

TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/
Form 10-K
February 25, 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission file number 001-14157

TELEPHONE AND DATA SYSTEMS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

36-2669023
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

30 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois
(Address of principal executive offices)

60602
(Zip code)

Registrant's Telephone Number: (312) 630-1900

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Shares, \$.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange
Special Common Shares, \$.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange
7.60% Series A Notes due 2041	New York Stock Exchange
6.625% Senior Notes due 2045	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

As of June 30, 2009, the aggregate market values of the registrant's Common Shares, Special Common Shares, Series A Common Shares and Preferred Shares held by non-affiliates were approximately \$1.2 billion, \$0.6 billion, \$2.9 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. For purposes hereof, it was assumed that each director, executive officer and holder of 10% or more of any class of voting equity security of TDS is an affiliate. The June 30, 2009 closing price of the Common Shares was \$28.30 and the Special Common Shares was \$25.96, as reported by the New York Stock Exchange. Because no market exists for the Series A Common Shares and Preferred Shares, the registrant has assumed for purposes hereof that (i) each Series A Common Share has a market value equal to one Common Share because the Series A Common Shares were initially issued by the registrant in exchange for Common Shares on a one-for-one basis and are convertible on a share-for-share basis into Common Shares, (ii) each nonredeemable Preferred Share has a market value of \$100 because each of such shares had a stated value of \$100 when issued, and (iii) each Preferred Share that is redeemable by the delivery of TDS Common Shares has a value equal to the value of the number of Common Shares (at \$28.30 per share) on June 30, 2009 that would be required to be delivered upon redemption.

The number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of January 29, 2010, is 49,804,909 Common Shares, \$.01 par value, 49,597,872 Special Common Shares, \$.01 par value and 6,491,529 Series A Common Shares, \$.01 par value.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Those sections or portions of the registrant's 2009 Annual Report to Shareholders, filed as Exhibit 13 hereto, and of the registrant's Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement for its 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders scheduled to be held May 26, 2010, described in the cross reference sheet and table of contents included herein are incorporated by reference into Parts II and III of this report.

Telephone and Data Systems, Inc.

Annual Report on Form 10-K

For The Period Ended December 31, 2009

CROSS REFERENCE SHEET AND TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page Number or Reference (1)
Part I	
Item 1. Business	1
Item 1A. Risk Factors	24
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	40
Item 2. Properties	40
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	41
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	41
Part II	
Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	42(2)
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	44(3)
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	44(4)
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	44(5)
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	44(6)
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	44
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	44
Item 9B. Other Information	45
Part III	
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	46(7)
Item 11. Executive Compensation	46(8)
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	46(9)
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	46(10)
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	46(11)
Part IV	
Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	47

(1) Parenthetical references are to information incorporated by reference from Exhibit 13 hereto, which includes portions of the registrant's Annual Report to Shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2009 (Annual Report) and from the registrant's Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement for its 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (Proxy Statement) to be filed on or prior to April 30, 2010.

(2) Annual Report sections entitled "TDS Stock and Dividend Information" and "Consolidated Quarterly Information (Unaudited)", except that "Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans" is incorporated in Item 12 of this Form 10-K and "Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities," is included under Item 5 of this Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

- (3) Annual Report section entitled Selected Consolidated Financial Data, except that Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges is included in Exhibit 12 to this Form 10-K.
- (4) Annual Report section entitled Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.
- (5) Annual Report section entitled Market Risk.
- (6) Annual Report sections entitled Consolidated Statement of Operations, Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows, Consolidated Balance Sheet, Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Consolidated Quarterly Information (Unaudited), Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
- (7) Proxy Statement sections entitled Election of Directors, Corporate Governance, Executive Officers and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance.
- (8) Proxy Statement section entitled Executive and Director Compensation.
- (9) Proxy Statement sections entitled Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans.
- (10) Proxy Statement sections entitled Corporate Governance, and Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.
- (11) Proxy Statement section entitled Fees Paid to Principal Accountants.

Telephone and Data Systems, Inc.

30 NORTH LASALLE STREET, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60602

TELEPHONE (312) 630-1900

PART I

Item 1. Business

Telephone and Data Systems, Inc. (TDS) is a diversified telecommunications service company with wireless operations provided by TDS 82%-owned subsidiary, United States Cellular Corporation (U.S. Cellular), and wireline operations provided by TDS wholly owned subsidiary, TDS Telecommunications Corporation (TDS Telecom). TDS also conducts printing and distribution services through its 80%-owned subsidiary, Suttle-Straus, Inc. (Suttle-Straus). At December 31, 2009, TDS served approximately 7.2 million customers in 36 states, including 6.1 million wireless customers and 1.1 million wireline equivalent access lines. U.S. Cellular, TDS Telecom and Suttle-Straus provided approximately 84%, 16% and less than 1%, respectively, of TDS consolidated revenues during 2009. TDS business strategy is to expand its existing operations through internal growth and acquisitions and to explore and develop other telecommunications and related businesses that management believes will utilize TDS expertise in customer-focused telecommunications services.

TDS has three reportable segments: (i) U.S. Cellular's wireless operations; (ii) TDS Telecom's Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier (ILEC) wireline operations and (iii) TDS Telecom's Competitive Local Exchange Carrier (CLEC) wireline operations. Information about each of these segments is disclosed below. Additional information about TDS segments is incorporated herein by reference from Note 19 Business Segment Information, in TDS Annual Report to Shareholders, filed as Exhibit 13 hereto. TDS does not have any foreign operations.

TDS was incorporated in 1968 and changed its state of incorporation from Iowa to Delaware in 1998. TDS executive offices are located at 30 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60602. Its telephone number is 312-630-1900.

TDS Common Shares trade under the ticker symbol TDS and the Special Common Shares trade under the ticker symbol TDS~~S~~ on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE). U.S. Cellular Common Shares trade on the NYSE under the ticker symbol USM .

TDS 7.60% Series A Notes trade on the NYSE under the symbol TDA and TDS 6.625% Senior Notes trade under the symbol TDI . U.S. Cellular's 7.5% Senior Notes trade under the symbol UZV and U.S. Cellular's 8.75% Senior Notes traded on the NYSE under the symbol UZG until they were redeemed on December 24, 2009.

U.S. Cellular is a majority-owned subsidiary of TDS. As of December 31, 2009, TDS owned 82% of the combined total of the outstanding Common Shares and Series A Common Shares of U.S. Cellular and controlled 96% of the combined voting power of both classes of common stock.

Available Information

TDS website is <http://www.teldta.com>. TDS files with, or furnishes to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, as well as various other information. Anyone may access, free of charge, through the Investor Relations portion of the website, the TDS annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to such reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practical after such material is electronically filed with the SEC. The public may read and copy any materials TDS files with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-732-0330. The public may also view electronic filings of TDS by accessing SEC filings at <http://www.sec.gov>.

U.S. Cellular's website address is <http://www.uscc.com>. U.S. Cellular files with, or furnishes to, the SEC annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, as well as various other information. Investors may access, free of charge, through the Investor Relations portion of the website, U.S. Cellular's annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to such reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practical after such material is filed electronically with the SEC. The public may read and copy any materials U.S. Cellular files with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-732-0330. The public may also view electronic filings of U.S. Cellular by accessing SEC filings at <http://www.sec.gov>.

U.S. Cellular Operations

General

United States Cellular Corporation (U.S. Cellular) was incorporated under the laws of the state of Delaware in 1983. At December 31, 2009, U.S. Cellular provided wireless voice and data services to more than 6.1 million customers in five geographic market areas in 26 states. U.S. Cellular believes that it is the sixth largest wireless operating company in the United States at December 31, 2009 based on internally prepared calculations of the aggregate number of customers in its consolidated markets compared to the number of customers disclosed by other wireless companies in their publicly released information. U.S. Cellular operates in only one reportable segment, wireless operations, and all of its wireless operating markets are in the United States.

Wireless Interests

U.S. Cellular is a wireless telecommunications service provider. U.S. Cellular operates its wireless systems under an organizational structure in which it groups its markets (geographic service areas as defined by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) in which wireless carriers are licensed, for fixed terms, to provide service) into geographic market areas to offer customers large service areas that primarily utilize U.S. Cellular's network. Since 1985, when it began providing wireless telecommunications service in Knoxville, Tennessee and Tulsa, Oklahoma, U.S. Cellular has expanded its wireless networks and customer service operations to cover five geographic market areas in portions of 26 states, which represents a total population of 46.3 million, as of December 31, 2009. U.S. Cellular uses roaming agreements with other wireless carriers to provide service to its customers in areas not covered by U.S. Cellular's network.

U.S. Cellular is subject to regulation by the FCC as a provider of wireless communication services. The FCC regulates the licensing, construction, and operation of providers of wireless communications systems, as well as the provision of services over those systems. See Regulation below for further discussion regarding licenses as well as the regulations promulgated by the FCC.

U.S. Cellular's ownership interests in wireless licenses include both consolidated and investment interests in licenses covering portions of 35 states and a total population of 89.7 million at December 31, 2009.

For purposes of tracking population counts in order to calculate market penetration, when U.S. Cellular acquires a licensed area that overlaps a licensed area it already owns, it does not duplicate the population counts for any overlapping licensed area. Only incremental population counts

are added to the reported amount of total market population in the case of an acquisition of a licensed area that overlaps a previously owned licensed area. The incremental population counts that are added in such event are referred to throughout this Form 10-K as incremental population measurements.

The total market population and population equivalents measures are provided to enable comparison of the relative size of each geographic market area to U.S. Cellular's total consolidated markets and to enable comparison of the relative size of U.S. Cellular's consolidated markets to its investment interests, respectively. The total population of U.S. Cellular's consolidated markets may have no direct relationship to the number of wireless customers or the revenues that may be realized from the operation of the related wireless systems. Therefore, U.S. Cellular's reporting of total population includes the population of its total consolidated markets as well as the population of its consolidated operating markets i.e., consolidated markets in which wireless services are provided to customers in order to reflect its market penetration more accurately. Total consolidated markets include the consolidated operating markets and consolidated markets in which U.S. Cellular does not currently provide wireless services. For comparison purposes, total market population and penetration calculations for both total consolidated markets and consolidated operating markets are shown below.

For both consolidated markets and consolidated operating markets, the tables below aggregate the total population within each geographic market area at December 31, 2009, regardless of U.S. Cellular's percentage ownership in the licenses included in such geographic market areas.

Total Consolidated Markets

Geographic Market Areas	Population(1) (2)	Customers	Penetration	States
Central	63,839,000	3,848,000	6.0%	AL, AR, CO, FL, GA, IA, IL, IN, KS, KY, LA, MI, MN, MO, MS, NE, OH, OK, SD, TX, WI
Mid-Atlantic	19,373,000	1,178,000	6.1%	MD, NC, PA, SC, TN, VA, WV
New England	2,865,000	515,000	18.0%	ME, NH, VT
Northwest	3,149,000	416,000	13.2%	CA, OR, WA
New York	486,000	184,000	37.7%	NY
Total	89,712,000	6,141,000	6.8%	

(1) Represents 100% of the population of the licensed areas which U.S. Cellular consolidates, based on 2008 Claritas population estimates. Population in this context includes only the areas covering such markets and is used only for the purposes of calculating market penetration and is not related to population equivalents, as defined below. It also includes 100% of the population of two licensed areas where U.S. Cellular owns a controlling interest and has contracted with another wireless operator to manage the operations.

(2) Includes 4.5 million incremental population counts resulting from the licenses awarded to King Street Wireless L.P. in December 2009.

Consolidated Operating Markets

Geographic Market Areas	Population(1)	Customers	Penetration	States
Central	32,832,000	3,848,000	11.7%	IA, IL, IN, KS, MI, MN, MO, NE, OH, OK, TX, WI
Mid-Atlantic	7,766,000	1,178,000	15.2%	MD, NC, PA, SC, TN, VA, WV
New England	2,865,000	515,000	18.0%	ME, NH, VT
Northwest	2,357,000	416,000	17.6%	CA, OR, WA
New York	486,000	184,000	37.7%	NY
Total	46,306,000	6,141,000	13.3%	

(1) Represents 100% of the population of the licensed areas which U.S. Cellular consolidates and are in operation, based on 2008 Claritas population estimates. Population in this context includes only the areas covering such markets and is used only for the purposes of calculating market penetration and is not related to population equivalents, as defined below. It also includes 100% of the population of two licensed areas where U.S. Cellular owns a controlling interest and has contracted with another wireless operator to manage the operations.

Investment Markets

The following table summarizes the markets in which U.S. Cellular owns an investment interest at December 31, 2009. For licenses in which U.S. Cellular owns an investment interest, the related population equivalents are shown, defined as the total population of each licensed area multiplied by U.S. Cellular's ownership interest in each such license.

Market Area/Market	Population(1)	Current Percentage Interest(2)	Current Population Equivalents(3)
Los Angeles/Oxnard, CA	18,296,000	5.5%	1,006,000
Oklahoma City, OK	1,139,000	14.6%	166,000
Others (fewer than 100,000 population equivalents each)			345,000
Total population equivalents in investment markets			1,517,000

-
- (1) Represents 100% of the total population of the licensed area in which U.S. Cellular owns an interest based on 2008 Claritas population estimates.
 - (2) Represents U.S. Cellular's percentage ownership interest in the licensed area as of December 31, 2009.
 - (3) Current Population Equivalents are derived by multiplying the amount in the Population column by the percentage interest indicated in the Current Percentage Interest column.

Business Development Strategy

U.S. Cellular's business development strategy is to obtain interests in and access to wireless licenses in areas adjacent to or in proximity to its other wireless licenses, thereby building contiguous operating market areas. U.S. Cellular anticipates that grouping its operations into market areas will continue to provide it with certain economies in its capital and operating costs. U.S. Cellular may continue to make opportunistic acquisitions or exchanges of markets that further strengthen its operating market areas and in other attractive markets. U.S. Cellular also believes that the acquisition of additional licenses within its operating territories will enhance its network capacity to meet its customers' increased demand for data services. U.S. Cellular seeks to acquire minority interests in licenses in which it already owns the majority interest and/or operates the license. From time to time, U.S. Cellular has divested outright or included in exchanges for other wireless interests certain consolidated and investment interests that were considered less essential to its operating strategy. As part of this strategy, U.S. Cellular from time to time may be engaged in negotiations relating to the acquisition or exchange of companies, strategic properties or wireless spectrum or the disposition of properties. In addition, U.S. Cellular may participate as a bidder, or member of a bidding group, in auctions for wireless spectrum administered by the FCC.

U.S. Cellular engaged in the following significant transactions to further enhance its operating market areas in the last five years.

FCC Auctions. From time to time, the FCC conducts auctions through which additional spectrum is made available for the provision of wireless services. U.S. Cellular has participated in certain prior FCC auctions indirectly through its limited partnership interests. Each entity qualified as a designated entity and thereby was eligible for bid credits with respect to licenses purchased in accordance with the rules defined by the FCC for each auction. In most cases, the bidding credits resulted in a 25% discount from the gross winning bid.

Auction 73. The FCC auction of spectrum in the 700 megahertz band closed on March 20, 2008. U.S. Cellular participated in Auction 73 indirectly through its limited partnership interest in King Street Wireless L.P. (King Street Wireless). King Street Wireless paid \$300.5 million to the FCC in 2008 for 152 licenses for which it was the successful winning bidder in the auction. These licenses were granted by the FCC in December 2009.

Auction 66. The FCC auction of spectrum in the advanced wireless services (AWS-1) band closed on September 18, 2006. U.S. Cellular participated in Auction 66 indirectly through its limited partnership interest in Barat Wireless L.P. (Barat Wireless). Barat Wireless paid \$127.1 million to the FCC in 2006 for 17 licenses for which it was the successful bidder in the auction. These licenses were granted by the FCC in 2007.

Auction 58. The FCC auction of spectrum in the personal communication services (PCS) band closed on February 15, 2005. U.S. Cellular participated in Auction 58 indirectly through its limited partnership interest in Carroll Wireless L.P. (Carroll Wireless). Carroll Wireless paid \$129.7 million to the FCC in 2005 for 16 licenses for which it was the successful bidder in the auction. These licenses were granted by the FCC in 2006.

Products and Services

Wireless Devices. U.S. Cellular offers a wide range of wireless handsets and laptop cards for use by its customers. All of the wireless devices that U.S. Cellular offers are compatible with its Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) 1XRTT and/or third generation Evolution-Data Optimized (3G) network. Also, all of the handsets U.S. Cellular currently offers are compliant with the FCC's enhanced wireless 911 (E-911) requirements. In addition, U.S. Cellular offers a wide range of accessories, such as carrying cases, hands-free devices, batteries, battery chargers and other items to customers, and U.S. Cellular sells wireless devices to agents and other third-party distributors for resale. U.S. Cellular frequently discounts wireless handset devices sold to new and current customers and provides upgraded handsets to current customers in response to competition, to attract new customers or to retain existing customers by reducing the cost of becoming or remaining a wireless customer. In most instances, where permitted by law, customers are required to sign a new service contract or extend their current service contract with U.S. Cellular at the time the handset sale takes place in order to receive such discount.

U.S. Cellular has established service facilities in many of its local markets to ensure quality service and repair of the wireless handset devices it sells. These facilities allow U.S. Cellular to improve its handset repair service by promptly assisting customers who experience equipment problems. Additionally, the following service repair programs are available to U.S. Cellular customers: over-the-counter exchange, smartphone advance exchange, loaner phones, device recycling and returns of devices. U.S. Cellular maintains a repair facility in Tulsa, Oklahoma to handle complex repair issues.

During 2009, U.S. Cellular's smartphone category was expanded with the addition of several BlackBerry® and Windows Mobile®-based handsets, such as the BlackBerry® Tour, BlackBerry® Flip, next generation BlackBerry® Curve, HTC Snap and HTC Touch Pro II. U.S. Cellular also plans to launch Android-based handsets during the second half of 2010. Handset devices that are considered smartphones use an identifiable operating system, often with the ability to add applications such as for enhanced data processing, connectivity or entertainment. In addition, U.S. Cellular expanded its premium handset offering with the addition of the LG Tritan, LG Bliss and Samsung Caliber. Premium handsets provide enhanced web-browsing, email and applications capabilities, but do not utilize an identifiable operating system. U.S. Cellular's competitive smartphone and premium handset offerings play a significant role in driving data service usage and revenues.

U.S. Cellular purchases wireless devices and accessory products from a number of manufacturers, with the substantial majority of such purchases currently made from LG InfoComm, Samsung, Research In Motion, Motorola, Personal Communications Devices, LLC, Superior Communications and Kyocera. U.S. Cellular negotiates volume discounts with its suppliers and works with them in promoting specific equipment in its local advertising. U.S. Cellular does not own significant product warehousing and distribution infrastructure. Instead, it contracts with an outside vendor for substantially all of its handset and other product warehousing, distribution and direct customer fulfillment requirements. For its battery swap program, which is described below, U.S. Cellular contracts with an outside vendor to provide battery warehousing, testing, distribution and procurement services.

U.S. Cellular monitors the financial condition of all of its wireless devices and accessories suppliers. Because U.S. Cellular purchases wireless devices and accessories from numerous suppliers, U.S. Cellular does not expect the deteriorating financial condition of any single supplier to affect U.S. Cellular's ability to offer a competitive variety of wireless devices and accessories for sale to customers.

Wireless Services. U.S. Cellular's customers are able to choose from a variety of packaged voice and data pricing plans that are designed to fit different usage patterns and customer needs, including both postpay and prepay options. The ability to help a customer find the right pricing plan is central to U.S. Cellular's brand positioning. U.S. Cellular generally offers wide area and national consumer plans that can be tailored to a customer's needs by the addition of features or feature packages. Many plans enable small work groups or families to share the plan minutes, enabling customers to get more value for their money. Business rate plans are offered to companies to meet their unique needs. U.S. Cellular's popular national rate plans price all calls, regardless of where they are made or received in the United States, as local calls with no long distance or roaming charges. Additionally, U.S. Cellular offers hybrid prepay service plans, which include unlimited or packages of minutes for a monthly fee.

U.S. Cellular's **easyedge**SM brand of enhanced data services uses a Binary Runtime Environment for Wireless (BREW) technology, licensed from Qualcomm, and adds limited computer-like functionality to non-smartphone handsets, enabling applications to be downloaded over-the-air directly to the customer's wireless device. These enhanced data services include news, weather, sports information, games, ring tones and other services. Applications are added to U.S. Cellular's **easyedge** catalog on an ongoing basis. Several significant applications include (1) Mobile Browser, which gives customers connectivity for accessing web e-mail, social networking and other Internet sites, and performing e-commerce transactions, (2) Mobile E-mail, which helps bridge the gap for customers who want to stay connected no matter where they are or what they are doing, (3) Your Navigator, which offers voice-prompted turn-by-turn navigation to help customers reach their destinations, (4) Music Sync , which turns a customer's handset into a music player and (5) Search & Info, which gives customers the ability to easily search for ring tones, wallpapers, games and applications. U.S. Cellular plans on further expansion of its **easyedge** and other enhanced services in 2010 and beyond.

U.S. Cellular also offers certain enhanced multimedia services, including Digital Radio, Mobile TV and 3D Gaming, over its 3G network.

Marketing

Customer Acquisition and Retention. U.S. Cellular's marketing plan is focused on acquiring, retaining and growing customer relationships by offering high-quality products and services built around customer needs at fair prices, supported by outstanding customer service. U.S. Cellular operates under a unified brand name and logo, U.S. Cellular, across all its markets. In June 2008, U.S. Cellular launched a new branding campaign, Believe in Something Better®. U.S. Cellular believes that creating positive connections with its customers enhances their wireless experience and builds customer loyalty. U.S. Cellular currently offers several innovative, customer-centric programs and services, at no cost to the customer. Under U.S. Cellular's Battery Swap program, a customer can exchange a battery that is dead or dying for one that is fully charged. The Overage Protection service provides customers peace-of-mind by receiving text message alerts when they come close to reaching their allowable monthly plan minutes or text messages in order to avoid overage charges. My Contacts Backup offers extra security for customers knowing that they can retrieve their contact numbers if they lose or damage their phones. U.S. Cellular also offers free incoming calls, text and picture messages.

