PACWEST BANCORP Form 11-K June 30, 2014

Use these links to rapidly review the document <u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u>

Table of Contents

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 11-K

ý ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to Commission File No. 00-30747

A. FULL TITLE OF THE PLAN AND THE ADDRESS OF THE PLAN, IF DIFFERENT FROM THAT OF THE ISSUER NAMED BELOW:

PacWest Bancorp 401(k) Plan

PacWest Bancorp 130 S. State College Blvd, Brea, CA 92821

B. NAME OF THE ISSUER OF THE SECURITIES HELD PURSUANT TO THE PLAN AND THE ADDRESS OF ITS PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICE:

PacWest Bancorp

10250 Constellation Blvd, Suite 1640 Los Angeles, California 90067

Table of Contents

PacWest Bancorp 401(k) Plan Form 11-K December 31, 2013 Index

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	3
Statements of Net Assets Available for Benefits December 31, 2013 and 2012	4
Statement of Changes in Net Assets Available for Benefits Year ended December 31, 2013	4
Notes to Financial Statements	<u>6</u>
Supplemental Schedule:	
Form 5500, Schedule H, Line 4i Schedule of Assets (Held at End of Year) December 31, 2013	<u>16</u>

All other schedules are omitted because they are not required or applicable pursuant to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 and Department of Labor regulations.

2

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The 401(k) Plan Committee PacWest Bancorp 401(k) Plan

We have audited the accompanying statements of net assets available for benefits of PacWest Bancorp 401(k) Plan (the "Plan") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related statement of changes in net assets available for benefits for the year ended December 31, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Plan's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Plan is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Plan's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the net assets available for benefits as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the changes in net assets available for benefits for the year ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The supplemental schedule of assets (held at end of year) as of December 31, 2013 is presented for the purpose of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements, but is supplementary information required by the Department of Labor's Rules and Regulations for Reporting and Disclosure under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act 1974. This supplemental schedule is the responsibility of the Plan's management. Such schedule has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in our audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

/s/ Squar, Milner, Peterson, Miranda & Williamson, LLP

San Diego, California June 30, 2014

PacWest Bancorp 401(k) Plan

Statements of Net Assets Available for Benefits

	December 31,			31,
		2013		2012
Investments at fair value:				
Retirement Money Market Portfolio	\$	4,013,458	\$	3,593,283
Mutual funds		40,483,025		31,246,483
PacWest Bancorp common stock		4,843,283		2,861,073
Common collective trust fund		920,941		652,849
Total investments at fair value		50,260,707		38,353,688
Receivables:				
Notes receivable from participants		1,402,208		1,202,238
Employer contributions		1,303,876		1,138,647
Participant contributions		150,270		130,004
Total receivables		2,856,354		2,470,889
Net assets available for benefits, at fair value		53,117,061		40,824,577
Adjustment from fair value to contract value for fully benefit-responsive investment contracts (Note 2)		(7,319)		(18,399)
Adjustment from fair value to contract value for fully benefit-responsive investment contracts (Note 2)		(7,319)		(18,399)
Net assets available for benefits	\$	53,109,742	\$	40,806,178

See Accompanying Notes to Financial Statements.

4

PacWest Bancorp 401(k) Plan

Statement of Changes in Net Assets Available for Benefits

Year Ended December 31, 2013

Additions:	
Investment income:	
Net appreciation in fair value of investments	\$ 7,393,065
Interest and dividends	1,886,601
Total investment income	9,279,666
Contributions:	
Participants	7,095,424
Employer	1,302,854
	, ,
Total contributions	8,398,278
Total additions	17,677,944
Deductions:	
Benefits paid to participants	5,339,984
Deemed distribution of loans	16,012
Administrative expenses	18,384
Total deductions	5,374,380
Increase in net assets available for benefits	12,303,564
Net assets available for benefits:	
Beginning of the year	40,806,178
End of the year	\$ 53,109,742

See Accompanying Notes to Financial Statements.

PacWest Bancorp 401(k) Plan

Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2013 and 2012

(1) Description of the Plan

The following description of the PacWest Bancorp 401(k) Plan (the "Plan") provides only general information. Participants should refer to the Plan agreement for a complete description of the Plan's provisions.

(a) General

The Plan is a defined contribution plan which provides retirement benefits for eligible employees of PacWest Bancorp and its subsidiaries (the "Company") that have agreed to participate in the Plan. The Plan is administered by PacWest Bancorp (the "Sponsoring Employer") who acts by and through its administrative committee, the 401(k) Plan Committee. The 401(k) Plan Committee is presently comprised of seven officers of Pacific Western Bank, a subsidiary of the Sponsoring Employer. The Plan is subject to the provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"). The trustee for the Plan is Fidelity Management Trust Company ("Trustee").

(b) Contributions

Employees of the Company who are at least 21 years of age are eligible to participate in the Plan beginning the first day of the month following their hire date. Participants can contribute, under a salary reduction agreement, up to 60% of their eligible compensation, as defined, but not to exceed the dollar amount allowed by law, which was \$17,500 for 2013 and \$17,000 for 2012. The Company's Board of Directors determines the Company's discretionary matching contribution on an annual basis. For the 2013 plan year, the matching contribution was determined to be a maximum amount of 50% of the first 6% of covered compensation. Participants may also contribute amounts representing distributions (rollovers) from other tax favored plans, and participants age 50 and over may make unmatched "catch-up" contributions up to \$5,500 in accordance with Internal Revenue Code ("IRC") regulations and limitations.

Participants direct the investment of their contributions into various investment options offered by the Plan. Company matching contributions are invested at the participant's discretion in the same manner as the salary reduction contributions.

(c) Participant Accounts

Each participant account is credited with the participant's contributions, allocations of the Company's matching contribution and profit sharing contribution (if any), and earnings or losses. Earnings of the various funds are allocated to the participant balances according to the ratio that a participant's account balance or shares held in a given fund bears to the total of all account balances or shares held in the fund.

PacWest Bancorp 401(k) Plan

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2013 and 2012

(1) Description of the Plan (Continued)

(d) Vesting

Participant contributions are immediately fully vested. Participants vest in the Company's matching contribution in accordance with the following schedule:

	Vested
Years of service	percentage
Less than 1 year	0%
1	20%
2	40%
3	60%
4	80%
5	100%

All nonvested amounts in a terminated participant's account will be forfeited in accordance with Plan provisions, which allow for forfeited amounts to be utilized to pay Plan expenses or to offset employer contributions. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the nonvested forfeited amounts totaled \$29,152 and \$54,549, respectively.

(e) Benefit Payments

A participant may receive a distribution of his or her entire vested accrued benefit only upon the participant's termination of employment. While employed, a participant may receive a distribution of his or her rollover account and employee contribution deferrals for reason of financial hardship, in accordance with Plan provisions.

For distributions other than due to financial hardship, the method of payment shall be based on the participant's election and may be made in one or a combination of the following methods: a single lump sum; installments (if eligible as defined by the Plan); or direct transfer to an Individual Retirement Account ("IRA") or tax favored plan that accepts the transfer. Distribution shall be made in cash or in-kind, in accordance with the participant's election and Plan provisions.

(f) Notes Receivable from Participants

Participants may borrow from their account a minimum of \$1,000 up to the lesser of 50% of the participant's vested account balance or \$50,000, reduced by the highest outstanding loan balance in the participant's account during the prior 12-month period. Participants may only have one loan outstanding at a time. Such loans are collateralized by the participant's vested balance in the Plan and bear the prevailing interest rate used by lending institutions for loans made under similar circumstances. Interest rates at December 31, 2013 and 2012 ranged from 3.25% to 6.40% and 4.25% to 6.40%, respectively. The terms of these loans cannot exceed five years, except if the loan is used to purchase the principal residence of the participant, in which case the loan term may be extended for up to a period of 10 years. Principal and interest are paid ratably through participant payroll deductions. If a participant defaults on the loan, it is generally treated as a taxable distribution from the Plan (a "deemed distribution").

PacWest Bancorp 401(k) Plan

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2013 and 2012

(1) Description of the Plan (Continued)

(g) Plan Termination

Although it has not expressed any intent to do so, the Company has the right under the Plan to discontinue its contributions at any time and to terminate the Plan subject to the provisions of ERISA. In the event of Plan termination, participants would become 100% vested in their employer contributions.

(h) Investment Options

All accounts are invested in accordance with terms of the Plan document and investment options elected by participants. Participants direct the investment of their contributions and Company's matching contributions into various investment options offered by the Plan include money market funds, mutual funds, a common collective trust fund and the Company's common stock. Contributions or transfers into the Company's common stock are limited to no more than 25% of either the future contributions made or total participant account balance.

(2) Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Basis of Accounting

The accompanying financial statements of the Plan have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("GAAP").

As described in Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 962, *Plan Accounting Defined Contribution Pension Plans ("ASC 962")*, investment contracts held by a defined-contribution plan are required to be reported at fair value. However, contract value is the relevant measurement attribute for that portion of the net assets available for benefits of a defined-contribution plan attributable to fully benefit-responsive investment contracts because contract value is the amount participants would receive if they were to initiate permitted transactions under the terms of the Plan.

Accordingly, as required by ASC 962, the accompanying statements of net assets available for benefits present the fair value of the investment contracts as well as the adjustment of fully benefit-responsive investment contracts from fair value to contract value; such adjustment relates entirely to the common collective trust fund, one of the investment options offered by the Plan.

(b) Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets in the statements of net assets available for benefits along with the additions and deductions presented in the statement of changes in net assets available for benefits. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

(c) Investment Valuation and Income Recognition

The Plan's investments in the Retirement Money Market Portfolio, mutual funds, and PacWest Bancorp common stock are carried at fair value based on the published market quotations. Fair value is

PacWest Bancorp 401(k) Plan

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2013 and 2012

(2) Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

the price that would be received to sell an asset in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Purchases and sales of investments are recorded on a trade-date basis. Interest income is recorded on an accrual basis. Dividends are recorded on the ex-dividend date.

(d) Notes Receivable from Participants

The notes receivable from participants are valued at cost plus any accrued but unapplied interest, which approximates fair value. If a participant ceases to make note repayments and the Plan administrator deems the note to be in default, the participant note balance is reduced and a deemed distribution is recorded.

(e) Payment of Participant Benefits

Participant benefits are recorded when paid.

(f) Administrative Expenses

Administrative expenses of the Plan are paid by the Company, except for loan fees and maintenance fees for ex-employees, which are charged to the applicable participant accounts. The Company is also a party-in-interest and the Trustee charges fees to the participant for processing loan application transactions. See Note (6), *Party-in-Interest Transactions*, for additional party-in-interest information. The administrative fees paid by the Plan in 2013 totaled \$18,384.

(g) Risks and Uncertainties

The Plan provides for various investment options in money market funds, mutual funds, PacWest Bancorp common stock, corporate debt, and government securities. Investment securities are exposed to various risks such as interest rate, market, and credit. Due to the level of uncertainty related to changes in the value of the Plan's investment securities, it is at least reasonably possible that changes in the various risk factors, in the near term, could materially affect participants' account balances and the amounts reported in the financial statements.

(h) New Accounting Standards

There have been no recent accounting pronouncements that are of material significance or have potential material significance to the Plan.

(i) Subsequent Events

Plan management has evaluated events subsequent to December 31, 2013 and through the date that the accompanying financial statements were filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, for transactions and other events that may require adjustment of and/or disclosure in such financial statements.

PacWest Bancorp 401(k) Plan

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2013 and 2012

(2) Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

(j) Concentration of Credit Risk

Investment in PacWest Bancorp common stock comprised approximately 9% and 7% of the Plan's investments as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Generally, participants may not allocate more than 25% of their contributions into PacWest Bancorp common stock.

(3) Investments

The following table presents the fair value of individual investments representing 5% or more of the Plan's net assets available for benefits as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

		December 31,			31,
	Investment		2013		2012
Fidelity	Contrafund	\$	3,755,198	\$	2,449,781
Fidelity	Diversified International Fund		2,882,860		2,299,422
Fidelity	Freedom K 2020 Fund		2,693,070		2,115,353
Fidelity	Freedom K 2025 Fund		2,913,752		*
Vanguard	Total Bond Market Index Fund		*		2,990,516
Artisan	Mid Cap Fund		2,954,759		2,131,983
Fidelity	Retirement Money Market Portfolio		4,013,458		3,593,283
PacWest Bancorp	Common stock		4,843,283		2,861,073

Investment represents less than 5% of Plan net assets.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Plan's investments (including investments bought, sold and held during the year) appreciated as follows:

Investment	Year Ended December 31, 2013
Mutual funds	\$ 5,373,066
PacWest Bancorp common stock	2,019,999
Net appreciation	\$ 7,393,065

(4) Fair Value Measurements

Fair value measurements establish a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities; Level 2, defined as inputs other than Level 1 that are either directly or indirectly observable such as quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its

own assumptions.

The Plan does not have any Level 3 investments at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and there were no transfers in or out of Level 1 or Level 2 during the year ended December 31, 2013.

10

PacWest Bancorp 401(k) Plan

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2013 and 2012

(4) Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

There have been no changes in the fair value methodologies of the Plan's investments at December 31, 2013 and 2012. We have classified these investments in accordance with the fair value hierarchy set forth in ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements*.

The following table presents by level within the fair value hierarchy the Plan's investments at fair value at December 31, 2013.

	uoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Ol	gnificant Other bservable Inputs Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total
Retirement Money Market Portfolio	\$ 4,013,458	\$		\$	\$ 4,013,458
Mutual funds:					
Blended	13,585,941				13,585,941
Large cap	13,706,137				13,706,137
Mid cap	4,324,812				4,324,812
Bond funds	3,561,783				3,561,783
International	2,882,860				2,882,860
Small cap	2,421,492				2,421,492
Total mutual funds	40,483,025				40,483,025
PacWest Bancorp common stock	4,843,283				4,843,283
Common collective trust fund			920,941		920,941
Total investments at fair value	\$ 49,339,766	\$	920,941	\$	\$ 50,260,707

PacWest Bancorp 401(k) Plan

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2013 and 2012

(4) Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

The following table presents by level within the fair value hierarchy the Plan's investments at fair value at December 31, 2012:

		uoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Ol	gnificant Other oservable Inputs Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)		Total
Retirement Money Market Portfolio	\$	3,593,283	\$	ĺ	\$	\$	3,593,283
Mutual funds:							
Blended		9,892,570					9,892,570
Large cap		9,496,864					9,496,864
Mid cap		4,358,487					4,358,487
Bond funds		4,321,681					4,321,681
International		2,299,422					2,299,422
Small cap		877,459					877,459
Total mutual funds		31,246,483					31,246,483
PacWest Bancorp common stock		2,861,073					2,861,073
Common collective trust fund				652,849			652,849
Tatalian to the state of friends	¢	27 700 920	¢	<i>(52.040</i>)	¢.	¢	20 252 (00
Total investments at fair value	\$	37,700,839	\$	652,849	\$	\$	38,353,688

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for the investments measured at fair value, including the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Retirement Money Market Portfolio

Retirement Money Market Portfolio funds are valued at quoted market prices in an exchange and active markets, which represent the net asset values of shares held by the Plan at year-end, and are classified as Level 1 investments.

Mutual Funds

Mutual funds are valued at quoted market prices in an exchange and active markets, which represent the net asset values of shares held by the Plan at year-end, and are classified as Level 1 investments.

PacWest Bancorp Common Stock

PacWest Bancorp common stock held in participant-directed accounts is stated at the fair value as quoted on a recognized securities exchange and is valued at the last reported sales price on the last business day of the Plan year and is classified as a Level 1 investment.

PacWest Bancorp 401(k) Plan

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2013 and 2012

(4) Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

Common /Collective Trust Fund

Valued at the net asset value of such fund, after adjustments to reflect all fund investments at fair value, including direct and indirect interests in fully benefit-responsive contracts, as reported in the audited financial statements of the fund.

(5) Fully Benefit-Responsive Investment Contracts

The Plan offers participants a common collective trust investment option which represents fully-benefit responsive contracts through the Wells Fargo Stable Value Fund M ("SV Fund"). The SV Fund is primarily comprised of investment contracts issued by financial companies including guaranteed investment contracts ("GICs"), separate account GICs ("SICs"), and security backed investment contracts. GICs are issued by insurance companies which guarantee the return of principal and stated rate of return for a specific period of time.

The SV Fund's contracts are carried at contract value in the participants' account. Participant accounts are credited with interest at a fixed rate that is typically reset quarterly. The rate reset allows the contract value to converge with a fair value of the underlying portfolio over time, assuming the portfolio continues to earn the current yield for a period of time equal to the current portfolio duration.

Under the contracts, certain events could limit the ability of the Plan to transact at contract value. Such events can include premature termination of the contracts by the Plan or Plan termination. The Company does not believe that occurrence of any such events is probable.

The SV Fund reserves the right to require twelve-month notice for withdrawal of assets from the Fund initiated by the Plan sponsor. Withdrawals initiated by participants will be honored when received unless payments are being delayed to all SV Fund unit holders, in which event the SV Fund will work with the Plan sponsor to arrive at a mutually agreeable payout structure.

The average yield earned by the entire SV Fund for all fully benefit-responsive investment contracts, which is calculated by dividing the annualized earnings of all investments in the SV Fund (irrespective of the interest rate credited to participants in the SV Fund) by the fair value of all investments in the Fund, for 2013 and 2012, was 1.36% and 0.94%, respectively. The average yield earned by the entire Fund, with an adjustment to reflect the actual interest rate credited to participants, for 2013 and 2012, was 1.52% and 1.95%, respectively.

(6) Party-in-Interest Transactions

Certain Plan investments are shares of mutual funds managed by Fidelity Management Trust Company, the Trustee, and therefore, these transactions qualify as party-in-interest transactions. Certain Plan investments are shares of common stock of PacWest Bancorp company stock, and thus, these are party-in-interest transactions.

(7) Income Taxes

The prototype plan adopted by the Company received a favorable tax determination letter on March 31, 2008, as part of a volume submitter plan from the Internal Revenue Service stating that the

PacWest Bancorp 401(k) Plan

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2013 and 2012

(7) Income Taxes (Continued)

Plan is qualified under IRC Section 401(a) and that the Plan is exempt from federal income taxes under provisions of Section 501(a). Although the Plan has been amended and restated, the Plan administrator believes that the Plan is designed and currently being operated in compliance with the applicable requirements of the IRC.

GAAP requires plan management to evaluate tax positions taken by the plan and recognize a tax liability (or asset) if the organization has taken an uncertain position that more likely than not would not be sustained upon examination by the IRS. The Plan administrator has analyzed the tax positions taken by the Plan, and has concluded that as of December 31, 2013, there are no uncertain positions taken or expected to be taken that would require recognition of the liability (or asset) or disclosure in the financial statements. The Plan is subject to routine audits by taxing jurisdictions and the Plan could be subject to income tax if certain issues were found by the IRS that could result in the disqualification of the Plan's tax-exempt status; however, there are currently no audits for any tax periods in progress.

