CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO Form 8-K

November 12, 2014

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, DC 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT

PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of Report (Date of Earliest Event Reported): November 10, 2014

CONTANGO OIL & GAS COMPANY

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Delaware 001-16317 95-4079863

(State or Other Jurisdiction of (Commission File Number) (IRS Employer Identification

Incorporation) No.)

717 Texas Ave., Suite 2900, Houston Texas 77002 (Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(713) 236-7400

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions (see General Instruction A.2. below):

- [] Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
- [] Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
- [] Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 14d-2(b))
- [] Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

Item 2.02

Results of Operations and Financial Condition.

On November 10, 2014, Contango Oil & Gas Company issued a press release providing its financial and operational results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. A copy of the press release is attached hereto as Exhibit 99.1.

Contango Oil & Gas held an earnings conference call on November 11, 2014, to discuss financial and operational results for the third quarter ended September 30, 2014. A copy of the transcript of the earnings conference call is attached as Exhibit 99.2 to this current report on Form 8-K.

As provided in General Instruction B.2. of Form 8-K, the information furnished pursuant to Item 2.02 in this report on Form 8-K (including the press release attached as Exhibit 99.1 incorporated by reference in this report) shall not be deemed to be "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section, nor shall such information be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), or the Exchange Act, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such a filing.

Item 9.01 Financial Statements and Exhibits.

(d) Exhibits

Exhibit Number Description

99.1 Press Release dated November 10, 2014

99.2 Transcript of Earnings Conference Call dated November 11, 2014 (furnished herewith)

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

CONTANGO OIL & GAS COMPANY

Date: November 12, 2014 /s/ E. Joseph Grady

E. Joseph Grady

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial

Officer

Exhibit Index

Exhibit Number	Description
99.1	Press Release dated November 10, 2014
99.2	Transcript of Earnings Conference Call dated November 11, 2014
	(furnished herewith)

EXHIBIT 99.1

Contango Announces Third Quarter 2014 Financial Results and Provides Operations Update

November 10, 2014 - HOUSTON--(BUSINESS WIRE)-- Contango Oil & Gas Company (NYSE MKT: MCF) ("Contango") announced today its financial results for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and provided an operational update.

Third Quarter 2014 Highlights

- Production of 9.4 Bcfe for the quarter
- Net income of \$3.7 million and Adjusted EBITDAX of \$47.7 million for the quarter
 - Commenced initial production at South Timbalier 17, our 2013 offshore discovery
- Installed compression at Eugene Island Block 11 for our Dutch and Mary Rose wells
- Spud initial horizontal well in newly acquired 53,200 gross (23,700 net) acre position in our Elm Hill project in Fayette County, Texas
- Acquisition of the right to earn approximately 49,000 gross (44,000 net) acres in our North Cheyenne project in Weston County, Wyoming, targeting multiple formations, including the Muddy Sandstone formation
 - Reaffirmed our borrowing base of \$275 million, through May 1, 2015

Management Commentary

Allan D. Keel, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, said "We are excited to begin drilling in our new prospective resource plays. To date we have spud two wells in our new Elm Hill project in Fayette County, Texas and have just spud our initial well in our new FRAMS project in Natrona County, Wyoming targeting the Mowry Shale. We expect to spud our initial well targeting the Muddy Sandstone formation in our North Cheyenne project in Weston County, Wyoming in late December or early January 2015. We are excited about the potential from these plays as a complement to our liquids-focused resource strategy currently focused on the Woodbine and Buda in Madison and Dimmit Counties, Texas, respectively. Since the beginning of the quarter we have brought two wells on-line in the Woodbine and have initiated a downspaced pad concept at Chalktown, where an additional four wells are in various stages of drilling or completion. In the Buda, we have brought five wells on-line since the beginning of the quarter,

while an additional two are in various stages of drilling or completion."

Summary Financial Results for the Quarter Ended September 30, 2014

The results for the three months ended September 30, 2014 include the effect of the Company's October 1, 2013 merger with Crimson Exploration Inc. ("Crimson"), while the results for the three months ended September 30, 2013 include only the results of Contango.

Net income for the three months ended September 30, 2014 was \$3.7 million, or \$0.19 per basic and diluted share, compared to net income of \$19.7 million, or \$1.30 per basic and diluted share, for the same period last year. Included in the prior year figure is a \$15.6 million pre-tax gain from our investment in Alta Resources. The remaining decrease in net income was primarily attributable to a \$29.0 million pre-tax increase in depreciation, depletion and amortization ("DD&A"), an \$8.2 million increase in operating expenses and a \$4.2 million increase in general and administrative

("G&A") costs associated with our expanded asset base and organization subsequent to our merger with Crimson, partially offset by a \$32.8 million increase in revenues. Revenues for the current year quarter were negatively impacted by an estimated \$12.1 million related to the shut-in of our Eugene Island 11 platform for compressor installation. Average weighted shares outstanding were approximately 19.1 million and 15.2 million for the current and prior year quarters, respectively.

The Company reported Adjusted EBITDAX, as defined below, of approximately \$47.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$26.6 million for the same period last year. Crimson's field operations contributed \$33.8 million to the current quarter, offset in part by the above mentioned impact of the shut-in at Eugene Island 11 and higher post-merger G&A costs.

Revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2014 were approximately \$67.6 million compared to \$34.7 million for the same period last year. This increase was primarily due to the addition of Crimson's operations which contributed \$41.9 million in additional revenues, partially offset by the estimated \$12.1 million decrease in Contango's revenues due to the shut-in at Eugene Island 11.

Production for the three months ended September 30, 2014 was approximately 9.4 Bcfe, or 102.3 Mmcfed, which was within our previously provided guidance. This 42% increase over production for the same period last year, despite the shut in at Eugene Island 11 (estimated 17.9 Mmcfed impact for the quarter), was attributable primarily to the addition of Crimson's operations, new production from our 2014 drilling program, additional interests in our Dutch wells acquired in December 2013 and new production from our 2013 discovery at South Timbalier 17 that began producing in the current year quarter. Our Dutch and Mary Rose wells at Eugene Island were shut in completely for approximately three weeks during the current quarter to install compression, with reduced production rates over several days as the area was restored to full production at 99% of pre-shut in rates. Crude oil and natural gas liquids production during the third quarter was approximately 6,900 barrels per day, or 40% of total production, up from approximately 2,600 barrels per day, or 22% of total production for the same period last year, an increase attributable to the addition of the Crimson properties and the subsequent focus on the development of our oil and liquids-rich onshore resource plays. For the fourth quarter of 2014, we estimate our production will be 105 - 115 Mmcfed. Guidance for the fourth quarter is less than the shut-in adjusted actual production for the third quarter due to drilling-related interference in three wells in our Buda play and due to the Company initiating a pad drilling strategy in the fourth quarter in our Chalktown area, thereby resulting in no new production during the quarter from that drilling. When drilling from pads, three wells are drilled in succession, those wells are then completed in succession, and then all three are put on production simultaneously to maximize recovery. It is anticipated that initial production will occur in January 2015.

The weighted average equivalent sales price during the three months ended September 30, 2014 was \$7.17 per Mcfe, compared to \$5.24 per Mcfe for the same period last year. The increase in the weighted average equivalent prices resulted from a higher percentage mix of crude and liquids production to total production, as well as from an increase in natural gas prices, which accounted for 60% of our volumes. The impact from the increase in liquids mix and higher gas prices was partially offset by lower oil and condensate prices during the current quarter.

Operating expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2014 were approximately \$13.8 million, or \$1.47 per Mcfe, compared to \$5.6 million, or \$0.84 per Mcfe, for the same period last year. Included in operating expenses are

lease operating expenses, transportation and processing costs, workover expenses and production and ad valorem taxes, all of which increased as a result of our expanded operations and increased production subsequent to our merger
with Crimson.

Lease operating expenses ("LOE"), transportation and processing costs and workover expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2014 were approximately \$10.6 million, or \$1.13 per Mcfe, compared to approximately \$4.8 million, or \$0.72 per Mcfe, for the same period last year. Current quarter expense was slightly outside of our previously provided guidance due to higher than expected offshore transportation costs.

Exploration costs for the three months ended September 30, 2014 included a \$5.2 million credit related to the adjustment of estimated costs accrued in the previous quarter for our unsuccessful Ship Shoal 255 well, including credits negotiated with certain service providers.

DD&A expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2014 were \$40.6 million, or \$4.31 per Mcfe, compared to \$11.5 million, or \$1.71 per Mcfe, for the same period last year. This increase is primarily attributable to the incremental production from Crimson's properties, and an increase in the DD&A rate resulting from higher costs associated with onshore oil plays and the impact of purchase price accounting related to the merger.

Impairment and abandonment expense from oil and gas properties was \$6.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 due to the impairment of certain unproved properties due to the estimated decline in the value of leases expiring in the near term and/or not likely to be drilled prior to expiration. The impairment relates primarily to certain portions of our Tuscaloosa Marine Shale acreage position and to our Gulf of Mexico exploratory prospects.

G&A expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2014 were \$6.8 million, or \$0.72 per Mcfe, compared to \$2.7 million, or \$0.40 per Mcfe, for the prior year quarter. G&A expenses for the quarter, exclusive of \$1.2 million in non-cash stock compensation expense were \$5.6 million, compared to \$2.7 million for the same period last year, an increase due to the post-merger combination of the staffs and facilities of both companies. This was below our previously provided guidance due to lower than projected legal and compensation costs. For the fourth quarter of 2014, we have provided guidance of \$6.5 million to \$7.5 million for general and administrative expenses, exclusive of non-cash stock compensation ("Cash G&A").

Drilling Activity Update

Onshore Activity

Southeast Texas (Woodbine)

Chalktown Area, Madison County, Texas

Our drilling efforts in Madison and Grimes counties this quarter were concentrated in the Chalktown Area and focused on the Woodbine/Lewisville. Our quarterly results and current activity in the Chalktown Area consist of the following. We anticipate keeping two rigs in this area for the remainder of the year, and have commenced a pad drilling strategy on 500 foot spacing:

Well	WI%	Total	Lateral	Frac	Status/First	30 Day	%
		Measured	(ft.)	Stages	Production	Avg IP	Oil

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form 8-K

		Depth (ft.)				(boed)	
Dean 1H	70%	16,194	6,737	29	July 2014	927	79% *
Heath Unit					Evaluating	not yet av	ailable
A 1H	70%	16,358	7,050	30			
Vick Trust B		TBD	TBD	TBD	Drilled	TBD	TBD
2H	68%						
Barr Unit A		TBD	TBD	TBD	Drilling -	TBD	TBD
2H	50%				9,300'		
Vick Trust B		TBD	TBD	TBD	Drilling -	TBD	TBD
5H	68%				9,200'		

* Previously reported

Iola/Grimes Area, Grimes County, Texas

We brought one well online in Grimes County during the quarter that was spud during the second quarter. We currently expect the arrival of a third rig (in addition to the two rigs in Chalktown) late in the fourth quarter that will target an extended lateral in the Woodbine in Grimes County.

		Total				30 Day	
		Measured	Lateral	Frac	Status/First	Avg IP	%
Well	WI%	Depth (ft.)	(ft.)	Stages	Production	(boed)	Oil
Tommie		_			July 2014		*
Carroll 2H	46%	14,950	5,221	22		648	81%

^{*} Previously reported

South Texas (Buda), Zavala and Dimmit Counties

Our recent and current activity in the Buda in South Texas consists of the following:

	Total				30 Day	
	Measured	Lateral	Frac	Status/First	Avg IP	%
WI%	Depth (ft.)	(ft.)	Stages	Production	(boed)	Oil
50%	14,290	7,096	n/a	July 2014	1,198	73% *
50%	16,574	9,474	n/a	July 2014	835	65% *
12.5%				July 2014		*
(Non-Op)	13,290	6,386	n/a		1,047	57%
				August		
100%	12,570	5,518	n/a	2014	235	12%
12.5%				August		
(Non-Op)	10,530	3,918	n/a	2014	684	80%
	TBD	TBD	TBD	Completing	TBD	TBD
50%						
	TBD	TBD	TBD	Drilling	TBD	TBD
50%				_		
	50% 50% 12.5% (Non-Op) 100% 12.5% (Non-Op)	Measured WI% Depth (ft.) 50% 14,290 50% 16,574 12.5% (Non-Op) 13,290 100% 12,570 12.5% (Non-Op) 10,530 TBD 50% TBD	Measured Lateral Depth (ft.) (ft.) 50% 14,290 7,096 50% 16,574 9,474 12.5% (Non-Op) 13,290 6,386 100% 12,570 5,518 12.5% (Non-Op) 10,530 3,918 TBD TBD 50% TBD TBD	WI% Measured Depth (ft.) Lateral (ft.) Frac Stages 50% 14,290 7,096 n/a 50% 16,574 9,474 n/a 12.5% (Non-Op) 13,290 6,386 n/a 100% 12,570 5,518 n/a 12.5% (Non-Op) 10,530 3,918 n/a TBD TBD TBD TBD 50% TBD TBD TBD	WI% Measured Depth (ft.) Lateral (ft.) Frac Status/First Production 50% 14,290 7,096 n/a July 2014 50% 16,574 9,474 n/a July 2014 12.5% July 2014 July 2014 (Non-Op) 13,290 6,386 n/a August 100% 12,570 5,518 n/a 2014 12.5% August August (Non-Op) 10,530 3,918 n/a 2014 TBD TBD TBD Completing 50% TBD TBD Drilling	WI% Measured Depth (ft.) Lateral (ft.) Frac Status/First Production Avg IP (boed) 50% 14,290 7,096 n/a July 2014 1,198 50% 16,574 9,474 n/a July 2014 835 12.5% July 2014 1,047 (Non-Op) 13,290 6,386 n/a August 100% 12,570 5,518 n/a 2014 235 12.5% August August (Non-Op) 10,530 3,918 n/a 2014 684 TBD TBD TBD Completing TBD 50% TBD TBD Drilling TBD

^{*} Previously reported

Since May of 2013, we have drilled or participated in 19 wells within the Buda trend and believe that we have defined the optimum spacing and productive sweet spot. Additional drilling into the Buda will be limited going forward as our attention will focus on the Eagle Ford's prospectivity over our 9,500 net acre position in Zavala and Dimmit Counties. We have drilled a pilot with whole core into the Eagle Ford and expect results in Q1 2015 from that analysis. Operators continue to drill wells with excellent productivity in the immediate area of our leasehold.

Fayette County, Texas (Elm Hill Project)

We commenced our drilling program in this area during the quarter, and our current activity in the Elm Hill project consists of the following:

Well WI% Status/First

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form 8-K

		Total Measured Depth (ft.)	Lateral (ft.)	Frac Stages	Production	30 Day Avg IP (boed)	% Oil
Janecka					Flowing	not yet av	ailable
1H	50%	11,758	6,000	25	back		
Vinklarek		TBD	TBD	TBD	Drilling -	TBD	TBD
1H	50%				9,800'		

We anticipate drilling a total of four wells in this area by the end of the year, will evaluate results at that time, and then decide on a rig and development strategy for 2015. We and our partner have approximately 53,200 gross acres (23,700, net to the Company) in the area on which we may pursue a number of formations horizontally.

