ZAGG Inc
Form 10-Q
November 04, 2015

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q
(Mark one)
Quarterly Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2015, or
Transition Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
for the transition period from to
Commission File No. 000-52211
ZAGG INC
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)
Nevada (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 20-2559624 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Salt Lake City, Utah 8411	4115	84	Utah	City.	Lake	alt]	S
---------------------------	------	----	------	-------	------	-------	---

(Address of principal executive offices with zip code)

(801) 263-0699

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days: **Yes No**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer

Non-accelerated Filer (do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Accelerated Filer Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-25 of the Exchange Act). **Yes No**

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: **27,663,686** common shares as of October 30, 2015.

FORM 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART	I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Pag
Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets – As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 and 2014	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 and 2014	5
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 and 2014	6
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	8
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	22
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	29
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	29
PART	II - OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	30
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	30
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	31
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	31
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	31

Item 5. Other Information	31
Item 6. Exhibits	32
2	

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except par value)

(Unaudited)

	September 30,	December 31,
	2015	2014
ASSETS		
Current assets Cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$888 in 2015 and \$1,910 in 2014 Inventories Prepaid expenses and other current assets Deferred income tax assets	\$14,957 53,071 45,352 3,162 10,774	\$9,461 75,729 48,378 2,681 10,774
Total current assets	127,316	147,023
Property and equipment , net of accumulated depreciation at \$9,737 in 2015 and \$7,659 in 2014	6,987	7,300
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization at \$39,720 in 2015 and \$33,242 in 2014	24,930	31,408
Deferred income tax assets	15,203	14,290
Note receivable	-	801
Other assets	1,502	457
Total assets	\$175,938	\$201,279
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities Accounts payable Income taxes payable Accrued liabilities Accrued wages and wage related expenses Deferred revenue	\$ 37,681 76 5,280 2,176 21	\$49,379 6,464 6,910 2,600 179

Sales returns liability	5,176	8,674
Total current liabilities	50,410	74,206
Total liabilities	50,410	74,206
Stockholders' equity		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 100,000 shares authorized; 33,117 and 32,686 shares issued in 2015 and 2014, respectively	33	33
Additional paid-in capital	87,549	85,154
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,232)	(895)
Note receivable collateralized by stock	-	(348)
Treasury stock, 5,535 and 3,569 common shares in 2015 and 2014 respectively, at cost	(34,158)	(19,576)
Retained earnings	73,336	62,705
Total stockholders' equity	125,528	127,073
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$175,938	\$201,279

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	•	hs Ended Ontember 30, 014	•	s Ended Reptember 30 2014),
Net sales Cost of sales	\$66,774 \$ 41,903	60,013 50,849	\$190,679 117,894	\$ 159,170 115,441	
Gross profit	24,871	9,164	72,785	43,729	
Operating expenses: Advertising and marketing Selling, general and administrative Amortization of definite-lived intangibles	2,759 13,750 2,134	1,377 11,971 2,427	7,450 41,014 6,403	4,763 34,776 7,282	
Total operating expenses	18,643	15,775	54,867	46,821	
Income (loss) from operations	6,228	(6,611	17,918	(3,092)
Other income (expense): Interest expense Other income and (expense)	(26) (76)	(40 90	(79) (53)	(124 204)
Total other income (expense)	(102)	50	(132)	80	
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	6,126	(6,561)	17,786	(3,012)
Income tax (provision) benefit	(2,387)	2,242	(7,155)	473	
Net income (loss)	\$3,739 \$	(4,319	\$10,631	\$ (2,539)
Earnings (loss) per share:					
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$0.13 \$	(0.14)	\$0.36	8 (0.08)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$0.13	(0.14)	\$0.36	8 (0.08)

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(in thousands)

(Unaudited)

		bes	nths Ended epoember 30 014	,	•	rS3	s Ended Optember 30	0,
Net income (loss)	\$3,739	\$	(4,319)	\$10,631	\$	(2,539)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: Foreign currency translation gain (loss)	156		(628)	(337)		(750)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	156		(628)	(337)		(750)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$3,895	\$	(4,947)	\$10,294	\$	(3,289)

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Nine Mont September 30, 2015	Septembe	er
Cash flows from operating activities	φ10.c21	φ (2. 5 20	,
Net income (loss)	\$10,631	\$ (2,539)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:	2.700	1 6 1 1	
Stock-based compensation	2,708	1,644	,
Excess tax benefits related to share-based payments	(199)	(8)
Depreciation and amortization	9,551	9,169	
Reversal of reserve on note receivable	(639)	-	
Deferred income taxes	(913))
Amortization of deferred loan costs	49	48	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities			
Accounts receivable, net	22,476	(8,725)
Inventories	2,730	9,079	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(563)	(986)
Other assets	60	120	
Accounts payable	(11,919)	16,996	
Income taxes payable	(6,189)	(12,518)
Accrued liabilities	(1,431)	2,633	
Accrued wages and wage related expenses	(424)	896	
Deferred revenue	(158)	(116)
Sales return liability	(3,494)	(458)
·		`	
Net cash provided by operating activities	22,276	14,686	
Cash flows from investing activities			
Purchase of property and equipment	(3,009)	(3,122)
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,009)	(3,122	`
Net easif used in investing activities	(3,009)	(3,122)	,
Cash flows from financing activities			
Proceeds from revolving credit facility	9,871	15,660	
Payments on revolving credit facility	(9,871)	(32,390)
Purchase of treasury stock	(13,331))
Payment of withholdings on restricted stock units	(718)	-	
Proceeds from exercise of warrants and options	206	199	
Excess tax benefits related to share-based payments	199	8	
Net cash used in financing activities	(13,644)	(19,011)

Effect of foreign currency exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	(127	(480)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	5,496	(7,927)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period	9,461	15,031
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period	\$14,957	\$7,104
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information		
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$37	\$ 94
Cash paid during the period for taxes	\$14,171	\$ 12,554

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)
(Dollars and shares in thousands)
(Unaudited)
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015:
Foreclosure on real property valued at \$1,099 that served as collateral to the note receivable (recorded as a component
of other assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheet).
Foreclosure on the Company's common stock valued at \$688 that served as collateral to the note receivable (recorded as treasury stock in the condensed consolidated balance sheet).
Purchase of \$763 in fixed assets financed through accounts payable.
Purchase of \$563 in treasury stock financed through accounts payable.
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014:
None
7

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

(1) NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

ZAGG Inc and its subsidiaries ("ZAGG" or the "Company") design, produce, and distribute professional and premium creative product solutions such as InvisibleShield® screen protection, keyboards for tablet computers and mobile devices, keyboard cases, mobile power solutions, earbuds, headphones, Bluetooth® speakers, cases, and cables.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by the Company pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. These condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) that, in the opinion of management, are necessary to present fairly the financial position, the results of operations, and cash flows of the Company for the periods presented. The Company suggests that these condensed consolidated financial statements be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Operating results for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year.

The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of ZAGG Inc and its wholly owned subsidiaries ZAGG International Distribution Limited ("ZAGG International"); Patriot Corporation; ZAGG Intellectual Property Holding Co, Inc. ("ZAGG IP"); ZAGG Retail, Inc.; and iFrogz, Inc. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Significant Accounting Policies

There have been no significant changes to the Company's significant accounting policies as described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued an Accounting Standard Update ("ASU") No. 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)." This ASU includes a five-step process by which entities will recognize revenue to depict the transfer of goods or services to customers in amounts that reflect the consideration to which an entity expects to be entitled to in exchange for those goods or services. The standard also will require enhanced disclosures to enable users of financial statements to understand the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. On July 9, 2015, the FASB voted to approve a one year deferral of the effective date of this ASU. This deferral was issued by the FASB in ASU No. 2015-14, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) – Deferral of the Effective Date". As a result of ASU No. 2015-14 the Company expects that it will apply the new revenue standard to annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this ASU will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, "Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period". This ASU requires that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. The ASU states that a reporting entity should apply existing guidance in Topic 718, "Compensation – Stock Compensation", to awards with performance conditions that affect vesting. The ASU is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015. This ASU is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, "Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern." This ASU describes how an entity should assess its ability to meet obligations and sets disclosure requirements for how this information should be disclosed in the financial statements. The standard provides accounting guidance that will be used with existing auditing standards. The ASU applies to all entities for the first annual period ending after December 15, 2016, and interim periods thereafter. This ASU is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-02, "Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis", to improve targeted areas of the consolidation guidance and reduce the number of consolidation models. The new consolidation standard changes the way reporting enterprises evaluate whether (a) they should consolidate limited partnerships and similar entities, (b) fees paid to a decision maker or service provider are variable interests in a variable interest entity (VIE), and (c) variable interests in a VIE held by related parties of the reporting enterprise require the reporting enterprise to consolidate the VIE. It also eliminates the VIE consolidation model based on majority exposure to variability that applied to certain investment companies and similar entities. This ASU is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, with early adoption permitted. This ASU is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-03, "Interest – Imputation of Interest: Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs." This ASU requires that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. The ASU is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years. This ASU is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-11, "Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory." This ASU provides guidance to entities that measure inventory using a method other than last-in, first-out (LIFO) or the retail inventory method. For entities using first-in, first-out (FIFO) or average cost, the measurement principle for their inventory changes from the lower of cost or market to lower of cost and net realizable value. Current U.S. GAAP requires, at each financial statement date, that entities measure inventory at the lower of cost or market. The measurement of market is commonly the current replacement cost. However, entities also need to consider net realizable value and net realizable value less an approximately normal profit margin in their measurement. For entities using a method other