(8) Reconciliation of Financial Statements to Form 5500

The following is a reconciliation of net assets available for benefits per the financial statements at December 31, 2013 and 2012 to the Form 5500:

	December 31,				
		2013		2012	
Net assets available for benefits per the financial statements	\$	53,109,742	\$	40,806,178	
Less: Employer and participant contributions receivable		(1,454,146)		(1,268,651)	
Net assets available for benefits per the Form 5500	\$	51,655,596	\$	39,537,527	

The following is a reconciliation of total investments and notes receivable from participants per the financial statements at December 31, 2013 and 2012 to the Form 5500:

	December 31			
		2013		2012
Total investments per the financial statements	\$	50,260,707	\$	38,353,688
Notes receivable from participants per the financial statements		1,402,208		1,202,238
Adjustment from fair value to contract value per the financial statements		(7,319)		(18,399)
Total investments and notes receivable from participants per the Form 5500	\$	51,655,596	\$	39,537,527

PacWest Bancorp 401(k) Plan

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2013 and 2012

(8) Reconciliation of Financial Statements to Form 5500 (Continued)

The following is a reconciliation of changes in net assets available for benefits per the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 to the Form 5500:

	Year Ended December 31, 2013				
Increase in net assets available for benefits per the financial statements	\$	12,303,564			
Add: Prior year employer and participant contributions receivable		1,268,651			
Less: Current year employer and participant contributions receivable		(1,454,146)			
Increase in net assets available for benefits per the Form 5500	\$	12,118,069			

Table of Contents

Description

PacWest Bancorp 401(k) Plan

Form 5500 Schedule H, Line 4i Schedule of Assets (Held at End of Year)

Employer Number 33-0885320

Plan Number: 001

December 31, 2013

ntity of issuer, rower, or ilar ty	of investment, including maturity date, rate of interest, collateral, par, or maturity value	Number of shares	Current value(a)
Cash and	Turuc	oz bimi to	· mav(a)
cash equivalents:			
Fidelity	Retirement Money Market Portfolio	\$	4,013,4:
	Total cash and cash equivalents		4,013,4:
Mutual funds:		20.000	2
Fidelity Fidelity	Contrafund Capital	39,060	3,755,19
	Appreciation Fund	59,964	2,159,7:
Fidelity	Diversified International Fund	78,105	2,882,80
Fidelity	Freedom K		
Fidelity	Income Fund Freedom K 2000 Fund	29,549 10,284	353,4 ¹
Fidelity	Freedom K 2005 Fund	4,629	62,2
Fidelity	Freedom K 2010 Fund	66,930	939,0
Fidelity	Freedom K 2015 Fund	145,244	2,068,20
Fidelity	Freedom K 2020 Fund	180,986	2,693,0
Fidelity	Freedom K 2025 Fund	187,863	2,913,7:
Fidelity	Freedom K 2030 Fund	72,276	1,146,2'
Fidelity	Freedom K 2035 Fund	86,247	1,412,7
Fidelity		49,928	822,3:

	Freedom K 2040 Fund		
Eidalitu	Freedom K		
Fidelity	2045 Fund	25,005	420,0
Fidelity	Freedom K	23,003	720,1
1 Identy	2050 Fund	32,720	551,5
Fidelity	Freedom K		
771 1 11	2055 Fund	6,388	77,1
Fidelity	Intermediate Government		
	Income Fund	81,936	863,
Dodge &	Income Fund		
Cox		19,288	260,9
American	Investment		
Century	Equity	154 002	1 221 7
Voncuend	Income Fund	154,023	1,321,5
Vanguard	Total Bond Market Index		
	Fund	230,797	2,437,2
Oakmark	Fund Class I	38,530	2,451,6
American	Small Cap	, , , , , ,	
Beacon	Value Fund	2,702	73,4
Artisan	Mid Cap		
	Fund	62,049	2,954,7
Eagle	Small Cap		
	Growth Fund	10 100	2210
Cnorton	R6	40,192	2,348,0
Spartan	Extended Market Index		
	Fund	1,981	105,
Spartan	500 Index	1,701	105,0
. 1	Fund	32,513	2,129,7
Perkins	Mid Cap		
	Value Fund T	54,097	1,264,2
American	Growth Fund		
Funds	of America		
	Class R4	44,212	1,888,7
	Total mutual		
	funds		40,483,0
	Turius		40,403,0

Common collective trust fund:

Wells Fargo Stable Return 19,145 Fund

stock:

Common

&nberships and Education: Mr. Petters serves on the board of directors of the U.S. Naval Academy Foundation, and is a member of the board of directors of the U.S. Naval Academy Foundation, and is a member of the board of directors of the U.S. Naval Academy Foundation, and is a member of the board of directors of the U.S. Naval Academy Foundation, and is a member of the board of directors of the U.S. Naval Academy Foundation, and is a member of the board of directors of the U.S. Naval Academy Foundation and is a member of the board of directors of the U.S. Naval Academy Foundation and is a member of the board of directors of the U.S. Naval Academy Foundation and is a member of the board of directors of the U.S. Naval Academy Foundation and is a member of the board of directors of the U.S. Naval Academy Foundation and is a member of the board of directors of the U.S. Naval Academy Foundation and of trustees of the Naval Aviation Museum Foundation. He holds a B.S. in physics from the United States Naval Academy and an M.B.A. fro the College of William and Mar

Qualifications, Experience, Attributes and Skills: We believe that Mr. Petters is qualified to serve as a director based on h experience as our President and Chief Executive Officer, his experience as President of our predecessor companies, h experience in operations and in other senior management positions of our predecessor companies, and his military experien as a naval office

THOMAS C. SCHIEVELBEI

Director since 20

Term will expire at 2017 annual meeting

Mr. Schievelbein, age 61, is the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of The Brink s Company and a directo New York Life Insurance C

The Board of Directors (Continue

Positions Held: Mr. Schievelbein served as President of Northrop Grumman Newport News and was a member of the Northrop Grumman Corporate Policy Council from November 2001 until his retirement in November 2004. He served as Chi Operating Officer of Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. from 1995 until 2001 and was responsible for the design, construction and maintenance of nuclear-powered aircraft carriers and submarines. His experience includes the Virginia -class submarine program, CVN-76, CVN-77, and CVN-21 aircraft carrier programs, aircraft carrier overhaul and refueling, submarine fle maintenance, commercial and naval ship repair, and business development.

Current Public Company Directorships: Mr. Schievelbein has served on the board of directors of The Brink s Company si March 2009 and has been Chairman of that board since June 2012. He previously served as a member of its Audit at Compensation Committees. Mr. Schievelbein has served on the board of directors of New York Life Insurance Co. since 200 and currently serves as Chairman of the Compensation Committee and a member of the Audit Committee and Governance Committee and Committee and

Prior Public Company Directorships (within the last five years): Mr. Schievelbein previously served on the Board of Director of McDermott International Inc. from 2004 to 201

Other Directorships and Memberships and Education: Mr. Schievelbein is a past member of the Secretary of the Nav Advisory Panel and was a director of the United States Naval Academy Foundation from 2004 through 2012. He holds a B.S. Marine Engineering from the United States Naval Academy and a Master s Degree in Nuclear Engineering from the Univer of Virgini

Qualifications, Experience, Attributes and Skills: We believe that Mr. Schievelbein is qualified to serve as a director based of his experience as the President and Chief Operating Officer of Northrop Grumman Newport News and Chief Operating Office of Newport News Shipbuilding Inc., his experience as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of a public companion and his experience serving as a director of a number of public companion.

KARL M. VON DER HEYDE

Director since 20

Nominee for director at this annual meeting

Term will expire at 2018 annual meeting, if elected

Mr. von der Heyden, age 78, is the retired Vice Chairman of PepsiCo, Ir

Positions Held: Mr. von der Heyden was Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors of PepsiCo, Inc. from 1996 to 2001, whe he also served in various senior management capacities, including as Chief Financial Officer. He was previously Co-Chairma and Chief Executive Officer of RJR Nabisco, Inc., President and Chief Executive Officer of Metallgesellschaft Corp., an Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and a director of H.J. Heinz Compan

Prior Public Company Directorships (within the last five years): Mr. von der Heyden has served as a director of DreamWorl Animation SKG Inc. (October 2005 to June 2009), Macy s, Inc. (February 1992 to May 2010), and NYSE Euronext, (December 2005 to May 2008

Other Directorships and Memberships and Education: Mr. von der Heyden is a former director of Aramark Corporation (September 2001 to December 2006) and PanAmSat (March 2005 to May 2006) and a former trustee of Duke University, to YMCA of Greater New York, and other non-profit organizations. He served as Chairman of the Financial Accounting Standar Board s Advisory Cou

28

The Board of Directors (Continue

and was a senior adviser to the Clipper Group, a private equity firm. He attended the Free University of Berlin and received B.A. from Duke University and M.B.A. from the Wharton School of Business at the University of Pennsylvania. He also received a CPA certificate

Qualifications, Experience, Attributes and Skills: We believe that Mr. von der Heyden is qualified to serve as a director base on his experience as chief executive officer and chief financial officer of several large public companies, his experience as director of numerous public companies and not-for-profit entities, and his varied business and finance experience

JOHN K. WELC

Director since 20

Term will expire at 2016 annual meeting

Mr. Welch, age 65, was President and Chief Executive Officer of Centrus Energy Corp. from October 2005 until his retireme in October 201

Positions Held: Mr. Welch was President and Chief Executive Officer of Centrus Energy Corp. (formerly USEC Inc.) fro
 October 2005 until his retirement in October 2014. He was previously a senior executive with General Dynamics Corporation retiring from the company in 2003 as Executive Vice President for the Marine Systems Group, which included Bath Irac Works, Electric Boat and National Steel and Shipbuilding Company (NASSCO). During his career with General Dynamic which began in 1989, Mr. Welch also served as President of Electric Boat, Vice President of Programs for Electric Boat, wiresponsibility for new construction, overhaul and repair programs, material acquisition and information technology, and Vice President for Program Development at Electric Boat, with responsibility for strategic planning, program and product marketin and high-technology program acquisition and management. Mr. Welch served over seven years on active duty with the U.
 Navy as a nuclear submarine officer and retired from the Naval Reservence.

Prior Public Company Directorships (within the last five years): Mr. Welch served on the Board of Directors of Centr Energy Corp. and its predecessor, USEC Inc., from 2005 until 201

Other Directorships and Memberships and Education: Mr. Welch is Chairman of the Board of Battelle Memorial Institute at serves on the board of Precision Custom Components. He earned a B.S. in aerospace engineering from the U.S. Na Academy, a masters in aeronautical engineering from the Naval Postgraduate School and an M.B.A. from Loyola College

Qualifications, Experience, Attributes and Skills: We believe that Mr. Welch is qualified to serve as a director based on has senior executive experience at other public companies in the shipbuilding and energy markets, including his experience executive vice president of the primary competitor to our core shipbuilding business. Mr. Welch also brings experience as director of other public and private companies and not-for-profit entities.

Additional Information. Mr. Welch is the retired President and Chief Executive Officer of Centrus Energy Corp. (former USEC Inc.). Centrus Energy filed a voluntary petition under Chapter 11 of the federal bankruptcy code on March 5, 2014. Centrus Energy emerged from Chapter 11, prior to Mr. Welch s retirement from the compa

Director Compensation

Director compensation elements are designed t

Promote alignment with long-term stockholder interests;

Ensure we can attract and retain outstanding directors who meet the criteria described in the Governance of the Company section of this proxy statement;

Recognize the substantial time commitments necessary to oversee the affairs of our company; and

Support the independence of thought and action expected of directors.

Non-employee director compensation is reviewed by the Compensation Committee, which makes recommendations to the for Board for consideration and approval. Our executive officers are not paid additional compensation for their service on o Board of Director

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION PROGRA

Our director compensation program for non-employee directors is comprised of both cash retainers and equity awards in the form of either restricted stock units or shares of our common stock, in each case granted under our 2012 Long-Term Incentive Stock Pla

The annual cash retainer for non-employee directors is \$100,000. The additional annual cash retainer for serving non-executive Chairman of the Board is \$250,000. The additional annual cash retainers for serving as chairs of our standing Board committees are: \$20,000 for Audit; \$15,000 for Compensation; \$15,000 for Governance and Policy; and \$15,000 for Finance. The additional annual cash retainers for serving as members (but not as chairs) of the Board committees are: \$15,000 for Audit; \$5,000 for Compensation; \$5,000 for Governance and Policy; and \$5,000 for Finance. Cash retainer amounts a paid on a quarterly basis at the end of each quarter in arreas

Non-employee directors receive an annual equity award, in the form of restricted stock units or shares of our common stock valued at \$100,000. On the first trading day of each quarter, each non-employee director is granted restricted stock units shares, determined by dividing \$25,000 by the per share closing price of our common stock on the NYSE on the date of grant rounded down to the nearest whole unit or shares.

Restricted stock unit awards are fully vested at date of grant and generally become payable within 30 days following the date non-employee director ceases to provide services as a member of the Board. Non-employee directors are entitled to receive dividend equivalents with respect to their outstanding and unpaid restricted stock units. Dividend equivalents are paid in the form of a credit of additional restricted stock units and are subject to the same vesting, payment and other provisions as the underlying restricted stock units.

Beginning January 1, 2015, if a non-employee director owns shares of our common stock equal to at least five times the director is annual cash retainer, the director may elect to receive his or her annual equity award in the form of either shares of

common stock or stock units that are payable in the fifth calendar year after the year in which the annual equity award is earned (or, if earlier, upon termination of the director s board service). The common stock or stock units are fully vested on the date

30

Director Compensation (Continue

2014 DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table sets forth the compensation for the year ended December 31, 2014, of our non-employee directors where the served during any part of 201

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Robert F. Bruner	115,000	99,802	\.' <i>,</i>	214,802
Artur G. Davis*	120,000	99,802		219,802
Thomas B. Fargo	350,000	99,802		449,802
Victoria D. Harker	110,000	99,802		209,802
Anastasia D. Kelly	115,000	99,802		214,802
Paul D. Miller	120,000	99,802		219,802
Thomas C. Schievelbein	130,000	99,802		229,802
Karl M. von der Heyden	120,000	99,802		219,802

^{*} Mr. Davis served on the Board until January 1, 2015.

31

⁽¹⁾ The values for stock awards represent the grant date fair values of restricted stock units granted in 2014, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Information about the assumptions used to value these awards can be found in Note 19 of the financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014. As of December 31, 2014, our non-employee directors held the following number of restricted stock units: Bruner 12,948 Davis 12,948; Fargo 15,405; Harker 3,502; Kelly 12,948; Miller 12,948; Schievelbein 12,948; and von der Heyden

Executive Compensation

INTRODUCTIO

This section provides information about our executive compensation program with respect to the 2014 compensation of o Named Executive Officers. It begins with biographies of our Named Executive Officers. Next is the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, which explains how and why the Compensation Committee made its compensation decisions for the Name Executive Officers, followed by the Report of our Compensation Committee. Finally, this section includes the detailed executive compensation tables in the format required by the SEC, with related narrative disclosure.

NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER BIOGRAPHIE

The following biographies provide information regarding the experience of our Named Executive Office:

C. Michael Petters, President and Chief Executive Offic

Mr. Petters is President and Chief Executive Officer of HII. He assumed this role on March 31, 2011, when Northrop Grumma Shipbuilding began operating as the newly-formed and publicly-owned Huntington Ingalls Industries following a spin-off fro Northrop Grumman Corporation. Mr. Petters is also a member of our Board of Director

Mr. Petters is responsible for leading the design, construction and overhaul of conventionally-powered surface combatant amphibious and auxiliary ships and nuclear-powered submarines and aircraft carriers, as well as business opportunities adjacent markets. From 2008 until he assumed his current position, he served as president of Northrop Grumman Shipbuildin Prior to that, he served as president of the Newport News sector of Northrop Grumman Corporatio

Mr. Petters joined Newport News Shipbuilding in 1987 in the *Los Angeles* -class submarine construction division. I subsequently held a number of increasingly responsible positions throughout the organization, including production supervis for submarines, marketing manager for submarines and carriers, vice president for aircraft carrier programs, vice president for contracts and pricing, and vice president for human resource

A native of Florida, Mr. Petters earned a bachelor s degree in physics from the U.S. Naval Academy in 1982, served aboard nuclear-powered submarine *USS George Bancroft* and spent five years in the Naval Reserve. In 1993, he earned a master degree in business administration from the College of William and Mar

Mr. Petters was appointed to serve on the Virginia Governor s Advisory Council on Revenue Estimates. He serves on the bood directors of the U.S. Naval Academy Foundation and is a member of both the board of directors and the board of trustees the Naval Aviation Museum Foundation. Mr. Petters also serves on the distinguished advisory board for the Dolph Scholarship Foundation and on the advisory council for the Naval Historical Foundation

Barbara A. Niland, Corporate Vice President of Business Management and Chief Financial Offic

Ms. Niland is Corporate Vice President, Business Management and Chief Financial Officer of HII. Named to this position the time of the spin-off, she is responsible for directing our business strategy and processes in support of business growth a profitability goals. Ms. Niland also has responsibility

Executive Compensation (Continue

for business management functions, such as investor relations, treasury, internal audit, contracts, estimating and pricin accounting, financial reporting, planning and analysis, rates and budgets, and program cost contra

Prior to her current position, Ms. Niland served as sector vice president of business management and chief financial officer Northrop Grumman Shipbuilding (2008-2011) and the Newport News sector of Northrop Grumman Corporation (2004-2008 positions in which she was responsible for directing the sector s business strategy and processes in support of business ground profitability goals. She also had responsibility for sector business management functions, such as contracts, estimating an pricing, accounting, financial reporting, planning and analysis, rates and budgets, and program cost control. Before the Ms. Niland served as vice president of finance and controller for Northrop Grumman s Electronic Systems sector. In this rate was responsible for financial planning, financial accounting, government accounting, engineering and manufacturing finance, international finance, and business management functions.

Ms. Niland began her career at Westinghouse (a predecessor of Northrop Grumman Electronic Systems) in 1979, where sl held a number of financial and business management positions, serving as business manager for a number of space system programs and later being named program director of business management for space systems. Ms. Niland was promoted to vio president of finance and controller for Northrop Grumman Electronic Systems in 200

Ms. Niland earned a bachelor s degree in finance from Towson State University and a master s of science degree from University of Maryland University College

Matthew J. Mulherin, Corporate Vice President and President, Newport News Shipbuildin

Mr. Mulherin is Corporate Vice President and President of Newport News Shipbuilding. Named to this position in 2011, he responsible for all Newport News Shipbuilding engineering, operations and programs, including the most complex ships in the world: nuclear-powered aircraft carriers and nuclear-powered submarines. Newport News Shipbuilding generated approximately \$4 billion in annual revenues and employs more than 23,000 employees.

Mr. Mulherin also has responsibility for our subsidiaries Continental Maritime, a San Diego shipyard that services and support U.S. Navy ships on the West Coast, AMSEC, a full-service provider of engineering, logistics, and technical support services the U.S. Navy, and Stoller Newport News Nuclear, a provider of technical, ecological, waste management, and remediation at consulting services within the Department of Energy marke

From 2008 until he assumed his current position, Mr. Mulherin served as sector vice president and general manager of significant operations at Newport News as part of Northrop Grumman Shipbuilding. His responsibilities included programs for the company is Newport News operations, where he successfully led the sector is aircraft carrier design and construction programs carrier refueling and overhaul programs, and the submarine programs.

Mr. Mulherin earned a bachelor s degree in civil engineering from Virginia Tech in 1981 and began his career at Newport New Shipbuilding the same year as a nuclear test engineer. Since then, he has held positions of increasing responsibility, including nuclear project manager for Los Angeles-class submarines, director of facilities, director of nuclear engineering and refueling and director of carrier refueling and overhaul construction. Mr. Mulherin also served as director and vice president for the new generation of aircraft carriers, the *Gerald R. Ford* class, and vice president of all programs, including shipbuilding and reparament of Energy programs, and commercial energy programs.

33

Executive Compensation (Continue

Mr. Mulherin serves on the boards of directors of the Shipbuilders Council of America and the Naval Submarine League. It also serves on the board of trustees of The Mariners Museum, as a committee member of Greater Peninsula NOW, as a mem of the Hampton Roads Business Roundtable, and as a member of the board of directors of the Peninsula Towne Bank. In 201 Mr. Mulherin was appointed by the governor of Virginia to serve on the board of directors for the Virginia Nuclear Energy Consortium Authority

Bruce N. Hawthorne, Corporate Vice President and Chief Legal Offic

Mr. Hawthorne served as Corporate Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of HII from the time of the spin-off until laretired from that position effective January 12, 2015. He is continuing with the company in the position of Corporate Vice President and Chief Legal Officer to assist in the transition of our new general counsel.

Prior to joining the company in 2011, Mr. Hawthorne served as a partner and practice development chairman for the law fir of Arnall Golden Gregory LLP (AGG), facilitating its development of best practices around collaboration and value deli client relationships and talent development. From 2008 until joining AGG, he served as co-founder and managing director Consigliere Group LLC, a consulting and technology services firm

Mr. Hawthorne s corporate career includes serving as executive vice president, general counsel and secretary of Electronic E Systems during a time when the company was pursuing a major transformation. While there, he led a global legal team the provided counsel and support to a population of 110,000 employees. Prior to that, he served as executive vice president and chief staff officer of Sprint Corp. As chief staff officer, he had executive responsibility for multiple functions, including strategy, corporate development, human resources, communications, brand management and security. Until 200 Mr. Hawthorne was a senior partner of the law firm of King & Spalding LLP, where his practice focused on corporate and securities law, corporate governance, mergers and acquisitions, and strategic joint ventures.

Mr. Hawthorne holds a B.B.A from the University of Michigan, an M.B.A. (Beta Gamma Sigma) from The University
Detroit and a J.D. (Order of the Coif) from Vanderbilt University, where he was the managing editor of the Vanderbilt La
Review. Admitted to the state bars of Virginia and Georgia, he is a member of the American Bar Association, the AB
Business Law Section s Federal Regulation of Securities Committee, and the Society of Corporate Secretaries and Governa
Professional

Brian J. Cuccias, Corporate Vice President and President, Ingalls Shipbuildin

Mr. Cuccias is Corporate Vice President and President of Ingalls Shipbuilding. Named to this position in 2014, he responsible for all programs and operations at Ingalls, including U.S. Navy destroyers, amphibious assault and surface combatant programs, and the U.S. Coast Guard cutter programs.

