RETRACTABLE TECHNOLOGIES INC Form 10-Q November 14, 2016 Table of Contents

# **UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q
(Mark One)
x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGIACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2016
or
o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT OF 193

For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 001-16465

## Retractable Technologies, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Texas

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

75-2599762

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

511 Lobo Lane Little Elm, Texas

(Address of principal executive offices)

75068-5295

(Zip Code)

(972) 294-1010

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address, and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer O

Accelerated filer O

Non-accelerated filer O (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company X

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

APPLICABLE ONLY TO ISSUERS INVOLVED IN BANKRUPTCY

PROCEEDINGS DURING THE PRECEDING FIVE YEARS:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Sections 12, 13, or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. Yes o No o

## APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer  $\,$ s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 29,654,754 shares of Common Stock, no par value, outstanding on November 1, 2016.

## RETRACTABLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

## FORM 10-Q

For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2016

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

## PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements</u>	1
CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERA CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL S	<u>FLOWS</u>	1 2 3 4
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	11
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	16
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	16
	PART II OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	17
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	17
Item 2.	<b>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</b>	17
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	17
<u>Item 6.</u>	<b>Exhibits</b>	18
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		19

### PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements.

## RETRACTABLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

### CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

	-	ember 30, 2016 unaudited)	D	ecember 31, 2015
ASSETS				
Current assets:	Φ.	15 210 514	Φ.	10.045.044
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	15,218,714	\$	18,045,044
Accounts receivable, net		5,161,441		4,900,997
Inventories, net		7,204,724		6,296,625
Other current assets		229,410		1,568,032
Total current assets		27,814,289		30,810,698
Property, plant, and equipment, net		12,472,192		11,468,061
Intangible and other assets, net		258,375		262,105
Total assets	\$	40,544,856	\$	42,540,864
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$	4,709,270	\$	5,697,518
Current portion of long-term debt		259,336		249,349
Accrued compensation		618,743		763,576
Dividends payable		55,113		55,414
Accrued royalties to shareholder		680,287		631,145
Other accrued liabilities		926,043		690,535
Income taxes payable		10,893		8,176
Total current liabilities		7,259,685		8,095,713
Long-term debt, net of current maturities		3,220,066		3,417,471
Total liabilities		10,479,751		11,513,184
Commitments and contingencies see Note 6				
Stockholders equity:				
Preferred stock \$1 par value:				
Series I, Class B		98,500		98,500
Series II, Class B		171,200		171,200
Series III, Class B		129,245		129,245
Series IV, Class B		342,500		342,500
Series V, Class B		40,000		40,000
Common stock, no par value				
Additional paid-in capital		59,026,550		58,268,036
Retained deficit		(29,742,890)		(28,021,801)
Total stockholders equity		30,065,105		31,027,680
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$	40,544,856	\$	42,540,864

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements

## RETRACTABLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

## CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

## (unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2016	Three Months Ended September 30, 2015	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015
Sales, net Cost of sales	\$ 8,840,134 \$	9,482,866	22,337,169 \$	22,376,912
Cost of manufactured product	4,900,072	5,489,151	12,400,679	12,307,440
Royalty expense to shareholders	680,287	770,197	1,868,064	1,846,438
Total cost of sales	5,580,359	6,259,348	14,268,743	14,153,878
Gross profit	3,259,775	3,223,518	8,068,426	8,223,034
Operating expenses:				
Sales and marketing	1,058,029	954,955	2,953,773	2,826,224
Research and development	117,939	125,780	389,712	409,764
General and administrative	2,165,246	2,342,165	6,306,085	7,321,847
Total operating expenses	3,341,214	3,422,900	9,649,570	10,557,835
Loss from operations	(81,439)	(199,382)	(1,581,144)	(2,334,801)
Litigation proceeds				7,724,826
Interest and other income	8,609	4,916	19,822	20,836
Interest expense, net	(51,994)	(58,316)	(158,327)	(165,269)
Net income (loss) before income taxes	(124,824)	(252,782)	(1,719,649)	5,245,592
Provision for income taxes	480	2,044	1,440	6,133
Net income (loss)	(125,304)	(254,826)	(1,721,089)	5,239,459
Preferred stock dividend requirements	(176,249)	(227,499)	(528,747)	(682,802)
Earnings (loss) applicable to common				
shareholders	\$ (301,553) \$	(482,325)	(2,249,836) \$	4,556,657
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$ (0.01) \$	(0.02)	(0.08) \$	0.16
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$ (0.01) \$	(0.02)	(0.08) \$	0.15
Weighted average common shares outstanding:				
Basic	29,649,874	27,873,447	29,252,652	27,759,333
Diluted	29,649,874	27,873,447	29,252,652	29,436,008