Wyoming (FRAMS Project and N. Cheyenne Project)

Last week we spud our initial well targeting the Mowry Shale in Natrona County, Wyoming. We originally acquired in May 2014 the right to earn an 80% working interest in approximately 119,500 gross acres (93,000 net acres) in the area on which to pursue the Mowry and other potential formations. We expect to spud our initial well targeting the Muddy Sandstone formation in Weston County, Wyoming in late December or early January 2015. We originally acquired in September 2014 the right to earn a 100% working interest in approximately 49,000 gross acres (44,000 net acres), where the prospect generator retains an option to participate for a 10% working interest, in the area on which to pursue the Muddy Sandstone and other potential formations.

2014 Capital Program & Liquidity

Capital expenditures incurred for the three months ended September 30, 2014 were \$25.8 million, of which \$8.6 million was spent drilling in the Woodbine formation in Madison and Grimes Counties, Texas; \$9.1 million was spent drilling the Buda formation in Dimmit County, Texas; and \$7.3 million was invested in acreage positions primarily in new areas.

We currently anticipate that our total capital expenditure program for 2014 will be in the \$215 - \$225 million range, funded primarily from internally generated cash flow.

As of September 30, 2014, we had approximately \$54.4 million of debt outstanding under our credit facility with Royal Bank of Canada and other lenders. The credit facility has a borrowing base of \$275 million, which was reaffirmed on October 28, 2014 and through May 1, 2015.

Selected Financial and Operating Data

The following table reflects certain comparative financial and operating data for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

		e Months Ended eptember 30, 2013(1)	45,470	Nine Months Ended September 30, 3,145,614
			6,433,803	
TOTAL REAL ESTATE			244,749,344	
TOTAL COMMON STOCK (Identified cost \$201,699,167)			253,753,359	
EXCHANGE-TRADED FUNDS U.S. EQUITY iShares US Preferred Stock ETF	0.1%	14,056	481,137	
Total Exchange-Traded Fund (Identified cost \$470,120)	S		481,137	

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form 8-K

Preferred Securities \$25				
PAR VALUE	13.8%			
Banks	0.5%			
GMAC Capital Trust I, 8.401%, (
Month US LIBOR +				
5.785%), due 2/15/40, Series 2 (T	ruPS)			
(FRN) ^a		35,000	887,250	
Regions Financial Corp., 6.375%	to			
9/15/24, Series B ^{b,c}		20,000	495,800	
			1,383,050	
FINANCIAL INVESTMENT				
Banker/Broker	0.3%			
Morgan Stanley, 6.375% to 10/15	/24,			
Series I ^{b,c}		40,000	1,009,200	
D	12.00			
REAL ESTATE	13.0%			
DIVERSIFIED	1.5%	5 0.100	1.060.405	
Colony Capital, Inc., 8.75%, Serie		59,180	1,369,425	
Colony Capital, Inc., 7.15%, Serie	es I ^c	21,000	387,450	
EPR Properties, 5.75%, Series G ^c	C/	15,876	331,808	
Investors Real Estate Trust, 6.625	%,	10.605	452.270	
Series C ^c	ania a C	19,695	453,379	
Lexington Realty Trust, 6.50%, S	eries C	11 200	556.064	
(\$50 Par Value) ^c National Retail Properties, Inc., 5	700%	11,300	556,864	
Series E ^c	.7070,	32,000	736,000	
Wells Fargo Real Estate Investme	ent	32,000	730,000	
Corp., 6.375%, Series A ^c	111	35,135	880,132	
Corp., 0.373/0, Series A		33,133	000,132	
			4,715,058	
			7,713,030	

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2018

		Shares	Value
HOTEL	2.3%		
Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc., 7.375%, Series F ^c		43,000	\$ 798,510
Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc., 7.375%, Series G ^c		24,463	462,351
Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc., 7.50%, Series H ^c		20,000	382,400
Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc., 7.50%, Series I ^c		30,000	570,600
Hersha Hospitality Trust, 6.50%, Series D ^c		23,937	483,527
Hersha Hospitality Trust, 6.50%, Series E ^c		10,348	214,824
Pebblebrook Hotel Trust, 6.30%, Series F ^c		38,944	917,910
RLJ Lodging Trust, 1.95%, Series A ^c		14,675	363,647
Summit Hotel Properties, Inc., 6.45%, Series D ^c		26,000	561,600
Summit Hotel Properties, Inc., 6.25%, Series E ^c		37,131	761,928
Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., 6.95%, Series E ^c		35,000	869,750
Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., 6.45%, Series F ^c		26,825	625,425
			7,012,472
Industrials	1.3%		
Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corp., 6.125%, Series C ^c		35,000	795,900
PS Business Parks, Inc., 5.75%, Series U ^c		39,173	918,607
PS Business Parks, Inc., 5.70%, Series V ^c		35,000	829,500
Rexford Industrial Realty, Inc., 5.875%, Series A ^c		41,973	938,516
STAG Industrial, Inc., 6.875%, Series C ^c		28,000	719,600
			4,202,123
Manufactured Home	0.2%		
UMH Properties, Inc., 8.00%, Series B ^c		20,000	510,200
UMH Properties, Inc., 6.75%, Series C ^c		20,000	460,400
			970,600
NET LEASE	1.4%		0.77
Spirit Realty Capital, Inc., 6.00%, Series A ^c		42,667	853,340
VEREIT, Inc., 6.70%, Series F ^c		144,711	3,423,862
			4 000 000
			4,277,202
0	0.50		
OFFICE	0.5%	(2.402	1 577 (772
SL Green Realty Corp., 6.50%, Series I ^c		62,492	1,576,673

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

0

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2018

	Shares	Value
RESIDENTIAL 1.2%		
APARTMENT 0.5%		
Apartment Investment & Management Co., 6.875% ^c	23,456	\$ 598,128
Bluerock Residential Growth REIT, Inc., 8.25%, Series A ^c	34,725	878,543
		1,476,671
SINGLE FAMILY 0.7%		
American Homes 4 Rent, 6.50%, Series D ^c	36,825	829,299
American Homes 4 Rent, 6.35%, Series E ^c	36,927	817,933
American Homes 4 Rent, 6.25%, Series H ^c	22,767	505,883
		2,153,115
Total Residential		3,629,786
Self Storage 0.5%		
National Storage Affiliates Trust, 6.00%, Series A ^c	25,000	561,250
Public Storage, 5.15%, Series F ^c	7,288	157,129
Public Storage, 6.375%, Series Y ^c	27,996	702,980
		1,421,359
Shopping Centers 3.3%		
Community Center 2.0%		
Cedar Realty Trust, Inc., 7.25%, Series B ^c	7,262	168,261
Cedar Realty Trust, Inc., 6.50%, Series C ^c	15,000	284,700
Kimco Realty Corp., 5.125%, Series L ^c	15,000	295,650
Saul Centers, Inc., 6.125%, Series D ^c	17,400	363,486
SITE Centers Corp., 6.375%, Series A ^c	34,952	754,963
SITE Centers Corp., 6.50%, Series J ^c	80,000	1,813,600
SITE Centers Corp., 6.25%, Series K ^c	102,362	2,248,893
Washington Prime Group, Inc., 7.50%, Series H ^c	16,917	270,165
		6,199,718
REGIONAL MALL 1.3%		
Brookfield Property REIT, Inc., 6.375%, Series A ^c	37,191	805,185
Pennsylvania REIT, 7.20%, Series C ^c	30,050	483,504

Pennsylvania REIT, 6.875%, Series D ^c	20,000	310,800
Taubman Centers, Inc., 6.50%, Series J ^c	33,470	802,611
Taubman Centers, Inc., 6.25%, Series K ^c	71,351	1,680,316
		4,082,416
TOTAL SHOPPING CENTERS		10,282,134

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2018

		Shares	Value
Specialty	0.8%		
Digital Realty Trust, Inc., 6.625%, Series C ^c		20,000	\$ 529,600
Digital Realty Trust, Inc., 6.35%, Series I ^c		50,000	1,273,500
QTS Realty Trust, Inc., 7.125%, Series A ^c		23,400	538,200
			2,341,300
Total Real Estate			40,428,707
Total Preferred Securities \$25 Par Value			
(Identified cost \$46,189,565)			42,820,957
		Principal	
		Amount	
Preferred Securities Capital Securities	3.2%		
Banks	0.8%		
Bank of America Corp., 6.30% to 3/10/26, Series DDb,c		\$ 840,000	855,246
Bank of America Corp., 6.50% to 10/23/24, Series Zb,c		1,000,000	1,013,750
Farm Credit Bank of Texas, 10.00%, Series 1 ^c		500	557,500
			2,426,496
Banks Foreign	0.9%		
BNP Paribas SA, 7.625% to 3/30/21, 144A (France) ^{b,c,d,e}		400,000	408,500
Credit Suisse Group AG, 7.50% to 12/11/23, 144A (Switzerland) ^{b,c,d,e}		700,000	713,650
Royal Bank of Scotland Group PLC, 8.625% to 8/15/21 (United Kingdom	n) ^{b,c,e}	900,000	933,750
UBS Group AG, 6.875% to 3/22/21 (Switzerland) ^{b,c,e,f}		600,000	602,050
			2,657,950
	0 101		
COMMUNICATIONS TOWERS	0.4%		
Crown Castle International Corp., 6.875%, due 8/1/20,		1 200	1 264 740
Series A (Convertible)		1,300	1,364,540
	0.00		
Insurance Property Casualty Foreign	0.2%	606,000	(00.707
QBE Insurance Group Ltd., 6.75% to 12/2/24, due 12/2/44 (Australia) ^{b,f}		606,000	609,787
DEAT COMATE	0.00/		
Real Estate	0.9%		

FINANCE	0.3%		
AT Securities BV, 5.25% to 7/21/23 (Germany) ^{b,c,f}		750,000	670,501
CyrusOne LP/CyrusOne Finance Corp., 5.375%, due 3/15/27		352,000	342,320
			1,012,821

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2018

		Principal Amount	Value
Office	0.2%		
Alexandria Real Estate Equities, Inc., 4.70%, due 7/1/30		\$ 250,000	\$ 253,735
Boston Properties LP, 4.50%, due 12/1/28		300,000	307,521
			561,256
Specialty	0.4%		
Equinix, Inc., 5.375%, due 5/15/27		500,000	490,000
QTS Realty Trust, Inc., 6.50%, Series B ^c		7,800	735,384
			1,225,384
Total Real Estate			2,799,461
TOTAL PREFERRED SECURITIES CAPITAL SECURITIES			
(Identified cost \$9,848,398)			9,858,234
		Shares	
SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS	0.7%	Silaics	
Money Market Funds	0.7 /0		
State Street Institutional Treasury Money Market Fund,			
Premier Class, 2.24% ^g		2,099,788	2,099,788
Termer Class, 2.24 /0°		2,077,700	2,077,700
TOTAL SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS			
(Identified cost \$2,099,788)			2,099,788
(Identified cost \$2,077,700)			2,099,700
Total Investments in Securities			
(Identified cost \$260,307,038)	99.5%		309,013,475
OTHER ASSETS IN EXCESS OF LIABILITIES	0.5		1,697,536
OTHER ASSETS IN EACESS OF LIABILITIES	0.5		1,077,330
NET Assets (Equivalent to \$11.89 per share based on 26,142,041			
shares of common stock outstanding)	100.0%		\$ 310,711,011
shares of common stock outstanding)	100.070		Ψ 310,/11,011

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2018

Glossary of Portfolio Abbreviations

ETF Exchange-Traded Fund FRN Floating Rate Note

LIBOR London Interbank Offered Rate
REIT Real Estate Investment Trust
TruPS Trust Preferred Securities

Note: Percentages indicated are based on the net assets of the Fund.

Represents shares.

- ^a Variable rate. Rate shown is in effect at December 31, 2018.
- ^b Security converts to floating rate after the indicated fixed-rate coupon period.
- ^c Perpetual security. Perpetual securities have no stated maturity date, but they may be called/redeemed by the issuer.
- ^d Securities exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may only be resold to qualified institutional buyers. Aggregate holdings amounted to \$1,122,150 which represents 0.4% of the net assets of the Fund, of which 0.0% are illiquid.
- ^e Contingent Capital security (CoCo). CoCos are debt or preferred securities with loss absorption characteristics built into the terms of the security for the benefit of the issuer. Aggregate holdings amounted to \$2,657,950 or 0.9% of the net assets of the Fund.
- ^f Securities exempt from registration under Regulation S of the Securities Act of 1933. These securities are subject to resale restrictions. Aggregate holdings amounted to \$1,882,338 which represents 0.6% of the net assets of the Fund, of which 0.0% are illiquid.
- ^g Rate quoted represents the annualized seven-day yield.

STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

December 31, 2018

ASSETS:		
Investments in securities, at value (Identified cost \$260,307,038)	\$ 309	0,013,475
Cash		29,485
Foreign currency, at value (Identified cost \$608)		654
Receivable for:		
Dividends and interest	1	,789,186
Investment securities sold		249,792
Other assets		6,021
Total Assets	311	,088,613
LIABILITIES:		
Payable for:		
Investment advisory fees		192,759
Dividends declared		80,946
Administration fees		11,015
Other liabilities		92,882
Total Liabilities		377,602
NET ASSETS	\$310),711,011
NET ASSETS consist of:		
Paid-in capital	\$ 260),567,515
Total distributable earnings/(accumulated loss)	50),143,496
	\$310),711,011
NET ASSET VALUE PER SHARE:		
$(\$310,711,011 \div 26,142,041 \text{ shares outstanding})$	\$	11.89
MARKET PRICE PER SHARE	\$	10.75
MARKET PRICE PREMIUM (DISCOUNT) TO NET ASSET VALUE PER SHARE		(9.59)%

STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

For the Year Ended December 31, 2018

Investment Income:	
Dividend income	\$ 10,385,613
Interest income	473,398
	1,0,000
Total Investment Income	10,859,011
Expenses:	
Investment advisory fees	2,305,098
Shareholder reporting expenses	211,848
Administration fees	170,420
Professional fees	78,262
Litigation expense	39,473
Transfer agent fees and expenses	31,815
Directors fees and expenses	19,855
Custodian fees and expenses	9,015
Miscellaneous	52,426
Total Expenses	2,918,212
Net Investment Income (Loss)	7,940,799
Net investment income (Loss)	1,540,177
Net Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss):	
Net realized gain (loss) on:	
Investments in securities	19,083,617
Written option contracts	(205,035)
1	
Net realized gain (loss)	18,878,582
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on:	
Investments in securities	(41,645,476)
Foreign currency translations	(31)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	(41,645,507)
The change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	(41,043,307)
Net Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)	(22,766,925)
1.00 Teamber and Oniversity Guin (1000)	(22,100,723)
Net Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets Resulting from Operations	\$ (14,826,126)

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2018		D	For the Year Ended December 31, 2017
Change in Net Assets:				
From Operations:				
Net investment income (loss)	\$	7,940,799	\$	7,874,903
Net realized gain (loss)		18,878,582		13,802,900
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)		(41,645,507)		5,069,862
Net increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations		(14,826,126)		26,747,665
Distributions to shareholders ^a		(25,095,316)		(24,491,742)
Tax return of capital to shareholders				(598,308)
Total distributions		(25,095,316)		(25,090,050)
Capital Stock Transactions:				
Increase (decrease) in net assets from Fund				
share transactions		78,675		
Total increase (decrease) in net assets		(39,842,767)		1,657,615
Net Assets:				
Beginning of year		350,553,778		348,896,163
End of year	\$	310,711,011	\$	350,553,778

^a Distributions to shareholders from net investment income and net realized gain for the year ended December 31, 2017 have been reclassified to distributions to shareholders to reflect required amendments to Regulation S-X and to conform to the current year presentation. The amounts reported within the December 31, 2017 annual report were as follows:

		For the
	Y	ear Ended
	Decei	mber 31, 2017
Distributions to Shareholders from:		
Net investment income	\$	(8,083,470)

Net realized gain		(16,408,272)
	¢.	(24.401.742)
Total distributions to shareholders	\$	(24,491,742)

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

16

The following table includes selected data for a share outstanding throughout each year and other performance information derived from the financial statements. It should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto.