Mr. Cuccias began his shipbuilding career in 1979 with Litton Data Systems, where he served as a financial analyst on sever Navy programs, including amphibious assault ships and *Spruance*- and *Kidd*-class destroyers. Since then, he has held a numb of positions of increasing responsibility, including: assistant to the group vice president of Avondale Industries; sector vice president, material, for Northrop Grumman Ship Systems; program manager and vice president for Northrop Grumman s D 1000 program; and vice president, surface combatants, for Northrop Grumman Shipbuildin

Executive Compensation (Continue

which included Arleigh Burke-class (DDG 51) and Zumwalt-class (DDG 1000) destroyers and Coast Guard program

Most recently, Mr. Cuccias served as Ingalls vice president, program management, responsible for program execution financial performance for all ships produced by the shipyar

Mr. Cuccias earned a bachelor s degree in accounting from the University of South Alabama and has attended executed education programs at Harvard Business School and the University of Pennsylvania s Wharton School. He serves on the boot of directors for the Jackson County Economic Development Foundation

Irwin F. Edenzon, Corporate Vice President and President, Ingalls Shipbuilding, and Corporate Vice President, Speci Projects (Retire

Mr. Edenzon served as Corporate Vice President and President of Ingalls Shipbuilding from 2011 through April 1, 2014. Fro that time until his retirement from HII on December 1, 2014, he served as Corporate Vice President, Strategic Project

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYS

The following Compensation Discussion and Analysis, or CD&A, provides information relevant to understanding the 20 compensation of our Named Executive Officer

We design, build and manage the life-cycle of the most complex nuclear- and conventionally-powered ships for the U.S. Nav and U.S. Coast Guard. For more than a century, our Newport News and Ingalls shipbuilding divisions in Virginia and Mississippi have built more ships in more ship classes than any other U.S. naval shipbuilder. In addition, we provide engineering and project management services expertise to the commercial energy industry, the Department of Energy and oth government customers. Headquartered in Newport News, Virginia, we employ approximately 39,000 people operating both domestically and internationally. The goal of our executive compensation program is to support a pay-for-performance culture by implementing compensation programs that are market competitive, customer-focused and fair to our stockholder

Our 2014 Named Executive Officers (NEOs

C. Michael Petters President and Chief Executive Officer

Barbara A. Niland Corporate Vice President, Business Management and Chief Financial

Officer

Matthew J. Mulherin Corporate Vice President and President, Newport News Shipbuilding

livision

Bruce N. Hawthorne* Corporate Vice President, Chief Legal Officer

Brian J. Cuccias**

Corporate Vice President and President, Ingalls Shipbuilding

Irwin F. Edenzon***

Corporate Vice President, Strategic Projects (retired)

* Mr. Hawthorne served as Corporate Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary until January 12, 2015.

35

Executive Compensation (Continue

- ** Mr. Cuccias became Corporate Vice President and President, Ingalls Shipbuilding on April 1, 2014. Prior to that, he served as Vice President, Program Manager, Ingalls Shipbuilding.
- *** Mr. Edenzon served as Corporate Vice President and President, Ingalls Shipbuilding, until April 1, 2014, and then as Corporate Vice President, Strategic Projects, until his retirement from the company on December 1, 2014.

Executive Summa

Our executive compensation programs and practices are described in detail over the next several pages. We believe o compensation approach is balanced over both the short- and long-term and thereby mitigates risk taking behaviors at encourages our NEOs to focus on performance that has a positive impact on both our customers and stockholder.

Our compensation principles are based on significant reward opportunities for our NEOs if we achieve our financial at operational goals. The focus on performance is demonstrated in the compensation mix of our NEOs. For example, 96% Mr. Petters total direct compensation in 2014 was directly linked to our performance in the form of his annual and long-to incentive awards. Performance-based compensation also comprised a significant percentage of the total direct compensation our other NEOs; approximately 89% of their total direct compensation was comprised of performance-based incentive award Adherence to this underlying principle of pay for performance focuses us consistently on achieving our financial at operational goals. Above all, these goals are customer-focused, rewarding safety, quality, cost and schedule performance, as stockholder-friendly, rewarding consistent achievement of strong financial results and building or increasing stockholder value.

2014 Business Highligh

Since beginning operations as a stand-alone company four years ago, we have consistently communicated that the pillars of o performance are built around safety, quality, cost and schedule. We have also shared our vision of building a strong engineering and manufacturing enterprise by delivering the most capable and affordable military ships to our U.S. Navy at U.S. Coast Guard customers, as well as delivering excellence to our commercial energy and adjacent market customer including the Department of Energy. Our focus continues to be on creating value for all of our stakeholders, and our success directly linked to the contributions of our more than 39,000 employees across the world and their unfaltering commitment excellence and our Code of Ethics and Business Conduct. Below are some of our highlights from 201

Received \$10.1 billion in new contract awards, contributing to a \$3.4 billion increase in our total backlog as of the en of the year;

Achieved over \$6.9 billion in revenues, a 2% increase over the prior year, and generated \$338 million in net earnings representing a 30% increase over last year s results;

Continued our healthy trend in creating stockholder value, reporting \$6.86 diluted earnings per share, compared to \$5.18 in the prior year;

Repurchased \$138 million of our common stock while doubling our quarterly cash dividend to \$0.40 per share in the fourth quarter;

Utilized proceeds from the issuance of \$600 million aggregate principal amount of 5.000% senior notes due 2021 to repurchase our \$600 million aggregate principal amount of 6.875% senior notes due 2018;

36

Executive Compensation (Continue

Announced plans to offer on-site family healthcare centers exclusively designed for our Ingalls Shipbuilding and Newport News Shipbuilding employees and their families;

Christened and launched the submarine SSN-785 John Warner and National Security Cutter NSC-5 James;

Delivered the amphibious assault ship LHA-6 USS America;

Delivered the U.S. Coast Guard National Security Cutter NSC-4 Hamilton; and

Acquired The S.M. Stoller Corporation, renamed Stoller Newport News Nuclear, in the first quarter and UniversalPegasus International in the second quarter of 2014.

Commitment to Best Practices in Compensation

We highlight below certain executive compensation practices that we have implemented to drive performance, as well certain practices we have avoided, because we do not believe they would serve our customers or stockholders long-interest

What We I

Consideration of Annual Say-on-Pay Advisory Vote: The Compensation Committee considers the results of the annual stockholder Say-on-Pay advisory vote on executive compensation.

Pay for Performance: In 2014, the Compensation Committee awarded a significant majority of total direct compensation to NEOs in the form of variable compensation that is performance-based. Variable compensation is tie to the achievement of performance goals and includes annual incentive awards and restricted performance stock right which are described in detail in this CD&A.

Compensation Risk Assessment: We assess the risk of our compensation programs on an annual basis both internally and with the engagement of our independent compensation consultant. The Compensation Committee agreed with the consultant s conclusion that there was no undue risk associated with the design or delivery of the programs in 2014.

Executive Compensation Recoupment (Clawback Policy): Our executive compensation recoupment policy allows u to seek reimbursement of all or a portion of any performance-based short- or long-term cash or equity incentive

compensation paid or awarded to executive officers in certain circumstances.

Targeted Benchmarking: HII is a unique business with few direct competitors. The Compensation Committee must therefore strike a balance between internal equity and external benchmarking when setting executive pay levels.

Independent Compensation Consultant: The Compensation Committee engages an independent compensation consultant to assess the market for the determination of our executive compensation elements and targets on an annual and ongoing basis.

Executive Stock Ownership Guidelines and Holding Requirements: Our stock ownership guidelines provide that each NEO must own a multiple of his or her annual base salary in our common stock, and we have instituted holding requirements prohibiting our NEOs from selling

37

Executive Compensation (Continue

our stock until ownership requirements have been met. The holding requirements also require our NEOs to continue thold a portion of their long-term incentive awards for an additional three years after they vest.

Director Stock Ownership Guidelines and Holding Requirements: Our directors do not have specific stock ownership guidelines, but they historically have been required to hold all stock they receive as compensation until the no longer serve on our Board. Beginning in January 2015, however, non-employee directors who have attained a stoc ownership level of at least five times the amount of their annual cash retainer may elect, on an annual basis, to receive their annual equity award directly in shares of our common stock or stock units that are payable in the fifth calendar year after the year in which the annual equity award is earned (or, if earlier, upon termination of the director s board service).

What We Don t

No Employment Agreements: None of our NEOs have employment agreements, so each NEO is employed by the company at will. We maintain a severance plan that provides for the payment of severance benefits in limited termination situations.

No Change-in-Control Agreements: We do not provide change-in-control agreements or related executive tax gross-up benefits. The only change-in-control provision in our compensation plans, which applies to all employees who hold equity awards, is the possible acceleration of equity vesting in certain limited circumstances within the parameters of a change-in-control transaction, as defined in the related plans.

No Hedging or Pledging by Officers or Directors Permitted: Officers, directors and certain other employees are prohibited from engaging in speculative transactions in our securities, pledging our securities as collateral for a loan other transaction or engaging in any hedging transactions involving our securities.

No Dividends or Dividend Equivalents on Unearned RPSRs: No dividends or dividend equivalents are paid out on RPSRs during the performance period. Dividend equivalent units are paid only at the time and to the extent that the underlying shares are vested and paid.

Executive Compensation Principl

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (which we refer to as the Committee in this CD&A) is comp exclusively of independent directors, as determined under NYSE corporate governance listing standards, including the enhanced independence considerations applicable to members of the Committee. The Committee oversees all of o compensation and benefit programs that affect our NEOs, as well as other officers elected by the Board of Directors. The Committee also provides strategic direction for our total compensation and benefits structure and reviews and considers CE and senior executive succession plans. In conducting oversight of these programs, the Committee is guided by the following compensation principles it has approve

Our compensation programs will be primarily customer-focused, rewarding safety, quality, cost and schedule performance, and stockholder friendly, rewarding consistent achievement of strong financial results and building or increasing stockholder value.

Compensation programs will be designed to influence outcomes and will provide a balance between short- and long-term performances.

38

Executive Compensation (Continue

Compensation programs will be based on achievement of clear and measurable financial results and accountabilities, with an emphasis on performance-based compensation, and will be formula-based with appropriate levels of discretic for adjustments.

Compensation and benefits will be competitive within the market. Alignment with peer companies will be considered when developing programs and goals, but measures oriented to strongly improving our own business results will be the predominant factor.

Compensation will be disclosed and explained in a transparent and understandable manner. Clear and concise goals will be established to enable the assessment of performance by the Committee and by stockholders through the CD&A.

Achievement of business goals through both annual operating performance and increased stockholder value will produce significant individual rewards; failure to achieve business goals will negatively affect the pay of our executives.

To promote alignment of management and stockholder interests, elected officers will meet stock ownership guideline in the following multiples of base salary: CEO and President, seven times; all other elected officers reporting to the CEO, three times. The Committee will monitor attainment of the ownership guidelines on an annual basis. Board members have historically been required to hold stock awards for the duration of their service on the Board. Beginning in January 2015, however, non-employee directors who have attained a stock ownership level of at least five times the amount of their annual cash retainer may elect, on an annual basis, to receive their annual equity award directly in shares of our common stock or stock units that are payable in the fifth calendar year after the year in which the annual equity award is earned (or, if earlier, upon termination of the director s board service).

The mix of long-term awards, selection of performance criteria and oversight of compensation programs, together wi other features such as our stock ownership guidelines and holding requirements, will be designed to discourage excessive risk taking by emphasizing a long-term focus on compensation and financial performance.

Our NEO compensation strategy will be consistently applied for all incentive plan participants to promote proper alignment, accountability and line-of-sight regarding commitments and priorities.

2014 Key Compensation Decision

Key compensation decisions for our NEOs for 2014 included the followin

With the exception of Mr. Petters, base salaries for our NEOs were increased, based on analyses of market and peer company data, in an effort to maintain market competitiveness.

Targets as a percentage of base salary for potential payouts under our Annual Incentive Plan were not increased in 2014. Based on our performance for 2014, as well as the individual performances of our NEOs, payout awards were approved at levels that ranged from 149% to 167% of targets.

Targets as a percentage of base salary for long-term incentive awards were not increased in 2014. All long-term incentive awards were in the form of restricted performance stock rights, which vest only upon achievement of performance metrics consisting of pension adjusted

39

Executive Compensation (Continue

return on invested capital (ROIC) and earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization and pension (EBITDAP) over the performance period from January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2016.

Pay for Performan

Our compensation principles and pay-for-performance culture are designed to attract and retain key talent and incentivize the as they strive to meet or exceed both customer and stockholder expectations. As such, we provide significant rewa opportunities to our NEOs if we achieve our financial and operational goals. Above all, these goals are customer-focuse rewarding achievement of safety, quality, cost and schedule goals, and stockholder-friendly, rewarding consistent achieveme of strong financial results and building or increasing stockholder value.

This focus on performance is demonstrated in the compensation mix of our NEOs. For example, 96% of Mr. Petters total discompensation in 2014 was linked directly to our performance in the form of his annual and long-term incentive awards. Performance-based compensation also comprised approximately 89% of the total compensation of our other NEO Performance-based awards are balanced over the short- and long-term, incentivizing behaviors that promote stockholder value while mitigating risk taking behaviors and encouraging our NEOs to focus on performance that has a positive impact on o customers and stockholder.

The design of our incentive plans also supports our pay-for-performance principles. Exceeding our financial and operation targets can result in a payout of up to 200% of the original award target, while failure to meet our targets can result in a payouts of incentive compensation to NEOs under our plan

2014 Say-on-Pay Advisory Vote on Executive Compens

Consistent with our goal to support a pay for performance culture by implementing compensation programs that are mark competitive, customer-focused and fair to our stockholders, we seek the views of our stockholders on the design, effectivene and results of our executive compensation programs.

At our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, our stockholders approved our executive compensation on an advisory basis wi 99% of the votes cast. This strong support, among other factors, resulted in the Committee not making any significant chang to our executive compensation program

Retention of Independent Compensation Consulta

The Committee continued in 2014 to use an independent compensation consultant, Exequity LLP, to assist in performing obligations specified in the Committee is charter. Under the terms of the agreement between the Committee and the consultant the Committee has the exclusive right to select, retain and terminate Exequity, as well as to approve any fees, terms or oth conditions of Exequity is service. Exequity reports directly to the Committee. When directed to do so by the Committee, consultant also works collaboratively with the company is management to develop analyses and proposals for presentation the Committee. The Committee reviews the performance of the independent consultant on an annual basis and determine whether to continue the relationships.

Exequity attended several Committee meetings during 2014 and presented analyses and key recommendations for Committee consideration, discussed emerging trends and technical issues and reviewed and commented on management proposals, appropriate. In addition, the consultant responded to miscellaneous Committee request

40

Executive Compensation (Continue

Compensation Consultant Independence Assessme

In accordance with SEC rules and NYSE listing standards, the Committee requested and received information from Exequi relating to the consultant s independence and potential conflicts of interest, including information regarding the follow (1) other services provided to us by the consultant; (2) fees paid by us as a percentage of the consulting firm s total rever (3) policies or procedures maintained by the consulting firm designed to prevent a conflict of interest; (4) any business personal relationships between the individual consultants involved in the engagement and a member of the Committee; (5) as company stock owned by the individual consultants involved in the engagement; and (6) any business or personal relationshi between our executive officers and the consulting firm or the individual consultants involved in the engagement

Based on an assessment of these factors, including information gathered from our directors and executive officers relating business or personal relationships with the consulting firm or the individual consultants, the Committee concluded that t consultant is independent and that the work of the consultant did not raise any conflict of intere

Peer Group Developme

The Committee believes that our total compensation program (base salary, target annual incentive awards, target long-ter incentive award values and benefits) should, in the aggregate, provide compensation at approximately the 50th percentile in t relevant market. Based on an analysis conducted by Exequity and reviewed with the Committee, the Committee determine that our NEO base pay generally falls at or below the median and annual and long-term incentive payments are generally in the third or fourth quartile among the peer companies, which is consistent with both management s and the Committee s philos that a significant portion of an executive s overall compensation package should be based on the performance of the compa

HII is a unique business with few direct peers. Data was therefore collected from peer companies with annual revenue general between \$1 billion and \$10 billion that most closely match our company in terms of market cap, strategic interest, workfor similarities and/or business type. The median annual revenue for peer group companies was \$5.5 billion, which is below o 2014 revenue of \$6.9 billion. The targeted peer group for our 2014 NEO compensation analysis consisted of the following companie

Alliant Techsystems Inc. The Babcock & Wilcox Company Exelis Inc. Federal-Mogul Corporation Harris Corporation

L-3 Communications Holdings Inc.

Moog Inc. NRG Energy, Inc. Oshkosh Corporation Precision Castparts Corp. Rockwell Collins, Inc. Chicago Bridge & Iron Company

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. Teledyne Technologies Incorporated

Triumph Group, Inc. **URS** Corporation

As a result of ongoing monitoring and analysis of peer and potential peer companies, the Committee has decided to modify the peer group. The following changes have been made to our peer group for the 2015 plan year

URS has been removed as a result of its merger with AECOM Technology Corp.;

41

Executive Compensation (Continue

Alliant Techsystems Inc. has been replaced with Orbital ATK Inc., the new combined company resulting from the merger of Alliant and Orbital Sciences Corp.; and

KBR Inc. has been added.

All other peer companies will remain the sam

Compensation Risk Assessme

The Board actively oversees our overall risk profile, including the potential risk posed by our compensation programs. During the third quarter of 2014, a risk assessment and analysis of our executive compensation programs was conducted by Exequity Their findings confirmed that our executive compensation programs are designed to drive a pay-for-performance culture and continuous risks that are likely to have a material adverse impact on the company. The Committee reviewed and discussed the risk analysis with the consultant and agreed with the consultant s conclusion.

The following key factors are components of our executive compensation risk mitigation effort

Our stock ownership guidelines and additional stock holding requirement of three years from the date of payout, including any payout that occurs within one year of retirement, provide a strong risk mitigation tool;

Our clawback policy applies to all employees at the level of Vice President and above, allowing us to recoup incentive payments in the event of restated financial results;

Our lack of employment agreements discourages management entrenchment and increases our flexibility to make management changes when appropriate;

Long-term incentive maximum payouts are capped at 200%, preventing possible windfall awards resulting from unforeseen circumstances; and

Long-term incentive awards are earned over a three-year performance period and reduce any incentive to engage in business tactics that improve short-term performance at the expense of long-term success.