U.S. Cellular increases customer awareness using traditional media such as television, radio, newspaper and direct mail advertising, and emerging media such as the Internet and sponsorships. U.S. Cellular has achieved its current level of penetration of its markets through a combination of a strong brand position, promotional advertising and broad distribution, and has been able to sustain a high customer retention rate based on its high-quality wireless network and outstanding customer service. U.S. Cellular's advertising is directed at gaining and retaining customers, improving potential customers' awareness of the U.S. Cellular brand, increasing existing customers' usage of U.S. Cellular's services and increasing the public awareness and understanding of the wireless services it offers. U.S. Cellular attempts to select the advertising and promotional media that are most appealing to the targeted groups of potential customers in each local market. U.S. Cellular supplements its advertising with a focused public relations program. This program combines nationally supported activities and unique local activities, events, and sponsorships to enhance public awareness of U.S. Cellular and its brand. These programs are aimed at supporting the communities U.S. Cellular serves. The programs range from loaning phones to public service operations in emergencies, to assisting victims of domestic abuse through U.S. Cellular's Stop Abuse From Existing programs, and to supporting safe driving programs. U.S. Cellular also invests millions of dollars in its education initiatives, such as Calling All Communities and Calling All Teachers, that support schools and teachers in the communities U.S. Cellular serves.

U.S. Cellular historically has maintained a low postpay customer churn rate by focusing on customer satisfaction, development of processes that are more customer-friendly, extensive training of frontline sales and support associates and the implementation of retention programs. The marketing plan stresses the value of U.S. Cellular's service offerings and incorporates combinations of rate plans, additional value-added features and services and wireless devices which are designed to meet the needs of defined customer segments and their usage patterns.

U.S. Cellular currently operates five regional Customer Care Centers with personnel who are responsible for customer service activities, and two national financial services centers with personnel who perform other credit and customer payment activities.

Distribution Channels. U.S. Cellular supports a multi-faceted distribution program, including retail sales and service centers, direct sales, and independent agents in the majority of its markets, plus the Internet and telesales for customers who wish to contact U.S. Cellular through those channels.

6

Company retail store locations are designed to market wireless service to the consumer and small business segments in a setting familiar to these types of customers. Retail sales associates work in over 400 U.S. Cellular-operated retail stores and kiosks. Direct sales consultants market wireless service to mid-size business customers. U.S. Cellular's e-commerce site enables customers to activate service and purchase handsets online, and this site is continually evolving to address customers' current needs. Traffic on U.S. Cellular's website is increasing as customers use the site for gathering information, purchasing handsets, signing up for service, exploring **easyedge** applications and finding the locations of its stores and agents.

U.S. Cellular maintains an ongoing training program to improve the effectiveness of retail sales associates and direct sales consultants by focusing their efforts on obtaining customers by facilitating the sale of appropriate packages for the customer's expected usage and value-added services that meet customer needs.

U.S. Cellular has relationships with exclusive and non-exclusive agents, which are independent businesses that obtain customers for U.S. Cellular on a commission basis. At December 31, 2009, U.S. Cellular had contracts with these businesses aggregating over 1,100 locations. U.S. Cellular provides additional support and training to its exclusive agents to increase customer satisfaction for customers they serve. U.S. Cellular's agents are generally in the business of selling wireless devices, wireless service packages and other related products, and include major appliance dealers and car stereo companies. No single agent accounted for 10% or more of U.S. Cellular's operating revenues during the past three years.

U.S. Cellular also markets wireless service through resellers. The resale business involves the sale of wholesale access and minutes to independent companies that package and resell wireless services to end-users. These resellers generally provide prepay and postpay services to subscribers under their own brand names and also provide their own billing and customer service. U.S. Cellular incurs no direct subscriber acquisition costs related to reseller customers. At December 31, 2009, U.S. Cellular had approximately 397,000 customers of resellers. For the year ended December 31, 2009, revenues from resale business were less than 1% of total service revenues.

Customers and System Usage

U.S. Cellular provides service to a broad range of customers from a wide array of demographic segments. U.S. Cellular uses a segmentation model to classify businesses and consumers into logical groupings for developing new products and services, direct marketing campaigns, and retention efforts. U.S. Cellular focuses on both retail consumer and business customers, with its business customer focus being on small-to-mid-size businesses in vertical industries such as construction, retail, professional services and real estate. These industries are primarily served through U.S. Cellular's retail and direct sales channels.

U.S. Cellular's main sources of revenues are from its own customers and from customers of competitors who roam on its network. The interconnectivity of wireless service enables a customer who is in a wireless service area other than the customer's home service area (a roamer) to place or receive a call in that service area. U.S. Cellular has entered into reciprocal roaming agreements with operators of other wireless systems covering virtually all systems with CDMA technology in the United States, Canada and Mexico. Roaming agreements offer customers the opportunity to roam on these systems. These reciprocal agreements automatically pre-register the customers of U.S. Cellular's systems in the other carriers' systems. In addition, a customer of a participating system roaming in a U.S. Cellular market where this arrangement is in effect is able to make and receive calls on U.S. Cellular's system. The charge for this service is negotiated as part of the roaming agreement between U.S. Cellular and the roaming customer's carrier. U.S. Cellular bills this charge to the customer's home carrier, which then bills the customer. In many instances, based on competitive factors, carriers, including U.S. Cellular, may charge lower amounts to their customers than the amounts actually charged by other wireless carriers for roaming.

U.S. Cellular's customer bills typically show separate charges for voice usage features, airtime in excess of the packaged amount (such packages may include roaming and long-distance usage), roaming, long-distance calls and data usage features, to the extent that such features are not included in the service plan package. As indicated above, U.S. Cellular's customers are able to choose from a variety of packaged voice and data pricing plans that are designed to fit different usage patterns and needs. Voice usage features provided by U.S. Cellular include wide area, national and mobile-to-mobile call delivery, caller ID blocking, call forwarding, voicemail, call waiting and three-way calling. Data usage features provided by U.S. Cellular include email services, instant messaging, and text and picture messaging.

Technology and System Design and Construction

Technology. Wireless communication systems transmit voice, data, graphics and video through the transmission of signals over networks of radio towers using radio spectrum licensed by the FCC. Access to local, regional, national and worldwide telecommunications networks is provided through system interconnections.

U.S. Cellular currently deploys CDMA 1XRTT digital technology throughout virtually all of its networks. Through roaming agreements with other CDMA-based wireless carriers, U.S. Cellular's customers may access CDMA service in virtually all areas of the United States. U.S. Cellular believes that CDMA technology offers advantages compared to the other second generation digital technologies, including greater spectral efficiency as well as better call quality. Another digital technology, Global System for Mobile Communication (GSM), has a larger installed base of customers worldwide. Since CDMA technology currently is not compatible with GSM technology, U.S. Cellular customers with CDMA-only based handsets are currently not able to use their handsets when traveling through areas serviced only by GSM-based networks. However, both CDMA and GSM technology are expected to be succeeded by fourth generation Long-Term Evolution (LTE) technology within several years, which is expected to result in most CDMA and GSM carriers having compatible technologies once they converge to LTE.

A high-quality network, supported by continued prudent investments in that network, will remain an important factor for wireless companies to remain competitive. U.S. Cellular continually reviews its long-term technology plans. Since 2006, U.S. Cellular has offered services based on 3G technology. This technology, which increases the speed of data transmissions on the wireless network, is deployed by certain other wireless companies. As of December 31, 2009, U.S. Cellular deployed 3G technology that covered 75% of its customers. U.S. Cellular plans to continue the expansion and anticipates that approximately 98% of its customers will be 3G covered by the end of 2010.

U.S. Cellular selected LTE technology as its approach to address demand for services enabled by fourth generation wireless technology. In late 2009, U.S. Cellular began technical trials of LTE in support of gaining knowledge of the customer benefits and technical expertise. This will enhance U.S. Cellular's planning for future LTE deployment opportunities. Another fourth generation technology, WiMax, recently has been deployed in certain U.S. markets by Sprint Nextel and a related entity, Clearwire. Although this fourth generation technology is being deployed ahead of LTE, most other wireless carriers, including Verizon, AT&T, Vodafone, China Mobile and several other major international wireless service providers, have announced plans to deploy LTE. As a result, LTE is expected to have more worldwide compatibility and cost efficiencies compared to WiMax.

System Design and Construction. U.S. Cellular designs and constructs its systems in a manner it believes will permit it to provide high-quality service to substantially all types of wireless devices that are compatible with its network technology. Designs are based on engineering studies which relate to specific markets. Such engineering studies are performed by U.S. Cellular personnel or third-party engineering firms. Network reliability is given careful consideration and extensive backup redundancy is employed in many aspects of U.S. Cellular's network design. Route diversity, ring topology and extensive use of emergency standby power are also utilized to enhance network reliability and minimize service disruption from any particular network element failure.

In accordance with its strategy of building and strengthening its operating market areas, U.S. Cellular has selected high-capacity digital wireless switching systems that are capable of serving multiple markets through a single mobile telephone switching office. U.S. Cellular's wireless systems are designed to facilitate the installation of equipment that will permit microwave interconnection between the mobile telephone switching office and the cell sites. U.S. Cellular has implemented such microwave interconnection in many of the wireless systems it operates.

In other areas, U.S. Cellular's systems rely upon wireline telephone connections to link cell sites with the mobile telephone switching office. Although the installation of microwave network interconnection equipment requires a greater initial capital investment, a microwave network enables a system operator to reduce the current and future charges associated with leasing backhaul capacity from a wireline telephone company.

U.S. Cellular believes that currently available technologies and appropriate capital additions will allow sufficient capacity on its networks to meet anticipated demand for voice services over the next few years. U.S. Cellular's continued investment in new licenses will support future demand for fourth generation broadband services using LTE. Increasing demand for high-speed data and video services may require the acquisition of additional licenses or spectrum to provide sufficient capacity in markets where U.S. Cellular currently offers or may in the future offer these services.

Construction of wireless systems is capital-intensive, requiring substantial investment for land and improvements, buildings, towers, mobile telephone switching offices, cell site equipment, microwave equipment, engineering and installation. U.S. Cellular uses primarily its own personnel to engineer each wireless system it owns and operates, and engages contractors to construct the facilities.

The costs (inclusive of the costs to acquire licenses) to develop the systems in which U.S. Cellular owns a controlling interest have historically been financed primarily through proceeds from debt and equity offerings and, in certain prior years, with cash generated by operations and proceeds from the sales of wireless interests. U.S. Cellular expects to meet most of its future funding requirements with cash generated by operations and funds available under its revolving credit facility. U.S. Cellular also may have access to public and private capital markets to help meet its long-term financing needs.

Competition

The wireless telecommunication industry is highly competitive. U.S. Cellular competes directly with several wireless service providers in each of its markets. In general, there are between three and five competitors in each wireless market in which U.S. Cellular provides service, excluding resellers and mobile virtual network operators (MVNOs). U.S. Cellular generally competes against each of the national wireless companies: Verizon Wireless, AT&T Mobility, Sprint Nextel, and T-Mobile USA. However, not all of these competitors operate in each market where U.S. Cellular does business. These competitors have substantially greater financial, technical, marketing, sales, purchasing and distribution resources than U.S. Cellular. In addition, U.S. Cellular competes against other regional wireless companies in certain areas, including Leap Wireless International, and resellers of wireless services. Since U.S. Cellular's competitors do not disclose their subscriber counts in specific regional service areas, market share for the competitors in each regional market cannot be precisely determined.

Since each of these competitors operates on systems using spectrum licensed by the FCC and has comparable technology and facilities, competition among wireless service providers for customers is principally on the basis of types of products and services, price, size of area covered, call quality, network speed and responsiveness of customer service. U.S. Cellular employs a customer satisfaction strategy throughout its markets that it believes has contributed to its overall success.

Wireless service providers continue to use handset availability and pricing to gain a competitive advantage, as almost everyone who wants and can afford a wireless handset already has one. The wireless handset is more than just a means for communication. Consumers' attitudes have shifted, and continue to shift, and a wireless handset becomes more important year after year as it expands to become the primary communication link to the world as well as a personal entertainment center and source of information. The availability of handsets on an exclusive basis to certain carriers provides them with a competitive advantage. As penetration in the industry increases over the next few years, U.S. Cellular believes that customer growth will be achieved primarily by capturing persons switching from other wireless carriers or increasing the number of multi-device users rather than by adding users that are new to the industry.

The use of national advertising and promotional programs by the national wireless service providers may be a source of additional competitive and pricing pressures in all U.S. Cellular markets, even if those operators may not provide direct service in a particular market. In addition, in the current wireless environment, U.S. Cellular's ability to compete depends on its ability to offer family and national calling plans. U.S. Cellular provides wireless services comparable to the national competitors, but the national wireless companies operate in a wider geographic area and are able to offer no- or low-cost roaming and long-distance calling packages over a wider area on their own networks than U.S. Cellular can offer on its network. When U.S. Cellular offers the same calling area as one of these competitors, U.S. Cellular incurs roaming charges for calls made in portions of the calling area, which are not part of its network, thereby increasing its cost of operations. In the central market area, U.S. Cellular's largest contiguous service area, U.S. Cellular can offer larger regional service packages without incurring significant roaming charges than it is able to offer in other parts of its network. U.S. Cellular depends on roaming agreements with other wireless carriers to provide voice and data roaming capabilities in areas not covered by U.S. Cellular's network.

Bundled offerings, in the form of triple plays and quadruple plays (combination of cable or satellite television service, high-speed Internet, wireline phone service, and wireless phone service), are common among some of U.S. Cellular's competitors. In addition, wireless carriers and others are beginning to roll out new or enhanced technologies to better meet the needs of the anytime, anywhere consumer. Convergence is taking place on many levels, including dual-mode devices that act as wireline or mobile phones depending on location and the incorporation of wireless hot spot technology in mobile handsets for improved in-building coverage and for making Internet access seamless regardless of location. Although less directly a substitute for other wireless services, wireless data services such as Wi-Fi may be adequate for those who do not need full mobility wide area roaming or full two-way voice services. Technological advances or regulatory changes in the future may make available other alternatives to wireless service, thereby creating additional sources of competition.

U.S. Cellular's approach in 2010 and in future years will be to focus on the unique needs and attitudes towards wireless service of its selected target segments. U.S. Cellular will deliver selected, targeted high quality products and services at fair prices and will continue to differentiate itself through the customer experience and service quality. U.S. Cellular's ability to compete successfully in the future will depend upon its ability to anticipate and respond to changes related to new service offerings, customer preferences, competitors' pricing strategies, technology, demographic trends, economic conditions and access to adequate spectrum resources.

Regulation

Regulatory Environment. U.S. Cellular's operations are subject to FCC and state regulation. The wireless licenses that are held by U.S. Cellular and by the designated entities in which U.S. Cellular owns a non-controlling interest are granted by the FCC for the use of radio frequencies and are an important component of the overall value of U.S. Cellular's consolidated assets. The construction, operation and transfer of wireless systems in the United States are regulated to varying degrees by the FCC pursuant to the Communications Act of 1934 (Communications Act). In 1996, Congress enacted the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Telecommunications Act), which amended the Communications Act. The Telecommunications Act mandated significant changes in telecommunications rules and policies to promote competition, ensure the availability of telecommunications services to all parts of the United States and streamline regulation of the telecommunications industry to remove regulatory burdens, as competition develops. The FCC has promulgated regulations governing construction and operation of wireless systems, licensing (including renewal of licenses) and technical standards for the provision of wireless services under the Communications Act, and is implementing the legislative objectives of the Telecommunications Act, as discussed below.

Licensing Wireless Service. Various wireless licenses are granted by the FCC based on various geographic areas. The completion of acquisitions, involving the transfer of control of all or a portion of a wireless system, requires prior FCC approval. The FCC determines whether an acquisition of wireless licenses is in the public interest on a case-by-case basis.

The Communications Act also requires the FCC to award new licenses for most commercial wireless services through a competitive bidding process in which spectrum is awarded to bidders in an auction. From time to time, the FCC conducts auctions through which additional spectrum is made available for the provision of wireless services. U.S. Cellular has participated in such auctions in the past and is likely to participate in any other auctions conducted by the FCC in the future as an applicant or as a non-controlling partner in another auction applicant. FCC anti-collusion rules place certain restrictions on business communications and disclosures by participants in an FCC auction.

Licensing Facilities. The FCC must be notified each time an additional cell site for a wireless system is constructed which enlarges the service area of a given wireless market. U.S. Cellular believes that its facilities are in compliance with these requirements.

Licensing Commercial Mobile Radio Service. Pursuant to 1993 amendments to the Communications Act, cellular, personal communications, advanced wireless, and 700 megahertz services are classified as commercial mobile radio service, in that they are services offered to the public for a fee and are interconnected to the public switched telephone network. The FCC has determined that it will not require carriers providing such services to comply with a number of statutory provisions otherwise applicable to common carriers, such as the filing of tariffs. All commercial mobile radio service wireless licensees must satisfy specified coverage requirements. Licensees which fail to meet the coverage requirements may be subject to forfeiture of their licenses.

Wireless licenses are generally granted for a ten year term or, in some cases, for fifteen years. The FCC has established standards for conducting comparative renewal proceedings between a wireless licensee seeking renewal of its license and challengers filing competing applications. All of U.S. Cellular's licenses which it applied to have renewed between 1995 and 2009 have been renewed.

U.S. Cellular conducts and plans to conduct its operations in accordance with all relevant FCC rules and regulations and anticipates being able to qualify for renewal expectancy in its upcoming renewal filings. Accordingly, U.S. Cellular believes that current regulations will have no significant effect on the renewal of its licenses. However, changes in the regulation of wireless operators or their activities and of other mobile service providers could have a material adverse effect on U.S. Cellular's operations.

There are certain ongoing regulatory matters which are of particular importance to the wireless industry, as follows.

E-911. The FCC has imposed E-911 regulations on wireless carriers. The rules require wireless carriers to provide different levels of detailed location information about E-911 callers depending on the capabilities of the local emergency call center. U.S. Cellular is in compliance with the FCC's requirements regarding E-911.

Recovery Act. In 2009, Congress enacted the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, or the Recovery Act, which provides, among other things, for an aggregate appropriation of \$7.2 billion to fund grants and loans to provide broadband infrastructure, access and equipment to consumers residing in rural, unserved or underserved areas of the United States. Hundreds of entities applied for such funding in the first round, including U.S. Cellular. U.S. Cellular has been notified that those applications were not granted. U.S. Cellular is currently considering submitting additional applications for grants in the second round of funding, which applications are due March 15, 2010. The distribution of Recovery Act funds to other telecommunications service providers could impact competition in certain of U.S. Cellular's service areas.

National Broadband Plan. Among the provisions in the legislation that Congress enacted in 2008 to stimulate the economy was a requirement that the FCC develop a national broadband plan that seeks to ensure that every American has access to broadband capability. In April 2009, the FCC initiated an inquiry to develop that plan and has since indicated it intends to deliver that plan to Congress in March 2010. U.S. Cellular cannot predict the outcome of the FCC's inquiry or how the matters ultimately covered in the plan will affect its business.

Telecommunications Act - General. The primary purpose and effect of the Telecommunications Act is to open all telecommunications markets to competition. The Telecommunications Act makes most direct or indirect state and local barriers to competition unlawful. It directs the FCC to preempt all inconsistent state and local laws and regulations, after notice and comment proceedings. It also enables electric and other utilities to engage in telecommunications service through qualifying subsidiaries.

Only narrow powers over wireless carriers are left to state and local authorities. Each state retains the power to impose competitively neutral requirements that are consistent with the Telecommunications Act's universal service provisions and necessary for universal services, public safety and welfare, continued service quality and consumer rights. While a state may not impose requirements that effectively function as barriers to entry, it retains limited authority to regulate certain competitive practices in rural telephone company service areas.

The Telecommunications Act establishes principles and a process for implementing a modified universal service policy. This policy seeks nationwide, affordable service and access to advanced telecommunications and information services. It calls for reasonably comparable urban and rural rates and services. The Telecommunications Act also requires universal service to schools, libraries and rural health facilities at discounted rates. Wireless carriers must provide such discounted rates to such organizations in accordance with federal regulations. The FCC has implemented the mandate of the Telecommunications Act to create a universal service support mechanism to ensure that all Americans have access to telecommunications services. The Telecommunications Act requires all interstate telecommunications providers, including wireless service providers, to make an equitable and non-discriminatory contribution to support the cost of providing universal service, unless their contribution would be *de minimis*. At present, the provision of wireline and wireless telephone service in high cost areas is subsidized by support from the universal service fund, to which all carriers with interstate and international revenues must contribute. Carriers are free to pass such contributions on to their customers. In 2009, U.S. Cellular contributed over \$90 million into the universal service fund.

Wireless carriers also are eligible to receive universal service support payments in certain circumstances if they provide specified services in high cost areas. U.S. Cellular has sought designation as an eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC) qualified to receive universal service support in a number of states. To date, U.S. Cellular has been designated as an ETC in the states of Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New York, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Oregon, Tennessee, Virginia, Washington, Wisconsin and West Virginia; in 2009, U.S. Cellular earned approximately \$151 million in high cost support for its service to high cost areas in these states.

In May 2008, the FCC adopted a state-by-state temporary cap to funding for competitive ETCs based on the funding level available as of March 31, 2008. The cap has had the effect of reducing the amount of support that U.S. Cellular would otherwise have been eligible to receive. During 2010, the FCC will likely issue a notice of proposed rulemaking to consider reform of the universal service fund (USF) program in conjunction with the issuance of a National Broadband Plan in March 2010. Adoption of a USF reform proposal by the FCC could have a significant, and adverse, impact on the amount of support, if any, wireless ETCs continue to receive. The outcome of such a proceeding is unknown at this time.

In 2009, the FCC initiated a rulemaking proceeding designed to codify its existing Net Neutrality principles and impose new requirements that could have the effect of restricting the ability of wireless Internet service providers to manage applications and content that traverse their networks. U.S. Cellular cannot predict whether this proceeding will result in new rules, and, if so, the extent to which those new rules will affect its ability to provide wireless Internet access service on a cost-effective basis. U.S. Cellular also cannot predict what effect, if any, this proceeding will have on its competitors or the growing markets for Internet-based applications and wireless Internet access.

