

Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc
Form 10-Q
August 06, 2013
[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

**x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2013

OR

**o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

Commission File No. 001-35235

WESCO AIRCRAFT HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware
(State of Incorporation)

20-5441563

Edgar Filing: Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc - Form 10-Q

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

27727 Avenue Scott

Valencia, CA 91355

(Address of Principal Executive Offices and Zip Code)

(661) 775-7200

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☒

Non-accelerated filer ☐
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

The number of shares of common stock (par value \$0.001 per share) of the registrant outstanding as of August 2, 2013 was 94,167,476.

Table of Contents

INDEX

PART I
FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | | |
|----------------|--|----|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements:</u> | |
| | <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Earnings and Comprehensive Income</u> | 4 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | 5 |
| | <u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 6 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 12 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 27 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 28 |

PART II
OTHER INFORMATION

| | | |
|-------------------|--|----|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 29 |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 29 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 29 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 29 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 29 |
| <u>Item 5.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | 29 |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> | 29 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | | 33 |

CERTIFICATIONS

[Table of Contents](#)**PART 1 FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Balance Sheets****(In thousands, except share and per share data)****(Unaudited)**

| | June 30, 2013 | September 30, 2012 |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 60,959 | \$ 60,856 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$4,088 at June 30, 2013 and \$4,067 at September 30, 2012, respectively | 145,631 | 130,013 |
| Inventories, net | 611,029 | 558,466 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 13,214 | 8,683 |
| Income taxes receivable | 25,875 | 45,261 |
| Deferred income taxes | 32,022 | 32,872 |
| Total current assets | 888,730 | 836,151 |
| Property and equipment, net | 21,036 | 20,769 |
| Deferred financing costs, net | 9,371 | 9,255 |
| Goodwill | 562,103 | 563,896 |
| Intangible assets, net | 100,996 | 106,808 |
| Other assets | 556 | 537 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,582,792 | \$ 1,537,416 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 87,804 | \$ 79,940 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 18,386 | 19,788 |
| Income taxes payable | 2,833 | 2,078 |
| Capital lease obligations - current portion | 1,119 | 593 |
| Total current liabilities | 110,142 | 102,399 |
| Long-term debt | 578,000 | 626,000 |
| Capital lease obligations | 844 | 205 |
| Deferred income taxes | 65,386 | 55,445 |
| Total liabilities | 754,372 | 784,049 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' equity | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value per share: 50,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding | | |

Edgar Filing: Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc - Form 10-Q

Common stock, class A, \$0.001 par value per share: 950,000,000 shares authorized;
93,912,729 and 93,087,049 shares issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2013 and
September 30, 2012 respectively

93

93

Class B convertible redeemable common stock, \$0.001 par value per share:
2,000,000 shares authorized; zero shares issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2013 and
September 30, 2012, respectively

| | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Additional paid-in capital | 380,478 | 367,470 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (10,073) | (5,730) |
| Retained earnings | 466,374 | 391,534 |
| Less treasury stock, at cost, 626,225 shares as of June 30, 2013 | (8,452) | |
| Total stockholders' equity | 828,420 | 753,367 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 1,582,792 | \$ 1,537,416 |

See the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Earnings and Comprehensive Income

(In thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Nine Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|-------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net sales | \$ 230,236 | \$ 189,346 | \$ 667,269 | \$ 564,044 |
| Cost of sales | 148,345 | 122,067 | 429,970 | 359,417 |
| Gross profit | 81,891 | 67,279 | 237,299 | 204,627 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 35,756 | 32,327 | 105,377 | 88,231 |
| Income from operations | 46,135 | 34,952 | 131,922 | 116,396 |
| Interest expense, net | (4,680) | (5,836) | (20,748) | (18,181) |
| Other income (expense), net | (563) | 1,157 | 1,170 | 616 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 40,892 | 30,273 | 112,344 | 98,831 |
| Provision for income taxes | (13,866) | (7,980) | (37,504) | (33,637) |
| Net income | \$ 27,026 | \$ 22,293 | \$ 74,840 | \$ 65,194 |
| Other Comprehensive Income, net | 1,098 | (76) | (4,343) | (389) |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 28,124 | \$ 22,217 | \$ 70,497 | \$ 64,805 |
| Net income per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.24 | \$ 0.80 | \$ 0.71 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.78 | \$ 0.68 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | 93,556 | 92,486 | 92,985 | 91,815 |
| Diluted | 95,924 | 96,068 | 95,579 | 95,554 |

See the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(In thousands)****(Unaudited)**

| | Nine Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 74,840 | \$ 65,194 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities | | |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 4,952 | 2,769 |
| Depreciation | 3,543 | 4,132 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 7,158 | 2,201 |
| Bad debt and sales return reserve | 64 | (498) |
| Non-cash foreign currency exchange | 1,077 | 14 |
| Non-cash stock-based compensation | 2,712 | 1,148 |
| Excess tax benefit related to stock options exercised | (3,120) | (1,778) |
| Change in fair value of derivative | | (1,703) |
| Deferred income tax provision | 10,771 | 11,743 |
| Loss on fixed asset disposal | | 354 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities | | |
| Accounts receivable | (22,344) | (18,467) |
| Inventories | (53,733) | (23,148) |
| Income taxes receivable | 22,508 | (8,745) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | (4,888) | (2,789) |
| Accounts payable | 13,656 | 14,758 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | (1,195) | (570) |
| Income taxes payable | 900 | 1,401 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 56,901 | 46,016 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (1,844) | (6,074) |
| Proceeds from sale of equipment | | 2,737 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (1,844) | (3,337) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | 625,000 | 95,000 |
| Repayment of long-term debt | (673,000) | (25,000) |
| Financing Fees | (7,275) | |
| Repayment of capital lease obligations | (910) | (1,559) |
| Excess tax benefit related to stock options exercised | 3,120 | 1,778 |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 7,178 | 5,661 |
| Payment for treasury stock | (8,452) | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (54,339) | 75,880 |
| Effect of foreign currency exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents | (615) | (44) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 103 | 118,515 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 60,856 | 45,525 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 60,959 | \$ 164,040 |

See the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. & Subsidiaries

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

(Unaudited)

Note 1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. (referred to herein as "Wesco" or the "Company" or in the first person notations "we," "us" and "our") and its wholly owned subsidiaries prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. The financial statements presented herein have not been audited by an independent registered public accounting firm, but include all material adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for fair statement of the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the period. However, these results are not necessarily indicative of results for any other interim period or for the full fiscal year. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make certain estimates and assumptions for the reporting periods covered by the financial statements. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and the disclosure of contingent liabilities. Actual amounts could differ from these estimates.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements in accordance with GAAP have been omitted pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). The accompanying consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 filed with the SEC on November 30, 2012.

Note 2. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Changes to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") are established by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") in the form of Accounting Standards Updates ("ASUs") to the FASB's Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC").

The Company considers the applicability and impact of all ASUs. ASUs not listed below were assessed and determined to be either not applicable or are expected to have minimal impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

During the third quarter of 2013, the Company considered the adoption of ASU 2013-05, *Parent's Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity*, which amends FASB ASC 830, Foreign Currency Matters. This guidance requires the release of the cumulative translation adjustment into net income when a parent either sells a part or all of its investment in a foreign entity or no longer holds a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary or group of assets that is a nonprofit activity or a business (other than a sale of in substance real estate or conveyance of oil and gas mineral rights) within a foreign entity. The Company has not yet adopted ASU 2013-05 as it did not have a material impact on the Company's

consolidated financial statements.

During the first quarter of 2013, the Company adopted ASU 2011-05, *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*, which amends FASB ASC 220, Comprehensive Income, which was issued by the FASB in June 2011. This guidance requires presentation of total comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of stockholders' equity was eliminated. The items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income were not changed. Additionally, no changes were made to the calculation and presentation of earnings per share. The adoption of ASU 2011-05 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

During the first quarter of 2013, the Company adopted ASU 2011-08, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment*, which amends existing guidance by giving an entity the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not (that is, a likelihood of more than 50 percent) that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If this is the case, companies will need to perform a more detailed two-step goodwill impairment test, which is used to identify potential goodwill impairments and to measure the amount of goodwill impairment losses to be recognized, if any. The adoption of ASU 2011-08 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-02, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment*. This guidance will allow an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform a quantitative impairment test. Under this guidance, an entity would not be required to calculate the fair value of

Table of Contents

an indefinite-lived intangible asset unless the entity determines, based on a qualitative assessment, that it is not more likely than not, that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. This guidance is effective for annual and interim impairment tests for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2012, but early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Note 3. Excess and Obsolescence Reserve Policy

The Company performs a monthly inventory analysis and records excess and obsolescence expense after weighing a number of factors, including historical sell-through rates, current selling and buying patterns, forecasted future sales, program delays or cancellations, inventory quantities and aging, rights we have with certain manufacturers to exchange unsold products for new products and open customer orders. These factors are described in greater detail below.

As of June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company's excess and obsolete reserve was approximately \$118,415 and \$107,996, respectively. Of these amounts, approximately \$8,305 and \$11,496 was recorded during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company believes that these amounts are consistent with its historical experience and appropriately reflect the risk of excess and obsolete inventory inherent in its business. The excess and obsolescence reserve includes both excess and slow-moving inventory which typically includes inventory held by the Company after strategic purchases are made to take advantage of favorable pricing terms, speculative purchases based on current market trends or purchases timed to take supplier lead times into account, which may result in us maintaining excess and slow-moving quantities of inventories.

Excess and Slow-Moving Inventory

In conducting a monthly reserve analysis with respect to excess and slow-moving inventory, the Company considers a variety of factors, including historical sell-through rates, current selling and buying patterns, inventory quantities and aging, rights the Company has with certain manufacturers to exchange unsold products for new products and open customer orders. Furthermore, although the Company's customers are not required to purchase a specific quantity of inventory, the Company is able to forecast future sales with a fair degree of precision by monitoring and tracking customers' production cycles, which forecasting is taken into account when conducting the reserve analysis. The Company further notes that it is required to make commitments to purchase inventory based on manufacturer lead times, which may be up to two years. In addition, the Company may be entitled to obtain price breaks or discounts based on the quantity of inventory committed to purchase.

Given the length of manufacturers' lead times, the Company's desire to obtain advantageous inventory pricing, the impact of macro and micro economic conditions and variability within specific customer programs, the inventory reserve may increase at a rate higher than the Company originally anticipated, which can impact the amount of excess and slow-moving inventory the Company holds.

A majority of the products the Company sells can be sold across multiple aircraft platforms and the lifespan of the products the Company sells along with the design of the aircrafts that utilize those products is typically not subject to a high degree of obsolescence. Accordingly, since 2006 the Company has only scrapped \$16,679 of its inventory. Furthermore, the Company does take program delays and cancellations into account when conducting the reserve analysis.

Based on the Company's current analysis of these factors, in particular historical sales data, cycle times of programs, the multiple platforms on which individual parts can be sold and customer buying patterns, the Company maintains an unreserved slow-moving inventory of \$17,854 which it believes based on historical and anticipated sell through rates will be sold over the next three years, and accordingly, has not recorded a reserve for those amounts. However, in the future, the Company may determine that it is necessary to reserve for a portion of this \$17,854 of inventory.