than LIFO or the retail inventory method, the ASU replaces market with net realizable value. This ASU requires prospective adoption for inventory measurement for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those years for public business entities. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this ASU will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2015 the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-15, "Interest – Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30) – Presentation and Subsequent Measurement of Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements." This ASU adds SEC paragraphs to Subtopic 835-30 pursuant to the SEC Staff Announcement at the June 18, 2015 Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) meeting about the presentation and subsequent measurement of debt issuance costs associated with line-of-credit (LOC) arrangements. Specifically, the ASU states that the SEC staff would not object to an entity deferring and presenting debt issuance costs as an asset and subsequently amortizing deferred debt issuance costs ratably over the term of the LOC arrangement, regardless of whether there are outstanding borrowings under that LOC arrangement. This ASU is effective on adoption of ASU 2015-03 and is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

(2) INVENTORIES

At September 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, inventories consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Finished goods Raw materials	\$ 44,978 374	\$ 48,145 233
Total inventory	571	\$ 48,378

In addition, included in prepaid expenses and other current assets were inventory deposits with third-party manufacturers at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 of \$1,402 and \$1,425, respectively.

(3) INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Definite-lived intangibles as of September 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, were as follows:

	As of Sep Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	5 Net Carrying Amount	Weighted Average Amortization Period
Customer relationships	\$41,500	\$ (27,822	\$ 13,678	8.0 years
Non-compete agreements	4,100	(3,534	566	4.8 years
Other Trademarks	3,500	(2,507	993	9.7 years
iFrogz Trademark	7,038	(2,015	5,023	10.0 years
EarPollution Trademark	2,383	(1,329	1,054	8.0 years

Edgar Filing: ZAGG Inc - Form 10-Q

Other	600	(583)	17	5.0 years
Acquired technology	709	(343)	366	7.0 years
Internet address	124	(87)	37	10.0 years
Patents	4,696	(1,500)	3,196	12.5-14.0 years
Total amortizable assets	\$64,650	\$ (39,720) \$	524,930	8.4 years

	As of December 31, 2014				
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Weighted Average Amortization Period	
Customer relationships	\$41,500	\$ (23,839	\$ 17,661	8.0 years	
Non-compete agreements	4,100	(2,949	1,151	4.8 years	
Other Trademarks	3,500	(2,216	1,284	9.7 years	
iFrogz Trademark	7,038	(1,152	5,886	10.0 years	
EarPollution Trademark	2,383	(1,026	1,357	8.0 years	
Other	600	(554) 46	5.0 years	
Acquired technology	709	(267) 442	7.0 years	
Internet address	124	(78) 46	10.0 years	
Patents	4,696	(1,161	3,535	12.5-14.0 years	
Total amortizable assets	\$64,650	\$ (33,242	\$31,408	8.4 years	

Customer relationships, trademarks, and other intangibles are amortized on an accelerated basis consistent with their expected future cash flows over their estimated useful life, which results in accelerated amortization. The remaining definite-lived intangible assets are amortized using the straight line method over their estimated useful life. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, amortization expense was \$2,160 and \$6,478, respectively. Amortization expense was primarily recorded as a component of operating expense. However, amortization expense related to acquired technology for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, of \$26 and \$75, respectively, was recorded as a component of cost of sales.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, amortization expense was \$2,447 and \$7,347, respectively. Amortization expense related to acquired technology for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, of \$20 and \$65, respectively, was recorded as a component of cost of sales.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

Estimated future amortization expense is as follows:

Remaining 2015	\$2,082
2016	7,125
2017	5,649
2018	4,626
2019	2,290
Thereafter	3,158
Total estimated amortization expense	\$24,930

(4) DEBT AND LETTERS OF CREDIT

On December 23, 2014, the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association ("Wells Fargo"), entered into the Third Amendment to Credit Agreement ("Third Amendment"), which modified the original Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") entered into between the Company and Wells Fargo on December 7, 2012 and all subsequent amendments to the Credit Agreement (First Amendment to the Credit Agreement entered into on December 20, 2013 and Second Amendment to the Credit Agreement entered into on November 4, 2014). The Third Amendment provided a \$25,000 line of credit and extended the maturity date from December 1, 2015 to December 1, 2016. The line of credit available under the Credit Agreement includes a letter of credit sub-feature that allows the Company to issue standby commercial letters of credit against the line of credit, not to exceed at any time an aggregate of \$5,000. During the three and nine months ending September 30, 2015 and 2014, the Company did not issue any standby commercial letters of credit.

On August 24, 2015, the Company and Wells Fargo, entered into the Fourth Amendment to Credit Agreement ("Fourth Amendment"), which modified the original Credit Agreement entered into between the Company and Wells Fargo on December 7, 2012 and all subsequent amendments to the Credit Agreement. The Fourth Amendment modified a debt covenant to allow the Company to purchase up to \$15.0 million of ZAGG Inc common stock during each calendar year, including the 2015 calendar year, rather than during consecutive twelve month periods, as was documented in the Credit Agreement prior to the Fourth Amendment.

Any outstanding principal balance under the line of credit bears interest at a fluctuating rate per annum determined to be the sum of the (1) LIBOR margin established under the Credit Agreement (with the initial LIBOR margin being set at 1.25%) and (2) Daily Three Month LIBOR (as defined in the Credit Agreement) in effect from time to time. Each change in the rate of interest will become effective on each business day on which a change in daily three month LIBOR is announced by Wells Fargo.

In addition, the Company pays Wells Fargo a quarterly fee based on the average unused amount of the line of credit depending on the Company's leverage ratio (as this term is defined in the Credit Agreement).

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, \$10 and \$28, respectively, in unused line fees were incurred and are included as a component of interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, \$23 and \$67, respectively, in unused line fees were incurred and are included as a component of interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The Company originally incurred and capitalized \$238 of direct costs related to the establishment of the Credit Agreement. The Company amortizes deferred loan costs under the straight-line method. The carrying value of deferred loan costs at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, was \$11 and \$60, respectively, and is included as a component of noncurrent other assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, the Company amortized \$16 and \$49, respectively, of the deferred loan costs, which are included as a component of interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company amortized \$17 and \$49, respectively, of these loan costs, which are included as a component of interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

7	A :	CC	INC	AND	SUBSID	AT A	DIEC
	/	TITI	III	AND	ouboil	/ I /	INIDO

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

The Company is also subject to a number of financial and non-financial debt covenants under the Credit Agreement. At September 30, 2015, the Company was in compliance with all covenants associated with the Credit Agreement.

Payment in full under the Credit Agreement is due on December 1, 2016. At September 30, 2015 and 2014, the balance outstanding on the line of credit was zero.

(5) STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Common Stock Options

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, the Company granted no stock option awards.

The Company recorded share-based compensation expense only for those options that are expected to vest. The estimated fair value of the stock options is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award, which is generally the vesting term of the award. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense related to stock options of \$0 as all stock option awards that have been granted are vested. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense related to stock options of \$0 and \$28, respectively, which is included as a component of selling, general, and administrative expense.

Restricted Stock

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, the Company granted 24 and 569 shares of restricted stock, respectively. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company granted 36 and 507

shares of restricted stock, respectively. The shares of restricted stock granted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, were estimated to have a weighted-average fair value per share of \$8.24 and \$6.58, respectively. The shares of restricted stock granted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, were estimated to have a weighted-average fair value per share of \$5.75 and \$4.68, respectively. The fair value of the shares of restricted stock granted is based on the closing share price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. The shares of restricted stock vest annually over a 12-month to three-year vesting term, depending on the terms of the individual grant.

