, 2007. Amount listed under salary is the consulting fee paid and options granted to Mr. Levy for his services as Interim Chairman & CEO. The payment of Mr. Levy's bonus of \$50,000 was deferred until the merger with Mobilisa is completed.

- (5) Mr. Gryga started with Intelli-Check as of August 16, 2007 and resigned as of January 4, 2008.
- (6) Mr. Mundy started with Intelli-Check as of March 26, 2007.
- (7) Mr. Mandelbaum passed away on June 7, 2007. Amount excludes the death benefit of \$132,000 paid to his surviving spouse in 2007.
- (8) Mr. Liebman resigned on August 8, 2007.
- (9) Mr. Winiarz resigned on January 21, 2007. Salary includes accrued vacation of \$16,827.

Severance Arrangements

Peter Mundy, Intelli-Check's Chief Financial Officer, has a severance arrangement with Intelli-Check, which provides that if Intelli-Check acquires a company and retains and appoints an employee from the acquired company in the role of Chief Financial Officer and Mr. Mundy chooses not to accept a lesser role in the combined company, he would be entitled to a severance payment of \$72,500 (equal to six months salary).

Stock Option and Equity Incentive Plans

The principal purpose of the Stock Option and Equity Incentive Plans is to attract, motivate, reward and retain selected employees, consultants and directors through the granting of stock-based compensation awards. The Plans provide for a variety of awards, including non-qualified stock options, incentive stock options (within the meaning of Section 422 of the Code), stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, performance-based awards and other stock-based awards. Effective November 7, 2006, the Board enacted a new policy regarding all future stock option grants. Such policy requires that all future stock option issuances are set to be granted on the third Thursday of each month and that each such issuance will have a strike price per share equal to the closing price of the company's Common Stock on such day.

Intelli-Check adopted a Stock Option Plan (the "1998 Stock Option Plan") covering up to 400,000 of the Company's Common Stock, pursuant to which officers, directors, key employees and consultants to the Company are eligible to receive incentive stock options and nonqualified stock options. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors currently administers the 1998 Stock Option Plan and determines the terms and conditions of options granted, including the exercise price. The 1998 Stock Option Plan provides that all stock options will expire within ten years of the date of grant. Incentive stock options granted under the 1998 Stock Option Plan must be granted at an exercise price that is not less than the fair market value per share at the date of grant and the exercise price must not be less than 110% of the fair market value per share at the date of grants to persons owning more than 10% of the voting stock of Intelli-Check. The 1998 Stock Option Plan also entitles non-employee directors to receive grants of non-qualified stock options as approved by the Board of Directors.

In August 1999, Intelli-Check adopted the 1999 Stock Option Plan covering up to 1,000,000 of the Company's Common Stock, pursuant to which officers, directors, key employees and consultants to Intelli-Check are eligible to receive incentive stock options and nonqualified stock options. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors currently administers the 1999 Stock Option Plan and determines the terms and conditions of options granted, including the exercise price. The 1999 Stock Option Plan provides that all stock options will expire within ten years of the date of grant. Incentive stock options granted under the 1999 Stock Option Plan must be granted at an exercise price that is not less than the fair market value per share at the date of grant and the exercise price must not be less than 110% of the fair market value per share at the date of grants to persons owning more than 10% of the voting stock of the company. The 1999 Stock Option Plan also entitles non-employee directors to receive grants of non-qualified stock options as approved by the Board of Directors.

At the Company's Annual Meeting held on July 11, 2001, the stockholders approved the 2001 Stock Option Plan covering up to 500,000 of the Company's Common Stock, pursuant to which the officers, directors, key employees and consultants to Intelli-Check are eligible to receive incentive stock options and nonqualified stock options. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors currently administers the 2001 Stock Option Plan and determines the terms and conditions of options granted, including the exercise price. The 2001 Stock Option Plan provides that all stock options will expire within ten years of the date of grant. Incentive stock options granted under the 2001 Stock Option Plan must be granted at an exercise price that is not less than the fair market value per share at the date of the grant and the exercise price must not be less than 110% of the fair market value per share at the date of the grants to persons owning more than 10% of the voting stock of the company. The 2001 Stock Option Plan also entitles non-employee directors to receive grants on non-qualified stock options as approved by the Board of Directors.

At the Company's Annual Meeting held on July 10, 2003, the stockholders approved the 2003 Stock Option Plan covering up to 500,000 of the Company's Common Stock, pursuant to which the officers, directors, key employees and consultants to Intelli-Check are eligible to receive incentive stock options and nonqualified stock options. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors currently administers the 2003 Stock Option Plan and determines the terms and conditions of options granted, including the exercise price. The 2003 Stock Option Plan provides that all stock options will expire within ten years of the date of grant. Incentive stock options granted under the 2003 Stock Option Plan must be granted at an exercise price that is not less than the fair market value per share at the date of the grant and the exercise price must not be less than 110% of the fair market value per share at the date of the grants to persons owning more than 10% of the voting stock of the company. The 2003 Stock Option Plan also entitles non-employee directors to receive grants on non-qualified stock options as approved by the Board of Directors.

At the company's Annual Meeting held on June 16, 2006, the stockholders approved the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, which amends and restates the Company's 2004 Stock Option Plan (the "2006 Plan") covering up to 850,000 of the Company's Common Stock, pursuant to which the officers, directors, key employees and consultants to the company are eligible to receive incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options and restricted stock awards. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors currently administers the 2006 Plan and determines the terms and conditions of options or restricted stock awards granted, including the option exercise price. The 2006 Plan provides that all stock options or restricted stock awards will expire within ten years of the date of grant. Incentive stock options granted under the 2006 Plan must be granted at an exercise price that is not less than the fair market value per share at the date of the grant and the exercise price must not be less than 110% of the fair market value per share at the date of the grant for grants to persons owning more than 10% of the voting stock of the company. The 2006 Plan also entitles non-employee directors to receive grants on non-qualified stock options as approved by the Board of Directors.

Administration. The Stock Option and Equity Incentive Plans are currently administered by the Compensation Committee as designated by the Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee has the power to interpret the Stock Option and Equity Incentive Plans and to adopt rules for the administration, interpretation and application according to terms of the plans.

Grant of Awards; Shares Available for Awards. Certain employees, consultants and directors are eligible to be granted awards under the Plans. The Compensation Committee will determine who will receive awards under the Plans, as well as the form of the awards, the number of shares underlying the awards, and the terms and conditions of the awards consistent with the terms of the Plans.

A total of 1,149,679 shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock are available for issuance or delivery under the existing Stock Option and Equity Incentive Plans. The number of shares of the Company's Common Stock issued or reserved pursuant to the Plans will be adjusted at the discretion of the Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee as a result of stock splits, stock dividends and similar changes in the Company's Common Stock.

Stock Options. The Stock Option and Equity Incentive Plans permit the Compensation Committee to grant participants incentive stock options, which qualify for special tax treatment in the United States, as well as non-qualified stock options. The Compensation Committee will establish the duration of each option at the time it is granted, with maximum ten-year duration for incentive stock options, and may also establish vesting and performance requirements that must be met prior to the exercise of options. Stock option grants (other than incentive stock option grants) also may have exercise prices that are less than, equal to or greater than the fair market value of the Company's Common Stock on the date of grant. Incentive stock options must have an exercise price that is at least equal to the fair market value of the Company's Common Stock on the date of grant. Stock option grants may include provisions that permit the option holder to exercise all or part of the holder's vested options, or to satisfy withholding tax liabilities, by tendering shares of the Company's Common Stock already owned by the option holder for at least six months (or another period consistent with the applicable accounting rules) with a fair market value equal to the exercise price.

Other Equity-Based Awards. In addition to stock options, the Compensation Committee may also grant certain employees, consultants and directors shares of restricted stock, with terms and conditions as the Compensation Committee may, pursuant to the terms of the 2006 Plan, establish. The 2006 Plan does not allow awards to be made under terms and conditions which would cause such awards to be treated as deferred compensation subject to the rules of Section 409A of the Code.

Change-in-Control Provisions. In connection with the grant of an award, the Compensation Committee may provide that, in the event of a change in control, any outstanding awards that are unexercisable or otherwise unvested will become fully vested and immediately exercisable.

Amendment and Termination. The Compensation Committee may adopt, amend and rescind rules relating to the administration of the Plans, and amend, suspend or terminate the Plans, but no amendment will be made that adversely affects in a material manner any rights of the holder of any award without the holder's consent, other than amendments that are necessary to permit the granting of awards in compliance with applicable laws. Intelli-Check attempted to structure the Plans so that remuneration attributable to stock options and other awards will not be subject to a deduction limitation contained in Section 162(m) of the Code.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS TABLE

The following table summarizes options granted during the year ended December 31, 2007 to the named executive officers:

| | | | | Exercise or | | |
|------------------|-------------------|----------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| | | | Number of Securities | Base Price of | | |
| | | Approval | Underlying Options | Option Awards | Fair Value at Grant Date | Expiration |
| Name | Grant Date | Date | Granted | (\$/Sh) | (\$) (1) | Date |
| Jeffrey Levy | 6/21/07 | 6/19/07 | 25,000 | \$ 6.30 | 80,140(2) | 6/21/12 |
| Russell T. Embry | 5/17/07 | 5/10/07 | 10,000 | \$ 6.65 | 33,706(3) | 11/17/07 |
| Lou Gryga | 8/16/07 | 8/8/07 | 50,000 | \$ 3.05 | 80,446(4) | 8/16/12 |
| | | | | | | |

- (1) The amounts reported in the "Option Awards" column reflect the dollar amount of expense recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, in accordance with SFAS 123R. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in Note 8 to the company's audited financial statements for the quarter ended September 30, 2007, included in the company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 13, 2007.
- (2) Vest ratably over a 12 month period.
- (3) Vest 50% immediately and 50% on 11/17/07
- (4) Vest at a rate of 5,000 options for each \$500,000 in booked sales.
- (5) Vest 50% immediately, 25% on 10/19/07 and 25% on 4/19/08.

The following table summarizes unexercised options as of year-end December 31, 2007 for the named executive officers:

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR END TABLE

No. of Securities
Underlying Unexercised
Options / Warrants
Exercisable Unexercisable

Option Exercise Option Expiration Price Date

Name

Edgar Filing: INTELLI CHECK INC - Form DEF 14A

| Laffray I avy | 12,500 | - \$ | 6.30 | 6/21/12 |
|------------------|------------|-------------|------|----------|
| Jeffrey Levy | 12,300 | | | |
| | - | 12,500(1)\$ | 6.30 | 6/21/12 |
| | | • | | |
| Russell T. Embry | 6,250 | - \$ | 3.82 | 4/30/08 |
| | 6,250 | - \$ | 7.44 | 11/05/08 |
| | 6,250 | - \$ | 7.44 | 5/05/09 |
| | 5,000 | - \$ | 4.37 | 12/03/09 |
| | 5,000 | - \$ | 4.37 | 6/03/10 |
| | 5,000 | - \$ | 3.18 | 11/17/10 |
| | 5,000 | - \$ | 6.65 | 5/17/12 |
| | 5,000 | - \$ | 6.65 | 11/17/12 |
| | | | | |
| Lou Gryga | 5,000 | - \$ | 3.05 | 8/16/12 |
| | 5,000 | - \$ | 3.05 | 8/16/12 |
| | 5,000 | - \$ | 3.05 | 8/16/12 |
| | - | 35,000(2)\$ | 3.05 | 8/16/12 |
| | | | | |
| Peter J. Mundy | 12,500 | - \$ | 7.00 | 4/19/12 |
| · | 7,250 | - \$ | 7.00 | 10/19/12 |
| | , <u>-</u> | 7,250(3)\$ | 7.00 | 4/19/13 |
| 0.7 | | | | |
| 97 | | | | |

These shares vest ratably over a six month period beginning January 2008.

(1) These shares vest ratably upon the achievement of certain sales targets.

The following table summarizes options exercised and stock awards vested during the year-ended December 31, 2007 for the named executive officers:

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED TABLE

| | Stock (| Options | Stock Awards | | |
|------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Name | No. of Shares Acquired Upon Exercise (#) | Value Received Upon Exercise (\$) | No. of Shares Acquired Upon Vesting (#) | Value Received Upon Vesting (\$) | |
| Frank Mandelbaum | 25,000 | \$ 92,250(1 | - | - | |
| Jeffrey Levy | 8,000 | 5,880(2 | - | - | |
| Russell T. Embry | 6,250 | 20,813(3 | - | - | |
| Todd Liebman | 5,000 | 10,150(4 | -) | _ | |

- (1)Mr. Mandelbaum exercised 25,000 shares at an exercise price of \$3.00 per share on January 3, 2007, when the closing price of the company's Common Stock was \$6.69.
- (2)Mr. Levy exercised 8,000 shares at an exercise price of \$2.80 per share on June 25, 2007, when the closing price of the company's Common Stock was \$4.76.
- (3)Mr. Embry exercised 6,250 shares at an exercise price of \$3.82 per share on June 4, 2007, when the closing price of the company's Common Stock was \$7.15.
- (4)Mr. Liebman exercised 5,000 shares at an exercise price of \$4.57 per share on June 19, 2007, when the closing price of the company's Common Stock was \$6.60.

Pension Benefits

The company does not sponsor any qualified or non-qualified defined benefit plans.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

Intelli-Check does not maintain any non-qualified defined contribution or deferred compensation plans. The Compensation Committee, which is comprised solely of "outside directors" as defined for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code, may elect to provide Intelli-Check's officers and other employees with non-qualified defined contribution or deferred compensation benefits if the Compensation Committee determines that doing so is in the company's best interests. Intelli-Check sponsors a tax qualified defined contribution 401(k) plan in which Mr. Winiarz, Mr. Embry and Mr. Liebman participate. Intelli-Check does not make any matching contributions to the plan.

Compensation of Directors

The table below sets forth certain information concerning compensation of Intelli-Check's non-employee directors who served in 2007.

| Name and Principal Position | Fees Paid | Ontion | Stock | All Other | Total |
|-----------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-----------|--------|
| Name and Frincipal Fosition | rees raid | (<i>)</i> () () () () | SHOCK | ан синег | 1 0141 |

Edgar Filing: INTELLI CHECK INC - Form DEF 14A

| | in Cash (\$) | Awards (\$) | Awards C (\$) | ompensation (\$) (7) | (\$) |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------|
| Jeffrey Levy | 6,500 | - | 6,000(2) | - | 12,500 |
| Robert J. Blackwell | 3,500 | - | - | - | 3,500 |
| John E. (Jay) Maxwell | 12,500 | - | 52,000(3) | - | 64,500 |
| Arthur L. Money | 12,500 | - | 20,000(4) | - | 32,500 |
| Guy L. Smith | 9,500 | 13,983(1) | - | - | 23,483 |
| Edwin Winiarz | 12,000 | - | -(5) | - | 12,000 |
| Ashok Rao | 6,500 | - | 48,000(6) | - | 54,500 |

⁽¹⁾ Fair value of 4,362 options granted 6/21/07 at exercise price of \$6.30 per share. As of December 31, 2007, including the awards listed above, Mr. Smith had aggregate outstanding options to purchase 81,850 shares of Common Stock.

- (2) Fair value of 952 restricted shares granted 6/21/07 at market price of \$6.30 per share. As of December 31, 2007, Mr. Levy had aggregate outstanding options to purchase 95,350 shares of Common Stock and holds 952 shares of restricted Common Stock.
- (3) Fair value of 8,254 restricted shares granted 6/21/07 at market price of \$6.30 per share. As of December 31, 2007, Mr. Maxwell had aggregate outstanding options to purchase 49,150 shares of Common Stock and holds 8,254 shares of restricted Common Stock.
- (4) Fair value of 3,175 restricted shares granted 6/21/07at market price of \$6.30 per share. As of December 31, 2007, Mr. Money had aggregate outstanding options to purchase 148,300 shares of Common Stock and holds 10,175 shares of restricted Common Stock.
- (5) As of December 31, 2007, Mr. Winiarz had aggregate outstanding options to purchase 198,000 shares of Common Stock.
- (6) Fair value of 7,619 restricted shares granted 6/21/07 at market price of \$6.30 per share. As of December 31, 2007, Mr. Rao holds 16,621 shares of restricted Common Stock. Mr. Rao resigned from the Board of Directors on August 9, 2007.
- (7) No other compensation, including perquisites in excess of \$10,000, was paid to any of the directors.

During 2007, non-employee directors received fees of \$3,000 for in-person attendance at board meetings and \$500 for attendance at such meetings telephonically. Each non-employee director also received a fee of \$250 for participation, either in-person or telephonically, at each separately convened committee meeting not held in conjunction with a board meeting. The Board recommended that beginning in 2006 non-employee directors should be granted the choice of restricted shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock in lieu of stock options or a number of stock options equal to that of the stock grant at the director's option. In addition, the Board further recommended that non-employee directors, who are members of a committee, should be granted the choice of restricted shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock in lieu of stock options or a number of stock options equal to that of the stock grant at the director's option. The number of restricted shares as proposed would be determined by the Board at each annual board meeting. This plan was included in Intelli-Check's proxy statement for a vote by Intelli-Check's stockholders at the 2006 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Intelli-Check

Since the beginning of 2007, Intelli-Check did not have any transactions with related persons as described under Item 404(a) of Regulation S-K. The Governance Committee reviews transactions with firms associated with directors and nominees for director. Intelli-Check's management also monitors such transactions on an ongoing basis. Executive officers and directors are governed by Intelli-Check's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics which provides that waivers may only be granted by the Board of Directors and must be promptly disclosed to shareholders. No such waivers were granted nor applied for in 2007. Intelli-Check's Corporate Governance Guidelines require that all directors recuse themselves from any discussion or decision affecting their personal, business or professional interests.

Mobilisa

The majority owners, who are members of management, loan money to Mobilisa from time to time. The loans bear no interest and are payable upon demand. As of December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, amounts owed to related parties were \$27,403, \$0, and \$12,900, respectively.

Mobilisa leases office space from Eagle Coast, LLC that is wholly-owned by Mobilisa's majority owners and who are members of management. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, total rental payments for this office space was \$55,375, \$48,957, and \$23,508. Mobilisa entered into a 10-year lease for the office space ending in 2017.

The majority owners, who are members of management, have guaranteed all Mobilisa credit lines.

BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES

The following table sets forth, as of January 31, 2008, certain information regarding beneficial ownership of Intelli-Check's Common Stock by each person who is known by it to beneficially own more than 5% of its Common Stock. The table also identifies the stock ownership of each of the directors, each of the officers, and all directors and officers as a group. Except as otherwise indicated, the stockholders listed in the table have sole voting and investment powers with respect to the shares indicated. Unless otherwise indicated, the address for each of the named individuals is c/o Intelli-Check, Inc., 246 Crossways Park West, Woodbury, NY 11797-2015.

Shares of Common Stock which an individual or group has a right to acquire within 60 days pursuant to the exercise or conversion of options, warrants or other similar convertible or derivative securities are deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of such individual or group, but are not deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person shown in the table.

The applicable percentage of ownership is based on 12,281,728 shares outstanding as of January 31, 2008.

| | Shares Beneficially | |
|--|------------------------|---------|
| Name | Owned | Percent |
| Jeffrey Levy (1) | 134,232 | 1.08 |
| Russell T. Embry (2) | 43,750 | |
| Lou Gryga (3) | 15,000 | * |
| Peter J. Mundy (4) | 19,550 | * |
| Robert J. Blackwell (5) | - | * |
| John E. Maxwell (6) | 59,954 | * |
| Arthur L. Money (7) | 154,437 | 1.24 |
| Guy L. Smith (8) | 93,669 | * |
| Edwin Winiarz (9) | 225,000 | 1.80 |
| Estate of Frank Mandelbaum (10) | 652,281 | 5.15 |
| All Executive Officers & Directors as a group (9 persons) (11) | 520,592 | 5.75 |

^{*} Indicates beneficial ownership of less than one percent of the total outstanding Common Stock.

- (1) Includes 107,880 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options and rights exercisable within 60 days.
- (2) Includes 43,750 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options exercisable within 60 days.
- (3) Includes 15,000 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options exercisable within 60 days.
- (4) Includes 18,750 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options exercisable within 60 days.
- (5) Excludes 22,250 shares held by Mr. Blackwell's wife for which he disclaims beneficial ownership.
- (6) Includes 51,500 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options exercisable within 60 days.
- (7) Includes 146,800 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options exercisable within 60 days.
- (8) Includes 86,212 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options exercisable within 60 days.

- (9) Includes 225,000 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options exercisable within 60 days.
- (10)Includes 375,000 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options exercisable within 60 days. The address is C/O Lance Mandelbaum, Executor, 12 Pine Drive, Old Bethpage NY 11804
- (11) Includes 469,862 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options and rights exercisable within 60 days.

Security Ownership of Officers and Directors of the Combined Company after the Acquisition

The following table sets forth information with respect to the beneficial ownership of the combined company's Common Stock immediately after the consummation of the acquisition by each person who is known by Intelli-Check to beneficially own more than 5% of Intelli-Check's Common Stock, each officer, each director and all officers and directors as a group.

Shares of Common Stock which an individual or group has a right to acquire within 60 days pursuant to the exercise or conversion of options, warrants or other similar convertible or derivative securities are deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of such individual or group, but are not deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person shown in the table.

The applicable percentage of ownership is based on an estimated 24,563,456 shares outstanding after the merger is completed.

| | Shares Beneficially | |
|---|------------------------|---------|
| Name | Owned | Percent |
| Dr. Nelson Ludlow (1) | 4,180,952 | 17.0 |
| Bonnie Ludlow (2) | 8,018,236 | 32.6 |
| John W. Paxton (3) | 327,302 | 1.3 |
| L. Gen. Emil R. Bedard (4) | 436,402 | 1.8 |
| Jeffrey Levy (1) | 134,232 | * |
| Russell T. Embry (2) | 43,750 | * |
| Lou Gryga (3) | 15,000 | * |
| Peter J. Mundy (4) | 19,550 | * |
| John E. Maxwell (6) | 59,954 | * |
| Arthur L. Money (7) | 208,986 | * |
| Guy L. Smith (8) | 93,669 | * |
| All Executive Officers & Directors as a group (13 persons) (14) | 13,538,033 | 52.4 |

^{*} Indicates beneficial ownership of less than one percent of the total outstanding Common Stock.

- (1) Includes 21,820 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options and rights exercisable within 60 days.
- (2) Includes 21,820 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options exercisable within 60 days.
- (3) Includes 327,302 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options exercisable within 60 days.
- (4) Includes 436,402 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options exercisable within 60 days.
- (5) Includes 107,880 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options and rights exercisable within 60 days.
- (6) Includes 43,750 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options exercisable within 60 days.
- (7) Includes 15,000 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options exercisable within 60 days.
- (8) Includes 18,750 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options exercisable within 60 days.
- (9) Includes 51,500 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options exercisable within 60 days.

- (10) Includes 146,800 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options exercisable within 60 days.
- (11) Includes 86,212 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options exercisable within 60 days.
- (12) Includes 1,255,416 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options and rights exercisable within 60 days.

SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE

After the acquisition of Mobilisa, there will be up to 24,563,456 shares of Intelli-Check Common Stock outstanding, of which all but 12,281,728 shares will be registered and freely tradable without securities law restriction. The shares of Common Stock being issued in connection with the acquisition of Mobilisa have registration rights and may be sold pursuant to Rule 144. Intelli-Check intends to use its best efforts to cause a registration statement to be in effect within four months of the date of closing of the merger agreement. In addition, there are outstanding warrants to purchase 983,732 shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock, each to purchase one share of Common Stock. The Common Stock issuable upon exercise of the warrants will also be freely tradable, provided that there is a registration statement in effect at the time of their exercise. Intelli-Check intends to use its best efforts to cause such a registration statement to be in effect at such time as the warrants become exercisable. There is an aggregate of 1,456,815 shares of Common Stock that may be issued in the future upon exercise of outstanding warrants and options.

