UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORP \PA\
Form 4
March 14, 2011

FORM 4

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES

Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section 30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

Check this box if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5 obligations may continue. *See* Instruction 1(b).

OMB APPROVAL
OMB Number: 3235-0287
Expires: January 31, 2005
Estimated average burden hours per response... 0.5

(Print or Type Responses)

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person *
SELIGSOHN SHERWIN I
(Last) (First) (Middle)
375 PHILLIPS BLVD.
(Street)
EWING, NJ 08618
(City) (State) (Zip)

2. Issuer Name **and** Ticker or Trading Symbol
UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORP \PA\ [PANL]

3. Date of Earliest Transaction (Month/Day/Year)
03/10/2011

4. If Amendment, Date Original Filed(Month/Day/Year)

5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to Issuer
(Check all applicable)
__X__ Director _____ 10% Owner
__X__ Officer (give title below) _____ Other (specify below)
Chairman of Board and Founder

6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check Applicable Line)
_X_ Form filed by One Reporting Person
___ Form filed by More than One Reporting Person

Table I - Non-Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned

1.Title of Security (Instr. 3)	2. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	2A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	3. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)		4. Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4 and 5)			5. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned Following Reported Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4)	6. Ownership Form: Direct (D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 4)	7. Nature of Indirect Beneficial Ownership (Instr. 4)
			Code	V	Amount	(A) or (D)	Price			
Common Stock	03/10/2011		F		5,234	D	$ 39.4	258,986	D	
Common Stock	03/10/2011		M		20,000	A	$ 10.3125	278,986	D	
Common Stock								176,000 (1)	I	By Corp.
Common Stock								21,000 (2)	I	By Trust

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SEC 1474 (9-02)

Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned
(*e.g.*, puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

1. Title of Derivative Security (Instr. 3)	2. Conversion or Exercise Price of Derivative Security	3. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	3A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	4. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)		5. Number of Derivative Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4, and 5)		6. Date Exercisable and Expiration Date (Month/Day/Year)		7. Title and Amount of Underlying Securities (Instr. 3 and 4)	
				Code	V	(A)	(D)	Date Exercisable	Expiration Date	Title	Amount or Number of Shares
Employee Stock Option (right to buy)	$ 10.3125	03/10/2011		M			20,000	03/30/2001	03/30/2011	Common Stock	20,000

Reporting Owners

Reporting Owner Name / Address	Relationships			
	Director	10% Owner	Officer	Other
SELIGSOHN SHERWIN I 375 PHILLIPS BLVD. EWING, NJ 08618	X		Chairman of Board and Founder	

Signatures

/s/ Sidney D. Rosenblatt (by power of attorney)	03/14/2011
**Signature of Reporting Person	Date

Explanation of Responses:

* If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, *see* Instruction 4(b)(v).

** Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. *See* 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).

(1) American Biomimetics Corporation, of which Mr. Seligsohn is the sole Director, Chairman, President and Secretary.

(2) The Seligsohn Foundation, of which Mr. Seligsohn is the sole trustee.

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, *see* Instruction 6 for procedure.
Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. >
Amegy Bancorporation, Inc. common stock

	–
	16,830,798
Common collective trusts	
	10,480,675
	10,631,697
Registered investment companies (mutual funds)	
	56,919,512
	45,093,742
Participant loans, at cost	
	2,067,733
	2,250,885
	83,442,721
	75,233,210
Contributions receivable	
	115,561
	99,973
Net assets available for benefits $	83,558,282
$	75,333,183

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Amegy Bank 401(k) Savings Plan
(formerly Southwest Bank of Texas 401(k) Savings Plan)

Statement of Changes in Net Assets Available for Benefits

Year Ended December 31, 2005

Additions	
Investment income:	
Net appreciation in fair value of investments	$ 1,193,719
Interest and dividends	3,341,334
	4,535,053
Contributions:	
Participant	6,454,300
Employer	3,723,353
Rollovers	993,279
	11,170,932
Total additions	15,705,985
Deductions	
Benefits paid directly to participants	7,434,422
Administrative expenses	46,464
Total deductions	7,480,886
Net increase	8,225,099
Net assets available for benefits:	
Beginning of year	75,333,183
End of year	$ 83,558,282

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Amegy Bank 401(k) Savings Plan
(formerly Southwest Bank of Texas 401(k) Savings Plan)

Notes to Financial Statements

Year Ended December 31, 2005

1. Description of Plan

The following description of the Amegy Bank 401(k) Savings Plan (formerly Southwest Bank of Texas 401(k) Savings Plan) ("the Plan") provides only general information. Participants should refer to the Plan agreement for a more complete description of the Plan's provisions.

General

The Plan is a single employer defined contribution plan designed to provide retirement benefits for eligible employees under a pretax salary reduction arrangement with a discretionary employer matching contribution. The Plan is subject to the provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA"). From time to time, the Plan has been amended and restated, and other plans have been merged into the Plan. In March 2005, the Plan changed to its current name. Fidelity Management Trust Company is the Trustee of the Plan. Amegy Bank, N.A. ("the Company") is the Plan sponsor. The Company has a Retirement Plan Committee that administers the Plan.

Acquisition of Plan Sponsor and Intended Merger of Plan

Effective December 3, 2005, Zions Bancorporation ("Zions"), headquartered in Salt Lake City, Utah, acquired Amegy Bancorporation, Inc. ("Amegy"), the parent company of Amegy Bank, N.A. On December 9, 2005, Zions filed a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission to register its shares to be used for Amegy's employee benefit plans. Amegy shares in the Plan were exchanged for Zions' shares at the exchange ratio determined in the merger. In 2006, Zions intends to merge the Plan into its existing 401(k) plan, Zions Bancorporation Payshelter 401(k) and Employee Stock Ownership Plan.

Eligibility

The Plan covers all employees who have attained the age of 18 and have completed one month of service as defined in the Plan.

Amegy Bank 401(k) Savings Plan
(formerly Southwest Bank of Texas 401(k) Savings Plan)

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

1. Description of Plan (continued)

Contributions

Participants may contribute from 1% to 80% of their pretax annual compensation to the Plan, subject to the overall limitation specified by the Internal Revenue Code ("the Code"), which was $14,000 for 2005. The Plan allows the Company to make discretionary matching contributions as determined by the Company's board of directors. For 2005, the Company made matching contributions of 100% for the first 5% of participants' eligible compensation. Under applicable law, participants attaining the age of 50 during or prior to 2005 are eligible to make catch-up contributions, not to exceed $4,000 in 2005.

The Plan allows rollovers by participants from nonaffiliated qualifying plans.

Participant Accounts

Each participant's account is credited with the participant's contributions and allocations of the Company's contributions and Plan earnings. Investment income or loss is allocated based on the investment shares held in the participant's account in relation to the total investment shares of the Plan.

Vesting

Participant contributions plus investment earnings are immediately vested; however, Company contributions vest as follows:

Years of Service	Vesting
Less than 1	0%
1	20%
2	40%
3	60%
4	80%
5 or more	100%

1. Description of Plan (continued)

Payment of Benefits

Benefits are paid upon death, disability, retirement, or termination of employment, or may be paid earlier subject to Plan provisions. Instead of a lump-sum amount, a participant may elect to receive his vested account balance in annual installments. Benefits are paid in stock, cash, or a combination of the two. For 2005, benefits paid directly to participants included Amegy's common stock with a fair value of $108,269.

Investment Options

Participant contributions can be directed subject to Plan provisions into various Plan investment options that include Amegy's common stock (or Zions' common stock after December 9, 2005), common collective trusts, and registered investment companies (mutual funds).

Forfeited Accounts

Nonvested Company contributions are forfeited upon distribution of the fully vested value of a participant's account. Forfeitures are used first to pay administrative expenses and subsequently to reduce Company contributions. The amount of forfeitures used in 2005 was $169,673. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, unallocated forfeiture accounts amounted to $240,461 and $135,856, respectively.

Participant Loans

Participants may borrow from their fund accounts up to a maximum equal to the lesser of $50,000 or 50% of the participant's vested account balance. Loans are calculated on a fully amortized basis. A loan is collateralized by the vested balance in the participant's account and bears interest at a rate commensurate with market rates for similar loans, as defined by the Plan.

Plan Termination

Although the Company has not expressed any intent to do so, it has the right under the Plan to discontinue its contributions at any time and to terminate the Plan subject to the provisions of ERISA. If the Plan were terminated, each participant would become 100% vested and would receive a distribution of assets equal to the value of the participant's account.

Amegy Bank 401(k) Savings Plan
(formerly Southwest Bank of Texas 401(k) Savings Plan)

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

2. Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Valuation of Investments and Income Recognition

Money market funds are stated at cost, which approximates fair value. Amegy's or Zions' common stock is stated at fair value which equals the quoted market price on the last business day of the Plan year. The shares of common collective trusts and registered investment companies (mutual funds) are valued at quoted market prices which represent the net asset values of shares held by the Plan at year-end. Participant loans are valued at their outstanding principal balances, which approximate fair value.

Purchases and sales of investments are recorded on a trade-date basis. Dividend income is recorded on the ex-dividend date. Interest income is recorded on the accrual basis.

Net Appreciation in Fair Value of Investments

The Plan presents in the Statement of Changes in Net Assets Available for Benefits the net appreciation in the fair value of its investments which consists of the realized gains or losses on sale of investments and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on those investments.

Payment of Benefits

Benefits are recorded when paid.

Administrative Expenses

Administrative expenses are paid by the Plan and by the Company.

Amegy Bank 401(k) Savings Plan
(formerly Southwest Bank of Texas 401(k) Savings Plan)

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Concentration of Investments

The Plan's net assets available for benefits at December 31, 2005 include investments in Zions' common stock of $13,793,176 (182,546 shares). At December 31, 2004, the Plan's net assets available for benefits include investments in Amegy's common stock of $16,830,798 (722,622 shares). These investments represent a 0.2% and 1.0% ownership of the companies' outstanding common stock at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The fair value of these investments is subject to market fluctuations.

Reclassifications

Certain items in the 2004 financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2005 financial statement presentation. Such reclassifications had no effect on net assets available for benefits or the change in net assets available for benefits.

3. Investments

The Trustee of the Plan, as identified in Note 1, holds the Plan's investments and executes all investment transactions. Investments that represent 5% or more of the fair value of the Plan's net assets available for benefits are as follows:

	December 31,	
	2005	2004
Zions Bancorporation common stock	$ 13,793,176	
Amegy Bancorporation, Inc. common stock		$ 16,830,798
Dreyfus S&P 500 Index Fund	6,454,705	6,013,643
Fidelity Advisor Equity Income Fund	5,643,112	4,115,998
Fidelity Advisor Mid Cap Fund	8,513,506	7,549,873
Fidelity Advisor Stable Value Fund	10,480,675	10,631,697

Explanation of Responses:

Amegy Bank 401(k) Savings Plan
(formerly Southwest Bank of Texas 401(k) Savings Plan)

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

3. Investments (continued)

During 2005, the Plan's investments (including investments purchased and sold, as well as held during the year) appreciated in fair value as determined by quoted market prices as follows:

Zions Bancorporation common stock	$ 254,139
Amegy Bancorporation, Inc. common stock	315,684
Registered investment companies (mutual funds)	623,896
	$ 1,193,719

4. Transactions with Parties-in-Interest

During 2005, the Plan received dividends from the Company of $198,116. Dividends associated with the Zions' common stock were not received until 2006.

Certain plan investments are in accounts managed by the Trustee and the Plan invests in participant loans. These transactions qualify as party-in-interest transactions as defined by ERISA. Consequently, such transactions are permitted under the provisions of the Plan and are exempt from the prohibition of party-in-interest transactions under ERISA.

The Company paid administrative expenses of $221,726 in 2005 on behalf of the Plan.

5. Risks and Uncertainties

The Plan invests in various investment securities. Investment securities are exposed to various risks such as interest rate, market and credit risks. Due to the level of risk associated with certain investment securities, it is at least reasonably possible that changes in the values of investment securities will occur in the near term and that such changes could materially affect participants' account balances and the amounts reported in the statements of net assets available for benefits.

Amegy Bank 401(k) Savings Plan
(formerly Southwest Bank of Texas 401(k) Savings Plan)

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

6. Income Tax Status

The Plan has received a determination letter from the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") dated January 19, 2005 stating that the Plan is qualified under Section 401(a) of the Code and, therefore, the related trust is exempt from taxation. Once qualified, the Plan is required to operate in conformity with the Code to maintain its qualification. The plan administrator believes that the Plan is being operated in compliance with the applicable requirements of the Code and, therefore, believes that the Plan is qualified and that the related trust is tax exempt.

7. Reconciliation of Financial Statements to Form 5500

The following reconciles net assets available for benefits in the accompanying financial statements to net assets in the Form 5500 regulatory filing:

	December 31,	
	2005	2004
Net assets available for benefits in accompanying financial statements	$ 83,558,282	$ 75,333,183
Contributions receivable	(115,561)	(99,973)
Net assets in Form 5500	$ 83,442,721	$ 75,233,210

The following reconciles the net increase in net assets available for benefits in the accompanying financial statements to the Form 5500 regulatory filing for the year ended December 31, 2005:

Net increase in net assets available for benefits in accompanying financial statements	$ 8,225,099
Contributions receivable at December 31, 2004	99,973
Contributions receivable at December 31, 2005	(115,561)
Net increase in net assets available for benefits	

in Form 5500	$ 8,209,511

The reconciliations above result from the accompanying financial statements being prepared on an accrual basis and the Form 5500 being prepared on a cash basis.
10

Amegy Bank 401(k) Savings Plan
(formerly Southwest Bank of Texas 401(k) Savings Plan)

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

8. Prohibited Transactions.

For the plan years 2000 through 2004, certain participant contributions were not forwarded to the Trustee of the Plan in a timely manner. In each case, these contributions were subsequently contributed to the Plan. As a result of examinations completed by the Department of Labor for this period and the IRS for 2003, excise taxes, penalties and interest were determined in 2005 to amount to $10,240, for which the Company completed payment in September 2005.

Amegy Bank 401(k) Savings Plan
(formerly Southwest Bank of Texas 401(k) Savings Plan)

Schedule H, Line 4i – Schedule of Assets (Held at End of Year)
EIN: 76-0028668 Plan: 002

December 31, 2005

(a)	(b) Identity of Issue, Borrower, Lessor, or Similar Party	(c) Description of Investment	(d) Cost of Remaining Assets (1)	(e) Current Value
	DREYFUS	DREYFUS S&P 500 INDEX FUND		$ 6,454,705**
*	FIDELITY	FEDERATED MID CAP FUND		1,591,199
	DREYFUS	DREYFUS PREMIER WORLDWIDE GROWTH FUND		2,889,416
	LOOMIS	LOOMIS SAYLES BOND ADMIN FUND		2,595,666
	EVERGREEN	EVERGREEN SPECIAL VALUES FUND		2,020,565
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY TREASURY FUND		3,769,537
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY ADVISOR EQUITY INCOME FUND		5,643,112**
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY ADVISOR EQUITY GROWTH FUND		1,727,588
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY ADVISOR GROWTH & INCOME FUND		3,285,367
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY ADVISOR SMALL CAP FUND		1,590,455
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY ADVISOR HIGH INCOME FUND		241,083
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY ADVISOR MID CAP FUND		8,513,506**
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY ADVISOR BALANCED FUND		2,518,110
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY ADVISOR SHORT FIXED INCOME FUND		305,573
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY ADVISOR STRATEGIC INCOME FUND		1,038,501
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY ADVISOR GOVERNMENT INVESTMENT FUND		2,286,642
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY ADVISOR STABLE VALUE FUND		10,480,675**
*	FIDELITY			3,008,122

		FIDELITY ADVISOR DIVIDEND GROWTH FUND	
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY ADVISOR DIVERSIFIED INTERNATIONAL FUND	2,979,356
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY ADVISOR FREEDOM 2010 FUND	1,285,313
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY ADVISOR FREEDOM 2020 FUND	1,958,912
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY ADVISOR FREEDOM 2030 FUND	845,620
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY ADVISOR FREEDOM 2040 FUND	214,122
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY ADVISOR FREEDOM INCOME FUND	5,635
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY ADVISOR FREEDOM 2005 FUND	1,257
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY ADVISOR FREEDOM 2015 FUND	59,791
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY ADVISOR FREEDOM 2025 FUND	25,663
*	FIDELITY	FIDELITY ADVISOR FREEDOM 2035 FUND	64,696
*	ZIONS BANCORPORATION	ZIONS BANCORPORATION COMMON STOCK	13,793,176**
*	FIDELITY	MONEY MARKET FUNDS	181,625
*	PARTICIPANT LOANS	Interest rates ranging from 5.0% to 9.5%	2,067,733
			$ 83,442,721

*Indicates party-in-interest to the Plan.
**Represents investments comprising at least 5% of net assets available for benefits.
(1)Not provided because all investments are participant-directed.

12

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Retirement Plan Committee of Amegy Bank, N.A. has duly caused this annual report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

	AMEGY BANK 401(K) SAVINGS PLAN
July 12, 2006	By: /s/ Robyn Brend
	ROBYN BREND, Vice President, Benefits/Payroll Manager

t-size:10pt;">

$
4,825,000

Weighted average common shares outstanding
5,951,981

6,025,802

5,953,623

6,022,830

Dilutive potential common shares:

Assumed conversion of stock options
1,118

8,826

1,124

8,504

Restricted stock awarded
9,027

413

9,027

331

Assumed conversion of preferred stock
—

—

—

—

Dilutive potential common shares
10,145

9,239

10,151

8,835

Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding
5,962,126

6,035,041

5,963,774

6,031,665

Diluted earnings per common share
$
0.43

$
0.39

$
0.84

$

0.80

The following shares were not considered in computing diluted earnings per share for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 because they were anti-dilutive:

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Stock options to purchase shares of common stock	104,750	196,220	104,750	196,220
Average dilutive potential common shares associated with convertible preferred stock	2,494,801	2,092,411	2,494,801	2,087,943

11

Note 3 -- Investment Securities

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and estimated fair values for available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities by major security type at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized (Losses)	Fair Value
June 30, 2013				
Available-for-sale:				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations & agencies	$213,347	$229	$(6,059)	$207,517
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	59,933	1,157	(1,697)	59,393
Mortgage-backed securities: GSE residential	260,463	3,129	(3,545)	260,047
Trust preferred securities	4,790	—	(3,955)	835
Other securities	6,035	26	(18)	6,043
Total available-for-sale	$544,568	$4,541	$(15,274)	$533,835
December 31, 2012				
Available-for-sale:				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations & agencies	$180,851	$1,321	$(3)	$182,169
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	53,064	3,163	(20)	56,207
Mortgage-backed securities: GSE residential	252,310	7,162	(12)	259,460
Trust preferred securities	4,974	—	(4,389)	585
Other securities	9,663	225	—	9,888
Total available-for-sale	$500,862	$11,871	$(4,424)	$508,309

The trust preferred securities are three trust preferred pooled securities issued by First Tennessee Financial (“FTN”). The unrealized losses of these securities, which have maturities ranging from seventeen years to twenty-four years, are primarily due to their long-term nature, a lack of demand or inactive market for these securities, and concerns regarding the underlying financial institutions that have issued the trust preferred securities. See the heading “Trust Preferred Securities” for further information regarding these securities.