State and Local Regulation. U.S. Cellular is also subject to state and local regulation in some instances. In 1981, the FCC preempted the states from exercising jurisdiction in the areas of licensing, technical standards and market structure. In 1993, Congress preempted states from regulating the entry of wireless systems into service and the rates charged by wireless systems to customers. The siting and construction of wireless facilities, including transmitter towers, antennas and equipment shelters are still subject to state or local zoning and land use regulations. However, in 1996, Congress amended the Communications Act to provide that states could not discriminate against wireless carriers in tower zoning proceedings and had to decide on zoning requests with reasonable speed. In addition, states may still regulate other terms and conditions of wireless service.

In 2000, the FCC ruled that the preemption provisions of the Communications Act do not preclude the states from acting under state tort, contract, and consumer protection laws to regulate the practices of commercial mobile radio service carriers, even if such activities might have an incidental effect on wireless rates. This ruling has led to more state regulation of commercial mobile radio service carriers, particularly from the standpoint of consumer protection. U.S. Cellular intends to comply with state regulation and to seek reasonable regulation of its activities in this regard.

The FCC is required to forbear from applying any statutory or regulatory provision that is not necessary to keep telecommunications rates and terms reasonable or to protect consumers. A state may not apply a statutory or regulatory provision that the FCC decides to forbear from applying. In addition, the FCC must review its telecommunications regulations every two years and change any that are no longer necessary. Further, the FCC is empowered under certain circumstances to preempt state regulatory authorities if a state is obstructing the Communications Act's basic purposes.

U.S. Cellular and its subsidiaries have been and intend to remain active participants in proceedings before the FCC and state regulatory authorities. Proceedings with respect to the foregoing policy issues before the FCC and state regulatory authorities could have a significant

impact on the competitive market structure among wireless providers and the relationships between wireless providers and other carriers. U.S. Cellular is unable to predict the scope, pace or financial impact of policy changes which could be adopted in these proceedings.

Radio Frequency Emissions. The FCC has adopted rules specifying standards and the methods to be used in evaluating radio frequency emissions from radio equipment, including network equipment and handsets used in connection with commercial mobile radio service. These rules were upheld on appeal by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. The U.S. Supreme Court declined to review the Second Circuit's ruling. U.S. Cellular's network facilities and the handsets it sells to customers comply with these standards.

TDS Telecom Operations

General

TDS wireline telecommunications operations are conducted through its wholly owned subsidiary TDS Telecom which is headquartered in Madison, Wisconsin. TDS Telecom is a holding company that, through its Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier (ILEC) subsidiaries, provides local and long-distance voice service, broadband services, network access and video services, to rural and suburban communities. TDS Telecom served approximately 775,900 equivalent access lines through 115 ILEC subsidiaries in 28 states as of December 31, 2009.

TDS Telecom subsidiaries also provide telecommunications services as a competitive local exchange carrier in five Midwestern states under the TDS Metrocom brand name. Competitive Local Exchange Carriers (CLEC) enter the operating areas of ILECs to offer local exchange and other telephone services. TDS Telecom served approximately 355,900 equivalent access lines through its CLEC subsidiaries at December 31, 2009.

The table below sets forth, as of December 31, 2009, the ten largest states in which TDS Telecom's operations are located, based on the number of equivalent access lines and the percentage of the total number of equivalent access lines operated by all of the telephone subsidiaries of TDS Telecom.

State	Number of Equivalent Access Lines(1) December 31, 2009	Percent of Total
Wisconsin	365,500	32%
Michigan	125,500	11%
Tennessee	110,600	10%
Minnesota	100,100	9%
Georgia	58,900	5%
New Hampshire	39,800	4%
Indiana	38,500	3%
Alabama	29,800	3%
Maine	28,100	3%
Illinois	27,300	2%
Total for 10 Largest States	924,100	82%
Other States	207,700	18%
Total	1,131,800	100%

(1) Equivalent access lines are the sum of physical access lines and high-capacity data lines adjusted to estimate the equivalent number of physical access lines in terms of capacity, plus the number of Managed IP stations. A physical access line is the individual circuit connecting a customer to a telephone company's central office facilities.

The following table summarizes additional information regarding TDS Telecom's ILEC and CLEC customer operations for the past three years:

	2009	December 31, 2008	2007
ILEC			
Equivalent access lines	775,900	776,700	762,700
% Residential	76.1%	76.7%	76.4%
% Business	23.9%	23.3%	23.6%
Physical access lines	536,300	566,200	585,600
High speed data customers(1)	208,300	178,300	143,800
Managed IP stations(2)	1,900	600	
Long-distance customers	362,800	347,000	345,200
CLEC			
Equivalent access lines	355,900	393,000	435,000
% Residential	20.9%	25.3%	30.1%
% Business	79.1%	74.7%	69.9%
High speed data customers(1)	36,900	40,800	43,900
Managed IP stations(2)	12,000	2,100	

(1) The number of customers provided high-capacity data circuits via various technologies, including digital subscriber lines (DSL), managed Internet Protocol (Managed IP) and dedicated Internet circuit technologies.

(2) The number of telephone handsets providing communications using packet networking technology.

Business Strategy and Competition

TDS Telecom's strategy is to be the preferred provider of voice, broadband, and video services in its chosen markets. To effectively compete in its chosen markets, TDS Telecom is continuing new service and product development to provide high-quality leading edge services to its customers that can be leveraged by both its ILEC and CLEC operations. TDS Telecom is actively investing in networks and deploying advanced technologies. TDS Telecom is also actively advocating with respect to state and federal regulatory frameworks that would enable its operations to grow profitably and continue to meet customer expectations for new and improved services as well as continuing to explore transactions to acquire or divest properties that would result in strengthening its operations.

TDS Telecom seeks to protect and grow revenue streams by outperforming market competitors by providing its customers with state-of-the-art telecommunications solutions and maintaining superior service. Management believes that TDS Telecom has a number of advantages, including a modern network substantially upgraded to provide a variety of advanced calling and broadband services, a strong local presence and an established brand name.

The competitive environment in the telecommunications industry has changed significantly as a result of technological advances, changing customer requirements and changes to regulation. TDS Telecom continues to seek to develop and maintain an efficient cost structure to ensure that it can match price-based initiatives from competitors. Both ILECs and CLECs are faced with significant challenges, including competition from cable television, wireless and other wireline providers, the industry decline in use of second lines by customers, decreases in intercarrier compensation for the use of owned networks, increases in the cost for use of other providers' networks, and technologies such as Voice over

Internet Protocol (VoIP). These challenges could have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of TDS Telecom.

New and Developing Technologies

An important component of TDS Telecom's business strategy is to develop high-growth services, particularly IP-based, broadband services. Broadband services are one of the fastest growing portions of the telecommunications services industry. In light of the growth of Internet use and rapid introduction of new voice and data applications, TDS Telecom intends to offer a suite of IP-based, broadband services in all of its markets and advance the technology where it is already deployed. This will allow TDS Telecom to position itself as a full-service broadband services provider to both residential and commercial customers. Various services utilizing broadband connections are in various stages of research and development including:

- TDS Telecom introduced its first suite of VoIP services for its commercial customers in the Madison, Wisconsin area in 2007, rolling the suite of services out to all remaining CLEC markets and the Monticello, Minnesota ILEC market in 2008. In 2009 VoIP services were also offered in the Tennessee and Georgia ILEC markets. This suite allows customers to integrate voicemail and e-mail messaging platforms, self-provision advanced calling features, and integrate telephone sets with their computers. These services are provided over broadband connections to a hosted VoIP environment provided by TDS Telecom.
- TDS Telecom believes that demand for Triple Play (voice, broadband and video) services is clearly demonstrated in the marketplace. TDS Telecom currently has an Internet Protocol television (IPTV) trial underway in two ILEC markets. In addition to this terrestrial video trial, an agreement with a direct broadcast satellite provider positions TDS Telecom to compete for Triple Play customers across virtually all of its markets. TDS Telecom believes there are early signs of the emergence of a substantial market for on-demand TV, that TDS Telecom's high-speed broadband networks will be well positioned to offer.

Acquisitions and Divestitures

TDS Telecom may make opportunistic acquisitions of operating telephone companies, customers, or related service businesses. Since January 1, 2005, TDS Telecom has acquired four ILECs that at the dates of their purchases served a total of 26,600 equivalent access lines for an aggregate consideration totaling \$71 million in cash.

Telephone holding companies and others actively compete for the acquisition of telephone companies and such acquisitions are subject to the consent or approval of regulatory agencies in most states and in some cases of the FCC and of the Department of Justice. Also in some cases, these acquisitions are subject to the obtaining of federal waivers that may affect the form of regulation or amount of interstate cost recovery of the acquired telephone exchanges. The TDS acquisition strategy is to focus on geographic clustering of telephone companies to achieve cost economies and to complement TDS Telecom's product and services growth strategy. While management believes that it will be successful in making additional acquisitions, there can be no assurance that TDS or TDS Telecom will be able to negotiate additional acquisitions on terms acceptable to them or that regulatory approvals, where required, will be received.

Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Segment

TDS Telecom was the eighth largest local exchange telephone company in the United States as of December 31, 2009. This ranking was based on the number of telephone access lines served and excludes the telephone operations of cable television companies. All of TDS Telecom's access lines are served by digital switching technology, which, in conjunction with other technologies, allows TDS Telecom to offer additional premium services to its customers.

Products, Services and Revenue Sources

TDS Telecom generates revenues by providing customers:

- Local services, which include basic local telephone service and enhanced local services like voice mail, caller ID and call forwarding;
- Network access services to interexchange carriers for the origination and termination of interstate and intrastate long distance phone calls on TDS Telecom's network and special access services to carriers and others;
- Long distance services;

- Data and Internet services, including dial-up and DSL Internet access service and other enhanced data services; and
- Other services and sales, including the sale, installation and maintenance of customer premise voice and data equipment, and satellite and terrestrial video.

Each TDS Telecom ILEC provides direct telecommunications services to both residential and business customers that reside within their respective service territories. Retail operations consist of residential and business customers. Wholesale customers are primarily interexchange carriers (companies that provide long-distance telephone and data services between local exchange areas) that compensate TDS Telecom for providing services in connection with the use of its facilities to originate and terminate their interstate and intrastate voice and data transmissions.

TDS Telecom's ILEC retail operations provide wireline local telephone service, access to the long-distance network, broadband service and video through a resale agreement with a satellite provider. Long-distance service is provided by TDS Telecom's own long-distance unit that resells long-distance service in its ILEC markets and through connections with long-distance carriers which purchase network access from the TDS Telecom ILECs.

The retail customer base is a mix of rural, small town and suburban customers, with concentrations in the Upper Midwest and the Southeast. As of December 31, 2009, approximately 84% of TDS Telecom's ILEC retail customers are located in rural and small town areas, while the other 16% are located in more suburban markets. TDS Telecom's promotional and sales strategy for the retail customer consists of two major initiatives: building brand equity by creating awareness of the TDS Telecom brand name and using direct marketing to sell specific products and services. The more rural and diverse nature of TDS Telecom's markets has historically made direct marketing more efficient and cost effective than mass media such as radio, television and newspapers. In addressing its consumer markets, TDS Telecom has made extensive and aggressive use of direct mail. It has been more selective, though still active, in the use of other alternative marketing channels such as telemarketing and door-to-door sales as a means of generating sales. TDS Telecom continues to explore new ways of marketing such as using Facebook and Twitter, in particular, and generally finding ways to better take advantage of the marketing capabilities of the Internet. Uniform branding is making the use of mass media more attractive, and TDS Telecom has increasingly incorporated these elements into its media mix.

Most ILEC business customers could be described as small to medium-sized businesses or small office/home office customers. TDS Telecom focuses its marketing on information-intensive industries such as financial services, health services, real estate, hotels and motels, education and government. TDS Telecom uses its direct sales force, targeted mailings, and telemarketing to sell products and services to the commercial markets, which are segmented into tiers based on size (in terms of both lines and revenues) and strategic importance. Different sales and distribution channels are targeted at each segment.

TDS Telecom continues to provide a high level of service to traditional interexchange carrier wholesale customers such as AT&T, Verizon and Sprint. TDS Telecom's wholesale market focus is on access revenues which is the compensation received for carrying interstate and intrastate long distance and data traffic on its networks. Access services generated \$271 million, or approximately 45% of TDS Telecom's ILEC revenue for the year ended December 31, 2009. The interstate and intrastate access rates charged include the cost of providing service plus a fair rate of return on the plant investment used to provide such service. Recent and proposed regulatory changes and mergers discussed below may affect the sources of TDS Telecom's ILEC wholesale revenues.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Both states and the FCC are currently examining regulated forms of access and accompanying compensation, however, the prospect for action is uncertain. See Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Regulation below.

Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Market Strategy

Central to the ILEC market strategy is providing a high quality network, superior customer service, offering a full complement of services with value-added bundles and packages, and building brand equity in TDS Telecom.

TDS Telecom distinguishes itself in the way customer service is offered to its retail customers. TDS Telecom operates ILEC companies in 28 states with professional field service representatives who both live and work in many of the communities they serve. To better meet the changing needs of its customers, TDS Telecom utilizes specialized customer service teams to more effectively and efficiently serve the individual needs of its retail customer segment.

16

Management of TDS Telecom believes that its residential and business customers have a strong preference to purchase complementary telecommunications services from a single provider. TDS Telecom has found that by offering and bundling services in customer-friendly packages, it can build customer loyalty and reduce customer churn. TDS Telecom offers bundles which include local telephone services, broadband services, long-distance services and video services principally offered through a sales agency relationship with satellite provider DISH Network LLC.

TDS Telecom's objective is to be the preferred broadband provider in its ILEC markets by offering a wide range of premium Internet services. It continues to invest in DSL and as of December 31, 2009, was able to provide this service to 93% of its ILEC access lines. At that date, 62% of its ILEC DSL customers had 3 megabits per second or faster service.

TDS Telecom continued to expand its presence in the business broadband market with high-speed symmetrical dedicated broadband, hosted-managed Internet Protocol telephony, point-to-point Ethernet and co-location products. Hosted-managed Internet Protocol telephony (known as managed IP) delivers business customers a converged voice and data communications solution to the desktop. Point-to-point Ethernet provides customers secure and reliable high-speed data links for two or more locations over TDS Telecom's internal network, not the public Internet. Co-location provides customer web server hosting at a TDS Telecom facility, providing space for computer equipment and Internet bandwidth connection in a controlled-environment.

TDS Telecom has continued to grow its long-distance product line and is the number one long-distance provider for its local service customers in its ILEC territories. Sixty-eight percent of TDS' ILEC physical access lines have a TDS long-distance product at December 31, 2009.

TDS Telecom continued to build on its brand identity by increasing its Internet web presence. TDS Telecom's web site offers product and service information, product and service ordering capability, electronic payment options, customer account management and Company information. TDS Telecom continues to leverage its sales and marketing messages through cost-effective public relations activities. For example, TDS Telecom has a sports marketing agreement with the University of Wisconsin for advertising and signage throughout the university sports complexes and other high-traffic areas, which increases awareness of the TDS Telecom brand (covering both ILEC and CLEC)

with current and potential customers. Management of TDS Telecom believes that branding will increase the loyalty of its customers and reduce expenses through more cost-effective marketing.

Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Technology

TDS Telecom continues its program of transitioning to an Internet Protocol (IP) based broadband network. TDS Telecom intends to meet competition by providing its customers with high-quality telecommunications services and building its network to take advantage of a full complement of advanced telecommunications technologies, including plans to:

- Establish more robust Internet connectivity to its exchanges, which will provide both greater capacity and more reliability;

- Continue to extend fiber to its digital serving areas. A digital serving area is a defined geographic area within an exchange that is served by a digital-loop carrier system. The digital-loop carrier system extends the data capability of the central office to the defined geographic area. Having fiber-fed digital serving areas allows the expansion of services (such as higher broadband speeds) to more customers located at a greater distance from the central office equipment;

- Continue to invest in technologies that leverage its existing copper plant. These copper-based technologies include a range of DSL products that enable high-speed broadband access. These technologies can be deployed over single or multiple copper loops to both residential and commercial customers;

- Deploy passive optical network technology, which enables significantly greater broadband speeds, to new residential subdivisions and to commercial customers when the investment is economically justified; and

- Implement a Multi-Gigabit Wide Area Network (WAN) that will evolve TDS Telecom's broadband backhaul network to meet its customers' capacity and reliability demands and enables the benefits of access line aggregation scale in both new product development and operational efficiency.

As TDS Telecom continues to upgrade and expand its network, it is also standardizing equipment and processes to increase efficiency. For example, TDS Telecom utilizes centralized monitoring and management of its network to reduce costs and improve service reliability. Network standardization has supported TDS Telecom in operating its 24-hours-a-day / 7-days-per-week Network Management Center, which continuously monitors the network in an effort to proactively identify and correct network faults prior to any customer impact.

Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Competition

The Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Telecommunications Act) initiated a process of transformation in the telecommunications industry. Public policy has for some time embraced the dual objectives of universal service and competition for long-distance services and, to a more limited extent, permitted some local service competition, for example, from wireless providers. The Telecommunications Act, however, established local competition as a national telecommunications policy. The Telecommunications Act requires non-exempt ILECs to provide interconnection services and access to unbundled network elements to any CLEC that seeks to enter the ILECs' markets. The Telecommunications Act also allows CLECs to co-locate network equipment in ILEC central offices and prevents ILECs and CLECs from unduly restricting each other from the use of facilities or information that enable competition. The FCC has adopted rules implementing the Telecommunications Act and establishing the pricing that ILECs are able to charge for interconnection services and for providing elements of the network. However, all except three of the TDS Telecom ILECs remain exempt from the most burdensome market opening requirements. See the Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Regulation section below for a discussion on rural exemptions. The exemption rules, coupled with the challenging economics of competing in lower population density markets and the high service quality TDS Telecom provides, have delayed wireline CLECs' entry into some of TDS Telecom's ILEC markets. TDS Telecom, however, has experienced physical access line losses due to competition from cable providers offering voice (VoIP) and data services via cable modems, from wireless carriers offering local and nationwide calling plans, and from other VoIP providers, as well as due to the decline in customer demand for second lines.

Cable television companies have developed technological improvements that have allowed them to extend their competitive operations beyond major markets and that enable them to provide a broader range of voice and data services over their cable networks; and several national cable companies have aggressively pursued these opportunities. The cable companies capable of offering voice communication are bundling voice, data and video at a discounted price to attract customers from traditional telephone companies. TDS Telecom estimates that 70% of its ILEC access lines face competition from cable providers that at December 31, 2009 can either offer voice services now or in the near future. Also, wireless telephone service providers increasingly constitute a significant source of competition with ILEC services, especially since wireless carriers have begun to compete effectively on the basis of price. As a result, some customers have chosen to completely forego use of traditional wireline telephone service and instead rely solely on wireless service for voice services. This trend is more pronounced among residential customers, which comprise approximately 76% of TDS Telecom's ILEC equivalent access lines as of December 31, 2009. TDS Telecom anticipates this trend will continue, as wireless service providers continue to expand their coverage areas, reduce their rates, improve the quality of their services, and offer enhanced new services. VoIP technology has also improved and has led cable, broadband and other communications companies to substantially increase their offerings of VoIP service to business and residential customers. VoIP providers route calls partially or wholly over the Internet, without use of ILEC's circuit switches and, in the case of cable operators and CLECs, without use of ILEC networks to carry their communications traffic. VoIP providers frequently use existing broadband networks to deliver flat-rate, all-distance calling plans that may also offer features that cannot readily be provided by traditional ILECs. These plans may also be priced below the prices currently charged for traditional ILEC local and long-distance telephone services. To remain competitive TDS Telecom has launched its own VoIP-based services for commercial customers in certain markets.

Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Regulation

TDS Telecom's ILECs are regulated by federal and state regulatory agencies and TDS Telecom strives to maintain positive relationships with these regulators. Rates, including local rates paid by end user customers and intrastate access charges paid by carriers that exchange traffic with the TDS Telecom ILECs, continue to be subject to state commission approval in many states. Regulators also establish and oversee the implementation of the provisions of federal and state telecommunications laws, including interconnection requirements, universal service

obligations, promotion of competition, and the deployment of advanced services. TDS Telecom's ILECs routinely pursue desired changes in rate structures and regulation in an attempt to maintain affordable rates and reasonable earnings. However, due to increased competition, these subsidiaries have had to move from a pricing structure historically based on costs to one primarily based on market conditions.

For the TDS Telecom ILEC companies, state regulators generally must approve rate adjustments, service areas, service standards and accounting methods and these regulators are authorized to limit the return earned on capital, subject to applicable state law. In some states, construction plans, borrowing, depreciation rates, affiliated charge transactions and certain other financial transactions of ILECs are also subject to regulatory oversight and approval. Historically, states designated a single ILEC as the provider of last resort in a local market and then regulated the entry of additional competing providers into the same local market. The Telecommunications Act, however, largely preempted state authority over market entry. Nevertheless, while states may not impose requirements that effectively function as barriers to entry, and the FCC is required to preempt state requirements if they impose such barriers to entry, states retain authority to regulate competitive entry in rural telephone company service areas.

As a general matter, TDS Telecom has elected alternative forms of regulation for its ILEC subsidiaries in several states and will continue to pursue alternative regulation, as appropriate, for its remaining ILEC subsidiaries.

Most of the TDS Telecom ILEC subsidiaries participate in both the National Exchange Carrier Association (NECA) interstate common line and traffic sensitive access charge tariffs and participate in the access revenue pools administered by the FCC-supervised NECA, which collects and distributes the revenues from interstate access charges. The FCC retains regulatory oversight over interstate toll (long-distance) rates and other issues relating to interstate telephone service and continues to regulate the interstate access system. Where applicable, and subject to state regulatory approval, TDS Telecom s ILEC subsidiaries also utilize intrastate access tariffs and participate in intrastate revenue pools.

TDS Telecom s ILEC subsidiaries also draw from the federal and state universal service funds. Universal service support helps keep services comparably priced to services in more urban markets, as Congress mandated in the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Specifically, the High Cost Program of the federal Universal Service Fund, which is administered by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC), ensures that consumers have access to and pay rates for telecommunications services that are reasonably comparable to those services provided and rates paid in urban areas. TDS Telecom s subsidiaries draw from these universal service funds since the cost of providing service in many of its rural markets is high, and all of the costs cannot be recovered solely from customers and still provide service at comparable rates.