Creation of Annual Incentive Plan (AIP) and Long-Term Incentive Stock Plan (LTIP) Goa

The Committee approved financial metrics under our AIP for the corporate office, while the Committee approved combination of financial and operational metrics for each of our two major operating divisions. The guiding principle behind a of our performance metrics is that they drive the desired outcomes to promote customer satisfaction and increase stockhold

valu

Division operational goals based on clear and measurable results were developed collaboratively between division are corporate management. Operational goals fall into the categories of safety, quality, cost and schedule, with a fifth categories oriented to goals focused on division-specific challenges and opportunities, which may change from year to year

The Committee approved goals for the LTIP to reward performance in three-year increments. The performance metrics for a participants under the LTIP for 2014 were ROIC and EBITDA

42

Executive Compensation (Continue

2014 Elements of Compensation

Compensation elements for executives consist of base salaries, annual incentives and long-term incentives. Annual base salar provides a fixed level of compensation that is competitive within the relevant market and helps us attract and retain high qualified executives. Annual incentives are generally paid in cash and intended to provide motivation to executives to achieve pre-determined financial and operational targets that are clearly aligned with our strategic goals. Long-term incentives a generally equity-based and intended to promote achievement of pre-determined performance goals over a three-year perior

Base Salari

2014 Appus

With the exception of Mr. Petters, 2014 base salaries for our NEOs were increased from 2013 in an effort to maintain mark competitiveness. The increases were based on management s recommendations and analyses of market and peer company conducted by our independent compensation consultant. Mr. Petters received an increase in base salary in 201

Base salaries for our NEOs for 2014 were as follow

		Salary
Name	Title	(\$)
C. Michael Petters	President and Chief Executive Officer	950,000
Barbara A. Niland	Corporate Vice President, Business Management and Chief Financial Officer	566,500
Matthew J. Mulherin	Corporate Vice President and President, Newport News Shipbuilding	515,000
Bruce N. Hawthorne	Corporate Vice President and Chief Legal Officer	515,000
Brian J. Cuccias	Corporate Vice President and President, Ingalls Shipbuilding	400,000
Irwin F. Edenzon	Corporate Vice President, Strategic Projects (retired)	515,000
	A	nnual Incentiv

The Committee approves annual incentive compensation targets for each NEO position. Targets vary with relevant benchma market levels, individual job level, job scope and overall influence on our business results. The Committee considers both the recommendations of its compensation consultant and those of management in determining appropriate annual incentive targe levels for our NEOs. The target incentive award (Target Bonus) is based on a percentage of each NEO s base salary and the year has ended, provides a basis upon which a final award amount is determined by the Committee based on its assessme of performance against pre-determined performance criteria. Overall, our NEOs target cash bonus opportunities expressed appropriate annual incentive target target cash bonus opportunities expressed appropriate annual incentive target target cash bonus opportunities expressed appropriate annual incentive target target target cash bonus opportunities expressed appropriate annual incentive target t

2014 Annual Incentive Pla

Target Bonuses for Mr. Petters, Ms. Niland, Mr. Hawthorne and Mr. Edenzon for 2014 were evaluated based on overa company performance, which includes operating margin and free cash flow, using our company performance factor (CPF well as an individual performance factor (IPF). Achievement of the maximum performance criteria will result in a CPF f of 2.0. If the CPF is less than 0.5, 1

43

Executive Compensation (Continue

annual incentive bonus is paid. The IPF can range from 0 to 1.5. No annual incentive bonus can exceed 200% of an individual Target Bonus. The annual incentive formula for the 2014 performance period was

Base Salary x Target % = Target Bon

Target Bonus x CPF x IPF = Final Bonus Awa

2014 Target Bonuses for Messrs. Mulherin and Cuccias were evaluated based on their respective division performance fact (DPF), which includes operating margin and free cash flow, as well as their respective non-financial goals, which includes operating margin and free cash flow, as well as their respective non-financial goals, which includes a safety, quality, cost and schedule, and an IPF. Achievement of the maximum performance criteria will result in a factor of 2. No annual incentive bonus will be payable if CPF with reference to the corporate metrics is less than 0.5. The IPF can range from 0 to 1.5. No annual incentive bonus can exceed 200% of an individual s Target Bonus. The annual incentive formula the 2014 performance period was

Base Salary x Target % = Target Bon

Target Bonus x DPF x IPF = Final Bonus Awa

At the conclusion of each calendar year, our CEO conducts an annual performance evaluation for each NEO in order recommend an IPF for each NEO. These evaluations are reviewed with the Committee. Each NEO s individual performance evaluated based upon consideration of the following factors.

Financial performance of our company as a whole and the division(s) (where applicable);

Performance on non-financial goals, including company-level goals and division-specific operating goals;

Strategic leadership and vision;

Program execution and performance;

Customer relationships; and

Peer and employee relationships/engagement.

At the end of the year, the Committee reviews and considers all performance information, including a comparison to the 201 peer group data. The Committee approves bonus amounts for all NEOs, subject to ratification by the independent members the Board with respect to the CEO s compensation.

44

Executive Compensation (Continue

Target Bonus Amoun

Based on analyses of relevant market benchmarks and peer group data, we did not increase the target incentives as a percentage of base salary for our NEOs from 2013 to 2014. The 2014 Target Bonus amounts were as follow

		Target	
		Incentive as	2014
		% of Base	Target
		Salary	Bonus
Executive	Title	(%)	(\$)
C. Michael Petters	President and Chief Executive Officer	125	1,187,500
Barbara A. Niland	Corporate Vice President, Business Management and Chief Financial Officer	70	396,550
Matthew J. Mulherin	Corporate Vice President and President, Newport News Shipbuilding	70	360,500
Bruce N. Hawthorne	Corporate Vice President and Chief Legal Officer	70	360,500
Brian J. Cuccias	Corporate Vice President and President, Ingalls Shipbuilding	70	280,000
Irwin F. Edenzon	Corporate Vice President, Strategic Projects (retired)	70	360,500
			Plan Fundi

Our AIP is designed to comply with the performance-based compensation exception under Section 162(m) of the Intern Revenue Code of 1986. As such, in order to establish performance-based parameters for the payment of annual incentives, the Committee approved a bonus pool that appropriates an amount (Tentative Appropriated Incentive Compensation) not to expense 2.5% of our Economic Earnings for the performance year. Economic Earnings is defined as income from continuing operate before federal and foreign income taxes and the cumulative effect of accounting changes and extraordinary items, *less* pension income (or plus pension expense) *plus* amortization and impairment of goodwill and other purchased intangible assets *pla* restructuring or similar charges to the extent they are separately disclosed in the annual report. Our performance-based policiporovides that the maximum potential individual incentive compensation award for a performance year for an officer subject Section 162(m) will be limited to no more than 40% of the Tentative Appropriated Incentive Compensation for the CEO, at 20% for each of the other covered NEOs other than our CFO.

2014 Annual Incentive Plan Goals and Resul

For Mr. Petters, Ms. Niland, Mr. Hawthorne and Mr. Edenzon, the 2014 Target Bonus calculation was based on overa company performance. For Messrs. Mulherin and Cuccias, the 2014 Target Bonus calculations were based on the performance of the Ingalls division and the Newport News division, respectivel

The corporate AIP score is based on our overall financial score as described below. Division performance is based 75% on the division operational score and 25% on the division financial score. In determining the earnout percentage for AIP awards for Messrs. Mulherin and Cuccias, the performance criteria are weighted as follows:

75% based on achievement of the operational division criteria.

45

Executive Compensation (Continue

The operational division criteria consist of the following five performance metrics, which are weighted equally (*i.e.*, each sub-metric comprises 20% of the operational division criteria). These criteria are recommended by our CEO and approved to the Committee at the beginning of the performance year and are specific to the respective division

Safety Measured by a combination of elements, such as total case rate, lost total case rate and lost work days rate;

Quality Measured by integrating elements such as defect rates, process quality, planning quality and other appropriate criteria for program type and phase;

Schedule Measured using program-specific objectives related to achievement of quarterly targets;

Cost Measured using program-specific objectives related to achievement of factors such as Cost Performanc Index and overhead rates; and

Division Management May be focused on operational or financial goals or may be focused on environmenta human capital or any other area where our CEO wishes to drive performance. Goals recommended by our CE and approved by the Committee at the beginning of the performance year are specific to each division s opportunities and challenges.

12.5% based on achievement of the return on sales (ROS) performance criteria

ROS performance criteria Measured as operating margin (OM) before other post-employment benefits and FAS/CAS net pension expense.

12.5% based on achievement of the free cash flow (FCF) performance criteria

FCF performance criteria Measured as FCF before discretionary pension and excess cash flow.

All of the metrics for our NEOs are recommended by our CEO and then approved by the Committee

AIP goals are plan-based, with minimum and maximum limits based on clear targets connected to our strategic goals. The goal are based on metrics that are customer focused and stockholder friendly with clear line-of-sight financial and operation metrics. Performance metrics for 2014 were as follows

Corporate~2014~Metrics~for~Mr.~Petters, Ms.~Niland, Mr.~Hawthorne~and~Mr.~Edenzon

	Goals @	Goals @	Goals @				Final AI
Corporate 2014 Metrics	0%	100%	200%	Actual	Points	Weighting	Points
OM% Performance (%)	7.77	8.03	9.18	8.41	133	50%	67
FCF Performance (\$M)	356	396	486	703	200	50%	100
Total Performance (CPF)							167

46

Executive Compensation (Continue

NN 2014 Metrics for Mr. Mulherin

NN 2014 Metrics	Goals @ 0%	Goals @ 100%	Goals @ 200%	Actual	Points	Weighting	Final AI Points
Operational Metrics						8 8	
Operational Performance (Safety, Quality, Cost,							
Schedule, Site GM Specific)		100	200	121	121	75%	91
Financial Metrics							
OM% Performance (%)	9.00	9.10	9.50	9.15	113	12.5%	14
FCF Performance (\$M)	261	281	301	428	200	12.5%	25
Financial Performance						25%	39
Total Performance (DPF)							130

Ingalls 2014 Metrics for Mr. Cuccias

Ingalls 2014 Metrics	Goals @ 0%	Goals @ 100%	Goals @ 200%	Actual	Points	Weighting	Final AI Points
Operational Metrics							
Operational Performance (Safety, Quality, Cost,							
Schedule, Site GM Specific)		100	200	148	148	75%	111
Financial Metrics							
OM% Performance (%)	7.50	8.00	8.50	10.02	200	12.5%	25
FCF Performance (\$M)	145	165	185	285	200	12.5%	25
Financial Performance						25%	50
Total Performance (DPF)							161

Actual payouts under the AIP could have ranged from 0% to 200% of each NEO s target incentive award based on the result the performance factors described above, in addition to individual performance, applied and considered at the discretion of the Committee. Pursuant to the plan, the HII CEO recommended to the Committee a downward adjustment of his actual A payout to reflect accountability for overall 2014 operational results. Bonus payouts for 2014 performance are included in the Summary Compensation Table

Long-Term Incentiv

Our long-term incentive goals are intended to reward three-year performance increments based primarily on the achievement financial metrics. Long-term incentive compensation for our NEOs is provided in the form of Restricted Performance Store

Rights (RPS)

The Committee determines long-term incentive compensation target award values for our NEOs based on the peer grou analysis, applying value-based guidelines that focus on the value awarded rather than the number of shares granted (share-based guidelines). The Committee believes that value-based guidelines more effectively deliver target opportunities that are consisted with awards given to individuals holding comparable positions at peer companies.

2014 Long-Term Incentive Awar

Long-term incentive awards in 2014 were granted under our stockholder-approved Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc. 2012 Long Term Incentive Stock Plan (the 2012 Plan). All long-term incentive awards granted to our NEOs in 2014 were in the of RPSRs and cover the performance period from 2014 through 2016. The Committee and management review and evalua RPSR performance goals

47

Executive Compensation (Continue

ensure they are aligned with our long-term objectives. For the 2014 grants, the Committee and management determined the for our NEOs, performance for long-term incentive awards would be measured solely in terms of overall company financimetrics, pension adjusted return on invested capital (ROIC) and earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization pension (EBITDAP). Shares that ultimately vest and pay out to an NEO under an RPSR award can vary from 0% to 200 the original number of shares grante

Long-term incentive targets as a percentage of base salary for our NEOs for 2014 were unchanged from the 2013 targets at were approved by the Committee as follow

		Target		
		Incentive	2014 Long	
		as % of	Term	
		Base	Incentive	
Executive	Title	Salary (%)	Target (\$)	
C. Michael Petters	President and Chief Executive Officer	400	3,800,000	
Barbara A. Niland	Corporate Vice President, Business Management and Chief Financial Officer	220	1,210,000	
Matthew J. Mulherin	Corporate Vice President and President, Newport News Shipbuilding	210	1,050,000	
Bruce N. Hawthorne	Corporate Vice President and Chief Legal Officer	175	875,000	
Brian J. Cuccias	Corporate Vice President and President, Ingalls Shipbuilding	210	840,000	
Irwin F. Edenzon	Corporate Vice President, Strategic Projects (retired)	210	1,050,000	

The dollar targets are grant date theoretical values, and are not based on any actual calculation or estimate of payout. There no guarantee that an NEO will receive any payout as a result of the 2014 grant grant date theoretical values, and are not based on any actual calculation or estimate of payout. There no guarantee that an NEO will receive any payout as a result of the 2014 grant grant date theoretical values, and are not based on any actual calculation or estimate of payout. There

With respect to stock grants issued by the company, dividend equivalent units (DEUs) are credited to RPSR grants made in after 2012. These DEUs remain subject to the terms and conditions of the underlying grants and will not be paid out until a unless the underlying shares vest and the related performance parameters of the grant have been me

2012-2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan Goals and Result

During the first quarter of each year, the Committee reviews our financial performance over the prior three years again approved long-term incentive goals to determine payout multiples for RPSRs with a performance period that ended to December 31 of the prior years.

At the February 2015 meeting, the Committee reviewed performance for the January 1, 2012 through December 31, 20 performance period. Payouts for the 2012 LTIP equity grants, based on actual performance, were approved as follow

HII 2012-2014 Goals			Actual Performance (Adj.)					
0%	100%	200%	Actual	Score	Weighting	CPF		

OM (\$M) (1)	1,426	1,524	1,622	1,629	200	50%	100°
FCF (\$M) (2)	590	740	890	758	112	50%	56°
Total							156

48

Executive Compensation (Continue

- (1) Cumulative operating margin before other post-employment benefits and FAS/CAS net pension expense, adjusted for an increase in workers compensation expense resulting from a lower assumed discount rate and expenses relating to the impact of Hurricane Isaac.
- (2) Cumulative free cash flow before discretionary pension and excess cash flow, adjusted for changes to corporate office expenses, internal work orders and stand-alone expense plan assumptions.

The 2012 LTIP awards were earned at 156% of target, and the earned RPSRs were issued on February 23, 201

Additional Benefi

We provide additional benefits to our NEOs through various plans that are also available to some or all of our other employee Although these plans are not directly overseen by the Committee, the Committee considers these plans when reviewing a executive s total annual compensation and determining the annual and long-term compensation components. These benefits not performance-related and are designed to provide a market competitive package to attract and retain the executive talent variety need to achieve our business objectives. The plans include broad-based retirement plans, as well as supplemental executive benefits we provide in addition to those offered to all other employees. These supplemental benefits include supplement pension plans, enhanced health and welfare benefits (dental, life, AD&D and disability) and the Special Officers Retirement Plans (SORMP) for our CEO that is offered at retirement plans (SORMP) for our CEO that is offered at retirement plans (SORMP) for our CEO that is offered at retirement plans (SORMP) and disability (SORMP) that is offered at retirement plans (SORMP) the supplemental plans (SORMP) is offered at retirement plans (SORMP) and disability (SORMP) that is offered at retirement plans (SORMP) that is offered at retirement plans (SORMP) is offered at retirement plans (SORMP) and disability (SORMP) is offered at retirement plans (SORMP) is offered at retirement plans (SORMP) and disability (SORMP) is offered at retirement plans (SORMP) is offered at retirement plans (SORMP) is offered at retirement plans (SORMP) is offered to supplement the supplemental plans (SORMP) is offered to supplemental plans (SORMP) is offer

Defined Benefit Retirement Plans. We maintain tax-qualified defined benefit plans that cover all but one of our NEOs and the majority of our workforce. These plans are structured to retain and reward employees for long service and to recognize high performance levels evidenced by annual pay increases. Compensation, age and service factor into the amount of the benefit provided under the plans.

We also maintain non-tax-qualified supplemental defined benefit plans that cover all but one of our NEOs. These plans provide benefits that would have been provided under the tax-qualified plans if no limits applied under the Internal Revenue Cod.

These types of benefits are common in our industry.

Defined Contribution Savings Plans. We maintain tax-qualified defined contribution savings plans that cover our NEOs at the majority of our workforce. Participating employees contribute amounts from their pay to the plans, and the comparing generally provides a matching contribution

We also maintain two non-tax-qualified supplemental savings plans that cover all eligible employees, including our NEOs. The Savings Excess Plan (SEP) allows eligible employees to defer compensation beyond the limits of the tax-qualified plans receive a matching contribution. Eligibility begins when annual income, including base pay and bonuses, equals or exceed \$255,000, the plan compensation limit under the Internal Revenue Code. Eligible employees may participate in the plan in the calendar year after their total cash income meets or exceeds this limits to the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of the contribution.

The Officers Retirement Account Contribution Plan (ORAC) covers the company s elected and appointed officers hired after July 1, 2008, who are not eligible for defined benefit retirement plans. Enrollment is automatic, and the company contributes an amount equal to 4% of the officer s eligible compensation. Because he was hired after June 30, 20 Mr. Hawthorne is not eligible to participate in the company s qualified and nonqualified pension plans, but he does receive SEP and ORAC benefits described above; our other NEOs do not receive ORAC benefits. Additional information about the

SEP and the ORAC is provided in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation tab

49

Executive Compensation (Continue

Special Officer Retiree Medical Plan. Our President and Chief Executive Officer is a participant in the SORMP and entitled retiree medical benefits pursuant to the terms of the SORMP. This coverage is essentially a continuation of medical benefit into retirement, plus retiree life insurance. Additional information about the SORMP is provided below in the Retiree Medical Arrangement section in the Potential Payments upon Termination or Change of Control section and related tables.

Perquisites. Our NEOs are eligible for certain executive perquisites, which include financial planning, dental coverage physical exams, personal liability insurance and relocation benefits. These perquisites are common within our industry at comprise an important component of our total compensation package. We and the Committee review perquisites and benefit on an annual basis to ensure they are appropriate

Severance Benefits. We and the Committee believe that severance benefits are appropriate, for a reasonable amount of tim following certain terminations of employment, including a termination without cause. In addition to providing a severance pla for all employees, we maintain The Severance Plan for Elected and Appointed Officers of Huntington Ingalls Industries

Benefits under this plan for our NEOs include the followin

Lump Sum Cash Severance Payment A lump sum equal to one and one half (1.5) times the sum of annual base salar and Target Bonus;

Extension of Medical and Dental Benefits The employer portion of medical and dental insurance premiums for a per of 18 months following the date of termination (concurrent with COBRA);

Financial Planning Reimbursement Eligible expenses incurred prior to the date of termination and eligible expenses incurred in the year in which the date of termination occurs up to a maximum of \$15,000, and any fees incurred in the year following the year in which the date of termination occurs up to a maximum of \$15,000; and

Outplacement Services Reimbursement Fees incurred within one year after the date of termination (limited to 15% obsessalary as of the date of termination).

Additional Compensation Polici

Total Compensation Tally Sh

Management provides the Committee with a total compensation and benefits perspective for each NEO, which includes a tal sheet that captures each element of total compensation and benefits. In conjunction with the Committee s review of N compensation compared to the company s peer group, this tally sheet ensures that compensation decisions are made with total compensation framework. The tally sheet provides a broad perspective that covers normal annual compensation components, as well as an annualized value of the benefits and perquisites each NEO receives. Accordingly, the values nonqualified deferred compensation, outstanding equity awards, health and welfare benefits, pension benefits and perquisite are include

Tax Deductibility of Pay Section 162

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code generally limits the deductibility of non-performance based compensation \$1,000,000 each for a public company s CEO and its next three highest-paid executive officers (other than the CFO). We int to qualify our executive compensation f

50

Executive Compensation (Continue

deductibility under Section 162(m) to the extent consistent with the best interests of the company and its stockholders. As suc we strive to make compensation decisions to ensure we maintain market competitiveness and retain critical executives. Suc considerations may result in compensation amounts that are non-deductible under Section 162(m)

Grant Date for Equity Awar

Our annual grant cycle for equity awards occurs simultaneously with salary increases and annual incentive awards. We expethis to occur in the first two months of each year. This timing allows management and the Committee to make decisions on three compensation components at the same time to promote a total compensation review

Stock Ownership Guidelin

To promote ownership of our common stock and alignment of management and stockholder interests, we have adopted stock ownership guidelines that prescribe pre-determined stock ownership levels for certain executives, expressed as a multiple of the executive s base salary. The stock ownership guidelines include the following ownership levels.

President and CEO 7 times base salary;

Elected officers reporting directly to the President and CEO 3 times base salary; and

Other elected, appointed and other officers 1.5 times base salary.

The ownership guidelines may be satisfied in one or more of the following way

Direct ownership of our common stock;

Restricted Stock Rights (RSRs) (vested or unvested) granted in the form of restricted stock units and issued as par long-term incentive award; or

Equivalent shares held in our savings plan (401(k) plan).

As of December 31, 2014, the percentage of stock ownership target attained by each NEO was as follow

Name Title Target Attained
C. Michael Petters President and Chief Executive Officer 7 x salary 3159

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 11-K

Barbara A. Niland	Corporate Vice President, Business Management and Chief Financial Officer	3 x salary	4589
Matthew J. Mulherin	Corporate Vice President and President, Newport News Shipbuilding	3 x salary	4719
Bruce N. Hawthorne	Corporate Vice President and Chief Legal Officer	3 x salary	1309
Brian J. Cuccias	Corporate Vice President and President, Ingalls Shipbuilding	3 x salary	2899
Irwin F. Edenzon*	Corporate Vice President, Strategic Projects (retired)	3 x salary	2329

As a result of Mr. Edenzon s retirement on December 1, 2014, he is no longer subject to stock ownership requirements. other NEOs are prohibited from selling shares of our common stock until ownership requirements have been met.

