

Meritage Homes CORP
Form 10-Q
November 06, 2009
[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

FORM 10-Q

x

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009

or

o

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission File Number 1-9977

MERITAGE HOMES CORPORATION

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Maryland
(State or Other Jurisdiction
of Incorporation or Organization)

86-0611231
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

17851 North 85th Street, Suite 300
Scottsdale, Arizona
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

85255
(Zip Code)

(480) 515-8100

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Not Applicable

(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, if Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by a checkmark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by a checkmark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Common shares outstanding as of November 4, 2009: 31,789,108

Table of Contents

MERITAGE HOMES CORPORATION

FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <u>PART I.</u> | <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Financial Statements</u> |
| | | 3 |
| | | <u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008</u> |
| | | 3 |
| | | <u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 and 2008</u> |
| | | 4 |
| | | <u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 and 2008</u> |
| | | 5 |
| | | <u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> |
| | | 6 |
| | <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> |
| | | 23 |
| | <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> |
| | | 35 |
| | <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> |
| | | 36 |
| <u>PART II.</u> | <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u> | 36 |
| | <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> |
| | | 36 |
| | <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> |
| | | 37 |
| | <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> |
| | | 37 |
| | Item 3. | Not Applicable |
| | Item 4. | Not Applicable |
| | | 37 |
| | Item 5. | Not Applicable |
| | <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> |
| | | 38 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | | 39 |
| <u>INDEX OF EXHIBITS</u> | | 40 |

Table of Contents**PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements**

MERITAGE HOMES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except share amounts)

| | September 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 346,951 | \$ 205,923 |
| Restricted cash | 18,604 | |
| Income tax receivables | 2,125 | 111,508 |
| Other receivables | 27,063 | 31,046 |
| Real estate | 718,438 | 859,305 |
| Real estate not owned | 11,588 | 5,762 |
| Deposits on real estate under option or contract | 12,309 | 51,658 |
| Investments in unconsolidated entities | 12,311 | 17,288 |
| Property and equipment, net | 17,454 | 22,692 |
| Intangibles, net | 3,942 | 5,023 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 12,854 | 16,044 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,183,639 | \$ 1,326,249 |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 29,956 | \$ 31,655 |
| Accrued liabilities | 86,463 | 125,101 |
| Home sale deposits | 11,641 | 8,486 |
| Liabilities related to real estate not owned | 10,052 | 4,833 |
| Senior and senior subordinated notes | 604,968 | 628,968 |
| Total liabilities | 743,080 | 799,043 |
| Stockholders Equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, par value \$0.01. Authorized 10,000,000 shares; none issued and outstanding at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 | | |
| Common stock, par value \$0.01. Authorized 125,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 39,672,025 and 38,588,536 shares at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively | 397 | 386 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 459,823 | 436,739 |
| Retained earnings | 169,112 | 278,854 |
| Treasury stock at cost, 7,891,250 shares at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 | (188,773) | (188,773) |
| Total stockholders equity | 440,559 | 527,206 |

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----|-----------|----|-----------|
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ | 1,183,639 | \$ | 1,326,249 |
|--|----|-----------|----|-----------|

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**MERITAGE HOMES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Home closing revenue | \$ 231,816 | \$ 372,907 | \$ 683,208 | \$ 1,118,486 |
| Land closing revenue | | 1,859 | 1,285 | 5,007 |
| Total closing revenue | 231,816 | 374,766 | 684,493 | 1,123,493 |
| Cost of home closings | (198,279) | (325,172) | (594,816) | (973,541) |
| Cost of land closings | | (1,846) | (1,195) | (4,930) |
| Real estate impairments | (10,354) | (40,949) | (86,943) | (113,810) |
| Land impairments | (281) | (13,063) | (540) | (19,693) |
| Total cost of closings and impairments | (208,914) | (381,030) | (683,494) | (1,111,974) |
| Home closing gross profit | 23,183 | 6,786 | 1,449 | 31,135 |
| Land closing loss | (281) | (13,050) | (450) | (19,616) |
| Total closing gross profit/(loss) | 22,902 | (6,264) | 999 | 11,519 |
| Commissions and other sales costs | (18,382) | (33,840) | (55,625) | (101,274) |
| General and administrative expenses | (14,269) | (20,735) | (41,913) | (52,481) |
| (Loss)/earnings from unconsolidated entities, net | (899) | 1,322 | 1,350 | (14,296) |
| Interest expense | (8,853) | (5,835) | (28,515) | (17,034) |
| Other income, net | 1,862 | 3,770 | 6,512 | 7,040 |
| Gain on extinguishment of debt | | | 9,390 | |
| Loss before income taxes | (17,639) | (61,582) | (107,802) | (166,526) |
| Provision for income taxes | (146) | (82,431) | (1,940) | (46,260) |
| Net loss | \$ (17,785) | \$ (144,013) | \$ (109,742) | \$ (212,786) |
| Loss per common share: | | | | |
| Basic and diluted | \$ (0.56) | \$ (4.69) | \$ (3.52) | \$ (7.37) |
| Weighted average number of shares: | | | | |
| Basic and diluted | 31,718 | 30,690 | 31,197 | 28,872 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**MERITAGE HOMES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(in thousands)

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (109,742) | \$ (212,786) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 6,547 | 9,785 |
| Real estate and land impairments | 87,483 | 133,503 |
| Decrease in deferred taxes | | 1,496 |
| Deferred tax asset valuation allowance | | 106,225 |
| Stock-based compensation | 4,512 | 4,045 |
| Gain on early extinguishment of senior subordinated debt, net of transaction costs | (9,390) | |
| Equity in (earnings)/losses from unconsolidated entities (includes \$2.8 million and \$20.8 million of impairments to joint ventures in 2009 and 2008, respectively) | (1,350) | 14,296 |
| Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated entities | 5,341 | 7,724 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Decrease in real estate | 87,589 | 87,206 |
| Decrease in deposits on real estate under option or contract | 7,131 | 8,612 |
| Decrease in income tax receivables | 107,573 | 80,543 |
| Decrease/(increase) in receivables and prepaid expenses and other assets | 9,576 | (53,326) |
| Decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (40,991) | (76,117) |
| Increase/(decrease) in home sale deposits | 3,155 | (5,054) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 157,434 | 106,152 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Investments in unconsolidated entities | (1,218) | (14,293) |
| Distributions of capital from unconsolidated entities | 1,153 | 2,326 |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (2,128) | (5,216) |
| Proceeds from sales of property and equipment | 128 | 704 |
| Increase in restricted cash | (18,604) | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (20,669) | (16,479) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Net repayments under Credit Facility | | (82,000) |
| Purchase of treasury stock | | (3) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net of transaction fees | | 82,775 |
| Proceeds from stock option exercises | 4,263 | 905 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 4,263 | 1,677 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 141,028 | 91,350 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 205,923 | 27,677 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 346,951 | \$ 119,027 |

See supplemental disclosures of cash flow information at Note 11.

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

MERITAGE HOMES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 AND 2008

NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Organization. Meritage Homes is a leading designer and builder of single-family detached homes in the historically high-growth regions of the western and southern United States based on the number of home closings. We offer first-time, move-up, active adult and luxury homes to our targeted customer base, although our current emphasis is the first time and first move-up segment of the market, as we believe they represent the largest demographic of buyers. We have operations in three regions: West, Central and East, which are comprised of 12 metropolitan areas in Arizona, Texas, California, Nevada, Colorado and Florida. Through our predecessors, we commenced our homebuilding operations in 1985. Meritage Homes Corporation was incorporated in 1988 in the State of Maryland.

Our homebuilding and marketing activities are conducted under the name of Meritage Homes in each of our markets, except for Arizona, where we also operate under the name of Monterey Homes, and in Texas, where we also operate as Legacy Homes and Monterey Homes. At September 30, 2009, we were actively selling homes in 162 communities, with base prices ranging from approximately \$100,000 to \$967,000.

Basis of Presentation. The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and include the accounts of Meritage Homes Corporation and those of our consolidated subsidiaries, partnerships and other entities in which we have a controlling financial interest, and of variable interest entities (see Note 3) in which we are deemed the primary beneficiary (collectively, us, we, our and the Company). Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. In the opinion of management, the accompanying financial statements include all routine and recurring adjustments necessary for the fair presentation of our results for the interim periods presented. Certain reclassifications related to the change in income tax receivables have been made to the prior year's consolidated statement of cash flows in order to conform to the current year presentation.

Subsequent Events. We evaluated subsequent events through the time of filing this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q on November 6, 2009. We are not aware of any significant events that occurred subsequent to the balance sheet date but prior to the filing of this report that would have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Restricted Cash. Restricted cash consists of amounts held in restricted accounts as collateral for our letter of credit arrangements that were established to replace those previously available under our Credit Facility. See Note 5 for additional discussion.

Real Estate. Real estate is stated at cost unless the community or land is determined to be impaired, at which point the inventory is written down to fair value as required by Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Subtopic 360-10, *Property, Plant and Equipment*. Inventory includes the costs of land acquisition, land development, home construction, capitalized interest, real estate taxes, direct overhead costs incurred during development and home construction that benefit the entire community and impairments, if

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

any. Land and development costs are typically allocated and transferred to homes under construction when home construction begins. Home construction costs are accumulated on a per-home basis. Cost of home closings includes the specific construction costs of the home and all related land acquisition, land development and other common costs (both incurred and estimated to be incurred) that are allocated based upon the total number of homes expected to be closed in each community or phase. Any changes to the estimated total development costs of a community or phase are allocated to the remaining homes in the community or phase. When a home closes, we may have incurred costs for goods and services that have not yet been paid. Therefore, an accrual to capture such obligations is recorded in connection with the home closing and charged directly to cost of sales.

Typically, a community's life cycle ranges from three to five years, commencing with the acquisition of the entitled land and continuing through the land development phase and concluding with the sale, construction and closing of the homes. Actual community lives will vary based on the size of the community, the sales absorption rate and whether the land purchased was raw or finished lots. Master-planned communities encompassing several phases and super-block land parcels may have significantly longer lives and projects involving smaller finished lot purchases may be significantly shorter.

All of our land inventory and related real estate assets are reviewed for recoverability when impairment indicators are present, as our inventory is considered long-lived in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Impairment charges are recorded if the fair value of an asset is less than its carrying amount. Our determination of fair value is based on projections and estimates. Changes in these expectations may lead to a change in the outcome of our impairment analysis. Our analysis is completed on a quarterly basis at a community level with each community or land parcel evaluated individually. For those assets deemed to be impaired, the impairment recognized is measured as the amount by which the assets' carrying value exceeds their fair value.

Table of Contents

Existing and continuing communities. When projections for the remaining income expected to be earned from existing communities are no longer positive, the underlying real estate assets are deemed not fully recoverable, and further analysis is performed to determine the required impairment. The fair value of the community's assets is determined using either a discounted cash flow model for projects we intend to build out or a market-based approach for projects we intend to sell or that are in the preliminary development stage and product types have not yet been finalized. Impairments are charged to cost of home closing in the period during which it is determined that the fair value is less than the assets carrying amount. If a market-based approach is used, we determine fair value based on recent comparable purchase and sale activity in the local market, adjusted for known variances as determined by our knowledge of the region and general real estate expertise. Our key estimates in deriving fair value under our cash flow model are (i) home selling prices in the community adjusted for current and expected sales discounts and incentives, (ii) costs related to the community - both land development and home construction - including costs spent to date and budgeted remaining costs to spend, (iii) projected sales absorption rates, reflecting any product mix change strategies implemented to stimulate the sales pace, (iv) alternative land uses including disposition of all or a portion of the land owned and (v) our discount rate, which is currently 14-16% and varies based on the perceived risk inherent in the community's other cash flow assumptions. These assumptions vary widely across different communities and geographies and are largely dependent on local market conditions. Community-level factors that may impact our key estimates include:

- The presence and significance of local competitors, including their offered product type and competitive actions;
- Economic and related demographic conditions for the population of the surrounding community; and
- Desirability of the particular community, including unique amenities or other favorable or unfavorable attributes.

These local circumstances may significantly impact our assumptions and the resulting computation of fair value. We typically do not project market improvements in our discounted cash flow models, but may do so in limited circumstances in the latter years of a long-lived master planned community. The models are also evaluated by regional and corporate personnel for consistency and integration, as decisions that affect pricing or absorption at one community may have resulting consequences for neighboring communities. Impairments are allocated on a straight-line basis to all lots within a project.

Option deposits and pre-acquisition costs. We also evaluate assets associated with future communities for impairments on a quarterly basis. Using similar techniques described in the existing and continuing communities section above, we determine if the contribution margins to be generated by our future communities are acceptable to us. If the projections indicate that a community is still meeting our internal investment guidelines and is generating a profit, those assets are determined to be fully recoverable and no impairments are required. In cases where we decide to abandon a project, we will fully impair all assets related to such project and will expense and accrue any additional costs that we are contractually obligated to incur. We may also elect to continue with a project because it has positive cash flows, even though it may not be generating an accounting profit, or due to other strategic factors. In such cases, we will impair our pre-acquisition costs and deposits, as necessary, to record an impairment to bring the book value to fair value. Refer to Note 2 of these consolidated financial statements for further information regarding our impairments.

Deposits. Deposits paid related to land options and contracts to purchase land are capitalized when incurred and classified as deposits on real estate under option or contract until the related land is purchased. Deposits are reclassified to a component of real estate at the time the deposit is used to offset the acquisition price of the lots based on the terms of the underlying agreements. To the extent they are non-refundable, deposits

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

are charged to expense if the land acquisition is terminated or no longer considered probable. As the Company's liability associated with these non-refundable deposits is limited to the deposit amount, the Company does not consider the options a contractual obligation. The review of the likelihood of the acquisition of contracted lots is completed in conjunction with the real estate impairment analysis noted above. Our deposits were \$12.3 million and \$51.7 million as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 respectively.

Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements ***Joint Ventures.*** Historically, we have participated in land development joint ventures as a means of accessing larger parcels of land and lot positions, expanding our market opportunities, managing our risk profile and leveraging our capital base. We currently have three such active ventures. We also participate in seven mortgage and title business joint ventures. The mortgage joint ventures are engaged in mortgage brokerage activities, and they originate and provide services to both our customers and other homebuyers. See Note 4 for additional information.

Table of Contents

Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements - Other. We often acquire lots from various development entities pursuant to option and purchase agreements. The purchase price typically approximates the market price at the date the contract is executed. See Note 3 for further discussion.

We provide letters of credit and performance, maintenance and other bonds in support of our related obligations with respect to the development of both our on- and off-balance-sheet projects. These letters of credit and bonds are typically provided to government agencies in which certain of our communities are being developed to guarantee our performance of the construction and development activities for those projects and are in lieu of cash deposits. The amount of these obligations outstanding at any time varies depending on the stage and level of our development activities. In the event a letter of credit or bond is drawn upon, we would be obligated to reimburse the issuer of the letter of credit or bond. Although a majority of the work may have been performed, these bonds and letters of credit are typically not released until all development specifications have been met. At September 30, 2009, we had approximately \$11.3 million in outstanding letters of credit and \$104.4 million of surety bonds outstanding subject to these indemnity arrangements, of which only \$37.0 million of work remains to be completed. We believe it is unlikely that any significant amounts of these letters of credit or bonds will be drawn upon.

Accrued Liabilities. Accrued liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

| | | At September 30, 2009 | | At December 31, 2008 |
|---|----|--------------------------|----|-------------------------|
| Accruals related to real estate development and construction activities | \$ | 22,218 | \$ | 35,494 |
| Payroll and other benefits | | 6,957 | | 13,702 |
| Accrued taxes | | 3,026 | | 2,913 |
| Warranty reserves | | 29,502 | | 28,891 |
| Other accruals | | 24,760 | | 44,101 |
| Total | \$ | 86,463 | \$ | 125,101 |

Warranty Reserves. We have certain obligations related to post-construction warranties and defects for closed homes. With the assistance of an actuary, we have estimated these reserves based on the number of home closings, historical data and trends with respect to similar product types and geographic areas. We regularly review our warranty reserves and adjust them, as necessary, to reflect changes in trends as information becomes available. A summary of changes in our warranty reserves follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------|------|---------|------------------------------------|---------|------|---------|
| | 2009 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2008 | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | 28,596 | \$ | 34,276 | \$ | 28,891 | \$ | 36,633 |
| Additions to reserve from new home deliveries | | 716 | | 3,390 | | 2,105 | | 8,180 |
| Warranty claims | | (1,549) | | 102 | | (3,617) | | (5,091) |
| Adjustments to pre-existing reserves | | 1,739 | | (3,649) | | 2,123 | | (5,603) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ | 29,502 | \$ | 34,119 | \$ | 29,502 | \$ | 34,119 |

Warranty reserves are included in accrued liabilities on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets, and additions to the reserves are included in cost of sales within the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

There has recently been publicity about homes constructed with allegedly defective drywall manufactured in China (Chinese drywall). During the first quarter of 2009, we became aware (from customer inquiries) that a limited number of the homes we constructed in the Ft. Myers, Florida area in 2005 and 2006 are exhibiting symptoms typical of the potentially defective Chinese drywall. As of September 30, 2009, Meritage has been named as a defendant in a single lawsuit with three home owner plaintiffs regarding Chinese drywall in their homes. It is possible that we may in the future become subject to additional litigation.

Currently we have confirmed that approximately 60 of the homes we constructed in the Ft. Myers, Florida area have exhibited symptoms of and thus are suspected of containing defective Chinese drywall. The defective drywall was delivered and installed in those homes during 2006. We have notified these home owners of our intent to repair these homes. We believe there may be up to an additional 30 homes in the Ft. Myers area that had drywall installed during the same time period that we are still inspecting and believe it is possible that some of those homes may also contain defective Chinese drywall. We are continuing our investigation of homes constructed during the relevant time period to determine whether there are homes that we have not yet inspected that contain defective Chinese drywall. We will offer to repair any additional homes found to contain the defective Chinese drywall. Based on our investigation to date, we do not believe defective Chinese drywall was used in homes constructed by us in other areas of Florida or in our other markets outside of Florida.

Table of Contents

The \$29.5 million of warranty reserves available at September 30, 2009 represent reserves for post-construction warranties and defects for closed homes. These reserves are intended to cover costs associated with our contractual and statutory warranty obligations, which include, among other items, claims involving defective workmanship and materials. We maintain general liability insurance, subject to a self-insured retention obligation, that we believe would cover a portion of certain of our warranty claims, including the defective Chinese drywall exposure. We believe that these reserves are sufficient to cover the costs associated with the repair of the homes identified with defective Chinese drywall after taking into account anticipated insurance proceeds from our insurance carrier and those of other responsible parties and reserving for our insurance deductible. As of September 30, 2009, we have not established any reserves for any additional homes that may contain the defective drywall, although based on the number of homes ultimately identified with Chinese drywall, our net insurance proceeds and our final determination of our required warranty accrual based on management's review and our actuary's analysis, we may have additional capacity under our warranty reserves or our insurance proceeds to absorb at least a portion of such costs, if any.

We are currently unable to reasonably estimate our total possible loss or exposure relating to Chinese drywall because, among other reasons: determining the number of homes that contain potentially defective Chinese drywall is difficult as we are still in the process of doing so; we did not directly purchase the drywall materials used to construct our Ft. Myers area homes, rather, our subcontractors purchased and provided that drywall; the possible means and related costs to remedy any defective conditions is still being evaluated; the group of other potentially responsible parties, including, but not limited to, manufacturers, subcontractors, retailers, wholesalers, distributors, and their legal obligations for the problem and remedy, together with their financial resources, have not been determined; and the extent of our insurance coverage for defective Chinese drywall resulting charges and related costs and claims is still being determined.

The outcome of our investigation and analysis may result in the need to establish additional warranty reserves; however, we do not currently expect the total charge to have a material adverse effect on our operations as we believe our exposure is limited due to the relatively limited number of our homes that appear to be impacted, our existing warranty reserves and available insurance.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements. In August 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2009-05 to provide guidance on measuring the fair value of liabilities under ASC Subtopic 820-10, *Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure*. This guidance is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after issuance. We do not expect the adoption of ASU 2009-05 to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued ASC Subtopic 105-10, *Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* (ASC 105-10). This Statement establishes FASB Accounting Standards Codification as the source of authoritative accounting principles to be applied by all non-governmental entities. ASC 105-10 is effective for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The adoption of this subtopic did not have a material impact on our financial records and only resulted in modifications in accounting references in our footnotes and disclosures.

In May 2009, the FASB issued ASC Subtopic 810-10, *Consolidation* (ASC 810-10). This Statement amends prior guidance and revises accounting and reporting requirements for entities' involvement with variable interest entities. The provisions of ASC 810-10 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2009. We are currently evaluating what impact, if any, the adoption of the subtopic will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued ASC Subtopic 860-10, *Transfers and Servicing* (ASC 860-10). This Statement amends prior guidance and revises accounting and reporting requirements for the transfers of financial assets, the transferor's continuing involvement (if any) in the transferred financial assets and how such transfers affect the transferor's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. The provisions of ASC 860-10 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2009. We do not

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

believe the adoption of ASC 860-10 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued ASC Subtopic 855-10, *Subsequent Events* (ASC 855-10), to establish general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. The new disclosure requirement is effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of this subtopic resulted in additional quarterly disclosures only.

In April 2009, the FASB issued ASC Subtopic 825-10-50, *Financial Instruments* (ASC 825-10-50) to require disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments during interim reporting periods. The new disclosure requirements are effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of this subtopic resulted in additional quarterly disclosures only.

In April 2009, the FASB issued ASC Subtopic 820-10, *Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures* (ASC 820-10), which provides further clarification for prior guidance regarding measurement of fair values of assets and liabilities when the market activity has significantly decreased and in identifying transactions that are not orderly. ASC 820-10 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of this subtopic did not have a material impact on our financial results.

Table of Contents

In December 2007, the FASB issued ASC Subtopic 810-10, *Consolidation* (ASC 810-10). This statement amends prior guidance and revises accounting and reporting requirements for noncontrolling interests (formerly minority interests) in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. Upon its adoption, noncontrolling interests will be classified as equity, and income attributed to the noncontrolling interest will be included in the Company's income. The provisions of this standard are applied retrospectively upon adoption. We adopted this pronouncement on January 1, 2009, and it did not have a material impact on our consolidated results.

In December 2007, the FASB issued ASC Subtopic 805-10, *Business Combinations* (ASC 805-10). ASC 805-10 clarifies and amends the accounting guidance for how an acquirer in a business combination recognizes and measures the assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree. The provisions of ASC 805-10 are effective for us for any business combinations occurring on or after January 1, 2009, and the adoption did not have a material impact on our financial statements.

NOTE 2 REAL ESTATE AND CAPITALIZED INTEREST

Real estate consists of the following (in thousands):

| | At September 30, 2009 | At December 31, 2008 |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Homes under contract under construction (1) | \$ 191,699 | \$ 170,347 |
| Unsold homes, completed and under construction (1) | 67,917 | 158,378 |
| Model homes (1) | 37,552 | 48,608 |
| Finished home sites and home sites under development | 364,716 | 455,048 |
| Land held for development or sale | 56,554 | 26,924 |
| | \$ 718,438 | \$ 859,305 |

(1) Also includes the allocated land and land development costs associated with each lot for these homes.

Table of Contents

As previously noted, each of our land inventory and related real estate assets is reviewed for recoverability when impairment indicators are present, as our inventory is considered long-lived in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Due to the current economic environment, we evaluate all of our real estate and joint venture assets for impairment on a quarterly basis. If an asset is deemed not recoverable, impairment charges are recorded if the fair value of such assets is less than their carrying amounts. Our determination of fair value is based on projections and estimates. Based on these reviews of all our communities, we recorded the following real-estate and joint-venture impairment charges during the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Terminated option/purchase contracts and related pre-acquisition costs: | | | | |
| West | \$ | \$ 838 | \$ 5,922 | \$ 16,318 |
| Central | | 3,224 | 59,213 | 7,625 |
| East | | | 544 | 1,303 |
| Total | \$ | \$ 3,224 | \$ 65,679 | \$ 25,246 |
| Real estate inventory impairments (1): | | | | |
| West | \$ | \$ 2,385 | \$ 10,882 | \$ 40,644 |
| Central | | 2,453 | 6,807 | 34,966 |
| East | | 2,292 | 3,575 | 12,954 |
| Total | \$ | \$ 7,130 | \$ 21,264 | \$ 88,564 |
| Impairments of joint venture investments: | | | | |
| West | \$ | \$ 55 | \$ 274 | \$ 2,692 |
| Central | | 2,556 | 2,556 | 14,762 |
| East | | | | 3,305 |
| Total | \$ | \$ 2,611 | \$ 2,830 | \$ 20,759 |
| Impairments of land held for sale: | | | | |
| West | \$ | \$ 2,843 | \$ 323 | \$ 8,394 |
| Central | | 281 | 217 | 11,299 |
| East | | | | |
| Total | \$ | \$ 281 | \$ 540 | \$ 19,693 |
| Total impairments: | | | | |
| West | \$ | \$ 2,440 | \$ 17,401 | \$ 68,048 |
| Central | | 8,514 | 68,793 | 68,652 |
| East | | 2,292 | 4,119 | 17,562 |
| Total | \$ | \$ 13,246 | \$ 90,313 | \$ 154,262 |

(1) Included in the real estate inventory impairments are impairments of individual homes in a community where the underlying community was not also impaired, as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Individual home impairments (in thousands): | | | | |
| West | \$ | \$ 535 | \$ 7,410 | \$ 26,259 |
| Central | | 1,420 | 5,503 | 18,337 |

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------|----|-------|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|
| East | | 876 | | 2,551 | | 2,159 | | 9,172 |
| Total | \$ | 2,831 | \$ | 19,912 | \$ | 15,072 | \$ | 53,768 |

Table of Contents

The tables below reflect the number of communities with real estate inventory impairments for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, excluding home-specific impairments (as noted above) and the fair value of these communities as of September 30, 2009 and 2008 (dollars in thousands):

Three Months Ended September 30, 2009

| | Number of Communities Impaired | Impairment Charges | Fair Value of Communities Impaired (Carrying Value less Impairments) |
|---------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| West | 4 | \$ 1,850 | \$ 11,750 |
| Central | 6 | 1,033 | 6,482 |
| East | 3 | 1,416 | 9,505 |
| Total | 13 | \$ 4,299 | \$ 27,737 |

Three Months Ended September 30, 2008

| | Number of Communities Impaired | Impairment Charges | Fair Value of Communities Impaired (Carrying Value less Impairments) |
|---------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| West | 7 | \$ 2,968 | \$ 69,174 |
| Central | 10 | 10,107 | 43,500 |
| East | 3 | 1,683 | 15,631 |
| Total | 20 | \$ 14,758 | \$ 128,305 |

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009

| | Number of Communities Impaired | Impairment Charges | Fair Value of Communities Impaired (Carrying Value less Impairments) |
|---------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| West | 6 | \$ 3,472 | \$ 12,599 |
| Central | 8 | 1,304 | 7,868 |
| East | 3 | 1,416 | 9,505 |
| Total | 17 | \$ 6,192 | \$ 29,972 |

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008

| | Number of Communities Impaired | Impairment Charges | Fair Value of Communities Impaired (Carrying Value less Impairments) |
|---------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| West | 20 | \$ 14,385 | \$ 161,526 |
| Central | 27 | 16,629 | 108,904 |
| East | 5 | 3,782 | 22,208 |
| Total | 52 | \$ 34,796 | \$ 292,638 |

Table of Contents

Subject to sufficient qualifying assets, we capitalize interest incurred during the development and construction of real estate. All non-capitalized interest is expensed directly to the statement of operations during the periods incurred. Capitalized interest is allocated to qualified real estate assets as incurred and charged to the statement of operations as a component of closing costs. A summary of our capitalized interest is as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Capitalized interest, beginning of period | \$ 19,584 | \$ 36,835 | \$ 29,779 | \$ 41,396 |
| Interest incurred | 11,414 | 13,103 | 36,419 | 37,568 |
| Interest expensed | (8,853) | (5,835) | (28,515) | (17,034) |
| Interest amortized to cost of home, land closings and impairments | (4,540) | (7,233) | (20,078) | (25,060) |
| Capitalized interest, end of period | \$ 17,605 | \$ 36,870 | \$ 17,605 | \$ 36,870 |

At September 30, 2009, approximately \$0.8 million of the capitalized interest is related to our joint venture investments and is a component of Investments in unconsolidated entities on our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

NOTE 3 - VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES AND CONSOLIDATED REAL ESTATE NOT OWNED

ASC Subtopic 810-10, *Consolidation*, requires the consolidation of entities in which an enterprise absorbs a majority of the entity's expected losses, or receives a majority of the entity's expected residual returns if no party absorbs the majority of expected losses, as a result of ownership, contractual or other financial interests in the entity.