Note 4. Goodwill

During the quarter ended June 30, 2013, the Company recorded a \$1,250 decrease to goodwill associated with their finalization of Interfast's excess and obsolete inventory reserve. This adjustment was made within the one year measurement period and has been recorded retroactively to the acquisition date, July 3, 2012.

| | June 30, 2013 | | September 30, 2012 | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------|--------------------|---------|
| Beginning balance | \$ | 563,896 | \$ | 504,764 |
| Foreign currency translation | | (1,793) | | 1,911 |
| Purchase accounting adjustment | | | | 57,221 |
| Ending balance | \$ | 562,103 | \$ | 563,896 |

Table of Contents**Note 5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and payable, accrued and other current liabilities, and line of credit. The carrying amounts of these instruments approximate fair value because of their short-term maturities. The fair value of the long-term debt instruments are determined using current applicable rates for similar instruments as of the balance sheet date. As of June 30, 2013 the carrying amounts of the \$625,000 term loan approximated its respective fair value.

Note 6. Long-Term Debt

| | | June 30, 2013 | September 30, 2012 |
|----|---|------------------|-----------------------|
| \$ | 265,000 term loan, bearing interest based on Alternate Base Rate (ABR) (defined as Prime Rate plus an applicable margin rate ranging from 1.25%-2.25%) or Eurodollar (defined as London Inter-Bank Offer Rate (LIBOR) rates plus an applicable margin ranging from 2.25%- 3.25%), whichever was greater. The applicable margin rates were indexed to the Company's consolidated leverage ratio and adjusted each reporting period based on operating results. The term loan was payable quarterly equal to 1.25% the first year, escalating to 3.75% by the fifth year of the principal amount of \$265,000 with the final payment due on April 7, 2016. | \$ | \$ 228,805 |
| \$ | 350,000 term loan, bearing interest based on the ABR (defined as Prime Rate plus an applicable margin rate ranging from 1.75%-2.00%), or Eurodollar (defined as London Inter-Bank Offer Rate (LIBOR) rates plus an applicable margin rate ranging from 2.75%-3.00%), whichever was greater, provided however that at no time could the base rate be less than 1.25%. The applicable margin rates were indexed to the Company's consolidated leverage ratio and adjusted each reporting period based on operating results. The term loan was payable quarterly equal to 0.25% of the principal amount of \$350,000. The entire balance is due April 7, 2017. | | 302,195 |
| \$ | 150,000 revolving line of credit, bearing interest based on the ABR (defined as Prime Rate plus an applicable margin rate ranging from 1.25%-2.25%) or Eurodollar (defined as London Inter-Bank Offer Rate (LIBOR) rates plus an applicable margin ranging from 2.25%-3.25%), whichever was greater. The applicable margin rates were indexed to the Company's consolidated leverage ratio and adjusted each reporting period based on operating results. The revolver would have been due on April 7, 2016. | | 95,000 |
| \$ | 625,000 term loan, bearing interest based on the ABR (defined as Prime Rate plus an applicable margin rate ranging from 0.75%-1.50%), or Eurodollar (defined as London Inter-Bank Offer Rate (LIBOR) rates plus an applicable margin rate ranging from 1.75%-2.50%), whichever is greater. The applicable margin rates are indexed to the Company's Consolidated Total Leverage Ratio (as such ratio is defined in the new senior secured credit facilities) and | 578,000 | |

Edgar Filing: Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc - Form 10-Q

adjusted each reporting period based on operating results. The term loan is payable quarterly equal to 1.25% the first year, escalating to 2.50% by the fifth year of the principal amount of \$625,000 with the final payment due on December 7, 2017. Interest rate was 2.45% at June 30, 2013

\$ 200,000 revolving line of credit, bearing interest based on the ABR (defined as Prime Rate plus an applicable margin rate ranging from 0.75%-1.50%), or Eurodollar (defined as London Inter-Bank Offer Rate (LIBOR) rates plus an applicable margin rate ranging from 1.75%-2.50%), whichever is greater. The applicable margin rates are indexed to the Company's Consolidated Leverage Ratio and (as such ratio is defined in the new senior secured credit facilities) adjusted each reporting period based on operating results. The revolver is due on December 7, 2017. Interest rate was 2.45% at June 30, 2013.

Less: current portion

| | | | | |
|----------------|----|---------|----|---------|
| Long-term debt | \$ | 578,000 | \$ | 626,000 |
|----------------|----|---------|----|---------|

On December 7, 2012, the Company completed a refinancing of its existing debt facilities for the purpose of reducing the applicable interest rate on all loans. The new debt consists of a \$200,000 revolving line of credit and a \$625,000 term loan. The revolving line of credit and the term loan, which together we refer to as the new senior secured credit facilities, mature on December 7, 2017.

Table of Contents

Under the terms and definitions of the new senior secured credit facilities as of June 30, 2013, the Company's Consolidated Total Leverage Ratio (as such ratio is defined in the new senior secured credit facilities) cannot exceed 4.00 and its Consolidated Net Interest Coverage Ratio (as such ratio is defined in the new senior secured credit facilities) cannot be less than 2.25. The new senior secured credit facilities also contain customary negative covenants, including restrictions on our and our restricted subsidiaries' ability to merge and consolidate with other companies, incur indebtedness, grant liens or security interests on assets, make acquisitions, loans, advances or investments, pay dividends, sell or otherwise transfer assets, optionally prepay or modify terms of any junior indebtedness or enter into transactions with affiliates. The Company was in compliance with these covenants as of June 30, 2013. Borrowings under the new senior secured credit facilities are guaranteed by the Company and all of its direct and indirect, wholly-owned, domestic restricted subsidiaries (subject to certain exceptions) and secured by a first lien on substantially all of the Company's assets and the assets of its guarantor subsidiaries, including capital stock of subsidiaries (in each case, subject to certain exceptions).

As of June 30, 2013, the Company has made voluntary prepayments totaling approximately \$31,375 on the new \$625,000 term loan that have been applied to future required quarterly payments. As of June 30, 2013, there were no outstanding borrowings under the \$200,000 revolving line of credit.

The Company's subsidiary, Wesco Aircraft Europe Limited, has available a £7.0 million (\$10.6 million based on the June 30, 2013 exchange rate) line of credit that automatically renews annually on October 1. The line of credit bears interest based on the base rate plus an applicable margin of 1.65%. The net outstanding borrowing under this line of credit was £0 as of June 30, 2013.

As a result of the refinancing, the Company recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt in the amount of \$4,960. The loss on extinguishment was recorded as a component of interest expense, net in the consolidated statements of earnings and comprehensive income during the three months ended December 31, 2012. Additionally, \$3,894 of unamortized debt issuance costs remains capitalized and new creditor fees associated with the December 7, 2012 refinancing in the amount of \$6,449 were capitalized. These fees will be amortized over the term of the debt using the effective interest rate method. The total deferred financing costs capitalized at the close of the transaction on December 7, 2012 totaled \$10,343.

Note 7. Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income consists of the following:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Nine Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net income | \$ 27,026 | \$ 22,293 | \$ 74,840 | \$ 65,194 |
| Foreign exchange translation adjustment | 1,098 | (76) | (4,343) | (389) |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 28,124 | \$ 22,217 | \$ 70,497 | \$ 64,805 |

Note 8. Net Income Per Share

Edgar Filing: Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc - Form 10-Q

Basic net income per share is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share includes the dilutive effect of both outstanding stock options and restricted shares, calculated using the treasury stock method. Assumed proceeds from in-the-money options include windfall tax benefits, net of shortfalls, calculated under the as-if method as prescribed by ASC 718, *Compensation Stock Option Compensation*.

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | Nine Months Ended June 30, | | |
|--|--|--------|----|--|------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | | 2013 | 2012 | |
| | (In thousands, except per share data) | | | (In thousands, except per share data) | | |
| Net income | \$ | 27,026 | \$ | 22,293 | \$ | 74,840 |
| Basic weighted average shares outstanding | | 93,556 | | 92,486 | | 92,985 |
| Dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock awards/units | | 2,368 | | 3,582 | | 2,594 |
| Dilutive weighted average shares outstanding | | 95,924 | | 96,068 | | 95,579 |
| Basic net income per share | \$ | 0.29 | \$ | 0.24 | \$ | 0.80 |
| Diluted net income per share | \$ | 0.28 | \$ | 0.23 | \$ | 0.78 |

There were 0 and 214,990 shares of common stock equivalents for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, respectively, which were not included in the diluted calculation due to their anti-dilutive effect.

Table of Contents

Note 9. Segment Reporting

The Company is organized based on the geographical location. The Company's reportable segments are comprised of the North America and Rest of World.

The Company evaluates segment performance based on segment operating earnings or loss. Each segment reports its results of operations and makes requests for capital expenditures and acquisition funding to the Company's chief operating decision-maker (CODM). The Company's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) serves as CODM. Each operating segment has separate management teams and infrastructures dedicated to providing a full range of products and services to their customers.

The following table presents net sales and operating income by business segment:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| | North America | Rest of World | Intercompany Elimination | Consolidated |
| Net sales | \$ 208,129 | \$ 53,749 | \$ (31,642) | \$ 230,236 |
| Gross profit | 67,736 | 16,129 | (1,974) | 81,891 |
| Income from operations | 38,185 | 7,918 | 32 | 46,135 |
| Interest expense, net | (3,377) | (1,303) | () | (4,680) |
| Provision for income taxes | 12,319 | 1,539 | 8 | 13,866 |
| Total assets | 1,771,208 | 293,118 | (481,534) | 1,582,792 |
| Goodwill | 555,714 | 6,389 | | 562,103 |
| Capital expenditures | 342 | 313 | | 655 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,540 | 235 | | 2,775 |

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| | North America | Rest of World | Intercompany Elimination | Consolidated |
| Net sales | \$ 166,918 | \$ 41,605 | \$ (19,177) | \$ 189,346 |
| Gross profit | 55,653 | 13,175 | (1,549) | 67,279 |
| Income from operations | 28,946 | 5,826 | 180 | 34,952 |
| Interest expense, net | (5,642) | (194) | () | (5,836) |
| Provision for income taxes | 6,241 | 1,671 | 68 | 7,980 |
| Total assets | 1,394,019 | 132,918 | (59,595) | 1,467,342 |
| Goodwill | 498,200 | 6,560 | | 504,760 |
| Capital expenditures | 4,765 | 117 | | 4,882 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,057 | 212 | | 2,269 |

| | Nine Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| | North America | Rest of World | Intercompany Elimination | Consolidated |
| Net sales | \$ 600,030 | \$ 150,090 | \$ (82,851) | \$ 667,269 |
| Gross profit | 197,400 | 45,715 | (5,816) | 237,299 |
| Income from operations | 109,826 | 22,012 | 84 | 131,922 |
| Interest expense, net | (16,743) | (4,005) | () | (20,748) |

Edgar Filing: Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Provision for income taxes | 32,792 | 4,683 | 29 | 37,504 |
| Total assets | 1,771,208 | 293,118 | (481,534) | 1,582,792 |
| Goodwill | 555,714 | 6,389 | | 562,103 |
| Capital expenditures | 1,362 | 482 | | 1,844 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 7,807 | 688 | | 8,495 |

| | Nine Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| | North America | Rest of World | Intercompany Elimination | Consolidated |
| Net sales | \$ 500,358 | \$ 114,026 | \$ (50,340) | \$ 564,044 |
| Gross profit | 173,540 | 35,787 | (4,700) | 204,627 |
| Income from operations | 102,315 | 13,491 | 590 | 116,396 |
| Interest expense, net | (17,619) | (562) | () | (18,181) |
| Provision for income taxes | 29,797 | 3,615 | 225 | 33,637 |
| Total assets | 1,394,019 | 132,918 | (59,595) | 1,467,342 |
| Goodwill | 498,200 | 6,560 | | 504,760 |
| Capital expenditures | 5,774 | 300 | | 6,074 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 6,261 | 640 | | 6,901 |

Table of Contents

Geographic Information

The Company operates principally in three geographic areas, North America, Europe and emerging markets, such as Asia, Pacific Rim and the Middle East.

