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## LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS



See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS

## (Unaudited)
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## LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## (Unaudited)

|  | Nine months ended September 30, 20062005 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 1,935,194 |  | 4,524 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Net (increase) decrease in loans | (13,285,419 | ) | 6,929,364 |  |
| Maturities and prepayments of investments | 35,226,616 |  | 35,013,396 |  |
| Purchase of investment securities | (45,048,007 | ) | (48,935,454 | ) |
| Proceeds from sale of investment securities | 21,631,222 |  | 140,540 |  |
| Net cash paid in FMB acquisition | (8,023,721 | ) |  |  |
| Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment and foreclosed assets | 588,422 |  | 387,132 |  |
| Net cash received in acquisition of Great Bend branches |  |  | 30,410,720 |  |
| Purchases of premises and equipment, net | (2,825,405 | ) | (1,020,113 | ) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (11,736,292 | ) | 22,925,585 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash flows from financing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Net increase (decrease) in deposits | 5,323,486 |  | (7,127,815 | ) |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advance borrowings |  |  | 3,500,000 |  |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advance repayments | (1,027,576 | ) | (20,867,504 | ) |
| Federal Home Loan Bank line of credit borrowings, net | 4,400,000 |  | (3,200,000 | ) |
| Proceeds from other borrowings | 8,862,098 |  | 3,450,000 |  |
| Repayments on other borrowings | (7,153,240 | ) | (2,370,000 | ) |
| Purchase of treasury stock |  |  | (531 | ) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock under stock option plans | 9,491 |  | 196,088 |  |
| Tax benefit related to stock option plans | 2,503 |  | 37,344 |  |
| Payment of dividends | (1,136,695 | ) | (1,078,451 | ) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 9,280,067 |  | (27,460,869 | ) |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (521,031 | ) | (10,750 | ) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 21,490,512 |  | 7,845,438 |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 20,969,481 |  | \$ 7,834 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash paid during period for interest | 9,990,000 |  | \$ 6,086, |  |
| Cash paid during period for taxes | \$ 560,000 |  | \$ |  |
| Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer of loans to real estate owned | \$ 160,000 |  | \$ 534,0 |  |
| FMB acquisition: |  |  |  |  |
| Fair value of liabilities assumed | \$ 131,988,000 |  | \$ |  |
| Fair value of assets acquired, including goodwill | \$ 123,964,000 |  | \$ |  |
| Acquisition of branches: |  |  |  |  |
| Fair value of liabilities assumed | \$ |  | \$ 33,29 |  |
| Fair value of assets acquired, including goodwill | \$ |  | \$ 2,888 |  |

## See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

## LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(Unaudited)


See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

## LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## 1. Interim Financial Statements

The condensed consolidated financial statements of Landmark Bancorp, Inc. (the Company ) and subsidiary have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q. To the extent that information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements are contained in or consistent with the consolidated audited financial statements incorporated by reference in the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, such information and footnotes have not been duplicated herein. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, considered necessary for a fair presentation of financial statements have been reflected herein. The December 31, 2005, condensed consolidated balance sheet has been derived from the audited consolidated balance sheet as of that date. The results of the interim periods ended September 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the year ending December 31, 2006 and include the results of operations of First Manhattan Bancorporation, Inc. ( FMB ) beginning on January 1, 2006.

## 2. Acquisition of First Manhattan Bancorporation, Inc.

The Company completed the acquisition of all of the outstanding equity of FMB effective January 1, 2006. FMB s subsidiary thrift was merged into the Company s wholly-owned subsidiary, Landmark National Bank, immediately following the acquisition. This acquisition expanded our market share in Manhattan and further expanded the Company s presence in higher-growth market areas. At December 31, 2005, FMB had total assets of $\$ 129$ million, including loans and deposits of $\$ 109$ million and $\$ 107$ million, respectively. The Company paid cash in the amount of $\$ 12.9$ million for all of the outstanding stock of FMB. In connection with the acquisition, the Company recorded a core deposit intangible asset of $\$ 2.6$ million, which is being amortized on an accelerated basis over 10 years. The acquisition cost in excess of the net assets and identifiable intangible assets acquired, has been recorded as goodwill of $\$ 5.5$ million, none of which is deductible for tax purposes. Pro forma information, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, as if the acquisition was consummated January 1, 2005, is as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

|  | Three months ended <br> September 30, 2005 | Nine months ended <br> September 30, 2005 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Interest income | $\$$ | $\mathbf{7 , 6 0 1}$ | $\$$ |
| Net earnings | 1,335 | 3,245 |  |
| Basic earnings per share | 0.60 | 1.46 |  |
| Diluted earnings per share | 0.60 | 1.45 |  |
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## 3. Stock Based Compensation

In December 2004, The Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 123 (revised), Shared-Based Payment (123R). The revision disallows the expense recognition alternatives permitted in the original statement and requires entities to recognize stock-based compensation cost in their statements of earnings. The revision contains additional guidance in several areas including award modifications and forfeitures, measuring fair value, classifying an award as equity or as a liability, and attributing compensation cost to reporting periods. It also contains additional disclosure requirements. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R on January 1, 2006, the Company recorded the fair value of options issued under the plan as expense in accordance with SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock Based Compensation. The Company s adoption of the revised Statement on January 1, 2006, did not have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

The Company has a stock option plan, the purpose of which is to provide additional incentive to certain officers, directors, and key employees by facilitating their purchase of a stock interest in the Company. The plan is administered by the board of directors who selects employees to whom options are granted and the number of shares granted. The option price may not be less than $100 \%$ of the fair market value of the shares on the date of the grant, and no option shall be exercisable after the expiration of ten years from the grant date. In the case of any employee who owns more than $10 \%$ of the outstanding common stock at the time the option is granted, the option price may not be less than $110 \%$ of the fair market value of the shares on the date of the grant, and the option shall not be exercisable after the expiration of ten years from the grant date. The Company intends to utilize authorized, but un-issued shares to satisfy option exercises. The stock-based compensation cost that has been recognized was $\$ 77,000$ in the first nine months of 2006 and the first nine months of 2005 . Accordingly, a $\$ 26,000$ tax benefit was recognized for the first nine months of 2006 and the first nine months of 2005. This compensation expense is recognized over the option vesting period, which is typically four years.