51

Executive Compensation (Continue

Stock Holding Requireme

In connection with the stock ownership guidelines, we have adopted stock holding requirements for our NEOs for grants may in or after 2010. Under the holding requirements, our NEOs must hold at least 50% of the total number of shares of o common stock received as compensation until the earlier of (a) the third anniversary of the date such shares are issued or (b) the date that employment terminates due to death or disability. The holding requirement continues upon termination or retirement or one-year period after separation from the company and applies to any stock vesting in that one-year period. Stock vesting or options exercised after the one-year anniversary of retirement or termination are not subject to the holding requirement.

Executive Compensation Recoupme

The Committee has adopted the Policy Regarding the Recoupment of Certain Performance-Based Compensation Payment (clawback policy), which requires reimbursement of all or a portion of any performance-based short- or long-term call equity incentive payments to an employee at the vice president or more senior position level, as required under the Dodd-Frank Act or any other clawback provision required by law or the corporate governance listing standards of the NYSE we (i) restatement of financial results is necessitated by noncompliance with any financial reporting requirement under the securities laws and (ii) payment of such amounts was received by the employee during the 12-month period following the financial results that were subsequently restated. The clawback policy is in addition to any right to repayment we may have under Section 304 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act and other applicable laws and does not limit oth available remedies, including dismissing an employee or initiating other disciplinary procedures.

Trading Restrictions and Policy against Hedging and Pledgin

Our insider trading policy prohibits directors, officers and employees from buying or selling securities of any company while possession of material non-public information about that company, subject to certain limited exceptions involving pre-arrange trading plans, and from providing such material non-public information to any person who may trade on the basis of such information. This policy also prohibits officers, directors and certain employees from engaging in speculative transactions company securities, pledging company securities as collateral for a loan or other transaction or engaging in any hedging transactions involving company securities, including zero cost collar transactions and forward sale contracts. Directors, office and certain employees may engage in permitted transactions in our securities only during certain specified periods and after receiving authorization from our Office of the General Counse

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPOR

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management this Compensation Discussion and Analysis an based on such review and discussion, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and incorporated by reference into the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the state of the proxy statement and incorporated by reference into the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the state of t

Submitted by members of the Compensation Committee

Paul D. Miller, Cha

Thomas B. Far

Victoria D. Hark

52

Executive Compensation (Continue

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION TABLE

2014 Summary Compensation Tab

The following table summarizes the prior three years compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, three other executive officers who were employed by us as of December 31, 2014, and one other executive officer who retire in 2014. We refer to these persons as our Named Executive Officer

Summary Compensation Table

		Salary	Stock Awards	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	Change in Pension Value	All Other Compensation	Total
Name & Principal Position	Year	(\$)(1)	(\$)(2)	(\$)(3)	(\$)(4)	(\$)(5)	(\$)
C. Michael Petters,	2014		3,799,909	1,844,306	6,853,403	50,580	13,498,19
President and	2013		3,599,983		2,592,852		9,147,59
Chief Executive Officer	2012	900,000	4,499,986	1,983,125	5,424,302	31,254	12,874,78
				2,025,000		25,499	
Barbara A. Niland,	2014	,-		662,239		64,472	6,898,39
Corporate Vice President,	2013		1,209,998		1,752,179		
Business Management and Chief Financial Officer	2012	550,000	1,209,965	642,950	4,010,029	62,728	4,217,8
				693,000		68,138	6,531,13
Matthew J. Mulherin, Corporate Vice President	2013	512,115 500,000	1,049,955 1,049,970	538,948	2,023,150	59,923	4,184,09
and President, Newport News Shipbuilding	2012	500,000	999,977	551,250	755,953	28,735	2,885,9
				577,500	2,105,559	63,351	4,246,3
Bruce N. Hawthorne, Corporate Vice President,	2013	512,115 500,000	874,963	602,035	0	170,898	2,160,0
Chief Legal Officer	2012	500,000	874,960	584,500	0	175,319	2,134,7
			874,975	630,000	0	146,601	2,151,5
Brian Cuccias, Corporate Vice President and President, Ingalls Shipbuilding (6)	2014	376,677	839,945	468,832	589,442	25,541	2,300,43
Irwin F. Edenzon, Corporate Vice President,	2013	,	1,049,970	602,035	1,499,066	71,998	3,754,9
Strategic Projects (retired)	2012	500,000	999,977	549,500	760,817	70,957	2,931,24
				595,000	1,412,298	70,249	3,577,52

- (1) The amounts in this column represent salary earned and include amounts deferred under the savings and nonqualified deferred compensation plans.
- (2) The dollar value shown in this column is equal to the grant date fair value of equity awards made during the year, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Information about the assumptions used to value these awards can found in Note 19 of the financial statements included in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K. In connection with Mr. Edenzon s retirement from the company, the terms and conditions of his RPSRs were amended to provide for immediate vesting of the 2012, 2013 and 2014 RPSRs at the time of his retirement, subject to continuation of the performance periods through the respective remaining terms of the performance periods (2014, 2015 and 2016), as set forth in the terms and conditions of the RPSRs. The RPSR amendment did not result in a change to the fair value of the award.

53

Executive Compensation (Continue

The grant date value of 2014 RPSRs for each NEO, assuming maximum performance,

C. Michael Petters	\$ 7,599,818
Barbara A. Niland	\$ 2,419,880
Matthew J. Mulherin	\$ 2,099,910
Bruce N. Hawthorne	\$ 1,749,926
Brian J. Cuccias	\$ 1,679,892
Irwin F. Edenzon	\$ 2.099.910

- (3) The amounts reported in this column are attributable to the annual incentive awards earned for 2012, 2013 and 2014, but paid in 2013, 2014 and 2015, respectively. See the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table for more information regarding the range of annual incentive awards that could have been earned for 2014.
- (4) The amounts reported in this column represent the changes in actuarial present values of the accumulated benefits under defined benefit plans, determined by comparing the prior completed year-end amount to the covered year-end amount. We do not have any deferred compensation plans that provide for above-market or preferential earnings.
- (5) Detailed information on the amounts reported in this column is included in the All Other Compensation table below.
- (6) Under SEC rules, we are only required to provide 2014 information for Mr. Cuccias, since he first became an NEO in 2014

2014 All Other Compensation. The following table provides the detail related to the All Other Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table for 201

All Other Compensation

Name	Plans Company Match (\$)	Plans Company Match (\$)	Health and Welfare Contributions (\$)	Executive Physical (\$)	Financial Planning (\$)	Personal Liability (\$)	Total All Other Compensation (\$)
C. Michael Petters 2014	0	10,400	15,386	2,000	21,575	1,220	50,580
Barbara A. Niland 2014	40,258	7,931	13,241	2,000	450	592	64,472
Matthew J. Mulherin 2014	32,135	10,400	12,796	0	4,000	592	59,923
Bruce N. Hawthorne 2014	119,160	23,400	12,796	0	14,950	592	170,898
Brian J. Cuccias 2014	9,642	2,600	12,707	0	0	592	25,541
Irwin F. Edenzon 2014	33,679	7,931	12,796	2,000	15,000	592	71,998

In addition, a family member of Mr. Petters accompanied him on one flight segment of a business trip on a corporate aircraft no aggregate incremental cost to the compan

54

Executive Compensation (Continue

2014 Grants of Plan-Based Awar

The following table provides additional information about equity and non-equity incentive plan awards we granted to o Named Executive Officers during the year ended December 31, 201

2014 Grants of Plan Based Awards

			Estimated Potential Payouts Under Non Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(2)			Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option
Name	Grant Type	Grant Date	Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)	Awards (\$)(3)
C. Michael Petters	Annual Incentive Plan Long-term Incentive (RPSR)	2/24/2014	0	1,187,500	2,375,000	0	38,739	77,478	3,799,909
Barbara A. Niland	Annual Incentive Plan Long-term Incentive (RPSR)	2/24/2014	0	396,550	793,100	0	12,335	24,670	1,209,940
Matthew J. Mulherin	Annual Incentive Plan Long-term Incentive (RPSR)	2/24/2014	0	360,500	721,000	0	10,704	21,408	1,049,955
Bruce N. Hawthorne	Annual Incentive Plan Long-term Incentive (RPSR)	2/24/2014	0	360,500	721,000	0	8,920	17,840	874,963
Brian J. Cuccias	Annual Incentive Plan Long-term Incentive (RPSR)	2/24/2014	0	280,000	560,000	0	8,563	17,126	839,945
Irwin F. Edenzon	Annual Incentive Plan Long-term Incentive (RPSR)	2/24/2014	0	360,500	721,000	0	10,704	21,408	1,049,955

- (1) Amounts in these columns show the range of payouts that was possible under our Annual Incentive Plan based on performance during 2014, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis. The amounts actually earned for 2014 are included in the Summary Compensation Table.
- (2) These amounts relate to RPSRs granted in 2014 under the 2012 Plan. Each RPSR represents the right to receive a share of our common stock upon vesting of the RPSR. These RPSRs and accrued DEUs may be earned based on ROIC and EBITDAP performance over a three-year performance period commencing January 1, 2014 and ending December 31, 2016, with payout occurring in early 2017, ranging from 0% to 200% of the rights awarded. Accrued DEUs will be paid only to the extent the underlying shares vest and the performance targets are met. Generally, an executive must remain employed through the performance period to earn an award, although vesting will be prorated if employment terminates earlier due to retirement, death or disability.

(3) The amounts reported in this column represent the full grant date fair values of the equity awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. In connection with the amendment of the terms and conditions of Mr. Edenzon s RPSRs in December 2013 to provide for accelerated vesting at the time of his retirement from the company, subject to continuation of the performance periods through the

55

Executive Compensation (Continue

respective remaining terms of the performance periods (2014, 2015 and 2016), the service period for recognition of expense under ASC 718 was shortened. The RPSR amendment did not result in a change to the fair value of the award.

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2014 Year-En

The following table summarizes the equity awards we have made to our Named Executive Officers that were outstanding as December 31, 201

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2014 Year-End

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (#)	Grant Date	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights that Have Not Vested (#)(1)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights that Have Not Vested (\$)(2)
C. Michael Petters		2/24/2014			39,118	4,399,163
		2/19/2013			80,429	9,045,063
		2/27/2012			127,769	14,368,942
	202,795	2/16/2010	36.04	2/16/2017		
	196,762	2/17/2009	27.23	2/17/2016		
	98,422	2/27/2008	48.90	2/27/2015		
	59,499	2/28/2007	43.48	2/28/2017		
	66,110	2/15/2006	39.39	2/15/2016		
Barbara A. Niland		2/24/2014			12,456	1,400,751
		2/19/2013			27,033	3,040,155
		2/27/2012			34,355	3,863,550
Matthew J. Mulherin		2/24/2014			10,809	1,215,536
		2/19/2013			23,458	2,638,082
		2/27/2012			28,393	3,193,034
Bruce N. Hawthorne		2/24/2014			9,007	1,012,946
		2/19/2013			19,548	2,198,363
		2/27/2012			24,843	2,793,891
Brian J. Cuccias		2/24/2014			8,647	972,406
		2/19/2013			6,006	675,425
		2/27/2012			7,460	839,005
Irwin F. Edenzon*		2/24/2014			10,809	1,215,536
		2/19/2013			23,458	2,638,082
		2/27/2012			28,393	3,193,034

⁽¹⁾ The numbers shown assume target performance levels for RPSRs. The RPSR award granted in February 2014, if earned, will vest based on performance for the three-year period ending on December 31, 2016. The RPSR award granted in

February 2013, if earned, will vest based on performance for the three-year period ending on December 31, 2015. The RPSR award granted in

56

Executive Compensation (Continue

March 2012 vested based on performance for the three-year period that ended on December 31, 2014. The number of shares reflected in the table for the 2014, 2013 and 2012 awards also includes DEUs accrued through December 31, 2014 DEUs do not vest unless the underlying performance award vests.

- (2) The market values are based on the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2014, of \$112.46.
- * In connection with Mr. Edenzon s retirement from the company, the terms and conditions of his RPSRs were amended t provide for immediate vesting of the 2012, 2013 and 2014 RPSRs at the time of his retirement, subject to continuation of the performance periods through the respective remaining terms of the performance periods (2014, 2015 and 2016), as se forth in the terms and conditions of the RPSRs. The RPSR amendment did not result in a change to the fair value of the award.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested in 20:

The following table provides additional information about the value realized by our NEOs on exercises of option awards at vesting of stock awards during the year ended December 31, 201

2014 Option Exercises and Stock Vested

	Optic	on Awards	Stock Awards		
	Number of Shares		Number of Shares		
	Acquired		Acquired		
	on	Value Realized	on	Value Realized	
	Exercise	on Exercise	Vesting	on Vesting	
Name & Principal Position	(#)	(1)(\$)	(2)(#)	(3)(\$)	
C. Michael Petters	49,582	3,503,465	271,684	26,649,066	
Barbara A. Niland	0	0	80,950	7,973,210	
Matthew J. Mulherin	0	0	65,210	6,448,004	
Bruce N. Hawthorne	0	0	65,210	6,448,004	
Brian J. Cuccias	0	0	20,782	2,062,209	
Irwin F. Edenzon	12,344	854,652	65,210	6,448,004	

- (1) The values shown are calculated by subtracting the exercise price of the options from the price of our common stock on the date of exercise and multiplying the number of shares underlying the options. Amounts in the column may not represent amounts that were actually realized.
- (2) All shares in this column represent RPSRs that were received in 2014 based on the achievement of performance goals for the three-year period from 2011 through 2013 and RSRs that were received in 2014 upon the vesting of grants made in 2011.

(3) The values shown are calculated by multiplying the number of RPSRs and RSRs vested by the closing price of our common stock on the NYSE on the date of vesting.

57

Executive Compensation (Continue

2014 Pension Benefit

It is our policy that an executive s total benefit under our pension plans is essentially limited to 60% of the executive s average pay. The following table shows the present value of accumulated benefits payable to each of our Named Executive Officers under the qualified defined benefit pension plans and nonqualified defined benefit pension plans that we sponsor

2014 Pension Benefits

		Number of Years Credited	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit	Payments During Las Fiscal Year
Name & Principal Position	Plan Name	Service	(\$)(1)	(\$)
C. Michael Petters (2)	Special Officer SERP HII NNS Inc. Retirement Benefit Restoration	10.167	10,511,491	(4)
	Plan	26.500	9,871,771	
	HII NNS Inc. Retirement Plan	26.500	1,026,636	
Barbara A. Niland (2)	OSERP	36.000	8,223,764	
	HII Supplemental Plan 2-ERISA 2	11.500	1,227,443	
	ES Executive Pension Plan	35.996	4,826,393	
	HII Retirement Plan B	35.996	1,113,578	
Matthew J. Mulherin (2)	OSERP	34.000	3,064,433	
	HII NNS Inc. Retirement Benefit Restoration Plan	32.500	3,788,135	
	HII NNS Inc. Retirement Plan	32.500	1,163,398	
Bruce N. Hawthorne (3)				
Brian J. Cuccias (2)	HII Retirement Plan B	28.750	546,906	
	Avondale Supp Pension Plan	28.750	679,451	
	HII Litton Restoration Plan	28.750	814,156	
	OSERP	28.750	1,105,185	
Irwin F. Edenzon(2) (4)	OSERP	24.917	2,986,039	
	HII NNS Inc. Retirement Benefit Restoration Plan	17.083	2,299,576	940
	HII NNS Inc. Retirement Plan	17.083	902,257	4,757

- (1) While benefits may be spread over different plans, it is our policy that an executive s total benefit under these plans is essentially limited to 60% of such executive s final average pay. The pension benefits for Mr. Petters under the Special Officer Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan are based on an alternate formula that includes total HII service.
- (2) The following plans in which these executives participate were consolidated as of December 31, 2014, to form the Huntington Ingalls Industries Omnibus Supplemental Retirement Plan: HII Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. Retirement Benefit Restoration Plan, HII Electronic Systems Executive Pension Plan, Avondale Industries, Inc. Restated Supplemental Pension Plan, HII Litton Industries, Inc. Restoration Plan and Special Officer Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan.

- (3) Mr. Hawthorne was hired on March 31, 2011, and was therefore not eligible to participate in any historical defined benef pension plans, which were closed to new participants in 2008. Mr. Hawthorne does, however, participate in company-sponsored defined contribution plans.
- (4) Mr. Edenzon retired on December 1, 2014, and elected the joint and 50% to surviving spouse option for his pension benefits payable under the HII NNS Inc. Retirement Plan, HII NNS Inc. Retirement Benefit Restoration Plan, and OSER The pension values represent the present values of the benefits expected to be paid in the future. They do not represent actu lump sum values that may be paid from a plan. The amount of future paymer

58

Executive Compensation (Continue

is based on the current accrued pension benefit as of December 31, 2014. Pursuant to SEC disclosure rules, (i) the actuari assumptions used to calculate amounts for this table are the same as those used for our financial statements and (ii) all pension values are determined assuming the NEO works until the specified retirement age, which is the earliest unreduced retirement age (as defined in each plant age).

General Explanation of the Pension Benefits Tab

Individual employees may be covered by several different pension plans as a result of our history as a division of Northro Grumman. However, an executive s total benefit under these plans is essentially limited to 60% of his or her final average p. The accrued tax qualified pension benefit cannot be reduced or taken away under applicable law, so all historical pension plans have been maintaine

Pension plans provide income during retirement, as well as benefits in special circumstances, including death and disability.

general, the plans are structured to reward and retain employees of long service and recognize higher achievement leve evidenced by increases in annual pay. The term qualified plan generally means a plan that qualifies for favorable tax treat under Internal Revenue Code (IRC) section 401. Savings plans (also known as 401(k) plans) and traditional pension plan examples of qualified plans. Qualified plans apply to a broad base of employees. The term nonqualified plan generally me plan that is limited to a specified group of management personnel. Our nonqualified plans supplement our qualified plans at (1) provide benefits that would be provided under our qualified plans if there were no limitations imposed by the IRC at (2) provide a minimum level of pension benefits to our executives in recognition of their higher levels of responsibility.

The amounts in the table are based on the specific provisions of each plan, which are described in more detail below. Two bas types of pension benefits are reflected in the Pension Benefits table: traditional benefits and cash balance benefits. For purpos of the amounts in the table, traditional benefits are determined based on the annual pension earned as of December 31, 201 and include any supplemental payments. Cash balance benefits are based on the account balance as of December 31, 2014, pl a future interest credit, converted to an annuity using the applicable conversion factor

Ms. Niland participates in the Huntington Ingalls Industries Retirement Plan B, the HII Electronic Systems Executive Per Plan and the Huntington Ingalls Industries Supplemental Plan 2 ERISA Supplemental Program 2. Messrs. Petters, Edenzon Mulherin participate in the HII Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. Retirement Plan and the HII Newport News Shipbuilding In Retirement Benefit Restoration Plan. Mr. Cuccias participates in the Huntington Ingalls Industries Retirement Plan B Avondale Industries, Inc. Restated Supplemental Pension Plan, and the HII Litton Industries, Inc. Restoration Pla Mr. Hawthorne participates in the Huntington Ingalls Industries Officers Retirement Account Contribution Plan (ORAC). NEO except Messrs. Petters and Hawthorne also participates in the Officers Supplemental Executive Retirement Progra (OSERP). Mr. Petters participates in the Special Officer Supplemental Executive Retirement

Effective December 31, 2014, the following plans were consolidated to form the Huntington Ingalls Industries Omnibing Supplemental Retirement Plan: HII Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. Retirement Benefit Restoration Plan, HII Electron Systems Executive Pension Plan, Avondale Industries, Inc. Restated Supplemental Pension Plan, HII Litton Industries, Inc. Restoration Plan, and Special Officer Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan. The consolidation does not affect the benefit amounts payable to the participant

Executive Compensation (Continue

an additional year of service from December 31, 2013 to December 31, 2014;

The change in pension values shown in the Summary Compensation Table includes the effect of

changes in eligible pension pay;

changes in applicable pay cap limits; and

changes in actuarial assumptions.

Description of Qualified Plan

Huntington Ingalls Industries Retirement Plan B and HII Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. Retirement Plan. The ge benefit structure of these plans is similar except for the historical benefit formulas, the transition benefit formulas and the timing of the transition period, all of which are described below. The plans are qualified under IRC section 401 and provide to three component pieces of benefits depending on when a participant is hired and terminates. The following chart illustrate the component pieces (described in more detail after the chart

Part B

(5-Year Transition Benefit)

Benefit based on a formula similar to the one under the historical plan formula during the transition period

Part A Part D

Benefit under the historical plan formula before the transition period or (if greater)

+ Benefit under the cash balance formula after the transition period Pension Benefi

Part C

(5-Year Transition Benefit)

Benefit under the cash balance formula during the transition period

The components are the historical benefit (the Part A benefit), the transition benefit (the greater of the Part B benefit or the Part C benefit) and the cash balance benefit (the Part D benefit). Eligible employees who joined a plan after the transition day associated with their pension plan accrue only the cash balance benefit (Part D) from their first date of participation

The qualified benefit for each NEO is the sum of these three benefits (Part A + Max (Part B or C) + Part D). The transition period for the Huntington Ingalls Industries Retirement Plan B is July 1, 2003 through June 30, 2008, while the transperiod for the HII Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. Retirement Plan is January 1, 2004 through December 31, 2008. During the transition period, each eligible participant earned the greater of (i) the benefit calculated under a formula similar to his or historical plan (Part B) or (ii) the cash balance formula benefit (Part C).