Net sales by geographic area, for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012 were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | Nine Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------|---------|---------------|
| | 2013 | % of Sales | 2012 | % of Sales | 2013 | % of Sales | 2012 | % of Sales |
| | Sales | | Sales | | Sales | | Sales | |
| United States of America | 159,074 | 69.1% | 144,403 | 76.2% | 461,965 | 69.2% | 440,216 | 78.1% |
| Canada | 18,305 | 8.0% | 3,938 | 2.1% | 57,612 | 8.6% | 10,696 | 1.9% |
| United Kingdom | 37,269 | 16.2% | 37,441 | 19.8% | 108,192 | 16.2% | 95,558 | 16.9% |
| Other European countries | 12,019 | 5.2% | 1,270 | 0.7% | 30,276 | 4.6% | 10,225 | 1.8% |
| Asia, Pacific Rim, Middle East and other | 3,569 | 1.5% | 2,294 | 1.2% | 9,224 | 1.4% | 7,349 | 1.3% |
| | 230,236 | 100.0% | 189,346 | 100.0% | 667,269 | 100.0% | 564,044 | 100.0% |

The Company determines the geographic area based on where the sale was originated from.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

The following discussion and analysis is intended to help the reader understand our business, financial condition, results of operations, liquidity and capital resources. You should read this discussion in conjunction with our condensed consolidated interim financial statements and the related notes contained elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

The statements in this discussion regarding industry trends, our expectations regarding our future performance, liquidity and capital resources and other non-historical statements are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, the risks and uncertainties described in Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors and Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements. Our actual results may differ materially from those contained in or implied by any forward-looking statements.

References to fiscal year mean the year ending or ended September 30. For example, fiscal year 2013 or fiscal 2013 means the period from October 1, 2012 to September 30, 2013.

Executive Overview

We are one of the world's largest distributors and providers of comprehensive supply chain management services to the global aerospace industry on an annual sales basis. Our services range from traditional distribution to the management of supplier relationships, quality assurance, kitting, just-in-time, or JIT, delivery and point-of-use inventory management. We supply approximately 525,000 different stock-keeping units, or SKUs, including hardware, bearings, tools and more recently, electronic components and machined parts. We serve our customers under three types of arrangements: JIT contracts, which govern comprehensive outsourced supply chain management services; long-term agreements, or LTAs, which set prices for specific parts; and ad hoc sales. JIT contracts and LTAs, which together comprised approximately 62% of our fiscal 2012 net sales, are multi-year arrangements that provide us with significant visibility into our future sales.

Founded in 1953 by the father of our current chief executive officer, Wesco has grown to serve over 7,400 customers in the commercial, military and general aviation sectors, including the leading original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, and their subcontractors, through which we support nearly all major Western aircraft programs. We have grown our net sales at a 14.8% compounded annual growth rate over the past 20 years to \$776.2 million in fiscal 2012. We have more than 1,200 employees and operate across 42 locations in 12 countries.

On September 29, 2006, 100% of the outstanding stock of Wesco Aircraft Hardware Corp., or Wesco Aircraft Hardware, Wesco Aircraft Israel and the European entities of Flintbrook Ltd., Wesco Aircraft France and Wesco Aircraft Germany were acquired by Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. The acquisition was completed in a leveraged transaction in which affiliates of The Carlyle Group,

Table of Contents

or Carlyle, the prior owner and certain employees of Wesco contributed the equity portion of the purchase price. The prior owner's and certain employees' investment represented a contribution of ownership in the predecessor company to the newly formed holding company. In accordance with Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC 805, *Business Combinations*, the acquired assets and liabilities have been recorded at fair value for the interests acquired by new investors and at carryover basis for the continuing investors.

On June 30, 2008, Wesco Aircraft Hardware acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of Airtechnics, Inc., or Airtechnics, a distributor of electronic components for the aerospace industry, which we refer to as the Airtechnics Acquisition. The Airtechnics Acquisition was funded through a provision in the then-existing credit facilities that provided for additional borrowing under existing credit terms. Operating cash was also used by us to pay a portion of the purchase price and cover transaction fees and expenses. The assets and liabilities have been recorded at fair value for the interests we acquired.

On July 3, 2012, the Company, together with Wesco Europe, acquired substantially all of the assets of Interfast, Inc., or Interfast, a Toronto based value-added distributor of specialty fasteners, fastening systems and production installation tooling for the aerospace, electronics and general industrial markets, which we refer to as the Interfast Acquisition. The Interfast Acquisition was funded with a combination of cash and borrowings under the Company's old revolving credit facility.

Industry Trends Affecting Our Business

Commercial Aerospace Market

We rely on demand for new commercial aircraft for a significant portion of our sales. Commercial aircraft demand is driven by many factors, including airline passenger volumes, airline profitability, the introduction of new aircraft models, general economic conditions and the aging life cycle of current fleets.

During 2008, 2009 and 2010, our customers were impacted by the global recession and weak demand for air passenger travel, which resulted in significant losses for the global airline industry. During 2011, 2012 and the first nine months of fiscal 2013, as the global economy began to recover, airline passenger volumes began to increase. Increased passenger traffic volumes and the return to profitability of the global airline industry have renewed demand for commercial aircraft, coupled with the sustained high fuel prices and the need to replace aging aircraft, particularly for more fuel efficient models, such as the Boeing 787 and Airbus A350. Although demand for commercial aircraft increased in 2011, 2012 and the first nine months of fiscal 2013, these increases have not yet fully translated to increased purchasing patterns by our customers. In addition, commercial maintenance, repair and overhaul, or MRO, providers are expected to benefit from similar growth trends to those impacting the commercial OEM market, in particular, increased revenue passenger miles, which will in turn drive growth in the commercial fleet and greater utilization of existing aircraft. Growth in the commercial aerospace market is also expected to be aided by a recovery in business jet and regional jet deliveries.

Military Aerospace Market

Edgar Filing: Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc - Form 10-Q

A significant portion of our sales are also reliant on demand for new military aircraft, which is primarily driven by government spending, the timing of military aircraft orders and evolving U.S. Department of Defense strategies and policies. We believe the diversity of the military aircraft programs we service can help us mitigate the impact of program delays, changes or cancellations, through increased sales to other active programs that directly benefit from such delays, changes or cancellations. For example, we believe the delay in production of the Joint Strike Fighter, or JSF, has resulted in an increase in our sales to manufacturers of the F-18. Going forward, we believe that we will benefit from increases in the production of the JSF, a program on which we believe our business is well positioned. We also believe that the compelling value proposition that our business model presents to our customers will be even more appealing in an environment of reduced military budgets in the United States.

We also support customers in the military aerospace MRO market and believe that our presence in this market helps us mitigate the volatility of new military aircraft sales with sales to the aftermarket. We expect demand in the military MRO market to be driven by requirements to maintain aging military fleets, changes in the overall fleet size and the level of U.S. military activity overseas.

Other Factors Affecting Our Financial Results

Fluctuations in Revenue

There are many factors, such as fluctuations in ad hoc sales, timing of aircraft deliveries, changes in selling prices, the amount of new customers consigned inventory and the volume or timing of customer orders that can cause fluctuations in our financial results from quarter-to-quarter. To normalize for short-term fluctuations, we tend to look at our performance over several quarters or years of activity rather than discrete short-term periods. As such, it can be difficult to determine longer-term trends in our business based on quarterly comparisons.

Table of Contents

We will continue our strategy of seeking to expand our relationships with existing customers by transitioning them to our comprehensive JIT supply chain management services as well as expanding relationships with our existing JIT customers to include additional customer sites and additional SKUs. We believe this strategy serves to mitigate fluctuations in our net sales. However, our sales to JIT customers may fail to meet our expectations for a variety of reasons, in particular if industry build rates are lower than expected or, for newer JIT customers, if their consigned inventory, which must be exhausted before corresponding products are purchased directly from us, is larger than we expected. Although our ad hoc sales as a percentage of net sales increased from 37% in 2010 to 39% in fiscal 2011 then decreased to 38% during fiscal 2012 and increased to 40% for the nine months ended June 30, 2013, we do not believe that this recent upward trend in ad hoc sales is inconsistent with our strategy of transitioning customers to JIT contracts and LTAs. Instead, we believe that an increase in ad hoc sales is typical during industry growth cycles, as both customer demand and supplier lead times increase, resulting in customers facing parts shortages that they attempt to mitigate by making ad hoc purchases. Accordingly, even though we believe that our ad hoc sales will continue at the current level throughout fiscal 2013, we do not believe that this trend will impact our long-term JIT and LTA strategy.

During 2011, we were notified by Boeing of its intent to perform certain supply chain management functions in-house that we had been providing at two Boeing facilities under JIT contracts that were awarded to us when these particular facilities were under different ownership. In fiscal 2011, JIT sales under these contracts accounted for approximately 3.1% of our net sales and our total sales to these two Boeing facilities accounted for approximately 7.2% of our total sales during the same period. If any of our customers are acquired by a company that elects not to utilize our services, or attempt to implement in-sourcing initiatives, it could have a negative effect on our strategy to mitigate fluctuations in our net sales. Additionally, although we derive a significant portion of our net sales from the building of new commercial and military aircraft, we have not typically experienced extreme fluctuations in our net sales when sales for an individual aircraft program decrease, which we believe is attributable to our diverse base of customers and programs. In addition, we believe our substantial sales under JIT contracts and LTAs help to mitigate fluctuations in our financial results, as JIT and LTA customers tend to have steadier purchasing patterns than ad hoc customers. However, our sales to JIT and LTA customers may fail to meet our expectations for a variety of reasons, in particular if industry build rates are lower than expected or, for newer JIT customers, if their consigned inventory, which must be exhausted before corresponding products are purchased directly from us, is larger than we expected or if estimated part-by-part usage rates are actually lower. In addition, as is noted above, our ad hoc sales as a percentage of net sales increased during fiscal 2011, decreased slightly during fiscal 2012 and increased again during the nine months ended June 30, 2013.

Fluctuations in Margins

We entered the electronic components business in 2008 after the Airtechnics Acquisition. As we continue to grow our electronics products group, or EPG, business, we expect that EPG sales as a percentage of our total net sales will increase. Gross profit margins on EPG products are lower than the gross profit margins on many of our other products, which we believe will result in a reduction in our overall gross profit margins as our EPG sales increase.