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements

2

## RETRACTABLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

## CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## (unaudited)

		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015
Cash flows from operating activities		(4 = 24 000) +	
Net income (loss)	\$	(1,721,089) \$	5,239,459
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash used by operating			
activities:		02.000	141,000
Provision for doubtful accounts		92,000	141,000
Share-based compensation		84,651	645,000
Depreciation and amortization		672,000	645,038
(Increase) decrease in assets:			=
Inventories		(908,099)	(1,447,486)
Accounts receivable		(352,444)	474,048
Other current assets		1,338,622	419,238
Other assets		(750)	
Increase (decrease) in liabilities:			
Accounts payable		(988,248)	(36,989)
Litigation proceeds subject to stipulation			(7,724,826)
Other accrued liabilities		139,817	321,124
Income taxes payable		2,717	(2,885)
Net cash used by operating activities		(1,640,823)	(1,972,279)
Cash flows from investing activities			
Purchase of property, plant, and equipment		(1,671,649)	(1,263,296)
Change in restricted cash			600,897
Net cash used by investing activities		(1,671,649)	(662,399)
Cash flows from financing activities			
Repayments of long-term debt and notes payable		(187,418)	(123,602)
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options		839,200	283,933
Proceeds from long-term debt			276,495
Payment of Preferred Stock dividends		(165,640)	(227,180)
Net cash provided by financing activities		486,142	209,646
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(2,826,330)	(2,425,032)
Cash and cash equivalents at:			
Beginning of period		18,045,044	22,128,977
End of period	\$	15,218,714 \$	19,703,945
Supplemental schedule of cash flow information:			
Interest paid	\$	158,325 \$	165,269
Income taxes paid	\$	2,025 \$	9,017
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities:	φ.	55 110 A	56.060
Preferred dividends declared, not paid	\$	55,113 \$	56,363

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements

#### RETRACTABLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(unaudited)

#### 1. BUSINESS OF THE COMPANY AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

#### **Business of the Company**

Retractable Technologies, Inc. (the Company ) was incorporated in Texas on May 9, 1994, and designs, develops, manufactures, and markets safety syringes and other safety medical products for the healthcare profession. The Company began to develop its manufacturing operations in 1995. The Company s manufacturing and administrative facilities are located in Little Elm, Texas. The Company s products are the VanishPoint® 0.5mL insulin syringe; 1mL tuberculin, insulin, and allergy antigen syringes; 0.5mL, 1mL, 2mL, 3mL, 5mL, and 10mL syringes; the small diameter tube adapter; the blood collection tube holder; the allergy tray; the IV safety catheter; the Patient Safe® syringes; the Patient Safe® Luer Cap; the VanishPoint® Blood Collection Set; and the EasyPoint® needle. The Company also sells VanishPoint® autodisable syringes in the international market in addition to the Company s other products.

### **Basis of presentation**

The accompanying condensed financial statements are unaudited and, in the opinion of Management, reflect all adjustments that are necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and results of operations for the periods presented. All such adjustments are of a normal and recurring nature. The results of operations for the periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year. The condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statement disclosures contained in the Company s audited financial statements incorporated into its Form 10-K filed on March 30, 2016 for the year ended December 31, 2015.

## 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### **Accounting estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ) requires Management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates.

### Cash and cash equivalents

For purposes of reporting cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include unrestricted cash, money market accounts, and investments with original maturities of three months or less.

#### Accounts receivable

The Company records trade receivables when revenue is recognized. No product has been consigned to customers. The Company s allowance for doubtful accounts is primarily determined by review of specific trade receivables. Those accounts that are doubtful of collection are included in the allowance. This provision is reviewed to determine the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. Trade receivables are charged off when there is certainty as to their being uncollectible. Trade receivables are considered delinquent when payment has not been made within contract terms.

4

#### **Table of Contents**

The Company requires certain customers to make a prepayment prior to beginning production or shipment of their order. Customers may apply such prepayments to their outstanding invoices or pay the invoice and continue to carry forward the deposit for future orders. Such amounts are included in Other accrued liabilities on the Condensed Balance Sheets and are shown in Note 5, Other Accrued Liabilities.

The Company records an allowance for estimated returns as a reduction to Accounts receivable and Gross sales. Historically, returns have been immaterial.

#### **Inventories**

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market, with cost being determined using actual average cost. The Company compares the average cost to the market price and records the lower value. Management considers such factors as the amount of inventory on hand and in the distribution channel, estimated time to sell such inventory, the shelf life of inventory, and current market conditions when determining excess or obsolete inventories. A reserve is established for any excess or obsolete inventories or they may be written off.

#### Property, plant, and equipment

Property, plant, and equipment are stated at cost. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred. Cost includes major expenditures for improvements and replacements which extend useful lives or increase capacity and interest cost associated with significant capital additions. Gains or losses from property disposals are included in income.

Depreciation and amortization are calculated using the straight-line method over the following useful lives:

Production equipment 3 to 13 years
Office furniture and equipment 3 to 10 years
Buildings 39 years
Building improvements 15 years
Automobiles 7 years

#### Long-lived assets

The Company assesses the recoverability of long-lived assets using an assessment of the estimated undiscounted future cash flows related to such assets. In the event that assets are found to be carried at amounts which are in excess of estimated gross future cash flows, the assets will be adjusted for impairment to a level commensurate with fair value determined using a discounted cash flow analysis of the underlying assets.

The Company s property, plant, and equipment primarily consist of buildings, land, assembly equipment for syringes, molding machines, molds, office equipment, furniture, and fixtures.

#### Intangible assets

Intangible assets are stated at cost and consist primarily of intellectual property which is amortized using the straight-line method over 17 years.

#### **Financial instruments**

The Company estimates the fair market value of financial instruments through the use of public market prices, quotes from financial institutions, and other available information. Judgment is required in interpreting data to develop estimates of market value and, accordingly, amounts are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized in a current market exchange. Short-term financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and other liabilities, consist primarily of instruments without extended maturities, the fair value of which, based on Management s estimates, equals their recorded values. The fair value of long-term liabilities, based on Management s estimates, approximates their reported values.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Concentration risks

The Company s financial instruments exposed to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash, cash equivalents, and accounts receivable. Cash balances, some of which exceed federally insured limits, are maintained in financial institutions; however, Management believes the institutions are of high credit quality. The majority of accounts receivable are due from companies which are well-established entities. As a consequence, Management considers any exposure from concentrations of credit risks to be limited.