	For the Year Ended December 31,				
Per Share Operating Performance:	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Net asset value, beginning of year	\$13.41	\$13.35	\$13.60	\$14.15	\$12.23
Income (loss) from investment operations:					
Net investment income (loss) ^a	0.30	0.30	0.33	0.28	0.28
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	$(0.86)^{b}$	0.72	0.38	0.48	2.94
Total from investment operations	(0.56)	1.02	0.71	0.76	3.22
Less dividends and distributions to shareholders from:					
Net investment income	(0.30)	(0.31)	(0.33)	(0.28)	(0.25)
Net realized gain	(0.66)	(0.63)	(0.63)	(1.03)	(1.05)
Tax return of capital		(0.02)			
Total dividends and distributions to shareholders	(0.96)	(0.96)	(0.96)	(1.31)	(1.30)
Net increase (decrease) in net asset value	(1.52)	0.06	(0.25)	(0.55)	1.92
Net asset value, end of year	\$11.89	\$13.41	\$13.35	\$13.60	\$14.15
Market value, end of year	\$10.75	\$12.77	\$12.10	\$12.60	\$13.20
Total net asset value return ^c	4.04%	8.33%	5.61%	6.55%	27.90%
Total market value return ^c	8.89%	13.82%	3.32%	5.82%	21.70%

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS (Continued)

		For the Year Ended December 31,			
Ratios/Supplemental Data:	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Net assets, end of year (in millions)	\$310.7	\$350.6	\$348.9	\$355.5	\$369.8
Ratios to average daily net assets:					
Expenses	$0.89\%^{\rm b}$	0.87%	0.85%	0.85%	$0.94\%^{d}$
Net investment income (loss)	2.41%	2.24%	2.39%	2.04%	$2.05\%^{d}$
Portfolio turnover rate	29%	29%	36%	14%	41%

^a Calculation based on average shares outstanding.

b During the reporting period the Fund settled legal claims against two issuers of securities previously held by the Fund. As a result, the net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments per share includes proceeds received from the settlements. Without these proceeds the net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments per share would have been \$(0.87). Additionally, the expense ratio includes extraordinary expenses related to the direct action. Without these expenses, the ratio of expenses to average daily net assets would have been 0.88%. Excluding the proceeds from and expenses relating to the settlements, the total return on a NAV basis would have been -4.10%.

^c Total net asset value return measures the change in net asset value per share over the period indicated. Total market value return is computed based upon the Fund s market price per share and excludes the effects of brokerage commissions. Dividends and distributions are assumed, for purposes of these calculations, to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund s dividend reinvestment plan.

^d Includes non-recurring merger related expenses. Without these expenses, the ratio of expenses to average daily net assets would have been 0.88% and the ratio of net investment income to average daily net assets would have been 2.11%.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Organization and Significant Accounting Policies

Cohen & Steers Total Return Realty Fund, Inc. (the Fund) was incorporated under the laws of the State of Maryland on September 4, 1992 and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the 1940 Act) as a diversified, closed-end management investment company. The Fund s investment objective is high total return.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies consistently followed by the Fund in the preparation of its financial statements. The Fund is an investment company and, accordingly, follows the investment company accounting and reporting guidance of the Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 946 Investment Companies. The accounting policies of the Fund are in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The preparation of the financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Portfolio Valuation: Investments in securities that are listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) are valued, except as indicated below, at the last sale price reflected at the close of the NYSE on the business day as of which such value is being determined. If there has been no sale on such day, the securities are valued at the mean of the closing bid and ask prices on such day or, if no ask price is available, at the bid price. Exchange-traded options are valued at their last sale price as of the close of options trading on applicable exchanges on the valuation date. In the absence of a last sale price on such day, options are valued at the average of the quoted bid and ask prices as of the close of business. Over-the-counter (OTC) options are valued based upon prices provided by a third-party pricing service or counterparty.

Securities not listed on the NYSE but listed on other domestic or foreign securities exchanges (including NASDAQ) are valued in a similar manner. Securities traded on more than one securities exchange are valued at the last sale price reflected at the close of the exchange representing the principal market for such securities on the business day as of which such value is being determined. If after the close of a foreign market, but prior to the close of business on the day the securities are being valued, market conditions change significantly, certain non-U.S. equity holdings may be fair valued pursuant to procedures established by the Board of Directors.

Readily marketable securities traded in the OTC market, including listed securities whose primary market is believed by Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. (the investment advisor) to be OTC, are valued on the basis of prices provided by a third-party pricing service or third-party broker-dealers when such prices are believed by the investment advisor, pursuant to delegation by the Board of Directors, to reflect the fair value of such securities.

Fixed-income securities are valued on the basis of prices provided by a third-party pricing service or third-party broker-dealers when such prices are believed by the investment advisor, pursuant to delegation by the Board of Directors, to reflect the fair value of such securities. The pricing services or broker-dealers use multiple valuation techniques to determine fair value. In instances where sufficient market activity exists, the pricing services or broker-dealers may utilize a market-based approach through which quotes from market makers are used to determine fair value. In instances where sufficient

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

market activity may not exist or is limited, the pricing services or broker-dealers also utilize proprietary valuation models which may consider market transactions in comparable securities and the various relationships between securities in determining fair value and/or characteristics such as benchmark yield curves, option-adjusted spreads, credit spreads, estimated default rates, coupon rates, anticipated timing of principal repayments, underlying collateral, and other unique security features which are then used to calculate the fair values.

Short-term debt securities with a maturity date of 60 days or less are valued at amortized cost, which approximates fair value. Investments in open-end mutual funds are valued at net asset value (NAV).

The policies and procedures approved by the Fund s Board of Directors delegate authority to make fair value determinations to the investment advisor, subject to the oversight of the Board of Directors. The investment advisor has established a valuation committee (Valuation Committee) to administer, implement and oversee the fair valuation process according to the policies and procedures approved annually by the Board of Directors. Among other things, these procedures allow the Fund to utilize independent pricing services, quotations from securities and financial instrument dealers and other market sources to determine fair value.

Securities for which market prices are unavailable, or securities for which the investment advisor determines that the bid and/or ask price or a counterparty valuation does not reflect market value, will be valued at fair value, as determined in good faith by the Valuation Committee, pursuant to procedures approved by the Fund s Board of Directors. Circumstances in which market prices may be unavailable include, but are not limited to, when trading in a security is suspended, the exchange on which the security is traded is subject to an unscheduled close or disruption or material events occur after the close of the exchange on which the security is principally traded. In these circumstances, the Fund determines fair value in a manner that fairly reflects the market value of the security on the valuation date based on consideration of any information or factors it deems appropriate. These may include, but are not limited to, recent transactions in comparable securities, information relating to the specific security and developments in the markets.

The Fund s use of fair value pricing may cause the NAV of Fund shares to differ from the NAV that would be calculated using market quotations. Fair value pricing involves subjective judgments and it is possible that the fair value determined for a security may be materially different than the value that could be realized upon the sale of that security.

Fair value is defined as the price that the Fund would expect to receive upon the sale of an investment or expect to pay to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction with an independent buyer in the principal market or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the investment or liability. The hierarchy of inputs that are used in determining the fair value of the Fund s investments is summarized below.

Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical investments

Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, credit risk, etc.)

Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including the Fund s own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The inputs or methodology used for valuing investments may or may not be an indication of the risk associated with those investments. Changes in valuation techniques may result in transfers into or out of an assigned level within the disclosure hierarchy.

The following is a summary of the inputs used as of December 31, 2018 in valuing the Fund s investments carried at value:

	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Investments (Level 1)	Other Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Common Stock	\$ 253,753,359	\$ 253,753,359	\$	\$
Exchange-Traded Funds	481,137	481,137		
Preferred Securities				
\$25 Par Value:				
Real Estate Hotel	7,012,472	6,387,047	625,425	
Residential Apartment	1,476,671	878,543	598,128	
Other Industries	34,331,814	34,331,814		
Preferred Securities				
Capital Securities:				
Specialty	1,225,384	735,384	490,000	
Other Industries	8,632,850		8,632,850	
Short-Term Investments	2,099,788		2,099,788	
Total Investments in Securities ^a	\$ 309,013,475	\$ 296,567,284	\$ 12,446,191	\$

Security Transactions and Investment Income: Security transactions are recorded on trade date. Realized gains and losses on investments sold are recorded on the basis of identified cost. Interest income, which includes the amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts, is recorded on the accrual basis. Dividend income is recorded on the ex-dividend date, except for certain dividends on foreign securities, which are recorded as soon as the Fund is informed after the ex-dividend date. Distributions from REITs are recorded as ordinary income, net realized capital gain or return of capital based on information reported by the REITs and management s estimates of such amounts based on historical information. These estimates are adjusted when the actual source of distributions is disclosed by the REITs and actual amounts may differ from the estimated amounts.

^a Portfolio holdings are disclosed individually on the Schedule of Investments.

Foreign Currency Translation: The books and records of the Fund are maintained in U.S. dollars. Investment securities and other assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollars based upon prevailing exchange rates on the date of valuation. Purchases and sales of investment securities and income and expense items denominated in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollars based upon prevailing exchange rates on the respective dates of such transactions.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Fund does not isolate that portion of the results of operations resulting from fluctuations in foreign exchange rates on investments from the fluctuations arising from changes in market prices of securities held. Such fluctuations are included with the net realized and unrealized gain or loss on investments.

Net realized foreign currency transaction gains or losses arise from sales of foreign currencies, (excluding gains and losses on forward foreign currency exchange contracts, which are presented separately, if any), currency gains or losses realized between the trade and settlement dates on securities transactions, and the difference between the amounts of dividends, interest, and foreign withholding taxes recorded on the Fund s books and the U.S. dollar equivalent of the amounts actually received or paid. Net unrealized foreign currency translation gains and losses arise from changes in the values of assets and liabilities, other than investments in securities, on the date of valuation, resulting from changes in exchange rates. Pursuant to U.S. federal income tax regulations, certain foreign currency gains/losses included in realized and unrealized gains/losses are included in or are a reduction of ordinary income for federal income tax purposes.

Options: The Fund may purchase and write exchange-listed and OTC put or call options on securities, stock indices and other financial instruments to enhance portfolio returns and reduce overall volatility.

When the Fund writes (sells) an option, an amount equal to the premium received by the Fund is recorded on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities as a liability. The amount of the liability is subsequently marked-to-market to reflect the current market value of the option written. When an option expires, the Fund realizes a gain on the option to the extent of the premium received. Premiums received from writing options which are exercised or closed are added to or offset against the proceeds or amount paid on the transaction to determine the realized gain or loss. If a put option on a security is exercised, the premium reduces the cost basis of the security purchased by the Fund. If a call option is exercised, the premium is added to the proceeds of the security sold to determine the realized gain or loss. The Fund, as writer of an option, bears the market risk of an unfavorable change in the price of the underlying security. Other risks include the possibility of an illiquid options market or the inability of the counterparties to fulfill their obligations under the contracts.

Put and call options purchased are accounted for in the same manner as portfolio securities. Premiums paid for purchasing options which expire are treated as realized losses. Premiums paid for purchasing options which are exercised or closed are added to the amounts paid or offset against the proceeds on the underlying investment transaction to determine the realized gain or loss when the underlying transaction is executed. The risk associated with purchasing an option is that the Fund pays a premium whether or not the option is exercised. Additionally, the Fund bears the risk of loss of the premium and change in market value should the counterparty not perform under the contract.

At December 31, 2018, the Fund did not have any option contracts outstanding.

Dividends and Distributions to Shareholders: Dividends from net investment income and capital gain distributions are determined in accordance with U.S. federal income tax regulations, which may differ from GAAP. Dividends from net investment income, if any, are declared quarterly and paid monthly. Net realized capital gains, unless offset by any available capital loss carryforward, are typically distributed to shareholders at least annually. Dividends and

distributions to shareholders are recorded on the ex-dividend date and are automatically reinvested in full and fractional shares of the Fund in accordance with the Fund s Reinvestment Plan, unless the shareholder has elected to have them paid in cash.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Fund has a managed distribution policy in accordance with exemptive relief issued by the SEC. The Plan gives the Fund greater flexibility to realize long-term capital gains throughout the year and to distribute those gains on a more regular basis to shareholders. Therefore, regular monthly distributions throughout the year may include a portion of estimated realized long-term capital gains, along with net investment income, short-term capital gains and return of capital, which is not taxable. In accordance with the Plan, the Fund is required to adhere to certain conditions in order to distribute long-term capital gains during the year. For the year ended December 31, 2018, the Fund paid distributions from net investment income and net realized gain.

Income Taxes: It is the policy of the Fund to continue to qualify as a regulated investment company (RIC), if such qualification is in the best interest of the shareholders, by complying with the requirements of Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to RICs, and by distributing substantially all of its taxable earnings to its shareholders. Also, in order to avoid the payment of any federal excise taxes, the Fund will distribute substantially all of its net investment income and net realized gains on a calendar year basis. Accordingly, no provision for federal income or excise tax is necessary. Dividend and interest income from holdings in non-U.S. securities is recorded net of non-U.S. taxes paid. Management has analyzed the Fund s tax positions taken on federal and applicable state income tax returns as well as its tax positions in non-U.S. jurisdictions in which it trades for all open tax years and has concluded that as of December 31, 2018, no additional provisions for income tax are required in the Fund s financial statements. The Fund s tax positions for the tax years for which the applicable statutes of limitations have not expired are subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service, state departments of revenue and by foreign tax authorities.

Note 2. Investment Advisory Fees, Administration Fees and Other Transactions with Affiliates

Investment Advisory Fees: Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. serves as the Fund s investment advisor pursuant to an investment advisory agreement (the investment advisory agreement). Under the terms of the investment advisory agreement, the investment advisor provides the Fund with day-to-day investment decisions and generally manages the Fund s investments in accordance with the stated policies of the Fund, subject to the supervision of the Board of Directors.

For the services provided to the Fund, the investment advisor receives a fee, accrued daily and paid monthly, at the annual rate of 0.70% of the average daily net assets of the Fund.

Administration Fees: The Fund has entered into an administration agreement with the investment advisor under which the investment advisor performs certain administrative functions for the Fund and receives a fee, accrued daily and paid monthly, at the annual rate of 0.04% of the average daily net assets of the Fund. For the year ended December 31, 2018, the Fund incurred \$131,720 in fees under this administration agreement. Additionally, the Fund pays State Street Bank and Trust Company as co-administrator under a fund accounting and administration agreement.

Directors and Officers Fees: Certain directors and officers of the Fund are also directors, officers, and/or employees of the investment advisor. The Fund does not pay compensation to directors and officers affiliated with the investment advisor except for the Chief Compliance Officer, who received compensation from the investment advisor, which was reimbursed by the Fund, in the amount of \$3,847 for the year ended December 31, 2018.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 3. Purchases and Sales of Securities

Purchases and sales of securities, excluding short-term investments, for the year ended December 31, 2018, totaled \$95,492,695 and \$106,500,903, respectively.

Note 4. Derivative Investments

The following table presents the effect of derivatives held during the year ended December 31, 2018, along with the respective location in the financial statements.