Based on the provisions of the relevant accounting guidance, we have concluded that when we enter into an option or purchase agreement to acquire land or lots from an entity and pay a non-refundable deposit, a variable interest entity, or VIE, may be created because we are deemed to have provided subordinated financial support that will absorb some or all of an entity's expected losses if they occur. For each VIE created, we compute expected losses and residual returns based on the probability of future cash flows. If we are the primary beneficiary of the VIE, based on the entity's expected profits and losses and the cash flows associated with changes in the fair value of land under contract, we will consolidate the VIE in our financial statements and reflect such assets and liabilities as Real estate not owned. The liabilities related to consolidated VIEs are computed as the purchase price of the asset less the cash deposits for such land and are excluded from our debt covenant calculations.

We have developed a methodology to determine whether we are the primary beneficiary of the VIE. Part of this methodology requires the use of estimates in assigning probabilities to various future cash flow possibilities relative to changes in the fair value and in the development costs associated with the property. Although we believe that our accounting policy properly identifies our primary beneficiary status with these VIEs, changes in the probability and other estimates could produce different conclusions.

In most cases, creditors of the entities with which we have option agreements have no recourse against us and the maximum exposure to loss in our option agreements is limited to non-refundable option deposits and any capitalized pre-acquisition costs. Often, we are at risk for items over

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

budget related to land development on property we have under option. In these cases, we have contracted to complete development at a fixed cost on behalf of the land owner. Some of our option deposits may be refundable to us if certain contractual conditions are not performed by the party selling the lots.

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The table below presents a summary of our lots under option or contract at September 30, 2009 (dollars in thousands):

| | Number of Lots | Purchase Price | Cash | Option/Earnest Money Deposits | Letters of Credit |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Option contracts recorded on balance sheet as real estate not owned (1), (2) | 377 | \$ 11,588 | \$ 1,536 | \$ | |
| Option contracts not recorded on balance sheet non-refundable deposits, committed (1) | 2,983 | 140,097 | 10,734 | | 4,978 |
| Purchase contracts not recorded on balance sheet non-refundable deposits, committed (1) | 1,424 | 28,900 | 1,250 | | |
| Total committed (on and off balance sheet) | 4,784 | 180,585 | 13,520 | | 4,978 |
| Option contracts not recorded on balance sheet non-refundable, uncommitted (1)(3) | 250 | 3,120 | 50 | | |
| Purchase contracts not recorded on balance sheet refundable deposits, uncommitted (4) | 134 | 10,344 | 275 | | |
| Total uncommitted | 384 | 13,464 | 325 | | |
| Total lots under option or contracts | 5,168 | 194,049 | 13,845 | | 4,978 |
| Total option contracts not recorded on balance sheet | 4,791 | \$ 182,461 | \$ 12,309 (5) | \$ | 4,978 |

(1) Deposits are non-refundable except if certain contractual conditions are not performed by the selling party.

(2) The purpose and nature of these consolidated lot option contracts (VIEs) is to provide us the option to purchase these lots in the future, in anticipation of building homes on these lots in the future. Specific performance contracts, if any, are included in this balance. All contracts that are not specific performance contracts are not considered to be firm contractual obligations.

(3) Although we have made minimal non-refundable deposits, we have not completed our acquisition evaluation process and we have not internally committed to purchase these lots.

(4) Deposits are refundable at our sole discretion. We have not completed our acquisition evaluation process and we have not internally committed to purchase these lots.

(5) Amount is reflected in our condensed consolidated balance sheet in the line item Deposits on real estate under option or contract as of September 30, 2009.

Generally, our options to purchase lots remain effective so long as we purchase a pre-established minimum number of lots each month or quarter, as determined by the respective agreement. Although the pre-established number is typically structured to approximate our expected rate

of home construction starts, during a weakened homebuilding market, as we are currently experiencing, we may elect to purchase lots at an absorption level that exceeds our sales and home starts pace needed to meet the pre-established minimum number of lots or to restructure our original contract terms that more accurately reflect our current sales pace expectations.

NOTE 4 - INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED ENTITIES

Historically, we have entered into homebuilding and land development joint ventures as a means of accessing larger parcels of land and lot positions, expanding our market opportunities, managing our risk profile and leveraging our capital base. Based on the structure of these joint ventures, they may or may not be consolidated into our results. Our joint venture partners generally are other homebuilders, land sellers or other real estate investors. We generally do not have a controlling interest in these ventures, which means our joint venture partners could cause the venture to take actions we disagree with, or fail to take actions we believe should be undertaken, including the sale of the underlying property to repay debt or recoup all or part of the partners' investments. As of September 30, 2009, we had three active land ventures. Due to the current homebuilding environment, although we view our involvement with land joint ventures to be beneficial, we do not view such involvement as critical to the success of our homebuilding operations.

We also participate in seven mortgage and title business joint ventures. The mortgage joint ventures are engaged in mortgage brokerage activities and they originate and provide services to both our clients and other homebuyers. The mortgages originated by these ventures are primarily funded by third-party mortgage lenders with limited recourse back to our joint ventures. Our investments in mortgage and title joint ventures as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were \$1.2 million and \$1.4 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

For land development joint ventures, we, and in some cases our joint venture partners, usually receive an option or other similar arrangement to purchase portions of the land held by the joint venture. Option prices are generally negotiated prices that approximate market value when we enter into the option contract. For these ventures, our share of the joint venture profit relating to lots we purchase from the joint ventures is deferred until homes are delivered by us and title passes to a homebuyer. Therefore, we allocate the portion of such joint venture profit to the land acquired by us as a reduction in the basis of the property.

Repayment Guarantees. We and/or our land development joint venture partners occasionally provide limited repayment guarantees on a pro rata basis on the debt of the land development joint ventures. If such a guarantee were ever to be called, the maximum exposure to Meritage would generally be only our pro-rata share of the amount of debt outstanding that was in excess of the fair value of the underlying land securing the debt. At September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, our share of these limited pro rata repayment guarantees was approximately \$8.5 million, of which \$7.1 million are bad boy guarantees (see below for a discussion about bad boy guarantees); however, as the other joint venture partners could trigger such guarantees without our consent, we have classified this \$7.1 million as repayment guarantees.

Bad Boy Guarantees. In addition, we and/or our joint venture partners occasionally provide guarantees that are only applicable if and when the joint venture directly, or indirectly through agreement with its joint venture partners or other third parties, causes the joint venture to voluntarily file a bankruptcy or similar liquidation or reorganization action or take other actions that are fraudulent or improper (commonly referred to as bad boy guarantees). These types of guarantees typically are on a pro rata basis among the joint venture partners and are designed to protect the secured lender's remedies with respect to its mortgage or other secured lien on the joint venture or the joint venture's underlying property. To date, no such guarantees have been invoked and we believe that the actions that would trigger a guarantee would generally be disadvantageous to the joint venture and to us, and therefore are unlikely to occur; however, there can be no assurances that certain of our ventures will not elect to take actions that could trigger a bad boy guarantee, as it may be considered in their economic best interest to do so. At September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had outstanding guarantees of this type totaling approximately \$60.9 million and \$72.5 million, respectively. We believe these guarantees, as defined, unless invoked as described above, are not considered guarantees of indebtedness under our senior and senior subordinated indentures.

Other Guarantees. We and our joint venture partners are also typically obligated to the project lender(s) to complete construction of the land development improvements if the joint venture does not perform the required development. Provided we and the other joint venture partners are in compliance with these completion obligations, the project lenders are generally obligated to fund these improvements through any financing commitments available under the applicable joint venture development and construction loans. In addition, we and our joint venture partners have from time to time provided unsecured indemnities to joint venture project lenders. These indemnities generally obligate us to reimburse the project lenders only for claims and losses related to matters for which such lenders are held responsible and our exposure under these indemnities is limited to specific matters such as environmental claims. As part of our project acquisition due diligence process to determine potential environmental risks, we generally obtain, or the joint venture entity generally obtains, an independent environmental review. Per guidance of ASC Subtopic 460-10, *Guaranties*, we believe these other guarantees are either not applicable or not material to our financial results.

Surety Bonds. We and our joint venture partners also indemnify third party surety providers with respect to performance bonds issued on behalf of certain of our joint ventures. If a joint venture does not perform its obligations, the surety bond could be called. If these surety bonds are called and the joint venture fails to reimburse the surety, we and our joint venture partners would be obligated to make such payments. These surety indemnity arrangements are generally joint and several obligations with our joint venture partners. Although a majority of the required work may have been performed, these bonds are typically not released until all development specifications have been met. As of September 30, 2009, we had approximately \$1.6 million of surety bonds outstanding subject to these indemnity arrangements, of which approximately \$52,000 of work remains to be completed. At December 31, 2008, we had approximately \$2.4 million of such surety bonds with \$0.5 million of work remaining to be completed. None of these bonds have been called to date and we believe it is unlikely that any of these bonds will be called or if called, that any such amounts would be material to us.

The joint venture obligations, guarantees and indemnities discussed above are generally provided by us or one or more of our subsidiaries. In joint ventures involving other homebuilders or developers, support for these obligations is generally provided by the parent companies of the joint venture partners. In connection with our periodic real estate impairment reviews, we may accrue for any such commitments where we believe our obligation to pay is probable and can be reasonably estimated. In such situations, our accrual represents the portion of the total joint venture obligation related to our relative ownership percentage. In the limited cases where our venture partners, some of whom are homebuilders or developers who may be experiencing financial difficulties as a result of current market conditions, may be unable to fulfill their pro rata share of a joint venture obligation, we may be fully responsible for these commitments if such commitments are joint and several. We continue to monitor these matters and reserve for these obligations if and when they become probable and can be reasonably estimated. As of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we did not have any such reserves.

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Summarized condensed financial information related to unconsolidated joint ventures that are accounted for using the equity method follows (in thousands):

| | At September 30, 2009 | | At December 31, 2008 | |
|--|--------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|
| Assets: | | | | |
| Cash | \$ | 7,875 | \$ | 6,817 |
| Real estate | | 510,058 | | 528,433 |
| Other assets | | 4,731 | | 11,356 |
| Total assets | \$ | 522,664 | \$ | 546,606 |
| Liabilities and equity: | | | | |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities | \$ | 8,308 | \$ | 11,166 |
| Notes and mortgages payable | | 359,563 | | 381,228 |
| Equity of: | | | | |
| Meritage (1) | | 37,328 | | 47,871 |
| Others | | 117,465 | | 106,341 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ | 522,664 | \$ | 546,606 |

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------|------|---------|------------------------------------|----------|------|----------|
| | 2009 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2008 | |
| Revenues | \$ | 6,527 | \$ | 5,993 | \$ | 14,510 | \$ | 17,614 |
| Costs and expenses | | (24,552) | | (3,698) | | (28,796) | | (11,200) |
| Net (loss)/earnings of unconsolidated entities | \$ | (18,025) | \$ | 2,295 | \$ | (14,286) | \$ | 6,414 |
| Meritage's share of pre-tax (loss)/earnings (2) (3) | \$ | (3,259) | \$ | 2,392 | \$ | (1,162) | \$ | 6,463 |

(1) Balance represents Meritage's interest, as reflected in the financial records of the respective joint ventures. This balance may differ from the balance reflected in our condensed consolidated balance sheets due to the following reconciling items: (i) timing differences for revenue and distributions recognition, (ii) step-up basis and corresponding amortization, (iii) income deferrals as discussed in Note (3) below and (iv) differences in timing or amounts of joint-venture asset impairments recorded by us and the joint venture.

(2) The joint venture financial statements above represent the most recent information available to us. For joint ventures where we have impaired our investment, the joint venture partners may have not yet reached a consensus or finalized the write-down amount or reached that conclusion in a different accounting period than us and, therefore, the financial statements of the ventures may not yet reflect any real estate impairment charges or reflect them in a different quarter or fiscal year. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded \$2.6 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, of such impairments. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded \$1.1 million and \$20.8 million, respectively, of impairments related to our joint venture investments. The majority of the 2009 losses reported by the joint ventures is related to one joint venture that had disposed of its land holdings through a trustee sale during the current quarter. Our investment in this joint venture was fully impaired during 2007. As our portion of pre-tax earnings is recorded on the accrual basis and included both actual earnings reported to us as well as accrued expected earnings for the period noted above not yet provided to us by our joint venture partners, our relative portion of total net earnings of the unconsolidated joint ventures in the table may reflect a different time frame than that represented by the joint venture financials. See Note 2, "Real Estate and Capitalized Interest", for detail of our joint venture-related impairments.