The cash balance formula (Parts C and D benefits) uses a participant s points (age plus years of service) to determine pay-based credit amount (a percentage of eligible pay) on a monthly basis. Interest is credited monthly on the amount in the participant s hypothetical individual account. At normal retirement age, a participant s balance in the hypothetical account converted into an annuity payable for life, using specified factors. There are various forms of annuities from which the participant can choose, including a single-life annuity or a joint-and-survivor annuit

60

Executive Compensation (Continue

Specific Elements of the Plans. The following paragraphs describe specific elements of the plans in more deta

Formulas Under Historical Plans:

HII Electronic Systems Pension Plan This plan is a legacy part of the Huntington Ingalls Industries Retireme Plan B and provides a benefit equal to 2% multiplied by the sum of all years of pensionable compensation limited by IRC section 401(a)(17)) from January 1, 1995, plus a frozen benefit accrued under the prior Westinghouse Pension Plan, if any. Participants hired prior to January 1,1995, who elect an annuity form of payment for their Westinghouse frozen benefit are eligible for an annual pre-age 62 supplemental benefit equato \$144 per year of service. This supplemental benefit is paid to those who retire prior to age 62 with payment ceasing at age 62. The HII Electronic Systems Pension Plan was a contributory plan until April 1, 2000.

Ms. Niland has historical (Part A) benefits under this formula.

HII Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. Retirement Plan This plan provides a benefit equal to 55% of final average pay (as limited by IRC section 401(a)(17)) multiplied by benefit service up to a maximum of 35 year divided by 35. Participants with pre-1997 service also have a frozen accrued benefit with the prior NNS parer company, Tenneco. Total benefit service is used for the plan benefit, but the frozen accrued benefit with Tenneco is offset from the total benefit. Final average pay is the average of the final 60 months of base pay multiplied by 12 to determine an annual final average pay. Messrs. Petters, Edenzon and Mulherin have historical (Part A) benefits under this formula.

HII Avondale Industries, Inc. Pension Plan This plan is a legacy part of the Huntington Ingalls Industries Retirement Plan B and provides different benefits depending on whether the participant was an employee Avondale Services Corporation (Services) or a Shipyard employee (Shipyard). The Services benefit is 61.5% of final average pay (as limited by IRC section 401(a)(17)) multiplied by benefit service. The Shipyard benefit is equal to the sum of 25% of final average pay (as limited by IRC section 401(a)(17)) up to \$6,600 at 40% of final average pay (as limited by IRC section 401(a)(17)) in excess of \$6,600 multiplied by the ratio of actual benefit service to projected benefit service at normal retirement age, prorated when projected benefit service is less than 30 years. In both cases, the benefit is offset by the annuitized value of the participant is H. Avondale Industries, Inc. Savings Plan (ESOP) account, unless the participant is eligible and elects to roll the ESOP account into the plan. The benefit amounts shown for Mr. Cuccias reflect the ESOP offset. Final average pay is the average of the five consecutive highest paid years during the last ten years of employment. Mr. Cuccias has historical (Part A) benefits under both of these formulas. Since he transferred from Shipyard to Services, his benefit is the greater of (1) the sum of his Shipyard and Services benefits based on service earned under each respective formula and (2) his Shipyard benefit as if he never transferred. The Part B benefit of Mr. Cuccias is based on the Services formula.

Cash Balance Formula. Table 1 shows the percentage of pay credit specified at each point level for the Part C benef for each NEO. Interest is credited monthly based on the 30-year Treasury bond rate in effect four months prior to the crediting month.

61

Executive Compensation (Continue

For the Part D benefit, the cash balance formula for all NEOs is based on Table 2.

Table 1 (Part C Formula)

		Credit Amount
Points	All Eligible Pay	Eligible Pay in Excess Social Security Wage B
(attained age and total service)	(%)	(%)
Under 25	6.0	6.0
25 to 34	6.5	6.0
35 to 44	7.0	6.0
45 to 54	7.5	6.0
55 to 64	8.0	6.0
65 to 74	8.5	6.0
75 to 84	9.0	6.0
Over 84	9.5	6.0

Table 2 (Part D Formula)

	Credit Amount				
Points (attained age and total service)	All Eligible Pay (%)	Eligible Pay in Excess Social Security Wage B (%)			
Under 25	3.5	4.			
25 to 34	4.0	4.			
35 to 44	4.5	4.			
45 to 54	5.0	4.			
55 to 64	5.5	4.			
65 to 74	6.5	4.			
75 to 84	7.5	4.			
Over 84	9.0	4.			

Vesting. Participants vest in their program benefits upon completion of three years of service. As of December 31, 2014, each NEO (with the exception of Mr. Hawthorne) has a nonforfeitable right to receive retirement benefits, which are payable upon early (if eligible) or normal retirement, as elected by the NEO.

Form of Benefit. The standard form of benefit is an annuity payable for the life of the participant. At normal retirement, the annuity for the cash balance formula is equal to the accumulated account balance divided by 9. Other annuity options may be elected; however, each option is actuarially equivalent in value to the standard form. The HII

Electronic Systems Pension Plan also allows a lump-sum form of distribution to be elected on a portion of the historical (Part A) benefit.

Pay. Pay for purposes of the cash balance, the HII Electronic Systems Pension Plan formula and the services formula of the HII Avondale Industries, Inc. Pension Plan is primarily salary plus the annual cash bonus. Final average pay for the HII Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. Retirement Plan and the Shipyard formula of the HII Avondale Industries, Inc. Pension Plan is determined using base salary only.

62

Executive Compensation (Continue

Normal Retirement. Normal retirement means the benefit is not reduced for early commencement. It is generally specified in each formula as: age 65 for the historical HII Electronic Systems Pension Plan, HII Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. Retirement Plan and HII Avondale Industries, Inc. Pension Plan formulas and the later of age 65 and three years of vesting service for the cash balance formula.

Early Retirement. Early retirement eligibility for the historical HII Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. Retirement Plathe HII Avondale Industries, Inc. Pension Plan and the cash balance formulas occurs when the participant both attains age 55 and completes ten years of service. Early retirement for the HII Electronic Systems Pension Plan can occur when the participant either attains age 58 and completes 30 years of service or attains age 60 and completes ten years of service. Alternatively, an HII Electronic Systems Pension Plan participant may elect to commence an actuarially reduced vested benefit at any time following termination. Early retirement benefits under both the historical and cash balance formulas may be reduced for commencement prior to normal retirement to reflect the longer period of time over which the benefit will be paid.

All NEOs (with the exception of Mr. Hawthorne) have completed ten or more years of service. Accordingly, Mr. Petter Ms. Niland, Mr. Mulherin and Mr. Cuccias will be eligible for early retirement under the Huntington Ingalls Industric Retirement Plan B (which includes historical benefits from the HII Electronic Systems Pension Plan and the HII Avoi Industries, Inc. Pension Plan) or the HII Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. Retirement Plan, as applicable, upon attainment the early retirement age requirement. Early retirement benefits for each NEO cannot commence prior to termination employment.

Description of Nonqualified Plan

Huntington Ingalls Industries Supplemental Plan 2 ERISA Supplemental Program 2. This plan is a nonqualified plan the provides benefits that would have been paid under the Huntington Ingalls Industries Retirement Plan B but for the IRC set 401(a)(17) limit on the amount of compensation that must be taken into account under a qualified plan. The definition compensation under this plan also includes compensation under deferred compensation plans. Benefits under this plan a subject to a general limitation of 60% of final average pay (reduced for early retirement, if applicable, according to the rules the Officers Supplemental Executive Retirement Program (OSERP)) for all company pension benefits. Optional for payment are generally the same as those from the qualified plan, plus a 13-month delayed lump sum option on a portion of the benefit. Reductions for early retirement apply in the same manner as under the associated qualified plan.

Ms. Niland began participation under the plan on July 1, 2003, the date the plan was amended to cover HII Electronic System

Pension Plan participan

HII Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. Retirement Benefit Restoration Plan. This plan is a nonqualified plan that provid benefits that would have been paid under the HII Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. Retirement Plan but for the IRC section 401(a)(17) limit on the amount of compensation that must be taken into account under a qualified plan and the IRC section 4 limit on benefits that may be paid under a qualified plan. The definition of compensation under this plan also includ compensation under deferred compensation plans. Benefits under the HII Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. Retirement Bene Restoration Plan are subject to a general limitation of 60% of final average pay (reduced for early retirement, if applicable according to the rules of the OSERP for a

63

Executive Compensation (Continue

pension benefits). Optional forms of payment are the same as those under the HII Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. Retireme Plan. Reductions for early retirement apply in the same manner as under the HII Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. Retirement

Messrs. Petters, Edenzon and Mulherin began participation under the HII Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. Retirement Bene Restoration Plan when they reached applicable pay grades for inclusion in the pla

HII Electronic Systems Executive Pension Plan. This plan is a nonqualified plan, frozen to new entrants on July 1, 2003. provides a gross supplemental pension equal to 1.47% of final average pay for each year or portion thereof that the participa was making maximum contributions to the HII Electronic Systems Pension Plan or predecessor plan. Final average pay is the average of the highest five annualized base salaries at December of each year on or after 1995 plus the average of the highest five annual incentive payments since January 1, 199

HII Litton Industries, Inc. Restoration Plan. This plan is a nonqualified plan that provides benefits that would have been pare under the Huntington Ingalls Industries Retirement Plan B but for the IRC section 401(a)(17) limit on the amount compensation that must be taken into account under a qualified plan. The definition of compensation under this plan also includes compensation under deferred compensation plans. Benefits under this plan are subject to a general limitation for a company pension benefits of 60% of final average pay (reduced for early retirement, if applicable, according to the rules of the OSERP). Optional forms of payment are generally the same as those from the qualified plan, plus a 13-month delayed lum sum option on a portion of the benefit. Reductions for early retirement apply in the same manner as under the associate qualified plan.

Mr. Cuccias began participating in the HII Litton Industries, Inc. Restoration Plan on July 1, 2003, when the plan was amende to cover the non-represented participants of HII Avondale Industries, In

Avondale Industries, Inc. Restated Supplemental Pension Plan. This plan is a nonqualified plan that provides a supplement pension equal to 15% of final average pay multiplied by a fraction of actual benefit service divided by projected years service to early retirement date, where the fraction shall not exceed one. Final average pay is the average of the five consecutive highest paid years out of the last ten years of employment. The definition of compensation under this plan also include compensation under deferred compensation plans. Optional forms of payment and reductions for early retirement are general the same as those from the HII Avondale Industries, Inc. Pension Plans.

Mr. Cuccias began participating in the Avondale Industries, Inc. Restated Supplemental Pension Plan when he was designated participant by the Avondale Board of Director

Officers Supplemental Executive Retirement Program. The Officers Supplemental Executive Retirement Program is nonqualified plan frozen to new entrants on July 1, 2008. Accordingly, officers hired on or after this date and any promot officers who do not participate in a qualified defining

64

Executive Compensation (Continue

benefit pension plan are not allowed to participate in the plan. They instead participate in the Huntington Ingalls Industri-Officers Retirement Account Contribution Plan, which is a defined contribution plan arrangement

Ms. Niland, Mr. Edenzon, Mr. Cuccias and Mr. Mulherin participate in the Huntington Ingalls Industries Officers Supplement Executive Retirement Program, which provides a total pension benefit equal to a percentage of final average pay (the average pay without the IRC section 401(a)(17) limit and including deferred compensation in the three highest-paid plan years during the greater of (i) the last ten consecutive years of participation, or (ii) all consecutive years of participation since January 1997) where the percentage is determined by the following formula: 2% for each year of service up to ten years, 1.5% for each subsequent year up to 20 years, and 1% for each additional year over 20 and less than 45, less any other HII pension benefit Under the Huntington Ingalls Industries Officers Supplemental Executive Retirement Program, all years of service with HII a used to determine the final percentage

The Huntington Ingalls Industries Officers Supplemental Executive Retirement Program benefit, when combined with all H pension benefits, cannot exceed the general limit of 60% of final average pay (reduced for early retirement, if applicable according to the rules of the Huntington Ingalls Industries Officers Supplemental Executive Retirement Program). Option forms of payment are generally the same as those under the qualified plan, plus a 13-month delayed lump sum option on portion of the Huntington Ingalls Industries Officers Supplemental Executive Retirement Program benef

Normal Retirement: Age 65.

Early Retirement: Age 55 and completion of ten years of service. Benefits are reduced by the lesser of 2.5% for each year between retirement age and age 65, or 2.5% for each point less than 85 at retirement. Points are equal to the sum of age and years of service.

Vesting: Participants vest in their Huntington Ingalls Industries Officers Supplemental Executive Retirement Program benefits upon attaining age 55 and completion of ten years of service or attaining age 65 and completion of five years of service. These milestones must be attained prior to termination from HII.

Special Officer Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan. The Special Officer Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan is nonqualified plan, limited to the Chief Executive Officer of the company as of March 31, 2011. Mr. Petters is eligible participate in the Special Officer Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, which provides a pension equal to the greater of the amount accrued under the OSERP formula or the benefit calculated using the Special Officer Supplemental Execution Retirement Plan provision

The Special Officer Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan provides a total pension benefit equal to a percentage of fin average pay (the average pay without the IRC section 401(a)(17) limit and including deferred compensation of the thru highest-paid plan years after 1996) where the percentage is determined by the following formula: 3.33% for each year service up to ten years, 1.5% for each subsequent year up to 20 years and 1% for each additional year over 20 less any other H pension benefits accrued while a participant in the Special Officer Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan. Only service while a participant in the Special Officer Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan is used to determine the final percentage

65

Executive Compensation (Continue

The Special Officer Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan benefit, when combined with all pension benefits, cannot exceed the general limit of 60% of final average pay (reduced for early retirement, if applicable), according to the rules of the Special Officer Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan. Optional forms of payment are generally the same as those under the qualified plan, plus a 13-month delayed lump sum option on a portion of the Special Officer Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan benefits.

Normal Retirement: Age 65.

Early Retirement: The later of the first day of the month following termination or the commencement of the participant s qualified plan benefit. Benefits are reduced by the lesser of 2.5% for each year between retirement age age 65, or 2.5% for each point less than 85 at retirement. Points are equal to the sum of age and years of service.

Vesting: Participants vest in their Special Officer Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan benefits when they have vested in their qualified plan benefits.

Huntington Ingalls Industries Omnibus Supplemental Retirement Plan. Effective December 31, 2014, the following pla were consolidated to form the Huntington Ingalls Industries Omnibus Supplemental Retirement Plan: HII Newport New Shipbuilding Inc. Retirement Benefit Restoration Plan, HII Electronic Systems Executive Pension Plan, Avondale Industries Inc. Restated Supplemental Pension Plan, HII Litton Industries, Inc. Restoration Plan and Special Officer Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan. The consolidation does not affect the benefits amounts payable to the participan

Section 409A Restrictions on Timing and Optional Forms of Payment. Under IRC section 409A, employees where participate in company-sponsored nonqualified plans, such as the Huntington Ingalls Industries Supplemental Plan 2 ERI Supplemental Program 2, the HII Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. Retirement Benefit Restoration Plan, the HII Electron Systems Executive Pension Plan, the HII Litton Industries, Inc. Restoration Plan, the Avondale Industries, Inc. Restate Supplemental Pension Plan, the Special Officer Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan and the Officers Supplement Executive Retirement Plan, are subject to special rules regarding the timing and forms of payment for benefits earned or vestorable after December 31, 2004 (post-2004 benefits). Payment of post-2004 benefits must begin on the first day of the machine coincident with or following the later of attainment of age 55 and termination from the company. The optional forms payment for post-2004 benefits are limited to a single life annuity or a selection of joint and survivor options.

Specific Assumptions Used to Estimate Present Values. The following assumptions were used to estimate the present value in the Pension Benefits table

Assumed Retirement Age: For all plans, pension benefits are assumed to begin at the earliest retirement age that the participant can receive an unreduced benefit payable from the plan. Officers Supplemental Executive Retirement Program and Special Officer Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan benefits are first unreduced once an NEO reaches age 55 and accumulates 85 points or reaches age 65. For the HII Electronic Systems Pension Plan (Part A and B benefits), the associated Huntington Ingalls Industries Supplemental Plan 2 ERISA Supplemental Program 2 (Part benefits) and the HII Electronic Systems Executive Pension Plan, vested benefits are first unreduced for an NEO at the earlier of age 58 and completion of 30 years of service or age 65. HII Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. Retirement

Plan and associated HII Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. Retirement Restoration Plan benefits (Part A and B benefit are first unreduced at the earlier of age 62 and completion of ten years of

66

Executive Compensation (Continue

service or age 65. For the HII Avondale Industries, Inc. Pension Plan (Part A and B benefits), the associated HII Litto Industries, Inc. Restoration Plan (Part A and B benefits) and the Avondale Industries, Inc. Restated Supplemental Pension Plan, benefits are first unreduced for an NEO at age 65. Given each NEO s period of service, cash balance benefits (Part C and D benefits) will be converted to an annuity on an unreduced basis starting at age 55.

When portions of an NEO s benefit under the Part A + Max (Part B or Part C) + Part D structure have different unreduced basis.

When portions of an NEO s benefit under the Part A + Max (Part B or Part C) + Part D structure have different unre retirement ages, the later unreduced age is used for the entire benefit

Discount Rate: The applicable discount rates are as follows:

	12/31/2014	12/31/2013
Plan Name	(%)	(%)
Huntington Ingalls Industries Supplemental Plan 2	4.38	5.27
HII Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. Retirement Plan	4.25	5.25
HII Newport News Shipbuilding Inc. Retirement Benefit Restoration Plan	4.38	5.27
Huntington Ingalls Industries Retirement Plan B	4.40	5.28
HII Electronic Systems Executive Pension Plan	4.38	5.27
Avondale Industries, Inc. Restated Supplemental Pension Plan	4.38	5.27
HII Litton Industries, Inc. Restoration Plan	4.38	5.27
Officers Supplemental Executive Retirement Program	4.41	5.27
Special Officer Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	4.38	5.27

Mortality Table: A variation of the RP-2014 and MP-2014 mortality tables, adjusted to reflect the company s experience and expectations.

Present Values: Present values are calculated using the Assumed Retirement Age, Discount Rate and Mortality Table described above; they assume no pre-retirement mortality, disability or termination and that the NEO remains employed until his earliest unreduced retirement age.

Future Investment Crediting Rate Assumption: Cash balance amounts are projected to the Assumed Retirement Age based on the future investment crediting rate assumptions of 3.77% as of December 31, 2013, and 2.84% as of December 31, 2014. These rates are used in conjunction with the discount rate to estimate the present value amounts for cash balance benefits.

Information on Executives Eligible to Retire. Messrs. Cuccias, Mulherin and Petters are eligible to retire early and beg pension benefits immediately under all plans in which they participate. Their total annual immediate benefits assuming they be terminated on December 31, 2014 were \$224,037 for Mr. Cuccias, \$525,180 for Mr. Mulherin and \$1,396,900 for Mr. Petter

Executive Compensation (Continue

2014 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Tab

The following table summarizes our Named Executive Officers compensation under our nonqualified deferred compensation

2014 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

Name	Plan Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY (1)(\$)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY (2)(\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (3)(\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (4)(\$)
C. Michael Petters	Deferred Compensation Savings Excess	0	0	84,514		3,134,964
Barbara A. Niland	Deferred Compensation Savings Excess	236,569	40,258	25,214		1,418,722
Matthew J. Mulherin	Deferred Compensation Savings Excess	64,269 198,842	32,135	54,690 6,944		2,048,087 205,785
Bruce N. Hawthorne	Deferred Compensation Savings Excess ORAC	66,929	75,296 43,865	45,957 6,889		446,671 168,086
Brian J. Cuccias	Deferred Compensation Savings Excess ORAC	14,834	9,642	4,877		214,072
Irwin F. Edenzon (5)	Deferred Compensation Savings Excess	273,633	33,679	(2,808)	(2,891)	1,234,870

- (1) Executive contributions in this column also are included in the salary and non-equity incentive plan columns of the 2014 Summary Compensation Table.
- (2) Company contributions in this column are included under the All Other Compensation column in the 2014 Summary Compensation Table and the 2014 All Other Compensation table.
- (3) Aggregate earnings in the last fiscal year are not included in the 2014 Summary Compensation Table because they are no above market or preferential.
- (4) The only amounts reflected in this column that previously were reported as compensation to the NEO in the Summary Compensation Table are executive and company contributions for the respective fiscal year-end and only if the NEO was reported as an NEO for each respective year. Aggregate earnings in this column were not reported previously in the Summary Compensation Table.