As part of the 569 and 507 shares of restricted stock granted as discussed above, during the first nine months of 2015 and 2014, the Company granted 289 and 113 shares of restricted stock, respectively, to certain executives of the Company. These shares of restricted stock granted in 2015 and 2014 only vest upon the Company's achievement of specified thresholds of net sales, Adjusted EBITDA, and earnings per share for fiscal year 2015 and 2014, respectively. If the Company achieves the target amount of net sales, Adjusted EBTIDA, and earnings per share for the applicable performance period, all of the shares of restricted stock granted will vest under the terms of the respective grants. If the Company achieves less than 100% of the targets for the applicable performance period, but above a predetermined floor, the number of shares of restricted stock vested will decrease proportionately. However, if the Company's performance for the applicable performance period is below the predetermined floor, all of the shares of restricted stock granted will be forfeited. If the Company achieves more than 100% of the target for the applicable performance period, the number of shares of restricted stock vested will increase proportionately up to, but will not exceed, 115% of the target 289 and 113 shares of restricted stock granted for 2015 and 2014, respectively. As of September 30, 2015, the Company believes it is probable that it will achieve 100% of the targets for the shares of restricted stock granted in the first nine months of 2015. Of the 113 shares of restricted stock granted in 2014, 81 shares vested and 32 shares were forfeited.

As part of the 569 shares of restricted stock granted during the first nine months of 2015, the Company granted 213 shares of restricted stock to its chief executive officer. These restricted stock units only vest upon the following performance conditions being met for the year 2015: (1) the Company's achievement of a gross profit margin equal to or in excess of 31.9%, (2) the Company's achievement of certain cost savings initiatives within cost of sales specified by the compensation committee of the board of directors, and (3) the chief executive officer's continued employment. As of September 30, 2015, the Company believes it is probable that the performance conditions will be met and that the restricted stock units granted will vest.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

The Company recorded stock-based compensation expense only for those shares of restricted stock that are expected to vest. The estimated fair value of the restricted stock is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award, which is generally the vesting term of the award. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense related to restricted stock of \$898 and \$2,708, respectively, which is included as a component of selling, general, and administrative expense. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense related to restricted stock of \$506 and \$1,644, respectively, which is included as a component of selling, general, and administrative expense.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, certain ZAGG employees elected to receive a net amount of shares upon the vesting of a restricted stock unit grant in exchange for the Company paying the employees' tax liabilities for the fair value of the award on the vesting date. This resulted in the Company paying \$718, which is reflected as a reduction of additional paid-in capital.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, a ZAGG employee elected to receive a net amount of shares upon the vesting of restricted stock unit grants in exchange for the Company incurring the tax liability for the fair value of the award on the vesting date. This resulted in the Company recording \$33 in compensation expense, with the offset being originally recorded to accrued wages and wage related expenses rather than to additional paid-in capital.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, the Company incurred incremental expense related to the departure of its former chief financial officer. Expenses incurred during the period include separation pay of \$117 related to the acceleration of vesting on 15 restricted stock units that were previously scheduled to vest during the first quarter of 2016.

(6) INCOME TAXES

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, the Company's effective tax rate was 39% and 40%, respectively. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company's effective tax rate was 34%

and 16%, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate is attributable to return-to-provision true-ups and the adjustment to deferred taxes for a change in our state effective rate in the prior year, and the increased impact of a loss in a foreign tax jurisdiction due to lower net income/(loss) during the three and nine months ended September 30,2014. The Company's effective tax rate will generally differ from the U.S. Federal Statutory rate of 35%, due to foreign and state taxes, permanent items, and the Company's global tax strategy.

(7) EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

Basic earnings per common share excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per common share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if stock options and restricted stock, or other common stock equivalents were exercised or converted into common stock. The dilutive effect of stock options or other common stock equivalents is calculated using the treasury stock method.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

The following is a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator used to calculate basic earnings per share and diluted earnings per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014:

Net income (loss) Weighted average shares outstanding: Basic		` `	30,
Dilutive effect of warrants, restricted stock and stock options	196	0	
Diluted	28,930	30,312	
Earnings (loss) per share:			
Basic	\$0.13)
Dilutive	\$0.13	\$ (0.14)
Net income (loss)	September 2015	nths ended erSeptember 2014	
Net income (loss) Weighted average shares outstanding:	September 2015	er S&p ‡ember	. 30,
Net income (loss) Weighted average shares outstanding: Basic	September 2015 \$10,631	2014 \$ (2,539	
Weighted average shares outstanding:	September 2015	2014 \$ (2,539	
Weighted average shares outstanding: Basic	September 2015 \$10,631 29,209	2014 \$ (2,539 30,379 0	
Weighted average shares outstanding: Basic Dilutive effect of warrants, restricted stock and stock options	September 2015 \$10,631 29,209 242	2014 \$ (2,539 30,379 0	
Weighted average shares outstanding: Basic Dilutive effect of warrants, restricted stock and stock options Diluted	September 2015 \$10,631 29,209 242	2014 \$ (2,539 30,379 0	
Weighted average shares outstanding: Basic Dilutive effect of warrants, restricted stock and stock options Diluted Earnings (loss) per share:	September 2015 \$10,631 29,209 242 29,451	2014 \$ (2,539 30,379 0 30,379	

For the three months ended September 30, 2015, warrants, restricted stock, and stock options to purchase 443 shares of common stock were not considered in calculating diluted earnings per share because the warrant or stock option exercise prices or the total expected proceeds under the treasury stock method for the warrants, restricted stock, or stock options was greater than the average market price of common shares during the period and, therefore, the effect would be anti-dilutive. Also excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the three months ended September 30, 2015 were 452 shares of restricted stock granted that were not yet vested as the performance conditions were not met (see further discussion in Note 5).

For the nine months ended September 30, 2015, warrants, restricted stock, and stock options to purchase 328 shares of common stock, were not considered in calculating diluted earnings per share because the warrant or stock option exercise prices or the total expected proceeds under the treasury stock method for the warrants, restricted stock, or stock options was greater than the average market price of common shares during the period and, therefore, the effect would be anti-dilutive. Also excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, were 452 shares of restricted stock granted that were not yet vested as the performance conditions were not met (see further discussion in Note 5).

As the Company was in a net loss position for three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, all warrants, restricted stock, and stock options were not considered in calculating diluted earnings per share because the effect would be anti-dilutive. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the number of antidilutive equity awards totaled 870 and 836, respectively.

(8) TREASURY STOCK

In fiscal year 2015 and 2014, the Company's board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$15,000 and \$10,000, respectively, of the Company's outstanding common stock. The Company's board of directors also authorized the Company to enter into a Rule 10b5-1 plan when appropriate.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 the Company purchased 1,844 and 1,886, respectively, shares of ZAGG Inc common stock for consideration of \$13,570 and \$13,894, respectively, which included commissions paid to brokers of \$55 and \$56, respectively. Stock purchased in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 had a weighted average price per share of \$7.32 and \$7.33, respectively. The consideration paid has been recorded within stockholders' equity in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. In addition, during the third quarter of 2015, the Company foreclosed on 80 shares of ZAGG Inc common stock linked to the full recourse note receivable described in Note 9. The Company foreclosed on these shares at a price per share of \$8.59 and a total value of \$688. These shares are currently being held by the Company as treasury stock.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 the Company purchased zero and 556 shares, respectively, of ZAGG Inc common stock. Cash consideration paid for the purchase of ZAGG Inc common stock for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was \$2,488. Cash consideration for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 included commissions paid to brokers of \$16. Stock purchased during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 had a weighted average price per share of \$4.45. The consideration paid has been recorded within stockholders' equity in the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

(9) NOTE RECEIVABLE

In June 2008, Lorence Harmer became a member of the Company's board of directors and in December 2009, was appointed as the chairman of the audit committee. Mr. Harmer introduced the Company to a consumer electronics product, which became known as the ZAGGbox. The Company subsequently entered into negotiations with Teleportall, LLC ("Teleportall"), the owner of the technology used in the ZAGGbox, regarding production and distribution of the ZAGGbox. In 2009 and 2010 the Company entered into various agreements with Teleportal, including agreements appointing the Company as the exclusive distributor for the ZAGGbox in North America, issued purchase orders for ZAGGbox units in the aggregate amount of \$3,500 and advanced to Teleportall a total of \$3,900 against the total purchase price for the units ordered by the Company. Additionally, in May 2010, the Company entered into an agreement with Harmer Holdings, LLC ("Holdings"), an affiliate of Mr. Harmer, under which Holdings agreed to repurchase unsold ZAGGboxes under certain circumstances.