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

(3) Our share of pre-tax earnings is recorded in (Loss)/earnings from unconsolidated entities, net on our consolidated statements of operations and excludes joint venture profit related to lots we purchased from the joint ventures. Such profit is deferred until homes are delivered by us and title passes to a homebuyer.

Our investments in unconsolidated entities includes \$1.4 million and \$1.6 million at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, related to the difference between the amounts at which our investments are carried and the amount of underlying equity in net assets. These amounts are amortized as the assets of the respective joint ventures are sold. We wrote off approximately \$0.5 million of such investments in the first nine months of 2009 with no such write offs during 2008. We also amortized approximately \$71,000 and \$104,000 of these assets in the first nine months of 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents

Of the balance of joint venture assets and liabilities, \$464.9 million and \$338.3 million, respectively, relate to three joint ventures in which we have interests ranging from 20% - 50%. Of our "bad boy" debt guarantees, the entire \$60.9 million is related to one of these ventures. These ventures own assets in difficult markets and are currently in default of their debt agreements. Although we and our joint venture partners continue to work with the respective lenders to renegotiate the debt or reach other satisfactory alternatives, all debt for these ventures is non-recourse to the partners and the investment in these ventures has been fully impaired as of September 30, 2009. At this time we believe there is limited exposure to us from these investments.

The other venture assets and liabilities noted in the table above primarily represent our other active land ventures, financial ventures and various inactive ventures in which we have a total investment of \$12.3 million. As of September 30, 2009, the debt of these ventures is in compliance with their respective agreements, and except for \$1.4 million of our limited repayment guarantees, the debt is non-recourse to us. These ventures have no "bad boy" guarantees.

In addition to joint ventures accounted for under the equity method summarized in the above table, our investments in unconsolidated entities include two joint ventures recorded under the cost method. These joint ventures were formed to acquire large parcels of land, to perform off-site development work and to sell lots to the joint venture members and other third parties. Our ownership percentage in these ventures is between 3% - 4%. Both ventures have been notified of default events on their debt, and one has since dispossessed its assets in a trustee sale and, accordingly, its debt was extinguished. The lenders of both ventures have filed suit against the joint ventures and/or their members alleging liability under the completion guarantees executed severally by each of the members and their parent companies, including Meritage. We dispute the allegations contained in each of the lawsuits and intend to vigorously defend our position that no amounts are due under these completion guarantees. We continue to believe that the debt obligations of the remaining venture with land holdings are non-recourse to the partners and are only payable by the partners if a "bad boy" guarantee is triggered. We have fully impaired our investment in these joint ventures as of September 30, 2009. As of December 31, 2008, we had fully impaired our investment in the joint venture that has lost its property to foreclosure and had an investment balance of \$0.9 million in the other venture. The one venture that still has land holdings and corresponding debt has a \$7.1 million "bad boy" guaranty that could be triggered upon events beyond our control and, accordingly, is reported as a limited repayment guaranty.

At September 30, 2009, our total investment in unconsolidated joint ventures of \$12.3 million is comprised primarily of \$11.7 million in our Central Region, \$0.2 million in our West Region and \$0.1 million in our East Region. At December 31, 2008, our total investment in unconsolidated joint ventures of \$17.3 million is primarily comprised of \$15.9 million in our Central Region and \$1.1 million in our West Region.

NOTE 5 - LOANS PAYABLE AND OTHER BORROWINGS

In September 2009, we voluntarily terminated our Senior Unsecured Credit Facility (the "Credit Facility"). There were no penalties or costs associated with the termination, although we recorded a non-cash charge in the third quarter of 2009 of approximately \$800,000 to write off previously capitalized origination fees that were scheduled to amortize through May 2011. Prior to the date of termination, we were in compliance with all of the covenants, limitations and restrictions of the Credit Facility.

On the effective date of the termination, we had letters of credit outstanding under the Credit Facility totaling approximately \$19 million. In connection with the Credit Facility termination, we entered into secured letter of credit arrangements with the three banks that had issued outstanding letters of credit under the Credit Facility. The aggregate capacity of these secured letters of credit facilities is approximately \$53 million, of which \$18.6 million is currently outstanding and is reflected as restricted cash on our consolidated balance sheets, representing the

collateral deposits with and pledges to the issuing banks.

In May 2009, we had amended our Credit Facility, reducing the availability to \$150 million from \$500 million.

NOTE 6 - SENIOR AND SENIOR SUBORDINATED NOTES

Senior and senior subordinated notes consist of the following (in thousands):

| | At September 30, 2009 | At December 31, 2008 |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 7.0% senior notes due 2014. At September 30, 2009, and December 31, 2008, there was approximately \$41 and \$47 in unamortized premium, respectively | \$ 130,041 | \$ 130,047 |
| 6.25% senior notes due 2015. At September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, there was approximately \$0.9 and \$1.1 million in unamortized discount, respectively | 349,052 | 348,921 |
| 7.731% senior subordinated notes due 2017 | 125,875 | 150,000 |
| | \$ 604,968 | \$ 628,968 |

Table of Contents

The indentures for our senior and senior subordinated notes contain covenants that require maintenance of certain levels of tangible net worth and compliance with certain minimum financial ratios, place limitations on the payment of dividends and redemptions of equity, and limit the incurrence of additional indebtedness, asset dispositions, mergers, certain investments and creations of liens, among other items. As of and for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, we were in compliance with our covenants.

Obligations to pay principal and interest on the senior and senior subordinated notes are guaranteed by all of our subsidiaries (collectively, the Guarantor Subsidiaries), each of which is directly or indirectly 100% owned by Meritage Homes Corporation. Such guarantees are full and unconditional, and joint and several. We do not provide separate financial statements of the Guarantor Subsidiaries because Meritage (the parent company) has no independent assets or operations, the guarantees are full and unconditional and joint and several and there are no non-guarantor subsidiaries. There are no significant restrictions on the ability of the Company or any Guarantor Subsidiary to obtain funds from their respective subsidiaries, as applicable, by dividend or loan.

During the first two quarters of 2009, we retired \$24.1 million of our 7.731% senior subordinated notes maturing in 2017 by issuing approximately 783,000 shares of our common stock in a privately negotiated transaction. The transaction was completed at an average discount of 41% from the face value of the notes, resulting in a net \$9.4 million gain on early extinguishment of debt which is reflected in our statement of operations for the nine-month period ending September 30, 2009. There were no such transactions during the three months ending September 30, 2009 or during the nine months ending September 30, 2008.

NOTE 7 FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES

Effective January 1, 2009, we adopted ASC 820-10 *Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure* for non-recurring fair value measurements of our non-financial assets and liabilities. This guidance defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This standard establishes a three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements based upon the significant inputs used to determine fair value. Observable inputs are those which are obtained from market participants external to the company while unobservable inputs are generally developed internally, utilizing management's estimates, assumptions and specific knowledge of the assets/liabilities and related markets. The three levels are defined as follows:

- Level 1 Valuation is based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.

- Level 2 Valuation is determined from quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, or by model-based techniques in which all significant inputs are observable in the market.

- Level 3 Valuation is derived from model-based techniques in which at least one significant input is unobservable and based on the company's own estimates about the assumptions that market participants would use to value the asset or liability.

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

If the only observable inputs are from inactive markets or for transactions which the company evaluates as distressed, the use of Level 1 inputs should be modified by the company to properly address these factors, or the reliance of such inputs may be limited, with a greater weight attributed to Level 3 inputs.

A summary of our assets re-measured at fair value on September 30, 2009 is as follows (in thousands):

| Description: | As of September 30, | Fair Value Measurements of Reporting Date Using | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|---|---------|-----------|
| | 2009 | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Long-lived assets held and used | \$ 49,013 | \$ | \$ | \$ 49,013 |
| Joint Venture investments | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |

During the three months ended September 30, 2009, long-lived assets and joint venture investments with an initial basis of \$53.7 million were impaired and written down to their fair value of \$49.0 million, resulting in an impairment of \$4.7 million, which is included in our consolidated statement of operations for the quarter ended September 30, 2009.

Financial Instruments. The value of our fixed-rate debt is derived from quoted market prices by independent dealers.

The estimated fair value of our 7.0% senior notes at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$125.8 and \$78.7 million, respectively. The aggregate principal amount of these notes at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$130.0 million.

Table of Contents

The estimated fair value of our 6.25% senior notes at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$330.8 and \$197.8 million, respectively. The aggregate principal amount of these notes at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$350.0 million.

The estimated fair value of our 7.731% senior subordinated notes at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$120.4 and \$71.2 million, respectively. The aggregate principal amount of these notes at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$125.9 million and \$150.0 million, respectively.

Due to the short-term nature of other financial assets and liabilities, we consider the carrying amounts of our other short-term financial instruments to approximate fair value.

NOTE 8 LOSS PER SHARE

Basic and diluted loss per common share is presented in conformity with ASC 260-10, *Earnings per Share*. The following table presents the calculation of basic and diluted loss per common share (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Basic weighted average number of shares outstanding | 31,718 | 30,690 | 31,197 | 28,872 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Stock options and restricted stock (1) | | | | |
| Diluted weighted average shares outstanding | 31,718 | 30,690 | 31,197 | 28,872 |
| Net loss | \$ (17,785) | \$ (144,013) | \$ (109,742) | \$ (212,786) |
| Basic loss and diluted loss per share (1) | \$ (0.56) | \$ (4.69) | \$ (3.52) | \$ (7.37) |
| Total antidilutive stock options outstanding not included in the calculation of diluted loss per share | 1,704 | 2,300 | 1,704 | 2,300 |

(1) For periods with a net loss, basic weighted average shares outstanding are used for diluted calculations as required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States because all options and non-vested shares outstanding are considered anti-dilutive.

NOTE 9 - STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

We have two stock compensation plans (together, the Plans). The Plans, which have been amended from time to time, were approved by our stockholders and are administered by our Board of Directors. The Plans authorize awards to officers, key employees, non-employee directors and consultants for up to 7,500,000 shares of common stock, of which 958,934 shares remain available for grant at September 30, 2009. We believe that such awards provide a means of performance-based compensation to attract and retain qualified employees and better align the interests of our employees with those of our stockholders. Option awards are generally granted with an exercise price equal to the closing market price of Meritage stock on the date of grant, a five-year ratable vesting period and a seven-year contractual term.

The fair values of option awards are estimated using a Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following assumptions:

| | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------|
| | 2009 | September 30, 2008 |
| Expected volatility | 86.51% | 55.36% |
| Expected dividends | 0% | 0% |
| Expected term (in years) | 3.68 | 4.50 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.60% | 3.06% |
| Weighted average grant date fair value of options granted | \$ 8.69 | \$ 8.03 |

Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2009, we had \$9.6 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock-based compensation arrangements granted under the Plans that will be recognized on a straight-line basis over the remaining respective vesting periods a weighted-average period of 3.32 years. For the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, our total stock-based compensation expense was \$2.2 million (\$1.4 million net of tax) and \$2.5 million (\$1.9 million net of tax), respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, our total stock-based compensation expense was \$4.5 million (\$2.8 million net of tax) and \$4.0 million (\$2.7 million net of tax), respectively. We granted 361,500 options and 234,000 non-vested shares during the first nine months of 2009. We also granted an additional 202,500 non-vested shares that will only vest if certain performance criteria are met. The expense associated with these performance-based non-vested shares will only be recognized when it is determined to be likely that the target performance thresholds will be met and the shares will vest.

NOTE 10 - INCOME TAXES

Components of the income tax provision are (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|---------|-------------------------------------|-----|------|--------|------------------------------------|-------|------|--------|
| | 2009 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2008 | |
| Federal | \$ | 138 | \$ | 81,270 | \$ | 1,916 | \$ | 45,810 |
| State | | 8 | | 1,161 | | 24 | | 450 |
| Total | \$ | 146 | \$ | 82,431 | \$ | 1,940 | \$ | 46,260 |

Our unrecognized tax benefits were \$3.0 million at September 30, 2009 and include interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions. There have been no material changes in unrecognized tax benefits during the quarter ended September 30, 2009. The total amount of interest and penalties on uncertain tax positions included in income tax expense for the three months ended September 30, 2009 was \$37,000 of interest accrued on continuing positions. We currently have approximately \$2.3 million in unrecognized tax benefits related to the deduction of executive compensation that will be affected by expiring statutes of limitations within the next twelve months.

In accordance with ASC 740-10, *Income Taxes*, we evaluate our deferred tax assets, including the benefit from net operating losses (NOLs), to determine if a valuation allowance is required. Companies must assess whether a valuation allowance should be established based on the consideration of all available evidence using a more likely than not standard with significant weight being given to evidence that can be objectively verified. This assessment considers, among other matters, the nature, frequency and severity of current and cumulative losses, forecasts of future profitability, the length of statutory carryforward periods, our experience with operating losses and our experience of utilizing tax credit carryforwards and tax planning alternatives. Given the downturn in the homebuilding industry over the past several years, the degree of the economic recession, the instability and deterioration of the financial markets, and the resulting uncertainty in projections of our future taxable income, we recorded a valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets during 2008. We have determined that the weight of the negative evidence continues to exceed that of the positive evidence and that it is more likely than not that we will not be able to utilize all of our deferred tax assets. Therefore, we continue to maintain a full valuation allowance on our deferred tax asset balance by recording additional valuation reserves against any tax benefit from NOLs, as there is no available taxable income to offset our losses in the two-year carryback period under current law.