We believe that our strategy of growing our JIT and LTA sales and converting ad hoc customers into JIT and LTA customers will negatively affect our gross profit margins, as gross profit margins tend to be higher on ad hoc sales than they are in JIT and LTA-related sales. However, we believe any potential adverse impact on our gross profit margins is outweighed by the benefits of a more stable long-term revenue stream attributable to JIT contracts and LTAs. During fiscal 2012 and the nine months ended June 30, 2013, we saw increased competition in the ad hoc market, which has slightly reduced our typically higher ad hoc margins, and we expect the current margins to remain relatively consistent throughout fiscal 2013. However, we believe that as industry build rates and manufacturer lead times increase, margins on ad hoc sales will begin to increase.

Our JIT contracts and LTAs generally provide for fixed prices, which can expose us to risks if prices we pay to our suppliers rise due to increased raw material or other costs. However, we believe our expansive product offerings and inventories, our ad hoc sales and, where

possible, our longer-term agreements with suppliers have enabled us to mitigate this risk.

Fluctuations in Cash Flow

We believe our cash flows may be affected by fluctuations in our inventory that can occur over time. When we are awarded new programs, we generally increase our inventory to account for expected sales related to the new program, which often take time to materialize. As a result, if certain programs for which we have procured inventory are delayed or if newer JIT customers' consigned inventory is larger than we expected, we may experience a more sustained inventory increase. For example, we increased our inventory in anticipation of deliveries of the Boeing 787, which have been significantly delayed.

Inventory fluctuations may also be attributable to general industry trends. For example, as production in the global aerospace industry increases, we typically see an increase in demand from our customers and a delay in deliveries from our suppliers, which tends to result in a temporary inventory reduction and increased cash flow. However, when production in the aerospace industry decreases, our suppliers are able to catch up on our outstanding orders, while demand from our customers decreases, which tends to result in an increase in inventory levels and decreased cash flow. For example, in 2009, as a result of the global economic recession, production in the aerospace industry decreased, freeing up our suppliers to ship previously ordered products to us faster than expected. As a result, we experienced an inventory build of approximately \$111.1 million during fiscal 2009. Although we have made, and

Table of Contents

continue to make, adjustments to our purchasing practices in order to mitigate the effect of inventory fluctuations on our cash flows, inventory fluctuations continue to occur and, as a result, will continue to impact our cash flows. During fiscal 2012 and the nine months ended June 30, 2013, we experienced modest inventory builds of approximately \$32.3 million and \$53.7 million, respectively, which were primarily driven by purchases to support new contracts as well as strategic investments made in anticipation of the expected industry growth cycle. We would expect inventory to continue to grow as net sales increase. However, given that growth in our business typically requires us to procure additional inventory, which has a negative impact on our cash flows, we believe that cost of sales as a percentage of inventory is a useful additional metric to use when analyzing our inventory management relative to the growth of our business. For example, our cost of sales as a percentage of inventory increased from 90.2% during fiscal 2011 to 93.7% during fiscal 2012 (exclusive of any sales or inventory attributable to the Interfast business) and also increased from 63.7% during the nine months ended June 30, 2012 to 64.4% during the nine months ended June 30, 2013, in both cases reflecting an increase in our inventory turns when compared to the prior year period. In addition, although we believe that our cost of sales as a percentage of inventory reflects a positive trend in inventory management, as disclosed above, our recent inventory build also related to strategic purchases made in anticipation of an expected industry growth cycle. Although we believe that during fiscal 2012 and the nine months ended June 30, 2013, the aerospace industry was in the early stages of a growth cycle, and accordingly made strategic inventory purchases, the typical increases in customer demand and supplier lead times that occur during growth cycles have not occurred within the expected timeframe. We believe that this lower than expected demand is the result of inventory purchases that remain in the supply chain from the last downturn and is taking longer for those parties to sell-off. Accordingly, we believe that the strategic inventory purchases we made during fiscal 2012 and the nine months ended June 30, 2013, combined with this lower than expected demand, has had a modest negative impact on our cash flows, slightly offsetting the overall positive trend in inventory management, as reflected in the comparison of cost of sales as a percentage of inventory.

Segment Presentation

We conduct our business through two reportable segments: North America and Rest of World. We evaluate segment performance based on segment operating earnings or losses. Each segment reports its results of operations and makes requests for capital expenditures and acquisition funding to our chief operating decision maker, or CODM. Our Chief Executive Officer serves as our CODM. Each reportable segment has separate management teams and infrastructures dedicated to providing a full range of products and services to their respective customers.

Key Components of Our Results of Operations

The following is a discussion of the key line items included in our financial statements for the periods presented below under the heading Results of Operations. These are the measures that management utilizes to assess our results of operations, anticipate future trends and evaluate risks in our business.

Net Sales

Our net sales include sales of C class aerospace parts, including hardware, bearings, electronic components, machined parts and installation tooling, and eliminate all intercompany sales. We also provide certain services to our customers, including quality assurance, kitting and JIT supply chain management. However, these services are generally performed in connection with the sale of our products, and as such, the price of such services is included in the price of the products delivered to customers. We do not account for these services as a separate element, as the services do not generally have stand-alone value and typically cannot be separated from the product element of the arrangement. There are no significant post-delivery obligations associated with these services.

We sell products and services to our customers using three types of contractual arrangements: JIT supply chain management contracts, LTAs and individual ad hoc sales. Under JIT contracts, customers commit to purchase specified parts from us at a fixed price, on an if-and-when needed basis, and we are responsible for maintaining high levels of stock availability of those parts. LTAs are essentially negotiated price lists for customers or individual customer sites that cover a range of pre-determined parts, purchased on an as-needed basis. Ad hoc customers purchase parts from us on an as-needed basis and are generally supplied out of our existing inventory. In addition, JIT and LTA customers often purchase parts that are not captured under their contract on an ad hoc basis. In fiscal 2010 and 2009, we experienced a decrease in ad hoc sales due to a weakening aerospace market that resulted in customers reducing their on-hand inventory and our strategy of transitioning ad hoc sales to JIT contracts or LTAs in an effort to achieve a more predictable revenue stream. JIT contracts and LTAs typically run for three to five years. However, in fiscal 2012 and 2011 and the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012, we experienced an increase in both ad hoc and LTA sales.

Cost of Sales

The principal component of our cost of sales is product cost, which was approximately 96% of our total cost of sales for the nine months ended June 30, 2013. The remaining components are freight and expediting fees, import duties, tooling repair charges, packaging supplies and physical inventory adjustment charges, which collectively were approximately 4% of our total cost of sales for the nine months ended June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

Product cost is determined by the current weighted average cost of each inventory item and inventory excess and obsolescence write-down. The current weighted average cost is a function of many factors, including fluctuations in the price of raw materials, the effect of inflation, the terms of long-term agreements we negotiate with certain of our suppliers, the timing of bulk purchases that allow us to take advantage of price breaks from suppliers and general market trends that can result in increases or decreases in our suppliers' available production capacity. Although we cannot specifically quantify trends relating to the costs of our products in inventory, during fiscal 2012, as a result of the economic downturn and its effect on the global aerospace industry, our suppliers' collective production capacity increased, which generally resulted in a decrease in per part prices and therefore a decrease in our product costs. We expect as conditions within the aerospace industry continue to improve per part prices will increase as our suppliers' capacity becomes more limited. However, we believe the long-term agreements we have with certain of our suppliers and our ability to make opportunistic, large-scale product purchases will allow us to mitigate the impact of future per part price increases. In addition, we believe we will be able to further mitigate the impact of any such future price increases on our results of operations by passing along the price increases to customers who are not a party to contracts with pre-negotiated price lists.

Inventory write-down is calculated to estimate the amount of excess and obsolete inventory we currently have on-hand. We review inventory for excess and obsolescence write-down quarterly and adjust the expense and future forecasted sell-through rates as necessary. For a description of our excess and obsolescence reserve policy, see Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Inventories.

As of June 30, 2013 and 2012, we had recorded an aggregate of approximately \$118.4 million and \$108.0 million, respectively, to our excess and obsolescence reserve. Of these amounts, approximately \$8.3 million and \$11.5 million were recorded during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We believe that these amounts are consistent with our historical experience and appropriately reflect the risk of excess and obsolete inventory inherent in our business. For a more detailed description of the excess and obsolescence reserves we recorded during the periods covered by this report, including disclosure relating to our inventory that was comprised of units for which there have been no sales in the prior 12 months, see Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

The principal components of our selling, general and administrative expenses are salaries, wages, benefits and bonuses paid to our employees; stock-based compensation; commissions paid to outside sales representatives; travel and other business expenses; training and recruitment costs; marketing, advertising and promotional event costs; rent; bad debt expense; professional services fees (including legal, audit and tax); and ordinary day-to-day business expenses. Depreciation and amortization expense is also included in selling, general and administrative expenses, and consists primarily of scheduled depreciation for leasehold improvements, machinery and equipment, vehicles, computers, software and furniture and fixtures. Depreciation and amortization also includes intangible amortization expense.

Selling, general and administrative expenses, as a percentage of net sales, have generally continued to decline as we have leveraged the fixed cost and labor component of our infrastructure while consolidated net sales have grown. However, for the year ended September 30, 2012 and the nine months ended June 30, 2013, we experienced an increase in selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales in part as a result of the recent Interfast Acquisition and initial recurring and non-recurring public company costs. We continue to expect that selling, general and administrative expenses, as a percentage of net sales, will decline over time as we continue to leverage our current infrastructure.

Other Expenses

Interest Expense, Net. Interest expense, net consists of the interest we pay on our long-term debt, fees on our revolver and our line-of-credit, deferred financing costs and the costs of hedging agreements, net of interest income.

Other Income (Expense), Net. Other income (expense), net is primarily comprised of unrealized foreign exchange gain or loss associated with transactions denominated in currencies other than the respective functional currency of the reporting subsidiary.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The methods, estimates and judgments we use in applying our most critical accounting policies have a significant impact on the results we report in our financial statements. We evaluate our estimates and judgments on an on-going basis. We base our estimates on historical experience and on assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Our experience and assumptions form the basis for our judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may vary from what we anticipate, and different assumptions or estimates about the future could change our reported results. We believe the following accounting policies are the most critical in that they significantly affect our financial statements, and they require our most significant estimates and complex judgments.

Table of Contents

Inventories

Our inventory is comprised solely of finished goods. Inventories are stated at the lower of weighted-average cost or market and in-bound freight-related costs are included as part of the cost of inventory held for resale. We record provisions, as appropriate, to write-down excess and obsolete inventory to estimated net realizable value. The process for evaluating excess and obsolete inventory often requires us to make subjective judgments and estimates concerning future sales levels, quantities and prices at which such inventories will be able to be sold in the normal course of business, which is described in greater detail below under Excess and Obsolescence Reserve Policy.

Demand for our products can fluctuate significantly. Our estimates of future product demand may prove to be inaccurate, in which case we may have understated or overstated the write-down required for excess and obsolete inventories. In the future, if our inventories are determined to be overvalued, we would be required to recognize such costs in our cost of goods sold at the time of such determination. Likewise, if our inventories are determined to be undervalued, we may have over-reported our costs of goods sold in previous periods and would be required to recognize such additional operating income at the time such products are sold.

Excess and Obsolescence Reserve Policy

We perform a monthly inventory analysis and record excess and obsolescence expense after weighing a number of factors, including historical sell-through rates, current selling and buying patterns, forecasted future sales, program delays or cancellations, inventory quantities and aging, rights we have with certain manufacturers to exchange unsold products for new products and open customer orders.