Over the past decade, the FCC and US Congress have periodically contemplated reforming the existing intercarrier compensation system, but have not issued any decision regarding this matter. While this discussion has continued at the federal level, several state regulatory and legislative entities have contemplated ways to lower intrastate access rates. If the FCC or state entities adopt changes in access charge regulations that reduce the revenues from interstate and/or potentially intrastate access charges, these changes could have a material adverse impact on TDS Telecom. TDS Telecom will attempt to replace lost access revenues through charges to customers or through alternative government support payments. If TDS Telecom is unable to replace lost access charge revenues with increased revenues in other areas, this could have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Over the past several years, the FCC has been reviewing the universal service fund and applicable rules to assess the sustainability of the fund, as well as the process for determining the appropriate contributors, contribution rate, collection method, supported services, and the eligibility and portability of payments. Congress also from time to time has considered reforming universal service. One recent proposal, which remains pending, involves transitioning away from providing support for voice telecommunications networks and instead providing support for the development of broadband networks. TDS Telecom expects that both Congress and the FCC will likely consider these and perhaps similar proposals in 2010. It is not certain which, if any, of these proposals will be adopted. Any changes in the universal service fund that reduce the size of the fund and payments to TDS Telecom could have a material adverse impact on TDS Telecom s financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

In 2009, Congress enacted legislation designed to stimulate the U.S. economy. Included among the provisions in the legislation was an appropriation of \$7.2 billion dollars for the development and deployment of broadband networks to help ensure that all Americans that do not currently have access to broadband service receive such service. TDS Telecom and hundreds of other entities applied for such funding. Although this funding, if received, could enhance TDS Telecom's ability to deploy its broadband services to portions of its service territory it previously did not reach, this funding also may enhance the ability of TDS Telecom's competitors, including providers of wireless broadband service, to develop their broadband networks, which may compete with TDS Telecom's services. This funding could also result in certain additional regulatory obligations for TDS Telecom and other funding recipients, such as the obligation to adhere to certain FCC net neutrality principles. TDS Telecom cannot predict what effect this may have on its ability to compete in the provision of broadband service to its customer base. For a discussion of the actual grants awarded, please see Recent Development section of Management's Discussion and Analysis.

Among the provisions in the legislation that Congress enacted in 2008 to stimulate the economy was a requirement that the FCC develop a national broadband plan that seeks to ensure that every American has access to broadband capability. In April 2009, the FCC initiated an inquiry to develop that plan and indicated it intends to deliver that plan to Congress in March 2010. The FCC's plan may or may not impose new requirements on providers of broadband Internet access service such as TDS Telecom, but it likely will provide a roadmap for the various issues that the FCC expects to confront as it goes about the process of developing policies designed to encourage the deployment of broadband to all Americans. The FCC's plan will likely identify many competing goals and impediments to realizing a universal broadband objective and TDS Telecom cannot predict how the FCC will address these issues going forward or how these matters will affect its business.

The FCC and various provisions of federal law require carriers to comply with numerous regulatory requirements. Compliance with these requirements may be costly and noncompliance can lead to lawsuits and financial penalties. These requirements include letting subscribers change to competitors' services without changing their telephone numbers, taking actions to preserve the available pool of telephone numbers, making telecommunications accessible for those with disabilities, monitoring and reporting network outages, and properly handling and protecting customer proprietary network information. Under the Communications Assistance to Law Enforcement Act, all telecommunications carriers, including TDS Telecom, must implement certain equipment changes necessary to assist law enforcement authorities in achieving an enhanced ability to conduct electronic surveillance of those suspected of criminal activity. TDS Telecom believes it is in compliance with these requirements.

In 2009, the FCC initiated a rulemaking proceeding designed to codify its existing Net Neutrality principles and impose new requirements that could have the effect of restricting the ability of broadband Internet service providers to manage applications and content that traverse their networks. TDS Telecom cannot predict whether this proceeding will result in new rules, and, if so, the extent to which those new rules will affect TDS Telecom's ability to provide broadband Internet access service on a cost-effective basis. TDS Telecom also cannot predict what effect, if any, this proceeding will have on competition or the growing markets for Internet-based applications and wireless Internet access.

Competitive Local Exchange Carrier Segment

TDS Telecom provides competitive local exchange carrier telecommunications services through its TDS Metrocom subsidiary by leveraging the strengths of its ILECs. TDS Telecom's CLEC operations offer competitively priced voice, broadband and related services primarily to commercial customers and residential customers in selected markets.

TDS Telecom's CLEC operations are primarily facilities-based. The operations depend on using Regional Bell Operating Company (RBOC) local loops to reach most customers. TDS Telecom's CLEC strategy maintains a geographic focus and is designed to leverage TDS Telecom's existing management and infrastructure to complement its ILEC clustering strategy. TDS Telecom has followed a strategy of controlled entry into certain targeted mid-size communities, regionally proximate to existing TDS Telecom facilities and service areas, with facilities-based entry as a CLEC. Utilizing the infrastructure (e.g., billing systems, network control center, operating systems, financial systems, accounting, technology planning, etc.) built for the ILEC business has allowed the CLEC to operate more efficiently. TDS Telecom's strategy is to be the leading alternative provider for commercial customers' telecommunications needs in its CLEC markets. To this end, it has deployed industry standard Class 5 time-division multiplexing switches as well as new generation softswitches and Internet Protocol technologies in its targeted CLEC markets. TDS Telecom follows a clustering approach to building its CLECs which allows it to cost effectively aggregate and transport long-distance traffic, share service and repair resources and realize marketing efficiencies. As in its ILEC markets, TDS Telecom positions itself as an integrated wireline telecommunications provider in its chosen CLEC markets by providing local, long-distance, broadband, and some Internet Protocol-based services through its own facilities-based networks. As of December 31, 2009, TDS Telecom had 355,900 CLEC equivalent access lines, of which 95.5% were provisioned on TDS Telecom owned switching facilities.

Competitive Local Exchange Carrier Market Strategy

The CLEC strategy places primary emphasis on small and medium-sized commercial customers. Medium-sized commercial prospects are characterized by above average access line to employee ratios, heavier utilization of broadband services and a focus on using telecommunications for business improvement. TDS Telecom addresses these business needs for increased communications capabilities at reduced costs by matching new and existing technologies to create greater efficiencies and providing after-the-sale support. This approach is typified by TDS' hosted-managed IP telephony service which provides integrated voice and data services to the customer's desktop. This desktop integration provides clear productivity enhancements along with reduced expense to TDS Telecom CLEC business customers.

An emphasis on product development has led to the introduction of several integrated voice and data solutions as well as the creation of small business bundled products targeting three line and greater business customers that make buying voice and broadband services easier and increase the value of these products. Offering cost effective voice and broadband solutions bundled with and provisioned on a single access line provides for direct cost savings to the customer, removes distance limitations commonly associated with high speed data technology, and gives the customer greater flexibility to grow business telecommunications use.

Additional commercial products, services and applications are under development to sell deeper into new and existing commercial accounts. Expanded offerings for the commercial sector include traditional telephone systems, Internet Protocol enabled telephone systems and new service offerings, such as the hosted managed IP telephony service described above. Combining CLEC service offerings with customer premise equipment (CPE) products is intended to drive greater customer revenues while promoting a One Vendor telecommunications provider experience for CPE, voice and broadband services. Additional Internet Protocol and managed services product sets under development include firewall services, Internet intrusion protection services, and universal resource locator (URL) filtering. All of these provide commercial customers with additional services, controls and network protection.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

TDS Telecom has initiated a strategy of continuing to serve the current residential customer base with high quality customer service and competitive pricing, but not to attract any new residential customers. Therefore, it is expected that the number of residential customers within TDS Telecom's CLEC segment will continue to decline.

TDS Telecom continues to seek to develop and maintain an efficient CLEC cost structure to ensure that it can match price-based initiatives from competitors. Wireless broadband, Internet Protocol telephony, and packet switching networks are all being evaluated or deployed to increase high-speed data reach, to lower the cost of providing service, and to ensure continued network access to customers for service provisioning.

Competitive Local Exchange Carrier Technology

TDS Telecom's CLEC strategies recognize the changing telecommunications marketplace and the need to meet customer demands for greater bandwidth while decreasing dependence on RBOC local loops. TDS Telecom intends to meet competition by providing its customers with high-quality telecommunications services and building its network to take full advantage of advanced telecommunications technologies including:

- Deploying a hosted managed IP voice service to all of its CLEC markets. This service allows customers to integrate their voicemail and e-mail messaging platforms, self provision advanced calling features, and integrate their telephone sets with their personal computers.
- Deploying converged voice and data services that can be dynamically allocated and provisioned using an RBOC local loop and a channel bank at the commercial customer's premise. The advantage of having dynamic allocation is that a single loop can provide greater broadband speeds when the voice lines are not in use.
- Continuing to expand its fiber network into additional commercial customer premises and to upgrade its capacity to existing customers when economically justified.

Competitive Local Exchange Carrier Competition

While TDS Telecom positions itself as a high-quality telecommunications provider, it is experiencing price competition from RBOCs, other competitive local exchange carriers, cable providers, wireless carriers, and VoIP providers as it seeks to gain and retain customers. In addition, the RBOCs are actively seeking regulatory and technological changes that could impede TDS Telecom's access to facilities used to provide CLEC telecommunications services.

TDS Telecom's CLEC operations compete with RBOCs on the basis of price, reliability, state-of-the-art technology, product and service offerings, route diversity, ease of ordering, and customer service, including responsiveness to customer needs. RBOCs have long-standing relationships with their customers and are well established in their respective markets. RBOCs are offering increased pricing flexibility for their services and have implemented long-term customer contracts with high cancellation penalties for retention purposes. RBOCs continue to pursue aggressive "Winback" programs that have been somewhat effective in regaining lines lost to CLECs. TDS Telecom believes that, in general, its CLEC operations provide more attention and responsiveness to customers than RBOCs provide to similar sized customers in TDS Telecom's CLEC markets.

Competitive Local Exchange Carrier Regulation

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

TDS Telecom's CLEC operations, like its ILEC operations, are regulated by state and federal regulatory agencies, including the FCC. (See Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Regulation above.) However, CLECs are subject to significantly less regulation than ILECs.

The FCC exercises regulatory jurisdiction over all facilities of, and services offered by, communications common carriers to the extent those facilities are used to provide, originate or terminate interstate or international telecommunications. The FCC has established different levels of regulation for dominant carriers and non-dominant carriers. For domestic interstate telecommunications services, only incumbent local exchange carriers are classified as dominant carriers. All other carriers are classified as non-dominant. The FCC regulates many of the rates, charges and services of dominant carriers to a greater degree than those of non-dominant carriers. As non-dominant carriers, CLECs also are subject to fewer regulatory requirements in connection with their installation and operation of facilities for domestic interstate telecommunications. CLECs are not required to maintain tariffs for domestic interstate long-distance services. However, they are required to submit certain periodic reports to the FCC and to pay regulatory fees.

CLECs are also subject to state regulation. Certain states require CLECs to obtain operating authority prior to initiating intrastate services. Certain states also require the filing of tariffs or price lists and/or customer-specific contracts. TDS Telecom's CLEC operations are not currently subject to rate-of-return or price regulation. However, CLECs are subject to state-specific quality of service, universal service, periodic reporting and other regulatory requirements, although the extent of these requirements generally is less than those applicable to ILECs. In addition, local governments may require CLECs to obtain licenses or franchises which regulate the use of public rights-of-way necessary to install and operate their networks.

The Telecommunications Act requires ILECs to provide requesting carriers such as TDS Telecom's CLEC with nondiscriminatory access to unbundled network elements (UNEs) at cost-based rates. UNEs are components of ILEC networks that CLECs lease, and in some cases, combine with their own network facilities to provide services to end user customers. Subsequent rulings have modified the circumstances under which ILECs must make UNEs available to CLECs at cost-based rates, e.g., the extent to which ILECs must unbundle and make available fiber optic lines and broadband hybrid loops. This has had the practical effect of increasing CLEC costs to deliver certain high-capacity services to customers because CLECs no longer can rely on ILECs to lease them fiber lines and broadband hybrid loops at cost-based rates. As a result, TDS Telecom's CLEC today either must construct its own fiber optic lines and hybrid loops, pay a higher rate to lease these facilities from ILECs, or seek other alternative providers where available.

TDS Other Items

Employees

TDS had approximately 12,400 employees as of December 31, 2009, less than 1% of whom were represented by a labor organization. TDS considers its relationship with its employees to be good.

23

Item 1A. Risk Factors

PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

SAFE HARBOR CAUTIONARY STATEMENT

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, including exhibits, contains statements that are not based on historical facts and represent forward-looking statements, as this term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, that address activities, events or developments that TDS intends, expects, projects, believes, estimates, plans or anticipates will or may occur in the future are forward-looking statements. The words believes, anticipates, estimates, expects, plans, intends, projects and similar expressions are intended to identify these forward-looking statements, but are not the exclusive means of identifying them. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results, events or developments to be significantly different from any future results, events or developments expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such risks, uncertainties and other factors include those set forth below under Risk Factors in this Form 10-K. However, such factors are not necessarily all of the important factors that could cause actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, the forward-looking statements contained in this document. Other unknown or unpredictable factors also could have material adverse effects on future results, performance or achievements. TDS undertakes no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. You should carefully consider the following risk factors and other information contained in, or incorporated by reference into, this Form 10-K to understand the material risks relating to TDS business.

RISK FACTORS

Intense competition in the markets in which TDS operates could adversely affect TDS revenues or increase its costs to compete.

Competition in the telecommunications industry is currently intense and could intensify further in the future due to the general effects of a weak economy, as well as due to wireless industry factors such as increasing market penetration and decreasing customer churn rates. TDS' ability to compete effectively will depend, in part, on its ability to anticipate and respond to various competitive factors affecting the telecommunications industry. TDS anticipates that competition may cause the prices for products and services to continue to decline, and the costs to compete to increase, in the future. Most of TDS' competitors are national or global telecommunications companies that are larger than TDS, possess greater resources, possess more extensive coverage areas and more spectrum within their coverage areas, and market other services with their communications services that TDS does not offer. Larger competitors could potentially engage in predatory practices that could have an adverse effect on TDS. In addition, TDS may face competition from technologies that may be introduced in the future or from new entrants into the industry. New technologies, services and products that are more commercially effective than the technologies, services and products offered by TDS may be developed. There can be no assurance that TDS will be able to compete successfully in this environment.

Sources of competition to TDS' wireless business typically include three to five competing wireless telecommunications service providers in each market, wireline telecommunications service providers, cable television companies, resellers (including mobile virtual network operators), and providers of other alternate telecommunications services. Many of TDS' wireless competitors and other competitors have substantially greater financial, technical, marketing, sales, purchasing and distribution resources than TDS.

Sources of competition to TDS' wireline ILEC business include, but are not limited to, resellers of local exchange services, interexchange carriers, satellite transmission service providers, wireless communications providers, cable television companies, competitive access service providers, competitive local exchange carriers, Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) providers and providers using other emerging technologies. In the future, TDS expects the number of its wireline physical access lines served to continue to be adversely affected by wireless and broadband substitution and by cable company competition.

Sources of competition to TDS' wireline CLEC business include the sources identified in the prior paragraph as well as the ILEC in each market, which enjoys competitive advantages, including its wireline connection to virtually all of the customers and potential customers of TDS' CLEC, its established brand name and its substantial financial resources. TDS' CLEC is typically required to discount services to win potential customers. These factors result in lower operating margins for TDS' CLEC, and make it vulnerable to any discount pricing policies that the ILEC may adopt to exploit its lower-cost structure and greater financial resources.

If TDS does not adapt to effectively compete in such a highly competitive environment, such competitive factors could result in product, service, pricing or cost disadvantages and could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

A failure by TDS to successfully execute its business strategy or allocate resources or capital could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

U.S. Cellular is a regional wireless carrier that operates on a customer satisfaction strategy, seeking to meet customer needs by providing a comprehensive range of wireless products and services, excellent customer support, and a high-quality network. U.S. Cellular seeks to operate controlling interests in wireless licenses in areas adjacent to or in proximity to its other wireless licenses, thereby building contiguous operating market areas. U.S. Cellular relies on roaming agreements with other carriers to provide roaming capability to its customers in areas of the U.S. outside its service areas and to improve coverage within selected areas of U.S. Cellular's network footprint. U.S. Cellular pursues a product and technology strategy which requires it to follow and recognize product and technology advances and quickly adopt and execute rollouts of such advances. In addition, in pursuit of its business strategy, in 2009, U.S. Cellular began efforts on a number of multi-year initiatives including the development of: a new billing and operational support system (BSS/OSS) which will include a new point-of-sale system and which will consolidate billing on one platform; an Electronic Data Warehouse/Customer Relationship Management System to collect and analyze information more efficiently to build and improve customer relationships; and a new Internet/Web platform to enable customers to complete a wide range of transactions and, eventually, to manage their accounts online.

TDS Telecom's strategy is to be the preferred provider of telecommunications services including voice, broadband, and video services in its chosen markets. TDS Telecom has initiated an aggressive program of service bundling and deep discounting and made the decision to voluntarily exit certain revenue pools administered by the FCC-supervised National Exchange Carrier Association in order to achieve additional pricing flexibility to meet competitive pressures and to increase customer loyalty through reducing churn. Service bundling is dependent on various factors, including the ability of TDS Telecom to continue to be able to partner with a provider of satellite television. TDS Telecom is continuing to focus on cost-reduction initiatives through product cost improvement and process efficiencies and also plans to continue to focus on customer retention programs, including triple-play bundles involving voice, DSL and satellite TV.

The successful execution of strategies, the optimal allocation within TDS' portfolio of assets and optimal capital allocation decisions depend on various internal and external factors, many of which are not in TDS' control. TDS' ability to implement and execute its business strategies and optimally allocate its assets and capital and, as a result, achieve desired financial results, could be affected by such factors. Such factors include pricing practices by competitors, relative scale, purchasing power, roaming and other strategic agreements, wireless handset availability, timing of introduction of handsets and other factors. In addition, there is no assurance that U.S. Cellular's multi-year initiatives or TDS Telecom's cost-reduction or customer-retention programs will be successful. Even if TDS executes its business strategies as intended, such strategies may not be successful in the long term to profitably sustain growth in revenues or otherwise. A failure by TDS to execute its business strategy successfully or to allocate resources or capital optimally could have an adverse effect on TDS' wireless and wireline businesses, financial condition or results of operations.

A failure by TDS' service offerings to meet customer expectations could limit TDS' ability to attract and retain customers and could have an adverse effect on TDS' operations.

Customer acceptance of the services that TDS offers is and will continue to be affected by technology and range of device and service-based differences from competition and by the operational performance, quality, reliability, and coverage of TDS networks. TDS may have difficulty attracting and retaining customers if it is unable to meet customer expectations for a range of services, such as handset selection by U.S. Cellular and easy access to a broad variety of applications, or if it is otherwise unable to resolve quality issues relating to its networks, billing systems, or customer care or if any of those issues limit TDS ability to expand its network capacity or customer base, or otherwise place TDS at a competitive disadvantage to other service providers in its markets. The levels of customer demand for any TDS next-generation services and products are uncertain. Customer demand could be impacted by differences in the types of services offered, service content, technology, footprint and service areas, network quality, customer perceptions, customer care levels and rate plans.

TDS system infrastructure may not be capable of supporting changes in technologies and services expected by customers, which could result in lost customers and revenues.

The telecommunications industry is experiencing significant changes in technologies and services expected by customers. Future technological changes or advancements may enable other technologies to equal or exceed TDS current levels of service and render its system infrastructure obsolete. New technologies or services often render existing technology products, services or infrastructure obsolete, too costly or otherwise unmarketable. TDS system infrastructure may not be capable of supporting changes in technologies and services expected by customers. TDS may be unable to successfully deploy complex next generation services. If TDS is unable to meet future advances in or changes in competing technologies on a timely basis, or at an acceptable cost, it may not be able to compete effectively with other carriers, which could result in lost customers and revenues. This could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

An inability to obtain or maintain roaming arrangements with other carriers on terms that are acceptable to TDS could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

TDS customers can access another carrier's digital system automatically only if the other carrier allows TDS customers to roam on its network. TDS relies on roaming agreements with other carriers to provide roaming capability to its customers in areas of the U.S., Mexico and Canada outside of its service areas and to improve coverage within selected areas of TDS network footprint. Such agreements cover traditional voice services as well as data services, which are an area of strong growth for TDS and other carriers. Although TDS currently has long-term roaming agreements with certain other carriers, these agreements generally are subject to renewal and termination if certain events occur, including, without limitation, if network standards are not maintained. FCC rules require wireless carriers to offer roaming arrangements to other carriers on reasonable terms and conditions and the FCC is considering applying this requirement to markets in which the carrier seeking to roam already holds an FCC license. However, carriers frequently disagree on what constitutes reasonable terms and conditions. The FCC has not taken an active role in explaining or enforcing these requirements, nor has it adopted rules requiring that carriers offer data roaming as well as voice roaming.

Some competitors may be able to obtain lower roaming rates than TDS is able to obtain because they have larger call volumes or because of their affiliations with, or ownership of, wireless carriers, or may be able to reduce roaming charges by providing service principally over their own networks. In addition, the quality of service that a wireless carrier delivers during a roaming call may be inferior to the quality of service TDS provides, the price of a roaming call may not be competitive with prices of other wireless carriers for such call, and TDS customers may not be able to use some of the advanced features, such as voicemail notification or data applications, that TDS customers enjoy when making calls within TDS network. TDS rate of adoption of new technologies, such as those enabling high-speed data services, could affect its ability to enter into or maintain roaming agreements with other carriers. In addition, TDS wireless CDMA, CDMA 1XRTT and 3G technology is not compatible with technologies such as GSM-based technologies which are used by certain other carriers, which limits the ability of TDS to enter into roaming agreements with such other carriers. TDS roaming partners could switch their business to new operators or, over time, to their own networks. Changes in roaming usage patterns, rates for roaming minutes or data use or relationships with carriers whose customers generate roaming minutes or data use on TDS network could have an adverse effect on TDS revenues and revenue growth.

If TDS is unable to obtain or maintain roaming agreements with other wireless carriers that contain pricing and other terms that are competitive and acceptable to TDS, and that satisfy TDS quality and interoperability requirements, its business, financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected.