At September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had a valuation allowance of \$168.6 million and \$127.1 million, respectively, against deferred tax assets which include the tax benefit from NOL carryovers. Our future deferred tax asset realization depends on sufficient taxable income in the carryforward periods under existing tax laws, which currently would allow us to offset future taxable income generated through

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

2029. On an ongoing basis, we will continue to review all available information to determine if and when we expect to realize our deferred tax assets and NOL carryovers.

At September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the income tax receivable of \$2.1 million and \$111.5 million, respectively, consists of net tax refunds that we expect to receive within one year. We collected \$107.7 million of our December 31, 2008 receivable in the first half of 2009.

We conduct business and are subject to tax in the U.S. and several states. With few exceptions, we are no longer subject to U.S. federal, state, or local income tax examinations by tax authorities for years prior to 2005. In 2008, the IRS commenced an audit of our consolidated U.S. tax return and refund claim for 2007. The audit is still in progress and there are no adjustments to report at this time. During the first quarter of 2009, the State of California commenced an audit of our 2005 and 2006 California tax returns. The audit is complete and, as a result, we made a nominal payment for additional taxes and interest.

Table of Contents

At our Special Meeting of Stockholders held on February 16, 2009, our stockholders approved an amendment to our Articles of Incorporation that will help preserve the value of our NOLs and our ability to use our NOLs to offset future taxable income. The amendment restricts certain transfers of our common stock in order to avoid the limitations imposed by Internal Revenue Code (the Code) §382, which addresses the use of NOL carryforwards subsequent to an ownership change, as defined by that Code Section.

NOTE 11 - SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION

The following presents certain supplemental cash flow information (in thousands):

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Interest, net of interest capitalized | \$ 27,935 | \$ 38,022 |
| Non-cash operating activities increase: | | |
| Real estate not owned | \$ 5,826 | \$ 599 |
| Non-cash investing activities: | | |
| Distributions from unconsolidated entities | \$ 261 | \$ 7,580 |
| Non-cash financing activities: | | |
| Equity issued for debt extinguishment | \$ 14,312 | \$ |
| Changes in model home lease program | \$ | \$ (18,281) |

NOTE 12 OPERATING AND REPORTING SEGMENTS

As defined in ASC 280-10, *Segment Disclosures*, we have six operating segments (the states in which we have actively selling communities). We have aggregated our operating segments into three reporting segments based on similar long-term economic characteristics and geographical proximity. Our reporting segments are as follows:

West: California and Nevada
Central: Texas, Arizona and Colorado
East: Florida

Management's evaluation of segment performance is based on segment operating (loss)/income, which we define as homebuilding and land revenue less cost of home construction, commissions and other sales costs, land development and other land sales costs and other costs incurred by or allocated to each segment, including impairments. Each reportable segment follows the same accounting policies described in Note 1,

Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, to the consolidated financial statements in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Operating results for each segment may not be indicative of the results for such segment had it been an independent, stand-alone entity. The following is our segment information (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Revenue (1): | | | | |
| West | \$ 26,954 | \$ 71,587 | \$ 99,766 | \$ 242,594 |
| Central | 192,246 | 276,450 | 548,885 | 805,995 |
| East | 12,616 | 26,729 | 35,842 | 74,904 |
| Consolidated total | 231,816 | 374,766 | 684,493 | 1,123,493 |
| Operating (loss)/income (2): | | | | |
| West | (4,307) | (20,272) | (23,053) | (83,079) |
| Central | 2,661 | (28,058) | (52,466) | (31,059) |
| East | (2,620) | (5,724) | (4,963) | (16,896) |
| Segment operating loss | (4,266) | (54,054) | (80,482) | (131,034) |
| Corporate and unallocated (3) | (5,483) | (6,785) | (16,057) | (11,202) |
| (Loss)/earnings from unconsolidated entities, net | (899) | 1,322 | 1,350 | (14,296) |
| Interest expense | (8,853) | (5,835) | (28,515) | (17,034) |
| Other income, net | 1,862 | 3,770 | 6,512 | 7,040 |
| Gain on extinguishment of debt, net of transaction costs | | | 9,390 | |
| Loss before income taxes | \$ (17,639) | \$ (61,582) | \$ (107,802) | \$ (166,526) |

Table of Contents

| | At September 30, 2009 | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|------------|-----------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| | West | Central | East | Corporate and Unallocated (4) | Total |
| Deposits on real estate under option or contract | \$ 481 | \$ 11,657 | \$ 171 | \$ | \$ 12,309 |
| Real estate | 143,638 | 538,174 | 36,626 | | 718,438 |
| Investments in unconsolidated entities | 260 | 11,726 | 79 | 246 | 12,311 |
| Other assets | 10,990 | 58,788 | 4,983 | 365,820 | 440,581 |
| Total assets | \$ 155,369 | \$ 620,345 | \$ 41,859 | \$ 366,066 | \$ 1,183,639 |

| | At December 31, 2008 | | | | |
|--|----------------------|------------|-----------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| | West | Central | East | Corporate and Unallocated (4) | Total |
| Deposits on real estate under option or contract | \$ 268 | \$ 49,944 | \$ 1,446 | \$ | \$ 51,658 |
| Real estate | 184,437 | 631,015 | 43,853 | | 859,305 |
| Investments in unconsolidated entities | 1,157 | 15,659 | 200 | 272 | 17,288 |
| Other assets | 9,264 | 54,529 | 2,247 | 331,958 | 397,998 |
| Total assets | \$ 195,126 | \$ 751,147 | \$ 47,746 | \$ 332,230 | \$ 1,326,249 |

-
- (1) Revenue includes the following land closing revenue, by segment (in thousands): three months ended September 30, 2008 - \$1,859 in Central Region; nine months ended September 30, 2009 - \$1,285 in the Central Region; nine months ended September 30, 2008 - \$63 in the West Region and \$4,944 in Central Region.
 - (2) See Note 2 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a breakout of real estate-related impairments by region.
 - (3) Balance consists primarily of corporate costs and shared service functions such as finance, legal and treasury that are not allocated to the reporting segments.
 - (4) Balance consists primarily of cash and other corporate assets not allocated to the reporting segments.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview, Industry Outlook and Company Actions

During the first three quarters of 2009, our operations continued to be impacted by the economic recession resulting in difficult year-over-year comparisons of our operational results. Competition for home buyers remained intense due to an excess supply of re-sale and foreclosure homes on the market. In addition, the selection of mortgage financing product is still limited and underwriting standards are more restrictive. Therefore, even though home affordability has significantly improved over the past several years, benefiting from both low prices and low interest rates, we have not yet seen a significant market recovery, although we are beginning to see signs of stabilization in many of our markets as our sales pace strengthened during the spring selling season, an early indicator of a potential shift in consumer confidence. Furthermore, our home orders increased 8.4% in the current quarter as compared to the same period in the prior year and our absorptions per active community increased, with 6.5 sales per community for the third quarter of 2009 as compared to 4.8 in the third quarter of 2008. We believe this improvement indicates bottoming of the housing market and the beginning of a recovery. We reduced our active community count by 21.7%, or 45 communities, over a year ago. Therefore, although our average sales per community during the first nine months of 2009 was 19.0, almost flat with 19.3 for the prior comparable period, our home orders declined 21.6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 as compared to the prior year.

During 2009, we continued to focus on our goals to return to profitability, generate positive cash flow and strengthen our balance sheet. We grew our cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash balance to \$365.6 million at September 30, 2009, including the collection of \$107.7 million in income tax refunds in the first three quarters of 2009. We also increased gross margins excluding impairments to 14.5%, a record-high for the past eight quarters. Additionally, we have begun to rebuild our lot positions with well located low-cost lots to supplement and replace our older communities as they close out. We believe our strategy provides us with flexibility given the current difficult market conditions but also allows us to take advantage of unique opportunities to continue to purchase deeply-discounted lots in select markets.

During this downturn, we have conducted an in-depth market review of each one of our submarkets and have repositioned and redesigned much of our product to increase affordability to appeal to customers at lower price points. Our lower cost structure is enabling us, in most cases, to decrease the selling price of these new homes below the FHA pricing cap to successfully compete with foreclosures. We are designing smaller and more efficient floor plans, reducing or eliminating certain standard features from our base home models to re-align them with current market demands and reducing the number of floor plans offered, while continuing to provide a wide selection of upgrade options, allowing our customers to personalize their new homes with the features they consider most important. All of our divisions have been working with their trades to achieve additional price concessions through both materials and labor bid renegotiations, but also through reviews of our entire construction cycle, including even-flow scheduling and process improvement initiatives.

To appeal to our target customers in the first-time and first-time move-up demographic, we are also planning to temporarily increase our spec starts to ensure we have a sufficient supply of completed homes for buyers looking for an immediate move-in. Approximately half of our sales during the third quarter of 2009 were from spec sales, which contributed to the decline in our unsold inventory to \$67.9 million, or 407 homes, at September 30, 2009, as compared to \$158.4 million at December 31, 2008, comprised of 768 homes. During the current quarter, the decline in our unsold inventory was partly due to the demand for our started unsold homes as they were being sold faster than we were starting them, as well as our improved cycle times, which allow us to maintain a lower level of inventory to meet demand as we are able to turn the inventory faster.

Summary Company Results

Total home closing revenue was \$231.8 and \$683.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively, decreasing 37.8% and 38.9% from the same periods last year, due to slower sales and closings volumes, lower average selling prices and a planned decrease in our actively-selling communities. Net loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 decreased \$126.2 and \$103.0 million to a loss of \$(17.8) and \$(109.7) million, respectively. The quarter-over-quarter net loss improvement is primarily due to a reduced level of impairments, with \$13.2 million (pre-tax) of real estate-related impairments recorded in the third quarter of 2009 as compared to \$55.1 million in the same period of 2008. Impairments for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 were \$90.3 million as compared to \$154.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. The impairments for the three quarters ended September 30, 2009 include a \$55.4 million write-off related to the termination of our last significant optioned project. The project in North Phoenix was no longer projected to generate profits sufficient to justify the additional investment needed to continue development.

Table of Contents

At September 30, 2009, our backlog of \$404.8 million reflects a decrease of 34.0% or \$208.1 million when compared to the backlog at September 30, 2008 but improved \$22.5 million from our June 30, 2009 balance of \$382.3 million and has improved sequentially each quarter since December 31, 2008. The year-over-year decreases are due to the declines in demand and average sales price which decreased from \$270,100 at September 30, 2008 to \$241,500 at September 30, 2009. The price decreases are due to both the continued downward pressure on pricing as well as the execution of our strategy to re-align our product offerings to target the entry-level and first-time move-up payment levels. In the third quarter of 2009, our cancellation rate on sales orders improved to 20% of gross orders, back to our historical average, as compared to 40% in the same period a year ago.

Critical Accounting Policies

The accounting policies we deem most critical to us and that involve the most difficult, subjective or complex judgments include revenue recognition, real estate, warranty reserves, off-balance-sheet arrangements, valuation of deferred tax assets and share-based payments. There have been no significant changes to our critical accounting policies during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 compared to those disclosed in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, included in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The tables below present operating and financial data that we consider most critical to managing our operations (dollars in thousands):

Home Closing Revenue

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Total | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 231,816 | \$ 372,907 | \$ 683,208 | \$ 1,118,486 |
| Homes closed | 1,015 | 1,423 | 2,837 | 4,139 |
| Average sales price | \$ 228.4 | \$ 262.1 | \$ 240.8 | \$ 270.2 |
| West Region | | | | |
| California | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 20,319 | \$ 52,530 | \$ 76,042 | \$ 187,357 |
| Homes closed | 62 | 131 | 218 | 456 |
| Average sales price | \$ 327.7 | \$ 401.0 | \$ 348.8 | \$ 410.9 |
| Nevada | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 6,635 | \$ 19,057 | \$ 23,724 | \$ 55,174 |
| Homes closed | 33 | 71 | 112 | 205 |
| Average sales price | \$ 201.1 | \$ 268.4 | \$ 211.8 | \$ 269.1 |
| West Region Totals | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 26,954 | \$ 71,587 | \$ 99,766 | \$ 242,531 |
| Homes closed | 95 | 202 | 330 | 661 |
| Average sales price | \$ 283.7 | \$ 354.4 | \$ 302.3 | \$ 366.9 |
| Central Region | | | | |
| Arizona | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 38,617 | \$ 75,226 | \$ 111,063 | \$ 205,094 |
| Homes closed | 213 | 297 | 563 | 772 |
| Average sales price | \$ 181.3 | \$ 253.3 | \$ 197.3 | \$ 265.7 |
| Texas | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 142,697 | \$ 186,023 | \$ 403,535 | \$ 560,634 |
| Homes closed | 611 | 783 | 1,679 | 2,311 |
| Average sales price | \$ 233.5 | \$ 237.6 | \$ 240.3 | \$ 242.6 |
| Colorado | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 10,932 | \$ 13,342 | \$ 33,002 | \$ 35,323 |
| Homes closed | 36 | 37 | 105 | 101 |
| Average sales price | \$ 303.7 | \$ 360.6 | \$ 314.3 | \$ 349.7 |
| Central Region Totals | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 192,246 | \$ 274,591 | \$ 547,600 | \$ 801,051 |
| Homes closed | 860 | 1,117 | 2,347 | 3,184 |
| Average sales price | \$ 223.5 | \$ 245.8 | \$ 233.3 | \$ 251.6 |
| East Region | | | | |
| Florida | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 12,616 | \$ 26,729 | \$ 35,842 | \$ 74,904 |
| Homes closed | 60 | 104 | 160 | 294 |
| Average sales price | \$ 210.3 | \$ 257.0 | \$ 224.0 | \$ 254.8 |