In late 2010 the Company determined that the ZAGGbox product would not be ready to market and sell during the 2010 Christmas season and the Company commenced discussions to restructure its agreements with Teleportall. As a result of the foregoing, the Company entered into an agreement with Teleportall, Mr. Harmer and several entities owned or controlled by Mr. Harmer (the "Harmer Agreement"), dated March 23, 2011, but subject to further negotiations and ratification through April 5, 2011. Pursuant to the Harmer Agreement, the parties agreed to terminate the prior agreements and convey all ZAGG rights in the ZAGGbox to Teleportall on the following terms:

Mr. Harmer, Teleportall, and certain of their affiliates delivered a promissory note (the "Note") dated March 23, 2011, to the Company in the original principal amount of \$4,126 which accrued interest at the rate of LIBOR plus 4% per annum (adjusted quarterly) payable as follows: (i) interest only payments (a) on September 23, 2011, and (b)

thereafter on or before the last day of each calendar quarter, (ii) 50% of the net profits of each ZAGGbox sale by Teleportall and its affiliates, and (iii) the unpaid balance of principal and interest due in full on March 23, 2013. The Note was secured by certain real property, interests in entities that own real property and restricted and free-trading securities.

In exchange for a license fee to the Company, Teleportall and the Company entered into a License Agreement on under which the Company licensed to Teleportall the use of certain ZAGG names and trademarks to sell and distribute the ZAGGbox product.

In exchange for commissions to be paid by the Company, Teleportall and ZAGG entered into a non-exclusive, two year Commission Agreement on March 23, 2011, under which Teleportall could make introductions of many ZAGG products in all countries where ZAGG did not then have exclusive dealing agreements in respect of the marketing, distribution or sale of its products.

No revenue has been recognized from Teleportall.

The Note was originally accounted for under the cost recovery method and was originally included in the consolidated balance sheet at \$3,900 which was the value of the ZAGGbox inventory advances. The original face value of the Note of \$4,126 was for reimbursement of the inventory advances and other costs associated with the ZAGGbox and approximated fair value at March 23, 2011, as the variable interest rate on the Note approximated market rates.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

On September 20, 2011, and prior to the due date of the first interest-only payment due on the Note, Mr. Harmer and two of his affiliates, Holdings and Teleportall, filed a lawsuit in Utah state court (the "Court") against the Company, Robert G. Pedersen, II (ZAGG's former CEO), Brandon T. O'Brien (ZAGG's former CFO) and KPMG LLP (ZAGG's independent registered public accounting firm). KPMG LLP and Messrs. Pedersen and O'Brien were subsequently dismissed from the lawsuit. In their lawsuit, the plaintiffs allege that the defendants defamed Mr. Harmer, breached the Harmer Agreement and interfered with other rights of the plaintiffs.

Mr. Harmer failed to make the required interest-only payment to the Company due on September 23, 2011. Thereafter, the Company filed counterclaims against Mr. Harmer, Holdings and Teleportall to collect the balance due under the Note. Also, ZAGG commenced foreclosure on the collateral securing the Note, which consisted of real property, interests in entities that own real property, and restricted and free-trading securities, which included shares of ZAGG Inc common stock.

On May 21, 2015, the Court issued a final judgment whereby all claims brought by Harmer were disposed of in favor of ZAGG and dismissed with prejudice. In addition, the Court granted summary judgment in favor of ZAGG on all counterclaims against Harmer, Holdings and Teleportall and ZAGG was awarded judgment in the amount of \$4,735 with interest at 12% per annum until paid in full and reasonable attorney fees. Following the final judgment the Company began the foreclosure process on all remaining collateral securing the Note.

On June 29, 2015, the Company foreclosed on certain real property securing the Note. The certain real property was valued by an independent appraiser and determined to have a current fair value of \$1,099. In conjunction with the foreclosure, the Company reclassified \$801 of the Note receivable previously collateralized by the foreclosed real property and included in other assets, and \$298 of the Note receivable collateralized by ZAGG Inc stock, as a \$1,099 asset held for sale and presented it as a component of other assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. After this reclassification, the remaining balance of the Note receivable is \$50.

On July 13, 2015, the Company foreclosed on 80 shares of ZAGG Inc common stock that were determined by the Company to have a fair value of \$688 on the date of foreclosure. At the time of the foreclosure, the Note receivable balance totaled \$50 and was reduced to \$0. The \$638 excess in value of the common stock over the book value of the

Note receivable was recorded by the Company as a recovery of a previously established reserve in selling, general and administrative expense in the condensed consolidated financial statements, which is the same financial statement line item in which the Company previously recorded write-downs of the Note receivable.

As of September 30, 2015, management determined that the estimated fair value of the remaining underlying collateral was between \$135 and \$270, consisting of real property investments.

Since the Note became collateral dependent in October 2011, management has (1) foreclosed on and sold 45 shares of ZAGG Inc common stock for \$496 (December 2011); (2) foreclosed on real property valued at \$250 (January 2012); (3) foreclosed on stock and warrants in a private company of \$516 (May 2012); (4) foreclosed on real property valued at \$1,099 as discussed above; and (5) foreclosed on 80 shares of ZAGG Inc common stock for \$688. These foreclosures were recorded as a reduction to the Note in the period in which the foreclosure occurred. Management continues to actively pursue the foreclosure of all remaining collateral and execution on other assets of Harmer, Holdings and Teleportall.

At September 30, 2015, the total unpaid principal balance, including accrued interest, late fees and costs incurred in collection, totaled \$4,742.

	 10	11112	CLUCIA			
T . T	 $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$		10	10 1 4	1 170	

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

(10) FAIR VALUE MEASURES

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

At September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company's financial instruments included cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and a note receivable. The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value due to the short-term maturities of these financial instruments.

Fair Value Measurements

The Company measures at fair value certain financial and non-financial assets by using a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date, essentially an exit price, based on the highest and best use of the asset or liability. The levels of the fair value hierarchy are:

Level 1 — Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 — Significant other observable inputs (e.g., quoted prices for similar items in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar items in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable such as interest rate and yield curves, and market-corroborated inputs); and

Level 3 — Unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which require the reporting unit to develop its own assumptions.

At September 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, the following assets and liabilities were measured at fair value on a recurring basis using the level of inputs shown:

		Fair Valu Using:	ie Measur	
	September 30, 2015	Level 1 Inputs	Level 2 Inputs	Level 3 Inputs
Money market funds included in cash equivalents	\$ 375	\$ 375	_	_
		Using:	lue Measu	
	December 31,	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
	2014	Inputs	Inputs	Inputs
Money market funds included in cash equivalents	\$ 374	\$ 374		

(11) CONCENTRATIONS

Concentration of credit risk

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and accounts receivable. The Company places its cash with high credit quality financial institutions. The Company maintains its cash in bank deposit accounts, which, at times, exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts through September 30, 2015.

At September 30, 2015, three customers had accounts receivable balances of approximately 25%, 23%, and 12%. No other customer account balances were more than 10% of accounts receivable. At December 31, 2014, two customers had accounts receivable balances of approximately 48% and 14%. No other customer account balances were more than 10% of accounts receivable. If one or more of the Company's significant customers were to become insolvent or were otherwise unable to pay for the products provided, it would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

Concentration of supplier

The Company's logistics partners arrange for production of its raw materials related to the InvisibleShield film products primarily from one source. Management is aware of similar raw materials that would be available from other sources if required and has current plans to immediately engage such resources if necessary. A change in supplier, however, could cause a delay in manufacturing and a possible loss of sales, which could adversely affect operating results.

Concentration of sales

For the three months ended September 30, 2015, three customers individually accounted for over 10% of the revenues at 18%, 17%, and 12%, respectively. No other customers accounted for more than 10% of sales. For the three months ended September 30, 2014, two customers individually accounted for over 10% of the revenues at 32% and 14%, respectively. No other customers accounted for more than 10% of sales. If the Company loses one or more of its significant customers, it would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The percentage of sales by geographic region for the three months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, was approximately:

	Three months ended September 30, 2015		Three months ended September 30, 2014	
United States	89	%	91	%
Europe	9	%	6	%

Other 2 % 3 %

For the nine months ended September 30, 2015, three customers individually accounted for over 10% of the revenues at 20%, 13%, and 12%, respectively. No other customers accounted for more than 10% of sales. For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, two customers individually accounted for over 10% of the revenues at 28% and 15%, respectively. No other customers accounted for more than 10% of sales. If the Company loses one or more of its significant customers, it would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The percentage of sales by geographic region for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, was approximately:

	Nine months		Nine months	
	ended		ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2015		2014	
United States	91	%	89	%
Europe	8	%	8	%
Other	1	%	3	%

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

(12) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Operating leases

The Company leases office and warehouse space, office equipment, and mall cart locations under operating leases that expire through 2018. Future minimum rental payments required under the operating leases at September 30, 2015 are as follows:

Remaining 2015	\$282
2016	538
2017	161
2018	6
Total	\$987

On December 5, 2014, the Company entered into a lease agreement for new office space in an under construction building located at 7200 South Bingham Junction Boulevard in Murray, Utah. The Company is expecting to rent the fourth and fifth floors of the building, which will become the new corporate office location. It is expected that the Company will begin constructing leasehold improvements during the fourth quarter of 2015 and move into the office space when the leasehold construction is complete. The initial lease term is for 90 months and straight line monthly rent is expected to be approximately \$110, though the final rent will be determined based on the final rentable square feet. The lease term and monthly payments will not commence until the Company occupies the office space.