Statement of Operations

			Change in
			Unrealized
		Realized	Appreciation
Derivatives	Location	Gain (Loss)	(Depreciation)
Equity Risk:			
Purchased Option	Net Realized and Unrealized Gain		
Contracts Exchange-Traded	(Loss)	\$ 232,012	\$
Written Option	Net Realized and Unrealized Gain		
Contracts Exchange-Traded	(Loss)	(205,035)	

^a Purchased options are included in net realized gain (loss) and change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments in securities.

The following summarizes the volume of the Fund s option contracts activity for the year ended December 31, 2018:

	Purc	hased Option	W	ritten Option
		Contractsa		Contractsa
Average Notional Amount ^b	\$	8,851,972	\$	17,703,943

^a Notional amount is calculated using the number of contracts multiplied by notional contract size multiplied by the underlying price.

^b Average for the period February 11, 2018 through May 9, 2018, which represents the period the Fund had option contracts outstanding.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 5. Income Tax Information

The tax character of dividends and distributions paid was as follows:

	For the Year Ended		
	December 31,		
	2018	2017	
Ordinary income	\$ 8,395,667	\$ 8,083,470	
Long-term capital gain	16,699,649	16,408,272	
Tax return of capital		598,308	
Total dividends and distributions	\$ 25,095,316	\$ 25,090,050	

As of December 31, 2018, the tax-basis components of accumulated earnings, the federal tax cost and net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) in value of investments held were as follows:

Cost of investments in securities for federal income tax purposes	\$ 261,025,727	
Gross unrealized appreciation on investments Gross unrealized depreciation on investments	\$ 57,004,699 (9,016,905)	
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments	\$ 47,987,794	
Undistributed long-term capital gains	\$ 1,221,231	

As of December 31, 2018, the Fund had temporary book/tax differences primarily attributable to wash sales on portfolio securities and certain REIT dividends, and permanent book/tax differences primarily attributable to prior year REIT adjustments and certain fixed income securities. To reflect reclassifications arising from the permanent differences, paid-in capital was credited \$670 and total distributable earnings/(accumulated loss) was charged \$670. Net assets were not affected by this reclassification.

Note 6. Capital Stock

The Fund is authorized to issue 100 million shares of common stock at a par value of \$0.001 per share.

During the year ended December 31, 2018, the Fund issued 6,572 shares of common stock at \$78,675 for the reinvestment of dividends. During the year ended December 31, 2017, the Fund did not issue shares of common stock for the reinvestment of dividends.

The Board of Directors approved the continuation of the delegation of its authority to management to effect repurchases, pursuant to management s discretion and subject to market conditions and investment considerations, of up to 10% of the Fund s common shares outstanding (Share Repurchase Program) from January 1, 2019, through the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019.

During the years ended December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, the Fund did not effect any repurchases.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 7. Other Risks

Common Stock Risk: While common stocks have historically generated higher average returns than fixed-income securities over the long-term, common stock has also experienced significantly more volatility in those returns, although under certain market conditions, fixed-income investments may have comparable or greater price volatility. An adverse event, such as an unfavorable earnings report, may depress the value of common stock held by the Fund. Also, the price of common stock is sensitive to general movements in the stock market. A drop in the stock market may depress the price of common stock held by the Fund.

Real Estate Market Risk: Since the Fund concentrates its assets in companies engaged in the real estate industry, an investment in the Fund will be closely linked to the performance of the real estate markets. Risks of investing in real estate securities include falling property values due to increasing vacancies, declining rents resulting from economic, legal, tax, political or technological developments, lack of liquidity, limited diversification, and sensitivity to certain economic factors such as interest-rate changes and market recessions. Real estate company prices also may drop because of the failure of borrowers to pay their loans and poor management, and residential developers, in particular, could be negatively impacted by falling home prices, slower mortgage origination and rising construction costs. The risks of investing in REITs are similar to those associated with direct investments in real estate securities.

REIT Risk: In addition to the risks of securities linked to the real estate industry, REITs are subject to certain other risks related to their structure and focus. REITs are dependent upon management skills and generally may not be diversified. REITs are also subject to heavy cash flow dependency, defaults by borrowers and self-liquidation. In addition, REITs could possibly fail to (i) qualify for pass-through of income under applicable tax law, or (ii) maintain their exemptions from registration under the 1940 Act. The above factors may also adversely affect a borrower s or a lessee s ability to meet its obligations to the REIT. In the event of a default by a borrower or lessee, the REIT may experience delays in enforcing its rights as a mortgagee or lessor and may incur substantial costs associated with protecting its investments.

Small- and Medium-Sized Companies Risk: Real estate companies in the industry tend to be small-to medium-sized companies in relation to the equity markets as a whole. There may be less trading in a smaller company s stock, which means that buy and sell transactions in that stock could have a larger impact on the stock s price than is the case with larger company stocks. Smaller companies also may have fewer lines of business so that changes in any one line of business may have a greater impact on a smaller company s stock price than is the case for a larger company. Further, smaller company stocks may perform differently in different cycles than larger company stocks. Accordingly, real estate company shares can, and at times will, perform differently than large company stocks.

Preferred Securities Risk: Preferred securities are subject to credit risk, which is the risk that a security will decline in price, or the issuer of the security will fail to make dividend, interest or principal payments when due, because the issuer experiences a decline in its financial status. Preferred securities are also subject to interest rate risk and may decline in value because of changes in market interest rates. The Fund may be subject to a greater risk of rising interest rates than would normally be the case in an environment of low interest rates and the effect of potential government fiscal policy initiatives and resulting market reaction to those initiatives. In addition, an issuer may be permitted to defer or omit distributions. Preferred securities are also generally subordinated to bonds and other debt

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

instruments in a company s capital structure. During periods of declining interest rates, an issuer may be able to exercise an option to redeem (call) its issue at par earlier than scheduled, and the Fund may be forced to reinvest in lower yielding securities. Certain preferred securities may be substantially less liquid than many other securities, such as common stocks. Generally, preferred security holders have no voting rights with respect to the issuing company unless certain events occur. Certain preferred securities may give the issuers special redemption rights allowing the securities to be redeemed prior to a specified date if certain events occur, such as changes to tax or securities laws.

Options Risk: Gains on options transactions depend on the investment advisor s ability to predict correctly the direction of stock prices, indexes, interest rates, and other economic factors, and unanticipated changes may cause poorer overall performance for the Fund than if it had not engaged in such transactions. A rise in the value of the security or index underlying a call option written by the Fund exposes the Fund to possible loss or loss of opportunity to realize appreciation in the value of any portfolio securities underlying or otherwise related to the call option. By writing a put option, the Fund assumes the risk of a decline in the underlying security or index. There can be no assurance that a liquid market will exist when the Fund seeks to close out an option position, and for certain options not traded on an exchange no market usually exists. Trading could be interrupted, for example, because of supply and demand imbalances arising from a lack of either buyers or sellers, or an options exchange could suspend trading after the price has risen or fallen more than the maximum specified by the exchange.

Although the Fund may be able to offset to some extent any adverse effects of being unable to liquidate an option position, that Fund may experience losses in some cases as a result of such inability, may not be able to close its position and, in such an event would be unable to control its losses.

Regulatory Risk: The U.S. government has proposed and adopted multiple regulations that could have a long-lasting impact on the Fund and on the mutual fund industry in general. The SEC s final rules and amendments that modernize reporting and disclosure, along with other potential upcoming regulations, could, among other things, restrict the Fund s ability to engage in transactions and/or increase overall expenses of the Fund. In addition, the SEC, Congress, various exchanges and regulatory and self-regulatory authorities, both domestic and foreign, have undertaken reviews of the use of derivatives by registered investment companies, which could affect the nature and extent of derivatives used by the Fund. While the full extent of these regulations is still unclear, these regulations and actions may adversely affect both the Fund and the instruments in which the Fund invests as well as its ability to execute its investment strategy. Similarly, regulatory developments in other countries may have an unpredictable and adverse impact on the Fund.

LIBOR Risk: Many financial instruments use or may use a floating rate based on the LIBOR which is the offered rate for short-term Eurodollar deposits between major international banks. On July 27, 2017, the head of the United Kingdom s (UK) Financial Conduct Authority announced a desire to phase out the use of LIBOR by the end of 2021. There remains uncertainty regarding the future utilization of LIBOR and the nature of any replacement rate. As such, the potential effect of a transition away from LIBOR on the Fund or the financial instruments in which the Fund invests cannot yet be determined. When LIBOR is discontinued, the LIBOR replacement rate may be lower than market expectations, which could have an adverse impact on the value of preferred and debt-securities with floating or fixed to floating rate coupons.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 8. Other

In the normal course of business, the Fund enters into contracts that provide general indemnifications. The Fund s maximum exposure under these arrangements is dependent on claims that may be made against the Fund in the future and, therefore, cannot be estimated; however, based on experience, the risk of material loss from such claims is considered remote.

Note 9. New Accounting Guidance

In March 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-08, Receivables Nonrefundable Fees and Other Costs (Subtopic 310-20): Premium Amortization on Purchased Callable Debt Securities. The amendments in the ASU shorten the amortization period for certain callable debt securities, held at a premium, to be amortized to the earliest call date. The ASU is effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018. The adoption will not have a material effect on the timing of income recognized by the Fund and will have no effect on the Fund s net assets or overall results of operations.

In August 2018, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued a new Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2018-13, *Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820)*, Disclosure Framework-Changes to the Disclosure Requirements for Fair Value Measurement . The amendments to ASU 2018-13 are intended to improve the effectiveness of disclosures in the notes to financial statements through modifications to disclosure requirements on fair value measurements. ASU 2018-13 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2019, with early adoption permitted. The Fund has adopted the amended disclosures permissible under the update. The adoption had no effect on the Fund s net assets or results of operations.

In August 2018, the SEC adopted amendments to Regulation S-X which are intended to facilitate the disclosure of information to investors and simplify compliance without significantly altering the information provided to investors. The amendments include eliminating the requirement to: separately state book basis components of net assets on the Statement of Assets & Liabilities; separately state the sources of distributions paid (except tax return of capital distributions must still be separately disclosed) on the Statement of Changes in Net Assets; and state the book basis amount of undistributed net investment income on the Statement of Changes in Net Assets. The Fund adopted the amendments within the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2018, which had no effect on the Fund s net assets or results of operations.

Note 10. Subsequent Events

Management has evaluated events and transactions occurring after December 31, 2018 through the date that the financial statements were issued, and has determined that no additional disclosure in the financial statements is required.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of

Cohen & Steers Total Return Realty Fund, Inc.

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities, including the schedule of investments, of Cohen & Steers Total Return Realty Fund, Inc. (the Fund) as of December 31, 2018, the related statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2018, the statement of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2018, including the related notes, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period ended December 31, 2018 (collectively referred to as the financial statements). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Fund as of December 31, 2018, the results of its operations for the year then ended, the changes in its net assets for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2018 and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period ended December 31, 2018 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Fund s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Fund s financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) and are required to be independent with respect to the Fund in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits of these financial statements in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud.

Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of December 31, 2018 by correspondence with the custodian and transfer agent. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

New York, New York

February 26, 2019

We have served as the auditor of one or more investment companies in the Cohen & Steers family of mutual funds since 1991.

AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURNS

(Periods ended December 31, 2018) (Unaudited)

Based on Net Asset Value				Based on	Market Value			
				Since Inception				Since Inception
O	ne Year	Five Years	Ten Years	(9/27/93)	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years	(9/27/93)
	4.04%	8.39%	12.85%	9.46%	8.89%	6.65%	13.28%	8.72%

The performance data quoted represent past performance. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. The investment return will vary and the principal value of an investment will fluctuate and shares, if sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Current performance may be lower or higher than the performance data quoted. Current total returns of the Fund can be obtained by visiting our website at cohenandsteers.com. The Fund s returns assume the reinvestment of all dividends and distributions at prices obtained under the Fund s dividend reinvestment plan.

TAX INFORMATION 2018 (Unaudited)

For the calendar year ended December 31, 2018, for individual taxpayers, the Fund designates \$786,723 as qualified dividend income eligible for reduced tax rates, short-term capital gain distribution of \$426,154, long-term capital gain distributions of \$15,814,733 taxable at the maximum 20% rate, \$884,916 at the 25% maximum rate, and \$7,054,450 as qualified business income eligible for the 20% deduction. In addition, for corporate taxpayers, 3.33% of the ordinary dividends paid qualified for the dividends received deduction (DRD).

REINVESTMENT PLAN

The Fund has a dividend reinvestment plan commonly referred to as an opt-out plan (the Plan). Each common shareholder who participates in the Plan will have all distributions of dividends and capital gains (Dividends) automatically reinvested in additional common shares by Computershare as agent (the Plan Agent). Shareholders who elect not to participate in the Plan will receive all Dividends in cash paid by check mailed directly to the shareholder of record (or if the shares are held in street or other nominee name, then to the nominee) by the Plan Agent, as dividend disbursing agent. Shareholders whose common shares are held in the name of a broker or nominee should contact the broker or nominee to determine whether and how they may participate in the Plan.

The Plan Agent serves as agent for the shareholders in administering the Plan. After the Fund declares a Dividend, the Plan Agent will, as agent for the shareholders, either: (i) receive the cash payment and use it to buy common shares in the open market, on the NYSE or elsewhere, for the participants accounts or (ii) distribute newly issued common shares of the Fund on behalf of the participants.

The Plan Agent will receive cash from the Fund with which to buy common shares in the open market if, on the Dividend payment date, the NAV per share exceeds the market price per share plus estimated brokerage commissions on that date. The Plan Agent will receive the Dividend in newly issued common shares of the Fund if, on the Dividend payment date, the market price per share plus estimated brokerage commissions equals or exceeds the NAV per share of the Fund on that date. The number of shares to be issued will be computed at a per share rate equal to the greater of (i) the NAV or (ii) 95% of the closing market price per share on the payment date.

If the market price per share is less than the NAV on a Dividend payment date, the Plan Agent will have until the last business day before the next ex-dividend date for the common stock, but in no event more than 30 days after the Dividend payment date (as the case may be, the Purchase Period), to invest

the Dividend amount in shares acquired in open market purchases. If at the close of business on any day during the Purchase Period on which NAV is calculated the NAV equals or is less than the market price per share plus estimated brokerage commissions, the Plan Agent will cease making open market purchases and the uninvested portion of such Dividends shall be filed through the issuance of new shares of common stock from the Fund at the price set forth in the immediately preceding paragraph.

Participants in the Plan may withdraw from the Plan upon notice to the Plan Agent. Such withdrawal will be effective immediately if received not less than ten days prior to a Dividend record date; otherwise, it will be effective for all subsequent Dividends. If any participant elects to have the Plan Agent sell all or part of his or her shares and remit the proceeds, the Plan Agent is authorized to deduct a \$15.00 fee plus \$0.10 per share brokerage commissions.

The Plan Agent s fees for the handling of reinvestments of Dividends will be paid by the Fund. However, each participant will pay a pro rata share of brokerage commissions incurred with respect to the Plan Agent s open market purchases in connection with the reinvestment of Dividends. The automatic reinvestments of Dividends will not relieve participants of any income tax that may be payable or required to be withheld on such Dividends.

The Fund reserves the right to amend or terminate the Plan. All correspondence concerning the Plan should be directed to the Plan Agent at 800-432-8224.