Table of Contents*Home Orders*

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Total | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 254,347 | \$ 254,381 | \$ 749,963 | \$ 1,061,394 |
| Homes ordered | 1,098 | 1,013 | 3,232 | 4,120 |
| Average sales price | \$ 231.6 | \$ 251.1 | \$ 232.0 | \$ 257.6 |
| <u>West Region</u> | | | | |
| California | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 40,483 | \$ 32,768 | \$ 93,688 | \$ 177,913 |
| Homes ordered | 130 | 85 | 287 | 451 |
| Average sales price | \$ 311.4 | \$ 385.5 | \$ 326.4 | \$ 394.5 |
| Nevada | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 6,637 | \$ 11,780 | \$ 19,549 | \$ 50,833 |
| Homes ordered | 33 | 41 | 99 | 193 |
| Average sales price | \$ 201.1 | \$ 287.3 | \$ 197.5 | \$ 263.4 |
| <u>West Region Totals</u> | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 47,120 | \$ 44,548 | \$ 113,237 | \$ 228,746 |
| Homes ordered | 163 | 126 | 386 | 644 |
| Average sales price | \$ 289.1 | \$ 353.6 | \$ 293.4 | \$ 355.2 |
| <u>Central Region</u> | | | | |
| Arizona | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 40,490 | \$ 49,314 | \$ 119,295 | \$ 170,216 |
| Homes ordered | 212 | 220 | 621 | 765 |
| Average sales price | \$ 191.0 | \$ 224.2 | \$ 192.1 | \$ 222.5 |
| Texas | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 134,948 | \$ 145,463 | \$ 431,725 | \$ 581,280 |
| Homes ordered | 597 | 609 | 1,899 | 2,410 |
| Average sales price | \$ 226.0 | \$ 238.9 | \$ 227.3 | \$ 241.2 |
| Colorado | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 10,342 | \$ 7,943 | \$ 32,910 | \$ 35,493 |
| Homes ordered | 35 | 25 | 107 | 102 |
| Average sales price | \$ 295.5 | \$ 317.7 | \$ 307.6 | \$ 348.0 |
| <u>Central Region Totals</u> | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 185,780 | \$ 202,720 | \$ 583,930 | \$ 786,989 |
| Homes ordered | 844 | 854 | 2,627 | 3,277 |
| Average sales price | \$ 220.1 | \$ 237.4 | \$ 222.3 | \$ 240.2 |
| <u>East Region</u> | | | | |
| Florida | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 21,447 | \$ 7,113 | \$ 52,796 | \$ 45,659 |
| Homes ordered | 91 | 33 | 219 | 199 |
| Average sales price | \$ 235.7 | \$ 215.5 | \$ 241.1 | \$ 229.4 |

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------|--------|------|--------|
| | Beginning | 2009 | Ending | 2008 | Ending |
| Active Communities | | | | | |
| Total | 178 | | 162 | 213 | 207 |
| West Region | | | | | |
| California | 12 | | 9 | 17 | 15 |
| Nevada | 12 | | 6 | 12 | 12 |
| West Region Total | 24 | | 15 | 29 | 27 |
| Central Region | | | | | |
| Arizona | 31 | | 28 | 31 | 30 |
| Texas | 108 | | 102 | 136 | 132 |
| Colorado | 4 | | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| Central Region Total | 143 | | 133 | 172 | 167 |
| East Region (Florida) | 11 | | 14 | 12 | 13 |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|------|--------|------|--------|
| | Beginning | 2009 | Ending | 2008 | Ending |
| Active Communities | | | | | |
| Total | 178 | | 162 | 220 | 207 |
| West Region | | | | | |
| California | 12 | | 9 | 27 | 15 |
| Nevada | 12 | | 6 | 11 | 12 |
| West Region Total | 24 | | 15 | 38 | 27 |
| Central Region | | | | | |
| Arizona | 31 | | 28 | 36 | 30 |
| Texas | 109 | | 102 | 127 | 132 |
| Colorado | 3 | | 3 | 6 | 5 |
| Central Region Total | 143 | | 133 | 169 | 167 |
| East Region (Florida) | 11 | | 14 | 13 | 13 |

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|------|---------------------------------|------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Cancellation Rates (1) | | | | |
| Total | 20% | 40% | 23% | 31% |
| West Region | | | | |
| California | 11% | 43% | 19% | 32% |
| Nevada | 20% | 31% | 20% | 20% |
| West Region Total | 13% | 40% | 19% | 29% |
| Central Region | | | | |
| Arizona | 11% | 31% | 13% | 24% |
| Texas | 25% | 42% | 28% | 33% |
| Colorado | 10% | 24% | 16% | 26% |

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Central Region Total | 21% | 39% | 24% | 31% |
| East Region (Florida) | 16% | 61% | 16% | 40% |

(1) Cancellation rates are computed as the number of cancelled units for the period divided by the gross sales units for the same period.

Table of Contents**Order Backlog**

| | At September 30, | |
|------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Total | | |
| Dollars | \$ 404,786 | \$ 612,893 |
| Homes in backlog | 1,676 | 2,269 |
| Average sales price | \$ 241.5 | \$ 270.1 |
| West Region | | |
| California | | |
| Dollars | \$ 51,556 | \$ 72,088 |
| Homes in backlog | 156 | 159 |
| Average sales price | \$ 330.5 | \$ 453.4 |
| Nevada | | |
| Dollars | \$ 2,278 | \$ 14,319 |
| Homes in backlog | 12 | 52 |
| Average sales price | \$ 189.8 | \$ 275.4 |
| West Region Totals | | |
| Dollars | \$ 53,834 | \$ 86,407 |
| Homes in backlog | 168 | 211 |
| Average sales price | \$ 320.4 | \$ 409.5 |
| Central Region | | |
| Arizona | | |
| Dollars | \$ 50,443 | \$ 85,680 |
| Homes in backlog | 248 | 383 |
| Average sales price | \$ 203.4 | \$ 223.7 |
| Texas | | |
| Dollars | \$ 258,345 | \$ 404,997 |
| Homes in backlog | 1,107 | 1,571 |
| Average sales price | \$ 233.4 | \$ 257.8 |
| Colorado | | |
| Dollars | \$ 13,173 | \$ 18,307 |
| Homes in backlog | 46 | 54 |
| Average sales price | \$ 286.4 | \$ 339.0 |
| Central Region Totals | | |
| Dollars | \$ 321,961 | \$ 508,984 |
| Homes in backlog | 1,401 | 2,008 |
| Average sales price | \$ 229.8 | \$ 253.5 |
| East Region | | |
| Florida | | |
| Dollars | \$ 28,991 | \$ 17,502 |
| Homes in backlog | 107 | 50 |
| Average sales price | \$ 270.9 | \$ 350.0 |

Companywide. Home closing revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2009 decreased \$141.1 million or 37.8% when compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily due to the 408 reduction in units closed and a \$33,700 lower average closing price. Closing units for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 experienced comparable declines of 31.5%, or 1,302 homes from the same period in the prior year with a 10.9% decrease in average sales price. Sales volume of 3,232 units in the first nine months of 2009 declined 21.6% compared to 4,120 in the same period of 2008. Although these declines reflect the difficult market and economic conditions of the past 12 months, these decreases are

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

also partially the result of our initiative to recalibrate our operations to the current conditions. We reduced our active communities 21.7% as of September 30, 2009 over the prior year, primarily by exiting underperforming markets and offering more affordable homes at lower price points to target the first-time and first-time move-up demographics, who we believe make up the largest segment of today's buyers. Although sales for the nine months declined as discussed above, our current quarter sales increased 85 units, or 8.4% over the quarter ended September 30, 2008, reflecting the positive impact of our lower cancellation rates in the current year and the results of our targeted marketing efforts, as we increased sales per community to 6.5 versus 4.8 in the third quarter of 2008. The year-over-year sales declines coupled with faster build cycle times and closings pace resulted in a decrease to our backlog of 593 units, down to 1,676 homes as of September 30, 2009 as compared to 2,269 homes at September 30, 2008.

Table of Contents

The homebuilding market has been experiencing some positive trends in recent months and some negative trends appear to be leveling off. As reported by the Commerce Department, the U.S. GDP grew by an annual rate of 3.5% after four consecutive quarters of decline. The growth rate also exceeded economists' projections of 3.3%. An additional positive sign for the housing market was that October was the fourth straight month of improvement in the S&P/Case-Shiller Home Price Index, which was up nearly 5% from June 2009. While we do expect additional foreclosures to continue to negatively affect sales performance for the next several quarters, these mostly positive trends contributed to our improved home sales and although we remain cautious, we believe there are early indications that the market is bottoming.

West. In the third quarter of 2009, home closings in our West Region decreased 107 units or 53.0%, with a 19.9% decline in average sales price, for total revenue of \$27.0 million, a 62.3% or \$44.6 million decrease in home closing revenue as compared to the third quarter of 2008. The Region's closings reflect the poor economic conditions of the past several quarters, while the average sales price decreases are also impacted by our new lower-priced product, which we expect will continue to be a more significant portion of our total sales and closings mix. The Region's orders for the current quarter increased to 163, a 29.4% increase from the prior year's third quarter. Although our Nevada operations continue to be hampered by foreclosures and the difficult economic conditions that state is experiencing, our California franchise, the first to be impacted by the homebuilding downturn, is starting to show signs of improvement with a 52.9% increase in unit orders despite a 50.0% decrease in average active communities between the third quarters of 2009 and 2008, reflecting our sales success in our newly acquired communities and our redesigned product line. Our ending backlog of \$53.8 million is starting to reflect the increased sales pace with \$32.6 million, or 37.7% decreases from the prior year. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, home closings in our West Region decreased to 330 units with a value of \$99.8 million, a 58.9% decrease in home closing revenue as compared to the same period in the prior year as 2009 year-to-date sales declined 50.5% or \$115.5 million as compared to 2008 based on the market conditions noted above.

Central. In the third quarter of 2009, home closings in our Central Region decreased 257 units, or 23.0%, with a 9.1% decline in average sales price, for total revenue of \$192.2 million, a 30.0% or \$82.3 million decrease in home closing revenue as compared to the third quarter of 2008, the smallest percentage declines of any of our Regions. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, closings of 2,347 units generating \$547.6 million of revenues represent declines of 26.3% and 31.6% from the same period in 2008. Although Texas remained our strongest market during the third quarter of 2009 with 611 homes closed, it has experienced slowing as indicated by the 23.3% decrease in its closing revenue from the same period in 2008, comprising about half of the Region's total revenue decline. The sales pace in the Central Region stabilized during the third quarter of 2009, with 844 absorptions, only 1% fewer than 2008, aided by new communities opening in target locations in Phoenix. Texas only declined 12 sales units, or 2.0% in the current quarter from the same period in the prior year. Year-to-date sales units decreased 19.8%, slower than the 41.1% decline in average communities during the same time frame in the prior year. Average selling prices of closed homes of \$233,300 year-to-date 2009 reflect marginal declines from the comparable balances of \$251,600 in the same period of 2008. In the Region, the slower year-to-date sales pace led to a 607 unit decline in ending backlog as of September 30, 2009, with average sales prices of homes in backlog of \$229,800 in 2009, as compared to \$253,500 as of September 30, 2008. The average sales price decline reflects our focus to target the market's entry level and first time move-up customer price point, which comprise the majority of the buyer demographic. Although we expect to continue to experience some deterioration in this Region until conditions stabilize due to the positive initial indications from Arizona, and as Texas does not appear to have been as affected by the current economic downturn and did not experience the significant price appreciation in prior years that benefited some of our other markets, we believe the impact of ongoing negative economic conditions will be less severe in our Central Region.

East. In the third quarter of 2009, home closings in our East Region decreased 44 units, or 42.3%, with an 18.2% decline in average sales price, for total revenue of \$12.6 million, a 52.8% or \$14.1 million decrease in home closing revenue as compared to the third quarter of 2008. The 134 unit decline in year-to-date deliveries in this Region for 2009 includes 12 closings from Ft. Myers communities, as compared to 39 in 2008. Our Ft. Myers operation is wound down as of September 30, 2009. The closing declines also reflect the slowed sales pace of the last several quarters, when Florida's homebuilding market was one of the most difficult in the nation. We have recently acquired deeply-discounted lots and started operating in several desirable communities in Orlando, replacing some of our older close-out communities and leading to a 58 unit, or 175.8% increase in our orders for the quarter ended September 30, 2009 to 91 units as compared to 33 in the same period in the prior year. The sales from these new communities also positively impacted backlog, as we ended the current quarter with a backlog of 107 units with a value of \$29.0 million at September 30, 2009, a 57 unit and \$11.5 million increase over the same period in the prior year. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, closings of 160 units in our East Region with \$35.8 million of corresponding home revenue declined \$39.1 million, or 52.1%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2008. However, our East Region experienced a 10.0% unit increase and benefited

from a 5.1% increase in average sales prices in year-to-date sales as compared to 2008, reflecting the impact of

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

the new communities previously discussed. Florida has historically sold a portion of its homes to international investors and is more sensitive to the collapse of the international credit markets in addition to our national recession, which has contributed to Florida being one of the states that was most affected by the economic and financial downturn. We remain cautious about our future performance in this Region and continue to focus on cost containment and deeply-discounted lots to allow for the pricing benchmarks to attract our first-time and entry-level buyers.