In general, under Rule 144, a person who has owned restricted shares beneficially for at least six months is entitled to sell, within any three-month period, a number of shares that does not exceed the greater of the then-average preceding four weeks' average weekly trading volume or one percent of the total number of shares outstanding. Sales under Rule 144 are also subject to manner of sale provisions, notice requirements and the availability of current public information about the company. A person who has not been an affiliate of the company for at least the three months immediately preceding the sale and who has beneficially owned shares for at least one year is entitled to sell the shares under Rule 144 without regard to the limitations described above.

No prediction can be made about the effect that market sales of Intelli-Check Common Stock or the availability for sale of Intelli-Check Common Stock will have on its market price. Sales of substantial amounts of Common Stock in the public market could adversely affect the market price for Intelli-Check's securities and could impair Intelli-Check's future ability to raise capital through the sale of Common Stock or securities linked to it.

INTELLI-CHECK'S SECURITIES

General

Intelli-Check's authorized capital stock consists of 20,000,000 shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share, and 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of which 30,000 shares are designated as Series A 8% Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock, of which none are outstanding.

Common Stock

Intelli-Check is authorized to issue 20,000,000 shares of Common Stock. All the issued and outstanding shares of Common Stock are validly issued, fully paid and non-assessable. Each outstanding share of Common Stock has one vote on all matters requiring a vote of the shareholders. There is no right to cumulative voting. In the event of a voluntary or involuntary liquidation, all stockholders are entitled to a pro rata distribution after payment of liabilities and after provision has been made for each class of stock, having preference over the Common Stock. The holders of the Common Stock have no preemptive rights with respect to Intelli-Check's offerings of shares of Intelli-Check's Common Stock. Holders of Common Stock are entitled to dividends if, as and when declared by the Board out of the funds legally available therefor. It is Intelli-Check's present intention to retain earnings, if any, for use in

Intelli-Check's business. Dividends are, therefore, unlikely in the foreseeable future.

Warrants

On August 8 and August 9, 2005, in connection with the private placement of 1,250,000 shares of Common Stock, Intelli-Check issued an aggregate of 500,000 immediately exercisable warrants to purchase shares of Common Stock, at an exercise price of \$5.40 per share, which expire five years from the date they were purchased. The investors have registration rights with respect to (i) the shares of Common Stock purchased in the private placement and (ii) the shares of Common Stock issuable upon exercise of the warrants.

Change of Control Provisions

A number of provisions in Intelli-Check's charter and bylaws and under Delaware law may make it more difficult to acquire control of Intelli-Check. These provisions may have the effect of delaying, deferring, discouraging, preventing or rendering more difficult a future takeover attempt which is not approved by Intelli-Check's Board, but which individual stockholders may deem to be in their best interests or in which they may receive a substantial premium over then-current market prices. As a result, stockholders who might desire to participate in such a transaction may not have an opportunity to do so. These provisions may also adversely affect the prevailing market price of the Common Stock. These provisions, which are described below, are intended to:

- Enhance the likelihood of continuity and stability in the Board of Directors;
- Discourage some types of transactions that may involve an actual or threatened change in control;
 - Discourage certain tactics that may be used in proxy fights;
- •Ensure that the Board of Directors will have sufficient time to act in what it believes to be in the best interests of the company and its stockholders; and
- •Encourage persons seeking to acquire control to consult first with the Board to negotiate the terms of any proposed business combination or offer.

Unissued Shares of Capital Stock

Common Stock. After the acquisition, Intelli-Check will have outstanding approximately 24,563,456 shares of Common Stock. The remaining authorized and unissued Common Stock will be available for future issuance without additional stockholder approval. While the additional shares are not designed to deter or prevent a change of control, under some circumstances, Intelli-Check could use them to create voting impediments or to frustrate persons seeking to effect a takeover or otherwise gain control, by, for example, issuing shares in private placements to purchasers who might side with the Board of Directors in opposing a hostile takeover bid.

Preferred Stock. The certificate of incorporation grants the Board of Directors the authority, without any further vote or action by stockholders, to issue preferred stock in one or more series, fix the number of shares constituting the series and establish the preferences, limitations and relative rights, including dividend rights, dividend rate, voting rights, terms of redemption, redemption price or prices, redemption rights and liquidation preferences of the shares of the series. The existence of authorized but unissued preferred stock could reduce Intelli-Check's attractiveness as a target for an unsolicited takeover bid, since Intelli-Check could, for example, issue preferred stock to parties who might oppose such a takeover bid, or issue shares with terms the potential acquirer may find unattractive. This may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control, discourage bids for the Common Stock at a premium over the market price, and adversely affect the market price, and voting and other rights of holders of Common Stock.

Limitation of Liability of Directors and Officers

Intelli-Check's certificate of incorporation provides that no director will be personally liable to Intelli-Check or its stockholders for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty as a director, except to the extent this limitation or exemption is not permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law. As currently enacted, the Delaware General Corporation Law permits a corporation to provide in its certificate of incorporation that a director will not be personally liable to the corporation or its stockholders for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty as a director, except for liability for: (i) any breach of the director's duty of loyalty; (ii) acts or omissions not in good faith or which involve intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law, (iii) payments of unlawful dividends or unlawful stock

repurchases or redemptions or (iv) any transaction from which the director derived an improper personal benefit.

The principal effect of this provision is that a stockholder will be unable to recover monetary damages against a director for breach of fiduciary duty unless the stockholder can demonstrate that one of the exceptions listed above applies. This provision, however, will not eliminate or limit liability arising under federal securities laws. The combined company's charter will not eliminate its directors' fiduciary duties. The inclusion of this provision in the charter may, however, discourage or deter stockholders or management from bringing a lawsuit against directors for a breach of their fiduciary duties, even though such an action, if successful, might otherwise have benefited the combined company and its stockholders. This provision should not affect the availability of equitable remedies such as injunction or rescission based upon a director's breach of his or her fiduciary duties.

The Delaware General Corporation Law provides that a corporation may indemnify its directors and officers as well as its other employees and agents against judgments, fines, amounts paid in settlement and expenses, including attorneys' fees, in connection with various proceedings, other than an action brought by or in the right of the corporation, if such person acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the corporation, and, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, if he or she had no reasonable cause to believe his or her conduct was unlawful. A similar standard is applicable in the case of an action brought by or in the right of the corporation (commonly known as "derivative suits"), except that indemnification in such a case may only extend to expenses, including attorneys' fees, incurred in connection with the defense or settlement of such actions, and the statute requires court approval before there can be any indemnification where the person seeking indemnification has been found liable to the corporation. The combined company's charter and, with regard to its officers, its bylaws provide that the combined company will indemnify its directors and officers to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law. Under these provisions and subject to the Delaware General Corporation Law, the combined company will be required to indemnify its directors and officers for all judgments, fines, settlements, legal fees and other expenses incurred in connection with pending or threatened legal proceedings because of the director's or officer's position with the combined company or another entity that the director or officer serves as a director, officer, employee or agent at the combined company's request, subject to various conditions, and to advance funds to the combined company's directors and officers before final disposition of such proceedings to enable them to defend against such proceedings. To receive indemnification, the director or officer must have been successful in the legal proceeding or have acted in good faith and in what was reasonably believed to be a lawful manner in the best interest of the combined company.

Transfer Agent and Registrar

The Transfer Agent and Registrar for the shares of Intelli-Check Common Stock and warrants is Continental Stock Transfer and Trust Company.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

If the acquisition is consummated, the Intelli-Check 2007 annual meeting of stockholders will be held in June 2008 unless the date is changed by the Board of Directors. If you are a stockholder and you wanted to include a proposal in the proxy statement for that annual meeting, you should have provided it to Intelli-Check by January 25, 2008. Since such date has passed, no stockholder proposals submitted after that date will be considered for this year's annual meeting. You should direct any proposals to Intelli-Check's secretary at Intelli-Check's principal office. Although proposals that are not timely submitted will not be included in the proxy statement for next year's Annual Meeting of Shareholders, the SEC rules allow proxies to grant discretionary authority to vote on matters that were not timely submitted to Intelli-Check for inclusion in the proxy statement, provided that Intelli-Check had notice of such matters no later than March 30, 2008.

DELIVERY OF DOCUMENTS TO STOCKHOLDERS

Pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, Intelli-Check and services that it employs to deliver communications to its stockholders are permitted to deliver to two or more stockholders sharing the same address a single copy of each of Intelli-Check's annual report to stockholders and proxy statement. Upon written or oral request, Intelli-Check will deliver a separate copy of the annual report to stockholders and/or proxy statement to any stockholder at a shared address who wishes to receive separate copies of such documents in the future. Stockholders receiving multiple copies of such documents may likewise request that Intelli-Check deliver single copies of such documents in the future. Stockholders may notify Intelli-Check of their requests by calling Intelli-Check at (516) 992-1900 or writing Intelli-Check at Intelli-Check's principal executive offices at 246 Crossways Park West, Woodbury, NY 11797.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

Intelli-Check files reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission as required by the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

You may read and copy reports, proxy statements and other information filed by Intelli-Check with the SEC at its public reference room located at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549-1004.

You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. You may also obtain copies of the materials described above at prescribed rates by writing to the SEC, Public Reference Section, 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549-1004.

Intelli-Check files its reports, proxy statements and other information electronically with the SEC. You may access information on Intelli-Check at the SEC web site containing reports, proxy statements and other information at http://www.sec.gov.

Information and statements contained in this proxy statement or any annex are qualified in all respects by reference to the copy of the relevant contract or other annex filed as an exhibit to or incorporated by reference into this document.

All information contained or incorporated by reference in this proxy statement relating to Intelli-Check has been supplied by Intelli-Check, and all such information relating to Mobilisa has been supplied by Mobilisa. Information provided by either of the companies does not constitute any representation, estimate or projection of the other.

If you would like additional copies of this proxy statement, or if you have questions about the acquisition, you should contact:

Jeffrey Levy Interim Chairman & CEO Intelli-Check, Inc. 246 Crossways Park West Woodbury, NY 11797 (516) 992-1900

This proxy statement incorporates important business and financial information about Intelli-Check and Mobilisa and its subsidiaries that is not included in or delivered with the document. This information is available without charge to security holders upon written or oral request. The request should be sent to:

Jeffrey Levy Interim Chairman & CEO Intelli-Check, Inc. 246 Crossways Park West Woodbury, NY 11797 (516) 992-1900

To obtain timely delivery of requested materials, security holders must request the information no later than five business days before the date they submit their proxies or attend the special meeting. The latest date to request the information to be received timely is March 1, 2008.

Financial Statement Index

| Mobilisa Financial Statements | F-2 |
|------------------------------------|------|
| Intelli-Check Financial Statements | F-43 |
| | |
| F-1 | |
| | |

MOBILISA, INC.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT INDEX

| Audited Financial Statements for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005 and 2006 | F-3 |
|---|------|
| Unaudited Financial Statements for the nine month period ended September 30, 2007 | F-23 |
| | |
| F-2 | |

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|------------------------------------|------------|
| INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT | F-4 |
| FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | |
| BALANCE SHEETS | F-5 |
| STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS | F-6 |
| STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | F-7 |
| STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS | F-8 |
| NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | F-9 - F-22 |
| F-3 | |

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors Mobilisa, Inc. Port Townsend, Washington

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Mobilisa, Inc. as of December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of Mobilisa, Inc.'s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Mobilisa, Inc. as of December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, and the results of operations and cash flows for each of the three years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, Mobilisa, Inc. adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment," effective January 1, 2006.

/S/ PETERSON SULLIVAN PLLC

November 16, 2007 Seattle, Washington

MOBILISA, INC. BALANCE SHEETS

As of December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004

| ASSETS | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Current Assets | | | |
| Cash | \$ 182,726 | \$ 88,234 | \$ 44,451 |
| Accounts receivable | 832,267 | 177,930 | 450,418 |
| Prepaid expenses | 8,093 | 7,653 | 7,512 |
| Deferred income taxes | | 7,787 | |
| Total current assets | 1,023,086 | 281,604 | 502,381 |
| Fixed Assets, net (Note 2) | 228,951 | 159,810 | 131,316 |
| Other Assets | 9,289 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 1,261,326 | \$ 442,914 | \$ 635,197 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | | |
| Current Liabilities | | | |
| Lines of credit (Note 4) | \$ 203,116 | \$ 73,223 | \$ 101,826 |
| Accounts payable | 130,972 | 28,531 | 32,620 |
| Accrued expenses | 302,862 | 197,495 | 168,944 |
| Current portion of deferred revenue (Note 3) | 162,729 | 3,960 | |
| Advances from related parties (Note 12) | 27,043 | | 12,900 |
| Corporate income tax payable | | 48,845 | 1,759 |
| Deferred income tax | 19,954 | | 72,262 |
| Current portion of notes payable | 12,289 | 10,711 | 11,763 |
| Total current liabilities | 858,965 | 362,765 | 402,074 |
| Deferred Revenue | 137,758 | | |
| Notes Payable (Note 4) | 52,396 | 23,710 | 34,590 |
| Deferred Income Tax | 15,066 | 5,462 | 15,304 |
| Total liabilities | 1,064,185 | 391,937 | 451,968 |
| Commitments (Notes 4, 9) | | | |
| Stockholders' Equity | | | |
| Common stock; 20,000,000 shares | | | |
| authorized; 11,243,490, 11,240,990, | | | |
| and 11,240,990 shares issued | | | |
| and outstanding, respectively | 59,658 | 58,408 | 58,408 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 214,578 | 132,822 | 61,819 |
| Accumulated earnings (deficit) | (77,095) | (140,253) | 63,002 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 197,141 | 50,977 | 183,229 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND | | | |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$ 1,261,326 | \$ 442,914 | \$ 635,197 |

See Notes to Financial Statements

MOBILISA, INC. STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

For the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Revenues | | | |
| Research and development | \$ 1,651,801 | \$ 2,149,376 | \$ 1,573,006 |
| Consulting income | 796,592 | 72,572 | 108,934 |
| Commercial products | 938,669 | 42,249 | |
| Subscriptions and warranties | 36,404 | 792 | |
| Total revenues | 3,423,466 | 2,264,989 | 1,681,940 |
| Cost of revenues | | | |
| Research and development | 213,153 | 370,760 | 217,214 |
| Commercial products | 307,886 | 18,363 | |
| Total cost of revenues | 521,039 | 389,123 | 217,214 |
| Gross profit | 2,902,427 | 1,875,866 | 1,464,726 |
| Operating expenses | | | |
| Salaries and wages | 1,844,071 | 1,371,970 | 856,735 |
| Professional fees | 121,021 | 65,052 | 24,873 |
| Rent | 122,258 | 49,238 | 39,083 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 45,448 | 32,031 | 24,119 |
| Other general and administrative | 632,271 | 586,833 | 320,416 |
| Total operating expenses | 2,765,069 | 2,105,124 | 1,265,226 |
| Operating income (loss) | 137,358 | (229,258) | 199,500 |
| Other income (expense) | | | |
| Interest expense | (36,908) | (16,385) | (6,986) |
| Other income | 53 | 2,342 | 953 |
| Total other income (expense) | (36,855) | (14,043) | (6,033) |
| Income (loss) | | | |
| before income taxes | 100,503 | (243,301) | 193,467 |
| Provision for income tax expense (benefit) | | | |
| Current | | 49,845 | 1,759 |
| Deferred | 37,345 | (89,891) | 87,566 |
| | 37,345 | (40,046) | 89,325 |
| Net Income (Loss) | \$ 63,158 | \$ (203,255) | \$ 104,142 |

See Notes to Financial Statements

MOBILISA, INC. STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

For the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004

| | Common Number of shares | ck Amount | Additional paid-in capital | Accumulated earnings (deficit) | Total |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Balance, December 31, 2003 | 11,240,990 | \$ 58,408 | - | \$ (41,140)\$ | 37,713 |
| Expense related to stock options | | | | | |
| issued to consultants | | | 41,374 | | 41,374 |
| Net income | | | | 104,142 | 104,142 |
| Balance, December 31, 2004 | 11,240,990 | 58,408 | 61,819 | 63,002 | 183,229 |
| Expense related to stock options | | | | | |
| issued to consultants | | | 71,003 | | 71,003 |
| Net loss | | | | (203,255) | (203,255) |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | 11,240,990 | 58,408 | 132,822 | (140,253) | 50,977 |
| Share-based compensation expense | | | 81,756 | | 81,756 |
| Exercise of stock options | 2,500 | 1,250 | | | 1,250 |
| Net income | | | | 63,158 | 63,158 |
| Balance, December 31, 2006 | 11,243,490 | \$ 59,658 | \$ 214,578 | \$ (77,095)\$ | 197,141 |

See Notes to Financial Statements

MOBILISA, INC. STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Operating Activities | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 63,158 \$ | (203,255) \$ | 104,142 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) | | | |
| to net cash flows from operating activities | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 45,448 | 32,031 | 24,119 |
| Share-based expenses | 81,756 | 71,003 | 41,374 |
| Deferred income taxes | 37,345 | (89,891) | 87,566 |
| Change in: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | (654,337) | 272,488 | (69,385) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | (8,229) | (141) | (1,826) |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 207,808 | 24,462 | (169,541) |
| Deferred revenue | 296,527 | 3,960 | |
| Advances from related parties | 27,043 | (12,900) | (20,000) |
| Income tax payable | (48,845) | 47,086 | 1,759 |
| Net cash flows from operating activities | 47,674 | 144,843 | (1,792) |
| Investing Activities | | | |
| Purchase of fixed assets | (65,686) | (60,525) | (44,479) |
| Financing Activities | | | |
| Net change in lines of credit | 129,893 | (28,603) | 97,747 |
| Principal payment on notes payable | (18,639) | (11,932) | (11,619) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 1,250 | | |
| Net cash flows from financing activities | 112,504 | (40,535) | 86,128 |
| Net increase in cash | 94,492 | 43,783 | 39,857 |
| Cash - beginning of year | 88,234 | 44,451 | 4,594 |
| Cash - end of year | \$ 182,726 \$ | 88,234 \$ | 44,451 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE: | | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ 27,981 \$ | 7,758 \$ | 6,924 |
| Cash paid for income tax | \$ 49,845 \$ | 2,804 \$ | - |
| Noncash investing and financing activities: | | | |
| Purchase of fixed assets with debt issuance | \$ 48,903 \$ | - \$ | - |

See Notes to Financial Statements

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Business

Mobilisa, Inc. ("the Company") was incorporated in the State of Washington in March 2001. The Company derives its revenue from research and development contracts with various branches of the United States government, which primarily entail developing solutions for wirelessly transmitting information over water and developing software applications for mobile, handheld devices. The Company also derives its revenue from selling handheld communication devices with patented software which allows users to read various forms of identification and compare it to information on databases. The Company is headquartered in Port Townsend, Washington, and has offices in Ohio and Washington D.C.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the Company's financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the Company's financial statements and accompanying notes. Significant estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the financial statements include share-based expenses and deferred tax valuation allowances. Due to the inherent uncertainties involved in making estimates, actual results may be different from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers money market funds, certificates of deposit, and all highly liquid debt instruments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. As of December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, there were no cash equivalents.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are stated at principal amounts and are primarily comprised of amounts contractually due from customers for products and services, and amounts due from government research and development contracts. The Company provides an allowance for doubtful accounts based on an evaluation of customer account balances past due 180 days from the date of invoicing. In determining whether to record an allowance for a specific customer, the Company considers a number of factors, including prior payment history and financial information of the customer. As of December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, management determined no allowance for doubtful accounts was necessary.

Inventory

In general, the Company does not maintain inventory. Rather, inventory is purchased on an as-needed basis and shipped to the customer within a relatively short time after purchase.

Fixed Assets

Fixed assets are recorded at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over their estimates lives, as described below. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of their estimated lives or the term of the lease. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred.

| | Estimated Life (in years) |
|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Computer equipment | 5 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 5 to 10 |
| Vehicles | 7 to 10 |
| Leasehold improvements | 5 to 10 |

Software Development Costs

The Company complies with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 86, "Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to Be Sold, Leased or Otherwise Marketed," which specifies that costs incurred internally in creating a computer software product shall be charged to expense when incurred as research and development until technological feasibility has been established for the product. Software production costs for computer software that is to be used as an integral part of a product or process shall not be capitalized until both (a) technological feasibility has been established for the software and (b) all research and development activities for the other components of the product or process have been completed. The Company has not capitalized any software development costs as technological feasibility is generally not established until a working model is completed, at which time substantially all development is complete.

In accordance with American Institute of Certified Public Accountants ("AICPA") Statement of Position ("SOP") 98-1, "Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use," the Company may capitalize certain costs associated with the implementation of software developed for internal use. Costs capitalized would primarily consist of employee salaries and benefits allocated to the implementation project. The Company capitalized no such costs in 2006, 2005, or 2004.

Long-Lived Assets Impairment

Long-lived assets are reviewed when changes in circumstances require as to whether their carrying value has become impaired, pursuant to guidance established in SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." Management considers assets to be impaired if the carrying value exceeds the future projected cash flows from the related operations (undiscounted and without interest charges). If impairment is deemed to exist, the assets will be written down to fair value, and a loss is recorded as the difference between the carrying value and the fair value. Fair values are determined based on the quoted market values, discounted cash flows, or internal and external appraisal, as applicable. Assets to be disposed of are carried at the lower of carrying value or estimated net realizable value. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, no impairment charges were recorded.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue is generally recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the fee is fixed and determinable, collectibility is probable, and there is no future Company involvement or commitment. Specific criteria for each revenue type are as follows:

<u>Research and Development</u> - Revenue from research and development contracts are generally with government agencies under cost-plus fixed-fee contracts, where revenue is based on time and material costs incurred. Revenue from these arrangements is recognized as time is spent on the contract and materials are purchased.

<u>Consulting Income</u> - The Company performs consulting work for other companies. These services are billed based on time and materials. Revenue from these arrangements is also recognized as time is spent on the contract and materials are purchased.

<u>Commercial Products</u> - The Company has revenue arrangements to sell products and services for which they are obligated to deliver products and services. A typical multiple-element arrangement includes handheld units loaded with patented software, installation and training services, database subscription services, and warranties.

The Company applies Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 00-21, "Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables." Under EITF 00-21, multiple-element arrangements are assessed to determine whether they can be separated into more than one unit of accounting. In performing the assessment, the Company first applies the separation criteria within FASB Technical Bulletin ("FTB 90-1"), "Accounting for Separately Priced Extended Warranty and Product Maintenance Contracts" to separate the deliverables falling within the scope of FTB 90-1. Multiple-element arrangements are separated into more than one element if all of the following are met:

- The delivered item(s) has value to the customer on a standalone basis.
- There is objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the undelivered item(s).

·If the arrangement includes a general right of return relative to the delivered item, delivery or performance of the undelivered item(s) is considered probable and substantially within the Company's control.

If all of the above criteria are not met, revenue associated with the arrangement is deferred until the criteria are met on all undelivered elements, or the entire arrangement has been delivered. If objective and reliable evidence of fair value is available for all elements of the arrangement, revenue is allocated to each element based upon the relative fair value of each element to the total arrangement value. The price charged when an element is sold separately generally determines fair value. In the absence of fair value for a delivered element, the Company allocates revenue first to the fair value of the undelivered elements and then allocates the residual value to the delivered elements. In the absence of fair value for an undelivered element, the entire arrangement is accounted for as a single unit of accounting and revenue for the delivered elements is deferred until the undelivered elements have been delivered.