Realized gains and losses resulting from sales of securities were as follows during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012
Gross gains	$835	$823
Gross losses	—	—

12

The following table indicates the expected maturities of investment securities classified as available-for-sale and held-to-maturity, presented at fair value, at June 30, 2013 and the weighted average yield for each range of maturities (dollars in thousands):

	One year or less		After 1 through 5 years		After 5 through 10 years		After ten years		Total	
Available-for-sale:										
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	$151,994		$55,523		$—		$—		$207,517	
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	1,528		29,344		24,901		3,620		59,393	
Mortgage-backed securities: GSE residential	2,457		167,575		90,015		—		260,047	
Trust preferred securities	688		—		—		147		835	
Other securities	2,008		—		3,982		53		6,043	
Total investments	$158,675		$252,442		$118,898		$3,820		$533,835	
Weighted average yield	1.68	%	2.62	%	2.40	%	2.11	%	2.28	%
Full tax-equivalent yield	1.71	%	2.94	%	2.92	%	3.11	%	2.57	%

The weighted average yields are calculated on the basis of the amortized cost and effective yields weighted for the scheduled maturity of each security. Tax-equivalent yields have been calculated using a 35% tax rate. With the exception of obligations of the U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies and corporations, there were no investment securities of any single issuer, the book value of which exceeded 10% of stockholders' equity at June 30, 2013.

Investment securities carried at approximately $250 million and $267 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits and repurchase agreements and for other purposes as permitted or required by law.

The following table presents the aging of gross unrealized losses and fair value by investment category as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Less than 12 months		12 months or more		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
June 30, 2013						
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	$184,589	$(6,059)	$—	$—	$184,589	$(6,059)
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	27,264	(1,697)	—	—	27,264	(1,697)
Mortgage-backed securities: GSE residential	131,451	(3,545)	—	—	131,451	(3,545)
Trust preferred securities	—	—	835	(3,955)	835	(3,955)
Other securities	3,982	(18)	—	—	3,982	(18)
Total	$347,286	$(11,319)	$835	$(3,955)	$348,121	$(15,274)
December 31, 2012						
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	$10,997	$(3)	$—	$—	$10,997	$(3)
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	1,969	(20)	—	—	1,969	(20)
Mortgage-backed securities: GSE residential	697	(12)	—	—	697	(12)
Trust preferred securities	—	—	585	(4,389)	585	(4,389)
Other securities	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	$13,663	$(35)	$585	$(4,389)	$14,248	$(4,424)

U.S. Treasury Securities and Obligations of U.S. Government Corporations and Agencies. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were no U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies in a continuous unrealized loss position for twelve months or more.

Obligations of states and political subdivisions. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were no obligations of states and political subdivisions in a continuous unrealized loss position for twelve months or more.

Mortgage-backed Securities: GSE Residential. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were no mortgage-backed securities in a continuous unrealized loss position for twelve months or more.

Trust Preferred Securities. At June 30, 2013, there were three trust preferred securities with a fair value of $835,000 and unrealized losses of $3,955,000 in a continuous unrealized loss position for twelve months or more. At December 31, 2012, there were three trust preferred securities with a fair value of $585,000 and unrealized losses of $4,389,000 in a continuous unrealized loss position for twelve months or more. These unrealized losses were primarily due to the long-term nature of the trust preferred securities, a lack of demand or inactive market for these securities, the impending change to the regulatory treatment of these securities, and concerns regarding the underlying financial institutions that have issued the trust preferred securities. The Company recorded no other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) for these securities during 2013 or 2012. Because it is not more-likely-than-not that the Company

will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their new, lower amortized cost basis, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider the remainder of the investment in these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2013. However, future downgrades or additional deferrals and defaults in these securities, in particular PreTSL XXVIII, could result in additional OTTI and consequently, have a material impact on future earnings.

14

Following are the details for each of the three currently impaired trust preferred securities (in thousands):

	Book Value	Market Value	Unrealized Gains (Losses)	Other-than-temporary Impairment Recorded To-date
PreTSL I	$400	$428	$28	$691
PreTSL II	738	260	(478)	2,187
PreTSL XXVIII	3,652	147	(3,505)	1,111
Total	$4,790	$835	$(3,955)	$3,989

Other securities. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were no corporate bonds in a continuous unrealized loss position for twelve months or more.

The Company does not believe any other individual unrealized loss as of June 30, 2013 represents OTTI. However, given the continued disruption in the financial markets, the Company may be required to recognize OTTI losses in future periods with respect to its available for sale investment securities portfolio. The amount and timing of any additional OTTI will depend on the decline in the underlying cash flows of the securities. Should the impairment of any of these securities become other-than-temporary, the cost basis of the investment will be reduced and the resulting loss recognized in the period the other-than-temporary impairment is identified.

Other-than-temporary Impairment. Upon acquisition of a security, the Company decides whether it is within the scope of the accounting guidance for beneficial interests in securitized financial assets or will be evaluated for impairment under the accounting guidance for investments in debt and equity securities.

The accounting guidance for beneficial interests in securitized financial assets provides incremental impairment guidance for a subset of the debt securities within the scope of the guidance for investments in debt and equity securities. For securities where the security is a beneficial interest in securitized financial assets, the Company uses the beneficial interests in securitized financial asset impairment model. For securities where the security is not a beneficial interest in securitized financial assets, the Company uses debt and equity securities impairment model.

The Company routinely conducts periodic reviews to identify and evaluate each investment security to determine whether OTTI has occurred. Economic models are used to determine whether OTTI has occurred on these securities. While all securities are considered, the securities primarily impacted by OTTI testing are pooled trust preferred securities. For each pooled trust preferred security in the investment portfolio, an extensive, regular review is conducted to determine if any additional OTTI has occurred. Various inputs to the economic models are used to determine if an unrealized loss is other-than-temporary. The most significant inputs are prepayments, defaults and loss severity.

These pooled trust preferred securities relate to trust preferred securities issued by financial institutions. The pools typically consist of financial institutions throughout the United States. Other inputs to the economic models may include the actual collateral attributes, which include credit ratings and other performance indicators of the underlying financial institutions including profitability, capital ratios, and asset quality.

To determine if the unrealized losses for pooled trust preferred securities is other-than-temporary, the Company considers the impact of each of these inputs. The Company considers the likelihood that issuers will prepay their securities. During the third quarter of 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act eliminated Tier 1 capital treatment for trust preferred securities issued by holding companies with consolidated assets greater than $15 billion. As a result, issuers may prepay their securities which reduces the amount of expected cash flows. Additionally, the Company projects total estimated defaults of the underlying assets (financial institutions) and multiplies that calculated amount by an estimate of realizable value upon sale in the marketplace (severity) in order to determine the projected collateral loss. The Company also evaluates the current credit enhancement underlying the security to determine the impact on cash flows. If the Company determines that a given pooled trust preferred security position will be subject to a write-down or loss, the Company records the expected credit loss as a charge to earnings.

Credit Losses Recognized on Investments. As described above, some of the Company’s investments in trust preferred securities have experienced fair value deterioration due to credit losses but are not otherwise other-than-temporarily impaired. The following table provides information about those trust preferred securities for which only a credit loss was recognized in income and other losses are recorded in other comprehensive income (loss) for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands).

	Accumulated Credit Losses	
	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012
Credit losses on trust preferred securities held		
Beginning of period	$3,989	$4,116
Additions related to OTTI losses not previously recognized	—	—
Reductions due to sales / (recoveries)	—	—
Reductions due to change in intent or likelihood of sale	—	—
Additions related to increases in previously recognized OTTI losses	—	—
Reductions due to increases in expected cash flows	—	—
End of period	$3,989	$4,116

Subsequently, on July 22, 2013, the Company sold two of its trust preferred securities (PreTSL I and PreTSL II). This sale resulted in recovery of all of the book value of these securities. The net proceeds exceeded the aggregate book value of these securities by approximately $1.4 million and this amount will be recorded as a security gain during the third quarter of 2013.

16

Note 4 – Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses

Loans are stated at the principal amount outstanding net of unearned discounts, unearned income and allowance for loan losses. Unearned income includes deferred loan origination fees reduced by loan origination costs and is amortized to interest income over the life of the related loan using methods that approximated the effective interest rate method. Interest on substantially all loans is credited to income based on the principal amount outstanding. A summary of loans at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Construction and land development	$22,602	$31,341
Agricultural real estate	95,255	86,256
1-4 Family residential properties	186,104	186,205
Multifamily residential properties	44,207	44,863
Commercial real estate	335,628	317,321
Loans secured by real estate	683,796	665,986
Agricultural loans	51,864	60,948
Commercial and industrial loans	152,955	160,193
Consumer loans	15,814	16,264
All other loans	9,849	8,206
Gross loans	914,278	911,597
Less:		
Net deferred loan fees, premiums and discounts	533	744
Allowance for loan losses	12,131	11,776
Net loans	$901,614	$899,077

Loans expected to be sold are classified as held for sale in the consolidated financial statements and are recorded at the lower of aggregate cost or market value, taking into consideration future commitments to sell the loans. These loans are primarily for 1-4 family residential properties. The balance of loans held for sale, excluded from the balances above, were $2,068,000 and $212,000 at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Most of the Company's business activities are with customers located within central Illinois. At June 30, 2013, the Company's loan portfolio included $147.1 million of loans to borrowers whose businesses are directly related to agriculture. Of this amount, $123.6 million was concentrated in other grain farming. Total loans to borrowers whose businesses are directly related to agriculture decreased $0.1 million from $147.2 million at December 31, 2012 while loans concentrated in other grain farming decreased $0.8 million from $124.4 million at December 31, 2012 due to seasonal paydowns based upon timing of cash flow requirements. While the Company adheres to sound underwriting practices, including collateralization of loans, any extended period of low commodity prices, drought conditions, significantly reduced yields on crops and/or reduced levels of government assistance to the agricultural industry could result in an increase in the level of problem agriculture loans and potentially result in loan losses within the agricultural portfolio.

In addition, the Company has $45.3 million of loans to motels and hotels. The performance of these loans is dependent on borrower specific issues as well as the general level of business and personal travel within the region. While the Company adheres to sound underwriting standards, a prolonged period of reduced business or personal travel could result in an increase in nonperforming loans to this business segment and potentially in loan losses. The Company also has $96.5 million of loans to lessors of non-residential buildings and $56.5 million of loans to lessors of residential buildings and dwellings.

The structure of the Company's loan approval process is based on progressively larger lending authorities granted to individual loan officers, loan committees, and ultimately the Board of Directors. Outstanding balances to one borrower or affiliated borrowers are limited by federal regulation; however, limits well below the regulatory thresholds are generally observed. The vast majority of the Company's loans are to businesses located in the geographic market areas served by the Company's branch bank system. Additionally, a significant portion of the collateral securing the loans in the portfolio is located within the Company's primary geographic footprint. In general, the Company adheres to loan underwriting standards consistent with industry guidelines for all loan segments.

17

The Company’s lending can be summarized into the following primary areas:

Commercial Real Estate Loans. Commercial real estate loans are generally comprised of loans to small business entities to purchase or expand structures in which the business operations are housed, loans to owners of real estate who lease space to non-related commercial entities, loans for construction and land development, loans to hotel operators, and loans to owners of multi-family residential structures, such as apartment buildings. Commercial real estate loans are underwritten based on historical and projected cash flows of the borrower and secondarily on the underlying real estate pledged as collateral on the debt. For the various types of commercial real estate loans, minimum criteria have been established within the Company’s loan policy regarding debt service coverage while maximum limits on loan-to-value and amortization periods have been defined. Maximum loan-to-value ratios range from 65% to 80% depending upon the type of real estate collateral, while the desired minimum debt coverage ratio is 1.20x. Amortization periods for commercial real estate loans are generally limited to twenty years. The Company’s commercial real estate portfolio is well below the thresholds that would designate a concentration in commercial real estate lending, as established by the federal banking regulators.

Commercial and Industrial Loans. Commercial and industrial loans are primarily comprised of working capital loans used to purchase inventory and fund accounts receivable that are secured by business assets other than real estate. These loans are generally written for one year or less. Also, equipment financing is provided to businesses with these loans generally limited to 80% of the value of the collateral and amortization periods limited to seven years. Commercial loans are often accompanied by a personal guaranty of the principal owners of a business. Like commercial real estate loans, the underlying cash flow of the business is the primary consideration in the underwriting process. The financial condition of commercial borrowers is monitored at least annually with the type of financial information required determined by the size of the relationship. Measures employed by the Company for businesses with higher risk profiles include the use of government-assisted lending programs through the Small Business Administration and U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Agricultural and Agricultural Real Estate Loans. Agricultural loans are generally comprised of seasonal operating lines to cash grain farmers to plant and harvest corn and soybeans and term loans to fund the purchase of equipment. Agricultural real estate loans are primarily comprised of loans for the purchase of farmland. Specific underwriting standards have been established for agricultural-related loans including the establishment of projections for each operating year based on industry developed estimates of farm input costs and expected commodity yields and prices. Operating lines are typically written for one year and secured by the crop. Loan-to-value ratios on loans secured by farmland generally do not exceed 65% and have amortization periods limited to twenty five years. Federal government-assistance lending programs through the Farm Service Agency are used to mitigate the level of credit risk when deemed appropriate.

Residential Real Estate Loans. Residential real estate loans generally include loans for the purchase or refinance of residential real estate properties consisting of one-to-four units and home equity loans and lines of credit. The Company sells the vast majority of its long-term fixed rate residential real estate loans to secondary market investors. The Company also releases the servicing of these loans upon sale. The Company retains all residential real estate loans with balloon payment features. Balloon periods are limited to five years. Residential real estate loans are typically underwritten to conform to industry standards including criteria for maximum debt-to-income and loan-to-value ratios as well as minimum credit scores. Loans secured by first liens on residential real estate held in the portfolio typically do not exceed 80% of the value of the collateral and have amortization periods of twenty five years or less. The Company does not originate subprime mortgage loans.

Consumer Loans. Consumer loans are primarily comprised of loans to individuals for personal and household purposes such as the purchase of an automobile or other living expenses. Minimum underwriting criteria have been established that consider credit score, debt-to-income ratio, employment history, and collateral coverage. Typically,

consumer loans are set up on monthly payments with amortization periods based on the type and age of the collateral.

Other Loans. Other loans consist primarily of loans to municipalities to support community projects such as infrastructure improvements or equipment purchases. Underwriting guidelines for these loans are consistent with those established for commercial loans with the additional repayment source of the taxing authority of the municipality.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses represents the Company's best estimate of the reserve necessary to adequately account for probable losses existing in the current portfolio. The provision for loan losses is the charge against current earnings that is determined by the Company as the amount needed to maintain an adequate allowance for loan losses.

In determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, and therefore the provision to be charged to current earnings, the Company relies predominantly on a disciplined credit review and approval process that extends to the full range of the Company's credit exposure. The review process is directed by the overall lending policy and is intended to identify, at the earliest possible stage, borrowers who might be facing financial difficulty. Once identified, the magnitude of exposure to individual borrowers is quantified in the form of specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses. The Company considers collateral values and guarantees in the determination of such specific allocations. Additional factors considered by the Company in evaluating the overall adequacy of the allowance include historical net loan losses, the level and composition of nonaccrual, past due and troubled debt restructurings, trends in volumes and terms of loans, effects of changes in risk selection and underwriting standards or lending practices, lending staff changes, concentrations of credit, industry conditions and the current economic conditions in the region where the Company operates.

The Company estimates the appropriate level of allowance for loan losses by separately evaluating large impaired loans, large adversely classified loans and nonimpaired loans.

Impaired loans
The Company individually evaluates certain loans for impairment. In general, these loans have been internally identified via the Company's loan grading system as credits requiring management's attention due to underlying problems in the borrower's business or collateral concerns. This evaluation considers expected future cash flows, the value of collateral and also other factors that may impact the borrower's ability to make payments when due. For loans greater than $100,000 in the commercial, commercial real estate, agricultural, agricultural real estate segments, impairment is individually measured each quarter using one of three alternatives: (1) the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate; (2) the loan's observable market price, if available; or (3) the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell for collateral dependent loans and loans for which foreclosure is deemed to be probable. A specific allowance is assigned when expected cash flows or collateral do not justify the carrying amount of the loan. The carrying value of the loan reflects reductions from prior charge-offs.

Adversely classified loans
A detailed analysis is also performed on each adversely classified (substandard or doubtful rated) borrower with an aggregate, outstanding balance of $100,000 or more. This analysis includes commercial, commercial real estate, agricultural, and agricultural real estate borrowers who are not currently identified as impaired but pose sufficient risk to warrant in-depth review. Estimated collateral shortfalls are then calculated with allocations for each loan segment based on the five-year historical average of collateral shortfalls adjusted for environmental factors including changes in economic conditions, changes in credit policies or underwriting standards, and changes in the level of credit risk associated with specific industries and markets. Because the economic and business climate in any given industry or market, and its impact on any given borrower, can change rapidly, the risk profile of the loan portfolio is periodically assessed and adjusted when appropriate. Consumer loans are evaluated for adverse classification based primarily on the Uniform Retail Credit Classification and Account Management Policy established by the federal banking regulators. Classification standards are generally based on delinquency status, collateral coverage, bankruptcy and the presence of fraud.

Non-classified and Watch loans
For loans, in all segments of the portfolio, that are considered to possess levels of risk commensurate with a pass rating, management establishes base loss estimations which are derived from historical loss experience. Use of a five-year historical loss period eliminates the effect of any significant losses that can be attributed to a single event or borrower during a given reporting period. The base loss estimations for each loan segment are adjusted after consideration of several environmental factors influencing the level of credit risk in the portfolio. In addition, loans rated as watch are further segregated in the commercial / commercial real estate and agricultural / agricultural real estate segments. These loans possess potential weaknesses that, if unchecked, may result in deterioration to the point

of becoming a problem asset. Due to the elevated risk inherent in these loans, an allocation of twice the adjusted base loss estimation of the applicable loan segment is determined appropriate.

Due to weakened economic conditions during recent years, the Company established allocations for each of the loan segments at levels above the base loss estimations. Some of the economic factors included the potential for reduced cash flow for commercial operating loans from reduction in sales or increased operating costs, decreased occupancy rates for commercial buildings, reduced levels of home sales for commercial land developments, the uncertainty regarding grain prices and increased operating costs for farmers, and increased levels of unemployment and bankruptcy impacting consumer's ability to pay. Each of these economic uncertainties was taken into consideration in developing the level of the reserve. The Company has not materially changed any aspect of its overall approach in the determination of the allowance for loan losses. However, on an on-going basis the Company continues to refine the methods used in determining management's best estimate of the allowance for loan losses.