The excess and obsolescence reserve includes both excess and slow-moving inventory which typically includes inventory held by us after strategic purchases are made to take advantage of favorable pricing terms, speculative purchases based on current market trends or purchases timed to take supplier lead times into account, which may result in us maintaining excess and slow-moving quantities of inventories.

In conducting our monthly reserve analysis with respect to slow-moving inventory, we consider a variety of factors, including historical sell-through rates, current selling and buying patterns, inventory quantities and aging, rights we have with certain manufacturers to exchange unsold products for new products and open customer orders. Furthermore, although our customers are not required to purchase a specific quantity of inventory from us, we are able to forecast future sales with a fair degree of precision by monitoring and tracking our customers production cycles, which forecasting is taken into account when conducting our reserve analysis. We further note that we are required to make commitments to purchase inventory based on manufacturer lead times, which, historically could be up to two years. In addition, we may be entitled to obtain price breaks or discounts based on the quantity of inventory we commit to purchase. Given the length of our manufacturers lead times, our desire to obtain advantageous inventory pricing, the impact of macro and micro economic conditions and variability within specific customer programs, our inventory reserve may increase at a rate higher than we originally anticipated, which can impact the amount of slow moving inventory we hold.

Based on our historical experience, we have limited exposure related to our non-excess and non-slow-moving inventory, as a majority of the products we sell can be sold across multiple aircraft platforms and the lifespan of the products we sell along with the design of the aircrafts that utilize these products is typically not subject to a high degree of obsolescence. However, we do take program delays and cancelations into account when conducting our reserve analysis. In addition, we weigh positive and negative factors that are substantially similar to the factors we

consider when conducting our monthly reserve analysis.

Goodwill and Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of the aggregate purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired in a purchase business combination. In accordance with the provisions of ASC 350, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other*, goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets acquired in a business combination are not amortized, but instead tested for impairment at least annually or more frequently should an event occur or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may be impaired. Such events or circumstances may be a significant change in business climate, economic and industry trends, legal factors, negative operating performance indicators, significant competition, changes in strategy, or disposition of a reporting unit or a portion thereof. Goodwill and indefinite lived intangibles impairment testing is performed at the reporting unit level on July 1 of each year.

Goodwill impairment testing is a two-step test. The first step identifies potential impairment by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. For all periods presented, our reporting units are consistent with our operating segments. The estimates of fair value of a reporting unit are determined based on a discounted cash flow analysis and market earnings multiples. A discounted cash flow analysis requires us to make various judgmental assumptions, including assumptions about future cash flows, growth rates and discount rates. These assumptions about future cash flows and growth rates are based on the forecast and long-term business plans of each operating segment. Discount rate assumptions are based on an assessment of the risk inherent in the future cash flows of the respective reporting units. If the fair value exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill is not considered impaired and the second step of the test is unnecessary. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit's goodwill exceeds its fair value, the second step measures the impairment loss, if any. The second step compares the implied fair value of goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in the same manner as the amount of goodwill.

Table of Contents

recognized in a business combination. If the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of that goodwill, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess.

Application of the goodwill impairment test requires judgment, including the identification of reporting units, assignment of assets and liabilities to reporting units, assignment of goodwill to reporting units, and determination of the fair value of each reporting unit. Changes in these estimates and assumptions could materially affect the determination of fair value and/or goodwill impairment for each reporting unit.

We reviewed the carrying value of our reporting units and indefinite lived intangible assets by comparing such amount to its fair value and determined that the carrying amount did not exceed its respective fair value. During the years ended September 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the fair value of our reporting units was substantially in excess of the reporting units' carrying values. Additionally, the fair value of our indefinite lived intangible assets was substantially in excess of its carrying value. Accordingly, management believes there are no impairments as of June 30, 2013 related to either goodwill or the indefinite-lived intangible asset.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize product and service revenue when (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (ii) title transfers to the customer, (iii) the sales price charged is fixed or determinable and (iv) collection is reasonably assured. In instances where title does not pass to the customer upon shipment, we recognize revenue upon delivery or customer acceptance, depending on the terms of the sales contract.

In connection with the sale of our products, we often provide certain supply chain services. These services are provided exclusively in connection with the sale of products, and as such, the price of such services is generally included in the price of the products delivered to the customer. We do not account for these services as a separate element, as the services do not have stand-alone value and cannot be separated from the product element of the arrangement. There are no significant post-delivery obligations associated with these services.

We also enter into sales rebates and profit sharing arrangements. Such customer incentives are accounted for as a reduction to gross sales and recorded based upon estimates at the time products are sold. These estimates are based upon historical experience for similar programs and products. We review such rebates and profit sharing arrangements on an ongoing basis and accruals are adjusted, if necessary, as additional information becomes available.

Management provides allowances for credits and returns, based on historic experience and adjusts such allowances as considered necessary. To date, such provisions have been within the range of management's expectations and the allowance established. Sales tax collected from customers is excluded from net sales in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

Income Taxes

Edgar Filing: Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc - Form 10-Q

We account for income taxes in accordance with ASC 740, *Income Taxes*. ASC 740, which requires the recognition of deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which these temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. A valuation allowance is established, when necessary, to reduce net deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. Our foreign subsidiaries are taxed in local jurisdictions at local statutory rates.

Stock-Based Compensation

We account for all stock-based compensation awards to employees and members of our board of directors based upon their fair values as of the date of grant using a fair value method and recognize the fair value of each award as an expense over the requisite service period using the graded vesting method.

For purposes of calculating stock-based compensation, we estimate the fair value of stock options using a Black-Scholes-Merton valuation model, which requires the use of certain subjective assumptions including expected term, volatility, expected dividend, risk-free interest rate, forfeiture rate and the fair value of our common stock. These assumptions generally require significant judgment.

We estimate the expected term of employee options using the average of the time-to-vesting and the contractual term. We derive our expected volatility from the historical volatilities of several unrelated public companies within our industry because we have little information on the volatility of the price of our common stock since we have limited trading history. When making the selections of our industry peer companies to be used in the volatility calculation, we also consider the size and financial leverage of potential comparable companies. These historical volatilities are weighted based on certain qualitative factors and combined to

Table of Contents

produce a single volatility factor. Our expected dividend rate is zero, as we have never paid any dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate any dividends in the foreseeable future. We base the risk-free interest rate on the U.S. Treasury yield in effect at the time of grant for zero coupon U.S. Treasury notes with maturities approximately equal to each grant's expected life.

We estimate our forfeiture rate based on an analysis of our actual forfeitures and will continue to evaluate the appropriateness of the forfeiture rate based on actual forfeiture experience, analysis of employee turnover behavior and other factors. Quarterly changes in the estimated forfeiture rate can have a significant effect on reported stock-based compensation expense, as the cumulative effect of adjusting the rate for all expense amortization is recognized in the period the forfeiture estimate is changed. If a revised forfeiture rate is higher than the previously estimated forfeiture rate, an adjustment is made that will result in a decrease to the stock-based compensation expense recognized in the consolidated financial statements. If a revised forfeiture rate is lower than the previously estimated forfeiture rate, an adjustment is made that will result in an increase to the stock-based compensation expense recognized in the consolidated financial statements. The following table summarizes the amount of non-cash stock-based compensation expense recognized in our statements of operations:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | Nine Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|------|-----|-------------------------------|-------|------|-------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| Non-cash stock-based compensation | \$ | 837 | \$ | 457 | \$ | 2,712 | \$ | 1,148 |

For the years ending September 30, 2013 and 2014, we expect to incur stock-based compensation expense of approximately \$3.7 million and \$2.7 million, respectively.

If factors change and we employ different assumptions, stock-based compensation expense may differ significantly from what we have recorded in the past. If there is a difference between the assumptions used in determining stock-based compensation expense and the actual factors that become known over time, we may change the input factors used in determining stock-based compensation costs for future grants. These changes, if any, may materially impact our results of operations in the period such changes are made. We expect to continue to grant stock options in the future, and to the extent that we do, our actual stock-based compensation expense recognized in future periods will likely increase.

Results of Operations

| (Dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30 | | | | Nine Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|----------|------|----------|-------------------------------|----------|------|----------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| Consolidated statements of income: | | | | | | | | |
| Net sales: | | | | | | | | |
| North America | \$ | 208,129 | \$ | 166,918 | \$ | 600,030 | \$ | 500,358 |
| Rest of World | | 53,749 | | 41,605 | | 150,090 | | 114,026 |
| Intercompany elimination | | (31,642) | | (19,177) | | (82,851) | | (50,340) |
| Net sales | | 230,236 | | 189,346 | | 667,269 | | 564,044 |
| Gross profit: | | | | | | | | |
| North America | | 67,736 | | 55,653 | | 197,400 | | 173,540 |
| Rest of World | | 16,129 | | 13,175 | | 45,715 | | 35,787 |
| Intercompany elimination | | (1,974) | | (1,549) | | (5,816) | | (4,700) |
| Gross profit | | 81,891 | | 67,279 | | 237,299 | | 204,627 |

Edgar Filing: Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc - Form 10-Q

Selling, general and administrative expenses:

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| North America | 29,551 | 26,706 | 87,575 | 71,225 |
| Rest of World | 6,205 | 5,621 | 17,802 | 17,006 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 35,756 | 32,327 | 105,377 | 88,231 |
| Income from operations | 46,135 | 34,952 | 131,922 | 116,396 |
| Interest expense, net | (4,680) | (5,836) | (20,748) | (18,181) |
| Other income (expense), net | (563) | 1,157 | 1,170 | 616 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 40,892 | 30,273 | 112,344 | 98,831 |
| Provision for income taxes | (13,866) | (7,980) | (37,504) | (33,637) |
| Net income | \$ 27,026 | \$ 22,293 | \$ 74,840 | \$ 65,194 |

Table of Contents

| (as a % of total net sales; numbers have been rounded) | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Nine Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Consolidated statements of income: | | | | |
| Net sales: | | | | |
| North America | 90.4% | 88.1% | 89.9% | 88.7% |
| Rest of World | 23.3 | 21.9 | 22.5 | 20.2 |
| Intercompany elimination | (13.7) | (10.1) | (12.4) | (8.9) |
| Net sales | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Gross profit: | | | | |
| North America | 29.5 | 29.4 | 29.6 | 30.8 |
| Rest of World | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 6.3 |
| Intercompany elimination | (0.9) | (0.8) | (0.9) | (0.8) |
| Gross profit | 35.6 | 35.5 | 35.6 | 36.3 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses: | | | | |
| North America | 12.9 | 14.1 | 13.1 | 12.6 |
| Rest of World | 2.7 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 3.0 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 15.6 | 17.1 | 15.8 | 15.6 |
| Income from operations | 20.0 | 18.5 | 19.8 | 20.6 |
| Interest expense, net | (2.1) | (3.1) | (3.2) | (3.2) |
| Other income (expense), net | (0.2) | 0.6 | 0.2 | (0.1) |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 17.7 | 16.0 | 16.8 | 17.5 |
| Provision for income taxes | (6.0) | (4.2) | (5.6) | (6.0) |
| Net income | 11.7% | 11.8% | 11.2% | 11.5% |

Three months ended June 30, 2013 compared with the three months ended June 30, 2012

Net Sales

Consolidated net sales of \$230.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$40.9 million, or 21.6%, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This growth in net sales includes the Interfast Acquisition, which occurred on July 3, 2012. Ad hoc, JIT and LTA sales as a percentage of net sales represented 40%, 27% and 33%, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to 39%, 24% and 37%, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Net sales of \$208.1 million in our North America segment for the three months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$41.2 million, or 24.7%, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Ad hoc, JIT, and LTA net sales increased by \$15.3 million, \$6.8 million and \$8.4 million, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. The increase in ad hoc net sales is primarily due to general growth across numerous customers. The increase in JIT net sales was primarily driven by the maturation of our existing JIT contracts, as well as the additions of new locations for some of our major customers and general growth across the customer base. The increase in LTA net sales was also attributable to general growth across numerous customers, as no new material LTA contracts were added during the period.

Net sales of \$53.7 million in our Rest of World segment for the three months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$12.1 million, or 29.2%, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Ad hoc and JIT net sales increased by \$2.5 million and \$9.2 million, respectively, while LTA net sales decreased by \$2.3 million, for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Edgar Filing: Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc - Form 10-Q

Ad hoc net sales growth was attributable to increases in European production and growth across the customer base due to build rate increases. The JIT increase was driven by further growth of the Boeing 787 production and higher build rates with European commercial customers. The decrease in LTA sales was primarily due to a ramp up in military contracts that took place during the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Gross Profit

Consolidated gross profit of \$81.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$14.6 million, or 21.7%, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales was 35.6% for the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to 35.5% for the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Gross profit of \$67.7 million in our North America segment for the three months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$12.1 million, or 21.7%, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales in our North America segment was 32.5% for the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to 33.3% for the three months ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of net sales was primarily a result of changes in our sales mix including higher EPG sales, which have lower margins than hardware sales.

Gross profit of \$16.1 million in our Rest of World segment for the three months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$3.0 million, or 22.4%, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales in our Rest of World segment was 30.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to 31.7% for the three months ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of net sales was driven by an increase year over year in lower margin JIT net sales.

Table of Contents

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Total selling, general and administrative expenses of \$35.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$3.4 million, or 10.6%, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Total selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales decreased by 1.5% during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased as a percentage of net sales due to the leveraging of our current infrastructure as we integrate the Interfast Acquisition and utilize our current operating capacity.

Selling, general and administrative expenses of \$29.6 million in our North America segment for the three months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$2.8 million, or 10.7%, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This increase was primarily driven by \$2.8 million of additional selling, general and administrative expenses resulting from the Interfast Acquisition, as well as, increases in payroll costs, secondary offering costs, bad debt and stock based compensation of \$1.5 million, \$0.5 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. The increase in payroll costs were related to a 10.2% increase in headcount in order to support the 24.7% sales growth for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in integration costs of \$2.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Selling, general and administrative expenses of \$6.2 million in our Rest of World segment for the three months ended June 30, 2013 increased by approximately \$0.6 million, or 10.4%, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This was primarily due to an increase in commissions and payroll costs of \$0.4 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, million to support the 29.2% net sales growth for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to June 30, 2012.

Other Expenses

Interest Expense, Net

Interest expense, net of \$4.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 decreased approximately \$1.2 million, or 19.8%, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This decrease was primarily the result of a reduction in the outstanding debt interest rate of 1.15%, and a \$48.0 million decrease the outstanding debt balances for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Other Income (Expense), Net

Other expense, net of \$0.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 increased by \$1.7 million compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily due to realized and unrealized foreign exchange gains associated with transactions denominated in currencies other than the respective functional currency of the reporting subsidiary.

Provision for Income Taxes

Provision for income taxes of \$13.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$5.9 million, or 73.8%, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Our effective tax rate was 33.9% and 26.4% during the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. For the three months ended June 30, 2012, the lower tax rate was primarily the result of a \$2.3 million S199 and S41 claims which reduced the effective tax rate for the period.

Net Income

Due to the factors described above, we reported a net income of \$27.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to net income of \$22.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. Net income as a percentage of net sales decreased 0.1% for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012, due to a slightly higher tax rate during the quarter ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the prior year period.

Nine months ended June 30, 2013 compared with the nine months ended June 30, 2012

Net Sales

Consolidated net sales of \$667.3 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$103.2 million, or 18.3%, compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. This growth in net sales includes the Interfast Acquisition, which occurred on July 3, 2012. Ad hoc, JIT and LTA sales as a percentage of net sales represented 40%, 26% and 34%, respectively, for the nine months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to 38%, 26% and 36%, respectively, for the nine months ended June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

Net sales of \$600.0 million in our North America segment for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$99.7 million, or 19.9%, compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. This growth was partially driven by sales related to the Interfast Acquisition. Ad hoc, JIT, and LTA net sales increased by \$46.1 million, \$5.4 million and \$19.1 million, respectively, for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. The increase in ad hoc net sales is primarily due to general growth across numerous customers. The increase in JIT net sales was primarily due to the maturity of our existing contracts, as well as general growth across the customer base partially offset by a non-recurring contract sale with a customer that took place during the three months ended December 31, 2011. The increase in LTA net sales was also attributable to general growth across numerous customers, as no new material LTA contracts were added during the period.

Net sales of \$150.1 million in our Rest of World segment for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$36.1 million, or 31.6%, compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. Ad hoc, JIT, and LTA net sales increased by \$7.9 million, \$22.8 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. Ad hoc net sales growth was from sales across the customer base. JIT growth reflected the continued ramp up of Boeing 787 production, higher content and higher build rates among European commercial customers. The slight LTA increase was primarily the result of increased orders from helicopter manufacturers.

Gross Profit

Consolidated gross profit of \$237.3 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$32.7 million, or 16.0%, compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales was 35.6% for the nine months ended June 30, 2013, compared to 36.3% for the nine months ended June 30, 2012.

Gross profit of \$197.4 million in our North America segment for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$23.9 million, or 13.7%, compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales in our North America segment was 32.9% for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 compared to 34.7% for the nine months ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of net sales was primarily a result of changes in our sales mix and a decline in our ad hoc margins due to a competitive market and the Interfast Acquisition.

Gross profit of \$45.7 million in our Rest of World segment for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$9.9 million, or 27.7%, compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales in our Rest of World segment was 30.5% for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 compared to 31.4% for the nine months ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of net sales was mainly due to the strong growth in low margin JIT sales as well as pressure on ad hoc margins and a competitive market.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Total selling, general and administrative expenses of \$105.4 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$17.1 million, or 19.4%, compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased primarily due to \$8.5 million of additional selling, general and administrative expenses associated with the Interfast Acquisition. Total selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales increased slightly by 0.2% during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the

nine months ended June 30, 2012.

Selling, general and administrative expenses of \$87.6 million in our North America segment for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$16.4 million, or 23.0%, compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. This increase was primarily driven by \$8.5 million of additional selling, general and administrative expenses resulting from the Interfast Acquisition. Other drivers were increases in payroll costs, stock based compensation, bad debt, secondary offering costs and commissions of \$5.3 million, \$1.6 million, \$1.0 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. The increase in payroll costs were related to a 10.2% increase in headcount in order to support the 19.9% sales growth for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in integration costs of \$2.4 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012.

Selling, general and administrative expenses of \$17.8 million in our Rest of World segment for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$0.8 million, or 4.7%, compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. This increase was primarily driven by a \$0.7 million increase in commission to support a 31.6% growth in net sales during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

Other Expenses

Interest Expense, Net

Interest expense, net of \$20.7 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$2.6 million, or 14.1%, compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. This increase was primarily the result of an increase of \$51.0 million in the average outstanding debt balances and a \$5.0 million write-off of deferred financing charges related to the refinancing of the old senior secured credit facilities during the nine months ended June 30, 2013. These increases were partially offset by a 1.15% interest rate reduction due to the debt refinance that occurred during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to June 30, 2012.

Other Income (Expense), Net

Other income, net of \$1.2 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 increased by \$0.6 million compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily due to unrealized foreign exchange gains associated with transactions denominated in currencies other than the respective functional currency of the reporting subsidiary.

Provision for Income Taxes

Provision for income taxes of \$37.5 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$3.9 million, or 11.5%, compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. Our effective tax rate was 33.4% and 34.0% during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease in the effective tax rate was primarily the result of the Company's increase in foreign source income in territories which have lower tax rates than the United States, as well as the benefit related to the exercise of incentive stock options, specifically the non-qualifying disposition of incentive stock options for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012.

Net Income

Due to the factors described above, we reported net income of \$74.8 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013, compared to net income of \$65.2 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2012. Net income as a percent of net sales decreased 0.3% for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012, due to lower gross profit margins percentage primarily due to sales mix, a higher selling, general and administrative cost structure as a result of the Interfast Acquisition and higher interest expense as a result of a \$5.0 million write-off of deferred financing charges related to the old senior secured credit facilities. This was partially offset by a lower tax rate during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to nine months ended June 30, 2012.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash flow from operations and available borrowings under our new revolving facility (as defined below under "Credit Facilities - New Senior Secured Credit Facilities"). We have historically funded our operations, debt payments, capital expenditures and discretionary funding needs from our cash from operations. We had total available cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$61.0 million and \$164.0 million as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, of which approximately \$11.9 million, or 19.5%, and \$7.1 million, or 4.3%, was held by our foreign subsidiaries as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. None of our cash and cash equivalents consisted of restricted cash and cash equivalents as of June 30, 2013 or 2012. All of our foreign cash and cash equivalents are readily convertible into U.S. dollars or other foreign currencies. Our strategic plan does not require the repatriation of foreign cash in order to fund our operations in the U.S. and it is our current intention to permanently reinvest our foreign cash and cash equivalents outside of the U.S. If we were to repatriate foreign cash to the U.S., we may be required to accrue and pay U.S. taxes in accordance with applicable U.S. tax rules and regulations as a result of the repatriation. Our primary uses of cash are for:

- operating expenses;
- working capital requirements to fund the growth of our business;
- capital expenditures that primarily relate to IT equipment and our warehouse operations; and

Table of Contents

- debt service requirements for borrowings under the new senior secured credit facilities (as defined below under Credit Facilities New Senior Secured Credit Facilities).

Generally, cash provided by operating activities has been adequate to fund our operations. Due to fluctuations in our cash flows and the growth in our operations, it may be necessary from time to time in the future to borrow under our new revolving facility to meet cash demands. We anticipate that cash provided by operating activities, cash and cash equivalents and borrowing capacity under our new revolving facility will be sufficient to meet our cash requirements for the next twelve months. As of June 30, 2013, we did not have any material capital expenditure commitments.

Credit Facilities

New Senior Secured Credit Facilities

On December 7, 2012, the Company and Wesco Aircraft Hardware entered into a credit agreement with Barclays Bank PLC, or Barclays, as administrative agent and collateral agent, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and Barclays, as joint lead arrangers, and the lenders party thereto, to provide for a new (i) \$625.0 million term loan facility, which we refer to as the new term loan facility, and (ii) \$200.0 million revolving credit facility, which we refer to as the new revolving facility, and together with the new term loan facility, the new senior secured credit facilities. Proceeds from the new senior secured credit facilities were used to refinance approximately \$626.0 million of outstanding borrowings under the old senior secured credit facilities and to pay fees and expenses related to the entry into the new senior secured credit facilities. As of June 30, 2013, our outstanding indebtedness under the new senior secured credit facilities was approximately \$578 million, all of which consisted of indebtedness under the new term loan facility. As of June 30, 2013, the full \$200.0 million was available for borrowing under the Company's \$200.0 million revolving credit facility and the full £7.0 million (or approximately \$10.6 million using the June 30, 2013 exchange rate of \$1.5208 per British pound) was available for borrowing under Wesco Aircraft Europe, Ltd.'s £7.0 million line of credit, in each case without breaching any covenants contained in the agreements governing the Company's indebtedness.