For the three months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, rent expense was \$391 and \$275, respectively, net of sublease income of \$0 and \$334, respectively.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, rent expense was \$1,182 and \$1,243, respectively, net of sublease income of \$0 and \$682, respectively.

Commercial Litigation

Lorence A. Harmer, et al v ZAGG Inc et al, Third Judicial District Court, Salt Lake County, State of Utah, Civil No. 110917687. On September 20, 2011, Lorence A. Harmer, a former director of ZAGG and two of his affiliates, Harmer Holdings, LLC, and Teleportall, LLC (the "Harmer Parties"), filed a lawsuit against the Company, Robert G. Pedersen II, Brandon T. O'Brien, and KPMG LLP. The plaintiffs alleged that the defendants defamed Mr. Harmer, breached the Settlement Agreement and other agreements between the plaintiffs and the Company (alleging claims for breach of contract, breach of the covenant of good faith, and fair dealing), and interfered with other rights of the plaintiffs. The defendants denied all of the material allegations made by the plaintiffs. KPMG LLP was dismissed from the lawsuit in January 2012. In October 2012, the Company filed a counterclaim and third-party complaint against Harmer, Holdings, Teleportall and third-party Global Industrial Services Limited asserting claims for breach of contract, deficiency, indemnity and attorneys' fees, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, quasi contract, unjust enrichment, quantum meruit and declaratory judgment. In June 2013, the court dismissed the plaintiffs' claims for defamation, negligence, tortious interference, and interference with prospective economic relations against the Company and all claims against Messrs. Pedersen and O'Brien. In November 2013, the court entered default judgment on the Company's third-party complaint against Global Industrial Services Limited. On May 21, 2015, the Court granted summary judgment in the Company's favor against the Harmer Parties, and thereafter entered a final judgment against the Harmer Parties in the amount of \$4,735 with interest at 12% per annum until paid in full, and dismissed all of the Harmer Parties' remaining claims against the Company with prejudice. On October 2, 2015, the Court entered an order adding the amount of \$1,396 to the judgment for the attorney fees and costs incurred by the Company in the litigation. The Harmer Parties have filed a notice of appeal declaring their intention to seek review of the final judgment in the Utah appellate courts. The Harmer Parties have also filed a motion in the trial court asking it to reconsider and set aside the final judgment, which the Company will vigorously oppose. The Company is moving forward with collection efforts pursuant to the final judgment. The Company intends to vigorously defend against any appeals or other attempts to challenge the judgment in its favor. This matter is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

Patent/Trademark Litigation

ZAGG Intellectual Property Holding Co. Inc. v. NLU Products et al, U.S. District Court, District of Utah, 2:11-cv-00517. On June 7, 2011, the Company filed a patent infringement lawsuit against NLU Products, LLC; Wrapsol, LLC; XO Skins, LLC; Fusion of Ideas, Inc.; Clear-Coat, LLC; Case-Ari, LLC; United SGP Corp.; Stealth Guards; Virtuosity Products, LLC; Skinomi LLC; Cellairis; Best Skins Ever; Headco, LLC; and Ghost Armor, LLC that seeks to enforce rights under United States Patent No. 7,957,524. The defendants have raised defenses and, in some cases, asserted counterclaims against the Company, that seek declarations of unenforceability or non-infringement of the patent. These counterclaims do not assert any claims for affirmative relief, including claims for damages, against the Company, apart from a request for an award of costs and attorneys' fees to the prevailing party. NLU Products, LLC; Wrapsol, LLC; XO Skins, LLC; Fusion of Ideas, Inc.; Clear-Coat, LLC; Case-Ari, LLC; United SGP Corp.; Stealth Guards; and Vituorsity Products, LLC have settled with the Company. Litigation of this action was stayed pending a reexamination of United States Patent No. 7,957,524 by the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO"). The reexamination led to amendments to the claims of the patent, and the USPTO issued a reexamination certificate. This case was administratively closed with leave to reopen. The Company has sent offers to license to the remaining defendants.

ZAGG v. TrekStor, Regional Court, Dusseldorf, Germany. In September 2011, the Company brought suit in Dusseldorf, Germany against TrekStor for infringement of ZAGG design registrations for the ZAGGmate keyboard case and for unfair competition. After the Company completed briefing of its claims against TrekStor and presented its case at oral argument, TrekStor filed a separate proceeding alleging that it is the owner of the ZAGGmate keyboard case design. The Company's action against TrekStor was then stayed pending the resolution of TrekStor's case against the Company. On July 23, 2013, TrekStor's claims were dismissed and the Company was awarded its costs in that action. This dismissal was appealed and again decided in the Company's favor in a final decision by the appeals court. The oral hearing in the infringement matter was heard on July 1, 2014 during which the Court found in the Company's favor and granted injunctive relief as well as damages and costs in an amount to be determined. The Company is presently seeking entry of a judgment against Trekstor for its damages and costs. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of TrekStor's appeal will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

ZAGG Intellectual Property Holding Co v. Tech21 et al., U.S. District Court, District of Utah, 2:14-cv-00113-BCW. On February 18, 2014, ZAGG IP filed a complaint against Tech21, Ltd. alleging, among other things, that the defendant makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, and/or imports into the United States a kit for protecting a surface of an electronic device that infringes at least one claim of ZAGG IP's U.S. patent No. 8,567,596 entitled Electronic Device Protective Film Application Kit and Method (the "'596 Patent"). The defendant has not filed any counterclaims and no material determinations have been made by the court in this matter. This litigation is stayed pending resolution of Inter Partes Patent Review of the '596 Patent, in the USPTO.

ZAGG Intellectual Property Holding Co v. Superior Communications, Inc., U.S. District Court, District of Utah 2:14-cv-00121-TS. On February 19, 2014, ZAGG IP filed a complaint against Superior Communications, Inc. alleging, among other things, that the defendant makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, and/or imports into the United States kits for protecting a surface of an electronic device that infringe at least one claim of the '596 Patent. The defendant has not filed any counterclaims and no material determinations have been made by the court in this matter. This litigation is stayed pending resolution of Inter Partes Patent Review of the '596 Patent, in the USPTO.

Class Action Lawsuits

James H. Apple, et al. v. ZAGG Inc, et al., U.S. District Court, District of Utah, 2:12-cv-00852; Ryan Draayer, et al. v. Zagg Inc, et al., U.S. District Court, District of Utah, 2:12-cv-00859. On September 6 and 10, 2012, two putative class action lawsuits were filed by purported Company shareholders against the Company, Randall Hales, Brandon O'Brien, and Cheryl Larabee, as well as Robert G. Pedersen II, the Company's former Chairman and CEO, and Edward Ekstrom and Shuichiro Ueyama, former members of the Company's Board of Directors. These lawsuits were subsequently amended by a complaint filed on May 6, 2013. The plaintiffs seek certification of a class of purchasers of the Company's stock between October 15, 2010 and August 17, 2012. The plaintiffs claim that as a result of Mr. Pedersen's alleged December 2011 margin account sales, the defendants initiated a succession plan to replace Mr. Pedersen as the Company's CEO with Mr. Hales, but failed to disclose either the succession plan or Mr. Pedersen's margin account sales, in violation of Sections 10(b), 14(a), and 20(a), and SEC Rules 10b-5 and 14a-9, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). On March 7, 2013, the U.S. District Court for the District of Utah (the "Court") consolidated the Apple and Draayer actions and assigned the caption In re: Zagg, Inc. Securities Litigation, and on May 6, 2013, plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint. On July 5, 2013, the defendants moved to dismiss the consolidated complaint. On February 7, 2014, the Court entered an order granting the Company's motion to dismiss the consolidated complaint. On February 25, 2014, plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit. On June 17, 2014, plaintiffs filed their opening appellate brief appealing the Courts decision with respect to some of their claims. The Tenth Circuit heard oral argument on the appeal on January 22, 2015, and issued a decision affirming the dismissal of all claims on August 18, 2015.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