19

The following tables present the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans based on portfolio segment and impairment method for the three and six-months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 and for the year ended December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Commercial/ Commercial Real Estate	Agricultural/ Agricultural Real Estate	Residential Real Estate	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
Three months ended June 30, 2013						
Allowance for loan losses:						
Balance, beginning of period	$9,341	$417	$719	$422	$1,085	$11,984
Provision charged to expense	40	(3)	92	(15)	138	252
Losses charged off	(98)	—	(19)	(51)	—	(168)
Recoveries	21	—	1	41	—	63
Balance, end of period	$9,304	$414	$793	$397	$1,223	$12,131
Ending balance:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	$439	$—	$—	$—	$—	$439
Collectively evaluated for impairment	$8,865	$414	$793	$397	$1,223	$11,692
Three months ended June 30, 2012						
Allowance for loan losses:						
Balance, beginning of period	$8,810	$570	$710	$386	$817	$11,293
Provision charged to expense	453	76	68	27	(208)	416
Losses charged off	(295)	(12)	(44)	(47)		(398)
Recoveries	39	67	9	29		144
Balance, end of period	$9,007	$701	$743	$395	$609	$11,455
Ending balance:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	$645	$89	$—	$—	$—	$734
Collectively evaluated for impairment	$8,362	$612	$743	$395	$609	$10,721
Six months ended June 30, 2013						
Allowance for loan losses:						
Balance, beginning of year	$9,301	$558	$726	$403	$788	$11,776
Provision charged to expense	284	(145)	144	14	435	732
Losses charged off	(367)	—	(86)	(97)	—	(550)
Recoveries	86	1	9	77	—	173
Balance, end of period	$9,304	$414	$793	$397	$1,223	$12,131
Ending balance:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	$439	$—	$—	$—	$—	$439
Collectively evaluated for impairment	$8,865	$414	$793	$397	$1,223	$11,692
Loans:						
Ending balance	$564,526	$147,218	$188,255	$15,814	$—	$915,813
Ending balance:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	$5,232	$312	$—	$—	$—	$5,544
Collectively evaluated for impairment	$559,294	$146,906	$188,255	$15,814	$—	$910,269

	Commercial/ Commercial Real Estate	Agricultural/ Agricultural Real Estate	Residential Real Estate	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
Six months ended June 30, 2012						
Allowance for loan losses:						
Balance, beginning of year	$8,791	$546	$636	$378	$769	$11,120
Provision charged to expense	748	100	291	52	(160)	1,031
Losses charged off	(598)	(12)	(205)	(95)	—	(910)
Recoveries	66	67	21	60	—	214
Balance, end of period	$9,007	$701	$743	$395	$609	$11,455
Ending balance:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	$645	$89	$—	$—	$—	$734
Collectively evaluated for impairment	$8,362	$612	$743	$395	$609	$10,721
Loans:						
Ending balance	$517,406	$132,611	$179,577	$15,600	$731	$845,925
Ending balance:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	$4,487	$947	$—	$—	$—	$5,434
Collectively evaluated for impairment	$512,919	$131,664	$179,577	$15,600	$731	$840,491
Year ended December 31, 2012						
Allowance for loan losses:						
Balance, beginning of year	$8,791	$546	$636	$378	$769	$11,120
Provision charged to expense	1,979	(47)	580	116	19	2,647
Losses charged off	(1,586)	(12)	(524)	(249)	—	(2,371)
Recoveries	117	71	34	158	—	380
Balance, end of year	$9,301	$558	$726	$403	$788	$11,776
Ending balance:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	$457	$54	$—	$—	$—	$511
Collectively evaluated for impairment	$8,844	$504	$726	$403	$788	$11,265
Loans:						
Ending balance	$569,717	$145,695	$179,309	$16,066	$278	$911,065
Ending balance:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	$5,334	$1,230	$—	$—	$—	$6,564
Collectively evaluated for impairment	$564,383	$144,465	$179,309	$16,066	$278	$904,501

Consistent with regulatory guidance, charge-offs on all loan segments are taken when specific loans, or portions thereof, are considered uncollectible. The Company's policy is to promptly charge these loans off in the period the uncollectible loss is reasonably determined.

For all loan portfolio segments except 1-4 family residential properties and consumer, the Company promptly charges-off loans, or portions thereof, when available information confirms that specific loans are uncollectible based on information that includes, but is not limited to, (1) the deteriorating financial condition of the borrower, (2) declining collateral values, and/or (3) legal action, including bankruptcy, that impairs the borrower's ability to adequately meet its obligations. For impaired loans that are considered to be solely collateral dependent, a partial charge-off is recorded when a loss has been confirmed by an updated appraisal or other appropriate valuation of the collateral.

The Company charges-off 1-4 family residential and consumer loans, or portions thereof, when the Company reasonably determines the amount of the loss. The Company adheres to timeframes established by applicable regulatory guidance which provides for the charge-down of 1-4 family first and junior lien mortgages to the net realizable value less costs to sell when the loan is 180 days past due, charge-off of unsecured open-end loans when the loan is 180 days past due, and charge down to the net realizable value when other secured loans are 120 days past due. Loans at these respective delinquency thresholds for which the Company can clearly document that the loan is both well-secured and in the process of collection, such that collection will occur regardless of delinquency status, need not be charged off.

Credit Quality

The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, collateral support, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. This analysis includes loans with an outstanding balance greater than $100,000 and non-homogeneous loans, such as commercial and commercial real estate loans. This analysis is performed on a continuous basis. The Company uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

Watch. Loans classified as watch have a potential weakness that deserves management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or of the institution's credit position at some future date.

Substandard. Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current sound-worthiness and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful. Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing factors, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable.

Loans not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the above described process are considered pass rated loans.

The following tables present the credit risk profile of the Company's loan portfolio based on rating category and payment activity as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Construction & Land Development		Agricultural Real Estate		1-4 Family Residential Properties		Multifamily Residential Properties	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
Pass	$18,737	$27,217	$93,283	$82,516	$185,885	$183,880	$44,207	$44,863
Watch	2,099	2,135	1,225	2,662	275	424	—	—
Substandard	1,766	1,989	769	1,093	2,095	2,194	—	—
Doubtful	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	$22,602	$31,341	$95,277	$86,271	$188,255	$186,498	$44,207	$44,863

	Commercial Real Estate (Nonfarm/Nonresidential)		Agricultural Loans		Commercial & Industrial Loans		Consumer Loans	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
Pass	$ 308,675	$ 287,794	$51,111	$56,899	$141,874	$157,461	$15,774	$16,236
Watch	22,636	24,213	—	958	10,073	1,588	—	14
Substandard	3,493	4,315	830	3,157	1,128	1,250	40	14
Doubtful	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	$ 334,804	$ 316,322	$51,941	$61,014	$153,075	$160,299	$15,814	$16,264

	All Other Loans		Total Loans	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Pass	$9,838	$8,193	$869,384	$865,059
Watch	—	—	36,308	31,994
Substandard	—	—	10,121	14,012
Doubtful	—	—	—	—
Total	$9,838	$8,193	$915,813	$911,065

23

The following table presents the Company's loan portfolio aging analysis at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	30-59 days Past Due	60-89 days Past Due	90 Days or More Past Due	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans Receivable	Total Loans > 90 days & Accruing
June 30, 2013							
Construction and land development	$—	$—	$13	$13	$22,589	$22,602	$—
Agricultural real estate	153	—	103	256	95,021	95,277	—
1-4 Family residential properties	523	310	478	1,311	186,944	188,255	—
Multifamily residential properties	—	—	—	—	44,207	44,207	—
Commercial real estate	195	388	215	798	334,006	334,804	—
Loans secured by real estate	871	698	809	2,378	682,767	685,145	—
Agricultural loans	50	—	209	259	51,682	51,941	—
Commercial and industrial loans	83	254	163	500	152,575	153,075	—
Consumer loans	81	36	24	141	15,673	15,814	—
All other loans	—	—	—	—	9,838	9,838	—
Total loans	$1,085	$988	$1,205	$3,278	$912,535	$915,813	$—
December 31, 2012							
Construction and land development	$—	$53	$—	$53	$31,288	$31,341	$—
Agricultural real estate	592	—	293	885	85,386	86,271	—
1-4 Family residential properties	1,351	40	944	2,335	184,163	186,498	—
Multifamily residential properties	—	—	—	—	44,863	44,863	—
Commercial real estate	262	911	255	1,428	314,894	316,322	—
Loans secured by real estate	2,205	1,004	1,492	4,701	660,594	665,295	—
Agricultural loans	—	—	620	620	60,394	61,014	—
Commercial and industrial loans	413	275	53	741	159,558	160,299	—
Consumer loans	119	24	39	182	16,082	16,264	—
All other loans	—	—	—	—	8,193	8,193	—
Total loans	$2,737	$1,303	$2,204	$6,244	$904,821	$911,065	$—

Impaired Loans

Within all loan portfolio segments, loans are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due from the borrower in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. The entire balance of a loan is considered delinquent if the minimum payment contractually required to be made is not received by the specified due date. Impaired loans, excluding certain troubled debt restructured loans, are placed on nonaccrual status. Impaired loans include nonaccrual loans and loans modified in troubled debt restructurings where concessions have been granted to borrowers experiencing financial difficulties. These concessions could include a reduction in the interest rate on the loan, payment extensions, forgiveness of principal, forbearance or other actions intended to maximize collection. It is the Company's policy to have any restructured loans which are on nonaccrual status prior to being modified remain on nonaccrual status until, in the opinion of management, the financial position of the borrower indicates there is no longer any reasonable doubt as to the timely collection of interest or principal. If the restructured loan is on accrual status prior to being modified, the loan is reviewed to determine if the modified loan should remain on accrual status.

The Company's policy is to discontinue the accrual of interest income on all loans for which principal or interest is ninety days past due. The accrual of interest is discontinued earlier when, in the opinion of management, there is reasonable doubt as to the timely collection of interest or principal. Once interest accruals are discontinued, accrued but uncollected interest is charged against current year income. Subsequent receipts on non-accrual loans are recorded as a reduction of principal, and interest income is recorded only after principal recovery is reasonably assured. Interest on loans determined to be troubled debt restructurings is recognized on an accrual basis in accordance with the restructured terms if the loan is in compliance with the modified terms.

Nonaccrual loans are returned to accrual status when, in the opinion of management, the financial position of the borrower indicates there is no longer any reasonable doubt as to the timely collection of interest or principal. The Company requires a period of satisfactory performance of not less than six months before returning a nonaccrual loan to accrual status.

The following tables present impaired loans as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Recorded Balance	Unpaid Principal Balance	Specific Allowance	Recorded Balance	Unpaid Principal Balance	Specific Allowance
Loans with a specific allowance:						
Construction and land development	$1,408	$2,109	$181	$1,114	$1,529	$295
Agricultural real estate	—	—	—	—	—	—
1-4 Family residential properties	—	—	—	636	723	162
Multifamily residential properties	—	—	—	—	—	—
Commercial real estate	819	819	89	—	—	—
Loans secured by real estate	2,227	2,928	270	1,750	2,252	457
Agricultural loans	—	—	—	310	310	54
Commercial and industrial loans	812	812	167	—	—	—
Consumer loans	12	12	2	—	—	—
All other loans	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total loans	$3,051	$3,752	$439	$2,060	$2,562	$511
Loans without a specific allowance:						
Construction and land development	$13	$21	$—	$408	$694	$—
Agricultural real estate	216	226	—	418	429	—
1-4 Family residential properties	1,191	1,796	—	1,269	1,792	—
Multifamily residential properties	—	—	—	—	—	—
Commercial real estate	1,515	1,575	—	2,063	2,253	—
Loans secured by real estate	2,935	3,618	—	4,158	5,168	—
Agricultural loans	209	209	—	620	1,568	—
Commercial and industrial loans	597	898	—	704	—	—
Consumer loans	44	83	—	51	58	—
All other loans	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total loans	$3,785	$4,808	$—	$5,533	$6,794	$—
Total loans:						
Construction and land development	$1,421	$2,130	$181	$1,522	$2,223	$295
Agricultural real estate	216	226	—	418	429	—
1-4 Family residential properties	1,191	1,796	—	1,905	2,515	162
Multifamily residential properties	—	—	—	—	—	—
Commercial real estate	2,334	2,394	89	2,063	2,253	—
Loans secured by real estate	5,162	6,546	270	5,908	7,420	457
Agricultural loans	209	209	—	930	1,878	54
Commercial and industrial loans	1,409	1,710	167	704	—	—
Consumer loans	56	95	2	51	58	—
All other loans	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total loans	$6,836	$8,560	$439	$7,593	$9,356	$511

The following tables present average recorded investment and interest income recognized on impaired loans for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

	For the three months ended			
	June 30, 2013		June 30, 2012	
	Average Investment in Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized	Average Investment in Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized
Construction and land development	$1,425	$—	$1,014	$—
Agricultural real estate	216	—	206	—
1-4 Family residential properties	1,283	1	1,621	—
Multifamily residential properties	—	—		—
Commercial real estate	2,353	—	2,437	7
Loans secured by real estate	5,277	1	5,278	7
Agricultural loans	229	—	864	—
Commercial and industrial loans	1,430	—	780	3
Consumer loans	57	1	15	—
All other loans	—	—	—	—
Total loans	$6,993	$2	$6,937	$10

	For the six months ended			
	June 30, 2013		June 30, 2012	
	Average Investment in Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized	Average Investment in Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized
Construction and land development	$1,445	$—	$1,014	$—
Agricultural real estate	217	—	206	—
1-4 Family residential properties	1,414	2	1,632	—
Multifamily residential properties	—	—	—	—
Commercial real estate	2,389	—	2,349	14
Loans secured by real estate	5,465	2	5,201	14
Agricultural loans	253	—	883	—
Commercial and industrial loans	1,482	—	834	7
Consumer loans	59	1	17	—
All other loans	—	—	—	—
Total loans	$7,259	$3	$6,935	$21

The amount of interest income recognized by the Company within the periods stated above was due to loans modified in a troubled debt restructuring that remained on accrual status. The balance of loans modified in a troubled debt restructuring included in the impaired loans stated above that were still accruing was $103,000 of 1-4 Family residential properties and $22,000 of consumer loans at June 30, 2013 and $7,000 of 1-4 family residential properties, $389,000 of commercial real estate and $304,000 of commercial and industrial at June 30, 2012. For the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the amount of interest income recognized using a cash-basis method of accounting during the period that the loans were impaired was not material.

27

Non Accrual Loans

The following table presents the Company's recorded balance of nonaccrual loans as June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands). This table excludes purchased impaired loans and performing troubled debt restructurings.

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Construction and land development	$1,421	$1,522
Agricultural real estate	216	418
1-4 Family residential properties	1,088	1,899
Multifamily residential properties	—	—
Commercial real estate	2,334	2,063
Loans secured by real estate	5,059	5,902
Agricultural loans	209	930
Commercial and industrial loans	1,409	704
Consumer loans	34	37
All other loans	—	—
Total loans	$6,711	$7,573

Interest income that would have been recorded under the original terms of such nonaccrual loans totaled $72,000 and $130,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Troubled Debt Restructuring

The balance of troubled debt restructurings at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was $3,285,000 and $3,339,000, respectively. Approximately $352,000 and $295,000 in specific reserves have been established with respect to these loans as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. As troubled debt restructurings, these loans are included in nonperforming loans and are classified as impaired which requires that they be individually measured for impairment. The modification of the terms of these loans included one or a combination of the following: a reduction of stated interest rate of the loan; an extension of the maturity date and change in payment terms; or a permanent reduction of the recorded investment in the loan.

The following table presents the Company's recorded balance of troubled debt restructurings at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands).

Troubled debt restructurings:	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Construction and land development	$1,409	$1,522
1-4 Family residential properties	511	445
Commercial real estate	898	950
Loans secured by real estate	2,818	2,917
Commercial and industrial loans	446	408
Consumer loans	21	14
Total	$3,285	$3,339
Performing troubled debt restructurings:		
1-4 Family residential properties	$103	$6
Commercial real estate	—	—
Loans secured by real estate	103	6

Commercial and industrial loans	—	—
Consumer loans	22	14
Total	$125	$20

28

The following table presents loans modified as TDRs during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, as a result of various modified loan factors (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013			June 30, 2012		
	Number of Modifications	Recorded Investment	Type of Modifications	Number of Modifications	Recorded Investment	Type of Modifications
Construction and land development	—	$—		3	$ 1,014	(a)
1-4 Family residential properties	3	98	(a)(b)(c)	2	94	(b)
Commercial real estate	—	—		5	296	(b)
Loans secured by real estate	3	98		10	1,404	
Commercial and industrial loans	1	56	(a)(b)	1	16	(a)(b)
Consumer Loans	1	8	(c)	—	—	
Total	5	$ 162		11	$ 1,420	

Type of modifications:
(a) Reduction of stated interest rate of loan
(b) Change in payment terms
(c) Extension of maturity date

A loan is considered to be in payment default once it is 90 days past due under the modified terms. There were no loans modified as troubled debt restructurings during the prior twelve months that experienced defaults during the six months ended June 30, 2013 or the year ended December 31, 2012.

Note 5 -- Repurchase Agreements and Other Borrowings

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase had a decrease of $18.8 million during the first six months of 2013 primarily due to the seasonal declines in balances of various customers.

Note 6 -- Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value measurements must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. There is a hierarchy of three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1	Valuations for assets and liabilities traded in active exchange markets, such as the New York Stock Exchange. Valuations are obtained from readily available pricing sources for market transactions involving identical assets or liabilities.
Level 2	Valuations for assets and liabilities traded in less active dealer or broker markets. Valuations are obtained from third party pricing services for identical or comparable assets or liabilities which use

	observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in active markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.
Level 3	Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

29

Following is a description of the inputs and valuation methodologies used for assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis and recognized in the accompanying balance sheets, as well as the general classification of such assets pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Available-for-Sale Securities. The fair value of available-for-sale securities is determined by various valuation methodologies. Where quoted market prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated by using quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics or independent asset pricing services and pricing models, the inputs of which are market-based or independent sources of market parameters, including but not limited to, yield curves, interest rates, volatilities, prepayments, defaults, cumulative loss projections and cash flows. Such securities are classified in Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. In certain cases where Level 1 or Level 2 inputs are not available, securities are classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy and include subordinated tranches of collateralized mortgage obligations and investments in trust preferred securities.

Fair value determinations for Level 3 measurements of securities are the responsibility of the Treasury function of the Company. The Company contracts with a pricing specialist to generate fair value estimates on a monthly basis. The Treasury function of the Company challenges the reasonableness of the assumptions used and reviews the methodology to ensure the estimated fair value complies with accounting standards generally accepted in the United States, analyzes the changes in fair value and compares these changes to internally developed expectations and monitors these changes for appropriateness.

The trust preferred securities are collateralized debt obligation securities that are backed by trust preferred securities issued by banks, thrifts, and insurance companies. The market for these securities at June 30, 2013 is not active and markets for similar securities are also not active. The inactivity was evidenced first by a significant widening of the bid-ask spread in the brokered markets in which trust preferred securities trade and then by a significant decrease in the volume of trades relative to historical levels. The new issue market is also inactive and will continue to be, as a result of the Dodd-Frank Act’s elimination of trust preferred securities from Tier 1 capital for certain holding companies. There are currently very few market participants who are willing and or able to transact for these securities. The market values for these securities are very depressed relative to historical levels.