In determining compensation cost, the Black-Scholes option-pricing model is used to estimate the fair value of options on date of grant. The Black-Scholes model is a closed-end model that uses the assumptions outlined below. Expected volatility is based on historical volatility of the Company s stock and a consideration of other factors. The Company uses historical exercise behavior and other qualitative factors to estimate the expected term of the options, which represents the period of time that the options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate for the expected term is based on U.S. Treasury rates in effect at the time of grant. The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant. The fair value of options granted were estimated utilizing the following assumptions:

|  | Nine months <br> ended September 30, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dividend rate | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| Volatility | $5.0 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| Risk-free interest rate | $19.1 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ |
| Expected lives | $4.9 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| Fair value per option at grant date | 5 years | 5 years |
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A summary of option activity during the first nine months of 2006 is presented below.
$\left.\begin{array}{llllll} & & \begin{array}{l}\text { Weighted } \\ \text { average } \\ \text { exercise } \\ \text { price }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Weighted } \\ \text { average } \\ \text { remaining } \\ \text { contractual } \\ \text { term }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Aggregate } \\ \text { intrinsic } \\ \text { value }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Shares } & 127,340 & \$ & 23.73 & 7.38 \text { years } & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}\end{array}\right]$

Additional information about stock options exercised is presented below.

|  | Nine months <br> ended September 30, <br> 3005 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Intrinsic value of options exercised | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  |
| Cash received from options exercised | $\$$ | 16,852 | $\$$ | 305,925 |
| Tax benefit realized from options exercised | $\$$ | 9,491 | $\$$ | 196,088 |

As of September 30, 2006, there was $\$ 390,000$ of total unrecognized compensation cost related to outstanding options. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 4 years. The total fair value (at vest date) of shares vested during the nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was $\$ 103,000$ and $\$ 93,000$ respectively.

The number of shares available for future grants at September 30, 2006 was $165,620$.

## 4. Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share have been computed based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings per share include the effect of all potential common shares outstanding during each period. Earnings and dividends per share for all prior periods presented have been adjusted to give effect to the $5 \%$ stock dividend paid by the Company in December 2005.

The shares used in the calculation of basic and diluted income per share are shown below:

|  | Three months ended <br> September 30, | Nine months ended <br> September 30, |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2006 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding (basic) | $2,229,258$ | $2,228,699$ | $2,228,928$ | $2,220,959$ |
| Dilutive stock options | 9,730 | 7,925 | 9,752 | 10,807 |
| Weighted average common shares (diluted) | $2,238,988$ | $2,236,624$ | $2,238,680$ | $2,231,766$ |
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## 5. Comprehensive Income

The Company s other comprehensive income consists of the unrealized holding gains and losses on available-for-sale securities and an unrealized gain on an interest rate swap as shown below.


## 6. Other Intangible Assets

The following table presents information about the Company s other intangible assets:

|  | September 30, 2006 Gross carrying amount |  | Accumulated amortization |  | December 31, 2005 Gross carrying amount |  | Accumulated amortization |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amortized intangible assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Core deposit premium | \$ | 5,396,065 | \$ | (1,451,946 |  | 2,818,602 | \$ | (774,588 | ) |
| Mortgage servicing rights |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 6,180,506 | \$ | (1,918,601 |  | 3,594,268 | \$ | (1,176,055 | ) |

Aggregate amortization expense for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, was $\$ 259,000$ and $\$ 117,000$, respectively. Aggregate amortization expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, was $\$ 783,000$ and $\$ 300,000$, respectively. The following depicts estimated amortization expense for the remainder of 2006 and in successive years ending December 31:

| Year | Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2006 | $\$ 2,090,000$ |
| 2007 | 972,000 |
| 2008 | 697,000 |
| 2009 | 599,000 |
| 2010 | 500,000 |
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The mortgage servicing rights correspond to loans serviced by the Company for others with outstanding principal balances of $\$ 103.0$ million and $\$ 107.2$ million at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. Gross service fee income related to such loans was $\$ 66,000$ and $\$ 70,000$ for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, respectively, and was $\$ 201,000$ and $\$ 209,000$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, respectively. The income is included in fees and service charges in the condensed consolidated statements of earnings.

The following is an analysis of the changes in mortgage servicing rights:

|  | Three months ended <br> September 30, 2006 |  | 2005 |  | Nine months ended September 30, 2006 |  | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at beginning of period | 344,580 |  | \$ 416,377 |  | 374,199 |  | 44 |  |
| Additions | 8,517 |  | 25,274 |  | 49,133 |  | 76,394 |  |
| Amortization | (35,311 | ) | (45,380 | ) | (105,546 | ) | (126,45 | ) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 317,786 |  | \$ 396,271 |  | \$ 317,786 |  | \$ 39 |  |

## 7. Impact of Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments - an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140 . The Statement permits fair value remeasurement for certain hybrid financial instruments containing embedded derivatives, and clarifies the derivative accounting requirements for interest and principal-only strip securities and interests in securitized financial assets. It also clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives and eliminates a previous prohibition on qualifying special-purpose entities from holding certain derivative financial instruments. For calendar year companies, this statement is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after January 1, 2007. The Company does not expect that adoption of the Statement will have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140 . The Statement specifies under what situations servicing assets and servicing liabilities must be recognized. It requires these assets and liabilities to be initially measured at fair value and specifies acceptable measurement methods subsequent to their recognition. Separate presentation in the financial statements and additional disclosures are also required. For calendar year companies, this statement is effective beginning January 1, 2007. The Company does not expect that adoption of the Statement will have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.
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Company does not expect that adoption of the Staff Position will have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting For Uncertainty in Income Taxes. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. This interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. This interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is evaluating any impact that the adoption of this Interpretation may have on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, which provides guidance on the consideration of the effects of prior year misstatements in quantifying current year misstatements for the purpose of a materiality assessment Prior year misstatements must be considered in quantifying misstatements in current year financial statements and if the effect of those misstatements is material to the current year, the prior year financial statements must be corrected even though such revision previously was and continues to be immaterial to the prior year financial statements. The Company does not expect that adoption of the Bulletin will have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements . This Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. It does not require any new fair value measurements. For calendar year companies, the Statement is effective beginning January 1, 2008. The Company does not expect that adoption of the Statement will have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 06-4, Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements , was ratified. This EITF Issue addresses accounting for separate agreements which split life insurance policy benefits between an employer and employee. The Issue requires the employer to recognize a liability for future benefits payable to the employee under these agreements. The effects of applying this Issue must be recognized through either a change in accounting principle through an adjustment to equity or through the retrospective application to all prior periods. For calendar year companies, the Issue is effective beginning January 1, 2008. The Company does not expect the adoption of the Issue to have a material effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