Other Operating Information (dollars in thousands)

| | 2009 | | Three Months Ended September 30, 2008 | |
|---|-----------|-------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| | Dollars | Percent (1) | Dollars | Percent (1) |
| Home Closing Gross Profit/(Loss) | | | | |
| Total | \$ 23,183 | 10.0% | \$ 6,786 | 1.8% |
| Add back Impairments | 10,354 | | 40,949 | |
| Adjusted Gross Margin | 33,537 | 14.5% | 47,735 | 12.8% |
| West | 790 | 2.9% | (5,440) | (7.6)% |
| Add back Impairments | 2,385 | | 11,519 | |
| Adjusted Gross Margin | 3,175 | 11.8% | 6,079 | 8.5% |
| Central | 23,427 | 12.2% | 14,345 | 5.2% |
| Add back Impairments | 5,677 | | 25,196 | |
| Adjusted Gross Margin | 29,104 | 15.1% | 39,541 | 14.4% |
| East | (1,034) | (8.2)% | (2,119) | (7.9)% |
| Add back Impairments | 2,292 | | 4,234 | |
| Adjusted Gross Margin | \$ 1,258 | 10.0% | \$ 2,115 | 7.9% |

| | 2009 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 | |
|---|----------|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | Dollars | Percent (1) | Dollars | Percent (1) |
| Home Closing Gross Profit/(Loss) | | | | |
| Total | \$ 1,449 | 0.2% | \$ 31,135 | 2.8% |
| Add back Impairments | 86,943 | | 113,810 | |
| Adjusted Gross Margin | 88,392 | 12.9% | 144,945 | 13.0% |
| West | (7,219) | (7.2)% | (38,277) | (15.8)% |
| Add back Impairments | 16,804 | | 56,962 | |
| Adjusted Gross Margin | 9,585 | 9.6% | 18,685 | 7.7% |
| Central | 8,641 | 1.6% | 75,000 | 9.4% |
| Add back Impairments | 66,020 | | 42,591 | |
| Adjusted Gross Margin | 74,661 | 13.6% | 117,591 | 14.7% |
| East | 27 | 0.1% | (5,588) | (7.5)% |
| Add back Impairments | 4,119 | | 14,257 | |
| Adjusted Gross Margin | \$ 4,146 | 11.6% | \$ 8,669 | 11.6% |

(1) Percentage of home closing revenue.

Table of Contents**Home Closing Gross Profit/(Loss)**

Companywide. Home closing gross profit/(loss) represents home closing revenue less cost of home closings. Cost of home closings include land and lot development costs, direct home construction costs, an allocation of common community costs (such as model complex costs, common community and recreation areas and landscaping, and architectural, legal and zoning costs), interest, sales tax, impact fees, warranty, construction overhead, closing costs and impairments, if any.

Home closing gross margin increased to a positive margin of 10.0% for the quarter ended September 30, 2009 as compared to 1.8% for the quarter ended September 30, 2008. This increase is primarily due to lower levels of impairments recorded in 2009, with \$10.4 million recorded in the third quarter of the year versus \$40.9 million in the third quarter of 2008. Excluding these impairments, gross margins were 14.5% and 12.8% for the quarters ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, with the September 30, 2009 quarterly margins reaching their highest levels in eight quarters. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the gross margin was \$1.4 million, as compared to \$31.1 million for the same period in the prior year. The 2009 impairments of \$86.9 million included \$55.4 million related to the termination of a large optioned project in Arizona during the second quarter of 2009. Excluding the impact of impairments of \$86.9 million and \$113.8 million in the first three quarters of 2009 and 2008, respectively, gross margins were 12.9% and 13.0% for the same periods. As our average closing prices declined 12.9% and 10.9%, respectively, in the current and first three quarters of 2009, our ability to maintain and improve on pre-impairment gross margin levels from 2008, in spite of average sales price decreases, is due at large extent to the cost benefits achieved through our re-designed products, negotiated price reductions of materials and labor and from the benefit of a lower basis of some of our inventory due to the bargain prices obtained on our recently-acquired lots and prior impairments. We have also reduced our construction cycle times, which resulted in further cost savings. We provide gross margins excluding impairments a non-GAAP term as we use it to evaluate our performance and believe it is a widely-accepted financial measure by users of our financial statements in analyzing our operating results and provides comparability to similar calculations by our peers in the homebuilding industry.

West. Our West Region home closing gross margin increased to a positive margin of 2.9% for the three months ended September 30, 2009 from a negative margin of (7.6)% in the same period of 2008. For the first nine months of 2009, the gross loss improved to (7.2)% compared to (15.8)% in the first nine months of 2008. These losses included impairments of \$2.4 million and \$16.8 million, respectively, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 versus \$11.5 million and \$57.0 million, respectively, in the same periods of the prior year. Excluding these impairments, the gross margins for the third quarter of 2009 and 2008 were 11.8% and 8.5%, respectively, and 9.6% and 7.7% for the first three quarters of 2009 and 2008, with the improvements reflecting the benefits achieved by our cost containment initiatives and new product offering discussed above.

Central. The Central Region's home closing gross margin for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 was 12.2% and 1.6% as compared to 5.2% and 9.4% in the same periods of 2008. The improvement in gross margin in the current quarter is attributable to the decreased volume of impairments recorded in the current quarter as compared to the same period in the prior year. The decrease in gross margins for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 is due to the increase in impairments in the Central Region, specifically the \$55.4 million impairment in Arizona discussed above, coupled with the declines in closing revenue for the three quarters ended September 30, 2009, outpacing the cost savings achieved. The Central Region's home closing margins include \$5.7 million and \$66.0 million of real estate-related impairments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, compared to \$25.2 million and \$42.6 million for the three and nine months ended in the same periods of 2008. Excluding these impairments, gross margins would have been 15.1% and 14.4% for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008, respectively, and 13.6% and 14.7% for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008.

East. This Region experienced a home closing gross (loss)/margins of (8.2)% and 0.1% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 as compared to (7.9)% and (7.5)% for the same periods in the prior year. The decline in gross margin for the three months ended September 30,

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

2009 is primarily due to the amount of home impairments as compared to the lower home closing revenue dollars in 2009 as compared to the same period in the prior year. The improvement in the home closing gross margin for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 is primarily due to reduced real-estate related impairment charges of \$4.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 as compared to \$14.3 million for the same period in 2008. Excluding these impairments, gross margins would have been 10.0% and 7.9% for the Region for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008, and 11.6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008, respectively, highlighting our cost-cutting measures and efficiencies gained over the last couple quarters, and their positive impact on margins.

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Commissions and Other Sales Costs | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 18,382 | \$ 33,840 | \$ 55,625 | \$ 101,274 |
| Percent of home closing revenue | 7.9% | 9.1% | 8.1% | 9.1% |
| General and Administrative Costs | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 14,269 | \$ 20,735 | \$ 41,913 | \$ 52,481 |
| Percent of total revenue | 6.2% | 5.5% | 6.1% | 4.7% |
| Interest Expense | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 8,853 | \$ 5,835 | \$ 28,515 | \$ 17,034 |
| Gain on Extinguishment of Debt | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ | \$ | \$ 9,390 | \$ |
| Provision for Income Taxes | | | | |
| Dollars | \$ 146 | \$ 82,431 | \$ 1,940 | \$ 46,260 |
| Effective tax rate | 0.8% | 133.9% | 1.8% | 27.8% |

Commissions and Other Sales Costs

Commissions and other sales costs are comprised of internal and external commissions and related sales and marketing expenses such as advertising and sales office costs. As a percentage of home closing revenue, these costs decreased to 7.9% and 8.1%, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 from 9.1% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008. The decrease primarily relates to reduced advertising spending as we are now focusing on targeted national and regional campaigns where we are able to achieve economies of scale while continuing to derive benefit from these efforts at the local level. We are also reducing the size of our model complexes and outfitting homes with fewer option upgrades to highlight a payment-oriented sales approach, demonstrating what a homebuyer can truly afford rather than an options-loaded model complex, which has been successful with our buyers of homes at lower price points, and reduced our model home costs.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses represent corporate and divisional overhead expenses such as salaries, occupancy, public company expenses, insurance and travel expenses. General and administrative expenses in the nine-month periods ending September 30, 2008 includes a benefit of \$10.2 million received from a successful legal settlement in the second quarter of 2008. Excluding the benefit of this settlement, general and administrative expenses decreased \$20.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, and were 5.6% of total revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, as compared to 6.1% for the same period in 2009. For the three months ended September 30, 2009, general and administrative expenses decreased \$6.5 million to 6.2% of total revenue as compared to 5.5% in the prior year. Although we continue to execute on our cost-cutting and streamlining strategy, particularly in headcount and salary expense reductions, the significant revenue declines for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 outpaced our savings, resulting in an increase in our general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue.

Interest Expense

Interest expense is comprised of interest incurred, but not capitalized, on our senior and senior subordinated notes, credit facility and other borrowings. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, our non-capitalizable interest expense was \$8.9 million and \$28.5 million, respectively, as compared to \$5.8 million and \$17.0 million, for the same periods in the prior year. Due to our concentrated efforts to reduce inventory, suspend development on certain phases of long-lived communities, sell under-performing assets in 2009 and 2008, as well as improve our cycle time and the corresponding reduction in need for on-balance-sheet inventory to support operations, the balance of our inventory that is eligible for interest capitalization is less than the corresponding volume of our debt, resulting in a charge of a large portion of interest expense directly to our statements of operations. We expect to incur interest charges in excess of our capitalizable amounts for the remainder of 2009 and into 2010.

Table of Contents

Gain on Extinguishment of Debt

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we retired \$24.1 million of our 7.731% senior subordinated notes maturing in 2017 by issuing approximately 783,000 shares of our common stock in privately negotiated transactions at an average 41% discount from the face value of the notes, resulting in a \$9.4 million gain on early extinguishment of debt for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. There were no such extinguishments during the quarter ended September 30, 2009 or in 2008.

Income Taxes

Our overall effective tax rate was 0.8% and 1.8% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, compared to 133.9% and 27.8% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008. The change in our tax rate is attributable to the adjustment of our 2008 tax receivable based on better information obtained in the process of preparing our consolidated federal tax return. As the deferred tax assets have a full valuation allowance, there was no corresponding adjustment to the deferred tax expense to offset the prior year tax receivable adjustment.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

Our principal uses of capital for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 were operating expenses, home construction, the payment of routine liabilities and the acquisition of new lot positions. We used funds generated by operations to meet our short-term working capital requirements. Cash flows for each of our communities depend on the status of the development cycle, and can differ substantially from reported earnings. Early stages of development or expansion require significant cash outlays for land acquisitions, plat and other approvals, and construction of model homes, roads, utilities, general landscaping and other amenities. Because these costs are a component of our inventory and not recognized as an expense in our statement of operations until a home closes, we incur significant cash outlays prior to recognition of earnings. In the later stages of a community, cash inflows may significantly exceed earnings reported for financial statement purposes, as the cash outflow associated with home and land construction was previously incurred. From a liquidity standpoint, we were experiencing the positive effects of the homebuilding cash flow cycle over the last several quarters, as our debt balances have decreased and our cash balances have increased as our community count declines. As these older communities are closing out, our inventory volumes decrease and we are generating positive cash flow, which only recently has begun to be offset by cash outflows from the acquisition of new lots. During the first three quarters of 2009, we purchased about 2,100 lots for \$101.2 million, started about 2,750 lots and closed 2,837 homes.

We exercise strict controls and believe we have a defined strategy for Company-wide cash management, particularly as related to cash outlays for land and inventory development. Executing on our strategy of reducing inventory and curtailing spending on under-performing assets, we generated \$157.4 million of positive operating cash flows in the first three months of 2009 due in large part to the collection of \$107.7 million of income tax refunds. During the first nine months of 2009, we also reduced our inventory by \$87.6 million, generating additional cash flow and resulting in a cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash balance of \$365.6 million at September 30, 2009. As we have no bond maturities until 2014, we intend to generate cash from the sale of our inventory, but we plan to redeploy that cash to acquire discounted finished lots that represent immediate opportunities to generate positive margins, grow our unsold home inventory as discussed and grow our presold home inventory as sales volumes increase.

In addition, we continue to evaluate our capital needs in light of ongoing developments in homebuilding markets and our existing capital structure. We believe that we currently have strong liquidity. Nevertheless, we may seek additional capital to strengthen our liquidity position, enable us to opportunistically acquire additional land inventory in anticipation of improving market conditions, and/or strengthen our long-term capital structure. Such additional capital may be in the form of equity or debt financing and may be from a variety of sources. There can be no assurances that we would be able to obtain such additional capital on terms acceptable to us, if at all, and such additional equity or debt financing could dilute the interests of our existing stockholders and increase our interest costs.

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

We believe that our leverage ratios provide useful information to the users of our financial statements regarding our financial position and cash and debt management. Debt-to-capital and net debt-to-capital are calculated as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | At September 30, 2009 | At December 31, 2008 |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Notes payable and other borrowings | \$ 604,968 | \$ 628,968 |
| Stockholders' equity | 440,559 | 527,206 |
| Total capital | \$ 1,045,527 | \$ 1,156,174 |
| Debt-to-capital (1) | 57.9% | 54.4% |
| Notes payable and other borrowings | \$ 604,968 | \$ 628,968 |
| Less: cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash | (365,555) | (205,923) |
| Net debt | 239,413 | 423,045 |
| Stockholders' equity | 440,559 | 527,206 |
| Total capital | \$ 679,972 | \$ 950,251 |
| Net debt-to-capital (2) | 35.2% | 44.5% |

-
- (1) Debt-to-capital is computed as notes payable and other borrowing divided by the aggregate of total notes payable and stockholders' equity.
- (2) Net debt-to-capital is computed as net debt divided by the aggregate of net debt and stockholders' equity.