Arthur Morganstern v. Robert G. Pedersen II et al., Third Judicial District Court, Salt Lake County, State of Utah, Civil No. 120908452; Albert Pikk v. Robert G. Pedersen II et al., U.S. District Court, District of Utah, Case No. 2:12-cv-1188; Rosenberg v. Robert G. Pedersen II et al., U.S. District Court, District of Utah, Case No. 2:12-cv-1216. On December 14, 2012, the first of three shareholder derivative complaints were filed against several of the Company's current and former officers and directors. These complaints make allegations similar to those presented in the consolidated class action lawsuits, but they also assert various state law causes of action, including claims for breach of fiduciary duty, waste of corporate assets, unjust enrichment, and insider trading. Each of these derivative complaints seek unspecified damages on behalf of the Company, which is named solely as a nominal defendant against whom no recovery is sought. On February 26, 2013, the Court consolidated the Pikk and Rosenberg actions and assigned the caption In re ZAGG Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation, and on June 5, 2013, plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint. On April 4, 2014, the defendants moved to dismiss the consolidated complaint. On October 9, 2014, the Court entered an order granting dismissal of the consolidated complaint. On January 8, 2015, plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit. On June 17, 2015, plaintiffs filed their opening appellate brief appealing the dismissal of their claims. Defendants' filed their response briefs on August 26, 2015. Plaintiffs filed their reply brief on October 1, 2015. Oral argument has yet to be scheduled.

SEC Investigation

In the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company received requests to provide documentation and information to the staff of the SEC in connection with a non-public investigation being conducted by the SEC's Salt Lake City office. The Company believes the investigation includes a review of the facts and circumstances surrounding some of the same issues raised by the plaintiffs in the above lawsuits; specifically, whether the Company failed to disclose Mr. Pedersen's margin account sales or the alleged existence of a plan to have Mr. Hales succeed Mr. Pedersen as the Company's CEO. The Company responded to these requests and is cooperating fully with the staff. The Company has chosen to disclose this non-public investigation due to the highly public nature of the lawsuits described above, which the Company intends to defend vigorously.

Other Litigation

The Company is not a party to any other material litigation or claims at this time. While the Company currently believes that the amount of any ultimate potential loss for known matters would not be material to the Company's financial condition, the outcome of these actions is inherently difficult to predict. In the event of an adverse outcome, the ultimate potential loss could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations in a particular period.

The Company establishes reserves when a particular contingency is probable and estimable. Other than those discussed above, the Company has not accrued for any loss at September 30, 2015 in the condensed consolidated financial statements as the Company does not consider a loss to be probable or estimable. The Company faces contingencies that are reasonably possible to occur; however, the reasonably possible exposure to losses cannot currently be estimated.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Certain statements, other than purely historical information, including estimates, projections, statements relating to our business plans, objectives, and expected operating results, and the assumptions upon which those statements are based, are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These forward-looking statements generally are identified by the words "believes," "project," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates," "forecasts," "intends," "strategy," "plan," "may," "will," "would," "will be," "will continue," "will likely result," and similar expressions. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe-harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and are including this statement for purposes of complying with those safe-harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and assumptions that are subject to risks and uncertainties which may cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements. Our ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could have a material adverse affect on our operations and future prospects include, but are not limited to: changes in economic conditions, legislative/regulatory changes, availability of capital, interest rates, competition, and generally accepted accounting principles. These risks and uncertainties should also be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. We undertake no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Further information concerning our business, including additional factors that could materially affect our financial results, is included herein and in our other filings with the SEC.

Our Business

ZAGG Inc ("we," "us," "our," "ZAGG," or the "Company") is headquartered in Salt Lake City, Utah, and has an international office located in Shannon, Ireland. The Company designs, produces, and distributes professional and premium creative product solutions including screen protection, keyboards for tablet computers and mobile devices, keyboard cases, earbuds, mobile power solutions, cables, and cases under the ZAGG and InvisibleShield® brands. In addition, the Company designs, produces, and distributes earbuds, headphones, mobile power solutions, Bluetooth speakers, cases, and cables for mobile devices under the iFrogz brand in the fashion and youth oriented lifestyle sector.

We maintain our headquarters at 3855 South 500 West, Suite J, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84115. The telephone number of the Company is 801-263-0699. Our website address is www.ZAGG.com. The URL is included here as an inactive textual reference. Information contained on, or accessible through, our website is not a part of, and is not incorporated by reference into this report.

Our Products

The ZAGG brand is focused on protecting and enhancing the mobile world. As mobile devices have become our connections to work, home, and everything in between, ZAGG products provide protection, utility, power, and convenience to help users realize their full potential.

InvisibleShield

ZAGG InvisibleShield® screen protection offers customers a wide array of protection types and features, from protective film to glass, all with a limited lifetime guarantee.

Our films were originally developed to protect the leading edge of rotary blades on military helicopters. Through constant innovation, we routinely deliver new films designed to maintain the highest standards in self-healing, scratch protection, and impact protection. We also continue to create new, easier application methods like our patented EZ Apply[®] tabs.

All of our films are designed to provide long-lasting protection for the surface of any electronic device subject to normal wear and tear. We accommodate a custom fit for thousands of device types as well as offer an automated On Demand solution so retailers can supply customers with screen protection for both new and old device models without having to hold excess inventory.

In addition to our traditional InvisibleShield film products, we launched InvisibleShield Glass during the first quarter of 2014. Not only does it offer premium screen protection and clarity, Glass also features a superior feel and precise touch sensitivity. We recently introduced Glass Luxe which provides edge-to-edge protection.
Keyboards

ZAGG keyboards are designed to offer our customers a spacious, natural typing experience so they can enjoy comfortable typing anywhere. Since entering this category in 2010, we have repeatedly reinvented our product line, while also providing timely solutions for new devices released by Apple, Samsung, and other leading mobile device manufacturers. In addition to device-specific keyboards and folio keyboard cases, we have produced a universal line of Bluetooth® keyboards for both tablets and smartphones that are compatible with virtually any mobile operating system.

We will continue to deliver creative keyboard solutions as end users' requirements evolve in this rapidly changing market segment.

Power

Power is one of our primary focal points. Our designs for portable batteries enable charging any device that utilizes a USB port, including smartphones, tablets, handheld gaming systems, and digital cameras. In 2015, we introduced the ZAGG Power Amp^TMne of portable chargers that feature an LED flashlight and unique, Quick Charge^TMechnology that detects and delivers the fastest charge for hundreds of devices. This allows users to stay better connected to their worlds. We also offer a Golite power series of portable chargers under the iFrogz product line.

iFrogz by ZAGG

The iFrogz name stands for fun, clever, and youthful mobile accessories, and has become a top name for audio products. This product line has had success with large retailers inside and outside of the United States. iFrogz became part of the ZAGG family in 2011.

Cases

iFrogz began manufacturing cases in 2006, initially for the Apple iPod®. These unique cases were well received due to their blend of fashion, quality, and design. Initially all sales were online, but in 2007 iFrogz began distributing case products through large retailers. Since then, iFrogz has expanded its case offerings to include a wide array of sleek, stylish, and protective cases for new generations of Apple iPod, iPhone®, iPad®, and Samsung Galaxy® smartphones and tablets.

Audio

Our iFrogz by ZAGG audio products focus on innovation and superior value. These include the Tadpole Active, one of the smallest Bluetooth personal speakers on the market.

In 2007, iFrogz released its first audio products under the Ear Pollution™ roduct line. The eclectic selection of earbuds and headphones specifically targeted a younger demographic, but still appealed to a wide spectrum of consumers. Since the initial launch of the Ear Pollution audio line, this line of headphone and earbud products has expanded to include offerings for all ages under both Ear Pollution by ZAGG and iFrogz by ZAGG.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our financial statements requires that we make estimates and judgments. We base these on historical experience and on other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable. Our critical accounting policies are discussed in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014. There have been no material changes to the critical accounting policies previously disclosed in that report.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Information regarding recent accounting pronouncements is contained in Note 1 "Nature of Operations and Basis of Presentation" to the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Results of Operations

THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2015 AND 2014 (amounts in thousands, except per share data)

Net sales

Net sales for the quarter ended September 30, 2015, were \$66,774 as compared to net sales of \$60,013 for the quarter ended September 30, 2014, an increase of \$6,761 or 11%.