The following table reflects our significant customers for the first three and nine months of 2016 and 2015:

	Three Months ended September 30, 2016	Three Months ended September 30, 2015	Nine Months ended September 30, 2016	Nine Months ended September 30, 2015
Number of significant customers	2	2	1	2
Aggregate dollar amount of net sales to significant customers	\$3.5 million	\$5.1 million	\$7.1 million	\$10.3 million
Percentage of net sales to	φοιοοι	ψυτ mmon	ψ/II IIIIIIIII	Ψ1010 111111011
significant customers	39.3%	53.5%	31.6%	46.0%

The Company manufactures syringes in Little Elm, Texas as well as utilizing manufacturers in China. The Company purchases most of its product components from single suppliers, including needle adhesives and packaging materials. There are multiple sources of these materials. The Company obtained roughly 85.1% and 78.2% of its VanishPoint® syringes in the first nine months of 2016 and 2015, respectively, from its primary Chinese manufacturer. Purchases from this Chinese manufacturer aggregated 92.7% and 82.4% of VanishPoint® syringes in the three month periods ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. In the event that the Company becomes unable to purchase products from its primary Chinese manufacturer, the Company would need to find an alternate manufacturer for its 0.5mL insulin syringe, its 2mL, 5mL, and 10mL syringes and its autodisable syringe, and increase domestic production for 1mL and 3mL syringes.

#### Revenue recognition

Revenue is recognized for sales when title and risk of ownership passes to the customer, generally upon shipment. Under certain contracts, revenue is recorded on the basis of sales price to distributors, less contractual pricing allowances. Contractual pricing allowances consist of: (i) rebates granted to distributors who provide tracking reports which show, among other things, the facility that purchased the products, and (ii) a provision for estimated contractual pricing allowances for products for which the Company has not received tracking reports. Rebates are recorded when issued and are applied against the customer's receivable balance. Distributors receive a rebate for the difference between the Wholesale Acquisition Cost and the appropriate contract price as reflected on a tracking report provided by the distributor to the Company. If product is sold by a distributor to an entity that has no contract, there is a standard rebate (lower than a contracted rebate) given to the distributor. One of the purposes of the rebate is to encourage distributors to submit tracking reports to the Company. The provision for contractual pricing allowances is reviewed at the end of each quarter and adjusted for changes in levels of products for which there is no tracking report. Additionally, if it becomes clear that tracking reports will not be provided by individual distributors, the provision is further adjusted. The estimated contractual allowance is included in Accounts payable in the Balance Sheets and deducted from revenues in the Statements of Operations. Accounts payable included estimated contractual allowances for \$3,998,904 and \$3,733,199 as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. The terms and conditions of contractual pricing allowances are governed by contracts between the Company and its distributors. Revenue for shipments directly to end-users is recognized when title and risk of ownership pass from the Company. Any

product shipped or distributed for evaluation purposes is expensed.

#### **Table of Contents**

Certain distributors have taken rebates to which they are not entitled, such as utilizing a rebate for products not purchased directly from the Company. Major customers said they have ceased the practices resulting in claiming non-contractual rebates. Rebates can only be claimed on purchases made directly from the Company. The Company has established a reserve for the collectability of these non-contractual rebate amounts. The expense for the reserve is recorded in Operating expense, General and administrative. The reserve for such non-contractual deductions is included in the allowance for doubtful accounts. There has been no change to the reserve for contractual rebates in the periods currently presented.

The Company s domestic return policy is set forth in its standard Distribution Agreement. This policy provides that a customer may return incorrect shipments within 10 days following arrival at the distributor s facility. In all such cases the distributor must obtain an authorization code from the Company and affix the code to the returned product. The Company will not accept returned goods without a returned goods authorization number. The Company may refund the customer s money or replace the product.

The Company s domestic return policy also generally provides that a customer may return product that is overstocked. Overstocking returns are limited to two times in each 12-month period up to 1% of distributor s total purchase of products for the prior 12-month period. All product overstocks and returns are subject to inspection and acceptance by the Company.

The Company s international distribution agreements generally do not provide for any returns.

#### **Income taxes**

The Company evaluates tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return for recognition in the financial statements based on whether it is more-likely-than-not that a tax position will be sustained based upon the technical merits of the position. Measurement of the tax position is based upon the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement.

The Company provides for deferred income taxes through utilizing an asset and liability approach for financial accounting and reporting based on the tax effects of differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities, based on enacted rates expected to be in effect when such differences reverse in future periods. Deferred tax assets are periodically reviewed for realizability. The Company has established a valuation allowance for its net deferred tax asset as future taxable income cannot be reasonably assured. Penalties and interest related to income tax are classified as General and administrative expense and Interest expense, respectively, in the Condensed Statements of Operations.