In multiple element revenue arrangements that include software that is more than incidental to the products or services as a whole, software and software-related elements are accounted for in accordance with AICPA Statement of Position ("SOP") No. 97-2, "Software Revenue Recognition." Software-related elements include software products and services, as well as any non-software deliverable for which a software deliverable is essential to its functionality. The Company allocates revenue to each element based upon vendor-specific objective evidence ("VSOE") of the fair value of the element or, if VSOE is not available, by application of the residual method. VSOE of fair value for an element is based upon the price charged when the element is sold separately.

<u>Subscriptions</u> - Subscriptions to database information can be purchased for month-to-month, one, two, and three year periods. Revenue from subscriptions are deferred and recognized over the contractual period, which is typically three years.

<u>Warranties</u> - The Company offers enhanced extended warranties for its sales of hardware and software at a set price. The revenue from these sales are deferred and recognized on a straight-line basis over the contractual period, which is typically three years.

Shipping and handling costs and out-of-pocket expenses reimbursed by customers are included in revenues and cost of revenues and are recognized when incurred.

Share-Based Payments

On January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123R"), which requires measurement of compensation cost for all share-based compensation awards at fair value on date of grant and recognition of compensation cost over the requisite service period.

Through December 31, 2005, stock options issued to consultants and other non-employees were accounted for in accordance with EITF No. 96-18 "Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services" ("EITF 96-18"), where the options were either valued at the known fair value of services received or the calculated fair value using the Black-Scholes model. Compensation expense is recognized over the requisite service period. Compensation cost recognized under EITF 96-18 was \$71,003 and \$41,374, for December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for stock-based payments to employees and directors under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion ("APB") No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Options Issued to Employees," and related interpretations, as permitted by SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation." In accordance with APB 25, stock option expense was recognized based on the intrinsic value method whereby any difference between exercise price and fair value of the Common Stock on the date of grant was recognized as stock option compensation expense ratably over the vesting period. As all stock options granted to employees and directors through December 31, 2005, were granted with an exercise price equal to the fair value of the Common Stock at the date of grant, no expense was recognized though December 31, 2005.

The Company adopted SFAS 123(R) using the prospective transition method whereby compensation cost recognized subsequent to January 1, 2006, includes compensation cost for all stock options granted, modified, repurchased, or cancelled subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123(R). The Company amortizes stock compensation cost ratably over the requisite service period (typically the vesting period). Additionally, under this transition method, stock options that were outstanding at the date of adoption of SFAS 123(R) continue to be accounted for using the accounting principles originally applied to them. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company recognized \$81,756 of additional non-cash, share-based compensation expense due to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), which decreased net income by such amount. The financial results for the prior periods have not been restated.

The following table illustrates the effect on net income or loss for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 to stock-based employee compensation:

| | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|--------------------|---------|
| Net income (loss), as reported | \$ (203,255) \$ | 104,142 |
| Stock-based employee compensation expense included in net income | | |
| (loss), as reported | - | - |
| Less: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under | | |
| the fair value based method for all awards, net of tax effects | 49,133 | 17,760 |
| Pro forma net loss | \$ (252,388) \$ | 86,382 |
| | | |
| F-13 | | |

Income Taxes

The Company complies with SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," which requires the Company to recognize deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the Company's financial statements or tax returns using the liability method. Under this method, deferred tax liabilities and assets are determined based on the temporary differences between the financial statements and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which the differences are expected to reverse.

The difference between taxes computed by applying the U.S. tax rate in effect and the actual tax expense or benefit recorded is due to the valuation allowance on the Company's deferred tax assets and varying tax rates between years presented. The Company evaluates its valuation allowance requirements on an annual basis based on projected future operations. When circumstances change and this causes a change in management's judgment about the realization of deferred tax assets, the impact of the change on the valuation allowance is reflected in current income.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

This pronouncement requires that the Company calculate the fair value of financial instruments and include this additional information in the notes to financial statements when the fair value is different than the book value of those financial instruments. The Company's financial instruments include cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable, lines of credit, and notes payable. At December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, the carrying value cash, accounts receivable, and accounts payable, approximated fair value, due to their short-term nature. The carrying value of the lines of credit and notes payable approximated fair value due to these agreements generally having reasonable rates of interest based on the nature of the collateral.

Concentrations and Credit Risk

The Company maintains cash and cash equivalent balances with financial institutions that exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses related to these balances, and management believes its risk of loss to be minimal.

The Company currently derives a significant portion of its revenue from sales of products and services to different agencies of the U.S. government or commercial customers primarily serving various agencies of the U.S. government. Given the type of customers, the Company does not believe its accounts receivable represent a significant credit risk. As of December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, 90%, 93% and 99% of accounts receivable were from six, three, and four customers, respectively.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2007, the Emerging Issues Task Force of the FASB issued EITF Issue No. 07-3, "Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services to be Used in Future Research and Development Activities," which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. EITF 07-3 requires that nonrefundable advance payments for future research and development activities be deferred and capitalized. Such amounts will be recognized as an expense as the goods are delivered or the related services are performed. Management does not expect the adoption of EITF 07-3 to have a material impact on the financial results of the Company.

In February 2007, FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "*The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Liabilities*." SFAS 159 provides the option to report certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value, with the intent to mitigate volatility in financial reporting that can occur when related assets and liabilities are record on different bases and is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. Management does not expect the adoption of SFAS 159 to have a material impact on the financial position of the Company.

In September 2006, FASB issued SFAS No. 157 "Fair Value Measurements." SFAS 157 addresses differences in the definition of fair value and guidance in applying the definition of fair value to the many accounting pronouncements that require fair value measurements. SFAS 157 emphasizes that (1) fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability for sale or transfer and (2) fair value is not entity-specific but based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Finally, SFAS 157 establishes a hierarchy of fair value assumptions that distinguishes between independent market participant assumptions and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company does not expect that SFAS 157 will have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In July 2006, FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 ("FIN 48"), "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes-an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109." FIN 48 provides guidance for recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions, as defined in SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." FIN 48 prescribes a threshold condition that a tax position must meet for any of the benefit of an uncertain tax position to be recognized in the financial statements. Guidance is also provided regarding derecognition, classification and disclosure of uncertain tax positions. Based on a FASB deferral for non-public companies, FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. The Company does not expect that FIN 48 will have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Note 2. Fixed Assets

Fixes assets are comprised of the following at December 31:

| | 2006 | 2005 | | 2004 |
|---|---------------|------------|----|----------|
| Computer equipment | \$ 162,979 | \$ 116,160 | \$ | 72,613 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 52,675 | 33,808 | | 16,831 |
| Vehicles | 115,199 | 66,296 | | 66,296 |
| Leasehold improvements | 15,935 | 15,935 | | 15,935 |
| | 346,788 | 232,199 | | 171,675 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization | (117,837) | (72,389) |) | (40,359) |
| | \$ 228,951 | \$ 159,810 | \$ | 131,316 |

Note 3. Deferred Revenue

Deferred revenue is related to the following at December 31:

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|-----------------------|------------------|---------|
| Warranty | \$ 110,435 \$ | - |
| Subscriptions | 190,052 | 3,960 |
| | 300,487 | 3,960 |
| Less: current portion | (162,729) | (3,960) |
| | \$ 137,758 \$ | - |

Note 4. Debt

Lines of Credit

The Company has lines of credit with several institutions, as follows, as of December 31:

| | Interest Rate | Limit | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--------|---------------|------------------|------------|-----------|---------|
| Line 1 | Prime + 1% | \$ 90,000 \$ | 1,009 \$ | 68,420 \$ | 70,666 |
| Line 2 | Prime + 1% | 250,000 | 202,107 | | 31,160 |
| Line 3 | 15% | 15,000 | | 4,803 | |
| | | \$ 355,000 \$ | 203,116 \$ | 73,223 \$ | 101,826 |

Interest payments on the lines of credit are due monthly. All assets of the Company have been pledged as collateral for the lines of credit. All of the lines are renewable annually.

Notes Payable

The Company's notes payable are as follows as of December 31:

| | 20 | 06 | 2 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|-----------|----------|----|----------|--------------|
| Note payable, 6.67% interest, secured by boat, payable in | | | | | |
| monthly installments of \$360 including interest, due | | | | | |
| December 2, 2013. | \$ | 24,759 | \$ | 26,663 | \$ 29,280 |
| Note payable, 7.83% interest, secured by vehicle, | | | | | |
| payable in monthly installments of \$443 including | | | | | |
| interest, due January 12, 2011. | | 18,531 | | | |
| Note payable, 6.69% interest, secured by vehicle, | | | | | |
| payable in monthly installments of \$534, including | | | | | |
| interest, due September 14, 2010. | | 21,395 | | | |
| Note payable, secured by vehicle, payable in monthly | | | | | |
| installments of \$775, paid in full in 2006. | | | | 7,758 | 17,073 |
| | | 64,685 | | 34,421 | 46,353 |
| Less: current portion | | (12,289) | | (10,711) | (11,763) |
| Long-term portion of notes payable | \$ | 52,396 | \$ | 23,710 | \$ 34,590 |
| | | | | | |
| Notes payable mature as follows for the years ending Dec | ember 31: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2007 | | | | | \$ 12,289 |
| 2008 | | | | | 12,871 |
| 2009 | | | | | 13,811 |
| 2010 | | | | | 13,194 |

Note 5. Capital Stock

The Company has 2,000,000 shares of undesignated no par value preferred stock authorized. No shares of preferred stock are outstanding.

F-17

2011

Thereafter

4,087

8,433 64,685

Note 6. Share-based Payments

The Company has two share-based compensation plans, which are described below. The compensation cost that has been charged against income for those plans was \$81,756 for 2006. There has been no share-based compensation cost capitalized.

The Company's 2003 Stock Option Plan ("2003 Plan") permits the grant of share options for up to 3,000,000 shares of Common Stock. The 2003 Plan is intended to provide an incentive to employees, directors, and consultants to use their maximum effort in the successful operation of the Company and to attract and retain talented personnel. Option awards are generally granted with an exercise price equal to the fair value of the Company's Common Stock on the date of grant; those option awards generally vest based on four years of continuous service and have a ten-year contractual term. Option awards provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control (as defined in the 2003 Plan). As of December 31, 2006, there were 1,760,500 options available for grant under the 2003 Plan.

The Company's 2004 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan ("2004 Plan") permits the grant of share options for up to 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock. The 2004 Plan is intended to provide an incentive to non-employee directors to use their maximum effort in the successful operation of the Company and to attract and retain directors. Option awards are generally granted with an exercise price equal to the fair value of the Company's Common Stock on the date of grant and have a ten year contractual term. Those option awards vest 50% on the first anniversary and 1/24th per month following so that the options are fully vested on the second anniversary of the date of grant. Option awards provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control (as defined in the 2004 Plan). As of December 31, 2006, there were no options available for grant under the 2004 Plan.

The fair value of each award is estimated as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model that uses assumptions noted in the following table. As the Company does not have a readily determinable value for its Common Stock, the expected volatilities for 2006 are based on implied volatilities from the closing market price of the Company's closest publicly-traded competitor. The expected term of the options represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rates are based on the U.S. treasury yield curve for the expected term in effect at the time of grant.

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-----------------------|------------|----------|----------|
| Expected volatility | 58.8-83.6% | 0%* | 0%* |
| Expected term (years) | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Risk-free rate | 4.4-5.1% | 3.7-4.5% | 3.2-4.3% |
| Expected dividends | 0% | 0% | 0% |

^{*} This volatility (0%) is allowed for 2005 and 2004 under the "minimum value" method under SFAS 123.

A summary of option activity under the plans as of December 31, 2006, and changes during the year then ended is as follows:

| | | Weighted | |
|--|-----------|---------------|----------------|
| | | Average Grant | Weighted |
| | | Date | Average |
| | Shares | Fair Value | Exercise Price |
| Options outstanding, December 31, 2005 | 2,059,000 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.54 |
| Granted | 520,500 | 0.59 | 0.80 |
| Exercised | (2,500) | 0.11 | 0.50 |
| Forfeited/canceled | (340,000) | 0.12 | 0.50 |
| Options outstanding, December 31, 2006 | 2,237,000 | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.68 |

| | | Op | Options Outstanding | | | Options Exercisable | | | | | |
|--------|----------|-----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------|---------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--|-----------|
| | | | Weighted | | | | Weighted | | | | |
| | | | Average | | Total | | Average | | Total | | |
| | Exercise | Number of | Remaining | ng Intrinsic | | Intrinsic | | Number of | Remaining | | Intrinsic |
| | Prices | Options | Term | Value | | Options | Term | | Value | | |
| \$0.25 | | 235,500 | 5.77 | \$ | 176,625 | 210,500 | 5.69 | \$ | 157,875 | | |
| \$0.50 | | 1,691,000 | 8.00 | | 845,500 | 870,500 | 7.77 | | 435,250 | | |
| \$1.00 | | 310,500 | 9.58 | | | | | | | | |
| | | 2,237,000 | 7.99 | \$ | 1,022,125 | 1,081,000 | 7.36 | \$ | 593,125 | | |

The fair value of options granted prior to January 1, 2006, was determined at the date of grant using the minimum value method, which excludes consideration of volatility in the fair value calculations. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted during the years 2006, 2005, and 2004, was \$0.59, \$0.17, and \$0.14, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, was \$1,250, \$0, and \$0, respectively.

A summary of the status of the Company's nonvested stock options as of December 31, 2006, and changes during the year ended December 31, 2006, is as follows:

| | | Weighted | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| | | Average Grant | Weighted | | | |
| | | Date | Average | | | |
| | Shares Fair Value | | Exercise Price | | | |
| Nonvested, January 1, 2006 | 1,541,500 | \$ 0.19 | \$ 0.26 | | | |
| Granted | 520,500 | 0.59 | 0.80 | | | |
| Vested | (566,000) | 0.17 | 0.48 | | | |
| Forfeited | (340,000) | 0.12 | 0.50 | | | |
| Nonvested, December 31, 2006 | 1,156,000 | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.90 | | | |
| | | | | | | |

As of December 31, 2006, there was \$271,327 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock options granted under the 2003 and 2004 Plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.45 years.

The Company issues new shares upon exercise of options.

Note 7. Warrants

The Company issued 56,000 warrants in 2003 which remain outstanding as of December 31, 2006. The warrants have a weighted average exercise price of \$0.34 and expire in 2013.

Note 8. Income Taxes

Significant components of deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|------------------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------|
| Deferred Tax Assets: | | | |
| Accrued expenses | \$ 151,843 | \$ 33,904 | \$ 58,458 |
| Deferred revenue | 105,170 | | |
| Other | 68,288 | 45,592 | 28,851 |
| Total Deferred Tax Assets | 325,301 | 79,496 | 87,309 |
| Valuation Allowance | 53,643 | 42,635 | 28,855 |
| Net Deferred Tax Assets | 271,658 | 36,861 | 58,454 |
| Deferred Tax Liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 291,293 | 26,690 | 130,621 |
| Other | 15,385 | 7,846 | 15,399 |
| Total Deferred Tax Liabilities | 306,678 | 34,536 | 146,020 |
| Net Deferred Tax Liability (Asset) | \$ 35,020 | \$ (2,325) | \$ 87,566 |

The net deferred tax (liability) asset is included in the balance sheet as follows:

| Liabilities | | | |
|-------------|-------------------|----------|----------|
| Current | \$ 19,954 \$ | - \$ | 72,262 |
| Long-term | 15,066 | 5,462 | 15,304 |
| | 35,020 | 5,462 | 87,566 |
| Assets | | | |
| Current | | 7,787 | |
| | \$ (35,020) \$ | 2,325 \$ | (87,556) |
| | | | |
| F-20 | | | |

The valuation allowance on deferred tax assets for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 changed by \$11,008, \$13,780, and \$28,855, respectively.

For the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company utilized net operating loss carryforwards of \$45,818 to offset federal income taxes owed. As of December 31, 2006, the Company had no federal net operating loss carryforwards.

Note 9. Commitments

Lease Agreements and Contingencies

The Company has several lease agreements for office and storage space. Future minimum lease payments under the lease agreements are as follows for the years ending December 31:

| 2007 | \$ 181,041 |
|------------|-----------------|
| 2008 | 219,701 |
| 2009 | 205,868 |
| 2010 | 84,869 |
| 2011 | 74,985 |
| Thereafter | 418,669 |
| | \$ 1,185,133 |

Government Overhead Rates

Revenue earned on government research and development contracts include amounts based on operating costs using a computed overhead rate that is adjusted each year. As a result of the standard yearly audit by the Defense Contract Audit Agency of the 2004 overhead rate, it was determined that the Company was using an incorrect rate, which resulted in an overcharge of overhead costs. An amount of \$55,633 has been accrued as of December 31, 2004, and is included in accrued expenses as of December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004.

Note 10. Employee Benefit Plan

In April 2004, the Company initiated a 401(k) plan for the benefit of its employees. In 2007, the Company began matching a portion of employee's contributions to the plan up to 50% of the first 6% of an employee's deferral.

Note 11. Guarantees

Beginning in 2004, the Company began leasing office space from a company ("Lessor Company") wholly-owned by Mobilisa majority owners, who are also members of management. The Lessor Company's entire operations consist of the leased property and related bank debt. The Company is a guarantor of the loans for the leased property. As of December 31, 2006, the Company's maximum exposure to loss is \$450,187.

Under FASB Interpretation ("FIN") No. 46 (revised December 2003) "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an Interpretation of ARB No. 51," companies are required to consolidate a related variable interest entity ("VIE") when the reporting company is the "primary beneficiary" of that entity and holds a variable interest in the VIE. The determination of whether a reporting company is the primary beneficiary of a VIE ultimately turns on whether the reporting entity will absorb a majority of the VIE's anticipated losses or receive a majority of the VIE's anticipated gains.

The Company analyzed its transactions with and relationship to the Lessor Company and concluded that it had an implicit variable interest in the Lessor Company. However, the primary beneficiary, based on an assessment of what entity absorbs a majority of the entity's expected losses, receives a majority of its expected residual returns, or both, as a result of holding variable interests, is the common owners. Accordingly, the Company is not required to consolidate the operations of the Lessor Company.

Note 12. Related Party Transactions

The majority owners, who are members of management, loan money to the Company from time to time. The loans bear no interest and are payable upon demand. As of December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, amounts owed to related parties were \$27,403, \$0, and \$12,900, respectively.

The Company leases office space from a Company that is wholly-owned by the majority owners, who are members of management. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, total rental payments for this office space was \$55,375, \$48,957, and \$23,508. The Company entered into a 10-year lease for the office space ending in 2017.

The majority owners, who are members of management, have guaranteed all debt of the Company.

Note 13. Subsequent Events

In August 2007, the Company entered into a binding term sheet with Intelli-Check, Inc., in which the two companies will be combined. If either company chooses to terminate the term sheet for convenience, then a penalty of \$1,000,000 will be payable to the other party.

MOBILISA, INC.

FINANCIAL REPORT

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | |
| BALANCE SHEETS | F-25 |
| STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS | F-26 |
| STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | F-27 |
| STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS | F-28 |
| NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | F-29 - F-42 |
| F-24 | |

MOBILISA, INC. BALANCE SHEETS

| ASSETS | S | (Unaudited) September 30, 2007 | | | ember 31, 2006 |
|--|----|--------------------------------------|------------|----|-------------------|
| Current Assets | | | | | |
| Cash | \$ | 2 | 258,061 \$ | | 182,726 |
| Accounts receivable | | | 791,224 | | 832,267 |
| Prepaid and other current assets | | | 32,419 | | 8,093 |
| Total current assets | | 2,0 | 081,704 | | 1,023,086 |
| Fixed Assets, net (Note 2) | | 2 | 268,304 | | 228,951 |
| Other Assets | | | 16,269 | | 9,289 |
| Deferred income taxes | | 1 | 126,506 | | |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 2,4 | 192,783 \$ | | 1,261,326 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | | | | |
| Current Liabilities | | | | | |
| Lines of credit (Note 4) | | \$ | 264,399 | \$ | 203,116 |
| Accounts payable | | | 10,368 | • | 130,972 |
| Accrued expenses | | | 405,245 | | 302,862 |
| Current portion of deferred revenue (Note 3) | | | 461,674 | | 162,729 |
| Advances from related parties (Note 12) | | | | | 27,043 |
| Deferred income tax | | | 281,479 | | 19,954 |
| Current portion of notes payable | | | 9,709 | | 12,289 |
| Total current liabilities | | | 1,432,874 | | 858,965 |
| Deferred Revenue | | | 551,993 | | 137,758 |
| Notes Payable (Note 4) | | | 23,591 | | 52,396 |
| Deferred Income Tax | | | | | 15,066 |
| Total liabilities | | | 2,008,458 | | 1,064,185 |
| Commitments (Notes 4, 9) | | | | | |
| Stockholders' Equity | | | | | |
| Common stock; 20,000,000 shares | | | | | |
| authorized; 11,250,990 and 11,243,490, | | | | | |
| shares issued and outstanding, respectively | | | 61,533 | | 59,658 |
| Additional paid-in capital | | | 273,574 | | 214,578 |
| Accumulated earnings (deficit) | | | 149,218 | | (77,095) |
| Total stockholders' equity | | | 484,325 | | 197,141 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | \$ | 2,492,783 | \$ | 1,261,326 |
| F-25 | | | | | |

MOBILISA, INC. STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007, and 2006 (Unaudited)

| | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|--------------------|-----------|
| Revenues | | |
| Research and development | \$ 1,278,664 \$ | 1,141,241 |
| Consulting income | 889,378 | 590,426 |
| Commercial products | 1,678,370 | 298,261 |
| Subscriptions and warranties | 216,733 | |
| Total revenues | 4,063,145 | 2,029,928 |
| Cost of revenues | | |
| Research and development | 193,867 | 103,383 |
| Commercial products | 524,884 | 95,408 |
| Total cost of revenues | 718,751 | 198,791 |
| Gross profit | 3,344,394 | 1,831,137 |
| Operating expenses | | |
| Salaries and wages | 1,941,702 | 1,241,356 |
| Professional fees | 117,199 | 65,573 |
| Rent | 151,641 | 85,118 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 47,765 | 32,241 |
| Other general and administrative | 721,346 | 463,505 |
| Total operating expenses | 2,979,653 | 1,887,793 |
| Operating income (loss) | 364,741 | (56,656) |
| Other income (expense) | | |
| Interest expense | (13,400) | (26,019) |
| Other income | 625 | 51 |
| Total other income (expense) | (12,775) | (25,968) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 351,966 | (82,624) |
| Provision for income tax expense (benefit) | | |
| Current | 5,700 | |
| Deferred | 119,953 | 35,171 |
| | 125,653 | 35,171 |
| Net Income (Loss) | \$ 226,313 \$ | (117,795) |

See Notes to Financial Statements

MOBILISA, INC. STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 (Unaudited)

Common Stock

| | | | Additional | Accumulated | |
|----------------------------------|------------|-----------------|------------|----------------|---------|
| | Number of | | paid-in | earnings | |
| | shares | Amount | capital | (deficit) | Total |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | 11,240,990 | \$ 58,408 \$ | 132,822 | \$ (140,253)\$ | 50,977 |
| Share-based compensation expense | | | 81,756 | | 81,756 |
| Exercise of stock options | 2,500 | 1,250 | | | 1,250 |
| Net income | | | | 63,158 | 63,158 |
| Balance, December 31, 2006 | 11,243,490 | 59,658 | 214,578 | (77,095) | 197,141 |
| Share-based compensation expense | | | 58,996 | | 58,996 |
| Exercise of stock options | 7,500 | 1,875 | | | 1,875 |
| Net income | _ | _ | - | 226,313 | 226,313 |
| Balance, September 30, 2007 | 11,250,990 | \$ 61,533 \$ | 273,574 | \$ 149,218 \$ | 484,325 |

See Notes to Financial Statements

MOBILISA, INC. STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 (Unaudited)

| | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Operating Activities | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 226,313 | \$ (117,795) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) | | |
| to net cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 47,765 | 32,241 |
| Share-based expenses | 58,996 | 62,467 |
| Deferred income taxes | 119,953 | 35,171 |
| Change in: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (958,957) | (353,795) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | (31,306) | (20,217) |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | (18,221) | 43,947 |
| Deferred revenue | 713,180 | 94,409 |
| Advances from related parties | (27,043) | 26,841 |
| Income tax payable | | (48,845) |
| Net cash flows from operating activities | 130,680 | (245,576) |
| Investing Activities | | |
| Purchase of fixed assets | (87,118) | (37,232) |
| Financing Activities | | |
| Net change in lines of credit | 61,283 | 209,568 |
| Principal payment on notes payable | (31,385) | (13,875) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 1,875 | 1,250 |
| Net cash flows from financing activities | 31,773 | 196,943 |
| Net increase in cash | 75,335 | (85,865) |
| Cash - beginning of year | 182,726 | 88,234 |
| Cash - end of year | \$ 258,061 | \$ 2,369 |
| | | |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE: | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ 11,216 | \$ 23,895 |
| Cash paid for income tax | \$ 5,700 | \$ 49,848 |
| Noncash investing and financing activities: | | |
| Purchase of fixed assets with debt issuance | \$ - | \$ 48,903 |

See Notes to Financial Statements

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Business

Mobilisa, Inc. ("the Company") was incorporated in the State of Washington in March 2001. The Company derives its revenue from research and development contracts with various branches of the United States government, which primarily entail developing solutions for wirelessly transmitting information over water and developing software applications for mobile, handheld devices. The Company also derives its revenue from selling handheld communication devices with patented software which allows users to read various forms of identification and compare it to information on databases. The Company is headquartered in Port Townsend, Washington, and has offices in Ohio and Washington D.C.