## 8. Other Events

In August 2006, the Company began operations at a new branch location in Topeka, Kansas.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview. Landmark Bancorp, Inc. is a bank holding company incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware and is engaged in the banking business through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Landmark National Bank. Landmark Bancorp is listed on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol LARK . Landmark National Bank is dedicated to providing quality financial and banking services to its local communities. Landmark National Bank originates commercial, commercial real estate, one-to-four family residential mortgage loans, consumer loans, multi-family residential mortgage loans, and home equity loans.

Effective January 1, 2006 we completed our acquisition of FMB, which was a thrift holding company. Immediately following the acquisition, the Company merged the thrift into Landmark National Bank. This acquisition expanded our market share in Manhattan and further expanded the Company s presence in higher-growth market areas including Lawrence and Junction City, Kansas. At December 31, 2005, FMB had total assets of $\$ 129$ million, including loans and deposits of $\$ 109$ million and $\$ 107$ million, respectively. We paid cash in the amount of $\$ 12.9$ million for all of the outstanding stock of FMB. In connection with the acquisition, the Company recorded a core deposit intangible asset of $\$ 2.6$ million, which is being amortized on an accelerated basis over 10 years, and goodwill of $\$ 5.5$ million, none of which is deductible for tax purposes.

Our results of operations depend primarily on net interest income, which is the difference between interest income from interest-earning assets and interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest income is affected by regulatory, economic and competitive factors that influence interest rates, loan demand and deposit flows. In addition, we are subject to interest rate risk to the degree that our interest-earning assets mature or reprice at different times, or at different speeds, than our interest-bearing liabilities. Our results of operations are also affected by non-interest income, such as service charges, loan fees and gains and losses from the sale of newly originated loans and investments. Our principal operating expenses, aside from interest expense, consist of compensation and employee benefits, occupancy costs, federal deposit insurance costs, data processing expenses and provision for loan losses.

We are significantly impacted by prevailing economic conditions including federal monetary and fiscal policies and federal regulations of financial institutions. Deposit balances are influenced by numerous factors such as competing personal investments, the level of personal income and the personal rate of savings within our market areas. Factors influencing lending activities include the demand for housing and the interest rate pricing competition from other lending institutions.

Critical Accounting Policies. Critical accounting policies are those which are both most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations, and require our management s most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. Our critical accounting policies relate to the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of investment securities, accounting for income taxes and the accounting related to business acquisitions, all of which involve significant judgment by our management.

We perform periodic and systematic detailed reviews of our lending portfolio to assess overall collectability. The level of the allowance for loan losses reflects our estimate of the collectability of the loan portfolio. While these estimates are based on substantive methods for determining allowance
requirements, nevertheless, actual outcomes may differ significantly from estimated results. Additional explanation of the methodologies used in establishing this reserve is provided in the Asset Quality and Distribution section.

We report our investment securities at estimated fair values based on published market ascertainable values, which are obtained from independent sources. We perform periodic reviews of the fair value of investment securities to determine if any declines in value might be considered other than temporary. Our most recent review showed that the decrease in fair value of the securities, resulting in an unrealized loss position, was related to changes in interest rates. None of the unrealized losses are related to credit deterioration. The Company has the ability and intent to hold these securities until market values recover, including up to the maturity date. Although we believe that our estimates of the fair values of investment securities to be reasonable, economic and market factors may affect the amounts that will ultimately be realized from these investments.

The objectives of accounting for income taxes are to recognize the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in an entity s financial statements or tax returns. Judgment is required in assessing the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our financial statements or tax returns. Fluctuations in the actual outcome of these future tax consequences, including the effects of IRS examinations and examinations by other state agencies, could materially impact our financial position and results of operations.

We have completed several business and asset acquisitions which have generated significant amounts of goodwill and intangible assets and related amortization. The values assigned to goodwill and intangibles, as well as their related useful lives, are subject to judgment and estimation by the Company. Goodwill and intangibles related to acquisitions are determined and based on purchase price allocations. Valuation of intangible assets is generally based on the estimated cash flows related to those assets, while the initial value assigned to goodwill is the residual of the purchase price over the fair value of all identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed. If the carrying value of the goodwill exceeded the implied fair value of the goodwill, an impairment loss would be recorded in an amount equal to that excess. Performing such a discounted cash flow analysis involves the use of estimates and assumptions. Useful lives are determined based on the expected future period of the benefit of the asset, the assessment of which considers various characteristics of the asset, including the historical cash flows. Due to the number of estimates involved related to the allocation of purchase price and determining the appropriate useful lives of intangible assets, we have identified purchase accounting as a critical accounting policy.

Summary of Results. Net earnings for the three months ended September 30, 2006, increased $\$ 230,000$, or $18.7 \%$, to $\$ 1.5$ million as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2005. Our improved earnings were attributed primarily to our acquisition of FMB along with continued improvement in our net interest margin. The acquisition allowed for continued growth in non-interest income areas such as fees and service charges and gains on sale of loans. At the same time, we were able to realize some additional cost savings associated with the acquisition during the third quarter of 2006.