OTHER INFORMATION

A description of the policies and procedures that the Fund uses to determine how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities is available (i) without charge, upon request, by calling 800-330-7348, (ii) on our website at cohenandsteers.com or (iii) on the SEC s website at http://www.sec.gov. In addition, the Fund s proxy voting record for the most recent 12-month period ended June 30 is available by August 31 of each year (i) without charge, upon request, by calling 800-330-7348 or (ii) on the SEC s website at http://www.sec.gov.

The Fund files its complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. The Fund s Forms N-Q are available (i) without charge, upon request, by calling 800-330-7348 or (ii) on the SEC s website at http://www.sec.gov. In addition, the Forms N-Q may be reviewed and copied at the SEC s Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling 800-SEC-0330.

Please note that distributions paid by the Fund to shareholders are subject to recharacterization for tax purposes and are taxable up to the amount of the Fund s investment company taxable income and net realized gains. Distributions in excess of the Fund s investment company taxable income and net realized gains are a return of capital distributed from the Fund s assets. To the extent this occurs, the Fund s shareholders of record will be notified of the estimated amount of capital returned to shareholders for each such distribution and this information will also be available at cohenandsteers.com. The final tax treatment of all distributions is reported to shareholders on their 1099-DIV forms, which are mailed after the close of each calendar year. Distributions of capital decrease the Fund s total assets and, therefore, could have the effect of increasing the Fund s expense ratio. In addition, in order to make these distributions, the Fund may have to sell portfolio securities at a less than opportune time.

Notice is hereby given in accordance with Rule 23c-1 under the 1940 Act that the Fund may purchase, from time to time, shares of its common stock in the open market.

Benchmark Change

On December 4, 2018, the Fund s Board of Directors approved a change to the Fund s benchmark from the FTSE Nareit Equity REITs Index to the FTSE Nareit All Equity REITs Index, effective April 1, 2019.

Change in Board of Directors

Frank K. Ross retired from the Board of Directors on December 31, 2018 pursuant to the Fund s mandatory retirement policy.

Qualified REIT Dividends Paid by the Fund to Non-Corporate Shareholders

Starting in calendar year 2018, non-corporate taxpayers are permitted to deduct from their taxable income a portion of any amounts received from a REIT that are qualified REIT dividends. As of December 31, 2018, it was unclear if this deduction was available with respect to such amounts paid by a REIT to the Fund and distributed by the Fund to its shareholders. However, on January 18, 2019, the Internal Revenue Service issued proposed regulations that would permit funds to pay qualified REIT dividends to their shareholders subject to certain holding period requirements. The regulation is effective retroactively beginning with calendar year 2018.

MANAGEMENT OF THE FUND

The business and affairs of the Fund are managed under the direction of the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors approves all significant agreements between the Fund and persons or companies furnishing services to it, including the Fund s agreements with its investment advisor, administrator, co-administrator, custodian and transfer agent. The management of the Fund s day-to-day operations is delegated to its officers, the investment advisor, administrator and co-administrator, subject always to the investment objective and policies of the Fund and to the general supervision of the Board of Directors.

The Board of Directors and officers of the Fund and their principal occupations during at least the past five years are set forth below.

				Number of	
				Funds Within	
				Fund	
			Disciss I Ossessian	Complex	
			Principal Occupation During At Least	Overseen by	
			The Past 5 Years	Director	Length
Name, Address and	Position(s) Held	Term of	(Including Other	(Including	of Time
Year of Birth ¹	With Fund	Office ²	Directorships Held)	the Fund)	Served ³
Interested Directors ⁴					
Robert H. Steers Year of Birth: 1953	Director, Chairman	Until Next Election of Directors	Chief Executive Officer of Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. (CSCM or the Advisor) and its parent, Cohen & Steers, Inc. (CNS) since 2014. Prior to that, Co-Chairman and Co-Chief Executive Officer of the Advisor since 2003 and CNS since 2004. Prior to that, Chairman of the Advisor; Vice President of Cohen & Steers Securities, LLC.	20	Since 1991
Joseph M. Harvey	Director	Until Next	President and Chief Investment Officer of the Advisor (since	20	Since

Year of Birth: 1963	Election of	2003) and President of CNS	2014
	Directors	(since 2004). Prior to that, Senior	
		Vice President and Director of	
		Investment Research of CSCM.	

(table continued on next page)

(table continued from previous page)

			Principal Occupation	Number of Funds Within Fund Complex Overseen	
			During At Least	by	
			The Past 5 Years	Director	Length
Name, Address and	Position(s) Held	Term of	(Including Other	(Including	of Time
Year of Birth ¹	With Fund	Office ²	Directorships Held)	the Fund)	Served ³
Disinterested Directors					
Michael G. Clark Year of Birth: 1965	Director	Until Next Election of Directors	From 2006 to 2011, President and Chief Executive Officer of DWS Funds and Managing Director of Deutsche Asset Management.	20	Since 2011
George Grossman Year of Birth: 1953	Director	Until Next Election of Directors	Attorney-at-law.	20	Since 1993
Dean A. Junkans Year of Birth: 1959	Director	Until Next Election of Directors	C.F.A.; Advisor to SigFig since July, 2018; Adjunct Professor and Executive In Residence, Bethel University Since 2015; Chief Investment Officer at Wells Fargo Private Bank from 2004 to 2014 and Chief Investment Officer of the Wealth, Brokerage and Retirement group at Wells Fargo & Company from 2011 to 2014; Former member and Chair, Claritas Advisory Committee at the CFA Institute from 2013 to 2015; Board Member and Investment Committee member, Bethel University Foundation	20	Since 2015

since 2010; formerly Corporate Executive Board Member of the National Chief Investment Officers Circle, 2010 to 2015; formerly, Member of the Board of Governors of the University of Wisconsin Foundation, River Falls, 1996 to 2004; U.S. Army Veteran, Gulf War.

(table continued on next page)

(table continued from previous page)

				Number of	
				Funds Within	
				Fund	
			Principal Occupation	Complex	
			During At Least	Overseen by	
			The Past 5 Years	Director	Length
Name, Address and	Position(s) Held	Term of	(Including Other	(Including	of Time
Year of Birth ¹	With Fund	Office ²	Directorships Held)	the Fund)	Served ³
Gerald J. Maginnis Year of Birth: 1955	Director	Until Next Election of Directors	Philadelphia Office Managing Partner, KPMG LLP from 2006 to 2015; Partner in Charge, KPMG Pennsylvania Audit Practice from 2002 to 2008; President, Pennsylvania Institute of Certified Public Accountants (PICPA) from 2014 to 2015; member, PICPA Board of Directors from 2012 to 2016; member, Council of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) from 2013 to 2017; member, Board of Trustees of AICPA Foundation since 2015.	20	Since 2015
Jane F. Magpiong Year of Birth: 1960	Director	Until Next Election of Directors	President, Untap Potential since 2013; Senior Managing Director, TIAA-CREF, from 2011 to 2013; National Head of Wealth Management, TIAA-CREF, from 2008 to 2011; and prior to that, President, Bank of America Private Bank from 2005 to 2008.	20	Since 2015

(table continued on next page)

(table continued from previous page)

				Number of	
				Funds Within	
				Fund	
			Principal Occupation	Complex	
			During At Least	Overseen by	
			The Past 5 Years	Director	Length
Name, Address and	Position(s) Held	Term of	(Including Other	(Including	of Time
Year of Birth ¹	With Fund	Office ²	Directorships Held)	the Fund)	Served ³
Daphne L. Richards Year of Birth: 1966	Director	Until Next Election of Directors	Independent Director of Cartica Management, LLC since 2015; Member of the Investment Committee of the Berkshire Taconic Community Foundation since 2015; Member of the Advisory Board of Northeast Dutchess Fund since 2016; President and CIO of Ledge Harbor Management since 2016; Formerly, worked at Bessemer Trust Company from 1999 to 2014; Prior thereto, Ms. Richards held investment positions at Frank Russell Company from 1996 to 1999. Union Bank of Switzerland from 1993 to 1996; Credit Suisse from 1990 to 1993; and Hambros International Venture Capital Fund from 1988 to 1989.	20	Since 2017

(table continued on next page)

(table continued from previous page)

			Principal Occupation During At Least The Past 5 Years	Number of Funds Within Fund Complex Overseen by Director	Length
Name, Address and	Position(s) Held	Term of	(Including Other	(Including	of Time
Year of Birth ¹	With Fund	Office ²	Directorships Held)	the Fund)	Served ³
Frank K. Ross Year of Birth: 1943	Director	5	Visiting Professor of Accounting and Director of the Center for Accounting Education at Howard University School of Business since 2004; Board member and member of Audit Committee (Chairman from 2007 to 2012) and Human Resources and Compensation Committee Member, Pepco Holdings, Inc. (electric utility) from 2004 to 2014; Formerly, Mid-Atlantic Area Managing Partner for Assurance Services at KPMG LLP and Managing Partner of its Washington, DC offices from 1995 to 2003.	20	Since 2004
C. Edward Ward, Jr. Year of Birth: 1946	Director	Until Next Election of Directors	Member of The Board of Trustees of Manhattan College, Riverdale, New York from 2004 to 2014. Formerly, Director of closed-end fund management for the NYSE where he worked from 1979 to 2004.	20	Since 2004

- ¹ The address for each director is 280 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10017.
- ² On March 12, 2008, the Board of Directors adopted a mandatory retirement policy stating a Director must retire from the Board on December 31st of the year in which he or she turns 75 years of age.
- ³ The length of time served represents the year in which the Director was first elected or appointed to any fund in the Cohen & Steers fund complex.
- ⁴ Interested person as defined in the 1940 Act, of the Fund because of affiliation with CSCM (Interested Directors).
- ⁵ Frank K. Ross retired from the Board of Directors on December 31, 2018 pursuant to the Fund s mandatory retirement policy.

The officers of the Fund (other than Messrs. Steers and Harvey, whose biographies are provided above), their address, their year of birth and their principal occupations for at least the past five years are set forth below.

			Length
Name, Address and	Position(s) Held		of Time
Year of Birth ¹	With Fund	Principal Occupation During At Least the Past 5 Years	Served ²
Adam M. Derechin 1964	President and Chief Executive Officer	Chief Operating Officer of CSCM since 2003 and CNS since 2004.	Since 2005
Thomas N. Bohjalian 1965	Vice President	Executive Vice President since 2012. Prior to that, Senior Vice President of the CSCM since 2006.	Since 2006
William F. Scapell 1968	Vice President	Executive Vice President of CSCM since 2012. Prior to that, Senior Vice President of CSCM since 2003.	Since 2003
Jason Yablon 1979	Vice President	Senior Vice President of CSCM since 2014. Prior to that, Vice President of CSCM since 2008.	Since 2012
Yigal D. Jhirad	Vice President	Senior Vice President of CSCM since 2007.	Since 2007
Dana A. DeVivo	Secretary and Chief Legal Officer	Senior Vice President of CSCM since 2019. Prior to that, Vice President of the CSCM since 2013.	Since 2015
James Giallanza 1966	Chief Financial Officer	Executive Vice President of CSCM since 2014. Prior to that, Senior Vice President of CSCM since 2006.	Since 2006
Albert Laskaj 1977	Treasurer	Senior Vice President of CSCM since 2019. Prior to that, Vice President of CSCM since 2015. Prior to that, Director of Legg Mason & Co. since 2013.	Since 2015
Lisa D. Phelan 1968	Chief Compliance Officer	Executive Vice President of CSCM since 2015. Prior to that, Senior Vice President of CSCM since 2008. Chief Compliance Officer of CSCM, the Cohen & Steers funds, Cohen & Steers Asia Limited and CSSL since 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.	Since 2006

- ¹ The address of each officer is 280 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10017.
- ² Officers serve one-year terms. The length of time served represents the year in which the officer was first elected as an officer of any fund in the Cohen & Steers fund complex. All of the officers listed above are officers of one or more of the other funds in the complex.

Cohen & Steers Privacy Policy

Facts What Does Cohen & Steers Do With Your Personal Information?

Financial companies choose how they share your personal information. Federal law gives consumers the right to limit some but not all sharing. Federal law also requires us to tell you how we collect, share, and protect your personal information. Please read this notice carefully to understand what we do.

The types of personal information we collect and share depend on the product or service you have with us. This information can include:

Social Security number and account balances

What?

How?

Why?

Transaction history and account transactions

Purchase history and wire transfer instructions

All financial companies need to share customers personal information to run their everyday business. In the section below, we list the reasons financial companies can share their customers personal information; the reasons Cohen & Steers chooses to share; and whether you can limit this sharing.

Reasons we can share your personal information	Does Cohen & Steers share?	Can you limit this sharing?
For our everyday business purposes		
such as to process your transactions, maintain your account(s), respond to court orders and legal investigations, or reports to credit bureaus	Yes	No
For our marketing purposes	Yes	No
to offer our products and services to you	103	140
For joint marketing with other financial companies	No	We don t share
For our affiliates everyday business purposes	No	We don t share
information about your transactions and experiences		

For our affiliates everyday business purposes

No We don t share

information about your creditworthiness

For our affiliates to market to you No We don t share No

For non-affiliates to market to you

We don t share

Questions? Call 800.330.7348

Cohen & Steers Privacy Policy (Continued)

Who we are	
Who is providing this notice?	Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc., Cohen & Steers Asia Limited, Cohen & Steers Japan, LLC, Cohen & Steers UK Limited, Cohen & Steers Securities, LLC, Cohen & Steers Private Funds and Cohen & Steers Open and Closed-End Funds (collectively, Cohen & Steers).
What we do	
How does Cohen & Steers protect my personal information?	To protect your personal information from unauthorized access and use, we use security measures that comply with federal law. These measures include computer safeguards and secured files and buildings. We restrict access to your information to those employees who need it to perform their jobs, and also require companies that provide services on our behalf to protect your information.
	We collect your personal information, for example, when you:
How does Cohen & Steers collect my personal information?	Open an account or buy securities from us Provide account information or give us your contact information Make deposits or withdrawals from your account
	We also collect your personal information from other companies.
Why can t I limit all sharing?	Federal law gives you the right to limit only:
	sharing for affiliates everyday business purposes information about your creditworthiness

affiliates from using your information to market to you

sharing for non-affiliates to market to you

State law and individual companies may give you additional rights to limit sharing.

Definitions

Companies related by common ownership or control. They can be financial and nonfinancial companies.

Affiliates

Cohen & Steers does not share with affiliates.

Companies not related by common ownership or control. They can be financial and nonfinancial companies.

Non-affiliates

Cohen & Steers does not share with non-affiliates.

A formal agreement between non-affiliated financial companies that together market financial products or services to you.

Joint marketing

Cohen & Steers does not jointly market.

40

COHEN & STEERS TOTAL RETURN REALTY FUND, INC.

Cohen & Steers Investment Solutions

COHEN & STEERS REAL ASSETS FUND

Designed for investors seeking total return and the maximization of real returns during inflationary environments by investing primarily in real assets

Symbols: RAPAX, RAPCX, RAPIX, RAPRX, RAPZX

COHEN & STEERS GLOBAL REALTY SHARES

Designed for investors seeking total return, investing primarily in global real estate equity securities

Symbols: CSFAX, CSFCX, CSSPX, GRSRX, CSFZX

COHEN & STEERS REALTY SHARES

Designed for investors seeking total return, investing primarily in U.S. real estate securities

Symbol: CSRSX

COHEN & STEERS REAL ESTATE SECURITIES FUND

Designed for investors seeking total return, investing primarily in U.S. real estate securities

Symbols: CSEIX, CSCIX, CREFX, CSDIX, CIRRX, CSZIX

COHEN & STEERS INSTITUTIONAL REALTY SHARES

Designed for institutional investors seeking total return, investing primarily in U.S. real estate securities

Symbol: CSRIX

COHEN & STEERS INTERNATIONAL REALTY FUND

Designed for investors seeking total return, investing primarily in international (non-U.S.) real estate securities

Symbols: IRFAX, IRFCX, IRFIX, IRFRX, IRFZX

COHEN & STEERS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND

Designed for investors seeking total return, investing primarily in global infrastructure securities

Symbols: CSUAX, CSUCX, CSUIX, CSURX, CSUZX

COHEN & STEERS

MLP & ENERGY OPPORTUNITY FUND

Designed for investors seeking total return, investing primarily in midstream energy master limited partnership (MLP) units and related stocks

Symbols: MLOAX, MLOCX, MLOIX, MLORX, MLOZX

COHEN & STEERS

LOW DURATION PREFERRED AND INCOME FUND

Designed for investors seeking high current income and capital preservation by investing in low-duration preferred and other income securities issued by U.S. and non-U.S. companies

Symbols: LPXAX, LPXCX, LPXIX, LPXRX, LPXZX

COHEN & STEERS

PREFERRED SECURITIES AND INCOME FUND

Designed for investors seeking total return (high current income and capital appreciation), investing primarily in preferred and debt securities issued by U.S. and non-U.S. companies

Symbols: CPXAX, CPXCX, CPXFX, CPXIX, CPRRX, CPXZX

COHEN & STEERS DIVIDEND VALUE FUND

Designed for investors seeking long-term growth of income and capital appreciation, investing primarily in dividend paying common stocks and preferred stocks

Symbols: DVFAX, DVFCX, DVFIX, DVFRX, DVFZX

Distributed by Cohen & Steers Securities, LLC.

COHEN & STEERS GLOBAL REALTY MAJORS ETF

Designed for investors who seek a relatively low-cost passive approach for investing in a portfolio of global real estate equity securities of companies in a specified index

Symbol: GRI

Distributed by ALPS Portfolio Solutions Distributor, Inc.

ISHARES COHEN & STEERS

REALTY MAJORS INDEX FUND

Designed for investors who seek a relatively low-cost passive approach for investing in a portfolio of U.S. real estate equity securities of companies in a specified index

Symbol: ICF

Distributed by BlackRock Investments, LLC

Please consider the investment objectives, risks, charges and expenses of any Cohen & Steers U.S. registered open-end fund carefully before investing. A summary prospectus and prospectus containing this and other information can be obtained by calling 800-330-7348 or by visiting cohenandsteers.com. Please read the summary prospectus and prospectus carefully before investing.

COHEN & STEERS TOTAL RETURN REALTY FUND, INC.

Director and Chairman
Joseph M. Harvey
Director and Vice President
Michael G. Clark
Director
George Grossman
Director
Dean A. Junkans
Director
Gerald J. Maginnis
Director
Jane F. Magpiong
Director
Daphne L. Richards
Director
Frank K. Ross
Director
C. Edward Ward, Jr.
Director
Adam M. Derechin
President and Chief Executive Officer

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

Robert H. Steers

Thomas N. Bohjalian	
Vice President	
William F. Scapell	
Vice President	
Jason Yablon	
Vice President	
Yigal D. Jhirad	
Vice President	
Dana A. DeVivo	
Secretary and Chief Legal Officer	
James Giallanza	
Chief Financial Officer	
Albert Laskaj	
Treasurer	
Treasurer	
Treasurer Lisa D. Phelan	
Treasurer Lisa D. Phelan Chief Compliance Officer	
Treasurer Lisa D. Phelan Chief Compliance Officer KEY INFORMATION	
Treasurer Lisa D. Phelan Chief Compliance Officer KEY INFORMATION Investment Advisor	
Treasurer Lisa D. Phelan Chief Compliance Officer KEY INFORMATION Investment Advisor Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc.	
Treasurer Lisa D. Phelan Chief Compliance Officer KEY INFORMATION Investment Advisor Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. 280 Park Avenue	
Treasurer Lisa D. Phelan Chief Compliance Officer KEY INFORMATION Investment Advisor Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. 280 Park Avenue New York, NY 10017	
Treasurer Lisa D. Phelan Chief Compliance Officer KEY INFORMATION Investment Advisor Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. 280 Park Avenue New York, NY 10017 (212) 832-3232	
Treasurer Lisa D. Phelan Chief Compliance Officer KEY INFORMATION Investment Advisor Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. 280 Park Avenue New York, NY 10017 (212) 832-3232 Co-Administrator and Custodian	

Transfer Agent

Computershare

480 Washington Boulevard

Jersey City, NJ 07310

(866) 227-0757

Legal Counsel

Ropes & Gray LLP

1211 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10036

New York Stock Exchange Symbol: RFI

Website: cohenandsteers.com

This report is for shareholder information. This is not a prospectus intended for use in the purchase or sale of Fund shares. Performance data quoted represents past performance. Past performance is no guarantee of future results and your investment may be worth more or less at the time you sell your shares.

42

eDelivery AVAILABLE

Stop traditional mail delivery;

receive your shareholder reports

and prospectus online.

Sign up at cohenandsteers.com

Cohen & Steers

Total Return

Realty Fund

Annual Report December 31, 2018

Beginning on January 1, 2021, as permitted by regulations adopted by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, paper copies of the Fund s annual and semi-annual shareholder reports will no longer be sent by mail, unless you specifically request paper copies of the reports. Instead, the reports will be made available on the Fund s website at www.cohenandsteers.com, and you will be notified by mail each time a report is posted and provided with a website link to access the report.

If you have already elected to receive shareholder reports electronically, you will not be affected by this change and you need not take any action. You may elect to receive shareholder reports and other communications from a Fund electronically anytime by contacting your financial intermediary or, if you are a direct investor, by signing up at www.cohenandsteers.com.

Beginning on January 1, 2019, you may elect to receive all future reports in paper free of charge. If you invest through a financial intermediary, you can contact your financial intermediary or, if you are a direct investor, you can call (866) 227-0757 to let the Fund know you wish to continue receiving paper copies of your shareholder reports. Your election to receive reports in paper will apply to all Funds held in your account if you invest through your financial intermediary or all Funds held within the fund complex if you invest directly with the Fund.

RFIAR

Item 2. Code of Ethics.

The registrant has adopted an Amended and Restated Code of Ethics that applies to its Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer. The Code of Ethics was in effect during the reporting period. The registrant amended the personal trading blackout period in the Code of Ethics during the reporting period to reflect changes to the timeline for processing Fund distributions. The registrant has not granted any waiver, including an implicit waiver, from a provision of the Code of Ethics as described in Form N-CSR during the reporting period. A current copy of the Code of Ethics is available on the registrant s website at

https://www.cohenandsteers.com/assets/content/uploads/Code_of_Ethics_for_Principal_Executive_and_Principal_Financial_C Upon request, a copy of the Code of Ethics can be made by calling 800-330-7348 or writing to the Secretary of the registrant, 280 Park Avenue, 10th floor, New York, NY 10017.

Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert.

The registrant s board has determined that Gerald J. Maginnis and Frank K. Ross qualify as audit committee financial experts based on their years of experience in the public accounting profession. The registrant s board has determined that Michael G. Clark qualifies as an audit committee financial expert based on his years of experience in the public accounting profession and the investment management and financial services industry. Until December 31, 2018, each of Messrs. Clark, Ross and Maginnis was a member of the board s audit committee, and each was independent as such term is defined in Form N-CSR. Mr. Ross retired from the registrant s board on December 31, 2018 pursuant to the Fund s mandatory retirement policy and is no longer a member of the board s audit committee. Effective January 1, 2019, each of Messrs. Clark and Maginnis is a member of the board s audit committee, and each is independent as such term is defined in Form N-CSR.

Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

(a) (d) Aggregate fees billed to the registrant for the last two fiscal years ended December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 for professional services rendered by the registrant sprincipal accountant were as follows:

	2018	2017
Audit Fees	\$39,210	\$38,390
Audit-Related Fees	\$0	\$0
Tax Fees	\$5,660	\$5,550
All Other Fees	\$0	\$0

Tax fees were billed in connection with tax compliance services, including the preparation and review of federal and state tax returns and the computation of corporate and franchise tax amounts.

(e)(1) The audit committee is required to pre-approve audit and non-audit services performed for the registrant by the principal accountant. The audit committee also is required to pre-approve

non-audit services performed by the registrant s principal accountant for the registrant s investment advisor and any sub-advisor (not including any sub-advisor whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment advisor) and/or to any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with the registrant s investment advisor that provides ongoing services to the registrant, if the engagement for services relates directly to the operations and financial reporting of the registrant.

The audit committee may delegate pre-approval authority to one or more of its members who are independent members of the board of directors of the registrant. The member or members to whom such authority is delegated shall report any pre-approval decisions to the audit committee at its next scheduled meeting. The audit committee may not delegate its responsibility to pre-approve services to be performed by the registrant s principal accountant to the investment advisor.

- (e) (2) No services included in (b) (d) above were approved by the audit committee pursuant to paragraphs (c)(7)(i)(C) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X.
- (f) Not applicable.
- (g) For the fiscal years ended December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, the aggregate fees billed by the registrant s principal accountant for non-audit services rendered to the registrant and for non-audit services rendered to the registrant s investment advisor (not including any sub-advisor whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment advisor) and/or to any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with the registrant s investment advisor that provides ongoing services to the registrant were:

	2018	2017
Registrant	\$5,660	\$5,550
Investment Advisor	\$0	\$0

(h) The registrant s audit committee considered whether the provision of non-audit services that were rendered to the registrant s investment advisor (not including any sub-advisor whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment advisor) and/or to any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with the registrant s investment advisor that provides ongoing services to the registrant that were not required to be pre-approved pursuant to paragraph (c)(7)(ii) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X was compatible with maintaining the principal accountant s independence.

Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrants.

The registrant has a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. As of December 31, 2018, the members of the committee were Frank K. Ross (chairman), Michael G. Clark, George Grossman and Gerald J. Maginnis. Mr. Ross retired on December 31, 2018 pursuant to the Fund s mandatory retirement policy, and Mr. Maginnis was elected to serve as Audit Committee Chair effective January 1, 2019. Effective January 1, 2019, the members of the committee are Messrs. Maginnis (chairman), Clark and Grossman.

Item 6. Schedule of Investments.

Included in Item 1 above.

Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies.

The registrant has delegated voting of proxies in respect of portfolio holdings to Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. (C&S), in accordance with the policies and procedures set forth below.

COHEN & STEERS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.

STATEMENT OF POLICIES AND PROCEDURES REGARDING THE VOTING OF SECURITIES

This statement sets forth the policies and procedures that Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. and its affiliated advisors (Cohen & Steers , we or us) follow in exercising voting rights with respect to securities held in its client portfolios. All proxy-voting rights that are exercised by Cohen & Steers shall be subject to this Statement of Policy and Procedures.

General Proxy Voting Guidelines

Objectives

Voting rights are an important component of corporate governance. Cohen & Steers has three overall objectives in exercising voting rights:

- 🌑 Responsibility. Cohen & Steers shall seek to ensure that there is an effective means in place to hold companies accountable for their actions. While management must be accountable to its board, the board must be accountable to a company s shareholders. Although accountability can be promoted in a variety of ways, protecting shareholder voting rights may be among our most important tools.
- 🌑 Rationalizing Management and Shareholder Concerns. Cohen & Steers seeks to ensure that the interests of a company s management and board are aligned with those of the company s shareholders. In this respect, compensation must be structured to reward the creation of shareholder value.
- 🌑 Shareholder Communication. Since companies are owned by their shareholders, Cohen & Steers seeks to ensure that management effectively communicates with its owners about the company s business operations and financial performance. It is only with effective communication that shareholders will be able to assess the performance of management and to make informed decisions on when to buy, sell or hold a company s securities.

General Principles

In exercising voting rights, Cohen & Steers shall conduct itself in accordance with the general principles set forth below.

- 🌑 The ability to exercise a voting right with respect to a security is a valuable right and, therefore, must be viewed as part of the asset itself.
- 🌑 In exercising voting rights, Cohen & Steers shall engage in a careful evaluation of issues that may materially affect the rights of shareholders and the value of the security.
- 🌑 Consistent with general fiduciary principles, the exercise of voting rights shall always be conducted with reasonable care, prudence and diligence.
- 🌑 In exercising voting rights on behalf of clients, Cohen & Steers shall conduct itself in the same manner as if Cohen & Steers were the constructive owner of the securities.
- 🌑 To the extent reasonably possible, Cohen & Steers shall participate in each shareholder voting opportunity.
- 🌑 Voting rights shall not automatically be exercised in favor of management-supported proposals.
- 🌑 Cohen & Steers, and its officers and employees, shall never accept any item of value in consideration of a favorable proxy voting decision.

General Guidelines

Set forth below are general guidelines that Cohen & Steers shall follow in exercising proxy voting rights:

- 🌑 Prudence. In making a proxy voting decision, Cohen & Steers shall give appropriate consideration to all relevant facts and circumstances, including the value of the securities to be voted and the likely effect any vote may have on that value. Since voting rights must be exercised on the basis of an informed judgment, investigation shall be a critical initial step.
- 🌑 Third Party Views. While Cohen & Steers may consider the views of third parties, Cohen & Steers shall never base a proxy voting decision solely on the opinion of a third party. Rather, decisions shall be based on a reasonable and good faith determination as to how best to maximize shareholder value.
- 🌑 Shareholder Value. Just as the decision whether to purchase or sell a security is a matter of judgment, determining whether a specific proxy resolution will increase the market value of a security is a matter of judgment as to which informed parties may differ. In determining how a proxy vote may affect the economic value of a security, Cohen & Steers shall consider both short-term and long-term views about a company s business and prospects, especially in light of our projected

holding period on the stock (e.g., Cohen & Steers may discount long-term views on a short-term holding).

Specific Guidelines

- A. <u>Responsibility</u>. Cohen & Steers shall seek to ensure that there is an effective means in place to hold companies accountable for their actions. While management must be accountable to its board, the board must be accountable to a company s shareholders. Although accountability can be promoted in a variety of ways, protecting shareholder voting rights may be among our most important tools.
- B. <u>Rationalizing Management and Shareholder Concerns</u>. Cohen & Steers seeks to ensure that the interests of a company s management and board are aligned with those of the company s shareholders. In this respect, compensation must be structured to reward the creation of shareholder value.
- C. <u>Shareholder Communication</u>. Since companies are owned by their shareholders, Cohen & Steers seeks to ensure that management effectively communicates with its owners about the company s business operations and financial performance. It is only with effective communication that shareholders will be able to assess the performance of management and to make informed decisions on when to buy, sell or hold a company s securities.

In exercising voting rights, Cohen & Steers follows the general principles set forth below.

The ability to exercise a voting right with respect to a security is a valuable right and, therefore, must be viewed as part of the asset itself.

In exercising voting rights, Cohen & Steers shall engage in a careful evaluation of issues that may materially affect the rights of shareholders and the value of the security.

Consistent with general fiduciary principles, the exercise of voting rights shall always be conducted with reasonable care, prudence and diligence.

In exercising voting rights on behalf of clients, Cohen & Steers shall conduct itself in the same manner as if Cohen & Steers were the beneficial owners of the securities.

To the extent reasonably possible, Cohen & Steers shall participate in each shareholder voting opportunity.

Voting rights shall not automatically be exercised in favor of management-supported proposals.

Cohen & Steers, and their respective officers and employees, shall never accept any item of value in consideration of a favorable proxy vote.