TDS currently receives a significant amount of roaming revenues from its wireless business. As a result of acquisitions by other companies in the wireless industry, TDS roaming revenues have declined significantly from amounts earned in certain prior years. Further industry consolidation and continued build outs by existing and new wireless carriers could cause roaming revenues to decline even more, which would have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition and results of operations.

TDS' revenues include roaming revenues related to the use of TDS' network by other carriers' customers who travel within TDS' coverage areas. A significant portion of these roaming revenues is derived from Verizon Wireless (Verizon) and formerly Alltel Corporation (Alltel). In January 2009, Verizon acquired Alltel. As a result of this transaction, the network footprints of Verizon and Alltel have been and will continue to be combined. This has resulted in a decrease in inbound roaming revenues for TDS, since the combined Verizon and Alltel entity has reduced its usage of TDS' network in certain coverage areas that were used by Verizon and Alltel (as separate entities). Additional changes in the network footprints of other carriers due to additional consolidation or network expansions also could have an adverse effect on TDS' roaming revenues. For example, consolidation among other carriers which have network footprints that currently overlap TDS' network could further decrease the amount of roaming revenues for TDS. Accordingly, further industry consolidation could cause roaming revenues to decline even more, which would have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition and results of operations.

A failure by TDS to obtain access to adequate radio spectrum to meet current or anticipated future needs and/or to accurately predict future needs for radio spectrum could have an adverse effect on TDS' business and operations.

TDS wireless business depends on the ability to use portions of the radio spectrum licensed by the FCC. TDS could fail to obtain access to sufficient spectrum capacity in new or existing critical markets, whether through FCC auctions or other transactions, in order to meet the anticipated spectrum requirements associated with expected growth in customers and increased demand for existing services, and to enable deployment of next-generation services. In addition, TDS could fail to accurately forecast its future spectrum requirements considering changes in customer usage patterns, technology requirements and the expanded demands of new services. Such a failure could have a material adverse impact on the quality of TDS services or TDS ability to roll out such future services in some markets, or could require that TDS curtail existing services in order to make spectrum available for next-generation services. Spectrum constrained providers could be effectively capped in increasing market share. As they gain customers, they use up their network capacity. Since they lack spectrum, they can respond to demand only by adding cell sites, which is capital intensive, limited by zoning considerations, and ultimately may not be cost effective. If they become less cost-competitive, they may become unprofitable or be required to raise prices and lose customers, which would be an unsustainable position. TDS may acquire access to spectrum through a number of alternatives, including participation in spectrum auctions, partnering on a non-controlling basis with other auction applicants (Other Applicants) and other acquisitions and exchanges. As required by law, the FCC has conducted auctions for licenses to use some parts of the radio spectrum. The decision to conduct auctions, and the determination of what spectrum frequencies will be made available for auction are made by the FCC pursuant to laws that they administer. The FCC may not be able to allocate spectrum sufficient to meet the demands of all those wishing to obtain licenses for new market entry or to expand their spectrum holdings to meet the expanding demand for data services or to address other spectrum constraints. TDS or Other Applicants may not be successful in FCC auctions in obtaining the spectrum that either believes is necessary to implement its business and technology strategies. In addition, newly auctioned spectrum may not be compatible with existing spectrum, and vendors may not create suitable products to use such spectrum. Further, access to use spectrum won in FCC auctions may not be available on a timely basis. Such access is dependent upon the FCC actually granting licenses won in the various auctions, which can be delayed for various reasons, including the possible need for the FCC to transition current users of spectrum to other portions of the radio spectrum. TDS also may seek to acquire radio spectrum through purchases and exchanges with other spectrum licensees. However, TDS may not be able to acquire sufficient spectrum through these types of transactions, and TDS may not be able to complete any of these transactions on favorable terms.

To the extent conducted by the FCC, TDS is likely to participate in FCC auctions of additional spectrum in the future as an applicant or as a non-controlling partner in another auction applicant and, during certain periods, will be subject to the FCC's anti-collusion rules, which could have an adverse effect on TDS.

From time to time, the FCC conducts auctions through which additional spectrum is made available for the provision of wireless services. TDS has participated in such auctions in the past and is likely to participate in other auctions conducted by the FCC in the future as an applicant or as a non-controlling partner in another auction applicant. FCC anti-collusion rules place certain restrictions on business communications and disclosures by participants in an FCC auction. These anti-collusion rules may restrict the normal conduct of TDS' business and/or disclosures by TDS relating to an FCC auction, which could last three to six months or more. The restrictions could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

Changes in the regulatory environment or a failure by TDS to timely or fully comply with any applicable regulatory requirements could adversely affect TDS' financial condition, results of operations or ability to do business.

TDS operations are subject to varying degrees of regulation by the FCC, state public utility commissions and other federal, state and local regulatory agencies and legislative bodies. Adverse decisions or increased regulation by these regulatory bodies could negatively impact TDS operations by, among other things, increasing TDS costs of doing business, permitting greater competition or limiting TDS ability to engage in certain sales or marketing activities.

TDS wireless business requires licenses granted by the FCC to provide wireless telecommunications services. Typically, such licenses are issued for initial ten-year terms and may be renewed for additional ten-year terms, subject to FCC approval of the renewal applications. Failure to comply with FCC requirements in a given service area could result in the revocation of TDS license for that area or in the imposition of fines. Court decisions and rulemakings could have a substantial impact on TDS wireless operations, including rulemakings on intercarrier access compensation and universal service. Litigation and different objectives among federal and state regulators could create uncertainty and delay TDS ability to respond to new regulations. TDS is unable to predict the future actions of the various regulatory bodies that govern TDS, but such actions could have material adverse effects on TDS wireless business.

TDS wireline operations are subject to varying degrees of regulation by the FCC, state public utility commissions and other federal, state and local regulatory agencies and legislative bodies. Adverse decisions or increased regulation by these regulatory bodies could negatively impact TDS operations by, among other things, increasing TDS costs of doing business, permitting greater competition or limiting TDS ability to engage in certain sales or marketing activities. TDS is unable to predict the future actions of the various regulatory bodies that govern TDS, but such actions could have material adverse effects on TDS wireline business.

TDS ILECs have been granted permission to operate by each of the states in which they operate. TDS is subject to regulation from the regulatory commissions in each of these states as well as from the FCC. State regulatory commissions have primary jurisdiction over local and intrastate rates that TDS charges customers, including, without limitation, other telecommunications companies, and service quality standards. The FCC has primary jurisdiction over the interstate access rates that TDS charges other telecommunications companies that use TDS network and other issues related to interstate service. TDS receives a substantial amount of its ILEC revenues from interexchange carriers for providing access to its network and from compensation from the Universal Service Fund and other support funds. The FCC is re-examining all currently regulated forms of access charges and the prospect for continued access charges is uncertain. Furthermore, the FCC is reviewing the Universal Service Fund and applicable rules to assess the sustainability of the fund and is examining the process for determining the appropriate contributors, contribution rate, collection method, supported services, and the eligibility for and portability of payments. Changes in access charges and the Universal Service Fund that reduce the size of the fund and/or payments to TDS could have a material adverse impact on these sources of revenues. Future revenues, costs, and capital investment in TDS wireline business could be adversely affected by material changes to these regulations including but not limited to changes in intercarrier compensation, state and federal universal service support, loop (UNE-L) pricing and requirements, and VoIP regulation.

Although TDS' CLECs are not subject to regulatory review in the same way as the ILECs, the viability of their business model depends on FCC and state regulations. Court decisions and regulatory developments relating to UNE-L and access and transport options could negatively affect the CLEC's ability to obtain access to certain local networks or to provide broadband services to end users and/or could increase the CLEC's cost of providing some services. As a result of certain court decisions and regulatory developments, TDS has phased-out most of its CLEC operations that relied on an unbundled network element-platform provided by incumbent carriers. Moreover, the further loss of some access and transport options as a result of future developments would be unfavorable for TDS' CLEC operations and could negatively affect their ability to provide broadband services to end users.

Among the provisions in the legislation that Congress enacted in 2008 to stimulate the economy was a requirement that the FCC develop a national broadband plan that seeks to ensure that every American has access to broadband capability. In April 2009, the FCC initiated an inquiry to develop that plan and has since indicated it intends to deliver that plan to Congress in March 2010. TDS cannot predict how the FCC will address these issues going forward or how these matters will affect its business.

In 2009, the FCC initiated a rulemaking proceeding designed to codify its existing Net Neutrality principles and impose new requirements that could have the effect of restricting the ability of broadband or wireless Internet service providers to manage applications and content that traverse their networks. TDS cannot predict whether this proceeding will result in new rules, and, if so, the extent to which those new rules will affect its ability to provide broadband or wireless Internet access service on a cost-effective basis. TDS also cannot predict what effect, if any, this proceeding will have on its competitors or the growing markets for Internet-based applications and broadband or wireless Internet access.

In addition, new or amended regulatory requirements could increase TDS' costs and divert resources from other initiatives.

TDS attempts to timely and fully comply with all regulatory requirements. However, in certain circumstances, TDS may not be able to timely or fully comply with all regulatory requirements due to various factors, including changes to regulatory requirements, limitations in or availability of technology, insufficient time provided for compliance, problems encountered in attempting to comply or other factors. Any failure by TDS to timely or fully comply with any regulatory requirements could adversely affect TDS' financial condition, results of operations or ability to do business.

Changes in USF funding and/or intercarrier compensation could have a material adverse impact on TDS' financial position or results of operations.

On April 29, 2008, the FCC adopted an interim cap on the universal service fund (USF) high-cost funding that goes to competitive ETCs, limiting such funding in a particular state to the levels provided to all such carriers in that state in March 2008, with an exemption from the cap for carriers serving tribal lands and Alaskan Native Lands. The FCC order imposing the cap was published on July 1, 2008 and became effective on August 1, 2008.

The FCC is considering significant changes in the USF as well as in intercarrier compensation. These proposals include: the creation of separate wireless, wireline, and broadband funds, with an overall cap on all funds, including the wireline and wireless funds; a separate cap on payments to wireless carriers; elimination of the identical support rules, thereby requiring wireless carriers to receive support based on their own costs rather than wireline per line costs; using reverse auctions (a form of competitive bidding) to determine the amount of support to be provided to eligible telecommunications carriers, and limiting the number of carriers eligible to receive support to a given area. Adoption by the FCC of any form of cap, or limits on the number of carriers eligible to receive support for a given area or of proposals related to identical support or reverse auctions would likely reduce the amount of support that wireless carriers would be otherwise eligible to receive. In addition, a congressional

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

committee is investigating the USF high-cost program, which could potentially result in action by Congress. It is not certain what action, if any, may be taken by the FCC or Congress.

TDS is not able to predict what, if any, changes ultimately will be adopted by the FCC or any other action that may be taken as a result of the foregoing proposals. Such changes could have a material adverse impact on TDS' financial condition and results of operations.

An inability to attract and/or retain management, technical, sales and other personnel could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Due to competition for qualified management, technical, sales and other personnel and TDS' relative size in comparison to much larger competitors, there can be no assurance that TDS will be able to continue to attract and/or retain qualified personnel necessary for the development of its business. The loss of the services of existing personnel as well as the failure to recruit additional qualified personnel in a timely manner could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

TDS' assets are concentrated in the U.S. telecommunications industry. As a result, its results of operations may fluctuate based on factors related entirely to conditions in this industry.

TDS assets are concentrated in the U.S. telecommunications industry and, in particular, in the Midwestern portion of the United States. The U.S. telecommunications industry is facing significant change and an uncertain operating environment. TDS has not diversified its revenue streams outside of its two principal business units, wireless and wireline telecommunications. TDS focus on the U.S. telecommunications industry, with concentrations of assets and operations in the Midwest, together with its positioning relative to larger competitors with greater resources within the industry, may represent increased risk for investors due to the lack of diversification. This could have an adverse effect on TDS ability to profitably sustain long-term revenue growth and could have an adverse effect on its business, financial condition or results of operations.

The completion of acquisitions by other companies has led to increased consolidation in the wireless telecommunications industry. TDS lower scale relative to larger wireless carriers has in the past and could in the future prevent or delay its access to new products including handsets, new technology and/or new content and applications which could adversely affect TDS ability to attract and retain customers and, as a result, could adversely affect its business, financial condition or results of operations.

There has been a trend in the telecommunications and related industries in recent years towards consolidation of service providers through acquisitions, reorganizations and joint ventures. TDS expects this trend towards consolidation to continue, leading to larger competitors over time. TDS has lower-scale efficiencies compared to larger competitors. TDS may be unable to compete successfully with larger companies that have substantially greater financial, technical, marketing, sales, purchasing and distribution resources or that offer more services than TDS, which could adversely affect TDS revenues and costs of doing business.

TDS businesses increasingly depend on access to content for data, music or video services and access to new handsets and other devices being developed by vendors. TDS ability to obtain such access depends in part on other parties. For example, filings in proceedings before the FCC have alleged that larger companies have entered into exclusive arrangements with handset manufacturers which have the potential to restrict the market availability of particular handsets. If TDS is unable to obtain timely access to content for data, music or video services or timely access to new handsets being developed by vendors, its business, financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected.

Inability to manage its supply chain or inventory successfully could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

Operation of TDS supply chain and management of its inventory balances require accurate forecasting of customer growth and demand, which has become increasingly challenging. If overall demand for handsets or the mix of demand for handsets is significantly different than TDS expectations, TDS could face inadequate or excess supplies of particular models of handsets. This could result in lost sales opportunities or a buildup of inventory that could not be sold easily. Either of these situations could adversely affect TDS revenues, costs of doing business, results of operations or financial condition.

Changes in general economic and business conditions, both nationally and in the markets in which TDS operates, could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

TDS operating results may be subject to factors which are outside of TDS control, including changes in general economic and business conditions, both nationally and in the markets in which TDS operates. Such factors could have a material adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

Changes in various business factors could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Changes in any of several factors could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations. These factors include, but are not limited to:

- Demand;
- Pricing;
- Growth;
- Average revenue per unit;
- Penetration;
- Churn;
- Expenses;
- Customer acquisition and retention costs;
- Customers' ability to honor existing service contracts and the potential impact on bad debts expense;
- Roaming rates;
- Voice minutes and data use; and
- Mix and costs of products and services.

Advances or changes in telecommunications technology, such as Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP), High-Speed Packet Access, WiMAX or Long-Term Evolution (LTE), could render certain technologies used by TDS obsolete, could reduce TDS' revenues or could increase its costs of doing business.

The telecommunications industry is experiencing significant technological change, as evidenced by evolving industry standards, ongoing improvements in the capacity and quality of digital technology, shorter development cycles for new services and products and enhancements and changes in end-user requirements and preferences. Technological advances and industry changes, such as wideband technologies such as Wi-Fi and WiMAX which do not necessarily rely on FCC-licensed spectrum, the development of fourth-generation technology (4G) such as LTE, or the evolution of High-Speed Packet Access could cause the technology used on TDS' wireless networks to become less competitive or obsolete. In addition, Voice over Internet Protocol, also known as VoIP, is an emerging technological trend that could cause a decrease in demand for TDS' telephone services. TDS may not be able to respond to such changes and implement new technology on a timely or cost-effective basis, which could reduce its revenues or increase its costs of doing business. If TDS cannot keep pace with these technological changes or other changes in the telecommunications industry over time, its financial condition, results of operations or ability to do business could be adversely affected.

TDS could incur higher than anticipated intercarrier compensation costs.

When customers use TDS service to call customers of other carriers, in certain circumstances TDS is required to pay the carrier that serves the called party, and any intermediary or transit carrier, for the use of their networks. For transport of calls between its cell sites and mobile telephone switching offices, TDS must often depend on facilities supplied by local telephone companies. The rates for such services are unregulated and sometimes excessive. If such backhaul rates remain as they are, they could have an adverse effect on TDS business as demand for such services increases in a broadband environment. An ongoing FCC rulemaking proceeding is examining whether a unified intercarrier compensation regime should be established for all traffic exchanged between all carriers. New intercarrier compensation rules, if adopted, may result in increases in the charges TDS is required to pay other carriers for terminating calls on their networks, increase the costs of or difficulty in negotiating new agreements with carriers, and decrease the amount of revenue it receives for originating or terminating calls from other carriers on TDS network. Any such changes may have a materially adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition and operating results.

TDS is subject to numerous surcharges and fees from federal, state and local governments, and the applicability and the amount of these fees are subject to great uncertainty.

Telecommunications providers pay a variety of surcharges and fees on their gross revenues from interstate and intrastate services, including federal Universal Service Fund, or USF, fees and common carrier regulatory fees. The division of services between interstate services and intrastate services, including the divisions associated with the federal USF fees, is a matter of interpretation and may in the future be contested by the FCC or state authorities. The FCC also may change in the future the basis on which federal USF fees are charged. The Federal government and many states also apply transaction-based taxes to sales of TDS products and services and to purchases of telecommunications services from various carriers. In addition, state regulators and local governments have imposed and may continue to impose various surcharges, taxes and fees on TDS services. The applicability of these surcharges and fees to its services is uncertain in many cases and jurisdictions may contest whether TDS has assessed and remitted those monies correctly. Periodically state and federal regulators may increase or change the surcharges and fees TDS currently pays. In some instances TDS passes through these charges to its customers. However, Congress, the FCC, state regulatory agencies or state legislatures may limit the ability to pass through to customers transaction-based tax liabilities, regulatory surcharges and regulatory fees imposed on TDS. TDS may or may not be able to recover some or all of those taxes from its customers and the amount of taxes may deter demand for its services or increase its cost to provide service which could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition or operating results.

Changes in TDS enterprise value, changes in the market supply or demand for wireless licenses or wireline markets, adverse developments in the business or the industry in which TDS is involved and/or other factors could require TDS to recognize impairments in the carrying value of its license costs, goodwill and/or physical assets.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

A large portion of TDS' assets consists of intangible assets in the form of licenses and goodwill. TDS also has substantial investments in long-lived assets such as property, plant and equipment. TDS reviews its licenses, goodwill and other long-lived assets for impairment annually or whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be fully recoverable. An impairment loss may need to be recognized to the extent the carrying value of the assets exceeds the fair value of such assets. The amount of any such impairment loss could be significant and could have a material adverse effect on TDS' reported financial results for the period in which the loss is recognized. The estimation of fair values requires assumptions by management about factors that are uncertain including future cash flows, the appropriate discount rate and other factors. Different assumptions for these factors could create materially different results.

Costs, integration problems or other factors associated with acquisitions/divestitures of properties or licenses and/or expansion of TDS business could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

As part of TDS' operating strategy, TDS may expand the markets in which it operates through the acquisition of other telecommunications service providers, the acquisition of selected licenses or operating markets from such providers or through direct investment. The acquisition of additional businesses will depend on TDS' ability to identify suitable acquisition candidates, to negotiate acceptable terms for their acquisition and to finance any such acquisitions. TDS also will be subject to competition for suitable acquisition candidates. Any acquisitions, if made, could divert the resources and management time of TDS and would require integration with TDS' existing business operations and services. As a result, there can be no assurance that any such acquisitions will occur or that any such acquisitions, if made, would be made in a timely manner or on terms favorable to TDS or would be successfully integrated into TDS' operations. These transactions commonly involve a number of risks, including:

- Ability to enter markets in which TDS has limited or no direct prior experience and competitors have stronger positions;
- Uncertain revenues and expenses, with the result that TDS may not realize the growth in revenues, anticipated cost structure, profitability, or return on investment that it expects;
- Difficulty of integrating the technologies, services, products, operations and personnel of the acquired businesses;
- Diversion of management's attention;
- Disruption of ongoing business;
- Impact on TDS' cash and available credit lines for use in financing future growth and working capital needs;

- Inability to retain key personnel;
- Inability to successfully incorporate acquired assets and rights into TDS service offerings;
- Inability to maintain uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies;
- Possible conditions to approval by the FCC, the Federal Trade Commission and/or the Department of Justice; and
- Impairment of relationships with employees, customers or vendors.

Failure to overcome these risks or any other problems encountered in these transactions could have a material adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

If TDS expands into new telecommunications businesses or markets, it may incur significant expenditures, a substantial portion of which must be made before any revenues will be realized. Such expenditures may increase as a result of the accelerated pace of regulatory and technological changes. Such expenditures, together with the associated high initial costs of providing service in new markets, may result in reduced cash flow until an adequate revenue base is established. There can be no assurance that an adequate revenue base will be established in any new technology or market which TDS pursues.

If TDS expands into new telecommunications businesses or markets, it will incur certain additional risks in connection with such expansion, including increased legal and regulatory risks, and possible adverse reaction by some of its current customers. Such telecommunications businesses and markets are highly competitive and, as a new entrant, TDS may be disadvantaged. The success of TDS entry into new telecommunications businesses or markets will be dependent upon, among other things, TDS ability to select new equipment and software and to integrate the new equipment and software into its operations, to hire and train qualified personnel and to enhance its existing administrative, financial and information systems to accommodate the new businesses or markets. No assurance can be given that TDS will be successful with respect to these efforts.

If TDS is not successful with respect to its expansion initiatives, its business, financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected.

A significant portion of TDS wireless revenues is derived from customers who buy services through independent agents who market TDS services on a commission basis. If TDS relationships with these agents are seriously harmed, its wireless revenues could be adversely affected.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

TDS has relationships with agents to obtain customers. Agents are independent business people who obtain customers for TDS on a commission basis. TDS agents are generally in the business of selling wireless telephones, wireless service packages and other related products. Also, TDS agents include major appliance dealers and car stereo companies.

TDS business and growth depends, in part, on the maintenance of satisfactory relationships with its agents. As a result of recent economic conditions, many companies, including certain TDS agents, are having financial difficulties. If such relationships are seriously harmed or if such parties experience further financial difficulties, including bankruptcy, TDS revenues and, as a result, its financial condition or results of operations, could be adversely affected.

TDS investments in technologies which are unproven may not produce the benefits that TDS expects.

TDS is making investments in various new technologies and service and product offerings. These investments include technologies for enhanced data services offerings. TDS expects new services, products and solutions based on these new technologies to contribute to future growth in its revenues. However, the markets for some of these services, products and solutions are still emerging and the overall potential for these markets remains uncertain. If customer demand for these new services, products and solutions does not develop as expected, TDS financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected.