Net earnings for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, increased $\$ 1.5$ million, or $50.0 \%$, to $\$ 4.5$ million as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Our improved earnings were attributed primarily to our acquisition of FMB along with continued improvement in our net interest margin. The acquisition allowed for continued growth in non-interest income areas such as fees and service charges and gains on sale of loans. At the same time, we were able to realize some cost savings associated with the acquisition during the first nine months of 2006. In addition, we realized gains on sale of other assets of approximately $\$ 717,000$, which was partially offset by losses of $\$ 300,000$ on the sale of investments during 2006. During 2005, we realized gains on the repayments of FHLB borrowings of $\$ 407,000$.

The three months ended September 30, 2006 resulted in diluted earnings per share of $\$ 0.65$ compared to $\$ 0.55$ for the same period in 2005. Return on average assets was $0.98 \%$ for the period compared to $1.08 \%$ for the same period in 2005 . Return on average stockholders equity was $12.60 \%$ for the period compared to $11.21 \%$ for the same period in 2005.

The nine months ended September 30, 2006 resulted in diluted earnings per share of $\$ 2.00$ compared to $\$ 1.34$ for the same period in 2005. Return on average assets was $1.00 \%$ for the period compared to $0.89 \%$ for the same period in 2005 . Return on average stockholders equity was $13.22 \%$ for the period compared to $9.33 \%$ for the same period in 2005.

The following table summarizes net earnings per share and key performance measures for the periods presented.

|  | Three months ended September 30, |  |  | Nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net earnings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.66 |  | \$ 0.55 |  | \$ 2.01 |  | \$ |  |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.65 |  | \$ 0.55 |  | \$ 2.00 |  | \$ 1. |  |
| Earnings ratios: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Return on average assets (1) | 0.98 | \% | 1.08 | \% | 1.00 | \% | 0.89 | \% |
| Return on average equity (1) | 12.60 | \% | 11.21 | \% | 13.22 | \% | 9.33 | \% |
| Dividend payout ratio | 26.15 | \% | 29.44 | \% | 25.37 | \% | 36.25 | \% |
| Net interest margin (1) | 3.51 | \% | 3.20 | \% | 3.45 | \% | 3.12 | \% |

(1) The ratio has been annualized and is not necessarily indicative of the results for the entire year.

Interest Income. Interest income for the three months ended September 30, 2006, increased $\$ 3.1$ million, or $54.6 \%$, to $\$ 8.8$ million from $\$ 5.7$ million in the same period of 2005 , resulting from increased interest income on both investment securities and loans. Average loans for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 increased to $\$ 396.8$ million from $\$ 274.7$ million for the quarter ended September 30, 2005. The increase in average loans was primarily due to the $\$ 109.4$ million in loans acquired in the FMB acquisition. Additionally, the rise in interest rates experienced during the past year contributed to the increased interest income. As a result, interest income on loans increased $\$ 2.9$ million, or $64.6 \%$, to $\$ 7.3$ million for the quarter ended September 30, 2006. Average investment securities decreased from $\$ 147.5$ million for the quarter ended September 30, 2005, to $\$ 136.5$ million for the quarter ended September 30, 2006. Even with the decrease in average investments for the comparable periods, interest income on investment securities increased $\$ 271,000$, or $21.8 \%$, to $\$ 1.5$ million for the third quarter of 2006 , as compared to the same period of 2005. The increase in interest rates, which resulted in yields on our investments purchased being higher than the yields on the investments which either matured or were sold during the past year, was responsible for the increase in investment income.

Interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, increased $\$ 9.0$ million, or $55.2 \%$, to $\$ 25.3$ million from $\$ 16.3$ million in the same period of 2005, resulting largely from increased interest income on investment securities and loans. Average loans for the first nine months of 2006 increased to $\$ 393.8$ million from $\$ 276.2$ million for the same period in 2005 . The increase in average loans was primarily due to the $\$ 109.4$ million in loans acquired in the FMB acquisition. Additionally, the rise in interest rates experienced during the past year contributed to the increased interest income. As a result, interest income on loans increased $\$ 8.0$ million, or $62.3 \%$, to $\$ 20.8$ million for the first nine months of 2006. Average investment securities increased from $\$ 140.1$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, to $\$ 144.8$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Corresponding with the increase in average investments for the comparable periods, interest income on investment securities increased $\$ 983,000$, or $28.9 \%$, to $\$ 4.4$ million for the first nine months of 2006, as compared to the same period of 2005. Also contributing to the increased investment income was the increase in interest rates, which resulted in yields on our investments purchased being higher than the yields on the investments which either matured or were sold during the past year.

Interest Expense. Interest expense during the three months ended September 30, 2006, increased $\$ 1.8$ million, or $75.2 \%$, as compared to the same period of 2005. For the three months ended September 30, 2006, interest expense on deposits increased $\$ 1.4$ million, or $96.3 \%$. The increase in interest expense on deposits resulted from the addition of approximately $\$ 106.8$ million in deposits that we acquired through the FMB acquisition, as well as from the repricing of deposits due to the rise in interest rates. Average borrowings for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 increased to $\$ 107.8$ million from $\$ 79.8$ million for the quarter ended September 30, 2005. Corresponding with the increase in average borrowings for the comparable periods, interest expense on borrowings increased $\$ 369,000$, or $41.1 \%$, due to increased average borrowings as well as increased interest rates.

Interest expense during the nine months ended September 30, 2006, increased $\$ 4.8$ million, or $72.3 \%$, as compared to the same period of 2005. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, interest expense on deposits increased $\$ 3.9$ million, or $99.0 \%$. The increase in interest expense on deposits resulted from the addition of approximately $\$ 106.8$ million in deposits that we acquired through the FMB acquisition, as well as from the repricing of deposits due to the rise in interest rates. Average borrowings for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 increased to $\$ 105.0$ million from $\$ 92.7$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Corresponding with the increase in average borrowings for the comparable periods, interest expense on borrowings increased $\$ 861,000$, or $32.5 \%$, due to increased average borrowings as well as increased interest rates.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income for the three months ended September 30, 2006, totaled $\$ 4.7$ million, increasing $\$ 1.4$ million, or $40.2 \%$, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2005. This increase resulted primarily from the increased level of average interest earning assets associated with the January 1, 2006 FMB acquisition. Average earning assets increased during the third quarter of 2006, increasing to $\$ 533.3$ million from $\$ 422.2$ million for the third quarter of 2005. Also contributing to the improvement in net interest income was an increase in our net interest margin. The net interest margin was $3.51 \%$ for the third quarter of 2006 , up from $3.20 \%$ during the third quarter of 2005 .