Set forth below are general guidelines followed by Cohen & Steers in exercising proxy voting rights:

Prudence. In making a proxy voting decision, Cohen & Steers shall give appropriate consideration to all relevant facts and circumstances, including the value of the securities to be voted and the likely effect any vote may have on that value. Since voting rights must be exercised on the basis of an informed judgment, investigation shall be a critical initial step.

Third Party Views. While Cohen & Steers may consider the views of third parties, Cohen & Steers shall never base a proxy voting decision solely on the opinion of a third party. Rather, decisions shall be based on a reasonable and good faith determination as to how best to maximize shareholder value.

Shareholder Value. Just as the decision whether to purchase or sell a security is a matter of judgment, determining whether a specific proxy resolution will increase the market value of a security is a matter of judgment as to which informed parties may differ. In determining how a proxy vote may affect the economic value of a security, Cohen & Steers shall consider both short-term and long-term views about a company s business and prospects, especially in light of its projected holding period on the stock (e.g., Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. may discount long-term views on a short-term holding).

Voting for Directors Nominees in Uncontested Elections

Votes on director nominees are made on a case-by-case basis using a mosaic approach, where all factors are considered and no single factor is determinative. In evaluating director nominees, Cohen & Steers considers the following factors:

Whether the nominee attended less than 75 percent of the board and committee meetings without a valid excuse for the absences;

Whether the nominee is an inside or affiliated outside director and sits on the audit, compensation, or nominating committees and/or the full board serves as the audit, compensation, or nominating committees or the company does not have one of these committees;

Whether the board ignored a significant shareholder proposal that was approved by a majority of the votes cast in the previous year;

Whether the board, without shareholder approval, to our knowledge instituted a new poison pill plan, extended an existing plan, or adopted a new plan upon the expiration of an existing plan during the past year;

Whether the nominee is the chairman or CEO of a publicly-traded company who serves on more than two (2) public company boards;

In the case of nominees other than the chairman or CEO, whether the nominee serves on more than four (4) public company boards;

If the nominee is an incumbent director, the length of tenure taking into account tenure limits recommended by local corporate governance codes¹;

Whether the nominee has a material related party transaction or a material conflict of interest with the company;

Whether the nominee (or the entire board) in our view has a record of making poor corporate or strategic decisions or has demonstrated an overall lack of good business judgment;

Material failures of governance, stewardship, risk oversight², or fiduciary responsibilities at the company; and

- ¹ For example, in the UK, independent directors of publicly traded companies with tenure exceeding nince (9) years are reclassified as non-independent unless the company can explain why they remain independent.
- ² Examples of failure of risk oversight include, but are not limited to: bribery; large or serial fines from regulatory bodies; significant adverse legal judgments or settlements; hedging of company stock by the employees or directors of a company; or a significant pledging of company stock in the aggregate by the officers and directors of a company.

Actions related to a nominee s service on other boards that raise substantial doubt about his or her ability to effectively oversee management and serve the best interests of shareholders at any company.

Voting for Director Nominees in Contested Elections

Votes in a contested election of directors are evaluated on a case-by-case basis considering the long-term financial performance of the company relative to its industry management s track record, the qualifications of the nominees and other relevant factors.

The Majority Vote for Directors

Cohen & Steers generally votes for proposals asking for the board to amend the company s governance documents (charter or bylaws) to provide that director nominees will be elected by the affirmative vote of the majority of votes cast at an annual meeting of shareholders.

Separation of Chairman and CEO

Cohen & Steers generally votes for proposals to separate the CEO and chairman positions. Cohen & Steers does recognize, however, that under certain circumstances, it may be in the company s best interest for the CEO and chairman positions to be held by one person.

The Independent Chairman

Cohen & Steers reviews on a case-by-case basis proposals requiring the chairman s position to be filled by an independent director, taking into account the company s current board leadership and governance structure; company performance, and any other factors that may be relevant.

Lead Independent Directors

In cases where the CEO and chairman roles are combined or the chairman is not independent, Cohen & Steers vote for the appointment of a lead independent director.

Board Independence

Cohen & Steers believes that boards should have a majority of independent directors. Therefore, Cohen & Steers vote for proposals that require the board to be comprised of a majority of independent directors.

Generally, Cohen & Steers considers a director independent if the director satisfies the independence definition set forth in local corporate governance codes and/or the applicable listing standards of the exchange on which the company s stock is listed.

In addition, Cohen & Steers generally considers a director independent if the director has no significant financial, familial or other ties with the company that may pose a conflict, and has not been employed by the company in an executive capacity.

Board Size

Cohen & Steers generally votes for proposals to limit the size of the board to 15 members or less.

Classified Boards

Cohen & Steers generally votes in favor of shareholder proposals to declassify a board of directors. In voting on proposals to declassify a board of directors, Cohen & Steers evaluates all facts and circumstances, including whether: (i) the current management and board have a history of making good corporate or strategic decisions and (ii) the proposal is in the best interests of shareholders.

Independent Committees

Cohen & Steers votes for proposals requesting that a board s audit, compensation and nominating committees consist only of independent directors.

Non-Disclosure of Board Compensation

Cohen & Steers generally votes against the election of director nominees at companies if the compensation paid to such directors is not disclosed prior to the meeting. However, Cohen & Steers recognizes that companies in certain emerging markets may have legitimate reasons for not disclosing such compensation. In such cases, if a company discloses a legitimate reason why such compensation should not be disclosed, Cohen & Steers may vote for the nominees even if compensation is not disclosed.

Director and Officer Indemnification and Liability Protection

Cohen & Steers votes in favor of proposals providing indemnification for directors and officers for acts conducted in the normal course of business that is consistent with the law of the jurisdiction of formation. Cohen & Steers alsos vote in favor of proposals that expand coverage for directors and officers where, despite an unsuccessful legal defense, the director or officer acted in good faith and in the best interests of the company. Cohen & Steers votes against proposals that would expand indemnification beyond coverage of legal expenses to coverage of acts, such as gross negligence, that are violations of fiduciary obligations.

Compensation Proposals

Votes on Executive Compensation. Say-on-Pay votes are determined on a case-by-case basis taking into account the reasonableness of the company s compensation structure and the adequacy of the disclosure.

Cohen & Steers generally votes against in cases where there are an unacceptable under of problematic pay practices including:

Poor linkage between the executives pay and the company s performance and profitability;

The presence of objectionable structural features in the compensation plan, such as excessive perquisites, golden parachutes, tax-gross up provisions, and automatic benchmarking of pay in the top half of the peer group;

A lack of proportionality in the plan relative to the company s size and peer group. *Additional Disclosure on Executive and Director Pay.* Cohen & Steers generally votes for shareholder proposals that seek additional disclosure of executive and director pay information.

Frequency of Shareholder Votes on Executive Compensation. Cohen & Steers generally votes for annual shareholder advisory votes to approve executive compensation.

Golden Parachutes. In general, Cohen & Steers votes against golden parachutes because they impede potential takeovers that shareholders should be free to consider. Cohen & Steers opposes the use of employment agreements that result in excessive cash payments and generally withhold our vote at the next shareholder meeting for directors who approved golden parachutes.

In the context of an acquisition, merger, consolidation, or proposed sale, Cohen & Steers votes on a case-by-case basis on proposals to approve golden parachute payments. Factors that may result to a vote against include:

Potentially excessive severance payments;

Agreements that include excessive excise tax gross-up provisions;

Single-trigger payments upon a Change in Control (CIC), including cash payments and the acceleration of performance-based equity despite the failure to achieve performance measures;

Single-trigger vesting of equity based on a definition of change in control that requires only shareholder approval of the transaction (rather than consummation);

Recent amendments or other changes that may make packages so attractive as to encourage transactions that may not be in the best interests of shareholders; or

The company s assertion that a proposed transaction is conditioned on shareholder approval of the golden parachute advisory vote.

Equity Compensation Plans. Votes on proposals related to compensation plans are determined on a case-by-case basis taking into account plan features and equity grant practices, where positive factors may counterbalance negative factors (and vice versa), as evaluated based on three pillars:

Plan Cost: the total estimated cost of the company s equity plans relative to industry/market cap peers measured by the company s estimated shareholder value transfer (SVT) in relation to peers, considering:

SVT based on new shares requested plus shares remaining for future grants, plus outstanding unvested/unexercised grants; and

SVT based only on new shares requested plus shares remaining for future grants.

Plan Features:

Automatic single-triggered award vesting upon CIC;

Discretionary vesting authority;

Liberal share recycling on various award types; and

Minimum vesting period for grants made under the plan.

Grant Practices:

The company s three year burn rate relative to its industry/market cap peers;

Vesting requirements for most recent CEO equity grants (3-year look-back);

The estimated duration of the plan based on the sum of shares remaining available and the new shares requested divided by the average annual shares granted in the prior three years;

The proportion of the CEO s most recent equity grants/awards subject to performance conditions;

Whether the company maintains a claw-back policy; and

Whether the company has established post exercise/vesting share-holding requirements.

Cohen & Steers generally votes against compensation plan proposals if the combination of factors indicates that the plan is not, overall, in the shareholders interest, or if any of the following apply:

Awards may vest in connection with a liberal CIC;

The plan would permit re-pricing or cash buyout of underwater options without shareholder approval;

The plan is a vehicle for problematic pay practices or a pay-for-performance disconnect; or

Any other plan features that are determined to have a significant negative impact on shareholder interests. *Transferable Stock Options*. Cohen & Steers evaluates on a case-by-case basis proposals to grant transferable stock options or otherwise permit the transfer of outstanding stock options, including cost of proposal and alignment with shareholder interests.

Approval of Cash or Cash-and-Stock Bonus Plans. Cohen & Steers votes to approve cash or cash-and-stock bonus plans that seek to exempt executive compensation from limits on deductibility imposed by Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Employee Stock Purchase Plans. Cohen & Steers votes for the approval of employee stock purchase plans, although Cohen & Steers generally believes the discounted purchase price should not exceed 15% of the current market price.

401(k) Employee Benefit Plans. Cohen & Steers votes for proposals to implement a 401(k) savings plan for employees.

Stock Ownership Requirements. Cohen & Steers supports proposals requiring senior executives and directors to hold a minimum amount of stock in a company (often expressed as a percentage of annual compensation), which may include restricted stock or restricted stock units.

Stock Holding Periods. Cohen & Steers generally votes against proposals requiring executives to hold stock received upon option exercise for a specific period of time.

Recovery of Incentive Compensation. Cohen & Steers generally votes for proposals to recover incentive bonuses or other incentive payments made to senior executives if it is later determined that fraud, misconduct, or negligence significantly contributed to a restatement of financial results that led to the award of incentive compensation.

Capital Structure Changes and Anti-Takeover Proposals

Increase to Authorized Shares. Cohen & Steers generally votes for increases in authorized shares, provided that the increase is not greater than three times the number of shares outstanding and reserved for issuance (including shares reserved for stock-related plans and securities convertible into common stock, but not shares reserved for any poison pill plan).

Blank Check Preferred Stock. Cohen & Steers generally votes against proposals authorizing the creation of new classes of preferred stock without specific voting, conversion, distribution and other rights, and proposals to increase the number of authorized blank check preferred shares. Cohen & Steers may vote in favor of these proposals if

Cohen & Steers receives reasonable assurances that (i) the preferred stock was authorized by the board for legitimate capital formation purposes and not for anti-takeover purposes, and (ii) no preferred stock will be issued with voting power that is disproportionate to the economic interests of the preferred stock. These representations should be made either in the proxy statement or in a separate letter from the company to us.

Pre-emptive Rights. Cohen & Steers generally votes against the issuance of equity shares with pre-emptive rights. However, Cohen & Steers may vote for shareholder pre-emptive rights where such pre-emptive rights are necessary taking in to account the best interests of the company s shareholders. In addition, we acknowledge that international local practices may call for shareholder pre-emptive rights when a company seeks authority to issue shares (*e.g.*, UK authority for the issuance of only up to 5% of outstanding shares without pre-emptive rights). While Cohen & Steers prefers that companies be permitted to issue shares without pre-emptive rights, in deference to international local practices, Cohen & Steers will approve issuance requests with pre-emptive rights.

Dual Class Capitalizations. Because classes of common stock with unequal voting rights limit the rights of certain shareholders, we vote against adoption of a dual or multiple class capitalization structure. Cohen & Steers supports the one-share, one-vote principle for voting.

Restructurings/Recapitalizations. Cohen & Steers reviews proposals to increase common and/or preferred shares and to issue shares as part of a debt restructuring plan on a case-by-case basis. In voting, Cohen & Steers considers the following issues:

Dilution: how much will the ownership interest of existing shareholders be reduced, and how extreme will dilution to any future earnings be?

Change in control: will the transaction result in a change in control of the company?

Bankruptcy: generally, approve proposals that facilitate debt restructurings unless there are clear signs of self-dealing or other abuses.

Share Repurchase Programs. Cohen & Steers generally votes in favor of such programs where the repurchase would be in the long-term best interests of shareholders and where we believe that this is a good use of the company s cash.

Cohen & Steers will vote against such programs when shareholders interests could be better served by deployment of the cash for alternative uses, or where the repurchase is a defensive maneuver or an attempt to entrench management.

Targeted Share Placements. Cohen & Steers votes these proposals on a case-by-case basis. These proposals ask companies to seek shareholder approval before placing 10% or more of their voting stock with a single investor. The proposals are typically in reaction to the placement of a large block of voting stock in an employee stock option plan, parent capital fund or with a single friendly investor, with the aim of protecting the company against a hostile tender offer.

Shareholder Rights Plans. Cohen & Steers reviews on a case-by-case basis proposals to ratify shareholder rights plans taking into consideration the length of the plan.

Reincorporation Proposals. Proposals to change a company s jurisdiction of incorporation are examined on a case-by-case basis. When evaluating such proposals, Cohen & Steers reviews management s rationale for the proposal, changes to the charter/bylaws, and differences in the applicable laws governing the companies.

Voting on State Takeover Statutes. Cohen & Steers reviews on a case-by-case basis proposals to opt in or out of state takeover statutes (including control share acquisition statutes, control share cash-out statutes, freeze-out provisions, fair price provisions, stakeholder laws, poison pill endorsements, severance pay and labor contract provisions and disgorgement provisions). In voting on these shareholder proposals, Cohen & Steers takes into account whether the proposal is in the long-term best interests of the company and whether it would be in the best interests of the company to thwart a shareholder s attempt to control the board of directors.

Mergers and Corporate Restructurings

Mergers and Acquisitions. Votes on mergers and acquisitions should be considered on a case-by-case basis, taking into account the anticipated financial and operating benefits, offer price (cost vs. premium), prospects of the combined companies, how the deal was negotiated and changes in corporate governance and their impact on shareholder rights.

Cohen & Steers votes against proposals that require a super-majority of shareholders to approve a merger or other significant business combination.

Nonfinancial Effects of a Merger or Acquisition. Some companies have proposed charter provisions that specify that the board of directors may examine the nonfinancial effects of a merger or acquisition on the company. This provision would allow the board to evaluate the impact a proposed change in control would have on employees, host communities, suppliers and/or others. Cohen & Steers generally vote against proposals to adopt such charter provisions. Directors should base their decisions solely on the financial interests of the shareholders.

Spin-offs. Cohen & Steers evaluates spin-offs on a case-by-case basis taking into account the tax and regulatory advantages, planned use of sale proceeds, market focus, and managerial incentives.