### Table of Contents

#### Earnings per share

The Company computes basic earnings per share (EPS) by dividing net earnings for the period (adjusted for any cumulative dividends for the period) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS includes the determinants of basic EPS and, in addition, reflects the dilutive effect, if any, of the common stock deliverable pursuant to stock options or common stock issuable upon the conversion of convertible preferred stock. The calculation of diluted EPS excluded 670,338 and 674,042 shares of Common Stock underlying issued and outstanding stock options for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2016, respectively, as their effect was antidilutive. The potential dilution, if any, is shown on the following schedule:

	Nonths Ended aber 30, 2016	Three Months Ended September 30, 2015	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015
Net income (loss)	\$ (125,304)	\$ (254,826) \$	(1,721,089) \$	5,239,459
Preferred dividend requirements	(176,249)	(227,499)	(528,747)	(682,802)
Earnings (loss) applicable to common shareholders after				
assumed conversions	\$ (301,553)	\$ (482,325) \$	(2,249,836) \$	4,556,657
Average common shares				
outstanding	29,649,874	27,873,447	29,252,652	27,759,333
Average common and common equivalent shares outstanding				
assuming dilution	29,649,874	27,873,447	29,252,652	29,436,008
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$ (0.01) 3	\$ (0.02) \$	(0.08) \$	0.16
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$ (0.01) 3	\$ (0.02) \$	(0.08) \$	0.15

#### Shipping and handling costs

The Company classifies shipping and handling costs as part of Cost of sales in the Condensed Statements of Operations.

#### Research and development costs

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred.

#### **Share-based compensation**

The Company s share-based payments are accounted for using the fair value method. The Company records share-based compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period.

#### Recent Pronouncements

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting. This ASU addresses several aspects of the accounting for share-based compensation transactions including: (a) income tax consequences when awards vest or are settled, (b) classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, (c) a policy election to account for forfeitures as they occur rather than on an estimated basis and (d) classification of excess tax impacts on the statement of cash flows. The updated guidance is effective for the Company is quarter ending March 31, 2017, with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently assessing the impact that adoption of this guidance will have on its financial statements and related disclosures.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, Leases (topic 842). Under the new ASU, lessees will be required to recognize the following for all leases (with the exception of short-term leases) at the commencement date: (1) a lease liability, which is a lessee s obligation to make lease payments arising from a lease, measured on a discounted basis; and (2) a right-of-use asset, which is an asset that represents the lessee s right to use, or control the use of, a specified asset for the lease term. Under the new guidance lessor accounting is largely unchanged. The new lease guidance simplified the accounting for sale and leaseback transactions primarily because lessees must recognize lease assets and lease liabilities. Lessees (for capital and operating leases) and lessors (for sales-type, direct financing, and operating leases) must apply a modified retrospective transition approach for leases existing at, or entered into after, the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements. The modified retrospective approach would not require any transition accounting for leases that expired before the earliest comparative period presented. This ASU is effective for public companies for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years, with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this standard.

#### Table of Contents

In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-11, Inventory (Topic 330) Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory, which is part of the FASB s Simplification Initiative. Inventory, including inventory measured at average cost, would be valued at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Net realizable value is the estimated selling prices in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal, and transportation. ASU 2015-11 is effective for the Company s annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning January 1, 2017. Amendments in this ASU should be applied prospectively with earlier application permitted at the beginning of an interim or annual reporting period. The Company is currently assessing the potential impact of this ASU on its financial statements.

In May 2014, FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers , which provides guidance for revenue recognition. This ASU s core principle is that a company will recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects consideration to which the company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. This ASU also requires additional disclosure about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from customer contracts, including significant judgments and changes in judgments, and assets recognized from costs incurred to obtain or fulfill a contract. ASU No. 2014-09 allows for either full retrospective or modified retrospective adoption. In July 2015, the FASB voted to delay the effective date of this ASU by one year. The ASU will now be effective commencing with the Company s quarter ending March 31, 2018. Early adoption of this ASU is allowed no sooner than the original effective date. The Company is currently assessing the potential impact of this ASU on its financial statements.

#### 3. INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of the following:

	Septe	ember 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Raw materials	\$	1,614,476 \$	1,664,241
Finished goods		6,448,066	5,313,778
		8,062,542	6,978,019
Inventory reserve		(857,818)	(681,394)
	\$	7,204,724 \$	6,296,625

#### 4. INCOME TAXES

The Company s effective tax rate on the net earnings (loss) before income taxes was (0.1)% and 0.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015, respectively. For the three months ended September 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015, the Company s effective tax rate on the net earnings (loss) before income taxes was (0.4)% and (0.8)%, respectively.

#### 5. OTHER ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Other accrued liabilities consist of the following:

Septer	mber 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
\$	357,396 \$	395,396
	327,602	
	213,952	274,252
	27,093	20,887
\$	926,043 \$	690,535
9		
	\$	327,602 213,952 27,093 \$ 926,043 \$