Ms. Niland s aggregate SEP account balance consists of \$1,177,454 in employee contributions.

Mr. Mulherin s aggregate SEP account balance consists of \$203,794 in employee contributions.

Mr. Hawthorne s aggregate SEP account balance consists of \$184,207 in employee contributions.

Mr. Cuccias aggregate SEP account balance consists of \$138,410 in employee contributions.

68

Executive Compensation (Continue

Mr. Edenzon s aggregate SEP account balance consists of \$1,083,047 in employee contributions.

(5) Mr. Edenzon began receiving payments in 2014 in accordance with the provisions of the plans.

The material terms of the deferred compensation plans in which our NEOs participate(d) are summarized below

The Deferred Compensation Plan was the Northrop Grumman Deferred Compensation Plan, which was closed to future contributions at the end of 2010. Prior to 2011, eligible executives were allowed to defer a portion of their salary and bonus. No company contributions were made to the plan.

The Savings Excess Plan is described below. No above market earnings are provided under the plan.

The Savings Excess Plan allows eligible participants to defer between 1% and 75% of salary and annual incentive payments above the limits mandated by the IRS. The company provides a matching allocation of up to 4%, based on a participant contribution rate of 8%. Participants are immediately 100% vested in their accounts and are allowed to make elections regarding how their account balances will be deemed invested for purposes of crediting earnings to the account by selecting investments from a limited list of investment option selected by the administrator.

Based on an advance election, payment is made in a lump sum or installments over a period of up to 15 years Neither in-service distributions nor hardship withdrawals are allowed under this plan.

The ORAC covers those elected and appointed officers hired on or after July 1, 2008, who are not eligible for defi benefit retirement plans. Enrollment is automatic, and the company contributes an amount equal to 4% of the officer eligible compensation.

All deferred compensation that was not earned and vested before January 1, 2005, is subject to the requirements of IR Section 409A. Those requirements largely restrict an executive sability to control the form and timing of distributions from nonqualified plans such as those listed in this table.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Contr

The tables below provide estimated payments and benefits that we would have provided each NEO if his or her employme had terminated on December 31, 2014, for specified reasons. This information is based upon the assumption that employme terminates on December 31, 2014, and uses the closing price of our common stock of \$112.46 on the NYSE as of December 3 2014. These payments and benefits are provided under the following plar

The Severance Plan for Elected and Appointed Officers

The 2011 Long-Term Incentive Stock Plan and terms and conditions of equity awards

The 2012 Long-Term Incentive Stock Plan and terms and conditions of equity awards

The Special Officer Retiree Medical Plan

69

Executive Compensation (Continue

We summarize below these plans before providing the estimated payment and benefit amounts in the tables. Due to the man factors that affect the nature and amount of any benefits provided upon the termination events discussed below, any actu amounts paid or distributed to our NEOs may be different. Factors that may affect these amounts include timing during the year of the occurrence of the event, our stock price and the NEO is a

The amounts described in the tables below are in addition to each NEO s benefits described in the Pension Benefits Nonqualified Deferred Compensation tables, as well as benefits generally available to our employees such as distribution under our 401(k) plan, disability and life insurance benefits and accrued vacation

Severance Pla

Upon a qualifying termination (defined below), we have discretion to provide severance benefits to our NEOs unde Severance Plan for Elected and Appointed Officers of Huntington Ingalls Industries (Severance Plan). Provided the signed a release, such executive would have receive

a lump sum severance benefit equal to 1.5 times base salary and target bonus;

continued medical and dental premium payments for 18 months following the date of termination;

financial planning expense reimbursement for fees incurred in the year in which the date of termination occurs (limite to \$15,000) and any fees incurred in the year following the year in which the date of termination occurs (limited to \$15,000); and

outplacement services reimbursement for fees incurred within one year after the date of termination (limited to 15% observations).

A qualifying termination means one of the follow

an involuntary termination of employment of the NEO, other than termination for cause or mandatory retirement; or

an NEO s election to terminate employment with us in lieu of accepting a downgrade to a non-officer position or sta

Terms of Equity Awards Under the 2011 Plan and 2012 Plan

The terms of equity awards to our NEOs under the 2011 Plan and 2012 Plan provide for prorated or accelerated vesting if a NEO terminates for certain reasons. For stock options and RPSRs, accelerated vesting of a portion of each award occurs upon termination due to death, disability or retirement (after age 55 with ten years of service or mandatory retirement at age 65). A extended exercise period is also provided for options under these circumstances. For RSRs, accelerated vesting occurs upon

termination due to death or disabilit

For purposes of estimating the payments due under RPSRs below, our performance is assumed to be at target levels through to close of each three-year performance period, and we include accrued DEUs for awards granted in 2012 and late

70

Executive Compensation (Continue

The terms of equity awards to our NEOs under the 2011 Plan and 2012 Plan also provide for accelerated vesting of storoptions, RPSRs and RSRs in the event that the NEO is terminated in a qualifying termination related to a change in control (so Change-in-Control Benefits be

Payouts of RPSRs for retirements and terminations is made during the normal process for payouts, which occurs during the fin quarter following the end of the performance perio

Special Officer Retiree Medical Pla

The Special Officer Retiree Medical Plan (SORMP) was closed to new participants in 2007. Mr. Petters is the only eligible for SORMP benefit

NEOs who are vested participants in the SORMP are entitled to retiree medical benefits pursuant to the terms of the SORM The coverage is essentially a continuation of the NEO s executive medical benefits plus retiree life insurance. A participate becomes vested if he or she has either five years of vesting service as an elected officer or 30 years of total service with the company and its affiliates. A vested participant can commence SORMP benefits at retirement before age 65 if he has attain age 55 and 10 years of service. The estimated cost of the SORMP benefit reflected in the tables below is the present value the estimated cost to provide future benefits using actuarial calculations and assumption

Potential Payments upon Termination of Employme

The following tables show the values of payments and other benefits due to our Named Executive Officers under the Severand Plan for Elected and Appointed Officers of Huntington Ingalls Industries and the 2011 Plan and 2012 Plan, assuming termination of employment as of December 31, 2014. Mr. Edenzon retired on December 1, 2014, and we describe below when he received upon his retirement.

Change-in-Control Followed by Termination Payments Summa

	Petters	Niland	Hawthorne	Mulherin	Cuccias
Severance	\$3,206,250	\$1,444,575	\$1,313,250	\$1,313,250	\$1,020,000
Bonus (Actual Earned in 2014) ¹	\$1,844,306	\$662,239	\$602,035	\$538,948	\$468,832
Unvested RS/RSU Value	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Unvested Stock Option Value	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Unvested Performance-Based RS/RSU Value	\$35,859,775	\$10,468,044	\$7,569,779	\$8,834,751	\$2,956,678
Health and Welfare Benefits	\$23,024	\$16,708	\$16,708	\$16,708	\$25,278
Retiree Medical (SORMP) ³	\$529,092				
Financial Planning and Outplacement	\$172,500	\$114,975	\$107,250	\$107,250	\$90,000
Total Payments Before Excise Tax Response	\$41,634,947	\$12,706,540	\$9,609,022	\$10,810,906	\$4,560,788
Forfeiture Due to Alternative Cap (If Applicable)	\$4,477,527	\$1,525,065	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Payments After Excise Tax Response	\$37,157,420	\$11,181,475	\$9,609,022	\$10,810,906	\$4,560,788

Pro-rata bonus paid upon termination is based on target. Actual bonuses paid for performance during 2014 exceeded target and are shown in the table above.

- 2 2012-2014 RPSR cycle paid based on actual performance through 12/31/2014. All unvested cycles fully accelerate at target.
- Represents present value of the vested Special Officer Retiree Medical Plan (SORMP). Mr. Petters is the only participant in this program.

71

Executive Compensation (Continue

Involuntary Termination Not For Cause or Good Reason Termination by Executi

	Petters	Niland	Hawthorne	Mulherin	Cuccias
Severance	\$3,206,250	\$1,444,575	\$1,313,250	\$1,313,250	\$1,020,000
Bonus (Actual Earned in 2014) ¹	\$1,844,306	\$662,239	\$602,035	\$538,948	\$468,832
Unvested RS/RSU Value	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Unvested Stock Option Value	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Unvested Performance-Based RS/RSU Value ²	\$29,911,979	\$8,520,825	\$4,358,470	\$7,145,033	\$2,083,260
Health and Welfare Benefits	\$23,024	\$16,708	\$16,708	\$16,708	\$25,278
Retiree Medical (SORMP) ³	\$529,092	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Planning and Outplacement	\$172,500	\$114,975	\$107,250	\$107,250	\$90,000
Total Payments	\$35,687,151	\$10,759,321	\$6,397,713	\$9,121,188	\$3,687,370

Pro-rata bonus paid upon termination is based on target. Actual bonuses paid for performance during 2014 exceeded targ and are shown in the table above.

Termination Due to Death or Disabili

	Petters	Niland	Hawthorne	Mulherin	Cuccias
Bonus (Actual Earned in 2014) ¹	\$1,844,306	\$662,239	\$602,035	\$538,948	\$468,83
Unvested RS/RSU Value	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$(
Unvested Stock Option Value	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$(
Unvested Performance-Based RS/RSU Value ²	\$29,911,979	\$8,520,825	\$6,161,694	\$7,145,033	\$2,083,26
Retiree Medical (SORMP) ³	\$529,092	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$(
Total Payments	\$32,285,377	\$9,183,063	\$6,763,729	\$7,683,981	\$2,552,09

Pro-rata bonus paid upon termination is based on target. Actual bonuses paid for performance during 2014 exceeded targ and are shown in the table above.

Retireme

	Petters	Niland	Hawthorne	Mulherin	Cuccias
Bonus (Actual Earned in 2014) ¹	\$1,844,306	\$662,239	\$602,035	\$538,948	\$468,832

²⁰¹²⁻²⁰¹⁴ RPSR cycle paid based on actual performance through 12/31/2014. Petters, Niland, Mulherin and Cuccias are retirement eligible, unvested cycles are pro-rated at target.

Represents present value of the vested Special Officer Retiree Medical Plan (SORMP). Mr. Petters is the only participant in this program.

²⁰¹²⁻²⁰¹⁴ RPSR cycle paid based on actual performance through 12/31/2014, unvested cycles are prorated at target.

Represents present value of the vested Special Officer Retiree Medical Plan (SORMP). Mr. Petters is the only participant in this program. Amount shown is applicable only to the termination due to disability scenario.

Unvested RS/RSU Value	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Unvested Stock Option Value	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Unvested Performance-Based RS/RSU Value ²	\$29,911,979	\$8,520,825	\$4,358,470	\$7,145,033	\$2,083,266
Retiree Medical (SORMP) ³	\$529,092	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Payments	\$32,285,377	\$9,183,063	\$4,960,505	\$7,683,981	\$2,552,098

Pro-rata bonus paid upon termination is based on target. Actual bonuses paid for performance during 2014 exceeded targ and are shown in the table above.

72

²⁰¹²⁻²⁰¹⁴ RPSR cycle paid based on actual performance through 12/31/2014. Petters, Niland, Mulherin and Cuccias are retirement eligible, unvested cycles are pro-rated at target.

Represents present value of the vested Special Officer Retiree Medical Plan (SORMP). Mr. Petters is the only participant in this program.

Executive Compensation (Continue

The terms and conditions of Mr. Edenzon s RPSRs for 2012, 2013 and 2014 were amended to provide for immediate vest upon his retirement, subject to the continuation of the respective three-year performance periods, as set forth in the terms at conditions of the RPSRs. In addition, distributions from his qualified and nonqualified savings/pension plans have begun will begin in accordance with all plan provision

73

Audit Committee Matters

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPOR

As described more fully in its charter, among the purposes for which the Audit Committee was organized are to assist the Boa in its general oversight of (a) the integrity of the company s financial statements and the company s accounting and fina reporting processes and financial statement audits and (b) the company s system of internal controls over financial report. The Audit Committee serves a Board-level oversight role, in which it provides advice, counsel and direction to management the internal audit department and the independent auditors on the basis of information it receives, discussions with management, the Vice President of Internal Audit and the independent auditors, and the experience of the Audit Committee members in business, financial and accounting matter

In discharging its oversight role, the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements contained in to company is Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, with management, the Vice President Internal Audit and the company is independent auditors. Management is responsible for the financial statements and reporting process, including the system of disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting. The independent auditors are responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of the financial statements with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and on the effectiveness of the company is internal control over financial reporting.

The Audit Committee met privately with the independent auditors and discussed issues deemed significant by the independent auditors, and the Audit Committee has discussed with the independent auditors the matters required to be discussed by the statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol. 1, AU section 380), as adopted the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200

In addition, the Audit Committee discussed with the independent auditors their independence from HII and its managemer received the written disclosures and the letter required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent auditors—communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence; considered whether the provision of non-audit services by the independent auditors was compatible with maintaining the independent auditors—independent auditors—

In reliance on the reviews and discussions described above, the Audit Committee has recommended to the Board that to audited financial statements be included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, for film with the SE.

Submitted by the members* of the Audit Committee

Karl M. von der Heyden, Cha

Robert F. Brun

Thomas C. Schievelbe

*John K. Welch was appointed to the Audit Committee on February 24, 2015, subsequent to the date that the report w submittee

74

Audit Committee Matters (Continue

PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICE

Deloitte & Touche LLP audited our consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, Deloitte & Touche provided audit, audit-related and non-audit services. Aggregate fees for professional services rendered to us for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, were as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	2014	2013
Fees Billed:		
Audit Fees	5,842	5,372
Audit-Related Fees	930	1,046
Tax Fees		
All Other Fees	22	22
Total	6,794	6,440

Audit fees were paid in 2014 and 2013 for professional services rendered in connection with the audits of our 2014 and 20 annual financial statements, respectively, reviews of the financial statements included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-filed in 2014 and 2013, respectively, and statutory audi

Audit-related fees were incurred in 2014 for audits of employee benefit plans, including \$391,000 for services performed f and paid by the plans. Audit-related fees were incurred in 2013 for audits of employee benefit plans, including \$419,000 f services performed for and paid by the plans.

All other fees for 2014 and 2013 include non-audit-related fees that were incurred each year in connection with huma resources research and advisory services and an on-line accounting and human resource research too

AUDIT COMMITTEE PRE-APPROVAL POLICIES AND PROCEDURE

All 2014 services provided by Deloitte & Touche were approved by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee also review these services to ensure compatibility with maintaining the auditor s independent in the committee.

The Audit Committee has a pre-approval policy and procedures related to the provision of services by our independent auditor.

Under the policy and procedures, the Audit Committee pre-approves both the type of services to be provided by o independent auditor and the estimated fees related to these services. During the approval process, the Audit Committee considers the impact of the types of services and the related fees on the independence of the auditor. The services and fee must be deemed compatible with the maintenance of the auditor s independence, including compliance with SEC rules regulation.

The Audit Committee must approve any fees for audit and non-audit services that exceed by certain amounts the estimates fees for such services initially approved. The Audit Committee is advised quarterly in any event on the services performed by and fees paid to the independent auditor year-to-date for the fiscal year

75

Stock Ownership Information

STOCK OWNERSHIP OF OFFICERS AND DIRECTOR

The following table provides information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common stock, as of February 2 2015, b

each of our directors;

each officer named in the Summary Compensation Table; and

all of our directors and executive officers as a group.

Except as otherwise provided in the footnotes below, each person or entity identified in the following table has sole voting at investment power with respect to the securities owned by such person or entity. None of our directors or executive officers have pledged any of our common stock.

As of February 25, 2015, 48,303,905 shares of our common stock were outstanding

Shares Beneficially Options Equivalents Units Of Class Options Class Options Class Options Class Options Class Options Optio
Non-Employee Directors Thomas B. Fargo 1,201 15,404 16,606 8 Robert F. Bruner 13,171 13,171 13,171 8 Victoria D. Harker 3,726 3,726 8 Anastasia D. Kelly 13,171 13,171 13,171 13,171 Paul D. Miller 13,171 13,171 13,652 8 Thomas C. Schievelbein 481 13,171 13,652 8
Robert F. Bruner 13,171 13,171 3 Victoria D. Harker 3,726 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 13 17 13,171 3 3 3 13 17 13 3<
Robert 1. Brunch 13,171 13,171 Victoria D. Harker 3,726 3,726 3 Anastasia D. Kelly 13,171 13,171 3 Paul D. Miller 13,171 13,171 3 Thomas C. Schievelbein 481 13,171 13,652 3
Anastasia D. Kelly Paul D. Miller 13,171 13,171 Thomas C. Schievelbein 481 13,171 13,652
Paul D. Miller 13,171 13,171 17 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
Thomas C. Schievelbein 481 13,171 13,652
110mas C. Schievenden
Karl M. von der Heyden 223 12,948 13,171
John K. Welch
Named Executive Officers
C. Michael Petters 325,068 525,166 182 850,416 1.7
Barbara A. Niland 98,234 98,234 **
Matthew J. Mulherin 88,775 123 88,897
Bruce N. Hawthorne 39,062 1,969 41,031
Brian J. Cuccias 37,959 38,030
Irwin F. Edenzon(4) 31,649 321 31,970
Directors and Executive Officers as a Group
(20 persons) 804,723 525,166 3,586 1,418,235 2.5

- * Less than 1%.
- (1) Represents shares subject to option that are either currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of February 28, 2015.
- (2) Represents share equivalents with pass-through voting rights in the Huntington Ingalls Industries Savings Plan and/or the Huntington Ingalls Industries Savings Excess Plan.
- (3) Represents vested restricted stock units, which will generally become payable within 30 days following the date a non-employee director ceases to provide services as a member of the Board of Directors. A restricted stock unit is payable in either a share of common stock or, at the discretion of the Board of Directors, cash of equivalent value at the time of vesting (or a combination of cash and shares).

76

Stock Ownership Information (Continue

(4) Reflects beneficial ownership as of December 1, 2014, the date of Mr. Edenzon s retirement.

For a description of our stock ownership guidelines and stock holding requirements, see page 51 of this proxy statement.

STOCK OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNER

To our knowledge, as of February 27, 2015, the following entities beneficially owned more than 5% of our common stock

		Percent
	Shares	of
	Beneficially	Class
Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Owned	(%)
Franklin Mutual Advisers, LLC	2,567,650	5.3 (a
101 John F. Kennedy Parkway, Short Hills, NJ 07078		
BlackRock, Inc.	2,974,103	6.2 (b
40 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10022		
The Vanguard Group	3,455,575	7.2 (c
100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355		
State Street Corporation	2,481,299	5.1 (d
One Lincoln Street, Boston, MA 02111		
FMR LLC	2,484,508	5.1 (e
245 Summer Street, Boston, MA 02210		

- (a) This information is derived from information regarding our common stock in a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC by Franklin Mutual Advisers, LLC (Franklin Mutual) on February 3, 2015. According to Franklin Mutual, as of December 31, 2014, Franklin Mutual had sole voting power and sole dispositive power over 2,567,650 shares of common stock.
- (b) This information is derived from information regarding our common stock in a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC by BlackRock, Inc. (BlackRock) on January 30, 2015. According to BlackRock, as of December 31, 2014, BlackRock has sole voting power over 2,828,947 shares of common stock and dispositive power over 2,974,103 shares of common stock
- (c) This information is derived from information regarding our common stock in a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC by TV Vanguard Group (Vanguard) on February 10, 2015. According to Vanguard, as of December 31, 2014, Vanguard had voting power over 32,444 shares of common stock, sole dispositive power over 3,426,931 shares of common stock and shared dispositive power over 28,644 shares of common stock.

- (d) This information is derived from information regarding our common stock in a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC by State Street Corporation (State Street) on February 12, 2015. According to State Street, as of December 31, 2014, State Street had shared voting power over 2,481,299 shares of common stock and shared dispositive power over 2,481,299 shares of common stock.
- (e) This information is derived from information regarding our common stock in a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC by FMI LLC (FMR) on February 13, 2015. According to FMR, as of December 31, 2014, FMR had sole voting power over 55 shares of common stock and shared dispositive power over 2,484,508 shares of common stock.

77

Item 1 Proposal to Elect Directo

The Board of Directors is currently divided into three classes. Each class of directors is elected for a three-year term of office and until their successors are elected.