A failure by TDS to complete significant network construction and systems implementation activities as part of its plans to improve the quality, coverage, capabilities and capacity of its network and support systems could have an adverse effect on its operations.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

TDS' business plan includes significant construction activities and enhancements to its network. As TDS deploys, expands, and enhances its network, it may need to acquire additional spectrum. Also, as TDS continues to build out and enhance its network, TDS must, among other things, continue to:

- Lease, acquire or otherwise obtain rights to cell and switch sites;
- Obtain zoning variances or other local governmental or third-party approvals or permits for network construction;
- Complete and update the radio frequency design, including cell site design, frequency planning and network optimization, for each of TDS' markets; and
- Improve, expand and maintain customer care, network management, billing and other financial and management systems.

Any difficulties encountered in completing these activities, as well as problems in vendor equipment availability, technical resources, system performance or system adequacy, could delay expansion of operations and product capabilities in new or existing markets or result in increased costs in all markets. Failure to successfully build out and enhance TDS' network and necessary support facilities and systems in a cost-effective manner, and in a manner that satisfies customer expectations for quality and coverage, could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, business prospects, financial condition or results of operations.

Financial difficulties (including bankruptcy proceedings) of TDS' key suppliers or vendors, termination or impairment of TDS' relationships with such suppliers or vendors, or a failure by TDS to manage its supply chain effectively could result in delays or termination of TDS' receipt of required equipment or services, or could result in excess quantities of required equipment or services, any of which could adversely affect TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

TDS depends upon certain vendors to provide it with equipment, services or content to continue its network construction and upgrade and to operate its business. TDS does not have operational or financial control over such key suppliers and has limited influence with respect to the manner in which these key suppliers conduct their businesses. If these key suppliers experience financial difficulties or file for bankruptcy, they may be unable to provide equipment, services or content to TDS on a timely basis or cease to provide such equipment, services or content or otherwise fail to honor their obligations to TDS. In such case, TDS may be unable to maintain and upgrade its network or provide services to its customers in a competitive manner, or could suffer other disruptions to its business. In that event, TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected.

TDS has significant investments in entities that it does not control. Losses in the value of such investments could have an adverse effect on TDS' financial condition or results of operations.

TDS has significant investments in entities that it does not control, including a 5.5% ownership interest in the Los Angeles SMSA Limited Partnership (the LA Partnership), and limited partnership interests in Aquinas Wireless L.P., King Street Wireless L.P., Barat Wireless L.P. and Carroll Wireless L.P. TDS interests in such entities do not provide TDS with control over the business strategy, financial goals, build-out plans or other operational aspects of these entities. TDS cannot provide assurance that these entities will operate in a manner that will increase the value of TDS investments, that TDS proportionate share of income from the LA Partnership will continue at the current level in the future or that TDS will not incur losses from the holding of such investments. Losses in the values of such investments or a reduction in income from the LA Partnership could adversely affect TDS financial condition or results of operations.

A failure by TDS to maintain flexible and capable telecommunication networks or information technology, or a material disruption thereof, including breaches of network or information technology security, could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

TDS relies extensively on its telecommunication networks and information technology to operate and manage its business, process transactions and summarize and report results. These networks and technology become obsolete over time and must be upgraded, replaced and/or otherwise enhanced over time. Enhancements must be more flexible and robust than ever before. All of this is capital intensive and challenging. A failure by TDS to maintain flexible and capable telecommunication networks or information technology could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

In addition, TDS networks and information technology are subject to damage or interruption due to various events, including power outages, computer, network and telecommunications failures, computer viruses, security breaches, hackers, catastrophic events, natural disasters, errors or unauthorized actions by employees and vendors, flawed conversion of systems, disruptive technologies and technology changes. If TDS networks and information technology are not adequately adapted to changes in technology or are damaged or fail to function properly, and/or if TDS security is breached or otherwise compromised, TDS could suffer material adverse consequences, including loss of critical and private data, including customer data, interruptions or delays in its operations, inaccurate billings, inaccurate financial reporting, and significant costs to remedy the problems. If TDS systems become unavailable or suffer a security breach of customer or other data, TDS may be required to expend significant resources and take various actions to address the problems, including notification under data privacy laws and regulations, may be subject to fines, sanctions and litigation, and its reputation and operating results could be adversely affected. Any material disruption in TDS networks or information technology, including security breaches, could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

Wars, conflicts, hostilities and/or terrorist attacks or equipment failures, power outages, natural disasters or other events could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

Wars, conflicts, hostilities, terrorist attacks, major equipment failures, power outages, natural disasters, or similar disasters or failures that affect TDS wireless or wireline telephone switching offices, information systems, microwave links, third-party owned local and long-distance networks on which TDS relies, TDS cell sites or other equipment or the networks of other providers which TDS customers use or on which they roam could have a material adverse effect on TDS operations. Although TDS has certain back-up and similar arrangements, TDS has not established a formal, comprehensive business continuity or emergency response plan at this time. As a result, under certain circumstances, TDS may not be prepared to continue its operations, respond to emergencies or recover from disasters or other similar events. TDS inability to operate its telecommunications systems or access or operate its information systems even for a limited time period may result in a loss of customers or impair TDS ability to serve customers or attract new customers, which could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

The market prices of TDS Common Shares and Special Common Shares are subject to fluctuations due to a variety of factors.

Factors that may affect the future market prices of TDS Common Shares and Special Common Shares include:

- General economic conditions, including conditions in the credit and financial markets;
- Wireless and telecommunications industry conditions;
- Fluctuations in TDS quarterly customer additions, churn rate, revenues, results of operations or cash flows;
- Variations between TDS actual financial and operating results and those expected by analysts and investors;
and
- Announcements by TDS competitors.

Any of these or other factors could adversely affect the future market prices of TDS Common Shares or Special Common Shares, or could cause the future market prices of TDS Common Shares or Special Common Shares to fluctuate from time to time.

Identification of errors in financial information or disclosures could require amendments to or restatements of financial information or disclosures included in this or prior filings with the SEC.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

TDS prepares its consolidated financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and files such financial statements with the SEC in accordance with the SEC s rules and regulations. The possible identification of any errors in such prior filings with the SEC could require restatements of financial information or amendments to disclosures included in this or prior filings with the SEC.

Restatements of financial statements by TDS and related matters, including resulting delays in filing periodic reports with the SEC, could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Restatements and delays in filing reports with the SEC could have adverse consequences, including the following: TDS' credit ratings could be downgraded, which would result in an increase in its borrowing costs and could make it more difficult for TDS to borrow funds on satisfactory terms. The lenders on TDS' revolving credit agreement could refuse to waive a default or extend a waiver of default, impose restrictive covenants or conditions or require increased payments and fees. The holders of debt under TDS' indenture could attempt to assert a default and, if successful and TDS does not cure the default in a timely manner, accelerate such debt. The New York Stock Exchange could begin delisting proceedings with respect to the TDS Common Shares, TDS Special Common Shares and TDS debt that is listed thereon. TDS may not be able to use or file shelf registration statements on Form S-3 for an extended period of time, which may limit TDS' ability to access the capital markets. TDS may not be able to use Form S-8 registration statements relating to its employee benefit plans, which may have an adverse effect on TDS' ability to attract and retain employees. TDS also could face shareholder litigation or SEC enforcement action. Any of these events could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

The existence of material weaknesses in the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting could result in inaccurate financial statements or other disclosures or failure to prevent fraud, which could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

Pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, TDS is required to furnish a report of management's assessment of the design and effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as part of its Form 10-K filed with the SEC. TDS management also is required to report on the effectiveness of TDS' disclosure controls and procedures. The independent auditors of TDS are required to attest to, and report on, the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Material weaknesses could result in inaccurate financial statements or other disclosures or failure to prevent fraud, which could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations. Further, if TDS does not successfully remediate any known material weaknesses in a timely manner, it could be subject to sanctions by regulatory authorities such as the SEC, it could fail to timely meet its regulatory reporting obligations, or investor perceptions could be negatively affected; each of these potential consequences could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

Changes in facts or circumstances, including new or additional information that affects the calculation of potential liabilities for contingent obligations under guarantees, indemnities, claims, litigation or otherwise, could require TDS to record charges in excess of amounts accrued in the financial statements, if any, which could have an adverse effect on TDS' financial condition or results of operations.

The preparation of financial statements requires TDS to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. TDS bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions and information that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Changes in facts or circumstances, including new or additional information that affects the calculation of potential liabilities for contingent obligations under guarantees, indemnities, claims, litigation or otherwise, could require TDS to record charges in excess of amounts accrued in the financial statements, if any, which could have an adverse effect on TDS financial condition or results of operations.

Early redemptions or repurchases of debt, issuances of debt, changes in operating leases, changes in purchase obligations or other factors or developments could cause the amounts reported under Contractual Obligations in TDS Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations to be different from the amounts actually incurred.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

TDS has reported amounts with respect to future contractual obligations under the caption Contractual Obligations in its Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in this Form 10-K. The actual amounts disbursed in the future may differ materially from these currently reported amounts due to various factors, including early redemptions of debt or repurchases of debt, issuances of debt, changes in operating leases, changes in purchase obligations or other factors or developments, which could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

An increase in the amount of TDS' debt could subject TDS to higher interest costs and restrictions on its financing, investing and operating activities and could decrease its net income and cash flows.

TDS may increase its debt in the future for acquisitions or other purposes. For example, TDS may require substantial additional financing to fund acquisitions or other investments, capital expenditures, license purchases, operating costs and expenses, or other growth initiatives. TDS currently relies on its committed revolving credit facilities to meet any additional short-term financing needs. Other sources of financing may include public or private debt. The agreements governing any indebtedness may contain financial and other covenants that could impair TDS flexibility and restrict TDS ability to pursue growth opportunities. In addition, increased debt levels could result in higher interest costs and lower net income and cash flows.

Disruption in credit or other financial markets, a deterioration of U.S. or global economic conditions or other events, could, among other things, impede TDS access to or increase the cost of financing its operating and investment activities and/or result in reduced revenues and lower operating income and cash flows, which would have an adverse effect on TDS financial condition or results of operations.

Disruptions in the credit and financial markets, declines in consumer confidence, increases in unemployment, declines in economic growth and uncertainty about corporate earnings could have a significant negative impact on the U.S. and global financial and credit markets and the overall economy. Such events could have an adverse impact on financial institutions resulting in limited access to capital and credit for many companies. Furthermore, economic uncertainties make it very difficult to accurately forecast and plan future business activities. Changes in economic conditions, changes in financial markets, deterioration in the capital markets or other factors could have an adverse effect on TDS financial position, revenues, results of operations and cash flows.

Uncertainty of access to capital for telecommunications companies, deterioration in the capital markets, other changes in market conditions, changes in TDS credit ratings or other factors could limit or restrict the availability of financing on terms and prices acceptable to TDS, which could require TDS to reduce its construction, development or acquisition programs.

TDS and its subsidiaries operate capital-intensive businesses. TDS has used internally-generated funds and has also obtained substantial funds from external sources to finance the build out and enhancement of markets, to fund acquisitions and for general corporate purposes. TDS also may require substantial additional capital for, among other uses, acquisitions of providers of wireless or wireline telecommunications services, spectrum license or system acquisitions, system development and network capacity expansion. There can be no assurance that sufficient funds will continue to be available to TDS or its subsidiaries on terms or at prices acceptable to TDS. Uncertainty of access to capital for telecommunications companies, deterioration in the capital markets, other changes in market conditions, changes in TDS credit ratings or other factors could limit or restrict the availability of financing on terms and prices acceptable to TDS, which could require TDS to reduce its construction, development and acquisition programs. Reduction of TDS construction, development and acquisition programs likely would have a negative impact on TDS consolidated revenues, income and cash flows.

TDS and U.S. Cellular's credit facilities and the indentures governing their senior notes include restrictive covenants that limit their operating flexibility and TDS and U.S. Cellular may be unable to service their debt or to refinance their indebtedness before maturity.

TDS and U.S. Cellular's credit facilities and the indentures governing their senior notes impose material operating and financial restrictions on them. These restrictions, subject in certain cases to exceptions, may limit their ability to engage in certain transactions, including the following:

- incurring additional debt;
- paying dividends, redeeming capital stock or making other restricted payments or investments;
- selling or buying assets, properties or licenses;
- creating liens on assets;
- merging, consolidating or disposing of assets; and
- entering into transactions with affiliates.

Under the credit facilities, TDS and U.S. Cellular are also subject to financial covenants. These restrictions could limit their ability to obtain debt financing, repurchase stock, refinance or pay principal on their outstanding debt, complete acquisitions for cash or debt or react to changes in their operating environment or the economy.

Any failure to comply with the restrictions of the credit facilities or the indentures may result in events of default under these agreements, which in turn may result in defaults or acceleration of obligations under other agreements, giving lenders the right to terminate any commitments they had made to provide TDS or U.S. Cellular with further funds and/or to require TDS or U.S. Cellular to repay all amounts then outstanding.

TDS and U.S. Cellular's ability to meet their existing or future debt obligations will depend on their future performance and the other cash requirements of their businesses. TDS and U.S. Cellular's performance, to a certain extent, is subject to general economic conditions, financial, competitive, business, political, regulatory and other factors that are beyond their control. In addition, TDS and U.S. Cellular's ability to borrow funds in the future to make payment on their debt will depend on the satisfaction of covenants in their credit facilities, the indentures governing their senior notes and other debt agreements and other agreements which TDS or U.S. Cellular may enter into in the future. TDS cannot provide assurance that it or U.S. Cellular will continue to generate sufficient cash flow from operations at or above current levels or that future borrowings will be available to them under the credit facilities or from other sources in an amount sufficient to enable them to repay all of their indebtedness on a timely basis. Disruptions in the financial markets could make it more difficult to obtain debt or equity financing on reasonable terms or at all. TDS cannot provide assurance that it or U.S. Cellular will be able to service their debt or refinance any or all of their indebtedness on favorable or commercially reasonable terms, or at all.

Changes in income tax rates, laws, regulations or rulings, or federal or state tax assessments, could have an adverse effect on TDS financial condition or results of operations.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

TDS does not have control over changes in income tax rates, laws, regulations or rulings, or federal and state tax assessments. Income taxes and other federal or state taxes represent significant expenses for TDS. Accordingly, changes in income tax rates, laws, regulations or rulings, or federal and state tax assessments could have an adverse effect on TDS financial condition or results of operations.

Settlements, judgments, restraints on its current or future manner of doing business and/or legal costs resulting from pending and future litigation could have an adverse effect on TDS financial condition, results of operations or ability to do business.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

TDS is regularly involved in a number of legal proceedings before the FCC and various state and federal courts. Such legal proceedings can be complex, costly, protracted and highly disruptive to business operations by diverting the attention and energies of management and other key personnel.

The assessment of legal proceedings is a highly subjective process that requires judgments about future events. The amounts ultimately received or paid upon settlement or other resolution of litigation and other contingencies may differ materially from amounts accrued in the financial statements. In addition, litigation or similar proceedings could impose restraints on TDS' current or future manner of doing business. Such potential outcomes could have an adverse effect on TDS' financial condition, results of operations or ability to do business.

The possible development of adverse precedent in litigation or conclusions in professional studies to the effect that radio frequency emissions from handsets, wireless data devices and/or cell sites cause harmful health consequences, including cancer or tumors, or may interfere with various electronic medical devices such as pacemakers, could have an adverse effect on TDS wireless business, financial condition or results of operations.

Media reports have suggested that certain radio frequency emissions from wireless handsets may be linked to various health problems, including cancer or tumors, and may interfere with various electronic medical devices, including hearing aids and pacemakers. Concerns over radio frequency emissions may discourage use of wireless handsets or expose TDS to potential litigation. Any resulting decrease in demand for wireless services or costs of litigation and damage awards could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

In addition, some studies have indicated that some aspects of using wireless phones while driving may impair drivers' attention in certain circumstances, making accidents more likely. These concerns could lead to potential litigation relating to accidents, deaths or serious bodily injuries, any of which could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

Numerous state and local legislative bodies have enacted or proposed legislation restricting or prohibiting the use of wireless phones while driving motor vehicles. These enacted or proposed laws or other similar laws, if passed, could have the effect of reducing customer usage and/or increasing costs, which could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition, or results of operations.

Claims of infringement of intellectual property and proprietary rights of others, primarily involving patent infringement claims, could prevent TDS from using necessary technology to provide services or subject TDS to expensive intellectual property litigation or monetary penalties, which could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

If technology that TDS uses in products or services were determined by a court to infringe a patent or other intellectual property right held by another person, TDS could be precluded from using that technology and could be required to pay significant monetary damages. TDS also may be required to pay significant royalties to such person to continue to use such technology in the future. The successful enforcement of any intellectual property rights, or TDS' inability to negotiate a license for such rights on acceptable terms, could force TDS to cease using the relevant technology and offering services incorporating the technology. Any litigation to determine the validity of claims that TDS' products or services infringe or may infringe intellectual property rights of another, regardless of their merit or resolution, could be costly and divert the effort and attention of TDS' management and technical personnel. Regardless of the merits of any specific claim, TDS cannot give assurance that it would prevail in litigation because of the complex technical issues and inherent uncertainties in intellectual property litigation. Although TDS generally seeks to obtain indemnification agreements from vendors that provide it with technology, there can be no assurance that any claim of infringement will be covered by an indemnity or that TDS will be able to recover all or any of its losses and costs under any available indemnity agreements. Any claims of infringement of intellectual property and proprietary rights of others could prevent TDS from using necessary technology to provide its services or subject TDS to expensive intellectual property litigation or monetary penalties, which could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

Certain matters, such as control by the TDS Voting Trust and provisions in the TDS Restated Certificate of Incorporation, may serve to discourage or make more difficult a change in control of TDS.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

The TDS Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, and the TDS bylaws contain provisions which may serve to discourage or make more difficult a change in control of TDS without the support of the TDS Voting Trust and the TDS Board of Directors or without meeting various other conditions.

The TDS Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, authorizes the issuance of different series of common stock, which have different voting rights. The TDS Series A Common Shares have the power to elect approximately 75% (less one) of the directors and have ten votes per share in matters other than the election of directors. The TDS Common Shares (with one vote per share) and TDS Special Common Shares (with one vote per share) vote as a separate group only with respect to the election of 25% (plus one) of the directors. In matters other than the election of such directors, the TDS Common Shares have one vote per share and the TDS Special Common Shares have no votes except as required by law. As a result, the TDS Special Common Shares would generally not have any vote in connection with any change of control transaction involving TDS.

A substantial majority of the outstanding TDS Series A Common Shares are held in the TDS Voting Trust which expires on June 30, 2035. The TDS Voting Trust was created to facilitate the long-standing relationships among the trustees certificate holders. By virtue of the number of shares held by them, the voting trustees have the power to elect eight directors based on the current TDS Board of Directors size of 12 directors, and control a majority of the voting power of TDS with respect to matters other than the election of directors.

The existence of the TDS Voting Trust is likely to deter any potential unsolicited or hostile takeover attempts or other efforts to obtain control of TDS and may make it more difficult for shareholders to sell shares of TDS at higher than market prices. The trustees of the TDS Voting Trust have advised TDS that they intend to maintain the ability to keep or dispose of voting control of TDS.

The TDS Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, also authorizes the TDS Board of Directors to designate and issue TDS Undesignated Shares in one or more classes or series of preferred or common stock from time to time. Generally, no further action or authorization by the shareholders is necessary prior to the designation or issuance of the additional TDS Undesignated Shares authorized pursuant to the TDS restated certificate of incorporation, as amended, unless applicable laws or regulations would require such approval in a given instance. Such TDS Undesignated Shares could be issued in circumstances that would serve to preserve control of TDS then existing management.

In addition, the TDS Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, includes a provision which authorizes the TDS Board of Directors to consider various factors, including effects on customers, taxes, and the long-term and short-term interests of TDS, in the context of a proposal or offer to acquire or merge the corporation, or to sell its assets, and to reject such offer if the TDS Board of Directors determines that the proposal is not in the best interests of the corporation based on such factors.

The provisions of the TDS restated certificate of incorporation, as amended, and the TDS bylaws and the existence of various classes of capital stock could prevent shareholders from profiting from an increase in the market value of their shares as a result of a change in control of TDS by delaying or preventing such change in control.

Any of the foregoing events or other events could cause revenues, customer additions, operating income, capital expenditures and/or any other financial or statistical information to vary from TDS forward-looking estimates by a material amount.

From time to time, TDS may disclose forward-looking information, including estimates of future operating income; depreciation, amortization and accretion expenses; service revenues; net retail customer additions; and/or capital expenditures. Any such forward-looking information includes consideration of known or anticipated changes to the extent disclosed, but unknown or unanticipated events, including but not limited to the risks discussed above, could cause such estimates to differ materially from the actual amounts.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

U.S. Cellular

The physical properties for mobile telephone switching offices, cell sites, call centers and retail locations are located primarily in U.S. Cellular's operating markets and are either owned or leased under long-term leases by U.S. Cellular, one of its subsidiaries, or the partnership or corporation which holds the license issued by the FCC.

U.S. Cellular leases space for its corporate offices in Chicago and Bensenville, Illinois and its four regional offices, and owns its Network Operations Center in Schaumburg, Illinois. U.S. Cellular operates five customer care centers; one of the facilities used in these operations is owned and four are leased.

TDS Telecom

The physical properties of TDS Telecom are located primarily in its operating markets and consist principally of telephone lines and central office equipment for both the ILEC and CLEC operations, and land and buildings associated with ILEC operations. TDS Telecom owns most of its central office buildings, local administrative buildings and storage facilities used in its ILEC operations. TDS Telecom leases most of its offices, switching facility buildings, storage facilities and sales offices used in its CLEC operations.

TDS Telecom leases space for its corporate headquarters office in Madison, Wisconsin.

Corporate

TDS leases space for its corporate offices in Chicago, Illinois and Middleton, Wisconsin.

General

U.S. Cellular's cell and transmitter sites and TDS Telecom's telephone lines are located on private and public property. Locations on private land are by virtue of easements or other arrangements. U.S. Cellular and TDS Telecom have not experienced major problems with obtaining zoning approval for cell and transmitter sites, telephone lines or other operating facilities and do not anticipate significant problems in this area in future periods.

U.S. Cellular's and TDS Telecom's properties, plant and equipment are maintained in good operating condition and are suitable and adequate for TDS' business operations.