Interim Financial Statement Presentation

The accompanying interim financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information. All adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary by management for fair presentation have been included.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the Company's financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the Company's financial statements and accompanying notes. Significant estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the financial statements include share-based expenses and deferred tax valuation allowances. Due to the inherent uncertainties involved in making estimates, actual results may be different from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers money market funds, certificates of deposit, and all highly liquid debt instruments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. As of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 there were no cash equivalents.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are stated at principal amounts and are primarily comprised of amounts contractually due from customers for products and services, and amounts due from government research and development contracts. The Company provides an allowance for doubtful accounts based on an evaluation of customer account balances past due 180 days from the date of invoicing. In determining whether to record an allowance for a specific customer, the Company considers a number of factors, including prior payment history and financial information of the customer. As of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, management determined no allowance for doubtful accounts was necessary.

Inventory

In general, the Company does not maintain inventory. Rather, inventory is purchased on an as-needed basis and shipped to the customer within a relatively short time after purchase.

Fixed Assets

Fixed assets are recorded at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over their estimates lives, as described below. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of their estimated lives or the term of the lease. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred.

| | Estimated Life |
|------------------------|----------------|
| | (in years) |
| Computer equipment | 5 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 5 to 10 |
| Vehicles | 7 to 10 |
| Leasehold improvements | 5 to 10 |

Software Development Costs

The Company complies with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 86, "Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to Be Sold, Leased or Otherwise Marketed," which specifies that costs incurred internally in creating a computer software product shall be charged to expense when incurred as research and development until technological feasibility has been established for the product. Software production costs for computer software that is to be used as an integral part of a product or process shall not be capitalized until both (a) technological feasibility has been established for the software and (b) all research and development activities for the other components of the product or process have been completed. The Company has not capitalized any software development costs as technological feasibility is generally not established until a working model is completed, at which time substantially all development is complete.

In accordance with American Institute of Certified Public Accountants ("AICPA") Statement of Position ("SOP") 98-1, "Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use," the Company may capitalize certain costs associated with the implementation of software developed for internal use. Costs capitalized would primarily consist of employee salaries and benefits allocated to the implementation project. The Company capitalized no such costs in 2007 or 2006.

Long-Lived Assets Impairment

Long-lived assets are reviewed when changes in circumstances require as to whether their carrying value has become impaired, pursuant to guidance established in SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." Management considers assets to be impaired if the carrying value exceeds the future projected cash flows from the related operations (undiscounted and without interest charges). If impairment is deemed to exist, the assets will be written down to fair value, and a loss is recorded as the difference between the carrying value and the fair value. Fair values are determined based on the quoted market values, discounted cash flows, or internal and external appraisal, as applicable. Assets to be disposed of are carried at the lower of carrying value or estimated net realizable value. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 no impairment charges were recorded.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue is generally recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the fee is fixed and determinable, collectibility is probable, and there is no future Company involvement or commitment. Specific criteria for each revenue type are as follows:

<u>Research and Development</u> - Revenue from research and development contracts are generally with government agencies under cost-plus fixed-fee contracts, where revenue is based on time and material costs incurred. Revenue from these arrangements is recognized as time is spent on the contract and materials are purchased.

<u>Consulting Income</u> - The Company performs consulting work for other companies. These services are billed based on time and materials. Revenue from these arrangements is also recognized as time is spent on the contract and materials are purchased.

<u>Commercial Products</u> - The Company has revenue arrangements to sell products and services for which they are obligated to deliver products and services. A typical multiple-element arrangement includes handheld units loaded with patented software, installation and training services, database subscription services, and warranties.

The Company applies Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 00-21, "Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables." Under EITF 00-21, multiple-element arrangements are assessed to determine whether they can be separated into more than one unit of accounting. In performing the assessment, the Company first applies the separation criteria within FASB Technical Bulletin ("FTB 90-1"), "Accounting for Separately Priced Extended Warranty and Product Maintenance Contracts" to separate the deliverables falling within the scope of FTB 90-1. Multiple-element arrangements are separated into more than one element if all of the following are met:

- The delivered item(s) has value to the customer on a standalone basis.
- There is objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the undelivered item(s).
- ·If the arrangement includes a general right of return relative to the delivered item, delivery or performance of the undelivered item(s) is considered probable and substantially within the Company's control.

If all of the above criteria are not met, revenue associated with the arrangement is deferred until the criteria are met on all undelivered elements, or the entire arrangement has been delivered. If objective and reliable evidence of fair value is available for all elements of the arrangement, revenue is allocated to each element based upon the relative fair value of each element to the total arrangement value. The price charged when an element is sold separately generally determines fair value. In the absence of fair value for a delivered element, the Company allocates revenue first to the

fair value of the undelivered elements and then allocates the residual value to the delivered elements. In the absence of fair value for an undelivered element, the entire arrangement is accounted for as a single unit of accounting and revenue for the delivered elements is deferred until the undelivered elements have been delivered.

In multiple element revenue arrangements that include software that is more than incidental to the products or services as a whole, software and software-related elements are accounted for in accordance with AICPA Statement of Position ("SOP") No. 97-2, "Software Revenue Recognition." Software-related elements include software products and services, as well as any non-software deliverable for which a software deliverable is essential to its functionality. The Company allocates revenue to each element based upon vendor-specific objective evidence ("VSOE") of the fair value of the element or, if VSOE is not available, by application of the residual method. VSOE of fair value for an element is based upon the price charged when the element is sold separately.

<u>Subscriptions</u> - Subscriptions to database information can be purchased for month-to-month, one, two, and three year periods. Revenue from subscriptions are deferred and recognized over the contractual period, which is typically three years.

<u>Warranties</u> - The Company offers enhanced extended warranties for its sales of hardware and software at a set price. The revenue from these sales are deferred and recognized on a straight-line basis over the contractual period, which is typically three years.

Shipping and handling costs and out-of-pocket expenses reimbursed by customers are included in revenues and cost of revenues and are recognized when incurred.

Share-Based Payments

On January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), "*Share-Based Payment*" ("SFAS 123R"), which requires measurement of compensation cost for all share-based compensation awards at fair value on date of grant and recognition of compensation cost over the requisite service period.

The Company adopted SFAS 123(R) using the prospective transition method whereby compensation cost recognized subsequent to January 1, 2006, includes compensation cost for all stock options granted, modified, repurchased, or cancelled subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123(R). The Company amortizes stock compensation cost ratably over the requisite service period (typically the vesting period). Additionally, under this transition method, stock options that were outstanding at the date of adoption of SFAS 123(R) continue to be accounted for using the accounting principles originally applied to them.

Income Taxes

The Company complies with SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," which requires the Company to recognize deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the Company's financial statements or tax returns using the liability method. Under this method, deferred tax liabilities and assets are determined based on the temporary differences between the financial statements and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which the differences are expected to reverse.

The difference between taxes computed by applying the U.S. tax rate in effect and the actual tax expense or benefit recorded is due to the valuation allowance on the Company's deferred tax assets and varying tax rates between years presented. The Company evaluates its valuation allowance requirements on an annual basis based on projected future operations. When circumstances change and this causes a change in management's judgment about the realization of deferred tax assets, the impact of the change on the valuation allowance is reflected in current income.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

This pronouncement requires that the Company calculate the fair value of financial instruments and include this additional information in the notes to financial statements when the fair value is different than the book value of those financial instruments. The Company's financial instruments include cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable, lines of credit, and notes payable. At 30 September, 2007, the carrying value cash, accounts receivable, and accounts payable, approximated fair value, due to their short-term nature. The carrying value of the lines of credit and notes payable approximated fair value due to these agreements generally having reasonable rates of interest based on the nature of the collateral.

Concentrations and Credit Risk

The Company maintains cash and cash equivalent balances with financial institutions that exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses related to these balances, and management believes its risk of loss to be minimal.

The Company currently derives a significant portion of its revenue from sales of products and services to different agencies of the U.S. government or commercial customers primarily serving various agencies of the U.S. government. Given the type of customers, the Company does not believe its accounts receivable represent a significant credit risk. As of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, 35% and 90% of accounts receivable were from three and six customers, respectively.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 141(R), "Business Combinations," ("SFAS 141(R)") which replaces SFAS No.141. SFAS No. 141(R) establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any non-controlling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. The Statement also establishes disclosure requirements which will enable users to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141(R) is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The adoption of SFAS 141(R) will have an impact on accounting for business combinations once adopted, but the effect is dependent upon acquisitions at that time.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51" ("SFAS 160"), which establishes accounting and reporting standards for ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent, the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the non-controlling interest, changes in a parent's ownership interest and the valuation of retained non-controlling equity investments when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. The Statement also establishes reporting requirements that provide sufficient disclosures that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the non-controlling owners. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company has not determined the effect that the application of SFAS 160 will have on its financial statements.

In June 2007, the Emerging Issues Task Force of the FASB issued EITF Issue No. 07-3, "Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services to be Used in Future Research and Development Activities," which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. EITF 07-3 requires that nonrefundable advance payments for future research and development activities be deferred and capitalized. Such amounts will be recognized as an expense as the goods are delivered or the related services are performed. Management does not expect the adoption of EITF 07-3 to have a material impact on the financial results of the Company.

In September 2006, FASB issued SFAS No. 157 "Fair Value Measurements." SFAS 157 addresses differences in the definition of fair value and guidance in applying the definition of fair value to the many accounting pronouncements that require fair value measurements. SFAS 157 emphasizes that (1) fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability for sale or transfer and (2) fair value is not entity-specific but based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Finally, SFAS 157 establishes a hierarchy of fair value assumptions that distinguishes between independent market participant assumptions and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company does not expect that SFAS 157 will have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.'

In February 2007, FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "*The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Liabilities*." SFAS 159 provides the option to report certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value, with the intent to mitigate volatility in financial reporting that can occur when related assets and liabilities are record on different bases and is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. Management does not expect the adoption of SFAS 159 to have a material impact on the financial position of the Company.

Note 2. Fixed Assets

Fixes assets are comprised of the following:

| | Sept | tember 30, 2007 | ember 31, 2006 |
|---|------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Computer equipment | \$ | 203,508 | \$ 162,979 |
| Furniture and fixtures | | 63,744 | 52,675 |
| Vehicles | | 115,199 | 115,199 |
| Leasehold improvements | | 51,455 | 15,935 |
| | | 433,906 | 346,788 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization | | (165,602) | (117,837) |
| | \$ | 268,304 | \$ 228,951 |

Note 3. Deferred Revenue

Deferred revenue is related to the following:

| | September 30, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Warranty | \$ 298,032 | \$ 110,435 |
| Subscriptions | 715,635 | 190,052 |
| | 1,013,667 | 300,487 |
| Less: current portion | (461,674) | (162,729) |
| | \$ 551,993 | \$ 137,758 |

Note 4. Debt

Lines of Credit

The Company has lines of credit with several institutions, as follows:

| | | | Se | ptember 30, | Γ | December 31, |
|--------|---------------|---------|----|-------------|----------|--------------|
| | Interest Rate | Limit | | 2007 | | 2006 |
| Line 1 | Prime + 1%\$ | 90,000 | \$ | 80,210 | \$ | 1,009 |
| Line 2 | Prime + 1% | 250,000 | | 184,189 | | 202,107 |
| Line 3 | 15% | 15,000 | | | | |
| | \$ | 355,000 | \$ | 264,399 | \$ | 203,116 |

Interest payments on the lines of credit are due monthly. All assets of the Company have been pledged as collateral for the lines of credit. All of the lines are renewable annually.

Notes Payable

The Company's notes payable are as follows:

| | ember 30, I 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|
| Note payable, paid in full in 2007. | \$ - \$ | 24,759 |
| Note payable, 7.83% interest, secured by vehicle, payable in monthly | | |
| installments of \$443 including interest, due January 12, 2011. | 15,900 | 18,531 |
| Note payable, 6.69% interest, secured by vehicle, payable in monthly | | |
| installments of \$534, including interest, due September 14, 2010. | 17,400 | 21,395 |
| | 33,300 | 64,685 |
| Less: current portion | (9,709) | (12,289) |
| Long-term portion of notes payable | \$ 23,591 \$ | 52,396 |
| F-36 | | |

Notes payable mature as follows for the years ending September 30:

| 2008 | \$ 9,709 |
|------|--------------|
| 2009 | 10,430 |
| 2010 | 11,072 |
| 2011 | 2,089 |
| | \$ 33,300 |

Note 5. Capital Stock

The Company has 2,000,000 shares of undesignated no par value preferred stock authorized. No shares of preferred stock are outstanding.

Note 6. Share-based Payments

The Company has two share-based compensation plans, which are described below. The compensation cost that has been charged against income for those plans for the nine months ended September 20,2007 and 2006, was \$8,996 and \$62,467, respectively. There has been no share-based compensation cost capitalized.

The Company's 2003 Stock Option Plan ("2003 Plan") permits the grant of share options for up to 3,000,000 shares of common stock. The 2003 Plan is intended to provide an incentive to employees, directors, and consultants to use their maximum effort in the successful operation of the Company and to attract and retain talented personnel. Option awards are generally granted with an exercise price equal to the fair value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant; those option awards generally vest based on four years of continuous service and have a ten-year contractual term. Option awards provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control (as defined in the 2003 Plan). As of September 30, 2007, there were 1,772,500 options available for grant under the 2003 Plan.

The Company's 2004 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan ("2004 Plan") permits the grant of share options for up to 1,000,000 shares of common stock. The 2004 Plan is intended to provide an incentive to non-employee directors to use their maximum effort in the successful operation of the Company and to attract and retain directors. Option awards are generally granted with an exercise price equal to the fair value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant and have a ten year contractual term. Those option awards vest 50% on the first anniversary and 1/24th per month following so that the options are fully vested on the second anniversary of the date of grant. Option awards provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control (as defined in the 2004 Plan). As of September 30, 2007, there were no options available for grant under the 2004 Plan.

The fair value of each award is estimated as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model that uses assumptions noted in the following table. As the Company does not have a readily determinable value for its common stock, the expected volatilities are based on implied volatilities from the closing market price of the Company's closest publicly-traded competitor. The expected term of the options represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rates are based on the U.S. treasury yield curve for the expected term in effect at the time of grant.

The assumptions used in the Black-Sholes option valuation model for the nine months and year ended September 30, 2007 and December 31,2006 is as follows:

| | 2007 | 2006 |
|-----------------------|------------|------------|
| Expected volatility | 58.8-59.0% | 58.3-83.6% |
| Expected term (years) | 7 | 7 |
| Risk-free rate | 4.6-5.1% | 4.4-5.1% |
| Expected dividends | 0% | 0% |

A summary of option activity under the plans is as follows:

| | | Weighted | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| | | Average Grant Weight | | | | |
| | | Date | Average | | | |
| | Shares | Fair Value | Exercise Price | | | |
| Options outstanding, December 31, 2006 | 2,237,000 | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.68 | | | |
| Granted | 16,000 | 0.64 | 1.00 | | | |
| Exercised | (7,500) | 0.06 | 0.25 | | | |
| Forfeited/canceled | (28,000) | 0.45 | 0.73 | | | |
| Options outstanding, September 30,2007 | 2,217,500 | \$ 0.27 | \$ 0.54 | | | |

| | Op | otions Outstand | ing | | Op | tions Exercisal | ble | |
|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|-----------|
| | | Weighted | | | | Weighted | | |
| | | Average | | Total | | Average | | Total |
| Exercise | Number of | Remaining | | Intrinsic | Number of | Remaining | | Intrinsic |
| Prices | Options | Term | | Value | Options | Term | | Value |
| \$0.25 | 228,000 | 5.00 | \$ | 168,750 | 228,000 | 5.00 | \$ | 171,000 |
| \$0.50 | 1,676,000 | 7.25 | | 838,000 | 1,279,500 | 7.10 | | 639,750 |
| \$1.00 | 313,500 | 8.86 | | | 75,125 | 8.62 | | |
| | 2,217,500 | 7.25 | \$ | 1,006,750 | 1,582,625 | 6.87 | \$ | 810,750 |

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$0.64. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$5,625.

A summary of the status of and changes in the Company's nonvested stock is as follows:

| | | Weighted | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | Average Grant Weight | | |
| | | Date | Average | |
| | Shares | Fair Value | Exercise Price | |
| Nonvested, January 1, 2007 | 1,156,000 | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.90 | |
| Granted | 16,000 | 0.64 | 1.00 | |
| Vested | 509,125 | 0.27 | 0.57 | |
| Forfeited | (28,000) | 0.45 | 0.73 | |
| Nonvested, September 30, 2007 | 634,875 | \$ 0.47 | \$ 0.72 | |

As of September 30, 2007 there was \$212,332 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock options granted under the 2003 and 2004 Plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.74 years.

The Company issues new shares upon exercise of options.

Note 7. Warrants

The Company issued 56,000 warrants in 2003 which remain outstanding as of September 30, 2007. The warrants have a weighted average exercise price of \$0.34 and expire in 2013.

Note 8. Income Taxes

Significant components of deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

| | Sept | tember 30, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|------------------------------------|------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Deferred Tax Assets: | | | |
| Accrued expenses | \$ | 121,574 | \$ 151,843 |
| Deferred revenue | | 331,700 | 105,170 |
| Other | | 5,553 | 68,288 |
| Total Deferred Tax Assets | | 458,827 | 325,301 |
| Valuation Allowance | | 2,443 | 53,643 |
| Net Deferred Tax Assets | | 456,384 | 271,658 |
| Deferred Tax Liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | | 537,367 | 291,293 |
| Other | | 73,990 | 15,385 |
| Total Deferred Tax Liabilities | | 611,357 | 306,678 |
| Net Deferred Tax Liability (Asset) | \$ | (154,973) | \$ (35,020) |

The net deferred tax (liability) asset is included in the balance sheet as follows:

| | S | eptember 30, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|-------------|----|----------------------|-------------------|
| Asset | | | |
| Long-term | \$ | 126,506 | \$ - |
| | \$ | 126,506 | \$ - |
| Liabilities | | | |
| Current | | 281,479 | 19,954 |
| Long-term | | | 15,066 |
| | | 281,479 | 35,020 |
| | \$ | (154,973) | \$ (35,020) |

The valuation allowance on deferred tax assets for the periods ended September 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006, changed by \$51,200 and \$11,008, respectively.

As of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 the Company had no federal net operating loss carryforwards. F-40

Note 9. Commitments

Lease Agreements and Contingencies

The Company has several lease agreements for office and storage space. Future minimum lease payments under the lease agreements are as follows for the years ending September 30:

| 2008 | \$ 224,069 |
|------------|-----------------|
| 2009 | 209,194 |
| 2010 | 114,519 |
| 2011 | 74,986 |
| 2012 | 74,986 |
| Thereafter | 362,430 |
| | \$ 1,060,184 |

Government Overhead Rates

Revenue earned on government research and development contracts include amounts based on operating costs using a computed overhead rate that is adjusted each year. As a result of the standard yearly audit by the Defense Contract Audit Agency of the 2004 overhead rate, it was determined that the Company was using an incorrect rate, which resulted in an overcharge of overhead costs. An amount of \$55,633 has been accrued as of December 31, 2004, and is included in accrued expenses as of September 30, 2007.

Note 10. Employee Benefit Plan

In April 2004, the Company initiated a 401(k) plan for the benefit of its employees. In July 2007, the Company began matching a portion of employee's contributions to the plan up to 50% of the first 6% of an employee's deferral. The Company's contribution for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 was \$7,990 and \$0.

Note 11. Guarantees

Beginning in 2004, the Company began leasing office space from a company ("Lessor Company") wholly-owned by Mobilisa majority owners, who are also members of management. The Lessor Company's entire operations consist of the leased property and related bank debt. The Company is a guarantor of the loans for the leased property. As of September 30, 2007, the Company's maximum exposure to loss is \$439,520.

Under FASB Interpretation ("FIN") No. 46 (revised December 2003) "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an Interpretation of ARB No. 51," companies are required to consolidate a related variable interest entity ("VIE") when the reporting company is the "primary beneficiary" of that entity and holds a variable interest in the VIE. The determination of whether a reporting company is the primary beneficiary of a VIE ultimately turns on whether the reporting entity will absorb a majority of the VIE's anticipated losses or receive a majority of the VIE's anticipated gains.

The Company analyzed its transactions with and relationship to the Lessor Company and concluded that it had an implicit variable interest in the Lessor Company. However, the primary beneficiary, based on an assessment of what entity absorbs a majority of the entity's expected losses, receives a majority of its expected residual returns, or both, as a result of holding variable interests, is the common owners. Accordingly, the Company is not required to consolidate the operations of the Lessor Company.

Note 12. Related Party Transactions

The majority owners, who are members of management, loan money to the Company from time to time. The loans bear no interest and are payable upon demand. As of September 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006, there were \$0 and \$27,043 owed to related parties.

The Company leases office space from a Company that is wholly-owned by the majority owners, who are members of management. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, total rental payments for this office space were \$56,240, and \$56,240. The Company entered into a 10-year lease for the office space ending in 2017.

The majority owners, who are members of management, have guaranteed all debt of the Company.

Note 13. Subsequent Events

In November 2007, the Company signed a definitive agreement with Intelli-Check, Inc., to combine the two companies on the basis of a merger of equals. The merger is subject to the approval by shareholders of both companies.