The percentage of sales related to our key product lines for the three months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, was approximately:

	Three months		Three months	
	ended		ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2015		2014	
Screen Protection	72	%	47	%
Keyboards	15	%	30	%
Audio	9	%	10	%
Power Management	2	%	8	%
Cases	1	%	3	%
Other	1	%	2	%

The percentage of sales related to our key distribution channels for the three months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, was approximately:

	Three months ended		Three months ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2015		2014	
Indirect channel	88	%	90	%
Website	6	%	4	%
Mall cart and kiosk program	6	%	6	%

The increase in revenue comparing the three months ended September 30, 2015 to 2014 was primarily related to continued strong demand for our screen protection products, expanded retail placement, and additional global distribution. The increase in screen protection sales was partially off-set by lower sales of tablet keyboards due to overall softness in the tablet market, and lower sales of portable power due primarily to the timing of customer launch initiatives in the third quarter last year that will not occur until the fourth quarter this year.

Gross profit

Gross profit for the quarter ended September 30, 2015, was \$24,871 or approximately 37% of net sales, compared to \$9,164 or approximately 15% of net sales for the quarter ended September 30, 2014. The increase in gross profit percentage is due to (1) a higher percentage of sales being generated by our screen protection products, our highest margin product line, compared to the prior year when a higher percentage of our total sales were generated by lower margin products such as our keyboard, audio, and power management lines, (2) a decrease in the write-downs of \$9,644 for certain inventory items expected to be sold below the carrying value and expedited freight charges compared to the prior year, and (3) improvements in operating efficiencies related to supply-chain management.

There are no assurances that we will continue to recognize similar gross profit margins in the future.

Operating expenses

Total operating expenses for the quarter ended September 30, 2015, were \$18,643, an increase of \$2,868 from total operating expenses for the quarter ended September 30, 2014, of \$15,775. The \$2,868 increase was primarily attributable to increases in (1) customer service charges from higher customer call volume, (2) salaries and benefits due to additional management team headcount, and (3) advertising and marketing spend due to additional focus on brand awareness and direct-to-consumer advertising.

Income (loss) from operations

Income from operations for the quarter ended September 30, 2015 was \$6,228, compared to a loss from operations of \$(6,611) for the quarter ended September 30, 2014, an increase of \$12,839. The increase in income from operations is attributable to higher sales and improved margins as described above as well as the significant inventory write-down for certain products expected to be sold below their carrying value and expedited freight charges recorded during the quarter ended September 30, 2014.

Other income (expense)

For the quarter ended September 30, 2015, total other expense was \$(102) compared to other income of \$50 for the quarter ended September 30, 2014.

Income taxes

Income tax expense for the quarter ended September 30, 2015 was \$2,387, compared to income tax benefit of \$2,242 for the quarter ended September 30, 2014. Our effective tax rate was 39% and 34%, respectively, for the three months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014. The increase in the effective tax rate is attributable to return-to-provision true-ups and the adjustment to our deferred taxes for a change in our state effective rate in the prior year, and the increased impact of a loss in a foreign tax jurisdiction due to lower net income/(loss) during the three months ended September 30, 2014. Our effective tax rate will generally differ from the U.S. Federal Statutory rate of 35%, due to foreign and state taxes, permanent items, and the impact of our global tax strategy.

Net income (loss)

As a result of these factors, reported net income was \$3,739 or \$0.13 per share on a fully diluted basis for the quarter ended September 30, 2015, compared to a net loss of \$(4,319) or \$(0.14) per share on a fully diluted basis for the quarter ended September 30, 2014.

NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2015 AND 2014 (amounts in thousands, except per share data)

Net sales

Net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, were \$190,679 as compared to net sales of \$159,170 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, an increase of \$31,509 or 20%.

The percentage of sales related to our key product lines for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, was approximately:

	Nine months		Nine months	
	ended		ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2015		2014	
Screen Protection	67	%	40	%
Keyboards	19	%	34	%
Audio	9	%	14	%
Power Management	2	%	6	%
Cases	1	%	3	%
Other	2	%	3	%

The percentage of sales related to our key distribution channels for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, was approximately:

	Nine months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2015		2014	
Indirect channel	89	%	90	%
Website	5	%	5	%
Mall cart and kiosk program	6	%	5	%

The increase in revenue comparing the nine months ended September 30, 2015 to 2014 was primarily related to continued strong demand for our screen protection products, expanded retail placement in wireless retail, and additional global distribution. The increase in screen protection sales was partially off-set by lower sales of (1) tablet keyboards due to overall softness in the tablet market; (2) audio due to reduced product placement at a key customer compared to 2014; and (3) portable power due primarily to the timing of customer launch initiatives in the third quarter last year that will not occur until the fourth quarter this year.

Gross profit

Gross profit for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, was \$72,785 or approximately 38% of net sales, as compared to \$43,729 or approximately 27% of net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. The increase

in gross profit percentage is due to (1) a higher percentage of sales being generated by our screen protection products, our highest margin product line, compared to the prior year when a higher percentage of our total sales were generated by lower margin products such as our keyboard, audio, and power management lines, (2) a decrease in the write-downs of \$9,644 for certain inventory items expected to be sold below the carrying value and expedited freight charges compared to the prior year, and (3) improvements in operating efficiencies related to supply-chain management.

There are no assurances that we will continue to recognize similar gross profit margins in the future.

Operating expenses

Total operating expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, were \$54,867, an increase of \$8,046 from total operating expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, of \$46,821. The \$8,046 increase was primarily attributable to increases in (1) customer service charges from higher customer call volume, (2) salaries and benefits due to additional management team headcount, and (3) advertising and marketing spend due to additional focus on brand awareness and direct-to-consumer advertising.

Income (loss) from operations

Income from operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 was \$17,918, compared to a loss from operations of \$(3,092) for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, an increase of \$21,010. The increase in income from operations is primarily attributable to higher sales and improved margins as described above as well as the significant inventory write-down for certain products expected to be sold below their carrying value and expedited freight charges recorded during the quarter ended September 30, 2014.

Other income (expense)

For the nine months ended September 30, 2015, total other expense was \$(132) compared to other income of \$80 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014.

Income taxes

Income tax expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 was \$7,155, compared to income tax benefit of \$473 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. Our effective tax rate was 40% and 16% for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate is attributable to return-to-provision true-ups and the adjustment to our deferred taxes for a change in our state effective rate in the prior year, and the increased impact of a loss in a foreign tax jurisdiction due to lower net income/(loss) during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. Our effective tax rate will generally differ from the U.S. Federal Statutory rate of 35%, due to state taxes, permanent items, and the impact of our global tax strategy.

Net income (loss)

As a result of these factors, reported net income was \$10,631 or \$0.36 per share on a fully diluted basis for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to a net loss of \$(2,539) or \$(0.08) per share on a fully diluted basis for the nine months ended September 30, 2014.

Liquidity and Capital Resources (in thousands)

At September 30, 2015, our principal sources of liquidity were cash generated by operations, cash on-hand, and an open line of credit with Wells Fargo. Our principal uses of cash have been to fund working capital requirements, capital expenditures, and purchases of treasury stock.

Cash and cash equivalents on-hand increased to \$14,957 on September 30, 2015, from \$9,461 on December 31, 2014, an increase of \$5,496. The increase in cash and cash equivalents is largely the result of positive cash from operations during the first nine months of 2015, partially offset by purchases of property and equipment and treasury stock. Earnings from foreign operations are considered permanently re-invested and of the \$14,957 cash and cash

equivalents balance on September 30, 2015, cash held by foreign entities totaled \$3,456, which constituted 23% of the total cash and cash equivalents balance. The net tax impact of repatriating the permanently reinvested cash balance held by foreign entities would be \$924.

Accounts receivable at September 30, 2015 were \$53,071, as compared to \$75,729 at December 31, 2014, a decrease of \$22,658. The decrease is due to record net sales of \$102,415 in the fourth quarter of 2014 followed by strong cash collections during the first quarter of 2015. This decrease was partially offset by net sales of \$66,774 during the three months ended September 30, 2015.

Accounts payable decreased to \$37,681 on September 30, 2015, from \$49,379 on December 31, 2014, a decrease of \$11,698. The decrease is the result of payments made during the first three quarters of 2015, which was partially off-set by purchases made during the quarter ended September 30, 2015.

At September 30, 2015, we had working capital of \$76,906 compared to \$72,817 as of December 31, 2014.

Based on the current level of operations, we believe that cash generated from operations, cash on hand, and available borrowings under existing credit arrangements will be adequate to fund expected capital expenditures and working capital needs for the next 12 months.