### 6. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In May 2010, the Company and an officer s suit against Becton, Dickinson and Company (BD) in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Marshall Division alleging violations of antitrust acts, false advertising, product disparagement, tortious interference, and unfair competition was reopened. The trial commenced on September 9, 2013, in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division, and the jury found that BD illegally engaged in anticompetitive conduct with the intent to acquire or maintain monopoly power in the safety syringe market and engaged in false advertising under the Lanham Act. The jury awarded the Company \$113,508,014 in damages, which was trebled pursuant to statute. The Court granted injunctive relief to take effect January 15, 2015. In doing so, the Court found that BD s business practices limited innovation, including false advertisements that suppressed sales of the VanishPoint®. The specific injunctive relief includes: (1) enjoining BD s use of World s Sharpest Needle or any similar assertion of superior sharpness; (2) requiring notification to all customers who purchased BD syringe products from July 2, 2004 to date that BD wrongfully claimed that its syringe needles were sharper and that its statement that it had data on file was false and misleading; (3) requiring notification to employees, customers, distributors, GPOs, and government agencies that the deadspace of the VanishPoint® has been within ISO standards since 2004 and that BD overstated the deadspace of the VanishPoint® to represent that it was higher than some of BD s syringes when it was actually less, and that BD s statement that it had data on file was false and misleading, and, in addition, posting this notice on its website for a period of three years; (4) enjoining BD from advertising that its syringe products save medication as compared to VanishPoint® products for a period of three years; (5) requiring notification to all employees, customers, distributors, GPOs, and government agencies that BD s website, cost calculator, printed materials, and oral representations alleging BD s syringes save medication as compared to the VanishPoint® were based on false and inaccurate measurement of the VanishPoint®, and, in addition, posting this notice on its website for a period of three years; and (6) requiring the implementation of a comprehensive training program for BD employees and distributors that specifically instructs them not to use old marketing materials and not to make false representations regarding VanishPoint® syringes. Final judgment was entered on January 15, 2015, awarding the Company \$340,524,042 in damages and \$11,722,823 in attorneys fees, as well as granting injunctive relief consistent with the orders as indicated above. The parties stipulated that the amount of litigation costs recoverable by the Company is \$295,000. On January 14, 2015, the District Court stayed the portion of the injunctive relief that requires BD to notify end-user customers but also ordered BD to comply with internal correction activities as well as mandatory disclosures as set out above to its employees, customers, distributors and Group Purchasing Organizations. BD filed an appeal of that ruling with the 5th Circuit Court of Appeals and that appeal was denied on February 3, 2015. On February 12, 2015, BD filed a motion to amend the judgment directed most specifically to the issue of award of prejudgment interest. On April 23, 2015, the Court entered an Amended Final Judgment that removed prejudgment interest but kept all other monetary and injunctive relief the same as was granted in the original Final Judgment. BD filed its brief in the appeal on July 20, 2015. The Company filed its responsive brief on September 18, 2015, and BD filed its brief in reply on October 19, 2015, to complete the briefing. Oral argument occurred on Monday, February 29, 2016. There is no set time limit for the 5th Circuit Court of Appeals to issue its decision.

In September 2007, BD and MDC Investment Holdings, Inc. (MDC) sued the Company in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Texarkana Division, initially alleging that the Company is infringing two U.S. patents of MDC (6,179,812 and 7,090,656) that are licensed to BD. BD and MDC seek injunctive relief and unspecified damages. The Company counterclaimed for declarations of non-infringement, invalidity, and unenforceability of the asserted patents. The plaintiffs subsequently dropped allegations with regard to patent no. 7,090,656 and the Company subsequently dropped its counterclaims for unenforceability of the asserted patents. On June 30, 2015, the Court ordered that further proceedings in this matter be stayed and that this case remain administratively closed until resolution of all appeals in the case detailed in the first paragraph of this Note 6.

#### 7. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2016	Three Months Ended September 30, 2015		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015
U.S. sales	\$ 7,303,015	\$ 6,152,873	\$	19,750,504	\$	17,423,389
North and South America						
sales (excluding U.S.)	1,406,720	3,065,507		2,058,594		4,345,044
Other international sales	130,399	264,486		528,071		608,479
Total sales	\$ 8,840,134	\$ 9,482,866	\$	22,337,169	\$	22,376,912
		s	ер	tember 30, 2016		December 31, 2015
Long-lived assets						
U.S.		\$		12,304,416	9	5 11,282,192
International		\$		167,776	9	185,869

The Company does not operate in separate reportable segments. The Company has minimal long-lived assets in foreign countries. Shipments to international customers generally require a prepayment either by wire transfer or an irrevocable confirmed letter of credit. The Company does extend credit to international customers on some occasions depending upon certain criteria, including, but not limited to, the credit worthiness of the customer, the stability of the country, banking restrictions, and the size of the order. All transactions are in U.S. currency.

#### 8. DIVIDENDS

The Company declared dividends in 2015 in the amounts of \$12,313 and \$43,101 paid to Series I Class B and Series II Class B Preferred Stockholders, respectively, on February 1, 2016. The Company declared dividends in the first quarter of 2016 in the amounts of \$12,313 and \$42,800 paid to Series I Class B and Series II Class B Preferred Stockholders, respectively, on April 21, 2016. The Company declared dividends in the second quarter of 2016 in the amounts of \$12,313 and \$42,800 paid to Series I Class B and Series II Class B Preferred Stockholders, respectively, on July 28, 2016. The Company declared dividends in the third quarter of 2016 in the amounts of \$12,313 and \$42,800 paid to Series I Class B and Series II Class B Preferred Stockholders, respectively, on October 20, 2016.

#### 9. STOCK OPTIONS

On September 9, 2016, the Compensation and Benefits Committee approved grants of incentive stock options to the Company s employees under the First Amended 2008 Stock Option Plan with exercise prices at fair market value (\$2.75 per share), a ten-year term, and one-year vesting period, except to the extent that such vesting period would violate the First Amended 2008 Stock Option Plan. In total, once vested, the stock options will be exercisable into 500,400 shares of Common Stock. The value of an option for the purchase of one underlying common share is valued at \$2.03, using the Black Scholes Option Pricing Model using a risk-free rate of 1.61% and a volatility factor of 67.6%.

### 10. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On November 1, 2016, the Compensation and Benefits Committee approved a grant of a stock option to the chief executive officer for the purchase of 3,000,000 shares of Common Stock, not pursuant to any existing stock option plan, which will require shareholder approval prior to effectiveness. The total value of this option using the Black Scholes Option Pricing Model using a risk-free rate of 1.84% and a volatility factor of 66.9% is \$5.9 million.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

#### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENT WARNING

Certain statements included by reference in this filing containing the words could, may, believes, anticipates, intends, expects, and simi words constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act. Any forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance, or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance, or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such factors include, among others, our ability to maintain liquidity, our maintenance of patent protection, the impact of current and future Court decisions regarding current litigation, our ability to maintain favorable third party manufacturing and supplier arrangements and relationships, our ability to quickly increase capacity in response to an increase in demand, our ability to access the market, our ability to maintain or lower

11

#### Table of Contents

production costs, our ability to continue to finance research and development as well as operations and expansion of production, the continuing interest of larger market players, specifically Becton, Dickinson and Company (BD), in providing devices to the safety market, and other factors referenced in Item 1A. Risk Factors in Part II. Given these uncertainties, undue reliance should not be placed on forward-looking statements.

#### MATERIAL CHANGES IN FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### Overview

We have been manufacturing and marketing our products since 1997. Safety syringes comprised 93.4% of our sales in the first nine months of 2016. We also manufacture and market the blood collection tube holder, IV safety catheter, and VanishPoint® Blood Collection Set. We currently provide other safety medical products in addition to safety products utilizing retractable technology. One such product is the Patient Safe® syringe, which is uniquely designed to reduce the risk of bloodstream infections resulting from catheter hub contamination.

In the second quarter of 2016, we began selling a new product, the EasyPoint® needle. The EasyPoint® is a retractable needle that can be used with Luer lock syringes, Luer slip syringes, and prefill syringes to give injections. The EasyPoint® needle can also be used to aspirate fluids and blood collection. A March 2016 article published in *Medical Design Technology* details the benefits of the EasyPoint® needle as well as the existing VanishPoint® syringe. The article states that when the EasyPoint® needle becomes available, for the first time, clinicians will be able to change needles and have the safety of automated needle retraction. The article is available on the Article Archives tab of our website at www.vanishpoint.com.

Historically, unit sales have increased in the latter part of the year due, in part, to the demand for syringes during the flu season.

Our products have been and continue to be distributed nationally and internationally through numerous distributors. Although we have made limited progress in some areas, such as the alternate care market, our volumes are not as high as they should be given the nature and quality of our products and the federal and state legislation requiring the use of safe needle devices. The alternate care market is composed of alternate care facilities that provide long-term nursing and out-patient surgery, emergency care, physician services, health clinics, and retail pharmacies.

We continue to pursue various strategies to have better access to the hospital market, as well as other markets, including attempting to gain access to the market through our sales efforts, our innovative technology, introduction of new products, and, when necessary, litigation.

We have reported in the past that our progress is limited principally due to the marketing practices engaged in by BD, the dominant maker and seller of disposable syringes. In our litigation against BD alleging anticompetitive conduct and false advertising, a final judgment for \$352

million plus post-judgment interest and costs as well as some injunctive relief has been granted by the District Court. We have not received any of the amounts indicated by the District Court in its final judgment. BD is currently under court order to make certain disclosures regarding its exclusionary conduct to a specified class of distributors and customers. BD has appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. Oral argument was heard on February 29, 2016, and no order has been issued.

In 2014, the Company took steps to decrease non-litigation legal costs by approximately \$1.1 million. In 2014 and 2015, the Company reduced its workforce to cut costs. In the future, if such cost cutting measures prove insufficient, we may reduce other operating expenses, further reduce the workforce, further reduce the salaries of officers as well as other employees, and/or defer royalty payments.

The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016 (Pub. L. 114-113), signed into law on December 18, 2015, includes a two year moratorium on the medical device excise tax imposed by Internal Revenue Code section 4191. Thus, the medical device excise tax was suspended beginning on January 1, 2016 and ending on December 31, 2017. The impact of this tax was \$360,000 in 2015.

#### **Table of Contents**

We exchanged 728 thousand shares of our Common Stock for 200 thousand shares of our Series IV Class B Convertible Preferred Stock as of November 30, 2015 pursuant to an agreement with a shareholder. Such shareholder agreed to waive all unpaid dividends in arrears associated with the tendered preferred stock, equaling \$3.1 million. Future dividend requirements of \$200 thousand per year are avoided as a result of this transaction.

Product purchases from our primary Chinese manufacturer have enabled us to increase manufacturing capacity with little capital outlay and have provided a competitive manufacturing cost. In the first nine months of 2016, our primary Chinese manufacturer produced approximately 85.1% of our VanishPoint® syringes. In the event that we become unable to purchase products from our primary Chinese manufacturer, we would need to find an alternate manufacturer for the 0.5mL insulin syringe, the 0.5mL autodisable syringe, and the 2mL, 5mL, and 10mL syringes and we would increase domestic production for the 1mL and 3mL syringes.

In 1995, we entered into a license agreement with Thomas J. Shaw for the exclusive right to manufacture, market, and distribute products utilizing automated retraction technology. This technology is the subject of various patents and patent applications owned by Mr. Shaw. The license agreement generally provides for quarterly payments of a 5% royalty fee on gross sales.

On April 5, 2016, Thomas J. Shaw exercised the remaining portion the stock option granted to him in 2009. The Company issued 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock to him at an exercise price of \$0.81 per share (aggregate consideration of \$810,000). On November 1, 2016, Mr. Shaw was granted another stock option with a ten-year term, an exercise price of \$2.66 per share, and exercisable upon shareholder approval for 3,000,000 shares of Common Stock. The total value of this option using the Black Scholes Option Pricing Model using a risk-free rate of 1.84% and a volatility factor of 66.9% is \$5.9 million.

With increased volumes of units manufactured, our manufacturing unit costs have generally tended to decline. During this period of manufacturing, unit production decreased, resulting in a higher unit cost due to fixed costs spread over fewer units. Factors that could affect our unit costs include increases in costs by third party manufacturers, changing production volumes, costs of petroleum products, and transportation costs. Increases in such costs may not be recoverable through price increases of our products.

The following discussion may contain trend information and other forward-looking statements that involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Our actual future results could differ materially from our historical results of operations and those discussed in any forward-looking statements. Dollar amounts have been rounded for ease of reading. All period references are to the periods ended September 30, 2016 or 2015.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Comparison of Three Months Ended September 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015

Sales

Domestic sales accounted for 82.6% and 64.9% of the revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Domestic revenues increased 18.7% principally due to higher average prices and higher volumes. Domestic unit sales increased 9.3%. Domestic unit sales were 72.8% of total unit sales for the three months ended September 30, 2016. International revenue and unit sales decreased 55.4% and 53.8%, respectively, due to lower volumes. Our international orders may be subject to significant fluctuation over time. Overall unit sales decreased 21.6%.

#### Gross Profit and Cost of Sales

The Cost of manufactured product decreased by 10.7% due to lower volumes mitigated by higher unit cost. Royalty expense decreased 11.7% due to lower gross revenues.

Gross profit increased 1.1% primarily due to higher average prices.

13

Table of Contents
Operating Expenses
Operating expenses decreased \$82 thousand. The decrease was primarily due to the suspension of the medical device excise tax and lower professional fees, mitigated by higher compensation and travel costs.
Loss from Operations
Our operating loss was \$81 thousand compared to a loss from operations of \$199 thousand for the same period last year due primarily to slightly higher gross profit and reduced operating expenses.
Income Taxes
Our effective tax rate on the net earnings (loss) before income taxes was (0.4)% and (0.8)% for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015, respectively.
Comparison of Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015
<u>Sales</u>
Domestic sales accounted for 88.4% and 77.9% of the revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Domestic revenues increased 13.4% principally due to higher sales volume and somewhat lower average prices. Domestic unit sales increased 15.6%. Domestic unit sales were 83.0% of total unit sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2016. International revenue and unit sales decreased 47.8% and 53.3%, respectively, due to lower sales volumes and was somewhat offset by higher average sales prices. Our international orders may be subject to significant fluctuation over time. Overall unit sales decreased 7.5%.
Gross Profit and Cost of Sales
The Cost of manufactured product increased by 0.8% principally due to higher unit costs offset by lower volumes. Profit margins can fluctuate depending upon, among other things, the cost of manufactured product and the capitalized cost of product recorded in inventory, as well as product sales mix. Royalty expense increased 1.2% due to higher gross revenues. Rebates have a significant impact on the ratio of gross sales to net sales.

Gross profit decreased 1.9% primarily due to higher cost of manufacturing and slightly lower revenues.
Operating Expenses
Operating expenses decreased 8.6%. The decrease was due to suspension of the medical device excise tax, reduced donations of product, severance benefits not incurred in 2016, and lower bad debt accruals.
Litigation Proceeds
A non-recurring recognition of \$7,724,826 received from BD in the second quarter of 2015 pursuant to a patent infringement case had a significant impact on income for the nine months ended September 30, 2015.
Loss from Operations
Our operating loss was \$1.6 million compared to an operating loss for the same period last year of \$2.3 million due primarily to lower operating expenses.
Income Taxes
Our effective tax rate on the net earnings (loss) before income taxes was (0.1)% and 0.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015, respectively.
14

Table of Contents		
Discussion of Balance Sheet and Statement of Cash Flow Items		
Cash makes up 37.5% of total assets. Working capital was \$20.6 million at September 30, 2016, a decrease of \$2.2 million from December 31, 2015.		
Approximately \$1.6 million in cash flow in the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was used by operating activities.		
LIQUIDITY		
At the present time, Management does not intend to raise equity capital. Due to the funds received from prior litigation, we have sufficient cash reserves and intend to rely on operations, cash reserves, and debt financing, when available, as the primary ongoing sources of cash. Our ability to obtain additional funds through loans is uncertain. Our financial statements do not reflect a 2015 judgment in our favor for \$352 million plus post-judgment interest.		
Historical Sources of Liquidity		
We have historically funded operations primarily from the proceeds from revenues, private placements, litigation settlements, and loans.		
Internal Sources of Liquidity		
Margins and Market Access		
To routinely achieve positive or break even quarters, we need increased access to hospital markets which has been difficult to obtain. We will continue to attempt to gain access to the market through our sales efforts, innovative technology, the introduction of new products, and, when necessary, litigation.		
We continue to focus on methods of upgrading our manufacturing capability and efficiency in order to reduce costs.		

Fluctuations in the cost and availability of raw materials and inventory and our ability to maintain favorable manufacturing arrangements and relationships could result in the need to manufacture all (as opposed to 22.2%) of our products in the U.S. This could temporarily increase unit costs as we ramp up domestic production.

The mix of domestic and international sales affects the average sales price of our products. Generally, the higher the ratio of domestic sales to international sales, the higher the average sales price will be. Typically, large international sales of VanishPoint® syringes are shipped directly from China to the customer. Purchases of product manufactured in China usually decrease the average cost of manufacture for all units. The number of units produced by us versus manufactured in China can have a significant effect on the carrying costs of inventory as well as Cost of sales. We will continue to evaluate the appropriate mix of products manufactured domestically and those manufactured in China to achieve economic benefits as well as to maintain our domestic manufacturing capability.

Fluctuations in the cost of oil (since our products are petroleum based) and transportation and the volume of units purchased from our Chinese
manufacturers may have an impact on the unit costs of our product. Increases in such costs may not be recoverable through price increases of
our products. Reductions in oil prices may not quickly affect petroleum product prices.

Seasonality

Historically, unit sales have increased during the flu season.

CAPITAL RESOURCES		
	In our litigation against BD alleging anticompetitive conduct and false advertising, a final judgment for \$352 million plus post-judgment interest and costs as well as some injunctive relief has been granted by the District Court. We have not received any of the amounts indicated by the District Court in its final judgment. BD is currently under court order to make certain disclosures regarding its exclusionary conduct to a specified class of distributors and customers. BD has appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. Oral argument was heard on February 29, 2016, and no order has been issued.	
	We have obtained several loans from our inception, which have, together with the proceeds from the sales of equities and litigation efforts, enabled us to pursue development and production of our products. Our ability to obtain additional funds through loans is uncertain. Due to the current market price of our Common Stock, it is unlikely we would choose to raise funds by the sale of equity.	
	External Sources of Liquidity	
	Due to funds received from prior litigation, we have sufficient cash reserves and intend to rely on operations, cash reserves, and debt financing, when available, as the primary ongoing sources of cash. We have taken steps to decrease our non-litigation legal costs and we continue to evaluate these costs. Additionally, since the beginning of 2014, we have reduced our workforce. In the future, if such cost cutting measures prove insufficient, we may reduce the number of units being produced, further reduce the workforce, further reduce the salaries of officers and other employees, and/or defer royalty payments.	
	Cash Requirements	
	Table of Contents	

In the second quarter of 2016, we placed orders for additional injection molding machines and additional molds for use in the manufacture of the EasyPoint needle. The expenditure for this equipment was approximately \$1.4 million.

### CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

We have purchased manufacturing equipment and molds in the amount of \$1.4 million. We have obtained a lease from Deutsche Leasing USA, Inc. for the financing of certain molding machines in the amount of approximately \$530 thousand.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

No update.

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

#### **Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Management, with the participation of our President, Chairman, and Chief Executive Officer, Thomas J. Shaw (the CEO), and our Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Douglas W. Cowan (the CFO), acting in their capacities as our principal executive and principal financial officers, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The term disclosure controls and procedures means controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in our periodic reports is: i) recorded, processed, summarized, and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms; and ii) accumulated and communicated to our Management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based upon this evaluation, the CEO and CFO concluded that, as of September 30, 2016, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective, as discussed below.

16

#### Table of Contents

We reported a material weakness in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, filed on March 30, 2016, in connection with the accounting for raw materials. As disclosed in the Annual Report and previous Quarterly Reports, we plan to remedy this weakness by transitioning to an improved Oracle inventory accounting process. As we are currently implementing this improvement, we cannot yet state that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective. During the third quarter of 2016, we began running our improved Oracle process in tandem with the process currently in use and the testing produced favorable results. We will continue testing and plan on implementation in the first half of 2017.

#### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes during the third quarter of 2016 or subsequent to September 30, 2016 in our internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Please refer to Note 6 to the financial statements for a complete description of all legal proceedings.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There were no material changes in the Risk Factors applicable to the Company as set forth in our Form 10-K annual report for 2015 which was filed on March 30, 2016, and which is available on EDGAR.

#### **Item 2.** Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Working Capital Restrictions and Limitations on the Payment of Dividends

The Company declared a dividend to the Series I Class B and Series II Class B Convertible Preferred Shareholders in the aggregate amount of \$55,113. This dividend was paid on October 20, 2016.

The certificates of designation for each of the outstanding series of Class B Convertible Preferred Stock each currently provide that, if a dividend upon any shares of Preferred Stock is in arrears, no dividends may be paid or declared upon any stock ranking junior to such stock and generally no junior preferred stock may be redeemed. However, under certain conditions, and for certain Series of Class B Convertible Preferred Stock, we may purchase junior stock when dividends are in arrears.

Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities.
Series I Class B Co	onvertible Preferred Stock
For the nine mo	nths ended September 30, 2016, no dividends were in arrears.
Series II Class B C	Convertible Preferred Stock
For the nine mo	nths ended September 30, 2016, no dividends were in arrears.
Series III Class B	Convertible Preferred Stock
	nths ended September 30, 2016, the amount of dividends in arrears was \$96,934 and the total arrearag as of September 30, 2016.
	17

## Table of Contents

## Series IV Class B Convertible Preferred Stock

For the nine months ended September 30, 2016, the amount of dividends in arrears was \$256,875 and the total arrearage was \$5,713,000 as of September 30, 2016.

## Series V Class B Convertible Preferred Stock

For the nine months ended September 30, 2016, the amount of dividends in arrears was \$9,600 and the total arrearage was \$980,000 as of September 30, 2016.

### Item 6. Exhibits.

Exhibit No.	<u>Description of Document</u>
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer
32	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
101	The following materials from Retractable Technologies, Inc. s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2016, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Condensed Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, (ii) Condensed Statements of Operations for the nine months and three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, (iii) Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 and (iv) Notes to Condensed Financial Statements

## Table of Contents

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DATE: November 14, 2016 RETRACTABLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (Registrant)

. .

BY: /s/ DOUGLAS W. COWAN

DOUGLAS W. COWAN

VICE PRESIDENT, CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, AND CHIEF ACCOUNTING

**OFFICER** 

19