INTELLI-CHECK, INC. AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

INDEX

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM | F-44 |
| | |
| FINANCIAL STATEMENTS: | |
| Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2005 and 2006 | F-45 |
| | |
| Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2005 and 2006 | F-46 |
| | |
| Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2005 and 2006 | F-47 |
| | |
| Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2005 and 2006 | F-48 |
| | |
| NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | F-49 - F-63 |
| | |
| Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts | F-64 |
| | |
| F-43 | |
| | |

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Intelli-Check, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Intelli-Check, Inc. (the "Company") as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Intelli-Check, Inc. as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment."

We have also audited the financial statement schedule listed in the index at item 15(a)(2), schedule II for each of the three years ended December 31, 2006. In our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ Amper, Politziner & Mattia, P.C.

New York, New York March 27, 2007

INTELLI-CHECK, INC.

BALANCE SHEETS DECEMBER 31, 2005 and 2006

| | | 2005 | | 2006 |
|--|--------|---------------------|----|--------------|
| <u>ASSETS</u> | | | | |
| CURRENT ASSETS: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 528,250 | \$ | 526,917 |
| Marketable securities and short-term investments | | 5,263,308 | | 3,759,133 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$28,467 | | | | |
| and \$10,000 for 2005 and 2006, respectively | | 408,542 | | 591,976 |
| Inventory | | 125,981 | | 115,193 |
| Other current assets | | 419,279 | | 512,112 |
| Total current assets | | 6,745,360 | | 5,505,331 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net (Note 3) | | 92,246 | | 85,603 |
| DATENIT COCTO (ALA A) | | 26.270 | | 20.170 |
| PATENT COSTS, net (Note 4) | | 36,379 | | 30,170 |
| OTHER ASSETS | | 34,916 | | 34,916 |
| OTHER ASSETS | | 34,910 | | 34,910 |
| Total assets | \$ | 6,908,901 | \$ | 5,656,020 |
| Total assets | Ψ | 0,700,701 | Ψ | 3,030,020 |
| LIABILITIES AND ST | ГОСКНО | DLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| | | | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES: | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 371,521 | \$ | 155,066 |
| Accrued expenses (Note 5) | | 389,742 | | 378,028 |
| Deferred revenue | | 694,958 | | 1,037,366 |
| Other current liabilities | | - | | 75,000 |
| Total current liabilities | | 1,456,221 | | 1,645,460 |
| | | | | |
| OTHER LIABILITIES | | 62,995 | | 73,475 |
| | | | | |
| Total liabilities | | 1,519,216 | | 1,718,935 |
| | | | | |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note | | | | |
| 9) | | | | |
| CTOCKHOLDEDC' FOLUTY. | | | | |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY: | | | | |
| Common stock - \$.001 par value; 20,000,000 shares | | | | |
| authorized; 12,058,240 and 12,202,778 shares issued | | | | |
| and outstanding as of 2005 and 2006, | | | | |
| respectively 12,202,778 shares issued and | | 12.050 | | 12 202 |
| outstanding as of 2005 and 2006, respectively Deferred compensation | | 12,058 (263,460) | | 12,203 |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 44,748,969 | | 45,912,734 |
| Accumulated deficit | | (39,107,882) | | |
| Accumulated deficit | | (39,107,882) | | (41,987,852) |

| Total stockholders' equity | 5,389,685 | 3,937,085 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 6,908,901 | \$ 5,656,020 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

INTELLI-CHECK, INC.

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004, 2005 AND 2006

| | | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
|--|--------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| REVENUES | \$ | 1,119,349 \$ | 2,383,532 \$ | 3,161,854 |
| COST OF REVENUES | | (393,584) | (744,615) | (1,037,341) |
| INVENTORY WRITEDOWN (Note 2) | | (357,332) | _ | - |
| Gross profit | | 368,433 | 1,638,917 | 2,124,513 |
| | | | | |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | | | |
| Selling | | 1,176,911 | 1,257,810 | 1,564,843 |
| General and administrative | | 5,032,207 | 2,824,384 | 2,664,950 |
| Research and development | | 1,176,276 | 941,530 | 997,564 |
| Total operating expenses | | 7,385,394 | 5,023,724 | 5,227,357 |
| | | | | |
| Loss from operations | | (7,016,961) | (3,384,807) | (3,102,844) |
| | | | | |
| OTHER INCOME: | | | | |
| Interest income | | 94,030 | 145,848 | 222,874 |
| | | 94,030 | 145,848 | 222,874 |
| | | | | |
| Net loss | | (6,922,931) | (3,238,959) | (2,879,970) |
| | | | | |
| Accretion of convertible redeemable preferred stock | | | | |
| costs | | (964,338) | (160,722) | - |
| Dividend on convertible redeemable preferred stock | | (240,000) | (36,822) | - |
| | | | | |
| Net loss attributable to Common Stockholders | \$ | (8,127,269) \$ | (3,436,503) \$ | (2,879,970) |
| | | | | |
| PER SHARE INFORMATION: | | | | |
| Net loss per common share - | | | | |
| Basic and diluted | \$ | (0.79) \$ | (0.31) \$ | (0.24) |
| | | | | |
| Weighted average common shares used in computing | | | | |
| per share amounts - | | | | |
| Basic and diluted | | 10,224,730 | 11,201,404 | 12,145,866 |
| The accompanying notes are an integral part of these sta | tement | ts. | | |

INTELLI-CHECK, INC.

STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004, 2005 AND 2006

| | Common Shares | | Additional Paid-in Capital | Deferred Compensation | Accumulated Deficit | Total |
|--|------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| BALANCE, December 31, 2003 | 10,154,918 | \$ 10,154 \$ | 34,287,631 | \$ (377,967) | \$ (27,019,110)\$ | 6,900,708 |
| Effect on extension of expiring options Issuance of Common | - | - | 1,347,000 | - | - | 1,347,000 |
| Stock for the exercise of stock options | 142,700 | 143 | 427,836 | - | - | 427,979 |
| Issuance of Common Stock under employment agreement | 1,500 | 2 | 6,373 | - | - | 6,375 |
| Effect on extension of expiring rights dividend | - | - | 525,000 | - | (525,000) | - |
| Purchase and retirement of Common Stock Issuance of Common | (20,200) | (20) | (98,731) |) - | - | (98,751) |
| Stock for services rendered | 11,500 | 11 | 48,864 | - | - | 48,875 |
| Amortization of deferred compensation | - | - | - | 363,407 | - | 363,407 |
| Dividend on convertible redeemable preferred stock | _ | _ | - | _ | (240,000) | (240,000) |
| Recognition of deferred compensation | - | - | 542,648 | (542,648) | - | - |
| Accretion of convertible redeemable preferred stock | _ | - | _ | _ | (964,338) | (964,338) |
| Valuation adjustment of deferred compensation Net loss | - | - - | (430,739 |) 430,739 | (6,922,931) | (6,922,931) |
| BALANCE, December 31, 2004 | 10,290,418 | 10,290 | 36,655,882 | (126,469) | (35,671,379) | 868,324 |
| Effect on extension of expiring options | - | - | 184,200 | - | - | 184,200 |
| Exercise of stock options Issuance of Common | 54,000 | 54 | 168,846 | - | - | 168,900 |
| Stock in connection with | | | | | | |

| secondary offering | 1,250,000 | 1,250 | 4,438,343 | - | - | 4,439,593 |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Conversion of | | | | | | |
| Convertible Redeemable | | | | | | |
| Preferred Stock | 454,545 | 455 | 2,999,545 | - | - | 3,000,000 |
| Issuance of stock from | | | | | | |
| cashless exercise of | | | | | | |
| stock options | 9,277 | 9 | 44,241 | - | - | 44,250 |
| Purchase and retirement | | | | | | |
| of outstanding warrants | - | - | (25,000) | - | - | (25,000) |
| Issuance of stock | | | | | | |
| options for services | | | | | | |
| rendered | - | - | 2,163 | - | - | 2,163 |
| Amortization of deferred | | | | | | |
| compensation | - | - | - | 143,758 | - | 143,758 |
| Dividend on convertible | | | | | | |
| redeemable preferred | | | | | | |
| stock | - | - | - | - | (36,822) | (36,822) |
| Recognition of deferred | | | | | | |
| compensation | - | - | 402,995 | (402,995) | _ | - |
| Accretion of convertible | | | | | | |
| redeemable preferred | | | | | | |
| stock | _ | _ | _ | _ | (160,722) | (160,722) |
| Valuation adjustment of | | | | | , , | , , , |
| deferred compensation | _ | _ | (122,246) | 122,246 | _ | _ |
| Net loss | _ | _ | - | _ | (3,238,959) | (3,238,959) |
| | | | | | () , , , | |
| | | | | | | |
| BALANCE, December | | | | | | |
| BALANCE, December 31, 2005 | 12,058,240 | 12,058 | 44,748,969 | (263,460) | (39,107,882) | 5,389,685 |
| | 12,058,240 | 12,058 | 44,748,969 | (263,460) | (39,107,882) | 5,389,685 |
| | 12,058,240 | 12,058 | 44,748,969 | (263,460) | (39,107,882) | 5,389,685 |
| 31, 2005 Surrender of stock | 12,058,240 | 12,058 | 44,748,969 | (263,460) | (39,107,882) | 5,389,685 |
| 31, 2005 Surrender of stock options previously | 12,058,240 | 12,058 | 44,748,969 | (263,460) | (39,107,882) | 5,389,685 |
| 31, 2005 Surrender of stock options previously granted and recorded as | 12,058,240 | 12,058 | 44,748,969 (82,812) | (263,460) 82,812 | (39,107,882) | 5,389,685 |
| Surrender of stock options previously granted and recorded as deferred compensation | 12,058,240 | 12,058 | | | (39,107,882) | 5,389,685 |
| Surrender of stock options previously granted and recorded as deferred compensation Stock based | 12,058,240 | 12,058 | | | (39,107,882) | 5,389,685 |
| Surrender of stock options previously granted and recorded as deferred compensation Stock based compensation expense | 12,058,240 | 12,058 | | | (39,107,882) | 5,389,685 |
| Surrender of stock options previously granted and recorded as deferred compensation Stock based | 12,058,240 | 12,058 | | | (39,107,882) | 5,389,685 |
| Surrender of stock options previously granted and recorded as deferred compensation Stock based compensation expense (employees and | 12,058,240 | | (82,812) | | (39,107,882) | - |
| Surrender of stock options previously granted and recorded as deferred compensation Stock based compensation expense (employees and directors) Stock based | 12,058,240 | 12,058 | (82,812) | | (39,107,882) | - |
| Surrender of stock options previously granted and recorded as deferred compensation Stock based compensation expense (employees and directors) Stock based compensation expense | 12,058,240 | | (82,812) | | (39,107,882) | 590,031 |
| Surrender of stock options previously granted and recorded as deferred compensation Stock based compensation expense (employees and directors) Stock based compensation expense (consultants) | | | (82,812) | | (39,107,882) | - |
| Surrender of stock options previously granted and recorded as deferred compensation Stock based compensation expense (employees and directors) Stock based compensation expense (consultants) Exercise of stock | - | - | (82,812) 590,031 185,969 | | | 590,031 185,969 |
| Surrender of stock options previously granted and recorded as deferred compensation Stock based compensation expense (employees and directors) Stock based compensation expense (consultants) Exercise of stock options | 12,058,240 - - 135,450 | 12,058 - - 136 | (82,812) | | | 590,031 |
| Surrender of stock options previously granted and recorded as deferred compensation Stock based compensation expense (employees and directors) Stock based compensation expense (consultants) Exercise of stock options Issuance of Common | - | - | (82,812) 590,031 185,969 | | (39,107,882) - - | 590,031 185,969 |
| Surrender of stock options previously granted and recorded as deferred compensation Stock based compensation expense (employees and directors) Stock based compensation expense (consultants) Exercise of stock options Issuance of Common Stock from cashless | - 135,450 | - - 136 | (82,812) 590,031 185,969 524,439 | | | 590,031 185,969 |
| Surrender of stock options previously granted and recorded as deferred compensation Stock based compensation expense (employees and directors) Stock based compensation expense (consultants) Exercise of stock options Issuance of Common Stock from cashless exercise of stock options | - | - | (82,812) 590,031 185,969 | | | 590,031 185,969 |
| Surrender of stock options previously granted and recorded as deferred compensation Stock based compensation expense (employees and directors) Stock based compensation expense (consultants) Exercise of stock options Issuance of Common Stock from cashless exercise of stock options Issuance of stock options Issuance of stock options | - 135,450 6,204 | - 136 | (82,812) 590,031 185,969 524,439 | | | 590,031 185,969 524,575 |
| Surrender of stock options previously granted and recorded as deferred compensation Stock based compensation expense (employees and directors) Stock based compensation expense (consultants) Exercise of stock options Issuance of Common Stock from cashless exercise of stock as director's compensation | - 135,450 | - - 136 | (82,812) 590,031 185,969 524,439 (6) 16,003 | | (39,107,882) - - - | 590,031 185,969 524,575 |
| Surrender of stock options previously granted and recorded as deferred compensation Stock based compensation expense (employees and directors) Stock based compensation expense (consultants) Exercise of stock options Issuance of Common Stock from cashless exercise of stock options Issuance of stock options Issuance of stock as director's compensation Extension of options | - 135,450 6,204 | - 136 | (82,812) 590,031 185,969 524,439 (6) 16,003 34,350 | | (39,107,882) | 590,031 185,969 524,575 - 16,006 34,350 |
| Surrender of stock options previously granted and recorded as deferred compensation Stock based compensation expense (employees and directors) Stock based compensation expense (consultants) Exercise of stock options Issuance of Common Stock from cashless exercise of stock options Issuance of stock as director's compensation Extension of options Recovery of | - 135,450 6,204 | - 136 | (82,812) 590,031 185,969 524,439 (6) 16,003 | | (39,107,882) | 590,031 185,969 524,575 |
| Surrender of stock options previously granted and recorded as deferred compensation Stock based compensation expense (employees and directors) Stock based compensation expense (compensation expense (consultants) Exercise of stock options Issuance of Common Stock from cashless exercise of stock options Issuance of stock options Issuance of stock as director's compensation Extension of options | - 135,450 6,204 | - 136 | (82,812) 590,031 185,969 524,439 (6) 16,003 34,350 | | (39,107,882) | 590,031 185,969 524,575 - 16,006 34,350 |

| surrender of stock | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|---------|----------------|-------------|
| options | | | | | | |
| Warrants issued to | | | | | | |
| consultants for services | | | | | | |
| rendered | - | - | 129,756 | - | - | 129,756 |
| Reclassification of | | | | | | |
| deferred stock | | | | | | |
| compensation upon | | | | | | |
| adoption of SFAS | | | | | | |
| 123(R) | - | - | (180,648) | 180,648 | - | - |
| Net loss | - | - | - | - | (2,879,970) | (2,879,970) |
| | | | | | | |
| BALANCE, December | | | | | | |
| 31, 2006 | 12,202,778 | \$ 12,203 \$ | 45,912,734 \$ | - \$ | (41,987,852)\$ | 3,937,085 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

INTELLI-CHECK, INC.

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004, 2005 AND 2006

| | | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
|---|----|----------------|----------------------|-------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | Ф | (6.022.021) | (2.220.050) A | (2.070.070) |
| Net loss | \$ | (6,922,931) \$ | (3,238,959) \$ | (2,879,970) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in | | | | |
| operating activities- | | 111,743 | 52,265 | 36,760 |
| Depreciation and amortization Non cash stock based compensation expense | | 1,350,187 | 228,450 | 826,356 |
| Issuance of Common Stock for services rendered | | 48,875 | 220,430 | 620,330 |
| | | 40,073 | 2,163 | |
| Issuance of stock options for services rendered Recovery of amortization of deferred compensation | | - | 2,103 | (53,317) |
| Amortization of deferred compensation | | 363,407 | 143,758 | 129,756 |
| Loss on sale of property and equipment | | 303,407 | 4,700 | 129,730 |
| | | 257 222 | 4,700 | |
| Writedown of inventory Changes in assets and liabilities: | | 357,332 | - | |
| | | 1,283,118 | | |
| Decrease in certificates of deposit, restricted (Increase) decrease in accounts receivable, net | | (288,946) | 45,570 | (183,434) |
| (Increase) decrease in accounts receivable, net | | (14,786) | 85,182 | 10,788 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| (Increase) in other current assets | | (62,163) | (139,729) | (92,832) |
| (Increase) in other assets | | (34,916) | - | - |
| Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued | | 667.004 | (511 505) | (228,170) |
| expenses Increase in deferred revenue | | 667,084 | (511,505) 184,300 | |
| Increase in other current liabilities | | 290,050 | 104,300 | 342,408 |
| | | (021.700) | - | 75,000 |
| (Decrease) in litigation settlement payable Increase in other liabilities | | (921,700) | - | 10,480 |
| | | (2 772 646) | (3,143,805) | (2,006,175) |
| Net cash used in operating activities | | (3,773,646) | (3,143,003) | (2,000,173) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | | (22,441) | (12,096) | (23,908) |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment | | - | 2,000 | |
| Investment in marketable securities and short-term | | | | |
| investments | | (11,677,991) | (8,037,905) | (6,384,957) |
| Sales of marketable securities and short-term | | | | |
| investments | | 12,442,395 | 6,866,581 | 7,889,132 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | | 741,963 | (1,181,420) | 1,480,267 |
| | | | | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | | |
| Net proceeds from issuance of Common Stock | | 431,167 | 168,900 | 524,575 |
| Net proceeds from issuance of Common Stock from | | | | |
| secondary offering | | - | 4,439,593 | - |
| Payment of dividend to preferred stockholders | | (240,000) | (97,315) | - |
| Repayment of capital lease obligations | | (427) | - | - |
| Purchase of outstanding warrants | | - | (25,000) | - |
| Treasury stock purchased | | (98,751) | - | - |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | | 91,989 | 4,486,178 | 524,575 |

Edgar Filing: INTELLI CHECK INC - Form DEF 14A

| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (2,939,694) | 160,953 | (1,333) |
|--|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| • | | | |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of | | | |
| year | 3,306,991 | 367,297 | 528,250 |
| | | | |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of year | \$ 367,297 | \$ 528,250 | \$ 526,917 |
| | | | |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NONCASH | | | |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Stock options issued for services rendered | \$ 542,648 | \$ 402,995 | \$ - |
| Conversion of convertible redeemable preferred stock | | | |
| into Common Stock | \$ - : | \$ 3,000,000 | \$ - |
| Accretion of convertible redeemable preferred stock | | | |
| cost | \$ 964,338 | \$ 160,722 | \$ - |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

1. NATURE OF BUSINESS AND LIQUIDITY

Business

Intelli-Check ("the Company" or "we") was formed in 1994 to address a growing need for a reliable document and age verification system that could be used to detect fraudulent driver licenses and other widely accepted forms of government-issued identification documents. Since then, our technology has been further developed for application in the commercial fraud protection, access control and governmental security markets. Additionally, it is currently being used to increase productivity by addressing inefficiencies and inaccuracies associated with manual data entry. The core of Intelli-Check's product offerings is our proprietary software technology that verifies the authenticity of driver licenses, state issued non-driver and military identification cards used as proof of identity. Our patented ID-Check® software technology instantly reads, analyzes, and verifies the encoded format in magnetic stripes and barcodes on government-issue IDs from over 60 jurisdictions in the U.S. and Canada by determining if the format conforms to the known jurisdictional format. We have served as the national testing laboratory for the American Association of Motor Vehicle Administrators (AAMVA) since 1999 and have received encoding formats from all of the issuing jurisdictions in North America.

We also introduced three products, ID-TravelerTM, ID-ProveTM and ID-Checkrtal, which provide "in-person proofing" to meet the credentialing requirements of Presidential Directive HSPD-12, a policy for a Common Identification Standard for Federal Employees and Contractors and help in Patriot Act compliance. All of our new innovations and product roll-outs are designed to be capable of being used with our data capture devices which are compact, and contain either one or both of two-dimensional bar code and magnetic stripe readers, which enables the new software technology applications to be used on a variety of commercially available data processing devices, including PDAs, tablets, laptops, desktops and point-of-sale computers.

Liquidity

Since inception, the Company has incurred significant losses and negative cash flow from operating activities, and as of December 31, 2006 we had an accumulated deficit of \$41,987,852. The Company anticipates that its current available cash on hand and marketable securities and cash resources from expected revenues from the sale of our products and the licensing of its technology will be sufficient to meet its anticipated working capital and capital expenditure requirements for at least the next twelve months. These requirements are expected to include the purchase of inventory, product development, sales and marketing expenses, working capital requirements and other general corporate purposes. The Company may need to raise additional funds to respond to business contingencies which may include the need to fund more rapid expansion, fund additional marketing expenditures, develop new markets for its ID-Check® technology, enhance its operating infrastructure, respond to competitive pressures, or acquire complementary businesses or necessary technologies.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash and highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less when purchased. As of December 31, 2005 and 2006, cash equivalents included money market funds, commercial paper and other liquid short-term debt instruments (with maturities at date of purchase of three months or less) of \$467,991 and \$155,851, respectively.

Marketable Securities

The Company has classified its marketable securities as held-to-maturity as the Company has the intent and ability to hold these securities to maturity. The securities are carried at amortized cost using the specific identification method. Interest income is recorded using an effective interest rate, with the associated premium or discount amortized to interest income. All of the Company's marketable securities have maturities of less than one year with a weighted average interest rate of 4.04%. The carrying value of the marketable securities as of December 31, 2005 and 2006 approximated the fair market value.

Doubtful Accounts and Allowances

The Company records its doubtful accounts and allowances based upon its assessment of various factors. The Company considers historical experience, the age of the accounts receivable balances, credit quality of the Company's customers, current economic conditions and other factors that may affect customers' ability to pay.

Inventory

Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market and cost is determined using the first-in, first-out method. Inventory is primarily comprised of finished goods.

Long-Lived Assets and Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company's long-lived assets include property and equipment and patents.

Under the provision of SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets" which supersedes SFAS No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets to be Disposed Of," SFAS No. 144 requires that identifiable intangible assets that are not deemed to have indefinite lives will be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of the assets may be impaired. Furthermore, these assets are evaluated for continuing value and proper useful lives by comparison to undiscounted expected future cash flow projections. We did not recognize an impairment on our long-lived assets during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 or 2004.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost and are depreciated over their estimated useful lives ranging from two to ten-years using the straight-line basis. Leasehold improvements are amortized utilizing the straight-line method over the lesser of the term of the lease or estimated useful life of the asset.

Intangible Assets

Patent costs, primarily consisting of legal costs and allocated costs are being amortized over a period of 17 years using the straight-line method.

COSTS OF COMPUTER SOFTWARE DEVELOPED OR OBTAINED FOR INTERNAL USE

The Company accounts for certain software costs under Statement of Position 98-1, "Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use" ("SOP 98-1"), which provides guidance for determining whether computer software is internal-use software and guidance on accounting for the proceeds of computer software originally developed or obtained for internal use and then subsequently sold to the public. It also provides guidance on capitalization of the costs incurred for computer software developed or obtained for internal use.

Capitalized Software Development Costs

SFAS No. 86, "Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to Be Sold, Leased or Otherwise Marketed," specifies that costs incurred internally in creating a computer software product shall be charged to expense when incurred as research and development until technological feasibility has been established for the product. Software production costs for computer software that is to be used as an integral part of a product or process shall not be capitalized until both (a) technological feasibility has been established for the software and (b) all research and development activities for the other components of the product or process have been completed. The Company has not capitalized any

software costs for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005 and 2006.