Debt and Letters of Credit

On December 23, 2014, the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association ("Wells Fargo"), entered into the Third Amendment to Credit Agreement ("Third Amendment"), which modified the original Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") entered into between the Company and Wells Fargo on December 7, 2012 and all subsequent amendments to the Credit Agreement (First Amendment to the Credit Agreement entered into on December 20, 2013 and Second Amendment to the Credit Agreement entered into on November 4, 2014). The Third Amendment provided a \$25,000 line of credit and extended the maturity date from December 1, 2015 to December 1, 2016. The line of credit available under the Credit Agreement includes a letter of credit sub-feature that allows the Company to issue standby commercial letters of credit against the line of credit, not to exceed at any time an aggregate of \$5,000. During the three and nine months ending September 30, 2015 and 2014, the Company did not issue any standby commercial letters of credit.

On August 24, 2015, the Company and Wells Fargo, entered into the Fourth Amendment to Credit Agreement ("Fourth Amendment"), which modified the original Credit Agreement entered into between the Company and Wells Fargo on December 7, 2012 and all subsequent amendments to the Credit Agreement. The Fourth Amendment modified a debt covenant to allow the Company to purchase up to \$15.0 million of ZAGG Inc common stock during each calendar year, including the 2015 calendar year, rather than during consecutive twelve month periods, as was documented in the Credit Agreement prior to the Fourth Amendment.

Any outstanding principal balance under the line of credit bears interest at a fluctuating rate per annum determined to be the sum of the (1) LIBOR margin established under the Credit Agreement (with the initial LIBOR margin being set at 1.25%) and (2) Daily Three Month LIBOR (as defined in the Credit Agreement) in effect from time to time. Each change in the rate of interest will become effective on each business day on which a change in daily three month LIBOR is announced by Wells Fargo.

In addition, the Company pays Wells Fargo a quarterly fee based on the average unused amount of the line of credit depending on the Company's leverage ratio (as this term is defined in the Credit Agreement).

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, \$10 and \$28, respectively, in unused line fees were incurred and are included as a component of interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, \$23 and \$67, respectively, in unused line fees were incurred and are included as a component of interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The Company originally incurred and capitalized \$238 of direct costs related to the establishment of the Credit Agreement. The Company amortizes deferred loan costs under the straight-line method. The carrying value of deferred loan costs at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, was \$11 and \$60, respectively, and is included as a component of noncurrent other assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, the Company amortized \$16 and \$49, respectively of the deferred loan costs, which is included as a component of interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company amortized \$17 and \$49, respectively, of these loan costs, which is included as a component of interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The Company is also subject to a number of financial and non-financial debt covenants under the Credit Agreement. At September 30, 2015, the Company was in compliance with all covenants associated with the Credit Agreement.

Payment in full under the Credit Agreement is due on December 1, 2016. At September 30, 2015 and 2014, the balance outstanding on the line of credit was zero.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

The following table provides information on our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2015:

	Payme	ents due	by peri	od			
		Less				M	ore
Contractual Obligations	Total	Than	1 - 3	4 -	5	tha	an
Contractual Obligations		1	years	yea	ars	5	
		Year				ye	ars
Operating Lease Obligations	\$987	\$282	\$705	\$	-	\$	-
Total	\$987	\$282	\$705	\$	_	\$	_

Unrecognized uncertain tax benefits of \$1,155 are not included in the table above as we are not sure when the amount will be paid.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to certain market risks in the ordinary course of business. These risks result primarily from changes in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates. In addition, international operations are subject to risks related to differing economic conditions, changes in political climate, differing tax structures and other regulations and restrictions.

To date we have not utilized derivative financial instruments or derivative commodity instruments. We do not expect to employ these or other strategies to hedge market risk in the foreseeable future. Cash is invested in money market funds, which are subject to minimal credit and market risk. We believe that the market risks associated with these financial instruments are immaterial, although there can be no guarantee that these market risks will be immaterial to us.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15b under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as of the end of the period covered by this Report. Based on this evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this Report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective and were designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be included in the reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported as specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

As a result of the material weakness which existed as of December 31, 2014 related to the ineffective operation of the reconciliation of in-transit inventory and the review thereof, as discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, management has completed the following changes to its internal controls that have materially affected our internal control over financial reporting during the nine months ended September 30, 2015:

We have conducted inventory reconciliation training with those responsible for performing and reviewing inventory reconciliations. Process improvements developed as part of these trainings were used in the reconciliations performed for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015.

We have renegotiated contract terms with the Company's key inventory suppliers to change the inventory delivery terms from free on board ("FOB") shipping point to FOB destination, thereby significantly reducing in-transit inventory. The amendments to the supplier contracts were completed during the second quarter of 2015, and the first purchase orders under these terms commenced during the second quarter of 2015.

Management has assessed the above identified changes to its internal control over financial reporting to ensure that the changes have been properly designed and implemented and are operating effectively. The assessment performed has allowed management to conclude that the material weakness at December 31, 2014 has been remediated and that internal controls over financial reporting were effective at September 30, 2015.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Internal Controls

An internal control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple errors or mistakes. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the internal control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Certain of the legal proceedings in which we are involved are discussed in Note 12, "Commitments and Contingencies," to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and are hereby incorporated by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 (the "2014 Form 10-K"), which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The following information updates certain of our risk factors and should be read in conjunction with the risk factors disclosed in the 2014 Form 10-K. These risk factors should be read carefully in connection with evaluating our business and in connection with the forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Any of the risks described in the 2014 Form 10-K could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or future results and the actual outcome of matters as to which forward-looking statements are made. These are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, and/or operating results.

If we are unable to effectively manage our growth, our operating results and financial condition will be adversely affected.

We intend to grow our business by expanding our sales and product development organizations. Any growth in or expansion of our business is likely to place a strain on our management and administrative resources, infrastructure, and information systems. As with other growing businesses, we expect that we will need to refine and expand our business development capabilities, our systems and processes, and our access to financing sources. We also will need to hire, train, supervise, and manage new employees. These processes are time consuming and expensive, will increase management responsibilities and will divert management attention. We provide no assurance that we will be able to:

expand our systems effectively or efficiently or in a timely manner;

allocate our human resources optimally;

meet our capital needs;

identify and hire qualified employees or retain valued employees; or

incorporate effectively the components of any business or product line that we may acquire in our effort to achieve growth.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds (dollars and shares in thousands)

During the first quarter of 2015, the Company's board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$15,000 of the Company's outstanding common stock during 2015 as detailed in the table below. The Company's board of directors also authorized the Company to enter into a Rule 10b5-1 plan when appropriate.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, the Company entered into a Rule 10b5-1 plan under which 1,886 shares of ZAGG Inc common stock were purchased for total consideration of \$13,894. During the three months ended September 30, 2015, the Company purchased 1,844 shares of ZAGG Inc common stock for total consideration of \$13,570.

			(c)	(d)
Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1)
July 1 – July 31, 2015	159	\$ 7.73	159	-
August 1 – August 31, 2015	1,060	\$ 7.34	1,060	-
September 1 – September 30, 2015	625	\$ 7.20	625	131
Total	1,844	\$ 7.33	1,844	131

The maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the repurchase plan has been determined based on the \$1,106 remaining amount that is authorized for the purchase of ZAGG Inc common stock under the repurchase plan and the closing stock price on October 20, 2015 of \$8.42. The actual number of shares that may be repurchased is dependent on the price of ZAGG Inc common stock.

In addition, during the third quarter of 2015, the Company foreclosed on 80 shares of ZAGG Inc common stock linked to the full recourse note receivable described in Note 9. The Company foreclosed on these shares at a price per share of \$8.59 and a total value of \$688. These shares are currently being held by the Company as treasury stock.

None. Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures Not applicable. Item 5. Other Information None.

Item 6. Exhibits

a. Exhibits: The following Exhibits are filed with this Form 10-Q pursuant to Item 601(a) of Regulation S-K:

Exhibit No.	Description of Exhibit
10.1	Fourth Amendment to Credit Agreement between ZAGG Inc and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (previously filed as an exhibit to a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on August 24, 2015 and incorporated herein by reference).
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Amended.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Amended.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S. C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S. C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
EX-101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
EX-101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
EX-101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
EX-101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
EX-101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase
EX-101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

ZAGG INC

Date: November 4, 2015 /s/ RANDALL L. HALES

Randall L. Hales, Chief Executive Officer, President, & Director (Principal executive officer)

Date: November 4, 2015 /s/ BRADLEY J. HOLIDAY

Bradley J. Holiday, Chief Financial Officer (Principal financial officer)