Net interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, totaled $\$ 13.9$ million, increasing $\$ 4.2$ million, or $43.5 \%$, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2005. This increase resulted primarily from the increased level of average interest earning assets associated with the January 1, 2006 FMB acquisition. Average earning assets increased during the first nine months of 2006, increasing to $\$ 538.6$ million from $\$ 416.3$ million for the first nine months of 2005. Also contributing to the improvement in net interest income was an increase in our net interest margin. The net interest margin was $3.45 \%$ for the first nine months of 2006 , up from $3.12 \%$ during the first nine months of 2005 .

Provision for Loan Losses. We maintain, and our Board of Directors monitors, an allowance for losses on loans. The allowance is established based upon management s periodic evaluation of known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio, review of significant individual loans and collateral, review of delinquent loans, past loss experience, adverse situations that may affect the borrowers ability to repay, current and expected market conditions, and other factors management deems important. Determining the appropriate level of reserves involves a high degree of management judgment and is based upon historical and projected losses in the loan portfolio and the collateral value of specifically identified problem loans. Additionally, allowance strategies and policies are subject to periodic review and revision in response to a number of factors, including current market conditions, actual loss experience and management s expectations.
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The provision for loan losses for the three months ended September 30, 2006, was $\$ 80,000$, compared to a provision of $\$ 100,000$ during the three months ended September 30, 2005. Our regular review of the loan portfolio prompted a decrease in our provision, primarily as a result of improvement in the asset quality of the commercial loan portfolio. Our review also included the analysis of the FMB loans acquired along with the FMB allowance for loan losses acquired in the amount of $\$ 891,000$. One measure of the adequacy of the allowance for estimated losses on loans is the ratio of the allowance to the total loan portfolio. At September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, the allowance for loan losses was $\$ 4.1$ million and $\$ 3.2$ million, or $1.0 \%$ and $1.1 \%$, respectively, of gross loans outstanding. The provision for loan losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, was $\$ 155,000$ compared to a provision of $\$ 325,000$ for the same period in 2005. For further discussion of the provision for loan losses, refer to the Asset Quality and Distribution section.

Non-interest Income. Non-interest income increased $\$ 99,000$, or $6.2 \%$, for the three months ended September 30, 2006, to $\$ 1.7$ million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2005. This improvement was primarily the result of a $\$ 184,000$, or $113.2 \%$, increase of gains on sale of loans, and an increase in fees and service charges of $\$ 237,000$, or $26.7 \%$, both of which are attributable to volume increases associated with the FMB acquisition. Also contributing to the increased non-interest income during the third quarter was a $\$ 95,000$ increase in bank owned life insurance income, which resulted from the purchase of additional policies totaling $\$ 7.5$ million in March 2006. These improvements more than offset the lack of a $\$ 407,000$ gain on prepayment of Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings recognized in the third quarter of 2005.

Non-interest income increased $\$ 1.4$ million or $35.6 \%$, for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, to $\$ 5.3$ million compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2005. This improvement was primarily the result of a $\$ 399,000$, or $74.8 \%$, increase of gains on sale of loans, and an increase in fees and service charges of $\$ 704,000$, or $27.5 \%$, both of which are attributable to volume increases associated with the FMB acquisition. Additionally, total non-interest income included $\$ 717,000$ in gains recognized with the sale of other assets, primarily our previous headquarters located at 800 Poyntz. Also contributing to the increased non-interest income during the first nine months of 2006 was a $\$ 211,000$ increase in bank owned life insurance income, which resulted from the purchase of additional policies totaling $\$ 7.5$ million in March 2006. Partially offsetting these increases were losses of $\$ 300,000$ on the sale of investments during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to a gain of $\$ 41,000$ for 2005. The losses incurred during 2006 were the result of repositioning our investment portfolio by selling some relatively short term, lower yielding investments and purchasing longer term, higher yielding investments.

|  | Three months ended September 30, 2006 |  | 2005 |  | Nine months ended September 30, 2006 |  | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-interest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fees and service charges | \$ | 1,124,057 | \$ | 886,897 | \$ | 3,259,392 | \$ | 2,555,851 |
| Gains on sale of loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gains (losses) on sale of investments, net |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gains on repayments of FHLB borrowings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gains (losses) on sale of other assets |  |  | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank owned life insurance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total non-interest income | \$ | 1,683,077 | \$ | 1,584,377 | \$ | 5,315,943 | \$ | 3,921,812 |

Non-interest Expense. Non-interest expense increased $\$ 1.2$ million, or $40.3 \%$, to $\$ 4.3$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2006, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2005, resulting primarily from the impact of the acquisition of FMB and the late August 2005 acquisition of two branches in Great Bend, Kansas. While we have made significant progress achieving cost savings by consolidating our personnel, operations and facilities, the impact of the acquisition included a net increase in personnel, equipment and facilities and the number of accounts being processed. Accordingly the impact of the acquisition included increases in compensation and benefits of $\$ 573,000$, occupancy and equipment of $\$ 201,000$, amortization of acquired intangibles of $\$ 142,000$ and data processing costs of $\$ 53,000$.

Non-interest expense increased $\$ 3.8$ million, or $42.1 \%$, to $\$ 12.8$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2005, resulting primarily from the impact of the acquisition of FMB and two branches in Great Bend, Kansas. While we have made significant progress achieving cost savings by consolidating our personnel, operations and facilities, the impact of the acquisition included a net increase in personnel, equipment and facilities and the number of accounts being processed. Accordingly the impact of the acquisition included increases in compensation and benefits of $\$ 1.9$ million, occupancy and equipment of $\$ 623,000$, amortization of acquired intangibles of $\$ 483,000$ and data processing costs of $\$ 132,000$.

|  | Three months ended <br> September 30, <br> 2006 |  |  | Nine months ended <br> September 30, <br> 2006 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Income Tax Expense. Income tax expense increased $\$ 4,000$, or $0.7 \%$, from $\$ 544,000$ for the three months ended September 30, 2005, to $\$ 548,000$ for the three months ended September 30, 2006. The increase in taxable income for the third quarter of 2006 as compared to the third quarter of 2005 was offset by the reduction in the effective tax rate for the third quarter of 2006, which decreased to $27.3 \%$ from $30.6 \%$ in the third quarter of 2005 . The decrease in the effective tax rate was the result of an increase in the utilization of tax exempt municipal bonds and bank owned life insurance.

Income tax expense increased $\$ 502,000$, or $37.8 \%$, from $\$ 1.3$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, to $\$ 1.8$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The increase in income tax expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, resulted from the increase in taxable income for the first nine months of 2006 as compared to the first nine months of 2005 . The effective tax rate for the first nine months of 2006 decreased to $29.0 \%$ from $30.7 \%$ during the first nine months of 2005 as a result of an increase in the utilization of tax exempt municipal bonds and bank owned life insurance.

Asset Quality and Distribution. Total assets increased to $\$ 607.9$ million at September 30, 2006, compared to $\$ 465.1$ million at December 31, 2005, primarily due to the FMB acquisition. Our primary ongoing sources of funds are deposits, proceeds from principal and interest payments on loans and investment securities and proceeds from the sale of mortgage loans and investment securities. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans are a predictable source of funds, deposit flows and mortgage prepayments are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions, competition, and the restructuring of the financial services industry.

Net loans, excluding loans held for sale, increased by $\$ 120.8$ million to $\$ 395.3$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006. This increase was primarily the result of the addition of $\$ 109.4$ million in loans in the FMB acquisition. We have concentrated on generating commercial loans over the past few years and are pleased that this segment of our loan portfolio has grown. While the FMB acquisition increased our one-to-four family residential loan totals, as the majority of the acquired loans were residential, we plan to continue our efforts to grow our commercial lending activities.

Our primary investing activities are the origination of commercial, mortgage, consumer loans and the purchase of investment and mortgage-backed securities. Generally, we originate fixed-rate, residential mortgage loans with maturities in excess of ten years for sale in the secondary market.

The allowance for losses on loans is established through a provision for losses on loans based on our evaluation of the risk inherent in the loan portfolio and changes in the nature and volume of its loan activity. Such evaluation, which includes a review of all loans with respect to which full collectibility may not be reasonably assured, considers the fair value of the underlying collateral, economic conditions, historical loan loss experience, level of classified loans and other factors that warrant recognition in providing for an adequate allowance for losses on loans. We believe that we use the best information available to determine the allowance for losses on loans, unforeseen market conditions could result in adjustment to the allowance for losses on loans. In addition, net earnings could be significantly affected if circumstances differ substantially from the assumptions used in establishing the allowance for losses on loans.

A summary of the activity in the allowance for loan losses is as follows:

| Balance at December 31, 2005 | $\$ 3,151,373$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Allowance of acquired bank | 891,374 |
| Provision for loan losses | 155,000 |
| Charge-offs | $(182,226$ |
| Recoveries | 71,311 |
| Balance at September 30, 2006 | $\$ 4,086,832$ |
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We believe that the quality of the loan portfolio continues to be strong. The loans acquired from FMB on January 1, 2006 did not have a material impact on our non-accrual loan totals or our classified asset ratios as the fair market value of impaired loans acquired were recorded in accordance with Statement of Position (SOP) 03-03, Accounting for Certain Loans and Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer. As of September 30, 2006, loans with a balance of $\$ 6.7$ million were on non-accrual status, or $1.7 \%$ of total loans, compared to loan balances totaling $\$ 3.3$ million on non-accrual status, or $1.2 \%$ of total loans, as of December 31, 2005. This increase was primarily related to three commercial loans, totaling $\$ 3.4$ million, which became past due for payments in excess of 90 days at September 30, 2006. Management does not anticipate any significant loss exposure and is aggressively pursuing collection activity on these loans. In addition, the ratio of non-performing assets as a percentage of total assets increased from $0.9 \%$ as of December 31, 2005 to $1.2 \%$ as of September 30, 2006. Residential home loans comprised $22.2 \%$ of the $\$ 6.7$ million non-accrual balance at September 30, 2006. These loans have been underwritten according to our residential lending policies and are well secured by real estate collateral, and in many instances, private mortgage insurance or government guarantees. We have historically incurred minimal losses on mortgage loans based upon collateral values and underlying insurance or guarantees. We are aggressively pursuing collection activity of these loans which should enable the collection of outstanding principal.

Liability Distribution. Total deposits increased $\$ 112.1$ million to $\$ 443.4$ million at September 30, 2006, from $\$ 331.3$ million at December 31, 2005. The amount of deposits acquired in the January 1, 2006 FMB acquisition approximated $\$ 106.8$ million. Borrowings increased $\$ 19.6$ million to $\$ 104.9$ million at September 30, 2006, from $\$ 85.3$ million at December 31, 2005, resulting from borrowings utilized to fund the FMB acquisition, $\$ 5$ million of FHLB advances acquired in the FMB acquisition, and additional FHLB line of credit borrowings.

Non-interest bearing demand accounts at September 30, 2006, were $\$ 59.0$ million, or $13.3 \%$ of deposits, compared to $\$ 38.4$ million, or $11.6 \%$ of deposits, at December 31, 2005. Certificates of deposit increased to $\$ 230.2$ million at September 30, 2006, from $\$ 163.1$ million at December 31, 2005. Money market and NOW demand accounts increased to $\$ 125.5$ million at September 30, 2006, from $\$ 103.7$ million at December 31, 2005, and were $28.3 \%$ of total deposits. Savings accounts increased to $\$ 28.6$ million at September 30, 2006, from $\$ 26.1$ million at December 31, 2005.

Certificates of deposit at September 30, 2006, which were scheduled to mature in one year or less, totaled $\$ 165.9$ million. Historically, maturing deposits have generally remained with our bank and we believe that a significant portion of the deposits maturing in one year or less will remain with us upon maturity.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments. The following table presents our contractual obligations, defined as operating lease obligations and principal payments due on non-deposit obligations with maturities in excess of one year as of September 30, 2006, for the periods indicated.

| Contractual cash obligations | Total |  | One year or less |  | One to three years |  | Four to five years |  | More than five years |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating leases | \$ | 336,739 | \$ | 102,132 | \$ | 197,133 | \$ | 37,474 | \$ |  |
| Service contracts |  |  |  | 000 |  | 000 |  | , 000 |  |  |
| FHLB borrowings |  | ,896 |  | ,000 |  | ,000 |  | 2,904 |  | ,992 |
| Other borrowings |  | ,590 |  | 590 |  | , 000 |  |  |  | 6,000 |
| Total contractual obligations | \$ | 110,829,225 | \$ | 21,219,722 | \$ | 43,122,133 | \$ | 25,535,378 | \$ | 20,951,992 |

Liquidity. Our most liquid assets are cash and cash equivalents and investment securities available for sale. The levels of these assets are dependent on the operating, financing, lending and investing activities during any given period. These liquid assets totaled $\$ 161.4$ million at September 30, 2006, and $\$ 161.6$ million at December 31, 2005. During periods in which we are not able to originate a sufficient amount of loans and/or periods of high principal prepayments, we increase our liquid assets by investing in short-term U. S. Government and agency securities or high-grade municipal securities.

Liquidity management is both a daily and long-term function of our strategy. Excess funds are generally invested in short-term investments. In the event we require funds beyond our ability to generate them internally, additional funds are generally available through the use of Federal Home Loan Bank advances, a line of credit with the Federal Home Loan Bank or through sales of securities. At September 30, 2006, we had outstanding Federal Home Loan Bank advances of $\$ 66.7$ million and $\$ 7.8$ million borrowed against our line of credit with the Federal Home Loan Bank. At September 30, 2006, our total borrowing capacity with the Federal Home Loan Bank was $\$ 123.7$ million. We also had other borrowings of $\$ 30.5$ million at September 30, 2006, which included $\$ 16.5$ million of subordinated debentures, $\$ 6.4$ million of long-term debt and $\$ 7.6$ million in repurchase agreements.

As a provider of financial services, we routinely issue financial guarantees in the form of financial and performance standby letters of credit. Standby letters of credit are contingent commitments issued by us generally to guarantee the payment or performance obligation of a customer to a third party. While these standby letters of credit represent a potential outlay by us, a significant amount of the commitments may expire without being drawn upon. We have recourse against the customer for any amount the customer is required to pay to a third party under a standby letter of credit. The letters of credit are subject to the same credit policies, underwriting standards and approval process as loans made by us. Most of the standby letters of credit are secured, and in the event of nonperformance by the customers, we have the right to the underlying collateral, which could include commercial real estate, physical plant and property, inventory, receivables, cash and marketable securities. The contract amount of these standby letters of credit, which represents the maximum potential future payments guaranteed by us, was $\$ 2.7$ million at September 30, 2006.

At September 30, 2006, we had outstanding loan commitments, excluding standby letters of credit, of $\$ 65.6$ million. We anticipate that sufficient funds will be available to meet current loan commitments. These commitments consist of unfunded lines of credit and commitments to finance real estate loans.

Capital. The Federal Reserve Board has established capital requirements for bank holding companies which generally parallel the capital requirements for national banks under the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency regulations. The regulations provide that such standards will generally be applied on a consolidated (rather than a bank-only) basis in the case of a bank holding company with more than $\$ 150$ million in total consolidated assets.

At September 30, 2006, we continued to maintain a sound leverage ratio of $8.19 \%$ and a total risk based capital ratio of $13.04 \%$. As shown by the following table, our capital exceeded the minimum capital requirements at September 30, 2006 (dollars in thousands):

| Company | Actual amount |  | Actual percent |  | Required percent | Required amount |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leverage | \$ | 47,323 | 8.19 | \% | 4.0 | \% | \$ | 23,124 |
| Tier 1 Capital | \$ | 47,323 | 11.90 | \% | 4.0 | \% | \$ | 15,902 |
| Total Risk Based Capital | \$ | 51,829 | 13.04 | \% | 8.0 | \% | \$ | 31,803 |

At September 30, 2006, Landmark National Bank continued to maintain a sound leverage ratio of $9.06 \%$ and a total risk based capital ratio of $14.19 \%$. As shown by the following table, the bank s capital exceeded the minimum capital requirements at September 30, 2006 (dollars in thousands):

| Landmark National Bank | Actual <br> amount | Actual <br> percent | Required <br> percent | Required <br> amount |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Leverage | $\$$ | 52,195 | 9.06 | $\%$ | 4.0 | $\%$ |

Banks and bank holding companies are generally expected to operate at or above the minimum capital requirements. The above ratios are well in excess of regulatory minimums and should allow us to operate without capital adequacy concerns. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 establishes a bank rating system based on the capital levels of banks. As of September 30, 2006, we were rated well capitalized, which is the highest rating available under this capital-based rating system.
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Average Assets/Liabilities. The following table sets forth information relating to average balances of interest-earning assets and liabilities for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and the same period for 2005. This table reflects the average yields on assets and average costs of liabilities for the periods indicated (derived by dividing income or expense by the monthly average balance of assets or liabilities, respectively) as well as net interest margin (which reflects the effect of the net earnings balance) for the periods shown.

|  | Three months ended September 30, 2006 |  |  |  | Three months ended September 30, 2005 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average <br> balance <br> (Dollars in thousands)$\quad$ Interest |  | Average annual yield/rate |  | Average balance | Interest | Average annual yield/rate |  |
| ASSETS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment securities (1) | \$ 136,521 | \$ 1,543 | 4.52 | \% | \$ 147,528 | \$ 1,285 | 3.48 | \% |
| Loans (2) | 396,776 | 7,294 | 7.35 | \% | 274,652 | 4,432 | 6.45 | \% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total interest-earning assets | 533,297 | 8,837 | 6.63 | \% | 422,180 | 5,717 | 5.42 | \% |
| Non-interest-earning assets | 61,820 |  |  |  | 32,348 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ 595,117 |  |  |  | \$ 454,528 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Certificates of deposit | \$ 226,375 | \$ 2,248 | 3.97 | \% | \$ 164,182 | \$ 1,147 | 2.79 | \% |
| Money market and NOW accounts | 127,385 | 578 | 1.81 | \% | 93,779 | 286 | 1.22 | \% |
| Savings accounts | 29,647 | 22 | 0.30 | \% | 24,302 | 18 | 0.30 | \% |
| FHLB advances and other borrowings | 107,756 | 1,267 | 4.70 | \% | 79,836 | 898 | 4.50 | \% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 491,163 | 4,115 | 3.35 | \% | 362,099 | 2,349 | 2.59 | \% |
| Non-interest-bearing liabilities | 57,481 |  |  |  | 48,519 |  |  |  |
| Stockholders equity | 46,087 |  |  |  | 43,664 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ 594,731 |  |  |  | \$ 454,282 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income |  | \$ 4,722 |  |  |  | \$ 3,368 |  |  |
| Interest rate spread (3) |  |  | 3.28 | \% |  |  | 2.83 | \% |
| Net interest margin (4) |  |  | 3.51 | \% |  |  | 3.20 | \% |
| Ratio of average interest-earning assets toaverage interest-bearing liabilities |  | 108.6 |  |  |  | 116.6 |  |  |

(1) Income on investment securities includes all securities and interest bearing deposits in other financial institutions.
(2) Includes loans classified as non-accrual.
(3) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities
(4) Net interest margin represents annualized net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.
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The following table sets forth information relating to average balances of interest-earning assets and liabilities for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and the same period for 2005.

(1) Income on investment securities includes all securities and interest bearing deposits in other financial institutions.
(2) Includes loans classified as non-accrual.
(3) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities
(4) Net interest margin represents annualized net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk. Our assets and liabilities are principally financial in nature and the resulting net interest income thereon is subject to changes in market interest rates and the mix of various assets and liabilities. Interest rates in the financial markets affect our decision on pricing our assets and liabilities, which impacts net interest income, a significant cash flow source for us. As a result, a substantial portion of our risk management activities relates to managing interest rate risk.

Our Asset/Liability Management Committee monitors the interest rate sensitivity of our balance sheet using earnings simulation models and interest sensitivity gap analysis. We have set policy limits of interest rate risk to be assumed in the normal course of business and monitor such limits through our simulation process.

We have been successful in meeting the interest rate sensitivity objectives set forth in our policy. Simulation models are prepared to determine the impact on net interest income for the coming twelve months, including one using rates at September 30, 2006, and forecasting volumes for the twelve-month projection. This position is then subjected to a shift in interest rates of 100 and 200 basis points rising and falling with an impact to our net interest income on a one year horizon as follows:

| Scenario | $\$$ Change in Net Interest Income | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ of Net Interest Income |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ basis point rising | $(67,000$ | $)$ | $(0.4$ | $) \%$ |
| 200 basis point rising | $(340,000$ | $)$ | $(1.8$ | $) \%$ |
| 100 basis point falling | $(293,000$ | $)$ | $(1.6$ | $) \%$ |
| 200 basis point falling | $(929,000$ | $)$ | $(5.0$ |  |

Safe Harbor Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995-Forward-Looking Statements. This document contains, and future oral and written statements of the Company and its management may contain, forward-looking statements, within the meaning of such term in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, with respect to the financial condition, results of operations, plans, objectives, future performance and business of the Company. Forward-looking statements, which may be based upon beliefs, expectations and assumptions of the Company s management and on information currently available to management, are generally identifiable by the use of words such as believe, expect, anticipate, plan, intend, estimate, may, will, would, could, should or other similar expressions. Additiona this document, including forward-looking statements, speak only as of the date they are made, and the Company undertakes no obligation to update any statement in light of new information or future events.

The Company s ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. The factors which could have a material adverse effect on the operations and future prospects of the Company and its subsidiaries are detailed in the Risk Factors section included under Item 1A. of Part I of our Form 10-K. In addition to the risk factors described in that section, there are other factors that may impact any public company, including ours, which could have a material adverse effect on the operations and future prospects of the Company and its subsidiaries. These risks and uncertainties should be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements.

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As required by Rules 13a-15(b) and 15d-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, management has evaluated, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on their evaluation, management concluded our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) were effective as of September 30, 2006 to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms and were effective as of September 30, 2006. These disclosure controls and procedures include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2006, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

## LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY <br> PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There are no material pending legal proceedings to which the Company or its subsidiaries is a party other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to their respective businesses.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes in the risk factors applicable to the Company from those disclosed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors, in the Company s 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Please refer to that section of the Company s Form 10-K for disclosures regarding the risks and uncertainties related to the Company s business.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

## None

## ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

## ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None

## ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

## Exhibits

Exhibit $31.1 \quad$ Certificate of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
Exhibit $31.2 \quad$ Certificate of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
Exhibit $32.1 \quad$ Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
Exhibit $32.2 \quad$ Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## LANDMARK BANCORP, INC.

Date: November 3, 2006
/s/ Patrick L. Alexander
Patrick L. Alexander
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 3, 2006
/s/ Mark A. Herpich
Mark A. Herpich
Vice President, Secretary, Treasurer
and Chief Financial Officer


[^0]:    See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

[^1]:    Also in March 2006, the FASB issued Staff Position 85-4-1, which provides initial and subsequent measurement guidance and financial statement presentation and disclosure guidance for investments by third-party investors in life settlement contracts. The investments must be accounted for by either (a) recognizing the initial investment at transaction price plus direct external costs and capitalizing continuing costs, with no gain recognized in earnings until the insured dies, or (b) recognizing the initial investment at transaction price and remeasuring the investment at fair value at each reporting period, with fair value changes recognized in earnings as they occur. For calendar year companies, the guidance in this staff position must be applied beginning January 1, 2007. The