Revenue Recognition

The Company sells its products directly through its sales force and through distributors. Revenue from direct sales of its product is recognized when shipped to the customer and title has passed. The Company's products require continuing service or post contract customer support and performance by the Company; accordingly, a portion of the revenue pertaining to the service and support is deferred based on its fair value and recognized ratably over the period in which the future service, support and performance are provided, which is generally one year. Currently, with respect to sales of certain of the Company's products, the Company does not have enough experience to identify the fair value of each element, therefore, the full amount of the revenue and related gross margin is deferred and recognized ratably over the one-year period in which the future service, support and performance are provided.

In addition, the Company recognizes sales from licensing of its patented software to customers. The Company's licensed software requires continuing service or post contract customer support and performance by the Company; accordingly, a portion of the revenue is deferred based on its fair value and recognized ratably over the period in which the future service, support and performance are provided, which is generally one year.

The Company receives royalties from the licensing of its technology, which are recognized as revenues in the period they are earned. For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005 and 2006, the Company received \$67,113, \$58,480 and \$28,503, respectively, in royalty fees.

Under the provisions of EITF 00-21, "Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables," revenue arrangements were allocated to the separate units of accounting based on their relative fair values and revenue is recognized in accordance with its policy as stated above.

Research and Development Costs

Research and development costs are charged to expense as incurred.

Shipping Costs

The Company's shipping and handling costs are included in cost of sales for all periods presented.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and net operating loss carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using expected tax rates in effect for the year in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The Company has recorded a full valuation allowance for its net deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2005 and 2006, due to the uncertainty of the realizability of those assets.

FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company adheres to the provisions of SFAS No. 107, "Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments." This pronouncement requires that the Company calculate the fair value of financial instruments and include this additional information in the notes to financial statements when the fair value is different than the book value of those financial instruments. The Company's financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, certificate of deposits, marketable securities, accounts receivable and accounts payable. At December 31, 2005 and 2006, the carrying value of the Company's financial instruments approximated fair value, due to their short-term nature.

BUSINESS CONCENTRATIONS AND CREDIT RISK

Financial instruments, which subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk, consist primarily of cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities. The Company maintains cash between two financial institutions. The marketable securities consist of short term investment grade corporate bonds. The Company performs periodic evaluations of the relative credit standing of these institutions.

The Company's sales to date have been limited due to the refocus of its marketing efforts and introduction of new products to a number of clients which are concentrated in the United States of America and the long sales cycle to government entities. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations, generally does not require collateral, and establishes an allowance for doubtful accounts based upon factors surrounding the credit risk of customers, historical trends and other information.

During the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2006, the Company made sales to three and two customers that accounted for approximately 57.3% and 31.3% of total revenues, respectively.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had two suppliers for the production of its input devices. The Company has modified its software to operate in windows based systems and can integrate with different hardware platforms that are readily available in the marketplace. The Company does not maintain a manufacturing facility of its own and is not dependent on maintaining its production relationships due to the flexibility of its software to run on multiple existing platforms.

NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS

The Company computes net loss per common share in accordance with SFAS No. 128, "Earnings Per Share." Under the provisions of SFAS No. 128, basic net loss per common share ("Basic EPS") is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted net loss per common share ("Diluted EPS") is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of common shares and dilutive common share equivalents then outstanding. SFAS No. 128 requires the presentation of both Basic EPS and Diluted EPS on the face of the statements of operations.

Diluted EPS for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005 and 2006, does not include the impact of stock options and warrants then outstanding, as the effect of their inclusion would be antidilutive.

The following table summarizes the equivalent number of common shares assuming the related securities that were outstanding as of December 31, 2004, 2005 and 2006 had been converted:

| | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Stock options | 2,777,474 | 2,764,955 | 2,470,055 |
| Convertible redeemable preferred stock | 454,545 | - | - |
| Warrants | 323,636 | 938,636 | 938,636 |
| Total | 3,555,655 | 3,703,591 | 3,408,691 |

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has stockholder approved stock incentive plans for employees, directors, officers and consultants. Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for the employee, director and officer plans using the intrinsic value method under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" and related interpretations, as permitted by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS" or "Statement") No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation."

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment," ("Statement 123(R)") for employee options using the modified prospective transition method. Statement 123(R) revised Statement 123 to eliminate the option to use the intrinsic value method and required the Company to expense the fair value of all employee options over the vesting period. Under the modified prospective transition method, the Company recognized compensation cost for the year ended December 31, 2006 which includes a) period compensation cost related to

share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested, as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of Statement 123; and b) period compensation cost related to share-based payments granted on or after January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with Statement 123(R). In accordance with the modified prospective method, the Company has not restated prior period results.

The Company recognized compensation expense related to stock option grants on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company recognized share-based employee and director compensation cost of \$590,031, in accordance with Statement 123(R). Of this expense, \$201,180 resulted from the grant of stock options to employees of the Company on or prior to December 31, 2005. The balance of \$388,851 relates to the granting of stock options to employees and officers on or after January 1, 2006. The Company did not capitalize any share-based compensation cost.

Options granted to consultants and other non-employees are accounted for in accordance with EITF No. 96-18 "Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services." Accordingly, such options are recorded at fair value at the date of grant and subsequently adjusted to fair value at the end of each reporting period until such options vest, and the fair value of the options, as adjusted, is amortized to consulting expense over the related vesting period.

The following table illustrates the effect on 2005 and 2004 net loss and loss per share as if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provision of SFAS No. 123, as amended by SFAS No. 148 "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation-Transition and disclosure." This standard preceded SFAS 123(R) and required different measurement criteria:

| | | Years 1 | Ended | |
|---|------|----------------|-------|----------------|
| | Dece | ember 31, 2004 | Dec | ember 31, 2005 |
| Net loss attributable to Common Stockholders, | | | | |
| as reported | \$ | (8,127,269) | \$ | (3,436,503) |
| Add: | | | | |
| Total stock based employee compensation | | | | |
| expense determined under fair value based | | | | |
| method for all awards | | (2,107,593) | | (2,878,820) |
| Net loss, pro forma | \$ | (10,234,862) | \$ | (6,315,323) |
| Basic and diluted loss per share, as reported | \$ | (0.79) | \$ | (0.31) |
| Basic and diluted loss per share, pro forma | \$ | (1.00) | \$ | (0.56) |

As of December 31, 2004 and 2005, the fair market value of each option grant has been estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model based upon expected option lives of 5 and 5 years; risk free interest rates of 4.0% and 4.3%; expected volatility of 60% and 74 % and a dividend yield of 0% and 0%, respectively.

Expected volatility was calculated using the historical volatility of the Company's stock price over the last five years. The expected term of the options is estimated based on the Company's historical exercise rate and forfeiture rates are estimated based on employment termination experience. The risk free interest rate is based on U.S. Treasury yields for securities in effect at the time of grants with terms approximating the term of the grants. The assumptions used in the Black-Scholes option valuation model are highly subjective, and can materially affect the resulting valuation.

COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

The Company's comprehensive net loss is equal to its net loss for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005 and 2006.

SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company adheres to the provisions of SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information." This statement establishes standards for the way public business enterprises report information about operating segments in annual financial statements and requires that those enterprises report selected information about operating segments in financial statements issued to shareholders. Management has determined that it only has one

reporting segment.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the Company's financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the Company's financial statements and accompanying notes. Significant estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the financial statements include inventory reserves, deferred tax valuation allowances and doubtful accounts and allowances. Due to the inherent uncertainties involved in making estimates, actual results reported in future periods may be different from those estimates.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

Except as discussed below, the Company does not expect the impact of the future adoption of recently issued accounting pronouncements to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In September 2006, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, "Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements" ("SAB 108"). SAB 108 provides guidance on how prior year misstatements should be taken into consideration when quantifying misstatements in current year financial statements for purposes of determining whether the current year's financial statements are materially misstated. SAB 108 permits registrants to record the cumulative effect of initial adoption by recording the necessary "correcting" adjustments to the carrying values of assets and liabilities as of the beginning of that year with the offsetting adjustment recorded to the opening balance of retained earnings only if material under the dual method. SAB 108 is effective for fiscal years ending on or after November 15, 2006. The Company has assessed the effect of adopting this guidance and has determined that there will be no impact on the Company's financial statements.

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements," which is effective for calendar year companies on January 1, 2008. The Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The Statement codifies the definition of fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The standard clarifies the principle that fair value should be based on the assumptions market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability and establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions. The Company is currently assessing the potential impacts of implementing this standard.

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB No. 109" ("FIN 48"), effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. FIN 48 requires a two-step approach to determine how to recognize tax benefits in the financial statements where recognition and measurement of a tax benefit must be evaluated separately. A tax benefit will be recognized only if it meets a "more-likely-than-not" recognition threshold. For tax positions that meet this threshold, the tax benefit recognized is based on the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the taxing authority. We estimate compliance with FIN 48 will not have a material impact on our results of operations or cash flows.

3. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment are comprised of the following as of December 31, 2005 and 2006:

| | 2005 | 2006 |
|------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Computer equipment | \$ 525,128 \$ | 550,279 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 137,251 | 136,008 |

| Leasehold improvements | 143,253 | 143,253 |
|--|-----------------|-----------|
| Office equipment | 46,287 | 46,287 |
| | 851,919 | 875,827 |
| Less - Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (759,673) | (790,224) |
| | \$ 92,246 \$ | 85,603 |
| F-54 | | |

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005 and 2006 amounted to \$99,944, \$46,055 and \$30,551, respectively.

4. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following summarize the carrying amounts of intangible assets and related amortization:

| | As of Decem | ber 31, 20 | 05 | As of | 006 | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------|----|----------------------|
| | ss Carrying Amount | | nulated ization | Gross Car Amou | • • | | mulated rtization |
| Amortized intangible assets | | | | | | | |
| Patents | 105,661 | | 69,282 | 10 | 5,661 | | 75,491 |
| Copyrights | 17,500 | | 17,500 | 1 | 7,500 | | 17,500 |
| Total | \$ 123,161 | \$ | 86,782 | \$ 12 | 23,161 | \$ | 92,991 |

Amortization expense for years ended December 31, 2004, 2005, and 2006 were \$11,799, \$6,210 and \$6,209, respectively.

As of December 31, 2006, estimated amortization expense for each of the succeeding five years is \$6,209.

5. ACCRUED EXPENSES

Accrued expenses are comprised of the following as of December 31, 2005 and 2006:

| | 2005 | 2006 |
|---------------------|------------------|---------|
| Professional fees | \$ 159,635 \$ | 71,401 |
| Payroll and related | 158,252 | 237,303 |
| Rent | 17,102 | 13,682 |
| Other | 54,753 | 55,642 |
| | \$ 389,742 \$ | 378,028 |

6. INCOME TAXES

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets for federal and state income taxes as of December 31, 2005 and 2006 are as follows:

| | 2005 | 2006 |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Deferred tax assets, net: | | |
| Net operating loss carryforwards | \$ 12,467,000 \$ | 13,296,000 |
| Depreciation | (15,000) | (15,000) |
| Reserves | 307,000 | 307,000 |
| Research & development tax credits | - | 26,000 |
| Gross deferred tax assets | 12,759,000 | 13,614,000 |
| Less: Valuation allowance | 12,759,000 | 13,614,000 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | \$ - \$ | - |

Realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon future earnings, if any. The Company has recorded a full valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets since management believes that it is more likely than not that these assets will not be realized.

As of December 31, 2006 the Company had net operating loss carryforwards (NOL's) for federal and New York State income tax purposes of approximately \$33.2 million. There can be no assurance that the Company will realize the benefit of the NOL's. The federal NOL's are available to offset future taxable income and expire from 2018 through 2025 if not utilized. Under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, these NOL's may be limited due to ownership changes.

The effective tax rate for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005 and 2006 is different from the tax benefit that would result from applying the statutory tax rates primarily due to the recognition of valuation allowances.

7. SERIES A 8% CONVERTIBLE REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK

On March 27, 2003, pursuant to a Securities Purchase Agreement, we sold 30,000 shares of our Series A 8% Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$.01 per share, for \$3,000,000 before expenses to Gryphon Master Fund, L.P. Each share of Preferred Stock entitled the holder to receive dividends of 8% per annum and was convertible into 15.1515 shares of our Common Stock. Additionally, each investor received one (1) five year warrant to purchase 3.787875 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$6.78 with each share of Preferred Stock purchased. The total amount of shares that may be issued upon conversion of the Preferred Stock and exercise of the warrants are 454,545 and 113,636, respectively. Dividend payments of \$120,000 in cash were due semi-annually beginning September 30, 2003. In connection with this financing, we paid agent fees of \$150,000 and issued warrants and options to purchase 8,854 shares of our Common Stock at a price of \$6.78. We also paid professional fees of approximately \$136,000. We recorded the relative fair value of all the warrants issued in connection with this transaction of \$497,700 against the amount of the Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock as of March 27, 2003, which was calculated using the Black-Scholes valuation method, as well as \$540,000 of beneficial conversion feature in accordance with EITF 00-27 and such amounts were being accreted along with issuance cost of \$285,900 over the five year period until the mandatory redemption date of the Preferred Stock, the fifth anniversary of closing. We recorded accretion of dividends of \$240,000 for 2004 and \$36,822 in 2005. On February 25, 2005, Gryphon Master Fund, L.P. converted the Company's Preferred Stock into 454,545 shares of the Company's Common Stock at a conversion price of \$6.60 per share. The Company retired the 30,000 shares of preferred stock, issued 454,545 shares of its Common Stock and recorded \$3,000,000 as an increase to stockholders equity. Additionally, as a result of this conversion, the period we used in estimating the accretion of all of the costs associated with the issuance of the Preferred Stock changed from 5 years to 1.9166 years. Accordingly, the accretion was increased in the fourth quarter of 2004 by \$669,618 and amounted to \$964,338 for the year ended December 31, 2004. The effect of this change in accounting estimate in 2004 was a reduction in equity.

8. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Series A Convertible Preferred Stock

In January 1997, the Board of Directors authorized the creation of a class of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock with a par value of \$.01. The Series A Convertible Preferred Stock is convertible into an equal number of common shares at the holder's option, subject to adjustment for anti-dilution. The holders of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock are entitled to receive dividends as and if declared by the Board of Directors. In the event of liquidation or dissolution of the Company, the holders of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock are entitled to receive all accrued dividends, if applicable, plus the liquidation price of \$1.00 per share. As of December 31, 2005 and 2006, there were no outstanding shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock.

Secondary Offerings

On August 9, 2005, the Company successfully completed its private offering of 1,250,000 shares of Common Stock at \$4.00 per share and received net proceeds of approximately \$4,440,000. In connection with the offering, investors received five year warrants to purchase 500,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$5.40 per share. The Company purchased 110,000 of these warrants in December 2005 for \$25,000 and retired them, leaving 390,000 currently outstanding. In addition, the Company granted to its placement agent a warrant to purchase 125,000 shares of our Common Stock at a price of \$5.40 per share which expires on August 8, 2010. On October 7, 2005, the Registration Statement on Form S-3, which included the shares issued in the Company's secondary offering, was declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Common Stock, Warrants and Rights

In March 2001, the Company declared a dividend distribution of one non-transferable right to purchase one share of the Company's Common Stock for every 10 outstanding shares of Common Stock continuously held from the record date to the date of exercise, as well as Common Stock underlying vested stock options and warrants, held of record on March 30, 2001, at an exercise price of \$8.50. The rights were due to expire on October 4, 2002, which was one year after the effective date of the registration statement related to the shares of Common Stock underlying the rights. The Company extended the expiration date until April 4, 2003, further extended the rights until December 31, 2003, June 30, 2004, June 30, 2005, June 30, 2006 and has additionally extended the expiration date to June 30, 2007. Under certain conditions, the Company has the right to redeem the outstanding rights for \$.01 per right. Such conditions were not met as of March 27, 2007. The Company reserved 970,076 shares of Common Stock for future issuance under this rights offering. The Company has recorded the fair value of the rights of \$1,082,000 as a dividend during the quarter ended March 31, 2001, which was calculated using the Black-Scholes valuation method and recorded as an increase in additional paid-in capital and a reduction in accumulated deficit. The Company also recorded the fair value of the additional rights extension of \$525,000 during the year ended December 31, 2004, using the Black-Scholes valuation method and recorded an increase in additional paid-in-capital and a reduction in accumulated deficit. There was no expense recorded in 2005 and 2006 for the extension of these rights. As of December 31, 2006, 292,001 of these rights had been exercised and the Company received cumulatively \$2,482,009 before expenses of \$133,834.

In March 2001, the Board of Directors authorized, subject to certain business and market conditions, the purchase of up to \$1,000,000 of our Common Stock. As of December 31, 2006, the Company cumulatively purchased 40,200 shares of the Company's Common Stock for approximately \$222,000 and subsequently retired those shares. No shares were purchased in 2006. The Company may purchase additional shares when warranted by certain conditions.

All warrants have been issued with an exercise price that is equal to or above the fair market value of the Company's Common Stock on the date of grant.

Stock Options

In order to retain and attract qualified personnel necessary for the success of the Company, the Company adopted a Stock Option Plan (the "1998 Stock Option Plan") covering up to 400,000 of the Company's common shares, pursuant to which officers, directors, key employees and consultants to the Company are eligible to receive incentive stock options and nonqualified stock options. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors administers the 1998 Stock Option Plan and determines the terms and conditions of options granted, including the exercise price. The 1998 Stock Option Plan provides that all stock options will expire within ten years of the date of grant. Incentive stock options granted under the 1998 Stock Option Plan must be granted at an exercise price that is not less than the fair market value per share at the date of grant and the exercise price must not be less than 110% of the fair market value per share at the date of grants to persons owning more than 10% of the voting stock of the Company. The 1998 Stock Option Plan also entitles nonemployee directors to receive grants of non-qualified stock options as approved by the Board of Directors.

In August 1999, the Company adopted the 1999 Stock Option Plan (the "1999 Stock Option Plan") covering up to 1,000,000 of the Company's common shares, pursuant to which officers, directors, key employees and consultants to the Company are eligible to receive incentive stock options and nonqualified stock options. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors administers the 1999 Stock Option Plan and determines the terms and conditions of options granted, including the exercise price. The 1999 Stock Option Plan provides that all stock options will expire within ten years of the date of grant. Incentive stock options granted under the 1999 Stock Option Plan must be granted at an exercise price that is not less than the fair market value per share at the date of grant and the exercise price must not be less than 110% of the fair market value per share at the date of grant for grants to persons owning more than 10% of the voting stock of the Company. The 1999 Stock Option Plan also entitles nonemployee directors

to receive grants of non-qualified stock options as approved by the Board of Directors.

At the Company's Annual Meeting held on July 11, 2001, the stockholders approved the 2001 Stock Option Plan covering up to 500,000 of the Company's common shares, pursuant to which the officers, directors, key employees and consultants to the Company are eligible to receive incentive stock options and nonqualified stock options. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors administers the 2001 Stock Option Plan and determines the terms and conditions of options granted, including the exercise price. The 2001 Stock Option Plan provides that all stock options will expire within ten years of the date of grant. Incentive stock options granted under the 2001 Stock Option Plan must be granted at an exercise price that is not less than the fair market value per share at the date of the grant and the exercise price must not be less than 110% of the fair market value per share at the date of the grant for grants to persons owning more than 15% of the voting stock of the Company. The 2001 Stock Option Plan also entitles non-employee directors to receive grants on non-qualified stock options as approved by the Board of Directors.

At the Company's Annual Meeting held on July 10, 2003, the stockholders approved the 2003 Stock Option Plan covering up to 500,000 of the Company's common shares, pursuant to which the officers, directors, key employees and consultants to the Company are eligible to receive incentive stock options and nonqualified stock options. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors administers the 2003 Stock Option Plan and determines the terms and conditions of options granted, including the exercise price. The 2003 Stock Option Plan provides that all stock options will expire within ten years of the date of grant. Incentive stock options granted under the 2003 Stock Option Plan must be granted at an exercise price that is not less than the fair market value per share at the date of the grant and the exercise price must not be less than 110% of the fair market value per share at the date of the grant for grants to persons owning more than 15% of the voting stock of the Company. The 2003 Stock Option Plan also entitles non-employee directors to receive grants on non-qualified stock options as approved by the Board of Directors.

At the Company's Annual Meeting held on July 8, 2004, the stockholders approved the 2004 Stock Option Plan covering up to 850,000 of the Company's common shares, pursuant to which the officers, directors, key employees and consultants to the Company are eligible to receive incentive stock options and nonqualified stock options. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors administers the 2004 Stock Option Plan and determines the terms and conditions of options granted, including the exercise price. The 2004 Stock Option Plan provides that all stock options will expire within ten years of the date of grant. Incentive stock options granted under the 2004 Stock Option Plan must be granted at an exercise price that is not less than the fair market value per share at the date of the grant and the exercise price must not be less than 110% of the fair market value per share at the date of the grant for grants to persons owning more than 15% of the voting stock of the Company. The 2004 Stock Option Plan also entitles non-employee directors to receive grants on non-qualified stock options as approved by the Board of Directors.

During 2004, the Company granted warrants to purchase 100,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$7.54 per share to consultants (see footnote 9). During 2005, the Company granted warrants and options to purchase 150,000 shares of Common Stock at exercise prices ranging from \$5.10 to \$5.40 per share to consultants under various agreements. The fair market value of each option was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Accordingly, we have recorded \$542,648, \$402,995 and \$0 as deferred compensation for these services as of December 31, 2004, 2005 and 2006, respectively. As a result of some of the granted options having varying vesting periods, the Company revalued certain options and warrants either as of the vesting date or as of the balance sheet date for those options unvested using the Black Scholes option pricing model. Accordingly, the Company recorded a reduction of the fair value of \$430,739 and \$122,246 for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005, respectively. During December 31, 2004, 2005 and 2006, the Company recognized amortization of deferred compensation of \$363,407, \$143,758 and \$129,756, respectively. In March, 2006, our consultant returned and cancelled a stock option agreement which the Company issued in February 2002 that granted options to purchase 50,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$12.10. The remaining unamortized balance in deferred compensation of \$82,812 was reduced and offset against additional paid in capital and amortization expense of \$53,317 recorded through December 31, 2005 and was recognized as income in the first quarter of 2006.

Stock-Based Compensation

Stock option activity under the 1998, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2004 Stock Option Plans during the periods indicated below is as follows:

| | Number of Shares Subject to Issuance | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding at January 1, 2004 | 2,701,124 \$ | 7.97 | 2.89 years | |
| Granted | 655,550 | 5.07 | | |
| Forfeited or expired | (436,500) | 7.93 | | |
| Exercised | (142,700) | 3.00 | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2004 | 2,777,474 | 7.11 | 4.42 years | |
| Granted | 476,980 | 5.02 | | |
| Forfeited or expired | (410,499) | 7.78 | | |
| Exercised | (79,000) | 3.09 | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2005 | 2,764,955 | 6.77 | 3.94 years | |
| Granted | 197,050 | 5.99 | | |
| Forfeited or expired | (331,500) | 9.43 | | |
| Exercised | (160,450) | 3.74 | | \$ 292,548 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2006 | 2,470,055 \$ | 6.55 | 3.66 years | \$ 3,407,053 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2006 | 2,291,305 \$ | 6.61 | 3.56 years | \$ 3,213,178 |

Included in the above schedule are 754,425 non-plan options, all of which are fully vested.

The assumptions used for the specified reporting periods and resulting estimates of weighted average fair value per share of options granted during those periods were as follows:

| | Years Ended Dece | ember 31, |
|-------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| | 2005 | 2006 |
| | | |
| Risk-free interest rate | 4.3% | 4.7% |
| Expected dividend yield | 0% | 0% |
| | | 4.5-9.0 |
| Expected lives | 5 years | years |
| Expected volatility | 74% | 71% |
| Forfeiture rate | 0% | 5% |

The following is a summary of stock options as of December 31, 2006:

| | O | ptions Outstandin | Options Ex | kercisa | ble | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------|----------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | - | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Weighted- Weighted-average | | | | | | | | | |
| | Number of | average | Exercise | Number of | Exercise | | | | | | |
| Range of Exercise Prices | Options | Remaining Life | Price | Options | F | Price | | | | | |
| \$2.80 to \$5.00 | 998,730 | 4.15 | \$ 3.80 | 948,730 | \$ | 3.76 | | | | | |
| \$5.01 to \$9.80 | 1,033,575 | 1.76 | 6.78 | 904,825 | | 6.87 | | | | | |

| \$11.75 to \$16.50 | 437,750 | 1.26 | 12.26 | 437,750 | 12.26 |
|--------------------|-----------|---------|-------|-----------|------------|
| | 2,470,055 | 3.66 \$ | 6.55 | 2,291,305 | \$ 6.61 |

The weighted-average fair value of the options granted during the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005 and 2006 is \$2.97, \$4.03 and \$3.99, respectively.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had 797,811 options available for future grant under the 1998, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2004 Stock Option Plans.

As of December 31, 2006, there was \$441,046 of total unrecognized compensation cost, net of estimated forfeitures, related to all unvested stock options, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 2.0 years.

On July 8, 2004, the Company's Board of Directors agreed to extend the expiration date of the Chief Executive Officer's options to July 15, 2008, which originally were due to expire on July 15, 2004. As a result, the Company recorded the fair value of the extension of \$1,347,000 as a non cash expense during the second quarter ended December 31, 2004, which was calculated using the Black-Scholes valuation method.

During January 2005 and 2006, the Company's Board of Directors approved the cashless exercise of 25,000 options each year which were converted into 6,204 and 9,277 shares, respectively of its Common Stock for the Company's Chairman and CEO. As a result, the Company recorded the fair value of the shares issued as a non-cash expense totaling \$44,250 and \$0, respectively which was calculated using the Black-Scholes valuation method.

During 2005 and 2006, the Company's Board of Directors extended the expiration date of 270,500 and 56,500 stock options for three and one of the Company's directors who resigned from the Board for an additional 9 and 12 months, respectively. As a result, we recorded the fair value of the extension of \$184,200 and \$34,350 as a non cash expense during the year ended December 31, 2005 and 2006, respectively which was calculated in accordance with Financial Interpretation No. 44 "Accounting for Certain Transactions involving stock compensation."

On September 9, 2005, the Board of Directors agreed to accelerate the vesting of all employee, officer and director unvested stock options outstanding under our stock option plans with exercise prices that are "out of the money" prior to December 31, 2005. The total number of options that were earlier vested amount to 347,500 and had a range of exercise prices of \$4.37 to \$6.30 and a weighted average exercise price of \$5.03. The high and low of the closing price of our Common Stock between September 9, 2005 and December 31, 2005 was \$4.37 and \$6.30. The purpose of the accelerated vesting was to enable us to avoid recognizing compensation expense associated with these options upon adoption of SFAS No. 123(R). The fair value associated with the accelerated options that would have been reflected in our financial statements amounted to \$926,189 using the Black-Scholes valuation method, which is included in pro forma stock-based employee compensation expense for 2005. Effective November 7, 2006, the Board enacted a new policy regarding all future stock option grants. Such policy requires that all future stock option issuances are set to be granted on the third Thursday of each month and that each such issuance will have a strike price per share equal to the closing price of the Corporation's Common Stock on such day.

In the opinion of management, all stock options have been issued with an exercise price that is equal or above the fair market value of the Company's Common Stock on the date of grant.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company has warrants outstanding for 938,636 shares of Common Stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$6.11, which expire between March 26, 2008 and August 21, 2011.

9. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

OPERATING LEASES

During July 2000, the Company entered into a 10-year lease agreement for its new office. The lease provided for monthly rental payments of \$17,458 beginning December 15, 2000 with small annual increases. In connection with this lease, the Company provided an irrevocable unconditional letter of credit in the amount of \$250,000 as security, which was cancelled in 2004 and replaced with a cash security payment of \$34,916 for the remaining lease term. Effective October 16, 2005, the Company amended its office lease that provided for a reduction in office space from approximately 9,700 square feet to approximately 7,100 square feet for the remainder of the lease term which expires December 31, 2010. In addition, payments under the amended lease were reduced to \$16,244 per month and such

reduction will increase by approximately 4% per year.

In addition, the Company has entered into various leases for office equipment and office space expiring through December 2010. Future minimum lease payments under these lease agreements are as follows:

Year Ending December 31:

| 2007 | 210,644 |
|------|---------------|
| 2008 | 219,320 |
| 2009 | 221,388 |
| 2010 | 230,376 |
| | \$ 881,728 |

Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005 and 2006 amounted to \$243,577, \$243,731 and \$211,825, respectively.

ROYALTY AND LICENSE AGREEMENTS

The Company entered into an agreement with a former officer of the Company during 1996 to license certain software. The agreement stipulated, among other provisions, that the officer would receive royalties equal to a percentage of the Company's gross sales. This agreement was terminated in May 1999 and was superceded by a new agreement which calls for payment of royalties of .005% on gross sales from \$2,000,000 to \$52,000,000 and .0025% on gross sales in excess of \$52,000,000 pertaining to those patents on which Mr. Messina was identified as an inventor. As of December 31, 2006, total fees payable under this agreement amounted to approximately \$440.

On February 19, 2003, the Company filed a summons and complaint upon CardCom Technology, Inc. alleging infringement on its patent. During September 2003, as a result of a settlement of a patent infringement suit, the Company granted CardCom Technology, Inc. a three year royalty license to use certain of the Company's patents in connection with the manufacture, use and sale of CardCom's age verification products in the United States and Canada. It also provides that CardCom will pay royalties of approximately 10% on its net sales. For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005 and 2006, the Company received \$67,113, \$58,480 and \$28,503, respectively, in royalty fees pursuant to this agreement. Effective March 12, 2006, the Company renewed the licensing agreement with CardCom for an additional five years. We also filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Tricom Card Technologies, Inc. in July 2003, which is currently being litigated.

Employment Agreements

Effective January 1, 2006, we entered into a letter of understanding with our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer that provides for an annual base salary of \$255,604. In addition, on November 8, 2005, we granted to Mr. Mandelbaum an option to purchase 25,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$3.22 per share. We also agreed to provide a severance arrangement that in such case that we were to terminate Mr. Mandelbaum for any reason other than cause we would pay Mr. Mandelbaum 2 years of his annual cash base salary in 12 equal monthly installments.

On November 10, 2006, the Board of Directors increased Mr. Mandelbaum's annual base salary to \$264,000 effective January 1, 2007. On November 16, 2006, the Company also granted to Mr. Mandelbaum an option to purchase 25,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$6.00 per share.

If there shall occur a change of control, as defined in the agreement, Mr. Mandelbaum may terminate his employment at any time and be entitled to receive a payment equal to 2.99 times his average annual compensation, including bonuses, during the three years preceding the date of termination, payable in cash to the extent of three months salary and the balance in shares of our Common Stock based on a valuation of \$2.00 per share.

On November 10, 2006, the Company entered into a letter of understanding with its Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Winiarz, which provided for an annual base salary of \$175,000. This agreement became effective on January 1, 2007. Mr. Winiarz' previous employment contract terminated on December 31, 2006. On November 16, 2006, the Company also granted to Mr. Winiarz an option to purchase 25,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$6.00 per share. Mr. Winiarz would have been eligible to receive a bonus upon Intelli-Check achieving certain financial targets. The Company agreed in the letter of understanding, that if it were to terminate Mr. Winiarz for any reason other than cause, it would pay Mr. Winiarz two (2) years of base salary in twelve (12) equal monthly installments. Mr. Winiarz resigned his position with the Company on January 21, 2007 and his letter of understanding terminated.

Each of the agreements requires the executive to devote substantially all his time and efforts to our business and contains non-competition and nondisclosure covenants of the officer for the term of his employment and for a period of two years thereafter. Each agreement provides that we may terminate the agreement for cause.

Supplier Agreements

In January 2004, we entered into a two year product supply agreement for the purchase of input devices. Under the terms, these devices, which were private labeled, are programmed to work in conjunction with our ID-Check® technology. On December 30, 2005, we entered into a two year product supply agreement with the same manufacturer and with similar terms and conditions as the prior agreement. As of December 31, 2006, the Company had a commitment of \$176,695 under this agreement. On March 14, 2006, we signed a product supply agreement with another manufacturer for the purchase of alternate input devices that are also programmed to work in conjunction with our ID-Check® technology. The Agreement, which was effective until December 31, 2006, was automatically renewed for another year per the terms of the Agreement.

Investment Firm Relationships

On January 21, 2004, the Company entered into a one year agreement with Alexandros Partners LLC to act as consultants in advising the Company in financial and investor relation matters. The Company agreed to pay a consulting fee of \$50,000 payable in 12 equal monthly installments. In addition, the Company issued a warrant granting the right to purchase 100,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at a purchase price of \$7.54 per share, which vested ratably over the 12 month period. A principal of Alexandros Partners LLC was a member of the Company's Board of Directors. Effective January 1, 2005, the Company renewed its agreement with Alexandros Partners LLC for an additional year and paid a consulting fee of \$50,000 in 12 equal monthly installments. This transaction was approved by all of the independent directors of the Company's Board of Directors. The agreement terminated on December 31, 2005.

On December 7, 2004, the Company entered into a one year agreement with a consulting firm to help with its investor relations activities. The Company paid a consulting fee of \$100,000 in 12 monthly installments. In addition, the Company issued 11,500 restricted shares of its Common Stock. On August 6, 2005, the Company terminated the agreement and ceased payment under the agreement.

On November 2, 2004, the Company entered into an exclusive agreement with an investment banking firm for the purpose of investigating the opportunities in raising additional capital for the Company. On May 3, 2005, the Company terminated the agreement eliminating the provision of exclusivity and signed an exclusive agreement with another investment banking firm as a lead placement agent in connection with the private placement described in Note 8 above.

On September 21, 2005, the Company entered into a two (2) year agreement with a consulting firm to help with our public and investor relations activities. The Company agreed to pay \$6,000 per month for the first 12 months of the agreement and \$9,000 per month for the following 12 months. In addition, the Company issued a warrant granting the right to purchase 100,000 shares of our Common Stock at a purchase price of \$4.62 per share, which vested ratably over the twelve month period after signing. All warrants are currently vested. The fair value of this warrant amounted to \$318,221 using the Black-Scholes valuation method and was recorded in Deferred Compensation during the third quarter of 2005. For the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2006, the Company recorded an expense of approximately \$71,000 and \$186,000, respectively. The contract was cancelable after the first year under certain terms and conditions. We renegotiated the terms of the Agreement at the end of the twelve month period and currently pay \$6,000 per month for the services. No underwriting discounts or commissions were paid with respect to such securities. Such securities were issued pursuant to the exemption from registration contained in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as they were issued to accredited investors.

Legal Proceedings

On August 1, 2003, we filed a summons and complaint against Tricom Card Technologies, Inc. alleging infringement on our patent and seeking injunctive and monetary relief. On October 23, 2003, we amended our complaint to include infringement on an additional patent. On May 18, 2004, we filed a Second Amended Complaint alleging infringement and inducement to infringe against certain principals of Tricom in their personal capacities, as well as alleging in the alternative false advertising claims under the Lanham Act against all the defendants. The principals moved to dismiss the claims against them, and Tricom moved to dismiss the false advertising claims, which motions have been administratively terminated by the Court. On August 1, 2005, defendants filed an Answer and Affirmative Defenses to the Second Amended Complaint and Tricom filed a declaratory counterclaim. On November 2, 2005, the Court allowed Tricom to plead two additional defenses and declaratory counterclaims in the case, and on January 3, 2006, the parties filed a Stipulation of Dismissal of the Estoppel and Unenforceability Counterclaims and Affirmative Defenses. On February 28, 2006, the parties filed a Supplemental Proposed Joint Pretrial Order, and on March 1, 2006, the Court certified that fact discovery in this action was complete. On June 29, 2006, the Court held a pre-motion conference at our request to discuss our proposed motion to disqualify defendants' counsel for a conflict of interest. Pursuant to the Court's order, we served moving papers upon defendants on July 14, 2006 and defendants served opposition to the motion on around July 28, 2006. We served a reply to the opposition on August 11, 2006 and filed the motion with the Court. Also, on or about July 21, 2006, defendants filed with the Court a motion for claim construction together with our opposition to defendants' motion and defendants' reply to the opposition. The Court has not scheduled a hearing date for either motion and there is no trial date pending.

We are not aware of any infringement by our products or technology on the proprietary rights of others.

Other than as set forth above, we are not currently involved in any legal or regulatory proceeding, or arbitration, the outcome of which is expected to have a material adverse effect on our business.

10. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

The following table sets forth unaudited financial data for each of Intelli-Check's last eight fiscal quarters.

| | | Year 1 | End | ed Dec | eml | per 31, 2 | 2005 | 5 | Year Ended December 31, 2006 | | | | | | 6 | |
|--------------------------|----|---------|--------------|--------|-------|-----------|------|----------------|------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | First | Second Third | | Γhird | Fourth | | | First | | Second | | Third | | Fourth | |
| | Ç | uarter | Qı | ıarter | Q | uarter | • | uarter | • | Quarter | Qı | ıarter | Qu | arter | Q | uarter |
| | | | | | | | (Do | ollars in | tho | usands) | | | | | | |
| Income Statement Data: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ | 297 | \$ | 997 | \$ | 430 | \$ | 660 | \$ | 536 | \$ | 718 | \$ | 772 | \$ | 1,136 |
| Gross profit | | 194 | | 881 | | 253 | | 311 | | 355 | | 496 | | 463 | | 810 |
| Loss from operations | | (1,586) | | (202) | | (812) | | (785) | | (1,001) | | (994) | | (709) | | (399) |
| Net loss | | (1,570) | | (182) | | (765) | | (722) | | (945) | | (938) | | (651) | | (346) |
| Net loss attributable to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Common stockholders | | (1,767) | | (182) | | (765) | | (722) | | (945) | | (938) | | (651) | | (346) |
| Net loss per share | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| attributable | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| to Common stockholders: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic and diluted | | (0.17) | | (0.02) | | (0.07) | | $(0.06^{(1)})$ |) | (0.08) | | (0.08) | | (0.05) | | (.03) |

⁽¹⁾ The sum of the net loss per share for each of the quarters of fiscal 2005 exceeds by \$0.01 the basic loss per share for fiscal 2005 in total due to the impact of stock issuances on the weighted average number of shares outstanding.

We have not experienced seasonality in our sales volume or operating expenses.

Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

Year Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

| Year ended December 31, 2006 | Balan Beginning | | Additions | Net Deductions Additions and Other | | | Balance at End of Period | |
|--|--|-------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|-----------------------------|--|
| Doubtful accounts and | 0 0 | | | | | | | |
| allowances | \$ | 28,467 | \$ 10,000 | \$ | (28,467) | \$ | 10,000 | |
| Deferred tax assets valuation allowance | \$ | 12,759,000 | \$ 855,000 | | - | \$ | 13,614,000 | |
| Voor anded December 21, 2005 | | nce at | Additions | | Net Deductions and Other | I | Balance at End of Period | |
| Year ended December 31, 2005 Doubtful accounts and | ьединиц | g of Period | Additions | | and Other | - 1 | zna or Perioa | |
| allowances | \$ | 20,000 | \$ 8,467 | 7 | _ | \$ | 28,467 | |
| Deferred tax assets valuation | | , | • | | | | ŕ | |
| allowance | \$ | 11,441,000 | \$ 1,318,000 | 0 | - | \$ | 12,759,000 | |
| | | | | | Net | | | |
| | Bala | nce at | | | Deductions | | Balance at | |
| Year ended December 31, 2004 | Beginning | g of Period | Additions | | and Other | F | End of Period | |
| Doubtful accounts and allowances | , and the second | - | \$ 20,000 | 0 | - | \$ | 20,000 | |
| Deferred tax assets valuation | | | | | | | | |
| allowance | \$ | 9,520,550 | \$ 1,920,450 | 0 | - | \$ | 11,441,000 | |
| F-64 | | | | | | | | |

INTELLI-CHECK, INC. UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

INDEX

| Financial Information | Page |
|--|-------------|
| Financial Statements | |
| Balance Sheets - September 30, 2007 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2006 | F-66 |
| Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 (Unaudited) | F-67 |
| Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 (Unaudited) | F-68 |
| Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 (Unaudited) | F-69 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | F-70 - F-75 |
| F-65 | |

INTELLI-CHECK, INC.

BALANCE SHEETS

| | (1 | September 30, December 31, 2007 2006 (Unaudited) | | |
|---|---------|--|----|--------------|
| | ASSETS | | | |
| CURRENT ASSETS: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 272,123 | \$ | 526,917 |
| Marketable securities and short-term investments | | 2,024,996 | | 3,759,133 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$10,000 | | | | |
| as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 | | 905,758 | | 591,976 |
| Inventory | | 141,830 | | 119,923 |
| Other current assets | | 522,697 | | 507,382 |
| Total current assets | | 3,867,404 | | 5,505,331 |
| | | | | |
| PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net | | 86,744 | | 85,603 |
| | | | | |
| PATENT COSTS, net | | 25,513 | | 30,170 |
| | | | | |
| OTHER ASSETS | | 34,916 | | 34,916 |
| | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ | 4,014,577 | \$ | 5,656,020 |
| | | | | |
| LIABILITIES AND S | STOCKHO | OLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| | | | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES: | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 140,892 | \$ | 155,066 |
| Accrued expenses | | 324,060 | | 378,028 |
| Deferred revenue | | 1,123,362 | | 1,037,366 |
| Other current liabilities | | - | | 75,000 |
| Total current liabilities | | 1,588,314 | | 1,645,460 |
| | | | | |
| OTHER LIABILITIES | | 88,274 | | 73,475 |
| | | | | |
| Total liabilities | | 1,676,588 | | 1,718,935 |
| | | | | |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY: | | | | |
| Common stock - \$.001 par value; 20,000,000 | | | | |
| shares authorized; | | | | |
| 12,281,728 and 12,202,778 shares issued and | | | | |
| outstanding, respectively | | 12,282 | | 12,203 |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 46,602,002 | | 45,912,734 |
| Accumulated deficit | | (44,276,295) | | (41,987,852) |
| Total stockholders' equity | | 2,337,989 | | 3,937,085 |
| | | | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ | 4,014,577 | \$ | 5,656,020 |

See accompanying notes to financial statements

INTELLI-CHECK, INC.

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)

| | | Three Mor Septem | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------------------|--------------------|---|----|-------------|
| | | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 | | 2006 |
| REVENUES | \$ | 856,938 | \$ 771,774 \$ | 2,281,533 | \$ | 2,025,442 |
| COST OF REVENUES | | (388,885) | (308,520) | (876,047) | | (711,197) |
| Gross profit | | 468,053 | 463,254 | 1,405,486 | | 1,314,245 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | | | | | |
| Selling | | 354,783 | 347,725 | 1,160,703 | | 1,142,793 |
| General and administrative | | 477,563 | 594,802 | 1,837,814 | | 2,133,244 |
| Research and development | | 281,013 | 229,421 | 831,058 | | 742,146 |
| Total operating expenses | | 1,113,359 | 1,171,948 | 3,829,575 | | 4,018,183 |
| | | | | | | |
| Loss from operations | | (645,306) | (708,694) | (2,424,089) | | (2,703,938) |
| - | | | | | | |
| Interest income | | 37,564 | 57,304 | 135,646 | | 169,777 |
| | | | | | | |
| Net loss | \$ | (607,742) | \$ (651,390) \$ | (2,288,443) | \$ | (2,534,161) |
| | | | , | , | | , , , , , |
| PER SHARE INFORMATION | | | | | | |
| Net loss per common share - | | | | | | |
| Basic and diluted | \$ | (0.05) | \$ (0.05) \$ | (0.19) | \$ | (0.21) |
| | | , , | | , , | | |
| Weighted average common shares | | | | | | |
| used | | | | | | |
| in computing per share amounts - | | | | | | |
| Basic and diluted | | 12,281,728 | 12,156,215 | 12,256,701 | | 12,129,612 |
| | | , - , | ,, - | ,, | | , - ,- |
| See accompanying notes to financial | statem | nents | | | | |
| 1 7 6 | | | | | | |
| F-67 | | | | | | |

INTELLI-CHECK, INC.

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW

(Unaudited)

Nine Months Ended

| | September 30, | | | | |
|---|---------------|-------------|---------|-------------|--|
| | | • | ber 30. | | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | 2007 | | 2006 | |
| Net loss | \$ | (2,288,443) | \$ | (2,534,161) | |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in | Ψ | (2,200,443) | Ψ | (2,334,101) | |
| operating activities: | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 29,214 | | 26,858 | |
| Noncash stock-based compensation expense | | 456,988 | | 567,356 | |
| Amortization of deferred compensation | | - | | 129,756 | |
| Recovery of amortization of deferred compensation | | - | | (53,317) | |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | | | (00,000) | |
| (Increase) decrease in accounts receivable | | (313,782) | | 50,739 | |
| (Increase) decrease in inventory | | (21,907) | | 6,511 | |
| Increase in other current assets | | (15,315) | | (55,895) | |
| Decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses | | (68,142) | | (62,102) | |
| Increase in deferred revenue | | 100,795 | | 103,799 | |
| (Decrease) increase in other liabilities | | (75,000) | | 52,973 | |
| Net cash used in operating activities | | (2,195,592) | | (1,767,483) | |
| | | | | | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | |
| Purchases of marketable securities and short-term investments | | (3,237,000) | | (4,822,061) | |
| Sales of marketable securities and short-term investments | | 4,971,137 | | 6,087,990 | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | | (25,698) | | (20,502) | |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | | 1,708,439 | | 1,245,427 | |
| | | | | | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | |
| Net proceeds from issuance of Common Stock from exercise of stock | | | | | |
| options and warrants | | 232,359 | | 386,124 | |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | | 232,359 | | 386,124 | |
| | | | | | |
| Decrease in cash and cash equivalents | | (254,794) | | (135,932) | |
| | | | | | |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period | | 526,917 | | 528,250 | |
| | | | | | |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period | \$ | 272,123 | \$ | 392,318 | |
| | | | | | |
| See accompanying notes to financial statements | | | | | |
| E (0 | | | | | |
| F-68 | | | | | |

INTELLI-CHECK, INC.

STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 (Unaudited)

| | Commo | s Sto | ck | Accumulated | | | |
|---|------------|--------|-----------|---------------|--------------|----|-------------|
| | Shares | Amount | | Paid-in A | Deficit | | Total |
| BALANCE, January 1, 2007 | 12,202,778 | \$ | 12,203 \$ | 45,912,734 \$ | (41,987,852) | \$ | 3,937,085 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | | | 330,988 | | | 330,988 |
| Exercise of options | 42,950 | | 43 | 145,916 | | | 145,959 |
| Exercise of warrants | 16,000 | | 16 | 86,384 | | | 86,400 |
| Issuance of stock as directors compensation | 20,000 | | 20 | 125,980 | | | 126,000 |
| Net loss | - | | - | - | (2,288,443) | | (2,288,443) |
| BALANCE, September 30, 2007 | 12,281,728 | \$ | 12,282 \$ | 46,602,002 \$ | (44,276,295) | \$ | 2,337,989 |

See accompanying notes to financial statements

INTELLI-CHECK, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, the unaudited interim financial statements furnished herein include all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the Company's financial position at September 30, 2007 and the results of its operations for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, stockholders' equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006. All such adjustments are of a normal and recurring nature. Interim financial statements are prepared on a basis consistent with the Company's annual financial statements. Results of operations for the nine month period ended September 30, 2007, are not necessarily indicative of the operating results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2007.

The balance sheet as of December 31, 2006 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and notes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements.

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to current period presentation.

For further information, refer to the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Liquidity

The Company anticipates that its cash on hand, marketable securities and cash resources from expected revenues from the sale of the units in inventory and the licensing of its technology will be sufficient to meet its anticipated working capital and capital expenditure requirements for at least the next twelve months. These requirements are expected to include the purchase of inventory, product development, sales and marketing expenses, working capital requirements and other general corporate purposes. The Company may need to raise additional funds to respond to business contingencies which may include the need to fund more rapid expansion, fund additional marketing expenditures, develop new markets for its ID-Check technology, enhance its operating infrastructure, respond to competitive pressures, or acquire complementary businesses or technologies. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to secure the additional funds when needed or obtain such on terms satisfactory to the Company, if at all.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements," which is effective for calendar year companies on January 1, 2008. The Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The Statement codifies the definition of fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly

transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The standard clarifies the principle that fair value should be based on the assumptions market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability and establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions. The Company is currently assessing the potential impacts of implementing this standard.

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB No. 109" ("FIN 48"), effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. FIN 48 requires a two-step approach to determine how to recognize tax benefits in the financial statements where recognition and measurement of a tax benefit must be evaluated separately. A tax benefit will be recognized only if it meets a "more-likely-than-not" recognition threshold. For tax positions that meet this threshold, the tax benefit recognized is based on the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the taxing authority. On May 2, 2007 the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48-1, "Definition of Settlement in FASB Interpretation 48" ("FIN 48-1"). FIN 48-1 amends FIN 48 to provide guidance on how an enterprise should determine whether a tax position is effectively settled for the purpose of recognizing previously unrecognized tax benefits. The guidance in FIN 48-1 shall be applied upon the initial adoption of FIN 48. We adopted FIN 48 and FIN 48-1 as of January 1, 2007. The adoption of FIN 48 did not have an impact on our results of operations or financial position. As a result of our continuing losses for tax purposes, we have historically not paid income taxes and have recorded a full valuation allowance against our net deferred tax asset. Therefore, we have not recorded a liability for unrecognized tax benefits prior to adoption of FIN 48 and there was no adjustment from the implementation. There continues to be no liability related to unrecognized tax benefits at September 30, 2007. We recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. There was no accrued interest related to unrecognized tax benefits at September 30, 2007. The tax years 2003-2006 remain open to examination by the major taxing jurisdictions to which we are subject.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financials Liabilities — Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115". This standard permits measurement of certain financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. If the fair value option is elected, the unrealized gains and losses are reported in earnings at each reporting date. Generally, the fair value option may be elected on an instrument-by-instrument basis, as long as it is applied to the instrument in its entirety. The fair value option election is irrevocable, unless a new election date occurs. SFAS No. 159 requires prospective application and also establishes certain additional presentation and disclosure requirements. The standard is effective as of the beginning of the fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the provisions of SFAS No. 159 to determine the potential impact, if any, the adoption will have on the Company's financial statements.

In June 2007, the FASB issued EITF Issue No. 07-3, "Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services Received for Use in Future Research and Development Activities," which is effective for calendar year companies on January 1, 2008. The Task Force concluded that nonrefundable advance payments for goods or services that will be used or rendered for future research and development activities should be deferred and capitalized. Such amounts should be recognized as an expense as the related goods are delivered or the services are performed, or when the goods or services are no longer expected to be provided. The Company is currently assessing the potential impact of implementing this standard.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the Company's financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the Company's financial statements and accompanying notes. Significant estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the financial statements include deferred tax valuation allowances and allowance for doubtful accounts. Due to the inherent uncertainties involved in making estimates, actual results reported in future periods may be different from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash and highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less when purchased. As of September 30, 2007, cash equivalents included money market funds and other liquid

short-term debt instruments (with maturities at date of purchase of three months or less) of \$10,065.

Marketable Securities and Short Term Investments

The Company accounts for investments in marketable securities as available-for-sale securities in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities" ("SFAS No. 115"). Under SFAS No. 115, securities purchased to be held for indefinite periods of time and not intended at the time of purchase to be held until maturity are classified as available-for-sale securities. The Company continually evaluates whether any marketable investments have been impaired and, if so, whether such impairment is temporary or other than temporary. Interest income is recorded using an effective interest rate, with the associated premium or discount amortized to interest income. All of the Company's marketable securities have maturities of less than one year with a weighted average interest rate of 5.8%. The carrying value of the marketable securities as of September 30, 2007 approximated their fair market value. Marketable Securities and Short Term Investments are invested in highly liquid Municipal Auction Rate Securities.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company records its allowance for doubtful accounts based upon its assessment of various factors. The Company considers historical experience, the age of the accounts receivable balances, credit quality of the Company's customers, current economic conditions and other factors that may affect customers' ability to pay.

Revenue Recognition and Deferred Revenue

The Company sells its products directly through its sales force and through distributors. Revenue from direct sales of our products is recognized when shipped to the customer and title has passed. The Company's products require continuing service or post contract customer support and performance; accordingly, a portion of the revenue pertaining to the service and support is deferred based on its fair value and recognized ratably over the period in which the future service, support and performance are provided, which is generally one year. Currently, with respect to sales of certain of our products, the Company does not have enough experience to identify the fair value of each element, therefore the full amount of the revenue and related gross margin is deferred and recognized ratably over the one-year period in which the future service, support and performance are provided.

In addition, the Company recognizes sales from licensing of its patented software to customers. The Company's licensed software requires continuing service or post contract customer support and performance; accordingly, a portion of the revenue is deferred based on its fair value and recognized ratably over the period in which the future service, support and performance are provided, which is generally one year. Royalties from the licensing of the Company's technology are recognized as revenues in the period they are earned.

Inventory

Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market and cost is determined using the first-in, first-out method. Inventory is primarily comprised of finished goods.

Business Concentrations and Credit Risk

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, the Company made sales to two customers that accounted for approximately 31% and 26% of total revenues, respectively. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, the Company made sales to two other customers that accounted for approximately 43% of total revenues in each of the periods presented.

The above listing is not intended to be a comprehensive list of all of our accounting policies. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by generally accepted accounting principles,

with no need for management's judgment in their application. There are also areas in which management's judgment in selecting any available alternative would not produce a materially different result.

Note 2. Net Loss per Common Share

The Company computes net loss per common share in accordance with SFAS No. 128, "Earnings Per Share." Under the provisions of SFAS No. 128, basic net loss per common share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted net loss per common share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of common shares then outstanding, but does not include the impact of stock options and warrants then outstanding, as the effect of their inclusion would be antidilutive.

The following table summarizes the additional number of common shares that would be outstanding assuming that all the options and warrants that were outstanding as of September 30, 2007 and 2006 had been converted:

| | 2007 | 2006 |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|
| Stock options | 2,033,217 | 2,499,755 |
| Warrants | 922,636 | 938,636 |
| Total | 2,955,853 | 3,438,391 |

Note 3. Stock-Based Compensation

On January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R). SFAS No. 123(R) eliminates the option to use the intrinsic value method of accounting that was provided in APB No. 25, which generally resulted in no compensation expense recorded in the financial statements related to the issuance of equity awards to employees. SFAS No. 123(R) requires that the cost resulting from all share based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements. SFAS No. 123(R) establishes fair value as the measurement objective in accounting for share based payment arrangements and requires all companies to apply a fair value based measurement method in accounting for all share based payment transactions with employees. We included stock based compensation in selling, general and administrative expense for the cost of stock options.

Stock based compensation expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is as follows:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | Nine mor Septen | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----|---------|--------------------|----|---------|
| | 2007 | | 2006 | 2007 | | 2006 |
| Compensation cost recognized: | | | | | | |
| Stock options | \$ 80,910 | \$ | 156,000 | \$ 330,988 | \$ | 517,000 |
| Restricted stock | - | | - | 126,000 | | 16,006 |
| | \$ 80,910 | \$ | 156,000 | \$ 456,988 | \$ | 533,006 |

In order to retain and attract qualified personnel necessary for the success of the Company, the Company adopted several stock option plans from 1998 through 2004 (and an amendment to the 2004 plan in 2006 pursuant to which the plan was renamed the "2006 Equity Incentive Plan" and amended to provide for the issuance of other types of equity incentives such as restricted stock grants) (collectively, the "Plans") covering up to 3,250,000 of the Company's common shares, pursuant to which officers, directors, key employees and consultants to the Company are eligible to receive incentive stock options and nonqualified stock options. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors administers these Plans and determines the terms and conditions of options granted, including the exercise price. These Plans generally provide that all stock options will expire within ten years of the date of grant. Incentive stock options granted under these Plans must be granted at an exercise price that is not less than the fair market value per share at the date of the grant and the exercise price must not be less than 110% of the fair market value per share at the date of the grant for grants to persons owning more than 10% of the voting stock of the Company. These Plans also entitle non-employee directors to receive grants of non-qualified stock options as approved by the Board of Directors.

Option activity under the Plans as of September 30, 2007 and changes during the nine months ended September 30, 2007 were as follows:

| | Shares (1) | Weighted- Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---|--|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding at January 1, 2007 | 2,470,055 \$ | 6.55 | | |
| Granted | 185,362 | 5.61 | | |
| Exercised | (42,950) | 3.40 | | |
| Forfeited or expired | (579,250) | 10.33 | | |
| Outstanding at September 30, 2007 | 2,033,217 \$ | 5.46 | 3.12 | \$ 548,499 |
| | | | | |
| Exercisable at September 30, 2007 | 1,826,354 \$ | 5.44 | 2.62 | \$ 491,499 |

(1) Included in the table are 469,425 non-plan options, of which all options are fully vested.

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pretax intrinsic value (the difference between the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the third quarter of 2007 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on September 30, 2007. This amount changes based on the fair market value of the Company's stock. The total intrinsic value of options exercised for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$137,515.

As of September 30, 2007, unrecognized compensation expense related to granted and non-vested stock options amounted to approximately \$366,685 and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.4 years.

As of September 30, 2007, the Company had 884,415 options available for future grant under the Plans.

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to value the options. The table below presents the weighted average expected life of the options in years. The expected life computation is based on historical exercise patterns and post-vesting termination behavior. Volatility is determined using changes in historical stock prices. The interest rate for periods within the expected life of the award is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

The fair value of share-based payment units was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions and weighted average fair values as follows:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | Nine months ended September 30, | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|----|-------|---------------------------------|-------|----|-------|
| | | 2007 | | 2006 | | 2007 | | 2006 |
| Weighted average fair value of grants | \$ | 1.61 | \$ | 3.69 | 5 | 2.85 | \$ | 3.95 |
| Valuation assumptions: | | | | | | | | |
| Expected dividend yield | | 0.00% | | 0.00% | | 0.00% | | 0.00% |
| Expected volatility | | 60.5% | | 71.6% | | 58.8% | | 80.4% |
| Expected life (in years) | | 4.5 | | 4.5 | | 4.58 | | 4.88 |
| Risk-free interest rate | | 4.21% | | 4.70% | | 4.62% | | 4.70% |

Note 4. Legal Proceedings

On August 1, 2003, we filed a summons and complaint against Tricom Card Technologies, Inc. alleging infringement on our patent and seeking injunctive and monetary relief. On October 23, 2003, we amended our complaint to include infringement on an additional patent. On May 18, 2004, we filed a Second Amended Complaint alleging infringement and inducement to infringe against certain principals of Tricom in their personal capacities, as well as alleging in the alternative false advertising claims under the Lanham Act against all the defendants. The principals moved to dismiss the claims against them, and Tricom moved to dismiss the false advertising claims, which motions have been administratively terminated by the Court. On August 1, 2005, defendants filed an Answer and Affirmative Defenses to the Second Amended Complaint and Tricom filed a declaratory counterclaim. On November 2, 2005, the Court allowed Tricom to plead two additional defenses and declaratory counterclaims in the case, and on January 3, 2006, the parties filed a Stipulation of Dismissal of the Estoppel and Unenforceability Counterclaims and Affirmative Defenses. On February 28, 2006, the parties filed a Supplemental Proposed Joint Pretrial Order, and on March 1, 2006, the Court certified that fact discovery in this action was complete. On June 29, 2006, the Court held a pre-motion conference at our request to discuss our proposed motion to disqualify defendants' counsel for a conflict of interest. Pursuant to the Court's order, we served moving papers upon defendants on July 14, 2006 and defendants served opposition to the motion on around July 28, 2006. We served a reply to the opposition on August 11, 2006 and filed the motion with the Court. Also, on or about July 21, 2006, defendants filed with the Court a motion for claim construction together with our opposition to defendants' motion and defendants' reply to the opposition. As of September 30, 2007, the Court has not scheduled a hearing date for either motion and there is no trial date pending.

We are not aware of any infringement by our products or technology on the proprietary rights of others.

Other than as set forth above, we are not currently involved in any legal or regulatory proceeding, or arbitration, the outcome of which is expected to have a material adverse effect on our business.

Note 5. Commitments and Contingencies

On June 7, 2007, Frank Mandelbaum, the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, passed away. In accordance with his letter of understanding, his widow is entitled to a payment equal to six months salary (\$132,000), payable in six equal monthly installments. The Company recorded this amount in general and administrative expenses in the second quarter of 2007.

Note 6. Extension of Rights

In March 2001, the Company declared a dividend distribution of one non-transferable right to purchase one share of its Common Stock for every 10 outstanding shares of Common Stock continuously held from the record date to the date of exercise, as well as Common Stock underlying vested stock options and warrants, held of record on March 30, 2001, at an exercise price of \$8.50. On May 10, 2007, the Board of Directors authorized extending these rights, which were due to expire on June 30, 2007 to June 30, 2008.

Note 7. Proposed Merger

On August 8, 2007, the Company and Mobilisa, Inc., a private company that is a leader in identity systems and mobile and wireless technologies, entered into an agreement in principle to combine the two companies. The terms of the agreement in principle provide that Mobilisa will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intelli-Check and that shares of Intelli-Check will be issued to the Mobilisa shareholders such that the stockholders of the two companies will each own 50% of the Common Stock of the combined company. Mobilisa option holders will also exchange their options for Intelli-Check options. The combination is anticipated to be structured as a "tax-free reorganization" under Section 368 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The Companies are in the process of completing due diligence

related to the merger. It is anticipated that the merger will close in the first quarter of 2008.

ANNEXES

- A Merger Agreement dated November 20, 2007
- B Form of Plan of Merger and Articles of Merger
- C Form of Lock-up Agreement
- D Intelli-Check, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan
- E Form of Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation for Intelli-Check, Inc.

ANNEX A

MERGER AGREEMENT

dated

November 20, 2007

by and among

Intelli-Check, Inc., a Delaware corporation,

as Parent,

Intelli-Check Merger Sub, Inc., a Washington corporation,

as the Acquisition Corp.,

Mobilisa, Inc., a Washington corporation,

as the Company, and

the Principal Shareholders of the Company, named herein,

as the Principal Shareholders

| ARTICLE I DEFINITION | S | | 1 |
|---------------------------------|---------------|--|----|
| 1.1 | | Definitions | 1 |
| | | | |
| ARTICLE II PURCHASE | AND SALE | | 9 |
| 2.1 | | The Merger. | 9 |
| 2.2 | | Merger Documents | 11 |
| 2.3 | | Effective Time of the Merger | 11 |
| 2.4 | | Effect on Capital Stock; Exchange Procedures. | 11 |
| 2.5 | | Optionholders and Warrantholders | 12 |
| 2.6 | | Payment of the Merger Consideration | 13 |
| 2.7 | | Closing | 13 |
| 2.8 | | No Further Transfers; Lost, Stolen or Destroyed Certificates | 14 |
| 2.9 | | Effect of the Merger | 14 |
| ARTICLE III REPRESENTHE COMPANY | TATIONS AND W | ARRANTIES OF THE PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS AND | 14 |
| 3.1 | | Corporate Existence and Power | 14 |
| 3.2 | | Corporate Authorization | 14 |
| 3.3 | | Charter Documents; Legality | 15 |
| 3.4 | | Subsidiaries | 15 |
| 3.5 | | Capitalization and Ownership | 15 |
| 3.6 | | Affiliates | 15 |
| 3.7 | | Assumed Names | 16 |
| 3.8 | | Governmental Authorization | 16 |
| 3.9 | | Consents | 16 |
| 3.10 | | Financial Statements. | 16 |
| 3.11 | | Accounts Receivable | 17 |
| 3.12 | 2 | Books and Records. | 17 |
| 3.13 | } | Absence of Certain Changes. | 18 |
| 3.14 | | Real Property. | 20 |
| 3.15 | i | Tangible Personal Property. | 20 |
| 3.16 | -) | Intellectual Property. | 21 |
| 3.17 | 1 | Export Restrictions. | 22 |
| 3.18 | 3 | Relationships With Customers, Suppliers, Etc. | 22 |
| 3.19 |) | Litigation | 23 |
| 3.20 |) | Contracts. | 23 |
| 3.21 | | Licenses and Permits | 24 |
| 3.22 | 2 | Compliance with Laws | 24 |
| 3.23 | } | Pre-payments Pre-payments | 25 |
| 3.24 | | Employees | 25 |
| 3.25 | ; | Compliance with Federal, State and Municipal Labor and Employment Laws and Ordinances and Collective Bargaining Agreements | 25 |
| 3.26 |) | Employee Benefits | 25 |
| 3.27 | | Employment Matters | 27 |
| 3.28 | | Tax Matters | 27 |
| A-2 | | | |

| | 3.29 | Fees | 30 |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---|----------|
| | 3.30 | Business Operations; Servers. | 30 |
| | 3.31 | Powers of Attorney and Suretyships. | 30 |
| | 3.32 | Other Information. | 30 |
| | 3.33 | Certain Business Practices | 31 |
| | 3.34 | Money Laundering Laws | 31 |
| | 3.35 | Environmental Matters | 31 |
| | 3.36 | Related Party Transactions | 32 |
| | 3.37 | Insurance | 32 |
| | | | |
| ARTICLE IV R | | S AND WARRANTIES OF THE PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS | 32 |
| | 4.1 | Ownership of Stock; Authority. | 33 |
| | 4.2 | Approvals | 33 |
| | 4.3 | Non-Contravention | 33 |
| | 4.4 | Litigation and Claims | 33 |
| | 4.5 | Investment Representations | 34 |
| | 4.6 | Tax | 34 |
| ADTICLE V.D. | EDDECENTATIONS | AND WARD ANDER OF DARRIE | 2.4 |
| ARTICLE V R | | S AND WARRANTIES OF PARENT | 34 |
| | 5.1 | Due Incorporation | 35 |
| | 5.2 | Corporate Authorization | 35 |
| | 5.3 | Governmental Authorization | 35 |
| | 5.4 | No Violation | 35 |
| | 5.5 | Consents | 36 |
| | 5.6 | Litigation | 36 |
| | 5.7 | Issuance of Parent Common Stock | 36 |
| | 5.8 | Fees Charter Decreases Levelites | 36 |
| | 5.9 | Charter Documents; Legality | 36 |
| | 5.10 | Capitalization and Ownership of the Parent | 36 |
| | 5.11 | Financial Statements. | 37 |
| | 5.12 | Other Information | 37 |
| | 5.13 | Compliance with Laws | 37 |
| | 5.14 | Money Laundering Laws | 38 |
| | 5.15 | Ownership of Parent Securities | 38 |
| | 5.16 5.17 | Acquisition Corp | 38 38 |
| | 5.17 | Reorganization Absorbed of Contain Changes | 38 |
| | 3.18 | Absence of Certain Changes | 30 |
| ARTICLE VI C CLOSING | COVENANTS OF TH | HE COMPANY AND EACH PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDER PENDING | 40 |
| | 6.1 | Conduct of the Business | 40 |
| | 6.2 | Access to Information. | 42 |
| | 6.3 | Notices of Certain Events | 42 |
| | 6.4 | SEC Filings. | 42 |
| | 6.5. | Investment Representations. | 43 |
| A-3 | | | |

| ARTICLE VII COVENANTS OF THE COM | IPANY AND THE PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS | 46 | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------|--|
| 7.1 | Confidentiality | | |
| 7.2 | 7.2 Non-Solicitation. | | |
| 7.3 | Non-Competition | 46 47 | |
| | 7.4 Reporting and Compliance With Law | | |
| 7.5 Injunctive Relief | | 47 47 | |
| 7.6 | Audited Financial Statements | 47 | |
| | | | |
| ARTICLE VIII COVENANTS OF PARENT | PENDING CLOSING | 47 | |
| 8.1 | Conduct of the Business | 48 | |
| 8.2 | Access to Information. | 49 | |
| | | | |
| ARTICLE IX COVENANTS OF ALL PART | TES HERETO | 50 | |
| 9.1 | Best Efforts; Further Assurances | 50 | |
| 9.2 | Confidentiality of Transaction | 50 | |
| 9.3 | Best Efforts to Obtain Consents | 50 | |
| 9.4 | No Additional Derivative Securities | 50 | |
| 9.5 | Tax Matters. | 51 | |
| 9.6 | Registration | 51 | |
| 9.7 | Procedures on Registration | 52 | |
| 9.8 | Severance | 53 | |
| 7.0 | | | |
| ARTICLE X CONDITIONS TO CLOSING | | 53 | |
| 10.1 | Conditions to the Obligations of Parent, Acquisition Corp., the | 53 | |
| 10.1 | Principal Shareholders and the Company | 33 | |
| 10.2 | Conditions to Obligations of Parent and Acquisition Corp | 53 | |
| 10.3 | Conditions to Obligations of the Company and the Principal | 55 | |
| 10.5 | Shareholders | 33 | |
| | Shareholders | | |
| ARTICLE XI RELIANCE ON REPRESENT | ATIONS AND WARRANTIES | 56 | |
| 11.1 | Reliance on Representations and Warranties of the Company | 56 | |
| | and the Principal Shareholders | 50 | |
| 11.2 | Reliance on Representations and Warranties of Parent and | 56 | |
| 11,2 | Acquisition Corp | 50 | |
| | requisition corp | | |
| ARTICLE XII INDEMNIFICATION | | 56 | |
| 12.1 | Indemnification of Parent and Acquisition Corp | 56 | |
| 12.2 | Indemnification of Principal Shareholders | 57 | |
| 12.3 | Procedure Procedure | 57 | |
| 12.4 | Periodic Payments | 58 | |
| 12.5 | Insurance | 59 | |
| 11.6 | Survival of Indemnification Rights | 59 | |
| 11.0 | Survivar of Indefinitional Rights | 3) | |
| ARTICLE XIII DISPUTE RESOLUTION | | 59 | |
| 13.1 | Arbitration. | 59 | |
| 13.1 | Waiver of Jury Trial; Exemplary Damages. | 61 | |
| 13.2 | That of July 111ui, Exemplary Dumages. | 01 | |
| A-4 | | | |
| 11 T | | | |

| | 13.3 | Attorneys' Fees. | 61 |
|------------|---------------|------------------------|----|
| | | | |
| ARTICLE XI | V TERMINATION | | 61 |
| | 14.1 | Termination. | 61 |
| | 14.2 | Effect of Termination. | 62 |
| | 14.3 | Termination Fee. | 62 |
| | 14.4 | Survival | 63 |
| | | | |
| ARTICLE XV | MISCELLANEOUS | | 63 |
| | 15.1 | | |