Asset Sales. Cohen & Steers evaluates asset sales on a case-by-case basis taking into account the impact on the balance sheet/working capital, value received for the asset, and potential elimination of diseconomies.

Liquidations. Cohen & Steers evaluates liquidations on a case-by-case basis taking into account management s efforts to pursue other alternatives, appraisal value of assets and the compensation plan for executives managing the liquidation.

Ratification of Auditors

Cohen & Steers generally votes for proposals to ratify auditors, auditor remuneration and/or proposals authorizing the board to fix audit fees, unless:

an auditor has a financial interest in or association with the company, and is therefore not independent;

there is reason to believe that the independent auditor has rendered an opinion that is neither accurate nor indicative of the company s financial position;

the name of the proposed auditor and/or fees paid to the audit firm are not disclosed by the company prior to the meeting;

the auditors are being changed without explanation; or

fees paid for non-audit related services are excessive and/or exceed fees paid for audit services or limits set in local best practice recommendations or law.

Where fees for non-audit services include fees related to significant one-time capital structure events, initial public offerings, bankruptcy emergence, and spinoffs, and the company makes public disclosure of the amount and nature of those fees, then such fees may be excluded from the non-audit fees considered in determining whether non-audit related fees are excessive.

Auditor Rotation

Cohen & Steers evaluates auditor rotation proposals on a case-by-case basis taking into account the following factors: the tenure of the audit firm; establishment and disclosure of a review process whereby the auditor is regularly evaluated for both audit quality and competitive price; length of the rotation period advocated in the proposal; and any significant audit related issues.

Auditor Indemnification

Cohen & Steers generally votes against auditor indemnification and limitation of liability. However, Cohen & Steers recognizes there may be situations where indemnification and limitations on liability may be appropriate.

Shareholder Access and Voting Proposals

Proxy Access. Cohen & Steers reviews proxy access proposals on a case-by-case basis taking into account the parameters of proxy access use in light of a company s specific circumstances. Cohen & Steers generally supports proposals that provide shareholders with a reasonable opportunity to use the right without stipulating overly restrictive or onerous parameters for use and also provide assurances that the mechanism will not be subject to abuse by short-term investors, investors without a substantial investment in the company or investors seeking to take control of the board.

Bylaw Amendments. Cohen & Steers votes on a case-by-case basis on proposals requesting companies grant shareholders the ability to amend bylaws. Similar to proxy access, Cohen & Steers generally supports proposals that provide assurances that this right will not be subject to abuse by short-term investors or investors without a substantial investment in a company.

Reimbursement of Proxy Solicitation Expenses. In the absence of compelling reasons, the Advisor and the Subadvisors will generally not support such proposals.

Shareholder Ability to Call Special Meetings. Cohen & Steers votes on a case-by-case basis on shareholder proposals requesting companies amend their governance documents (bylaws and/or charter) in order to allow shareholders to call special meetings.

Shareholder Ability to Act by Written Consent. Cohen & Steers generally votes against proposals to allow or facilitate shareholder action by written consent to provide reasonable protection of minority shareholder rights.

Shareholder Ability to Alter the Size of the Board. Cohen & Steers generally votes for proposals that seek to fix the size of the board and vote against proposals that give the board the ability to alter the size of the board without shareholder approval. While Cohen & Steers recognizes the importance of such proposals, these proposals may be set forth in order to promote the agenda(s) of certain special interest groups and could be disruptive to the management of the company.

Cumulative Voting. Having the ability to cumulate votes for the election of directors (i.e., to cast more than one vote for a director) generally increases shareholders—rights to effect change in the management of a corporation. However, Cohen & Steers acknowledges that cumulative voting promotes special candidates who may not represent the interests of all, or even a majority, of shareholders. Therefore, when voting on proposals to institute cumulative voting, Cohen & Steers evaluates all facts and circumstances surrounding such proposal and generally vote against cumulative voting where the company has good corporate governance practices in place, including majority voting for board elections and de-classified boards.

Supermajority Vote Requirements. Cohen & Steers generally supports proposals that seek to lower supermajority voting requirements.

Confidential Voting. Cohen & Steers votes for shareholder proposals requesting that companies adopt confidential voting, use independent tabulators, and use independent inspectors of election as long as such proposals permit management to request that the dissident groups honor its confidential voting policy in the case of proxy contests.

Cohen & Steers also votes for management proposals to adopt confidential voting.

Date/Location of Meeting. Cohen & Steers votes against shareholder proposals to change the date or location of the shareholders meeting.

Adjourn Meeting if Votes are Insufficient. Cohen & Steers generally votes against open-end requests for adjournment of a shareholder meeting. However, where management specifically states the reason for requesting an adjournment and the requested adjournment is necessary to permit a proposal that would otherwise be supported under this policy to be carried out, the adjournment request will be supported.

Disclosure of Shareholder Proponents. Cohen & Steers votes for shareholder proposals requesting that companies disclose the names of shareholder proponents. Shareholders may wish to contact the proponents of a shareholder proposal for additional information.

Environmental and Social Proposals

Cohen & Steers believes that well-managed companies should be evaluating and assessing how environmental and social matters may enhance or protect shareholder value. However, because of the diverse nature of environmental and social proposals, we evaluate these proposals on a case-by-case basis. The principles guiding the evaluation of these proposals are whether implementation of a proposal is likely to enhance or protect shareholder value and whether a proposal can be implemented at a reasonable cost.

Environmental Proposals (SP). Cohen & Steers acknowledges that environmental considerations can pose significant investment risks and opportunities. Therefore, we generally vote in favor of proposals requesting a company disclose information that will aid in the determination of shareholder value creation or destruction, taking into consideration the following factors:

Whether the issues presented have already been effectively dealt with through governmental regulation or legislation;

Whether the disclosure is available to shareholders from the company or from a publicly available source; and

Whether implementation would reveal proprietary or confidential information that could place the company at a competitive disadvantage.

Social Proposals (SP). Cohen & Steers believes board and workforce diversity are beneficial t the decision-making process and can enhance long-term profitability. Therefore, we generally vote in favor of proposals that seek to increase board and workforce diversity. We vote all other social proposals on a case-by-case basis, including, but not limited to, proposals related to political and charitable contributions, lobbying, and gender equality and the gender pay gap.

Miscellaneous Proposals

Bundled Proposals. Cohen & Steers reviews on a case-by-case basis bundled or conditioned proposals. For items that are conditioned upon each other, Cohen & Steers examines the benefits and costs of the bundled items. In instances where the combined effect of the conditioned items is not in shareholders best interests, Cohen & Steers votes against the proposals. If the combined effect is positive, Cohen & Steers supports such proposals. In the case of bundled director proposals, Cohen & Steers will vote for the entire slate only if Cohen & Steers would have otherwise voted for each director on an individual basis.

Other Business. Cohen & Steers generally votes against proposals to approve other business where Cohen & Steers cannot determine the exact nature of the proposal(s) to be voted on.

Item 8. Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies.

Information pertaining to the portfolio managers of the registrant, as of March 11, 2019, is set forth below.

Thomas N. Bohjalian Executive Vice President of C&S since 2012. Prior to

that, Senior Vice President of C&S since 2006.

🌑 Vice President

🌑 Portfolio manager since 2006

William F. Scapell Executive Vice President of C&S since 2014. Prior to

that, Senior Vice President of C&S since 2003.

🌑 Vice President

🌑 Portfolio manager since 2003

Jason A. Yablon Senior Vice President of C&S since 2014. Prior to that,

Vice President of C&S since 2008.

🌑 Vice President

🌑 Portfolio manager since 2012

C&S utilizes a team-based approach in managing the registrant. Mr. Bohjalian and Mr. Yablon direct and supervise the execution of the registrant s investment strategy, and lead and guide the other members of the team. Mr. Scapell manages the registrant s preferred securities investments.

Each portfolio manager listed above manages other investment companies and/or investment vehicles and accounts in addition to the registrant. The following tables show, as of December 31, 2018, the number of other accounts each portfolio manager managed in each of the listed categories and the total assets in the other accounts managed within each category.

Thomas Bohja	alian	Number of accounts	Total assets
& #127761;	Registered investment companies	6	\$14,423,540,515
& #127761;	Other pooled investment vehicles	7	\$7,791,664,698
& #127761;	Other accounts	18	\$2,722,055,442
William F. Sc	rapell	Number of accounts	Total assets
& #127761;	Registered investment companies	9	\$14,609,065,346
& #127761;	Other pooled investment vehicles	11	\$1,009,909,677
& #127761;	Other accounts	20	\$3,034,669,664
Jason A. Yablon		Number of accounts	Total assets
& #127761;	Registered investment companies	7	\$14,690,022,529
& #127761;	Other pooled investment vehicles	1	\$115,715,090
& #127761;	Other accounts	6	\$2,923,830,996

<u>Share Ownership.</u> The following table indicates the dollar range of securities of the registrant owned by the registrant s portfolio managers as of December 31, 2018 for Messrs. Bohjalian, Scapell and Yablon:

Dollar Range of Securities Owned

Thomas Bohjalian	None
William F. Scapell	\$10,001-\$50,000
Jason A. Yablon	None

<u>Conflicts of Interest.</u> It is possible that conflicts of interest may arise in connection with the portfolio managers management of a registrant s investments on the one hand and the investments of other accounts or vehicles for which the portfolio managers are responsible on the other. For example, a portfolio manager may have conflicts of interest in allocating management

time, resources and investment opportunities among the registrant and the other accounts or vehicles he advises. In addition, due to differences in the investment strategies or restrictions among a Fund and the other accounts, a portfolio manager may take action with respect to another account that differs from the action taken with respect to the registrant.

In some cases, another account managed by a portfolio manager may provide more revenue to the registrant s investment advisor or subadvisors, as applicable. While this may appear to create additional conflicts of interest for the portfolio manager in the allocation of management time, resources and investment opportunities, the investment advisor and subadvisors strive to ensure that portfolio managers endeavor to exercise their discretion in a manner that is equitable to all interested persons. In this regard, in the absence of specific account-related impediments (such as client-imposed restrictions or lack of available cash), it is the policy of the investment advisor and subadvisors to allocate investment ideas pro rata to all accounts with the same primary investment objective.

In addition, certain of the portfolio managers may from time to time manage one or more accounts on behalf of the registrant s investment advisor or subadvisors, as applicable, and its affiliated companies (the CNS Accounts). Certain securities held and traded in the CNS Accounts also may be held and traded in one or more client accounts. It is the policy of the investment advisor and subadvisors however not to put the interests of the CNS Accounts ahead of the interests of client accounts. The investment advisor and subadvisors may aggregate orders of client accounts with those of the CNS Accounts; however, under no circumstances will preferential treatment be given to the CNS Accounts. For all orders involving the CNS Accounts, purchases or sales will be allocated prior to trade placement, and orders that are only partially filled will be allocated across all accounts in proportion to the shares each account, including the CNS Accounts, was designated to receive prior to trading. As a result, it is expected that the CNS Accounts will receive the same average price as other accounts included in the aggregated order. Shares will not be allocated or re-allocated to the CNS Accounts after trade execution or after the average price is known. In the event so few shares of an order are executed that a pro-rata allocation is not practical, a rotational system of allocation may be used; however, the CNS Accounts will never be part of that rotation or receive shares of a partially filled order other than on a pro-rata basis.

Because certain CNS Accounts are managed with a cash management objective, it is possible that a security will be sold out of the CNS Accounts but continue to be held for one or more client accounts. In situations when this occurs, such security will remain in a client account only if the portfolio manager, acting in its reasonable judgment and consistent with its fiduciary duties, believes this is appropriate for, and consistent with the objectives and profile of, the client account.

Advisor Compensation Structure. Compensation of the investment advisor s portfolio managers and other investment professionals has three primary components: (1) a base salary, (2) an annual cash bonus and (3) annual stock-based compensation consisting generally of restricted stock units of the Advisor s parent, CNS. The Advisor s investment professionals, including the portfolio managers, also receive certain retirement, insurance and other benefits that are broadly available to all of its employees. Compensation of the Advisor s investment professionals is reviewed primarily on an annual basis.

Method to Determine Compensation. The registrant s investment advisor compensates its portfolio managers based primarily on the total return performance of funds and accounts managed by the portfolio manager versus appropriate peer groups or benchmarks. C&S uses a variety of benchmarks to evaluate each portfolio managers performance for compensation purposes, including the FTSE Nareit Equity REITs Index, ICE BofAML REIT Preferred Securities Index and the S&P 500 Index and other broad based indexes based on the asset classes managed by each portfolio manager. In evaluating the performance of a portfolio manager, primary emphasis is normally placed on one- and three-year performance, with secondary consideration of performance over longer periods of time. Performance is evaluated on a pre-tax and pre-expense basis. In addition to rankings within peer groups of funds on the basis of absolute performance, consideration may also be given to risk-adjusted performance. For funds and accounts with a primary investment objective of high current income, consideration will also be given to the fund s and account s success in achieving this objective. For portfolio managers responsible for multiple funds and accounts, investment performance is evaluated on an aggregate basis. The investment advisor has three funds or accounts with performance-based advisory fees. Portfolio managers are also evaluated on the basis of their success in managing their dedicated team of analysts. Base compensation for portfolio managers of the Advisor varies in line with the portfolio manager s seniority and position with the firm.

Salaries, bonuses and stock-based compensation are also influenced by the operating performance of the registrant s investment advisor and CNS. While the annual salaries of the investment advisor s portfolio managers are fixed, cash bonuses and stock based compensation may fluctuate significantly from year to year, based on changes in manager performance and other factors.

Item 9. Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Management Investment Company and Affiliated Purchasers.

None.

Note: On December 4, 2018, the Board of Directors of the Fund approved continuation of the delegation of its authority to management to effect repurchases, pursuant to management $\,$ s discretion and subject to market conditions and investment considerations, of up to 10% of the Fund $\,$ s common shares outstanding (Share Repurchase Program) as of January 1, 2019 through December 31, 2019.

Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may recommend nominees to the registrant s Board implemented after the registrant last provided disclosure in response to this Item.

Item 11. Controls and Procedures.

(a) The registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that the registrant s disclosure controls and procedures are reasonably designed to ensure that

information required to be disclosed by the registrant in this Form N-CSR was recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms, based upon such officers evaluation of these controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of this report.

(b) There were no changes in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

Item 12. Disclosure of Securities Lending Activities for Closed-End Management Investment Companies.

- (a) The Fund did not engage in any securities lending activity during the fiscal year ended December 30, 2018.
- (b) The Fund did not engage in any securities lending activity and did not engage a securities lending agent during the fiscal year ended December 30, 2018.

Item 13. Exhibits.

- (a)(1) The amended Code of Ethics is filed herewith.
- (a) (2) Certifications of principal executive officer and principal financial officer as required by Rule 30a-2(a) under the Investment Company Act of 1940.
- (a)(3) Not applicable.
- (a)(4) Not applicable.
- (b) Certifications of principal executive officer and principal financial officer as required by Rule 30a-2(b) under the Investment Company Act of 1940.
- (c) Registrant s notices to shareholders pursuant to registrant s exemptive order granting an exemption from Section 19(b) of the 1940 Act and Rule 19b-1 thereunder regarding distributions pursuant to the registrant s Managed Distribution Plan.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

COHEN & STEERS TOTAL RETURN REALTY FUND, INC.

By: /s/ Adam M. Derechin

Name: Adam M. Derechin

Title: President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 11, 2019

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Adam M. Derechin

Name: Adam M. Derechin

Title: President and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ James Giallanza

Name: James Giallanza

Title: Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: March 11, 2019