As of December 31, 2009, Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation, totaled \$2,601.3 million at U.S. Cellular, \$790.1 million at TDS Telecom's ILEC and \$90.3 million at TDS Telecom's CLEC; and \$26.1 million at Corporate and Suttle-Strauss.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

In February 2009, the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) notified TDS and U.S. Cellular, a subsidiary of TDS, that each was a named defendant in a civil action brought by a private party in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia under the qui tam provisions of the federal False Claims Act. TDS and U.S. Cellular were advised that the complaint seeks return of approximately \$165 million of bid credits from certain FCC auctions and requests treble damages. The complaint was under seal while the DOJ considered whether to intervene in the proceeding. On October 13, 2009, TDS and U.S. Cellular were advised that the DOJ had determined not to intervene in the proceeding. As a result of the complaint, the DOJ had investigated TDS' and U.S. Cellular's participation in certain spectrum auctions conducted by the FCC between 2005 and 2008, through Carroll Wireless, L.P., Barat Wireless, L.P., and King Street Wireless, L.P. Carroll Wireless, L.P., Barat Wireless, L.P. and King Street Wireless, L.P. were winning bidders in Auction 58, Auction 66 and Auction 73, respectively. These limited partnerships received a 25% bid credit in the applicable auction price under FCC rules. The DOJ investigated whether these limited partnerships qualified for the 25% bid credit in auction price considering their arrangements with TDS and U.S. Cellular. In addition, on October 13, 2009, the District Court unsealed the complaint. At that time, the District Court also ordered that, if the private party plaintiff decides to pursue the matter, it must serve the complaint on TDS and U.S. Cellular within 120 days. On January 12, 2010, the private party plaintiff filed a request to voluntarily dismiss the complaint and, on January 13, 2010, the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia issued an order dismissing the complaint. The FCC sent a letter to King Street Wireless, L.P. requesting that it submit to the FCC a written response to the allegations in the complaint. King Street Wireless, L.P. made this submission as requested by the FCC on May 8, 2009. Following completion of its review of the matter, on December 30, 2009, the FCC issued a public notice announcing the grant to King Street Wireless, L.P. of all licenses with respect to which it had been the high bidder in Auction 73.

See Note 16 Commitments and Contingencies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of 2009.

41

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market, holder and dividend information is incorporated by reference from Exhibit 13 to this Form 10-K, Annual Report sections entitled Stock and Dividend Information and Consolidated Quarterly Information (Unaudited).

Information relating to Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities is set forth below.

In December 2009, TDS completed the authorization made in 2008 for the repurchase of up to \$250 million in aggregate purchase price of both TDS Common and Special Common shares. On November 19, 2009, the Board of Directors of TDS authorized a new \$250 million stock repurchase program for both TDS Common and Special Common shares. Depending on market conditions, such shares may be repurchased in compliance with Rule 10b-18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), pursuant to Rule 10b5-1 under the Exchange Act, or pursuant to accelerated share repurchase arrangements, prepaid share repurchases, private transactions or as otherwise authorized. This authorization will expire in November 2012.

42

The following table provides certain information with respect to all purchases made by or on behalf of TDS, and any open market purchases made by any affiliated purchaser (as defined by the SEC) of TDS, of TDS Special Common Shares and Common Shares during the fourth quarter of 2009.

TDS PURCHASES OF SPECIAL COMMON SHARES AND COMMON SHARES

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Maximum Dollar Value of Shares that may yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
2008 Authorization:				
October 1 - 31, 2009				
Common	370,067	\$ 30.47	370,067	
Special Common	71,612	29.39	71,612	
Total	441,679	30.30	441,679	\$ 350,074
November 1 - 30, 2009				
Common				
Special Common				
Total				350,074
December 1 - 31, 2009				
Common				
Special Common	12,570	27.85	12,570	
Total	12,570	27.85	12,570	
2009 Authorization:				
November 1 - 30, 2009				
Common				
Special Common				
Total				250,000,000
December 1 - 31, 2009				
Common				
Special Common	98,732	29.13	98,732	
Total	98,732	29.13	98,732	247,124,361
Total as of or for the quarter ended December 31, 2009				
Common	370,067	30.47	370,067	
Special Common	182,914	29.14	182,914	
Total	552,981	\$ 30.03	552,981	\$ 247,124,361

The following is additional information with respect to the 2008 Common and Special Common Shares authorization and the 2009 Common and Special Common Shares authorization:

i. The date the 2008 program was announced was November 5, 2008 by Form 8-K. The date the 2009 program was announced was November 20, 2009 by Form 8-K.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

- ii. The amount originally approved for the 2008 program was up to \$250 million in aggregate purchase price of TDS Common and Special Common Shares. The amount originally approved for the 2009 program was up to \$250 million in aggregate purchase price of TDS Common and Special Common Shares.

- iii. The expiration date for the 2008 program was November 3, 2011. The expiration date for the 2009 program is November 19, 2012.

iv. The 2008 Common and Special Common Shares authorization was completed in December 2009. The 2009 Common and Special Common Shares authorization did not expire during the fourth quarter of 2009

v. TDS has not determined to terminate the foregoing 2009 Common and Special Common Shares repurchase program prior to expiration, or to cease making further purchases thereunder, during the fourth quarter of 2009.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 13 to this Form 10-K, Annual Report section entitled Selected Consolidated Financial Data, except for Ratio of earnings to fixed charges, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 12 to this Form 10-K.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 13 to this Form 10-K, Annual Report section entitled Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 13 to this Form 10-K, Annual Report section entitled Market Risk.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 13 to this Form 10-K, Annual Report sections entitled Consolidated Statement of Operations, Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows, Consolidated Balance Sheet, Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Consolidated Quarterly Information (Unaudited), Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

TDS maintains disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is processed, recorded, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to TDS' management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives.

As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b), TDS carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of TDS' disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that TDS' disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2009, at the reasonable assurance level.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. TDS' internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United

States of America (GAAP). TDS internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the issuer; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that receipts and expenditures of the issuer are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and, where required, the board of directors of the issuer; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the issuer's assets that could have a material effect on the interim or annual consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Under the supervision and with the participation of TDS management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, TDS conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Management has concluded that TDS maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009 based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the COSO.

The effectiveness of TDS internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in the firm's report which is incorporated by reference into Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K from Exhibit 13 filed herewith.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in TDS internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter of 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, TDS internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Incorporated by reference from Proxy Statement sections entitled Election of Directors, Corporate Governance, Executive Officers and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Incorporated by reference from Proxy Statement section entitled Executive and Director Compensation.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Incorporated by reference from Proxy Statement sections entitled Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Incorporated by reference from Proxy Statement sections entitled Corporate Governance and Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Incorporated by reference from Proxy Statement section entitled Fees Paid to Principal Accountants.

PART IV**Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules**

(a) The following documents are filed as a part of this report:

(1) Financial Statements

Consolidated Statement of Operations	Annual Report*
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows	Annual Report*
Consolidated Balance Sheet	Annual Report*
Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity	Annual Report*
Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income	Annual Report*
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	Annual Report*
Consolidated Quarterly Information (Unaudited)	Annual Report*
Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	Annual Report*
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP	Annual Report*

* Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 13.

(2)

Financial Statement Schedules

	Location
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Financial Statement Schedule PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP	page S-1
II. Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	page S-2
Los Angeles SMSA Limited Partnership Financial Statements	page S-3
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Deloitte & Touche LLP	page S-4
Balance Sheets	page S-5
Statements of Operations	page S-6
Statements of Changes in Partners' Capital	page S-7
Statements of Cash Flows	page S-8
Notes to Financial Statements	page S-9

All other schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable or not required because the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

(3) Exhibits

The exhibits set forth in the accompanying Index to Exhibits are filed as a part of this Report. Compensatory plans or arrangements are identified in the Index to Exhibits with an asterisk.

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on
Financial Statement Schedule**

To the Board of Directors of

Telephone and Data Systems, Inc.:

Our audits of the consolidated financial statements and of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting referred to in our report dated February 25, 2010 appearing in the 2009 Annual Report to Shareholders of Telephone and Data Systems, Inc. (which report and consolidated financial statements are incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K) also included an audit of the financial statement schedule listed in Item 15(a)(2) of this Form 10-K. In our opinion, based on our audits and the report of other auditors, this financial statement schedule presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements.

Chicago, Illinois

February 25, 2010

S-1

TELEPHONE AND DATA SYSTEMS, INC.

SCHEDULE II - VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

Description Column A (Dollars in thousands)	Balance at Beginning of Period Column B	Additions		Deductions Column D	Balance at End of Period Column E
		Charged to Costs and Expenses Column C-1	Charged to Other Accounts Column C-2		
For the Year Ended December 31, 2009					
Deducted from deferred tax asset:					
Valuation allowance(1)	\$ (78,760)	\$ 13,762	\$ 1,128	\$	\$ (63,870)
Deducted from accounts receivable:					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(19,202)	(115,989)		97,568	(37,623)
For the Year Ended December 31, 2008					
Deducted from deferred tax asset:					
Valuation allowance	\$ (74,867)	\$	\$ (3,893)	\$	\$ (78,760)
Deducted from accounts receivable:					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(21,623)	(83,004)		85,425	(19,202)
For the Year Ended December 31, 2007					
Deducted from deferred tax asset:					
Valuation allowance	\$ (49,506)	\$ 11,974	\$ (37,335)	\$	\$ (74,867)
Deducted from accounts receivable:					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(25,383)	(74,988)		78,748	(21,623)

(1) As of December 31, 2009, the valuation allowance reduced current deferred tax assets by \$1.0 million and noncurrent deferred tax assets by \$62.9 million.

LOS ANGELES SMSA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

TDS subsidiary, U.S. Cellular, owns a 5.5% limited partnership interest in the Los Angeles SMSA Limited Partnership and accounts for such interest by the equity method. The partnership's financial statements were obtained by U.S. Cellular as a limited partner.

S-3

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Partners of Los Angeles SMSA Limited Partnership:

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Los Angeles SMSA Limited Partnership (the Partnership) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related statements of operations, changes in partners' capital, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Partnership's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Partnership is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Partnership as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Atlanta, Georgia

To the Board of Directors of

February 25, 2010

S-4

LOS ANGELES SMSA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

BALANCE SHEETS

DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND 2008

(Dollars in Thousands)

	2009	2008
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$17,688 and \$19,265	\$ 281,946	\$ 276,141
Unbilled revenue	20,040	20,280
Due from affiliate	431,698	392,543
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	3,696	3,037
Total current assets	737,380	692,001
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT Net	1,544,788	1,611,814
WIRELESS LICENSES	79,543	79,543
OTHER ASSETS	545	656
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 2,362,256	\$ 2,384,014
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS CAPITAL		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 85,832	\$ 76,718
Advance billings and customer deposits	104,869	108,578
Deferred gain on lease transaction	4,923	4,923
Total current liabilities	195,624	190,219
LONG TERM LIABILITIES:		
Deferred gain on lease transaction	48,678	53,611
Other long term liabilities	12,429	11,481
Total long term liabilities	61,107	65,092
Total liabilities	256,731	255,311
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (see Notes 6 and 7)		
PARTNERS CAPITAL	2,105,525	2,128,703
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS CAPITAL	\$ 2,362,256	\$ 2,384,014

See notes to financial statements.

LOS ANGELES SMSA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009, 2008 AND 2007

(Dollars in Thousands)

	2009	2008	2007
OPERATING REVENUES (see Note 5 for Transactions with Affiliates and Related Parties):			
Service revenues, net	\$ 3,429,895	\$ 3,428,291	\$ 3,319,515
Equipment, net and other revenues	418,210	475,729	423,013
Total operating revenues	3,848,105	3,904,020	3,742,528
OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES (see Note 5 for Transactions with Affiliates and Related Parties):			
Cost of service (excluding depreciation and amortization related to network assets included below)	571,703	560,250	543,800
Cost of equipment	695,952	720,276	614,572
Selling, general and administrative	1,124,973	1,131,665	1,044,193
Depreciation and amortization	325,887	313,389	291,303
Net (gain) loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment			8
Total operating costs and expenses	2,718,515	2,725,580	2,493,876
OPERATING INCOME	1,129,590	1,178,440	1,248,652
OTHER INCOME:			
Interest income, net	41,001	25,526	34,110
Other, net	6,231	6,024	5,839
Total other income	47,232	31,550	39,949
NET INCOME	\$ 1,176,822	\$ 1,209,990	\$ 1,288,601
Allocation of Net Income:			
Limited partners	\$ 706,094	\$ 725,994	\$ 773,160
General Partner	\$ 470,728	\$ 483,996	\$ 515,441

See notes to financial statements.

LOS ANGELES SMSA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN PARTNERS CAPITAL

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009, 2008 AND 2007

(Dollars in Thousands)

	General Partner		Limited Partners		United States Cellular Corporation	Total Partners Capital
	AirTouch Cellular	AirTouch Cellular	Cellco Partnership			
BALANCE January 1, 2007	\$ 812,045	\$ 858,737	\$ 247,674	\$ 111,656	\$ 2,030,112	
Distributions	(480,000)	(507,600)	(146,400)	(66,000)	(1,200,000)	
Net income	515,441	545,078	157,209	70,873	1,288,601	
BALANCE December 31, 2007	847,486	896,215	258,483	116,529	2,118,713	
Distributions	(480,000)	(507,600)	(146,400)	(66,000)	(1,200,000)	
Net income	483,996	511,826	147,619	66,549	1,209,990	
BALANCE December 31, 2008	851,482	900,441	259,702	117,078	2,128,703	
Distributions	(480,000)	(507,600)	(146,400)	(66,000)	(1,200,000)	
Net income	470,728	497,796	143,573	64,725	1,176,822	
BALANCE December 31, 2009	\$ 842,210	\$ 890,637	\$ 256,875	\$ 115,803	\$ 2,105,525	

See notes to financial statements.

LOS ANGELES SMSA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009, 2008 AND 2007

(Dollars in Thousands)

	2009	2008	2007
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income	\$ 1,176,822	\$ 1,209,990	\$ 1,288,601
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	325,887	313,389	291,303
Net (gain) loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment			8
Provision for losses on accounts receivable	41,980	49,685	39,694
Amortization of deferred gain on lease transaction	(4,933)	(4,982)	(4,918)
Changes in certain assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(47,785)	(42,519)	(67,870)
Unbilled revenue	240	3,412	2,793
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(659)	1,247	(1,092)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	17,419	(3,462)	(7,475)
Advance billings and customer deposits	(3,709)	6,223	11,215
Other long term liabilities	948	1,794	1,066
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,506,210	1,534,777	1,553,325
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Capital expenditures from affiliates, net	(267,055)	(355,950)	(325,815)
Change in due from affiliate, net	(39,155)	21,173	(27,510)
Net cash used in investing activities	(306,210)	(334,777)	(353,325)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Distributions to partners	(1,200,000)	(1,200,000)	(1,200,000)
CHANGE IN CASH			
CASH Beginning of year			
CASH End of year	\$	\$	\$
NONCASH TRANSACTIONS FROM INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Accruals for capital expenditures	\$ 5,052	\$ 13,357	\$ 10,455

See notes to financial statements.

S-8

LOS ANGELES SMSA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

To the Board of Directors of

142

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009, 2008 AND 2007

(Dollars in Thousands)

1. ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

Los Angeles SMSA Limited Partnership Los Angeles SMSA Limited Partnership (the Partnership) was formed on January 1, 1984. The principal activity of the Partnership is providing cellular service in the Los Angeles metropolitan service area.

The partners and their respective ownership percentages as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 are as follows:

General Partner:	
AirTouch Cellular* (General Partner)	40.0%
Limited Partners:	
AirTouch Cellular*	42.3%
Cellco Partnership	12.2%
United States Cellular Corporation	5.5%

*AirTouch Cellular is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Verizon Wireless (VAW) LLC (a wholly-owned subsidiary of Cellco Partnership (Cellco) doing business as Verizon Wireless).

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Use of Estimates The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates. Estimates are used for, but not limited to, the accounting for: allocations, allowance for uncollectible accounts receivable, unbilled revenue, depreciation and amortization, useful lives and impairment of assets, accrued expenses, and contingencies. Estimates and assumptions are periodically reviewed and the effects of any material revisions are reflected in the financial statements in the period that they are determined to be necessary.

Revenue Recognition The Partnership earns revenue by providing access to our network (access revenue) and for usage of our network (usage revenue), which includes voice and data revenue. Customers are associated with the Partnership based upon mobile identification number. In general, access revenue is billed one month in advance and is recognized when earned; the unearned portion is classified in advance billings in the balance sheet. Usage revenue is recognized when service is rendered and included in unbilled revenue until billed. Equipment sales revenue associated with the sale of wireless devices is recognized when the products are delivered to and accepted by the customer, as this is considered to be a separate earnings process from the sale of wireless services. Customer activation fees are considered additional consideration, and to the extent that we incur costs in excess of fees, these fees are recorded as equipment and other revenue at the time of customer acceptance. For agreements involving the resale of third-party services in which we are considered the primary obligor in the arrangements, we record revenue gross. The roaming rates charged by the Partnership to Cellco do not necessarily reflect current market rates. The Partnership will continue to re-evaluate the rates on a periodic basis (See Note 5).

The Partnership reports taxes imposed by governmental authorities on revenue-producing transactions between us and our customers that are within the scope of the accounting standard related to how taxes collected from customers and remitted to governmental authorities should be presented in the statement of operations in the financial statements on a gross basis.

Operating Costs and Expenses Operating expenses include expenses incurred directly by the Partnership, as well as an allocation of certain selling, general and administrative and operating costs incurred by Cellco or its affiliates on behalf of the Partnership. Employees of Cellco provide services performed on behalf of the Partnership. These employees are not employees of the Partnership and therefore, operating expenses include direct and allocated charges of salary and employee benefit costs for the services provided to the Partnership. Cellco believes such allocations, principally based on the Partnership's percentage of total customers, customer gross additions or minutes of use, are reasonable. The roaming rates charged to the Partnership by Cellco do not necessarily reflect current market rates. The Partnership will continue to re-evaluate the rates on a periodic basis (see Note 5).

Retail Stores The daily operations of all retail stores located within the Partnership's operating area are managed by Cellco. However, all income and expenses incurred by and fixed assets and liabilities related to these retail stores are recorded on the books of the Partnership.

Income Taxes The Partnership is not a taxable entity for federal and state income tax purposes. Any taxable income or loss is apportioned to the partners based on their respective partnership interests and is reported by them individually.

Inventory Inventory is owned by Cellco and is not recorded on the Partnership's financial statements. Upon sale, the related cost of the inventory is transferred to the Partnership at Cellco's cost basis and included in the accompanying statements of operations.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts The Partnership maintains allowances for uncollectible accounts receivable for estimated losses resulting from the inability of customers to make required payments. Estimates are based on the aging of the accounts receivable balances and the historical write-off experience, net of recoveries.

Property, Plant and Equipment Property, plant and equipment primarily represents costs incurred to construct and expand capacity and network coverage on Mobile Telephone Switching Offices and cell sites. The cost of property, plant and equipment is depreciated over its estimated useful life using the straight-line method of accounting. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of their estimated useful lives or the term of the related lease. Major improvements to existing plant and equipment are capitalized. Routine maintenance and repairs that do not extend the life of the plant and equipment are charged to expense as incurred.

Upon the sale or retirement of property, plant and equipment, the cost and related accumulated depreciation or amortization is eliminated from the accounts and any related gain or loss is reflected in the statements of operations. All property, plant and equipment purchases are made through an affiliate of Cellco. Transfers of property, plant and equipment between Cellco and affiliates are recorded at net book value.

Network engineering and interest costs incurred during the construction phase of the Partnership's network and real estate properties under development are capitalized as part of property, plant and equipment and recorded as construction-in-progress until the projects are completed and placed into service.

FCC Licenses The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) issues licenses that authorize cellular carriers to provide service in specific cellular geographic service areas. The FCC grants licenses for terms of up to ten years. In 1993, the FCC adopted specific standards to apply to cellular renewals, concluding it will reward a license renewal to a cellular licensee that meets certain standards of past performance. Historically, the FCC has granted license renewals routinely and at nominal costs, which are expensed as incurred. The current terms of the Partnership's FCC licenses expire in October 2014, February 2016 and April 2017. Cellco believes it will be able to meet all requirements necessary to secure renewal of the Partnership's cellular licenses. FCC wireless licenses totaling \$79,543 are recorded on the books of the Partnership as of December 31, 2009 and 2008. There are additional wireless licenses issued by the FCC that authorize the Partnership to provide cellular service recorded on the books of Cellco.

Valuation of Assets Long-lived assets, including property, plant and equipment and intangible assets with finite lives, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable. The carrying amount of a long-lived asset is not recoverable if it exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. The impairment loss, if determined to be necessary, would be measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset.

The Partnership's principal intangible assets are wireless licenses, which provide the Partnership with the exclusive right to utilize certain radio frequency spectrum to provide wireless communication services. Moreover, Cellco has determined that there are currently no legal, regulatory, contractual, competitive, economic or other factors that limit the useful life of the Partnership's wireless licenses. As a result, the wireless licenses are treated as an indefinite life intangible asset, and are not amortized but rather are tested for impairment. Cellco and the Partnership reevaluates the useful life determination for wireless licenses at least annually to determine whether events and circumstances continue to support an indefinite useful life.

Cellco and the Partnership tests its wireless licenses for potential impairment annually, and more frequently if indications of impairment exist. Cellco and the Partnership evaluate its licenses on an aggregate basis, using a direct income-based value approach. This approach estimates fair value using a discounted cash flow analysis to estimate what a marketplace participant would be willing to pay to purchase the aggregated wireless licenses as of the valuation date. If the fair value of the aggregated wireless licenses is less than the aggregated carrying amount of the wireless licenses, an impairment is recognized. In addition, Cellco believes that under the Partnership agreement it has the right to allocate, based on a reasonable methodology, any impairment loss recognized by Cellco for all licenses included in Cellco's national footprint. Cellco does not charge the Partnership for the use of any FCC license recorded on its books (except for the annual cost of \$28,172 related to the spectrum lease, as discussed in Note 5). Cellco and the Partnership evaluated its wireless licenses for potential impairment as of December 15, 2009 and December 15, 2008. These evaluations resulted in no impairment of wireless licenses.

Fair Value Measurements In accordance with the accounting standard regarding fair value measurements, fair value is defined as an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. This accounting standard also establishes a three-tier hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value, which prioritizes the inputs used in the valuation methodologies in measuring fair value:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities

Level 2 - Observable inputs other than quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities

Level 3 - No observable pricing inputs in the market

S-11

Financial assets and financial liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurements requires judgment, and may affect the valuation of the assets and liabilities being measured and their placement within the fair value hierarchy.

Concentrations To the extent the Partnership's customer receivables become delinquent, collection activities commence. No single customer is large enough to present a significant financial risk to the Partnership. The Partnership maintains an allowance for losses based on the expected collectibility of accounts receivable.

Cellco and the Partnership rely on local and long distance telephone companies, some of whom are related parties, and other companies to provide certain communication services. Although management believes alternative telecommunications facilities could be found in a timely manner, any disruption of these services could potentially have an adverse impact on the Partnership's operating results.

Although Cellco attempts to maintain multiple vendors for its network assets and inventory, which are important components of its operations, they are currently acquired from only a few sources. Certain of these products are in turn utilized by the Partnership and are important components of the Partnership's operations. If the suppliers are unable to meet Cellco's needs as it builds out its network infrastructure and sells service and equipment, delays and increased costs in the expansion of the Partnership's network infrastructure or losses of potential customers could result, which would adversely affect operating results.

Financial Instruments The Partnership's trade receivables and payables are short-term in nature, and accordingly, their carrying value approximates fair value.

Due from affiliate Due from affiliate principally represents the Partnership's cash position. Cellco manages, on behalf of the Partnership, all cash, inventory, investing and financing activities. As such, the change in due from affiliate is reflected as an investing activity or a financing activity in the statements of cash flows depending on whether it represents a net asset or net liability for the Partnership.

Additionally, administrative and operating costs incurred by Cellco on behalf of the Partnership, as well as property, plant, and equipment transactions with affiliates, are charged to the Partnership through this account. Interest income or interest expense is based on the average monthly outstanding balance in this account and is calculated by applying Cellco's average cost of borrowing from Verizon Global Funding, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Verizon Communications, Inc., which was approximately 5.8%, 4.0% and 5.4% for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Included in net interest income is interest income of \$41,222, \$25,800 and \$34,304 for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, related to the due from affiliate.

Distributions The Partnership is required to make distributions to its partners based upon the Partnership's operating results, cash availability and financing needs as determined by the General Partner at the date of the distribution.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements The adoption of the following accounting standards and updates during 2009 did not result in a significant impact to the Partnership's financial statements:

On January 1, 2009, the Partnership adopted the accounting standard regarding the determination of the useful life of intangible assets that removes the requirement to consider whether an intangible asset can be renewed without substantial cost or material modifications to the existing terms and conditions, and replaces it with a requirement that an entity consider its own historical experience in renewing similar arrangements, or a consideration of market participant assumptions in the absence of historical experience. This standard also requires entities to disclose information that enables users of financial statements to assess the extent to which the expected future cash flows associated with the asset are affected by the entity's intent and/or ability to renew or extend the arrangements.

S-12

On June 15, 2009, the Partnership adopted the accounting standard regarding the general standards of accounting for, and disclosure of, events that occur after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued. This standard was effective prospectively for all annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009.

On June 15, 2009, the Partnership adopted the accounting standard that amends the requirements for disclosures about fair value of financial instruments. This standard was effective prospectively for all annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009.

On June 15, 2009, the Partnership adopted the accounting standard regarding estimating fair value measurements when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability has significantly decreased which also provides guidance for identifying transactions that are not orderly. This standard was effective prospectively for all annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009.

On August 28, 2009, the Partnership adopted the accounting standard update regarding the measurement of liabilities at fair value. This standard update provides techniques to use in measuring fair value of a liability in circumstances in which a quoted price in an active market for the identical liability is not available. This standard update is effective prospectively for all annual reporting periods upon issuance.

Other Recent Accounting Standard In September 2009, the accounting standard regarding multiple deliverable arrangements was updated to require the use of the relative selling price method when allocating revenue in these types of arrangements. This method allows a vendor to use its best estimate of selling price if neither vendor specific objective evidence nor third party evidence of selling price exists when evaluating multiple deliverable arrangements. This standard update is effective January 1, 2011 and may be adopted prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified after the date of adoption or retrospectively for all revenue arrangements for all period presented. The Partnership is currently evaluating the impact this standard update will have on the financial statements.

Subsequent Events Events subsequent to December 31, 2009 have been evaluated through February 25, 2010, the date the financial statements were issued.

3. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment consist of the following as of December 31, 2009 and 2008:

	Useful Lives	2009	2008
Land		\$ 7,656	\$ 7,656
Buildings and improvements	20-40 years	462,057	434,298
Cellular plant equipment	3-15 years	2,642,191	2,586,438
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	2-10 years	81,357	84,456
Leasehold improvements	5 years	274,932	221,245
		3,468,193	3,334,093
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization		1,923,405	1,722,279
Property, plant and equipment, net		\$ 1,544,788	\$ 1,611,814

Capitalized network engineering costs of \$16,210 and \$13,427 were recorded during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Construction-in-progress included in certain of the classifications shown above, principally cellular plant equipment, amounted to \$64,716 and \$115,865 at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Tower Transactions Prior to the acquisition of the Partnership interest by Celco in 2000, Vodafone Group Plc (Vodafone), then parent company of AirTouch Cellular, entered into agreements to sublease all of its unused space on up to 430 of its communications towers (Sublease Agreement) to SpectraSite Holdings, Inc. (SpectraSite) in exchange for \$155,000. At various closings in 2001 and 2000, SpectraSite leased 274 communications towers owned and operated by the Partnership for \$98,465. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Partnership has \$53,601 and \$58,534, respectively, recorded as deferred gain on lease transaction. The Sublease Agreement requires monthly maintenance fees for the existing physical space used by the Partnership's cellular equipment. The Partnership paid \$12,021, \$9,387 and \$9,777 to SpectraSite pursuant to the Sublease Agreement for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, which is included in cost of service in the accompanying Statements of Operations. The terms of the Sublease Agreement differ for leased communication towers versus those owned by the Partnership and range from 20 to 99 years.

4. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities consist of the following as of December 31, 2009 and 2008:

	2009	2008
Accounts payable	\$ 33,525	\$ 35,706
Non-income based taxes and regulatory fees	35,476	25,331
Accrued commissions	16,831	15,681

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$	85,832	\$	76,718
--	----	--------	----	--------

S-14

5. TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES AND RELATED PARTIES

Affiliate transactions include, but are not limited to, allocations, intra-company roaming, the salaries and related expenses of employees of Cellco, PCS spectrum lease payments and direct payments to a related party of the Partnership, such as rent or commissions. Revenues and expenses were allocated based on the Partnership's percentage of customers or gross customer additions or minutes of use, where applicable. Cellco believes the allocations are reasonable. The affiliate transactions are not necessarily conducted at arm's length.

Significant transactions with affiliates (Cellco and its related entities) and other related parties, including allocations and direct charges, are summarized as follows for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007:

	2009		2008		2007
Service revenues (a)	\$ 184,502	\$	192,887	\$	219,495
Equipment and other revenues (b)	(37,482)		(22,674)		(25,126)
Cost of service (c)	466,927		466,418		458,912
Cost of equipment (d)	70,275		68,194		64,427
Selling, general and administrative (e)	840,502		811,034		741,137

(a) Service revenues include roaming revenues relating to customers of other affiliated markets, long distance, data and allocated contra-revenues including revenue concessions.

(b) Equipment and other revenues include switch revenue, sales of handsets and accessories and allocated contra-revenues including equipment concessions and coupon rebates.

(c) Cost of service includes roaming costs relating to customers roaming in other affiliated markets and allocated cost of telecom, long distance, and handset applications.

(d) Cost of equipment includes allocated handsets, accessories, warehousing and freight.

(e) Selling, general and administrative expenses include salaries, commissions and billing, and allocated office telecom, customer care, sales and marketing, advertising, and commissions.

On October 19, 2007, the Partnership entered into lease agreements for the right to use additional spectrum owned by Cellco. The initial term of these agreements is ten years. The 2009 annual lease commitment of \$28,172 represents the costs of financing the spectrum, and does not necessarily reflect the economic value of the services received. No additional spectrum purchases or lease commitments, other than the \$28,172 have been entered into by the Partnership as of December 31, 2009.

6. COMMITMENTS

Cellco, on behalf of the Partnership, and the Partnership itself have entered into operating leases for facilities, equipment and spectrum used in its operations. Lease contracts include renewal options that include rent expense adjustments based on the Consumer Price Index as well as annual and end-of-lease term adjustments. Rent expense is recorded on a straight-line basis. The noncancelable lease term used to calculate the amount of the straight-line rent expense is generally determined to be the initial lease term, including any optional renewal terms that are reasonably assured. Leasehold improvements related to these operating leases are amortized over the shorter of their estimated useful lives or the noncancelable lease term. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Partnership recognized a total of \$95,499, \$88,619 and \$66,102,

S-15

respectively, as rent expense related to payments under these operating leases, which was included in cost of service and general and administrative expenses in the accompanying Statements of Operations.

Aggregate future minimum rental commitments under noncancelable operating leases, excluding renewal options that are not reasonably assured, for the years shown are as follows:

Years	Amount
2010	\$ 78,388
2011	70,659
2012	63,149
2013	54,697
2014	44,810
2015 and thereafter	95,892
Total minimum payments	\$ 407,595

From time to time Cellco enters into purchase commitments, primarily for network equipment, on behalf of the Partnership.

7. CONTINGENCIES

Cellco is subject to various lawsuits and other claims including class actions, product liability, patent infringement, antitrust, partnership disputes, and claims involving relations with resellers and agents. Cellco is also defending lawsuits filed against itself and other participants in the wireless industry alleging various adverse effects as a result of wireless phone usage. Various consumer class action lawsuits allege that Cellco breached contracts with consumers, violated certain state consumer protection laws and other statutes and defrauded customers through concealed or misleading billing practices. Certain of these lawsuits and other claims may impact the Partnership. These litigation matters may involve indemnification obligations by third parties and/or affiliated parties covering all or part of any potential damage awards against Cellco and the Partnership and/or insurance coverage. All of the above matters are subject to many uncertainties, and outcomes are not predictable with assurance.

The Partnership may be allocated a portion of the damages that may result upon adjudication of these matters if the claimants prevail in their actions. Consequently, the ultimate liability with respect to these matters at December 31, 2009 cannot be ascertained. The potential effect, if any, on the financial statements of the Partnership, in the period in which these matters are resolved, may be material.

In addition to the aforementioned matters, Cellco is subject to various other legal actions and claims in the normal course of business. While Cellco's legal counsel cannot give assurance as to the outcome of each of these matters, in management's opinion, based on the advice of such legal counsel, the ultimate liability with respect to any of these actions, or all of them combined, will not materially affect the financial statements of the Partnership.

8. RECONCILIATION OF ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS

	Balance at Beginning of the Year		Additions Charged to Operations		Write-offs Net of Recoveries		Balance at End of the Year
Accounts Receivable Allowances:							
2009	\$ 19,265	\$	41,980	\$	(43,557)	\$	17,688
2008	16,975		49,685		(47,395)		19,265
2007	12,028		39,694		(34,747)		16,975

S-17

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

TELEPHONE AND DATA SYSTEMS, INC.

By:

/s/ LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.
LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

By:

/s/ Kenneth R. Meyers
Kenneth R. Meyers
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

By:

/s/ Douglas D. Shuma
Douglas D. Shuma
Senior Vice President and Controller
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Dated: February 25, 2010

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.	Director	February 25, 2010
/s/ Letitia G.C. Carlson Letitia G.C. Carlson	Director	February 25, 2010
/s/ Prudence E. Carlson Prudence E. Carlson	Director	February 25, 2010
/s/ Walter C.D. Carlson Walter C.D. Carlson	Director	February 25, 2010
/s/ Clarence A. Davis Clarence A. Davis	Director	February 25, 2010
/s/ Kenneth R. Meyers Kenneth R. Meyers	Director	February 25, 2010
/s/ Donald C. Nebergall Donald C. Nebergall	Director	February 25, 2010
/s/ George W. Off George W. Off	Director	February 25, 2010
/s/ Christopher D. O Leary Christopher D. O Leary	Director	February 25, 2010
/s/ Mitchell H. Saranow Mitchell H. Saranow	Director	February 25, 2010
/s/ Gary L. Sugarman Gary L. Sugarman	Director	February 25, 2010
/s/ Herbert S. Wander Herbert S. Wander	Director	February 25, 2010

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description of Documents
3.1(a)	TDS Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to TDS Report on Form 8-A/A filed on July 10, 1998.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

- 3.1(b) Certificate of Amendment to Restated Certificate of Incorporation is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to TDS Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004.
- 3.1(c) Certificate of Amendment dated April 11, 2005 to TDS Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, is hereby incorporated by reference from Exhibit 3 to TDS Report on Form 8-A filed on April 11, 2005.
- 3.2 TDS Restated Bylaws, as amended, are hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 3, 2008.
- 4.1(a) TDS Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to TDS Report on Form 8-A/A filed on July 10, 1998.
- 4.1(b) Certificate of Amendment to Restated Certificate of Incorporation is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to TDS Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004.
- 4.1(c) Certificate of Amendment dated April 11, 2005 to TDS Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, is hereby incorporated by reference from Exhibit 3 to TDS Report on Form 8-A filed on April 11, 2005.
- 4.2 TDS Restated Bylaws, as amended, are hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 3, 2008.
- 4.3(a) Indenture between TDS and BNY Midwest Trust Company dated November 1, 2001 is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to TDS Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001.
- 4.3(b) First Supplemental Indenture dated November 28, 2001 by and between TDS and BNY Midwest Trust Company, establishing TDS 7.60% Series A Notes is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1 to TDS Report on Form 8-A, filed on November 29, 2001.
- 4.3(c) Second Supplemental Indenture dated May 31, 2002 by and between TDS and BNY Midwest Trust Company making changes to the First Supplemental Indenture is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 to TDS Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002.
- 4.3(d) Third Supplemental Indenture dated March 31, 2005 by and between TDS and BNY Midwest Trust Company, establishing TDS 6.625% Senior Notes due 2045, is hereby incorporated by reference to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 23, 2005.
- 4.4 Revolving Credit Agreement dated June 30, 2009 among TDS and the lenders named therein, Bank of America, N.A. as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and Letter of Credit Issuer, Banc of America Securities LLC, SunTrust Bank and U.S. Bank N.A. as Co-Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Managers, SunTrust Bank as Syndication Agent and Toronto Dominion (Texas) LLC, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and U.S. Bank N.A. as Co-Documentation Agents is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 30, 2009.
- 4.5(a) Revolving Credit Agreement dated June 30, 2009 among U.S. Cellular and the lenders named therein, Toronto Dominion (Texas) LLC as Administrative Agent, Toronto Dominion (New York) LLC as Swing Line Lender, The Toronto Dominion Bank, New York Branch as Letter of Credit Issuer, TD Securities (USA) LLC, Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC and CoBank, ACB as Co-Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Managers, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as Syndication Agent, and Bank of America, N.A., SunTrust Bank and CoBank ACB as Co-Documentation Agents, is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 30, 2009.
-

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

- 4.5(b) First Amendment to Revolving Credit Agreement among U.S. Cellular and the lenders named therein is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3(b) to U.S. Cellular's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2009.
- 4.6(a) Indenture dated June 1, 2002 between U.S. Cellular and BNY Midwest Trust Company of New York is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form S-3 (File No. 333-88344).
- 4.6(b) Form of Third Supplemental Indenture dated as of December 3, 2003 between U.S. Cellular and BNY Midwest Trust Company, relating to \$444,000,000 of U.S. Cellular's 6.70% Senior Notes due 2033, is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 3, 2003.
- 4.6(c) Form of Fourth Supplemental Indenture dated as of June 9, 2004 between U.S. Cellular and BNY Midwest Trust Company, relating to \$330,000,000 of U.S. Cellular's 7.50% Senior Notes due 2034, is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 9, 2004.
- 4.6(d) Form of Fifth Supplemental Indenture dated as of June 21, 2004 between U.S. Cellular and BNY Midwest Trust Company, relating to \$100,000,000 of U.S. Cellular's 6.70% Senior Notes due 2033, is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 21, 2004.
- 9.1 Amendment and Restatement (dated April 22, 2005) of Voting Trust Agreement dated June 30, 1989 is hereby incorporated by reference to the Exhibit filed on Amendment No. 3 to Schedule 13D dated May 2, 2005 filed by the trustees of such voting trust with respect to TDS Common Shares.
- 10.1(a)* Salary Continuation Agreement for LeRoy T. Carlson dated May 20, 1977, as amended May 22, 1981 and May 25, 1984, is hereby incorporated by reference to TDS' Registration Statement on Form S-2, No. 2-92307.
- 10.1(b)* Amendment to Salary Continuation Agreement for LeRoy T. Carlson is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 25, 2008.
- 10.2(a)* Supplemental Benefit Agreement for LeRoy T. Carlson dated March 21, 1980, as amended March 20, 1981, is hereby incorporated by reference to an exhibit to TDS' Registration Statement on Form S-7, No. 2-74615.
- 10.2(b)* Memorandum of Amendment to Supplemental Benefit Agreement dated May 28, 1991 is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(b) to TDS' Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991.
- 10.3(a)* TDS Amended and Restated 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 11, 2005.
- 10.3(b)* First Amendment to TDS Amended and Restated 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 10, 2007.
- 10.3(c)* Second Amendment to TDS Amended and Restated 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 10, 2007.
- 10.3(d)* Third Amendment to TDS Amended and Restated 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 22, 2008.
- 10.4* TDS Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, as amended and restated, effective January 1, 2009 is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 27, 2008.
- 10.5* TDS 2009 Employee Stock Purchase Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to TDS' Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement dated April 15, 2008.
-

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

- 10.6* TDS Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended January 20, 2009, is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to TDS Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement dated April 28, 2009.
- 10.7* TDS Bonus Deferral and Stock Unit Match Program and Election Form is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 22, 2008.
- 10.8* U.S. Cellular 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended, is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit C to U.S. Cellular's Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement dated April 15, 2009.
- 10.9(a)* U.S. Cellular Executive Deferred Compensation Interest Account Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 10, 2007.
- 10.9(b)* First Amendment to U.S. Cellular Executive Deferred Compensation Interest Account Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2008.
- 10.9(c)* Election Form for U.S. Cellular Executive Deferred Compensation Interest Account Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 10, 2007.
- 10.10* Form of U.S. Cellular Executive Deferred Compensation Agreement - Phantom Stock Account for Deferred Bonus is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2008.
- 10.11* U.S. Cellular 2009 Employee Stock Purchase Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit B to U.S. Cellular's Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement dated April 15, 2008.
- 10.12* Form of U.S. Cellular's 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan Stock Option Award Agreement for John E. Rooney is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2008.
- 10.13* Form of U.S. Cellular's 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement for John E. Rooney is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2008.
- 10.14* Amended and Restated Guidelines for the Determination of Annual Bonus for President and Executive Officer of U.S. Cellular are hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 18, 2009.
- 10.15* Form of TDS Corporate Officer Long Term Incentive Plan Stock Option Award Agreement is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 19, 2008.
- 10.16(a)* Retention Agreement between TDS and Kenneth R. Meyers dated December 4, 2006, is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 30, 2006.
- 10.16(b)* Amendment to Retention Agreement between TDS and Kenneth R. Meyers is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 22, 2008.
- 10.17(a)* TDS 2007 Deferred Compensation Agreement between TDS and Kenneth R. Meyers dated December 26, 2006 is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 1, 2007.
- 10.17(b)* Amendment to TDS 2007 Deferred Compensation Agreement between TDS and Kenneth R. Meyers is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 22, 2008.
-

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

- 10.18* Form of TDS Corporate Officer Long Term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 22, 2008.
- 10.19* Terms of Letter Agreement between U.S. Cellular and John E. Rooney dated March 28, 2000 is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 to U.S. Cellular's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2000.
- 10.20* Amended and Restated Guidelines and Procedures for TDS Officer Bonuses are hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 18, 2009.
- 10.21* Amended and Restated Guidelines for the Determination of Annual Bonus for President and Chief Executive Officer of TDS is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 18, 2009.
- 10.22* Amended and Restated Guidelines for the Determination of Annual Bonus for Chairman Emeritus of TDS is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 18, 2009.
- 10.23* Settlement Agreement dated April 24, 2009 between TDS and GAMCO, is hereby incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.1 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 24, 2009.
- 10.25* Form of TDS Deferred Compensation Agreement is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 21, 2009.
- 10.26* Change of Election Form for TDS Deferred Compensation Agreement is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 21, 2009.
- 10.27* Amended and Restated Guidelines for the Determination of Annual Bonus for President and Chief Executive Officer of TDS Telecommunications Corporation.
- 10.28* Pre 2005 Form of Deferred Compensation Agreement used by TDS Telecommunications Corporation.
- 10.29* Post 2004 TDS Telecommunications Corporation Executive Deferred Compensation Program, as amended and restated effective January 1, 2008.
- 10.30* First Amendment to TDS Telecommunications Corporation Executive Deferred Compensation Program dated October 8, 2008.
- 10.31* Current Initial Election Form and Post 2004 Payment Election Form for TDS Telecommunications Corporation Executive Deferred Compensation Program.
- 10.32* Current Annual Election Form for TDS Telecommunications Corporation Executive Deferred Compensation Program.
- 11 Statement regarding computation of earnings per share (included in Note 7 Earnings Per Share in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Exhibit 13).
- 12 Statement regarding computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006 and 2005.
- 13 Incorporated portions of 2009 Annual Report to Shareholders.
- 18 Preferability letter from Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, is hereby incorporated by reference from Exhibit 18 to TDS Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009.
-

- 21 Subsidiaries of TDS.
- 23.1 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.
- 23.2 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Deloitte & Touche LLP.
- 31.1 Chief Executive Officer certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 31.2 Chief Financial Officer certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 32.1 Chief Executive Officer certification pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.
- 32.2 Chief Financial Officer certification pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

*Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.