The interest rate for the new term loan facility is based on our Consolidated Total Leverage Ratio (as such ratio is defined in the new senior secured credit facilities) as determined in the most recently delivered financial statements, with the respective margins ranging from 1.75% to 2.50% for Eurocurrency loans and 0.75% to 1.50% for ABR loans. The new term loan facility amortizes in equal quarterly installments of 1.25% of the original principal amount of \$625.0 million for the first year, escalating to quarterly installments of 2.50% of the original principal amount of \$625.0 million by the fifth year, with the final payment due on December 7, 2017.

The interest rate for the new revolving facility is based on our Consolidated Total Leverage Ratio (as such ratio is defined in the new senior secured credit facilities) as determined in the most recently delivered financial statements, with the respective margins ranging from 1.75% to 2.50% for Eurocurrency loans and 0.75% to 1.50% for ABR loans. The new revolving facility expires on December 7, 2017.

The obligations under the new senior secured credit facilities are guaranteed by us and all of our direct and indirect, wholly-owned, domestic restricted subsidiaries (subject to certain exceptions) and secured by a first lien on substantially all of our assets and the assets of our guarantor subsidiaries, including capital stock of subsidiaries (in each case, subject to certain exceptions).

The new senior secured credit facilities contain customary negative covenants, including restrictions on our and our restricted subsidiaries' ability to merge and consolidate with other companies, incur indebtedness, grant liens or security interests on assets, make acquisitions, loans, advances or investments, pay dividends, sell or otherwise transfer assets, optionally prepay or modify terms of any junior indebtedness or enter into transactions with affiliates. The new senior secured credit facilities also require that our Consolidated Total Leverage Ratio (as such ratio is defined in the new senior secured credit facilities) not be in excess of 4.00 (with step-downs on such ratio during future periods) and that our Consolidated Net Interest Coverage Ratio (as such ratio is defined in the new senior secured credit facilities) not be less than 2.25. As of June 30, 2013, our Consolidated Total Leverage Ratio was 2.66 and our Consolidated Net Interest Coverage Ratio was 10.02.

Old Senior Secured Credit Facilities

The old senior secured credit facilities, which were repaid on December 7, 2012 in connection with our entry into the new senior secured credit facilities described above, consisted of a (i) \$150.0 million revolving facility, which we refer to as the old revolving credit facility, (ii) \$265.0 million term loan A facility, which we refer to as the old term loan A facility, and (iii) \$350.0 million term loan B facility, which we refer to as the old term loan B facility. As of December 7, 2012, the date on which the old senior secured credit facilities were repaid, we had approximately \$626.0 million of indebtedness outstanding, of which (a) \$228.8 million consisted of indebtedness under the old term loan A facility, (b) \$302.2 million consisted of indebtedness under the old term loan B facility and (c) \$95.0 million consisted of indebtedness under the old revolving credit facility.

Table of Contents

The interest rate for the old term loan A facility was based on our total consolidated net leverage ratio as determined in the most recently delivered financial statements, with the respective margins ranging from 2.25% to 3.25% for Eurocurrency loans and 1.25% to 2.25% for ABR loans. The old term loan A facility amortized in equal quarterly installments of 1.25% of the original principal amount of \$265.0 million for the first year, escalating to quarterly installments of 3.75% of the original principal amount of \$265.0 million by the fifth year, with the final payment due on April 7, 2016. The applicable margin for the old term loan B facility was based on our total consolidated net leverage ratio as determined in the most recently delivered financial statements, with the respective margins ranging from 2.75% to 3.00% for Eurocurrency loans and 1.75% to 2.00% for ABR loans. However, at no time could the Eurocurrency Rate or the ABR be less than 1.25%. The old term loan B facility amortized in equal quarterly installments of 0.25% of the original principal amount of \$350.0 million. The remaining balance was due on April 7, 2017.

The old revolving credit facility would have expired on April 7, 2016. The applicable margin was based on the total net leverage ratio as determined in the most recently delivered financial statements, with the respective margins ranging from 1.25% to 2.25% for the ABR loans and 2.25% to 3.25% for the Eurocurrency loans. From September 30, 2012 through December 7, 2012, we paid approximately \$132 in commitment fees for this line of credit.

The obligations under the old senior secured credit facilities were guaranteed by us and all of our direct and indirect, wholly owned, domestic restricted subsidiaries (subject to certain exceptions) and secured by a first lien on substantially all of our assets and the assets of our guarantor subsidiaries, including capital stock of subsidiaries (in each case, subject to certain exceptions).

The old senior secured credit facilities contained customary negative covenants, including restrictions on our and our restricted subsidiaries ability to merge and consolidate with other companies, incur indebtedness, grant liens or security interests on assets, make acquisitions, loans, advances or investments, pay dividends, sell or otherwise transfer assets, optionally prepay or modify terms of any junior indebtedness or enter into transactions with affiliates. The old senior secured credit facilities also required that our Consolidated Total Leverage Ratio (as such ratio was defined in the old senior secured credit facilities) not be in excess of 4.00 (with step-downs on such ratio during subsequent periods) and that our Consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio (as such ratio was defined in the old senior secured credit facilities) not be less than 2.25.

On June 13, 2012, Wesco Aircraft, Wesco Aircraft Hardware, Barclays Bank PLC and the lenders party thereto entered into the First Amendment to Credit Agreement, which amended our old senior secured credit facilities to allow for (i) certain intercompany loans to be made to our restricted subsidiaries in order to facilitate the Interfast Acquisition and (ii) the Interfast Acquisition as a permitted investment.

UK Line of Credit

Our subsidiary, Wesco Aircraft Europe, has available a £7 million (\$10.6 million based on the June 30, 2013 exchange rate) line of credit that automatically renews annually on October 1; which we refer to as the UK Line of Credit. The UK Line of Credit bears interest based on the base rate plus an applicable margin of 1.15%. The net outstanding borrowing under this line of credit was £0 as of June 30, 2013. As of June 30, 2013, the full 7 million was available for borrowing under the UK Line of Credit without breaching any covenants contained in the agreements governing our indebtedness.

Cash Flows

Edgar Filing: Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc - Form 10-Q

A summary of our operating, investing and financing activities are shown in the following table:

| (In thousands) | Nine Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Consolidated statements of cash flows data: | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 56,901 | \$ 46,016 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (1,844) | (3,337) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (54,339) | 75,880 |

Operating Activities

Our operating activities generated \$56.9 million of cash in the nine months ended June 30, 2013, an increase of \$10.9 million as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. This increase was primarily the result of a \$9.6 million increase in net income and a \$31.3 million favorable change in income tax receivable. The income tax receivable of \$45.3 million as of September 30, 2012 continues to be utilized to offset required tax payments, and as of June 30, 2013 the income tax receivable balance remains at \$25.9 million. Offsetting these increases in cash generation was a \$30.6 million increase in the change in inventory driven by an increase of 21% in inventory receipts during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. The increase in inventory receipts was driven by strategic purchases for future growth as well as to support the current growth in net sales of \$103.2 million or 18.3% during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012.

Our accounts receivable balance as a percentage of net sales may fluctuate from quarter-to-quarter. These fluctuations are primarily driven by changes, from quarter-to-quarter, in (i) the timing of sales and (ii) the current average days sales outstanding. The completion of customer contracts with accelerated payment terms can also contribute to these quarter-to-quarter fluctuations.

Table of Contents

Our allowance for doubtful accounts may also fluctuate from quarter-to-quarter. These fluctuations are primarily driven by changes in our accounts receivable balance, and can also be impacted by the repayment of amounts owed to us that had previously been categorized as bad debt.

Investing Activities

Our investing activities used approximately \$1.8 million and \$3.3 million of cash in the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and in the nine months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. These amounts were used for investments in various capital expenditures and to purchase property and equipment. Our purchases of property and equipment may vary from period to period due to the timing of the expansion of our business and the investment requirements to provide us with technology that allows us to better serve our customers. During the nine months ended June 30, 2012, the amount invested was offset by a \$2.8 million sale of tooling equipment.

Financing Activities

Our financing activities used \$54.3 million of cash in the nine months ended June 30, 2013. This amount consisted of the repayment of \$673.0 million of the old senior secured credit facilities, \$7.3 million in financing fees, and \$8.5 million used for the settlement of shares of common stock underlying certain restricted stock with awards in cash, offset by \$625.0 million of proceeds from issuance of the new senior secured credit facilities and \$7.2 million of proceeds received in connection with the exercise of stock options.

Our financing activities generated approximately \$75.9 million of cash in the nine months ended June 30, 2012. These cash inflows were driven by proceeds received in connection with the exercise of stock options of \$5.7 million and proceeds of \$95.0 million from the old revolving credit facility to partially fund the Interfast Acquisition. The cash generation was partially offset by \$25.0 million used to repay principal against the old senior secured credit facilities and \$1.6 million used to make principal payments under our capital lease obligations.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We are not a party to any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a summary of recently issued and adopted accounting pronouncements.

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements. The words believe, expect, anticipate, intend, estimate and other expressions that are predictions of or indicate future events and trends and that do not relate to historical matters identify forward-looking statements. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Although forward-looking statements reflect management's good faith beliefs, reliance should not be placed on forward-looking statements because they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from anticipated future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date the statements are made. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events, changed circumstances or otherwise. These forward-looking statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to: general economic and industry conditions; changes in military spending; risks unique to suppliers of equipment and services to the U.S. government; risks associated with our long-term, fixed-price agreements that have no guarantee of future sales volumes; risks associated with the loss of significant customers, a material reduction in purchase orders by significant customers or the delay, scaling back or elimination of significant programs on which we rely; our ability to effectively manage our inventory; our suppliers' ability to provide us with the products we sell in a timely manner, in adequate quantities and/or at a reasonable cost; our ability to maintain an effective IT system; our ability to retain key personnel; risks associated with our international operations; fluctuations in our financial results from period-to-period; Carlyle's and certain affiliated stockholder's ability to control the majority of the voting power of our outstanding common stock; our ability to effectively compete in our industry; risks related to our indebtedness; and other risks and uncertainties.

Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations are disclosed under Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors. All written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us, or persons acting on our behalf, are expressly

Table of Contents

qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements as well as other cautionary statements that are made from time to time in our public communications. You should evaluate all forward-looking statements made in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q in the context of these risks and uncertainties.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISKS.

Our exposure to market risk consists of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, changes in interest rates and fluctuations in fuel prices.

Foreign Currency Exposure

Currency Translation

During the nine-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, approximately 23% and 20%, respectively, of our net sales were made by our foreign subsidiaries, and our total non-U.S. net sales represented approximately 42% and 34%, respectively, of our total net sales. As a result of these international operating activities, we are exposed to risks associated with changes in foreign exchange rates, principally exchange rates between the U.S. dollar, British pound, Canadian dollar and the Euro.

The results of operations of our foreign subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars at the average exchange rate for each relevant period. This translation has no impact on our cash flow. However, as foreign exchange rates change, there are changes to the U.S. dollar equivalent of sales and expenses denominated in foreign currencies. Any adjustments resulting from the translation are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income on our statements of changes in stockholders' equity. We do not consider the risk associated with exchange rate fluctuations to be material to our financial condition or results of operations.

A hypothetical 5% increase in the value of the British pound, the Euro and the Canadian dollar relative to the U.S. dollar would have resulted in an increase in our net income of approximately \$0.8 million, less than \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, during fiscal 2012, and \$0.9 million, less than \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, during the nine months ended June 30, 2013. A corresponding decrease would have resulted in a decrease in our net income of approximately \$0.8 million, less than \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, during fiscal 2012 and \$0.9 million, less than \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, during the nine months ended June 30, 2013.

Currency Transactions

Currency transaction exposure arises where actual sales and purchases are made by a company in a currency other than its own functional currency. During the year ended September 30, 2012, our subsidiary in the United Kingdom had sales in U.S. dollars and Euros of approximately \$116.3 million and 11.0 million, respectively, and had purchases in U.S. dollars and Euros of approximately \$55.1 million and

Edgar Filing: Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc - Form 10-Q

14.9 million, respectively. During the nine months ended June 30, 2013, our subsidiary in the United Kingdom had sales in U.S. dollars and Euros of approximately \$115.9 million and 9.5 million, respectively, and had purchases in U.S. dollars and Euros of approximately \$69.5 million and 17.5 million, respectively. During the year ended September 30, 2012, our subsidiary in Canada, which we acquired in connection with the Interfast Acquisition, had sales in U.S. dollars of approximately \$16.2 million and had purchases in U.S. dollars of approximately \$11.9 million. During the nine months ended June 30, 2013, our subsidiary in Canada had sales in U.S. dollars of approximately \$45.5 million and had purchases in U.S. dollars of approximately \$33.2 million. To the extent possible, we structure arrangements where the purchase transactions are denominated in U.S. dollars in order to minimize near-term exposure to foreign currency fluctuations.

From September 30, 2012 to June 30, 2013, the U.S. dollar strengthened slightly against the pound (from \$1.58 to \$1.57). A strengthening of the U.S. dollar means we realize a lesser amount of U.S. dollar revenue on sales that were denominated in British pounds, whereas a weakening of the U.S. dollar means we realize a greater amount of U.S. dollar revenue on sales that were denominated in British pounds. However, as a result of the slight movement of the U.S. dollar against the pound during fiscal 2011, fiscal 2012 and the nine months ended June 30, 2013, currency transactions did not have a material impact on our financial results during those periods. A hypothetical 5% increase in the value of the British pound relative to the U.S. dollar would have resulted in an increase in our net income of approximately \$0.9 million and \$0.8 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and fiscal 2012, respectively, attributable to our foreign currency transactions. A corresponding decrease would have resulted in a decrease in our net income of approximately \$0.9 million and \$0.8 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and fiscal 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

We have historically entered into currency forward and option contracts to limit exposure to currency rate changes and will continue to monitor our transaction exposure to currency rate changes. Gains and losses on these contracts are deferred until the transaction being hedged is finalized. As of June 30, 2013, we had no outstanding currency forward and option contracts.

Interest Rate Risk

Our principal interest rate exposure relates to the new senior secured credit facilities, which bear interest at a variable rate. See Part I, Item 2.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Credit Facilities New Senior Secured Credit Facilities. If there is a rise in interest rates, our debt service obligations on the borrowings under the new senior secured credit facilities would increase even though the amount borrowed remained the same, which would affect our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. At our debt level and borrowing rates as of June 30, 2013, annual cash interest expense, including fees under our new revolving facility, would have been approximately \$14.9 million. If variable interest rates were to change by 1.0%, our interest expense would fluctuate approximately \$5.8 million per year, without taking into account the effect of any hedging instruments.

We periodically enter into interest rate swap agreements to manage interest rate risk on our borrowing activities. Upon the maturity of our previous interest rate swap agreements, we entered into two interest rate swap agreements one that expired in February 2012 and one that expired in June 2012 which we refer to collectively as the Swaps. Each Swap converted the interest rate on approximately \$200.0 million (notional amount) of our outstanding indebtedness from variable rates to a fixed interest rate. During the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, we recorded a gain in the amount of approximately \$0 and \$1.7 million, respectively, as a result of changes in fair value of derivative financial instruments. These gains are recorded as a component of interest expense. The Company is not currently a party to any swap agreements.

We do not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

Fuel Price Risk

Our principal direct exposure to increases in fuel prices is as a result of potential increased freight costs caused by fuel surcharges or other fuel cost-driven price increases implemented by the third-party package delivery companies on which we rely. We estimate that our annual freight costs are approximately \$3.0 million, and, as a result, we do not believe the impact of these potential fuel surcharges or fuel cost-driven price increases would have a material impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, increases in fuel prices may have an indirect material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, as such increases may contribute to decreased airline profitability and, as a result, decreased demand for new commercial aircraft that utilize the products we sell. We do not use derivatives to manage our exposure to fuel prices.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act), as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

We are involved in various legal matters that arise in the normal course of our business. We believe that the ultimate outcome of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on our business financial condition or results of operations. However, there can be no assurance that such actions will not be material or adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

There have been no material changes to the risk factors previously reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, as supplemented by our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly periods ended December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2013.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

None.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

None.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.

(a) Exhibits

Edgar Filing: Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-------------------|---|
| 2.1 | Asset Purchase Agreement, by and among Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc., Wesco Aircraft Europe, Ltd. and Interfast Inc., dated May 23, 2012 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated August 10, 2012, (File No. 001-35253)) |
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated August 17, 2011 (File No. 001-35253)) |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated August 17, 2011 (File No. 001-35253)) |
| 4.1 | Form of Stock Certificate (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A dated June 6, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381)) |
| 10.1 | Credit Agreement, by and among Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc., Wesco Aircraft Hardware Corp., Barclays Bank PLC, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., RBC Capital Markets, KeyBank National Association, Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation, Union Bank, N.A., BBVA Compass Bank, PNC Bank, National Association, Raymond James Bank, N.A. and the lenders party thereto, dated as of December 7, 2012 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated February 8, 2013 (Registration No. 001-35253)) |
| 10.2 | Guarantee and Collateral Agreement, by and among Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc., Wesco Aircraft Hardware Corp., Barclays Bank PLC and the subsidiary guarantors party thereto, dated as of December 7, 2012 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated February 8, 2013 (Registration No. 001-35253)) |
| 10.3 | Credit Agreement, by and among Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. (formerly Wesco Holdings, Inc.), Wesco Aircraft Hardware Corp., Barclays Bank PLC, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Barclays Capital, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation, Royal Bank of Canada, Bank of America, N.A. and the lenders party thereto, dated as of April 7, 2011 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A dated June 6, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381)) |
| 10.4 | First Amendment to Credit Agreement, by and among Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc., Wesco Aircraft Hardware Corp., Barclays Bank PLC and the lenders party thereto, dated June 13, 2012 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 13, 2012) |
| 10.5 | Guarantee and Collateral Agreement, by and among Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. (formerly Wesco Holdings, Inc.), Wesco Aircraft Hardware Corp., Barclays Bank PLC and the subsidiary guarantors party thereto, dated as of April 7, 2011 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A dated May 12, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381)) |
| 10.6 | Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Plan of Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. (formerly Wesco Holdings, Inc.) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 dated April 8, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381)) |
| 10.7 | Management Annual Incentive Plan of Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. (formerly Wesco Holdings, Inc.) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 dated April 8, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381)) |
| 10.8 | Employment Agreement between Wesco Aircraft Hardware Corp. and Randy Snyder, dated as of July 23, 2006 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 dated April 8, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381)) |
| 10.9 | Amendment to the Employment Agreement between Wesco Aircraft Hardware Corp. and Randy Snyder, dated as of December 31, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 dated April 8, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381)) |

Edgar Filing: Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

- 10.10 Employment Agreement between Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. (formerly Wesco Holdings, Inc.) and Gregory Hann, dated as of January 22, 2009 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 dated April 8, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381))
- 10.11 Employment Agreement between Wesco Aircraft Hardware Corp. and Hal Weinstein, dated as of June 15, 2007 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 dated April 8, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381))
- 10.12 Amendment to the Employment Agreement between Wesco Aircraft Hardware Corp. and Hal Weinstein, dated as of December 31, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 dated April 8, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381))
- 10.13 Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement under Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Plan of Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. (formerly Wesco Holdings, Inc.) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A dated May 12, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381))
- 10.14 Form of Non-qualified Stock Option Agreement for Independent Directors under Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Plan of Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. (formerly Wesco Holdings, Inc.) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A dated May 12, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381))
- 10.15 Form of Amended and Restated Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Plan of Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. (formerly Wesco Holdings, Inc.) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A dated May 12, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381))
- 10.16 Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for Independent Directors under Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Plan of Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. (formerly Wesco Holdings, Inc.) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A dated May 12, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381))
- 10.17 Amended and Restated Management Agreement between Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. and Carlyle Investment Management, L.L.C. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated August 17, 2011 (File No. 001-35253))
- 10.18 Lease Agreement between Wesco Aircraft France, SAS and WAFR, LLC, dated as of August 1, 2005 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A dated May 12, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381))
- 10.19 Lease Agreement between Wesco Aircraft Hardware Corp. and Avenue Scott, LLC, dated as of October 1, 2004 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A dated May 12, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381))
- 10.20 Lease Agreement between Wesco Aircraft Hardware Corp. and WATX Properties, LLC, dated as of January 1, 2004 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A dated May 12, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381))
- 10.21 Lease Agreement between Wesco Aircraft Europe Ltd. and Snyder Family Living Trust, dated as of January 1, 2006 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A dated May 12, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381))
- 10.22 Engagement Agreement by and between Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. (formerly Wesco Holdings, Inc.) and Solebury Capital LLC, dated as of January 20, 2011 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A dated May 12, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381))
- 10.23 Amended and Restated Stockholders Agreement of Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated August 17, 2011 (File No. 001-35253)).
- 10.24 Service Agreement between Wesco Aircraft Europe, Ltd and Alexander Murray, dated as of March 24, 2011

Edgar Filing: Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc - Form 10-Q

(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A dated May 12, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381))

- 10.25 Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A dated June 27, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381))
- 10.26 Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. 2011 Equity Incentive Award Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the

Edgar Filing: Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A dated June 27, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381))

- 10.27 Form of 2011 Equity Incentive Award Plan Restricted Stock Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A dated June 27, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381))
- 10.28 Form of 2011 Equity Incentive Award Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A dated June 27, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381))
- 10.29 Form of 2011 Equity Incentive Award Plan Stock Option Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A dated June 27, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381))
- 10.30 Form of Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. Indemnification Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A dated June 6, 2011 (Registration No. 333-173381))
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14a and pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith)
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14a and pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith)
- 32.1 Certification of Periodic Report by Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith)
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document*
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document*
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document*
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document*
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document*
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document*

* Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this interactive data file is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: August 5, 2013

WESCO AIRCRAFT HOLDINGS, INC.

By: /s/ Randy J. Snyder
Name: Randy J. Snyder
Title: President, Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 5, 2013

By: /s/ Gregory A. Hann
Name: Gregory A. Hann
Title: Executive Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)