Given conditions in the debt markets today and the absence of observable transactions in the secondary and new issue markets, we determined:

- The few observable transactions and market quotations that are available are not reliable for purposes of determining fair value at June 30, 2013,

- An income valuation approach technique (present value technique) that maximizes the use of relevant observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs will be equally or more representative of fair value than the market approach valuation technique used at prior measurement dates, and

- The trust preferred securities held by the Company will be classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy because we determined that significant adjustments are required to determine fair value at the measurement date.

The following table presents the Company's assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis and the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

		Fair Value Measurements Using		
	Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
June 30, 2013				
Available-for-sale securities:				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	$207,517	$—	$207,517	$—
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	59,393	—	59,393	—
Mortgage-backed securities	260,047	—	260,047	—
Trust preferred securities	835	—	—	835
Other securities	6,043	53	5,990	—
Total available-for-sale securities	$533,835	$53	$532,947	$835
December 31, 2012				
Available-for-sale securities:				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	$182,169	$—	$182,169	$—
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	56,207	—	56,207	—
Mortgage-backed securities	259,460	—	259,460	—
Trust preferred securities	585	—	—	585
Other securities	9,888	60	9,828	—
Total available-for-sale securities	$508,309	$60	$507,664	$585

31

The change in fair value of assets measured on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 is summarized as follows (in thousands):

	Available-for-Sale Securities		
June 30, 2013	Mortgage-backed Securities	Trust Preferred Securities	Total
Beginning balance	$—	$585	$585
Transfers into Level 3	—	—	—
Transfers out of Level 3	—	—	—
Total gains or losses			
Included in net income	—	—	—
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	—	434	434
Purchases, issuances, sales and settlements			
Purchases	—	—	—
Issuances	—	—	—
Sales	—	—	—
Settlements	—	(184)	(184)
Ending balance	$—	$835	$835
Total gains or losses for the period included in net income attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses related to assets and liabilities still held at the reporting date	$—	$—	$—

	Available-for-Sale Securities		
June 30, 2012	Mortgage-backed Securities	Trust Preferred Securities	Total
Beginning balance	$58	$719	$777
Transfers into Level 3	—	—	—
Transfers out of Level 3	(58)	—	(58)
Total gains or losses			
Included in net income	—	—	—
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	—	182	182
Purchases, issuances, sales and settlements			
Purchases	—	—	—
Issuances	—	—	—
Sales	—	—	—
Settlements	—	(253)	(253)
Ending balance	$—	$648	$648
Total gains or losses for the period included in net income attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses related to assets and liabilities still held at the reporting date	$—	$—	$—

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and recognized in the accompanying balance sheets, as well as the general classification of such assets pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Impaired Loans (Collateral Dependent). Loans for which it is probable that the Company will not collect all principal and interest due according to contractual terms are measured for impairment. Allowable methods for determining the

amount of impairment and estimating fair value include using the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans.

32

If the impaired loan is identified as collateral dependent, then the fair value method of measuring the amount of impairment is utilized. This method requires obtaining a current independent appraisal of the collateral and applying a discount factor to the value. Impaired loans that are collateral dependent are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy when impairment is determined using the fair value method.

Management establishes a specific allowance for impaired loans that have an estimated fair value that is below the carrying value. The total carrying amount of loans for which a specific allowance has been established as of June 30, 2013 was $2,479,000 and a fair value of $2,040,000 resulting in specific loss exposures of $439,000.

When there is little prospect of collecting principal or interest, loans, or portions of loans, may be charged-off to the allowance for loan losses. Losses are recognized in the period an obligation becomes uncollectible. The recognition of a loss does not mean that the loan has absolutely no recovery or salvage value, but rather that it is not practical or desirable to defer writing off the loan even though partial recovery may be affected in the future.

Foreclosed Assets Held For Sale. Other real estate owned acquired through loan foreclosure are initially recorded at fair value less costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. The adjustment at the time of foreclosure is recorded through the allowance for loan losses. Due to the subjective nature of establishing the fair value when the asset is acquired, the actual fair value of the other real estate owned or foreclosed asset could differ from the original estimate. If it is determined that fair value declines subsequent to foreclosure, a valuation allowance is recorded through noninterest expense. Operating costs associated with the assets after acquisition are also recorded as noninterest expense. Gains and losses on the disposition of other real estate owned and foreclosed assets are netted and posted to other noninterest expense. The total carrying amount of other real estate owned as of June 30, 2013 was $1,003,000. Other real estate owned included in the total carrying amount and measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during the period amounted to $297,000.

The following table presents the fair value measurement of assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Fair Value Measurements Using			
	Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
June 30, 2013				
Impaired loans (collateral dependent)	$2,040	$—	$—	$2,040
Foreclosed assets held for sale	297	—	—	297
December 31, 2012				
Impaired loans (collateral dependent)	$2,681	$—	$—	$2,681
Foreclosed assets held for sale	70	—	—	70

Sensitivity of Significant Unobservable Inputs

The following is a discussion of the sensitivity of significant unobservable inputs, the interrelationships between those inputs and other unobservable inputs used in recurring fair value measurement and of how those inputs might magnify or mitigate the effect of changes in the unobservable inputs on the fair value measurement.

Trust Preferred Securities. The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company’s trust preferred securities are offered quotes and comparability adjustments. Significant increases (decreases) in any of those inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Generally, changes in either of those inputs will not affect the other input.

33

The following table presents quantitative information about unobservable inputs used in recurring and nonrecurring Level 3 fair value measurements other than goodwill.

	Fair Value at June 30, 2013	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Inputs	Range (Weighted Average)
Trust Preferred Securities	$835	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	8.7 %- 18.5% (16.8 %)
			Constant prepayment rate (1)	1.34 %
			Cumulative projected prepayments	22.9 %- 53.8% (28.1 %)
			Probability of default	0.4 %- 7.3% (1.5 %)
			Projected cures given deferral	0 %- 11.5% (10.5 %)
			Loss severity	95.0 %- 100.0% (95.9 %)
Impaired loans (collateral dependent)	$2,040	Third party valuations	Discount to reflect realizable value	0 %- 40% (20 %)
Foreclosed assets held for sale	$297	Third party valuations	Discount to reflect realizable value less estimated selling costs	0 %- 40% (35 %)

(1) Every five years

Other. The following methods were used to estimate the fair value of all other financial instruments recognized in the accompanying balance sheets at amounts other than fair value.

Cash and Cash Equivalents and Federal Reserve and Federal Home Loan Bank Stock
The carrying amount approximates fair value.

Certificates of Deposit Investments
The fair value of certificates of deposit investments is estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Held-to-maturity Securities
Fair value is based on quoted market prices, if available. If a quoted market price is not available, fair value is estimated using quoted market prices for similar securities.

Loans
For loans with floating interest rates, it is assumed that the estimated fair values generally approximate the carrying amount balances. Fixed rate loans have been valued using a discounted present value of projected cash flow. The discount rate used in these calculations is the current rate at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities. The carrying amount of accrued interest approximates its fair value.

Deposits
Deposits include demand deposits, savings accounts, NOW accounts and certain money market deposits. The carrying amount of these deposits approximates fair value. The fair value of fixed-maturity time deposits is estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase
The fair value of securities sold under agreements to repurchased is estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Short-term Borrowings and Interest Payable
The carrying amount approximates fair value.

Long-term Debt and Federal Home Loan Bank Advances
Rates currently available to the Company for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities are used to estimate the fair value of existing debt.

The following tables present estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 in accordance with FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, codified with ASC 805 (in thousands):

	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
June 30, 2013					
Financial Assets					
Cash and due from banks	$31,367	$31,367	$31,367	$—	$—
Federal funds sold	498	498	498	—	—
Certificates of deposit investments	1,992	1,992	1,992	—	—
Available-for-sale securities	533,835	533,835	53	532,947	835
Loans held for sale	2,068	2,068	—	2,068	—
Loans net of allowance for loan losses	901,614	914,278	—	—	914,278
Interest receivable	5,986	5,986	—	5,986	—
Federal Reserve Bank stock	1,522	1,522	—	1,522	—
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	3,391	3,391	—	3,391	—
Financial Liabilities					
Deposits	$1,271,134	$1,266,715	$—	$1,071,703	$195,012
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	94,694	94,699	—	94,699	—
Interest payable	271	271	—	271	—
Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings	12,500	13,071	—	13,071	—
Junior subordinated debentures	20,620	12,078	—	12,078	—
December 31, 2012					
Financial Assets					
Cash and due from banks	$62,213	$62,213	$62,213	$—	$—
Federal funds sold	20,499	20,499	20,499	—	—
Certificates of deposit investments	6,665	6,669	6,669	—	—
Available-for-sale securities	508,309	508,309	60	507,664	585
Loans held for sale	212	212	—	212	—
Loans net of allowance for loan losses	899,077	908,281	—	—	908,281
Interest receivable	6,775	6,775	—	6,775	—
Federal Reserve Bank stock	1,522	1,522	—	1,522	—
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	3,293	3,293	—	3,293	—
Financial Liabilities					
Deposits	$1,274,065	$1,275,127	$—	$1,066,788	$208,339
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	113,484	113,490	—	113,490	—
Interest payable	341	341	—	341	—
Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings	5,000	5,719	—	5,719	—
Junior subordinated debentures	20,620	11,386	—	11,386	—

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis is intended to provide a better understanding of the consolidated financial condition and results of operations of the Company and its subsidiaries as of, and for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements, related notes and selected financial data appearing elsewhere in this report.

Forward-Looking Statements

This report may contain certain forward-looking statements, such as discussions of the Company’s pricing and fee trends, credit quality and outlook, liquidity, new business results, expansion plans, anticipated expenses and planned schedules. The Company intends such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1955. Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain assumptions and describe future plans, strategies and expectations of the Company, are identified by use of the words “believe,” ”expect,” ”intend,” ”anticipate,” ”estimate,” ”project,” or similar expressions. Actual results could differ materially from the results indicated by these statements because the realization of those results is subject to many risks and uncertainties, including those described in Item 1A-“Risk Factors” and other sections of the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K and the Company’s other filings with the SEC, and changes in interest rates, general economic conditions and those in the Company’s market area, legislative/regulatory changes, monetary and fiscal policies of the U.S. Government, including policies of the U.S. Treasury and the Federal Reserve Board, the quality or composition of the loan or investment portfolios and the valuation of the investment portfolio, the Company’s success in raising capital and effecting and integrating acquisitions, demand for loan products, deposit flows, competition, demand for financial services in the Company’s market area and accounting principles, policies and guidelines. Furthermore, forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made. Except as required under the federal securities laws or the rules and regulations of the SEC, we do not undertake any obligation to update or review any forward-looking information, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Further information concerning the Company and its business, including a discussion of these and additional factors that could materially affect the Company’s financial results, is included in the Company’s 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K under the headings ”Item 1. Business” and “Item 1A. Risk Factors."

Overview

This overview of management’s discussion and analysis highlights selected information in this document and may not contain all of the information that is important to you. For a more complete understanding of trends, events, commitments, uncertainties, liquidity, capital resources, and critical accounting estimates which have an impact on the Company’s financial condition and results of operations you should carefully read this entire document.

Net income was $7,193,000 and $6,869,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Diluted net income per common share available to common stockholders was $0.84 and $0.80 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. The following table shows the Company’s annualized performance ratios for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, compared to the performance ratios for the year ended December 31, 2012:

	Six months ended				Year ended	
	June 30, 2013		June 30, 2012		December 31, 2012	
Return on average assets	0.91	%	0.90	%	0.91	%

Return on average common equity	9.45 %	9.46 %	9.53 %
Average equity to average assets	10.02 %	9.44 %	9.76 %

Total assets were $1.56 billion at June 30, 2013, compared to $1.58 billion as of December 31, 2012. From December 31, 2012 to June 30, 2013, cash and interest bearing deposits declined $50.8 million and net loan balances increased $2.5 million, and investment securities increased $25.5 million.

Net loan balances were $902 million at June 30, 2013, an increase of $2.5 million, from $899 million at December 31, 2012.

Net interest margin, defined as net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets, was 3.34% for the six months ended June 30, 2013, down from 3.43% for the same period in 2012. This decrease was primarily due to a greater decline in rates on earnings assets compared to the decline in rates on interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest income before the provision for loan losses was $24.5 million compared to net interest income of $24.3 million for the same period in 2012.

Total non-interest income of $9.3 million increased 2.1% from $9.1 million for the same period last year. Mortgage banking income increased from $563,000 for the second quarter of 2012 to $591,000 for the second quarter of this year as there continued to be greater refinance activity and an increase in new purchase activity. Revenues from trust and brokerage also increased from the second quarter of last year while insurance revenues declined due to lower contingency income received from carriers based upon claims experience.

Another contributing factor to performance for the second quarter of 2013 was keeping total operating expenses at approximately the same level as the second quarter of 2012. Despite increased regulatory operating costs, total non-interest expense for second quarter was $21.5 million for 2013, a .6% increase from $21.4 million for the same quarter of last year.

Following is a summary of the factors that contributed to the changes in net income (in thousands):

	Change in Net Income 2013 versus 2012	
	Three months ended June 30,	Six months ended June 30,
Net interest income	$83	$234
Provision for loan losses	164	299
Other income, including securities transactions	217	187
Other expenses	(136)	(131)
Income taxes	(142)	(265)
Increase in net income	$186	$324

Credit quality is an area of importance to the Company. Total nonperforming loans were $6.8 million at June 30, 2013, compared to $6.9 million at June 30, 2012 and $7.6 million at December 31, 2012. See the discussion under the heading “Loan Quality and Allowance for Loan Losses” for a detailed explanation of these balances. Repossessed asset balances totaled $1 million at June 30, 2013 compared to $2.8 million on June 30, 2012 and $1.2 million on December 31, 2012. The Company’s provision for loan losses for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was $732,000 and $1,031,000, respectively. Total loans past due 30 days or more declined to .36% of loans June 30, 2013 compared to .69% of loans at December 31, 2012 and .64% at June 30, 2012. At June 30, 2013, the composition of the loan portfolio remained similar to the same period last year. Loans secured by both commercial and residential real estate comprised approximately 74.7% of the loan portfolio as of June 30, 2013 and 73% as of December 31, 2012. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, annualized net charge-offs were .08% of average loans compared to .17% for the same period in 2012.

The Company’s capital position remains strong and the Company has consistently maintained regulatory capital ratios above the “well-capitalized” standards. The Company’s Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets ratio calculated under the regulatory risk-based capital requirements at June 30, 2013 and 2012 and December 31, 2012 was 15.03%, 14.88% and 14.51%, respectively. The Company’s total capital to risk weighted assets ratio calculated under the regulatory

risk-based capital requirements at June 30, 2013 and 2012 and December 31, 2012 was 16.21%, 16.04% and 15.65%, respectively.

The Company's liquidity position remains sufficient to fund operations and meet the requirements of borrowers, depositors, and creditors. The Company maintains various sources of liquidity to fund its cash needs. See the discussion under the heading "Liquidity" for a full listing of sources and anticipated significant contractual obligations.

The Company enters into financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include lines of credit, letters of credit and other commitments to extend credit. The total outstanding commitments at June 30, 2013 and 2012 were $253 million and $306.4 million,

37

respectively. The decrease in 2013 was primarily the result of several larger commercial and commercial real estate lines of credit that were unfunded at June 30, 2013.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Insurance Coverage. As an FDIC-insured institution, First Mid Bank is required to pay deposit insurance premium assessments to the FDIC. A number of developments with respect to the FDIC insurance system have affected recent results.

On July 21, 2010, The Dodd-Frank Act permanently raised the standard maximum deposit insurance amount (SMDIA) to $250,000. On November 9, 2010, the FDIC issued a final rule to implement Section 343 of the Dodd-Frank Act, which provides unlimited deposit insurance coverage for “noninterest-bearing transaction accounts” from December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2012. Also, the FDIC will no longer charge a separate assessment for the insurance of these accounts under the Dodd-Frank Act provision.

On February 27, 2009, the FDIC adopted a final rule setting initial base assessment rates beginning April 1, 2009, at 12 to 45 basis points and, due to extraordinary circumstances, extended the period of the restoration plan to increase the deposit insurance fund to seven years. Also on February 27, 2009, the FDIC issued final rules on changes to the risk-based assessment system which imposes rates based on an institution’s risk to the deposit insurance fund. The new rates increased the range of annual risk based assessment rates from 5 to 7 basis points to 7 to 24 basis points. The final rules both increase base assessment rates and incorporate additional assessments for excess reliance on brokered deposits and FHLB advances. This new assessment took effect April 1, 2009. The Company expensed $389,000 and $206,000 for this assessment during the first six months of 2013 and 2012, respectively.

In addition to its insurance assessment, each insured bank was subject to quarterly debt service assessments in connection with bonds issued by a government corporation that financed the federal savings and loan bailout. The Company expensed $46,000 and $23,000 during the first six months of 2013 and 2012, respectively, for this assessment.

On September 29, 2009, the FDIC board proposed a Deposit Insurance Fund ("DIF") restoration plan that required banks to prepay, on December 30, 2009, their estimated quarterly risk-based assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009 and for all of 2010, 2011 and 2012. Under the plan—which applied to all banks except those with liquidity problems—banks were assessed through 2010 according to the risk-based premium schedule adopted in 2009. Beginning January 1, 2011, the base rate increases by 3 basis points. The Company recorded a prepaid expense asset of $4,855,000 as of December 31, 2009 as a result of this plan. This asset was being amortized to non-interest expense over the three year period. In June 2013, as required by the DIF plan, the FDIC returned approximately $1,204,000, the remainder of the prepaid assessment, to the Company.

Basel III. In September 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision proposed higher global minimum capital standards, including a minimum Tier 1 common capital ratio and additional capital and liquidity requirements. On July 2, 2013, the Federal Reserve Board approved a final rule to implement these reforms and changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act. This final rule was subsequently adopted by the OCC and the FDIC.

As included in the proposed rule of June 2012, the final rule includes new risk-based capital and leverage ratios, which will be phased in from 2015 to 2019, and refines the definition of what constitutes “capital” for purposes of calculating those ratios. The new minimum capital level requirements applicable to the Company and First Mid Bank beginning in 2015 are: (i) a new common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 4.5%; (ii) a Tier 1 capital ratio of 6%; (iii) a total capital ratio of 8%; and (iv) a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4%. The rule also establishes a “capital conservation buffer” of 2.5% above the new regulatory minimum capital requirements, which must consist entirely of common equity Tier

1 capital and would result in the following minimum ratios: (i) a common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 7.0%, (ii) a Tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5%, and (iii) a total capital ratio of 10.5%. The new capital conservation buffer requirement will be phased in beginning in January 2016 at 0.625% of risk weighted assets and will increase by that amount each year until fully implemented in January 2019. An institution will be subject to limitations on paying dividends, engaging in share repurchases and paying discretionary bonuses if its capital level falls below the buffer amount.

The final rule also makes three changes to the proposed rule of June 2012 that impact the Company. First, the proposed rule would have required banking organizations to include accumulated other comprehensive income (“AOCI”) in common equity tier 1 capital. AOCI includes accumulated unrealized gains and losses on certain assets and liabilities that have not been included in net income. Under existing general risk-based capital rules, most components of AOCI are not included in a banking organization's regulatory capital calculations. The final rule allows community banking organizations to make a one-time election not to include these additional components of AOCI in regulatory capital and instead use the existing treatment under the general risk-based capital rules that excludes most AOCI components from regulatory capital.

Second, the proposed rule would have modified the risk-weight framework applicable to residential mortgage exposures to require banking organizations to divide residential mortgage exposure into two categories in order to determine the applicable risk weight. The final rule, however, retains the existing treatment for residential mortgage exposures under the general risk-based capital rules.

Third, the proposed rule would have required banking organizations with total consolidated assets of less than $15 billion as of December 31, 2009, such as the Company, to phase out over ten years any trust preferred securities and cumulative perpetual preferred securities from its Tier 1 capital regulatory capital. The final rule, however, permanently grandfathers into Tier 1 capital of depository institution holding companies with total consolidated assets of less than $15 billion as of December 31, 2009 any trust preferred securities or cumulative perpetual preferred stock issued before May 19, 2010, such as the Company's trust preferred securities and Series B Preferred Stock.

Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Significant Estimates

The Company has established various accounting policies that govern the application of U.S. generally accepted accounting principles in the preparation of the Company’s financial statements. The significant accounting policies of the Company are described in the footnotes to the consolidated financial statements included in the Company’s 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Certain accounting policies involve significant judgments and assumptions by management that have a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities; management considers such accounting policies to be critical accounting policies. The judgments and assumptions used by management are based on historical experience and other factors, which are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Because of the nature of the judgments and assumptions made by management, actual results could differ from these judgments and assumptions, which could have a material impact on the carrying values of assets and liabilities and the results of operations of the Company.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The Company believes the allowance for loan losses is the critical accounting policy that requires the most significant judgments and assumptions used in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements. An estimate of potential losses inherent in the loan portfolio are determined and an allowance for those losses is established by considering factors including historical loss rates, expected cash flows and estimated collateral values. In assessing these factors, the Company use organizational history and experience with credit decisions and related outcomes. The allowance for loan losses represents the best estimate of losses inherent in the existing loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses is increased by the provision for loan losses charged to expense and reduced by loans charged off, net of recoveries. The Company evaluates the allowance for loan losses quarterly. If the underlying assumptions later prove to be inaccurate based on subsequent loss evaluations, the allowance for loan losses is adjusted.

The Company estimates the appropriate level of allowance for loan losses by separately evaluating impaired and nonimpaired loans. A specific allowance is assigned to an impaired loan when expected cash flows or collateral do not

justify the carrying amount of the loan. The methodology used to assign an allowance to a nonimpaired loan is more subjective. Generally, the allowance assigned to nonimpaired loans is determined by applying historical loss rates to existing loans with similar risk characteristics, adjusted for qualitative factors including the volume and severity of identified classified loans, changes in economic conditions, changes in credit policies or underwriting standards, and changes in the level of credit risk associated with specific industries and markets. Because the economic and business climate in any given industry or market, and its impact on any given borrower, can change rapidly, the risk profile of the loan portfolio is continually assessed and adjusted when appropriate. Notwithstanding these procedures, there still exists the possibility that the assessment could prove to be significantly incorrect and that an immediate adjustment to the allowance for loan losses would be required.

Other Real Estate Owned. Other real estate owned acquired through loan foreclosure is initially recorded at fair value less costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. The adjustment at the time of foreclosure is recorded through the allowance for loan losses. Due to the subjective nature of establishing the fair value when the asset is acquired, the actual fair value of the other real estate owned or foreclosed asset could differ from the original estimate. If it is determined that fair value temporarily declines subsequent to foreclosure, a valuation allowance is recorded through noninterest expense. Operating costs associated with the assets after acquisition are also recorded as noninterest expense. Gains and losses on the disposition of other real estate owned and foreclosed assets are netted and posted to other noninterest expense.

Investment in Debt and Equity Securities. The Company classifies its investments in debt and equity securities as either held-to-maturity or available-for-sale in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities," which was codified into ASC 320. Securities classified as held-to-maturity are recorded at cost or amortized cost. Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value. Fair value calculations are based on quoted market prices when such prices are available. If quoted market prices are not available, estimates of fair value are computed using a variety of techniques, including extrapolation from the quoted prices of similar instruments or recent trades for thinly traded securities, fundamental analysis, or through obtaining purchase quotes. Due to the subjective nature of the valuation process, it is possible that the actual fair values of these investments could differ from the estimated amounts, thereby affecting the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Company. If the estimated value of investments is less than the cost or amortized cost, the Company evaluates whether an event or change in circumstances has occurred that may have a significant adverse effect on the fair value of the investment. If such an event or change has occurred and the Company determines that the impairment is other-than-temporary, a further determination is made as to the portion of impairment that is related to credit loss. The impairment of the investment that is related to the credit loss is expensed in the period in which the event or change occurred. The remainder of the impairment is recorded in other comprehensive income.

Deferred Income Tax Assets/Liabilities. The Company's net deferred income tax asset arises from differences in the dates that items of income and expense enter into our reported income and taxable income. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are established for these items as they arise. From an accounting standpoint, deferred tax assets are reviewed to determine if they are realizable based on the historical level of taxable income, estimates of future taxable income and the reversals of deferred tax liabilities. In most cases, the realization of the deferred tax asset is based on future profitability. If the Company were to experience net operating losses for tax purposes in a future period, the realization of deferred tax assets would be evaluated for a potential valuation reserve.

Additionally, the Company reviews its uncertain tax positions annually under FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN No. 48), "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes," codified within ASC 740. An uncertain tax position is recognized as a benefit only if it is "more likely than not" that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount actually recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely to be recognized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the "more likely than not" test, no tax benefit is recorded. A significant amount of judgment is applied to determine both whether the tax position meets the "more likely than not" test as well as to determine the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely to be recognized. Differences between the position taken by management and that of taxing authorities could result in a reduction of a tax benefit or increase to tax liability, which could adversely affect future income tax expense.

Impairment of Goodwill and Intangible Assets. Core deposit and customer relationships, which are intangible assets with a finite life, are recorded on the Company's balance sheets. These intangible assets were capitalized as a result of past acquisitions and are being amortized over their estimated useful lives of up to 15 years. Core deposit intangible assets, with finite lives will be tested for impairment when changes in events or circumstances indicate that its

carrying amount may not be recoverable. Core deposit intangible assets were tested for impairment as of September 30, 2012 as part of the goodwill impairment test and no impairment was identified.

As a result of the Company’s acquisition activity, goodwill, an intangible asset with an indefinite life, is reflected on the balance sheets. Goodwill is evaluated for impairment annually, unless there are factors present that indicate a potential impairment, in which case, the goodwill impairment test is performed more frequently than annually.

Fair Value Measurements. The fair value of a financial instrument is defined as the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. The Company estimates the fair value of a financial instrument using a variety of valuation methods. Where financial instruments are actively traded and have quoted market prices, quoted market prices are used for fair value. When the financial instruments are not actively traded, other observable market inputs, such as quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, may be used, if available, to determine fair value. When observable market prices do not exist, the Company estimates fair value. The Company's valuation methods consider factors such as liquidity and concentration concerns. Other factors such as model assumptions, market dislocations, and unexpected correlations can affect estimates of fair value. Imprecision in estimating these factors can impact the amount of revenue or loss recorded.

SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements", which was codified into ASC 820, establishes a framework for measuring the fair value of financial instruments that considers the attributes specific to particular assets or liabilities and establishes a three-level hierarchy for determining fair value based on the transparency of inputs to each valuation as of the fair value measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows:

- Level 1 — quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

- Level 2 — inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices of identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.

- Level 3 — inputs that are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

At the end of each quarter, the Company assesses the valuation hierarchy for each asset or liability measured. From time to time, assets or liabilities may be transferred within hierarchy levels due to changes in availability of observable market inputs to measure fair value at the measurement date. Transfers into or out of hierarchy levels are based upon the fair value at the beginning of the reporting period. A more detailed description of the fair values measured at each level of the fair value hierarchy can be found in Note 6 – Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities.

Results of Operations

Net Interest Income

The largest source of revenue for the Company is net interest income. Net interest income represents the difference between total interest income earned on earning assets and total interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities. The amount of interest income is dependent upon many factors, including the volume and mix of earning assets, the general level of interest rates and the dynamics of changes in interest rates. The cost of funds necessary to support earning assets varies with the volume and mix of interest-bearing liabilities and the rates paid to attract and retain such funds.

The Company's average balances, interest income and expense and rates earned or paid for major balance sheet categories are set forth for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 in the following table (dollars in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30, 2013				Three months ended June 30, 2012			
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate		Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	
ASSETS								
Interest-bearing deposits with other financial institutions	$14,608	$11	0.30	%	$17,889	$13	0.29	%
Federal funds sold	5,938	2	0.14	%	68,201	16	0.09	%
Certificates of deposit investments	3,456	5	0.58	%	11,932	16	0.54	%
Investment securities								
Taxable	483,528	2,305	1.91	%	446,735	2,594	2.32	%
Tax-exempt (1)	59,870	493	3.29	%	45,755	409	3.58	%
Loans (2)(3)(4)	907,562	10,390	4.59	%	840,256	10,910	5.21	%
Total earning assets	1,474,962	13,206	3.59	%	1,430,768	13,958	3.91	%
Cash and due from banks	30,853				36,297			
Premises and equipment	29,185				30,353			
Other assets	44,696				52,341			
Allowance for loan losses	(12,129)				(11,487)			
Total assets	$1,567,567				$1,538,272			
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY								
Interest-bearing deposits								
Demand deposits	$544,777	$198	0.15	%	$505,654	$392	0.31	%
Savings deposits	300,263	92	0.12	%	276,808	331	0.48	%
Time deposits	202,348	374	0.74	%	235,892	580	0.99	%
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	86,871	10	0.05	%	117,031	30	0.10	%
FHLB advances	6,346	59	3.73	%	9,761	65	2.67	%
Fed Funds Purchased	478	1	0.61	%	44	—	0.51	%
Junior subordinated debt	20,620	131	2.55	%	20,620	140	2.72	%
Other debt	—	—	—	%	7,978	162	8.00	%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,161,703	865	0.30	%	1,173,788	1,700	0.58	%
Non interest-bearing demand deposits	240,388				212,214			
Other liabilities	7,728				7,032			
Stockholders' equity	157,748				145,238			
Total liabilities & equity	$1,567,567				$1,538,272			
Net interest income		$12,341				$12,258		
Net interest spread			3.29	%			3.33	%
Impact of non-interest bearing funds			0.06	%			0.10	%
Net yield on interest- earning assets			3.35	%			3.43	%

(1) The tax-exempt income is not recorded on a tax equivalent basis.

(2) Nonaccrual loans have been included in the average balances.
(3) Net of unaccreted discount related to loans acquired
(4) Includes loans held for sale.

42

The Company’s average balances, interest income and expense and rates earned or paid for major balance sheet categories are set forth for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 in the following table (dollars in thousands):

	Six months ended June 30, 2013				Six months ended June 30, 2012			
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate		Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	
ASSETS								
Interest-bearing deposits with other financial institutions	$19,489	$25	0.26	%	$14,996	$19	0.25	%
Federal funds sold	13,454	6	0.09	%	64,870	28	0.09	%
Certificates of deposit investments	4,896	13	0.54	%	12,509	34	0.54	%
Investment securities								
Taxable	478,239	4,568	1.91	%	440,815	5,161	2.34	%
Tax-exempt (1)	58,560	971	3.32	%	44,076	794	3.60	%
Loans (2)(3)(4)	904,462	20,825	4.64	%	841,294	21,870	5.21	%
Total earning assets	1,479,100	26,408	3.60	%	1,418,560	27,906	3.95	%
Cash and due from banks	32,196				36,242			
Premises and equipment	29,320				30,470			
Other assets	44,126				52,831			
Allowance for loan losses	(12,093)				(11,411)			
Total assets	$1,572,649				$1,526,692			
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS’ EQUITY								
Interest-bearing deposits								
Demand deposits	$540,574	$417	0.16	%	$503,984	$874	0.35	%
Savings deposits	300,333	269	0.18	%	276,808	661	0.48	%
Time deposits	204,448	774	0.76	%	231,064	1,195	1.04	%
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	88,921	25	0.06	%	113,004	75	0.13	%
FHLB advances	5,677	116	4.13	%	11,706	178	3.05	%
Fed Funds Purchased	240	1	0.61	%	22	—	0.51	%
Junior subordinated debt	20,620	261	2.55	%	20,620	286	2.78	%
Other debt	—	—	—	%	8,114	326	8.00	%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,160,813	1,863	0.32	%	1,165,322	3,595	0.62	%
Non interest-bearing demand deposits	246,099				209,770			
Other liabilities	8,207				7,436			
Stockholders' equity	157,530				144,164			
Total liabilities & equity	$1,572,649				$1,526,692			
Net interest income		$24,545				$24,311		
Net interest spread			3.28	%			3.33	%
Impact of non-interest bearing funds			0.06	%			0.10	%
Net yield on interest- earning assets			3.34	%			3.43	%

(1) The tax-exempt income is not recorded on a tax equivalent basis.

(2) Nonaccrual loans have been included in the average balances.
(3) Net of unaccreted discount related to loans acquired
(4) Includes loans held for sale.

Changes in net interest income may also be analyzed by segregating the volume and rate components of interest income and
interest expense. The following table summarizes the approximate relative contribution of changes in average volume and interest rates to changes in net interest income for the three and six-months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same periods in 2012 (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to 2012 Increase / (Decrease)			Six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to 2012 Increase / (Decrease)		
	Total Change	Volume (1)	Rate (1)	Total Change	Volume (1)	Rate (1)
Earning Assets:						
Interest-bearing deposits	$(2)	$(5)	$3	$6	$6	$—
Federal funds sold	(14)	(51)	37	(22)	(22)	—
Certificates of deposit investments	(11)	(19)	8	(21)	(21)	—
Investment securities:						
Taxable	(289)	1,073	(1,362)	(593)	1,011	(1,604)
Tax-exempt (2)	84	118	(34)	177	244	(67)
Loans (3)	(520)	3,983	(4,503)	(1,045)	3,478	(4,523)
Total interest income	(752)	5,099	(5,851)	(1,498)	4,696	(6,194)
Interest-Bearing Liabilities:						
Interest-bearing deposits						
Demand deposits	(194)	185	(379)	(457)	172	(629)
Savings deposits	(239)	179	(418)	(392)	152	(544)
Time deposits	(206)	(74)	(132)	(421)	(126)	(295)
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	(20)	(7)	(13)	(50)	(14)	(36)
FHLB advances	(6)	(99)	93	(62)	(172)	110
Junior subordinated debt	(9)	—	(9)	(25)	—	(25)
Other debt	(162)	162	(324)	(326)	(326)	—
Total interest expense	(835)	346	(1,182)	(1,732)	(314)	(1,418)
Net interest income	$83	$4,753	$(4,669)	$234	$5,010	$(4,776)

(1) Changes attributable to the combined impact of volume and rate have been allocated proportionately to the change due to volume and the change due to rate.
(2) The tax-exempt income is not recorded on a tax-equivalent basis.
(3) Nonaccrual loans have been included in the average balances.

Net interest income increased $234,000, or 1.0%, to $24.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, from $24.3 million for the same period in 2012. Net interest income remained approximately the same due to an increase in investment and loan balances offset by declines in interest-bearing asset rates compared to the increase in demand and savings balances offset by decline in rates of interest-bearing liabilities during the same period.

For the six months ended June 30, 2013, average earning assets increased by $60.5 million, or 4.3%, and average interest-bearing liabilities decreased $4.5 million or .4%, compared with average balances for the same period in 2012. The changes in average balances for these periods are shown below:

- Average interest-bearing deposits held by the Company increased $4.5 million or 30.0%.
- Average federal funds sold decreased $51.4 million or 79.2%.

- Average certificates of deposit investments decreased by $7.6 million or 60.8%.
- Average loans increased by $63.2 million or 7.5%.
- Average securities increased by $51.9 million or 10.7%.
- Average deposits increased by $33.5 million or 3.3%.
- Average securities sold under agreements to repurchase decreased by $24.1 million or 21.3%.
- Average borrowings and other debt decreased by $13.9 million or 34.4%.
- Net interest margin decreased to 3.34% for the first six months of 2013 from 3.43% for the first six months of 2012.

To compare the tax-exempt yields on interest-earning assets to taxable yields, the Company also computes non-GAAP net interest income on a tax equivalent basis (TE) where the interest earned on tax-exempt securities is adjusted to an amount comparable to interest subject to normal income taxes assuming a federal tax rate of 34% (referred to as the tax equivalent adjustment). The year-to-date net yield on interest-earning assets (TE) was 3.42% and 3.50% for the first six months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. The TE adjustments to net interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were $620,000 and $409,000, respectively.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was $732,000 and $1,031,000, respectively. Nonperforming loans were $6.8 million and $6.9 million as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Net charge-offs were $377,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to $696,000 during the same period in 2012. For information on loan loss experience and nonperforming loans, see discussion under the "Nonperforming Loans" and "Loan Quality and Allowance for Loan Losses" sections below.

Other Income

An important source of the Company's revenue is other income. The following table sets forth the major components of other income for the three and six-months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,			Six months ended June 30,		
	2013	2012	$ Change	2013	2012	$ Change
Trust revenues	$806	$752	$54	$1,699	$1,612	$87
Brokerage commissions	218	168	50	389	310	79
Insurance commissions	410	437	(27)	896	1,084	(188)
Service charges	1,215	1,188	27	2,355	2,289	66
Security gains, net	482	439	43	835	823	12
Mortgage banking revenue, net	305	327	(22)	591	563	28
ATM / debit card revenue	947	812	135	1,830	1,691	139
Other	331	374	(43)	669	705	(36)
Total other income	$4,714	$4,497	$217	$9,264	$9,077	$187

Following are explanations of the changes in these other income categories for the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012:

- Trust revenues increased $54,000 or 7.2% to $806,000 from $752,000 due primarily to an increase in revenues from from Investment Management & Advisory Agency accounts and increases in market value related fees. Trust assets, at market value, were $673.8 million at June 30, 2013 compared to $566.5 million at June 30, 2012.

- Revenues from brokerage increased $50,000 or 29.8% to $218,000 from $168,000 due to an increase in the number of brokerage accounts from new business development efforts.

- Insurance commissions decreased $27,000 or 6.2% to $410,000 from $437,000 due to lower contingency income received from carriers based upon claims experience during 2013 compared to the same period in 2012.

-

Fees from service charges increased $27,000 or 2.3% to $1,215,000 from $1,188,000 due to an increase in commercial transaction account fees.

- The sale of securities during the three months ended June 30, 2013 resulted in net securities gains of $482,000 compared to $439,000 during the three months ended June 30, 2012.

- Mortgage banking income decreased $22,000 or 6.7% to $305,000 from $327,000. Loans sold balances were as follows:

- $7.5 million (representing 60 loans) for the second quarter of 2013.
- $19.2 million (representing 165 loans) for the second quarter of 2012.

First Mid Bank generally releases the servicing rights on loans sold into the secondary market.

- Revenue from ATMs and debit cards increased $135,000 or 16.6% to $947,000 from $812,000 due to an increase in electronic transactions.

- Other income decreased $43,000 or 11.5% to $331,000 from $374,000.

Following are explanations of the changes in these other income categories for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012:

- Trust revenues increased $87,000 or 5.4% to $1.69 million from $1.61 primarily due to an increase in revenues from Investment Management & Advisory Agency accounts and increases in market value related fees. Trust assets, at market value, were $673.8 million at June 30, 2013 compared to $566.5 million at June 30, 2012.

- Revenues from brokerage increased $79,000 or 25.5% to $389,000 from $310,000 due to an increase in the number of brokerage accounts from new business development efforts.

- Insurance commissions decreased $188,000 or 17.3% to $896,000 from $1,084,000 primarily due to lower contingency income received from carriers based upon claims experience during 2013 compared to the same period in 2012.

- Fees from service charges increased $66,000 or 2.9% to $2,355,000 from $2,289,000 primarily due to an increase in commercial transaction account fees.

- The sale of securities during the six months ended June 30, 2013 resulted in net securities gains of $835,000 compared to $823,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

- Mortgage banking income increased $28,000 or 5% to $591,000 from $563,000. Loans sold balances were as follows:
  $39.5 million (representing 328 loans) for the six months ended of June 30, 2013.
  $37.4 million (representing 319 loans) for the six months ended of June 30, 2012.

First Mid Bank generally releases the servicing rights on loans sold into the secondary market.

- Revenue from ATMs and debit cards increased $139,000 or 8.2% to $1,830,000 from $1,691,000 due to an increase in the number of electronic transactions.

- Other income decreased $36,000 or 5.1% to $669,000 from $705,000.

Other Expense

The major categories of other expense include salaries and employee benefits, occupancy and equipment expenses and other operating expenses associated with day-to-day operations. The following table sets forth the major components of other expense for the three and six-months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30			Six months ended June 30,		
	2013	2012	$ Change	2013	2012	$ Change
Salaries and employee benefits	$5,972	$5,850	$122	$11,769	$11,523	$246
Net occupancy and equipment expense	2,102	2,004	98	4,145	4,014	131
Net other real estate owned expense	46	235	(189)	162	298	(136)
FDIC insurance	213	229	(16)	435	463	(28)
Amortization of intangible assets	171	179	(8)	341	424	(83)
Stationery and supplies	121	141	(20)	262	311	(49)
Legal and professional	614	497	117	1,162	1,108	54
Marketing and donations	264	322	(58)	507	551	(44)
Other operating expenses	1,415	1,325	90	2,747	2,707	40
Total other expense	$10,918	$10,782	$136	$21,530	$21,399	$131

Following are explanations for the changes in these other expense categories for the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012:

- Salaries and employee benefits, the largest component of other expense, increased $122,000 or 2.1% to $5,972,000 from $5,850,000. This increase was primarily due to merit increases for continuing employees during the first quarter of 2013. There were 406 full-time equivalent employees at June 30, 2013 compared to 402 at June 30, 2012.

- Occupancy and equipment expense increased $98,000 or 4.9% to $2,102,000 from $2,004,000. This increase was primarily due to increases in maintenance and repair expense for equipment and software.

- Net other real estate owned expense decreased $189,000 or 80.4% to $46,000 from $235,000. The decrease in 2013 was primarily due to less write downs of properties to appraised value during 2013 compared to 2012.

- FDIC insurance expense decreased $16,000 or 7% to $213,000 from $229,000 due to lower rates in the current year.

- Expense for amortization of intangible assets decreased $8,000 or 4.5% to $171,000 from $179,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. The decrease in intangible amortization expense in 2013 was due to the customer list intangibles becoming fully amortized during the first quarter of 2012 and less amortization expense for core deposit intangibles in 2013 compared to 2012.

- Other operating expenses increased $90,000 or 6.8% to $1,415,000 in 2013 from $1,325,000 in 2012 primarily due to increases in various expenses.

- On a net basis, all other categories of operating expenses increased $39,000 or 4.1% to $999,000 in 2013 from $960,000 in 2012. The increase was primarily due to an increase in legal and professional expenses offset by a decrease in marketing and donations and stationery and supplies.

Following are explanations for the changes in certain of these other expense categories for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012:

- Salaries and employee benefits, the largest component of other expense, increased $246,000 or 2.1% to $11,769,000 from $11,523,000. This increase was primarily due to merit increases for continuing employees during the first quarter of 2013. There were 406 and 402 full-time equivalent employees at June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

47

- Occupancy and equipment expense increased $131,000 or 3.3% to $4,145,000 from $4,014,000. This increase was primarily due to increases in maintenance and repair expense for equipment and software.

- Net other real estate owned expense decreased $136,000 or 45.6% to $162,000 from $298,000. The decrease in 2013 was primarily due to less write downs of properties to appraised value during 2013 compared to 2012.

- FDIC insurance expense decreased $28,000 or 6% to $435,000 from $463,000 due to lower assessment rates during 2013 compared to 2012.

- Expense for amortization of intangible assets decreased $83,000 or 19.6% to $341,000 from $424,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease in intangible amortization expense in 2013 was due to the customer list intangibles becoming fully amortized during the first quarter of 2012 and less amortization expense for core deposit intangibles in 2013 compared to 2012.

- Other operating expenses increased $40,000 or 1.5% to $2,747,000 in 2013 from $2,707,000 in 2012 due to increases in various expenses.

- On a net basis, all other categories of operating expenses decreased $39,000 or 2% to $1,931,000 in 2013 from $1,970,000 in 2012. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in stationery and supplies and marketing and promotion offset by offset by an increase in legal and professional fees.

Income Taxes

Total income tax expense amounted to $4,354,000 (37.7% effective tax rate) for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to $4,089,000 (37.3% effective tax rate) for the same period in 2012.

The Company files U.S. federal and state of Illinois income tax returns. The Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal or state income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2009.

Analysis of Balance Sheets

Securities

The Company’s overall investment objectives are to insulate the investment portfolio from undue credit risk, maintain adequate liquidity, insulate capital against changes in market value and control excessive changes in earnings while optimizing investment performance. The types and maturities of securities purchased are primarily based on the Company’s current and projected liquidity and interest rate sensitivity positions.

The following table sets forth the amortized cost of the available-for-sale securities as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	June 30, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Amortized Cost	Weighted Average Yield		Amortized Cost	Weighted Average Yield	
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	$213,347	1.58	%	$180,851	1.75	%

Obligations of states and political subdivisions	59,933	3.41	%	53,064	3.62	%
Mortgage-backed securities: GSE residential	260,463	2.61	%	252,310	2.81	%
Trust preferred securities	4,790	3.18	%	4,974	3.50	%
Other securities	6,035	1.20	%	9,663	1.92	%
Total securities	$544,568	2.28	%	$500,862	2.53	%

48

At June 30, 2013, the Company's investment portfolio increased by $43.7 million from December 31, 2012 due to the purchase of various securities. When purchasing investment securities, the Company considers its overall liquidity and interest rate risk profile, as well as the adequacy of expected returns relative to the risks assumed.

The table below presents the credit ratings as of June 30, 2013 for certain investment securities (in thousands):

			Average Credit Rating of Fair Value at June 30, 2013 (1)					
	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value	AAA	AA +/-	A +/-	BBB +/-	< BBB -	Not rated
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	$213,347	$207,517	$1,969	$205,548	$—	$—	$—	$—
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	59,933	59,393	3,891	36,837	16,035	1,098	—	1,532
Mortgage-backed securities (2)	260,463	260,047	—	—	—	—	—	260,047
Trust preferred securities	4,790	835	—	—	—	—	835	—
Other securities	6,035	6,043	—	—	5,989	—	—	54
Total investments	$544,568	$533,835	$5,860	$242,385	$22,024	$1,098	$835	$261,633

(1) Credit ratings reflect the lowest current rating assigned by a nationally recognized credit rating agency.

(2) Mortgage-backed securities include mortgage-backed securities (MBS) and collateralized mortgage obligation (CMO) issues from the following government sponsored enterprises: FHLMC, FNMA, GNMA and FHLB. While MBS and CMOs are no longer explicitly rated by credit rating agencies, the industry recognizes that they are backed by agencies which have an implied government guarantee.

The trust preferred securities are three trust preferred pooled securities issued by FTN Financial Securities Corp. ("FTN"). Subsequently, on July 22, 2013, the Company sold two of its trust preferred securities (PreTSL I and PreTSL II). This sale resulted in recovery of all of the book value of these securities. The net proceeds exceeded the aggregate book value of these securities by approximately $1.4 million and this amount will be recorded as a security gain during the third quarter of 2013.

The following table contains information regarding these securities as of June 30, 2013:

Deal name	PreTSL I	PreTSL II	PreTSL XXVIII
Class	Mezzanine	Mezzanine	Mezzanine C-1
Book value	$399,835	$737,701	$3,652,127
Fair value	$428,204	$260,053	$146,560
Unrealized gains/(losses)	$28,369	$(477,649)	$(3,505,567)
Other-than-temporary impairment recorded in earnings	$691,000	$2,186,531	$1,111,303
Lowest credit rating assigned	Ca	Ca	C
Number of performing banks	9	10	27
Number of issuers in default	4	4	9
Number of issuers in deferral	—	5	8
Original collateral	$303,112,000	$334,170,000	$360,850,000
Actual defaults & deferrals as a % of original collateral	19.5%	26.0%	25.2%
Remaining collateral	$136,500,000	$169,200,000	$346,116,000
Actual defaults & deferrals as a % of remaining collateral	43.2%	51.4%	26.7%
Expected defaults & deferrals as a % of remaining collateral	45.9%	49.3%	31.8%
Performing collateral	$77,500,000	$82,200,000	$255,116,000
Current balance of class	$88,184,211	$125,662,865	$37,335,677
Subordination	$88,272,374	$174,678,307	$283,538,227
Excess subordination	$(10,772,374)	$(92,478,307)	$(28,422,227)
Excess subordination as a % of remaining performing collateral	(13.9)%	(112.5)%	(11.1)%
Discount rate (1)	9.74%	9.68%	1.58%-5.74%
Expected defaults & deferrals as a % of remaining collateral (2)	2% / .36	2% / .36	2% / .36
Recovery assumption (3)	10%	10%	10%
Prepayment assumption (4)	1%	1%	1%

(1) The discount rate for floating rate bonds is a compound interest formula based on the LIBOR forward curve for each payment date
(2) 2% annually for 2 years and 36 basis points annually thereafter
(3) With 2 year lag
(4) Additional assumptions regarding prepayments:
Banks with more than $15 billion in total assets as of 12/31/2009:
(a) For fixed rate TruPS, all securities will be called in one year
(b) For floating rate TruPS, (1) all securities with spreads greater than 250 bps will be called in one year (2) all securities with spreads between 150 bps and 250 bps will be called at a rate of 5% annually (3) all securities with spreads less than 150 bps will be called at a rate of 1% annually
Banks with less than $15 billion in total assets as of 12/31/2009:
(a) For fixed rate TruPS, (1) all securities with coupons greater than 8% that were issued by healthy banks with the capacity to prepay will be called in one year (2) All remaining fixed rate securities will be called at a rate of 1% annually
(b) For floating rate TruPs, all securities will be called at a rate of 1% annually

50

Explanation of Responses:

The trust preferred pooled securities are Collateralized Debt Obligations ("CDOs") backed by a pool of debt securities issued by financial institutions. The collateral consists of trust-preferred securities and subordinated debt securities issued by banks, bank holding companies and insurance companies. Performing collateral is the amount of remaining collateral less the balances of collateral in deferral or default. Subordination is the amount of performing collateral in excess of the current balance of a specified class and all classes senior to the specified class. Excess subordination is the amount that the performing collateral balance exceeds the current outstanding balance of the specific class, plus all senior classes. It is a static measure of credit enhancement, but does not incorporate all of the structural elements of the security deal. This amount can also be impacted by future defaults and deferrals, deferring balances that cure or redemptions of securities by issuers. A negative excess subordination indicates that the current performing collateral of the security would be insufficient to pay the current principal balance of the class notes after all of the senior classes' notes were paid. However, the performing collateral balance excludes the collateral of issuers currently deferring their interest payments. Because these issuers are expected to resume payment in the future (within five years of the first deferred interest period), a negative excess subordination does not necessarily mean a class note holder will not receive a greater than projected or even full payment of cash flow at maturity.

At June 30, 2013 and 2012 the Company was receiving "payment in kind" ("PIK") in lieu of cash interest on its trust preferred securities investments as and to the extent described below. The Company's use of "PIK" does not indicate that additional securities have been issued in satisfaction of any outstanding obligation; rather, it indicates that a coverage test of a class or tranche directly senior to the class in question has failed and interest received on the PIK note is being capitalized, which means the principal balance is being increased. Once the coverage test is met, the capitalized interest will be paid in cash and current cash interest payments will resume.

The Company's trust preferred securities investments all allow, under the terms of the issue, for issuers to defer interest for up to five consecutive years. After five years, if not cured, the securities are considered to be in default and the trustee may demand payment in full of principal and accrued interest. Issuers are also considered to be in default in the event of the failure of the issuer or a subsidiary. The structuring of these trust preferred securities provides for a waterfall approach to absorbing losses whereby lower classes or tranches are initially impacted and more senior tranches are only impacted after lower tranches can no longer absorb losses. Likewise, the waterfall approach also applies to principal and interest payments received, as senior tranches have priority over lower tranches in the receipt of payments. Both deferred and defaulted issuers are considered non-performing, and the trustee calculates, on a quarterly or semi-annual basis, certain coverage tests prior to the payment of cash interest to owners of the various tranches of the securities. The coverage tests are compared to an over-collateralization target that states the balance of performing collateral as a percentage of the tranche balance plus the balance of all senior tranches. The tests must show that performing collateral is sufficient to meet requirements for the senior tranches, both in terms of cash flow and collateral value, before cash interest can be paid to subordinate tranches. As a result of the cash flow waterfall provisions within the structure of these securities, when a senior tranche fails its coverage test, all of the cash flows that would have been paid to lower tranches are paid to the senior tranche and recorded as a reduction of the senior tranches' principal. This principal reduction in the senior tranche continues until the coverage test of the senior tranche is passed or the principal of the tranche is paid in full. For so long as the cash flows are being diverted to the senior tranches, the amount of interest due and payable to the subordinate tranches is capitalized and recorded as an increase in the principal value of the tranche. The Company's trust preferred securities investments are in the mezzanine tranches or classes which are subordinate to one of more senior tranches of their respective issues. The Company is receiving PIK for these securities due to failure of the required senior tranche coverage tests described. These securities are projected to remain in full or partial PIK status for a period of one to eleven years.

The impact of payment of PIK to subordinate tranches is to strengthen the position of the senior tranches by reducing the senior tranches' principal balances relative to available collateral and cash flow. The impact to the subordinate tranches is to increase principal balances, decrease cash flow, and increase credit risk to the tranches receiving the PIK. The risk to holders of a security of a tranche in PIK status is that the total cash flow will not be sufficient to

repay all principal and capitalized interest related to the investment.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, after analysis of the expected future cash flows and the timing of resumed interest payments, the Company determined that placing all three of the trust preferred securities on non-accrual status was the most prudent course of action. The Company stopped all accrual of interest and ceased to capitalize any PIK to the principal balance of the securities. The Company intends to keep these securities on non-accrual status until the scheduled interest payments resume on a regular basis and any previously recorded PIK has been paid. The PIK status of these securities, among other factors, indicates potential other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") and accordingly, the Company performed further detailed analysis of the investments' cash flows and the credit conditions of the underlying issuers. This analysis incorporates, among other things, the waterfall provisions and any resulting PIK status of these securities to determine if cash flow will be sufficient to pay all principal and interest due to the investment tranche held by the Company.

See discussion below and Note 3 – Investment Securities in the notes to the financial statements for more detail regarding this analysis. Based on this analysis, the Company believes the amortized costs recorded for its trust preferred securities investments accurately reflects the position of these securities at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Other-than-temporary Impairment of Securities

Declines in the fair value, or unrealized losses, of all available for sale investment securities, are reviewed to determine whether the losses are either a temporary impairment or OTTI. Temporary adjustments are recorded when the fair value of a security fluctuates from its historical cost. Temporary adjustments are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income, and impact the Company's equity position. Temporary adjustments do not impact net income. A recovery of available for sale security prices also is recorded as an adjustment to other comprehensive income for securities that are temporarily impaired, and results in a positive impact to the Company's equity position.

OTTI is recorded when the fair value of an available for sale security is less than historical cost, and it is probable that all contractual cash flows will not be collected. Investment securities are evaluated for OTTI on at least a quarterly basis. In conducting this assessment, the Company evaluates a number of factors including, but not limited to:

- how much fair value has declined below amortized cost;
- how long the decline in fair value has existed;
- the financial condition of the issuers;
- contractual or estimated cash flows of the security;
- underlying supporting collateral;
- past events, current conditions and forecasts;
- significant rating agency changes on the issuer; and
- the Company's intent and ability to hold the security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

If the Company intends to sell the security or if it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, the entire amount of OTTI is recorded to noninterest income, and therefore, results in a negative impact to net income. Because the available for sale securities portfolio is recorded at fair value, the conclusion as to whether an investment decline is other-than-temporarily impaired, does not significantly impact the Company's equity position, as the amount of the temporary adjustment has already been reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income/loss.

If the Company does not intend to sell the security and it is not more-likely-than-not it will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, only the amount related to credit loss is recognized in earnings. In determining the portion of OTTI that is related to credit loss, the Company compares the present value of cash flows expected to be collected from the security with the amortized cost basis of the security. The remaining portion of OTTI, related to other factors, is recognized in other comprehensive earnings, net of applicable taxes.

The term "other-than-temporary" is not intended to indicate that the decline is permanent, but indicates that the prospects for a near-term recovery of value are not necessarily favorable, or that there is a general lack of evidence to support a realizable value equal to or greater than the carrying value of the investment. See Note 3 -- Investment Securities in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) for a discussion of the Company's evaluation and subsequent charges for OTTI.

Loans

The loan portfolio (net of unearned interest) is the largest category of the Company's earning assets. The following table summarizes the composition of the loan portfolio, including loans held for sale, as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013	% Outstanding Loans		December 31, 2012	% Outstanding Loans	
Construction and land development	$22,602	2.5	%	$31,341	3.4	%
Agricultural real estate	95,277	10.4	%	86,271	9.5	%
1-4 Family residential properties	188,255	20.6	%	186,498	20.5	%
Multifamily residential properties	44,207	4.8	%	44,863	4.9	%
Commercial real estate	334,804	36.5	%	316,322	34.7	%
Loans secured by real estate	685,145	74.8	%	665,295	73.0	%
Agricultural loans	51,941	5.7	%	61,014	6.7	%
Commercial and industrial loans	153,075	16.7	%	160,299	17.6	%
Consumer loans	15,814	1.7	%	16,264	1.8	%
All other loans	9,838	1.1	%	8,193	0.9	%
Total loans	$915,813	100.0	%	$911,065	100.0	%

Overall loans increased $4.7 million, or .52%. The increase was primarily due to increases in farm loans and commercial real estate loans offset by a decrease in agricultural loans, commercial and industrial loans, and construction and land development. The balance of real estate loans held for sale, included in the balances shown above, amounted to $2,068,000 and $212,000 as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Commercial and commercial real estate loans generally involve higher credit risks than residential real estate and consumer loans. Because payments on loans secured by commercial real estate or equipment are often dependent upon the successful operation and management of the underlying assets, repayment of such loans may be influenced to a great extent by conditions in the market or the economy. The Company does not have any sub-prime mortgages or credit card loans outstanding which are also generally considered to be higher credit risk.

The following table summarizes the loan portfolio geographically by branch region as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	March 31, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Principal balance	% Outstanding Loans		Principal balance	% Outstanding loans	
Mattoon region	$188,195	20.5	%	$183,657	20.2	%
Charleston region	47,137	5.1	%	51,179	5.6	%
Sullivan region	125,221	13.7	%	128,650	14.1	%
Effingham region	66,104	7.2	%	63,910	7.0	%
Decatur region	223,828	24.5	%	218,318	24.0	%
Peoria region	154,266	16.9	%	156,370	17.2	%
Highland region	111,062	12.1	%	108,981	11.9	%
Total all regions	$915,813	100.0	%	$911,065	100.0	%

Loans are geographically dispersed among these regions located in central and southwestern Illinois. While these regions have experienced some economic stress during 2013 and 2012, the Company does not consider these locations

high risk areas since these regions have not experienced the significant declines in real estate values seen in some other areas in the United States.

53

The Company does not have a concentration, as defined by the regulatory agencies, in construction and land development loans or commercial real estate loans as a percentage of total risk-based capital for the periods shown above. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company did have industry loan concentrations in excess of 25% of total risk-based capital in the following industries (dollars in thousands):

	June 30, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Principal balance	% Outstanding Loans		Principal balance	% Outstanding Loans	
Other grain farming	$123,616	13.50	%	$124,367	13.65	%
Lessors of non-residential buildings	96,518	10.54	%	89,940	9.87	%
Lessors of residential buildings & dwellings	56,487	6.17	%	59,848	6.57	%
Hotels and motels	45,276	4.94	%	45,783	5.03	%

The Company had no further industry loan concentrations in excess of 25% of total risk-based capital.

The following table presents the balance of loans outstanding as of June 30, 2013, by contractual maturities (in thousands):

	Maturity (1)			
	One year or less(2)	Over 1 through 5 years	Over 5 years	Total
Construction and land development	$15,872	$6,497	$233	$22,602
Agricultural real estate	8,478	41,935	44,864	95,277
1-4 Family residential properties	20,616	88,857	78,782	188,255
Multifamily residential properties	641	17,914	25,652	44,207
Commercial real estate	43,628	190,499	100,677	334,804
Loans secured by real estate	89,235	345,702	250,208	685,145
Agricultural loans	37,930	12,245	1,766	51,941
Commercial and industrial loans	95,500	40,629	16,946	153,075
Consumer loans	3,501	11,748	565	15,814
All other loans	1,760	2,116	5,962	9,838
Total loans	$227,926	$412,440	$275,447	$915,813

(1) Based upon remaining contractual maturity.
(2) Includes demand loans, past due loans and overdrafts.

As of June 30, 2013, loans with maturities over one year consisted of approximately $618.6 million in fixed rate loans and approximately $69.2 million in variable rate loans. The loan maturities noted above are based on the contractual provisions of the individual loans. The Company has no general policy regarding renewals and borrower requests, which are handled on a case-by-case basis.

Nonperforming Loans and Nonperforming Other Assets

Nonperforming loans include: (a) loans accounted for on a nonaccrual basis; (b) accruing loans contractually past due ninety days or more as to interest or principal payments; and (c) loans not included in (a) and (b) above which are defined as “troubled debt restructurings”. Repossessed assets include primarily repossessed real estate and automobiles.

The Company’s policy is to discontinue the accrual of interest income on any loan for which principal or interest is ninety days past due. The accrual of interest is discontinued earlier when, in the opinion of management, there is

reasonable doubt as to the timely collection of interest or principal. Once interest accruals are discontinued, accrued but uncollected interest is charged against current year income. Subsequent receipts on non-accrual loans are recorded as a reduction of principal, and interest income is recorded only after principal recovery is reasonably assured. Nonaccrual loans are returned to accrual status when, in the opinion of management, the financial position of the borrower indicates there is no longer any reasonable doubt as to the timely collection of interest or principal.

Restructured loans are loans on which, due to deterioration in the borrower's financial condition, the original terms have been modified in favor of the borrower or either principal or interest has been forgiven.

Repossessed assets represent property acquired as the result of borrower defaults on loans. These assets are recorded at estimated fair value, less estimated selling costs, at the time of foreclosure or repossession. Write-downs occurring at foreclosure are charged against the allowance for loan losses. On an ongoing basis, properties are appraised as required by market indications and applicable regulations. Write-downs for subsequent declines in value are recorded in non-interest expense in other real estate owned along with other expenses related to maintaining the properties.

The following table presents information concerning the aggregate amount of nonperforming loans and repossessed assets at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013		December 31, 2012	
Nonaccrual loans	$6,711		$7,573	
Restructured loans which are performing in accordance with revised terms	125		20	
Total nonperforming loans	6,836		7,593	
Repossessed assets	1,013		1,229	
Total nonperforming loans and repossessed assets	$7,849		$8,822	
Nonperforming loans to loans, before allowance for loan losses	0.75	%	0.83	%
Nonperforming loans and repossessed assets to loans, before allowance for loan losses	0.86	%	0.97	%

The $862,000 decrease in nonaccrual loans during 2013 resulted from the net of $1,683,000 of loans put on nonaccrual status, offset by $674,000 of loans transferred to other real estate owned, $238,000 of loans charged off and $1,633,000 of loans becoming current or paid-off. The following table summarizes the composition of nonaccrual loans (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Balance	% of Total		Balance	% of Total	
Construction and land development	$1,421	21.2	%	$1,522	20.1	%
Agricultural real estate	216	3.2	%	418	5.5	%
1-4 Family residential properties	1,088	16.2	%	1,899	25.1	%
Commercial real estate	2,334	34.8	%	2,063	27.2	%
Loans secured by real estate	5,059	75.4	%	5,902	77.9	%
Agricultural loans	209	3.1	%	1,634	21.6	%
Commercial and industrial loans	1,409	21.0	%	37	0.5	%
Consumer loans	34	0.5	%	—	—	%
Total loans	$6,711	100.0	%	$7,573	100.0	%

Interest income that would have been reported if nonaccrual and restructured loans had been performing totaled $72,000 and $130,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The $216,000 decrease in repossessed assets during the first six months of 2013 resulted from the net of $696,000 of additional assets repossessed, $802,000 of repossessed assets sold and $110,000 of further write-downs of repossessed assets to current market value.

55

The following table summarizes the composition of repossessed assets (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Balance	% of Total		Balance	% of Total	
Construction and land development	$278	27.5	%	$278	22.6	%
1-4 family residential properties	597	58.9	%	539	43.9	%
Multi-family residential properties	—	—	%	30	2.4	%
Commercial real estate	128	12.6	%	340	27.7	%
Total real estate	1,003	99.0	%	1,187	96.6	%
Consumer Loans	10	1.0	%	42	3.4	%
Total repossessed collateral	$1,013	100.0	%	$1,229	100.0	%

Repossessed assets sold during the first six months of 2013 resulted in net losses of $57,000, of which $59,000 was related to real estate asset sales and $2,000 in gains was related to other repossessed assets. Repossessed assets sold during 2012 resulted in net losses of $156,000, all of which was related to real estate asset sales.

Loan Quality and Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of the reserve necessary to adequately account for probable losses existing in the current portfolio. The provision for loan losses is the charge against current earnings that is determined by management as the amount needed to maintain an adequate allowance for loan losses. In determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, and therefore the provision to be charged to current earnings, management relies predominantly on a disciplined credit review and approval process that extends to the full range of the Company's credit exposure. The review process is directed by overall lending policy and is intended to identify, at the earliest possible stage, borrowers who might be facing financial difficulty. Once identified, the magnitude of exposure to individual borrowers is quantified in the form of specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses. Management considers collateral values and guarantees in the determination of such specific allocations. Additional factors considered by management in evaluating the overall adequacy of the allowance include historical net loan losses, the level and composition of nonaccrual, past due and renegotiated loans, trends in volumes and terms of loans, effects of changes in risk selection and underwriting standards or lending practices, lending staff changes, concentrations of credit, industry conditions and the current economic conditions in the region where the Company operates.

Given the current state of the economy, management did assess the impact of the recession on each category of loans and adjusted historical loss factors for more recent economic trends. Management utilizes a five-year loss history as one of several components in assessing the probability of inherent future losses. Given the continued weakened in economic conditions, management also increased its allocation to various loan categories for economic factors during 2013 and 2012. Some of the economic factors include the potential for reduced cash flow for commercial operating loans from reduction in sales or increased operating costs, decreased occupancy rates for commercial buildings, reduced levels of home sales for commercial land developments, the uncertainty regarding grain prices, drought conditions and increased operating costs for farmers, and increased levels of unemployment and bankruptcy impacting consumer's ability to pay. Each of these economic uncertainties was taken into consideration in developing the level of the reserve. Management considers the allowance for loan losses a critical accounting policy.

Management recognizes there are risk factors that are inherent in the Company's loan portfolio. All financial institutions face risk factors in their loan portfolios because risk exposure is a function of the business. The Company's operations (and therefore its loans) are concentrated in east central Illinois, an area where agriculture is the

dominant industry. Accordingly, lending and other business relationships with agriculture-based businesses are critical to the Company’s success. At June 30, 2013, the Company’s loan portfolio included $147.1 million of loans to borrowers whose businesses are directly related to agriculture. Of this amount, $123.6 million was concentrated in other grain farming. Total loans to borrowers whose businesses are directly related to agriculture decreased $0.1 million from $147.2 million at December 31, 2012 while loans concentrated in other grain farming decreased $0.8 million from $124.4 million at December 31, 2012.

While the Company adheres to sound underwriting practices, including collateralization of loans, any extended period of low commodity prices, drought conditions, significantly reduced yields on crops and/or reduced levels of government assistance to the agricultural industry could result in an increase in the level of problem agriculture loans and potentially result in loan losses within the agricultural portfolio. The impact of 2012 drought conditions on the cash flow of agricultural customers is mitigated to some extent because most of these customers maintain crop insurance. The Company does not expect the drought conditions to have a material impact on the allowance for loan losses.

In addition, the Company has $45.3 million of loans to motels and hotels. The performance of these loans is dependent on borrower specific issues as well as the general level of business and personal travel within the region. While the Company adheres to sound underwriting standards, a prolonged period of reduced business or personal travel could result in an increase in nonperforming loans to this business segment and potentially in loan losses. The Company also has $96.5 million of loans to lessors of non-residential buildings and $56.5 million of loans to lessors of residential buildings and dwellings.

The structure of the Company’s loan approval process is based on progressively larger lending authorities granted to individual loan officers, loan committees, and ultimately the Board of Directors. Outstanding balances to one borrower or affiliated borrowers are limited by federal regulation; however, limits well below the regulatory thresholds are generally observed. The vast majority of the Company’s loans are to businesses located in the geographic market areas served by the Company’s branch bank system. Additionally, a significant portion of the collateral securing the loans in the portfolio is located within the Company’s primary geographic footprint. In general, the Company adheres to loan underwriting standards consistent with industry guidelines for all loan segments.

The Company minimizes credit risk by adhering to sound underwriting and credit review policies. Management and the board of directors of the Company review these policies at least annually. Senior management is actively involved in business development efforts and the maintenance and monitoring of credit underwriting and approval. The loan review system and controls are designed to identify, monitor and address asset quality problems in an accurate and timely manner. On a quarterly basis, the board of directors and management review the status of problem loans and determine a best estimate of the allowance. In addition to internal policies and controls, regulatory authorities periodically review asset quality and the overall adequacy of the allowance for loan losses.

Analysis of the allowance for loan losses as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, and of changes in the allowance for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Average loans outstanding, net of unearned income	$907,562	$840,256	$904,461	$841,294
Allowance-beginning of period	11,984	11,293	11,776	11,120
Charge-offs:				
Real estate-mortgage	102	248	238	535
Commercial, financial & agricultural	15	103	215	280
Installment	5	9	10	23
Other	46	38	87	72
Total charge-offs	168	398	550	910
Recoveries:				
Real estate-mortgage	6	89	16	105
Commercial, financial & agricultural	16	26	80	49
Installment	20	9	23	11
Other	21	20	54	49
Total recoveries	63	144	173	214
Net charge-offs	105	254	377	696
Provision for loan losses	252	416	732	1,031
Allowance-end of period	$12,131	$11,455	$12,131	$11,455
Ratio of annualized net charge-offs to average loans	0.05 %	0.12 %	0.08 %	0.17 %
Ratio of allowance for loan losses to loans outstanding (less unearned interest at end of period)	1.33 %	1.35 %	1.33 %	1.35 %
Ratio of allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans	177.5 %	165.6 %	177.5 %	165.6 %

The ratio of the allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans is 177.5% as of June 30, 2013 compared to 165.6% as of June 30, 2012. During the first six months of 2013, the Company had net charge-offs of $377,000 compared to $696,000 in 2012. During 2013, the Company’s significant charge-offs included $69,000 on four commercial real estate loans of two borrowers, $49,000 on one residential real estate loan and $200,000 on five commercial operating loans of three borrowers.

58

Deposits

Funding of the Company's earning assets is substantially provided by a combination of consumer, commercial and public fund deposits. The Company continues to focus its strategies and emphasis on retail core deposits, the major component of funding sources. The following table sets forth the average deposits and weighted average rates for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 and for the year ended December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	Six months ended June 30, 2013			Six months ended June 30, 2012			Year ended December 31, 2012		
	Average Balance	Weighted Average Rate		Average Balance	Weighted Average Rate		Average Balance	Weighted Average Rate	
Demand deposits:									
Non-interest-bearing	$246,099	—	%	$209,770	—	%	$219,218	—	%
Interest-bearing	540,574	0.16	%	503,984	0.35	%	511,199	0.28	%
Savings	300,333	0.18	%	276,808	0.48	%	281,831	0.42	%
Time deposits	204,448	0.76	%	231,064	1.04	%	224,350	0.98	%
Total average deposits	$1,291,454	0.23	%	$1,221,626	0.45	%	$1,236,598	0.39	%

The following table sets forth the high and low month-end balances for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 and for the year ended December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Six months ended June 30, 2013	Six months ended June 30, 2012	Year ended December 31, 2012
High month-end balances of total deposits	$1,310,169	$1,233,800	$1,274,065
Low month-end balances of total deposits	1,271,134	1,193,341	1,193,341

During the first six months of 2013, the average balance of deposits increased by $54.9 million from the average balance for the year ended December 31, 2012. Average non-interest bearing deposits increased by $26.9 million, average interest bearing account balances increased by $29.4 million, savings account balances increased $18.5 million and balances of time deposits declined $19.9 million.

Balances of time deposits of $100,000 or more include time deposits maintained for public fund entities and consumer time deposits. The following table sets forth the maturity of time deposits of $100,000 or more at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
3 months or less	$17,531	$16,468
Over 3 through 6 months	10,873	10,847
Over 6 through 12 months	13,328	15,778
Over 12 months	18,850	19,469
Total	$60,582	$62,562

59

Repurchase Agreements and Other Borrowings

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are short-term obligations of First Mid Bank. First Mid Bank collateralizes these obligations with certain government securities that are direct obligations of the United States or one of its agencies. First Mid Bank offers these retail repurchase agreements as a cash management service to its corporate customers. Other borrowings consist of Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") advances, federal funds purchased, loans (short-term or long-term debt) that the Company has outstanding and junior subordinated debentures.

Information relating to securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other borrowings as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 is presented below (dollars in thousands):

	June 30, 2013		December 31, 2012	
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	$94,694		$113,484	
Federal Home Loan Bank advances:				
FHLB-Overnight	7,500		—	
Fixed term – due after one year	5,000		5,000	
Junior subordinated debentures	20,620		20,620	
Total	$127,814		$139,104	
Average interest rate at end of period	0.62	%	0.61	%
Maximum outstanding at any month-end:				
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	$97,374		$118,030	
Federal Home Loan Bank advances:				
FHLB-Overnight	7,500		—	
Fixed term – due in one year or less	—		9,750	
Fixed term – due after one year	5,000		5,000	
Debt:				
Debt due in one year or less	—		8,250	
Junior subordinated debentures	20,620		20,620	
Averages for the period (YTD):				
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	$88,921		$113,443	
Federal funds purchased	240		59	
Federal Home Loan Bank advances:				
FHLB-overnight	677		3	
Fixed term – due in one year or less	—		5,616	
Fixed term – due after one year	5,000		5,000	
Debt:				
Loans due in one year or less	—		4,035	
Junior subordinated debentures	20,620		20,620	
Total	$115,458		$148,776	
Average interest rate during the period	0.70	%	0.88	%

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase declined $18.8 million during the first six months of 2013 primarily due to the seasonal declines in balances of various customers. FHLB advances represent borrowings by First Mid Bank to economically fund loan demand. At June 30, 2013 the fixed term advances consisted of one $5 million advance at $4.58% with a 10-year maturity, due July 14, 2016 with a one year lockout and a callable quarterly.

60

The Company is party to a revolving credit agreement with The Northern Trust Company in the amount of $20 million. The balance on this line of credit was zero as of June 30, 2013. This loan was renewed on April 20, 2013 for one year as a revolving credit agreement with a maximum available balance of $15 million. The interest rate is floating at 2.25% over the federal funds rate (2.5% at June 30, 2013). The loan is unsecured and subject to a borrowing agreement containing requirements for the Company and First Mid Bank, including requirements for operating and capital ratios. The Company and its subsidiary bank were in compliance with the existing covenants at June 30, 2013 and 2012 and December 31, 2012.

On February 11, 2011, the Company accepted from certain accredited investors, including directors, executive officers, and certain major customers and holders of the Company's common stock (collectively, the "Investors"), subscriptions for the purchase of $27,500,000, in the aggregate, of the Series C Preferred Stock. As of February 11, 2011, $11,010,000 of the Series C Preferred Stock had been issued and sold by the Company to certain Investors. On March 2, 2011, three Investors subsequently completed the required bank regulatory process and an additional $2,750,000 of Series C Preferred Stock was issued and sold by the Company to these Investors.

On May 13, 2011, four additional Investors received the required bank regulatory approval and an additional $5,490,000 of Series C Preferred Stock was issued and sold by the Company to these Investors. The Investors who subscribed for the remaining $8,250,000 of our Series C Preferred Stock were the Remaining Investors.

As described in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 21, 2011, the disinterested members of the Board of Directors of the Company, which did not include Benjamin I. Lumpkin and Steve L. Grissom, approved and authorized, and the Remaining Investors agreed to, certain amendments to their subscription agreements resulting in the release to the Company of the funds escrowed by the Remaining Investors for their subscribed shares of the Series C Preferred Stock and, in lieu thereof, the issuance by the Company of the Notes to the Remaining Investors. On November 21, 2011, the Company and the Remaining Investors agreed to the release of the escrowed funds in exchange for the Notes.

On June 15, 2012, the Federal Reserve Board stated that it would not disapprove of the Remaining Investors' purchase of the shares of Series C Preferred Stock originally subscribed for by the Remaining Investors. By notices received June 28, 2012, the Remaining Investors notified the Company that they will exercise the prepayment provision allowing them to purchase the shares of Series C Preferred Stock originally subscribed for such that the Remaining Investors will use the funds represented by the Notes to purchase the subscribed for shares of the Series C Preferred Stock. As a result, on June 28, 2012, the Notes were canceled and the final $8,250,000 of the Company's Series C Preferred Stock was issued and sold by the Company to the Remaining Investors.

On February 27, 2004, the Company completed the issuance and sale of $10 million of floating rate trust preferred securities through First Mid-Illinois Statutory Trust I ("Trust I"), a statutory business trust and wholly-owned unconsolidated subsidiary of the Company, as part of a pooled offering. The Company established Trust I for the purpose of issuing the trust preferred securities. The $10 million in proceeds from the trust preferred issuance and an additional $310,000 for the Company's investment in common equity of Trust I, a total of $10,310 000, was invested in junior subordinated debentures of the Company. The underlying junior subordinated debentures issued by the Company to Trust I mature in 2034, bear interest at three-month London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus 280 basis points (3.13% and 3.19% at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively), reset quarterly, and are callable at par, at the option of the Company, quarterly. The Company used the proceeds of the offering for general corporate purposes.

On April 26, 2006, the Company completed the issuance and sale of $10 million of fixed/floating rate trust preferred securities through First Mid-Illinois Statutory Trust II ("Trust II"), a statutory business trust and wholly-owned unconsolidated subsidiary of the Company, as part of a pooled offering. The Company established Trust II for the

purpose of issuing the trust preferred securities. The $10 million in proceeds from the trust preferred issuance and an additional $310,000 for the Company's investment in common equity of Trust II, a total of $10,310 000, was invested in junior subordinated debentures of the Company. The underlying junior subordinated debentures issued by the Company to Trust II mature in 2036, bore interest at a fixed rate of 6.98% paid quarterly until June 15, 2011 and then converted to floating rate (LIBOR plus 160 basis points) after June 15, 2011 (1.87% and 1.91% at and June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively). The net proceeds to the Company were used for general corporate purposes, including the Company's acquisition of Mansfield Bancorp, Inc. in 2006.

The trust preferred securities issued by Trust I and Trust II are included as Tier 1 capital of the Company for regulatory capital purposes. On March 1, 2005, the Federal Reserve Board adopted a final rule that allows the continued limited inclusion of trust preferred securities in the calculation of Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes. The final rule provided a five-year transition period, ending September 30, 2010, for application of the revised quantitative limits. On March 17, 2009, the Federal Reserve Board adopted an additional final rule that delayed the effective date of the new limits on inclusion of trust preferred securities in the calculation of Tier 1 capital until March 31, 2012. The application of the revised quantitative limits did not and is not expected to have a significant impact on its calculation of Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes or its classification as well-capitalized. The Dodd-Frank Act, signed into law July 21, 2010, removes trust preferred securities as a permitted component of a holding company's Tier 1 capital after a three-year phase-in period beginning January 1, 2013 for larger holding companies. For holding companies with less than $15 billion in consolidated assets, existing issues of trust preferred securities are grandfathered and not subject to this new restriction. Similarly, the final rule implementing the Basel III reforms allows holding companies with less than $15 billion in consolidated assets as of December 31, 2009 to continue count toward Tier i capital any trust preferred securities issued before May 19, 2010. New issuances of trust preferred securities, however would not count as Tier 1 regulatory capital.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

The Company seeks to maximize its net interest margin while maintaining an acceptable level of interest rate risk. Interest rate risk can be defined as the amount of forecasted net interest income that may be gained or lost due to changes in the interest rate environment, a variable over which management has no control. Interest rate risk, or sensitivity, arises when the maturity or repricing characteristics of interest-bearing assets differ significantly from the maturity or repricing characteristics of interest-bearing liabilities. The Company monitors its interest rate sensitivity position to maintain a balance between rate sensitive assets and rate sensitive liabilities. This balance serves to limit the adverse effects of changes in interest rates. The Company's asset liability management committee (ALCO) oversees the interest rate sensitivity position and directs the overall allocation of funds.

In the banking industry, a traditional way to measure potential net interest income exposure to changes in interest rates is through a technique known as "static GAP" analysis which measures the cumulative differences between the amounts of assets and liabilities maturing or repricing at various intervals. By comparing the volumes of interest-bearing assets and liabilities that have contractual maturities and repricing points at various times in the future, management can gain insight into the amount of interest rate risk embedded in the balance sheet.

The following table sets forth the Company's interest rate repricing GAP for selected maturity periods at June 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

	Rate Sensitive Within							
	1 year	1-2 years	2-3 years	3-4 years	4-5 years	Thereafter	Total	Fair Value
Interest-earning assets:								
Federal funds sold and other interest-bearing deposits	$2,092	$—	$—	$—	$—	$—	$2,092	$2,092
Certificates of deposit investments	1,992	—	—	—	—	—	$1,992	$1,992
Taxable investment securities	7,062	918	690	5,044	40,002	420,726	$474,442	$474,442
Nontaxable investment securities	612	11	571	2,126	376	55,697	$59,393	$59,393
Loans	388,336	118,282	119,924	110,220	121,937	57,114	$915,813	$928,477
Total	$400,094	$119,211	$121,185	$117,390	$162,315	$533,537	$1,453,732	$1,466,396
Interest-bearing liabilities:								
Savings and NOW accounts	$111,563	$33,284	$34,514	$48,045	$49,428	$292,048	$568,882	$568,882
Money market accounts	217,619	4,504	4,629	6,006	6,131	32,406	$271,295	$271,295
Other time deposits	143,267	23,239	13,306	9,310	10,178	131	$199,431	$195,012
Short-term borrowings/debt	102,194	—	—	—	—	—	$102,194	$102,199
Long-term borrowings/debt	20,620	—	—	5,000	—	—	$25,620	$17,649
Total	$595,263	$61,027	$52,449	$68,361	$65,737	$324,585	$1,167,422	$1,155,037
Rate sensitive assets – rate sensitive liabilities	$(195,169)	$58,184	$68,736	$49,029	$96,578	$208,952	$286,310	
Cumulative GAP	$(195,169)	$(136,985)	$(68,249)	$(19,220)	$77,358	$286,310		
Cumulative amounts as % of total Rate sensitive assets	(13.4)%	4.0 %	4.7 %	3.4 %	6.6 %	14.4 %		
Cumulative Ratio	(13.4)%	(9.4)%	(4.7)%	(1.3)%	5.3 %	19.7 %		

63

The static GAP analysis shows that at June 30, 2013, the Company was liability sensitive, on a cumulative basis, through the twelve-month time horizon. This indicates that future increases in interest rates could have an adverse effect on net interest income.

There are several ways the Company measures and manages the exposure to interest rate sensitivity, including static GAP analysis. The Company's ALCO also uses other financial models to project interest income under various rate scenarios and prepayment/extension assumptions consistent with First Mid Bank's historical experience and with known industry trends. ALCO meets at least monthly to review the Company's exposure to interest rate changes as indicated by the various techniques and to make necessary changes in the composition terms and/or rates of the assets and liabilities. The Company is currently experiencing downward pressure on asset yields resulting from the extended period of historically low interest rates and heightened competition for loans. A continuation of this environment could result in a decline in interest income and the net interest margin.

Capital Resources

At June 30, 2013, the Company's stockholders' equity had decreased $7,740,000, or 4.9%, to $148,947,000 from $156,687,000 as of December 31, 2012. During the first six months of 2013, net income contributed $7,193,000 to equity before the payment of dividends to stockholders. The change in market value of available-for-sale investment securities decreased stockholders' equity by $11,093,000, net of tax. Additional purchases of treasury stock (67,645 shares at an average cost of $23.54 per share) decreased stockholders' equity by approximately $1,593,000.

The Company is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Bank holding companies follow minimum regulatory requirements established by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("Federal Reserve System"), and First Mid Bank follows similar minimum regulatory requirements established for national banks by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC"). Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary action by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's financial statements.

Quantitative measures established by each regulatory agency to ensure capital adequacy require the reporting institutions to maintain a minimum total risk-based capital ratio of 8%, a minimum Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 4% and a minimum leverage ratio of 3% for the most highly rated banks that do not expect significant growth. All other institutions are required to maintain a minimum leverage ratio of 4%. Management believes that, as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company and First Mid Bank met all capital adequacy requirements.

As of June 30, 2013, both the Company and First Mid Bank had capital ratios above the required minimums for regulatory capital adequacy, and First Mid Bank had capital ratios that qualified it for treatment as well-capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action with respect to banks.

To be categorized as well-capitalized, total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based and Tier 1 leverage ratios must be maintained as set forth in the following table (dollars in thousands):

	Actual			Required Minimum For Capital Adequacy Purposes		To Be Well-Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions	
	Amount	Ratio		Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
June 30, 2013							
Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets)							
Company	$166,368	16.21	%	$82,090	> 8.00%	N/A	N/A
First Mid Bank	152,821	15.03		81,341	> 8.00	$101,676	> 10.00%
Tier 1 Capital (to risk-weighted assets)							
Company	154,237	15.03		41,045	> 4.00	N/A	N/A
First Mid Bank	140,690	13.84		40,671	> 4.00	61,006	> 6.00
Tier 1 Capital (to average assets)							
Company	154,237	9.97		61,852	> 4.00	N/A	N/A
First Mid Bank	140,690	9.15		61,481	> 4.00	76,851	> 5.00
December 31, 2012							
Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets)							
Company	$161,799	15.65	%	$82,693	> 8.00%	N/A	N/A
First Mid Bank	143,942	14.04		82,047	> 8.00	$102,559	> 10.00%
Tier 1 Capital (to risk-weighted assets)							
Company	150,023	14.51		41,346	> 4.00	N/A	N/A
First Mid Bank	132,166	12.89		41,024	> 4.00	61,535	> 6.00
Tier 1 Capital (to average assets)							
Company	150,023	9.66		62,093	> 4.00	N/A	N/A
First Mid Bank	132,166	8.56		61,771	> 4.00	77,213	> 5.00

Stock Plans

Participants may purchase Company stock under the following four plans of the Company: the Deferred Compensation Plan, the First Retirement and Savings Plan, the Dividend Reinvestment Plan, and the SI Plan. For more detailed information on these plans, refer to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

At the Annual Meeting of Stockholders held May 23, 2007, the stockholders approved the SI Plan. The SI Plan was implemented to succeed the Company's 1997 Stock Incentive Plan, which had a ten-year term that expired October 21, 2007. The SI Plan is intended to provide a means whereby directors, employees, consultants and advisors of the Company and its Subsidiaries may sustain a sense of proprietorship and personal involvement in the continued development and financial success of the Company and its Subsidiaries, thereby advancing the interests of the Company and its stockholders. Accordingly, directors and selected employees, consultants and advisors may be provided the opportunity to acquire shares of Common Stock of the Company on the terms and conditions established in the SI Plan.

On September 27, 2011, the Board of Directors passed a resolution relating to the SI Plan whereby they authorized and approved the Executive Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP"). The LTIP was implemented to provide methodology for granting Stock Awards and Stock Unit Awards to select senior executives of the Company or any Subsidiary.

A maximum of 300,000 shares of common stock may be issued under the SI Plan. As of June 30, 2013, the Company had awarded 59,500 shares as stock options under the SI plan. There were no stock options granted in 2013 or 2012. The company awarded 16,182 shares and 15,162 shares during 2013 and 2012, respectively, as 50% Stock Awards and 50% Stock Unit Awards under the SI plan.

65

Stock Repurchase Program

Since August 5, 1998, the Board of Directors has approved repurchase programs pursuant to which the Company may repurchase a total of approximately $61.7 million of the Company's common stock. The repurchase programs approved by the Board of Directors are as follows:

- On August 5, 1998, repurchases of up to 3%, or $2 million, of the Company's common stock.
- In March 2000, repurchases up to an additional 5%, or $4.2 million of the Company's common stock.
- In September 2001, repurchases of $3 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.
- In August 2002, repurchases of $5 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.
- In September 2003, repurchases of $10 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.
- On April 27, 2004, repurchases of $5 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.
- On August 23, 2005, repurchases of $5 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.
- On August 22, 2006, repurchases of $5 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.
- On February 27, 2007, repurchases of $5 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.
- On November 13, 2007, repurchases of $5 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.
- On December 16, 2008, repurchases of $2.5 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.
- On May 26, 2009, repurchases of $5 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.
- On February 22, 2011, repurchases of $5 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.
- On November 13, 2012, repurchases of $5 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company repurchased 67,645 shares at a total cost of approximately $1,593,000. Since 1998, the Company has repurchased a total of 3,272,541 shares at a total price of approximately $63,679,000. As of June 30, 2013, the Company is authorized per all repurchase programs to purchase $3,027,000 in additional shares.

Liquidity

Liquidity represents the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries to meet all present and future financial obligations arising in the daily operations of the business. Financial obligations consist of the need for funds to meet extensions of credit, deposit withdrawals and debt servicing. The Company's liquidity management focuses on the ability to obtain funds economically through assets that may be converted into cash at minimal costs or through other sources. The Company's other sources of cash include overnight federal fund lines, Federal Home Loan Bank advances, deposits of the State of Illinois, the ability to borrow at the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, and the Company's operating line of credit with The Northern Trust Company. Details for the sources include:

- First Mid Bank has $35 million available in overnight federal fund lines, including $10 million from U.S. Bank, N.A., $10 million from Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and $15 million from The Northern Trust Company. Availability of the funds is subject to First Mid Bank meeting minimum regulatory capital requirements for total capital to risk-weighted assets and Tier 1 capital to total average assets. As of June 30, 2013, First Mid Bank met these regulatory requirements.

- First Mid Bank can borrow from the Federal Home Loan Bank as a source of liquidity. Availability of the funds is subject to the pledging of collateral to the Federal Home Loan Bank. Collateral that can be pledged includes one-to-four family residential real estate loans and securities. At June 30, 2013, the excess collateral at the FHLB would support approximately $93.6 million of additional advances.

-

First Mid Bank receives deposits from the State of Illinois. The receipt of these funds is subject to competitive bid and requires collateral to be pledged at the time of placement.

- First Mid Bank is a member of the Federal Reserve System and can borrow funds provided that sufficient collateral is pledged.

66

- In addition, as of June 30, 2013, the Company had a revolving credit agreement in the amount of $15 million with The Northern Trust Company with an outstanding balance of zero and $15 million in available funds. This loan was renewed on April 20, 2013 for one year as a revolving credit agreement. The interest rate is floating at 2.25% over the federal funds rate. The loan is unsecured and subject to a borrowing agreement containing requirements for the Company and First Mid Bank, including requirements for operating and capital ratios. The Company and its subsidiary bank were in compliance with the existing covenants at June 30, 2013 and 2012 and December 31, 2012.

Management continues to monitor its expected liquidity requirements carefully, focusing primarily on cash flows from:

- lending activities, including loan commitments, letters of credit and mortgage prepayment assumptions;
- deposit activities, including seasonal demand of private and public funds;
- investing activities, including prepayments of mortgage-backed securities and call provisions on U.S. Treasury and government agency securities; and
- operating activities, including scheduled debt repayments and dividends to stockholders.

The following table summarizes significant contractual obligations and other commitments at June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Time deposits	$199,431	$136,018	$41,064	$22,218	$131
Debt	20,620	—	—	—	20,620
Other borrowings	107,194	102,194	5,000	—	—
Operating leases	3,119	1,026	1,048	455	590
Supplemental retirement	887	50	200	200	437
	$331,251	$239,288	$47,312	$22,873	$21,778

For the six months ended June 30, 2013, net cash of $10.0 million was provided from operating activities and $42.9 million and $18.2 million was used in investing activities and financing activities, respectively. In total, cash and cash equivalents decreased by $50.8 million since year-end 2012.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

First Mid Bank enters into financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include lines of credit, letters of credit and other commitments to extend credit. Each of these instruments involves, to varying degrees, elements of credit, interest rate and liquidity risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The Company uses the same credit policies and requires similar collateral in approving lines of credit and commitments and issuing letters of credit as it does in making loans. The exposure to credit losses on financial instruments is represented by the contractual amount of these instruments. However, the Company does not anticipate any losses from these instruments.

The off-balance sheet financial instruments whose contract amounts represent credit risk at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Unused commitments and lines of credit:		
Commercial real estate	$28,219	$27,800
Commercial operating	152,827	132,040
Home equity	24,197	25,255
Other	44,184	46,430
Total	$249,427	$231,525
Standby letters of credit	$3,667	$3,351

Commitments to originate credit represent approved commercial, residential real estate and home equity loans that generally are expected to be funded within ninety days. Lines of credit are agreements by which the Company agrees to provide a borrowing accommodation up to a stated amount as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the loan agreement. Both commitments to originate credit and lines of credit generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the lines and some commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the financial performance of customers to third parties. Standby letters of credit are primarily issued to facilitate trade or support borrowing arrangements and generally expire in one year or less. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending credit facilities to customers. The maximum amount of credit that would be extended under letters of credit is equal to the total off-balance sheet contract amount of such instrument.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There has been no material change in the market risk faced by the Company since December 31, 2012. For information regarding the Company's market risk, refer to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's "disclosure controls and procedures" (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act), as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, such officers have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective. Further, there have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the last fiscal quarter that have materially affected or that are reasonably likely to affect materially the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

68

PART II

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Various risks and uncertainties, some of which are difficult to predict and beyond the Company's control, could negatively impact the Company. As a financial institution, the Company is exposed to interest rate risk, liquidity risk, credit risk, operational risk, risks from economic or market conditions, and general business risks among others. Adverse experience with these or other risks could have a material impact on the Company's financial condition and results of operations, as well as the value of its common stock. See the risk factors and "Supervision and Regulation" described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
April 1, 2013 - April 30, 2013	—	$—	—	$3,462,000
May 1, 2013 - May 31, 2013	4,649	$22.71	4,649	$3,357,000
June 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	14,141	$23.30	14,141	$3,027,000
Total	18,790	$23.01	18,790	$3,027,000

See heading "Stock Repurchase Program" for more information regarding stock purchases.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K and filed herewith are listed in the Exhibit Index that follows the Signature Page and that immediately precedes the exhibits filed.

69

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FIRST MID-ILLINOIS BANCSHARES, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: August 7, 2013

William S. Rowland
President and Chief Executive Officer

Michael L. Taylor
Chief Financial Officer

70

Exhibit Index to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q

Exhibit Number	Description and Filing or Incorporation Reference
4.1	The Registrant agrees to furnish to the Commission, upon request, a copy of each instrument with respect to issues of long-term debt involving a total amount which does not exceed 10% of the total assets of the Registrant and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis
11.1	Statement re: Computation of Earnings Per Share (Filed herewith on page 9)
31.1	Certification pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101	The following materials from the Company’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, and (iv) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

71