NOMINEES FOR DIRECTO

The Board is nominating the three individuals named below for election as directors at the annual meeting for three-year term ending at our annual meeting in 2018 and until their successors are elected. Each of the nominees for director is current serving on the Board with a term that expires at the 2015 annual meeting. Under the retirement policy of our Corpora Governance Guidelines, a director may not be re-nominated at the annual meeting following his or her 76th birthday. Upon the recommendation of the Governance and Policy Committee, however, the Board may waive either of these requirements as any director, if the Board deems waiver to be in the best interests of the company. Although Mr. von der Heyden is 78 year old, the Board considered the value to the Board of his financial and accounting expertise and experience as a senior financial officer and director of public companies and unanimously agreed to waive the Board age limit. If any nominee is unable serve as a director, which we do not anticipate, the Board by resolution may reduce the number of directors or choose substitute nomine

Paul D. Miller

C. Michael Petters

Karl M. von der Heyden

For biographical information about the nominees for director, including information about their qualifications to serve as director, see The Board of Directors beginning on page

The Board recommends a vote FOR each of the three director nomined

78

Item 2 Proposal to Ratify the Appointment of Independe Auditor

Deloitte & Touche LLP audited our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 201

The Audit Committee has selected Deloitte & Touche as our independent registered public accounting firm to audit the consolidated financial statements of HII and its subsidiaries for the year ending December 31, 2015, and the effectiveness our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015. The Board has endorsed this appointment

Although ratification of our selection of Deloitte & Touche is not required by our bylaws, NYSE listing standards or otherwis we are asking our stockholders to do so as a matter of good corporate governance. The Board values the opportunity to recein input from our stockholders. If the selection of Deloitte & Touche is not ratified, the Audit Committee will consider whether is appropriate to select another independent registered public accounting firm. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may select a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the company and our stockholder

Representatives of Deloitte & Touche will attend the annual meeting, will have an opportunity to make statements if they desi and will be available to respond to questions, as appropriat

The Board recommends a vote FOR the ratification of the appointment of the independent auditor

79

Item 3 Proposal to Approve Executive Compensation on Advisory Basi

Stockholders have the opportunity to approve, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation of our Named Executive Officers. This vote is often referred to as say-on-pay. You are being asked to vote on the following resolutions.

RESOLVED, that the stockholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Named Executive Officer disclosed in the compensation discussion and analysis, the accompanying compensation tables, and the related narrati disclosure in this proxy statement.

As described in detail in this proxy statement under Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 35 compensation programs are designed to

be customer-focused, rewarding achievement of safety, quality, cost and schedule performance, and stockholder friendly, rewarding consistent achievement of strong financial results and optimization of stockholder value;

influence outcomes and provide a balance between short- and long-term performances;

incorporate clear and measurable financial results and accountabilities, with an emphasis on equity-based compensation, be formulaic in nature with appropriate levels of discretion and be market competitive;

be disclosed and explained in a transparent and understandable manner, enabling the assessment of performance by our Compensation Committee and by our stockholders through the CD&A;

produce significant individual rewards for achievement of business goals relating to both annual operating performance and increased stockholder value and reduce compensation for failure to achieve business goals;

promote alignment of management and stockholder interests by establishing and monitoring stock ownership requirements;

mitigate excessive risk by emphasizing a long-term focus on compensation and financial performance; and

be applied consistently for all incentive plan participants to ensure proper alignment, accountability and line-of-sight regarding commitments and priorities.

We believe that our compensation program, with its balance of base salary, short-term incentives (annual cash incentive awards) and long-term incentives, rewards sustained performance that is aligned with long-term stockholder interests.

encourage stockholders to read the compensation discussion and analysis, the accompanying compensation tables and the related narrative disclosures contained in this proxy statement.

The Board values the opportunity to receive input from our stockholders. Although this vote is non-binding, the Compensation Committee will consider the results of the vote when considering future executive compensation decisions. To the extent the is any significant negative vote, we will consult directly with our stockholders to better understand the concerns that influence

The Board recommends a vote FOR the approval of executive compensation of our Named Executive Officer

80

Item 4 Proposal to Amend Our Certificate of Incorporation Declassify the Board of Director

Background of the Proposed Amendme

We are asking you to approve an amendment to our Restated Certificate of Incorporation (the Certificate) to phase or classified structure of our Board of Directors. If you approve this amendment, all directors elected by the stockholders at an after the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders will be elected for one-year term

The Certificate currently divides the Board into three classes, with directors of each class being elected to serve three-ye terms. This creates the staggered, or classified, board structure that we have used since becoming an independent, put traded company in 2011. Under this structure, only one class of directors, constituting approximately one-third of the Board, considered for election each year

In the proxy statement for our 2014 annual meeting, we included a stockholder proposal urging the Board to act to elimina our classified Board structure. The Board remained neutral with respect to this proposal, and made no recommendation stockholders. At our 2014 annual meeting, our stockholders expressed strong support for this stockholder proposal, approving with more than 97% of the votes cast. While management did not make a recommendation regarding the proposal, v committed to the proponent that, if the proposal was approved, we would submit a proposal at the 2015 annual meeting stockholders that would implement the board declassification beginning with director elections at the 2016 annual meeting. We are therefore asking you to approve an amendment to the Certificate to phase out the classified structure of our Board and establish annual elections for all of our directors. The Board has unanimously adopted the amendment, subject to stockhold approval at the annual meeting.

Description of the Proposed Amendme

The proposed amendment would modify Article VIII of the Certificate to phase out our Board s classified structure. If amendment is adopted, the director nominees standing for election at our 2016 annual meeting (currently expected to be three directors) to stand for election for one-year terms expiring in 2017. At our 2017 annual meeting, we would expect the director elected at the 2016 annual meeting and the directors with terms expiring at the 2017 annual meeting (currently expected to be three directors) would stand for election for one-year terms expiring in 2018. Beginning with our 2018 annual meeting, a directors, regardless of their original term of office, would stand for election every year for a term of one year

Consistent with Delaware law for corporations having classified boards, the Certificate currently provides that directors may be removed from office only for cause and only by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the total votin power of the outstanding shares of our capital stock entitled to vote thereon, voting together as a single class. The amendme provides that, except for directors who were elected prior to our 2016 annual meeting, and any director appointed by the Boat to replace any such director, directors may be removed with or without cause by the required stockholder vote. Directors who were elected prior to our 2016 annual meeting, and any director appointed by the Board to replace any such director, wou continue to be removable only for cause by the required stockholder vote.

If our stockholders do not approve this proposal, our directors will continue to be elected in three classes with stagger three-year terms and provisions of our certificate of incorporation relating to the removal of directors will not be amende

Item 4 Proposal to Amend Our Certificate of Incorporation Declassify the Board of Directors (Continue)

If the amendment is approved, a conforming amendment will be made to our bylaws to reflect the elimination of our classification board structure. Stockholder approval is not required to make the amendment to the bylaws, and our Board has already approved the amendment contingent on stockholder approval of this proposition.

Complete Text of the Proposed Amendme

The general description of the proposed amendment described above is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text the proposed amendment to the Certificate attached to this proxy statement as Annex.

If approved by stockholders at this annual meeting, this amendment will become effective upon filing of a Certificate Amendment to our Restated Certificate of Incorporation with the Secretary of State of Delaware, which we will do prompt after the annual meetin

The Board recommends a vote FOR the approval of the proposal to amend our Restated Certificate of Incorporation declassify the Board of Director

82

Other Information for Stockholder

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires directors and executive officers to file with the SEC reports initial ownership and changes in ownership of our equity securities. Based solely on a review of the reports furnished to us, v believe all of our directors and executive officers timely filed all reports they were required to file under Section 16(a) during the securities of the reports and executive officers timely filed all reports they were required to file under Section 16(a) during the securities of the reports and executive officers timely filed all reports they were required to file under Section 16(a) during the securities of the reports and executive officers timely filed all reports they were required to file under Section 16(a) during the securities of the reports and executive officers timely filed all reports they were required to file under Section 16(a) during the securities of the reports and executive officers timely filed all reports they were required to file under Section 16(a) during the securities of the reports and executive officers timely filed all reports they were required to file under Section 16(a) during the securities of the sec

ATTENDING THE ANNUAL MEETIN

Only stockholders or their legal proxy holders are invited to attend the annual meeting. To be admitted to the annual meeting you will need a form of government-issued photo identification. In addition, depending on how you hold your stock, you manneed valid proof of ownership of our common stock or a valid legal prox

If you are a stockholder of record, we will be able to verify your name in our share register with your government-issued photo identification. To be admitted to the annual meeting, stockholders of record must present a form of government-issued photo identification, which we will match with our share register.

If you are a street name stockholder, you must present a form of government-issued photo identification and proof of your ownership of our common stock as of the record date of March 6, 2015, such as a bank or brokerage account statement, to be admitted to the annual meeting.

If you are not a stockholder, you will be admitted to the annual meeting only if you have a form of government-issue photo identification and a valid legal proxy from a stockholder that held our stock as of the record date. If you are receiving a legal proxy from a stockholder of record, you must bring to the meeting the legal proxy from the record holder to you. If you are receiving a legal proxy from a street name stockholder, you must bring to the meeting the legal proxy from the record holder (*i.e.*, the bank, broker or other holder of record) to the street name holder that is assignable, and the legal proxy from the street name holder to you. Each stockholder may appoint only one proxy holder to attend the meeting on their behalf.

No cameras, camera phones, weapons, large bags, briefcases, backpacks or packages will be permitted in the annual meeting and no audio or video recording of the meeting will be permitted.

Directions to the location of the annual meeting are included on the form of prox

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTION

It is our policy that all employees and directors must avoid any activity that conflicts with or has the appearance of conflicting with our business interests. This policy is included in our Code of Ethics and Business Conduct. In addition, at least annuall each director and executive officer completes a detailed questionnaire specifying any business relationship that may give rise a conflict of interest with the companion

Process for Review of Related Person Transactions. Our Board of Directors has adopted a written policy for the review approval and ratification of transactions to which the company is a party, when the aggregate amount involved in the transaction will or may be expected to exceed \$100,000 in any year and any director, director nominee, executive office greater-than-5% beneficial owner or their respective immediate family members have or will have a direct or indirect interest.

83

Other Information for Stockholders (Continue

The policy provides that the Governance and Policy Committee will review transactions subject to the policy and determine whether or not to approve or ratify those transactions. In doing so, the Governance and Policy Committee considers whether the transaction is on terms that are no less favorable to the company than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third part under the same or similar circumstances, the extent of the related person is interest in the transaction, the materiality of proposed related person transaction, the actual or perceived conflict of interest between the company and the related person, the relationship of the proposed transaction to applicable state corporation and fiduciary obligation laws and rules, disclosurated standards, our Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Ethics and Business Conduct and the best interests of the company and our stockholders.

The Governance and Policy Committee has adopted standing pre-approvals under the policy for transactions with relate persons. Pre-approved transactions include, but are not limited to

- (a) compensation arrangements of executive officers where (i) the officer s compensation is required to be reported in the prestatement or (ii) the executive officer is not an immediate family member of another executive officer or director, the related compensation would have been reported in the proxy statement if the officer was a named executive officer and Compensation Committee approved such compensation
 - (b) director compensation where such compensation is required to be reported in the proxy statement and the arrangement have been approved by the Board of Director
 - (c) transactions in which the related person s interest derives solely from his or her service as a director of another corporate or organization that is a party to the transaction
 - (d) transactions with other companies where the related person s only relationship with the other company is as a direct employee (other than an executive officer) or beneficial owner of less than 10% of that company s shares and the aggregation amount involved does not exceed the greater of \$1 million or 2% of that company s total annual revenue.
 - (e) charitable contributions by the company, where the related person s only relationship is as an employee or director of charitable entity and where the aggregate amount does not exceed the lesser of \$1 million or 2% of the charitable entity s t annual receipt
 - (f) transactions where the related person s interest derives solely from his or her ownership of our common stock and stockholders receive proportional benefit
 - (g) transactions involving competitive bid
 - (h) regulated transactions; as
 - (i) certain banking-related service

The policy requires each director and executive officer to complete an annual questionnaire to identify his or her relate interests and persons, and to notify the Office of the General Counsel of changes in that information. The Office of the Gener Counsel receives such information and maintains a master list of related persons for purposes of tracking and reporting relate person transaction

Transactions with Related Perso

There have been no related party transactions since the beginning of 2014 that required review, approval or ratification by o Governance and Policy Committee under our related person transaction policy.

84

Annex

Proposed Amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation

The following language shows the changes to the relevant sections of the Restated Certificate of Incorporation that would rest from the proposed amendment to declassify our Board of Directors, with deletions indicated by strikethroughs and additional indicated by underlining

EIGHTI

- 1. Subject to the other provisions of this paragraph, 7the Board of Directors (other than those directors elected by the holders any series of Preferred Stock provided for or fixed pursuant to the provisions of Article FOURTH hereof (the Preferred St Directors)) shall beis and shall remain divided into three classes, designated Class I, Class II and Class III., with the director each Class serving for a term expiring at the third annual meeting of stockholders held after their election. Class I directors she initially serve for a term expiring the first annual meeting of stockholders following the effective time of the filing of t Restated Certificate of Incorporation inserting this sentence; Class II directors shall initially serve for a term expiring t second annual meeting of stockholders following such effective time; and Class III directors shall initially serve for a ter expiring the third annual meeting of stockholders following such effective time. Commencing with the first annual meeting stockholders following such effective time, directors of each class the term of which shall then expire shall be elected to be office for a term expiring at the third succeeding annual meeting of stockholders held after their election. Subject to a provisions relating to Preferred Stock Directors, the terms of the members of the Board of Directors shall be as follows: (i) the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders, the directors whose terms expire at that meeting or such directors successors shall elected to hold office for a one-year term expiring at the 2017 annual meeting of stockholders; (ii) at the 2017 annual meeting of stockholders, the directors whose terms expire at that meeting or such directors successors shall be elected to hold office a one-year term expiring at the 2018 annual meeting of stockholders; and (iii) at the 2018 annual meeting of stockholders and each annual meeting of stockholders thereafter, all directors shall be elected for a one-year term expiring at the next annu meeting after their election. The division of directors into classes shall terminate at the 2018 annual meeting of stockholder and all directors elected at the 2018 annual meeting of stockholders and thereafter shall be elected in accordance with clau (iii) above. Subject to any provisions relating to Preferred Stock Directors, directors shall remain in office until the election at qualification of their respective successors in office or until their earlier death, resignation or removal. The Board of Directs is authorized to assign members of the Board of Directors already in office to Class I, Class II or Class III, with suassignment effective as of the effective time of the filing of the Restated Certificate of Incorporation inserting this sentence
 - 2. Except for such additional directors, if any, as are elected by the holders of any series of Preferred Stock as provided for fixed pursuant to the provisions of Article FOURTH hereof, any director, or the entire Board of Directors, may be remove from office at any time, but only forwith or without cause (except for any directors who were elected prior to the 2016 annumeting of stockholders or such directors successors elected pursuant to Article NINTH hereof (which directors can only removed for cause)), by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the total voting power of the outstanding shares of capital stock of the Corporation entitled to vote thereon, voting together as a single class.

* * *

85

Proposed Amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation (Continue

NINTH: Except as may otherwise be provided pursuant to Section 2 of Article FourthFOURTH hereof in connection wirights to elect additional directors under specified circumstances which may be granted to the holders of any series of Preferror Stock, newly created directorships resulting from any increase in the authorized number of directors, or any vacancies on the Board of Directors resulting from death, resignation, removal or other causes, shall be filled solely by the affirmative vote of majority of the remaining directors then in office and entitled to vote thereon, even though less than a quorum of the Board Directors. Any director elected in accordance with the preceding sentence to fill a vacancy on the Board of Directors resulting from the death, resignation or removal of any director in a Class elected prior to the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders shall have director elected in accordance with the first sentence of this paragraph to (i) fill a newly created directorship resulting from the death resignation or removal of any director in a Class elected at or following the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders shall have office for a term expiring at the next annual meeting of stockholders and shall remain in office until his successor shall be elected and qualified or until such director is death, resignation or removal, whichever first occ

* * *

TWELFTH: An annual meeting of the stockholders for the election of directors to succeed those whose terms expire and f the transaction of such other business as may properly come before the meeting, shall be held at such place, on such date and such time as the Board of Directors (or an authorized committee thereof) shall fix. Advance notice of stockholder nomination for the election of directors and of business to be brought by stockholders before any meeting of the stockholders of the Corporation shall be given in the manner provided in the Bylaws of the Corporation. The directors of the Corporation need n be elected by written ballot unless the bylaws so provide. Subject to the terms of any class or series of Preferred Stock, speci meetings of the stockholders of the Corporation may be called only by the Board of Directors (or an authorized committee thereof) or the Chairperson of the Board of Directors. Only such business shall be conducted at a special meeting stockholders as shall have been brought before the meeting pursuant to the Corporation s notice of meeting

* * *

86

Electronic Voting Instructions

Available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week!

Instead of mailing your proxy, you may choose one of the voting methods outlined below to vote your proxy.

VALIDATION DETAILS ARE LOCATED BELOW IN THE TITLE BAR.

Proxies submitted by the Internet or telephone must be received by 11:59 p.m., Eastern Daylight Time, on April 29, 2015.

Vote by Internet

Go to www.envisionreports.com/HII

Or scan the QR code with your smartphor

Follow the steps outlined on the secure website

Vote by telephone

Call toll free **1-800-652-VOTE** (**8683**) within the US. US territories & Canada on a touch tone telephone

Follow the instructions provided by the recorded message

Using a $\underline{black\ ink}$ pen, mark your votes with an X as shown in

this example. Please do not write outside the designated areas.

X

 ${\bf q}$ IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. ${\bf q}$

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 11-K The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** the three nominees for director. 1. Elect three Directors: For Withhold For Withhold For Withhold 01 - Paul D. Miller 02 - C. Michael Petters 03 - Karl M. von der Heyden The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** Proposals 2, 3 and 4. For Against Abstain For Against Abstain 2. Ratify the appointment of 3. Approve executive compensation on Deloitte & Touche LLP as our an advisory basis. independent auditors for 2015. 4. Approve an amendment to our Certificate of Incorporation to declassify our Board of Directors. **Non-Voting Items** Comments Please print your comments below. Meeting Attendance Change of Address Please print your new address below. Mark the box to the right ... if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting. Authorized Signatures This section must be completed for your vote to be counted. Date and Sign Below Please sign exactly as name(s) appears hereon. Joint owners should each sign. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, corporate officer, trustee, guardian, or custodian, please give full title.

Date (mm/dd/yyyy) Please print date Signature 1 Please keep signature within the b&ignature 2 Please keep signature within the below.

Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc.

2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Thursday, April 30, 2015, at 11:00 a.m. EDT

Herbert H. Bateman Virginia Advanced Shipbuilding and Carrier Integration Center

2401 West Avenue

Newport News, Virginia 23607

Driving Directions

From Interstate I-64, take Exit 264 to Interstate I-664 Sout

From I-664, take Exit 6 to 26th Stree

Continue on 26th Street until it intersects with West Avenue at a stop sig

Turn Left onto West Avenue; and the

Turn **Right** into VASCI

Continue into the covered garage for parkin

 ${\bf q}$ IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. ${\bf q}$

Proxy Huntington Ingalls Industries, I

Notice of 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholder

This proxy is solicited on behalf of the Board of Directors of Huntington Ingalls Industries, In

The undersigned, having received the Notice of 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement, appoints Kellye Walker and Charles R. Monroe, Jr., and each of them, Proxies with full power of substitution, for and in the name of the undersigned, to vote all shares of common stock of Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc. owned of record by the undersigned, at which the undersigned is entitled to vote, in each case on all matters that may come before the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on April 30, 2015, at 11:00 a.m. EDT, at the Herbert H. Bateman Virginia Advanced Shipbuilding at Carrier Integration Center, 2401 West Avenue, Newport News, Virginia 23607, and any adjournments or postponement thereof.

If shares are held on your behalf under any of the Company savings plans, the proxy serves to provide confidential instruction to the plan Trustee, who then votes the shares. Instructions must be received by 11:59 p.m. EDT on April 27, 2015, to lincluded in the tabulation to the plan Trustee. For shares represented by proxies not received by this date, the applicable plan Trustee will treat the received proxies as instructions to vote the respective plan shares in the same proportion as shares he under the plan for which voting instructions have been received, unless contrary to ERISA.

Shares represented by this proxy will be voted as directed by the stockholder. If no such directions are indicated, the Proxies will vote FOR the three nominees for director and FOR Proposals 2, 3 and 4.

The Proxies are authorized to vote in their discretion upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

(Items to be voted appear on reverse side.)