Covenant Compliance

Senior and Senior Subordinated Notes. We were in compliance with all Senior and Senior Subordinated Note covenants as of September 30, 2009. The following are our most restrictive key note covenants:

| Financial Covenant | | Covenant Requirement (\$ in millions) | Actual |
|---------------------------|---|---|------------|
| Fixed Charge Coverage (1) | > | 2.00 | 1.15 |
| Leverage Ratio (1) | < | 3.00 | 1.46 |
| Minimum Net Worth | > | \$ 60,000 | \$ 432,134 |

(1) Failure to maintain both the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio and the Leverage Ratio does not result in a default under our senior and senior subordinated notes. Rather, it results in a prohibition from incurring additional indebtedness. As of September 30, 2009, we were in compliance with our Leverage Ratio and therefore, the prohibition against incurring additional debt is not applicable.

See Part II, Item 7 on our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional discussions regarding these covenants.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Reference is made to Notes 1, 3 and 4 in the accompanying Notes to condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. These Notes discuss our off-balance sheet arrangements with respect to land acquisition contracts and option agreements, and land development joint ventures, including the nature and amounts of financial obligations relating to these items. In addition, these Notes discuss the nature and amounts of certain types of commitments that arise in connection with the ordinary course of our land development and homebuilding operations, including commitments of land development joint ventures for which we might be obligated.

Seasonality

We typically experience seasonal variations in our quarterly operating results and capital requirements. Historically, we sell more homes in the first half of the fiscal year than in the second half, which results in more working capital requirements in the second and third quarters to build our inventories to satisfy the deliveries in the second half of the year. We expect this seasonal pattern to continue, although it may be affected by the continuing uncertainty in the homebuilding industry.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements.

See Note 1 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for discussion of recently-issued accounting standards.

Table of Contents

Special Note of Caution Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

In passing the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (PSLRA), Congress encouraged public companies to make forward-looking statements by creating a safe-harbor to protect companies from securities law liability in connection with forward-looking statements. We intend to qualify both our written and oral forward-looking statements for protection under the PSLRA.

The words believe, expect, anticipate, forecast, plan, estimate, and project and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. We speak only as of the date the statement was made. All statements we make other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements within the meaning of that term in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements in this Quarterly Report include statements concerning: our belief that we have ample liquidity; management estimates regarding future impairments and joint venture exposure, including our exposure to joint ventures that are in default of their debt agreements and actions that we may pursue or that may result from defaults of indebtedness of certain joint ventures, whether certain guarantees relating to our joint ventures will be triggered and our belief that reimbursements due from lenders to our joint ventures will be repaid; expectations regarding our industry and our business in 2009 and beyond; our exposure to construction defect claims relating to Chinese drywall, including the number of homes that we may have constructed using Chinese drywall, the areas and time periods where we constructed homes containing Chinese drywall, the sufficiency of our existing warranty reserves to cover such claims, and the existence and sufficiency of our insurance coverage and the insurance coverage of other related parties relating to such claims; our land and lot acquisition strategy; demographic and other trends related to the homebuilding industry in general; our expectation that existing letters of credit and performance and surety bonds will not be drawn on; the expected outcome of legal proceedings against us; the sufficiency of our capital resources to support our business strategy; the future impact of deferred tax assets or liabilities; the impact of new accounting pronouncements and changes in accounting estimates; the expected vesting periods of unrecognized compensation expense; trends and expectations relating to our community count; our future compliance with debt covenants and actions we may take with respect thereto; our estimates concerning the fair values of the assets and liabilities of our joint venture investments; trends concerning market conditions (such as foreclosures and consumer confidence), sales prices, construction costs, sales orders, cancellations, gross margins and future home inventories and our strategies and plans relating thereto, including, but not limited to our belief that the impact of the recession will be less severe in our Central Region; that homebuilding markets may have stabilized; our plans to temporarily increase our spec starts and our plans to use cash to acquire deeply discounted lots; the possibility that we may raise additional debt or equity capital; our strategies, plans and anticipated benefits, including cost reductions and increased sales, from redesigning our home lines with lower price points; that during the remainder of 2009 and into 2010 we will incur interest charges in amounts greater than can be capitalized; and seasonality.

Important factors currently known to management that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in forward-looking statements, and that could negatively affect our business are discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, as well as our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q under the heading Risk Factors.

Forward-looking statements express expectations of future events. All forward-looking statements are inherently uncertain as they are based on various expectations and assumptions concerning future events and they are subject to numerous known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those projected. Due to these inherent uncertainties, the investment community is urged not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. In addition, we undertake no obligation to update or revise forward-looking statements to reflect changed assumptions, the occurrence of unanticipated events or changes to projections over time. As a result of these and other factors, our stock and note prices may fluctuate dramatically.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

Our fixed rate debt is made up primarily of our \$350.0 million in principal of our 6.25% senior notes due 2015, \$125.9 million in principal of our 7.731% senior subordinated notes due 2017, and \$130.0 million in principal of our 7.0% senior notes due 2014. Except in limited circumstances, we do not have an obligation to prepay our fixed-rate debt prior to maturity and, as a result, interest rate risk and changes in fair value should not have a significant impact on fixed rate of borrowings unless we would be required to refinance such debt.

Our operations are interest rate sensitive. As overall housing demand is adversely affected by increases in interest rates, a significant increase in mortgage interest rates may negatively affect the ability of homebuyers to secure adequate financing. Higher interest rates could adversely affect our revenues, gross margins and net earnings/(losses) and would also increase our variable rate borrowing costs, if any.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

In order to ensure that the information we must disclose in our filings with the SEC is recorded, processed, summarized and reported on a timely basis, we have developed and implemented disclosure controls and procedures. Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, has reviewed and evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e), as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q (the Evaluation Date). Based on such evaluation, management has concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that information that is required to be disclosed in the reports we file under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or furnished under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

During the fiscal quarter covered by this Form 10-Q, there have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or that are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Hancock Settlement. As previously reported on Form 8-K filed on September 29, 2008, we were awarded more than \$111 million in a unanimous jury verdict in Federal District Court in Phoenix against Greg Hancock, a former division president of the Phoenix Division. In 2001, Mr. Hancock sold the assets of his homebuilding business to us, at which time he concurrently entered into an employment agreement with us. The jury found, among other things, that Mr. Hancock breached contractual and fiduciary duties owed to us and committed fraud against us by engaging in side businesses that stole corporate opportunities and goodwill belonging to us while he was president of our Phoenix division. Subsequent to the jury award, Mr. Hancock filed a voluntary petition for Bankruptcy, under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Arizona; Case No. 2:08-bk-14253-GBN), which had the effect of staying post-trial motions and appeals as well as our collection efforts. In April 2009, we entered into a settlement agreement with Mr. Hancock. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, Meritage will receive approximately \$2,050,000 in cash, together with other property and partnership interests currently owned by Mr. Hancock. Mr. Hancock may also elect to pay us \$5 million in cash as total satisfaction of this settlement agreement. This settlement agreement is subject to approval by the Bankruptcy Court as part of Mr. Hancock's overall reorganization plan. Because the timing and ultimate amount of any collections remain subject to Bankruptcy Court approval, the ultimate outcome cannot be reasonably predicted at this time and we have not recorded any receivables related to this award.

South Edge Litigation. On December 5, 2008, we were named as a defendant in a lawsuit titled JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association v. Meritage Homes of Nevada, Inc. and Meritage Homes Corporation (Case No. 2:08-cv- 1717) in the U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada (Nevada Action) and in a separate but related lawsuit titled JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association v. Meritage Homes of Nevada, Inc. and Meritage Homes Corporation (Case No. 08 CIV 10522) in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York (NY Action).

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

In the NY Action, the plaintiff alleges that we are severally liable under a completion guaranty executed by us in favor of the plaintiff related to a 1,940 acre planned community located in Henderson, Nevada. JPMorgan filed seven separate but substantively identical lawsuits against the joint venture partners and their parents. We hold an approximate 3.56% ownership interest in the joint venture. The joint venture entered into a \$535 million credit facility with the plaintiff and used the proceeds to acquire the real property and complete certain improvements and pay expenses related to the project. The joint venture subsequently defaulted on certain of its obligations under its credit facility. The complaint alleges that the joint venture members are severally liable under their individual completion guaranties, which allegedly include obligations to complete development of the project and pay certain costs, obligations and damages relating thereto. Meritage and the joint venture members dispute the plaintiff's allegations that any amounts are owed under the completion guaranties. Meritage has additional defenses that it performed all of its obligations under the Operating Agreement and tendered full performance for its only remaining property takedown, which would have fulfilled all of Meritage's obligations to JPMorgan. Meritage's tender of performance was rejected by both the joint venture and JPMorgan. Meritage and the joint venture members successfully petitioned the New York Court to transfer the NY Action to Nevada. We intend to vigorously defend against this claim.

In a related matter, a Motion to Compel Arbitration was filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada on June 22, 2009 by Focus South Group on behalf of itself and the joint venture referred to in the immediately preceding paragraph. Focus South Group alleges it has the authority to bring claims against the builder members on behalf of South Edge and is seeking specific performance of the member's respective obligations under the Operating Agreement and the builder members' agreements to acquire lots from the joint venture. Meritage and the builder members dispute Focus's claims and intend to vigorously defend against the claims. Meritage has additional defenses to this action as Meritage performed all of its obligations under the joint venture agreement and was the only builder in the joint venture to tender its performance to acquire all remaining lots it had contracted to acquire from the joint venture. The Court granted the order and an arbitration panel was selected on July 30, 2009. The arbitration hearing is scheduled for February 22, 2010.

Table of Contents

In the Nevada Action, the court has dismissed certain of plaintiff's claims and it is anticipated that further action in this matter will be slowed or fully stayed pending the outcome of the Focus arbitration.

We are involved in various routine legal proceedings incidental to our business, some of which are covered by insurance. About half of these matters relate to home construction defects and general customer claims. With respect to the majority of pending litigation matters, our ultimate legal and financial responsibility, if any, cannot be estimated with certainty and, in most cases, any potential losses related to these matters are not considered probable. We believe that none of these matters will have a material adverse impact upon our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, **Item 1A. Risk Factors** in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 and the additional factors discussed in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2009, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and our Quarter Reports on Form 10-Q are not the only risks facing our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may eventually prove to materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities:

We did not acquire any of our own equity securities during the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

In the first nine months of 2009, we entered into an Exchange Agreement pursuant to which we issued approximately 783,000 shares of our common stock in exchange for \$24.1 million aggregate principal amount of our 7.731% senior subordinated notes due 2017. The Common Stock issued in connection with the exchange was issued pursuant to the exemption provided by Section 3(a)(9) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On February 21, 2006, we announced that the Board of Directors approved a new stock repurchase program, authorizing the expenditure of up to \$100 million to repurchase shares of our common stock. On August 14, 2006, we announced that the Board of Directors authorized an additional \$100 million under this program. There is no stated expiration date for this program. As of September 30, 2009, we had approximately \$130.2 million available of the authorized amount to repurchase shares under this program.

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

We have not declared cash dividends for the past ten years, nor do we intend to declare cash dividends in the foreseeable future. We plan to retain our cash to finance the continuing development of the business. Future cash dividends, if any, will depend upon financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements, compliance with certain restrictive debt covenants, as well as other factors considered relevant by our Board of Directors. Certain of our debt instruments contain restrictions on the payment of cash dividends. Reference is made to Part II, Item 7 of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 under the heading Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources and Part II, Item 8, Financial Statements, Note 6 - Senior and Senior Subordinated Notes.

Reference is made to Note 6 of the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. This note discusses limitations on our ability to pay dividends.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Exhibits**

| Exhibit Number | Description | Page or Method of Filing |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| 3.1 | Restated Articles of Incorporation of Meritage Homes Corporation | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 of Form 8-K dated June 20, 2002 |
| 3.1.1 | Amendment to Articles of Incorporation of Meritage Homes Corporation | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Form 8-K dated September 15, 2004 |
| 3.1.2 | Amendment to Articles of Incorporation of Meritage Homes Corporation | Incorporated by reference to Appendix A of the Company's Definitive 2006 Proxy Statement |
| 3.1.3 | Amendment to Articles of Incorporation of Meritage Homes Corporation | Incorporated by reference to Appendix B of the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement for the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders |
| 3.1.4 | Amendment to Articles of Incorporation of Meritage Homes Corporation | Incorporated by reference to Appendix A of the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 9, 2009 |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of Meritage Homes Corporation | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Form 8-K dated August 21, 2007 |
| 3.2.1 | Amendment to Amended and Restated Bylaws of Meritage Homes Corporation | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Form 8-K filed on December 24, 2008 |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certificate of Steven J. Hilton, Chief Executive Officer | Filed herewith |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certificate of Larry W. Seay, Chief Financial Officer | Filed herewith |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer | Filed herewith |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized this 6th day of November 2009.

MERITAGE HOMES CORPORATION,
a Maryland Corporation

By: */s/ LARRY W. SEAY*
Larry W. Seay
*Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and
Chief Accounting Officer (Duly Authorized Officer and
Principal Financial Officer)*

Table of Contents

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

- 3.1 Restated Articles of Incorporation of Meritage Homes Corporation
- 3.1.1 Amendment to Articles of Incorporation of Meritage Homes Corporation
- 3.1.2 Amendment to Articles of Incorporation of Meritage Homes Corporation
- 3.1.3 Amendment to Articles of Incorporation of Meritage Homes Corporation
- 3.1.4 Amendment to Articles of Incorporation of Meritage Homes Corporation
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Meritage Homes Corporation
- 3.2.1 Amendment to Amended and Restated Bylaws of Meritage Homes Corporation
- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certificate of Steven J. Hilton, Chief Executive Officer
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certificate of Larry W. Seay, Chief Financial Officer
- 32.1 Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer